<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</th>
<th>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</th>
<th>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</th>
<th>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</th>
<th>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</th>
<th>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>నామం</td>
<td>నామం</td>
<td>నామం</td>
<td>నామం</td>
<td>నామం</td>
<td>నామం</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>జాతి సంఖ్య</td>
<td>జాతి సంఖ్య</td>
<td>జాతి సంఖ్య</td>
<td>జాతి సంఖ్య</td>
<td>జాతి సంఖ్య</td>
<td>జాతి సంఖ్య</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>జన్మ తరువాత వయస్సు</td>
<td>జన్మ తరువాత వయస్సు</td>
<td>జన్మ తరువాత వయస్సు</td>
<td>జన్మ తరువాత వయస్సు</td>
<td>జన్మ తరువాత వయస్సు</td>
<td>జన్మ తరువాత వయస్సు</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>వయస్సు</td>
<td>వయస్సు</td>
<td>వయస్సు</td>
<td>వయస్సు</td>
<td>వయస్సు</td>
<td>వయస్సు</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
<td>ప్రత్యేక విషయం</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr. Speaker:— At the request of the Minister for Health and Medical, the Q.No. 141 (*5018) would be taken up in the last.

Now Question No. 4558.

AGRICULTURE RESEARCH CENTRES

*4558-Q.—Sri K.R. Suresh Reddy (Balakonda):— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to set up new Agriculture Research Centres in the State; and

(b) if so, the details thereof and the nature of crops in which the said centres are likely to undertake research work?

On behalf of the Chief Minister, Minister for Cooperation, (Sri V. Rambhoopal Chowdary):—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

*An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the Member.
Mr. Speaker:— At the request of the Minister for Health and Medical, the Q.No. 141 (*5018) would be taken up in the last.
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AGRICULTURE RESEARCH CENTRES
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*4558-Q.—Sri K.R. Suresh Reddy (Balakonda):— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to set up new Agriculture Research Centres in the State; and

(b) if so, the details thereof and the nature of crops in which the said centres are likely to undertake research work?

On behalf of the Chief Minister, Minister for Cooperation, (Sri V. Rambhoopal Chowdary):—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the Member.
உடல் என்று மனிதனைக் (நோய்களுக்கு) - அதே விதம் மனிதனிற்கும் மருத்துவத்திற்கும் கருதப்படுகின்றது. மனிதன் மற்றும் மற்றும் சிற்றைகள் மற்றும் தாய்கள் போன்றவைகள் கருதப்படுகின்றன. இவை எதுவும் மனிதனிற்கும் கருதப்படுகின்ற விளக்கத்திற்கும் கருதப்படுகின்றன. அத்தானே மனிதனிற்கும் கருதப்படுகின்ற விளக்கத்திற்கும் கருதப்படுகின்றன. இந்த விளக்கம் மனிதனிற்கும் கருதப்படுகின்ற விளக்கத்திற்கும் கருதப்படுகின்ற விளக்கம்.
Expunged as ordered by the Chair.
Mr. Speaker:—You cannot get much more than that.

Mr. Speaker:—No—he cannot.

Mr. Speaker:—That will be deleted. That cannot be. It is expunged. It should not have been uttered. Because it is uttered it is expunged.

Mr. Speaker:—I can give example.

Mr. Speaker:—That is expunged as ordered by the Chair.
Mr. Speaker:- Mr. Vidyasagar Rao has asked. I have already given an opportunity.
మాములు - మాములు మాములు మాములు
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వినియోగపడి ఎంతగా వినియోగపడి ఎంతగా వినియోగపడి ఎంతగా వినియోగపడి ఎంతగా వినియోగపడి

(మాములు మాములు)

మాములు మాములు - మాములు మాములు మాములు

(1) అమ్మం ఎంతగా వినియోగపడి ఎంతగా వినియోగపడి ఎంతగా వినియోగపడి ఎంతగా వినియోగపడి ఎంతగా వినియోగపడి

(2) అమ్మం ఎంతగా వినియోగపడి ఎంతగా వినియోగపడి ఎంతగా వినియోగపడి ఎంతగా వినియోగపడి ఎంతగా వినియోగపడి
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(2) சிறியது போலவே மேற்புறத்து குழு அம்மலையும் குரதார்வனும் போன்று இணைந்து வரும் நேரத்தில் ஒரு இடம் 6.00.99,687/0 முதலான முறையில் கட்டுமான வகு மிகுந்து வணந்து (நடைத்து புணர்முக்கியம்) க்கான முறையே உள்ளன.
1. 1991

2. 1991

3. 1991

4. 1991

5. 1991

6. 1991

7. 1991

8. 1991

9. 1991

10. 1991
(a) 
(b) 
(c) 
(d) 
(e) 
(f) 
(g) 
(h) 
(i) 
(j) 
(k) 
(l)
Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, the Minister, in his answer, has stated that 405 degree colleges exist in the State out of which only 193 colleges are getting UGC grant, i.e. about 47.6 per cent. He has also stated that 12 colleges only are going to be considered by 1992. There are large number of States which are getting higher percentages of UGC grant. Can the Minister tell us how much the States of Uttar Pradesh, Gujarat and Maharashtra are getting? Even out of these 405 degree colleges which are yet to get the UGC grant, how many are managed by the State Government?

Dr. P.V. Ranaga Rao:- The Hon. Member is right. In other States there are more number of colleges which are recognised and the UGC is extending assistance to them. For instance, in Karnataka out of 677 colleges 330 colleges are admitted, i.e.,
48 per cent colleges are being given assistance by the UGC. In Kerala, out of 208 colleges 151 colleges are getting the assistance from UGC, i.e. 72 per cent. In Madhya Pradesh out of 530 colleges 362 colleges are getting the assistance from UGC, i.e. about 68.3 per cent. In Maharashtra out of 880 colleges 550 colleges are getting the assistance from UGC, i.e. 62.5 per cent. In Tamil Nadu, out of 314 colleges 159 colleges are getting the assistance, i.e. 50.8 per cent.

Dr. P. Asnoka Rajapaksha: Our State is a thing much to .The Minister has given the position to us, in Tamil Nadu, Kerala, etc. Our State is correct. It proves with the Andhra Pradesh State Council of Higher Education, which is now led with this job, is not doing any job. What steps we have taken will initiate to see that the colleges in Andhra Pradesh receive the UGC assistance to which they are entitled.

Sri P. V. Ranga Rao: There are pre-conditions.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju: Those are fulfilled.

Dr. P. V. Ranga Rao: Let me explain. The Council for Higher Education has recently called a meeting of all the Deans of various Universities. They are trying to help colleges as to how best they come under the norms envisaged by the University Grants Commission and get as much assistance as possible. Now the Deans are supposed to assist each college about their requirements and send the proposals to the University Grants Commission so that the UGC could extend full assistance to these colleges.
Mr. Speaker:— No. I have not asked you, Mr. Madhava Reddy. You should leave this habit. No. I have not given you opportunity.

J. No. 214-3
Mr. Speaker: It does not pertain to the question. It is strictly irrelevant.

Mr. Speaker: The question is: Are you satisfied with the salary of the members? The 20 Members are not satisfied with their salaries. In 1991-92 the Members of Parliament got a salary increase of 30%. Are you satisfied with the increment?
I am attending to it. I assure the Hon'ble Member that every care will be taken as far as medicines are concerned.
There are nine companies which have submitted. The Government, keeping in view the magnitude of the project, already informed the Secretary to Government of India that it proposed to include these coal based thermal power stations in the VIII Five Year Plan, and the same is yet to be approved by Government of India. It is proposed to implement the project with the external aid and it is in the process of examination and the Government is also pursuing it. That is what I am submitting.
Mr. Speaker:—No supplementaries. If you want postponement, it is a different matter. If you desire postponement, I will consider. But......
It is very important matter. There are several supplementaries to be asked.

Mr. Speaker:- I cannot allow supplementaries, now. There is B.A.C. decision. I cannot go against B.A.C.

Sri K. Rosaiah:- I can answer all the questions put by the Members.

Mr. Speaker:- I cannot allow supplementaries, now, after Question Hour. Only one supplementary.

Sri K. Rosaiah:- I have to put several supplementaries. Let this question be postponed. Or let all supplementaries be answered.

Mr. Speaker:- I cannot go against the decision of B.A.C.

Sri K. Rosaiah:- Leave it to you, Sir. I am ready with the answers provided by the Department. So, it is left to you.

Mr. Speaker:- The question is postponed.

Sri K. Rosaiah:- It is left to you, Sir. I am ready with the answers provided by the Department. So, it is left to you.
Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju: The Srikakulam and Vijayanagaram Districts were denied funds from out of the Tribal development funds of Rs. 55 crores. Hon. Chief Minister answering question (c) stated "It does not arise". If you do not give tribal development funds to these two districts, it is too erroneous. You have mentioned that some other funds would be provided. What are those other funds? Specifically state the funds. And how you intend to compensate these two districts for denying the tribal development funds under Action Plan. I want to know the reasons as to why they have denied funds for these two districts.

Sri N. Janardhana Reddy: I am not going to accuse the other side. When the T.D.P. was in power, it has happened. Later, when we came to power, we have rectified it. This is based on some recommendations of the Committee that came from Government of India. They have identified and recommended and the then Government have
accepted it. Then, the Planning Commissions' list was accepted and came to power, we have rectified by giving reasons.

Mr. Speaker: - Answers for both the Members will be given.

Sri P. Aurore Gopala Rao: - clearly ask the Hon. Minister the reasons for denial for the two districts. The Minister said that the State Government is going to compensate. What are the details of compensation and the amounts given so far as these two districts may be given. We want a clear answer. Let the reasons of deletion of these two districts.

Sri N. Janardhana Reddy: - Let me first answer to the query raised by Sri Omkar. This is nothing to do with irrigation. The previous Government has surveyed. The previous Government has only said the reasons for denial for these two districts. We want a clear answer. Let the reasons be given.

Sri N. Janardhana Reddy: - The previous Government has surveyed. The previous Government has only said the reasons for denial for these two districts. We want a clear answer. Let the reasons be given.

Sri N. Janardhana Reddy: - Sir, Khammam District is there in the Rs. 65 crores.

Sri N. Janardhana Reddy: - Sir, Khammam District is there in the Rs. 65 crores.
28
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అందుకే, మనం ఇలాంచినంత సమయంలో మేమని తయారు చేసినది. మేమని తయారు చేసిన ప్రతి విషయం జాణం కేవలం మనం తయారు చేయడానికి ప్రయత్నించడానికి ఉంది. మేమని తయారు చేసిన ప్రతి విషయం కేవలం మనం తయారు చేయడానికి ప్రయత్నించడానికి ఉంది.

మనం తయారు చేసిన ప్రతి విషయం కేవలం మనం తయారు చేయడానికి ప్రయత్నించడానికి ఉంది.

మనం తయారు చేసిన ప్రతి విషయం కేవలం మనం తయారు చేయడానికి ప్రయత్నించడానికి ఉంది.

మనం తయారు చేసిన ప్రతి విషయం కేవలం మనం తయారు చేయడానికి ప్రయత్నించడానికి ఉంది.
1991-11-18

சொல்லை நல்லே என்றால் கண்டுபிடித்து சொல்லுங்களே என்று நீங்கள் விளக்கம் செய்யாதீர்கள். இதுவே தெரியும் கருத்து என்று அனைவரும் நம்ப வேண்டும் என்று நீங்கள் மறுத்துக்கொள்ளும் லேசுை செய்யும்.

சுருக்கம் - மத்தியில் முன்னை வைத்து என்றால் அதை என்று லேசுை செய்யும் என்று நீங்கள் மறுத்துக்கொள்ளும் லேசுை செய்யும்.

சுருக்கம் - மத்தியில் முன்னை வைத்து என்றால் அதை என்று லேசுை செய்யும் என்று நீங்கள் மறுத்துக்கொள்ளும் லேசுை செய்யும்.

சுருக்கம் - மத்தியில் முன்னை வைத்து என்றால் அதை என்று லேசுை செய்யும் என்று நீங்கள் மறுத்துக்கொள்ளும் லேசுை செய்யும்.

சுருக்கம் - மத்தியில் முன்னை வைத்து என்றால் அதை என்று லேசுை செய்யும் என்று நீங்கள் மறுத்துக்கொள்ளும் லேசுை செய்யும்.

சுருக்கம் - மத்தியில் முன்னை வைத்து என்றால் அதை என்று லேசுை செய்யும் என்று நீங்கள் மறுத்துக்கொள்ளும் லேசுை செய்யும்.

அவையுடன் ஒன்றாக உருவாக்குவது என்று தெரியும் கருத்து என்று நீங்கள் மறுத்துக்கொள்ளும் லேசுை செய்யும்.

150-1

(1) வெண்ணை வெண்ணை வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்ல

(2) வெண்ணை வெண்ணை வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்லது வல்ல

(ii) Mr. V. G. K. Ravindran, the Director of the University, informed the committee that the University had formulated a comprehensive program to address the issue of ragging.

(iii) The program envisages a series of measures to be implemented immediately, including regular monitoring, counseling, and disciplinary action.

(iv) The University administration has assured all stakeholders that the program will be implemented expeditiously and effectively.

Sirs,

Regarding the recent reports of ragging incidents in the Medical College, the University administration has initiated a series of measures to address the issue. A committee has been formed to monitor the situation closely and ensure that strict disciplinary action is taken against those found guilty.

The University administration has assured all stakeholders that the program will be implemented expeditiously and effectively. It is hoped that these measures will help in creating a safe and conducive environment for students.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[University Administration]
By professor he is also a doctor, of course more a politician... And I want to mention that in the school of medicine at the University, the new generation is also showing great interest in political activities. The trend seems to be growing, with many young people showing an active involvement in political discussions.

The chapter on politics begins with an overview of the historical development of political ideologies and systems. It then goes on to discuss the current political landscape, with particular emphasis on the role of political parties and their impact on society.

The next section deals with the challenges faced by political leaders in modern times, including issues related to corruption, inequity, and the need for greater accountability. The chapter concludes with a discussion of the future of politics, including the potential for new political movements and the role of technology in shaping the political landscape.
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(only)

(only)

(only)

(only)

(only)

(only)

(only)

(only)

(only)

(only)

(only)
30 ஏப்ரல் முதல் நேரில் பண்டையத்தில் குறைந்தது முதலில் குறைந்தது வரை முதலில் வரை வருமானம் என்று கூறி இருந்தது. பாரும்பதியான ஏனைய முதலில் குறைந்தது வரை முதலில் வருமானம் செய்து வருவது என்று கூறி இருந்தது. பாரும்பதியான ஏனைய முதலில் குறைந்தது வரை முதலில் வருமானம் செய்து வருவது என்று கூறி இருந்தது. பாரும்பதியான ஏனைய முதலில் குறைந்தது வரை முதலில் வருமானம் செய்து வருவது என்று கூறி இருந்தது.

இது போல அற்புதமாக:- இது போல அற்புதமாக விளக்கியது அருகில் விளக்கியது மறுக்கினாள் சுதந்திரமாக என்று கூறி இருந்தது. இது போல அற்புதமாக விளக்கியது அருகில் விளக்கியது மறுக்கினாள் சுதந்திரமாக என்று கூறியது.

இது 2. முற்பகுதி (புத்தககள்):- இது போல அற்புதமாக என்று கூறியது.

இது 3. முற்பகுதி (இலக்கியம்):- இது போல அற்புதமாக என்று கூறியது.

இது 4. முற்பகுதி (செயற்கை):- இது போல அற்புதமாக என்று கூறியது.

In the absence of pattedar pass books it is not proper on the part of the Government to collect land revenue. Please postpone the land revenue.
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Sri Mohd. Virasat Rasool Khan (Charminar):— Mr. Speaker Sir, I would like to bring to your kind notice the pathetic condition of the M.C.H. roads and its financial crisis.

There are number of open S.W. drains and man-holes without covers in the twin cities. Some pedestrians have died by falling in the covers of man-holes.

Yesterday, a child of 2½ years Fatema Tabassum, in my constituency, has fallen down in open S.W. drain and her body was traced at 9-00 p.m. During the last two years 4 children were died and this is the fifth case. We have referred this matter to the Municipal authorities. Till now they have not taken any action and the people in the locality are so agitated that they have not allowed to remove the dead body. They wanted to take the dead body to the Municipal Administration Minister. It was only at my intervention the body was removed.

I request the Minister for Municipal Administration to give instructions to the Municipal authorities immediately to lay the covers on the open man-holes.

Minister for Municipal Administration (Sri M. Narasimha Reddy):— Yesterday itself, it was brought to my notice, I have instructed the Municipal Commissioner to announce exgratia to the parents of the girl who was died by falling in the S.W. drain.
Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:- I have made a note of it. I will call the officials concerned, enquire and see that the matter is settled.

Dr. J. Geetha:- Sir, thank you very much for the opportunity which you have given and for which I am awaiting for the last few days. The payment of Rs. 39 crores was already paid either by way of commission to the Consultant M/s. Rheinbrau Engineering or to M/s. Krupp, SCCL had informed the public investment Board of the Central Government that the payment had been made. Letter of Intent:- Another point to be noted is that the Minister insisted that no letter of intent was issued by SCCL, leave alone an agreement. A Telex message received by the Company speaks for itself. We just learned that the Kreditanstalt Fuer Wiederaufbau (KFW) has sent a telex to you with their comments on the contract drafts. We understand that KFW have agreed to the contract drafts as initialed by SCCL and KOS Consortium only a few points need clarification by SCCL and KOS consortium" the telex message says, indicating that a draft agreement existed and that a nod of approval was all that was required. Mr. Speaker:- You must send a petition.

Indian Express Dt. 18-9-1991---

"But information made available to Indian Express indicates that an amount of Rs. 39 crores was already paid either by way of commission to the Consultant M/s. Rheinbrau Engineering or to M/s. Krupp, SCCL had informed the public investment Board of the Central Government that the payment had been made. Letter of Intent:- Another point to be noted is that the Minister insisted that no letter of intent was issued by SCCL, leave alone an agreement.

A Telex message received by the Company speaks for itself. We just learned that the Kreditanstalt Fuer Wiederaufbau (KFW) has sent a telex to you with their comments on the contract drafts. We understand that KFW have agreed to the contract drafts as initialed by SCCL and KOS Consortium only a few points need clarification by SCCL and KOS consortium" the telex message says, indicating that a draft agreement existed and that a nod of approval was all that was required."

Mr. Speaker:- You must send a petition.

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:- I have made a note of it. I will call the officials concerned, enquire and see that the matter is settled.
Mr. Speaker:- You give a petition. You cannot raise more than this.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Yes. We want to send it. It is a breach of privilege.

Mr. Speaker:- Let me look into it.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Sir, the mike is withdrawn. How it is withdrawn? How can you withdraw the mikes for Opposition Members?

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- I am demanding. The Hon'ble Minister has assured the House previously. What is the action taken on the erring officials in regard to the awarding of the contract? An amount of Rs. 25 corres was misappropriated. We want to know the details. We demand to know.

Mr. Speaker:- You please see the rule, 149 which says "A Member wishing to raise a question of privilege shall give notice in writing to the Secretary before the commencement of the sitting on the day the question of privilege is proposed to be raised. If the question of privilege raised is based on a document, the notice shall be accompanied by the document."

The understanding is - that you want to raise and you want "no objection" for it. You want to be given the answer immediately. This is not the thing - What is this?

Mr. Speaker:- I will look into it.
Mr. Speaker:— Again— you want to raise such things.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:— He is right Sir. అంతరాగానికి నీన్నంతి తరచు?

Mr. Speaker:— (Indicating to Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy) — Do you want to give a clarification?

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:— మీరు సంకోచించండి మేము అంటే మార్గం— మీరు దాదాపు మార్గం దీని ప్రదర్శించలేదు, మనం తారాను ఎంచుకునే అంటిపోతే మీరు మనం కొనసాగండి. మరియు మనం ఈ అంశం ఎదురు చేసినది దాదాపు మార్గం దీని ప్రదర్శించలేదు. మీరు మనం కొనసాగండి ఈ ప్రదర్శనం ప్రదర్శించలేదు మనం తారాను ఎంచుకునే మీరు మనం కొనసాగండి తారాను ఎంచుకునే?

మీరు నీందుకుంటే— సంచాలకు నీందుకుంటే.

Sri Ch. Vidyasagar Rao:— అది అంశాంశము మంచదును మనం కొనసాగండి... kindly you can ask the Minister to clarify. He is ready to reply Sir. Because he has said— he has vehemently said, that not even a single pie was spent.

Mr. Speaker:— As per 149, I shall look into.

Sri Ch. Vidyasagar Rao:— Kindly refer this to Privileges Committe Sir.

Mr. Speaker:— Definitely—you have to apply. Please send the petition and as per rules, I will examine it.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:— సిర్ మెన్టరు యొక్క ఉస్గా ఉండి సందర్శించండి.

మీరు చెయ్యండి— మెన్టరు ఈ ఉస్గా ఉండి సందర్శించండి. ప్రస్తావన కర్త సందర్శించండి సందర్శించండి.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:—Sir, there is a Point of Order.

Mr. Speaker:— Let the Minister reply please.
He has assured the House to inform about the action taken against the person - Mr. Sethuraman for misappropriation of lakhs of rupees Sir.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao: - Sir, (looking at Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy) he wants to be more active than Mr. Rosalah Sir. Let him reply. Rs. 250 crores has been misappropriated.

Mr. Speaker: - I could not have allowed but he has come forward.

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy: - Sir, I do not deny any crucial pleasure in suspending the officers. Sir, if it is necessary, definitely we will not hesitate even for a minute - Sir, if he has any crucial pleasure to be derived by that, I can only sympathise with him. Sir, I gave an assurance to this august House. I stick to it. I will abide by the statement what I made before this august House.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao: - Sir, he cannot go on using unparliamentary words such as "Crucial pleasure" - what does it mean? It may be a pleasure for him always to insult the Opposition...

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy: - Sir, I can vouchsafe the Hon'ble Member that the word what I used is not unparliamentary.

(Interruptions)

(Bell)

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao: - 304 please. Sir, you will not allow to go ahead with the 304 please. he does not mean it unparliamentary. If it is unparliamentary I will look into it, but it is not the way.

(Interruptions)
Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Sir, he may be.... thinking....

Mr. Speaker:- I said - if it is unparliamentary, I will look into it please.  

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, there is a point of order.  

Please allow me to raise the point of order sir.  

Mr. Speaker:- He would like to abide by the statement. He would like to take action as per his promise. As he has promised, he will take action.  

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Sir, if the Government feel to punish the corrupt official, not necessary - I do not know where the Government is going to lead to - but people are there to judge the Government.  

Mr. Speaker:- Yes - thank you. We are going behind the time. How do you complete the agenda before time please?  

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, Point of Order is there. I quote the Rule Sir. Please permit me.  

Mr. Speaker:- How do you expect me to complete before 10 minutes to 12 please?  
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Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- Sri, I want to draw your kind attention to Rule 43 and sub-rule 22 and rule 56. As per rule 56: "No motion which seems to raise discussion on a matter pending before any statutory tribunal or statutory authority performing any judicial or quasi-judicial functions or any commission or Court of Enquiry appointed to enquire into or investigate any matter shall ordinarily be permitted to be moved. This is the rule Sir.

Here, the Government in their answer they have clearly said "Hence the Government orders were issued in G.O.Ms.No. 892, Revenue Department dated 6th October 1990 - pending the Commission of enquiry to enquire into the above allegation" this is their answer Sir. Here also there is some mischief Sir, which I wanted to bring to your notice Sir. In this, under rule, 304 is about the occupation of land belonging to small and marginal farmers and tribals by benami in the village of Vuyyalapalli mandal of Nellore district. While you see the answer, you please see the title of the answer Sir. It is "Statement to be made by the Minister for Revenue, in connection with the notice given under rule 304 of the Assembly rules - by Shri P. Penchalayya and Sri N. Chandrababu Naidu, M.L.A., regarding the extent of 360 acres of land, acquired by benami names of selfish people in Nindali village of Nellore district during the T.D.P., Government's time by threatening small and marginal farmers - further an extent of 16 acres of land assigned to giriijans was forcibly taken away by him and drove them out of the village Sir.

This is a misleading statement Sir. The rule says itself, something else - according to the rule book, it says, that when the Commission of enquiry exists, the matter cannot be discussed Sir. So, Sir, we would like to have a Ruling on this 304, before the discussion is taken up.

Sir, I personally do not mind for discussion on any subject but the rules are here.

Mr. Speaker:- Yes - please you see second para i.e. para 2 of the rule. "provided that the Speaker may in his discretion allow such matter being raised in the House as is concerned with the procedure or subject or stage of enquiry if the Speaker is satisfied that it is not likely to prejudice the consideration of such matter by the Tribunal or Commission or Court of Enquiry".

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, we want a Ruling here.

Mr. Speaker:- You please wait -

(Interruptions)

We are not afraid at all.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:- You please, all of you sit down. When you have asked me, I will get the reply. If you want the Government to answer first or not? He will give the answer. What is there? Let him answer please.

Sri. P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, this is a Point of Order I have raised. There is a Commission of Enquiry under G.O.Ms.No.892, Revenue Department...
Mr. Speaker:- Yes - Commission of Enquiry is there. But the Rule 43 does not pertain with it. Regarding rule, 56....

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, then the notice is one thing and the matter has been discussed in this House Sir. As the Government had ordered an enquiry, obviously they are dragging their legs on the enquiry Sir. Because there is nothing to enquire about.

Mr. Speaker:- Wait for a minute please. The Government has come forward to say. Let the Government say on this para.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- For my Point of Order, we want your Ruling Sir.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:- Please wait for a minute.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Sir, you please give a ruling. We are not against any ruling you give. What you give, will be appreciated in the House.

Mr. Speaker:- You please wait for a minute.
Unless and until the commission submit its report to the Government, it is not possible to say whether the extent of 360 acres of land was secured on benami names by the selfish people at Nandali village, Balayapalli Mandalam of Nellore District, as alleged in the notice. It is also not possible to say whether an extent of 16 acres of land assigned to girijans was forcibly taken away by him driving the girijans out of the village. Focibly taken by him - forcibly driving girijans out of the village - and the concerned officials, without any direction from the Hon'ble Speaker — how dare they are to mention the names of two Legislators, Mr. N. Chandrababu Naidu and Mr. G. Muddu Krishna Naidu, taking the advantage of the proceedings of the House. What made them to presume? Mr. Speaker:- Let him say please. Wait for one minute —

Mr. Speaker:- One point Mr. C. Narasi Reddy, I would like to ask you i.e.,

(','

Mr. Speaker:- Let him say please. Wait for one minute —

Mr. Speaker:-
The Chair has also to see that the House is not debarred from discussing an urgent matter of public importance. Suppose the discussion does not involve a matter which is pending in the court of law, what would happen? I would like to get that.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, I draw your attention to the matter in the notice. This is the crux of the matter. 'Occupation of lands belonging to small and marginal farmers and title on benami names in Nandali village of Balayapalli Mandal in Nellore district.' In the first paragraph of the answer given by the Government it is stated: Since the name of the individual is not mentioned in the notice, it is difficult to make a specific statement in this regard. However, during discussion on the floor of
the House on allegations raised by some other Members in the Assembly and the discussion that took place in April, 1990 in the august House, the Government has presumed that it is the same subject matter which these people have given notice of. We are not against the discussion. But, this is a continuous attempt to blackmail our legislators by raising incorrect things.

(Cries of 'Shame, 'Shame' from Opposition)

That is our feeling. We are not against discussion.

Mr. Speaker:- They have given notice under 304.

Sri Ch. Vidyasagar Rao:- Let us be very serious about it. The learned Members mention these allegations in their notice. But, did the learned Members mention these allegations in their notice? No, they have not mentioned in the notice. Only in the reply we have got.

Sri Ch. Vidyasagar Rao:- Sir, this answer is incriminatory against two Members. As per Rule 281, without notice no incriminatory document or allegation can be made against Members. But, in the reply it is made. Without giving notice can anybody make allegations?
Again we have discussed the matter.
Mr. Speaker:— Don’t make noise. Do you want to dilute the issue?

Sir: In the House:

— 1990: The allegations being raised have been made in the House. I have got the proceedings here. That is again a clear breach of privilege. We cannot say that he has made. Because it is tabled in the House, it is the property of the House. Without it being mentioned or raised in the motion moved, he has made an allegation against a Member, that too presuming on the discussion that took place in 1990. I have got the proceedings here. That is again a clear breach of privilege.
Mr. Speaker:—I have taken notice, but you are not leaving.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:—I am coming to that. We can discuss the procedure or stage of inquiry. Regarding the matter of urgent public importance, they are in the Government and they could have taken action. But, the Government felt it necessary to appoint a Commission of Inquiry for the purpose of making an inquiry and orders were issued on 6th October, 1990 appointing the Commission of Inquiry.

The Minister himself has agreed that since the name of individual is not mentioned in the notice, it is different to make a specific statement in this regard. The Minister has agreed that since the name of individual is not mentioned in the notice, it is different to make a specific statement in this regard. Mr. Speaker:- Already it is subjudice and it cannot be discussed. Apart from that, if the Chair feels that if it is a matter of public importance, I have a right to allow it also.

Mr. Speaker:—I have taken notice, but you are not leaving.
Mr. Speaker:- It is separate. Now, as far as the discussion on the sub judice matter also I have given a lot of time.

Mr. Speaker:- Yes. With regard to the discussion on the sub judice matter, when I have seen it to be raised, I had said that the House cannot be debarred from discussing any urgent and public important matter on the ground that similar, alike or related matter is before the Court of law. Previously also there were even agitations and communal riots. If names are mentioned they can also take part. There is no bar for them. Reply is to be given only after the discussion is over. Now, we are at the discussion stage. Let the discussion take place. Now, 304 starts.

Mr. Speaker:- I have given that chance. There cannot be any more discussion on my ruling.
Mr. Speaker:—The matter is sub-judice.

*** Expunged as ordered by the chair.
Mr. Speaker:- I am saying that it is sub-judice. I am telling that again.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- He is taking names. We have no objection. But, it should not be....

Mr. Speaker:- He is specifically naming the persons. Are you allowing the names mentioned by him to go on record?

Mr. Speaker:- No. They cannot take names. They will be expunged. Penchalaiah Garu, when once I have given a ruling you must follow it.

Mr. Speaker:- Penchalaish Garu, one should learn the basic principle when he attend the Assembly. It is my request. When I have given a ruling without imposing every thing, you have to follow it.

Mr. Speaker:- Expunged as ordered by the chair.
Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Sir, I am on a point of order. It is again a subject of Commission of Inquiry. Do you give a ruling on that? I want a ruling from you that with regard to a matter which is sub-judice under Commission of Inquiry, are you allowing it to go on record?

*** Expunged as ordered by the chair.***
Expunged as ordered by the chair.
Chairman:- Let us go as per the convention. Please cooperate with me.

Sri N. Raghuveera Reddy:- You please allow us to speak first.
Please cooperate with me.

Sri N. Raghuvendra Reddy:— Sir, you should come to our rescue. As a matter of convention, let us proceed.

Please hear me. Please resume your seat. I cannot say more than that. I will go according to the convention.

You please cooperate with me. I am sorry and I cannot help.
You please resume your seat. That is my Ruling. You cannot question it.

(Interruptions)

Without my permission, if anybody speaks, it will not go into records.
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I cannot help it. I will suggest some solution. Now, let us sort out it. Minister for Major Industries (Sri P. Ramachandra Reddy)—Sir, in any crisis, there must be a solution.
Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:— Point of Order, Sir. Hon.ble Member to raise the issue under 304 and speak. The Rule reads like this, Sir: "(1) No matter, however, important and urgent may be, shall be raised by any Member, without having given atleast half an hour's notice to the Speaker before the commencement of the sitting for the day and obtained his permission."

Chairman:— Nothing has been done. It is over. No permission was accorded to him for discussion on this.
வருடத்தில், எந்த வகையான எளிமையான விளக்கத்தில், என பல உயர்ந்த விளக்கம் கூறப்பட்டு
செழிப்பட்டு வருவது. என்பது எந்த வகையான முயற்சியை செய்தது? என்று
என்பது எந்த வகையான பதிவை செய்தது? என்று என்பது எந்த வகையான
முயற்சியை செய்தது? என்று என்பது எந்த வகையான பதிவை செய்தது?
என்று என்பது எந்த வகையான முயற்சியை செய்தது? என்று
என்பது எந்த வகையான பதிவை செய்தது? 

(வரலாற்றுக்கும்)

(வரலாற்றுக்கும்)

10 அமர் என நினைவிட நிகழ்ந்த சோழ மன்னரின்
சாசிக்கு ஆட்டிய சோழ மன்றம், சோழ
சாசிக்கு ஆட்டிய சோழ மன்றம், சோழ
சாசிக்கு ஆட்டிய சோழ மன்றம், சோழ
சாசிக்கு ஆட்டிய சோழ மன்றம், சோழ
We are not concerned with the assassination
of the character and conduct. Our concern is to fulfill lands. They
must enjoy these lands which are allotted to them. All these
are the lands allotted to them. They must enjoy these lands
which are allotted to them. We must ensure that they enjoy
these lands which are allotted to them.
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Chairman:- Don't deviate from the subject.

Speaker has given a ruling earlier that it will not go into the records. When you have allowed them....

(Interruptions)
Expunged as ordered by the Chair.
Expunged as ordered by the Chair.
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Expunged as ordered by the Chair.
Chairman: I am expunging all those remarks from the records.

If you have got anything to say, you give it in writing.

As a panel of Chairman, you should not do.

It will not go into record you have given ruling, you have expunged some words. He has expunged. Any matter which is under subject of enquiry will not go on record. It was expunged from the records. That is the subject under enquiry. You verify it, he has clearly given a ruling. You cannot violate it.

*** Expunged as ordered by the Chair.
Chairman:- I have no business or necessity to violate the rules here.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Yes, you have no business.

Chairman:- You submit the petition. I have no necessity to violate the rulings of the Hon'ble Speaker.

Today's 304 pertains to occupation of land belonging to small and marginal farmers and Tribals of Nandali Village, Balayapalli Mandal Nellore District. You have allowed the such names to speak. The Hon'ble Revenue Minister, in his reply which he has given said: "The Commission of Enquiry has been appointed against Mr. N. Chandra Babu Naidu and Mr. G. Muddukrishnama Naidu, through G.O.Ms.No. 892 where the Commission of Enquiry is pending on matters pertaining to Mr. N. Chandra Babu Naidu and Mr. G. Muddu Krishnama Naidu, holding lands there, whether in their names or benami." That is the subject matter before the Commission of Enquiry. When, in the first instance, when the Hon'ble Speaker was sitting in the Chair, when Mr. Penchalaiah, M.L.A., raised that issue, I was on a Point of Order, and got immediately expunged those names. He (the Hon'ble Speaker) said "Nothing will go on record. This is a subject matter of the Commission of Enquiry."

The same, when you came into the Chair, when Mr. Penchalaiah was referring to the names of Mr. Chandrababu Naidu and his wife - etc., etc., I immediately got up and said there is a Point of Order. Because the Hon'ble Speaker has very pertinently and very clearly gave a distinct Ruling on that day. Whereas, you said - "There is a precedence in this House - when we have discussed many things, inspite of the fact it is before the Commission of Enquiry". Saying so, you have allowed.

It is a ruling in violation of the Hon'ble Speaker's Ruling, which you should not have done it.

Chairman:- If it is in violation....

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao: When you have violated the Ruling, we have every right to discuss - You have opened up the Pandora's Box....
Chairman:- Even now, I will consult the Speaker. If it is a violation of the Ruling, definitely, even if it is my Ruling, I will see that it is expunged from the Records.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- The whole proceedings have to be kept pending.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Sir, you better go and discuss the matter - you can adjourn the House if you want to discuss the matter....

Chairman:- Since you have said that I have violated...
Chairman: - Please address the Chair.

I have been mentioned. My name has been mentioned. My name has been mentioned. My name has been mentioned. My name has been mentioned. My name has been mentioned. My name has been mentioned. My name has been mentioned. My name has been mentioned. My name has been mentioned.

Without addressing the Chair, you go on exchanging shouts and counter-shouts. You have to learn so many things.
Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Sir, on a Point or Order. I request you Sir, that this Hon'ble Minister has made an irresponsible statement. I request you to expunge it from the Records. The Minister cannot go on record asking for a free style-bout. If he is interested, he has already involved in it. I request you to expunge it from the Records. What all he said, you please - go ahead.

Chairman:- Mr. J.C. Divakar Reddy, please resume your seat please.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Sir, on a Point or Order. I request you Sir, that this Hon'ble Minister has made an irresponsible statement. I request you to expunge it from the Records. The Minister cannot go on record asking for a free style-bout. If he is interested, he has already involved in it. I request you to expunge it from the Records. What all he said, you please - go ahead.

Chairman:- Mr. J.C. Divakar Reddy, please resume your seat please.
మనం ముగిసి మాత్రమే అవకాషం ప్రతిఫలించాలి పరివర్తన పద్ధతి కృతి మాత్రమే వాడాలి. ఇంత మాత్రమే పరిసరం చేయాలి కోర్టు చేసించాలి. ఇంత మాత్రమే వరంగా చేయాలి కోర్టు చేసించాలి. ఇంత మాత్రమే వరంగా చేయాలి కోర్టు చేసించాలి. ఇంత మాత్రమే వరంగా చేయాలి కోర్టు చేసించాలి. ఇంత మాత్రమే వరంగా చేయాలి కోర్టు చేసించాలి.

(చాలా అంశాల జీవనప్రభుత్వ కవిత)
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- No - I don't allow it Mr. Raghuveera Reedy. About Chittoor district etc., will not go anything on record. Please confine to the topic.

*** Expunged as ordered by the chair.

J. No. 214-10
(అంధ్రప్రదేశ్)
These officers must be sacked. They are not supposed to behave prejudicially against one party people.
మీం మహాన్సిద్ధమైన స్పష్టమానంలేదు మీ భావించగల అరుదు. మీ నంబరు మాత్రమే లోహమెంటి. చాలా నియమములు కొనసాగానింది. సమయం చెందింది. సమయం చెందింది. సమయం చెందింది. సమయం చెందింది.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- It is only under Rule 304—just to raise a brief and short matter.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Sir, on a Point of Order.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Because it is irrelevant and not concerned, that cannot be admitted. You know the Rules very well.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Because his name is brought in though it is not mentioned anywhere in the notice, he should be given ample time and opportunity.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- The Hon'ble Speaker has given me some instruction to expunge certain things and I am going by that. Further, there is no use of making statements which are irrelevant and which cannot be admitted.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- As per the present ruling, we have discussed for 3½ hours on a motion which should not have been allowed at all. On that the Hon. Speaker has given a ruling. According to Rule 284, a member may make a personal explanation although there is, no question before the House but in this case no debatable matter may be brought forward and no debate shall arise. Sri Chandrababu Naidu, while making an explanation, is trying to bring it to the notice of the Chair and the August House and through the House to the people of Andhra Pradesh why false allegations are being repeatedly made against him by the very same Members, who were Members of the previous House. He has a right to explain when allegations are made against him.....

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Explanation pertains only to this matter.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- No, no.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Not for 20 years.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Matters which are not before the House..
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- There will be relevancy. Matters which are not relevant cannot be brought in.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Relevant to the context of making allegations.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- You yourself have read the Rule. No debatable matter should be raised forward and no debate shall arise. Other matters are debatable by other means and we are debating only this matter now.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- He is only mentioning all the issues raised against him and referred in this House and this House has gone on record recommending to the Government to initiate commissions of inquiry. He is only mentioning that. Sri Venugopalachary affair has been brought against him and the inquiry commission has been ordered. He has a right to say because the report has come on that. The Hitachi allegation has been brought against him and there is no contract at all.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- It is irrelevant.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- It is an allegation made against him.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- It is not a matter to be discussed now. You bring it in some other context.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- It can be brought. xxx

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Don't make contemptuous allegations like that.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- xxx I have a right.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- You have to reap the consequences. You cannot threaten me like that. You cannot cow down the Chair.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- xxx.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- No, no. All these things will not go on record.

xxx Expunged as ordered by the Chair.
He has not encroached anything, he is in no way connected with it.

Because he is going to give a statement he has to repeat what are the documents placed before the House. He must be given an opportunity.
I request the floor leaders to give their opinion and see that the Member goes to the specific points on the discussion.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Do not *** Please resume your seat. It is contempt of the Chair.

---

*** Expunged as ordered by the Chair.


*** Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

J. No. 214-11
Deputy Speaker:- The reference to Chief Minister does not go into record. That is not relevant here.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- The reference to Chief Minister does not go into record. That is not relevant here.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- I have not permitted Mr. Vidyadhas to.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- I have not permitted you to speak everything and anything, but only on the matters regarding allegations made against you in the Nindai village case. That is all.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- I have not permitted you to speak everything and anything, but only on the matters regarding allegations made against you in the Nindai village case. That is all.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- I have not permitted you to speak everything and anything, but only on the matters regarding allegations made against you in the Nindai village case. That is all.
I request the Hon'ble Minister to give his reply.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Point of order. You have rightly said about relevancy and propriety. If legality is the admission, the answer to the question is propriety?

I have to maintain relevancy and propriety but not only time.
Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:— Yes. The Speaker has given ruling. You have to, atleast, give opportunity to give self-explanation.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Now, I would like to announce to the House that the Hon'ble Speaker, at the time of initiation of discussion on this item of 304, seems to have made it clear that he does not allow to go the names and personal references on record. Somehow, subsequently, when the Panel Chairman was in the Chair, personal references and directly names also have been allowed to go on record in the speeches of some of the Members. Later on, the Chairman consulted the Hon'ble Speaker. After consultation the Chairman, himself, was convinced about the Hon'ble Speaker's announcement. Thereupon, the Chairman informed me that such things, which have gone on record, contrary to the announcement made by the Hon'ble Speaker, have to be expunged. Therefore, I am expunging from the record the references to individual names of Mr. N. Chandrababu Naidu, Mr. G. Muddukrishnama Naidu, Mrs. Chandra-babu Naidu etc., in the same manner I also expunge the reference made to the Hon'ble Chief Minister pr anybody else on both the sides.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:— Sir, where is the sense in the debate? Have you expunged all the debate? (Laughter)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— (Laughter)
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Not the entire debate but only the references to the individual.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Sir, when personal references and names of all those speakers are expunged, where is the sense in the debate? Are you expunging all the three hours debate?

(Interruptions)

Do you delete that also?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- The names in the reply furnished by the Hon'ble Minister are deleted from the record. The references to individual names in the speeches are also deleted.

Sri Ch. Vidyasagara Rao:- Sir, why do not you adjourn the House when everything is expunged from the record? What remains, we do not know and what is the subject, we do not know. Better, you adjourn the House.

Dr. V. Sivaramakrishna Rao:- Point of submission. There is no benefit of this discussion, at all.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- It so happened that the Hon'ble Speaker who made that announcement was not in the Chair when those speeches went on. The Chairman also sportively conveyed it to me.

Sri D.- Point of submission:- ***

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- *** Expunged as ordered by the Chair.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- I am sorry. I am withdrawing that. ***

Sri P. Nageswara Rao:- Sir, have you withdrawn that word?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Thrice I have said that I have withdrawn it. Now, the Hon'ble Revenue Minister will give his reply.

Dr. D.L. Ravindra Reddy:- Since the names of the individuals.

Sri P. Nageswara Rao:- Under this 304, there is no question of reply. I request you to adjourn the House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- No. He will also say the same thing.

Dr. D.L. Ravindra Reddy:- Sir, since the names of the individuals have not been mentioned in the notice, it is difficult to make a specific statement in this regard.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- As the matter is before the Commission of Inquiry, it may not take notice of the discussions made here. The Commission of Inquiry may make an independent enquiry and come to a conclusion independently.

*** Exposed as ordered by Lhr Chair.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- The remaining notices under Rules 304 and 74 are postponed. All the Papers are deemed to have been laid.

A copy in each of the amendment to certain Rules of Andhra Pradesh Excise Rules issued in the following G.Os, as required under sub-section (4) of section 72 of the Andhra Pradesh Excise Act, 1968.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>G.O. No. &amp; Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

On behalf of the Chief Minister (Sri K. Bapi Raju):- Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 85,87,46,000 under Demand No. VII - Excise Administration."

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 7,60,19,000 under Demand No. XLVI - Survey and Statistics."
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Demands moved.

Sri K. Bapi Raju:— Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 70,96,34,000 under Demand No. VIII — Commercial Taxes Administration."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Demand moved.

Sri P. Padmanabham:— Sir, I beg to move.

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,06,94,000 under Demand No. XXX — Administration of Religious Endowments."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Demand moved.

Dr. D.L. Ravindra Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 118,87,81,000 under Demand No. V — Revenue and District Administration.

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 12,50,72,000 under Demand No. VI — Stamps and Registration.

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 4,73,65,000 under Demand No. XXVIII — Relief and Rehabilitation."

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 71,98,04,000 under Demand No. XXIX — Relief on Account of Natural Calamities."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Demands moved. All cut-motions moved.

DEMANDS FOR GRANTS FOR THE YEAR 1991-92

DEMAND NO. V — REVENUE AND DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION
Rs. 118,87,81,000/

Sri P. Nageswara Rao:— Sir, I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

...
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motion moved.

Sri B. Venkateswara Rao:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.
Sri D. Rajgopal:- Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 1/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.
Sri B. Venkateswara Rao:- Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.
Sri P. Ramaiah:- Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

Sri Ch. Vidyasagar Rao:- Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

For not distributing the surplus agricultural land in Vangara Village of Karimnagar District to the landless poor.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

Sri P. Ashok Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

For abnormal increasing the Stamp Duty in the State.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

For failing to protect government property in particular the exhibition grounds in Hyderabad.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

For issuing pattas in the Vijayanagaram District without details of the land for which the said patta covers.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-
For abolishing the Shore Development Authority in the State.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

For making the land grab tribunal totally ineffective in the State.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

For imposing a ban on the sale of Private property in the Old City of Hyderabad.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

Sri K. Ramulu:- Sir, I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motions moved.
Sri N. Chandrababu Naidu:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motions moved.
Sri K. Ramakanth:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 1/-
We regret that Government is not taking any steps to appoint village assistant posts in the State.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motions moved.
Sri M.V. Krishna Rao:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motions moved.
Sri K. Ramulu:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motions moved.
Sri M.V. Krishna Rao:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 1/-
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

Sri P.V. Krishna Rao:- Sir, I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 1/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

Sri D. China Mallaiah:- Sir, I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motions moved.

Sri T. Sreenarayana Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motions moved.

Smt. E. Seetharamamma:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motions moved.

Sri D. Veerabhadra Rao:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 118,87,81,000/- for Revenue and District Administration by Rs. 100/-

Demand is against the interests of farmers and public.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motions moved.

DEMAND NO. VI—STAMPS AND REGISTRATION Rs. 12,50,72,000/-

Sri B. Venkateswar Rao:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,50,72,000/- for Stamps and Registration by Rs. 1/-
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motions moved.

DEMAND NO. VII — EXCISE ADMINISTRATION Rs. 85,87,46,000/-

Sri P. Nageswara Rao:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut motions moved.

Sri B. Venkateswara Rao:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut motion moved.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

For non utilisation of the Pollution Cess collected on Arrack to reduce the pollution in the distilleries.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

For depriving the poor arrack contractor in favour of the arrack baron thus depriving approximately 16,000 people of self employment in the State of Andhra Pradesh.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

For not taking steps in the direction of implementing prohibition in the State of Andhra Pradesh.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

For keeping Andhra Pradesh as a deficit State so far as the production of Rectified Spirit is concerned.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

For not speedily bringing to book those who have offended the law under the "Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act" in the State of Andhra Pradesh.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

For not increasing the quantity of potable alcohol manufactured in the State.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

For issuing Molasses to manufacturers of Indian made Foreign Liquor instead of distilleries.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

For not constituting a Toddy Tappers Welfare Fund on the lines of the Government of Kerala.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut motions moved.

Sri N. Chandrababu Naidu:- Sir, I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Re. 1/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut motions moved.

Sri G. Nagi Reddy:- Sir, I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut motions moved.

Sri G. Nagi Reddy:- Sir, I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

Sri K. Ramakanth:- Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Re. 1/-

We protest against the sales tax concessions to I.M.F.L. (Indian made foreign liquor), which announced by the Government favouring ruling party people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

Sri N. Chandrababu Naidu:- Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 1/-

We express our regrets for huge amounts of arrears to State exchequer are unrecovered, they are like Excise Tax.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

Sri A. Mdhava Reddy:- Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

We express our regrets for huge amounts of arrears to State exchequer are unrecovered, they are like Excise Tax.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

Sri D. Chinna Mallaiah:- Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

Smt. E. Seetharamamma:- Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

We regret that the sudden fall on excise revenue to the exchequer during the academic year 1991.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

Sri D. Veerabhadra Rao:- Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 500/-

Exploitation of weaker sections.

We regret that the sudden fall on excise revenue to the exchequer during the academic year 1991.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

Sri T. Seeta Ram:- Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 85,87,46,000/- for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-
We protest against the continuance of unauthorised sachet machines in the state.

DEMAND NO. VIII - COMMERCIAL TAXES ADMINISTRATION
Rs. 70,96,34,000/-

Sri P. Nageswara Rao:- Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 70,96,34,000/- for Commercial Taxes Administration by Rs. 100/-

For bringing down the rate of tax applicable on luxury items such as air coolers under the A.P. General Sales Tax Act.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

Sri K. Vidyadhar Rao:- Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 70,96,34,000/- for Commercial Taxes Administration by Rs. 100/-

We express our regrets for huge amounts of arrears for states exchequer are uncovered, they are like entertainment tax & sales tax.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

Sri D. Veerabhadra Rao:- Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 70,96,34,000/- for Commercial Taxes Administration by Rs. 1200/-

Defunct Department in the Collection of arrear.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

Sri T. Seeta Ram:- Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 70,96,34,000/- for Commercial Taxes Administration by Rs. 100/-

We protest against the Governments act which has given 12.17% exemptions of sales tax on floor, maida etc.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

Sri D. Chinna Mallaiah:- Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 70,96,34,000/- for Commercial Taxes Administration by Rs. 1/-

For the weavers who are affected by May chclone.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motions moved.

DEMAND NO. XXVIII - RELIEF AND REHABILITATION

Rs. 4,73,65,000/-

Sri P. Ashok Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,73,65,000/- for Relief and Rehabilitation by Rs. 100/-

For not providing adequate relief to the victims of the August floods of river Nagawali and Vamsadara in the Vijayanagaram and Srikakulam districts.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,73,65,000/- for Relief and Rehabilitation by Rs. 100/-

For not initiating steps towards the rehabilitation of victims of communal rioting in and around Hyderabad.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,73,65,000/- for Relief and Rehabilitation by Rs. 100/-

For not rehabilitating the handloom weavers affected by the May chclone.
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,73,60,000/- for Relief and Rehabilitation by Rs. 100/-

For not declaring the Rayapaltire Muthal as an Cyclone affected Muthal during the devastating Cyclone last year.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,73,60,000/- for Relief and Rehabilitation by Rs. 100/-

For not rehabilitate the victims of the May cyclone in our State.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,73,60,000/- for Relief and Rehabilitation by Rs. 100/-

For not being able the utilise the World Bank Aid for cyclone reconstruction properly.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,73,60,000/- for Relief and Rehabilitation by Rs. 100/-

For not able to reach out announced relief to poor cerfew victims in the period of cerfew which was rather prolonged.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motions moved.

Sri D. Chinna Mallaiah:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,73,60,000/- for Relief and Rehabilitation by Rs. 100/-

For not being able to utilise World Bank Aid for cyclone reconstruction properly.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,73,60,000/- for Relief and Rehabilitation by Rs. 1/-

For not able to reach out announced relief to poor cerfew victims in the period of cerfew which was rather prolonged.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motions moved.
DEMAND NO. XXIX - RELIEF ON ACCOUNT OF NATURAL CALAMITIES
Rs. 71,98,04,000/-

Sri D. Rajagopai*: Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 71,98,04,000/- for Relief on Account of Natural Calamities by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 71,98,04,000/- for Relief on Account of Natural Calamities by Rs. 1/-

We express regret for irregularities took place in calling and acceptance of tenders called for drainage works on East Godavari district.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motions moved.

Sri D. Chinna Mallaiah:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 71,98,04,000/- for Relief on Account of Natural Calamities by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motions moved.
Demand No. XXX - Administration of Religious Endowments
Rs. 3,06,94,000/-

Sri Ch. Vidyasagar Rao:- Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,06,94,000/- for Administration of Religious Endowments by Re. 1/-
For not starting Kalyana Mantapam at Metpally although representations were made several times.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motion moved.

Sri P. Ashok Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,06,94,000/- for Administration of Religious Endowments by Re. 1/-
For not maintaining the Sri Kurmam temple in the Srikakulam District in a reasonable condition.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motion moved.

Sri D. Rajagopal:- Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,06,94,000/- for Administration of Religious Endowments by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motion moved.

Sri M.V. Krishna Rao:- Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,06,94,000/- for Administration of Religious Endowments by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Cut-motion moved.

Sri G. Nagi Reddy:- Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,06,94,000/- for Administration of Religious Endowments by Rs. 100/-
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motion moved.

Sri G. Muddu Krishnama Naidu:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,06,94,000/- for Administration of Religious Endowments by Re. 1/-

We express regrets that the Government failed to install conveyer belt to the sanctorum to take pilgrims for a darshan.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motion moved.

Sri K. Vivekananda:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,06,94,000/- for Administration of Religious Endowments by Rs. 100/-

Uncertain policies and misuse of funds.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Cut-motion moved.

Sri D. Veerabhadra Rao:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,06,94,000/- for Administration of Religious Endowments by Rs. 1000/-

The house is adjourned to meet again at 4-30 p.m. today.

(The house then adjourned at 1-48 p.m. to meet again at 4-30 p.m. on the same day)

Sri M. Narasimha Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1991, be taken into consideration."

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.

Sri M. Narasimha Reddy:— Sir, this is a small Bill replacing the Ordinance.
Mr. Speaker:- Discussion on the Bill. Sri C.A. Suryanarayana to initiate the discussion.

In practice, it is found that sometimes, in public interest, it is not possible or practicable to hold elections before the expiry of the term of the Councillors or extent the term of the Councillors. There is no provision in the Act for appointment of Special Officer...
Sir, I do not dispute with the appointment of a Special Officer. A Special Officer can be in touch with the people and work with the people. But, a Democratic...
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Institution should be allowed to function democratically. More so, the Congress Party, in its election manifesto has come out with the clear commitment to the people of the country that the local bodies elections have not been taken place in time, whether in rural or urban areas and even the Constitution of India will be amended to see that the elections are held, well, within time. With such a back-ground, the Minister bringing such a Bill which raised the doubts and bad intentions of the Government is unbecoming of any Government. So, I request the Government to kindly withdraw this Bill. Otherwise, we will have to defer it.

Sri M. Narasimha Reddy:- Sir, our Government is committed to the democratic norms and whatever the case may be, definitely, we are conducting the elections. So, the Ministry should also take steps so that the elections are held within time. In the letter dated 12.1.1991, it was stated that notification was issued for 1991 Census, and the Census will commence from 1st March, 1991. During 9th February to March 5th, there would be need for large number of Enumerators, Supervisors, - Tahsildars, M.R.O.s., Executive Officers, etc. So in order to have enough number in the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations, (Second Amendment) Ordinance, 1991, is repealed. There is a lot of work to be done for identification. There in lot of their work to be done for identification.
We will start election process and we will complete the elections by next March.

Sri M. Narasimha Reddy:— We will try our level best. We cannot foresee the things. As soon as possible, we can conduct the elections. Even before March also, we can....

Say definitely March.

Sri M. Narasimha Reddy:— Why do you put a dead-line of March? The process will take 6 months.
Sri M. Omkar:- We want voting at the consideration level itself.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- This is an undemocratic Bill. We want a division now.

Mr. Speaker:- I have no objection. Let the voting take place.

The Question is:

"That the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1991 be taken into consideration."

(The Opposition Benches demanded for division)

The House divided thus:

Ayes: 53
Noes: 27
Neutrals: Nil

The Motion was adopted and the Bill was taken into consideration.

Clauses 2 to 4, Clause 1, Enacting Formula and Long title of the Bill.

Mr. Speaker:- The question is:

"That Clauses 2 to 4, Clause 1, Enacting Formula and Long Title do stand part of the Bill."

The Motion was adopted and Clauses 2 to 4, Clause 1, Enacting Formula and Long Title were added to the Bill.

Sri M. Narasimha Reddy:- Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1991 be passed."

Mr. Speaker:- Motion moved.

(Pause)

The question is:

"That the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1991, be passed."

The Motion was adopted and the Bill was passed.
Mr. Speaker:- Member are aware that during the course of debate on Tsundur incidents on 21-8-91 certain Members have stated that there was no protection to Harijans and even an I.A.S. Officer belonging to scheduled Castes, working as Principal Secretary to Chief Minister was subjected to assault by a powerful contractor. The Chief Minister has stated that there was no truth in the allegations, but the members insisted that Sri K. Madhava Rao, Principal Secretary to Chief Minister was assaulted and he even made a complaint to the Commissioner of Police which he was made to withdraw on the pressure exercised by the Chief Minister. Members wanted to summon Sri Madhava Rao to clarify the position as this was a very serious matter. It was alleged that a very honest and senior Officer of the rank of Principal Secretary to Government-Chief Minister, was given such a harsh treatment simply because he belonged to Scheduled Caste. Though the Chief Minister, with whom the officer has been working, has categorically stated that Sri Madhava Rao was a strong Officer and no assault was made on him, the members were not prepared to agree and wanted to know, the truth about it and action should be taken against the person who assaulted the senior and honest officer and obstructed the officer from discharging his official duties. The House wanted to get at the truth and I have announced that I would call for the officer and the leaders of Opposition to my Chambers and before them I would hear Sri Madhava Rao what he wanted to say in respect of the allegation made in the House.

Accordingly, I called for a meeting of all the Opposition Leaders on 3-9-91 wherein Sri Madhava Rao was given an opportunity to give the factual narration of what has happened about the alleged incident.

The following is the narration of Sri Madhava Rao---

Sir, the incident happened on 28th of May of this year. I could like to briefly explain the background that preceded the incident--A few weeks after I took over charge as the Principal Secretary to Chief Minister, Mr Rajiv Reddy of the Amrutha Estates met the Chief Minister and made some representation in regard to 4 to 5 cases of Building Rules. Generally, the practice has been that when representations are made by persons to the Chief Minister, Chief Minister would ask me or my deputees to attend to those representations. The same thing happened in this case. The Chief Minister asked me to look into his representations.

After the Chief Minister told me about his cases, Mr. Rajiv Reddy met me on a few occasions and explained his files. Some of the cases are covered under Land Ceiling Act, Building Bye-laws, change of land use etc. Whenever he met me or spoke to me he was quite polite and showed all the respect that is due to the position that I hold. But I found him in rather tremendous hurry in getting his things done. He would telephone and seek interviews with me again saying that his cases have not been finalised. After sometime I told him that in my view the cases are difficult and it takes time and there is no point in hurrying and pressurising for seeing me often, that when the files are processed and sent to the Chief Minister he can see me. He would receive that answer and keep quiet. But the next day or a couple of days later he would phone
and say that his cases have not been finalised. Sometimes he rang up to my residence and I was not happy to receive such calls in my residence. One day he came to office and asked me if I am avered to receiving his calls at my residence. I said that I have very little time at home and that I would rather not be called there. After that he never rang me up at home. Even in the office I found him overdoing in terms seeking interviews or calling on the telephone. He went on calling me on telephone to seek time and every time I used to tell him nothing can be done as no files have come to Chief Minister's Office. The next day or a few days later he would repeat the same thing. He was always very polite and respectful. But, he obviously believes in tremendous speed and perhaps thinks that it is the only way of cutting red-tapism because every officer he dealt with told me that they had the same experience of Mr. Rajiv Reddy telephoning incessantly at the office and the residence. But, it was certainly not a style of functioning to which I was used to or prepared to put up with for long. When we find that a particular file takes time, we tell the concerned party to wait. But Mr. Rajiv Reddy is not the type who is prepared to wait for long. Every now and then he used to ring me and ask for time. I kept telling him that there was no point in his coming again and again. Then, at some stage, I was not able to handle even his telephone calls. A situation arose when I had to tell my P.A. to inform Mr. Rajiv Reddy that I am busy and I was responding to his calls only once in a way. One day I asked him "why are you in a hurry. Several people come to the Chief Minister and if each person calls me so many times I will not be able to manage." He will not understand my position. He started telling me that he has serious problems, important projects are all stalled because Government have not passed orders and that though no work is being done, the staff is being paid, that he is having serious problems with Banks. He wanted me to appreciate his difficulties and that it is in this background he is trying to hurry up the matters. This went on for four to five months.

Two files of Mr. Rajiv Reddy came up to the Chief Minister. One was built in violation of the Building Rules. The Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad tried to demolish it. He approached the High Court and the High Court initially gave a stay and finally gave a ruling that it is true that the building was built in violation of rules but since public have not objected to it, there is no need to demolish it. The file came up from the Municipal Administration Department whether we should regularise the building because Court order is in his favour. This was approved by the Chief Minister. But in such a case the compounding fees was to be paid for the violation of the Rules and that was also approved. The compounding fees has to be collected for the actual area covered by the violations. After the Chief Minister approved the file, the Department has to decide on quantum of the area to be covered by the violations. It takes sometime. He asked me that though the Chief Minister approved the file, orders are not issued by the Municipal Administration and Urban Development Department. He requested me to ring up the Secretary, Municipal Administration and Urban Department made calculations and finally decided the area and issued the orders. The actual compounding fees was to be decided by the Commissioner of the M.C.H. But the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad was not fixing the rate stating that he was busy. Mr. Rajiv Reddy was getting impatient and came to me and
and asked me to speak to the Commissioner, M.C.H. When I spoke to the Commissioner, M.C.H. he told me that he was busy with the elections and he will be able to attend to his work after the elections were over. I conveyed this to Mr Rajiv Reddy.

My P.S. told me that on the forenoon of 28.5.1991 Mr Rajiv Reddy came to my office in Secretariat twice in my absence. Around 4.30 P.M. on the same day I was in my room in the Secretariat discussing certain matters with Sarvasri Danam and Mohan Kanda and later with a delegation of Part-time Lecturers. At the time Mr Rajiv Reddy came and sat. he had tea along with others. After that he started saying that he was not happy. I said 'About what'. He said Government orders were issued long time back and the matter was not finalised. He asked what is the use of the order issued by the Government if it is not implemented. I did not want to say anything about it. he wanted me to speak to the Commissioner, M.C.H. again. I said it is not possible as I spoke to him once and he sought time till the election work is over. he repeated his request which again I rejected. At this stage he lost his balance and raised his voice and said: 'I have been meeting you for months'. The moment he raised his vice my Jamedar, Manikyam ran inside. My Attender Tagore Lal and another Attenders, Mr. Khaji and my two private secretaries, Mr. Rajeswara Rao and Mr. G. Siva Prasad also rushed inside. My Jamedar stood by the side of Mr Rajiv Reddy all the while because he was pontificating by pointing an accusing finger at me while saying "you do not talk to me on phone. You do not give me interviews". While uttering these, he thumped on the table two or three times. There is a glass base on the table over which there is a writing pad. When Mr. Rajiv Reddy thumped on the table, the glass broke into pieces. But it was not visible because the writing pad was covering it. I noticed it after Mr Rajiv Reddy left when I moved the writing pad. Afterwards he said 'you are not caring for me. You are standing in my way and that it why the files are not cleared. You are refusing to talk to me on telephone and not giving me interviews". he also said 'You are behaving like the Chief Minister. I am not the only person saying this, but number of others are saying'. I told Mr. Rajiv Reddy that he can lodge his complaint with the Chief Minister about my behaviour. I also told him that once the Chief Minister passed orders it was the concerned Department which has to implement the orders, that I told the Secretary of the concerned Department and the Commissioner, M.C.H. and if he has still any problem he should talk to them. I asked him what is the point in his coming and shouting at me. I also told him that if this is the way he is going to behave, I am not going to handle his cases in future. He said: "Will you tell this to the Chief Minister that you will not handle my files. "I said: 'I will tell the Chief Minister and you can also tell the Chief Minister'. He also said his cases are very easy, but because I am standing in his way they are becoming difficult and I am making them difficult. He also said that some Officers who deal with his cases earlier also told him that his cases are easy. I told him: 'I understand your anxiety and your problems. My job is to reconcile your interests with the interests of the Government and it takes time and I will try my best to reconcile. if you are not happy with me. I also not happy with you about the way in which you are behaving'. At this point of time - I must also mention that two Private Secretaries, one Jamedar, two Peons were in my room and about twenty steps below my room there is a Police Guard. Inspite of this, I did not ask my people to remove him from my room because
I found that he was in an agitated mood. He was nervous. For about ten minutes he went on saying: 'You are not giving me interviews. When I telephone you, you are not answering'. All the while he was standing and I was sitting in my seat. He was talking in a very nervous condition. I could have asked my people to remove him from my room but I felt that it was not a dignified thing to remove him from the room when he was in such a condition. After ten minutes, I got up and said: 'If this is the way you behave, I am not going to talk to you any longer and I am not going to see you and I will not handle your cases.' Then I got up and went into my ante-room. He came behind me into the ante-room and as if nothing happened asked "when shall we meet the Chief Minister to tell him that you will not handle my cases?" I said 'we will meet the Chief Minister at 9.00 P.M." After that he left the ante-room immediately. When he came into my ante-room he was absolutely a different person and asked that question, as though nothing has happened in the previous ten minutes.

After he left I thought about the incident for a few minutes. He has been meeting for about five months, he never tried to bully me. All the time he has been very polite and respectful. I felt that the way in which he was shivering and shouting that day there must have been something wrong. But I wanted to make sure whether it was an aberration or a deliberate act to bully me. So the first thing I did was to ring up the Minister for Power and M.I., Dr. D.L. Ravindra Reddy. I rang up him because he is from Cuddapah and Mr. Rajiv Reddy is also from Cuddapah. I told him some unusual incident took place and made a special request to him to visit my room. He came down in the next few minutes. I rang up Mr. Mohan Kanda because he is also Secretary to Chief Minister. I called Sri S.V. Prasad, Secretary, G.A.D. I rang up Mr. M.V. Bhaskara Rao, Commissioner of Police. I narrated to them what happened. Dr. Ravindra Reddy said that this is not what is expected of Mr. Rajiv Reddy and he is not that type of man, that he is not a violent man and something strange must have happened. The idea of my consulting these four persons is to assess whether it was an act of aberration or a deliberate behaviour. Though he was polite for five months of his dealing with me, his behaviour during that ten minutes was certainly abnormal. My own assessment was that it was an act of aberration. My discussion with those four gentlemen confirmed my assessment. Then I told them that I would ignore the incident particularly because he has been telling me and showing me documents to the effect that he is in serious financial problems because of his cases being not disposed of by the Government and that he had been extremely polite all these five months. But I would do so only on one condition, namely, that he would never again meet me or talk to me on phone about any of his files pending with the Government. This I insisted because I cannot cope with his style of working. Then, I went to the Chief Minister's residence and I was doing my work in my room. I did not meet the Chief Minister. Mr. Rajiv Reddy, I was told, promptly came to the Chief Minister's residence at 9.00 P.M. since I told him that he would tell the Chief Minister that I would not handle his cases. Dr. D.L. Ravindra Reddy, Mr. Mohan Kanda and Mr. S.V. Prasad met the Chief Minister and informed him about what happened. I was not present, at that time. These three gentlemen later came to my room and told me that the Chief Minister sent for Mr. Raji Reddy and severely chastised him for his behaviour.
The next day (29-5.91) Mr. Mohan Kanda rang up and told me that Rajiv Reddy would like to see me and apologize to me for what happened. I said that I am not interested in his apology, but I would insist on the condition that he should not see me hereafter on official work. In the evening Mr. Mohan Kanda brought Mr. Rajiv Reddy to my room. Before Rajiv Reddy could apologize, I started talking and enquired of him whether he was alright. He said he was sorry and went on saying that his mother fell down and broke her nose, she was admitted in the Hospital and he came to see me the previous day from the Hospital and as a result he was upset and emotional like that. I said that I am not going into that aspect and there is no need for him to apologize. I said that he should never talk to me or meet me on official work. He promised that he will not trouble me in future by seeing me or phoning me up. The matter ended there. After that he did not talk to me.

After a week he rang up and asked me whether I informed the Chief Minister that some other officers should be designated to see files because his information is that the Chief Minister is insisting on my seeing all files including his. I told him that there is no question of my seeing his files under any circumstances. Thereafter, I never saw the files of Mr. Rajiv Reddy. I did not allow the Additional Secretary to Chief Minister dealing with his files to consult me or even inform me about his files.

Now, this is what has happened between me and Mr. Rajiv Reddy before and on 28.5.91 and thereafter. With your permission - Speaker, Sir - I would like to say why I left the matter at that stage eventhough the behaviour of Mr. Rajiv Reddy was abnormal on 28.5.1991 and it inevitably involved my prestige and the prestige of the Government.

I think here my psyche must also be understood. When I joined as Sub-Collector way back in 1963-64 the first thing I did was to inspect a Taluk Office. There I came to know that an L.D.C. did not attend the Office regularly and left the work in arrears. I asked the Tahsildar to call the clerk. The Tahsildar informed me not to call the Clerk because he is not a desirable person. I insisted on seeing the L.D.C. as I wanted to know his problem. When the Clerk came, I asked him what is the problem and why he is not doing his work? The Clerk shouted back saying "it is none of your business". The Tahsildar quietly carried him away. Instead of getting angry and suspending him, I wrote a letter to the Collector requesting him to transfer the L.D.C. to Vizakhapatnam because he was a mental case and could get treatment in the Vizag Mental Hospital. I did this even when I was only 23 years old. Later, when I was Managing Director of S.C. Cooperative Finance Corporation, I sanctioned a loan to a person to start a printing press. One of the first things he printed in the press was a pamphlet against me. He later came to seek my help several times and I promptly helped him. There were several such incidents in my life when I happily and willingly forgot and forgave people who hurt me or insulted me. That is my psyche and that is my philosophy. I could have turned out Mr. Rajiv Reddy from my room with the help of my Attenders and Private Secretaries for his unruly behaviour. But if I did that I would have felt miserable for the rest of my life.
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I did not expect that the Ruffy Reddy affair will not be
known to others. It is true that it could be related to
my life in your light. But I cannot say that it was not a
tragic case and my psyche. I decided to set my psyche
and in the title of being 'unraveled' and unravelled rather
than hand over Mr. Ruffy Reddy to the 'ill.' I have a
crushed heart from my mother's womb. I lived till I was 50
years old and I would continue to say that I enter the world
in the womb of mother earth.

As I present in the other side, I must be honest to the
people of India but I do not have protection. I never felt that
Ruffy Reddy's behavior on 28th May was because of Ruffy and
it is I who had set it up. I must put it in my heart. I am a
well-beloved son of the country and I am a Hindu believer.
But in the name of God, I am not to believe in the concept
of the nation which I lived in the name of that God. I,
alone, may have voted for the Reddy Reddy. I am not
unfortunate but I feel it well. Unfortunately, my own version
was not heard. It appeared in the

\[\text{[Missing text here]}\]

officers like us, so that true version is properly projected. I
am not trying to boast but I am not one to be bullied. Unfortunately
the impression is that I have been bullied and I have been
assaulted. The incident on 28.5.91 was an aberration for about ten

\[\text{[Missing text here]}\]

your pardon if I had exceeded my limits in saying what could have been
done when my name came up for discussion on the floor of the House
on that day.

Thank you, Sir.

To a point raised by Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao, Sri Madhava Rao
has further stated like this:

Sir, I would like to reply, particularly to what Mr. Vidyadhara
Rao, has stated namely that I was helpless in ringing up the
Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, because Mr. Rajiv Reddy was an extra constitutional authority. Without trying to be immodest, I would like to state that no authority can make me do anything which I do not want to do. Here is a case where Mr. Rajiv Reddy went round the Government for an order. The order was issued at the Government level. The delay was necessarily because of the issues involved. That order was not implemented at the field level. Ringing up the Commissioner was only to see that the order was implemented. It was not for doing some favour to the caller by reducing the compounding fees. I never spoke about the quantum of compounding fees. The whole thing was about the timing. It is not that I was helpless or because Mr. Rajiv Reddy had some extra-constitutional authority he made me to ring up the Commissioner. It is only a request. The fact that not giving interviews or replying his phone calls as often as he wanted clearly shows that I have never felt, or recognised that Mr. Rajiv Reddy was an extra-constitutional authority. If he has an extra-constitutional authority and I recognise it, I would have seen him every time he wanted to see me and I would have talked to him every time he wanted to talk to me on phone. He was no extra-constitutional authority so far as I am concerned and frankly he did not be have with me as if he is close to the powers that be. I was talking to him wherever I was having time or inclination or when I thought that there was some matter before me or the Chief Minister which warranted my seeing him or talking to him. There is no question of my being hustled by him by snaring off his power or his proximity to the Chief Minister.

I would like to make one more observation. Once Mr. Omkar was present in my room. Some small Officer-bearer of Congress Party came seeking an interview with me. My Private Secretary refused to let him in as he was not given an appointment to see. He started shouting at my Private Secretary outside which could be heard by me. I called the Attender and told him to send in the visitor. He started shouting that some paper is pending in the Chief Minister's Office for months because of which he is not able to sell the land to perform his sister's marriage. He said that we are responsible for his sister's marriage not taking place. I told him not to shout and I was prepared to hear what he wanted to say. There was no way by which I could stop him. Mr. Omkar also intervened on my behalf but in vain. This is the price I pay for the wretched job I am occupying now. There are people who are always polite. There are people who are polite for months and impolite for a few minutes. There are people who are always offensive. I have not done a thing which I should not have done just because somebody big is involved. It is a different matter, if I tried to help somebody who is in difficult.

From the above narration of Sri Madhava Rao before me and all the Opposition Leaders it is very clear that there is neither reference to the caste nor any semblence of assault on the person of Sri Madhava Rao, whom everybody respects and treats as a good Officer.

I hope this clears the doubts, if any, entertained by anybody and I close this matter with this.
Mr. Speaker:- There cannot be any discussion on the announcement made by the Chair.

Sri V. Jagapathi Rao (Karminagar):- Sir, knowingly or unknowingly, ignorantly or otherwise, this matter has already been duly discussed on the floor of this House. The aspersions that were cast on an honest officer were very serious and that he was hurt. In fact, with great pain, he deposed in the presence of all Opposition Leaders and before the Chair. It is not appropriate, having taken full text of the statement, to discuss each word because the statement has come after full discussion. Therefore, I request the Chair not to permit any kind of discussion on this issue.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, we are not discussing the statement. The point here is that I have not read the statement also.

Mr. Speaker:- That is why, we have supplied it to you.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, the assault is not on the person of Sri Madhava Rao, it was breaking the furniture. The contractor could go into the office and did the table to break and also the glasses. It is a very serious matter...

Mr. Speaker:- We have supplied the statement. Please go into it.

Sri K. Rosaiah:- Sir, Point of Order. ఇది వాటా ఈ ప్రశ్నం. ఈ ప్రాంతం ప్రశ్నం కమ్మనే పరిశీలించండి నిరేషుడు ఇది వీలుకోదిన పరిశీలనం.
Sri V. Gajapathi Rao:- Sir, there was an understanding that there should not be any discussion on the subject.

Sri K. Rosaiah:- 1. There should not be any discussion on that. 2. Nothing must be allowed to go on record.

Mr. Speaker:- After my announcement, there cannot be any discussion.

Sri V. Jagapathi Rao:- Sir, it was agreed to by all the members that there should not be any discussion on the subject.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, it is a serious matter. It ought to have been discussed at that point of time as fixed by you.

Mr. Speaker:- I have already said that there is another way for discussion.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, kindly allow us to discuss now.

Mr. Speaker:- There cannot be any discussion now. You can take up in another form.

Sri K. Rosaiah:- There should not be any discussion now. You can take up in another form.

Sri V. Gajapathi Rao:- With your permission and on behalf of the Chief Minister I beg to move that --

"The Andhra Pradesh (Krishna, Godavari and Pannar Delta Area) Drainage Cess (Amendment) Bill, 1991 be taken into consideration."

Mr. Speaker:- Motion moved. Now discussion on the Bill.
குறிப்பிட்டு, ஓவியக் கையேற்றங்களைப் பற்றிய என்ன கூறுவது? சொந்த விளக்கக் குறிப்பிட்டிட்டேன். நேரடியாக சோதனை செய்தியோ தேர்தலியோ என்பதைக் குறிப்பிட்டேன். இந்த கையேற்றக் குறிப்பிட்டிட்டேன் நேரடி விளக்க வேண்டியது.
3rd year 20th:

The document appears to be in Telugu, and the text is not legible. It seems to be a combination of random characters and numbers, possibly due to some kind of error or misinterpretation. Without being able to read the content, it's hard to determine what the text is about.
annual maintenance with the dredges of the river mouths and the sea mouth...
నంద స్వాభావికంగా అభిప్రాయం కాదు. అయినప్పటికీ మేము మనిషిగాను గుర్తించాలి. అయినే మేము మనిషిగాను గుర్తించాలి. మనిషిగా గుర్తించాలి అనే గుర్తించాలి.

మరియు మనిషిగాను గుర్తించాలి. మనిషిగా గుర్తించాలి అనే గుర్తించాలి.

మరియు మనిషిగాను గుర్తించాలి. మనిషిగా గుర్తించాలి అనే గుర్తించాలి.

మరియు మనిషిగాను గుర్తించాలి. మనిషిగా గుర్తించాలి అనే గుర్తించాలి. మనిషిగా గుర్తించాలి. మనిషిగా గుర్తించాలి. మనిషిగా గుర్తించాలి. మనిషిగా గుర్తించాలి.
1991, இண்டுப் பாடுகள் (புத்தகம்
சூட்டிக், வேதைசு தலைமையில்) தேசிய இயற்கை (இளவு)

முறை 3: முறையுள்ளே - புரோமான் புரோமான் உலோசியது. அரச விசிறியாக இருந்தாலும் புரோமான் புரோமான் உலோசியது. முறையுள்ளே புரோமான் புரோமான் உலோசியது.

முறை 4: முறையுள்ளே - புரோமான் புரோமான் உலோசியது. முறையுள்ளே புரோமான் புரோமான் உலோசியது. 3 புரோமான் புரோமான் உலோசியது. 3 புரோமான் புரோமான் உலோசியது.

முறை 5: முறையுள்ளே - புரோமான் புரோமான் உலோசியது. 3 புரோமான் புரோமான் உலோசியது. 3 புரோமான் புரோமான் உலோசியது. 3 புரோமான் புரோமான் உலோசியது.
1991. சொளின் தாக்கி (சுல்தான்), 18 நவம்பர், 1991

(சுல்தான்) பட்டியல்:

சுல்தான்: அயோத்தி ஆண்டதுள்ளேன் வருகையை இருந்து என்னுடனே செய்ய வேண்டும். சுல்தானின் பல்லுரும் என்னுடனே செய்ய வேண்டும். அயோத்தி பல்லுரும் என்னுடனே செய்ய வேண்டும். அயோத்தி பல்லுரும் என்னுடனே செய்ய வேண்டும். அயோத்தி பல்லுரும் என்னுடனே செய்ய வேண்டும்.

1968 சோளி முதல் வருகையை இருந்து என்னுடனே செய்ய வேண்டும். என்னுடனே செய்ய வேண்டும். என்னுடனே செய்ய வேண்டும். என்னுடனே செய்ய வேண்டும். என்னுடனே செய்ய வேண்டும். 25 முதல் வருகையை என்னுடனே செய்ய வேண்டும். 25 முதல் வருகையை என்னுடனே செய்ய வேண்டும். 25 முதல் வருகையை என்னுடனே செய்ய வேண்டும். 25 முதல் வருகையை என்னுடனே செய்ய வேண்டும்.

J. No. 214-18
మ్యాట్స్ సాక్షిచిత్రాలను పంపించిన సామర్థ్యం. ఇది 40 చక్ర దినాన్ని సంపాదించాలి. బిగ్గే, సాధనం ఒక పదాన్ని అందించాలి. పిందితిని ఉంచాలి కానీ ఇది ఒక రాశి ఒకదాని దాని ఉపయోగానికి ఉపయోగించాలి నాయకమైన మందితో. సాధనం ఒక పదాన్ని అందించాలి. ఇది ఒక పదాన్ని అందించాలి. మొదలితిని ఉంచండి కానీ ఇది ఒక రాశి ఒకదాని దాని ఉపయోగానికి ఉపయోగించాలి నాయకమైన మందితో. సాధనం ఒక పదాన్ని అందించాలి. ఇది ఒక పదాన్ని అందించాలి. మొదలితిని ఉంచండి కానీ ఇది ఒక రాశి ఒకదాని దాని ఉపయోగానికి ఉపయోగించాలి నాయకమైన మందితో.

ఇంగ్లీష్ సాక్షిచిత్రాలను పంపించిన సామర్థ్యం. ఇది 40 చక్ర దినాన్ని సంపాదించాలి. బిగ్గే, సాధనం ఒక పదాన్ని అందించాలి. పిందితిని ఉంచాలి కానీ ఇది ఒక రాశి ఒకదాని దాని ఉపయోగానికి ఉపయోగించాలి నాయకమైన మందితో. సాధనం ఒక పదాన్ని అందించాలి. ఇది ఒక పదాన్ని అందించాలి. మొదలితిని ఉంచండి కానీ ఇది ఒక రాశి ఒకదాని దాని ఉపయోగానికి ఉపయోగించాలి నాయకమైన మందితో. సాధనం ఒక పదాన్ని అందించాలి. ఇది ఒక పదాన్ని అందించాలి. మొదలితిని ఉంచండి కానీ ఇది ఒక రాశి ఒకదాని దాని ఉపయోగానికి ఉపయోగించాలి నాయకమైన మందితో.
There are so many problems.

They are helpless.

That too first year they have to live in the hostel.

The students are helpless.

Provided 100 working days are there.

There are so many problems.

Firstly, there is no hostel for them. The work is about the second year onwards. They are helpless.
1. தலைத்துறை: நான் தொடர்பு செய்துள்ள நாள் வரையில் இன்று முதல் நான் கூறியதை 50 நாட்கள் மேற்படுத்தின. ஐக்கிய நாடுகளின் வான்கோள் நிலையில் கூறியதும் மிகமுதலாக 20 நாட்கள். முற்பக்கத்திற்கு பின்னர் இடம்பெற்ற 55 நாட்களை வரை 40 நாட்கள் முற்பக்கத்திற்கு இடம்பெற்று. 12 நாட்களாக வருங்கள். 16 நாட்களமிடம் முதல் 12 நாட்களமுன் வரும் பொழிவையே.

2. பல்வேறு: பல்வேறு பல்வேறு செய்திகளை கூறியதை முதல் நான் கூறியதை. 16 நாட்களமுற்றை 50 நாட்களை முதல் 50 நாட்களை முற்றை கூறியதை. வளர்ச்சியில் உள்ள நாடுகளின் வான்கோள் நிலையில் கூறியதும் மிகமுதலாக 20 நாட்கள். முற்பக்கத்திற்கு பின்னர் இடம்பெற்ற 55 நாட்களை வரை 40 நாட்கள் முற்பக்கத்திற்கு இடம்பெற்று. 12 நாட்களாக வருங்கள். 16 நாட்களமிடம் முதல் 12 நாட்களமுன் வரும் பொழிவையே.

3. பல்வேறு: பல்வேறு பல்வேறு செய்திகளை கூறியதை. 16 நாட்களமுற்றை 50 நாட்களை முதல் 50 நாட்களை முற்றை கூறியதை. வளர்ச்சியில் உள்ள நாடுகளின் வான்கோள் நிலையில் கூறியதும் மிகமுதலாக 20 நாட்கள். முற்பக்கத்திற்கு பின்னர் இடம்பெற்ற 55 நாட்களை வரை 40 நாட்கள் முற்பக்கத்திற்கு இடம்பெற்று. 12 நாட்களாக வருங்கள். 16 நாட்களமிடம் முதல் 12 நாட்களமுன் வரும் பொழிவையே.
144 18 జనవరి, 1991

సమేత సిద్ధం : 1991. సుపరిధి వేంకటయాచారయ్య గోప్సేన్ (రాజకీయ శాసన, జాతీయ వార్షిక చిత్రము) ఒడ్డు నమస్కారం
(సమేతం) సిద్ధం.

ఎం 2-3. చిక్కిష్ముని (వి.అసాం): మంచి ప్రతి నిర్దిశించిని, వారి మీది చేసి సాధనంమే
చేసింది. అందుకు ప్రతి అసాం నిర్దిష్టముగా ఇస్తే అయితే, కనుక, కొన్ని మనుష్యాలు ఇది సమాధానం
చేసిన కానీ ఒక సమాధానం చేయడం సమాధానం ఆధారం లేదు. ఆసాం వ్యవస్థ వర్ధించడానికి జరుగుతుంది.
నా వ్యవస్థ వర్ధించడానికి జరుగుతుంది. 5.6 లక్షలు లేదు, అందుకు సర్వేస్థానం చేయడానికి అది
నిర్ణయింది. అందుకు నమస్కారం ఉంది. నన్ను సమాధానం చేసి కలిగి ఉండండి. అందుకు నమస్కారం ఉంది.

రాజకీయ సాధనం చేసింది. లేదా అందుకు, 5.6 లక్షలు లేదు, అందుకు సర్వేస్థానం చేయడానికి అది
నిర్ణయింది. అందుకు నమస్కారం ఉంది. నన్ను సమాధానం చేసి కలిగి ఉండండి. అందుకు నమస్కారం ఉంది.

ఎం 2-3. ఇతరులు (సాంస్కృతిక): మంచి ప్రతి నిర్దిశించిని, వారి మీది చేసి సాధనంమే
చేసింది. అందుకు ప్రతి అసాం నిర్దిష్టముగా ఇస్తే అయితే, కనుక, కొన్ని మనుష్యాలు ఇది సమాధానం
చేసిన కానీ ఒక సమాధానం చేయడం సమాధానం ఆధారం లేదు. ఆసాం వ్యవస్థ వర్ధించడానికి జరుగుతుంది.
నా వ్యవస్థ వర్ధించడానికి జరుగుతుంది. 5.6 లక్షలు లేదు, అందుకు సర్వేస్థానం చేయడానికి అది
నిర్ణయింది. అందుకు నమస్కారం ఉంది. నన్ను సమాధానం చేసి కలిగి ఉండండి. అందుకు నమస్కారం ఉంది.

ఎం 2-3. అనంతరులు (శాసనులు): మంచి ప్రతి నిర్దిశించిని, వారి మీది చేసి సాధనంమే
చేసింది. అందుకు ప్రతి అసాం నిర్దిష్టముగా ఇస్తే అయితే, కనుక, కొన్ని మనుష్యాలు ఇది సమాధానం
చేసిన కానీ ఒక సమాధానం చేయడం సమాధానం ఆధారం లేదు. ఆసాం వ్యవస్థ వర్ధించడానికి జరుగుతుంది.
నా వ్యవస్థ వర్ధించడానికి జరుగుతుంది. 5.6 లక్షలు లేదు, అందుకు సర్వేస్థానం చేయడానికి అది
నిర్ణయింది. అందుకు నమస్కారం ఉంది. నన్ను సమాధానం చేసి కలిగి ఉండండి. అందుకు నమస్కారం ఉంది.
J. No. 214-19
3 வரலாறு மற்றும் இயற்கையான பொருளை உதவியாக என்று சொல்லும் நூற்றாண்டுகளுக்கு முன் காலத்தின் பண்முகத்தில் மனிதன் பண்முகமாக உயர்ந்து வந்துள்ளார். தற்போதைய காலத்தில் அல்லது காலத்தில் இந்த பண்முகமாக உயர்ந்துள்ளது. இது காணள் வரும் நூற்றாண்டுகளில் காலத்தில் இந்த பண்முகமாக உயர்ந்துள்ளது. 1989, 2001 வரை முழுமையாக துள்ளும் நூற்றாண்டின் இரண்டு பகுதிகளில் இந்த பண்முகமாக உயர்ந்துள்ளது. வணக்கம் சுருக்கி வைக்கும் நூற்றாண்டின் இரண்டு பகுதிகளில் இந்த பண்முகமாக உயர்ந்துள்ளது. என்றும், இது காணள் வரும் நூற்றாண்டுகளில் காலத்தில் இந்த பண்முகமாக உயர்ந்துள்ளது.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— The question is:

“That the Andhra Pradesh (Krishna, Godavary and Pennar Delta Area) Drainage Cess (Amendment) Bill, 1991 be taken into consideration.”

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and the Bill was taken into consideration.

CLAUSES 2 to 5

The Question is;

“That Clauses 2 to 5 do stand part of the Bill.”

The Motion was adopted and Clauses 2 to 5 were added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 6

Sri K. Rosaiah:— Sir, I beg to move:
"For Clause 6, substitute the following:-

"Repeal of 6. The Andhra Pradesh (Krishna, Godavari and Pennar Delta Area) Drainage Cess (Amendment) Ordinance, of 1991. is hereby repealed."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:-- The question is:

"For clause 6, substitute the following:-

"Repeal of 6. The Andhra Pradesh (Krishna, Godavari and Pennar Delta Area) Drainage Cess (Amendment) Ordinance, of 1991. is hereby repealed."

(Pause)

The Amendment was carried.

The question is:

"That Clause 6 as amended do stand part of the Bill."

The Motion was adopted and Clause as amended was added to the Bill.

Clause 1, Enacting Formula, and Long Title of the Bill.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:-- The Question is:

"That Clause 1, Enacting Formula and Long Title of the Bill do stand part of the Bill."

The Motion was adopted and Clause 1, Enacting Formula and Long Title of the Bill were added to the Bill.

Sri K. Rosaiah:-- Sir, I beg to move.

"That the Andhra Pradesh (Krishna, Godavari and Pennar Delta Area) Drainage Cess (Amendment) Bill, 1991, be passed."

Mr. Deputy-Speaker:-- Motion moved.

(Pause)

The question is :

"That the Andhra Pradesh (Krishna, Godavari and Pennar Delta Area) Drainage Cess (Amendment) Bill, 1991, be passed."

The Motion was adopted and the Bill was passed.

Sri K. Bapi Raju:-- Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Entertainments Tax (Second Amendment) Bill, 1991, be taken into consideration."
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Motion moved.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:— Sir,.....

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:— I thank the Minister for explaining about the Bill. He said it is a very simple Bill. But complication is there. If you see the figures of revenue on entertain­­ment tax, it is quite clear that there are deterioration of entertainment tax from year to year. In the year 1985-86, the revenue on entertainment tax was Rs. 43 crores and in the year 1989-90, it has gone down to 33 crores. It is still going down now. 90% of the revenue would go to local bodies whether they are urban or rural and the amounts are used for developmental programmes. Now, the entertainment is shifting. T.Vs. and Vidoes have come. Revenue on Entertainment is coming down. The local bodies, Districts, Municipalities, — from Grade I to III and Selection Grade Municipalities incomes have gone down completely. No compensation was given by the Government to the local bodies. I want a categorical assurance from the Hon. Minister. Will the Government compensate the revenues that they are losing? If the Government is willing to compensate the revenue losses to local bodies, we support the Bill totally and whole-heartedly.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:— I thank the Minister for explaining about the Bill. He said it is a very simple Bill. But complication is there. If you see the figures of revenue on entertain­­ment tax, it is quite clear that there are deterioration of entertainment tax from year to year. In the year 1985-86, the revenue on entertainment tax was Rs. 43 crores and in the year 1989-90, it has gone down to 33 crores. It is still going down now. 90% of the revenue would go to local bodies whether they are urban or rural and the amounts are used for developmental programmes. Now, the entertainment is shifting. T.Vs. and Vidoes have come. Revenue on Entertainment is coming down. The local bodies, Districts, Municipalities, — from Grade I to III and Selection Grade Municipalities incomes have gone down completely. No compensation was given by the Government to the local bodies. I want a categorical assurance from the Hon. Minister. Will the Government compensate the revenues that they are losing? If the Government is willing to compensate the revenue losses to local bodies, we support the Bill totally and whole-heartedly.

The local bodies are now in an unfortunate situation. So, can the Hon. Minister assure us that the revenue losses to local bodies, whether they are urban or rural, will be compensated by the Government?
Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- I am glad that the Minister has agreed that there is decline in the revenues to local bodies. As a matter of fact, I am not against the concessions to film industry. I want a simple assurance from the Hon. Minister. As the financial position of the local bodies is not good and as there is decline in the revenues on entertainment, they are not able to pay even the salaries to their work-men, whether it is urban or rural areas. Whether the Government, as a matter of policy, try to bridge the gap between these local bodies. Will they compensate the financial losses, etc.?

Sri K. Bapi Raju:- Definitely, some action will be taken.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- I am glad that the Minister has agreed that there is decline in the revenues to local bodies. As a matter of fact, I am not against the concessions to film industry. I want a simple assurance from the Hon. Minister. As the financial position of the local bodies is not good and as there is decline in the revenues on entertainment, they are not able to pay even the salaries to their work-men, whether it is urban or rural areas. Whether the Government, as a matter of policy, try to bridge the gap between these local bodies. Will they compensate the financial losses, etc.?

Sri K. Bapi Raju:- Definitely, some action will be taken.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- The question is that—

"The Andhra Pradesh Entertainments Tax (Second Amendment) Bill, 1991 be taken into consideration."

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and the Bill was considered.

CLAUSES 2 TO 8

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- There are no amendments to Clauses 2 to 8.
The question is that—

"Clauses 2 to 8 do stand part of the Bill."

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and Clauses 2 to 8 were added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 1

Sri K. Bapu Raju:- Sir, I beg to move that—
"In sub-clause 1 of clause 1, for the words Second Amendment Act, 1991, substitute the words 'Amendment Act, 1991.'"

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Motion moved.

The question is that—

"In sub-clause 1 of Clause 1, for the words Second Amendment Act, 1991, substitute the words 'Amendment Act, 1991.'"

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and the amendment was carried.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— The question is that—

"Clause 1, as amended, do stand part of the Bill."

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and clause 1, as amended, was added to the Bill.

ENACTING FORMULA AND LONG TITLE

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— There are no amendments. The question is that,—

"Enacting Formula and Long Title do stand part of the Bill."

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and Enacting Formula and Long Title was added to the Bill.

Sri K. Bapi Raju:— Sir, I beg to move that—

"The Andhra Pradesh Entertainments Tax (Second Amendment) Bill, 1991 be passed."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Motion moved.

The question is that—

"The Andhra Pradesh Entertainments Tax (Second Amendment) Bill, 1991 be passed."

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and the Bill was passed.

Sri V. Rambhupal Chowdary:— Sir,....

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:— Sir, kindly take it up to­morrow. We have passed 3 bills to­day.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— What is your view?

Sri V. Rambhupal Chowdary:— Sir, it is left to you.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- We will have this to-morrow evening.
Now (7-20 p.m.) the House is adjourned to meet again at 8-30 a.m.
to-morrow.

(The House then adjourned at 7-20 p.m. to meet again at 8-20 a.m. on Thursday, the 19th September, 1991.)
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— We will have this to-morrow evening. Now (7-20 p.m.) the House is adjourned to meet again at 8-30 a.m. to-morrow.

(The House then adjourned at 7-20 p.m. to meet again at 8-20 a.m. on Thursday, the 19th September, 1991.)
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