<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>పిండికి</th>
<th>నామం</th>
<th>అధ్యక్షి</th>
<th>పాఠశాల</th>
<th>భాష</th>
<th>సమాధానం</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>గుండాడి</td>
<td>రాత్రి రాత్రియున్నతి</td>
<td>చెదరపురి</td>
<td>తెలుగు</td>
<td>హైదరాబాదు</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>అంజను రెడ్డి</td>
<td>గుండరాచరిత</td>
<td>చెదరపురి</td>
<td>తెలుగు</td>
<td>హైదరాబాదు</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>శ్రీ శంకర శాస్త్రి</td>
<td>గుండరాచరిత</td>
<td>చెదరపురి</td>
<td>తెలుగు</td>
<td>హైదరాబాదు</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>గోపిలాడి</td>
<td>గ్రామసాధారణం</td>
<td>చెదరపురి</td>
<td>తెలుగు</td>
<td>హైదరాబాదు</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>సిద్ధాంతారేయా</td>
<td>గ్రామసాధారణం</td>
<td>చెదరపురి</td>
<td>తెలుగు</td>
<td>హైదరాబాదు</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>శ్రీ సంతమూర్తి</td>
<td>గ్రామసాధారణం</td>
<td>చెదరపురి</td>
<td>తెలుగు</td>
<td>హైదరాబాదు</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>శ్రీ సత్యనాథ్</td>
<td>గ్రామసాధారణం</td>
<td>చెదరపురి</td>
<td>తెలుగు</td>
<td>హైదరాబాదు</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>శ్రీ సండ్రింధ</td>
<td>గ్రామసాధారణం</td>
<td>చెదరపురి</td>
<td>తెలుగు</td>
<td>హైదరాబాదు</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>శ్రీ సంధ్వా</td>
<td>గ్రామసాధారణం</td>
<td>చెదరపురి</td>
<td>తెలుగు</td>
<td>హైదరాబాదు</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>శ్రీ సంధ్వా</td>
<td>గ్రామసాధారణం</td>
<td>చెదరపురి</td>
<td>తెలుగు</td>
<td>హైదరాబాదు</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

తెలియింది రాత్రియున్నతి: గుండాడి, కృష్ణకుంటి ఎంపు పాఠశాల | చెదరపురి | తెలుగు | హైదరాబాదు |
ఆశ్రువ శిలాయని శాసనానికి
(ఎంచుక విధానానికి)
(ఆశ్రువ సందర్భం: నారాయణ సాహి)

మాతృకుత నిపుణుడు నాయకానికి

చిన్న నిపుణుడు నాయకానికి ప్రత్యేకము
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ఇందులో ప్రతి విధానం శాసన సంబంధించిన పద్ధతి,

(1) పోస్టు విభాగం నండరు అధీనంలో ఉన్నట్లు హైకపాడు. ఇది
యాపొలలో భార్యతో అన్ని సాధనాలు మాతృకుతుంది.

(2) ఇన్నిముగా ఇందులో యుగ్మం చాలాతన మాధ్యమాలు విడిచి ప్రతి
మాధ్యమానికి సమానంగా అనుసంధానానికి దారిచే పాలకత్వం
యాపొలలో మాతృకుతుంది.

(3) ఇందులో యుగ్మం సముదాయం కేంద్రం కలిగి, సందర్భం విడిల్లికి
1990-91 పోస్టు పోస్టు ప్రాంతాలు సమాచారం మాతృకుతుంది?

ప్రత్యేకపై విశేషాలు (ష్ట్రో పోస్టు ప్రాంతాలు):

(1) సందర్శికి.

(2) ఈచేడి.

(3) 463 రిమ్.

ష్ట్రో పోస్టు ప్రాంతాలు:

(1) ఉడుగా ప్రాంతాలు మాతృకుతుంది. (2) సంతరువు పోస్టు ప్రాంతాలు.
(3) ప్రతి పోస్టు ప్రాంతానికి మాతృకుతుంది.
(4) ఇది
యాపొలలో మాతృకుతుంది.

ష్ట్రో పోస్టు ప్రాంతాలు 463 రిమ్ ప్రతి పోస్టు ప్రాంతాన్ని ప్రతి మండలానికి విడిల్లికి మాతృకుతుంది?

*An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by
the Member.

J. No. 195-1
No person other than the Commissioner, or Officer shall retain, (without permission of the Commissioner) unlawful, material, deposit any building material in any stage in the street... Whoever violates or contoverses any provision of this section, is liable to a fine up to Rs. 100/- under Section 'U'.
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(1) ఆరోగ్యంచిన కుటుంబం, అధీనంలో జాలే ప్రతిస్ఫలం ప్రాంభించడం సాకించి 549 మంది దాని అధీనంలో ప్రాంభించి తయారు చేసిన జాలే ప్రతిస్ఫలం పరిమాణం ఉంటుంది: దెబ్బ, అనమెయింయు ఎలా నిష్పం లేదా ఫిఫెడ్ నిష్పం లేదా ఇతరం పింది లేదా పురోగామం ప్రామాణికం చేయబడింది;

(2) అభివృద్ధి కంస్ట్రక్షన్ అధీనంలో ఎసిసిగలరు, తేలుగుగా ముందు చేయబడిన అనంతం నిష్పం ఉంటుంది.

మామిడిస్తతానికి అధికం సంఖ్యలు నిశ్చితం ఉండాలి (సి: 3 - రెండవ పరిశోధన).-

(2) ప్రత్యామ్నాయ సంపాదించిన విభాగం, ఇది వినిపోయిన కార్మికుల కొత్తం ప్రతిస్ఫలం 450 మంది వినిపోయిన శక్తివారి నిపుణం ఉంది. 450 మంది శక్తివార నిపుణం ఉంది 147 మంది 117, 111 మంది ఉన్నది వాటిగా కొత్తం ప్రతిస్ఫలం ప్రతిస్ఫలం అరెస్టు కట్టి ఉంది. తేలుగుగా ముందు చేయబడిన అనంతం నిష్పం ఉంటుంది: మినమం పరిమాణం యొక్క 70 పరిమాణం యొక్క 100.

(3) ఇది ఉంటుంది.

తెలుగు కంస్ట్రక్షన్: అభివృద్ధి కంస్ట్రక్షన్ నలుగు విభాగంలో ప్రతిస్ఫలం, అధీనంలో ప్రతిస్ఫలం అనుసమతికి పరిగణించాలనే, వాటిలో అధీనంలో ప్రతిస్ఫలం దాని అధీనంలో ప్రతిస్ఫలం నిష్పం ఉంటుంది. అధీనంలో ప్రతిస్ఫలం నిష్పం ఉంటుంది: కార్మిక పరిమాణం యొక్క 50 పరిమాణం యొక్క 117, 111. కార్మిక పరిమాణం యొక్క 258 పరిమాణం యొక్క 100. తేలుగు కంస్ట్రక్షన్ నిష్పం ఉంటుంది: వాటి అనంతం నిష్పం ఉంటుంది: 65 పరిమాణం యొక్క 100. తేలుగు కంస్ట్రక్షన్ నిష్పం ఉంటుంది: 65 పరిమాణం యొక్క 100.

(3) అభివృద్ధి కంస్ట్రక్షన్: తేలుగు కంస్ట్రక్షన్ నిష్పం ఉంటుంది: 65 పరిమాణం యొక్క 100.
cannot we do it? What is the hurdle?
They are not going to accept these employees there.
(2) நார்த்தி மீனாடை மாசிலென்று (சித்திரா), நார்த்தி குருவர் (கீசடுல்), போன்றவை போக்கியில் இல்லை. நார்த்தி மாசிலென்று (சித்திரா) – நார்த்தி மாசிலென்று (சித்திரா) என்றும், நார்த்தி மாசிலென்று (சித்திரா) என்றும் மீனாடை மாசிலென்று தெருகோம் சிறியவை.
9 జులై, 1991

మాచుపు - రాష్ట్రం అధీనస్థలోను.

ఇస్తేసారు:- ఏమాచి, రాయ నమస్కారం నికిలి మనిషి- ఒకడ అడుగు వచ్చి, ఒకే వలన రెండు పెద్ద పిల్లలు నిరుపమ గెలిగుడి ఉండును. అమెన్హొందిన తొమ్మిది నిందనానివేయినా, అమెన్హొందిన కోసం నిందనానివేయినా. ఒకడ ఎంత మార్పు చేసాలి అంటే నింటా, తాత్కాలికంగా నిందనానివేయినా. తాత్కాలికంగా నిందనానివేయినా.

ఇస్తేసారు:- దోసాది ఒకటింటి మన మాచుపు అడుగు గెలిగుడి ఉండాలి. దోసాది ఒకటింటి మన మాచుపు అడుగు గెలిగుడి ఉండాలి. దోసాది ఒకటింటి మన మాచుపు అడుగు గెలిగుడి ఉండాలి.

ఇస్తేసారు:- మాచుపు నుండి 10 కిమీల దూరపరిగ్రహానికి మనం మాచుపు అడుగు గెలిగుడి ఉండాలి.
She will let you know. I am not going to lose time. You need not come to his rescue. Please resume your seat.

She will let you know. I am not going to lose time. You need not come to his rescue. Please resume your seat.
(9) ఇంటినిల్లి బ్రౌనింగ్ వంటివిద్యార్థియులు, ముఖ్యానుష్ఠితమైన సంస్థలో ఉండటం అంటే ఉంటాం కనే ఈ పదార్థానికి ప్రస్తుతిని ఎంచుకుండా, అందుకే వాటి సాధారణ చారిత్రక సాంప్రదాయానికి పూర్వపాటు సమాగ్రి కవిత అంటే ఉంటాం. కానీ కాలం తాకాడు ఎంచుకుండా, ప్రతి జాతి తినించి విస్తరించి ఇది అంటే ఉంటాం. ఈ పదార్థానికి ప్రస్తుతిని ఎంచుకుండా, వాటి సాధారణ చారిత్రక సాంప్రదాయానికి పూర్వపాటు సమాగ్రి కవిత అంటే ఉంటాం.

మామూలుగా (ఇది రోమన్ లాటిన్ లో) - మామూలు రోమన్ లాటిన్ లో పాటి అనే పదార్థం అంటాం. మ్యాపిల్ తో పాటి కాని ఉగ్రంగా తినించడం అనే పదార్థం అంటాం. మ్యాపిల్ తో పాటి కాని సాధారణ చారిత్రక సాంప్రదాయానికి పూర్వపాటు సమాగ్రి కవిత అంటాం. ఈ పదార్థానికి ప్రస్తుతిని ఎంచుకుండా, వాటి సాధారణ చారిత్రక సాంప్రదాయానికి పూర్వపాటు సమాగ్రి కవిత అంటాం.

మొత్తం 125

#1517 ఉత్తరం: శిక్షణ సమాచారం, ఆఫ్రికా యూనివర్సిటీల్‌లో, ఏమినా యూనివర్సిటీలో (యూనివర్సిటీల్‌లో), సాంధర్థిక పనులు (యూనివర్సిటీల్‌లో): మొత్తం 97, ఇంగ్లీషు ఎంచుకుండా ఇచ్చినా ఉండవచ్చు:

(1) ఇంగ్లీషు సమాచారాన్ని ప్రపంచ ఉత్తరాల్లో తీసుకుందా, ఇంగ్లీషు సమాచారాన్ని ప్రపంచ ఉత్తరాల్లో తీసుకుందా, ఈ పదార్థానికి ప్రస్తుతిని ఎంచుకుండా, వాటి సాధారణ చారిత్రక సాంప్రదాయానికి పూర్వపాటు సమాగ్రి కవిత అంటాం.

(2) శిక్షణ సమాచారాన్ని ప్రపంచ ఉత్తరాల్లో తీసుకుందా, ఇంగ్లీషు సమాచారాన్ని ప్రపంచ ఉత్తరాల్లో తీసుకుందా, ఈ పదార్థానికి ప్రస్తుతి ఎంచుకుండా, వాటి సాధారణ చారిత్రక సాంప్రదాయానికి పూర్వపాటు సమాగ్రి కవిత అంటాం.
మీరు కానూ నిర్ధారించండి ప్రత్యేకించడానికి? మరియు వారికి అమరికంచడానికి?

11. విశేషాలు, సాంప్రదాయం:

(1) అనేకా ప్రమాణాలు ఉన్నాయి, కనుక లేకుండా రెండే ప్రామాణికత లేదు. 

(2) అటిటండి తినాండి తోండండి.

(3) మన చరిత్రలో ఉత్తమమైన పరిస్థితులు మిశ్రమాలు బయటం. మాత్రమే కొనసాగుతుంది. 

(4) ధర్మాయన ప్రాణాల కొనసాగాల్సిన పతనాల మిశ్రమలు బయటం. మాత్రమే కొనసాగుతుంది. 

(5) సంఘాతికతలు కొనండ కానుక ఈ సంఘాతికతలు మిశ్రమలు బయటం. మాత్రమే కొనసాగుతుంది. 

(6) ప్రపంచంలో తినాండి తోండండి. 

11. గురువు విద్యారథులు:

(1) సంఘాతికతలు కొనండ కానుక ప్రపంచంలో మిశ్రమలు బయటం. మాత్రమే కొనసాగుతుంది. 

(2) ఈ పద్ధతికి నియమాలు కానుక ఈ పద్ధతి మిశ్రమలు బయటం. మాత్రమే కొనసాగుతుంది. 

(3) ఆనందంతో వెళ్ళి మానవశాస్త్రాలు, శాస్త్రాల కలిగి ప్రామాణికత లేదా పూర్తించడానికి?

(4) 1989 లో ఇంకా తినాండి తోండండి. ఈ కానుక మిశ్రమలు బయటం. మాత్రమే కొనసాగుతుంది. 

(5) పద్ధతి విద్యారథులు మానవశాస్త్రాలు, శాస్త్రాల కలిగి ప్రామాణికత లేదా పూర్తించడానికి?
IV. పతాక నియంత్ర పాటులు కావడం:-

(1) మనం ప్రపంచంలో ఉన్నాడు నాట్యానికి వైపును సంపాదించాలి, ఈ ప్రపంచ సంఘం అనుకూలించాలి దృష్టిగా ప్రతి దినుడి సమాచారం చేస్తే ఉంటాము.

(2) మనం ప్రపంచంలో ఉన్నాడు రామానందానికి వైపును సంపాదించాలి, ఈ ప్రపంచ సంఘం అనుకూలించాలి దృష్టిగా ప్రతి దినుడి సమాచారం చేస్తే ఉంటాము.

(3) మనం ప్రపంచంలో ఉన్నాడు మాట్లాడే వైశాల్య అనుకూలించాలి, ఈ ప్రపంచ సంఘం అనుకూలించాలి దృష్టిగా ప్రతి దినుడి సమాచారం చేస్తే ఉంటాము.

(4) మనం ప్రపంచంలో ఉన్నాడు అనుకూలించాలి, ఈ ప్రపంచ సంఘం అనుకూలించాలి దృష్టిగా ప్రతి దినుడి సమాచారం చేస్తే ఉంటాము.

(5) మనం ప్రపంచంలో ఉన్నాడు అనుకూలించాలి, ఈ ప్రపంచ సంఘం అనుకూలించాలి దృష్టిగా ప్రతి దినుడి సమాచారం చేస్తే ఉంటాము.

V. పిల్లల అధ్యాపకం:-

(1) మనం ప్రపంచంలో ఉన్నాడు మాట్లాడే వైశాల్య అనుకూలించాలి, ఈ ప్రపంచ సంఘం అనుకూలించాలి దృష్టిగా ప్రతి దినుడి సమాచారం చేస్తే ఉంటాము.

(2) మనం ప్రపంచంలో ఉన్నాడు అనుకూలించాలి, ఈ ప్రపంచ సంఘం అనుకూలించాలి దృష్టిగా ప్రతి దినుడి సమాచారం చేస్తే ఉంటాము.

VI. స్థితి పిల్లల దృశ్యం, ప్రపంచ వైశాల్యం:-

(1) మనం ప్రపంచంలో ఉన్నాడు అనుకూలించాలి, ఈ ప్రపంచ సంఘం అనుకూలించాలి దృష్టిగా ప్రతి దినుడి సమాచారం చేస్తే ఉంటాము.

VII. పిల్లల అధ్యాపకం:-

(1) మనం ప్రపంచంలో ఉన్నాడు అనుకూలించాలి, ఈ ప్రపంచ సంఘం అనుకూలించాలి దృష్టిగా ప్రతి దినుడి సమాచారం చేస్తే ఉంటాము.
(11-2-1991 నంది కతహిదితో, కరూపియనిడి పిత్తులు - 1) జయ ఎన్నో-70 కి.మీ. తుంగపూర్ మండల పట్టణం గుంటాకుండా ప్రవసించుడు:

(1) దేశం నుండి మండల తరుణ దినాంకం, రాయా సూప్రక్షణ సంస్థలు తేడా ఆధునిక ప్రభుత్వం వద్ద ప్రచురం ఉండేది. ఇతర విదేశానికి మార్పులు చేసేందుకు ప్రభుత్వానికి మార్పులు చేసిన ప్రభుత్వం

(2) ఇతర దేశాల సంస్థలు నిర్మాణ మండల సేవల సంస్థలు తేడా ఆధునిక ప్రభుత్వం వద్ద ప్రచురం ఉండేది. ఇతర విదేశానికి మార్పులు చేసేందుకు ప్రభుత్వానికి మార్పులు చేసిన ప్రభుత్వం

(3) నంది కతహిదితో ప్రచురం ఉండేది. కరూపియనిడి పిత్తులు తేడా ఆధునిక ప్రభుత్వం వద్ద ప్రచురం ఉండేది ప్రభుత్వానికి మార్పులు చేసిన ప్రభుత్వం

(4) దేశం నుండి మండల తరుణ దినాంకం, రాయా సూప్రక్షణ సంస్థలు తేడా ఆధునిక ప్రభుత్వం వద్ద ప్రచురం ఉండేది. ఇతర విదేశానికి మార్పులు చేసేందుకు ప్రభుత్వానికి మార్పులు చేసిన ప్రభుత్వం

ఎందుకంటే మండల పట్టణం నంది కతహిదితో ప్రచురం ఉండేది. మండల పట్టణం నంది కతహిదితో ప్రచురం ఉండేది.
2. అధికారికంగా, ఇంటెచిత్తు ఇతర ప్రామాణికత మాత్రమే ఇది, తప్పించిన ప్రత్యేకంటే కొన్ని మారంపు పనిస్థాయిలో నేలలో యుధం మొదలుకునేది. ఈ ప్రామాణికత ఇంటెచిత్తు పాటు మారంపు పనిస్థాయిలో నేలలో నేలలో యుధం మొదలుకునేది. యుద్ధం మొదలుకునేది. యుద్ధం మొదలుకునేది. యుద్ధం మొదలుకునేది. యుద్ధం మొదలుకునేది. యుద్ధం మొదలుకునేది. యుద్ధం మొదలుకునేది.

Sri P. Ashok Gajapathi Raju:- The question is about the Expert Committee Report. Two Committees have already given fear that the Government is not going according to the election manifesto as far as the Panchayat Raj Department is concerned. Is the Mandal system going to continue or not in our State has become very doubtful. Will it continue? Will the Zilla Abhivruidhi Sameeksha Mandals continue? What are the finances the State Government propose to devolve on the rural local bodies? This is very important. Will they stick to their own manifesto which they have given to the people before the elections?

Sri P. Ashok Gajapathi Raju:- As per his answer, till 1992 no action will be taken. After the term of local bodies, will the Government be managing them? Will they not be conducting elections?

Mr. Speaker:- Let the report come.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- Please get us proper answer.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- As per his answer, till 1992 no action will be taken. After the term of local bodies, will the Government be managing them? Will they not be conducting elections?
Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:— The question deals with the whole thing.

Mr. Speaker:— Not the elections — whether they will continue or not is the main thing.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:— Please see the question.

Mr. Speaker:— I have seen the question.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:— The information placed on the Table of the House by the Minister gives the terms and conditions. Does it not include elections? Ministers always mislead the House.

Sri Jalagam Prasada Rao:— No question of misleading.
Discussion on the present Mandal system and Constitution of constituency development committees.

Question:

What are the advantages and disadvantages of the Mandal system and Constitution of constituency development committees?
Sir Ch. Vidyasagara Rao: Sir, a lot of mess is going on. Let us discuss here itself, basing on this.

Sri N. Rama Rao: I think we need to wait a little bit.

Sir Ch. Vidyasagara Rao: Sir, you are going to take decision; definitely, you are going to take decision. Only discussions will take place.
Mr. Speaker:— It is a simple question. You must get simple answer.

Mr. Speaker:— He can give reply in other form also.

Mr. Speaker:— It is a simple question. You must get simple answer.
ప్రతిపత్తి: గ్రామం పంచాయత్తు పాటు రాయకు ఆధారం. తాము గ్రామం పంచాయత్తు పాటు రాయకు ఆధారం.

మ్యూసికల్: తాము గ్రామం పంచాయత్తు పాటు రాయకు ఆధారం.

స్పెకాట్: లేటెస్ ఐస్. It is in no way concerned with the Gram Panchayath Act.

Mr. Speaker: Let them ask.
Mr. Speaker:- They said that they have extended it to four months.

Sri P. Nageswara Rao:- Upto what date they have extended?

Sri N. Janardhana Reddy:- The term has been extended upto February, 1992.

Mr. Speaker:- You cannot question like that. He said he has extended. I also said it. You take your seat.

Mr. Speaker:- It must be corrected.

Sri P. Nageswara Rao:- oh I do not understand. It is very difficult to understand.

Mr. Speaker:- You do not understand. I took your seat.

Sri P. Nageswara Rao:- They do not come here.

Mr. Speaker:- We discuss so many things in our party meeting. They do not come here.
Mr. Speaker:- They want the exact answer.

Mr. Speaker:- I am not going to give you because you are taking chances.

Mr. Speaker:- I want the exact answer. You can refer to the Proceedings also. You have to take into consideration the people's interest also. Let the Hon'ble Leader of the House reply to it. Are you going to retain them or not? We want a definite reply.

To examine the method of constitution of the Panchayat Raj Institutions.'
Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, let them tell what decision they are going to take.

Mr. Speaker:- With regard to the terms of reference it will come up after the meeting is over.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, they have not specifically answered the question.

(ప్రతిస్థపిత) Agreement:- అది ప్రతి నిర్ణయం, అది ప్రతి అవసరం అవసరం. What is stated in the terms of reference will come up after the meeting is over.

Sri P. Ashoka Gajapathi Raju:- Sir, they have not specifically answered the question.

J. No. 195-4
Mr. Speaker:- If you want to demand I cannot help.

(Senior Member of the Telugu Desam Party staged a walk-out)

Mr. Speaker:- Really, it has not come.

(Senior Member of the Congress Party)
9 முதல், 1991

நூற்றாண்டு நன்றறிவும்

குழுவின் எண்ணிக்கை வேலையில் அதற்கு உயர்ந்த முயற்சியில் கீழ்வரைமுறையில் உருவான பல்வேறு விளக்கங்களை செய்ய பயர்வெளித்து உள்ளது. இந்தோலோர பொறுப்புச் சட்டங்களையும், அவைகளின் விளக்கங்களையும் செய்திப்பட்டு வந்தது. எனவே தொட்டும் ஒரு முழுவதும் சுற்றுலா அடைய்கிறது. என்றும் முதலில் விளக்கியது. நேர்மாற்றங்களை விளக்கியது.

சிறு அல்லது பல்வேறு என்றழைக்கப்படுகிறாத. 9.30

சிறு நிலைகளில் சேர்ந்தோரே என்று கூறப்பட்டு வந்தது.

(வேலையில்)

முன்னேற்றம்- (சிறு நிலை வேலையில் சேர்க்கப்படுவது): முன்னேற்றம் வேலைப் பைபான் மையாள் மிகவும் வேலைப்பாட்டு.


சிறு அல்லது பல்வேறு என்றழைக்கப்படுவது:

Mr. Speaker:— The answer has already come.

Mr. Speaker:— The answer has already come.
సంచారం - రంగానాయ మాసం లోస్.

9 జనవరి, 1991

127-

మామూలు సమాచారాలు:

(1) కార్యకర్తలు రామంతా సందర్భంలో, సంచారంలో ఉండే కార్యాలను పూర్తి చేసే వస్తువులు సాధనాత్మక ప్రాయానికతలు సాధారణం ఉంటుంది:

(2) ఆహారంలో అభిప్రాయ చేశానికి సాధారణం ఉంటుంది. కొనసాగించిన ఆడిటి అనుమతిస్తుంది.

(3) యంత్రాలపై పాతలు, మాచర్లు అంటే అంటే పాతలు, మాచర్లు సేవతం కూడా ఉండాలి. (యంత్రాలు, మాచర్లు పాతలు సేవతం కూడా ఉండాలి.)

(4) అమలులో పెంచిన సమస్యలు ప్రతి సమాచారాన్ని మిగిలికి సంచారం ప్రతి సమాచారాన్ని మిగిలి సంచారం ప్రతి సమాచారాన్ని మిగిలి.

1. అమలు సంచారం ప్రతి సమాచారాన్ని మిగిలి సంచారం ప్రతి సమాచారాన్ని మిగిలి
2. అమలు సంచారం ప్రతి సమాచారాన్ని మిగిలి సంచారం ప్రతి సమాచారాన్ని మిగిలి
3. అమలు సంచారం ప్రతి సమాచారాన్ని మిగిలి సంచారం ప్రతి సమాచారాన్ని మిగిలి
4. అమలు సంచారం ప్రతి సమాచారాన్ని మిగిలి సంచారం ప్రతి సమాచారాన్ని మిగిలి

అవి సంచారం ప్రతి సమాచారాన్ని మిగిలి కార్యాలను సాధించడానికి సంచారం ప్రతి సమాచారాన్ని మిగిలి.
I have already informed about the Rajoli Lift Irrigation Scheme. It is revised with current SSR and with Government Instructions. The Turupugarlapadu and Budemaru Lift Irrigation Scheme is there and the Korvipadu Lift Irrigation Scheme is under detailed investigation.
యుగం - తప్పం భూమిస్తుంది

1. తూర్పు కేంద్రం - వృత్తి, వృత్తి, వృత్తి మొనకు ఉంటుంది. ఒకటి ఉత్పాతి ప్రారంభించి, మరొకటి ప్రత్యేకంగా భూమిస్తుంది.

2. వాయువ్యం - మాత్రమే, మాత్రమే మనిషి మొత్తం బ్రహ్మాండం వర్ణం ప్రారంభించి, మరొకటి సరైనంతే ఉన్నతం ఉంటుంది.

3. కోర్పాటు (సుమారు) - సమాధానం సమీపంలో ఉన్నతం ఉంటుంది.

(1) ఈస్తీయం సంఖ్యలు ఎంతం తమిళ నెలగా అయించింది?

(2) వెండి, ఎందికం సమాధానం ఉంది?

హంగా ఎందుకు కాబట్టి ఉన్నది (టూర్స్ మోచనం):

(1) ఆసంధనం.

(2) ఈ పార్శ్వం కాంతి.

సిద్ధం - తూర్పు కేంద్రం:

- మనిషి వృత్తి ప్రారంభించి, మనిషి మొత్తం బ్రహ్మాండం వర్ణం ప్రారంభించి, మనిషి సరైనంతే ఉన్నతం ఉంటుంది.

- వాయువ్యం మనిషి మొత్తం బ్రహ్మాండం వర్ణం ప్రారంభించి, మనిషి సరైనంతే ఉన్నతం ఉంటుంది.

- కోర్పాటు (సుమారు) మనిషి మొత్తం బ్రహ్మాండం వర్ణం ప్రారంభించి, మనిషి సరైనంతే ఉన్నతం ఉంటుంది.

(మాధిరము)

సిద్ధం - తూర్పు కేంద్రం:

- అమలం, మనిషి మొత్తం బ్రహ్మాండం వర్ణం ప్రారంభించి, మనిషి సరైనంతే ఉన్నతం ఉంటుంది.

- వాయువ్యం మనిషి మొత్తం బ్రహ్మాండం వర్ణం ప్రారంభించి, మనిషి సరైనంతే ఉన్నతం ఉంటుంది.

- కోర్పాటు (సుమారు) మనిషి మొత్తం బ్రహ్మాండం వర్ణం ప్రారంభించి, మనిషి సరైనంతే ఉన్నతం ఉంటుంది.

(మాధిరము)
COMPENSATORY AFFORESTATION
SCHEME IN NELLORE, CUDDAPAH AND
CHITTOOR DISTRICTS

131-
4425-Q- Sarvasri G. MUDDU-
KRISHNAMA NAIDU, N. CHANDRABABU
NAIDU, P. ASHOK GAJAPATHI RAJU
AND M. RAGHUMA REDDY:- Will the
Minister for Forests be pleased
to state:

(a) whether the Government
is proposing to take compensa-
tory afforestation scheme in
25000 acres in Nellore, Cudda-
pah and Chittoor Districts; and

(b) if so, the details
thereof?

A-(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The State Government
have approved a Compensatory
Afforestation Scheme in G.O.Ms.
No. 86, I. & C.A.D. (PW-TGP.I)
Department, dated 6-2-1990 at a
cost of Rs. 23.21 crores being
provided by the Telugu Ganga
Project Board for raising of
nurseries and plantations etc.,
as per the programme of
the scheme in an effective area of
10,400 Hectares (25,441 acres)
of on-forest lands transferred
to Forest Department by the
Telugu Ganga Project Authorities
in lieu of 10,371.40 Hectares
of Reserved Forest areas diver-
ted for the construction of
Telugu Ganga Project Canals and
reservoir works, under the
principles of Forest (Conserva-
tion) Act, 1980. The Scheme is
to be implemented in a phased
manner over a period of 3 years
(i.e., 7 years for planting and
3 years for maintenance).

VIOLATION OF RULES BY
CONTRACTOR OF NARASAPURAM-
MADHAVAPALEM FERRY IN
WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT

132-
4645-Q-Sarvasri D.RAJAGOPAL,
B. VENKATESWARA RAO, N. RAGHAVA
REDDY, AND P. RAMAIH:- Will
the Minister for Roads and
Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact
that the Contractor of Narasa-
puram - Madhavapalem Ferry
(River crossing point) in West
Godavari District is charging
more than the approved rates;

(b) whether it is also a
fact that the contractor has
replaced the boats with laun-
ches; and

(c) the action taken against
the contractor for flouting the
stipulations of the contract?
A-(a) No, Sir.

(b) No, Sir. The contractor has engaged both boats and launches and is collecting charges as fixed by Government.

(c) The contractor has not violated the stipulations of the contract.

PREVENTION OF DACOITIES ON VIJAYAWADA - CALCUTTA NATIONAL HIGHWAY

133-
3486-Q Sarvasri CH. VIDYASAGAR BAO, V. JAYAPAL AND B. BAL REDDY:- Will the Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that on the night of Monday the 12th November, 1990 dacoity gang intercepted at Mustabad two passenger buses proceeding on the Vijayawada - Calcutta National Highway and looted Rs. 30,000/- worth of jewellery cash etc.;

(b) whether the same dacoits also stopped a scooter rider and snatched cash of Rs. 1200/-; and

(c) whether there is any proposal to prevent highway robberies by introducing mobile patrolling in sensitive areas?

A-(a) It is a fact that on the night of 12.11.90 dacoit gang robbed the passengers of 2 buses near Mustabad and property worth Rs. 21,112/- was lost. The buses were plying between Gannavaram and Vijayawada via. Mustabad, Nunna and Kachacha Road, but it is not on the Vijayawada to Calcutta National Highway Road.

(b) It is also a fact that they robbed the scooterist also on the same night at the same place. The property lost was worth Rs. 11,300/-. 

(c) Besides night patrolling on foot, mobile patrolling on vehicles has also been intensified.

CLEARING OF LAYOUTS OF KAPRA MUNICIPALITY BY HUDA

134- 
1925-Q-Sri A.MADHAV REDDY:- Will the Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) the number of layouts pertaining to Kapra Municipality cleared by Hyderabad Urban Development Authority from 1975 to 1989;

(b) the number of final layouts and draft layouts therein; and

(c) the number of cases in which exemptions were given by Urban Land Ceiling Authorities in the above cases?

A-(a) The number of layouts pertaining to Kapra Municipality cleared by Hyderabad.
(b) Out of the 48 layouts approved by Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, 20 layouts are draft layouts and 28 layouts are final layouts.

(c) As per the present regulations and procedure in force there is no provision for insisting on prior Urban Land Ceiling clearance while considering development permissions. However, while approving the layouts, the following conditions pertaining to Urban Land Ceiling were insisted viz:

(a) that the final layout now issued does not exempt the lands under reference from the purview of Urban Land Ceiling Act, 1976; and

(b) necessary clearance from the Urban Land Ceiling Authorities should be obtained before putting the plots to residential use and also disposing the plots.

MISAPPROPRIATION OF PANCHAYAT FUNDS BY THE PRESIDENT OF INDUKURUPET, MANDAL

2434-9-Sri C.V. SESHAREDDY: Will the Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the majority members of Kudithipalem Panchayat of Indukurupet Mandal in Nellore district have submitted a memorandum to the District Panchayat Officer regarding the misappropriation of Panchayat funds by the President;

(b) the total amount of funds misappropriated in that Panchayat; and

(c) the action taken against the President of that Panchayat?

A—(a) Yes, Sir. Seven out of ten members of Kudithipalem Gram Panchayat have submitted a petition before District Panchayat Officer, Nellore alleging misappropriation of Panchayat funds by the Sarpanch, Kudithipalem Gram Panchayat. The District Panchayat Officer, Nellore has enquired into the matter. The majority of members of Gram Panchayat have subsequently withdrawn their allegation.

(b) In the enquiry made by the District Panchayat Officer it was noticed that no amount was misappropriated by the Sarpanch, either from JRY funds or from the General Funds. A total amount of Rs. 99,280/- under JRY was received by the Gram Panchayat during 1983-93 and interest accrued thereon was Rs. 887.95p. The Sarpanch has drawn a total amount of Rs. 1,00,150-00 during the period 3-10-89 to 29-3-91 out of which expenditure to the tune of Rs. 92,493/- on works executed as per M. Books valuation certificates, etc., and the balance amount of Rs. 7,656/- was remitted back to Jawahar Rozgar Yojana account by the Sarpanch on 2-4-91. The Sarpanch...
has not collected licence fees for the year 1988-89 and 1989-90 amounting to Rs. 366/- but he remitted the same to the funds of the Panchayat. The Sarpanch is not aware of the House Tax missing hills of Rs. 1,786/- relating to the years 87-88, as the said yearly tax was collected by an unauthorised person locally employed by him for the purpose and on having came to know he has remitted back to the funds of the Panchayat in challan No. 19, dt. 3-4-91 and in Challan No. 1, dt. 1-4-91 in Sub-Treasury, Indurupet. On receipt of complaint petition against Sarpanch, since he did not produce the records for inspection before the Divisional Panchayat Officer, Nellore, the District Panchayat Officer, Nellore issued orders prohibiting drawal of funds by Sarpanch under Rule 50 (1) of Schedule II to the A.P.G.P Act, 1964 and later after enquiry into the matter.

(c) The prohibitory orders issued from drawal of funds by the Sarpanch, Kudithipalem Gram Panchayat have been vacated by District Panchayat Officer, Nellore in Roc.No. 6474/89-A3, dt. 23-4-91, subject to the conditions that the Sarpanch should complete the execution of works of 1990-91 (JRY) and that he should obtain permission from the Executive Engineer (PR), Nellore for expenditure incurred over and above the valuation recorded in Measurement books due to difference between S.S.R. rates and local rates.

CHARGES AGAINST THE SARPAHCH,
PEDARIKATLA GRAM PANCHAYAT

2684-Q-Sarvasri CH. VIDYASAGAR RAO, B. BAL REDDY, R. RAVINDRANATH REDDY, T. RAJESWAR RAO AND V. JAYAPAL:- Will the Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the cheque drawing power of Sri G. Narasimha Rao, Sarpanch, Pedarikatla Gram Panchayat, Kanakanamitta Mandal, Prakasam district, was withdrawn; and

(b) whether a show cause notice also was served on the said Sarpanch by the Collector, Prakasam district on flimsy charges and not serving proper notices to five members before convening meetings held on 16-2-1989 and 29-12-1989?

A-(a) Yes. The cheque drawing powers of Sarpanch, Pedarikatla were prohibited by the District Panchayat Officer, Ongole in his proceedings No. 578/70/Pts-A2, dt. 5-9-1990 in exercise of the powers vested under Rule 49 of the Rules in Schedule-II to the A.P.G.P Act, 1964 and the Extension Officer (Pts) Podili was authorised to operate on the funds of Gram Panchayat to meet the recurring expenditure towards salaries of staff etc.

(b) The District Collector (Pt.Wing) Ongole, No. 578/90/Pts A2, dated 18-7-90 issued
show cause notice to the Sarpanch, Pedarikatla Gram Panchayat framing 9 charges for various financial irregularities and violation of rules committed by him and proposing for his removal from the office of Sarpanch. One of the charges related to his failure to serve agenda and meeting notices on all the Members as required under rule 3(1) of the Rules in Schedule-I of the A.P.G.P. Act, for convening the meeting held during 16-2-1989 to 28-12-1989. Subsequently the District Collector (PW) Ongole in his proceedings No. 578/90-Pts, A2, dated 24-9-90 has removed the Sarpanch from his office with effect from 1-11-90. The Sarpanch has filed an appeal dt. 11-10-90 before the Government and the Government in Memo No. 71940/NREP.IV/90-1, dated 20-10-90 have issued Stay Orders. The appeal of Sarpanch, Pedarikatla Gram Panchayat was heard by Government on 20-4-91 and orders issued in G.O.Rt.No. 523, Panchayat: Raj & Rural Development Department, dated 18-5-91 remanding the appeal to the Collector (Pt.Wing) Prakasam for de novo enquiry after verifying the records produced by the appellant and to pass appropriate orders after giving a personal hearing to the Sarpanch.

RELEASE OF AMOUNT BY EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

137-
2927-Q-Sri C.V. SESHAREDDY:- Will the Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) the extent of funds released to the Panchayat Raj Department by the Education Department for the construction of building for the training school at Pallipadu village in Indukurpet Mandal of Nellore District;

(b) the reason for the delay in the construction of the said buildings; and

(c) whether it is a fact that the contractor has completed the profitable part of the work and discontinued the remaining work?

A-(a) An amount of Rs. 44.85 lakhs has been released by the Education Department for the purpose.

(b) There was a delay of 1½ months in handing over of the site by the Education Department after entering into an agreement with the contractor.

(c) It is not correct.

Embezzlement of J.R.Y. Funds by Sarpanch of Siripuram Gram Panchayat

138-
3492-Q-Sarvasri C. VITTAL, REDDY, P. NAGESWARA RAO, G. YADAGIRI REDDY, K. BIKSHAM, D. CHINA MALLAIAH AND V. NARAYANA RAO:-
ill the Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Sarpanch of Siripuram Gram Panchayat in Hatinura Mandal, Medak district has embezzled early 1 lakh rupees of Jawahar Rozgar Yojana funds;

(b) whether any representation was given to the Collector by the villagers on 10.9.90 in this regard; and

(c) if so, whether any enquiry has been conducted and if so, the results of the same?

A-(a) No, Sir. In enquiry conducted by the District Panchayat Officer, Medak district, it was noticed that Sri T. Narasimlu, Sarpanch, Siripuram Gram Panchayat has drawn an amount of Rs. 32,000/- under Jawahar Rozgar Yojana Scheme and not executed any works;

(b) A representation from the villagers of Siripuram was received in the Office of the District Panchayat Officer, Medak district on 17.9.90; and

(c) The District Panchayat Officer, Medak district conducted an enquiry on the allegations levelled by the villagers of Gram Panchayat, Siripuram against the Sarpanch. Since the Sarpanch kept the amount of Rs. 32,000/- with him without executing the works and did not produce any records before the Inspecting Officer, the Collector (PW) Medak district issued orders removing the Sarpanch from the Office of the Gram Panchayat with effect from 9.2.91 U/s 30 (1) of the A.P. Gram Panchayats Act, 1964.

Aggrieved by the above orders of the Collector (PW) Medak district, the Sarpanch filed an appeal before the Government under-section 50(4) of the Act. The orders of the Collector (PW) have been stayed pending disposal of the appeal. After giving a personal hearing to the petitioner, the appeal will be disposed off as per the provisions of the Act.

MISAPPROPRIATION OF J.R.Y. FUNDS BY SARPAANCHES OF JAGGAMPET AND SIRIPURAM IN MEDAK DISTRICT

3583-Q—Sarvasri C. VITTAL REDDY, G. YADGIRI REDDY, K. BIKSHAM AND V. NARAYANA RAO:- Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri C. Vittal Reddy, MLA, has written letter to the Panchayat Raj Minister on 24.10.1990 regarding misappropriation of Jawahar Rozgar Yojana Funds.
by the Sarpanches of Jaggampet in Kowdipalli Mandal and Siripuram in Hathinooru (M) of Medak district; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

A-(a) It is a fact that Sri C. Vittal Reddy, MLA, has written a letter to Minister, Panchayat Raj on 24.10.1990 regarding misappropriation of Jawahr Rozgar Yojana funds by the Sarpanch, Jaggampet only; and

(b) The matter has been got enquired by one of the Deputy Commissioners of Panchayat Raj. In the enquiry report, it has been pointed out that for the works executed under JRY in 1989-90 costing Rs. 95,904/- and in 1990-91 costing Rs. 62,930/- the check measurements by technical authorities were available, but certain procedural irregularities like not obtaining technical sanction of the competent authority, placing the matter before Grama Sabha and Gram Panchayat etc., have been committed and the District Panchayat Officer, Medak district has been requested to pursue further action.

Regarding allegation against the Sarpanch, Siripuram Gram Panchayat, Sri C. Vittal Reddy, MLA, has put LAQ (US) No. 3492, for which a reply is answered separately. However, the Sarpanch, Siripuram Gram Panchayat has been removed from the Office of Sarpanch by the Collector (PW) Medak district for certain irregularities committed by him in respect of works under Jawahar Rozgar Yojana Scheme. The Sarpanch filed a statutory appeal U/s 50 (4) of the A.P. Gram Panchayats Act, 1964 before the Government and stay has been granted pending disposal of the appeal. After hearing the petition, the said appeal would be disposed off, as per the provisions of the Act.

ACQUISITION OF LAND FOR BURIAL GROUND TO WEAVERS OF PATUR IN NELLORE DISTRICT

140-
3927-Q- Sri NALLAPAREDDI SREENIVASUL REDDI:- Will the Minister for Panchayat: Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether land has been acquired for provision of burial ground to the weavers of Patur in Kovur Mandal of Nellore district; and

(b) when will the burial ground be provided to the weavers of Patur in Kovur Mandal of Nellore district?
A-(a) No, Sir.

(b) The weavers and the villagers of Patur have represented for the provision of Burial ground in Sy.No.159/2 to an extent of 0.25 cts. Since this land belongs to Endowments Department, the District Collector, Nellore has requested the Commissioner of Endowments, Hyderabad for his concurrence. The Commissioner of Endowments has agreed for the proposal in consultation with Sri C.H. Tri- vikrama Sarma, Manager, Pushpagiri Mathadhipathi by private negotiations and to remit the cost of the land to him. The Patur Gram Panchayat has also agreed to purchase the land of 0.25 cents in Sy.No. 159/2 in Patur village at an amount of Rs. 4,500/-, as fixed by the District Collector, Nellore from its own funds. The Burial ground will be provided to the weavers of Patur Gram Panchayat, soon after acquiring the land, duly completing the required procedure under L.A. Act.

ALLEGATIONS AGAINST THE SARPANCH OF VI Shanadhapalli Kothapalem IN KODURU MANDAL

141-

4268-0- Sri S. SATYANARAYANA RAO:— Will the Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Sarpanch of Viswanadhapalli Kothapalem in Koduru Mandal in Avanigadda constituency of Krishna district was guilty of wilful omission and disobedience of the provisions of the Panchayat Act by not convening a meeting within 3 months from 2.7.1990 and incurred disqualification;

(b) whether it is a fact that the said Sarpanch misappropriated Nehru Rozgar funds and Panchayat funds;

(c) whether it is a fact that the said Sarpanch committed wilful irregularities in arranging pipes to individuals without technical and administrative sanction;

(d) whether it is a fact that the said Sarpanch without showing the resolution in the agenda, passed the said resolution No.41 behind the back of the members; and

(e) whether it is a fact that the majority of Panchayat members...
eight in number sent reports incorporating all the above facts to Commissioner, Panchayat Raj; District Panchayat Officer, Divisional Panchayat Officer and Collector, Krishna; if so, the action taken thereon?

A-(a) The Sarpanch of Viswanadhapallli Kothapalem Gram Panchayat in Koduru Mandal has convened the urgent meeting of the Gram Panchayat on 2.7.90 and an ordinary meeting on 1.10.90. As such, the Sarpanch of the Gram Panchayat has not incurred any disqualification under section 23(2) of the A.P. Gram Panchayats Act, 1964.

(b) The Extension Officer (Pts) Avanigadda and the Divisional Panchayat Officer, Macailipatnam have verified the accounts of the Gram Panchayat. No misappropriation of funds was detected either in Jawahar Rojgar Yojana funds or Gram Panchayat funds:

(c) The Sarpanch has given three unauthorised tap connections for getting drinking water irregularly without obtaining the technical sanction from the Engineering Department though the Scheme was not yet handed over by the Engineering Department to the Gram Panchayat. The Sarpanch has been instructed to remove the unauthorised pipe line connections immediately and take necessary steps to get the P.W.S. Scheme handed over by Engineering Dept. on its completion.

J. No. 195-6

(d) The Sarpanch has included the subject in resolution No. 41 with the consent of the members attended at the time of the meeting of the Gram Panchayat on 1.10.90. The same was discussed by the members and the P.R.No.41 was passed in the said meeting:

(e) No representations have been received from the majority members of the Gram Panchayat against the Sarpanch of the Gram Panchayat in the Office of District Panchayat Officer, Krishna, Machilipatnam, Divisional Panchayat Officer, Machilipatnam.

ADDITIONAL BUILDING FOR MANDAL PRAJA PARISHAD SCHOOLS IN NELLORE DISTRICT

142-4773-Q SRI NALLAPAREDDI Sreenivasul Reddi:- Will the Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) the estimated cost of construction of additional Building for the Mandal Praja Parishad’s Upper Primary Schools and Elementary Schools in the following places of Nellore District:

(1) Padugupadu (2) Railway feeders road at Padugupadu (3) Santhinagar at Kovur (4) Manegunta padu (5) Anna reddipalem of Vidavalur Mandal (6) Dampur (7) Vuchagunta palem; (8) Disme; and

(b) when will the works of construction of additional
A-(a) The estimated cost for the construction of the additional buildings for the 8 Mandal Praja Parishad Upper Primary Schools and Elementary schools in the following places; i.e., (1) Padugupadu (2) Railway feeder road at Padugupadu (3) Santhinagar at Kovur (4) Maneguntapadu (5) Ammareddypalem of Vidavalur Mandal (6) Dampur (7) Vuchaguntapalem and (8) Dinne of Nellore District is Rs. 10.20 lakhs.

(b) There is no sanction under any grant for the works 1 to 7. Hence the question of commencing construction and completion does not arise.

For item 8, i.e., Dinne, it is sanctioned under U.K. Programme. The work is in progress and will be completed by March, 1992.

COMPOUND WALL TO MANDAL PRAJA PARISHAD SCHOOL IN NELLORE DISTRICT

A-(a) The estimated cost of the proposed building is at Rs. 6,00,000/-.

(b) An amount of Rs. 0.81 lakhs was spent on the work. Government have taken a policy decision that all the buildings which are nearing completion shall be completed and other buildings which are at various stages should be brought to a safe stage and then left at that level and the Buildings which are not started shall not be
commenced as construction of buildings is not a priority item.

(c) In view of the above decision, the building was stopped at basement level.

OPEN AIR THEATRE
IN NELLORE DISTRICT

145-

Sri NALLAPAREDDI SREENIVASUL REDDI:- Will the Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Nellore Zilla Praja Parishad has accorded administrative approval in 1987 for construction of Open Air Theatre for the Girijans at Chittedu in Kota Model Mandal in Nellore District;

(b) the reasons for the abnormal delay in executing the said work; and

(c) when will the said work be completed?

A-(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The work is not grounded due to non-availability of Funds.

(c) Does not arise, non-availability of funds.

SHIFTING OF ONE OF SUB-DIVISIONS OF IRRIGATION WORKSHOP IN RAYALASEEMA

146-

Sri N. CHANDRABABU NAIDU:- Will the Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the State Government have issued orders shifting one of the sub-divisions of Irrigation Workshop, set-up 8 years ago at Cuddapah, to manufacture gates required for the irrigation schemes that are under construction in Rayalaseema; and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

A-(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The works relating to the Buddha Purnima Project and retrieval of Monolith Buddha statue from the Hussain Sagar Lake had to be attended to and the staff existing at the time in the organisation of the General Superintendent P.W. Workshop and Stores were not sufficient to attend to this work, hence it was absolutely necessary to divert the vacant posts of the Sub-Division No.II of Rayalaseema Workshops and Machinery Division, Cuddapah. No personnel were however, transferred for this work.

APPOINTMENT OF COMMISSION TO STUDY IN SANCTIONING OF LOANS BY CO-OPERATIVE INSTITUTIONS

147-

Sarvasri P. NAGESWARAO, C. VITTAL REDDY, G. YADA GIRI REDDY AND V. NARAYANA RAO:- Will the Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government have appointed a Commission headed by Sri Sundere-shan, I.A.S., to study the
(b) if so whether copies of a report be placed on the file of the House?

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

PAYMENT OF COMPENSATION FOR DAMAGED GROUNDNUT CROP IN CHIRALA

1559-(X)- Sarvasri CH. VIDYA-
VAR RAO AND B. BAL REDDY:- Will
the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether hot spell in a first week of February, 1990 damaged groundnut crop in 4000 acres of sandy track under rain-

(b) whether it is a fact it due to unprecedented rain the night of 23rd February that the Rabi groundnut on 10 acres of wet land under pravaripalem pumping scheme Chirala and Vetapalem Mandals;

(c) if so, the steps proposed be taken to compensate the or ryots?

A-(a) Yes, Sir. At the of January, the groundnut crop in an extent of 1000 Hec-
tres of sandy soil of Chirala mandal, 1900 Hectares of Vet-
apalem Mandal and 500 Hectares of

(b) Yes, Sir. Due to heavy rains on 24.2.1990 ground-nut crop in a total area of 2086.93 Hectares in Epurupalem and Gavivaripalem of Chirala Mandal was damaged and total number of 3565 small and marginal farmers were affected. In Vetapalem Mandal groundnut crop and pulses crop in an extent of 1602.88 Hectares was damaged and a total number of 1797 small and marginal farmers were affected.

(c) Government have released funds amounting to Rs.13,80,558/ for relief measures in G.O.Ms. No. 358, Revenue, dated 21-4-1990 read with G.O.Ms.No.739, Revenue, dated 7.8.1990.

DECLARATION OF MAHABOBNAGAR AS DROUGHT AFFECTED DISTRICT

3173-(X)- Sarvasri K. RAMA-
CHANDRA RAO, D. KIRAN KUMAR, V. MOHAN GOUD, G. CHINNA REDDY AND P. VEERANNA:- Will the
Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to declare Mahabobnagar district as drought affected area;

(b) the relief measures proposed to be taken;

(c) whether Palamur labour are migrating to other places; and

(d) if so, the measures taken up to stop their migration.
A (a) No, Sir.
(b) Does not arise.

(c) and (d) Mahaboobnagar district is famous for labour called "Palamur Labour". They migrate in off season. The labour cannot be stopped from migration during off season.

**ALLOCATION OF BUDGET FOR A.P. GAZETTEERS DEPARTMENT**

150-
3980-Q- Sri G. NAGIREDDY:- Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that District Gazetteers Department is working under the Revenue Department in the State;

(b) if so, the budget allotted for the Andhra Pradesh Gazetteers Department for the year 1990-91; and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>17,77,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.A.</td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Expenses</td>
<td>1,85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment for</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional and Special services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rents, Rates</td>
<td>1,94,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>21,88,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

(c) the manner in which the amount has been spent by the Department.

A-(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) Rs. 21,88,000/-
(c) Salaries 17,77,000
Wages 1,000
T.A. 24,000
Office Expenses 1,85,000
Payment for 7,000
Professional and Special services
Rents, Rates 1,94,000
and Taxes.

---

*Note:* The text is a translation of a portion of a legislative document. The original language is in Telugu, and the translation is approximate.
మనిహెదురి ప్రస్తుతం చిత్రసాగునీ మారిని. సంస్కృతం సాధనం కొనసాగించారు కాని మీదించిని. మీదిని తెలుసారు, పరిస్తితి కలిగిన కార్యక్రమము కొనసాగించారు. మీరు ఆధారసామర్థ్యాన్ని కొనసాగించారు. ఈ ప్రయాణం కోరిని, ప్రయాణం చేశారు గాంధీయంగా ఎంచుగును. ప్రయాణం కోరిని ప్రయాణం చేసారు గాంధీయంగా ఎంచుగును. అయితే ఈ ప్రయాణం కోరిని ప్రయాణం చేసారు గాంధీయంగా ఎంచుగును. మనిహెదురి ప్రస్తుతం చిత్రసాగునీ మారింది. సంస్కృతం సాధనం కొనసాగించారు కాని మీదించారు.
Everyday, we are receiving the telegrams.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:– The Government to note down.

Sri Ch. Vidyasagara Rao:– Sir, they are not issuing the certificates, I request the Minister to contact the Collector, Visakhapatnam and take necessary action.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I do not allow any discussion. The Social Welfare Minister has noted down. I cannot compel him to give any answer in the Zero Hour.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I cannot compel the Minister....

(Interruptions)
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- The Government have noted down.

Sir, I want to say that the Government have noted down. The members of the minority have been rejected, and the Government have noted. If the rule of reservation is flouted, it is a serious matter. Let the Minister reply.

Sir, I want to say that the Government have noted down. The members of the minority have been rejected, and the Government have noted. If the rule of reservation is flouted, it is a serious matter. Let the Minister reply.

Sir, I want to say that the Government have noted down. The members of the minority have been rejected, and the Government have noted. If the rule of reservation is flouted, it is a serious matter. Let the Minister reply.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:-

Sir, I want to say that the Government have noted down. The members of the minority have been rejected, and the Government have noted. If the rule of reservation is flouted, it is a serious matter. Let the Minister reply.

Sir, I want to say that the Government have noted down. The members of the minority have been rejected, and the Government have noted. If the rule of reservation is flouted, it is a serious matter. Let the Minister reply.

Sir, I want to say that the Government have noted down. The members of the minority have been rejected, and the Government have noted. If the rule of reservation is flouted, it is a serious matter. Let the Minister reply.

Sir, I want to say that the Government have noted down. The members of the minority have been rejected, and the Government have noted. If the rule of reservation is flouted, it is a serious matter. Let the Minister reply.
Md. Virasat Rasool Khan (Charminar):-- Mr. Speaker, Sir, I want to bring to your notice one point and I request the Hon. Minister for Medical and Health to solve this problem. One Girl Miss Alia Begum, aged 18 years has been admitted in Osmania Hospital. She was suffering from head-ache and vomittings. From 18th August to 29th, she was in General Ward and nobody looked after her. Some House Surgeons and Doctors gave 6 types of medicines and on 21st August, 1991, her condition was worsened. Immediately she was shifted to Emergency ward and Senior Doctors came and attended. She lost her eye sight because of administering of 7 medicines during the 3 days. The Doctors are saying 'we are helpless'. You take the girl to your House. Hundreds of people from Dabeer Pura are there and I request through you, Sir, the Minister for Medical and Health to immediately visit the Osmania Hospital and take necessary action. She lost her eye sight, Sir.

Sri K. Rosaiah:-- I will find out what has happened. Today, there is discussion on the Medical Demand. After the House is over, I will certainly go to Osmania Hospital and I will find out what has happened.
Mr. Chinna Reddy has raised an issue and I will give reply to him.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- It is being examined by the Office. It is under process here. Please don't raise it. There is some procedure here. Under whatever procedure necessary, we will do the needful. Whatever steps have to be taken according to the rules, they will be taken. Necessary action under the rules would be taken. That is being taken now. Therefore you need not raise this matter now. You need not give second notice also. It is being examined and in due course Necessary action will be taken under the rules. Please leave it there.
(தொடர்வாய்)

இதை, செல்வோம் நீர்த்தான், என்று போன்ற விளக்கம் என்னும்? என்ன என்ன? எங்கு சென்று வந்து வருவதுவான். *** உருவான தென்று என்ன வந்துவருகிறார். அவள் என்னவொருவான்? கரனத்தை என்ன என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்றу என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்றу என்று என்று எ

*** Expunged as ordered by the Chair.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- That is not relevant here. Not relevant here. The Question of "Recalling the *** does not go into the records.

Sir, we have requested the President of India to recall the *** also. We have gone on records.

(Interjections)

*** Expunged as ordered by the Chair.
it is contradicted by him, I request you to see Sir, everything was in your record examine it. It is in records.

(Interruptions)

Sri Ch. Vidyasagara Rao:- Sir, it was already on record. The Hon'ble Speaker has assured to look into the matter. Sir, when such things come you have to come to our rescue. Sir, you have to react.

Sri V. Krishnaswamy:- Suppose you will arise, and say such thing, you cannot say such thing.

Sri V. Krishna: - Suppose he arises and says such thing, he cannot say such thing.

Sri V. Krishnaswamy:- Sir, when once the matter was seized of the House, through some Motion, the Congress-I, party kept quiet, and now the Minister is getting up and again using unparliamentary words like "&R0r," and others, is definitely discriminatory and that too when the Member is not in the House and without giving notice, he has no permission to say. On the contrary is my friend Mr. Vidyasagar Rao has said, I have raised a Point of Order Sir. For which there was many a precedence in this House or yourself as Deputy Speaker, give a Ruling to expunge. I request you to
give a ruling Sir. Now the same question has occurred. So I appeal you to give a ruling on this Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Because it is a part of the assurance which is for discussion here, I will give a ruling on this.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— No - I did not permit you. I do not allow repetition. You have already raised your point. I have not permitted you. Please resume your seat.

J. No. 195-8
Before I go to the next item, let us discuss the matter, according to the Rules.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—That is why, notice is under consideration and action is being proposed according to the Rules.

Sri P. Nageswar Rao:—What is this interruption by Ministers?
(அங்குதல் விளக்கம் வாய்ந்த சிற்றியாகும்)

(அங்குதல் விளக்கம் வாய்ந்த சிற்றியாகும்)

(அங்குதல் விளக்கம் வாய்ந்த சிற்றியாகும்)

(அங்குதல் விளக்கம் வாய்ந்த சிற்றியாகும்)

(அங்குதல் விளக்கம் வாய்ந்த சிற்றியாகும்)

(அங்குதல் விளக்கம் வாய்ந்த சிற்றியாகும்)

(அங்குதல் விளக்கம் வாய்ந்த சிற்றியாகும்)

(அங்குதல் விளக்கம் வாய்ந்த சிற்றியாகும்)

(அங்குதல் விளக்கம் வாய்ந்த சிற்றியாகும்)

(அங்குதல் விளக்கம் வாய்ந்த சிற்றியாகும்)

(அங்குதல் விளக்கம் வாய்ந்த சிற்றியாகும்)

(அங்குதல் விளக்கம் வாய்ந்த சிற்றியாகும்)
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ఉదయం ముఖ్యాధికృతి

ఈ దినానికి ప్రత్యేకంగా సందర్భంలో మనం సంస్కృతి ప్రతి పద్ధతి రూపొందించడానికం వాటి సంఖ్య పెంచడానికం, మన సామాజిక పద్ధతి రూపొందించడానికం, మన జీవితానికం రూపొందించడానికం మన సైన్య పద్ధతి రూపొందించడానికం

ధ్యానం ముఖ్యాధికృతి (ప్రత్యేకించిన పద్ధతి):—

1. సాంస్కృతిక సమాచార పత్రికలు
2. సామాజిక పద్ధతి రూపొందించడానికం
3. జీవితానికం రూపొందించడానికం
4. సైన్య పద్ధతి రూపొందించడానికం

సాంస్కృతి పద్ధతి రూపొందించడానికం:—

1. సాంస్కృతిక సమాచార పత్రికలు
2. సామాజిక పద్ధతి రూపొందించడానికం
3. జీవితానికం రూపొందించడానికం
4. సైన్య పద్ధతి రూపొందించడానికం

నాయక అధికారిక నిపుణులు:— కాశీషే, పాండ్రి సిరిష ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ సమాచార పత్రికలు

పాండ్రి సిరిష అధికారిక (నిపుణులు):— కాశీషే, పాండ్రి సిరిష ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ సమాచార పత్రికలు

పాండ్రి సిరిష అధికారిక (నిపుణులు):— కాశీషే, పాండ్రి సిరిష ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ సమాచార పత్రికలు

పాండ్రి సిరిష అధికారిక (నిపుణులు):— కాశీషే, పాండ్రి సిరిష ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ సమాచార పత్రికలు

పాండ్రి సిరిష అధికారిక (నిపుణులు):— కాశీషే, పాండ్రి సిరిష ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ సమాచార పత్రికలు

పాండ్రి సిరిష అధికారిక (నిపుణులు):— కాశీషే, పాండ్రి సిరిష ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ సమాచార పత్రికలు
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- The correction may be noted.

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:- Sir, before the Hon. Members speak on the 304, I would like to submit that there is a small typographical mistake in the detailed note I have submitted. The mistake, which was there in the English version, was carried in Telugu translation also. I want the Hon. Members to correct it and read it properly. In the third line of first para it has been typed as '.'........ while re-issuing the '.' It is only '........,'issuing.....' and not '........re-issuing......'. It is a typographical mistake and at the outset itself I am correcting it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- The correction may be noted.
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సంఘ-304 కొత్తా ప్రకాశుపురం సాగించిన రాహదారు వైదికం ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయడానికి మనపు నాటి శాసనం ప్రకాశం. ప్రతి నాటికి ప్రతి నాటి సంఘం కొలువు చేయడానికి అవసరం ఉంది. ప్రతి నాటికి ప్రతి నాటి సంఘం కొలువు చేయడానికి అవసరం ఉంది.

ప్రతి నాటికి ప్రతి నాటి సంఘం కొలువు చేయడానికి అవసరం ఉంది.

ప్రతి నాటికి ప్రతి నాటి సంఘం కొలువు చేయడానికి అవసరం ఉంది.
தமிழ்-304 இல் உள்ள சாக்தியுடைய புதுப்பிட்டு நூற்றணம் புதிய வளையம். கையேடு குறிப்பிட்டு உள்ள தொழில் செயல்பாடு போன்ற கொள்கைகள். இது தமிழ் பொருள்கள் நூற்றாண்டுகளுக்கு முந்தைய மறுவொலைப்பாடுகளை விளக்கும். என தமிழ் நூற்றாண்டுகளில் தமிழ் பொருள்கள் நூற்றாண்டுகளுக்கு முந்தைய மறுவொலைப்பாடுகளை விளக்கும்.

1988 ஆம் ஆண்டில் தமிழ் நூற்றாண்டுகள் தமிழ் பொருள்கள் நூற்றாண்டுகளுக்கு முந்தைய மறுவொலைப்பாடுகளை விளக்கும். புதுப்பிட்டு நூற்றணம் புதிய வளையம் ஆகிய காரணங்களை விளக்கும். தமிழ் நூற்றாண்டுகள் தமிழ் பொருள்கள் நூற்றாண்டுகளுக்கு முந்தைய மறுவொலைப்பாடுகளை விளக்கும்.

புதுப்பிட்டு நூற்றணம் புதிய வளையம் ஆகிய காரணங்களை விளக்கும். தமிழ் நூற்றாண்டுகள் தமிழ் பொருள்கள் நூற்றாண்டுகளுக்கு முந்தைய மறுவொலைப்பாடுகளை விளக்கும்.
I am prepared to reply on all the things put together.
The text in the image is not legible or contains symbols that cannot be translated into natural text. Therefore, it is not possible to provide a plain text representation of this document.
(డిస్క్రాయల్)

పిని, పాలిడి శాస్త్రికులు (ఇంట్లో దారికేర్) :— నన్ను మాత్రమే నిలిచాం,
నీటిద్వారా నేడు.

(అసంభావ్యం)

ఇది యొక్క ఉత్సాహమైనది:— నీటి మాత్రమే నవిన నిర్ణయం అనుసరించండి,
అది మనం ఆహారంతో మాత్రమే ప్రధానమైనది.

(అసంభావ్యం)

ఎందుకు, అది చెందిన ప్రతిపాదాలు మాత్రమే అవడి ప్రచారంలో ఉంది, ఒకసారి వాటిని చెందిన ప్రతిపాదాలు మాత్రమే చెందిన ప్రతిపాదాలు మాత్రమే అవడి ప్రచారంలో ఉంది. అందుకే, మనం ఆహారంతో మాత్రమే ప్రధానమైనది.

(అసంభావ్యం)

ఎందుకు, అది చెందిన ప్రతిపాదాలు మాత్రమే అందించండి,
ఇదే మనం ఆహారంతో మాత్రమే ప్రధానమైనది.
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మండలం ప్రభుత్వం సాగుండి: — సాగుండి మండలం ప్రభుత్వం సాగుండి జిల్లా సాగుండి సాగుండి విభాగం చేసిన జిల్లా సాగుండి

ఒక్కొక్కోడి: — ఒక్కొక్కోడి జిల్లా సాగుండి జిల్లా సాగుండి జిల్లా సాగుండి విభాగం చేసిన జిల్లా సాగుండి విభాగం చేసిన జిల్లా సాగుండి విభాగం చేసిన జిల్లా సాగుండి విభాగం చేసిన జిల్లా సాగుండి

సాగుండి ప్రాంతాలు (చిత్ర 3. అభిముఖు): — ప్రాంతాలు జిల్లా సాగుండి జిల్లా సాగుండి జిల్లా సాగుండి జిల్లా సాగుండి జిల్లా సాగుండి జిల్లా సాగుండి జిల్లా సాగుండి జిల్లా సాగుండి జిల్లా సాగుండి జిల్లా సాగుండి జిల్లా సాగుండి జిల్లా సాగుండి జిల్లా సాగుండి జిల్లా సాగుండి
The proposal presently is pending with Government of India and no contract has been awarded to the KOS consortium. For awarding the contract, the Government of India's approval as well as the KFW (Finance Bank's) approvals are required.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Leave it.

The proposal presently is pending with Government of India and no contract has been awarded to the KOS consortium. For awarding the contract, the Government of India's approval as well as the Kfw (Finance Bank's) approvals are required.
A scandal cannot be in anticipation. It is a clear misappropriation of the amount. It is a clear misappropriation even temporary misappropriation. It is a clear misappropriation.
it is clearly established that the allotment of tender to Krupp Firm has been revised from ₹ 27 crore to ₹ 427 crores and later to ₹ 476 crores.

It is clearly the established case.
குறிப்பிட்டு, குறிப்பிட்டு, குறிப்பிட்டு...
கொண்டாட்ட ஓரைல் கொடுக்கத் தவிர கையேறிய கருத்துக்கான நிதி: இன்று இந்த தேசிய தொகுதியில் தேசிய தொகுதியானது தொடர்பில் தமிழ்நாடு நூறாம் நாள் தோன்றும். இந்த தோன்றும் தெய்வா முதலில் முக்கியத்துவம் பெறுவது. அதிக வருடங்களுக்கு முதலில் கொண்டாட்ட ஓரைல் தவிர கையேறிய கருத்துக்கான நிதி.
165 ఏటు బాటుతుంది మరియు ఇది పెద్దదిమితి కొరకు ఉండుతుంది. ఎందటపాటు బలి యొక్క మిగిలిన జాబితా ఖర్చు చేసే ప్రతిపాదణం ఉంచాడు. అందుకే ముందు సాధనాంగం కొరకు ఉండుప్పు పెద్దంగా ఉంచాడు. మొత్తం పొత్తు కంటే 2 మిల్లియన్ కంటే యొక్క సాధనం చేసే ప్రతిపాదణం ఉంచాడు. ముందు సాధనాంగం కొరకు ఉండుప్పు పెద్దంగా ఉంచాడు. 16 వ వర్షం ప్రతిపాదణం ఉంచాడు. అది ముందు సాధనాంగం కొరకు ఉండుప్పు పెద్దంగా ఉంచాడు. ఎందా యొక్క సాధనం చేసే ప్రతిపాదణం ఉంచాడు. 1988-89 లో 36 వ వర్షం, 1990-91 లో 165 వ వర్షం ప్రతిపాదణం ఉంచాడు. 200 వ వర్షం ప్రతిపాదణం ఉంచాడు. ఎందా యొక్క సాధనం చేసే ప్రతిపాదణం ఉంచాడు. 400 వ వర్షం ప్రతిపాదణం ఉంచాడు. ఎందా యొక్క సాధనం చేసే ప్రతిపాదణం ఉంచాడు. 1986 లో కాలపతయం 4 ఎంపాయం x 4 ఎంపాయం x 3 ఎంపాయం 86 ఎంపాయం బిగినిముంది అందిస్తుంది. ఎందా యొక్క సాధనం చేసే ప్రతిపాదణం ఉంచాడు. ఎందా యొక్క సాధనం చేసే ప్రతిపాదణం ఉంచాడు. ఎందా యొక్క సాధనం చేసే ప్రతిపాదణం ఉంచాడు.
I had burnt lot of my night oil. Sir, all the time, while they are on their legs, I never intervened. Let them have patience and hear me. Sir, I have given a note for the convenience of all the Members but only a few have received it. Most of the Members have got the information in the past. For the purpose of the convenience of the entire House, I will read a portion of the note and I will give the statement. Sir, this is a subject I can put it forward in a more positive way in the English language only.

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:- The Singareni Collieries Company Limited has not revised.....

(Interruptions)

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:- I am reading this for the convenience of the entire House. Mr. Vidyasagar Rao must have read it, but others have not read it.
Sri Ch. Vidyasagara Rao:— Sir, it is sufficient if he answers the points raised by us.

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:— I am not reading the entire statement. I can assure Mr. Vidyasagar Rao. Let the other Members, let everybody know about it. Why should they be afraid of knowing it. I am not interested in shielding anybody. I assure the House that I am going to say what the real facts are.

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:— Definitely. Oh, yes. In this note.....

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:— This is a matter under Rule 304 which I am answering. All the seven Members who spoke on the issue have laid more emphasis on only one factor......
Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:— Unfortunately, what happened in this particular case, in a coloured vision, the vision gets blurred. Looking at a particular thing with a particular notion makes them look everything with suspicion. Suspicion, however strong it may be, cannot take the place of a proof. Added to that, the allegations which they are levelling are very easy to be attributed. Naturally also, it sounds very well to those people who hear them. I am sure, it is very difficult to be refuted also. If they have any reason behind the argument, if they are susceptible to reasoning, I am prepared to convince them that there is no logic behind the argument that has been advanced by all the seven Members before this August House.

The main emphasis that been laid by the Hon. Members has been the comparison between the two technologies - they have left out the Dragline, so I am also leaving it out. The emphasis is only on the crusher conveyor technology and shovel dumper technology. The shovel dumper technology is the indigenous technology and the crusher conveyor technology is the latest German Technology. Out of these two if they just look at the merits and demerits of both the technologies and which preponderates what, they will automatically come to the conclusion that the crusher conveyor technology is the best technology that is available in the country and that is the only technology that is suitable for this. This has been the only technology in the best interest of the super power thermal power stations we have taken up. If we have to achieve the coal production we have been aiming at, it is only under this technology. I will just give a comparative table of both the technologies.
Sri Ch. Vidyasagar Rao:- What is the authority for that?

You cannot speak in between.

(Interruption)

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:- Sir, People who are upto their neck deep in corruption—I am very sorry to hear from them the allegation of corruption today.

I am not talking about Mr. Puvvada Nageswara Rao. It refers only to those who have been talking all the time about corruption. They have been upto their neck deep in corruption. They are known to be embodiments of corruption.
Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:— I am on a point of order. Mr. Samarasimha Reddy should have patience. Whatever he read out is prepared by 'Gumasthalu'. I am talking about a technical report. Let them refer. In the Board meeting the Managing Director has voted and also exercised his casting vote. What is the necessity for that?

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:— I have gone through all that and this note has been prepared at my dictation.

What is the point of order in that?

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:— Why should he disturb me? I am on my feet.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— If he does not reply those points, you will have an opportunity.

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:— What is the point of order?
Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:— Let them refer to the appraisal report of the Planning Commission. What was the necessity for the Managing Director to cast his vote as Director and again as the Chairman?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— He will reply all those points and also give the point of view of the Government. If he does not reply, you have got every right to ask.

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:— They have put forward their point of view and I have a right to put forward my point of view.

(Interruption)

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:— At the outset, as I submitted, the main argument that has been put forward revolves round three issues. The first issue is the comparative aspect of both the technologies and which technology is superior and what advantage you derive out of this technology or that technology. Lot of emphasis has been laid on that. I have got a right to put forward my point of view also on that based on the material that is available with me. I will give out the comparative table which I myself dictated. I am sure, if they coolly hear it, reason will prevail—at least with Sri P. Nageshwar Rao, Sri B. Venkateshwar Rao, Sri N. Raghava Reddy and Sri Ch. Vittal Reddy, the elders. Let them coolly hear the comparative table.

The second issue is about Mr. Sethuraman. The third is about the meeting of the Board of Directors. These are the main issues and the other things are not important and I will mention about these three things.
I will tell you the comparative table. The crusher conveyor technology, due to the difficult geological conditions mentioned by me earlier, was selected in the year 1982 to work on these deposits. The German consultants Dr. Lubric have selected this technology to work on these deposits after examining the other technology like Shovel dumper technology, dragline technology, etc. This technology was vetted by M/s. N.V.C. and CMPDI in the year 1986 before the project report was approved by the Government of India in the year 1987. In the year when the project report was approved, which Government was there in the State and why did they not object to it?

What is this 'Oho, Ohoho'? Do you know anything about shovel dumper and crusher technologies? What is the point in saying 'Oho, Ohoho'? Try to understand first. This deposit can be worked by shovel dumper technology up to only 120 metres.

(Sounds of 'Oho, Ohoho' from the Opposition benches)

What is this 'Oho, Ohoho'? Do you know anything about shovel dumper and crusher technologies? What is the point in saying 'Oho, Ohoho'? Try to understand first. This deposit can be worked by shovel dumper technology up to only 120 metres.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:-- Sir, point of clarification.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:-- Not now. Let him complete.

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:-- I am prepared to give any amount of clarification after I complete, not now.

(Sri Ch. Vidyasagar Rao rose to speak)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:-- I will give you after the Minister completes.
Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:- Shovel dumper technology can work only upto 120 metres. This is a steeply dipping coal seams, occurrence of multi-seams and the proposed mining depth is 220 metres. The coal deposits are of limited strike length, anti-saline in nature, anticipated varying direction and deep at the strata. These are the technical difficulties that are there. If you want to work upto a depth of 220 metres and extract the entire coal deposits, which is our national property and want to utilise for the best interest of the country as a whole, you must go upto 220 metres. If you go only upto 110 or 120 feet and leave the rest, it will be a national wastage. The Shovel Dumper technology can go only upto 120 metres. In the crusher technology you can go upto 220 metres. The balance of coal reserves that can be extracted by the underground method which could lead to loss of revenue upto 17 Million tonnes valuing Rs. 650 crores. If you adopt this shovel dumper technology, you will be losing Rs. 650 crores worth of national property. Even assuming that shovel dumper technology will work upto 220 metres - only assuming for a minute with great difficulty, with loss of life and so many things, on conceptual basis - still 8 Million Tonnes will be lost under hallow leads, etc. valuing about Rs. 300 crores. This is the financial aspect. The crusher conveyor technology is based on detailed planning and engineering study made for number of years whereas the shovel dumper technology proposal was rejected at the planning stage in the year 1982 itself, now mooted by CMPDI. The same CMPDI did not agree for shovel dumper technology. That is the reason why the crusher conveyor technology was adopted.
Coming to the proposal under consideration, the project is to be considered on a turnkey basis with guaranteed coal production of 2 million tonnes per annum and removal of 2 million cubic metres of overburden per annum. A slightly different variant of technology is working satisfactorily at M/s. NLC, which is achieving the targets regularly. Under the shovel dumper technology, there is no guarantee about the achievement of rated coal production and overburden removal with this technology. Even in the existing open cast mines of SCCL and the Coal India Limited, which are operated by the Shovel Dumper, no mine is reaching a depth of beyond 110 metres. Let them dispute it. Even at this depth and with milder gradients the dumpers were performing at least less than 50% efficiency leading to non-achievement of the targets. This is one of the aspects that everybody has to bear in mind. Sir, the Crusher Conveyor Technology is a capital intensive issue of high DM-Rupee ratio and also has the high rate of import duties. On the other hand, the Shovel Dumper Technology is slightly less capital intensive as most of the equipment are indigenous.

[Interruptions]

I am giving out. I am not trying to hide anything in between the two. Then the Government of India and the German Financing Agency, KFW had entered into a loan agreement for obtaining a loan of DM 172.387 million for this Project based on this technology to meet the full foreign exchange requirement. Whereas, under the Shovel Dumper Technology, no loan is available for this. Sir, then the production built up will be based on the project of the first German Technology. It is ready to take up for a detailed engineering study. The production will be 0.5 million tons in 1992-93, 1.0 million tons in 1993-94 and 2.0 million tons in 1994-95. Whereas under the Shovel Dumper Technology the production built-up will be uncertain and slow as a fresh project report backed by a detailed engineering study approved by Government of India after the environmental clearance and there will be about another three years to take place that. This must be examined keeping in view the Super-Thermal Plants which we have taken up. For the last nine years, they never planned for expansion of any type of power. We have planned systematically and we have been doing everything systematically and in the best interests of the State alone and therefore, this has been chosen. They never planned for expansion and not even power systematically. Under the Crusher Conveyor Technology, the project would be implemented with the help of experienced German Consultants till it reached the rated production. Here, no such possibility exists under the Shovel Dumper Technology. Under the German Technology, the revised cost estimates— they may also make a note— in January, 1991 are worked out at Rs. 12.92 crores with break-up and firm shown below:
DM 141.20 millions on a firm basis plus ₹113 crores limited to over-all price variation of 20% plus ₹109.8 crores of SCCL portion plus ₹3.40 for the Consultants.

(Interruptions)

I am giving out the figures. I am not suppressing the facts. Under this Shovel Technology - I am sure - the cost estimated by CMPDI at ₹261.3 crores in January, 1991 are not firm and are based on past experience in similar project. The cost goes up by 70%. Then the completion cost would be roughly about ₹450 crores. The difference you may look at. Sir, this is ₹512 crores; whereas that is ₹450 crores. In between, if you choose to examine by adopting ₹450 crores project you will be loosing a national property worth about ₹600 crores of Coal and whereas, by spending ₹512 crores you will be gaining Coal worth about ₹600 crores. This is the advantage which we are going to derive by the German Technology. Throughout the life of the project there is a diesel saving under the German Technology as the transport of coal was undertaken by electrically operated belt conveyors. The diesel will be spared throughout the life of the project. On the other hand, for the operation of the Shovel Dumper Technology, a large fleet of dumpers involving considerable foreign exchange is indirectly involved.

Sir, if the Hon'ble Members look at the comparative table between both the technologies - I am sure that most of them are now thinking definitely about the German Technology. It has been opted. Still, no finality has been given because the matter - as I submitted in the beginning, itself - is under consideration of the Government of India. The Government of India have to still approve. The matter is before them for consideration. Not a single Pie has been spent by SCCL. I do not know from where they got the figures of sixty crores and hundred crores of rupees? What is all this? It sounds very well probably, because all the time they have been thinking about crores only. So, they think about others also in terms of crores. Nothing has taken place on this. Not a single Pie has been spent.

The merits and demerits of both the technologies have been looked into. In the case of German Technology merits preponder demerits. This is the reason why the German Technology has been selected. There is nothing wrong. This is a quasi-judicial proceedings and action has to be taken up. Law will take its own course. Action will be taken and he will be punished if he is found to be guilty. Suitable action will be taken
Next thing about the Board meeting. When a matter comes up before the Board, Members discuss about it. It is a fact that eight Members were present. They were equally divided on this issue. Keeping in view the best interests of the country and the State and the best interests of the A.P. State Electricity Board and so many other factors which weighed in favour of the scheme being taken up positively to implement the socio-economic programmes in the State, the casting vote was made by the Managing Director and it was approved by him. There is nothing wrong according to me and they need not look at it as they look with a suspicious outlook. This is my submission, Sir.

Based on the above report the project was sanctioned in 1987 by Government of India. Technically the tenders were opened up on 31st May, 1989.

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:- Sir, I take a serious objection to it. He may be an engineer but he is an expert in swallowing money. Probably, Sir,

(Interruptions)

I will charge from here. Probably, he is aggrieved that his timber was not purchased by the Singareni Collieries.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- In his process of reply....

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:- Sir, are you allowing it to go on record?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- No. I do not allow.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Why? There is nothing unparliamentary in that.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- You have only inferences. You have no concrete proof.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Yes. I call it as a concrete proof.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- do not try for character assassination. You try to elicit information.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- I would like to know if Mr. D.K. Samarasimha Reddy who claims and who has questioned us whether we know the difference between the Shovel Dumper Technology and Crusher Conveyor Technology wants to contend that he has better knowledge than Mr. R.K. Sachdev, Director, Department of Coal. The Project Appraisal Division in the Planning Commission has sought for certain clarification. You refer to the point raised by Sachdev. Fourth point in the Appraisal report. 'It may be relevant to mention that the Northern Coal Fields Limited in a similar project of Block(B)-3 MTP with a minerable reserve of 55 MTC gradient and 8.220 multiplicity of steels. The depth of quarry of 205 to 210 metres is planned to utilise the conventional Shovel Dumper Technology.' Whereas this man has said that the conventional dumper technology cannot be used beyond 110 meters. Whereas in the Northern Fields it is 205 to 210 meters. This the point. This is the stand taken by R.K. Sachdev.

Regarding losses, Sir, he has claimed that Rs. 650 crores worth of State reserve is lost of Indian technology is followed. I would like to question him to answer me about the other point raised by Mr. Sachdev. It is Point No. (9). 'The tangible benefits and performance of superior technology are not visible in this project.' I hope he understands the meaning of 'not visible'.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- You can understand the language of both of you. But, you are not understanding each other. That is the whole trouble.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- No, Sir. We have understood him. I know the difference between 110 meteres and 210 meters. He has gone on record to say that it is not visible beyond 210 meters. Whereas, R.K. Sachdev, Director said that 210 meters is workable in Northern Coal Fields. I think he has understood me. In this, the tangible benfits and performance of superior technology are not visible in this project. A comparison between January 1987 to January 1990-91 proposals given in Annexure-II indicate increase in steeply dipping coal seams with limited strike level and high over burdened coal ratio of 1:17 to 5:29, decrease in productivity per ton from 7.82 to 7.33 and increase in man-power from 1090 to 1164. The profitability is Rs. 46 in case of indigenous technology.
and minus 71 per ton in case of German technology except that only 0.34 MT of additional coal can be mined over the entire project life and that too only at a very high capital investment. These are the doubts expressed by Mr. R.K. Sachdev. What are you to say on that? That is one question.

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy: All these objections that have been put forward by some of the Members were considered before taking a decision. Here, it may be pertinent to note...

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy: I am not trying to understand what is that misleading. Is he so amenable for misleading?

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy: Is he so amenable for misleading?

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy: The entire House wants the reply. Not only for you.

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy: I say it is considered. That is all. It is up to you to accept.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao: Has he received any kickbacks to talk like this? Let him answer to the point.
Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:- O.K. Sir, all these objections were considered. That is the statement I am making on the floor of this House with all the responsibility at my command. Sir, it is for them to accept it or not. They cannot expect me to answer to satisfy themselves. Now, here it may be pertinent to bring it to the notice of the Hon'ble Members that Coal India Limited which was in implementation of similar Shovel Dumper Technology in Kathara Open Cast Project had switched over back to Crusher Conveyor Technology. Why? Because of the geographical position and because of the peculiar situation of the gredients there was no other alternative than to switch over to Crusher Conveyor Technology. That they are not looking into. Even here, that is the very reason why, I gave out a comparative table between the Crusher Conveyor Technology and Shovel Dumper Technology. What is happening now in Kathara, you want to be repeated here? Why should it be? At what cost? For the satisfaction of your vanity you want to ruin the State's prospects? Absolutely not. We are not prepared. Whatever decision we have taken, we have taken the decision with all the responsibility.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- He is misleading.

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:- Whether to go for German Technology?

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Only through the Chairman. There are 8 including the Chairman.
But, it is a fact. No doubt, he has got the right of casting vote. Actually, physical strength is 8. 4 plus 3. Yes. He has got a vote. But it must be used judiciously. Therefore, whatever the amount may be - I am not disputing it subject to correction. Whatever the amount may be - I am not disputing it. But 14 Officers and 8 staff members. 90% of the coal produced in SCCL is distributed to Core Sector.
In these three years, it may be due to elections. Even after his reply, I would like to say about Mr. Sethuraman and the Managing Director. Let us go into the details. If you are standing on your point, we are ready to prove our point beyond doubt.
We are ready to prove it. This is the matter. It is not cheaper when we apply Indian technology? They have gone back. I will prove it beyond doubt. They have not gone back. It is being worked out. Indian technology is being worked out. They are getting profits. It is yielding results. How you are going to foreign technology. It is being worked out. They are getting profits. It is yielding results. How you are going to foreign technology.
In 1982 when the Congress Government is in power, at that time, it was referred. When we came to power, we had our doubts. We have got our own doubts. Let’s see, we are accepting it. On Chairman’s casting vote. Whether they are in power or you are in power, it is not correct. Unless something is going wrong, whether they are in power or you are in power, it is not correct. Who is that person? Unless something is going wrong, whether they are in power or you are in power, it is not correct. Whoever may be in power and whatever the case may be, it will not create a doubt? We are accepting it. Yes. We are accepting it.
Who is the powerful Liaison Officer at Delhi? And if you are sincere, let us have a Judicial Enquiry. Even on Timber, I am prepared to prove. There is a scandal. Even on Headquarters Office, they are spending lavishly.

Let him reply, Sir.
ఇప్పటి ప్రామాణిక ప్రత్యేకత సంఘటనలు చేసే గ్రామాలను తెలుసుకోవడాన్ని విశిలించారు. ఈ ప్రత్యేకించిన సంఘటనలు తూటితో జాబితా చేసారు. ఇవి ప్రామాణిక సంఘటనలను తెలుసుకోవడాన్ని సాధించారు. 

ఇది ఒక ప్రత్యేక ప్రత్యేకత సంఘటనలు చేసే గ్రామాలను తెలుసుకోవడాన్ని విశిలించారు. ఈ ప్రత్యేకించిన సంఘటనలు తూటితో జాబితా చేసారు. ఇవి ప్రామాణిక సంఘటనలను తెలుసుకోవడాన్ని సాధించారు.

ఇది ఒక ప్రత్యేక ప్రత్యేకత సంఘటనలు చేసే గ్రామాలను తెలుసుకోవడాన్ని విశిలించారు. ఈ ప్రత్యేకించిన సంఘటనలు తూటితో జాబితా చేసారు. ఇవి ప్రామాణిక సంఘటనలను తెలుసుకోవడాన్ని సాధించారు.
It is your bounden duty to clear it. Why did they have opposed it? It is a clerical error. That is what they said. It is on record. But it is not correct.

Is it not a clear irregularity? The Planning Commission has opposed it.

There is a clear case of kick-backs.

"They cannot expect to answer to your satisfaction"...
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- We have taken so much of time. Sri M. Omkar. Please be brief.

Sri 10. 10th:- Why, some people think even middle English, but the rate is worrying, so often we have to define. The only thing that I would like to say is that the capital cost of the project now estimated at Rs. 512 crores is very high. This leads to overcapitalisation of Mining Project involving recurring heavy interest and depreciation liabilities. As mentioned in the draft PIB Note "the cost is based on inflated quotation of single bidder and on A to Z concept." The earlier assumption to execute the project departmentally (in association with NLC/CMPDI/CIL/other agencies) with imported/indigenous, shovels/dumpers/crushers/conveyors is worth giving consideration."
If that allegation is true, definitely it is a serious one and one has to agree to that.

(Interruptions)

Any allegation can very easily be made; very difficult to refute until and unless the reason prevails. Most of the allegations are not having any direct evidence except circumstantial evidence. If you want to prove the guilty, the chain of circumstances must be complete. I regret to say, the chain is not complete. Sri P. Nageswara Rao said that in the first Board Meeting, they have rejected. It is not so. In the first meeting, they never said any merits or demerits of the technology. They only wanted the cost of the Project should be brought down. Later, he said during the interregnum period, this man has gone to Germany. According to the information, this is a baseless allegation. That is my submission to the Hon. Member.

The present proposal is pending with the Government of India. For all these things, the approval of the Government of India is necessary and the German Bank's approval is necessary. Till now, not a single pie has been paid by the Singareni Collieries.

Then, it was said that so and so has kept the Cheques with him and all that. I never minced the matters. Against
this officer, disciplinary action has been initiated. He will be punished according to law if anything is proved... 

We have agreed. There is nothing wrong in it. You have to keep in view the totality of the circumstances and the best interest of the State. There is no alternative than to achieve this keeping in view the geographical report, topographical features of the deposit, it is better one. 

Coal India Limited has resorted to this now. They are now switching over to this technology. Nobody can deny. So, let us keep in view the best interest of the State. If the Opposition parties, criticise, we welcome it. The healthy criticism is always welcome. You will have to definitely point out that there are mistakes on our part but here, as it is, I did not find anything at all. There is nothing at all.

There are five factors - escalation in prices generally -

(1) inflation

(2) Exchange rates - variations -

(3) increased prices

(4) Additional one more system in addition to earlier planned two systems to cope up with the increase over Board removal-
Turn-key implementation by general contract guarantees for achieving the rated coal production and Over Board removal.

Loan agreement was entered into between the Government of India and the German Bank only for this project to implement the crushing conveyor technology. The Government of India is already paying on this loan.

Everything has been done according to the Law. No doubt Sir, the matter was referred to the CB-CID people and report has come, which is under the process of examination and action will be taken after total examination of the Report. If you are able to satisfy this House that so much of money has changed hands and satisfactory examination has been completed, we shall agree. If not, let us not put forward any sort of picture before the people that this House which is going on appointing House Committee, which was the earlier practice, and no House Committee's Report ever saw the light of the Day. I regret, Sir, I cannot accede to the demand of a Judicial Enquiry.

(Interruptions)
When the Board has taken a split decision on a casting vote, you have a direction from the Director, Technology Department of Coal, an eminent authority, Mr. Sachdev. He has clearly raised two points. He said, one: that the German Technology is not economical. Second thing is this Subvel method can go up to 200 meters. When you have a split vote here in the Board - equally an difference of opinion by casting vote, you have decided. But on that the Department of Coal, Govt. of India has clearly suggested. Why have you not taken that into consideration?

Mr. Divakar Reddy was also a colleague with me when I was the Chairman of Public Undertakings Committee. Mr. V. Govinda Rajan was the Managing Director and if I remember correctly I think in 1987-88, I referred it to him. He has clearly got it enquired by the then Chief Security Officer and it was found that only for one year the size of the timber chalkpieces was increased but actually material was not of the size as per earlier orders. It was of the original size. Subsequently they have again referred to back which involves to a loss of Rs. 86 lakhs to Singareni Collieries. Was it not misappropriation? If so, why have you not suspended him on the spot, if you have no special interest on him?
Wherein - in one side, you have reduced coal supply to the Thermal units.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Sir, this also pertains to marketing Sir. (2) Industries which are not there - forged certificates were brought to the notice of the Marketing Division. The Marketing Division with collusion with those people and have not taken any action. It was brought to the notice of the Marketing Division. The Marketing Division with collusion with those people and have not taken any action.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- Sir, this relates to marketing also. Is there "Distribution of Coal or not" in the notice. You please read the Notice Sir.

Misappropriation of funds in allotment of tenders to KRUPP firm of GERMANY by Singareni Collieries Company at Ramagundam for Open Cast Project-II to the tune of Rs. 60 crores - purchase of wood - distribution of Coal etc.,.....
Yes - please "distribution of coal" is there.

(Sir Srinivasa Rao)

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- In this issue, when there is a split vote - you have authority on it. But the Technical Director Mr. Sachdev has clearly pointed it out that your observations are wrong. German Technology is not better. It is costly. Why have you overlooked that suggestion?

Sri N. Sita Sai:- 304 Sita Sai's observations are wrong. German Technology is not better. It is costly.

Sri N. Sita Sai:- 304 Sita Sai’s observations are wrong. German Technology is not better. It is costly. So, this argument may be relevant, when he is arguing in a murder case. That argument, as a Speaker, you have totally reject Sir. I do not know - probably my friend has not heard it.
That is not correct. Planning Commission never objected for adoption of new technology. It was only the Central Mines and Designs Department, they suggested to look into, keeping in view the cost factors about the indigenous technology - excepting that - they never said it about the adoption of the latest foreign technology, which goes to
the benefit of the entire mines as well as the State. Added to that, even in the Board meeting I have mentioned in the first instance that none of the Directors objected for this technology being adopted. The only point of factors that was there before them was the cost factor Sir. You just compare it. You have the merits and demerits of both the technologies. I said, merits preponderate demerits. I regret that this case does not warrant the appointment of either House Committee or Judicial Committee Sir.
We are not sitting over an appeal to the Board Meeting.

You are hiding. Why are you hiding? You please tell — why you are hiding.

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy: — Sir, I am not able to hear.

Have you examined that suggestion also?

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy: — Everything was looked into Sir.

What are all these Questions, I say, Sir? You have no business to quote it and I am not prepared to answer all these.
We are ready to prove it beyond doubt. It has happened in the past and even today it is happening. People are eating our money. We will prove it.

What sort of enquiry you want?

Mr. Deputy Speaker: - The stand of the Government has been reiterated time and again. The matter is still under examination by the Government of India.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— The House is adjourned to meet again in the evening at 5.00 p.m.

(The House then adjourned at 1.55 P.M.)
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Mr. Deputy Speaker:— The House is adjourned to meet again in the evening at 5.00 p.m.

(The House then adjourned at 1.55 P.M.)
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Come out for an open enquiry. We are prepared. We will prove beyond doubt the involvement of high officials in this scandal. Against the directions of the Appraisal Report......

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:- Sir, I need not repeat what all I said even on the earlier days about the rule position. We should not allow every irrelevant thing to creep into record. I want you to come to our rescue. Atleast, it should not be a burden. It should not be allowed to be a burden to record. If they have got anything, let them come forward by means of a motion or a notice against a particular incident. There is nothing like that. They cannot level allegations against the Chief Minister or an individual. Sir, if I start levelling allegations against Mr. Vidyadhara Rao or Chandrababu Naidu or Vidyasagara Rao, where is the end for it? Are we going to on allowing them to go on record making allegations? Shall I? I can level hundred and odd allegations. But I am not doing it. I am behaving in the most responsible manner.
The Government also abundantly made it clear that they are not prepared to give any further information. That is the Government stand.
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The Government also abundantly made it clear that they are not prepared to give any further information. That is the Government stand.

The Government also abundantly made it clear that they are not prepared to give any further information. That is the Government stand.

The Government also abundantly made it clear that they are not prepared to give any further information. That is the Government stand.

The Government also abundantly made it clear that they are not prepared to give any further information. That is the Government stand.

The Government also abundantly made it clear that they are not prepared to give any further information. That is the Government stand.
In view of the shortage of power - in view of the absolute power shortage...

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Action is initiated against him.

Sri Ch. Vidyasagar Rao:- He is accepting it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- It is not corrupt practice. The cheques were with him for sometime and for that action has been initiated against him.

Sri Ch. Vidyasagar Rao:- When Sethuraman is acting as a Liaison Officer between that Company and us...

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- That becomes misappropriation. Otherwise, it is wrongful to keep with him.

Sri Ch. Vidyasagar Rao:- They have depended on him. Everything depends on him only. There is prima facie. It is fishy.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Let us go to the business please. Let us go to the demands. Let us not waste further time of the House on this.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— It is not a subject for voting. You know that it is not a subject for voting Mr. Samarasimha Reddy, are you willing to add anything?

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:— Sir, I have replied to the discussion in a most elaborate way and I have nothing to add or delete to what I had already said.

Sri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy:— The Director (Technical), Department of Coal has clearly raised a doubt saying that this is all wrong. He explained the following points. He has also said that in this technology you can only work up to 110 meters.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- You have got your points. The Government has also got its own points.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- If you are not satisfied you have got your own way of expressing your resentment.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- As a Director his decision was also on casting vote. It was not a majority vote. It was only a casting vote. In the Govt. of India's Planning Appraisal Report the technical authority questioned the decision of the Board.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- If all these suggestions are there in the matter the Government of India will turn out the whole issue. Still it is under consideration of the Government of India. If any defects are there, they can turn out the whole thing.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- It is open to them.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- If Government of India can take a decision, as you said, it is correct. But the local officials who are at the helm of affairs in Singareni Company are taking the Government for a ride. They are taking the people of Andhra Pradesh for a ride. They are cheating the people of Andhra Pradesh, and the Government of Andhra Pradesh. They should be questioned. They should be shown their place. They cannot be continued in their position. They should be removed immediately.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- For all these points Mr. Samarasimha Reddy has given reply. You cannot further go on.

J. No. 195-16
Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- When the authority on coal has clearly stated the reasons why did they just keep quiet? How can this Government keep quiet, Sir, on such a massive scandal? Sri 176 డీయాంటి 512 ప్లేన్టు 800 డీయాంటి 600 సేమీ ముఖపత్రం

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- You have to come to our rescue, Sir. Here is the Appraisal Report of the Planning Commission which says that in Northern India... Their intention is clear. The Government is being misled by the Department to support their case.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Not only that aspect. All other aspects are also there. They are expected to be considered.

...
Coal is a Central subject. There is a tripartite agreement between the State, Central and the SCCL. Ultimately, all this has to be approved by the Central Government. Now, nothing has happened. The matter - the ball is in the court of the Central Government and let them examine. No heavens are going to come down. After all, we definitely take the cognizance of what all expressed here and what is transpired here. Let us wait and see. Nothing is going to happen here. Nothing is going to come down.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:- All right. I closed the subject. I do not allow any further discussion on this please. As a matter of fact, the subject was closed even before the House was adjourned in the morning. Even now, also you have tried to get information from the Government. - useful reply and categorial reply. He has made categorically clear that he is not going to add or detract from what he had already said in the morning. Therefore, there is no use of further discussion now, please. I cannot force a decision on the Government or is it within my purview to take a decision. I have given ample time.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- I have already given ample time for you. No further discussion, please.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- It is not a question of my satisfaction. No. No.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- Government is not satisfied with your points and you are not satisfied with Government reply. Division is there.
Let us not waste further time of the House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Leave it there. Any further discussion on this subject does not go into record.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Let us now go to the Demands.

If you want to show your resentment, the only way that is available is
there and you can avail it... I do not allow any further discussion.

(interruptions)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am going to the next item.

(Shouts of 'No' 'No' from the T.D.P. Members).

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The remaining matter under Rule 304 and the matters under Rule 74 are postponed.

All papers that are to be laid on the Table are deemed to have been laid on the Table.


re: Copy of the Ad-hoc Regulation relating to the Regulation of Direct Recruitment and Regularisation of services of persons appointed on Daily Wages.

Minister for Commercial Taxes (Sri K. Bapi Raju):- Sir, I beg to move:

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Entertainments Tax (Second Amendment) Bill, 1991."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Motion moved.

The question is: "That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Entertainment Tax (Second Amendment) Bill, 1991."

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.

(Sri M. Narasimha Reddy):— Sir, I beg to move:

"That leave be granted to introduce the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1991."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Motion moved.

The question is: "That leave be granted to introduce the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1991."

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Now, the discussion on the Demand II—Medical and Health Services to be continued.
( Interruptions )

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- The House is adjourned for 10 minutes.

(The House, then adjourned at 5.25 P.M.)

Mr. Speaker:- I request Sri Samarasimha Reddy, Hon. Minister to make a statement.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:- He has already twisted the matter.

Mr. Speaker:- Let him complete.....
Let him read out whatever has been agreed upon.

(Interruptions)

I can assure the Hon. Members that this Government is also interested in protecting the interests of the State. We are not going to shield anybody, if any mistake is found, in this issue. We are going to take proper action against the responsible person before the end of this Session. No further discussion, Sir.

(Interruptions)

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:— The clear understanding was that action will be taken against all the persons....

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:— Before the Session, he will take action.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:— Please, you give him direction, Sir. Before the end of the Session, announcement should be made in the House.

J. No. 195-17
Sri K. Rosaiah:- Sir, the Hon. Member, Sri Virasat Rasool Khan mentioned about one patient Miss Alia Begum in the morning at Zero Hour. In that regard I have to make a statement. Otherwise, a wrong impression is likely to be created in the minds.

**Patient:** Miss Alia Begum. Aged: 18 years, is a case of Lung T.B. and was under treatment at Dabeer Pura Hospital for some time. She discontinued the treatment on her own and later she was admitted in the Osmania General Hospital on 19.8.1991 with complaints of fever, head-ache and vomittings, which are the signs of the raised tension in the brain due to swelling of Brain. This was confirmed by Lung Doctor and ante-T.B. medicines were given. The patient was improving. Further, the loss of vision is due to increased intra-chronical tension and oedema swelling of the brain. The vision will improve in due course as Oedema of brain decreases. The medicines administered are of standard one and internationally accepted one. In this case, till now, all the care required is taken.

As I stated in the morning, after the adjournment of the House, I had been to the Osmania General Hospital and I met the girl and her mother and I also spoke to the Doctors. I told them to take necessary care.

Mr. Speaker:- Now, Sri Gopalakrishna Reddy will speak on the Medical Demand.
Mr. Speaker:— Some times, operation will not be success but patient will be alive.

The Speaker:— Sometimes operation will not be success and patient will be alive.
మెయిసిల్ ప్రారంభం - 30 నగరాలు మంత్రిత్వం 100 మంత్రిత్వం సిద్ధించిన విధానాల రిటైర్ జీవితం. అందుకే రాష్ట్ర పిల్లెవులు అండప్రోం వైస్పెయర్ పదార్థాలు విశ్లేషించి విశ్లేషించి మొదటిన స్థాయిలో వైస్పెయర్ పదార్థాలను విశ్లేషించారు. స్థానిక సంస్థలు వైస్పెయర్ పదార్థాలు మొదటి స్థాయిలో వైస్పెయర్ పదార్థాలను విశ్లేషించారు. స్థానిక సంస్థలు వైస్పెయర్ పదార్థాలను విశ్లేషించారు.
Low-cost Sanitations - conversion of dry type latrines to wet type - flush type.

మేడియన్ నావికుల కార్యకలాప అవ్వడానికి మరిన్ని పరిశీలన అవుతాయి. 

16 నవంబరి నాడుతూ సమీకరణ సంస్థ సాధనానికి మరింత విషయంలో మరియు విషయంలో మరింత విషయంలో మరియు 

2000 ప్రతిభా సాధించడానికి మరిన్ని పరిశీలన అవుతాయి. 

1988 సంస్థ ప్రయాణ సంస్థ సాధనానికి మరియు విషయంలో విషయంలో మరింత విషయంలో మరింత విషయంలో 

మేడియన్ నావికుల కార్యకలాప అవ్వడానికి మరిన్ని పరిశీలన అవుతాయి.
J. No. 195-18
1991-92 లో న‌ర్‌కాల రియాల్ శాసనం

ఇతర ప్రాత్రాలు వంటి రోజుల మీద ప్రాంతాలు మరాత్మ శాసనం, శాసనం,
మరిత వంటి ప్రాత్రాలు వంటి ప్రాత్రాలు నేషనల్‌ ప్రాత్రాలు నేషనల్‌
సాధనాలు కోసం కృషి చేస్తారు. మృతమైన ఆధునిక సాధనాలు తెలుపిన ప్రాత్రాలు నేషనల్‌
సాధనాలు కోసం కృషి చేస్తారు. తనమైన ఆధునిక సాధనాలు తెలుపిన ప్రాత్రాలు నేషనల్‌
కోసం కృషి చేస్తారు.

ఈ సాధనాలను ప్రయోగానికి వచ్చిన సమాచారానికి ఒకే సమాచారానికి వచ్చిన
 సమాచారానికి ఒకే సమాచారానికి వచ్చిన

యాత్రిక విశేషాలతో సమాచారం తెలుపిన ప్రాత్రాలు నేషనల్‌
సాధనాలు కోసం కృషి చేస్తారు. తనమైన ఆధునిక సాధనాలు తెలుపిన ప్రాత్రాలు నేషనల్‌
కోసం కృషి చేస్తారు.

యాత్రిక విశేషాలతో సమాచారం తెలుపిన ప్రాత్రాలు నేషనల్‌
సాధనాలు కోసం కృషి చేస్తారు. తనమైన ఆధునిక సాధనాలు తెలుపిన ప్రాత్రాలు నేషనల్‌
కోసం కృషి చేస్తారు.

యాత్రిక విశేషాలతో సమాచారం తెలుపిన ప్రాత్రాలు నేషనల్‌
సాధనాలు కోసం కృషి చేస్తారు. తనమైన ఆధునిక సాధనాలు తెలుపిన ప్రాత్రాలు నేషనల్‌
కోసం కృషి చేస్తారు.

యాత్రిక విశేషాలతో సమాచారం తెలుపిన ప్రాత్రాలు నేషనల్‌
సాధనాలు కోసం కృషి చేస్తారు. తనమైన ఆధునిక సాధనాలు తెలుపిన ప్రాత్రాలు నేషనల్‌
కోసం కృషి చేస్తారు.

యాత్రిక విశేషాలతో సమాచారం తెలుపిన ప్రాత్రాలు నేషనల్‌
సాధనాలు కోసం కృషి చేస్తారు. తనమైన ఆధునిక సాధనాలు తెలుపిన ప్రాత్రాలు నేషనల్‌
కోసం కృషి చేస్తారు.

యాత్రిక విశేషాలతో సమాచారం తెలుపిన ప్రాత్రాలు నేషనల్‌
సాధనాలు కోసం కృషి చేస్తారు. తనమైన ఆధునిక సాధనాలు తెలుపిన ప్రాత్రాలు నేషనల్‌
కోసం కృషి చేస్తారు.
Dr. J. Geethareddy (Gajwel):—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I support the budgetary demands introduced by the Minister for Health and Medical and Family Welfare. At the outset, I would like to compliment the Department for trying to provide adequate services with an inadequate budget. The growth rate of outpatients in various hospitals is almost 6 to 8 per cent, but if you take a close look of the budget allocation of this Department, it is 3.3 per cent, i.e., not even 4 per cent. How can a budgetary allocation of 4.4 per cent cope with the increased demand of patients? We all know that the prices of drugs have gone up. This is why the drugs are inadequately available and the hospital maintenance is also suffering. If we take into account the budgetary allocation provided by our neighbouring states, whether it is Maharashtra, Karnataka, Tamilnadu or Kerala, we know our budgetary allocation is a pittance. I cite the example of Kerala where the budgetary allocation is 11 per cent. Kerala is rising towards achieving the goal of health for all by 2000 A.D. I am very sorry to say that we cannot do so. We are lagging behind.

Having said some of the bitter truths, I would also like to compliment this Department. It has done well in certain respects. It has nearly come to the eradication of leprosy. I am sure, by 2000 A.D. we will achieve zero leprosy incidence. The incidence of blindness due to nutritional deficiencies has been well-controlled. Here, I would like to compliment the SD Hospital and its Superintendent, who was my teacher once, for doing commendable service in controlling and eradicating blindness in our State. We have brought down the incidence of tuberculosis and guinea worm. These are the positive aspects of our Department which I would like to keep on record.

Right at the beginning, I would like to plead the Government to increase the budgetary allocation for this Department because it is the Department required for the survival of
mankind, not just mere survival but a qualitative survival of the human being. I know that we have budgetary constraints. I would like to make a suggestion to the Minister through you. There are lots of five star hospitals which have sprang up in our State, especially the Capital City. These five star hospitals have taken lot of concessions for importing equipment. This is done on the basis that they will provide 30 per cent free treatment to the poor. Are they really providing such free treatment? Perhaps, the Government can check into this. The green card holder, the poor man, who cannot afford good treatment, can be directed directly from the peripheral Government Hospital to the so-called five star hospital. Perhaps, in this way the backlog of patients waiting for treatment can be avoided.

We also have to see the fact that when the recent census was done, Andhra Pradesh unfortunately has increased its population. Somewhere along the line, the family planning programme seem to be failing or they have not been properly conducting. I feel that we should put more effort and strengthen the activities of this Department. I am sure that encouraging voluntary organisations to actively participate and also encouraging private hospitals and nursing homes would help the matters.

It is really gratifying to note that the Government is doing its best to fill up the vacancies of medical and para-medical staff, but in reality it is not so because though these posts are filled up, there is hardly anybody who wants to go to the rural areas. The rural hospitals are still minus doctors. Postings are done, but nobody goes to these hospitals. This is where the Government needs to look at it in a very minute manner. I would like to make a few suggestions. Perhaps, it should become a part of the curriculum of MBBS. Secondly, it should also be taken into account when the promotion of a doctor comes in. Further, some residential quarters may be provided. Another suggestion is, when a doctor goes for entrance examination, a couple of marks may be given as an incentive for having worked in the rural areas. The other thing is, there are husband and wife working. Instead of they being posted separately, Government should look into such cases and post the husband and wife to the same hospital as that would help them to work with enthusiasm.

Our rural hospitals are appaling. It is shameful to say that not only the staff is lacking, but also some times the medicines are not available. Sometimes, when some serious cases come to the PHCs, transporting these serious cases to the rural hospitals becomes very difficult because of non-availability of ambulance. Perhaps, maintenance of ambulance would be an extra burden on the Government. I would like to suggest that the Government should have a rule that any Government vehicle that is available, to which every, Department may belong, even if it belongs to Forest Department, should be pressed into service to help serious patients. So that, at least we can help save a life.
I also would like to talk about daily wage doctors. I am a doctor. I have spent 12 years of my life to earn a degree. It would really be demeaning to call a doctor a daily wage worker. Unfortunately, this situation has come. I think we should rectify. Government is definitely trying its best to absorb them in any fashion. It is really shattering to know that a daily wage doctor earns less than today's mason. Government has spent lakhs of rupees educating them and making them doctors. It is a noble profession and people of this profession have to be respected. It is not fair. I call upon the Government to do something and see that this situation is not repeated.

Coming to the drugs, I was a Member of the House Committee on Spurious Drugs. We all know that the evil is rampant everywhere. The Committee recommended that the number of drug inspectors and the task force should be increased and we should also have more testing laboratories. There is a display of certificates in medical stores, but lot of people operating these stores are not trained. The person who indulges in sale of spurious drugs is given only a minor and minimal punishment. I feel the punishment for such individual who is playing with the life of a human being should be much severe. I request the Government to go into this aspect.

Talking about the Vaidya Vidhana Parishad, I feel it is an excellent thing where intermediate level hospitals are looked into. I am happy to note that Rs. 236 crores is forthcoming from the World Bank. This would enable the Government to create 12,000 beds over the existing 9,000 beds in the year 1991-92. Besides this, there will be more facilities. I have one suggestion. Most of the Vaidya Vidhana Parishad Hospitals have commercialised assets around the hospitals. These commercial complexes generate funds which are put into the general pool. I feel that the money earned from these commercial complexes should go into the individual hospitals but not the general pool.

I would like to stress upon something very important. That is about the diagnostic services in the blood banks. We have in adequate number of blood banks and also these blood banks are run by people who are not trained. They are run not only with untrained personnel, but we are not testing the blood for several things, specially for a condition like aids. Blood is transfused to save a person's life, but at the same time we also introduce a killer disease like aids. Test for aids should be provided and the persons who require the blood should be made to pay for that if the Government cannot afford it.

Now I come to monitoring. We are now in the world of advanced medicine. Today, the medicine is so advanced that, not in our country but abroad, operations are being done on the foetus in utero. When such an advancement is there in the rest of the world, our country and our State should keep up with it. In order to get statistics and data very quickly and
instantaneously, we should have a link up between the head­
quarters and district with some personal computers. Personal
computers can give us all the data and statistics required, be­
because some times such statistics like birth rate, infant
mortality, etc. are required by all of us.

Cancer detection in the women is something which I
would like to stress. Earlier there was mobile vans doing
this detection. I would like to stress, if this is not possi­
ble, if this cannot be re-introduced, the district personnel
should be advised to organise cancer detection clinics and if
that is also not possible, they should encourage and enthuse
the local voluntary organisations like the Rotary and Lions
to help them conduct these so-called cancer-detection camps.
Because of ignorance and lack of awareness, cancer is detected
in very late stages in rural population.

I have put all the facts before you. I really wish that
this Department will take some of my suggestions as concrete
and constructive. I also repeat my plea to the Government
that if we have to achieve health for all by 2000 A.D., our
budgetary allocation should definitely be increased. Thank you.
30 అత్రి లేదా నాకు చమ్మిన అవసరం ఉంది. ఈ నమోచేషింగొంటే నీకి వాస్తవం దీనిపై సంభాగాన్ని అడిగి ఎక్కడ ఉంది. ప్రతిభ ద్వార పై సంభాగాన్ని సమాధానం చేయడానికి అడగి వచ్చింది. ఈ నమోచేషింగొని నీవు దాని మీద పెంచాలి. ఇది ఎక్కడ ఉంది. నీకు వాస్తవం దీనిపై సంభాగాన్ని ఇందులో వచ్చింది.

అత్రి నమోచేషింగొని నీకి వాస్తవం దీనిపై సంభాగాన్ని ఇందులో వచ్చింది. ఈ నమోచేషింగొని నీకు వాస్తవం దీనిపై సంభాగాన్ని ఇందులో వచ్చింది.


13 பரப்பு சுருக்கத்தில் நிேர்கிறது? 1980ல் விளக்கம்: பெரும் தியாகர் 100 
பாதை நடைபெற்றது. 10க்கும் மேற்பட்டவர்கள் சமமான உயிரின் விளக்கத்தில் நடைபெற்றது. இது சர்வதேச நூற்றாண்டு வெளியிட்டது. பெரும் தியாகர் 10 
பாதை நடைபெற்றது. இது சுருக்கத்தில் நிலப்பட்டது. அதன் மைதானம் மேற்கு 
750 நூற்றாண்டு வரையில் ஒருவர் தொடர்புக் கொள்ள முடியும் பாதை. 10 பாதைகள் முறையியாக காணப்பட்டது. இந்த 
சமமான உயிரின் விளக்கத்தில் எப்போதுமே நடைபெற்றது. அதன் மைதானம் மேற்கு 
850 நூற்றாண்டு வரையில் ஒருவர் தொடர்புக் கொள்ள முடியும் பாதை. 10 பாதைகள் முறையியாக 
காணப்பட்டது. 1980 முறையில் சுருக்கத்தில் நிலப்பட்டது. இந்த 
சமமான உயிரின் விளக்கத்தில் எப்போதுமே நடைபெற்றது. அதன் 
மைதானம் மேற்கு 850 நூற்றாண்டு வரையில் ஒருவர் தொடர்புக் 
கொள்ள முடியும் பாதை. 10 பாதைகள் முறையியாக 
காணப்பட்டது. 1980 முறையில் சுருக்கத்தில் 

காணப்பட்டது.

మామచిఫ్ సందర్భంలో మామచిఫ్ సందర్భంలో జిల్లా పరిస్థితులు. అనేకం ప్రత్యేకంగా జిల్లా పరిస్థితులు. సమాతాత్మకీకరణ ప్రక్రియలు జరిగింది. చిన్నారు సమాధానం కోసం తగిన పాత్రం సంపాదించబడింది. 100 మంది ప్రతి జిల్లా పరిస్థితులు 6 సంవత్సరాలు. 

సాధారణంగా కాబట్టి 65 ప్రతిశాతం పరిస్థితులు ప్రతిష్ఠించబడింది. పరిస్థితి ప్రతిష్ఠించబడిన సమాధానాలు మంచిది. ప్రతిష్ఠించబడిన ప్రత్యేకాలు పరిస్థితులు 65 ప్రతిశాతం పరిస్థితులు. పరిస్థితి ప్రతిష్ఠించబడిన పరిస్థితులు పరిస్థితులు. 65 ప్రతిశాతం పరిస్థితులు. 

సందర్భంలో ఇది ఒక పరిస్థితి. ఇది ఒక పరిస్థితి. ఇది ఒక పరిస్థితి. ఇది ఒక పరిస్థితి. ఇది ఒక పరిస్థితి. ఇది ఒక పరిస్థితి. ఇది ఒక పరిస్థితి. ఇది ఒక పరిస్థితి. ఇది ఒక పరిస్థితి. ఇది ఒక పరిస్థితి. ఇది ఒక పరిస్థితి. ఇది ఒక పరిస్థితి. ఇది ఒక పరిస్థితి. ఇది ఒక పరిస్థితి. ఇది ఒక పరిస్థితి. ఇది ఒక పరిస్థితి.
they are diverting the patients to the private Nursing Homes.
1991-92 లో తొమ్మిద రాష్ట్రానికి చెందిన సాంప్రదాయికమైన సంస్థ అందరాలు. అతితో అందా జాతి నిష్క్రమించడానికి విషేషంగా నిర్ణయించిన శాసనానికి, రాష్ట్రం లో శాసనానికి ఎంచుగా నుండి ప్రత్యేక నిష్క్రమించడానికి విషేశంగా ఇంటిని చెందిన సంస్థ అందరాలు. నేటి తోల్పు ప్రాంతంలో ఇంటిని మరియు సాంప్రదాయానికి ఎంచుకుని ప్రత్యేక నిష్క్రమించడానికి విషేశంగా ఇంటిని మరియు సంస్థ అందరాలు.

J. No. 195-20
(The House then adjourned at 8.25 p.m. to meet again on Tuesday, the 10th September, 1991).
5. మరుస్తుడు:
- చట్టనుతో మిలించిన మిగిలిన నేతులు నిర్ణయం లేదు.

6. అమ్మద్ నామానికి మేము నాయమని.

7. మార్గం కావుదైము:
   - (1) 1991, చట్టనుతో మిలించిన మిగిలిన నేతులు నిర్ణయం (బిరుదు ప్రాంతం) పాటు.
     (మ్యుసెంట్ నిలీపి)
   - (2) 1991, హుస్నీస్ నిమిశం నిర్ణయం
     పాటు (నాయంవ్యాస) పాటు.
     (మ్యుసెంట్ నిలీపి)

8. అమ్మద్ నామానికి మేము నాయమని.

9. సింగు, అంతకు మరియు మాటలు మరుస్తుడు:
   - అంతకు మాటలు అంతకు మాటలు నిర్ణయం కావిను.

10. 1991-92 వరుసాన ప్రభుత్వం, రిట్రెయర్
    అవస్థలు:
    - (1) అంతకు లో లో. XX - హుస్నీస్ మిలించిన నేతులు
      పాటు (నాయంవ్యాస).
    - (2) అంతకు లో లో. XL - హుస్నీస్
      నిర్ణయం పాటు (నాయంవ్యాస).

(మామన్న 4వ మిలించిన వాటి)
(3) అధ్యాయం సౌ: XXII – అధీనం
మాత్రమే కళీషు రాములు:
(4) అధ్యాయం సౌ: XLI – మాత్రమే రాములు.
(5) అధ్యాయం సౌ: XLII – కళీషు మాత్రమే కళీషు రాములు.

(పిన్నకసమ్పాదనం)