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Arrest of Ryots in Kambarigam Village

221—

*1343—D—Q. — Sarvasri Ch. Rajeswara Rao (Siricilla), U. Ramachandra Raju (Vijayawada West) and K. Ycerram Naidu (Harischandrapuram).— Will the Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the tenants numbering 90 of Kambarigam village whose possession on their agricultural lands were certified and recorded by the Tahsildar of Palasa Mandal in Srikakulam district are being prevented from cultivation by the local police by promulgating Section 144, since 7th July, 1985;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the tenants and other ryots of that area are being arrested every day on the ground of breaking Section 144; and

(c) if so, the reasons therefor?

An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the Member.

Oil Answers to Questions

సంవత్సరం మాసం అంటే 6 సంవత్సరాలు (షానాడు 50 మాసం)
ముందు మొదటి వారి జన్మానం లభించిన ప్రపంచం
తోసం 7-1735 ఎలాంటి తేలిక ఈ తాతా నిలుసే
తయారీ మనం చేసే ఎక్కడ ఉంది.

చెప్పిన ప్రశ్నలను సంకేతం:

50 సంవత్సరాలు ఎండి వారి జన్మానం లభించిన ప్రపంచాలు. అందుకే దీనిలో ఎంతప్రశ్నలు అసలు మనం లేదు. ఎందుకంటే 4, 5 ప్రశ్నాలు దృశ్యమిత్తుంది. చదువు 114 వందం
తోసం 10 వందం ఆసుపత్రిలో ఎండి ఉంది ఉంది. అందుకే మేలు కంటే, 400 ఎలాంటి విషయాలు వివరించాలి అసలు
ప్రశ్నలను మృతులు సూచిస్తుంది. ఎందుకంటే ఎందుకంటే 144 వందం మనం లేదు మిస్టికమును హొంటను ప్రారంభించాలి అసలు
ప్రశ్నాలను మృతులు సూచిస్తుంది.

ప్రశ్నాలు ప్రారంభిస్తుంది:

1. మ. వల్లి లేవు ఎండి జన్మానం లభించి ఎండి లేదు
అసలు మనం లేదు, తాతా నిలుసే ప్రపంచం లేదు.
నిజానికి ఎండి జన్మానం లభించాలి ఉంది.
నిజానికి సూచిస్తూ ప్రారంభించాలి ఉంది.

చెప్పిన ప్రశ్నలను సంకేతం:

అండా సంవత్సరం 90 మాసం యొక్క తీసుకున్న ఎండి
తోసం 10 సంవత్సరమాన్ని అనే ప్రపంచం లభించాయి?
నిజానికి ఎండి లేదు ఎండి అసలు లేదు?

చెప్పిన ప్రశ్నలను సంకేతం:

ప్రశ్నాలు ప్రారంభిస్తుంది:

హింది సంవత్సరం 90 మాసం యొక్క తీసుకున్న ఎండి
తోసం 56 మాసం అనే ప్రపంచం లభించాయి?
నిజానికి ఎండి లేదు ఎండి అసలు లేదు?
నిజానికి ఎండి లేదు ఎండి అసలు లేదు?

1948 సంవత్సరం ఐదు వరకు, అదే ప్రాంతంలో తాతా ప్రారంభించాయన్ని అసలు పాటు ఉంది. 1948 సంవత్సరం


1. 프로젝트의 실각도는 매일 세일진히 업데이트 되는지 확인하세요. 현재 프로젝트의 실현은 2023년 2월까지 진행되고 있습니다. subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실현이 가능합니다. subsets로 전체 실현한 후, subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실현이 가능합니다.

2. 프로젝트의 실험결과는 매일 세일진히 업데이트 되는지 확인하세요. 현재 프로젝트의 실험은 2023년 2월까지 진행되고 있습니다. subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실험이 가능합니다. subsets로 전체 실험한 후, subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실험이 가능합니다.

3. 프로젝트의 실현결과는 매일 세일진히 업데이트 되는지 확인하세요. 현재 프로젝트의 실현은 2023년 2월까지 진행되고 있습니다. subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실현이 가능합니다. subsets로 전체 실현한 후, subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실현이 가능합니다.

4. 프로젝트의 실험결과는 매일 세일진히 업데이트 되는지 확인하세요. 현재 프로젝트의 실험은 2023년 2월까지 진행되고 있습니다. subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실험이 가능합니다. subsets로 전체 실험한 후, subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실험이 가능합니다.

5. 프로젝트의 실현결과는 매일 세일진히 업데이트 되는지 확인하세요. 현재 프로젝트의 실현은 2023년 2월까지 진행되고 있습니다. subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실현이 가능합니다. subsets로 전체 실현한 후, subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실현이 가능합니다.

6. 프로젝트의 실험결과는 매일 세일진히 업데이트 되는지 확인하세요. 현재 프로젝트의 실험은 2023년 2월까지 진행되고 있습니다. subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실험이 가능합니다. subsets로 전체 실험한 후, subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실험이 가능합니다.

7. 프로젝트의 실현결과는 매일 세일진히 업데이트 되는지 확인하세요. 현재 프로젝트의 실현은 2023년 2월까지 진행되고 있습니다. subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실현이 가능합니다. subsets로 전체 실현한 후, subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실현이 가능합니다.

8. 프로젝트의 실험결과는 매일 세일진히 업데이트 되는지 확인하세요. 현재 프로젝트의 실험은 2023년 2월까지 진행되고 있습니다. subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실험이 가능합니다. subsets로 전체 실험한 후, subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실험이 가능합니다.


10. 프로젝트의 실험결과는 매일 세일진히 업데이트 되는지 확인하세요. 현재 프로젝트의 실험은 2023년 2월까지 진행되고 있습니다. subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실험이 가능합니다. subsets로 전체 실험한 후, subsets를 통해 2023년 2월까지는 전체 실험이 가능합니다.
13th September, 1985. Oral Answers to Questions
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(2) 514. 514. 514. 514. 514. 514.
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(4) 514. 514. 514. 514. 514. 514.

(5) 514. 514. 514. 514. 514. 514.

(6) 514. 514. 514. 514. 514. 514.

(7) 514. 514. 514. 514. 514. 514.

(8) 514. 514. 514. 514. 514. 514.

(9) 514. 514. 514. 514. 514. 514.

(10) 514. 514. 514. 514. 514. 514.

(11) 514. 514. 514. 514. 514. 514.

(12) 514. 514. 514. 514. 514. 514.
Staff of Medical College Hospitals

224—

*904-Q.—Sarvasri M. Baga Reddy (Zaheerabad), R. Chenga Reddy (Nagari) and M. Rami Reddy (Tirupathi) :— Will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state;

(a) whether it is a fact that all the employees born on the hostel establishments attached to Government Medical Colleges in the State are not Government servants;

(b) whether it is also a fact that employees born on the hostel establishments of other professional colleges and Government colleges in the State are either Government servants or employees of Universities;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the workers serving in Kaka-
tiya Medical College Hostel are getting very meagre salaries and are not entitled to service benefits;
(d) whether there were any representations in the past to treat the Medical College Hostels as Government establishments; and
(e) if so, the action taken by the Government thereon?

(1) అయితే, పర్యాప్తంగా మార్గించాయం (అంటే మొదటి అందువల్ల పరిస్థితిపై)

(2) తదేయి. విచిత్రంగా నివాయం మరియు మాటికి సేవలాంతుడు

(3) ఎందుకంటి నామాంశం ఇతరుడు వచ్చింది.

(4) బహుమతి.

(5) ఎందుకంటి నామాంశం ఇతరుడు.

(6) ఇతరుడు నామాంశం ఇతరుడు.

1. (Q) సాగ్రహణం (కనుమారిడికి): ఎందుకు సాగ్రహణం అధికారికంగా ముందు కలిగి యొక్క సంస్థలు తనిఖీ అప్పుడు పొందాలి. సంస్థ 10 కేంద్రాలు 10 నాడులను విభజించి యొక్క సంస్థలు తనిఖీ అప్పుడు పొందాలి.

2. (Q) సాగ్రహణం (కనుమారిడికి): మరింత సాగ్రహణం అధికారికంగా ముందు కలిగి యొక్క సంస్థలు తనిఖీ అప్పుడు పొందాలి. సంస్థ 10 కేంద్రాలు 10 నాడులను విభజించి యొక్క సంస్థలు తనిఖీ అప్పుడు పొందాలి.

Oral Answers to Questions
Registration of Private Nursing Homes

225—

*640—Q.—Sarvasri V. Narayana Rao (Sirpur) and N. Amarnadha Reddy (Vayalpad).—Will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government propose to introduce a system of Registration of all the Private Nursing Homes and Clinics in the State;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the Government propose to constitute a Committee for assessing the standard of Medical Services and award Grades prescribed Linked Tax on them; and

(c) if so, a copy of the scheme be placed on the Table of the House?
13th September, 1983. Oral Answers to Questions

520

1. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)
ஸ్ట్యాంపులు అయింది ఏ సాధారణ సంస్థలలో మాత్రమే అసలు. అందుకే ఇక్సి మరొ తితి అందా లేదు. మందీయే సంస్థలలో దాని మరియు ఈ సంస్థలలో మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు. మరియు ప్రతిట్యేతులు మాత్రమే అసలు.

Oral Answers to Questions

తోంద పంచాయత్త రాయ అయ్యారు నాయకు. సంచాల మ.స. బాల్య అభివృద్ధి కి నమ్మాలు కేసరు ఉంటుందాం?

మా 10.మహి ద్రాక్షిద్రాయం:

--- సంచాల సమయంలో నిర్మాణ నిర్మాణం పండదిక లేదు. సంచాల మ.స. బాల్య అభివృద్ధి కి నమ్మనం ఉంది. నమ్మనం కారణం కేసరు ఉంటుందాం. మహి మ.స. బాల్య అభివృద్ధి కి నమ్మనం ఉంది. మ.స. బాల్య అభివృద్ధి కి నమ్మనం ఉందాం?

మా 10.మహి ద్రాక్షిద్రాయం:

--- సంచాల మ.స. బాల్య అభివృద్ధి కి నమ్మనం ఉందాం. నమ్మనం కారణం కేసరు ఉంటుందాం. మ.స. బాల్య అభివృద్ధి కి నమ్మనం ఉందాం.

సంచాల పంచాయత్త కేసరు ఉంటుందాం?

మా 10.మహి ద్రాక్షిద్రాయం:

--- సంచాల మ.స. బాల్య అభివృద్ధి కి నమ్మనం ఉందాం. నమ్మనం కారణం కేసరు ఉంటుందాం. మ.స. బాల్య అభివృద్ధి కి నమ్మనం ఉందాం.

సంచాల పంచాయత్త కేసరు ఉంటుందాం.
Allotments to Vizag Steel Plant

*216—Q— Sarvasri N. Indrasena Reddy (Malakpet), Ch. Vidyasagara Rao (Metpalli), A Narendra (Himayatnagar) and Baddam Bal Reddy (Karwan) :— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Centre has allotted only 215 crores of rupees to Visakhapatnam Steel Plant for the year 1985-86 though the Planning Commission has recommended for 1476 crores of rupees; and

(b) if so, the action taken by the State Government?

Sri S. Ramachandra Reddy :— (a) It is a fact that an amount of Rs. 215 crores is allotted for the year 1985-86. Additional funds to the tune of 485 crores are expected to be available through supplementary grants.

(b) The Chief Minister has written a Demi Official letter to the Union Minister for Steel and Mines on the first April, 1985 reiterating the State Government’s serious concern at the meagre budget provision made in the Central Plan 1985-86, to the Visakhapatnam Steel Project.
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(Several Members rose)

(INTERRUPTIONS)
Mr. Speaker:— All the Members cannot speak at a time. One after the other please.

Mr. Speaker:— Your suggestion will be taken into consideration.

Mr. Speaker:— The Members cannot speak at a time. One after the other please.

Mr. Speaker:— Let the Members speak one after the other.

Mr. Speaker:— Your suggestion will be taken into consideration.

Mr. Speaker:— The Members cannot speak at a time. One after the other please.

Mr. Speaker:— Let the Members speak one after the other.

Mr. Speaker:— Your suggestion will be taken into consideration.

Mr. Speaker:— The Members cannot speak at a time. One after the other please.

Mr. Speaker:— Let the Members speak one after the other.

Mr. Speaker:— Your suggestion will be taken into consideration.
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(తెలుగు)

ఎనిమిది రోమాన్ జేపై రసిద్ధి ఉందేది; ఎందుకు జేపై రసిద్ధి ఉంది?

భారతదేశం యంత్ర పరిస్థితులు జేపై రసిద్ధి ఉంది?

(సంఘాతి)

స్థితి ప్రపంచ సంఘాతి: కాగేమి లాంటి మృతి అనుమతించడం కంప్యూటర్ పరిస్థితులు లేదా 10 మాసాలకంప్యూటర్ పరిస్థితులు లేదా మృతి అంకెలు. అయితే మృతి అనుమతించడం కంప్యూటర్ పరిస్థితులు మరియు మృతి అంకెలు నాణాంతరించడం ప్రయత్నం ఇది ప్రయత్నం సాగించాం, అయితే సంఘాతి ప్రపంచ సంఘాతి మరియు మృతి అంకెలు సాగించడం అవలంబించగా ఉంటుంది.

అనంతరించడం జేపై విచిత్రమైన పంప్లర్ పరిస్థితులు లేదా 10 మాసాల పరిస్థితులు లేదా మృతి అంకెలు. అయితే మృతి అనుమతించడం కంప్యూటర్ పరిస్థితులు మరియు మృతి అంకెలు నాణాంతరించడం ప్రయత్నం ఇది ప్రయత్నం సాగించాం, అయితే సంఘాతి ప్రపంచ సంఘాతి మరియు మృతి అంకెలు సాగించడం అవలంబించగా ఉంటుంది.

(సంఘాతి)

ప్రపంచ సంఘాతి (సంఘాతి‌సంఘాతి):

మనం లాంటి మృతి ఒకే పంప్లర్ పరిస్థితులు లేదా 10 మాసాల పరిస్థితులు లేదా మృతి అంకెలు. అయితే మృతి అనుమతించడం కంప్యూటర్ పరిస్థితులు మరియు మృతి అంకెలు నాణాంతరించడం ప్రయత్నం ఇది ప్రయత్నం సాగించాం, అయితే సంఘాతి ప్రపంచ సంఘాతి మరియు మృతి అంకెలు సాగించడం అవలంబించగా ఉంటుంది.

(ప్రపంచ సంఘాతి‌సంఘాతి)

ప్రపంచ సంఘాతి (ప్రపంచ సంఘాతి‌సంఘాతి):

1883-84 సంస్థ 1984-85 సంస్థ మృతి అంకెల అంకెలు సాగించడం ప్రయత్నం ఇది ప్రయత్నం సాగించాం, అయితే సంఘాతి ప్రపంచ సంఘాతి మరియు మృతి అంకెలు సాగించడం అవలంబించగా ఉంటుంది.

3. Q. 327. 1933-34, 1983-84, 1981-85 నాలుగు సంవత్సరాల సంచాలనాలు నిర్ధిష్ట శతాబ్ది ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ఉన్న ప్రత్యేక సమయాన్ని తెలియదు? అది పొరుగు లుమెంటెలా కాలం 1988-89 నాలుగు సంవత్సరాల సంచాలన వాటి సంచాలన ప్రత్యేక సమయాన్ని అందుబాటులో ఉండి ప్రత్యేక సమయాన్ని వచ్చిందని దృశ్యం వచ్చిందని వచ్చిందని వచ్చిందని?

ఇది వ. దిద్దని విధం:— ఎదురు విధానాలు సంచాలనాలు సరఫరా అంటే, ఎందుకంటే ప్రత్యేక సమయం తమిళు సరఫరా అంటే 1983-84, 1981-85 సంవత్సరాల సంచాలన ప్రత్యేక సమయాన్ని అందించడం ప్రత్యేక సమయాన్ని వచ్చిందని వచ్చిందని వచ్చిందని?

3. Q. 327. 1933-34, 1983-84, 1981-85 నాలుగు సంవత్సరాల సంచాలనాలు నిర్ధిష్ట శతాబ్ది ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ఉన్న ప్రత్యేక సమయాన్ని తెలియదు? అది పొరుగు లుమెంటెలా కాలం 1988-89 నాలుగు సంవత్సరాల సంచాలన వాటి సంచాలన ప్రత్యేక సమయాన్ని అందుబాటులో ఉండి ప్రత్యేక సమయాన్ని వచ్చిందని వచ్చిందని వచ్చిందని?

ఇది వ. దిద్దని విధం:— ఎదురు విధానాలు సంచాలనాలు సరఫరా అంటే, ఎందుకంటే ప్రత్యేక సమయం తమిళు సరఫరా అంటే 1983-84, 1981-85 సంవత్సరాల సంచాలన ప్రత్యేక సమయాన్ని అందించడం ప్రత్యేక సమయాన్ని వచ్చిందని వచ్చిందని వచ్చిందని?
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Q1. Dr. Narayana Reddy:— What is the expenditure incurred during the years 1981-82 to 1985-86?

A1. Dr. Narayana Reddy:— The expenditure incurred during the years 1981-82 to 1985-86 are as follows:

- 1981-82: Rs. 217.70
- 1982-83: Rs. 250
- 1983-84: Rs. 437
- 1984-85: Rs. 850
- 1985-86: Rs. 215

Q2. Dr. Sreedhar Reddy:— Is it true that the fund has been reduced in the year 1981-85?

A2. Dr. Sreedhar Reddy:— Yes, the fund has been reduced in the year 1981-85.

Q3. Dr. Sreedhar Reddy:— What is the fund for the year 1985-86?

A3. Dr. Sreedhar Reddy:— The fund for the year 1985-86 is Rs. 215.

Q4. Dr. Sreedhar Reddy:— Is it true that the expenditure incurred was Rs. 215 in the year 1981-85?

A4. Dr. Sreedhar Reddy:— Yes, the expenditure incurred was Rs. 215 in the year 1981-85.

Q5. Dr. Sreedhar Reddy:— What is the fund for the year 1981-85?

A5. Dr. Sreedhar Reddy:— The fund for the year 1981-85 is Rs. 215.

Q6. Dr. Sreedhar Reddy:— Is it true that the fund has been reduced in the year 1981-85?

A6. Dr. Sreedhar Reddy:— Yes, the fund has been reduced in the year 1981-85.
Mr. Speaker:— For the present, I am satisfied.

Mr. Speaker:— I will ask him to furnish the details.

Mr. Speaker:— I will ask him to furnish the details.

Oral Answers to Questions

1. ల. సంఘర్షం: — (2) సంఘర్షం నఫారులు జాతికి సహాయం పొందింది.

2. ధ. సమాధానశీలం: — మన దేశం సాధారణ చాలా అరుదు బాధాలను పరిష్కరించాడు.

3. ర. సంఘర్షం: — జాతీయ సంఘర్షం నఫారులు జాతికి సహాయం పొందింది.

4. హ. సాధారణశీలం: — సాధారణ శీలాలు నఫారులు జాతికి సహాయం పొందింది.

ముఖ్య ప్రశ్నాలు:

1. యు. సంఘర్షం: — జాతికి సహాయం పొందింది.

2. ఐ. సమాధానశీలం: — సాధారణ శీలాలు నఫారులు జాతికి సహాయం పొందింది.

3. ఔ. సాధారణశీలం: — సాధారణ శీలాలు నఫారులు జాతికి సహాయం పొందింది.

4. చ. సంఘర్షం: — జాతికి సహాయం పొందింది.

5. అం. సంఘర్షం: — జాతికి సహాయం పొందింది.

6. ప. సమాధానశీలం: — సాధారణ శీలాలు నఫారులు జాతికి సహాయం పొందింది.

7. రా. సంఘర్షం: — జాతికి సహాయం పొందింది.
Compensation to Land Owners of Visakhapatnam Steel Plant

227—

*796-Q.—Sri R. C.enga Reddy:— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether payment of compensation to the persons whose Lands are occupied for the construction of Steel Plant at Visakhapatnam is completed;

(b) if not, the reasons for not paying compensation to them;

(c) whether there were representations from such persons for payment of compensation; and

(d) if so, the action taken by the State Government in this regard?

Mr. Speaker:— Time is over. I cannot help.

Mr. Speaker:— That has to be decided by all the Opposition Leaders. Whether this question is important or not, I cannot help. I cannot allow and you cannot blame me. I will go by rules. After question hour, I am not going to allow any discussion. The question hour is over.
Sri R. Chenga Reddy:— This is an important question, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:— I am sorry. I cannot help and I do not allow any supplementaries after one hour. The question hour is over.

Coach Factory at Kazipet

228—

"90 Q—Sarvasri K. Nageswara Rao (Kothagudem), N. Indrasena Reddy, Baddam Bal Reddy, A. Narendra and P. Chandra Sekar (Mahabubnagar):— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware of the fact that the Railway Coach Factory proposed to be set up at Kazipet might be located elsewhere; and

(b) if so, the action proposed to be taken by the State Government in this regard?

A. Narasimha Reddy:— (a) yes.

(b) It is for some knowledge to the Members.

Mr. Speaker:— I will not exceed more than one hour.
Mr. Speaker:— I cannot help. I have to go by rules.

These are all man-made rules.

We will discuss in the Business Advisory Committee.

In the Parliament or in any State Legislature, the question hour is not to exceed one hour. It is a statutory period.

That will be decided in the Business Advisory Committee, with all the Opposition Leaders. Then, I am prepared to follow the decision. I cannot exceed one hour.

There should be equal distribution of time to all the questions.

It reflects the temper of the House.
Mr. Speaker:— You please give notice. We will consider it.

Mr. Speaker:— The question No. 230 is postponed at the request of the Member.

L. A. Q. Postponed From 5—9—1985

Allotment of Funds to District Planning Boards

*1166— Sri M. Gopala Krishna (Kakinada):— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Government is allotting one crore rupees to each District Planning Board;

(b) Whether there is any proposal to increase the said amount to bigger districts?

Mr. Speaker:— The question No. 230 is postponed at the request of the Member.

Mr. Speaker: We are only following the rules. We are not preventing anybody from expressing his views. I cannot exceed one hour.

Mr. Speaker: Every question is very important. Hereafter we shall treat even the postponed questions as regular questions and only answers will be tabled. Nothing will be allowed.

Mr. Speaker: Let me conduct the House properly.
Mr. Speaker:— Hereafter I will not allow any Minister to get the question postponed. He will have to answer. If not, some other Minister will answer, not at the request of the Minister but at the request of the Member, we will postpone it.

Mr. Speaker:—...
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ష. దీనుడు :— నాయకము రాష్ట్రపతిని అధికారం ఉపయోగించండి. రే రాష్ట్రపతి
కు ఎందుకు కొరకు ఆయాసం ఉండటానికి లాంటి విషయం?

ష. 30. గణాదేశాధికారులు :— అతని విషయం రాష్ట్రపతి మాత్రమే
అధికారం ఉపయోగించడానికి ఇంతో వేసేందుకు చెప్పకోవడానికి
నిర్ణయం చేసింది.

Mr. Speaker :— Gopalakrishna garu heavens are not falling. Let us follow one procedure.

ష. 31. అన్నపూర్ణ రాధి :— 10 వేళు, 15 వేళు అడవి లాంటి, 45 వేళు అడవి లాంటి అడవులు అధికారం ఉపయోగించడానికి అధికారం
ఉపయోగించడానికి ఇంతో వేసేందుకు చెప్పకోవడానికి ఇంతో చెప్పకోవడానికి
నిర్ణయం చేసింది.

ష. 32. గణాదేశాధికారులు :— చాలా ఎక్కడ విషయాలు అధికారం ఉపయోగించడానికి
నిర్ణయం చేసింది.

Mr. Speaker :— ఇది ఎంత ఋతుగా తయారు కనిపించారో? ఇది ఎంత ఎవరు తయారు కనిపించారో?

ష. 33. రామకృష్ణ రావు :— అయిన సమయం అధికారం ఉపయోగించడానికి అధికారం
ఉపయోగించడానికి ఇంతో వేసేందుకు చెప్పకోవడానికి ఇంతో చెప్పకోవడానికి
నిర్ణయం చేసింది.

ష. 34. రామకృష్ణ రావు :— నేటి కాలం కుటుంబానికి వినాయకరాయం చేయడానికి
నిర్ణయం చేసింది.

ష. 35. రామకృష్ణ రావు :— అది రాశి రాశి రాసింది. అది ఎది రాశి
చేయడానికి ఇంతో వేసేందుకు చెప్పకోవడానికి ఇంతో చెప్పకోవడానికి
నిర్ణయం చేసింది.

ష. 36. రామకృష్ణ రావు :— రాసి రాసి నిర్ణయం చేసింది.

Oral Answers to Questions

(538)
Mr. Speaker:— I will answer everybody. You please sit down. Rajasekhara Reddy garu, you are deviating from the subject. Mostly Ministers are also asking and Members are also asking for postponement. That is the point. 

Dr. Y. S. Rajasekhara Reddy:— For that particular question, last Friday the Chair has given a positive direction.

You are not answering on behalf of the Minister. Please sit down. You do not hold a brief for the Speaker.

Is it not the responsibility of the Office to see that particular commitment is fulfilled?
Mr. Speaker:— I will look into it as to what has happend. Now, the Short-Notice Question No. 1354.

**SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**
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Grant of Licenses Without Observing Rules and Regulations in Mines Department

SNQ. 1354-R:— Sarvasri S. Venugopalachari, M. Venkateswara Rao (Dichpalli), K. Veeraiah, A Laxminarayana (Miryalaguda), N. Raghava Reddy, P. Venkatapathi (Sattenapalli), B. Venkateswara Rao (Madhira), T. Seetharam (Amadalavalasa), K. Vidyadhar Rao (Chintalapudi), Ch. Vidy Sagar Rao and A. Narendra:— Will the Minister for Mines be pleased to state:

a) Whether it is a fact that the officials in the Mines Department are issuing licenses to persons of their liking without observing rules and regulations; the number of such licenses issued;

b) If so, the action taken by the Government against them;

c) Whether it is also a fact that the Government are deceived by treating valuable black stone as on ordinary stones by the officials of the Mines Department; and

d) If so, the steps taken to avoid the repetition of such things in future?
అంటారు సమయం నిర్ధారించడానికి? మాత్రమే ప్రమాణం కాదు యించిన కారణం ఉందే కంటే శాతం ఉండి?

టీ 8. ప్రశ్నాంశాలు: అనుసారం యుగాత్మక ప్రశ్నాంశాలు పాటు చేస్తుంది. మాత్రమే యించిన ప్రశ్నాంశాలు మేలు పాడాలి. అది చాలా ప్రశ్నలు ప్రపంచంలో పరిమితం వాడుకోతున్నాడు. 

టీ 9. ప్రశ్నాంశాలు: యుగాత్మక ప్రశ్నాంశాలు కాదు పాటు చేస్తుంది. మాత్రమే యించిన ప్రశ్నాంశాలు మేలు పాడాలి. అది చాలా ప్రశ్నలు ప్రపంచంలో పరిమితం వాడుకోతున్నాడు.
I request the Minister to understand the question first. The Member's statement is that on account of misuse and irregular issue of licences Government is sustaining loss of crores of rupees.

Mr. Speaker:— If the irregularity and leakage is plugged, several crores of rupees can come to Government. Why not the
Government take action in this direction? He is not concerned with
the small amount, but about crores of rupees for which irregular
licences have been issued.

1. 342:— He is not concerned with
amount, but about crores of rupees for which irregular
licences have been issued.

2. 343:— He is not concerned with
amount, but about crores of rupees for which irregular
licences have been issued.

3. 344:— He is not concerned with
amount, but about crores of rupees for which irregular
licences have been issued.

4. 345:— He is not concerned with
amount, but about crores of rupees for which irregular
licences have been issued.

5. 346:— He is not concerned with
amount, but about crores of rupees for which irregular
licences have been issued.
Mr. Speaker:— This is not an answer expected from the Minister. You must give a proper answer.

Mr. Speaker:— You have already suggested certain steps. He will take necessary action.

Mr. Speaker:— We must consider the interests of the citizens. The government must take appropriate action to protect the rights of the people. I request the minister to take action immediately.

Mr. Speaker:— The minister has already taken action. However, we need to ensure that the action is implemented effectively.
They should positively impose a condition, that there will be no middleman, but only the owner will export. Then alone can the Govt. get more royalty and more amount in sales tax.
Discrepancy in Allotment of Seats by Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University

SNQ. 1364-J—Smt. Allu Bhanumathi (Visakhapatnam-I):—
Will the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) Whether any discrepancy was shown by giving excess representation to any area in allotting seats both in Graduation and Post Graduation level in the Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University; and

(b) If so, the particulars in detail be furnished in this regard?

(§) 5&g S^ $?&&g :— oagg. ^^bd3o R&g^w ^ ^tj\n
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Discrepancy in Allotment of Seats by Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University

SNQ. 1364-J—Smt. Allu Bhanumathi (Visakhapatnam-I):—
Will the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) Whether any discrepancy was shown by giving excess representation to any area in allotting seats both in Graduation and Post Graduation level in the Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University; and

(b) If so, the particulars in detail be furnished in this regard?

(§) 5&g S^ $?&&g :— oagg. ^^bd3o R&g^w ^ ^tj\n
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సుమారు‌లో మూడు సంఖ్య ప్రపంచ సంఖ్య అంశం మాత్రమే అందరిట వచ్చింది. 42 ఇంటెలో ఫలితమైనందుండి. అందుకు నింటికంటే 38 ఇంటెలో ఇందుకు అందించబడింది. ఆ విధంగా ఎంత హస్తాలు నిర్మించబడింది? ఇందుకు నింటికంటే 22 ఇంటెలో ఎంత హస్తాలు నిర్మించబడింది? ఇందుకు నింటికంటే నింటి జైలింగా ఉండే విధంగా నిర్మించబడింది. 42 ఇంటెలో మూడు ప్రపంచ సంఖ్య అంశం మాత్రమే కంటే 38 ఇంటెలో ఇందుకు లక్షణాలు నిర్మించబడింది. ఆ విధంగా ఎంత లక్షణాలు నిర్మించబడింది? ఇందుకు నింటికంటే 22 ఇంటెలో ఎంత లక్షణాలు నిర్మించబడింది?
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(టో) రాష్ట్రం సంఖ్య ప్రమాణం: — అంపట్టం సమయంలో, అ మరికొని చరిత్రలో సంఖ్య ప్రమాణం నిషేధం. ఇది ఎందుకంటే, కాని ఎందుకంటే సంఖ్య ప్రమాణం మరియు అతి ప్రశ్నలు సంఖ్య ప్రమాణం యొక్క విశేషాలు ఉంటాయి. తిరిగి మారుతుంది, తిరిగి మారుతుంది సంఖ్య ప్రమాణం కంటే విషయాన్ని జాగ్రత్త పైన నిషేధం చేస్తుంది, అంటే మా తమకు చెప్పాలి, మరియు మూడు విషయాలు కంటే జరిగాలి. అంటే మరియు మూడు విషయాలు కంటే జరిగాలి.

(టో) లింగం ప్రమాణం: — లింగం ప్రమాణం ప్రాణాల ప్రయుక్తం చేస్తుంది. విశేషమైన ప్రయుక్తం ఇది మారుతుంది లింగం ప్రమాణం మరియు విశేషాలు ఉంటాయి. తిరిగి మారుతుంది, తిరిగి మారుతుంది లింగం ప్రమాణం కంటే విషయాన్ని జాగ్రత్త పైన నిషేధం చేస్తుంది, అంటే మా తమకు చెప్పాలి, మరియు మూడు విషయాలు కంటే జరిగాలి. అంటే మరియు మూడు విషయాలు కంటే జరిగాలి.

(టో) సంపర్క ప్రకారాన్ని: — సంపర్క ప్రకారాన్ని ప్రయుక్తం చేస్తుంది. విశేషమైన ప్రయుక్తం ఇది మారుతుంది సంపర్క ప్రకారాన్ని మరియు విశేషాలు ఉంటాయి. తిరిగి మారుతుంది, తిరిగి మారుతుంది సంపర్క ప్రకారాన్ని కంటే విషయాన్ని జాగ్రత్త పైన నిషేధం చేస్తుంది, అంటే మా తమకు చెప్పాలి, మరియు మూడు విషయాలు కంటే జరిగాలి. అంటే మరియు మూడు విషయాలు కంటే జరిగాలి.
సి, 13వ కార్యకర్త (విశేష చిత్రాలం) — ప్రతి దినం ప్రయత్నం చేసి విషయాలను పరీక్షించారు. దీనిలో వేదిక, గాన్ని, ఆరోగ్య, సాంస్కృతిక, సాంస్కృతిక భావనలు ఉంది. (ప్రామాణిక) మ. సి. (సాగ్రథిక) పిలిచారు. అందువల్ల ఆమేషమైన మ. సి. సమాధానం చేసినా తరువాత ప్రశ్నలను ఇచ్చారు. ఏ ప్రశ్నాంశం ఇంటికి ఉంటే ఈ ప్రశ్నలను మార్పు చేయడానికి తయారు చేసినా తరువాత ప్రశ్నలను ఇచ్చారు. దీని మేధావుతుంది, లక్షణ వివరణలు ఏమిటి ఆధారం చేస్తుంది? అది పూర్వం కాక మ. సి. (సాగ్రథిక) సమాధానం చేసినా తరువాత ప్రశ్నలను ఇచ్చారు. ఇది కాక మ. సి. ప్రశ్నల కొన్ని మూలంలో కూడా పరిస్థితి ఆధారం చేస్తుంది. 10వ సంవత్సరం కాక మ. సి. సమాధానం చేసినా తరువాత ప్రశ్నలను ఇచ్చారు. (ప్రామాణిక) లాంతమన పూర్వ ప్రశ్నలు ఏమిటి ఆధారం చేస్తుంది?

సి, 13వ కార్యకర్త ప్రతి దినం ప్రయత్నం చేసి విషయాలను పరీక్షించారు. దీనిలో వేదిక, గాన్ని, ఆరోగ్య, సాంస్కృతిక భావనలు ఉంది. (ప్రామాణిక) మ. సి. (సాగ్రథిక) పిలిచారు. అందువల్ల ఆమేషమైన మ. సి. సమాధానం చేసినా తరువాత ప్రశ్నలను ఇచ్చారు. ఏ ప్రశ్నాంశం ఇంటికి ఉంటే ఈ ప్రశ్నలను మార్పు చేయడానికి తయారు చేసినా తరువాత ప్రశ్నలను ఇచ్చారు. దీని మేధావుతుంది, లక్షణ వివరణలు ఏమిటి ఆధారం చేస్తుంది? అది పూర్వం కాక మ. సి. (సాగ్రథిక) సమాధానం చేసినా తరువాత ప్రశ్నలను ఇచ్చారు. ఇది కాక మ. సి. ప్రశ్నల కొన్ని మూలంలో కూడా పరిస్థితి ఆధారం చేస్తుంది. 10వ సంవత్సరం కాక మ. సి. సమాధానం చేసినా తరువాత ప్రశ్నలను ఇచ్చారు. (ప్రామాణిక) లాంతమన పూర్వ ప్రశ్నలు ఏమిటి ఆధారం చేస్తుంది?
BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Altered by the Hon. Speaker:— Sessional Order 221: to return Home 329 Hansard papers to the Secretariat. 

Altered by the Hon. Speaker:— Sessional Order 221: to return Home Hansard papers to the Secretariat.

Mr. N. S. (Chairman):— Speaker's Order 358: to add to Standing Order 221: to return Home 329 Hansard papers to the Secretariat.

Mr. N. S. (Chairman):— Speaker's Order 358: to add to Standing Order 221: to return Home Hansard papers to the Secretariat.
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Mr. N. S. (Chairman):— Speaker's Order 358: to add to Standing Order 221: to return Home Hansard papers to the Secretariat.

Mr. N. S. (Chairman):— Speaker's Order 358: to add to Standing Order 221: to return Home Hansard papers to the Secretariat.
Mr. Speaker :— As to Statement to be made by the Chief Minister, he had said that it would be given on or before 15th September. Just now he informed that the Statement would be given on 16th September.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

Mr. Speaker :— I have already looked into the matter and I have passed it on and it will be taken. Please sit down. Don’t insist.

Sri V. Mohan Ranga Rao :— They are collecting illegal Taxes.

Mr. Speaker :— The Government should also be made to enquire into it. Immediately on your allegation, it will not be looked into. That is why I said, what all you have given, that information was passed on to the Home Minister. He will look into it. You have brought to the notice of the Government and let the Government be given an opportunity to enquire into it and take action. That is all.

Dr. Y. S. Rajasekhara Reddy :— Sir, unfortunately, the Government is so thick-skinned that... 

Mr. Speaker :— Rajasekhara Reddy garu, the reply has been given. Only at the instance of the Members I have looked into the papers. I have found that certain things are passed on to him. He will take necessary action. But the answer is already given and we are not expecting any further answer from the Govt. The only thing is, whether such an irregularity namely whether any traffic constable or traffic officer or Dy. C. R. O., is collecting the tax from persons parking the vehicles. That is the question.

Mr. Speaker :— I felt that the allegations of the Members are true and forwarded the same. Let the action emanate please.
Adjournment Motion

re: Judgement of the High Court in respect of Order issued against Vice-chairman, A. P. Mining Corporation. (disallowed)

Mr. Speaker:— We have certain procedure. Now, immediately we cannot ask the Minister as to what action he is taking in the particular matter. There are certain procedures, rules and regulations. We are abiding by those rules and regulations. Now presently we are having 300 notices before us. Before that, Sri Rajasekhara Reddy has asked to take up the Adjournment Motion. Is this important or...
Adjournment Motion :

re: Judgment of the High Court in respect of Order issued against Vice-chairman, A. P. Mining Corporation. (disallowed)
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Adjournment Motion 13th September, 1983. 555

re: Judgment of the High Court in respect of Order issued against Vice-chairman, A. P. Mining Corporation. (disallowed)

"Now the State, claiming to be vested with a right, which is ex-facie autocratic, has not been implementing several final orders of the Tribunal even though the power of annulment or modification has not been exercised."

"In my opinion it would be indirectly activising the same result with the additional advantage of not following the principles of natural justice, not giving the reasons and also not having placed the matters before both the Houses of Legislature. Such a thing would be the very negation of rule of law."

Sri K. Vidhyadhara Rao:— You have said that before giving the ruling on the adjournment motion, you wanted the Member to explain. First of all, as per rule 63, the house should know what the adjournment motion is. We are not aware of the adjournment motion.

Mr. Speaker:— It is already stated.

Mr. Rambhupal Chowdary:— I have explained. It is about the suspension of E.S. Reddy.

Mr. Speaker:— I will read out. Sri M. Baga Reddy, Leader of the opposition and other members and also separately Sri Ch. Vidhyasagar Rao have given notice of an adjournment motion. "While suspending the G.O. temporarily debarring officer from functioning, the Judge of the A P. High Court has made observations that the Government Orders were arbitrary and discriminatory. He expressed surprise that no disciplinary action was taken against those who committed irregularities and action was taken against a person not responsible for the act. The Judge also passed certain strictures both against the State Government and Chief Minister. Hence the matter is of urgent public importance to adjourn the house for discussion."

Sri K. Vidhydhara Rao:— Sir, on a Point of Order.

Mr. Speaker:— What is the Rule? Is there any deviation from the Rules and Regulations?
Adjournment Motion:

re: Judgment of the High Court
in respect of Order issued against
Vice-chairman, A. P. Mining
Corporation. (disallowed)

Sri K. Vidhyadhara Rao:— Iam quoting. First of all, if
you have permitted under Rule 63, according to practice and proce-
dure of Parliament by Kaul and Shakder, page, 424, matter raised
in an adjournment motion should be a larger issue and it should not
be an individual grievance.

Mr. Speaker:— Are you going to say what I am going to
pronounce? What is it?

Sri K. Vidhyadhara Rao:— Any issue under adjournment
motion cannot be a specific issue and it is very clearly laid down in
the practice and procedure of Parliament by Kaul and Shakder that
service grievances and individual cases of administrative character
such as appointment, promotion, etc., of officers or class officers
of the Government...........

Mr. Speaker:— You must bear in mind, when I have allowed
him to say you have raised a point of order. You confine to the
extent whether he is entitled to make a statement. You don’t bother
whether it is in order or not in order. Is it to be allowed or not,
comes subsequently. That stage has not come.
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re: Judgment of the High Court in respect of Order issued against Vice-chairman, A. P. Mining Corporation. (disallowed)

Mr. Speaker:— Those details have been given by Sri Chowdari garu. Skip over those portions which have already been stated.

*** Expunged as ordered by the chair.
Adjournment Motion:
re: Judgment of the High Court in respect of Order issued against Vice-chairman, A.P. Mining Corporation. (disallowed)

Mr. Speaker:— That subject is not before us.

Sri A. Dharma Rao:— I am only narrating the background.

Mr. Speaker:— You are entitled to say on another occasion, not now.

Sri A. Dharma Rao:— The specific issue is, Sir, the Chief Minister is flouting the orders of the High Court, flouting the Acts and Rules, committing illegal acts, passing illegal orders with partiality, with discrimination and to the detriment of crores of people of this State. Therefore, his conduct is blameworthy. It is not in the interest of the State for such a person to continue in a high position like the Chief Minister.

Mr. Speaker:— Again you are going back to what I have stated already.

Sri G. Buchaiah Chowdary:— The Speaker is not bound to give any reason for refusal to give consent to the adjournment motion.

Mr. Speaker:— Until I give a ruling, you explain your cases why adjournment motion should be allowed.

Mr. Speaker:— Expunged as ordered by the chair.
Adjournment Motion 13th September, 1985.

re: Judgment of the High Court in respect of Order issued against Vice-chairman, A. P. Mining Corporation. (disallowed)

Sri A. Dharma Rao:— They are there in the judgements. I quoted only the High Court Judgments.

Sri K. Vidhyadhara Rao:— They have already discussed without your allowing it.

Mr. Speaker:— It is not discussion.

Mr. Speaker:— I am not concerned with the noting. What you have to say is whether it should be allowed or not.
Adjournment Motion:
re: Judgment of the High Court in respect of Order issued against Vice-chairman, A. P. Mining Corporation. (disallowed)

Mr. Speaker:— Already the High Court has said. Again you are not going to review the entire High Court order. You confine yourself. I will ask Sri Indrasena Reddy.

Mr. Speaker:— It is very much in black and white.

Dr. Y.S. Rajasekhar Reddy:— Sir, page 14 of the record shows this arbitrary order in respect of A. P. Mining Corporation. This being arbitrary and results in a...
RULING FROM THE CHAIR

Mr. Speaker:— Sri M Baga Reddy and others have given notice of Adjournment Motion to discuss about the judgment delivered by the High Court of Andhra Pradesh in respect of Order issued against an officer who functioned as Vice Chairman and Managing Director of Andhra Pradesh Mining Corporation.

An Adjournment Motion to be admissible must be in the nature of urgent public importance. A matter to be urgent must have arisen suddenly in the nature of emergency. A matter is urgent only if it is of recent occurrence, and must be raised at the first available opportunity. The crucial test always is as to whether the question proposed to be raised has arisen suddenly and created an emergent situation of such a character that there is prima facie case of urgency and the House therefore leave aside all other business and take up the consideration of the urgent matter and the urgency must be of such character that the matter really brooks no delay.

An Adjournment Motion is not admissible unless there was failure on the part of the Government to perform the duties enjoined by the Constitution, over the law. An Adjournment Motion cannot be moved also on matters where a Minister exercises discretionary powers conferred upon him by a Statute. Motion does not lie if an opportunity for its discussion will arise in the ordinary course of business within a reasonably short time.

As there is an opportunity to raise the matter during discussion on demands for grants on Mines and Minerals which the House will take up on 16th September, 1985, the Adjournment Motion attracts Rule 65 (6) according to which the discussion is out of order.

Hence I disallow the motion.
Mr. Speaker:— I have already given my ruling on the Adjournment Motion. Now some points have been raised that some portion of the judgment contained these remarks that have been readout. That is the contention of the Member. Suppose that is not in the judgment, I will expunge them. No more discussion.

Sri K. Vidyadhar Rao:— Mr. Speaker, whether you expunge or not, definitely a Member can make a statement.

Mr. Speaker:— If those remarks are not found place in the judgment, they may be expunged from the records.

**** Expunged as ordered by the Chair.
re: Huge haul of illicit arms and ammunition (guns) at Balijapalli of Chittoor District on 10-9-1985.

MATTER UNDER RULE 304
re: Huge haul of illicit arms and ammunition (guns) at Balijapalli of Chittoor District on 10-9-1985.
Matter Under Rule 304:

re: Huge haul of illicit arms and ammunitions (guns) at Ballipallu of Chittoor District on 10-9-1985.

re: Huge haul of illicit arms and ammunitions (guns) at Balijapalli near Bakarapet, Chittoor District.

ANNOUNCEMENT

re: Expunction of certain words

Mr. Speaker:— I have gone through the Judgement of the High Court. These words used by the Hon. Member do not find place in the judgement. I have expunged them from the records.

MATTER UNDER RULE 304

re: Huge haul of illicit arms and ammunitions (guns) at Balijapalli near Bakarapet, Chittoor District.
13th September, 1983.

Matter Under Rule 304:
re: Huge haul of illicit arms and ammunition (guns) at Balijapalli near Bakarapet, Chittoor District.

On the 13th September, 1983, a huge haul of illicit arms and ammunition (guns) was recovered at Balijapalli near Bakarapet, Chittoor District.

The Arms Act, 1959, Section 36, and the Arms Rules, 1962, Rule 25 (1) (b), (c) provide that the said arms and ammunition (guns) be seized and forfeited to the State. Accordingly, the said arms and ammunition (guns) have been seized and forfeited to the State.

In view of the above, you are requested to take necessary action under the provisions of the Arms Act, 1959, and the Arms Rules, 1962, to recover the said arms and ammunition (guns) and to seize and forfeit them to the State.

re: Huge haul of illicit arms and ammunitions (guns) at Balijapalli near Bakarapet, Chittoor District.

The Hon. Governor of Andhra Pradesh, 13th September, 1983:

In the matter of the huge haul of illicit arms and ammunitions (guns) at Balijapalli near Bakarapet, Chittoor District.}

The Hon. Governor of Andhra Pradesh, 13th September, 1983:
re: Huge haul of illicit arms and ammunitions (guns) at Balia-palli near Bakarapet, Chittoor District.

re: Huge haul of illicit arms and ammunitions (guns) at Balijapalli of Bakarapet, Chittoor District.

(Sri H. B. Narsegowd in the chair)

(Sri H. B. Narsegowd in the chair)
13th September, 1983.

Matter Under Rule 304:
re: Huge haul of illicit arms and ammunition (guns) at Balijapalli near Bakarapet, Chittoor District.

Sir,

On this date, I have received information that a huge amount of illicit arms and ammunition (guns) was unearthed at Balijapalli near Bakarapet, Chittoor District. The Assistant Superintendent of Police (Supply) has been apprised of the matter.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
re: Drying up of the Standing Crops due to non-availability of Water for Agricultural lands under Old Anicut on Manjira River at Ghanpur of Medak District.
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re: Drying up of the Standing Crops due to non-availability of Water for Agricultural lands under Old Anicut on Manjira River at Ghanpur of Medak District.

Matter Under Rule 304:

re: Drying up of the Standing Crops due to non-availability of Water for Agricultural lands under Old Anicut on Manjira River at Ghanpur of Medak District.

[Document content in Telugu]

[Translation of the Telugu content to English]

The District Administration has informed that due to non-availability of water for agriculture lands under the Old Anicut on Manjira River at Ghanpur, a total of 100 acres of standing crops have been damaged. The damage is estimated to be 30 acres in the village of 100 acres. The affected area is 17,108 acres, and the area under rice cultivation is 7,271 acres. The remaining area is 9,837 acres that is under other crops. The total area affected is 17,108 acres, and the affected area is 9,000 acres. The remaining area is 8,108 acres that is under other crops.
Matter Under Rule 304:

re: Drying up of the Standing Crops due to non-availability of Water for Agricultural lands under Old Anicut on Manjira River at Ghanpur of Medak District.
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Calling Attention Matters:

re: Non-Payment of arrears by the Globe Tobacco Company to the Tobacco Growers.

13th September, 1983.

Calling Attention Matters:

re: Non-Payment of arrears by the Globe Tobacco Company to the Tobacco Growers.
Calling Attention Matters:


re: Large Scale Manufacture of Country Bombs by Unsocial Elements at Kunchanapalli of Guntur District.

The topic of attention today is the large-scale manufacture of country bombs by unsocial elements at Kunchanapalli in Guntur District. This issue has been under constant vigilance since the beginning of the year. Various reports from different sources have indicated the involvement of such elements in the illegal manufacture and distribution of these bombs. The seriousness of the matter necessitates immediate action to address this alarming trend.

In the previous discussion, it was highlighted that the first report on this illegal activity was received on the 4th of May. Since then, several reports have been submitted to the authorities, including the Central Excise Department. The latest report, dated 13th September, provides a detailed account of the extent of the manufacture and distribution, highlighting the need for stringent enforcement measures.

The government has taken several steps to curb this illegal activity, including the deployment of additional resources and the intensification of investigations. However, the situation remains concerning, and the need for continued vigilance and effective action is imperative.

The issue of country bombs continues to be a matter of great concern, and the government is committed to ensuring the safety and security of its citizens. Further updates will be provided as the situation develops.

re: Large Scale Manufacture of Country Bombs by Unsocial Elements at Kunchanapalli of Guntur District.
Calling Attention Matters:

re: Large Scale Manufacture of Country Bombs by Unsocial Elements at Kunchanapalli of Guntur District.

re: Large Scale Manufacture of Country Bombs by Unsocial Elements at Kunchanapalli of Guntur District.

In the interest of public safety, it is necessary to bring to your notice the serious threat posed by the manufacture of country bombs at Kunchanapalli, Guntur District.

The manufacture of these bombs is being carried out by unscrupulous elements who are using them for illegal purposes.

Immediate action should be taken to stop this illegal activity and ensure the safety of the public.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Papers Laid on the Table

III. PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE :

Chairman :— The following Papers are deemed to have been laid on the Table of the House :


Copy of amendment to the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission Regulations 1963, issued in G O. Ms. No. 546, General Administration (Ser. A) Department, dated 6-9-1984, in compliance with the requirements of clause (5) of article 320 of the Constitution of India.


3. Revised Pension Rules 1980 to Agricultural Market Committee Employees.

Copy of Rules issued in G. O. Ms. No 395, F&A (Agric. IV) Department, dated 26-7-1985 extending the Revised Pension Rules, 1980 to Agricultural Market Committee Employees, as required under sub-section (5) of section 33 of the Andhra Pradesh (Agricultural Produce and Livestock) Markets Act, 1966.
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Demands for Grants.


5. Admission Into Medical Colleges.

Copy of the amendments to rules relating to Admission into Medical Colleges issued in G.O.Ms. No. 484, M&H dated 31-5-1984, as required under section 15 (2) of the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulations of Admission) and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Act, 1983.

6. Admission of the Candidates into First Year M. B. B. S. / BDS Courses in Medical Colleges in the State.

Copy of the amendment issued to the Rules for Admission of the candidates into First Year MBBS/BDS Courses in Medical Colleges in the State issued in G. O. Ms. No. 502, M&H dated 14-6-1984, as required under section 15 (2) of the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admissions and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Act, 1983.

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT (BUDGET) FOR 1985-86

DEMANDS FOR GRANTS

Medical and Health Services,
Community Development,
Housing,
Urban Development.

Sri M. S. S. Koteswara Rao :— Sir, I move :

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 242,81,66,400 under Demand No. XXI—Medical and Health Services.’’

Sri K. Ramachandra Rao :— Sir, I move :

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 158,71,30,000 under Demand No. XL—Community Development’’

Sri N. Yethiraja Rao :— Sir, I move :

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 31,52,26,000 under Demand No. XXII—Housing.’’
Sri K. Kala Venkata Rao:— Sir, I move:

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 59,01,37,800 under Demand No. XXIII-Urban Development."

Chairman:— Demands moved.

Sri M. Baga Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical & Health Services by Rs. 100/-

(a) For keeping large number of vacancies of Doctors in various hospitals causing inconvenience to the public.

(b) For not running the hospitals for the betterment of the general public.

Sri N. Raghava Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical & Health Services by Rs. 100/-

Sri C. Vittal Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical & Health Services by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical & Health Services by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical & Health Services by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical & Health Services by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical & Health Services by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical & Health Services by Rs. 100/-

Sri P. Venkatapathi:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical & Health Services by Rs. 100/-

Sri Baddam Bal Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical & Health Services by Rs. 100/-
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Sri R. Ravindranath Reddy :— Sir I beg to move :
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical &
Health Services by Rs. 100/-

Sri K. Venkateswara Rao :— Sir, I beg to move :
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical &
Health Services by Rs. 100/-

Failure of the Government to upgrade the P. H C. at Weepagun-
dla to thirty bedded hospital in Kollapur Assembly Constituency.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical &
Health Services by Rs. 100/-

Failure of the Govt. to sanction a 2nd P. H. C. at Satapur in Kollapur Constituency inspite of long standing grievance.

Sri K. Venkaterwara Rao :—
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical &
Health Services by Rs. 100/-

Failure of the Government to appoint or post an operator of
X-Ray unit at Kollapur Civil Hospital.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical &
Health Services by Rs. 100/-

Failure of the Govt. to upgrade the Kollapur Civil Hospital
to thirty Bedded Hospital.

Sri D. Chinnamallaiah :— Sir, I beg to move :
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical &
Health Services by Rs. 100/-

Sri Md. Amanullah Khan :— Sir, I beg to move :
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical &
Health Services by Rs. 100/-

For the Cardiac unit of Osmaina Hospital is almost shifted
except Kidney treatment other specialities are not functioning pro-
perly. The Hospital is not neat and clean.
Sri I. B. Abdullah Masquati:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 242,81,66,400/- for Medical & Health Services by Rs. 100/-

The Government District Hospital at Mahaboobnagar is improperly maintained. There is no speciality and the hygienic conditions are very bad.

DEMAND No. XXII HOUSING Rs. 31,52,26,000/-

Sri M. Baga Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 31,52,26,000/- for Housing by Rs. 100/-

a) For not constructing sufficient number of houses in cities and district headquarters in the State.

b) For not utilising the assistance from various financial institutions available for construction of houses.

Sri J. Venkaiah:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 31,52,26,000/- for Housing by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 31,52,26,000/- for Housing by Rs. 100/-

Sri P. Venkatapathi:— Sir I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 31,52,26,000/- for Housing by Rs. 100/-
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Dr. R. Ravindranath Riddy:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 31,52,26,000/- for Housing by Rs. 100/-

Sri D. C. Manna Mullahah:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 31,52,26,000/- for Housing by Rs. 100/-

Demand No. XXIII URBAN DEVELOPMENT Rs. 59,01,37,800/-

Sri M. Baga Reddy:— Sir, I beg move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

a) For not executing the betterment works in Urban areas.
b) For not making speedy masterplan for urban cities.

to reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

a) for not providing adequate funds to complete the protected water supply scheme for Guntur Town.
b) for failure of Government in supplying adequate drinking water to the population of Guntur Town.
c) for not providing adequate funds for drinking water to all the Municipalities in the State.

Sri Baddam Balreddy:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-. (To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-)

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-. (To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-)

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-. (To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-)

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-. (To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-)

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-. (To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-)

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-. (To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-)

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-. (To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-)

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-. (To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-)
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

Sri P. Venkatapathi:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

Sri D. Chinna Mallaiah:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

Sri Md. Amanullah Khah:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,01,37,800/- for Urban Development by Rs. 100/-

a) Elections of M C H. are not held and no action so far has been taken to hold elections. Out of the income of 29 crores of M. C. H. Rs. 22 crores are spent for salaries, etc.

Demand No. XL Community Development Rs. 158,71,30,000/-

Sri M. Baga Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-

a) for delay in executing rural development work,

b) to reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for community Development by Rs 100/- for removing the Sarpanchas for political consideration.

Sri N. Raghava Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for community Development by Rs. 100/-
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-
Dr. R. Ravindranath Reddy :— Sir, I beg to move :
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community
Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community
Development by Rs. 1/00-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community
Development by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community
Development by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-

Sri J Venkaiah:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-

Sri Baddam Bal Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-
Non-Official Resolution:
re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-

Sri P. Venkatapathi:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-

Sri D. C. Innamallaiiah:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 158,71,30,000/- for Community Development by Rs. 100/-

Chairman:— Cut Motions moved.

NON-OFFICIAL RESOLUTION:
re: Rejection of the Proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic power plant etc.
Non-Official Resolution:


re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.
Non-Official Resolution:

re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.
Non-Official Resolution:

13th September, 1985

re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.

12-30 p.m.

Resolved:

1. The proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc. are hereby rejected.

2. The Government is requested to submit a detailed report on the feasibility of setting up these industries within the next month.

3. The members express their concern regarding the delay in implementing these proposals and urge the Government to take necessary steps to expedite the process.

4. The Government is mandated to provide annual updates on the progress of these proposals and to ensure that the necessary infrastructure is in place for their successful implementation.

5. The resolution shall be tabled in the next meeting of the Assembly for consideration and approval.

Resolved:

1. The proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc. are hereby rejected.

2. The Government is requested to submit a detailed report on the feasibility of setting up these industries within the next month.

3. The members express their concern regarding the delay in implementing these proposals and urge the Government to take necessary steps to expedite the process.

4. The Government is mandated to provide annual updates on the progress of these proposals and to ensure that the necessary infrastructure is in place for their successful implementation.

5. The resolution shall be tabled in the next meeting of the Assembly for consideration and approval.
Non-Official Resolution:
re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.

Re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.

Resolved that the proposals of the State Government with regard to setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc., be rejected.

This resolution was moved by Shri. V. Narasimha Rao, seconded by Shri. T. N. T. Somu and accepted by the following Members:

Shri. V. Narasimha Rao
Shri. T. N. T. Somu
Shri. B. S. R. Chowdary
Shri. K. N. R. Murthy
Shri. P. Ramachandran
Shri. C. R. Rao
Shri. M. R. Reddy
Shri. P. V. L. Narasimha Rao
Shri. B. S. K. Reddy
Shri. G. V. R. Rao
Shri. K. R. Reddy
Shri. G. V. R. Rao
Shri. B. S. K. Reddy

The resolution was adopted by 30 votes in favour and 0 votes against.
Non-Official Resolution:

Re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.

13th September, 1983.

re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.

...
Non-Official Resolution:
re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.

Re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.
Non Official Resolution:

re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.


The proposals of the State Government for setting up heavy industries such as Radway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc., are hereby rejected.

The Government have not decided upon setting up any heavy industries such as Railways Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc. in the State.

re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.

The opposition members expressed dissatisfaction with the proposals mentioned above. They were of the opinion that such industries could be established elsewhere. The government, however, stated that they had considered all factors and believed that these industries would benefit the state.

The debate continued for some time, and the opposition members pressed for a vote on the matter.

The meeting adjourned at 1:00 p.m.
Non-Official Resolution:
rc: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.


re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.

[Text in Telugu]
Non-Official Resolution:

re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.

606 13th September, 1985.

The resolution reads as follows:— Non-Official Resolution:

re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.
Non-Official Resolution: 13th September, 1985

re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.

...
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Non Official Resolution:
re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.
Non-Official Resolution:

re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.

The minute:

... the following:

1. The minute:

... urges the Central Government to vigorously pursue...

Non-Official Resolution:
rc: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.

non-official resolution:

rejection of the proposals of the state government for setting up heavy industries such as railway coach factory, atomic power plant etc.

re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.

The meeting was adjourned at 1.00 p.m.
Non-Official Resolution:

re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.

6th September, 1983.

The proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc. have been rejected.

(1) The reasons for this rejection are:

(a) The proposals are not in line with the existing industrial policies of the State.
(b) The proposals are not justified economically.
(c) The proposals are not environmentally sustainable.

(2) The State Government is committed to promoting indigenously manufactured goods and services.

(3) The State Government is also committed to ensuring that all new proposals meet the highest standards of safety, quality, and sustainability.

Signed:

[Signature]

[Name]

[Position]

re: Rejection of the proposals of the State Government for setting up Heavy Industries such as Railway Coach Factory, Atomic Power Plant etc.

Chairman: Resolutions moved.

The question is:

"..." (text not legible)

The motion was adopted and resolution was passed unanimously.

Chairman: The House now stands adjourned to meet again at 4-00 p.m. in the evening.

(The House then adjourned at 1-38 p.m. till 4-00 p.m. in the evening).

The House reassembled at 4-00 p.m.

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

GOVERNMENT BILL

Government Bill:

Government Bill:
Government Bill:
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Government Bill:


The Bill seeks to prevent dangerous activities of various categories of offenders, including bootleggers, dacoits, drug offenders, goondas, and others engaged in immoral traffic or land grabbing. The measure aims to enhance public safety and order in the state.

In brief, the Bill provides for the following:

- **Prevention of Bootlegging**: Measures to deter the illegal trade of alcohol.
- **Prevention of Dacoity**: Actions against violent acts and theft.
- **Prevention of Drug Offenses**: Controls over the manufacture, sale, and use of illicit drugs.
- **Prevention of Goondism**: Controls over groups involved in criminal activities or illegitimate operations.
- **Prevention of Immoral Traffic**: Regulations for activities involving immoral or illegal transportation or trade.
- **Prevention of Land Grabbing**: Controls over unauthorized grabbing or appropriation of land.

The Bill also includes provisions for the establishment of a special court, known as the Anti-Social Activities Court, to deal with the offenses mentioned above.

The Bill was passed by the Andhra Pradesh Legislature on 13th September, 1985.
Government Bill:

Government Bill:
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Government Bill:

Under Section 7, about the Constitution of Special Courts, it is mentioned “The Government may for the purpose of providing speedy enquiry into any alleged cases of land grabbing and trial of case in respect of the ownership and title-deed, or any false production of the possession of the land by notification...... special case, as may be necessary for such area or areas as may be specified in the notification. A special Court can consist of the Chairman and not more than two other persons to be appointed by the Government. The Chairman shall be a person who is or has been a Judge of High Court or a District Judge and any other Member, shall be a person who holds the post not below the rank of a Joint Collector.”

To the House of Commons:

This Bill is an amendment of the 1984 Bill. It provides for the continuance of the Government's policy of reducing public expenditure and improving the administration of public services. The Bill contains provisions for the abolition of certain public bodies and the transfer of their functions to other public bodies. It also contains provisions for the reorganization of the Civil Service and the improvement of the efficiency of public administration.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

Minister of Finance
Government Bill:

Land Grabber means, a person who illegally takes possession of any land whether belonging to Government, local authority or any other person.

As of the time approvingly the Andhra Pradesh Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Dacoits, Drug Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders and Land Grabbers Bill, 1983. 10 such Boards shall consist of Chairman and two other members having worked as Judges or qualified to be appointed as Judges of the High Court. Every such Board shall consist of Chairman and two other members having worked as Judges or qualified to be appointed as Judges of the High Court. 10 such Boards shall consist of Chairman and two other members having worked as Judges or qualified to be appointed as Judges of the High Court...
628 13th September, 1985. Government Bill:

Mr. Speaker, Sir,
this Bill is supposed to provide for preventive detention of Bootleggers, Dacoits, Drug-Offenders, Goondas, immoral Traffic Offenders and Land Grabbers for certain acts. In our society there are prostitutes and pimps. There are certain other persons who take bribes from prostitutes as well as pimps. For such persons no provision has been made in this Bill. They are called Police Officers.

Secondly, the definition of the ‘Land Grabber’, takes in eleven categories of persons: “who illegally takes possession of any land (whether belonging to Government, local authority or any other person) or enters into or creates illegal tenancies...” Under the ‘Land Encroachments Act, if a person is in occupation of a Government land, which the Government claims to be their land but the person claims to be his land, still if the possession is not recent and the person has been in possession for a long time, it is not open to the Government to resort to the provisions of the Land Encroachment Act. The Supreme Court in AIR 1973 page 1084 held that the Government cannot unilaterally decide question of title. They have to file a civil suit. If a person is in occupation of a land, which is claimed by the Government, he can still be detained. It cannot be upheld by the Court. That is one aspect.
The other point is about "belonging to any other person". If a man is in occupation of land of somebody else, he has a right to do so unless affected by due process of law. Still further, it is provided in the definition "who constructs unauthorised structures thereon for sale or hire or give such lands to any person on rental or lease and licence basis or for construction or use and occupation of unauthorised structures or the knowingly......". This English I do not know; it is incorrect. "......gives financial aid to any person for taking illegal possession of such lands, or for construction of unauthorised structures thereon or who collects or attempts to collect from any occupier of such lands, rent compensation or other charges by criminal intimidation or who evicts or attempts to evict any such occupier.......". A person in occupation of a third person's land and if the other person tries to evict him, he is also a land grabber within the definition of this section. My submission is, this definition takes in persons whom it is not intended to punish. If this definition is allowed to stand, certainly it would cause hardship to innocent persons. Then "......the person who construct......

Mr. Speaker:— You have already stated.

Sri Muhd Mukaramuddin:— I want to repeat this from another angle. From the legal point of view, if a person wants to construct on his own land without taking permission from the Municipal Corporation, he is considered to be unauthorised constructor. But, he becomes a land grabber within the definition of this Act. So far as the Municipal permission is concerned, if he is not having permission, but still he constructs, the offence is compoundable. But he is made a land grabber under this Act and the Commissioner of Police is given authority to detain him. Therefore, my submission to the august House is that both these provisions together will cause hardship to the innocent people.

So, far as the other things are concerned, bootleggers, dacoits, drug-offenders, goondas and immoral traffic offenders are punishable under specific provisions of various Acts. Unless a person is convicted, he cannot be detailed. If a person is convicted of those offences, he will be sentenced to imprisonment by the court. There is no necessity for providing detention for such people.

One more aspect which I would like to bring to the notice of the House is clause 5. "Every person in respect of whom a detention

order has been made shall be liable..... a) to be detained in such........ b) to be removed from one place of detention to another place of detention within the State by order of Government.” This provision empowers the Government to send a person any-where. The Supreme Court held in 1982 that preventive detention is, confining a person to prison. It is a serious invasion on his liberty. The Constitution guarantees personal liberty. Therefore, when it is only a preventive detention, the person should be confined in a prison very near about his house so that his people can meet him very often or whenever permitted. His convenience should be looked after. This provision is contrary to the constitutional guarantee and, therefore, it is illegal. I support the view of the Opposition Leader that it should be referred to the Select Committee for consideration.

The Bill seeks to prevent certain dangerous activities. It aims to control the activities of bootleggers, dacoits, drug offenders, goondas, immoral traffic offenders, and land grabbers. The bill intends to address these issues effectively.
Government Bill:


Government Bill:
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3. The Bill seeks to provide a framework for the prevention of dangerous activities by the specified categories of persons. The Bill defines the term 'dangerous activity' to include activities relating to the manufacture, sale, transportation, storage, possession, and consumption of illicit drugs. The Bill also provides for the imposition of penalties on persons who engage in such activities.

4. The Bill seeks to provide for the establishment of special courts to try cases related to dangerous activities. The Bill also provides for the appointment of special magistrates to assist in the investigation of such cases.

5. The Bill seeks to provide for the protection of witnesses and other persons who provide information to the police or other law enforcement agencies.

6. The Bill seeks to provide for the establishment of a special fund to provide compensation to victims of dangerous activities.
Government Bill:


Government Bill:

5-30 p.m.

Sri. N. Venkata Reddy:— On this day, the Hon’ble Premier has introduced the Andhra Pradesh Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Dacoits, Drug Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders and Land Grabbers Bill, 1985.

Mr. Speaker:— 1951 Preventive Detention Act was brought in and the first victim was Mr. A.K. Gopalan. Do you know that?

Mr. Speaker:— These are the words which are used in the Central Acts. Already they are there.

Mr. Speaker:— These are the words which are used in the Central Acts. Already they are there.

Page 11: (16): “No suit, prosecution or other legal proceedings shall lie against the Government or any officer or person, for anything in good faith done or intended to be done in pursuance of this

Act.”

Sri Mohd. Mukarramuddin:—Mr. Speaker Sir, I have brought to the notice of this House on more than one occasion that there are various Acts to punish the boot-leggers and goondas. The Home Minister said that because under the Cr. P. C. bail is granted to them, this Bill is brought before this House. If that is the case, even the Cr. P. C. can be amended by the State Legislative Assembly subject to the confirmation of the President of India.

So far as sub-clause (b) of Clause 5 of this Bill is concerned, a person cannot be transferred from one jail to another jail other than the nearest jail to his place. In this case, there is a Supreme Court judgment. But the Home Minister has not looked into this matter.

About land grabber, I am giving an example. I want to construct a house over a particular land. If somebody comes and says that the land on which I am constructing the house belonged to him, then the Commissioner of Police come into the picture and detain me. He is involving himself in civil dispute. The Commissioner of Police should not be given this power. The Home Minister has not considered this point at all.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is—

"That the Andhra Pradesh Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Dacoits, Drug Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders and Land Grabbers Bill, 1985 be taken into consideration"

The motion was adopted and the Bill was considered.

Clauses 2 to 17 and Clause 1.

Mr. Speaker:— There are no amendments to Clause 2 to 17 and Clause 1. The question is—

"The Clauses 2 to 17 and Clause 1 do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted and Clauses 2 to 17 and Clause 1 were added to the Bill.
13th September, 1985. Government Bill:
The Andhra Pradesh Prevention
of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Dacoits, Drug Offenders,

ENACTING FORMULA

Sri Vasanta Nageswara Rao:— Mr. Speaker, I beg to move—
"That for the word 'Legislature' substitute the words 'Legislative Assembly'"

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.

The question is—
"That for the word 'Legislature' substitute the words 'Legislative Assembly'"

(Pause)

The amendment carried.

Mr. Speaker:— Now the question is that—
"the Enacting Formula, as amended, do stand part of Bill."

The motion was adopted and the Enacting Formula, as amended, was added to the Bill.

LONG TITLE

Mr. Speaker:— There are no amendments to the Long Title.

The question is that—
"The Long Title do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted and the Long Title was added to the Bill.

Sri Vasanta Nageswara Rao:— Mr. Speaker, I beg to move that—

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.

re: Take over of Mahaboob Mansion.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is that—


The motion was adopted and the Bill was passed.

HALF-AN-HOUR DISCUSSIONS

Half-an-Hour Discussion:
re. Take over of Mahaboob Mansion.

(Sri H. B. Narsegowd in the chair)

442 పిల్లి థోడగా. ఉయుగ యుగంలో మహాబుబం మనస్సను నంది నడి ఉడించి ఉండాలి ఉంటాయి కాకపోతే ఉండాలి ఉంటాయి కాకపోతే ఉండాలి ఉంటాయి కాకపోతే ఉండాలి ఉంటాయి కాకపోతే ఉండాలి ఉంటాయి కాకపోతే ఉండాలి ఉంటాయి కాకపోతే ఉండాలి ఉంటాయి. దాని ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు. దది ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు. దది ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు. దది ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు.

442 పిల్లి థోడగా. ఉయుగ యుగంలో మహాబుబం మనస్సను నంది నడిపించాడు. దాని ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు. దది ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు. దది ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు. దది ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు. దది ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు. దది ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు. దది ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు.

(Sri H. B. Narsegowd in the chair)

442 పిల్లి థోడగా. ఉయుగ యుగంలో మహాబుబం మనస్సను నంది నడిపించాడు. దాని ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు. దది ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు. దది ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు. దది ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు. దది ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు. దది ప్రేమ రెండు కడప సహకరించాడు.

(Sri H. B. Narsegowd in the chair)
Half-an-Hour Discussion : 13th September, 1983.

re: Take over of Mahaboob Mansion.

Chairman :— We are not discussing the merits of the case.

Chairman :— We are only discussing the issue pertaining to Mahaboob Mansion but not the merits of the case or the judgment of the court. We are not discussing the issue that is before the Court, but the other issue that is not before the Court.

Chairman :— We are discussing the issue which is not before the Court.

Chairman :— Only regarding takeover of Mahaboob Mansion arising out of L.A.Q. No. 840 answered on 13th August, 1985. We are now discussing this matter. If you want to participate, you can participate in the discussion.

Half-an-Hour Discussion:

re: Take over of Mahaboob Mansion.

Except shopping complex, you can discuss each and every thing.
Chairman:— Except shopping complex, you can discuss each and every thing.

Chairman:— Discussion on the motion is restricted to the other part which is not subjudice.

Chairman:— I have already stated that a person has got an assignment for the shopping complex. He went in an appeal. Except that portion, you can discuss anything. It is 40 acres of land. Out of that, some land has been allotted to the shopping complex. The rest is with the Government.

Chairman:— There is an extent of 40 acres of land. Out of that, some land was assigned for construction of shopping complex. You can discuss anything other than the shopping complex.
Half-an-Hour Discussion:

re: Take over of Mahaboob Mansion.


I want to add a word or two about the take-over of Mahaboob Mansion. The taking over of the Mansion is a matter of great importance for the state. The Mansion is the oldest in the city and has been used by the state government for many years. It is a matter of pride for the state that it has taken over the Mansion.

The Mansion is located in a very prime location in the city and is a symbol of the state's prestige. It is a matter of concern that the Mansion has been left in a state of disrepair for many years. The state government has taken the right step by taking over the Mansion and ensuring its proper maintenance.

The Mansion has been used by the state government for many years and has been a symbol of the state's power. It is a matter of pride for the state that it has taken over the Mansion and ensured its proper maintenance.
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Half-an-Hour Discussion:


re: Take over of Mahaboob Mansion.

మహాబూబ్ మండలాంలెకు కలియి ఉండే సంఘటనలు సైనానికులు అత్యధికమైనప్పుడు ఎందుకు ఎదురుకునే ఉండాలని, సైనానికులు సైనానికులను ఎందుకు ఎదురుకునే ఉండాలని, అందుకుంభిన్నంగా మండలాంలె అధీనంలో ఉండాలని, ఇది మహాబూబ్మన్నరు పైన ఉండే సంఘటనలు సైనానికులు ఎందుకు ఎదురుకునే ఉండాలని, అందుకుంభిన్నంగా మండలాంలె అధీనంలో ఉండాలని, 

6-30 p.m.
Half-an-Hour Discussion:
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13th September, 1983.

650

The matter referred to by Mr. V. N. Reddy re. Take over of Mahaboob Mansion, has been considered by the Ministry.

The Ministry has decided to take over the Mahaboob Mansion from 1st October, 1983.

The matter has been referred to the Ministry of Urban Development for necessary action.

The Ministry has also decided to provide a suitable accommodation for Mr. V. N. Reddy in another house nearby.

The matter has been referred to the Ministry of Home Affairs for necessary action.

The Ministry has also decided to provide a suitable accommodation for Mr. V. N. Reddy in another house nearby.

The matter has been referred to the Ministry of Home Affairs for necessary action.

The Ministry has also decided to provide a suitable accommodation for Mr. V. N. Reddy in another house nearby.

The matter has been referred to the Ministry of Home Affairs for necessary action.
re: Take over of Mahaboob Mansion.

Sir M. E. Sheety:— Sir, I have a point which I wish to raise. It is about the takeover of Mahaboob Mansion. As you are aware, the government has been in talks with the owner of the mansion, Mr. Mahaboob, for quite some time. It is a historic building and I believe it should be preserved for the benefit of the public.

Mr. V. R. Reddy:— I support Sir M. E. Sheety's point. This mansion has a rich history and it would be a great loss if it were to fall into disrepair.

Mr. S. Chaganti:— I agree with both of you. It is a matter of national importance and I hope the government will take the necessary steps to ensure its preservation.
Half-an-Hour Discussion: 13th September, 1985. 633

re: Misappropriation of funds in Medak District Co-operative Marketing Society.

Re: Misappropriation of funds in Medak District Co-operative Marketing Society (Arising out of L. A. Q. answered on 12-8-1985.)
Half-an-Hour Discussion:
re: Misappropriation of funds in Medak District Co-operative Marketing Society.


Finalisation of tenders,

Shortage in the delivery of materials,

Purchase by District Marketing Society, the fertilisers which were not required, and

Loss of interest and the advance given to the traders.

Sri B. Sanjeeva Rao:— Sir, prosecution has been launched against these persons and the case is pending. The date of hearing is also given as 7-8-1985 and it is still pending in the Civil Court. Under these circumstances this cannot be discussed any further, which is sub judice and sufficient information has already been given by the Government.

Sri D. Sripada Rao:— Sir, according to Rule 280, a Member, while speaking, shall not refer to any matter or fact on which judicial decision is pending.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao (Chintalapudi):— Sir, a point of submission. As per the ruling of the Hon’ble Speaker, let me also tell about this case. Please hear me also. As the Hon’ble Chairman has given a ruling in the previous case that when a subject or a part of it is pending in the judiciary or in a judiciary Court,
they cannot be discussed, but the remaining part of it which is not pending or referred to in the Judicial Court could be discussed. That has been the Ruling clearly given by the Chair. The issue which has to be discussed is, here the non-officials are the people who are in-charge of the Dist. Marketing Society, where they were completely deleted from the issue, though they were involved in this case as indicated by the first enquiry conducted by the Collector. Then, on the representation of the employees, a criminal charge was filed against the officials in the Court. So, I request the Hon’ble Chair, to give a ruling, keeping this in view.

(Interruptions) (Bell)

Chairman:— Let him explain. Please do not be hasty and do not be in hurry.

Sri D. Sripada Rao:— Sir, the entire case was handed over to the C. B., C. I. D. for investigation and they have filed a charge-sheet in the Munsif Magistrate Court, Sangareddy against the departmental officials also who worked as Co-operative Sub-Registrars during that period. The case was posted for hearing on 7-8-1985. The entire case was handed over but not a part of the case was handed over and the opinion of the Public Prosecutor was also obtained. Now the Hon’ble Member was objecting that and felt that the opinion of the Government Pleader should have been taken but not of the Public Prosecutor. Sir, this is a very wrong proposition. In criminal affairs, only the
Half-an-Hour Discussion:

re: Misappropriation of funds in Madak District Co-operative Marketing Society.

Public Prosecutor is competent to give his opinion. His opinion was taken and according to the Report submitted by the C. B. C. I. D., prosecution is launched. Now nothing is remaining and if any discussion is needed, it has to be done only after the issue was decided by the Court finally. When the entire matter is sub-judice, it cannot be discussed now.
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in Medak District Co-operative Marketing Society.

ప 1. రాహయు : — ఆలోచించుకుంటుంది మనం ఆదేశాన్ని అనుకుంటుంది.

ప 2. ఆలోచించు : — ప్రమాణాలకు ప్రవేశానికి. అనుకుంటుంది అద్వితీయత రాశాలను కలిగి?

ప 3. సంచారం : — సంచారం ఉండగా మనం ఆదేశాన్ని అనుకుంటుంటున్నాం. తరువాత అద్భుతం ఇది?

ప 4. రాహయు. మల్లియం : — ప్రయత్నానికి మనం ఆదేశాన్ని అనుకుంటుంటాము,

7-00 p.m.

ప 5. ఆలోచించు : — ఆధునిక కాలంలో సాధారణంగా మనం ఆదేశాన్ని అనుకుంంటాము.


ప 7. రాహయు. మల్లియం : — ప్రయత్నానికి మనం ఆదేశాన్ని అనుకుంంటాము.

(అపసర్చు)

ప 8. రాహయు : — సాధారణ మేలు ప్రయత్నానికి.


Half-an-Hour Discussion:
re: Misappropriation of funds in Medak District Co-operative Marketing Society.

Chairman:— Again you are going into the facts of the case. Please take your seat.

(Sri Ch. Vittal Reddy was on his legs seeking permission of the Chair)

Chairman:— Mr. Vittal Reddygaru, please don’t go into the facts and details. Now I want to know about the legal aspect, whether it can be discussed in the House or not.

re: Misappropriation of funds in Medak District Co-operative Marketing Society.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:— Sir, I am on a Point of Order. Whether it is subjudice or not, please give me an opportunity. Now there are two issues. One thing is, the Hon'ble Chairman wanted the Minister to clarify as to whether there is any case pending against the Managing Committee in the Court of Law. The Hon'ble Minister has clearly stated that there was no case pending against...

Half-an-Hour Discussion:
re: Misappropriation of funds in Madak District Co-operative Marketing Society.

the Managing Committee in the Court of Law. There is only a case pending against the Officials and the Contractors. So, as far as the discussion is concerned, as per your previous ruling of the Chair, in the matter, wherein the Hon'ble Chair was kind enough to give the ruling that any part which was not pending in the Court of Law was not sub judice and could be discussed. Similarly on the clarification of the Hon'ble Minister, that there was no sub judice against the Managing Committee, we request the Hon'ble Chairman to permit us for discussion. After that the Minister would give a clarification.

(Interruptions)

Chairman:— I am not going in for discussion. I wanted to know whether there is case against the Management or against the employees. I want only one point.

Sri A. Dharma Rao:— Sir, only officials are found guilty. Some are not guilty and some were charged which is now pending. The matter was enquired into and decision was given by some Officers as to whether it can be reopened or not. And this matter pertains to the period, 20 years before 1965, before 1965. Then the enquiries were made and the matter was dropped.

Chairman:— Yes, the Minister categorically stated that there was no case against the Management.

Sri Nayam Narasimha Reddy:— And at the same time, there is no case against the Management and the matter was dropped at that time.

(Interruptions) (Bell)

It is said that the Medak District Marketing Society, Sangareddy, sustained losses of 12 lakhs due to non-delivery of fertilisers,
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stocks by contractors of transport and Rs. 8.51 lakhs due to non-remittance of the sales proceeds. The District Co-operative Marketing Society has filed a suit in the Court of Additional Chief Justice, Hyderabad against the Transport Contractors for recovery and the Court has passed a decree for realisation of this amount on 23-4-1981. This was told by the Minister. The entire amount of Rs. 8.51 lakhs is recovered by the legal action.

Hearing was posted on 7-8-1985. So, for the Civil as well as Criminal cases are pending in the Court.

Chairman:— I want one clarification. Now whether a case is pending before the Court against the officials or against the Management?

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao:— Sir, you have already given a Ruling on this. Please allow me to speak as the Hon’ble Chairman has already given a ruling.
Sri Ch Rajeswara Rao — Sir, now the issue is very clear. The issue is rather crystal clear. The issue is rather crystal clear.

Chairman: — When there is no case against the Management we can discuss the matter. Now Sri Ch Vittal Reddy will continue the discussion.

(Interruptions)

I have given a ruling. It is over. I have already given permission for further discussion.

Sri A. Dharma Rao: — Sir, just a minute. There is a clarification.

Chairman: — I have given a ruling. There is no clarification. Please sit down. Take your seat.
Half-an-Hour Discussion:
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Sri K. Vidyadhar Rao:— Speaker Sir, this is definitely a very old issue pertaining to the years 1965 to 1969. As my friend said it is unfortunate that we are discussing the issue which pertains to the years 1965 to 1969. The previous Government, because the people then in charge of the Society were politically powerful and important in the Government then, dropped the case in 1981—till the circumstances are favourable to them and then conveniently dropped. We are forced to discuss again which is fraudulently dropped and unnecessarily innocent people are involved in it.

Sri M. Baga Reddy:— I object.

Sri Shivrao Shetkar (Narayankhed):— He has quoted innocent people have been involved. Here Sir, according to Section 280, if any matter arising from the same motion and which is pending in the court of law should not be discussed here and should not be referred. The same thing my friend is taking up and saying that innocent people are involved. The cases are pending in the civil court.
and in the criminal court—it is the fact. I submit that taking up the case itself will prejudice the whole case in the criminal court as well as in the civil court.

Sri K. Vidyadhar Rao :— I withdraw the word 'innocent people'.

Sri Shivrao Shetkar :— Under Rule 280—you also know the rules and regulations and the procedure—“A member, while speaking, shall not refer to any matter of fact on which a judicial decision is pending”. The fact is here. The Hon'ble Minister......

Sri B. Sanjeeva Rao :— Ruling is given on that.

Sri Shivrao Shetkar :— Rs. 21 lakhs is involved in the Medak Marketing Society. It is a fact. When that being the fact, in answer to (a), (b) and (c) it is categorically mentioned that criminal cases are pending.

Chairman :— He has withdrawn that point. Now I have given the ruling.

Sri A. Dharma Rao :— For the entire amount due to the District Committee, civil action is pending; and for the criminal nature, a criminal case is pending.

Chairman :— No new point is there.

Sri A. Dharma Rao :— Let me clarify. I have not yet clarified.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao :— This is an issue pertaining to the order given by the Managing Committee for the transportation of fertilizers from various places to various societies in Medak. Tenders were called for the transportation of fertilizers from various places like Visakhapatnam and two other places to Medak to be distribute to the Medak District Co-operative Society. There were seven tenders for transporting all this. This District Marketing Society instead of giving the transport contract......

Sri M. Baga Reddy :— All these facts will help the accused in the case.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao :— Is it the responsibility of the Opposition Leader to help to solve this Kumbhakonam?

Sri M. Baga Reddy :— I highly object to it ..........

Sri K. Bapi Raju :— Please listen to me Sir.

(Interruptions)

Will you control them or not?
Chairman:— Let him say what he wants to say.

Sri P. Tallapaka:— 65 days ago I raised this issue. The issue is whether there was misappropriation of funds in Medak District Co-operative Marketing Society.

Chairman:— Let him say what he wants to say.

Sri R. Tallapaka:— It is a matter of serious concern. Is there any evidence to prove that there was misappropriation of funds? The evidence should be produced. The evidence shows that there was no misappropriation of funds.

Sri M. Tallapaka:— We need evidence to prove that there was misappropriation of funds in Medak District Co-operative Marketing Society. What is the evidence to prove that there was misappropriation of funds? We need evidence to prove that there was misappropriation of funds.

Sri R. Tallapaka:— I do not want to joke before this Hon’ble Member. What I exactly want to say before the Hon’ble Speaker is that we do not want to shield anybody here and we want to be sportive. We do not want to shield anybody. Shielding does not occur in the August House.

With the permission of the Leader, let it be taken by the Hon’ble Minister. We welcome him to investigate this matter and announce at the end of this Session.

Chairman:— But do not go into individual matters.
Half-an-Hour Discussion:  
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Chairman: —Now, Mr. Vidyadhara Rao, you complete within one minute.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao: — Sir, it was very kind on the part of the Congress Chief Whip to accept for an enquiry to be conducted into the issue. If this was done earlier, it would have been better and much time could have been saved. Atlast he has agreed for an enquiry.

Sri K. Vidyadhara Rao: — I very much demand that a House Committee be constituted to go into the issues as per the matters raised by the first enquiry report when the Collector held the enquiry on the representation of the employees. I also would like the Minister to tell us as to who were the Members of the Managing Committee between 1965-69?

re: Misappropriation of funds in Medak District Co-operative Marketing Society.

(1) వా. అదికి చెప్పండి: — ౧౦ రోజు

(2) బా. వాడది: — దూరం, సాధనాలను లేను దీనిని ఉచితం చేసింది. ఎందుకు లావా రాశి అయితే హామీ ఇది అయితే ఇది చెప్పాలి.

(3) బా. కాంప్యూటర్ డాటా కీమెన్స్ భాగం అడవి చెప్పండి.

(4) బా. వాడది: — లావా, దీనిని ఉచితం చేసింది. లావా యొక్క కారణానికి గురించి చెప్పండి.

(5) బా. వాడది: — దీనిని ఉచితం చేసింది. ఎందుకు లావా రాశి అయితే హామీ ఇది ఉచితం చేసింది.

(6) బా. వాడది: — దీనిని ఉచితం చేసింది. ఎందుకు లావా రాశి అయితే హామీ ఇది ఉచితం చేసింది.

(7) బా. వాడది: — దీనిని ఉచితం చేసింది. ఎందుకు లావా రాశి అయితే హామీ ఇది ఉచితం చేసింది.

Half-an-Hour Discussion:
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Chairman:— So, you have no faith on the members of the House....

(Interruptions)

Chairman:— The House now stands adjourned to meet again at 9 a.m. on Monday.

The House then adjourned at 7-30 p.m. till 8-30 a.m. on Monday, the 16th September, 1985.)