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The House met at Half-past Eight of the Clock
(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

HYDEL POWER

21—

1036-O.—Smt. K Prabhavathamma (Rajampet) :— Will the Minister for the Finance and Power be pleased to state:

(a) the total Hydel Power generated during the peak period and during the lean period in our State during 1981-82 and 82-83 years;

(b) the total power generated by sources other than Hydel generation; and

(c) the various measures taken by our Government to step up power generation in our State in coming four years?

Dr. S. Somuwar (Mr. Speaker in the Chair) :—

(a) 1981-82, 1982-83

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Peak Power</th>
<th>Lean Power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1981-82</td>
<td>1852 mw</td>
<td>2106 mw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982-83</td>
<td>2192 mw</td>
<td>25.87 mw</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Peak Power</th>
<th>Lean Power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1981-82</td>
<td>1852 mw</td>
<td>2106 mw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982-83</td>
<td>2192 mw</td>
<td>25.87 mw</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oral Answers to Questions

(a) 1981-82 3503 ए. 1982-83 5564 ए. 1983-84 582

(b) 1983-84 तथा 1986-87 काम के 4 लोकसभा सदस्य, शहीद बनावट

1983-84

220 रुपये

1984-85

200 रुपये

200 रुपये

1985-86

210 रुपये

110 रुपये

1986-87

220 रुपये

220 रुपये

210 रुपये

220 रुपये

210 रुपये

110 रुपये

110 रुपये
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1. బావలుప్పుడు (మనకు): — ఎందుకు మనకు అవసరం ఇది అంటే అది అనేక సమస్యలు ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయటానికే సంప్రదాయాలకు ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయటానికి సమాధానం చేయును. తరువాత మన సమాధానం ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయబడుతుంది. ఈ తరువాత మనకు అందించడానికి చేపడం సమయం ఉంది.

2. మనకు సమాధానం ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయకుండా ఉందుంటే మనకు మాత్రమే సాధనం ఉందనే సమాధానం కనుస్తున్నానికి చేసింది. ఈ సమాధానం కానూ మన సమాధానం ఇది అంటే అది అంటే.

3. మనకు సమాధానం ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయడానికి చేసింది. ఈ సమాధానం కానూ మన సమాధానం ఇది అంటే అది అంటే.

4. మనకు సమాధానం ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయడానికి చేసింది. ఈ సమాధానం కానూ మన సమాధానం ఇది అంటే అది అంటే.

5. మనకు సమాధానం ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయడానికి చేసింది. ఈ సమాధానం కానూ మన సమాధానం ఇది అంటే అది అంటే.

6. మనకు సమాధానం ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయడానికి చేసింది. ఈ సమాధానం కానూ మన సమాధానం ఇది అంటే అది అంటే.
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Oral Answers to Questions

(1) ప్రదానం కోసం: — మంత్రి యొక్క తెలియబడింది రేస్కే సమాధానాన్ని సాధారణం చెప్పాము. జరుగును చెప్పాలంటే కానీ ప్రస్తుతానికంగా యొక్క ప్రశ్నల తోకి ఎందుకు సమాధానం నిలువుగా ఉండటానందున్నది భావిస్తానికం. ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాష్ట్ర సమాధానంతో సెట్టి యొక్క తెలియబడింది. ప్రశ్నల సమాధానం సాధారణం చెప్పండి. మంత్రి సమాధానం చెప్పండి షాయి నిర్ణయం చెప్పండి.

(2) ప్రదానం కోసం: — ప్రతిష్ఠాపనము ఎందుకంటే మంత్రి మాదిరించాలంటే కనుక రేస్కే సమాధానం ఎందుకంటే నిలువుగా ఉండాలంటే కానీ ప్రతిష్ఠాపనం ఎందుకంటే నిలువుగా ఉండటానందున్నది. ఎందుకంటే మంత్రి సమాధానం చెప్పండి షాయి నిర్ణయం చెప్పండి.

(3) ప్రదానం కోసం: — ప్రతిష్ఠాపనము ఎందుకంటే మంత్రి మాదిరించాలంటే కనుక రేస్కే సమాధానం ఎందుకంటే నిలువుగా ఉండాలంటే కానీ ప్రతిష్ఠాపనం ఎందుకంటే నిలువుగా ఉండటానందున్నది. ఎందుకంటే మంత్రి సమాధానం చెప్పండి షాయి నిర్ణయం చెప్పండి.

(4) ప్రదానం కోసం: — ప్రతిష్ఠాపనము ఎందుకంటే మంత్రి మాదిరించాలంటే కనుక రేస్కే సమాధానం ఎందుకంటే నిలువుగా ఉండాలంటే కానీ ప్రతిష్ఠాపనం ఎందుకంటే నిలువుగా ఉండటానందున్నది. ఎందుకంటే మంత్రి సమాధానం చెప్పండి షాయి నిర్ణయం చెప్పండి.

(5) ప్రదానం కోసం: — ప్రతిష్ఠాపనము ఎందుకంటే మంత్రి మాదిరించాలంటే కనుక రేస్కే సమాధానం ఎందుకంటే నిలువుగా ఉండాలంటే కానీ ప్రతిష్ఠాపనం ఎందుకంటే నిలువుగా ఉండటానందున్నది. ఎందుకంటే మంత్రి సమాధానం చెప్పండి షాయి నిర్ణయం చెప్పండి.

(6) ప్రదానం కోసం: — ప్రతిష్ఠాపనము ఎందుకంటే మంత్రి మాదిరించాలంటే కనుక రేస్కే సమాధానం ఎందుకంటే నిలువుగా ఉండాలంటే కానీ ప్రతిష్ఠాపనం ఎందుకంటే నిలువుగా ఉండటానందున్నది. ఎందుకంటే మంత్రి సమాధానం చెప్పండి షాయి నిర్ణయం చెప్పండి.
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...
226 22^Ju&e, 4 9^8^ OfRt Answa^to Questions?

(15. गोकुळसरसः:— चेळक, प्नेमेजंकूळ । रत्नम । होण । वाचक । देवकाँड । तपक । बलकांड । 

5. देवकांडसरसः:— चेळक, प्नेमेजंकूळ । रत्नम । होण । वाचक । देवकांड । तपक । बलकांड । 

25. देवकांडसरसः:— चेळक, प्नेमेजंकूळ । रत्नम । होण । वाचक । देवकांड । तपक । बलकांड ।
Oral Answers to Questions 27th June, 1984, 227

1. The Minister of State (Mr. Sadananda):—Madam, [Minister's statement not clearly visible]

2. Hon. Member:—[Question not clearly visible]

3. The Minister of State (Mr. Sadananda):—On the point raised, the Government have taken a decision to conduct the examination in the year 1984.
countries also are not getting painted towers. In African countreis they are not going for painted towers. Even in 'Visaka', area, a coastal area where it is subjected to rush and from Madras to Srikakulam you are laying painted towers. The leaders should have a heart. Millions of people have voted you and you should instruct the Electricity Board not to opt for painted towers but to go in for galvanised towers and the grids whatever it may be for substations etc. That will also cost less. So, is the Minister going to start that process? Even in your Constituency in Tekkali, it is there and it is going to collapse within 5 years.

SRI K. P. REDDAIAH:— Not now. I am an Engineer more than a contractor. Sir, I am an Engineer first and then a Contractor.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— Then, I am sorry I withdraw that word. He is an Engineer.

SRI S. JAILPAL REDDY:— Sir, He is an Engineer first Contractor later and a Legislator last.

(LAUGHTER)
ACQUISITION O. LAND BY N. T. P. C.

22—

*2614—(G) Q.—Sarvasri M. Narasiah (Mydaram), V. Mohan Reddy (Siricilla), K. Raji Reddy (Huzurabad) and G. Madhava Reddy (Choppadandi) :—

Will the Minister for Finance & Power be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that the Management of N.T.P.C. have made agreement with the public who lost their lands, at the time of land acquisition by the N.T.P.C. for its construction near Ramagundam to provide jobs in N.T.P.C.;

(b) if so, whether jobs are provided as per the agreement for those who have lost their lands; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefore?

(1) 3700 జలిందరి నాటి డీమినానింగ్ కమ్యూనిటీ సంస్థ నుండి ఆభూధం సేవలు ప్రదాయకాంతులు అందిస్తూ యువ యౌర్ష సంస్థాపనలు ప్రదాయం నిర్మాణం నుండి యువ సంస్థాపనలకు సామర్థ్యం అందిస్తుంది. 

(2) దాని కారణాలు సాధారణం.

(3) ఇందులో అవడి పేరు అంటే, సంస్థాపక సంస్థలు విఱిలు యువ యౌర్ష సంస్థాపనలకు సామర్థ్యం అందిస్తుంది. యువ సంస్థాపనల సామర్థ్యం అందిస్తుంది.

(4) ఇందులో అవడి పేరు అంటే, సంస్థాపక సంస్థలు సామర్థ్యం అందిస్తుంది.

(5) ఇందులో అవడి పేరు అంటే, సంస్థాపక సంస్థలు సామర్థ్యం అందిస్తుంది.
Oral Answers to Questions

27th June, 1984

[Text in Telugu]
200 cases were reported to be diagnosed with acute appendicitis. It appears that the number of cases has increased over the past few years. The department has initiated steps to ensure a better diagnostic process.

200 cases were reported to be diagnosed with acute appendicitis. It appears that the number of cases has increased over the past few years. The department has initiated steps to ensure a better diagnostic process.
AERODROME AT KURNOOL

23—

*4407—Q—Sarvasri B. Narasimha Reddy (Kollur), V. Rambhupal Chowdary (Kurnool), N. Prakash Jain (Adoni):— Will the Minister for works be pleased to state:
(a) whether there is any proposal with the Government to construct an Aerodrome at Kurnool (the biggest city in Rayalaseema) to cater the needs of the businessmen and public of Kurnool city; and

(b) if so, when will it be materialised?


Oral Answers to Questions
అస్వామి గాంధీ ప్రశ్నలకు జవాబులు లభించాయి. తయారేందుకు వచ్చిన ప్రశ్నలను శాస్త్రదేశంలో సాధారణంగా ప్రతిష్ఠించబడుతుంది.

1. ప్రశ్నం: డా. గాంధీ యొక్క ప్రశ్నాంశాల ప్రశ్నలు ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ఎంత సంఖ్యలో ఉంటాయి?

ప్రత్యేకించడం కంటే ప్రత్యేకంగా, డా. గాంధీ యొక్క ప్రశ్నాంశాల ప్రశ్నలు ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ఎంత సంఖ్యలో ఉంటాయి?

2. ప్రశ్నం: డా. గాంధీ యొక్క ప్రశ్నాంశాల ప్రశ్నలు ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ఎంత సంఖ్యలో ఉంటాయి?

ప్రత్యేకించడం కంటే ప్రత్యేకంగా, డా. గాంధీ యొక్క ప్రశ్నాంశాల ప్రశ్నలు ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ఎంత సంఖ్యలో ఉంటాయి?

3. ప్రశ్నం: డా. గాంధీ యొక్క ప్రశ్నాంశాల ప్రశ్నలు ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ఎంత సంఖ్యలో ఉంటాయి?

ప్రత్యేకించడం కంటే ప్రత్యేకంగా, డా. గాంధీ యొక్క ప్రశ్నాంశాల ప్రశ్నలు ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ఎంత సంఖ్యలో ఉంటాయి?

4. ప్రశ్నం: డా. గాంధీ యొక్క ప్రశ్నాంశాల ప్రశ్నలు ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ఎంత సంఖ్యలో ఉంటాయి?

ప్రత్యేకించడం కంటే ప్రత్యేకంగా, డా. గాంధీ యొక్క ప్రశ్నాంశాల ప్రశ్నలు ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ఎంత సంఖ్యలో ఉంటాయి?

5. ప్రశ్నం: డా. గాంధీ యొక్క ప్రశ్నాంశాల ప్రశ్నలు ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ఎంత సంఖ్యలో ఉంటాయి?

ప్రత్యేకించడం కంటే ప్రత్యేకంగా, డా. గాంధీ యొక్క ప్రశ్నాంశాల ప్రశ్నలు ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ఎంత సంఖ్యలో ఉంటాయి?
MISAPPROPRIATION OF FUNDS BY HATINURU SARPANCH

25—

2614—(I) Q-Saivasi Mohd Rajob Ali (Sujatanagar) M. Ramakrishna Rao (Khammam), and V. Abbaiah (Burgampahad):— Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is fact that the village sarpanch of Hatinuru, Narasapur taluk, Medak district has misappropriated 50 thousand rupees of the panchayat funds collected by the panchayat towards house taxes, ban sess licence fees, water supply taxes, house construction fees etc., without crediting in to the Panchayat; and

(b) the reason for not taking any action against the Sarpanch and for not removing him from the said post till now?

2614—(II) V. Satyamurthy (H. S. Sarabjot):—

On this, the Minister for Panchayati Raj, in his reply on 30-7-1984, said that a sum of 50,000 rupees has been recovered by the Panchayat from the sarpanch. 432. 1983-84, 492. 1984-85. On the other hand, the sarpanch has been fined 1000 rupees by the Panchayat and his name has been referred to the District Administrative Officer. 20-7-1984.
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3. Whether it is a fact that the barbers have lost their livelihood due to the growing of hippy style hair; the nature of and given by the Government?

(b) whether it is also a fact that the Bengal Government are taking action against who are growing hippy hair; and

(c) whether the Government have decided to provide special facilities to them as is done in the case of S.Cs. & S.Ts.?

FINANCIAL AND TO BARBERS

26—

*4324 Q. Sarvasri A. Janardhana Rao (Tekkali), M. J. Thomas Chowdary (Dichpalli):—Will the Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the barbers have lost their livelihood due to the growing of hippy style hair; the nature of and given by the Government;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the Bengal Government are taking action against who are growing hippy hair; and

(c) whether the Government have decided to provide special facilities to them as is done in the case of S.Cs. & S.Ts.?
RECRUITMENT OF STAFF FOR HOSTELS

27—

*4102—Q. Sarvasri N. Raghava Reddy, M. Ramakrishn Rao M. Omkar (Narasampet), M. Yerraiah Reddy (Bhadrachalem), and Smt. M. Swarajyam (Thungathurthy):— Will the Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that excess staff were appointed in the Tribal and Social Welfare Hostels in Nalgonda district without referring to the Employment Exchange disregarding the requirement:

(b) if so, the reasons therefor;

(c) is the Government, aware of the corrupt motives in the recruitment of the excess staff; and

(d) if so, the action taken on those responsible?

ప్రింది సమాధానాలు: — అనేక సమయం నాటికి ఏవడం. తరువాత
ప్రారంభం హస్తాకారంగా నిర్ధారించడం కారణం. తప్పించడం అవసరం కనిపించడం లేదా,
అందరిటే లేవు ఎలా ప్రమాణాలు తెలియజేసి. దీనితో సమాధానం తెలుగులో కనిపించడం లేదా,
ఎందుకు ఎందుకంటే, ఎంచుకు ఎందుకంటే, లేదా, ఎంచుకు ఎంచుకంటే,
ఎంచుకు ఎంచుకంటే అవుతుంది అంటే, ఎంచుకు ఎంచుకంటే అవుతుంది అంటే, ఎంచుకు ఎంచుకంటే అవుతుంది అంటే, ఎంచుకు ఎంచుకంటే అవుతుంది అంటే.

ప్రింది సమాధానాలు: — వారు యాపుడు నాటికి ఏవడం. రెండు
సమయం నాటికి ఏవడం. రెండు సమయం నాటికి ఏవడం. రెండు సమయం నాటికి ఏవడం. రెండు సమయం నాటికి ఏవడం. రెండు సమయం నాటికి ఏవడం.

ప్రింది సమాధానాలు: — అనేక సమయం నాటికి ఏవడం. తరువాత
ప్రారంభం హస్తాకారంగా నిర్ధారించడం కారణం. తప్పించడం అవసరం కనిపించడం లేదా,
అందరిటే లేవు ఎలా ప్రమాణాలు తెలియజేసి. దీనితో సమాధానం తెలుగులో కనిపించడం లేదా,
ఎందుకు ఎంచుకు ఎంచుకంటే, ఎందుకంటే, లేదా, ఎంచుకు ఎంచుకంటే అవుతుంది అంటే, ఎంచుకు ఎంచుకంటే అవుతుంది అంటే, ఎంచుకు ఎంచుకంటే అవుతుంది అంటే, ఎంచుకు ఎంచుకంటే అవుతుంది అంటే.
TAKING OVER OF FILM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LANDS

28—

+1933—Q.—Sri Indrasena Reddy (Malakpet) :— Will the Minister for Information be pleased to state:

(a) whether the site allotted for the Film Development Corporation at Hyderabad has been taken back and given to Industrial Infrastructure Corporation; and

(b) if so, the reasons therefore?
Oral Answers to Questions 27th June 1984

1. Question: [Text not legible]

2. Question: [Text not legible]

Oral Answers to Questions

1. కే.ఎ. ప్రశ్న : అంతసాధనాలను జరుగుదలకు అందించండి.

2. కే.ఎ. ప్రశ్న : ప్రతి వర్షం వాయించడానికి సంస్థ నిర్మాణం నిర్ధారించిన పైన ప్రతి మందింటే మినంలో నిర్ధారించబడిన పైన ప్రతి మంది?
9-30 A.M.

9-30 A.M.

1592 - Q. Sri A. Lokeswara Rao (Jaggayyapet):— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the State High Court has given directions to treat the employees of the religious and charitable endowments department as Government employees and to extend to them all the facilities like provident fund, leave, dearness allowance and travelling allowance now provided to the Government employees;

(b) whether it is also a fact that when the case was referred to the Supreme Court by the Government, the Supreme Court has confirmed the High Court decision and asked to implement the same;

(c) if so, why the Government have failed to implement the High Court's decision; and

(d) whether necessary steps will be taken at least now?

FACILITIES TO THE EMPLOYEES OF ENDOWMENTS DEPARTMENT

29 —
Oral Answers to Questions 27th June, 1984, 245

Mr. P. C. Ray: — Whether the State is prepared to implement the recommendations of the Committee of Enquiry constituted by the Government of India to inquire into the conditions of persons engaged in the steel industry?

Mr. N. R. B. Chatterji: — It is due to the Government that they have not yet given any indication of the time when they will implement the recommendations of the Committee of Enquiry. These recommendations indicate that the persons engaged in the steel industry should be treated as Government employees. The employees have gone to the High Court. There is an Act and you implement it...
246 27th June, 1984.

Oral Answers to Questions

మా. You have no option. ఎందుకు రాక ప్రతిసంఖ్యానేనంత క్రియ విచారించండి ఎంటే వాడినంతే అది మేలు కోరికదించడానికి ఏ ఎంత ఆసంధన. నా సంప్రదాయ లో జాతీయంగా 4 సంచి మాత్రమే. నాఇ 1978 చిత్తు. 10. దాని సంఖ్యా క్రియ సంఖ్యా 4 సంచి అతినంత సంఖ్యా 1978 సంచితులలో ప్రభావం చేసింది. నా సంప్రదాయ లో జాతీయంగా 4 సంచి లేదా అతినంత సంచితులలో ప్రభావం చేసింది. నా సంప్రదాయ లో జాతీయంగా 4 సంచి లేదా అతినంత సంచితులలో ప్రభావం చేసింది.

తీసుకు లేని ప్రశ్నాంశం: ఎందుకు రాక ప్రతిసంఖ్యానేనంత క్రియ విచారించండి ఎంటే వాడినంతే అది మేలు కోరికదించడానికి ఏ ఎంత ఆసంధన. నాఇ సంప్రదాయ లో జాతీయంగా 4 సంచి మాత్రమే. నాఇ సంప్రదాయ లో జాతీయంగా 4 సంచి మాత్రమే. ఆసంధన యే మేలు కోరికదించడానికి ఏ ఎంత ఆసంధన. 

తీసుకు లేని ప్రశ్నాంశం: ఎందుకు రాక ప్రతిసంఖ్యానేనంత క్రియ విచారించండి ఎంటే వాడినంతే అది మేలు కోరికదించడానికి ఏ ఎంత ఆసంధన. నాఇ సంప్రదాయ లో జాతీయంగా 4 సంచి మాత్రమే. నాఇ సంప్రదాయ లో జాతీయంగా 4 సంచి మాత్రమే. ఆసంధన యే మేలు కోరికదించడానికి ఏ ఎంత ఆసంధన.
BAR IN CLUB AT BANJARA HILLS

(a) whether it is a fact that a bar is functioning in a club in Banjara Hills without licence;

(b) whether the club is situated in residential locality;

(c) the authorities that have permitted for functioning the said bar; and

(d) number of bars functioning in twin-cities without licences?

30—

617-O Sarvasri A. G. Krishna, J. Prasada Rao (Sat upalli):— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a bar is functioning in a club in Banjara Hills without licence;

(b) whether the club is situated in residential locality;

(c) the authorities that have permitted for functioning the said bar; and

(d) number of bars functioning in twin-cities without licences?
Mr. SPEAKER: At the request of the Minister for Education, I am postponing this matter under Rule 389: re: collection of donations by T. T. Is and I. T. Is. to tommorrow. He has informed that he did not get adequate information on the matter.
Matter Under Rule 329.
27th June, 1984

re: non-payment of Excise Rentals by the Arrack contractors of Guntur.

MATTERS UNDER RULE 329

re: non-payment of Excise Rentals by the Arrack contractors of Guntur.

Mr. Speaker, Sir, I gave a notice under 329 about the strike going on in Vijayawada. They have entered into 38th day. What is the position, Sir?

Mr. Speaker: It is admitted. It will come.

Sri M. Vinakaiah Naidu:— Let the House be adjourned to facilitate the Minister to come and answer the 329 matter.

Mr. Speaker: I have sent a word for him.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— This is highly reprehensible and objectionable. We have been lodging protests time and again. Inspite of that, the Ministers are not conducting themselves in the manner in which it required. He must give unconditional apology.

I am sorry, Sir.
250 27th June, 1984.
Matter Under Rule 329:
re : non-payment of Excise Rentals
by the Arrack Contractor of Guntur.

251. **A.** (Subhead):—

The 4th day of June, 1984.

252. The 2nd day of June, 1984.


Matter Under Rule 329:

re: non-payment of Excise Rentals by the Arrack Contractor of Gurjur.

27th June, 1984.
Matter Under Rule 329.
re: non-payment of Excise Rentals
by the Arrack contractor of Guntur.

27th June, 1984

8. A letter dated 25-12-1984 from the Excise Department to the
Arrack contractor of Guntur, states that the contractor has not
paid the Excise Rent. It is further stated that the contractor
has been informed about the non-payment of the Excise Rent
and has been given an opportunity to pay the same. However,
the contractor has not paid the Excise Rent even after the
opportunity given to him. The Excise Department has
served a notice on the contractor under Section 110 of
the Excise Act, 1969, to pay the Excise Rent of Rs.
27,81,512.28 within 15 days.

The contractor has not paid the Excise Rent even
after the service of the notice. The Excise Department
has therefore, filed a petition in the Court of
Excise Commissioner, Guntur, to recover the
Excise Rent.

The petition has been filed on 25-1-1984.

The contractor has not paid the Excise Rent
even after the service of the notice.

The Excise Department has therefore, filed a
petition in the Court of Excise Commissioner,
Guntur, to recover the Excise Rent.

The petition has been filed on 25-1-1984.

The contractor has not paid the Excise Rent
even after the service of the notice.

The Excise Department has therefore, filed a
petition in the Court of Excise Commissioner,
Guntur, to recover the Excise Rent.

The petition has been filed on 25-1-1984.

fe: non-payment of Excise Rentals by the Arrack Contractor of Guntur.

The_arrack_contractor_of_Guntur_had_not_paid_excise_rentals_for_the_period:

- 31-1-1984 to 30-4-1984: Rs. 25,80,588-88
- 1-5-1984 to 30-9-1984: Rs. 43,50,363-88
- 1-10-1984 to 31-12-1984: Rs. 42,50,088-88

The Commissioners of Excise had issued notices,

- 3-1-1984: Rs. 25,80,588-88
- 1-11-1984: Rs. 43,50,363-88
- 1-12-1984: Rs. 42,50,088-88

The petitioner had filed claims for

- 18-11-1984: Rs. 35,00,000-00
- 20-11-1984: Rs. 41,00,000-00
- 21-11-1984: Rs. 33,50,000-00
- 22-11-1984: Rs. 45,00,000-00

The petition was filed on 25-11-1984.

The petition was disposed of on 14-12-1984.
Matter Under Rule 329:
re: non-payment of Excise Rentals by the Arrack Contractor of Guntur.

27th June, 1984.

1984, the contractor in question, did not remit the rentals due on 12-5-1984 and subsequently. The matter came to the notice of the Excise Department on 11-2-1984 and the contractors were intimated on 1-6-1984 of their liability to pay the rentals due on 12-5-1984 and the arrears.

1984, the contractor was intimated of the rental due on 30-4-1984 to 30-6-1984 at Rs. 54,00,538.78. He remitted Rs. 28,5-1984 at Rs. 30-6-1984 at Rs. 16,20,512.28. Also, the contractor remitted Rs. 28,74, 024.52.

1984, the contractor failed to remit the rental due on 30-4-1984 at Rs. 45,00,538.78. The contractor remitted Rs. 28,5-1984 at Rs. 30-6-1984 at Rs. 16,20,512.28. Also, the contractor remitted Rs. 28,74, 024.52.

1984, the contractor was intimated of the rental due on 30-4-1984 to 30-6-1984 at Rs. 54,00,538.78. The contractor remitted Rs. 28,5-1984 at Rs. 30-6-1984 at Rs. 16,20,512.28. Also, the contractor remitted Rs. 28,74, 024.52.

1. The contractor is advised to pay the outstanding rentals by 30-6-1984. The contractor is advised to pay the outstanding rentals by 30-6-1984. The contractor is advised to pay the outstanding rentals by 30-6-1984.

2. The contractor is advised to pay the outstanding rentals by 30-6-1984.

3. The contractor is advised to pay the outstanding rentals by 30-6-1984.
Matters Under Rule 329

27th June, 1884

Re: Non payment of Excise Rentals by the Arrack contractor of Guntur.

30-5-84 The claim was made and a notice sent on 840/84 for the non-payment of Excise Rents by the Arrack contractor of Guntur.

*1. 18-8-1984 Rs. 50,00,000/-
2. 29-8-1984 Rs. 50,00,000/-
3. 15-7-1984 Rs. 72,97,187/-*

10-8-1984 The contractor was informed of the non-payment of the amounts mentioned above.

18-8-1984 The contractor was informed of the non-payment of the amounts mentioned above.

18-8-1984 The contractor was informed of the non-payment of the amounts mentioned above.

18-8-1984 The contractor was informed of the non-payment of the amounts mentioned above.

18-8-1984 The contractor was informed of the non-payment of the amounts mentioned above.

18-8-1984 The contractor was informed of the non-payment of the amounts mentioned above.

18-8-1984 The contractor was informed of the non-payment of the amounts mentioned above.

18-8-1984 The contractor was informed of the non-payment of the amounts mentioned above.

18-8-1984 The contractor was informed of the non-payment of the amounts mentioned above.

18-8-1984 The contractor was informed of the non-payment of the amounts mentioned above.

18-8-1984 The contractor was informed of the non-payment of the amounts mentioned above.
27th June, 1984.

Matters Under Rule 329

re : non-payment of Excise Rentals by the Arrack Contractor of Guntur.

256th 27th June, 1984,.subtitle 329 of the Act dated 18-6-84, refers to the matter of non-payment of Excise Rentals by the Arrack Contractor of Guntur. The matter was raised on 22-6-84 and further progress made on 28-10-83. The contractor was required to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.

The contractor was advised to pay the rentals by 10-5-84. The contractor did not pay the rentals and a demand was made for the said period.
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27th June, 1984.

Matter Under Rule 329: re-non payment of Excise Rentals by the arrack Contractor of Guntur

I am not here to doubt the bonafides or the honesty of the Commissioner, because the Commissioner is also a man with good reputation.
Matter Under Rule 329:

re: non-payment of Excise Rentals by the Arrack contractors of Guntur.

It is good that he has accepted that it has come his not ce after it is being published in the papers. The entire thing is revolving on one Superintendent of Excise. That Superintendent is already under A C 8 trap. Rs. 60 lakhs also is not a small amount. Even the State Bank of Hyderabad also must be informed about the fraud.
260 27th June, 1984. Condolence Motions:
re: Demise of R. Venkateswara Rao
Former Member of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly.

MR. SPEAKER:— "This House places on record its deep sense of sorrow at the demise of Sri R. Venkateswara Rao, former member of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly and conveys its deep sense of sympathy to the members of the bereaved family.

Sri R. Venkateswara Rao was elected from Medak constituency in 1952 and 1957 General elections. He was born in 1921 at TekmaL Andole Taluk, Medak district.

He was a Lawyer and a Social Worker. He was a staunch nationalist and courted imprisonment when he offered Satyagraha during struggle for liberation.

He served people in many ways. He founded Libraries and organised Schools for Adults in rural areas. May his soul rest in peace.

MR. SPEAKER:— The question is:

"The House places on record its deep sense of sorrow at the demise of Sri R. Venkateswara Rao, former member of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly and conveys its deep sense of sympathy to the members of the bereaved family.

The House adopted the motion nem con.

— 0 —

Demise of K. Anthaiah, Former Member of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly

Calling Attention to Matter:

re: Demise of Sri K. Anthaiah, former member of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly.

MR SPEAKER:— "This House places on record its deep sense of sorrow at the demise of Sri K. Anthaiah, former member of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly and conveys its deep sense of sympathy to the members of the bereaved family.”

Sri K. Anthaiah was elected from Sanga Reddy Constituency in 1957. He was very simple and honest. He served for the upliftment of down-trodden.

He endeavoured to improve the Social and Economic conditions of the people in the countryside particularly those of Harijans and Giriijans. May his soul rest in peace.

MR. SPEAKER:— The question is:

"This House places on record its deep sense of sorrow at the demise of Sri K. Anthaiah, former member of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly and conveys its deep sense of sympathy to the members of the bereaved family.”

The House adopted the motion nem con.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER

re: Killing of two police personnel by the dacoits on 14-6-84 while transit from Warangal Jail to Nalgonda.
Calling Attention to Matter: re: Killing of two police personnel by the decoits on 14-6-84 while transit to Warangal Jail to Nalgonda.

262 27th June, 1984,

Re-Killing of two police personnel by the decoits on 14-6-84 while transit to Warangal Jail to Nalgonda.
Calling Attention to Matter 27th June, 1984.

re-Killing of two police personel by the decoits on 14-6-84 while transit from Warangal Jail to Nalgonda.

భాషా లేదా వనరు కంటే ఎందుకు త్వరగా, అది మాతడుగా తెలుస్తుంది. అంటే ఇతర పారిపారేందరించడానికి పెంచడానికి ఎందుకు ఇంటిని ప్రతిష్ఠించడానికి, అది తనమైన సంస్కృతిగా వాడడానికి ఉంటుంది. అంటే ఇది ఒక విషే, ఇందులో ఉన్న సంస్కృతి ప్రతిష్ఠించడానికి ఉంటుంది. అంటే ఇది ఒక విషే, ఇందులో ఉన్న సంస్కృతి ప్రతిష్ఠించడానికి ఉంటుంది. అంటే ఇది ఒక విషే, ఇందులో ఉన్న సంస్కృతి ప్రతిష్ఠించడానికి ఉంటుంది. అంటే ఇది ఒక విషే, ఇందులో ఉన్న సంస్కృతి ప్రతిష్ఠించడానికి ఉంటుంది. అంటే ఇది ఒక విషే, ఇందులో ఉన్న సంస్కృతి ప్రతిష్ఠించడానికి ఉంటుంది. అంటే ఇది ఒక విషే, ఇందులో ఉన్న సంస్కృతి ప్రతిష్ఠించడానికి ఉంటుంది. అంటే ఇది ఒక విషే, ఇందులో ఉన్న సంస్కృతి ప్రతిష్ఠించడానికి ఉంటుంది. అంటే ఇది ఒక విషే, ఇందులో ఉన్న సంస్కృతి ప్రతిష్ఠించడానికి ఉంటుంది. అంటే ఇది ఒక విషే, ఇందులో ఉన్న సంస్కృతి ప్రతిష్ఠించడానికి ఉంటుంది.
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Calling Attention to Matter:
re: Killing of two police personnel by the decoits on 14-6-84 while transit to Warangal Jail to Nalgonda.

Killing of two police personnel by the decoits on 14-6-84 while transit to Warangal Jail to Nalgonda.

One constable was injured while a prisoner escaped with a rifle. One constable was injured while a prisoner escaped with a rifle.
CaMng Attention to Matter : 27th June, 1984 265
re - Killing of two police personnel by the decoits on 4-6-84 while transit to Warangal Jail to Nalgonda.

అంధారం స్పష్టంగా సమాచారం. మే 1984 సంవత్సరంలో మార్గంలో లేదా ప్రస్తుతి ప్రశ్నలో సామాజిక సమాచారం. మన మీద ఎంతో పిరించే అనుమతి లేదు. యది ఆయన ప్రతి విషయాల సాధారణుల ప్రశ్నలు మాత్రమే లభయం లేదు, మరియు ఆయన ప్రతి విషయాల సాధారణుల ప్రశ్నలు మాత్రమే లభయం లేదు.

అది ప్రత్యేకంగా హిందూవైశ్విక వారి సాధారణం ప్రశ్నలు లభయం లేదు. మనం అది ప్రత్యేకంగా సాధారణుల ప్రశ్నలు లభయం లేదు.

తెలుగు తెలియది తాను యాసిపోయానికి వచ్చిన దినం ప్రత్యేకంగా నిర్ణయం లభయం లేదు.

దేవుడు యాసిపోయానికి వచ్చిన దినం ప్రత్యేకంగా సాధారణుల ప్రశ్నలు లభయం లేదు.

దేవుడు యాసిపోయానికి వచ్చిన దినం ప్రత్యేకంగా నిర్ణయం లభయం లేదు.

దేవుడు యాసిపోయానికి వచ్చిన దినం ప్రత్యేకంగా సాధారణుల ప్రశ్నలు లభయం లేదు.
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Calling Attention to Matter:

re: Killing of two police personnel by the ncoits on 14-6-84 while transit to Warangal Jail to Nalgonda.


Attention to Matter.

re: Killing of two police personnel by the ncoits on 14-6-84 while transit to Warangal Jail to Nalgonda.
Calling Attention to Matter

27th June, 1984.

re-Killing of two police personnel by
the depots on 14-6-84 while transit
from Warangal Jail to Nalgonda.

The Hon'ble Member: Yesterday, Mr. 25,000 rupees was sanctioned for
an item which was not sanctioned.

The Minister: Sir, this item was sanctioned last
month, as a result of the recommendations of the
previous Hon'ble Member. Though sanctioned, it was
not paid. The Secretary was made aware of it,
but he did not take action. After the Hon'ble Member
recommended it, the Special Secretary sanctioned
the amount on 30th June. This has been sanctioned in
accordance with the Hon'ble Member's recommendation.

The Minister: Sir, this item was sanctioned
in accordance with the Hon'ble Member's
recommendation. However, it was not paid.

The Hon'ble Member: Sir, it is not
understood why the amount was
not paid. It was sanctioned last
month, as per the Hon'ble Member's
recommendation, and it was paid.

The Minister: Sir, I was not
aware of the Hon'ble Member's
recommendation. It was sanctioned
by the Special Secretary on 30th
June.

The Hon'ble Member: It was
not paid. It was sanctioned last
month, but it was not paid.

The Minister: Sir, I was not
aware of the Hon'ble Member's
recommendation. It was sanctioned
by the Special Secretary on 30th
June.
MR. SPEAKER :— Now, we shall pass on to the next Calling Attention regarding rise of the price of milk by the A P Dairy Development Corporation.

(Some Members from the Congress Benches rose to put seek clarification on the first Calling Attention)

SRI A. MODAN MOHAN :— Sir, there are Members from our party who have given notice.

MR. SPEAKER :— They are not signatories to this motion. Kindly see the rule. Last time it was agreed that only five names will figure in the list. Let us follow the procedure.

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN :— Because there was lot of pressure and it was a Budget Session, we felt like that.
Calling Attention Matter: 27th June, 1984, 269
re: Rise of price of milk by the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation.

MR. SPEAKER:— That is the rule we are following.

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN:— Then my party will not be able to reflect itself. Ours is a major opposition party and we should be able to reflect our viewpoint on the subject matter. This is a serious matter which has to be discussed. If rules do not permit, you should be able to change the rules.

MR. SPEAKER:— See the rule. ‘Provided the names of not more than five shall be shown in the list of business’. We are following it.

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN:— The rules suggest not more than five names shall be listed in the business. I am not insisting on the names to appear. But, that does not prevent the other signatories to participate in the debate.

MR. SPEAKER:— You mean that five names will appear and all the Members will participate.

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN:— Last time it was an adhoc adjustment and it was because of the pressure of time. Kindly understand the rule and purport of the rule.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY:— Sir, I have a suggestion to make.

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN:— Sir, I have a suggestion to be conveyed and it is my party’s view. The suggestion is that the Sub Inspector at Madira who was solely responsible in apprehending the same accused a year back has not been duly rewarded. My information is that the Superintendent of Police has recommended for his promotion as Circle Inspector. While we appreciate the gesture of the Government in a very generous form in regard to those who have died, we expect the same Government to extend its generosity towards the Sub Inspector who without having any aim was able to apprehend the accused. This also should be considered.

(Sri Vasudeva Rao in the Chair)

CALLING ATTENTION MATTER
re: Rise of price of milk by the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation.
Calling Attention Matter:
re: Rise of price milk by the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Milk Rate</th>
<th>New Rate</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13-3-1982</td>
<td>Rs. 35.00</td>
<td>Rs. 40.00</td>
<td>Rs. 5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-3-1982</td>
<td>Rs. 35.00</td>
<td>Rs. 40.00</td>
<td>Rs. 5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-3-1982</td>
<td>Rs. 35.00</td>
<td>Rs. 40.00</td>
<td>Rs. 5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-6-1982</td>
<td>Rs. 35.00</td>
<td>Rs. 40.00</td>
<td>Rs. 5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-6-1982</td>
<td>Rs. 35.00</td>
<td>Rs. 40.00</td>
<td>Rs. 5.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The rates were increased from Rs. 35.00 to Rs. 40.00 on 13-3-1982 and then increased again on 16-3-1982, 20-3-1982, 27-6-1982, and 30-6-1982, each time by Rs. 5.00.
Calling Attention Matter: re-Rise of price of milk by the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Price (Rs)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-6-1982</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5-1983</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-7-1983</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-10-1983</td>
<td>37.50</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3-1984</td>
<td>40.50</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-6-1984</td>
<td>41.50</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(10-6-1984 onwards)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Price (Rs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-6-1984</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(10-6-1984 onwards)

The management has decided to increase the price of milk by the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation.

The increase in the price of milk has been decided by the management of the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation. The price of milk has been increased from Rs. 40.00 to Rs. 41.50 per litre. The increase in the price of milk has been decided on 10th June, 1984.

The increase in the price of milk has been decided by the management of the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation. The price of milk has been increased from Rs. 40.00 to Rs. 41.50 per litre. The increase in the price of milk has been decided on 10th June, 1984.

The increase in the price of milk has been decided by the management of the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation. The price of milk has been increased from Rs. 40.00 to Rs. 41.50 per litre. The increase in the price of milk has been decided on 10th June, 1984.

The increase in the price of milk has been decided by the management of the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation. The price of milk has been increased from Rs. 40.00 to Rs. 41.50 per litre. The increase in the price of milk has been decided on 10th June, 1984.

The increase in the price of milk has been decided by the management of the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation. The price of milk has been increased from Rs. 40.00 to Rs. 41.50 per litre. The increase in the price of milk has been decided on 10th June, 1984.

The increase in the price of milk has been decided by the management of the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation. The price of milk has been increased from Rs. 40.00 to Rs. 41.50 per litre. The increase in the price of milk has been decided on 10th June, 1984.

The increase in the price of milk has been decided by the management of the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation. The price of milk has been increased from Rs. 40.00 to Rs. 41.50 per litre. The increase in the price of milk has been decided on 10th June, 1984.

The increase in the price of milk has been decided by the management of the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation. The price of milk has been increased from Rs. 40.00 to Rs. 41.50 per litre. The increase in the price of milk has been decided on 10th June, 1984.
Calling Attention Matter:

Rise of price of milk by the
Andhra Pradesh Dairy Deve-
lopment Corporation.

27th June, 1984,

Mr. [Name],

The Development Corporation has increased the price of milk. The price has been increased from 18.00 to 19.00. This has led to increased costs for households. Therefore, there is a need for immediate action to prevent further increases in prices.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Name]
Calling Attention Matter:
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re: Rise of price of milk by the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation.

The situation since the last meeting of the committee has not improved. The prices of milk have continued to rise, and the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation is facing difficulties in meeting the increased costs. The Committee should take immediate action to control the rising prices and ensure the smooth functioning of the dairy sector.

1. Action taken: The committee has decided to increase the production of milk by 20% in the next financial year. This will require an increase in the production of feed for dairy animals.

2. Action taken: The committee has decided to increase the price of milk by 5% to cover the increased costs. This will be retroactive from next month.

3. Action taken: The committee has decided to increase the procurement of milk by 10% to ensure a steady supply to the market.

4. Action taken: The committee has decided to increase the export of milk by 5% to generate additional revenue for the corporation.

The committee should continue to monitor the situation and take further action as necessary.
27th June, 1984,

Calling Attention Matter:

rise of price of milk by the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation.

andhra pradesh dairy development corporation.

1. 100 A.M.

1. 100 A.M.

1. 100 A.M.
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re: Rise of price of milk by the
Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development
Corporation.

Price of milk has been revised. The minimum price was increased from Rs. 1.40 to Rs. 1.70 per litre. This has led to a significant increase in the cost of production for dairy farmers. The Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation has taken this step to maintain its operations and ensure a fair price for milk.

Statement 1: The price increase was necessary to cover the higher production costs. Milk producers have been affected by this change, as they now receive a higher price per litre. This has helped to stabilize the market and ensure a fair return for dairy farmers.

Statement 2: The price hike has been implemented across all dairy units in the state. This uniform increase will help to level the playing field for all producers, regardless of their location.

Statement 3: The government has taken this decision to support the dairy sector. By increasing the price of milk, the government aims to improve the livelihoods of dairy farmers and ensure a sustainable future for the industry.
278. 27th June 1984,

Calling Attention Matter:

ra-Rise of price of milk by the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation.

(1) సమావేశం: అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర., అది అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర.

(2) సమావేశం: అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర.

(3) సమావేశం: అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర.

(4) సమావేశం: అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర. అధికారుల పొందిన చరిత్ర.
re: Rise of price of milk by the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation.

The House was adjourned for the day.

P. N. Yadav: Sir, I move this Motion in this House.

The Motion is as follows:

The price of milk has risen to such an extent that the consumer is suffering greatly.

Sir, it is a well-known fact that the dairy sector is very essential for the health of the population.

Since the dairy sector is very much essential for the health of the population, the price of milk should not be increased so much.

Therefore, I move this Motion that the Government should take steps to control the rise in the price of milk.

Mr. Speaker: The House is adjourned for the day.
27th June, 1984.

Calling Attention Matter:
re: Rise of price of milk by the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation.
PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

re : Amendment to the A. P. General Sales Tax Rules issued in G. O. Ms. No 745, Rev. dt 3.5.84.

SRI NALLAPAREDDY SRINIVASUL REDDY :— Sir; on behalf of the Minister for Finance I beg to lay on the Table a copy.
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Paper Placed on the Table

Presentation of the report of the Select Committee on the Hindu Succession (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Bill, 1983.


SRI S. SATYANARAYANA :— Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the notification issued in G. O. Rt. No. 338, Transport Roads and Buildings (Tr II) Department, dt. 17-4-1984 as required under sub-section (2) of section 9 of the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963.

Chairman :— Papers laid.

PAPER PLACED ON THE TABLE

re: Gram Panchayat-wise particulars of matching grants released during 1983-84 in G. O. Ms. No. 131, P. R. Dept dt 14-3-84

SRI K. RAMACHANDRA RAO :— Sir, I beg to place on the Table a copy of the statement showing the Gram Panchayat-wise particulars of matching grants released during 1983-84 in G. O. Ms. No. 131, P. R. Department, dt. 14-3-84 for impressive collection of taxes in pursuance of an assurance given on 27-3-1984 while answering L. Q. No. 2924 (Starred).

Chairman :— Paper placed.


SRI Y. RAMAKRISHNÜDU :— Sir, I beg to present the report of the Select Committee on the Hindu Succession (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Bill, 1983.

Chairman :— Report presented.
ANNOUNCEMENT

re: Time Fixation of for the receipt of amendments to the Hindu Succession (A. P. Amendment) Bill, 1983.

CHAIRMAN:— I am to announce to the House that amendments to the Hindu Succession (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Bill, 1983 (As reported by the Select Committee) will be received up to 5 p.m., to-day.

GOVERNMENT BILLS

Government Bills:

re: The Andhra Pradesh Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupation) Amendment Bill, 1983

(C. A. Bill No. 16 of 1983)

27th June, 1984
Government Bill:

27th June, 1984

re-The Andhra Pradesh Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupation) Amendment Bill, 1983.

(C. A. Bill No. 16 of 1983)

The Andhra Pradesh Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupation) Act, 1973,

Amendment No. 16 of 1983

Section 3A.

Sub-section (1):—

In this Act, the expression "unauthorised occupation" means any person who has, or has had, unauthorised occupation of any public premises mentioned in the said Act, including the period of occupation.

Sub-section (2):—

The Government may, by order in writing, require any person to vacate any part or all of the unauthorised occupation within a period specified in the order.

Sub-section (3):—

If a person fails to vacate the unauthorised occupation within the period specified in the order, the Government may, by order in writing, direct the person to vacate the unauthorised occupation forthwith.

Sub-section (4):—

The order under sub-section (3) may be appealable to the High Court under the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupation) Act, 1973.

The Governor of the State may, by notification, issue directions for the purposes of this Act.

Section 4:

Any person who contravenes any of the provisions of this Act shall be liable to a fine of not less than two hundred and fifty rupees and not more than five hundred rupees.

Section 5:

This Act shall come into force on such date as the Government may, by notification, appoint in this behalf.

Section 6:

The President may, by notification, make such modifications in the provisions of any law (other than this Act) as may be necessary for the purpose of ensuring the coherence of the provisions of this Act with any other law.

Section 7:

This Act shall be deemed to have come into force on the 27th June, 1984.

Section 8:

Notwithstanding anything contained in any other law, the provisions of this Act shall prevail over any other law for the time being in force.

Section 9:

This Act shall be called the Andhra Pradesh Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupation) Amendment Act, 1983.
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Government Bill

re-The Andhra Pradesh Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupation) Amendment Bill, 1983.
(C.A. Bill No. 16 of 1983)

What is the compelling necessity? What are the compulsions, ...

They are under the effective control of private promotors ...
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re: The Andhra Pradesh Public
(C. A. Bill No. 16 of 1983)

“Justice P. Rama Rao of the High Court has upheld the plea that acquisition of land to provide house sites for persons belonging to a particular caste is discriminatory. The Judge quashed a notification issued by Anantapur District Collector under the Land Acquisition Act, acquiring 5 acres and 12 cents of land for providing house-sites to about 102 employees belonging to Boya Community. The acquisition exclusively for the benefit of the persons belonging to one caste is repugnant with deeper malice and symptomatic segregation of houses based on caste, the Judge said. Rejecting the plea of the Government that the Constitution empowered to make acquisition, the Judge upheld that reservation for the S.Cs and the B. Cs. in the Constitution is only for Educational and employment opportunities and have nothing to do with public purposes under the Land Acquisition Act.”
SRI A. MADAN MOHAN (Siddipet) :— Sir, we have cooperative Societies Act under which we have already prevented the Judiciary from exercising its powers. We have various other clauses where the Government has been clothed with sufficient sanctity in respect of making inroads into the judiciary. Now if the executive is clothed with such an arbitrary power and if it is to be extended even to the Corporations, what should be the role of the judiciary in this country? Since it is a matter pertaining to our State, what should happen to judiciary of our State? I do not know, whether the Hon’ble Minister for Public Works is feeling justified in his contention that it should be extended to the Corporations. My friend Mr S. Jejpal Reddy, seems to have said, of course in my absence, that it should be extended only unto Corporations, where the Government’s interest is more than 51%. I am totally opposed to extending this to any Corporations, whatsoever. It would in another way mean that you are also clothing those Corporations with the powers of an executive which should be vested only with the Government. This is a very unfortunate situation. Government should have best of its intentions if it formulates a legislation. Unless we guarantee ourselves of the exercise of its powers not being misused, it becomes very difficult. This has been our experience - this has been our observation that many such well intended laws when given in the hands of the executive, they were more mis-used and abused than used in a proper manner. I am not blaming the whole executive. We are all members of the society, varying in our temperaments, varying in our psychological attitudes, varying in our sense of judgement about a particular issue. So, if this power is given to a bad executive, naturally what would happen? These are all the experiences before us not only in our State but in the rest of the country also.

Now you tell me, if this power is extended even to the Corporations, what would be the plight? It becomes very difficult. First
Government Bill: 27th June, 1984, 287

(C. A Bill No 16 of 1983)

of all I object on the first count because it would make enormous in-roads into the judiciary. It would be curtailing the powers of the judiciary. It would be curtailing the powers of an institution which is meant for looking into the defects of legislation as well as the powers for the Corporations by the executive, or exercise of a jurisdiction which is not vested in the executive. Keeping these factors into consideration, my feeling is, left to myself, even if I were in the Government, I should not have discussed the same thing. It is far in excess even if this power has to be vested with the Government.

I can understand, since it is done with an intention and naturally we also point out certain instances where the people, trespassers or the third parties have occupied the Government properties or the public properties and they have not been able to settle and it would naturally lead into a protracted multiplicity of litigation by the Civil Courts, which takes decades and decades for the adjudication.

So, with that purpose if you have really intended, the intention is good and to that extent I support the Bill, but if you have to extend it even to the Corporations - there I have a feeling that it is being deliberately done. Yesterday also I said the same thing Sir, and I only hope the Minister for Public Works in consultation with his colleagues, i.e. the Law Minister and if necessary with their Chief Minister, reconsider this aspect and bring back in an amended form.
A wrong thing cannot be a precedence. Supposing there was an Act which has clothed the executive with such an enormous power, if a relook is necessary - a relook can be made. We are amending so many Bills. We are bringing new Bills and we are bringing new legislation, naturally keeping pace with the time, with the social
requirements, with the social demands and with social aspirations. So there is nothing wrong that you are sticking on to the old traditions and then say that was there and you will have to continue. Then, I would only leave it at that. Now I would not also like to go further, since the Hon'ble Minister for Public Works seems to be firmly bent upon in trying to introduce this Bill-come what may. He does not even bother to have a second look when I have actually suggested him to consult the Chief Minister and bring this in a different form. Well, if ultimately what I say is accepted by him, I have nothing further to proceed, but if he insists upon the Bill to be introduced even without consulting or reconsidering, there is one suggesting I would like to make since he was very generous to say that this Government will provide an alternative remedy and alternative sites and also alternative accommodation to such of those poor people. Yesterday I brought this specific incidence to his notice. Now may I presume that the Assurance which the Hon'ble Minister has just now given, is being extended and made applicable to those R. T. C. employees who are now sought to be evicted?

CHAIRMAN:— The question is:
Government Bills:

re: The Andhra Pradesh Public
Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised
Occupation) Amendment Bill, 1983
(C. A. Bill No. 16 of 1983)

"that the Andhra Pradesh Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupation) Amendment Bill, 1983 be taken into consideration."

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and the Bill was considered.

CHAIRMAN:— Now I request the Members to move their amendments, if any. Now I shall put the clauses to vote.

Clauses 2 to 8

There are no amendments to clauses 2 to 8.

The Question is:

"That clauses 2 to 8 do stand part of the Bill."

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and clauses 2 to 8 were added to the Bill.

Clause 1

CHAIRMAN:— There is one official amendment to Clause 1. The Minister for Works will move the same.

SRI Nallapareddy Srinivasul Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move the following official amendment.

"In Clause 1, for ‘1983’—substitute ‘1984’.

CHAIRMAN: Moved.

The Question is:

"that in Clause 1, for k ‘1983’ substitute ‘1984’.

(Pause)
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The amendment was carried.

CHAIRMAN:— The question is:

"That Clause I as amended do stand part of the Bill."

(pause)

The Motion was adopted and Clause I, as amended was added to the Bill.

Enacting Formula and Long Title

CHAIRMAN:— There is one official amendment to the Enacting Formula, which will be moved by the Minister for Works.

SRI NALLA PAREDDY SREENIVASUL REDDY:— Sir, I beg to move the following Official amendment:

"In the Enacting Formula, for the words "Thirty-Fourth", substitute the words "Thirty-Fifth".

CHAIRMAN:— Moved.

The Question is:

"That the Enacting Formula, as amended and the long title do stand part of the Bill."

(pause)

The Amendment was carried.

CHAIRMAN:— The question is:

"That the Enacting formula, as amended and the long title do stand part of the Bill."

(pause)

The Motion was adopted and the enacting formula as amended and the long title were added to the Bill.
27th June, 1984.

Government Bills:

Hyderabad Municipal Corporation
(Amendment) Bill, 1983 (Passed)

CHAIRMAN:— Now, the Minister will move the Bill for passing.

SRI NALLAPAREDDY SREENIVASUL REDDY:— Sir, I beg to move:

"that the Andhra Pradesh Public Premises (Eviction of unauthorised occupants) Amendment Bill 1983" be passed.

CHAIRMAN:— Motion moved.

The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Public Premises (Eviction of unauthorised occupants) Amendment Bill, 1983 be passed."

(pause)

The Motion was adopted and the Bill was passed.

THE HYDERABAD MUNICIPAL CORPORATION (Amendment) BILL, 1983. (L A. No 45 of 1983)

MINISTER FOR MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION (SRI Y. RAMAKRISHNUDU):— Sir I beg to move

"that the Hyderabad Municipal Corporation (Amendment) Bill, 1983 be taken into consideration.

CHAIRMAN:— Motion moved.

There is one printing mistake in Clause II of Section 504-A of the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Act, 1983, which should be read as "The Commissioner, may, on the report of the Medical Officer of Health, that cultivation of any description"

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN:— Sir, let the Minister explain the salient features as to what warranted for the amendment.
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SRI A. MADAN MOHAN:— Sir, at the outset I must compliment the Government and also the Minister for introducing this Bill. In fact, this Bill was pending consideration even during the Congress rule. As Health Minister, I myself had really felt that if at all if we have to keep the city clean and make the atmosphere healthy, this wet cultivation especially on the river Musi bed and other wet cultivation nearer the twin cities should be banned. It might be a loss to a few individuals. It is a different thing. Whenever general interest is involved and the society at large is being benefitted, the individual has to subordinate himself to deprivation of certain privileges. I really feel that this one of the very few good legislations that this Government had contemplated and has got my party’s approval. I personally support this Bill and extend our support to the Bill.

SRI S. ARUNACHALAM:— Sir, in my constituency there are several wet cultivation and cultivation in the flood areas. The cultivation of fish is very beneficial. But there are those who are against it. It is very true that the individual will lose. But if there is a benefit to the society, the individual has to submit to deprivation of certain privileges. It is a very beneficial aspect.

SRI S. RAMAN:— Sir, in my constituency we have several wet cultivation and cultivation in the flood areas. The cultivation of fish is very beneficial. But there are those who are against it. It is very true that the individual will lose. But if there is a benefit to the society, the individual has to submit to deprivation of certain privileges. It is a very beneficial aspect.
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12-00 Noon

1) 40.  బిల్లు: — ప్రింటెస్ భావంతో అనుమతి పొందినా?

2) 40.  బిల్లు: — ఈ సమయం ప్రింటెస్ బిల్లు. అది
మాత్రం విడిది తయారు చేయాలని అందించాలని, మాత్రమే ఇంగ్లీషులో విలుపు
చేయబడింది.

3) బిల్లు: — ఇది సాంస్కృతిక. అ ద్వారా మరియు
ఎంతం ద్వారా పరిశీలన చేయబడింది?

మార్చ‌డి ఉండాలంటె, ఈ జరిగిన ప్రైన్టెస్ బిల్లు ఒకే సమయంలో అనుమతి పొందాడని. ఇది
దస్తానం ఒకే సమయంగా రాయమైనది, ప్రింటెస్ బిల్లు ఒకేసమయంలో సాధనం నుండి
పటిష్ఠంగా ఉండదంతో ఉంటుంది. ఇది ఎందుకు కారణంగా ఉంది కానీ తపస్సు ఉండాలంటె, ఈ ప్రింటెస్
బిల్లు ఒకే సమయంలో అనుమతి పొందాడని.
CHAIRMAN:— Yes, it is expunged.

CHAIRMAN:— It leads to unnecessary bad blood. Why?

CHAIRMAN:— I request you (looking to the Minister) to make a note of what the Member has said.
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We might insert the word 'legally after the expression 'Provided that when such cultivation or irrigation has been'.
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సాధారణంగా సంఘాతి నిర్మాణానికి నిర్ణయం, ఇప్పుడు కూడా సమాధానాన్ని సౌకర్యం లేకుండా ఉంది. అనేక సమాధానానికి ఏంధ్రప్రదేశ్ మునిసిపాలిటీ పరిషత్తు సంబంధిత రాజకీయ సంస్థలు ఇవ్వాయి. ఈ పరిషత్తు ఇవ్వాయి వ్యక్తికేంద్రియ సమాధానానికి ప్రామాణిక సాధనాలు ప్రస్తుతం ఉంది.

ప్రపంచ వ్యాప్తికి అనేక సమాధానానికి ప్రామాణిక సాధనాలు ఉంది. ఇవి సమాధానానికి చాలా సాధనాలు ఉంది. ఈ సమాధానానికి ప్రామాణిక సాధనాలు ఉంది.

ఇవి సమాధానానికి ప్రామాణిక సాధనాలు ఉంది.
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ప్రతిభలు ప్రదర్శించిన సమయంలో, ఈ పంప్చట సమితి నిర్వహించిన భాగానికి ఉన్న ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్‌ ముస్లిం సమితి పరిచారం

నేటి ప్రతిభలు ప్రదర్శించిన సమయంలో, ఈ పంప్చట సమితి నిర్వహించిన భాగానికి ఉన్న ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్‌ ముస్లిం సమితి పరిచారం

1-30 P.M.

(2-30 P.M.)

ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ ముస్లిం సమితి పరిచారం ప్రతి పరిచార పరిస్థితులు సందర్శించిన సమయంలో, ఈ పంప్చట సమితి నిర్వహించిన భాగానికి ఉన్న ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్‌ ముస్లిం సమితి పరిచారం

(2-30 P.M.)

(2-30 P.M.)

ప్రతిభలు ప్రదర్శించిన సమయంలో, ఈ పంప్చట సమితి నిర్వహించిన భాగానికి ఉన్న ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్‌ ముస్లిం సమితి పరిచారం

(2-30 P.M.)

(2-30 P.M.)

(2-30 P.M.)
Hyderabad Municipal Corporation (Amendment) Bill, 1983 (Passed)

(5) P. RAMACHANDRA REDDI: — నాకు ఎందుకు ఎంతో మందమేలే ఉంటోంది?

(6) Y. RAMAKRISHNA : — అవింటి వాస్తవానికి ముఖ్యమైన వాణిజ్య ప్రయోగాలు ఉండాలి. కానీ వీటిని మాత్రమే వెలిగించడం లేదు. వీటిని పెట్టడం లేదు. ఇదే విధంగా సమాచారాన్ని అనుసరించండి. మేము వరుసగా సమాచారాన్ని అనుసరించండి. మేము అలాగే విధంగా కాలులు ఉంటాయి.

(5) P. RAMACHANDRA REDDI: — నాకు ఎందుకు ఎంతో మందమేలే ఉంటోంది?

(6) Y. RAMAKRISHNA : — ఇది వాణిజ్యానికి ముఖ్యమైన ప్రయోగాలు ఉండటం లేదు. కానీ వీటిని మాత్రమే వెలిగించడం లేదు. వీటిని పెట్టడం లేదు. ఇదే విధంగా సమాచారాన్ని అనుసరించండి. మేము వరుసగా సమాచారాన్ని అనుసరించండి. మేము అలాగే విధంగా కాలులు ఉంటాయి.

SRI P. RAMACHANDRA REDDI : — Definition of agriculture includes pasture land growing grass also. I don't know whether the definition of the Corporation tallies with it.

SRI Y. RAMAKRISHNA : — The basic idea of bringing this is that we want to ban it in the municipal limits.

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)
MR. SPEAKER:— The question is:

"That the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1983 be taken into consideration."

(pause)

The Motion was adopted.

SRI Y. RAMAKRISHNUDU:— Sir, I beg to move.

"In Clauae I, for '1983'—substitute '1984'."

MR. SPEAKER:— Amendment Moved.

SRI Y. RAMAKRISHNUDU:— Sir, I beg to move:

"in the Enacting Formula, for the words "Thirty-fourth" substitute the words "Thirty-fifth". 
MR. SPEAKER:— Amendment Moved.

**Clause 2**

MR. SPEAKER:— The Question is:

"That clauses 2 do stand part of the Bill."

(pause)

The Motion was adopted and Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

**CLAUSE 1**

MR. SPEAKER:— There is one official amendment. The Question is:

"In Clause 1 for "1983" substitute "1984"."

The amendment was carried.

MR. SPEAKER:— The Question is:

"That Clause 1 as amended do stand part of the Bill."

The Motion was adopted and Clause 1 as amended was added to the Bill.

**ENACTING FORMULA**

MR. SPEAKER:— There is one official amendment. The question is:

"In the Enacting Formula, for the words "Thirty Fourth" substitute the words "Thirty-Fifth"."

The amendment carried.

MR. SPEAKER:— The question is:

"That the Enacting formula, as amended do stand part of the Bill."

(pause)
The Motion was adopted and the enacting formula as amended was added to the Bill.

**LONG TITLE**

MR. SPEAKER:— The question is:

"That long title do stand part of the Bill"

The Motion was adopted and the Long Title was added to the Bill.

SRI Y. RAMAKRISHNADU:— Sir, I beg to move

"That the Hyderabad Municipal Corporation (Amendment) Bill, 1983 be passed."

MR. SPEAKER:— Motion moved. The question is:

"That the Hyderabad Municipal Corporation (Amendment) Bill, 1983 be passed"

The motion was adopted and the Bill was passed.

**THE CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE (ANDHRA PRADESH AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984 (I. A. BILL NO. 24 OF 1984)**

SRI Y. RAMAKRISHNADU:— Sir, I beg to move:

That the Code of Criminal Procedure (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Bill, 1984 be taken into consideration.

MR. SPEAKER:— Motion moved.

(A Telugu text follows.)
Government Bills: 27th June, 1934
The Code of Criminal Procedure (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Bill 1984,
(Refered to Select Committee)
Government Bills:
The Code of Criminal Procedure
(Andhra Pradesh Amendment)
Bill 1984,
(Refered to Select Committee)
SRI P RAMACHANDRA REDDY (Sangareddy) :- Sir, As far as this amendment is concerned, you are also aware as a Lawyer all the procedures of the court. I do not think any Sessions Judge or any High Court Judge without serving a notice will grant any Bill. Invariably I can say in 100 percent cases that unless notification is given to the P Ps. and heard both the sides, this kind of offences, definitely, nobody passes the order. Now, because there is no provision of law they have brought this amendment. I personally feel that this was unnecessary to introduce. Instead of that, we can leave it to the Court and in practice when they are following the procedure as to what purpose this Law is intended for and as such there is no need of it. Secondly by adding this clause, we are neither taking away the powers of the Court nor giving any additional powers. When many States have not implemented, this being a Central Act, there is no necessity to Andhra Pradesh to rush for this amendment when we are not at all affected and by realising that the Courts in rare cases do so, without notice. But in some cases, inspite of the repeated adjournments taken by the Public Prosecutors, non-co-ordination and non-cooperation and due to communication gap between the P. Ps and the Police Station, Officers or the Police Department who are more responsible for allowing these petitions, without hearing the facts from either side. Invariably, the P. Ps will report the Courts and take time once or twice for calling for the records and for waiting for them. Then, for the third time, the Court will be in an embarrasing situation to grant time and there will be no option left to them - except in granting the petition.

If they correct any Departmental mistakes and the communication gap between the Public Prosecutors or the Police Officers and if the records are made available in time to the P. Ps., all the factors can be put up before the Courts. Instead of rectifying those defects, they have come with this amendment which will not solved because, in practice we are doing this. Therefore this law is quite unnecessary. Any way, the Government has proposed this and by doing this, they are not going to gain anything. If they rectify the communication gap between the person i.e., the Advocate/Public...
Prosecutor and the officers who are investigating the cases and if you expedite the material and matter - that will help them in refusing the Bail in genuine case, where the people who are professional offenders etc., are involved and the grave offences like these are involved. By merely bringing this legislation, I respectfully submit to the Hon'ble Minister through you - that the purpose for which they are intending will not be served.
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Government Bills
The Code of Criminal Procedure
(Andhra Pradesh Amendment)
Bill 1984.
(Referred to Select Committee)
Government Bills:
The Code of Criminal Procedure
(Referred to Select Committee)

There is a need to give notice to the Public Prosecutor and if he resides, it must be deemed to have been served on the P. P.; and the accused must be given an opportunity to be heard by the court.

Nobody has got any respect for the law which is already in existence and we have got so many laws. Why nobody is respecting the law? We are not thinking about it.
The Code of Criminal Procedure
(Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Bill, 1984.
(Referred to Select Committee)

It is proposed to amend the provisions of granting bails so as to make it more difficult for habitual criminals and criminal committing serious offences to obtain the bail.

Government Bills:

27th June 1984, 315
Government Bills :
The Code of Criminal Procedure (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Bill 1984,
(Refereed to Select Committee)
The future is actually eclipsed on account of the things that you are introducing in the society. It is not the result that is more important. It is the "Cause" for that particular crime and offence which is more important. So, try to eradicate the particular reasons which are actually creating so many criminals and actually giving way to so many criminals. That is the only request I have to make.
Government Bills:
The Code of Criminal Procedure
(Andhra Pradesh Amendment)
(Referred to Select Committee)

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN:— Sir first of all the Government does not seem to be clear as to why it is proposing this amendment. Now from the Hon'ble Members, speaking from both the benches, it gives me an impression that enough home work was not done or at least the members of the Ruling Party were also not apprised of the proposals of the amendment. There seems to be some confusion prevailing. First of all if the Hon'ble Minister can enlighten the House as to what necessitated to bring this amendment and as to what are the compelling reasons which prompted the Government or the Minister to hasten this process in this particular situation, What are the extraordinary situations that have arisen in our State, particularly that warranted such an amendment. If that is made clear, other exercise in this House can be averted.

Now, as Mr. Kishan Rao has suggested it should be referred
to the Select Committee: My feeling is, once you go on doing, then you can find - there is a justification in bringing such an amendment - or at least a rethink is very essential. The exercise by the Select Committee would be of a greater value - otherwise it will be only an exercise in futility. I hope the Hon'ble Minister would enlighten the House as to what necessitated him bring this amendment.

MR. SPEAKER: He will do so, in his reply.

SRI MADA'V MOHAN:— Sir, I do not mind for it.
320 27th June, 1984  Government Bills:

The Code of Criminal Procedure
(Andhra Pradesh Amendment)
(Bill, 1984.
(Referred to Select Committee)

స్రీ ఆ. మాదన్ మోహన్:— స్హరీ లడ్డు మంత్రి భూమికలో చెప్పింది కపస్తుంది. మధ్యస్తానికంగా పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి స్హరీ లడ్డు పేరుదల పెట్టిన తరువాత పరిషత్త మంత్రి 

స్రీ ఆ. మాదన్ మోహన్:— The Hon. Lady Member was referring to Communal Offenders and those committing public nuisance. But nothing is mentioned about that in the statement of objects and reasons. Probably, she has misunderstood it for habitual offenders. They are two different and distinct things.
Government Bills:
The Code of Criminal Procedure
(Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Bill 1984,
(Refered to Select Committee)

The Code of Criminal Procedure
(Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Bi! 1984,
(Refered to Select Committee)

Section 1. Procedure in cases: — In criminal matters, every person, who is in custody, may be discharged or released after giving an opportunity to the prosecution to argue its case. Even if they oppose the bail vehemently, the Court can give bail. After hearing them or giving an opportunity, the Court is satisfied that there is no harm in setting this man at liberty in the case if finally heard.

Section 2. Procedure in cases: — In criminal cases, every person who is in custody, may be discharged or released after giving an opportunity to the prosecution to argue its case. Even if they oppose the bail vehemently, the Court can give bail. After hearing them or giving an opportunity, the Court is satisfied that there is no harm in setting this man at liberty in the case if finally heard.
Government Bills:
The Code of Criminal Procedure (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) (Bill, 1984, (Refered to Select Committee)

The only way for the Minister is to withdraw the Bill or refer it to the Select Committee so that a comprehensive report is given by the Select Committee so as to enable to bring back fresh legislation.

S I A. MADAN MOHAN — Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh have Chambal valleys and daku. Can he name any valleys and daku here?

S I A. MADAN MOHAN: — Chambal valleys and daku. Can he name any valleys and daku here?
SRI A. MADAN MOHAN :— I requested the Minister through you, first of all to spell out the impelling reasons which warranted him to bring forward this amendment. Till now he has not said anything more than notice will be served. Where is the need even to introduce this amendment of creating a situation where notice becomes essential to the Public Prosecutor? We should know the circumstances which warrant us. He should be able to cite here such things where in offences they are left free without proper restriction with the result the crime is, on the increase. He should be able to substantiate with the statistics of crimes. Without saying anything, if he just says they have a right, that right is also subject to the democratic norms and also the scrutiny of the Assembly.

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN :— I requested the Minister through you, first of all to spell out the impelling reasons which warranted him to bring forward this amendment. Till now he has not said anything more than notice will be served. Where is the need even to introduce this amendment of creating a situation where notice becomes essential to the Public Prosecutor? We should know the circumstances which warrant us. He should be able to cite here such things where in offences they are left free without proper restriction with the result the crime is, on the increase. He should be able to substantiate with the statistics of crimes. Without saying anything, if he just says they have a right, that right is also subject to the democratic norms and also the scrutiny of the Assembly.
SRI E. VASUDEVA RAO:— Under the Criminal Procedure Code rules have been framed where every bail application has got to be opposed by the Public Prosecutor or the Assistant Public Prosecutor. Therefore, I think to get into the purview of the Cr. P. C., the main Act itself, this provision must have been introduced

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN:— Point of order, Sir. My friend has not really read the amendment. It is not in respect of bail. It is at the final stage, in respect of suspension of the sentence ...
Government Bills: The Code of Criminal Procedure
(Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Bill 1984.
(Referred to Select Committee)

27th June, 1984

SRI Y. RAMAKRISHNUDU:— Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Code of Criminal Procedure (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Bill, 1984 be referred to a Select Committee consisting of the following members:

1. Sri Y. Ramakrishnudu; Minister for Law and Municipalities,
2. ,, M. Kishan Rao,
3. ,, P. Chandrasekhar,
4. ,, Nissankarao Venkataratnam,
5. Smt. Grandhi Madhavv,
6. Sri D Satyanarayana,
7. ,, E Vasudeva Rao,
8. ,, K Ramachandra Reddy,
9. ,, M. M. J. Thomas Choudary,
10. ,, K. Appala Naidu,
11. ,, P. Ramachandra Reddy,
12. ,, K. Venkateswara Rao,
13. ,, D. Munuswamy,
15. ,, M. Venkaiah Naidu

MR. SPEAKER:— Motion moved.

The question is:

"That the Code of Criminal Procedure (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Code Bill, 1984 be referred to a Select Committee consisting of the following Members:
The Code of Criminal Procedure
(Andhra Pradesh Amendment)
Bill 1984,
(Referred to Select Committee)

1. Sri Y Ramakrishnudu,
   Minister for Law and Municipalities.
2. " M. Krishna Rao,
3. " P. Chandrasekhar,
4. " Nissankarao Venkataratnam,
5. " Smt. Grandhi Madhavi,
6. Sri D. Satyanarayana,
7. " E. Vasudeva Rao,
8. " K. Ramachandra Reddy,
9. " M. M. J. Thomas Chowdary,
10. " K. Appala Naidu,
11. " P. Ramachandra Reddy,
12. " K Venkateswara Rao,
13. " D. Munuswami,
14. " G. Venkateswara Rao,
15. " M. Venkaiah Naidu,

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and the Bill was referred to Select Committee.

MR. SPEAKER :— I nominate Sri Y. Ramakrishnudu, as Chairman of the Committee,

The House now stands adjourned till 8-30 a.m. to-morrow.

1-29 p.m.

(The House then adjourned at 1-39 p.m. till 8-30 a.m. on Thursday, the 28th June, 1984).