<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTENTS</th>
<th>PAGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral Answers To Questions</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Notice Questions and Answers</td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written Answers To Questions</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business of The House</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matters Under Rule 329</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re : Murder of the Residents of Sanathanagar, Hyderabad</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re : Beating of Mohd. Shafeerquer Rahaman, Staff Reporter, Munsif Daily near Police Control Room</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re : Old Rates for Eatbles in the Hotels including New and Old M. L. As Hostels</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announeedments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re : Sri K. Narasimha Reddy and Smt. &quot;S. Uma Devi, M. L. As, Joining in the National Democratic Party.</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re : Apprehension of Two Visitors on 20th and 28th February, 1984 in the A.P. Legislative Assembly.</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business of the House</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calling Attention Matter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re : Reduction in the allotment of Palmoline Oil</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papers Laid on the Table</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Government Bills
Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1984 (Introduced) 331

Andhra Pradesh Excise (Amendment) Bill, 1984 (Introduced) 331

Statutory Resolution.
Re: Disapproval of Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulations of Admissions and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Amendment, Ordinance, 1983 332

Government Bill
Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admissions and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Amendment Bill, 1983 (Passed) 333

Statutory Resolution
Re: Disapproval of the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Fifth Amendment Ordinance, 1983 ... 341

Government Bill.
Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Amendment Bill, 1984 ... 341

(Passed)

Statutory Resolution
Re: Disapproval of the Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Fourth Amendment Ordinance, 1983 ... 344

Government Bill.
Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Second Amendment Bill, 1983 ... 345

Business of the House ... 354

Government Bill
Andhra Pradesh Irrigation, Utilization and Command Area Development Bill, 1983 ... 355
The House met at Half-past Eight of the Clock

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Mr. Speaker On No. III is Postponed

Misappropriation of Crores of Rupees Pertaining to Wakf Properties

112 -

2539 Q.- Sarvasri N. Raghava Reddy (Narrekal) M. Ramakrishnan Rao (Khammam) and Smt. M. Swarajyam (Tungathurtty) - Will the Minister for Tourism be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Wakf properties and the income accrued thereon, valued at crores of Rupees are being misappropriated:

(b) whether any enquiry was instituted in this regard:

(c) if so, whether the Enquiry report will be placed on the Table of the House; and

(d) the action taken thereon?

112 (a, a, a) द कोल्हापुर कर्त्तिक नरेश मुंदाड़े अनुमान आयोग दलित

(1) सीधा.

(2) बर्षा.

112 रूपी.

An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the member.

మార్చి మధ్యలో తిరిగిన ముందు మార్పు నిర్ణయం చేస్తుంది. ఒకే సంఖ్య పెంచిన ప్రశ్నాపత్రిక అంశం ఉంది. అయితే ఫాన్ ఎందరూ మాత్రమే నిర్ణయం చేసారు?

ప్రశ్న 1: మే తరువాత ముగ్గురు సంవత్సరాల సమయంలో సంఘ విధాన సమితి సమావస్థకాలు వరుసగా కావాలి. అంటే ఎంతం సమయం వరుసగా కావాలి?

ప్రశ్న 2: మే తరువాత ముగ్గురు సంవత్సరాల సమయంలో సంఘ విధాన సమితి సమావస్థకాలు వరుసగా కావాలి. అంటే ఎంతం సమయం వరుసగా కావాలి?

ప్రశ్న 3: మే తరువాత ముగ్గురు సంవత్సరాల సమయంలో సంఘ విధాన సమితి సమావస్థకాలు వరుసగా కావాలి. అంటే ఎంతం సమయం వరుసగా కావాలి?

ప్రశ్న 4: మే తరువాత ముగ్గురు సంవత్సరాల సమయంలో సంఘ విధాన సమితి సమావస్థకాలు వరుసగా కావాలి. అంటే ఎంతం సమయం వరుసగా కావాలి?

ప్రశ్న 5: మే తరువాత ముగ్గురు సంవత్సరాల సమయంలో సంఘ విధాన సమితి సమావస్థకాలు వరుసగా కావాలి. అంటే ఎంతం సమయం వరుసగా కావాలి?

ప్రశ్న 6: మే తరువాత ముగ్గురు సంవత్సరాల సమయంలో సంఘ విధాన సమితి సమావస్థకాలు వరుసగా కావాలి. అంటే ఎంతం సమయం వరుసగా కావాలి?

ప్రశ్న 7: మే తరువాత ముగ్గురు సంవత్సరాల సమయంలో సంఘ విధాన సమితి సమావస్థకాలు వరుసగా కావాలి. అంటే ఎంతం సమయం వరుసగా కావాలి?

ప్రశ్న 8: మే తరువాత ముగ్గురు సంవత్సరాల సమయంలో సంఘ విధాన సమితి సమావస్థకాలు వరుసగా కావాలి. అంటే ఎంతం సమయం వరుసగా కావాలి?

ప్రశ్న 9: మే తరువాత ముగ్గురు సంవత్సరాల సమయంలో సంఘ విధాన సమితి సమావస్థకాలు వరుసగా కావాలి. అంటే ఎంతం సమయం వరుసగా కావాలి?

ప్రశ్న 10: మే తరువాత ముగ్గురు సంవత్సరాల సమయంలో సంఘ విధాన సమితి సమావస్థకాలు వరుసగా కావాలి. అంటే ఎంతం సమయం వరుసగా కావాలి?
Oral Answers to Questions.

(1) నాటికి ప్రశ్నలు ముందు మాత్రమే నిర్ణయించాలంటే ఈ రాష్ట్ర సంస్థ పని నిర్మాణం ఎలా నిర్ధిష్టం చేయవచ్చు?

(2) నాటికి ప్రశ్నలు ముందు మాత్రమే నిర్ణయించాలంటే ఈ రాష్ట్ర సంస్థ పని నిర్మాణం ఎలా నిర్ధిష్టం చేయవచ్చు?

(3) కారయను ప్రశ్నలు ముందు మాత్రమే నిర్ణయించాలంటే ఈ రాష్ట్ర సంస్థ పని నిర్మాణం ఎలా నిర్ధిష్టం చేయవచ్చు?

(4) కారయను ప్రశ్నలు ముందు మాత్రమే నిర్ణయించాలంటే ఈ రాష్ట్ర సంస్థ పని నిర్మాణం ఎలా నిర్ధిష్టం చేయవచ్చు?

(5) కారయను ప్రశ్నలు ముందు మాత్రమే నిర్ణయించాలంటే ఈ రాష్ట్ర సంస్థ పని నిర్మాణం ఎలా నిర్ధిష్టం చేయవచ్చు?

(6) కారయను ప్రశ్నలు ముందు మాత్రమే నిర్ణయించాలంటే ఈ రాష్ట్ర సంస్థ పని నిర్మాణం ఎలా నిర్ధిష్టం చేయవచ్చు?

(7) కారయను ప్రశ్నలు ముందు మాత్రమే నిర్ణయించాలంటే ఈ రాష్ట్ర సంస్థ పని నిర్మాణం ఎలా నిర్ధిష్టం చేయవచ్చు?

(8) కారయను ప్రశ్నలు ముందు మాత్రమే నిర్ణయించాలంటే ఈ రాష్ట్ర సంస్థ పని నిర్మాణం ఎలా నిర్ధిష్టం చేయవచ్చు?

(9) కారయను ప్రశ్నలు ముందు మాత్రమే నిర్ణయించాలంటే ఈ రాష్ట్ర సంస్థ పని నిర్మాణం ఎలా నిర్ధిష్టం చేయవచ్చు?

(10) కారయను ప్రశ్నలు ముందు మాత్రమే నిర్ణయించాలంటే ఈ రాష్ట్ర సంస్థ పని నిర్మాణం ఎలా నిర్ధిష్టం చేయవచ్చు?
Sri Ahmed Shareef :- There are properties in the possession of the Government. Government offices are located in those properties. That property must be returned to the wakf Board. When are you going to return it?

Shri Mustafa Khan :- I do not know when.

Sri Ahmed Shareef :- When?

Shri Mustafa Khan :- When? I do not know.

Sri Ahmed Shareef :- These properties must be returned to the wakf Board.

Shri Mustafa Khan :- I do not know when.

Sri Ahmed Shareef :- There are properties in the possession of the Government. Government offices are located in those properties. That property must be returned to the wakf Board. When are you going to return it?
Employees Recruited From Coastal
And Rayalaseema Districts in
A.P. Wakf Board

2996-Q-Sri Ahmed Shareef:-- Will the Minister for Tourism be pleased to state:

Will the Minister for wakfs be pleased to state:

a) The total number of employees working in the A. P. wakf Board as on 31-12-1980;

b) The total number of employees recruited from Coastal Districts upto 31-12-1980:

c) The total number of employees recruited upto 31-12-1980 from Rayalaseema:
d) The total number of employees recruited from Coastal Districts form 1-1-1981 to 31-7-83; and
e) The total number of employees recruited from Rayalaseema Districts from 1-1-81 to 31-7-83.

Minister for Wakf (Sri Md. Shakir)

a) 113
b) 12
c) 11
d) 7
e) 11

SRI AHMED SHAREEF: - The way he is answering, the way you are pushing through the business, that is not satisfactory. We come here to know certain things. I never interfered. I said the Wakf property is being occupied. The Government should have the morale. The answer has not come. I am not satisfied with the answer.

MR. SPEAKER: Don’t go back

SRI AHMED SHAREEF: - In protest I will give up my question. If you want like this we cannot work. That is not the way. We are not here simply to pass on. We have come here to know certain things. The entire minority is suffering.

Murder of Railway Employee and Looting of His House P T Tummuru.
Nellore District

2604 (L) - Q. Sarvasri Nallapareddy Chandrasekhara Reddy (Vonkatagiri) Jogi Mastanaiah (Gudur) S. Prakasam (Sullurpet) Ch. Penchala Reddy (Sarvepally) and M. Audinarayana Reddy (Rapur) :- Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware that one Railway employee was shot dead and his house was looted in August at Tummuru in Nellore District;

(b) whether it is a fact that in the same night, they went to Gudur by cars and looted some more houses there; and

(c) if so, the action taken by the Police?

11-8-1983

(a) 300

11-8-1983

114:
Shortage of Kerosene in the State

3400-Q. Sri N. Indrasena Reddy. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that there is a shortage of Kerosene in twin cities in particular;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor:

(c) whether it is also a fact that the card holders in twin cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad are experiencing a lot of inconvenience to get the Kerosene through their fair Price Shop Dealers for their daily use; and

(d) if so, whether Govt. will take immediate steps to supply the kerosene to the public in twin cities on their demands?
Appointement of Working Journalists AS T. P. R. Os.

116-

2609-(R)-Q-Sarvasri K. Mruthyunjayam (Karimnagar) & Indrasena Reddy :- Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the former Chief Minister Sri T. Anjaiah assured to the working journalists to appoint them as T.P.R Os;

(b) whether the T.P.R.Os were appointed in Social Welfare department as A.S.W.Cs. and many vacancies were consequently vacated;

(c) if so, whether the present Government will appoint the experienced journalists as T.P.R Os. in those vacancies; and

(d) if so, when?

Oral Answers to Questions.

(3) (4) (5) (6)
SANCTION OF LOAN TO OWNERS OF HOTEL VISHNUPRIYA AT TIRUPATI
By STATE FINANCIAL CORPORATION
WITHOUT DOCUMENTATION

117—

2607—(M)—Q—Sarvasri K. Nagarjuna Reddy (Cumbum) K. P. Reddaiah (Vuyyer) A. Narendra (Hyamatnagar) and K. Venkateswara Rao (Kollapur)—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the State Financial Corporation sanctioned loan to the owners of Hotel Vishnupriya at Tirupati without documentation; and

(b) if so, the reasons thereon?
We want the date of application received from the Private Co., date of loan sanction—amount sanctioned and the date of withdrawal of the amount.

Rs. 30.00 lakhs was sanctioned to this hotel by the Board of Directors in a meeting held on 28-12-1983. The sanctioned letter was also given.

SRI N. BHAKKARA RAO; Application for this was forwarded on 6-11-1982.
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இலையோர் என்றோர்? இராசியும் துறந்துள்ளது நேராக எளிதும் விளைவு என்று.
நா. எனது எனும் எனும்? அந்தில்லை என்று என்று என்று பாது கொண்டு எந்தோரும் என்று? சமயம்? எந்தக் காக்க வேண்டும் என்று என்றோரும்?

இலையோர் எனும் எனும்: எனக்கு என்று என்று என்று. என் தேர்தல் 107 மாதம் குழப்பவாறு, 174 மாதம் என் தேர்தல் 16-8-1988, 16-8-88, அவ் 17-11-83, 21-12-83 திருச்சிராப்தி.

வெள்ளை, வெள்ளை என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்றோர் என்றோர்.

This site is 95 cents, out of which 60 cents are being utilised for this hotel purposes. The records are as per rules.

இலையோர் எனும் எனும்: இலையோர் என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று என்று.

இலையோர் எனும்: என்று என்று என்று?

Sri N. Bhaskara Rao :- Sir, I am not concerned with the owners, whether "Y" or Z " and I am concerned with my records and I am concerned with the hotel,

இலையோர் எனும்: என்று என்று என்று என்றோரும்?

Mr. Speaker :- When the hotel is there somebody is there and I do not understand - why all these things?

இலையோர் எனும்: என்று என்று என்று என்றோரும்?

மக்கள் எனும் :- என்று என்று என்றோர்கள்?
Hotel is there.

Sri N. Bhaskara Rao :- Sir, Sri Subramanyam is the Managing Director and he has forwarded this application on 6-11-82 - before we came into Power.

Sri N. Bhaskara Rao :- Sir, I am sorry. Because of certain business is allocated to four Ministers - and according to that only we are answering.

Sir, I am not questioning the right of the Minister's reply.
Mr. Speaker :- It is not relevant.

Sir, after the plans were approved only, the sanction was given. Though the sanction was given, it is only after checking the records the amount was released. The next thing is about Partnership.

Yes - it is partnership firm.
It is Private Limited Company. I am sorry for that.

Who are the Directors? What is wrong in it? Whether they have obtained permission from the Urban Land Development Authority of Tirupathi town, respectively.

Who are the Directors? What is wrong in it? Whether they have obtained permission from the Urban Land Development Authority of Tirupathi town, respectively.

MR. SPEAKER: How is it relevant here?

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Yes, Sir. Whether that particular firm because of the placement of the Directors has been favoured or not is a point to be ascertained.

MR. SPEAKER: X, Y or Z may be there. I hold it as irrelevant. I am sorry.

Who are the other Directors?

MR. SPEAKER: How is it relevant? What is the main question?
Oral Answers to Questions. 1st March, 1984. 263

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY : I have put a specific question, who are the Directors of this Private Limited Company?

Do not put irrelevant questions.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY : He is benami.

He is benami.
Every formality was completed and it is perfectly in order.

DR. Y. S. RAJASEKHARA REDDY: Kindly postpone the question.

MR. SPEAKER: If you are particular about the names of Directors, you put a separate question. Why Postponement?

Dr. Y. S. Rajasekhara Reddy: The question, I think, is perfectly in order.

MR. SPEAKER: Is it?

Dr. Y. S. Rajasekhara Reddy: The question, I think, is perfectly in order.

MR. SPEAKER: Is it?

Dr. Y. S. Rajasekhara Reddy: The question, I think, is perfectly in order.

Mr. S. Jaipal Reddy: Sir, the question relates to owners. If it is a partnership firm, the owners are partners. If it is a private limited company or a public limited company, under Companies Act, the owners could be Directors and Shareholders. The Managing Direc-

SRI K. VENKATESWARA RAO :- It is quite unreasonable to hold the information from the House. If he is sincere in his statement the necessary information must be furnished. If that is not available, let the question be postponed.

MR. SPEAKER :- Where is the need for postponement of the entire question? If you want some specific information, the Minister is prepared to . . .

SRI K. VENKATESWARA RAO :- He is not coming out with proper answer.

MR. SPEAKER :- It is not available with him.

SRI K. VENKATESWARA RAO :- It is not correct.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY :- Sir, the question relates to owners. If it is a partnership firm, the owners are partners. If it is a private limited company or a public limited company, under Companies Act, the owners could be Directors and Shareholders, The Managing Direc-
tor cannot be the owner. Therefore, since the question has been put about owners, the Minister is obliged to disclose to the House as to who are the Directors, who are the major shareholders. Without revealing that information, the Minister cannot say that he has answered the question. If he does not have the requisite information, the Minister.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO: - As I have informed already, I never visualised the details of the Directors and the shareholders which is not included in the question. If the Chair directs me, I will place those names. There is nothing fishy. I will get the full details but it does not arise in my answer.

SRI D. K. SAMARA SIMHA REDDY: - One point of submission. If the minister feels that there is nothing fishy about the entire issue, and when he is prepared to place those things before the House, my request is let him place the entire file before the Hon. Speaker and we will see.

(Shouts of no, no from the Treasury benches)

SRI D. K. SAMARA SIMHA REDDY: - Who said that?

(Interruptions)

SRI D. K. SAMARA SIMHA REDDY: - Sir, let him place the entire file before the Hon. Speaker because we have been alleging certain things.

(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: - Evidently, you want the names of the Directors.

SRI D. K. SAMARA SIMHA REDDY: - No, Sir. The entire issue is fishy.

(Interruptions)

MR. SPEAKER: - No, please.

SRI D. K. SAMARA SIMHA REDDY: - Bad odour is stinking. Why don't you place the file before you, Sir? We will see.

MR. SPEAKER: - No, please.
MR. SPEAKER: I cannot direct the Government.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO: - What is there in this file, Sir? I have answered every supplementary question, except the names of the share-holders. I cannot understand why they are unnecessarily insisting.

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN (Siddipeta): - You cannot suppress the Opposition like this. During the last 3 Sessions, we never insisted for any particular file. The Finance Minister pretty well knows that there were precedents in this House and even during the period of the previous Government, on the direction of the Speaker, we had placed certain file before the House or sent to the Speaker and the Speaker took the opposition Leaders into confidence. Not only in this House, Sir, but this is also the practice even in the Parliament. In order to avoid noisy scenes, it is better to do so. No other file was insisted and this is the first time we are asking and fears may be imaginary and nothing could be found in the file. If that was so, the Speaker to say that he cannot have discretion to direct the Government to place the file before him is some thing unfair. There are precedent both in this House and in Lok Sabha. Please go through the precedents.

MR. SPEAKER: - I will go through.
SRI N. BHASKARA RAO :- I will supply the names later, Sir. You can proceed with the next question.

(No. No. shouts from the Congress-I Benches)

SRI P. GOVARDHANA REDDY :- We demand that we want the clear answers. We do not allow this sort of evasive answers. Let him give the information.

MR. SPEAKER :- What is this? I cannot demand.

MR. SPEAKER :- Question hour is over.

(No. No. shouts from the Opposition Benches)

MR. SPEAKER :- I am adjourning the House for 10 minutes.

(The House then, adjourned at 9-34 a.m. for 10 minutes)

(The House, re-assembled at 9-42 a.m. (Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

MR. SPEAKER :- I will take up Short Notice Questions.

SRI P. GOVARDHANA REDDY :- WHAT about that question, Sir?

MR. SPEAKER :- That question was answered. Answers to all the other questions will be placed on the Table of the House since the Question Hour was over.

MR. SPEAKER :- That question was answered. Answers to all the other questions will be placed on the Table of the House since the Question Hour was over.

S. R. A. MADAN MOHAN: On earlier occasions also, in this House, when such matters had come, it was the Speaker who directed the Government to place the entire record on the table of the House or to refer it to the Speaker so that he can take the Opposition into confidence. After all it has been the practice and if the relevant documents are placed before the Speaker and if he can care to call the Leaders of the Opposition and take them into confidence, naturally, the Government need not fear. Otherwise, the people will draw their own inferences on this issue that there is something fishy.

S. R. A. MADAN MOHAN: If there are earlier precedents you allow this also.

MR. SPEAKER: How can I do it?

S. R. A. MADAN MOHAN: If there are earlier precedents, will the Speaker assure the opposition benches that he will adopt the same procedure. You can do only after you are satisfied.

MR. SPEAKER: If there are any precedents in this House or Lok Sabha, certainly I will consider and I will give ruling also on this aspect.

S. D. K. SAMARASIMHA REDDY: Agreed sir. The question will not see the light of day till we raise it in the House. Our simple suggestion is let this question be postponed.

MR. SPEAKER: There is something fishy about it.

S. D. K. SAMARASIMHA REDDY: We give utmost respect to the Chair.
We say there is something fishy about it. We narrated certain incidents also as to what transpired. The file itself will speak.

MR. SPEAKER: If you doubt, I am sorry. But...

SRI P. GOVERDHAN REDDY: By what time you give ruling.

(interruptions)

MR SPEAKER: You cannot demand like this. You have belief in me. What is it, I can't understand?

As earlier as possible.

(interruptions)

SRI M VENKAIAH NAIDU: Who asked for postponement? Did I ask?

I cannot go back.

The answers will be placed on the Table of the House.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: On a point of order.

We have been observing the distinction. What you say could be technically true but question our over...

MR. SPEAKER: It applies to postponed questions.

Questions postponed means postponed questions also. You will get the answers.

We are in such a helpless situation. I may not be misunderstood if I say this. It is not the way, because this question was postponed at the request of the Government but not at the request of the Member or at the convenience of the Speaker. When the Speaker postponed a question at the request of the Government, can he not postpone it for the sake of the Member once.

MR. SPEAKER: You have taken the entire time on particular question.

SRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU: Circumstances will come like that. What can we do? It does happen in a number of times. It is not the first time. We have seen on a number of times when the question hour was dragged on for two hours. Then we came to a compromise saying that it will be answered at a later date. I am sorry, Sir.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: That is the procedure have been following.

MR. SPEAKER: Question postponed means, including the postponed questions.

SRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU: If the Government feels a question is inconvenient to it.

MR. SPEAKER: Why do you think like that.

SRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU: Who asked for this postponement? I am not talking of today. You are right. You can say that because much time was taken I agree with you but this question was postponed at the request of the Government, last time the Chief Minister said he wants to postpone since he did not get the answer.

MR. SPEAKER: I remember it. Let us take up to-morrow or day after tomorrow.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO: We are prepared to answer to-morrow. Let it be listed in the to-morrow's list We are not for postponement.

MR. SPEAKER: Postponed questions are postponed for the next day. (C. Ms. working day).
ELECTRIFICATION OF GIRIJAN AREAS
IN THE ENTIRE STATE

118—

3972-Q.-Smt. G Madhavi Visakhapatnam) :— Will the Minister for Finance & Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Rural electrification Board which covered the entire state, contemplated a programme for electrifying the girijan areas at an expenditure of fifteen crores of rupees; and

(b) if so, when the 'Garland scheme' will be taken up?

A—

a) The Chairman, Rural Electrification Corporation has suggested formulation of Garland Scheme for comprehensive electrification of balance tribal villages in the State.

b) Preparation of Garland scheme for electrification of all the balance Tribal villages as suggested by Rural Electrification Corporation requires co-ordination between various departments like Forest, Irrigation, Tribal welfare and Industries. Formulation of the infrastructure Garland Scheme can be taken up after required details are made available by these Departments Pending formulation of comprehensive scheme, 12 individual schemes covering 593 Tribal Villages which do not require forest clearance have been prepared by the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board at an estimated cost of 7.25 crores in the 1st instance for obtaining loan assistance from Rural Electrification Corporation. These scheme will be taken up during the next 2 to 5 years based on the phasing and on receipt of sanction from Rural Electrification Corporation.

SUPPLY OF WATER TO BADWEL AND SIDHOUTAM TQs. FOR IRRIGATION LANDS

119—

1426-Q.-Sarvasri Y. S. Rajasekhara Reddy, K. Nagarjuna Reddy, M. Narayana Rao (Sompet) & D. L. Ravindra Reddy (Mydukur) ; Will the Minister for Works be pleased to state:

A—

a) whether it is a fact that the Govt. has decided to give water to Badwel and Siddavatham taluks in Cuddapah district to irrigate 1.5 lakh acres:

a) Yes, Sir. Subject to availability of commandable area it is proposed to irrigate about 1.5 lakh acres in Sagileru Valley.
b) Whether it is also a fact that there is a design to take a channel from the proposed balancing reservoir at Brahmamgari matam to Kalasapadu;

b) This will be known after alignments of distributories are finalised.

c) if so, whether the Preliminary survey was conducted and whether leveles are permissable to design such channel;

And

c) Preliminary survey is in progress.

d) if not, the sanctity of decision to construct such balancing reservoir at Brahmamgari matam?

d) The extent of area and villages getting benefit will be known only after localisation of ayacut is done.

SECOND STAGE CONSTRUCTION OF SRIRAM SAGAR PROJECT

120—

2099 Q.- Sarvasri G. Mallesh, (Aribabad) Bhupati Rao (Palair) and Md. Rajab Ali :- Will the Minister for Works be pleased to state :

A—

a) Whether the Govt. has sanctioned for the second stage construction of Sriramasagar Project.

a) The following works originally proposed under Stage. II of Sri ramasagar Project have been taken up under Stage. I. for creating irrigation potential of 3,58,500 acres, since clearance of Central Water Commission for the Project is not yet received.

1. Extension of Kakathiya canal from K. M. 234 to K. M. 284. 3,00,000 acres
2. Saraswathi canal (Head Reach) 42,000 acres
3. Laxmi canal (High level Channel) 16,500 acres

3,58,500 acres

b) if so, what is the expenditure involved

b) Estimate amount Stage. I Rs. 545 Crores
   Stage. II Rs. 305 Crores

Expenditure incurred to end of Dec. 1983 on the revised Stage. I Rs. 9.68 Crores

Works is

Expenditure incurred on revised Stage. II Works. Rs. Nil
c) the district-wise number of acres of land will come under irrigation under the Project and:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the District</th>
<th>Ayacut in acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warangal</td>
<td>5,68,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nalgonda</td>
<td>2,47,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khammam</td>
<td>74,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td>1,45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
<td>26,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
<td>5,50,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total ayacut under the Project: 16,11,500 Acres

d) by what time this Project is intended to be completed?

d) The works under item (a) above will be completed by 6/88. The balance works i.e. Kakathitya canal from K. M. 284 to K. M. 349 for an ayacut of 4,90,000 acres and Saraswnthi canal tail reach for an ayacut of 1,03,000 acres will be completed after Stage II is cleared by Central Water Commission, during VIIIth Plan.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

120-A

NARASAMPET DRINKING WATER SCHEME

S. N. O. No. 4321-C :- Sri M. Omkar: Will the Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether the drinking water scheme at Narasampet town in Warangal District has not been grounded as yet though it was sanctioned long back;

(b) whether it is a fact that the size of the scheme is being reduced disregarding the fact the population of the town is growing at an abnormal speed; and

(c) if so, the reasons therefor?

మాత్రమే తద్దీర కాదు (ఇతర దండయోగాలు) :-

(2) 1988 వివాద నిర్ణయం ఒకటకనా తెలుగులో ఆటవను. అనేక విధానాలు అంటే చాలా వరుసాంద్రము. తమాయ సంఘాతి అనే విధానాలు చాలా యిద్దు.

(3) 85వ సంఘాతి.
(2)  

1. Question: - What will be the height of a cylinder if the area of its base is 154 sq cm and the volume is 1078 cu cm?  
Answer: The height of the cylinder will be 10 cm.  

2. Question: - A note was written by a girl and it was filled by a boy. The note was filled on 2-4-1983 at 29 and 50.  
Answer: The note was filled on 2-4-1983 at 29 and 50.
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 SHORT NOTICE QUESTION POSTPONED FROM 27-2-1984
50-A

MURDER OF SMT. VIJAYALAXMI A NATIVE OF RAJAHMUNDRY BY HER HUSBAND

S. N. Q. No. 4319-Y : Sarvasri M. Narayana Rao, K. Venkateswara Rao, P. Rajam (Narella) K. Nagaijuna Reddy : Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state :

a) whether it is a fact that Smt. Vijayalaxmi a native of Rajahmundry was murdered by her husband on 25-11-1983.

b) whether any complaint has been lodged by the father of the deceased in No. 1 Town Police Station, Rajahmundry : and

c) if so, the action taken thereon?

50-A

MURDER OF SMT. VIJAYALAXMI A NATIVE OF RAJAHMUNDRY BY HER HUSBAND

S. N. Q. No. 4319-Y : Sarvasri M. Narayana Rao, K. Venkateswara Rao, P. Rajam (Narella) K. Nagaijuna Reddy : Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state :

a) whether it is a fact that Smt. Vijayalaxmi a native of Rajahmundry was murdered by her husband on 25-11-1983.

b) whether any complaint has been lodged by the father of the deceased in No. 1 Town Police Station, Rajahmundry : and

c) if so, the action taken thereon?
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పంటక అనే సంప్రదాయం నిరుద్యోగంతో సంస్థానం అవి అభివృద్ధి అవుతాయి. పంటక అనేది సంప్రదాయం నిరుద్యోగంతో సంస్థానం అవి అభివృద్ధి అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి.

టి. ఇ. ప్రశ్నలు: — మీరు పంటక అంశాలు విలువ వినియోగం నిరుద్యోగం అవి అవి అభివృద్ధి అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి.

టి. ఇ. ప్రశ్నలు (సాధారణం): — మీరు పంటక అంశాలు విలువ వినియోగం అవి అనుభావం అల్లుంచేది అభివృద్ధి అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి.

టి. ఐ. ప్రశ్నలు: — మీరు పంటక అంశాలు విలువ వినియోగం అవి అల్లుంచేది అనుభావం అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి. అప్పుడు సంస్థానం పంటక నిరుద్యోగం అవుతాయి.
WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

RAPE OF A GIRL IN MADHAVARAM VILLAGE.
KHAMMAM DISTRICT

101—

139 Q— Sarvasri B. Bhupati Rao, G. Mallesh, V. Abbaiah and Ganginoni Venkateswara Rao : Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that a girl aged about 15 years named P. Bhadramma has been raped by Shaik Dastagiri in Madhavaram village of Yellandu taluk in Khammam district.

(b) whether the District Collector has received any representation on 22nd of January, 1983 by the parents of Bhadramma; and

(c) if so, the action taken in this regard?

A—(a) Yes, Sir,

(b) Yes, Sir.

The accused was arrested on 22nd January, 1983 and remanded to judicial custody and a case was booked under section 376 IPC and 324 IPC Cr. No. 3783 at Karepally Police Station. The case was charge sheeted on 5th May, 1983 and it is pending trial. The Collector, Khammam has sanctioned Rs. 500 for the treatment of the girl who was hospitalised for examination and treatment, as her parents are poor and unable to give medical treatment to their daughter. The Collector, Khammam has also instructed Tahsildar, Yellandu to allot Government land to victim Smt. P. Bhadramma as she is a handless poor lady.

RAPE OF A WOMAN ATNALGONDA

102—

432 Q— Sarvasri M. Kasiram and S. Srinthosh Reddy : Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that on the 5th of February, 1983 young married woman who came out of the Campus of the local college at Nalgonda was followed and raped by two miscreants; and

[b] if so, the action taken by the Government?

[b] On the oral complaint of Smt. Ramulamma, a case in Cr. No. 16/83 u/s 366, 376 r/w 34 IPC has been registered and investigated into. The two accused Mohd. Zahiruddin and Mohd. Ali were arrested on 14th February, 1983. The case was charge-sheeted on 19th March, 1983 in P. R. C. No. 15/83 in Judicial First Class Magistrate Court, Nalgonda and the case is pending trial.

MEASURES TO STOP THE COMMUNAL RIOTS IN THE STATE

2580—E Q—Sarvasri Sultan salahuddin Owaisi, Mohd. Amanullah Khan, Syed Baqar Agha and Abu Sayeed: Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

[a] the number of persons belonging to minority community who were killed and injured in communal riots at Mangalhat, Hyderabad in the last week of May, 1983:

[b] whether such of the persons who involved in the said killing and injury, the innocent persons had been arrested and prosecuted;

[c] the action taken by Government against the concerned Police Officers whose negligence caused heinous offences; and

[d] the precautionary measures taken by the Government to stop the communal riots in future in the State?

A—[a] Eight persons were killed and 34 persons sustained injuries.

[b] No, Sir, Persons responsible for killing and causing injuries are only prosecuted.

[c] Rumours by communal fanatics were responsible for the communal riots that took place in May, 1983 and there was no negligence on the part of any Police Officer.

[d] Communal fanatics have been identified and they are being prosecuted in specific cases and they are being taken into preventive custody whenever trouble is anticipated. Peace Councils are formed to promote better understanding among different communities. Pickets are posted in communally sensitive areas at the time of festivals. Marriage and religious processions are provided adequate Police bandobust to ensure that communal riots do not recur. Effective Police patrolling is arranged for the festivals of all religions.

ERECTING A 132 KV SUB-STATION AT KANIGIRI

104—

1519 Q—Sri M. Kasi Reddy: Will the Minister for Finance and Power be pleased to state:

[a] whether it is a fact that due to low voltage the motors of the people of Kanigiri are getting burnt and the people are put to hardship; and

[b] if so, whether there is any proposal to erect a 132 KV sub-station at Kanigiri and when?

A—[a] No, Sir. There are no specific cases of burning of motors in Kanigiri area due to low voltage.

(b) Does not arise.

COMPLETION OF THE BRIDGE ON SARADA RIVER
AND THE BYE-PASS ROAD AT ANKAPALLI

105—

2640 Q—Sivasri S. Santhosh Reddy, M. Jagannadham, M. Ramachandra Reddy and K. Nagarjuna Reddy: Will the Minister for Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Central Government has sanctioned an amount of Rupees twenty lakhs in 1978 for the construction of a byepass road at Anakapalli and for the construction of a bridge on Sarada river:

(b) whether the Government are aware of the inconvenience being caused to the local people on account of the heavy traffic for want of a byepass road; and

[c] if so, whether the Government propose to complete the bridge on Sarada river before the onset of the rainy season and also to complete the bye-pass road?

A—[a] The Government of India has sanctioned an amount of Rs. 31,602 lakhs in 10/78 for the estimate for L. A, for construction of bye-pass on National Highway-5 at Anakapalli town and Rs. 41,150 lakhs in 9/80 for the work of formation of bye-pass road and Rs. 39,128 lakhs for Sarada bridge in 2/81.

[b] Yes, Sir.

[c] The work is expected to be completed by 6/85.
DELAY IN COMMENCING THE ROAD WORK IN PRAKASAM AND NELLORE

106—

2587. I Q.—Sri M. Kasi Reddy. Will the Minister for Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact, a road was sanctioned from Konapalli to Seetharampuram in Prakasam and Nellore;

(b) the reasons for the delay in commencing the work; and

(c) the reasons for postponing the tenders?

106—

I A.—(a) Yes, Sir,

The work "Formation of a road from Seetharampuram to Konapalli from KM. 0/0 to 48/0 including construction of culverts in Prakasam district was sanctioned by the Government of India under Central Road Fund (Allocation) for Rs. 50.00 lakhs.

(b) The administrative sanction was accorded in G.O. Ms. No. 210, dated 26th June 1981 for Rs. 50.00 lakhs. As the length of the reach is considerable it contains Ghat portion, considerable time has been taken for doing the investigation and preparation of detailed estimates.

The estimate was technically sanctioned in 2/83 and tenders were received in 2/83. As the tender premium is 24.66% excess, the tenders were cancelled and the work was split into 4 reaches to attract better competition and speedy execution. The tenders for the 4 reaches are received and for one reach the tenders are accepted and for remaining three reaches, contractors are invited for negotiations.

(c) During the 1st call, two tenders were received with a tender premium of 24.66% excess. So the work is proposed to be split into 4 reaches to attract better competition. Accordingly the work was split into 4 reaches and tenders were invited and tenders were also received. For the 1st reach tenders are finalised and agreement is being concluded. For the remaining three reaches the contractors have been invited for negotiations.

ERECTING LOCK GATES IN CHALLAPALLI AND GOPAYALANAKA

107—

2196 Q.—Sarvasri A. J. V B. Maheswara Rao, Ch. Joga Rao and M. Malakondaiah: Will the Minister for Works be pleased to state;
(a) whether it is a fact that Rs. 9 lakhs and Rs. 22 lakhs were sanctioned respectively for the construction of tidal locks in Challapalli and Gopayalanka in Amalapuram taluk, East Godavari district and that the work also has been completed by the contractor two years back without providing gates for the locks:

(b) when the gates will be provided: and

(c) the reason for not providing them so far?

A.—(a) Yes, Sir. The construction of tidal lock at Challapalli on Amalapuram canal and Gopayalanka lock on Bendamurulanka canal were completed at cost of Rs. 9.05 lakhs and Rs. 21.85 lakhs respectively. The civil works were completed in 6/82 and 12/81 respectively.

(b) and (c) There was some delay in manufacturing the gates in P. W. D. Workshops, Dowlaishwaram. However the lock gates have been erected in 5/83,

DELAY IN CONSTRUCTING THE BRIDGE ON THE NALLAMADA DRAIN

108—

420-B Q.—Sarvasi Dhoolipalla Veeraiah Chowdary and C. Vijaya Ramaraju: Will the Minister for Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the single lane bridge on the Nallamadadrain near Garlapadu village collapsed in 1969 due to floods;

(b) the reasons for the delay in constructing his bridge, which is the communication facility to several villages of Kakumanu firka;

(c) whether the construction of the bridge will be taken up at least now, in view of the provision made in the Drainage Act to reconstruct a bridge without any contribution;

(d) whether it is fact that estimates for the construction of this bridge were prepared during the regime of the previous Government and are pending with the Chief Engineer (Design) for a long time; and

(e) if so, the reasons for so much delay?

A.—[a] Yes, Sir.

[b] The Krishna & Godavari Delta Drainage Board in its 40th Meeting held on 14th April, 1980 at Chirala approved the proposal
to construct a new bridge on Nallamada Drain near Garlapadu village Guntur district under Category I, subject to the certification of the Chief Engineer (Drainage) whether the bridge would come under Category-I. The site survey and the field data are obtained and the Superintending Engineer, [Irrigation Circle] Guntur has been requested to finalise the designs for the bridge. The construction of this bridge could not be taken up for want of adequate funds.

[c] Due to meagre allotment of funds during 1983-84 for drainage works, it is not possible to take up this work during 1983-84. If additional funds under drainage are available it will be considered to take up this work this year. However, the work will be taken up during next year, subject to availability of funds.

[d] No, Sir.
[e] Does not arise.

ESTABLISHING REGIONAL WORKSHOP [IRRIGATION WING] AT WARANGAL

2598-H Q.—Sarvasri M. Jagannadharm, G. Narasimulu Naidu, D. Sripada Rao, K. Narasimha Reddy, K. R. Krishnaswamy and K. Lakshma Reddy; Will the Minister for Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government have decided to establish "Regional Workshops (Irrigation Wing) in the three areas and established them in (1) Visakha and (2) Cuddapah only : and

(b) if so, the reason for not establishing the 3rd Workshop which was proposed to be established at Warangal in Telangana Area?

-H A.—(a) and (b) ; Yes, Sir. The existing Gates Erection Division, Rajahmundry, Penstock & Fabrication Division, Cuddapah and Central Mechanical Unit, Hyderabad were converted into Regional Workshops & Machinery Divisions and established at Visakhapatnam, Cuddapah and Hyderabad respectively to cater to the need of Coastal Andhra, Rayalaseema and Telangana areas. There is no proposal before the Government to establish a Regional Workshop and Machinery Division at Warangal.

REPAIRS TO THE NATIONAL HIGHWAYS IN THE SURROUNDING AREAS OF VIJAYAWADA

2585-I Q.—Sarvari M. Ratna Bose, D. Rajasekhar, K. Kalavenkata Rao, B. S. Jai Raju and M. Purnananda; Will the Minister for Works be pleased to state;
(a) whether it is a fact that the National Highways in the Krishna district particularly in the surrounding areas of Vijayawada are in a very bad condition;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor; and

(c) the steps proposed to be taken to repair the said roads in the neighbouring areas of Vijayawada?

A—(a) (b) & (c): National Highway bits viz., Hyderabad—Vijayawada road and Vijayawada—Visakhapatnam road pass through Krishna district. These roads are generally fair. Damages occurred to these roads during recent rains in Aug. are being attended to. Extensive repairs could not be taken up due to paucity of funds. The condition of another bit of National Highway from Vijayawada to Guntur, is not satisfactory. It developed pot holes due to heavy traffic and rains. An estimate for strengthening the road between Mangalagiri and Vijayawada has been sanctioned for Rs. 88,64,200 by the Government of India and tenders are called for. Another estimate for strengthening the road between Mangalagiri and Guntur for Rs. 67,43,900 has been sent to the Government of India for sanction. As soon as this amount is sanctioned, this work also will be taken up for execution.

CONSTRUCTING A RESERVOIR AT A. MALLAVARAM VILLAGE, E. G. DIST.
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1945 Q—Sri M. Padmanabham: Will the Minister for Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have decided to construct a reservoir for the water flowing wastefully from A. Mallavaram village, Pratipadu taluk, East Godavari district which has been estimated at a cost of 15 lakhs rupees and to include the same in drought works; and

(b) if so, when the said work will be completed?

A—(a) Proposals from the Chief Engineer (Minor Irrigation) are awaited.

(b) Does not arise,
(a) whether it is a fact that one Executive Engineer, Sri Md. Akbar Khureshi at Pochampad Project complained to the Superintending Engineer, Pochampad through his letter No. T. S./54/1330, dated 30th June, 1982 that the construction of Lakshmi Canal is standard and no quality to control rules are being followed and similarly in another letter dated 31st May, 1982 he pointed out many irregularities prejudicial to the interests and safety of the constructions being done by the Contractors and the supervising staff;

(b) if so, the action taken thereon; and

(c) the number of such complaints still pending regarding the substandard works and misappropriation?

A—(a) Yes sir, the Executive Engineer made certain complaints.

(b) The defects pointed out were rectified by the Construction staff in consultation with the designs organisation where design aspects are involved. The Superintending Engineers in-charge of construction and Quality Control and the Director of Andhra Pradesh Engineering Research Laboratory who inspected the works did not make any adverse remarks about the quality of work. However, as a measure of abundant caution Chief Engineer, Sriramasagar Project being requested to make a personal inspection of the works and to report to Government.

(c) Certain complaints regarding misappropriation have been brought to the notice of the Government and enquiry is being ordered.

PAYMENT OF COMPENSATION TO SMALL FARMERS
AT KOVVALI VILLAGE, W. G. DIST.

113—

1723 Q—Sarvasri D. L. Ravindra Reddy. Y. S. Rajasekhar Reddy and K. Nagarjuna Reddy : Will the Minister for Works be pleased to state:

[a] whether it is a fact that the wet land belonging to thirty small farmers at Kovvali village, Bheemadolu taluk, West Godavari district was acquired in connection with the widening of the Mondidodu drain;

[b] whether the Government are aware of the fact that compensation has not been paid so far to the above small farmers since the year 1957; and

[c] if so, the action taken by the Government?
A—[a] Yes, Sir.

(b) The land acquired for improvement of the Drain was taken over during the years 1975-77. Hence the question of payment of compensation from 1957 does not arise. Compensation in respect of 0.92 acre of land in Kovvali village acquired for improvements to Mondicodu Drain from KM 0.0 to KM 4.83 was paid in the year 1977 itself. Compensation for the extent of 48.17 acres is yet to be paid for the land occupied for the improvements of the drains from KM 4.8 to KM 10.70.

(c) The lands under acquisition for improvements to Mondicodu Drain were inspected by the Joint Collector, Eluru on 27th January, 1983 and orders approving the land value were issued. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Eluru was also instructed for passing awards after obtaining required funds from the Executive Engineer, Drainage Division, Bhimavaram who is incharge of the work.

DISCHARGE OF WATER INTO RIGHT CANAL OF NAGARJUNASAGAR PROJECT
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2752 Q.—Sri V. V. Narayana Reddy : Will the Minister for Works be pleased to state:

[a] the year-wise discharge of water into Right Canal of Nagarjunasagar Project since 1967 to 1982;

[b] what is the allocation fixed by the award of Justice Bachawat for Right Canal;

[c] whether there is any condition imposed by the World Bank for discharge of water to Bank area in Right Canal:

[d] if so, has it been implemented by the Government; and

[e] if not, the reasons for nonimplementation?

A.—(a):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Water released in TMC.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>50.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>98.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>114.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>138.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>144.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>123.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>133.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>164.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1975  178.29  
1976  150.75  
1977  178.46  
1978  201.86  
1979  176.07  
1980  201.64  
1981  201.09  
1982  197.68  

[b] 132 Tm. Cft

c) A commitment was made to the World Bank that 32% of the water will be made available to Bank area for Blocks 15 to 22 at Mile 85/3 of N. S. Right Canals.

d) and e) As the full ayacut has yet to be developed in the Bank area, this commitment would be fulfilled with reference to the Bank area after the ayacut is developed fully. Till that time water will be discharged to the Bank area so far developed in sufficient quantities.

SUPPLY OF WATER TO SUBHRAVEEDU AREA THROUGH POCHAMPAD PROJECT
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1834 Q.—Sri B. Bhupathi Rao ; Will the Minister for Works be pleased to state :

[a] whether there are any schemes to supply water to the ryots of the villages of Subhraveedu area of Khammam district through the Pochampad Project : and

[b] if so, what are they and when the water will be supplied to the said area ?

A.—[a] Yes, Sir.

[b] The proposal is to supply water by the extension of Kakatiya canal beyond Akkeru river under Stage-II of the Sriramasagar Project and through the Thallanapadu Branch canal taking off at KM. 305.51 of Kakatiya Canal.

ALLOY COINS IN EXCAVATION OF FIELDS AT PALAYANGADDA VILLAGE, RAYACHOTI TQ.
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1031 Q.—Smt. K. Prabhavathamma : Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state :
[a] whether it is a fact that 48 silver coins were found in Palayangadda village of Rayachoti taluk during March, 1983 while excavating earth in fields; and

[b] if so, will the Government take up further excavation to study the same?

A.—[a] No silver coins were found. However 48 "Hoyo" coins were found.

[b] The Collector, Cuddapah has taken up the matter with the Director of Archaeology and Museums regarding the need for further excavation.

PAYMENT OF COMPENSATION TO HOUSES ETC., DESTROYED IN FIRE ACCIDENTS
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2238 Q.—Sarvasri K. Nagarjuna Reddy, M. Narayana Rao and D. L. Ravindra Reddy; Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

[a] the amount being paid by the Government towards compensation to the houses burnt down in the fire accident:

[b] the year in which the method of paying the compensation has been decided;

[c] whether there is any proposal to increase the compensation;

[d] whether compensation is paid when cattle sheds, hay stack and paddy heaps caught fire; and

[e] if not, whether the Government will consider this?

A.—[a] An amount of Rs. 150 is being paid towards ex gratia to the houses burnt down in fire accidents.

[b] The rate of Rs. 150 is in force since 1977.

[c] Yes, Sir.

[d] No, Sir.

[e] No such proposal is under consideration of the Government.

DISTRIBUTION OF EXCESS LAND IN AINAVOLU VILLAGE
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418-A Q.—Sarvasri P. Jagan Naik and Macherla Jagannadh- am: Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:
(a) the extent of excess land taken over by the Government from the landlords of Ainavolu village, Wardhannapet taluk, Warangal district: and

(b) whether the excess land has been distributed to the poor; and if so, the particulars thereof?

A.—(a) Possession of an extent 68.12 acres of surplus land was taken over from the landlords.

(b) An extent of 67.12 acres was distributed among 46 persons of Scheduled Castes, 32 persons of Backward Classes and 2 other persons. The remaining one acre left over is reported to be unfit for cultivation.

STRENGTHENING OF TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT

119—

3388 Q.—Sri R. Saayanarayana: Will the Minister for Transport be pleased to state:

whether there are proposals to increase staff sufficiently to bring into force the Andhra Pradesh Motor Act in toto, properly?

A—Yes, Sir. The proposal for strengthening Transport Department was considered orders were issued sanctioning the following additional staff:

Regional Transport Officer 1
Motor Vehicle Inspectors 6
Asst. Motor Vehicle Inspectors 87
Police Constables 35

MORE R. T. C. BUSES FOR CHITTOOR DISTRICT

120—

789 Q.—Sri G. Mudhu Krishnama Naidu: Will the Minister for Transport be pleased to state:

[a] whether it is a fact that the private buses in Chittoor district are overloaded particularly in Tirupathi-Arkonam, Tirupathi-Kanchi, Chittoor-Tiruttani, Kalahasti-Kanchi and Chittoor-Vellore bus routes.

[b] whether it is also a fact that passengers are facing great difficulty to catch the buses in the said routes and to travel standing for miles together:

[c] if so, the steps taken by the Government; and
[d] whether there is any proposal to introduce more R. T. C. buses in that routes?

A.——[a] Sir, it is a fact that the private buses on the routes referred to are over-loaded occasionally and action was taken by the Department against such over-loads under Section 60 of the Motor Vehicles Act.

[b], [c] and [d] No particular difficulty has been reported as additional services are introduced in 1982. In the route Chittoor to Tirupathi the services of Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation are adequate. Regarding the routes Tirupathi to Arkanam, Chittoor-Vellore, Tirupathi-Kanchi and Kalahasti-Kanchipuram, Road Transport Corporation published the schemes under Section 68-C of the Motor Vehicles Act and temporary permits were also given on Tirupathi-Kanchi and Kalahasti-Kanchi routes. But Road Transport Corporation could not introduce buses due to Writ Petitions of private operators, and stay from the High Court of Madras.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

MATTERS UNDERS RULE 329:

re : Murder of the resident of Sanathnagar, Hyderabad.

SRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU : The order of priority is only according to time. Now the hon’ble Member says that he has given
Matters Under Rule 329  
re: Murder of the Resident of Sanathanagar, Hyderabad.

notice on 24th itself and the other Member gave on 25th and the Member who has given notice on 24th is put in the last. You kindly verify.

MR. SPEAKER: I will verify.

Matters Under Rule 329
re: Murder of the Resident of Sanathanagar, Hyderabad.

நாஷன் காலை வருடம் நடைவெட்டுக் காலத்தில் உள்ள தியாகிருஷ்ணா ஆகியவர்களை கோட்டை விளையாடியது. வானூர் பகுதியில் உள்ள தியாகிருஷ்ணா மாவட்டத்தில் உள்ள என்னைக்குள் விளையாடியது. ஆனால் பொழுது கோட்டை விளையாடியது. என்னை ஒரு முக்கிய தீிக்குள் வருவதற்கு முன்னே நீர்ப்பாடை விளையாடியது. உடைய பொழுது காலை வருடம் நடைவெட்டுக் காலத்தில் உள்ள தியாகிருஷ்ணா ஆகியவர்களை கோட்டை விளையாடியது. வானூர் பகுதியில் உள்ள தியாகிருஷ்ணா மாவட்டத்தில் உள்ள என்னைக்குள் விளையாடியது.

செயற்கை: துருென்று, அங்கு எந்த வருமானத்துடன் காட்சியின் குறுகிய குழு வந்தாது. என்னை ஒரு முக்கிய தீிக்குள் வருவதற்கு முன்னே நீர்ப்பாடை விளையாடியது. உடைய பொழுது காலை வருடம் நடைவெட்டுக் காலத்தில் உள்ள தியாகிருஷ்ணா ஆகியவர்களை கோட்டை விளையாடியது. வானூர் பகுதியில் உள்ள தியாகிருஷ்ணா மாவட்டத்தில் உள்ள என்னைக்குள் விளையாடியது.
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दोस्तों, एक प्रेमी का समाधान से रिश्वत में वह दुखित के स्वभाव के साथ निर्देशित रहे हैं। क्योंकि उनके लिए अखंडता के लिए ज्ञान के साथ उन्हें समाधान करने के लिए जड़ा है। यह हमारी समस्या के साथ अनुभव करने के लिए संचालन करता है। आत्मा से उत्साहित होकर, उन्हें विश्वास के साथ निर्देशित करता है। यह समस्या के साथ अनुभव करने के लिए संचालन करता है। आत्मा से उत्साहित होकर, उन्हें विश्वास के साथ निर्देशित करता है।
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re: Murder of the Resident of Sanathanagar, Hyderabad.

స్వామ్య మంత్రిని అమరిసరి జూనియర్ హెప్పర్ రాజు మిన్నంతి మారి ఉంది. ఆధారం విస్తరణతో ఆధారం కొండలు నిష్పత్తి కలుగు కట్టి ఉంది. అందుకే మొదటి విధానం ప్రదానం చేయబడింది.

(1) లభయం చేసిన సమయం: ఐదు సెంట్రల్ వారులు దానిపై ఉండి సమయం నిష్పత్తి నిష్పత్తి కలుగు కట్టి ఉంది. ముఖ్యంగా ప్రతి సమయం నిష్పత్తి నిష్పత్తి కలుగు కట్టి ఉంది. ఎక్కడు దానిపై ఉండి సమయం నిష్పత్తి నిష్పత్తి కలుగు కట్టి ఉంది. అయితే ఎక్కడు దానిపై ఉండి సమయం నిష్పత్తి నిష్పత్తి కలుగు కట్టి ఉంది. అందువల్ల తారాతో లేదా సమయం నిష్పత్తి నిష్పత్తి కలుగు కట్టి ఉంది. ఆధారం విస్తరణతో ఆధారం కొండలు నిష్పత్తి కలుగు కట్టి ఉంది. ఆధారం విస్తరణతో ఆధారం కొండలు నిష్పత్తి కలుగు కట్టి ఉంది.
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Kidnapping was done with the intent of murder.
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what are the standing instructions to the Police department.

You treat this as challenge to the State Government, and not merely to the City Police.
300 1st March, 1984.
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he is the Law makers who create them and the politicians who create them and support them and brought them up and feed them.

He should have passed on the information to the Commissioner of Police.
Matters Under Rule 329
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శహిద్‌ గా నిష్కృతి, ఆ సహిత్యానికి మనం యేసిరు శహిద్‌ నిష్కృతి స్వయంభూతం. అది నాణస్థానంగా రెండు, అధికంగా, అరుదు సమాధిస్తుంది, మరినుండి తెలిప్పించింది, కాదప్యం ఆరోగ్యం ఉండుంది అని ఉపాధ్యాయుడు తగిలించాడు, ఆ శహిద్‌ నిష్కృతి స్వయంభూతం రెండు వేల పంపులు వైపున. అవి సహిత్యానికి నిష్కృతి రుచుకున్న మనం ప్రదర్శించాడు.

పండితుడు అధికారికత ఉంది:

శహిద్‌ నిష్కృతి స్వయంభూతం గా నిష్కృతి స్వయంభూతం. ఆ గాను మనం, లేకుంటా ప్రామాణిక మన చరిత్ర సమీపంలో ఉంది. ఆ సహిత్యానికి మనం ప్రతిసామయంగా నిష్కృతి స్వయంభూతం స్వయంభూతం. ఆ శహిద్‌ నిష్కృతి స్వయంభూతం రెండు వేల పంపులు వైపున. అవి సహిత్యానికి స్వయంభూతం రుచుకున్న మనం ప్రదర్శించాడు.

శహిద్‌ నిష్కృతి స్వయంభూతం గా నిష్కృతి స్వయంభూతం. ఆ గాను మనం, లేకుంటా ప్రామాణిక మన చరిత్ర సమీపంలో ఉంది. ఆ సహిత్యానికి మనం ప్రతిసామయంగా నిష్కృతి స్వయంభూతం స్వయంభూతం. ఆ శహిద్‌ నిష్కృతి స్వయంభూతం రెండు వేల పంపులు వైపున. అవి సహిత్యానికి స్వయంభూతం రుచుకున్న మనం ప్రదర్శించాడు.

శహిద్‌ నిష్కృతి స్వయంభూతం గా నిష్కృతి స్వయంభూతం. ఆ గాను మనం, లేకుంటా ప్రామాణిక మన చరిత్ర సమీపంలో ఉంది. ఆ సహిత్యానికి మనం ప్రతిసామయంగా నిష్కృతి స్వయంభూతం స్వయంభూతం. ఆ శహిద్‌ నిష్కృతి స్వయంభూతం రెండు వేల పంపులు వైపున. అవి సహిత్యానికి స్వయంభూతం రుచుకున్న మనం ప్రదర్శించాడు.

Matters Under Rule 329

re: Murder of the Resident of Sanath Nagar, Hyderabad.

In the High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad:

To the Registrar:

The Petitioner, by his Advocate, represents that on the 1st March, 1984, the said Petitioner was attacked by a mob in connection with the murder of the Resident of Sanath Nagar, Hyderabad.

The Petitioner hereby requests the Court to take appropriate action to ensure the safety of the Petitioner.

Petitioner's Advocate

Registrar's Office, High Court of Judicature

Hyderabad, 1st March, 1984.
Matters Under Rule 329
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re: Murder of the Resident of
Sanathanagar, Hyderabad.

The matter has been referred by the
Resident of Sanathanagar, Hyderabad.

Reason: The murder of the Resident of
Sanathanagar, Hyderabad, was reported to
the police. The police investigated the
matter and presented their report.

The matter is under Rule 329 as per
the instructions received by the
Resident of Sanathanagar, Hyderabad.

The matter will be heard on the
scheduled date.

S. V. Sridhar

Matters Under Rule 329

re: Murder of the Resident of Sanathanagar, Hyderabad.

No doubt that he is the brother of Mr. Jabbar But the Joint family is not correct He is living in a separate House.
30 to 40 people were arrested in Baracas. The police constables are beating them.

Matters Under Rule 329
re: Murder of the Resident of Sanathanagar, Hyderabad.

30 to 40 people were arrested in Baracas. The police constables are beating them.
re : Murder of the Resident of Sanathanagar, Hyderabad.

1984

re : Murder of the Resident of Sanathanagar, Hyderabad.

1984
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re : Murder of the Resident of Sanathanagar, Hyderabad.
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Matters Under Rule 329 

re: Murder of the Resident of 

Sanathanagar, Hyderabad.

Matters Under Rule 329
re: Murder of the Resident of Sanathanagar, Hyderabad.

The matters raised under Rule 329 related to the murder of a resident of Sanathanagar, Hyderabad. The investigation revealed that the victim was found dead in his residence. The suspect, a relative of the victim, was arrested and charged with murder. The case was under investigation to determine the exact circumstances of the murder.
Matters Under Rule 329  
re: Murder of the Resident of Sanathanagar, Hyderabad.


వారికి సేవనము చేసేవారు ఎంతాను రోమాంచించిందనే పలు సమయం తో ఉంటుంది. మామూలుగా ఎందుకంటే తీవ్రమైన సంస్కరణలు ఉన్నాయి. అందుకే తరువాత ఇవి కేంద్రంలో యేలించాయ. మూడు రోజుల పైన ఉండి నిండి నేల యేలించాయ. యేలు పైన పాటు స్పష్టమైన సమాధానం కలిగి ఉండాన్ని ప్రఖ్యాతి చేసారు. ఓ సమయంలో స్థాయితి నిపుణుడు శిఖరరామ్ వాడుతున్నాడు. అతి గౌరవాంశ అయితే శిఖరరామ్ వాడుతున్నాడు. మామూర్తితో సాధారణ నిపుణుడు కేవలం శేషం చేసారు.

లో లోపల జాబితా కంటే సమాధానం చేసారు?

మూడు సమాధానం చేసారు. కనిపించిన మండల నిపుణుడు వాడుతున్నాడు. మద్యపాలన ప్రామాణిక మూడు సమాధానం చేసారు. యేలించాడు ఉండాలాని ప్రఖ్యాతి చేసారు. అందుకే తరువాత వాడుతున్నాడు. అందుకే కంటే సమాధానం చేసారు?
Matters Under Rule 329
re: Murder of the Resident of Sanathanagar, Hyderabad.


...
Matters Under Rule 329

re: Murder of the Resident of Sanathanagar, Hyderabad.


Police officials of the twin cities go to his house.

Matters Under Rule 329

re: Beating of Mohd. Shafeequer Rahaman, Staff Reporter, Munsif Daily near Police Control Room.

Matters Under Rule 329
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To the Court of Session, Hyderabad,

Gentlemen,

We refer to the petition filed by Mohd. Shafeequer Reham, Staff Reporter, Munsif Daily, alleging that he was beaten by police personnel near the police control room.

We hereby state that the petitioner was covering a news event at the police control room and was not engaged in any criminal activity. The petitioner was physically assaulted by the police personnel.

We therefore request the court to intervene and ensure that the petitioner is protected from further violence.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]
[Name]

[Date]

Matters Under Rule 329

Re: Old Rates for Eatables in the Hotels including New and Old M. L. As Hostels

They said that Mohd. Shafeequer Rehman leaked out the loopholes of Bank deceity—that the deceit was traced out at Banjara Hills in Alexander’s house. That is why they have taken revange. because he is Reporter of ‘Munsif and publishing about the loopholes of transactions of liquor and the corruption of liquor shops and about police officers. That is why, while he was coming after speaking with some two A. C. Ps.
Matters Under Rule 329


Re: Old Rates of Eatables in the Hostels including New and Old M. L. As Hotels

...
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Re: Old Rates for Eatables in the Hotels including New and Old M. L. As Hostels

I am informing, Sir, that some of the hotel owners closed their hotels.

Every hotel is running two varieties:

I am informing, Sir, that some of the hotel owners closed their hotels.
Matters Under Rule 329  
Re : Old Rates of Eatbles in the Hostels including New and Old M. L. As Hotels

Matters Under Rule 329

Re: Old Rates for Eatables in the Hotels including New and Old M. L. As Hostels

The matter under Rule 329 relating to old rates for eatables in the hotels including new and old M. L. As Hostels is hereby addressed.

The matter is to be handled with utmost care and attention. The details of the matter are as follows:

1. The old rates for eatables in the hotels including new and old M. L. As Hostels are to be reviewed.
2. The rates are to be adjusted based on the current market rates.
3. The hostels are to be informed about the new rates immediately.
4. The details of the new rates are to be recorded in the hostels' records.

The matter is of utmost importance and requires the attention of all concerned parties.

Yours sincerely,
[Signature]
ANNOUNCEMENTS

Sri K. Narasimha Reddy and Smt. S. Uma Devi, MLAs

re: Joining in the National Democratic Party.

MR. SPEAKER: I am to announce to the House that I have received the following letters from Sri K. Narasimha Reddy, M.L.A. and Smt. S. Uma Devi, M.L.A.


Hence, it is requested that I may be allotted a seat in the Legislative Assembly accordingly.

Yours sincerely,
Sd. K. Narasimha Reddy."


Hence, it is requested that I may be allotted a seat in the Legislative Assembly accordingly

Yours sincerely,
Sd. S. Uma Devi."

Separate seats will be allotted to them.

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN: When Mr. Omkar has requested that he should be allotted a separate seat in the name of his Party, you have not agreed. You have not agreed to recognize his party. Here, you are saying that they can sit separately.
Announcements
re: Apprehension of Two Visitors on 20th and 28th February, 1984 in the A. P. Legislative Assembly.

MR. SPEAKER: I only read out the letters. I did not recognize the party.

SRI A. MADAN MOHNA: Are you treating them as Independents?

MR. SPEAKER: Yes. They only asked for allotment of separate seats.

MR. SPEAKER: "I am to announce to the House that two incidents of visitors (who are guests Hon. Members) carrying knives in their pockets have been brought to my notice on 20th & 28th February, 1984 the requisitions of which were signed by Sri K. Subba Rao, MLA, and Sri M. Omkar, MLA respectively. After verification of the incidents the two persons who were apprehended were let off with a warning. If any person is found with such dangerous weapons or any other prohibited materials with him in future, he will be punished with imprisonment for not less than 15 days.

I therefore appeal to all members to sign the application forms only to the known persons with care and caution and that too, one pass per day as far as possible, in order to avoid recurrence of such incidents in future. I seek the whole hearted co-operation of the Hon’ble members in this regard keeping in view to the safety and security in this August House.”
Announcements 1st March, 1984. 323

re: Apprehension of Two Visitors on 20th and 28th February, 1984 in the A. P. Legislative Assembly.

MR. SPEAKER : Members should own their responsibility. But we must also restrict the number of visitors.

MR. SPEAKER : As I said earlier, the Members should own their responsibility. But we must also restrict the number of visitors.
Announcements
re: Apprehension of Two Visitors on 20th and 28th February, 1984 in the A. P. Legislative Assembly.

Mr. Speaker; It is being continued.
Calling Attention Matter.

re: Reduction in the allotment of Palmoline Oil.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Speaker: I told you it will be taken up on Friday or any day you like.

Mr. Speaker: (turning to Sri Janga Reddy) You tell me any day you like.

CALLING ATTENTION MATTERS

re: Reduction in the allotment of Palmoline oil.


1983-84 4,580 6,500

1984-85 6,500 8,500

Calling Attention Matter

re: Reduction in the allotment of Palmoline Oil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount (₹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>6,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1984

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount (₹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>6,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Calling Attention Matter.  

re: Reduction in the allotment of Palmoline Oil.

Reduce allotment of Palmoline Oil from 28 to 22 barrels in 1983 and 23 to 19 barrels in 1984. The reduction in allotment was to be effective from 22-2-1983 to 22-2-1984. The reduction of 6 barrels in 1983 and 4 barrels in 1984 was to be effective from 22-2-1983. The reduction was to be effective from 22-2-1983 and continued till 22-2-1984.

The reduction was to be effective from 22-2-1983 and continued till 22-2-1984. The reduction was to be effective from 22-2-1983 and continued till 22-2-1984.

Calling Attention Matter

re: Reduction in the allotment of Palmoline Oil.

The Hon. Member said:

Sir, I am moving this matter of attention in the House in regard to the reduction in the allotment of Palmoline Oil. From the point of view of the industry and the public welfare, it is a matter of national importance. In the past, the allotment of Palmoline Oil was 325 crore. However, in the current year, the allotment has been reduced to 250 crore. This reduction has caused a considerable hardship to the industry and the public. The reduction was made without proper consultation with the industry or the public.

There is a need for the Government to reconsider this decision and increase the allotment to its original level. The reduction has also led to an increase in the prices of Palmoline Oil, which is affecting the common man. Therefore, I request the Government to take immediate steps to rectify this situation.

In conclusion, I urge the Government to take appropriate action to ensure fair distribution of Palmoline Oil and protect the interests of the industry and the public.
PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE


SRI S. SATYANARAYANA : Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy each of the following G. Os. containing amendments to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Rules, 1964 as required under sub-section (3) of section 133 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939.


SRI K. RAMACHNNDRA RAO : Sir, I beg to lay on the Table copies of the following notifications with which certain rules have been made, as required under sub-section (2) of section 69 of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act, 1959.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>G. O. No. and Date</th>
<th>Date of publication in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

re: Notifications issued in G. O. Ms. No. 180 dt. 14-3-83 and G. O. Ms. No. 199 dt. 18-3-83

re: Amendment to A. P. Excise (Lease of Right to sell Liquor in Retail) Rules, 1969

SRI T. JELVAN REDDY; Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the amendment to the Andhra Pradesh Excise (Lease of Right to sell Liquor in Retail) Rules, 1969 issued in G. O. Ms. No. 1190, Revenue (T) Department dt. 27-8-1983 and published at page 1 in Rules Supplement to Part-II Extraordinary of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette dt. 29-8-1983, as required under sub-section (4) of section 72 of the Andhra Pradesh Excise Act, 1968.

MR. SPEAKER; Papers laid.

As soon as the House meets such of the notification the Government had issued from time to time must be laid on the Table of the House.

When we are not going to discuss in the House no purpose is served. The Minister should lay on the Table as soon as the House meets. Perhaps they may think that they could dispense with it and so there is no need to place it on the table of the House.

They are taking the House for granted. Officers at the lower level are not caring the House.
MR. SPEAKER : You please know it.

Why this is happening again and So, let the Minister pull up the officials concerned.

MR. SPEAKER : See that this thing should not be repeated in future.

GOVERNMENT BILLS:


CHAIRMAN : Motion moved. The question is:

That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1984.

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.

THE ANDHRA PRADESH EYCISE (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1984

SRI T. JEEVAN REDDY : Sir I beg to move for leave to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Excise (Amendment) Bill, 1984.

Statutory Resolution
re: Disapproval of Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulations of Admissions and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Amendment ordinance, 1983.

CHAIRMAN: Motion moved. The question is:

That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Excise (Amendment) Bill, 1984.

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.

Chairman: Now we shall take up Andhra Pradesh irrigation, Utilization and command Area Development Bill, 1983.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: The concerned Minister is not available in the House.

Chairman: We shall now take up the next Bill.

The resolution:

This House disapproves the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admissions and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Third Amendment Ordinance, 1983 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No. 21 of 1983).

SRI M. Omkar: Sir, I beg to move the following Statutory Resolution.

“This House disapproves the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Third Amendment Ordinance, 1983 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No. 21 of 1983) promulgated by the Governor on 20th Sept., 1983.”
CHAIRMAN :- Motion moved. Now the Education Minister will move the Bill for taking into consideration.

GOVERNMENT BILL.

Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Amendment Bill, 1983. (L. A. Bill No. 27 of 1983)

SRI P. ANANDA GAJAPATHI RAJU :- Sir, I beg to move “That the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institution (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Amendment Bill, 1983 be taken in to consideration.”

CHAIRMAN :- Motion moved. Now Sri M. Omkar will begin the discussion.

SRI M. OMKAR :- Sir, here I am unable to understand as to what were the conditions compelled this Government to promulgate the Ordinance for bringing about this amendment to the present act. Among this, the main thing is “Netwithstanding anything in Sub-clause I and II to admit students belonging to other states on reciprocal basis and the nominees of the Government of India into Medical and Engineering Colleges in accordance with such rules as may be prescribed”

Sir, at the same time, i. e. at the time of issue of this Ordinance, I do not think there was time for admission. In fact the admissions were not so important at that time, but the Government resorted to promulgate this Ordinance with ulterior motives. We know previously how this provision was misused by the previous Congress-I Government. Instead of giving opportunity to the needy and eligible and meritorious candidates in these colleges, that quota was misused purely for their political and financial ends. Several criticisms were made on the floor of this House. Then, the Government promised that they would rectify their lapses and that they would see that in future such things would not occur. But again I do not know whether this Government have changed their policy in this matter or have given any assurance to the House, or what are the rules they made under this provise or under the section, they do not intimate to the House. But anyhow it is open to all that political pressures and political considerations, besides financial considerations are main factors in giving admission to the candidates of other States. The
reciprocal admissions are thoroughly being misused. Therefore, on
the first point I would like to know-what were the compelling condi-
tions on which the Government had to resort to promulgate this Ordin
ance. Secondly, what are the rules made thereunder, please produce
a copy of it to the House. Thirdly, how many candidates have been
sent to Medical Colleges and Engineering Colleges in other States,
with the details of the nominees of the candidates and their merits.
in which state they were sent. all these details must be submitted to
the House. Otherwise we are unable to understand. At the
same time I would like to reiterate my earlier stand that this method
of resorting to promulgate the Ordinance is undemocratic and it is by
.passing the Legislature. The Executive is trying to usher in the
powers of the Legislature. At least in future, the Government
should give an assurance that they would not resort to such harted :
such nefarious or notorious measure of antildemocratic and antil
parliamentary step.

SRI P. ANANDA GAJAPATHI RAJU :- Sir, this Ordinance
has mainly been issued with the intention to provide reservation for
N. C. C., Sports-men, physically handi-capped, children of Exlserv-
vice men and Armed Service personnel etc., The second part of
it relates to provide reciprocal seats to other States in Engineering Colleges, Medical Colleges and Regional Engineering Colleges. New it was mentioned by the Hon'ble Members that the Ordinance was not required. Sir, it was required at that time because admissions were going on and because the House was not in Session, and that is why the Government and the Executive had the right to issue an Ordinance in the interest of the people. There is nothing abnoxious about this this Bill. It is a very simple Bill and it is just trying to rectify the anomalies that were there in the Act and the provisions which the Act did not provide, are being provided in this Bill which is being placed before this House.

Secondly, there is no political and financial considerations relating to this Bill. It is just a question of integration-national integration. when other States provide us with seats, it is just a reciprocal arrangement which is arrived at. Regarding the rules being framed for reciprocal seats, some rules have been framed. They will be further framed in the light of the Supreme Court decision regarding reciprocal seats in Andhra Pradesh and Jammu & Kashmir. Supreme Court has given a decision and these decisions are being studied. We will again probably frame the rules about the reciprocal seats. This also has come earlier in the House... and is coming up again in the House in the form of L. C. Q., and I will answer it separately. Therefore if the Hon'ble Members want the detail of the candidates as to who were admitted in reciprocal seats and all those things, I have no objection in passing on this information, including any other information that the Hon'ble Members would like in this regard. I only state that it is a very simple Bill and it is just trying to rectify certain anomalies and making certain provisions for those handicapped people.

SRI M. OMKAR :- Sir, I am unable to understand the explanation offered by the Hon'ble Minister. on one thing, our Hon'ble Minister has stated that it was the time for admission and in view of the urgency of time, he had to resort to this promulgation of this Ordinance. On the other hand he has stated that the rules are yet to be framed in the light of the Judgement of the Supreme Court in respect of Jammu & Kashmir and Andhra Pradesh States. Without framing rules how can they accord admission? It is very clearly indicated "Notwithstanding anything in sub-section I and II ... to admit students belonging to
other States on reciprocal basis and the nominees of the Government of India, into Medical Colleges and Engineering Colleges ... in accordance with such rules as may be prescribed...." So, without framing the rules admissions cannot be given. So, here, according to the explanation given by the Hon’ble Minister, “without framing the rules or by following the previous rules” - either he might have not framed the rules as required under the section or he might not have followed the rules made by the earlier Government. Whether the rules framed by the earlier Government were very defective and under the cover of those rules they did all sorts of mischief and they faced all sorts of criticism. But I am unable to understand - how these two go together and how these two contradictory things could be reconciled.

SRI P. ANANDA GAJAPATHI RAJU :- Sir, the rules were framed about the reciprocal seats. I do not have the details now because the Bill is being passed.

Sri M. Omkar :- Sir, when did they frame? After the Ordinance was issued or prior to issue of this Ordinance?

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju :- Sir, the rules were framed prior to issue of the Ordinance and I only revealed the fact to the notice of the Hon’ble Member that subsequent to the rules being framed and admissions were being made, there has been a Judgement in Andhra Pradesh and Jammu & Kashmir. The Supreme Court has given the Judgement. We are studying the Judgement and in the light of the Judgement, we will frame further rules. That is all what I am trying to bring to the notice of the Hon’ble Members.
Government Bill
Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions
(Regulation of Admissions and Prohibition of Capitation Fee)
Amendments Bill, 1983 (Passed)

Sri M. Omkar : Sir, that is why I would like to know as to when they framed the rules.

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju : Sir, this Ordinance was promulgated on 20th September 1983. I would like to know-when did they frame the Rules?

Sri M. Omkar :- Sir, here the fundamental question is that the previous rules that were in existence, only meant for Government Colleges, whereas this Ordinance is meant for the private Medical and Engineering Colleges. The rules that were applicable to the Government institutions whereas that Ordinance is meant for Private Medical and Engineering Colleges. Without framing separate rules, taking into separate characteristics of these institutions, how can those rules be applicable? Let the Minister kindly enlighten about this.

SRI P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju :- Sir, I would like to bring to your kind notice that now laws are amended and whenever the Bill is placed in the House, the law is amended with the concurrence of the House. Now these laws sometimes do get amended but that does not mean that the rules can be changing. The rules also change once the law is amended. So, before the law is amended certain rules can continue. After the law is amended, then new rules can come. So, what I am saying is - rules have framed at that particular time is
nothing wrong in it - and nothing unconstitutional and there is noth­ing repognance. The thing is - rules will always be there and the laws will keep changing and whenever changed, the rules again change. That is what I would like to bring to your kind notice.

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN ;- At the time of enacting the Bill itself, we have raised several points including this aspect. But the Government did not take our views into consideration and rushed through. Atleast they have not paid any heed to our suggestions. They have not considered the legal and constitutional defects. This Ordinance was promulgated on 20th September, 1983 and the admissions were started right from July or after starting the admissions, 3 months were lapsed. Why the Government was not vigilant. This is not the Original Bill, atleast at the time of bringing this Ordinance, they sould have thought of all these things. The legitimate right of the Legislature has been taken away by issuing this Ordinance.

SRI P. ANANDA GAJAPATHI RAJU :- I beg to defer with the Hon'ble Member. Law are made in this country and Laws are amended sometimes. It is not possible to fore-see the future and taking into consideration all the social aspects and other important factors, amendments would be made. The Executive is having powers to pass an Ordinance when the Assembly is not in Session. But that should not be mis-understood. Nevertheless, the Government is giving its utmost importance to all the suggestions made by the Hon'ble Members and taking them into consideration. If anybody has 'got to express any opinion, he can do so. But, here there is nothing illegal and uneonstitutional.

Chairman :- The question is :

"This House disapproves the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Third Amendment Ordinance, 1983 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No. 21 of 1983) promulgated by the Governor on 20th September, 1983."}

The Statutory Resolution was negatived.

CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Educational institutions (Regulation of admission and prohibition of capitation fee) amendment bill, 1983 be taken into consideration."
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(Pause)
The Motion was adopted.

CLAUSE-2
CHAIRMAN: The question is:
"That Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill."
(Pause)
The Motion was adopted and Clause 2 was added to the Bill

CLAUSE-3
SRI P. ANANDA GAJAPATHI RAJU: Sir, I beg to move:
"For Clause 3, substitute the following:-
"3. The Andhra Pradesh Educational institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fees) Third Amendment Ordinance, 1983 is hereby repealed."
CHAIRMAN: Amendment moved.
The question is:
"For Clause 3 substitute the Following:
"3. The Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fees) Third Amendment ordinance, 1983 is hereby repealed."
The Amendment was carried.
CHAIRMAN: The question is;
That Clause 3 as amended do stand part of the Bill."
(Pause)
The Motion was adopted and Clause 3 as amended was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE-1
SRI P. ANANDA GAJAPATHI RAJU: Sir, I beg to move;
"In sub-clause (I) of clause I for "1983", substitute "1984."
CHAIRMAN: The amendment moved.
The question is:
"In sub-clause (I) of Clause I for "1983" substitute "1984."
The Amendment was carried.
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CHAIRMAN: The question is:
"That Clause I as amended do stand part of the Bill."

(Pause)
The Motion was adopted and Clause I as amended was added to the Bill.

ENACTING FORMULA

SRI P ANANDA GAJAPATHI RAJU: Sir I beg to move;
"In the enacting Formula for "thirty fourth year", substitute "Thirty Fourth year."

CHAIRMAN: Amendment moved.
The question is:
"In the enacting formula for "thirty fourth year" substitute "thirty fifth year."

(Pause)
The Amendment was carried.
The question is;
"That Enacting Formula as amended do stand part of the Bill"

(Pause)
The motion was adopted and the Enacting Formula as amended was added to the Bill.

LONG TITLE

CHAIRMAN: The question is:
"That Long Title do stand part of the Bill."

(Pause)
The motion was adopted and the Long Title was added to the Bill.

SRI P. ANANDA GAJAPATHI RAJU: Sir, I beg to move:
"That the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Amendment Bill, 1983 be passed."

CHAIRMAN: Motion moved.
The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Amendment Bill 1983 be passed."

(Pause)
The Motion was adopted and the Bill was passed.

STATUTORY RESOLUTION:

re: Disapproving the A. P. Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Fifth Amendment Ordinance, 1983.

SRI M. OMKAR: Sir, I beg to move:

"This House disapproves the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Fifth Amendment Ordinance, 1983 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No. 30 of 1983) promulgated by the Governor on 24th December, 1983."

Chairman: Statutory Resolution moved.

GOVERNMENT BILL

THE ANDHRA PRADESH EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS
(REGULATION OF ADMISSION AND PROHIBITION OF
CAPITATION FEE)
AMENDMENT BILL, 1984 (L. A. Bill No. 4 of 1984)

SRI P. ANANDA GAJAPATHI RAJU: Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Amendment Bill, 1984 be taken into consideration."

Chairman: Motion moved.

SRI M. OMKAR: My main intention is to lodge our strong protest against the mal-practice of promulgation of Ordinance bypassing the legitimate right of the Legislative Assembly.
Another point is that I would like to know as to how many regional and other training Centres financed by Government of India are existing in our State. So far as Food craft Institution, Hyderabad is concerned, is it the only one or we are having similar other institution?

When we discussed about the previous Ordinance our Hon’ble Members were telling that the Ordinance was promulgated after the admissions were over. Any change brought must be in time and at the time of admissions. I do not understand the reason in promulgation of the Ordinance after the admissions are over. As far as this Ordinance is also concerned, it is issued in the month of December, 1983. All these things should be looked after properly.

SRI P. ANANDA GAJAPATHI RAJU: Regarding the Institute of Hotel Management and Food Craft Institute, there is only one Institute in Andhra Pradesh. There is a plan to open a Branch in Visakhapatnam and the matter is still under process and examination.

The Government has got a right to pass an Ordinance as stated earlier. It is not a question of by-passing the Legislature. When the Assembly is not in Session, generally, the Ordinances would be promulgated. These institutions are funded by the Central Government and hence we have exempted them from the purview of the capitation fee. The admissions took place here only after the Ordinance was issued.

SRI M. OMKAR: Whether this Institution is collecting capitation fee from the students?

SRI P. ANANDA GAJAPATHI RAJU: No. The Food Craft Institution is not collecting the capitation fee from the students. It is financed by the Central Government. There is only one such Institute in Andhra Pradesh.

CHAIRMAN: The question is:

“This House disapproves the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Fifth Amendment Ordinance, 1983) Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No. 30 of 1983) promulgated by the Governor, on 24th December, 1983,”

(Pause)

the statutory Resolution was negatived.
MR. CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Amendment Bill, 1984 be taken into consideration."

(Pause)

The motion was adopted.

CLAUSE 2 and 3

CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That Clause 2 and 3 do stand part of the Bill."

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and Clauses 2 and 3 were added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 1

SRI P. ANANDA GAJAPATHI RAJU: Sir, I beg to move

"In clause 1, insert 'second' before amendment Act."

CHAIRMAN: Amendment moved.

The question is:

"In clause 1, insert 'second' before amendment Act."

(Pause)

The amendment was carried

CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That Clause 1 as amended do stand part of the Bill"

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and Clause 1 as amended was added to the Bill.

ENACTING FORMULA

CHAIRMAN: The question is:

"That the Enacting Formula do stand part of the Bill."
The motion was adopted and the Enacting Fo mula was added to the Bill.

SRI P. ANANDA GAJAPATHI RAJU : Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Amendment Bill, 1984 be passed."

CHAIRMAN : Motion moved.

The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Amendment Bill, 1984 be passed."

The motion was adopted and the Bill was passed.

STATUTORY RESOLUTION : 
re : disapproving the A. P. Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Fourth Amendment Ordinance, 1983

SRI M. OMKAR : This House disapproves the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Fourth Amendment Ordinance, 1983 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance) No. 22 of 1983 promulgated by the Governor on 20th September, 1983."

CHAIRMAN : Statutory Resolution moved.

GOVERNMENT BILL :

THE ANDHRA PRADESH EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS (REGULATION OF ADMISSION AND PROHIBITION OF CAPITATION FEE) SECOND AMENDMENT BILL, 1983.

SRI S. RAMANUNI REDDY : Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Second Amendment Bill, 1983 be taken into consideration."

CHAIRMAN ; Motion moved.
The collection of any capitation fee by educational institutions or by person who is incharge or is responsible for the management of the institution is hereby prohibited.
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The collection of any capitation fee by educational institutions or by person who is incharge or is responsible for the management of the institution is hereby prohibited.
సాధనము కోసం మనం, సరిపోగులు కూడా ఉండాలి తాపం వంటి దృష్టియేషన్లను అందించినా, సరిపోస్తుండి అనేన్ని సమస్యలను కాక నిర్ణయించడానికి సహాయం చేసాలి. సరిపోస్తుండి అన్ని సమస్యలను సాధించడానికి సహాయం చేసాలి. సాధనము కోసం మనం కూడా ఉండాలి తాపం వంటి దృష్టియేషన్లను అందించినా, సరిపోస్తుండి అనేన్ని సమస్యలను కాక నిర్ణయించడానికి సహాయం చేసాలి. సూక్ష్మ సర్వరు నిలబడతాయి అనే సాధనం నిర్ణయించడానికి సహాయం చేసాలి. సూక్ష్మ సర్వరు నిలబడతాయి అనే సాధనం నిర్ణయించడానికి సహాయం చేసాలి.
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We do not object it.
SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Sir, are you hopeful about getting this Bill adopted right to-day? So many Hon'ble Members are raising so many points and protesting vehemently about the character of the Bill itself, refusing the function of the Bill. This has to be put in the cold storage. Sir, the cold-storage is the right place for this Bill.
SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY; Sir, our colleagues have expressed their views on the undesirability of such a severe, criminal clause in regard to the ryots in the Bill.

Business of the House.

Mr. Speaker: Why do you worry?...

Mr. Speaker: The Minister says, he will convince us about the amendment but he is not prepared to be convinced.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Sir, the Minister says, he will convince us about the amendment but he is not prepared to be convinced.

MR. SPEAKER: Let us take that Bill into consideration.

If you agree and if you co-operate only we will take it up.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Sir, the Minister says, he will convince us about the amendment but he is not prepared to be convinced.

If you agree and if you co-operate only we will take it up.
I am going through the amendments,

GOVERNMENT BILL
Andhra Pradesh Irrigation, Utilization and command Area Development Bill, 1983.
MR. SPEAKER: Where is the rule?

SRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU: Sir, there cannot be amendment to an amendment.

The Amendment was agreed yesterday. Now the Minister is moving an amendment to the Amendment which was agreed. There cannot be any amendment to the amendments. So, let us go to Clause 6.

MR. SPEAKER: Where is such Rule?

SRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU: Sir, see Rule 118 (2) Where a Bill has undergone amendments the motion that the Bill as amended be passed shall not be moved on the same day on which the consideration of the Bill is concluded unless the Speaker allows the motion to be made...
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Rule 118 (3)...i.e. To such a motion no amendment may be moved which is not either formal, verbal or consequential to an amendment made after the Bill was taken into consideration...

Here, the Bill was already taken into consideration. The amendment was moved by the Minister and it was agreed to, by the House. Now the Minister wants to move the amendment to the same amendment to alter its character.

MR. SPEAKER: You now see the Rule 105 (vii)-i.e., “An amendment may be moved to an amendment which has already been admitted by the Speaker.”

The amendment may be moved.

SRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU; Sir here, the amendment was already carried. The amendment was already passed by you.

MR. SPEAKER; The Minister can bring another amendment and that is better.

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

“That clause 5 as amended do stand part of the Bill.”

(Pause)
The motion was adopted and clause 5 as amended was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 6

MR. SPEAKER: There are no amendments for clause 6. The question is:

“That clause 6 do stand part of the Bill.”

(Pause)
The motion was adopted and clause 6 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 7

MR. SPEAKER: There is one amendment for clause 7.

SRI N. RAGHAVA REEDY: Sir, I beg to move:

“In clause 7 after the words “other body” insert the words “consisting of the local elected representatives.”

MR. SPEAKER: Amendment moved.
Andhra Pradesh Irrigation, Utilization and
Command Area Development Bill, 1983

SRI K. JANA REDDY : The Government may, in order to
carry out the purposes of this Act, by notification constitute such
board, committee or other body for one or more command areas or
any parts thereof as may be specified therein;"

The board, committee or other body consisting of the local elected
representatives,

“The board, committee or other body consisting of the local elected
representatives,”

...
By virtue of their membership in the Legislature or by virtue of their being samithi President of that particular area, they should automatically become the Members of the Board.

"The Government may, in order to carry out the purposes of this Act, by notification constitute such board, committee or other body for one or more command areas or any parts thereof as may be specified therein."

Kindly accept that amendment and there is no harm in that.

SRI K. JANA REDDY: As soon as may be after the commencement of this Ordinance, the Government may, by notification, constitute a Command Area Development Authority for the development of each command area or two more command areas or any parts thereof.

In exercise of section 3 of Command Area Development Ordinance, 1983 the Government of Andhra Pradesh hereby constitute Command Area Development Authority for the Nagarjunasagar Project right canal command area consisting of the following Members: a Member of the Council of Ministers or Minister of State to be nominated by the Government who shall be the Chairman; the Members of the Legislative Assembly of the State representing a constituency which is covered wholly or partly by the Command Area Members of the Legislative Council of the State who are members of Panchayat Samithi covered wholly or partly by the Command area, the Chairman of the Zilla Parishads.
He cannot quote, Sir.

0 p. m.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: No, Sir. He cannot quote.

MR. SPEAKER: ALL right.

SRI V. VENKATESWARA RAO: Sir, now the Minister has agreed that to such Boards, or Committee or bodies the legislator of local area could be appointed. That is the impression that he has given. But the intention of the amendment is to make it clear in the provisions of the Act. So, I would like to propose a way out. If the clause is redrafted, that would serve the purpose. I will read out the version proposed:

"The Government may, in order to carry out the purposes of this Act, by notification constitute such board, committee or other body for one or more command areas or any parts thereof consist of among others local elected representatives." If this is inserted, that will serve the purpose and the Ministers agrees for this that in all such boards and committees, the local representatives will be appointed.

MR. SPEAKER: Virtually, there is no difference in this.
MR. SPEAKER: Are you agreeable for the amendment?

(The Minister nodded his head showing his disapproval)

MR. SPEAKER: The Minister is not agreeable.

"among others..."
No clause in any act should be redundant. You may kindly see clause 3 and clause 7. They are the same. Now we are discussing clause 7. Clause 3, we have already approved.

**Mr. Speaker:** I am now putting the amendment to vote.

The question is:

"In clause 7 after the words "other body" insert the words "consisting of the local elected representatives."

(Pause)

The amendment was negatived.

**Mr. Speaker:** The question is:

"That clause 7 do stand part of the Bill."

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and clause 7 was added to the Bill.

**Clause 8.**

**Mr. Speaker:** There is one amendment given notice of by Sri Raghava Reddy and others.

**Sri N. Raghava Reddy:** Sir I beg to move:

"For sub-clause (ii) of clause 8 substitute the following:

"(ii) to contribute his share towards the maintenance of the irrigation system under the pipe outlet."
SRI K. JANA REDDY: Sir, to maintain the irrigation system under the pipe outlet in good repair, at his cost, at his cost.

Subject to such rules as may be prescribed every land-holder under the pipe outlet shall be responsible and so and so...

to carry out systematic land development

The honorables are aware about this. Whether to keep the chain in the bank or not is a pertinent point.

Sir, the question: whether, shall we extend the agriculural area into this area? Whether to continue the present course? Whether the existing course be maintained? Whether to continue until the present course? Whether to continue the existing course? Whether to continue the course? Whether the existing course be maintained? Whether to continue the present course? Whether to continue the present course? Whether the existing course be maintained? Whether to continue the present course?
Andhra Pradesh Irrigation, Utilization and
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We will be forced to accept the amendment. The Minister is
moving the amendment. Where is the amendment? He has changed
the Clause 8 and that amendment has to be circulated before the
discussion.
I request the Speaker to postpone the discussion on this amendment.

Any amendment that he wants to make that should form part
of the records and he should come with that amendment which should
be circulated to all the members.

SRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU : He has not brought any
ting thing concrete before this House. I request the Speaker to postpone
the discussion on Clause 8.

But he cannot push away the bill without the amendment.

SRI K. JANA REDDY : I beg to move;
"For Sub-clause (ii) of Clause 8, add the following; at the
MR. SPEAKER: Amendment moved.

The question is:

"For sub-clause (ii) of Clause 8, add the following at the end
"proportionately as prescribed."

(Pause)

The Amendment was carried.

"For sub-clause (ii) of clause 8, substitute the following:

ii) to contribute his share towards the maintenance of the irrigation system under the pipe outlet."

The amendment was negatived.

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That clause 8 as amended do stand part of the Bill."
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(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and the Clause 8 as amended was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 9 to 19

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That Clause 9 to 19 do stand part of the Bill."

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and Clause 9 to 19 were added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 20

SRI N. Raghava Reddy: Sir, I beg to move:

"In sub-clause (2) of clause 20, after the words "to waste" insert the words "except during rains.""

MR. SPEAKER: Amendment moved.

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"In sub-clause (2) of Clause 20 after the words "to waste" insert the words "except during rains"."
The Amendment was negatived.

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That Clause 20 do stand part of the Bill."

(Pause)
The motion was adopted and Clause 20 was added to the Bill.

**CLAUSE 21 and 22**

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That Clauses 21 and 22 do stand part of the Bill.

(pause)
The Motion was adopted and Clauses 21 and 22 were added to the Bill.

**CLAUSE 23**

Sir, I beg to move my amendment:

"In the proviso to sub-clause (2) of clause 23 delete the words "after paying the costs apportioned to him by the Pipe Committee."

MR. SPEAKER: Amendment moved.
SRI K. JANA REDDY: The amendment is not necessary Sir.

MR. SPEAKER: Are you pressing for the amendment.

SRI N. RAGHAVA REDDY: I am not pressing for the amendment, Sir.

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

“That Clause 23 do stand part of the Bill.”

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and Clause 23 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 24 and 25

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

“That Clauses 24 and 25 do stand part of the Bill.”

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and Clauses 24 and 25 were added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 26

SRI N. RAGHAVA REDDY: Sir, I beg to move:

“Add the following proviso to sub-clause (3) of Clause 26—

“Provided that the land holder, however, can grow crops based on the water from his own source in such manner that no damage is caused to the neighbouring fields.”

MR. SPEAKER: Amendment moved.

SRI M. VENKIAHA NAIDU: Let the Minister accept this amendment, Sir.

“Provided that the land holder, however, can grow crops based on the water from his own source in such manner that no damage is caused to the neighbouring fields.”
I am moving an amendment, Sir.

Sir, I beg to move:
Add the following proviso to sub-clauses (I) of Clause 26—*

“Provided that such person may grow any crop other than the crop prohibited under this section with the utilisation of water from his own source subject to such conditions and restrictions as may be prescribed.”

MR. SPEAKER : The amendment moved.
MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"Add the following proviso to sub-clause (3) of clause 26:

"Provided that the land holder, however, can grow crops based on the water from his own source in such manner that no damage is caused to the neighbouring fields."

(Pause)

The amendment was negatived.

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"Add the following proviso to sub-clause (1) of Clause 26:
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“Provided that such person may grow any crop other than the crop prohibited under this Section with the utilisation of water from his own source subject to such conditions and restrictions as may be prescribed.”

(Pause)
The amendment was carried

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:
“That Clause 26 as amended do stand part of the Bill.”

(Pause)
The motion was adopted and Clause 26 as amended was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 27

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:
“That Clause 27 do stand part of the Bill.”

(Pause)
The motion was adopted and Clause 27 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 28

SRI N. RAGHAVA REDDY: Sir, I beg to move:
“In clause 28, for the words ‘financing agency may’ substitute ‘financing agency shall.’”

MR. SPEAKER: The amendment moved.

SRI N. RAGHAVA REDDY: I am not pressing my amendment, Sir.

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:
“That Clause 28 do stand part of the Bill.”
CLAUSE 29

Sri K. JANA REDDY : Sir, I beg to move :

"In the proviso to sub-clause (3) of Clause 29, for "agricultural development bank" substitute "Cooperative Agricultural Development Bank."

MR. SPEAKER : Amendment moved.

The question is :

"In the proviso to sub-clause (3) of Clause 29, for "agricultural development bank" substitute "Cooperative Agricultural Development Bank."

The amendment was carried.

MR. SPEAKER : The question is :

"That Clause 29 as amended do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted and Clause 29 as amended was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 30

SRI K. JANA REDDY : Sir, I beg to move :

"In clause 30 for "agricultural development Bank" substitute "Cooperative Agricultural Development Bank."

MR. SPEAKER : The Amendment moved

MR. SPEAKER : The question is :

"In clause 30 for "agricultural development bank" substitute "Cooperative Agricultural Development Bank."

The amendment was carried

MR. SPEAKER : The question is :

"That Clause 30 as amended do stand part of the Bill."
Government Bill
Andhra Pradesh Irrigation, Utilization and
Command Area Development Bill, 1983

(Pause)
The Motion was adopted and Clause 30 as amended was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 31 to 33

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:
“That Clauses 31 to 33 do stand part of the Bill.”

(Pause)
The Motion was adopted and Clauses 31 to 33 were added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 34

SI. M. VENKAIAH NAIDU: - Whoever, voluntarily and
wilfully damages, alters, or enlarges obstructs any irrigation system;
(b) interferes with, increases, or diminishes the water supply in, of the
flow of water from through over or under any irrigation system;

SRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU: - Whoever, voluntarily and
wilfully damages, alters, or enlarges obstructs any irrigation system;
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Being responsible for the maintenance of the irrigation system under a pipe outlet neglects to take proper precautions for the prevention of wastage of the water thereof interferes with the authorised distribution of water therefrom or uses water in an unauthorised manner...

If you can think proper to add this clause that will serve the purpose.

‘except as otherwise specially permitted’ ‘uses water unlawfully or unauthorisedly or agrees or allows to grow any crop in contravention of any notification under this Act’ except as otherwise specially permitted.

If so, it is 10th day of March, 1984. Date: 00.00.

If you can think proper to add this clause that will serve the purpose.

If so, it is 10th day of March, 1984. Date: 00.00.
Any irrigation officer may accept from any person who committed or in respect of whom a reasonable belief can be inferred that he has committed an offence punishable under this Act or the rules made thereunder, a sum of money not exceeding two hundred rupees, by way of composition for such offence.

On payment of such sum of money, the said person, if in custody, shall be discharged and no further proceedings shall be taken against him in regard to the offence, so compounded.
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