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Resolution - Endorse the Request Made By The Chief Minister to President of India In His Wireless Message Dt. 27-3-1984. (Passed)

Mr. Speaker: Why do you make so much hurry. Let me see. Just now I have received a letter from Sri Vasantha Nageswara Rao, which reads as follows:

"To the Hon’ble Speaker:-

Sir, I am moving a Resolution which is very important in nature. I request you to kindly take up the Resolution to discuss and adopt the same immediately after the commencement of this House.

Yours Sincerely,
VASANTHA NAGESWARA RAO"

The resolution reads as follows:

"This House resolves to endorse the request made by the Hon’ble Chief Minister to His Excellency the President of India in..."
his wire-less message dated 27th March 1984 and request the Hon'ble Chief Minister to continue his efforts in that direction for the public interest."

I have allowed this Resolution to be discussed and I am postponing the Question Hour. I will take up the Question Hour after that.

(INTERRUPTIONS) .... (BELLS)

Mr. Speaker: Because, the Resolution pertains to important matter, I will take up first. What is wrong in it? Am I not entitled to take up? There is nothing wrong. I will take up the question Hour soon after the Resolution. There is nothing wrong. It is within my competency. I will read the Rule position also you want.

Rule 38 says - "Unless the Speaker otherwise directs, the first hour of every sitting shall be available for the asking and answering of questions...." It is; "Unless the Speaker otherwise directs"... So it is my discretion. I will postpone - I can suspend. There is nothing wrong in it.
Resolution - Endorse the Request by the Chief Minister to President of India in his Wireless Message dt. 27-3-1984 (Passed)

30th March, 1984

If 9.30 p.m. houses are not going to fall. Secondly the Resolution is not going to have immediate effect also. The Resolution is only to express our view and the view of the House.

Sri N. Bhaskara Rao: Matters of public importance should be given importance and priority.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: Sir, let me continue. I was interrupted by the Hon'ble Finance Minister 9.30 p.m. houses are not going to fall. Secondly the Resolution is not going to have immediate effect also. The Resolution is only to express our view and the view of the House.

even in the case of Adjournment Motion also we are taking up the Adjournment Motion, only after the Question Hour is over. The heavens are not going to fall. Secondly the Resolution is not going to have immediate effect also. The Resolution is only to express our view and the view of the House.
Resolution - Endorse the Request by the Chief Minister to President of India in his Wireless Message Dt. 27-3-1984
(Passed)

30th March, 1984

To-day you are giving extraordinary preference to the particular Resolution. And the same thing when we (Myself and Mr. Jaipal Reddy) raised in the House, the Speaker said “That this matter does not concern to the Legislative Assembly.” When the Leader of the Opposition has raised a Point of Order, he said “I would like to give my ruling later on”... and you gave the ruling: “We wanted to know the Government’s stand also but till to-day on a matter which the Hon’ble Speaker and the Members are saying that this is of utmost public importance, till to-day the Government does not care to inform the House about the decision and stand taken by the Government in this particular regard, though they have informed the Legislative Council. Everybody has got a right.

Today you are giving extraordinary preference to the particular Resolution. And the same thing when we (Myself and Mr. Jaipal Reddy) raised in the House, the Speaker said “That this matter does not concern to the Legislative Assembly.” When the Leader of the Opposition has raised a Point of Order, he said “I would like to give my ruling later on”... and you gave the ruling: “We wanted to know the Government’s stand also but till to-day on a matter which the Hon’ble Speaker and the Members are saying that this is of utmost public importance, till to-day the Government does not care to inform the House about the decision and stand taken by the Government in this particular regard, though they have informed the Legislative Council.

...
Resolution - Endorse the Request by the Chief Minister to President of India in his Wireless Message dt. 27-3-1984 (Passed)

30th March, 1984

Passed
Resolution - Endorse the Request by the Chief Minister to President of India in his Wireless Message dt. 27-3-1984 (Passed)

As the senior Hon’ble Member Sri Nissankararao Venkataratnam has rightly-pointed out, it a matter of public impor-
Resolution - Endorse the Request by the Chief Minister to President of India in his Wireless Message dt. 27-3-1984 (Passed)

The Hon'ble Speaker has not suspended the Question Hour. It is merely postponing for an hour. Nothing will happen. The Hon'ble Speaker has got that power. Once again I request the Hon'ble Speaker to go ahead with the Resolution.

(INTERRUPTIONS) (BELL)

Mr. Speaker: Please, all of you resume your seats. No more discussion on this and I have postponed the Question Hour and I will take up the Resolution. Sri V. Nageswara Rao, will move the Resolution.

Sri Vasantha Nageswara Rao: Sir, with your permission, I beg to move the following Resolution:

"This House resolves to endorse the request made by the Hon'ble Chief Minister to His Excellency the President of India in his wireless message dated 27-3-84 and request the Hon'ble Chief Minister to continue his efforts in that direction in the public interest."

Mr. Speaker: Moved.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:
Resolution—Endorse the Request by the Chief Minister to President of India in his Wireless Message dt. 27-3-1984
(Passed)

Oral Answers to Questions

“This House resolves to endorse the request made by the Hon’ble Chief Minister to His Excellency the President of India in his wireless Message dated 27th March 1984 and request the Hon’ble Chief Minister to continue his efforts in that direction in the public interest”

is before the House

Motion was adopted and the Resolution was passed.

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Stating of Research Centre in the Field of Medicine in the State

281—

*812-Q—Sarvasri Vasantha Nagaswara Rao and D. Sambasivara Chowdary (Bodhan) :- Will the Minister for Health & Medical be pleased to State:

(a) whether the Government propose to start a Research centre in the field of Medicine in our State:

(b) if so, the details of the same:

(c) if not, whether the Government propose to start it now?

5) 3] (ప్రత్యేకమైన)
Abolition of Plague unit in Palamanair, Chittoor District

282—

*2607-(B)-Q.— Sarvasri S. Santosh Reddy (Armoor) K. Venkateswara Rao, A. Narendra (Himayatnagar) and B. Sammaiah (Paratal) : Will the Minister for Health & Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government had taken a decision in 1981 to abolish plague unit in Palamanair, Chittor District to curb wasteful expenditure, but not implemented so far;

(b) whether it is also a fact that not even a single case of plague was registered in the area since 1975;

(c) if so, the action proposed to be taken to abolish it; and

(d) if not, why?

59. Sarvasri;  

(a) Sarvasri.  

(b) Sarvasri.  

(c) Sarvasri.
30th March, 1984

Oral Answers to Questions

Operation Theaters in Osmania Hospital

283-

*2598-(B)-Q.-Sarvasri K. R. Krishnaswamy (Vikarabad), Kondalaxma Reddy (Chevella) and P. Shankar Rao (Shadnagar) Will the Minister for Health & Medical be pleased to state:

a) Whether it is a fact that the operation Theatres in Osmania General Hospital, are housed in the New Buildings of Osmania Hospital;

b) Whether it is also a fact the patients are being shifted to the old building premises immediately after the operations, in Ambulance vehicles covering nearly half a Kilometre!

c) if so will the Government give instructions to the Hospital authorities to stop this practice immediately; and

d) if not, the reasons therefor?


b) No Sir the patients are kept in Surgical Emergency-cum. Observation ward immediately after operation and are shifted to the old premises after condition of the patient is fit for shifting. The patients are shifted taking all precautions in the Ambulance of the hospital.

e) & d) Does not arise

Qn. No. 284:

Mr. Speaker: The question No. 284 is postponed at the request of the Member.

Sri P. Mahendranath was about to reply to Question No. 285

Sri Nissankerarao Venkataratnam; Sir, when the Member who has to put the question is not here to put the question, the question will be passed over.

Mr. Speaker: The answer will be placed on the Table.

Question No. 286:

Mr. Speaker: Nobody to put the question.

The answer will be placed on the Table.

Entrusting Mid-day Meal Scheme To Mahila Mandals.

286

*3520-Q, Sarva Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu and N. Indrasena
Reddy (Malakpet): Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to entrust the Mid-Day Meals Scheme to the Mahila Mandals; and

(b) how the scheme is being implemented at present?

A—

(a) Yes. Sir, in cases where Sarpanches are not willing to take-up the responsibility, Mahila Mandals are entrusted with the implementation of scheme whenever it is feasible.

(b) The Sarpanches, Upa-Sarpanchas, Municipal Chairman are being entrusted with the responsibility. Whenever they are no coming forward, parent committees, Mahilamandals, Youvadals or other voluntary organization or a Committee with representatives of the above Organisations are implementing the Programme.

N. 2. Observation of Rule Regarding Posting of Teachers at a distance of 25 Kms from their native Places

287

*2591—(P) Q.- Sri M. Kasi Reddy (Kanigiri): Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

a) whether there is any rule to post teachers at a distance of 25 Kms from their native place.

b) whether there was any such proposal previously, and

b) why this rule was not observed?
Mr. Omkar: Point of order, Sir.

Mr. Deput. Speaker: There is no point of order during question hour.

Sri N. Indrasena Reddy: Sir, we would like to know whether the Speaker has run away from the House.

Sri A. Madan Mohan (Siddipet): I am sorry to use very strong language against the Speaker. I will be forced to employ strong
language against the Speaker for the way he has adopted the procedure which is something unheard of in the annals of democratic functioning of the parliamentary procedure by postponing the question-hour.

I have no hesitation in my mind....

I have no hesitation in my mind....

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

Sri S. Vijayarama Raju (Nagaru) : Speaker go back. We will not allow the House to function. We will see....

Sri A. Madan Mohan : We are passing through a very sad chapter of democracy.

Sri M. Kishen Rao (Secunderabad) ; I am on a point of order, Sir.

Mr. Speaker : What is the point of order ?

Sri N. Indrasena Reddy : We have raised the point of order first.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu ; Mr. Omkar raised the point of order first.

Mr. Speaker : I will give him an opportunity.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu : Sir, Sri Omkar raised the point of order and the Deputy Speaker who was in the Chair said there is no point of order during question-hour. Then the Speaker came and even then Sri Omkar was again trying to raise the point of order.

Sri P. Ramachandra Reddy (Sangareddy) : Please allow me to speak.

Sri Somarama Raju (Naguru) : Speaker go back. We will not allow the House to function. We will see....
Sri P. Ramachandra Reddy: Shut up. Do not talk nonsense, Sir, if you cannot control, get out. Either you control them or we will control them.

(Interruptions)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: Sir, I am not discussing on the resolution but I would only like to bring to your kind notice that Sri Omkar/raised wanted to his point of order which was not allowed by the Deputy Speaker. Then the Speaker came and he tried to raise his point of order and it was not allowed. Then Sri Madan Mohan was called to speak and he was speaking.
Sri A. Madan Mohan: Sir, we are really passing through a very sad chapter of democracy. We deviated and departed and we are bringing disgrace to the functioning of the Legislature. It is really unfortunate that the question hour was sought to be postponed. This is something extraordinary and unheard of in the annals of democratic functioning of parliamentary days. It is to my mind, never done not only in this House but in the various Legislatures of the country and also in the Parliament. What was that extraordinary situation that warranted to bring the resolution just now by postponing the question-hour?

Mr. Speaker: It is all over.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: It is not over. Please hear me.

Mr. Speaker: It is over.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: What is it that is over?

(Members from Treasury benches started thumping the tables)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: Sir, you have called Sri Madan Mohan and they are defying....

Mr. Speaker: (Looking towards the Treasury benches) I do not know why you are so much agitated. Please sit down- (Interruptions)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: Sir, either you control them or send them out.

Sri N. Indrasera Reddy: No, we won't allow this. (Interruptions)
Sri N. Bhaskara Rao: What the Whip asked for is only a point of clarification and not a point of order during question hour.

Mr. Speaker: I am not allowing. What is this I do not understand.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: The leader of Opposition was saying something. Let us hear him first.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: Sir, I am neither at the beck and call of you or the Members from Treasury benches to speak whenever you ask me to speak. While I am speaking there are shouts. This is not the way. We have been cooperating and only because they have got brute majority, they cannot behave like this. We will try to teach them not only outside but inside the House also, if it comes to that.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: If you are provoking, I cannot help it. You are provoking.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: I am not provoking. Sir, is it responsible statement that you are making? They have provoked me thrice when I got up. And you are not able to control the House. What is the alternative?

Mr. Speaker: Unless you cooperate, how can I control?

Sri A. Madan Mohan: We have been cooperating.

Mr. Speaker: No, no. Being the Leader of the Opposition you should not try to provoke.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: If the Leader of the House has forgotten the norms, we expect the Speaker to keep up the norms. If you say that I am provoking, what can I do? Sir, you make an introspection. We have not been doing it.

Mr. Speaker: Certainly.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: Sir, you make an introspection and try to look at the proceedings for the past two Sessions and see whether it was the Opposition which was provoking or that side.

Mr. Speaker: I can suspend the question-hour. You see the rules and procedures. Are you questioning me? What is this?
Sri A. Madan Mohan: I am not questioning your prerogative. I am only trying to draw your attention to the procedure that has been adopted. This is something unheard of.

Mr. Speaker: Why?

Sri A. Madan Mohan: I have never said it.

Mr. Speaker: At the same time he is questioning my decision.

Sri M. Vejakajah Naidu: He has not questioned. He is protesting. Now, is it not allowed?

Mr. Speaker: You were not here. I have taken it up that.

Sri N. Bhaskara Rao: With great respects to the hon'ble Members I seek a clarification from the Chair, Sir. I am speaking only on one point. The resolution has been passed. After the resolution has been passed can the Members question it? Certainly not. In a different way they can come to the House and ask. The resolution has already been passed. How could you reopen it?

Mr. Speaker: Don't go back on that. That is over. What is the use of going back? Have you anything to say or shall I proceed to the questions?

Sri A. Madan Mohan: You are not allowing me to say. What is this? Sometimes they interrupt, sometimes you intervene.

Mr. Speaker: You were not here. I have taken it up that.
Sri A. Madan Mohan: I am not discussing about the merits of the resolution at all. Let the resolution be cast off to winds. I am not asking about the merits of the resolution nor I am going to touch that. I am only asking with all humility at my command, with all humbleness that the procedure that has been adopted in getting the resolution passed by postponing the question hour is bad. I would like to ask the Speaker as to what was the extraordinary situation, what was the emergent situation that has arisen. What are the extraordinary grounds that were there justifying the postponement of the question hour and taking up the resolution. This is what I would like to ask.

Mr. Speaker: I have already told them. You were not here. It is a matter of public importance... You know the controversy between the judiciary and the Legislature.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: May I remind you one thing. The other day we have moved 329 when you wanted to take up this matter to be discussed. You said that it does not belong to this House and how far we are justified in taking up this issue for discussion in the House. I made it very clear since it assumes importance. On the day the Speaker was of the view that this House should not discuss this matter, because it pertains to the Legislative Council. Unfortunately a debate on that was hesitatingly allowed about the admissibility or otherwise of the matter. On the other day a particular situation has arisen that precipitated the Speaker to postpone the question hour and take up the resolution. What the extraordinary situation that had really provoked the Speaker to apply his mind to postpone the question hour and take up the resolution thereby departing from the normal practice. After all the same resolution could have been taken up after the question hour in the usual course if at all the Speaker and the House thought it fit in their collective wisdom to take up the matter pertaining to Legislative Council. I have not understood and to what precipitated you to move them to move the resolution.

Mr. Speaker: You know the Speaker's powers. (interruptions) Unless and otherwise the Speaker directs, the first hour is the question hour. So, I have got the discretion either to suspend or postpone it.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: I am not questioning your discretion.
My submission to you is this. What is the extraordinary situation that made the Speaker to apply his mind and allow the resolution to come first by postponing the question hour.

Mr. Speaker: The Leader of this House wrote a letter to the President of India seeking his advice to solve the matter between the judiciary and the legislature. Endorsing that letter a resolution was moved now urging upon the President to take action and also urging the Leader of the House to pursue further action. Is it not important than the question hour? I do not know what happened if question hour is postponed for one hour. So, in my discretion I have allowed it. What is wrong in it?

Sri A. Madan Mohan: It is not so simple as that.

(Interruptions)
At the very outset I concede the omnicompetence of the Speaker. If you keep referring to the provisional power you enjoy under the rules I have little objection. Every Member of House and the other House has the inalienable right to discuss and consider the manner in which the power is exercised or has been exercised or should be exercised. I was here in the House when the resolution was moved.

(Interruptions)

(Sri S. Jaipal Reddy)
Mr. Speaker: You have walked out. You are now enforcing

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : The resolution was read I was in the House. After all I was entitled to hear from the Chair also. The Speaker without consulting the Opposition, without taking anybody into confidence has directed the question hour to be postponed. I don't understand as to what prompted, what compelled the Speaker to resort to the extraordinary act. This is a resolution which could have been easily tabled in the question hour. The grace of the resolution has been lost. Apart from that as Mr. Omkar rightly pointed out if one Member had moved the resolution there should be another Member to second it, thereby a section of the House could have had the opportunity to express themselves on the merits of the resolution. I am asking the Speaker as to why the Speaker did not allow the resolution to be discussed, as to why the Speaker was unholy to declare the resolution passed. There was no difficulty in getting the resolution adopted.

Mr. Speaker : You have walked out. You are now enforcing

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : When the resolution was read I was in the House. After all I was entitled to hear from the Chair also. The Speaker without consulting the Opposition, without taking anybody into confidence has directed the question hour to be postponed. I don't understand as to what prompted, what compelled the Speaker to resort to the extraordinary act. This is a resolution which could have been easily tabled in the question hour. The grace of the resolution has been lost. Apart from that as Mr. Omkar rightly pointed out if one Member had moved the resolution there should be another Member to second it, thereby a section of the House could have had the opportunity to express themselves on the merits of the resolution. I am asking the Speaker as to why the Speaker did not allow the resolution to be discussed, as to why the Speaker was unholy to declare the resolution passed. There was no difficulty in getting the resolution adopted.

Mr. Speaker : You have walked out. You are now enforcing

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We staged a walk out because this was passed without discussing it, without any consideration and without seconding it. The Speaker is obliged to explain to the House the extraordinary manner in which he did it. The office of the Speaker is losing its credibility.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker; Certainly not.
Business of the house

30th March, 1984

S: M. Sarada: Sir, we are going to have a resolution tomorrow, and it's

very important that we should have it at least 24 hours notice. If we can

provide the notice of the resolution, it would be very useful for the

members to prepare themselves. We have to provide at least 24 hours

notice. So far, we have not provided any notice. Even if we provide

notice, we can pass the resolution. So far, we have not passed any

resolution.

S: M. Sarada: Sir, if we have a resolution, it is better to have notice.

Resolution

Simply they can pass a resolution and they can authorise the Chair­

man to do that or to do this.

Simply they can pass a Resolution and they can authorise the Chair­

man to do that or to do this.
I am also equally trying to protect the interest of the House and the Speaker's right. I am also trying to explain the position to the Hon'ble Speaker. Let me elucidate what I want and I am trying to support your argument.

(Sri P. Goverdhan Reddy)

Mr. Speaker: I will expunge what all he said.

(Sri N. Bhaskara Rao)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: Sir, I am not asking you to give the permission to speak on the Resolution.

Let him not misunderstand me. I am not going into the merits. I am not discussing about the Resolution also but I am only disputing the procedure. I am trying to convince the Speaker and through him to House, as to what has happened in the Legislative Council, what has happened in the Supreme Court and the Andhra
Pradesh Legislative Assembly. Thousands of people throughout
the country are observing as to what is happening about this.

It seems that the Kaduna Division of the Federal
Ministry of Agriculture has neglected the farmers in
the area. The farmers are not getting the necessary
supplies and assistance. The situation is very critical
and urgent. What measures are being taken to
improve the situation? What steps are being taken to
protect the farmers against any further losses?

We must ensure that the farmers receive the
necessary support to continue their work.

The situation is very critical and urgent.

Business of the House

Is it the way to conduct the House? I am not questioning the Hon.ble Speaker. I am not questioning the Ruling party. I am only asking a moral from the Ruling Party Members. If you feel that you have majority, then why all these parafernalia? Why all these Question Hour? Why all these procedure?

Sri. N. Bhaskara Rao :- The purport of the Resolution is nothing to do with the Legislative Council.
Can you ask the Ruling Party or the Opposition Party to reopen the subject?

Sri N Bhaskara Rao: We are thankful for the co-operation. We are not opposing that. What we want is the procedure?

I don’t want to go into that controversy.

I don’t want to go into that controversy.
If you say there is no issue, if you say there is no crisis, then there is no necessity to interfere in the matter. You have said that there is an issue here.

Let them re-open the issue. If they feel that they have adopted the procedure (i.e., "Motion moved-those who are for the Motion say "Yes" and those who are against the motion say "No")-even that was not observed.
I am miss-purported and misunderstood. I have to clarify or else that will carry on.

Sri M Venkaiah Naidu: Sir, only one Member. Then how can you tell that majority of the Members are falling?

Sri A. Madan Mohan: Sir, he should not speak on behalf of the Opposition. He cannot draw inferences against the Opposition Members.

(Sri G. Venkayya)
Sri P. Ramachandra Reddy:— Sir, I am concerned with two things. If there was a proposal to postpone the Question Hour or cancelling the Question Hour, it was your duty to call for all of us to say a word about it. Generally we always co-operated with you but that formality was not completed. Let me suggest you that when such a serious thing comes from any side, let us take each other into confidence, in consultation at the Business Advisory Committee meeting.

Secondly, Mr. Speaker Sir, if this is continued nobody can sit in his seat and whatever one likes he can raise without the permission of the Chair and it will end in chaos and it will end in a jungle. I do not want the disrepute to the respect of the House. Day in and day out we are seeing that anybody can say whatever he likes. Anybody can raise and say whatever he likes, then—shouts and counter—shouts. As far as to-day’s proceedings are concerned, incidentally I was not present. This could have easily been avoided, if the B. A. I. C. was consulted for a few minutes—and an impression has come in our minds that the Hon’ble Speaker was aware of all
this move which they were going to do. That impression has come. Sometimes you may not be aware but that impression has come. It should go but there is no purpose of quarrelling.

Mr. Speaker: - I have announced in the House that I received a notice and I read it in the House. I gave an opportunity to all the leaders of Opposition also.

Sri P. Ramachandra Reddy: - Sir, you are the only protector of the Opposition in the House and not the Party in Power, whatever Party it may be. Unfortunately there is confrontation between you and us. That can be easily avoided if you consult for a few minutes and tell as to what is going on,

Mr. Speaker: - No, not necessary...not at all. I gave opportunity to Sri K. Venkataswara Rao, Sri Md. Rajab Ali, Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu and Sri N. Raghava Reddy. All of them spoke

Sri P. Ramachandra Reddy: - Sir, even the facts taken place in this House - we are disputing. How embarrassing it is to you and to us! Even the things happened - the question of facts also - we are disputing with each other! What an embarrassing it is! Such an embarrassment can be avoided. Sir, through you, I appeal to the people sitting on that side - if the Leader is not there, who is the Deputy Leader, I do not know, but the senior Ministers are sitting here. It is their duty to control them. I appeal to each respective Leader that - let us restore ourselves and come back to the business and if anything has happened, let us regret for it and precede further.
Sri N. Bhaskara Rao: - After the resolution has been passed, can we participate and re-open?

We will also participate and help him to resolve the situation.
Conducting of Joint Common Examinations for Government and Private Engineering Colleges
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*S103-Q.— Sarvasri B. Bhupati Rao, G. Mallesh, Gangineni Venkateswara Rao and V. Abbaiah:— Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

a) whether the Government propose to conduct joint common examinations for the Government and Private Engineering Colleges and also to the Polytechnics and I.T. Is; and

b) if so, whether it will be implemented from 1983-84 academic year onwards?

The Minister for Education (Sri Ananda Gajapathi Raju):

(a) & (b): Joint common Examination is being conducted for admission to Government and Private Engineering Colleges in the State from the academic year 1983-84. In regard to conduct of Common Entrance Examination for admission to Polytechnics, the matter is under active consideration of the Government. A Common entrance test for admission to I.T. Is may not be possible as the entry qualifications for different trades are different.

Sri P. Goverdhan Reddy: why there is no point of order?

Sri A. Madan Mohan: Without hearing him, how can you say that there is no point of order? We are adopting totally a different procedure altogether?
Sri A. Madan Mohan: But you have allowed otherwise. Otherwise, it is a bad precedent. You have allowed in this House point of order to be raised during question hour and this has formed a bad precedent. Now, you cannot stop it.

Mr. Speaker: 'You are dummy of the ruling party.'
30th March, 1984

Business of the house

M. P. S. Rao: As you may be aware, we have been in constant touch with our various agencies and representatives. We have been informed that there is an urgent need to expedite the process of forest management. This has been of great concern to us, and we have been working closely with the relevant authorities to ensure that the necessary steps are taken to address this issue.

10 a.m.

P. V. Reddy: With respect to the resolution passed by this house, I am sure that all members will agree that action should be taken without delay. It is imperative that we take immediate steps to implement the resolution. This will help in addressing the issues that have been highlighted by the agencies and representatives.

R. V. Reddy: I fully support the resolution. It is time that we take the necessary steps to address the issues at hand. I believe that the resolution will help in improving the efficiency and effectiveness of our operations.

S. V. Reddy: May I request that we take a vote on the resolution as soon as possible? This will help in ensuring that we take the necessary steps without delay.
Business of the house 30th March, 1984

Mr. Speaker: The question-hour is over. I am adjourning the House for ten minutes.

(The House then adjourned at 10.05 a.m.)

The House re-assembled at 10.25 a.m.

(Mr. Speaker was in the Chair)

Sri B. Sammaiah (Parakal): Sir, one point for clarification.

Mr. Speaker: What is that?
Business of the house

30th March, 1984

Mr. Speaker: Do not threaten me. It is not good on your part.

I can assure you that it is not possible to...

30 a.m.
Start of Research Centres In The Field of Medicine In The State

812-Q. Sarvasri Vasantha Nageswara Rao and D. Sambasiva Rao Chowdary: Will the Minister for Health & Medical be pleased to state:

(a) Whether the Government propose to start a Research Centre in the field of Medicine in our State:

(b) if so, the details of the same; and

(c) if not, whether the Government propose to start it now?
Abolition of plague unit in Palamanair, Chittoor District
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*2607-(1)Q.—Sarvasri S. Santosh Reddy, K. VenkateswaraRao A. Narendra and B. Sammaiah: Will the Minister for Health & Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government had taken a decision in 1981 to abolish plague unit in Palamanair, Chittoor District to curb wasteful expenditure, but not implemented so far!

(b) whether it is also a fact that not even a single case of plague was registered in the area since 1975;

(c) If so, the action proposed to be taken to abolish it; and

(d) if not, why?
Operation Theaters In Osmania Hospital

283—

*2598-(B)-Q.-Sarvasri K. R.Krishnaswamy, Konda Laxmareddy and P. Shankar Rao : Will the Minister for Health & Medical be pleased to state :

(a) Whether it is a fact that the operation theaters in Osmania General Hospital are housed in the new buildings of Osmania Hospital:

(b) whether it is also a fact that the patients are being shifted to the old building premises immediately after the operations, in ambulance vehicles covering nearly half a Kilometer:

(c) if so, whether the Government will give instructions to the Hospital authorities to stop this practice immediately: and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor ?
30th March, 1984

Oral Answers to Questions

1. Member 1: On the 24th of March, 1984, a meeting was held... who was the...? (追问)

2. Member 2: In the meeting on the 24th, the...? (追问)

3. Member 3: In the meeting on the 24th, the...? (追问)

4. Member 4: In the meeting on the 24th, the...? (追问)

5. Member 5: In the meeting on the 24th, the...? (追问)

6. Member 6: In the meeting on the 24th, the...? (追问)

7. Member 7: In the meeting on the 24th, the...? (追问)

8. Member 8: In the meeting on the 24th, the...? (追问)

9. Member 9: In the meeting on the 24th, the...? (追问)

10. Member 10: In the meeting on the 24th, the...? (追问)
Oral Answers to Questions 30th March, 1984

3. Whether it is a fact that nearly 37 acres of land is under cultivation of the downtrodden people for the last 23 years in Karakavalasa area, Vuayangaram District to Downtrodden People.

2839 Q.—Sarvari M. Narayana Rao (Sompet) K. Venkateswara Rao, A. G. Krishna (Ibrahimpatnam) P. Rajam (Narela) and K. Nagarjuna Reddy (Cumbam): Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that nearly 37 acres of land is under cultivation of the downtrodden people for the last 25 years in Karakavalasa area, Vuayapeta taluk, Vijayanagaram district.

(b) whether the Govt. are in receipt of any representations for the issue of pattas for the above land:

(c) whether it has come to the notice of the Government that the poor ryots, particularly belonging to the downtrodden were evicted from the Government lands of Dabbirajupet, Petul Chinnapalem, Bharulapalli villages, who levelled the lands and have been cultivating them since a long time in the same taluq; and

(d) if so, the steps taken by the Government?

Issue of Pattas for the lands in Karakavalasa area Vuayangaram District to Downtrodden People

285

*2859 Q.—Sarvari M. Narayana Rao (Sompet) K. Venkateswara Rao, A. G. Krishna (Ibrahimpatnam) P. Rajam (Narela) and K. Nagarjuna Reddy (Cumbam): Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that nearly 37 acres of land is under cultivation of the downtrodden people for the last 25 years in Karakavalasa area, Vuayapeta taluk, Vijayanagaram district.

(b) whether the Govt. are in receipt of any representations for the issue of pattas for the above land:

(c) whether it has come to the notice of the Government that the poor ryots, particularly belonging to the downtrodden were evicted from the Government lands of Dabbirajupet, Petul Chinnapalem, Bharulapalli villages, who levelled the lands and have been cultivating them since a long time in the same taluq; and

(d) if so, the steps taken by the Government?

Issue of Pattas for the lands in Karakavalasa area Vuayangaram District to Downtrodden People

285

*2859 Q.—Sarvari M. Narayana Rao (Sompet) K. Venkateswara Rao, A. G. Krishna (Ibrahimpatnam) P. Rajam (Narela) and K. Nagarjuna Reddy (Cumbam): Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that nearly 37 acres of land is under cultivation of the downtrodden people for the last 25 years in Karakavalasa area, Vuayapeta taluk, Vijayanagaram district.

(b) whether the Govt. are in receipt of any representations for the issue of pattas for the above land:

(c) whether it has come to the notice of the Government that the poor ryots, particularly belonging to the downtrodden were evicted from the Government lands of Dabbirajupet, Petul Chinnapalem, Bharulapalli villages, who levelled the lands and have been cultivating them since a long time in the same taluq; and

(d) if so, the steps taken by the Government?
Sir, I am sorry to say that the Honorable Minister is deluding the House. I belong to the same District. Continuous atrocities are going on. I will resign as a Member and let the Minister also resign from the Ministry. Why should the Minister tell like that? I would like to know whether the Minister is ready to accept my challenge or probe it or face my challenge.

2. Will the Minister for Education please to state:
a) Yes, Sir, in cases where Sarpanchas are not willing to take up the responsibility Mahila Mandals are entrusted with the implementation of Scheme whenever it is feasible.

Upa-Sarpanchas,

b) The Sarpanchas, Municipal Chairman are being entrusted with the responsibility. Wherever they are not coming forward, parent committees, Mahilamandals, Youvadals or other voluntary organisation or a Committee with representatives of the above Organisations are implementing the Programme.

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju: Sir, Where Sarpanchas and Upa-Sarpanchas did not come forward to take the programme, we have handed it over to the Mahila Mandalas. Somebody has to run the programme and the programme has to run successfully. Regarding release of money, which the Hon'ble Member is saying, Rs. 10.01 crores was recently released under various heads to see that the programme is effectively implemented.

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju: Sir, I request the Member to confine himself to the question at hand—whether there is any proposals to entrust the Mid-day Meal Scheme to Mahila Mandalas. So let him confine to the question.
If the Minister wants me to put a separate question, I will put it and if will come in the next year.

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju: Sir, since the Hon’ble Member has expressed his concern about the implementation of the programme, I will definitely find out about his letter and see that some action.

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju: Sir, the programme is being run by the Mid-day Meal Officer. They are welcome to give their complaints to the Mid-day Meal Officer and they can also give their complaints to the Government and these problems will be definitely examined and looked into.

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju: Sir, to the Collectors. They give their complaints to the collectors and if they did not take action, they can mark a copy to the Government and we will definitely take note of it.

Sri V. Venkateswara Rao (Metpalli): Sir, wherever the Sarpanchas and Upa-Sarpanches are coming forward, they are being entrusted this work. But whenever they are not prepared to shoulder & there are places wherein the Sarpanchas are not prepared nor there is any Mahila Mandal, in such places, what is the Government proposing to do?

Sri V. Venkateswara Rao (Metpalli): Sir, wherever the Sarpanchas and Mahila Mandal are not there and where the Sarpanchas are not interested to take, then the YUVADALS and any voluntary organisation can come forward to run the scheme.

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju: Sir, then the Hon’ble Members can suggest places where nobody is interested in the programme and then we can think of alternative arrangements.
Not more than 10 lakhs boys and girls have been covered under this programme. Is it a fact?

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju: Sir, we have not received any complaint to the effect that the programme is not run at all. There were some problems putforth in this House that helpers and cooks were not released money and this or that. We immediately took action on the matter but till now we have not received any complaints that the programme itself is not run properly. If any such complaints are given, ana areas would be identified where such programme are not running, we can take action if this regards.

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju: Sir, 36 lakh children are covered under this Programme. S.Ts., S.C., and B.Cs., and those students, who parents' income is less than Rs. 3,000 are covered under this programme. 36 lakhs children are covered under this programme is not being effectively implemented. According to us, the programme is running and of course there are some teaching problems and other various problems. But according to the information we have, the programme is run adequately and satisfactorily. If there are any points raised by the Hon'ble Members, saying that it is not running in any particular area, let them identify such areas and definitely we will send an officer to find out as to why it is running.
Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju: There are around 80,000 villages in Andhra Pradesh. It is not possible to give all the lists of places where this is run and not run. That is why I said, they can bring to our notice as to where this programme is not run and we will take action.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Whether there is any information with the Government, to in how many places this programme is not running. That is the information they are asking for.

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju: Sir, I asked the members to supply us with the information as to where this programme is not running. According to it us running.

Mr. B. K. Venkata Rao: Where, it is not running, what are the alternative arrangements and measures that you propose to take?

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju: I will furnish the information as to where it is not running. They can suggest alternative measures and then we can take it.

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju: Sir, it including small hamlets and small areas also.

M. B. Ramachandra: Andhra Pradesh, 30 ch. 5000, chalasaram, chalasaram.

M. B. Venkata Rao: Am I right in assuming that all the hamlets and small areas have been included ? 3ch. 50 ch."(25)

M. B. Venkata Rao: Andhra Pradesh, 30 ch. 5000, chalasaram, chalasaram.
Inspite of that you are unhappy, it is too much on your part.

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju: They are asking about the villages where the programme is not implemented. Since we are collecting the information, we will place it here as soon as we finish collecting the information. We will pass on the information.
Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju: Sir, we released Rs. 10.1 crores for this Mid-day Meal for all accessories and all that. Regarding the distribution, I will pass it on to the Hon'ble Members as to which district got what amount.

Non-Obervation of Rule regarding Posting of teachers at a distance of 25 Kms. from their native places

(a) whether there is any rule to post teachers at a distance of 25 Kms. from their native places:
(b) whether there was any such proposal previously:
(c) the reasons for not observing this rule?
పి. 30. జిల్లా: మేర్పు సంస్థల సంచలన కోసం అనుసంధానం చేసే రెండు అంశాల ప్రపంచానికి సమానం వాగుండది. అందుకే అప్పుడు ఇది ప్రతిష్ఠిత రెండు అంశాల ప్రపంచానికి సమానం వచ్చింది. అందుకే ఇది ప్రతిష్ఠిత రెండు అంశాల ప్రపంచానికి సమానం వచ్చింది. కాబట్టి రెండు అంశాల ప్రపంచానికి సమానం వచ్చింది?

పి. 31. జిల్లా: మేర్పు సంస్థల సంచలన కోసం అనుసంధానం చేసే రెండు అంశాల ప్రపంచానికి సమానం వచ్చింది. అందుకే ఇది ప్రతిష్ఠిత రెండు అంశాల ప్రపంచానికి సమానం వచ్చింది. అందుకే ఇది ప్రతిష్ఠిత రెండు అంశాల ప్రపంచానికి సమానం వచ్చింది. కాబట్టి రెండు అంశాల ప్రపంచానికి సమానం వచ్చింది?

పి. 32. జిల్లా: మేర్పు సంస్థల సంచలన కోసం అనుసంధానం చేసే రెండు అంశాల ప్రపంచానికి సమానం వచ్చింది. అందుకే ఇది ప్రతిష్ఠిత రెండు అంశాల ప్రపంచానికి సమానం వచ్చింది. అందుకే ఇది ప్రతిష్ఠిత రెండు అంశాల ప్రపంచానికి సమానం వచ్చింది. కాబట్టి రెండు అంశాల ప్రపంచానికి సమానం వచ్చింది?

పి. 33. జిల్లా: మేర్పు సంస్థల సంచలన కోసం అనుసంధానం చేసే రెండు అంశాల ప్రపంచానికి సమానం వచ్చింది. అందుకే ఇది ప్రతిష్ఠిత రెండు అంశాల ప్రపంచానికి సమానం వచ్చింది. అందుకే ఇది ప్రతిష్ఠిత రెండు అంశాల ప్రపంచానికి సమానం వచ్చింది. కాబట్టి రెండు అంశాల ప్రపంచానికి సమానం వచ్చింది?
Why have you singled out? Who has brought pressure and under what circumstances you were forced to take this action? Please inform the House.
Whethyr the proposal has come from the D.D.O. or from here? Let him get the answer? Or did the proposal come from a political leader from Hyderabad?

Sri K. P. Reddiah: I have challenged the Telugu Desam six months back. I am ready. You order for an enquiry. I will resign, yes, yes.
He is having a right to make allegations and he is taking the responsibility....

We are not for....

(interruptions)
Conducting of Joint Common Examinations for Government and Private Engineering Colleges
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*2103-Q.—Sarvasri B. Bhupathi Rao, G. Mallesh (Asifabad) Gangineni Venkateswara Rao (Vinukonda) and V. Abbaiah (Burigampahad) :-- Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government propose to conduct joint common examinations for the Government and private Engineering Colleges and also to the Polytechnics and I.T.I; and

(b) if so, whether it will be implemented from 1983-84 academic year onwards?

The Minister for Education (Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju):

(a & b) : Joint Common Examination is being conducted for admission to Government and Private Engineering Colleges in the State from the academic year 1983-84. In regard to conduct of Common Entrance Examination for admission to Polytechnics, the matter is under active consideration of the Government. A common entrance test for admission to I.T.s may not be possible as the entry qualifications for different trades are different.

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju : For private Engineering Colleges also there is an entrance examination from 1983-84. For I.T.s the problem is there are 3.5 lakh candidates who are coming and trying to get admission into I.T.s and there are pre-matric and post-matric courses and it becomes difficult logistically to have a common entrance. But for Polytechnics and Engineering Colleges there is a common entrance examination.
Misappropriation of Funds by Ex-presidents of Primary Agricultural Co-operative Credit Societies of Agalpuram, Karimnagar District

289—

3230—Q.—Sarvasri Y. S. Rajasekhara Reddy, K Nagarjuna Reddy, S Santosh Reddy and M. Narayana Rao: Will the Minister for Agriculture and Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the accounts of the primary Agricultural Co-operative Credit Society of Aglapuram, Huzurabad taluk Karimnagar district have not been audited since the year 1977;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the ex-presidents of the said society have misappropriated thousands of rupees;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the Co-op. Central Bank has suspended A. Rajesham, Secretary of the said Society, 4 months ago on the ground that he failed to handover the records for Audit;

(d) if so, whether it has come to the notice of the Government that he has not handed over the records so far and is absconding;

(e) if so, whether there is any proposal with the Government to confiscate the records with the police help and to arrange for a thorough enquiry as per the Act;

(f) if so, when;

(g) if not, the reasons therefor?

(i) नाम (नामकरण, नामकरण व्यक्तिको):

(१) ऐकाळ. एकाळा (प्रतिपादक, सांस्कृतिक प्रतिपादक) नामांक 1961-82 एवं 1975-76 र तिनीका उपाधि 1981-82 र तिनीका उपाधि. 1975-76 र तिनी 1961 र तिनी र तिनी

(२) नामार्थो. ४०४ नामांक 54 र ३१४ नामांक 68 र ६८ नामांक

यो नामांक एकाळा व्यक्तिको प्रतिपादक उपाधि र उपाधि का हो।
How are you trying to trace that great man?
30th March, 1984

Oral Answers to Questions

3. స్మార్ట్: ఇది మన సంస్థ అవారు. నిద్రలు లేదా?

3. స్మార్ట్: 7-5-1983 నాటికి కర్నూలు విభాగంలో సంస్థ సహాయంతో చక్రవర్తి లాంటి పరిస్థితుల మేరకు నిద్రలయ్యాయు. బాగా అది దానం ఎందుకను (తండ్రికాలు సంప్రదాయ పద్ధతి మీద) ఉండదు. ఉపస్థితాలను ఉద్యోగీతే ప్రముఖురికి మారిన దానం ఉండదు. సంస్థ మరియు ఉద్యోగీ మినహాయం సహాయంతో చక్రవర్తి లాంటి పరిస్థితులు ఉండదు.


3. స్మార్ట్: 1981-82 సంవత్సరం విభాగం విభాగం అది చక్రవర్తి లాంటి పరిస్థితులు ఉండదు. సంస్థ మరియు ఉద్యోగీ సహాయం ఉండదు. 1981-82 సంవత్సరం విభాగం విభాగం. 1974-75 సంవత్సరం విభాగం విభాగం. 1974-75 సంవత్సరం విభాగం విభాగం. సంస్థ మరియు ఉద్యోగీ సహాయంతో చక్రవర్తి లాంటి పరిస్థితులు ఉండదు. సంస్థ మరియు ఉద్యోగీ సహాయంతో చక్రవర్తి లాంటి పరిస్థితులు ఉండదు. సంస్థ మరియు ఉద్యోగీ సహాయంతో చక్రవర్తి లాంటి పరిస్థితులు ఉండదు. సంస్థ మరియు ఉద్యోగీ సహాయంతో చక్రవర్తి లాంటి పరిస్థితులు ఉండదు. సంస్థ మరియు ఉద్యోగీ సహాయంతో చక్రవర్తి లాంటి పరిస్థితులు ఉండదు. సంస్థ మరియు ఉద్యోగీ సహాయంతో చక్రవర్తి లాంటి పరిస్థితులు ఉండదు. సంస్థ మరియు ఉద్యోగీ సహాయంతో చక్రవర్తి లాంటి పరిస్థితులు ఉండదు.
Misappropriation of funds by certain Agricultural Officers in Agricultural Godowns at Atchampet and Amrabad

163—
*3064—Q. — Sri Nissankara Rao Venkataratnam: Will the Minister for Agriculture and Cooperation be pleased to state:

(a) whether Sri Buchaiah and Jafar Sharif worked as Agricultural Officers in Achampet taluk, Mahaboobnagar district during 1979!
(b) whether they were incharge of agricultural godowns at Atchampet and Amrabad respectively and misappropriated funds:

(c) whether any enquiry was conducted by Agricultural Directorate and if so, what is the result;

(d) whether Sri Buchaiah was suspended and later reinstated if so, the reasons therefor and

(e) the steps taken to recover the amount misappropriated by them?

L. A. Q. Postponed from 14-3-1984

30th March, 1984

Oral Answers to Question

(2) 1979-80 rs. 8058.07 crore funds were mihsapropriated. After the enquiry and in year 1980-81, Rs. 827.21 recovered, in year 1991 Rs. 49.50 and Rs. 6 in year 1992 Rs. 2462.58 recovered. In Rs. 17,485.28 was lost to government.
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312-1982 నిల్వగం ఇంతా ఉంది.

నిల్వగం ఉంది అంటున్న వాటాలను వీదించాలంటే లభించిన మంది 500 వందల నిల్వగం పొందాలంటే, నిల్వగం పొందానికి మినిస్టరు అవుతూ, సంస్థ పొందానికి మంది మంది ఉన్నప్పటి పొంది అందరి సంస్థ పొందానికి 28-2-1983 నిల్వగం ఇంతా ఉంది.


సూచిక ఇక్కడ ఉంది. 200 నిల్వగం సంస్థ పొందానికి ఉంది. 10000 ల విత్తనానికి ఉంది. 15000 ల విత్తనానికి ఉంది. 10000 ల విత్తనానికి ఉంది.
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సంఖ్యలు ప్రాంతం నా మాదిరిగా ఉండుంది. ప్రత్యేకంగా ఇతర సంఖ్యలను
మాదిరి చెప్పడానికి ఉండాలి. ఎందుకంటే పచ్చిన ఉత్తరాలు ఉన్నాయి. 
500 సంఖ్యలను సంఖ్యలలో తప్పించడానికి ఉండాలి
మాదిరి. సరిపోయిని సంఖ్యలాలైనా ఎంత సంఖ్యలు
సంఖ్యలలో సంచితం తప్పించాలి. అందువల్ల ప్రత్యేకంగా
అంతర్జాతీయగా ప్రపంచ పరిస్థితులు కొనసాగి ఉండాలి
డిని ఇతర సంఖ్యలను నమిచి ఉండాలి. అందువల్ల ప్రత్యేకం
రుగుతూ ప్రపంచంలో పరిస్థితులు కొనసాగి ఉండాలి. 5 మిగిలించిన
సంఖ్యలను పరిస్థితులను మలాడాలి మనం కూడా సాధారణం
మనం పట్టిక హెచ్చించడానికి ఉండాలి.

పి.3. ప్రశ్నాంశ : 5 కోట్లు ఎక్కడ విస్తరించి ఉండగా తప్పించవలసిన
సంఖ్యలను ప్రత్యేకంగా ఉండండి. ప్రత్యేకంగా
సంఖ్యలను సంఖ్యలలో తప్పించడానికి ఉండండి. 17,000 సంఖ్యలు
నుండి 14,000 సంఖ్యలలో తప్పించడానికి ఉండండి. అందువల్ల
సంఖ్యలే 2 సంఖ్యల మాత్రమే ఇచ్చినా ఎంత. అందువల్ల
సంఖ్యలే 2 సంఖ్యలే ఇచ్చినా ఎంత. 90,000 సంఖ్యలను
పరిచించడానికి ఉండండి. అందువల్ల ఉండండి 200 సంఖ్యలలో
తప్పించడానికి ఉండండి. అందువల్ల ఉండండి పరిస్థితులను
మలాడాలి మనం కూడా సాధారణం
పరిస్థితులూ మలాడాలి.
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1. ಸಲುವಾಸ: ಅನುಬಂಧದ ಪಾಲುಗಳು ಗಮನಾಗಿ ದರ್ಶಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಂತ್ರಜಾತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಲುಗಳ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಾಂತರ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಮಾಣಾವಧಿಯ ಸೇರಿದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪ್ರಸದ ವಿಭಾಗಗಳು ಇದನ್ನು ಪರಿಚಯಿಸಿತು. ಇದನ್ನು ಬಳಸುವುದರಿಂದ ಅಂತ್ರಜಾತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರ ವಿಭಾಗಗಳು ಸೇರಿದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪ್ರಸದ ವಿಭಾಗಗಳು ಪರಿಸರದ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಸದದ ಸೇರಿದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪ್ರಸದ ವಿಭಾಗಗಳು ಪರಿಸರದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್ನು ಪರಿಸರದ ಪ್ರಸದದನ್nh
Why the concerned officials are behaving like that?

Why the concerned officials are behaving like that?

Why the concerned officials are behaving like that?
Sri S. Vijaya Ramaraju: Sir, a grave misappropriation is done. The Minister does not like to take action because the concerned accused belongs to the Hon’ble Revenue Minister’s constituency.

(Interruptions) Bell.

Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

Sri S. Vijaya Ramaraju: Sir, a grave misappropriation is done. The Minister does not like to take action because the concerned accused belongs to the Hon’ble Revenue Minister’s constituency.

only an eye wash. He is shedding some crocodile tears here. That is not the thing. Whether the Minister is ready to accept to have a judicial probe or a House Committee and let the Minister say Sir.
Oral Answers to Questions  30th March, 1984  503
Business of the House

Business of the House

3rd Question: Government has proposed a major proposal, the implementation of which will take time. The present position is that only 10 per cent of the works have been completed. How long do you think it will take to complete 110 per cent of the works?

4th Question: Hon. Members have been questioning the Arbitrary Indexation of Financial Services. The Government has decided to indexate the financial services. 1978-79 fiscal year and 1980-81 fiscal year. The hon. Members have questioned the reasons for this decision. The Government has decided to indexate financial services for the financial years 1978-79 and 1980-81. This decision has been taken after due consideration of the situation.

5th Question: Hon. Members have been questioning the arbitrary indexation of financial services. The Government has decided to indexate the financial services. The decision has been taken after due consideration of the situation. It has been decided to indexate financial services for the financial years 1978-79 and 1980-81.

6th Question: Hon. Members have been questioning the arbitrary indexation of financial services. The Government has decided to indexate the financial services. The decision has been taken after due consideration of the situation. It has been decided to indexate financial services for the financial years 1978-79 and 1980-81.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

6th Question: Hon. Members have been questioning the arbitrary indexation of financial services. The Government has decided to indexate the financial services. The decision has been taken after due consideration of the situation. It has been decided to indexate financial services for the financial years 1978-79 and 1980-81.
Sri A. Madan Mohan: Sir, I have given notice under Rule 329-as to what has appeared in to-day's Eenadu—where the DIG, Police (State Intelligence) seem to have been arrogated powers up to himself in trying to conduct us as to how the voters i.e., the M L-A's of Telugu Desam Party have to conduct in the Rajya Sabha elections. He has also pointed out that their 17 members have defected.

Mr. Speaker: I have received it and I will examine.

Sri A Madan Mohan: Sir, kindly bear with me, I have given another notice under 329 which is also of a very important nature that is about the prorogation of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council.

Mr. Speaker: I have to go through the same and examine the same.

Sri A Madan Mohan: Sir, we have come to understand that this is of utmost importance since the Hon'ble Speaker was generous enough and broadminded enough to have understood the implications and complex of the situation and has postponed the Question Hour and had allowed them to move a Resolution given by one Member.
I would expect the Hon’ble Speaker to raise to the occasion and then also allow because this is equally an important thing so that we can express our views on the matter, as to what extent he is competent to say that - whether he has become over-night a political being or a Member of the Telugu Desam Party or whether this Government is functioning in an extraordinary constitutional method as to allow the Police Officer also to become a member of the party and tutor the members who are elected directly by the people who have their own sense of respect to see how to conduct themselves as to the manner or voting and as to what is the method of voting. I will come to the scope of secrecy a little later...

Mr. Speaker: I have received a notice and let us not go into the merits of the case. I am examining it.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: I request the Hon’ble Speaker that since it has become an important issue and an urgent issue, it certainly involved the dignity and the self-respect and honour of the House. I am not saying whether it is from these benches or that benches, whether they are Telugu Desam Party members or some other Members he is equally a Member and he has to be respected. So, in that collective aspect only I am trying to raise it. I am not trying in a sectarian approach. What I am saying is this has appeared in Eenadu paper. When you have agreed with a resolution moved by one member that it is very urgent and that also deviating from the procedure of postponing the Question House....

Mr. Speaker: Not a matter of one member—it was, to see whether the issue was important or not. Anyhow I will examine it, as to whether it is possible to take up tomorrow or not. How can it be possible to take it now itself?

Sri A. Madan Mohan: Sir, you allow me to convince you about the importance of the issue which you have taken it terms....

Mr. Speaker: Mr. Madan Mohan, any way, you gave the notice. I will examine that regarding its admissibility.

(Interruptions) (Bell)

Sri A. Madan Mohan: Sir, I am not interested in creating noise nor my party members, but suppose if different standards such as social and political pluralities are adopted i.e., double value system and double standard system. If you have to measure with the same yard-stick as to the importance of the resolution what I have raised just now, I am sure by this time you would have been more...
than convinced and said "Yes; this is a matter of public importance and it has to be allowed." Supposing you wish and if you try to take refuse this is exactly where we feel pained. In fact when you have allowed that, afterall you must have applied your mind about the seriousness of the Resolution which was moved a Resolution, which could have been moved ever after the Question Hour, in its usual practice.

Mr. Speaker: You gave the notice while the House is in Session. So I have to go through it. whether it is admissible or not and satisfy myself. Without going through all that how can I admit directly?

Sri A. Madan Mohan: Sir, first of all, if it come to that, what is admissibility in 329? Either you allow the debate or refuse the debate. There is nothing like admissibility.

Mr. Speaker: I have to see whether such a notice is admissible or not. You must give us reasonable time. The time given to me is not reasonable.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: Sir, this is exactly I am trying to appeal to your good conscience, that there is nothing like admissibility under 329. Either you allow the debate-because you have got to say whether it is a matter of public importance or not. Now, with your varied experience as a Legislator, I am sure you are not coming here for the first time as a Legislator and I have had the honour and privilege of sitting with you as a member of the Legislative Assembly here in the same House—and it is also not correct for me to draw inference that you are an ignorant man because you are an advocate, knowing the procedure. Thirdly with one year's varied experience as an Hon'ble Speaker and as a Presiding Officer it does not and should not take more than one minute or half a minute to apply your mind about the importance—whether it is a matter of public importance or not. Because the elections took place only yesterday. In to-day's papers it is quoted that the D.I.G (State Intelligence) has gone there....

(Interruptions) (Bell)

Sri T. Seetharam (Amadalavalsa): Sir, are you going to allow that notice?

Sri A. Madan Mohan: Sir, I am not answerable to him. He is a body. He should know that I am not answerable to him. He has no right to question me directly.

Mr. Speaker: I will give my ruling to-morrow, not now.
Sri A. Madan Mohan: Sir, I am not provoking—You are provoking us. You are provoking the members of our Party.

Mr. Speaker: Why?

Sri A. Madan Mohan: You cannot apply two different standards for a situation.

Mr. Speaker: Allowing a Resolution—it is my discretion—I have to see and I have to satisfy myself. You may think it important but I may not think it so. What is it?

Sri A. Madan Mohan: I can understand but when it comes to the question of discretion, Sir, you don’t threatening us with your discretion. Your discretion does not mean, arbitrary and

Mr. Speaker: No. No. Don’t attribute such motives, I am sorry it is most uncharitable.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: I am not attributing. I am only trying to explain as to what discretion is.

Mr. Speaker: I know what discretion is.

Sri A Madan Mohan: I hope you have understood what discretion is, and if it is the discretion, probably, tomorrow people will come to know as to where you have exercised your discretion with good conscience, in respect of allowing their resolution and not allowing this Resolution.

Mr. Speaker: Exactly, our procedure is open to the public and people should know certainly. The people should know also.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: If it is just because the people should know, I have a right to explain and enlighten the people through this House as to whether the Hon’ble Speaker—well, within his rights to say that he has applied his good conscience in all equal ways. measuring, in allowing a Resolution and not allowing the 329. This is exactly what I am trying to highlight through you and through the Press.

Mr. Speaker: Alright... I know my discretion. Now will take up 329 notices....
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Business of the House

(Interruptions) (Bell)

Sri P. Goverdhan Reddy: Sir, you should expel that fellow first....

Mr. Speaker: I will expunge that word....

(Interruptions)

Sri A. Madan Mohan: Sir, he should apologise to the House.

Mr Speaker: Unless you cooperate, I cannot conduct the House.

Dr. Y. Rajasekhara Reddy: Are we animals or are they animals?

It is a shame....

Sri N. Bhaskara Rao: With great respect to the Hon. Members the Member has already withdrawn the word.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: It is not sufficient. The Finance Minister knows what has been said is derogatory to the traditions irrespective to whom he intended. What I am saying is mere withdrawal is not sufficient. He should apologise to the entire House for what he has said, keeping up the good traditions.

Mr Speaker: He has withdrawn his word.
Mr. Speaker: Now, we shall take up matters under rule 3.9 re: Exhibition of the move Eechaduvu Makoddu'.
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Business of the house

How are you allowing them to discuss the point. How can he discuss it.

MSS brough : इसके लिए कोई संस्करण का।

MSS विशिष्ट पक्ष (दूसरे महत्व) : इसके लिए कोई संस्करण ही. 329 पृष्ठ सर्वेक्षण में मौजूद। इसमें पूरी तरह से लिखा है?

मूल बुद्धि : मैं अब अच्छा हूँ।

काय अनुमति? : क्या तू कह उसे अच्छे काम करेगा?
Dr. Y. S. Rajasekhara Reddy: Your behaviour in the House....
(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: No, I do not allow. Unless I admit how can you speak?

It depends on the importance of the issue.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: Sir, I am not referring to the earlier thing. To-day we came to know by the Legislative Council staff that no Minister has attended the Session. I do not know whether they have decided to boycott the Legislative Council. The second aspect is just now we have come to understand that the Chief Minister while the House was in session without consulting the Chairman of the Council has recommended for the prorogation of the Legislative Council to the Governor. The third important aspect is an extraordinary situation has arisen in that the Members of the Legislative Council are going enbloc in procession to the Governor representing their point of view. If you think that this also is not extraordinary and does not have the urgency as much as the resolution that has been passed in the morning by-passing the laid down procedure, I have no objection. I would like to be enlightened....
(Interruptions)

I said ever since this morning, the way the House is being conducted and also the situation that is developing, I am really trying to
persuade myself to enlighten and get convinced about the efficacy and impartiality of the House. Unfortunately, I have failed to persuade myself to accept that it was impartial. Further there are resolutions to the effect. Well, I have said earlier and now again I request your good conscience since you have allowed that resolution to be moved in this House by one Member by making departure, not conforming to the procedure that has already been laid down about the question-hour....

Mr. Speaker: It is my discretion.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: May be Unnecessarily you need not voice about your discretion being exercised to the point of arbitrariness. I am not questioning that.

Sri N. Bhaskara Rao: Only for a second. Proroguing is different from the adoption of the resolution here.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: I know as much Law as Mr. Bhaskara Rao. We can enlighten each other better outside, on that aspect.

Sri N. Bhaskara Rao: I am only informing the factual information. I am not claiming that I know better.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: What is factual?

Sri N. Bhaskara Rao: Prorogation is different from the resolution adopted here in the morning.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: Sir, he has not understood me properly. We are given to understand that the Chief Minister has gone to the Governor and recommended the prorogation of the Legislative Council. As a follow up measure, and as a consequence of which....

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: I have received your notice. I will see.

Sri A. Madan Mohan: As a consequence of which, the Members of the Legislative Council irrespective of the party, cutting across all political barriers have gone to the Governor to represent such move as most undemocratic. What I am saying is, since the Speaker was so generous enough this morning to allow the resolution to be moved by one member probably realising the importance and urgency of the matter which is of public importance would consider. I request you also to consider this extraordinary situation also which has arisen to be taken into consideration and allow us to move this resolution.
Mr. Speaker: Not only urgency, which is one aspect, there are other things. Admissibility, whether prorogation of the other House also has to be considered?

Sri A. Madan Mohan: If you have to stand on the same analogy, what warranted Mr. Vasantha Nageswara to take up that issue?

Mr. Speaker: Here the leader of the House is concerned. That resolution was only to request the Leader of the House to pursue it....

Sri A. Madan Mohan: There is no need. He has already written. Did he seek the permission of this House before he wrote to the President with regard to this. This is the first time that a glorious tradition is being laid down by Mr. N. T. Rama Rao, the great Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh this State has ever produced and there is no likelihood of another man of the like would ever be produced. I cannot understand this. He has already written and there is no need for him.... (Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: We differ in opinion, that is all.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: Sir, Sri Madan Mohan, the Leader of the Opposition has brought the extraordinary situation to the notice of the House and the Speaker. Sir, the Cabinet decides as a matter of policy not to grant reply to the questions that were slated for answer today in the Legislative Council. It is in my view a clear and gross breach of privilege of the Legislative council. Secondly Sir....

Mr. Speaker: We are not concerned with that now.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: No, Sir. I am concerned with this House that the way the Cabinet which has chosen to behave. It is the responsibility, I am not referring to the....

Mr. Speaker: It is referring to the privilege of the House.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: I am merely incidentally referring to what has transpired here. We are referring to the conduct of the Cabinet which is collectively responsible and accountable to this House. I merely wanted to emphasise the monstrous character of the extraordinary, arbitrary, high-handed, capricious Cabinet decision.

Sri N. Bhaskara Rao: I do not want to interfere but would like so give my clarification to the Hon. Member. The Cabinet did not refuse to go the Council. As a matter of fact, the factual situation is different. This morning at 6 O'lock, a cabinet meet
was convened and a decision was taken and in pursuance of the decision taken by us, the Governor has to act. None of the Members of the Cabinet refused to go to that House.

(Interruptions)

Sri N. Indrasena Reddy: What is the decision of the Cabinet?
Mr. Speaker: You cannot ask. That is not your look out.
Sri N. Indrasena Reddy: It is relevant, Sir.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: You are talking about a matter which is not here
Sri A. Madan Mohan: Why do you think like that? In spite of the statement of the Finance Minister, do you still feel that the matter is not here?
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: Sir, you have called me and I have not finished.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu; Without adjourning the House, how can it be done:

In regard to prorogation of the Council, and the Ministers not attending the Council, and the matter of adjournment of the Council, about all these matters, we are having every right to raise in this House. And at the same time, the government should give clarification. We will give our point of view in these matters.
Sri N. Bhaskara Rao: It is within the powers of the Cabinet and the Executive.

Mr. Speaker: It is an administrative act and they have taken a decision.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: The Government must give their detailed clarification for this.

Sri N. Bhaskara Rao: That is within the power of the Cabinet and as such there is nothing in this.

Mr. Speaker: It is an administrative act. There should be no discussion about it. They have taken decision. What is wrong in it?

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: Before I proceed with the matter let me make it clear. The Speaker can defuse the situation by asking various Members to speak. You have done earlier. You may adopt
the same procedure now. The whole House agrees that an extraordinary situation has arisen. Just now Bhaskara Rao has clarified. The Cabinet has met early in the morning. I see one difference. Delhi Cabinet meets at midnight. The Cabinet here meets at the earlier hours of the morning. That apart the Cabinet met this morning and decided to request the Governor to prorogue the Legislative Council. You may have requested the Governor to prorogue, but the orders or prorogation has not been received by the Legislative Council Chairman when the Legislative Council met at 9 o'clock today. When the House met there was not a single Minister representing the Cabinet. Can there be a greater example of political shamelessness on the party of any Government.

(Interruptions)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: I am referring to the failure of Ministers in discharging their inescapable constitutional obligation.

Mr. Speaker: That is a fact admitted by the Finance Minister.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: I would request the hon'ble Members on the otherside to resume their seats so that they can hear me and reply to me. I want them to hear me and reply to me.

(interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: You hear what he says. What is wrong in it?

(interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: We are concerned with the procedural aspect.
Mr. Speaker: The Cabinet took a decision. It was intimated to the Governor to prorogue it.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: I am discussing the decision of the Cabinet. I am discussing the conduct of the Ministers. I am discussing the failure of Ministers.

Mr. Speaker: Don't go to the merits. Discuss the procedure.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: Now the issue is why the Ministers have not attended the Council. That is the question. He is asking that. How can a Point of Order be raised in this issue?

Mr. Speaker: You confine to the conduct of the Ministers alone.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: On the point of order I want a complete debate and not on this issue. Tomorrow the Cabinet may decide to enhance a particular tax by 15%. Can we not discuss in the House?

Mr. Speaker: How can you discuss the merits? It is the discretion of the Cabinet either to continue the House or prorogue the House.

(Interruptions)

Don't go to the merits.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: I adjourn the House till 8.30 A.M. on 3rd April, 1984,