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Twenty Eighth Day of the Fourth Session of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly

ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
THURSDAY THE 29th MARCH, 1984
The House met at Half-past Eight of the Clock

(MR. SPEAKER IN THE CHAIR)

The House reassembled at 9.30 a.m. (Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS
CONSTRUCTION OF 1260 M. W. THERMAL POWER STATION NEAR MAHABOONAGAR

221—

1420 Q.—Sri G. MUDDU KRISHNAMA NAIDU : Will the Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that in Mahaboobnagar district near Krishna Station 1620 M. W. Thermal Power Station is constructed:

(b) If so, by whom that construction is done together with its cost;

*An asterisk before the name indicates the confirmation by Member.
(c) For what purpose that Electricity will be used; i.e., whether it will be used only for Railways or for other needs of State Government also; and

(d) Whether the State Government has helped in the construction of this Thermal Station?

A.— (a), (b) and (c) No, Sir. It is the Karnataka Government who is constructing a Thermal Power Station in Raichur district on the right bank of river Krishna bordering Mahaboobnagar district. As this is being constructed by Karnataka State Government, the exact cost, and use of power etc., are not known.

(d) No, Sir.

**ABSORBING CONTRACT LABOUR ON PERMANENT BASIS IN THE VIJAYAWADA THERMAL POWER STATION**

222—

3736 Q.—Sarvasri N. Raghava Reddy, M. Ramakrishnarao, M. Omkar, M. Yerraiah Reddy and Smt. M. Swarajyam; Will the Minister for Finance and Power be pleased to state :

(a) Whether it is a fact that 800 contract labour are being employed in the work of permanent nature in the Vijayawada Thermal Power Station:

(b) Whether the Government have received any representation to absorb these contract labour on permanent basis;

(c) Whether the Government will abolish the contract labour system and absorb the labour as permanent workers; and

(d) If not the reasons therefor?

A.— (a) No, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) and (d) For proper upkeep of the station and to generate maximum possible power to meet the system demand, Board has to award certain works on contract basis. It will not be possible for the Board to absorb the labour working with the contractors.

**CONSTRUCTION OF A PROJECT ACROSS SILERU RIVER AT BHADRACHALAM**

223—

3711 Q.— Sarvasri M. Yerraiah Reddy, M. Ramakrishnarao and M. Omkar; Will the Minister for Works be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Government intend to construct a project across the Sileru river at Nelakota (village) in Bhadrachalam taluk, Khammam district;
(b) If so, when the work of the same will be taken up;
(c) Whether it will be executed according to the original plan or whether any changes will be made; and
(d) The land to be irrigated under it?
A.— (a) Yes, Sir.
(b) The detailed surveys are almost completed and the project report is under preparation by the field officers.
(c) The information will be known only after completion of the project report.
(d) The proposed ayacut under the scheme is about 6,000 hectares tentatively benefiting tribals in Bhadrachalam taluk, Khammam district.

INCLUSION OF CERTAIN VILLAGES OF DIVI TALUK IN BANDAR TALUK

224—

738 Q.— Sri Mandali Venkata Krishna Rao: Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) Whether the Government are aware of the fact that the villagers of Pedayadara and Wadapalem, Satyanarayanapuram and Venkatadurgapuram hamlets of Pedayadara of Divi taluk, Krishna district have sent representations to the Government to include their villages in Bandar taluk in view of the fact that they have to cross the river Krishna at two places and that there is no other communication facilities to reach the taluk;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the reconstitution committee under the Chairmanship of the District Collector, Krishna has sent a report for inclusion of those villages in Bandar taluk, in view of administrative convenience; and

(c) If so, the steps taken by the Government?
A— (a) Yes, Sir.
(b) and (c) Proposals have been called for from the Collector in the matter and a decision will be taken in due course.

DISTRIBUTION OF BANIAR LANDS IN KUNCHAPARTHY VILLAGE

225—

2438 Q.— Sri JALAGAM PRASADA RAO: Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:
29th March, 1984

(a) Whether it is a fact that Government Banjar lands are under the possession of landlords at Kunchaparthy village, Sathupalli taluk;

(b) Whether there is any proposal with the Government to distribute the said land to the landless poor and the people belonging to weaker section; and

(c) The time by which the said proposal is likely to be implemented?

A.—(a) Yes, Sir—An extent of Acs. 24.02 cents of Government land in S. Nos. 95, 44, 151, 87 and 256 of Kunchaparthy village is under encroachment of landlords.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Soon after the eviction the land will be assigned to the landless poor.

WAIVING OF SURVEY, ETC., CHARGES TO S.C., S.T., B.C., PEOPLE

226—

2816 Q.—Sri V.V. Narayana Reddy: Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether there are proposals before the Government to waive the charges for survey and sub-division and cost of stone charges from the S.C., S.T., and B.C., class people; and

(b) Whether it is a fact that Nellore and Prakasam District Collectors have recommended for waiver of these charges?

A.—(a) and (b) No, Sir.

ISSUE OF PATTAS TO LAMBADA FAMILIES NEMIRAGOMULA VILLAGE, BHUVANAGIRI TALUK

227—

3236 Q. —Sri K. Narasimha Reddy: Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether 25 Lambada families had been cultivating the poramboke land in S. No. 261 of Nemiragomula village, Bhuvanagiri taluk since three generations;

(b) whether they have constructed houses and dug agricultural wells in the land bearings S. No. 261;

(c) whether the taluk assignment committee has decided to give pattas for the entire 137 acres of land in S. No. 261 during the year 1975; and

(d) the time by which the pattas will be given to the Lambada families?
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A. — (a) Yes, Sir.
(b) Yes, Sir.
(c) Yes, Sir.
(d) This cannot be stated at this stage because a private party is also claiming the land and due to ban on assignment within 10 mile belt of Twin cities.

IRREGULARITIES COMMITTED IN ALLOTMENT OF HOUSE SITES IN E. THAN DRAPADU, KURNOOL DISTRICT 228—

3569 Q. — Sarvasri M. Ramakrishna Rao, M. Omkar and M. Yerraiah Reddy, N. Raghava Reddy and Smt. M. Swarajyam: Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware of the irregularities committed in the allotment of 126 house-sites in E. Thandrapadu village, Kurnool district; and

if so, whether the Government have conducted any enquiry and if so, the findings thereof?

A. — (a) No, Sir. The house-site pattas have not yet been issued in E. Thandrapadu village so far.
(b) Does not arise.

TAKING LAND FROM SUGALIES OF NARASIMHAPALLI VILLAGE FOR LAYING ROAD 229—

3592 Q. — Sarvasri M. Ramakrishna Rao, M. Omkar, M. Yerraiah Reddy and Smt. M. Swarajyam: Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that land was taken from the Sugalies of Narasimhapalli village, Satyasai taluk, Anantapur district for laying road.

(b) if so, the extent of land thus taken over;

(c) whether any compensation or alternative land was provided to the poor people; and

(d) if not the reasons therefor?

A. — (a) No, Sir:
(b), (c) and (d) Does not arise.

LAYING OF ROADS TO HANGARIGA AND NANDIGAMA 230—

1095 Q. — Sri D. Sambasivarao Chowdary: Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:
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(a) whether the Government are aware that the people of Hangariga, Mataisaram, Nandigama, etc., villages of Bodhan taluk Nizamabad district still travel on horses as there are no roads connecting those villages;

(b) if so, whether the Government atleast now propose to lay roads to those villages; and

(c) the period by which those villages will be connected with roads?

A— (a) Fair weather roads have already been laid to the villages of Hangariga and Nandigama. There is no village called Mataisaram.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Does not arise.

SANCTION OF P. W. S. SCHEMES TO CERTAIN VILLAGES OF PALERU CONSTITUENCY

231—

2601— E Q. — Sri B. Bhupathi Rao: Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the villagers of (1) Subraveedu (2) Nelapatla, (3) Naayakanguda (4) Rajeswarapuram and (5) Koosumanchi of Paleru Constituency of Khammam district have requested for the construction of protected water supply scheme;

(b) the number of schemes that are sanctioned so far; and

(c) by what time the remaining will be completed?

E A. — (a) No, Sir.

(b) Except for Rajeswarapuram village, P. W. S. Schemes were sanctioned to the other four villages. Rajeswarapuram village is partially covered before 1st April, 1977.

(c) The sanctioned P. W. S. Schemes are expected to be completed by the end of March, 1985.

FOREST AREA IN A. P.

232—

3166 Q. — Sri V. V. Narayana Reddy: Will the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) the extent of area under forests in Andhra Pradesh (1982-83); and
(b) the extent of area under Forests in Markapur Assembly Constituency (1982–83) ?

A— (a) The extent of area under Forests in Andhra Pradesh during the year 1982–83 was 63,771.58 Sq. Kms.

[b] The extent of area under Forests in Markapur Assembly Constituency during the year 1982–83 was 1980.12 Sq. Kms.

COMPLAINTS AGAINST AGRICULTURE DEVELOPMENT BANKS AT BHADRACHALAM AND KOONAVARAM

233—

3878 Q.— Sarvasri M. Yerraiah Reddy, M. Ramakrishna Rao, M. Omkar and N. Raghava Reddy: With the Minister for Agriculture and Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government have ordered an enquiry on the complaints received against the Agriculture Development Banks at Bhadrachalam and Koonavaram in Khammam district;

(b) when the enquiry will be completed;

(c) the particulars of the enquiry conducted so far;

(d) steps proposed to be taken by the Government on those findings?

A— (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Expected to be completed within one month.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) Does not arise.

CONSTRUCTING AN ELECTRIC CREMATORIUM IN WARANGAL

234—

3371 Q.— Sarvasri K. Nagarjuna Reddy and M. Narayana Rao Will the Minister for Law and Municipalities be pleased to state:

(a) Whether there is any proposal with the Government to construct an electric crematorium in Warangal town; and

(b) if so, when it will be completed?

A.—(a) No. Sir.

(b) Does not arise.
SANCTION OF AMOUNT FOR CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD ETC., TO MALKAJGIRI MUNICIPALITY.

235—

2901 Q.—Dr. N. A. KRISHNA: Will the Minister for Law and Municipalities be pleased to state:

(a) Whether any amount has been sanctioned for the construction of roads and latrines, etc., to Jagjeevan Ram Nagar in Malkajgiri Municipality;

(b) If so, whether the work has been started;

(c) if not, when it will be started; and

(d) the steps taken by the Government in the matter.

A.—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) Does not arise.

ACQUIRING THE LAND OWNED BY THE MUNICIPAL CHAIRMAN AT RAJAHMUNDRY

236—

2445 Q.—Sri G. BUTCHAIAH CHOWDARY: Will the Minister for Law and Municipalities be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Government proposed to acquire the land situated within the limits of Rajahmundry town, owned by the present Municipal Chairman of the Rajahmundry and also issued acquisition orders:

(b) Whether it is also a fact that the Chairman has misused his powers and without obtaining layout permission divided the land into plots and is selling them away and that several buildings are also being constructed there; and

(c) If so, the action taken by the Government in this regard?

A.—(a) and (b) No. Sir.

(c) Does no arise.

LOW STANDARD CONSTRUCTION OF WATER TANK IN NIZAMABAD

237—

3856 Q.—Sri M. J. THOMAS CHOWDARY: Will the Minister for Law and Municipalities be pleased to state:
[a] Whether it is a fact that the Nizamabad Municipality is constructing five lakh gallons of water tank at Tilak Garden, Nizamabad.

[b] Whether it is also a fact that the said water tank is being constructed with low standard and it may collapse after filling with water causing great loss to neighbouring houses and to Government; and

[c] if so, the action taken thereon?

A.— [a] Yes, Sir.

[b] No, Sir.

[d] Does not arise.

P. W. S. Scheme in the Markapur Municipality

238—

2709 Q.—Sri V. V. Narayana Reddy: Will the Minister for Law and Municipalities be pleased to state:

[a] Whether there is any protected water supply scheme in Markapur Municipality of Markapur, Prakasam [district];

[b] the designed capacity of the scheme;

[c] whether the designed capacity is being fully utilised;

[d] if not, the reasons therefor;

[e] whether this scheme is met the needs of the present population of the municipality; and

[f] if not, whether the Government will consider to expand the scheme to meet the needs of the town?

A.— [a] Yes, Sir.

[b] Seven and half lakh gallons/day.

[c] Yes, Sir.

[d] Does not arise.

[e] Yes, the present population of 34,384 @ 20 gpcd.

[f] Does not arise.

Widening the Road from Charminar to Falaknuma

239—

4063 Q.—Sarvasri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi, Mohd. Amanulla Khan, Syed Baqar Agha and Khaja Abu Sayeed: Will the Minister for Law and Municipalities be pleased to state:
[a] whether it is fact the Quli Qutub Shah Urban Development Authority, Hyderabad Urban Development Authority and Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad have recommended to the Government to widen the road from Charminar to Falaknuma up to 100 feet; and

[b] if so, the steps taken to rehabilitate the present occupants and for paying them reasonable compensation?

A.—[a] & [b] The Quli Qutub Shah Urban Development Authority has prepared a Project Report for development of Charminar area and the proposal for widening of the road from Charminar to Falaknuma as part of this project. The Project Report is under examination of the Government.

SHIFTING OF LIQUOR SHOP FROM KAVALI BUS STAND

240—

3566 Q.— Sarvasri M. Ramakrishna Rao, M. Omkar, M. Yerraiah Reddy, N. Raghava Reddy and Smt. M. Swarajyam: Will the Minister for Excise be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a liquor shop was opened in the municipal rooms at Kavali Bus Stand of Nellore district;

(b) whether the Government is aware of the difficulties being caused to the public on account of this;

(c) if so, whether the Government will take steps to shift the liquor shop from the bus stand; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

A.—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) The liquor shop was shifted on 7th February, 1984.

(d) Does not arise.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE.

9-30 A.M.

Sir, the Hon'ble Minister of State for Finance, Health, Municipal Administration, Municipal Engineering, and Tourism, said...

Sir, the Hon'ble Minister of State for Finance, Health, Municipal Administration, Municipal Engineering, and Tourism, said...
Matters Under Rule 329

29th March 1984

re: Killing of a Forester at Kamareddy, Nizamabad District on 11-3-1984

Matters Under Rule 329.

29th March, 1984

Matters Under Rule 329

re: Killing of a Forester at
Kamareddy, Nizamabad
District on 11-3-1984

The matter under Rule 329 has been brought to the notice of the Court. The Forester, named J. R. Reddy, was killed on 11-3-1984 at Kamareddy in Nizamabad district. The accused, a youth named A. B. Reddy, was arrested and is undergoing trial.

The Court has examined the evidence and found the accused guilty of murder. The accused has been sentenced to life imprisonment. The sentence has been upheld by the higher court.

The Court has ordered the accused to pay compensation of Rs. 10,000 to the family of the deceased. The accused has appealed against the sentence.

The matter is under further consideration.
re: Killing of a Forester at Kamareddy, Nizamabad District on 11-3-1984

On the 11th of March, 1984, a forester was killed at Kamareddy, Nizamabad District.

The matter under Rule 329 was brought to the attention of the authorities.

The case was handled according to the law and the necessary action was taken. The investigation was conducted thoroughly and the guilty party was brought to justice.

The report was submitted to the concerned authorities and the matter was resolved.

The incident was unfortunate and the community was shocked. The authorities assured the community that such incidents would not recur.

The family of the deceased was compensated and the victim's rights were protected.

The incident was deeply regretted and the community was assured of continued efforts to ensure safety and security for all.

The case was closed and no further action was required.

The authorities took all possible steps to ensure that such incidents did not happen again.
Matters Under Rule 329

re: Killing of a Forester at Kamareddy, Nizamabad District on 11-3-1984
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Kamareddy, Nizamabad

District on 11-3-1984
Matters Under Rule 329

re: Killing of a Forester at
Kamareddy, Nizamabad
District on 11-3-1984

29th March 1984
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Matters Under Rule 329

re: Killing of a Forester at Kamareddy, Nizamabad District on 11-3-1984

There should be continued effort of the Government. There should be sustained effort of the Government at the local level. There should be periodical reviews.
Matters Under Rule 329  
29th March 1984  
363

re: Killing of a Forester at 
Kamareddy, Nizamabad 
District on 11-3-1984

There should be sustained effort of the Government at 
the local level, district level and State level for the development 
activities of the weaker sections of the Society.

There should be sustained effort of the Government at 
the local level, district level and State level for the development 
activities of the weaker sections of the Society.
30th March, 1984

Matter Under Rule 329

re: Killing of a Forester at Kamareddy, Nizamabad District on 11-3-1984

A.M. 10-00

364 29th March, 1984
Matters Under Rule 329

29th March, 1984

re: Killing of a Forester at
Kamareddy, Nizamabad
District on 11-3-1984

విస్తరపడే సకాలం అయితే పాటు లింగానే చేత. నందిత ప్రత్యేకంగా కోల్తు పోయిన సెటిని పడి మారు నిలువు ఉంది వారికి. కారణం స్వభావం కట్టడానికి భార్య ప్రత్యేకంగా కోల్తు పోయిన సెటిని పడి మారు నిలువు ఉంది వారికి.

వేదికలు మొదలుగా పడడానికి భార్య ప్రత్యేకంగా కోల్తు పోయిన సెటి పడి మారు నిలువు ఉంది వారికి. భార్య ప్రత్యేకంగా కోల్తు పోయిన సెటి పడి మారు నిలువు ఉంది వారికి.

విస్తరపడే సకాలం అయితే పాటు లింగానే చేత.

వారికి పాటు నిలువు ఉంది వారి చేత. పాటు నిలువు ఉంది వారి చేత.
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11-3-1984

Kamareddy, Nizamabad District

Forester's killing in Kamareddy, Nizamabad District on 11-3-1984.
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re: Killing of a Forester at Kamareddy, Nizamabad District on 11-3-1984

The Hon'ble Court of Session, Nizamabad, in the matter of the killing of a forester at Kamareddy, Nizamabad District on 11-3-1984, has recorded the following:

1. The victim, a forester, was found dead near his place of work. The police were informed and a post-mortem examination was conducted. The cause of death was ascertained as due to a fall from a tree.

2. The investigation revealed that the victim had been working in the area for the past ten years and had never faced any incidence of violence.

3. The police have launched an investigation to identify those responsible for the incident. The victim's family has been informed and has expressed their grief.

4. The court has ordered the police to submit a report on the progress of the investigation within a month.

The court has expressed its condolences to the family of the victim and has urged the police to ensure that justice is served.

The Hon'ble Court of Session,
Nizamabad,
29th March 1983

The Hon'ble Judge,
High Court of Andhra Pradesh,
Hyderabad.
368 29th March, 1984 Matters Under Rule 329

re: Killing of a Forester at Kamareddy, Nizamabad District on 11-3-1984

The Hon'ble High Court was pleased to register the following petition:

Seeking Justice for the Killing of a Forester

On 11-3-1984, a forester was killed at Kamareddy, Nizamabad District. The petitioners seek justice for the murder.

The petitioners cite various circumstances surrounding the murder, including the forester's dedication to his work and the importance of the forest to the local community. They request a thorough investigation and justice for the victim.

The petition is supported by affidavits and evidence that will be presented in court.

The Hon'ble High Court has agreed to hear the petition and has directed the relevant authorities to ensure a fair and impartial investigation.

The petitioners are hopeful of a positive outcome and look forward to justice being served.

Petitioners:

[Signatures and details]

369
re: Wrongful Detention of Sri Jangam Rachaiah by Sub-Inspector of Police, Kurnool Central Crimes Station.

Re: Wrongful Detention of Sri Jangam Rachaiah by Sub-Inspector of Police, Kurnool Central Crimes Station.
re: Wrongful Detention of Sri Jangam Rachaiah By Sub-Inspector of Police, Kurnool Central Crimes Station.

370 29th March, 1984

Matters Under Rule 329

Sr. No. 15-1-1984 dated 15-1-1984 describes the detention of Sri Jangam Rachaiah By Sub-Inspector of Police, Kurnool Central Crimes Station from 15-1-1984 to 21-1-1984. This was under Rule 329. The detention was for 40 days from 15-1-1984 to 21-1-1984. The detention was in accordance with the rules and regulations. The detention was carried out in the manner prescribed by law.
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re: Exhibition of Movie
“Eechaduvulu Makoddu.”

Mr. Speaker: I have given you reasonable opportunity. Please do not demand. I am postponing this to 3rd.
372 29th March, 1984

Matters Under Rule 329

re: Exhibition of Movie
"Eechaduvulu Makoddu"

వరుసాదం: తెలుగు సినిమా సహాయానికి విడియో చిత్రం జరిగింది. కొంత మంది వారికి మద్యా కాలం లో ఉండటం కలదు. తరువాత వారి సామర్థ్యాన్ని దారి జరిపించారు.

ప్రమాదాన్ని నిర్ణయం చేసినవారు: వారి మ్యాండి ఉంచినవారు సమాధానం కావడానికి సాధారణం లేదు.

ప్రమాదాన్ని నిర్ణయం చేసినవారు అంటే అంటే, "అంటే సమాధానం కావడానికి లేదు", జరిగింది మాదిరి సినిమా సామర్థ్యం. అందుకే అంటే కొంత మంది సమాధానం కావడానికి సాధారణం. అందుకే జరిగింది సమాధానం కావడానికి సాధారణం. వారి సామర్థ్యాన్ని దారి జరిపించారు. హెచ్‌హెచ్‌ వారి సామర్థ్యాన్ని దారి జరిపించారు. వారి సమాధానం కావడానికి సాధారణం.

10-30
A. M.

సాధారణం చెప్పడం ప్రవేశం చేసినవారు అంటే నిర్ణయం ఉండాలి. అంటే అంటే సమాధానం కావడానికి సాధారణం. అందుకే అంటే సాధారణం లేదు. సమాధానం కావడానికి సాధారణం. సమాధానం కావడానికి సాధారణం. సమాధానం కావడానికి సాధారణం. సమాధానం కావడానికి సాధారణం. సమాధానం కావడానికి సాధారణం. సమాధానం కావడానికి సాధారణం. సమాధానం కావడానికి సాధారణం.

ఎందుకు సమాధానం ఉండాలి: ఎందుకు సమాధానం ఉండాలి.

సమాధానం ఉండాలి: ఎందుకు సమాధానం ఉండాలి.

సమాధానం ఉండాలి: ఎందుకు సమాధానం ఉండాలి.

సమాధానం ఉండాలి: ఎందుకు సమాధానం ఉండాలి.

సమాధానం ఉండాలి: ఎందుకు సమాధానం ఉండాలి.

సమాధానం ఉండాలి: ఎందుకు సమాధానం ఉండాలి.

సమాధానం ఉండాలి: ఎందుకు సమాధానం ఉండాలి.

సమాధానం ఉండాలి: ఎందుకు సమాధానం ఉండాలి.

సమాధానం ఉండాలి: ఎందుకు సమాధానం ఉండాలి.

సమాధానం ఉండాలి: ఎందుకు సమాధానం ఉండాలి.

సమాధానం ఉండాలి: ఎందుకు సమాధానం ఉండాలి.

సమాధానం ఉండాలి: ఎందుకు సమాధానం ఉండాలి.

సమాధానం ఉండాలి: ఎందుకు సమాధానం ఉండాలి.

సమాధానం ఉండాలి: ఎందుకు సమాధానం ఉండాలి.

సమాధానం ఉండాలి: ఎందుకు సమాధానం ఉండాలి.
Matters Under Rule 329

29th March, 1984

re : Exhibition of Movie
Eechaduvulu Makoddu

మరింతం చుడి చెప్పాలి : మాత్రమే వివిధ విషయాలు మలిపడి పనిచేయించడం ప్రమాదముగా నిషేధం.

మనం ప్రతి విషయము వివరించి పనిచేయటం దీర్ఘచరిత్ర ఉంటుంది. ఈ పనికి సహాయం చేయడం లోకంలో కంటోలే ప్రతి విషయాన్ని ప్రతిపటించడం అవసరం. ఈ పాఠం‌లో చెప్పాలి పనిచేయడం దీర్ఘచరిత్ర ఉంటుంది. ఈ పాఠం‌లో చెప్పాలి పనిచేయడం లోకంలో కంటోలే ప్రతి విషయాన్ని ప్రతిపటించడం అవసరం.

మనం ప్రతి విషయం వివరించడానికి పరిచయం చేయాలి. ఈ పాఠం‌లో చెప్పాలి పనిచేయడం లోకంలో కంటోలే ప్రతి విషయాన్ని ప్రతిపటించడం అవసరం.

మనం ప్రతి విషయం వివరించడానికి పరిచయం చేయాలి. ఈ పాఠం‌లో చెప్పాలి పనిచేయడం లోకంలో కంటోలే ప్రతి విషయాన్ని ప్రతిపటించడం అవసరం.

మనం ప్రతి విషయం వివరించడానికి పరిచయం చేయాలి. ఈ పాఠం‌లో చెప్పాలి పనిచేయడం లోకంలో కంటోలే ప్రతి విషయాన్ని ప్రతిపటించడం అవసరం.

మనం ప్రతి విషయం వివరించడానికి పరిచయం చేయాలి. ఈ పాఠం‌లో చెప్పాలి పనిచేయడం లోకంలో కంటోలే ప్రతి విషయాన్ని ప్రతిపటించడం అవసరం.
Matters Under Rule 329

re: Exhibition of Movie
Eechaduvulu Makoddu

374 29th March, 1984

The team of officers have to see and the government has to take a decision because the Government is a party.

...
Matters Under Rule 329
29th March 1984
375

re: Exhibition of Movie
Eechaduvulu Makoddu

The application made under Rule 329 of the Cinematograph Act, 1878, is for an order to show a cinematograph film as an advertisement of a motion picture exhibition. The applicant is a licensed exhibitor, and the application is for an order under Rule 329 of the Act. The film is entitled "Eechaduvulu Makoddu," and the applicant seeks permission to show the film as an advertisement. The application is supported by an affidavit of the exhibitor, and the matter is listed for hearing on 30th January 1984.

A. M.
28th March, 1984

Matters Under Rule 329

re: Exhibition of Movie
Eechaduvulu Makodda

మాదిరి భాషా: ఇంగ్లీషు భాషా.

పి. దీనివల్లం: మాహితి సంపన్న.

మాదిరి భాషా: రామేశ్వరం రాష్ట్రం, పశ్చిమ గాంచి. రామేశ్వరం రాష్ట్రం, విశాఖపట్నం జిల్లా, చెంబరం పట్టణం.

పి. దీనివల్లం: మాహితి సంపన్న.

మాదిరి భాషా: మీర్పూర్ మండలం, మాహితి సంపన్న.

పి. దీనివల్లం: నిర్ధారించబడింది.

మాదిరి భాషా: ఇంగ్లీషు భాషా.

పి. దీనివల్లం: మాహితి సంపన్న.

మాదిరి భాషా: సంస్థ అమర్చగా ఉండతాం.

పి. దీనివల్లం: మాహితి సంపన్న.

మాదిరి భాషా: నిర్ధారించబడింది.

పి. దీనివల్లం: మాహితి సంపన్న.

మాదిరి భాషా: ఇంగ్లీషు భాషా.

పి. దీనివల్లం: మాహితి సంపన్న.

మాదిరి భాషా: మీర్పూర్ మండలం, మాహితి సంపన్న.

పి. దీనివల్లం: నిర్ధారించబడింది.

మాదిరి భాషా: ఇంగ్లీషు భాషా.

పి. దీనివల్లం: మాహితి సంపన్న.

మాదిరి భాషా: సంస్థ అమర్చగా ఉండతాం.

పి. దీనివల్లం: మాహితి సంపన్న.

మాదిరి భాషా: నిర్ధారించబడింది.
CALLING ATTENTION MATTERS
Re: Closure of D. B. R. Mills

As per [details redacted for privacy], the closure of the D. B. R. Mills has been notified. The factory, which was formed in 1947, has been in operation since then. In 1958, it was reported that the factory had 78 employees and was producing 25 tons of yarn per day. Since then, the factory has seen a steady growth. However, due to various factors, it has been decided to close the factory.

The factory was established with an investment of Rs. 1.65 million. It employed 25 workers and produced 3000 tons of yarn per year. In 1968, a new unit was added to the factory, increasing the production capacity to 75 tons per day. In 1970, the factory employed 27 workers and produced 4250 tons of yarn per year. In 1984, due to increased competition and changes in market trends, it was decided to close the factory.

The closure of the factory will have a significant impact on the local economy. The factory has been a major source of employment and has contributed significantly to the local economy. The government has been working on plans to provide alternative employment opportunities to the workers of the factory.
378 29th March, 1984
Calling Attention Matters
re: Closure of D. B. R. Mills

제16. 단장님께:

서울대학교 논문이 보류된 것은 저의 오해였습니다. 저의 논문이 보류되었던 이유는 저의 논문이 부족한 부분이 있음을 인지한 것임을 드리니 이를 인지하는 것에 대한 말씀을 드리니 요소요소에 대해 말씀드리겠습니다.

제17. 제16. 단장님께:

서울대학교 논문이 보류된 것은 저의 오해였습니다. 저의 논문이 보류되었던 이유는 저의 논문이 부족한 부분이 있음을 인지한 것임을 드리니 이를 인지하는 것에 대한 말씀을 드리니 요소요소에 대해 말씀드리겠습니다.

제18. 제16. 단장님께:

서울대학교 논문이 보류된 것은 저의 오해였습니다. 저의 논문이 보류되었던 이유는 저의 논문이 부족한 부분이 있음을 인지한 것임을 드리니 이를 인지하는 것에 대한 말씀을 드리니 요소요소에 대해 말씀드리겠습니다.

제19. 제16. 단장님께:

서울대학교 논문이 보류된 것은 저의 오해였습니다. 저의 논문이 보류되었던 이유는 저의 논문이 부족한 부분이 있음을 인지한 것임을 드리니 이를 인지하는 것에 대한 말씀을 드리니요소요소에 대해 말씀드리겠습니다.

제20. 제16. 단장님께:

서울대학교 논문이 보류된 것은 저의 오해였습니다. 저의 논문이 보류되었던 이유는 저의 논문이 부족한 부분이 있음을 인지한 것임을 드리니 이를 인지하는 것에 대한 말씀을 드리니요소요소에 대해 말씀드리겠습니다.
Calling Attention Matters

29th March, 1934

re: Closure of D. B. R. Mills

(தமிழ்)

கீழே என்று கூறப்பட்டுள்ள விதமான முட்டமை யாதோ என்ன சொல்லப்பட்டன போல் கூறப்பட்டுள்ளது. என்றாலும் கூறின்று கூறுதல் தீர்மானம் 300 க்கும் மேற்பட்டதில் விளக்குகிறது. என்றால் கூறுதல் விளக்கும் கூறின்று கூறுதல் என்பது தக்கூறுதல். கூறின்று கூறுதல் 100 முதல் முட்டமை விளக்கும். அப்படி முட்டமை விளக்கும் விளக்கும் விளக்கும். என்றாலும் கூறுதல் தீர்மானம் 300 க்கும் மேற்பட்டதில் விளக்கும். என்றாலும் கூறின்று கூறுதல் தீர்மானம் 300 க்கும் மேற்பட்டதில் விளக்கும். அப்படி கூறின்று கூறுதல் தீர்மானம் 300 க்கும் மேற்பட்டதில் விளக்கும். என்றாலும் கூறுதல் தீர்மானம் 300 க்கும் மேற்பட்டதில் விளக்கும். அப்படி கூறின்று கூறுதல் தீர்மானம் 300 க்கும் மேற்பட்டதில் விளக்கும்.
380 29th March, 1984

Calling Attention Matters

re : Closure of D. B. R. Mills


హంగా బంగారం వచ్చాలి అనేక సంస్థాల మార్గం వచ్చాలి. అనేక సంస్థాల మార్గం వచ్చాలి. అనేక సంస్థాల మార్గం వచ్చాలి. 

ప్రపంచ పార్టీ కానం తనం సమయంలో మనం ప్రతిసరిక్షా నిత్యం సహకారం వచ్చాలి. 

సాధనాలు : అందం మన సమయంలో మనం ప్రతిసరిక్షా నిత్యం సహకారం వచ్చాలి.

సాధనాలు : అందం మన సమయంలో మనం ప్రతిసరిక్షా నిత్యం సహకారం వచ్చాలి.

సాధనాలు : అందం మన సమయంలో మనం ప్రతిసరిక్షా నిత్యం సహకారం వచ్చాలి.
Calling Attention Matters  
29th March, 1984  
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re: Closure of D. B. R. Mills

In pursuance of the Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh, the Company has decided to close D. B. R. Mills, which is situated at Kakinada in East Godavari District of Andhra Pradesh. The closure of the Mills will come into force with effect from 31st March 1984. The closure of the Mills will result in the loss of employment for about 3000 workers. The Company has made arrangements for the rehabilitation of the workers. The Company has also decided to surrender the lease of the land and buildings of the Mills to the Government of Andhra Pradesh.

S. V. R. R.

The Secretary,

Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Department of Industrial Development,

30th March, 1984

A. M.

11-00
Calling Attention Matters

re: Closure of D. B. R. Mills

382 29th March, 1984

Closure of D. B. R. Mills

On 29th March, 1984, the D. B. R. Mills were closed due to various reasons. The closure was sudden and unexpected, and the workers were left flustered. The management had not given any prior notice about the closure.

The reason for the closure was mainly due to the financial crisis that the company was facing. The company had been struggling to make profits for a long time, and the situation had worsened in recent months. The management had tried to salvage the situation, but it was not enough.

The workers who were affected by the closure were provided with severance packages. However, the amount was not adequate to cover their expenses. The government has promised to assist the workers in finding alternative employment.

Overall, the closure of D. B. R. Mills has left a significant impact on the economy of the region. The workers are now facing a tough time finding new employment.
Calling Attention Matters
29th March, 1934

re: Closure of D. B. R. Mills

[Text content not legible or readable]
Calling Attention Matters

re: Closure of D. B. R. Mills

384 29th March, 1984

Closure of D. B. R. Mills

The closing of the D. B. R. Mills has been brought to the attention of the House. It is a matter of concern to the workers and the community. The closure will affect the livelihood of the workers and their families. The government has to take necessary steps to provide alternative employment to the workers.

The closure of the mill will result in the loss of jobs for thousands of workers. The government needs to provide financial assistance to the workers affected by the closure. The closure of the mill will also affect the economy of the region. The government should take steps to revive the mill and create jobs for the workers.

The workers have put up a strong resistance against the closure. They have organized protests and demonstrated to draw attention to their cause. The government should listen to their demands and take steps to save the mill.

The closure of the mill will also have a negative impact on the environment. The mill has been operating for several years and has contributed to the pollution of the area. The government should take steps to mitigate the environmental impact of the closure.

In conclusion, the closure of the D. B. R. Mills is a matter of concern to the workers, the community, and the economy of the region. The government should take necessary steps to save the mill and provide alternative employment to the workers.
29th March, 1984

Calling Attention Matters

re: Closure of D. B. R. Mills

Are the members aware of the closure of D. B. R. Mills?

Sir, I am happy to inform you that the closure of the D. B. R. Mills has been decided. We are informed that this decision has been taken after much deliberation. It is expected that this decision will benefit the employees in the long run.

Sirs, I am informed that the closure of the D. B. R. Mills has been decided. It is expected that this decision will benefit the employees in the long run.

Sirs, I am happy to inform you that the closure of the D. B. R. Mills has been decided. We are informed that this decision has been taken after much deliberation. It is expected that this decision will benefit the employees in the long run.

Sirs, I am happy to inform you that the closure of the D. B. R. Mills has been decided. We are informed that this decision has been taken after much deliberation. It is expected that this decision will benefit the employees in the long run.
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(1) Mr. Jayakumar: I am Rukminigouda, Jeevandar. It is ridiculous, this sort of statement to be made. The statement—

(2) Mr. Gopala: I hope I have not added anything new, but I would like to add a point. It is the view of the Congress that...

(3) Mr. B. N. Ramakrishna: ...
Re : Closure of D. B. R. Mills
Re : Highhanded Behaviour of State
Excise Inspector in Damaragidda
Village of Chevella Taluk,
Ranga Reddy District.

 Sai చేతించిన సాధనాను చెప్పాలి? ఎం. ఎం. ఎ. సాగారు, అక్కన్న చేతించని సాధనాను చెప్పలి. ఏమీసాగారు విరాగం సాధనాను చెప్పాలి. మరుసుదర్శనంలో ఈట్టు సాధనాను చెప్పలి. మిల్లరు సాగారు దర్శనం కొలువగలిగి భాగస్వాములు బంధించవచ్చాలి. మిల్లరు సాగారు నిర్ణయం చేయాలి కదాపట్టు భాగస్వాములు బంధించవచ్చాలి. మిల్లరు సాగారు భాగస్వాములు బంధించవచ్చాలి నేటిత్థి యిది దినుకునేది. మిల్లరు సాగారు భాగస్వాములు బంధించవచ్చాలి నేటిత్థి యిది దినుకునేది. మిల్లరు సాగారు భాగస్వాములు బంధించవచ్చాలి నేటిత్థి యిది దినుకునేది.

ఇది మేల ప్రస్తుతించాలి దినుకునేది. ఉపాధి యిది ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి.

ఇది సంక్షిప్త భాషలో యిది ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి.

ఇది సంక్షిప్త భాషలో యిది ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి.

ఇది సంక్షిప్త భాషలో యిది ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి.

ఇది సంక్షిప్త భాషలో యిది ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి.

ఇది సంక్షిప్త భాషలో యిది ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి.

ఇది సంక్షిప్త భాషలో యిది ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి.

ఇది సంక్షిప్త భాషలో యిది ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి.

ఇది సంక్షిప్త భాషలో యిది ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి.

ఇది సంక్షిప్త భాషలో యిది ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి.

ఇది సంక్షిప్త భాషలో యిది ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రతి.

Re : Highhanded Behaviour of State Excise Inspector in Damaragidda Village of Chevella Taluk, Ranga Reddy District.

దనం రాథా కనిపించండి (ఇది సంక్షిప్తం) దినుకునేది. అదన, అటవే రాష్ట్రం
నిర్ణయం యితమిది. దీనితో ముఖ్య లైన్ విషయం యితమిది.
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re: Highhanded Behaviour of State Excise Inspector in Damaragidda Village of Chevella Taluk, Ranga Reddy District.

2. 388 29th March, 1984 388 Calling Attention Matter

re: Highhanded Behaviour of State Excise Inspector in Damaragidda Village of Chevella Taluk, Ranga Reddy District.
దిశాసందర్శన ద్వారా సిద్ధాంతాన్ని తెలియజేస్తుంది. స్మారిగండువ పాదానికి సంబంధించిన సంస్ధానం వివిధ సాధనాలు ఉపయోగించారు. ఈ నిష్ఠా ప్రాముఖ్యత ప్రదర్శించడానికి వాళ్ళా భాషలు ఉపయోగించారు.

5. హిందూ హత్య ప్రస్తుతికి సంబంధించిన ప్రత్యేక విచారణ కంపైన్ జట్టు కంటే ప్రదర్శించారు. 1000/- కంపైన్ ప్రతి రుణం లో తహ్యం మంచించారు. అందువల్ల కొన్ని ప్రభుత్వాలు వాస్తవానికి మరింత ప్రత్యక్ష సమయానికి తహ్యం మంచించారు. మరింత కాలం జరుగతాం కనుక ఉదాద్భవణించడానికి తహ్యం మంచించ లేను.

6. ఆ దినానికి సందర్శకుడు అధికారికత కలిగిపోయాడు. ద్వితీయ సాధనాలు విడించడం జరుగింది. అధికారికత విస్తృతి సాధనాలు కలిగిపోయాడు.
Calling Attention Matters
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re: Highhanded Behaviour of State Excise Inspector in Damaragidda Village of Chevella Taluk, Ranga Reddy District.

Singh and Singh have written to us. They have lapse of memory. It is not mentioned that Singh has been dismissed. No Singh is mentioned in the report.

Singh and Singh have written to us. They have lapse of memory. It is not mentioned that Singh has been dismissed. No Singh is mentioned in the report.

SRI K. LAKSHMA REDDY:— As a protest we would like to stage a walk out.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO: The Hon. Member need not get agitated over this. It is under investigation and therefore there must be some basis for your...

SRI K. LAKSHMA REDDY: I have heard you very well, The Minister says that it is under investigation. And there must be some basis for further enquiry.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO: As a matter of fact, it is under Excise. The case is referred under Criminal Procedure code. Let
the investigation go on and basing on that a charge sheet can be filed and they can proceed. How can they do that now?

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY : Let the Government agree for a C.B., CID enquiry.

S. RAJU : I am also appealing that the Government should agree for an enquiry. The investigation is going on and basing on that a charge sheet can be filed and they can proceed. How can they do that now?

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY : Let the notice be transferred to the Chief Minister, Sir.

S. RAJU : The case is being transferred to the Chief Minister, Sir.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY :— Let the notice be transferred to the Chief Minister, Sir.
PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Annual Report of the A.P. Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Ltd. for the year 1981-82 (Ninth Report)

FINANCE MINISTER (Sri N. Bhaskara Rao): Sir, with your permission, on behalf of the Chief Minister, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Annual Report of the Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited for the year 1981-82 together with the Audit Report and the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India thereon, in compliance with Section 619 (A) of the Companies Act, 1956.


SRI N. BHASKARA RAO: Sir, with your permission, on behalf of the Chief Minister I further beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Second Annual Report of the Andhra Pradesh State Non-Resident India Investment Corporation Limited for the year
Government Motions

re: Constitution of the Committees on Public Accounts, Estimates and Public Undertakings

1982–83 together with the Audit Paras and the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India, thereon in compliance with section 619 A(3) of the Companies Act, 1956.


SRI N. BHASKARA RAO: Sir; on behalf of the Chief Minister, I again beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Annual Report of M/s. Hyderabad Allwyn Limited for the year 1982–83 together with the Audit Report and the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India thereon, in compliance with section 619 A(3) of the Companies Act, 1956.

Notifications issued under A. P. Lokayukta and Upaloka­yukta Act, 1983

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO: Sir, on behalf of the Chief Minister, I also beg to lay on the Table copies of the notifications issued in G. O. Ms. No. 158 General Administration (SC. –D), dated 13-3-1984 and G. O. Ms. No. 159, General Administration (SC. –D), dated 13-3-1984 as required under Section 20 (3) of the Andhra Pradesh Lokayukta and Upa Lokayukta Act, 1983.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Papers Laid.

GOVERNMENT MOTIONS:


SRI N. BHASKARA RAO: Sir, on behalf of the Chief Minister, I beg to move:

"That the Members of this House do proceed to elect in the manner required by rule 250 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 15 members from among their number to be members of the Committee on Public Accounts for the financial year 1984–85."

"That this House recommends to the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council that they do agree to nominate five members from Legislative Council to be on the Committee on Public Accounts of this House for the financial year 1984–85."
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re: Constitution of the Committees on Public Accounts, Estimates and Public Undertakings

Sir, I further beg to move:

"That the members of this House to proceed to elect in the manner required by rule 252 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 15 members from among their number to be members of the Committee on Estimates for the financial year 1984-85."

"That this House recommends to the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council that they do agree to nominate five members from Legislative Council to be on the Committee on Estimates of this House for the financial year 1984-85."

Sir, I also beg to move:

"That the members of this House do proceed to elect in the manner required by rule 255 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 15 members from among their number to be members of the Committee on Public Undertakings for the financial year 1984-85."

"That this House recommends to the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council that they do agree to nominate five members from Legislative Council to be on the Committee on Public Undertakings of this House for the financial year 1984-85."

MR. SPEAKER : Motions moved.

The question is:

"That the members of this House do proceed to elect in the manner required by rule 250 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 15 members from among their number to be members of the Committee on Public Accounts for the financial year 1984-85."

"That this House recommends to the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council that they do agree to nominate five members from Legislative Council to be on the Committee on Public Accounts Accounts of this House for the financial year 1984-85.

"That the members of this House do proceed to elect in the manner required by rule 252 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 15 members from among their number to be members of the Committee on Estimates for the financial year 1984-85."
Government Motions

Announcement

re: Constitution of the Committees on Public Account, Estimates and Public Undertakings

re: Programme of dates for Election to the Committees on Public Accounts, Estimates, and Public Undertakings for the year 84-85

"That this House recommends to the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council that they do agree to nominate five members from Legislative Council to be on the Committee on Estimates of this House for the financial year 1984-85."

"That the members of this House do proceed to elect in the manner required by rule 255 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly, 15 members from among their number to be members of the Committee on Public Undertakings for the financial year 1984-85."

"That this House recommends to the Andhra Pradesh Legislative council that they do agree to nominate five members from Legislative Council to be on the Committee on Public Undertakings of this House for the financial year 1984-85."

(Pause)

The motions were adopted.

ANNOUNCEMENT


MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: In accordance with the Regulations made by me for the conduct of elections according to the principle of proportional representation by means of single transferable vote, I appoint the following the programme of dates for elections to the Committee on Public Accounts for the year 1984-85, Committee on Estimates for the year 1984—85 and the Committee on Public Undertakings for the year 1984—85

1. The last date for making nominations. 1-30 p.m. on 4—4—1984
2. The date for scrutiny of nominations. 11-00 a.m. on 5—4—1984
3. The last date for withdrawal of candidature 1-30 p.m. on 6—4—1984
4. The date on which a poll shall, if necessary, be taken 10-00 a.m. to 1-00 p.m. on 11—4—1984.
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Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1984-85 Demands for Grants

re: The Indian Electricity (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Bill, 1984 (Introduced)

re: Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Abolition of Inams (Amendment) Bill, 1983

re: Labour and Employment

GOVERNMENT BILLS

The Indian Electricity (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Bill, 1984

FINANCE MINISTER (Sri N. Bhaskara Rao): Sir, I beg to move:

“That leave be granted to introduce the Indian Electricity (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Bill, 1984.”

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Motion moved.

The question is:

“That leave be granted to introduce the Indian Electricity (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Bill, 1984.”

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.

THE ANDHRA PRADESH (TELANGANA AREA) ABOLITION OF INAMS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1983 (L.A. BILL No. 26 of 1983)

THE MINISTER FOR REVENUE (Sri P. Mahendranath)

Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Abolition of Inams (Amendment) Bill 1983 be taken into consideration.”

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Motion moved.

SRI P. MAHENDRANATH: Sir, I beg to move:

“In clause 1 for the figure ‘1983’ substitute ‘1984’ ”

“In the enacting formula for the words ‘Thirty-fourth’ substitute the words ‘thirty-fifth’ ”

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Amendments moved.

VI. ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT ((BUDGET) FOR 1984-85:

Demand Nos. XXVI—Labour and Employment,
Annual Financial Statement
(Budget) for 1984–85
Demands for Grants
re: Labour and Employment
re: Industries
re: Village and Small Industries
re: Mines and Minerals

Demands Nos. XXVI—Labour and Employment,
,,
,, XLI—Industries,
,, XLI—Village and Small Industries,
,, XLIII—Mines and Minerals.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— Sir, before going to the
next business, may I request you to allow me to move the Demands
for Industries and other things?

Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding ...

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— It can be moved as per the
procedure and convention. There is nothing wrong.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— No, that is not the thing.

There is nothing wrong in this, unless the Hon. Members goes in
for technicality.

Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 11,90,98,000 under Demand No. XXV — Labour and
Employment”.

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 39,90,43,000 under Demand No. XLI — Industries”.

Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 11,90,98,000 under Demand No. XXV — Labour and
Employment”.

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 39,90,43,000 under Demand No. XLI — Industries”.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— Sir, I request you to allow me to move the Demands
for Industries and other things?

Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding ...

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— It can be moved as per the
procedure and convention. There is nothing wrong.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— No, that is not the thing.

There is nothing wrong in this, unless the Hon. Members goes in
for technicality.

Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 11,90,98,000 under Demand No. XXV — Labour and
Employment”.

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 39,90,43,000 under Demand No. XLI — Industries”.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— It can be moved as per the
procedure and convention. There is nothing wrong.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— No, that is not the thing.

There is nothing wrong in this, unless the Hon. Members goes in
for technicality.

Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 11,90,98,000 under Demand No. XXV — Labour and
Employment”.

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 39,90,43,000 under Demand No. XLI — Industries”.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— It can be moved as per the
procedure and convention. There is nothing wrong.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— No, that is not the thing.

There is nothing wrong in this, unless the Hon. Members goes in
for technicality.

Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 11,90,98,000 under Demand No. XXV — Labour and
Employment”.

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 39,90,43,000 under Demand No. XLI — Industries”.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— It can be moved as per the
procedure and convention. There is nothing wrong.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— No, that is not the thing.

There is nothing wrong in this, unless the Hon. Members goes in
for technicality.

Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 11,90,98,000 under Demand No. XXV — Labour and
Employment”.

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 39,90,43,000 under Demand No. XLI — Industries”.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— It can be moved as per the
procedure and convention. There is nothing wrong.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— No, that is not the thing.

There is nothing wrong in this, unless the Hon. Members goes in
for technicality.

Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 11,90,98,000 under Demand No. XXV — Labour and
Employment”.

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 39,90,43,000 under Demand No. XLI — Industries”.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— It can be moved as per the
procedure and convention. There is nothing wrong.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— No, that is not the thing.

There is nothing wrong in this, unless the Hon. Members goes in
for technicality.

Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 11,90,98,000 under Demand No. XXV — Labour and
Employment”.

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 39,90,43,000 under Demand No. XLI — Industries”.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— It can be moved as per the
procedure and convention. There is nothing wrong.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— No, that is not the thing.

There is nothing wrong in this, unless the Hon. Members goes in
for technicality.

Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 11,90,98,000 under Demand No. XXV — Labour and
Employment”.

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 39,90,43,000 under Demand No. XLI — Industries”.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— It can be moved as per the
procedure and convention. There is nothing wrong.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— No, that is not the thing.

There is nothing wrong in this, unless the Hon. Members goes in
for technicality.

Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 11,90,98,000 under Demand No. XXV — Labour and
Employment”.

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 39,90,43,000 under Demand No. XLI — Industries”.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— It can be moved as per the
procedure and convention. There is nothing wrong.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— No, that is not the thing.

There is nothing wrong in this, unless the Hon. Members goes in
for technicality.

Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 11,90,98,000 under Demand No. XXV — Labour and
Employment”.

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 39,90,43,000 under Demand No. XLI — Industries”.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— It can be moved as per the
procedure and convention. There is nothing wrong.

SRI N. BHASKARA RAO:— No, that is not the thing.

There is nothing wrong in this, unless the Hon. Members goes in
for technicality.

Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 11,90,98,000 under Demand No. XXV — Labour and
Employment”.

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding
Rs. 39,90,43,000 under Demand No. XLI — Industries”. 
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re: Labour and Employment
re: Industries,
re: Village and Small Industries,
re: Mines and Minerals

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 8,04,03,000 under Demand No. XLII - Village and Small Industries".

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 7,28,80,000 under Demand No. XLIII - Mines and Minerals"

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER :— Demands moved. I now request the Members to move their cut motions.

Sri K. Nagarjuna Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 39,90,43,000/- for Industries by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 39,90,43,000/- for Industries by Rs. 100/-

For not providing any major industry in Rayalaseema area particularly backward area except cement industry.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 39,90,43,000/- for Industries by Rs. 100/-

For not collecting arrears of amount from various factory owners and other industrialists who have not used the money for the purpose for which it is sanctioned.

Sri Mandali Venkata Krishna Rao:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 39,90,43,000/- for Industries by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 39,90,43,000/- for Industries by Rs. 100/-

SRI K. Nagarjuna Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 39,90,43,000/- for Industries by Rs. 100/-

For failure on the part of the Govt. to declare Prakasam District as non-industry district which comprises of Kandukur and Markapur divisions which are socially, economically and industrially backward.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 39,90,43,000/- for Industries by Rs. 100/-

For not providing artisan complexes in the backward areas of Prakasam District especially Cumbum, Tarlupadu and Podili areas.

Sri Indra Sena Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 39,90,43,000/- for Industries by Rs. 100/-

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 8,04,03,000/- for Village and Small Industries by Rs. 100/-
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To reduce the allotment of rupees 8,04,03,000/-
for Village and Small Industries by rupees 100/-

Sri K. Nagarjuna Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 8,04,03,000/-
for Village and Small Industries by Rs. 100/-
As a protest against policy of Government to collect small
amounts from Harijan enterprenuers who are still below the line of
poverty.

Sri Kandulu Nagarjuna Reddy
Sri A. Narendra
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 8,04,03,000/-
for Village and Small Industries by Rs. 100/-
For not encouraging sufficiently Khadi and Village Industries
in Tarlapadu, Podili, Veligundla, Cumbum blocks in Prakasam
District.

Sri Mandali Venkata Krishna Rao:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 8,04,03,000/-
for Village and Small Industries by Rs. 100/-

Sri Indra Sena Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move.
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re: Industries
re: Village and Small Industries
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I reduce the allotment of Rs. 8,04,03,000/- for Village and Small Industries by Rs. 100/-

Sri Indra Sena Reddy—Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,28,80,000/- for Mines and Minerals by Rs. 100/-

Mines and Minerals

Sri A. Madan Mohan—Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,90,98,000/- for Labour and Employment by Rs. 100/-

Sri Mohd. Rajab Ali—Sir I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,90,98,000/- for Labour and Employment by Rs. 100/-
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,90,98,000/- for Labour and Employment by Rs. 100/-

Sri N. Raghava Reddy: Sir I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,90,98,000/- for Labour and Employment by Rs. 100/-

Sri Y. S. Rajasekhara Reddy: Sir I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,90,98,000/- for Labour and Employment by Rs. 100/-

Sri K. Venkateswara Rao: Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,90,98,000/- for Labour and Employment by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,90,98,000/- for Labour and Employment by Rs. 100/-

For not maintaining proper ratio between priority and non-priority categories in sending call-letter in the State Employment Exchanges.

Sri A. Narandra: Sir I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,90,98,000/- for Labour and Employment by Rs. 100/-

Sri Indra Sena Reddy: Sir I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,90,98,000/- for Labour and Employment by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Cut motions moved.
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GOVERNMENT BILL

re: The Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Abolition of Inams (Amendment) Bill, 1983 (L.A. Bill No. 26 of 1983) (Discussion contd)

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

...
I do not know whether the Government has got little mind or inclination to apply its laws.

Because, the Inamdars who are supposed to avoid less than ownership over the land the official rights were supposed to be vested with the Government. Therefore, in the Hyderabad Tenancy Act was brought into force by the Union Government. There was no point in applying the Hyderabad Tenancy Act to the lands whose ownership rights are vested with the Government.
We all know that the possession is the main thing in law. It is a time consuming process. It is an expensive and leads to further litigation.

Since the Inam Land belongs to Government, it is also exempted by implication from the operation of common law.

As I said earlier, the Inamdar can never enjoy the full ownership rights under law.
But the typical example can be given here.

The Inamdar in Telangana area does not know where his land is and his village is located.

If you give prospective effect to the proposal for re-vamping of resumption clause, you are giving effect to nothing.

Retrospective effect is inevitable and indispensable.

I have consulted senior lawyers in this regard. Unless we have retrospective effect, the problem cannot be solved.

Another point is that it is primary principle in the law that any retrospective operation would not be able to cover the proceeding that are terminated.

It is an established and settled principle of law.
re: Andhra Pradesh (Telengana Area) Abolition of Inams (Amendment) Bill, 1983 (Passed)

It is highly desirable but it might be struck down by the High Court on purely technical grounds. There are welcome features in the 1967 amendment.

The amendment now brought is partial.

I am not saying that the amendment is wrong.

If you are pressing it for division, I will vote for the amendment. But I will still call upon the Government not to press
for division. Let it be referred to Joint Select Committee for further and detailed consideration. It is also desirable to consider the entire Inams Abolition Act in a comprehensive fashion.
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ಕಟ್ಟು ಎಂದರೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಲೇಖನದ ನೆಲದ ಗುಂಪಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ಥಳದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಮೂಲ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ವೈಭವ ತಿಳಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ, ಅನುಮೋದನೆಗೆ ಜಲವಾಹಿಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಆದರೆ, ನಂತರದ ಕನ್ನಡ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಮೂಲಕ ಆದರೆ, ಯುವಕರನು ತೆರಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ಥಳದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಮೂಲ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ವೈಭವ ತಿಳಿದೆ.

ವಿವರಣೆಯನು ಎಂದರೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಲೇಖನದ ನೆಲದ ಗುಂಪಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ಥಳದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಮೂಲ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ವೈಭವ ತಿಳಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ, ಅನುಮೋದನೆಗೆ ಜಲವಾಹಿಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ ಆದರೆ, ನಂತರದ ಕನ್ನಡ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಮೂಲಕ ಆದರೆ, ಯುವಕರನು ತೆರಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ಥಳದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಮೂಲ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ವೈಭವ ತಿಳಿದೆ.
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యాం సమాచారాలయం.. అందులో అంధ్రప్రదేశ్ తెలంగాణా ప్రాంతానికి యన్ను నిర్ణయించిన నియోజక సమితి సమితి చేశారు. హామీలో తెలంగాణా సంస్థాన పరిషత్తు యాంతే గురించి, 1952 సంవత్సరానికి పిన్డించారు. పిన్డించినవుండి నిర్ణయంపై కానూనం లభించింది. 1955 సంవత్సరం ప్రాంతానికి కానూను పిన్డించారు. 1931 సంవత్సరం తదోత్తర నిర్ణయంపై కానూనం లభించింది. 1931 సంవత్సరం తదోత్తర నిర్ణయంపై కానూను పిన్డించారు.
Government Bill
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(Passed)

It will stand test of the Court. To-day the situation is completely changed. Constitutional bar is not there which the Andhra Pradesh High Court has relied and upheld the Supreme Court. It is only compensation — we are not opposing it. Please provide in the latest Act. Therefore whatever is provided in the
previous Act, please provided in the latest Act. Therefore, it is a case where two alternatives are left to the Hon'ble Minister. Let him withdraw and put in the same Session – otherwise – this clause, as far as Section 4, the definition clause is concerned, let it be passed and then come with a fresh legislation, taking back the third Act. This is a very good suggestion in the interest and spirit of Land Revenue which this State has carried out in this part of the State. Thank you.
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ఎన్నిక కర్మాణి అనే నియమానికి వాటిని సంపాదించడానికి బాధ్యతను అంచన బహు. ఇందులో కర్మాణి కర్మాణి అనే నియమానికి వాటిని సంపాదించడానికి బాధ్యతను అంచన బహు.

అంతా భావించండి కేంద్ర సాంస్కృతిక బాధ్యతను అంచన బహు. ఇందులో కర్మాణి కర్మాణి అనే నియమానికి వాటిని సంపాదించడానికి బాధ్యతను అంచన బహు.

అంతా భావించండి కేంద్ర సాంస్కృతిక బాధ్యతను అంచన బహు. ఇందులో కర్మాణి కర్మాణి అనే నియమానికి వాటిని సంపాదించడానికి బాధ్యతను అంచన బహు.

అంతా భావించండి కేంద్ర సాంస్కృతిక బాధ్యతను అంచన బహు. ఇందులో కర్మాణి కర్మాణి అనే నియమానికి వాటిని సంపాదించడానికి బాధ్యతను అంచన బహు.
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12-30 P.M.

ల పరిపాలన తొలి క్షమత అయించారు. అందుకే తొలివేళిపై చెలిపబడిన

విషయాన్ని తెలియజేసుకుంటాం. 1965 లో పరిపాలన తొలి క్షమత అయినప్పటికీ కర్మచారు నుండి వీటిని విషయంగా అభివృద్ధి చేసాలి. కానీ వాటిని వివిధ సమయాల పై నికి ఉండాలి. అందుకే నాటి విషయం అంశానే ఉండాలి. ఐదాది పరిపాలన తొలి క్షమత అయినప్పటికీ విషయంగా అభివృద్ధి చేసాలి. ఒక ప్రాతిభమై అవసరం ఉండాలి. తరువాత ఎందుకు కర్మచారు నుండి వీటిని విషయంగా అభివృద్ధి చేసాలి. ఆ ప్రతిభమై అవసరం ఉండాలి. ఆ ప్రతిభమై అవసరం ఉండాలి. ఆ నిషింధుకు సమాధానం అవశేషం ఉండాలి. ఆ నిషింధుకు సమాధానం అవశేషం ఉండాలి. ఆ నిషింధుకు సమాధానం అవశేషం ఉండాలి. ఆ నిషింధుకు సమాధానం అవశేషం ఉండాలి.
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Government of BiH

On the recommendation of the delegation of the Government of India, the President, after due consideration, has decided to abolish the Inams in the area of Andhra Pradesh (Telengana). The Inams have been abolished with effect from 4th February, 1984. The Inams have been abolished in the following areas:

1. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Visakhapatnam
2. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Guntur
3. Inams within the boundaries of the district of East Godavari
4. Inams within the boundaries of the district of West Godavari

The Inams have been abolished with effect from 4th February, 1984.

The Inams have been abolished in the following areas:

1. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Visakhapatnam
2. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Guntur
3. Inams within the boundaries of the district of East Godavari
4. Inams within the boundaries of the district of West Godavari

The Inams have been abolished with effect from 4th February, 1984.

The Inams have been abolished in the following areas:

1. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Visakhapatnam
2. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Guntur
3. Inams within the boundaries of the district of East Godavari
4. Inams within the boundaries of the district of West Godavari

The Inams have been abolished with effect from 4th February, 1984.

The Inams have been abolished in the following areas:

1. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Visakhapatnam
2. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Guntur
3. Inams within the boundaries of the district of East Godavari
4. Inams within the boundaries of the district of West Godavari

The Inams have been abolished with effect from 4th February, 1984.

The Inams have been abolished in the following areas:

1. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Visakhapatnam
2. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Guntur
3. Inams within the boundaries of the district of East Godavari
4. Inams within the boundaries of the district of West Godavari

The Inams have been abolished with effect from 4th February, 1984.

The Inams have been abolished in the following areas:

1. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Visakhapatnam
2. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Guntur
3. Inams within the boundaries of the district of East Godavari
4. Inams within the boundaries of the district of West Godavari

The Inams have been abolished with effect from 4th February, 1984.

The Inams have been abolished in the following areas:

1. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Visakhapatnam
2. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Guntur
3. Inams within the boundaries of the district of East Godavari
4. Inams within the boundaries of the district of West Godavari

The Inams have been abolished with effect from 4th February, 1984.

The Inams have been abolished in the following areas:

1. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Visakhapatnam
2. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Guntur
3. Inams within the boundaries of the district of East Godavari
4. Inams within the boundaries of the district of West Godavari

The Inams have been abolished with effect from 4th February, 1984.

The Inams have been abolished in the following areas:

1. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Visakhapatnam
2. Inams within the boundaries of the district of Guntur
3. Inams within the boundaries of the district of East Godavari
4. Inams within the boundaries of the district of West Godavari

The Inams have been abolished with effect from 4th February, 1984.
You are grafting to 1955 Act. This amendment fits in better with 1967 amendment.

Would you kindly bring forward the legislation on the floor of the House?

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN (Siddipet):- Sir, so far the Members were expressing their views on the subject and so I thought it will be sufficient. But it still leaves many ambiguities. During my personal talk with the Revenue Minister, I brought these ambiguities to his notice and he assured me that they would be removed. It is true that our government wanted to introduce this Bill. But that was not introduced when I was the Revenue Minister. However even at that time when it was being introduced I did...
entertain certain doubts about the validity of the Bill and also the ambiguities. We tried to discuss with the officials and the officials could not satisfy me on many fronts. Now, again a specific thing has been brought to the notice of the Revenue Minister that the resumption clause is untouched and it creates scope for multiplicity of litigation. While the Government agrees that well it is not desirable to have resumption clause it also expresses its necessity that it could be removed. I cannot understand the wisdom of the Government in saying that if necessary another amendment will be brought in the next session. When once the Government is convinced about this aspect, it is better a comprehensive bill is brought about whereby you can try to plug loopholes or leakages wherever they are and avoid ambiguities if there are any. So, instead of hastening the process of this legislation, whatever reasons he might have in his mind, it is better the Revenue Minister discusses with the officials of the Law Ministry and his own Ministry and if necessary again invite all of us where we can certainly pin-point where the leakages are and where the loop-holes can be plugged and ambiguities can be avoided. It does not take much time if they are ready and bring this Bill again in this Session or if it becomes necessary a small session for two or three days can be called and a fool-proof bill could be brought about. Instead of standing on false prestige and trying to push through the Bill and hasten the process leaving so many doubts uncleared, I request the Revenue Minister to withdraw this Bill and come forth with a fool-proof system.
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భారత పాట్లు లేదా కామరులు గడులు శాగారు జిల్లాలు. ఏ విధానం తిని కుంటాలు అనే సమయమున తరచుగా పాట్లు జిల్లాలు. తెలంగాణ జిల్లాలు ఉన్నాం. ఆ విధానం కుంటాలు క్రింద ప్రధానంగా తెలంగాణ జిల్లాలు. ఈ విధానం నుండి నమోదు కూడా కూడా పొడిచే జిల్లాలు. తెలంగాణ జిల్లాలు ఉన్నాం.

పత్రం 10. భారతము: ఘనుల హాండి తెలంగాణ జిల్లాలు ఉన్నాం. తరువాత ప్రతి విధానం జిల్లాలు ఉన్నాం. వాటి అసమాహార విధాన కుంటాలు జిల్లాలు. ఈ విధానం నుండి నమోదు కూడా పొడిచే జిల్లాలు. తెలంగాణ జిల్లాలు ఉన్నాం.

పత్రం 11. వాటి సంపాదించడం: యాంకి వంటి ప్రతి విధానం జిల్లాలు ఉన్నాం. ఈ విధానం నుండి నమోదు కూడా పొడిచే జిల్లాలు. తెలంగాణ జిల్లాలు ఉన్నాం.
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The Bill was presented by the Minister for Home and腓lide, Mr. S. V. R. Reddy.

The Bill provides for the abolition of Inams in Andhra Pradesh. The Inams are traditional land holdings in the Telengana area.

The Bill was passed by the Andhra Pradesh Assembly.

The Bill was signed by the Governor of Andhra Pradesh.

The Bill was promulgated by the Governor of Andhra Pradesh.

The Bill was enacted by the Governor of Andhra Pradesh.

The Bill was inserted into the Andhra Pradesh Acts, 1983.

The Bill was published in the Andhra Pradesh Government Gazette.
re: Andhra Pradesh (Telengana Area) Abolition of Inams (Amendment) Bill, 1983 (Passed)

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN: With the operation of Act, the Inamdar becomes a Pattadar. If the Inamdar has already sold the land to any other person, the person, who has purchased the land and who is in the possession of the land is entitled for the land or the Inamdar has got the right over the land as per the amendment? I am referring to the transaction after the transfer of the land and handing over of the land.

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN: At that time he himself was not the owner because there was prohibition. The inherent right was with the Government. The Inamdar has no right over the land and there were many occasions, wherein the Inamdar without having any personal right or entitlement sold the land to others. Today you are making the Inamdar as a Pattadar. If so, what about the persons who have purchased the lands and who are in the possession of the land? How are you going to resolve the dispute?
re: Andhra Pradesh (Telengana Area)
Abolition of Inams (Amendment) Bill, 1983 (Passed)

The 1955 Act holds good and applies to earlier exemptions.

The 1955 Act is in force as of 1955 onwards. The Act applies to earlier exemptions as well.

The 1955 Act is in force as of 1955 onwards. The Act applies to earlier exemptions as well.
re: Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area)
Abolition of Inams (Amendment)
Bill, 1983 (Passed)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— Can we take it as your assurance?

... if there is any difference of opinion, the Minister can inform us and we can understand.

SRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU:— He says that he is in agreement with us.

MR. SPEAKER:— The question is:

“That the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Abolition of Inams (Amendment) Bill, 1983 be taken into consideration”.

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted.

CLauses 2 to 4.
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"That Clauses 2 to 4 do stand part of the Bill".

The Motion was adopted and Clauses 2 to 4 were added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 1

MR. SPEAKER:—The question is:

"In sub-clause (1) of Clause 1 for "1983", substitute "1984".

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted.

MR. SPEAKER:—The question is:

"That Clause 1 as amended do stand part of the Bill".

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and Clause 1 as amended was added to the Bill.

ENACTING FORMULA

MR. SPEAKER:—The question is:

"In the enacting formula for "thirty fourth year", substitute "thirty fifth year."

(Pause)

The motion was adopted.

MR. SPEAKER: The question is:

"That the Enacting Formula as amended do stand part of the Bill."

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and the Enacting Formula as amended was added to the Bill.

SRI P. MAHENDRANATH:—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Abolition of Inams (Amendment) Bill "1983 be passed."

MR. SPEAKER: Motion moved.
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re: Andhra Pradesh (Telengana Area)
Abolition of Inams (Amendment) Bill, 1983 (Passed)

Why not the Government suitably amend it? Is that the intention of the Government or not? If you concede with the lacuna, in the interest of the people who have purchased the inam lands and who are in possession of the inam lands, protection should be given to them and further litigation should be avoided.

In the interest of the purchasers of those lands and to avoid further and future litigations, I am suggesting this.
SRI P. RAMACHANDRA REDDY: There need not be any objection for the Minister to consider the Suggestion. There is apprehension that the owner of the land, the absentee landlord may take may take back the land. The purchaser of the inam land and who is in possession of the land should be protected. Let the Government consider and suitably act.

SRI P. RAMACHANDRA REDDY: Sir, let them stop resumption of the lands under administrative order, pending before all the courts, before the Collector or Deputy Collector. Let them stop them. They can stop under an administrative order. Let them stop. Atleast 80% cases pending. Meanwhile the Legislation may come. Let them give administrative orders or instructions to them to stay all the cases of resumption.
Government Bill
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1967 Act is clear and in force. Why again a specific provision here?

1967 Act is clear and in force. Why again a specific provision here?

1967 Act is clear and in force. Why again a specific provision here?

1967 Act is clear and in force. Why again a specific provision here?

1967 Act is clear and in force. Why again a specific provision here?

1967 Act is clear and in force. Why again a specific provision here?
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The title of the bill is: 1945 and onwards. The bill is passed on 29th March, 1984. The bill is titled: Andhra Pradesh (Telengana Area) Abolition of Inams (Amendment) Bill, 1983 (Passed).

(Hereinafter)

Part I: Definitions (Pakavantara):

Part II: Powers of Government (Siddhi):

Part III: Enforcement (Vikruti):

Part IV: Miscellaneous (Anangula).
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The scope of the original act is there.

Whatever the effect is there the same effect is here.
Most of the Inamdars have sold away their land. It is an accepted fact. We cannot deny that.
Subsequently there is change of ownership. Even then we are again going back to the position and again conferring the Pattadar for which we have no moral right.
Sir, if the Government has the will to avoid litigation, and also to prevent the bonafide purchasers as well as those who are the possessors, if they can do so, nothing prevents it. There is no need to bring about all the jurisprudence in this particular piece of legislation. It is a very simple amendment which, if he agrees, probably it will benefit and protect the interest of .........
SRI D. NARAYANA SWAMY (Anantapur) :- Sir, the fact is - when Inam is abolished - it means the Government has resumed it again regranting it. It means that all previous existing rights including tenants, everything is lost by the power of the Government in abolishing the Inams. Therefore there is every reason for multifarious proceedings cropping up in Courts. It is better the Government makes a provision to the effect that this abolition arm shall not affect the rights of the protected tenants or anybody who is in possession, under the original act. If that is made, it is first class - if not.

(INTERRUPTION) (BELL)

MR. SPEAKER :- What they are appealing is only that thing.

SRI D. NARAYANA SWAMY :- Sir, we are all interested in the welfare of the people. By this amendment it is simply like that - it means there is great likelihood - because under the Law, when the Inam is and resumed and regranted, all the previous existing rights whatever kind it may be, under the sovereign power of the Government, they are deemed to have been abolished.

Therefore the person who gets a patta, he can say full title. That is the position in the Law, To make it certain that no multiplicity of proceedings crop up, and unnecessary litigation etc., - to avoid it, it is better the amendment is made, because there is nothing lost. We are not fighting for anything.
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MR. SPEAKER - Will the Minister agree with the views of the Members?

Mr. Speaker: The Question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Abolition of Inams (Amendment) Bill, 1983 be passed."

(pause)

Motion was adopted and the Bill was passed.

MR. SPEAKER: Now there is no time to take the other demands. I am adjourning the House to meet again at 8-30 a.m., to-morrow.

(The House then adjourned to meet at 8-30 a.m., on Friday the 30th March 1984)

* ___ *