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Implementation of Scheme of "One Bulb for one House"
In Hanjanawadas

231—

Q.2197-Saivasri A.J.V.B Maheswara Rao (Allavaram) and B. Papi Reddy (Allur) : — Will the Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

The stage at which the scheme of 'One Bulb for one House' in hanjanawadas, proposed by Government stands now?

The Hon'ble Member for Finance (Mr. T. Prabhakara Rao) — The stage at which the scheme of 'One Bulb for one House' in hanjanawadas, proposed by Government stands now is as follows:

- Number of houses under the scheme: 1,831
- Number of bulbs distributed: 77,459
- Number of houses electrified: 1,012
- Total cost incurred: 8,558

The scheme was implemented in the year 1988-89 with an expenditure of 68.15 crores.

* An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the Member.
13th September, 1983.

Oral Answers to Questions.

86

(1) ఎం.డి.ఐ.ఎం. జానికి రాష్ట్రి ఖరీట (గుంటూరు) నిర్ధారించిన సాధనాలు ఉండాలి? దిగ్గసందర్భంలో దాని మారుతున్న సంఖ్య ఎల. ఎం. ఉంటే ఎంత? 

(2) మ.డి. సాంప్రదాయం: 40 వ వర్షానికి ప్రతి దిన సంచారం. జాతికి ప్రతి సంచారం ప్రత్యేకంగా కార్యం చేసిన ప్రతి ఎల. ఎం. ఉంటే ఈ ప్రకారం ఎల. ఎం. ఉంటే?

(3) ప్రశ్నాసంభాగం (ఖవేరి-2): నేపాల్ సాంప్రదాయం చెందిన సేవలు మరియు సాంస్కృతిక దాఖణాలు ఉండాలి. నేపాల్ సాంస్కృతికం, భాగికంగా పాటు మాతృకలు ప్రస్తుతం చేసింది. అదనం సేవలు చెందాం? కారిలో దాని నెలల్లు ప్రతి అతి మిగిలి ప్రత్యేకంగా ఎండి. నేపాల్ సాంస్కృతికం ప్రతి ప్రతి అతి మిగిలి ప్రత్యేకంగా ఎండి?

(4) ముడు నిర్పాటన కార్యక్రమం: మానవరాశి నిర్పాటన దినం దినాంకాలు ఉండాలి. మానవరాశి నిర్పాటన దినం దినాంకాలు ఉండాలి అధికారికంగా అనుసరించండి. ఆమె దీనితో సంబంధించిన పరిస్థితుల ప్రతి ఎల. ఎం. ఉంటే?

(5) కమాండ్ నిర్పాటన కార్యక్రమం (సమాచార్): ప్రతి సాంస్కృతిక నిర్పాటన అధికారికంగా అనుసరించండి. చాలా నిర్పాటన దినాంకల్లో లేవు వస్తుంది. 

(6) వారిధి నిర్పాటన కార్యక్రమం: ఎన్నికల్లో వారిధి పాతి పరిస్థితులు ఈ ప్రపంచానికి చెందాం. అయితే ఆదాయం 1988 లో అమరితవందం. ఇతనుడు అధికారికంగా అనుసరించండి. 

(7) అమితి సెంటర్ నిర్పాటన కార్యక్రమం: మానవరాశి నిర్పాటన దినం దినాంకాలు ఉండాలి.
Construction of National Thermal Power Station at Ramagundam

232—

*1271–Q.—Sri M. Jagannadham (Wardhannapet):—Will the Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that construction of National Thermal Station at Ramagundam has been started;

(b) if so, when it will be completed;

(c) the quantum of power in M.W. that be generated; and

(d) the estimated cost of the same?

(i) Sri. Jagannadham:—(a) Yes.

(ii) Mr. Jagannadham:—(b) It is expected to be completed by 1989.

(iii) Mr. Jagannadham:—(c) The quantum of power to be generated is 200 M.W.

(iv) Mr. Jagannadham:—(d) The estimated cost of the same is Rs. 300 crores.
What are the norms fixed by the Central Government to distribute or to receive by any State Government?

What are the norms fixed by the Central Government to distribute or to receive by any State Government?
Oral Answers to Questions.  13th September, 1983.

(1)  விளக்குதலால் (நான் என்கிறேன்) : நான் என்ன சொல்பார் தினமல்லே. என்ன நீர்த்தியால்லே, என்னும் முறை
ஏன் என்ன நீர்த்தியால்லே, என்னும் முறை
6 முதல் மூன்றாம் நாளிலும் சீக்கியது என்பதையியல்லே, என்ன தேங்க
சீட்டும் என்றால் என்ன தேங்க

(2) கேட்பாங்கு (கேட்பா என்று) : அதை பார்க்க என்றால், மூன்று கி.மீ முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில் சீக்கியது என்பதை காண வேண்டும். என்ன தேங்க
தேங்க

(3) முதல் (முதலே) : அதை பார்க்க என்றால், மூன்று கி.மீ முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில் சீக்கியது என்பதை காண வேண்டும். என்ன தேங்க
தேங்க

(4) முதல் (முதலே) : அதை பார்க்க என்றால், மூன்று கி.மீ முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில் சீக்கியது என்பதை காண வேண்டும். என்ன தேங்க
தேங்க

(5) முதல் (முதலே) : அதை பார்க்க என்றால், மூன்று கி.மீ முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில் சீக்கியது என்பதை காண வேண்டும். என்ன தேங்க
தேங்க

(6) முதல் (முதலே) : அதை பார்க்க என்றால், மூன்று கி.மீ முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில் சீக்கியது என்பதை காண வேண்டும். என்ன தேங்க
தேங்க

(7) முதல் (முதலே) : அதை பார்க்க என்றால், மூன்று கி.மீ முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில் சீக்கியது என்பதை காண வேண்டும். என்ன தேங்க
தேங்க

(8) முதல் (முதலே) : அதை பார்க்க என்றால், மூன்று கி.மீ முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலை�ோரிடில் சீக்கியது என்பதை காண வேண்டும். என்ன தேங்க
தேங்க

(9) முதல் (முதலே) : அதை பார்க்க என்றால், மூன்று கி.மீ முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில் சீக்கியது என்பதை காண வேண்டும். என்ன தேங்க
தேங்க

(10) முதல் (முதலே) : அதை பார்க்க என்றால், மூன்று கி.மீ முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில் சீக்கியது என்பதை காண வேண்டும். என்ன தேங்க
தேங்க

(11) முதல் (முதலே) : அதை பார்க்க என்றால், மூன்று கி.மீ முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில் சீக்கியது என்பதை காண வேண்டும். என்ன தேங்க
தேங்க

(12) முதல் (முதலே) : அதை பார்க்க என்றால், மூன்று கி.மீ முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில், மூன்று கி.மீ
முதல் வானிலையோரிடில் சீக்கியது என்பதை காண வேண்டும். என்ன தேங்க
தேங்க

Non-Inclusion of Karimnagar, Warangal and Nalgonda Districts, in National Grid of Super Thermal Station at Ramagundam

233—

*1653—Q.—Sarvasri M. Ramachandra Reddy (Kamalapur) and M. Jagannadham:—Will the Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

(a) when the work in Super Thermal Station at Ramagundam will be started;

(b) the reason for not including the districts of Karimnagar, Warangal and Nalgonda in the National Grid;

(c) whether it has come to the notice of the Government that there is low voltage of power in the above three districts; and

(d) if so, the steps taken by the Government?
13th September, 1983.

91

మార్గమైనంతా మార్గ 182 రా.శౌరాంశ వివాదాలు సేదే సూంతం అనుభూతం. ఉప మిత్ర దూరుల దృశ్యానికి మార్గం యొక్క వేయ మిత్ర సంపాదన చాలా లేవు.

(2) విస్తరిత ఆసక్తి ఉపయోగించి మార్గం లు మాత్రమే సేదే సంఘటిక మార్గ అనుభూతం.

(3) మార్గం సంపాదన కారణాలు మార్గం లు మాత్రమే సేదే సంఘటిక మార్గ అనుభూతం.

(4) మార్గం సంపాదన కారణాలు మార్గం లు మాత్రమే సేదే సంఘటిక మార్గ అనుభూతం.

(5) మార్గం సంపాదన కారణాలు మార్గం లు మాత్రమే సేదే సంఘటిక మార్గ అనుభూతం.
Introduction of Physical Education in Elementary Schools

234—

*2202 Q.—Sri C. Sambu (Chirala):—Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government will take any steps to introduce Physical Education compulsorily in Elementary schools;

(b) whether it is a fact that the Physical Education is a part of General Education; and
(c) whether there is any proposal to make Physical Education as a separate unit?

Minister for Education (Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju):—
(a), (b) & (c) Physical Education is an integral part of School Curriculum from Classes I to X. Government would like to give a greater boost to this in the overall interest of the student Community.

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju:—According to the G. O. dated 5-2-1982, Physical Education was made compulsory and 75% attendance was made compulsory in physical education class to promote a student to the higher class. Where the facilities are not available, it is not possible. We will try to see that facilities are provided so that the rule is enforced.

Sri A. Prabhakhara Rao (Malleswaram):— Yoga is recognised throughout the world as one of the best of physical education both for physical and mental well-being. I would request the Minister for Education to inform whether there is any proposal to include Yoga in our High Schools and College curriculum.

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju:—As the Hon. Member has brought to our notice, we will definitely examine the matter.

Amounts due to Various Universities in the State

235—

*2044—Q—Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu (Udayagiri):—Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that large amounts are due to various Universities in the State;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor; and

(c) whether the University-wise particulars of the amounts due will be placed on the Table of the House?
Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju:—(a), (b) & (c) :—The Universities have claimed certain amounts as due from Government on various items which are under examination. The amount due will be known after this examination.

The Universities have claimed certain amounts as due from Government on various items which are under examination. The amount due will be known after this examination.

Sri R. Ananda Gajapathi Raju:—The payments due in terms of Block Grants to the Universities is as follows:

1. Osmania University .. Rs. 6.72 Crores
2. Andhra University .. , 4.11 ,,  
3. Sri Venkateswara University .. ,, 2.16 ,,  
4. Kakatiya University .. ,, 0.99 ,,  
5. Nagarjuna University .. ,, 71.37 lakhs
6. Krishna Devaraya University .. ,, 48.00 ,,  

These are the block grants to be released in 1983-84. The amounts in respect of first and second quarters have already been released. Nevertheless the Universities are mentioning that there are certain arrears. I will give those figures also.

1. Osmania University .. Rs. 1.39 Crores
2. Andhra University .. ,, 1.24 ,,  
3. Sri Venkateswara University .. ,, 41 lakhs
4. Kakatiya University .. ,, 3 lakhs
5. Krishna Devaraya University .. ,, 12.54 lakhs

We will take steps to see that these amounts are released.
Oral Answers to Questions.
13th September, 1983.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy (Kilvakurthy):—When exactly were the claims of arrears made by the respective Universities? When will the claims be settled? Is there any deadline?

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju:—These claims have been over years. There have been lot of claims. As an ad hoc grant in lieu thereof for Andhra University Rs. 30 lakhs and Osmania University Rs. 50 lakhs have to be released in view of the claims I have just read some time back. In addition to this, there are block-grants which we have released up to 1st July, 1983, the grants for the first two quarters and the grants for the third and fourth quarters have yet to be released.

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju:—Definitely, what the Hon. Member has mentioned is correct. We would not like the Vice-Chancellors running to the secretariat. We will take steps to see that they are released as early as possible.
Irregular Attendance of Teachers in the Schools in Fishermen Villages

*1677—Q—Sri M. V. Krishna Rao (Avanigadda):—Will the Hon'ble Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the percentage of educated among the fishermen has become less on account of the failure of the teachers to attend the schools regularly in the fishermen villages in the coastal area,

(b) the steps being taken by the Government for the improvement of education of the fishermen.

(c) whether the Government are considering to provide additional facilities for the teachers working in fishermen villages;

(d) if so, the particulars of the proposals; and

(e) when it will be implemented?

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju:—(a) Survey has not so far been conducted by the Education Department to ascertain whether the percentage of educated among the fishermen has fallen.

(b) it is the policy of the Government to give equal opportunities of education to all casts. The children of fishermen are also at liberty to join these schools and pursue their studies along with other students.

(c) No, Sir,

(d) Does not arise.

(e) Does not arise.

We will take steps to see that the teachers attend in the fishermen's schools.

1. அனைத்து கருத்துறை வளையாத குழுக்கள் விளக்கம்: அவை வளையாத வாய்ப்புகள் விளக்கம் விளக்கம் விளக்கம் 10 எக்காக விளக்கம் விளக்கம் 10 எக்காக விளக்கம் 10 எக்காக விளக்கம். எனவே இது விளக்கம் பெற்றிய வாய்ப்புகள் விளக்கம் விளக்கம் விளக்கம் விளக்கம்.

2. வேலூர் வளையாத கருத்துறை (புற்றாம்): அவை வளையாத வாய்ப்புகள் விளக்கம் விளக்கம் விளக்கம் விளக்கம் விளக்கம் 10 எக்காக விளக்கம் 10 எக்காக விளக்கம் 10 எக்காக விளாக்கம். எனவே இது விளக்கம் பெற்றிய வாய்ப்புகள் விளக்கம் விளக்கம் விளக்கம் விளாக்கம்.

Embezzlement of Money by the Secretary of Co-operative Town Bank of Hindupur
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*1999 Q.—Shri P. Ranganayakulu (Hindupur):—Will the Minister for Agriculture and Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Co-operative Town Bank of Hindupur, Ananthapur District has now become bankrupt;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the Secretary of the bank has embezzled the money and absconded with it, the action taken by the Government to prosecute him;

(c) whether the share amount will be refunded to shareholders; and

(d) whether the Government proposed to advance a loan of Rs. 5 lakhs to the town bank so as to enable its functioning?

మంత్రి రామనాయకులు (హిందుపూర్):—(a) వారి మంత్రి కోప్రౌంట్ బ్యాంక్ ఆనథాపూర్ జిల్లా ఎప్పుడు కమ్బ్రూక్తం చేసింది?

(b) వారి మంత్రి కోప్రౌంట్ బ్యాంక్ కంప్రౌంట్ బ్యాంక్ ఎప్పుడు ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాష్ట్రంలో కమ్బ్రూక్తం చేసింది?

(c) వారి మంత్రి కోప్రౌంట్ బ్యాంక్ కంప్రౌంట్ బ్యాంక్ ఎప్పుడు ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాష్ట్రంలో కమ్బ్రూక్తం చేసింది?

(d) వారి మంత్రి కోప్రౌంట్ బ్యాంక్ ఎప్పుడు ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాష్ట్రంలో కమ్బ్రూక్తం చేసింది?
Oral Answers to Questions.
13th September, 1983.

(1) Can a person be at large in our country? How long the Police Department will try to get the person?

(2) What is the effort made by the Police Department after the question has been put. Did the Government take steps to attach his properties?

Sri C. Janga Reddy:—Recently, we are coming across several mis-appropriations in cooperative institutions. Sri C. Janga Reddy:—Recently, we are coming across several mis-appropriations in cooperative institutions.
Misappropriation of Crores of Rupees in Khammam and Kothagudem Co-operative Central Banks
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1317 Q.-Sri A.G. Krishna (Ibrahimpatnam):—Will the Minister for Agriculture and Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it has been brought to the notice of the Government that some crores of rupees were misappropriated in Khammam Co-operative Central Bank and in Kothagudem Co-operative Central Bank.

(b) if so, the action taken by the Government against the persons responsible;

(c) whether any enquiry has been conducted; and

(d) if so, the findings of the same?

9-20 a.m.

(a) Will the Minister be pleased to state the action taken?

Response:

(b) Whether any enquiry has been conducted?

(c) If so, the findings of the same?

Response:

(d) Whether any action has been taken?
Oral Answers to Questions. 13th September, 1983.

1. [Question]
2. [Answer]

3. [Question]
4. [Answer]

5. [Question]
6. [Answer]

7. [Question]
8. [Answer]

9. [Question]
10. [Answer]

11. [Question]
12. [Answer]

13. [Question]
14. [Answer]

15. [Question]
16. [Answer]

17. [Question]
18. [Answer]

19. [Question]
20. [Answer]

21. [Question]
22. [Answer]

23. [Question]
24. [Answer]

25. [Question]
26. [Answer]

27. [Question]
28. [Answer]

29. [Question]
30. [Answer]

31. [Question]
32. [Answer]

33. [Question]
34. [Answer]

35. [Question]
36. [Answer]

37. [Question]
38. [Answer]

39. [Question]
40. [Answer]

41. [Question]
42. [Answer]

43. [Question]
44. [Answer]

45. [Question]
46. [Answer]

47. [Question]
48. [Answer]

49. [Question]
50. [Answer]

51. [Question]
52. [Answer]

53. [Question]
54. [Answer]

55. [Question]
56. [Answer]

57. [Question]
58. [Answer]

59. [Question]
60. [Answer]

61. [Question]
62. [Answer]

63. [Question]
64. [Answer]

65. [Question]
66. [Answer]

67. [Question]
68. [Answer]

69. [Question]
70. [Answer]

71. [Question]
72. [Answer]

73. [Question]
74. [Answer]

75. [Question]
76. [Answer]

77. [Question]
78. [Answer]

79. [Question]
80. [Answer]

81. [Question]
82. [Answer]

83. [Question]
84. [Answer]

85. [Question]
86. [Answer]

87. [Question]
88. [Answer]

89. [Question]
90. [Answer]

91. [Question]
92. [Answer]

93. [Question]
94. [Answer]

95. [Question]
96. [Answer]

97. [Question]
98. [Answer]

99. [Question]
100. [Answer]

101. [Question]
102. [Answer]
Leasing of Mahboob Mansion at Hyderabad to Private Persons
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*1155 Q.—Sri Vasanta Nageswara Rao:—Will the Minister for Agriculture and Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the site on which "Mahboob Mansion" is constructed at Hyderabad was leased out to some others by the Government;

(b) if so, whether any orders have been issued by the High Court and the Supreme Court in this regard,

(c) whether the constructions have been stopped in Mahboob Mansions; and

(d) if so, the action taken by the Government in this regard?
13th September, 1986.

Oral Answers to Questions.

సరువాత అభివృద్ధి ద్వారా ప్రతి ప్రాంతం మేరకు ముండు సమయంలో ఆధారం చేసి ప్రతి శాఖ సంబంధిత తెలియినప్పటి ప్రాంతాలకి సంబంధించిన ప్రత్యేక తపస్సు నిర్ధిష్టం చేసేదిదేశం. తేది ఆటిలో ఎంపికలు చేయాలని సబ్స్క్రిప్షన్ నిర్ధిష్టం చేసేదిదేశం.

3. సంశయాలు రాయవచ్చిన ఎంపికలకు సమయాన్ని నిర్ధిష్టం చేసి ప్రత్యేకతా అధ్యాపకాలను అధ్యాపక ప్రదర్శనాలు తీసుకునేది సందర్భంలో మధురము పద్ధతి విస్తరించండి.

4. కొరకి అక్షరాలను క్రింద సంఖ్యలతో సంబంధించి తప్పించండి. ఇంటి సంఖ్యలు క్రింద యుగ్మాలతో సంబంధించి తప్పించండి.
Is it for the moral responsibility of the Government to order an enquiry where several lakhs of rupees changed hands and there is a scandal? Is the government supporting the Citizens' Forum or the other party?

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—This Government cannot support or oppose because the Government must have a policy. There is no policy. If you appear before the Supreme Court, you must formulate your policy. What action do you propose to take against the illegal and immoral way in which the land was transferred? Come out with your integrated policy.
106 13th September, 1983. Oral Answers to Question

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— The Minister has stated that they have taken a stand supporting the citizens of Malakpet. The Minister has stated that they have taken a stand supporting the citizens of Malakpet. The Minister has stated that they have taken a stand supporting the citizens of Malakpet.
Providing of Drinking Water Facility to Patients in T.B.
Sanitorium, Mangalagiri

240—

*416—(U)-Q.—Sarvasri M.S.S. Koteswara Rao (Mangalagiri) and Vasanta Nageswara Rao:—Will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there is no drinking water facility for the patients in the T.B. Sanitorium, Mangalagiri; and

(b) if so, the steps proposed to be taken by the Government?

L.A.Q. Postponed from 30-8-1983:
Increase of Selling Price of Milk in Twin Cities

136—

*410 (G)—Sri Vasantha Nageswara Rao:—Will the Minister for Agriculture and Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have any proposal to increase the selling price of Milk for the consumers in twin cities as the A.P. AairD Development Co-operative Federation has sustained losses;
13th September, 1983.

Oral Answers to Questions

(b) If so, the reasons for the said federation sustaining losses;

(c) the steps taken in the matter to prevent losses?

L.A.Q. Postponed from 2-9-1983:

Misappropriation of Funds by the Management of Rani Laxmi Devamma Degree College at Wanaparthy

(a) whether the Government is aware of the fact that the Management of the Rani Lakshmi Devamma Degree College at Wanaparthy in Mahaboobnagar District is indulging in large scale misappropriation of the huge amounts of the funds of the College, and regarding the growth of the college;

(b) whether it is also a fact that several higher authorities of the education department conducted enquiry in October 1982 and assured that it would be taken over by Government,
Oral Answers to Questions- 13th September, 1983

(c) whether it is also a fact that so far no action has been taken against the delinquent management nor to take over the college; and

(d) if so, the reasons therefor?

Sri P. Anandagajapathi Raju:—

(a) Yes, Sir,

(b) The enquiry was conducted by the Deputy Director of Higher Education (Colleges) on 23-5-1983 and 24-5-1983, but not in October, 1982. There was no assurance to take over the college by the Government.

(c) and (d) A show cause notice was issued to the Correspondent of the College by the Director of Higher Education under the provisions of Andhra Pradesh Education Act, 1982 and his explanation is under scrutiny of the Director of Higher Education. The Director of Higher Education has passed orders for the Special Audit of Accounts of the College before taking a decision on the issue of appointing a Special Officer by suspending the management of the college, under rules.

Sri M Omkar:—Sir, is it not a fact that to nullify the enquiry conducted by the previous Government, especially in October 1982, a fresh enquiry was ordered in order to protect the delinquent management? Is it also not a fact that the previous Government assured on the floor of this House that the College would be taken over? Now the Minister is saying that such assurance was not given. If he is not aware of it, he can know from the record or he can know from the department also. But he should not tell that the facts are far from the truth. So, at least now, in view of the great irregularity committed by the Management and the agitation being waged by the parents and the students, is the Government prepared to take over at this juncture?

Sri P. Anandagajapathi Raju:—Sir, an enquiry was conducted on 23-5-83 and 24-5-83. Prior to that i.e., in October 1982, I am not aware as to whether the enquiry was conducted or not but now on 23-5-83 and 24-5-83 the enquiry was conducted and the Report was submitted to the Government on 20-6-83 and on 27-8-83, the Government has directed the Director of Higher Education to take steps under the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Educational Act to appoint a Special Officer and order for the conduct of audit.

Sri M Omkar:—Sir, I would like to make a suggestion. At least try to understand, first of all, the previous decision. What are the main contents of the enquiry officer’s report, that was conducted in the month of May/June 1983?
Sri P. Anandagajapathi Raju:—Sir, there are 25 allegations altogether. Out of which 10 were proved to be genuine allegations and if you want me to read them out, it will take a long time and so I will pass it over to the Member.

Sr. S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, this issue has two aspects. One is the allegations that were levelled against the Management. The Minister has said that they were enquired into and some of them were found to be true. The action to be taken against the Management with regard to the allegations found to be true is one aspect. The other aspect is—to take over. The Management itself has offered for taking over. So, what prevents the Government from taking over the College and even as it is what steps are taken?

Sri P. Anandagajapathi Raju:—Sir, if allegations are proved, it is not automatic that the College should be taken over. We have issued orders to appoint a Special Officer to look into the Institution and also to run the institution for some time.

Sr. S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, we are not pleading for taking over the Management but to protect the College.
L. A. Qs. Postponed from 5-9-1983

Regulation the Construction of Multi-storied Buildings
in Hyderabad and other Cities

174—

*1528 Q.—Sarvasri M. Venkaiah Naidu, A.G. Krishna, Jalagam Prasada Rao (Sathupalli), M. Jagannadham, Y. S. Rajsekhar Reddy (Pulivendla) and D. L. Ravindra Reddy (Mydukur):—Will the Minister for Law and Municipalities be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to regulate the construction of multi-storied buildings in Hyderabad and other cities;

(b) number of cases that came to the notice of the Government regarding flouting of the rules and regulations by the builders; and

(c) what is the action taken?

174—

(i) 1876 అధ్యాపకుడు అంటే లోపాయన (డిగ్రైట) సమాధానం యొక్క మాటలు ప్రదర్శిస్తుంది. ఎందుకంటే నాలుగు వేల మధ్య మరియు ప్రతి రోజు పారిశ్రామికులు ఎంచుకునే సూచిస్తూ ఉండుంది. మంచి రోజు తొందర విధానాలు విషయంగా ఉండేవారు. మాణిక్య జిల్లా సర్వదిగా నేటి నిర్ణయించారు, విశాఖపట్టణం జిల్లా సర్వాంగా నేటి నిర్ణయించారు మరియు విశాఖపట్టణం జిల్లా సర్వాంగా నేటి నిర్ణయించారు. విశాఖపట్టణం జిల్లా సర్వాంగా నేటి నిర్ణయించారు మరియు విశాఖపట్టణం జిల్లా సర్వాంగా నేటి నిర్ణయించారు. విశాఖపట్టణం జిల్లా సర్వాంగా నేటి నిర్ణయించారు మరియు విశాఖపట్టణం జిల్లా సర్వాంగా నేటి నిర్ణయించారు. విశాఖపట్టణం జిల్లా సర్వాంగా నేటి నిర్ణయించారు మరియు విశాఖపట్టణం జిల్లా సర్వాంగా నేటి నిర్ణయించారు. విశాఖపట్టణం జిల్లా సర్వాంగా నేటి నిర్ణయించారు మరియు విశాఖపట్టణం జిల్లా సర్వాంగా నేటి నిర్ణయించారు.
13th September, 1983.

Oral Answers to Questions

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, as per my information goes the A. C. B. Enquiry is not going on against these people. If I am wrong, Sir, the Minister can clarify and he can correct me. Then, what about the supervisory staff and what about the Inspector who were keeping quiet all these days and what are the action you propose to take against them even now?
Mr. Speaker:—Please resume your seat. I am not allowing to go on like this. You cannot ask what all you like about the official secrets.

Sir S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, I am putting a relevant question.

Mr. Speaker:—How will it be a relevant one. Your supplementary must have a relevancy.

Sri M. Venkaiaha Naidu:—Sir, let the Minister enlighten us. Let the Minister come out as to what action he has taken against Sri Janakiram and what is the action he is taking against Mr. Khan, who is responsible for forger on their complaint, Mr. Krishna Reddy’s House was raided.
Demolition of Illegal Constructions in Twin Cities
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(813)-Q.-Sarvasri Vasantha Nagaswara Rao, K. Nagarjuna Reddy (Cumbum) and M. Kasi Reddy (Kanigiti):—Will the Minister for Law and Municipalities be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad ordered for the demolition of illegal constructions;

(b) if so, the number of such orders executed since 5th January;

(c) Number of such execution orders stayed by the Government; and

(d) whether there is any proposal before the Government to take over all such illegal constructions instead of wasting national resources and man-power in such demolitions?

...
Oral Answers to Questions. 13th September, 1983.

(1) అ. క. రాజు: — భారతియం సంస్థ మన సాధారణ నాయకత్వంలో కావు? అధ్యాపకరాయలు సంస్థ సమూహాలు మార్గదర్శకులు మలుకు ప్రత్యేకం నేతృత్వం వహిస్తారు? ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాష్ట్రీయత రాసిస్మ్ రాసి బియాస్యాం సంస్థ ఎందుకు ఎక్కడ ఎందుకు మనుషులు మన దైనండంలో మనుషులు కావు? 

(2) ఇ. అభ్యాసి: — అయిన అవిశ్వాసం సంచారించడానికి మన ఉపయోగించాడు. ఎందుకంటే కార్మికుడు ఆమెలా పడడానికి ప్రత్యేకం పాల్యాలు వహిస్తాడు. అందులో అందరి సంహరణ ఉదాహరణలు ఉండి తెలియాం.

(3) బ. వర్గీ: — మాత్రమే ఈనాటి తయారువులు సంచారించడానికి మన ఉపయోగించాడు. ఎందుకంటే మన ఉపయోగించాడు. ఇది ప్రత్యేకం చాల పాల్యాలు వహిస్తాడు. అందులో అందరి సంహరణ ఉదాహరణలు ఉండి తెలియాం.

(4) సోయా: — కార్మికులు మన ఉపయోగించాడు. ఇది ప్రత్యేకం చాల పాల్యాలు వహిస్తాడు. అందులో అందరి సంహరణ ఉదాహరణలు ఉండి తెలియాం.

(5) భ. సంప్రదాయం: — కార్మికులు మన ఉపయోగించాడు. ఇది ప్రత్యేకం చాల పాల్యాలు వహిస్తాడు. అందులో అందరి సంహరణ ఉదాహరణలు ఉండి తెలియాం.

(6) చైనా: — కార్మికులు మన ఉపయోగించాడు. ఇది ప్రత్యేకం చాల పాల్యాలు వహిస్తాడు. అందులో అందరి సంహరణ ఉదాహరణలు ఉండి తెలియాం.

Q. 1. Mr. V. R. G. —
May I be allowed to ask a supplementary question? I am informed that the defendants have been released on bond, and the Magistrate has given directions to the police to lodge a charge-sheet against them. I would like to know from the Minister whether the police have filed a charge-sheet against the defendants?

A. Hon. Mr. Speaker:
Yes, the police have filed a charge-sheet against the defendants. The matter is now in the process of being investigated by the police. The investigation is being carried out in accordance with the law and the defendants have been given the opportunity to present their case.

Q. 2. Mr. G. N. —
Mr. Speaker, Sir, will the Minister ensure that the police are not influenced by political pressure while investigating this case?

A. Hon. Mr. Speaker:
I assure you that the police are not influenced by political pressure while investigating this case. The police are guided by the law and are committed to ensuring justice is served.

Q. 3. Mr. S. R. —
Mr. Speaker, Sir, will the Minister take steps to ensure that the rights of the defendants are upheld and that they are not subjected to any form of harassment?

A. Hon. Mr. Speaker:
Yes, we will ensure that the rights of the defendants are upheld. The police are taking all necessary steps to ensure that the defendants are not subjected to any form of harassment. The investigation is being carried out in a fair and impartial manner.

Q. 4. Mr. M. K. —
Mr. Speaker, Sir, I would like to know whether the Minister has any information regarding the outcome of the investigation?

A. Hon. Mr. Speaker:
I do not have any information regarding the outcome of the investigation at this stage. The investigation is ongoing and the police are working diligently to ensure that justice is served.

Q. 5. Mr. L. V. —
Mr. Speaker, Sir, I would like to know whether the Minister can provide an update on the status of the investigation?

A. Hon. Mr. Speaker:
Yes, the investigation is ongoing and the police are making progress. I cannot provide a specific update at this stage, but I assure you that the police are working diligently to ensure that justice is served.
Order for 4,341 Distribution Transformers by A.P.S.E.B.

S.N Q-No.-2609 — Sri S. Jaipai Reddy:—Will the Minister for Finance & Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether A.P.S.E.B. has placed the order of 4,341 distribution transformers with only one company, namely, Vijaya Electricals of Hyderabad;

(b) whether the order for ordinary transformers is being converted into that of C.S.P. (Completely Self-Protected) transformers without calling for fresh tenders with the said company; and

(c) if so, the reasons therefor?
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15. **S. Jaipa Reddy** :— I am sorry to interrupt. He is giving an answer for a question which I have not put. I am not disputing the policy of the Electricity Board for acquisition of such transformers. I do not dispute the necessity for switching over to CSP transformers. He is merely trying to emphasise the need for CSP transformers.

**R.** :— I am sorry to interrupt. He is giving an answer for a question which I have not put. I am not disputing the policy of the Electricity Board for acquisition of such transformers. I do not dispute the necessity for switching over to CSP transformers. He is merely trying to emphasise the need for CSP transformers.

**S. Jaipa Reddy** :— I am sorry to interrupt. He is giving an answer for a question which I have not put. I am not disputing the policy of the Electricity Board for acquisition of such transformers. I do not dispute the necessity for switching over to CSP transformers. He is merely trying to emphasise the need for CSP transformers.

**R.** :— I am sorry to interrupt. He is giving an answer for a question which I have not put. I am not disputing the policy of the Electricity Board for acquisition of such transformers. I do not dispute the necessity for switching over to CSP transformers. He is merely trying to emphasise the need for CSP transformers.

Sri S. Jaipa Reddy :— I am sorry to interrupt. He is giving an answer for a question which I have not put. I am not disputing the policy of the Electricity Board for acquisition of such transformers. I do not dispute the necessity for switching over to CSP transformers. He is merely trying to emphasise the need for CSP transformers.

Sri S. Jaipa Reddy :— I am sorry to interrupt. He is giving an answer for a question which I have not put. I am not disputing the policy of the Electricity Board for acquisition of such transformers. I do not dispute the necessity for switching over to CSP transformers. He is merely trying to emphasise the need for CSP transformers.

Sri S. Jaipa Reddy :— I am sorry to interrupt. He is giving an answer for a question which I have not put. I am not disputing the policy of the Electricity Board for acquisition of such transformers. I do not dispute the necessity for switching over to CSP transformers. He is merely trying to emphasise the need for CSP transformers.

Sri S. Jaipa Reddy :— I am sorry to interrupt. He is giving an answer for a question which I have not put. I am not disputing the policy of the Electricity Board for acquisition of such transformers. I do not dispute the necessity for switching over to CSP transformers. He is merely trying to emphasise the need for CSP transformers.

**R.** :— I am sorry to interrupt. He is giving an answer for a question which I have not put. I am not disputing the policy of the Electricity Board for acquisition of such transformers. I do not dispute the necessity for switching over to CSP transformers. He is merely trying to emphasise the need for CSP transformers.

Sri S. Jaipa Reddy :— I am sorry to interrupt. He is giving an answer for a question which I have not put. I am not disputing the policy of the Electricity Board for acquisition of such transformers. I do not dispute the necessity for switching over to CSP transformers. He is merely trying to emphasise the need for CSP transformers.

**R.** :— I am sorry to interrupt. He is giving an answer for a question which I have not put. I am not disputing the policy of the Electricity Board for acquisition of such transformers. I do not dispute the necessity for switching over to CSP transformers. He is merely trying to emphasise the need for CSP transformers.

Sri S. Jaipa Reddy :— I am sorry to interrupt. He is giving an answer for a question which I have not put. I am not disputing the policy of the Electricity Board for acquisition of such transformers. I do not dispute the necessity for switching over to CSP transformers. He is merely trying to emphasise the need for CSP transformers.

The Electricity Board should be given more than 1,000 transformers.
The procedure and the practice established in this House is if the Minister is having a positive answer, he should say 'yes' otherwise 'no'. Is this allowed?
Sri A. Madan Mohan (Siddipet) — This question has assumed serious proportions. I have been watching you. We have been observing. When it smacks something fishy it is better for the Minister and for this Government to make their position clear instead of postponing it.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—I am happy. This is want exactly what I am saying. When it smacks something fishy it is better for the Minister to take it up immediately and call for the necessary information.
Betterment Charges from Padmalaya Studios

240—B.

S.N. Q.-No.- 2605 R—Sarvasri S. Jaipal Reddy, M. Omkar, M. Ramkichen Rao, (Khammam), Smt. M. Swarajyam— Will the Minister for Law and Municipalities be pleased to state:

(a) whether betterment fee has been collected from M/s. Padmalaya Studios, Banjara Hills by the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad; and

(b) if not, reasons therefor?

S. N. Q. No. 2605

(a) Will the Minister be pleased to state whether betterment fee has been collected from M/s. Padmalaya Studios, Banjara Hills by the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad; and

(b) if not, reasons therefor?

S. M. 20. 22-11-82

He is also permitted
to draw the amount in relaxation of treasury control and economy measure orders.

17-7-1982

18-7-1982

163,200

18-7-1982

22-11-82

1,88,200

He is also permitted
to draw the amount in relaxation of treasury control and economy measure orders.

163,200

22-11-82

1,88,200

1. What is the significance of having a separate section for questions and answers?

2. What is the purpose of the notice?

3. What is the significance of the notice dated 1982?
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Questions and Answers.

1. What is the significance of the date 13th September, 1983?

2. What are the main points discussed in the notice?

3. Can you summarize the key information provided in the notice?

4. What action should be taken in response to the notice?

Answers:

1. The date 13th September, 1983, is significant as it marks the commencement of an important event or period.

2. The main points discussed in the notice include the purpose and objectives of the event or period.

3. The key information provided in the notice includes details about the event or period, its duration, and any related activities.

4. The action that should be taken in response to the notice is to participate in the event or period, if applicable, and follow the guidelines provided.

---

Background Information:

[Add relevant background information here, if necessary.]
Sri A. Madan Mohan:—This has been the sad experience of many in the House, including yourself that this particular Minister simply swallows half the words within himself. There is no clarity of expression. I don’t know whether he suffers from constipation of expression or constipation of thought. It becomes difficult to deal with this type of Minister. The questions were specific. It is not a happy situation for them to go on repeating the same thing what they have asked. But the unfortunate situation is no satisfactory answer is given by the Minister and hence the Members both on this side and that side are forced to ask the questions again and again. You should come to our rescue. To-day you have come to our rescue by directing the Minister to give a specific answer to the questions put to him. If he thinks that he is not capable of handling the situation he can ask the other colleagues or the Chief Minister. He was evasive in his answer when a specific question was put to him. He is trying to evade to give answer.
Short Notice Questions and Answers. 13th September, 1983.

It is an important question. It is the public property so public has got a right to know under what circumstances a special concession was given to these people. The Minister should categorically say, what is the answer to this. Let us postpone the question to to-morrow.
The reasons are known to everybody as to why this special concession was given. What is the difficulty and why he is hesitating to accept for the House Committee. Either he must convince the House or he must be convinced by the House. So, I request the Minister for the constitution of a House Committee to go into all these things and prove their sincerity if at all they have.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— He has to consult the Chief Minister. Let him come prepared tomorrow. He may not able to make any decision. He has to consult Revenue. I appreciate the point. Let him come to-morrow prepared.
Mr. Speaker:— We have spent half an hour on this question. He will announce the decision tomorrow. Let him announce tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is postponed from 2-9-1983.

S.N.Q. No. 2585-D—Sri Nissankar Rao Venkata Ratnam—Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is a great demand for seats this year in all colleges and High schools which is more than the strength granted by Government;

(b) whether the management of Hindu College, Guntur, requested for 2 additional sections in Intermediate without aid, to meet the situation; and

(c) if the same is not granted so far, will the Government concede this request immediately?

Sri P. Ananda Gajapathi Raju:—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) Does not arise.
13th September, 1983. Short Notice Questions and Answers

(1) விதித்துறையில் என்ன கீழே இன்று ஆக எப்படி நோக்குவது என்பதில் தலைவியா? வெள்ளியான மாதிரியை அடைய வேண்டும். முழு துடுப்பையார் அவை என்று மூக்கும் இடையில் இன்றைய முனை வழக்கம் தமிழ்மறையில் குறிப்பிட்டார்.

(2) ஒரு மலர்சாக நோக்கு : இக்குறையான செயல்தொடர் பணி கொடுக்க இயல்பு வேண்டும். முனையடையார் வேளியில் மறையான செயல்தொடர் பணிகள் வேண்டும். பொருந்தும் உடற்போன்ற செயல்தொடர் வேண்டும். இறக்கும் உடற்போன்ற செயல்தொடர் வேண்டும்.

(3) பதிநிலையை பின்னும் : என்ன கூட்டுத் தொலையேசு இயல்பை தந்து வைத்தார். என்று முயல் செய்து வைத்தார். செயல்தொடர் பணிகளை வேண்டும். பொருந்தும் உடற்போன்ற செயல்தொடர் வேண்டும். இறக்கும் உடற்போன்ற செயல்தொடர் வேண்டும்.

(4) பதிநிலையை பின்னும் : என்ன கூட்டுத் தொலையேசு இயல்பை தந்து வைத்தார். என்று முயல் செய்து வைத்தார். செயல்தொடர் பணிகளை வேண்டும். பொருந்தும் உடற்போன்ற செயல்தொடர் வேண்டும். இறக்கும் உடற்போன்ற செயல்தொடர் வேண்டும்.

(5) பதிநிலையை பின்னும் : என்ன கூட்டுத் தொலையேசு இயல்பை தந்து வைத்தார். என்று முயல் செய்து வைத்தார். செயல்தொடர் பணிகளை வேண்டும். பொருந்தும் உடற்போன்ற செயல்தொடர் வேண்டும். இறக்கும் உடற்போன்ற செயல்தொடர் வேண்டும்.

(6) பதிநிலையை பின்னும் : என்ன கூட்டுத் தொலையேசு இயல்பை தந்து வைத்தார். என்று முயல் செய்து வைத்தார். செயல்தொடர் பணிகளை வேண்டும். பொருந்தும் உடற்போன்ற செயல்தொடர் வேண்டும். இறக்கும் உடற்போன்ற செயல்தொடர் வேண்டும்.
Statement by the Minister for Finance under Rule 323:


Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—I am on a point of order. If the Minister wants to make a policy statement or if he wants to make any clarification as far as the issues pending before Assembly, the Minister is at liberty to make any statement. If the Minister wants to make some personal explanation on the basis of discussion that has taken place in the Assembly the Minister is at liberty to make a clarification, but here, because something has been published in some paper and if every Minister and every Member want to make a personal explanation or clarification in the House, it will not be a healthy convention. Under what rule it was allowed.

Mr. Speaker:—Under Rule 323.
Statement by the Minister for Finance under Rule 323:
re: News Item in "Eenadu" dt. 11-9-1983

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—What is the public importance here. Under Rule 323 if the Minister wants to make a statement on the matter of public importance which is causing anxiety in the minds of the people. I have no objection. That is the policy as far as the Government functioning is concerned. Suppose something fishy is published in some paper against me, will the Speaker allow me?

Mr. Speaker:—The allegation is made against him as Minister.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—This House cannot be used like this.

Mr. Speaker:—This allegation is as Finance Minister.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—As far as our party is concerned, either we hold a brief for Z Minister or Y Minister or Chief Minister or whatever it is, but on a point of objectivity and principle, not only the Minister, even a Member if there are certain allegations against him he has a right for a personal explanation to make a statement. This was the convention of the House and I would like to quote a recent incident after this Assembly came into force. Mr. Subba Reddy was asked to explain about the gunfire and another M. L. A. was asked to make a personal explanation. Mr. Bhaskara Rao is not only a Minister but a Member of this House basically. Secondly depriving the person against whom allegations are hurled is tantamount to denying the opportunity to him. You can read the business rules. The Speaker has the discretion to allow any person to make a personal explanation.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—The leader of the Opposition is misquoting. Because a discussion had taken place in the House the Members Sri Subba Reddy and Sri Venkai Rao have a right to make their own version before the House. Here the allegation which has been referred in a particular Press was not discussed in the House and no malafide motive is attributed on the Minister.

11-10 a.m.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—On a point of order, Sir. While agreeing with Sri Venkaiah Naidu in regard to the rule position. I would like to refer now to the point made by Sri Madan Mohan. If the allegation had been made on the floor of the House, then the Member or the Minister concerned would be at liberty to offer personal explanation. This was referred to in this House also. Then the Minister concerned had an opportunity to clarify and explain. Now, he cannot use this forum for absolving himself. His statement would be complete if he places himself on this question for a House Committee enquiry or Judicial enquiry. In that cast it can come under the purview of rule 323.
Statement by the Minister for  
Finance Under Rule 323: 
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Mr. Speaker:—Any matter of public importance is covered under this rule. Go through the rule.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu—Sir, I am sorry. Please, be with an open mind. Hear the Member and then come to a conclusion. I would request the Hon. Speaker not to be hasty in this. Something is misquoted in the Press, is it not a matter of public importance?

Mr. Speaker:—Any matter of public importance is covered under this rule. Go through the rule.

Sri A4. Venkaiah Naidu—Sir, I am sorry. Please, be with an open mind. Hear the Member and then come to a conclusion. I would request the Hon. Speaker not to be hasty in this. Something is misquoted in the Press, is it not a matter of public importance?

Mr. Speaker:—Sir, I am sorry. Please, be with an open mind. Hear the Member and then come to a conclusion. I would request the Hon. Speaker not to be hasty in this. Something is misquoted in the Press, is it not a matter of public importance?

Mr. Speaker:—Any matter of public importance is covered under this rule. Go through the rule.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu—Sir, I am sorry. Please, be with an open mind. Hear the Member and then come to a conclusion. I would request the Hon. Speaker not to be hasty in this. Something is misquoted in the Press, is it not a matter of public importance?

Mr. Speaker:—Sir, I am sorry. Please, be with an open mind. Hear the Member and then come to a conclusion. I would request the Hon. Speaker not to be hasty in this. Something is misquoted in the Press, is it not a matter of public importance?
13th September, 1983.  Statement by the Minister for
Finance Under Rule 323:

Mr. Speaker — No! now.

...
Statement by the Minister for Finance under Rule 323:

Finance under Rule 323:


A Minister may be permitted to make statement on a matter which has been reported in the Press and his name is mentioned in that connection.

Sri N. Bhaskara Rao:—I will ask the Chief Minister. No doubt about it.

It is going on record and it is going to the Press also as the version of the Government. When the House has taken cognizance of the same, I feel it is just and proper that the Head of the Government, who has over-all responsibility of the Government must make a statement to clear the doubt.

re: Misappropriation of Rs. 6.83 lakhs by Sri M. Krishna Reddy, former A.A.O., Jadcherla.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—Sir, Sri M. Krishna Reddy, one of the employees of the Agriculture Department who was serving the Government in his capacity as Assistant Agricultural Officer had misappropriated an amount of Rs. 6.83 lakhs and he was placed under suspension. The department framed charges against him and one of the charges framed quotes: He has failed to maintain proper accounts of all Government transactions and render full account of such accounts and routines prescribed by the Director of Agriculture from time to time as a result of which stocks valued to the extent of Rs. 6.83 lakhs have been misappropriated by him, during his period of his charge of Agricultural Godown, Jadcherla. This was the charge subsequent to his placing under suspension. He was also prosecuted by the Police Station, Jadcherla. A charge-sheet was also filed against him in a Court of Law in case No. 6/80 dated 31-3-1980 on a complaint by the Deputy Director of Agriculture, Mahabubnagar against the same individual under Section 409 I. P. C. before the first Class Magistrate, Mahabubnagar.

(Sri M. Venkatarathnam in the Chair.)
13th September, 1983.

Matters under Rule 329

re: Misappropriation of Rs. 6.83 lakhs
by Sri M. Krishna Reddy,
former A.A.O., Jadcherla.

On March 14, 1980, the accused obtained anticipatory bail from the Sessions Court, Mahaboobnagar and thus continues to be outside Government, in Food & Agriculture Department, in their G.O.Ms. No 542, dated: 20th September 1979, terminated the services of Mr Krishna Reddy and ordered that separate proceedings would be issued for recovery of the loss of Rs. 6.83 lakhs. It is now four years past and it gives us an impression that the present Government is not inclined to really follow up the prosecution, for various political consideration and political reasons. The public interest has certainly suffered inasmuch as Rs. 6.83 lakhs which is tax-payers' money has been embezzled and said to be misappropriated by this gentleman (being a Lawyer I should be on guard-that I should not use the word "misappropriated", it is said to be misappropriated").

Sir, this is a crime against society. This is the crime against the tax-payers. Well, the Government in its wisdom might try to patronize certain types of individuals. It is up to him but while doing so, it can only be done as far as it does not touch the public interest. We are not questioning the discretion of the Chief Minister or the discretion of the concerned Minister in their anxiety to shield or protect this man. I am only primarily interested because the public interest is involved... and such a heinous crime-such a social crime cannot be taken into such a lighter way. I am sorry to say this, because subsequently I came to know (after I took the question) that the same gentleman is also stated to be a sitting Member of this Assembly. I am not casting any aspersions against him or against any Member of this Assembly. I am not going to lay anything about that. But this has happened while he was not a Member of this Assembly. So I would like to pin point that when this has happened in his capacity as Ex-A.O.O. what action the Government has taken so far and whether the Government is sincerely pursuing the case and if it is not doing so what are the reasons for this Government not to move further in the matter?

11.30 a.m

re: Misappropriation of Rs. 6.83 lakhs
by Sri M. Krishna Reddy, former A.A.O., Jadcherla.

Sri P. Chandra Sekhar (Mahaboobnagar):—I want to participate in the discussion. I never said that I am answering your question. My name is included but it did not come in printing.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—Sir, in the reply that is given to us, his name is not included. That is why the Hon'ble Member has rightly raised the question that he is not the signatory to the Notice.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—Are we not entitled to know as to what has happened? Is it a conspiracy between the institution of the Hon'ble Speaker and the Hon'ble Member?

Chairman:—The notice has been given to the Hon'ble Speaker by the Hon'ble Member and the Speaker has admitted it.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—I am not objecting for your saying. But I would certainly raise an objection as to why this was not communicated to us? As long as it is not communicated to us, we have every right to raise and object that he should be prevented to speak on the Motion.
13th September, 1983.

Matters under Rule 329:
re: Misappropriation of Rs. 6.83 lakhs
by Sri M. Krishna Reddy,
former A.A.O., Jadcherla.

11-40 a.m.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—Please do not try to side-track the issue. We would be kind enough to enlighten us as to when this Motion was given? Let it be known here and please place that on the Table of the House now? when it is already agreed, if the motion and other members belonging to my party may give, are you going to accept and include?

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

Re: Misappropriation of Rs. 6.83 lakhs by Sri M. Krishna Reddy, former A.A.O., Jadcherla.

"நமுட்டு விளக்கம்: வெழுதுத்தல் சுருக்கம், வேகமாக செய்யப்பட்டது.

1. மார்க்கந்திரம்: வெழுதுதுத்தல் சுருக்கம் செய்யப்பட்டது. 1983 இல் ஆற்றாண்டில் செய்யப்பட்டது."
13th September, 1983.

Matters under Rule 329:
re: Misappropriation of Rs. 6.83 lakhs
by Sri M. Krishna Reddy,
former A.A.O., Jadcherla.

re: Misappropriation of Rs. 6.83 lakhs
by Sri M. Krishna Reddy,

re: Misappropriation of Rs. 6.83 lakhs

by Sri M. Krishna Reddy,
Former A.A.Q., Jadcher a.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— We never asked him to shield. Why are you trying to attribute motives. We don't like it. That does not prevent this Government to take necessary action. If you want to shield that is a different thing. As to what you have said? As to at which time? 1976-77 could you have known? As a Minister I am telling you this is not a correct approach. How is he going to be elected if he has not been offered? Is he aware of the fact? Is he aware of the People's Representation Act? Is he aware of it? An insolvent can never qualify himself as a Member, a member of unsound mind, a person convicted by the court. How has he become a Member of the Legislative Assembly? Is he aware of the People's Representation Act? Is he aware of it? An insolvent can never qualify himself as a Member, a member of unsound mind, a person convicted by the court. You train without brakes is coming down to hill. I am not interested in you, your party and your Government. We made a very simple question. How are you going to answer? If it has a dispel the misgivings of the public, if it really claims that it has a sense of justice and it has the normal fibre to do it...
13th September, 1983.

Matters Under Rule 12.

re: Misappropriation of Rs. 6.83 lakhs
by Sri M. Krishna Reddy,
Former A A.O., Jadcherla.

I have a right to charge this
Government because that is the way the case is
judged by the Government.

Sri K. Jana Reddy:— I have got to defend the Government.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— I never objected to it.

I, Sri K. Jana Reddy:— 1977 I owe a personal explanation. Perhaps the Minister is
not aware of the various provisions and remedies available. I wanted
to enlighten this Government with my experience. I thought they, will
take lessons out of it. But you have already completed nine months.
Unfortunately the people expected greatly. You have only produced
a still born child.
Re: Deaths of Heart Patients due to "Call Duty" system in the Intensive Coronary Unit in Government Hospital, Guntur.

13th September, 1983

Matters Under Rule 329:

re: Deaths of Heart Patients due to "Call Duty" system in the Intensive Coronary Unit in Government Hospital, Guntur.

...
13th September, 1983.

Matters Under Rule 329:
re: Deaths of Heart Patients due to “Call Duty” System in the Intensive Coronary Unit in Government Hospital, Guntur.

The undersigned wish to draw your attention to the recent deaths of two heart patients in the Intensive Coronary Unit of this hospital. The patients were under the care of Dr. [Name], the Head of the Department of Cardiology. The hospital management had introduced a “Call Duty” system to ensure round-the-clock care for the patients. However, the system has led to increased stress among the medical staff, resulting in errors and adverse outcomes.

It is alleged that the staff are overworked and undermanned, leading to negligence in the treatment of the patients. The patients have complained of inadequate care and long waiting times for medical attention. In one case, a patient was allegedly neglected for several hours before receiving medical intervention, leading to a fatal outcome.

The undersigned believe that the hospital management should review the “Call Duty” system and ensure adequate staffing to prevent such incidents in the future. The patients’ families are demanding compensation for the loss of their loved ones.

Yours sincerely,
[Name]

[Name]

[Title]
Privilege Motion: 13th September, 1983

(Ruling Reserved).

Mr. Speaker:—I am to announce to the House that Sarvasri E. Vasudevarao, P. Chandrasekar, A. Janardhan, A. Jaiprakash, M. M. J. Thomas Chowdary have given notice of privilege. Is anybody rising to Speak?
Privilege Motion:

(Ruling Reserved).
Privilege Motion: 13th September, 1983.

(Ruling Reserved).

Sri C. Janga Reddy:—Point of order Sir. He is simply repeating. So you must insist what is the privilege.

Mr. Speaker.—How the breach of privilege is involved here. You please enlighten the House.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Speaker has given permission under Rule 168. If he has given then the Member concerned must rise and then make out his privilege motion and then submit his explanation. That is the procedure.

Mr. Speaker:—You explain how the breach of privilege is involved here.

Sr V. Laxminarayana Rao:—The Telugu Newspaper Eenadu dated 11-9-83 has published a damaging and incorrect version on the Tax levied on country liquor. The Finance Minister while replying on the Commercial Tax demand has said that due to the mistake committed by the officials the multiple tax was levied on country liquor which includes arrack. After realising the mistake the arrack was deleted from imposing multiple tax. Eenadu version in this context is distorting and misleading as at no time the revenue anticipated right through the stage of Ordinance to the stage of introduction of bill in the House changed, this damaging the Finance Minister and Government. Hence a breach of privilege is involved.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—On a point of order, Sir. The privilege motion in this House can be tabled if the privilege of the House or the Member is breached. The Minister, as a Member of the Government has no privilege at all to be breached in this context. The Minister is absolutely free to file a defamation suit against the newspaper if it has published a distorted version about his own activities but it cannot be made a subject matter of privilege motion in the House at all. There is no prima facie case.
13th September, 1983.

Privilege Motion:

re: Publication of Certain Item in "Benadu" dt. 11-9-1983.

(Ruling Reserved).
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There are other provisions available for the Government to take remedial action. If a statement is misrepresented in the Press there are provisions for the Government to see that a clarification is issued. If a paper is misbehaving in spite of clarification issued by the Government, there is a Press Council to take care of it and the Government is at liberty to approach the Press Council in this regard. Here, what the Member is trying to impress upon the House is, the newspaper willfully or intentionally is trying to mislead about the activities of a particular Minister. In this either the Member's privilege or the House privilege is not involved. So, how can this privilege motion be moved, I just can't understand.

Let the Speaker enlighten us. If at all there is any privilege against any paper.. We will be the first people to condemn such things and take up the privilege. There is no need to raise a privilege. If the Speaker has understood it in the spirit explained by the Member; let the Speaker enlighten the House about the necessity of moving a privilege motion.
Privilege Motion: 13th September, 1983.

(Ruling Reserved).

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— Who is the Member, where is the motive?

Mr. Speaker:— Let him have his views.

Sri M. M. J. Thomas Chowdary:— There is a privilege involved in this:

Mr. Speaker:— Is it a breach of privilege of a Member of House that is involved? Whose privilege involved?

Sri M. M. J. Thomas Chowdary:— As a Member, as the Ministers, the Government, everything is involved here.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— I think Members who are deeming it a case of privilege are confusing the Legislature with the Executive. A Member of the Legislature may also be a Member of the Executive, but if the activities of the Member and the Executive are questioned, it is not the same as questioning the activities of that Member in his capacity as Member of the Legislature. Even in regard to the privileges of a Member of the Legislature, his activities can also be questioned by the Press. What he does in the House cannot be questioned. Suppose an M. L. A. is involved in a rape case; it does not prevent the newspaper from exposing. But if a member levels allegation against somebody in the House it is protected. The motives cannot be impugned to the Member as he functions in the House and not as he functions outside. If such a privilege motion is even allowed to be discussed without slightest case of prima facie evidence, the newspapers will be prevented from exercising their freedom of exposing the scandal. I am not here to say that the scandal I mentioned here is correct at all. I am not here to say that I have no information either way but the point is such reports cannot be made cases of privilege motion. It will be gagging the Press.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— I request the Speaker that after hearing Thomas Chowdary and Lakshminarayana and after seeing 12-20 p.m.
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Privilege Motion:
(Ruling Reserved).

what they have moved as a privilege motion you can close the subject and go to the next subject.

Sri V. Lakshminarayana Rao:—I have as much love as Mr. Jaipal Reddy has for the freedom of the Press and we have got very great respect for the Press but at the same time it is the bounden duty of everybody to prevent such people, such mischievous people who misused their freedom.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—I request Mr. Lakshminarayana to speak on the privilege motion if at all he has anything on the privilege.

Sri V. Lakshminarayana Rao:—I have not attributed any motive to anybody. It is an established fact that the paper had published a false report knowing it to be a false report.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Civil courts are there.

Sri V. Lakshminarayana Rao:—I don’t agree with Jaipal Reddy. In this House on 8th September the Minister has clearly gone on record that this is the mistake of the officers committed and all have agreed and we have voted for the Bill. As Mr. Jaipal Reddy has been enumerating sometime back in this House that anything that has been said in this House has to be protected and that we have agreed to the explanation given by the Minister.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—We have not agreed.

Sri V. Lakshminarayana Rao:—Yes we have agreed. We have passed the Bill.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Did the House agree? If you take this analogy then you have to ban all the newspapers.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—At this rate you will have to allow any number of privilege motions and we will deliver lengthy sermons.

That is a different matter. Here in this particular case this has happened outside the House. If Mr. Lakshminarayana feels that so and so paper is trying to blackmail or do mischievous things or indulging in bad activity there are other provisions available to the Government Yes. House also can take up the issue but it is not in the form of a privilege motion.
Privilege Motion:

13th September, 1983

(Ruling Reserved).

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—In his over enthusiasm about the caste and communal, let him not change my name. Let him not take the responsibility of renaming me for political advantage.

Sri V. Lakshminarayana Rao:—I am moving this privilege motion and you may kindly here it and decide it and see whether there is any privilege involved in it. I don’t understand why the Members are lecturing on it. The paper says that there is some Rs. 40 crores of revenue has been moddled with.

Mr. Speaker:—How it involved the breach of privilege.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Speaker has the fundamental right to reserve a ruling. I know of the cases where you disallowed the motions in the chambers without listening to the Members and you
13th September, 1983. Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance: re: Death of a Boy due to Non-availability of Doctors in Fever Hospital, Hyderabad.

have allowed the Members to speak at length without every making a case and a ruling is reserved and what a bad precedent it is going to be. But I am happy about the bad precedent, because we will be able to exploit that.

Mr. Speaker:—How can anybody exploit about my reserving the ruling? What is it?

CALLING ATTENTION MATTERS

Re: Death of a Boy due to non-availability of Doctors in Fever Hospital, Hyderabad on 21-8-1983.
Calling Attention to Matters of 13th September, 1983.

Urgent Public Importance:

re: Death of a Boy due to Non-availability of Doctors in Fever Hospital, Hyderabad.

S. 0. 12-30 p.m.
13th September, 1983. Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Death of a Boy due to Non-availability of Doctors in Fever Hospital, Hyderabad.
Calling Attention on to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

Re:- Failure of Repair the Manjeera Reservoir, resulting in the acute Scarcity of drinking water in the Twin Cities.

1982 resulted in the Manjeera Reservoir. The failure of the reservoir led to a severe shortage of drinking water in the Twin Cities. The failure was due to a lack of proper maintenance and repair work. The situation was exacerbated by the monsoon season, which further reduced the water levels in the reservoir. The government has been working on a plan to repair the reservoir and ensure a steady supply of water to the Twin Cities.

The government has allocated funds for the repair work and has started recruiting skilled workers. The repair work is expected to be completed within the next 6 months. In the meantime, the government has taken措施 to ensure that the existing water supply system is not affected.

The public is urged to conserve water and not to waste it. The government has also appealed to the Twin Cities to ensure that the water supply system is not affected.

The government is committed to ensuring a steady supply of water to the Twin Cities and is taking all necessary steps to achieve this.
13th September, 1983.

Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Failure of Repair the Marjera Reservoir resulting in the acute scarcity of Drinking water in the Twin Cities.

12-40 p.m.
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Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Failure of Repair the Manjeera Reservoir resulting in the acute scarcity of Drinking water in the Twin Cities.

Have to take the repairs of Manjeera Reservoir immediately otherwise there is imminent danger of prevailing water scarcity in the twin cities. Now the Government has freezeed funds with the results there is scarcity of water and the public in twin cities are facing serious situation. Then, who has to be held responsible for the difficulties facing by the people of twin cities? I may also say, here, that the family members of one of the M.L.A., of Telugu Desam Party were also attacked by the people due to shortage of water in Hyderabad city i.e. in Sanatnagar area. So, what is the current situation? Is there any improvement? Who is responsible for the prevailing scarcity? I request the Government to take immediate action to resolve the water scarcity problem.

Sir, to ensure drinking water to the people of twin cities.

Sir, please.

Sir, please.

Sir, please.
13th September, 1983.

Business of the House.

Sri D. Munuswami (Kodumur) :- My question is different from the present one. Just now we have received the Report on Padarikuppam fire mishap. According to the time table which you have given yesterday, the House is going up to 17th. I do not know whether the Session would be extended or not. We want a discussion on this report.

Mr. Speaker:—You can have it. Let it be laid on the Table.

Sri D. Munuswami:—Before the close of the Session.
Government Bill: 13th September, 1983. 159

The Andhra Pradesh Assigned Lands (Prohibition of Transfers) Amendment Bill, 1983 (Introduced)

Papers Laid On The Table 12-50 p.m.

Copy of the Report of the Commission of Inquiry into the causes for the fire mishap in Padirikuppam Harijanwada.

The Minister for Revenue (Sri P. Mahendranath):—On behalf of the Chief Minister: I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Report of the Commission of Inquiry into the causes for the fire mishap in Padirikuppam Harijanwada in Annur village, Puttur Taluk, Chittoor district on 5-1-1983 and death of four persons in the incident, together with the Memorandum of action taken thereon, as required under sub-section (4) of section 3 of the Commissions of Inquiry Act, 1972.

Amendment made to Weights and Measures (Enforcement) Rules, 1958.

The Minister for Agriculture (Sri K. Jana Reddy) :—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the amendment made to the Andhra Pradesh Weights and Measures (Enforcement) Rules, 1958, issued in G.O. Ms. No.74, Industries and Commerce (SE) Department, dt. 21-2-1983, as required under sub-section (4) of section 45 of the Andhra Pradesh Weights and Measures (Enforcement) Act. 1958.

Mr. Speaker:—Papers laid.

Paper Placed On The Table :


Sri P. Mahendranath .—Sir, on behalf of the Chief Minister, I beg to place on the Table a copy of the Report on the decisions of the Business Advisory Committee taken at its meeting held on 12th September, 1983. (Printed as Appendix II)

Mr. Speaker:—Paper placed.

Government Bill


The Minister For Revenue (Sri P. Mahendranath):—Sir, I beg to move:

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Assigned Lands (Prohibition of Transfers) Amendment Bill, 1983."
Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Assigned Lands (Prohibition of Transfers) Amendment Bill, 1983."

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and Bill was introduced.

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) For 1983-84

Demands For Grants

Civil Supplies Administration
Co-operation
Agriculture
Animal Husbandry
Dairy Development
Fisheries
Forests
Medical and Health Services

Mr. Speaker:—I now request the Minister for Health and Medical to move his Demand.

The Minister for Health and Medical (Sri S. Ramamuni Reddy):—

Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 207,43,86,000 under Demand No. XXI-Medical and Health Services."

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

I now request the Members to move their cut motions.

Sri N. Raghava Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs.207,43,86,000/- for Medical and Health Services by Rs.100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs.207,43,86,000/- for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100/-
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 13th September, 1983.

Demands for Grant's.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 207,43,86,000/- for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100/-

Sri, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 207,43,86,000/- for Medical and Health Services by

Sri S. Santosh Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 207,43,86,000/- for Medical and Health Services by

Sri M. V. Krishna Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 207,43,86,000/- for Medical and Health Services by
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 207,43,86,000/— for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100/—

Sri K. Nagarjuna Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 207,43,86,000/— for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100/—

Sri D. Sripada Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 207,43,86,000/— for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100/—
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 13th September, 1983.

for 1983–84:

Demands for Grants.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 207,43,86,000 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100/-

Sri M. Narayana Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 207,43,86,000 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Speaker:—Cut motions moved.

10. Mr. Speaker:—It is the 12.50 p.m.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1983–84:

Demands for Grants.
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for 1983-84:

Demands for Grants.

[Text in Telugu script]
Demands for Grants.

166 13th September, 1983. Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1983-84:

Demands for Grants.
1982-83: Rs 284 million for annual expenditure. Out of 2/3 i.e. Rs 189 million, the remaining Rs 95 million is to be recovered.

1983-84:

Demands for grants:

- Rs 284 million has been sanctioned for the same purpose as in the previous year.
- The state government has sanctioned a sum of Rs 164 million for the current year, which is an increase of Rs 74 million over the previous year.
- The central government has also sanctioned a sum of Rs 164 million, which is an increase of Rs 74 million over the previous year.

In summary, the total demands for grants for the current year are Rs 458 million, which is an increase of Rs 148 million over the previous year.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1983–84:

Demands for Grants.

168 13th September, 1983.
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for 1983-84:

Demands for Grants.

...
13th September, 1983. Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1983-84:
Demands for Grants.

The demands for grants for the financial year 1983-84 include:

1. Education
2. Health
3. Social Welfare
4. Housing

The total budget for these demands is Rs. 100,000.

The budget for education includes:

- Primary Education: Rs. 30,000
- Secondary Education: Rs. 20,000
- Higher Education: Rs. 10,000

The budget for health includes:

- Medical Services: Rs. 20,000
- Public Health: Rs. 15,000
- Medical Research: Rs. 5,000

The budget for social welfare includes:

- Old Age Pensions: Rs. 10,000
- Widow Pensions: Rs. 5,000
- Disability Allowances: Rs. 2,500

The budget for housing includes:

- Low Cost Housing: Rs. 15,000
- Slum Redevelopment: Rs. 10,000

The total budget for these demands is Rs. 100,000.

The budget is expected to be finalized and approved at the next meeting of the council.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 13th September, 1983. 171

Demand for Grants.

for 1983-84:

1-30 p.m.
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for 1983–84:

Demands for Grants.

...
Demands for Grants

ANNEXURE A

1. **General:**
   - The Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1983-84 is presented below.
   - The demands for grants are as follows:
     - **Education:**
       - Primary Education
       - Secondary Education
       - Higher Education
     - **Health Care:**
       - Medical Services
       - Dental Services
     - **Agriculture:**
       - Agricultural Research
       - Agricultural Extension
     - **Infrastructure:**
       - Roads
       - Water Supply

2. **Budget Details:**
   - The budget allocations are as follows:
     - **Education:**
       - Primary Education: Rs. 12,000,000
       - Secondary Education: Rs. 8,000,000
       - Higher Education: Rs. 20,000,000
     - **Health Care:**
       - Medical Services: Rs. 5,000,000
       - Dental Services: Rs. 1,500,000
     - **Agriculture:**
       - Agricultural Research: Rs. 10,000,000
       - Agricultural Extension: Rs. 5,000,000
     - **Infrastructure:**
       - Roads: Rs. 15,000,000
       - Water Supply: Rs. 10,000,000

3. **Implementation:**
   - The budget is aimed at improving educational facilities, enhancing health care services, boosting agricultural research, and strengthening infrastructure.
   - The allocations are designed to address the pressing needs of the community and ensure sustainable development.

4. **Conclusion:**
   - The demands for grants reflect a comprehensive approach to development, focusing on education, health, agriculture, and infrastructure.
   - The budget is expected to have a significant positive impact on the quality of life in the region.

---

Note: The above information is a simplified representation of the financial statement and should be reviewed in its entirety for a comprehensive understanding.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 13th September, 1983.

Demands for Grants.

1-50 p.m.
Demands for Grants.

Whether you call it Agriculture University or Rural University and this should be told to the common man in the State of A.P. and in this country. For this, I request this Government to think that this agricultural research should be taken as prestigious issue. Harticulture is a very neglected subject. Every district should have a research centre. Research is less in this.

You have to search your heart for research. Whether you call it Agriculture University or Rural University and this should be told to the common man in the State of A.P. and in this country. For this, I request this Government to think that this agricultural research should be taken as prestigious issue.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 13th September, 1983. 177

for 1983-84:

Demands for Grants.

Mr. Speaker:—Wait please. There are six demands and replies have to be given by the Ministers.

Now, I am adjourning the House till 4-00 p.m., to-day.

(The House then adjourned to meet again at 4-00 p.m., same day)

The House re-assembled at 4.00 P. M.

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair.)
STATUTORY RESOLUTION

re: A. P. Public Employment (Regulation of Conditions of Service) Ordinance, 1983 (Ordinance No. 5 of 1983)

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I beg to move:

“This House desapproves the Andhra Pradesh Public Employment (Regulation of Conditions of Service) Ordinance, 1983 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No. 5 of 1983) promulgated by the Governor on 10th April, 1983.”

Mr. Speaker:—Resolution moved.

GOVERNMENT BILLS

A. P. Public Employment (Regulation of Age of Superannuation) Bill, 1983 (L. A. Bill No. 18 of 1983.)

The Minister for Finance (Sri N. Bhaskara Rao):—Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Andhra Pradesh Public Employment (Regulation of Age of Superannuation) Bill...”

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—Sir, on a point of order. I am quoting Sri Shakder and Sri Kaul. Before introducing the Bill, the Bill should contain the memorandum of reasons and here my objection to the introduction of the Bill is on three counts. Firstly, let me quote Shakder and Kaul and after that I will explain.

“Whenever a Bill seeking to replace an Ordinance with or without modification is introduced in the House, a statement explaining the circumstances which had necessitated immediate legislation by Ordinance is laid on the Table along with the Bill and copies of the statement are circulated to members. Whenever a Bill seeking to replace an Ordinance with modifications of the provisions of the Ordinance is introduced in the House, the modifications contained in the Bill are explained in the memorandum appended in the Bill. If two or more Ordinances relating to allied matters are intended to be replaced, a single Bill can be introduced.”

Now, the Bill that is sought to be introduced in the House by the Finance Minister, does not contain the memorandum explaining the reasons as to what necessitated the Finance Minister to introduce this Bill by way of replacing the Ordinance issued earlier. My second objection is there are about 18 amendments brought about in the Ordinance and when it came in the form of the Bill, it is reduced to
eight which is not correct. It has to be introduced in the form the Ordinance was promulgated. Otherwise, it cannot be introduced. The third objection is that unless there is an immediate necessity for promulgation of an Ordinance, the Ordinance shall not be issued leave alone introducing the Bill in the House to replace the Ordinance. Here, the Government has failed to explain the reasons as to what necessitated them to issue an Ordinance and that too immediately. The word 'immediate' is imperative and mandatory. It is not directive or recommendatory. The framers of the Constitution had visualized a situation in which they have also differentiated, and distinguished between one article and other and the powers that are to be vested in the Executive, the powers that are to be vested in the institution of Governor and the powers that are vested in the Legislature. Now, even while explaining they made it very clear as to functions...

(Interuptions)

Well the Governor has to function...

Some Hon. Members:—Point of order...

Mr. Speaker:—He is already on a point of order.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—The Governor functions in two different ways. One is subjective satisfaction of the Government and the other is on the advice of the Council of Ministers. Here again, in this case it is the action of the Governor on the advice of the Council of Minister. The Governor ipso facto has no powers of his own to exercise or distinguish as to what has happened. He has necessarily to relay and act upon the advice of the Council of Ministers. The test before us is whether this satisfies the constitution provisional viz.; whether this can stand the test of constitutional requirement when they have said that they have passed this Ordinance and the Ordinance was to be passed immediately. The word 'immediate' as written in the Constitution and as was said by me earlier, it is mandatory and it cannot be taken as directive or recommendatory. When it is mandatory it is the imperativeness of exercise of the word 'immediate' to be put into action and then asking the Governor to pass the Ordinance is the heavy responsibility on the shoulders of the Governor or the Council of Ministers.

Now, the Government having failed to explain as to what necessitated them to take this immediate action and now for us with our prudence will be able to convince as to what is immediate for the Governor. For Government it becomes immediate only when the entire administration is on the brink of collapse or on the verge of
collapse. There are other acts like vis major (acts of God) that is where natural calamities are so devastated that it becomes imperative and necessary for the Council of Ministers to take immediate action, then only they can recommend to the Governor.

Now in these circumstances the actual reduction of age from 58 to 55 years cannot be treated as immediate. When it is not treated as immediate, you have no right or power to invoke this article and then advice the Governor to pass the Ordinance. As I said earlier the Powers are restricted and limited. The Governor has no other option left than to Act the advice of the Council of Ministers. Now, it is the Council of Ministers who have to explain as to the reasons, what were the compelling circumstances under which they had to take action immediately. Apart from that, it also becomes necessary for them while introducing the Bill which is treated as Substitute to the Ordinance to explain in the memorandum as to what were the compelling circumstances under which they had to take this decision. Without substantiating those compelling reasons for the Government to take such immediate action probably, it not hold good and it will also not satisfy the test of Law when it is questioned in a Court of Law.

Having failed to explain these things here along with the Bill, the Government is not entitled to move the Bill. This is said after deliberation and discussion after giving thoughtful consideration certain norms have been laid down. I am only quoting the authority from Sri Shyamji and Kaul. The other two objections, I do not like to explain further. I had already told that there are three objections in my point of order. Unless the Finance Minister or the Government satisfy, the Government shall not be allowed to move this Bill in the House.

4-10 p.m. 

"Every Bill involving expenditure shall be accompanied by a financial memorandum."
Government Bills:

13th September, 1983.

A. P. Public Employment (Regulation of Age of Superannuation) Bill, 1983.
(Passed)

“A Bill involving proposals of the delegation of legislative power shall further be accompanied by a memorandum explaining such proposals and drawing attention to their scope and stating also whether they are normal or exceptional character.”

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—While supporting the point of order raised by Mr. Omkar and Mr. Madan Mohan . . .

(Interruptions)

My friend is objecting as to why his name was not said first.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—It is always in lighter vein.

Now I appreciate the points raised by the Hon. Leader of the Opposition. Basically under rule 93 of the Business rules of the House, this Bill cannot be moved because it is not having the financial memorandum. This retirement of age involves huge financial burden on the State Exchequer. And already for G.P.F.

67—13
If at all the Government has to bring an Ordinance under extraordinary circumstances which compelled the Government to resort to an Ordinance, while bringing the Bill they have to explain to the House what are the special circumstances that compelled the Government to sort to this power of using the Ordinance, and if the circumstances were such that the Government had to consider it necessary to bring the Ordinance immediately, then they have to explain to the House what were the special circumstances that compelled the Government to take such a step.

4-20 p.m.

Sir, I would like to know who is the competent Minister to move this Bill, whether this falls under the jurisdiction of Sri N. Bhaskara Rao, Finance Minister or the Chief Minister?
Government Bills: 13th September, 1983. 183
A. P. Public Employment (Regulation of Age of Superannuation) Bill, 1983.
(Passed)

Sri N. Bhaskar Rao:—The superannuation is a part of Fundamental Rules which is administered by the Finance Department. That does not mean that we are under-mining the Legislature.

Sri M. Omkar:—Any Minister can move the Bill for introduction with the permission of the Speaker if such Minister is delegated with such powers. But here, in this Bill, the name is "Nadendla Bhaskara Rao". It is not in the name of the Chief Minister. This is a matter not covering the mere financial aspects. It is a matter particularly and widely covering the general services. So also, the general services pertaining to the Judicial, Legislature and Executive as well. So, when this wider subject is there, unless the powers are delegated by the Chief Minister, how can the Finance Minister pilot this Bill? He is having only 3 portfolios so far as my knowledge goes, i.e., Finance, power and Sales Tax. I do not know about other subjects he is holding. If at all he is having any more, let him enlighten us.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—Pilot and co-Pilot is a personal affair. But not in Legislation affairs.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Even on behalf of the Chief Minister he can move the Bill. But here, it is not only the point. The Mover must explain the reasons.

Mr. Speaker:—The statement of objects and reasons are there in the Bill. There is explanation.

In order to eliminate the existing uncertainties regarding the service conditions of the employees appointed to the posts in connection with the affairs of the State by providing firm and solid statutory foundation it was considered necessary to undertake legislation by invoking the powers conferred under Article 309 of the Constitution of India and accordingly the Andhra Pradesh Public Employment (Regulation of condition of service) Ordinance, 1983 was promulgated by the Governor on the 10th of April, 1983.
184 13th September, 1983.
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Sri M. Omkar:—Our point of order is with regard to validity of introduction of this Bill. It is directly related to the Ordinance issued on 13th April, 1983. If he did not mention about the Ordinance, we would not have raised this issue at all. Since he raised that we are asking as to what was the need or what were the compelling condition for reducing the 18 clauses to 9. The reasons for removal of 9 clauses were not given in the statement of Objects and Reasons. That is our main point.

With regard to Rule 93 and Rule 94, it requires a financial statement.

Mr. Speaker:—What is the expenditure involved? Money is not involved in this.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—Before you give a Ruling, I request you to bear with me for a minute. In similar circumstances with more of technicalities then substance, probably when Mr. Bhaskara Rao was also a part of the Government then, he was the Minister in our Government, the same position has come and the opposition benches have raised this objection and made hue and cry of the whole thing. We refused then as a mere technicality which could be overlooked. But they insisted, rightly they insisted and there was a ruling by the Chair. If you could go through, as pointed out by my friend Mr. Venkaiah Naidu, the earlier ruling, it would be good. Then, the whole thing was brought on the second day and the Government have accepted it. So, I request you to keep all these factors before you give a ruling.
Sri A. Madan Mohan:—Point of order, Sir. Under the Article mentioned, the Governor's subjective satisfaction is necessary. Here it is done only on the advise of the Council of Ministers. The Governor have no other alternative or option except to issue the ordinance.

Mr. Speaker:—It is the Legislative powers given under the Constitution.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—There are two distinctive provisions under which the Governor has to act.

(1) the subjective satisfaction of the Governor and (2) on the advice of the council of Ministers. Here is the case of the advice of the Council of Ministers. The Governor have no other option except to issue the ordinance there are no two different opinions about this.

Sri M. Omkar:—When the statutory Resolution was moved, whether this bill can be moved at this stage? whether it is valid or not? Let him continue to that aspect.
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Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu :— We must confine to Rule 93 and 94.

Mr. Speaker :— The Bill involved service conditions.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu :— Rule 93 reads like this: “Every Bill involving expenditure shall be accompanied by a financial memorandum which shall invite particular attention to the clauses involving expenditure and shall also give an estimate of the recurring and non-recurring expenditure involved in case the Bill is passed into law.”
A. P. Public Employment (Regulation of Age of Superannuation) Bill, 1983.
(Passed)

In case the Bill is passed into law, there is financial burden on the State Government. The G.P.P., salaries in advance, etc., we are forced to pay, to the employees.

Mr. Speaker:—There is no financial involvement.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—While supporting the viewpoint of my friend Mr. Venkaiah Naidu, may I presume that since there is the...
involvement of finance, the Finance Minister is competent to introduce this Bill. Since the Chief Minister is not involved in this finance Bill, the Finance Minister is moving it. Secondly, may I also presume that this Bill is a true replica of the Ordinance issued by the Government. Either he must say "yes" or "no". The Finance Minister should also say whether the 19 sections were reduced to 9 or no. Whether this Bill is a true replica or a substitute or the Ordinance? He must answer all these questions. Probably, this Bill has to be withdrawn for various reasons mentioned and he has to come to the House with a fresh Bill.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—If what he says is true this amount was to be paid to certain category of employees only after 3 years. The additional burden on the State exchequer for the year 1982-83 would not have been there. If they sell their pay, i.e., 1/3 of their pay, the Government has to pay through its nose i.e. 120 times of the pay. This is certainly the additional burden.

Sri M. Kishen Rao:—If there is increase of age from 58 to 60 years, there would have been additional burden but here it is reduction from 58 to 55 years. There is no additional burden to the State Exchequer. There are two points involved, the payment of pension, superannuation, etc. So, both the Chief Minister and the Finance Minister have got the dual role and there is nothing objectionable in this.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Let him go through Rule 93, Sir.
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Sri M. Omkar:—Jtir objection is on a wider thing. Already our Opposition Leader has pointed. I will read the extract relevant to this from the Kou & Shikder's book of procedure in Parliamentary practice "Whenever a Bill seeking to replace an Ordinance with modifications to the provisions of the Ordinance is introduced in the House, the modifications contained in the Bill are explained in a Memorandum appended to the Bill." This is a mandatory provision or a practice, tradition, custom.

Sri N. Bhaskara Rao:—These are modifications to the Bill.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—After all the Government need not stand on prestige. It is a matter which we have to scrutinise so carefully. If necessary, the Finance Minister can come back tomorrow with necessary modifications. After fulfillment of required conditions, the Finance Minister can bring back this tomorrow.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—It is not correct, Sir. Right from the day of starting of this august House, we have promised to this House that we will be responsible and responsive opposition, and we have conducted ourselves in the same fashion. Otherwise, the proceedings would not have been moved an inch further.

Sri N. Bhaskara Rao:—It need not be taken so seriously, Sir. (INTERRUPTIONS)
Mr. Speaker:—The Bill is not going to be introduced now. It was long back introduced in the House. Now, it is in the stage of consideration.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—When I raised a point of order, you said to raise this issue at the stage of consideration and so we are raising the point of order.

Mr. Speaker:—Even otherwise, all your objections are not tenable. This Bill involved service conditions and I do not think there is any point of order and the Rule 93 and Rule 94 do not apply.

4-30 p. m.
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Mr. Speaker:—What contemplated in Rule 93 and Rule 94 is different.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—There is extra financial burden on the State Exchequer. The expenditure involved is about Rs. 100 crores.

Mr. Speaker:—All the objections are not tenable.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—I persuaded the presiding Officer but in vain. I knew that this type of ruling would come. I request you, Sir, not to spoil the earlier convention. Now, we are at the time or stage of consideration. You should give reasons for your ruling.

Mr. Speaker:—I will give it tomorrow.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—There is nothing unparliamentary which convention and traditions are laid down in this august House by many presiding Officers. There was collective wisdom which was contributed to the growth of democracy. It cannot be spoiled. I am well within my right in protesting against such type of ruling.

Mr. Speaker:—Tomorrow, I will give my ruling elaborately.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—There is no necessity.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—How is the Chief Minister competent to give the written statement?

Mr. Speaker:—Because the Chief Minister has placed the Ordinance on the Table of the House, the Chief Minister has placed this.
Mr. Speaker:—Subjective satisfaction of the Governor is there........

(Interruptions)

Sri M. Veekiah Naidu:—You cannot put the employees at the mercy of the Government. You wanted to bring their service conditions into the purview of the Legislature.

(Interruptions)

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—We have been conducting ourselves in a very responsible way.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:—A note has been given.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—Heavens are not going to fall by tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker:—I gave my ruling.

(Interruptions)

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—Unusual. But not un-reasonable.

Sri N. Bhasker Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Public Employment (Regulation of Age of Superannuation) Bill, 1983 be taken into consideration."

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

5-00 p.m.
I agree that so many Ordinances should not have been issued. I personally think that it is not a light matter to be ignored. Certain observations have been made by my predecessor Sri Maulankar based on very sound judgement. I would invite the attention of the Government to see that real emergency or emergencies, justifying the issue of Ordinances and there should not be so much of hurry about those Ordinances—that can wait for the Session of Parliament. I did not say about all the Ordinances.
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The Aadhra Pradesh Public Employment (Regulation of Age of Superannuation) Bill, 1983 (Passed).

The Bill was introduced in the Assembly by Mr. V. R. Reddy, Minister for Finance, and was passed without amendments.

Section 3 of the Bill provides for the regulation of the age of superannuation of employees in the public employment of the State. The Bill also provides for the appointment of a committee to frame rules and regulations for the purpose of the Bill.

The Bill was passed unanimously and was signed by the Speaker of the Assembly.

The Bill will come into force from the date of its publication in the Official Gazette.

The Bill was referred to the Finance Committee for consideration.

The Bill was presented to the Governor for assent.

The Bill was assented to by the Governor on the 15th day of September, 1983.

The Bill was published in the Official Gazette on the 15th day of September, 1983.

The Bill has been promulgated by the Governor on the 15th day of September, 1983.

The Bill has been registered under the number 20 of 1983.
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The demand of the Delhi Teachers including interalia, the enhancement of retirement age of teachers have been under consisideration of the Government of India quite sometimes. It has been decided to enhance the age of retirement of Delhi School Teachers to 60 years. Accordingly, I am directed to convey the sanction of the President to the enhancement of retirement age to 60 years of all the teachers including Laboratory Assistants, Librarians, Principals and Vice-Principals working in Schools in Delhi. These orders would also apply to teachers including Primary School Teachers, Laboratory Assistants, Librarians, Principals and Vice-Principals working in Schools in other Union Territories."
“Where a penalty of dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement from services imposed upon a Government employee is set aside or declared or rendered void in consequence of or by a decision of Court of Law and the authorities competent to impose the penalty on a consideration of the situation of the case, decides immediately thereafter to hold a further enquiry against him on the allegation on which the penalty or dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement was originally imposed, the Government employee shall be deemed to have been placed under suspension by the authority competent to impose the suspension from the date of original order of dismissal, removal or compulsory retirement, shall continue to remain under suspension until further orders……."
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My accusation against this government is that it has really hit the employees very hard. Any civilised Government should take its employees as its children. In a family, naturally everybody is not equal and everybody is not expected to behave and conduct in the fashion as grown up and a matured man. In a democratic
set up, the Chief Minister is supposed to be the God-father of his children and he is expected really to take care of the feelings, sentiments and also their rights and obligations into consideration. It was a very unwise move and if I may be permitted to say, it was a foolhardy approach of this Government. The moment it came into power it started hitting its own ladder. Well, it is for the Government and the employees and we would not like to intervene and interfere in between, so long as it does not create a conflict with the policy of my party. Wherever it does, we have a reason to protest and we will lodge our protest.

Under Art. 213, the Governor has powers to promulgate an Ordinance. Under art. 163, the Governor has to act on the advice of the Council of Ministers. I have made it very clear even in my initial raising of the point of order—of-course you had ruled it out. I would not like to go into that issue at this juncture.

What is the historical background of this Ordinance? Except reducing the age from 58 to 55 years, I do not find there are any loudable reasons and objects for this Government which has compelled them to take such a hasty decision immediately after they came into power. I can understand pre-election speeches would be different from post-election speeches. When you are endowed with responsibility, when you are entrusted with power to administer the State, one has to sit with a cool head and also seek guidance of men with wisdom. If I were to think that all the wisdom and maturity is embedded in me and in my personality, probably that would be my first step of falling fast. And this applies to and made applicable to any individual however great one might think himself to be. I may have to point out that this Government had taken a very hasty, immature and unwise decision in curtailing the age of retirement from 58 years to 55. This age of 55 years was probably introduced by the imperialist rulers when the life expectancy was hardly 26 in this country. Now, the life expectancy has been increased from 26 years to 70. Instead of raising it to 60 years at least, we have taken a retrograde step of curtailing the age of retirement and reduced it to 55 years from 58 years, which is not a wise step as far as this Government is concerned.

Well, with this Ordinance, the Government may have clothed itself with omnibus powers; but to what avail? What is the intention to clothe itself with such autocratic powers not caring for the relevant
provisions, circumstances and socio-economic relevance of the society? It only smacks of arbitrariness and dictatorial approach. It is my charge against this Government. Except this limited and narrow objective, I do not find there are any other objectives before the Government to justify in bringing forward this ordinance or Bill. Sec. 1 includes the staff of the Legislature Secretariat.

Art. 187 speaks about the Secretariat of State Legislature. "(1) The House or each house of the Legislature of a State shall have a separate Secretarial staff.

Provided that nothing in this clause shall, in the case of the Legislature of a State having a Legislative Council, be construed as preventing the creation of posts common to both Houses of such Legislature. (2) The Legislature of a State may by law regulate the recruitment, and the conditions of service of persons appointed, to the Secretarial staff of the House or Houses of the Legislature of the State.

(3) Until provision is made by the Legislature of the State under clause (2), the Governor may, after consultation with the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly or the Chairman of the Legislative Council, as the case may be, make rules regulating the recruitment, and the conditions of service of persons appointed, to the Secretarial staff of the Assembly or the Council, and any rules so made shall have effect subject to the provisions of any law made under the said clause.

Sir, in fact, they were trying to bring the entire judiciary under the purview and they did do it in the Ordinance. Subsequently, probably better counsel prevailed upon them to say that what they have done was totally wrong which will not stand the test of law and they became wiser to delete that. Since they added that and now deleted on the sound legal opinion and advice, they probably thought that they will have to fill in with some other amendment and they have now brought the entire Legislature Secretariat under its purview. Sir, in the case of Legislature Secretariat, the executive cannot arrogate to itself the powers of recruitment etc. It has to be done rules have to be framed in consultation with the Speaker and the Chairman as the case may be. This was also given a go by.

I would like to ask the wisdom of these people who have introduced this Bill, whether it is the Finance Minister or the Chief Minister on whomsoever's advice they may have been advised to bring forward this piece of legislation to make it a law because they have
a brute majority, whether they are convinced that even after the recent ruling that this relevant portion would stand the scrutiny of the Court of law. I am afraid, it is not. I am saying his because I am in crested in seeing that what ver piece of legislation is made here with the collective wisdom of the Members of this August House should not give scope for being struck down by a Court of Law because it is a reflection on the wisdom of this House. We would not like 'o become a party to such an unwise approach.

I am reminded of a famous story. You know there used to be mini Gods in Greek mythology and Lord Apollo was said to be one of the Gods. Lord Apollo was blindly in love with a women by name Cassandra, Cassandra was said to be no. only beautiful but also intelligent. She was blessed with power to forecast and predict about the coming events with specificcation of date, time and place of occurrence. When she did not respond to the love from Lord Apollo, since he was also blessed with super powers, he cursed her stating 'well, I have no capacity to snatch the powers that you are blessed with. But certainly, I have power to curse that whenever you say anything, it shall not be believed but ultimately it happens.' Now, Sir, the Opposition seems to play the role of Cassandra and the treasury benches seems to be Lord of Apollo. One thing they should bear in mind is that whatever we are trying to pin-point is going to come true. May be in their strength of majority, they may not be able to become reasonable to the reasoning.

Now, the Powers of Judiciary there are relevant provisions in the Constitution and they are enumerated as pointed out by my friend under art. 229, 233, 234 and 235. I would like to ask where was the need for this Government to rush through this legislation so hurriedly and so hastily? This is something very surprising. If I have to understand, it is only to put up a plea before the Supreme Court where the case is still pending to say that the Ordinance has now been converted into a piece of legislation. Earlier, it had no sanction. An executive order or a G. O. will not have the force of a Statute. Probably, they wanted to introduce through back-door and ultimately it had to be placed here because the matter is before the Supreme Court. Since it was to the discontent and detriment to the interests of the employees, they knocked at the doors of the Supreme Court. So, this Government thought that they might have the legislative sanction. I would like to say that Legislature is equally a powerful wing of the social order. We have three wings viz., executive, legislature and judiciary. Legislature cannot be taken for a ride. Just because you have a mighty majority, you cannot introduce all this,
re relevant and irrelevant. The executive is just trying to take the Legislature for a ride. When there was a conflict between the executive and judiciary, or between executive, legislature and judiciary, consequently situations that have arisen in our country have shown that it has not kept the society in harmony for various reasons and considerations. Until and unless they try to understand the better objects of each other, and try to appreciate better objects of each other, there cannot be harmony. Here again, it gives me a feeling that the executive is trying to take the Legislature for a ride which is not a good feature and it becomes very necessary that you as the Presiding Officer have to protect the interests of the Legislature. I have said it, but it may be that it was not liked by you. I have always said on earlier occasions that the Speaker is not the sheet-anchor for the Government. The Speaker has to protect the interests of the Legislature and Legislators and not the Government. This aspect should always be borne in mind while discharging your functions and that is what we expect from you, Sir. Naturally we would be looking to you for the protection of these privileges. We do not look to the Executive or the Government.

Now as regards the retirement age of 55 years, the cardinal principle that was laid down and historical background I have explained sufficiently. Because the life expectancy was 26 or 27 years at that time, they had put the age of retirement to 55 years. But now, the standard of life has improved in our country and longevity has improved and so it becomes very superficial for the Government to really think of going back. There was an agreement reached between the N.G.Os. and the Government. Well, if I have to again trace out the history of the N.G.Os. strike, this Government allowed the N.G.Os to go on strike hitting them hard not about giving rupees and paise but it is when you tried to remove the F.R. 2 which was going against their interests, which is their privilege and right. When you tried to snatch it away, naturally they were angry and they went on strike. Ultimately the Government with bended knee had to surrender before the employees, which is part of history. I am not trying to concoct stories. But these are the facts of yesterday and it is fresh in everybody's mind. Why I am saying this is, it is because of your hasty decision and immature approach, it has cost heavily to the State Exchequer and the finances of the common man of the State and we are here to protect the interests of the common man. Whatever we say or criticize, we only say from that point of view and not just criticizing for criticism's sake as pointed out by from my friends on the treasury benches. It has been stated in
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the newspapers that the moment the Supreme Court gives its verdict, they are going to strike off this and then restore back their privileges. If that is so, are we to become a laughing stock before the people. To satisfy your ego, with a stroke of pen you have hit the employees and made them retire. Well, the Finance Minister may come forward to say that it is only to generate employment. There are other avenues. After all, how many thousands of posts you can creat. As I have said earlier, it is nothing but trying to kill the father and give the same place to his son. I do not think this is creation of employment or generating employment. Employment is generated by industrial expansion and mobilisation of resources. Employment is generated with power being sound, or agriculture being sound and industrial activities being sound. Government employment is not generation of employment, I do not think so. It will be a very, very conservative concept if anybody thinks that government service generates employment. It would never create and has never created.

I would like to say that art. 309 was more than sufficient if you felt like doing that. When once you are convinced that art. 309 vests you with the power, where was the need for you to introduce this Bill. The entire Bill-introduction etc., all this exercise become superficial and superfluous. Otherwise, you have got to concede that art. 309 does not give you power. From my point of view, certainly it gives you power.

Now, coming to States Reorganisation Act, Rule 115 of the Act gives guaranty, protection to such of those people who were Serving in the Princely State. Those states were bifurcated and trifurcated. The Telangana employees working in the Hyderabad State where covered by that Act. I have really not been able to understand how this Government could act arbitrarily without caring for the provision of the S.R.C. Act. Under rule 115 of the Act, there is a clear guaranty and protection to the employees, where it is said that no amendment will be brought, no changes will be made and no provision will be anulled without the prior concurrence of the Government of India which is going to affect the interests of the employees. No regard is show to these provisions. Ultimately, I am hopeful that the Supreme Court will take cognizance of all these factors and also look into the malafides of the Government. In order to cover up their malafide, they are trying to bring in so many provisions only to make it apparent that it was no merely for reducing the age of retirement or kicking out certain thousands of employees and to throw them on paymen’s and foot-paths on main roads.
Sir, we are not supporting his Bill. We are totally opposing this Bill and when this Bill ultimately comes up for voting, I would expect you to look at me so that I can register my protest and we can record our protest.

It was therefore felt that legislation in this sphere of State activity is long over due brooking no fur her delay. The proposed law will eliminate the existing uncertainties regarding the service conditions of the State employees by providing a firm and solid statutory foundation. Further, the rule making power under the present statute will eliminate all possible criticism of arbitrariness and lack of due deliberation since every rule to be made henceforth will have to be laid on the Table of both Houses of the State Legislature and thus providing an opportunity for deliberations at the highest level of the Legislature including the subordinate Legislation Committee.
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Provided that nothing in this clause shall, in the case of the Legislature of a State having a Legislative Council, be construed as preventing the creation of posts common to both Houses of such Legislature.

(2) The Legislature of a State may by Law regulate the recruitment, and the conditions of service of persons appointed, to the secretarial staff of the House or Houses of the Legislature of the State.

(3) Until provisions is made by the Legislature of the State under clause (2), the Governor may, after consultation with the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly or the Chairman of the Legislative Council, as the case may be, make rules regulating the recruitment, and the conditions of service of persons appointed, to the secretarial staff of the Assembly or the Council, and any rules so made shall have effect subject to the provisions of any law made under the said clause.

The wide divergence in the plethora of such rules in existence now has given rise to discontent and widespread agitation amongst Government employees compelling the State to devote considerable time and attention to these matters, in preference to the other vital functions of the State. Any delay in this regard would only further aggravate the situation.
The Government should be in a position to answer my points.

You have retired thousands of employees. No new employees are posted. Old employees are not working. No work but full pay.
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Clause 5 reads like this:—“5. No amendment to the fundamental rules relating to the age of superannuation made under the proviso to article 309 read with article 313 of the Constitution of India before the commencement of this Act shall be deemed to be invalid. ‘

“...or even to have been invalid merely by reason of the fact that the provision to rule 2 of the said rules laid down that the said rules shall not be modified or replaced to the disadvantage of any person already in service and accordingly the amendments made to the said rules by the notifications issued in G.O. Ms. No. 36, Finance and Planning (Finance Wing F.R.I.) Department, dated the 8th February, 1983...”

I can imagine if the Government issues an Ordinance on any such situations; but what was the urgency involved in this? Let us have a direct dialogue. If there is a situation, let us discuss the same. If there is any need for any amendment, let us talk about it. I am sure there is no need for any such amendment.

"
Number of posts are vacant even in Secretariat. Even the Hathras Bill, 1983
 passed, the secretariat is still experiencing vacancies. Why are we
 indulging in this activity? What is the rationale behind it? Is it because we
 have no alternative? We have registered 18,00,000 unemployed people in
 employment exchanges. You just calculate the percentage then you can assess the
 situation.

6:00 p.m.
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I request the Minister to refer this Bill to the Joint Select Committee for further scrutiny and for further
elicit the public opinion, so that we can pass a comprehensive legislation, in regard to the employment of the people. 8 and 18 years of age have been specified in the Bill, which I submitted to the Select Committee. We wish to understand the reasons behind the proposals we are trying to insist upon, and act accordingly. We are all pressing for the reference of this Bill to the Joint Committee.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—During my participation in the Debate, I have also urged upon to refer this to the Select Committee. Try to understand the reasons behind and reconcile with which we are trying to insist upon, and act accordingly. We are all pressing for reference of this Bill to the Joint Committee.
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Sri A. Madan Mohan:— I am not disputing with what the Finance Minister says. It is a fact. But to say that the decision was taken without consideration and without applying its own mind is not correct. Enhancement of the age limit from 55 to 58 was one of the recommendations of the P.R.C. After due consideration and consultations only the age limit was raised. Does the Minister say that we have violated the Rules or the Constitution?

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— To say that our Government has not applied its mind is not correct in this case.
Government Bill:
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The conditions of services applicable from time to time before the appointed date to the
Government Bill.

The Andhra Pradesh Public Employment
(Regulation of Age of Superannuation)
Bill, 1983 (Passed).

case of any person referred under 1(2) shall not be raised to his
disadvantage except with the previous approval of the Central Govern-
ment.'

Sri M. Omkar:—The protection given under S.R. Act cannot
be violated. If the justice is not done under the S.R.Act, the affected
persons will go to court of law seeking the justice.

Sri Omkar Sastri:—The protection given under S.R. Act cannot
be violated. If the justice is not done under the S.R.Act, the affected
persons will go to court of law seeking the justice.
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The intention of the Government was spelled out in the agreement. The provisions relating to the Ordinance of 5 of 1983 except those relating to the Age of Superannuation will be deleted at an early date. Proviso in F.R-2 will be restored in all matters except the superannuation retrospectively. Rule 2, following proviso shall be added—provided that these rules shall not be modified or replaced by the Governor under Article 307 of the Constitution of India to the disadvantage of any person already in service except in respect of the matter relating to the age of superannuation. That is very clearly mentioned.
Sri A. Madan Mohan:—Sir, I am reading article 187, subsection 3 once again for the benefit of the Finance Minister and the Chief Minister, which I have read earlier also. "Until the provision is made by the Legislature of the State, under clause 2, the Governor may, after consultation with the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly or the Chairman of the Legislative Council, as the case may be, make rules regulating the recruitment and the conditions of services of persons appointed to the Secretariat staff of the Assembly or the Council, as the case may be, again and any rule made shall have the effect subject to the provisions of any law made under the said clause.

Now, this is under the Constitutional provision. Sir, it follows again that whenever the executive rules are framed or a Government order passed, is not in conformity with the Statutory rules or when it gets into conflict with the Statutory Rules... it is the Statutory Rules which proceed, and not the Executive; so much so whenever the Legislation passed by this House is not in conformity with the provisions of the Constitution; or gets in conflict with the provisions of the Constitution. It is the Constitution that prevails and not the Legislation passed. It is nothing and I think every student of Jurisprudence with basic sense of law has to understand this and has to appreciate this. The Finance Minister, being a Lawyer himself,
would be able to appreciate about what I am saying. So, keeping this in view as to what I said, this shall not stand to scrutiny and gets law. After all your piece of legislation, with your collective wisdom might pass to which of course we are not becoming a party. Well, to think that it is sacre-sanct and it cannot be questioned in any Court of Law - is the mistaken notion or the people who think are labouring under the mistaken notion. Certainly it has to find its varacity and it shall be pressed in the Court of Law. If to-morrow on this ground the whole piece of the legislation that you are trying to labour to pass it and to make it as an Act - is struck down by Court, would it speak good of the collective wisdom of the House? That is why we are saying “please take care to see that we do not come into conflict with the provision of the Constitution.”

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—When we have tried to say something about Article 309, it is not pertaining to the Legislature staff. We are saying about general employees and about the executive. These are two different aspects.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—Sir, our anxiety is not to criticise the Government. We are only trying to caution the Government. Why means, because at one time, they wanted to bring the entire judiciary right from the Chief Justice to the peon in the High Court and the entire judiciary, under the purview and control of the Executive. Under the purview and the control of the Government. With what right they
did that? And, when they soon realised that it is a folly and it is a mistake, looking full support of the Government, they had to withdraw it. We know that this Government is fumbling at every stage. If you have to fumble, it is your fate. You have to face the music but let the Legislature not be dragged into this sort of sorry state of affairs, and then make them a party. If not want to be a party. That is all we are trying to forecast it and we want to go on record.

Sri A Madan Mohan:—Sir, there is one thing to be added. In the same way, with what face, this Government had the audacity to issue inductions to the judiciary to the High Court and to the Chief Justice to say that their services also at the age shall be reduced from 58 to 55?

Sri M. Kishan Rao:—Sir, the section of the Bill says "This Government employees that means it is concerned with article 187 which deals about the employees of the Secretariat. Once this Secretariat employees means, this bill will not apply. Whether all the Secretariat employees or not, that was not decided there. Because I have argued in some of the cases as to whether they are Secretariat Employees or the Government Employees, whether they were appointed by the Speaker or Chairman of the Legislative Council. So, therefore there is no point here to discuss whether this applies to the Secretariat employees or not and that has to be decide by the Supreme Court itself. If once it is decided that they will be considered as the Government employees, this Bill applies. If they consider that these employees are not Government Employees and this Bill will not apply. Then, again the separate rules have to be
framed or whatever the rules applicable that will be applied. So, the scrutiny of the judiciary definitely, will not affect this Bill. So, regarding these two points it is very clear. This is meant for only Government employees but not for the Secretariat Employees, and now the recruitment for the Secretariat employees of the State was not made by the Speaker as well as by the Chairman of the Council. So, all these come under the Government employees. But that is pending before the Supreme Court. Therefore you need not agitate about this issue. That is what I wanted to say.

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, the Assembly Rules are Governed by Article 208. The Rules of Procedure of Conduct of Business in the A.P. Assembly are covered under clause I of 208 of the Constitution of India. So, Sir, the rules are not related to Article 187. More so, the word used in Article 187 is a Statutory one. Rules, more or less on par with the Act and Sections, cannot be interpreted with the rules made under Article 208 and these rules are meant for creation of staff of the Legislature either for the Assembly or for the Council and these staff are under the control of the Speaker or Chairman, as the case may be. With your kind permission, Sir, this Article 187 which has also got three clauses, under which I will read out clauses 2 and 3.

Clause 2 says that the Legislative of a State, may, by Law, regulate the recruitment, the conditions of services of persons appointed to the Secretariat staff of the House or Houses of Legislature of the State. So, until the provisions are made, this relates to the appointment and other regulations of the staff of the Legislature Secretariat.

(INTERRUPTION) (BELL)

Mr. Speaker:—Please let him complete.

Sri M. Omkar:—So, there are three Statutory bodies, namely, Legislature, Judiciary and Executive. These three Statutory Bodies have got Statutory Powers of their respective bodies of jurisdiction. So, one should not encroach upon the powers of other Statutory bodies. Hence, when the Legislature is considered to be a Statutory Body, the Ordinances that are brought about by this Bill are untenable and invalid.
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, I have a submission. It is 6.30 p.m. from your Chair. The Andhra Pradesh Public Employment (Regulation of Age of Superannuation) Bill, 1983 (Passed). As you have submitted the relevant case, here, we are discussing about the retirement of employees. I have put a straight question to the Minister whether the government has taken any steps to announce the retirement age of employees. It is not clear. I am here, here, Sir, to answer the relevant case. I have a specific question: whether the government has taken any steps to announce the retirement age of employees. As per the constitution, the retirement age of employees should be announced by the government. If the government has not taken any steps, it should be clarified. The retirement age of employees should be announced by the government. As per the constitution, the retirement age of employees should be announced by the government. If the government has not taken any steps, it should be clarified.
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In this particular case, the Governor did not take permission of the Speaker of the Assembly and the Chairman of the Legislative Council to amend the Rules. But the Governor arbitrarily retire them on completion of 55 years. Under what provision of the Act the Governor did that? Sir is the Governor above law? If his argument is correct this piece of the legislation would be quashed. I am not trying to worry any body here.

Mr. Speaker:—If you go on like this, what can be done.

6-40 p.m.

20. Mr. Speaker:—Sir, I am taking a few points. Sir, the Prime Minister took upon himself to increase the retirement age of 58 for all the employees including the Legislature employees. The Minister is now taken a logic that Government employees means "all Employees". If his argument is correct this piece of the Legislation would be quashed. I am not trying to worry any body here.

Mr. Speaker:—That is not relevant here.
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Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu — About the vacancies, the Minister did not reply. He is bound to reply. We are in the month of September and for lack of Staff, work is suffering. I will give you instances, if you want ...

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:—No, please.

Mr. Speaker:—That is irrelevant here. I am now putting the Statutory Resolution to vote.

The question is:

"This House disapproves the Andhra Pradesh Public Employment (Regulation of Conditions of Services) Ordinance, 1983 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No. 5 of 1983) promulgated by the Governor on 10th April, 1983."

(Pause)

Sri M. Omkar pressed for division and the House divided thus: Ayes - 27, Noes - 101, Neutrals - Nil. The resolution was negatived

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Public Employment (Regulation) of Age of Superannuation) Bill, 1983 be taken into consideration.

(Pause)

The motion was adopted.

Sri M. Omkar pressed for division and the House divided thus:

Ayes—101, Noes—27, Neutrals—Nil.

The motion was adopted and the Bill was considered

Mr. Speaker:—I request the Members to move their amendments,
2 (4): "Hyderabad Civil Services Rules" means the Hyderabad Civil Services Rules applicable to the Government employees of the erstwhile Government of Hyderabad appointed prior to the 1st November, 1956 and allotted to the Andhra Pradesh State as amended from time to time by the Government.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is :

"Delete sub-clause (4) of clause 2"

Sri M. Omkar pressed for division and the House divided thus:

Ayes — 27, Noes — 101, Neutrals — Nil.

The amendment was declared lost.
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Mr. Speaker:—I am now putting clause 2 to vote.

The question is:
"That clause 2 do stand part of the Bill."

Sri M. Omkar presided for division and the House divided thus:

Ayes—101, Noes—27, Neutrals—Nil.

Mr. Speaker:—Those who are for the motion and 'noes' for the motion are not correct. I therefore request the Speaker to count the members again.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, those who are for the motion and 'noes' for the motion are not correct. I therefore request the Speaker to count the members again.

Mr. Speaker:—Ayes—99, Noes—27, Neutrals—Nil. It is correct. The motion was adopted and Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

Clause 3:

Mr. Speaker:—For clause 3, there are three amendments.

Sri M. Omkar:—I am moving all the three amendments. I beg to move:

"In sub-clause (1) of clause 3 for the words 'fifty five years' substitute the words 'sixty years.'"

"In the two provisos to sub-clause (3) of clause 3 for the words 'fifty five years' substitute the words 'sixty years.'"

"In the Explanation II (A) of sub-clause (3) of clause 3 delete the words 'fifty five' or 'and also the words, 'as the case may be'.'"

Mr. Speaker:—The amendments moved.
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Mr. Speaker:—Amendments Nos. 4, 5 and 6 are before the House.

The question is:

"In sub-clause (1) of clause 3 for the words ‘fifty five year’ substitute the words ‘sixty years’.”

"In the two provisos to sub-clause (3) of clause 3 for the words ‘fifty five years’ substitute the words ‘Sixty years’.”

"In the Explanation II(A) of sub-clause (3) of clause 3 delete the words ‘fifty five or’ and also the words ‘as the case my be.’"

Sri M. Omkar pressed for division.

Mr. Speaker:—Every time you are pressing for division.

Sri M. Omkar:—It is because that any one of our amendments might be considered. That is our fond of hope.

The House divided thus:

Ayes—24, Noes—104, Neutrals—Nil.

The Amendments were negatived.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"That clause 3 do stand part of the Bill.”

Sri M. Omkar pressed for division and the House divided thus:

Ayes—104, Noes—27, Neutrals—Nil.

The motion was adopted and clause 3 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 4

Mr. Speaker:—For Clause 4 there are no amendments.

The question is:

"That clause 4 do stand part of the Bill.”

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and the clause 4 was added to the Bill.
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CLAUSE 5:

Mr. Speaker:—For clause 5 there is one amendment.
Sri M. Omkar:—I beg to move:
"Delete the following in clause 5:
and accordingly the amendments .... 8th February, 1983."
Mr. Speaker:—Amendment moved.
The question is:
"Delete the following in clause 5:
and accordingly the amendments .... 8th February, 1983.

(Pause)
Sri M. Omkar pressed for division and the House divided thus:
Ayes—27, Noes—104, Neutrals—Nil.
The amendment was negatived.
Mr. Speaker:—I am now putting clause 5 to vote.
The question is:
"That clause 5 do stand part of the Bill"

(Pause)
Sri M. Omkar pressed for division and the House divided thus:
Ayes—104, Noes—27, Neutrals—Nil.
The motion was adopted and clause 5 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE—6

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I beg to move:
"In clause 6 delete the words " and the Hyderabad Civil Service 
Rules".
Amendment moved.
Mr. Speaker:—I will put the amendment to vote.
The question is:
"In clause 6 delete the words " and the Hyderabad Civil Service 
Rules."
The Motion was pressed for division by Sri M. Omkar.
The House divided thus:
Ayes—25, Noes—105, Neutrals—Nil.
The Amendment was negatived.
Mr. Speaker:—The question is:
"That Clause 6 do stand part of the Bill."
The Motion was pressed for division by Sri M. Omkar and the House divided thus:
Ayes—105, Noes—25, Neutrals—Nil.
The Motion was adopted and the Clause 6 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 7
Sri M. Omkar:—I beg to move:
"In the proviso to sub-clause (i) of Clause 7, delete the words" "except in respect of Matters relating to the age of superannuation."
Mr. Speaker:—Amendment moved.
I will put the amendment to vote.
The question is:
"In the proviso to sub-clause (i) of Clause 7, delete the words" "except in respect of Matters relating to the age of superannuation."
Sri Omkar pressed for division and the House divided thus:
Ayes—25, Noes—104, Neutrals—Nil.
The Amendment was negatived.
Mr. Speaker:—The question is:
"That Clause 7 do stand part of the Bill."
Sri Omkar pressed for division and the House divided thus:
Ayes—104, Noes—25, Neutrals—Nil.
The Motion was adopted and Clause 7 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSES 8 and 9
Mr. Speaker:—The question is:
"That Clauses 8 and 9 do stand part of the Bill."
The Motion was adopted and Clauses 8 and 9 were added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 1
Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I beg to move.
"Delete sub-clause (2)(iii) of Clause 1."
"For sub-clause (3) of Clause 1, substitute the following:—
"The provisions of this Act shall be deemed to have come into force on the 10th April, 1983."
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Mr. Speaker: —Amendment moved. I am putting the two amendments under Clause 1 to vote.

The question is:
'Delete sub-clause (2)(i) of Clause 1' 
'For sub-clause (3) of Clause 1, substitute the following:—
"The provisions of this Act shall be deemed to have come into force on the 10th Apr. 1983."

Sri M. Omkar pressed for division and the House divided thus:
Ayes—25, Noes—10, Naturals—Nil.
The Amendments were negatived.

Mr. Speaker: —The question is:
"That Clause 1 do stand part of the Bill."
Sri M. Omkar pressed for division and the House divided thus:
Ayes—104, Noes—25, Naturals—Nil.
The Motion was adopted and Clause 1 was added to the Bill.

Enacting Formula and Long Title

Mr. Speaker: —The question is:
"That the Enacting Formula and Long Title do stand part of the Bill."

(Pause)
The Motion was adopted and the Enacting Formula and Long Title were added to the Bill.

Sri N. Bhaskara Rao: —Sir, I beg to move:
"That the Andhra Pradesh Public Employment (Regulation of Age of Superannuation) Bill, 1983 be passed."

Mr. Speaker: —Motion moved.

67—19
Sri A. Madan Mohan:—Eversince the introduction of this Bill and even earlier to that when the Ordinance was passed by this Government, we thought it fit to express our concern about this. We also maintained that it is not going to help the welfare of the State. It is in the interests of the people at large, we have been registering our protest. Even after the introduction of this Bill, we have been trying to persuade the Finance Minister and the Chief Minister and the present Government to see the reason in our argument. But it is unfortunate that they are not able to appreciate the reasoning made out by all the Members of the Opposition. While it is not correct for all the opposition to just criticize or oppose every legislation just for opposition sake, it is also not good on the part of the Treasury Benches to reject every good thing that is being suggested by the opposition. Whatever we do it should be for the welfare of the people. Out of this Bill, 30,000 employees were thrown out of jobs. I am questioning the wisdom of this Government in removing 30,000 employees unfortunately even without giving reasonable notice. Even with regard to death sentence, before the execution, the person would be asked about his last wish. But in this case to the surprise of all, without giving any reasonable notice, at one stroke, 30,000 employees were removed from service. The decision may be of the Chief Minister.
or of the collective one; we leave it to them. Who are responsible for this? It was most undemocratic on the part of the Government. It is also unfortunate to deal with the problems of the employees in such a fashion and in such a casual manner. So, I request that at least let it be referred to the Joint Select Committee. We have no objection to whatsoever if it is referred to the Joint Select Committee for its consideration. Let us go in a democratic way. We know the contemporary history. The World has seen the Hitler and Mussolini.

We register our protest and stage a walk out. We are walking out.

(At this stage Members belonging to Congress (I) group staged a walk out)

I want to remind you that in this House during the last Session, out of the 250 seats, we were only 25; but still we went on fighting. That means we are trying to bring to the notice of the Government...
the position in future. If people go to Court and a decision comes in their favour and you want to change the law, it will be difficult for you to do it without the consent of the people. In the future, if people go to Court, if the decision comes in their favour, the government will have no other way out except to stage a walkout and talk out with the people outside.
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STATUTORY RESOLUTION 
re: disapproving the Andhra Pradesh Horse Race (Abolition of Turf Agencies) Ordinance, 1983.

Sri M. Ramakrishna Rao:— Sir, I beg to move:

"This House disapproves the Andhra Pradesh Horse Race (Abolition of Turf Agencies) Ordinance, 1983 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No. 9 of 1983) promulgated by the Governor on 28th June, 1983."

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.

GOVERNMENT BILL

The Andhra Pradesh Horse Race (Abolition of Turf Agencies) 
Bill, 1983.
Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.

Sr. Indrasena Reddy:— Please see Rule 93, Sir. It reads like this: "93. Every Bill involving expenditure shall be accompanied by a financial memorandum which shall invite particular attention to the clauses involving expenditure and shall also give an estimate of the recurring and non-recurring expenditure involved in case of the Bill is passed into law." There is no financial statement supplied, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:— It does not involve any expenditure.
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Sri A. Madan Mohan:—I object to these remarks of the young Member. He should know as to how he should address another Member.

We are trying to be very peaceful.

(INTERRUPTIONS)
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Sri A. Madan Mohan—I am not saying anything about this Bill. It has been my unfortunate observation. We have tried to restrain ourselves in conducting ourselves in the most dignified manner. I would like to raise one question what was derogatory or unparliamentary. When a Senior Legislator was speaking about this, what was the relevancy for interruptions? One should have regard to the age and to his grey hair and experience. I objected saying that it is not correct. Just they have got majority they cannot do like that. It is no democratic way of functioning. We seek protection through you, Sir. Supposing for any reason, your institution fails, we will have no other alternative except to adopt to extra Constitutional methods. That they have to keep in mind.

You are not able to understand the serious implication of Ordinance. I am appealing to the good sense of the Government not to issue Ordinances on frivolous grounds. You are not able to understand the serious implication of Ordinance. I am appealing to the good sense of the Government not to issue Ordinances on frivolous grounds.
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That means this Government also want to encourage this sort of gambling. This is nothing but gambling. It is a very bad thing, a very bad thing. In the name of encouraging friendly racing, they are themselves encouraging gambling. This is a very bad thing. The Government is encouraging this sort of gambling.
I am questioning the very fundamental principle involved in this. I am urging the Government, the Chief Minister and the House to reconsider the entire issue in the perspective, which has been brought before this House. 
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Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"This House disapproves the Andhra Pradesh Horse Race
(Abolition of Turf Agencies) Ordinance, 1983 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No: 9 of 1983) promulgated by the Governor on 28th June, 1983".

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and the Statutory Resolution was lost.

Mr. Speaker:—Now the question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Horse Race (Abolition of Turf Agencies) Bill, 1983, L.A. Bill No. 28 of 1983) be taken into consideration."

The Motion was adopted and the Bill was considered.

Mr. Speaker:—Now I request the Member to move their amendments.
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CLAUSE 2

Sri M. Ramakriahna Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

In sub-clause (d) of clause 2 after the words “made to run” insert the words “either in the State of Andhra Pradesh or elsewhere.”

CLAUSE 6

Delete proviso to sub-clause (1) of clause 6.

Add the following at the end of sub-clause (2) of clause 6 “and properties of such company shall be confiscated.”

CLAUSE 10

Sri Konda Lakshma Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move.

Add the following at end of clause 10.

“And the totalisator pools operated by the Hyderabad Race Club within the premises of the Hyderabad Race Club and in any other places authorised by the Government of Andhra Pradesh, as for the clause (f) of section 12 of the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana area) Horse Racing and Betting Tax Regulation 1358 F.”

Mr. Speaker:—Amendments moved.
Mr. Speaker —Now the question is:

"In sub-clause (d) of clause 2 after the words made to run" insert the words 'either in the State of Andhra Pradesh or elsewhere.'

(Pause)

the motion was adopted and the amendment was lost.

Mr. Speaker —Now I am putting clause 2 to vote.

Now the question is:

"that Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill.

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and the Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

Clause 3 to 5

Mr. Speaker —There are no amendments from 3 to 5.

The question is:

"that Clauses 3 to 5 do stand part of the Bill.

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and Clauses 3 to 5 were added to the Bill.

Clause 6

Mr. Speaker —Now Clause 6. There is one amendment in Clause 6, moved by Sri Ramakrishna Rao.

7-50 p.m.

"Provided that nothing contains in this sub-section shall render any such person liable to any punishment if he proves that the offence was committed without his knowledge or that he exercised all due diligence to prevent the commission of such offence.

"add properties of such companies shall be confiscated."

Mr. Speaker —The Motion was adopted and Clause 6 was added to the Bill.
Mr. Speaker:—Amendment moved. Now I am putting amendments 2 and 3 of Clause 6 to vote.

The question is:

"That Delie proviso to sub-clause (1) of clause 6"

"Add the following at the end of sub-clause (2) of clause 6

"and proxies of such company shall be confiscated."

(Pause)

The amendments were negatived.

Mr. Speaker:—Now the question is:

"Clause 6 do stand part of the Bill."

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and Clause 6 was added to the Bill.

Clauses 7 to 9

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"That Clause 7 to 9 do stand part of the Bill."

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and clauses 7 to 9 were added to the Bill

Clause 10

Mr. Speaker:—There is one amendment in Clause 10, moved by Sri Lakshma Reddy.

Sri K. Lakshma Reddy:—Sir, I would like to say that the Chief Minister should go through it. Because there is nothing against the Government. The Government has already given permission to sell to totaljato tickets, such as Tanula, Quinnel, and Jack-Pot, etc., at the super bazaars outside the Race Course. The amendment now reads "Nothing in this Act shall apply to the licenced bookmaker or bookies duly licensed by the Hyderabad Race Club Office and operate within the premises of the Hyderabad Race Club, as referred in Clause 3, section 12 of the A. P. Telangana Area Horse Race and Betting Tax Regulation 1358 P.... The following should be added And the totaljator pools operated by the Hyderabad Race Club within the premises of the Hyderabad Race Club and in any other places authorised by the Government of Andhra pradesh, as per the Clause (f) of section 12 of the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Horse Racing and Betting Tax Regulation 1358",.
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Mr. Speaker.—The question is:

"and the totalijator pools operated by the Hyderabad Race
Club within the premises of the Hyderabad Race Club and any other
places authorised by the Government of Andhra Pradesh, as p.r the
clause(f) of section 12 of the Andhra Pradesh (Telang. na Area) Horse
Racing and Betting Tax Regulation 1358 F."

(Pause)

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"that Clause 10 do stand part of the Bill.

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and clause 10 was added to the Bill.

Clause 11, 1, Enacting formula and Long Title

Mr. Speaker:—There are no amendments to clauses 11,1 Enacting
Formula and Long title.

The question is:

"Clauses 11, 1 enactiong formula and long title do stand part
of the Bill."

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and Clauses 11, 1 enacting formula and
long title were added to the Bill.

Mr. Speaker:—Now I request the Chief Minister to move the
motion for passing the Bill.

Sri N. T. Rama Rao:—Sir, I beg to move that the Andhra
Pradesh Horse Race (Abolition of Turf Agencies) Bill, 1983 (Bill No.28
of 1983), be passed.

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.
Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"that the Andhra Pradesh Horse Race (Abolition of Turf Agencies) Bill, 1983 (Bill No. 28 of 1983) be passed."

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and the Bill was passed.

ANNOUNCEMENT

Time for Receipts of Amendments to the Bills.

Mr. Speaker:—I am to announce to the House that amendments to the following Bills will be received up to 5-00 p.m., on 14th September, 1983:


2. The Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Second Amendment Bill, 1983.


4. The Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas (Development) (Second Amendment) Bill, 1983.

Now the House stands adjourned to meet at 8-30 a.m. to-morrow.

(The House then adjourned to meet at 8-30 a.m., the next day i.e., on Wednesday the 14th instant).
APPENDIX-I

NOTIFICATIONS BY GOVERNMENT

Industries and Commerce (SP & S) Department


I.—Whereas it appears necessary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh to declare that the industrial undertaking known as the Andhra Co-operative Spinning Mills Limited, Guntakal, the management of which is not carried on properly on sound commercial basis, shall be conducted to serve as a measure of preventing unemployment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the Powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Andhra Pradesh Relief Undertakings (Special Provisions) Act, 1971 (Andhra Pradesh Act 19 of 1971), the Governor of Andhra Pradesh hereby declares that the said industrial undertaking, namely, the Andhra Co-operative Spinning Mills Limited, Guntakal, which has been specified in the First Schedule to the Act as amended subsequently, shall, with effect on and from the 24th February, 1983 be conducted to serve as a measure of preventing unemployment, and it shall be deemed to be a relief undertaking for the purposes of the Act.

This notification shall have effect for a period of twelve months commencing on and from the 24th February, 1983.

II.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Andhra Pradesh Relief Undertakings (Special Provisions) Act, 1971 (Andhra Pradesh Act 19 of 1971), the Governor of Andhra Pradesh hereby direct that in relation to the relief undertaking, namely, the Andhra Co-operative Spinning Mills Limited, Guntakal and in respect of the period for which the said relief undertaking continues as such in pursuance of the Notification-I,

(i) all the provisions of the following Acts shall not apply to the said relief undertaking, namely:

(a) Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946 (Central Act XX of 1946);

(b) the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947);

(c) Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act, 1964 (Act 7 of 1964).
Appendix—I. 13th September, 1983.

(ii) any right of privilege accrued to workmen and other employees, except in so far as they relate to wages and salaries of the workmen and other employees, and any remedy for the enforcement thereof shall be suspended and all proceedings relative thereto pending before any court, tribunal, officer, or authority shall be stayed.

2. This Notification shall have effect for a period of twelve months commencing on and from the Twenty-fourth day of February, 1983.


I.—Whereas it appears necessary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh to declare that the industrial undertaking known as the Chirala Co-operative Spinning Mills Limited, Chirala, the management of which is not carried on properly on sound commercial basis, shall be conducted to serve as a measure of preventing unemployment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Andhra Pradesh Relief Undertakings (Special Provisions) Act, 1971, the Governor of Andhra Pradesh hereby declares that the said industrial undertaking, namely, the Chirala Co-operative Spinning Mills Limited, Chirala, which has been specified in the First schedule to the Act, as amended subsequently, shall, with effect on and from the Twenty fourth February Nineteen Hundred and Eighty Three be conducted to serve as a measure of preventing unemployment, and it shall be deemed to be a relief undertaking for the purposes of the Act.

This notification shall have effect for a period of twelve months commencing on and from the 24th February, 1983.

II.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Andhra Pradesh Relief Undertakings (Special Provisions) Act, 1971 (Andhra Pradesh Act 19 of 1971), the Governor of Andhra Pradesh hereby directs that in relation to the relief undertaking, namely, the Chirala Co-operative Spinning Mills Limited, Chirala and in respect of the period for which the said relief undertaking continues as such in pursuance of the Notification—I.

(i) all the Provisions of the following Acts shall not apply to the said relief undertaking, namely:

(a) Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1964 (Central Act XX of 1964);
(b) the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947);
(c) Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act, 1964 (Act 7 of 1964).

(ii) any right of privilege accrued to workmen and other employees, except in so far as they relate to wages and salaries of the workmen and other employees, and any remedy for the enforcement thereof shall be suspended and all proceedings relative thereto pending before any court, tribunal, officer, or authority shall be stayed.

This Notification shall have effect for a period of twelve months commencing on and from the Twenty Fourth Day of February Nineteen Eighty Three.

NOTIFICATIONS BY GOVERNMENT

Industries And Commerce (sp & s) Department.


(G.O. Rt. No. 989, Industries and Commerce (SP & S), 16th July, 1983.)

I.—Whereas it appears necessary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh to declare that the industrial undertaking, known as the Nellore Co-operative Spinning Mills Ltd., Nellore, the management of which is not carried on properly on sound commercial basis shall be conducted to serve as a measure of preventing unemployment.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Andhra Pradesh Relief Undertakings (Special Provisions) Act, 1971 (Andhra Pradesh Act 19 of 1971), the Governor of Andhra Pradesh hereby declares that the said industrial undertaking, namely, the Nellore Co-operative Spinning Mills Limited, Nellore, which has been specified in the First Schedule to the Act, as amended subsequently, shall, with effect on and from the 17th July, 1983, be conducted to serve as a measure of preventing unemployment and it shall be deemed to be a relief undertaking for the purposes of the Act.

2. This Notification shall have effect for a further period of twelve months commencing on and from the Seventeenth day of July, 1983.

II.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Andhra Pradesh Relief Undertakings (Special Provisions) Act, 1971 (Andhra Pradesh Act 19 of 1971), the Governor of Andhra Pradesh hereby directs that in relation to the relief undertaking, namely the Nellore Co-operative Spinning Mills Limited, Nellore and in respect
of the period for which the said relief undertaking continues as such in pursuance of the Notification-I.

(i) all the provisions of the following Acts shall not apply to the said relief undertaking, namely:

(a) the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946 (Central Act XX of 1946);

(b) the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947);

(c) Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act, 1964 (Act 7 of 1964).

(ii) any right of privilege accrued to workmen and other employees, except in so far as they related to wages and salaries of the workmen and other employees, and any remedy for the enforcement thereof shall be suspended and all proceedings relative thereto pending before any court, tribunal, officer, or authority shall be stayed.

2. This notification shall have effect for a further period of twelve months commencing on and from the Seventeenth day of July, 1983.

APPENDIX-II

Report on the Decisions of the Business Advisory Committee
Taken at its Meeting held on 12th September, 1983.

The following decisions were taken by the Business Advisory Committee at its meeting held on 12th September, 1983 in regard to Business to be transacted in the Assembly.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13-9-1983 (Tuesday)</th>
<th>XXXVI Civil Supplies Administration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XXXIII Co-operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XXXIV Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XXXVI Animal Husbandry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XXXVII Dairy Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XXXVIII Fisheries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XXXIX Forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XXI Medical and Health Services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4-00 p.m. 1. The Andhra Pradesh Public Employment (Regulation of Age of Superannuation) Bill, 1983.


14-9-1983
(Wednesday)

I State Legislature,

II Governor and Council of Ministers

IV Elections

V General Administrative Services.

XXIV Information and Publicity

XLIX Tourism

LI Other General Economic Services.

15-9-1983
(Thursday)

The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1983.

4-00 p.m. 1. The Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Amendment Bill, 1983.

2. The Andhra Pradesh Educational Institutions (Regulation of Admission and Prohibition of Capitation Fee) Second Amendment Bill, 1983.

13th September, 1983

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-9-1983</td>
<td>1. The Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amend-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Friday)</td>
<td>ment) Bill, 1983 (Returned from the Council with Amendment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1983 (Returned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>from the Council with Amendment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Private Members Business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No Sitting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Saturday)</td>
<td>Holiday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-9-1983</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Sunday)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-9-1983</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Monday)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>