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Tuesday First Day of the Twelfth Session of the
Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly.

ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Wednesday, the 8th September, 1982.

The House met at Half-past Eight of the Clock
(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

Papers Placed on the Table

re: Note relating to the Activities of the A. P. Handicrafts
Development Corporation.

Minister for Roads and Buildings (Sri M. Manik Rao) :— Sir,
on behalf of Sri V. Venkateswar Rao, Minister for Small Scale Indus­
tries, with your permission, I beg to place on the Table a note relating
to the activities of the A. P. Handicrafts Development Corporation,
in pursuance of an Assurance given while answering L.A.Q., No. 8819
on 30th August, 1982. (circulated separately)

Mr. Speaker:— Paper Placed.

Presentation of Report.


Sri G. V. Anjaneya Sarma (Guntur-2) :—Sir, I beg to present
the 15th Report (Five cyclostyled copies are kept on the Table of the
Secretary) of the Committee on Estimates (1981-82.) on Yeleru Reser-
voir Project.

Mr. Speaker— Report presented.

Business of the House

* An asterisk before the names indicate confirmation by the Member.

[197]
Mr. Speaker:—But on one condition—that each leader of the party will be allowed to put only one supplementary.

Mr. Speaker:—Don't attribute motives please.

Shri K. Govinda Rao (Anakapalli):—Sir, yesterday you have set up that the Committee's report which have been kept on the Table, have been allowed to be discussed. We have got so many problems.

Mr. Speaker:—Yes.
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—It was agreed in the B.A.C., meeting and that is why it was discussed here.

Sri K. Govinda Rao:—Sir, I leave it to you.

Mr. Speaker:—Then let us take questions 9971 and 99-8.

Short Notice Questions and Answers

Payment of Ex-gratia amount to the persons died and injured at the construction of bridge near Dornakal; Warangal District.

370—F—

S.N.Q. No. 9971-G—Sarvasri C. Janga Reddy (Shyampet), Mr. Venkaiah Naidu (Udayagiri), and S. Jaipal Reddy (Kalmakurthy):—Will the Minister for Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that 30 persons have sustained injuries and two died on 21-2-1981 when the construction of a bridge near Dornakal, Warangal District was going on;

(b) if so, whether it is a fact that the exgratia announced by the Government has not been paid so far to those who sustained injuries;

(c) if so, the reasons;

(d) the amount of exgratia for those who died;

(e) whether it is a fact that the injured have not joined duty so far as they have not recovered even now; and

(f) if so, the reasons therefor; whether the amount of exgratia will be enhanced?

Sri M. Manik Rao:—(a) In an accident that occurred on 24-2-81 near Dornakal, 2 persons died and 28 persons were admitted in the hospital as inpatients for injuries.

(b) The Government have not announced payment of exgratia to the injured persons.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) An amount of Rs. 2,000 was paid as exgratia to the next of kin of the two deceased persons at the rate of one thousand each.

(c) The contractors were asked by the executive Engineer (R and B), Warangal to produce the injured persons before the superintendent. Headquarters Hospital, Khammam, to determine the disability, but they failed to do so.

(f) Does not arise, and there is no proposal before the Government to enhance the ex gratia.

Comprehensive Drinking Water Scheme for Narsampet, etc. Villages in Warangal District.

S.N. No. 9958-D: Sarvasri M. Omkar, K. Satyanarayana, M. Yerraiah Reddy and Smt. Mallu Swarajyam:—Will the Minister for Rural Water Supply be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a single and comprehensive drinking water scheme for Narsampet, Ashok Nagar, Khanapur, Dwarakapet, Maheswaram in Warangal District was investigated and estimates were prepared in 1979 and foundation laid in October 1980 at Narsampet;

(b) whether it is a fact that the scheme has not been executed so far;

(c) If so the reasons therefor;

(d) when it will be executed;

Minister for Rural Water Supply (Sri P. Goverdhanareddy):—

(a) Yes, Sir,

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) and (d):—Only Narsampet Gram Panchayat has come forward to pay its share of contribution for the C.P.W.S. Scheme. The proposal is under active consideration of Government.
Spending of Huge Amounts towards Air-conditioning Office Room of M.D. of Fisheries Development Corporation.

370-K—

S.N.Q. No. 9966-W—Sarvasri D. Narasaiah, Ambaji and C. Rama Chandra Reddy:—Will the Minister for Fisheries be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that about Rs. 75 thousands were spent towards air-conditioning the office room of M.D., the purchase of furniture etc., in Fisheries Development Corporation since January, 1982 even though the Corporation was running in loss;

(b) if so, the reason; and

(c) action taken by the Government?

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, the Minister said that the Ksabarka Development Corporation has incurred a loss of Rs. 1 1/2 crores and I think this air-conditioner is meant for that loss......

(laughter)
EMBEZZLEMENT OF LAKHS OF RUPEES IN DRINKING WATER SCHEME IN PRAKASAM DISTRICT

*9961—(W)-Q.—Sarvasri Gouthu Latchanna and B. Narayana Swamy:—Will the Minister for Rural Water Supply be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that as per the news item appeared in the News Papers regarding the embezzlement of lakhs of Rupees in connection with the purchase of pipes for implementation of the proposed drinking water schemes in Prakasam District; and

(b) if so, the action taken in this regard?

3-30 a.m.

1. The Minister for Rural Water Supply:—As stated in my earlier answer, I am unable to furnish the information sought by the honourable Members in the absence of the requisite information.

2. The Minister for Rural Water Supply:—In the case of the scheme in question, the Department has taken appropriate action as per the directions of the Hon'ble Government.

3. After receiving these replies, the Hon'ble Members may recall the order of the House dated 17th September, 1981, wherein it was agreed to take up the question of the embezzlement of lakhs of Rupees in the drinking water schemes in Prakasam District. The above information is in continuation of the same.
Short Notice Questions and Answers. 8th September, 1982.

The Officers and the Government are not going to spare anything about them. We will take very serious action against the Officers and the Government.

They themselves are not confident whether the pipes would withstand the pressure or not. I am not satisfied about the purchasing of these things and pipes.
Sri P. Govardhan Reddy—Sir, we are taking steps.

When the Minister himself is saying that nearly 2 crores worth of pipes are sub-standard, how can it make Justice? If you refer it to the A. C. B., or you refer it to the House Committee - after all you have to satisfy.
Construction of Under Bridge at Railway Level Crossing Near Nagulametta Road at Nellore

S.N.O.No. 9969-B.—Sri K. V. Subba Reddy (Nellore). C. V. Sesha Reddy (Sarvepally).—Will the Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) Whether there is any proposal with the Government to construct an under bridge for light vehicular traffic at the Railway Level Crossing near Nagulametta road at Nellore;

(b) No. of public representation received in this regard in 1978, and action taken thereon; and

(c) when the construction work be taken up and completed?
Smt. B S rojini Pulla Reddy:— Sir, regarding the need for under-budge. We are examining as to what should be the Technical feasibility and also the financial implications and we have requested the Dy. C.E., to inspect the site and also suggest a suitable location in consultation with the Railways. This is purely a municipal road, so, the entire cost, the Municipality has to give and at the same time we are making an effort to get the Railways also to make a part of the whole thing and I tried to ask them to provide some funds for this under-bridge.

Misappropriation of Funds in Nellore Taluk Agricultural Marketing Committee

370-H—

S.N Q.No.9969—C:—Sarvasri K.V. Subba Reddy, C.V. Sosha Reddy:—Will the Minister for Marketing be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is misappropriation, fraud, misuse of funds etc., in Nellore taluk Agricultural market committee;

(b) whether accounts were audited upto date; if so, whether any defects have been pointed out;

(c) how much has to be recovered from the loanees?

Minister for Marketing (Paladugu Venkata Rao):—

A:—(a), (b) & (c):—Sir, The accounts of the Agricultural Market Committee, Nellore were audited by Local Fund Audit Department upto 1980-81. The audit revealed that the traders had evaded payment of market fees due to be paid to the market committee. There was
no misappropriation or misuse of funds as such. The committee did not give any loans and, therefore, the question of recovery of any loans by the committee does not arise. A Senior Officer has been appointed as Enquiry Officer to go into the affairs of this committee and submit his report.

No. 4. Maharashtra: — Mention please whether the committee has already given any loans? If yes, how much?

No. 5. Western: — Mention please whether there is anything on which the committee can get loans? If yes, what?

No. 6. Karnataka: — Mention whether there is anything on which the committee can get loans? If yes, what?

No. 7. Tamil Nadu: — Mention please whether the committee has already borrowed money from any source? If yes, how much?

No. 8. Andhra Pradesh: — Mention please whether the committee has already borrowed money from any source? If yes, how much?
Lack of Equipment in Trysem Centres Under District Rural Development Agencies in Nalgonda District.

S.N.Q.No. 9960-X.— Saivasri N. Raghava Reddy, A. Lakshmi Narayana, Smt. M. Swarajyam, Sri M. Omkar.—Will the Minister for Rural Development be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that in the TRYSEM centres under district Rural Development Agency in the state in general and Nalgonda in particular, proper training is not imparted to the students owing to lack of required equipment and other teaching facilities and the students are directed to take their education in the private institutions;

(b) If so, the action taken thereon;

(c) Whether it is also a fact that for the trained candidates in the said institutions, the banks are not advancing loans; and

(d) If so, the action taken thereon?

Sri Paladugu Venkatarao (On behalf of Minister for Forests & Rural Development) — Sir,

(a) No Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) No Sir. Banks are being associated even at the time of selecting candidates for Training with a view to ensure sanction of loans.

(d) Does not arise.
Matters Under Rule 329 : 8th September, 1982. 209
re: Breaches to the Aaliya Tank in Ramannapet Taluk, Nalgonda Dist.

MATTERS UNDER RULE 329
re : Breaches to the Aaliya Tank in Ramannapet Tq., Nalgonda Dist.

...
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Matters Under Rule 329 ;
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Matters Under Rule 319:
8th September, 1982.
re: Supply of Drinking Water to Warangal.

Supply of Drinking Water to Warangal:

Re: Supply of Drinking Water to Warangal.
212 8th September, 1982.


Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy:— Sir, I say with authenticity if I say something we will definitely implement it.
Matters Under Rule 329: 8th September, 1982. 213

re: Grant of Parole to Smt. Chandramma, a Naxalite prisoner at Visakhapatnam.

(3) re: Collapse of the Road Bridge at Tenali

re: Grant of Parole to Smt. Chandramma, a Naxalite prisoner at Visakhapatnam.

Sri C. Jagannath Rao:—Smt. Chandramma a Naxalite prisoner is undergoing life sentence in the Visakhapatnam Central Jail. She has undergone an actual sentence of 5 years 8 months as on 1-8-1982. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Visakhapatnam has reported to Government that Smt. Chandramma has not applied for parole at any time either on medical grounds or to see her ailing mother. The Government have also not received any representation from her to this effect. If she makes any representation for grant of parole the Government would certainly consider her request on merits and with reference to the existing parole rules. It is not correct to say that Smt. Chandramma was not provided proper medical treatment as she has undergone regular treatment in Jail Hospital, as well as in King George Hospital, Visakhapatnam and Osmania General Hospital, Hyderabad. As per medical advise she was brought to the Central Prison, Hyderabad, on 7.6.81 for investigation and treatment at Osmania General Hospital. After completion of treatment at Hyderabad she
214 8th September, 1982.

Matters Under Rule 329:

re: Grant of Parole to Smt. Chandramma,
a Natalite prisoner at Visakhapatnam.

was sent back to Central Prison, Visakhapatnam on 20-6-1961. She was brought in to Hyderabad on 15-3-1982 for treatment at Gastroenterologist Unit of Osmania General Hospital and she was sent back to Central Prison, Visakhapatnam on 7-4-1982. She was examined by Medical Board at Visakhapatnam and the medical report shows that she is suffering from Migrainic Chronic Intestinal Amoebiasis. Necessary medical care was thus given to her by specialist whenever she fell ill. Her health is not serious at present.

Her mother Kamamma (60 years old) is now living with her eldest daughter at Moilipadu village in Kasibugga, Srikakulam District and she is in good health. She has also got three sons to look after her.

9-30 a.m.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao:—That is not correct—I must tell in all humility. Even today if she makes a representation we are prepared to release her.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao:—That will be separately considered. If she wants to go on parole, right now if she represents, parole will be granted.
Matters Under Rule 329: 
8th September, 1982. 

Torture of workers at Gachi Bowli, R.R. District

Sri C. Jagannatha Rao:—One Ibrahim Khan and his brother are having stone crush quarry in Gachi Bowli in R.R. District. In the month of June 1982 one Wahid working as Manager in the quarry engaged Maisanna and Tirumalaiah as labour contractors to secure labour for crushing the stones. The contractors brought 29 workers from Gimmadam, Srirangapuram and other villages. After a month the workers left the quarry without intimation. The two contractors also left the quarry after some time and went to their native places.

On the night of 27/28-7-82 there was a house burglary in Raidurgam Police Station limits near Gachi Bowli where some gold ornaments and a goat worth Rs.1,575/- were stolen and a case in Crime No. 2/82 u/s 457, 380 IPC of Raidurgam P.S. was registered. During the investigation the S.I. of Police, Raidurgam suspected the complicity of labourers who worked in the Quarry and enquired about the persons who left the work and went away. On 20-8-82 Wahid, Manager of the quarry informed S.I. about the labour contract taken by one Maisanna and requested the S.I. to come along with him to Wanaparthi to check up the complicity of the workers who left the quarry without intimation. Accordingly the S.I. Raidurgam went to Wanaparthi along with Waheed and contacted Maisanna who came along with them to quarry at Gachi Bowli. After enquiry the S.I. came to know that there was no complicity of quarry workers in the burglary. The D.S.P. Rjendranagar personally enquired the workers and they expressed that they were not able to do the work as they were not used to do such type of work earlier and they were not allowed to go away.

The allegation that Maisanna was tortured by Police is not correct.
8th September, 1982.

Matters Under Rule 329:

re: Arrest of 50 Members of Field Co-operative Society of Seethanagaram, Korukonda Taluk, East Godavari District.

re: Agitation by the Fire Servicemen for Realization of their Demands

Sri C Jagannadha Rao:—It is not correct to say that the Fire Service men of the Fire Service Department all over the State are on agitation for realization of their demands and there is no grave situation. The Fire Service Personnel working in certain Fire Stations of Nellore, Prakasam, Guntur and Krishna Districts did not receive their salaries for the month of June till 15-7-1982 as a protest against the orders issued in G.O.Ms. No. 158, Finance and Planning dated 20th May 1982 in which recovery of overdrawn house rent allowance from those who are eligible for rent free quarters was ordered as the employees in these districts had drawn House Rent Allowance of 25% of their basic pay in addition to the H.R.A. admissible. Some of the employees of Fire Service Department have filed a Representation petition before the A.P. Administrative Tribunal and stay order was obtained from the A.P.A.T. by the employees. The House Rent Allowance is admissible to the employees of Fire Service Department on par with other employees including Police who are eligible for such allowance, since the matter is sub-judice, Government have not passed any order in this regard. The matter is still under consideration of the A.P. Administrative Tribunal.

The demands of the fire services personnel, are under the consideration of the Government.

re: Arrest of 50 members of Field Co-operative Society of Seethanagaram, Korukonda Taluk, East Godavari District.

re: Arrest of 50 members of Field Co-operative Society of Seethanagaram, Korukonda Taluk, East Godavari District.

re: Living Conditions of the Lodha Community at Dhoolpet, Hyderabad.

One Yellamanda Subba Rao, father of Village Karnam, Seethanagaram made a complaint on 22-8-1982 to Rajanagaram Police Station alleging that Bobbili Nooka Raju, Setttibeliya, by caste and Pyly Apparao and Padala Swamy, K. pus scolded the said Yellamanda Subba Rao instigated by Mondey Satyanarayana, President of the Field Labour Co-operative Society. Sub-Inspector of Police, Rajanagaram enquiry into the complaint on 2-9-1982. During enquiry, the said Yellamanda Subba Rao stated that Respondents propagating badly against Karnam that he is not giving land to Field Labour Co-operative Society. The Respondents denied the allegation of scolding Yellamanda Subba Rao. No specific instances were mentioned by members of Field Labour Co-operative Society about misuse of powers by Village Karnam. The Sub-Inspector of Police warned the respondents not to make false allegations. Only Yellamanda Subba Rao and respondents 1 and 2 Bobbili Nooka Raju and Pyly Apparao were summoned to Rajanagaram Police Station for enquiry on 2-9-1982. No arrests of any members of the field Labour Co-operative Society or the respondents were made. The allegation that 50 members of the society were arrested is not correct.

re: Living Conditions of the Lodha Community at Dhoolpet, Hyderabad

Sri Ch. Syamala Rao:—The Members have requested to rehabilitate Lodha Kshatrieya community by providing following facilities:

(1) To recommend to Central Government for inclusion of this community in the list of Backward Classes.

(2) Construction of Housing-Complex to the inhabitants of Dhoolpet locality in a phased manner.

(3) Extending scholarships and hostel facilities to the students of this community.

(4) A special quota to the student of this community in all levels.

(5) Employment in various organisations.

(6) Proper financial and technical assistance to the artisans of this community.
In order to examine the eligibility or otherwise of various communities for inclusion in the list of Backward Classes in the State of Andhra Pradesh, Government have appointed One Man Commission of Enquiry for Backward Classes in G.O.Ms.No.12,S.W. (P) dt.22-1-1982. The term of the Commission has expired on 31-8-82. The State Govt. will take action on receipt of the Commission’s Report.

The Second Backward Classes Commission (Mandal Commission) Govt. of India have already presented its report. The Commission has not recommended Lodha Kshatreeya community for inclusion in the list of B.C.s.

In as much as the Lodha Kshatreeya community is not included in the existing list of Backward Classes or Scheduled Castes or Scheduled Tribes they are not entitled for any special concessions given to them by the Govt. under various schemes. The Mandal Commission has also not recommended for the inclusion of this community in the list of B.C.s. It is not known whether the One Man Commission for B.C.s. appointed by the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh has made any recommendation in this regard.

As regards their request to recommend to Govt. of India for inclusion in the list of B.C.s., it may be mentioned that as per the existing practice only Sch. Castes & Sch. Tribes are recognised by the Central Govt. As Mandal Commission has not recommended for inclusion of this community in the list of Backward Classes, the question of recommending to Govt. of India in this case does not arise. However, the State Government who are competent to include or exclude any community from the list of B.C.s. recognised by the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh will be considered by the State Govt. on receipt of the recommendations of the One Man B.C. Commission.

As regards their request for construction of housing complex to inhabitants of Dholpet locality it is stated that houses are being constructed by the Govt. under the Weaker Sections Housing Programme and the people of this community may take chance along with other communities.

The question of extending scholarships, hostel facilities etc., to the students of this community and to provide special quota to the students of this community may not arise at this juncture as they are not included in the list of B.C.s. Similar is the case in regard to provision of employment and financial assistance to the artisans of this community.
8th September, 1982.

Matters Under Rule 329:

re: Treatment of Harijans of Andhra Pradesh as Bonded Labour in Gujarat State.

"Refer phone message dated 5th-13 Harijans taken by Mr. J. K. Sath Reddy promising payment of higher wages. Harijans have no direct contact with Mill Owners and no agreement executed. 17 Harijans have returned to Nandigama so far. Clear case of cheating. Not come under the purview of bonded labours—Detailed report follows."
ELECTION OF DEPUTY SPEAKER

Mr. Speaker:—Under Rule 8 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly, now the election of Deputy Speaker will take place.

First, I will read out the names of the Members who have come for the Office of the Dy. Speaker:—

Shri I. Lingaiah Proposer- Sri A. Madan Mohan
Shri I. Lingaiah Proposer- Sri B. T. L. N. Chowdary
Shri I. Lingaiah Proposer- Sri N. Amarnatha Reddy
Shri I. Lingaiah Proposer- Sri T. Anjaiah
Shri I. Lingaiah Proposer- Sri G. Ramaswamy

As Shri I. Lingaiah is only the candidate who have been duly nominated, I declare Shri I. Lingaiah to have been duly elected to the Office of the Deputy Speaker.

Now, felicitations to Sri I. Lingaiah, the Deputy Speaker.
8th September, 1982.

Election of Deputy Speaker.

On

In the House of Representatives,

8th September, 1982,

Mr. Speaker,

We, the members of the House, having considered the matter referred to us by the Speaker, are of the opinion that the

deputy Speaker, Mr. [Name], should take

office after having taken the oath of allegiance as the

deputy Speaker of the House.

We, therefore, request the Speaker to announce the

election of Mr. [Name] as the deputy Speaker of the

House.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signatures]

10-10 a.m.


deputy Speaker, Mr. [Name],

having taken the oath of allegiance as the

deputy Speaker of the House, should take

office.

In accordance with the provisions of the Constitution,

the deputy Speaker, Mr. [Name], should take

office.

We, therefore, request the Speaker to announce the

election of Mr. [Name] as the deputy Speaker of the

House.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signatures]
Election of Deputy Speaker. 8th September, 1932.

The real taste of pudding is in tasting.
Election of Deputy Speaker.

On the 22nd September, 1982.

9.4

1. The Speaker said:—After consulting with the members, I have decided to eject the Deputy Speaker.

2. The Speaker continued:—The Deputy Speaker is hereby ejected from the Assembly.

3. The Speaker added:—The Deputy Speaker is hereby ejected from the Assembly.
Election of Deputy Speaker. 8th September, 1983.

(1) Election: As reported, the Deputy Speaker was elected by the following members:

- Member 1
- Member 2
- Member 3
- Member 4
- Member 5
- Member 6
- Member 7
- Member 8
- Member 9
- Member 10

The election took place on the 8th of September, 1983.

(2) Schedule: The election was scheduled for 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. on the 8th of September, 1983.

(3) Procedure: The election was conducted according to the rules and regulations laid down by the House.

(4) Conclusion: The election was declared valid and the Deputy Speaker was duly elected.

(5) Date: The election took place on the 8th of September, 1983.

(6) Members: The members present and participating in the election were:

- Member 1
- Member 2
- Member 3
- Member 4
- Member 5
- Member 6
- Member 7
- Member 8
- Member 9
- Member 10

The election was conducted in a fair and transparent manner.

(7) Address: The address of the Deputy Speaker is:

123 Address Street
City, State 12345

(8) Contact: For further information, please contact the Deputy Speaker at the above address.

(9) Note: This document is a record of the proceedings of the election of the Deputy Speaker.

(10) Signature: [Signature]

T. N. [Name]
Deputy Speaker

(11) Date: 8th September, 1983.
Election of Deputy Speaker

2.26 8th September, 1882.

Sir,

The Election of Deputy Speaker is to take place on the 10th September, 1882. The Hon'ble Speaker has been notified of the same.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

[Name]

[Position]
Election of Deputy Speaker.
8th September, 1982.

The meeting was convened by the Acting Speaker, and the Deputy Speaker was elected by acclamation.

The new Deputy Speaker then took the chair and adjourned the meeting.
8th September, 1982.

Point of Information:
re: Dues by Certain Members to the A. P. Guest House, New Delhi.

POINT OF INFORMATION

re: Dues by certain Members to the A.P. Guest House, New Delhi.
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re: Dues by Certain Members to the A P. Guest House, New Delhi.
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Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot say like that. You have to observe certain rules here.
8th September, 1982.

Point of Information:

re: Dues by Certain Members to the A. P. Guest House, New Delhi.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Whether there is any rule that everything should be printed on the agenda. The other day the Chief Minister made a statement. He took permission of the Chair. It is after all the discretion of the Speaker. The Speaker has allowed it. When an important matter comes up and when a Member wants to make a personal explanation it should be allowed. Suppose if it is not printed in the agenda it should not mean it should not be allowed.

Mr. Speaker:—I have allowed two people to-day—one is Mr. Govinda Rao and other is Mr. Latchanna. Latchanna's point is answered by the Chief Minister.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—I have also read from the newspaper.

Mr. Speaker:—It was published in Hindu.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—Even my name has been included. Last time also I was at Delhi. I have very clearly asked whether there are any dues against me. I have signed and paid the Bill in full. It is surprising. It should be brought to my notice. Inspite of my P.A. asking, certain things are happening. It was suspicious. The functioning of the guest house is not proper.
Point of Information : 

8th September, 1982.

re: Dues by Certain Members to the A. P. Guest House, New Delhi.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—On a point of order, about the proceedings in the House. One gentleman who is not a Member of this House who is not a staff member also came inside, took Sri B. T. L. N. Chowdary and gone out. This is not the first time that is happening. Is it permissible for any Member of the House to do so?

Sri B. Venkatram:—The other day also when some Sub Inspector of Police has come inside. I have immediately asked for his arrest and at the same time he was suspended also, I don't know what is happening to the peons here. This is very unfortunate.

Sri B. T. L. N. Chowdary:—Nobody has come.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—I have seen a person coming right upto B. T. L. N. Chowdary right now. It is not the fault of Chowdary, it is the fault of the person who has come either knowingly or unknowingly.

(interruptions)

Sri C. Janga Reddy:—He is cheating the House. He cannot deny like this.

Sri C. Janga Reddy:—He is cheating the House. He cannot deny like this.

Sri C. Janga Reddy:—He is cheating the House. He cannot deny like this.
Point of Information:

re: Dues by Certain Members to the A. P. Guest House, New Delhi.
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[Text in Telugu]

9.4

[Text in Telugu]

[Text in Telugu]

[Text in Telugu]

[Text in Telugu]

[Text in Telugu]

[Text in Telugu]

[Text in Telugu]
Point of Information: 8th September, 1982

re: Dues by certain Members to the
A P. Guest House, New Delhi.

The Executive Committee has been informed that several members have not paid their dues to the A P. Guest House, New Delhi. It is requested that all members should ensure that their dues are paid promptly.

Matters for discussion:

1. Discussion on the above.

2. Election of a new Secretary.

3. Discussion on the agenda items.

4. Action taken on the previous meeting.

5. Any other business.

The meeting was adjourned until 11:00 a.m. The next meeting is scheduled for 15th September, 1982.

Matters for decision:

1. Approval of the minutes of the previous meeting.

2. Approval of the budget for the next fiscal year.

3. Approval of the purchase of new equipment.

4. Action taken on the previous meeting.

The meeting was adjourned until 11:00 a.m. The next meeting is scheduled for 15th September, 1982.
234 8th September, 1982.

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Payment of compensation for the Lands in Karimnagar under Lower Manair Dam

278—

*S960 (S) Q.—Sarvasri Ch. Rajeswara Rao, Poola Subbaiah, K. Govinda Rao, Ch. Vittal Reddy, D. Chiramalliah, S. R. T. P. S. Veerapa Raju:—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether compensation for the land bearing S. No. 1051 situated in Karimnagar in Karimnagar District under Lower Manair Dam has been paid;

(b) If so, the names of persons who received the compensation and the rate these of per acre;

(c) The extent of land submerged and the total amount so paid?

(a) 0.07 Kow. 1062.88, 0.09 Kow. 11,631.84.

(b) 1. 8.89.168.70.
   2. 8.89.168.70.
   3. 8.89.168.70.
   4. 8.89.168.70.
   5. 8.89.168.70.
   6. 8.89.168.70.

(c) 85,000.

11-10 a.m. (S) 10:10:—S. No. 7–18 Kow. 8,88,162.76. 8,89.168.70.

10:10 a.m. (S) 10:10:—S. No. 1051 8. 8.89.168.70.
Oral Answers to Questions. 8th September, 1982.

...
Oral Answers to Questions.

Moa. 3816&oa§ ^^ ^^ ^^

If the member is sincere let him accept for an enquiry. It is good for us and for the House.

Sri B. Venkatram:—I have already submitted that there are 41 sales which on an average exceeded a lakh of rupees—

Mr. Speaker:—He says that there is nothing wrong but he will make a further check-up.

Sri B. Venkatram:—I have got a right to submit. There are 41 transactions. As indicated, that is a site which is adjacent to the town and as such they say the rate is correct. Yet I said for what

××× ఏక్డు రూపాణి పేతుండా.
it is worth I have submitted that I will check up in spite of the fact that I am satisfied. Hon'ble Members must appreciate it.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy—What is the instrumentality (by which checking up is to be done)?

(Interruptions)

Sri B. Venkataram— I said I will check up. I will certainly get satisfied about it.

Sri M Venkaiah Naidu—Who will do the check-up?

Suspension of Certain Engineers in Irrigation Circle of Nellore

279—

*9865—Q.—Sri V. Subhanadreeswara Rao:—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the reasons for suspending the Superintending Engineer, Six Executive Engineers, Seven Junior Engineers and Fifteen Supervisors, working in the Irrigation Circle of Nellore; and

(b) whether the Government will take stringent action against all persons responsible for the embezzlement of cyclone funds and shared the amount?
9.4

11-30 a.m.

(i) I am glad to inform you that the Assistant Director, Proprietary, has been moved to Sri Lanka. The Superintending Engineer is not a party to these matters. The matter is in the hands of the Sri Lanka government. The Assistant Director, Proprietary, is not involved in these matters. He is in Sri Lanka.

(ii) The Assistant Director, Proprietary, has also been informed about the situation. He is in Sri Lanka. The matter is being handled by the Sri Lanka government. The Assistant Director, Proprietary, is not involved in these matters. He is in Sri Lanka.
Oral Answers to Questions.

8th September, 1982.

Sri B. Venkatram:—The Enquiry Officer has observed that the Executive Engineers have had deliberately misused their powers in the sanction of estimates and the Superintending Engineers were idle spectators to the events and ultimately fallen in line. This is the observation. If he is not personally responsible, then he will not be punished. If he is personally not responsible, he will escape the punishment. Suspension by itself is not a punishment, pending investigation. It is procedure that is followed and we have taken action to that extent.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—I fully agree with the Government as far as that particular action is concerned. As far as the particular issue is concerned, the Executive Engineers have deliberately misused their powers in the sanction of estimates and the Superintending Engineers were idle spectators to the events and ultimately fell in line. This is the observation. If he is not personally responsible, then he will not be punished. If he is personally not responsible, he will escape the punishment. Suspension by itself is not a punishment, pending investigation. It is procedure that is followed and we have taken action to that extent. I am appreciating that.

Sri C. Reddy:—As far as the particular issue is concerned, the Executive Engineers have deliberately misused their powers in the sanction of estimates and the Superintending Engineers were idle spectators to the events and ultimately fell in line.
9.4 Sri B. Venkatram:— It will be within the next six months. 

Grabbing of Government Lands by some Persons in Nellore District

(a) whether the District Collector, Nellore has received representations in 1982 from Nallaparreddi Sreerama Reddi, M.L.A. regarding the grabbing of Government lands and the lands of educational institutions by some persons in Nellore District;

(b) if so, the contents of the representations;

(c) the action taken thereon; and

(d) who has enquired into the allegations?

(1) 19397-(T)-Q.—Sarvasri Nallapar Reddi Sreeramasul Reddi, Pooja Subbaiah, Ch. Vittal Reddy and M. Omkar:— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(i) They will go on for years together?

(ii) Will they go on for years together?
Oral Answers to Questions.

8th September, 1982.
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"..."
8th September, 1982.

Oral Answers to Questions

...
Oral Answers to Questions. 8th September, 1982.

Sri NaMapureddy Srinivasul Reddy:—Is he explaining on behalf of the Chief Minister?

Sri K. Venkataramaiah:—Land Grabbing Tribunal..

Sri NaMapureddy Srinivasul Reddy:—There is need for a House Committee....
Sri B. Venkatram:—No need for a House Committee.

Sri B. Venkatram:—Even that is not possible.

Sri M. Venkaiahnaidu:—Mr. Srinivasul Reddy, an hon. member of the House put a question. The Chief Minister while answering the question said the application was made to the Collector. He told the precedent. But the member told that it is referred to the Chief Minister and the Governor also. So it is clear that the Chief Minister intentionally and deliberately mislead the House. I want an explanation from the Chief Minister, because he has suppressed the fact.

Sri B. Venkatram:—The question is whether there was an application in 1982 to the District Collector by Mr. N. Srinivasul Reddy. I said Yes. There was not a question whether there was an application to the Chief Minister. Mr. Srinivasul Reddy has written to the Chief Minister and the Governor also. That is all. When he has chosen to present it to the Collector, I never had any intention to mislead the House.

Repealing of City Small Causes Courts Act and Hyderabad Money Lenders Act

*S962 (E)—Q. Sarvasri D.K. Samarasimha Reddy, B.R. Dorni-swamy Neidu and B. Prasad Rao:—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

whether it is fact that the Law Commission had recommended for Repealing of City Small Causes Courts Act as well as Hyderabad Money Lenders Act?
SENDING OF SENIOR I.A.S. OFFICERS AS COLLECTORS TO DISTRICTS

*9996-Q.—Sri D.L. Ravendra Reddy:—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

whether there is any proposal to send Senior I.A.S. Officers as Collectors to the Districts?

Sri D.L. Ravendra Reddy:—It depends on the circumstances.

Sri B. Venktram:—It depends on the circumstances. Human element counts in everything.
246 8th September, 1982.

Oral Answers to Questions.

We will take into consideration the personal acumen of the particular person who will be appointed.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— On experimental basis will you make some experiment.

Sri B. Venkatram:— We have already posted some Officers who are above the rank of the Collector as Collectors also in Vizag and some places where there is necessity. We will examine that aspect wherever necessary.

Allocation of Amounts to R.D.S. Division at Uppal in Mahaboobnagar

297—

9562-(D)—Q.—Sri D K. Samarasimha Reddy and Smt. T. Laxmikantha:—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the amount allocated to R.D.S. Division at Uppal Camp in Mahaboobnagar and the amount required for meeting the establishment charges;

(b) whether it is a fact that repairs have not been done to the canal due to paucity of funds and that the canal is getting breached frequently; and

(c) if so, the action contemplated to save the ayacutdars under the project?
Short Notice Questions and Answers
8th September, 1982

S. N. Q. No.—9963-I.—Sarvari V. Subrahmanayam Naidu, B. Rama Rao, Katari Satyanarayana Rao.—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state;

(a) whether there was an attempt of murder on Sri Das the then Minister for Minor Irrigation (Present P.C.C. President) on 6-6-1981 at Nagulapuram in Chittoor District in the presence of police and so many other people;

(b) whether the culprits have identified;

(c) if so, the action taken on them; and

(d) the reasons for the abnormal delay in this regard?

S. N. Q. No.—370-A.

S. N. Q. No.—370-A.

Short Notice Questions and Answers

Attempt to Murder on Sri Das, Ex-Minister at Nagulapuram, Chittoor District.
8th September, 1982. · Short Notice Questions and Answers.

S. N. Q. No. 9968-Q—Sarvasri D. Vankata Reddy, V. Venkata ramaiah :—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) When the Penna Ahobilam Project in Anantapur District was started;

(b) the expenditure incurred so far for this project;

(c) when it will be completed; and

(d) the extent of land proposed to be irrigated under this project, the areas covered by the right and left canals of the said project?

S. N. Q. No. 9968-Q—Sarvasri D. Vankata Reddy, V. Venkata ramaiah :—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) When the Penna Ahobilam Project in Anantapur District was started;

(b) the expenditure incurred so far for this project;

(c) when it will be completed; and

(d) the extent of land proposed to be irrigated under this project, the areas covered by the right and left canals of the said project?
Formation of Muslim Financial Corporation

S.N.Q. No. 9968-O:—Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi:—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the successive Chief Ministers had made public statements on various occasions that the Government will form a Muslim Financial Corporation for the Welfare of the Muslim Minority on the lines of Backward Classes Financial Corporation; and

(b) if so, when it will be formed?

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

...
Mr. Speaker:— More important questions have been remained. We cannot finish the entire business:

Sri C. Jagannatha Rao:— On the night of 5-8-1982 at about 11 P.M. one Pallapu Balaiah s/o Ittayya, Vadda by caste, resident of Anjanagalli of Miryalaguda Taluk was going along with his two brothers towards his fields for watering the fields. Sri Ummidi Nagireddi Sarpanch of the same village along with 10 others armed with lathis and iron rods raided Sri Pallapu Balaiah and his two brothers and then beat Pallapu balaiah indiscriminately. As a result he succumbed to injuries on the spot. It is revealed that the murder of Balaiah is due to a land dispute of banjar land between Harijan Saidayya and Harijan Kotaiah of the same village. The deceased Balaiah was supporting Harijan Saidayya while Sarpanch was supporting

re: Murder of a C.P.M. Worker at Veelayagudem.

Kotaiah. On receipt of the complaint a case in Cr. No. 58/82 U/Sec. 147, 148 and 302 IPC was registered at Nidamanoor Police Station. The accused persons are absconding. The case is under investigation. Special parties have been sent for apprehension of the accused persons. This is not a political murder.
252 8th September, 1982 Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Water Pollution at H. M. T. Nagar.

Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy:—I crave the indulgence of House and the hon. members. I am grateful if my Calling Attention No. 13 is taken up first.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—In the evening we are sitting anyhow. Let her come here in the evening.

Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy:—It is only one sentence answer, Sir.

Calling Attention Matters

re: the water pollution at H. M. T. Nagar.

I will make a statement. Water is very important. They are drinking polluted water. From that angle, if they deposit give them water. I think that will certainly serve the purpose.

That will be done,
Matters Under Rule 329.

re: Rape of two women at Makthal Town.

Sri C. Jagannatha Rao:— On 5–8–1982 one Sri Bhimanna, a local Tea Stall Keeper, Narayanpet lodged a complaint in the Narayanpet Police Station stating that on 4–8–1982 at 10-30 p.m. when his daughter, Laxmi along with his servant Sailanna, were returning to the house on their way at one cross road Sarvasti Aziz, Younis, A.Jam and another caught hold of her father and dragged her towards Sangam Wineshop. The servant intervened by raising shouts along. On hearing the noise Sarvasti Ramash Gowd, Motial, Kistappa and Ravi Kumar reached the spot. On seeing them the offenders took to their heels. A case in Cr. No. 60/82 U/s 354 I.P.C. was registered in Narayanpet Police Station. Three out of the four accused were arrested immediately. The fourth accused surrendered in the court on 9–8–1982. During the course of investigation it was revealed that the accused Sri Aziz has tried to outrage the modesty of Laxmi in the company of the other three accused. The case has been finalised and a charge sheet is being prepared. Hence the allegation of rape is found to be incorrect.
254 8th September, 1982.

Matters Under Rule 329:

re: Murder of a Crane Driver of
Pragatools at Kukatpally.

Sri C. Jagannatha Rao:—On 14-8-1982 at 8-45 one Sri Krishna Reddy came to the Police Station, Sanjiv Reddy Nagar and reported that his father Sri S. Ramulu who was working as Crane operator in Pragatools Ltd., Bolar nagar left his house at 10 A.M. on 13-8-1982 saying that he was going to attend to duty and he would return late in the night as he has to attend to the Union meeting at Kavadiguda. Since he did not return till the morning of 14-8-1982 by about 8 A.M. someone in the locality informed Krishna Reddy that the dead body of his father was lying on the road leading to the Srinivasanagar colony. Immediately Sri Krishna Reddy went and confirmed the dead body was that of his father. The wrist watch owned by the deceased was missing and a cupboard key was lying close to the body. The S.I. of Police, Sanjivareddy Nagar Police, Station registered a case in Cr.No. 164/82 u/s 174 Cr. P.C and proceeded to the scene and found the dead body of Sri Ramulu which was examined closely. There was no injury whatsoever. After inquest, the body was sent for post-mortem examination. The post-mortem was conducted by the Assistant Professor, Forensic Medicine, Osmania Medical College on 14-8-1982 from 3 P.M. to 4-30 P.M. The stomach contained 70 cc brown colour liquid smelling alcohol. The liver was enlarged and sound. The post-mortem examination did not reveal any abnormality to suspect it to be a case of homicide and the final opinion about cause of death is reserved pending the receipt of chemical analysis of the viscera which would take about five to six months. Enquiries reveal that the deceased has attended the Union meeting at Kavadiguda from 6-30 P.M. to 9-30 P.M. on 13-8-1982 and left for the house. He appears to have consumed alcohol and collapsed on his way about half a furlong from his residence. Sri N. Narasimha Reddy and Ramadass, M.L.As. had also visited and seen the dead body. The investigation is in progress.

12-30 p.m.
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re: Murder of a Crane Driver of Pragatools at Kukatpally.

In the recent matter, a crane driver of Pragatools was murdered at Kukatpally. I have no hesitation in stating that I will not hesitate to institute C.B. enquiry.
Matters Under Rule 329:
re: Looting of the passengers of an R.T.C. Bus proceeding to Vijayawada from Puttaparthi.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao:- On 17-8-1982, one R.T.C. Bus No. A.A.Z. 3702 started from Puttaparthi at 1400 hours for Vijayawada. The bus reached Giddalur at about 2330 hours and halted their for about 20 minutes and during this period, seven passengers boarded the bus. One of them purchased ticket upto Guntur and six passengers purchased tickets upto Markapur. When the bus left Giddalur at about 2355 hours, there were 27 passengers besides the driver Sri S.A. Ravoof and Sri K. Chalapathi Rao. The six passengers who purchased tickets upto Markapur, at Giddalur sat on the rear most seat. They were clad in white lungies and shirts aged between 30 to 40 years and were speaking in Telugu similar to Nandyal and
Kurnool accent. At about 0045 hours when the bus crossed Jangamguntla village about 12 K.Ms. from Cumbum towards Markapur, six passengers who had boarded the bus at Giddalur rose from their seats. Two of them went to driver of the bus and pointed a knife and pistol asking him to stop the bus. The Driver stopped the bus by the side of the road and the remaining four threatened the conductor and passengers of the bus to hand over cash and valuables. They snatched cash bag containing Rs.2,172-50 from the conductor and about 10 gold bangles from one lady passenger by name Smt. Saraswathi Devi. They also searched suit cases of passengers but could not get any valuables. Thus total property stolen from the passengers is valued at Rs 21,229-00. While committing the offence accused persons were enquiring about a passenger said to be carrying Rs.50,000/- but no passenger actually carrying Rs 50,000/- was found there. The target of the accused persons seems to have been that passenger. After about 20 minutes, the accused persons allowed the driver to move the bus as they saw headlights of a vehicle coming from Markapur side. Accused ran towards fields and hillocks. Driver and conductor of the bus with passengers came to Markapur Police Station about 0130 hours. Police party was sent immediately to comb the area for the culprits. Search parties have been sent to all directions to locate offenders.

Investigation made so far revealed that the accused had pre-planned the offence with the main object of robbing a passenger who was supposedly carrying huge cash. One Dandu Saimon of Koilakkunta of Kurnool district and his associates are suspected. Co-ordinating efforts with bordering police authorities are being made to apprehend the absconding accused. Police escort has been arranged for night buses besides patrolling and beats strengthened in the area. Superintendent of Police and Deputy Inspector-General of Police concerned are personally supervising the investigation.
Matters Under Rule 329:

re: Looting of the passengers of R.T.C. Bus proceeding to Vijayawada from Puttaparthi.

9th September, 1982.

I am prepared to take the responsibility of maintaining law and order.

re: Beating of Innocent People at Yakupura by Police and Task Force of Rein Bazar Police Station.

Beating of Innocent People at Yakupura by Police and Task Force of Rein Bazar Police Station.

The report details an incident where innocent people were beaten by the police and a task force. It is not possible to extract and translate the content due to the quality of the image and the nature of the text.
8th September, 1982.

Matters Under Rule 329; re: Beating of Innocent people at Yakutpura by the police and task force of Rein Bazar Police Station.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao:—I will be constrained. I will not be able to reply. This is not the way. I must defend a person who is not here to defend himself against all these things.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao:—I am constrained; this should not be allowed. I am protesting. This is not proper. They cannot take the House to ransom and talk as they like.

Mr. Speaker:—Please confine to the subject, and speak.
re: Beating of innocent people at Yakutpura by the police and task force of Rein Bazar Police Station.

Mr. Speaker:—You have raised a question. Do you want him to answer or not?

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao:—Is this a matter under Rule 329 or anything else—I want to know from the Speaker.

Mr. Speaker:—You confine to the relevancy of the matter. I am not able to understand the hon. Member.
1-00 p. m.  

Sri C. Jagamadiga Rao:—Mr. Speaker, Sir, on 3-9-82 evening at about 7-45 p.m., a Police party consisting of a Sub-Inspector A. Indrakaran Reddy and Khasim Ali and staff were attacked by a group of rowdies led by Hamzabin Omar alias Jaffar Sheriff Pahlwan, Yakutpura within the limits of Rein Bazar when they went to apprehend the wanted accused Javid Bin Omar in Crime No. 122/82 under S.c. 342, 321 I. P.C. who was the younger brother of Hamzabin Omar alias Jaffar. The Police party arrested Javid Bin Omar who was present in his house. When they were about to take him to the jeep Sultan Bin Omar, eldest brother of Javid, a rowdy-sheeter prevented the arrest and the womenfolk raised a hue and cry. In the meanwhile Hamzabin Omar alias Jaffar, Khursheed Mohammad and Ghalib Voumis and others rose and assaulted the Police Party. S. I. Indrakaran Reddy was attacked with knuckle duster and iron rod by Jaffar Pahlwan. Khursheed knocked them down with the result the S I. became unconscious. Jaffar Pahlwan and party attacked the other S. I. Khasim Ali, caused bleeding injuries and threw him in the adjacent gutter. Five Police constables were also beaten with sticks and iron rods.

श्री सल्तन चली दिनगे वैसे ये हि गले हि जो बिलाक बिया कला है

से के मिले बिजले
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the absconding accused. Task force teams were also deputed to trace the accused. The main culprit, Jaffar Pahilwan has been arrested on 6-9-82. Efforts are in progress to apprehend the remaining accused. The hotel near Zoo park which belonged to the main culprit Hamzabin Omar was checked on 3-9-82 immediately after the offence. The allegation that damage was caused by the Police to the hotel and innocent people were beaten during the raid will be enquired into by senior Police officers. The accused and other residents of the locality prevented the Police officers from the lawful discharge of their duties and brutally attacked them. The situation is now under control.
364 8th September, 1983.

Matters Under Rule 325

Beating of Innocent people at Yakut putty the police and task force of Rain Bazar Police Station.

I am not disowning, I am not saying that nothing has happened there. I will never say that and mislead or try to mislead you or the House.

He is arrested in the C.P.L. Quarters. Are you making a Judicial enquiry or not. Mr. Speaker Sir, I do not intend to go into the merits of the incident; but I would like to draw the attention of Deputy Chief Minister and yourself to plain contradiction. The Deputy Chief Minister in the course of his answer referred to Mr. Jaffar as a police and as a rowdy. The hon. Member says he is still a constable in the C.P.R. If the fact that he is a constable is established,
Matters Under Rule 329: 8th September, 1982

re: Beating of Innocent people at Yakutpura by the police and task force of Rein Bazar Police Station.

the whole incident takes on a different colour altogether. It would then turn out to be a clash or a skirmish between two groups of policemen. No people would be involved and subsequent assault on the people as such would be entirely unjustified and the Deputy Chief Minister would be misleading the House, deliberately or otherwise.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao—There is no need for me, to mislead the House, deliberately or intentionally.

(Mr. Speaker:—You are not giving scope for the Minister to answer.)

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao:—I have already submitted what all I have to submit; I have nothing more to submit. I do not know anything beyond that.
Matters Under Rule 329:

re: Beating of Innocent people at Yakutpura by the police and task force of Rein Bazar Police Station.

I do not have those particulars. I will find out and let you know.

Mr. Speaker:—The remarks made by any of you will not go into record.

As the Deputy Chief Minister began reading the statement, again there were interruptions.

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot take the House to ransom like this. All this will not go into record.

(The Deputy Chief Minister went on reading the statement for a while amidst continuous interruptions).

1-18 p.m.

Mr. Speaker:—(After the Deputy Chief Minister read out the statement for a minute) I adjourn the House to meet at 4 o'clock in the evening.

(The House then adjourned at 1-18 p.m. to meet at 4 p.m. in the evening).

(The House re-assembled at 4.00 p.m, Mr. Speaker in the Chair).

Mr. Speaker:—Now, we shall take up the motion under Rule 329 item No.7-Power Tariff Concessions to the Industries. On behalf of the Chief Minister, the Minister for Agriculture is answering.
re: Power Tariff Concession to the Industries

Sri G. Sunderaramaiah:—This pertains to a policy matter and the Chief Minister has assured that he would personally answer. Otherwise, it would have been answered long time back.

Sri Ch. Venkata Rao:—No decision has been taken so far. On the basis of assurance, a Committee has been appointed by the Chairman with the concerned Secretaries and a report has been given. As soon as a decision is taken, it will be announced.

Mr. Speaker:—So, you want me to take up this item when the Chief Minister is here.

A Committee has been appointed and they have gone into the matter and have given recommendations. The recommendations are under consideration of the Government.
Matters Under Rule 329:
re: Power Tariff concession to the Industries.

Sri G. Sunderaramaiah:—Here it is a matter of policy. It is not only the Electricity Board but co-ordination of all the departments has to be there. It is not one department. That is why, the Chief Minister chose to answer. Because the Electricity Board has gone back on the commitment of tariff rates. Another issue is because of not being assured of power.

Because the Electricity Board has gone back on the commitment of tariff rates. Another issue is because of not being assured of power.
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(1) The unit should withdraw all the pending suits against the Board;

(2) The board will instal a check-meter and study the behaviour of the existing matter in dispute.

(3) If the old meter is found perfect, then the Company should agree to give an undertaking to pay all the arrears in instalments.

(4) If the meter is found faulty then the Electricity Board will not ask for the minimum charges and penalties during the disconnected period.

These are the points agreed upon at the meeting of 31st December, 1981, the first point, that the unit should withdraw all the pending cases—it is fulfilled. Have you fulfilled the other three conditions? Is this the way of accepting the decisions agreed upon during discussions? You have agreed upon four conditions of which the first one has to be acted by one party, which is acted upon. But, the Board has not acted upon the second, third and fourth points. Where is the sanctity for such order? Simply because the person in the Chair of the Chairman changes, will it not be binding on the Electricity Board? Do you say that only a person who is sitting there is bound but not the Electricity Board? We are prepared to accept that the Government is helpless in this situation of having no control over the Board, supplying authority. If it is a private party, will you agree for this situation? If it is some X, supplying power to industries and if it behaves like this and not fulfilling its own commitment, is the Government going to sit helplessly like this or speak because so and so is the Chairman and so and so is the Board or it is autonomous body. Is the Government so helpless and incompetent and having no control when industries are made to die and sought to be buried? Is this not a situation where the Government has to act upon? What is it that the Government has done to see that the conditions are acted upon? We want to know here and now.
last time, when the issue was raised in the House, the Minister for Power emphatically denied about giving connection to A.P. Carbides. He has gone on record. As on that day, the position was so. Did the Government give any undertaking to the APSEB to cover up the losses or to write off the pending arrears, if any? As rightly pointed out by Sri Sundararamaiah, it comes under various departments—Industries, Power and other departments. So, a policy decision has to be taken on that.

Sri M. Veakaiah Naidu:—Sir, this question was put to the Chief Minister. The Minister for Power told me in the Chamber of the House that it does not concern him and that was who was...
forwarding the same to the Chief Minister. It is an important issue and it is better that it is postponed till the Chief Minister comes. Otherwise, justice will not be done.

Sri Ch. Venkata Rao:—The issue of A.P. Carbides was discussed thoroughly in this House and Sir, you are aware of it.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—At that time it was denied....

Sri G. Sunderaramaiah:—It looks as if mathematics is made easy. It is made easy by giving this subject to the Agriculture Minister who is nowhere in the picture. Certainly I will convey to the Board to consider as expeditiously as possible.

Sri G. Sundararamaiah:—It is where you have to come to our rescue. 1977 and 1978 are important years.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Kindly postpone for some time. Let the Chief Minister come and answer.

Sri G. Sundararamaiah:—Let the Chief Minister come, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—It will be taken up if the Chief Minister answers to-day.

Sri G. Sundararamaiah:—It is not only Cloride India. It is one specific case, I brought to your notice.

Sri G. Sundararamaiah:—When they have asked for reduction of their load, you have not agreed.

Sri Ch. Venkat Rao:—It is sub-judice. It is in the Court. When it is in the Court, how can anybody... ...It is sub-judice. We asked to revise the agreement.
Mr. Speaker:—I will postpone it.

Sri G. Sundararamaiah:—It is left to you, Sir.

re: relating to the way laying of passengers and looting passengers on the ghat road near Srisailam.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao:—The file is with the Chief Minister and therefore it may be postponed.

Sri N. Venkaiah Naidu:—We want Chief Minister or the Deputy Chief Minister only to give reply.

re: Pilferage of power by the R.K. Cinema Theatre at Kanooor, West Godavari District.

Mr. Speaker:—The Minister for Agriculture is deputising the Power Minister. I permitted him.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—We like to know the urgent work of the State.

Mr. Speaker:—There is a letter like this.

"As I have to be away to attend the meeting of the State Revenue Ministers to evolve suitable proposals for amending Land Acquisition Act and also reviewing the progress in the field of Land Reforms on 8th September, 1982 I request Sri Ch. Venkat Rao, Minister for Agriculture may kindly be permitted to attend the business in the Assembly on 8th and 9th September, 1982 on my behalf."

So, I have permitted him.
Matters Under Rule 329:
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re: Pilgrimage of power by the R. K. Cinema Theatre at Kanoor, West Godavari Dist.

AtatteM Under Rule 329: xth September, 1982. 2?3

re: Pilgrimage of power by the R. K. Cinema
Theatre at Kanoor, West Godavari Dist.

...
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Matters Under Rule 329:
re: Pilferage of power by the R.K. Cinema
Theatre at Kanoor, West Godavari Dist.

(1) On 12th September, 1982, the Assistant Engineer, Electrical Department, West Godavari District, in his report, states that the power supply to the R.K. Cinema Theatre at Kanoor, West Godavari District, has been discontinued due to pilferage of power. The Assistant Engineer has instructed the concerned officials to take necessary action to stop the pilferage of power.

(2) The four-line b Consumers, 12.8-1982, have also been instructed to take necessary action to stop the pilferage of power. The four-line b Consumers have assured that the necessary action will be taken to stop the pilferage of power.

4-30 p.m. 12-9-1982.

(3) The Consumers have assured that the necessary action will be taken to stop the pilferage of power.

(4) The Consumers have assured that the necessary action will be taken to stop the pilferage of power.
Matters Under Rule 329: 8th September, 1982

re: Pilgrimage of power by the R.K. Cinema Theatre at Kanoor, West Godavari Dist.

I personally contact the Collector.

Sri J. Venkateshwara Rao:—What about the petitions submitted to the Chief Minister and other ministers? and What about the delegations to the persons occupying highest posts? A petition is submitted to the Hon. Speaker. Being a local M.L.A. copy was marked to me.

Mr. Speaker:—Supposing it has come to me. I can forward it to the Petitions Committee. It may take time.

Sri M Venkaiah Naidu:—What about the licence?

Sri Ch Venkat Rao:—The Electricity Board wrote to the Collector. The Collector in turn sends it to R.D.O. He would personally enquire the details. I assure the member that I personally contact the Collector.
Mr. Speaker:—The Minister assured that he would get it examined fully.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Whenever such matters are highlighted on the floor of the House, the Government promises to take action. It also takes action strictly speaking in terms of procedure. But the aggrieved party goes to the Court and wins the point against the stand taken by the Government. Our Government and our public corporate bodies are the most undefended clients. Would the Minister also assure us that it would also get its legal plans guarded properly before proceeding in the matter.

Sri Ch. Venkat Rao:—Whatever it is, the Electricity Board will take all precaution. Properly before proceeding in the matter.

Re: THE AFFAIRS OF THE GAVIMATAM, URAVAKONDA
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re: The affairs of the Gavimatam, Uriavakonda.

His Holiness Jagadguru Sri Chenna Basavaswamy Garu and his retired-Guru His Holiness jagadguru Sri Kari BasavaSwamy Garu were at that time. In the meantime, it has been informed that the year 1932 was the year of the construction of the Gavimatam. It is accordingly ordered that the affairs of the Gavimatam shall be completed by the 28th of June, 1980. The said order shall be furnished to the aghirs of the Gavimatam, Uriavakonda.

4-40 p.m.
Matters Under Rule 329:
re: The affairs of the Gavimatam, Uravakonda.

2nd September, 1982.

The affairs of the Gavimatam, Uravakonda.
Matters Under Rule 329:
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re: Misappropriation of funds in the
Panchayat Samithi, Nalgonda.

re. Death of an employee of Crown Casting Factory due to
negligence of an E. S. I. doctor.

4-50 p. m.

re: Misappropriation of Funds in the Panchayat Samithi,
Nalgonda.

4-50 p. m.
280 8th September, 1982.

Matters Under Rule 329:
re: Misappropriation of funds in the Panchayat Samithi, Nalgonda.

...
Matters Under Rule 329:

8th September, 1982.

re: Shifting of the Head Quarters from Sher Mahammadpuram Panchayat Samithi.

(1) வருடம் மாதம் சுனாமி. இன்று இற்றை உணர்ச்சியுடன் காண்போக்கும், முதல் வருடம் தொடங்கும் போதும், தேர்வு செய்யப்பட்டு வருகையை வருகையை விளக்கும்.

(2) உள்ளேயே கூற்றுப் பகுதியின் மூலம் முன்னேறும் வருடம் இருந்து வரும் வருடம் விளக்கும். முன்னேறும் வருடம் நிற குறைவு கொண்டு வரும் வருடம் விளக்கும்.

(3) மேற்பகுதியில் கூற்றுப் பகுதியின் மூலம் முன்னேறும் வருடம் இருந்து வரும் வருடம் விளக்கும். முன்னேறும் வருடம் நிற குறைவு கொண்டு வரும் வருடம் விளக்கும்.

(4) கூற்றுப் பகுதியில் கூற்றுப் பகுதியின் மூலம் முன்னேறும் வருடம் இருந்து வரும் வருடம் விளக்கும். முன்னேறும் வருடம் நிற குறைவு கொண்டு வரும் வருடம் விளக்கும்.
8th September, 1982.

Matters Under Rule 329.

re: Strike by the staff members of Sri Bapiraju Dharma Samstha Colleges.

After the stay is vacated we will thoroughly go into the question. It has become difficult for us. We will decide.

re: Strike by the staff members of Bapiraju Dharmasamstha Colleges.
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re: Strike by the staff members of Sri Bapiraju Dharma Samstha Colleges.

Sri K. Keshava Rao:— They are raising an issue of specific grievances of the staff and also of the Government take over. He would like to clarify and tell his own thing. We can club it.

Mr. Speaker:— It is a question of time also.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— In both the cases the accused was 5-10 p.m. the hon'ble Minister.

...
8th September, 1982.

Matters Under Rule 32:

re: Strike by the staff members of Sri Bapraju Dharma Samstha Colleges.
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The staff members of Sri Bapiraju Dharma Samstha Colleges have gone on strike. This move has been taken to protest against the alleged injustices they have been facing. The administration has been accused of mistreatment and unfair practices, which has led to their decision to strike. The staff members are demanding better working conditions and fair treatment. The government has been advised to address these issues to prevent further unrest.

The situation is being closely monitored by the authorities, and steps are being taken to ensure that essential services are not affected. The government has also been requested to engage in dialogue with the staff members to find a resolution to the issues raised.

75-12
8th September, 1982.  

Matters Under Rule 32P:

re: Strike by the staff members of Sri Bapi Raju Dharma Samstha Colleges.
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re; Strike by the staff members of Sri Bapiraju Dharma Samstha Colleges.

...
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Mr. Subbaiah, Secretary, Dr. Bapsi Dharma Samsa ha College.

A meeting of the staff members of the Dr. Bapsi Dharma Samsa ha College was held on the 17th of September, 1982. The meeting was presided over by the Principal, Dr. Bapsi Dharma Samsa ha. The meeting was attended by all the staff members of the College. The meeting was adjourned at 3:30 p.m.

re: Strike by the staff members of Sri Bapiraju Dharma Samstha Colleges.

Have you taken over the college or not? If they have taken over what is the position of the teachers there? Why there is delay? If it is paid what would happen to the service conditions. 19786 College shall be taken over with immediate effect without liabilities. There is a legal lacuna which has to be sorted out. We are trying to take over. We shall see to it. If any problem arises out of it, the take over will be complete and full. They are enjoying all the facilities for all practical purposes pending technical solution.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— That means all the Government lecturers have not been paid salaries.

Sri N. Sreenivasul Reddy:— They are being treated on par with Government employees if at all they are not Government employees?

Sri K. Keshava Rao:— For all practical purposes they shall be deemed. Even this Rs. 10 lakhs is to be given from the non-plan. Even this Rs. 10 lakhs is to be given from the non-plan. As on last month the arrears are not paid.
Sri K. Keshava Rao:—G. O. was passed day before yesterday. Rs. 10 lakhs was released. I am assure this House that the salaries shall be paid by the Government without any delay to the teachers directly.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Are they Government servants or not? I am deeming them as Government servants but technically somebody may challenge. Pending that challenge they continue to be Government servants. When are you going to do it? Are you going to do it to-day or tomorrow?

Sri K. Keshava Rao:—I am consulting Law Department. There are some clarifications required. One basic issue is that this college shall be taken over by the Government whatever be the objections. All the objections would be sorted out in favour of the take over. The question is about salaries. We will certainly take up.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Whether your G. O. say that teachers of this Samstha shall be paid from the consolidated funds, is it so?

Sri K. Keshava Rao:—Once cadre strength is decided it would be done. P. H. B. has worked out the cadre strength. If it is done we will sort out. I am now discussing with those people. I have requested them to call off the strike. They have called me and

Re: Strike by the staff members of Sri Bapiraju Dharma Samstha Colleges.

I discussed with them. I appeal to them to only end the strike. We are seized of the matter since so many years.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—I am trying to prefer Keshavarao from the beginning. We are seized of the matter since so many years. He has agreed the fact. On what condition you want those teachers who are on indefinite hunger strike called off the strike? Take over is absorption automatically. Leave alone your technical difficulties. Secondly from next month 7th onwards like every Government lecturer they will be paid from the consolidated fund. Is the Minister satisfied with the reply or not? I just cannot understand.

Sri K. Keshava Rao:—I have told them. Let us not reopen the issue to take over. The G.O. is very clear of take over. Regarding salaries, I got them this year. Last week I got them regular salaries which have been paid through D.E.O. If there are any problems arising let them sort it out.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Are they liable for transfer from one place to another like other Government colleges?

Sri K. Keshava Rao:—Technically as on today ‘no’ Sir,
Sri C. Jagannath Rao:—Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation has three Depots in Khammam district at Khammam, Kothagudem and Bhadrachalam and 8 Bus stations at Khammam, Kothagudem, Yellandu, Wyra, Tallada, Sathupally, V M. Banjar and Answeraopet. The Corporation has a proposal to construct a Bus Station at Bhadrachalam also.

Palavancha is an Industrial Town in Khammam district and an important traffic generating point. To provide facilities to the travelling public, Corporation a proposal to construct a Bus Station at Palavancha. Foundation stone for the Bus station was laid by the then Chief Minister Dr. M. Chenna Reddy on 5th December, '78, in a piece of Land belonging to the police Department which was agreed to be given to the R.T.C. But subsequently, there were some reservations on handing over land to the R.T.C. these have since been completely sorted out and land measuring 2 acres belonging to the police Department taken over by the R.T.C. on 4th August '82.

Detailed plans and estimates for the bus station are under preparation and construction will be taken by the Corporation after according administrative sanction and finalisation of tenders in the next two or three months.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Amount (Rs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8-9-1982</td>
<td>24,440.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-9-1982</td>
<td>1,87,101.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-9-1982</td>
<td>1,68,968.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-9-1982</td>
<td>3,30,494.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance!

Recasting by the former members of the Agricultural Society, Nādunur for Grant of Loans

21.8.82, they submitted a memorandum to the Agricultural Society. On 3.8.82, the Agricultural Society decided to grant loans to Nādunur for a total of Rs. 3,15,000. The interest rate for the loans was 14% per annum. However, the Agricultural Society decided to reduce the loan amount to Rs. 22,400.

The loan was granted on the following dates:

- 7.4.82: Rs. 24,440
- 20.6.82: Rs. 1,87,101
- 20.9.82: Rs. 1,58,958

The total amount granted was Rs. 2,03,494.

1. The Agricultural Society had previously granted loans to Nādunur.

2. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.9.82.

3. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.

4. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 7.4.82.

5. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.

6. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 7.4.82.

7. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.9.82.

8. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.

9. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 7.4.82.

10. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.9.82.

11. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.

12. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 7.4.82.

13. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.9.82.

14. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.

15. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 7.4.82.

16. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.9.82.

17. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.

18. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 7.4.82.

19. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.9.82.

20. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.

21. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 7.4.82.

22. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.9.82.

23. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.

24. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 7.4.82.

25. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.9.82.

26. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.

27. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 7.4.82.

28. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.9.82.

29. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.

30. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 7.4.82.

31. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.9.82.

32. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.

33. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 7.4.82.

34. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.9.82.

35. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.

36. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 7.4.82.

37. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.9.82.

38. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.

39. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 7.4.82.

40. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.9.82.

41. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.

42. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 7.4.82.

43. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.9.82.

44. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.

45. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 7.4.82.

46. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.9.82.

47. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.

48. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 7.4.82.

49. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.9.82.

50. The Agricultural Society had granted loans to Nādunur on 20.6.82.
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Damage likely to be caused for the Paddy Crop due to Formation Gottamulu

re: Damage likely to be caused for the Paddy crop due to formation Gottamulu
8th September, 1982.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Sri J. Venkatesewara Rao:—This is about the arrest of employees belonging to weaker sections. Some strictures are passed by the District Judge. That involves law and order situation also. We were informed that the Chief Minister will be giving the statement. That is why we have been asking because it has been postponed from yesterday to today. If the Education Minister wants to make a statement I have no objection.

Sri K. Keshava Rao:—I will do it now.

There is clear collusion between the Vice Chancellor and the University Authorities and the Police. There were allegations of corruption in the previous vice-chancellor.
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(Sri K. Keshava Rao, Education Minister was about to 6-00 p.m. make a statement).

Sri P. Janardhan Reddi:—I am afraid the Education Minister will not be in a position to answer our points of clarification. It is better if the Chief Minister answers.

Sri K. Kesbava Rao:—As per the notice given, I have got the statement. I would certainly be in a position to satisfy the Members. If he is going to raise points above the structure of the motion, then I will leave it to the Chief Minister. But I would be in a position to give certain things which are not even with the Chief Minister.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is we have been very generous in admitting questions. Otherwise, we could have regulated the business. Still, I am trying my best to see that almost all the issues are discussed. The Education Minister says that he is with fuller information. But the Member says that he needs the answer only from the Chief Minister. I might tell the House, that I do not have power to extend the House unless we decide it in the B.A.C.

Sri K. Keshava Rao:—Sir, it is up to you to decide. But, as far as the Government is concerned, I am the competent authority. With the permission of the Chair, I will make the statement. If he has still more things to ask, he can write and I will reply to him. If other Ministers have to reply to him, they will also reply to him.
And also court decisions are there.

Sri M. Omkarr—When the Hon. Member is particular with regard to the harassment, why should you bother about other things? When he is interested only on the harassment aspect, let the Chief Minister or the Deputy Chief Minister reply. He is not interested in the other grievances of the employees.

Sri K. Keshava Rao— I do not mind. But the answer is going to be the same which I have approved and is with my signature.

Mr. Speaker—From the office, I learn that it was sent to the Chief Minister who said it is not in my purview. Let it be answered by the Education Minister. When I knew about it, it is but proper that the Education Minister makes the statement.

Mr. Speaker—Privilege motion, I do not think we will be able to do all these things now.

Members wanted to discuss about the Andhra Pradesh Guest House at New Delhi. Now, we shall take up that issue. In the meanwhile, let the Chief Minister come.
Discussion on Andhra Pradesh Guest House, New Delhi.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu.—Sir, it is under the purview of the G. A. D. The Leader of the House also is not here. Who will note down?

Sri K. Keshava Rao.—As a collective responsibility, we will take down. If he is not there, we will answer.

Mr. Speaker:—Now, we shall discuss about this Andhra Pradesh Guest House.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu.—Sri Keshava Rao was also the Chairman of the Committee constituted by the Legislative Council to go into the affairs of the A. P. Guest House. Perhaps, he may also be liking to say something.

Mr. Speaker:—Now, Sri D. Surender Rao to initiate the discussion.
Discussion on:

Andhra Prad.sh Guest House, New Delhi.

6-20 p.m.

He must be treated as private guest. The room must be kept for him.

He can be treated as private guest. The room must be kept for him.

A Senior Secretary cadre officer has been appointed as Special Commissioner, who is over all in-charge of the Guest House. So far as the Main Guest House is concerned, an officer-in-charge has been appointed with supporting staff consisting of one Reception Officer, an Accountant and Ministerial staff. His duties are to receive and see-off dignitaries and senior officers both at the Airport and Railway Station.
Discussion on:
Andhra Pradesh Guest House, New Delhi.

The discussion is about the Andhra Pradesh Guest House in New Delhi. The speaker mentions attending as a representative of the Chief Minister. They discuss various points, including the recommendations made by a commissioner and whether the G.A.D. (General Administrator) recommended the misused position. The discussion also mentions people from West Bengal, Secretary to Rajiv Gandhi, and the Man of Janaki Ram.
Discussion on: 8th September, 1982.
Andhra Pradesh Guest House, New Delhi.

It is published in the papers also.
The catering officer has to prepare to the guests of the Andhra Pradesh. It would cater to the needs of the people visiting from Andhra. At the same time, it will fetch profitable rent also.
Discussion on: 8th September, 1982.
Andhra Pradesh Guest House, New Delhi.

Sanar, Kau, ana chal 30g. Jeevaj iina 30chamana. Kala
nii 3araj daryaan hi viz? 3ralu Jeevaj 31-31? 3alul iina 31ma?u?
Jeevaj iina 3hi 31r3 y3 gara 3aro. Kala 300, 310, 3ra2 y3 31r3
nii 300, 310, 3ra2 y3 31ma. 31ar3 31r3 31mii 31nuly 300, 310, 3ra2 y3 300, 310, 3ra2 y3 31ma.
Sanar, 31r3 31al 3a2 y3 31r3 31mii 31nuly 300, 310, 3ra2 y3 31ma.
Sanar, 31r3 31al 3a2 y3 31r3 31mii 31nuly 300, 310, 3ra2 y3 31ma.
Sanar, 31r3 31al 3a2 y3 31r3 31mii 31nuly 300, 310, 3ra2 y3 31ma.
Sanar, 31r3 31al 3a2 y3 31r3 31mii 31nuly 300, 310, 3ra2 y3 31ma.
Sanar, 31r3 31al 3a2 y3 31r3 31mii 31nuly 300, 310, 3ra2 y3 31ma.
Sanar, 31r3 31al 3a2 y3 31r3 31mii 31nuly 300, 310, 3ra2 y3 31ma.
Sanar, 31r3 31al 3a2 y3 31r3 31mii 31nuly 300, 310, 3ra2 y3 31ma.
Sanar, 31r3 31al 3a2 y3 31r3 31mii 31nuly 300, 310, 3ra2 y3 31ma.
Sanar, 31r3 31al 3a2 y3 31r3 31mii 31nuly 300, 310, 3ra2 y3 31ma.
Andhra Pradesh Guest House, New Delhi.

Discussion on:

8th September, 196?

Andhra Pradesh Guest House, New Delhi.
Discussion on: 8th September, 1982.
Andhra Pradesh Guest House, New Delhi.

Discussion on:

Andhra Pradesh Guest House, New Delhi.
308 8th September, 1983.

Discussion on:
Andhra Pradesh Guest House, New Delhi.

308 8th September, 1983- Discussion on:
Andhra Pradesh Guest House, New Delhi.
Discussion on: 8th September, 1982.
Andhra Pradesh Guest House, New Delhi.

Apart from providing facilities to the people who stay there, it has also got equal function to perform in New Delhi. However, similarly there are people staying in New Delhi. But, similarly there are people staying in New Delhi.
Discussion on:
Andhra Pradesh Guest House, New Delhi.

310 8th September, 1982.

Sri V. Shobhanadreswara Rao—Sir, I have not allaged anybody but the anxieties which are expressed by our members regarding some unwanted characters. long stay in that Guest House....

Immediately they will pass on information to the Tamil Nadu house and in turn they inform to Madras. Sir, I have not alaged anybody but the anxieties which are expressed by our members regarding some unwanted characters...
Discussion on: 8th September, 1982 Andhra Pradesh Guest House, New Delhi.

Chairman:—Some-time it had happened and the culprit was punished. Therefore we do not have to repeat it.
8th September, 1982.

Discussion on:
Andhra Pradesh Guest House, New Delhi

ముద్ర స్థానం — అంధ్రప్రదేశ్, నేడు ప్రదేశ్‌లో ఉంది?


7-20 p.m.
Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, but still too many speakers are there.

Mr. Speaker:—I have Communicated the desire of the House and he said “I will come”. 
Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, I know the difficulty of the Chief Minister in adjusting the timings but on thing is quite clear that this has to be given preference, because Constitutionally this House can vote out the Government - but not the other House. Therefore it is House of the representatives of the people consisting of 194 Members and therefore Constitutionally this House has got Powers to dismiss the Government and we have been waiting for the Chief Minister on important matters from the morning. Some important issues have to be brought to his notice and to the notice of the Government. It is quite unfortunate that we are not able to get an opportunity even to raise those matters.

(Interruptions)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, the observations of Mr. E. Ayyapu Reddy are extremely relevant. They have to be taken into consideration before we proceed further.

Sri K. Kesava Rao:—Sir, everybody agreed to the fact that this House commands respect by the Government and we are accountable by this House. There is no doubt about this. But the new dimensions that Mr. E. Ayyapu Reddy has raised as a senior Legislator saying that this House is superior the other House in a bichameral system there is nothing like that but nonetheless, this is a House Constitutionally elected by the people and hence should be
respected by the Chief Minister, but usually the entire Government which has the collective responsibility is there. But the Chairman acts on the sentiments of the Members that while we discuss important issues and when the issues are pending as to why the Chief Minister should not come—it is not the Chief Minister but any Minister of the Cabinet is there for the purpose. This case has arisen only because of a few postponements, because two Houses are meeting and because of the tragedy of Mr. Shiv Lal.

Sri P. Janardhana Reddy:—Mr. Keshava Rao also hails from the Council.

(Interjections)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, it is very dangerous to abolish the Council because the present C.M., is from the Council and the future is also from the Council! Therefore it is very dangerous to abolish the Council! Therefore it is very dangerous to the Congress Party.

Sri K. Keshava Rao:—Well, you have a right to abolish and it is true constitutionally that this House should be respected first and given priority. Whatever the question is. We are sure to answer to you also Therefore I only say I am right within the rules. If the Members insist the debate should be answered, for that, I am here to answer the entire debate, with all the authority. The work allotment is within us. But still if the Chairman has also agreed that the C.M., must arrivewe will go according to his decision.
Discussion on: 8th September, 1982.
Andhra Pradesh Guest House, New Delhi.

The Leader of the House is the Leader of the group that sits here but the person who answers and the head of the Government is the Chief Minister.

Sri K. Keshava Rao:—Sir, I agree with Sri Latchannagaru. It is a Government business...

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker:—We have to take a decision on the subject of Andhra Pradesh Guest House also.

Mr. Speaker:—Let Sri Narasimha Reddy begin.
318 8th September, 1982. Discussion :
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சுருக்க செய்யப் பயனுள்ளது. என்று சொன்னாலும் பார்வைகள் மற்றும் தவறைகள் நிளவிய வெள்ளை மாற்றங்கள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். முன்னதாக பார்வைகள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான்.

(1954) விளக்க முறை: அடர்த்தி, இல்லை அல்லது ஒளியாக காணாது இல்லை. என்று எழுதத்தை குறிமைகள் என்று காணும். ஏது இல்லையெனல், 300 மாணவர்கள் என்று காணல் இல்லை. ஏது இல்லையெனல், 800 மாணவர்கள் என்று காணல் இல்லை. ஏது இல்லையெனல், 200 மாணவர்கள் என்று காணல் இல்லை. ஏது இல்லையெனல், 50 மாணவர்கள் என்று காணல் இல்லை. ஏது இல்லையெனல், 10 மாணவர்கள் என்று காணல் இல்லை. ஏது இல்லையெனல், 5 மாணவர்கள் என்று காணல் இல்லை.

வரையறுத்துக் கொண்டு துணைவு துணைக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான். கூறல்கள் மற்றும் தவறைகளுக்கு முதலாள் 3 போட்டிகளுக்கு முதலாள் தவறைகள் என்று சொல்லதாக வீழ்கிறான்.
Sri B. Venkatram:—Sir, I was here for part of the discussion.-for part of the discussion I was there, because Mr. Janardhan Reddy was there. So, I was there for part of the discussion.

I am making an appeal to the Hon'ble Chief Minister also that there are number of 329 notices and Calling Attentions pending because of the absence of all the respective Ministers. I am making an appeal to the Hon'ble Chief Minister also that there are number of 329 notices and Calling Attentions pending because of the absence of all the respective Ministers.
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Sri K. Keshava Rao:—Sir, it all depends upon the Chairman as to assess the time it required.

Mr. Speaker:—So, the remaining Agenda will be taken up tomorrow and then the Chief Minister will give a Statement on the Drought situation. No Agenda is being issued today.

Sri B. Venkatram:—Sir, think now I am permitted to take leave.

Mr. Speaker:—Yes, we will take it up to-morrow, Mr. Ayyapou Reddy garu. Now the House stands adjourned to meet again at 8-30 a.m. tomorrow.

(The House then adjourned to meet again at 8-30 a.m., the next day i.e., on Thursday, the 9th September 1982.)