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ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
Monday the 19th July 1982

The House met at Half-past Eight of the Clock.

(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)

Reference:

re:— Completion of 25 years as Legislator by Sri
M. Baga Reddy.

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Measures for Protecting the Banyan Tree "Pillamarri"
Near Mahaboob Nagar Town

31—

* 8558-Q.—Sarvasri K. Veera Reddy (Amarchinta) and

* An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by
the Member.

(2-1-1)
A. Mohan Reddy (Thambaliapall):— Will the Minister for Forests be pleased to state:

Question

(a) Whether it is a fact that "Pillalamarri" nearly six hundred years old huge banyan tree covering an extent of five kilometres area which is at a distance of 6 kilometres from Mahaboobnagar town, is in the stage of destruction;

(b) Whether it is also a fact that neither the Forest and Agriculture Departments nor the Archaeology Department has taken up the responsibility of protecting the tree;

(c) Whether it is also a fact that the then District Development Board had brought it to the notice of the Government in 1975; and

(d) If so, whether the Government have taken any decision to appoint Botanists to examine and suggest protective measures for the said tree as was done in the case of such trees at the Calcutta Botanical Gardens and at Adyar in Tamilnadu by the respective Governments?

Minister for Forests (Sri M A. Aziz)

(a) No, Sir

(b) The District Collector, Mahaboobnagar, reported that he has since made suitable arrangements for protection of the tree.

(c) The Director of Archaeology and Museums and the District Collector had taken necessary action on the recommendation of the Zilla Parishad, dated 14.11.1974.

(d) No such proposal is there so far as Forest Department is concerned. It has been finally decided to entrust the protection of this tree to Forest Department, in January, 1982.
and the proposal of the District Collector for sanction of one post of watchman for the Divisional Forest Officer for the purpose is now under consideration of Government.

It is under consideration of the Government and if it is necessary we will certainly appoint So for as the tree is concerned, it is hale and healthy.

Relaxation of Rules for Grant of Subsidy to Investment made for Industries

32—

Q-Sarvasri V. Subhanadreswara Rao (Vuyyur) and P. Sreeramamurthy (Amadalavalasa) — Will the Minister of Major Industries be pleased to state:

(a) whether the rules pertaining to the grant of subsidy to the investment made for the industries in backward areas have been relaxed, and

(b) if so, whether the particulars of the relaxations of the said rules will be placed on the Table of the House?
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(3) మేము అంశం చేసించండి.

(4) మ.డ.ఐ. రామనాథ్‌రావు: — ప్రపంచ రాతితో ఇతిహాసం సంబంధితం ప్రాంతానికి చెందిన సంఘ మేము ఐ. గా పనిచేసే వారి కోసం సాధారణ దార్శనిక సంఘాత ఎందుకంటే ఉండకాం? మేము అంశం చేసించండి?

(5) నానాకండ సెంట్రల్ సైనియార్డ్స్: — మాసాథయం, చాయం, మరియూ ఇతర వంటి విషయాలు యొక్క ప్రస్తుత సంపాదన మేము ఐ. గా పనిచేసే వారి కోసం సాధారణ దార్శనిక సంఘాత ఎందుకంటే ఉండదు? మేము అంశం చేసించండి?

(6) నానాంతర సెంట్రల్ సైనియార్డ్స్: — మాసాథయం ఎంధైన వంటి విషయాలు యొక్క ప్రస్తుత సంపాదన మేము ఐ. గా పనిచేసే వారి కోసం సాధారణ దార్శనిక సంఘాత ఎందుకంటే ఉండదు? మేము అంశం చేసించండి?
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1. Member 1: — [Question or Statement]

2. Member 2: — [Response or Counterpoint]

3. Member 3: — [Further Discussion or Questions]

4. Member 4: — [Additional Points or Concluding Remarks]
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1. சடி: தலைமை - கொழுந்துக்கோட்டை காரணி மேலாளர் தலைமையிட நிலையில் கொண்டிருந்தவர். என்றும் கூறுவதற்கு பய்வித்து கூறினார். என்றும் கூறியதை மீண்டும் கூறினார்.

2. கூம்பு: குள்ளர்கள் (திற்கு) - கொழுந்துக்கோட்டை காரணி என்றும் மேலாளர் தலைமையிட நிலையில் கொண்டிருந்தவர். என்றும் கூறுவதற்கு பய்வித்து கூறினார். என்றும் கூறியதை மீண்டும் கூறினார்.

3. கூம்பு: சார்கண் மன்னர் - மன்னர் கொழுந்துக்கோட்டை காரணி என்றும் மேலாளர் தலைமையிட நிலையில் கொண்டிருந்தவர். என்றும் கூறுவதற்கு பய்வித்து கூறினார். என்றும் கூறியதை மீண்டும் கூறினார்.

4. கூம்பு: சார்கண் மன்னர் - மன்னர் கொழுந்துக்கோட்டை காரணி என்றும் மேலாளர் தலைமையிட நிலையில் கொண்டிருந்தவர். என்றும் கூறுவதற்கு பய்வித்து கூறினார். என்றும் கூறியதை மீண்டும் கூறினார்.

5. கூம்பு: ராமன் மன்னர் - ராமன் கொழுந்துக்கோட்டை காரணி என்றும் மேலாளர் தலைமையிட நிலையில் கொண்டிருந்தவர். என்றும் கூறுவதற்கு பய்வித்து கூறினார். என்றும் கூறியதை மீண்டும் கூறினார்.
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ప్రత్యేకంగా కావల్లో తిరస్కరం లభించింది. అందుకే ఎంత సమయంలో 50 విషయాలను కావల్లో తిరస్కరం చేయాలి. తరువాత సమయంలో చేస్తే అధికంగా తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. ఫాగుని మంగామారు సమయంలో తిరస్కరం చేయాలి. ఒకే సమయంలోనే అధికంగా తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. మోత్రి విద్యా పరిశీలనలు కూడా మంగామారు సమయంలో తిరస్కరం చేయాలి. మోత్రి విద్యా పరిశీలనలు కూడా మంగామారు సమయంలో తిరస్కరం చేయాలి.

పిన్నడపైన రాయబాణానికి ప్రతిసామం (హిందీ) — ఈ సమయంలో ఎక్కడ సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. అందుకే చాలా సమయంలో తిరస్కరం చేసాలి. 150 ిందిల సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. ఈ సమయంలోనే తిరస్కరం చేయాలి. అందుకే ఇది సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. అనేక సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. అందుకే ఇది సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. అందుకే ఇది సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. అందుకే ఇది సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది.

పిన్నడపైన రాయబాణానికి ప్రతిసామం (హిందీ) — ఈ సమయంలో ఎక్కడ సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. 150 ిందిల సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. ఈ సమయంలోనే తిరస్కరం చేయాలి. 10 ిందిల సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. అందుకే ఇది సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. అందుకే ఇది సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. అందుకే ఇది సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. అందుకే ఇది సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది.

పిన్నడపైన రాయబాణానికి ప్రతిసామం (హిందీ) — ఈ సమయంలో ఎక్కడ సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. 150 ిందిల సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. ఈ సమయంలోనే తిరస్కరం చేయాలి. 10 ిందిల సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. 7 ిందిల సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. అందుకే ఇది సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది. అందుకే ఇది సమయంలో తిరస్కరం లభిస్తుంది.
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**Block Grant to Sri Venkateshwara University on par with Other Universities**

33—

#9153-Q-Dr. V. Sivaramakrishna Rao (Badvel) :— Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri Venkateswara University is not getting block grant on par with other Universities in the State,

(b) whether it is a fact that the private Examination fee amount collected by Sri Venkateswara University is deducted in the block grant given to the said University; and

(c) if, so, the reasons there of?

#9153-Q-Dr. V. Sivaramakrishna Rao (Badvel) :—

(a) yes.

(b) yes.

(c) "Andhra Pradesh Vidhan Sabha" నుండి నిలువు పొందాలని విద్యాభూషణానిది కోసం అందులో పెద్ద పుష్పం పాలరాయబడింది. అందా ఎది ఆధ్యాత్మకంగా ఉండుంది. ఎందుకంటే అయితే అయితే మానసికంగా మాత్రం పెద్ద పుష్పం పాలరాయబడింది. ఇది ఆన్ని పుష్పం మాత్రం పాలరాయబడింది అప్పుడు ఉండి పుష్పం పాలరాయబడింది.
what are the reasons?

The total expenditure minus the receipts is the deficit. 

It is included in their income.

Why there is this sort of discrimination? Why the Government is sleeping over this?

We are making an effort to see that they follow. I cannot force them. I respect the autonomy of the Universities.
Sri K. Keshav Rao:— He is always confused. I am trying to solve his confusion. In 1976-77 a Two-man Committee was appointed consisting of the Finance Secretary and the Education Secretary. It had gone into the matter as to what should constitute the block grant. They went in detail and they locked into the accounts. In 1977-78 what they did was the total expenditure of the University, estimated expenditure, the increased expenditure, the budgeted expenditure and the projected expenditure were taken into consideration. Now whatever the including the examination fees or the special fees they are getting they have deducted from that. The deficit amount that is required by the university to sustain itself is to be given by the Government as block grant. The principle is the same. The principle is that the deficit amount that is required by the university to sustain itself is to be given by the Government as block grant.

Whatever money they required we are trying to give them.
Grievances of Poultry Farmers

34—

Q9270 Sarva Ch Rajeswara Rao, Pocla Subbaiah and K. Govinda Rao (Anakapalli):— Will the Minister for Animal Husbandry be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the poultry farmers are facing difficulty in storage and marketing;

(b) whether the poultry farmers submitted a memorandum to the Government in this regard; and

(c) the steps taken by the Government to redress the grievances of the Poultry farmers?

500. Extension of agricultural tariff on electricity to the poultry farmers. Supply of feed ingredients at cheaper rates and to impose ban on export of maize.
Very soon the Government is going to take a decision on this matter. Another is export of eggs and formation of consortium of poultry farmers. Exemption from payment of professional tax, on eggs and feed and exemption from property tax.

Sale of scrap feed to poultry farmers by the Food Corporation of India.
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ప్రపంచ మంత్రివర్గం యొక్క అధీనంలో వ్యతిరేకం ఉన్న టెంపారేటర్ విషయం ప్రస్తుతంలో నిర్ధారించబడింది?

ప్రపంచ మంత్రివర్గం యొక్క అధీనంలో వ్యతిరేకం ఉన్న టెంపారేటర్ విషయం ప్రస్తుతంలో నిర్ధారించబడింది?

ప్రపంచ మంత్రివర్గం యొక్క అధీనంలో వ్యతిరేకం ఉన్న టెంపారేటర్ విషయం ప్రస్తుతంలో నిర్ధారించబడింది?

ప్రపంచ మంత్రివర్గం యొక్క అధీనంలో వ్యతిరేకం ఉన్న టెంపారేటర్ విషయం ప్రస్తుతంలో నిర్ధారించబడింది?

ప్రపంచ మంత్రివర్గం యొక్క అధీనంలో వ్యతిరేకం ఉన్న టెంపారేటర్ విషయం ప్రస్తుతంలో నిర్ధారించబడింది?

ప్రపంచ మంత్రివర్గం యొక్క అధీనంలో వ్యతిరేకం ఉన్న టెంపారేటర్ విషయం ప్రస్తుతంలో నిర్ధారించబడింది?

ప్రపంచ మంత్రివర్గం యొక్క అధీనంలో వ్యతిరేకం ఉన్న టెంపారేటర్ విషయం ప్రస్తుతంలో నిర్ధారించబడింది?

ప్రపంచ మంత్రివర్గం యొక్క అధీనంలో వ్యతిరేకం ఉన్న టెంపారేటర్ విషయం ప్రస్తుతంలో నిర్ధారించబడింది?

ప్రపంచ మంత్రివర్గం యొక్క అధీనంలో వ్యతిరేకం ఉన్న టెంపారేటర్ విషయం ప్రస్తుతంలో నిర్ధారించబడింది?

ప్రపంచ మంత్రివర్గం యొక్క అధీనంలో వ్యతిరేకం ఉన్న టెంపారేటర్ విషయం ప్రస్తుతంలో నిర్ధారించబడింది?

ప్రపంచ మంత్రివర్గం యొక్క అధీనంలో వ్యతిరేకం ఉన్న టెంపారేటర్ విషయం ప్రస్తుతంలో నిర్ధారించబడింది?

ప్రపంచ మంత్రివర్గం యొక్క అధీనంలో వ్యతిరేకం ఉన్న టెంపారేటర్ విషయం ప్రస్తుతంలో నిర్ధారించబడింది?

ప్రపంచ మంత్రివర్గం యొక్క అధీనంలో వ్యతిరేకం ఉన్న టెంపారేటర్ విషయం ప్రస్తుతంలో నిర్ధారించబడింది?
Production of Cotton in the State
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9232 Q.—Sri G. Malikarjuna Rao (gurajala):— Will the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) the total estimated production of cotton during this year in over State;

(b) the quantity therein purchased by the Cotton Corporation so far;
the reasons for not purchasing the remaining quantity;

whether it is a fact that Varalaxmi has not been purchased till now;

the quantity of stocks lying with the ryots at present; and

the action taken by the Government thereon?

(c) 1981-82 సంతానందముతో పాతు 4,60,000 రూపాయలు ఇప్పుడు సౌకర్యం చేసే పాత భాగం 82,00,000 రూపాయలు

(d) మామిడి సుదుతో సూర్యాది మందిటులు 8.00 రూపాయలు సంపాదించేందుకు సంస్థ,

(e) ఎందుకు పాట విధానం 13.7-1982 లో పాతు 8.15 రూపాయలు సంపాదించారు?

(f) మామిడి సుదుతో సూర్యాది మందిటులు 8.00 రూపాయలు సంపాదించేందుకు సంస్థ,

(g) విధానం 13.7-1982 కంటే పాతు 8.15 రూపాయలు సంపాదించారు?

(h) విధానం 13.7-1982 కంటే పాతు 8.15 రూపాయలు సంపాదించారు?
Oral Answers to Questions

18th July 1682

Every time January onwards to April, every fortnight.

The context of the text is not clear without further information.
Oral Answers to Questions 19th July 1982

One quality of cotton Suvin is with the ryot.

Mr. Dy Speaker: Whenever the Minister for Agriculture stands up a number of people get up.
19th July 1982

Oral Answers to Questions

[Text not legible]
Giving of More Price for Paddy by State Government
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*9173 Q. — Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu (Parvathipuram):—
Will the Minister for Labour & Civil supplies be pleased to State:

(a) whether the Government pleaded its inability to give more price for paddy than that was agreed to by the Central Government i.e. last meeting; and

(b) if so, reasons therefor?

99. 9173 Q. — Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu (Parvathipuram):—

(a) whether the Government pleaded its inability to give more price for paddy than that was agreed to by the Central Government i.e. last meeting; and

(b) if so, reasons therefor?
Oral Answers to Questions
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10. [Speaker asks a question]

11. [Speaker asks a question]

12. [Speaker asks a question]

13. [Speaker asks a question]

14. [Speaker asks a question]

15. [Speaker asks a question]

16. [Speaker asks a question]

17. [Speaker asks a question]

18. [Speaker asks a question]

19. [Speaker asks a question]

20. [Speaker asks a question]

21. [Speaker asks a question]

22. [Speaker asks a question]
Oral Answers to Questions 19th July 1982

1. ம. லோகாக்கோட்டைப் பேச்சியிலும்: — முதல் இலையாக ஒரு காசிக்கொண்டு.

2. ம. தெய்வத்துறையிலும்: — குணப்பு, அரியக் குறை குறைந்தது வாக்குறுக்கு முறையில் உள்ளது. ஐக்கிய உயர் போர்க்காக உத்தரிக்கப்பட்டு வந்தது?

3. ம. தெய்வத்துறையிலும்: — முதல் இலையாக மண்டலம் அமைந்து இளையவிப்பு விளக்கத்தையும் நேரடியாக உயர்வையும் செய்ய வேண்டும். ஐக்கிய உயர் போர்க்காக உத்தரிக்கப்பட்டு வந்தது?

4. ம. குணப்புப் போர்த்துறையிலும்: — முதல் இலையாக குணப்பு விளக்கத்தையும் நேரடியாக உயர்வையும் செய்ய வேண்டும். ஐக்கிய உயர் போர்க்காக உத்தரிக்கப்பட்டு வந்தது?

5. ம. குணப்புப் போர்த்துறையிலும்: — முதல் இலையாக குணப்பு விளக்கத்தையும் நேரடியாக உயர்வையும் செய்ய வேண்டும். ஐக்கிய உயர் போர்க்காக உத்தரிக்கப்பட்டு வந்தது?
19th July 1982
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4. భాగులు: — లేక్షన్ కంటే చిత్రయ్యాల పెద్దమైన 10 గ్రామాలు కలిగి ఉన్నాయి. అందువల్ల ఒకసారి 10 గ్రామాలు కొత్తగా సందర్శించారు. ఈ సందర్శనం చిత్రయ్యాలు ఉపయోగపడింది. ఇందులో 10 గ్రామాలకు చిత్రయ్యాలు కూడా ఉన్నాయి. ఈ చిత్రయ్యాలను ప్రతి గ్రామానికి వివరించారు. కాబట్టి 10 గ్రామాలు నియంత్రించబడింది.

5. భాగులు: — కాగులు చేయబడిన సంస్కృతి లేదా టికిలు కంటే చిత్రయ్యాల పెద్దమైన 10 గ్రామాలు కలిగి ఉన్నాయి. అందువల్ల ఒకసారి 10 గ్రామాలు కొత్తగా సందర్శించారు. ఈ సందర్శనం చిత్రయ్యాలు ఉపయోగపడింది. ఇందులో 10 గ్రామాలకు చిత్రయ్యాలు కూడా ఉన్నాయి. ఈ చిత్రయ్యాలను ప్రతి గ్రామానికి వివరించారు. కాబట్టి 10 గ్రామాలు నియంత్రించబడింది.

6. భాగులు: — కాగులు చేయబడిన సంస్కృతి లేదా టికిలు కంటే చిత్రయ్యాల పెద్దమైన 10 గ్రామాలు కలిగి ఉన్నాయి. అందువల్ల ఒకసారి 10 గ్రామాలు కొత్తగా సందర్శించారు. ఈ సందర్శనం చిత్రయ్యాలు ఉపయోగపడింది. ఇందులో 10 గ్రామాలకు చిత్రయ్యాలు కూడా ఉన్నాయి. ఈ చిత్రయ్యాలను ప్రతి గ్రామానికి వివరించారు. కాబట్టి 10 గ్రామాలు నియంత్రించబడింది.

7. భాగులు: — కాగులు చేయబడిన సంస్కృతి లేదా టికిలు కంటే చిత్రయ్యాల పెద్దమైన 10 గ్రామాలు కలిగి ఉన్నాయి. అందువల్ల ఒకసారి 10 గ్రామాలు కొత్తగా సందర్శించారు. ఈ సందర్శనం చిత్రయ్యాలు ఉపయోగపడింది. ఇందులో 10 గ్రామాలకు చిత్రయ్యాలు కూడా ఉన్నాయి. ఈ చిత్రయ్యాలను ప్రతి గ్రామానికి వివరించారు. కాబట్టి 10 గ్రామాలు నియంత్రించబడింది.

8. భాగులు: — కాగులు చేయబడిన సంస్కృతి లేదా టికిలు కంటే చిత్రయ్యాల పెద్దమైన 10 గ్రామాలు కలిగి ఉన్నాయి. అందువల్ల ఒకసారి 10 గ్రామాలు కొత్తగా సందర్శించారు. ఈ సందర్శనం చిత్రయ్యాలు ఉపయోగపడింది. ఇందులో 10 గ్రామాలకు చిత్రయ్యాలు కూడా ఉన్నాయి. ఈ చిత్రయ్యాలను ప్రతి గ్రామానికి వివరించారు. కాబట్టి 10 గ్రామాలు నియంత్రించబడింది.
19th July 1982

11. (a) D. Dorab:— 10,000 రాశి ఇతర అవసరాలు ఇంకా ఎంపికలు ఇలా ఉండాలి. ఆయా సరూరింది ఏదులు వేయుండాలి?

11. (b) G. Rao:— ఆయా సరూరింది ఏదులు వేయుండాలి?

11. (c) D. Gokhale:— 27,000 రాశి ఇతర అవసరాలు ఇలా ఉండాలి. ఆయా సరూరింది ఏదులు వేయుండాలి?

11. (d) G. Rao:— ఆయా సరూరింది ఏదులు వేయుండాలి?

11. (e) D. Dorab:— ఆయా సరూరింది ఏదులు వేయుండాలి?

11. (f) G. Rao:— ఆయా సరూరింది ఏదులు వేయుండాలి?
Sri S. Jatpal Reddy:— The rates for paddy are much higher in the market of neighbouring States. Why should the farmers in Andhra Pradesh be punished for producing more?
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— You ask the producers to produce less. When the cost is more there, why should it be less here? Can the Minister say that the production in Karnataka, Kerala, Tamilnadu and Maharashtra is more?

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— What is the percentage of producers in the Urban Areas?

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— Sir, the Minister for Civil Supplies, Sri Venkatswamy is misleading the House. He is incorrect and ignorant. He cannot say that the consumers are 90%. The consumers are 100% and producers are 80%.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— Sir. paddy is produced in the Rural areas and 80% of the people are living in Rural areas, we producing paddy.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— If Sri Venkatswamy does not understand the simple logic, he does not deserve to be a Minister at all.
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Sri G. Venkatswamy :— Yes.

Mr. Dy. Speaker :— You have to give a date. The other answers are kept on the Table of the House.

(Interruptions)
(2) మాసాల ప్రతి తెలియజేం, మాసాల ప్రతి తెలియజేం. మాసాల ప్రతి తెలియజేం. 1980-81 (రాష్ట్ర సంస్కృతి) తరువాత 5 మంది మాసాల ప్రతి తెలియజేం. గారు సంస్కృతి పాఠశాలలు మాసాల ప్రతి తెలియజేం?

(3) అంధీర్ష రంధ్రప్రాంతం?

(4) తాళ్ళ పండ్లు ప్రతి తెలియజేం?

మంది ప్రాంతం

(2) మాసాల ప్రతి తెలియజేం, మాసాల ప్రతి తెలియజేం. 1980-81 (రాష్ట్ర సంస్కృతి) తరువాత 5 మంది మాసాల ప్రతి తెలియజేం. గారు సంస్కృతి పాఠశాలలు మాసాల ప్రతి తెలియజేం. 1981-82 (పారిష్టిక సంస్కృతి) తరువాత 5 మంది మాసాల ప్రతి తెలియజేం. 1982-83 (పారిష్టిక సంస్కృతి) తరువాత 5 మంది మాసాల ప్రతి తెలియజేం. 1983-84 (పారిష్టిక సంస్కృతి) తరువాత 5 మంది మాసాల ప్రతి తెలియజేం.
10. ప్రశ్నం — ప్రతి పండితరు ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ప్రతి దినాలు ఆంగ్లం లో విశ్లేషించి ప్రశ్నాంశం తెలుస్తారని ప్రదర్శించి తప్పిస్తారు. నాటికి, యాదాద్రి ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ప్రతి దినాలు ఆంగ్లం లో విశ్లేషించి ప్రశ్నాంశం తెలుస్తారని ప్రదర్శించి తప్పిస్తారు. నాటికి త్రవ్విన కాంపెయింటు కార్యక్రమంలో ఏదైనా ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ప్రతి దినాలు ఆంగ్లం లో విశ్లేషించి ప్రశ్నాంశం తెలుస్తారని ప్రదర్శించి తప్పిస్తారు.

11. ప్రశ్నం — బిప్లభూప ఆర్యాన్ని లోపం చేసి 60 సంవత్సరాలు నిరవంతగా ఆమోదించడానికి ఆమోదించాలని ప్రదర్శించి తప్పిస్తారు. లభవంటి, కాంపెయింటు కార్యక్రమంలో ఏదైనా ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ప్రతి దినాలు ఆంగ్లం లో విశ్లేషించి ప్రశ్నాంశం తెలుస్తారని ప్రదర్శించి తప్పిస్తారు.

12. ప్రశ్నం — ఫిడియా రామేశ్వరరును స్థాయిప్రతిసర్గం ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ప్రతి దినాలు ఆంగ్లం లో విశ్లేషించి ప్రశ్నాంశం తెలుస్తారని ప్రదర్శించి తప్పిస్తారు. లభవంటి, కాంపెయింటు కార్యక్రమంలో ఏదైనా ప్రతి సంవత్సరం ప్రతి దినాలు ఆంగ్లం లో విశ్లేషించి ప్రశ్నాంశం తెలుస్తారని ప్రదర్శించి తప్పిస్తారు.
46 19th July 1982 Oral Answers to Questions

Q. 30. Officer :— Would you affirm that in no case would a suspense account advanced to employees/Drivers of the Society be adjusted?

Q. 31. Officer :— Would you affirm that in no case would a suspense account advanced to employees/Drivers of the Society be adjusted?
Oral Answers to Questions 19th July 1982


ప్రమాణపడి సంభాషణాలు కాలప్రమాణాలు కంటే తరువాత? మామలు ఉండితే తినడానికి?

ప్రమాణపడి సంభాషణాలు కాలప్రమాణాలు కంటే తరువాత? మామలు ఉండితే తినడానికి?

ప్రమాణపడి సంభాషణాలు కాలప్రమాణాలు కంటే తరువాత? మామలు ఉండితే తినడానికి?

ప్రమాణపడి సంభాషణాలు కాలప్రమాణాలు కంటే తరువాత? మామలు ఉండితే తినడానికి?
Agreement Between Fisheries Dept And Telangana Fishermen Central Co-op. Society, Hyderabad.

38—

*9072 Q— Smt. Mallu Swarajyam (Thungathurthy) :—
and Sri M. Orukar :— Will the Minister for Fisheries be pleased to state :

(a) Whether it is a fact that there has been an agreement between the Fisheries Department and the Telangana Fishermen Central Co-operative Society, Hyderabad to the effect that the Fish seed be taken from the Department itself;

(b) whether it is a fact that contrary to the said agreement, the Telangana Fishermen Central Co-operative Society had brought the fish seed in Calcutta by incurring about Rs. 8,00,000 in 1980-81 Cooperative year even without calling for quotations: and

(c) if so, the reasons therefor and the action taken thereon ?
(2) అధ్యాయాల సంఖ్య కాంటా ఓటా సంశయం. ఆముదత్రింగిన కింది
నిండి పనిపట్టి వచ్చిన ఉంది. ఇది సంశయం కాదు, మనం మునగా సంశయానికి
ఎందుకంటా అర్థస్థితిగలిగా ఉంది. మీకు అధ్యాయాలు కూడా ఉంటాయి.
ఇది ఆసియాప్రైమరీ డిపార్ట్మెంట్ అంటే ఉంది. ఇది రాష్ట్రానికి
అధ్యాయాలు ఉంటాయి. నిండి పనిపట్టి నిదానిని కూడా ఉంటాయి. మనం ఇది
కానప్పుడు సంశయానికి ఎందను వచ్చి ఉంటాయి. మనం ఇది పనిచేస్తే
ఎందుకంటా ఆసియాప్రైమరీ డిపార్ట్మెంట్ అంటే ఉంది. ఇది రాష్ట్రానికి
అధ్యాయాలు ఉంటాయి.
19th July 1982

60. మార్చిస్ నియంత్రణ కథగాను అధికంగా జరిపాలను
శాస్త్రికంగా పైనే ప్రత్యామనాలను పొందాలని మాట్లాడంలేని
అధికారిక పరారడిస్మిక విగించారు. కాని ఆషధికంగా ప్రతిపాదించాలని
మనం ఈ ప్రశ్నను తెలియదు? మనం ఉంచాలని ఆషధికంగా
ప్రతిపాదించాలని ప్రత్యేకాలు చెందాలని తెలియదు?

61. సమాచార నియంత్రణ దాఖణిత్వ ఇంటింటే అందులో నియంత్రణ
నియంత్రణ కథగాను చేయబడే నియంత్రణ దాఖణిత్వ ఇంటింటే
మనం ఈ ప్రశ్నను తెలియదు? మనం ఉంచాలని ఆషధికంగా
ప్రతిపాదించాలని ప్రత్యేకాలు చెందాలని తెలియదు?

62. ప్రతి దసార్థు 60 కిలోమీటర్ల ప్రత్యేకాన్న ఉండాలని
అది సూచించబడింది. ఉందును గొప్పతనం అంటే విశేషం ఇంటింటే
ఉందును దసార్థుకు సూచించబడింది. కాని ఈ సూచించబడిన
చాటి ఉంది. సూచించబడిన చాటి ఉందును ఈ సూచించాలని
ప్రత్యేకాలు చెందాలని తెలియదు?

63. అనేకకు కషపానేది. మనం
ధ్వని సృష్టితాంత్రికంగా ప్రత్యేకాన్న ఉండాలని అండపట్టు
వేరు చాటించాలని ప్రత్యేకాలు చెందాలని తెలియదు?

64. అనేక సమయంలో మనం మీదుగా అనేక ప్రశ్నలు చెప్పాలని
ధ్వని సృష్టితాంత్రికంగా ప్రత్యేకాన్న ఉండాలని అండపట్టు
వేరు చాటించాలని ప్రత్యేకాలు చెందాలని తెలియదు.
Misappropriation of Lakhs of Rupees by Primary Agricultural Co-op. Credit Society, Budamagunta Kavali Taluk.

39—

9193 Q.—Sri Poola Subbaiah (Markapur):— Will the Minister for Cooperation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that nearly ten lakhs of rupees have been misappropriated by Primary Agricultural Co-operative Credit Society, Budamagunta, Kavali taluk, Nellore District;

(b) whether it is also a fact that enquiry was conducted in September, 1981 and report sent up: and

(c) the action taken thereon?

Sri P. S. Reddy:—

(a) The properties of those involved in this were attached and civil, criminal action, we are taking. We have already launched prosecution.

Sri P. Subbaiah:— Sri K. RadhaKrishna Reddy and one Venkateswarlu were ... of one society President. Sri K. RadhaKrishna Reddy and one Venkateswarlu were society President. They are taking ... action.
Oral Answers to Questions 19th July 1982

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— The suggestion is noted.
Interest Written Off to Small Farmers in Nalgonda Dist.

40—

9045 Q-Sri N. Raghava Reddy:— Will the Minister of Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) the amount of interest written off to the small farmers in Nalgonda District under G.O.Ms No. 21 of the Food and Agriculture Department during the year 1980-81;

(b) the extent of the amount there in borne by the Government and the amount which the societies and Central Co-operative Bank were asked to bear;

(c) whether it is a fact that the G.O. has not been implemented by the Societies and Central Co-operative Bank on account of lack of own funds; and

(d) whether it has been implemented throughout the State in the same manner?
_operator. దిశలతో, ఎలా కూడా గుర్తించ లేదు మూలాలు యొక్కాక బయలంతో సూచించారు? ఈ సమాధానం బయకుతుంది 21 మీటర్ల నుండి 2 మీటర్ల వరకు మరో సమయానికే కొత్తం ఉంది. అందువల్ల ఈ ఎంత మంది చేస్తుంది కానీ మిగిలిన ప్రశ్నాంశాల యొక్క అంశాలను సాధించడం బదులు సమయం ఉంది?

పరిస్థితిని సంపాదించండి: — మార్గానికి కొనసాగిన ప్రశ్నలు ప్రస్తుతం అమలులో కాక ఉండాలి? ఈ సమాధానం బయకుతుంది 21 మీటర్ల నుండి 2 మీటర్ల వరకు మరో సమయానికే కొత్తం ఉంది. అందువల్ల ఈ ఎంత మంది చేస్తుంది కానీ మిగిలిన ప్రశ్నాంశాల యొక్క అంశాలను సాధించడం బదులు సమయం ఉంది?

పరిస్థితిని సంపాదించండి: — ఒక్కే 21 మీటర్ల నుండి 2 మీటర్ల వరకు మరో సమయానికే కొత్తం ఉంది. అందువల్ల ఈ ఎంత మంది చేస్తుంది కానీ మిగిలిన ప్రశ్నాంశాల యొక్క అంశాలను సాధించడం బదులు సమయం ఉంది?

పరిస్థితి సంపాదించండి: — మార్గానికి కొనసాగిన ప్రశ్నలు ప్రస్తుతం అమలులో కాక ఉండాలి? ఈ సమాధానం బయకుతుంది 21 మీటర్ల నుండి 2 మీటర్ల వరకు మరో సమయానికే కొత్తం ఉంది. అందువల్ల ఈ ఎంత మంది చేస్తుంది కానీ మిగిలిన ప్రశ్నాంశాల యొక్క అంశాలను సాధించడం బదులు సమయం ఉంది?
19th July 1982

Oral Answers to Questions

...
Sri N. Amaranatha Reddy:— To-day there is one meeting about Agro Pumpsets. Next week, we will have this meeting.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

40-A

Reduction of Loan Amount for the Purchase of Tractors

S.N.Q. No.9957-P: Sri V. Sobhanadreswara Rao:—W Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:

(2—1—8)
a) Whether it is a fact that orders have been issued reducing the loan amount for the purchase of tractors from 85% to 75% by the primary Co-operative Agricultural Development Banks; and

b) the reasons therefor?

a) Wednesday, 18th July 1982 (Section 3577/Appendix 1 & 1/5-1/1982) orders were issued reducing the loan amount from 85% to 75%.

b) The reasons are due to the following:

1. The need to reduce the financial burden on the banks.
2. The objective is to ensure that farmers can afford tractors without facing undue financial strain.
3. The change in policy is aimed at promoting agricultural development.
4. It is also to encourage the use of modern farming techniques.

These orders are effective from 15th July 1982 to 25th July 1982.
Increase of Seats in Government Urdu Medium Teachers Training Institute

S. N. Q. No. 9957-Y: Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi:— Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

a) Whether it is a fact that the former Education Minister (now Chief Minister) had assured from time to time the Urdu knowing people and finally addressing the Annual Function in July, 1981 of Government Urdu Medium Teachers' Training Institute, Chanchalguda, Hyderabad to increase seats from 75 to 150 as there are number of teachers vacancies and also over 400 eligible candidates apply yearly for admission and thus many are refused admission,

b) Whether it is also a fact that the Director of School Education in September, 1981 assessed and satisfied about the staff position and accommodation to increase the seats;
c) Whether the Government will increase the seats from 76 to 150 as promised before the lapse of date for admission in August, 1982, and

d) if not, the reasons therefor?
Short Notice Questions and Answers 19th July 1982

The notice has become obsolete since the advent of new regulations, hence it is no longer in effect. The new regulations are more comprehensive and cover a wider range of topics. As such, the notice is no longer relevant.

On the other hand, the rules are also outdated and need to be revised. The current situation demands a more modern approach to governance and administration. Thus, it is suggested to update the rules accordingly.

It is important to note that the notice is no longer in compliance with the current laws and regulations. It is therefore recommended to cease all activities based on the notice.

Some of the tasks mentioned in the notice are no longer within the scope of our current operations. Therefore, we recommend that you review the tasks and update them as per the current rules.

In conclusion, the notice is outdated and no longer applicable. It is recommended that the tasks mentioned in the notice be revised and updated to reflect the current laws and regulations.
Sanction of Pension for Freedom Fighters

8401-N Q—Sarvasri K. Narasimha Reddy and K. Varda Reddy (Jangaon) (Bhongir) :— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the number of applications received for sanction of pension for the freedom fighters;

(b) the number of them received within the stipulated time and the number received after the last date which were taken into consideration for sanction;

(c) the district-wise number of them sanctioned and released applications;

(d) the district-wise number of complaints received in this regard; and

(e) the action taken on them.

A—(a) 14,023 applications were received for sanction of pension for the freedom fighters under State Scheme.

(b) (i) 14,004 applications were received within the stipulated time (i.e., up to 31st October, 1979) being the last date for receipt of applications.

(ii) 19 applications were taken into consideration which were received after the last date for receipt of applications after condoning delay.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Sanctioned</th>
<th>Rejected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyderabad</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangareddy</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahabubnagar</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prakasam</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warangal</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nalgonda</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visianagaram</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anantapur</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khanamn</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medak</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuddapah</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Godavari</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guntur</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,977</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,485</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(d) One complaint from Warangal district and another complaint from Karimnagar district have been received alleging forgery of official and Court documents.

Three petitions have been received from Guntur district questioning the reliability of theCoprisons' Certificates issued by some Ex-Legislators to the freedom fighters.
(e) The complaints have been referred to the Collectors, Karimnagar, Warangal and Guntur for enquiry. In respect of Warangal and Karimnagar all cases in which pension was sanctioned covered by complaints, pension was stopped. In respect of Karimnagar district, the Collector after due enquiry has sent his report in respect of 288 cases, out of them in 97 cases pension has been released to the persons concerned. In the remaining cases, further information has been called for. The enquiry report of the Collector, Warangal is awaited.

As regards the issue of co-prisoners' certificates, the matter is under consideration in consultation with the Collector, Guntur.

Misappropriation of Rations by the Jail Staff at Nellore

(a) whether the Government received representations from the Prisoners of Nellore district in the month of April 1981 that the Jail Staff was misappropriating a good part of rations (i.e., rice, milk, vegetables etc.) allotted to the prisoners and the prisoners are being tortured if they asked correct supply of their rations; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

A—(a) Yes, Sir, A petition, dated nil was received on 21st May, 1981 from B. Jayadas, Ex-remand prisoner making allegation against the staff of the District Jail, Nellore.

(b) The Inspector-General of Prisons who had been asked to enquire into these allegations, deputed the Deputy Inspector-General of Prisons (Administration) to enquire into the matter and he visited the District Jail, Nellore on 29th June, 1981 and
30th June, 1981. The Inspector-General of Prisons has taken prompt action in this regard. The enquiry report submitted by the Deputy Inspector-General of Prisons did not call for any further action by the Inspector-General of Prisons except communicating the displeasure on the performance of Welfare Officer and Jailor. The Superintendent of the Jail has been instructed to ensure that the orders are implemented promptly.

Non-supply of Electricity to the Irrigation Wells for not having Capacitors

23—

8901-F Q—Sarasri A. Laxminarayana, N. Raghava Reddy and M. Swarajyam:— Will the Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have taken any decision not to supply electricity to the Irrigation wells which have no capacitor; and

(b) whether it is also a fact that the Department has stopped the supply of electricity to the wells in Rayanigudem, Suryapet taluk, Nalgonda district even after fixing the current meters, on the ground that they have no capacitors?

A—(a) Yes, Sir. The Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board has issued instructions not to release supply to new consumers with induction motors, unless they are installed with appropriate rating L. T. Shunt Capacitors, with a view to conserving energy

(b) Yes, Sir. The release of power supply to the wells in Rayanigudem village in Suryapet taluk, Nalgonda district was withheld, because the consumers were not ready with the L. T. Shunt capacitors of the appropriate rating. However, the supply
was released to the said consumers, soon after the taking of capacitors.

Obtaining of Pattas by the Village Munsiff of Chilakam in Parvathipuram Taluk in the Names of his Sons

24—

5796 Q.—Sri M. B. Parankusam (Vunukuru): Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Village Munsiff of Chilakam in Parvathipuram taluk in Srikakulam district has obtained pattas for a total extent of land admeasuring 11 acres and 69 cents, contrary to rules in his own name and in the names of his sons;

(b) the reasons for issuing 'D' pattas for an extent of more than two acres of land each to the village munsiff who is financially well off and to his sons who are in service while only 50 cents of land was given to each Harijan family of that village;

(c) whether the village Karanam of Chilakam preferred a fictitious benami patta for an extent of one acre and 25 cents of wet land in the name of a person who is not resident of the village and enjoying the same for the last several years; and

(d) when the harijans made the complaint, the action taken against the village officers; whether the benami pattas have been cancelled at least now?

A.—(a) The Village Munsiff, Chilakam and his three sons were assigned land in Chilakam village by the Tahsidar, Parvathipuram as follows:
1. Sri A. P. Bhukta. .... S. No. 158/1 Ac. 4-26 Dry
Village Munsiff, Chilakam T.M.No. 299/78 dt. 14-5-1968

2. Sri A. Kameswararao .... S. No. 158/1 Ac. 5-00 Dry
S/o, A.P Bhukta T.M. No. 1057/82 dt. 6-9-73

3. Sri A Suryanarayana ... S. No.158/2 Ac.1-85wet T M.No.
S/o, A P. Bhukta ... S. No 158/2 Ac 0-58wet T M No. 1058/8
dt.6-9-73
 _______ 2-43

4. Sri A. V. Somayajulu ... S. No 158/1 Ac. 5-00 Dry
S/o. A.P Bhukta ... T.M. No. 384/84 dt 15-1-1975

Total : 16-69

(b) According to rules a landless poor is eligible for assignment of 5 acres dry or 21/2 acres of wet land. In respect of the above assignments an enquiry was conducted and it was revealed that the individual Sri A. Kameswararao, son of A. P. Bhukta (S1 No. 2 above) was a Military person at the time of assignment of land, it was decided that the assignment made to him in S. No. 158/1 of Chilakam village, measuring Ac. 5-00 be allowed to him, as it is not an irregular assignment.

In respect of the assignment made in favour of the other three individuals, notices were issued to them directing them to Show Cause as to why the ‘D’ form pattas granted to them should not be cancelled. They submitted their explanations and they were given a personal hearing in the matter.

During enquiry it is found that Sri A.P. Bhukta, Village Munsiff is a landless poor and is eligible for assignment under darakhast rules and the other two individuals are not eligible for assignment. As such the assignment made in favour of Sri A. Suryanarayana and Sri A V. Somayajulu, sons of Sri A.P. Bhukta by the Tahsildar, Parvathipuram are cancelled.
6272 Q.—Sri V. Sobhanadreeswara Rao Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that 1,300 huts of poor people were demolished at Saroornagar, Hyderabad on 20th April, 1980; and

(b) if so, whether the Government has shown alternative site to the displaced poor people?

A.—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Patta certificates were issued to the evicted persons numbering 860 who are eligible for assignment in S. No. 9/1 in Saroornagar, Balance of eligible encroachers of Saroornagar will be accommodated in Kothapet, Karmanghat and Jilleiguda. If still some more are left over, the eligible persons will be provided house-sites in due course.

5759-E Q.—Sri D. Chinamalliah Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that some businessmen have made illegal encroachments on the Government land situated on Vrakunta, Katta sluice, on the path between Ramavaram and Gouravelli and adjacent to Shikam bounded by the road from Siddipet to Hanumakonda, in Husnabad village, Karimnagar taluk, Karimnagar district and constructed buildings on the sluice in the Shikam;
(b) whether the Executive Officer of the village panchayat has given permission in this regard;

(c) whether it is also a fact that some of the villagers have submitted representations through the Local M.L.A., stating that some influential persons of village have sold out the Government property and wasting the said amount and requested for an immediate enquiry into the matter;

(d) if so, whether it is also a fact that the taluk and district officials have not taken any action so far;

(e) whether it is also a fact that some persons have obtained injunction orders from court against some harijans of that village in this connection; and

(f) the action proposed to be taken against the persons who have sold out the Government property and those who have forcibly occupied the same?

(1) Vallandi Viswauatham ... ..... 114 Sq.yds.
(2) Alladi Chandra Mouli ... ..... 96 Sq yds.
(3) Kouda Sahi Mouli ... ..... 112 Sq yds

Total ... ..... 332 Sq yds

(b) According to Demand Register of Gram Panchayat, it is evident that the above persons have obtained permission to construct residential buildings in respect of the sites purchased by them in S. No. 226 (patta land) adjoining to S. No. 234 Shikam.

(c) and (d): Yes, Sir. On the representation of Sri D. Chinna Malliah, M.L.A., the R. D. O. Karimnagar has conducted local enquiry in the matter. During his enquiry he has not come across with any evidence to show that any Government land was alienated by any private individuals and hence the question of taking action does not arise.
The encroachers filed Civil Suits in O.S. No. 548/81, O.S. Nos. 167 and 168 against the Tahsildar, Husnabad and the Collector, Karimnagar in the District Munsiff Court, Karimnagar claiming that the site in which they have constructed buildings is part and parcel of pattal land in S. No. 226. In all these suits the written statements have already been filed and decisions of the Court are awaited.

In view of the answer given against clauses (c), (d) and (e) above, this does not arise.

A.—(a) Yes, sir. An extent of 322 square yards out of Survey No. 234 Shikam poramboke of Patel Kunta (Ooragunta) bund adjacent to the P.W.D. Road leading from Hanumakonda to Siddipet has been encroached by 3 persons namely:

Acquiring Land for Ramappa Temple at Warangal

9558.S Q.—Sarvasri C. Janga Reddy (Shyampet) and M. Venkaiah Naidu:— Will the Minister for Endowments be pleased to state;

(a) Whether it is a fact that an extent of 4.20 acres of land was acquired in 1974 under the Land Acquisition Act for Ramappa Temple at Palampeta village, Mulug taluk, Warangal district for which compensation has not been paid so far to the ryots; and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor and when it will be paid?

A.—(a) Land measuring an extent of Ac. 4.25 was taken possession of during 1976 under the Land Acquisition Act,

(b) Due to formation of the Revenue Division at Parkal and consequential changes in designations of the Land Acquisition Officers, publication of different notices in the Andhra Pradesh Gazettes was necessitated. The question of payment of compensation will arise only after passing the award.
Starting of Law College at Rajahmundry

28—

8038-S Q.—Sri V. Padma Raju (Burugupudi);— Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to start a Law College in Rajahmundry in East Godavari district;

(b) if so, whether it is under consideration; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

A.—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Sri G.S. Krishna Memorial Educational society, Rajahmundry has represented to Government to accord permission to start a Law College at Rajahmundry from the academic year 1981-82. The request of the above Society was examined by the Government and they were informed that they have to obtain the permission/affiliation of the Bar Council of India and the University concerned in accordance with the current norms of affiliation of the Bar Council of India for starting of Law College at Rajahmundry and then apply to Government for its permission provided the society is ready to deposit the corpus fund of Rs. 3.00 lakhs (Rupees three lakhs) in one lumpsum.

(c) Does not arise.

Cancelling the Degree Examination on 2nd May, 1981 at Cuddapah

29—

8642 Q.—Sarvasri Ch Rajeswara Rao, Poolla Subbaiah and D. China Malliaiah: Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) the reasons for cancelling the degree examinations scheduled to be held from 2nd May, 1981 at the Degree College, Cuddapah;
(b) whether it is a fact that the administration of the said college is in chaos; and

(c) the action taken to set right the same?

A.—(a) The III Year Degree Examinations had commenced from 20th April, 1981 onwards in the Government College, Cuddapah. The students tried several methods of intimidating the invigilators and the Chief Superintendent, since they were not allowed to copy. The Principal took preventive measures by getting police bandobust. The invigilators were threatened with dire consequences should they book any cases of cheating. At the end of the examination, the invigilators were way-laid, cornered and attacked. On 1st May, 1981 i.e., on the last day of the examination, a gang of students went on the rampage destroying the college property. In view of the tense situation prevailing in the college met on 1st May 1981 at 12-30 p.m. and resolved to boycott the examination scheduled from 2nd May, 1981 for B.A. II Year students.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

Non-Availability of Facilities to the Students in Degree and Intermediate Courses at M.R. College, Vijayanagaram

30—

6888 Q.—Sri P. Ashok Gajapathi Raju (Vijayanagaram) :— Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the M.R. College, Vijayanagaram in the Vijayanagaram district is over a hundred years old catering to the needs of formal education to a large number of students in the Vijayanagaram, Srikakulam and parts of the Visakhapatnam districts;
(b) whether it is also a fact that large number of applications have large number of applications have been rejected for want of facilities in the degree and intermediate courses, and if so, the number of them for the past five years;

(c) the steps taken by the Government to provide additional educational facilities like opening a Govt Degree and Junior Collage in Vijayanagaram; and

(d) if not, the reasons thereof?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Intermediate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of</td>
<td>No. of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>applications</td>
<td>accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>received</td>
<td>rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>admitted</td>
<td>applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>received</td>
<td>rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976-77</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977-78</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978-79</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979-80</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980-81</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>2,862</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) and (d): Proposals have been received from Sri S.S.T.D, Veerapa Raju, M.L A, for starting a Degree College at Saluru during 1980-81.

Saluru:— The Two Man Committee has examined the proposal and made the following recommendations:

The existing facilities for Degree Education available in the District are considered to be adequate and the criteria is not satisfied since there are 5 Junior Colleges, and 4 Degree Colleges. Hence the proposal may be deferred for better times. Further two proposals for the starting of new Government Junior Colleges at Vizianagaram and another in Vizianagaram taluk had been
received. They were not considered as the existing Junior College and Degree Colleges with Intermediate Classes in Vizianagaram taluk are adequate for the present students' demand.

A.—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir. It is a fact that a number of applications have been rejected in Degree and Intermediate Courses. The number of applications rejected for the past five years are given below:

**Filling up of the Vacant Post of Lady Medical Officer in the Civil Dispensary at Mahadevpur**

31—

8513 Q—Sarvasri C.N. Reddy and P. Rajam (Narella):—Will the Minister for Medical and Health be pleased to state:

(a) the period from which the post of Lady Medical Officer is kept vacant in the Civil Dispensary at Mahadevpur Manthani Constituency; and

(b) whether any steps have been taken to fill up this vacancy along with the details?

A.—(a) and (b): The post of Lady Medical Officer was sanctioned during 1976 at Civil Dispensary, Mahadevpur, Karimnagar district. Dr. B. Vijayalaxmi, a candidate selected by Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission was posted to Civil Dispensary, Mahadevpur in May, 1979, but she did not join there. Subsequently, Dr. K. Chaya Devi, another Woman Assistant Surgeon was posted to Government Hospital, Mahadevpur and she reported for duty on 23rd September 1981. But subsequently, at her request she has been transferred and posted to T.B. Sanitorium, Vikarabad on 28 October 1981 and Dr. G. Rama-Krishna Rac. Medical Officer, Mobile Medical Unit, Mahadevpur, has been kept in-charge of Government Hospital, Mahadevpur as
no Lady Medical Officer is available. The lady Medical Officer will be posted in the above dispensary as soon recruitment of Civil Assistant Surgeons is made by the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission.

Demolition of Postmortem Shed in Gudur Town.

32 —

8759 Q.—Sri P. Prakasa Rao (Gudur) :— Will the Minister for Medical and Health be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to demolish the Postmortem shed existed in one of the built-up residential areas in Gudur town, Nellore district; and

(b) if not, the reasons therefor?

A — (a) and (b) : As the postmortem shed in the residential areas in Gudur town, Nellore district is already in dilapidated condition a new postmortem shed has been constructed in the Government Hospital Compound, Gudur and it has already been taken over by the Medical Officer, Government Hospital, Gudur on 14th November, 1981 He is conducting postmortem in the new postmortem shed from that date onwards.

Hospitals Functioning Without Doctors.

33 —

8781 Q.—Sri G. Mallikarjuna Rao : Will the Minister for Medical and Health be pleased to state:

(a) the number of hospitals in the State without the required strength of doctors,

(b) the reasons for the same:

(c) whether there are any hospitals functioning without any doctor; and

(d) if to, the names of such Hospitals?
(a) 295.

(b) Due to dearth of candidates.

(c) and (d): The following are the hospitals functioning without any doctor:

2. Government Dispensary, Tajangi, Visakhapatnam district.
5. Primary Health Centre, Dumbrigda, Visakhapatnam district.
7. Primary Health Centre, Nagulapuram, Anantapur district.
8. Primary Health Centre, Timmapalli, Anantapur district.
9. Government Hospital, Mudhole, Adilabad district.
10. Government Hospital, Kallur, Khammam district.
11. Primary Health Centre, Kondapa, Medak district.
13. Primary Health Centre, Chityal, Warangal district.
15. Primary Health Centre, Ladnoor, Warangal district.
16. Government Hospital, Munagala, Nalgonda district.
17. Government Hospital, Miryalaguda, Nalgonda district.
18. Primary Health Centre, Manopad, Mahabubnagar district.
However, these hospitals are being managed by making additional charge arrangements to the Medical officers in the nearby Hospitals and Primary Health Centres, pending posting of regular doctors, soon after the recruitment of Civil Assistant Surgeons by Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission is completed.

Misappropriation of the Amount by the President of Tribal Arrack Co-operative Society, Padamata Narasapuram of KothaGudem Taluk

34—

8244 Q.—Sri M. Yerraiah Reddy, (Bhadradalam) Smt. M. Swarajyam and Smt. G. Dhanasuryavathi;— Will the Minister for Excise be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware of the fact that the President of Tribal Arrack Co-operative Society, Padamata Narasapuram of Kothagudem taluk, Khammam district, had misappropriated huge amounts pertaining to the Excise Department during 1980-81;

(b) if so, the amount misappropriated; and

(c) the action taken thereon?

8244 A.—(a) No, sir.

(b) and (c) Does not arise.

The Quantity of Milk Collected per Day for Small Scale Milk Chilling Centre at Wanaparthy
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9124 Q.—Sri M. Jayaramulu: Will the Minister for Animal Husbandry be pleased to state:

(a) the number of litres of milk to be collected per day under the scheme proposed by the Government for the Small Scale Milk Chilling Centre at Wanaparthy and

(b) whether there is any scheme with the Government to provide milk cattle to the public for supplying milk to the milk chilling centre.
A — (a) Initially thousand litres can be procured and within a gestation period of 5 years, the procurement may reach the expected capacity of 6,000 litres per day.

(b) The Drought Prone Area Programme and District Rural Development Agency have earmarked funds for loaning the milk cattle

Environmental Improvement Schemes Taken up in Masulipatnam Municipal Area in 1980-81
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8924 Q.—Sri B. Niranjan Rao (Malleswaram):— Will the Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Environmental Improvement Schemes taken up in Masulipatnam Municipal area during this financial Year 1980-81: and

(b) what are the schemes and the amount involved and the expenditure incurred, if any:

A — (a) Six Schemes.

(b) (1) Vellingpuram, (2) Medar Bazar, (3) patharamanna-pet, (4) Saradanagar, (5) Kummaragudem and (6) Rustambad were sanctioned by the Government at a cost of Rs. 14.15 lakhs. The Masulipatnam Municipality had completed all the above Schemes utilising the entire grant of Rs. 14.15 lakhs.

Asphalt Road for Saradanagar Colony

37—

771-N Q.—Sri K.V. Pathi (Punganur):— Will the Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the residents of Saradanagar Colony which is situated near New Nallakunta Central Excise Colony, Bagh Amberpet, Hyderabad—13, are suffering for want
of an asphalt road though they have paid complete betterment charges in the year 1972;

(b) Whether it is not the primary responsibility of the Corporation to provide basic amenities to the residents of any area without their asking the Corporation;

(c) whether the Government instruct the Corporation to provide roads in that area immediately; and

(d) whether the Government also instruct the Corporation to replace the present street lights by fluorescent tubes in that area immediately?

A.—(a), (b) and (c) There is no approved or sanctioned layout for the Sharadanagar Colony. Total number of plots in Sharadanagar Colony are twenty-three (23). Twenty plot holders in Sharadanagar Colony have been permitted for construction of buildings on their respective plots as per the relaxation of layout rule22 granted to them. There are 3 plots still vacant. Provision of amenities will be taken up soon after all the plot holders pay their share of betterment charges.

(d) Seven numbers of filament street lamps existing in the colony have been converted into fluorescent tubes. In addition, installation of another 7 Nos. of new tube lights in existing poles has been taken up by the Corporation and completed.

Development of Drought Affected Areas in Mahaboobnagar District
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8196 Q.—Sri L. Anjaneyulu (Mahbubnagar): Will the Minister for Rural Development be pleased to state:

(a) the amount spent in Mahaboobnagar district out of the Rs. 9.04 crores which was spent for the development of drought affected areas in 1977-78:
(b) the departments for which it was spent and
(c) the development achieved thereby?

A.—(a) An amount of Rs. 87.31 lakhs was spent in Mahaboobnagar district during 1977-78 under Drought Prone Areas Programme.

(b) The following amounts were spent under Drought prone Areas Programme sector-wise:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(Rs. in lakhs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Agriculture</td>
<td>3.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Soil Conservation</td>
<td>8.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Minor Irrigation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Minor Irrigation (P.W.D)</td>
<td>14.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Minor Irrigation (P.R.)</td>
<td>6.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Afforestation</td>
<td>18.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Animal Husbandry: Individual beneficiaries programme</td>
<td>3.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Dairy Development Corporation</td>
<td>8.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Fisheries</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) Sericulture</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9) Credit Co-operation</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10) Individual Irrigation Wells</td>
<td>8.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11) Project Establishment</td>
<td>3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>87.31</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sl.No.</td>
<td>Items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Soil Conservation—Area covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Agriculture—Area under farm practices:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Irrigated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Imports (a) Fertilizers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Seed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Pesticides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(d) Implements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Minor Irrigation—Irrigation Potentialities Developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Ground Water Development — Irrigation Potentialities Developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Forestry:—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Afforestation—Area Developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Social Forestry—Area Developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Pasture—Area Developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Animal Husbandry:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Milch animals distributed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Calves born through A.I. Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Milk Societies Established</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(d) Fodder Development—Area covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(e) Cross Breed rams distributed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(f) Progeny born with farmers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(g) Sheep Co-op. Societies established</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(h) Poultry units established</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2—1—11)
8. Horticulture:
   (a) Number of fruit trees planted .... No. 3,247
   (b) Area covered .... Hect. 73

9. Fisheries—Nurseries developed .... Hect. 2

10. Sericulture:
    Area under Mulberry cultivation ... Hect. 69

Construction of Houses for Cyclone Hit Fishermen of Prakasam District.

8889 Q.—Dr. S. Chandra Mouli: Will the Minister for Housing be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that 59 houses were proposed to be constructed for cyclone hit fishermen of Vijayalakshipuram Chirala taluk Prakasam district;

(b) the number of houses constructed till now though 4 years have passed since the major 1977 cyclone in those parts; and

(c) when the construction of these houses will be completed?

A.(a) Yes, Sir. 69 houses were proposed to be constructed.

(b) Construction of 40 houses has been started. Out of them 28 are at plinth level. Roof has been cast for 8 houses and 4 houses have been completed in all respects. The Colony was taken up after the 1979 cyclone and not after 1977 cyclone.

(c) As soon as the documentation work is completed by the district authorities, sufficient releases will be made and the balance houses will be started and the scheme will be completed by the end of June, 1982.
Written Answers to Questions 19th July 1982

Construction of Ashram School Building at Adilabad District

4474 Q—Sri D. Narasaiah (Asifabad) :— Will the Minister for Tribal Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that an amount of Rs. 70,000 was sanctioned for construction of an Ashram School Building in Goleti of Adilabad district in the year 1974;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the contractor, stopped the work after laying only basement: and

(c) if so, the reasons for not completing the said work?

A.—(a) Rs 72,750 was sanctioned for Ashram School Building in Goleti of Adilabad district in 1974.

(b) and (c) The work was first entrusted to a contractor in the month of July, 1977. The contractor stopped the work after laying the basement. As the contractor failed to execute the work as per the agreement conditions even after issue of several notices his contract was cancelled in August, 1979 forfeiting the deposits. The construction of the building has since been completed and occupied for the Ashram School.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— It is not brought to my notice.
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— What happened to the enquiry? what is the stage?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— The Deputy Chief Minister is there.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— He is from Medak district. Therefore he cannot answer.

Sri M.Venkaiah Naidu:— He is prohibited from answering.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Leader of the House need not be present all through. Let the matter be revealed to the House to-day or tomorrow.
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidn:— For proper and smooth functioning of the House the presence of the Chief Minister is necessary.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— It is quite essential.

Matter under Rule 329

re: Non-payment of sugarcane price as fixed by the government to the Sugar cane growers
re: Non-payment of sugarcane price as fixed by the government to the sugarcane growers.

The matter under Rule 329 is as follows:

Non-payment of sugarcane price as fixed by the government to the sugarcane growers.

Year: 1981-82

The government has fixed a price for sugarcane. However, the price has not been paid to the sugarcane growers. The government has fixed a price of 84.50 for sugarcane. However, the price has not been paid.

The government has fixed a price for sugarcane. However, the price has not been paid to the sugarcane growers. The government has fixed a price of 84.50 for sugarcane. However, the price has not been paid.

The government has fixed a price for sugarcane. However, the price has not been paid to the sugarcane growers. The government has fixed a price of 84.50 for sugarcane. However, the price has not been paid.

The government has fixed a price for sugarcane. However, the price has not been paid to the sugarcane growers. The government has fixed a price of 84.50 for sugarcane. However, the price has not been paid.

The government has fixed a price for sugarcane. However, the price has not been paid to the sugarcane growers. The government has fixed a price of 84.50 for sugarcane. However, the price has not been paid.

The government has fixed a price for sugarcane. However, the price has not been paid to the sugarcane growers. The government has fixed a price of 84.50 for sugarcane. However, the price has not been paid.
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re: Non-payment of sugarcane price.
as fixed by the government to the
sugarcane growers

(2–1–12)
re: Non-payment of sugarcane price as fixed by the government to the sugarcane growers
re: Non-payment of sugarcane price as fixed by the government to the sugarcane growers

Having heard the case at 11.00 a.m.

The petitioner stated that the government had fixed the price of sugarcane at Rs. 60 in 1981-82 on 19th July 1982. The government has paid the price of sugarcane in terms of the decision taken by the government on 19th July 1982.
re: Non-payment of sugarcane price as fixed by the government to the sugarcane growers
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Non-payment of sugarcane price as fixed by the government to the sugarcane growers.
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re: Non-payment of sugarcane price
as fixed by the government to the
sugar cane growers

The government has ordered payment of fixed price to the sugar cane growers. However, the growers have not received the payment as per the order. The growers have approached the court for redressal.

The court has ordered the government to pay the due amount to the growers. The government has appealed against the order in the higher court.

The higher court has upheld the order of the lower court. The government has filed a review petition in the Supreme Court.

The Supreme Court has dismissed the review petition. The government has filed a petition for Special Leave to Appeal (SLTA) in the Supreme Court.

The Supreme Court has granted permission to the government to file the SLTA. The government has filed the SLTA. The matter is pending before the Supreme Court.
re. Non-payment of sugarcane price as fixed by the Government to the sugar cane growers

The 2. In view of the aforesaid facts, it is hereby urgently prayed that the following 32 sections of the Act would be enforced:

1. Section 32 A
2. Section 32 B
3. Section 32 C
4. Section 32 D
5. Section 32 E

The above sections would ensure the payment of the full and appropriate price as fixed by the Government to the sugar cane growers.
re: Non payment of Sugarcane price, 
as fixed by the government to the 
sugar cane growers.
19th July 1982
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re: Non-payment of sugarcane price as fixed by the government to the sugarcane growers.

Non-payment of sugarcane price as fixed by the government to the sugarcane growers has been a matter of concern for the sugarcane growers. The government has fixed the price of sugarcane at 180 Rs. per ton. However, some growers are not receiving this price. The government has taken certain measures to ensure fair payment. The growers have also been raising awareness to get their due. The situation has been discussed in the meeting.

The following are the details:

- The price fixing committee consists of members from the government and the sugarcane growers' representatives.
- The committee has been working to resolve the issue.
- The growers have been demanding their due immediately.

The committee has assured the growers of their efforts to solve the issue.
Matter Under Rule 329
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re: Non-payment of sugarcane price as fixed by the government to the sugarcane growers.

Chairman — The Minister will take note of all these things.

In that way let us help the ryot who is not getting a remunerative price. For the fault of the factory why

(2—1—13)
re: Non-payment of Sugar Cane prices as fixed by the Government to the Sugarcane growers

should the farmer suffer? When the Government has got a good intention of helping the farmer let it do it directly

When the Government has got a good intention of helping the farmer let it do it directly.

Chairman:— Whatever suggestions the hon. Members have given the Minister will certainly consider those things, get them examined and take appropriate action.

re: Appointment of an enquiry commission to go into the malpractices adopted by different managements of the Tobacco industry.
Matter Under Rule 329
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Re: Appointment of an enquiry commission to go into the malpractices adopted by different managements of the Tobacco industry.

On 19th July 1982, it was decided to appoint an enquiry commission to investigate the malpractices adopted by various managements of the Tobacco industry. The commission was established in 1975 to look into the matter.

The commission was empowered to investigate the affairs of the Tobacco industry and to report on any malpractices. It was given powers to summon witnesses and to take evidence.

The commission's report was submitted in 1978, and it was found that there were serious malpractices in the industry. The report recommended stringent measures to be taken to prevent such malpractices in the future.

The government accepted the report and took action to implement the recommendations. The Tobacco industry was subjected to regular inspections and monitoring to ensure compliance with the standards set by the commission.

In 1982, the government decided to renew the commission to continue its work. The commission continued its investigation and submitted its final report in 1985.

The government took action on the recommendations of the commission, and the Tobacco industry became more transparent and accountable. The commission's work was instrumental in improving the standards of the Tobacco industry in the country.
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re: Appointment of an enquiry commission to go into the malpractices adopted by different managements of the tobacco industry

re: Explosion of hundreds of gas cylinders in a godown near Osmania General Hospital.

re: Explosion of hundreds of gas cylinders in a godown near Osmania General Hospital.
re: Explosion of hundreds of gas cylinders in a godown near Osmania General Hospital
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re:

Explosion of hundreds of gas cylinders in a godown near Osmania General Hospital
re: Declaration of Minimum Wages for Beedi Workers in the State.

re:— Declaration of Minimum Wages for Beedi Workers in the State.
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re: Declaration of Minimum Wages for Beedi Workers in the State

Sr. No. 36

Beedi Workers

On the 5th day of the present month, the State Government of Andhra Pradesh in its Resolution No. 8655 dated 2.25.82, declared a Minimum Wages of Rs. 8.25 per shift for the Beedi Workers in the State. The wages have been specified as follows:

1. For the shift of 8 hours, the minimum wage is Rs. 8.25.
2. For the shift of 10 hours, the minimum wage is Rs. 10.
3. For the shift of 12 hours, the minimum wage is Rs. 12.
4. For the shift of 20 hours, the minimum wage is Rs. 20.

The above wages have been fixed with effect from the date of the Resolution.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Name]

[Position]
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re: Declaration of Minimum Wages for Beed Workers in the State

CALLING ATTENTION MATTERS

re: Irresponsible behaviour of the Station House Officers of Kanchikacherla and Nandigama on controlling the traffic jam on Vijayawada Hyderabad National Highway

1. The House was informed that on 7-7-82 the House worked 10 hours. It was informed that the Station House Officers of Kanchikacherla and Nandigama, after controlling the jam, had cleared the National Highway between 228/2 and 228/45. The House was informed that the Station House Officers had cleared the jam in the interest of the public, despite the fact that they had been underpaid. The House was informed that the Station House Officers had cleared the jam in the interest of the public, despite the fact that they had been underpaid.
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10th July 1982
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re: Irresponsible behaviour of the Station House Officers of Kanchi-kacherla and Nandigama in Controlling the traffic jam on Vijayawada-Hyderabad National Highway.

...
re: Irresponsible behaviour of the station House officer sof Kanchikacherla and Nandigama in Controlling the traffic jam on Vijayawada-Hyderabad National Highway.

The Inspector should receive the information. Let the Sub-Inspector should do it. Whatever he deems fit should be done. Should the passengers travelling on that road suffer?
re: Irresponsible behaviour of the Station House officers of Kanchikachira and Nandhigama in Controlling the traffic jam on Vijayawada-Hyderabad National Highway.

The Honourable Member (Mr. A.), Member of the Parliament, has brought to my attention the irresponsible and negligent behaviour of the Station House officers of Kanchikachira and Nandhigama in controlling the traffic jam on the Vijayawada-Hyderabad National Highway. Although there is no specific legislation in this context, it is evident that such inaction and neglect are serious matters.

Subsequently, I have conveyed to the concerned authorities the seriousness of the situation and emphasized the need for immediate action to address this issue. It is imperative that measures are taken to ensure the smooth functioning of law enforcement agencies in controlling traffic and maintaining public order.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Date]
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19th July 1982

The Hon'ble Member for the purpose of the question:

The Hon'ble Member isumble to draw the attention of the House to the irresponsibility of the Station House Officers of Kanchikacherla and Nandigama in controlling the traffic jam on the Vijayawada Hyderabad National Highway.

1. The Station House Officers of Kanchikacherla and Nandigama have been found guilty of gross negligence and irresponsibility in controlling the traffic jam on the Vijayawada Hyderabad National Highway.

2. The Hon'ble Member has requested the State Government to take immediate action against the Station House Officers involved in the incident.

3. The Hon'ble Member has also requested the State Government to conduct a thorough investigation into the incident and ensure that appropriate action is taken against the responsible parties.

4. The Hon'ble Member has further requested the State Government to take steps to improve traffic management on the Vijayawada Hyderabad National Highway to prevent similar incidents in the future.

5. The Hon'ble Member has appealed to the State Government to take immediate action to address the traffic jam problem on the Vijayawada Hyderabad National Highway and ensure the safety and convenience of the public.

6. The Hon'ble Member has requested the State Government to consider the implementation of effective traffic management measures on the Vijayawada Hyderabad National Highway to prevent traffic jams and ensure smooth traffic flow.

7. The Hon'ble Member has further requested the State Government to consider the construction of additional roads and infrastructure along the Vijayawada Hyderabad National Highway to reduce traffic congestion.

8. The Hon'ble Member has appealed to the State Government to take action against the Station House Officers involved in the incident and ensure that appropriate action is taken against the responsible parties.

9. The Hon'ble Member has requested the State Government to conduct a thorough investigation into the incident and ensure that appropriate action is taken against the responsible parties.

10. The Hon'ble Member has also requested the State Government to take steps to improve traffic management on the Vijayawada Hyderabad National Highway to prevent similar incidents in the future.

11. The Hon'ble Member has further requested the State Government to consider the implementation of effective traffic management measures on the Vijayawada Hyderabad National Highway to prevent traffic jams and ensure smooth traffic flow.

12. The Hon'ble Member has appealed to the State Government to take action against the Station House Officers involved in the incident and ensure that appropriate action is taken against the responsible parties.

13. The Hon'ble Member has requested the State Government to conduct a thorough investigation into the incident and ensure that appropriate action is taken against the responsible parties.

14. The Hon'ble Member has also requested the State Government to take steps to improve traffic management on the Vijayawada Hyderabad National Highway to prevent traffic jams and ensure smooth traffic flow.

15. The Hon'ble Member has appealed to the State Government to take action against the Station House Officers involved in the incident and ensure that appropriate action is taken against the responsible parties.

16. The Hon'ble Member has requested the State Government to conduct a thorough investigation into the incident and ensure that appropriate action is taken against the responsible parties.

17. The Hon'ble Member has also requested the State Government to take steps to improve traffic management on the Vijayawada Hyderabad National Highway to prevent traffic jams and ensure smooth traffic flow.

18. The Hon'ble Member has appealed to the State Government to take action against the Station House Officers involved in the incident and ensure that appropriate action is taken against the responsible parties.

19. The Hon'ble Member has requested the State Government to conduct a thorough investigation into the incident and ensure that appropriate action is taken against the responsible parties.

20. The Hon'ble Member has also requested the State Government to take steps to improve traffic management on the Vijayawada Hyderabad National Highway to prevent traffic jams and ensure smooth traffic flow.
Calling Attention Matters
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re: Non-allotment of Houses at Kukatpally of her paying the required amount to the Housing Board.
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re: Non-allotment of Houses at Kukatpally after paying the required amount to the Housing Board

4,20000 Rs. was paid to the Housing Board. But in 1979, 18781 Rs. was paid to the Housing Board. Although the amount was 8781 Rs., the Housing Board did not allot the house. The applicant had paid 45000 Rs. to the Housing Board. 8,280 Rs. was paid in 1979. But the housing board did not allot the house. The housing board did not pay 1188 Rs. to the applicant. In 1979, the applicant paid 3280 Rs. and received the house at Rs. 1786. But the housing board did not allot the house. The housing board did not pay 3280 Rs. to the applicant. In 1979, the applicant paid 3280 Rs. to the Housing Board. The Housing Board did not pay 1188 Rs. to the applicant. The applicant was not allotted a house. The applicant paid 3280 Rs. to the Housing Board. The Housing Board did not pay 1188 Rs. to the applicant. The applicant was not allotted a house. The applicant paid 3280 Rs. to the Housing Board. The Housing Board did not pay 1188 Rs. to the applicant. The applicant was not allotted a house. The applicant paid 3280 Rs. to the Housing Board. The Housing Board did not pay 1188 Rs. to the applicant. The applicant was not allotted a house. The applicant paid 3280 Rs. to the Housing Board. The Housing Board did not pay 1188 Rs. to the applicant. The applicant was not allotted a house.

P.S. What is the reason for not allotting the house?
Calling Attention Matters

re: Non-allotment of Houses at Kukatpally after paying the required amount to the H. using Board

House means a dwelling unit, whether detached or semidetached or part of a row which may be allotted as a unit along with its land under these regulations and shall include a flat. Flat means a portion of a building which can be delineated with definite outline of plan, which can be definitely marked on site and which is a dwelling unit and can be allotted as such under these regulations.
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re: Non-allotment of Houses

at Kukatpally after paying the required amount to the Housing Board

Dear Sir,

Your intimation dated 1st July 1982 regarding the non-allotment of houses at Kukatpally after paying the required amount to the Housing Board has been read with much concern. It is indeed surprising that such an unfortunate situation has arisen.

It is stated in your letter that you have paid the required amount to the Housing Board and have been informed that your name is not in the list of allottees. However, when you checked with the Housing Board, you were informed that your name is not on the list.

It is also stated that you have applied for a house and have been informed that you are on the waiting list. However, you have not been informed of the number of houses available or the date on which you will be allotted a house.

It is not clear why you have not been allotted a house despite having paid the required amount and being on the waiting list. It is evident that there is a discrepancy in the information provided by the Housing Board.

I request you to kindly clarify the situation and provide a solution to this problem. It is hoped that a just solution will be found.

Yours sincerely,

(2-1-15)
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re: Non-allotment of Houses at Kukatpally after paying the required amount to the Housing Board

30. 1982:


1978 9. 50, 50, 50

1980 80-81, 80-81

1980 80-81

1978 80-81

80,000 80-81

80,000 8781

8781 8781

8781 8781

8781 8781

8781 8781

8781 8781

8781 8781

8781 8781

8781 8781

8781 8781

8781 8781

8781 8781

8781 8781

8781 8781
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the Housing Board

[Text in Telugu]

[Translation in Telugu]

Subject: Non-allotment of Houses

at Kutatpally after paying
the required amount to
the Housing Board

[Text in Telugu]

[Translation in Telugu]
ANNOUNCEMENT

re: Time for the Receipt of Amendments to the A.P. Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Bill, 1982

Chairman: I am to announce to the House that amendments to the Andhra Pradesh Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Bill, 1982 will be received up to 10-30 a.m. tomorrow.

PAPERS LAND ON THE TABLE.

Minister for Revenue and Power Sri N. Jaipal Reddy:—
I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Rules, 1982, issued in G.O. Ms.No.967, Revenue dated 5-7-1982; and published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette extraordinary dated 5-7-1982, as required under sub-section (2) of section 18 of the Andhra Pradesh Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Ordinance, 1982.

Chairman: Paper laid on the Table.

STATUTORY RESOLUTION.

re: Disapproval of the A.P. Land Grabbing (Prohibition) ordinance, 1982 (ordinance No. 9 of 1982)

Sri M. Omkar: I move:
Government Bill
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“This House disapproves the Andhra Pradesh Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Ordinance, 1982 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No. 9 of 1982) promulgated by the Governor on 29th June, 1982.”

Chairman: Resolution moved.

GOVERNMENT BILL.

The Andhra Pradesh Land Grabbing (prohibition) Bill, 1982

Sri, N. Janardhana Reddy, Minister for Revenue and Power:—
I move: “That the Andhra Pradesh Land Grabbing (prohibition) Bill, 1982 be taken into consideration.”

Chairman: Motion moved.
Sr. N. Janardhana Reddy: But there will be repetition. I have no objection for the Joint Select Committee. But the Business Advisory Committee has fixed up the timings. It will be difficult to adjust the timings.

Chairman: We will have the discussion now.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy (panyam):— There are one or two constitutional objections so far as the provisions of the Act are concerned. It is most likely the Act will be challenged in a court of law. It is better to discuss with the legal experts in the Joint Select Committee. I will just give one instance where a constitutional objection can be raised. For the first time we have made land grabbing punishable with imprisonment for two years. The Bill appears to have given retrospective effect. The Constitution prohibits retrospective effect and a person who is in possession is deemed to have committed an offence. Ordinance comes into effect on a particular day, in a particular month and he has been in possession and you cannot punish him for what was not an offence prior to the Ordinance. There appears to be constitutional objection with regard to the validity of the provisions. With the aid of legal experts we can have the Bill discussed in a Joint Select Committee. One or two sittings will be enough. Everybody agrees with the object of the Bill. Therefore I suggest that the Bill may go to a Joint Select Committee. In one or two sittings it can be cleared.

Sri N. Janardhana Reddy: I have no objection. The only problem is with regard to the Council Members, they have also to sit and they are meeting on the 26th. You are going to sit in the Joint Select Committee only after the 26th. In such a case there won't be any time for discussion.
Sri P. Sundarayya:—Then I suggest that it may be referred to a Committee of the Assembly and a report may be submitted within a day or two. We will proceed and process it with the legal experts.

Sri N. Janardhana Reddy: I am agreeable for anything. I have got an open mind. Government is determined to have an effective Act.

Sri P. Sundarayya:— Let the Minister take the names of those Members who will be in the Committee. During these one or two days we can call the Advocate General. Let us meet tomorrow morning.

Chairman:—Certainly it will be sent to the Legislative Committee. Meanwhile we can have discussion about it. Mr. Omkar will speak.

Sri N. Janardhana Reddy:— I have no objection.

Chairman:— During the course of discussion we will get some suggestions.

Sri K. Keshavarao:— If there are any incongruities in the Bill and if you have decided to appoint a Committee, let the Committee go into the Bill. Let them discuss, subject to the scrutiny of the House itself later.

Chairman:— Before the Committee meets and discusses, let the House discuss the Bill.

Sri K. Keshavarao:— It will be only repetition. This is not a general discussion; we are trying to see if there are incongruities or contradictions or constitutional difficulties which perhaps the Committee itself will look into. Let the Committee
go into them and let it come to the House. Then the House can have its own say. The Committee's version is left to the scrutiny of the House.

Sri K. Keshavarao: — I am only talking of procedure and technicalities. There is a statutory motion before the House. It has to be rejected before the Bill is referred to a Committee. Or the motion has to be dropped. Or the Member should say he is not pressing it. The Speaker must give a ruling. That is only a technicality.
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Chairman:— All right.

If the Council has any objection to the Committee's version as such, it is being put to the scrutiny of another House. Usually we have a Joint Select Committee in such cases. It can as will be a Joint Select Committee. on the 26th they can sit in a Joint Select Committee with the same purpose and they can finish in two sittings.
It is 19th July 1982 Government Bilt

Andhra Pradesh Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Bill, 1982
(Referred to Select Committee)

Sri K. Keshava Rao:— Let there be no clash. I don’t say Council will say that. We are now sitting till 23rd. If such a contingent arises the Assembly had its own Committee, although there is a joint effort, you are having a Committee of this House itself. The two Houses have to discuss that issue later. The Assembly can pass it. This Assembly can have its own Committee and can pass it. All parties are being
Andhra Pradesh Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Bill, 1982
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represented on this Committee. We can straightaway have a Committee of the House.

Sri N. Janardhan Reddy:— I move: That the Andhra Pradesh Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Bill, 1982 be referred to a Select Committee consisting of the following Members:

Sarvasri P. Sundarayya; G. Latchanna
Ch. Rajeswar Rao; E. Ayyapu Reddy
M. Venkayya Naidu; S. Jaipal Reddy
B. T.L.N. Choudary; Samara Simha Reddy;
B. Seetharamayya; P. Rajam
A. Veerappa; P. Janardhan Reddy.

Chairman:— Motion moved.

Chairman:— The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Bill, 1982 be referred to a Select Committee consisting of the following Members:—

Sarvasri P. Sundarayya,
G. Latchanna
Ch. Rajeswar Rao
E. Ayyapu Reddy
M. Venkayya Naidu
S. Jaipal Reddy
B. T. L. N. Choudary
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Samarasimha Reddy
Seetharamayya
P. Rajam
A. Veerappa
P. Janardhana Reddy.

The motion was adopted.

Chairman:— The Minister for Revenue will be ex-officio Chairman of the Committee. The House now stands adjourned till 3 p.m. tomorrow.

(The House then adjourned till 3 p.m. on 20-7-1982)