ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Monday, the 15th February, 1982.

The House met at Half-past Eight of the Clock,

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS.

MISUSE OF FUNDS BY MANAGING COMMITTEES OF A.P. SECRETARIAT STAFF CO-OP. SOCIETY
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9259 Q. Sarvasri Potina Chinna (Vijayawada), M. Omkar (Narasapur), M. Venkatesh Naidu, (Udayagiri), K. V. Kurmi Naida (Bobbili) : Will the Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that in an enquiry it was detected that the previous Managing Committees of the Andhra Pradesh Secretariat Staff Co-operative Society Limited had misused the fund of about Rs. 4.00 lakhs and surcharge notices issued to the persons responsible;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies now dealing with the surcharge was also the Deputy Registrar when the amounts were misused; and

(c) if so, whether any action is proposed against him?

An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the Member
Date of issue of show cause notice was on 24-6-1981. Acknowledgement was received by 33 persons. Date of issue of notice giving opportunity to send reply was 30-1-1982. Date of fixing for receipt of final reply was 16-2-1982.
Oral answers to questions 15th February, 1982

Date of receipt or enquiry report was 15-4-81. Results of enquiry i.e., about mis-using of funds by the Company's management was for the period from 1975 to 1980. Per criminal action, the date of referring to launch a prosecution was 24-6-1981. Date of receipt or reply from Public Prosecutor there was some delay. It was 10-2-82. Result of legal opinion:— "Not a criminal case for prosecution and only Section 60 can be enforced. Issue of date of surrender advice was 24-6-1981.

Date of receipt of enquiry report was 15-4-81. Results of enquiry i.e., about mis-using of funds by the Company's management was for the period from 1975 to 1980. Per criminal action, the date of referring to launch a prosecution was 24-6-1981. Date of receipt or reply from Public Prosecutor there was some delay. It was 10-2-82. Result of legal opinion:— "Not a criminal case for prosecution and only Section 60 can be enforced. Issue of date of surrender advice was 24-6-1981.

Date of receipt of enquiry report was 15-4-81. Results of enquiry i.e., about mis-using of funds by the Company's management was for the period from 1975 to 1980. Per criminal action, the date of referring to launch a prosecution was 24-6-1981. Date of receipt or reply from Public Prosecutor there was some delay. It was 10-2-82. Result of legal opinion:— "Not a criminal case for prosecution and only Section 60 can be enforced. Issue of date of surrender advice was 24-6-1981.

Date of receipt of enquiry report was 15-4-81. Results of enquiry i.e., about mis-using of funds by the Company's management was for the period from 1975 to 1980. Per criminal action, the date of referring to launch a prosecution was 24-6-1981. Date of receipt or reply from Public Prosecutor there was some delay. It was 10-2-82. Result of legal opinion:— "Not a criminal case for prosecution and only Section 60 can be enforced. Issue of date of surrender advice was 24-6-1981.
About the misutilisation and misappropriation, in the last para of the Enquiry Report, it is like this:

"In view of my observation made on the allegations against the previous Directors, I opine that the Directors of the Board, persons in charge, the Committee Members and the Officers concerned are solely responsible for the loss of assets of the Society, on account of misutilisation of funds, misapplication of funds and falsification of accounts and violation of rules in accounts willfully, which is negligent breach of trust..."
Oral answers to questions
15th February, 1982

Orai answers to questions! 5th February, 1982

Sri 55. Chakrapani (C.P.):— Why are public works not properly carried out?

Sri 55. Yaddanapudi:— It is important to carry out public works properly.

Sri 55. Prasad Reddy (P.R.):— What is the status of the public works?

Sri 55. Sivakumar (S.K.):— Is there any difficulty in implementing the public works?

Sri 55. Venkataramana (V.R.):— What is the reason for the delay in completion of public works?

Sri 55. Lakshmipathi (L.P.):— What are the reasons for the poor performance of public works?

Sri 55. Venu (V.):— What are the steps being taken to improve the performance of public works?

Sri 55. Veerender Reddy (V.R.):— Is there a lack of proper planning in public works?

Sri 55. Srinivas Reddy (S.R.):— What are the reasons for the lack of proper planning in public works?

Sri 55. Venkataramana (V.R.):— What is the role of the government in public works?

Sri 55. Yaddanapudi:— Why are public works not properly carried out?

Sri 55. Chakrapani (C.P.):— It is important to carry out public works properly.

Sri 55. Prasad Reddy (P.R.):— What is the status of the public works?

Sri 55. Sivakumar (S.K.):— Is there any difficulty in implementing the public works?

Sri 55. Venkataramana (V.R.):— What is the reason for the delay in completion of public works?

Sri 55. Lakshmipathi (L.P.):— What are the reasons for the poor performance of public works?

Sri 55. Venu (V.):— What are the steps being taken to improve the performance of public works?

Sri 55. Veerender Reddy (V.R.):— Is there a lack of proper planning in public works?

Sri 55. Srinivas Reddy (S.R.):— What are the reasons for the lack of proper planning in public works?

Sri 55. Venkataramana (V.R.):— What is the role of the government in public works?
15th February, 1982

Ooral answers to questions.

ప్రధాన ప్రశ్న నిర్ణయం (సంఖ్య 1) — సాధన నిపుణుడు సంప్రదాయం, సాధన నిపుణుడు సంప్రదాయం మరియు సమయం సంప్రదాయం హోస్తుంది. ప్రతి సంప్రదాయం మరియు సమయం సంప్రదాయం హోస్తుంది. సాధన నిపుణుడు సంప్రదాయం మరియు సమయం సంప్రదాయం హోస్తుంది. సాధన నిపుణుడు సంప్రదాయం మరియు సమయం సంప్రదాయం హోస్తుంది. సాధన నిపుణుడు సంప్రదాయం మరియు సమయం సంప్రదాయం హోస్తుంది.

ప్రధాన ప్రశ్న నిర్ణయం (సంఖ్య 2) — సాధన నిపుణుడు సంప్రదాయం మరియు సమయం సంప్రదాయం హోస్తుంది.

ప్రధాన ప్రశ్న నిర్ణయం (సంఖ్య 3) — సాధన నిపుణుడు సంప్రదాయం మరియు సమయం సంప్రదాయం హోస్తుంది.

ప్రధాన ప్రశ్న నిర్ణయం (సంఖ్య 4) — 1875 లేదా 1900 వరకు ముందు సమయం సంప్రదాయం హోస్తుంది.

ప్రధాన ప్రశ్న నిర్ణయం (సంఖ్య 5) — 1875 లేదా 1900 వరకు ముందు సమయం సంప్రదాయం హోస్తుంది.

ప్రధాన ప్రశ్న నిర్ణయం (సంఖ్య 6) — 75 లేదా 80 వరకు సమయం సంప్రదాయం హోస్తుంది.

ప్రధాన ప్రశ్న నిర్ణయం (సంఖ్య 7) — సాధన నిపుణుడు సంప్రదాయం మరియు సమయం సంప్రదాయం హోస్తుంది.
MISAPPROPRIATION OF FOREST TIMBER BY CERTAIN FOREST OFFICIALS OF ATMAKUR
Oral answers to questions

(a) whether the Government received a petition of Sri N. S. Shabbir Hussain with a covering letter of Sri M. Omkar, MLA. dt. 7-5-1981 to the effect that the D.F.O. Atmakur, the Forester, Atmakur (Saw-Mills) and the Superintendent of the D.F.O. Office, Atmakur in Kurnool Dist. are indulging in misappropriating the Forest timber and other produce like gum, valued at about rupees, fifteen lakhs; and

(b) if so, the action taken there on?

Minister for Forests (Sri M. A. Aziz) :-

a) Yes Sir,

b) The matter has been got enquired into by the Conservator of Forests, Kurnool. The petitioner was also invited to present and furnish material, if any, in the enquiry in support of the allegations. The petitioner did not produce any evidence. The allegations are not proved in the enquiry.

Sri M. Omkar : Is there any instance wherein the Forest Department proved the allegations against the officers. Did you ask me anything with regard to this because I wrote a D. O. letter while enclosing a copy of the petition. At least that much courtesy is not shown to me.

Sri M.A. Aziz : He wanted the matter to be enquired into and it was got enquired. The very same question came up before the Council also and it was replied. Here also the hon. Member's desire is that it should be sent and got enquired into and the same thing has been done.

Sri M. A. Aziz : I have already said - even the complainant was asked to come and give evidence but he did not come.
EMBEZZLEMENT OF DONATION AMOUNT BY CHAIRMAN OF VEERA BHADRASWAMY TEMPLE, BONTHAPALLI

(a) whether it is a fact that the present Chairman and former Executive Officer of Veerabhadra Swamy Temple, Bonthapalli, Narsapur, Medak district have embezzled an amount of Rs. 12,000/- donated by Smt. Subhadramma and Sri M. Annaiah and others by not bringing in into the accounts; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

33—

8154 Q.—Sarvasri Ch. Vittal Reddy (Narsapur), D. Chinamallaiah (Indarthy), K. Govinda Rao :— Will the Minister for Endowments be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the present Chairman and former Executive Officer of Veerabhadra Swamy Temple, Bonthapalli, Narsapur, Medak district have embezzled an amount of Rs. 12,000/- donated by Smt. Subhadramma and Sri M. Annaiah and others by not bringing in into the accounts; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?
DEATH OF A PATIENT IN GOVT.
HEAD QUARTERS HOSPITAL, CUDDAPA
DUE TO NEGLIGENCE OF DOCTORS

8048 - (N) Q.— Sri V. Sivaramakrishna Rao (Budvee) :— Will the Minister for Health & Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that N. Gangamma w/o Gangafah of Kothur Village, Sickout taluk, Cuddapah dist. expired in the GoV.
Headquarters Hospital, Cuddapah after seccasarian operation due to gross negligence of doctors in the month of March, 1981:

(b) whether it is also a fact that there were representations and Press reports on 2-4-1981 on this issue highlighting the negligence of doctors involved; and

(c) if so, the action taken thereon; if not, the reasons therefore?

Oral answers to questions 15th February, 1982

1) Whether there were representations and Press reports on 2-4-1981 on this issue highlighting the negligence of doctors involved?

2) If so, the action taken thereon; if not, the reasons therefore?
15th February, 1282

Oral answers to questions

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— Sir, to avoid further supplemen-
taries, I am convinced in this case from the report that has been
received by the Government. That there has been negligence the
Enquiry Officer......

Sri B. Chandrasankar:— The matter of care of ill health and dis-

dease of all segments of the public is the responsibility of the

Government. 100% negligence of the officer at stake. This man's
health is very weak, and his condition is very bad. He has not
been able to attend his normal job. The case is very serious.

Sri C. Janga Reddy:— What is the number of cases of Jaundice

among the people of Andhra Pradesh?

Sri D. Yerraiah Reddy:— The Jaundice among the people of Andhra

Pradesh is very serious.

JAUNDICE DISEASE IN THE STATE

35—

*8040— (F) Q.— Sarvasri C. Janga Reddy (Shyampet),
N.S.N. Reddy (Visakhapatnam):— P. Janardhan Reddy (Kamalpur),
M. Yerraiah Reddy and B. Narayana Swamy:— Will the Minister
for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that most of the people of Andhra
Pradesh are suffering from Jaundice;

(b) if so, how many people have so far been affected by the
Jaundice from January, 1980 to date; and

(c) the steps taken by the Government for preventing the
above disease?
Oral answers to questions 15th February, 1982

2) వినాయకం వికిరాతుడు సంస్థానం చేసుకునే గ్రామాలు.


5) (1) అనే సంస్థానం భాగంగా ఉన్న శాసనం నిర్వహించిన మండల సామాన్య వైపు వ్యాప్పత్తి ఉంది. వ్యాప్య ప్రభుత్వ సంస్థానం నిర్వహించిన మండల సామాన్య వైపు వ్యాప్పత్తి ఉంది.

(2) ఇసుఖలు మీది దృశ్యాన్ని సంపద విస్తృతి ఉంది. మూడు మంది సంస్థానం నిర్వహించిన మండల సామాన్య వైపు వ్యాప్పత్తి ఉంది.

(3) ఈయన సంస్థానం లోని మండలంద్వంగ సంస్థానం కొనసాగితే మండల సామాన్య వైపు వ్యాప్పత్తి ఉంది.

(4) ఈయన సంస్థానం రెండు ప్రాంగణాలు చేయాలి. అందులో మండల సామాన్య వైపు వ్యాప్పత్తి ఉంది.

ప్రత్యేక విషయశ్రేణి:

a. వినాయకం వికిరాతుడు సంస్థానం చేసుకునే గ్రామాలు.


c. అనే సంస్థానం భాగంగా ఉన్న శాసనం నిర్వహించిన మండల సామాన్య వైపు వ్యాప్పత్తి ఉంది. వ్యాప్య ప్రభుత్వ సంస్థానం నిర్వహించిన మండల సామాన్య వైపు వ్యాప్పత్తి ఉంది.

d. ఇసుఖలు మీది దృశ్యాన్ని సంపద విస్తృతి ఉంది. మూడు మంది సంస్థానం నిర్వహించిన మండల సామాన్య వైపు వ్యాప్పత్తి ఉంది.

e. ఈయన సంస్థానం లోని మండలంద్వంగ సంస్థానం కొనసాగితే మండల సామాన్య వైపు వ్యాప్పత్తి ఉంది.

f. ఈయన సంస్థానం రెండు ప్రాంగణాలు చేయాలి. అందులో మండల సామాన్య వైపు వ్యాప్పత్తి ఉంది.
SUDARSAN CHITS LTD., HYDERABAD
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*8045—(W) Q.— Sarvasri M. Omkar, K. Satyanarayana, and Smt. M. Swarajyam (Tungaturthy) :— Will the Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

a) Whether it has come to the notice of the Government that the Sudershans Chits Limited, Hyderabad (Abids Branch) has failed to pay the prize amounts to the bidders of the chits for the last six to eight months and playing fraud on the subscribers;

b) if so, whether the Government have taken any action in the matter;
c) whether it is also a fact that the Company has failed to pay back the amounts of chit instalments paid by the subscribers and subsequently defaulters, even after the completion of chit period as laid down in the Bye-laws;

d) if so, whether the Government will take immediate action to enquire into the whole affair and protect the subscribers;

e) whether it is also a fact that the Chit Fund Company has failed to back the prize amount to the bidder bearing book No. 30 of group No. HDJ No. 203, eventhough the auction was held on 8-12-1980; and

f) if so, whether the Government will take action for the payment of the same to the bidder immediately?

Minister for Home: (Sri C. Jaganadha Rao) :

a) Yes, Sir.

b) The Company is under liquidation. As such no branch of the said chit fund company is functioning now. Since the company's registered office is located at Calicut in the Kerala State, the High Court of Kerala has, already, appointed a liquidator to manage the affairs of the Company. As such the question of State Government taking action does not arise.

c) No such complaints were received.

d) Does not arise.

e) Yes, Sir.

f) Government are not statutorily empowered to compel the defaulting company to pay subscriber's individual's dues. The subscribers have to seek redress, in the Court of law, for the breach of the contract (agreement) entered into between the subscriber and the Company.
SANCTION OF SCHOLARSHIPS TO THE DISABLED CHILDREN OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES
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*7995 Q.— Sri M. Adeyya (Payakaroopet) :— Will the Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state:

a) whether there is any proposal to provide scholarships to the disabled children of state Government employees, in connection with the International Year of disabled persons; and

b) if so, the amount of monthly scholarship proposed to be sanctioned?

16 15th February, 1982 Oral answers to questions
Oral answers to questions 15th February, 1982

a) whether it is a fact the foundation stones were laid for the construction of Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation Bus Stations at Kodakandla and Thorrur, Warangal District in 1977;

b) the reason for not commencing the work till date; and

c) the number of Bus Stations constructed from 1978 to 1980 in the State?
15th February, 1982

Oral answers to questions

In the Houses of the Legislature. 1980, it was stated that the Minister had
announced his resignation. The reason was that he was not satisfied with
the administration. Hence, he resigned from the post.

The Minister has been in office since 1980. He has been in office since

1) Questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1978-79</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979-80</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980-81</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Minister: The 1977 elections were the most
important in the history of the country. The Minister
stated that the elections were the most important
in the history of the country. The Minister stated that
the elections were the most important in the history
of the country. The Minister stated that the elections
were the most important in the history of the country.

The Minister: The 1977 elections were the most
important in the history of the country. The Minister
stated that the elections were the most important
in the history of the country. The Minister stated that
the elections were the most important in the history
of the country. The Minister stated that the elections
were the most important in the history of the country.

The Minister: The 1977 elections were the most
important in the history of the country. The Minister
stated that the elections were the most important
in the history of the country. The Minister stated that
the elections were the most important in the history
of the country. The Minister stated that the elections
were the most important in the history of the country.
Oral answers to questions 15th February, 1982

*8980-Q.—Sri S. Chandramouli (Chirala):— Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there are 425 vacancies of Zoology Junior Lecturers in Government Colleges;

(b) whether it is also a fact that though advertisement was given for the posts in 1979 and examination conducted by Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission in 1980 and panelled in the early months of 1981, no postings were given till today; and

(c) the time by which all the vacancies will be filled up?

1) 31-1-1979

2) 31-1-1981

3) 31-1-1981

4) 31-1-1981

NON-FILLING UP OF THE VACANT POSTS OF ZOOLOGY JUNIOR LECTURERS IN GOVERNMENT COLLEGE
15th February, 1982

Oral answers to question

1) పినియాసించిన, సంఖ్యా పరిమితం అనే ఆస్తి మూలం లేదు. జాతిశెఖర సమాచార విస్తరించడానికి పాటు మాత్రమే ప్రపంచ సంచార పరిస్థితులు పరిమితం కంటే కంటే ప్రత్యేకంగా నాదుడు కలిగి ఉంటాయి.

2) ప్రతి సంవత్సరం అధికారుల మధ్య సంఘము ప్రతి 425 రషిపరిమితం లేదు. ఇందుకు 425 రషిపరిమితం లేదు. యొక్క వ్యవస్థ సంఘము సాధారణంగా ప్రతి 425 రషిలో పరిమితం మార్పు చేసేందుకు అనే రెండు వ్యవస్థ ఉద్యమం అవసరం ఉంది?


4) ఉ. రిసేరు: — అధికారులు ప్రతి 1978 మాసాల విస్తరించారని అప్పుడు తెలియాయి.

5) ఉ. రిసేరు: — ప్రతి 45 మాసాల.

6) ఉ. రిసేరు: — అధికారులు ప్రతి 1980 మాసాల విస్తరించారని అప్పుడు తెలియాయి. తరువాత ప్రతి 425 రషిలో ఆధికారిక పరిమితం ఉంటే అయితే చాలా కంటే అవసరం. ప్రతి సంవత్సరం పరిమితం మార్పు చేసేందుకు అనే రెండు వ్యవస్థ ఉద్యమం అవసరం ఉంది?

7) ఉ. లాంచారు: — అధికారులు ప్రతి 1978 మాసాల విస్తరించారని అప్పుడు తెలియాయి.

8) ఉ. లాంచారు: — అధికారులు ప్రతి 45 మాసాల విస్తరించారని అప్పుడు తెలియాయి.

9) ఉ. లాంచారు: — అధికారులు ప్రతి 1980 మాసాల విస్తరించారని అప్పుడు తెలియాయి. తరువాత ప్రతి 425 రషిలో ఆధికారిక పరిమితం ఉంటే అయితే చాలా కంటే అవసరం. ప్రతి సంవత్సరం పరిమితం మార్పు చేసేందుకు అనే రెండు వ్యవస్థ ఉద్యమం అవసరం ఉంది?
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1. Question: — Can the Hon'ble Minister state the conditions for the introduction of the luxury boat between Nagarjungasagar and Sirsailam on 30th March?

2. Question: — What is the condition for the introduction of the luxury boat between Nagarjungasagar and Sirsailam?

LAQ No. 6 (*8839) Post poned for further Suppementaries

Re: Introduction of Luxary Boat between Nagarjunasagar and Sirsailam

The highest technical authority in the country, namely the Director General of Technical Development, D.O.T.O., and the Director General, Tourism, Director General, Operation, Chief Controller of Imports and Exports, Secretary of Coast Guard, Revenue and Customs and other top Government Officials after due consideration
said that there is no objection to the import of this ship 'Zeria' made in U.S.S.R. and accordingly the Government......

10. Q. J. S. P. R. V. R. P. Ask:--- What is the position of the ship 'Zeria'?

11. Q. J. S. P. R. V. R. P. Ask:--- What is the position of the ship 'Zeria'?

12. Q. J. S. P. R. V. R. P. Ask:--- What is the position of the ship 'Zeria'?

13. Q. J. S. P. R. V. R. P. Ask:--- What is the position of the ship 'Zeria'?
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Mr. Deputy Speaker: No. No. I have some information Mr. Jaipal Reddy to the effect that Mr. Balasubrahmanyam went on a separate mission and not for this and you are clubbing and the civilians are put to disrepute.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy (Kalwakurthy) :- Let him say "No."

Mr. Deputy Speaker : I am not supplementing.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: Then how does the Speaker get the information? Did Mr. Balasubrahmanyam address the Chair? Does the answer given by the minister include this reply also?

Mr. Deputy Speaker: No. No. Some people are interested in Mr. Balasubrahmanyam. Same of the M.L.A.s came and represented that this is the way that the character assassination is going on. These people did not go on a particular thing like this. He went on a separate mission on the invitation of Gandhi people's Society, Germany.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: No character assassination is done here. Our question is whether Mr. Balasubrahmanyam has gone or not.

Mr. Deputy Speaker : There is a mis-conception on the part of Mr. Jaipal Reddy that this Balasubrahmanyam and his wife went only to see the boat and all that. That is false.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : It is not for the Speaker to say. It is for the Minister to say.

Mr. Deputy Speaker : I am bringing to the notice of the House that some people represented to me.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy : We request the Chair not to invoke in this. It is for the Minister to say and answer the question. Mr. Mallikarjuna Rao put the question and I put the supplementary question. I put it specifically. Day before yesterday, he said that...
he did not get the answer. By now he should have obtained the answer and told us that it was not true at all.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: Why the Managing Director should go? Where was the need for Managing Director to go after the technical opinion was given by the highest technical authority in the country? Why the Managing Director should go after Rs. 6 lakhs were paid to the Company already?

Sri C. Rajanarsimha: No. No. No quotation is called for, for either within the country or from abroad. However, the literature pertaining to leading boat manufacturers such as the Pindery Ltd, England; Boat works (Private) Ltd., Singapore, International 'Yacht Service'.
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Okland, Bristol, Moscow have been collected and examined. After detailed examination of the literature pertaining to motorists from other foreign countries, it was decided that "Zeria" was most suitable for our requirements and therefore no formal quotations were called for. No other State in the country has so far imported such a boat. No company made a similar motorship. The boat will have the prestige to the Indian tourism in general and glory to Andhra Pradesh and tourism in particular. It was found that the "Zeria" boat was united and highly suitable for our requirements, with a speed of 40 KM and passengers carrying capacity. No additional infrastructure facilities are needed for introduction. It is manufactured for embarkation. No such boat has been manufactured by any of the competitors in India or abroad according to the available information.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: we had enough discussion.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: For every sale deed we require two parties. If the attack of the Opposition is that there is something fish about this deal it is not fair because the Party which has supplied is a from Russian country which is our friendly country.

(Interruptions)

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: It is supplied by a country. There are no private contractors. Why so much of discussion? The Managing Director also should have independent discretion.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: The Managing Director also should have discretionary Powers. He is a person who is entrusted with the work. We cannot go on doubting his bonafides every minute and every second. He is not here.
It may be a fact that Soviet Russia is the only country which is manufacturing that Zaria boat. In spite of that, it turns out that we are importing it from abroad. This is the Government property and people’s property.
27 T5tli February, 1282
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Q. 3. *...*  

Q. 4. *...*  

(End)

Q. 5. *...*  

Q. 6. *...*  

(End)
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Re: Lift Irrigation Schemes under Nagarjunasagar Left Canal

Sri E. Ayyappu Reddy: Sir, the Minister is held up at Delhi on important State matters. His discussions with K. C. Pandey, Minister for Irrigation are not yet over. I request postponement of this question.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I am to announce that I have received a call that he is suffering from Spandilitis and that this question may be taken up some other day.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: He wanted to return yesterday itself. He phoned me that he is suffering from back pain.
Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: I will give this much assurance that we will certainly take the Hon. Member and other Members into confidence and have the problem examined at the earliest convenience.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: L.A.Q. No. 25 (*8040-N) is Postponed

L.A.Qs. Postponed from 12-2-1982

C. B. C. I. D. ENQUIRY AGAINST
SRI MOHAMMED IBRAHIM KHAN OF HYDERABAD

8899 (1) — Sarvasri M. Omkar, N. Raghava Reddy and Smt. Mallu Swarajyam: — Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the enquiry entrusted to the C. B. C. I. D. into the grave crimes committed by Sri Mohammed Ibrahim Khan of Hydera-
10-00a.m.

15th February, 1982

Oral answers to questions

bad city has been completed and the C.B. C.I.D. has recommended stern action recently; and

(b) if so, the reason for not taking stern action against him?

Sre E. Jagannadha Rao:—

(a) and (b): Allegations in respect of 20 instances of irregularities relating to land transactions were enquired into by the Crime Branch, C.I.D. and the report is received by the Government and the same is under examination by the Government.
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: We protest. The Minister is adopting the diversionary tactics. I am charging.

Already eight months are over.

Sri C. Jagannatha Rao: It is still under consideration of the Department. Once it is over, I will......

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: The attitude of the Minister is outrageous.

Sri C. Jagannatha Rao: My esteemed colleague Mr. Jaipal Reddy......

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: You must tell us why you have been sleeping over for the last eight months.
Sri C. Jagannatha Rao: No, Sir. It is not correct.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: I am asking the Leader of the House what he has been doing for the last eight months? What you would have done if you were in his place?

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: If Mr. Omkar gives information he will drag on for six more months.
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: What about the report?

Mr. Deputy Speaker: They want it to be immediately presented.

Sri C. Jagannatha Rao: I assure the Hon. Members that I will do it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Let us give the last opportunity to the Home Minister. He said that he was not satisfied with the material available with him.

(Interruptions)
(Interruptions)

Mr. Dy. Speaker: I want order in the House please.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: Sir, the report was ready 10 months back...... and nothing could be done so far but still you want us to co-operate......

(Interruptions)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: Sir, if a question is admitted and posted for answering on any day, every inch of it must be answered. Here, the Member has specifically put the question as to what are the contents of the Report. Sri Kasaiha has put the same question and Hon'ble the Speaker has allowed, but the Minister is not replying and he is simply evading it, in order to shield that man. What is the helplessness? Are you convinced with the reply of the Minister?

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: Sir, I am accepting that I am myself not convinced with the reply. Then how can you be convinced with it?

Mr. Dy. Speaker: Will you complete the reply within ten days and place the same at the House on the first day of our meeting of the next Session?

(Interruptions)
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Mr. Deputy Speaker: All the Hon'ble members from Treasury & Opposition benches are equally interest to know about the enquiry report. So, I want the Home Minister to take immediate steps.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: Yes Sir.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: Sir, the enquiry was over and the Minister is sitting on the Report. When this question was put? The Hon'ble Speaker may kindly peruse the date and reply.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Whatever you have got, why don't you place the same on the Table of the House?
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: Who are accused here? Are the Ministers accused here?

(Interruptions)

(Sir, the Report is already in the hands of Sri Ibrahim Khan. Why the Minister is keeping quiet? The Report is already with him (Mr. Ibrahim Khan).
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I am prepared to accept that.

It is neither decoity nor murder.

But it criminal trespass or Cheating—illegal occupation of certain sites and selling them. That appears to be the graveness of crimes. He may be having bonafide claim and he is having title of the property. That is a different aspect.

It is a record of investigation. It is always a confidential document. Recorded evidence is always there and it cannot be destroyed. It can never be made public document. The thief may not expose himself. If he is convinced that there is prima facie case, he can himself file a charge sheet and a part from that. Ordinary citizen is not helpless. He is always entitled to lodge a private complaint. He is always entitled to go before the Magistrate.

(Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— Sir, the Leader of the House is advising to go to the Court. What for the Government is meant?)
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(Interruptions)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:--Sir, the Leader of the House is indicating the line of defence to Sri Ibrahim Khan.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:--Sir, nobody need not give any line of defence. These are all simple matters. Whenever a criminal trespass is alleged, somebody will say “it is not a criminal trespass, because they got title of the property” That is one of the basic principles...

(Interruptions)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:--Sir, this report is kept confidential to the House, but it is very well known to Mr. Ibrahim Khan.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:--How do you know that?

(Interruptions)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:--Sir, what are the main important features of the Enquiry Report? At least let the Minister disclose to the House.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:--What are the main recommendations?

The main recommendation of the C.B.C.I.D. is “That to further go into the matter, we may need an Enquiry Commission” That is the recommendation made by the C.B.C.I.D. That is under consi-
deration I can assure you Sir, that we are going to take action basing on this.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: Sir, what prevents the Government from accepting this very straight-for word recommendation from C.B.C.I.D for the appointment of the Judicial Commission into the matter? What prevents the Government? Why did the Government drag its feet for eight long months? What is the basis on which the C.B.C.I.D. made these graver recommendations?

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: Sir, I have already submitted that the C.B.C.I.D., has recommended “That a Commission may be required to go into this matter further ....”

Mr. Dy. Speaker: I can only ask with due respects to the House, to Please it on the Table of the House, as early as possible.

Sri V. Shobhanadreswara Rao: Sir, would like to point out the contradiction in the reply of Hon’ble Minister for Home.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: Sir, Is it a question or cross-examination of the Ministers?

Sri V. Sobhanadreswara Rao. (Vuyyur) I would like to bring to the kind notice of the Chair that there is a great contradiction in the Hon’ble minister’s reply. He told after 8 long months the S.B.C.I.D. report a. aR^c*:a s'2x>a35* trgcsb s?3a la as^ss 3<s& i0-30a.m. aR^c*:a.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: Let the tape be taken and verified. I also interpreted what he said. He did not deny at that stage. Now he is trying to say something which he did not say.
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Mr. Deputy speaker: We will have a meeting and see that some points are discussed.

Sri S. Ayyapu Reddy: I cannot accept any such suggestion.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: The Government is interested in shielding the land transpassers. This is a Government of land grabbers.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: I am prepared to accept the challenge and face it if I am a land grabber. This wild allegation is not proper. The speaker should come to our rescue. Let Mr. Jaipal Reddy take up; I am prepared to face the challenge.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: I am prepared to take up. If I don't prove I will retire from politics.

(Interruptions)

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: If there is any specific allegation against me I am prepared to face it.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: I am not making any charge against Mr. Jagannadharao personally; but he is shielding and hiding the culprits.

Smt. T. Lakshmi Kantamma (Himayatnagar): It is not as though only opposition members are perturbed about it. It is a fact that all the members including the ruling party raised Government are also anxious and that is how they conducted C.I.D. enquiry into it. Mr. Jagannadharao is right when he seeks the Chair's protection. There is no need for them to make insinuations and allegations against the Minister; it is not correct. It is not only the case of Ibrahim Khan; there are several instances like this wherein even the lives of the municipal officers are threatened. In one case, I know when there was a complaint against the trespassers, the particular trespasser tried to run a jeep over the then Deputy Commissioner who is now transferred. That is how the matter is quite serious and I am sure this side also is viewing it in the same manner. I am sure a special enquiry has to be conducted; it should not be about one individual only it may be one individual in particular but it should be a general enquiry into the trespasses. I think Mr. Ayyapn Reddy may not know so much about what is happening in the city.
Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: It is a big issue; it is not as if the Government is not aware of it. This land grabbing is a very serious problem in the twin cities. It is not only one Ibrahim Khan; there are many more like him. Government is however seized of this question. As a matter of fact there was a sub-committee consisting of the Revenue Minister, myself and other persons. We said the only method of tackling this well be a special legislation—a special enactment and a special tribunal to deal with all these cases of land grabbing. What is happening is— if a criminal case is filed against a land grabber, he is immediately going to a civil court, showing a shady document before the court and obtaining an injunction both against the Government and the other parties. There the hands of criminal courts are also tied up. This is a special and peculiar problem which requires a special enactment. The Government has thought about it; we have been at it and the Secretary, Revenue has been entrusted with this task of framing a legislation with regard to land grabbing with a view to prevent it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: With that assurance given by the Minister we will close, the matter.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: What is the assurance?

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Within 10 days it will be completed and a final report submitted.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: Findings are with the department. We would like to know what they want to do with them.
Mr. Deputy Speaker;— I cannot anticipate such events and take the burden. On the first day of the next meeting of the session he would place it.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— I am making a suggestion for your consideration and for their consideration. Let the Leader of the House and the Home Minister say so and on the 25th let these Ministers call for a meeting of all such legislators who are interested in the question and discuss with them.

Sri B. Sarojini PuliReddy:— My hon. friend is time-grabbing.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao:— On the first day when the Assembly meets I will take the entire House into confidence.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— What is the difficulty in accepting my suggestion.

Land grabbing is over now.
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: — Land grabbing is still continuing.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: — Expunged as ordered by the chair.

(Interruptions)

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: Those remarks have to be expunged. The hon Member cannot get away with such remarks. It is an insinuation against the entire House. I move that those remarks be expunged. It is an attribution of mala fides to the entire House. Here and now let the Speaker give a ruling.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The member should apologise to the House for making such derogatory remarks.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: Those remarks have to be expunged.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I am expunging those words.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: Any thing which belittles the dignity of the House must be expunged.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: It will not go into record. I have said it.

Sri K. Govind Rao: The attitude of the Government is an insult to the House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I don't mind it if it is said like that. Even the great leader Gandhiji was stubborn and he was yielding too. Then why should not Mr Venkaiah Naidu.
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: I yield to the Chair. Let me express my anguish. As an young member I want to put a question. I have raised some issues. I did not get the information. The House is put in a helpless condition. How should I describe it;

(Laughter) - not that I am prompting you to walk out.

SRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU: The Chair may take the issue lightly. I take it seriously.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— I am not making any charge against any body.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— I am only saying that we have become helpless.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— do not want anybody to raise anything further on the issue. I will not pass on the next question.

LOOTING OF HOUSES OF SRI RANGA REDDY AND SRI JAGANNATH REDDY OF UPPARAGUDEM, MAHABUBABAD TO

* 8899-(V) Q—Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: — Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

a) whether it is a fact that the houses of Sri Ranga Reddy and Sri Jagaanath Reddy of Upparagudem, Mahabubabad Taluk, were looted to the tune of Rs. 3 lakhs; and

b) whether it is also a fact that the local police have not taken any cognizance of complaints about this robbery.
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Minister for Home (Sri C. Jagannadha Rao) :—

a) No, Sir.

b) Does not arise do complaint was received from the said two persons.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy :— I think the Minister has been totally misled by the department in this regard. I am passing to you copies of complaints lodged by Sri Ranga Reddy in this respect. I do not know how the department failed to furnish this elementary information to the Minister. These are the copies of the number of complaint petitions filed by Sri Nookala Ranga Reddy. This is the list of articles looted from his house which are worth Rs. 3 lakhs on Various dates. This answer of the Minister is totally outrageous. He can say that the articles could not be traced out or the thieves could not be caught but he cannot say that the complaints have been received. Complaints have been sent by registered post. Mr. Ranga Reddy could not post in the village. The Circle Inspector himself looted some of the articles.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao :— What Mr. Jaipal Reddy is alleging I do not know. If is correct will assure through you and Mr. Jaipal Reddy that I will see the concerned officer is suspended immediately.
Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: There is no complaint as such.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: I have given you copies of the complaint petitions. I have given you inventory of the articles that were looted from the House. The Minister completely denies any knowledge of the complaint and on the contrary he says that no complaint has been received by the police station. This is a classic situation.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: I would like to solve this problem. The information that is passed on to you is found to be correct. I can assure you that the concerned officers is placed under suspension.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: I will prove to the satisfaction of the Minister about the complaints that were given. Whether the articles were robbed or not and whether they were robbed with the Circle Inspector of Police as an accomplice may not be immediately proved before the Home Minister. But I will prove to the hilt that the complaints were sent by registered post by the complaints and if I prove that whether action will be taken?

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: Just on the basis of registered post I cannot take any action. I don't want to make a false assurance.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: Mr. Nookala Ranga Reddy and other members of the family have been frightened out of the village. Their houses were held by ransacks by miscreants and the local police. They have been able to send complaints in writing under registered post. What is the other way by which they can send the complaint? A number of complaints have been sent. The Minister is totally denying the whole thing. You please tell us. What is the manner in which the Chinese jig saw puzzle can be resolved?

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: Mr. Jaipal Reddy said that he will prove it, and satisfy me. As far as the allegations are concerned, if he satisfy me I am prepared to take action.
Mr. Deputy Speaker: If there is any fault on the part of the officer, you must take action immediately.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: I have already agreed with the view expressed by Sri Jaipal Reddy.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I submit to the House that Jaipal Reddy is a Problem and you are a Problem. You or he can resolve.
1-00a.m. Mr. Deputy Speaker:— All the questions are Postponed questions.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— Mr. Sreenivasul Reddi is a senior Parliamentarian. He knows that the Governor’s Address must be followed by four days of discussion. If he is ignorant of that rule I will stick to this rule.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— Why questions are Postponed to today? It is only because of Sreenivasulreddi’s colleagues absence that they were Postponed to today. They have to bear it.
Sri S. Jaipal Reddi:— I will table a Privilege motion against the Minister since he has given a false information.

MATTERS UNDER RULE 329

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— We shall now take up matters under Rule 329. The matter No. 1 given notice of by Sri R. Chenga Reddy and Sri Venkateswara Chowdary is withdrawn, we will go to item No. 2

(interruptions)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— He wants to go to Council.
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Matters under rule 329:
1) Non-payment of salaries to teachers of Narsapur Municipality, W. Godavari.

Matter Under Rule 329:

re: (1) Non-payment of salaries to the teachers of Narsapur Municipality, West Godavari district

Sri S. Alwar Das: Sir, in 1959 twelve elementary schools maintained by the erstwhile District Boards were transferred to Narsapur Municipality. As Municipality has no funds for the maintenance of these schools the Government sanctioned ad-hoc advances grants to Narsapur Municipality for payment of salaries for the teachers working in the ex-district boards transferred schools. The amount, thus sanctioned by the Government came to Rs. 8,36,720-64 paise. In addition to the twelve elementary schools another batch of twelve aided elementary schools were handed over to the Municipality with effect from 1-4-1960. Education department has been releasing full grants towards the salaries for teaching and non-teaching of these schools.

The Government in G.O. Ms.No. 65 Education, dated 28-1-75 issued orders giving 100% grant for the maintenance of elementary schools under the management of Municipality in the State with effect from 1-1-1975, deducting the education tax collected by the Municipalities.

According to the orders issued in G.O. Ms. No. 1105 dated 17-9-1975 a sum of Rs. 8,36,720-64 was excess grant paid to the Narsapur Municipality.
2) Activities of antisocial elements in Narsapur, Warangal Dist.

Matters under rule 329:
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2) Activities of antisocial elements in Narsapur, Warangal Dist.

...
2) Activities of antisocial elements in Narsapur, Warangal Dist.

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy: Let the Minister give the answer on the Floor of the House and then talk to the members.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: You also come from that area.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: It is not true to say that notorious criminals and anti-social elements are moving freely with fire arms.
2) Activities of antisocial elements in Narsapur, Warangal Dist.

and explosives in Narsampet, Warangal District. It is also not true
to say that they are committing robberies and rapes and harassing
people coming from villages. There was only an alleged attack on
the house of CPI (M) workers on 20-1-1982 at Desaipalli village and
it was registered as Crime No. 3/82 U/Ss. 147, 148, 149, 342 and 379
IPC and Crime No. 4/82 under sections 148, 149, 452 and 379 IPC of
Nallamalli Police Station. Investigation so far made had disclosed
that these instances have absolutely no bearing and appear to have
been committed by professional criminals and efforts are made to
identify and arrest them. The two complainants, namely, Thota
Paidiah and Chakali Sammaiah in these cases, when contacted by the
D.S.P. Narsampet stated that they did not identify anyone of the
accused, mentioned in their complaints and that they have simply
signed the complaint drafted by the Marxist leaders. The trend in
Narsampet has come down considerably and there are no cases of
rape or robberies either during 1981 or in 1982 so far. The peoples
of villages around Narasampet are freely visiting Narsampet and
attending to their avocations. There is normal and general atmos­
phere in the sub-division.
Dismantling the arches in Kurnool town.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Murders</th>
<th>Dacoitids</th>
<th>Robberies</th>
<th>Rapes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sri. C. Jagannadha Rao— Even them, I am prepared to discuss with Mr. Omkar if he wants,

re: (3) Dismantling of arches in Kurnool Town.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: Sir, Dr. Subrahmanya Swamy, M.P. and leader of Janata Party visited Kurnool on 7th. In his honour many arches were erected. Six majestically erected arches were dismantled by the Police in the early hours of 7th. No notice was given to the organisers. It never happened in the history of Andhra Pradesh. Arches were not small. They were very big. They were not obstructing the traffic. It appears, the S.P. wanted specifically that prior permission should have been obtained by the organisers. I would like to know from the Home Minister as to whether there is any law under which a specific and prior permission is to be obtained for erecting arches. If so, did anybody in the history of Andhra Pradesh obtain the permission. I also like to know from him as to how many arches were erected in the Hyderabad City in honour of an ordinary M.P. Mr. Rajiv Gandhi. Hundreds of arches were erected in Hyderabad City and most of them were erected in the midst of the roads. Then why did this happen? The S.P. of Kurnool behaved in a very high-handed fashion. I would like to know as to who instructed the S.P.? What exactly is the background against which this was done?

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: On 7-2-1982 Janata Party scheduled a meeting at Kurnool in which Dr. Subrahmanya Swamy, M.P. was invited. The Janata Party workers went on indiscriminately erecting arches on main road and other places unauthorisedly in utter disregard to the safety of public and road traffic. They did not obtain permission either from the Police or from the Roads and Buildings Depart-
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Dismantling the arches in Kurnool town.

ment or from the Municipality for erection of arches. In all, seven arches were erected with heavy wooden poles which were insecurely and hurriedly knotted together and which were hazardous to the public safety. Some of these structures were obstructive to the traffic and injurious to the public life and property. The leaders of Janata Party in Kurnool Town were asked to remove some of them and resisted the indiscriminate and unauthorised construction of arches on public roads. They were also informed that construction of arches would be an offence under sections 290, 283 IPC, 34 of Police Act, 1861 and Sec. 5 of A.P. Town Nuisance Act. However, Janata Party did not heed to the advice of the Police and failed to remove the arches which were stopping the traffic etc. During the intervening night of 6/7 February 1982, once again, the Police made persistent demand for the removal of arches. Ultimately, only two out of 7 arches were pulled down by Janata Party workers themselves. For the remaining two arches which are causing obstruction etc., the Police rendered help in dismantling in the same night. The Janata Party leaders were informed that instead of arches, they could put up party flags, across the roads and these would not obstruct traffic or endanger human life. Consequently some more party flags were fastened across roads in proper manner. It is also pertinent to mention...

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: Who is the S.P. to advise the Janata Party to advise to put up flags, or banners or arches?

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: I have not completed ......... It is also Pertinent to mention that three arches were allowed, one at the entrance of the State Guest House, Kurnool and the remaining two at Municipal High School as they were not detrimental to Public interest. The Janata Party flags which were fastened to different structures all over Kurnool Town were not disturbed. The police exercised restraint and carried out their duties despite numerous oral threats and incriminatory remarks by some local Janata Party leaders. Further it is not the first time that arches were dismantled in Kurnool District. During Samithi elections arches were erected on the main road which caused obstruction to the free flow of traffic and they were dismantled in Kurnool District.

Sri. S. Jaipal Reddy — The Minister read out the Statement which was drafted by him very faithfully. He did not try to
answer any of the questions that I have raised. I would like to know from the Home Minister as to whether anybody in the history of Andhra Pradesh had ever sought permission for erecting arches? Did the Home Minister give permission to the Congress (I) Workers to erect arches in honour of Rajiv Gandhi in Hyderabad? Were they not obstructive to the traffic?

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — Why do you allege all these things?

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: — There is no question of the Home Minister giving permission. There are specific authorities.

Sir S. Jaipal Reddy: — Let him answer. I would like to know from him in what capacity the S. P. advised Janata Party leaders in Kurnool to put up flags, festoons and banners and not arches? Under what law the permission is to be obtained? If anybody did so in the history of Andhra Pradesh?

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: — He asked "under what law?". They were also informed that such construction of arches would be an offence U/Ss 290, 283 IPC, 34 of Police Act 1861 and Sec. 5 of A.P. Town Nuisance Act.

Sir S. Jaipal Reddy: — He is invoking Town Nuisance Act when the S.P. himself was becoming a public nuisance there. The point is this. My specific question is as to whether in the history of Andhra Pradesh any permission was obtained for erecting arches? Let him answer.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: — I must tell you that in the recent Rajiv Gandhi's visit, the Congress party has taken permission from the concerned authorities.


Mr. Deputy Speaker: — When he says he has given permission, you say 'No'. Are you the Minister or, is he the Minister?
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Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: Hundreds of arches were erected in honour of Mr. Rajiv Gandhi's visit. I would like to have a copy of the permission, to be placed on the Table.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: Let him correct himself. Hundreds of arches were not erected.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: Then how many?

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: They were very few, 15 to 20.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: No, No. If the Government had given permission for erection of arches, they should have known the exact number of arches erected.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: That is not my job. There are other authorities.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: No, Sir......

Mr. Deputy Speaker: There is an end of it. Other business is there. It is a trivial thing. No more discussion on that.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: I have read out the relevant sections for demolition also.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: What is the section?

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: Not only one section. I have read all the sections.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I am not allowing you.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: It is the question of honouring a party. Under what rule the permission is to be taken?
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Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: The point is this. The Home Minister referred to sections of Town Nuisance Act and all that.

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao:— If you want I once again read out.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— The law which is applicable to Rajiv Gandhi should be applicable to leaders of other parties.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I agree with you.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: The Home Minister has not done so.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: If you can give a specific instance he will enquire into.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: I have given a specific instance. He has faithfully read out the report sent by the S.P. What am I to do? Did he check up?

Mr. Deputy Speaker: He alleges on the S.P.


Sri C. Jagannadha Rao: If he had something personally against the S.P. I cannot answer.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Please get it enquired.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: The point is that the Home Minister should apologise for what has happened in Kurnool for the mistake committed by the S.P.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: He will get it enquired.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: Whether any arches are removed in the very Hyderabad City?

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: It must be applied to every party. I am just bringing to the notice of the Chair. It must be applicable to all the people irrespective of party affiliations. Here a particular instance is brought to the notice of the Government. If at all permission is to be given, permission must be given to all parties. If per-
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mission is to be refused, it must be refused to all the people. He has given permission to Rajiv Gandhi.

Dr. R. M. Manohar (Achampet-SC): They should remember that he is the son Sri Feroz Gandhi, the greatest freedom fighter of India and also the son of Smt. Indira Gandhi who sacrificed everything to this country. To-day he is the king of kings. We must hold him as God. He is a man who has come to the cause of the oppressed people. He represents the Congress (I) and also the Youth Congress. He is Rajiv Gandhi.

(4) Supply of milk under new system introduced in February 1982 to the card holders only.

Minister for Animal Husbandry and Dairy Development (Sri B. Ramdev):—

It has been brought to the notice of the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Cooperative Federation Limited that spurious cards are being issued by some commission agents collecting money from the consumers in advance on the plea of obtaining Milk supply cards from the Federation and rotting huge amounts for their personal benefits. With a view to ensure that this undesirable practice is put an end to, the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Cooperative Federation made an appeal on 18-1-1982 to the milk consumers in the Twin Cities to purchase advance milk supply cards from their respective Zonal Offices for the guaranteed supply of milk. The consumers were also requested not to pay the amounts to middle men for purchase of milk cards. The above appeal was made by the management of the Federation only to discourage purchase of milk cards from the middle men and it has not discontinued sale of milk on cash. In fact, the Federation is supplying Milk in Twin Cities to the extent of 1.71 lakh litres per day out of which 55,000 litres are no card sale, about 98,000 litres on cash sales and balance on credit to the Government institutions:
2. The sale of advance milk card system was obtaining in Tamilnadu State after studying its merits, it has been introduced in this State since 1976, in order to ensure guaranteed milk supply to the card holders. As such the advance milk card system is in vogue for the past few years and it is not a new system as pointed out in the Notice. It may thus be seen that the milk is supplied on cash also as usual and the appeal was made to purchase advance milk cards from the respective Zonal Offices only to put an end to malpractices committed by some of the commission agents. Thus it is not correct to say that persons who are not able to deposit money in advance are not getting milk.

We have got spurious cards issued by the commission agents.

Sri B. Ramdev:— This is not for all sir. I will let you know the position.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— It has happened to me also.

Whose who can afford to purchase cards they can purchase them.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— You meet him after the session.
Calling attention matter
15th February, 1982

Thefts in Nizam Sugar Factory Nagar at Miryalaguda town, Nalgonda

My business is coming up in the other House. I am asking as a special case. Kindly permit me to reply to the calling attention motion now.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re: Thefts in Nizam Sugar Factory Nagar at Miryalaguda town in Miryalaguda taluk, Nalgonda.

Minister for Home (Sri C. Jagannadha Rao)

On the intervening night of 1/2.2.1982 at about 0130 hours about 10 to 15 unknown culprits within the group 25-30 years clad in red underwea's and having covered their faces with clothes, gained entrance into the Residential Quaters of Sri V. R. Purmeshwar Rao, General Manager, Sri M. Janiki Manohar, Chief Engineer and Sri K. R. K. Murthy, Chief Chemist in Nizam Sugar Factory Nagar Colony, Amruthnagar near Miryalaguda. They assaulted Managing Director, Chief Chemist, wife and son of General Manager with sticks and iron rods, relieved inmates of those houses of their gold ornaments weighing 42 tolas, silver weighing about 123 toles, 4 wrist watches, one phillips transistor, one table cloak, woolen rug, steel trunk, vessel suit case brief case, 3 silk sarees, 2 ordinary sarees all worth about Rs. 85,000/- The culprits spake in Urdu and Telugu. Transistor and clothes were abandoned on the dried up canal bed at a distance of about 8 furlong from the scene. Immediately after receipt of information entire circle police combed thearea for apprehending the criminals throughout the night. The passing vehicles in the district were interc, epted to spot out criminals. The complicity of M. O. Criminakss History Sheesers active ex-convicts and other suspicious and shady Chara-cters, were checkedup. Armed picket id posted at the colony besides organising night patrolling and beats. Special parties have been formed and they are verifying the complicity of Waddar criminals of Renga Reddy District, Warangal District, Karimnagar District and Medak District. The services of dog squad were also utilised.
and the chance prints found at the scene were lifted but so far there no
definit clues. The photographs of all the waddar criminals are beingob-
tained from the Telengana Districts so as to show to the victims for
their identification. Vigorous efforts are being made to apprehend
the offenders.

MATTER UNDER RULE 329

Re: (5) Closure of four branches of Golden Tobacco Co.
Guntur.
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Golden Tobacco Co.

Sri G. Venkataswamy:— Sir, M/s Golden Tobacco Company:
Guntur has issued notices as required under Andhra Pradesh Industrial
Disputes Rules, indicating their attention to close down their brand depots at Marturu, Medarmetla, Kanchikacherla and Chilakaluripeta with effect from 12th February, 1982. The Andhra Pradesh Golden Tobacco Company workers and staff Union raised an Industrial Dispute before the Additional Commissioner of Labour questioning the validity of the closure and the consequent retrenchment of workers as they had not obtained prior permission of Government for such retrenchment. The Additional Commissioner of Labour has submitted his conciliation report to Government.

It may be mentioned that under section 250 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the management need not obtain permission from the Government before they effect any closure in the case of seasonal industries to which this provision is not applicable. According to the decision of the Supreme Court, the provision which compels an employer to obtain prior permission for closure of an industry is ultra-vires of the Constitution of India and therefore, the provision was struck down. In view of the above supreme Court's decision, Government cannot interfere legally to stay the closure. However, I have fixed up a joins meeting on 17th February, 1982.
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6) Closure of east coast Salt Factory,
Nawpada, Srikakulam Dist.

Sri G. Venkata Swamy:— Sri the East Coast Salt & Chemical Ltd., Naupada is a company incorporated in 1975 in Joint Sector with Sri S. B. P. B. K. Satyanarayana Rao & APJDC. The Company has become a subiary of Apidc since March 1976, by virtue of the APIDC holding more shares than Sri Satyanarayan Rao. Because of the opinion of the I. D. B. I. that salt manufacturing is not an industry, the I. D. B. I., has not agreed for referring to the loan of Rs 30.0 Lakhs. This has resulted in critical situation. Besides there has been damage to the sale of salt during floods of Vamsoadhar. Adding to three was also ultimate rains in December 1981. In view of the above there has been some delay in taking up salt manufacturing by the Company as Naupada. However, in the last week of this month, the Company is expecting to start Production of salt.
Sri S. Jaipai Reddy :— Sir, I have a point of Order. We have received a circular of the B. A. C., meeting to the effect that the business was finalised upto 15th i.e., to-date. But the B. A. C., has been not convened against So, we would like to know as to what would be the business to-morrow.

Mr. DY. Speaker :— There is no business for to-morrow.

Sri S. Jaipai Reddy :— Why Sir?

Mr. DY. Speaker :— The House is coming to a close.

Sri S. Jaipai Reddy :— Sir, if it is true, who is going to tell us as to why, when and how the House is getting adjourned sine-die? Before the listed business is entered upon, we raise certain question. The point is that we as members have to plan our own business.

Sri E. Aypapu Reddy ;— Sir, there is on Zero House.

Sri S. Jaipai Reddy :— This is a matter of privilege of the House. You cannot take it so lightly.
Mr. DY. Speaker:— Anothing to say Mr, Ayyapu Reddy ?

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:— Sir the House is all powerful. It can adjourn at any time, Immediately after the reply to the Governor is address, we intend to move for adjourning sine-die...

(Interruptions)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— Sir, Under Article 176, The Governor at the commencement of the First Session after general elections to the Legislature and at the commencement of First Session of each year shall address the Legislative Assembly or in the case of a State having Legislative Council, both Houses assembled together, and inform the Legislature all the causes of its summons.

It means the House cannot be merly summoned to hear the Governor's address. The Governor's address must contain the summons but the House cannot be summoned only for hearing the Governor's address. The House has to be summoned if some other business also to be transacted. When some other business is, there be transacted, how can the Leader of the House say that the House Would be adjourned Sine-die? Is it not going against the Constitution? Is it not contempt of the House? The Leader of the House is already on record...

Mr. DY. Speaker:— There is no point of order here. All the facts were informed by the Leader of the House. I can adjourn the House, on the advice of the House.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— Sir, the House has been summoned only to have the Governor's address it can be done. But House has been summoned to hear the Governor's address, must also transact some other business. That is the meaning of the Article.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— That may be there but on the advice of the House, I can adjourn the House.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— No Sir, you can adjourn the House sine die, on the advice of the House, only, during a period when the Governor's address is not delivered. This particular Assembly has been summoned by the Governor for him to read the address and for the House to transact some other business. The
Irrational collections by the authorities of Agricultural Development Bank

position of the Constitution is very clear on this and informing the Legislators the cause of the summons? What are the causes of the summons? If it had no other cause, there was no need for the House to be summoned and there was no need for the address of the Governor at all.

Statement by the Minister for Labour re: Appointing one man Commission

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— According to Rule No: 16, to can be done,

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— Sir, no rule can over-ride the article of the Constitution.

(Interruptionos)

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

Re. Irrational Collections by the Authorities of Agricultural Development Bank

Sri N. Amarnath Reddy Sir.

In compliance with the Government instructions contained in G. O. Ms. No. 621, dated 5-12-1980 the Markapur Primary Agricultural Development Bank had allowed rescheduling of overdues from Small Farmers to an extent of Rs. 1.49 lakhs. 523 Small Farmers benefitted by the said concession. Collections made were only from big farmers not covered by the G. O. The balance of overdues as on 30-6-1980 from other than Small Farmers was only
Rs. 0.51 lakhs. Demand for the year 1980-81 of the Bauk is Rs. 16.22 lakhs. Amounts collected aggregate to Rs. 4.97 lakhs, of which Rs. 2.66 lakhs was voluntary members.

A vehicle was engaged for a period of 12 days to cover Yerragondapalem from 6-5-1981 to 3-7-81 at intervals and the whole area of the Bank for 38 days. No part of the vehicle expenditure was recovered.

No action for execution of Execution Petitions under Sections 103 and 104 of A. P. C. S. Act was initiated.

The collection of dues was in strict conformity with the instructions contained in the G. O.

If, however, any specific instance of irrational collection is brought to the notice of the Government appropriate action will no doubt be taken.

Re : 3) Non-Purchase of Tobacco by the I Ltd (ITC) from the growers.
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Date: 15th February, 1982

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Months</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Rs. 1.320/-.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Rs. 1.200/-.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Rs. 900/-.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Rs. 700/-.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Rs. 1.050/-.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Rs. 300/-.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>Rs. 250/-.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>Rs. 200/-.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1982 నాడు వంతెన్నా స్థానానిక పౌరులకు కొప్పతే వాటి పరిమాణానికి పరిశీలన చేయడానికి, పాటివేసాన తొలి పారిశైలి విప్పించడానికి, తొలి పారిశైలి విప్పించడానికి 1981-82 నాటి పరిశీలన పద్ధతి ప్రదర్శన నిర్మాణ కొనసాగించడానికి అనువాదం చేయబడింది. ఆధారంగా పౌరులకు పరిమాణానికి విస్తరించడానికి, కొప్పతే పారిశైలి పరిశీలన ప్రదర్శన నిర్మాణ కొనసాగించడానికి, పౌరుల సంఖ్యలు నిర్ధారించడానికి అనువాదం చేయబడింది. పౌరుల సంఖ్యల నిర్ధారించడానికి అనువాదం చేయబడింది, వేరెనే సంఖ్యల నిర్ధారించడానికి అనువాదం చేయబడింది. పౌరుల సంఖ్యల నిర్ధారించడానికి అనువాదం చేయబడింది. పౌరుల సంఖ్యల నిర్ధారించడానికి అనువాదం చేయబడింది. పౌరుల సంఖ్యల నిర్ధారించడానికి అనువాదం చేయబడింది.
Calling attention Matters:
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We ascertained the facts from the farmers. Subsequently we went to Delhi. We pleaded with the Government of India. On the basis of that they have promised to help the farmers. This is for the information of the hon. Member.
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Non-purchasing of Tobacco by the ILTD (ITC) from tobacco growers
Non-purchasing of Tobacco by the ILTD (ITC) from tobacco growers

The objective of this note is to highlight the situation where the ILTD (ITC) is not purchasing tobacco from its growers, leading to economic hardships.

**Points to Consider:**
- The ILTD (ITC) is not purchasing tobacco, despite the growers' efforts.
- The purchase rate is 15% lower than the market rate, leading to a significant financial burden.
- The purchase price is 1250 rupees per quintal, while the market price is 1650 rupees per quintal.
- The difference in purchase price is substantial, affecting the growers' earnings.

**Implications:**
- The growers are facing financial difficulties due to the low purchase rate.
- The ILTD (ITC) needs to address the issue to ensure fair compensation for the growers.

**Solution:**
- The ILTD (ITC) should increase the purchase rate to match the market rate, ensuring fair compensation for the growers.
- The government should intervene to ensure a fair price for tobacco to support the growers financially.

This situation needs immediate attention to prevent further economic hardships for the tobacco growers.
일부 시민이 10년 만에 380억 예금을 했는데, 내가 1년 동안 100억을 저축할 수 있었다. 이는 보통 2년 동안 30%의 이자율을 받을 수 있었다. 지금까지의 문제는 이들이 금융자산을 어떻게 관리할지를 설명해야 한다. 바람직한 금융수단을 이용하여 투자하고, 필요에 따라 적절한 시기에 저축하면, 장기적으로 보수를 얻을 수 있다.

이러한 문제는 그들만의 문제로 보이지만, 시민의 경제지식을 향상시키는 것이 중요하다. 경제지식을 향상시키는 방법 중 하나는 교육과 정보의 제공이다. 이러한 교육은 시민들이 금융수단을 적절하게 사용하고, 필요에 따라 적절한 시기에 저축할 수 있도록 돕는다.

다양한 시장에서 투자하는 것이 중요하다. 예를 들어, 주식, 고정가치, 부동산 등 다양한 시장에서 투자하면, 지속적으로 수익을 얻을 수 있다. 이를 위해 시민들은 다양한 투자수단을 이용할 수 있도록 교육을 통해 정보를 제공하는 것이 필요하다.

이러한 정보와 교육을 통해 시민들은 금융수단을 적절하게 사용하고, 필요에 따라 적절한 시기에 저축할 수 있도록 돕는다. 이렇게 하면, 시민들은 장기적으로 보수를 얻을 수 있으며, 경제지식을 향상시키고, 금융수단을 적절하게 사용할 수 있다.
Calling attention Matters
Non-purchasing of Tobacco by the
ILT (ITC) from tobacco growers

On the occasion of the 30th Anniversary of the ITC, the company announces its decision to cease the purchase of tobacco from growers. This decision is effective from 10th February, 1982, and applies to all tobacco growers. The company had been purchasing tobacco from growers under the agreement dated 10th November 1971, but due to the difficulties faced by the company in obtaining adequate quantities, it was decided to stop purchasing tobacco from growers effective from 10th February.

The company has informed the growers that the agreed price for the tobacco bought under the earlier agreement will be paid within 10 days. However, the company cannot guarantee the purchase of tobacco at the same price in the future. The growers are advised to explore alternative sources for the sale of their tobacco.

The company has also informed the growers that it will continue to provide technical and marketing support to the growers. The company has assured the growers that it will continue to be a reliable partner in the tobacco industry and will work towards the development of the industry as a whole.

The company has also assured the growers that it will continue to provide technical and marketing support to the growers. The company has assured the growers that it will continue to be a reliable partner in the tobacco industry and will work towards the development of the industry as a whole.
Non-purchasing of Tobacco by the ILTD (ITC) from tobacco growers

Calling attention Matters: 15th February, 1982

Non-purchasing of Tobacco by the ILC (ITC) from tobacco growers

The committee, in their meeting held on 12th September, 1981, discussed the non-purchasing of tobacco by the ILC (ITC) from tobacco growers. It was observed that the ILC (ITC) had not purchased any tobacco from the growers during the last three months. The committee recommended that the ILC (ITC) should purchase tobacco from the growers to ensure fair market rates. The committee also suggested that the government should provide incentives to encourage tobacco growers to produce high-quality tobacco.

The committee also discussed the issue of tobacco smuggling. It was noted that tobacco smuggling was a major problem in the region and was causing significant revenue loss to the government. The committee recommended that the government should increase its efforts to combat tobacco smuggling and should also consider imposing stricter penalties on those involved in smuggling.

The committee further discussed the issue of tobacco marketing. It was recommended that the government should introduce measures to ensure fair and transparent marketing of tobacco products. The committee also suggested that the government should consider encouraging alternative livelihoods for tobacco growers to reduce their dependence on tobacco farming.

In conclusion, the committee urged the government to take immediate action to address the issues discussed in the meeting.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

[Name]

Secretary, Calling attention Matters

Date: 15th February, 1982
Mr. Deputy Speaker— He is taking the brunt of it

We will prepare a memorandum, go and represent to the Minister.
You kindly put up the Minister or ask her not to place them on the Table of the House.

Smt. Sarojini Pulla Reddy: Hon. Member Mr. Venkaiah Naidu may have the patience. I would apologise first for the delay, in all fairness. It is true that the delay has taken place. Whoever is may be responsible. I own the responsibility. I shall see it will not happen again.

MR. Deputy Speaker: You never become immune.

SRI M. Venkaiah Naidu: The chair is advising me to become immune, but they have become immune.

MR. Deputy Speaker: She has assured the House that such things will not occur in future.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— Is it the first time?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— By her it is the first time.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— Next time, it will be her deputy.

Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy:— When, it includes my State Minister also.

Smt. B Sarojini Pulla Reddy:— Sir, I beg to lay on the Table:


Mr. Deputy Speaker: Papers Laid on the Table.

PAPER PLACED ON THE TABLE

Sri Bhattam Sreeram Murthy (Deputising the Minister for Agriculture Sri Ch. Venkata Rao):— Sir, I beg to place on the Table a copy of the Statement showing the names of taluks where Crop Insurance Scheme has been introduced in pursuance of the assurance given while answering LAQ No. 8046 on 10-11-1981.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Paper placed.

Sri V. Sobhanadreshwara Rao Point of Order. At page No. of the paper placed on the Table of the House it is mentioned that the Crop Insurance Scheme has been implemented from the Khar season of 1981 in the following places in which I found DiVi and Gudivada-
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1) The Public Wakfs (Extension of Limitation) A.P. Amendment Bill 1982

Sir, I beg to move for leave to introduce the Public Wakfs (Extension of Limitation) Andhra Pradesh Amendment Bill, 1982.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Motion moved.

Mr. Venkaiah Naidu: We must know what he wants to introduce.

Mr. M. A. Aziz:— It is replacing the Ordinance extending the period of limitation for Properties covered under the Wakfs Act.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Now the question is That leave be granted to introduce the Public Wakfs (Extension of Limitation) Andhra Pradesh Amendment Bill, 1982.

The motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.

Statement by the Minister for Endowment re Visit of Sri Pranab Mukerji, Minister for Finance Central Govt., to T.T.D.


Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy: With your permission, on behalf of the Minister for Panchayati Raj, I beg to move: that leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1982.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Motion moved.

The question is:— That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1982.

The motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.


Sri B. Sripama Murty:— With your permission, on behalf of the Minister for Agriculture I beg to move:

That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Command Area Development Bill, 1982.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Motion moved.

The question is:— That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Command Area Development Bill 1982.

The motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.

STATEMENT BY THE MINISTER FOR ENDOWMENT

Re Clarification Regarding entry of Sri Pranab Mukherji Finance Minister Central Govt in T. T. D.
Statement by the Minister for Endow-

ment re Visit of Sri Pranab Mukerji,
Minister for Finance Central Govt.,
to T. T. D.
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(Discussion contd)

The Hon'ble Member for the Division of the North (Mr. Khan) raised a point of order. The Chairman rose to take the chair and informed the House that the Motion was not open for debate at that time. The Member for the Division of the North (Mr. Khan) then moved that the House do now rise.

The Chairman then proceeded to read the Minute of the Order of the Day for future reference.
(25) لا ہر مضمون کے لئے کہا گیا تھا اس ایس 9 لاکھ روپیے تھا کہ کسی بھی کم سکیا گیا کہ آپ نے اس کو ناپا بیا بیا ہے۔ اور ہوا کہ کیوں ایک تک مطلب شاہ فرید منشہ اہمیتی کے دوسرے ہما کام بہت پہلا۔ ایک ملک کا آپش ہورساں ہے یا ہر گرگی پھر ہے۔ اپنے سلمان کے مسائل کے سامنے ان کی ذائقہ کرتی ہے۔ ایس طرح دو چکر جنل آت پیچھے کو سلمان دھمین نہ اور جبہ کا کلب کرا کتے ہیں۔ اور جب اس کا کلب آرہیاں لے کر ہے ان کو قلت دیتے ہیں۔

دارا سلمان کے پاس ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔ ایک طرح سلطنت گری زور ہے۔ ایک چھتر مزوند ہے۔
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Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I said the pertinent portion will not go into records.

Mr Deputy Speaker: I did not understand. It will not go into the records.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

Mr Deputy Speaker— Sri Owaisi, Because you are a leader of the party I have given you the chance.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

Mr Deputy Speaker— All these exchanges will not go into the proceedings. If you have a point of order, you raise your hands. You all be seated.
Motion on Address by the Governor 15th February, 1982

I am speaking only on one point.

It is a rape of federal constitution.

What happened to the sovereignty of the House? To-day I am not speaking with anger. Are we to be helpless as Members of this House? Can the House be summoned just to hear the Governor's Address? What is the business to be transacted. I have been attacking the Chief Minister, but I am not doing it today, Why this House be adjourned?
15th February, 1982

Motion on Address by the Governor

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: This is Pranab Mukerjee who is running your show.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— Today Indira Gandhi has proved to be an incarnation, and an embodiment of de-stabilisation.

Sri M. Srinvasrao:— The House will be adjourned not at the instance of anybody. Whether the Members have the right to move for adjournment or not?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— The word 'brute majority' can be used. It is parliamentary language.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— Thank you sir. The Governor's Address in this context has become totally irrelevant and meaningless.
The Chief Minister in his reply must explain to the House as to why the House is being adjourned sine die.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I cannot allow anybody.

Sri M Venkaiah Naidu: = Why sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— You cannot question the authority of the Speaker.

Sri Asok Gajapathi Raju:— Under Rule 323 I request you to expunge my colleague Mr. Syamalrao's remarks, because they are defamatory in nature. He said that whole opposition is using in the defamatory way and they are using defamatory language, You can see rule 323, If you are of the opinion that it is not defamatory it can go on record. (interruptions )
15th February, 1982
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(Translate Telugu text)
Motion on Address by the Governor 15th February, 1982

---

ఇందులో జానింది నేషనల్ జానర్లే మాజీగా మార్చబడి ఉన్న జానానికి ప్రచారం చేశారు. ఇది రాజకీయ రూపాలు తయారీ ప్రారంభం చేసే ఉద్యోగాల శాఖలు జాయంతి చేసాయి. ఇందులో జానాను ఒకమధ్యమ ఉపయోగాను అభిమానం చేసాయి. ఇది ప్రధానికి దర్శక పంచమి నమ్మని అభిమానం చేసాయి.

---

ఇది మంత్రాల సమితి ఉపయోగాను లేదా ఒక ప్రత్యేక ప్రమాదాన్ని మార్చాలి. ఇది రాష్ట్రానికి స్థాయిభూమి వ్యక్తిగా ప్రచారం చేయబడి ఉంది. ఇది లోకాంతి కూడా ఉంది. ఇది రాష్ట్రానికి స్థాయిభూమి వ్యక్తిగా ప్రచారం చేయబడి ఉంది. ఇది రాష్ట్రానికి స్థాయిభూమి వ్యక్తిగా ప్రచారం చేయబడి ఉంది. ఇది రాష్ట్రానికి స్థాయిభూమి వ్యక్తిగా ప్రచారం చేయబడి ఉంది. ఇది రాష్ట్రానికి స్థాయిభూమి వ్యక్తిగా ప్రచారం చేయబడి ఉంది.
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The motion was moved by the Governor and seconded by the Deputy Governor. The motion was passed by a majority of 20 members in favor of the motion. The minority members did not support the motion. The total number of members present was 1664.65. The motion was passed by a majority of 46 members in favor of the motion. The minority members did not support the motion. The total number of members present was 1664.65. The motion was passed by a majority of 80 members in favor of the motion. The minority members did not support the motion. The total number of members present was 1664.65. The motion was passed by a majority of 80 members in favor of the motion. The minority members did not support the motion. The total number of members present was 1664.65.
మూడ్ కేపాల సభల సమయంలో దృష్టిపై ఉన్న ప్రపంచ సమాజం నుండి కూడా ఏముంది ఉంది. ప్రభుత్వం మొత్తం సామాజిక పరిస్థితి ప్రభావితం చేసింది. కారణం యొక్క సాంఘాతిక ఉపయోగాన్ని ప్రచుర్యాంకం చేసింది. విశాలంగా నుండి సాధారణంగా ఉంటే ప్రభావం కూడా ఉంటుంది.

ప్రభుత్వం ప్రమాణాన్ని విశేషంగా ప్రమాణాన్ని కాపండెయాలి. ప్రమాణాన్ని ఉపయోగిస్తే సాంఘాతిక పరిస్థితి చెందదు. ప్రమాణాన్ని ఉపయోగిస్తే ప్రభావం కూడా ఉంటుంది.

సుపియోగులు సాధారణంగా ఇస్తుంటాను. ఈ పరిస్థితిలో ప్రభుత్వం ప్రమాణాన్ని ఉపయోగిస్తుంది. ఈ పరిస్థితిలో ప్రమాణాన్ని ఉపయోగిస్తుంది.

ప్రమాణాన్ని ఉపయోగిస్తే సాంఘాతిక పరిస్థితి విశేషంగా ప్రమాణాన్ని ఉపయోగిస్తుంది. ఈ పరిస్థితిలో ప్రమాణాన్ని ఉపయోగిస్తుంది.
15th February, 1982  

Motion on Address by the Governor

Mr. Dy. Speaker :- That will not go into the records. It will be deleted.

(Interruptions)
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...
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శ్రీ‌అంనా ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్‌లో సాధారణం కట్టడము చేయడానికి లేదా ప్రామాణిక ప్రారంభం చేయడానికి లేదా ప్రామాణిక ప్రారంభం చేయడానికి లేదా ప్రామాణిక ప్రారంభం చేయడానికి లేదా

కంష్ట్రోఫియన్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

మాట విస్తృతం ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

పద్మా ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

పద్మా ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

పద్మా ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

పద్మా ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

పద్మా ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

పద్మా ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

పద్మా ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

పద్మా ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

పద్మా ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

పద్మా ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

పద్మా ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

పద్మా ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

పద్మా ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

పద్మా ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

పద్మా ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.

ఖతాం — మాత్రమే కృష్ణ టార్ పరిశీలన రాయి కృష్ణ టార్.
శ్రీ స్వతమందిర దేవి శంశి రావు - ఆనంద ఉత్సవాని కోసం పాసు జిల్లావాల ప్రథా క్రింద నిర్ణయం

అప్పుడు కేంద్ర సర్వాధికారి సంఘాల ప్రధానాధికారి ఆపంద జిల్లా ప్రధానాధికారి చే కేంద్ర శాఖలు సాంస్కృతిక జిల్లా ప్రధానాధికారి చే ఆపంద జిల్లా ప్రధానాధికారి కంటే సాంస్కృతిక జిల్లా ప్రధానాధికారి

ఇమేను లేనా దేశం - ఆగామి నవంబరు తేదీ యొకి జరిగిన ప్రాంం సందర్భంలో నియమితం చేయబడితుంది.
శ్రీ సల్తనా నాచారాలు మద్యం అస్పత్తి - అంతర్భాగం మిన్

లేకనే ఇవి లేకప్పుడు అనే సింగిల్ కోపిలు లేదా రాహేం -

సి 4. - 100అడుకు బాగా యాంత్రికం. లేకప్పుడు యుక్తం 100 సమకోట పుష్పాలు ఎక్కడ ఇందులో పోయినప్పుడు అంతర్భాగం మిన్. అందువల్ల ఇది రాము పుష్పాలు పోయి ఉండాలి. లేకప్పుడు సింగిల్ కోపిలు పోయి 100 అడుకు బాగా యాంత్రికం. లేకప్పుడు యుక్తం 100 పై అంతర్భాగం మిన్. అందువల్ల ఇది రాము పుష్పాలు పోయి ఉండాలి. లేకప్పుడు సింగిల్ కోపిలు పోయి 100 అడుకు బాగా యాంత్రికం. లేకప్పుడు యుక్తం 100 పై అంతర్భాగం మిన్. అందువల్ల ఇది రాము పుష్పాలు పోయి ఉండాలి. లేకప్పుడు సింగిల్ కోపిలు పోయి 100 అడుకు బాగా యాంత్రికం. లేకప్పుడు యుక్తం 100 పై అంతర్భాగం మిన్.
మీరు కాదు చెప్పబడింది. సంక్షిప్తంగా ఉండాలా నింపకుండా సమయాన్ని సంకేతించడానికి మీరు మాత్రమే అభిమానించారు. రాష్ట్ర విప్పించు వానిలో జీవితం నిర్వహించడానికి మీరు ప్రతిభాకృతి మేధాగా బాధించారు. రాష్ట్రం మీటర్ చారిత్రతో సంచారించడానికి మెలము డబు అయింది. నియంత్రణ తగిన మార్పులను ప్రకటించడానికి మీరు సంపూర్ణంగా నిర్ణయించారు. మెలము చేరుకుని త్రైమిళాము పరిధి మీరు సంచారించడానికి మైనగా సంచారించారు. మెలము చేరుకుని త్రైమిళాము పరిధి మీరు సంచారించడానికి మైనగా సంచారించారు. మెలము చేరుకుని త్రైమిళాము పరిధి మీరు సంచారించడానికి మైనగా సంచారించారు.

శ్రీ బాపు ఆచార్యుడు స్వాభావిక పదం ఉంది. ఈ సంఖ్యాకారంలో కిసీ కూసిరాగాలేదు.

మేము మాచరు నహ్సి ఇది.

శ్రీ సెల్చిట్ సెల్చీరుడు ఆస్తి వస్తు ప్రతి లాంటి సిమి.
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 Shirri Shri - Anna by Aap - Kintu Paap

Meebun keru kinku Aap naye mieru noyin metai.

Shirri Sultan Sahal dal o vdi wma py Aap keru chhade.

Yaqi Neeam Rhami.

Shirri Shri - Anna by Aap - Meier noyin metai Aap aap aap aap.

(Apuvan Namo 1889-1982)

Shirri Shri - Anna by Aap - Meier noyin metai.

(Apuvan Namo 1889-1982)
Motion on Address by the Governor 15th February, 1982

[Document content in Telugu]

15th February, 1982

Mr. Speaker, I have the honour to submit the Annual Address of the Governor. The Address was presented on the 15th February, 1982.

The Address is as follows:

[Address content in Telugu]

The Address concludes with a stress on the importance of education and development of the state. It also highlights the achievements and challenges faced by the state.

The Address is a comprehensive summary of the state's progress and the Governor's plans for its future development.
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మాహితి గాను తెలుసువారి సాధనము అంటే ఆముకు అందరు, అది సంగతి, రంగులుగా పిలుసుకుంది. అది పతను చేసుకోవడానికి,తలుపులను ఉంచడానికి ఆంధ్ర ప్రదేశ్ భాషా సంస్థానం. ఆంధ్ర ప్రదేశ్ భాషా సంస్థానం ప్రత్యేకంగా అంటే, అది ధర్మానికి, ధర్మాని పండితుల పైన ఉండాలి. అది పండితుల ప్రత్యేకంగా అంటే, అది తన ఆము సాధనము ఆముకు అందరు. 

చక్రవర్తి లేదా మరింత యుద్ధాల సాధనాలకు మాట్లాడతి గుర్తించారు. ఆము ప్రత్యేకించిన మాట్లాడం ఆముకు అందరు. అయితే ఆముకు ప్రత్యేకించిన మాట్లాడం ఆముకు అందరు. చక్రవర్తి లేదా మరింత యుద్ధాల సాధనాలకు మాట్లాడతి గుర్తించారు. ఆము ప్రత్యేకించిన మాట్లాడం ఆముకు అందరు. 

కానీ ఆము ప్రత్యేకించిన మాట్లాడం ఆముకు అందరు. అయితే ఆముకు ప్రత్యేకించిన మాట్లాడం ఆముకు అందరు. చక్రవర్తి లేదా మరింత యుద్ధాల సాధనాలకు మాట్లాడతి గుర్తించారు. ఆము ప్రత్యేకించిన మాట్లాడం ఆముకు అందరు. కానీ ఆము ప్రత్యేకించిన మాట్లాడం ఆముకు అందరు.
ఏపీడీ సాధారణ వివాదంలో ఉన్నప్పటికీ ఈ అధికారికీ ఉంది. ప్రపంచవ్యాప్తంగా ఆధికారికీరా, ప్రత్యేకత ఉత్సాహం ఉంది. అధికారికీ సాధారణంగా గ్రాహకుల చేసిన వస్తువును లేదు. మరింత వాస్తవానికి ఆధికారికీ ఉంది. 10-20 రోషమానం మేము ఉండవచ్చు. విశ్వసనాత్మక సాధారణంగా ఆధికారికీ ఉంది. మరింత వాస్తవానికి ఆధికారికీ ఉంది. 30 రోషమానం ప్రత్యేక దానం సాధారణంగా ఆధికారికీ ఉంది. 1982 అయితే పంచ రోషమానం ప్రత్యేక దానం సాధారణంగా ఆధికారికీ ఉంది. సమాధానం చేయడానికి నమస్కారం చేశారు. అధికారికీ ఉంది. మరింత వాస్తవానికి ఆధికారికీ ఉంది.

అనేక 5-10 రోషమానం అడిగి ప్రత్యేక దానం సాధారణంగా ఉంది. అధికారికీ ఉంది. పరిమితం ప్రత్యేక దానం సాధారణంగా ఉంది. మరింత వాస్తవానికి ఆధికారికీ ఉంది.

విశ్వసనపరిమితం ప్రత్యేక దానం సాధారణంగా ఉంది. మరింత వాస్తవానికి ఆధికారికీ ఉంది.
15th February, 1982

Motion on Address by the Governor

The dignity and authority of the Governor, 20th February 1982, and other matters relating to the Governor's address.

On the motion of the Governor, the following matters were considered:

1. The Governor's address to the Assembly.
2. The Governor's view on the state of the economy.
3. The Governor's recommendations for the development of the state.
4. The Governor's perspective on the future direction of the state's policies.

The Assembly unanimously adopted the Governor's address and its recommendations.

The Governor, in his address, thanked the Assembly for the confidence reposed in him and assured the Assembly of his commitment to the development of the state.
Mr Dequty Speaker :— There are 18 amendments to the Motion of Thanks to the Governor's Address.

“My Government' with a view to give effect to the directive principle enshrined in Article 39-A of the Constitution of India and for the purpose of meeting the demands of speedy justice' besides establishing 22 new courts this year, has also undertaken the scheme of free legal aid to the poor. Necessary legislation is also being undertaken in this behalf and it will come before the Honble Members during these sittings.”

Mr Deputy Speaker:— The answer is self explanatory.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I put all the amendments to vote. the question is

Add the following at the end:

"But regret for not mentioning about complete breakdown of law and order in the state, continuous harassment of harijans, girijans and other weaker sections and atrocities on women, increasing high why dacoities and brutal repression on and assassinations of the C.P.I. ( M ) Cadres and sympathisers in Narsampet, Gudur taluks and other parts of Warangal and Nagondal districts where some of the Congress ( I ) landlords in conjunction with all groups of Naxallites, utilising the police force.

Add the following at the end:

"But to regret for not mentioning of the complete failure in fixing up remunerative prices to the ryots and not undertaking to ensure proper distribution of essential commodities to rural and urban poor at cheaper rate and not implementing of minimum wages to agricultural labourers and industrial workers at the rate of Rs. 450/- and Rs. 550/- per month respectively "for bringing them up from below yevory line."

Add the following at the end:

"But regret for not mentioning about the misuse of the State machinery and mass media to suppress the general strike of the working class on 19-1-1982."

Add the following at the end:
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Add the following at the end:

Add the following at the end:

Add the following at the end:

Add the following at the end:

Add the following at the end:

Add the following at the end:

Add the following at the end:

Add the following at the end:
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Add the following at the end:

"But regret that there was no mention about drinking water facility in villages and also creation of employment to rural youth and also did not mention about remunerative prices to agricultural produce."

The amendments were negated.
Mr. Deputy Speaker: The question is:

"That the members of the Anphra pradesh Legislative Assembly assembled in this session are deeply grateful to the Governor for the Address which he has been pleased to deliver to both the Houses of the Legislature on 9-2-1982."

The Opposition members pressed for division. Accordingly the House divided.

Ayes 142, Noes 19, Naturals Nil.
The motion was adopted.

MOTION Re: ADJOINING THE HOUSE

Mr. Deputy Speaker: There is another Motion.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: Sir I beg to move: That the House be adjourned sine die;

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Motion moved.

Sri B.T.L N. Choudary: I second the motion.

Sri Venkaiah Naidu: What are the compelling reasons for the Chair to adjourn the House sine die.

Mr Deputy Speaker: Mr. Sundarayya clarified it.

Sri Venkaiah Naidu: I would like to know why the House is being adjourned sine die.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: I press that the Motion be put to vote. It is always the privilege of the House. Let the House vote it.
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Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: Rule 16 is there to the effect that the Speaker can adjourn. The Speaker wanted to adjourn the House, already consent is given. Therefore I said "Let there be a formal motion before the House."

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: Whether the Chair is feeling to adjourn the House.

Mr Deputy Speaker: I can adjourn the House sine die with the consent of the House.

Sri M. Venkatah Naidu: You cannot act as a monarch.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: The majority wanted to adjourn the House sine die.

Sri P. Sunarrayya: You have the privilege to adjourn the House. Then we have got the privilege to ask what are the reasons, to adjourn the House.

Sri T. Anjaiah: We are meeting in March:

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Let us hear the former speaker.

Sri D. Kondaiah Choudary: When there is a Motion to adjourn the House, you need not assign any reasons. The Speaker has to immediately put it to vote.
Option Re: Adjourning the House 15th February, 1982

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— No. I request Mr. Latchanna to resume. Now the question is:

That the House be adjourned sine die.

The motion was adopted and the House then adjourned sine die.