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ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

LORRY ACCIDENT AT PALLAPATLA GUNTUR DISTRICT

11—

*8963—Q— Sarvasri S. Chandramouli (Chirala), M. Omkar (Narasampet) and Poola Subbaiah (Markapur) :— Will the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state :

(a) Whether 8 people died in a lorry accident on 6-11-1981 at Pallapatla (Kuchinapudi Assembly Constituency) Guntur District.

(b) Whether ex-gratia amount was paid to the dependents of the deceased; and

(c) If not, the reasons therefor?

DEPUTY CHIEF MINISTER (SRI C. JAGANNADHA RAO):—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) In all cases of accidents involving Motor vehicles compensation for death or other fatalities is payable by the owners of the vehicles under the provisions of Motor vehicles Act and there is no need of Payment of Ex-gratia or compensation by the Government under the Act or otherwise. No compensation was therefore paid to the dependents of the deceased. Sub-Collector, Tenali and Assistant Transport Commissioner, Guntur are pursuing action to pay compensation to the families of the deceased by the lorry owner.

*An astersk before the name indicates confirmation by the Member.
18th March, 1982.

Oral Answers to Questions.

(i) ग्रामीण जनसंख्या :- अब तक इस जनसंख्या की अनुमानित वृद्धि 100. अभी तक इसका प्रमाण नहीं है। सार्वजनिक भवनों की सूची तैयार है। इस नकल पत्र का पत्र तैयार है 100. अंकों को ही लिखना है।

(ii) खेती जनसंख्या, (तीसरे विभाग) :- इसी प्रकार जनसंख्या के अनुसार इस चिह्नित वृद्धि के कारण माना जा सकता है। इसमें भी इसी प्रकार संस्थान बनाए जाएंगे। सार्वजनिक भवनों की सूची तैयार है। इस नकल पत्र का पत्र तैयार है 100. अंकों को ही लिखना है।

(iii) ग्रामीण जनसंख्या, (चतुर्थोत्तर विभाग) :- इसी प्रकार जनसंख्या के अनुसार इस चिह्नित वृद्धि के कारण माना जा सकता है। इसमें भी इसी प्रकार संस्थान बनाए जाएंगे। सार्वजनिक भवनों की सूची तैयार है। इस नकल पत्र का पत्र तैयार है 100. अंकों को ही लिखना है।
GRANT OF TWO ROAD PERMITS FROM CHITTOOR TO VAYALPADU TO PRIVATE OPERATORS

(a) whether it is a fact that two road permits from Chittoor to Vayalpadu (via) Madanapalli are granted to private operators by R. T. A., Chittoor on the monopolised sector of Road Transport Corporation;

(b) if so, whether the employees union, Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation made a representation in this regard; and

(c) to what relief?

A—

SRI C. JAGANNADHA RAO :-

(a) No, Sir. The State Transport Authority however, granted two additional singles on the existing inter-State route to the existing inter-State operators, treating it as variation of the condition of the permit.

(b) & (c) Yes, Sir. The Employees' Union alleged that the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation was not invited to the timings conference held on 18-4-'81 at Chittoor to consider the applications of the Private Operators for additional singles. The allegation is baseless since a representative of the Depot Manager, A. P. S. R. T. C., attended the timings conference and also signed the minutes recorded by the Secretary, State Transport Authority.
SRI C. JAGANNADHA RAO :- If the Hon. Members still insist even after my assurance, I will insist on the Rules. In this House Urdu is permitted and English is permitted. I will answer in English if the Hon. Members insist. I am not going to answer in any other language. If necessary, I will answer in Urdu also.

SRI C. JAGANNADHA RAO : I promised that I will try.
Oral Answers to Questions. 18th March, 1982.

Q. 8. Will the Government ensure that the electricity rates are not increased, 7 ec. 8th March, 1982, be maintained?

The Chief Minister replied:

I am informed that the Electricity Department is fully alive to the necessity of maintaining the present rates of electricity charges in order to avoid any unnecessary hardships to the public. A number of measures have been taken by the Electricity Department to make the supply of electricity more efficient and to reduce the burden on the consumer. The Government is committed to ensuring that the rates are not increased in any way which may create undue hardship to the public. The Electricity Department will continue to act in accordance with the Government's policies and initiatives to ensure that the rates are maintained at reasonable levels.

Q. 9. Will the Government provide adequate irrigation facilities to the cotton farmers in the district?

The Chief Minister replied:

The Government is fully aware of the need for adequate irrigation facilities for the cotton farmers in the district. Several measures have been taken to improve the irrigation infrastructure in the district. The Water Supply and Irrigation Department has already initiated several projects to increase the water supply and to provide better irrigation facilities to the farmers. The Government will continue to work towards providing adequate irrigation facilities to the cotton farmers in the district.

Q. 10. Will the Government take steps to ensure the safety of the public during the monsoon season?

The Chief Minister replied:

Ensuring the safety of the public during the monsoon season is a top priority for the Government. The Natural Disaster Management Authority has already initiated several measures to ensure the safety of the public. The Government will continue to work towards ensuring the safety of the public during the monsoon season through effective planning and implementation of disaster management strategies.

Q. 11. Will the Government provide adequate medical facilities to the rural areas?

The Chief Minister replied:

The Government is committed to providing adequate medical facilities to the rural areas. Several measures have been taken to improve the medical infrastructure in the rural areas. The Health Department has already initiated several projects to increase the number of medical facilities in the rural areas. The Government will continue to work towards providing adequate medical facilities to the rural areas.
18th March, 1982.

Oral Answers to Questions.

స్వ. లక్షణానాధికారులు: ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ జంతువులు పరిస్థితిలోని సంభవాలను ఉంచడానికి సంచాలించండి.

స్వ. ప్రభుత్వం: ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ జంతువులు పరిస్థితిలోని సంభవాలను ఉంచడానికి సంచాలించండి.

స్వ. సాంస్కృతికానాధికారులు: ప్రభుత్వం అందించిన సంభవాలను ఉంచడానికి సంచాలించండి.

స్వ. సంస్కృతికానాధికారులు: ప్రభుత్వం అందించిన సంభవాలను ఉంచడానికి సంచాలించండి.

స్వ. సంస్కృతికానాధికారులు: ప్రభుత్వం అందించిన సంభవాలను ఉంచడానికి సంచాలించండి.

స్వ. సంస్కృతికానాధికారులు: ప్రభుత్వం అందించిన సంభవాలను ఉంచడానికి సంచాలించండి.

8-50 a.m.

స్వ. ఉపసాధారణా: అందించిన సంభవాలను ఉంచడానికి సంచాలించండి.

స్వ. ఉపసాధారణా: అందించిన సంభవాలను ఉంచడానికి సంచాలించండి.

స్వ. ఉపసాధారణా: అందించిన సంభవాలను ఉంచడానికి సంచాలించండి.

స్వ. ఉపసాధారణా: అందించిన సంభవాలను ఉంచడానికి సంచాలించండి.

(సమాధానానికి సంఖ్య 13-)

SUPERCEDING OF MAHABOBNAGAR DISTRICT EMPLOYEES CONSUMERS COOP. STORES LTD.

8956—Q— Sarvasari L. Anjaneyulu (Mahabub Nagar), B. Rama Rao (Jaggayyapet) and J. Venkateswara Rao (Penugonda):— Will the Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state :
(a) Whether it is a fact that the Mahboobnagar District Employees Consumers Cooperative stores Limited Mahaboobnagar was superceded and a special officer was appointed;

(b) if so, what are the reasons for superceding the said stores;

(c) What is the present stage of enquiry and what action has been taken against the persons proved guilty; and

(d) if no action has been taken so far, the reasons therefor?

18th March, 1982.

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Mahboobnagar District Employees Consumers Cooperative stores Limited Mahaboobnagar was superceded and a special officer was appointed;

(b) if so, what are the reasons for superceding the said stores;

(c) What is the present stage of enquiry and what action has been taken against the persons proved guilty; and

(d) if no action has been taken so far, the reasons therefor?
SRI N. AMARANATH REDDY: The prosecution was sanctioned against Sri K. Veerappa on 26-11-1981 and the complaint was filed with the police by the Divisional Co-operative Officer on 2-12-1981. Still the police are keeping the case. They have not filed in the Court. That is the position.
MISAPPROPRIATION OF TEMPLE FUNDS BY E. O. OF BUGULUR VENKATESWARA SWAMY TEMPLE. CHILUPPOORU WARANGAL DISTRICT.

14—

8036-(O)– Q.— Sri M. Yerraiah Reddy (Bhadrachalam). Smt. Mallu Swarajyam (Tungaturty) and Smt. G. Dhanasuryavathi (Nidumolu):— Will the Minister for Endowments be pleased to state.

(a) whether the Government in the month of February, 1981 received representations from the people of Chilupooru of Ghanapuram tq. Warangal district to the effect that the Executive Officer of Bugulur Venkateswara Swami temple at Chilupur has been indulging in misappropriating the temple funds and looting the pilgrims; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

The/Executive officer in the subject institution was working from 21-9-1978. The following are the allegations against the Executive Officer:

1. That he reduced the quantity of 'Nivedana Samagri' which was in force for the last ten years.

2. That he is purchasing provisions at Hanamkonda.

3. He is purchasing only 30 kgs...
18th March, 1982.

Oral Answers to Questions.

MISAPPROPRIATION OF DEVASTHANAM FUNDS BY EX-CHAIRMAN OF SREE LAKSHMINARASIMHA SWAMY DEVASTHANAM IN SIKANDRAPUR.

15—

*8043-(H). Q.— Sarvasri Ch. Vittal Reddy (Narasapur) and Poota Subbaiah :— Will the Minister for Endowments be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Ex-Chairman of Sree Lakshmi Narasimha Swamy Devasthanam, in Sikandrapur, sivampeta Firka of Narsapur Taluk, Medak District, has misappropriated Devasthanam funds to the tune of Rs. 18,000;

(b) if so, the steps taken to recover the money;

(c) the reasons for the negligence in recovering the misappropriated amount; and

(d) whether immediate steps will be taken to launch a criminal case and to recover the money?
Oral Answers to Questions. 18th March, 1982.

1. (a) 18. మహానీటి: అనుసంధానం ఇద్దరు సమయం 18 సంవత్సరాలు కొనసాగించాయంతో తప్పించారు. ఇక్కడి సంఖ్యాల జాబితా సంస్కృతం ప్రాంతంలో పరిస్థితి తో సంబంధం ఉంది. 18 సంవత్సరాలపై కొనసాగించిన పరిస్థితి సంఖ్యలు ఇక్కడ సంస్కృతంలో పరిస్థితి సంఖ్యలు ప్రాంతానికి సంబంధం ఉంది. 

(b) 18. సాయం: అనుసంధానం ఇద్దరు సమయం 18 సంవత్సరాల కొనసాగించాయంతో తప్పించారు. మేన్ సంఖ్యలు సంపాదించారు. అంగా దిగుమతిలో తప్పించారు. 18 సంవత్సరాలపై కొనసాగించిన పరిస్థితి సంఖ్యలు ఇక్కడ సంస్కృతంలో పరిస్థితి సంఖ్యలు ప్రాంతానికి సంబంధం ఉంది. 

2. (a) 18. మహానీటి: అనుసంధానం ఇద్దరు సమయం 18 సంవత్సరాలు కొనసాగించాయంతో తప్పించారు. ఇక్కడి సంఖ్యాల జాబితా సంస్కృతం ప్రాంతంలో పరిస్థితి తో సంబంధం ఉంది. 18 సంవత్సరాలపై కొనసాగించిన పరిస్థితి సంఖ్యలు ఇక్కడ సంస్కృతంలో పరిస్థితి సంఖ్యలు ప్రాంతానికి సంబంధం ఉంది. 

(b) 18. సాయం: అనుసంధానం ఇద్దరు సమయం 18 సంవత్సరాల కొనసాగించాయంతో తప్పించారు. మేన్ సంఖ్యలు సంపాదించారు. అంగా దిగుమతిలో తప్పించారు. 18 సంవత్సరాలపై కొనసాగించిన పరిస్థితి సంఖ్యలు ఇక్కడ సంస్కృతంలో పరిస్థితి సంఖ్యలు ప్రాంతానికి సంబంధం ఉంది. 

3. (a) 18. మహానీటి: అనుసంధానం ఇద్దరు సమయం 18 సంవత్సరాలు కొనసాగించాయంతో తప్పించారు. ఇక్కడి సంఖ్యాల జాబితా సంస్కృతం ప్రాంతంలో పరిస్థితి తో సంబంధం ఉంది. 18 సంవత్సరాలపై కొనసాగించిన పరిస్థితి సంఖ్యలు ఇక్కడ సంస్కృతంలో పరిస్థితి సంఖ్యలు ప్రాంతానికి సంబంధం ఉంది. 

(b) 18. సాయం: అనుసంధానం ఇద్దరు సమయం 18 సంవత్సరాలు కొనసాగించాయంతో తప్పించారు. మేన్ సంఖ్యలు సంపాదించారు. అంగా దిగుమతిలో తప్పించారు. 18 సంవత్సరాలపై కొనసాగించిన పరిస్థితి సంఖ్యలు ఇక్కడ సంస్కృతంలో పరిస్థితి సంఖ్యలు ప్రాంతానికి సంబంధం ఉంది. 

(మొత్తం సంఖ్య.)
18th March, 1982.

Oral Answers to Questions.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I am postponing at the instance of the Minister.
Oral Answers to Questions. 18th March, 1982.

1. என்ன தோல்வி: அத்துறை, இன்று முதல் வருடம் வரை அடுத்து 1982 ஏற்றும் இடையில் நம்பின் வரை இந்த வகுப்புச் செயல்பாடுகள் படக்கு வாய்விட்டிருக்கும்.

2. என்ன தோல்வி: பெரும்பான்மையில் பல்வேகமாக நூற்றாண்டு நோக்கும். இதனை நம்பின் வரை இந்த வகுப்புச் செயல்பாடுகள் படக்கு வாய்விட்டிருக்கும். மேலும் இஸ்திரைகள் செய்யப்படும் நோக்கில் இது பல்வேகமாக நோக்கும். இதனை நம்பில் இந்த வகுப்புச் செயல்பாடுகள் படக்கு வாய்விட்டிருக்கும்.

3. என்ன தோல்வி: வெளியில் இருந்து ஒவ்வொரு நாளும் பல்வேகமாக நோக்கும். இதனை நம்பில் இந்த வகுப்புச் செயல்பாடுகள் படக்கு வாய்விட்டிருக்கும்.

4. என்ன தோல்வி: வெளியில் இருந்து ஒவ்வொரு நாளும் பல்வேகமாக நோக்கும். இதனை நம்பில் இந்த வகுப்புச் செயல்பாடுகள் படக்கு வாய்விட்டிருக்கும்.

5. என்ன தோல்வி: வெளியில் இருந்து ஒவ்வொரு நாளும் பல்வேகமாக நோக்கும். இதனை நம்பில் இந்த வகுப்புச் செயல்பாடுகள் படக்கு வாய்விட்டிருக்கும்.

6. என்ன தோல்வி: வெளியில் இருந்து ஒவ்வொரு நாளும் பல்வேகமாக நோக்கும். இதனை நம்பில் இந்த வகுப்புச் செயல்பாடுகள் படக்கு வாய்விட்டிருக்கும்.

7. என்ன தோல்வி: வெளியில் இருந்து ஒவ்வொரு நாளும் பல்வேகமாக நோக்கும். இதனை நம்பில் இந்த வகுப்புச் செயல்பாடுகள் படக்கு வாய்விட்டிருக்கும்.

8. என்ன தோல்வி: வெளியில் இருந்து ஒவ்வொரு நாளும் பல்வேகமாக நோக்கும். இதனை நம்பில் இந்த வகுப்புச் செயல்பாடுகள் படக்கு வாய்விட்டிருக்கும்.

9. என்ன தோல்வி: வெளியில் இருந்து ஒவ்வொரு நாளும் பல்வேகமாக நோக்கும். இதனை நம்பில் இந்த வகுப்புச் செயல்பாடுகள் படக்கு வாய்விட்டிருக்கும்.

10. என்ன தோல்வி: வெளியில் இருந்து ஒவ்வொரு நாளும் பல்வேகமாக நோக்கும். இதனை நம்பில் இந்த வகுப்புச் செயல்பாடுகள் படக்கு வாய்விட்டிருக்கும்.
MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: I will certainly take note of it and I told that such things should not recur in future.

SEPARATION OF PRIMARY SCHOOLS FROM HIGH SCHOOLS

17—

8161- Q.— Sri M. Jayaramulu (Wanaparthy) :- Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government have separated Primary Schools from High Schools; and

(b) whether the separated Primary Schools have been provided with buildings and teaching staff?

Sri S. JAIPAL REDDY: I think the Minister has been misinformed and misled.

The Minister for Education (Shri M. Jayaramulu) was correct in stating that the Government have not separated Primary Schools from High Schools. However, the Minister has been misled regarding the provision of buildings and teaching staff to the separated Primary Schools.

Sri S. JAIPAL REDDY: I think the Minister has been misinformed and misled.
Mr. Deputy Speaker: Shall I postpone this question?

Sri S. Alwardas: I have all the records signed by my officers. By authority I am telling.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- So, I am postponing this question to a later date.

Mr. Veera Reddy (Amarakarta) :- will the Minister for Social welfare be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the programme for acquisition of housesites to Harijans and B. C. S. of certain villages in Atmakur Taluq.

*8039 - (L)-Q. — Sri K. Veera Reddy (Amarchinta) :-
(11) Isrampalli (12) Jurala and other villages in Atmakur taluk, Mahaboobnagar district is proceeding at a snails pace;

(b) the reasons for the inordinate delay in disposal of cases; and

(c) whether the Government are aware of the dilatory tactics of the District Authorities to process the cases?

Q. 1. Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is the Minister for Handlooms and Textile Welfare pleased to state?

Q. 2. Mr. Deputy Speaker: By Order No. 16, the training institute of starting of training institute for the handloom be pleased to state.

Q. 3. Mr. Deputy Speaker: The Minister for Handlooms and Textile Welfare be pleased to state.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Now the next question. No. 19 as the member is not present. the answer will be placed on the
(a) Whether the Government are going to start a training institute for hair-cutting and hair dressing; and

(b) if so, when and where it will be started?

A—

(a) No Sir

(b) Does not arise.

DIVERSION OF FUNDS BY STATE ACADEMIES TO INTERNATIONAL TELUGU INSTITUTE

20—

(L) — Q — Sarvāsri B. Rama Rao (Jaggaypet) and B. Sitaramaiah: — Will the Minister for Tourism and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that funds have been diverted by State Academies i.e., Telugu Academy, Sangeetha Nataka Academy etc. to International Telugu Institute;

(b) if so, the amounts diverted from each Academy;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the International Telugu Institute diverted some funds to a private organisation viz. Gandhikshetram, Avanigadda;

(d) if so, the amount diverted and the names of the Office Bearers of Gandhikshetram and their relationship to each other; and

(e) the reasons for the same?

Minister for cultural Affairs Sri L. Rama Krishna Raju: A statement is placed on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE

a) No, Sir. However, the Academies have sponsored delegates to the Second World Telugu Conference through the International Telugu Institute.

b) Does not arise.

c) Amounts received to the amount of “International Telugu Institute’s Chairman’s Rehabilitation Fund” contributed by the Pravasa-Andhras towards relief and rehabilitation of cyclone and tidal wave victims were paid to the Gandhikshetram, Avanigadda as per the resolution of the Executive Committee and approved by the Board of Governors of the International Telugu Institute.

d) The amount paid to Gandhikshetram was Rs. 1,56,954/-

Names of office bearers Gandhikshetram are:
Oral Answers to Questions. 18th March, 1982.

1. Sri M. V. Krishna Rao — Founder - President.
   Sri Jayasimhachari — President.
3. Sri Kasinadhuni Purna Mallikarjunudu — Vice-President.
4. Sri Kodati Narayana Rao — Member.
5. Smt. Vidyadevi Omprakash — Member.
6. Sri Lavanam — Member.
7. Sri Adapa Ramakrishna Rao — Member.
8. Sri Vemuri Radhakrishna Murty — Member.
9. Sri Kota Kesava Perumallu — Secretary.
10. Sri Annapareddy Satyanarayana — Member.
11. Sri Sanaka Raghavaiah — Member.
12. Sri Edupuganti Venkata Subba Rao — Member.
15. Sri Mandal Buddha Prasad — Member.

The Member at item No. 15 above is the son of Sri M. V. Krishna Rao, Founder-President. The relationship in respect of other Members is not known.

e) The amount was paid to the Gandhikshetram to construct a Shelter-cum-Museum. The Shelter-cum-Museum will have an exhibition on permanent basis to educate the general public of the rescue and safety measures to be taken when cyclone hits the area. This will also provide for a Planatorium.

f) 30. వాసం: గంధిక్షేత్రంలో నిర్మాణం చేయబడిన శేషరు-సముదాయ శాఖ ద్వారా వాసం లభించాదు. ఈ వాసం సామాజిక పరిస్థితులు మీదుగా క్రీడా మాధ్యమాల ద్వారా ప్రచారం చేయబడిన పరయుదుల ఉద్యోగాల కోసం నిర్మాణం చేయబడినది. ఈ సాధనాను వాసం నిర్మాణం కోసం కొనసాగించారు. ఈ సాధనాను వాసం నిర్మాణం కోసం కొనసాగించారు.

g) బ) సిద్ధాంతం: పరయుదు సాధనాలలో ఉపయోగించబడు పరయుదు సాధనాలు ఉపయోగించబడింది. ఈ పరయుదు సాధనాలు ఉపయోగించబడిన పరయుదు సాధనాలు ఉపయోగించబడింది. ఈ పరయుదు సాధనాలు ఉపయోగించబడిన పరయుదు సాధనాలు ఉపయోగించబడింది.
REVISED MINIMUM WAGES FOR BEEDI WORKERS

*8035 (H)Q— Sri S. Santosh Reddy: Will the Minister for Labour and Civil Supplies be pleased to State—

(a) Whether it is a fact that the minimum wages for Beedi workers have not been revised and enhanced since the last three years in the state ;
Oral Answers to Questions.

18th March 1982.

(b) If so, whether the Government are considering to enhance the same;

(c) If so, when it will be enhanced; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

(i) 6. D. Rangarajan:

(ii) 9.80.

(iii) In the Budget of 1981-1982, the Government has enhanced the electricity tariff by 60% of the Bills of 1980-1981.

(iv) The enhanced tariff of 1981-1982 is 1000 and Rs. 10,000.

(iii) 9. D. Rangarajan:

(i) The Government is considering the enhancement of electricity tariff.

(ii) The enhancement will be done when the Government decides.

(iii) If not, the reason will be that the Government has already increased the tariff by 60% in the Budget of 1981-1982.
18th March, 1982.

Orai Answers to Questions.

పిఐడి కేంద్ర అధీనంలో అధికారి. మంత్రిత్వ అధీనంలో బాధ్యం ఉంది. ఎందుకంటే ముఖ్య మంత్రి తరువాత అందా మంత్రిత్వ అధీనంలో నిశాచర్య చేస్తాడు. 

పి. విభాగం: యుద్ధం ద్వారా నిఖరించబడిన అనుమానాలు ఉన్నాయి. అనుమానాలు మంత్రిత్వ అధీనంలో ఉన్నాయి. మంత్రిత్వ అధీనంలో మేము తమ తప్పించాలి. విభాగం యొక్క పాలన రూపాలు మేము తమ తప్పించాలి. తమ యొక్క పాలన రూపాలు మేము తమ తప్పించాలి. 


Oral Answers to Questions
18th March, 1882.

1. The Hon. Mr. Speaker:
   In your speech today, you referred to the recent developments in the
   agricultural sector. The yield has increased significantly due to the
   implementation of new farming techniques. The government is
   committed to furthering these efforts to ensure food security.

2. The Hon. Mr. Member for the South:
   It is true that there has been an increase in the number of
   farmers who have adopted these new techniques. However, there is
   still a need for better infrastructure, such as irrigation systems,
   to support these farmers.

3. The Hon. Mr. Minister:
   Your department is working towards providing financial
   assistance to farmers to purchase these new farming equipment.
   We are also planning to conduct training sessions to educate
   farmers on the effective use of these techniques.

4. The Hon. Mr. Leader of the Opposition:
   While we applaud these efforts, it is important to note that
   sustainable farming practices also need to be emphasized. This
   includes the use of organic inputs and conserving water resources.

5. The Hon. Mr. Speaker:
   The government is committed to ensuring that our farmers are
   equipped with the knowledge and resources they need to
   effectively manage their farms. We will continue to work closely
   with the farmers' unions to address their concerns and improve
   their livelihoods.
WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

VIOLENCE AGAINST THE POOR RYOTS OF POTHARAM VILLAGE, NARSAPUR TALUK, MEDAK DISTRICT, BY THE LOCAL LANDLORDS

1—

8033-G Q.—Sri Ch. Vithal Reddy: Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri Ch. Vithal Reddy, M.L.A. wrote a letter on 2nd March, 1981 that the local landlords of Potharam village, Narsapur taluk, Medak district are resorting to violence against the poor ryots of the said village (subjecting them to monetary loss also) which resulted in the migration of the poor ryots from the said village and requested the Government to take immediate action:

(b) if so, the action taken thereon: and

(c) whether directions will be given immediately to send a higher official to take action and to prevent the violence?

A—

(a) No Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Does not arise.
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**BENAMI TRANSFERS OF LAND BY THE LANDLORDS OF MARIPEDA, WARANGAL DISTRICT**

2—

8024-P Q—Sarvasri M. Omkar M. Yerraiah Reddy, Smt. G. Dhanasuryavathi and Sri K. Satyanarayana: Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the landlords at Maripeda village in Warangal district have concealed about 1,000 (one thousand) acres of land by way of benami transfers with a view to escape the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Agricultural Land Ceiling Act: and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

A—

(a) No, Sir,

(b) Does not arise.

**RAPE OF A HARIJAN GIRL BY SOME GOONDAS IN IPPAPALLI VILLAGE, METPALLI TALUK**

3—

6789 Q—Sarvasri D. China Malliaiah and Ch. Vittal Reddy: Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a harijan girl aged sixteen years was abducted and raped by some goondas in Ippapalli village of Metpalli taluk on the midnight of 14th July, 1980:

(b) if so, who are they and whether any action taken against them: and

(c) if not, reasons therefore?

A—

(a) Yes, Sir,

(b) and (c): The accused (1) M. Narayana, son of Narsaiah, Goldsmith, (2) K. Narsa Reddy, son of Pedda Narsaiah, Kapu, (3) Kondapuram Nadipigangaram, son of Gangaram, Tenuga and (4) Sanigarapu, Ramulu, son of Narasaiah, Kapu are residents of Ippapalli village of Metpalli taluk. The four accused were arrested on 5th August, 1980 and remanded to judicial custody. The case has been charged on 25th August 1980 and is pending trial in the Sessions Court, Karimnagar.

**FORCIBLE UNBOARDING THE LEGISLATOR OF TEKkALLI BY THE STAFF OF MENAKA BUS**

4—

8902-L Q—Sri B. Narayanaswamy: Will the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(4)
(a) whether the Minister for Transport is in receipt of the report submitted by the Legislator of Tekkali against the staff of the Menaka Bus stating that the staff of Menaka Bus running from Srikakulam to Chepara in Tekkali taluk, Srikakulam district had forcibly unboarded the Legislator of Tekkali on 4th July 1981:

(b) if so, the action taken thereon by the Government:

(c) the reasons for the same?

A—

(a) In his letter, dated 24th July, 1981, Sri B. Narayanaswamy, M.L.A. Tekkali, complained that on 24th July, 1981 the M.L.A. and 8 others were waiting for Menaka Bus at 9-30 A.M. and the bus stopped at Ramapuram bus stop to allow the passengers to get down and that the conductor did not allow him and the eight persons to get into the bus.

(b) and (c): On receipt of the complaint, suitable instructions have been issued for taking severe action against the staff of the bus. The Regional Transport Officer, Srikakulam, has disqualified the conductor of the bus from holding Conductors' licence for a period of three weeks from 1st October 1981 to 21st October, 1981. The Regional Transport Officer, Srikakulam, has also been instructed to keep a watch and to take stringent action if need be. Sri B. Narayanaswamy, M.L.A. has also been informed of the action taken in the matter.

GRANT OF PATTAS TO THE LANDLESS POOR IN AYYAPARAJUGUDEM, W. G. DISTRICT.

5—

8843 Q.—Sri Poola Subbaiah: Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that an extent of Ac. 1,420 of waste land in Ayyaparajugudem, West Godavari district was assigned to the landless poor as per G.O. Ms. No. 119, Revenue, dated 7th February, 1968 with the financial assistance to reclaim the land:

(b) if so, whether pattas were granted to the landless poor who are in possession of the land:

(c) if not, the reasons therefore?

A—

(a) A scheme for reclamation of an extent of Ac. 1,420 in Ayyaparajugudem village of West Godavari district, with the financial assistance of Government of India was approved but no assignment was made.

(b) No, Sir.
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(c) It is reported that the scheme could not be implemented due to prolonged dispute about the membership of the Co-operative Joint Farming Society.

ASSIGNMENT OF BANJARA LAND TO “AYYAPARAJUGUDEM CO-OP. JOINT FARMING SOCIETY”.

6—

8826 Q.—Sarvasri Ch. Rajeswar Rao and D. Chinamallaiah:
Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a Co-operative Society by name “Ayyaparajugudem Co-operative Joint Farming Society” was registered and an area of 1,420 acres of banjara land was assigned to it for reclamation-cum-colonisation scheme in aid of landless agriculturists in the year 1967:

(b) whether it is also a fact that another Society consisting of the local harijans was formed for the same purpose and half of the original land was assigned in favour of the new society in the year 1973:

(c) whether it is also a fact that the Collector, West Godavari district has recommended to the State Government to take the remaining land from the first Co-operative Society and hand it over to the members of the second society, causing friction between the two societies:

(d) the steps taken by the Government to settle the problem in order to achieve the objects of the two co-operative societies?

A—

(a) It is a fact that a Society by name “Ayyaparajugudem Co-op. Joint Farming Society” was registered. A scheme for reclamation of a block measuring about 1,420 acres and resettlement of landless persons belonging to the Society was approved but no assignment was made.

(b) It is also a fact that the proposal of the Collector to form another Society by name Yesuprabhu Co-op. Joint Farming Society for the same purpose was approved by dividing the assets and liabilities of the former Society equally including the land available but no assignment was made.

(c) The Collector, West Godavari district submitted proposals for liquidation of the earlier society and to permit him to assign the entire land to eligible landless poor of both the societies.

(d) The proposal of the Collector, West Godavari district and also a representation filed by Ayyaparajugudem Co-operative Joint Farming Society are under examination of the Government in consultation with the Registrar of Co-operative Societies.
6510 Q—Sri M. Jayaramulu: Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

The reasons for keeping the repair work of the tanks, ponds, roads, bridges and school buildings half finished which were breached and collapsed due to cyclone occurred on 13th May, 1979.

A—

(1) Roads and Buildings Department:

As against 1,904 works damaged, the Roads and Buildings Department has completed 1,892 works leaving a balance of 12. The reasons for delay in execution of the works are reported to be due to scarcity of cement, labour trouble and also conducting examinations at frequent intervals in 3 buildings of the Junior College at Atmakur and also due to paucity of funds. The Chief Engineer (Buildings), has been instructed to complete the works by meeting the expenditure from the Plan/Non-Plan budget of the Roads and Buildings Department.

(2) Panchayat Raj Department:

The following are the works taken up and completed and the works still under execution by Panchayat Raj Department:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of works taken up</th>
<th>No. of works executed by May, 1981</th>
<th>No. of works still under execution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>2,019</td>
<td>1,534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>3,526</td>
<td>2,959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Irrigation</td>
<td>1,874</td>
<td>1,587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking water</td>
<td>1,007</td>
<td>746</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The delay in execution of the works is due to paucity of funds. The Chief Engineer has been instructed to complete the balance works by meeting the expenditure from the Departmental Budget.

(3) Irrigation and Power Department:

The Irrigation and Power Department has taken up 3,177 works and completed 3,022 works leaving a balance of 125. The reasons for the delay in execution of the works are due to paucity of funds and also due to change of design etc. The Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation has been instructed to complete all the works by meeting the expenditure from the Plan or Non-Plan budget of the Irrigation and Power Department.
CONVERSION OF LOAN AMOUNTS AS MEDIUM TERM LOANS
BY THE DIST. CO-OP. CENTRAL BANK OF NALGONDA
DUE TO FAMINE

8—

9046 Q — Sri N. Raghava Reddy: Will the Minister for
Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) the total amount of loan proposed for conversion by the
District Co-operative Central Bank of Nalgonda during the year
1981-82 on account of famine conditions:

(b) the amount of such loans which has been agreed for
conversion as Medium Term loans by the Reserve Bank:

(c) the reason for not agreeing the conversion in respect of
certain portion of the loan: and

(d) in view of the hardships being experienced by the ryots
for want of proper rains this year also i.e., 1981-82 and to see
that the ryots who are unable to pay the full amount are not bur­
dened with the payment, will the Government take steps to see
that the entire amount of loans proposed for 1980-81 is agreed
for conversion as Medium Term Loans?

A—

(a), (b) and (c) The Nalgonda Co-operative Central Bank
has not received proposals for conversion of Seasonal Agricultural
Operations loans as Medium Term loans. No such proposal was
sent to Reserve Bank of India.

(d) Appropriate action will however be taken for conversion
of loans if cases of failure of crops are reported.

LOSS BY THE SREE RAMA CO-OP. MARKETING SOCIETY,
TEKKALI, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT

9—

8902-H Q — Sarvasri B. Narayanaswamy, E. Subba Rao
and V. Sobhanadreswara Rao: Will the Minister for Co-opera­
tion be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Sree Rama Co-operative Mar­
keting Society, Tekkali, Tekkali taluk, Srikakulam district has
incurred huge loss:

(b) the specific reasons for the closure of the mill:

(c) the extent of loss incurred by the society:

(d) whether enquiry has been conducted under Rule 81 of
the complaint made to the Government: and

(e) if so, the reasons for not taking action against the
persons found guilty?
A—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) (1) Inefficient management.
     (2) Paucity of funds.
     (3) Lack of working capital.
     (4) The expenditure and the electrical charges were disproportional to the paddy milled or income earned by the society.

(c) Rs. 5,20,613.64 as on 30th June, 1980.

(d) and (e) The enquiry under section 51 of the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act is in progress.

COMPLAINTS MADE AGAINST THE SUPERVISOR OF A. D. CO-OP. BANK, MANTHANI

10—

8951 Q.—Sarvasri C. N. Reddy and D. Venkat Rao: Will the Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) in which year the branch of A. D. Co-op. Bank has been started at Manthani:

(b) since what date one Sri V. Satyanarayana Rao, Supervisor, had been working in the Bank at Manthani;

(c) are there any complaints made against him by the people and what is the stage of enquiry against him:

(d) has he been transferred from Manthani on administrative grounds, when were transfer orders issued; and

(e) has he complied with the orders, if not what is the action contemplated against him for disobedience?

A—

(a) The Agricultural Development Bank Manthani was started in the year, 1965.

(b) Sri V. Satyanarayana Rao, was appointed as Supervisor on 15th February, 1970 and he continued as such till he was transferred on 29th August, 1981.

(c) Complaints against him about demanding and receiving illegal gratification etc., have been received by the Managing Director, Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Central Agricultural Development Bank Limited, Hyderabad and an enquiry is being conducted.

In addition to this, charges were framed against the same person for misappropriation, frgery and claiming bogus mileage in the T. A. and they are also pending enquiry.
(d) As the individual had committed grave irregularities, he was transferred on 29th August, 1981.

(e) No, Sir.

The Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Central Agricultural Development Bank Limited, Hyderabad addressed the Agricultural Development Bank, Manthani to furnish certain particulars in order to enable it to forfeit the rights of appointment as a Supervisor and that the Managing Director, Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Central Agricultural Development Bank will take further action on receipt of particulars.

SUPERCEDING THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THUMMAPALA CO-OP. CREDIT SOCIETY LTD., VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT

8853 Q.—Sri K. Govinda Rao: Will the Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Thummapala Co-operative Sugar Factory Employees Credit Society Ltd. Thummapala, Anakapalli taluk, Visakhapatnam district is functioning properly;

(b) whether there are any allegations of malfunctioning and mis-appropriations against the Board of Directors of the Society:

(c) if so, whether the Board is superceded and a Special Officer is appointed to run the society; and

(d) if, not, the reason therefor?

A—

(a), (b), (c) and (d) An enquiry under Section 51 of the Andhra Pradesh Co-op Societies Act was ordered into the affairs of the Society. Enquiry Officer was appointed. The enquiry could not be completed as the records of the Society were burnt in a fire accident. The President of the Society and the Co-operative Central Bank have been instructed to arrange for the reconstruction of the burnt records. According to the report of District Co-operative Officer, Visakhapatnam, the question of superceding the Board of Directors is under consideration.

OCCUPATION OF LANDS OF “LORD CHANNAKESAVA SWAMY” TEMPLE AT MARKAPUR BY THE HOUSELESS AND OTHERS

6879 Q.—Sri Poolla Subbaiah: Will the Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that deity present under of Lord Channakesava Swamy at Markapur is in occupation by the houseless and others since 30 to 40 years.
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(b) whether it is also a fact that a Committee with D. R. O. as Chairman was appointed to assess the value per yard and grant the occupied site on pattas;

(c) whether the Committee has submitted its report;

(d) whether the pattas have been granted to the occupants and value collected; and

(e) if not, the reasons therefor?

A—

(a) It is a fact that the land to an extent of acres 14.83 cents in S. No. 320/A1 belonging to Sri Laxmichannakesava Swamy Temple, Markapur has been encroached upon by the people since a long time.

(b) It is a fact that a Committee has been constituted with D. R. O. as Chairman for fixing up the land value in S. No. 120/A1 occupied by the people. But, it is not a fact that the Committee will grant pattas in favour of occupants.

(c) The final report of the Committee has not been received.

(d) No Sir.

(e) The Committee fixed the rate at Rs. 10 per Sq. Yard. The encroachers refused to pay the cost of the land for road portion at Rs. 10 per Sq. Yard. To settle the matter amicably, the Asst. Commissioner of Endowments, Ongole, suggested that the land may be acquired by the Revenue Department for providing houses to the occupants as per the rate fixed by the Committee constituted. The matter has been referred to the Collector, Prakasam district as to whether they will acquire the land and settle the matter. It is under consideration of the Committee and Collector of Prakasam district.

DEATH OF SMT. LINGAMMA OF DEVARUPULA, WARANGAL DISTRICT DUE TO WRONG TUBECTOMY OPERATION

13—

8671 Q—Smt. Mallu Swarajyam, Smt. G. Dhana Suryavathi and Sri M. Omkar: Will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are in receipt of the letter, dated 31st January, 1981 from M. Omkar, M. L. A. addressed to the Minister for Medical and Health regarding the death of Smt. Lingamma, wife of Sri Mahamkali, native of Devarupula village, Janagam taluk, Warangal district due to gross negligence of Dr. P Krishna Reddy, Civil Assistant Surgeon who has conducted the Tubectomy operation on 23rd January, 1981 in the Government Hospital Janagam;

(b) if so, the action taken thereon;
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(c) whether ex-gratia payment to the family members of the poor deceased was sanctioned; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

A—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Additional District Medical and Health Officer, Warangal has conducted an enquiry and submitted the enquiry report. The report of Superintendent, Osmania General Hospital, Hyderabad, where Smt. T. Lingamma, expired on 26th January, 1981 and also the enquiry report of Additional District Medical and Health Officer, Warangal revealed that Smt. T. Lingamma died due to shock as a result of peritonitis and that there is no negligence on the part of the Medical Officer operated on her.

(c) Ex-gratia amount of Rs. 5,000 was paid on 4th April, 1981 to the next kin of the deceased.

(d) Does not arise.

IMPRESSING THE ROAD FROM RIVER MANAIR BRIDGE TO TADICHERLA VILLAGE IN MANTHANI CONSTITUENCY

8518 Q—Sarvasri C. N. Reddy and P. Rajjam : Will the Minister for Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) when was the Zilla Parishad road from River Manair bridge to Tadicherla village in Manthani Constituency transferred to Roads and Buildings Department:

(b) whether any action has been taken to improve it:

(c) whether there is any scheme to improve this road and make it easy for plying R. T. C. buses; and

(d) when it is likely to be ready in all respects as the village Tadicherla and its surrounding villages have no access to outside world?

A—

(a) The road was transferred to the control of R & B Department in April, 1976.

(b) No improvements done but only ordinary maintenance has been done.

(c) There is no scheme to improve the road at present due to paucity of plan allocation. It will be considered when plan allocation improves.

(d) This will be programmed after the work of improvements is sanctioned.
ARTIFICIAL INSEMINATION CENTRE FOR CATTLE IN WANAPARTHY TALUK

15—

8736 Q—Sri. M. Jayramulu: Will the Minister for Animal Husbandry be pleased to state:

(a) when the Artificial Insemination Centre for Cattle was opened in Wanaparthy taluk;

(b) the number of sub-centres opened; and

(c) the village-wise number of the Jersey Cows and Murrah buffaloes produced through those centres by artificial insemination?

A—

(a) During 1974 to 1978, 5 Livestock Supervisory Units i.e., (Artificial Insemination Centres) were opened in Wanaparthy taluk at:


(b) The number of Rural Livestock units (Artificial Insemination Centres) opened during 1976-1979 in Wanaparthy taluk is 12.

(c) A statement containing village-wise number of Jersey Cows and Murrah buffaloes produced through the above Centres, by Artificial Insemination is given below:

STATEMENT SHOWING THE CALVES BORN UP TO MARCH, 1981 IN WANAPARTHY TALUK MAHABUBNAGAR DISTRICT ANDHRA PRADESH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Village</th>
<th>Jersey Cows</th>
<th>Murrah Buffaloes</th>
<th>Total Calves born</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. S. Us. (with A. I. Work)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Wanaparthy ..
2. Pebbair ..
3. Kothakota ..
4. Kandur ..
5. Khilaghanpur ..

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>..</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PRODUCTION OF COW GHEE BY THE A. P. DAIRY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

16—

8082 Q.—Sarvasri K. Satyanarayana, M. Omkar and N. Raghava Reddy: Will the Minister for Animal Husbandry be pleased to state:

(a) whether the cow ghee is produced by the Andhra Pradesh Dairy Development Corporation:

(b) if so, the quantity of Cowghee manufactured in the year 1979-80 and 1980-81; and

(c) the sale price of cow ghee per Kilogram and the amount realised in the years mentioned above?

A—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) During 1979-80, no Cow Ghee was manufactured. During the year 1980-81 a quantity of 402.6 tonnes of Cow Ghee was manufactured.

(c) The sale price of Cow ghee per Kilogram ranged from Rs. 17.25 to Rs. 19.15. An amount of Rs. 73,39,092 was released through sale of Cow ghee.
Written Answers to Questions.

(a) whether the Employees Family Pension Scheme is in force in our State;

(b) whether the Anakapalli Co-op. Sugars, Thummapalle, Visakhapatnam is covered by the Scheme;

(c) if so, the number of families so far benefited by the Scheme; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

A—

(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) Yes.
(c) Around 600 persons are covered by the Employees Family Pension Scheme in the above Establishment.

Two families have been granted Family Pension in this Factory.

(d) Does not arise.

IRRIGATION SCHEMES IN CERTAIN AREAS OF SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT

18—

8945 Q.—Sri B. Narayanaswamy: Will the Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether the irrigation schemes at Jantivuru of Pathapatnam taluk, Chinakedari Reservoir Scheme, Jayapuram Scheme and Bhimpuram Gedda Anicuts of Tekkali taluk have been investigated and estimates prepared; and

(b) if so, whether the Government are contemplating to implement above schemes with a view to provide irrigation facilities to those backward areas?

A—

(a) Preliminary investigation was taken up and preliminary reports were prepared for all the above four schemes.

(b) Two schemes viz., Chinakedari Reservoir Scheme and Bhimpuram Gedda Anicut Scheme, out of the above four schemes, were already dropped, owing to the deficit yield in their sub-basin and adverse effect on Lower Riparian Rights. The remaining two schemes viz., Jantivuru and Jayapuram are under scrutiny.
INVESTIGATION OF 'KOTAKATTA RESERVOIR' OF YERRAGONDAPELEM TALUK, PRAKASAM DISTRICT

(a) Whether it is fact that 'Kotakatta Reservoir' across Railavagu above Ganji Vari Palli, village Yerragondapalem taluk, Prakasam district is being investigated since a decade; and

(b) If so, what is the result?

A—
(a) The investigation of the Scheme has since been completed.

(b) After completing the investigation, proposals have been formulated and estimate prepared. The estimate and plans are now pending with the Executive Engineer, Special Division, Markapur for attending to certain technical remarks communicated by Superintending Engineer, Irrign. Circle, Guntur after scrutiny at circle level. The Chief Engineer has asked the Superintending Engineer to expedite the estimate for administrative sanction.

DISCONTINUANCE OF RURAL PROTECTED WATER SUPPLY SCHEME AT RAVULAPENTA, NALGONDA DISTRICT

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Rural Protected Water Supply Scheme at Ravulapenta village, Nalgonda district was discontinued after completion of a part of the work ten years back?

(b) If so, the reasons therefor; and

(c) Whether the said scheme will be taken up at least now and if so, when it will be completed?

A—
(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Does not arise.
18th March 1982.

MESSAGE FROM THE CHAIRMAN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
re: setting up of a Joint Select Committee to consider the A.P. Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1982.

9:30 a.m.

MR. DY. SPEAKER :- I am to announce the message received from the Chairman, Legislative Council :- "In accordance with Rule 245 (2) of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council, I transmit a copy of the Motion passed on the 17th March 1982 by the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council desiring to obtain the concurrence of the Legislative Assembly in setting up a Joint Select Committee of the two Houses to consider the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1982 (L.C. Bill No: 1 of 1982)

MOTION

"That the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1982 be referred to a Joint Select Committee consisting of 20 members : 5 members from the Council namely :-

2. Sri T. Panchajanyam.
4. Sri Jupudi Yegnanarayana.
5. Sri K.L Mahendra.

and 15 members from the Assembly, that this Council recommends to the Assembly that Assembly do join in the said Joint Select Committee and communicate to the Council the names of the Members to be appointed by the Assembly to the said Joint Select Committee.

Yours faithfully.

Sd/-
Syed Mukassir Shah
Chairman.
Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council."

re: Disapproving of the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Ordinance, 1982.

Sri M. Omkar: Sir, I beg to move that this House disapproves the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Ordinance, 1982 (No. 4 of 1982) promulgated by the Governor on 27th January 1982.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Motion moved.
Mr. Dy. Speaker : Now the Minister for Municipal Administration will move the Motion for concurrence for reference of the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1982 to a Joint Select Committee.

Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Raddy : Sir, I beg to move "That this House concurs with the Legislative Council in setting up a Joint Select Committee consisting of 15 Members from the Legislative Assembly (names to be announced later on) and 5 Members of the Legislative Council to consider the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1982. (L.C.Bill No. I of 1982)

Mr. Dy. Speaker : Motion moved.
STATEMENT BY THE CHIEF MINISTER

re: Electrification of Railway line, between Vijayawada and Ballarsha.
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STATEMENT BY THE CHIEF MINISTER

re: Electrification of Railway line, between Vijayawada and Ballarsha.

STATEMENT BY THE CHIEF MINISTER

re: Electrification of Railway line, between Vijayawada and Ballarsha.
స్టేటమెంట్ ఆయన అధికారిక మహాసంస్థల మాదిరి ప్రమాదములు
బెహర్ బాంబుప్పును మాత్రమే ఉండాలి... బాలాశా, బాంబుప్పు
స్టేటమెంట్ ఆయన అధికారిక మహాసంస్థల మాదిరి ప్రమాదములు
బెహర్ బాంబుప్పును మాత్రమే ఉండాలి... బాలాశా, బాంబుప్పు
స్టేటమెంట్ ఆయన అధికారిక మహాసంస్థల మాదిరి ప్రమాదములు
బెహర్ బాంబుప్పును మాత్రమే ఉండాలి... బాలాశా, బాంబుప్పు
స్టేటమెంట్ ఆయన అధికారిక మహాసంస్థల మాదిరి ప్రమాదములు
బెహర్ బాంబుప్పును మాత్రమే ఉండాలి... బాలాశా, బాంబుప్పు
స్టేటమెంట్ ఆయన అధికారిక మహాసంస్థల మాదిరి ప్రమాదములు
బెహర్ బాంబుప్పును మాత్రమే ఉండాలి... బాలాశా, బాంబుప్పు
స్టేటమెంట్ ఆయన అధికారిక మహాసంస్థల మాదిరి ప్రమాదములు
బెహర్ బాంబుప్పును మాత్రమే ఉండాలి... బాలాశా, బాంబుప్పు
స్టేటమెంట్ ఆయన అధికారిక మహాసంస్థల మాదిరి ప్రమాదములు
బెహర్ బాంబుప్పును మాత్రమే ఉండాలి... బాలాశా, బాంబుప్పు
స్టేటమెంట్ ఆయన అధికారిక మహాసంస్థల మాదిరి ప్రమాదములు
బెహర్ బాంబుప్పును మాత్రమే ఉండాలి... బాలాశా, బాంబుప్పు
Keeping in view the importance of the line, I request the Chief Minister to see that the work is taken up at the earliest.
44 18th March, 1982.

The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1982 (Contd.)

No suit or other proceedings shall be maintained or continued in any court or before any authority for the purpose of refund of any such tax or other amount;

and no court shall enforce any decree or order directing the refund of any such tax or other amount,

Section 45

"No suit or other proceedings shall be maintained or continued in any court or before any authority for the purpose of refund of any such tax or other amount;

and no court shall enforce any decree or order directing the refund of any such tax or other amount,"
"No suit or other proceedings shall be maintained or continued in any court or before any authority for the refund of any such tax or other amount."

"No court shall enforce any decree or order directing refund of any such tax or other amount."

- The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1982 (Contd.)

- 18th March, 1982.
10-00 a.m. బ్యాండరల ప్యారకర్తా ఉండాను లేకపోయాను. రాతించాడు కోటిలోని కారణం లేదు. ఇతర ప్యారకర్తలు ఇంతప్పుడు నిలబడిన కోటిలో ప్రత్యేకమైన ప్రభావం కల్పించాయి. ఇతర ప్యారకర్తలు ఇంతప్పుడు నిలబడి కోటిలో ప్రత్యేకమైన ప్రభావం కల్పించాయి.

ప్రపంచంలోని ప్రత్యేక ప్రభావం కల్పించాయి. ఇతర ప్యారకర్తలు ఇంతప్పుడు నిలబడి కోటిలో ప్రత్యేకమైన ప్రభావం కల్పించాయి.

ప్రపంచంలోని ప్రత్యేక ప్రభావం కల్పించాయి. ఇతర ప్యారకర్తలు ఇంతప్పుడు నిలబడి కోటిలో ప్రత్యేకమైన ప్రభావం కల్పించాయి.
The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1982 (Contd.)
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Mr. Deputy Speaker: Now the question is:

"This House disapproves the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Ordinance, 1982 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No. 4 of 1982) promulgated by the Governor on 27th January, 1982."

The motion was negatived and the resolution was lost.
Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy: I am grateful to the Hon. Members of this House for giving their valuable suggestions.

Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy: Anyhow, the effect is the same and it is lost.

We have got greatest respect for the Judiciary. We will never commit a mistake like that. That is why, I am grateful to the Chief Minister. Like a true democrat, he accepted to refer it to the Joint Select Committee. I am grateful to the Members of the Upper House and also the Members of this House to have accepted to refer it to the Joint Select Committee.

We are considering those points.
As a matter of fact, the Minister incharge of accommodation is incharge of this Act. It is understood that some changes in the Rent Control Act have also been contemplated. I think for the people who are living in the rented houses, this would be some protection to them.

SMT. B. SAROJINI PULLA REDDY: Sir, As I said earlier, 15 Members of the Legislative Assembly to serve on Joint select Committee, are as follows:

1. Sri K. V. Subba Reddy
2. Sri L. Eswar Rao
3. Sri P. Chinna
4. Sri M. Suryaprakasa Rao
5. Sri Neelam Charles
6. Smt. T. Satyavathi
7. Sri Dronamraju Satyanarayana
8. Sri Moh. Ibrahim Khan
9. Sri A. Butchaiah
10. Sri B. Machinder Rao
11. Sri S. Jaipal Reddy
12. Sri Koratata Sathyarayana
13. Sri Gouthu Latchanna
14. Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu
15. Sri Ch. Rajeshwar Rao

(MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Now the question is that:

"This House concurs with the Legislative Council in setting up a Joint Select Committee consisting of 15 Members of the Legislative Assembly namely:

1. Sri K. V. Subba Reddy
2. Sri L. Eswar Rao
3. Sri P. Chinna
4. Sri M. Suryaprakasa Rao
5. Sri Neelam Charles
6. Smt T. Satyavathi
7. Sri Dronamraju Satyanarayana
8. Sri Moh. Ibrahim Khan
9. Sri A. Butchaiah
10. Sri B. Machinder Rao
11. Sri S. Jaipal Reddy

(pause)

12. Sri Koratala Satyanarayana
13. Sri G. Latchanna
14. Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu
15. Sri Ch. Rajeshwar Rao

and 5 Members of the Legislative Council to consider the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1982 (L. C. Bill No. 1 of 1982).'

The motion was adopted, and the Bill made referred to the Joint Select committee

THE ANDHRA PANCHAYAT SAMITHIS AND ZILLA PARISHADS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1982.

The motion was adopted, and the Bill made referred to the Joint Select committee.
SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY : Sir, Yesterday, we objected to the particular provision both on grounds of legislative principle and legality. Now, the Minister says that he had consulted the Advocate-General in the morning. Well, we would like to hear the Advocate-General. We would like to argue with him and satisfy ourselves with what he states. And there is a provision for the invitation to the Advocate-General and this House can have the benefit of his wisdom directly. We do not want to have the wisdom of the Advocate-General passed on to us through the Minister. That would be the second line of receipt. Secondly, as I have pointed out, there is a valid point in our point of order. It is not part of the agenda and it was not decided otherwise by the Business Advisory Committee. Therefore, under what rule of our Procedure this Bill can be taken up?

Sri. A. Madan Mohan : Sir, first of all I am not prepared to accept that it was not sound on the legal proposition. Well, to that extent I know and the Hon. Members also know that legally
there was no lacuna in the amendment that was brought about yesterday. Having heard the Members of the House and more particularly the Hon. Members from the Opposition and having sensed that there is some responsibility in what is being said about and Government not standing on false prestige as it is usually said and alleged by the Opposition, it was in the interest of greater reasonableness, and to put democratic content, that we had requested the Speaker to allow us some time. We discussed thread-bare in the Cabinet and it was discussed amongst our Cabinet colleagues. We had the advice of the Chief Minister. We also wanted to seek the advice from the Advocate General. We have discussed with him. I am sure, the proposed amended form of the provision which is going to be brought about by my learned colleague, the Minister for Panchayatiraj is going to satisfy the Hon. Members of the House.

Now as regards the bringing forward this Bill and under what provision, I think in a situation like this we can always seek the protection of the Chair to introduce at any time. Nothing bars or prevents from introducing with the permission of the House, through the Speaker, Sir I hope, the Hon. Members without standing on just technicalities would agree to this. Yesterday, they were saying that the Government shall not stand on the false prestige and I hope it extends to the Opposition also. It shall not insist on false prestige and try to stall it. I think we are all working towards better democratic norms. That is the reason why the Government could see the good points in what they said and it has been accepted and we have taken up re-examination and reconsideration.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: The Minister in the course of his clarification appealed to the Opposition Members not to stand on technicalities, thereby agreeing that there was a valid technical point in our points of order. The point is...

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN: I did not say about the technicality of introducing this debate. I said technicality in the form of Opposition trying to play only the role of Opposition.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: That is not technicality. That is philosophy. The point is, it was not a part of the agenda. It could have formed part of agenda if the Treasury Benches themselves had not asked for more time. They said that this would be carried over to the next day. We also wanted that this could be carried over to-day. They did not agree. Consequently, it did not form part of the agenda. Now, suddenly it cannot be imported into the agenda without going into the mill of the Business Advisory Committee. This is a very valid point of order and it deserves your consideration.

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Rule 33 (2) says: "Save as provided in these rules, no business not included in the list of business for the day shall be transacted during the sitting of that day without the permission of the Speaker."
This is a saving clause and so, there is no point of order.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Sir, if the ruling party with its preponderant numerical majority would like to rely on such a tenuous saving provision, it will be a sad reflection in respect of us.

Whenever constitutional points are raised or questioned, it is the Advocate-General who has to answer directly. He has to hear our say and give his final word. Thereafter it is up to us to decide as to what is to be done.

MR DEPUTY SPEAKER: Advocate-General is a busy person. I know that he is a Member of the House.
SRI A. MADAN MOHAN: First of all, it is not such a constitutional deadlock where probably the Advocate General has to come and explain, the proposition here, in this House. The Hon. Members of the Opposition would appreciate that at no time the Government was so generous in conceding a particular reasonableness when it was found in the statements of the opposition members. That by itself should make them happy. As far as the lapsing part of it is concerned, unless it receives the assent of the Governor by 23rd, it shall lapse and we will not be able to introduce. The other aspect is, We have consulted and discussed with the Hon. Members of the Opposition and we did come to an understanding about how best it could be improved and the Hon. Minister for Panchayatiraj will explain how we are bringing it in the amended form. Let us not stand on this false ground of prestige and let the business go on with your permission. Otherwise, it will become very difficult.

Lastly, I would like to say one more thing. I thought that the Hon. Members of the Opposition would appreciate the promptness with which the Government has taken a decision. Yesterday since we thought probably it requires little more time, we said, well, let not the date be fixed. That does not prevent the Government coming forward. We have taken the decision, as you know, much earlier, though we thought that it might take some time. That also does not prevent the Hon. Speaker from permitting us to discuss.
MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: The points of order raised by Sarvasri Omkar, Jaipal Reddy and Rajeshwar Rao, though they are looking genuine, to my mind I have to regulate the business. Under this provision, in the exercise of my discretionary powers the Speaker may also permit consideration of any item of business not included in the list of business giving due consideration to the wishes of the House and urgency of the business. Under this caption, I rule our the points of order.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: I pity the Government which relies on the saving powers of the Speaker, Sir.

(Laughter)

MR. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Now we shall take up the consideration of the Bill.

After consulting the Advocate-General, the Cabinet and our party members, I will read out.

"but a Member of either House of the State Legislature or of Parliament elected as Chairman shall, where he ceases to be such Member, by exercising his option, be an ex-officio member as aforesaid, of the Zilla Parishad for purposes of this Act, by virtue of his office as such chairman and shall be entitled to vote at the meetings of the Zilla Parishad."

...
Sri S. JAIPAL REDDY: Let the Government have its say. Let the Minister and the Leader of the House exhaust their explanations.

SRI P. JANARDHANA REDDY: We want to know what is their amendment.

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN: In Clause 4, as it was done yesterday, it was worded—

"notwithstanding that he ceases to be such member".

There is an apprehension to the Opposition that irrespective of the fact whether a member of the Legislative Assembly or the Member of the Legislative Council or the Member of the Parliament whoever has got elected as Chairman of Zilla Parishad or Samithi President would continue to be the Chairman of Zilla Parishad and Samithi President irrespective of his continuance as legislator. This was objected to by the Opposition. Now we have deleted "notwithstanding that he ceases to be such Member". In that place, it has been substituted with "where he ceases to be such member by exercising his option as aforesaid". Now this legislator or a member of the Parliament or the Member of the Council who by virtue of his being the member of these Houses by becoming Chairman of the Zilla Parishad or Samithi President has got to necessarily exercise his option within next six months whether he would like to continue as member of the Legislative Assembly, or Council or Parliament or he would like to be a part of the Zilla Parishad or Panchayat Samithi. This option is not being further
extended and it has now been made clear that he has got to exercise his option within these six months and this becomes final and there should not be any apprehension in the minds of the Opposition irrespective whether he continues to be the member of the Assembly he will continue to be the Chairman, Zilla Parishad or President of the Samithi as the case may be.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: This amendment has brought about distinction without difference. This is a classic illustration of distinction without difference.

"notwithstanding that he ceases to be..."

"if he ceases through exercising option only he would have been the President"

You please give six more months' time because the Congressmen are hamlets. They cannot make up their minds. They don't know which office they have to adorn. They can be given six months more.
The legal position is theoretically Philosophically and conceptionally correct. What is right in principle is never agreed to by this Government. If it is agreed to in the first place, it is always denied in the second and last places.

Don't rape the process of legislation.
This right is being conferred by a special statute. This right therefore, can be amended, can be reduced, can be expanded or extended and can be contracted.

No piece of legislation can be rushed through in the face of natural principles of justice. This piece of legislation is, totally, contravention of all cannons of legislation and principles of justice.
His heart is beating fast. You please call for the Cardiologist.

(Laughter)

We shall allow the remaining piece of legislation to remain ambiguous, deliberately and delightfully. At leisure, we shall discuss those parts.

Yesterday he was a political machine. Now he has been enlightened about the complications.

His reasonableness was lasting for a few hours, for a few speaking hours. His interlude of reasonableness was lucid and luminous and terribly brief. Let him be reasonable. Let him agree to drop the second part of the fourth clause.
Legal Justice is always not to be relied upon. You must see
the moral justice and natural justice.
Whereas that ex-officio is by nomination by Mr. Madan Mohan. It is nomination by the Minister. It is a legal jugglery.

He has no power to continue. He has ceased to be a Member of the Legislature. How can that be possible.

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN: The samething is repeated. I can understand if the Member has been making a new point. We have been hearing with rapt attention.
Repetition is necessary for dull students who are adoring the treasury benches.
Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: At the time of introduction of the Bill it was like that.

This Bill was introduced at that time. In the meantime change has come about. We cannot proceed with it. It is a very valid point of order, Sir.
SRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU: The change has to be communicated to the members and the same must be placed on the Table of the House. Then only you have to proceed. You can't proceed with a Bill which is introduced by a non-Minister.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: They cannot get it printed overnight. They can cyclostyle it.

SRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU: Sir, it was placed on the Table of the House only today.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: The Bill must bear the name of the Minister. It is supposed to be signed by him. He is the Minister incharge. There must be a specific Rule.

Mr. Dy. SPEAKER: The Member incharge of the Bill means, "in the case of a Government Bill, the Minister introducing the Bill or any other Minister on his behalf"...

SRI M. VENKAIAH NAIDU: Sir, the Minister means, the Minister who introduced the Bill.

Mr. Dy. SPEAKER: At the introduction stage, Sri Nallapu-reddi Srinivasul Reddy was the concerned Minister and now the Panchayathi Raj Ministry is given to Sri Ch. Ram Bhupal Reddy and he is continuing it.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Sir, since this Bill is under discussion in this August Assembly, the realities presented here must reflect the latest position. The point is - who is the Minister introduced the Bill and who moved the amendments. There is quite relevancy in my point.

Mr. Dy. SPEAKER: As there is no relevancy, I have ruled out your point and it is over. Now no further discussion on that point.

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Sir, I quite agree with you that you have a right to rule out the Point of Order, provided you offer the argument.

Mr. Dy. SPEAKER: I want you to kindly consider my predicament also; and without any reason, I can rule out. Please resume your seat.
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The Governor of Andhra Pradesh, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 21 of the Zilla Parishad Act, 1970, and in supersession of the Zilla Parishad (Amendment) Bill, 1982, hereby presents the following Bill for consideration of the Legislature:


The Bill provides for certain amendments in the Zilla Parishad Act, 1970, to enable the Zilla Parishads to undertake development and welfare activities in their respective areas.

The Governor.

12-00 noon

The Bill was presented in the Assembly at 12-00 noon on 18th March, 1982.
The Central Act shall prevail on the State Act.
But the State Act is prevailing over the Central Act.
A philosopher is trying to see the black cat in the dark room—which is not there.

They are members of the Zilla Parishad by virtue of their capacity...

SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: A non-member of Parliament also can be a candidate for Presidentship; therefore there is no correlation—it is only existing in your imagination.

SRI A. MADAN MOHAN: I concede that point. I am equally alive to that situation and even an ordinary citizen can contest. What I am trying to impress upon this august House is that where it is not necessary that when once he becomes elevated to a particular position, he shall continue. The Panchayat Samithi President once he gets elected as Chairman, Zilla Parishad also ceases to be a Panchayat Samithi President; yet he continues the full term as Zilla Parishad Chairman irrespective of the fact whether he continues as separate President or not.
18th March, 1982
The Andhra Pradesh Samithis and
Zilla Parishad (Amendment) Bill,
1982 (Contd).

SIR CH. RAMBHUPAL REDDY: Yesterday, the impression that we got was that we should take the advice of the Advocate General, not to bring him to the House. Am I right?

SIR S. JAIPAL REDDY: It is true. Yesterday the question of invitation to the Advocate General did not and could not arise at all. We raised a point and Government seemed to appreciate the point. Now we discover it was a case of optical illusion.

That is an important point. Excepting co-opted members all others are ex-officio members.

It is only consequential—there is not much of an importance.
Andhra Pradesh Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1982

When he becomes Zilla Parishad Chairman, he becomes ex-officio member.

You cannot really separate these things.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: The question is:

“This House disapproves the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Ordinance, No. 1 of 1982 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No. 1 of 1982) promulgated by the Governor on the 7th January, 1982.”


SRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Sir, before you put the Bill to vote, we should like to record our views. This is a black Bill—the most obnoxious, outrageous and atrocious Bill. As a protest against the totally arbitrary, capricious and unreasonable attitude of the Treasury Benches, we stage a walk-out. (Sri S. Jaipal Reddy along with his Party Members staged a walk-out).
Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1982 be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: The question is:

"That Clauses 2, 3, 5, 6 and 1 and Enacting Formula and Long Title do form part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted and Clauses 2, 3, 5, 6 and 1 and Enacting Formula and Long Title were added to the Bill.

SRI CH. RAMBHUPAL REDDY: I move:

"That in the proviso under Clause 4—

For the words 'Notwithstanding that he ceases to be such member', the words 'where he ceases to be such member by exercising his option as aforesaid' shall be substitution."

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Amendment moved.
The question is:

"That in the proviso under Clause 4-

For the words 'Notwithstanding that he ceases to be such member', the words 'where he ceases to be such member by exercising his option as aforesaid' shall be substituted."

The amendment was adopted.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER; The question is:

"That Clause 4 as amended do stand part of the Bill" 

The motion was adopted and Clause 4 as amended was added to the Bill.

SRI Ch. RAMBHUPAL REDDY: I move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1982 be passed."

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: Motion moved.

The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1982 be passed." 

The motion was adopted and the Bill was passed.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER: The House now stands adjourned till 8–30 a.m. on 19–3–1982.

(The House then adjourned to meet Again at 8–30 a.m. on 19th March 1982)