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Providing of Employment to the Wives or Children of Deceased Employees by Guntur Municipal Commissioner and Special Officer

*8037-(Z)-Q—Sri T. Amrutha Rao:—Will the Minister for Municipal Administration be Pleased to state:

(a) the number of persons i.e. wives or children of the deceased employees whom employment is provided so far by Guntur Municipal Commissioner and Special Officer as per G. O. Ms. No. 1005, Employment and Social Welfare Department dated 27-12-74 and G. O. Ms. No. 299, General Administration Department, dated 3-4-79, and the nature of jobs provided to them, if not, the reasons therefor;

(b) whether Smt. T. Parvathi W/o Late T. Ramaswamy has submitted an application to the Municipal Commissioner, requesting to provide employment for her;

(c) if so, the action taken thereon;

(d) whether it is fact that when Smt. D. Therasa, a teacher died, her son D. Joseph has submitted an application to the Commissioner for job;

(e) if so, the action taken thereon; and

(f) whether it is a fact that the employment exchange is in no way concerned in providing jobs to the wives or children of the deceased employees?

*An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the Member.
5th May, 1981.

Oral Answers to Questions.

(2) తిరుపతి కేంద్రానికి 20 కిమీ దూరంలో తయారు చేయబడింది ఒక ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి?

(3) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి?

(4) విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.

(5) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.

(6) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.

(7) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.

(8) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.

(9) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.

(10) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.

(11) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.

(12) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.

(13) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.

(14) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.

(15) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.

(16) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.

(17) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.

(18) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.

(19) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.

(20) చిత్రానికి కేంద్రానికి విశేష ప్రాంతాను తెలియాలి.
**STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE IN REPLY TO CLAUSE (a)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Spouse/ Children</th>
<th>Name of the deceased Employee with Designation</th>
<th>Date of appointment</th>
<th>Post in which appointed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Shaik Noor</td>
<td>(S/o Shaik Ramjan)</td>
<td>1-5-1978 Cleaner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Driver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Smt. T. Samrajyam</td>
<td>W/o. T. Gabriel, Sanitary Maistry</td>
<td>11-8-78 Ayah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>B. Ramakrishna</td>
<td>S/o Gopalavenukataratnam</td>
<td>5-9-79 Malaria Maistry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Smt. Kandru Vijaya Grace.</td>
<td>S/o Kanakambaram H.G. Asst.</td>
<td>5-1-81 Ayah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>A. Madhusudhana Rao</td>
<td>S/o Satyanarayana H.G. Asst.</td>
<td>9-1-81 Typist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>V. Satya Prasad</td>
<td>S/o Subba Rao, Sanitary Inspector</td>
<td>12-1-81 L.D.C.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>J.V.S. Mahalaxmi</td>
<td>D/o J. Padmanabha Rao, Valuation Officer</td>
<td>24-9-79 Record Asst.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ch. Sambasiva Rao</td>
<td>S/o Ch. Chennaiah, Avenue Mazdoor</td>
<td>17-8-78 Avenue Mazdoor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>J. Ramana</td>
<td>S/o J. Raghavaiah, Gang Mazdoor</td>
<td>22-8-78 Gang Mazdoor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ch. Yesudas</td>
<td>S/o Ch. Raghavulu, Gang Mazdoor</td>
<td>24-10-78 Gang Mazdoor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Shaik Iqbal</td>
<td>S/o Shaik Ahmed, Electrical Helper</td>
<td>24-11-78 C.C. Mixer Cleaner</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Shaik Karimulla</td>
<td>S/o Fakir Ahmed, Foremen</td>
<td>1-3-79 Cleaner (lorry)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>T. Pitchaiah</td>
<td>S/o P. Kotaiah, Gang Mazdoor</td>
<td>13-6-79 Avenue Mazdoor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M. Lakshmaiah</td>
<td>S/o Balaiah, Gang Mazdoor</td>
<td>3-6-80 Gang Mazdoor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>I. Ravi Kumar</td>
<td>S/o. Narsimulu</td>
<td>9-2-81</td>
<td>Avenue Mazdoor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Avenue Mazdoor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>A. Prabudas</td>
<td>S/o. A. Jeevaratnam</td>
<td>30-3-81</td>
<td>Watchman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Electrician</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>S. Joseph</td>
<td>S/o. Balaiah</td>
<td>27-5-80</td>
<td>P. H. Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Smt. A- Sanjeovamma</td>
<td>D/o. Y. Mahalaxmi</td>
<td>27-5-80</td>
<td>P. H. Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>A. Samrajyam</td>
<td>D/o. D. Venkamma</td>
<td>27-5-80</td>
<td>P. H. Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H.G. Asst.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Non-Payment of Salaries to "Daroga" Working in Shahbad Gram Panchayat

394—

*8043-(N)-Q.—Sarvasti Gulam Samdani and Ibrahim Khan:—
Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri Khaja Moinuddin who was working as ‘Daroga’ at Shahbad gram panchayat of Chevella Block has not been paid his salary from 1-2-74 to 31-7-76;
(b) is it a fact that Collector (P.W.) Hyderabad District (now Ranga Reddy District) appointed the Extension Officer (Pts) Chevella Block, as Executive Authority of Gram Panchayat, Shekhpur vide Rec. No. B/172036/73, dated 2-12-75 and through the said Letter Ordered the said Executive Authority to make payment of the Salary due to Sri Khaja Moinuddin; 

(c) What action has been taken in this regard:

(d) if not, what are the reasons of such a long delay in payment of Salary of a Government employee; 

(e) Who is responsible for non-payment of salary in the above matter?

Minister for Panchayati Raj (Sri P. Seshavatharam):—(a) Yes, Sir, Sri Khaja Moinuddin, Daroga was not paid salary for the period from 1-2-74 to 15-12-75 and from 11-12-75 to 13-8-76.

(b) Yes, sir,

(c) The Extension Officer (Pts) Chevella admitted Sri Khaja Moinuddin to duty and paid salaries for the period he worked but he could not pay the arrears of salary due to paucity of funds in the gram panchayat. To avoid further hardship, the daroga was transferred to balanagar gram panchayat.

(d) The arrears of salary could not be paid due to paucity of funds in the Gram Panchayat. The Extension Officer (Pts) was however instructed to improve the finances of the Gram Panchayat and to clear off the dues.

(e) In view of the critical financial position of the Panchayat, the Sarpanch who was responsible to pay the salaries could not pay.

Sri Gulam Samdani:—He was in active and his salary was not paid for a period of 1½ years. In the reply it was said that due to paucity of funds, the Panchayat Samithi could not pay the salary.

Mr. Speaker: —What is Daroga?

Sri Gulam Samdani: —Daroga is a Sanitary Inspector. His designation will be Sanitary Inspector. There will be a Sanitary Inspector in the Gram Panchayat also but his name will be Daroga. I want to know whether the salary would be paid within one or two months, since there is a clear order from the Collector to pay the arrears.

Mr. Speaker: —But there should be money.

Sri Gulam Samdani: —That has to be made available by the Government.
Oral Answers to Questions.

5th May, 1981.

(1) రాతి సమయంలో — ఇది అందానికి చెప్పిని? ఎండ ఎండక జూడుడు లాంటి ఆదాయం చేపట్టిన అంటే సమయం కంటే తక్కువ. అందించిన సమయం అంటే సమయం అంటే చెప్పింది. ఐదు సమయం చేపట్టిన అంటే సమయం కంటే తక్కువ. అందించిన సమయం అంటే సమయం అంటే చెప్పింది. ఐదు సమయం చేపట్టి అంటే సమయం కంటే తక్కువ. అందించిన సమయం అంటే సమయం అంటే చెప్పింది.

(2) రాతి సమయంలో — అది కిందెన కంటే మాత్రం ప్రశ్నలు చెప్పకుంటే ఐదు సమయం స్థాయిలో నిలుస్తాయి. అది మాత్రం అంటే ఐదు సమయం స్థాయిలో నిలుస్తాయి. అది మాత్రం అంటే ఐదు సమయం స్థాయిలో నిలుస్తాయి.

(3) రాతి సమయంలో — అది మాత్రం అంటే ఐదు సమయం స్థాయిలో నిలుస్తాయి. అది మాత్రం అంటే ఐదు సమయం స్థాయిలో నిలుస్తాయి. అది మాత్రం అంటే ఐదు సమయం స్థాయిలో నిలుస్తాయి.
5th May, 1981.

Oral Answers to Questions.

(S. C. M.)

8 5th May, 1981. Answers to Questions.

Will the Minister for Major Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that for repairs and improvement of right and left Canals of Chandra Sagar Project in Achampet taluk, Mahaboobnagar district estimates valued at Rs. 10,00,000 were prepared and the work was let out to contractors without calling for tenders;

(b) if so, the reasons there for;

(c) who are the contractors;

(d) whether it is also a fact that the said contractors have been doing superficial tipping instead of the prescribed work; and

(e) if so, the action taken thereon?

395—

*8043—(B)Q.- Sarvasri K. Satyanarayana, M. Omkar and N. Raghava Reddy :—Will the Minister for Major Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that for repairs and improvement of right and left Canals of Chandra Sagar Project in Achampet taluk, Mahaboobnagar district estimates valued at Rs. 10,00,000 were prepared and the work was let out to contractors without calling for tenders;

(b) if so, the reasons there for;

(c) who are the contractors;

(d) whether it is also a fact that the said contractors have been doing superficial tipping instead of the prescribed work; and

(e) if so, the action taken thereon?

395—

*8043—(B) Q.- Sarvasri K. Satyanarayana, M. Omkar and N. Raghava Reddy :—Will the Minister for Major Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that for repairs and improvement of right and left Canals of Chandra Sagar Project in Achampet taluk, Mahaboobnagar district estimates valued at Rs. 10,00,000 were prepared and the work was let out to contractors without calling for tenders;

(b) if so, the reasons there for;

(c) who are the contractors;

(d) whether it is also a fact that the said contractors have been doing superficial tipping instead of the prescribed work; and

(e) if so, the action taken thereon?
ral Answers to Questions. 5th May, 1981.

(4) Will the Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to provide 132 K. V. Line from Mahaboobnagar to Wanaparthy and from Wanaparthy to Kurnool; and

(b) if so, when it will be completed?

Proposal To Provide 132 K. V. Line From Mahaboobnagar To Wanaparthy

196—

*8160—Q—Sri M. Jayaramulu:—Will the Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to provide 132 K. V. Line from Mahaboobnagar to Wanaparthy and from Wanaparthy to Kurnool; and

(b) if so, when it will be completed?

Proposal To Provide 132 K. V. Line From Mahaboobnagar To Wanaparthy

196—

*8160—Q—Sri M. Jayaramulu:—Will the Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to provide 132 K. V. Line from Mahaboobnagar to Wanaparthy and from Wanaparthy to Kurnool; and

(b) if so, when it will be completed?

Proposal To Provide 132 K. V. Line From Mahaboobnagar To Wanaparthy

196—

*8160—Q—Sri M. Jayaramulu:—Will the Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to provide 132 K. V. Line from Mahaboobnagar to Wanaparthy and from Wanaparthy to Kurnool; and

(b) if so, when it will be completed?

Proposal To Provide 132 K. V. Line From Mahaboobnagar To Wanaparthy

196—

*8160—Q—Sri M. Jayaramulu:—Will the Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to provide 132 K. V. Line from Mahaboobnagar to Wanaparthy and from Wanaparthy to Kurnool; and

(b) if so, when it will be completed?
10 5th May, 1981.

Oral Answers to Questions

Transferring The Power Of Issuing Licences To Manufacture
Match Boxes From Industries Department

397—

*6759 — Q.- Sarvasri. V. Sobhanadreeswara Rao and B. Sammaiah;—Will the Minister for Small Scale Industries be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have considered the proposal for transferring the power of issuing licences to the units established for manufacture of match boxes, from Industries Department to the Khadi and Village Industries Board for administrative convenience; and

(b) if not, whether the said proposal will be considered at least now and a decision will be taken shortly in this regard?

Mr. V. Balaramiah:—(a) Yes. The proposal has already been considered and the Government have decided to transfer the power of issuing licences to the Khadi and Village Industries Board for administrative convenience.

(b) As per the decision of the Government, the said proposal will be considered at least now and a decision will be taken shortly in this regard.
The Government is actively considering a proposal for managing a single authority for the issue of licence and transfer of licence whose income does not exceed Rs. 1 lakh. It is under serious consideration of the Government.
Setting Up Of Training Centre At Vizag For Using Mechanised Boats

398—

* 7909 Q—Sri G. Mallikarjuna Rao:—Will the Minister for Fisheries be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any decision to set up a training centre at Visakhapatnam to the staff connected with various types of mechanised boats used for fishing;

(b) the details of the various courses offered at the training;

(c) when it will be started; and

(d) the no, of persons to be trained every year?

(1) 5th May, 1981.
Mr. Speaker:—What is the machinery which is envisaged for seeing that these zones are properly maintained?

Sri G. Ramaswamy:—The Port Trust has to do it and that is under Government of India.

Sri G. Ramaswamy:—We have referred to Government of India to implement vigorously this zonal system.

Mr. Speaker:—The fishermen are helpless and we are also helpless.

Supply of Drinking Water to Badvel Town From River Sagleru in Cuddapah District.

*8040—(G) Q—Sri V. Sivaramakrishna Rao:—Will the Minister for Rural Water Supply be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there is a proposal to supply drinking water to Badvel town from Sagileru river in Cuddapah district; and

(b) if so, when the work will be started, reasons for the delay.

Minister for Rural Water supply (Sri P. Venkat Rao):—(a) No Sir.

(b) Does not arise.
5th May, 1981.

Mr. Speaker:—He has been authorised to answer on behalf of the Chief Minister.

Mr. Speaker:—Both of them have gone to Delhi.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—For what Sir?

Mr. Speaker:—I do not know.

Mr. Speaker:—As far as Srirama Murthy is concerned, he told me that he is called by Rao Birendra Singh for discussion about the payment of Rs. 9 crores of arrears.

This is not the first time.
Oral Answer to Questions.

Mr. Speaker:—What exactly do you want?

Sri M. Venkiah Naidu:—Why the question should be postponed?

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—In the alternative, Assembly can be convened in Delhi itself.

Mr. Speaker:—About the other Ministers, whatever the Leader of the House says, they are putting me in a very delicate situation. It is not the question of opposition making the statement. It is the question of the entire House. This House is more important and more imperative than anything, until it is necessary.
Repairs of Certain Irrigation Tanks in Pratnipadu Taluq

401—

*8212 Q.—Sri M. Padmanabham:—Will the Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are in receipt of the estimates for the repairs of the following irrigation tanks in Pratnipadu taluk of East Godavari district:

1. Peda Bapanna Dora Cheruvu; at Saikhamam;
4. Bhrumavaram Konetivar Cheruvu, Narendrapatnam; and

(b) if so, when the above work will be commenced?

16 5th May, 1931. Oral Answers to Questions.
Oral Answers to Questions. 5th May, 1981.

[Text of the oral answers to questions from 5th May, 1981, in English.]
5th May, 1981.


en  10. Sarvasri D. Seetharamulu and V. Narasimha Rao:—Will the Minister for Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that sanction has been given for the construction of a bridge on Uppugedda at Revu Ampalam Village, Narasannapeta Panchayat Samithi, Srikakulam district six years ago;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the work has not been taken up so far;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the fishermen of the said village are subjected to severe hardships as there is no highway connection to the said bridge; and

(d) when the construction of the said bridge will be taken up?


e  11. The Minister of Roads and Buildings replied:

(a) Satisfied.

(b) Satisfied.

(c) Satisfied.

(d) Satisfied.

*8213—Q.—Sarvasri D. Seetharamulu and V. Narasimha Rao:—Will the Minister for Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that sanction has been given for the construction of a bridge on Uppugedda at Revu Ampalam Village, Narasannapeta Panchayat Samithi, Srikakulam district six years ago;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the work has not been taken up so far;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the fishermen of the said village are subjected to severe hardships as there is no highway connection to the said bridge; and

(d) when the construction of the said bridge will be taken up?
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(8) दैवी चौथे गद्दे सापाळ्यासारखे संपूर्ण अंतर्गतिक नाते देश राष्ट्रभर नाते नाते जितेन्द्र नारायण 1981 ने उल्लभीतर उद्घोषे.

(9) व. सामाजिकाचे: —यद्यपि, गणरा 1978 ते 1979 सारख्या वेगवेगळ्या अनुपातात दुरस्थता आढ़त होत आहेत तर मान्यतेने 3 वर्षांतरात 1982 मध्ये अनुपातात 26% वाढणार असेल. येथे 3 वर्षांतरात 26% वाढणे आढ़त रहाणे असेल. म्हणूनला त्याचे अर्थ नाही. ह्या 3 वर्षांतरात 26% वाढणे नाही, त्याचे अर्थ नाही. तीन वर्षांतरात 26% वाढणे असेल, म्हणून त्याचा अर्थ असेल. म्हणूनला 40 वर्षांतरात 26% वाढणे आहे.

(10) व. सामाजिकाचे: —यद्यपि खूनच्या रांगात व्यवस्था होती, तर व्यवस्थेत दुरस्थताचा अनुपात वाढत होता. बंधनातील (या व्यवस्थेत) बाध्यतेनुसार, तेथे डेटा नाही. कोणतीही दुरस्थता त्याचे अर्थ नाही. त्याच्या दुरस्थतेचे अर्थ नाही. त्याच्या दुरस्थतेचे अर्थ नाही. त्याच्या दुरस्थतेचे अर्थ नाही. त्याच्या दुरस्थतेचे अर्थ नाही.

(11) व. सामाजिकाचे: —यद्यपि खूनच्या रांगात व्यवस्था होती, तर व्यवस्थेत दुरस्थताचा अनुपात वाढत होता. बंधनातील (या व्यवस्थेत) बाध्यतेनुसार, तेथे डेटा नाही. कोणतीही दुरस्थता त्याचे अर्थ नाही. त्याच्या दुरस्थतेचे अर्थ नाही. त्याच्या दुरस्थतेचे अर्थ नाही. त्याच्या दुरस्थतेचे अर्थ नाही. त्याच्या दुरस्थतेचे अर्थ नाही.
5th May, 1981.
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H.R.EGULAR AND CORRUPT PRACTICES IN EXECUTION OF THE WORK OF POCHAMPU'ID PROJECT AT HUZURABAD CIRCLE
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8045(M) Q: -Sri B. Rama Rao: Will the Minister for Major Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether petitions were presented by a contractor of the Pochampalli Project, on 24-9-1980 and 25-11-1980 and previously, and substantiate regarding the irregular, illegal, and corrupt practices in the execution of the works of the Pochampalli Project at Huzurabad Circle in the execution of the Project;

(b) the various allegations raised by him and the instances of mis-deeds stated as illustrations in the recent works;

(c) the action taken so far in regard to the same and the action proposed to be taken; and

(d) the reasons to withdraw A.C.B enquiry on Huzurabad Superintendent Engineer?

(a) & (b) Yes Sir. Copies of petition dt. 24-9-80, telegram dt. 16-2-81 and petition dated 0-7-81 received from one Sri Raghava Reddy, Contractor are placed on the Table of the House.

(c) & (d) It is a normal practice to make a preliminary enquiry before taking detailed administrative actions. In this instant case equally strong representations by very responsible persons were made 20-11-80 upholding the efficiency and the honesty of the officer complained against and alleging motivation for complaints against him. It
was therefore, considered desirable to secure a preliminary report from the immediate superior officer in order to ascertain through Anti Corruption Bureau was called for. The findings of the preliminary enquiry do not warrant any further action.

Statement laid on the Table of the House

Copy of representation dated 24th September, 1980 from Sri C. Raghava Reddy. Civil Engineering Contractor, Class. 1, 2-2-1108/1/A, Indiranagar, Hyderabad addressed to the Hon'ble Chief Minister, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

Respected Sir,

I wanted to meet you personally and explain to you certain matters relating to Pochampad area. But due to your pre-engagements, I could not meet you. Hence I would like to bring to your kind notice certain important matters through this letter.

Since my student days, I am an ardent follower of your dynamic leadership and even to this day I believe that our State will progress only under your able and dashing leadership. Your statesmanship and political sagacity is unrivalled. Andhra Pradesh, particularly Telangana should be proud for having you as the Chief Minister in this critical situation prevailing in the country as a whole.

I belong to a poor agricultural family in Medipalli village, six miles from Bhongir. I lost my mother when I was three years old. My father married again. My step mother and father are with me. With two acres of wet and fifteen acres of dry lands, my father could not educate me properly. But due to the help of some relatives, I got some education in my village and went to Bhongir and joined fifth standard in Bhongir High School. I was put in the Government Hostel as a free boarder.

During 1954, under the leadership of late Sri Venkataram Reddy we led the movement in Bhongir for separate Telangana and courted arrest. I was kept in Musheerabad jail.

Later, as a free boarder in Reddy Hostel, I completed P. U. C. After that I studied L. E. E., working as a part time Compounder with late Dr. P. V. Ranga Reddy and also doing Insurance business. In 1956, I became an independent contractor and did good work in Electricity Board upto 1968.

From 1968 onwards, I have been doing works at Pochampad Project as Class. III contractor and steadily became Class. I contractor to take up large works in that project only. Pochampad Organisation in that period was well managed by honest officers such as Sri K. V. Srinivasa Rao, Sri P. T. Reddy, Sri D. L. N. Raju is also honest but very soft and kind type man.
Sri G. V. Sudhakara Rao, when he was a Minister, was trying for collection of party funds through Superintending Engineers, without the knowledge of Chief Engineer. But meanwhile Sri N. Bhaskar Rao another minister, was meddling in this affair and asked Chief Engineer to undertake to collections. But C. E replied that he would act according to instructions of Sri Sudhakara Rao, concerned minister. Later, it seems Sri Sudhakara Rao discussed with Chief Minister and instructed C.E, to collect funds as C. M. has given clearance. Sri S. Prabhakar collected three lakhs from well reputed contractors, while Sri M. Koti Reddy could not do so, as he was newly established. He called for the tenders of work in his circle at Huzurabad and issued tenders to all contractors. On the tender day, he called the contractors and asked them not to give competitive rates as he has to collect party funds. They accepted his advice. Mr. M. Koti Reddy allotted works to some contractors with assurance that others will get work in next batch. Sri Koti Reddy collected minimum of Rupees fifty thousand per kilometre from those contractors to whom work was given and collected in all about Rupees ten lakhs. The C.E did not interfere in this type of allotment of work keeping in view the honour of the minister. In the second batch of work, when many contractors came to tender, they were again told not to tender, as Party funds are to be collected. The contractors started blaming the Department and the minister for the wrong way of things that were being done. The contractors were forcibly stopped from tendering for works. The CE could not interfere because of the Minister.

All the while, I was watching the situation of Huzurabad from my work at L. M. Dam and keeping the CE informed of it. I felt that the officers are involving minister and Chief Minister for their own selfish motives.

Sri D. L. N. Raju, Chief Engineer was to retire on 1-11-79 but due to the announcement by the Chief Minister of increase in retirement age from 55 to 58, he was retained and was naturally happy. He therefore, called the SE's Sri K. Venkata Reddy and M. Koti Reddy and informed them that Rupees five lakhs are to be given to the Chief Minister for the Parliamentary Election Fund in a week's time. The S. Es could not collect that amount in such a short time and therefore, they asked me to pay it so that they could give it back to me when collected by them in usual course. I could however give only three lakhs. Having collected two lakhs from others, we went to the C.E. with Rupees five lakhs.

The tender date for four earth work tenders was approaching, I decided to quote and went to Huzarabad. There were rumour spread there that nobody can tender without the knowledge of the
SE and if anyone does so, the tender will be torn. I asked P. A. to S. E. to show the tender box. He said it was with the S. E. I went to S. E.'s room and put the tender in it. The S. E. asked all the contractors not to put any of their tenders in the box. He threatened Sathyanarayana Reddy. Contractor, not to tender, as otherwise he would report to Sri Ganga Reddy, Minister about his behaviour. I asked Koti Reddy that it is not fair on his part to do like this in the name of Chief Minister. On coming out S. E.'s room, my son-in-law, Sri P. Krishna Reddy persuaded me to withdraw and accordingly I withdrew the tender.

From that day onwards, Koti Reddy prejudiced about me and started spreading stories about me that I opposed to fund collection of Chief Minister and that my work at L. M. Dam are not favoured by the Executive Engineer and Suprintending Engineer and that there are malpractices in my work. I was told that he advised the Chief Minister for the transfer of the E. E., Sri V. R. K. Murthy and the S. E., Sri K. Venkata Reddy from LMD as well as to put the State Intelligence Department to catch me on some irregularity. The State Intelligence staff came to my camp several times, but could not find anything wrong. However the E. E. and the S. E. were transferred and ultimately C. E. was also transferred.

One day I met Smt. Uma Devi, MLC and explained to her my misfortunes and the misunderstanding the Chief Minister as about me. I am the Joint secretary of Builders Federation and also voluntarily paid from the Federation for Assembly elections to Chief Minister towards Party fund a sum of rupees fifty thousand. She was present at that time. Knowing me from that time, she said that she will try to clear the misunderstanding at appropriate time. Similarly, I explained my worries to Sri P. Govardhan Reddy, MLA, whom I know from a long time.

During this period I happened to meet some of the legislators and offered my full co-operation in supporting Chief Minister. Along with many of them I have entertained Press etc. I have also provided car and I was with them Sri Dronamraju Sathyanarayana, Smt. Prabhavathamma Sri K. Venkata Ramaiah, Sri K. V. Pathi, Sri R. Changa Reddy and others, to keep press in good humour with my own car.

I am an admirer of your dynamic leadership and feel that you can alone give leadership to our people. I used to take the advise of Sri P. Goverdhan Reddy and acting accordingly. Our admirers want to entertain the press and were trying for some money. When I came to know this, I offered to collect Rs. 2,00,000, but Sri Baga Reddy and others did not favour. I offered Rs. 25,000/- for this purpose but on the advice of Sri Govardhan Reddy I paid Rs. 50,000/- as my per-
I came personally to pay you and I was directed to pay to Sri Baga Rddy through Smt. Uma Devi and Smt. Goverdhan Reddy. I did it through Sri Goverdhan Reddy. I kept it secret and did not reveal to anybody so far.

I humbly submit, Sir, that I am a staunch follower of you. During your visit to the project, the North Canal irrigation, I arranged a car and a guide, and I never used public transport. I am a simple and straightforward person. I left for Kott Reddy who made about a score of tenders in the public. Only the tenders of Kott Reddy are pending and I have not used my well wishes. But today he is an associate of R. Ram, D. V. Noor and Bhaskara Rao. He is openly preparing for the C.M. is going away though I have been telling M.L.A.s and Officials that he C.M. is going to remain in office for a long time. From the above, you may judge. Sir, that S. M. Kott Reddy has quoted the name of Pochampally Project as well as tarnished the image of the Chief Minister in the eyes of the public.

I have put forth these facts and incidents that have taken place and leave the rest to you for appropriate action.

Thanking you and remaining ever your well wisher.

Your's faithfully,

Sd/- C. Raghava Reddy.

Copy of telegram No. 292, dt. 16.2.81 from C. R. Ghataveddy, Contractor Head Regulator Lower Manair Dam, Karimnagar 505577 addressed to Sri Roman, Secretary, Irrigation and Power, A.P. Hyderabad:

- It has been Sri Kott Reddy, intending contractor practice since last two years to avoid the work in his own name by avoiding competition by all means in the case of present lining tenders also which are due on 17.2.1981 he is adopting the same dubious means to amass public money G. Kott Reddy in collusion with some local contractors relatives and friends designing Karimnagar local contractors to ther athen C. Raghava Reddy as I am ready to tender against his wishes as the Chief Minister is busy with Kott Rally at Delhi to represent the matter. I request you to kindly interfere and order for postponement of the tenders to some later date if the tenders are not postponed.

There is no safety for me competition is only from my side.
Copy of representation dt.20.2.1981 from C.Raghava Reddy, Civil Engineering Contractor, Class I, H.No.2-2-1108/d/A, Indiranagar, Hyderabad addressed to the Honourable Chief Minister, A.P., Hyderabad and copy marked to the Chief Secretary to Government of A.P., the Secretary to Government of A.P., Irr. Pr. Department and the Chief Engineer Irrigation & Power (Pochampad) Project for favour of information and necessary action.

Sir,

I am C.Raghavo, Class-I Contractor doing the works in Pochampad Project since the year 1968. In the past two or three years I have observed many irregularities in the disposal and letting out of works in the construction Circle, Huzurabad of this project and with a view to set right some of them I gave an application to Dr.Channa Reddy Ex-Chief Minister on 24.9.1980. On his laying down the Office as the Chief Minister, I gave an application dated 3.11.80 address to you with a hope that some suitable action will be taken against the erring Officers. I am not aware as to how my applications are being disposed but meanwhile man further irregularities and corrupt practices are being indulged in the construction Circle of Sri Rama Sagar Project at Huzurabad, Karimnagar District. As I am taking stand that there should be fair play and even distribution of works to the deserving contractors, the situation has developed to the extent of openly harrassing me and putting me into a lot of trouble by Sri G.Kotireddy, Superintending Engineer of that Circle and a few of his favourite contractors. I would like to put forth before you briefly some instances wherein I have been put to trouble by him during the disposal of tenders of Kakathiya Canal works in the past six months.

2. Sri G.Kotireddy called for tenders for Canal construction works on 11.11.1981 for which I submitted my tenders along with the other contractors. I happened to be lowest for two works, one a Syphon at KM 201 costing Rs. 43 lakhs and the other B.T.U.T. at KM 207 costing Rs.54 lakhs. Usually Sri Kotireddy disposes of the tenders in a week or so but in my case he kept them pending for nearly two and half months. Even then he recomended for one work of that of Syphon so me and the second work B.T.U.T. to another contractor who was third lowest. On seeing this injustice, I represented my case to the Chief Engineer, SRSProject, Hyderabad who after studying the case ordered that both the works are to be let out to me as I was lowest in tender amount for both those works and accordingly I signed the Agreement Bond for both the works on 16-12-1980 after a lapse of three months. Thus there has been a move on the part of the Superintending Engineer to withhold one work for me merely spite me and to prove my inability to tackle both the same time.
3. On 30-12-1980 Tenders of works costing less than Rs. 5 lakhs each, were disposed of without any open competition and were practically distributed to his favourite contractors '0% excess over estimates. It is to be pointed out that during September 1980 when open tenders were offered the rates were about 8 to 9% less than the estimates. Thus the loss to the Government incurred by this irregular practice of letting out tender is brought to the notice.

4. Again Tenders were called for, for works on 8-1-1980 from Class. II and III Contractors. I was pressured by a batch of contractors sent by the SE not tender for more than one work, so that there may not be any competition. I did not yield to their pressure and tendered for the works which I liked about. When I boldly tendered for some work, several other contractors also tendered and the whole thing came open competition. Seeing this the SE picked up one work of tail channel costing Rs. 23 lakhs in KM 180 of Kakathiya Canal and awarded it to me though I was the Second Lowest in that work.

I was not expecting any work as I was not the lowest in any one of those tenders offered by me. This award took me by surprise and as I was trying to understand the motive and my line of action, the SE sent me a notice that if I do not sign the Agreement Bond by 16-2-81 the tender of mine will be cancelled. I had no alternative but to sign the Agreement as instructed. When the SE during September considered that I was not capable to handle two works, it is surprising how he considered me capable now and gave me a third work as well, even though I was not the lowest.

5. Some more works costing less than Rs. 5 lakhs each were to be let out and he had originally fixed the tender date for these as 9-1-81. As there was open competition and tenders received on 8-1-1981 were about 10 to 15 percent less than estimate, the SE postponed the date for these works to 20-1-81 and on 20-1-81 awarded the works with limited tender to his favourites as usual with excess percentages over the estimates.

6. The SE had called for tenders for fifteen works of canal lining in Concrete fixing the last date for tender as 17-2-1981. Again a batch of contractors from Karimnagar encouraged by the SE, Huzurabad approached me for restraining me from tendering openly for the lining work. As I had not given them any response, one party of them came over to Hyderabad on 14-2-1981 to pressurise for a compromise and not for an open tender. I rejected their negotiation. Another party approached me at Karimnagar on 15-2-1981 and harassed me for not tendering for the works. As I did not yield to their pressure or request, they threatened that I shall be dealt suitably
by them for my non-co-operation. As I had made up my mind to tender for works with a view to make the competition fair and ensure savings to Government and at the same time I was made to feel that I am in a dangerous plight, I sent telegrams on 16-2-1981 to the Chief Minister of A.P. Chief Secretary to Govt. of A.P., Secretary to Govt. Irrigation, A.P. Chief Engineer, I&P D, SRS Project, Hyderabad with a request to see that that tender date is postponed due to a very unscrupulous atmosphere. On the morning of 17.2. 1981, the party that met me on 15.2.1981, approached once again and almost forced me to surrender my tender schedules to them in order to totally prevent me from tendering for the works. They also told me that the SE, Huzurabad will not tolerate people tendering for works openly. They said they are helpless with the SE, who will not act as per rules laid down for letting out the works. However as I was determined to tender openly in the face of all till the danger, I proceeded to Huzurabad and put my tenders in the box at 2.35 F.M. When the time given was upto 3.00 P.M. I observed that along with me only A.P. State Construction Corporation was allowed to tender and none else was permitted to put his tenders in the box. I also saw Sri K. Butcha Reddy, Contractor and the representatives of M/s. Lakshman Singh and Brothers were forcibly stopped from putting their tenders in the box. There was a big confusion and struggle at that time in that place. the SE has a plan to give some works to his chosen contractors on higher rates.

7 From the facts mentioned above it can be seen that the SE, Huzurabad is utilising unethical ways in letting out the works totally opposed to all the rules of procedure and thereby causing huge loss to the Government. He is intimidating contractors and officers who do not accept his line of action. There are five circles in this Project from Pochampad dam up to Warangal along with the Canal. Only Huzurabad Circle is practising this method whereas the other four circles are functioning with normal procedure and following the rules laid down. I am bringing these facts to your kind notice Sir, so that the working of this unprincipled SE may prove as a lesson to other erring officers.

8. I, therefore, request you, sir, that an immediate enquiry may be conducted in to the working of Huzurabad Construction Circle by interrogating the affected contractors and local MLAs of that area. I consider that the present SE is highly prejudiced against me and it will be very trying and difficult for me to work under him with large works let out to me keeping to correct schedules. As this Kakathiya Canal is a priority project I once again request you Sir, for taking
immediate suitable action to set right the working of this important construction circle at Huzurabad.

Thanking you, I remain ever,

Yours obediently,

Sd/-C.Raghava Reddy.

9-30 p.m.
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—I most congratulate the Minister on placing on the Table of the House a very interesting and revealing document. This document contains allegations against, Koti Reddy but also number of Ministers and Legislators. I quote from the document because it is placed on the Table of the House, is now the property of the House. In this document, allegations have been levelled against the Minister, Sudhakar Rao Gru and Mr. Bhaqkar Rao, Mr. Baga Reddy, the then Chief Minister Dr. Cenana Reddy and a number of engineers including Mr. Koti Reddy, S.E. and a number of legislators. Some legislators have been cited as witnesses, viz., Smt. Uma Devi, Mr. Govardhan Reddy, Smt. Prabhavathamma and so on and so forth. I am not saying that all these allegations are correct or incorrect. Will the Minister agree for a high-powered enquiry - not A.C.B. but C.B.I. enquiry.

(Smt. Prabhavathamma wanted to speak)

I did noL mean anything against Smt. Prabhavathamma. What I mean to say is that so many names have referred to as witnesses for deals as recipients of money. So this particular document contains grave allegations against S.E., Koti Reddy and a number of Ministers. Will the Hon’ble Minister agree for an enquiry into the contents of the entire letter.

Sri G.V. Sudhakar Rao:—I wish Mr. Jaipal Reddy to go into the type of allegations that have been made. For the information of the House, there is an allegation that G.V. Sudhakar Rao, Minister was trying for collection of party funds through the S.E. without the knowledge of the then Chief Engineer. It cannot be an allegation. The wording is important.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—I accept the interpretation.

Sri G.V. Sudhakar Rao:—Therefore, on the basis of that I request, I appeal to you, Mr. Speaker, to go through these allegations in this petition. If you are convinced that there are specific allegations which really have to be examined by the House, I think it is appropriate in the interests of the House and in the interests of the Minister that there should be not only an enquiry, but even a public enquiry. Before that, I appeal to the speaker to go through these allegations to satisfy himself because you are also a lawyer - whether these allegations are having any basis or they are only vague, irrelevant and irresponsible utterances.

(Sri G.V. Sudhakar Rao:—Therefore, on the basis of that I request, I appeal to you, Mr. Speaker, to go through these allegations in this petition.)
Baga Reddy on the instructions of the Minister. They have paid to somebody. Unfortunately he has mentioned the names of the legislators also. Is not his responsibility as Head of the Department or the concerned Minister to go in for a House Committee.

Sri G. V. Sudhakara Rao:—I do not know— I have categorically and clearly stated before this House and I go on record—these allegations as I have read out are not substantiated, are vague, irresponsible and motivated. Therefore instead of going to the House Committee, I am appealing to the Speaker—let the Speaker take the responsibility to go through these allegations and then decide whether it is a matter for the House Committee and if the Speaker agrees to a House Committee, I shall have no objection. But what I mean is that the House Committee should not be involved in all sorts of allegations because it is a question of the prestige of the House itself.

Legislators' names are also mentioned; my name is there. It is our duty to explain our position. Otherwise tomorrow everybody will blame us. We should be very careful in public life. Therefore I only request you...

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Did the Speaker allow a document which is not read in the House, to be read in the House now.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—I would like to know what paper was given to the Speaker by the Member.
Mr. Speaker:—I have not yet seen it. I would like to ask whether this Assembly wants to convert itself into a Court.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—This is the proper forum to ventilate this sort of scandal.

Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Sundarayya wants to say something. Let us hear him.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—That whole document should be placed on the Table of the House. Once he reads from any document or any paper, that whole issue should be placed on the Table of the House.

Mr. Speaker:—What the hon. Member said is—this is a letter to the Hon'ble Speaker, A. P. Legislative Assembly, Hyderabad. "Sir, a letter of mine written to Dr. Chennar Reddy, the then Chief Minister, ..."

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—On a point of order, if some individual writes, will the Speaker take cognisance of the same in the House.

Mr. Speaker:—I am not taking cognisance. You want me that I should read. You people wanted this to be placed on the Table of the House.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—I want to assure Sri Govardhanreddy that we are not afraid that something will come out of this. You can place it on the Table.

Mr. Speaker:—People cannot blow hot and cold. When the letter is given I pushed it there. I did not even see. When you members say it must be placed on the Table, I took it and read it.

(Interjections)

Mr. Speaker:—Whether there is something wrong or not it is for me to decide.
5th May, 1981.

Short Notice Question and Answer

Mr. Speaker—When a member raises a point of order, it is for me to say whether there is any point of order. You cannot go on shouting "There is no point of order.

Interuptions)

Mr. Speaker:—The Minister has already placed it.

9-50 a.m.

Mr. Speaker:—There is no question of point of order in this because it is already placed on the Table of the House.

Mr. Speaker:—No, no

Sri M. Venkatesh Naidu:—My submission is that members whose names are mentioned in this petition must be given an opportunity.

Mr. Speaker:—I want to given each one of you 2 or 3 minutes to speak on this.
Short Notice Question and Answer.
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We are not going to tolerate ourselves. You also give us an opportunity. You are not going to tolerate whom?

Mr. Speaker:—You are not going to tolerate whom?

We are not going to tolerate ourselves. You also give us an opportunity.

Mr. Speaker:—Lave it to me.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—This is a letter addressed by the contractor to the then Chief Minister when he was in office copies marked to Irrigation Secretary and Chief Secretary. In that letter he did not make an allegation. Since he was writing to the then Chief Minister he thought he was making assertions and affirmations; he did not know they were allegations. It is clearly said that he had given money to the then Chief Minister through many friends, he also asserted. I am deliberately not using the word “alleged” that so many engineers had collected the money. He asserted in his letter that he had given not less than Rs. 3 lakhs on the eve of the last Lok Sabha it contains a very serious matter. The contractor may be responsible or irresponsible, but I should say he had written this letter to the Chief Minister with a lot of sense of responsibility because he was writing to the Chief Minister to win over, not to hurt him. Will the Minister in the light of this letter agree for a high-powered enquiry into the contents of the whole letter. I do not mind opportunity being given to the hon. Members to explain themselves because their honour is involved.

I take it as a privilege issue involving my name in this letter.
Mr. Speaker:— The point is I have to go through the letter first and then have to decide whether it is a genuine letter; then I have to decide whether I can take cognisance of it.

Sri P. Govardhan Reddy:— If we can't explain our position, it will all be one-sided.

Sri P. Govardhan Reddy:— Nobody was able to show a black-spot in my career.

Sri P. Govardhan Reddy:— H'has mentioned Baga Reddy's name in the context of the campaign committee. There was no campaign committee. We never collected any amount. The contents of the letter are mischievous and wrong. I request hon. Members not to take cognisance of this letter— particularly a personal letter to Dr. Chenna Reddy to explain his position to win him over. Later on the contractor gave a letter explaining his position that he has not met anybody or given anything for the campaign committee.
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During this period I happened to meet the legislators and offered my full cooperation in supporting the Chief Minister. Along with many of them I have entertained the press, etc. I have also provided a car and I was with them.
ordered for an A.C.B. enquiry itself clinchingly establishes that this has been written with sheer callousness and in an irresponsible manner. In such an even it is appropriate for us to find out what the truth is. The Hon'ble Minister must take steps to see that the truth is placed before the House.

Mr. Speaker:—Is your name also there?
Sri K. Venkatarmayya:—Yes.
Mr. Speaker:—Anyway we have spent sufficient time on this.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:—I am asking the Minister to speak.

Sri G. V. Sudhakara Rao:—Sir, here, I want to mention only two or three points. Firstly, I have placed the applications of Sri Koti Reddy and Sri Raghava Reddy on the Table of the House while answering the question because if I have to go every illustration, every misdeed, probably this House would not have had the necessary patience to bear with me along with the lengthy answer. I thought the best thing would be to place all the allegations before the House. Secondly, ri Venkaiah Naidu has rightly pointed out is it not the responsibility of the Minister, once certain allegations are made, to enquire into that. I have already said that enquiry has been made. Here, if you permit I quote extracts from the Chief Engineer's report. "In fact it is seen from the statement of works that out of 168 works registered therein there are only 4 cases,
5th May, 1981.

Short Notice Question and Answer.

in which single tenders were accepted and in all other cases, 2 or more tenders have been received. In conclusion, I would like to state that Sri G. Koti Reddy is a very efficient officer but for whose initiative and zeal, the escalator of the canal below lower Maneru dam up to Honumakonda could not have been taken up for investigation and put on ground within a short span of 2 years. It seems to be that the letter of Sri Raghava Reddy is a rambling one containing irresponsible statements about several officials and non-officials made with the sole objective of winning over the then Chief Minister and intending to smash the career of an efficient officer of the Department.

Sri V. Sobhanadreeshwar Rao:—He may be efficient, but he is a corrupt man.

Sri G. V. Sudhakar Rao:— Sir, I would like to go on record.

Mr. Speaker:—You have got a right to say what you want and he has got a right to say what he wants.

Sri G. V. Sudhakar Rao:— Sir, as Minister, I have to punish the corrupt officer and support an efficient officer. That is the only way I can maintain the discipline and morale in the administration. If you see all the documents, I am prepared to submit the entire file to you, we will find that one single individual contractor is trying to hold the department to ransom, which, as long as I am the Minister, will not be tolerated.
Mr. Speaker:—I have to stop it somewhere.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—It can be stopped if the Government agrees for enquiry by the House Committee.

Mr. Speaker:—Even if 250 people talk and suppose they do not say anything, what can we do?
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Mr. Bagareddy, who represents the Government, has offered himself for enquiry.

Mr. Speaker:—This has been made by you: party leader and other Members also.

Sri K. Govinda Rao:—That is true, but sometimes stress is necessary. It is necessary that it should be referred to the House Committee. That is all what I want.

Mr. Speaker:—Have you got to make any further statement?

Sri G. V. Sudhakar Rao:—Only to one point I would like to draw...........

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir on a point of order. Quite a few hon. Members favoured an enquiry including those whose names were referred to rightly or wrongly. What is significant is that Mr. Bagareddy, with great moral courage, offered himself for enquiry. Mr. Bagareddy is not merely a Member of the House. He is a member of the Cabinet. An offer by any member of the Cabinet tantamounts to offer by the Cabinet. He offered himself for an enquiry by the House Committee on behalf of the Government presumably. Therefore, will the Speaker agree to appoint the House Committee?

Mr. Speaker:—There is no point of order.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—My point of order is can a Minister have personal capacity and public capacity on the floor of the house?

Mr. Speaker:—Definitely.
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—No. he is a Minister on the floor of the House.

Mr. Speaker:—Anyway, that is my ruling.

Mr. Speaker:—So Long as I did not give permission, it does not become the House property. After I give permission, it will be House property.

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:—We cannot be kept in darkness about the paper. We must know the contents of that paper.

Mr. Speaker:—Suppose I decide that it should be placed on the Table of the House...

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy—If it is only a defence secret; not these corrupt fellows' secrets.

Mr. Speaker:—You are going into the merits of the case.

Sri. P. Govardhan Reddy:—Since last 14 years I am a Member of this august House. Nobody was able to question my integrity and honesty so far. How can this be left at the mercy of the House. People know, that is why they elected me.
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Mr. Speaker:-"The House has devoted sufficient time on this. The question is, are you prepared for a House Committee?

Sri G. V. Sudhakara Rao:- You want a straight answer from me or shall I explain and answer. I am very glad and I am grateful to the House that some members have expressed confidence on my integrity and honesty. The question, as I told, the members have expressed confidence on my integrity and honesty. It shows how relevant it is. I want the Hon'ble Minister to reveal all the facts so that the honour of the House is protected.

Mr. Speaker:- The honour of the House is never protected. The honour of the House is now extinct. The honour of the House is best protected without the facts given out. The Minister appears to be trying to protect the honour of the House by concealing the facts. I want the Hon'ble Minister to reveal all the facts so that the honour of the House is protected.
I am satisfied that the Superintendent Engineer is efficient and I am also equally satisfied Sir that the contractor is playing politics in creating utter chaos in this and therefore, I am not prepared to accept any House Committee in this matter. If the House has confidence in me and if the Members think that they have so much information, they can pass on to me. About the Guest House to be constructed by Rs. 10 lakhs, I would like to know whether the Superintending Engineer is capable of doing it without approval and sanction of the Government.

Mr. Speaker: Do not go into the details. I would like to ask you one question. You are satisfied about the efficiency and integrity of the Superintending Engineer; are you also satisfied with the allegations made by Mr. Raghava Reddy against various Ministers and Members are also untrue? Did you enquire into that matter and satisfy?

Already work belonging to the contractor has been cancelled because he has not done the work according to the specifications and in time.

Mr. Speaker: I will ask Mr. Ranga Rao as a judge.
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Whether he is honest or not we do not want it. We want to clear ourselves. That is why we want a House Committee to enquire into all these affairs or otherwise an A.C.B. Enquiry.

What is this? I am not able to understand. So far, we have lived with honesty and integrity. We do not want our reputation to go in the people. That is why we want a House Committee, otherwise we are not going to keep quit. We are prepared to discuss it with the Chief Minister. On 3-11-1980 he wrote a letter to the Chief Minister. This is very damaging. They were placed before the House. What is this? We don't allow ourselves to be let down by this. We do not want to be the culprits and we want to clear ourselves. Therefore, we want a House Committee or a Judicial Enquiry to clear ourselves.

Mr. Speaker:—I am going to close this now.
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Sri P. Govardhana Reddy:—( Interruption)

Mr. Speaker:—Again I am asking you......

Sri P. Govardhana Reddy:—You must see our respect also Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—There is the Leader of the House here. He must say something or the Minister must say something. But I cannot say. After Mr. Sudhakara Rao makes the last point, I will make an observation. Please sit down all of you.

Sri Gh V. Sudhakara Rao:—An allegation has been made that I have withdrawn the A.C.B. Enquiry. A reference was made forwarding the petitions also to A.C.B., and a representation was received by the Chief Minister. For the information of the House I want to say that I have no knowledge of the A.C.B. and on 2-12-1980 this matter was closed. Therefore, to allege that I was a Minister withdraw the reference to the A.C.B. is totally false. And moreover, according to the procedure no Minister is entitled to withdraw any enquiry from the A.C.B. except the Chief Minister himself. Probably this fact has not been understood.

Sri P. Govardhana Reddy:—Is he shifting the responsibility on the Chief Minister?

Sri G. V. Sudhakara Rao:—I am only stating the procedure. I am not shifting the responsibility on any one. Secondly, the Hon'ble Member Mr. Sundarayya asked me to apologise to the House. He has found something in my statement provocative but at the same time I also state that as far as my stand is clear, my conscience is there, I do not owe any apology to the House. I have done with the best intention with no mala fides and with all my respect to the House, to take the House into confidence, on issues like this, I should not be aggrieved and the department should not be allowed to suffer.

10.50 a.m. Mr. Speaker:—I am going to close this issue.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Let the Leader of the House say some thing.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

Mr. Speaker:—I have not given permission to anybody to speak. I am going to observe that this matter has been discussed very seriously for a long time and all the Sections of the House have been given permission to express themselves. What I say is that the Minister is adamant in saying that he is not prepared for a House Committee. But the question now is since this matter is very important for the honour of the House, I am going to discuss the matter with the Chief Minister after he comes over here and take a decision later.
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—What is the use of having a Leader of the House?

(INTERUPTIONS)

Mr. Speaker:—Do you want to say any thing?

(INTERUPTIONS)
any one of the Members in the Opposition say genuinely that this is written by Mr. Raghava Reddy, Contractor? Is he prepared to accept the authorship of that letter?

(INTERRUPTIONS)

It may be a pseudonymous letter written by mischievous elements. Naturally, the entire thing may be a fabrication and concocted.....

(INTERRUPTIONS)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— You ask your Cabinet Minister. It is totally erroneous.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— When the Minister has pleased the paper on the table of the House with his own signature, how can another Member of the Cabinet doubt the authenticity of the paper? This shows that the Cabinet is divided.

(INTERRUPTIONS)

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:— Did I every interfere or intervene with your Speeches? Why cannot you permit me to speak? In regard to author-ship, when we refer it back to that man and if that man comes and say that 'it is not mine,' what is that we can do? If you want, I can give you an illustration. I have received a letter from an advocate from Rajahmundry. The letter was marked to him for verification. The very same gentlemen denied having written that letter. The basic factor that has to be established in this letter is, whether it is genuinely written by him or not. If that has been established, certainly we will say whether the House Committee is necessary or a Judicial Committee is necessary. For that we require some time. Atleast time till tomorrow By that time; we will be in a position to verify.....
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: —The letter was written on 24th September, 1980. Hon'ble Minister told here that the preliminary enquiry was conducted and the charge are base-less. Unless you believe the author-ship of the letter, how can you order an enquiry? One Minister take one stand and the Leader of the House denying that, takes another stand.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: —I am not denying. I have got my own doubts. That is what I said, Sir.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: Point of Order Sir. When a Minister has placed a particular document on the Table of the House with his own signature, can another Minister, more particularly, the Leader of the House doubt the veracity of that document?

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: —You are absolutely misleading. What I said is that the genuineness of the statement made by a particular gentleman has to be found out. Whatever letter that was received by the Minister was merely placed on the Table of the House. That is what the Minister said. You are deliberately misleading.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: —When two Ministers contradict each other.....

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: —It is not contradiction. You are attributing......Whether Mr. Jaipal Reddy can say that this letter is written by that gentleman?

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu: —You ask your colleague.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: —Let him ask his colleague, Sir. What happened to my point of order, Sir?

Mr. Speaker: —I have to consider it.

Sri P. Sreerama Murthy: —Sir, Point of order....
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Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:- we will make an enquiry and give out our decision tomorrow.

(Interruption)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:- You see, how your party is functioning.

It was thoroughly proved that the contractor has filed a petition and over that, enquiry was conducted.
Mr. Speaker:—If you do not stop all this, I will have to adjourn the House now.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—By adjournment, whether the problem will be solved?

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, one submission......

Mr. Speaker:—I have made a reasonable suggestion.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—When the Leader of the House is questioning the veracity of the letter?

Sri B. Ayyapu Reddy:—Is there anybody to say that it is a genuine statement?

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—He has stated that he has received a letter alleged to have been signed by so and so......

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—The Leader of the House is misleading the House.

(Interruptions)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—The copy of the representation is addressed to the Chief Minister, copy to the Chief Secretary and Copy to the Chief Engineer......

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:—I have made a submission to the House that this matter will be discussed with the Chief Minister and a decision taken.

(Interruptions)

Sri E. Ayyapa Reddy:—Sir, I move:

"That the entire proceedings relating to this will not be published in the Press till the Speaker is satisfied......" 

(Continuous Interruptions)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—No. No.
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Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Shame, Shame. You are killing the democracy.

(All the Opposition Members stood shouting "No. No."

11-10 a.m.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—If you are satisfied with the Hon’ble Speaker with regard to the checking of the letter of . . . .

(interruptions)

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Please listen, sir. I will take only one minute. Let the Chair hear me.

(interruptions) (Bell)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—We are prepared to hear him provided he would not table a Motion.

(interruptions)

.... all the Opposition Members were standing and drawing the attention of the Chair for not allowing the Leader of the House to continue his speech.

Sri P. Sundaraiah:—Sir, in such matters, let me submit that without consulting the Chief Minister, as a Cabinet colleague, Leader of the House, in principle, cannot agree for a House Committee, whatever the feelings arise here.

(interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:—Please resume your seats. Now I adjourn the House. We will meet again at 11.30 a.m., to-day.

The House then adjourned to meet again at 11.30 a.m.

The House re-assembled at 11.35 a.m.

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)
Mr. Speaker:—Now there are two other questions which are postponed for to-morrow.
Mr. Speaker:—Sir, why should he go. We have got right to know that, but why should he go.

Mr. Speaker:—Anyway that is all over. We will go to the next item.

Mr. Speaker:—Don't question my authority to have given permission. I have already given permission. For whatever the reasons stated for obtaining the permission, I have already given the permission. Now you need not go into the details.

Now let us go for 329.

Mr. Speaker:—I have through it. I have to think to as to how the rights of the members are affected. It is a matter of great concern that such thing had happened. If I have to admit this under Privilege Motion—how is that the action of the Police Commissioner would affect the rights of Members? That aspect I have to think.

Mr. Speaker:—Yes, please I will see it. Let us go to the next business. When I feel and whenever I would like to have some more information from the Hon'ble Member, I will ask all of you.

Mr. Speaker:—That is coming tomorrow. You are again questioning me.
Matters Under Rule 329:

Re: Demolition of houses belonging to poor people at Naidupeta, Nellore Dist.

Mr. Speaker:—I am not giving permission to anybody.

MATTERS UNDER RULE 329

Re:—(1) Demolition of Hundreds of Houses belonging to the poor people at Naidupeta in Nellore District.
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Matter Under Rule 329,
re: Demolition of houses belonging to poor people at Naidupeeta, Nellore Dist.

10. In the light of the information received from the police, it appears that the houses of poor people at Naidupeeta, Nellore Dist., are being taken over by the authorities for demolition. It is alleged that the authorities have been acting arbitrarily and illegally in this matter. The police have been informed and are taking necessary steps to prevent any further demolition of houses.

The matter is referred to the District Magistrate for immediate action.

Rajendra Prasad
District Magistrate
Mr. Speaker:—Let me hear what he says please.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, the eviction took place by the Revenue Department. I am mentioning this statement.

The above encroachments are in existence for the past 15 to 16 years. All these encroachments are situated in the margins of the National High-way and Panchayat Roads, causing obstruction to the free flowing traffic. The Naidupeta town Development Committee passed a Resolution for the eviction of the encroachments. The National High way Department also requested for eviction of all the encroachments laying on the National High way & the Road margins. Alternative sites are provided in GNT road to 59 eligible persons out of 119 residential families evicted. The remaining persons have not opted for the accommodation in the colony. Most of them are either ineligible or preferred to stay in the rented houses in Naidupeta itself. Some of them are having separate houses of their own. Water and Electricity are provided in the colony. Essential commodities are also supplied at control prices under Public Distribution system.
59 families provided with House-sites. 30 families have already erected houses with the material removed from the houses evicted. Other persons are also constructing houses. There is no separate proposal for the construction of houses to the families. However, necessary financial help will be extended to them under the sites & Services Program after receiving necessary budget. Nobody is starving on account of these evictions.

Mr. Speaker: In the meantime, I have also sent our Joint Secretary there to find out as to what has happened.
Matters Under Rule 329:
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re: Demolition of houses belonging to poor people at Naidupeta, Nellore Dist.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice...
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Naidupeta has got a Panchayat. The Panchayat also knows it. So, for the development of Naidupeta, they are the better Judges. 12-00 noon

1-09 noon
Matters Under Rule 329:

5th May, 1981.

re: Development of breaches to the flood banks of Godavari River at Bhadrachalam.

Department of breaches to the flood banks of Godavari River at Bhadrachalam.

Development of Breaches to the Flood Banks of Godavari River at Bhadrachalam.
62 5th May, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:
re Development of breaches to the flood banks of Godavari River at Bhadrachalam.

EG. (a) The following data have been compiled:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Breach Area</th>
<th>Total Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>188.5</td>
<td>180.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>181.0</td>
<td>182.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) The above data indicate an increase in the breach area from 180.0 to 182.0.

(b) The reason for this increase is due to the heavy rainfall and overflow of the river.

(c) Measures are being taken to prevent further breaches and protect the flood banks.

(d) The government has allocated funds for the construction of embankments and other protective measures.

(e) Regular monitoring of the river's flow and level is being carried out to ensure safety.

(f) Public awareness campaigns are being conducted to inform people about the importance of protecting the flood banks.

(g) The above data show the need for continued monitoring and protection of the Godavari River's flood banks.
Calling Attention to the Matters of Urgent Public Importance: 5th May, 1981.

re: Drought condition in Macherla Taluk, Guntur Dist.


(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re: Drought condition in Macherla Taluk, Guntur Dist.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Government have declared 161 Taluks in 14 Districts as drought affected areas, based on the rainfall particulars, crop conditions and the number of dry-spell days. Macherla Taluk in Guntur district recorded 432 mm. of rainfall from the South-West Monsoon during 1980, as against the normal rainfall of 397 mm. It is thus, seen that there was excess rainfall in Macherla Taluk.

However, due to failure of rains in September and a continued dry spell thereafter, water scarcity in the summer months is apprehended in Macherla and the other up-land taluks of Vinukonda and Ipur. Government have released a sum of Rs. 10.00 lakhs for meeting the requirements of drinking water.
5th May, 1981.

Calling Attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Drought condition in Macherla Taluk, Guntur Dist.

[Document content in Telugu script]

[Translation or summary of the document content]
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance,
re: Drought condition to Macherla Taluk Guntur Dist.

Immediate action is taken by the District Collector.

Immediate steps are being taken by the Collectors to proceed with all necessary relief measures.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The notice given by Sri V. Sobhana
dreeswar Rao, Venkateshwara and Sundara Ramaiah is postponed.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—(On behalf of the Chief Minister) Sir, I
beg to lay on the Table copies of the Fifteenth Annual Report of the
Andhra Pradesh Vigilance Commission for the year 1979-80 along with the
memorandum.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir I beg to lay on the Table copies of the
Fifteenth Annual Report of the Andhra Pradesh Vigilance Commission
for the year 1979-80 along with the memorandum.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: Sir, on behalf of the Minister for Revenue
Sri N. Janardhan Reddy, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the
the Andhra Pradesh Land Revenue Remission and Suspension Rules,
1968 and published at pages 1-3 in Part-II of the Andhra Pradesh
Gazette Extraordinary dt.2.4 1981 as required under sub-section (2)
of section 16 of the Andhra Pradesh Land Revenue (Enhancement)
Act, 1967.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, on behalf of Sri K. Rosaiah, Minister
for Transport, I beg to lay on the Table copies of the Annual Accounts
of the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation for the
year 1978-79 as certified by the Accountant General, Andhra Pradesh
together with the Audit Report thereon, along with the Annual Admini-
stration Report for the year 1978-79, as required under sub-section (4)
of Section 33 of the Road Transport Corporations, Act, 1950.

Sri S. Alwardass:—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table copies of the
amendment to the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities Pension-cum-
and published at pages 111 of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette Part-I
Motion under rule 187: 5th May, 1981. 67
re: Leave of absence to Sri G. Venkata-
narayana Reddy.
dt. 5-2-1981, as required under sub-section (2) of Section 327 of the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities Act' 1965.

Sri B. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, on behalf of Sri A. Veerappa' Minister for Power, I lay on the table a copy of Annual Accounts of the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board together with the Audit report thereon for the year 1979-80 as required under sub-section 5 (a) of section 69 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, on behalf of Sri A. Veerappa- Minister for Power, I lay a copy of the Annual Financial statement for the year 1981-82 and supplementary Financial Statement for the year 1980-81 of the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board, as required under sub-section (3) of Section 61 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Papers laid.

PAPERS PLACED ON THE TABLE

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy;—Sir, on behalf of Sri M. Baga Reddy, Minister for Major Industries to place on the Table a copy of the Report covering his visit to Visakhapatnam and Rehabilitation Centre at Pedagantyada on 6-4-1981, in pursuance of the assurance given by him while making a statement in response to a notice under rule 329 on 11-3-1981. (Copy placed in library.)

Sri S. Alwar Dass.—Sir, I beg to place on the Table a copy of the programme of fogging with Tifa machines in the twin cities, in pursuance of the assurance given on the floor of the House on 16-3-1981. (Copy placed in library.)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Papers placed.

MOTION UNDER RULE 187

Sri K.B. Siddaiah:—Sir, I beg to move:

"Under rule 187 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly, leave of absence be granted to Sri G. Venkatanarayana Reddy for this session, as he is ill."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved. The question is:

"Under rule 187 of the Rules of procedure and Conduct of Business in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly, leave of absence be granted to Sri G. Venkatanarayana Reddy for this session, as he is ill."

The motion was adopted and the leave of absence was granted.
GOVERNMENT BILLS


Sri B. Venkatarama Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Nagarjuna University and Kakatiya University Acts (Amendment) Bill, 1981 be taken into consideration."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

12-30 p.m.

GOVERNMENT BILLS


Sri B. Venkatarama Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Nagarjuna University and Kakatiya University Acts (Amendment) Bill, 1981 be taken into consideration."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

12-30 p.m.
Government Bills:


Our amendment is "2 Members elected by the Senate."
70 5th May, 1981. Government Bills:
The Nagarjuna University and Kakatiya University Acts (Amendment) Bill, 1981

The Nagarjuna University and Kakatiya University Acts (Amendment) Bill, 1981

In the statement of objects and reasons, it is stated like this: "In order to enable the University Grants Commission to decide those Universities to receive grants under Section 12-A of the University Grants Commission Act, 1956, it has been decided by the State Government, in consultation with the concerned Universities, to amend Acts..."
72 5th May, 1981.


Government Bills:

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)
Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"That the Nagarjuna University and Kakatiya University Acts (Amendment) Bill, 1981 be taken into consideration."

The Motion was adopted.

CLAUSE 2

Mr. Speaker:—Amendments moved.

CLAUSE 2

Sri P. Sundaraiah:—Sir, I beg to move:

"In sub-clause (1) of clause 2, delete item (ii)"  
"In sub-clause (1) of clause 2, for ',(iii) a nominee of the Syndicate', substitute, "two nominees elected by the Senate."

Mr. Speaker:—Amendments moved.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"That the Nagarjuna University and Kakatiya University Acts (Amendment) Bill, 1981 be taken into consideration."

The Motion was adopted.

CLAUSE 2

Sri P. Sundaraiah:—Sir, I beg to move:

"In sub-clause (1) of clause 2, delete item (ii)"  
"In sub-clause (1) of clause 2, for ',(iii) a nominee of the Syndicate', substitute, "two nominees elected by the Senate."

Mr. Speaker:—Amendments moved.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"That the Nagarjuna University and Kakatiya University Acts (Amendment) Bill, 1981 be taken into consideration."

The Motion was adopted.
5th May, 1981.


Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"In sub-clause (1) of Clause 2, delete item (ii)."

(Pause)

The amendment was negatived.
Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"In sub-clause (1) of Clause 2 for "(iii) a nominee of the syndicate", substitute "(iii) two nominees elected by the Senate."

(Sri P. Sundarayya pressed for division.)

The House divided thus:

Ayes: 12
Neos: 41
Neutrals: Nil

The amendment was negatived.

Sri N. S. N. Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

"In sub-clause (1) of Clause 2 for "(iii) a nominee of the Syndicate.", substitute "(iii) the Chief Justice of High Court of the State."

Mr. Speaker:—Amendment moved.

(Pause)

The question is:

"In sub-clause (1) of Clause 2 for "(iii) a nominee of the Syndicate.", substitute (iii) the Chief Justice of High Court of the State."

(Pause)

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"That Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill."

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

Clause 3:

Sri P. Sundaraiah:—Sir, We are not moving our amendments.

Sri N. S. N. Reddy:—Sir, I am also not moving my amendment.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

That Clause 3 do stand part of the Bill.

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and Clause 3 was added to the Bill.

Clause 1, Enacting Formula and Long Title.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"That Clause 1, Enacting Formula, and Long Title of the bill do stand part of the Bill."

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and Clause 1 Enacting Formula and Long Title were added to the Bill.
5th May, 1981.

The A. P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.

Sri B. VenkataramaReddy : —Sir, I beg to move:

That the Nagarjuna University and Kakatiya University Acts (Amendment) Bill, 1981 be passed.

Ms. Speaker: —Motion moved.

The question is:

That the Nagarjuna University and Kakatiya University Acts (Amendment) Bill, 1981 be passed.

(Pause)

The Motion was adopted and the Bill was passed.

THE ANDHRA PRADESH GRAM PANCHAYATS AND PANCHAYAT SAMITHIS AND ZILLA PARISHADS ACTS (SECONDS AMENDMENT) BILL, 1981.

Sri P. Seshavataram : —Sir, I beg to move:

That the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981 be taken into consideration.

Mr. Speaker: —Motion moved.

1-00 p.m.

Sri B. Venkatarama Reddy: —Asadha, naga saha * * * saha, naga saha 100.

Sri P. Seshavataram: —Asadha, naga saha * * * saha, naga saha 100.
Government Bills:

The A. P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.

5th May, 1981.
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మయెల్లో, ప్రభుత్వం తయారుచేసిన విభాగానికి ప్రతిస్థానంతో సంబంధించిన ప్రాముఖ్యత వాస్తవాలక విస్తరణ ప్రారంభం, మరియు తరువాత నిర్మాణం నిర్మాణానికి ప్రారంభించారు. మూడు మేసన్ని వారివల్ల ముందు నిర్మాణం ప్రారంభం తయారుచేసిన ప్రాముఖ్యత వాస్తవాలక విస్తరణ ప్రారంభం, మరియు తరువాత నిర్మాణం నిర్మాణానికి ప్రారంభించారు. మూడు మేసన్ని వారివల్ల ముందు నిర్మాణం ప్రారంభం తయారుచేసిన ప్రాముఖ్యత వాస్తవాలక విస్తరణ ప్రారంభం, మరియు తరువాత నిర్మాణం నిర్మాణానికి ప్రారంభించారు. మూడు మేసన్ని వారివల్ల ముందు నిర్మాణం ప్రారంభం తయారుచేసిన ప్రాముఖ్యత వాస్తవాలక విస్తరణ ప్రారంభం, మరియు తరువాత నిర్మాణం నిర్మాణానికి ప్రారంభించారు.
3rd May, 1981.

Government Bills:

(2) The A. P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.

1-00 p.m.

ముఖ్యమంత్రి నాయకుడు ప్రతిభ విశేషాత్మక సాహిత్యం.

ఈ సమమానం ప్రాంగణంలో ప్రాంగణం లభించింది.

ఈ సమమానంలో, సమాంతర కంట్రోల్ ప్రాంగణంలో ప్రాంగణం లభించింది.

కారణంగా, సంస్థలు సాధారణంగా ప్రాంగణం లభించింది.

ఈ సమమానంలో, సమాంతర కంట్రోల్ ప్రాంగణంలో ప్రాంగణం లభించింది.

కారణంగా, సంస్థలు సాధారణంగా ప్రాంగణం లభించింది.

ఈ సమమానంలో, సమాంతర కంట్రోల్ ప్రాంగణంలో ప్రాంగణం లభించింది.

కారణంగా, సంస్థలు సాధారణంగా ప్రాంగణం లభించింది.

ఈ సమమానంలో, సమాంతర కంట్రోల్ ప్రాంగణంలో ప్రాంగణం లభించింది.

కారణంగా, సంస్థలు సాధారణంగా ప్రాంగణం లభించింది.

ఈ సమమానంలో, సమాంతర కంట్రోల్ ప్రాంగణంలో ప్రాంగణం లభించింది.

కారణంగా, సంస్థలు సాధారణంగా ప్రాంగణం లభించింది.

ఈ సమమానంలో, సమాంతర కంట్రోల్ ప్రాంగణంలో ప్రాంగణం లభించింది.

కారణంగా, సంస్థలు సాధారణంగా ప్రాంగణం లభించింది.

ఈ సమమానంలో, సమాంతర కంట్రోల్ ప్రాంగణంలో ప్రాంగణం లభించింది.

కారణంగా, సంస్థలు సాధారణంగా ప్రాంగణం లభించింది.
Government Bills:

5th May, 1981.

The A. P. Gram Panchayat and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.

గోVERNMENT Bill: The A. P. Gram Panchayat and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.
The A. P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.

5th May, 1981.

Government Bills :

The A. P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.
Government Bills:
The A.P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.

5th May, 1981.

The A. P. Oram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.
82 5th May, 1981.

Government Bills:
The A.P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.

The A.P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.
The A.P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.
5th May, 1981

Government Bills:
The A.P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.

There is an Act passed by this House. Is it not respected to-day? For this, why are they expecting an Ordinance? Why should they have such doubts and is it to say that they wanted the House should be by-passed. This House is having Act before it and it is going to the Polls with the Act.
Government Bill:
5th May, 1981.
The A.P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.

and how an able Leader of the Opposition like Sri Sundarayya garu has got a doubt about these things?
Government Bills:
The A.P Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Sanithus and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.
88 5th May, 1981.

Government Bills:
The A.P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.

(1) The Third provision for the words, to the population of the district, “to the areas” is printed. It is wrong. It should be corrected as “in areas”.

(2) 5th May, 1981. 2-00 p.m.
Government Bills:

The A.P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.

The bill seeks to amend the existing provisions of the A.P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act to provide for the maintenance of 25% of the total development work expenses from the grants-in-aid received by the gram panchayats, panchayat samithis, and zilla parishads. The amendment also provides for the utilisation of the development work expenses from the grants-in-aid received by the gram panchayats, panchayat samithis, and zilla parishads.

3. [Amendment]: 25% grants-in-aid to be utilized in 15% education.
90 5th May, 1981.

Government Bills:
The A.P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.

The A.P. Gram Panchayats nod panchawt sannthis and Zilia Pa^sh^ds Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.

In the third proviso after the words "in the scheduled areas" the words "where the population of S. T., in the village is not less than 25" shall be inserted...

The A P Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.

...
The A.P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981.

Mr. Speaker:—Now the question is:

that "The Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Acts (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981 be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2

Sri P. Seshavatharam:—Sir, I move:

For Sub-clause (a) (1) of Clause (2) substitute the following:

(a) in the third proviso, after the words 'in the Scheduled Areas', the words 'where the population of the Scheduled Tribes in the village is not less than twenty five' shall be inserted and for the words 'to the total population of the district', the words 'in the areas other than the Scheduled Areas of the District in which this Act extends, to the total population in such areas' shall be substituted.

Mr. Speaker:—Amendment moved.

The question is:

"For Sub-Clause (a) (1) of Clause (2) substitute the following:

(a) in the third proviso, after the words 'in the Scheduled Areas', the words 'where the population of the Scheduled Tribes in the village is not less than twenty five', shall be inserted and for the words 'to the total population of the district', the words 'in the areas other than the Scheduled Areas of the District in which this Act extends, to the total population in such areas' shall be substituted."

The amendment was carried.
Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

clause 2, as amended, do stand part of the Bill.

(pause)

The motion was adopted and clause 2, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

clause 3, 1, Enacting Formula and Long Title do form part of the Bill.

(pause)

The motion was adopted and clauses 3, 1, Enacting Formula and Long Title were added to the Bill.

Sri P. Seshavataram:—Sir, I beg to move:

The Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Acts (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981 be passed.

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

(pause)

The question is:

The Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Acts (Second Amendment) Bill, 1981 be passed.

(pause)

The motion was adopted and the Bill was Passed.

Mr. Speaker:—Now the House stands adjourned to meet again at 8.30 A.M. on 6th May, 1981.

(The House then adjourned to meet again at 8.30 A.M. on Wednesday, the 6th May, 1981.)