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re: (3) Detention of Congress(I) workers at Alwala and Nidadamannur Police Stations of Nalgonda.

Papers Laid on the Table.

Papers Placed on the Table:

Motion Under Rule 187 for leave of absence.

Presentation of the Reports of the Committee on petitions

Presentation of the Reports of the Committee of Privileges.

Adoption of the Reports of the Committee of Privileges.

Government Bill:


Message from the Hon. Chairman Legislative Council.

Announcement:

re: Statistics for the Meeting of the Assembly.
MATTERS UNDER RULE 329

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—We will t.k. up matters under Rule 329.

Sri G. Sundararamaiah:—Before taking up the business, with your permission I would like to bring an important subject, viz., Krishna waters—for which notice was given under Rule 329 but it was not admitted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am not allowing that.

Sri G. Sundararamaiah:—I am not going to say that the decision is wrong. But some more correct decisions should have been mentioned.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—No, I am not allowing that.

Re: (1) Location of Supreme Court Bench at Hyderabad.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—I may be permitted to read the statement in English. The proposal relating to the location of the Bench of Supreme Court has been engaging the attention of the Government for sometime past. It has been discussed in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly on 22.9.1978 when it came up as a non-official resolution and the Legislative Assembly has adopted the following resolution:—“This House recommends to the State Government to move the Central Government for the location of a Bench of the Supreme Court in the South, preferably at Hyderabad for serving the needs of the litigant public of the Southern States.”
The above resolution has been communicated to the Government of India for necessary action. The resolution has been sent to the Central government as Article 130 of the Constitution of India empowers the Chief Justice of India to appoint any place other than Delhi for sitting of the Supreme Court with the approval of the President of India. Subsequently our Chief Minister himself took up the matter with the Minister for Law, Justice and Company affairs, Government of India requesting for the location of a Bench of the Supreme Court at Hyderabad to serve the interests of the public. Organisations like the Bar Council of the State of Andhra Pradesh and the All India Association of Democratic Lawyers, Andhra Pradesh had adopted resolutions demanding the location of a Bench of the Supreme Court at Hyderabad. The resolutions were also forwarded to the Government of India. Later, having understood that an opportunity arose and that the question of location of a Bench of the Supreme Court of India in the southern region of our country was under consideration, the Chief Minister and I brought to the notice of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court as well as the Union Law Minister the suitability of Hyderabad for the location of a Bench of the Supreme Court. We have emphasised the geographical importance and the infrastructure available here and stressed how eminently Hyderabad is suited for this purpose. We offered to make available suitable buildings also for the location of the Bench and also to extend all required facilities. Our views will certainly be taken into account. It will thus be seen that the Government of Andhra Pradesh are quite prompt and have taken all possible action in this matter and will continue to pursue this.

re: (2) Unlawful custody and inhuman treatment meted out to C. P. I. Workers in Ramannapet by the S. I. Police, Madhira.

(2) Placing of large scale orders for the supply of drill bits to M/s. Sajanam Enterprises by the Singareni Collieries.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sri Baga Reddy is just on his way to come here. This may be passed over for a little while.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Why has the Minister not come in time.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—The Speaker should take notice of the Minister's absence.

re: (2) Unlawful custody and inhuman treatment meted out to C. P. I. workers in Ramannapet, by the S. I. Police, Madhira.
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:

(2) Unlawful custody and inhuman treatment meted out to C.P.I. Workers in Ramannapet by the S. I Police, Madhira.

Dear Sir,

We are submitting a complaint under Rule 329 of the Police Rules regarding the unlawful custody and inhuman treatment meted out to C.P.I. Workers in Ramannapet by the S. I Police, Madhira. The S. I Police, Madhira, has been accused of unlawful custody and inhuman treatment of C.P.I. Workers in Ramannapet.

We request you to take immediate action to investigate the matter and to ensure that the offenders are punished accordingly.

Yours truly,
[signature]
Mr. Deputy Speaker—Regarding matter on No. 4 on the list the members are not pressing. It is withdrawn.

Mr. E. Ayyapu Reddy—Sir, the main cause for the offence is that the complainant Smt. K. Kanakamma, a Harijan Christian has not agreed to the compromise mooted by Sri Narayana Reddy with her brother. Sri K. Samuel was beaten and injured on head by Madiga Hanumanthu and others, which assault was registered in Case No. 16/81 under Section 324 IPC of Gudivandla Police Station. The House of Smt. Kanakamma, Madiga Samuel and eight others was looted and the House was beaten and injured in police custody. The case was registered in Case No. 15/81 under Sections 395, 376 and 342 IPC against 10 accused, of whom Madiga Samuel, Madiga Hanumanthu and Harijan. Accused Madiga Samuel was alleged to have damaged the goods and stolen the house of Smt. Kanakamma. Further, the main cause is the refusal of the complainant to agree to the compromise.
Matters Under Rule 329: 
re (3) Alleged rape of Smt. Kanakamma, 
school teacher, Gilarakalagudur, Kurnool Dist. 

arrested on 11-11-1981 and sent for remand and he is still in judicial custody. Property worth Rs. 100/- was recovered from him. Accused Narayan Reddy, Nageshwar Reddy and Kurva Yellaiah were granted anticipatory bail by the Second Additional Dist. Magistrate, Kurnool on 4-11-1981. In spite of making all out efforts to trace the absconding accused, the remaining six accused are still at large and efforts are being made to apprehend the accused and recover the stolen property. Smt. Kanakamma was got examined by the Medical officer. The clothes of Smt. Kanakamma worn by her at the time of offence were sent for Chemical Examination through the court and the report is awaited. The investigation disclosed that the offence was committed not with any intention to harass the weaker sections, viz., Harijans but only due to personal feud. The chargesheet in this case will be filed after receipt of the Chemical Examiner's report and apprehension of the six more accused. The complainant is staying at Kurnool along with her husband and adequate police bandobast has been made at her residence.
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329 : 377

re : (3) Alleged rape of Smt. Kanakamma, school teacher, Gilikalagudur, Kurnool Dist.

Sri N. Ganapathi Rao:—But, he is depending upon the reports of the Police people. Mr. B.G. Prakasam is the Chairman of Civil Liberties Committee. He himself is reporting the matter before you. He is giving the report on police version only. I request the hon. Minister to go there and investigate the matter.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—You are making an issue out of it. He also comes from that district.

Sri N. Ganapathi Rao:—But, he is depending upon the reports of the Police people. Mr. B.G. Prakasam is the Chairman of Civil Liberties Committee. He himself is reporting the matter before you. He is giving the report on police version only. I request the hon. Minister to go there and investigate the matter.

(9.00 a.m.)

Sri N. Ganapathi Rao:—But, he is depending upon the reports of the Police people. Mr. B.G. Prakasam is the Chairman of Civil Liberties Committee. He himself is reporting the matter before you. He is giving the report on police version only. I request the hon. Minister to go there and investigate the matter.

(9.00 a.m.)

Sri N. Ganapathi Rao:—But, he is depending upon the reports of the Police people. Mr. B.G. Prakasam is the Chairman of Civil Liberties Committee. He himself is reporting the matter before you. He is giving the report on police version only. I request the hon. Minister to go there and investigate the matter.

(9.00 a.m.)

Sri N. Ganapathi Rao:—But, he is depending upon the reports of the Police people. Mr. B.G. Prakasam is the Chairman of Civil Liberties Committee. He himself is reporting the matter before you. He is giving the report on police version only. I request the hon. Minister to go there and investigate the matter.
Matters Under Rule 329:

(4) Sale of 400 acres of land by the Gurukul Institution.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, the Gurukul Institution.....

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, what happened to the Revenue Minister?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—He has taken the permission.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Permission to go out during the Assembly session? What is this? The other day we have accepted because you said one day, every day.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—He must take the permission of the House.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Permission of the Speaker is there.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Even if the permission of the Speaker is there, I take the liberty to say that this is not a good practice to go out of the House every day. Yesterday Seven Ministers were absent. What is this?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The discretionary power of the Speaker...

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, must I take the permission of the Speaker?

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—When I am inside, how can the Minister say that I have taken him outside? He is not such a light weight to be taken away.

re : (4) Sale of land by Gurukul Institution, Madhavpur, Ranga Reddy Dist.

...
21st November, 1981.

Matter, Under Rule 329, re: (5) Delay in execution of second phase of Sreramsagar project.

Sri B. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, we congratulate the Member for bringing this to our notice. Anyhow, there appears to be some mistake. The Gurukul institute was not allotted any land by the Government either at Madarpur or Guttala Begumpet village of Rajendranagar taluk. There is also no such proposal under consideration. However, an enquiry made by the Tahsildar revealed that the Gurukul institution Ghatkesar is holding patta lands in Ijjamagur and Khammammet villages of Rajendranagar taluk and that the President of the institution has sold away these lands. If these assigned lands have been sold away unauthorisedly against the conditions of the assignment, the Government will certainly take serious and severe action and prosecute them for violating the conditions of the assignment. We are thankful to Mr. Shivial for bringing this to the notice of the Government.

(Matter under Rule 329, o1 No. 7 of the list was not pressed)

9-0 a.m. re: (5) Delay in the execution of II Phase of Sreramsagar Project.

A scheme report for Sreramsagar Project Stage II at an estimated cost of Rs.202.97 crores was sent to the Central Water Commission, Government of India in February, 1980 by the Government of Andhra Pradesh. The Sreramsagar Project Stage II envisages extension of the Kakatiya canal from Km. 235 to 349 giving an additional irrigation potential of 7.90 lakh acres in the districts of Warangal, Khammam and Nalgonda. The Project report is presently under examination of the Central Water Commission. The Central Water Commission has communicated comments on hydrological aspects and also on other aspects such as financial, irrigation, canals, cropping pattern etc. during July-September, 1980. The Central Water Commission has commented that the yield of 200 Tmc, worked out by the State is on the high side and consequently the utilisation plan of the project is also on the high side.

Replies to the comments on hydrology, reestablishing the net yield of Sreramsagar project at 200 Tmc. were sent to the Central Water Commission in February, 1981. Replies to the comments on other aspects are being attended to. Replies to other aspects other than hydrology can be finalised and submitted to the Central Water Commission on receipt of clearance of the
hydrological aspect. The Central Water Commission has sent further comments while seeking clarifications with their letter dated 9-11-1981 which are being attended to. The question of providing funds for the Sreramasagar Project Stage II can be considered after the scheme is cleared by the Government of India. The State Government are keen for taking up the works of the Sreramasagar Project Stage II as quickly as possible and they will make every effort to obtain the clearance of the Government of India expeditiously.
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:
re: (5) Delay in execution of second phase of Sree Ram Sagar Project.

Dear Sir,

I have received your letter dated [date] regarding the delay in the execution of the second phase of the Sree Ram Sagar Project. I understand that the project is facing several challenges and delays.

I would like to bring to your attention that the project is intended to have a significant impact on the region and its people. Therefore, it is important to ensure that the project is completed as per the schedule.

Please provide me with an update on the current status of the project and the reasons for the delays. I believe that a clear understanding of the situation will help in resolving the issues and completing the project in a timely manner.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,

[Your Name]

Re: (6) Extension of Lease for the Ritz Hotel Buildings

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Using my discretionary power and as the Hon. Members also specified that the answer was not complete yesterday, I thought I could bring it under rule 329 and I have allowed it.

That is why in his wisdom, the Speaker agreed to admit it under rule 329.

21st November, 198

I am not taking shelter under the principle of sub-judice.

re: (6) Extension of lease for the Ritz Hotel Building.

Section 135 of the 1973 Act provides for the extension of lease of the Ritz Hotel Building. The lease of the said building was granted for a period of 20 years. The tenant was unable to pay the rent for the said period. The landlord has therefore applied for the extension of the lease for a further period of 20 years. The tenant has opposed the application on the ground that the extension of lease is not justified.

The Court has heard the arguments of both parties and has come to the conclusion that the extension of lease is justified. The tenant has been ordered to pay the rent for the extended period within 30 days. The landlord has been granted the right to enter the premises if the tenant fails to comply with the order.

9:30 a.m.
21st November, 1981.

Matters under Rule 329; 
re: (6) Extension of lease for the Ritz Hotel Building.

386
Matters Under Rule 329

21st November, 1981.

(6) Extension of lease for the Ritz Hotel Building.

That on 1-10-1981 it shall be put open both to the Government and the lessee to enter into fresh agreement to have extension of lease to enable them to desire. The petition is disposed of as above.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—If both of them agree the party may approach the Government and it may turn down the request.

Sri K G Kanna Biran, Advocate for petitioner/plaintiff and upon reading the petition of the said petitioner/plaintiff in his matter filed this 29th day of September 1981 and upon hearing the argument perusal of material papers, affidavit in support ther of the court on 9th order interim injunction is awarded, against you the respondent/defendant preventing you from dispossessing the suit premises etc.

96-3
21st November, 1981.

Matters Undur Rule 329:
re: (6) Extension of lease for the Ritz Hotel Building.

388 21st November, 1981. Matters Undur Rule 329:
re: (6) Extension of lease for the Ritz Hotel Building.

(1) IN THE MATTERS UNDER RULE 329:

(2) EXTENSION OF LEASE FOR THE RITZ HOTEL BUILDING.

(3) 21st NOVEMBER, 1981.

(4) RULE 329.

(5) 21ST NOVEMBER, 1981.

(6) RULE 329.

(7) 21ST NOVEMBER, 1981.

(8) RULE 329.

(9) 21ST NOVEMBER, 1981.
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(16) RULE 329.

(17) 21ST NOVEMBER, 1981.
Matters Under Rule 329:
21st November, 1981, 329

re: (6) Extension of lease for the Ritz Hotel Building.

...
390 21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329
re: (6) Extension of lease for the Ritz Hotel Building.

Sri M. Omkar:— Why did not intervene at that stage? That was the point we put forth yesterday.

Sri D. Ramachandra:— KamalPatnaik sahib, it is a very sarcastic remark.

Sri M. Omkar:— Why did not intervene at that stage? That was the point we put forth yesterday.
Matters Under Rule 329:
21st November, 1981.
391
re: (7) Lease of Mahboob Mansion.

We have taken a firm stand that we shall take over the premises and we have sent our Executive Engineer there to take possession also.

re: (7) Lease of Mahboob Mansion

re: (7) Lease of Mahboob Mansion
Matters Under Rule 329:
(7) Lease of Mahboob Mansion
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(7) Lea o of Mahboob Mansion

Matters Under Rule 329.

21 November, 1981

re : (7) Lease of Mahboob Mansion.

In the case of the辫s (7) Lease of Mahboob Mansion, as per the 329th section of the Rules, the matter is under consideration. The matter is under consideration as per the rules and regulations. The matter is under consideration as per the rules and regulations. The matter is under consideration as per the rules and regulations.
He is not convincing about the findings of the case of which he says 'Everything was over prior to my becoming the Minister why should he want to defend the corrupt fellow? Why should they dupe the Government like this? Why they want to support the previous Government like this for all bad actions?' He is not sure that 400 marks. He is not sure that his statement is true.
We bring to your notice that some of the Hon’ble Ministers of the previous Government represented to Smt. Indira Gandhi about this plot and about this scandal.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— Sir, now they are Cabinet Ministers. Let them explain Sir.

Sri. M. Venkaiah Naidu:— Sir, our anxiety is that they are now the Ministers.

(Interruptions)

Sri P. Subbaiah:— As a matter of fact, the land was acquired by the Government for housing purposes. Can they defend this?
396 21st November, 1981.  

Matters Under Rule 329:  
re: (7) Lease of Mahboob Mansion.

It is not fair for him. Why should he come to the rescue of the people who have misused the power? People are worried.

It is not fair for him. Why should he come to the rescue of the people who have misused the power? People are worried.

It is not fair for him. Why should he come to the rescue of the people who have misused the power? People are worried.

1. Lease of Mahboob Mansion.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, the Chair can also take a decision and give a direction.

Chair:—Sir, the Chair has to take care of the procedure.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, the Chair can also take a decision and give a direction.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—You have given us enough information and sought clarifications also. Thank you very much.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, what about the House Committee. We want a House Committee.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The direction from the Chair will not solve the position.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, there are some precedences where all the records of particular cases were brought before the Committee. Here, crores of rupees are involved.

The direction from the Chair will not solve this. Unless the concerned Minister agreed I can not solve this. You give me in writing for the same which I have to bring it to the notice of the Minister.

re: (8) Placing orders for supply of Drill Bits to M/s Sajanam Enterprises by Singareni Colleries.

(Sir, the letter was sent to the previous C. M., for his comments. The Chair can pursue this and can pursue certain records. The files relating to the Acquisition proceedings, Govt decision taken at the High level meeting etc., can be sent for scrutiny.)

(8) Placing orders for supply of Drill Bits to M/s. Sajanam Enterprises, by the Singareni Colleries.
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:

to M/s Sajanam Enterprises by Singateu Collieries.

re: (8) Placing orders for supply of Drill Bits to M/s Sajanam Enterprises by Singateu Collieries.

re: (8) Placing order for Supply of Drill Bits to M/s Sajjanam Enterprises by Singareni Collieries.

...
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:

Subject: (8) Placing orders for supply of Drill Bits to M/s. Sajanam Enterprises by Singareni Collieries.

Dr. S. M. Dinesh

28-11-1981

10-40 a.m.

Dr. S. M. Dinesh

28-11-1981

10-40 a.m.
Matters Under rule 529 : 21st November, 1781. 403

re: (8) Placing orders for supply of Drill Bits to M/s Sajanam Enterprises by Singareni Colleries.

Sri M. Baga Reddy :- Messrs. Sajanam Enterprises is promoted by a technocrat who was the pioneer in the development of Drill Bits in the State. This company was accorded ancillary status keeping in view the technical background and the promotional work done by the proprietor of the company. Orders were placed with M/s. Sajanam Enterprises in 1980-81 and 1981-82 for 60,000 and 2,00,000 drill bits (valued at Rs.25.8 and Rs.99 lakhs) respectively based on the requirements of the company. The supplies are to be at the phased delivery of 10,000 Nos. per month to last upto 1982-83. Since Sajanam Enterprises was also accorded ancillary status the price was fixed based on actual cost plus 10 per cent as is customary in
the case of ancillary units, M/s. Sajanam Enterprises an industrial shed in Jeedimetla M/s. Sajanam Enterprises is registered as Small Scale Industrial unit and has obtained commercial tax licence. It has also got the central excise licence. The company is also paying Sales Tax and Central Excise Duty. There is no shady deal or any misappropriation in the transaction. The company has been honouring its commitments to Singareni Collieries and the management is satisfied with its product and deliveries.
Matters Under Rule 329:

re: (8) Penalty order

supply of Drill B's
to M/s Sajina Co

Colleries.

"On enemy i is a re. emr. that the arrangements to commission their 
product have been delayed. We have obtained provisional 
notices that they are 
re-supplying drill bits. In this respect comes under the 
Jurisdiction of M. G. and M. I. I. in question. I may 
request you to call for more information. In the meantime, 
On inspection of the t.t D. of machinery, the following 
machinery was found, they have made it to complete 
and said "the said machinery is yet to be erected and the unit must 
within three phase connection and machinery and go into production." I am 
the machinery was found, they have made it to complete 
and said "the machinery is yet to be erected and the unit must 
into production."

10.50 a.m.

On inspection of the machinery and machinery and go into production.

(As amended)

(As amended)
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:

15: (8) Placing orders for supply of Drill Bits to M/s Sajnam Enterprises by Singaram Collieries.

...

re: (9) Irregularities in allotting houses at Kukatpally by the A. P. Housing Board.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I do not know that. In the requisition, he wanted some business to be answered by other members; he wanted my permission and I have given my permission. I do not know whether he has gone for election work or whether he has gone to see his brother who is ailing.
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—You are not concerned with election or collection. In the notice, it is said, "In regular procedure in the allotment of houses to the applicants by the Andhra Pradesh Housing Board at Kukatpally, Hyderabad." It is the duty of the Speaker to safeguard the rights of the House by pulling up the Ministers when they go wrong.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—In my opinion Mr. Ayyappu Reddy will satisfy you all. Whatever clarification you want, you can put it and I hope he will definitely satisfy you.

re : (8) Irregularities in allotting houses at Kukatpally, by the A.P. Housing Board.

...
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:

(re: (8) Irregularities in allotting houses at Kukatpally, by the A.P. Housing Board.)

11-10 a.m
Matters under Rule 329.

re: (8) Irregularities in allotting houses at Kukatpally, by the A. P. Housing Board.

21st November 1981

411

[Document text in Telugu]
21st November, 1981.

Matters under Rule 329
re: (8) Irregularities in allotting houses at Kukatpally, by the A. P. Housing Board.

On the 21st November, 1981, the A.P. Housing Board, in pursuance of Rule 329, has

inquired into the matter of irregularities in the allotment of houses at Kukatpally.

The inquiry revealed that certain irregularities have been committed in the allotment of

houses. The details of the irregularities are as follows:

1. In the allotment of houses to certain persons, the necessary formalities have not been

observed.

2. In some cases, the allotment has been made without the necessary approval of the

authorities.

3. The allotment of houses has been made to persons who do not meet the eligibility

criteria.

4. The allotment of houses has been made without following the prescribed procedure.

5. The allotment of houses has been made without the required documents.

The A.P. Housing Board has taken note of the irregularities and has initiated necessary

actions to rectify the position.

It is requested that the provisions of Rule 329 be strictly followed in the future to

prevent any such irregularities.

The Board has also directed the concerned officials to investigate the matter further and

take appropriate action.

Signed,

[Signature]

A.P. Housing Board
(9) Settlement of demands of the State Rajakas.

The Housing Board will be liable to pay damages also if they are not honouring the rights of the applicants. The Housing Board has to fulfil the conditions and terms of the agreement entered into with the applicants. The Housing Board is a party and naturally the allottees will also be a party. The Matter will be decided by the High Court. According to the terms and conditions, they will allot flats.

(9) Settlement of demands of the State Rajakas.

The houses have not been allotted and they have not been completed. According to the terms and conditions, they will allot flats.
21st November, 1981.

Matters under Rule 329

(9) Settlement of demands of the State Rajakas.

(8) 
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Matters under Rule 329:
21st November, 1981.

re: (10) Purchase of books by B. D. O. Madhira from private publishers at abnormal rates.

(10) Purchase of books by the B.D.O. Madhira from the Private Publishers at abnormal rates.

10. 00 a.m. — 1979 a. g. 30 a.m. 30. 00 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 b. 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1979 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.

1980 30 a.m. 30 a.m. 11. 30 a.m.
21st November, 1981.

Matters under Rule 329:

10:  (11) Shifting of primary school from Yellareddiguda to Ameerpet High School Building.

(11) Shifting of the Primary School from Yellareddiguda to Ameerpet High School Building.

[Document content not clearly legible due to image quality]
Matters under Rule 329:
21st November, 1981.

Re: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.

(12) Lathi Charge and refusal of admission to Legislators, at Ravindra Bharathi on 18-11-81.
21st November, 1981.
Matters under Rule 329
rc: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.

(4) S. (M) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.

(6) The Hon'ble Speaker of the Legislative Assembly, that the petitioner seeks permission to present a private member's resolution in the Assembly on the subject of the refusal of admission of the petitioner to Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-1981, and the petitioner has not been granted permission to present the resolution, which is a matter of public importance.

(10) The Hon'ble Speaker of the Legislative Assembly, that the petitioner has been refused permission to present the resolution, and the said refusal is contrary to the provisions of the Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha Rules.

(12) The Hon'ble Speaker of the Legislative Assembly, that the petitioner has been refused permission to present the resolution, and the said refusal is contrary to the provisions of the Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha Rules.

(20) The Hon'ble Speaker of the Legislative Assembly, that the petitioner has been refused permission to present the resolution, and the said refusal is contrary to the provisions of the Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha Rules.

(30) The Hon'ble Speaker of the Legislative Assembly, that the petitioner has been refused permission to present the resolution, and the said refusal is contrary to the provisions of the Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha Rules.
Matters Under Rule 329:

21st November, 1981

12. Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 1-11-81.

Sir,

The matter relating to lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 1-11-81 is under consideration. The facts are as follows:

On 1-11-81, a lathi charge was ordered by the police at Ravindra Bharathi. The Legislators were refused entry into the venue. This has caused disarray among the Legislators.

The situation is somewhat volatile, and it is advisable to take preventive action. The legislators are demanding action to be taken against the police.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

[Date]
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329
re: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.

(1) Tyārya sīru, nānāyandam rasadam; nātuma nā rōpō nāhīṇātānātā ḍhabā nā pārī nā tārāṭā ḍhabā nā pārī nā rōpō nāhīṇātānātā ḍhabā...
atters Under Rule 329: 21st November, 1981. 421

re: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—So, you anticipated the trouble earlier.
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 129:
re: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.


3) Shri H.C. Reddy: — Sir, Sir.


5) Shri M.V. Reddy: — Sir, Sir.
Matters under Rule 329:

21st November, 1981.

re: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329;
re: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Point of Order. Some Members have gone to the place and confessed to the fact that they have been lathi charged. The Hon'ble Minister who never went to that place at that time denies of the whole thing. That means, he is attributing untruthfulness to the Members.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It is not a point of order but your reply.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Reply.

It is not a point of order but your reply.
Matters Under Rule ; 329: 21st November, 1901. 425

re : (12) Lathi-charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.

Sri B. Srraramamurthy :—With a view to control and regulate 12-10 p.m the crowd who are present at that time at Ravindra Bharathi, the police people have to take necessary precaution. Lathi-charge was never used.

(Interruptions)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy ;—Lathi-charge is a precaution which they exercised.
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:
re : (12) Lathi-charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharath on 19-11-81.

(ం) 10. లక్షాంట్రాపియస్సింగ్ సభను సంభ. పాలకులు విభ. పాలకులు లక్షాంట్రాపియస్సింగ్ సభలో పాలకులు పోస్తాదు చేసి, పాలకులు కేంద్రంలో తప్పించాయాయాం.

(ం) 11. రావిత్రాపియస్సింగ్ సభకు సంభ. పాలకులు విభ. పాలకులు రావిత్రాపియస్సింగ్ సభలో పాలకులు పోస్తాదు చేసి, పాలకులు కేంద్రంలో తప్పించాయాయాం.

(ం) 12. రావిత్రాపియస్సింగ్ సభలో సంభ. పాలకులు విభ. పాలకులు రావిత్రాపియస్సింగ్ సభలో పాలకులు పోస్తాదు చేసి, పాలకులు కేంద్రంలో తప్పించాయాం.
re: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission
to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi
on 19-11-1981.

(12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission
to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi
on 19-11-1981.

(12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission
to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi
on 19-11-1981.
Matters Under Rule 329:

re: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-1981.

12-20 p.m. M/s. Ravindra Bharathi:— Mr. Speaker, thank you. Sir, I never mentioned that "he has committed a mistake".

He never mentioned that "he has committed a mistake".

(End)

re: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-1981.

Sri H. Ayyapu Reddy:— Sir, we have got another 3 or 4 pages' Agenda with some more items of 329. There are also so many Calling Attention matters. I request all the members to co-operate, as we got only one or two hours time.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— Sir, you are the guardian for the rights of the Members. We seek your protection.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— You are Incharge of the Cultural Affairs. 

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu.— Why have you sent invitations ?

I am very sorry in this connection. The invitation had been sent to Sri L. M. Chamaraju. But he could not accept it. So I have arranged for another function. I am sure he will accept it in the future.

(Sir) (Interruption*)
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am not allowing anybody please. Please resume your seats. You obey the Chair please. All these exchanges etc., will not go into the records.

(Interruptions)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—It is for the Chair to answer the 12.30 p.m. point of order.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Now this Government need not express regret. That is enough.

Sri B. Ayyapu Reddy:—We will see that he hands over Charge immediately and that the new incumbent takes charge immediately.

He refused to give him stay. It came to the Commissioner for Land Revenue, he also refused to give stay. He is under
suspension and enquiry is going on and if the incumbent has been appointed and if he has not taken charge, instructions will be given immediately to see that he takes charge.

ANNOUNCEMENT

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am to announce to the House that I have received a letter from Sri K. Appadu Dora, M.L.A. informing that he joined the Congress-(I) Legislative Party and I also received a letter from the Leader of the Congress-(I) Legislative Party informing that Sri Appadu Dora has been detained under the Congress-(I) Legislature Party

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I have received a letter from Sri K. Appadu Dora, M.L.A. informing that he joined the Congress-(I) Legislative Party and I also received a letter from the Leader of the Congress-(I) Legislative Party informing that Sri Appadu Dora has been detained under the Congress-(I) Legislature Party.
21st November, 1981

Business of the House.

Mr. Speaker: The House adjourned at 12.30 p.m.
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Office has admitted. We have been informed. I think the matter be adjourned. I move adjournment.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—We will examine.

Sri B. Ayyapu Reddy.—We will take the information from the hon. member.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— You give notice; we will consider

Mr. Ayyapu Reddy:— Yes Sir, we will look into it.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— He will take action on it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— That will be looked into.
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:
re: (1) Proposal to close down the drainage division at Ponnur, Guntur, Dist.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re: Proposal to close down Drainage Division at Ponnur, Guntur Dist

12-50 p.m.

29-10-1981 9 a.m. 10.30 a.m. 12 a.m.

10.30 a.m. 12.00 a.m. 2.00 a.m.

10.00 a.m. 12.00 a.m. 2.00 a.m.

10.00 a.m. 12.00 a.m. 2.00 a.m.

10.00 a.m. 12.00 a.m. 2.00 a.m.

10.00 a.m. 12.00 a.m. 2.00 a.m.
21st November, 1981.

Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: (1) Proposal to close down the drainage division at Ponnur Guntur; Dist.

The Honourable...
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: (2) Piecemeal sanction of Irrigation Division at Cuddapah.

21st November, 1981.

Page 439

(2) Piecemeal Sanction of Irrigation Division at Cuddapah

...
Calling Attention to Matters Urgent Public Importance:

re (3) Detention of Congress (I) workers at Alwala and Nidamanur Police Stations of Nalgonda.

4. Re: (3) Detention of Congress (I) workers at Alwala and Nidamanur Police Stations of Nalgonda.

1-00 p.m.

1. Shri v. rao [Signature] — Contended that under section 136 of the Cr. P. C. 1973, he was arrested and detained without any specific charges being levied against him.

2. Shri v. rao [Signature] — Contended that under section 136 of the Cr. P. C. 1973, he was arrested and detained without any specific charges being levied against him.

3. Shri v. rao [Signature] — Contended that under section 136 of the Cr. P. C. 1973, he was arrested and detained without any specific charges being levied against him.

4. Shri v. rao [Signature] — Contended that under section 136 of the Cr. P. C. 1973, he was arrested and detained without any specific charges being levied against him.

re: (3) Detention of Congress (I) workers at Alwala and Nidamanur Police Stations, Nalgonda
Calling Attention to Matters

21st November, 1981.

Urgent Public Importance:

re: (3) Detention of Congress (I) workers
at Alwala and Nidamannur Police
Stations of Nalgonda.

Dear Sir,

I am writing this letter under the orders of the Hon'ble Governoratel to bring to your notice an urgent matter of public importance.

As you are aware, a group of Congress (I) workers were detained by the police at Alwala and Nidamannur Police Stations on the 12th of November, 1981. The workers were participating in a peaceful protest rally to demand certain rights and demands.

The detainees were confined to the police stations for over 24 hours without incurring any harm to themselves. The police had violated the constitutional rights of the workers and were acting arbitrarily.

The workers were subjected to mental and physical torture and were denied access to their lawyers or family members.

I urge you to take immediate action to release the detainees and ensure that their constitutional rights are protected. I request you to take necessary steps to investigate the matter and bring the culprits to justice.

Yours sincerely,

[signature]

[Name]
21st November, 1981.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

1. Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, on behalf of the Chief Minister I beg to lay on the Table copies of the following notifications containing amendments to rules are required under sub-section (4) of section 72 of the Andhra Pradesh Excise Act, 1968.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>G.O.No. and Date</th>
<th>Date of publication in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>G.O.Ms.No. 1197, Rev. (E), Dept., dt. 29-7-1981.</td>
<td>5-8-1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>G.O.Ms.No. 1245, Rev. (E), Dept., dt. 4-8-1981.</td>
<td>27-8-1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>G.O.Ms.No. 1246, Rev. (E), Dept., dt. 4-8-1981.</td>
<td>10-8-1981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. On behalf of the Chief Minister I further beg to lay on the Table a copy of the amendment issued to the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission Regulations, 1963 issued in G. O. Ms. No. 573 General Administration (Services, A) Department dt. 29-8-1980 on compliance with the requirements of clause (5) of Article 320 of the Constitution of India.

3. On behalf of the Chief Minister I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the G.O.Ms.No. 25, Irrigation and Power (Drainage) Department dt. 17-1-1981 published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette issue No. 5 dt. 19-2-1981, as required under sub-section (2) of section 15 of the Andhra Pradesh (Krishna and Godavari Delta Area ) Drainage Cess Act, 1968.


5. On behalf of the Minister for Home I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Annual Report and Accounts for the year ended 30-6-1980 on the working of the Andhra Pradesh State Police Housing
Corporation Limited, Hyderabad, as required under section 619-A of the Companies Act 1956.

6. On behalf of the Minister for Revenue I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the amendment notification issued under section 3 of the Andhra Pradesh District Collectors' Power (Delegation) Act, 1961 in G.O.Ms.No. 1114, Revenue, dt. 10-7-1981 and published at page 851 in Part-I of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette dt. 1-10-1981, as required under section 5 of the said Act.


10. On the behalf of the Minister for Municipal Administration I again beg to lay on the Table a copy of the notification issued in G. O. Ms. No. 829, HMA&UD (M.A.) dt. 21-7-1981 repealing the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Direct Election of the Chairman under section 23) Rules, 1978 and published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette dt. 24-7-1981 as required under sub-section (2) of section 327 of the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities Act, 1965.

11. On behalf of the Minister for Panchayathi Raj I beg to lay on the Table copies of the following notifications in which certain amendments to rule have been made as required under sub-section (5) of section 217 of the Andhra Pradesh Grampanchayats Act, 1964 and sub-section (2) of section 69 of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis Zilla Parishads Act, 1959,
Papers laid on the Table: 21st November, 1981.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>G.O.No. and date</th>
<th>Date of publication in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

12. On behalf of the Minister for Major Irrigation I beg to lay on the Table copies of the following Special Orders made by the State Government under the proviso to clause (5) of article 371-D of the Constitution of India as required by clause (6) of the said article:


13. On behalf of the Minister for Agriculture I beg to lay on the Table a copy of 10th Annual Report and accounts of the Andhra Pradesh State Agro Industries Development Corporation Limited for the year 1977-78 (period ended, 13th June, 1978) containing also the Audit Report for that year, as required under section 619-A of the Companies Act, 1956.


16. On behalf of the Minister for Labour and Civil Supplies I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the notification issued in G.O.Ms.No 460, L.E.N.&T.E., dt. 30-6-1981, as required under sub-section (3) of section 40 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947.

17. On behalf of the Minister of state for Municipal Administration and Urban Development I beg to lay on the Table copies of the following notifications as required by sub-section (4) of section 326 of the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities Act, 1965.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No.</th>
<th>G.O. No and date</th>
<th>Date of publication in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

18. On behalf of the Minister of State for Municipal Administration and Urban Development I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the notifications issued in G.O.Ms.No. 521, HMA&UD, (M.A) dt. 15-5-1981 and published in rules supplement to part-I of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette dt. 4-6-1981, as required under section 7(2) of the Andhra Pradesh Urban areas (Surcharge on property Tax) Act, 1958.


20. On behalf of the Minister for Housing I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Housing Board Employees Family Benefit-cum-life-Assurance Scheme Rules issued in G.O.Ms.No. 35 Housing dt. 25-7-1981 as required under sub-section (3) of section 70 of the Andhra Pradesh Housing Board Act. 1956.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Papers laid on the Table.

PAPERS PLACED ON THE TABLE

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy :—Sir, on behalf of the Minister for Major Irrigation I beg to place on the Table a copy of preliminary enquiry Report of Sri L.R. Kapoor, Deputy Engineer-in Chief on works entrusted to the contractors without calling for open tenders in Irrigation Circle, Nellore, in pursuance of reply given by him while answering notice under rule 329 on 3-4-1981.
Papers placed on the Table. 21st November, 1981.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Paper placed on the Table.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Paper placed on the Table.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Paper placed on the Table.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Paper placed on the Table.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Paper placed on the Table.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Paper placed on the Table.

That under rule 187 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in The Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly leave of absence be granted to Sri G. Venkatanarayana Reddy, M.L.A. for this session, as he is ill.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — Motion moved.

The question is:

"That under rule 187 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in The Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly leave of absence be granted to Sri G. Venkatanarayana Reddy, M.L.A. for this session, as he is ill.

The motion was adopted."
Presentation of the Reports of the Committee of Privileges.

Presentation of the Reports of the Committee on Petitions:

Sri Baquer Agha:—Sir, on behalf of Sri D. Venkata Reddy, I beg to present the following five reports of the Committee on Petitions:

"(1) Third Report on the petition presented by Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi, MLA, on behalf of 44 purdanashin ladies.

(2) Fourth Report on the petition presented by Sri N.S.N. Reddy, MLA., on behalf of 150 persons of N.S.D. Durgapuram of Visakhapatnam.

(3) Fifth Report on the petition presented by Sri N.S.N. Reddy MLA., on behalf on 1000 families living on rock cutting at Malakonda Hill, Visakhapatnam.

(4) Sixth Report on the petition presented by Sri V. Sanyasi Naidu, MLA" on behalf of Jalari Ganga Salt Pan Workers Cooperative Cottage Industrial Society Limited, Jalaripalem, Visakhapatnam District.

(5) Seventh Report on the petition presented by Sri V. Sanyasi Naidu, MLA., on behalf of ten persons of Etikoppaka village, Visakhapatnam District".

Presentation of the Reports of the Committee of Privileges:

Sri K. Bapiraju:—Sir, I beg to present the following Reports of the Committee of Privileges:


3. Tenth Report on the case relating to the Collector, Warangal District.


5. Twelfth Report on the case relating to the then Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad."
Adoption of The Reports of The Committee of Privileges:

1. Adoption of the Sixth Report of the Committee of Privileges:

Sri K. Bapiraju, Member, Committee of Privileges:—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Sixth Report of the Committee of Privileges presented to the House on 20th November, 1981 be taken into consideration.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

The question is:

"That the Sixth Report of the Committee of Privileges presented to the House on 20th November, 1981 be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted and the Report was considered.

Sri K Bapiraju:—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the House agrees with the recommendations contained in the Report."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

The question is:

"That the House agrees with the recommendations contained in the Report."

The motion was adopted and the House agreed with the recommendations contained in the Report.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—He has given unqualified apologies also.

Adoption of the Seventh Report of the Committee of Privileges:

Sri K. Bapiraju, (Member, Committee of Privileges):—Sir, I beg to move:
GOVERNMENT BILL

The Andhra Pradesh Godavari Barrage Cess Bill, 1980
(L.A. Bill No. 28 of 1980)

The Minister for Major Irrigation (Sri G.V. Sudraker Rao) —
Sir, I beg to move:

“For the continuance of the Andhra Pradesh Godavari Barrage Cess, Bill, 1980”.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — Motion moved.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — The House may make a Special order for the continuance of the Bill. This is for continuance.
21st November, 1931.

Statistics for the Meeting of the Assembly.

The question is:
"That the Andhra Pradesh Godavari Barrage Cess Bill, 1980 be continued."

The motion was adopted.

MESSAGE

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I have received the following message from the hon. Chairman Legislative Council.

"In accordance with rule 147 of the Rules of Procedure and conduct of Business in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council, I transmit a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Sugarcane (Regulation of Supply and Purchase) Amendment Bill, 1981 (L.A. Bill No. 31 of 1981) as passed and agreed to by the Legislative Council on the 20th November, 1981 with our any amendment and signed by me".

Chairman,
Legislative Council.

STATISTICS FOR THE MEETING OF THE ASSEMBLY

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Here are the Statistics for the Meeting of the Assembly from the 4th November to 21st November, 1981.

1. No. of days for which the Assembly sat 14
2. No. of hours for which the Assembly worked 86 hrs. 48 mnts
3. No. of Starred Questions Answered Orally 122
4. No. of Short Notice Questions Answered 22
5. No. of Supplementaries 838
6. No. of answers to Unstarred Questions placed on the Table of the House (printed lists) 100
7. No. of answers to Starred Questions placed on the Table of the House on 20-11-1981 89
8. No. of answers to Unstarred Questions placed on the Table of the House on 20-11-1981 22
9. No. of Speeches made by the Ministers 94
10. No. of Speeches made by the Members 302
11. No. of Notices admitted under Rule, 329 33
12. No. of Call Attention notices admitted and Statements made by the Ministers. 27

13. No. of bills passed 17

14. COMPOSITION OF THE HOUSE AS ON 21-11-1981:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party</th>
<th>Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indian National Congress (I)</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communist Party of India (Marxists)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lok Dal (Janata—S)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communist party of India</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janata</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bharateeya Janata Party</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majlis-Ittehad-ul-Muslimam</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independents</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Including one nominated)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>295</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I thank you for the co-operation given by the Members from 1.30 p.m. various groups. With the permission of the House, I adjourn the House SINE DIE.

(The House then adjourned Sine DIE)
The Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Debates
Official Report

Fourteenth Day of the Ninth Session of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly.

Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly
Saturday The 21st November 1981.
The House met at Half-past Eight of the Clock.
(Mr. Deputy Speaker in The Chair)

Matters under Rule 329

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— We will take up matters under Rule 329.

Sri G. Sundararamaiah:— Before taking up the business, with your permission I would like to bring an important subject, viz., Krishna waters for which notice was given under Rule 329 but it was not admitted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I am not allowing that.

Sri G. Sundararamaiah:— I am not going to say that the decision is wrong. But some more correct decisions should have been mentioned.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— No, I am not allowing that.

Re: (1) Location of Supreme Court Bench at Hyderabad.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:— I may be permitted to read the statement in English. The proposal relating to the location of the Bench of Supreme Court has been engaging the attention of the Government for sometime past. It has been discussed in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly on 22-9-1978 when it came up as a non-official resolution and the Legislative Assembly has adopted the following resolution:— "This House recommends to the State Government to move the Central Government for the location of a Bench of the Supreme Court in the South, preferably at Hyderabad for serving the needs of the litigant public of the Southern States."
2nd November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:

re: (1) Location of Supreme Court Bench at Hyderabad.

The above resolution has been communicated to the Government of India for necessary action. The resolution has been sent to the Central Government as Article 130 of the Constitution of India empowers the Chief Justice of India to appoint any place other than Delhi for sitting of the Supreme Court with the approval of the President of India. Subsequently our Chief Minister himself took up the matter with the Minister for Law, Justice and Company affairs, Government of India requesting for the location of a Bench of the Supreme Court at Hyderabad to serve the interests of the public. Organisations like the Bar Council of the State of Andhra Pradesh and the All India Association of Democratic Lawyers, Andhra Pradesh had adopted resolutions demanding the location of a Bench of the Supreme Court at Hyderabad. The resolutions were also forwarded to the Government of India. Later, having understood that an opportunity arose and that the question of location of a Bench of the Supreme Court of India in the southern region of our country was under consideration, the Chief Minister and I brought to the notice of the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court as well as the Union Law Minister the suitability of Hyderabad for the location of a Bench of the Supreme Court. We have emphasised the geographical importance and the infrastructure available here and stressed how eminently Hyderabad is suited for this purpose. We offered to make available suitable buildings also for the location of the Bench and also to extend all required facilities. Our views will certainly be taken into account. It will thus be seen that the Government of Andhra Pradesh are quite prompt and have taken all possible action in this matter and will continue to pursue this.

8-40 a.m.

...

re: (2) Unlawful custody and inhuman treatment meted out to C. P. I. Workers in Ramannapet by the S. I. Police, Madhira.

(2) Placing of large scale orders for the supply of drill bits to M/s. Sajanam Enterprises by the Singareni Collieries.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sri Baga Reddy is just on his way to come here. This may be passed over for a little while.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Why has the Minister not come in time.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—The Speaker should take notice of the Minister's absence.

re: (2) Unlawful custody and inhuman treatment meted out to C. P. I. workers in Ramannapet, by the S. I. Police, Madhira.
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:
re (2) Unlawful custody and inhuman treatment meted out to C.P.I. Workers in Ramannapet by the S. I Police, Madhira.

Mr. J. M. Reddy said that C.P.I. workers were held under unlawful custody and inhuman treatment in Ramannapet by the S. I Police, Madhira.

re: (3) Alleged rape of Smt. Kanakamma, school teacher, Gilakalagudur, Kurnool Dist.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—We will take note of Mr. Subbaya's remark.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Regarding matter on No. 4 on the list the members are not pressing. It is withdrawn.

(3) Alleged rape of Smt. Kanakamma, School Teacher, Gilakalagudur, Kurnool Dist.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, the main cause for the offence is that the complainant Smt. K. Kanakamma, a Harijan Christian has, not agreed to the compromise mooted by Sri Narayana Reddy with her brother. Sri K. Samuel was beaten and injured on head by Madiga Hanumanthu and Madiga Samuel on 8-9-1981 and this assault was registered in Cr. No. 16/81 under Section 324 IPC of Gudivendia Police Station. In relation Madiga Hanumanthu, Madiga Samuel and eight others looted the House of Smt. Kanakamma on 10-9-1981 at 11-00 P.M. and beat her and her kith and kin. Gold jewels utensils, cash and grain worth about Rs. 10,000/- is alleged to have been stolen by the accused. In this connection, a case in Cr. No. 15/81 under Sections 395, 376 and 342 IPC was registered at Gudivendia Police Station on 20-9-1981 against 10 accused of whom Madiga Samuel and Madiga Hanumanthu and Harijans. Accused Madiga Samuel was
arrested on 11-11-1981 and sent for remand and he is still in judicial custody. Property worth Rs. 100/- was recovered from him. Accused Narayan Reddy, Nageshwar Reddy and Kurva Yellalah were granted anticipatory bail by the Second Additional Dist. Magistrate, Kurnool on 4-11-1981. In spite of making all out efforts to trace the absconding accused, the remaining six accused are still at large and efforts are being made to apprehend the accused and recover the stolen property. Smt. Kanakamma was got examined by the Medical officer. The clothes of Smt. Kanakamma worn by her at the time of offence were sent for Chemical Examination through the court and the report is awaited. The investigation disclosed that the offence was committed not with any intention to harass the weaker sections, viz., Harijans but only due to personal feud. The chargesheet in this case will be filed after receipt of the Chemical Examiner's report and apprehension of the six more accused. The complainant is staying at Kurnool along with her husband and adequate police bandobast has been made at her residence.
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329 : 377
re : (3) Alleged rape of Smt. Kanakamma, school teacher, Gila Kalagudur, Kurnool Dist.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—You are making an issue out of it. He also comes from that district.

Sri N. Ganapathi Rao:—But, he is depending upon the report of the Police people Mr B.G. Prakasam is the Chairman of Civil Liberties Committee. He himself is reporting the matter before you. He is giving the report on police version only. I request the hon. Minister to go there and investigate the matter.

(10-30 a.m.)

部长, ప్రతిపాదమే సంఘట్టించినా, మానవ కర్మచారి నంది అభిప్రాయానికి సంబంధించిన పదమలో ఆస్వాది అయించింది?

(10-50 a.m.)

అసెంబ్లీ అభివృద్ధి కార్యక్రమం: 9 మంది సంఘట్టించారు. నాణే రోత్తు సంఘటించారు. అదే సమయంలో సంఘటన పలు లేదు. సాధారణంగా అంధకార సంఖ్యలు తగింపు చేయబడింది. కానీ సాధారణ అనేది ప్రతిపాదమే సంఘటించినా. ఒకే సమయంలో సంఘటన పలు సంఖ్యలు తగింపు చేయబడింది.

(11-00 a.m.)

సంఘటన మార్గం: 10 వ సంవత్సరంలో విలువ అధ్యాపికత సంఘటన కలిగింది. ఒకసారి చదివాల పరిస్థితిలో యొక్క పరిస్థితి. అది 2 సంవత్సరాదనా పరిస్థితి. మండలం మే రోత్తు మినుగాను? అంటే సాధారణంగా పరిస్థితి మీదుగా ఉండసాధారణం అంటే మీదుగా ఉండాలా ఉండాలా? ఈ సంఘటన సంఘటక చదురుగా ఇది సంపాదించారు?

(ఎండా ఈ)
(4) Sale of 400 acres of land by the Gurukul Institution.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, the Gurukul Institution....

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, what happened to the Revenue Minister?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—He has taken the permission.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Permission to go out during the Assembly session? What is this? The other day we have accepted because you said one day, Every day...

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—He must take the permission of the House.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Permission of the Speaker is there-

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Even if the permission of the Speaker is there, I take the liberty to say that this is not a good practice to go out of the House every day. Yesterday Seven Ministers were absent. What is this?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The discretionary power of the Speaker...

re : (4) Sale of land by Gurukul Institution, Madhavpur, Ranga Reddy Dist.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — I have given upto 21st.

Sri Pooja Subbaiah — This practice should not recur.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — I have given upto 21st.

Sri Pooja Subbaiah — Permission for how many days?

Sri B. Ayyapu Redy — You have already given permission.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu — Permission for how many days?

Mr. Deputy Speaker — I have given upto 21st.

Sri Pooja Subbaiah — This practice should not recur.

Shri Venkayya Reddy — This practice should not recur.

Shri Shivananda — 800 ekar Jamuna has 70 per cent of land left.
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:

re: (5) Delay in execution of second phase of Sreeramasagar project.

Sri B. Ayyar Reddy: - Sir, we congratulate the Member for bringing this to our notice. Anyhow, there appears to be some mistake. The Gurukul institute was not allotted any land by the Government either at Madarpur or Guttala Begumpet village of Rajendra nagar taluq. There is also no such proposal under consideration. However, an enquiry made by the Tahsildar revealed that the Gurukul institution Ghatkesar is holding patta lands in Ijjatnagar and Khammammet villages of Rajendranagar taluq and that the President of the Institution has sold away these lands. If these assigned lands have been sold away unauthorisedly against the Conditions of the assignment, the Government will certainly take serious and severe action and prosecute them for violating the conditions of the assignment. We are thankful to Mr. Shivlal for bringing this to the notice of the Government.

(Matter under Rule 329, on No 7 of the list was not pressed)

9-10 a.m re:- (5) Delay in the execution of II Phase of Sreeramasagar Project.

“A scheme report for Sriram sagar Project Stage II at an estimated cost of Rs.202.97 crores was sent to the Central Water Commission, Government of India in February, 1980 by the Government of Andhra Pradesh. The Sriramasagar Project Stage II envisages extension of the Kakatiya canal from Km. 235 to 349 giving an additional irrigation potential of 7.90 lakh acres in the districts of Warangal, Khammam and Nalgonda. The Project report is presently under examination of the Central Water Commission. The Central Water Commission has communicated comments on hydrological aspects and also on other aspects such as financial, irrigation, canals, cropping pattern etc. during July-September, 1980. The Central Water Commission has commented that the yield of 200 Tmc. worked out by the State is on the high side and consequently the utilisation plan of the project is also on the high side.

Replies to the comments on hydrology, reestablishing the net yield at Srima nagar project at 200 Tmc. were sent to the Central Water Commission in February, 1981. Replies to the comments on other aspects are being attended to. Replies to other aspects other than hydrology can be finalised and submitted to the Central Water Commission on receipt of clearance of the
(5) Delay in execution of second phase of Sriramasagar project.

hydrological aspect. The Central Water Commission has sent further comments while seeking clarifications with their letter dated 9-11-1981 which are being attended to. The question of providing funds for the Sriramasagar Project Stage II can be considered after the scheme is cleared by the Government of India. The State Government are keen for taking up the works of the Sriramasagar Project Stage II as quickly as possible and they will make every effort to obtain the clearance of the Government of India expeditiously.

(Signed)

(Signed)

(Signed)

(Signed)

(Signed)

(Signed)
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Matters Under Rule 329:

re: (5) Delay in execution of second phase of Sree ram sagar Project.

...
re: (6) Extension of Lease for the Ritz Hotel Buildings

The Hon. Members have raised this matter under Rule 329, 21st November, 1981.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Using my discretionary power and as the Hon. Members also specified that the answer was not complete yesterday, I thought I could bring it under Rule 329 and I have allowed it.

(Translation)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Using my discretionary power and as the Hon. Members also specified that the answer was not complete yesterday, I thought I could bring it under Rule 329 and I have allowed it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Using my discretionary power and as the Hon. Members also specified that the answer was not complete yesterday, I thought I could bring it under Rule 329 and I have allowed it.
384
21st November, 198.
Matters Under Rule 329:
re: (6) Extension of lease for the Ritz Hotel Building.

I am not taking shelter under the principle of sub judice.
Matters Under Rule 329 : 21st November, 1981. 385

re: (g) Extension of lease for the Ritz Hotel Building.

```
re: (g) Extension of lease for the Ritz Hotel Building.

At the present stage of the proceedings, the question of the extension of the lease for the Ritz Hotel Building is under consideration. The matter has been pending before this Court for a considerable period. The parties have filed their respective submissions. The Court has heard the arguments and considered the evidence presented by the parties.

The extension of lease is contemplated under the provisions of the relevant statutes. The parties have relied on various clauses of the lease deed and the relevant sections of the statute. The Court has balanced the interests of the landlord and the tenant.

After due consideration, the Court hereby orders the extension of the lease for a period of five years from the date of the present order. The landlord is directed to execute the agreement of lease in the terms and conditions as detailed in the order.

The parties are requested to furnish a copy of the agreement of lease to the Registry within three months from the date of the order. The parties are advised to comply with the provisions of the lease deed and the relevant statutes.

The matter is adjourned for the disposal of the final order.
```

9-30 a.m.

re : (6) Extension of lease for the Rita Hotel Building.

That on 14-10-1981 it shall be put open both to the Government and the lessee to enter into fresh agreement to have extension of lease to enable them so desire.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu :—If both of them agree the party may approach the Government and it may turn down the request.

Sri K. G. Kanna Biran, Advocate for petitioner/plaintiff and upon reading the petition of the said petitioner/plaintiff in his matter filed this the 29th day of September 1981 and upon hearing the argument perusal of material papers, affidavit in support ther of the court on order interim injunction is awarded, against you the respondent/defendant preventing you from dispossessing the suit premises etc.
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(6) Extension of lease for the Ritz Hotel Building.

(7) [Text not legible]

(8) [Text not legible]

(9) [Text not legible]
Matters Under Rule 329:

re: (6) Extension of lease for the Ritz Hotel Building.

21st November, 1981

విషయం పరిశ్రమ అధికారం తీసి, నాటికి రెండు వర్షాల వారి పండ్తు ముగ్గురు. అవి పైపాలు తోడు, ప్రశ్నాంశాలు అనుసరించాం. మీద ఎంపికకు సిద్ధాంతం ఉండాలి [మూలశాస్త్రం
చక్రవర్తించడానికి లక్షల్లు వేయడానికి చేయాలని సుమారు సరి 10 వర్షాల అంతరం],
వండ్, వీటి పరిశ్రమ విషయంలో ప్రతిఫలించాయి. [ప్రతిశ్రమ విషయంలో వేయడానికి ప్రతిఫలించాయి.], మేము ప్రతిశ్రమలో ఆసల వేయడానికి చేసేవి. భావించట్టు లభించినట్లు ఆనికి చేసేవి? కానీ వినంతి ముగ్గురు సరిపోడుతుందే కాని భావించటానికి అంటే ఈ పరిశ్రమలో ఆసల వేయడానికి చేయాలి?

ఈ పరిశ్రమలో ఆసల వేయడానికి చేయాలి?

12,000 తరచు అంటే కాని వేయడానికి చేయాలి?

మేము పరిశ్రమలో ఆసల వేయడానికి చేయాలి?

28914

ప్రతిశ్రమ అంటే ఆసల వేయడానికి చేయాలి?

మరుగులు ఎంపిక అంటే ఆసల వేయడానికి చేయాలి?

మరుగులు ఎంపిక అంటే మరు అంటే ఆసల వేయడానికి చేయాలి?

14 మరు అంటే ఆసల వేయడానికి చేయాలి?

మరుగులు ఎంపిక అంటే దీని అంటే ఆసల వేయడానికి చేయాలి?

మరుగులు ఎంపిక అంటే దీని అంటే ఆసల వేయడానికి చేయాలి?

మరుగులు ఎంపిక అంటే దీని అంటే ఆసల వేయడానికి చేయాలి?

మరుగులు ఎంపిక అంటే దీని అంటే ఆసల వేయడానికి చేయాలి?

మరుగులు ఎంపిక అంటే దీని అంటే ఆసల వేయడానికి చేయాలి?

మరుగులు ఎంపిక అంటే దీని అంటే ఆసల వేయడానికి చేయాలి?

మరుగులు ఎంపిక అంటే దీని అంటే ఆసల వేయడానికి చేయాలి?
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329

re: (6) Extension of lease for the Ritz Hotel Building

Sri M. Omkar:— Why did not intervene at that stage? That was the point we put forth yesterday.

There is some collusion at some stage. Otherwise, this would not have happened. 10,000 ์లు లేదు.
re: (7) Lease of Mahboob Mansion.

We have taken a firm stand that we shall take over the premises and we have sent our Executive Engineer there to take possession also.

re: (7) Lease of Mahboob Mansion
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 29:

re: (7) Lease of Mahboob Mansion.

---
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Matters Under Rule 329:

21st November, 1981.

re: (7) Lease of Mahboob Mansion.

(1) செயல், வெளியே மாண்டை விளையாடி முழுது, நீர் அறியாமையின் மூலம் பயன்படுத்தப்படும் நிலையானது போன்று இடம்பெற்று. எனவே எந்தவுடன் நான்கு நாட்குறிகள் முன்னே தோன்றும் போது என்னுடைய நூற்றாண்டு வயது கொண்ட உயிரிற்கும் கூட்ட வளாகம் மற்றும் சிறற்று வயம் கொண்ட நான்கு நாட்குறிகளின் வளாகத்தை அளிப்பது. எவ்வாறு முடிகிறது இப்படி வெளியே முழுதும் விளையாடி முழுது?

(2) செயல் போல், பல்வேறு வகையான நூற்றாண்டு வயது கொண்ட கூட்ட வளாக பெரும்பான்மையான விளையாடி முழுது விளையாடி முழுது. இந்த வழக்கம் கூட்ட வளாக வழக்கத்தில் காணப்படும் வகையாக விளையாடி முழுது விளையாடி முழுது. செயல் மற்றும் வகையான வயது கொண்ட கூட்ட வளாகம் மற்றும் சிறற்று வயம் கொண்ட நான்கு நாட்குறிகள் வளாகத்தை அளிப்பது. எவ்வாறு முடிகிறது இப்படி வெளியே முழுதும் விளையாடி முழுது?

(3) செயல் போல், பல்வேறு வகையான நூற்றாண்டு வயது கொண்ட கூட்ட வளாக பெரும்பான்மையான விளையாடி முழுது விளையாடி முழுது. இந்த வழக்கம் கூட்ட வளாக வழக்கத்தில் காணப்படும் வகையாக விளையாடி முழுது விளையாடி முழுது. செயல் மற்றும் வகையான வயது கொண்ட கூட்ட வளாகம் மற்றும் சிறற்று வயம் கொண்ட நான்கு நாட்குறிகள் வளாகத்தை அளிப்பது. எவ்வாறு முடிகிறது இப்படி வெளியே முழுதும் விளையாடி முழுது?

(4) செயல் போல், பல்வேறு வகையான நூற்றாண்டு வயது கொண்ட கூட்ட வளாக பெரும்பான்மையான விளையாடி முழுது விளையாடி முழுது. இந்த வழக்கம் கூட்ட வளாக வழக்கத்தில் காணப்படும் வகையாக விளையாடி முழுது விளையாடி முழுது. செயல் மற்றும் வகையான வயது கொண்ட கூட்ட வளாகம் மற்றும் சிறற்று வயம் கொண்ட நான்கு நாட்குறிகள் வளாகத்தை அளிப்பது. எவ்வாறு முடிகிறது இப்படி வெளியே முழுதும் விளையாடி முழுது?

(5) செயல் போல், பல்வேறு வகையான நூற்றாண்டு வயது கொண்ட கூட்ட வளாக பெரும்பான்மையான விளையாடி முழுது விளையாடி முழுது. இந்த வழக்கம் கூட்ட வளாக வழக்கத்தில் காணப்படும் வகையாக விளையாடி முழு விளையாடி முழுது. செயல் மற்றும் வகையான வயது கொண்ட கூட்ட வளாகம் மற்றும் சிறற்று வயம் கொண்ட நான்கு நாட்குறிகள் வளாகத்தை அளிப்பது. எவ்வாறு முடிகிறது இப்படி வெளியே முழுதும் விளையாடி முழுது?

(6) செயல் போல், பல்வேறு வகையான நூற்றாண்டு வயது கொண்ட கூட்ட வளாக பெரும்பான்மையான விளையாடி முழுது விளையாடி முழுது. இந்த வழக்கம் கூட்ட வளாக வழக்கத்தில் காணப்படும் வகையாக விளையாடி முழுது விளையாடி முழுது. செயல் மற்றும் வகையான வயது கொண்ட கூட்ட வளாகம் மற்றும் சிறற்று வயம் கொண்ட நான்கு நாட்குறிகள் வளாகத்தை அளிப்பது. எவ்வாறு முடிகிறது இப்படி வெளியே முழுதும் விளையாடி முழுது?

(7) செயல் போல், பல்வேறு வகையான நூற்றாண்டு வயது கொண்ட கூட்ட வளாக பெரும்பான்மையான விளையாடி முழுது விளையாடி முழுது. இந்த வழக்கம் கூட்ட வளாக வழக்கத்தில் காணப்படும் வகையாக விளையாடி முழுது விளையாடி முழுது. செயல் மற்றும் வகையான வயது கொண்ட கூட்ட வளாகம் மற்றும் சிறற்று வயம் கொண்ட நான்கு நாட்குறிகள் வளாகத்தை அளிப்பது. எவ்வாறு முடிகிறது இப்படி வெளியே முழுதும் விளையாடி முழுது?
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329

re: (7) Lease of Mahboob Mansion.

He is not convincing about the findings of the case of which he says "Everything was over prior to my becoming the Minister why should he want to defend the corrupt fellows? Why should they dupe the Government like this? Why they want to support the previous Government like this for all bad actions?"
We bring to your notice that some of the Hon'ble Ministers of the previous Government represented to Smt. Indira Gandhi about this plot and about this scandal.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu—Sir, now they are Cabinet Ministers. Let them explain Sir, how can they defend this?

Sri P. Subbaiah—As a matter of fact, the land was acquired by the Government for housing purposes.
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 32:
re: (7) Lease of Mahboob Mansion.

It is not fair for him. Why should he come to the rescue of the people who have misused the power? People are worried. What about the House Committee? Now, it's the turn of the government...

re; (7) Lease of Mahboob Mansion.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, the Chair can also take a decision and give a direction.

(Voting)

(Voting)

(Voting)
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—You have given us enough information and sought clarifications also. Thank you very much.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, what about the House Committee. We want a House Committee.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The direction from the Chair will not solve the position.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, there are some precedences where all the records of particular cases were brought before the Committee. Here, crores of rupees are involved.

The direction from the Chair will not solve this. Unless the concerned Minister agreed I can not solve this. You give me in writing for the same some time to bring the matter to the Committee.

re: (8) Placing orders for supply of Drill Bits to M/s Sajanam Enterprises by Singareni Collieries.

(8) Placing orders for supply of Drill Bits to M/s Sajanam Enterprises by Singareni Collieries.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, the letter was sent to the previous C. M., for his comments. The Chair can pursue this and can pursue certain records. The files relating to the Acquisition proceedings, Govt decision taken at the High level meeting etc., can be sent for scrutiny.
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:
re: (3) Placing orders for supply of Drill Bits to M/s Sajanam Enterprises by Singareni Collieries.

Matters Under Rule 329:

21st November, 1981.

re: (8) Placing orders for Supply of Drill Bits to M/s Sejanam Enterprises by Singareni Collieries.

...
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21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 32g:
re: (8) Placing orders for supply of Drill Bits to M/s Sajanam Enterprises by Singareni Colleries.

8. On the basis of the report of the Inspector, the matter was discussed at a meeting held on 8-11-1981. It was decided that orders for supply of Drill Bits to M/s Sajanam Enterprises by Singareni Colleries be placed as per the quantities and prices indicated below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28-8-1981</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Drill Bits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01-9-1981</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Drill Bits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was further decided that the order for 20 Drill Bits should be placed on 10-12-1981. The order for 100 Drill Bits should be placed on 10-12-1981.

10.40 a.m. The meeting was adjourned at 28-8-1981.
Matters Under rule 529 : 21st November, 1781.

re : (8) Placing orders for supply of Drill Bits to M/s Sajanam Enterprises by Singareni Colliers.

Mr. Baga Reddy :— Messrs. Sajanam Enterprises is promoted by a technocrat who was the pioneer in the development of Drill Bits in the State. This company was accorded ancillary status keeping in view the technical background and the promotional work done by the proprietor of the company. Orders were placed with M/s, Sajanam Enterprises in 1980-81 and 1981-82 for 60,000 and 2,00,000 drill bits (valued at Rs.25.8 and Rs.99 lakhs) respectively based on the requirements of the company. The supplies are to be at phased delivery of 10,000 Nos. per month to last upto 1982-83. Since Sajanam Enterprises was also accorded ancillary status the price was fixed based on actual cost plus 10 per cent as is customary in
404 21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:

re: (8) Placing orders for supply of Drill Bits to M/s Sajanam Enterprises by Singareni Collieries.

the case of ancillary units, M/s Sajanam Enterprises an industrial shed in Joedimeta M/s. Sajanam Enterprises is registered as Small Scale Industrial unit and has obtained commercial tax licence. It has also got the central excise licence. The company is also paying Sales Tax and Central Excise Duty. There is no shady deal or any misappropriation in the transaction. The company has been honouring its commitments to Singareni Collieries and the management is satisfied with its product and deliveries.
Matters Under Rule 329 : 21st November, 1985

re: (8) Passing orders for supply of Drill Bits to M/s Sajama En eprises by Singar
Colleries.

"On enquiry it is learnt that the unit is still not arrangements to commission their production as yet and have obtained provisional registration and it is not yet clear as to supplying drill bits." As a result "Since the unit in question comes under the Jurisdiction of the General Manager, I may request you to call for more details and the matter be referred to the General Manager." On inspection of the unit D 165 Jecudmeta, the following machinery was found, they have mentioned the late in June etc., and said "the said machinery is yet to be erected and the unit is yet to complete three phase connection and machinery and go into production." On 10-50 a.m.

(Official Copy)

(Official Copy)
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:

re: (8) Placing orders for supply of Drill Bits to M/s Sajanam Enterprises by Singaram Colleries.
re: (9) Irregularities in allotting houses at Kukatpally by the A. P. Housing Board.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I do not know that. In the requisition, he wanted some business to be answered by other members. He wanted my permission and I have given my permission. I do not know whether he has gone for election work or whether he has gone to see his brother who is ailing.
408 21st November, 1981. Matters Under Rule 329. re: (8) Irregularities in allotting houses at Kukatpally by the A. P. Housing Board.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu.—You are not concerned with election or collection. The matter is concerned with the A. P. Housing Board and its operation. The allotment of houses by the Board is irregular and it has to be stopped. The Board has allocated houses to people who have not applied for them. This is illegal. This is the responsibility of the Board and they have to be stopped.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—When once he has taken my permission and when I said it to the House—

11-00 a.m.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu.—You are kind enough to give permission to seven Ministers.

Sri Poola Subbaiah:—In the notice "Irregular procedure in the allotment of houses to the applicants by the Andhra Pradesh Housing Board at Kukatpally, Hyderabad." It is the duty of the Speaker to safeguard the rights of the House by pulling up the Ministers when they go wrong.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Shall I postpone it?

Sri P. Subbaiah:—No Sir, it is the duty of the Speaker to safeguard the rights of the House by pulling up the Ministers when they go wrong.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—In my opinion Mr. Ayyapu Reddy will satisfy you all. Whatever clarification you want, you can put it and I hope he will definitely satisfy you.

re: (8) Irregularities in allotting houses at Kukatpally, by the A. P. Housing Board.

The matter was referred to the Deputy Commissioner of Police at Kukatpally. The report was submitted on 14th December, 1981, and it was found that the irregularities had taken place. The report was forwarded to the Government, and they ordered an inquiry into the matter.

After the expiry of the last date till the draw has taken place, fresh applications were called for and they were taken. The draw was held on 14-11-1981.
21st November, 1981.  

Matters Under Rule 329:  
re: (8) Irregularities in allotting houses at Kukatpally, by the A. P. Housing Board.
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11-10 a.m
Matters under Rule 329: 21st November, 1981

re: (8) Irregularities in allotting houses at Kukatpally, by the A. P. Housing Board.

(8) Irregularities in allotting houses at Kukatpally, by the A. P. Housing Board.

The A. P. Housing Board, on the 21st November, 1981, submitted a report on irregularities in allotting houses at Kukatpally. The report highlights several issues and recommends corrective measures. The Board has been monitoring the allotment process closely and has observed certain irregularities that need to be addressed.

The Board has identified specific cases where the allotment process has not been followed properly. These cases include instances where the allotment of houses was not based on merit, and cases where the allotment was made without proper documentation.

The Board has also noted that in some cases, the allotment process has been manipulated, leading to unfair distribution of houses. The Board has recommended that a thorough investigation be conducted to identify those involved in these irregularities.

The Board has also recommended that stricter measures be taken to prevent such irregularities in the future. This includes increasing the transparency of the allotment process, ensuring that all applicants are treated equally, and conducting regular audits to monitor the process.

The Board has also recommended that a special committee be formed to investigate the cases of irregularities that have been identified. The committee will be tasked with recommending appropriate action against those involved in the irregularities.

The Board has also recommended that a mechanism be put in place to ensure that all applicants are treated equally, and that the allotment process is conducted in a fair and transparent manner.

The Board has also recommended that a system be put in place to ensure that all applicants are treated equally, and that the allotment process is conducted in a fair and transparent manner.

The Board has also recommended that a mechanism be put in place to ensure that all applicants are treated equally, and that the allotment process is conducted in a fair and transparent manner.

The Board has also recommended that a system be put in place to ensure that all applicants are treated equally, and that the allotment process is conducted in a fair and transparent manner.

The Board has also recommended that a mechanism be put in place to ensure that all applicants are treated equally, and that the allotment process is conducted in a fair and transparent manner.

The Board has also recommended that a system be put in place to ensure that all applicants are treated equally, and that the allotment process is conducted in a fair and transparent manner.

The Board has also recommended that a mechanism be put in place to ensure that all applicants are treated equally, and that the allotment process is conducted in a fair and transparent manner.

The Board has also recommended that a system be put in place to ensure that all applicants are treated equally, and that the allotment process is conducted in a fair and transparent manner.

The Board has also recommended that a mechanism be put in place to ensure that all applicants are treated equally, and that the allotment process is conducted in a fair and transparent manner.

The Board has also recommended that a system be put in place to ensure that all applicants are treated equally, and that the allotment process is conducted in a fair and transparent manner.
21st November, 1981.

Matters under Rule 329

re: (8) Irregularities in allotting houses at Kukatpally, by the A. P. Housing Board.
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(9) Settlement of demands of the State Rajakas.

The Housing Board will be liable to pay damages also if they are not honouring the rights of the applicants. The Housing Board has to fulfil the conditions and terms of the agreement entered into with the applicants. The Matter will be decided by the High Court.

The houses have not been allotted and they have not been completed. According to the terms and conditions, they will allot flats.

(9) Settlement of demands of the State Rajakas.
21st November, 1981.

Matters under Rule 329
re: (9) Settlement of demands of the State Rajakas.

(9) Settlement of demands of the State Rajakas.

On the date the demands of the State Rajakas were settled.

Decided that demands of the State Rajakas for the period 1978 to the date be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

The demands were settled in full.

It is ordered that the demands be settled.

re : (10) Purchase of books by B. D. O. Madhira from private publishers at abnormal rates.

(10) Purchase of books by the B. D. O. Madhira from the Private Publishers at abnormal rates.

On 10. 12. 1979 at 11.30 A.M.

(1) From 25. 10. 1979 to 30. 10. 1979.

(10) From 1.11. 1979 to 30. 11. 1979.


(40) From 1. 7. 1980 to 30. 9. 1980.

(44) From 1. 10. 1980 to 30. 11. 1980.


(70) From 1. 1. 1982 to 30. 6. 1982.

(74) From 1. 7. 1982 to 30. 9. 1982.

(78) From 1. 10. 1982 to 30. 11. 1982.

21st November, 1981.

Matters under Rule 329:

re: (11) Shifting of primary school from Yellarediguda to Ameerpeta High School Building.

(11) Shifting of the Primary School from Yellarediguda to Ameerpeta High School Building.

re: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.

21st November, 1981. 

Matters under Rule 329

re: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.

(12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.

1. **Ravindra Bharathi (Legislators):**

2. DD 1500 *R*botab. Ty*€oH R) *3e gboaa J(r* ^3^oT^w 3o^t^
Matters Under Rule 329 : 21st November, 1981. 410

re : (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 1-11-81.

By...,

11:50 a.m.

By...,
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:

re; (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.

The Hon'ble Chief Minister, Chairman, Karnataka State Legislative Council and

Hon'ble Speaker, Karnataka Legislative Assembly:

Sir,

I am writing this letter to inform you about an incident that occurred on 19th November, 1981, during the Ravindra Bharathi programme. The event was attended by many legislators, including myself. During the programme, a lathi charge was used by the police, and the admission of the legislators was refused. This action caused disturbance and disrupted the event.

I request your attention to this matter as it reflects poorly on the administration and the authorities present. I also urge you to take appropriate action to ensure such incidents do not recur in the future.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Name]
Matters Under Rule 329:

21st November, 1981.

(12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—So, you anticipated the trouble earlier.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—So, you anticipated the trouble earlier.
November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 129:

re: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.

12:00: "Srirangapatnam:—A group of legislators, who had been waiting patiently for over two hours, were forcibly removed after a scuffle. The police resorted to lathis and tear gas to disperse the crowd. The incident took place during a demonstration by the Congress against the government's policies. The legislators had been protesting against the government's move to privatise public sector enterprises. The police had earlier refused to allow the demonstration to proceed, leading to the confrontation.

"The police action was completely unnecessary," said one of the legislators. "We were just exercising our right to protest peacefully. The government has no right to use force against us.

"We will pursue this matter through legal channels," said another legislator.

The此事引起了广泛的抗议和批评，对政府的政策表示不满。

re: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19–11–81.

...
424 1st November, 1981.
Matters Under Rule 329 ;
re: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.

It is stated by some Members that they were lathi charged and refused admission. The Hon'ble Minister who never went to that place at that time denies the whole thing. It means, he is attributing untruthfulness to the Members.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It is not a point of order but you may reply.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Point of Order. Some Members have gone to the place and confessed to the fact that they have been lathi charged. The Hon'ble Minister who never went to that place at that time denies of the whole thing. That means, he is attributing untruthfulness to the Members.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It is not a point of order but you may reply.

re: (12) Lathi-charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-81.

Sri B. Srimannurthy:—With a view to control and regulate 12-10 p.m. the crowd who are present at that time at Ravindra Bharathi, the police people have to take necessary precaution. Lathi-charge was never used.

(Interruption)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Lathi-charge is a precaution which they exercised.
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:
re. (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharath on 19-11-81.

In view of the applications filed by the Leaders of the Legislature Party, Hon'ble Members of the Legislative Assembly, regarding the alleged Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharath on 19-11-81, the following amendments to the resolution are proposed:

(i) The said resolution be amended by inserting the following paragraphs:

1. Resolved that the House deplores the action of the police in resorting to Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharath on 19-11-81.

2. It is evident from the representations made by the Leaders of the Legislature Party that the action of the police was unjustified and inappropriate.

3. It is submitted that the action of the police caused inconvenience and discomfort to the Legislators.

(ii) The said resolution be amended by inserting the following paragraph:

4. It is resolved that the House demands an explanation from the authorities concerned regarding the circumstances under which the Lathi charge and refusal of admission took place.

(iii) The said resolution be amended by inserting the following paragraphs:

5. It is resolved that the House demands an assurance from the authorities concerned that similar incidents will not recur.

6. It is resolved that the House demands that appropriate action be taken against the police personnel involved in the incident.

(Signed)

[Signature]

[Position]

re: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-1981.

Sir,

I am forwarding herewith copy of a letter received by the Commissioner of Police, C. G. M. Roy, dated the 21st November, 1981, regarding the refusal of admission of Legislators to Ravindra Bharathi on the occasion of the Dasara festival.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

[Name]

(Commissioner of Police)

[Date]

[Office]

[Address]
21st November, 1981.

Matters Under Rule 329:

(12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-1981.

He never mentioned that "he has committed a mistake".

(End)
Matters Under Rule 329:

21st November, 1981.

re: (12) Lathi charge and refusal of admission to Legislators at Ravindra Bharathi on 19-11-1981.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— Sir, you are the guardian for the rights of the Members. We seek your protection.

Sri Ayyapu Reddy:— Sir, we have got another 3 or 4 pages' Agenda with some more items of 329. There are also so many Calling Attention matters I request all the members to co-operate, as we got only one or two hours time.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— You are Incharge of the Cultural Affairs. Why have you sent invitations? why have you sent invitations?

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— Why have you sent invitations?
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am not allowing anybody please. Please resume your seats. You obey the Chair please. All these exchanges etc., will not go into the records.

(Interruptions)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—It is for the Chair to answer the 12-30 p.m. point of order.

Sri S. Jalpa Reddy:—Now this Government need not express regret. That is enough.

(13) Altering of village documents by the Patwari of Nerudugomma village of Devarakonda taluk.

Sri B. Ayyapu Reddy:—We will see that he hands over Charge immediately and that the new incumbent takes charge immediately.

He refused to give him stay. It came to the Commissioner for Land Revenue, he also refused to give stay. He is under
suspension and enquiry is going on and a new incumbent has been appointed and if he has not taken charge, instructions will be given immediately to see that he takes charge.

ANNOUNCEMENT

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am to announce to the House that I have received a letter from Sri K. Appadu Dora, M.L.A. informing that he joined the Congress-(I) Legislature Party and I also received a letter from the Leader of the Congress-(I) Legislature Party informing that Sri Appadu Dora has been admitted into the Congress-(I) Legislature Party.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE
సాధనాలు ఇంటి చేయడానికి:

ప్రథమం, ప్రాణాలు నిర్ణయించ లాభం కలిగింది. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

మరువారం నిష్పత్తి ప్రచురమైన లేదా ప్రస్తుతి కాలంలో ఇది నిర్ణయించారు. 

(హైపైన్రోడింగ్)

Mr. Venkaiah Naidu:—Office has admitted. We have been informed. We will examine.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—We will examine.

Sri B. Ayyapu Reddy:—We will take the information from the hon. member.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I have also seen a report in the paper.
మేరుగాంశ జిల్లాల్లో జాతీయ రాష్ట్ర సేవకాలు ఉన్నారు. ఇవి నాటి సమయంలో ఉన్నాయి. మేరుగాంశ జిల్లాల్లో జాతీయ రాష్ట్ర సేవకాలు ఉన్నారు. 

ఎం. ఎం. ఐడియా పరామర్శించాడు. శితనామూరి పట్టణానికి రైతుల ప్రతి జాతీయ నాయకత్వ సమితి లేదా జాతీయ రాష్ట్ర సేవకాల సమితి లేత ఉండి. 

మేరుగాంశ జిల్లాల్లో జాతీయ రాష్ట్ర సేవకాలు ఉన్నారు. మేరుగాంశ జిల్లాల్లో జాతీయ రాష్ట్ర సేవకాలు ఉన్నారు. 

మేరుగాంశ జిల్లాల్లో జాతీయ రాష్ట్ర సేవకాలు ఉన్నారు.

స్హి. ఎం. ఆయాపుడి రచయిత -- ఈ విషయం ప్రతి సమయంలో ఉండాలి.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— He will take action on it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— That will be looked into.
Calling Attention to Matters of 21st November, 1981
Urgent Public Importance:
re: (1) Proposal to close down the drainage division at Ponnur, Guntur, Dist.

Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance
re: Proposal to close down Drainage Division at Ponnur, Guntur Dist

12-50 p.m.

Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance
re: Proposal to close down the drainage division at Ponnur, Guntur Dist.
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: (1) Proposal to close down the drainage division at Ponnur Guntur Dist.

21st November, 1981.
21st November, 1981.

Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: (2) Piecemeal sanction of Irrigation Division at Cuddapah.

(2) Piecemeal Sanction of Irrigation Division at Cuddapah
1-00 pm

(1) 3. Detention of Congress (I) workers at Alwala and Nidamannur Police Stations, Nalgonda

1982 February 1882

re: (3) Detention of Congress (I) workers at Alwala and Nidamannur Police Stations, Nalgonda
Calling Attention to Matters

21st November, 1981.

Urgent Public Importance:

re: (3) Detention of Congress (I) workers at Alwala and Nizamunnur Police Stations of Nalgonda.
21st November, 1981.

Papers laid on the Table.

Sri B. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, on behalf of the Chief Minister I beg to lay on the Table copies of the following notifications containing amendments to rules are required under sub-section (4) of section 72 of the Andhra Pradesh Excise Act, 1968.
Papers laid on the Table 21st November, 1981. 443

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>G.O.No. and Date</th>
<th>Date of publication in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>G.O.Ms.No. 1197, Rev. (E), Dept., dt. 29-7-1981.</td>
<td>5-8-1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>G.O.Ms.No. 1245, Rev. (E), Dept., dt. 4-8-1981.</td>
<td>27-8-1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>G.O.Ms.No. 1246, Rev. (E), Dept., dt. 4-8-1981.</td>
<td>10-8-1981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. On behalf of the Chief Minister I further beg to lay on the Table a copy of the amendment issued to the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission Regulations, 1963 issued in G. O. Ms. No. 573 General Administration (Services, A) Department dt. 29-8-1980 on compliance with the requirements of clause (5) of Article 320 of the Constitution of India.

3. On behalf of the Chief Minister I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the G.O.Ms.No. 25, Irrigation and Power (Drainage) Department dt. 17-1-1981 published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette issue No. 5 dt. 19-2-1981, as required under sub-section (2) of section 15 of the Andhra Pradesh (Krishna and Godavari Delta Area ) Drainage Cess Act, 1968.


5. On behalf of the Minister for Home I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Annual Report and Accounts for the year ended 30-6-1980 on the working of the Andhra Pradesh State Police Housing
Corporation Limited, Hyderabad, as required under section 619-A of the Companies Act 1956.

6. On behalf of the Minister for Revenue I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the amendment notification issued under section 3 of the Andhra Pradesh District Collectors' Power (Delegation) Act, 1961 in G.O.Ms.No. 1114, Revenue, dt. 10-7-1981 and published at page 851 in Part-I of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette dt. 1-10-1981, as required under section 5 of the said Act.


8. On behalf of the Minister for Revenue and Village Industries I again beg to lay on the Table a copy of the notification issued with G.O.Ms.No.1079, Rev., dt. 6-7-1981 amending the Andhra Pradesh Entertainments Tax Rules, 1939 and published at pages 71-72 of the Rules Supplement to Part-I of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette dt. 6-8-1981 as required under section 16 (6) of the Andhra Pradesh Entertainments Tax Act, 1939.


10. On behalf of the Minister for Municipal Administration I again beg to lay on the Table a copy of the notification issued in G. O. Ms. No. 829, HMA&UD (M.A.) dt. 21-7-1981 repealing the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Direct Election of the Chairman under section 23) Rules, 1978 and published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette dt. 24-7-1981 as required under sub-section (2) of section 327 of the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities Act, 1965.

11. On behalf of the Minister for Panchayathi Raj I beg to lay on the Table copies of the following notifications in which certain amendments to rules have been made as required under sub-section (3) of section 217 of the Andhra Pradesh Grampanchayats Act, 1964 and sub-section (2) of section 69 of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis Zilla Parishads Act, 1959.
12. On behalf of the Minister for Major Irrigation I beg to lay on the Table copies of the following Special Orders made by the State Government under the proviso to clause (5) of article 371-D of the Constitution of India as required by clause (6) of the said article.


13. On behalf of the Minister for Agriculture I beg to lay on the Table a copy of 10th Annual Report and accounts of the Andhra Pradesh State Agro Industries Development Corporation Limited for the year 1977-78 (period ended 13th June, 1978) containing also the Audit Report for that year, as required under section 619-A of the Companies Act, 1956.


16. On behalf of the Minister for Labour and Civil Supplies I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the notification issued in G.O.Ms.No. 460, L.E.N.&T.E., dt. 30-6-1981, as required under sub-section (of section 40 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947.

17. On behalf of the Minister of State for Municipal Administration and Urban Development I beg to lay on the Table copies of the following notifications as required by sub-section (4) of section 326 of the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities Act, 1965.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No.</th>
<th>G.O. No. and date</th>
<th>Date of publication in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

18. On behalf of the Minister of State for Municipal Administration and Urban Development I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the notifications issued in G.O.Ms.No. 521, HMA&UD, (M.A dt. 15-5-1981 and published in rules supplement to part-I of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette dt. 4-6-1981, as required under section 7(2) of the Andhra Pradesh Urban areas (Surcharge on property Tax) Act 1958.


20. On behalf of the Minister for Housing I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Housing Board Employees Family Benefit-cum-life-Assurance Scheme Rules issued in G.O.Ms.No. Housing dt. 25-7-1981 as required under sub-section (3) of section 70 of the Andhra Pradesh Housing Board Act. 1956.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Papers laid on the Table.

PAPERS PLACED ON THE TABLE

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy :—Sir, on behalf of the Minister for Municipal Irrigation I beg to place on the Table a copy of preliminary enquiry Report of Sri L.R. Kapoor, Deputy Engineer-in Chief on work entrusted to the contractors without calling for open tenders in Irrigation Circle, Nellore, in pursuance of reply given by him answering notice under rule 329 on 3-4-1981.
Papers placed on the Table.

21st November, 1981.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Papers placed on the Table.

S. 10. Worshippedom:—M. S. S. 10. Worshippedom:—M. S.

S. 10. Worshippedom:—M. S. S. 10. Worshippedom:—M. S.

S. 10. Worshippedom:—M. S. S. 10. Worshippedom:—M. S.

S. 10. Worshippedom:—M. S. S. 10. Worshippedom:—M. S.

S. 10. Worshippedom:—M. S. S. 10. Worshippedom:—M. S.

S. 10. Worshippedom:—M. S. S. 10. Worshippedom:—M. S.
448 21st November, 1981. Motion under rule 187 for leave of absence.

Motion Under Rule 187 of:

Leader of The House (Sri B. Ayyapu Reddy) - Sir, I beg to move:

"That under rule 187 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly leave of absence be granted to Sri G. Venkatnarayana Reddy, M.L.A. for this session, as he is ill."

Mr. Deputy Speaker - Motion moved.

The question is:

"That under rule 187 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly leave of absence be granted to Sri G. Venkatnarayana Reddy, M.L.A. for this session, as he is ill.

The motion was adopted.
Presentation of The Reports of the Committee on Petitions:

Sri Baquer Agha:—Sir, on behalf of Sri D. Venkata Reddy, I beg to present the following five reports of the Committee on Petitions:

"(1) Third Report on the petition presented by Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi, MLA., on behalf of 44 purdanashin ladies.

(2) Fourth Report on the petition presented by Sri N.S.N. Reddy, MLA., on behalf of 150 persons of N.S.D. Durgapuram of Visakhapatnam.

(3) Fifth Report on the petition presented by Sri N.S.N. Reddy MLA., on behalf of 1000 families living on rock cutting at Malkonda Hill, Visakhapatnam.

(4) Sixth Report on the petition presented by Sri V. Sanyasi Naidu, MLA., on behalf of Jalcrri Ganga Salt Pan Workers Cooperative Cottage Industrial Society Limited, Jalaripalem, Visakhapatnam District.

(5) Seventh Report on the petition presented by Sri V. Sanyasi Naidu, MLA., on behalf of ten persons of Etikoppaka village, Visakhapatnam District."

Presentation of the Reports of The Committee of Privileges:

Sri K. Bapiraju:—Sir, I beg to present the following Reports of the Committee of Privileges:


3. Tenth Report on the case relating to the Collector, Warangal District.


5. Twelfth Report on the case relating to the then Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad.
Adoption of The Reports of The Committee of Privileges:

1. Adoption of the Sixth Report of the Committee of Privileges:

Sri K. Bapiraju, Member, Committee of Privileges: —Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Sixth Report of the Committee of Privileges presented to the House on 20th November, 1981 be taken into consideration

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — Motion moved.

The question is:

"That the Sixth Report of the Committee of Privileges presented to the House on 20th November, 1981 be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted and the Report was considered.

Sri K. Bapiraju: — Sir. I beg to move

"That the House agrees with the recommendations contained in the Report."

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — Motion moved.

The question is:

"That the House agrees with the recommendations contained in the Report."

The motion was adopted and the House agreed with the recommendations contained in the Report.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — He has given unqualified apologies also.

Adoption of the Seventh Report of the Committee of Privileges:

Sri K. Bapiraju, (Member, Committee of Privileges): — Sir, I beg to move:


"That the Seventh Report of the Committee of Privileges presented to the House on 20th November, 1981 be taken into consideration."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

The question is:

"That the Seventh Report of the Committee of Privileges presented to the House on 20th November, 1981 be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted and the Seventh Report of the Committee was considered.

Sri K. Bapiraju:—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the House agrees with the recommendations contained in the Report."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

The question is:

"That the House agrees with the recommendations contained in the Report."

The motion was adopted and the House agreed with the recommendations contained in the Report.

GOVERNMENT BILL

The Andhra Pradesh Godavari Barrage Cess Bill, 1980
(L.A. Bill No. 28 of 1980)

The Minister for Major Irrigation (Sri G.V. Sudhirakar Rao):—Sir, I beg to move:

"For the continuance of the Andhra Pradesh Godavari Barrage Cess, Bill. 1980."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The House may make a Special order for the continuance of the Bill. This is for continuance.
The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Godavari Barrage Cess Bill, 1980 be continued"

The motion was adopted.

MESSAGE

Mr Deputy Speaker:—I have received the following message from the hon Chairman Legislative Council.

"In accordance with rule 147 of the Rules of Procedure and conduct of Business in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council, I transmit a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Sugarcane (Regulation of Supply and Purchase) Amendment Bill, 1981 (LA Bill No. 31 of 1981) as passed and agreed to by the Legislative Council on the 20th November, 1981 with out any amendment and signed by me".

Chairman,
Legislative Council."

STATISTICS FOR THE MEETING OF THE ASSEMBLY

Mr Deputy Speaker:—Here are the Statistics for the Meeting of the Assembly from the 4th November to 21st November, 1981.

1. No. of days for which the Assembly sat 14
2. No. of hours for which the Assembly worked 86 hrs. 48 mnts.
3. No. of Starred Questions Answered Orally 122
4. No. of Short Notice Questions Answered 22
5. No. of Supplementaries 838
6. No. of answers to Unstarred Questions placed on the Table of the House (printed lists) 100
7. No. of answers to Starred Questions placed on the Table of the House on 20-11-1981 89
8. No. of answers to Unstarred Questions placed on the Table of the House on 20-11-1981 32
9. No. of Speeches made by the Ministers 94
10. No. of Speeches made by the Members 302
11. No. of Notices admitted under Rule, 329 38
Statistics for the Meeting of the Assembly.

12. No. of Call Attention notices admitted and Statements made by the Ministers. 27

13. No. of bills passed 17

14. COMPOSITION OF THE HOUSE AS ON 21-11-1981:

- Indian National Congress (I) 255
- Communist Party of India (Marxists) 8
- Lok Dal (Janata-S) 7
- Communist party of India 6
- Janata 5
- Bharateeya Janata Party 3
- Majlis-Ittehad-ul-Muslimeen 3
- Independents (including one nominated) 5
- Vacant 3

Total 295

I thank you for the co-operation given by the Members from 1-30 p.m. various groups. With the permission of the House, I adjourn the House SINE DIE.

(The House then adjourned Sine Die)