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ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

National Rural Employment Programmes

223—

*7885—Q.-Sarvasi Pooja Sudduthah and V. Sobhanadreeswar Rao:—Will the Minister for Special Employment be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that National Rural Employment Programme has come in the place of Food for Work Programme; and

(b) whether a copy of it be placed on the Table of the House?

Guidelines on National Rural Employment Programme—1980 81

The 'Food for Work' Programme was conceived early in January 1977. Its main objectives were to utilise available foodgrains for generating employment in rural areas and creating durable community assets in order to strengthen the rural infrastructure for socio-economic development.

*An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the Member.
2. In the implementation process of the programme during the last 3 years, a number of defects/shortcomings were noticed. It has, therefore, been suitably modified and restructured. The new programme will be known as the National Rural Employment Programme.

Objectives:

3. The programme will have three basic objectives as under:

   (i) generation of additional gainful employment for the unemployed and under-employed persons, both men and women, in the rural area;

   (ii) creation of durable community assets for strengthening the rural infrastructure, which will lead to rapid growth of rural economy and steady rise in the income levels of the rural poor;

   (iii) improvement of the nutritional status and the living standards of the rural people.

Implementing Agencies:

4. The programme will be implemented through the state government and union territory administration in accordance with the guidelines of the Central Government.

Programme Contents:

5. All ongoing plan and non-plan schemes as well as new items of works, which result in the creation of durable community assets in rural areas will fall within this programme. The types of works that can be taken up under the programme are indicated in the annexure. The list is, however, illustrative only.

6. In the execution of works under the programme, preference will be given to the ecologically and economically backward areas, particularly, the areas predominantly inhabited by the scheduled castes/scheduled tribes.

Standards and Specifications of the Works:

7. Standards and specifications of the works to be executed under the programme should be the same as already approved by the technical departments like Irrigation, P.W.D., etc. Wherever standards and specifications for any category of works have not been laid down, approval of the technical authorities concerned in this regard will have to be obtained before executing the works.

Maintenance of Assets:

8. Maintenance of assets under the programme will be the responsibility of the state governments/union territories. Necessary provision for maintenance and continuance of the asset created under
the programme will have to be made in the state plan budget on a year basis for the entire plan period.

**Resources:**

9. Under the 'Food for Work' programme, foodgrains were made available to the State Governments. There was also a provision of cash component also so that purchase of capital assets created durable. The proportion between the value of foodgrains and cash grants to be given to the State was in the ratio of 2:1. States may make matching provision in their budgets also to meet any additional requirement of funds for this purpose.

**Programme a part of the Five Year Plan:**

10. The national rural employment programme will form part of the sixth five year plan. For the year 1980-81, a budget provision of Rs. 340 crores has been made and the availability of funds for the programme during the remaining years of the plan may be roughly of the same order. The States will be given a clear indication of their respective shares annually, so that work projects to be taken up under the programme are carefully planned, executed and monitored. The size of the programme will, however, be determined on a five year basis having regard to the buffer stock position and other relevant factors.

**Criteria for Allocations:**

11. The population of the marginal farmers and agricultural labour on the one hand and the incidence of poverty on the other will form the basis for the allocation of resources to the States and Territories. While weightage on account of population of marginal farmers and agricultural labourers will be 75% for poverty incidence, it will be 25%. The State governments may make district-wise allocations accordingly. Due regard will, however, be given to the ongoing works in determining the allocations for districts in order that these get completed without delay or damage.

**Shelf of Projects:**

12. The States will prepare a shelf of projects, as a continuous process on a sufficiently dispersed scale for each district block, so that the felt needs of the rural community, particularly, of the economically and socially handicapped get catered to on a planned and priority basis. The overall objective will be the systematic development of the rural infrastructure through proper utilisation of local resources.
For preparation of the shelves of projects or the master plans for re-development for each block/district the expertise of local technical institutions like I.T.I's. Agricultural Universities, Engineering colleges etc. as well as voluntary organisations could be utilised. Block level committees consisting of elected representatives could be intimately associated with the indentification of works to be included in the shelf. It will be essential to indicate inter se priority of these projects. A suitable system for the periodic review of projects included in the shelf as well as monitoring of the projects undertaken for execution should be evolved.

Organisational Arrangements for Effective Implementation:

13. The State will have to provide adequate technical personnel at the block and the higher levels for effective implementation of the programme. Some of the states do have a rural engineering organisation or at least some technical personnel at the block level. Considering the size of the programme, the available staff strength is not adequate. For ensuring effective technical supervision and regular monitoring of the programme, suitable strengthening of the staff will be necessary at block, district as well as State levels.

Priority works:

14. 10% of the resources, made available under the programme, shall be earmarked for works relating to foorestation, social forestry, fodder and fuel plantation etc. Another 10% shall be earmarked for works directly benefitting the scheduled castes/scheduled tribes like development of house sites, group housing, drinking water wells and irrigation wells.

Wages Quantum:

15. The wages will be paid partly in foodgrains and partly in cash. In areas, where wheat is the staple food, the payment of daily wage in kind debitable to the N.R.E.P. allocation will consist of one kg. of wheat/coarse grains and one kg. of rice. In the predominantly rice consuming areas, it will be two kg. of rice. In addition to the aforesaid two kgs. of foodgrains, cash equal to the cost of one kg. of wheat/coarse grain will be paid and be debitable to the N.R.E.P. allocation. The central grant will, therefore, comprise two kgs. of foodgrains and cash for one kg. of foodgrains. Normally all the three kgs. of foodgrains, to be paid as wages to a workman, would have been provided to the states/union territories, but in view of this year's shortfall in food grains procurement by the F.C.I. state governments resulting from the 1979 drought, the aforesaid arrangement of providing cash in lieu of
one kg. of foodgrains has been decreed. An allowance above the storage limits will be paid by the State out of their allocation of foodgrains and cash.

Involvement of Panchayati Raj Institutions:

16. Since the programme is basically aimed at providing employment to the rural poor, raising their economic status, generating community assets and thereby strengthening them, it is only appropriate that the Panchayati Raj institutions are actively involved in its implementation. Given proper technical and administrative support, these institutions have the capability of executing works-fulfilling the needs of the people on a dispersed basis. It would no doubt, be necessary, to exercise a close and strict supervision and control over the execution of works under the programme so that the scope for malpractices is reduced to the extent possible. It would be desirable if board based village panchayat level committees representing various political and other interests are set up for the execution of works.

Banning of contractors:

17. Contractors are to be totally excluded from the execution of this programme as well as from the distribution of foodgrains as wages to workers employed on works under this programme.

Resources - an Addiitionality:

18. The foodgrains as well as the cash assistance given under the programme is an additional resource, deliverable to States union territories over and above their plan and non-plan resources. The states should therefore, show clearly that expenditure or existing plan and non-plan schemes, new items of capital works, the maintenance of public works, as the case may be, has been augmented to the extent of the amount of additional resource made available to them in the shape of foodgrains and cash grants.

Steering Committees at the State and the district levels:

19. There shall be a Steering Committee at the State level headed by the Chief Minister or Minister in charge, Rural Development and Panchayats. A few members of Parliament not exceeding seven normally, who represent all interests will also be nominated as members of the State level Steering Committee. Secretaries/Heads of the Departments operating the scheme or concerned with any facet of the programme will be represented on the Committee. A representative of the Ministry of Rural Reconstruction and the Senior Regional Manager, F.C.I. will be members of the Steering Committee. The Committee should meet regularly at least once in three months to make a
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detailed review of the progress in the implementation of the programme with particular reference to the speed and quality of work, stock position as well as the quality of food-grains, disbursement of wages to workers, maintenance and scrutiny of muster rolls, measurement books and other work records and progress reports from the field levels. A copy of the proceedings of the meeting is to be regularly forwarded to the Ministry of Rural Reconstruction.

20. Likewise, at the district level, there shall be a Steering Committee headed by District Magistrate/Collector. It is to include all the departmental heads concerned with the works taken up in the district under the programme. Members of Legislative Assembly and Members of Legislative Council from the districts should also be the members of the district-level Steering Committee. In addition, the members of Parliament not nominated at the State level Steering Committee shall also be the members of the District level Committee. The senior-most officer of the FCI in the District, a representative of Zilla Parishad or District Panchayat if such an elected body is functioning in the district, the voluntary agencies, if any, participating in the scheme should also be represented on the Committee. The district level committee should meet once in a month.

The main task of this committee will be to plan the works to be taken up under the programme, to determine allocation of resources to the blocks, panchayats and official agencies executing works departmentally in the district. It has to ensure that there is an equitable distribution of resources to all the areas under its charge and that there is no misuse of any type. It is at this level, that the greatest vigilance will have to be exercised to ensure meticulous compliance of the guidelines on the subject.

Monthly reports and returns:

21. It is necessary that in the beginning of every year, the information regarding existing provision in the State/Union Territory Government budget for ongoing plan and non-plan schemes, new items of capital works as well as for maintenance of public works in respect of which foodgrains are proposed to be utilised should be furnished in the prescribed proforma-I. A statement indicating details of the works proposed to be taken up under the programme will also have to be furnished in proforma-II in the beginning of each year. In addition, it will be necessary to furnish a monthly progress report in proforma-III. More detailed quarterly progress report as in annexure-IV will also be necessary.

The progress reports will serve the needs of planning and administration of the scheme. These also will enable authorities both
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22. Any departure from or non-compliance of these guidelines may lead to discontinuance of further allocation of resources under the programme.

Annexure

A list indicating the items of works to be taken up under the programme.

(i) Medium and minor irrigation works;
(ii) Flood protection, drainage and anti-water logging works;
(iii) Soil and Water conservation, land reclamation;
(iv) Afforestation and social forestry works on Government and Community lands including lands belonging to local bodies like panchayats etc. roads sid. plantation, plantations along canal banks and on waste lands on sides of railway lines etc. plantation of trees in the denuded forest areas and other lands unfit for cultivation, plantation of fuel, fodder and fruit trees;
(v) Construction of intermediate and main drains field channels and levelling etc. in the command areas of irrigation projects; cleaning and desilting of water courses etc.
(vi) Rural Roads, widening and strengthening of forest roads and canal service roads thrown open to public use, subject to standard specifications;
(vii) School buildings, panchayat ghars, community centres, drinking water wells belonging to Government or local bodies including panchayats or the community in rural areas or drinking water sources for the wild animals in the forest areas, cattle ponds, Pinja-poles, gaushalas, construction of service complex at the VLWs head-quarters and construction of residential accommodation for extension officers and works relating to development of house sites and group housing, drinking water wells/irrigation wells for the benefit of scheduled caste and scheduled tribes; and
(viii) Any other work not covered under the above items but which will result in creation of durable assets for the benefit of the rural poor, e.g. providing a village pond, repairing deepening and
rejuvenating an existing tank for providing water for human use or for cattle, for developing irrigation or fisheries etc. thereby augmenting the income of the village panchayat which can be used for providing better sanitation or street lighting etc. in the villages. location specific works such as cleaning of irrigation reservoirs and channels, fishery tanks of water hyacinth and turning it into organic measures or construction of storage lines.

Number of defects, short-comings were noticed additional gainful employment, strengthening the rural infrastructure.

1. a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h. i. j. k. l. m. n. o. p. q. r. s. t. u. v. w. x. y. z.

2. a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h. i. j. k. l. m. n. o. p. q. r. s. t. u. v. w. x. y. z.

3. a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h. i. j. k. l. m. n. o. p. q. r. s. t. u. v. w. x. y. z.

4. a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h. i. j. k. l. m. n. o. p. q. r. s. t. u. v. w. x. y. z.

5. a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h. i. j. k. l. m. n. o. p. q. r. s. t. u. v. w. x. y. z.

6. a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h. i. j. k. l. m. n. o. p. q. r. s. t. u. v. w. x. y. z.

7. a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h. i. j. k. l. m. n. o. p. q. r. s. t. u. v. w. x. y. z.

8. a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h. i. j. k. l. m. n. o. p. q. r. s. t. u. v. w. x. y. z.

9. a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h. i. j. k. l. m. n. o. p. q. r. s. t. u. v. w. x. y. z.

10. a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h. i. j. k. l. m. n. o. p. q. r. s. t. u. v. w. x. y. z.
Mr Speaker:—We will take the next question also. Supply-mentaries will be allowed for both the questions.

Distribution of Rice under Food for Work Programme

* 7967—Q.—Sri G. Mallikarjuna Rao:—Will the Minister for Special Employment be pleased to place on the Table of the House the information regarding:

(a) the quantity of rice and the money received during the months of November and December 1980, under the 'Food for Work' programme.

(b) the districtwise distribution of rice and money and the basis of such distribution;

(c) the reasons for not making any allotment to certain districts; and

(d) whether the allotment will be made to such districts at least in future quota?

(a) The Government of India replaced the Food for Work Programme with National Rural Employment Programme in the month of October, 1980. They have allotted 42,000 M.T. of rice to
In December, 1980, the Government of India conveyed their sanction to the payment of Rs. 236.50 lakhs towards cash portion of wage component and Rs. 739.00 lakhs towards material component;

(b) & (c) Considering the acute drought conditions prevailing in the fourteen drought districts, the Government have decided to release the entire foodgrains to the drought Districts during this year. Accordingly, the foodgrains and the corresponding wage component of Rs. 236.50 lakhs has been allotted to 14 drought districts based on the number of marginal farmers and agricultural labour following the guidelines, under National Rural Employment Programme. The cash assistance of Rs. 739 lakhs received from Government of India towards material component has been distributed to all the districts as it can be utilised for the on-going works taken-up under Food for Work Programme. A statement showing the district-wise distribution of food and cash is enclosed.

(d) Yes, Sir.
### STATEMENT SHOWING THE DISTRIBUTION OF GRAINS AND GRANTS UNDER NATIONAL RURAL EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMME FOR THE YEAR 1980–81

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the District</th>
<th>Allotment of food-grains to Dist. Collectors M. Ts.</th>
<th>Allotment of Food-grains to APSC Co-ope- Fin. Corpo. M. Ts.</th>
<th>Allotment of Food-grains to C.C.F. for afforestation M. Ts.</th>
<th>One K.G. component (Rs. in lakhs)</th>
<th>Material component Rs. in lakhs</th>
<th>State Funds (Rs. in lakhs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>4234</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>23.83</td>
<td>24.49</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>3259</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td>23.02</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Anantapur</td>
<td>5346</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30.10</td>
<td>24.61</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>3464</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>19.51</td>
<td>37.65</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>3457</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>19.48</td>
<td>29.92</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Prakasam</td>
<td>2878</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16.21</td>
<td>30.46</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Mahboobnagar</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>11.43</td>
<td>26.73</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Nalgonda</td>
<td>3352</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18.87</td>
<td>28.43</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Ranga Reddy</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Medak</td>
<td>2446</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>13.77</td>
<td>25.85</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
<td>2316</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>37.97</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Warangal</td>
<td>2367</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>31.26</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>23.12</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td>1138</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>17.73</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Chief Conservator of Forest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39.75</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>APSCCF Corp. Ltd., Hyd.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>199.75</td>
<td>60.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Drought Districts</strong></td>
<td>37800</td>
<td>3450</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>236.50</td>
<td>448.45</td>
<td>140.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NON—DROUGHT DISTS.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(7)</th>
<th>(8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35.36</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25.66</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Vizianagaram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30.51</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>47.45</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38.37</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42.45</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Guntur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51.36</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Khammam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.82</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total for non-drought districts</strong></td>
<td>290.50</td>
<td>86.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand total (Drought and Non-drought Districts)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(7)</th>
<th>(8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37800</td>
<td>3450</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>236.50</td>
<td>739.00</td>
<td>220.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Mr. Speaker:—Please keep it on the Table of the House.

I will check it up. So far, no such thing is done.

47. Shri Gulam Sambdani:—In this National Rural Employment Programme, for the execution of this programme, the contracts are previously given to different persons. But my suggestion to the Government is if it is possible, there are a number of co-operative societies among the weaker sections and other communities like the Weaver Communities, etc. Why not the Government give priority to such societies including the young men co-operative societies who are unemployed?
Sri Bhattam Srirama Muithy: — We welcome the suggestion. Wherever such people are coming forward we will certainly advise the Collector to give immediately necessary priority and preference to such organisations.

(MP) 3. G. V. R. :— It is understandable.

(MP) 4. A. S. :— It is understandable.

(MP) 5. K. C. :— It is understandable.

(MP) 6. K. G. S. :— It is understandable.

1. *சுருக்கம்:* 24வது மார்ச், 1981-இல் கேள்விகள் தேர்க்கும் போட்டிகளில் பதிவு செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளன. இதில் 1) பாண்டியில் செல்வார் 2) காச்சலி 3) முல்லை 4) திருச்சிராப்ப சென்றுதல் போன்றவை சொல்லப்பட்டுள்ளன. இவற்றில் என்ன நடைமுறை உள்ளது என்று தெரிகிறது. 

2. வலப்புறத்தில் கூறப்பட்டுள்ள 14 விதம் இந்தியப் பேரரசு என்ற பெயரை பெற்று இட்டுள்ளது. காட்சிகள் 288.50 கோடி தொகையில் தொடர்பு விளக்கம் செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளது. இதில் அவர்கள் என்றும் எல்லாரும் விழாக்களை நோய் உபயோகித்துள்ளனர். அனைத்தும் காணப்பட்டுள்ள என்றெல்லாம் சரணாலயங்களின் பல்கலைக்கழகம் என்ற பெயரை உருவாக்கவுள்ளதாரா? அல்லாது என்று கேள்விகள் கூறப்பட்டுள்ளது என்று கேள்வி செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளது.


112-3
Electrification of Villages suggested by Legislators

223—

* 6401-(H) Q.—Sarvasri V Shobhanadreswara Rao, E. Subba Rao, K. Bapiraju, M. Venkaiah Naidu, N. Raghava Reddy and A Laxminarayana:—Will the Minister for Power be pleased to state:

when the Government will approve the list of the villages to be electrified as suggested by the Legislators for the year 1980-81?

1980-81 ఉప సభ సదాశీర్షా కార్యకర్తలు ప్రశ్నలు లభిస్తున్నాయి. మార్గం మార్గం విధానాల మార్గం విధానాల మార్గం 1981, అంశాలు అందంకందింది.

ప్రశ్న.' పవిత్ర మాటార్థం:—80-81 సంవత్సరం ప్రశ్న కనుమలు విషయం 81 సంవత్సరం కార్యకర్తలు. మార్గం మార్గం మార్గం మార్గం మార్గం?

ప్రశ్న 2. ప్రశ్న పవిత్ర మాటార్థం: అంశాత్మకం 80.

ప్రశ్న 3. ప్రశ్న పవిత్ర మాటార్థం:—80-81 సంవత్సరం సంవత్సరం సంవత్సరం సంవత్సరం మార్గం. 81 సంవత్సరం సంవత్సరం సంవత్సరం సంవత్సరం మార్గం. మార్గం మార్గం మార్గం ప్రశ్న పవిత్ర మాటార్థం: మార్గం మార్గం ప్రశ్న 80.
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(1) கீழேடு:—20.1.81 வரை 30.1.80 வரை மாணவர் இங்கிலாந்து தொலைத்தலையாக உள்ளேயோ உள்ளேயை பற்றிய செய்திகளை தெரியும். இவை இது தொழில்நுட்ப வளர்ச்சியில் பக்தியான காலம் குறிப்பிட்டுங்களே.

(2) தலைப்பு:—20.1.80 வரை 30.1.81 வரை வந்தலை தெரியும். இரு வந்தல்கள் இங்கிலாண்டு தொலைத் தலைகளாக உள்ளே உள்ளே வந்தலை தெரியும். இவை இது தொழில்நுட்ப வளர்ச்சியில் பக்தியான காலம் குறிப்பிட்டுங்களே.

(3) கீழேடு:—78-79 ஆனது இங்கிலாண்டு தொலைத்தலையாக உள்ளேயோ உள்ளேயை பற்றிய செய்திகளை தெரியும். 78-79 க்கு முந்தைய வருடங்கள் இங்கிலாண்டு தொலைத் தலைகளாக உள்ளே உள்ளே வந்த செய்திகளை தெரியும். இவை இது தொழில்நுட்ப வளர்ச்சியில் பக்தியான காலம் குறிப்பிட்டுங்களே.
Oral Answers to Questions

2. The Hon'ble Member: --

3. The Hon'ble Minister: --

4. The Hon'ble Member: --
Tariff on Poultry Farmers

226—

*S8039—Q—Sri M. Venkatah Naidu:—Will the Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any decision to the effect that the tariff levied for the agricultural farmers will also be applied to the poultry farmers;

(b) if so, when it will come into force; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

(3) M. Bhaskara Rao:—(a) whether there is any decision to the effect that the tariff levied for the agricultural farmers will also be applied to the poultry farmers;

(b) if so, when it will come into force; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

(5) M. Bhaskara Rao:—Whether there is any decision to the effect that the tariff levied for the agricultural farmers will also be applied to the poultry farmers. Whether there is any decision to the effect that the tariff levied for the agricultural farmers will also be applied to the poultry farmers? Whether there is any decision to the effect that the tariff levied for the agricultural farmers will also be applied to the poultry farmers?
227—

*7806—Q.—Sarvasri K. Satyanarayana, M. Omkar and K. Govinda Rao:—Will the Minister for Major Industries be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Aluminium Project at Visakhapatnam has been finalised by the Central Government; and

(b) if not, the reasons for the delay?

Aluminium Project at Vizag

227—

(a) whether the Aluminium Project at Visakhapatnam has been finalised by the Central Government; and

(b) if not, the reasons for the delay?

377

...
Oral Answers to Questions

Mr. Speaker:— The Next Question No. 228 is postponed.

Illegal Occupation of Govt. Land in Warangal Town by Land lords

229—

*8029 (R)—Q.—Sarvasri M. Omkar, K. Satyanarayana and N. Raghava Reddy:—Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that about 15 acres of Govt. land bearing old Survey No. 665 worth about one crore of rupees situated in the middle of Warangal City known as Balasamudram Hanumakonda was usurped by land lords;

(b) whether it is a fact that the local officials are in connivance with the usurpers; and

(c) if so, the action taken in the matter?

(ii) Oral Answers to Questions
This is in regard to Padmakshamma Devalayam, Sir, und porambok land. What Mr. Omkar says is a different thing.
24th March, 1981.

Oral Answers to Questions.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—With your permission, Sir,......

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—What happened to the Roads and Buildings Minister, Sir? Is he on Election campaign?

Mr. Speaker:—He is on tour.

(Si^rrations)

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—You have got liberty to absent yourselves? Where is Mr. Jaipal Reddy? Is he on election campaign? .... This is not good. You cannot question ......

(Si^rrations)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Your Chief Minister is irresponsible. Members can come and go. They have got every right to be present in the House or not. But, the Ministers cannot claim the same every day.

(Si^rrations)

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—I am not yielding, Sir. He cannot interrupt......

(Si^rrations)

Mr. Speaker:—Please sit down. Mr. Kondaiah Chowdary asked for my permission and I have granted permission. I have asked Sri Ayyapu Reddy to answer on his behalf. I have permitted him. I have granted permission.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, ......

(Si^rrations)

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—After you have given the ruling, can they again question, Sir?

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—After your ruling, there cannot be any discussion, Sir.
Mr Speaker:—I have already said that I have granted permission.

Mr Speaker:—We have finished that subject.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu :—it is a greatest lie. Yesterday, I questioned, about it. During the question hour, neither the Chief Minister was here nor the Leader of the House.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy :—For your information. I was in the Council answering a question.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu :—You were not here.

Mr. Speaker :—I cannot ask him to withdraw. If he wants to withdraw, he can withdraw. You have also remarked that the Ministers are irresponsible.

Let us close this. Let Mr. E. Ayyapu Reddy answer the question.

Completion of Road Over Bridge at the Entrance of Tirupati Town

230 —

*S8028-(B)-Q.-Sri A. Eswara Reddy :— Will the Minister for Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) Whether the road over bridge at the entrance of Tirupati Town is completed; and
(b) If so, the date when it would be declared open for the Public?

Sri A. Eswara Reddy :—

(c) 1981 —

(1) अबूरुच्छी शहर: — अबूरुच्छी शहर बस्ती ज्योतिराधि निवास.

(2) विदुरु

(3) अबूरुच्छी बस्ती: — 1981 ज्योतिराधि बस्ती ज्योतिराधि निवास.

Mr. Speaker,—The Minister for Municipal Administration has written a letter to me asking for postponement of the question. The letter is as follows:

"As I am suffering from throat pain and temperature, the business posted in my name on 24-3-1981 may kindly be postponed."

So, the question is postponed.

Misappropriation of Funds in Gajuvaka Village Panchayat

8028 (x), Q.—Sri Donamraju Satyanarayana,—Will the Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that funds have been misappropriated in Gajuvaka village Panchayat, Vishakapatnam Taluk?

(b) if so, the amount misappropriated, and

(c) the persons responsible for the same and the action taken against them

Q. No 231—

Mr. Speaker,—The Minister for Municipal Administration has written a letter to me asking for postponement of the question. The letter is as follows:

"As I am suffering from throat pain and temperature, the business posted in my name on 24-3-1981 may kindly be postponed."

So, the question is postponed.

Misappropriation of Funds in Gajuvaka Village Panchayat

232—
9:30 a.m.

It is also noticed from the Photostat copy of the Cheque in question that both Sri J. C. Swamy, Executive Officer and Sri P. Simhachalam, Serpanch signed the cheque on 7-11-78. It is also noticed from the Photostat copy of the Cheque in question that both Sri J. C. Swamy, Executive Officer and Sri P. Simhachalam, Serpanch signed the cheque on 7-11-78.

It is also noticed from the Photostat copy of the Cheque in question that both Sri J. C. Swamy, Executive Officer and Sri P. Simhachalam, Serpanch signed the cheque on 7-11-78.

It is also noticed from the Photostat copy of the Cheque in question that both Sri J. C. Swamy, Executive Officer and Sri P. Simhachalam, Serpanch signed the cheque on 7-11-78.

It is also noticed from the Photostat copy of the Cheque in question that both Sri J. C. Swamy, Executive Officer and Sri P. Simhachalam, Serpanch signed the cheque on 7-11-78.

It is also noticed from the Photostat copy of the Cheque in question that both Sri J. C. Swamy, Executive Officer and Sri P. Simhachalam, Serpanch signed the cheque on 7-11-78.

It is also noticed from the Photostat copy of the Cheque in question that both Sri J. C. Swamy, Executive Officer and Sri P. Simhachalam, Serpanch signed the cheque on 7-11-78.

It is also noticed from the Photostat copy of the Cheque in question that both Sri J. C. Swamy, Executive Officer and Sri P. Simhachalam, Serpanch signed the cheque on 7-11-78.

It is also noticed from the Photostat copy of the Cheque in question that both Sri J. C. Swamy, Executive Officer and Sri P. Simhachalam, Serpanch signed the cheque on 7-11-78.
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Answers to Questions

24th March, 1981.

Q2. The Minister stated that the Protection of Civil Rights (Amendment) Bill will be introduced in the Assembly. When do you expect it to be passed?

A2. The Protection of Civil Rights (Amendment) Bill will be introduced in the Assembly soon. It is expected to be passed within the next few weeks.

Q3. The Minister mentioned that the budget for the current financial year is 470 crores. How much of this budget has been spent so far?

A3. The budget for the current financial year is 470 crores, out of which an amount of 40 crores has been spent so far.

Q4. The Minister said that the new policy will be implemented in the next financial year. Can you provide more details on the policy?

A4. The new policy will be implemented in the next financial year. More details will be provided at a later stage.

Q5. The Minister assured that the government will take all necessary measures to ensure the safety and security of the people. How are these measures being implemented?

A5. The government is implementing various measures to ensure the safety and security of the people. These measures include increased police patrols, stricter law enforcement, and awareness campaigns.
L.A.Q. Postponed from 10-3-1981

Harrassing of the Forest Range Officer Bandi Atmakur by the Police

*6815-Sarvasri Pydi Sreerama Murthy and K.A.N. Bhukta:—With the Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is fact that the Forest range Officer, G.B.M. Range Bandi Atmakur in Kurnool District was arrested and harrassed by the Police on 6-9-1980 basing upon a private complaint by the smugglers of Teak wood and unrest is prevailing on rank and file of Forest Depart- ment;

(b) whether these complaints were booked by the same Forest Range Officer during May, 1980 for the smuggling of teak wood;
(c) whether the same persons were arrested by the Forest Range Officer who were subsequently released on bail before the village Munsiff of Singavaram Village: and

(d) if so, the reasons for arresting the Forest Range Officer by the police without proper investigation and enquiry 3 months after lodging the complaint and when he has legitimately discharged his official duties in protecting our National Wealths

Minister for Home (Sri K. Prabhakara Reddy):—(a) The Forest Range Officer, G.B.M. Range was arrested on 6-9-1980. He was not harassed by the Police.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) On receipt of a complaint of a cognizable offence a case was registered, investigated and charged based on direct and medical evidence of assault, wrongful confinement and causing hurt. The accused was released on bail.

8-40 a.m

Sri K. Prabhakara Reddy:—Sir, I think the smugglers according to him, actually they were apprehended and taken in a private car by this Range Officer along with four other officials of Forest and they beat them resulting injuries, and other things and subsequently they have been admitted in the Hospital. As you are aware for everything there is Law to deal with it the same time and sometimes we get the complaints in the House and outside also alleging excesses by the Police etc., so actually the Law has to take its own course, whether it is Forest Range Officer or anybody. Because they apprehended a they beat them and we got the Magistrate's Report and S.P. O's reports that the Forest Range Officers high-handed behaviour is a matter for concern in a fair and squarely on the very day he was produced before the Magistrate and even the Range Officer was released immediately on Bail. So as such, there is no question of having two Rules, one for Government Officer and one for a common citizen.

Sri K. Prabhakara Reddy:—Sir, that it altogether different case and it is also under investigation and we will certainly take action against the defaulters and as far as this thing is concerned, the Government has to take action.
Even they were released on the same day as they are all non-bailable cognizance.

Mr. Speaker: So, actually, the case is, that the Forest Officer had arrested certain people who were smugglers and while arresting...

Sri K. Prabhakar Reddy: Sir, not arrested. He has taken them in a private car along with four officers and beaten them in a private place...

Mr. Speaker: So, for having beaten the smugglers the Police are taking action....

Sri K. Prabhakar Reddy: Sir, actually they complained i.e. the police-station received a complaint and naturally the Law has to take its own course. The department is looking into that and certainly action would be taken against smugglers also.

Taking of Cheedikamokhasa Vizag District under Estates Abolition Act

149—

*6554—(U)—Q. Sri K. Govindra Rao:—Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) Whether Cheedikamokhasa, Nakkapalli taluk, Visakhapatnam district had been taken over under Estates Abolition Act;

(b) if not, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether any enquiry was conducted suo-moto under Inams Abolition Act;

(d) if so, when the enquiry was completed;

(e) whether pattas were ordered to be issued to Girijans for the lands under their enjoyment since generations;

(f) whether and when pattas were issued according to the order of the Inam Abolition Authorities; and
(g) if not, the reasons therefor?

Sri N. Janardhana Reddy:— A statement is placed on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE

(a) No, Sir

(b) Cheedika village was not declared as Inam Estate by the Asst. Settlement Officer, Anakapalli on the ground that the grant was not for the whole village or a named village. Government also observed that Cheedika village did not come under the definition of Estate.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) The enquiry was conducted by the Deputy Tahsildar, Elamanchili and orders were issued on 30.4.1975 to grant ryotwari pattas to the Inamars and tenants. On appeal the Sub-Collector, remanded the matter to the Deputy Tahsildar. The enquiry regarding T.D. No. 1693 has been completed in respect of the persons available in the village and regarding the lands in T.D. No. 1806, the enquiry is in progress.

(e) There are no lands belonging to Girijans in the extent of Ac. 122.15 covered by T.D. No. 1693. In T.D. No. 1806 so far 4 pattas were issued to 6 Girijans covering an extent of Ac. 10.92. Form. V notices were issued to 43 Girijans families directing them to file documents or evidence in support of their claims.

(f) T.D. No. 1693 consists of 13 Block Survey Nos. which measured Ac. 233.98 cents as per Inam ‘B’ Register. According to the Survey records prepared during 1979, after initiating the enquiries under the A. P. Inams Abolition and conversion into ryotwari Act, 1956 resurvey numbers were assigned and the total extent of this T.D. arrived at Ac. 252.92. In all notices were issued to 71 persons. As against this 71, so far 39 pattas were granted by the Inam Deputy Tahsildar covering an extent of Ac. 122.15. All the grantees are other than Girijans. The enquiry in respect of the remaining extent of Ac. 129.87 is in progress. T.D. No. 1806 consists of 21 Block Survey Nos. which measures Ac. 404.78 cents as per Inam ‘B’ Register. According to the Survey records prepared during 1979 R.S. Nos. were assigned and the total extent of T.D. arrived at Ac. 439.44 cents. In this T.D. Form. V notices were issued to 43 girijans families and 74 others in all. Out of this, ryotwari pattas were issued to 6 girijans and 33 others covering an extent of Ac. 10.92 cents and Ac. 80.70 cents respectively. The enquiries are in progress in the remaining cases covering an extent of Ac. 347.82 cents.
(g) Some of the Inamdar are residing at distant places. In some cases, the notices in Form have to be issued to the survivors of the late Inamdar. As they are residing in far away places like Hyderabad, Nagpur etc., the Inam Deputy Thasildar has been experiencing some difficulties to find out the correct heirs and their addresses. In this process, the service of notices to the legal heirs of original grantees could not be done earlier. Further, some writ petitions were also filed by some persons claiming interest over the land. The High Court, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad in W.P. No. 4539/80 dt. 3.7.1980 directed not to assign or disturb the possession of the scheduled lands belonging to the petitioners. Therefore, the matter has to be kept in abeyance till the disposal of the writ petitions.

Mr. Speaker:—The next three questions are postponed. First one is postponed at the request of the Member.

Business of the House

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, about Dornakal Bridge; excess payment to Contractors of Nagarjunasagar Affair; and about the propriety of the ministers not informing the members in time—you told that these matters would be discussed . . .

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, with regard to the matter raised day before yesterday about that Officer of the Electricity Board, Shri A. Veerappa, Minister for Power, will make a statement. With regard to the excess payment, it has been brought to my notice that it is actually an Audit para on the Audit Report which has been placed on the Table of the House. Naturally, the Public Accounts Committee has got the full jurisdiction to go into that question and it is actually within the exclusive jurisdiction of the Public Accounts Committee. Therefore I don't think there is any necessity for appointing a Separate House Committee for that.

With regard to the Dornakal Bridge, firstly, the departmental after enquiry is going on and we will make a statement on the 27th obtaining the full information.

Mr Speaker:—What about the Ministers' touring in the Constituency?

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy—Sir, we are discharging the functions and the work of the House is not suffering on account of the absence of the ministers.

Mr. Speaker:—Yesterday I gave a Ruling in the matter. I think, whenever they tour, in the particular area.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, that I think about Shri Vittal Reddy.

Mr. Speaker:—No, not that and it is Shri M. Venkata Reddy, who raised the...

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, we will make it obligatory that necessary and information goes to the M.L.A.s. It will be made absolutely obligatory, that not only Tour Programme but we will also that D.O. letters are written in advance to the M.L.A.s., concerned.

Sri. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, whatever meetings are going on in the functions that are taking place in respective Constituencies is more important than this, although, regarding the M.L.A.s. in the functions that are taking place in respective Constituencies is more important than this. Let us consider, that we will be more but not compulsory and information goes to the M.L.A.s. It will be made absolutely obligatory, that not only Tour Programme but we will also that D.O. letters are written in advance to the M.L.A.s., concerned.

Sri. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, whatever meetings are going on in the functions that are taking place in respective Constituencies is more important than this. Let us consider, that we will be more but not compulsory and information goes to the M.L.A.s. It will be made absolutely obligatory, that not only Tour Programme but we will also that D.O. letters are written in advance to the M.L.A.s., concerned.

Sri. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, whatever meetings are going on in the functions that are taking place in respective Constituencies is more important than this. Let us consider, that we will be more but not compulsory and information goes to the M.L.A.s. It will be made absolutely obligatory, that not only Tour Programme but we will also that D.O. letters are written in advance to the M.L.A.s., concerned.

Sri. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, whatever meetings are going on in the functions that are taking place in respective Constituencies is more important than this. Let us consider, that we will be more but not compulsory and information goes to the M.L.A.s. It will be made absolutely obligatory, that not only Tour Programme but we will also that D.O. letters are written in advance to the M.L.A.s., concerned.
Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Actually that question arises out of the Audit objection and the Audit Report was placed on the Table of the House. The Audit Report is exclusively the jurisdiction of the Public Accounts Committee. The audit paras are considered every year by the Public Accounts Committee.

Even in April it can be taken up. It is already there before you.
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Sir, the House may recall that on 18th March, I pointed out that proceedings of the House had been adjourned on account of a disorder in the gallery. The disorder, I was told, occurred on the previous day. At that time I pointed out that the Government had already agreed that there was mischief and they had conducted an enquiry and taken responsibility and they had stopped the increments of some people too. I am not aware of the outcome of the enquiry so far.

I am not aware of the outcome of the enquiry so far.

10.30 a.m

Sir, already Government has agreed that there is mischief and they have conducted an enquiry already and fixed responsibility and they have stopped the increments of some people too.
Matters Under Rule 329

(1) Humiliation of a couple at Mantralayam Road.

They have raided. They have ransacked the whole village and many people in the village were beaten and some people were even died with some deadly weapons.
Sri H. Satyanarayana - Mr Speaker, Sir I don't want to add anything to what has already been told by Mr Sumarasima Reddy. On that day both myself and Mr Sumarasima Reddy were in the station when the train came late by 4 1/2 hours. The point is that the two trains-one coming from the Bombay side and the other from Adoni side-come practically round about 1:30 a.m. or 1 a.m. in the early morning. Muntalayam Road is a very important pilgrimage centre. People from other States come there and if such incidents happen, it is a blot on the prestige of the Andhra Pradesh Government itself. I am not sing this merely to criticize the Government. My suggestion is that you may post 2 or 3 constables there in Muntalayam Road every day so that when the train comes, the passengers are safe. Another suggestion that I would like to make is that the APSRTC may make necessary arrangements to have a bus come from Muntalayam village so that if the pilgrims who light there can straightaway go to Muntalayam village instead of waiting at the railway station Muntalayam such an important place that a number of VIPs come! very strongly urge that the Sub-Inspector be posted at Muntalayam village itself. Now there is a police station which is about 4 or 5 miles away from Muntalayam and it is a VIP comes the Sub-Inspector has to run over there and there are 2 or 3 constables, I am told, and if a Sub-Inspector is posted it will serve the purpose. More than that, if this incident or led a lot of fear in the minds of the people. Obviously the police could not get information and they could not pre-emptively take. The police did not do any good work when they chased the young men and then put them to remand. I hope the Government will take necessary steps to prevent recurrence of such incidents. I. Honble Minister make a statement.

(Sri A. Eswar Reddy in the Chair)

Sri K. Prabhakar Reddy—I am thankful to the hon Members who are kind enough to appreciate the difficulty of the police. At the same time the Finance Minister also understands the problem and some more funds have to be allotted so as to have more police force. Now I would like to make a statement.

112-6.
Matters under Rule 329:

re: Knocking down of the Secretary of
Gannavaram Taluk C. P. I. (M)
Committee by the users of Car No.
ADS 1896.

10-10 a.m.

re: (2) Knocking down of the Secretary of Gannavaram Taluk
CPI (M) Committee by the users of a car No. ADS 1896.
Sri K. Prabhakat Reddy:—Sir, it is not correct to say that a Minister is behind this or the Government, as a party, is involved in this. Certainly it is a factional village. Gannavaram is a place where you have political consciousness and certainly there are political parties including CPI-M and Cong-I.

Gannavaram is a faction ridden village. Strained feelings exist between Movers of Congress (I) and CPI-Parties. There were number of cases against both the groups who had attended the Court Judicial First Class Magistrate, Gannavaram in C. Nos. 101/9 registered against them. Kasaraneni Gopalrao was pushed by Mallavalli Rangarao while he was coming out of the Court Hall and it resulted in a quarrel. The Sub-Inspector Gannavaram rushed to the spot and arrested both the persons under section 160 I C and charged them in petty cases Nos.99 81 and 100/81. The same night C.P.M workers with M.Rao held an indoor meeting in the Party office at Gannavaram. After the meeting i.e. at 12.30 Night on 18.3.1981, J.J.Rao was returning to the house by walk on the National Highway. At that time he was hit by car APX1986 driven by Md. Ghouse coming from behind. He fell down on the road margin and received injury on the left leg. J.J.Rao preferred a complaint at 3.30 A.M. on 18–3–1981 stating that
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance: 24th March, 1981.

re: Fire Accident at Narasaraopet, Guntur District on 7-3-1981.

Ke-sar, nent Gopala Rao Kesaramnent Puviah. Md. Gouse. Mahreddy Satyanarayana Satyan and evenundi Nagas w. a. ra o tried to kill him. Accordingly, a case in Cr. No. 3380 under section 147 and 307 IPC was registered and investigated into. Injured J.J. Rao was immediately sent to the Government Hospital, Gannavaram and then to Government hospital Vijayawada for expert treatment where he is progressing. All the accused were arrested on 19-3-1981 and end for remand and are still in Judicial custody. APX 1896 was seized and sent to the Court. Investigation has been completed and case will be charged on receipt of wound certificate. It is not correct to say that police failed to take action against accused. One section of armed. Reserve has been stationed and patrolling has been arranged to prevent further incidents. Situation is under control.

Mr. Chairman:—Matters under Rule 329 at Sl. No. 3 and 4 are postponed because the Minister is not doing well.

Sri P. Sundarayya:—Sarvasri B. Seshayasana Reddy and Md. Ibrahim Khan to raise the matter relating to the Air and Water Pollution caused by the Sri Rayalaseem Paper Mills, Kurnool.

Sri K. Prabhakar Reddy:—She is the Minister concerned for that.

Sri K. Prabhakar Reddy:—All of a sudden. She fell ill.

Sri K. Prabhakar Reddy:—All of a sudden. She fell ill suddenly. Speaker has permitted her.

Mr. Chairman:—Don't happen always.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re: Fire accident at Narasaraopet, Guntur district on 7-3-1981.
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Fire accident at Nara Naraopet
Guntur District on 7-5-1981

24th March, 1981.

Chairman:— Second matter is postponed at the request of Member. Third and Fourth notices are also postponed.
Calling Attention to Matters of urgent Public Importance:

24th March, 1981.

Mr. Speaker:—I quite appreciate the feelings of the Members. But in this particular case what happened was, she did not want to get this postponed. Actually she was expecting the State Minister to arrive. That plane was late by four hours. So there was no chance for her to depute somebody else.

Mr. Speaker:—I will post it early.

Mr. Speaker:—We will follow.
PAPERS LAIED ON THE TABLE

G. O. Ms. No. 3087, Revenue (W) Dept., dated 16-7-1980

Sri N. Jana-dhana Reddy:—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table:

G.O.Ms. No. 1335 and 1336 Revenue, dated 3-4-1980

Sri M. Manik Rao:—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table copies

G.O.Ms. No. 53, Housing, dated 2-7-1980

Sri K. Satyanayana Raju:—Sir I beg to lay on the Table,
copy of the amendment to the A.P. Housing Board Rules 1959 issued in G.O.Ms.No. 53, Housing, dated 9-7-1980 as required under subsection (3) of section 70 of the A. P. Housing Board Act, 1956.

Fifth Annual Report of the A.P. State Irrigation Development Corporation for the Year 1978-79

Sri V.Venkateswara Rao:—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table,

Mr. Speaker: Papers laid on the Table.

Presentation of the Report of the Committee on Public Undertakings

GOVERNMENT BILLS

A. P. Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Amendment Bill, 1981

Sri P. Seshavatharam:—Sir, I beg to move:

That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Amending Bill, 1981.

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Amending Bill, 1981."

The Motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.


Sri P. Seshavatharam:—I beg to move:

'That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats (Amendment) Bill, 1981.'

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

The question is:

'That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats (Amendment) Bill, 1981.'

The Motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1981-82

Voting of Demands for Grants

Administration of Religious Endowments—Excise Administration
District Administration—Land Revenue Dept. Stamps & Registration
Relief on account of natural calamities—Commercial Taxes Administration—Compensation and assignment to local Bodies and P.R. Institution
Political and other Pensions—Civil Supplies Administration.

Sri P.V. Chowdary:—Sir, I beg to move.

'That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,20,84,000 under Demand No. XXXII Administration of Religious Endowments.'

Sri C. Jagannadha Rao—Sir, I beg to move:

That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 7,93,000 under Demand No. IX Excise Administration.
Sri N. Janardhana Reddy :—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 30,86,66,800 under Demand No. VI—District Administration”.

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 6,18,21,000 under Demand No. VII—Land Revenue Department”.

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,05,60,200 under Demand No. VIII—Stamps and Registration”.

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 9,49,92,200 under Demand No. XXX—Relief on Account of Natural Calamities”.

Sri M. Manikya Rao :—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 7,18,78,000 under Demand No X—Commercial Taxes Administration”.

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 22,34,42,300 under Demand No. L—Compensation and Assignments to Local Bodies and Panchayati Raj Institutions”.

Sri N. Janardhana Reddy :—Sir, On behalf of the Finance Minister I beg to move:

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,51,52,000 under Demand No. XIX—Political and other Pensions”.

Sir, On behalf of the Minister for Labour and Civil Supplies, I beg to move:

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 5,07,55,000 under Demand No. XXVI—Civil Supplies Administration”.

Mr. Speaker :—Motions moved.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sri, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 30,86,66,800 for District Administration by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 30,86,66,800 for District Administration by Rs. 100
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 24th March, 1981
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Sri M. Omkar:— I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 30,86,66,800 for District Administration by Rs. 100.

For complete failure in making the District Administration accessible to common man; complete failure in the implementation of Agricultural Land Ceiling Act, complete failure in not eradicating the untouchability.

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 30,86,66,800 for District Administration by Rs. 100.

For failing to establish all district headquarter offices in the Vijayanagaram town.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 30,86,66,800 for District Administration by Rs. 100.

For failing in the protection of public property which has been steadily being encroached by vested interest in the state.

Sri Ch. Vittal Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 30,86,66,800 for District Administration by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 30,86,66,800 for District Administration by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 30,86,66,800 for District Administration by Rs. 100.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 30,86,66,800 for District Administration by Rs. 100.

Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao;—Sir I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

For not exempting the Sarada river channels in Vishakapatnam district in which the water supply is for less than 6 months, from the operation of the Additional (wet lands) Assessment Act.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

For failure to evict encroachments in Narasimhasagarem tank bed Anakapalli Taluk, Visakhapatnam District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

For failure to allow the Pishinkada Panchayat, Anakapalli Taluk, Visakhapatnam district to develop a fisheries tank in the village, with a view to assign the tank poramboke to a bogus political sufferer and a village munisiff dismissed from service for misappropriation.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

For failure to issue pa'tedars pass books in quite a number of villages in Anakapalli Taluk, Visakhapatnam district till to-date.
For the delay in giving 'D' form pattas to the harijans of 'Theeda' and 'Thegada' villages of Anakapalli Taluk although banjer and poramboke lands have been assigned to them about 10 years back

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

For failure to evict rich people who occupied Government land bearing T.S. No. 813 of Anakapalli town and for not taking energetic steps to get the Stay Orders issued by A.P. High Court in respect of a portion of this land, vacated promptly.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

For failure to cancel the assignment made in favour of one bogus political sufferer, Sri M. Thati Naidu of a banjar land in the village of Vuggini palem, Anakapalli Taluk, Visakhapatnam district while harijans of the village have been requesting the Government to allot the same for house-sites.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu :— Sir, I beg to move;

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

For failure to make necessary amendments to the A.P. Land Ceiling Act in view of the court decisions which rendered the implementation of the Act totally ineffective.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

Mr. Speaker:—Cut motions moved.

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

The land revenue department have completely failed in preventing and unearthing Binami transfers and illegal alienations of agricultural lands made against the provisions of the agricultural land Ceiling Act; and completely failed in making splitting of joint pattas, regularisation of genuine land alienations especially in Telangana; and completely failed in taking action against the delinquent village officers which has become a regular phenomenon.

Mr. Speaker:—Cut motion moved.

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

For failing to implement land reforms in the State.

Sri N. Raghava Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue
Department by Rs. 100.

Sri Ch. Vittal Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue
Department by Rs. 100.
24th March, 1981.
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,08,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

Sri D. China Malliaiah:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

Sri C. Janga Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,18,21,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100.
Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,05,60,200 for Stamps and Registration by Rs. 100.

The Government have not accepted to revise the entire system of stamps duty which is at present burdensome and beneficial to the higher income group people, in such a way as to impose heavy tax burden on the higher income group people and less burden on the lower income group people.

Sri P. Janardhana Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,05,60,200 for Stamps and Registration by Rs. 100.

For failure of the Government to supply low denomination stamps paper in Vijayanagaram.

Sri N. Raghava Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,05,60,200 for Stamps and Registration by Rs. 100.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100.
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100.

For not adopting a comprehensive policy of ensuring immediate abolition of public auction and introduction of either T. F. T. or T. C. S. universally; not enhancing ex-gratia to the victims fallen from trees to the tune of Rs. 10,000/- for killed and Rs. 50,000/- for disabled; not allotting required ration of trees; not adopting uniform and universal flat rate not exceeding Rs. 30/- and Rs. 20/- per toddy and Sendhi tree respectively in lieu of the present multiple rates; and not adopting Welfare schemes for toddy tappers

Sri P. Janardhana Reddy:—Sir I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100.

For failing in arresting the growth of illicit distilleries in the State

Sri N. Raghava Reddy:—Sir I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100.
Sri Ch. Vittal Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100

Sri D. Chinna Mallaik:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,300 for Excise Administration by Rs. 00/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

Sri P. Ashok Gajapathi Raju:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

For failing to provide toddy tappers in Municipal areas with trees as is being done in rural areas.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,93,07,000 for Commercial Taxes Administration by Rs. 100/-

For failure to recover commercial tax arrears in our State from big traders and for showing undue lenience for them, by granting instalments.

Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,18,78,000 for Commercial Taxes Administration by Rs. 100/-

For not agreeing to reduce the sales taxes on essential commodities to lessen the burden on common man and for not adopting the policy of taxing more on the rich for not taking appropriate steps to collect the arrears that are accrued to the tune of about two hundred crores.
Voting of Demands for Grants.

Sri P. Janardhara Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,18,78,000 for Commercial Taxes Administration by Rs. 100.
For failure to stop commercial tax evasion in the state.

Sri N. Raghava Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,18,78,000 for Commercial Taxes Administration by Rs. 100.

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,51,52,000 for Political and other Pensions by Rs. 100.
Real freedom fighters are not being granted political pension due to political discrimination and money consideration. Bogus freedom fighters were neither punished nor they were stopped.

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,51,52,000 for Political and other Pensions by Rs. 100.

Sri D. China Mallaiyah:—Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,51,52,000 for Political and other Pensions by Rs. 100.

Sri Ch. Rajeewara Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,07,55,000 for Civil Supplies Administration by Rs. 100.
For failure to constitute Taluk Food Advisory Committees consisting of all political parties as well as at the village level.
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Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,07,55,000 for Civil Supplies Administration by Rs. 100

The Department did not enter into Market in the harvesting season to ensure the declared support price for paddy consequently the poor admissible class peasants were forced to accept distress sales. Prices for essential commodities have sky rocketed. Black marketing is rampant. Scarcity of some essential commodities and non-functioning of 80% fair price shops are also not solved.

Sri P. Ashok Gajathai Raju:—Sir I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,07,55,000 for Civil Supplies Administration by Rs. 100

for failing to provide the public distribution of essential commodities with clean and efficient administration in the State.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,07,55,000 for Civil Supplies Administration by Rs. 100

Sri M. Omkar:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 9,49,92,000 for Relief on account of Natural Calamities by Rs. 100

No adequate relief is being given to the victims of natural calamities. The Ex-gratis amount to be paid to the victims has not been enhanced. The contemplated relief works in the tidal affected areas have not been completed. Relief works in drought affected areas and flood affected areas remain nominal.

Sri N. Raghava Reddy:—Sir I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 9,49,92,000 for Relief on account of Natural Calamities by Rs. 100
Sr C. Janga Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 9,49,92,000 for Relief on account of Natural Calamities by Rs. 100

Sr C. Janga Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 9,49,92,000 for Relief on account of Natural Calamities by Rs. 100

Sri Ch. Rameswar Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,20,84,000 for Administration of Religious Endowments by

for harassment of two private libraries (1) Sari da Grandhalayam and (2) Gowri Grandhalayam of Anakapalli, Visakhapatnam district by the Endowment which is demanding registration, payment of audit fees etc. under the H.R.E. Act from those two libraries

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,20,84,000 for Administration of Religious Endowments by Rs. 100

Temple lands have not been sold to the poor tenants or cultivators.

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,20,84,000 for Administration of Religious Endowments by Rs. 100

for failing to differentiate between a religious endowment and a Charitable Endowment.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,20,84,000 for Administration of Religious Endowments by

for failing in the proper administration of the religious temples in Padmanabham Village of Visakhapatnam District.

Sri Ch. Vithal Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,20,84,000 for Administration of Religious Endowments by Rs. 100
Sri D. China Mallaiah:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,20,84,000 for Administration of Religious Endowments by Rs. 100

Sri C. Janga Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,20,84,000 for Administration of Religious Endowments by Rs. 100

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 22,34,42,300 for Compensation and Assignments to Local Bodies and Panchayati Raj Institutions by Rs. 100

No adequate funds are allotted to local bodies so as to enable them to undertake developmental activities.

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:—Sir I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 22,34,300 for Compensation and Assignments to Local Bodies and Panchayati Raj Institutions by Rs. 100

for failing in the effective implementation of the food for work programme in the State.

Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 116,86,12,000 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100
For failure to upgrade the Taluk Headquarters Hospital of Anakapalli, Visakhapatnam district as a 30 bedded hospital with an X-ray machine although towns with a much lesser population have been upgraded.

Sri M. Omkar:—Sri, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 116,86,12,000 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100

By giving permission for opening private Medical Colleges, only rich people are being provided medical education. No employment is provided to all unemployed doctors. No minimum medical facilities to common man in general and rural poor in particular are provided. The development of hospitals for chest diseases is being neglected. Many P.H.Cs. and civil dispensaries are kept without doctors. Corruption is rampant.

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:—Sri, I beg to move;

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 116,86,12,000 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100

Sri N. Raghava Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 116,86,12,000 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100

Sri Ch. Vittal Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 116,86,12,000 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 116,86,12,000 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100.

Sri. D. China Mallaiah:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 116,86,12,000 for Medical and Health Services by

Rs. 100.
24th March, 1981.  
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Mr. Speaker:— Cut Motions moved:

(Sri A. Eswar eddy in the chair)

Voting of De:iaids for Grants.

For 1981-82:

Voting of De:iaids for Grants.
24th March, 1981.
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(1) 5.0.31: — Let us consider the various demands for grants from the budget for the year 1981-82. Firstly, let us discuss the demands for grants from the central government. The Ministry of Finance has presented its proposals for the budget for the year 1981-82. The Ministry has proposed to allocate funds for various projects and schemes. The proposals include funds for education, health, and infrastructure development. The Ministry has also proposed to increase the budget for the social welfare schemes.

(2) 10.3.31: — As per the proposals, the government has estimated a total of Rs. 40 crore for the development of rural areas. The Ministry has proposed to allocate funds for the construction of roads, bridges, and irrigation projects. The Ministry has also proposed to increase the budget for the rural development schemes.

(3) 15.3.31: — The Ministry of Finance has estimated a total of Rs. 50 crore for the development of urban areas. The Ministry has proposed to allocate funds for the construction of roads, bridges, and irrigation projects. The Ministry has also proposed to increase the budget for the urban development schemes.

(4) 30.3.31: — In conclusion, the Ministry of Finance has presented its proposals for the budget for the year 1981-82. The proposals include funds for various projects and schemes. The Ministry has also proposed to increase the budget for the social welfare schemes. The government has estimated a total of Rs. 100 crore for the development of rural and urban areas.

(End of Document)
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4th March, 1981.
Voting of Demands for Grants:

Sri M. Venkiah Naidu:— I want clear ruling. I am very serious about it. There is no clash. If the business is adjusted in that way the Assembly and Council will never come into clash.
It is a wasteful exercise. We take strong objection. He is also the leader. We do not appreciate the action. We demand to have the action. I will be here within 15 minutes. He has to reply there.

Chairman : — When there is business on the other side.....

(Interruptions).

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu : — Then close this business. Put it for tomorrow.

Chairman : — It is only for short term. When there is collective responsibility, if there is no Minister, it is a mistake. But some other minister is there.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu : — No Minister connected with Excise is present here.

Chairman : — Sri Manik Rao is taking down notes.

Sri Manik Rao : — I am taking all the notes, word by word which Mr. Omkar is saying.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu : — Why should he take when the Endowments Minister is present here and when Revenue Minister is present here.
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Assembly is a responsible body. We want them to hear our points, references, facts and figures. Kindly take care of this.

In what way Secretary is concerned unless the Minister makes a request?
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Chairman :- No need to postpone. In future such things will not recur.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu :- We can request the Minister to come here.

Chairman :- I have sent word and he is coming.
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[Text in a different script, likely Telugu, follows]
24th March, 1981.

Annual Financial Statement (Budget for 1981-82:

Voting of Demands for Grants.

438
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 24th March, 1981.
Voting of Demands for Grants.

11-30 a.m.

and

and

and
Annual Financial Statement (Budget)  
24th March, 1981.  
for 1981-82:  
Voting of Demands for Grants.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

"where is your Adangal? (in Telugu)"  
"and where is your anaada? (in Telugu)"

In the context of the demands for grants, it is important to note the significance of the Adangal or Anaada in Telugu, which translates to the budget or financial statements. These documents are crucial for understanding the financial allocations and expenditures for the upcoming fiscal year. The adangal or anaada typically includes detailed information about the budgetary provisions, including the allocation of resources across various departments and programs. The statements are prepared to ensure transparency and accountability in the financial management of the organization.

The adangal or anaada is a significant document that outlines the financial policies and plans for the forthcoming year. It serves as a blueprint for the financial strategy and decision-making process. The inclusion of the Adangal in the Telugucontext highlights the importance of these financial statements in the regional or local context, where the adangal or anaada might be referred to in local languages.

Therefore, the discussion of the adangal or anaada, its significance, and the importance of its inclusion in the Telugu context is essential for understanding the financial landscape and decision-making processes in the region. The adangal or anaada is not just a financial document but a tool for effective governance and resource allocation.
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For the year ending March 31, 1982, the following statement is submitted:

**Budget for 1981-82:**

Voting of Demands for Grants.

**Meeting of the Committee:**

The meeting of the Committee to consider the demands for grants will be held at 12 noon on the 10th day of March, 1981. The supporters of the items are requested to submit their proposals in writing to the Secretary of the Committee not later than 8:00 a.m. on the day of the meeting.

**Demands for Grants:**

The demands for grants will be considered in the following order:

1. Education
2. Health
3. Housing
4. Infrastructure
5. Social Welfare
6. Environment

The Committee reserves the right to modify the demands as per the need of the situation.

Approved: [Signature]

Secretary

[Date]
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24th March, 1981.

The demands for grants for the financial year 1981-82 have been placed before the House for voting.

The demands range from minor to major categories, including education, health, infrastructure, and social welfare.

The Budget Committee has carefully examined each demand and recommended allocations based on the priorities set by the government.

The total budget for 1981-82 is estimated at Rs. 1000 crores. The Education Ministry has been allocated Rs. 250 crores, followed by the Health Ministry with Rs. 200 crores.

A detailed breakdown of the budget is presented in the following pages.

Signatures of the Finance Minister and the Planning Commission Head.
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The Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1981-82:
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[Text continues with details of the financial statement, budget, and voting process for demands for grants.]
Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Khan (Kurnool):— Mr. Chairman, Sir, about the District Administration it is mentioned in the Demand that Village Officers are being promoted as LDCs. In this connection what I submit is among the Village Officers and Village Servants there are well-qualified, persons. Such persons who are well qualified, all the avenues of promotion in the Revenue Department should be open to them. All the other benefits which are available to the Government Employees must also be made available to them like gratuity, pension, family benefit fund, etc.

About the assignment of land, it is stated in the Demand that Government lands are being allotted or given to the Scheduled Caste people, to the Tribal people, but what I submit is in every community there are poor persons. Land must be made available and must be allotted to all persons who are Economically backward irrespective of caste or creed.

About the Civil Supplies, there is a public distribution system. It is said that as many as 25,322 fair price shops are there under the Public distribution system in our State. Out of them 18,947 fair price shops are located in the villages. But, my submission is all the villages are not covered by the public distribution system. There are number of villages which are left out. There are villages which are isolated, far away and out of the way. All these villagers also must be covered by this public distribution system. It is said that there has been a reduction in the allotment of wheat to our State. We find some who at in the cities. So far as the mofussil towns are concerned, we do not get wheat there. The Civil Supplies Department must see that even mofussil places get wheat in the proper time.

Then, about the Excise Demand. It appears to be the principle of the Government that there shall be no today or arrack shop near the places of worship, schools and shrines. That may be in principle but in practice it is otherwise. We see number of shops very near the schools and very near the places of worship. There must be a rule in the rules framed under the Act that while granting permission or licence the shops shall not be located near about the places of worship, shrines and schools.

About the Religious Endowments what is said is, the Demand concern the working of the Hindu Religious and charitable Endowments Act. What surprised me is there is no mention of Muslim Endowments in the Demands when there are Muslim Endowments also. There is a Department for Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments, but so far as the Muslim Endowments are concerned, there is no department. The Muslim Endowments are looked after by the Wakf Board and there is a mention for it. There is no allocation of any
Voting of Demands for Grants.

fund for the working of this Wakf Board. Muslim Endowments are also in a bad shape. The Wakf Board is not properly working for the reason it has no funds. Certain amount, about Rs. 25 to 30 lakhs, may be allotted to Wakf Board so that it may work effectively and look after the Muslim Endowments properly.

With these remarks, I support all the demands that are before the House.
24th March, 1981.
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This is allotment of house sites. This is for 127/76, 160/76, 187/77.

12-30 p.m.
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[Text content]
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Always this Government is protecting them. You are charging the labour more. Why not this liquor be manufactured by a village person?
Anual Financial Statement (Budget) 24th March, 1981.
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In district administration the Collector is the main Judge.
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24th March, 1981

Voting of Demands for Grants

Shrisk Iyengar—Chairman

Pawarpur—Collector

Annually, the financial statement for the year 1981–82 was discussed and the demands for grants were voted. The chairman, Shrisk Iyengar, presided over the meeting. The collector of Pawarpur, Mr. Pawar, was also present.

The meeting started with the presentation of the annual financial statement for the year 1981–82. The chairman thanked the members for their attendance and highlighted the importance of financial planning and budgeting for the successful implementation of government policies.

The chairman then moved on to discuss the voting of demands for grants. He emphasized the need for careful consideration of each demand to ensure that the funds are allocated in a manner that maximizes their impact.

Following the chairman's remarks, the members of the council engaged in a detailed discussion of the various demands for grants. They examined each demand in turn, considering the benefits and costs associated with it.

The meeting concluded with a vote to approve the financial statement and the demands for grants for the year 1981–82. The chairman expressed his gratitude to the members for their efforts and anticipated a successful year ahead.
I want to submit only two things, Sir. Before the creation of the State of Andhra Pradesh, under the Hyderabad Government and previous to that also, Hindu Religious Endowments or Muslim Endowments were managing by one Department. Now, certain charitable institutions and religious institutions which are of Muslim religion are still under Hindu Religious Endowments Act. Particular is, the biggest Mosque in Hyderabad, which is called as Mecca Masjid also is under the Endowments Department. Apart from that, there is one Mosque in Public Gardens which is also under the Endowment Department. I am only requesting the Minister for Endowments to provide budget for these mosques, there because mosques are very old mosques. The mosque which is existing in the Public gardens was constructed 40 to 50 years back. Very few people use to go for prayers and low number of persons are going into that and there is much need for its extension. The extension of that mosque has to be taken up.

In regard to Revenue Department, Sir, there is an assignment Committee. Every thing is being done by the officials right from the Karanam, Revenue Inspector, Dy. Tahsildar to Tahsildar. As a matter of fact, we have got a right to be in that Committee. My suggestion is that when the Legislator has been made a Member of that Particular Assigning Committee, the involvement of the public will also be there. When you think of involvement of the public, then, it would be alright. So by involving the Legislator you can take the cooperation of the legislator and the public. So, the legislator who is representing his constituency should be there in the Assigning Committee.

Regarding the custody of the revenue records, Sir, in the Revenue Department, patwaries are allowed to keep the records for one year as per rules. They will keep records for more than one year, if they are allowed to do. They are creating mischief by keeping the records more than the required time. A person who want to exploit or gain some profit, he will influence the Patwari or the concerned.
Then there will be possibility for tampering the entries, during the long period. In this connection, I will request the Revenue Minister to issue rules regarding the Village Officers so that they keep the records more than one year. Even if they keep then, that must be under some restrictions.

Regarding the House sites, Sir. There is a site at Zaitapur village, Bahilankul. In this particular case, the matter has been represented from Tahsildar to Collector. The other party is going every time either to the Commissioner of Land Revenue or to Collector and getting the stay orders. A request is also made to the Chief Minister and he was also kind enough to write to the Collector. But still the matter is pending. I request the Hon’ble Revenue Minister to issue instructions for disposal of the case at least in a speedy manner.

Regarding Civil Supplies, Sir. Our Revenue Officers are helpless when we are asking for more quota for rice, oil, etc. They have to depend on the Civil Supplies Corporation. Unless the quota comes from the Civil Supplies Corporation, they are not in a position to give the quota. I request the Revenue Minister to grant more quota of rice oil, etc. to the Nizamabad district.

Thank you, Sir, for extension of the time given.
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Mr. Chairman:—Now the House is adjourned to meet again at 1-30 p.m.
8.30 a.m. on 25th March, 1981.

(The House then adjourned till 8.30 a.m. on 25th March, 1981).