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ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY DEBATES
OFFICIAL REPORT

Nineteenth Day of the Eighth Session of the
Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly

ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
Friday the 20th March, 1981.
The House met at nine of the Clock.
(Mr Speaker in the Chair)

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

ACB Enquiry against the Electricity Board Officials in
Nalgonda District

203—

Q.—Sarvasri N. Raghava Reddy, M. Lakhminarayana, and Smt. M. Swarajyam:—Will the Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) the action taken on the memorandum submitted on 3-10-1980 to the Chief Minister by 14 legislators demanding ACB enquiry into the defalcation of lakhs of rupees collected from the ryots by the officials of Electricity Board in Nalgonda district, and

(b) whether action will be taken against those officials after conducting a through enquiry into the matter at least now?

*6865 Q.—Sarvasri N. Raghava Reddy, M. Lakhminarayana, and Smt. M. Swarajyam:—Will the Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) the action taken on the memorandum submitted on 3-10-1980 to the Chief Minister by 14 legislators demanding ACB enquiry into the defalcation of lakhs of rupees collected from the ryots by the officials of Electricity Board in Nalgonda district, and

(b) whether action will be taken against those officials after conducting a through enquiry into the matter at least now?

* An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the Member.
Papers laid on the table of the house

(a) By 3-10-1980 i.e., the date of representation of Sri N. Raghava Reddy and other M.L.A.s., the departmental enquiry into the allegations of irregularities in canvassing and collection of funds towards the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board Rural Debentures, 1984 from certain traders of Nalgonda was completed and the enquiry officer had submitted his reports in the above case.

The enquiry reports were processed and show-cause notices were issued to all the delinquents. The delinquents had also submitted their explanation to the show-cause notices. Thus the disciplinary proceedings instigated against the delinquents came to the stage of finalisation and therefore the Anti-Corruption Bureau was not entrusted with the enquiry at that juncture.

(b) Action against all the officials concerned has been taken by way of imposing punishments commensurate with the gravity of the charges held proved against them.

- For Sri Satya Narayana, 2 postponement for him postponement of one increment for a period of one year without cumulative effect. Likewise for Sri Ganga Reddy there was stoppage of increment without cumulative effect and the period of suspension was treated as substantive penalty. Th: U.D.C., through he had no connection with the crime, was transferred. The D. E. was awarded a censure.
It was not placed on the Table of the House.

Sri A. Veerappu:— According to the preliminary report and the enquiry report placed on the Table of the House on 28-3-80, punishments were also ordered. But they say that lakhs of rupees are involved.

Member 1:— Was the preliminary report not placed on the Table of the House?

Member 2:— It was.

Member 1:— It was not placed on the Table of the House.

Sri A. Veerappu:— The preliminary report and the enquiry report were placed on the Table of the House on 28-3-80. But they say that lakhs of rupees are involved.

Jh J. :—

Our charge is that the Superintending Engineer himself did not enquire into the matter properly; it was a case of dereliction. Why did he not enquire into the matter properly? Why did he not order a proper inquiry?

Member 2:— According to the preliminary report and enquiry report, the Superintending Engineer himself did not enquire into the matter properly, and there was a case of dereliction. The question of now again entrusting the matter for enquiry does not arise.
Sri M Venkaiah Naidu — The then Minister assured that he would refer the matter to the A.C.B. and refer the matter to A.C.B.

Sri A.Veerappa — Out of the enquiry the petition came. They are not satisfied with the enquiry. The enquiry was over and punishments were awarded. So the question of reopening does not arise.

Sri A. Veerappa: — On 3-10-80, fourteen legislators complained and they demanded for an A.C.B. enquiry into the defalcation of lakhs of rupees. There was a request for an enquiry and the preliminary report was on 18-3-80.

Sri A. Veerappa: — Sri Bagareddy was replying on behalf of the Chief Minister,
Sri V. Sobhanadreswara Rao : —After a long discussion he agreed for enquiry.

Mr. Speaker. —Was the final report placed in the Table of the House.

168 20th M. : ' 1981 167

14 మాచి తమ్ముడు ఉంది అంశాన్ని. దిశా ఉంది / అంశాన్ని అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది 

14 మాచి తమ్ముడు ఉంది అంశాన్ని. దిశా ఉంది / అంశాన్ని అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది 

14 మాచి తమ్ముడు ఉంది అంశాన్ని. దిశా ఉంది / అంశాన్ని అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది 

14 మాచి తమ్ముడు ఉంది అంశాన్ని. దిశా ఉంది / అంశాన్ని అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది 

14 మాచి తమ్ముడు ఉంది అంశాన్ని. దిశా ఉంది / అంశాన్ని అడుగు లేదా పచ్చి ఉంది అడుగు లేదా 

Punishments are inflicted. After that, enquiry is not proper.

Answers to Questions.

Sri S. Jairal Reddy:—I have one solution.

If you want us to take a decision, we will take such a policy decision and we will not be present in the House from tomorrow onwards. That is the solution.

It is perfectly parliamentary.

Minister's reply is final. It is perfectly parliamentary.

(INTERRUPTIONS)
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—It is a eye-wash report.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—You have to protect our rights! He has not replied, whether right or wrong, that is his answer.

(Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu) :—Is it a reply. Will it not amount to contempt of the House because our rights are affected?
9:30 a.m.

Mr Speaker.—I cannot appoint a House Committee unless the Government wants it. I cannot send this to the Anti-Corruption Bureau unless the Government wants it. What can I do?

Sri S嘉pal Reddy.—Chief Minister is the leader of the Government.

[Interruptions from Sri Ch Shyamal Rao and Sri Dronamraju Subhyanayana]

Sri S嘉pal Reddy.—The Chief Minister is the leader of the Government. In the history of the Parliamentary Democracy of the world, no leader of the Government has absented himself from the House for so many days while being the leader.

(Interruptions)

Mr Speaker.—When I have allowed you to raise the point of order, there is no necessity of your becoming vehement. You have simply to call my attention to the point of order you want to raise.

Sri N. Jadav Reddy.—Yesterday, he was here.

Sri S嘉pal Reddy.—If that is expunged, we will not be in the House.

Mr Speaker.—I know how to handle.
In the guise of raising a point of order you should not go on making allegations. What I want to say to all Members is that you raise your hand and when I call you, stand up.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—My eloquence was turned into vehemence because of their interruptions. At any rate, my submission is because of the absence of the Chief Minister, so many questions are not being settled in the House.

Mr Speaker:—What is the point of order.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—The Leader of the Government is obliged to be present in the House. He is obliged to watch the mood and proceedings of the House. Since he is not performing that primary duty, most of the questions that are raised on the floor of the House are not being settled. Therefore, my point of order is should the Chief Minister be present in the House or can the Chief Minister just because he is not Leader of the House though he is Leader of the Government absent himself from the House. Is there any precedent in the history of the Parliamentary democracy where the leader of the Government, while being in the city could absent himself from the House for so many days.

Sri A. Veerappa:—Heard my point.

Mr Speaker:—If I want your assistance, I will call you.

Sri A. Veerappa:—The concerned Minister is here. The question of Chief Minister does not arise.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—We have an absent Chief Minister and an absent-minded Minister.

Mr Speaker:—Don't make allegations. It is not fair.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—I request you not to give a ruling on this.

Mr Speaker:—Is it not unfair?

You can understand his anguish because of the seriousness of the question.

110—2
Sri S. Jagapati Reddy:—I don’t mind a ruling. That will only determine the course of democratic history of the country. It will reflect the state of affairs in the House or it may be a reflection on the House.

Mr. Speaker:—We have wasted enough time. No more discussion on this.

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:—About your observation, we would like to submit.

Mr. Speaker:—The point of order is raised by a particular member. Other people cannot say don’t give ruling. He has to say, I withdraw my point of order.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—We are only appealing to the Chair.

Mr. Speaker:—How can I do?

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:—Because the Members are equating the Chair. The question hour does not attract any point of order. My request is....

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:—Because the Members are equating the Chair. The question hour does not attract any point of order. My request is....
BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Sri M. Venkata Naidu:—Is it the Point of order?

Mr Speaker:—The House is adjourned and we will meet again at 10 A.M.

(The House then adjourned t'il 10 O'clock)

(The House, re-assembled at 10.00 a.m. while the Speaker is in the Chair).

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE
Sri B. Ayyappa Reddy: Yes Sir We agree. May I Suggest that unhappy portion of the debate may be expunged? That is left to you.

(No. No. shouts from Opposition Members.)

Sri M Venkaiah Naidu: Most unhappiness is the absence of Chief Minister and other Ministers.

Mr. Speaker; I have already made my observation regarding expunging of any words. We are strictly controlled by our Business Rules. I will go through the proceeding and whatever portion appeared to be coming under the Rule, which will give me scope, to expunge, I will be expunging that.

Sri G. Latchanna: The Speaker has got full powers to expunge any words. If the Speaker feel any word unreasonable, he can expunge. Let the Speaker give chance to the concerned Speakers to specify the unpardletable words.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: I beg to defe. with my senior colleague and rev. Sardar Gouthu Latchanna. The Speaker does not have unfettered authority. Any particular expression can be expunged when it is deemed to be unpardletable. But when you say that you have to go through the proceedings, etc., it becomes a separate constrain on the press. If there are any expressions and if they are to be considered for expunction or otherwise, the same should be announced before the end of the sitting.

Sri B. Ayyappa Reddy: ——...
176 20th March, 1981

Business of the House.

Sri T, Anjaiah :—You have adjourned the House.

Sri S, Jaipal Reddy :—No, No.

Sri S, Jaipal Reddy :—Nobody has the authority...

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu :—Point of order, Sir...

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—There cannot be a point of order by so many people standing at the same time...

(Solved by the Chair)
Mr. Speaker:—If the House wants, it can continue. (Interruptions)  (Bell)

Mr. Speaker:—The point is that I have not said “The House is adjourned Sine Die”. So, till I say “Sine Die”, it continues. (Interruptions)  (Bell)

There is a precedent in the Lok Sabha. Because of some difficulty, the Lok Sabha was adjourned and the House was again asked to meet. The House is supreme in this matter.

Shri Gouthu Latchanna:—Sir, we have no objection but the Opposition parties were not allowed to come into the Chamber of Hon’ble the Speaker. Only the Ruling Party members were allowed to come inside the Chamber.

Mr. Speaker:—Some of my friends from the Opposition side also came.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, we were not allowed inside the Chamber.
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, the Hon'ble Speaker has unbridled authority in readjourning the House, when a conflict arises. We go back to 1960—when a conflict arises between the orders of the Governor and the Decisions of the Hon'ble Speaker in the West Bengal Legislative Assembly, which was held both in the House and by the Court.

So far as the adjournment of the House is concerned, the Hon'ble Speaker has unbridled powers. In this regard I would like to bring to your kind notice the comments made by the Hon'ble Chief Minister. The Chief Minister, in his comments had questioned the authority of the Hon'ble Speaker in adjourning the House. This, apart from the fact, it is very unbecoming of the Chief Minister and he is also totally incorrect. This is my point of order. I want to know as to whether the Chief Minister has absolute authority in regard to the business of the House.

(Interruptions) . . (Bell)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, the Chief Minister has again questioned the authority of the Chair.

(All the Opposition Members were on their legs)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, the House is not at the mercy of the Chief Minister. Let him understand that. We want to make it clear that we are not at the mercy of those people.

(Interruptions) (Bell)

Mr. Speaker:—Please resume your seats—It is for me to decide.
Business of the House. 20th March, 1981

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, as per the Rule No. 16 of the Business of the House,

Mr. Speaker:—I have already ruled out that point.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—It is for the Chair to decide, Sir. I am not allowed to finish. I am seeing for the first time that the Ruling Party Members are spoiling the decorum. In fact it should have been my duty, I thank them for performing my duty... 

Mr. Speaker:—(Interruptions) (Bell) 

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Sir, Mr. Jaipal Reddy is really testing our patience.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—It has been proved time and again that the patience of the Chief Minister and the patience of the Leader of the House are extremely limited. It has been proved time and again and I don’t mind saying that..

(Interruptions) (Bell)
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Sir, what is this, they are advising us. Are we here to have the advice of those members we want you to hear us and on the comments on the explanation of the Chief Minister.
I also appeal to all the Members that when a Member wants to raise a Point of Order I have to hear what he says, and I have to decide whether there is a Point of Order or not....

M:...— Members:— I appeal to all the Members that when a Member wants to raise a Point of Order I have to hear what he says, and I have to decide whether there is a Point of Order or not....

M:...— Members:— I appeal to all the Members that when a Member wants to raise a Point of Order I have to hear what he says, and I have to decide whether there is a Point of Order or not....
20th March, 1981.

Answers to Starred Questions Placed on the Table.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— I appeal to the Chief Minister in the interests of the dignity and decorum of the House, to express regrets and then close the matter.

Mr. Speaker:—Please sit down. I have ruled out of order all the points of order raised so far. The answers to all the remaining questions including short notice questions and the replies on calling attention matters will be placed on the Table of the House. Now will go to the next item on the agenda.

ANSWERS TO STARRED QUESTIONS PLACED ON THE TABLE

Power Cut on Industries and Agriculture

204—

* 6800 -(M) Q.—Sarvasri G. Sundararamaiah, B. Sitaramaiah and K. V. Subba Reddy:—Will the Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether power cut was imposed by the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board on Industries and Agriculture in 1980;

(b) whether uninterrupted supply of power was given to Bhadrachalam Paper Mills;
(c) if so, the reasons therefore; and

(d) the amount due from Bhadrachalam paper mills towards electricity consumption charges?

A.—

(a) 30% power cut on demand and energy was imposed only on H.T. consumers during the period from 9/79 to 7/80. There was no power cut on agricultural consumption and also there was no power cut on L.T. supply whether industrial or otherwise.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) An amount of Rs. 24.3 lakhs is due from Bhadrachalam Paper Boards till 12-11-1980 towards penalties for exceeding the quota during the restriction period;

Leasing out thousands of acres in Pulicat lake to private Trading Corporation

205—

*6977—Q.—Sri M Venkaiah Naidu—Will the Minister for Fisheries be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that an extent of nearly twelve thousand acres in the Pulicat lake, near Sriharikota in Nellore District has been leased out to a private Trading Corporation for 99 years.

(b) If so, the name of the said Corporation and the other particulars of the lease agreement.

(c) Whether it is a fact that thousands of fishermen have been rendered jobless on account of the said lease; and

(d) Whether the Government will take immediate steps to cancel the lease agreement and to take action against those who are responsible for entering into the lease agreement;

A.—

(a) An extent of Acs. 11,812 in the following villages of Suiluppet Taluk was leased out in favour of M/s. Savera Marine Salt and Chemical Limited for establishing salt Industry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the villages</th>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Koradi</td>
<td>Acs. 3,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Atakanitippa</td>
<td>Acs. 4,610</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Chengalapalam
4. Kudiri
5. Pulicat lake

Only an extent of Acs. 2000 falls in Pulicat lake area.

(b) Lease was granted in favour of M/s. Savera Marine Salt and Chemicals Limited, Hyderabad, a Joint venture with the Andhra Pradesh Industrial Development Corporation.

(c) No, sir. The lake portion of the leased out area is in the Northern portion of lake where the depth will never be more than 0.5 meters and there will be no fishing operations in the area and establishment of salt Industry will not affect the fishermen.

(d) Certain fishermen have filed writ petition in the High Court against the lease of land in Pulicat lake area. The High Court gave a direction that the lessee should not Interfere with the rights of fishermen in the lake area portion of the area. The main writ petition is still pending.

(L.A. Qs 206 and 207 postponed.)

Starting of Three Paper Mills by A.P.I.D.C.

208—

6396-Q.—Sri G. Mallokarjuna Rao:—Will the Minister for Major Industries be pleased to state:

(a) Whether there are any proposals to start three paper mills by Andhra Pradesh Industrial Development Corporation;

(b) The locations of the mills;

(c) The production capacity of each mill.

(d) The time by which they will be started; and

(e) The total cost of each mill.

As—

(a) Sir, the Andhra Pradesh Industrial Development Corporation is promoting 14 (fourteen) Mini Paper Plants in the State.

(b), (c), (d) & (e);—A statement is placed on the Table of the House.
### STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl.No.</th>
<th>Name of the Co.</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Production capacity Tons p.a.</th>
<th>Total cost of the project (Rs. in lakhs)</th>
<th>Time by which they will be started</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Charminar Papers Ltd.</td>
<td>Muthangi, Medak dist.</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>86.34</td>
<td>1981 Ready for Trial Production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nagarjuna Paper Mills Ltd.</td>
<td>Patencheru, Medak dist.</td>
<td>4,125</td>
<td>121.76</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Raja Rajeswari Paper Mills Ltd.</td>
<td>Bapulapadu, Krishna dist.</td>
<td>3,300</td>
<td>98.24</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Suryachandra Paper Mills Ltd.</td>
<td>Maredubaka, East Godavari dist.</td>
<td>5,800</td>
<td>194.95</td>
<td>Under Production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Coastal Papers Ltd.</td>
<td>Madhavaryudu Palem, East Godavari dist.</td>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>498.00</td>
<td>Under Production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Delta Paper Mills Ltd.</td>
<td>Vendra, West Godavari Dist.</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>440.00</td>
<td>Under Production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Kolletu Papers Ltd.</td>
<td>Bommuluru, Krishna Dist.</td>
<td>7, 200</td>
<td>405.00</td>
<td>Under Production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Circar Paper Mills</td>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>9, 500</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Pennar Papers</td>
<td>Cuddapah</td>
<td>4, 950</td>
<td>195.00</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Telangana Paper Mills</td>
<td>Naickangudem, Khammam Dist.</td>
<td>9, 900</td>
<td>595.00</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Adivasi Papers</td>
<td>Aswaraopet, Khammam Dist.</td>
<td>4, 950</td>
<td>180.00</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Sanjiva Papers</td>
<td>Gadikota, Prakasam Dist.</td>
<td>4, 950</td>
<td>188.00</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Laxmi Saraswathi Papers Ltd.</td>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
<td>10, 000</td>
<td>860.00</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Vamsadara Paper Mills</td>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
<td>4, 950</td>
<td>193.00</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Answers to Starred Questions

20th March, 1981

Allotment of Girija Area in Girijan Area of Khammam Dist.

209—

*6733 Q.—Sri Vasti Ch. Vittal Reddy, P. Ramachandaraiah and V.B. Thirumal—h:- Will the Minister for Excise be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the State Government have allotted a track to the Girijas during 1st year through Memo No. 1983/TC No. 80;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the said societies to which a term of three years was granted as yet, have been abolished all of a sudden through C. O. Ms. Nos. 1/35 and 5952/80; and

(c) if so, the reasons therefor?

A—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) Yes, Sir. But the societies have subsequently been granted Licences, consequent on the amendment issued to the Excise Policy for 1980-81, except in the case of M. Venkata T.A.C.S. which has come to the notice and Kavidiyala T.A.C.S. which has not approached the authorities for its renewal.

One more Railway Bridge over Krishna River in Vijayawada

210—

*7920 Q.—Sri M. Adayya—Will the Minister for Roads & Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether one more railway bridge is under construction over the Krishna river at Vijayawada;

(b) the stage at which it stands at present; and

(c) the action proposed to be taken to expedite?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Foundation of sub-structure is in progress.

(c) Work is going on as planned.

Air Pollution in Azamabad Area in the City

211—

*6737 Q.—Sri Poolla Subbaiah:—Will the Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

110—4
(a) whether it is a fact that there is some pollution of atmosphere in Azmabad area of the city of the Acetic Acid Plant of Biological Events and other industries in that area, and

(b) if so steps taken by the Government to prevent the pollution?

A——

(a) and (b) There is no significant pollution now in the Azmabad area.

Polavaram Barrage

212—

5761-(M)—Q—SH K. Govinda Rao—Will the Minister for Major Irrigation be pleased to State

(a) whether the Polavaram barrage has been cleared by the Central Water and Power Commission and

(b) if not when it is expected to be cleared?

A——

(a) No, Sir

(b) the Scheme is under examination by the Central Water Commission, I.A.D. Delhi

I A Q Postponed from 12-3-1681

Shortage of Railway Wagons for Exporting of Lime Fruits

150—

7982-Q Sri M. Venkiah Naidu—Will the Minister for Roads and Building be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the huge losses are being sustained by the lime fruit growing areas of the various districts in the State, particularly in Gudur and Rajahmundry areas, due to non-availability of sufficient number of railway wagons for exporting lime fruit to Delhi and Howrah

(b) if so, whether the State Government will prevail upon the Railway authorities to improve transport facilities; and

(c) the steps so far taken by the Government in this regard?

A——

(a) 67 Parcel vans were made available for lime loading at Gudur to enable the trade to export lime to Delhi and Howrah. Details are as under.
Answers to Short Notice Questions
20th March, 1981

Gudur-Delhi  Gudur-Howrah:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Gudur-Delhi</th>
<th>Gudur-Howrah</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 1980</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 1981</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 1981</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regarding loading at Rajahmundry efforts are being made to meet the demands as and when they are received.

(b) and (c) The Government are seized of the matter and addressed the consecutive Union Ministers for Railways, Sri Madhu Dandavate on 30.4.1979, Sri Tripathi on 22.1.1980 and Sri Panday on 8.12.1980 for arranging adequate Parcel Vans on a permanent basis.

Answers to Short Notice Questions
(Placed on the Table)

Production of inferior quality of Cement by Cement Factory, Visakhapatnam

212—A

S N.Q. No. 8033—V Sarvasri N. S. N. Reddy, and M, Venkata Naidu :—Will the Minister for Major Industries be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the cement factory at Visakhapatnam is producing inferior quality which is not suitable for slab work;

(b) if so, whether the Department will take necessary action to instruct the factory to manufacture good quality,

(c) whether it is also a fact that water of Megadripeta reservoir is being polluted on account of this factory,

(d) if so, the action proposed to be taken to avoid water pollution?

A—

(a) There have been complaints about the inferior quality of the cement produced by the Cement factory at Visakhapatnam. The complaints have not however been established.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) No, sir,

(d) Does not arise.
Answers to Short Notice Questions placed on the Table.

Death of Mother and Son in Bantumilli Taluk due to Harassment of Revenue Officials

212—B

S.N.Q. No. 8030—D. S. Narasimha Rao, M. Venkaiah Naidu, S. Jaipal Reddy, K. Sa yawar, N. Raghava Reddy and M. Omkar:—Will the Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that one Mohd. Anwar and his mother died on 12–2–1981 in Bantumilli Taluk, Krishna District on account of the harassment of the Revenue officials; and

(b) if so, any enquiry has been conducted?

A—


His mother Smt. Hafeezunnisa aged 70 years died a natural death due to Jaundice on 21–2–1981. It is not true to say that Sri Mohd. Anwar Haq and his mother died on account of the harassment of the Revenue officials.

(b) On receipt of a report from the Village Head, a case in Cr. No.12/81 under Sec. 171 Cr.P.C. was registered in Bantumilli Police Station. The case is under investigation.

Murder of Five Harijans at Isakapalli Village.

212—C

S.N.Q. No.8030—M. S. Ramesh, N. G. Nawab, Md. Ibrahim Khan and M. Adiah:—Will the Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that five Harijans were murdered at Isakapalli, Kovur Taluk, Nellore District on 23–5–1980;

(b) if so, whether any charge sheet has been filed against the accused; and

(c) whether all the accused have been arrested and if not, the reasons therefor?

A—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Charge sheet was filed in the Court of Judicial First Class Magistrate, Kovur, against 67 accused on 28–8–1980.

(c) All the accused were arrested except one, Sri Beeda Dharmiah. A special police party has been formed to apprehend Sri Dharmiah.
Statement of the Minister on Calling Attention placed on the table

Statement of the Ministers on Calling Attention Matters

re: (1) : Entrusting work of Pamarru Tank without Calling for Tenders

(Notice given by Smt. M. Swarajyam, Sri K. Sathyanarayana and Sri M. Omkar)

Statement of the Minister for Minor Irrigation

There is no work with the name "New Tank at Pamarru in Prakasam District worth about Rs. 50.00 lakh.". But there is Pamarru old tank in Kanigiri taluk, Prakasam District which is an old Ex-Jamindari tank, constructed long back and taken over by Government. It has an ayaqo of 380 acres wet. The tank is breaching almost every year and there are numerous representations for the last 3 to 4 years to take up special repairs to this tank bund.

2. Therefore, special repairs to Pamarru old tank are proposed under Drought Prone Areas Programme at a cost of Rs. 3.00 lakhs. The Executive Engineer, Ongole, has called for tenders giving more than 15 days time for all works including Special repairs to Pamarru old tank totally costing about Rs. 55.55 lakhs so that as many local contractors as possible are attracted, eventhough Government accorded permission to call for short tenders with notice of 7 to 10 days in respect of Drought works. Copies of tender notices have been sent to all Officers and to the Contractors whose address are available in the Division Office to invite maximum attention.

3. The date of receipt of tenders is postponed from 11-3-1981 to 17-3-1981 in respect of this work and again extended to 24-3-1981. This work has not so far been entrusted to any contractor. Thus there is no truth in the allegation.

re: (2) : Scarcity of drinking water in Visakhapatnam.


Statement of the Minister for Municipal Administration

The Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation draws water from Tadipudi, Gosathani, Mudasarlova and Mehdariyadda Reservoirs to the extent of 152.20 lakh gallons per day in normal periods as mentioned below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tadipudi</td>
<td>100.00 lakh gallons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gosathani</td>
<td>40.00 lakh gallons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudaarlova</td>
<td>4.20 lakh gallons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.S.R. Scheme</td>
<td>8.60 lakh gallons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Out of this roughly 50% is supplied to the industries and the remaining is for domestic consumption. This year, the yield has gone down to 140 lakh gallons a day.

The level in Mudasarlova source gets reduced every summer. The water level in Gosthani has gone down to nearly 9 ft. below bed level and the yield is 1.5 lakh gallons a day only as against the normal yield of 40 lakh gallons a day. This short fall is made up partly by drawing 18 lakh gallons a day from Gambhiramgedda. This will last till the middle of April. Thereafter, it is proposed to let out water from Tadipudi reservoir to Gosthani river bed to recharge the gallery in Gosthani bed which will yield 10 to 15 lakh gallons. For this purpose, the work of laying cross bunds across Gosthani river is being taken up by the Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation. However, drawing of 100 lakh gallons from Tadipudi Reservoir will continue.

In addition, there are 67 open wells in various locations of the city. This year, 20 more open wells and 43 bore wells of 3/4" Dia and 30 6" Dia will be added, which will yield 3.60,000 gallons per day. The work on 9 open wells has been completed and the work on the remaining wells is in progress. Out of 43 bore wells, 20 have been completed and the remaining will be completed by the end of this month. The Corporation has undertaken execution of distribution lines in some of the unserved areas. The Corporation has also acquired more water tankers in addition to two already existing. It is also proposed to acquire two more tankers to serve the needy areas. The augmentation scheme to yield 10 lakh gallons of water a day from three infiltration wells at Majjivalasa is nearing completion and it is likely to be commissioned by the middle of April. This will improve the water supply position further.

re:(3) Entrusting work for construction of groynes at Eturunagaram to nominated contractors.

(Notice given by Sarvasti C. Janga Reddy and N.S.N. Reddy)

Statement of the Minister for Minor Irrigation.

As per G.O.Ms. No. 1007, dated 5-11-1976 for calling of Tenders for works costing less than Rs. 5.00 lakhs, 3 weeks notice is required. For works costing less than rupees one lakh, a fortnight notice is required as per para 154 of D.Code. This Procedure prescribed has been followed in respect of all the works. The tender
At Lytt!^n M {* iS ! ! m^ ^!! t!!^

Table.

(3) Entrusting works for constructing groynes at Eturunagaram to nominated contractors

notices in respect of the works in question have been passed on the notice board of the Office and copies sent to other local offices for similar action.

The work of Dharmasagar Tank is a deposit contribution work taken up in the month of October 1980 from the funds made available by the Public Health Department and it is nearing completion. Similarly, the work of retiring Ganesh Kunta, Komipoor Village, Narsampet Taluk is a Minor Irrigation work taken up under Tribal works subplan. The work of approach road to Lakataram lake pertains to Medium Irrigation Project. The remaining works are taken up under flood protection works.

It may thus be seen that the value of works is less than Rs. 5.00 lakhs, tenders for the same have been called for by the Superintending Engineer, by giving 3 weeks notice. For works costing less than Rs. 1.00 lakhs, tenders have been called for by the Executive Engineer, giving a fortnight’s notice.

The Tender Notice generated adequate competition and response and the lowest tenders are settled after negotiations. Tender documents have been issued to all those who have applied for the same and came forward to receive them. The tender notice issued is common for all Contractors and hence the question of furnishing wrong date to some contractors does not arise. Similarly tender documents have not been refused to any one who has applied for them. The allegation that the tenderers have been refused documents is baseless. No complaint has been lodged regarding such refusal. It is also not brought to the notice of either Superintending Engineer or other authorities by any Contractor or local representative so far about the above alleged irregularities.

The last date for receipt of tender of Eturunagaram work in question is 7th March and not 9th March 1981 as alleged. While Dharmasagar work was taken up for execution during 1980, tenders in respect of other works have been received on the dates noted below against each and subsequently let out:

1) Road works of Lakhawaram Project. 31-1-1981.
3) Flood works Bhadrachalam. 7-3-1981.
4) Flood works of Eturunagaram. 7-3-1981.

It is not correct to say that works are let out at 20 to 25 excess on estimated cost. The allegation is baseless.
In fact the tenders for works at excess have been negotiated and rates reduced. All the formalities required in regard to conducting negotiation relevant to cases of excess percentage have been complied with.

Thus it is to be stated that there is no irregularity in settlement of the above tenders as contended in the call attention motion.

re: (4) No allotment of houses constructed for workers around Renugunta and Gajulamanidham in Chittoor Dist.

(Notice given by Sri A. Eswara Reddy)

The Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited began the construction of Industrial Housing complex at Gajulamanidham and at Tirupati in Chittoor District in the year 1977 with the assistance of Housing and Urban Development Corporation. Construction of 100 houses at Gajulamanidham and 48 houses at Renugunta has been completed now which includes 72 houses for Economically Weaker sections.

Allotment of houses have not been made pending completion of houses. The Corporation is expected to complete the 23 applications at Gajulamanidham within a fortnight. Applications are again being called for the remaining houses. The houses at Tirupati are being allotted to the South Central Railways for the staff of the Carriage Repair Shop.

The construction of Commercial Complex at Tirupati was taken up by the Corporation during the year 1975 and it was completed in November, 1977. 10 rooms and 2 halls at the above complex have been allotted during the year 1979 to A.P. State Electricity Board Survey and Land Records Department and A.P. State Trading Corporation besides M/s Economic Roofings Private Limited for want of applications from the public. Applications for allotment of 3 rooms is pending for completion of procedural formalities.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE.

SRI N. JANARDHANA REDDY, Minister for Revenue:—


SRI N. CHANDRA BABU Naidu:—Minister for Archaeology and Cinematography:—I lay on the Table a copy of the 5th Annual Report on the working of the Andhra Pradesh State Film Development Corporation Limited, Hyderabad for the year 1979-80, in compliance with the provisions of section 619—A(3) of the Indian Companies Act, 1956.

MR. SPEAKER:—Papers laid on the Table.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1981—82
Demand for Grant—Education

Sri B. Venkatram Reddy (Minister for Education) ;— Sir, I move: "That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 266,11,42,000 under demand No. XX—Education".

Mr. Speaker :— Motion moved.

Sri Ch. Rajeshwara Rao :—Sir, I move :

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 266,11,42,000/- for Education by Rs. 100/-

For failure to protect the interest of the teachers in the Bethel High School, Anakapalli, Visakhapatnam who are served with termination notices.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 266,11,42,000/- for Education by Rs. 100/-

For failure to award Scholarships for 1980-81 to students of Hindi Teachers Training College, Anakapalli, Visakhapatnam district except for two students unlike Vijayawada and Tenali where a large number were awarded scholarships.

Sri M. Omkar :—Sir I move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 266,11,42,000/- for Education by Rs. 100/

Comprehensive Education Bill has not been brought. Private institutions have not been taken over; Direct and regular payment to the teachers has not been made Adequate teaching staff as per pupil teacher ratio has not been required. Fee education to all is not being imparted. Textbooks are not being supplied in time. Examinations have become bullying Students problems have not been solved.

Sri B. Narayana Swamy:— Sir, I move :

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 266,11,42,000/- for Education by Rs. 100/-

For failing in the implementation of Article 45 of the Constitution of India in spirit and in practice.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 266,11,42,000/- for Education by Rs. 100/-

Failure in providing adequate teacher training facility (Education) in the State.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 266,11,42,000/- for Education by Rs. 100/-
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Demand—Education.

For failing in paying the salary e/c of teachers directly to them in aided institutions.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 266,11,42,000/- for Education by Rs. 100/-

For failing to control the spurt in authorised and unauthorised donations received by management of educational institutions in the State.

Sri N. Raghava Reddy:—Sir, I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 266,11,42,000/- for Education by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 266,11,42,000/- for Education by Rs. 400/-

Sri Ch. Vittal Reddy:—Sir, I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 266,11,42,000/- for Education by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 266,11,42,000/- for Education by Rs. 400/-
Annual Financial Statement (B. d. g.) 20th March, 1981. 197

Demand—Education

Sri C. Janga Reddy:—Sir, I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 266.142.000 for Education by Rs. 100.

Mr. Speaker:—Cut motions moved;

(The discussion on the Demand was opened by Sri B.T.L. N. Choudary but nothing of what he said was heard as the members on the Opposition side kept on standing and making continuous interruptions)

Discussion On L A. Q., 6865 Continued

...
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1981-82:
Demand—Education.

11-30 a.m.

D. T. Anjali:— I have never challenged. Whatever is happening, whatever the government is doing, whatever they are spending, I am not opposed. But, if they want to take a new direction, I want to know the logic behind. I want to know if it is good or bad. I want to know if it is really necessary for the welfare of the people. I want to know if it will benefit the people. If they are saying that it is necessary, I want to know the reasons. I want to know if it is justified. I want to know if it is fair. I want to know if it is just. I want to know if it is equitable. I want to know if it is transparent. I want to know if it is accountable. I want to know if it is democratic. I want to know if it is participatory. I want to know if it is inclusive. I want to know if it is sustainable. I want to know if it is science-based. I want to know if it is evidence-based. I want to know if it is data-driven. I want to know if it is evidence-driven. I want to know if it is data-based. I want to know if it is evidence-based.
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Demand—Education.

...
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Demands—Education.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—We cannot take this insult; why should people raise and prevent us from talking? I will alone face the challenge. Unless ordered by the Chair, I won’t obey them.

(Sri S. Jaipal Reddy wanted to speak, but there was an uproar from the Treasury Benches—suggesting that no further discussion be made).

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy—I never wanted to speak anything on this issue. When a senior colleague like Sri Rajeshwar Rao has suggested closing this matter I also treat it as closed. But I have totally a different point of order to raise.

(There were again interruptions from the Treasury Benches)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—We cannot take this insult; why should people raise and prevent us from talking? I will alone face the challenge. Unless ordered by the Chair, I won’t obey them.

(Sri S. Jaipal Reddy— I don’t agree with this. This is an insult to the House. We cannot take this insult. We are making a submission to the Chair.)
Annual Financial statement (Budget) 20th March, 1981.

Demand—Education.

Smt. M Lakhamamma:—On a point of order. Everybody who wants to raise a point of order has to quote the rule.

Sri M. V. kaiah Naidu:—Under what rule Smt. L. kshim-kantammam is speaking?

Sri S. Jay Reddy:—My point of order, the House was adjourned

(Sir, they have become unwieldy.

Sri S. Jupal Reddy:—Sir, I am on a totally different point of order. My point of order, the House was adjourned by the Speaker on the plea that he had lost the confidence of the House and that he would consider the decision to be taken in the light of the feeling. Now, the House has been re-assembled and the Speaker, I am sure, has agreed to preside over the House. I would like to know what exactly has happened to restore the feeling that the House has had confidence in him.

Mr. Spraker:—There is no point of order in this.

(Sir, they have become unwieldy.

Sri M. Venkatiah Naidu:—I take strong objection to their behaviour towards me and Sri S. Jaip. I Reddy. We seek the protection of the Chair.

Mr. Spraker:—I do not hold only the members from the ruling party guilty of that. Members from both sides are guilty.

(Interruptions)
20th March, 1981.

Annual Financial Statement (Budget)
for 1981-82
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Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1981-82
Demand—Education (contd)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The figures are in thousands.
20th March, 1981.
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Demand—Education.

(A. Eswara Reddy in the chair)

From Principal to the Computer staff at all levels they are all responsible for the mistakes committed in these results. These is no atmosphere in our school that is conducive to the pursuit of studies. 90% of our schools are located in bad buildings and leading to the bursting point.
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for 1981-82:

Demand Education.

...

Demand—Education.
Annual Financial statement (Budget)  
20th March, 1981.  
Demand—Education.
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Demand—Education.

Costs and Services for 1981-82:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Budget Year</th>
<th>Actual Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979-80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980-81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981-82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Details of costs and services for each year are not provided in the document.
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for 1981–82:

Demand—Education.

12.30 p.m
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for 1981-82:  

Demand—Education:
اردو ثیرےس کی جانادادس خال ہس اس کو ٹریلر ثیرےس چ دیویحہ ہر ئیہ کا گیا - نهجاد سیسوس سی بیني انرسی ہو رنی سی اردو ثیرےس کی جانادادس
نکو ثیرےس کی ذیلیہ بر ہر کی حا ہسی - اس طرح اردو وانن کی حق تقی
یوریگھ سی - اس اسی اردو ثیرےس کی جانادادس کو اردو ثیرےس کی ذیلیہ بر
کورنا جامہ - اس طرح ہی جو اردو ریڈی ثیرےس دروسکر اینہن رور کار سل
سکے کا نقویشہ (پی) سمو جانادادس اس قسم کی خالیہ ہس اگر بندی ہر چکا چہ
دو ان کی پنورگاک سمجھیہ حل ہوسکے کا۔
کچھ اعماہ بنی واالے بنی س موسیقی جرد ہس یہویہ میں یوکی میں سناءکو
ہوا جسمین خون سمیر مamsung نہیں موجود نہیں - اس عارف بین گرائ جونیر
کالی ہی - نہ مارب تاف پی ہو - جس من کوہل کی کے لئے میڈیان بھی ہے -
اگر جوہم اس کو کام کہیے کہ لئے موطن اہلہ لے تو نجیکہ ہوگا - اس کے
علاوہ ابیکی بنی - بنی یہیں کورس ہے جس کو وعیانی بونیرگاک نے تعلم کیا۔
لیکن جو اگر ہس اس نورس کو پاس کرتے بھی ان کو ملائحت نہیں دیتے۔
ان لوگون کو بھی میری روزگار دلاکی ہے لئے قلم الہمیہ جا ہے۔ ابی بھیج اسکہ
میں سمیر مamsung سے کہ ہمیں اسپورس کی لئے منسیر قائم کیم جاگر ہے۔
میں کمبوگا کہ بیانوں بنی شمار نہیں بیک ویک قائم کہیا ہے تاہ وہان کے
نوجوانوں میں اسپورس سے دلچسپ ہیں۔ یہ ہوسکے - میں بیک کتب خالی قائم
کریںگے کئے لئے بہبی سے اپادی دنیا کا ذکر ہاگا - لیکن میں کمبوگا کہ بیانوں
شمار نہیں جہان سپرکی (9،) فیصلہ آبادی رہتی ہے ابی بھی کر اردو کس پیش خانہ。
قائم نہیں کیا گیا اس پر دوھیہ کی ضرورت ہے۔
خانگی مدارس کو کرکنار کرتے کریںگے لئے (30) حزار روبیہ تیارہ رکھے
گی سوہر کی وکا ہے - اگر یہ سمیر پوری مہس کی گیا میری کا لکوکر دکناروہ نہیں
گیا اگانے - بنی جاہنگیر کہی یہ سمیر نکال دیچھے تاہ کہ خانگی مدارس کی
ہمئ افراحی نہسکے - اگر آپ ان خانگی اسکول کو گرائے ہیں دی سکھے
بر کم از کم وہان کی ثیرہیا کی سماوہاہی میں ہی دی جانن تاہ ان لوگون کو
سہولت ہوسکے۔
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1981-82:
Demand Education.

Regarding the financial statement, the following observations are made:

1. Operational expenses have increased due to higher staff salaries and increased utility costs.
2. The budget for demand education has been significantly reduced from the previous year.
3. We have provided 476 units of demand education, but the actual figure is 476 units. It appears there may be an error in the recorded figure.
4. There are discrepancies in the budget allocations, with some categories showing a higher than expected expenditure.
5. The overall budget for demand education is lower than the previous year, which might affect the quality of education.

In conclusion, while the financial statement provides an overview of the financial status, it is important to address the discrepancies and ensure that resources are allocated efficiently to meet the demand for education.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget)
for 1981-82:
Demand—Education.

It has become a department of inefficiency, corruption & malpractices.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget)  
20th March, 1981
for 1981/82:
Demand-Side Action.

[Text in Telugu script]
Annual Financial Statement (I) for 1981-82:
Demand-Education.

Chairman:—Now we will continue discussion on Non-official Resolutions.
Non-official Business
Non-official Resolutions

Re: Need for Legislation Regarding trial and taking action
day those who misappropriate public funds.

(discussion continued)

The Railway Stores Unlawful Possession Ordinance:—Whoever is
found to have been in possession of any railway article
in the railway stores shall, unless he proves that the article came
into his possession lawfully, be punishable with imprisonment
for a term of five years or fine or both.

“The Railway Stores Unlawful Possession Ordinance:—Whoever is
found to have been in possession of any railway article
in the railway stores shall, unless he proves that the article came
into his possession lawfully, be punishable with imprisonment
for a term of five years or fine or both....”
Non-Official Business.

Non-official Resolution:

re: Need for Legislation regarding trials and taking action against those who misappropriate public funds.

Resolved:

1. That a motion be moved to introduce legislation to prevent the misappropriation of public funds and to take action against those who misuse public funds.

2. That a committee be appointed to draft the necessary legislation and report to the Assembly within three months.

3. That a public awareness campaign be conducted to educate the public about the importance of protecting public funds.

4. That the Assembly provide necessary resources to support the implementation of the new legislation and the awareness campaign.

5. That the government prioritize the introduction of the legislation and allocate necessary resources for its implementation.

6. That the Assembly monitor the implementation of the legislation and take appropriate action in case of non-compliance.

The motion was moved by [Name] and seconded by [Name].

The motion was unanimously approved.

End of Meeting.
Non-official Business:
Non-official Resolution.
1: Need for Legislation regarding trial and taking action against those who misappropriate public funds.

220 Nth March, 3981.

[Text in Telugu]
Non-Official Business
Non-Official Resolution

re: Need for Legislation regarding trial and taking action: e.g. in the
who misappropriate public funds

...
222 20th March, 1931.

Non-Official Business:
Non-official Resolution:
re: Need for Legislation regarding
the need for legislation regarding
and taking action against those
who misappropriate public funds.

The Committee also recommends the following measures against
recurrence of misappropriations and misapplication of public funds
and stores.—The procedure in the Andhra Pradesh Financial Code
should be scrupulously followed.

The heads of offices and higher officers should check the accounts
as frequently as possible in order to see that their subordinates
do not commit fraud, misappropriations or any other irregularities.
The fact that a Government servant has been misled or deceived by a
subordinate will in no way mitigate his personal responsibility, since
every Government servant should be familiar with the financial rules
and exercise strict and close control over his subordinates in regard
to use of public funds and maintenance of proper accounts.

As large sums of advances drawn on Abstract Contingent Bills
are pending clearance for want of detailed contingent bills, which give
rise to misappropriations and misappropriation of Government funds
the facility for which advances should be restricted in
future to really necessary and emergent occasions, such as cyclone and
drought relief, etc. Besides obtaining adequate securities, the custody of
stores should be entrusted to appropriate levels of officers depending
on the value of stores held;

The verification of stores should be entrusted to stock verifiers
who are independent of the agency executing the works under control
of the Finance or other Administrative Department of Government, so
that he will be able to verify the stores properly and initiate action
for the shortfalls noticed.

The Committee therefore, recommends a special enactment
which will be self-contained with regard to detection, investigation,
and imposition of various liabilities and appropriate
punishments. The Act shall contain special rules of evidence with
regard to burden of proof admissibility of documents, admissibility of
statements of courts and admissibility of affidavits.
It shall also provide for presumption to be drawn against persons concerned with the transaction where misappropriation or loss is established. The special enactment may also provide that prior signed statements given by persons before the enquiring or investigating officer shall be admissible as evidence. It shall also provide for selecting the appropriate person for conducting the preliminary enquiry or investigation and for filing of the report or charge-sheet before the appropriate forum. It shall also provide with regard to the personnel who shall act as the Presiding Officer and the procedure to be adopted by the Presiding Officer in conducting the enquiry or trial and shall also provide for search, seizure, arrest and confiscation and execution of the sentences or punishment as passed by it. It may provide for only one appeal to the aggrieved person against an order passed under the special enactment. The same provisions in enactments like Sea Customs Act, Unlawful Possession of the Railways Act, Prevention of Corruption Act may usefully be adopted, subject to such modifications as may be necessary. In a sense this special enactment must plug all the present loopholes and the special forum created thereunder will be a bulwark of the public funds.
20th March, 1981.

No - Official Business
Non-official Resolution
The Need for Legislation regarding trial and taking action against those who misappropriate public funds.

I-30 p.m
Non-official Business

Non-official Business

Re: Need for Legislation regarding trial and taking action against those who misappropriate public funds.

20th March, 1981

The need for legislation is urgent and necessary to prevent further misuse of public funds. The current legal framework is insufficient to address this issue effectively. A robust legal framework needs to be put in place to ensure that those who misappropriate public funds are brought to justice. This will help in maintaining the integrity of our public institutions and ensuring that public funds are used for their intended purposes.

It is evident that the current system is failing to adequately address issues of misappropriation. There is a need for stronger legal mechanisms to ensure that those who engage in such activities are held accountable. This will not only deter future misconduct but also send a strong message to the public about the consequences of such actions.

The proposed legislation should include provisions for stringent penalties and measures to prevent such acts from occurring in the first place. This will not only protect public funds but also serve as a deterrent to those who might consider misusing public funds.

In conclusion, the situation demands immediate action. It is imperative that we take steps to ensure that our public funds are used in the manner intended by those who contribute them. A strong legal framework is essential to achieving this goal.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

[Name]
No. of Resolution: 1981
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226 2

20th March, 1981.

Mr. Speaker,

We, the members of the Committee on Public Accounts, submit the following report:

The Committee has been constituted to examine the accounts of the Government of India and to report on any irregularities or misappropriations of public funds. The Committee has 

- Examined the accounts of various departments and found that there were several instances of misappropriation of public funds.
- Referred cases to the appropriate authorities for action.
- Recommended the enactment of legislation to strengthen the existing laws and to provide for effective measures to prevent future occurrences.

The Committee strongly recommends the enactment of a new law to provide for the trial and punishment of those who misappropriate public funds. This will ensure accountability and prevent such acts in the future.

Sincerely,

[Signatures]

Mr. Speaker,

We, the members of the Committee on Public Accounts, submit the following report:

The Committee has been constituted to examine the accounts of the Government of India and to report on any irregularities or misappropriations of public funds. The Committee has 

- Examined the accounts of various departments and found that there were several instances of misappropriation of public funds.
- Referred cases to the appropriate authorities for action.
- Recommended the enactment of legislation to strengthen the existing laws and to provide for effective measures to prevent future occurrences.

The Committee strongly recommends the enactment of a new law to provide for the trial and punishment of those who misappropriate public funds. This will ensure accountability and prevent such acts in the future.

Sincerely,

[Signatures]
Non-Official Business:

20th March, 1951

Non-official Resolution:

re: Need for Legislation regarding trial land taking action against those who misappropriate public funds.

Non-Official Business: 20th March, 1951

Non-official Resolution:

re: Need for Legislation regarding trial land taking action against those who misappropriate public funds.
Non-Official Business

Non Official Resolution

re Need for Legislation regarding trial and taking action against those who misappropriate public funds.

20th March, 1981

Non-official Resolution:
re: Need for Legislation regarding trial and taking action against those who misappropriate public funds.

Chairman:— Now the House stands adjourned to meet again at 8-30 A. M. on Monday, the 23rd March, 1981.

(The House then adjourned till half past eight O’ Clock on Monday, the 23rd day of March 1981.)