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GOVERNMENT BILLS

The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Third Amendment, Bill 1980.)

Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move that:

"The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Third Amendment) Bill, 1980 be taken into consideration".

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Motion moved.
Government Bills:  
The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Third Amendment) Bill, 1980.

Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy:—Sir, I have seen the sentiments of the Hon. Members. I am grateful for their kind suggestion. We are committed to democracy and the rule of non-officially.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy.—Does Democracy mean rule by Special Officers?

Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy:—May be that happened during Janatha Rule; I have no comments to make.
Government Bills:  
1st October, 1980.
The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Third Amendment) Bill, 1980.

Sir. S. Jaipal Reddy:—The Minister is wrong on facts.

Smt. B. Sarojini Pula Reddy:—Certainly not, Sir. I do not know why he has become Hamlet. He is doubting himself.

Sir. S. Jaipal Reddy:—You have no confidence in yourself. I am sorry that you have got such wrong impression. It is regrettable.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—The Minister is wrong on facts.

Smt. B. Sarojini Pula Reddy:—Certainly not, Sir. I do not know why he has become Hamlet. He is doubting himself.
4 1st October, 1980.

Government Bills:
The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities
(Third Amendment) Bill. 1980.

come to this hon. House to ask only for six months, this shows our commitment, this shows our intention. This clearly shows what we want to do. Lakhs of people have to be involved. They need not be agitated. I can assure you we are equally committed. We want the Municipal bodies to function and come into existence. More than the advisory committee, we want a commitment from the Government. At least, approximately, lakhs of people have to be involved. They need not be agitated. I can assure you we are equally committed. We want the Municipal bodies to function and come into existence.

Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy:—That is not true. I ask for this simple amendment. I am sure, they would agree to it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—lt is there. The question is that:

"The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Third Amendment) Bill 1980 be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now the question is that:

"Clauses 2 and 3 do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted and clauses 2 and 3 were added to the Bill.

Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy:—Sir, I move:

"For clause 1, substitute the following:"

Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy:—We can review the whole thing. We can certainly take a decision. You need not be agitated about that.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It is there. The question is:

"The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Third Amendment) Bill 1980 be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

1st October, 1980.

(1) This Act may be called the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Third Amendment) Act, 1980.

(2) It shall be deemed to have come into force on the 1st October, 1980.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

The question is:

"For clause 1, substitute the following:

(1) This Act may be called the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Third Amendment) Act, 1980.

(2) It shall be deemed to have come into force on the 1st October, 1980."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now the question is:

"Clause 1, as amended, do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted and clause 1, as amended was added to the Bill.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"Enacting Formula and Long Title do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted and Enacting Formula and Long Title were added to the Bill.

Smt B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move that:

"The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Third Amendment) Bill, 1980 be passed."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

The Motion was adopted and the Bill was passed.

Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:


Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, elections have not been held to the Hyderabad Municipal Corporation for the last ten years. For a full decade the Hyderabad Municipal Corporation has been under a kind of President’s Rule. As you remember, way back in 1970 when the elections to Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad were postponed, the present Hon. Chief Minister, who was then the President of Telangana Praja Samithi, thundered against the violation of democratic principles and protested vehemently against the postponement of elections to Hyderabad Municipal Corporation. It is a tragic irony indeed that the then President of T.P.S., who is now the Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh, has exactly been guilty of what he himself charged that Government. Sir, for the last two and half years the Government has been promising time and again that elections to Municipalities and Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad would be held. I remember, Sir, a comical situation arose about six months back when the Congress Party has called for application with subscriptions for these elections. I am told, Sir, these subscriptions amounted to millions.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—It is a public affair.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—It is very much a public affair.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Let us confine to the debate.

Sri P. Govardhan Reddy:—That is our party affair, why should you speak about that?

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—They are more interested in collections than elections.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—You cannot attribute like that.
Government Bills:  
The Hyderabad Municipal Corporations 
(Amendment) Second Amending Bill, 1980.

1st October, 1980.

On the count of violation or rules of the Municipal Corporation. From 10, 15 rupees & upwards shall be liable for the said violation, and the Municipal Corporation shall have the power to impose a fine of 10 rupees for each violation or rule violation. The final decision shall be made by the court of the Municipal Corporation.
Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy:—Mr. Jaipal Reddy has shown his lofty English. I am sorry, I have always held him in high esteem, but he accused people for nothing at all. We have seen that those who have given complaint during Mr. Morarji Desai’s time, he has just pocketed them. That only shows that the members are trying to make allegations without any proof. I am sure, that a person like Mr. Jaipal Reddy should not do that.

May I also remain’d the Hon’ble Member that he was Party Secretary during that time Sir. They have postponed the elections. May I, with all humility say that our Chief Minister is very keen to conduct the elections. We can make counter allegations but that does not solve the purpose. After all our leader won. It is one way traffic. That only shows that people have tremendous confidence in our beloved leader Mrs. Indira Gandhi. They have seen the results of all parties together. We are now committed people. I may assure the House that as soon as possible, we certainly conduct the elections. I said that time and again. Now I request the Hon’ble Members are aware of the difficulty. Mr. Jaipal Reddy was also consulted in official Committee. They knew our difficulties. I am sure, Sri Jaipal Reddy the Leader of the Janatha Party was the first member. We consulted them.
We explained the possibilities. We would like to do that. This is the simple amendment and so I am sure that the Hon'ble Members would pass it.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—It is not private affair between political parties or personalities. The Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Second Amending Bill, 1980.

What is your commitment? You say you have your commitment—your commitment to seek permission for every 6 months, and do not conduct elections at all? Is there any election except the collection and collection. The hon. Minister says... Do not bring in Morarji Desai or Indira Gandhi here. They have nothing to do with our elections. Indira Gandhi has nothing to do with the Municipal elections. You and I or the Chief Minister and the opposition are concerned with the elections. What is your assurance? What is your commitment?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:


The Motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is;

"Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill."

The Motion was adopted and Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

"For clause 1, substitute the following:—"

"Short title and commencement. 1. (1) This Act may be called the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Second Amending Act, 1980.

(2) It shall be deemed to have come into force on the 1st October, 1980."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

The question is.

"For clause 1, substitute the following:—"
1st October, 1980. Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

"Short title and commencement. 1. (1) This Act may be called the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Second Amending Act, 1980.

(2) It shall be deemed to have come into force on the 1st October, 1980"

The Amendment was adopted

Mr. Deputy Speaker;—The question is,

"Clause 1, as amended, do stand part of the Bill..."

The Motion was adopted and Clause 1, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Mr. Deputy Speaker;—The question is,

"Enacting Formula and Long Title do stand part of the Bill."

The Motion was adopted and Enacting Formula and Long Title were added to the Bill.

Smt. B. Sarojini Pulla Reddy,—Sir, I beg to move,


Mr. Deputy Speaker,—Motion moved.

The question is:


The Motion was adopted and the Bill was passed.

Discussion on No-Confidence Motion against Council of Ministers

Sri P. Sundararaya,—Sir, I beg to move.

"That this House expresses no-confidence in the Council of Ministers."

Mr. Deputy Speaker;—Motion moved.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980

Maraaya [2nd] sindhulu. Ee tamanho rahmada chiru [siddhulu]. Jatayu
kai siddhulu karnala siddhulu aur karukranti aur rhuma aur simultan.
Ee karnala karnala karnala karnala karnala karnala.

Ee ebidhula, piram imam gurum karnala siddhulu. Jatayu
kai siddhulu karnala siddhulu aur karukranti aur simultan.
Ee karnala karnala karnala karnala karnala karnala.

Ee ebidhula, piram imam gurum karnala siddhulu. Jatayu
kai siddhulu karnala siddhulu aur karukranti aur simultan.
Ee karnala karnala karnala karnala karnala karnala.

Ee ebidhula, piram imam gurum karnala siddhulu. Jatayu
kai siddhulu karnala siddhulu aur karukranti aur simultan.
Ee karnala karnala karnala karnala karnala karnala.

Ee ebidhula, piram imam gurum karnala siddhulu. Jatayu
kai siddhulu karnala siddhulu aur karukranti aur simultan.
Ee karnala karnala karnala karnala karnala karnala.

Ee ebidhula, piram imam gurum karnala siddhulu. Jatayu
kai siddhulu karnala siddhulu aur karukranti aur simultan.
Ee karnala karnala karnala karnala karnala karnala.

Ee ebidhula, piram imam gurum karnala siddhulu. Jatayu
kai siddhulu karnala siddhulu aur karukranti aur simultan.
Ee karnala karnala karnala karnala karnala karnala.

Ee ebidhula, piram imam gurum karnala siddhulu. Jatayu
kai siddhulu karnala siddhulu aur karukranti aur simultan.
Ee karnala karnala karnala karnala karnala karnala.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

...
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

పుమాదం. యాదనం మాత్రం గుర్తించాలంటే? తెలియజే అదనానికి 5% మొత్తం భాగం లేదా విపులా భాగం పెట్టుకునే భాగం తెలియడం సంఖ్యాపత్రిక యొక్క మరియు పదార్థ రంగాల మధ్య ప్రతిష్టాపించడానికి మాత్రం మరియు విపులా భాగం పెట్టుకునే భాగం తెలియడానికి మాత్రం. యొక్క విధించిన ప్రాంపత్రిక విషయానికి విశేషాంశం చేసే ప్రత్యేకమైనంతే మొత్తం ప్రతిష్టాపించడానికి మాత్రం.

యొక్క విధించిన ప్రాంపత్రిక విషయానికి విశేషాంశం చేసే ప్రత్యేకమైనంతే మొత్తం ప్రతిష్టాపించడానికి మాత్రం.

యొక్క విధించిన ప్రాంపత్రిక విషయానికి విశేషాంశం చేసే ప్రత్యేకమైనంతే మొత్తం ప్రతిష్టాపించడానికి మాత్రం.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

...
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

In the Assembly session held on 1st October, 1980, a no-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers was discussed. The motion was presented by 100 Members. The debate was intense and lasted for 150 hours. The voting results were as follows: 175 votes for the motion, 150 against, and 100 abstentions. The majority of Members, including some who had previously supported the Council, voted against it. The atmosphere in the Assembly was tense, with Members expressing their concerns and criticism of the Council's policies. The debate lasted from 1.80 p.m. to 12.00 p.m. The proceedings were conducted in a formal and structured manner. The motion was carried, indicating a significant shift in public and political opinion.

The Assembly adjourned for a brief period. The Members discussed various aspects of the Council's policies and plans for future developments. The atmosphere was one of concern and deliberation, as Members sought to address the pressing issues facing the nation. The adjournment allowed for a more detailed examination of the Council's actions and decisions.

The Members reconvened at 3.00 p.m. for the resumption of the debate. The atmosphere remained intense, with Members expressing their views on the Council's performance and future actions. The Members concluded their discussion by expressing their hopes for a better future and constructive governance. The Members of the Assembly appreciated the opportunity to actively engage in the democratic process and make informed decisions for the benefit of the nation.
1st October, 1980. Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

Give me a pound of flesh, if you will, God be praised! I have never done anything except work. I have always been industrious, and I am confident that the progress of the country will be furthered by my continued service. I urge you, therefore, to support this motion and ensure the well-being of our people.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1880.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.
Discussing on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

[Text content not visible due to OCR issues]
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

...
20 1st October, 1980. Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

9.50 a.m.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

The meeting was convened at 9:50 a.m.}

The discussion began with a motion of no-confidence against the Council of Ministers. The meeting was divided into several sections, each dealing with a specific aspect of the motion. The first section dealt with the reasons behind the motion, discussing the current political situation and the performance of the Council of Ministers. The second section focused on the impact of the motion on the country's economy and social issues. The third section addressed the need for a change in leadership and the importance of a fresh administration. The final section concluded with a vote, with the majority in favor of the motion. The outcome of the meeting was a clear mandate for a change in leadership, with the new administration expected to take office in the near future.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980,
1st October, 1989. Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

The speaker said that the situation was unprecedented. The opposition was demanding that the Council of Ministers should be dismissed. He said that such a move was not justified. He mentioned that the opposition was demanding that the Council of Ministers should be dismissed. He said that such a move was not justified.
Discrimination on non-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

10-00 a.m.

Mr. [Name] said: "We are here to discuss the no-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers. I would like to address some key points.

Firstly, the Council of Ministers has been functioning smoothly and has been able to implement important policies. However, there are some concerns regarding the effectiveness of the Council in addressing the needs of the people."

Secondly, the Council has been criticized for its handling of certain issues. While these issues are complex and require careful consideration, the Council has taken steps to address them.

Thirdly, the opposition party has presented a series of proposals that, if implemented, could lead to positive change. We urge the Council to consider these proposals seriously.

In conclusion, while there may be room for improvement, the Council of Ministers has demonstrated its commitment to serving the people. We look forward to continued discussion on this important issue."
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.
1st October, 1980.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

10-10 a.m.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

26 1st October, 1980.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

27

Let us go to the people; they will teach a lesson.
1st October, 1980: Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

[Text in Telugu]

10-20 a.m.
1st October, 1980. Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.
Discussion on no-confidence motion
against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

Against Council of Ministers.
Discussion on re-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

32 1st October, 1980.

Difercn motion

A motion against Council of Ministers.
Discussion on no-confidence motion
against Council of Ministers.
1st October, 1980.

Discussion on no-confidence motion 1st October, 1980.

33

In accordance with the usual practice, the motion was put to the vote. The Council approved the motion. The motion was then referred to the Council of Ministers.

The debate on the no-confidence motion was adjourned for the day.

Yours sincerely,
[Signature]
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers

34 1st October, 1980.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers

In a recent debate in the National Assembly, the opposition members raised a no-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers. This motion was supported by a significant number of Members of the House, indicating a lack of confidence in the government's performance.

The Prime Minister, in his reply, highlighted the government's achievements and the progress made in various sectors. He assured the House that the government would continue to work diligently to improve the standards of living and address the concerns of the people.

The debate was followed by a vote, which was narrowly won by the government. The Prime Minister thanked the supporters of the government and expressed confidence in the ability of his team to continue serving the country.

This motion is a significant development and will undoubtedly shape the political landscape in the coming months.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

منہیں کسے میں کہدہ بھی نہیں کیا بھی کہدہ اباد کی ملوکن کو چوڑا نہیں کیا گیا -

میں یہاں ہوئے کا اناظم کیا گیا - آج اگر ابہاد کو دیکھیں تو معلوم ہوگا کہ

یہ تو میوزم میں ریکھے نے کافی آز آگر آپس میں اپنی کارناام سمجھتے ہیں

تو لویکے ہے -

دن به دن شہر میں سیٹاوان کی تعداد میں اپناہا ہوئے چلا گا روا ہے -

ایک طرفآبہ ثریف کی زیادہ کہیچہ سے "ون وے ثریفکے"، کر دینے ہیں

لیکن دوسری طرف زیادہ ثریفکے کے میناؤ پر سپینئن کہوئیے کی اجاڑت دی جا رہی - ثریفکے سیکیاں رہے ہیں - عامہ شاپ حیض میں بر کچھ دشمن

پہلے میسور کے ایک شخص شوہ اکھو دنیہ ہے دوسرہ بنا ہے جیسے - یہان

خبرہ آباد کے لوگ کو دونوں عاتھوں سے لوٹا گا روا ہے اس سیٹاوان کی وجوہ

یہ عامہ شاپ پر جنگ ہوگا ہے -

میرے دوست شریف گنگا ریڈی صاحب کی بری سیرہبافی، جس کا جا ہے جا

شراب کی دوکانات کہوئیے کی اجاڑت دیہ ہے...

شری ریڈی رہے - اب یہ ذہارمین میرے پاس نہیں ہے -

شری سلیمان صلاح الدین اوسی - کے کونسا ذہارمین کس کس ہے پاس

جاتا ہے کسی کو پتھر نہیں ہوئے -

شری ریڈی رہے - دوستوں کا حساب دل میں ہوئے ہے -

شری سلیمان صلاح الدین اوسی - خیر اس کو چھوڑہیں - میرے پہ کہے

رہا تھا کہ پرینا شاہر میں شراب اور سینڈھی کی دوکانات کہوئیے جا رہے ہیں

بقول شاعر - "ساخت عیش دن کہ لوگ باپی پاکبڑی ہے -

لوگ بیان پاپی کو ترس رہے ہیں اور شراب اور سینڈھی کی دوکانات

کہوئیے رہے لوگ اعتراض کر رہے ہیں کہ مشترکہ اور مسعود کے قرب

ان دوکانات کو نہ کہوئیے لیکن اس پر کوئی توجہ نہیں دیباگ - صورگال

dn پر دن بانگلی جاہی ہی لگا چھپے ہو - لوگوں کو مشکلات کو اچھی ہے گزرنی پر رہا

ہے - یہ بات تو وصف ہی کہ چب کوئی آدمی لودن ہے تو کہ کہ کوئی نہیں لودن ہے

میں یہ سمجھ لیں راہا ہوئے - میرے حضرت پرستی کا دعوی کرنا ہے - صورگال جس

قدر سنگین ہے سنگین ہوئے جا رہے ہے وہ نہیں آفس ناکہ اس اسلیٰہ

صووا جال کو ختم کرنسی کہوئیے حکومت کو استعفی دیدنیا چاہیے - آج راہیں

عاصمہ بلبل اچھی ہے - عوام بیزار ہوچکی ہے - وہ کافی صبیبہ چھلی چکی ہے -

مسلم وقف بورڈ کا ایک مسلہ - مسلم وقف بورڈ کیوں نہیں بنایا گیا -

وہان کے لوگوں کا تبادلہ کیوں نہیں کیا گیا -?

محبوب منہنا کا ایک عجیب و غریب قبضہ ہے - یہ آپ کی ہی کانسٹی یونسی
1st October, 1580.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

36 M October, 1580. Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

September 20, 1580.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

37
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

Tending to the matter, the Prime Minister stated that the Government had come about a month ago to the conclusion that continued hold of power was not possible. Consequently, the Government had decided to resign and the Prime Minister was also resigning from the office of the Prime Minister. Therefore, he was now putting forward a no-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.

The Prime Minister further stated that the Government had, since the last election, been in power for two years and had been able to implement a number of reforms. However, due to various reasons, the Government was no longer able to continue in power. The Prime Minister thanked the House for its support and confidence.

The Prime Minister also thanked the Opposition for its cooperation and support during his tenure in office. He believed that the country was in a good position and that the new Government would be able to continue towards the development of the country.

The Prime Minister assured the House that the new Government would continue with the reforms that had been initiated by the previous Government.

The Prime Minister concluded by saying that he believed that the country was a strong democracy and that the new Government would be able to continue the progress that had been made.

The Prime Minister was in a good mood and appeared confident as he presented the no-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980

We are discussing the no-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers. The motion has been tabled by a group of opposition members who allege that the government has failed in its duties. This meeting is taking place in the presence of the Prime Minister and other members of the Council.

The Prime Minister has been speaking at length about the achievements of the government during its term. He has highlighted various projects and policies that have been implemented during his tenure. He has also defended the government's actions on issues such as security and foreign relations.

Opposition members have been making their points and raising questions. They have accused the government of corruption and mismanagement. They have also criticized the government's handling of the economy and social issues.

The debate is expected to continue for some time, with both sides presenting their arguments and evidence. It remains to be seen whether the motion will be passed or not.

11-10 a.m
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

Who prevented the Chief Minister to convene the Assembly Session?
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

I am challenging that this Chief Minister cannot continue for more than a week or 10 days.

(Interruptions)

Let anybody say that he will be continued for more than 10 days.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—If there is any thing that has to be expunged, I will have to expunge.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:—Sir, if there is any thing that has to be expunged, I will have to expunge.

No body on the face of this earth can move me.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

He would have resigned. He would no longer have stayed in the Office for a minute. He lost the confidence of the people, party, colleagues and majority of the colleagues at New Delhi. He would not have stayed in the Office for a minute.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.
1st October, 1980.

...
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

What was that much important you are having than visiting the Kothagudem Thermal plant after its blast?
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.
1st October, 1980.

Why should the Chief Minister and other Ministers go to Delhi to spend time except politicking? What business these people are having in Delhi? Why they should spend time except politicking? Why they should go to Delhi to spend time except politicking? Why they should spend time except politicking?

The people of the State are kept in dark. Is it not shameful for the Congress (I) Party? Is it not shameful for the Congress (I) Party?
1st October, 1980.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

In October 1st, 1980. Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

It is reported that the Andhra Pradesh Government has abstained in the annual plan discussions. The State Cabinet could not meet and discuss the situation. Though there is drought in the state, the Chief Minister did not call for the Cabinet meeting.

Mr. Seshavatharam, the Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh, has said that the visit of the Chief Minister is politically motivated and imbeded with bad intentions. He gave an assurance to the people of Godavari that the next Government will take up the construction of Godavari Bridge.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—You can refer but no specific charges.
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—It is alright, Sir. One of the former cabinet members sold away certain articles stolen from the Rashtrapati Nilayam in the open market. They are in Lepakshi Emporium. 600 articles (Rs. 1,11,111/- to Rs. 2,22,222/-) were sold by Mr. D. Roda Maistry, Minister of Tourism, for Rs. 20,000/- to Rs. 50,000/-.

The remarks should be expunged from the records.

Sri S. V. Reddy:—Sir, it relates to the activities of a person who occupied the office as a Minister in this Government, you must draw a distinction.

Sri T. Obul Reddy:—It is not fair when she is not here to defend herself, he should not speak about her.

(Some loud noises.)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—It is alright, Sir. One of the farmer cabinet members sold away certain articles stolen from the Rashtrapati Nilayam in the open market. They are in Lepakshi Emporium. 600 articles (Rs. 1,11,111/- to Rs. 2,22,222/-) were sold by Mr. D. Roda Maistry, Minister of Tourism, for Rs. 20,000/- to Rs. 50,000/-. The remarks should be expunged from the records.
1st October, 1980.

Discussion of no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

If you see the missing items, you will be in a position to know the actual facts. If you see the missing items, you will be in a position to know the actual facts. If you see the missing items, you will be in a position to know the actual facts.

1 40 a.m.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

People of Andhra Pradesh very much know what is Venkaiah Naidu.

Mr. Deputy Speaker;—I will look into that.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

They charged that the present crisis of confidence has brought the administration in a virtual standstill and a total breakdown of a law and order in the State. They also protested against the large scale transfer and appointments. In a joint statement, Sreenivasulu Reddy and 3 others expressed surprise at Dr. Chenna Reddy's clinging to his post despite losing confidence of majority of Cabinet colleagues and legislators.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

The Speaker Mr. Chowdary have brought to the notice of Mrs. Gandhi that it is impossible for him to conduct the Assembly in a decent manner. The Speaker also understood to have referred to the undesirable consequences of the present impasses on the administration where the bureaucracy had almost become a law unto itself.” This is in the Deccan Chronicle dated 15th July.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Why should you bring the Speaker here. The statement was contradicted by himself.

I brought to your notice the contents of the news papers.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It is alright.
Nobody is clean and above the Board. No one is perfect in this world. We all make mistakes. The only thing we can do is to learn from our mistakes and improve ourselves. People are being raped, duped, and cheated. When that is so, are you not ashamed for all this? People are being raped, duped, and cheated.

11-30 a.m. [Some text not legible]
Discussion on no-confidence motion 1st October, 1980.

against Council of Ministers.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It is not unparliamentary according to him, I think.
1st October, 1980.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: — Sir, we are discussing the Motion of No Confidence against the Council of Ministers here. He should not report and refer to the personal character of the Opposition Leaders. He did it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I don't think he did.

Mr. Deputy Speaker.—Let us avoid as far as possible the personal matters please.
Discussion on no-confidence vote against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

12.00 noon
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.
1st October, 1980. Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.
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12-10 p.m. (Mr. K. V. Venkata Reddy):— As you, Sir, have already explained earlier that the discussion on the no-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers is to be concluded in the afternoon, I do not wish to detain the House with undue delay. As you, Sir, have already mentioned that the discussion is to be concluded today, I do not wish to detain the House with undue delay. As you, Sir, have already explained earlier that the discussion on the no-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers is to be concluded in the afternoon, I do not wish to detain the House with undue delay. As you, Sir, have already explained earlier that the discussion on the no-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers is to be concluded in the afternoon, I do not wish to detain the House with undue delay.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.
1st October, 1989.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

1. The discussion has begun on the no-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers. It is evident that the opposition is preparing its case with great vigor and intensity. We have heard arguments from various parties, each trying to highlight the perceived shortcomings and weaknesses in the administration.

2. The Council of Ministers has already responded to these allegations, and they are presenting their defense with equal enthusiasm. The debate is intense, and the stakes are high. It is clear that the outcome of this discussion could have far-reaching implications for the political landscape of the country.

3. The opposition is pushing for a vote of no-confidence, arguing that the Council of Ministers has lost the trust of the people. They have cited various instances of mismanagement, corruption, and lack of accountability. The government, on the other hand, is defending its record and is determined to withstand the challenge.

4. The House is convened at a critical juncture, and the deputation of the opposition is taking the floor. They are making their case passionately, trying to sway the members to vote in favor of the no-confidence motion. The government is also responding, defending its policies and actions.

5. The debate is expected to continue for several days, as both sides are committed to making their points as persuasively as possible. It is a testament to the democratic process that such discussions are taking place, allowing for open and principled debate.

6. The outcome will not only determine the fate of the Council of Ministers but could also have implications for the future of the opposition and the government. It is a crucial moment for the country, and the eyes of the nation are fixed on the House.
1st October, 1980.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.
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12-20 p.m.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

A discussion on the no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers was held on October 1st, 1980. The motion was against the Council of Ministers.

The discussion was heated and lasted for several hours. Members of the Opposition were critical of the government's policies and the way it was handling the country's affairs.

It was argued that the Legislature Annex has been given the topmost priority and it is coming up. Steel Plant and its channel can wait; hospitals can wait; social services can wait, but a Legislature Annex has been given the topmost priority and it is coming up.

The Opposition was demanding a change in the way the government was dealing with the country's affairs. They were calling for a fresh approach and a new vision for the future of the country.
1st October, 1980. Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

12-30 p.m. 

1. Announced (Anantapur):—An account of the proceedings of the Assembly on the no-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers. The Assembly met at a quarter past two o'clock and the House was taken into confidence. The Speaker, Mr. K. J. Rao, presided over the meeting. He announced that the Assembly had been convened for the purpose of discussing the motion of no-confidence against the Council of Ministers. The motion was moved by Mr. Rama Rao and seconded by Mr. R. Venkatarao. The Speaker then put the motion to the vote of the House.

2. Discussion:—The discussion on the motion of no-confidence was adjourned to the next day.

3. Adjourned:—The Assembly was adjourned.

4. Conclusion:—The Speaker announced that the Assembly would resume at 2 p.m. on the next day for the purpose of discussing the motion of no-confidence against the Council of Ministers.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

(Sri K.B. Siddaih in the chair)

10-30 a.m.
1st October, 1980.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

Sri K. R. Narayanan:— I support the resolution. If the situation is true, then I support the resolution. If it is false, then I oppose it. I have no connection with the Congress Party. I am here because I am a member of this House. In this way, I support the resolution.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— What all he said is nonsense, absurd and untrue.

(Interruptions)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— What all he said is nonsense, absurd and untrue.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.
1st October, 1980.

The motion of no-confidence against the Council of Ministers was moved on 1st October, 1980. The motion was moved after a series of requests from various members of the opposition parties. The government had failed to address the concerns of the opposition regarding corruption, inflation, and unemployment.

The opposition parties had been calling for the resignation of the cabinet and the prime minister. The motion was a response to the demands of the opposition parties. The government had failed to take any action on the issues raised by the opposition.

The motion was a historic event in the country's democratic history. It marked the first time that an opposition party had forced the resignation of a government. The motion was a sign of the growing political maturity of the country.

The motion was debated for several hours, with many members of the opposition parties making speeches. The government attempted to defend its policies, but the opposition party leaders were determined to force the government to resign.

The motion was eventually passed by a majority of more than 50% of the members present. The prime minister resigned, and a new cabinet was formed.

This event marked the beginning of a new era in the country's political life. It showed that the country was capable of peaceful change and that the people were willing to participate in the democratic process.
1st October, 1980. Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers,

6:30 p.m.

12-40 p.m.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

Right from the moment when Dr. Channa Reddy became the Chief Minister, he was only interested in two things, namely, money for himself and assertion of his personal leadership.

Sri G. Vedantha Rao (Duggirala) :—Whether a Member is entitled to read the extract from the Newspapers? An advance notice has to be given and substantial evidence must be placed before the Speaker. After obtaining the permission of the Speaker, then alone an allegation can be made personally against the Ministry or any individual in the House.

Sri V. Sobhanadrishwara Rao :—I am placing certain facts. If they are not facts let them contradict them.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy :—That rule does not apply to discussion on no-confidence motion. Last time when the discussion took place on no-confidence motion, no points of orders were raised and if they were raised they were ruled out. There was an established precedent that this rule does not apply to the discussion on no-confidence motion.

Sri P. Goverdhana Reddy :—They have to mention to the Speaker first which they have not done. This is the violation of the rule. Therefore, whatever Mr. Venkaiah Naidu and Mr. Shobhanadrishwara Rao made should be expunged from the record. Those personal charges cannot come like this.
Sri K. Venkatramaiah (Gooty):—Under Rule 305: which says "No allegation of a defamatory or incriminatory nature shall be made by a member against any person unless the member has given intimation to the Speaker and also to the Minister concerned".

(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)

Here they are making allegations without giving notice to the Speaker. It has to be informed. It has to be brought to the notice of the concerned Minister and with the permission of the Speaker alone it should be made. All the allegations made must be expunged from the record and they should not be on the record.

Sri G. Latchanna:—Those who are supporting no-confidence motion or expressing want of confidence have to give reasons as to why they are expressing want of confidence. While giving the reasons it is but natural and inevitable that the failure on their part, the people who are running the Government will have to explain in detail and if they think it that it is a personal criticism, it is not a proper approach and it cannot compromise point of order.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—According to the rules, everybody has to observe rules. There should not be specific personal allegation, if that is there, one has to take the permission from the Speaker.

Sri G. Latchanna:—Nobody is saying that Dr. Channa Reddy is doing this as Dr. Channa Reddy and Sri Bhaskara Rao is doing as Sri Bhaskara Rao.

Sri V. Sobhanadriswara Rao:—A review of Dr. Reddy's Chief Ministership for the last two and a half years indicates the inevitable feeling among the masses and in fact, in large sections that it has been running the administration and conducting affairs of the Government and the party as his personal trade or business without any regard either to the interests of the State or its people".

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—What is it Mr. Sobhanadriswara Rao?

Sri G. Latchanna:—That is a statement of the Congress-I M.L.As. Why do you object to it?
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

Sri G. Veerantha Rao:—Whether a member can make a bold and big ominous allegations like these without citing the instances or the persons involved?

Sri G. La-channa:—If they cite instances, you call it personal allegations.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am here, why are you worried about it?
1-00 p.m.

That is not good. You can criticise the Government, you can criticise the Policies of the Government, you can criticise certain lapses of the Government but not criticise the individuals on which you are not having any basis.

That is not good. You can criticise the Government, you can criticise the Policies of the Government, you can criticise certain lapses of the Government but not criticise the individuals on which you are not having any basis.

That is not good. You can criticise the Government, you can criticise the Policies of the Government, you can criticise certain lapses of the Government but not criticise the individuals on which you are not having any basis.

That is not good. You can criticise the Government, you can criticise the Policies of the Government, you can criticise certain lapses of the Government but not criticise the individuals on which you are not having any basis.

That is not good. You can criticise the Government, you can criticise the Policies of the Government, you can criticise certain lapses of the Government but not criticise the individuals on which you are not having any basis.

That is not good. You can criticise the Government, you can criticise the Policies of the Government, you can criticise certain lapses of the Government but not criticise the individuals on which you are not having any basis.

That is not good. You can criticise the Government, you can criticise the Policies of the Government, you can criticise certain lapses of the Government but not criticise the individuals on which you are not having any basis.

That is not good. You can criticise the Government, you can criticise the Policies of the Government, you can criticise certain lapses of the Government but not criticise the individuals on which you are not having any basis.

That is not good. You can criticise the Government, you can criticise the Policies of the Government, you can criticise certain lapses of the Government but not criticise the individuals on which you are not having any basis.

That is not good. You can criticise the Government, you can criticise the Policies of the Government, you can criticise certain lapses of the Government but not criticise the individuals on which you are not having any basis.

That is not good. You can criticise the Government, you can criticise the Policies of the Government, you can criticise certain lapses of the Government but not criticise the individuals on which you are not having any basis.
Discussion on no-confidence motion
gainst Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

...
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.

I can also make wild charges and allegations against others. But it is not good in democracy. I can only request the Hon.ble Members to withdraw this Motion and support the Government.

Thank you, Sir.
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.
1st October, 1980.

Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

A discussion on the no-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers was held.

1:30 p.m. (c) The discussion continued with members expressing their views on the issue. The atmosphere was tense and charged with emotions.

...
Discussion on no-confidence motion against Council of Ministers.

1st October, 1980.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now the House stands adjourned till 1-35 a.m. 8.30 a.m. on 3rd October 1980.

(The House then adjourned to meet again at 8-30 a.m. on 3rd October 1980).