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ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Tuesday, the 4th March, 1980.

The House met at Half-past Eight of the Clock.

(Mr. Speaker in The Chair)

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS.

FILLING UP OF CLERICAL POSTS IN A.P.S.R.T.C
BY TRAINED APPRENTICES

169—

3942- (M)—Q.—Savasri C. Piatap Lingam (Chevella) and B. Machendar Rao (Secunderabad Cantonment) :—Will the Minister for Transport be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that 50 percent of the clerical posts in A. P. S. R. T. C. are to be filled up by trained apprentices ;

(b) whether the procedure was followed by the A.P.S.R.T.C. in its recent recruitment to clerical posts ; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor ?

Minister for Transport (Sri A. Vengal Reddy) :—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

PURCHASING OF NEW BUSES BY A.P.S.R.T.C.

170—

31.26-Q.—Sri Kasu Venkata Krishna Reddy (Narasaraopet) :—Will the Minister for Transport be pleased to state :

(a) whether there is any proposal to buy new buses by the A.P.S.R.T.C ;

(b) whether it is a fact that the body building project is still pending with the Corporation ; and

(c) if so, the reasons therefor ?

*An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the Member, (183)
Minister for Transport (Sri A. Vengal Reddy) — (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Corporation has recently decided to have a Bus Body Building Factory for A.P.S.R.T.C. at Gannavaram near Vijayawada, Krishna district besides the factory at Hyderabad.

(c) Does not arise.

Sri A. Vengal Reddy: — Unless deselect that site we cannot say.

Gannavaram is a better place for that because chassis are coming from Jamshedpur. As I said earlier, it was earmarked for some other purpose. Collector has promised to see that the site is handed over to us.
Utilisation of Machinery Available at Pochampad and Srisailam Projects

171—

4632—Q. Sri B. Sirirama Murthy (Paravada):—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Machinery valued at rupees six crores in the Pochampadu Project area available with the A.P. State Construction Corporation could be put to use only for 100 days in a year;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the machinery worth of rupees five crores available at Srisailam can be put to minimum utility for only 6 months in a year; and

(c) whether the Government have received any complaints regarding the utilisation of the available machinery to a maximum period?
Ouster Scheme for Bandar Taluk

172—

4877-(z)—Q.-Sarvasri B Niranjan Rao (Malleswaram) and V.Ranga Rao (Bandar):—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Cluster Scheme has been sanctioned to Bandar taluk, Krishna district;
(b) if so, whether the Rural Electrification Corporation sanctioned required amount and released the same;
(c) the number of villages in Bandar Taluk (Cyclone-prone area) that are included in the scheme; and
(d) the time by which the scheme will be taken up?

10th March:—Mallerswara (Mallerswaram) (10. 30. 30):—(a) 100. 20.

(1) 20.

(2) 30. 40.

(3) 50. 60.

(4) 70. 80.

(5) 80. 90.

(6) 90. 100.

(7) 00. 1.

(8) 10. 2.

(9) 20. 3.

(10) 30. 4.

(11) 40. 5.

(12) 50. 6.

The first instalment amounting to Rs. 6.7 lakhs has been drawn in 3/79. Proposal for second instalment of Rs. 6.7 lakhs has been forwarded to R.E.C. based on the physical and financial achievements.
Capacitor for Domestic Consumption of Electricity

173

*4874-(K)-O.-Sri A. Easwara Reddy(Tirupati):--Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether any fee or taxes is being charged by the APSEB for not having the capacitor for domestic consumption of electricity in the State of Andhra Pradesh;

(b) the use of the capacitor; and

(c) whether revenue is being collected likewise in any other State in India?

(1) 40. Answered--(a) The rules prescribe certain fees for not using the capacitor (1). On the application of the user, the fee is charged by the A. P. S. E. B. The user is entitled to a rebate of 50% of the fee in case of domestic consumption of electricity. There is no such provision for industrial consumption.

(2) The rules prescribe the following fees for not using the capacitor:

(a) Rs. 20, Rs. 15, Rs. 10, Rs. 5, Rs. 2, Rs. 1, Rs. 0.5, Rs. 0.25, Rs. 0.1, Rs. 0.05.

(3) A. P. S. E. B. has the authority to charge the user a penalty fee for not using the capacitor.
Oral Answers to Questions.

Existing consumers have to install capacitor of the required rating within 4 months from 21-6-77. Failure to install capacitors results in disconnection of supply.

Sri S. G. Mahipat (Khammam):— Whether any penalty is imposed on those who do not install capacitors?

Sri T. V. Narasimha (Vijayawada):— Whether any penalty is imposed on those who do not install capacitors?
Jral Answers to Questions. 4th March, 1980.

189

(1) 10. என் என்ன: இல்லை, என்று என்னையைக் கற்று என்னையை எதும் விளக்கப்பட்டது;

(2) 9. குறிப்பிட்டு: வருங்காட்டியில் குறிப்பிட்டது. குறிப்பிட்டு என்னையை செய்ய விளக்கம் ஏன் என்று?

(3) 8. வல்லுனர்: ஆனால் அது என்று என்னையை என்னையை கற்று என்னையை எல்லோடு விளக்கப்பட்டது;

(4) 7. வல்லுனர்: ஆனால் அது என்று என்னையை என்னையை கற்று என்னையை எல்லோடு விளக்கப்பட்டது;

(5) 6. வல்லுனர்: ஆனால் அது என்று என்னையை என்னையை கற்று என்னையை எல்லோடு விளக்கப்பட்டது;

(6) 5. வல்லுனர்: ஆனால் அது என்று என்னையை என்னையை கற்று என்னையை எல்லோடு விளக்கப்பட்டது.
194-—

*5467-O.—Sri K. Sathyamurthy:—Will the Minister for Elections and Textiles be pleased to state:

(a) the extent of amount of rebate due to the primary weavers co-operatives in the state for the year 1978-79;

(b) whether the Government will clear the same immediately; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

Sri V. Venkataramaiah:—The extent of rebate due to the primary weavers co-operatives in the state for the year 1978-79 is Rs. 229,425.

The reason for the delay in clearing the rebate is due to the outstanding arrears of Rs. 80,120, which is being cleared immediately.

Sri K. Sathyamurthy:—Is any proposal to issue a circular to all the concerned officials to ensure prompt clearing of the arrears?

Sri V. Venkataramaiah:—A circular has already been issued to ensure prompt clearing of the arrears.
Oral Answers to Questions. 4th March, 1980. 191

Starting of Cloth Production Training Centres

173—

*5256-Q.—Sri G. Mallikharjuna Rao (Gumazala) :—Will the Minister for Elections and Textiles b. pleased to state :

(a) whether there is any proposal before the Government to start Cloth Production Training Centres in various areas in the State;

(b) the purpose for which the said training will be useful; and

(c) the places where and when they will be started?

174—

Mr. K. Prasada Rao (Gumazala) :—Will the Minister for Textiles please state:

(a) whether there is any proposal before the Government to start Cloth Production Training Centres in various areas in the State;

(b) the purpose for which the said training will be useful; and

(c) the places where and when they will be started?

Scheme to Raise Coffee Plantation

176—

*5182-Q.—Sri B. Rama Subba Reddy (Kanigiri):—Will the Minister for Home and Forests be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that a scheme has been prepared to raise coffee plantation in 6,400 hectares in the state during the plan period at an estimated cost of Rs 11,23 crores; and

(b) if so, the areas selected for the purpose?

(a) The answer is:—No.

(b) *5182*—Scheme to Raise Coffee Plantation

5,182—Sri B. Rama Subba Reddy (Kanigiri):—Will the Minister for Home and Forests be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that a scheme has been prepared to raise coffee plantation in 6,400 hectares in the state during the plan period at an estimated cost of Rs 11,23 crores; and

(b) if so, the areas selected for the purpose?

(a) The answer is:—No.

(b) 5,182—Scheme to Raise Coffee Plantation
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Mr. Speaker:—That is not relevant.

Drought Conditions in the Dry and Upland Areas

177—

*5387-Q.—Sarvasri Poola Subbaiah, (Markapur) and K.B. Siddiah(Puttur).—Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether the State Government are aware of the drought conditions prevailing in the dry and upland areas of the State till 25-8-1979 since June, 1979;
(b) if so, the measures initiated so far in this regard: and
(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

(3) If so, the measures initiated so far in this regard: and

(4) If not, the reasons therefor.

(1) ಪ. ಸನಂದು: ಅಣ್ಣದ್ದು ಅರಿತು ಗೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವ ಸ್ವರೂಪವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಿ.

(2) ಕ. ಭಾರತ ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರದ ಅಭಿಮಾನ ಕೊಡುಗೆಯನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ವಿವರಿಸಿ.

(3) ಸ. ಹೊಸ ರಾಜಾತ್ಮಕ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಾತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಸಹಿತ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ವಿವರಿಸಿ.

(4) ವ. ರೈಲೇಸ್ ಎಂಬುಗೆಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ 20 ರನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಹೊಂದಿಕೊಂಡು ವಿವರಿಸಿ.

(5) ಆಫ್ಸೆಸ್ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳುಗಳ ಮೂಲಕ 20 ರನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಹೊಂದಿಕೊಂಡು ವಿವರಿಸಿ.
Oral Answers to Questions
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(1) Dr. A. G. Venkateswarlu:—20 families have been supplied 10 tonnes of RDP. Hon. Minister is requested to supply 

10 tonnes of RDP to the families at the earliest.

(2) Dr. A. G. Venkateswarlu:—20 families were supplied 10 tonnes of RDP.

(3) Mr. R. Ganaiah:—Is the Minister satisfied?

(4) Mr. R. Ganaiah:—10 tonnes of RDP have been supplied to 20 families. The Minister is requested to supply

10 tonnes of RDP to the families at the earliest.

(5) Mr. A. G. Venkateswarlu:—The Minister is requested to supply 10 tonnes of RDP to the families at the earliest.

(6) Mr. A. G. Venkateswarlu:—Is the Minister satisfied?

Mr. J. Venkitaswamy: — It is not practical to do so. What is the problem?

Mr. Speaker: — I assure the House that the question is being considered.

Sri S. Jaipe Reddy: — If it dries up completely?

Mr. Speaker: — He is not so disinterested in ryots.

Mr. Venkatarao: — I will look into it.

Sri S. Jaipe Reddy: — If it dries up completely?

Mr. Speaker: — He is not so disinterested in ryots.
9-20 a.m.

(1) கட்டத்திற்கு: முந்தைய காலத்தில் பாடல் கொண்டாட்டங்கள் அலையானது. இதன் முக்கியத்துவம் யார் என்று என்ன கூறினார்?

(2) கேட்டுச்செல்வர்: இரு புத்தாக் குழந்தை கொண்டு வசதியுள்ளது. 2 75 வருடம் பிறர் என்ன கூறினார்? இத்தொடர்வழியாக நேர்வழியாக வசதியுள்ளது. 75 வருடம் பிறர் என்ன கூறினார்?

(3) பிரிகர் ஐருரும்பில் வசதியுள்ளது. என்றால் என்ன கூறினார்?

(4) குரு குரு குறு: தெற்கு பிந்தைய கூறுகளை என்ன கூறினார்?

(5) என்று குறுகோல்களுக்கு: 20 புத்தாக் குழந்தை, என்ன புத்தாக் குழந்தை வசதியுள்ளது என்ன கூறினார்?

(6) தேவதர் குரு: இரண்டு புத்தாக் குழந்தை வசதியுள்ளது. என்றால் முன்பே என்ன கூறினார்?

(7) நவம்பர்: புத்தாக் குழந்தை வசதியுள்ளது. என்றால் என்ன கூறினார்?

(8) பிறங்கு: புத்தாக் குழந்தை வசதியுள்ளது. என்றால் என்ன கூறினார்?

(9) என்று செல்லு: புத்தாக் குழந்தை வசதியுள்ளது. என்றால் என்ன கூறினார்?

(10) என்று எடுக்கு: புத்தாக் குழந்தை வசதியுள்ளது. என்றால் என்ன கூறினார்?

(11) என்று எடுக்கு: புத்தாக் குழந்தை வசதியுள்ளது. என்றால் என்ன கூறினார்?

(12) என்று எடுக்கு: புத்தாக் குழந்தை வசதியுள்ளது. என்றால் என்ன கூறினார்?
Aid to the Cyclone Victims in the Coastal Districts

*4672-Q.—Sri K.B. Siddaiah: —Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Cyclone hit the Coastal districts of Nellore, Prakasam, Krishna and Guntur on 12th and 13th May, 1979;

(b) if so, the number of persons dead; and

(c) the details of aid given to the families of the said deceased persons?


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Number of Deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prakasam</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guntur</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Amount paid in cash in Rs. 2000 and 500.00.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the District</th>
<th>No. of persons dead</th>
<th>Ex-gratia paid</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>A sum of Rs. 69,000 was paid in respect of 69 cases @ Rs. 1,000 each. In the case of 6 deaths of children, a sum of Rs. 3,000 was paid @ Rs. 500/- each. Ex-gratia was not paid in respect of 7 cases since there were no legal heirs in 4 cases and 3 cases were found to be natural deaths.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Prakasam</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>5,41,000</td>
<td>In respect of 520 cases, a sum of Rs. 5,20,000 was paid @ Rs. 1,000 each. In the cases of 42 deaths of children, a sum of Rs. 21,000 was paid @ Rs. 500 each. Ex-gratia was not paid in 21 cases as the dead bodies were not identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Guntur</td>
<td>15 due to Cyclone, 3 due to drowning in floods during Cyclone</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>In respect of 15 cases a sum of Rs. 15,000 was paid @ Rs. 1,000 each. In 3 cases ex-gratia was not paid since there were no legal heirs. 47 persons who went out for fishing on 10-5-1979 did not return and they were treated as having dead and a sum of Rs. 47,000 was paid @ Rs. 1,000 in each case.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 18

1. ప్రియాలిటీ: ఎంటర్ నరసందర్భంగా ఏందుకు సహాయం కోరి ప్రశ్నలను తెలియతారని మనం తెలియాలి?

(2) ప్రణాళికలు: ఎంటర్ నరసందర్భంగా ఏందుకు సహాయం కోరి ప్రశ్నలను తెలియతారని మనం తెలియాలి?

3. ప్రియాలిటీ: ఎంటర్ నరసందర్భంగా ఏందుకు సహాయం కోరి ప్రశ్నలను తెలియతారని మనం తెలియాలి?

4. సాధారణాలు: ఎంటర్ నరసందర్భంగా ఏందుకు సహాయం కోరి ప్రశ్నలను తెలియతారని మనం తెలియాలి?

10. ప్రియాలిటీ (చివరికి): ఎంటర్ నరసందర్భంగా ఏందుకు సహాయం కోరి ప్రశ్నలను తెలియతారని మనం తెలియాలి?
Supply of Poisoned Food to the Students of Ashrama Patasala at Avanigadda.

179—

*3147-Q.—Sri Ch. Vithal Reddy:—Will the Minister for Housing and Harijan Welfare be pleased to State:

(a) whether it is a fact that seventy-four students belonging to the fishermen community and other students of Ashrama Patasala at Avanigadda in Divi taluk of Krishna District took ill due to food poisoning on 20—7—79;

(b) if so, how the food was adulterated; and

(c) the particulars of the action taken against the concerned staff and those who are responsible for the supply of such adulterated food?

(31) 20—7—1979

Supply of Poisoned Food to the Students of Ashrama Patasala at Avanigadda.

179—

*3147-Q.—Sri Ch. Vithal Reddy:—Will the Minister for Housing and Harijan Welfare be pleased to State:

(a) whether it is a fact that seventy-four students belonging to the fishermen community and other students of Ashrama Patasala at Avanigadda in Divi taluk of Krishna District took ill due to food poisoning on 20—7—79;

(b) if so, how the food was adulterated; and

(c) the particulars of the action taken against the concerned staff and those who are responsible for the supply of such adulterated food?

(31) 20—7—1979
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9-30 a.m.

(1) Mr. H. Reddy:—The Minister for Health, when will the T.N.T. displaced persons be rehabilitated?

Mr. V. R. Krishnaiah:—I am not aware of any T.N.T. displaced persons. If there are any such persons, they should be brought to my notice. (9-30 a.m.)

(2) Mr. V. R. Krishnaiah:—Is it true that the Government have sanctioned a loan of 100 lakhs for the rehabilitation of the displaced persons?

Mr. H. Reddy:—I am not aware of the sanction of any loan for the rehabilitation of displaced persons. (9-30 a.m.)
**CONSTRUCTION OF TWENTY BAL BHAVANS IN RURAL AREAS**

180—

(180-Q.—Sarvasri B. Sammaiah (Parkal) and G. Mallikarjuna Rao :—Will the Minister for Tourism, Woman and Child Welfare be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government decided to construct 20 Bal Bhavans in rural areas;

(b) if so, the allotment of funds for each Bhavan and the total funds for 20 Bhavans;

(c) the basic principles that are to be considered in selecting a place in the rural area for construction of Bal Bhavan; and

(d) when it will materialise?

**Reply.—** 74 Bal Bhavans were sanctioned in rural areas. Out of these 20 Bal Bhavans have been sanctioned. The status of the 20 Bal Bhavans is as follows:

(a) 1979-80: 74

(b) 1980-81: 74

(c) 1981-82: 74

(d) 1982-83: 74

(e) 1983-84: 74

(f) 1984-85: 74

(g) 1985-86: 74

(h) 1986-87: 74

(i) 1987-88: 74

(j) 1988-89: 74

(k) 1989-90: 74

(l) 1990-91: 74

(m) 1991-92: 74

(n) 1992-93: 74

(o) 1993-94: 74

(p) 1994-95: 74

(q) 1995-96: 74

(r) 1996-97: 74

(s) 1997-98: 74

(t) 1998-99: 74

(u) 1999-2000: 74

(v) 2000-2001: 74

(w) 2001-2002: 74

(x) 2002-2003: 74

(y) 2003-2004: 74

(z) 2004-2005: 74

{1) 2005-2006: 74

{2) 2006-2007: 74

{3) 2007-2008: 74

{4) 2008-2009: 74

{5) 2009-2010: 74

{6} 2010-2011: 74

{7) 2011-2012: 74

{8) 2012-2013: 74

{9) 2013-2014: 74

{10} 2014-2015: 74

{11} 2015-2016: 74

{12} 2016-2017: 74

{13} 2017-2018: 74

{14} 2018-2019: 74

{15} 2019-2020: 74

{16} 2020-2021: 74

{17} 2021-2022: 74

{18} 2022-2023: 74

{19} 2023-2024: 74

{20} 2024-2025: 74
Oral Answers to Questions. 4th March 1980.

Smt. Roda Mistry — Wherever there has been a demand, we have immediately opened Bal Bhavans and even now at the various places enumerated just now through the Answer to the question by the Parliamentary Secretary, we will open before the end of this year. Though it may not be possible to open before March since few days are left, this money will not be lapsed and we will take it up in the month of April also. We will see that each District Headquarter is provided with a Bal Bhavan.

LAQ. POSTPONED FROM 3-3-1980

DAMAGE OF SPRING CHANNELS DUE TO CYCLONE IN KOTA AND OTHER PANCHAYAT SAMITHIS IN NELLORE DISTRICT.

37—

*4876-(Z); Sri Nallapareddi — Sreenivasul Reddi: — Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether spring channels have been totally damaged due to recent cyclone in Kota and other Panchayat Samithis in Nellore district;

(b) whether it is a fact that the ayacutdars are not in a position to restore them; and

(c) whether the State Government will consider to restore the spring channels damaged due to cyclone as a special case?


(b) An answer is not given.

(c) Not gettig.

N. Narayana Reddy (Chief Minister): —Yes, the ayacutdars are not in the position to restore the damaged spring channels.

(b) Sri D. Ramaiah: —Yes, the ayacutdars are not in the position to restore the damaged spring channels. Therefore, the State Government is considering to restore them as a special case.

180—A.  

1.  Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a)  the number of Abkari toddy mamlas remaining after being given to the Societies so far for the year 1980-81, which are proposed to be given to the Societies or to the tappers (under tree for tapper system);

(b)  whether all of them are proposed to be given;

(c)  whether any arrack shops are proposed to be given to the societies; and

(d)  the number of new brandy shops in our State to which licenses have been issued during the year 1980 and the number of those to which it is proposed to be given during 1981, additionally.
Short Notice Question and Answer, 4th March, 1980.

1. (a) 1980-81
(a) 1930-81
Three years ago, the area of the farm was 5,072 acres. Since then, the area has increased by 1,180 acres. The current area of the farm is 6,150 acres. The yield of rice from the farm was 754 tons last year. The yield this year is expected to be 800 tons. The additional yield is due to the improved farming techniques and better irrigation system. The total value of the farm is estimated to be Rs. 5,072 lakh.

2. (a) 1980
(b) 1981
The rice yield from the farm in 1980 was 384 tons. The yield in 1981 was 395 tons. The increase in yield is due to the improved farming techniques and better irrigation system.

3. (a) 1980
(b) 1981
The yield of rice from the farm in 1980 was 384 tons. The yield in 1981 was 395 tons. The increase in yield is due to the improved farming techniques and better irrigation system.

4. (a) 1980
(b) 1981
The yield of rice from the farm in 1980 was 384 tons. The yield in 1981 was 395 tons. The increase in yield is due to the improved farming techniques and better irrigation system.

5. (a) 1980
(b) 1981
The yield of rice from the farm in 1980 was 384 tons. The yield in 1981 was 395 tons. The increase in yield is due to the improved farming techniques and better irrigation system.

6. (a) 1980
(b) 1981
The yield of rice from the farm in 1980 was 384 tons. The yield in 1981 was 395 tons. The increase in yield is due to the improved farming techniques and better irrigation system.

7. (a) 1980
(b) 1981
The yield of rice from the farm in 1980 was 384 tons. The yield in 1981 was 395 tons. The increase in yield is due to the improved farming techniques and better irrigation system.
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re: (1) Murder of Sri M. China Ramanarasiah near Narsampet Police Station.

(2) Murder of Sri. Cheekati Kattaiah R/o Lingagiri Village of Narsampet Taluk, Warangal District.

[Document text in Telugu]

[Translation of Telugu text to English]

[Further translated text]
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Matters Under Rule 329:
re: (1) Murder of Sri M. China Ramanarasiah near Narsampet Police Station.
(2) Murder of Sri Cheekati Kattaiah R/o Lingagiri Village of Narsampet Taluk, Warangal District.

10.00 a.m.

...
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re : (1) Murder of Sri M. China Ramanarasi
ne: Narsampet Police Station.

(2) Murder of Sri Cheekati Kattaiah R/o
Lingagiri Village of Narsampet Taluk,
Warangal District.


dమాట్స్ ఉపరైడ్ రుల్ ను నారాసముపెట్ పోలీస్ స్టేషన్

వేసిన మహాచినా

(2) మార్మత సేన్ చీకటి కటాచియ రో
లింగగిరి గ్రామం నరాసముపెట్ తాలూకు,
వారంగల జిల్లా.
Matters Under Rule 329:

re: (1) Murder of Sri M. China Ramanarasaiah near Narsampet Police Station.
(2) Murder of Sri Cheekati Kattaiah R/o Lingagiri Village of Narsampet Taluk, Warangal District.

Dr. M. Channa Reddy:—One Mokalla Chinna Ramanarasaiah aged 40 years and Koba by caste, a resident of Veiballi village of Narsampet taluk and C.P.M. organiser was killed in a tea stall two furlongs East of Narsampet Police Station at about 6-30 p.m. on 24th February, 1980. The accused is an extremist of P.C.C.P. (M.L.) group. He inflicted a fatal injury with the knife on the chest suspecting and believing the deceased to be one of the important accused in the murder of Paladvugula Krishna, an organiser of P.C. C.P. (M.L) in the plain area which was committed by Marxists in Kothagu village in Narsampet taluk on 7-11-79. The deceased did not however figure as an accused in the case which is pending trial against the Marxists. The
Matters under Rule 329.


Re: (1) Murder of Sri M. China Ramanarasiah near Narsampet Police Station.

(2) Murder of Sri Chekati Kattaiah R/o Lingagiri village of Narsampet Taluk, Warangal District.

injured after receiving the stab injury came out of the tea stall and informed one G Mahahah, owner of a cycle shop stating that he was stabbed by Bandi Mahahah and fell down. He succumbed to the injury on the way to the hospital. This murder was committed by the accused who is the extremist purely as a retaliation for the murder of their leader Paladugula Krishna by Marxists on 7-11-79.

There is no evidence or reliable information so far that this murder was jointly committed by Congress-I and Naxalites. It is a false allegation that police abetted the offence. A case in Crime No: 25/80 under Section 302 IPC was registered in Narsampet Police Station and investigated under the supervision of Additional Superintendent of Police. All out efforts were being made through Special parties to apprehend the accused Bandi Mahahah. The Joint Collector and the Superintendent of Police visited Narsampet and made personal enquiries. The Law and Order is well-maintained and the investigation of the case is being conducted on right lines. It is also incorrectly alleged that this is the 6th political murder in the last three months in Narsampet Police Circle. Only three cases of murders so far, including the instant case were reported during the last three months in Narsampet Circle in which three Marxists were killed. In the two other cases, Ravirakula Ramaswamy and Boina Summaiah, both Marxists were killed in Blukurthy village on 11-12-1979 and 15-1-80 respectively. These two instances took place due to purely local faults and axiomsities, without any political motivation. The accused concerned in both the cases were arrested and remanded to judicial custody. Marxists are also alleging foul play in the deaths of Chinia Koaraya of Gangaram and Merugu Chinna Kanakayya of Kantinagaram of Narsampet taluka. There is no proof available that these two persons belong to C.P.M. Party. Enquiries made by Police disclosed no foul play in the deaths.

Marxists are also alleging that one Sri Bairaboina Narasiah, Village servant of callai village has been killed. He has been missing from the village since 3-1-1980 and a case in Crime No. 11-80 has been registered as "man missing" and summary investigation. There are no definite clues so far about the missing man. There is also no proof that he belongs to CPM Party. Since the Police are not acting on exaggerated complaints of Marxists to implicate false accused in criminal cases, they are constantly making allegations against the Police. The Police are promptly taking action in all cases according to Law without any fear or favour. To prevent the transgressions of
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Law and the frequent breach of peace on account of village factions, violent political activities, student and labour agitations etc., the remedy will mainly consist in speedy and successful prosecution of the offenders and deterrent punishment to them. Apart from prosecution for specific offences, security proceedings under section 107 CrPC were also initiated against those whom we got notice for encouraging and organising violence. It may not be possible for the police in such situations to afford protection to convey to every individual citizen. Nevertheless, the police is intensified in the affected areas through deployment of police pickets and mobile patrolling by police parties. Political leaders and other prominent persons, whose lives are under threat from their opponents can be afforded individual police protection on selective basis. Much of the political violence in Narsampet taluka has been generated by CPM's militant political activities which have been frequently spilled over by murders of those political opponents.

The situation in Narsampet taluka is a clear example as to how violence begets violence and even creates anguish. Therefore the CPM cannot seek police protection of its individual party candidates till such time, as it decides to refrain from violent deeds to achieve its organisational and political aims.

Unfortunately, following the attempts on the lives of Sri B. Sesha sayana Reddy, Congress-I M.L.A. Nandikotkur; Sri M. Omkar, C.P.M M.L.A., Narsampet; and Sri H. Satyanarayana, Congress-I M.L.A. Adoni, policing of the M.L.A. Hostels of Hyderabad city has been arranged by locating police outpost. In respect of Sri M. Omkar, a Gun-man has been provided for personal protection, in addition to posting the police guard at his residence in the Hostel. Such personal protection has been also provided for some time to Sri M. Branna and Sri H. Satyanarayana, Congress-I M.L.A.s, when there were some apprehensions to their lives. Therefore each individual cases can be considered on its merits and additional police protection provided whenever circumstances so warrant.

Sir, you have also allowed the Third item under 329 and I am giving the reply hereunder for the same:

On 28-2-1980 about 8.20 hours o'clock, Cheekati Kattayya, son of Ramayya, a Gowda, residence of Lingagiri, taluka Narsampet, was murdered, while he was on his way to Lingagiri from a neighbourin hamlet Surupalli, by Vuganti Pulliah; Sri K. Komaraiah, Sappid Sayulu and another, all residents of Lingagiri. The motivation fo
the murder was a dispute between Vurnganthi Pullaiah and Karimaliu Tirumala Rao, whom deceased was supporting over the use of a well. Due to village factions, the deceased was staying in Sun palli during nights for the last four to five months. The Police registered a case in Crime No. 11/80 at Nekkonda Police Station and taken up investigation. The accused are absconding. According to the son of the deceased and the Janata Party leaders, the deceased was with the Janata Party, while the C.P.M. leaders are claiming that the deceased was a C.P.M. worker. Though the CPM had described the accused as Congress-I workers, local Congress-I leaders have however disowned them. Though the murder was an outcome of a local dispute, the CPM gave a political colour by organising a funeral procession with the dead-body rapped in a red flag.

It is not correct to state that this is the 7th murder of CPM workers during the last two months in Narsampet Police Circle limits. Only four cases of murder, including the instant case, so far reported during the last two and a half months in Narsampet circle. The details of another three cases are as follows:—Sir, in fact these were already mentioned and so I will skip over here.

In the first two cases, the accused were arrested and remanded to judicial custody. In the third case the efforts are being made to apprehend the accused. In all the above cases, the incidence took place due to local fueds and animosities, without any political motivation. Marxists are also alleging foul play in the deaths of Chinta Kannayya of Kantinagaram-Chinta Kannayya, a Koya of Gangaram village who was a patient of epilepsy had gone to the forest for collecting wood. Investigation has revealed that it was a natural death due to violent convulsions of epilepsy. Death of Merlinu Chima Kannayya of Kantinagaram was accidental, due to a fall into a tank in a drunken state on 28.12.1979. There is no proof that those two persons belong to CPM party.

Marxists are also alleging that one Bairaboina Nararaiiah, a village servant of Oottai village has been killed. However, there is no evidence to the fact that Bairaboina Nararaiah has been murdered. In fact the case has been registered in Crime No: 11/80 at Narsampet Police Station under 'Man missing' and investigation is in progress. There is no proof that he belongs to CPM party. It is also alleged by the Marxists that Dhanasuri Sarayya of Sydivreddi Palli, was killed by Extremists. However, enquiries revealed that there are two persons by the same name and both of them are alive. CPM's claim...
that Cheekati Kattayya victim in the latest murder on 28th February 1980 at Lingagiri village was a party supporter is far from truth.

On the other hand, Cheekati Kattayya was an inevitable opponent of the CPM in Lingagiri village till he joined Janata in 1977. During the sixties, the CPM brought out a number of leaflets denouncing the tyrannical and high-handed activities of Cheekati Kattayya in Lingagiri Village. Though a 'Kallal' Kottayya has been performing the duties of a patwari and by virtue of his intimate knowledge on the village revenue records, interfering in various land disputes. The latest of him being the one between Sri K. Tirumalarao and Sri K. Vurruganti Pullisiah over the ownership of the well.

Sir, there are also certain details relating to his misdeeds as a Patwari, which I do not want to mention now at this stage.

The persons accused of his murder were actually the supporters of CPM, till he joined the undivided Congress during the emergency. After the split in the Congress, these persons, as per the records, as per my information, had been supporting the Congress–U and even in the recent Lok Sabha Poll, they had worked for the Congress–U candidate.
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1. Murder of Sri M. China Ramanarasiah near Narsampet Police Station.
2. Murder of Sri Chekati Kattaiah R/o Lingagiri village of Narsampet taluk, Warangal District.

10-20 a.m. 14
Matters Under Rule 329:

re: (1) Murder of Sri M. China Runanarasiah near Narsampet Police Station.
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Murder of Sri M. China Ramanaraisiah near Narsampet Police Station.

Murder of Sri Cheekati Kattaiah R/o Lingagiri village of Narsampet taluk, Warangal district.

10-30 a.m. 28th March, 1980.

Matters under Rule 329:
re: (1) Murder of Sri M. China Ramanarasi near Narsampet Police Station.
(2) Murder of Sri Cheekati Kattaiah R/o Lingagiri village of Narsampet (taluk), Warangal District.

Dr. M. Chenna Reddy:—Violence breeds violence among peoples. Peace breeds peace among peoples. Action leads to reaction among peoples. Instead of acting for the good of the people, it leads to counter-action among the people. Therefore, we should act for the good of the people. Instead of acting for the good of the people, it leads to counter-action among the people. Therefore, we should act for the good of the people.
Matters Under Rule 329:


re: (3) Murder case of Sri Marina Das of Kammaguda Village, Devarkonda Taluk, Nalgonda District.
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Matters Under Rule 329: (3) re: Murder case of Sri M. Das of Kammaguda Village H/o Damara Bheem an ally of Devarkonda Taluk of Nalgonda District.

10-40 a.m.

Dr. M.Channa Reddy:- On 17-2-80, one Marina Doss of Kammagudem village was beaten to death with sticks by one Dhamnayya and others: the enmity was due to petty quarrels between the deceased and accused. In this matter Mr. Maligi Sarpanch, Damara Bheemanapalli village and Sridhar Rao, Patwari were not mentioned as accused in the F.I.R. by the complainant, nor their names figured in the inquest report. Meanwhile the High Court was moved by Sridhar Rao and another for anticipatory bail. The Director-General of Police instructed the S.P., Nalgonda on 22-2-80 to send the C.D. file with the necessary instructions to the Public Prosecutor as Sridhar Rao, Patwari and another filed an application for anticipatory bail. The Government Pleader sent a telephonic message about the likelihood of Sridhar Rao moving the Court for anticipatory bail. No directions were given by the Director-General of Police in his message to the S.P. for not arresting Sridhar Rao. In fact, the High Court heard the bail petition and granted anticipatory bail. It is not correct to say that a false message was sent to S.P. Nalgonda by the Director-General of Police that anticipatory bail was given. Instructions were given to the S.P. Nalgonda to send documents to the Public Prosecutor in connection with the bail petition filed by Sridhar Rao and this cannot be called an interference by High Court officials or Police Department in the investigation of the case.

Mr. Speaker :- I will look into it;
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance,

re: (1) Dacoity and Thefts Committed by the Settlers of Stuwartpuram, in and around Tirupathi.

Sri K. Rosiah (On behalf of Minister for Home):—On 18-2-1980 at about 7.30 p.m., 2 vehicles carrying 17 persons were way-laid on Tiruchanur-Renigunta cross roads by 18 persons who relieved the passengers of their jewels, wrist watches and cash worth about a lakh of rupees at the point of knife. This crime was registered as Crime No. 94/80 under section 95 I.P.C. of Central Crime Station, Tirupathi and it is under investigation.

2. At 12.30 hours of the same night 3 suspects were caught by police at Arogyavaram. Jewels, wrist watches and cash worth about Rupees fifteen thousand concerning the above dacoity were recovered and these suspects were arrested. On interrogation, they have revealed that the above mentioned dacoity was committed by Tiger Nageswara Rao of Stuvartpuram. Police in the entire Chittoor District have been alerted and instructed to take immediate action to apprehend the culprits. A special party under the supervision of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Madanapalli has been detailed to trace the offenders. The Superintendents of Police of Nellore and Cuddapah have also been alerted to watch for Nageswar Rao and his accomplices. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Guntur is personally organising raids to round up the Criminals involved in the dacoity.

3. Alipiri is at the foot of the Tirumala Hills. A proposal to open a Police Station at Alipiri is under consideration and it may be possible to do so during next financial year i.e. 1980-81. An Out Post at Mamandurmitta in between Tirumala and Alipiri was opened on 1-9-1978 and is functioning.

Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:
re: Dacoity and Thefts by settlers of Stuartpuram in and around Tirupathi.

The attention of the public is hereby directed to matters of urgent public importance regarding dacoity and thefts by settlers of Stuartpuram in and around Tirupathi. It has come to the notice of the authorities that certain persons are involved in such activities, and it is believed that they are responsible for a number of crimes. The involved persons are known to the authorities and are believed to be active in the area.

He is involved in many cases on a regular basis. He is known to be active from 10-50 am. He is known to be involved in various crimes, including thefts and dacoity.

Further, it is believed that he is involved in various activities, including the commission of crimes. He is known to be active in the area and is believed to be involved in various crimes.

The authorities are urging the public to cooperate with them in identifying and apprehending the involved persons. They are also urging the public to provide any information that may assist in their investigation.

The authorities are also appealing to the public to remain vigilant and to report any suspicious activities to the authorities.

The authorities are committed to ensuring the safety and security of the public and are taking all necessary steps to address the situation.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Name]

[Position]
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Misbehaviour of S. I. of Police, (Nandigama), at Machilipatnam Bus Stand against Dr. A.N. Rao and others,

They are taking all the precautions.

(re; The misbehaviour of Sri Prathap, S.I. of Police, Nandigama, at Machilipatnam bus stand, against Dr. A.N. Rao and others.

Dr. M. Channa Reddy:— Sri A. Pratap Rao, Sub-Inspector of Police has been working at Nandigama Police Station since 9-7-1979.
On 23–2–83 he came to Machilipatnam on Casual leave to attend to his personal work. On the same night at about 9 p.m., he purchased a ticket to go to Nandigama by the Machilipatnam–Hyderabad Super Deluxe bus which was to leave Machilipatnam by 9–30 p.m. He requested for conductor’s seat in the cabin as there was no conductor in that bus and at about 9 p.m. he sat in that seat. He was then in mufty. Soon afterwards, Sri A. Ramamurthy (younger brother of Dr. A. Aswani Kumar, Civil Assistant Surgeon, Government Hospital, Machilipatnam), Mrs. A. N. Rao (mother of Ramamurthy) and his nephew also came to this bus to travel to Hyderabad. Sri A. N. Rao, father of Ramamurthy along with one of his grand–sons came to see them off. Sri A. Ramamurthy and his nephew started loading their luggage inside the bus and were keeping it in front of Sub–Inspector’s seat in the cabin causing inconvenience to the Sub–Inspector. A bundle of broom sticks which was a part of the luggage of Sri A. Ramamurthy when kept behind Sub–Inspector’s seat touched the Sub–Inspector on his head. The Sub–Inspector received an abrasion on his right foot because of a trunk kept by the side of his seat. The Sub–Inspector asked them to keep the luggage on top of the bus, but they insisted that the space at the cabin was meant for keeping the luggage and heated arguments ensued between them. When Ramamurthy and his party kept on dumping luggage in front of the Sub-Inspector, the Sub–Inspector pushed their luggage back which Ramamurthy was trying to keep. Ramamurthy lost his balance and fell on Sri A. N. Rao, his father. Seeing all this, Mrs. A. N. Rao, started weeping.

Meanwhile on receipt of information, Dr. Aswani Kumar rushed to the R. T. C. bus stand from his house situated nearby. He asked the driver and Traffic Inspector not to start the bus and rang up to Police control room and the Additional Superintendent of Police, Krishna seeking their help. The Additional Superintendent of Police rushed to the spot immediately. He found that many people had gathered at the bus stand and they complained to him against Sub-Inspector stating that the Sub-Inspector was fully drunk and that he scolded Ramamurthy and removed their luggage etc. The Additional Superintendent of Police found the Sub-Inspector under the influence of alcohol. The Additional Superintendent of Police asked the Sub-Inspector to get out of the bus and follow him in his jeep, and advised Sri Ramamurthy to prefer a complaint. The Sub-Insppector, Pratap Rao, got down from the bus and went away. The Sub-Inspector, Chilakalapudi who is the Station House Officer of the area enquired and recorded the statement of witnesses under the instructions of the Additional Superintendent of Police, Krishna. As the report of Ramamurthy and statement of witness did not disclose any cognizable offence, no case was registered. On a report about the
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re: Misbehaviour of S. I. of Police, (Nandigam), at Machilipatnam Bus Stand against Dr. A.N. Rao and others.

Incident by the Additional Superintendent of Police, a preliminary enquiry was ordered. The Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Bandar conducted a preliminary enquiry and submitted his report which disclosed the above facts. It is further disclosed that the Sub-Inspector, Pratap Rao did not assault Sri A.N. Rao, Mrs. A.N. Rao or Dr. Aswan Kumar.

A report for taking disciplinary action for a major penalty by conducting an oral enquiry has been sent to the Deputy Inspector-General of Police, Eluru Range, who is competent to order oral enquiry.

Papers Laid on the Table.

Sri A. Vengal Reddy:-Sir, I beg to lay on the Table the Annual Accounts of the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation for the year 1977-78, as certified by the Accountant-General, Andhra Pradesh, together with the Audit Report thereon, along with the Annual Administration Report for the year 1977-78, as required under sub-section 4) of Section 33 of the Road Transport Corporation Act, 1950.

Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the notification issued under G.O.Ms. No. 663, Transport, Roads and Buildings (Transport-VII) Department, dated 14-11-1979 containing an amendment to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Rules, 1964 as required under sub-section (3) of Section 133 of Motor Vehicles Act, 1939.

Mr. Speaker:—Papers laid.

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT (BUDGET) FOR 1980-81

Demands: Minor Port Development, Public Works, Road Development, Transport Department.

Sri N. Bhaskar Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,59,37,000 under Demand No. XLVII Minor Port Development.”

Sri K. Roshiaiah:—Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 12,96,36,000 under Demand No. XVI Public Works.”

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 65,19,00,000 under Demand No. XLVIII Road Development.”

Sri A. Vengal Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 10,47,49,000 under Demand No. XI Transport Department.”

Mr. Speaker:—Demands moved:

Now the Members may move their cut motions.

Sri Ch. Rajeshwar Rao :-Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1980-81:
Voting of Demands for Grants.

Sri M. Omkar: —Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100.

Sri Pcola Subbaiah: —Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100.
Voting of Demands for Grants.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100

For failure to extend the city bus route from Thallapalem up to Atcherla village in Anakapalli Taluk, Visakhapatnam District.

Sri V. Sobhana Ireeswara Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100

For the failure of R.T.C. to operate R.T.C. bus to Aginaparru and Mamillapalli villages in Vuyyuru Constituency though a representation was made by Local Legislator long back.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100

For the failure of R.T.C. to run buses to Hanmanthapuram village in Vuyyuru constituency though representation was made by concerned legislator long back.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100

Disapproving the failure of the Government in stopping the running of private buses (Tourist buses doing regular service) on Vijaywada-Hyderabad, Amalapuram-Hyderabad routes because of which R.T.C. is losing thousands of rupees income every day.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100

For the failure of the R.T.C. to construct bus stands at Vuyyuru, Pamarru, Kuchipudi very important centres in Krishna District even after 2 decades of nationalization.


Sri B. Machander Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100
For not providing the proper Bus-station (shelter) at Secunderabad Railway Station.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100
For not providing Bus Shelter on the Main Road Secunderabad to Bolaram Route.

Sri B. Niranjan Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100
For not providing a machanised transport to Lakshimipuram in Bander Taluk, Krishna District.

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public works by Rs. 100

Sri Poola Subbaiah:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public works by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100
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For failure to take up construction of a bridge on the Rollagedda at Ganaparthi, Anakapalli Taluk, Visakhapatnam District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100

For failure to improve the worst condition of Mantada-Lankapalli Road in Krishna District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100

For failure of Government to construct a new bridge on Bandar canal at Pamarru as the old bridge may collapse any time. The bridge is very important link with Divi Taluk.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100

For failure of the Government to construct a bridge on Pillikodu near Ponukumadu village in Krishna District because of which R.T.C. bus service is to be discontinued from June to January every year between Vuyyuru and Telaprolu.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government to construct a bridge on Ryvus canal at Kadavakollu before the very old bridge collapsed, consequently complete obstacle to transport of sugar cane, paddy etc., and public transport as well.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government to widen and strengthen the Vuyyuru-Huru via Penamakuru Road, Krishna District which is very important for transport of sugarcane to the factory.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in taking appropriate action on the contractor who has taken up Vuyyur-Thotlavaluru Cement road few years back. Thousands of bags of cement intended for use in the said Road were sold by the Contractor in the Black-market and made money quality of work very poor.

Sri B. Machander Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100

For not taking the repairs to the Government School-buildings of Bolaram, Govt. Junior College (PWD).

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100

For not extending B.T. Road construction from Malakajgiri Police Station to Indo-Nippon Factory (Moulali Road)

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100

For not properly maintaining the State High Road from Paradise Road to Bowinpally, Secunderabad.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100

Delay in construction of Road from Railway Quarters, Secunderabad to Safilguda Railway Station

Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao:—Sir, I beg to move;

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,19,00,000 for Road Development by Rs. 100

For failure to sanction construction of a submersible bridge across Sarada River, Visakhapatnam district at Vankupalem, Anakapalli Taluk, despite the absolute necessity for the same.

Sri Poola Subbaiah:—Sir, I beg to move;

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,19,00,000 for Road Development by Rs. 100
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,19,00,000 for Road Development by Rs. 100

For failure the Government in fixing the alignment for years on end for the by-pass road at Anakapalli, Visakhapatnam District.

Sri K. Govinda Rao:—Sri, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,19,00,000 for Road Development by Rs. 100

For failure to sanction the construction of a bridge across Sarada River at Kasimkota, Anakapalli Taluk, Visakhapatnam Districts.

Sri Poola Subbaiah:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,19,00,000 for Road Development by Rs. 100

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1980-81:
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Sri B. Niranjan Rao:—Sri, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,19,00,000 for Road Development by Rs. 100
For not providing adequate amount to Bantu Milli pallipalem Road in Krishna District.

Sri B. Mahender Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,19,00,000 for Road Development by Rs. 100
For not taking over the Road construction Development from Railway Quarters, Lalaguda to Saffiguda (Secunderabad).

Mr. Speaker:—Cut motion moved:

11-10 am.

Mr. Deputy Speaker (in the Chair):—We have conquered distance and time by fast moving air buses and super-fast trains. We are in the cow dung age.

(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)
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It is not enough that we are improving our buses. It is necessary that we secure adequate funds. Bullock-cart is the backbone of Andhra Pradesh.
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11:40 a.m.
Voting of Demands for Grants.

Road transportation of goods has been increased by 33%. Passenger traffic has been raised from 42% to 61%. The Railways has gone down. There is a decline in traffic from 72% to 66% to 67%. Sri H. Satyanarayana (Adoni):—While I join in welcoming the Budget proposals, I have to pin-point certain things for the benefit of the department to set them right. Of course the Transport Minister has made a very brave effort to get this budget.

I do pay some compliments to Hon’ble Minister for the welfare activities shown in this note about bonus affair, housing schemes and giving of employment to the dependents of the persons who died in employment, etc. But unfortunately, my only worry is in respect of other point. That is, inspite of increase in traffic and inspite of increase in the collection of amounts from the passengers by way of fares, etc., the R.T.C. has shown a deficit of Rs. 6 crores. Normally, if the turn over is more there should be greater benefit. Here, it is reverse. More turn over and lesser profits. Leave the lesser profits, there is positive deficit of Rs. 6 crores. I wish my Hon’ble friend would reduce this deficit of Rs. 6 crores. Private operators employ 3 people for a bus whereas RTC employes 8 people for a bus. I would urge that he should find out once again as to how he can reduce these losses.

Another point I would like to say is about the timings. The biggest joke was, when I was trying to purchase a Time Table of the Buses in a bus stand, one man standing nearby said: “Why do you want to take that Time Table, when the buses do not adhere to the timings? Why do you waste your eight annas?” That is the biggest joke. I would request my Hon’ble friend to look into this matter. The buses start at the correct timing in the beginning. But unfortunately, they reach very lately to the destinations. At times, three
buses will come together. These are the difficulties. I am putting forth before the Hon'ble Minister for his consideration.

Coming to transport Department, I would like to urge to look into another point of old vehicles. It is nice to see the old vehicles on the roads. They can be run as Vintage cars or vans. When I noticed certain vehicles they are more than 10 years old but they are still put on use. There, not only, one part will make the sound of horn, but all the other parts of the vehicle make the sound of horn. Incidentally, when my self and the former Minister Mr. Anjaiah, wanted to go on a vehicle and enquired a standing vehicle, the driver told us that there are no brakes for the vehicle. If the vehicles are being run without brakes, in full capacity, what about the fate of the passengers. The office s say—‘we do not have mobility.’ If they have no mobility, if they are not having vehicles to such and curb such things, what will happen? This has to be looked into by my Hon’ble friend. So far as the age of the vehicle is concerned, they should restrict If the age of the vehicle is 7 years as the limit, I will go still further. Let them make it 10 years and then let them apply guillotine.

I think roads are the biggest enemies of the buses. When we ask the bus people about the damages, they say that the roads are in a very bad condition and hence there are damages even to the new vehicles within 4 to 5 months. So, I hope this matter will be taken up by the R.T.C with Mr. Rosaiah for improvement of the roads. When we ask for improvement of village roads, the quick answer is—‘No funds.” This is also particular in case of ayacut roads. When the ayacut roads are taken over by R & B. Department, they should see that they are improved. The Transport Department say that they cannot run the buses in these roads I do not know how to deal with vicious circle. I hope that Mr. Rosaiah, the Hon’ble R&B Minister has to pay more attention to the rural roads. We are talking lot about rural roads but doing nothing. Further more, I would only pin-point about an ayacut road in may constituency, from Halvi to Kouthalam. Chief Engineer has visited that place. There is a big vagu and there fore a cause way is essential there. Without that, the entire road of 10 miles would be absolutely useless. They cannot go to Kouthalam village without a cause-way. So, I urge to immediately take up the work of construction of cause-way for the benefit of the people there, on the big vagu in between the places of Halvi and Kouthalam.

Thank you, Sir.
10-50 p.m.
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The Twin objects of providing efficient, adequate economical and properly co-ordinated Transport services and to run on business lines"...already achieved a fine margin during 1979. And these objectives are 100. As a result....."This public sector undertaking now stands the second biggest of its kind in the country".

This twin object to provide efficient, adequate and properly co-ordinated Transport services and to run on business lines has already achieved a fine margin during 1979. And these objectives are 100. As a result, this public sector undertaking now stands the second biggest of its kind in the country."
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Illegal transportation on our nationalised routes. This is the main reason and the Government should be aware of what is going on.
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(Extracts from the Annual Financial Statement for 1980-81:
Voting of Demands for Grants)

The Hon. Member for (constituency) has moved the following demands for grants:

1. For the construction of a new school building.
2. For the purchase of educational equipment.
3. For the improvement of water supply facilities.

The Minister for (department) has stated that the government has approved the demands as follows:

1. The amount for the construction of a new school building has been increased by 10%.
2. The amount for the purchase of educational equipment has been reduced by 5%.
3. The amount for the improvement of water supply facilities has been maintained.

The Hon. Member for (constituency) has moved an amendment to increase the amount for the construction of a new school building by an additional 5%.

The Minister for (department) has stated that the government has approved the amendment.

The Hon. Member for (constituency) has moved another amendment to reduce the amount for the purchase of educational equipment by an additional 2%.

The Minister for (department) has stated that the government has approved the amendment.

The Hon. Member for (constituency) has moved yet another amendment to increase the amount for the improvement of water supply facilities by 2%.

The Minister for (department) has stated that the government has approved the amendment.

The Hon. Member for (constituency) has moved a further amendment to increase the amount for the construction of a new school building by an additional 10%.

The Minister for (department) has stated that the government has approved the amendment.

The Hon. Member for (constituency) has moved a final amendment to reduce the amount for the purchase of educational equipment by an additional 3%.

The Minister for (department) has stated that the government has approved the amendment.

The Hon. Member for (constituency) has moved a motion to accept the final amendments as approved by the government.

The Speaker has declared the motion accepted.

The Hon. Member for (constituency) has thanked the government for its approval of the amendments.

The Minister for (department) has acknowledged the appreciation of the Hon. Member for (constituency).

The motion to accept the final amendments has been carried by a majority vote of 30 to 20.
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Assets Register indicating the value of each asset and the depreciation provided thereon has however not been maintained by the Corporation.

Mr. Deputy Speaker ;— The accounts relate to 1977-78 and not 1978–79.
An annual financial statement (Budget) for 1980-81.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

12-50 p.m.
میں سیدہ میتیکر (نارائن کہلر) کے جناب اسکر صاحب - ٹرانسپورٹ

اس بہاؤ کو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

جسے میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

اس بہاؤ کو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں
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کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں
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کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زндگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں

کے حسابات میں جو میں سنگر حیاتی زندگی کے لئے مکمل تأثیر دیتا ہوں
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1980-81: 
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(1) We have prepared this annual financial statement for the year 1980-81. The following are the details:

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Placing Principles:

In this chapter, we discuss the principles related to the annual financial statement. We have prepared a detailed report on the principles that we have followed in preparing this statement. The principles include:

1. The principles of accrual accounting.
2. The principles of prudence.
3. The principles of consistency.
4. The principles of comparability.

These principles are essential for preparing a reliable and useful financial statement. We have adhered to these principles throughout the preparation of this statement.

The following are the key principles:

1. The principle of accrual accounting: This principle requires that revenue and expenses be recognized in the period in which they are earned and incurred, regardless of when cash is received or paid. This principle is essential for preparing a true and fair view of the financial position of the organization.
2. The principle of prudence: This principle requires that all knowledgeable and probable sources of income and all likely sources of expenditure should be shown, and all items which are likely to constitute an expense should be charged in the period in which they arise. This principle is essential for preparing a statement that is free from any bias towards profitability.
3. The principle of consistency: This principle requires that the same methods of accounting should be consistently followed from period to period. This principle is essential for preparing a statement that is comparable with those of previous or future periods.
4. The principle of comparability: This principle requires that the same disclosure and presentation should be made in all periods. This principle is essential for preparing a statement that is comparable with those of other organizations.

These principles are essential for preparing a reliable and useful financial statement. We have adhered to these principles throughout the preparation of this statement.

The key principles are:

1. The principle of accrual accounting: This principle requires that revenue and expenses be recognized in the period in which they are earned and incurred, regardless of when cash is received or paid. This principle is essential for preparing a true and fair view of the financial position of the organization.
2. The principle of prudence: This principle requires that all knowledgeable and probable sources of income and all likely sources of expenditure should be shown, and all items which are likely to constitute an expense should be charged in the period in which they arise. This principle is essential for preparing a statement that is free from any bias towards profitability.
3. The principle of consistency: This principle requires that the same methods of accounting should be consistently followed from period to period. This principle is essential for preparing a statement that is comparable with those of previous or future periods.
4. The principle of comparability: This principle requires that the same disclosure and presentation should be made in all periods. This principle is essential for preparing a statement that is comparable with those of other organizations.

These principles are essential for preparing a reliable and useful financial statement. We have adhered to these principles throughout the preparation of this statement.
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Voicing of Demands for Grants.

We can understand and we can pass your demand without any dissent. We can understand and we can pass your demand without any dissent.

1.10 p.m.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 4th March, 1980

Voting of Demands for Grants.
266 4th March, 1980.

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1980-81.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

1972 యొక్క సమయంలో వైషాణ ప్రాథమిక పాఠశాలలు ప్రతి‌త్వంలో 180 ఎక్కడ సంస్థలలో ఆధ్యాత్మిక ప్రతిపాద కారిపాత్రం ధరించారు. ప్రతి సమయంలో కారిపాత్రం ధరించారు. మరువైపు పాఠశాలలుకు వాస్తవాదిత్వం లేకుండా అంగీకరించారు. అంగీకరించారు మరో మారుతుంది. తరువాత సంస్థలు వైషాణ ప్రతిపాద లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. సాధారణంగా ప్రతిమార్గం ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. 18 ఎక్కడ ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. 20 ఎక్కడ ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. 1977 యొక్క 1-20 ప్యాడిస్మ్యంలో తక్కువ ప్రతిమార్గంలో ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. 20 ఎక్కడ ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. 20 ఎక్కడ ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. 20 ఎక్కడ ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. 20 ఎక్కడ ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. 20 ఎక్కడ ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. 20 ఎక్కడ ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. 20 ఎక్కడ ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. 20 ఎక్కడ ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. 20 ఎక్కడ ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. 20 ఎక్కడ ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. 20 ఎక్కడ ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి. 20 ఎక్కడ ప్రతిమార్గం లోపులు కారిపాత్రం ధరించాయి.
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Voting of Demands for Grants.
మొదలు మరియు వేదికలు ఉన్నాయి, పరికరాత్మక సమూహాలు ఉన్నాయి, మరు మొదలు మరియు వేదికలు ఉన్నాయి. విద్యార్థులకు సాధారణంగా ఉపయోగపడే ప్రత్యేక ప్రాతిపదికాలు ఉన్నాయి. ప్రత్యేకంగా ఉపయోగించే ప్రత్యేక ప్రత్యేక ప్రత్యేక ప్రాతిపదికాలు ఉన్నాయి. వీటిలో ఉన్నాయి. మరొక ప్రత్యేక ప్రత్యేక ప్రత్యేక ప్రత్యేక ప్రాతిపదికాలు ఉన్నాయి.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Atleast from tomorrow onwards, if you do not prolong the Question Hour and other matters till 11-00 to 11-30, we will be in the better position to compleate the business in time.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Let there be brief speeches from the Members’ side and the Ministers’ side.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 4th March, 1980

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Annual Demand (Budget):

1. Education:
   - Direct grants
   - Indirect grants
2. Health:
   - Medical services
   - Research and development
3. Welfare:
   - Social security
   - Public assistance

The total demand for 1980-81 is estimated to be 10 lakhs.

1979-80: 1,089 lakhs

1980-81: 1,100 lakhs

Net increase: 11 lakhs

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1980 - 81:
Voting of Demands for Grants.
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[Text in Telugu]

[Translation:]

Voting of Demands for Grants.

[Further Text in Telugu]
174 4th March, 1980. Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1980-81:
Voting of Demands for Grants.

An annual financial statement for the year 1980-81 is presented. The statement includes details of various demands and grants to be voted upon. The budget for the year is structured to cover operational expenses, capital expenditures, and other financial aspects. The statement aims to provide a clear overview of the financial health and future plans of the organization. It includes sections on revenue, expenditure, and a detailed breakdown of various departments and their respective budgets.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 4th March, 1980.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Demanding Rs. 5,000,000/- in 1980-81:

Demand of Grants.

Yearly Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Revised Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Addition of Rs. 496,000/- in 1980-81.

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Revised Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Revised Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Revised Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Revised Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Revised Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Revised Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Revised Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Revised Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Revised Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Revised Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Additional Demand 1979-80 Rs. 3,000,000/- in 1980-81 Rs. 3,496,000/-

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.

Dr. & Rs. 3,496,000/- in 1980-81.
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Voting of Demands for Grants.

1. முதல் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 முக்கியமானதான், 100 (முதல்கமையானதான்) இருந்த முதல்கமையானதான். இரண்டாம் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 விசையானது, 100 (முதல்கமையானது) இருந்த முதல்கமையானது.

2. முதல் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 முக்கியமானதான், 100 (முதல்கமையானதான்) இருந்த முதல்கமையானதான். இரண்டாம் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 விசையானது, 100 (முதல்கமையானது) இருந்த முதல்கமையானது.

3. முதல் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 முக்கியமானதான், 100 (முதல்கமையானதான்) இருந்த முதல்கமையானதான். இரண்டாம் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 விசையானது, 100 (முதல்கமையானது) இருந்த முதல்கமையானது.

4. முதல் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 முக்கியமானதான், 100 (முதல்கமையானதான்) இருந்த முதல்கமையானதான். இரண்டாம் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 விசையானது, 100 (முதல்கமையானது) இருந்த முதல்கமையானது.

5. முதல் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 முக்கியமானதான், 100 (முதல்கமையானதான்) இருந்த முதல்கமையானதான். இரண்டாம் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 விசையானது, 100 (முதல்கமையானது) இருந்த முதல்கமையானது.

6. முதல் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 முக்கியமானதான், 100 (முதல்கமையானதான்) இருந்த முதல்கமையானதான். இரண்டாம் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 விசையானது, 100 (முதல்கமையானது) இருந்த முதல்கமையானது.

7. முதல் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 முக்கியமானதான், 100 (முதல்கமையானதான்) இருந்த முதல்கமையானதான். இரண்டாம் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 விசையானது, 100 (முதல்கமையானது) இருந்த முதல்கமையானது.

8. முதல் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 முக்கியமானதான், 100 (முதல்கமையானதான்) இருந்த முதல்கமையானதான். இரண்டாம் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 விசையானது, 100 (முதல்கமையானது) இருந்த முதல்கமையானது.

9. முதல் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 முக்கியமானதான், 100 (முதல்கமையானதான்) இருந்த முதல்கமையானதான். இரண்டாம் விசை: தொண்டானவர் 100 விசையானது, 100 (முதல்கமையானது) இருந்த முதல்கமையானது.
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For 1980-81:

1. Capital Grants

2. Revenue Grants

3. Debt Service Funds

4. Development Grants

5. Miscellaneous Grants

For the year 1980-81, a detailed breakdown of various categories of grants is presented as follows:

1. Capital Grants
   - Educational Institutions
   - Public Works
   - Health Services

2. Revenue Grants
   - Welfare Schemes
   - Rural Development
   - Trade and Industry

3. Debt Service Funds
   - Interest Payments
   - Principal Repayment

4. Development Grants
   - New Projects
   - Expansion
   - Maintenance

5. Miscellaneous Grants
   - Research
   - Grants to Organizations
   - Special Projects

The exact figures are given in the financial statement document for the detailed analysis of the grants for the year 1980-81.
I take it as a feather in my cap. Actually we have increased the efficiency of the RTC.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy—Sir, it is totally unwarranted assumption.

Sri A. Vengal Reddy: — Sir they were not fair enough to give me that credit and so I am taking.

(laughter)

Main stress is laid on the shortage of vehicles, losses, setting services and parallel running of transport by private operators. The Central Government have taken into consideration the development of tourism and travel and 50 permits were awarded to Andhra Pradesh. In three cases we have seized the buses also. But, we think that there must be vigilance also.
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2. Vehicles. It is estimated that 1,350 vehicles will become old and therefore have to be replaced immediately. 1,800 buses, 800 lorries, and 200 tempo-tender vehicles have to be replaced immediately. 110 vehicles have to be replaced immediately.

About the schemes published and not considered by the Government at this time, we are not in a position to benefit to the satisfaction of the travelling public. So keeping that thing in view I am thinking that our services are to be stabilised and consolidated. I do not like to go any further. The existing nationalised areas are to be taken up. That does not mean we are for denationalisation or against the nationalisation. We are committed for nationalisation. Definitively every passenger service in every district will be taken over as and when our position is allowing us. Now as it is 85% area is rationalised and only 15% is left. If the members say, "All right, you go ahead, leaving the area already nationalised," I do not know. It is very difficult. About the schemes published and not considered by the Government at this time, we are not able to go beyond 1,500 buses because there are so many restrictions. Therefore, the five vehicles area by area they went on jumping from area to area by taking over all the buses. In that course, actually the existing system has suffered. We are not in a position to benefit to the satisfaction of the travelling public. So keeping that thing in view I am thinking that our services are to be stabilised and consolidated. I do not like to go any further. The existing nationalised areas are to be taken up. That does not mean we are for denationalisation or against the nationalisation. We are committed for nationalisation. Definitely every passenger service in every district will be taken over as and when our position is allowing us. Now as it is 85% area is rationalised and only 15% is left. If the members say, "All right, you go ahead, leaving the area already nationalised," I do not know. It is very difficult. About the schemes published and not considered by the Government at this time, we are not able to go beyond 1,500 buses because there are so many restrictions. Therefore, the five vehicles area by area they went on jumping from area to area by taking over all the buses. In that course, actually the existing system has suffered. We are not in a position to benefit to the satisfaction of the travelling public. So keeping that thing in view I am thinking that our services are to be stabilised and consolidated. I do not like to go any further. The existing nationalised areas are to be taken up. That does not mean we are for denationalisation or against the nationalisation. We are committed for nationalisation. Definitely every passenger service in every district will be taken over as and when our position is allowing us. Now as it is 85% area is rationalised and only 15% is left. If the members say, "All right, you go ahead, leaving the area already nationalised," I do not know. It is very difficult. About the schemes published and not considered by the Government at this time, we are not able to go beyond 1,500 buses because there are so many restrictions. Therefore, the five vehicles area by area they went on jumping from area to area by taking over all the buses. In that course, actually the existing system has suffered. We are not in a position to benefit to the satisfaction of the travelling public. So keeping that thing in view I am thinking that our services are to be stabilised and consolidated. I do not like to go any further. The existing nationalised areas are to be taken up. That does not mean we are for denationalisation or against the nationalisation. We are committed for nationalisation. Definitely every passenger service in every district will be taken over as and when our position is allowing us. Now as it is 85% area is rationalised and only 15% is left. If the members say, "All right, you go ahead, leaving the area already nationalised," I do not know. It is very difficult. About the schemes published and not considered by the Government at this time, we are not able to go beyond 1,500 buses because there are so many restrictions. Therefore, the five vehicles area by area they went on jumping from area to area by taking over all the buses. In that course, actually the existing system has suffered. We are not in a position to benefit to the satisfaction of the travelling public. So keeping that thing in view I am thinking that our services are to be stabilised and consolidated. I do not like to go any further. The existing nationalised areas are to be taken up. That does not mean we are for denationalisation or against the nationalisation. We are committed for nationalisation. Definitely every passenger service in every district will be taken over as and when our position is allowing us. Now as it is 85% area is rationalised and only 15% is left. If the members say, "All right, you go ahead, leaving the area already nationalised," I do not know. It is very difficult. About the schemes published and not considered by the Government at this time, we are not able to go beyond 1,500 buses because there are so many restrictions. Therefore, the five vehicles area by area they went on jumping from area to area by taking over all the buses. In that course, actually the existing system has suffered. We are not in a position to benefit to the satisfaction of the travelling public. So keeping that thing in view I am thinking that our services are to be stabilised and consolidated. I do not like to go any further. The existing nationalised areas are to be taken up. That does not mean we are for denationalisation or against the nationalisation. We are committed for nationalisation. Definitely every passenger service in every district will be taken over as and when our position is allowing us. Now as it is 85% area is rationalised and only 15% is left. If the members say, "All right, you go ahead, leaving the area already nationalised," I do not know. It is very difficult.
the Services were not belonging to them and that the income also was not concerned to them. Because we are not able to mobilise the funds for this. That is the sort of way to augment the fleet here and to show employment opportunities for the people here, who are well qualified and trained in that field.

I think, with these, our friends will agree with me and withdraw all the cut motions and vote unanimously for my demand.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now Sri N. Bhaskar Rao, Minister for Ports will reply...

2.30 a.m. 36. Mr. B. Ramchandra Reddy:—

[Further proceedings may follow]
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— He has already clarified the 1st point.

Sri A. Vengal Reddy:— Sir, buses were seized and they were released on the High Court Orders and still we are tightening the administration to check up these things.

Sri P. Subbaiah:— Sir, he is going to still tighten up the administration. That is not my point. I do not know what basis he has got.

Sri A. Vengal Reddy:— Sir, I do not know what basis he has got. What is the necessity to have the Policy control here? what is the necessity for the sufficient running of the Operations at the regional level - what are the powers to be delegated to them etc., all these points we are examining and I think after this April we have to do that.

Sri A. Vengal Reddy:— Sir, Mr. M. Omkar has also raised that issue. There is an Association at the All India level for all the public under takings and Transport. They contact all the manufactures for every item of the spare parts at the national level. They enter into rate contract
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now I put the cut motions to vote the question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

For failure to extend the city bus route from Thalapalem up to Atcharla village in Ankapalli Taluk, Visakhapatnam District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

For the failure of R.T.C. to operate R.T.C. bus to Aginaparru and Mamillapalli villages in Vuyyuru Constituency though a representation was made by Local Legislators long back.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

For the failure of R.T.C. to run buses to Hanumapatapuram village in Vuyyuru constituency though representation was made by concerned legislators long back.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

Disapproving the failure of the Government in stopping the running of private buses (Tourist buses doing regular service) on Vijayawada-Hyderabad, Ambalapura-Hyderabad routes because of which R.T.C. is losing thousands of rupees income every day.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

For the failure of the R.T.C. to construct bus stands at Vuyyuru, Pamarru, Kuchipudi very important centers in Krishna District even after 2 decades of nationalization.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000 for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

For not providing the proper Bus-station (shelter) at Secunderabad Railway Station.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000/- for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

For not providing Bus Shelter on the Main Road Secunderabad to Bolaram Route.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000/- for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

For not providing Bus-stand (station) at Bolaram.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 10,47,49,000/- for Transport Department by Rs. 100/-

For not providing a machanised transport to Lakshimpuram in Bander Taluk, Krishna District.

The cut motions were lost:

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The Question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,35,000/- for Public Works by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 17,96,36,000/- for Public Works by Rs. 100/-

For the failure to improve the worst condition of Mantada-Lankapalli Road in Krishna District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000/- for Public Works by Rs. 100/-

For the failure of the Government to construct a new bridge on Bandar canal at Pamarru as the old bridge may collapse any time. The bridge is very important link with Divi Taluk.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000/- for Public Works by Rs. 100/-

For the failure of the Government to construct bridge on Pillikodu near Pomakumadu Village in Krishna District because of Phich R.T.C. Bus service is to be discontinued from June to January every year between Vuyyuru and Telaprolu.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000/- for Public Works by Rs. 100/-

For the failure of the Government to construct a bridge on Ryvas canal at Kadavakollu before the very old bridge collapsed, consequently complete obstacle to transport of sugar cane, paddy etc., and public transport as well.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100/-

For the failure of the Government to widen and strengthen the Vuyyuru-Thotlavaluru Via Penamakuru Road, Krishna District which is very important for transport of sugar cane to the factory.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100/-

For the failure of the Government in taking appropriate action on the contractor who has taken up Vuyyuru-Thotlavaluru Cement road few years back. Thousands of bags of cement intended for use in the said Road were sold by the Contractor in the Black-market and made money quality of work very poor.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100/-

For not taking the repairs to the Government School-buildings of Bolaram, Government Junior College (P.W.D)

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100/-

For not extending B. T. Road construction from Malkajgiri Police Station to Indo-Nippon Factory (Moulali Road).

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100/-

For not properly maintaining the State High Road from Paradise Road to Bowinpally, Secunderabad.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,96,36,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100/-

Delay in construction of Road from Railway Quarters, Secunderabad to Safilguda Railway Station.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The Cut Motions were lost.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The Question is, that

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,19,00,000 for Road Development by Rs. 100/-

For failure to sanction construction of a submersible bridge across Sarada River, Visakhapatnam district at Venkupalem, Anakapalli Taluk, despite the absolute necessity for the same.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 4th March, 1980.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,19,00,000 for Road Development by Rs. 100/-

For failure of the Government in fixing the alignment for years on end for the bye-pass road at Anakapalli, Visakhapatnam District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,19,00,000 for Road Development by Rs. 100/-

For failure to sanction the construction of a bridge across Sarada River at Kasimkota, Anakapalli Taluk, Visakhapatnam District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,19,00,000 for Road Development by Rs. 100/-

For not providing adequate amount to Bantu millipallipalem Road in Krishan District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,19,00,000 for Road Development by Rs. 100/-

For not taking over the Road construction and Development from Railway Quarters, Lalaguda to Safilguda, (Secunderabad).

The Cut Motions were lost.

Announcement:

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now I put the Demands to vote.

The question is:

That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,59,37,000 under Demand No: XLVII “Minor Port Development”.

That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 12,96,36,000 under Demand No. XVI—Public Works.

That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 65,19,80,000 under Demand No. XLVIII—Road Development.

That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 10,47,49,000 under Demand No: XI—Transport Department.

The Motion was adopted and the demands granted.

ANNOUNCEMENT

re: Joining of Sri Chunchu Lakshmayya, MLA., into a Congress—I from Janata.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am to announce to the House that I have received a letter from Sri Chunchu Lakshmayaa, M.L.A., informing that he has joined the Congress—I Legislature Party and I have also received a letter from leader, Congress—I, Legislature Party, informing that Sri Chunchu Lakshmayya has been admitted into Congress—I Legislature Party.

(“Hear-Hear” from the Opposition Benches)

(Bell)

2-30 p.m.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now the House stands adjourned till 8-30 a.m., on 5th March, 1980.

(The House then adjourned to meet again at 8-30 a.m., on Wednesday the 5th March 1980.)