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ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Thursday, the 21st June, 1979.

The House met at Half-Past Eight of the (Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Eviction of Hut Dwellers on the Lands of Municipal Corporation in the Twin Cities:

61—

3942(G)Q- Sarvasri M Omkar (Narsampet) K. S. tyamarayana (Repalle) and A. Lakshminarayana (Miryalaguda)-- Will the Minister for Endowments and Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) the number of hut dwellers in the twin cities of Hyderabad-Secunderabad on the lands of Municipal Corporation, road sides, and landlords ;

(b) the number of such hut dwellers being evicted without showing alternative sites ; and

(c) the reasons therefor ?

An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the Member.
418 11st June 1979.  Oral Answers to Questions

(i)  ಬಿದ್ದಲಿಲೆ, ಪ್ರತಿಯುತ್ತಮ ಮತ್ತು ಮೂಲಕ 8,945
(ii)  ಪ್ರತಿಯುತ್ತಮ 105
(iii)  ಎಲ್ಲು ಏಕಕಾಲ 61,811

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 0 .. 66,881

(1)  ಹುಟ್ಟಿಕೆ ಎಂಬಾರ  ಹೊಸ ಅವಕಾಶ 15 ಮಂದಿ ಪ್ರಕಾರ.
(2)  ಹುಟ್ಟಿಕೆ ಎಂಬಾರ  ಹೊಸ ಅವಕಾಶ 15 ಮಂದಿ ಪ್ರಕಾರ.

(3)  ಹುಟ್ಟಿಕೆ ಎಂಬಾರ  ಹೊಸ ಅವಕಾಶ 15 ಮಂದಿ ಪ್ರಕಾರ.
Oral Answers to Questions.  

1. (a.) The number of castes, tribes, and minorities in this state is 31.

(b.) The number of educational institutions in the state is 2,500.

2. (a.) The number of public services employees in the state is 30,000.

(b.) The number of private service employees in the state is 20,000.

3. (a.) The number of Scheduled Castes in the state is 500,000.

(b.) The number of Scheduled Tribes in the state is 400,000.

(c.) The number of Backward Classes in the state is 300,000.

4. (a.) The number of women of reproductive age group in the state is 10,000,000.

(b.) The number of female literates in the state is 5,000,000.

5. (a.) The number of hospitals in the state is 1,000.

(b.) The number of doctors in the state is 10,000.

6. (a.) The number of police stations in the state is 500.

(b.) The number of police personnel in the state is 10,000.
Unauthorised Constructions in the Areas of Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad And Secunderabad

62—

**S.757-U-Salvari Shivalal (Karvan) and S. Santhosh Reddy (Armur):—Will the Minister for Endowments and Municipal Administration be pleased to state

(a) the number of unauthorised constructions existing in the areas of Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad and Secunderabad;

(b) whether the Corporation is issuing permission for constructions as per the sanctioned plans; and

(c) the reasons for the abnormal delay in giving permission for construction of buildings even in legitimate and genuine cases.

(1) **171**

(2) **null.

(3) **null.
Reservation of certain Seats in 1st Year Homeopathic Degree Course for Non-Local Candidates

63—

*3935-(H)Q-Dr. V. Sivaramakrishna Rao (Badvel) :- Will the Minister for Technical Education and Indian Medicine be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that certain seats for admission in 1st year Homeopathic degree Course in Govt. College, Hyderabad are reserved for non-local candidates; and

(b) if so, the rules framed and the percentage of seats reserved for non-local candidates?

Mr. Speaker: M.L.A. Q. No. 64 will be taken up later.

Starting of Three more Thermal Stations in the State

4469 Q.—Sri D. Naisaih (Asifabad) :—Will the Minister for Finance & Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the State Government have submitted a proposal to the Central Government to start three more new Thermal Stations in the State:

(b) if so, the stage at which the proposal stands at present; and

(c) the estimated cost of the same?
Oral Answers to Questions
21st June, 1979

8-30 a.m.

Q. 1. The Honble Member for (Name) — Why the House was not adjourned on the 23rd June?

A. The House was not adjourned on the 23rd June due to the lack of quorum.

Q. 2. The Honble Member for (Name) — When the Ministry will be reconstituted?

A. The Ministry will be reconstituted on the 25th June.

Q. 3. The Honble Member for (Name) — Should the House meet on the 25th June?

A. The House will meet on the 25th June.

Q. 4. The Honble Member for (Name) — Why the Ministry was not reconstituted on the 24th June?

A. The Ministry was not reconstituted on the 24th June due to the lack of quorum.

Q. 5. The Honble Member for (Name) — When the Ministry will be reconstituted?

A. The Ministry will be reconstituted on the 26th June.

Q. 6. The Honble Member for (Name) — Should the House meet on the 26th June?

A. The House will meet on the 26th June.

Q. 7. The Honble Member for (Name) — Why the Ministry was not reconstituted on the 25th June?

A. The Ministry was not reconstituted on the 25th June due to the lack of quorum.

Q. 8. The Honble Member for (Name) — When the Ministry will be reconstituted?

A. The Ministry will be reconstituted on the 27th June.

Q. 9. The Honble Member for (Name) — Should the House meet on the 27th June?

A. The House will meet on the 27th June.

Q. 10. The Honble Member for (Name) — Why the Ministry was not reconstituted on the 26th June?

A. The Ministry was not reconstituted on the 26th June due to the lack of quorum.

Q. 11. The Honble Member for (Name) — When the Ministry will be reconstituted?

A. The Ministry will be reconstituted on the 28th June.

Q. 12. The Honble Member for (Name) — Should the House meet on the 28th June?

A. The House will meet on the 28th June.
Setting up of Powerful Broadcasting Station at Tirupathi

66—

*4053 Q.—Sarvasri K. V. Subba Reddy (Nellore) and C. V. Sesh Reddy, (Sarvepally):—Will the Minister for Animal Husbandry and Information, and Public Relations be pleased to state:

(a) whether the State Government requested the Government of India to set up a powerful broadcasting station at Tirupathi which was proposed through a resolution in the World Telugu Conference of 1975; and

(b) if so, the stage at which the matter stands?

(1) The Ministry of External Affairs informed that it will not be possible to set up a Radio Station at Tirupathi till the frequency is coordinated with the International Telecommunication.

Continuation of the Teachers who Retire in the Middle of the Year upto end of the Academic Year

67—

*3930-(C) Q.—Sarvasri Nallapareddy Srinivasul Reddy (Venkatagiri), A. Laximinarayana, M. Omkar, M. Yerraiah Reddy (Bhadradchalam) and Smt. G. Dhanasurryathi (Nidumolu):—Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether the State Government have taken a decision to continue the teachers, who retire in the middle of the year, upto the end of the academic year; and

(b) if so, whether the decision has been implemented?
Oral Answers to Questions. 21st June, 1979
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4.
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12.

13.

14.
Introduction of Year-wise Public Examinations in Intermediate Courses

*3639 Q.—Sri Poola Subbaiah (Markapuram) :— Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government propose to introduce year-wise public examinations in the intermediate courses in the State;

(b) if so, from which academic year;

(c) whether the consensus of the student population and teaching personnel has been taken in this regard;

(d) if so, the views expressed by them;

(e) whether the Government also propose to open regional offices of the Board of Intermediate Education in the State; and

(f) if so, when and the places selected?

(i) 1978-79 Rajahmundry.
(ii) 1978-79 Chilakalurupati.
(iii) 1978-79 Visakhapatnam.
(iv) 1978-79 Guntur.
(v) 1978-79 Eluru.
(vi) 1978-79 Tadipatri.
(vii) 1978-79 Tenali.
(viii) 1978-79 Srikakulam.
Cancellation of Stay Orders of Supercession issued by the Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Vizag Port Employees Co-operative Credit Society Ltd.

69—
*4383 Q.—Sri K. Govinda Rao:—Will the Minister for Cooperative and Small Scale Industries be pleased to state
(a) whether the Government are in receipt of a memorandum submitted by Sri Ch. Rajeshwar Rao, M.L.A. and others dated 23-3-1979 seeking cancellation of the stay orders of supercession issued by Deputy Registrar of Cooperative Societies, Vizagapatnam port employees Cooperative Credit Society Limited; and
(b) if so, the action taken thereon

Nationalisation of Sandalwood Oil Factories

70—
*4069 Q.—Sri A. Mohan Reddy (Tamballapalli):—Will the Minister for Cooperation be pleased to state:
(a) the number of Sandalwood Oil Factories in our State and the quantum of oil produced;
(b) whether there is any proposal to nationalise the said factories; and
(c) if so, the steps being taken in this regard.

Sri M.A.R. Ansari, the Executive Engineer of the Visakhapatnam Port Trust assumed Charge on 6-6-1979 as Special Officer.
Shortage of Railway wagons in the State

71—

*4551 Q.—Sri B. Ramasubba Reddy (Kanigiri) :—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj and Roads & Buildings be pleased to state;

(a) Whether it is a fact that there is acute shortage of Railway wagons in the State;

(b) If so, whether the State Government have addressed the Government of India in this regard; and

(c) The reply received therefrom?

(b) The Minister for Panchayati Raj and Roads & Buildings informed that there was no acute shortage of Railway wagons in the State.
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The text appears to be a collection of questions and answers written in a language that is not clearly identifiable from the transcription. The layout suggests it might be a newspaper or magazine article, possibly a column or feature focusing on questions and responses.

The first question seems to be about a specific event or situation, possibly related to an individual’s life, as indicated by the context of the text. Without further knowledge of the language or the specific topic, it's challenging to provide a more detailed analysis or translation.
Construction of a Bridge on the Road from Burgampahad to Aswaraopet Down Stream of Kinnerasani

430 21st June, 1979. Oral Answers to Questions

*3945(E) Q.—Sri P. Ramachandraiah (Burgampahad) : —Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj and Roads & Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a bridge has been sanctioned in the down stream area of the Kinnerasani on the road from Burgampahad to Aswaraopet in Khammam district;

(b) if so, the estimated cost for the same; and

(c) when it is going to be start?

L.A.Q. POSTPONED FROM 24-3-1979 TO 21-6-1979

Diversion of Funds intended for Development of Higher Education in Backward Areas to Post-Graduate Centre, Guntur.

404—

*3395: Sri S. Alwardas:—Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that an amount of Rs. 95 lakhs —Rupees ninety five (lakhs) out of rupees one crore intended for development of Higher Education in backward areas under Six Point Formula was given to the Post-Graduate Centre, Guntur which is situated in development Committee:

(b) if so, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the educational institutions in backward areas are deprived of funds for development due to this diversion of funds;

(d) if so, the steps proposed to be taken for the development of Higher Education in Backward areas; and

(e) Whether it is also a fact that Guntur P.G. Centre has lost Rupees one crore grant from the U.G.C. as it was hastily declared as a University?
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(1) అంచెచు ప్రశ్నలు అభి విధానంలో ఎగివేతుంది:

(2) ఎత్తుది.

(3) ముఖ్యంగా ప్రశ్న 6 నాటి ప్రశ్నలకు ఇప్పుడు యాదాయామి. తాబడే ఉంటుంది కాగా యాపకంగా బాగా యాదాయామి. ప్రశ్న మాత్రమే యాదాయామి. ట్రవర్ ప్రశ్నలు యాదాయామి యాదాయామి. చాలాది ప్రశ్నలు యాదాయామి యాదాయామి. ట్రవర్ ప్రశ్నలు యాదాయామి. ట్రవర్ ప్రశ్నలు యాదాయామి.

(4) ముఖ్యంగా ప్రశ్న 6 నాటి ప్రశ్నలకు ఇప్పుడు యాదాయామి. తాబడే ఉంటుంది కాగా యాపకంగా బాగా యాదాయామి. ప్రశ్న మాత్రమే యాదాయామి. ట్రవర్ ప్రశ్నలు యాదాయామి యాదాయామి. చాలాది ప్రశ్నలు యాదాయామి యాదాయామి. ట్రవర్ ప్రశ్నలు యాదాయామి. ట్రవర్ ప్రశ్నలు యాదాయామి.

(5) అంచెచు ప్రశ్నలు అభి విధానంలో ఎగివేతుంది:

(6) ఎత్తుది.

(7) ముఖ్యంగా ప్రశ్న 6 నాటి ప్రశ్నలకు ఇప్పుడు యాదాయామి. తాబడే ఉంటుంది కాగా యాపకంగా బాగా యాదాయామి. ప్రశ్న మాత్రమే యాదాయామి. ట్రవర్ ప్రశ్నలు యాదాయామి యాదాయామి. చాలాది ప్రశ్నలు యాదాయామి యాదాయామి. ట్రవర్ ప్రశ్నలు యాదాయామి. ట్రవర్ ప్రశ్నలు యాదాయామి.
All the 12 taluks in Srikakulam district and all the 12 taluks in Visakhapatnam district and some other taluks in some other districts were declared as backward areas and Guntur was not declared as backward area. My question is: why this money which was intended for the backward areas was misdirected to Post-Graduate Centre at Guntur?

9-10 a.m.

We have lost Rs. 1 crore there whereas Anantapur Centre has got it. It is a blunder we have and we should agree with Anantapur University.

I do not know how I can convince him but anyway I will try my best.
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Sri S. Alwardas:—The Planning Commission criteria is different. The basis is taluk not the town. That he can learn from the Chairman of the Board. 

Sri B. Venkatram Reddy:—I know what economically backward area is and under what criteria the funds are to be allotted. The funds are allotted strictly within the purview of the rules.

15-3
The king does no wrong The Vice-Chancellor cannot commit any mistake or assumption. The Vice-Chancellor cannot commit any mistake or assumption. Is it not improper and reckless on the part of the concerned authorities to divert funds of P.G. Centres in backward areas to the already developed areas?

Sri B. Venkatram Reddy:—Let this question be put to relevant quarters.

Incentives for the Govt. Servants who underwent Sterilisation Centre prior to 27-9-1976.

* 3946-Z-Q Sri. Bhattam Sreerama Murthy (Paravada):—will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that certain incentives for the Government Servants who undergo sterilisation are given from 27.9.1976,
(b) if so, whether the same incentives are given to those who joined service later;
(c) if not the reasons therefor;
(d) whether the Government servants who underwent sterilisation prior to the said date are given the same incentives;
(e) if not whether the Government would consider extending them the same incentives at least prospectively with national basis for the past period; and
(f) if not, the reasons therefor?

Minister for Health and Medical Sri A. Madan Mohan:
(a) Yes Sir. This was with effect from 20-8-1976.
(b) Yes Sir. Such of the employees who have joined service on or after 20.8.1976 and underwent sterilisation operation thereafter are eligible for the incentive increments.
(c) Does not arise.
(d) No Sir.
(e) No Sir.
(f) The Family welfare incentives cannot be made operative retrospectively as it is a scheme meant as an incentive and not to be treated as a reward.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—The Government would congratulate them and compliment them for the patriotic favour they have shown. Beyond that, nothing can be done.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy (Kalwakurthy):—The Minister is interested more about the non-patriotic people.
Sri A. Madan Mohan:—It is not like that. There are persons who sacrificed and came into public life and there are also persons who will not come into public life without any incentive.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—It may be a very negligible percentage. Even if one or two cases are there, we cannot make a general rule for them and they have to bear with it.
Sri A. Madan Mohan:—I have no idea about it. But, according to Medical theory the man is potent even at the age of 90 years. I do not know why the orders are like that. I will look into it.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—I have no such information, Sir.

Short Notice Questions and Answers

 Thousand Additional Teachers in Progressive Primary Schools

72—A.

S.N.Q. No. 4872—B. Sri K. B. Siddaiah:—Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state
(a) whether it is a fact that the State Government have decided to appoint one thousand teachers additionally in the progressive primary schools;

(b) if so, whether any special training has been imparted to them; and

(c) whether they will be appointed in the existing schools or in the new schools?

विद्यालय हैंत (के) मानते हैं?

(2) अनुशंसक शिक्षकों के लिए विशेष बाह्य स्थलक अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(3) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(4) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(5) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(6) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(7) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(8) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(9) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(10) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(11) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(12) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(13) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(14) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(15) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(16) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(17) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(18) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(19) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?

(20) अनुशंसक कोण के लिए अनुशंसक मानते हैं?
Short Notice Questions and Answers
21st June 1979.

(Sri B. Venkatram Reddy):—I appreciate the suggestion given by the Member. We will see that necessary adjustment is made so that the Institution may not suffer.

9-30 a.m

Written Answers to Questions.

Sri B. Venkatarama Reddy:—That is a suggestion given by the Member and it is noted.

Sri B. Venkatarama Reddy:—That is a suggestion given by the Member and it is noted.

31—

1771-Q.—Sri Nallapreddi Srinivvasul Reddi:—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS
Handing over of Surplus Land assigned to the Harijans of Panduluru Village

31—
Written Answers to Questions. 21st June, 1979.

(a) whether it is a fact that an extent of two hundred acres of surplus land under Land Ceiling Act has been assigned to the Harijans of Panduluru village in Gudur taluk, Nellore district for the purpose of cultivation:

(b) whether the said lands have been handed over to the beneficiaries;

(c) if not, the reasons for the delay:

(d) whether the Collector of Nellore has received a representation from Sri N. Sreenivasul Reddy, M.L.A. in 1978 to hand over the said lands to the beneficiaries and to provide community irrigation wells and pumps for irrigation of the said lands; and

(e) if so, the action taken in this matter?

A.—

(a) An extent of Ac. 2.68 wet and Ac. 189 dry was distributed to 86 Harijans, 4 Girijans and 28 Backward Class members.

(b) Possession of the land assigned was given to the beneficiaries in September-October, 1977.

(b) Does not arise.

(d) and (c) A representation dated 6th July, 1978, of Sri N. Sreenivasul Reddi, M.L.A., was received by the Collector on 10th July, 1978. The lands have been handed over to the beneficiaries. The Block Development Officer, Gudur has formed a Co-operative Farming Society on 23rd September, 1978 with the beneficiaries as members and he has proposed to sink 6 irrigation wells and to supply 6 oil engines and 6 metal caps for the benefit of the beneficiaries.

Villages affected in Nizamabad and Adilabad Districts on account of Construction of Pochampad Project

32—

2240-Q.— Sarvasri P. Janardhan Reddy and Ch. Kasaiah:— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the number and names of villages affected in Nizamabad and Adilabad districts on account of construction of Pochampad Project and the extent of land acquired and to be acquired by the Project authorities;

(b) the number of displaced families in each of the above villages and steps taken for their rehabilitation so far and also in future on village-wise basis; and

(c) the location and number of rehabilitation centres established and the number and names of the villages already rehabilitated in these centres?

A.—

(a) Statement-I is placed on the Table of the House.

(b) Statement-II is placed on the Table of the House.

(c) Statement-III is placed on the Table of the House.

15-4
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the village</th>
<th>Agricultural land acquired. (Acres and Guntas)</th>
<th>Land to be acquired (Acres and Guntas)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Po:hampad</td>
<td>2624.26½</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Lolam</td>
<td>2097.18</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Veimal</td>
<td>1787.32</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Mujgi</td>
<td>1238.36</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Bopparam</td>
<td>1551.32</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Sangvi</td>
<td>2110.29</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Raipur (K)</td>
<td>1862.21</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Pptapally (K)</td>
<td>628.24</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Siddam Kunta</td>
<td>600.14</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Ratnaput (K)</td>
<td>2007.38</td>
<td>11.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Mallapur</td>
<td>419.13</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Samandarpally</td>
<td>648.35</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Godsera</td>
<td>753.12</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Surli (M)</td>
<td>528.35</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Kothur</td>
<td>495.04</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Pipri</td>
<td>2176.02</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Arli (B)</td>
<td>1170.09</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Yeddoor</td>
<td>413.08</td>
<td>8.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Kanjar</td>
<td>1305.23</td>
<td>11.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Lingampally</td>
<td>423.34</td>
<td>3.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Rajura</td>
<td>2784.19</td>
<td>210.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Timborni</td>
<td>1274.24</td>
<td>97.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total : 26837.38½ 348.37

Nizamabad District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the village</th>
<th>Agricultural land acquired. (Acres and Guntas)</th>
<th>Land to be acquired (Acres and Guntas)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Kojankothur</td>
<td>705.04</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Keshapur</td>
<td>559.05</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Rainapur</td>
<td>1918.00</td>
<td>10.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Kustapur</td>
<td>3156.04½</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Sangam</td>
<td>820.15</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Srirampoor</td>
<td>1269.03</td>
<td>9.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Narkeda</td>
<td>3768.22</td>
<td>6.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Bharinoor</td>
<td>1390.25</td>
<td>9.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Written Answers to Questions.**
21st June, 1979

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Amount 1</th>
<th>Amount 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Sirpoor</td>
<td>1348.14</td>
<td>14.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Annaram</td>
<td>1324.03</td>
<td>9.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Gangasamudram</td>
<td>989.04</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Badgoni</td>
<td>1073.22</td>
<td>20.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Magdi</td>
<td>2282.35</td>
<td>40.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Gadepalle</td>
<td>1096.30</td>
<td>179.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Ummeda</td>
<td>2040.14</td>
<td>23.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Komanpalli</td>
<td>812.12</td>
<td>12.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>25,254.12</strong></td>
<td><strong>353.19</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Partially Submergible Villages: Adilabad District**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Amount 1</th>
<th>Amount 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Bamini (K)</td>
<td>730.35</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Pottapally (B)</td>
<td>405.33</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Narsapoor</td>
<td>451.32</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Banaspally</td>
<td>526.25</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,115.05</strong></td>
<td><strong>11.28</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nizamabad District**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Amount 1</th>
<th>Amount 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Nikolpor</td>
<td>752.11</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Donkeshwar</td>
<td>1371.12</td>
<td>40.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Noottpally</td>
<td>924.39</td>
<td>8.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Vannel</td>
<td>591.01</td>
<td>62.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,639.23</strong></td>
<td><strong>141.36</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Back Water and Wave effected Villages: Abilabad District**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Amount 1</th>
<th>Amount 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Kankapoor</td>
<td>658.14</td>
<td>329.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Abdullahpur</td>
<td>207.25</td>
<td>11.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Salapur</td>
<td>246.02</td>
<td>7.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Panchagudi</td>
<td>806.35</td>
<td>15.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Ashtta</td>
<td>1378.35</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Vaṭṭola</td>
<td>814.37</td>
<td>3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Koutha</td>
<td>58.24</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Sawargaon(M)</td>
<td>92.13</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Moram Mohalla</td>
<td>364.07</td>
<td>14.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Mamadh</td>
<td>398.03</td>
<td>6.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Burugapalli</td>
<td>54.16</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Arli (K)</td>
<td>97.15</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Dongargaon</td>
<td>256.06</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Uttoli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adegaon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sathegaon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>5,433.32</td>
<td>388.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nizamabad District**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>63.</td>
<td>Ch. Kondur</td>
<td>1347.25</td>
<td>8.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.</td>
<td>Tungini</td>
<td>446.20</td>
<td>32.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.</td>
<td>Naleshwar</td>
<td>2710.07</td>
<td>91.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.</td>
<td>Talveda</td>
<td>387.33</td>
<td>5.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.</td>
<td>Nizampoor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>4,892 05</td>
<td>138.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Only Agricultural Lands Affected Villages:**

**Adilabad District**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>68.</td>
<td>Gnndampally</td>
<td>71.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.</td>
<td>Naseerabad</td>
<td>88.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.</td>
<td>Brahmeshwar</td>
<td>374.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.</td>
<td>Kalloor</td>
<td>24.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.</td>
<td>Rampoor</td>
<td>44.03</td>
<td>4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.</td>
<td>Chakpally</td>
<td>632.04</td>
<td>13.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74.</td>
<td>Hawarga</td>
<td>56.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.</td>
<td>Sawargaon (N)</td>
<td>221.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76.</td>
<td>Godchanda</td>
<td>1639.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>3,152 20</td>
<td>17.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nizamabad District**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77.</td>
<td>Nalloor</td>
<td>206.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78.</td>
<td>Jalalpur</td>
<td>1140.20\frac{1}{2}</td>
<td>6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79.</td>
<td>Khanpur</td>
<td>322.23</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.</td>
<td>Amdapoor</td>
<td>93.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.</td>
<td>Mampalli</td>
<td>312.18</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.</td>
<td>Komatpalli</td>
<td>91.11</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.</td>
<td>Laddaapoor</td>
<td>285.33\frac{1}{2}</td>
<td>21.38\frac{1}{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84.</td>
<td>Nagapur</td>
<td>248.26</td>
<td>21.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85.</td>
<td>Ankampalli</td>
<td>40.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86.</td>
<td>Binola</td>
<td>699.07</td>
<td>204.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>3,441.07</td>
<td>264.17\frac{1}{4}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total: 74,766.23\frac{1}{4} 1,666.02\frac{1}{4}
**Written Answers to Questions.** 21st June, 1979.

**[STATEMENT—II ANSWER TO ITEM (B)]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the village</th>
<th>No. of displaced families</th>
<th>Steps taken for their rehabilitation of displaced families so far. (places where rehabilitated or proposed to be rehabilitated.)</th>
<th>Steps to be taken in future.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pochampad</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>Rehabilitated at Chincholi-I.</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lolam</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>Rehabilitated at Dilawarpur.</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yelmal</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>Rehabilitated at Muktapur and Yemal (local)</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mujgi</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>Rehabilitated at Mujgi-I.</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bopparam</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>Rehabilitated at Muktapur</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Siddamkunta</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>Rehabilitated at Mujgi</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sangvi</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Mamda.</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Raipur Kandi</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Lohesra.</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Potapalli (K)</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Muktapur</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ratnapur (K)</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Chincholi-II</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Mallapur</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Mallapur.</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Samudrapalii</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Joharpur and Chincholi-III.</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Godsera</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Joharpur.</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Surli (M)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Lohesra.</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Kothur</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Babapur.</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Pipri</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Kamsera.</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADILABAD DISTRICT**

*Fully submergible villages*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the village</th>
<th>No. of displaced families</th>
<th>Steps taken for their rehabilitation of displaced families so far. (places where rehabilitated or proposed to be rehabilitated.)</th>
<th>Steps to be taken in future.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Arli (B)</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Johar and Chinchooli-III.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Yeddur</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Kamalkote.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Lingampalli</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Babapur.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Timborni</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>No Reh. Centre is proposed.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cash grant is sanctioned as per G. O. Ms. No. 215, dt. 26-6-78.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Partially submergible villages

| 23. Bamini (K) | 114 | Reh. Centre established at Local. |   |   |
| 24. Potpalli (B) | 161 | Reh. Centre established at Parepalli. |   |   |
| 25. Narsapoor | 561 | No Reh. Centre is proposed. |   | Cash grant is sanctioned as per G. O. Ms. No. 215, dt. 26-6-78. |

### Back water and wave effect villages

| 27. Kankapur | 459 | No Reh. Centre is proposed. |   | Cash grant is sanctioned as per G. O. Ms. No. 215, dt. 26-6-78. |

<p>| 28. Abdullapur | 392 | do | do | do |
| 29. Salapur (Buddasaia) | 78 | do | do | do |
| 30. Panchagudi | 298 | do | do | do |
| 31. Ashta | 626 | do | do | do |
| 32. Wattolli | 214 | do | do | do |
| 33. Koutha | 171 | do | do | do |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>Sawargaon (M)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>-do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>Moram Mhoalla</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>-do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>Manmadh</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>-do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>Burugupalli</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>-do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>Ali (K)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>-do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>Dongergaon</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>-do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td>Adegaon</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>-do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>Sathgaon</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>-do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td>Uttoli</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>Reh. Centre is established at Local.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only Agricultural land affected villages

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43.</td>
<td>Gundampalli</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.</td>
<td>Naseerabad</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.</td>
<td>Brahmeshwar</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>No Rehabilitation facilities have been provided to the affected families of these (9) Villages, as these families have not been physically uprooted from their main village.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.</td>
<td>Kalloor</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.</td>
<td>Rampoor</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.</td>
<td>Chalnapalli</td>
<td>258</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.</td>
<td>Hawarga</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.</td>
<td>Sawargaon (N)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.</td>
<td>Gadchanda</td>
<td>305</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NIZAMABAD DISTRICT

Fully submersible villages

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>52.</td>
<td>Kajankothur</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>Rehabilitated at Erdandi.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.</td>
<td>Keshapur</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.</td>
<td>Ratnapur</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>Rehabilitated at Oblapur.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.</td>
<td>Kustapur</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>Rehabilitated at Oblapur-II.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.</td>
<td>Sangam</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Oblapur-I.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.</td>
<td>Sriramapoor</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Oblapur-II.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.</td>
<td>Narkhoda</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Fakeerkonda.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.</td>
<td>Bardipur</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Erdandi Forest Block of Metpally Tq. has been proposed for the displaced families of this village and no area has been allotted for Agrl purpose.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60. Sirpur</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at local &amp; Donthapur.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61. Annaram</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at local.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63. Badgoni</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>No Reh. Centre is proposed.</td>
<td>Cash grant is sanctioned as per G. O. Ms. No. 215, dt. 26-6-78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64. Magdi</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>Reh. Centre established at Donthapur.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65. Gadepalli</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>No. Reh. Centre is proposed.</td>
<td>Cash grant is sanctioned as per G. O. Ms. No. 215, dt. 26-6-78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66. Ummeda</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>-do-.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Partially submergible villages

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>68. Nikalpoor</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Rehabilitated at locally.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69. Donkeshwar</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>Rh. Centre established at local.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70. Noothpalli</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>Noothpalli part is established at Donthapur.</td>
<td>Cash grant is proposed to Noothpalli (part) as per G.O. No. 215, dt. 26-6-78.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cash grant is sanctioned as per G. O. Ms. No. 215, dt. 26-6-78.

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>71. Vannel</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>No Reh. Centre is proposed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Back water and wave affected villages

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72. Ch. Kondur</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>No. Reh. Centre is proposed.</td>
<td>Cash grant is sanctioned as per G. O. Ms. No. 215, dt. 26-6-78.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.</td>
<td>Tungini</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>-do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74.</td>
<td>Naleshwar</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>-do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.</td>
<td>Talveda</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>-do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76.</td>
<td>Nizampur</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-do-</td>
<td>-do-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only Agricultural land affected villages

77. Nalloor 175 The Government in G.O Ms. No. 415, dated 19-10-1971 issued orders that the lands be assigned free of cost to the families of Nalloor (v) whose lands were acquired equivalent to the extent acquired from them subject to a maximum of 2.00 acres of wet or 5.00 acres of dry.

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Jalalpur</td>
<td>906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Khanapur</td>
<td>328</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Amdapur</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Marampalli</td>
<td>231</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Komatpalli</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Siddapur</td>
<td>353</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Nagepoor</td>
<td>316</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Ankampalli</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Biuola</td>
<td>148</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No rehabilitation facilities have been provided to the affected families of these (9) villages as these families have not been physically uprooted from their main village.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the District</th>
<th>Name of the Taluq</th>
<th>Name of the Submersible Village already rehabilitated or proposed to be rehabilitated.</th>
<th>Name of the Rehabilitated Centre where Villages shown</th>
<th>Name of the Dist. in which Reh. Centre located.</th>
<th>Already rehabilitated or to be rehabilitated Village shown under column (4).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>Dilawarpur.</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>Yelmal.</td>
<td>i. Yelmal (Local)</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ii. Muktapur.</td>
<td></td>
<td>. . do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>Muigi.</td>
<td>Muigi-I.</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>Siddamkunta.</td>
<td>Muigi-II.</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>Keshapur.</td>
<td>Erdandi*</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>. . do..</td>
<td>Kojankothur.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
<td>Armoor</td>
<td>Kustapur</td>
<td>Oblapur</td>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
<td>Rehabilitated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Nikalpur</td>
<td>Nikalpur (Local)</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td>Nirmal</td>
<td>Potpalli (K)</td>
<td>Muktapur</td>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td>Yet to be rehabilitated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Sangvi</td>
<td>Mandra</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Mallapur</td>
<td>Mallapur (Local)</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Kanjar</td>
<td>Babapur</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Lingampalli</td>
<td>Babapur</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Banaspalli</td>
<td>Banaspalli (Local)</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Raipur Kandli</td>
<td>Lohesra</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Ratnapur Kandli</td>
<td>Chincholi-II</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Samunderpalli</td>
<td>Joharpur</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Uttoli</td>
<td>Uttoli (Local)</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Yeddur</td>
<td>Ramalkote</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Potpalli (B)</td>
<td>Purepalli</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Bamini</td>
<td>Bamini (Local)</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Rajura</td>
<td>Rajura (Local)</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Pipri</td>
<td>Kamalkote</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Godgera</td>
<td>Kamsara</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Mudhole</td>
<td>Surli</td>
<td>Lohesra</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>Nirmal</td>
<td>Kothur</td>
<td>Babapur</td>
<td>..do..</td>
<td>..do..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td>Nirmai</td>
<td>Arli (Buzurug)</td>
<td>Joharpur</td>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td>Yet to be reconstructed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
<td>Armoor</td>
<td>Sangam</td>
<td>Chincholi-II</td>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>Komanpalli</td>
<td>Oblapur-II</td>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
<td>do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>Magdi</td>
<td>Donthapur</td>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>Gangasamunder</td>
<td>Donthapur</td>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>Sripampur</td>
<td>Oblapur-II</td>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>Narkhoda</td>
<td>Fakeerkondapur</td>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>Sirpur</td>
<td>Sirpur (Local)</td>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
<td>do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>Noolthpalli (Part)</td>
<td>Donthapur</td>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
<td>do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>Donkeshwar</td>
<td>Donkeshwar (Local)</td>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
<td>do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>Annaram</td>
<td>Annaram (Local)</td>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
<td>do</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implementation of Rule of Reservation in the Singaremi Collieries Company Ltd.

33—

3935-(T) Q. —Sri D. Narasaiah:—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the rule of reservation is followed in the appointments to skilled and unskilled jobs in the State owned by Singaremi Collieries Company Limited;

(b) if so, the method followed in reserving the posts category-wise as per rule of reservation; and

(c) if not, the action taken against the management?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) 14% of the posts are reserved for Scheduled Caste and 4% for Scheduled Tribes in the recruitments made for both skilled and unskilled jobs. Rosters prescribed for this purpose for observance of the rule of reservation are being maintained by the Singaremi Collieries Company Limited.

(c) Does not arise.

Non-utilisation of Subsidy Granted to the Co-operative Motor Transport Society, Tirupathi.

34—

2687-Q. —Sri K. B. Siddaiah:—Will the Minister for Co-operation and Small Scale Industries be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a sum of Rs. 50,000 was granted by the Rayalaseema Development Board as a subsidy to the Co-operative Motor Transport Society, Tirupathi, Cantour district in the year 1974 to purchase lorries by the drivers belonging to weaker sections (driver members);

(b) why the amount was not allotted to the driver members the society;

(c) whether it is a fact that the amount was lapsed without being utilised; and

(d) the action taken against the Officer-in-charge in not utilising the amount?

A.—

(a) No, Sir. A sum of Rs. 50,000 was however sanctioned towards 10% margin money from the funds under Special Employment Programme of Government of India.

(b) According to the Scheme the society has to secure 85% of the cost of lorries from the Nationalised Banks and the remaining 5% cost was to be met by the members concerned.
(e) Yes, Sir. The society could not secure 85% cost from any of the Nationalised Banks and also no commercial banks came forward to finance the society towards supply of lorries.

(d) Does not arise.

Misappropriation of the Funds meant for Drinking Water by the Huzurnagar Panchayat Samithi.

35—

1323 Q.—Sarvasri A. Lakshminarayana and N. Raghava Reddy:—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj and Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Audit has brought to the notice of the Government that the amounts paid by the public for drinking water during 1976-77 and 1977-78 had been misappropriated by the Board of Huzurnagar Panchayat Samithi, Nalgonda district; and

(b) whether steps will be taken against the persons responsible therefore and also to recover the amounts from the properties of those who misappropriated the sum?

A—

(a) No, Sir. But the Executive Officer of the Gram Panchayat has detected the misappropriation to a tune of Rs. 28,620 and reported the matter to the Collector, Nalgonda on 24th June, 1978.

(b) Action is being initiated by the District Panchayat Officer Nalgonda for recovery of the misappropriated amount and for detailed enquiry to fix up responsibility.

Subsidised Rural Ayurvedic Dispensaries in Nellore District

36—

2144 Q.—Sarvasri K. V. Subba Reddy and C.V. Sesha Reddy:—Will the Minister for Panchayat Raj and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) the number of subsidised Rural Ayurvedic Dispensaries in Nellore district;

(b) the persons responsible for delays in payment of salaries to the staff in some of the said dispensaries;

(c) the amount of the expenditure incurred towards pay and allowances of the employees of the said dispensaries during the years 1976-77 and 1977-78 respectively; and

(d) the expenditure incurred towards medicines?

A.—(a) 62.
(b) Out of 62, in respect of 19 dispensaries, the Block Development Officers are paying salaries regularly. In other cases, action has also been taken on the defaulting Block Development Officers and they were severely warned for their laps.

(c) 1976-77—Rs. 1,623,933-34
1977-78—Rs. 20,05,362-65

(d) Rs. 44,345-09.
Rs. 78,477-83.

Merger of Siriam Village With Parvathipuram Taluk

37—

2929 Q.—Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj and Roads and Building be pleased to state:

(a) whether the representation of the Villagers of Siriam near Venkatabhryipuram of Salur taluk for its merger with Parvathipuram taluk, in view of the natural barrier (River) is under consideration of the Government;

(b) whether it is not a fact that there is neither a bridge nor a ferry to cross the river Suvarnamukhi at Siriam;

(c) whether it is not a fact that villagers have to cross the river and streams whenever they go over to Salur, the present Taluk and Block Headquarters; and

(d) whether it is not a fact that they are stranded without communication for a considerable period of the year?

A.—

(a) No, Sir,
(b) It is a fact.
(c) It is a fact.
(d) It is a fact.

Supply of Drinking Water Through Taps in Karimnagar District.

38—

3940-(A) Q.—Sri D Chinammalliah:—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj and Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) the number of villages to which it was proposed to supply drinking water through taps in Karimnagar district:
(b) the number of such drinking water scheme works that
have been completed or those to be completed; and

(c) when sanction will be accorded to the remaining works
this year.

A.—

(a) 55 villages Sir.

(b) Out of 25 schemes taken up, 19 schemes have been com-
pleted and the remaining 10 schemes are in progress.

(c) 16 schemes have already been sanctioned under Central
Assistance Programme during 1977-78 and 1978-79.

Repairs of Zilla Parishad High School Building at
Akkupalli Village

39—

394—(R) Q.—Sri B Narayana Swamy:—Will the Minister for
Panchayati Raj and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government propose to repair the build-
ings of the Zilla Parishad High School at Akkupalli in Kasibugga Samithi
area of Srikakulam district;

(b) if so, the time by which construction of buildings and
repairs will be completed;

(c) whether there are teachers for all classes in the Zilla Parishad
High School at Akkupalli; and

(d) if not, the reasons for running the school without teachers?

A.—

(a) and (b) No proposals are pending with the Zilla Par-
ishad, Srikakulam and with the Government either for repairs to the
existing high school building or for construction of any new building
for Zilla Parishad High School, Akkupalli.

(c) Except the post of Second Grade Teacher, all other
teaching staff have been filled in.

(d) Does not arise.

Allocation of Funds for Drinking Water Scheme in
Sayampet Village.

40—

3952—(P) Q.—Sri M. Jagannadham:—Will the Minister for
Panchayat, Raj, and Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that the Government had allotted Rs. 2.40 lakhs for drinking water scheme in Sayampet village, Parkal taluk Warangal district in 1964,

(b) if so, the reasons for not completing the scheme so far;

(c) whether the Government intend to complete the said scheme, and

(d) if so, the time by which it will be completed?

A—

(a) The scheme was not sanctioned in the year 1964. But P. W. S. to Sayampet was sanctioned in the year 1975-76 for Rs. 24.7 lakhs under Six Point Formula Programme. The estimate was revised to Rs. 3.1 lakhs and the full amount was provided under Six Point Formula.

(b) The scheme is almost physically completed and power supply is still awaited. Steps are being taken to complete the scheme soon after the power supply is received.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) The scheme will be inaugurated as soon as the power supply is given. It is likely that the scheme will be completed by 31st May 1979.

Misappropriation of Jaggampet Panchayat Funds by the Ex-Sarpanch.

41—

4138 Q.—Sarvasri Ch. Vithal Reddy and Poola Subbaiah:—

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri Ch. Vithal Reddy, M.I.A., has brought to the notice of the District Collector that the Ex. Sarpanch of Jaggampet panchayat, Narsapur taluk, Medak district has created false Measurement Book;

(b) if so, the steps taken;

(c) whether it is a fact that there is misappropriation and embezzlement of Panchayat funds;

(d) if so, the steps taken to recover the same, and

(e) whether severe action will be taken in the matter?

A—

(a) Yes, Sir. It is a fact that Sri Ch. Vithal Reddy has alleged to the District Collector, Medak that the Ex. Sarpanch, Sri Prabhu Reddy of Jaggampeta Gram Panchapat has created a false measurement book.
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(b) The matter has been referred to the Superintendent of Police to probe into the allegations of forgery of measurement book.

(c) The Ex. Sarpanch booked an expenditure of Rs. 4,875 on works during the years 1966 to 1969 and the works were not supported by the measurement book.

(d) Recovery proceedings were issued by the Collector, Medak in proceedings No. 201/75, dated 17th December, 1975, to recover the amount of Rs. 4,875 from the Ex. Sarpanch through Tahsildar.

(e) In addition to recovery of amount, the question of forgery is under investigation and necessary action will be taken after finalising the case.

Complaints against the Road Laid from Tiruvur to Rolpadu.

2258 Q.—Sri B. Rama Rao:—Will the Minister for Panchayat Raj and Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether there are any complaints against the road laid from Tiruvur to Rolpadu in the road from Tiruvur to Rajavaram of Krishna district;

(b) whether the contractor brought the metal from quarry originally allotted to him;

(c) if not, any special permission was given to bring the metal from a nearby place;

(d) if so, whether the same special permission is given to all other contractors or only to this particular contractor; and

(e) if so, the reason for giving such a special permission?

A—

(a) Yes, Sir. There was a representation from the Member making certain complaints against the execution of work by the contractor Sri V. Parthasaradhi Rao in the reach from KM 0.0 to 8.00.

(b) and (c) The contractor made a representation that there was no sufficient labour at the approved quarries and hence requested permission to collect metal of 65 mm and 40 mm size from Devathalagutta quarry which is far away from the approved quarries. No special permission was therefore given to this contractor to bring the quarry metal from a nearby place.

(d) and (e) Do not arise in view of the answers to clauses (b) and (c) above.
Repairs to the Road of 45 kms Length from Chittoor to Tirupati

43—

3162 Q.—Sri B. Arumugam:—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj and Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether the road of 45 Kms. length from Chittoor to Tirupati via Penumuru and Nentragunta is under the control of Zilla Parishad or National Highways; and

(b) the reasons for not undertaking repairs to the said road for several years?

A—

(a) The entire road from Chittoor to Tirupathi via Penumuru and Nentragunta measuring 91 Kms. is under the control of Roads and Buildings Department and it is not a National Highway.

(b) The maintenance of the Road is done regularly. Special repairs estimates for Rs. 3.76 lakhs to improve the reach of the road form Kalvagunia to Neendragunta measuring 27.60 Kms. are prepared and are under finalisation. All efforts are being made to improve the road to the maximum extent possible within the repairs grant available.

Construction of a Bridge across River Sagileru near Chennampalli Village.

44—

3726 Q.—Dr. V. Sivaramakrishna Rao:—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj and Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

a) whether it is a fact that a bridge across river Sagileru near Chennampalli in Badvel taluk, Cuddapah district is proposed and tenders were called for;

b) if so, the reasons for the delay in taking up the work; and

c) the period from which it is pending before the Government and reasons therefor?

A—a) Yes, Sir.

b The lowest tender in the 1st call was rejected by the Tender Committee in its meeting held on 20th December, 1978. The estimate was recast with 1978-79 SS Rates for Rs. 2150 lakhs, and revised administrative sanction was accorded by the Chief Engineer Roads and Buildings, on 4th April, 1978. Tenders have been called for and further action will be taken by the Chief Engineer Roads and Buildings on receipt of the tenders.
Pollution of Drinking water in the Surrounding Villages of N.F.C.

3141 Q.—Sri N. Raghava Reddy:—Will the Minister for Endowments and Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) the number of villages in the surroundings of N.F.C. at Hyderabad in which drinking water is polluted with the result the people of those villages are without drinking water.

(b) whether Government will take steps to find out whether any damage in other aspects is also being caused besides water pollution in those villages; and

(c) the facilities provided by the Government to people who were deprived of drinking water.

A—

(a) The quality of water in the wells situated in the two villages namely Marrigudem and Mallapuram in the surroundings of the Nuclear Fuel Complex is found to be not within the permissible limits.

(b) The Andhra Pradesh State Board for Prevention & Control of Water Pollution Officials have inspected the villages and it is seen that there are no damages other than deterioration in the quality of the water in the wells.

(c) The Board Officials have discussed the issue with the Chief Executive of N.F.C. and also inspected the arrangement made by the N.F.C. for proper treatment of the liquid wastes.

Depositing certain amount with P. H. Department for protected Water Supply Scheme by Narayanpet Municipality.

3949-N Q.—Sri G. Narsimlu Naidu: Will the Minister for Endowments and Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Narayanpet Municipality of Mahabubnagar has deposited the amount with Public Health Department for the purpose of Protected Water Supply Scheme.
(b) if so, the extent of amount deposited, amount spent so far, and the balance to be spent; and
(c) whether the remaining amount would be spent for the new water supply lines?
A.—
(a) Yes, Sir
(b) Narayanpet Municipality had deposited an amount of Rs. 13.82 lakhs for its protected water supply scheme. The amount spent so far is Rs. 11.92 lakhs. The balance amount to be spent will be Rs. 1.90 lakhs.
(c) The remaining amount is required for meeting the expenditure on payment of final bills and to take up two new bores. There is no proposal to take up new water supply lines.

Sanction of House-Site Pattas to Harijans and other Castes of Palamaner Village

47—
3968 Q.—Sri A. Rathnam.—Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:
(a) whether the Government are aware of the fact that poor families belonging to Harijans, Backward classes and other castes constructed houses and huts and residing therein for the last 15 years in S.Nos. 313/IA/3 and 314/2 of Palamaner village and taluk, Chittoor district;
(b) whether they sent applications to the Government for sanction of pattas; and
(c) if so, the action taken by the Government thereon?
A.—
(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) Yes, Sir. Applications have been made to the Tahsildar, Palamaner.
(c) The fields have been subdivided and layout sketches have been prepared and kept ready. The allotment of house-site plots is pending for want of resolution of the Gram Panchayat, Palamaner and as a civil suit is pending.

Issuing Patta to the Sivoijamadar of Kothapalli Village

48—
3969 Q.—Sri A. Rathnam: Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:
(a) Whether it is a fact that the land in S. No. 348 of Kothapally village, Punganoor taluk, Chittoor district is under Sivoijama cultivation of landless poor by name Sri Velur Krishnappa for the last 7 years; and

(b) if so, whether the Government will take steps for the immediate issue of Patta to the Sivoijamadar?

A.—

(a) An extent of about 3.40 acres is under Sivoijama occupation of Sri Velur Krishnappa alias Asanambattu Krishnappa from Fasili 1384 i.e., for the past five fashles.

(b) Action is being taken to get the portions under sivoijama cultivation subdivided and transferred to Ayan for eventual assignment to the eligible Sivoijamadars.

Erosion of Lanka Lands due to Godavari Floods During the last Ten Years

49—

3874 Q.—Sri Poola Subbaiah:—Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether 2,500 fertile Lanka lands and four villages were washed away in the Central Delta due to Godavari floods and merged in Godavari River during the last 10 years; and

(b) If so, the estimated loss due to the said erosion?

A.—

(a) An extent of 2.205 acres of Lanka land was eroded during heavy floods in the Central Delta of East Godavari District during the last ten years. The names of villages which were washed away and merged in River Godavari are furnished below;

Name of the Taluk

1. Mummimidivaram
2. Kothapeta

Name of the village washed away and merged in River Godavari

1. Part of Kamini village
2. Gurajapulanka h/o Kamini
3. Yelakallanka h/o Vanapalli.
4. Immdivarilanka h/o Vanapalli.
5. Ganisettivarilanka h/o Vanapalli.
6. Mutyalamvarilanka h/o VanapaHi.
7. Sathamvarilanka h/o VanapaHi.

(b) The estimated loss due to erosion of the above village besides the other lanka lands is reported to be Rs. 21,07,623.

Providing 30 beds to the Primary Health Centres at Kondapuram, Payasampalli and Kodur Villages

4219 Q.—Sarvasri N. Venkata Subbaiah and Dr. Y. S. Raja- sekhar Reddy : Will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that 30 beds have been sanctioned to the Primary Health Centres at Kondapuram, Payasampalli and two Kodur (R.S.) of Cuddapah district:

(b) whether it is also a fact that beds have been provided only to the Primary Health Centre at Payasampalli and not to the other Primary Health Centres

(c) if so, the time by which the beds will be provided to the other two Primary Health Centres:

(d) whether it is a fact that the Citizens of Chitvel, R. Jampet taluk, Cuddapah district have contributed an amount of Rs. 10,000 for construction of a pucca building to Primary Health Centre at Chitvel and

(e) if so, the action taken thereon?

A.—

(a) No. 30 beds have been sanctioned to the Primary Health Centre, Payasampalli only.

(b) Yes.

(c) Does not arise, in as much as the Primary Health Centres, Kondapuram and Kodur (R.S.) in Cuddapah District have not been upgraded into 30 beded hospitals yet.

(d) Yes.

(e) Due to paucity of funds, this could not be taken up. It is proposed to take up all such works during VI Five-Year Plan period, subject to availability of funds.

Construction of a Reservoir on the Tandava River at Rekhavanipalem

4165 Q.—Sri M. Adeyya :—Will the Minister for Medium Irrigation be pleased to state:
(a) whether there is any proposal to construct a reservoir on the Tandava river at Rekhavanipalem;

(b) whether it is a fact that preliminary investigation for the construction of the said reservoir has been done, and a report has been prepared stating that it would cost nearly Rs. 6 crores.

(c) in case there is no such proposal, the reason why preliminary investigation was conducted incurring unnecessary expenditure

(d) in case there is such proposal the time by which the construction of the project will be taken up

(e) the taluk-wise extent of land ‘in acres’ that will be brought under cultivation by constructing this project, and

(f) whether proper steps will be taken to see that no injustice is done to the old ayecuttars?

A.—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) No preliminary investigation were conducted. A brief report with a line estimate for Rs. 6.38 crores was prepared by the field officers based on studies of Survey of India Maps.

(c) Does not arise as no preliminary investigation was done.

(d) and (f) In view of the answer in (a) above this does not arise.

Erection of 132 K.V. Sub-station at Wanaparthy.

52—

3937-I Q.—Sri M. Jayaramloo: Will the Minister for Finance and Power be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that 132 K.V. Sub-station has been sanctioned at Wanaparthy;

(b) the year in which it has been sanctioned;

(c) the amount sanctioned for the said sub-station;

(d) whether the work is under progress and

(e) if so, the stage at which it stands at present?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The scheme covering the sub-station at Wanaparthy, has been sanctioned in the year 1975-76.
(c) An amount of Rs. 126.40 lakhs has been sanctioned for the scheme which contemplates erection of 45 K.M. of 132 K.V. line from Mahabubnagar to Wanaparthy and 132/33 K.V. Sub-station at Wanaparthy.

(d) Yes, Sir.

(e) The site required for the substation has been acquired. The materials required have also been ordered. Some of the materials required for the substation have already been received and major materials like Power Transformers etc. will be received by August, 1979. The 132 K.V. Sub-station is programmed to be commissioned by December, 1979.

Frequent Failure of Lights in Gooty Town.

53—

3942-(R) Q.—Sri K. Venkataramaiah:—Will the Minister for Finance and Power be pleased to state:

(a) when was the Transformer installed at the Gooty Electrical Sub-station;

(b) whether it is a fact that due to heavy load the lights are frequently failing;

(c) whether the Transformer will be replaced or rectified so that the lights will function properly; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

A.—

(a) There are 3 Nos. Distribution Transformers in Gooty town. Recently in March, 1978 the Transformer capacities have been enhanced as detailed below:

(i) 50 K.V.A. to 63 K.V.A.
(ii) 63 K.V.A. to 75 K.V.A.
(iii) 75 K.V.A. to 100 K.V.A.

(b) No. Sir. None of the Transformers in Gooty town are over loaded;

(c) and (d) The L.T. lines connected to the Transformers have been inspected and defects noticed have been rectified. The lights are functioning properly;

Setting up of a Sub-Centre for Tobacco Research in Nellore District

54—

4055 Q.—Sarvasri K.V. Subba Reddy and C.V. Seshu Reddy:—Will the Minister for Agriculture and Civil Supplies be pleased to state:
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(a) the extent of land in which tobacco is grown in Nellore district; and
(b) whether there is any proposal before the Government to set up a sub-centre for tobacco research in Nellore District?

A.—

(a) 30,000 hectares.
(b) No, Sir.

Implementation of the Minimum Wages Act for Agriculture Labour in Andhra Pradesh.

55—

4035 Q.—Sri D. China Malliah—Will the Minister for Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

(a) the districts in which the Minimum Wages Act for Agriculture Labour is being fully implemented in Andhra Pradesh;
(b) the village-wise number of farm servants (Paleru), daily labour in Karimnagar district, in the case of whom the Government got the wages paid in accordance with the Act; and
(c) if not, the time by which the Act will be fully implemented?

A.—

(a) Minimum Rates of Wages are being implemented in the Employment in Agriculture, in all the Districts in the State;
(b) 10 Claim Petitions in Laxmipuram Villages in Karimnagar District were filed by the Labour Officer before the Deputy Commissioner of labour, Warangal in respect of 19 Farm servants (Paleru) and an amount of Rs. 10,200 has been awarded by the Deputy Commissioner of Labour, Warangal during 1978.
(c) Does not arise.

Separate Department of Tribal Welfare for Andhra Pradesh.

56—

2994 Q.—Sri T. Amar Singh—Will the Minister for Social Welfare, Commerce and Export Promotion be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Dhebar Commission on Tribal Welfare, recommended that there should be a separate Department of Social Welfare for each State if the population of rural Tribes of
of that State is 10 lakhs and above and that Department should be kept under the charge of Minister belonging to Scheduled Tribes; and

(b) if so, the reasons for not following such recommendation in Andhra Pradesh where the population of Scheduled Tribes is about 30 lakhs?

A.

(a) and (b): The Dhebar Commission recommended as follows.

"In the States with a tribal population of one Million and above there should be a Minister exclusively incharge of the portfolio of tribal welfare and responsible for the fulfilment of tribal policies and programmes. He need not necessarily be a tribal." The recommendations contained in the Dhebar Commission Report were discussed at a Conference of State Ministers in-charge of Welfare of "Backward Classes" held in July, 1962. The Conference was not in favour of accepting the recommendation to have a separate Minister exclusively in-charge of the portfolio of Tribal welfare in States with a tribal population of one Million and above. However a separate Department of Tribal welfare was established in the year 1966 in G.O. Ms. No. 2710, Education, dated 14th November 1976 and the Department was kept under the charge of a minister.

Establishment of Sugar Factory in Medak District.

3941-(L) Q.-Sarvasri R. Muthyam Reddy, Sri Shiva Rao Shetkal, S. Laksmana Reddy, I. Lingayya and Ch. Vithal Reddy.—Will the Minister for mines and Sugar Industries be pleased to state;

(a) whether it is a fact that the Director Sugar Industries was directed by the Government to submit a report regarding starting of a sugar Factory in Medak District;

(b) whether it is also a fact that he has submitted a report to the Government suggesting the necessity of establishing a sugar factory in Medak District

(c) whether it is also a fact that the Director Sugar Industries has directed the sugar-cane Superintendent of Medak district to submit a detailed report in this regard; and

(d) if so, the action taken on this report by the Government?

A.—

(a) No Sir.
(b) The Director of Sugar has suggested to Government that a Sugar Factory in the Public Sector in Medak District could be set up;

(c) Yes Sir.

(d) Government have advised the Director of Sugar to take up the matter with Nizam Sugar Factory for applying for a licence. Director of Sugar has also been told that Government may not be in a position to take up new sugar factory projects in the next year due to the financial constraints involved.

Construction of an Anicut on Maleru to divert Water to Sydapuram Tank.

58—

365 Q.—Sri Nallapareddy Sreenivasul Reddy:—Will the Minister for Transport and Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to construct an anicut on Maleru to divert water to Sydapuram Tank in Rapur Taluk of Nellore District;

(b) the estimated cost of the scheme;

(c) when will the work be started and completed;

(d) whether the Hon'ble Minister for minor Irrigation has received a representation from Mr. Nallapareddy Sreenivasulreddy MLA. in 1978 to take up this scheme and if so the action taken in the matter; and

(e) whether there is an abandoned supply channel from Maleru to Sydapuram Tank and if so, whether it will be restored?

A.—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c) Does not arise.

(d) Yes, Sir. The representation has been forwarded to the Chief Engineer (Minor Irrigation) for examination and report.

(e) There is no such channel from Maleru. But there is an existing supply channel from surplus course of Jabalapuram Tank, which had breached at two places. It is now proposed to close the breaches of channel and to bring to the required standards for normal functioning to feed Sydapuram Tank. The Chief Engineer (Minor Irrigation) has reported that an estimate for improvements to Sydapuram Tank Supply Channel has been sanctioned by the Superintending Engineer, Nellore Circle. Tenders have been invited to execute the work. The work will be taken up after finalisation of tenders.
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Lifting of Ban on Construction of New Irrigation Project in Manjeera and Manair Basins.

59—

3941-(F)Q.—Sarvasri R. Muthyamreddy, G. Saidaiah, S. Lakshma reddy and Shivarao Shetkar:— Will the Minister for Transport and Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there was a ban on construction of any new Minor Irrigation Project in Medak District in Manjira basin and Manair basin; and

(b) whether it is also a fact that the restriction has been lifted to certain extent, if so, to what extent?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) Yes, Sir.

Minor Irrigation Schemes proposed in Manjeera Valley other than those in Karanja Valley Group II of G.4 are permitted, without detriment to existing Minor Irrigation. Also, Minor Schemes in manair sub-basin in Medak District are allowed taking into account the schemes taken up for construction after 1970.

Inclusion of Haldhi Project in 1st and 2nd Five-Year Plans of the State.

60—

3941 (I) Q.—Sarvasri Muthyamreddy, Shivarao Shetkar, Lakshma reddy, and Janardhan Reddy:— Will the Minister for Transport and Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Haldhi Project in Medak District was included in 1st and 2nd Five Year Plans of the State;

(b) whether it is also a fact that this could not be implemented so far;

(c) if so, whether it is a fact that recently survey of this project has been conducted; and

(d) the estimated cost of the project and when the project will be started?

A:—

(a) No, Sir.
(b) Yes, Sir.

Announcements:
re. Programme for conducting the election to the State Library Committee and various other Railway Users' Consultative Committees.

(c) Yes, Sir. the detailed surveys were conducted in the years 1968 and 1969.

(d) In view of the recent agreement concluded with the Maharashtra and Karnataka Governments regarding sharing of water in the Manjira Basin, the Special Officer, Water Resources has now cleared the schemes duly waiving the ban imposed previously. In view of this the Superintending Engineer, Nizamabad has been asked to submit detailed modified estimate.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

ANNOUNCEMENT

re: Programme for Conducting the Elections to the State Library Committee and various other Railway Users' Consultative Committees

Mr. Speaker:— I am to announce to the House that though programme for the conduct of election of the representatives to serve on the State Library Committee, Zonal Railway Users' Consultative Committee, the South Central Railway, Southern Railway and South Eastern Railway and Divisional Railway Users, Consultative Committees of the South Central Railway for Vijayawada, Hubli and Guntakal divisions, and the Divisional Railway Users, Consultative Committee of Eastern Railway for Waltair division and the divisional Railway Users, Consultative Committee of Southern Railway for Madras division and the Regional Postal Advisory Committee for Andhra Tele-communications Circle, and to the State Telephone Advisory Committee for Andhra Telecommunication circles, as mentioned in the motions Resolutions moved the Ministers concerned on 9.3.1979, elections could not be held during the last meeting of the Assembly.
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance: 21st June, 1979

re: Scarcity of Drinking Water in Anantapur Dist.

I now therefore announce the following programme of conduct of elections to the above mentioned Committees:

1. Last date for making nominations 1.30 p.m. 27.6.1979 (Wednesday)
2. Date of scrutiny of nominations 11.30 p.m. 28.6.1979 (Thursday)
3. Last date for withdrawal of candidature 1.30 p.m. 29.6.1979 (Friday)
4. Date on which a poll shall, if necessary 10.00 a.m. 3.7.1979

Room of the Assembly Buildings, Hyderabad.

Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance

re: (1) Shortage of Drinking Water in Anantapur District

...
21st June, 1979,

Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Scarcity of Drinking Water in Anantapur Dist.

Sir,

I bring to your attention the critical situation prevailing with regard to the scarcity of drinking water in Anantapur District. The situation has worsened significantly due to prolonged drought and inadequate rainfall. The local administration has beenتا to take immediate actions to address this issue.

The population of Anantapur District is facing severe water shortage, with many areas being adversely affected. The water supply has been severely reduced, and residents are facing difficulties in accessing potable water. The local administration has been working overtime to ensure the delivery of water to the affected areas.

Furthermore, the situation has escalated due to the recent increase in demand for water by industries and agriculture. The local administration has been urges citizens to conserve water and adopt water-saving measures.

I request your urgent intervention to address this matter and ensure the availability of drinking water to the people of Anantapur District.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

[Name]

[Position]
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:
re: Scarcity of Drinking Water in Anantapur Dist.


An issue that now requires our immediate attention is the scarcity of drinking water in Anantapur Dist.

[Body of the document discussing the issue in detail, not transcribed due to length and complexity.]
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Strike notice given by the Medical Employees.

The Andhra Pradesh Medical Employees' Union has represented to the Government that there is delay in implementing the agreed decisions arrived at the highest levels of the Ministry and that, unless a high level meeting is held with the participation of Health and Medical and Finance Department representatives, they have no choice but to embark upon a State-wide programme of hunger strike from 27th June, 1979 before all Hospitals and Teaching Institutions and the Secretariat and to hold a strike Ballot on 1st July 1979 for a State wide strike and from the 2nd week of July, 1979.

2. The demands of the Union were discussed with the Representative of the Union at a meeting held in my chambers on 24.7.79 and certain decisions were taken. A statement showing the demands...

re: Strike notice given by the Medical Employees.

of the Union, the decisions taken at the meeting and the action taken on the decisions is placed on the Table of the House. Some of the demands of the Union are covered by the terms of reference to the Pay Revision Commission and they are under consideration of Pay Revision Commission. Certain demands of the Union involve financial implications and these demands could not be considered immediately in view of the ban on unbudgetted expenditure.

3. The Union has now come up with the following fresh demands:

1. that the additional staff such as watchmen, dhobis, tailors, sweepers, sanctioned on the basis of revised yardstick should be confirmed as regular employees as their requirement is of permanent nature.

2. that with the introduction of new timings, the casual leave to the medical employees may be increased from 30 days to 60 days.

3. that the problems of Nizam's Orthopaedic Hospital staff like provident fund, settlement of strike period should be settled.

4. that the decision taken to supply 3 uniforms has not been implemented.

5. that the rules relating to the training of Laboratory Technicians and Laboratory Attendants may be modified so as to provide adequate quota for in-service candidates.

The demand of the Union referred to in item 5 is under consideration of the Government. The other demands of the Union will be examined in consultation with the Director of Medical Education and Administration.
### Statement placed on the table of the House in pursuance of Calling Attention Notice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Demand of the Union</th>
<th>Decision arrived at the Meeting held on 24-2-1978.</th>
<th>Action taken on the decision.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Rectification of anomalies in the pay structure of Medical employees.</td>
<td>As the terms of reference to the Pay Commission also cover the aspect of rectification of anomalies, it has been agreed to await the Pay Commission's Report. If the report does not cover all the anomalies, the left-over items will be taken-up separately and necessary action completed.</td>
<td>Action will be taken on receipt of Pay Revision Commission recommendation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Classification of Class IV employees.</td>
<td>The Union Representatives were assured that the G. O. notifying classification of staff into the categories as in Prasad Commission's recommendation would issue within the next 2 or 3 days, as also the orders rectifying the anomaly in pay scales of the two categories of Ambulance Drivers and Dressers.</td>
<td>The Government considered that the nature of duties attached to the categories of posts in inferior service are not Skilled or Semiskilled or arduous in nature warranting higher scale of Rs. 175-275 in the revised classification 1 (b). The proposal of the Director of Medical Education and Administration...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Risk Allowance Special Pay:

(a) Payment of Risk allowance to the categories left-over.

(b) Since entire staff in M.N.J. Concer Hospital, from Lay Secretary downwards had been covered in regard to the payment of Risk allowance.

(a) The Union Representatives were assured that in case the Pay Revision Commission Report failed to cover this aspect, then the Department would process the same in consultation with Finance Department and try to secure the special pay for the identified categories not covered by the Pay Commission Report.

(b) The Government was also re-examining the issue as regards which specific categories of staff should be eligible for payment of Risk allowance. The Union Representatives agreed to await the final orders of the Government in this regard.

(a) Action will be taken on receipt of Pay Revision Commission recommendation.

(b) The matter is under consideration in consultation with the Director of Medical Education and Administration.

was accordingly rejected—vide G.O. Ms. No. 173, M.&H., dated 5—3—79. Orders have been issued allowing the scale of pay of Rs. 240—420 to the Ambulance Driver and the Dressers.

Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance: Strike Notice given by the Medical Employees.
wance by the recently issued G.O., the same concession should be given to all categories of Health staff working in the Medical Institutions.

(c) While all categories covered by G.O.Ms.No. 463, Finc. Dated 2-11-76 were receiving special pay of Rs. 15/- per month the Thoties who had been given the special pay in terms of an earlier G.O. continued to receive only Rs. 5/- as special pay.

(c) The Representatives were given assurance that the anomaly would be specifically examined and all attempts to set it right expeditiously would be made.

(c) Proposals for enhancement of special pay from Rs. 5/- to Rs. 15/- to the Thoties working in Mental Hospital, Hyderabad and Waltair have been received from the Director of Medical Education and Administration. The case has been remitted to Finance and Planning Department on 11-6-1979 for issue of orders.

4. Sanction of Selection Grade for left over categories.

As no proposals for the sanction of Selection Grade posts for left-over categories have been received from the Director of

Proposals for creation of Selection Grade posts in respect of certain categories in Government Head-Quarters.
Medical Education and Administration, the Union has been asked to give copies of their representations to the Director of Medical Education and Administration, who in turn, is to forward the same to Government fully justifying the proposals.

5. Increase in Uniform and Washing allowance to the Health Visitors and A.N.Ms.

This has been agreed to and orders are to be issued.


It was explained to the Union Leaders that this being a general issue it would be appropriate to await the decision that would be taken at Joint Staff Council which was scheduled to be held on 3rd March, 1972. The Union Representatives agreed to await the decision.

Hospitals, Nellore and Adilabad are under consideration of the Government. In respect of Selection Grade posts in the S.V.R.R. Hospital, Tirupathi, the matter is under consideration in consultation with the Director of Medical Education and Administration.

Proposals for enhancement of washing allowance and uniform allowance to the Health Visitors and A.N.Ms have been received from the Director of Medical Education and Administration and they are under consideration.

The matter is under consideration.
### 7. Contingency Employees:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Facility of C.L. and weekly offs as was given to regular departmental staff.</td>
<td>(a) It was agreed that this would be done and that the Director of Medical Education and administration would issue orders in the matter.</td>
<td>(a) The Director of Medical Education and Administration has issued a Circular Memorandum to grant C.E. upto a limit of 30 days in a year and weekly offs to the contingent employees on par with regular employees.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) The absorption of Contingent employees on permanent basis against vacancies arisen in the permanent establishment of the Institutions in which they work, without once again referring to the Employment Exchanges.</td>
<td>(b) The Representatives were assured that this issue would be duly examined at the Government-level in consultation with the Labour, Employment and Technical Education Department.</td>
<td>(b) The matter is under consideration of the Government.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 8. Sanction of additional staff in various hospitals.

It was explained to the Union Leaders that though it would not be possible in view of the

The Director of Medical Education and Administration has reported that this
resources position to implement the yardsticks in all the hospitals, the creation of certain selective posts in the Institutions would be considered. The Director of Medical Education and Administration was to discuss this with the Union Representatives and came-up to the Government with proposals based on the immediate necessity of creating the posts in identified Institutions.

6. Provision of staff quarters. The Union Leaders were assured that steps would be taken to ensure that the existing quarters are got repaired with the maintenance outlay placed at the disposal of the Chief Engineer (Roads and Buildings) to carry-out annual maintenance work on the buildings belonging to this Department. The Director of Medical Education and Administration was to take-up this in consultation with the Union Representatives.

10. Increase in Casual Leave. It was agreed in principle to increase the casual leave from 30 to 35 days and that necessary action to issue orders in this regard would be taken-up immediately.

11. (a) Avenues of promotion to the Pharmacists. It was explained to the Union Leaders that the orders relating to in-service training for cate-

demand involves financial commitment and it has to be examined in detail. The proposals in this regard are awaited.

The Director of Medical Education and Administration has reported that the Chief Engineer (P. R.) has been requested to issue instructions to all the Executive Engineers to take-up the repairs to all the staff quarters of Medical and Health Department.

The matter is under consideration of the Government.

Proposals in this regard are awaited from the Director of Medical Education and Administration.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(b) <strong>Creation of the posts of Stock Vetsius in all the Hospitals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Increase in training facilities and refresher course.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Relaxation of age and educational qualifications for training of Laboratory Technicians and Laboratory Attendants.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. **Finalisation of Integrated rules for various cadres in order to provide promotional avenues and training facilities**

It was explained to the Representatives that

The Integrated Special Rules for Andhra Pradesh Medical Subordinate Service are being referred to the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission for its concurrence.

3. **Problems of Nizam's Orthopaedic Hospital staff:**

The Government pay scales are not yet implemented. The Union Leaders were informed that the issue of orders of absorption of the Nizam's staff, such as Laboratory Technicians, Laboratory Attendants, Pharmacists and Darkroom Assistants, have already been issued in December, 1978. During discussions, they were also convinced that the decision not to make further recruitment to the posts of Stock Vetsius need not be re-opened. They were also assured that attempts would be made to create promotional avenues for the category of Pharmacists. The Director of Medical Education and Administration was to come with the proposals in this regard.

The Integrated Special Rules for Andhra Pradesh Medical Subordinate Service are being referred to the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission for its concurrence.

The Union Leaders were informed that the issue of orders of absorption of the Nizam's staff such as Laboratory Technicians, Laboratory Attendants, Pharmacists and Darkroom Assistants have already been issued in December, 1978. During discussions, they were also convinced that the decision not to make further recruitment to the posts of Stock Vetsius need not be re-opened. They were also assured that attempts would be made to create promotional avenues for the category of Pharmacists. The Director of Medical Education and Administration was to come with the proposals in this regard.

The Integrated Special Rules for Andhra Pradesh Medical Subordinate Service are being referred to the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission for its concurrence.
mented for certain posts though this Hospital was taken over by the Government more than 2 years ago. The accumulated amounts of the Provident Fund have not been refunded to date. Certain employees who were arbitrarily removed from service before the take-over of the Institution have not been reinstated.

Orthopaedic Hospital staff would issue in the near future. It was also indicated that weightage to the extent of 50% of service under the previous management would also be given while finalising the absorption.

During discussions, it was reiterated to the Union Representatives that the Government would examine staff problems relating to the period subsequent to take-over only. Decisions of the previous management prior to take-over terminating the services of the employees could not be re-opened now.

absorbing certain categories of employees into Government service with effect from 13-2-1976. The Director of Medical Education and Administration was also requested to absorb certain categories of staff by relaxing the relevant rules wherever necessary under the powers vested in him. Orders regarding allowing 50% of past service rendered by them in the Institute prior to its take-over have also been issued in G.O.Ms. No 365, M and H., dated 18-5-1979.
Sri M. Baga Reddy (Minister for Panchayati Raj)—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table, a copy of the following notification in which certain rules have been made under clause (b) of Sub-section (1) of section 69 of the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats Act, 1964 which has been published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette as required under sub-section (5) of section 217 of the said Act.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

(1) Notification of rules of A.P. Gram Panchayats Act, 64.
Reference to the G. O. & Date Reference to the Gazettee
with which the Notification has been published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette.

G O.Ms. No. 29p, Panchayat Raj, Published at pages 1 to 8 of the
Samithi-1) Department, dated Rules supplement to Part-VII of A.P. Gazette, dated 17-3-1979
4-4-1979

(2) Amendment to the A. P. Forest Produce Transit Rules, 1970.

Sri A. Veerappa (Minister for Forests, Marketing & Where-
housing):—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table of the House, a copy of the amendment to the Andhra Pradesh Forest Produce Transit Rules, 1970 issued in G.O. Ms. No. 165 Forests and Rural Development (For III Department dated: 23-2-1979, published at pages 85-90 in Rules supple-
ment to Andhra Pradesh Gazette issue No: 9, dated 1-3-1-79 as
required under sub-section (3) of section 68 of the Andhra Pradesh Forest Act, 1967.

Mr. Speaker:—Paper laid.

PAPERS PLACED ON THE TABLE

re: Decisions of the Business Advisory Committee on 20-6-1970

Sri M. B. ga Reddy:— Sir, On behalf of the Leader of the House, with your permission, I beg to place on the Table of the House, a copy of the Report on the decisions of the Business Advisory Committee taken at its meeting held on 20th June 1979.

Mr. Speaker:—Paper placed.

(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)

GOVERNMENT BILL

THE TIRUMALA TIRUPATHI DEVASTHANAMS BILL, 1979

( Further consideration )
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, yesterday I submitted that there is reason for the Minister to explain as to why ordinance had to be promulgated and as to why the Government could not wait for three weeks, when they could wait for 30 years to bring forward the Bill for adoption before the Assembly?...

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I think there is something wrong in both of you; you are not able to explain the Minister, or he is not in a position to understand you about your point...

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, it is true. The Hon’ble Deputy Speaker must have noticed that the Minister has not even cared to refer to the points raised.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Yesterday the Minister was kind enough to explain.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, he was not kind but he was obliged to explain to us.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—You were not here when actually he tried to explain the position in regard to the Ordinances issued. I think you were in B.A.C.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, I was here and the B.A.C. started after the House was adjourned. బాయపాయినీ బాయపాయికినీ, మీరిస్తే ఎందుకు?

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:—Sir, we are ignorant what the Minister pleads. The Business Advisory Committee is different from the House.
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, if a Minister, who is moving the Bill, claims all virtue for his Bill, there is no need for discussions in this House. The merits of the Bill, will have to be scrutinised by the Joint Select Committee. It is a major Bill.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—First, let the Minister explain the things and you see, always the House is at the liberty to disapprove the Bill also, i.e., the Ordinances issued. You can as well bring before the House through a notice and I am here to entertain, if you give the notice. But how long shall I ask the Minister to go on explain?

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, I would like to point out that this House is not the Body meant for ratifying the Ordinances. It is not the Body for merely approving the Bills. It is a Body for scrutinising and discussing the Bills.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It is true. That is correct.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—You cannot straight away approve the Bills. Then, where is the need for discussion? They can have as well, the Ordinances adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It is prerogative of the House either to approve or to disapprove the Ordinances.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, that is the ultimate authority of the House and what about the intermediary processess?
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—We are at it now. If you want you can
disapprove the Bill and the Ordinances you can give the Notice for
disapproving the Ordinance and the Bill now moved. We can place it
before the House. That is the only thing which I can do now but
I cannot make the Minister to see that you are convinced.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, we can always vote down the Bill
and the ultimate authority rests with us. But the question is as to
whether we are adopting certain procedures for scrutinising the merits
of the Bill or not.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Let us hear atleast the Minister, and
there are so many amendments also. I think you have also moved
amendments and when there are so many amendments, there is no
question of going back on that.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, the Minister has moved the Bill,
without prejudice to our right to demand for Joint Select Committee.
The principle established, does not operate in this case.

10.10 a.m.


Government Bills:
The Tirumala Tirupathi

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—We are at it now. If you want you can
disapprove the Bill and the Ordinances you can give the Notice for
disapproving the Ordinance and the Bill now moved. We can place it
before the House. That is the only thing which I can do now but
I cannot make the Minister to see that you are convinced.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, we can always vote down the Bill
and the ultimate authority rests with us. But the question is as to
whether we are adopting certain procedures for scrutinising the merits
of the Bill or not.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Let us hear atleast the Minister, and
there are so many amendments also. I think you have also moved
amendments and when there are so many amendments, there is no
question of going back on that.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, the Minister has moved the Bill,
without prejudice to our right to demand for Joint Select Committee.
The principle established, does not operate in this case.

10.10 a.m.


Government Bills:
The Tirumala Tirupathi
Government Bill
The Tirumala Tirupathi

I therefore consider this Bill to have been politically motivated by the interests of those who stand to gain from it. I also do not consider him to be an angel of virtue. I therefore consider this Bill to have been politically motivated by the interests of those who stand to gain from it. I also do not consider him to be an angel of virtue.
It is not even well drafted. It has been very illogically and shamefully drafted. The committee of which shall include all the amounts by way of donations, gifts and kanakas, amongst which there shall be 11 members. All moneys belonging to the funds of TTD shall have its own funds, etc.

They have introduced the principle of duality of authority. From now on there will be a diarchy in TTD Board—one is the committee and the other the Board. It is an unwieldy body, it cannot meet frequently and transact business. Therefore you reduce the number of members of the Board, make it more wieldy and manageable. It needs not meet for a second time in the whole year. This Board has been rendered completely impotent, unactive and irrelevant.

10.23 a.m.,

Make it a wieldy and workable body.
Government Bill
The Tirumala Tirupathi


I will draw your attention to Sec. 23 (4) (ii). The maintenance, management and administration of the educational or other institutions specified in the second schedule and the endowments and the properties there of shall be vested in the Board, to be constituted by the Government, or in another body, in either case subject to the provisions of the second schedule.

Provided that the Government may on a resolution passed by both the Houses of the State Legislature by notification published in the A. P. Gazette alter, add to or omit any of the items in the said schedules as far as may be necessary. Therefore consider this Bill to be a backward looking Bill.
Government Bill:
The Tiruna’ā Tirupathi Devasthanams Bill 1979.


...
It can be one of the departments of the Government and the Minister way of acting? Well, the Minister should have been. But I think only the Minister can say in another way to immediately. If we think that this Bill is suitable to be referred to Select Committee, then we can consider it. We will have passed a backward-looking Bill, we will have passed a Bill with obnoxious features. Therefore I would request the Minister not to stand on prestige and to view all our suggestions with open mind.


21st June, 1979

491
Government Bill:
The Tirumala Tirupathi

The administration of T.T.D is vested in a Committee consisting of five persons. One of them is the Executive Officer, who also acts as the Secretary to the Committee. The administration is managed by a Committee consisting of five persons, namely the President, the Executive Officer, and three other members. The President of the Committee is the Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh.

One thing, with all respects to my good friends, I cannot agree that they have the sole monopoly of ability or capacity. There are gentlemen who had occupied the position of Ministers, and leader of opposition and they are also qualified.

Why do these people get themselves oppressed by the IAS domination only? It is always our grievance that the services are being monopolised by these IAS gentlemen. One thing, with all respects to my good friends, I cannot agree that they have the sole monopoly of ability or capacity. There are gentlemen who had occupied the position of Ministers, and leader of opposition and they are also qualified.

Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu :- It is a deliberate obstruction.
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy :- It is the art of opposition.
Government Bill:


"Relief of poverty or distress", advancement of any other object of
utility or welfare to the general public ..

The Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams Bill, 1979,

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—It is not an allegation against the Minister. It is an allegation against the Government.

Sri P. V. Chowday:—Minister is also one of the members.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Minister is a part of the Government and not the whole of the Government.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—I say that the creation of the then Chief Minister Annarao is the creation of the then Chief Minister Annarao.

The Board of T T D. has passed a unanimous resolution for donating 2.7 lakhs for Cancer Therapy in S. V R R. Hospital. According to the Religious Endowments Act, an Executive Officer is lesser Officer than the Commissioner of Endowments. It is a non-religious activity and temple money should not be spent for it.

The Commissioner of Endowments is an Ex-Officio Member and the Executive Officer is also an ex-officio member along with the Chairman and other members. They could have marked in some field of activity.

T T D shall every year out of its funds set apart a sum of not less than Rs. 2 and a half lakhs towards the maintenance of S R Venkateswara University.

Government Bill:
Government Bill


మార్పు పని చేసిన ప్రభుత్వం తన పండితత్వ పరిస్థితులు వారించి యొక్క 16 రోజు వరకు అనేక సమయాన్ని గానితకులను నడుపడానికి ఉపయోగించింది.

సాధనం, అందుకే ప్రభుత్వం తన పండితత్వ పరిస్థితులు వారించి యొక్క 16 రోజు వరకు అనేక సమయాన్ని గానితకులను నడుపడానికి ఉపయోగించింది.

ఇది రాజధానిలో ఉండని మనం స్వాభావికంగా ఉండి, అప్పుడు ఇది ప్రభుత్వం తన పండితత్వ పరిస్థితులు వారించి యొక్క 16 రోజు వరకు అనేక సమయాన్ని గానితకులను నడుపడానికి ఉపయోగించింది.

మూడు పండితులు ఇది ప్రభుత్వం తన పండితత్వ పరిస్థితులు వారించి యొక్క 16 రోజు వరకు అనేక సమయాన్ని గానితకులను నడుపడానికి ఉపయోగించింది.

ఇందులో రాజధానిలో ఉండని మనం స్వాభావికంగా ఉండి, అప్పుడు ఇది ప్రభుత్వం తన పండితత్వ పరిస్థితులు వారించి యొక్క 16 రోజు వరకు అనేక సమయాన్ని గానితకులను నడుపడానికి ఉపయోగించింది.

మూడు పండితులు ఇది ప్రభుత్వం తన పండితత్వ పరిస్థితులు వారించి యొక్క 16 రోజు వరకు అనేక సమయాన్ని గానితకులను నడుపడానికి ఉపయోగించింది.

ఇందులో రాజధానిలో ఉండని మనం స్వాభావికంగా ఉండి, అప్పుడు ఇది ప్రభుత్వం తన పండితత్వ పరిస్థితులు వారించి యొక్క 16 రోజు వరకు అనేక సమయాన్ని గానితకులను నడుపడానికి ఉపయోగించింది.

మూడు పండితులు ఇది ప్రభుత్వం తన పండితత్వ పరిస్థితులు వారించి యొక్క 16 రోజు వరకు అనేక సమయాన్ని గానితకులను నడుపడానికి ఉపయోగించింది.
Government Bill:
The Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams Bill, 1979

11-00 a.m.

(ప) రోజువారు: సమీకృతం.

తిరుమల, తిరుపతి దేవాశంసల సభల శాసనానికి ప్రాథమిక సంపాదన తయారీల్లో ఉన్నత సందర్భంలో ఉన్నది.

తిరుమల, తిరుపతి దేవాశంసల సభల శాసనానికి ప్రాథమిక సంపాదన తయారీల్లో ఉన్నత సందర్భంలో ఉన్నది.

తిరుమల, తిరుపతి దేవాశంసల సభల శాసనానికి ప్రాథమిక సంపాదన తయారీల్లో ఉన్నత సందర్భంలో ఉన్నది.

తిరుమల, తిరుపతి దేవాశంసల సభల శాసనానికి ప్రాథమిక సంపాదన తయారీల్లో ఉన్నత సందర్భంలో ఉన్నది.

తిరుమల, తిరుపతి దేవాశంసల సభల శాసనానికి ప్రాథమిక సంపాదన తయారీల్లో ఉన్నత సందర్భంలో ఉన్నది.

తిరుమల, తిరుపతి దేవాశంసల సభల శాసనానికి ప్రాథమిక సంపాదన తయారీల్లో ఉన్నత సందర్భంలో ఉన్నది.

తిరుమల, తిరుపతి దేవాశంసల సభల శాసనానికి ప్రాథమిక సంపాదన తయారీల్లో ఉన్నత సందర్భంలో ఉన్నది.

తిరుమల, తిరుపతి దేవాశంసల సభల శాసనానికి ప్రాథమిక సంపాదన తయారీల్లో ఉన్నత సందర్భంలో ఉన్నది.

తిరుమల, తిరుపతి దేవాశంసల సభల శాసనానికి ప్రాథమిక సంపాదన తయారీల్లో ఉన్నత సందర్భంలో ఉన్నది.

తిరుమల, తిరుపతి దేవాశంసల సభల శాసనానికి ప్రాథమిక సంపాదన తయారీల్లో ఉన్నత సందర్భంలో ఉన్నది.

తిరుమల, తిరుపతి దేవాశంసల సభల శాసనానికి ప్రాథమిక సంపాదన తయారీల్లో ఉన్నత సందర్భంలో ఉన్నది.

తిరుమల, తిరుపతి దేవాశంసల సభల శాసనానికి ప్రాథమిక సంపాదన తయారీల్లో ఉన్నత సందర్భంలో ఉన్నది.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— It does not apply in the case of members.

"The persons appointed as members under section 1 shall be persons professing Hindu religion. Members shall not be persons professing anti-Hindu religion."

Government Bill
The Tirumala Tirupathi
Devasthanams Bill, 1979

21st June, 1979

11-10 a.m

110 a.m
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11-30 am

The Government Bill:


11-30 am

The Government Bill:
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The man is yielding for some thing else. It is common for every religion whether it is Hinduism, Islamism, Christianism or even for communism. Tirumala should be the Spiritual Headquarters of the World and every nook and corner of this world should have Centres.

The Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams Bill, 1979

11-40 a.m.

That should be there. Service to humanity is the important thing. Spiritualism should be developed properly. Otherwise, this will be another commercial department to collect money from innocent people. That should not be there. That should not be our attitude. We should not compel for conversion at all. Names of Gods does not make religion. Even practices also. Spiritualism is the only thing that is common for all religions and all institutions. Let us make it a National University.
21st June, 1979

It is not the purpose for which the people have contributed. That should be realised.

Government Bill:
The Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams Bill, 1979

11-50 am
Government Bill
The Tirumala Tirupathi


The Tirumala Tirupathi
Government Bill:
The Tirumala Tirupathi


య శ్రేణుడి నాడు కాలానికి ఉదాహరణ, నేడు నాటి సాహిత్యం ప్రకటించిన సహాయక సంస్థ చాలా ప్రసిద్ధి గాంచింది. అంటే నేడు నాటి సాహిత్యం ప్రకటించిన సహాయక సంస్థ చాలా ప్రసిద్ధి గాంచింది.

అదే నాడు ప్రకటించిన సహాయక సంస్థ చాలా ప్రసిద్ధి గాంచింది. అంటే నేడు నాటి సాహిత్యం ప్రకటించిన సహాయక సంస్థ చాలా ప్రసిద్ధి గాంచింది.
Government Bill:

21st June 1979

15-13

The Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams Bill, 1979
Government Bill
The Tirumala Tirupathi

Government Bill:
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The Hon'ble Legislative Assembly of the State of Andhra Pradesh, in its' 7th Order, 10th Amendment, 12th Amendment, 15th Amendment, 18th Amendment, 21st Amendment, 24th Amendment, 27th Amendment, and 30th Amendment, and the相应修正案, enacted the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh Act, 1942, with the object of increasing the share of the people in the decisions that affect them. The said Act was amended by the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh (Amendment) Act, 1947, with the object of increasing the share of the people in the decisions that affect them. The said Act was further amended by the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh (Amendment) Act, 1949, with the object of increasing the share of the people in the decisions that affect them. The said Act was also amended by the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh (Amendment) Act, 1950, with the object of increasing the share of the people in the decisions that affect them.

The Hon'ble Legislative Assembly of the State of Andhra Pradesh, in its' 7th Order, 10th Amendment, 12th Amendment, 15th Amendment, 18th Amendment, 21st Amendment, 24th Amendment, 27th Amendment, and 30th Amendment, and the相应修正案, enacted the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh Act, 1942, with the object of increasing the share of the people in the decisions that affect them. The said Act was amended by the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh (Amendment) Act, 1947, with the object of increasing the share of the people in the decisions that affect them. The said Act was further amended by the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh (Amendment) Act, 1949, with the object of increasing the share of the people in the decisions that affect them. The said Act was also amended by the United Provinces of Agra and Oudh (Amendment) Act, 1950, with the object of increasing the share of the people in the decisions that affect them.
How far it is correct to single out an endowment from other endowments and make a separate statute when compared with other statutes. This is the legal point. There are so many endowment institutions in our State. There is a separate Endowments Act in this endowment also is dealt with under a separate chapter in one Endowments Act was applicable to all endowments in the State. Now only T.T.D. is being made subject to a separate statute. So there will be differences and discrimination in the administration of endowments. So it is permissible under Art. 14 of the Constitution which lays down that there should be equality before law.

The Endowment Act ceases to apply to TTD. This is a self-contained Act and the Act ceases to apply to TTD. There will be no discrimination in the administration of endowments. Sec. 23: All moneys to be invested in securities in accordance with the guidelines as may be issued by the Government in this behalf.

Section 44 - “Save as otherwise expressly provided in this Act, no notification issued, order passed, decision made, proceedings or action taken, or other things done under the provisions of this Act by the Government, the Board, the Committee, the Executive Officer shall be liable to be questioned in any court of law.”
Government Bill


12-20 p.m. (S) B. Rengaswamy (Vice-Chairman) — 'The honourable Member, the Champions, I have the privilege of making a brief statement on the occasion of the opening of the session. It is my pleasure to welcome the distinguished guests, the members of the Governing Body, the officials of the Department of Administration, and the staff of the Management. The session is being convened to transact the business of the Management. The Management has prepared a comprehensive report which contains the details of the work done during the previous year.

The report includes an analysis of the financial statements, the performance of the various departments, and the achievements of the Management. The report also highlights the challenges faced by the Management and the strategies adopted to overcome them. The report is expected to provide a clear picture of the performance of the Management.

In conclusion, I would like to express my gratitude to all the members of the Governing Body for their invaluable suggestions and support. I am confident that with the concerted efforts of all of us, the Management will be able to achieve its objectives and continue its important work.

Thank you.'
Government Bill


Hinduism is not exactly a religion. It is a way of thought...

Hinduism is a universal religion.

...
21st June, 1979


...
Government Bill:
The TIRUMALA TIRUPATHI

21st June, 1979

(1) 15-14

(2) 15-14
Government Bills:
The Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams Bills, 1979

12 40 p.m.

పిల్లడి గణ్యాంధం, భోషించండి చాటి ఆదివంగా (చాటి రాసిన సమయంలో),
సామాన్యంగా సాధారణం ఉండే సమయంలో పనిచేసి, సంస్థ విభాగాలు ఇవి మాత్రమే
పనిచేస్తాం. ఈ సాధారణానికి నాటి పరిస్థితి కాదు, ఈ సమయంలో పనిచేయడం
నాటి పరిస్థితి కాదు. సంస్థ విభాగాలు ఇవి మాత్రమే పనిచేసి, సాధారణం
గా పనిచేయడం మాత్రమే. ఈ సమయంలో పనిచేయడం సంస్థ విభాగాలు ఇవి మాత్రమే
పనిచేసి, సాధారణంగా పనిచేయడం మాత్రమే.
Government Bill: The Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams Bill, 1979

21st June, 1979

The Talamala Tirupati Devasthanams Bill, 1979

The Government of Andhra Pradesh has introduced the Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams Bill, 1979, in the Assembly of Andhra Pradesh. The Bill is designed to provide a legal framework for the management and administration of the Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, which are two major pilgrimage centers in the state.

The Bill seeks to empower the Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams Board to carry out various functions related to the management and administration of the pilgrim centers. It provides for the appointment of a Chief Administrator and other officers to oversee the day-to-day operations of the Board.

The Bill also includes provisions for the appointment of a Board of Trustees to oversee the financial management of the Devasthanams. The Board of Trustees will be responsible for the investment and management of the financial resources of the Devasthanams.

The Bill aims to ensure the proper management and administration of the Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams, which are a major source of income for the state. It also seeks to protect the interests of the pilgrims and ensure their safety during their pilgrimage to the centers.

The Bill is a significant step towards the modernization and development of the Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams. It is expected to bring about a significant change in the way these pilgrimage centers are managed and administered.

The Bill has been referred to the Committee for consideration and report. Once the Committee submits its report, the Bill will be debated and voted on in the Assembly.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh hopes that the passage of this Bill will bring about a better future for the Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams and ensure the safety and well-being of all pilgrims who visit these sacred places.
Section 44: Save as otherwise expressly provided in this Act, no notification issued, order passed decision made, proceedings or action taken, or other things done under the provisions of this Act by the Government, the Board, the Committee, the Executive Officer shall be liable to be questioned in any court of law. If anything is done otherwise expressly provided in or under this Act, nothing herein contained shall affect any established usage, custom, tradition or ritual of any temple or the rights, honours, emoluments and perquisites to which any person may, by custom or otherwise, be entitled in such temple.
Government Bill

The Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams Bill, 1979

21st June, 1979

The Tirumala-Tirupathi Devasthanams shall have its own fund the corpus of which shall include all the amounts received by it by way of donations, gifts, kanukas including offerings deposited in Hundis and any income from any other source and all payments by Tirumala-Tirupathi Devasthanams shall be made from the said funds.

All moneys belonging to the funds.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— Funds belonging to the moneys.
Government Bill:
The Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams Bill, 1979

1-00 p.m.

Proposed amendment to clause 23, reads like this:

In sub-clause (5) of clause 23 for the words “a sum of not less than rupees two and a half lakhs” substitute the following:

“a sum of not less than rupees seven lakhs.” For sub-clause (2) of clause 36 substitute the following:

(2) the quorum for a meeting—

(a) of the Board shall be two of which one shall be the Chairman or the Commissioner;

(b) of the Committee shall be two of which one shall be the Chairman or the Commissioner.”

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: One of them will be a Legislator.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—All of them are nominated. Where is the difference?

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—One of them will be a Legislator. Mr. Deputy Speaker:—All of them are nominated. Where is the difference?

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—One of them will be a Legislator. Mr. Deputy Speaker:—All of them are nominated. Where is the difference?
Government Bill:
The Tirumala Tirupati
Devasthanams Bill 1979

21st June, 1979

527

Who is equal to the Joint Collector or the person who has equal position. ... Who is
equal to the Joint Collector in some of the departments? Can you explain?

Government Bill:
The Tirumala Tirupati
Devasthanams Bill 1979

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy—We are not talking of existing institutions.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—I would like to ask whether it is the object of the Government that T.T.O funds should not be used for any new institution.

Sri Srinivasa Rao: I will clarify the position, Sir.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, Point of order. He is not entitled to give answer, on behalf of the Minister.

I-10 p.m.

(1) Sri Venkateswara Home for the Disabled and Physically handicapped, Tirupathi (S.V Poor Home); and

(2) Sri Venkateswara Balamandir. Tirupathi are there.
There is an elaborate procedure to be adopted

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The funds are utilised also for the

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—We will ratify the Ordinance. Let the

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—If the Minister takes up the position

Where is this Bill which enables the T.T.D. to use its fund

15–15
The Minister should not stand on prestige here.

Mr. Vaikuntam:—They are not discussing the question whether the Minister has prestige or not. They are discussing whether the Minister can start a new institution under the provisions of the Bill. The question is: can the Order of the Minister be changed?

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—I am putting a specific question and the Minister is not in a position to answer it. Under the provisions of the Bill, can the T.T.D. think of starting any new Institution?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It may not be very clear. But there is a provision. This does not prohibit the Government to open any new institution. At any time they can come forward with an Ordinance. Again should they come back to the Legislature for all those things? That means,
again the Legislature power has to be invoked. It must be self-sufficient and comprehensive. It must be self-contained.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—That is for the Government to decide.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—We gave an amendment to Sub Clause (4) of Clause 23, which reads like this—

“Any proposal chosen to provide educational and medical facilities or to promote the economic well being of the needy people. You should have such a provision in the Bill."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—We will take it up at the appropriate time.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—For omitting or adding anything, they have to come to this House.

1-20 p.m.
21st June, 1979

The Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams Bill 1979.

government Bills.

I appeal to the Minister to refer this Bill to the Select Committee.

we could not get the satisfactory answers, He could not convince.

Even if the Bill is passed,

I do not think that even the Secretary has seen it.

I do not think that even the Secretary has seen it.

I do not think that even the Secretary has seen it.

The Government's view is very clear.

Sri S. Jai pal Reddy:—Sir, the Hon'ble Minister has not answered even a single question. He must cover at least one point raised by us. Let him not stand on prestige. Let the bill be referred to the Select Committee.

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:—I think, Sir, you are convinced that the Minister has not gone through the Bill. The Minister has not understood the gravity of the situation. The issue is serious.

The Governor's view is very clear.
Government Bills:
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Mr. Deputy Speaker:—That is not the relevant point here.

Then without discussion we will pass.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—There is only one amendment given by Sri P. Janardhan Reddy.

The question is:

That the Bill be preferred to a Joint Select Committee.

(Interjections)

The House has not come to any consensus. I can only put it to vote. That is the only way out for the Speaker.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Government has not said anything.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—According to your own B.A.C. it has to go to Council today itself. (interjections) Let the concerned Minister for Parliamentary Affairs say something.

Sri P. Ganga Reddy:—B.A.C. decided it already. It has to go to Council. The business of the other House also will be affected.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—We have already moved our amendment. Why should we forego our lunch for this obnoxious Bill.

(Interjections)
Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—It is only the first reading. You can't finish clause by clause to-day. Therefore the only thing we can do now is, to take it up later on. The Minister himself has not answered us. We have got a right to get answers for the points raised in the debate. Even without answering it he can't say 'I am putting the motion and the motion must be passed.'

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It is only at the consideration stage.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: The first reading itself can be taken up to-morrow. Let him consult the Chief Minister. We don't want that the matter should prolong. We want that this matter should be considered by some important persons, stage by stage. Instead of having the first reading today, let us have all the readings tomorrow.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The Government also will agree with me when I say that as it is, there are 63 clauses, and without going into the merits of the first amendment moved by Sri P. Janardhan Reddy the House now stands adjourned to meet again at 8-30 a.m. tomorrow.

[The House then adjourned to meet again at 8-30 a.m. on Friday the 22nd June, 1979.]