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Selling of Yarn outside by writing bills in the name of Dormant Societies by Manager of Chirala Yarn Depot.

25—

*3947 Q.—Sri M. Venkateswarlu (Chirala):—Will the Minister for Elections and Textiles be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that it has been proved that the Manager of Chirala Yarn Depot of the ex-Andhra Handloom Weavers Co-operative Society had sold yarn worth Rs. 17,803/- in outside by writing bills in the name of dormant societies during 1974;

(b) if so, the action taken against the Manager who was responsible for the same; and

(c) if no action is taken, the reasons therefor?

Asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the Member.

J. No, 12
96  10-6-1979

Oral Answers to Questions.

(1) Mr. M. N. Reddy:—1974 10-6-1979

(2) Mr. N. V. R. Reddy:—1974 10-6-1979

(3) Mr. R. M. Reddy:—1977 10-6-1979

(4) Mr. C. R. Reddy:—1977 10-6-1979
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—The Minister says the Department had sent a report to the Police. When the Minister contacted the Police, they say that the report is not received. There is something wrong with the Department.

(a) Whether it is a fact that a lady named Tappata Savitramma was murdered on 31-12-1978 at about 10-00 p.m. at Tekkali near Munsiff Magistrate Court;

(b) Whether the police have been able to trace the culprits and book a case:

Murder of Smt. Tappala Savitramma at Tekkali
Oral Answers to Questions.

(c) if so, the stage at which the case now stands:

(d) whether it is also a fact that the people in the area where the lady was murdered are being harassed regularly by police at very odd hours causing terror in the locality; and

(c) whether instructions will be issued to the police official concerned to stop the harassment of innocent people of the locality?

(g) whether it is a fact that the patta certificates granted under the Abolition of Inams Act 1967, were cancelled after the Act was
Oral Answers to Questions. 18th June, 1979.

struck down by the High Court and the Revenue Department issued executive instructions in G O. Ms. No 1159, dated 29-10-76 to treat the patta certificates as if they were never granted:

(b) whether it also a fact that there is clash between the Abolition of Inams Act, 1967 and the orders issued in G. O. Ms. No 1159, dated 29-10-76 by which many landless poor are foregoing their right of possessing patta certificates; and

(c) the measures Government propose to take in this regard to safeguard the rights of landless poor who possessed patta certificates given under the Abolition of Inams Act 1967?
18th June, 1979.

Oral Answers to Questions

Misappropriation of Cyclone Funds by Village Officer in Gurujavolu Village, Narasaraopet Taluk

28—

*3949 (W) Q.-Sri V. Sobhanadreewara Rao (Vuyyur):-Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that cyclone funds in Gurujavolu village of Narasaraopet Tq. have been misappropriated by the Village Officer (i.e., the Disbursing Officer) by tampering the records committing forgery of signatures etc.

(b) whether any action has been taken or initiated

(c) if not, the reasons therefor: and

(d) the reasons for not suspending so far the Village Officer and the Village Munsiff even though a case was made out by the Enquiry Officer (i.e., Spl.Dy. Tahsil Mar of Narasaraopet) and the ACB?
We are taking action on the R.D.O. and Tahsildar. From today he is kept under suspension.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—What is the action the Government is contemplating against the R.D.O. and Tahsildar?

Mr. Dy. Speaker:—They are going to take action against R.D.O. and Tahsildar.
102

Oral Answers to Questions

18th June, 1973.

Paddy brought from Punjab by the F.C.I. Milled
at Miryalagudem and Anantapur

29—

3849 (U).Q-Sarvasi M. Omkar, N. Raghava Reddy, (Nakrekal),
A. Lakshminarayana (Miryalaguda) and M. Yarraiah Reddy (Shad-
rachalam) —-Will the Minister for Agriculture and Civil Supplies be
pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that about 14500 tonnes of paddy has
been brought so far from Punjab by the F.C.I. to get them milled in
the rice mills at Miryalagudem and Anantapur;

(b) whether our State Government have given consent for
this; and

(c) whether the Government have taken any steps to see the
paddy of Andhra Pradesh only is milled in the Rice mills in our
State?

Mandava Sarvasi M. Omkar, N. Raghava Reddy, (Nakrekal),
A. Lakshminarayana (Miryalaguda) and Yarraiah Reddy (Shad-
rachalam) —-Will the Minister for Agriculture and Civil Supplies be
pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that about 14500 tonnes of paddy has
been brought so far from Punjab by the F.C.I. to get them milled in
the rice mills at Miryalagudem and Anantapur;

(b) whether our State Government have given consent for
this; and

(c) whether the Government have taken any steps to see that
the paddy of Andhra Pradesh only is milled in the Rice mills in our
State?
Oral Answers to Questions. 18th June, 1979. 103

(III) Mr. R. C. :—Kab Holdings Ltd. have been asked to make their proposals for the disposal of the land. The Verge has received a number of representations from different sources in the matter. The discussions have resulted in the preparation of a draft scheme. The Board is now considering the scheme and we hope to have something concrete to report shortly.

We are also in the process of preparing a draft scheme for the disposal of the land at the site of the old railway station. The Board is considering this scheme also.

We are in the process of preparing a draft scheme for the disposal of the land at the site of the old railway station. The Board is considering this scheme also.

We are also in the process of preparing a draft scheme for the disposal of the land at the site of the old railway station. The Board is considering this scheme also.

We are in the process of preparing a draft scheme for the disposal of the land at the site of the old railway station. The Board is considering this scheme also.
(१) यहूदी समाजपाल: - किसे किसे तीन तिथियों में से हिंदी में नाम 10 लिखित गद्दी प्रदान करना पड़ेगा। यदि यहूदी वित्ताधिकारी 5 सालों, हैं।

(२) नौकर: - 60 कस्बों में वित्ताधिकारी 15 साल तक तीन तिथियों में से नाम 7 के लिए तीन तिथियों में से हिंदी में नाम 10 लिखित गद्दी प्रदान करना पड़ेगा।

(३) इस्लामिक समाजपाल: अतीतकालीन सप्ततिथियों में से 60 कस्बों में वित्ताधिकारी 15 साल तक तीन तिथियों में से हिंदी में नाम 10 लिखित गद्दी प्रदान करना पड़ेगा।

(४) ब्रिटिश से: 60 कस्बों में वित्ताधिकारी 15 साल तक तीन तिथियों में से हिंदी में नाम 10 लिखित गद्दी प्रदान करना पड़ेगा। यहूदी समाजपाल वित्ताधिकारी 5 सालों में से हिंदी में नाम 10 लिखित गद्दी प्रदान करना पड़ेगा।

(५) नौकर: 60 कस्बों में वित्ताधिकारी 15 साल तक तीन तिथियों में से हिंदी में नाम 10 लिखित गद्दी प्रदान करना पड़ेगा।

(६) यहूदी समाजपाल: - किसे किसे तीन तिथियों में से हिंदी में नाम 10 लिखित गद्दी प्रदान करना पड़ेगा।

(७) नौकर: - 60 कस्बों में वित्ताधिकारी 15 साल तक तीन तिथियों में से हिंदी में नाम 10 लिखित गद्दी प्रदान करना पड़ेगा।

(८) इस्लामिक समाजपाल: अतीतकालीन सप्ततिथियों में से 60 कस्बों में वित्ताधिकारी 15 साल तक तीन तिथियों में से हिंदी में नाम 10 लिखित गद्दी प्रदान करना पड़ेगा।
Oral Answers to Questions. 18th June, 1979.

Expansion of Total Capacity of Anakapalli Co-op. Sugar Factory

*4648 Q.—Sri Bhattam Striramamurty (Paravada):— Will the Minister for Mines and Sugar Industries be pleased to state;

(a) whether there are any proposals before the Government to modernise and expand the total capacity of the Anakapalli Co-operative Sugar Factory from 900 tonnes to 1250 tonnes at a cost of Rs. 2 1/2 crores; and

(b) if so, the action taken in this regard and when the work will be taken up?

Expansion of Total Capacity of Anakapalli Co-op. Sugar Factory

31——
(a) whether a "Complaints Cell" has been created and functioning under the authority of the A. P. S. R. T. C. in regard to the running buses in the State; and

(b) if so, the number of complaints received and the number of cases disposed off?

Sri. K. Venkataramayya:—The District Collector is considered to be the Regional Transport Authority. Or there is the Regional Transport Officer, so far as the Transport Department is concerned, the statutory officers are entrusted with looking into the complaints. Why not a similar procedure be followed here and the Dist. Collector, R.T.A., or R.T.O. entrusted with looking into complaints so that there will be quick action.

Sri. K. Venkataramayya:—The District Collector is considered to be the Regional Transport Authority. Or there is the Regional Transport Officer, so far as the Transport Department is concerned, the statutory officers are entrusted with looking into the complaints. Why not a similar procedure be followed here and the Dist. Collector, R.T.A., or R.T.O. entrusted with looking into complaints so that there will be quick action.

Sri. K. Venkataramayya:—The District Collector is considered to be the Regional Transport Authority. Or there is the Regional Transport Officer, so far as the Transport Department is concerned, the statutory officers are entrusted with looking into the complaints. Why not a similar procedure be followed here and the Dist. Collector, R.T.A., or R.T.O. entrusted with looking into complaints so that there will be quick action.
Oral Answers to Questions. 18th June, 1979.

We do involve the non-officials or the complaint whoever it is.

Setting up of White Cement Factory near Tada in Nellore District

(a) whether permission has been granted for the setting up of a white cement factory near Tada in Nellore district;

(b) the names of the partners of the said industry;

(c) whether the construction work of the factory has been started;

(d) if not, the reasons for the delay; and

(e) the annual production and Employment Potential of the same?

* 33350-Q.—Sri Nallapareddi Sreenivasul Reddi (Venkatagiri):—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
Starting of Eight Cement Factories in the State

---

*4324-Q-Sri D. Narasaiah (Asifabad) and Smt. D. Sarojini Devi (Gopalgurum):—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is fact the Government had taken a decision to start eight cement factories in the State:

(b) if so, what are the places selected therefor:

(c) the time by which they will be started, and

(d) the individual production capacity of the factories?

---

STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE

[Answer to clauses (b) (c) & (d) of L.A.Q. No. *4324]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Unit</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>The year by which they are likely to go into production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M/s Cement Corporation of India Ltd, New Delhi.</td>
<td>Yerraguntla, Cuddapah</td>
<td>4.00 lakh TPA</td>
<td>October, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M/s Cement Corporation of India Ltd, New Delhi.</td>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td>4.00 lakh TPA</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M/s Cement Corporation of India Ltd, New Delhi.</td>
<td>Tandur, Rangareddy District.</td>
<td>4.00 lakh TPA</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M/s Panyam Cements and Mineral Industries Ltd., Kurnool.</td>
<td>Rayalacheruvu, Anantapur, Dist.</td>
<td>4.00 lakh TPA</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M/s Tadipatri Cements Ltd., Tadipatri, Anantapur District.</td>
<td>Tadipatri, Anantapur District.</td>
<td>4.00 lakh TPA</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M/s Andhra Cement Company Ltd., Vijayawada.</td>
<td>Porlapalem village, Visakhapatnam, Dist.</td>
<td>2.50 lakh TPA</td>
<td>December, 1979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M/s Andhra Cement Co., Ltd., Vijayawada.</td>
<td>Nadikudi, Guntur Dist.</td>
<td>4.00 lakh TPA</td>
<td>1981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
114 18th June, 1979, Oral Answers to Questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M/s. Rasi Cements Ltd.,</td>
<td>Wazirabad,</td>
<td>3.00 lakh 1981</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td>Nalgonda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hyderabad.</td>
<td>District.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calcutta.</td>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hyderabad.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>District.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M/s. Texmaco Ltd.,</td>
<td>Yerraguntla</td>
<td>24.00 lakh 1982</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td>Calcutta.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hydrabad.</td>
<td>Cuddapah</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M/s. A.P. Industrial</td>
<td>Jagtial</td>
<td>4.00 lakh 1982</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td>Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corporation Ltd.,</td>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>District.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M/s. Kesoram Cements</td>
<td>Jutur,</td>
<td>4.00 lakh 1982</td>
<td>TPA</td>
<td>Industries Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industries Ltd.</td>
<td>Anantapur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Basanthinagar,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Karimnagar District.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cuddapah Dist.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Starting of Five Big Forest Based Industries

"4479 Q.—Sri B. Niranjan Rao (Malleswaram) —Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to start five big forest based Industries; and

(b) if so, the names of these Industries; and

(c) the time by which they will come into existence?

Statement Placed on the Table
[Answer to (b) & (c) of L.A.Q. No. *4479]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Unit</th>
<th>Nature of the Unit</th>
<th>Stage of implementation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
116 18th June, 1979.
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(ప్రత్యేక విభాగం 8 నుండి 2 ఎక్కడ 3 రోటేషన్ మార్కం.

ప్రత్యేక విభాగం 20 నుండి 6 ఎక్కడ అభివృద్ధిప్రాంగణం వాడడానికి, అంతే కాక మరో 60 రోటేషన్ పదార్థాలను కూడా అభివృద్ధి చేస్తుంది. ఇప్పటికి అంతర్గత పదార్థాల సమయానిక కంటే 15 సంఖ్య అవసరం ఉంది, కంటే ప్రత్యేక విభాగం 1 సంఖ్యం 45 సంఖ్య అవసరం ఉంది. ఇప్పటికి అంతర్గత పదార్థాలను కూడా అభివృద్ధి చేస్తుంది.

గాద్వాల వైద్య పరిస్థితి సమయానిక కంటే 3 సంఖ్యం 30 ఎక్కడ అభివృద్ధి చేస్తుంది. ఇప్పటికి అంతర్గత పదార్థాలను కూడా అభివృద్ధి చేస్తుంది. కాదో ఇలాంటి పరిస్థితి వైద్య పదార్థాల సమయానిక కంటే 17 సంఖ్య అవసరం, కంటే ప్రత్యేక విభాగం 4 సంఖ్య అవసరం ఉంది. ఇప్పటికి అంతర్గత పదార్థాలను కూడా అభివృద్ధి చేస్తుంది. కాదో అంతర్గత పదార్థాల సమయానిక కంటే 11 సంఖ్య అవసరం, కంటే ప్రత్యేక విభాగం 11 సంఖ్య అవసరం ఉంది.

requently, ఇతర సిద్ధాంతాలు లేకుంటే నమ్మాం ఉండాలి. విశ్లేషణలు లేకుంటే నమ్మాం ఉండాలి. విశ్లేషణలు లేకుంటే నమ్మాం ఉండాలి.

ధ్యానం దీని అంశం తెలుస్తుంది. అంశం తెలుస్తుంది. అంశం తెలుస్తుంది.

ప్రత్యేక విభాగం (20 సంఖ్య): — ఇప్పటికి ఎదురు అంశం తెలుస్తుంది. ఎంటర్ పరిస్థితి లేదా ప్రత్యేక విభాగం లేదా పరిస్థితి లేదా పదార్థాల పాలన అంశం తెలుస్తుంది?

ప్రత్యేక విభాగం (పాలన విభాగం): — ఇప్పటికి ఎదురు అంశం తెలుస్తుంది. ఎంటర్ పరిస్థితి లేదా పదార్థాల పాలన అంశం తెలుస్తుంది.

ప్రత్యేక విభాగం (డాక్టర్ హోస్పిటల్): — ఇప్పటికి ఎదురు అంశం తెలుస్తుంది. ఎంటర్ పరిస్థితి లేదా పదార్థాల పాలన అంశం తెలుస్తుంది.

ప్రత్యేక విభాగం (ప్రత్థితి విభాగం): — ఇప్పటికి ఎదురు అంశం తెలుస్తుంది. ఎంటర్ పరిస్థితి లేదా పదార్థాల పాలన అంశం తెలుస్తుంది.

ప్రత్యేక విభాగం (డాక్టర్ హోస్పిటల్): — ఇప్పటికి ఎదురు అంశం తెలుస్తుంది. ఎంటర్ పరిస్థితి లేదా పదార్థాల పాలన అంశం తెలుస్తుంది.

ప్రత్యేక విభాగం (ప్రత్థితి విభాగం): — ఇప్పటికి ఎదురు అంశం తెలుస్తుంది. ఎంటర్ పరిస్థితి లేదా పదార్థాల పాలన అంశం తెలుస్తుంది.
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Exemption from the provisions of Urban Ceilings act for Acquisition of House Sites to Poor.

314—

L.A.Qs. Postponed from 19-3-1979

*2292-F: Sarvasri G. V. Anjaneya Saima, L. Eswar Rao:— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal before the Government for giving a general exemption from the provisions of the Urban Ceiling Act to all proposals for acquisition of House sites to poor people; and
(b) if not, whether the Government has any intention to make such proposal?

Mr. D. V. — (2) Chintal.

(2) Chintal.

9 30 a.m.

Mr. D. V. — (2) Chintal.

The 18th June, 1979.

Oral Answers to Questions.
Release of Naxalite Prisoners

315—

*3930-(F).-Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao :—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the State Government are strictly adhering to its proclaimed policy of releasing the Naxalite Prisoners who are languishing in different jails of our State;

(b) if so, the names of the prisoners who were released and the dates of their release;

(c) the number of the prisoners who are eligible for the release but are not released; and

(d) the reasons for their continued detention?

Dr. M. Channa Reddy :—

(a) The State Government have been following the guidelines issued by the Government of India in the matter of premature release of Naxalite/Extremist prisoners except where the public interest and the security of the State are concerned;

(b) The following 17 were released on the dates noted against each:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date of Release</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Sri K. Mukhyalingam</td>
<td>12–6–1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Sri Hemachandra Panigrahi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Sri P. Polaiah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Sri R. Tatalu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Sri M. Kanakaiah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Sri M. Latchanna</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Sri B. Anand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Sri G. Pydiah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Sri Arika Dowzara</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Sri P. Laxminarayana</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Sri S. Balima</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Sri K. Sunkanna</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Sri Nimmaka Kistayya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Sri Biddika Mangayya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Nammuka Sukkaiah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Sri Kurangi Chakrapani</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Seven (7) Sir.
(d) The release of these 7 has not been considered desirable in public interest and also in view of the fact that they have been convicted for previous offences.
Written Answers to Questions.

Construction of a Bund on the River Flowing Near Momidi Village

1—

2280 (H) Q.—Sri Nallapareddi Sreenivasul Reddi:— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the estimated cost for the construction of a bund on the river flowing near Momidi village, Nellore district to protect the said village from inundation:

(b) whether it is a fact that tenders invited once, have been cancelled;

(c) if so, the reasons therefor;

(d) whether tenders were invited for second time again;

(e) whether it is also a fact that the second tender was withdrawn;

(f) if so, the reasons therefor;

(g) the person to whom the said work was entrusted, and

(h) the higher rate at which it was entrusted?

A.—

(a) The estimated cost is Rs. 2.74 lakhs.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) The tenders received are on high side with a percentage of excess ( ) 55.14% over estimate rates.

(d) Yes, Sir.

(e) Yes, Sir.

(f) As the reduced rates quoted by the Original tenderer after negotiations were (+) 8.465 % excess over rate rates as against the (+) 55.14% quoted by him earlier, the second tender call was withdrawn in view of the urgency of work, as the villagers were panicky about the tidal waves.

(g) Sri V. Sundara Rami Reddi.

(h) (+) 8.465 % excess over estimated rates.
2—

3752 Q.— Sri A. Easwara Reddy:—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation has built a shopping cum commercial complex near municipal Office building at Tirupathi:

(b) whether it is given to any private party on rental basis, and

(c) whether the apartments are given to the parties on hire purchase system?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir. The Corporation has constructed a shopping cum commercial complex near municipal Office Building at Tirupathi.

(b) No, Sir. Efforts are being made by the Corporation to allot all shops and halls to private parties. At present Zonal Engineer and Deputy Zonal Engineer Offices of the Corporation are located in the Commercial Complex.

(c) No, Sir. The Corporation proposes to let out the shops and cellar floor and the first floor halls on rental basis.

Feeding the Tanks in Jogipet and Sangareddy Taluks by Singoor Project.

3—

3941 (H) Q. Sri R. Muthyam Reddy:—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a provision has been made in the Singoor Project to feed the tanks in Jogipet and Sangareddy taluks of Medak district; and

(b) if so, whether it is a fact that this facility will be available only in the rainy season (when the river is in flood and the project is in surplus?)

A.—

(a) The Singoor Project is proposed to meet the additional water supply requirements of twin cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad. There is no provision for tank feeding.

(b) Does not arise.
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Misappropriation of Funds in the Rice Mill of Krishna Co-operative Marketing Society, Machilipatnam.

4—

4610 Q.—Sarasri K. Satyanarayana, M. Omkar and Smt M. Swarajyam:— Will the Minister for Co-operation and Small Scale Industries be pleased to state:

(a) whether any misappropriation of funds has come to the notice of the Government in the rice mill of Krishna Co-operative Marketing Society in Machilipatnam; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

A.—

(a) No misappropriation was noticed. However, during 1973-74 there was a deficit of Rs. 16,596.48 in the processing of paddy worth Rs. 4,21,836.10 into rice owing to low yield of rice. The cumulative loss of the society is Rs. 4.86 lakhs and its liabilities outside exceed its realizable assets.

(b) An inspection under section 52 of Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act has been ordered to find out the cause for the losses. The inspection is in progress.

Providing of Loans to the Members of the Tobacco Growers' Cooperative Societies from Apex Banks.

5—

4410 Q.— Sri N. Venkataratnam Naidu:— Will the Minister for Co-operation and Small Scale Industries be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government proposed to provide loans through the Tobacco Growers' Co-operative Societies to their members from Apex Banks?

A.—

There is no such proposal. Primary Agricultural Credit Societies are providing crop loans to their members for Seasonal Agricultural Operations, including cultivation of Tobacco, as per the guidelines issued by the Reserve Bank or Apex Bank. Such loans are not issued through functional societies such as Tobacco Growers' Co-operative Societies.

Construction of a Guest House for Sri Venkateswara Sugar Factory, Gajulamandyam.

6—

3456 Q.— Sri A. Eswara Reddy:— Will the Minister for Mines and Sugar Industries be pleased to state:
124 18th June, 1979. Written Answers to Questions.

(a) whether a Guest House was built for Sri Venkateswara Sugar Factory, Gajulamandyam, Chittoor district;

(b) the estimated cost of the guest house, and

(c) the present stage at which the construction work of the building stands?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Rs. 500,724,75.

(c) The construction is completed.

Protected Water Supply Schemes taken up During 1978-79.

7—

3931 (P) Q.— Sri K. B. Siddhiah:—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) the total number of P.W.S.schemes taken up by the Government during the year 1978-79, with financial assistance from the Government of India;

(b) the number of villages identified as problem villages where acute shortage of drinking water is experienced;

(c) whether the programme chalked out by the State Government will cover all the problem villages of the State and if so their number, and

(d) the number of P. W. S. schemes undertaken by the Government from its own funds and whether these schemes are confined to the problem villages?

A.—

(a) 144 P.W.S. Schemes covering 177 villages have been taken up under CAP during 1978-79.

(b) The number of revenue villages identified as problem villages in 1972 is 2,893 where as the number of problem villages including settlements, is found to be 5,742.

(c) The programme will cover problem villages in a phased manner. During 1977-78, 569 villages and during 1978-79 577 villages have been cleared.

(d) The total number of schemes under taken by the Government from its own funds is 377 which include 305 P.W.S. Scheme sanctioned under normal plan and 172 schemes sanctioned under L.I.
loan assistance programme to the extent of 50% of cost. These schemes cover all villages including a few problem villages.

Enquiry on the Alleged Abuse of Power by Sarpanch and Upa-Sarpanch of Velpur Gram Panchayat.

8—

3952-(R) Q.— Sri K. Govinda Rao:—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj and Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether any enquiry was conducted by the District Panchayat Officer, Eluru West Godavari district on the alleged abuse of power by the Sarpanch and Upa Sarpanch of Velpur Gram Panchayat in Tanuku taluk West Godavari district and

(b) if so the action taken thereon?

A.—

(a) Yes Sir.

(b) The Collectorate West Godavari issued necessary instruction to the Panchayat to initiate action to cancel the decision taken to reduce House Tax under assessment No 2376 to remove the house constructed by Reddy Bodeyya in the burial ground and to take possession of trees planted by Reddi Bodeyya in the burial ground after obtaining legal opinion.

Extension of Relief to the Government Pensioners to the Pensioners of Panchayati Raj.

9—

4033 Q.— Sarvasri K.U. Subba Reddy and C.V. Sesha Reddy:—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj and Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether the pensioners of the Panchayati Raj Department of Nellore district have given a representation to the Governor of Andhra Pradesh on 30th January, 1979 during the tour at Nellore requesting for extension of further reliefs sanctioned to the State Government Pensioners to them, and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

A.—

(a) No, Sir. The general proposal regarding the extension of ad hoc and other relief of the Government Pensioners to the Panchayati Raj Pensioners is under examination of the Government.

(b) Does not arise.
Conversion of 12 K M. Road Between Parkal and Kantatmakur into Tar Road.

10—

2284 (B) Q.— Sri C Janga Reddy :— Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj and Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that tenders had been received one year back converting the 12 K M road between Parkal and antatmakur, in Parkal taluk Warangal disrict into tar road;

(b) if so, the reason for not starting the work, till now; and

(c) the action to be taken by the Government in this matter ?

A —

(a) Tenders for the first 5 K Ms. of the road between Parkal and Kantatmakur were set’ed in March, 1977 and the tenders for the balance 7 K Ms were settled in August 1978.

(b) The conractor for the first 5 K Ms has already started the work and the contractors for the balance 7 K Ms work were making preliminary arrangements for starting the work.

(c) Necessary action to step up the progress of work in terms of Agreement conditions will be taken up.

Widening the Bridge in between Sazzavantipalem and Chirakalavartipalem.

11—

3092 Q.— Sri E. Subba Rao:— Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj and Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal pending with the Government for widening the bridge in between Sazzavantipalem and Chirakalavartipalem on the Highway Road leading from Repalle to Chirala,

(b) if so, when will it be commenced, and

(c) if not whether the Government will now consider the same?

A.—

(a) No, sir.

(b) does not arise in view of the reply to item (a).

(c) Priority is now given for improving the road surface from Repalle to Pittalavartipalem. There is also no hindrance to the road traffic on the bridge at present. Hence the question of widening the bridge will be considered in due course depending upon the availability of funds.
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Taking over of Road from Yerragondapalem to Ganjuparipalem by the R. and B. Department.

12—

3931-Q.—Sri Poola Subbaiah:—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj, Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Road from Yerragondapalem of Prakasam district to Ganjuparipalem was taken over by the Roads and Buildings Department;

(b) if so, whether the said road was repaired; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

A.—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c) Does not arise.

Construction of a Low Bridge in Kothagudem Town.

14—

4005-Q.—Sri M. Yerraiah Reddy:—Will the Minister for Panchayathi Raj, Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether representations have been received from the public for the construction of a low bridge in Kothagudem town, Karimnagar district; and

(b) the steps taken by the Government there?

A.—

(a) and (b) Sir, it is not clear whether the bridge referred to by the Member is on a Railway line or across a watercourse. There is however a causeway across, Murredu river in K.M. 46'4 on Tallada-Kothagudem road. It is proposed to construct a high level
bridge at the place at an approximate cost of Rs. 25,00 lakhs. The work is also included in the Budget Estimated 1979-80. There is no representation to construct the above bridge.

Widening of the Bridge on river Hundy at Kurnool.

15—

4230-Q.—Sri K. Anki Reddi:—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj, Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to widen the Bridge on river Hundy at Kurnool (near Woodlands Hotel);

(b) if so, the time by which the work will be executed; and

(c) if not, the reasons thereof

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The work is included in the investigation programme for preparation of plans detailed estimate. The question of including this work in the Annual Plan of Roads and Buildings Department will be considered after finalising estimate and depending upon the availability of funds.

(c) Does not arise.

Purchasing of Endowment Land at Devanikhardrige for the Vatambedu Field Labourers' Co-operative Society Ltd.

16—

3286-Q.—Smt. M. Swaraiyam, S. Varri K. Satyanarayana, M. Yaraiab Reddy and M. Omkar:—Will the Minister for Endowments and Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the District Collector Nellore sent proposals recommending to purchase the Endowment land bearing S. No. 6 measuring Ac. 36.83 cents situated at Devukindla in the Vatambedu of Sullurpet taluk, Nellore district for the Vatambedu Field Labourers' Co-operative Society Limited No. 3286;

(b) if so, when were the proposals sent; and

(c) the action taken thereon?

A.—

(a) No proposals were sent by the Collector, Nellore to the Endowments Department recommending the sale of the said land.
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(b) Does not arise.

(c) Does not arise.

Filling up of Lady Doctor in Civil Hospital at Veenavanka

17—

3775-Q—Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:—Will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) the reasons for keeping the post of lady doctor vacant for the past one year in the Civil Hospital at Veenavanka, Karimnagar district; and

(b) whether any alternative arrangements have been made for the treatment of women patients in the said Hospital?

A.—

(a) Due to death of Lady Medical Officer the post could not be filled up. It will be filled up as soon as a Lady candidate is available.

(b) The District Medical and Health Officer, Karimnagar is instructed to arrange one Lady Medical Officer of nearby Institution to attend on the women patients by keeping her incharge of the post.

Appointment of a Lady Doctor at Sircilla Hospital.

18—

3931-G.-Q.—Sri Ch. Rajeshwara Rao:—Will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the people of Sircilla town have been repeatedly demanding The Director of Medical Services to appoint an Lady Doctor at Sircilla Hospital;

(b) if so, the action taken by Government in this connection; and

(c) whether it is also a fact that the citizens of Sircilla have served notice to the Director of Medical Services to go on hunger strike to draw the attention of the State Government to the said simple demand of the people?

A.—

(a) No. Sir, only Sri Ch. Rajeshwara Rao, M.L.A has represented through his D O Letter, dated 15th December, 1973 for posting a Lady Doctor to Government Hospital Sircilla.

(b) Dr. D. Naga Aja Kumari, Women Assistant Surgeon has since been posted to Government Hospital, Sircilla.
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(c) No, Sir. It is not a fact. However a representation from the citizens of Sircilla has been received by the District Medical and Health Officer, Karimnagar requesting for posting a Lady Medical Officer to Government Hospital, Sircilla which was relieved by the Director of Medical Education and Administration on 23rd February, 1979. Immediate action was taken to post Lady Medical Officer as demanded by the citizens of Sircilla.

Missing of 500 Metres of Aluminium Wire from the Electric Sub-Station, Parkal.

19—

3592-Q.—Sri Ch. Vittal Reddy:—Will the Minister for Finance and Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that 500 metres length of Aluminium was found missing on the night of 25th October, 1978 in the Electric Sub-Station, Parakal, Warangal district; and

(b) if so, the action taken against the persons responsible therefor?

A—

(a) Yes, Sir. Theft of 500 metres of 7/2.21 of Aluminium wire worth Rs. 500 occurred on 25th October, 1978 at Parakal, 33 K. V. Sub-Station yard, Warangal district.

(b) The theft case has been reported at Parakal Police Station on 24th October, 1978 by the Assistant Divisional Engineer, Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board and the case has been registered against Crime No. 125. The Police investigation report is awaited. It is reported that no departmental person is responsible for the above theft.

Establishment of a Veterinary Hospital or a Key Village Centre at Kallepally Village.

20—

3794-Q.—Sarvari A. Lakshminarayana, N. Raghava Reddy, M. Omkar and Smt Mallu Swarajyam,—Will the Minister for Animal Husbandry, Information and Public Relations be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have assured the people of Kallepally village in the month of November, 1978 that either a Veterinary Hospital or a Key Village Centre will be established at Kallepally village of miryalagudem taluk in Nalgonda district; and

(b) if so, when it will be started?

A—

(a) No, Sir.
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(b) Does not arise. A representation of the villagers was received and the matter will be considered when new schemes are taken up in the Sixth Plan basing on availability of funds on priority basis.

Poultry Feed Manufacturing Centres in Andhra Pradesh

21—

3942-(D)-Q.—Sri B. Sammaiah—Will the Minister for Animal Husbandry, Information and Public Relations be pleased so state:

(a) the number of Poultry Feed Manufacturing Centres in the State of Andhra Pradesh;

(b) the places where they are located;

(c) whether it is a fact that the Government proposed to start some more poultry feed manufacturing centres;

(d) if so, their number and location;

(e) the expenditure to be incurred for starting one Poultry Feed manufacturing Centre;

(f) whether there is any proposal with the Government to start such a Centre at Warangal; and

(g) if so, when?

A.—(D)

(a) Nine, Sir.

(b) 1. Vijayawada
  2. Visakhapatnam
  3. Saroornagar, Hyderabad
  4. Chittor
  5. Dichpalli, Nizamabad district
  6. Patancheru, Medak district
  7. Cuddapah
  8. Kurnool.
  9. Nellore

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) Three (3) at Warangal, Ongole and Kakinada.

(e) Depending upon the size of the Plant, and the infrastructure, each unit may cost about Rupees two to three lakhs.

(f) Yes, Sir.
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(g) The farm e parcel on which they have just been placed and which is referred to in your question is on its receipt. Expected month of tithe is Aug.

22.

407. Q.—Y. Venkata Reddy R. C. — Will the Minister for Animal Husbandry, Information and Public Relations be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Minister has proposed to set up a Milk Chilling Plant at Kolhapur in the Kolhapur district; and

(b) if not, whether the Government will consider the feasibility of starting a milk chilling plant keeping in view the large number of dairy cattle in the taluk?

A.—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) The survey report revealed that it is not technically feasible to establish a Milk Chilling Centre at Kolhapur. When the Mini Milk Chilling Centre at Wanaparthi is commissioned the Kolhapur area will be covered for collection of milk.

Usage of Veterinary Hospital at Vuyyuru.

23.

4170. Q.—Sri V. Soumasand eswara Rao.— Will the Minister for Animal Husbandry, Information and Public Relations be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the building constructed at a cost of Rs. 20,000 for the Veterinary Hospital at Vuyyuru is not yet put to use;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor; and

(c) the steps taken by the Government to bring the building into use?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) There is a difficulty about the approach road from the nearest point on the Main Road to the new building. It has to pass through a private land and the owner has refused to allow passage even at cost.

(c) The Gram Panchayat has agreed to lay a road to the building which is rather round about. It is round about through Zilla Parishad land which has to be got alienated in favour of Gram Panchayat. Action is being taken to hasten the issue.
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RETRAcHMMENT OF CERTAIN FACTORS - SIVAMIT AND HATINOCR CO OPERATIVE CORPORATION, REDDI.

2 —

172-Q.—Sri K. Govir Rao:—"Are there any staff working in the Social Welfare, Commerce and1

(a) if so, the reasons therefor;

(b) if so, from which da e the increased stipend would be given?

A.—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

INCREASE OF STIPEND TO THE BACKWARD CLASS HOSTELS

25 —

2280 (P) - Q.—Sarvati Ch. Ramswara Rao:—Will the Minister for Social Welfare, Commerce and1

(a) whether steps will be taken to issue orders for starting additional Grijian Welfare Hostels at Sivamit and Hatinocr in view of the fact hat Grijian population is more in Hatinocr taluk, Medak district; and

(b) whether orders will be issued immediately for starting hostels at the above places to provide education and opportunities for the said poor children?

A.—

(a) It is not possible to open new Scheduled Tribe Hostels at Sivampet and Hatinocr (villages) of Narsapur taluk, Medak district, during the current year.

(b) Does not arise.
Advancing Loans under D.P.A.P. Programme for Sheep Units in Markapur and Yerragondapalem Taluks.

27—

3948-(A)-Q—Sarvasri Pcola Subbaiah, Ch. Rajeswara Rao and K. Govinda Rao:—Will the Minister for Rural Development be pleased to state:

whether it is a fact that the Commercial Banks are not advancing loans to the D.P.A.P. Programme for sheep units in Markapur and Yerragondapalem taluks even though the subsidy portion was sanctioned by the D.P.A.P. authorities of Prakasam district?

A.—

No, Sir.

Construction of a Warehouse at Narsapur

28—

3946-(M)Q—Sarvasri Ch. Vittal Reddy and K. Govinda Rao:—Will the Minister for Forests and Marketing be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri Ch. Vittal Reddy, M.L.A. has addressed a letter to the Hon. Minister for Marketing on 20th February, 1979 for constructing a Warehouse at Narsapur taluk Headquarters in Medak district.

(b) if so, the steps taken thereon;

(c) whether it is a fact that the ryots of Narsapur taluk are sustaining severe loss as there is no warehouse to store their agricultural produce in that taluk; and

(d) whether steps will be taken to take up the construction immediately?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) A detailed survey on the feasibility of opening of a warehouse at Narsapur has been made by the Andhra Pradesh Warehousing Corporation and it has been concluded that it is not a viable centre for setting up a warehouse. Even on No loss No gain basis also the warehouse cannot be run. In view of the above, a reply has been sent to Sri Ch. Vittal Reddy, M.L.A. stating that it is not possible to start a warehouse at Narsapur.

(c) Not known.

(d) Construction of warehouse depends upon the Centre's potentiality demand for providing storage facility, viability and various other factors. If and when it is considered that the performance of the Centre here will be good construction of a warehouse can be taken up.

Repairs to Additional Surplus Weir to Badvel Big Tank

29—

3727-Q.—Dr. V. Sivaramakrishna Rao:—Will the Minister for Transport and Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

...
Adjournment Motion on Police Firing in Kavali, Nellore District.
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(a) whether it is a fact that repairs to additional surplus weir of Baovel big tank in Baivel taluk, Cuddapah district were undertaken during the year 1974-75;

(c) if so, the action taken thereon?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) The matter is under investigation by Anti-Corruption Bureau.

Implementation of the Meduvai Lift Irrigation Scheme near Bhadrachalam

(*3988 Q.—) Sri M. Yerraiah Reddy:—Will the Minister for Transport and Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Meduvai Lift Irrigation Scheme near Bhadrachalam in Khammam district has been implemented;

(b) if so, the expenditure incurred therefor;

(c) the extent of land that will be irrigated thereby;

(d) the number of ryots that will be benefited; and

(e) the number of Girijans and non-Girijans therein?

A.—

(a) Yes Sir.

(b) The total expenditure incurred on the scheme to end of 1/79 is Rs. 8.76 lakhs.

(c) An area of 600 acres is proposed for irrigation under the scheme.

(d) About 50 pattadars are benefited.

(e) No. of Girijan pattadars .. 5

No. of non-Girijans .. 45

Adjournment Motion on Police Firing in Keveli, Nellore District—(Dissallowed)

Mr. Dy. Speaker:—We have received an Adjournment Motion from Sri Latchanna and others as well as Sri N. Srinivasul Reddy.
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Mr. Dy. Speaker:—Let us not go into the merits.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—We have tabled an Adjournment Motion and submitted the same 24 hours in advance. It should be taken up. It satisfies all the conditions under Rule 65.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy (Kalwakurthy):—Let me explain the rule position. We have tabled the Mot on under Rule 63. Whether the motion permissible or not it must be examined under Rule 65 then are certain conditions. What are there (i) Not more than one such motion shall be made at the same sitting; only one motion has been made. (ii) Not more than one matter shall be discussed on the same
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motion; only one matter. (iii) The motion shall be restricted to a specific matter of recent occurrence; it has recently occurred and it is a specific matter. (iv) The motion shall not raise a question of privilege; no question of privilege has been raised. (v) The motion shall not revive discussion on a matter which has been discussed in the same session. As you know Mr Deputy Speaker, Sir, a discussion can take place only on a substantive motion. What happened on Friday was Mr. Janardhan Reddy raised the matter and sought information, and what the Minister, with great difficulty, informed the House was that firing had been opened. He did not or could not say that as a consequence of firing a person has died and a Member of the Legislative Council was also hurt. Sir, the motion shall not anticipate a matter, which has been previously posted for consideration. It is not the case. Therefore, it must be admitted; it must be discussed. There is no other go.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It was already raised by Mr. P. Janardhan Reddy on 15th. After raising the Minister concerned, the Minister for Law, made a statement and assured the House that he would again make a statement today.
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Mr. Dy. Speaker:—I have already told you. No doubt, it is an important issue. You cannot go on discussing the matter. Of course, it is already before this House. I appreciate the feeling of the Members, at the same time you cannot ignore the business of this House, which has already been fixed. Any adjournment motion has to be raised in the House generally to know the information, to elicit more information from the Government. That is one thing I think the Government is already prepared for that. The second thing is definitely, you can, as well......

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—This is to censure the Government.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Under Rule 63, motion is made for discussing a matter, not for eliciting information. We know the information. We want to discuss the matter.
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Mr. Deputy Speaker:— You are going to discuss— elicit more information from the Government. Adjournment Motion is not only to discuss. What happens...

Mr. S. Jaipal Reddy:— Before the Chair gives a ruling, it is incumbent upon us to clarify our position. If we are interested in merely eliciting information, there are other ways. We are interested in discussing the matter. Therefore, we have raised a motion under Rule 53.

Mr. Dy. Speaker:— Certainly, you are at liberty to discuss in different shapes.
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Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I agree with you. I now request the Chief Minister to make a statement.

Sri M Venkiah Naidu:— Statement on what?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— On the issue just now you raised.

Sri M Venkiah Naidu: We have not raised the issue. We have raised an issue regarding the rule position.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Do not go in for an adjournment motion for my sake.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— The very fact that I have allowed you to speak shows that. I wanted to know something from you but at the same time our purpose should also serve.
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For the purpose of discussing a definite matter of public importance.

It is attracting para 55. I request your ruling.
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Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— Even in the present Assembly, number of times, we have discussed important matters which were pending before the magistrates and various quasi judicial or judicial authorities. A number of times, the Speaker has allowed discussion. The members from this side and that side have taken part. Now the Ruling party has taken protection under Rule 66.
to enquire into or investigate any matter shall ordinarily be permitted to be moved if the matter is concerned with the procedure or subject or state of enquiry, if the Speaker is satisfied that it is not likely to prejudice the consideration of such matter by the Tribunal or Commission or Court of Enquiry.

When all the conditions are satisfied, the Speaker must give an opportunity to raise the discussion and even otherwise rules 345 and 346 provide ample powers to the Speaker to regulate the business.

10-00 a.m.

When all the conditions are satisfied, the Speaker must give an opportunity to raise the discussion and even otherwise rules 345 and 346 provide ample powers to the Speaker to regulate the business.
you feel that the matter that is sought to be raised is important, you can allow, keeping the rules aside. More over, I would like to bring to the notice of the House and the Ruling Party members when the Magistrate was enquiring into the Ramezabee case this House discussed the matter for four or five hours. No member, at that time, has raised any objection. Taking the past precedent, I request the Hon'ble Speaker to allow the discussion. We have already consumed half - an - hour.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I have already given an opportunity to express your own views because as a matter of fact, the Speaker himself could have rejected it in his Chambers. Keeping in mind the feelings of the Members here and outside, I have given the opportunity so that Government also may take note of it, I would have even rejected it in the Chambers but I have provided an opportunity.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—I have one submission to make that I am afraid the Speaker is mistaking the discussion now for discussion under rule 67. We have so far discussed the rule position only. We have not discussed the issue, Under Rule 67, the Speaker may allow us to express our views and after listening to us he may give a ruling.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—That is exactly what I am doing.
Sri G. Latchanna:—That is not being done. The Chair has wholly restricted the Members not to go into the merits of the issue.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Earlier.
Sri G. Latchanna:— Not earlier. That is continuing. Neither myself nor any other member, as far as my knowledge goes, has dealt with the merits of the issue. We are only discussing the possibility of allowing this Motion under Rule 63. We have not gone into the merits of the issue.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— That is why I wanted to know your views because this cannot be a regular convention here. I am sorry we cannot deviate from the agenda which is already here.

(Many members from the Opposition stood up and interrupted at this stage)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— Rule 63 is meant to enable the House to depart from the main agenda under such circumstance. The question is whether such circumstance warranting the departure of the agenda exists or not. According to us the circumstance that warrant the departure of the agenda exists in abundance. Therefore, we want the motion to be discussed.
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Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I am also equally interested. People also should know about the action taken by the Government. But at the same time, it is very much necessary that instead of going in for adjournment, the something can be served by some other way. I do not want to create a convention wherein any firing or any lathicharge cannot be a part of the adjournment motion.

Sri M Venkaiah Naidu:— The hon’ble Speaker has set a convention in Ramijabbee case.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— That was not allowed under adjournment motion.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— Let me clarify. The point is this. Earlier under the Rules, whenever a partigular matter warrants urgent discussion we used to have discussion under Rul. 67, after detailed discussion in the matter and after eliciting statement from the Government, the Chair used to disallow the motion. The same practice can be resorted to. It is not a convention. It is a rule. It is Rule 67. Sir, here, we have taken an adjournment motion on the matter of police firing which led to the death of one person. What happened on Friday was that Mr. Janardhana Reddy merely wanted to know as to what happened. The Government also did not have full or ready information. No statement could be made by the Government because no motion has been raised. The only motion raised in under rule 33 Therefore, under Rule 44, that motion under Rule 33, discussion must take place before you disallow the adjournment motion. Ultimately you are at liberty to disallow the motion but discussion must precede. That is exactly the point we want to make.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— If the Chair permits, than the matter can be raised. The Motion under 329 is pending now.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— The Chair has to give the permission to raise the matter. The consent of the Speaker should be there. There is a saving provision.
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Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Rule 67 says... (1) The Speaker, if he gives consent under rule 63 and holds that the motion proposed to be discussed is in order shall, after the questions and before the list of business is entered upon call the member concerned who shall raise in his place and ask for leave to move the adjournment of the Assembly. Therefore, it takes dear precedent over Rule 329.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Provided if the Speaker permits.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Let us now discuss about Speaker's consent. It is automatic if the conditions under Rule 65 and 63 are fulfilled all the conditions mentioned under Rule 65.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—There are also certain other Members in the House. I cannot over ride without the consent of the House. I cannot allow the Adjournment Motion.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—We have tabled the adjournment Motion and it is our right to speak on this.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Rights of other Members are also to be seen.

Sri G. Latchanna.—Let them also give notice of adjournment notice.
Normally, the Speaker should not rule it out. If the Members ask the speaker to do in certain fashion, the Speaker is bound to accept it. If the Members ask the speaker to do in certain fashion, the Speaker is bound to accept it. Even if this is not in order, if you feel that this matter is of urgent public importance and it should have precedence over other things, you have to take vote of the House.

Sri K. Venkataramaih:— I want to know whether the Adjournment Motion was admitted or not because the leader of the Opposition was allowed to speak on this.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I have not admitted the Motion. I am unaware of certain things and for my convenience, he wanted to throw more light on the matter. The Government will also make its statement and then, I will give my ruling after hearing both the sides.
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Sri G. Sundara Ramajiah (Alluru):—Hallo Sir, point of order Sir.

Sri M. Venkaiaha Naidu:—Point of order over point of order Can anybody address the Chair by “Hallo”.

Mr. Dy. Speaker—No. That is improper.

Sri G. Sundraramajiah—You have allowed to throw more light on the subject. But to go in to the merits of the case, is it throwing more light? He is initiating discussion.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—No discussion is allowed. I want to know the subject matter. I have allowed the Leader of the Opposition to speak for my convenience—
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Mr. Deputy Speaker: It is for my benefit I have asked him to explain.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am sorry. It is not a ruling.

Dr. M. Channa Reddy:—I don't know why they got that wrong impression. I am definitely hearing.
Sri D. Munuswamy:—You are discussing with the colleagues.

10-30 a.m.
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Sri A. Veerappa:—You have allowed the discussion. Why he is narrating the whole story.

(Interruption)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—They forget the past very easily.
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Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Let us not mention the cuttings of the Press here.

Sri G. Latehanna:— Why?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I am sorry, I can't permit it.

Sri G. Latehanna:— Why should you not permit? It is my right.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I request the Chief Minister to make a statement.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— I am from that place. I am a signatory to the motion.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— We are all signatories to the motion.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— M Jaipal Reddy, you know about it. We have honorably arrived at agreement some time back also in the House. All the opposition party leaders also agreed only to allow one person from each party.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— This is regarding Rule 329.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— No. That is regarding adjournment motion.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— You see the records. I was present on that day.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I know there are so many people from Nellore, Ongole and Kavali also. They are also asking.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:— They must be given chance.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I will allow only one person from each party. Mr. Jaipal Reddy, we have already decided last time. I can't allow every Member to speak.
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Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—That is only in regard to 329. We have a number of precedences in the House where all the signatories have had occasion to speak. Mr. Venkaiah Naidu is asking for an opportunity, because he is a signatory to the motion and not only because he is from Nellore.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The Chief Minister was of the view it should be left to the discretion of the Hon. Speaker, the three alternatives outlined by the Hon. Speaker being there. While the issue came up before the House, the Chief Minister felt that only one Member irrespective of the number of Members giving the notice, should be given an opportunity to say what they had got to say. Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao stated that when the leaders of political parties sign the notice and that too in special circumstances they should be permitted. He elaborated it by saying that if five people signed the notice, only one Member should be given an opportunity and it was for the Members to decide as to who should speak. This is what you have agreed in the same House. This is regarding adjournment motion. You can see these papers afterwards. This is the only consensus arrived at last time.

Sri P. Sundariah:—We would like to get those proceedings.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—This was agreed last time in the House and also in the Business Advisory Committee. I have not admitted the adjournment. Only for my benefit I want to know from the Leader of the opposition.

(Interjections)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—What prevents you from listening the other Members?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—That can't happen. All the Members are equally interested and have the same rights as you have.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—You can seek clarification afterwards. Let the Chief Minister make a statement. Let him say what he wants to say.
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200 at a.m. the adjournment motion was moved by Sri. Dr. P. Y. G. R. Rao, Member, and seconded by Sri. M. K. N. Reddy, Member. There was a vote of no confidence. The vote was taken immediately. The motion was carried by 120 votes in favour and 80 against. The adjournment motion was carried by 120 votes in favour and 80 against.

10-50 a.m.
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Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu:— Sir, I have a Point of Order.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Yes..

Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu (Parvathipuram):— Sir, the discussion now going on is about the amplitude of the necessity, regarding the
merits of the whole thing that had happened. Whereas it is now for
this House, only for the purpose of decision as to whether a dis­
sussion has to be permitted or not. Now I have heard that my good
friends and old friends Mr. Lachanna and Mr. Ch. Rajeswara Ra,
have elaborated discussions on the merits of the entire case, and so,
my submission is that no such discussion had to take place. The only
discussion permissible is whether the conditions under Rule 63, 4 and
65. . . . I need not enlighten the Hon’ble Chair, who is much more
learned than myself. Then how without studying the conditions
necessary the discussion is permitted? We are aware that a Magis­
terial enquiry was instituted and so I invite the attention of the Hon’
ble Chair to Rule 66 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of the
Business of this House,

“No motion which seeks to raise discussion on a matter pend­
ing before any statutory tribunal or statutory authority performing any
judicial or quasi-Judicial functions or any commission or court of
enquiry or a competent authority appointed to enquire into or investi­
gate any matter shall ordinarily be permitted to be moved’’ . . . .

So here is a competent authority and . . . .

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I am sorry Mr. Naidu we have not yet
agreed the Motion neither we have allowed to speak. It is only for
my benefit. That is all. There is no question of Point of Order. I
appreciate your point. I will take note of that rule also . . . .
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The Hon'ble Speaker?

The Hon'ble Member?
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in Kavali, Nellore District

(Continued from previous page)

The Hon'ble Member?

...that is not the competent authority, even if the rule has to be taken into consideration. The Government Official can be put for enquiry.

who is the competent authority with the Magisterial powers to issue the Section 144, Collector was the Administrative Officer. At whose insistence he did it? The police officer is the competent authority. What is the material position to take that?
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On this day, 16th June, 1979, the adjournment motion on the police firing in Kavali, Nellore District, was presented. The motion was to discuss the incident that took place on the 14th of June, where 144 persons were injured or killed by the police firing. The motion was moved by the leader of the opposition, who requested a detailed investigation into the incident. The motion was seconded by the leader of the ruling party, who assured the house that an inquiry would be conducted. The Speaker then adjourned the house to the next day for further discussion.
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...
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Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu is a signatory to the motion. Let us hear him.

11-10 a.m. Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Sir, the Rules or by some agreement—

Sri Venkaiah Naidu:— Either we go by rules or by some agreement—

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—If that is the case, I do not allow such things. I have not allowed the adjournment motion; I have not admitted it. I wanted to have further clarification.

(Interruptions from Treasury Benches).
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Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I have allowed him to speak. Please allow him (Sri Venkayya Naidu) to speak.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—No, no; there is no dictation here. I have permitted him only to speak.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—He is a signatory for the adjournment motion. He wants to explain why it should be admitted. I have not admitted it so far.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Not on the mercy so I am not going to allow you. I am not going to allow you.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—That is not the case. There is no question of his dictating or being dictated by him.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The worst affected and the worst sufferer is the farmer, the most neglected person also is the farmer.
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In the House of the People,

Adjournment Motion on the Police Firing in Kavali, Nellore District

Mr. V. R. N. Gopala Reddy Member for Nellore District

Sir,

I rise to move an Adjournment Motion in consideration of the police firing in Kavali, Nellore District, on the 15th of June, 1979.

Mr. Speaker, on the 15th of June, 1979, a crowd of people was held up by the police in Kavali. The police fired upon the crowd, killing 13 persons and injuring 200. This is a matter of grave concern to the people of the district.

The police action was unprovoked and unjustified. The people were not resisting the police, but were merely protesting against the police firing. The police should have exercised restraint and avoided using force.

I, therefore, urge the Government to investigate the matter thoroughly and take appropriate action against the police officers who were involved in the shooting.

Mr. Speaker, I request you to adjourn the House to enable the Government to take urgent action in this matter.

Thank you.

Mr. V. R. N. Gopala Reddy
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If the Chief Minister is sincere and wants to show to the people that his intentions are good, let him order a judicial enquiry. The entire truth will come out. If the truth is against our version we will bow. Otherwise as the judicial enquiry is not ordered.
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Instead of clarifying matters he has chosen to justify firing. Not only that: he has also chosen to level allegations against other members. Is it not a matter of shame for a public representative to justify firing?

(Sri G. Sundarramaiah) Gouravaram is in my constituency and the dead man belongs to my constituency. The Leader of the Opposition to talk about things and to say that he is throwing light on the issue cannot be taken as throwing light.
Mr S. Jaipal Reddy:—Lachanna threw light and he is trying to throw mud.

Sri G Sundararamaiah:—I know, you take it worse.

Dr. M. Channa Reddy:—In pursuance of a call given by the All Party Action Committee demanding several liberal relief measures to the cyclone victims a bundh was observed on 15th June, 1979 in Nellore district. Servasri Anam Baktava sal Reddy, Anam Venkat Reddy, Jakka Venku Reddy, P. Ramakotaiah and L. B. Krishna Reddy organised a bundh on behalf of All Party Action Committee. Though the bundh was total and large peaceful all over the district, it took a violent turn in Kavali town. On the morning of 15th June, 1979, the Revenue Divisional Officer and his staff were attending to their work as usual. At 8-30 a.m. about 300 picketeers gathered in front of RDO's Office and shouted slogans with a view to get it closed. The mob soon grew in strength in about 500 and the members of the mob trespassed into the office and squatted in the verandah and demanded the RDO and his staff come out of the office and close it. At about 9-30 a m two clerks entered the office by the back door. The agitators observing this demanded that the clerks should be handed over to them. The leftist element in the mob instigated the members to resort to stone pelting. The agitators turned violent and pelted stones causing injuries to the Tahsildar, DSP Kavali and 17 other police personnel. The mob also damaged furniture broke window planks and set fire to 12 files. Of these 12, four files relate to village officials and the remaining eight files relating to the references received from the Registration Department for fixation of proper value for the purpose of stamp duty. In view of the grave situation, the Tahsildar promulgated orders under section 144 Cr P.C. and the riotous mob was warned to disperse. As the warning had no effect, the Tahsildar ordered lathi-charg. but in vain. The riotous mob intensified brickbating and they were in a menacing mood threatening the safety of the Police and the revenue personnel on duty and the Government records and Government property. The verandahs in the RDO's chamber were littered with stones. On account of pelting of stones, the Tahsildar received stone hit, became giddy, fell down and bleed profusely through the nose. The stone throwing also resulted in an injury to 15 police constables, one head-constable and one sub-inspector of the police. As the situation was getting out of control.
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the Tahsildar ordered opening of fire after due warning. The police fired two rounds in which Mr. Chinthamreddy Seshu Reddy of Gouravaram village received a bullet injury. After this the mob dispersed. The injured Seshu Reddy was immediately taken to Kavali hospital. On the advice of the Medical Officer of Kavali Hospital, he was sent to headquarters Hospital Nellore for expert treatment, but he succumbed to the injuries on his way to Nellore. Inquest on the dead body was held by the Tahsildar, Nellore and the post mortem was conducted by the Medical Officer, Nellore. The dead body was handed over to his relative at Gouravaram village five kilometers away from Kavali at 6.30 A.M. on 16-6-1979 by the Police. The body was cremated at 6.00 P.M. the same day at Gouravaram. On the information that the fire was opened at Kavali and that the reinforcements were necessary to maintain law and order, two platoons of A.R. and two sections of APSP with gas section were despatched to Kavali at 12.30 P.M. the same day from Nellore with Sub-Inspector of Police No. 4 town Police Station, Nellore town. Road blocks were put at Inmadugu road junction near Pennar bridge to prevent the police party from going to Kavali. When the Police party started clearing road obstacle to go to Kavali, a mob of 200 villagers, mostly Marxist sympathizers and some leftist elements subjected the police party and the vehicles to heavy stone pelting. After due warning the Sub-Inspector accompanying the platoons ordered the A.P. party to burst tear gas shells to disperse the mob. They dispersed only after 28 tear gas shells and six hand grenades were used. Intensive road patrolling was arranged and protective guards were posted at the residences of the RDO and the Tahsildar Kavali. On learning about the death of Seshu Reddy a mob of 200 burnt the effigy of RDO Kavali in front of his office at 4.30 P.M. on 15-6-1979. In connection with the acts of trespass, brick bating, burning of files, assault of public servants who were on duty by the members of riotous mob at the office of RDO Kavali on 15-6-1979 a case in Cr. No. 80/79 under sections 144, 148, 149, 436, 442, 427 and 307 IPC have been registered by Kavali Police and is under investigation. Prohibitive orders under section 144 Cr. PC. were promulgated on 15th June effective for seven days in Kavali. The Collector and District Magistrate ordered a magisterial enquiry into the police firing and the P.A. to Collector and the ad. of District Magistrate was appointed as Enquiry Officer. The situation is now under control.
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ఇది అనంతంగా తిన వస్తుంది కృత్రిమ. అరుదు మనం శక్తిపతి అనే పండుగ నుండి మన కొత్త పాడు కేసరి కొడైంచాం. మరియు అయితే ఈ భూమి మన నాయకత్వం ప్రతిపాదించి మాత్రే. అందే పాకం వేరే పండుగ మన పరిపాలన శక్తిని సంఘటించింది కొద్ది. మన పాకం మన వేరే పండుగ మన పరిపాలన శక్తిని సంఘటించింది కొద్ది.

ఇవి మనం శక్తిపతి అనే పండుగ నుండి మన కొత్త పాడు కేసరి కొడైంచాం. మరియు అయితే ఈ భూమి మన నాయకత్వం ప్రతిపాదించి మాత్రే. అందే పాకం వేరే పండుగ మన పరిపాలన శక్తిని సంఘటించింది కొద్ది.
Adjournment Motion on the Police Firing 18th June, 1979.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—That is not parliamentary.

Mr. S. Jaipai Reddy:—This House has just now witnessed two 11-50 a.m. diametrically different versions on the same incident. Therefore, the opposition leaders have taken up for the Judicial Enquiry. The Chief Minister must make some statement. He must have also explained as to why he does not consider the institution of Judicial Enquiry proper and just.
Adjournment Motion on the Police Firing in Kavali, Nellore District.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Don't bother. We are here to protect you.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It is for the Government.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Grave silence has descended on the House, Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The situation is such.

Dr. M. Chenna Reddy:—I do not want to add anything. But the hon. M mbers seem to feel while the opposition is very unhappy with the Congress—I, they have been able to give courage to two of my members Sri Sanjiva Reddy and Sri Srinivas Reddy who have also spoke on the prestige of democracy. Anyhow, let me say that the question of every such incident is not followed by Judicial Enquiry. Judicial Enquiry is not automatic. If it is automatic, for example, yesterday there was a similar Bring in Poona where three people died. Even last time, when this question was raised, if there is some kind of an All India convention that after every such incident, there should be Judicial Enquiry, this State Government will have no objection. We believe that the Magisterial Enquiry should be given the status and the confidence it deserves. It has been the practice in the administration to adopt such methods. Therefore, it is nothing like going back nor any showing of disrespect to democratic functioning.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Firing took place in the office of the RDO. This is the voice of the people—Vox Populi.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—After having made the statement by the Chief Minister—We have received an Adjournment Motion and also notices under 329— I disallow the adjournment motion and also the Notices under Rule 329. Now we will go to the next item of the Agenda.
Matters Under Rule 329:

18th June, 1979

The Dispute between the Worker’s Union and IDL Chemicals Ltd. Management.

(1) The Dispute between the Workers’ Union and the I.D.L. Chemicals Ltd. Management.

* Sri G. Venkata Swamy:—There was an agreement on wages etc. between the Management and the Union of I.D.L. Chemical Limited, the period of operation of which was over by 31st December, 1978. The I.D.L. Chemicals worker’s union served a Charter of
Demands on 2nd December, 1973 on the Management. A series of discussions were held jointly before the Conciliation Officer and also before me. There was an understanding on the 11th May, 1979 between the Management and Union regarding the increase in total emoluments of the workers. According to this understanding every worker who is getting Rs. 40 will be given an increase of Rs. 89 per month from 1st January, 1979. But however, when the above understanding is put into a memorandum of settlement to be signed by both the parties, there was difference of opinion on the interpretation of draft terms of agreement.

Sri A. Narenda, President of I.D.L. Karni Ke Sargham started an indefinite hunger strike from 5th June, 1979. The Management however declared the lock out from 12th June, 1979. As there are no prospects of immediate resolution of the dispute Government referred the issue, like attendance, allowance, free meal supply leave travel concessions and job evaluation on a scientific basis for adjudication and also prohibited the continuance of lock out. Orders were issued on 15th June, 1979. The Management lifted the lock out with effect from first shift on the 16th June, 1979. The hunger strike of Sri A. Narenda is still continuing as there was no settlement on the agreed conclusions even after six months of the expiry of the earlier settlement. The Labour Commissioner is still making efforts to bring about an amicable settlement.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—The Hon'ble labour Minister has given just now an elaborate statement of the situation obtaining in the I.D.L. premises. Sir, the I.D.L. Management has been particularly insensitised in regard to the every legitimate and reasonable demands of the Union. I must say Sir, that our Labour Minister has been taking constructive interest in the problem, as a consequence of which some settlement was reached even before Mr. Narendra embarked on an indefinite fast. But the Management, after having entered into an agreement and before formally signing the agreement, went back on the entire understanding and created the situation. On 2nd of this month, the Labour Commissioner called the Management for talks. The Management arrogantly and steadfastly refused to participate in the talks. So, Mr. Narendra the President of the Union was compelled to go on indefinite fast from 5th of this month.

Even you were good enough to call on Mr. Narendra and saw the situation for yourself. Sir, the I.D.L. Management conveniently and without any warrant with so much clasped the lock out. The Government promptly was good enough to prohibit the lock out. The Management though lifted the lock out continue to resist the legitimate demands of the Union.
Yesterday I happened to go to the I.D.L. Factory myself. The Management agreed to have discussions in the immediate presence of the Labour Minister in a final attempt to resolve the outstanding issues confronting their factory. But what did the Management do? The Minister was away at Z.hongirpet. The Police Commissioner was good enough to send the Minister. The I.D.L. Managing Director Mr. Varadarajan, who lives in an Ivory Tower, went to the Labour Minister before one of us could reach and told the Labour Minister that he has nothing to discuss and his decision was unalterable, irrevocable and final. Therefore, there is no point in continuing the discussions and went away before some of us could reach the place, that he was to meet the Chief Minister. I also learnt that he did not or could not meet the Chief Minister. The I.D.L. Management has not merely been able to displease the legitimate aspirations of the people but also has been able to defy the directives of the State Government with perfect impunity. Therefore, it is a question of prestige of Government. The Management is able to disrespect not only the Union but also the Government. Therefore, I would like to draw the attention of the Chief Minister to this issue. I would request the Chief Minister to intervene in the matter personally and warn the Management. The Government should take all such steps that are necessary to get round this intransigent Management.
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Matters Under Rule 329:
re: Dispute between the Workers Union and IDL Chemicals Ltd. Management.

This is a matter concerning a dispute between the Workers Union and IDL Chemicals Ltd. Management. The issue pertains to the workers' demands for better working conditions and compensation. The management has responded with a counter-offer, proposing certain improvements. Further negotiations are scheduled to be held in the near future to resolve the dispute.

re: Dispute between the Worker Union and IDL Chemicals Ltd. Management.

ON THE MOURTIS OF THE COURT


re: Dispute between the Worker Union and IDL Chemicals Ltd. Management.

12-10 p.m.

185. Workmen's Welfare (Industrial Disputes):—Mr. D. J. G. and Mr. D. J. G. E.

Mr. D. J. G. E. stated that the parties had agreed on the following:

1. The number of employees to be employed at the factory would be 500.

Mr. D. J. G. said that the parties had agreed on the following:

1. The number of employees to be employed at the factory would be 500.

Mr. D. J. G. E. said that the parties had agreed on the following:

1. The number of employees to be employed at the factory would be 500.
Matters Under Rule 329: Dispute between the Workers Union and IDL Chemicals Ltd. Management.

18th June, 1979.

The following matters are under Rule 329:

- **Case 1:** Dispute between the Workers Union and IDL Chemicals Ltd. Management.
  - **Details:**
    - **Date:** 17th June, 1979.
    - **Nature:** Dispute.
    - **Parties:** Workers Union and IDL Chemicals Ltd. Management.

- **Case 2:** Dispute between the Workers Union and IDL Chemicals Ltd. Management.
  - **Details:**
    - **Date:** 15th June, 1979.
    - **Nature:** Dispute.
    - **Parties:** Workers Union and IDL Chemicals Ltd. Management.

- **Case 3:** Dispute between the Workers Union and IDL Chemicals Ltd. Management.
  - **Details:**
    - **Date:** 3rd June, 1979.
    - **Nature:** Dispute.
    - **Parties:** Workers Union and IDL Chemicals Ltd. Management.

- **Case 4:** Dispute between the Workers Union and IDL Chemicals Ltd. Management.
  - **Details:**
    - **Date:** 2nd June, 1979.
    - **Nature:** Dispute.
    - **Parties:** Workers Union and IDL Chemicals Ltd. Management.

- **Case 5:** Dispute between the Workers Union and IDL Chemicals Ltd. Management.
  - **Details:**
    - **Date:** 1st June, 1979.
    - **Nature:** Dispute.
    - **Parties:** Workers Union and IDL Chemicals Ltd. Management.

Re: Opening of Fire at Kepol Factory, Chirala.

(1) Vogue of gun-

(2) Opening of Fire at Kepol Factory, Chirala.
Matters Under Rule 329:
re: Opening of Fire at Kepol Factory, Chirala.

18th June, 1979.

Opening of Fire at Kepol Factory, Chirala.

The government has received information that on the evening of 18th June, 1979, at the Kepol Factory in Chirala, there was an incident involving the opening of fire. The matter is under investigation, and appropriate actions will be taken. The government is committed to ensuring the safety and security of the workers and the public. Any suspects will be dealt with accordingly.

re: Opening of Fire at Kepol Factory, Chirala.

...
Dr M. Chenna Reddy:—Sir, on 16-6-1979 at about 5-45 hours nearly 150 muta workers of Kepole factory who belongs to un-recognised Union and form minority and who are on strike demanding the removal of newly appointed additional security guards by the Kepole management from 14-6-1979 formed into an unlawful assembly near Kepole factory gate inspite of prohibitory orders promulgated under Section 144 Cr. P.C. On 14 Ramarao was on hunger-strike in front of the factory from 145 hours 16-6.1676. They tried to enter the factory premises forcibly demanding additional security guards recently appointed by the management should be removed. They further wanted that the workers who are working in the factory should stop work. These persons pelted stones on the police party which prevented them from entering the factory due to which the Circle Inspector of Police and two Sub Inspectors of Police and two local police constables and ten A.R.P.Cs and two guards of Kepole factory were injured. One A.R. L.N K. 1039 Naik received head injury and was shifted to Government hospital, Chirala. As lathi-charge and ten tear gas shells proved futile the Circle Inspector of Police ordered of opening of fire at about 5-50 hours and five rounds were fired. Three persons received bullet injuries and were admitted in Government Hospital, Chirala by the striking workers. One Asirvadam of Chirala who is aged 25 years and who is a helper in Kepole factory received a bullet injury and later died in Government Hospital, Chirala about 7 hours on 16th June 1979. The other two workers injured in the firing were shifted to the Government General Hospital, Guntur. After receiving the information about the opening of fire by police the District Magistrate, Prakasam visited the scene of offence. The Collector, Prakasam ordered Sub Divisional Magistrate. Ongole to conduct magisterial enquiry to the opening of fire by Police. The situation is under control at present.
Matters under Rule 329:

18th June, 1979.

re: opening of Fire at Kepol Factory, Chirala.
18th June, 1979.

Matters Under Rule 329:

Opening of Fire at Kepol Factory, Chirala.


Sri N. Ganapathi Rao:—Sir, I beg to move;

"That the time limit for the presentation of the report of the House Committee, be extended up to the last day of the present meeting of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved. The question is:

"That the time limit for the presentation of the report of the House Committee, be extended up to the last day of the present meeting of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly."

The motion was adopted and the time extended.

Government Bills.


Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

Sri P. Sundariah:—I am opposing it.
See Rule 90 (4). "The period of a notice for a motion for leave to introduce a Bill under this rule shall be ten days expiring on the day previous to the day on which the motion is made, unless the Speaker allows the motion to be made at shorter notice."
Government Bills:


18th June, 1979

I am not raising it to create any doubt, especially raising the point of Order. The procedure for raising a point of Order is laid down in Section 7 of the Rules of Order. I am not raising it to create any doubt or to obstruct the working of the House. The procedure for raising a point of Order is well established in the rules. The Speaker has the power to waive the rule unless the Speaker permits. It is the function of the Speaker to waive that thing without going into these things and without knowing whether urgency is there or not. This is not the proper way.

Government forced the Speaker to oppose the Government Speaker is the custodian. After all he is elected by majority which is the ruling party. It embarrasses the Speaker every time to oppose the Government. Behind the back of the Speaker I am not raising it to create any doubt. I want the Speaker to oppose the Government Speaker is the custodian. After all he is elected by majority which is the ruling party.

I am not raising it on the Floor of the House. I want the Speaker to oppose the Government.
to see the file and show to the opposition leaders. You can’t go back on the ruling. That is the unfortunate situation. You request the Minister through you Sir, to withdraw the motion asking for leave for introduction for seven days more. Nothing is going to happen. The heavens are not going to fall. We have to oppose it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It is only in regard to Speaker seven days notice is required. Same is the case even according to provision of Parliament. Seven days notice should be given to Speaker to introduce the Bill in the House, whereas 24 hours is enough to circulate the draft Bill to the Members. That will serve the purpose of the Rules.

Sri P. Sundarayya:—That may be the practice in the Lok Sabha.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—That is correct. I agree with you, but it may be followed as a convention here also.

Sri P. Sundarayya:—But our rules does not specifically mention. Notice means, it is notice to the Speaker.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Naturally Notice means, to the Speaker only.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It was done. Seven days notice was given to the Speaker and having permitted to introduce the Bill in the House, let it be introduced.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Naturally, without permission how can it come to the House? I am sorry, I cannot give you off had information, without getting the full particulars.
Government Bills:


Sri P. Sundarayya:—Sir, I may presume that 7 days notice was given to the Hon'ble Speaker and if the Speaker had permitted. For even shorter notice also, I have only to presume. But unless I see the files, I cannot say anything.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— See, as per rule 35, The Speaker may in his discretion, dispence with provisions of this Chapter regarding notice and period of notice.

Sri P. Sundarayya— We know that, unless the Speaker allows the motion, apart from the General powers of the Speaker. The intimation of 7 days notice was given. Whether the files were given to the Hon'ble Speaker or not? There is no rule barring the Hon'ble Speaker and whether a notice was given atleast to the Hon'ble Speaker or not? Is it not the responsibility of the Hon'ble Speaker to ask the office to circulate that such and such notices were given for introduction of such and such Bills? There is no rule barring the Hon'ble Speaker and whether a notice was given atleast to the Hon'ble Speaker or not?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— You will get atleast 24 hours notice before introcution of the Bill. That has to be ensured by the Office. You will get 24 hours notice in advance for any Bill. That has been the practice.

Sri P. Sundarayya :— There is technical provision here. The job of the Hon'ble Speaker and the job of the office becomes more onerous. When the Business Advisory Committee itself did not give priority to take up two Bills, the job of the office becomes more onerous. When the Business Advisory Committee itself did not give priority to take up two Bills, there were some objections. The Business Advisory Committee itself did not give priority to take up two Bills, and the Office was requested to give the Speaker only one day notice. Whether the Speaker was given the notice or not? Whether the Speaker was given the notice or not? Whether the Speaker was given the notice or not?
Government Bills:

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am sorry, having been admitted by the Hon'ble Speaker and already circulated the Bill to the Hon'ble Members, now it is on the stage of introduction.

Sri P. Sundarayya:—Sir, I am not questioning the Rule.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—He has to go according to the Rules only.

Sri P. Sundarayya:—Sir, I am not questioning the Rule.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The hon. Speaker has already given the permission to introduce the Bill.

Sri P. Sundarayya:—Sir, I am not questioning the Rule.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—He has to go according to the Rules only.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Permission has to be given by the speaker himself, but they have to be addressed to the Secretary as a formality.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I will see it and let you know...

Sri P. Sundarayya:—That means, we can come to the conclusion that even 7 days notice was not given....

Mr. Deputy Speaker.—Any way, since it has come before the House, the question is:


Sri P. Sundaryya—pressed for division.

The House divided thus

Ayes .. 79
Noes .. 26
Neutrals .. Nil

The motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced

(2) The Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1979

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now I request the Minister for Endowments and Municipal Administration to move for leave to introduce the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1979.

Sri P. V. Chowdary:—Sir, with your kind permission, I beg to move for leave to introduce the Hyderabad Municipal Corporations (Amendment) Bill, 1979.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The motion moved.

The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce the Hyderabad Municipal Administration (Amendment) Bill, 1979.

The motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now the Minister for Endowments and Municipal Administration will move for leave to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1979.

Sri P. V. Chowdary:—Sir, with your kind permission, I beg to move.

Sri P. Sundaraiah—Sir, I oppose this bill...

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now having permitted to introduce the Bill here, it is for the Government to say as to when they have approached to the Secretary...

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It will be found out.

Sri Ch. Rajeshwara Rao:—Let the Speaker tell us.
Discussion on Cyclone. 18th June, 1979.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I will check up and inform you tomorrow.

Sri P. Sundarayya:—Meanwhile, ask the Minister not to move the Bill.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—After all we are not at the consideration stage.

Sri P. Sundarayya:—Meanwhile ask the Minister to postpone moving the Bill. in the interests of best Parliamentary practice, let the Minister postpone it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The moving of the Bill, having been got approved by the Speaker with shorter notice, there is no point now in holding this. In future you can tell the Government. I also request the Government to give sufficient notice hereafter. I fully share the feelings of the hon. Members.

Dr. M. Chenna Reddy:—As the hon. Members want time and the Speaker wants information, we will postpone moving of the Bill for tomorrow.

Sri P. Sundarayya:—If the Speaker is not given 7 days notice then we will move on that.

Dr. M. Chenna Reddy:—It is not like that. Let us meet it half-way.

Discussion on Cyclone

Dr. M. Chenna Reddy:—On 15-6-79, while initiating discussion on the recent cyclone in the State, some members wanted copies of the note containing the broad conclusions reached after discussions with the representatives of the Reserve Bank of India, Agricultural Refinance Development Corporation, Indian Banks Association, General Insurance Corporation and Commercial and Co-operative Institutions in the State. I am getting the copies of the note circulated for information of the members.
18th June, 1979.  
Discussion on Cyclone.

[Content of the text is not legible due to the quality of the image provided.]
Discussion on Cyclone. 18th June, 1979.
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Tahsildar & R.D.O. are requested to see that the
distribution of relief is done if not already done.
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Discussion on Cyclone.

18th June, 1979.

The Cyclone affected the southern parts of India, causing extensive damage. The government has set up a task force to assess the damage and take necessary steps for relief and rehabilitation. The cyclone hit the coastal areas, resulting in loss of life and property. The authorities have appealed to the public to remain vigilant and take necessary precautions to avoid further losses.

The cyclone had a devastating impact on the coastal areas, with widespread destruction of property. The government has declared a state of emergency in the affected regions and has mobilized resources for relief and recovery operations.

The cyclone has highlighted the need for better preparedness and response mechanisms to deal with such natural disasters. The government has committed to strengthen the early warning systems and improve infrastructure to mitigate the impact of such events in the future.
Discussion on Cyclone.

18th June, 1979.

There was no central agency to give proper direction or advice.

What can the poor Tahsildae do when I. A. S. officers are there?

We are happy that Mr. Raman a Senior Officer has come. We believe in the need to allocate such cases immediately.

You pool all the resources and see that the D. O. is drafted early and that the money is drawn quickly and distribution is done immediately. 

There was no central agency to give proper direction or advice.
Some people have organised themselves and they have swelled the strength of huts. They have swelled the names of people also.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—Now the House stands adjourned till 8.30 A M. tomorrow.

(The House then adjourned to meet again at half past eight of the clock on Tuesday, the 19th June, 1979.)