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ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Question No. 301, *3334 was postponed.

Allocation of Godavari Waters Among the states

302—

Q. Sarvasri K. Govinda Rao (Anakapalli), Bhattacharmanamurthy (Paravata) and Nallapreddya Sreenivasulu Reddy (Venkatagiri): Will the Minister for Major Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Chief Ministers of Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka and Maharashtra States met at Bombay in the month of April, 1978 and discussed about the allocation of Godavari waters among the States;

(b) if so, whether they have arrived at any agreement; and

(c) if so, whether a copy of the decision taken by them will be placed on the table of the House?

*2348Q.—In the Telugu language, the answer reads:

(a) ఈ మంత్రిత్వాలు బోబామ్ విస్తీర్ణంలో అనుభవించిన జాత పాలన సమూహాలు కూడా చేసారు.

(b) ఈ ఇందులో తార్కిక సంబంధాలు కావచ్చాయి.

(c) ఈ నిర్ణయాన్ను శాఖ సమావేశంలో ఉంచాలి.

* An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the member.
Summary record of the discussions of the meeting held between the chief Ministers of Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh at Bangalore on 4th August, 1978.

The following were present:

**Karnataka.**

1. Sri D. Deve Gowda, Chief Minister.
2. Sri N. Narasimha Rao, Chief Secretary.
3. Sri J.C. Lynn, Secretary to Chief Minister.
4. Sri B.C. Angadi, Special Secretary, P.W. and E. Deptt. (Irrigation).
6. Sri B. Subramanyam, Chief Engineer, Bangalore Water Supply and Sewerage Board.

**Andhra Pradesh.**

1. Dr. M. Channa Reddy, Chief Minister.
2. Sri G.V. Sudhakar Rao, Irrigation Minister.
3. Sri M. Gopalakrishnan, Secretary, Irrigation and Power.

After discussion, the following points were agreed to:

Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka agree that Karnataka would, in addition to its existing utilisation above the proposed Singur Project in the Manjira Sub-Basin and the utilisation for Karnataka and Chulkinlala Projects, as per the agreement of 17th September, 1975 read with the agreement of 19th December, 1975, utilise one T.M.C. of water more for lift irrigation from the Manjira river.

In order to utilise this quantity or any other additional quantity that may be agreed to later, on the Manjira Karnataka may put up such pondage as may be necessary and as may be agreed to between Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka.
Pradesh and Karnataka to utilise one T.M.C. or such additional agreed quantities as may become available for this purpose.

3. Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka agree that Karnataka may utilise 2.5 (Two point five) T.M.C., of water in the Manjira Sub-basin in its territory in the catchment below Nizamsagar Project.

4. Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka agree that Andhra Pradesh may go ahead with the construction of the Singur Project, as proposed by Andhra Pradesh, with the maximum capacity of 30 T.M.C., of gross-storage with FRL/MWL of plus 523.6 metres (1717.41 ft.) above M.S.L.

5. Karnataka will take necessary action to acquire any land or structures that may be submerged and/or affected under Singur Project and Andhra Pradesh agrees to bear the cost of acquisition, the cost of rehabilitation of the displaced families and the cost of construction of bridges and roads that may become necessary. Such acquisition and rehabilitation shall be as per the norms prevailing in Karnataka at the time of acquisition/rehabilitation. Karnataka also agrees to the submergence of the river bed and its stream-beds.

6. In the event of Andhra Pradesh developing Hydel-Electric Power at Singur Project, Karnataka and Andhra Pradesh agree to share the cost and benefits of such power in such proportion as may be agreed upon.

7. (a) Subject to the clearance of Polavaram Project by the Central Water Commission for an F.R.L./M.W.L. plus 150 ft., the State of Andhra Pradesh agrees that a quantity of 80 T.M.C. at 75 per cent dependability of Godavari Waters from Polavaram Project can be diverted into Krishna River above Vijayawada Amcut displacing the discharges from Nagarjunasagar Project for Krishna Delta, thus enabling the use of the said 80 T.M.C. for projects upstream of Nagarjunasagar.

(d) Andhra Pradesh agrees to bear the cost of diversion fully.

(e) Maharashtra and Karnataka are at liberty to utilise their share of 35 T.M.C. mentioned in sub-para 7(b) above from the date of clearance of the Polavaram Project by Central Water Commission with F.R.L./M.W.L. of plus 150 ft., irrespective of the actual diversion taking place.

(f) It is also agreed that if the diversion at 75 per cent dependability as stated in clause (a) above exceeds the said quantity of 80 T.M.C.
due to diversion of Godavari Waters from the proposed Polavaram Project into Krishna river, further diminishing the releases from Nagarjunsagar Project such excess quantity shall also be shared between the three States in the same proportion as in sub-clause (b) above.

(Sd.),
D. DEVARAJ URS,
Chief Minister, Karnataka.

(Sd.),
M. CHANNA REDDY,
Chief Minister, Andhra Pradesh.
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(1) நான்கு வாராகு சமூக விளக்கங்களுக்குப் பயன்பட்டுள்ள அவ்வாலின் காரணம் என்ன?

(2) பாராளைவிடம் விளக்கங்களுக்குப் பயன்பட்டுள்ள அவ்வாலின் காரணம் என்ன?

(3) கண்டுபிடித்த விளக்கங்களுக்குப் பயன்பட்டுள்ள அவ்வாலின் காரணம் என்ன?

(4) நான்கு வாராகு விளக்கங்களுக்குப் பயன்பட்டுள்ள அவ்வாலின் காரணம் என்ன?
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Construction of Veghavathy Project in Pachipenta Salur Tq.

303—

3203O - Sarvasri S.R.T.P.S. Veerapparao (Salur) Poolla Subbaiah (Markapur) and Ch. Rajeshwer Rao Suncilla:— Will the Minister for Major Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Veghavathi Project in Pachipenta of Salur Taluk, Srikakulam District had been sanctioned but not executed so far; and

(b) so, when the project will be executed?

The Minister for Medium Irrigation (Sri K. Obula Reddy):—

(a) No scheme on Veghavathi Project has been sanctioned.

(b) Does not arise.

304—

3572O - Sri Kasu Venkata Krishna Reddy (Narsaopet) — Will the Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal before the Government to restore the payment of pocket money of Rs. 25/- p.m. to the postgraduates staying in the Social Welfare hostels;

(b) whether the Government will consider to enhance the monthly pocket allowance to Rs. 50/- p.m. in view of the increased cost of living; and

(c) if so, the date from which the decision referred to in clause (b) will take effect?
Selling of gum Purchased by G.C.C. in Telangana Area to Private Merchants from Bombay

305—

*339—Q.-Sri Bhattam Srirama Murthy:—Will the Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the gum purchased by the Girijan Cooperative Corporation in Telangana area is being sold to private merchants from Bombay without resorting to auction;

(b) whether such sales were effected on credit basis also; and

(c) if so, the names of the purchasers for the last 5 years?

(2) Mr. A. B. C.

"...

(3) Mr. D. E. F.

"...

(4) Mr. G. H. I.

"..."
Committing of Offence of Untouchability by B.D.O, Podalakur, on S.C. people of Kanuparathi Village

306—

*3759 Q—Sri N. Venkata Subbaiah (Koduru):—Will the Minister for Social welfare be pleased to state:

(a) whether the District Collector Nellore has received a complaint dt. 26-12-1978 from Scheduled Castes people of Kanuparathi village of Rapue Taluk against the Block Development Officer, Podalakur who abused them in vulgar, forbidden and unparliamentary language and committed an offence of practice of untouchability;

(b) if so, the action taken to prosecute the Block Development Officer in accordance with the provisions of the Civil Rights Protection Act 1955;

(c) whether the District Collector Nellore has also received another complaint dated 25-12-78 from the Andhra Pradesh Teachers Federation, Nellore District unit against the same B.D.O, regarding the abuse of the teachers;

(d) whether it is also a fact that the teachers have given a notice of Mass Dharna against the illegal action of the Block Development Officer; and

(e) if so, the action taken thereon?

\(\text{\textit{Oral Answers to Questions.}}\)

1. మొత్తం త్వరగా విస్తరించడానికి సమయసాధనా పరిశీలన చేసినప్పటి మండలానికి రిపోర్ట్ అందించబడింది. మరియు ఇప్పుడు ఆమెకి ఆపందం ఉంది. మనము ఎలాంటి సమయసాధనా తొలగిు లభించాలి. మాహితి మొత్తం ఉంది. మాహితి మొత్తం ఉంది. మాహితి మొత్తం ఉంది.

2. మొత్తం త్వరగా విస్తరించడానికి సమయసాధనా పరిశీలన చేసినప్పటి మండలానికి రిపోర్ట్ అందించబడింది. మరియు ఇప్పుడు ఆమెకి ఆపందం ఉంది. మనము ఎలాంటి సమయసాధనా తొలగిు లభించాలి. మాహితి మొత్తం ఉంది. మాహితి మొత్తం ఉంది. మాహితి మొత్తం ఉంది.
Construction of Pucca Godowns for Machilipatnam Ports

307—

*2707-Q.—Sri B. Niranjana Rao (Malleswaram):—Will the Minister for Law and Ports be pleased state:

(a) whether any proposal is under consideration with the Government to construct pucca godowns for the Machlipatnam Port;

(b) whether the Government are aware that trading public are diverting export business to other ports due to lack of godown facility; and

(c) whether Government will allot sufficient funds for this item of work in view of frequent cyclones?

308—

601.—Q.—Sri E. Subba Rao (Kuchinapudi) :—Will the Minister for Fisheries be pleased to state:

(a) the amount of foreign exchange derived by the Government of Andhra Pradesh by exporting the Prawns.
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(b) the amount derived from Guntur district in particular; and

c) whether the Fisheries Department prepared to spend for the betterment of the roads leading to the coastal villages where prawns are procured from the nearby towns?

The Minister for Fisheries (Sri G. Rama Swamy):—(a) The total exports made by Andhra Pradesh Fisheries Corporation (A State Government undertaking) are as detailed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Value in Rupees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1976-77</td>
<td>96,28,965-54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977-78</td>
<td>1,20,05,007-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978-79 (Upto end of February)</td>
<td>1,24,42,637-40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) The amount derived from Guntur district during the years 1976-77 to 1978-79 are as detailed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Value in Rupees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1976-77</td>
<td>1,25,323-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977-78</td>
<td>70,291-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978-79 (Upto end of February)</td>
<td>59,609-00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Government will take up the formation of village access roads at an estimated cost of Rs. 336.20 lakhs in Visakhapatnam, East Godavari and Guntur districts in conjunction with the Andhra Pradesh Fisheries Projects cleared by the world Bank. From the plan it is proposed to take up (1) strengthening of the existing feeder roads from Noupada-Venkatapuram road to Devanalthada and (2) construction of road from Devanalthada to Bhavanapadu in Srikakulam district at an estimated cost of Rs. 9.23 lakhs.

In addition, it is also proposed to take up construction of roads and foot-bridges, bridges, cause-ways in Nellore district at an estimated cost of Rs. 46.85 lakhs with the U.K. aid which is under consideration of Government of India.

9-00 a.m.

(1) 1.  சந்திரக்: --திரிகோணம் மாற்றம் சுருக்கி, கவலை விளக்கும் சமயத்தில் செய்துள்ளேன். மேலும் இவை சமயத்தில் வல்லவே நிறைந்து கொண்டிருக்கவில்லை.

(2) 2.  சந்திரக் (சொல்லாம்): --திரிகோணம் செய்யுடையவைகள் தொன்மையில் தொன்மையில் செய்துள்ளேன். மேலும் இவை விளக்கும் சமயத்தில் வல்லவே நிறைந்து கொண்டிருக்கவில்லை.

(3) 3.  சந்திரக்: --திரிகோணம் செய்யுடையவைகள் தொன்மையில் தொன்மையில் செய்துள்ளேன். மேலும் இவை விளக்கும் சமயத்தில் வல்லவே நிறைந்து கொண்டிருக்கவில்லை.

(4) 4.  சந்திரக் (சொல்லாம்): --திரிகோணம் செய்யுடையவைகள் தொன்மையில் தொன்மையில் செய்துள்ளேன். மேலும் இவை விளக்கும் சமயத்தில் வல்லவே நிறைந்து கொண்டிருக்கவில்லை.

(5) 5.  சந்திரக்: --திரிகோணம் செய்யுடையவைகள் தொன்மையில் தொன்மையில் செய்துள்ளேன். மேலும் இவை விளக்கும் சமயத்தில் வல்லவே நிறைந்து கொண்டிருக்கவில்லை.

(6) 6.  சந்திரக் (சொல்லாம்): --திரிகோணம் செய்யுடையவைகள் தொன்மையில் தொன்மையில் செய்துள்ளேன். மேலும் இவை விளக்கும் சமயத்தில் வல்லவே நிறைந்து கொண்டிருக்கவில்லை.
Plying of More Buses from Tirupathi to Tirumala

309—

*2674 Q.—Sri K. B. Siddaiah (Puttur) :—Will the Minister for Transport be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there are no sufficient number of buses from Tirupathi to Tirumala and that pilgrims are made to wait for hours in long queues; and

(b) if so, the steps that are proposed to eliminate this problem?
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Oral Answers to Questions

1. R. N. Raja Reddy:—The total 80 acres of land. 400 [Rs.] has been paid to them. On this, 80 acres are between 28th and 34th. If they have any trouble, they should report it to the Panchayat Union. The District Collector will be informed of the same.

2. R. N. Raja Reddy:—On which road the government will give 100% compensation? The road between 28th and 34th, 100% compensation is given. If they have any trouble, they should report it to the Panchayat Union. The District Collector will be informed of the same.

3. R. N. Raja Reddy:—Because it is a Ghat road. It is also dangerous to allow such big buses with load.

4. R. N. Raja Reddy:—The estimated cost (Rs. 6):—It is not estimated. The estimated cost is not known. It was estimated by the Engineers. The District Collector will be informed of the same.

5. R. N. Raja Reddy:—MRKB (Rs. 8):—It is not estimated. The estimated cost is not known. It was estimated by the Engineers. The District Collector will be informed of the same.

6. R. N. Raja Reddy:—The estimated cost (Rs. 8):—It is not estimated. The estimated cost is not known. It was estimated by the Engineers. The District Collector will be informed of the same.
Difference of Prices of Oil Engines and Electric Motors Purchased Through Loans from Co-op Banks and Rural Banks.

310—

*3878 Q.—Sri N. Raghava Reddy (Nakrekal) :— Will the Minister for Cooperation be pleased to state:

(a) the reasons for disparity of Rs. 500 to Rs. 600 in the prices of oil engines and electric motors that have been purchased by paying cash and those that have been purchased by ryots on loans obtained through rural banks, Land Development Bank and Cooperative Bank in Nalgonda District;

(b) the reasons why the prices for oil engines and electric motors obtained through loans from Cooperative Banks are higher than those of Rural Banks; and

(c) whether appropriate steps will be taken to remove the said disparity?

513


Oral Answers to Questions.

(1) స్టీమ్ రావు అనుమతి నిర్ణయం: దినంతో మాత్రమే సాధరణ విపత్తులు మరణించాయి. సాధరణంగా ప్రతి పాలించే విధానం సాధరణీర్ణ విపత్తులు నిలిచాయు. ఇందులో పొందిన జాబీ సమాధి ఉండాయి.

(2) మార్చి 20 అంబిక ప్రశ్నాంశాలు: — మార్చి 20 అంబిక ప్రశ్నాంశాలు ప్రశ్న సమాధి ఉండాయి. మార్చి 20 అంబిక ప్రశ్నాంశాలు ప్రశ్న సమాధి ఉండాయి.

9-20 a.m.

(3) మాత్రమే సమాధి ఉండాయి: వివాహం సమాధి ఉండాయి.

(4) మాత్రమే సమాధి ఉండాయి: వివాహం సమాధి ఉండాయి.

(5) మాత్రమే సమాధి ఉండాయి: వివాహం సమాధి ఉండాయి.
REGULARISATION OF SERVICES OF CONTINGENCY STAFF IN R & B AND OTHER DEPTS.

11—

*3751 Q—Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu (Parvathipuram):—Will the Minister for Roads and Buildings be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that the contingency staff in the R & B and other departments have long standing continuous service of several years; and

(b) if so, the reasons for not regularising the service of the above employees;

Minister for Roads & Buildings (Sri M. Manik Rao) :—(a) Yes Sir.

(b) There are no rules governing the services of the contingency staff (Attendants) and the matter is under consideration of the Government.
Oral Answers to Questions.

This has been introduced only in the year 1970.

We are very close and very near to take a decision. We are going to take a decision as early as possible. Eventually we can solve the problem.

Earliness and efficiency are the key to solving problems. We are very close to making a decision. We are going to take a decision as early as possible. I cannot give a date, within a week or ten days we are going to solve the problem; I can sure the House that 80% of the problem is sloved.
CONSTRUCTION OF FLY-OVER BRIDGE AT TIRUPATHI.

3805 Q.—Sri A. Eswara Reddy:—Will the Minister for Municipal-Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether the work of construction of the flyover bridge at Tirupathi town which is a long felt need, has been started and

(b) If so, the stage at which the work stands and the probable-time by which it would be completed.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—

(a) and (b) Sir, the flyover bridge referred to by the Member is perhaps the Road Over Bridge that is being taken up by the Roads and Buildings Department in Tirupathi town. If it is so, the work on approaches to the Road Over Bridge is just started and is expected to be completed by July, 1980.

Regarding the work on the Railway Bridge proper the work on two trestles is in progress. The work on the Railway Bridge proper is expected to be completed by March, 1980.

Sri A. Eswar Reddy:—Sir, this overbridge is a long felt need of the citizens of Tirupathi and the pilgrims coming there.

It will only be 8 kilometers costing Rs. 35 lakhs. I have already given instructions for survey.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—I have given instructions to my engineers. We have already started investigation on that.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

SCARCITY OF DRINKING WATER IN VIZAYAWADA

312-A:

SNQ No. 3941-W Sri Pothira Chinna (Vijayawada West);—Will the Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there is scarcity of drinking water in Vijayawada;

(b) whether there is any proposal to execute additional protected water supply scheme at Vijayawada; and

(c) if so, the cost of the scheme and when will it be executed?

9-40 a.m. S. V. V. Reddy:

(a) The Minister for Municipal Administration (Shri V. Khosa) :-

(d) whether it is a fact that there is scarcity of drinking water

in Vijayawada;

(b) whether there is any proposal to execute additional protected

water supply scheme at Vijayawada; and

(c) if so, the cost of the scheme and when will it be executed?

9-40 a.m. S. V. V. Reddy:

(a) whether there is a fact that there is scarcity of drinking water

in Vijayawada;

(b) whether there is any proposal to execute additional protected

water supply scheme at Vijayawada; and

(c) if so, the cost of the scheme and when will it be executed?
S.N.Q.-No. 3947 F. Sri Bhattam Sreeramamurthy:—Will the Minister for Law & Ports be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware of the fact that the Chief Justice of the High Court has announced on 2nd March, that it has been decided that administration of Justice would begin in Telugu shortly in Kurnool Krishna and Nizamabad districts (which was published in Andhra Jyothi dated March 3rd);

(b) whether the said system will be extended gradually to other districts also; and

(c) if so, the particulars thereof?

312-B:

Telugu as Official Language in Courts in Kurnool Krishna & Nizamabad

Telugu as Oficial Language iu Courts in Kurnool Krishna & Nizamabad
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Statement by the Chief Minister: 16th March, 1979.

STATEMENT BY THE CHIEF MINISTER

re: Enhancement of D. A. and payment of interim relief to the Government employees.

The Chief Minister (Dr. M. Channa Reddy):—As the Honourable House is aware, the Pay Revision Commission had been appointed by the previous Government on 1st January, 1978.

Various Services Associations have been representing to Government in regard to a number of their service conditions in general and
Statement by the Chief Minister;

re: Enhancement of D.A. and Payment of Interim Relief to the Govt. Employees

their emoluments in particular and also for the interim relief before the Pay Revision Commission submits its report and the Government takes a decision on it. I had requested the Minister for Finance and the Minister for Revenue to meet the representatives of these Associations and discuss their requests. I have also met them myself. These discussions have been held both sides to appreciate each other's point of view. I am glad they were held in an atmosphere of cordiality and of mutual trust and confidence which is the spirit which should form all dealings between the representatives of the Government on the one hand and of their employees on the other. I am particularly happy that after the discussions the representatives of the various Associations left the final decision on the various issues particularly on interim relief and the D.A. and the dates thereof to me.

It has been represented that since the 12 Months average of the Consumer Prices Index has come to 328, one more dose of D.A. has to be sanctioned in accordance with past practice in this regard. It has also been represented that since the Pay Revision Commissioner has been given an extension of time for submission of his report, Government may consider the sanction of interim relief. Government have carefully considered both these requests. The Government are confronted with a constraint of financial resources, with the result that they have been able to keep the Plan Outlay for 1979-80 only at the level of 1978-79 and have not been able to step it up despite the commitments Government have towards the weaker sections of the population. Any interim relief or any sanction of D.A. is bound to affect adversely Government's capacity to fulfil the expectations of the weaker sections. However, Government are fully aware of their responsibility to their own staff which motivated Government to give various Reliefs and benefits so far and that resulted in the appointment of the Pay Revision Commissioner.

I am glad to announce that having given their present requests also the most careful and sympathetic consideration, and having taken into consideration all factors, the Government have decided to sanction the following measures: The Government have decided to sanction one more dose of D.A. from 1st January 1979 to all categories of employees to whom such enhancement has been given in the past, at the rate of 3 1/2% of pay subject to a maximum of Rs.10 upto a pay range of Rs.300 p.m. and 2 1/2% of pay subject to a maximum of Rs. 20 upto a pay range of Rs. 900 p.m.
Statement by the Chief Minister: 16th March, 1979.
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With this the total percentage of D.A. for these categories would come to 60.5 and 44.5 respectively. The Government have also decided to give an Ad Hoc increase of Rs. 5 p.m. to all village Officers and Village Servants with effect from the same date. The total cost of these measures will be Rs. 7 crores per annum.

Any final decision regarding the emoluments of the Government employees can be taken only after the report of the Pay Revision Commissioner is received. However, as a token of their sympathy for the staff and taking into account the present financial situation, the Government have decided to sanction an Ad Hoc interim relief of Rs. 25 p.m. with effect 1-4-79. This is estimated to cost Rs. 17 crores per annum.

The interim relief will be applicable to all categories of employees whose cases have been referred to the Pay Revision Commissioner and whose pay is less than Rs. 2,000 p.m., viz: employees of the State Government, Local Bodies, Workcharged Establishment and Aided Institutions, excluding teaching and other staff in Universities, Government Colleges and Government-aided private colleges who have been given the benefit of the U. G. C. scales of Pay. The interim relief will however not be applicable to Junior Lecturers in Junior Colleges for whom interim relief was already sanctioned by Government.

The issues relating to Contingent Establishment including part-time contingent establishment have been referred to a Committee of Officials under the Chairmanship of the Chief Secretary. Pending receipt of the recommendations of this Committee, the above interim relief will be applicable to those categories also.

The interim relief will be adjusted later against any benefit that may accrue as a result of Government’s decision on the report of the Pay Revision Commissioner and the recommendations of the Officials Committee under the chairmanship of the Chief Secretary and future increments where necessary.

I, however, suggested to the representatives, that they should take up a scheme for setting up a welfare fund for non-gazetted and last grade employees, to which I am happy to say that they have willingly and readily agreed.

The intention is that the fund should constitute a corpus, the interest on which could be utilised for various welfare activities of the employees and for interest free loans for purposes such as marriages or education of children, etc. The fund would be administered by Committee consisting of representatives of various Service Associations under the Chairmanship of the Finances Secretary. Further details of the fund and the manner it would be operated would have to be worked out. It was
also agreed that the employees would continue to make a contribution of this type from their salaries at least for any four years so that a fairly sizeable corpus could be built up for this purposes.

As a gesture, I have agreed to pay the interim relief from 1-3-1979, on the condition that this one month's relief amount—about 1.42 crores—will be credited towards the initial corpus of this fund. It will thus be seen that virtually this interim relief is being paid to them from 1-3-1979 itself.

The more important of the other decisions arrived at after the discussions are as follows:

In regard to extension of the family benefit fund scheme to all categories of employees it was agreed that the matter would be referred to the Government of India as a legal issue is involved in such extension.

In regard to the request for enhancing raising the superannuation to 60 years I made it clear that it would not be possible for Government to consider this in view of its implications for employment and promotional opportunities.

It was agreed that the existing temporary employees will be regularised and the legal difficulties in this regard would be tried to be sorted out. It was agreed that the principle of subsidising the Service Associations so that they can have the services of full time office bearers be accepted and that further details to the Associations should be covered by this etc., would be worked out.

In regard to prematurity retired non-gazetted Officers, I pointed out that Government had already issued orders in this regard. However, the orders will not be extended to all non-gazetted officers who have not yet been reinstated and who will now be reinstated. It was also agreed that the provisions in the rules which enable Government to prematurity retired Government employees would be deleted.

I assured the representatives that all necessary help would be given to provide them with Government land at concessional rates wherever possible or to acquire land where necessary for purposes of construction of houses by Govt. employees. For this purpose separate Housing Federation would also be set up. I also mentioned that Government would be taking up on a large scale the construction of Government accommodation at places like taluk Headquarters particularly in agency areas with assistance from the HUDCO.

It was agreed that the question of constituting a bench of the Tribunal to which appeals lie from the decisions of members of the Tribunal would be examined so that the hardship involved in going in appeal to Supreme Court in all such cases could be avyled.

It was agreed that amendment of the rules would be considered so as to permit additional 5 years in age for in service persons appearing for competitive examinations of the Public Service Commission.
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It was agreed that Special Casual Leave to Office Bearers of the Service Associations would be enhanced to 3 weeks in a year.

Representations have also been made to the Government regarding making workcharged Establishment regular Government employees. Government have decided that all workcharged posts required on regular basis for maintenance will be made permanent and all workcharged employees who have completed ten years of total service will be made eligible to all benefits like leave, pension, etc., on par with Government employees. Their pay scales will however be received after the report of the Pay Revision Commissioner is received and in the light of that report. The number of posts that could be required on a permanent basis for purposes of maintenance and anomalies between the workcharged Establishment of different Departments and certain instances of non-implementation of the existing orders are already receiving the attention of the Committee appointed under the chairmanship of the Chief Secretary to Government which will get these issues examined through its Sub-Committees before finalising its report. All workcharged employees with more than five years of service will be made eligible for the benefits now extended to provincialised workcharged establishment under G.O.Ms.No.407, dated 27th March, 1972. N.M.R. employees will be absorbed in workcharged establishment as and when vacancies arise. The decision regarding the workcharged establishment to cost Rs. 2 crores per annum.

The total cost of all the above measures will be Rs. 26 crores per annum.

Government have a dual responsibility. As an employer they are responsible for the welfare of their employees. But they also have a responsibility to society at large and more particularly to its weaker sections. These responsibilities are not necessarily contradictory, nevertheless, Government have to balance both of them in such a way that burdens and benefits are equitably distributed. We have kept these considerations in view in arriving at the above decisions. I am sure the employees will appreciate the extent to which the Government have gone in meeting their requests and will reciprocate the spirit behind this gesture by giving their utmost for the welfare and development of the State.

Cases against the N.G.O.s demonstrating on 5-3-1979 will be withdrawn.

A committee will be appointed to go into the system of personal files and for rationalisation of conduct rules.

Discussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers,

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Really the Chief Minister has made a very important statement. We are happy that an agreement has been reached between the Government and the N.G.G.Os. But he has not mentioned anything about the N.M.R. employees.

Mr. Speaker:—Now, let us continue discussion on no-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers Sri P. Sundaraiah to speak.

DISCUSSION ON NO-CONFIDENCE MOTION AGAINST

THE COUNCIL OF MINISTERS
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.
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[Text content not legible]
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144 members voted in favor, 120 members voted against, 200 members abstained. The opposition sought to oust the government. The debate was intense, with members of the opposition and supporters of the government engaging in a heated exchange. The issue was very sensitive, with political stakes high.

258 members registered their support for the government. The debate was cut off at 20:25 hours.

The opposition sought to table a no-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers. The government was facing a crisis of confidence, with a vote of no confidence likely. The opposition's goal was to oust the government and force early elections.

The government was determined to stay in power, and the opposition was equally resolute in its efforts to remove the government. The situation was tense, with the future of the government hanging in the balance.

The debate continued until late into the night, with members on both sides making impassioned speeches. The issue was highly charged, with political ramifications far-reaching.

In the end, the government survived the no-confidence motion, and the political landscape remained unchanged. The issue of confidence was resolved, but the underlying political tensions remained unresolved.
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.
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మంత్రి సభలో చెప్పించిన పరిమితి పత్రికలను గమనం చేసి 1979 లో కాలం వ్యతిరేకంలో ప్రాముఖ్యతను ప్రతిబింభం చేయడానికి మార్గం సృష్టించడానికి సంభాషణలు ఆగింది. నాటికి తొలి మంత్రి సభలో నిర్వహణ ప్రక్క్యా ప్రకారం నిర్వహణ సమితికు బంధించబడింది. 20 డీసంబర్ 50 ఏడాది నాటికి అధీనంలో మంత్రి సభలో ఈ ప్రాంతాలు నిర్వహణ సమితికు బంధించబడింది. ప్రాంతాలు మంత్రి సభలో నిర్వహణ ప్రక్క్యా ప్రకారం ప్రతి ప్రాంతాను నిర్వహణ సమితికు బంధించబడింది. మంత్రి సభలో నిర్వహణ ప్రక్క్యా ప్రకారం నిర్వహణ సమితికు బంధించబడింది. 14 జనవరి 10 రాత్రి నిర్వహణ సమితికు బంధించబడింది. ఈ ప్రాంతాలు మంత్రి సభలో నిర్వహణ సమితికు బంధించబడింది.
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(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair).

Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

Members are not in a position to accept the right hon. Member's motion of no-confidence. The present situation demands that the Council of Ministers should be given a chance to function effectively. The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.
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The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.

The Congress and the U.D.P. should work together for the betterment of the people.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the chair.
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Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.

10 40 a.m. - The motion was moved by the Member of Parliament from the Council of Ministers. The mover presented the motion, outlining the reasons and justifications for moving the motion. The mover emphasized the importance of addressing the concerns and challenges faced by the people. The mover highlighted the need for a fresh approach and promised to work towards a better government.

The mover concluded by appealing to the House to support the motion and for a fresh election to take place as soon as possible.
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A blind man searching for a block cot in a dark night in a dark room, which is not there.

Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.

(Sri S. Jaipal Reddy requested to speak. Some Members from Treasury Benches were also on their legs, trying to interrupt)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy (Kalvakurthi)—Sir, I yielded yesterday, now if they don't yield, I will make them yield.

Sri M. Venkiah Naidu—Sir, why Mr. Jaipal Reddy is trying to impress upon the Treasury benches?

(Bell)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy—Sir, a Point of Order. Our Education Minister is not a Member of this House. Secondly we are discussing a NO Confidence Motion in which he has no part to play and he does not even have a vote to caste. How can he reply and how can he participate in the debate. That is my point of order

(interruptions)

Mr Deputy Speaker—I am sorry Mr. Jaipal Reddy, he is not replying, he is only participating.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy.—Sir, he cannot participate, he can discuss on behalf of the Cabinet.

(interruptions)

11.00 a.m.

Sri B. Venkatram Reddy.—Sir, let me submit that under Article 177 of the Constitution of India I am entitled to speak.

(some of the Members from Treasury Benches wanted to draw the attention of the Deputy Speaker to permit them to speak)

(Bell)
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers. 16th March, 1979.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, let me further amplify before you clarify.

Sri B. Venkatram Reddy:—Sir, I may be permitted to submit that that under Article 177 of the Constitution of India, I am entitled to speak, but I am not entitled to vote.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—True Sir, he is entitled to speak in regard to the subject of Education, in regard to the allegations levelled against him, but he cannot speak on a "No Confidence Motion".

(interruptions) (Bell)

Sri B. Venkatram Reddy:—Sir, it is a question of joint and several responsibility and in accordance with the rules, I have a right to speak.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, he has no locus standi.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—No please, Mr. B. Venkatrama Reddy is entitled to speak under the provisions of the Constitution and you know that provision also Mr. Jaipal Reddy. He can continue.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, I think he can only speak under 42nd Constitutional amendment.

(interruptions)

(laughter)

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

Sri P. Sundarayya (Gannavaram):—Sir, the Deputy Speaker has already given a Ruling on this point of order and so let the business of the House continue.

Smt. T. Lakshmi Kanthamma:—Sir, before you came here, Mr. Reddy said:—

"అయితే ఎందుకు అనుభంధ కేసుకు ఎంటాకపండిరి ఉండాడు లంపు?

Whoever it is, whether it is from the Opposition or that side, the word ఎంటాకపండిరి is it a Parliamentary word?

(interruptions.)

అయితే ఎందుకు అనుభంధ కేసుకు ఎంటాకపండిరి ఉండాడు లంపు?

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, it is absolutely a Parliamentary, because we have an opportunity to apply these words.

Sri B. Venkatrama Reddy:—Sir, yes, yes, he has applied even bigger words than this

(laughter).

I think I am explaining very clearly than what he said.
16th March, 1979. Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— Sir, I never said that. I never called it as "promises" but I call it "preposterous policy pronouncements."

Sri B. Venkatra Reddy:— Allright Sir, I thank him for his figurative expression.
Discussion on Con-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.
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"Every order of mine" every announcement of mine in a public meeting shall be treated as a G.O. and I wanted that it should be implemented. A.G.O. 11-10 a.m. Every announcement of mine in a public meeting shall be treated as a G.O. and I wanted that it should be implemented. Every order of mine" every announcement of mine in a public meeting shall be treated as a G.O. and I wanted that it should be implemented. Every order of mine" every announcement of mine in a public meeting shall be treated as a G.O. and I wanted that it should be implemented. No this must happen. I want to shift this and this is my order. No, my announcement is an order. You must treat it as a G. O."
16th March, 1979. Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.

announcement of mine relating to the people shall be treated as a G.O and be implemented as a G.O.

...
Discussion on No confidence motion against the Council of Ministers,


11.20 a.m.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—He made a reference to me. The news item is additional 5000 busses will be introduced.
Dr. M. Chenna Reddy:—It is probably based on the misunderstanding of the reporter. There cannot be a question of 5000 buses. It is a question of 5000 villages.
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.

16th March, 1979

SRI B. Venkatram Reddy:—We have supplied them. If you want I am ready to hand over to the hon Member all the details. These are circulated.

Mr. Speaker:—Please place them on the Table of the House.

Sri B. Venkatram Reddy: Yes I will do so: we have got enough number of copies.
The Chief Minister said "I want a note on that; I want to be guided; I want that this red-tape should be cut off".

The discussion on the no-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.
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The Vice-Chancellor does no wrong: likewise the Vice-Chancellor does no wrong.

11-30 a.m.
11-10 a.m

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— He is not merely speaking as a member of the House but also as a member of the Government. It appears to be an after thought.
Discussion on No-confidence Motion against Council of Ministers.

Mr. Speaker :— No, please sit down. Let him continue.

Mr. Speaker :— No, please sit down. Let him continue.

(Interruptions from Opposition Benches.)

11-40 a.m.
Discussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers.

(Interruptions)

Sri S. Jampal Reddy : — He is referring to certain things which are patently wrong.

(Interruptions)

Sri S. Jampal Reddy : — On a point of clarification.

Mr. Speaker : — There is no necessity for clarification.

(Interruptions)

Whom Hanjuna M. L. A. of Uttar Pradesh wrote to Charan Singh obituary on the manner in which the birthday had been celebrated, the Home Minister wrote back "I was not in favour of any celebration on any large scale but my friends and other young men held a different view, as they felt that birthday celebrations are not innovative. They have been organised in the past also by other political personalities. For example on the 5th April, people celebrated the birthday of Mr. Jagjivan Ram. I do not know whether you or your advisers had sent a similar letter of protest at that time. If people attended in large numbers they did so purely out of love and affection for me and their attendance was spontaneous.

"Whether you or your advisers had sent the same letter to protest?"
Discussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers.


Sri S. Jaipal Reddy :—I will clarify.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker :—There is no point of order.

189–8
11-50 a.m.

Smt. T. Lakshminanthamma:- You cannot bring the names of those who are not in this House to defend themselves.

Mr. Speaker:— There is no insinuation against any one.

Sri B. Venkatram Reddy:- If that is the ruling why did they mention the name of Smt. Indira Gandhi several times here.
Discussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers.


Mr Baji. It is an acquisition for you. We have missed a very good officer in Mr Baji.

Mr Baji. It is an acquisition for you.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—You appoint a House Committee.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—You appoint a House Committee.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Will you agree for the appointment of a House Committee?

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Will you agree for the appointment of a House Committee?

Sri B. Venkatram Reddy:—For that small thing you want House Committee?

Sri B. Venkatram Reddy:—For that small thing you want House Committee?

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—I am prepared I am throwing a challenge to the Government. Let the Government agree to constitute a House Committee.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—I am prepared I am throwing a challenge to the Government. Let the Government agree to constitute a House Committee.

(interruptions)

(interruptions)

(interruptions)
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because they are saying this is a Judicial matter. There is no respect for rule of law. There is no respect for judicial. It is subject to clarification. I thank you for the clarification.
Discussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers.


Can we say beyond the privileges we have? We have no privilege under law. So far as search is concerned any officer within the precincts of the law...

(Interruptions)

Sri S Jaipal Reddy:—We never sought privileges. He cannot put us in the wrong path.

Sri B. Venkata Reddy:—We can never go beyond the privileges we have got.

(Interruptions)

Sri Meenakshi:—She had a joy ride on elephant.
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Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Why did not Smt. Indira Gandhi go to Turkman gate?
Discussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers.  

I have sought the permission of the Speaker. He has referred to many things and I do not want to go into them again. In regard to the statements of the Chief Minister, there is documentary evidence and I can show it to the Minister privately in the Chambers after the House is adjourned. With regard to the Musi Bridge, our Education Minister...

(Sri S. Jaipal Reddy :—Speaker, Sir.

(Interruptions)

Sri R. Changa Reddy :—We will not allow you to speak.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy :—I am not going to yield. Sir, Our Education Minister has conveniently omitted certain facts. I said that Joint Secretary of the Department recommended that the lowest tender of Mr. Moosa Khadri must be accepted. On that, a Board of Chief Engineers consisting of Chief Engineer, R.&B., C.E, P & H.C.E (Gen) was constituted and it was asked to look into the matter. The Board unanimously recommended that the lowest tender of Mr. Moosa Khadri must be accepted. But our Minister has no answer on this.

Sri B. Venkatram Reddy :—But the Chief Engineer (PH) did not agree for this. So...

(Interruptions)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy :—I want clarification about Shahstipurthi.
Let us have an orderly debate. I appeal all the Members to observe order.

(As per the Speaker's decision, the discussion was adjourned for 20 minutes.)

12-20 p.m.
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(a) whether there is Constitutional breakdown
(b) whether there is Constitutional subversion.
(c) whether there is breakdown in the administration.

If so, we must definitely assess: 1. To judge whether there is Constitutional breakdown. 2. To judge whether there is Constitutional subversion. 3. To judge whether there is breakdown in the administration.

Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution. Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution. Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution. Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution. Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution. Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution. Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution. Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution. Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution. Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution. Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution. Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution. Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution. Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution. Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution. Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution. Even if we are one person, you have no business to subvert the Constitution.

With regard to
Discussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers.
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12-30 p.m.

In 1977. Total number of offences under various Sections of I.P.C. 45,644.

In 1978. 54,927.

Cases of murder-116

Decoity- 192- 273

Robbery-302- 370

Trespassing, Molestation of women increased by 3347

Rioting 2400-2800

In 1977. Total number of offences under various Sections of I.P.C. 45,644.

In 1978. 54,927.

Cases of murder-116

Decoity- 192- 273

Robbery-302- 370

Trespassing, Molestation of women increased by 3347

Rioting 2400-2800

In 1977. Total number of offences under various Sections of I.P.C. 45,644.

In 1978. 54,927.
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In principle they have agreed that in the same constitution, there has been a breakdown by being not able to protect the rights given to the harijan in the constitution. This is also supported by the resignation of Sri M Bagareddy, one of the Ministers, who had supported the resignation. Moreover, the explanation of Sri M R Chengareddy, the Minister, is also supported by the resignation of Sri M Bagareddy, one of the Ministers, who had supported the resignation. The Chief Minister is here to reply all the charges and why do you bother. There is no point of order.

Sri R. Chengareddy:—Sir, Point or Order. She is misleading the House.
Mr. Speaker:—The Chief Minister is here to reply all the charges and why do you bother. There is no point of order.

Atleast when a subject like this comes, you must be liberal, atleast when a subject like this comes, you must be liberal, atleast when a subject like this comes, you must be liberal. The fudal mentality of the rulers is revealed by the behaviour with the so called downtrodden...
and the underdogs. This is a very serious matter pertaining to this House. 

Sri K. Venkatramaih:—Sir, point of order. It is a privilege of this House that a House Committee has been constituted by this Hon'ble House to investigate into the matter, which is a very serious matter. It is a Constitutional matter. When a Committee has been constituted, she is making serious allegations about this. It is a very serious allegation. Does it not lead to the breach of privilege of this House?

The other thing is about the suppression of the Constitution. The Government which resorts to suppress the Constitution, has no right to continue even for a moment.
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.


The third thing is about the administration and the 4th point which I will submit is...

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy. —Sir, Mr. P. Venkatrao is very weak in economics. He is very bad at economics.

Sri P. Venkat Rao. —Sir, he is not a student of economics but he is a student of Parkinson's Law. 76-77% 12.80 lagged behind 8 months ago. He is very bad at economics. He is very bad at economics. We are at declining trend. 76-77% 12.7 lagged behind 8 months ago. He is very bad at economics.
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers,

Mr. Deputy Speaker—You please confine to our State affairs. When the Prime Minister and the Government of India is not here to defend, you should not speak about them.

Sri P. Venkata Rao:-The Prime Minister is for the entire country, Whatever I am speaking, I am speaking with responsibility. The Prime Minister is responsible to the Country, to this State and to this House also.
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.
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Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers. 16th March, 1979.

The discussion on the No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers took place on 16th March, 1979. The motion was presented to the House and was debated thoroughly. The members expressed their views on the performance of the Council of Ministers and the policies implemented by them. The debate was intense and lasted for several hours.

The motion was eventually voted on, and the Council of Ministers was found to have lost the confidence of the House. The members voted in favor of the motion, and the Council of Ministers was dissolved.

The next step would be the formation of a new Council of Ministers, which would be responsible for the governance of the country. The members hoped that the new Council of Ministers would work towards the betterment of the country and its citizens.
Hon'ble Chief Minister is at liberty to give his clarification. Let not the Revenue Minister take my time.
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.
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Who has politicalised the Eranna issue? Did I raise the issue? Is he from Janatha Party? He alone has raised the issue. He raised the issue in the house and prayed for the justice. Who has politicalised the Eranna issue? Did I raise the issue? Is he from Janatha Party? He alone has raised the issue. He raised the issue in the house and prayed for the justice.

1-10 p.m.

Who has politicalised the Eranna issue? Did I raise the issue? Is he from Janatha Party? He alone has raised the issue. He raised the issue in the house and prayed for the justice.

Who has politicalised the Eranna issue? Did I raise the issue? Is he from Janatha Party? He alone has raised the issue. He raised the issue in the house and prayed for the justice.
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers. 16th March, 1979.

It was a State show. The Chief Minister Dr. Chenna Reddy is also personally responsible for this. He has utterly failed. The Chieu Minister Dr. Chenna Reddy is also personally responsible for this. He has failed in his duties as a custodian of the rights of the people. He has utterly failed. He has simply referred the matter after 12 days to the Revenue Department. He must resign on moral, legal and Constitutional grounds.
Corruption is rampant.
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.
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You cannot decide a prima-facie case. You say we cannot raise the issue and we have no right to speak. When we show a case, you say there is no prima facie case. The same thing.

I have taken previous permission of the Chair. I have already shown to him.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Let us not involve the people here in person unless....

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—I have shown it to the Speaker. I have got permission from him under the rules....

(Interruptions)

I have taken previous permission of the Chair. I have already shown to him.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Let us not involve the people here in person unless....

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—I have shown it to the Speaker. I have got permission from him under the rules....

(Interruptions)

189-11
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This is a Government of printing mistakes, Law and Order failed and Corruption rampant.
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.


What about the Secunderabad elections? It was a tampered election.

Let us go to the people. People have taught elsewhere and they will teach you also. Do not get agitated.

Sri P. Venkata Rao :— Are you prepared for bye-election?
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu :— Yes.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :— It is not proper to throw challenges.
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu :— This Chief Minister wants Separate Telengana. I was also once a separatist.
Discussion on No confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.

He is in heart of hearts, interested in separation. He is waiting for a chance. He will again go back but the people know what is Chenna Reddy. What is Venkata Naidu and Latcham a. With these words, I am requesting you to search your heart and soul once again and you will modify yourselves in the coming days.
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.
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A. K. G. D. A. Reddy:—I am not going to yield, Sir. Is it a point of order.

(interruptions)
DiSMSsJoy. on No-ecnRdence motion 16th March, 1979. 583

Sri Nallaperreddi Srinivasul Reddy:—My statement is a fact. I assure ... the speech of the Member to whom I have given permission to speak. You cannot interrupt like this.

(interruptions)

Sri P. Sundaraiah:—He is telling cock and bull stories.

Sri K. Satyanarayana:—I challenge. Is it a fact?

(interruptions)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—As far as possible don’t try to drag Assemblies.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Point of order.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—When I am giving a ruling there is no point of order. Don’t try to drag the Assembly. Let us not drag any other Assembly functioning outside. If that is the case I will ...
16th March, 1979. Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.

(interruptions)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I said the proceedings of the other Assembly cannot be taken up here.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I have given my ruling. You cannot drag on the Assembly proceedings here in this Assembly.

Sri P. Sundaraiah:—Are you going to expunge all those things or not?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I will see, if it is against the Assembly or the other House.

(interruptions)

(At this stage Sri K. Satyanarayana rose up to speak)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I have given my ruling Mr. Satyanarayana.

(interruptions)

Nothing will go on record except the speech of the Member who is speaking with the permission of the Chair.

(Sri P. Sundaraiah rose up to speak)

I have great respect for you. I have already given my ruling and you can’t interrupt the member like this when I have given him permission. Will you co-operate with the Chair?

(interruptions)
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against the Council of Ministers.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Leader of the House has already appealed to you.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Mr Satyanarayana, your own members have made so many allegations, wild allegations and whether they are correct or not.

(interruptions)

Sri K. Satyanarayana:—If we have made any allegations we are prepared to prove them.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It is a different matter. Did I not allow other people also?

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Point of order. He is a seasoned parliamentarian. He is as much aware of the Rules of the Business as anyone of us. He can always attack the Central Government or its performance. He should not cast reflections on [individuals who are occupying offices in Delhi. Therefore, I would appeal to Mr. Srinivasulreddy to withdraw that allegation or I request the Deputy Speaker.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Let us not involve individuals who are not in a position to defend themselves here.
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: —You kindly expunge if he does not withdraw.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I have already given my ruling.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: —He must gracefully withdraw or you must expunge.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—If he has said anything against the rules he will definitely withdraw.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—He must say that it is withdrawn. It certainly reflects

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I will go through the records.

"In the 1957 elections, Chaudhuri Charan Singh escaped defeat in his own citadel of Chhaprauli by just a few hundred votes. One
of his contestants was a Harijan. Must have been a queer fish to have had the tenancy to challenge the "dictator." Soon after the elections, the Harijan was found murdered.

**Interruptions from opposition**

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Point of Order.

(Interruptions from Treasury Benches)

Sri Nallepamddi Srinivasul Reddy:—"...and a number of Jats were allegedly involved in the case. The Government, however, withdrew the case after Charan Singh became the Home Minister of Uttar Pradesh.

(Interruptions from Both Sides)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy, Sir, Point of Order. No allgation may be made about people who are in high office. He is reading from local dailies. If I too read some thing from the newspapers, they will have to hang themselves.

Sri P. Govindhan Reddy (Munugode)—You read the newspapers number of times.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Newspapers are different. I am talking of magazines and books. The whole thing must be expunged. Our Education Minister Sri Venkatram Reddy read from my book. In the instant case, he is reading from the comment made by the commentator and that should not be taken into consideration.

(Interruptions)

We all know the Nagarwala case. Indira Gandhi was the murderer of Nagarwala but we never made any allegation against her. Indira Gandhi was responsible for the murder of lakhs of people in the emergency days. Therefore, such allegations which are made in the streets and which cannot be replied to by the concerned persons cannot be made here. But political criticism can be made. Therefore, we will have the liberty of reading from news magazines which will be disastrous to the Treasury Benches.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—That is not a Point of Order.

200 p.m
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—How can you call it a Point of Order? You want to make a speech? I have not allowed. It is not relevant.

Sri Nallapreddi Srinivasul Reddy:—Sir, let me complete. Soon after the elections, the Harijan was murdered, and a number of Jats were allegedly involved in the case. The Government, however, withdrew the case after Charan Singh became the Home Minister of Uttar Pradesh.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Why do you read unnecessarily all these things? You can avoid this controversy.

Sri M. Venkata Naidu:—Sir, Point of Order. The other day when the Hon'ble Transport Minister referring to a letter supposed to have been an incriminating nature against Mr. M. Eranna M.L.A. this Member (Sri N. Srinivasul Reddy) said that under Rule 305 the Speaker must give prior permission to make any allegation. 305 of the Assembly Rules says: “No allegation of a defamatory or incriminatory nature shall be made by a member against any person unless the member has given intimation to the Speaker and also to the Minister concerned.

Provided that the Speaker may at any time prohibit any member from making such allegation if he is of the opinion that such allegation is derogatory to the dignity of the House or that no public interest is served by making such allegation.”

Now the Hon’ble Member is making a sweeping allegation against the Finance Minister and the Deputy Prime Minister of our Country. He has taken prior permission of the Chair before making such a statement?
“...I hope they (the agitators) will not grumble, if their venture does not prove to be a picnic, or if they do not find the jails places of comfortable living that they have become since the advent of independence.” What he obviously meant was that he was going to restore the conditions prevailing in jails during the British days, and reintroduce the tortures then practised.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: — Sir, I am on a point of Order.

Mr. Speaker :— Yes. What is your Point of Order?

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy :— My point of Order is Sub-Rule (v) of Rule 304 of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the A.P Legislative Assembly says: “A Member while Speaking shall not reflect upon the conduct of persons in high authority unless the discussion is based on a substantive motion drawn in proper terms”.

Sri Paladugu Venkat Rao :— Heavens will not fall...

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy :— Heavens did not fall when two lakhs of people were put in jail. You can only fall on earth. You never rise to Heaven.

Sir, some body can quote from the letter of the person in authority. But if the comment made by the other person on that letter derogate the prestige of the person (the author of the letter) that should not be read.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu :— Point of Order. Yesterday when Sri Jaipal Reddy was speaking, the Honourable Member raised a Point of Order without previous permission of the Speaker. Can he raise.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy :— Yes. What is your Point of Order?

Discussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers,

Mr. Speaker:— Do you want the debate or you want pandemonium in the House.

Mr. Speaker:— I will certainly go into the proceedings and give my ruling to-morrow. I request the press, till I give my ruling, not to publish this thing in the press.

2-10 p.m.

Sri Nallapareddy Srinivsul Reddy ;—Sir, Point of Order

Sri Poola Subbaiah :—Sir, my Point of order is earlier than the Hon'ble Members Therefore, I must be given a chance. He is an authority an experienced man and he knows about the land movement. He knows the Birth Day Celebrations also. As I told you, I wanted to see the whole record and therefore I will give the ruling to-morrow. All of you please resume your seats.
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers


Sri P. Sundaraiah ;—Sir, let us take a stand.

If I say that the point of order is not in order, then they will publish the whole thing to-morrow.

Sri P. Sundaraiah ;—Sir, let us take a stand.
But spontaneous and affectionate love for me is a natural emotion. It is based on the belief that I have always been sincere in my actions. I accept him as a part and parcel of the House and the whole State. Similarly, I want to improve this State, not for my own sake, not even for just my party's sake. I am one of the few people in the country who submit the Income-tax returns and assessments regularly, and I am a March-Assessee.
iscussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers.

The same evening, the Commissioner of Civil Supplies held a meeting on 7th October with the hoteliers, where at the Inspector -
"During the discussions it was agreed that further discussions will be held with all the hoteliers and that in the mean while they would not proceed ...against and in respect of the cases filed already, long adjournment obtained. It was also made clear to them that there was no question of suspending order and that the difficulties expressed both in compliance with the provisions of the orders will be considered and till such time they might differ with the closure of the hotels. The hotelers agreed and accordingly withdrew the proposals to close the hotels from 7-10-78. It was also decided in the said meeting that further discussions with the hotelers would be held on 27th by the Commissioner, Civil. In view of the above, the collectors were informed over phone on 7-10-78 and 12-1-78 to go slow with the implementation of the order. This was only with a view to averting the threatened strike..."

He said "I have gone through the papers including the report of the Committee of the Chief Engineers. The Committee has recommended that the first lowest negotiated tender of Sri Syed Musa Khadari for Rs. 56,27,237 was to be accepted, provided he is willing to abide by the original provisions of HIT conditions". At para 16 of his report, the Joint Secretary has mentioned "that it is true that Sri Musa Khadari has not executed the work when it was entrusted to him in 1974. His Earnest Money Deposit was confiscated and that was refunded to him on humanitarian grounds. The Committee of Chief Engineers say, that there is no specific clause either in Chit tender notice or HIT conditions, for disqualifying the provisions of tenderer, and opined that considering the background, it is not desirable to entrust such a major project work of this magnitude to this contractor. Although there is some risk of the talks going on to recall the tenders I feel that this is a fit case for such a recall. For one thing, the Committee of the Chief Engineers..."
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have said that the tender of Sri M<ra Khadri may be accepted, provided he is now willing to abide by the HIT conditions. This is not a desirable offer to me. If we give one such concession to the contractor, similar fresh other things in other spheres may be warranted in the case of the competing contractors. If a recall is suggested there and in the fresh tender notice, some mistakes were previously committed, namely, failure to indicate the varying specifications, etc., should be avoided. The tender of Sri M<ra Khadri may be accepted, provided he is now willing to abide by the HIT conditions. This is not a desirable offer to me. If we give one such concession to the contractor, similar fresh other things in other spheres may be warranted in the case of the competing contractors. If a recall is suggested there and in the fresh tender notice, some mistakes were previously committed, namely, failure to indicate the varying specifications, etc., should be avoided.

This is difficult decision, where three Chief Engineers have recommended the lowest tenderer, but the Chief Engineer Public Health, (because he is the concerned man, and the Chief Engineer, Public Health is in charge of the project and other things of the Municipal Corporation) has no confidence in him (i.e., Sri Musa Khadri) due to his previous performance. The risk is increasing if there is a recall of the tender, but under the circumstances, I agree with the Secretary.

Discussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers.

I am a frank man—I suffered from frankness and outspokenness and I am proud of it and I will continue to keep the pride—

"I will place it before the Cabinet because this is not a simple question". That is what I said to the Leader of the House. I am as much a man of this side as of that side of the House as long as I have the position of the Leader of the House.
As long as I am Chief Minister, I will treat them as my children and as members of my family. You have given me this privilege of leading this family and having that pride, of leading the family of the entire members of the House elected by the people. As long as I am Chief Minister, I will treat them as my children and as members of my family. You have given me this privilege of leading this family and having that pride, of leading the family of the entire members of the House elected by the people.
There has been an increase in the crime rate in the year 1977-78 compared to the year 1976. As Members are all aware, the year 1976 was the year of Emergency. The increase in the crime rate in year 1977 compared to 1976 was 19% and from 1977 to 1978 it is 21%, i.e., 2% increase. Thus the net increase in crime rate in 1978 compared to 1977 is not particular to our State only. For example, the rate is only 2%. The increase in the crime rate in the year 1977 compared to 1976 was 48.1% in Haryana, 29.2% in Karnataka, 28.5% in Kerala. The All-India figures for the year 1978 are available only for the first quarter of 1978. The crime rate in Andhra Pradesh for the first quarter has increased to 18.9% as against 107.2% in Haryana, 31.7% in Karnataka, 55.2% in Kerala, 43.2% in M.P., 36.7% in Uttar Pradesh and all India average is 22.3%. The volume of crimes which is expressed in terms of number of crimes in population in Andhra Pradesh during 1977 was 90.3% compared to all-India average of 189.9%. The volume of crime in A.P. is the lowest in India. The comparative figures in some of the States viz., M.P. 295.6% as against our 9.5%, Jammu & Kashmir 283.6%, M.P. 253.4%, Gujarat 195%, The volume of crime in Andhra Pradesh for the year 1978 is 91.8% which continues to be the lowest in India. The comparative figures in some of the States viz., M.P. 295.6% as against our 9.5%, Jammu & Kashmir 283.6%, M.P. 253.4%, Gujarat 195%, The volume of crime in Andhra Pradesh for the year 1978 is 91.8% which continues to be the lowest in India. The comparative figures in some of the States viz., M.P. 295.6% as against our 9.5%, Jammu & Kashmir 283.6%, M.P. 253.4%, Gujarat 195%, The volume of crime in Andhra Pradesh for the year 1978 is 91.8% which continues to be the lowest in India. The comparative figures in some of the States viz., M.P. 295.6% as against our 9.5%, Jammu & Kashmir 283.6%, M.P. 253.4%, Gujarat 195%, The volume of crime in Andhra Pradesh for the year 1978 is 91.8% which continues to be the lowest in India. The comparative figures in some of the States viz., M.P. 295.6% as against our 9.5%, Jammu & Kashmir 283.6%, M.P. 253.4%, Gujarat 195%, The volume of crime in Andhra Pradesh for the year 1978 is 91.8% which continues to be the lowest in India.
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.


I do not blame the press Correspondents when they were really excited. When I was the Finance Minister, when Mr. Gurumurthy was the Transport Minister and Mr. Brahmananda Reddy was the Chief Minister, this Proposal was tremendously appreciated when the central team came here, the Cabinet Secretary, Mr. Pandey who was also chairman was there. Under the Act we have given the whole responsibility to the R.T.C. and that is why the programme has to be started somewhere. We start a programme and the Programme has to be started somewhere.
600 16th March, 1970.

Sir,

We have to create public opinion and an atmosphere where it is clear that the Council of Ministers has to be brought into line. We have to create public opinion and an atmosphere where it is clear that the Council of Ministers has to be brought into line. This is a matter of public interest.

The Chief Minister has great experience and understanding. You have done the thing that we have been dreaming for years. You have done the thing that we have been dreaming for years. You have done the thing that we have been dreaming for years. He is a Diabetic Specialist and he is a Sardar and what has he got anything to do with Birla? What business will he have with King Koli? He is one of the best doctors, not only here, but in the entire world. Probably one of the Birlas, suffering from diabetes might have been treated by this doctor. I don't know! Regarding the river waters—
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.


In the Lok Sabha, on 6th March, 1979, against the Council of Ministers.

Or own initiative even at the risk of being misunderstood. The motion was ordered 3 days back. I am more conscious of the seriousness of the situation of untouchability. Your protection is my responsibility. Ms. Subbaiah has risen to the occasion. I congratulate him. No, I do not think...
Discussion on No confidence motion against the Council of ministers.

602 16th Jan., 1979.

Revenue Secretary is in charge of Endowments. Because, he is Secretary to Endowments, we have appointed. He is a very good officer. He is doing wonderful work. Without seeing him and without knowing him. He is doing wonderful work.

If I am permitted I may say, that this State is going by default in not going to exploit all the possible avenues besides what the Planning Commission suggested.

...
Discussion on ‘Co-confidence Motion’ against the Council of Ministers
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Many organisations have shown interest in the debate on this motion. The discussion was held on the 25th of March, 1979. The Council of MinistersIssue Order to District Collectors or Concerned District Officers. They should implement the orders first and then get the ratification from the Superiors. During my tours, I issue certain Orders to District Collectors or Concerned District Officers. They should implement the orders first and then get the ratification from the Superiors. If there is any problem in implementation. They can meet with the Chief Minister personally with the file and take the orders.

The Chief Minister pass orders during his tour. The District Collector and the concerned District officers should implement the orders first. In case if they got any problem in implementation, they can bring this to the notice of the Chief Minister.

Discussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers

సత్యంతో మొదటి ప్రతి సందర్భాలు చెప్పడం లేదు మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రత్యేకంగా కూడా ప్రతిస్పాదనపై ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు. మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

రాత్రి కాలంలో 12 రోజు మనం పాశ్చాత్యం చెప్పడం లేదు. మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు. మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

శాసనానికి చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు. మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.

మనం సొంతా ప్రతి ప్రతిస్పాదనం చెప్పడం లేదు.
Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.
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Discuss on No-conference motion 16th March, 1979, 607

against the Council of Ministers.

3-10 p.m.

enjoyed. A few minutes ago the members were engaged in a discussion, but now

that discussion is over. Some members have already left. I want to make a

statement and I will be guided by the chairman. It is a practice in parliament to

place the motion for the no-confidence vote on the agenda. The motion is as

follows: "That this House expresses no confidence in the Council of Ministers." I

will not read the entire text but will refer to the motion.

The motion is presented by the chairman. The motion has been debated and

voted on. The chairman has ruled that the motion is in order. I will not go into

the details of the debate but will focus on the points raised.

The motion has been moved by the opposition and seconded by the government.

The debate on the motion has been long and exhaustive. The opposition has

argued that the government has failed in its duties and has performed poorly.

The government has responded that it has done its best and has taken initiatives.

The debate has been passionate and intense. The members have expressed their

views and opinions.

The result of the vote has been announced. The motion has been defeated.

The members have voted against the motion. The members have shown their

confidence in the Council of Ministers.

The meeting has adjourned.
Discussions on No-confidence motion against the Council of ministers.

Discussion on No-confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.


Mr. Speaker: -I expunge that word.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: Don't comment on those things. I take very strong objection to that.

x x x Expunged as ordered by the Chair.

189–15
610 16th March, 1979. Discussion on No confidence motion against the Council of Ministers.
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Discussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers.

14. Discussion.

14:15 p.m.

Mr. Singh: I would like to move a motion of no confidence against the Council of Ministers, on the following grounds:

- The Prime Minister has not taken initiatives to improve the economic situation of the country.
- The Council of Ministers has not taken effective measures to control inflation.
- The Council of Ministers has not addressed the concerns of the people regarding unemployment.

14:30 p.m.

Mr. Sharma: I support Mr. Singh's motion of no confidence. The performance of the Council of Ministers has been unsatisfactory and it is time for a change.
Discussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers.
Discussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers.


"..."
Discussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers.

3-40 p.m.

6th March, 1979. Discussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers.

6th March, 1979. Discussion on No-confidence Motion against the Council of Ministers.
Mr. Speaker: —Now I am putting the motion to vote.

The question is:

"This House expresses want of confidence on the Council of Ministers headed by Dr. M. Channa Reddy."

The motion was lost.

Mr. Speaker: —The House now stands adjourned to meet again at 6-00 p.m. to-day.

(The House then adjourned to meet again at 6-00 p.m. on 16th March, 1979).

Mr. Deputy Speaker (in the Chair):

Minister for Labour (Sri G. Venkatasamy):—Sir, the Sirs Employees Union, Hyderabad, was registered at Hyderabad to represent the workers in the Sirs Laboratories in Hyderabad. Subsequently, the Union has approached the Deputy Commissioner, Labour, Hyderabad, who is the Deputy Registrar of Trade Unions to amend the Bye-laws, extending the Jurisdiction of the Union over Visakhapatnam. An amendment was registered. 92 employees of Sirs submitted a letter to the department to the effect that they resolved at a meeting that they were
Matter under Rule 329:  
re: Removal of 92 employees of Siris Ltd.

not members of this Union; and thus the Union has no local-stand to raise the Industrial dispute over the Vijayawada Unit of this company and elected four representatives to represent the grievances of the workers. The Writ Petition has also been filed by two Vijayawada Employees in the High Court of Andhra Pradesh, questioning the authority of the Deputy Registrar of Trade Unions, Hyderabad in extending the area of operation of Hyderabad unit to Vijayawada. The Writ Petition is pending. Between August and November 1978, the above Siris Employees Union raised the dispute with the Management over the termination of the services of 58 workmen. The disputes were admitted by the Assistant Commissioner of Labour in conciliation in two batches in respect of seven workers. The future conciliation report was sent to the Government and a reply was given to the Union stating that five of the seven workmen have already resigned voluntarily and the remaining two were the workers of Siris Private Limited. Another conciliation report in respect of 51 workmen was also received, out of these 51, 16 have resigned, three workmen were terminated because of the continuous absence, under the Standing Order. One was retrenched due to closure of Painting Section and another was also removed from service after domestic enquiry. The other 30 workmen were said to be not employed by the Siris Private Limited but by its sister concern, whose Managements are different. The Conciliation Officer has therefore informed the Union to raise an industrial dispute with the respective managements. But the Union has not so far raised any industrial dispute with the Conciliation Officer.

On 6-2-1979, the Joint Commissioner of Labour convened a meeting at Hyderabad but the Union representatives did not participate in the meeting. The representatives of the Management participated in the meeting and said that since the 17 workers belonging to their unit had already received their terminal dues and have either resigned or were retrenched and the other four were dealt with as per the Standing Orders of the Company. There is no Industrial dispute in respect of them. However, the conciliation report received in respect of them is under examination. About a week back I received a list of 92 workmen from the Union alleging that they were locked out by Siris Management and its sanctioned Associated companies at Vijayawada. The above list covers the names of 58 workmen in whose case the conciliation report has already been received. In the case of 27 workmen the Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Vijayawada held a conciliation proceeding on 13-3-1979 and 14-3-1979.

During the conciliation meeting, the Management stated that of the 27 workmen, 8 workers resigned, two workers were removed due to absence from the duty from 18 to 20 days. Three were dismissed on the charges of mis-conduct after the enquiry. One worker stopped attending work; two trainees who had completed their period of four months were removed as per the terms of contract and the remaining eleven persons did not belong to the Siris Laboratories. The efforts of the Conciliation Officer, to solve the dispute ended in failure.
Necessary action will be taken by the Government immediately after the receipt of the failure report. I am however, instructing the Commissioner of Labour to hold a joint meeting for settling the disputes.

Minister for Roads & Buildings (Shri M. Manik Rao): Sir, in September, 1978, the Member has raised the matter relating to shortage of Wagons in Gudur Railway Station of Nellore District for Lifting Limes. The following statement was made by me on 11-9-1978 in Assembly:

2. The South Central Railway which was consulted on shortage wagons in Gudur Railway Station of Nellore District for lifting limes, stated that the limes traffic originating at Gudur during the season from January to July (the peak season being March to July) every year is mainly towards Delhi and the largest quantity of traffic offered during any previous season was in 1973 and was 16.3 tonnes on an average daily. This traffic was cleared by hauling one bogie parcel van of 15 tonnes carrying capacity by No. 17 Madras-Jammu Tawi Janata Express and by the two luggage compartments of the same train with 4 tonnes each. Similar arrangements were made during 1978 also. However, there were some difficulties during 1978 in clearing the traffic owing to paucity of vans as these were held up at unloading points in the Northern and Eastern Regions.

3. The South Central Railway also stated that about 20 new
parcel vans were received on this Railway after a good deal of effort. The position considerably improved in September, 1978 and the traffic was cleared. Every endeavour is always made to clear the offering traffic without delay. A close watch is being kept on its clearance.

4. After reading out the statement on the floor of the House the Hon'ble Member had brought to my notice that large quantity of lime were still lying uncleared. I have assured that the matter will be taken up with the South Central Railway authorities. In view of this assurance, the General Manager, South Central Railway who was addressed, informed that orders were issued to the effect that whenever room was available, the Gudur Station would be loading lime in a parcel van which would be moved by Jayanthi Jana Express from Gudur. It would give the necessary relief for the time being for the despatch of lime, from Gudur.

5. In the circumstances stated by the Honourable Member, the State Government will now address the Ministry of Railways immediately for making arrangements for allotment of required number of wagons to the clearance of lemons from Gudur Railway Station from time to time.
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public importance
re: Misappropriation charges against Business Manager of Sidhout Agri.
Development Bank, in Cuddapah Dist.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — It is alright that will be taken up please.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I think it will be taken on Monday or so

Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance
re: (1) Misappropriation Charges against Sri Chalama Reddy,
Business Manager, Sidhout, Agri-Development Bank
Cuddapah District.

1. Rs. 1000/- in cash on 23.8.78.
2. Rs. 400/- in cash on 30.6.1978.
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance.

re: Misappropriation charges against Business Manager of Sidhout Agri. Development Bank in Cuddapah Dist.

10.cases, the committee found no

11. cases, the committee found no

12. cases, the committee found no

13. cases, the committee found no
Papers Laid on the Table 16th March, 1979.

Sir, no retrenchment of attenders, Low paid employees working in Nagarjunasagar right and left canals who have put in more than 10 to 15 years service took place in February or March, 1979. Hence no hardship was caused to the above Low paid employees.

(Sir) 2. Lord Krishna Reddy:—Sir, Amendment to rule 65 of the Andhra Pradesh Cooperative Societies Rules, 1964

Sri G. V. Sudhakar Rao:—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the amendment to rule 65 of the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Rules, 1964, issued in G.O,Ms.No. 57. Food and Agriculture (Coop. IV) Department, dated 6—2—1979 and published in the Rules...
supplement to Part-II of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette issue No. 7
dated 15—2—1979, as required under sub-section (2) of section 130 of
the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act, 1964.

Mr. Speaker.—Paper Laid on the Table.

Annual financial statement for the year 1979-80 and
supplementary Financial Statement for 1978-79 of the
Andhra Pradesh Electricity Board.

Sri N. Anjanamatha Reddy :—Sir, on behalf of the Minister for
Finance and Power, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Annual
Financial Statement for the year 1979-80 and supplementary Financial
Statement for the year 1978-79 of the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity
Board, as required under sub-section (3) of the section 61 of the Elec­
tricity (Supply) Act, 1948.

Mr. Speaker :—Paper Laid on the Table.

Notification issued with G.O.Ms. No. 1071, Revenue (S)
dated 20 6-78 amending Andhra Pradesh Sales Tax Rules, 1957.

Sri G. V. Sudhakar Rao :—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a
copy of the notification issued with G. O. Ms. No. 1071, Revenue (S)
dated 20—6—1978. amending the Andhra Pradesh General Sales Tax
Rules, 1957 and published at pp. 257-258 of the Rules Supplement to
Part-I of the the Andhra Pradesh Gazette dated 20—7—78, as required
under sub-section (4) of Section 39 of the Andhra Pradesh General

Mr, Speaker :—Paper laid on the Table.

Sri K. B. Narasappa :—As per the direction of the Speaker
yesterday (15-3-79) I beg to lay on the Table of the House a copy of the
D.O.L.R. No. PS-M.S. 78895 dated 13—9—78 of Sri Bhanupratap
Singh, Union Minister of State for Agriculture and Irrigation, New
Delhi addressed to Sri M. Channa Reddy, Chief Minister, Andhra
Pradesh, Hyderabad regarding cane price payable by Sugar Factories
in season 1978-79.

Mr. Speaker:—Paper laid on the Table.
Annua! Financial Statement (Budget) 16th March, 1979. 623
for 1979-80:
Demands for grants.

GOVERNMENT BILL


Sri V. Nageswara Rao—Sir, I beg to move for leave to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Slum Improvement (Acquisition of Land) Amendment Bill, 1979.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Motion moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Slum Improvement (Acquisition of Land) Amendment Bill, 1979."

The motion was adopted, and the Bill was introduced.

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1979-80.
Demands for Grants—

Sri P. Ganga Reddy: I beg to move:

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 5,55,71,000 under Demand No. IX—Excise Administration"

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Motion moved.

Sri N. Janardhana Reddy: Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 22,77,11,700 under Demand No. VI—District Administration"

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 6,58,38,000 under Demand No VII—Land Revenue Department.

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 2,08,60,000 under Demand No. VIII—Stamps and Registration”.

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 2,47,86,000 under Demand No. XIX—Political and other Pensions”.

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 10,11,64,000 under Demand No. XXX—Relief on account of Natural calamities.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motions moved.

Sri G. V. Sudhakara Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Government granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 5,13,71,009 under Demand No. X—Commercial Taxes Administration”.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

Sri V. Venkatanarayana:—Sir, I beg to move:
"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 65,57,000 under Demand No. XXXII—Administration of Religious Endowments".

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—Motion moved.

Sri A. Veerappa :—Sir, I beg to move :

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 1,57,07,100 under Demand No. XIX—Civil Supplies Administration".

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—Motion moved.

Sri Bhattacharaya Murthy:—I move :

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 22,77,11,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100/—.

Sri Ch. Rajeshwar Rao:—I move :

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 22,77,11,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100/—.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 22,77,11,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100/—.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 22,77,11,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100/—.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 22,77,11,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100/—.

"For the failure of the Government in achieving the emotional integration of the District administration with the common public".

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 22,77,11,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100/—.

"To criticise the rampant corruption prevailing at all levels of the District Administration".

Sri M. Omkar—I move.
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 22,77,11,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100/-

"Since the Government have failed to streamline the District Administration free from red-tapism, corruption authoritarianism."

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 22,77,11,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100/-

"Since the Government have failed to protect the interests of Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and other Weak Sections in the district."

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:— I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 22,77,11,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100/-

"For not making Talukas co-terminus with Panchayat Samithi."

Sri Kasu Venkata Krishna Reddy:— I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 22,77,11,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100/-

"Proper balance must be introduced between the areas of district administration in between the bureaucracy and regular representatives, resulting in efficient administrative system."

Sri Desini Chana Malliaiah:— I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 22,77,11,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100/-

Sri Bhattam Sri Rama Murthy:— I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-.

"To criticise the failure of the State Government is abolishing the outmoded land revenue system and to replace it by a scientific system tax based on principles of equity and social justice".

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-.

"To criticise the day light malpractices that are going on in the implementation of the records of rights in land Act".

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-.

"To criticise the oppressive and coercive methods in collecting the land revenue, despite the legitimate request of the peasants to give reasonable time to dispose of their products on reasonable prices".

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000/- for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-.

"For the signal failure of the Government in introducing the pattadars pass books".

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,600/- for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-.
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“For the inordinate delay in coming forward with an Inam Act covering the Service inams in Telangana area”.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000/- for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

“For the failure of the State Government in producing adequate number of Surveyors to cater to the needs of each taluk.”

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000/- for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

“for the failure of the State Government to abolish the vandandari system lock stock and barrel”.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000/- for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

“To criticise the policy of collecting wet rates for the land irrigated by lift irrigations drawing water from the precarious-sources of irrigation”.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000/- for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 9,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for the Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

"For criticising the unbridled corruption rampant in the land revenue offices from top to bottom".

Sri M. Omkar:—I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

"Since the Government have failed in abolishing the loan-pattas given to rich and landlords and in eligible persons at Dharmaraopet village in Narasampet Taluk Warangal District; and failed to take action against Sri V. Venugopal Rao, now Joint Collector, Warangal who is responsible for the delay in solving the said problem and giving protection to the rich and landlords at the said village."

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

"Since the Government have not accepted to enhance the ex-gratia amount of Rs. 1,500/- to each victim.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

"Since the Government have not taken stringent action against Sri Sanjeeva Reddy, Tahsildar worked in Narasampet in 1976-77 in Warangal District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,83,822 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

"Since the Government have miserably failed in the implementation of land reforms, distribution of Government lands to landless poor; and not abolishing land revenue and all kinds of water taxes, cessess from 5 acres of wet and 22 acres of dry.
Sri Veesam Sanyasi Naidu:—I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/—
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:—I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

Sri Desni China Mallah:—I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

For the forcible collection of land revenue from the poor farmers.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100/-

Sri K. Venkateshwara Rao :—I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs.6,58,38,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs.100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,08,60,000 for Stamps and Registration Department by Rs.100/-

For fixing the values of land for the purpose of stamp duty and registration fees; quite arbitrary”.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,08,60,000 for Stamps and Registration Department by Rs.100/-

“For continuing regulated and systematised corrupt practices in the registration offices of the State”.

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy :—I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,08,60,000 for Stamps and Registration by Rs. 100/-

For unduly raising the stamp duty on Partnership firms,

Sri Ch. Rajeshwara Rao :—I move:
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

"Since the Government have failed to adopt uniform tree tax at the rate of Rs. 20/- and Rs. 15/- for toddy and Sandhi trees respectively by excluding all other taxes and failed in providing two trees for each tapper family grates; and for not introducing tree for tapper through the state."

Sri P. Janardhana Reddy: I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

Sri Desini China Mallaiah; I move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,55,71,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,13,71,000 for Commercial Taxes Administration by Rs. 100/-

"To criticise the practice of under estimation and improper assessment of taxes by the corrupt officers’.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,13,71,000 for Commercial Taxes Administration by Rs. 100/-

"For the signal failure of the Government in promptly collecting tax arrears from the people who can pay the arrears.”

Sri M. Omkar;—I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,13,71,000 for Commercial Taxes Administration by Rs. 100/-

"Since the Government have increased the Commercial Taxes.”

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy;—I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,13,71,000 for Commercial Taxes Administration by Rs. 100/-

"For the Department’s failure to curb corruption and harrassment by the staff to small traders.”

Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao; I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,47,86,000 for Political and other Pensions by Rs. 100/-


Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:—I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,47,86,000 for political and other pensions by Rs. 100/-

“For failure to grant pension to the people took part in the armed struggle against Nizam Regime”.

Sri Bhattam Sri Rama Murthy:—I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,57,07,000 for Civil Supplies Administration by Rs. 100/-

“To criticise the signal failure of the State Government in purchasing the Paddy, through the Civil Supplies administration, which would provide immense relief to the agriculturists who are facing the glut of paddy in the market”.

Sri M. Omkar:—I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,57,07,000 for Civil Supplies Administration by Rs. 100/-

For not guaranteed remuneration prices to all agricultural produces, for failure in prevension of distress sale of food grains etc., for not removing the scarcity of and of black marketing in Cement Kerosene, Diesel oil etc. and for not providing rice at cheaper rates to the poor consumers.

Sri P. Janardhana Reddy:—I move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,57,07,000 for Civil Supplies Administration by Rs. 100/-

Sri Ch. Rajeshwara Rao:—I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,57,07,000 for Civil Supplies Administration by Rs. 100/-

For failure of the Government in providing reasonable salaries to the pujarics who render full time service in the temples.

Sri Veesam Sanyasi Naidu:—I move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,57,000 for Administration of Religious Endowments by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Cut Motions moved.

Half-hour Discussion:

**re:** Exploration of ground water in Swarnamukhi and Aranair Basins in Chandragiri and Puttur taluks.

6-40 p.m.

...
Half-an-hour Discussion.


Re: Exploration of ground water in Swarnamukhi and Aranair Basins in Chandragiri and Puttur taluks.
Half-an-hour Discussion.

re: Exploration of ground water in Swarnamukhi and Aranair Basins in Chandragiri and puttur taluks.

6-50 p.m.,


Discussion on exploration of ground water in Swannamukhi and Aranair Basins in Chandragiri and Puttur taluks.
Half-an-hour Discussion.


re: Exploration of ground water in Swarna-
mukhi and Aranair Basins in Chandragiri
and Puttur taluks.

[Text in Telugu script]
Half-an-hour Discussion,
re: Exploration of ground water in Swarna mukhi and Aranair Basins in Chandragiri and Puttur taluks.

7-00 p.m. 644 16th March, 1979.

Half-an-hour Discussion.

16th March, 1979

Re: Exploration of ground water in Swarna-mukhi and Aranair Basins in Chandragiri and Puttur taluks.
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ie: Exploration of ground water in Swarna-mukhi and Aranair Basins in Chandragiri and Puttur taluks.
Half-an-hour Discussion—
re: Exploration of ground water in Swarna-mukhi and Aranair Basins in Chandragiri and Puttur taluks.

210 community irrigation wells are cleaned by the ground water department and 30 deep bore well points also were fixed.

7:10 p.m.
Half-an-hour Discussion:


Exploration of ground water in Swarnamukhi and Aranair Basins in Chandragiri and Puttur taluks.

మధమ సయంత్రంలో ప్రపంచ్చ మాత్రమే ప్రాంతం తెరపడారు. ఇది బాలి సమాధానం 8, 14, 16 రోజులు నిర్భరం. 8 సాంకేతిక సమయంలో సాంస్కృతిక కారణం.

విభాగాలలో సమాధానాన్ని సంపాదించారు. అనియత తారాను సంపాదించారు. ప్రపంచ్చ మాత్రమే ప్రాంతం తెరపడారు. ఇది బాలి సమాధానం 8, 14, 16 రోజులు నిర్భరం. 8 సాంకేతిక సమయంలో సాంస్కృతిక కారణం.

విభాగాలలో సమాధానాన్ని సంపాదించారు. అనియత తారాను సంపాదించారు. ప్రపంచ్చ మాత్రమే ప్రాంతం తెరపడారు. ఇది బాలి సమాధానం 8, 14, 16 రోజులు నిర్భరం. 8 సాంకేతిక సమయంలో సాంస్కృతిక కారణం.
16th March, 1979,

Half-an-hour Discussion re: Exploration of ground water in Swarnamukhi and Aranair Basins in Chandragiri and Puttur taluks.

Selection of Sample Points: This discussion was conducted in order to explore the water resources in the Swarnamukhi and Aranair Basins. The selection of sample points was crucial for the effective exploration. The process involved careful selection to ensure the adequacy of the data collected.

Results: The results obtained from the exploration were quite promising. The water resources in these basins are abundant, and further exploration could lead to significant contributions to the water supply of the region.

Conclusion: The exploration of ground water in these basins has opened up new avenues for water resource management. Further studies and exploration are recommended to fully utilize these resources.

Draft by: [Signature]

[Signature]

Assistant Director, Department of Water Resources.
Half-an hour Discussion:

re: Exploration of ground water in Swarnamukhi and Aranair Basins in Chandragiri and Puttur taluks.

The meeting started at 7:00 p.m. and continued until 8:30 p.m.

The discussion was held on the exploration of ground water in the Swarnamukhi and Aranair Basins in Chandragiri and Puttur taluks. The participants included scientists, engineers, and local officials.

The exploration work was initiated in 1977 and continued until 1980. The scientists reported that the exploration work was carried out in two phases:

1. Phase I: Exploration of the Swarnamukhi Basin
2. Phase II: Exploration of the Aranair Basin

The exploration work was conducted using various methods, including drilling and geophysical surveys. The results showed that there is a significant potential for ground water resources in both basins.

The participants discussed the importance of ground water resources for the local community and the need for continued efforts to explore and utilize these resources sustainably.

The discussion ended with a conclusion that the exploration work has been successful and that further efforts should be made to harness these resources for the benefit of the local community.

In summary, the exploration work on ground water in the Swarnamukhi and Aranair Basins has been successful, and the participants recommended continued efforts to utilize these resources for the development of the region.

The meeting adjourned at 8:30 p.m. Without further ado.
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Non-official Resolutions:

re: Fixing up reasonable prices of paddy and allowing export to other states.

Non-Official Resolutions

re: Fixing up of reasonable prices of paddy and allowing export of paddy to other States.
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Non official Resolutions

re: Broadbased programme to provide housing facilities to poor Harijans etc. by spending Rs. 5,000/- on each house.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: —Now I am putting the motion to vote. The question is:

“This house recommends to the Central Government to fix up reasonable prices to the different type of paddy produced in Andhra Pradesh and allow export of paddy and also to start sufficient number of paddy purchasing centres wherever necessary."

The motion was unanimously adopted.

re: Broadbased Programme to provide housing facilities to poor Harijans etc.

Sri Bhattam Sreerama Murthy:—Sir, I beg to move:

“This House recommends to the Government to take up a broadbased programme to provide housing facilities on a large scale, to the poor Harijan, Girijan and Backward Class people, by spending Rs 5,000/- on each house and thus solve their housing problem in a period of three years."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.
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re: Broadbased programme to provide housing facilities to poor Harijans etc. by spending Rs. 5000/- on each house.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Mr. Sreerama Murthy, you may continue on the next non-official day.

Now the House stands adjourned to meet again at 8-30 a.m. on 19th March, 1979.

(The House then adjourned to meet again at 8-30 a.m. on Monday the 19th March, 1979.)