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Embezzlement of Funds by Ex-President of viable Co-operative Society of Gommaram village Narasapur Taluk

(a) whether it is a fact that the officers who have been appointed to conduct a special enquiry into the alleged embezzlement of funds by the ex-President of viable Co-operative Society of Gommaram Village in Narasapur Taluk, Medak District, have completed their enquiry and detected that an amount of Rs. 2 1/2 lakhs had been embezzled,

(b) if so, the action taken thereon,

(c) the reason why there is abnormal delay in taking action even after the detection of misappropriation of huge amounts

(d) the action to be taken in future, and

(e) the steps to be taken to recover the said amount?

An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the Member.
Oral Answers to Questions. 9th February, 1979

180. Hon'ble Member — on a point of order, if the point of order raised by a Member of the House on the 10th February, 1979, is not completed, the Hon'ble Member may raise a fresh point of order on the same topic.

1. Mr. K. N. Rao (Erode) — replied to the Hon'ble Member.

2. Mr. K. N. Rao (Erode) — on a point of order, if the point of order raised by a Member of the House on the 10th February, 1979, is not completed, the Hon'ble Member may raise a fresh point of order on the same topic.

3. Mr. K. N. Rao (Erode) — on a point of order, if the point of order raised by a Member of the House on the 10th February, 1979, is not completed, the Hon'ble Member may raise a fresh point of order on the same topic.
Mr. Moorthy:—Section 73 is for attachment of the properties of these accused. The first complaint from a member came in May 1977. The Divisional Co-operative ordered statutory enquiry on 4-6-77. Then the Collector changed the enquiry officer and entrusted it to the Divisional Co-operative officer Siddipet on 2-7-78. Again it was entrusted to the Collector, and the interim report was submitted on 15-9-78 and finally on 5-2-79. The show-cause notice was given on 6-2-1979. The charges against the Bank staff were framed on 7-2-1979 and against the Divisional Co-operative Officer, Medak on 6-2-1979, what more you want sir we have attached the properties.

Mr. Moorthy:—Sir, the patient is alive and we are taking all the legal action to prosecute them and to attach their properties and to recover the amount.
Construction of Suburban Shuttle Train Service in Vizag

14—

*1577 Q.—Sri K Govinda Rao :—Will the Minister for Roads and Buildings be pleased to state :

(a) whether the Government have requested the Central Government to construct a suburban shuttle train service in Visakhapatnam city connecting industries, and

(b) if so, the result?

The Minister for Roads and Buildings (Sri M Manik Rao):

a) No, Sir

b) Does not arise.

The Minister for Roads and Buildings (Sri M Manik Rao):

(a) No, Sir

(b) Does not arise.

Conversion of the Road Near Bhogapuram in Vizag into Metal Road

*2282-N-Q.—Sri N S N Reddy (Visakhapatnam-II) — Will the Minister for Roads and Buildings be pleased to state,

(a) whether it is a fact that the portion of the road near Bhogapuram in Visakhapatnam District passing through Akkvaram, Pedada, Thammapuam villages meeting the grand trunk road between Vizianagram and Visakhapatnam, at Chintalavalasa is laid only with gravel, and

(b) whether the Government have any proposal to convert the road into a metal road or a BT surface road so as to enable to run bus service on the road?

15—

(a) No, Sir

(b) Does not arise.
16—

1447-O O — Sarvasi Bhim Sreeamamuthu (Paravada) and Kasu Venkata Krishna Reddy (Narasaraopet) — Will the Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government have taken a decision to implement protected water supply schemes in the case of all the Municipalities in the State,

(b) if so, whether it is a fact that the Government is consulting with the Central Government, Banks and other Financial Corporations for adequate funds in this regard, and

(c) whether the Government will consider to establish a separate Board for drinking water supply?
Construction of Water Reservoir on the hills of Perecherla

(a) whether Guntur Municipality has submitted any proposal to Government for the construction of a water reservoir on the hills of Perecherla to meet the acute water shortage problem in Guntur and

(b) if so, the action taken by the Government thereon?

Construction of Water Reservoir on the hills of Perecherla

*2292-E Q.—Sarvasri L Eswar Rao (Guntur-I) G V Anjaneya Sarma.—Will the Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether Guntur Municipality has submitted any proposal to Government for the construction of a water reservoir on the hills of Perecherla to meet the acute water shortage problem in Guntur and

(b) if so, the action taken by the Government thereon?
Oral Answers to Questions.  

CONTINUATION OF BAD CLIMATE ALLOWANCE TO THE EMPLOYEES OF BHADRAGIRI

18—

9289-B Q — Sri S. Vijaya Rama Raju (Nagar) — Will the Minister for Finance & Power be pleased to state

(a) whether the Employees' Joint Council of Action Bhadragiri have made representations to the Government to cancel the Government order dated 7-4-1978 which had dropped the bad climate allowance to the employees; and

(b) if so, the action taken by the Government in this regard?

(a) 12-9-78

(b) 12-9-78

— S. Vijaya Rama Raju

— S. Vijaya Rama Raju

1969-74

81—2
PRODUCTION OF HYDRO AND THERMAL POWER IN THE STATE

(a) the total production of Hydro and Thermal Power in the State at present;
(b) whether there is any proposal to reduce the tariff rate for the power supplied for agricultural purposes, and
(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1978</th>
<th>1979</th>
<th>1980</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Rs.</td>
<td>Rs.</td>
<td>Rs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydro</td>
<td>303.9</td>
<td>273.2</td>
<td>271.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal</td>
<td>172.4</td>
<td>211.8</td>
<td>220.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.00 a.m.,

(a) the total production of Hydro and Thermal Power in the State at present;
(b) whether there is any proposal to reduce the tariff rate for the power supplied for agricultural purposes, and
(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1978</th>
<th>1979</th>
<th>1980</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Rs.</td>
<td>Rs.</td>
<td>Rs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydro</td>
<td>303.9</td>
<td>273.2</td>
<td>271.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal</td>
<td>172.4</td>
<td>211.8</td>
<td>220.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1978</th>
<th>1979</th>
<th>1980</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Rs.</td>
<td>Rs.</td>
<td>Rs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydro</td>
<td>303.9</td>
<td>273.2</td>
<td>271.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal</td>
<td>172.4</td>
<td>211.8</td>
<td>220.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Jral Answers to Questions. 9th February, 1979.

1. In the question — book chapter on the history of the nation, state the importance.

2. In the question — list the achievements of the country in the last 20 years.

3. In the question — describe the benefits of the new technology.

4. In the question — explain the concept of sustainable development.

5. In the question — discuss the impact of globalization on the country.

6. In the question — analyze the role of the government in economic growth.

7. In the question — evaluate the effectiveness of the new policy.

8. In the question — compare the current scenario with the past.

9. In the question — assess the potential of the new project.

10. In the question — outline the steps required for future development.

...
9th February 1979.

Oral Answers to Questions

64

9.10. a.m.

1. "மை பார்வாவு... கேட்டுவின் விளக்கமேற்கு?"

2. "என்னும் நோக்கு, பத்தாண்டு பதையாகச் சேர்க்க, பார்வாவு முறையே தின முறையே தீமையே, பார்வாவு புத்தாக சென்று வந்து வெள்ளையும், பார்வாவு போன்ற பார்வாவு போன்ற பார்வாவு? உண்டு வந்து பதைவோராக வந்து வெள்ளையும், உண்டு வந்து பதைவோராக வந்து வெள்ளையும்? என்ன ச்வாமியின் நோக்கு மற்றும் விளக்கமேற்கு?"

3. "மை பார்வாவு... கேட்டுவின் விளக்கமேற்கு?"

4. "மை பார்வாவு... கேட்டுவின் விளக்கமேற்கு?"

5. "மை பார்வாவு... கேட்டுவின் விளக்கமேற்கு?"

6. "மை பார்வாவு... கேட்டுவின் விளக்கமேற்கு?"

7. "மை பார்வாவு... கேட்டுவின் விளக்கமேற்கு?"

8. "மை பார்வாவு... கேட்டுவின் விளக்கமேற்கு?"

9. "மை பார்வாவு... கேட்டுவின் விளக்கமேற்கு?"

10. "மை பார்வாவு... கேட்டுவின் விளக்கமேற்கு?"

In Tamil Nadu and Karnataka the hydel power is there.

9,10. a.m.
Oral Answers to Questions.


...
66 9th February, 1979 Oral Answers to Questions.

(ప్రత్యేకం)

(1) మామ్మాయి గారు సమాధానం మాత్రమే ఉండాలి అందించండి. అతను సమాధానాకార్యం ప్రారంభించిన ఆహారం, బౌద్ధానిక ప్రత్యేక ప్రాముఖ్యత, పరిస్థితి మొదలు చేసాడు. ఆహారం, బౌద్ధానిక ప్రత్యేక ప్రాముఖ్యత, పరిస్థితి మొదలు చేసాడు. ఆహారం, బౌద్ధానిక ప్రత్యేక ప్రాముఖ్యత, పరిస్థితి మొదలు చేసాడు.

(2) మామ్మాయి గారు సమాధానం మాత్రమే ఉండాలి అందించండి. అతను సమాధానాకార్యం ప్రారంభించిన ఆహారం, బౌద్ధానిక ప్రత్యేక ప్రాముఖ్యత, పరిస్థితి మొదలు చేసాడు. ఆహారం, బౌద్ధానిక ప్రత్యేక ప్రాముఖ్యత, పరిస్థితి మొదలు చేసాడు. ఆహారం, బౌద్ధానిక ప్రత్యేక ప్రాముఖ్యత, పరిస్థితి మొదలు చేసాడు.

(3) మామ్మాయి గారు సమాధానం మాత్రమే ఉండాలి అందించండి. అతను సమాధానాకార్యం ప్రారంభించిన ఆహారం, బౌద్ధానిక ప్రత్యేక ప్రాముఖ్యత, పరిస్థితి మొదలు చేసాడు. ఆహారం, బౌద్ధానిక ప్రత్యేక ప్రాముఖ్యత, పరిస్థితి మొదలు చేసాడు.
Do the Minister for Major Irrigation be pleased to state

Whether the State Government have taken a decision to hand over the irrigation sources ( tanks) to the A.P State Irrigation Development Corporation whenever lift irrigation schemes are proposed to feed the tanks?

Sanctioning of Scholarships for IV Class Students by D E O.

Will the Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state.
(a) whether it is a fact that the Social Welfare Department is sanctioning the scholarships for the Scheduled Casts students of 1 to III class and V to X classes and the power of sanctioning of scholarship for IV class is only D E Os of concerned Districts;

(b) if so, why the powers is given to DEO;

(c) the number of IV class S.C. students not sanctioned scholarships for 1977-78, and the reasons therefor:

(d) whether there is any proposal by the Government to give powers to Social Welfare Department to sanction scholarships to the IV class S.C students also; and

(e) if not, the reasons thereof.

Oral Answers to Questions

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu — This is the way of insulting the House. Even last time also a similar question arose. Several Ministers have replied in the same way saying they will get the information. Even in the case of D K W college they have assured and they have not done. How much time the Government will take to gather particulars? Let him take action on the people who are responsible for this delay.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy — Since the information is admittedly inadequate, the question may be postponed.

Mr Speaker:—If necessary we will have an half-an-hour discussion after we receive the report.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy — Since the information is admittedly inadequate, the question may be postponed.
Mr Speaker:—Let him get the information.

Sri M Venkaiah Naidu:—Let the question be postponed.

Sri S Jaipal Reddy:—Any question without adequate information should be treated as not discussed.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is postponed.

Providing of Housing and Road Facilities to Fishermen in Coastal Area of Srikakulam

*1642 Q.—Sri B Venkatesam Sarma (Ichapuram).—Will the Minister for Fisheries be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal before the Government to provide housing and road facilities to fishermen in the coastal area of Srikakulam district;
(b) if so, whether the Government propose to construct pucca houses which can withstand the natural calamities such as cyclone, fire accidents, and

c) the places where the Government propose to lay new roads together with their number and the roads to be reconstructed?

Sri M Venkaiah Naidu — He has already answered that there was no proposal before the Government to construct pucca houses which can withstand natural calamities. It is a serious matter.

9-0 a.m. 10 అమెండ్ సంప్రాంతంలో ప్రస్థాపించబడిన సంస్థ లేదా సాస్తి సంస్థ చేయబడిన సమాచారం ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయబడిన సమాచారం ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయబడిన సమాచారం ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయబడిన సమాచారం ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయబడిన సమాచారం ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయబడిన సమాచారం ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయబడిన సమాచారం ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయబడిన సమాచారం ప్రతిష్ఠితం చేయబడిన సమాచారం.
Mr. Speaker:—I am postponing the question. The Minister will come with full information.
ANNOUNCEMENT

re Nomination of Members to the Panel of Chairmen

Mr Speaker.—I am to announce to the House that the following Members are nominated to the Panel of Chairmen for the Third Session of the A P Legislative Assembly

Sri A. Eswara Reddy
Smt M Vijayalakshmi Devi
Sir, S Alwar Das
Sri K B Siddaiah
Sri, S Sambaiah
Sri K. Govinda Rao

PRIVILEGE MOTION

re Infringing the right to hear the Governor’s Address

Sri Paladugu Venkat Rao:—Mr. Speaker, Sir

Mr Speaker:—No. No. Please resume your seat I am going to give my ruling.

Sri Paladugu Venkat Rao:—I will resume my seat but let me explain my case. I will certainly abide by your ruling.

Mr. Speaker:—There is no point in it. I am going to give my ruling. Please resume your seat

Sri Paladugu Venkat Rao:—Kindly allow me to explain my case.
Mr. Speaker — Mr. P. Venkat Rao has given a Privilege Motion. He has alleged that his right to hear the Governor's Address was infringed by the Opposition Parties in the House, and that it constitutes a breach of privilege as a Member. He has requested to allow him to move the motion on 9th February, 1979. The Member is evidently referring to the noisy disturbances in the Assembly on 7th February, 1979.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy — We did not make any noise.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu — We have conducted in a most dignified way.

Mr Speaker — I will not allow anybody to speak.

Sri P. Sundarayya — If you are going to give a ruling allow him to speak. You are not there to comment on the Member's behaviour. Thus, the Speaker is in a position to make a ruling. If the understanding ruling is there, he has no business to speak. If you want to raise it in the House, allow him to speak. We have got our own stand. You have started reading. This is not permissible. This is against our own agreement. This we are not going to allow.

I am very clear about it. There was no mention that the question has to be referred in the House. Thus, you should give an opportunity to the Members to raise the question and then you can give a ruling. You are going out of our understanding. If the understanding ruling is there, he has no business to speak. If you want to raise it in the House, allow him to speak. We have got our own stand. You have started reading. This is not permissible. This is against our own agreement. This we are not going to allow.

I am not going to allow it.

9:50 a.m.
Mr Speaker —Let me refer to the proceedings of that day and then I will give it to-morrow

Sri P Sundararayya:—What is the agreement we have reached?

Sri P Venkat Rao —There is another point of order You have to give me an opportunity to speak

Sri M Venkaiah Naidu —Sir, He says that you are going to disallow this. My submission is that, what is the process through
Privilege Motion: 9th February, 1979.
re: Infringing the Right to here the Governors Address.

which he came to know as to what you are going to decide. That means there is some leakage. Just you refer to the speech. Sir:

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—I am on a point of order. You must give me a chance. I am not attributing motive to you. I am not going to say anything about your ruling. But my point is before you are going to give your ruling, the honourable member is saying that you are disallowing it.

Sri P. Venkata Rao:—He is inferring from my point of order. The hon. Speaker may disallow it or he may agree. He asked me to sit down without allowing me to explain my view.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—How could he know it even before your ruling.

Sri P. Venkata Rao:—He is infringing from my point of order. The hon. Speaker may disallow it or he may agree. He asked me to sit down without allowing me to explain my view.

Privilege Motion:  
re: Infringing the Right to hear the Governor's Address.

ప్రతి ఔర్ధ్వముఖ సభలో కూడా ఎందుకు స్థానం కలిగి ఉండడానే పరిస్థితి ఉంది. అందుకే ఈ సభలో ప్రతిలోకంగా ఇందికి సభద్భాగం చేసే విధానసభ పక్షానికి సంబంధించిన పరిస్థితి ప్రకారం ప్రతి సభద్భాగం చేయాలనే నిష్ఠా చేశారు. అనేక సభద్భాగంలో మహోత్తమంగా సంబంధించిన ఆధృతానంతరం తక్షణం కోచ్చి అంతిస్తుంది. కానీ ఈ ప్రతి సభద్భాగం చేయాలనే నిష్ఠా వేయకానందం కొనసాగాడు. అది ఈ ప్రతి సభద్భాగం చేయాలనే నిష్ఠా కట్టడానికి సంబంధించింది.  

ప్రతి సభద్భాగం చేయాలనే నిష్ఠా కొనసాగాడు. ఈ సభద్భాగంలో ఎందూ ప్రతి మీదుగా చేబడానికి సంబంధించింది.  

ప్రతి సభద్భాగం చేయాలనే నిష్ఠా కొనసాగాడు.
Privilege Motion: 9th February, 1979.

re Infringing the Right to hear the Governors Address

Mr. Speaker.—I have reserved my Ruling on the point of order. I will give my ruling to-morrow. Am I not entitled to have the reservation?

Mr. Speaker.—I have reserved my Ruling on the point of order. I will give my ruling to-morrow. Am I not entitled to have the reservation?
Privilege Motion:

re: Infringing the Right to hear the Governor's Address.

in, motioned — one of the speeches, also in support. However, there was a strong objection to move on that. You cannot decide it.

The motion is — that I do not think it is necessary. The motion is — that You cannot decide it.

And what is the Speaker's role in this? The Speaker has to decide that.

The Speaker has to decide that. But the Speaker cannot decide it.

We are prepared to face it. We are also going to come.
forward with similar privilege motions a number of times in the same House.

"is obviously referring to noisy disturbances" you are compelled to refer to it or infer from it.  

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

...
Mr Speaker:— There is no point of order and I do not agree with that point of order. When the matter came in my Chambers, I have rejected it. Because... 10-10 p.m. 

Sri S Jaipal Reddy:— Rotation system was never applied to motion under Rule 329.

1. The Chairman: - We have got a request from the Prime Minister's Office which requests us to please consider the request of the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka for audience at 3 p.m. this afternoon.

2. The Hon. Minister for Overseas Indian Affairs: - 829 Sir, the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka has been in the country for the last one week. Having regard to this fact, I suggest that the audience might be fixed by the President as he is available.

3. Hon. Minister: - May I be permitted to request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

4. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

5. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

6. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

7. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

8. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

9. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

10. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

11. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

12. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

13. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

14. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

15. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

16. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

17. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

18. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

19. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

20. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

21. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

22. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

23. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

24. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

25. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

26. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

27. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

28. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

29. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

30. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

31. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

32. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

33. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

34. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

35. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

36. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

37. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

38. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

39. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

40. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

41. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

42. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

43. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

44. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

45. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

46. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

47. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

48. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

49. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

50. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

51. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

52. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

53. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

54. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

55. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

56. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

57. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

58. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

59. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

60. The Chairman: - I suggest that the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka may be permitted to fix the audience and to consider the matter.

61. Hon. Minister: - May I request the Prime Minister of Sri Lanka to fix the audience and to consider the matter?

Business of the House:

Mr. Speaker:


10. 20am

Member's Petition:


Mr. Speaker—You have not obtained my permission

Sri M. Venkayya Naidu.—You have permitted and you have admitted my motion.

Mr., Speaker.—Mere fact of sending the motion is not enough

Sri M. Venkataiah Naidu.—You said that you have already admitted my motion under Rule 329.

Mr Speaker —The admission of motion is a different thing and giving permission to raise a matter in the House is a different

Sri M. Venkataiah Naidu.—You have received my motion under rule 329 and you have permitted me

Mr Speaker —I have only received your motion under Rule 329 but I have not given permission to speak here.

Sri M. Venkayya Naidu —If you say like that, there is nothing to say on my part.
Mr. Speaker —Let me complete the point of order raised by Mr Venkayya Naidu Then, you can raise your point of order

Sri M Omkar:—Point of Order, Sir. The Rule 329 is very clear If a notice is given, the time for answering the question is about two hours....

Otherwise, no useful purpose would be served by our stay here.

Sri M Omkar:—On a point of order, Sir. The Rule 329 is very clear If a notice is given, the time for answering the question is about two hours.
POINT OF ORDER

Late arrival of the Governor to address the joint sitting of the Legislative Council is of great concern. The Governor arrived too late, which is not acceptable. Why is the government so incompetent and inept as not to arrange for the timely arrival of the Governor? We want the Government to answer. The Rules do not provide that the Governor can arrive in a delayed manner. How is this delay to be condoned?

Why is the government so incompetent and inept as not to arrange for the timely arrival of the Governor? We want the Government to answer. The Rules do not provide that the Governor can arrive in a delayed manner. How is this delay to be condoned?

Sri P. Sundarayya: If you are ruling it out, you can do so. But he wanted some information. It is for the Leader of the House to say as to why the delay has occurred. Are you going to reply on behalf of the Government?
8th February, 1979

Point of Order

re: Late arrival of the Governor to address the joint sitting of the Legislature

Sri M Venkaiah Naidu — Rule 19 replies Sri Srinivasul Reddy’s Point of Order

Sri M Venkaiah Naidu — My point of order is.

(Point of Order)

Mr Speaker:—You have raised a point of Order. It is not disposed off. You can’t raise another point of order.

Sri Bhattam Sriramamurthy — Point of order, Sir

Mr Speaker:— He has raised a point of order. Let me dispose it off and then I will come to you.

(Interruptions)
Point of Order  

re: Late arrival of the Governor to address the joint sitting of the Legislature

Don't make too much of it

Dr. M Channa Reddy - I am connecting.

Sri Bhattam Srirama Murthy - It is a question of privilege. Two different things are given

Government is governed by a principle of collective responsibility.

Mr. Speaker — (Turning to Sri S Jaipal Reddy) You raised that point of order. I am not allowing that Point of Order. I rule it out.

Point of Order
re Late arrival of the Governor to address the joint sitting of the Legislature

Mr Speaker — I am not going to give the reasons
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy —Point of order The Chair is obliged to give reasons therefor

Mr Speaker — Whatever you say I will say that no rule is violated and therefore there is no Point of order

Sri Gouthu Latchanna —No rule is violated but only time is violated

Sri Gouthu Latchanna —No rule is violated and therefore there is no Point of order

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy —It is in regard to facts which also involve Policy We will table a Privilege motion It shall be disposed off by you and the House

Mr Speaker — Whatever you say I will say that no rule is violated and therefore there is no Point of order

Sri Gouthu Latchanna —No rule is violated but only time is violated

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy —Point of order The Governor is the head of the Government The Chief Minister is the executive of the Government He is accountable for every action of the Government

Mr Speaker — Whatever you say I will say that no rule is violated and therefore there is no Point of order
PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

re (1) A P Excise (Amendment) Ordinance, 1978

Sri P Ganga Reddy.—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Excise (Amendment) Ordinance 1978 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No 6 of 1978) as required under sub-clause (a) of clause (2) of Article 213 of the Constitution of India.

re (2) A P Ordinance No 8 of 1978

Sri N Janardhana Reddy.—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Land Revenue (Enhancement) Amendment Ordinance, 1978 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No 8 of 1978) published at pages 1-3 of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette, part IV-B, Extraordinary, dated 24-11-1978, as required under sub-clause (a) of clause (2) of Article 213 of the Constitution of India.

re (3) A P Ordinance Tenants and Ryots Protection Ordinance, 1979

Sri N Janardhana Reddy.—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Tenants and Ryots Protection Ordinance 1979 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No 1 of 1972) published at pages 1-9 of Andhra Pradesh Gazette, Part IV-B, Extraordinary dated 4-1-1979 as required under sub-clause (a) of clause (2) of Articles 213 of the Constitution of India.


Sri N Janardhan Reddy.—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Ordinance, 1979 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No 2 of 1979) as required under sub-clause (a) of clause (2) of Article 213 of the Constitution of India.

re (5) A P General Sales Tax (Second Amendment) Ordinance, 1978

Sri N. Janardhan Reddy.—Sir, with your permission on behalf of the Minister for Major Irrigation and Commercial Taxes I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Andhra Pradesh General Sales Tax (Second Amendment) Ordinance, 1978 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No 7 of 1978) published at pages 1-3 of Andhra Pradesh Gazette, Part

Paper placed on the Table

IV-B, Extraordinary dated 28-12-1978 as required under sub-clause (a) of clause (2) of Article 213 of the Constitution of India

Sri A. Vengal Reddy —Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation (Amendment) Ordinance, 1978 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No 9 of 1978) published at pages 1-5 of Andhra Pradesh Gazette, Part IV-B, Extraordinary dated 28-12-1978 as required under sub-clause (a) of clause (2) of Article 213 of the Constitution of India

Mr Speaker —Papers laid

PAPER PLACED ON THE TABLE

re Report of the decisions of the Business Advisory Committee

Dr M Channa Reddy —Sir, I place on the Table a copy of the Report on the decisions of the Business Advisory Committee taken at its meeting held on 7th February, 1979.

Mr Speaker —Paper placed

Report on the Decisions of the Business Advisory Committee

taken at its Meeting held on 27th February, 1979

The following decisions were taken by the Business Advisory Committee at its meeting held on 7th February, 1979 in regard to the Business to be transacted in the Assembly.

9—2—1979 1 Discussion on the motion of thanks to the Governor’s Address
(Friday)

2. Private Members Business

10—2—1979 Holiday
(Saturday)

11—2—1979 Holiday
(Sunday)

12—2—1979 Discussion on the motion of thanks to the Governor’s Address
(Monday)

13—2—1979
(Tuesday)

14—2—1979 Discussion on the motion of thanks to the Governor’s Address and reply by the Chief Minister.
(Wednesday)

(Thursday)

2. The Andhra Pradesh Tenants and Ryots Protection Bill, 1979

16—2—1979 (Friday)

1. Business left over from the Agenda dated 15—2—1979, if any.


4. Private Members Business

5. Presentation of Budget for 1979-80.
   No Sitting
   Holiday

12-00 noon

17—2—1979 (Saturday)
18—2—1979 (Sunday)
19—2—1979 (Monday)

1. Business left over from the Agenda dated 16—2—1979, if any.


6. Resolution to ratify the Constitution (Forty-fifth Amendment) Bill, 1978

20—2—1979 (Tuesday)
21—2—1979 (Wednesday)
22—2—1979 (Thursday)
23—2—1979 (Friday)

20—2—1979 (Tuesday)
21—2—1979 (Wednesday)
22—2—1979 (Thursday)
23—2—1979 (Friday)

First day of General Discussion on the Budget for 1979-80

Second day of General Discussion on the Budget for 1979-80

Third day of General Discussion on the Budget for 1979-80

1. Fourth day of General Discussion on the Budget for 1979-80

2. Private Members Business
   No Sitting
   Holiday

24—2—1979 (Saturday)
25—2—1979 (Sunday)

81—6

Point of Order
re: Regarding address by the Governor.

26-2-1979  
Fifth day of General Discussion on the Budget for 1979-80.

27-2-1979  
1. Sixth and last day of General Discussion on the Budget for 1979-80
2. Reply by the Minister for Finance.

GOVERNMENT BILLS

The Andhra Pradesh Tenants and Ryots Protection Bill, 1979


Mr. Speaker —Motion moved.

The question is:

That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Tenants and Ryots Protection Bill, 1979.

The motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.

The Andhra Pradesh Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1979


Mr. Speaker —Motion moved.

The question is:

That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1979.

The motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.

Now, I am to announce to the House.

POINT OF ORDER
re: Address by the Governor

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy —Sir, I raise a Point of order. As the Speaker knows full well the Governor should read the address and it is an inescapable Constitutional obligation. Under Article 176(1) it reads like this. 'At the commencement of the first session after each general election to the Legislative Assembly and at the commencement of the first session of each year the Governor shall address the Legislative Assembly or, in the case of State having a Legislative Council, both Houses assembled together and inform the Legislature of the causes of its summons.' My point of order is two fold. Firstly, the Governor did not read the address. Secondly, the Governor's Address the copy of which was laid on the Table of the House did not contain the causes of its summons.

re Regarding Address by the Governor.

Mr Speaker — As Member are already aware that the Governor started delivering the address

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, dont give the ruling.

You may kindly hear me and others also. If you give ruling without hearing other Members or without hearing further.

Mr. Speaker:—Mr Jaipal, you cannot dictate me

Ruling by the Chair

re Address by the Governor

Mr. Speaker—I am to announce to the House that on 7th February, 1979, the Governor was pleased to address the Legislature and the Address was placed on the Table of the House. Amendments to the motion of thanks to the Governor’s address will be received by the Secretary, Legislature upto 5-00 p.m. on 9—2—1978

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy—Sir, Under sub-rule 1 of rule 17 of Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly. “at the commencement of first session of each year, the Governor shall Address”

Sri P. Sundarayya—Under Sub-rule 2 of Rule 17, a copy of the Governor’s Address shall be laid on the Table of the House. The question is did the Governor read his entire Address which was laid on the Table of the House . . .

Mr. Speaker —You say that the Governor did not read completely his Address, therefore, it cannot be taken as read. Please hear me.

“As Members are already aware when the Governor started to deliver the address at 9-00 a.m. as per the programme, the Opposition Members started . . .”

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu—Not according to the programme. The programme was to be started at 9-00 a.m. but it started 10 minutes late. We are trying to bring the facts to your notice.

Mr. Speaker—Please resume your seat and hear me.

Ruling by the Chair

re Address by the Governor

As Members are already aware when the Governor started to deliver the Address at 9-00 a.m. as per the programme, the opposition members started by reading some material which they brought with them as a result of which there was no possibility of members hearing the speech of the Governor. As per the practice when the Governor started reading the address, the Secretariat staff of the Legislature circulated the copies of the Address of Governor both in English and Telugu to the Members. As the Governor felt that
Motion of Thanks on Address by the Governor


his speech was not properly heard by the Members, after reading some portion of the Address, he concluded the speech.

As per the rules a copy of the Address delivered by the Governor is placed on the Table of the House.

I hold that under the circumstances prevailing on 7th February, it is but natural for the Governor to conclude the Address and make the whole speech treated as read because copies of his address were already circulated to the members at the commencement of his speech. There are already instances for me to arrive at this conclusion. As you are aware that in West Bengal when Miss Padmaja Naidu failed to deliver her address to the Legislature on February, 8, 196 due to noisy disturbances she made up the failure by publication of the Address to the Members of the Legislature by laying the Address on the Table of the House. When it was challenged in the High Court, the Calcutta High Court observed that procedural failure should not be overemphasised because by laying a copy of the Governor’s Address on the Table of the House, the object of the Address was substantially served and the members could become aware of the contents of the Address.

The Court further observed:

“Unless there grows a constitutional convention that the Governor’s Address shall be heard with attention, respect and ceremony due to the constitutional Head of the State, there may be occasions when members of the Legislature may indulge in loud shouting and unruly behaviour when the Governor comes to Address. If the shouting be loud enough or the behaviour sufficiently unruly, a Governor may not be able to begin or to finish the Address. Due to human limitations, he may have have to think of other modes of publication of the Address. To hold that Legislature must not be deemed to have met when a Governor is unable to begin or to finish the Address under Article 176, and is compelled otherwise, to publish the Address, is to put a value on such disturbances which they do not deserve.

Another instance also happened in our Legislature when Governor, Sri Khandubhai Desai read Governor’s Address partially and when that was questioned in the House on 18-8-1969, the Speaker quoting the West Bengal instance stated that the Address may be taken as having been read though the Governor partially read the Address.

In view of this, I hold that the Address is deemed to have been delivered by the Governor.

MOTION OF THANKS ON THE ADDRESS BY THE GOVERNOR

Sri Ch. Syamala Rao (Cheepurpalli) —Sir, I beg to move:

“That Members of Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly assembled in this Session are deeply grateful to the Governor for the address
which he has been pleased to deliver to both the Houses of the Legislature on 7th February, 1979.

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Venkaiah Naidu, Please resume your seat.

Mr. Syamala Rao continued to speak

Sri S Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, Point of Order.

(Many Members from the Opposition rose to speak)

Mr. Speaker:—My ruling is final. You must agree to it.

Sri Ch. Janga Reddy:—You have to convince the House.

Mr. Speaker:—It is immaterial for me.
Motion of Thanks on Address by the Governor.  

9th February, 1979

Sri S Jaipal Reddy — We will abide by your ruling. Kindly allow me to say a few words. In your ruling you said ‘disturbances of yesterday’. Governor’s address was on day before yesterday. The ruling was drafted yesterday. Therefore, you read it so.

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy;—you kindly go through the tape.

Mr. Speaker — If you want, I will give a copy of it. Please go through it.

Sri V. Sobhanadreswara Rao,—Please verify from the tape.

Mr. Speaker — I will again read for your benefit. “As Member are already aware when the Governor started to deliver the address at 9-00 a.m. as per the programme, the opposition members started reading some material which they brought with them as a result of which there was no possibility of members hearing the speech of the Governor.

Sri S Jaipal Reddy:—I am prepared to stand for correction. I heard very distinctly the word ‘yesterday’. Please go through the records.

Mr. Speaker — If there is any mistake, I will correct.

Mr. Speaker — In a way, you are criticising...
I have nothing more to say. Mr Shyamala Rao, please continue.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—As a protest against this, we stage a walk out.

(The Janata Party Members staged a Walk Out.)
Motion of Thanks on Address by the 9th February, 1979.

11.10 a.m

Governor.
102 9th February, 1979. Motion of Thanks on Address by the Governor.

The Governor thanked the House for the Address. The Address was received with great enthusiasm and applause. The Governor said that the Address was a clear indication of the confidence of the people in the Government and the Government was determined to work for the welfare of the people. The Governor said that the Address was a call to action and the Government was determined to fulfill the promises made in the Address. The Governor said that the Address was a testament to the people's faith in the Government and the Government was determined to work for the betterment of the people. The Governor said that the Address was a call to action and the Government was determined to fulfill the promises made in the Address. The Governor said that the Address was a testament to the people's faith in the Government and the Government was determined to work for the betterment of the people.
Motion of Thanks on Address by the Governor.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair

(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu :- Sir, I think there is some point of order. Under Chapter, Rule 19, it says:—“During the time allotted the House shall be at liberty to discuss the matters referred to in such Address on a motion of thanks moved by a member and seconded by another member.”

I would like to know whether this Shashtipurtthi Mahotsavam or the purse presentation are mentioned in the Address. That is my point of order.
Mr Deputy Speaker — I am sorry for that but I would like to say regarding this point of order. You are aware that generally as a tradition, the Governor, will be there and it may not contain all the details, but at the same time during discussions, general questions do take place. Personally I feel that there is no point of order involved in it.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu. — I am thankful for your ruling Sir.
106 9th February, 1979,  Motion of Thanks on address by the Governor

It is a clear record of Dr Channa Reddy’s Government. “In August 1978, the Chief Ministers of Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka States met in Bangalore and came to an agreement in regard to the sharing of the waters of the Godawari and of the waters to be diverted from the Godavari to Krishna Later on in December 1978, the Chief Minister of Orissa came over to Hyderabad and had discussions with the Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh and as a result, the Inter-State agreement was concluded between Orissa and Andhra Pradesh in regard to the sharing of the Godavari waters and the construction of Polavaram Reservoir, and at the end he says it will now be possible to take up major irrigation and Multi-purpose projects such as Polavaram and Incharapally as well as to go ahead with the irrigation projects that would benefit the drought-prone and needy areas of Rayalaseema, Telangana and other parts of the State. This has been indeed one of the proudest achievements of my Govt. since it came to office about a year ago.”

These are the achievements of this Government since it came to office about a year ago. These are the achievements by the efforts taken by our Government under the leadership of Dr. M. Channa Reddy and Smt. Indira Gandhi.

We are proud of it. When the achievements are so many our Opposition members are not in a position to appreciate it. We are pitying them.

“During the year 1978-79, a new programme called ‘A tree for each house and a forest for each village’ was launched in all the districts of the State. This programme evoked considerable public enthusiasm.”

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The time is extended up to 5.00 p.m. on 12th instant.
Another concession given to the agriculturists who have been subjected to the vagaries of successive bad years is that the interest on arrear land revenue and penal interest on arrears of loans granted under the L.I.L and A.L. Acts should be waived in full in all cases where the dues are paid in full by 30-6-79.

"On the education front the "Abhyudaya Prathamika Vidya Samstha" which has been setup is a step towards qualitative improvement of primary education and its revitalisation". This is the appreciation of the Governor. These things are not being appreciated by the opposition.
"Nothing in this article or in Clause (2) of Article 29 shall prevent the State from making any special provision for the advancement of any socially and educationally backward classes of citizens or for the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes."

The Governor said— My Government hope that this programme would be an example for others to emulate...
Motion of thanks on Address by the 9th February, 1979.

It is mentioned as follows,

Rule 19 — "During the time allotted the House shall be at liberty to discuss the matters referred to in such Address on a motion of thanks moved by a member and seconded by another member".
9th February, 1979. Motio1 of Thanks on Address by the Governor.

It is a wrong attempt to...
Non-Official Resolution

re:—Recommending to Government of India to reject the proposed collaboration agreement by B. H. E. L. with a firm of West Germany

Mr. Speaker.—Resolution moved.

Non-official Resolution:

re: Recommending to Government of India to reject the proposed Collaboration agreement by B H E L with a firm of West Germany.

re: Recommending to Government of India to reject the proposed Collaboration Agreement by B.H.E.L. with a firm of West Germany,

...
Non-official Resolution:

re:

 Recommending Government of India to reject the proposed Collaboration Agreement by B H E L, with a firm of West Germany.

12-00 noon

Coal energy, 4,500 KCS. It requires 0.53 KiloWats of energy generation, and 500 MW of power. It produces 0.08 KiloWats of power, or 800 MW of power.

1978-79.

Energy generation in coal.

Energy generation in coal.

re: Recommending Government of India to reject the proposed Collaboration Agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany.
9th February, 1979

Non-Official Resolutions

re Recommending Government of India to reject the proposed Collaboration agreement by B.H.E.L. with a firm of West Germany

12-10 p.m.

...

Recommending to Government of India to reject the proposed Collaboration agreement by B H E L with a firm of West Germany.
Non-Official Resolution

Regarding the Government of India to reject the proposed collaboration agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany.
Non-Official Resolution


re: Recommending to Government of India to reject the proposed Collaboration Agreement by B.H.E.L with a firm of West Germany,

...

Non-official Resolution

Recommending to Government of India to reject the proposed Collaboration Agreement by B H E L with a firm of West Germany.

Electric steam turbine circuit breakers, porceline insulators, medium aristors...
Non-official Resolution


re. Recommending to Government of India to reject the proposed Collaboration Agreement by B H E L with a firm of West Germany.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—I think the Minister is quite helpless in this regard as to how far he will be able to solve and help you.
Non-Official Resolution

re: Recommending to Government of India to reject the proposed Collaboration agreement by B H E L. with a firm of West Germany.

re: Recommending to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by B.H.E.L. with a firm of West Germany.

re: Recommending to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by B.H.E.L. with a firm of West Germany.

...

Non-official Resolution

re: Recommending to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by B.H.E.L with a firm of West Germany

...

Re: Recommending to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany.

...
Non-official Resolution:
re: Recommendig to Government of India
to reject the Proposed Collaboration
Agreement by BHEL with a firm of
West Germany.


To the President.

In view of the speedy developments and the present
situation, it is necessary to consider other aspects of the
proposed collaboration agreement and re-think the
possibilities of collaboration with the German firm.

It is important to note that the German firm is a
member of a multinational group which has
connections with many other countries. They will
sell their equipment and knowledge. That is their
business we can also bargain. Let there be better
bargaining.

If we start and cope up with their developed
technology, we would be able to develop much
simply because it is multi-national one, let us
not throw their knowledge. Let us get that knowledge
and improve.

M. M. Mohan Prasad (Advisor) — I don’t think,
there is any advanced country trise to impose
certain conditions. They will sell their equipment and
knowledge. That is their business we can also bargain
Let there be better bargaining.

If we start and cope up with their developed
technology, we would be able to develop much
simply because it is multi-national one, let us
not throw their knowledge. Let us get that knowledge
and improve.

M. M. Mohan Prasad (Advisor) — I don’t think,
there is any advanced country trise to impose
certain conditions. They will sell their equipment and
knowledge. That is their business we can also bargain
Let there be better bargaining.
Non-official Resolution: 9th February, 1979

re: Recommending to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany

...

Non-official Resolution: 
re: Recommending to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany,
Non official Resolution 9th February, 1979

re: Recommending to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany.

...
9th February, 1979

Non Official Resolution:

Regarding to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany.

Without any restraint we should accept the decision. We are groping in darkness. We must do something for the nation.

*Note: The text is in Telugu, a Dravidian language spoken in India. It discusses a resolution recommending to the Government of India to reject a proposed collaboration agreement by BHEL with a firm from West Germany.

Without any restraint we should accept the decision. We are groping in darkness. We must do something for the nation.
Non-official Resolution: 9th February, 1979

re Recommending to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany.

Non official Resolution
re: Recommending to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany

...
Non-Official Resolution: 9th February, 1979
Recommendng to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany

Mr. Deputy Speaker. — I do not think you require any ruling as such on it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — Though it may not be mandatory on the part of the State Government at the same time they could have brought some information, they could have brought it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: —Let us not go into the technicalities. Let us hear the Minister.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: —Let us not go into the technicalities. Let us hear the Minister.

Non-Official Resolution

Recommending to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany
Non-Official Resolution:

9th February, 1979

Recommending to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany.
9th February, 1979

Non-Official Resolution

Recommendating to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany.

1-40 p.m.
Non-Official Resolution

Recommending to Government of India to reject
the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by
BHEL with a firm of West Germany

9th February, 1979

[Document content]
Mr Dy Speaker:—I don’t think that any Government can go to the extent of asking Government of India not to enter into any agreement. But it can give an advice.
Non-Official Resolution: 9th February, 1979

Recommending to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany.

I am least concerned such public document. Let Government of India or let anybody say that this is a bogus document, forged document, then we have nothing to do with it. There is no such document. Sri Srinivasul Reddy can go for that, it is well and good. Don’t say that this is Mr. Ramamurthy’s document. It is slanderous allegation. I Challenge. This is an agreement concluded by Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited and Siemens. It is a public document. Only Government can make this document secret.

Mr. Dy Speaker.—It is an extract of document.

Sri P. Sundarayya.—It is the full text of the agreement with the signatures of all the concerned.

Sri Nallapareddy Sreenivasul Reddy,— It is a proposed agreement. Agreement has not been concluded.

Sri P. Sundarayya :—Unless Government says that it will have to be taken up by the Central Government, you should not conclude it. If no such agreement is there, what is the purpose of this debate? Government says that there is no information with it. I am prepared to accept the same. Whether the Government is doing, if there is some sense in my allegation, some truth in my argument, we want to know the will on this and assure yourself that this agreement does not harm our people. Till that time you get the things using. Let the Minister say that “we will take up with this Government and find out with the Central Government and takes the whole issue and examine the conduct and other things,”. And whatever debate we have, on that basis we will make a representation. If it is against the interest then they could resort. That assurance is not there and he says “ask the stenographer to type it and send it, and we will take up with this Government.” Most of my speeches will be in Telugu and nobody will be able to understand. Unless the Government gives me that assurance that they would take up and find out the details and found out the agreement in favour of the people, we will support it. I have no objection. But if it is against these things according to our judgement you say, “we will take up this agreement.” Please revise it and I do not enter into that. If there is no such things, what is the purpose? If the Government gives

Non-official Resolutions:

Recommending to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany.

I am prepared to withdraw if the Government say "we are neutral." I can't help. Let it be defeated. Nothing is going to harm.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — He has already said about that.

1.50 p.m.

Sri P. Sundarayya — No, he has not said about that. Sir, he said that he would only send a report. I want the Government to take it up. Let him say it. Let the Government say "since we do not have all the matters fully, but since certain factors have come, we will take up with the Central Government and see all the documents and all the processes of the Government." I am not saying whether it is Legislation in the Assembly or anything from the opposition but from the Government. There is interest of the people and in the interest of the people they should press the Central Government not to deceive the people. If it is in our favour we will go. Why can't the Government give that assurance?

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — I think that the Government have no objection for that.

The mover has to reply.

Mr Deputy Speaker — The Minister has to agree for that. That is what he says.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — Let us hear the Minister.

The Minister who is in charge, let him say these things. We will take up with the Central Government.
Non-Official Resolution  9th February, 1979

Recommending to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany.

Mr Deputy Speaker — Anything more to say Sri Rajaram? We cannot go on prolonging it.

Sri C. Janga Reddy.—Sir, the Power Minister is not having Condensor. He has become powerless now.

The Government is also with a open mind.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The House is at liberty to grant permission to withdraw the Resolution at any time, I do not come in his way.

Sri P. Sundarayya.—I have made my position clear. If the Government cannot make up its mind that much..

Mr Deputy Speaker:—In view of the interest of the opposition as such you may......

Sri P. Sundarayya:—Let him give in writing like that.
Non-Official Resolution

Recommending to Government of India to reject the Proposed Collaboration Agreement by BHEL with a firm of West Germany.

Mr. Deputy Speaker.—He is prepared to convey the feeling of this House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker.—Now I request the mover to withdraw this Resolution

Sri P Sundarayya —No Sir, I am not prepared. I am pressing for voting and division also

Mr. Deputy Speaker.—All right The question is:

“This House recommends to the Government to reject the proposed collaboration,
agreement for 25 years by Bharat Heavy Electricals Limited, New Delhi owned by the Government of India, with Siemens Ankiengesellschaft, a multinational firm of West Germany, as the finalisation of the agreement will hamper the independent development of electrical, electronical, other heavy and key industries and technical and scientific research and will result in loss of crores of rupees to the State and the country."

Sri P. Sundaryya pressed for decision.

The House divided thus:

Ayes: 10
Noes: 24 and neutrals 7

The Resolution was lost.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — Now the House stands adjourned to meet again on Monday the 12th February 1979 at 8-30 a.m.

(The House then adjourned to meet again at 8-30 a.m. on Monday the 12th February 1979)

APPENDIX

ADDRESS BY SRI K C ABRAHAM, GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH

MR CHAIRMAN, MR. SPEAKER AND HON'BLE MEMBERS OF THE LEGISLATURE

Since my assumption of office as Governor of this important Stat: I am addressing the Legislature for the first time and I consider it a privilege to do so. I look forward to serving the people of Andhra Pradesh.

As the Hon'ble Members are aware the Seventh Finance Commission has submitted its report to the Government of India who have accepted the recommendations. We are glad that commission have taken the view that this role should not be negative of filling in the revenue gaps only and that they have accepted one of the basic contents in our Memorandum to them that the Grants-in-aid element in the transfers scheme should as far as possible be residual from the bulk of transfers taking place through tax shares. We would also benefit through the larger devolution of taxes to the States recommended by the Commission which would go some way in enabling us to have a better start for the Medium-Term Plan period. We have formulated and submitted to the Planning Commission proposals for the Medium-Term Plan for 1978-83 which envisage a total outlay of Rs. 3,966 crores which compared with an outlay of about Rs 1,500 crores in the original Fifth Plan for the period 1974-79. This Government is totally committed to the promotion of welfare of the weaker sections of the society and naturally therefore the main thrust in our proposals is on provision of employment opportunities, implementation of a Revised Minimum Needs Programme and of programmes intended to benefit the weaker sections in general and the Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes and Backward Classes in particular. We have also tried to increase the priority in the Social Services sector, so that some of the leeway in this and some other sectors which have suffered in the past due to an emphasis on Irrigation and Power could be made up during this Plan period. As the Hon'ble Members are aware the National Development Council has yet to take a decision in regard to the formula for distribution of Central
We are confident that it will be able to evolve an equitable formula for this purpose. We hope that this will enable us to not only, maintain the tempo in developmental outlays that we have built up in the past few years but also increase it so that we are able to achieve the objectives that have mentioned.

While giving due importance to the development of Irrigation Agriculture and Power, my Government are very keen to give priority to the Social Welfare Programmes which would benefit weaker sections of the Society. The intention of my Government to take certain special and specific steps was spelt out on earlier occasions also. In accordance with the objectives set forth, several measures have been taken up for implementation. As a first step in this direction, schemes involving Rs 12.89 crores have been formulated which will create productive job opportunities to 15,000 unemployed candidates in addition to imparting training in useful skills and avocations.

Another very important step taken is to give priority to Housing particularly of the weaker sections by co-ordinating the activities of different housing agencies so that housing activity is intensified and become socially purposeful. About 11 lakh house-sites have been distributed to the rural and urban poor and the programme is being accelerated. It is proposed to assist them in constructing houses, by mobilising institutional finances. A new orientation is proposed to be imparted to housing activity by taking up housing programmes for weaker sections not in isolation, but as an integral part of a package programme covering development of sites, housing, civic amenities, environmental improvement would be implemented and economic support schemes. The mass housing programme on the basis of self-help with technical and financial assistance from the Government, so that there is total participation by the beneficiaries and the programme acquires the ethos of a people's movement.

During the current financial year it is proposed to take up the pilot rural housing project in every district, with the loan assistance of the General Insurance Corporation. Efforts are being made to mobilise maximum loan assistance from different agencies like the Housing and Urban Development Corporation, the General Insurance Corporation and the Life Insurance Corporation and take up construction of 50,000 permanent houses in rural areas and another 50,000 permanent houses in urban areas. The Government intend to provide interest subsidy as an incentive for prompt repayment and to take advantage of the Food for Work programme to meet the labour component of the house construction. The particular requirements and preference of different categories of weaker sections like agricultural labour, weavers and artisans will be incorporated in the house designs. In addition, the Government intend to assist the beneficiaries in constructing 25,000 low-cost dwellings before the end of the current financial year and another 1,00,000 low-cost dwellings in the next financial year. The programme includes provision of latrines and other sanitary facilities.

Provision of Housing facilities should be accompanied by an integrated economic support programme. My Government would continue to implement the economic support schemes already undertaken with greater vigour laying emphasis on development and provision of irrigation assistance.
facilities to the lands assigned to the weaker sections. The implementation of development programmes would be closely monitored to ensure that the benefits accrue speedily to the weaker sections of the society. Tribal welfare is being given emphasis through special allocations made to the integrated Tribal Welfare Development agencies and also by the special thrust given to the general sector programmes through sub-plan approach. During the current year the Government have initiated a larger programme of assistance to the physically handicapped and also for the eradication of beggary.

Another sector where attention has been now given is improvement to the conditions of the people living in slum areas. With this object re-classification of priorities in the budgets of the civic bodies has been made in order that 30 per cent of the total budget allocation of the Municipalities during 1978-79 is set apart for provision of civic amenities in slum areas.

Programme to provide safe and adequate drinking water in rural areas has been given utmost priority by my Government. In order to achieve this goal, the financial allocation has been enhanced and during the summer of 1978 as many as 3,930 bore wells were sunk as against an average of about 3,500 per year. In addition a master plan has been prepared which pin-points the areas of operation and the Government is approaching international bodies like the World Bank for funding these schemes. A project to provide protected water supply to 17 fluorside affected villages with the assistance of Netherlands Government is proposed to be implemented soon.

Inspite of several natural calamities that our farmers had to face due to prolonged dry spells followed by unprecedented cyclone, floods and the like, the total foodgrain production has touched the level of 89.85 lakh tonnes in 1977-78 due to the efforts of the farmer and the timely relief measures undertaken by this Government.

Considerable concern is being expressed quite frequently regarding procurement of paddy in the State. The Government have gone into the question in detail and have evolved a liberal policy which should benefit the producers. During Kharif this year (1978-79) the harvest of paddy crop was so good and plentiful that the open market prices fell below the notified support prices. At the instance of the State Government, the Food Corporation of India already opened 223 purchase centres and has purchased so far a quantity of 1,57,760 tonnes of paddy under price support operations.

The specifications prescribed by the Government of India for paddy this year particularly in regard to admissible limits of impurities are more stringent than before. Hence it was taken up with the Government of India who lately have allowed some relaxations creating a new grade, viz., Grade III. Even with these relaxations it is found that some stocks offered by the farmers are not acceptable to the F.C.I. Therefore the State Civil Supplies Corporation has been asked to open 50 purchase centres and to accept the paddy stocks not conforming to the specifications of the F.C.I. 35 such centres have been opened and 2611 tonnes of paddy purchased. The stock so purchased will be cleaned, brought to the desired standards and then offered to the F.C.I. Any loss in this transaction would be reimbursed to the Civil Supplies Corporation.
The F C I has not been accepting RP-6-17 Phalgun variety of paddy. This variety will now be purchased by Andhra Pradesh State Civil Supplies Corporation for supply after milling into rice, under the Food for Work Programme. Here also losses if any will be borne by the State Government. In regard to Tella Hamsa and Surekha varieties on the initiative of the State Government, the Government of India have now issued orders for accepting these varieties as medium instead of long bold wherever they do not conform to the measurements of long slender.

In regard to rice procured under mill levy, at the instance of the State Government, the Government of India have issued instructions to F. C. I. to accept voluntary offers of rice over and above the mill levy which is 20 per cent. This has afforded the millers considerable relief. So far F. C. I. has purchased 2,06,836 tonnes of rice and the State Civil Supplies Corporation, a quantity of 8,031 tonnes. Government have also dispensed with the requirement of obtaining permits by millers and dealers for sale and movement of levy stocks with the result that after delivery of levy rice, the millers and dealers could now move stocks of rice or paddy without permit. They need only keeping the Collector informed of the movement.

Economic activity and production processes have been fully restored in the areas affected by the unprecedented cyclone and tidal waves in November, 1977 as a result of massive rehabilitation programme completed by the Government within the shortest possible time. A comprehensive programme on permanent measure for litigation of the cyclone distress has been prepared at a cost of Rs. 125 crores and presented to the Empowered Committee of the Government of India. With a view to ensuring better regulation and co-ordination of relief and rehabilitation measures in case of natural calamities a bill has been prepared and is being referred to Government of India.

Being essentially an agricultural based State, a major portion of the plan outlay is allotted for the major irrigation projects to create more irrigation potential. In this direction, the Government propose to take up six irrigation projects to bring about 5,03 lakhs of additional area under irrigation. Efforts are also being made in a few select command areas to introduce practices through intensive agriculture extension to maximise production by optimising the use of land and water and to provide assured irrigation to all farmers by proper water management. Six medium irrigation projects have also been taken up at an estimated cost of Rs. 21.68 crores in Schedule Areas. Out of the irrigation potential of 2.20 million hectares under minor irrigation, a potential of 1.76 million hectares has been so far created. It is proposed to create an additional potential of 6,350 hectares with an outlay of Rs. 680.26 lakhs during 1979-80.

I am happy to inform the House that after nearly 10 years, the long pending issue of sharing of the Inter-State waters of the Godavari among the interested States, i.e., Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Karnataka and Orissa has been amicably settled. The Hon’ble Members are aware that up to the Pochampad Dam site on the Godavari and its tributaries, sharing of the waters has been finalised in December,
1975 through the co-operation of the State Government and the Government of India. Subsequently, agreements have been entered into by this Government with Maharashtra and Madhya Pradesh during August 1978 for sharing of the waters below Pochampad Dam site, particularly the construction of Inchampally Project.

In August, 1978, the Chief Ministers of Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka States met in Bangalore and came to an agreement in regard to the sharing of the water of the Godavari and of the waters to be diverted from the Godavari to the Krishna. Later, in December, 1978, the Chief Minister of Orissa came over to Hyderabad and had discussions with the Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh, as a result of which an inter-State agreement was concluded between Orissa and Andhra Pradesh in regard to the sharing of the Godavari waters and the construction of Polavaram Reservoir. Agreement was also arrived at on the sharing of waters of the Jamhavati, the Bahuda, and the Nagavali which flow from Orissa State into the north-eastern part of Andhra Pradesh.

It will now be possible to take up major irrigation and Multi-purpose Projects such as Polavaram and Inchampally as well as to go ahead with the irrigation projects that would benefit the drought-prone and needy areas of Rayalascema, Telangana and other parts of the State.

This has been indeed one of the proudest achievements of my Government since it came to office about a year ago.

The power development in the State has maintained progress in the upward direction. The installed capacity of the State has risen from 1,563 Mega Watts at the beginning of the current financial year. This is expected to go up further to 1,888 Mega Watts by the middle of 1979. As the Hon'ble Members are aware, the Government of India have sanctioned the Ramagundam Super Thermal Power Station with an estimated capacity of 2,100 Mega Watts. The Hon'ble Members are aware that the Prime Minister laid the foundation stone for this project in the month of November, 1978 and the preliminary civil works have already been taken up by the National Thermal Power Corporation. Priority is being given to electrification of villages and organisation of pumpsets for agriculture. Out of 27,221 villages in the State, 14,652 villages had been electrified till 31-3-1978. The Rural Electrification Corporation has been continuing to give assistance in the matter of rural electrification. The corporation has so far sanctioned 213 schemes in rural areas of the State, including 3 co-operative societies with a loan assistance of Rs 70.83 crores.

My Government have been having under consideration the question of giving relief to agriculturists in the matter of payment of monthly electricity bills. The Government have now decided to request the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board to introduce a system of collection of electricity bills on half yearly basis. This will initially be on an experimental basis in three Revenue Offices in three Districts viz., Chittoor, Kurnool and East Godavari. Under the arrangement proposed, the State Electricity Board will not insist on their consumers paying any additional advance consumption deposits other than what the consumers are required to pay now. It is hoped that this would give the agricultural consumers some relief.
My Government took up with the Central Government the question of expediting construction of the Steel Plant at Visakhapatnam. Union Minister for Steel and Mines visited Visakhapatnam and Hyderabad on 30th September and 1st October, 1978 respectively when he confirmed that work on setting up of the Steel Plant would be taken up in early 1979. A Soviet Team visited Visakhapatnam District in connection with the establishment of an Alumina Plant based on the extensive bauxite reserves in that District. Further follow up action is being pursued with the Central Government in this regard also.

Hon'ble Members are aware that the President of India laid the foundation stone for a Project at Kakinada on 7th July, 1978. This is yet another major Project which my Government is setting up a scheme for development of the Bhanampally-Ramagundam-Kothagudem area. It is being drawn up for giving a spurt to industrial activity based on coal and its utilisation.

A favourable climate for investment in the Industrial Sector in the State has been created. Thanks to the sound infrastructure built up and the large investment in power, the State is now poised for a tremendous industrial growth in the years to come, so that the power generated is utilised by industries. Financial institutions and Commercial Banks are looking up to our State to provide them opportunities for large scale investment. To facilitate large scale investment in various industries in our State, by people of our State residing abroad, and the non-resident Indians, a detailed scheme is being drawn up to canalise and utilise remittances received from abroad.

The Small Scale Industries and in particular, the tiny sector, is receiving special attention of the Government in the matter of setting up of industries. District Industries Centres have been started in eleven districts and the remaining districts of the State would also be soon provided with such centres. These centres will actively assist entrepreneurs in the rural areas in setting up of Small Scale Industries which will provide increasing opportunities for employment, raise levels of income of artisans and workers besides fostering rapid rural development.

To provide outlet for sugar-cane, Khandasari Units have been given certain concessions to enable them to take up crushing operations without delay. This step became necessary in view of the steep decline in sugar prices consequent on decontrol.

Constitution of an Industrial Management Service is also being actively considered by Government for providing professional management in Public Sector Industries Units in the State. Guidelines are being drawn up for financial and personnel management of Public Sector undertakings broadly on the lines of the Central Government to facilitate efficient functioning of the Public Sector Units in the State. An electronics corporation is also being set up as a subsidiary of Andhra Pradesh Industrial Development Corporation to enable Andhra Pradesh to play its role in the development of electronics in the country.

Hon'ble Members are aware that the SFDA programme is being implemented in 16 districts of the State covering 139 Panchayat Samitis.
The institutional credit raised as of the order of Rs 3,086 39 lakhs towards infrastructure, the State Government has provided Rs 25 lakhs in the budget. D.P.A.P, which is an area development programme, is being implemented in the districts of Anantapur, Chittoor, Cuddapah, Kurnool, Mahabubnagar, and in Prakasam in the taluks of Kanigiri, Giddalur, and Markapur and in Devarkonda taluk of Nalgonda district. An expenditure of about Rs 28 crores had been incurred under this programme and Government of India have commended the good performance of the programme in this State. I.R.D.P, which is a new programme formulated by Government of India on a pilot basis in six blocks in Mahabubnagar district during 1977-78 has been extended to 168 selected blocks during 1978-79 conforming to the areas of S.F.D.A., D.P.A.P, and C.A.D. The main object of the programme is to bring 300 families in each block living below poverty line above the level of poverty. In addition, Government of India have allotted six new blocks. It is proposed to implement in some of the I.R.D.P. Blocks the full employment scheme with a view to provide gainful employment to the unemployed.

During the year 1978-79, a new programme called “A tree for each house and a forest for each village” was launched in all the districts of the State. This programme evoked considerable public enthusiasm.

To exploit the rich fishery resources of the State, an integrated marine Fisheries Project has been sanctioned with World Bank assistance which includes construction of fishing harbours at Visakhapatnam, Kakinada, and Nizampatnam and also construction of approach roads to several fishing villages in Visakhapatnam, East Godavari, and Guntur districts.

My Government is vigorously pursuing the speedy implementation of land reforms. With a view to expediting disposal of appeals, Government constituted eight additional Appellate Tribunals three each in East and West Godavari districts and one each in Krishna and Mahabubnagar districts. Originally, the Appellate Tribunals were constituted with a Judicial Officer as Chairman and a Revenue Officer as Member. With a view to expediting the disposal of appeals, government reconstituted the Appellate Tribunals with effect from 1-6-1978, with a single member, namely, Judicial Officer of the rank of District and Sessions Judge, withdrawing the Revenue Member. With a view to expediting the disposal of Civil Revision Petitions, two Judges have been appointed in the High Court exclusively for this work. It has now been decided to notify the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Tenancy Act, 1956 as amended in 1974 in order to have uniform policy in the entire State, it has been decided to abolish the service, charitable and religious inams in Telangana area also and towards this suitable legislation is being undertaken.

The Government are aware that certain classes of freedom fighters in the State are not in receipt of pension under the Government of India freedom fighters pension scheme. My Government have therefore recently liberalised the provisions of the Government of India’s scheme in such a way that some of those who have so far been excluded are also made eligible for receipt of freedom fighters’ pension.
Keeping in view the need to collect Government dues without harassment to the ryots, especially the weaker sections of the population, which is involved in the collection of dues throughout the year, the Government have decided that the kist season should be suitably extended up to 30-4-1979 by the Collectors at their discretion in consultation with all the Legislators and Members of Parliament in their districts. This is being implemented with immediate effect.

Another concession given to the Agriculturists who have been subjected to the vagaries of successive bad years is that interest on arrear land revenue and penal interest on arrears of loans granted under the LYL and A L Acts should be waived in full in all cases where the dues are paid in full by 30-6-1979.

Since about 108 villages in Kurnool and Mahabubnagar districts are going under water on account of the construction of the Srisailam Project in which are such internationally well-known archaeological monuments like those at Alampur, Kudali, Sengameswaram, etc., are included, Government have constituted a High Power Committee to make suitable recommendations as to how best to salvage these. My Government have issued orders to preserve Alampur temple site by a groyne wall, to physically transplant and rebuild temples of such nationally archeological and architectural importance, as are to be preserved for posterity, to make plaster cast models of all other temples that are going under water, and to document them scientifically, to collect all loose sculptures, inscriptions, antiquities etc., from this area, and to construct a Srisailam Complex at Hyderabad and field museums both in Mahabubnagar and Kurnool where these antiquities in original and in models can be preserved. This is a very unique experiment, the like of which has not been attempted before. The work is in rapid progress and it is expected that the entire field work will be completed before the area goes under submersion and that the excavation reports and all documentation will be completed within three years thereafter.

In regard to medical services, Government have been encouraging the different systems of medicine to develop. It is proposed to appoint a High Power Committee consisting of eminent experts in the field of medicine and allied sciences, representatives of the different departments and non-officials to go into the question of improving the medical services in general and rural health coverage in particular. The Committee would go into the question of utilising the services of all the medical graduates and other qualified persons by providing more and more opportunities for them in the rural areas. The Committee would also examine the establishment of a University of Medicine and Allied Sciences in the State for developing super specialities and research work of high standard and for mobilising the resources to meet increasing demand for medical facilities in the State.

Food for Work programme aims at generating gainful employment to underemployed and unemployed persons creating durable community assets. This was started in the year 1977 by the Government of India. My Government have taken initiative and have gone in a very big way.
in utilising this facility. The scheme is now in full swing and so far Government of India have allotted 96,000 metric tonnes of foodgrains valued at Rs. 11 19 crores The Government have undertaken works worth about Rs 14 55 crores So far 1,056 kilometres of new roads, 3,170 kilometres metal and gravel road repairs, construction of 170 school buildings and 134 irrigation sources have been taken up and completed, providing in the process employment for about 49,392 lakh man days This effort of the State Government has been very favourably commented upon by the Government of India and my Government propose to take up very substantial programme of works under this scheme and towards this, the Government of India have been requested to release 2 67 lakh metric tonnes of foodgrains for the year 1979-80.

The year 1979 is being celebrated as International Year of Child. Both on the education front as well as on the medical front, Government propose to implement more vigorously on the going schemes towards the welfare of children as well as take up new schemes. On the education front the "Abhyudaya Prathamika Vidya Samstha" which has been set up is a step towards qualitative improvement of primary education and its revitalisation. The basic philosophy of this programme is two-fold. Firstly, the programme should bring about an integrated development of the personality of the child. Apart from gaining knowledge from books and school, the objective is to inculcate in him a sense of physical and moral discipline and a love for our culture and tradition. It aims at combining the good features of the ancient as well as the modern systems. The second connected objective is that around this programme should be built up a socio-economic welfare programme of the village. One of the main principles that this scheme propounds is generation of voluntary efforts towards building up this institution in the village in which process the Community becomes alive to its responsibility. In short, the institution will be a focal point around which the rest of the activities of the village should develop. Most of the problems connected with the starting of such a model institution have been resolved and my Government hope that this programme would be an exemplar for others to emulate.

During this International Year of Child, my Government also propose to undertake complete immunisation programme of children in various age groups. Detailed schemes are being worked out for implementation which would ensure the supply of Vitamin ‘A’ drops and the other immunisation and prophylactic medical measures. The schemes would ensure that the majority of the children are covered by such immunisation programme.

The Integrated Child Development Services Scheme is being implemented in the State in two blocks i.e., Utnoor Tribal block in Adilabad District and Kambadur in Anantapur District. Under this scheme an integrated package of service covering Nutrition, Health, Education and recreational facilities are provided with a view to creating a proper atmosphere for the over-all development of the child between the age 0-6 years. Four more blocks viz., Maredumilli in East Godavari District, Erunnagaram in Warangal District and Vijayawada and Kurnool have been taken up in 1978-79. In the International Year of Child
i.e., 1979, it is proposed to cover 3 more blocks viz, Paderu in Visakhapatnam District, Saiyaveedu in Chittoor District and Narsapur in Medak District under this scheme

Before I close, I would like to only mention that law and order in the State was maintained satisfactorily. During this session, in addition to the budget, you will be considering Bills for replacing of Ordinance and also other legislative measures. I wish you Godspeed in your deliberations.

JAI HIND