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THE HOUSE MET AT HALF PAST EIGHT OF THE CLOCK.

(MR. SPEAKER IN THE CHAIR)

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

CHANGING OF WORKING HOURS OF GOVT. OFFICES

417—

926 Q.—Sarvasi .M. Omkar (Narasampet), M. Venkaiah Naidu (Udayagiri) & Pooya Subbaiah (Markapur).—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government have decided on July 5th, 1978 to change the working hours of all Government Offices

(b) if so, the details of the said decision: and

(c) the expected benefits to be accrued therefrom?
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8th September, 1978.

Oral Answers to Questions

10. ప్రశ్నాంశం: ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ లోని వాయిదా నదీ నది నిర్మాణం, అనే ప్రశ్నాంశం ప్రభావం వచ్చిన నది నిర్మాణం ప్రశ్నాంశం ఉంటే?

దుకాన్ త్రెంటు కృపా నదీ నిర్మాణం సంఖ్య ప్రాంతం అనే ప్రశ్నాంశం ప్రభావం వచ్చిన నది నిర్మాణం ప్రశ్నాంశం ఉంటే?

11. ప్రశ్నాంశం: ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ లోని వాయిదా నదీ నది నిర్మాణం, అనే ప్రశ్నాంశం ప్రభావం వచ్చిన నది నిర్మాణం ప్రశ్నాంశం ఉంటే?

దుకాన్ త్రెంటు కృపా నదీ నిర్మాణం సంఖ్య ప్రాంతం అనే ప్రశ్నాంశం ప్రభావం వచ్చిన నది నిర్మాణం ప్రశ్నాంశం ఉంటే?

12. ప్రశ్నాంశం: ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ లోని వాయిదా నదీ నది నిర్మాణం, అనే ప్రశ్నాంశం ప్రభావం వచ్చిన నది నిర్మాణం ప్రశ్నాంశం ఉంటే?

దుకాన్ త్రెంటు కృపా నదీ నిర్మాణం సంఖ్య ప్రాంతం అనే ప్రశ్నాంశం ప్రభావం వచ్చిన నది నిర్మాణం ప్రశ్నాంశం ఉంటే?
Oral Answers to Questions. 8th September, 1978.

Mr. A. Sir, can you give us—why aren’t we getting the answer? Is there any reason why we shouldn’t be getting the answer? What has happened? Why are we not getting the answer? And, why are we not getting the answer?

Mr. B. Sir, I believe the reason is that—what has happened is that—there has been an error in the transmission of the question. The question was not received properly. The answer will be provided as soon as possible.
236 8th September, 1978.

Oral Answers to Questions.

30. మనుష్యుడి జీవితం: — మనుష్యుడి జీవితం భూముద్రలో ఉన్నతమైన జీవితంగా అంటారు. చాలా మనుష్యుడు డిస్క్యూమెంట్ చేస్తున్నాడు. కానీ, ఇది చాలా తక్కువగా ఉండేందుకు. ఇది భూముద్రలో ఉన్నతమైన జీవితంగా అంటారు. పాలితాయి, ఇతర ప్రాణిపరిస్థితిలో ప్రాణిపరిస్థితి చేయబడింది. అయితే, మనుష్యుడు భూముద్రలో ఉన్నతమైన జీవితంగా అంటారు. భూముద్రలో ఉన్నతమైన జీవితంగా అంటారు. భూముద్రలో ఉన్నతమైన జీవితంగా అంటారు. భూముద్రలో ఉన్నతమైన జీవితంగా అంటారు.

30. మనుష్యుడి జీవితం: — మనుష్యుడి జీవితం భూముద్రలో ఉన్నతమైన జీవితంగా అంటారు. మనుష్యుడి జీవితం భూముద్రలో ఉన్నతమైన జీవితంగా అంటారు. మనుష్యుడి జీవితం భూముద్రలో ఉన్నతమైన జీవితంగా అంటారు. మనుష్యుడి జీవితం భూముద్రలో ఉన్నతమైన జీవితంగా అంటారు. భూముద్రలో ఉన్నతమైన జీవితంగా అంటారు. భూముద్రలో ఉన్నతమైన జీవితంగా అంటారు. భూముద్రలో ఉన్నతమైన జీవితంగా అంటారు. భూముద్రలో ఉన్నతమైన జీవితంగా అంటారు.
Oral Answers to Questions. 8th September, 1978. 257

Mr. Speaker:— He says that the sub-Committee is going into the matter.

Sri Pooja Subbaiah:— Then, we have to place our grievances. Let us pay the $ab-ConMBittee is going into the matter.

Sri Pooja Subbaiah:— Then, we have to place our grievances.

EXPENDITURE INCURRED BY STATE GOVT.
ON VIMADALAL COMMISSION

418—

*311 Q.— Sarvasri E. Ayyapu Reddy (Panyam) and Ch. Kasaiah (Kothagudem).— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to State:

(a) the expenditure incurred by the State Government on all accounts so far till now (13-4-1978) with regard to the Vimaladala Commission of Inquiry; and

(b) the break-up of this expenditure?

(i) $ab-ConMBittee fees for 11 times:—

Rs. 74,081.00

(ii) " " an amount for the Commission:—

Rs. 40,000.00

(iii) " " a certain amount for the Commission:—

Rs. 10,186.76

Attack of Goondas on People of Allipuram, Vizag Town

419—

* 1753 Q.—Sri N. S. N. Reddy (Visakhapatnam-2) :—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that 300 goondas attacked the innocent people of Allipuram, Visakhapatnam city at 10-30 p.m. on 4-5-1978 and damaged public taxis and some properties;

(b) whether the police arrested any culprits in this connection;

(c) whether it is a fact that the Circle Inspector (Law & Order) misbehaved and used filthy language against the ladies and innocent people on that night, with a view to give protection to the culprits;

(d) the reasons for not controlling the situation on the next day morning despite the complaints made by the public and the local legislator?

A case was registered under Crime No. 183/78 under Sections 147 and 324 I.P.C. and 5 accused were arrested. Another case was registered under Crime No. 178/78 under section 147, and 324 IPC All the accused have been charge-sheeted and are under trial.
8th September, 1978.
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Will the Minister for Excise be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware of the fact that trade in arrack is held in monopoly by big contractors as units are created on the basis of taluks;

(b) whether it is a fact that contractors having little means are out manoeuvred by big contractors;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the Government are losing their revenue due to above reasons; and

(d) whether the Government intend to encourage small traders?

Monopoly of The Arrack Trade by Big Contractors

420—

*Q.O.—Sri P. Sriramamurthy (Amudalavalasa)— Will the Minister for Excise be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware of the fact that trade in arrack is held in monopoly by big contractors as units are created on the basis of taluks;

(b) whether it is a fact that contractors having little means are out manoeuvred by big contractors;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the Government are losing their revenue due to above reasons; and

(d) whether the Government intend to encourage small traders?

420—

(a) (b) (c) (d)
Ora! Answers to Qu$$t!M§. g(h September, WB. 26!

TT-8S ^-Er^^c "gsaonr* S^^oB. gj^^i ^$^ -Ty*6^ iMT:" gx, ?r^ ^
Xbn ?X^T3^ *3)^& ^oojs^^oa. ":^:^r-^" ^ Bo l3^eH
nr^d). ^Sb^^fr^ ^^o^^o8. e.!f -y-^r^-r*^ lO^a^^j

&)ob3T3-*;sCa. A^ rMo-D sM*^ ^3^^)o-D SWR'Sj ^^^rej a^ir* '
§o3j^*rr° c3*^-v^€)0 ^^y^CSb. ixr^eS ^^j^ (^^earr* ^" $-^iy^oo

?3-sr*#o ^

[Image 0x0 to 398x667]

[45x215]^^An&^3 Ky*^^§ ^S^o^S *3c^u€0 ^aD^r*a^, -y*^ 3a&3abg

R^j^ -^^b^-n-^ (g^iy*^):—3* *^ 2,8,4 l46e^ ;&3**a<g

^M" ^-E^is^jB^^ ^^^ %8M^ Tr*et#a ^o^e'^ e,^A ^^ #3^^

!Kyr;&<M ^"r-c^ 8"^^ ^ ^ ^^ 14, 15 l§&tMf* ^€^bj *^gb^

-53T^Ty*'3;&*3b. ^J^ !?^^oJ^ *^j$boo^ &e^or*D§ ^§^*3^


(4.) Ex: "April 1, 1978" - 1st April - Letter to Member.


Mr Speaker, — Why don't you speak on the Excise Demands?

Mr S. Jaipal Reddy: — This question relates to a vital excise policy of the Government.

Would we create groups. This we are not understanding. We seek a clarification. Rather, we want an assurance from the Government that individual shops that are already declared will be retained as individual shops and will not be treated as group shops. We have introduced the Excise Act to regulate it but not to behave as noblemen.

Mr P. Gangula Reddy: — Individual shops are separate and groups are separate. . .

(Interruptions)

Mr M. Venkayya Naidu: — What is meant by monopoly? From the beginning, he was saying that he is against monopoly and again he wants to declare them.
Let the individual shops also be encouraged and let them also be profitted to some extent. Let not monopoly exist.

Sri P. Ganga Reddy.—The Commissioneer has given clear instructions that no group should be formed without prior approval.
Payment of tree tax by the contractors direct to Pattadars

421—

*2048 Q. —Sri M. Jayaramuloo (Waanaparthy):— Will the Minister for Excise be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal before the Government to make toddy contractors directly pay the tree tax (hakke malikana) to pattadars for date and palm trees in patta lands; and

(b) whether the Government are aware that the ryots are experiencing severe hardships to get from Tahasildar Offices the amount that are being deposited in taluk Treasury by toddy contractors under tree tax?

9-10 a.m.

(j) 26th September, 1978.

Oral Answers to Questions

Payment of tree tax by the contractors direct to Pattadars

421—

*2048 Q. —Sri M. Jayaramuloo (Waanaparthy):— Will the Minister for Excise be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal before the Government to make toddy contractors directly pay the tree tax (hakke malikana) to pattadars for date and palm trees in patta lands; and

(b) whether the Government are aware that the ryots are experiencing severe hardships to get from Tahasildar Offices the amount that are being deposited in taluk Treasury by toddy contractors under tree tax?
Oral Answers to Questions. 8th September, 1978. 267

(1) 5. ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್ (ನ್ಯೂ ಜಿಲ್ಡ್): — ಚೌದಕ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸ್ತಾನಕಿಯ ವಿಭಾಗದ ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್ ಭೂಗೋಳವನ್ನು ಹೋಲಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಆಗಿರುವ ವಿಭಾಗದ ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್ ವಿಭಾಗದವು ಚಿಕ್ಕ. ಚೌದಕ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸ್ತಾನಕಿಯ ವಿಭಾಗದ ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್ ಭೂಗೋಳವನ್ನು ಹೋಲಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಆಗಿರುವ ವಿಭಾಗದ ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್ ವಿಭಾಗದವು ಚಿಕ್ಕ.

(2) 5. ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್: — ಇಂದೂ ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸ್ತಾನಕಿಯ ವಿಭಾಗದ ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್ ಭೂಗೋಳವನ್ನು ಹೋಲಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಆಗಿರುವ ವಿಭಾಗದ ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್ ವಿಭಾಗದವು ಚಿಕ್ಕ.

(3) 5. ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್: — ಇಂದೂ ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸ್ತಾನಕಿಯ ವಿಭಾಗದ ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್ ಭೂಗೋಳವನ್ನು ಹೋಲಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಆಗಿರುವ ವಿಭಾಗದ ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್ ವಿಭಾಗದವು ಚಿಕ್ಕ.

(4) 5. ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್: — ಇಂದೂ ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಅನುಭವಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸ್ತಾನಕಿಯ ವಿಭಾಗದ ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್ ಭೂಗೋಳವನ್ನು ಹೋಲಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಆಗಿರುವ ವಿಭಾಗದ ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸರ್ ವಿಭಾಗದವು ಚಿಕ್ಕ.
Proposal to Equip the Police Stations Located Between Srikakulam & Nellore Dists. with High Frequency Wireless Sets.

422—

*1807 Q.—Sri Poolla Subbaiah:— Will the Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government propose to equip with high frequency wireless sets in most of the Police Stations located on the coast line between Srikakulam and Nellore districts to enable the vigilant Police men to catch any cyclone and storm warnings put out by the Meteorology Department and relay them to the higher authorities,

(b) if so, when; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

Sri M. M. Hashim:—(a) and (b) Yes; Sir, High Frequency Wireless Radio Stations have already been installed in Police Stations of the Coastal Districts of Andhra Pradesh.

(c) Does not arise.

EXPENDITURE ON SECURITY MEASURE OF THE THEN CHIEF MINISTER DURING ASSEMBLY GENERAL ELECTIONS

423—

*99 Q.— Sri M. Jagannadham:— Will the Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) the amount of expenditure incurred towards the security measures of the then Chief Minister Sri Venga Rao when he undertook tours in connection with the last Assembly General elections;

(b) the number of police force and the officers posted on special duty in the name of law and order in Vardhamanapeta constituency during elections and the number of persons arrested?

423 (a) The expenditure is Rs. 2,382,85.

423 (b) The number of police force and officers posted is not available.
Oral Answers to Questions.

8th September, 1978.

269

1. What is the population of the city?
   - 1,234,567

2. What is the current temperature?
   - 22°C

3. What is the current humidity?
   - 56%

4. What is the current time?
   - 15:00

5. What is the current date?
   - 8th September, 1978

6. What is the current stock market index?
   - 147,858

7. What is the current weather forecast?
   - Partly cloudy with a chance of rain

8. What is the current news headline?
   - International relations crisis

9. What is the current entertainment news?
   - New movie release

10. What is the current sports update?
    - Cricket match results

68-3
Tax on Agricultural Income

424—

*679 Q.—Sri Bhattam Srirama Murthy:—Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Central Government consulted the State Government on the question of taxing agricultural income;

(b) if so, the details of the same; and

(c) the final position in that regard?

9.20 a.m.,
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Sri J. Jayagandha Reddy: — The recommendations of Dr. K.N. Raj Committee have since become out-dated. When he examined the agricultural sector, the market of agricultural produce was very buoyant. Secondly, the Land Reforms have since been introduced and implemented. Therefore would the Government consider rejecting the recommendations of K.N. Raj Committee? Thirdly, as the Minister himself admitted and as the Revenue Board have pointed out they are very difficult to implement in the agricultural sector, because, each farmer will have to maintain an account and the income varies from year to year depending upon market conditions. The farmers will be placed at the fancies and mercies of income-tax inspectors. Therefore, will the Government consider writing to the Government of India rejecting the recommendations of K.N. Raj Committee.

Sri N. Jaganadha Reddy: — I am sorry. I don't know why Mr. Jaipal Reddy has brought income-tax here. It is only replacing the land revenue by land tax. It is being done by Revenue Department.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: — Land tax is dependent upon income.
Sri N. Janardhan Reddy: — It has to be assessed by Revenue officials.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: — Your Revenue department people are in no way better than the income tax people.

Sri N. Janardhan Reddy: — Very good compliment. I am prepared to place.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: — We don't want uncertainty in the sector, because, the Democles sword of agricultural tax should not be made to hang over on the necks of farmers. This particular thing was referred to the State Government in 1974 and it is high time the State Government came to the conclusion and let the Government of India know about it.

Sri Poo!a Subbaiah: — I welcome the recommendations of the Raj Committee. We don't want uncertainty in the sector, because, the Democles sword of agricultural tax should not be made to hang over on the necks of farmers. This particular thing was referred to the State Government in 1974 and it is high time the State Government came to the conclusion and let the Government of India know about it.
Oral Answers to Questions. 8th September, 1978.

Collection of Land Revenue and other Taxes from the Agriculturists.

425—

*2023 Q.—Sri K. Govinda Rao (Anakapalli):—Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government propose to collect Land Revenue and other taxes due from the Agriculturists during the harvest season only and not at other times; and

(b) if so, when it would be implemented?

Distribution of Banjar Lands in ex-Zamindari Areas

426—

*1688 Q.—Salvatsri K. Balaramakrishna Murthy (Addanki), M. Narayana Rao (Paruchuru) and V. Pattabhiramswamy Chowdary (Kondap):—Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact, that after distribution of banjar lands in the ex-Zamindari areas by the Government some of the ryots who had the possession of these lands and got benami records, had filed suits in the settlement Department and obtained stay orders;

(c) whether the Government will provide legal aid to such persons whose applications are pending with the Settlement Department and got them settled by imploding themselves as party; and
(c) the number of such settlement cases pending in Prakasam, Nellore and Chittoor districts?

(1) 3
(2) 8
(3) 84

Purchasing of Sugar cane from the Ryots by Kovur Co-op. Sugar Factory, Nellore Dist.

427 —

*2281-C.Q.—Sarvasri M. Venkaiah Naidu, N. Venkataraman Naidu (Rapur) and Nallappareddy Sreenivasul Raddy (Venkatagiri):— Will the Minister for Commerce, Export Promotion, Sugar Industries and Small Industries be pleased to state:

(a) where it is a fact that Kovur Cooperative Sugar Factory, Nellore District, has not so far purchased sugar cane from ryots though they encouraged them to raise sugar cane crop and entered into an agreement with them to purchase the sugar cane planted during April 1977, and in October, 1977 and to purchase the subsequent crop within six months;

(b) whether it is also a fact that on account of this, the crop raised in nearly 250 acres has become useless as it had ripened long back;

(c) whether the Government will pay compensation to the ryots who sustained loss as the factory refused to purchase sugar cane; and

(d) whether steps are being taken by the Government to see that the standing crop is purchased by the factory?

(1) 132 cases of delays have been reported (123, 10. 17th June).

(2) In view of the report given by the Minister, 1977 cases for 1977, 1978 cases for 1978, 328 cases by 1st March 1978.

(3) 42 cases of losses have been reported, 158 cases of losses have not been reported.

(4) 136 cases of losses are under consideration.

(5) The Minister holds 3-10. 17th June.
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(a) The Committee appointed by the Government has recommended that the contract system in the Cement industry should be abolished immediately;

(b) whether the Government has taken necessary steps for the same;

(c) whether the Government are also aware of the fact that still contract system is in existence in the Ramakrishna Cements, Macherla for unloading of coal, conveyance of lime stone from the quarries to the factory etc., works, and

(d) if so, the total number of workers involved in the contract system in the Factory and Mines?

(2) 428—

*1243-Q.—Sri Ch. Rajeshwara Rao (Siroilla) :—Will the Minister for Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Committee appointed by the Government has recommended that the contract system in the Cement industry should be abolished immediately;

(b) if so, whether the Government has taken necessary steps for the same;

(c) whether the Government are also aware of the fact that still contract system is in existence in the Ramakrishna Cements, Macherla for unloading of coal, conveyance of lime stone from the quarries to the factory etc., works, and

(d) if so, the total number of workers involved in the contract system in the Factory and Mines?

1243-Q.—Sri Ch. Rajeshwara Rao  (Siroilla) :—Will the Minister for Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Committee appointed by the Government has recommended that the contract system in the Cement industry should be abolished immediately;

(b) if so, whether the Government has taken necessary steps for the same;

(c) whether the Government are also aware of the fact that still contract system is in existence in the Ramakrishna Cements, Macherla for unloading of coal, conveyance of lime stone from the quarries to the factory etc., works, and

(d) if so, the total number of workers involved in the contract system in the Factory and Mines?

1243-Q.—Sri Ch. Rajeshwara Rao  (Siroilla) :—Will the Minister for Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Committee appointed by the Government has recommended that the contract system in the Cement industry should be abolished immediately;

(b) if so, whether the Government has taken necessary steps for the same;

(c) whether the Government are also aware of the fact that still contract system is in existence in the Ramakrishna Cements, Macherla for unloading of coal, conveyance of lime stone from the quarries to the factory etc., works, and

(d) if so, the total number of workers involved in the contract system in the Factory and Mines?

1243-Q.—Sri Ch. Rajeshwara Rao  (Siroilla) :—Will the Minister for Labour and Employment be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Committee appointed by the Government has recommended that the contract system in the Cement industry should be abolished immediately;

(b) if so, whether the Government has taken necessary steps for the same;

(c) whether the Government are also aware of the fact that still contract system is in existence in the Ramakrishna Cements, Macherla for unloading of coal, conveyance of lime stone from the quarries to the factory etc., works, and

(d) if so, the total number of workers involved in the contract system in the Factory and Mines?
Notification of Vacancies to the Employment Exchange by Andhra Bank.

429—

*2106 Q—Sri Ch. Kasaiah.— Will the Minister for Labour and Employment be pleased to state;

(a) whether the Andhra Bank authorities are notifying vacancies to the Employment Exchanges for their recruitment; and

(b) if not, the reasons therefor?

Mr. Speaker:—The Minister will send a written reply to the hon. Member.

Mr. Speaker:—Otherwise, I must announce that the question hour is over and the replies to the remaining questions will be placed on the Table of the House.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy—The convention we are following is a good one. I would like to suggest one thing. When an answer is being read towards the end of the question-hour without permitting for supplementaries; the Minister will be directed to read comprehensive answers, because answers given to A. B. C. are technical. If they have more information let them read, that will be better.
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Mr. Speaker:—For each and every Member I cannot follow a new convention. Here after, I will say that the question hour is over and answers will be placed on the Table of the House. Then that will be more easy for me.

Construction of Houses for Rural and Urban Population

431—

681 Q.—Sri Bhattacharya Murthy:—Will the Minister for Housing be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal by the Centre to set up a Central Fund for the construction of houses for the rural and urban population:

(b) whether the Government are also aware of the fact that the private and public sector industries are being required by the Centre to pay certain amount by way of some kind of housing cess for the above purpose; and

(c) what are its implications as far as this State is concerned?

68—4
LAQ. Postponed from 29-8-79 to 8-9-78

Delay in Declaration of Probation of the Secretariat Employees.

32—

*91 Q.—Sri B. Niranjan Rao: Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government Departments in Secretariat are not taking proper steps in time to declare the probations and confirmations of the employees; and

(b) if, so, whether the Government will issue immediate orders to all the Departments to declare probation of the employees who put in two years or one year of service as the case may be and confirmations of those who are working in permanent posts to avoid further complications in services?

L A Q Postponed from 29-8-78 to 8-9-78

Constitution of Departmental Promotion Committees

328—

*92 Q.—Sri B. Niranjana Rao:— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is fact that orders were issued to constitute Departmental Promotion Committees in G. O. Ms. No. 283, G. A. (A. R & S. C.) Department dt. 30-5-1973 for giving promotions and regularising services of Temporary appointments in the Departments of Secretariat,

(b) whether it is also a fact that in some Departments of Secretariat the D. P. Cs. though constituted have not taken up the proposals for promotion and regularisation of services of Assistants and other non-gazetted posts even after lapse of five years,

(c) is so, the names of the Departments where the D. P. Cs. failed to complete the work,

(d) the reasons for not taking appropriate action in time by the D. P. Cs. consequently the employees are put to hardship and

(e) whether the Government will give suitable instructions to take up the work on top-priority basis and complete the same as early as possible?

L A Q Postponed from 29-8-78 to 8-9-78

Constitution of Departmental Promotion Committees
SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Establishing of Paper Mill at Hyderabad

431—A.

S. N. Q. No. 2283—B: Sri M Omkar:—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have taken any steps to explore the possibilities for getting established a paper mill at Hyderabad; and

(b) if so, the progress made so far?

(T) (a) 1978. (1) 2283—B. (2) (a) 1978. (3) Sri M Omkar:—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have taken any steps to explore the possibilities for getting established a paper mill at Hyderabad; and

(b) if so, the progress made so far?

(T) (a) 1978. (1) 2283—B. (2) (a) 1978. (3) Sri M Omkar:—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have taken any steps to explore the possibilities for getting established a paper mill at Hyderabad; and

(b) if so, the progress made so far?
Different Age Limits for Retirement of Government Officers.

431-B.

S. N. Q. No. 2287-J:— Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao:— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government Officers holding same or similar posts have got different ages of retirement, and

(b) whether the Government as a policy intend to remove this discrimination immediately by adopting a uniform age of 58 years for all its employees as in the case of employees of the Central Government and of many of the Northern States?

S. N. Q. No. 2284-J:—

UN-BARTHING OF GOLD COINS WORTH Rs. 20 LAKHS IN PANDINEEDU VILLAGE BADVEL TALUK.

431-C.

S. N. Q. No. 2281—M: Sarvasri V. Sivaramakrishna Rao and M. Venkaiah Naidu:— Will the Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that gold and silver coins worth more than Rs. 20 Lakhs had been unearthed in Pandineedu village of Badvel Taluk of Cuddapah District by Sarvasri Urimindi Narayana Reddy (2) Sri Venkateswarlu (3) Vijayendra Rao (4) Urimindi Ramachandra Reddy;

(b) whether it is also a fact that all these four people have been apprehended by the police and remanded in custody for the purpose of investigation;

(c) whether it is also a fact that some influential people of the taluk have tried to hamper investigation and see that the entire property of Gold is knocked away the above four people;

(d) the steps Government propose to take to ensure the restoration of the entire property to the Government;

(e) whether culprits are produced before the court of law; and

(f) if not, the reasons therefor?
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(1) The number of 8th September is ___ (2) The number of a month is ___

(3) The number of 8th September is ___

(4) The number of a month is ___

(5) The number of a month is ___

(6) The number of a month is ___

(7) The number of a month is ___

(8) The number of a month is ___

(9) The number of a month is ___

(10) The number of a month is ___

(11) The number of a month is ___

(12) The number of a month is ___
back there only. He has been entrusted with the task of enquiry into the whole thing. He is to examine the facts and figures, and his statement will be accepted.

Sri N. Janardhan Reddy:—He is one of the petitioners. He will also be called for during enquiry and his statement will also be recorded...

Q. When he is there, will it not be difficult for others to face the enquiry?.

R. Transfer is not a punishment and I know that. There is no need also.

Q. - Can a Fish Masala be cooked in a paper bag? The answer is no.

R. - After all it is the teacher's duty to explain the students. He or she should have the basic knowledge of the subject. When a student asks a question, the teacher should give an answer.

Q. - Is it really difficult for others to face the enquiry?

R. - When he is there, will it not be difficult for others to face the enquiry?...
If he has not done an offence in the matter, why he would go on leave for one and a half month? The Sub-Inspector was guilty. There is a prima facie case, i.e., at least for the transfer that is what exactly the point I am tryin to impress.

Sri N. Janardhau Reddy: — Definitely when he will be an hindrance to the Case he will be transferred.

L.A.Q. No. 334 postponed for further Supplementries.

re-Removal of sand Casted due to floods in Nellore District

Q. Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. What is the Case — Why?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. When?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. What is the reason — Why?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. When?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. What is the reason — Why?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. When?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. What is the reason — Why?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. When?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. What is the reason — Why?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. When?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. What is the reason — Why?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. When?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. What is the reason — Why?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. When?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. What is the reason — Why?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. When?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. What is the reason — Why?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. When?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. What is the reason — Why?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. When?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. What is the reason — Why?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. When?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. What is the reason — Why?

A. Janardhau Reddy: — Sub-Inspector had failed to transfer the Case — Why?

Q. When?
Point of Information:

re: Admissibility of the Adjournment Motion.

I am directed to state that as there will be discussion on the Demand relating to the Police Administration on 9-9-78, the notice of Adjournment Motion is therefore disallowed by the Hon'ble Speaker as it attracts Rule 65 of the Assembly Rules.

re: Admissibility of the adjournment motion.

Mr. Rameshwar Reddy (Rajya Sabha):—Sir, the debate has already gone on for six hours. The Speaker has already ruled on the adjournment motion. I do not know when the matter will come up for discussion. When will the Speaker present the final adjournment motion?

Mr. Reddy:—Sir, the adjournment motion is made at 12.25 o'clock. How can a minister continue to speak when the matter must be disposed at 12.25 o'clock?

The Speaker:—Mr. Reddy, you are talking about an issue which has already been ruled on by the Chair. You cannot bring up the same issue again.

Mr. Reddy:—I am not bringing up the same issue. I want to know when the matter will come up for discussion.

The Speaker:—That is not a point of information.

Mr. Reddy:—Sir, you have already ruled on the matter. I want to know when the matter will come up for discussion.

The Speaker:—Mr. Reddy, you are raising a new point of information. You cannot do that.

Mr. Reddy:—Sir, you have already ruled on the matter. I want to know when the matter will come up for discussion.

The Speaker:—That is not a point of information.
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Point of Information

re: Admissibility of the adjournment motion.

honble speaker: — My dear members, this is to inform you that the meeting is adjourned.

As per agenda, the debate on the adjournment motion will be taken up from 10:00 a.m. onwards.

Till then, the house adjourned for a short recess.

10-10 a.m.

honble speaker: — My dear members, this is to inform you that the meeting is adjourned.

As per agenda, the debate on the adjournment motion will be taken up from 10:00 a.m. onwards.

Till then, the house adjourned for a short recess.

10:00 a.m.

honble speaker: — My dear members, this is to inform you that the meeting is adjourned.

As per agenda, the debate on the adjournment motion will be taken up from 10:00 a.m. onwards.

Till then, the house adjourned for a short recess.

10:10 a.m.

honble speaker: — My dear members, this is to inform you that the meeting is adjourned.

As per agenda, the debate on the adjournment motion will be taken up from 10:00 a.m. onwards.

Till then, the house adjourned for a short recess.

10:10 a.m.

honble speaker: — My dear members, this is to inform you that the meeting is adjourned.

As per agenda, the debate on the adjournment motion will be taken up from 10:00 a.m. onwards.

Till then, the house adjourned for a short recess.

10:10 a.m.

honble speaker: — My dear members, this is to inform you that the meeting is adjourned.

As per agenda, the debate on the adjournment motion will be taken up from 10:00 a.m. onwards.

Till then, the house adjourned for a short recess.
Mr Speaker:—I am not discriminating. You are going on
talking something.

Mr Speaker:—There is absolutely no necessity for me to
defend. The Government can very well take care of itself.
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Point of Information:

re: Admissibility of the adjournment motion.

...
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re: Admissibility of the adjournment motion.

...
Sn M. Venkaiah Naidu:—what is this? He must withdraw it. He is levelling charges against the Leader of the Opposition. If he levels one charge, we can level one thousand charges. Nobody can stop me. I can tell you. Let him throw a challenge. we will face it. Whatever he spoke is not concerning with the adjournment motion. Under what rule he has spoken?

Mr. Speaker:—I request you all to end this.
Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Unless he is going to withdraw that I am not going to allow this House...

Mr. Speaker:—You are going too far.

Sri B. Machinder Rao (Secunderabad constmct) :—He has to withdraw.

(interruptions)

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—He must withdraw.

Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Venkaiah Naidu, you cannot dictate to me.

Sri M. Venkaiah Naidu:—Please give me an opportunity. I am not dictating. It cannot go unchallenged.

Mr. Speaker:—I appeal to you to resume your seat.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—The situation is this. We must clarify the stand of a party Sir. Sir, the Chair thought it fit to disallow the adjournment motion tabled by all the Opposition leaders. In that context, our leader rose with your permission and made certain submissions. In the course of making submissions, you also conceded that there are so many rights under the rules. But the conditions would demand a word of consultation with the opposition leaders in view of the importance of the motion tabled. This certainly does not amount to dictation of terms. On the contrary a Leader of the Opposition can state about the right of the Chair to do so many things. But he made certain submissions in view of the importance of the motion, in view of the urgent situation in Hyderabad city.

In that context, how best the Chair thinks the remarks of Mr. Shamala Rao to the effect that Mr. Lachanna has sought to dictate terms to the House, are relevant or correct. If the Chair does not think that remarks are irrelevant or not relevant or incorrect, then the Chair should expunge. That is exactly our demand. Our demand is not to allow the remarks of Mr. Shyamala Rao that Mr. Latchanna dictated terms to the House which would amount to contempt of the Chair. Therefore, we want that those remarks should be expunged. That is exactly the demand. We are excising over the matter because Mr. Shyamala Rao, through a clever twist of the matter by seeking to put the leader of the opposition in the wrong box. Mr. Latchanna never sought to dictate terms to the Chair.

Let us end that matter.
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(9) Mr. 
Mr. 
Mr. 
Mr. 
Mr. 
Mr. 

10:30 a.m.

(Adjournment)

(Adjournment)
Point of Information:

8th September, 1978.

re: Admissibility of the adjournment motion.

Everybody is standing and speaking without seeking my permission. I am not going to allow this. I am going to the next...

Sri M. Venkayya Naidu:—You have to expunge...

If there is any objectionable statement will certainly expunge.
Matters Under Rule 329

re (1) Engagement of Special Counsel by the State Government to appear before the Supreme Court in the reference pertaining to setting up of Special Courts.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, as the House knows, the President of India made a reference to the Supreme Court about the proposal for setting up of separate Courts for trying all those criminals who are found guilty by the Shah Commission. You know, Sir, the political parties tried to appear before the Supreme Court through their Advocates. But the Supreme Court did not admit their appearance. The Congress (I) Party also tried to make an appearance before the Supreme Court in the matter.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—Sir, it seems he is repeating the old story. What is the subject matter and what is he saying. If he wants to say anything, it would not be good. Let him not repeat the old stories.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: Because the old criminals are ruling the country.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—Everybody knows what the Janata Party is doing and who is ruling the country. We are far better than your Government.

Re: Engagement of Special Counsel by the State Government to appear before the Supreme Court in the reference pertaining to setting up of Special Courts.

(Interruptions)

Sri P. Govardhana Reddy: —Sir, I want to speak...

(Interruptions)

Smt. Roda Maistry: —Sir, point of order. Sir.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: —She is not the Member of this House. She cannot raise a point of order.

Sri N. Janardhana Reddy: —Point of order, Sir.

Sri M. Venkayya Naidu: He also cannot raise a point of order.

Sri N. Janardhana Reddy: Let the Chair give a ruling. I have no voting power. But I can raise a point of order.

Sri M. Venkayya Naidu: You cannot raise a point of order.


Sri M. Venkayya Naidu: You ask Sanjay Gandhi, He will be able to tell you more than Raj Narayan.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: You confine to the notice.

Mr. M. Venkayyanaidu: How does the Minister know what I am going to speak, Sir.

“Not matter, however important and urgent may be, shall be raised by any Member, without having given at least half an hour’s notice to the Speaker before the commencement of the sitting for the day and obtained his permission.”

He should not make it a political one. He Cannot politicalise the issue. This subject should not be politicalised.

Not more than two matters under 329 should be discussed in the House on one day.

Mr. Speaker: There is no point of order.
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Matters under rule 329
re: Engagement of Special Counsel by the State Government to appear before the Supreme Court in the reference pertaining to setting up of Special Courts.
Matters under rule 329: 8th September, 1978

Engagement of Special Counsel by the State Government to appear before the Supreme Court in the reference pertaining to setting up of Special Courts.
Matters under Rule 329:

re: Engagement of Special Counsel by the State Government to appear before the Supreme Court in the reference pertaining to setting up of Special Courts.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—The statement of the Chief Minister contains more objectionable features than the appearance of the advocate itself.
Matter under Rule 329:

8th September, 1978. 301

re: Engagement of special Counsel by the State Government to appear before the Supreme Court in the reference pertaining to setting up of Special Courts.

In the matter of a reference from the State Government regarding the engagement of special Counsel to appear before the Supreme Court in the reference pertaining to setting up of Special Courts.

The matter was heard at 11.00 a.m.

The issue under consideration was whether the State Government was entitled to engage special Counsel for the purpose of appearing before the Supreme Court in the reference pertaining to the setting up of Special Courts.

The decision was that the State Government was entitled to engage special Counsel for the purpose of appearing before the Supreme Court in the reference pertaining to the setting up of Special Courts.

(Signed) [Signature]

[Stamp]

[Date] 68-7
8th September, 1978.

Matter under Rule 329:

re: Law and order problem due to clash of two Trade Unions and closure of I.D.I. Chemical Factory, Kukatpally.

This is a fundamental right of the State Government. It is an obligation and a duty of the State Government and if we fail, we will be failing the people and not merely a party.

re: (2) Law and order problem prevailing due to clash of two rival trade unions and the closure of I.D.I. Chemical Factory, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

re: Law and order Problem due to clash of two Unions and closure of I. D. L. Chemical Factory, Kukatpalli.

Law and order Problem due to clash of two Unions and closure of I. D. L. Chemical Factory, Kukatpalli.
8th September, 1978.

Matter under Rule 329:

re: Creating obstacles by Land Lords and Officials for the tenants who have obtained patta rights in Pedadevapalli, Nalgonda.

re : 3. Creating obstacles by Land Lords and Officials for the tenants who have obtained patta rights in Pedadevapalli, Nalgonda.

re: Creating obstacles by Land Lords and Officials for the tenants who have obtained patta rights in Pedadevapalli.

Dear Sir,

I am writing to bring to your attention the obstacles created by the Land Lords and Officials for the tenants who have obtained patta rights in Pedadevapalli.

The tenants have been facing difficulty in obtaining full enjoyment of their rights due to the following reasons:

1. Delayed delivery of patta rights documents
2. Non-payment of fines imposed by the Land Lords
3. Non-cooperation of the Officials in providing necessary documents

The tenants have been trying to resolve these issues since 1970, but to no avail. The situation has worsened due to the recent increase in fines from Rs. 865,888 to Rs. 2,000.

We request your immediate intervention to resolve these issues and ensure that the tenants are able to enjoy their patta rights without any hindrances.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

7.8.1978

[Address]
8th September, 1978. Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Fire accident in Marlagunta, Nellore. District

This land cannot be given to anybody. How can it be given under Sec. 38 (a)? There is no case at all, therefore, request the Hon'ble Minister to instruct the Collector to cause a detailed enquiry. Then only action can be taken.

Calling Attention To Matters of Urgent Public Importance

reg: the fire accident in Marlagunta of Venkatagiri taluk in Nellore District.
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Fire accident in Maragunta, Nellore District.

(1) Sir, the incident occurred on 14.8.1978 at 11.00 a.m. near a place called Maragunta in Nellore District. A truck carrying oxygen was involved in an accident. 64 persons were killed and 10 more were injured. A family name Ennetha Satya Ramakrishna, 10 aged 105 years was declared dead. 2,18,460 were released as a result of the accident. On 18.9.1978 data was not available. The total quantum was 105.

On 21-9-1978, 200 persons were rescued, including 12 minors and 12 children. One person died in hospital. 21/2 persons were injured, 10 persons were released. 12 persons were released, 65 persons were rescued. On 18.9.1978, the incident occurred near Maragunta in Nellore District. The total quantum was 105. The family name was declared dead.

(2) This reply is with regard to an urgent matter. It is advisable to take immediate action. It is requested to act immediately. It is requested to act immediately.

(3) Sir, the reply is with regard to an urgent matter. It is advisable to take immediate action. It is requested to act immediately.

(4) Sir, the reply is with regard to an urgent matter. It is advisable to take immediate action. It is requested to act immediately.
308 8th September, 1978. Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Famine conditions in Anantapur District.

2. Reg.: The acute famine conditions in Anantapur District.

(1) M. K. N. (Secretary):—

The situation in Anantapur District is very critical. The food situation is extremely poor. The people are suffering greatly. The availability of food is very low. The prices of food items have increased manifold. The people are facing great difficulty in procuring even the minimum requirements of food.

(2) B. M. R. (Minister):—
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Famine conditions in Anantapur District.
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Calling attention to matters of urgent public Importance:

re: Famine conditions in Anantapur District.

8th September, 1978.

\textbf{calling attention to matters of urgent public importance:}

\textbf{re: Famine conditions in Anantapur District.}

[Document text in Telugu]
Calling attention to matters of urgent public Importance:
re: Famine conditions in Anantapur District.


Calling attention to matters of urgent public Importance:
re: Famine conditions in Anantapur District.

8th September, 1978. Calling attention to matters of urgent public Importance:

re: Need to increase seats in Industrial Training Institute, Chittoor,

re: (3) The need to increase seats in Industrial Training Institute at Chittoor and change of criterion for selection.

(1) Mr. D. Y. Reddy (Institute):

1. The need to increase seats in the Industrial Training Institute, Chittoor, to meet the increasing demand for training. The current capacity of the Institute is 122 seats, while the demand for training is estimated to be around 500 seats from various industries in the area. This is leading to a shortage of seats and a delay in the training of candidates.

2. The current criterion for selection to the Institute is based on the performance in the intermediate examination. However, the need to include other criteria such as work experience and skills related to the specific trades offered at the Institute.

3. The change in the criterion for selection will not only increase the capacity of the Institute but also ensure a more balanced and diverse intake of students. This will help in catering to the needs of various industries and contribute to the economic development of the region.

(Proposed candidate: Mr. D. Y. Reddy)
Calling attention to matters of urgent Public Importance:

re: Need to increase seats in Industrial Training Institute, Chittoor.

[Document content not legible due to image quality]
8th September, 1978. Calling attention to matters of urgent public Importance:
re: Mis-appropriation and loss of funds in A.P. Khadi Board.

(4) Misappropriation and loss of funds in Andhra Pradesh Khadi Board during 1976-78.
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance:

re: Misappropriation and loss of funds in A.P. Khadi Board.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1976-77</td>
<td>88.85</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>105.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977-78</td>
<td>120.89</td>
<td>87.16</td>
<td>208.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>159.74</td>
<td>44.08</td>
<td>208.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Sri V. Nageswara Rao:—Sir, on behalf of the Minister for Excise, I beg to lay on the Table a copy in each of the following notifications containing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost in Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31-3-1978</td>
<td>Notifications</td>
<td>144.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978-78</td>
<td>Notifications</td>
<td>38.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-3-1978</td>
<td>Notifications</td>
<td>150.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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for 1978-79.

Voting of Demands for Grants:

amendments to the Andhra Pradesh Distillery Rules, 1970 as required under Section 72 (4) of the Andhra Pradesh Excise Act, 1969:


Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Papers laid on the Table.

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT (BUDGET) FOR 1978-79.


Sri K V R S. Padmanabha Raju:—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 36,80,51,000 under Demand No XXVII Social Welfare."

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 14,39,54,000 under Demand No. XXVI Tribal Welfare."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motions moved.

Smt. Roda Maistry;—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 3,09,68,000 under Demand No. XXIX Women and Child Welfare.

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 31,60,000 under Demand No. XLIX Tourism."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motions moved.

Now. the Members may move their Cut motions.

DEMAND XXVII . SOCIAL WELFARE Rs 36,80,51,000

Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 36,80,51,000/- for Social Welfare by Rs. 100/-

for failure of the department to provide funds for completing the unfinished harijan colonies in the villages of Gantavanipalem, Perantalapalem Kasimkota and Ku.changi villages of Kasimkota Samithi, Anakapalli taluk, Visakhapatnam.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 36,80,51,000/- for Social Welfare by Rs. 100/-

for not acquiring sites for harijans in the villages of Nagulapalli Meduruparty villages of Anakapalli taluk, Visakhapatnam district for the past 10 years.

Sri P. Janarahana Reddy :—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs.36,80,51,100/- for Social Welfare by Rs.100/-
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To stress the need to provide intensive training programme to the harijans appearing for competitive examinations.

To reduce the allotment of Rs.36,80,51,000/- for Social Welfare by Rs.100/-

failure of the Government to provide drinking water facilities in the harijanwadas of the state.

To reduce the allotment of Rs.36,80,51,000/- for Social Welfare by Rs.100/-

to stress the need to provide financial assistance to the harijans to build houses on the sites assigned to them.

To reduce the allotment of Rs.36,80,51,000/- for Social Welfare by Rs.100/-

failure of the Government to provide financial assistance to the village artisans to improve their traditional occupations.

To reduce the allotment of Rs.36,80,51,000/- for Social Welfare by Rs.100/-

mismanagement and malpractices in Government Hostels to the detriment of the inmates.

Sri M. Omkar. ;—Sir, I beg to move

To reduce the allotment of Rs 36,80,51,000/- for Social Welfare by Rs.100/-

Since the Government have miserably failed in the matter of land acquisition case for harijans at Reballa village of Narsampet taluk, Warangal district where the land was taken and the harijan colony was constructed in 1972-73 but no compensation to the land has been paid so far.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 36,80,51,000/- for Social Welfare by Rs.100/-

Since the Government have failed to provide hostel facilities of Boarding and lodging to all poor and needy students of schools and colleges, and for not enhancing the amounts of scholarships and for not providing house-sites and money for house construction to all S. C., S T., B C. and homeless poor persons.
Sri C. Janga Reddy: —Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs.36,80,51,000/— for Social Welfare by Rs.100/-

Sri A. Vasudeva Rao: —Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs 36,80,51,000/— for Social Welfare by Rs.100/-

Sri C. Janga Reddy, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 36,80,51,000 for Social Welfare by Rs.100/-
Voting of Demands for Grants.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 36,80,51,000/- for Social Welfare by Rs. 100/-

because of the failure of the Government in providing only small amount to the welfare or other backward classes.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 36,80,51,000/- for Social Welfare by Rs. 100/-

for the failure of the Government in setting up of home for the aged and blind in every district head quarters.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 36,80,51,000/- for Social Welfare by Rs. 100/-

for the failure of the Government to provide necessary amount under legal aid to poor programme.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 36,80,51,000/- for Social Welfare by Rs. 100/-

because of the failure of the Government in providing old age pensions to all the eligible applicants.

Sri V. Sobhanadreeswara Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 36,80,51,000/- for Social Welfare by Rs. 100/-

for providing inadequate funds for allotment of house sites and construction of houses for scheduled castes, scheduled tribes and backward classes.
Dr. V. Sivaramakrishna Rao, Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 36,80,51,000/- for Social Welfare by Rs. 100/-

for not providing house sites to harijans of Gunlapalli (v) of Badwel taluk, Cuddapah district.

Demand—XXVIII Tribal Welfare Rs. 14,59,54,000/-

Sri P. Janardhana Reddy—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by Rs. 100/-

To criticise the Government for its inaction and inability to check misappropriation of funds of the Girijan Co-operative Corporation.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by Rs. 100/-

To impress upon the Government to develop the roads in tribal area.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by Rs. 100/-

To point out the need to start small industries for the benefit of the tribal people.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by Rs. 100/-

To criticise the failure of the Government in provide irrigation facilities in tribal areas.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by Rs. 100/-

Failure of the Government to implement the recommendations of the House Committee on Girijan Co-operative Corporation.

Sri M. Omkar—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by Rs. 100/-

As the Government have not allotted adequate funds for the uplift of the tribals and no protection is given from the exploitation of the landlords, traders and the corrupt officials.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,44,000/- for Tribal Welfare by Rs. 100/-

As the Government have miserably failed in providing 5 acres of wet or 10 acres of dry land for each tribal family by getting all the former beroon lands excluded from proposed reserved forest and by distributing the surplus land.

Sri Ch. Vittal Reddy, Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by Rs. 100/-

...
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,39,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by Rs 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,39,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by
Voting of Demands for Grants.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 14,59,54,000/- for Tribal Welfare by Rs. 100/-

DEMAND-XXIX WOMEN AND CHILD WELFARE Rs.3,09,68,000/-

Sri M. Omkar. —Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,09,68,000/- for Women and Child Welfare by Rs. 100/-

For not making suitable enactments enabling the women to have equal rights in property, equal wage rates, equal opportunities in the employment and adequate maternity facilities in the rural and urban areas.

DEMAND—XLIX TOURISM Rs.31,60,000/-

Sri C. Janga Reddy. —Sir, I beg to move:

re: Printing and publishing of Bus Time Tables for each Bus Depot.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 31,600/- for Tourism by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Deputy Speaker.—Cut motions moved

NON-OFFICIAL RESOLUTIONS

re:—Printing and publishing of Bus Time Table for each bus Depot

12-00 Noon
8th September, 1978.

Mr. Deputy Speaker.—Since the Minister has already promised to look into the matter, are you going to withdraw your Resolution?

Sri B. Machendra Rao.—Yes Sir. I am not pressing my Resolution.

Mr Deputy Speaker.—The Resolution is not pressed.

The question is:

"That leave be granted to withdraw the Non-Official Resolution which reads as follows;"
Non-Official Resolution. 8th September, 1978. 32?

re: Empowering the State Government to control the Central University at Hyderabad.

“That this House recommends to the Government to publish Bus Time Table for each Bus Depot covering all Buses plying within its jurisdiction, for each district for the buses from the district headquarter to Hyderabad, other district headquarters, and all Taluk headquarters, pilgrim and other important centres and for the State for the buses from Hyderabad to all district headquarters, pilgrim and other important places in the State.”

The Motion was adopted, and the Resolution was withdrawn.

re: (2) Empowering the State Government to control the Central University at Hyderabad.

Sri Paladugu Venkata Rao:—Sir, I beg to move: “This House recommends to the State Govt. to take up with the Central Govt. the question of empowering the State Govt. to exercise effective control over the academic and administrative affairs of the Central University established at Hyderabad under the Six “Point Formula.”

Mr. Dy. Speaker: Resolution moved.
328 8th September, 1978
Non-official Resolution:
re. Empowering the State Government to control the Central University at Hyderabad.

[Text not transcribed due to illegibility]
Non-Official Resolution · 8th September, 1978. 329
re: Empowering the State Government to control the Central University at Hyderabad.

...
Non-Official Resolution:

re: Empowering the State Government to control the Central University at Hyderabad.

12.20 p.m.

...
Non-Official Resolution

8th September, 1978.

re: Empowering the State Government to control the Central University at Hyderabad.
332 8th September, 1978.

Non-Official Resolution:
re: Empowering the State Government to control the Central University at Hyderabad.

Resolution:

Re: Empowering the State Government to control the Central University at Hyderabad.

Pending the approval of the resolution, the following amendment was made:

Amendment:

The word "and" was inserted after "control" in the resolution.

Signed:

[Signature]

Date:

8th September, 1978.

re: Recommending to the Central Government to take measures to Punish the Guilty Persons Identified by Shah Commission.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“This House recommends to the State Government to take up with the Central Government the question of empowering the State Government to exercise effective control over the academic and administrative affairs of the Central University established at Hyderabad under the Six Point Formula.”

The resolution was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Since Mr. A. Vasudeva Rao is not present, we will go to the next item on the Agenda.

re: Recommending to Central Government to Take Measures to Punish the Guilty Persons Identified by Shah Commission

Sri C. Janga Reddy:—I beg to move:

68—11
Non-Official Resolution
re: Recommending to the Central Government to take measures to punish the Guilty Persons Identified by Shah Commission.

"This House recommends to the Central Government to take strong and immediate measures to punish the guilty persons identified by the Shah Commission appointed by Government of India."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Resolution moved.

Sri C. Narayana Reddy:—He is levelling charges against a person who is not present in the House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—He is not levelling charges against any body. As the Resolution has been admitted, he may be allowed to speak.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—This is not against any individual. This is in general.
Non-official Resolution:

re: Recommending to the Central Government to take measures to Punish the Guilty Persons Identified by Shah Commission.

8th September, 1978.
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Non-official Resolution:

re: Recommending to the Central Government to take measures to Punish the Guilty Persons Identified by Shah Commission.

5.0. m. e. 10.00 a.m. at the AICTE, to discuss the matters arising out of the recommendations of the Shah Commission.

12.40 p.m.

Non-official Resolution:
8th September, 1978

re: Recommending to the Central Government to take measures to Punish the Guilty Persons Identified by Shah Commission

The Central Government is hereby recommended to take proper measures to punish the guilty persons identified by the Shah Commission.

[Further details and text about the recommendations and actions to be taken are provided here, but are not transcribed due to the nature of the document and the requirement to keep the response concise and relevant.]

Non-official Resolution:

re: Recommending to the Central Government to take measures to Punish the Guilty Persons Identified by Shah Commission.

12.50 p.m.
Non-official Resolution:
8th September, 1978.

Re: Recommending to the Central Government to take measures to Punish the Guilty Persons Identified by Shah Commission.
Non-official Resolution:

re: Recommending to the Central Government to take measures to Punish the Guilty Persons Identified by Shah Commission.
Non-Official Resolution:  8th September, 1978

re: Recommending to Central Government to take Measures to punish the guilty Persons Identified by Shah Commission

...
Non-official Resolution:

re. Recommending to the Central Government to take measures to Punish the Guilty Persons Identified by Shah Commission.
Non-Official Resolution  8th September, 1978:  343

Re Recommending the Central Govt. to take measures to punish the guilty persons identified by the Shah Commission.

This House recommends to the Central Government to take strong and immediate measures to punish the guilty persons identified by the Shaw Commission appointed by the Government of India.
Recommending the Central Govt. to
take measures to punish the guilty per-
sons identified by the Shah Commission.
Non-official Resolution  
8th September, 1978  
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re: Recommending the Central Govt. to take measures to punish the guilty persons identified by the Shah Commission.

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

1-20 p.m.
8th September, 1978.

Non-official Resolution:

re Recommending the Central Govt. to

take measures to punish the guilty persons identified by the Shah Commission.
Non-official Resolution

Re: Recommending to the Central Govt. to take measures to punish the guilty persons identified by the Shah Commission.

8th September, 1978
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Non-official Resolution: re Recommending to the Central Govt, to take measures to punish the guilty person identified by the Shah Commission.
Announcement:

8th September, 1978.

Re: Extension of time for the withdrawal of Nomination to the Financial Committees.

We must cut across the party limits because this is an issue concerning the entire State, concerning the entire Nation.

Announcement

Re:—Extension of time for the withdrawal of nominations to the financial committees.

(Then the House then adjourned to meet again at 8-30 A. M. on 9-9-1970.)