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ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Monday the 26th December, 1977.

The House met at Half-Past Eight of the Clock.

(Mr. Speaker In the Chair)

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Mr. Speaker:—Question No. 16 is Postponed.

MISAPPROPRIATION OF AMOUNT IN PRATTIPADU SAMITHI COOP. SOCIETY, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

17—

10104-Z Q.—Sri Nissankara Rao Venkata Ratnam (Guntur II):—Will the Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) The amount of misappropriation detected in Prathipadu Samithi Cooperative Society, Guntur District;

(b) when was it started and who are the office bearers of the said society;

(c) the total turnover of business transacted by the society from its inception;

(d) whether it is a fact that its President Sri Marne Nageswara Rao, was removed by the District Collector, on charges of misappropriation as Sarpanch of 'Vinjanampad' village, Guntur taluk.

(e) whether it is a fact that bogus receipts of membership were issued and the amount unaccounted;

(f) the action taken to recover the amount and whether any criminal action was taken ; and

(g) whether it is also a fact that the President applied for an industrial unit with this embezzled money?

The Minister for Cooperation (Sri B. Subbarao):—The answer is placed on the table of the House.

(a) Misappropriation of stocks to the value of Rs 2,83,810-50 was detected in December, 1976.

*An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the Member.
(b) It was started on 28-3-1974. The office bearers are
1. Sri N. Nageswara Rao, President.
2. Sri K. Venkateswarlu, Vice-President.
5. Sri K. Viswanadham.
7. Sri M. V. Subbaiah.
8. Sri K. Ramachandra Rao

(c) For 1974-75 Rs 4,33,545-81 and for 1975-76 Rs 3,54,524-35.

(d) Yes Sir.

(e) Yes Sir.

(f) Surcharge orders were issued against the Secretary and all Directors. The Collector passed orders for Prosecution. The matter is pending with the Police.

(g) He got a small scale industry registered in June, 1976.

1. 25. సాంఘారికం: ఈ 60 వ మందిరాల సంఖ్య ధరించే వాస్తవంగా ఏమిటి? వాస్తవంగా ఏమిటి? ఇది ఒక కొరకు ఉంది. ఆయుర్వేదం కంటే ఈ వాస్తవాన్ని అవసరం కలిగి ఉంది?

2. మామాసాహిత్యం: కొనసాగిన సంయోగానికి అధ్యాపకుడు నిర్ధారించింది కాదు?

3. ఏటుము (పారాధన): వాస్తవంగా ఉంది కాదు?

4. మామాసాహిత్యం: భాగిక మామాసాహిత్యం 20-9-1977 యుష్టిలో అధ్యాపకుడు నిర్ధారించింది కాదు?

5. మామాసాహిత్యం: భాగిక మామాసాహిత్యం 10-12-76 యుష్టిలో అధ్యాపకుడు నిర్ధారించింది కాదు?

6. మామాసాహిత్యం: 75-76వ సంచారం అధ్యాపకుడు నిర్ధారించింది కాదు?

7. ఏటుము (పారాధన): వాస్తవంగా ఉంది కాదు?
26th December, 1977. 

Oral Answers to Questions.

3.40 a.m.

(1) மலராசாம் (மலராசாம்) — என்ன முற்பாட்டு மார் கொண்டு கொண்டு கொண்டு. குண்டு சட்ட மறைமுதலர் கொண்டு கொண்டு கொண்டு. தேசியும் சட்ட மறைமுதலர் கொண்டு கொண்டு.

(2) இல்லார் (இல்லார்) — என்ன சுண்டம் சுண்டம் சுண்டம் சுண்டம் சுண்டம். சுண்டம் சுண்டம் சுண்டம் சுண்டம் சுண்டம்.

Mr. Speaker:—This question was received long time ago. Inspite of reminders' the reply was received only to-day. Inspite of reminders, the Minister did not request the Speaker to postpone the question or to give additional time. I have printed the question without an answer. I am sure the answer would not have been supplied for want of printing. It is a bad state of affair.

Sri A. Sriramulu:—Since you have been good enough to make an observation I would like to say that most of the questions are losing the topical value because the Government Departments and Ministers are not taking necessary interest to supply the answers. If a question does not elicit answer even after nine months, what should happen to the question hour, what exactly is the importance of the question hour. Something very drastic has to be taken. The Leader will have to take it seriously and your observation will have to be needed.

Filing of a Suit against the Hereditary Trustee of Vedadri Temple of Muktyala By Endowments Department.

*10094—S-Q.—Sarvasri A. Sriramulu and E. Ayyapu Reddy.— Will the Minister for Endowments be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Endowments Department filed a suit against the Hereditary Trustee of Vedadri Temple of Muktyala (Krishna District) for the recovery of temple Jewellery;

(b) if so, the details of jewellery and the estimated cost thereof, and

(c) the present stage of the Suit?

The Minister for Endowments (Sri L. Lakshman Das);—(a) Yes Sir.

(b) A statement is placed on the Table of the House.

(c) The suit was withdrawn.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Description of article.</th>
<th>No. of articles</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Market rate.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Gold Kireetam studded with stones.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Gold Vakshastalam studded with stones.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Gold Shanku Chakramulu studded with stones.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4,00,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Padamulu and knees studded with gold &amp; stones.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yoga Patta (from 1 to 6 combined Sarvangakavacham)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Gold Vakshastalam of Silver and Copper sheet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100 Tolas.</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Gold coated copper Shanku Chakram.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20 Tolas</td>
<td>400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Gold medals 59 Coins with green stones.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7½ Soverigns</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Copper sheet with Gold coating Vakshasthalam.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100 Tolas.</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Copper Kireetam with gold Malama copper sheets Kireetam.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15 Tolas.</td>
<td>400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Gold Kireetam with copper sheet with copper Kireetam</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 Tolas.</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Gold chains with five fold with stones.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10 Soverigns.</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Gold belts</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5 Soverigns</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Gold chain with 30 Kasulu with 31 Nanu Kollu</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8 Soverigns.</td>
<td>3,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Gold Kasulaperu with 30 Kasulu, 30 Nanu Kollu.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8 -do-</td>
<td>3,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Gold Kasulaperu with 16 Kasulu, 16 Nanu Kollu with silver thread.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5 -do-</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Gold Shanku Chakamu with copper sheet.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20 Tolas</td>
<td>400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Gold Molatadu with 32 coins.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5 Soverigns</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Gold Chandraram 5 folds.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10 -do-</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Gold Chandraram 3 folds.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6 -do-</td>
<td>2,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Gold Mutyela Pune.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Bombay Gold Bangles.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4 Navarasulu</td>
<td>1,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Gold belt (without screw)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 Navarasulu</td>
<td>400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Gold chain Poochila Jata (without screws)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/4 -do-</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Gold Chigini dollar chain</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 Navarasulu</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Gold Gazzela Pattada stitched with silk thread</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/2 Navarasulu</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Gold Bangles (Sannagajulu)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 Navarasulu</td>
<td>800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>One copper Chandra Vanka with gold articles.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 Navarasulu</td>
<td>800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>One copper Chandra Vanka with 13 gold articles.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 Navarasulu</td>
<td>400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SILVER ORNAMENTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Silver stomach sheet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20 Tolas.</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>Silver knee</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5 -do-</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>2 Feet connected Silver Swasthikam.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30 -do-</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>Gandarva Vigrahams.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 -do-</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>Silver hands with stone rings.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 -do-</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>Silver feet.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20 -do-</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>Silver hands.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10 -do-</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>Silver pair of Kadiyalu.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6 -do-</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>Silver Kadiyalu (with teega Allika)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5 -do-</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>Silver ginnellu.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30 do</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30 do</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>Silver Kumkuma Kayalu.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 do</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td>Silver gandapu ginne.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5 do</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.</td>
<td>Silver Mattu Chembu.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10 do</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.</td>
<td>Silver Chopusredevi stick.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40 do</td>
<td>400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.</td>
<td>Silver Sarvanga Kavacham and Makrathoranam.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>150 do</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.</td>
<td>Silver Mathy ginne.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10 do</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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4,42,230-00

Sri A. Sriramulu:—It is a very interesting case. This is how the Government is functioning. Here is a property valued at Rs. 4,42,230. All these jewels are belong to the temple and these jewels are being kept by the Hereditary Trustee and the Hereditary Trustee claims today that he is the owner of these jewels and he has been taking them only to decorate the deity at the time of the festival occasion.
Mr. Speaker:—They have filed a suit. The suit is withdrawn.

Sri A. Sriramulu:—Suit has been withdrawn on account of political pressure. A member of this House from the Congress Party is in charge of this particular temple.

Mr. Speaker:—Whether it is withdrawn on account of the jewels having been recovered. But question(c) states: the present stage of the suit. The direct answer is the suit was withdrawn.

Sri A. Sriramulu:—Why was it withdrawn?
Mr. Speaker:—It is a presumption.

Mr. Speaker:—The C.I.D. enquiry does not disclose any thing, about it.
Mr. Speaker :—The Advocate General has given his opinion.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy :—He gives his opinion on the basis of the records available.

Mr. Speaker :—The presumption is that the Advocate General has been supplied all the relevant material. Even if the material was not supplied, the Advocate General should have asked for it. The opinion of the Advocate General is there. But no doubt the opinion was sought at a later stage. When the Court demanded Rs. 20,000 as the Court fee as against Rs. 200/- already paid. At that time, when Rs. 20,000 was asked by the Court as Court fee, the opinion or advice of the Advocate General was sought and the presumption is that they have placed the relevant material that is necessary, before him to give his opinion. So, at this stage, no further purpose would be served if you question that.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy :—The Advocate General can appear before the house and make a statement. Let the Advocate General be directed to appear before this House so that we can know whether the relevant material has been placed before him or not to come to that opinion; and as to how he came to that conclusion would also be made known to us. It may not be fair to just blame the Advocate General or Government over the placing of the required material and
giving his opinion. Let the Advocate General appear tomorrow or day after tomorrow and make a statement. Let us know as to what was the material that was placed before him.

Mr. Speaker — It is not necessary that he should come over here. You can look into the opinion of the Advocate General. His opinion itself shows whether the material has been supplied or what material has been supplied, etc.

Sri V. Srikrishna:— Based on the material, the Advocate General might have given his opinion. E.g., the Advocate General might have seen the material placed before him. His opinion itself shows whether the material has been supplied or what material has been supplied, etc.

Mr. Speaker:— The opinion of the Advocate General may be made available.

Sri A. Srinivasa:— Point of order, Sir. The Minister says that the Advocate General is the highest authority on law. What is the correctness of the statement? Is he the highest authority of law?

Mr. Speaker:— That is as far as Government is concerned. The expression is like that. As far as Government is concerned, among the legal opinions, the Advocate General’s opinion is the highest.
Mr. Speaker:—That has already been explained. I do not think it require any further clarification. Originally Rs. 200 was paid as Court fee and at the latter stage when the Court demand Rs. 20,000/- as Court fee, then the matter was referred to the Advocate General and his opinion sought. That is what he had stated.

Sri. E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Everyday, Court fee is being paid in thousands of land acquisition cases. It is not a new thing. Let the Advocate General be summoned to explain the case in detail. I may be permitted to look into the file.

Mr. Speaker:—It would be supplied.

Sri J. Vengal Rao:—How can we show the file to him. If you want, we shall furnish the file to you. We do not send the file to him.

Mr Speaker:—Advocate General’s opinion may be given.

Sri A. Sreeramulu:—The matter is very simple. We need not make the issue complicated. The fact is that the Trustee of this Temple is the close relative of a Congress Member of the House.

Mr. Speaker:—I would not allow all these things. I have closed the matter.

Sri A. Sreeramulu:—There is only one submission, Sir. Let the Chief Minister issue instructions to the Department to place the entire file before the Speaker for his personal.

Sri J. Vengal Rao:—That I have already informed. I have no objection to place the file before the Speaker.

Demolition of Unauthorised Construction at Chilkalaguda

(a) Whether any decision has been taken with regard to demolition of an authorised construction at Chilkalaguda, Secunderabad constructed on Survey No. 832, Plot No. 8 without the permission of Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad; and

(b) whether the Corporation suggested to the Government in 1976 that the owner of this plot should be given any relaxation of rules or Bye-Laws?

The Minister for Municipal Administration (Sri Ch Subbarayudu):—(a) No. Sir.

(b) Yes Sir.

The concerned applicant can construct. Therefore, the concerned applicant can construct. Whether the Municipal corporation has issued any notice under section 440 of the Hyderabad Municipal corporation Act and if not, will it issue such notice directing the person to remove the building? Has the Minister aware of a Supreme Court decision in Corporation of Calcutta vs. Mal chand (VIR 1956) quoted by the Division Bench of Andhra Pradesh High Court with the Chief Justice Mr. Justice Obul Reddy and Mr. Justice J. Chandra Reddy for unauthorised construction required to be removed in case of defiance of any order of the Corporation?

In this case, the concerned person constructed not only the ground floor but also the first floor in defiance of the orders of the Corporation.

Sri Nallapareddy Srinivasul Reddy (Gudur):—Point of Order, Sir,
Mr. Speaker:—As far as the later point is concerned, the Lady Members have got certain privileges. Not Male Members. It has to been our privilege. It has been accepted since a very long time.

Sri C. V.K. Rao:—Is that the natural justice Sir that the Ladies should be given those privileges?

Mr. Speaker: Yes. It is natural justice.

Sm. J. Eswari Bai:—Sir, I have taken your permission.

Mr. Speaker:—Let us stop here. There is nothing to give my ruling.

9-10 a.m.

Mr. Speaker:—Even several questions are supplied to Members by several people and so many interests are there. There is nothing wrong.

Mr. Speaker:—I do not allow any further speeches.

Mr. Siva Prakasam:—I understand the Minister is ignorant and innocent. Being a Minister, he is not in a position to answer this correctly.

Mr. S. Jaipal Reddy:—He may be ignorant but he is not innocent.

Mr. Speaker:—I am going to the next Question.

Water Supply System in Gudur Town

20—*10094-C-Q.—Sri Nallapareddy Srinivasul Reddi:—Will the Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Chief Engineer (Public Health) has submitted to the State Government a scheme costing Rs. 16.76 lakhs to improve the existing water supply system in Gudur Town of Nellore District;

(b) if so, the sanction taken by the Government;

(c) when will the scheme be sanctioned and put to execution;

(d) whether the Chief Engineer, Public Health has included this scheme in the annual plan of 1977-78 under non-plan; and

(e) the details of the scheme?

Mr. Ch. Subbarayudu:—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) The Government have accorded administrative approval to the Water Supply Improvement Scheme at an estimated cost of Rs. 16.76 lakhs. The execution of the scheme will be taken up shortly.

(d) No, Sir. However, it is proposed to release a grant of Rs. 2.25 lakhs during the year 1977-78 towards countervailing grant.

(e) 5 Nos. of filter Points, 2 Nos. of 5 H.P. submersible Pumpsets, Construction of a sump of 10 minutes capacity 2 Nos. of 55 H.P. antiguel pumpsets and remodelling of distribution system etc. are completed under this scheme.
Non-Payment Of Salaries To The Teachers In Badvel Taluq

21—

*M-Q—Sarvasri M. Nagi Reddy and Vanka Satayanarana.— Will the Minister for Education and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that R.C.M. management in Badvel taluk, Cuddapah district has not paid salaries to the 40 teachers since December, 1978;

(b) whether it is also a fact that management grant also has not been paid to them; and

(c) if so, the action taken by the Government for the immediate payments;

The Minister for Education (Sri M.V. Krishna Rao):—(a) and (b) No Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

Opening Of Elementary School In Each Village

22—

*9765Q.—Sarvasri D. Sankaraiah (Kondapi) and M. Nagi Reddy.— Will the Minister for Education and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) the total number of villages including hamlets in the State that do not have any Elementary School;

(b) whether there is any scheme with the Government to open at least one Elementary School in each village; and

(c) if so, by which year all the villages will be provided with Elementary Schools;

Sri M.V. Krishna Rao:— (a) The 3rd All India Educational Survey pointed out that in A.P. State there are about 7,001 inhabitations with a population of 200 and above which do not have primary schools.

(b) Yes sir.

(c) It is proposed to open elementary schools in each school-less village i.e. about 2440 by 1978-79.
Mr. Speaker:—It is not possible.

Sri Vanka Satyanarayana:—Why not, Sir?

Mr. Speaker:—It is not possible.

Sri M.V. Omkar:—Will the Minister for Education and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) Whether the Government are aware of the fact that there is vast difference in giving promotions to B.A. B.Com. and B.Sc., students between the Osmania University and Kakatiya University i.e. 36% for Osmania University and 30% for Kakatiya University;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether it is a fact that the students of Arts and Science College at Warangal have been launching agitation for the affiliation of the Arts and Science College to the Kakatiya University; and

(d) the reasons for having such affiliation?

Sri M.V. Krishna Rao:—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Consequent on the establishment of the Kakatiya University the Academic Council of the University felt that a change in the scheme of promotion was necessary.
(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) The Government of Andhra Pradesh has since promulgated an Ordinance on 19-10-1977 to the effect that the Constituent Colleges (Day & Evening) and Law College of the Osmania University at Warangal shall become the University Colleges of the Kakatiya University.

9.20 a.m. Sri S. Jaipal Reddy (Kalvakurthy):— The Minister answered that the Academic Council of Kakatiya University decided that a change in the scheme of promotion was necessary. What are the reasons? 30% minimum required for promotion is a very unhealthy practice.

Mr. Speaker:—Now next question No. 24 since the Member is absent, I shall go to the next question.

Plying of Old Buses On Kurnool-Anantapur Route.

25—

*10.16 Q. Sri M. Seshanna (Dhone):—Will the Minister for Transport be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a small number of buses that too old buses are plying on the route of Kurnool to Anantapur;

(b) whether it is also a fact that most of the buses are not plying in time;

(c) whether there is any proposal before the Government to run new and more number of buses on the said route;

(d) if so, when; and

(e) if not, reasons therefor?

The Minister for Transport (Sri Ch. Hanumaiah) :

(a) No, Sir.

(b) The services are being operated as far as possible regularly and punctually.

(c) At present there is no such proposal.

(d) Does not arise now.

(e) The Corporation is increasing the number of buses on trips, in specified routes to cater to the needs of travelling public after studying the traffic trends.
(1) వదిలు.
(2) మాత్రమే యుద్ధం చేయడాన్ని, మనుషుల నుండి, ఇడు ఇందులో స్థాయిగా శక్తిసంహతా సందర్శించడాన్ని.
(3) ఈ పాత్ర అంటే అనే ప్రతిష్ఠా చేసింది.
(4) ఈ పాత్ర అంటే అనే ప్రతిష్ఠా చేసింది.

ఎమ్మై ఈ పాత్రాన్ని మాత్రమే యుద్ధం చేయడాన్ని, మనుషుల నుండి, ఇడు ఇందులో స్థాయిగా శక్తిసంహతా సందర్శించడాన్ని.

(5) ఈ పాత్ర అంటే అనే ప్రతిష్ఠా చేసింది.

ఎమ్మై ఈ పాత్రాన్ని మాత్రమే యుద్ధం చేయడాన్ని, మనుషుల నుండి, ఇడు ఇందులో స్థాయిగా శక్తిసంహతా సందర్శించడాన్ని.

(6) ఈ పాత్రాన్ని మాత్రమే యుద్ధం చేయడాన్ని, మనుషుల నుండి, ఇడు ఇందులో స్థాయిగా శక్తిసంహతా సందర్శించడాన్ని.

(7) ఈ పాత్రాన్ని మాత్రమే యుద్ధం చేయడాన్ని, మనుషుల నుండి, ఇడు ఇందులో స్థాయిగా శక్తిసంహతా సందర్శించడాన్ని.

(8) ఈ పాత్రాన్ని మాత్రమే యుద్ధం చేయడాన్ని, మనుషుల నుండి, ఇడు ఇందులో స్థాయిగా శక్తిసంహతా సందర్శించడాన్ని.

(9) ఈ పాత్రాన్ని మాత్రమే యుద్ధం చేయడాన్ని, మనుషుల నుండి, ఇడు ఇందులో స్థాయిగా శక్తిసంహతా సందర్శించడాన్ని.

(10) ఈ పాత్రాన్ని మాత్రమే యుద్ధం చేయడాన్ని, మనుషుల నుండి, ఇడు ఇందులో స్థాయిగా శక్తిసంహతా సందర్శించడాన్ని.
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Protected Water Supply Scheme To Kandi Village

26—

*10095 P.Q. :—Sri Peter Paul Chukka (Prathipadu) :—Will the Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government have agreed to supply protected water to Kandi Village, Sangareddy Taluk, Medak Dist. through Manjeera Water Supply Scheme in G.O. Ms. No. 434 P.W.D. dated 31-3-71 ;

(b) if so, the reasons for not implementing the said orders ;

(c) whether the Sarpanch of the said Panchayat has represented to the Executive Engineer (RCW) Nizamabad during November, 1972 and through subsequent reminders ;
Oral Answers to Questions.  
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(d) if so, the action taken on the same and whether the orders issued in the G.O. referred to the clause (a) of the question will be implemented; and

(e) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister for Panchayat Raj (Sri R. Narapa Reddy):—

(a) Yes, Sir, the Government sanctioned in that G.O. the supply of 5000 gallons per day to the Kandi Village from the Manjeera Water Supply Scheme subject to certain conditions.

(b) A P.W.S. Scheme at Kandi has been sanctioned much earlier than the issue of G.O. Ms. No. 434, P.W.D., dated 31-3-1971, with open well as source. By the time the G.O. referred to above was issued the well work was more or less half completed. It was later completed by Panchayati Raj Engineering Department, was handed over to the Panchayat towards the close of 1974.

(c) Yes, Sir, as reported by Chief Engineer (Public Health).

(d) No action was taken on these representations. The Chief Engineer has already initiated action for taking advantage of the G.O. He has also addressed the Public Health Department for sanction of additional release of water to the extent of 35000 gallons per day to meet the full requirements of a population of roughly 4000 in that village.

(e) Does not arise.

Sinking A Well for Harijans in Pachipenta Village

*10318 Q:—Sri P. Sanyasi Rao:—Will the Minister for Panchavati Rai be pleased to state:
(a) Whether sanction has been given for sinking of a well for Harijans in Pachipenta village of Pachipenta Panchayat Samithi in Srikakulam District in 1974;

(b) The estimated amount of the same, the amount of expenditure incurred so far and the name of the contractor;

(c) Whether any complaints have been received by the Government stating that a well has not been sunk and payment has been made without sinking the well; and

(d) the name of person who is responsible for this and the action taken against him?

Sri R. Narapa Reddy :

(a) No, Sir, no well is sanctioned in 1974. But a drinking water well was sanctioned by the District Collector for Harijanwada of Pachipenta village during the year 1972-73 under Drought Relief Programme.

(b) The estimated amount of the well is Rs. 8,000/- and the amount of expenditure is Rs. 5890/-. The name of the contractor is Sri P. Satyanarayana.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is, "whether any complaints have been received by the Government stating that a well has not been sunk and payment has been made without sinking the well?" The answer is, "No complaints have been received. Whether the well is in existence or not, on that there is no question and there is no answer.

Government Advertisements to Indian Herald etc. Newspapers

10069-A-Q:—Sarvasri Syed Hasan, E. Ayyapu Reddy, J. Damodara Rao, and Smt. D. Indira:—Will the Minister for Commercial Taxes and Information be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that all the dailies, such as the Indian Herald, Skyline and Eenadu were given Government advertisements from the very first day of their publication;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the newspapers, Khoon Naab-Naveed-e-Decoon, Munsiff, Rahnuma-e-Decoon etc. were denied the advertisements till they complete six months period; and

(c) if so, the reasons for such discrimination between one newspaper and the other?

The Minister for Information (Sri M. Manik Rao):—

(a) In the case of the dailies, Indian Herald, Skyline and Eenadu advertisements were given before the completion of six
months period of their standing and not from the very first day of their publication.

(b) Khoon Naab which was a weekly has been converted into a daily from 11-3-77 and advertisements were given to the above daily from 27-10-77. ‘Naveed-e-Deccan’ has been included in the media list after its completion of six months standing. Government approved the proposal for issue of advertisements to ‘Munisif’ on 22-12-77. ‘Rahnuma-e-Deccan’ is a long standing daily hence it was already included in the media list.

(c) After 1974 Government have been following uniform policy of issuing advertisements for such of those dailies which have a standing of six months and also a minimum circulation of 500 copies. The case of each paper was considered on merits. No relaxation was made to any paper subsequent to 1974, though similar requests have been received from other language papers.

Sri Syed Hasan:—The Minister has admitted that advertisements were given to English News Papers because they have completed 6 months regular publication. But you have shown some discrimination. There are other newspapers who have completed 6 months publication and are not receiving advertisements.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—The Indian Herald started its publication from 1-9-1971, Skyline started its publication from 17-8-1974, and Eenadu started its publication from 19-8-1974. We are following a system in giving advertisements to Newspapers. But the other paper i.e., Khoon Naab, has not completed its 6 months regular publication and we have not included in the list. After its completion, it will also be given.

Sri Syed Hasan:—Eenadu started its publication in 1976, but it was given advertisements.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—Because it started its publication in Vizag from 19-8-1974 advertisements were given. Moreover it is the first Telugu Newspaper publishing from Hyderabad, Government have decided to give them advertisements.

Sri Syed Hasan:—What is the policy of the Congress Party? Why is the discrimination between the Urdu and Telugu papers. Urdu Newspapers are more useful and assistance to the Government. Would the Minister now atleast give assurance that he will not show any discrimination between Urdu and Telugu Newspapers in future?

Sri M. Manik Rao:—For me, between Urdu and Telugu Newspapers, there is no discrimination. I assure the Hon’ble Member, in future there will no such discrimination. We have given some advertisements to the paper which the Hon’ble Member has brought to us. We have decided to give advertisements to all the papers which have completed all the formalities.
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Is it not true that Eenadu paper was inaugurated by the Chief Minister even before the U. D. A. (Urban Development Authority) had given its clearance for setting up Eenadu Paper in that area? It shows the special patronage on the paper.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—It is not correct.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Which is not correct? The Minister has not followed my question. Does he mean that the Chief Minister did not inaugurate Eenadu Paper?

Sri M. Manik Rao:—The Chief Minister has inaugurated the paper but what you said later is not correct.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—The point is, is it true that Eenadu Paper had clearance from the Urban Development Authority before it was inaugurated by the Chief Minister? Is the Minister saying that it had the clearance from Urban Development Authority before its inauguration? Or my statement is not correct? Which is not correct?

Sri M. Manik Rao:—The Chief Minister has inaugurated. The rest of your statement is not correct. If you put a separate question, I will answer.

Daily and Weekly News Papers Published From Hyderabad

29—

*10099 - B—Q. — Smt. J. Eshwari Bai:—Will the Minister for Commercial Taxes and Information be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Daily and Weekly newspapers (including languages) published from Hyderabad city, their individual circulation, and the rate approved for each of them by the Government;

(b) The number of working Journalists in each of these newspapers or Journals; and

(c) The number of them provided accommodation by the Government?

Sri. M. Manik Rao:—(a) Statement is laid on the Table of the House.

(b) the number of working Journalists in each of the newspapers or Journals of Hyderabad city are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the Newspaper</th>
<th>Number of fulltime working Journalists Employed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Deccan Chronicle, Hyderabad</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Daily News, Hyderabad</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Indian Express, Hyderabad</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Indian Herald, Hyderabad</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Skyline, Hyderabad</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

160—4
Telugu
(1) Andhra Prabha, Hyderabad. 22
(2) Andhra Patrika, Hyderabad. 16
(3) Andhra Bhoomi, Secunderabad. 30
(4) Eenadu, Hyderabad. 48

Urdu
(1) Siasat, Hyderabad. 12
(2) Angaray, Hyderabad. 5
(3) Miiap, Hyderabad (both Hindi and Urdu). 12
(4) Rahnuma-e-Deccan, Hyderabad. 9
(5) Cine-Herald Weekly. 9

Note:—In the case of the remaining Newspapers, the position is as follows:

Under the provisions of the “Press and Registration of Book Act” every Publisher has to obtain permission from the Registrar. After the permission is granted, the Registrar’s office does not guarantee about the existence of the establishment. The Labour Enforcement officers during their inspection have found that most of the establishments have no offices nor do they exist or their addresses traceable. Some establishments are reported to have closed down their publications ceased. Most of the publishers are themselves writing and editing their newspapers and getting them printed in some other printing presses. They do not employ any Working Journalist.

(c) No accommodation has been provided by the Government to the Working Journalists. However in 1967 Government had allotted land in Banjara hills area for construction of houses to the Journalists cooperative Housing Society at concessional rate.

STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

LIST OF NEWSPAPERS PUBLISHED FROM HYDERABAD AND SECUNDERABAD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dailies</th>
<th>Circulation</th>
<th>Rate per single column Centimetre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGLISH:</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decan Chronicle, Secunderabad.</td>
<td>38,168 (A)</td>
<td>7.00 + 30% Surcharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily News, Hyderabad:</td>
<td>5,000 (B)</td>
<td>5.00 -do-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Indian Express, Vijayawada, Madras, Bangalore, Madurai and Cochin.*</td>
<td>2,12,630 (A)</td>
<td>21.00 +30% Surcharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Express, Hyderabad</td>
<td>27,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Indian Herald, Hyderabad</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>5.00 -do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Skyline, Hyderabad</td>
<td>3,000 (B)</td>
<td>5.00 -do-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TELUGU:**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Andhra Prabha, Vijayawada, Bangalore; Andhra Prabha, Hyderabad</td>
<td>@95,055 (A)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Andhra Patrika, Vijayawada, Hyderabad</td>
<td>25,000 (B)</td>
<td>8.25 -do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Andhra Bhoomi, Secunderabad.</td>
<td>40,206 (A)</td>
<td>8.00 including Surcharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Eenadu, Vizag and Hyderabad.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Andhra Janata, Hyderabad.</td>
<td>40,339 (A)</td>
<td>6.00 -do-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**URDU:**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Siasat, Hyderabad.</td>
<td>10,214 (A)</td>
<td>4.00 -do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Angaray, Hyderabad.</td>
<td>1,500 (B)</td>
<td>4.00 -do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Milap, Hyderabad.</td>
<td>8,000 (B)</td>
<td>4.00 -do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rahnamum-e-Deccan, Hyderabad.</td>
<td>1,000 (B)</td>
<td>4.00 -do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Naveed-e-Deccan, Hyderabad.</td>
<td>13,528 (B)</td>
<td>4.00 -do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Rahnuma-e-Millat, Hyderabad.</td>
<td>3,200 (B)</td>
<td>3.60 -do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Huq Baath, Hyderabad.</td>
<td>1,900 (B)</td>
<td>4.00 -do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The Khoon Naab.</td>
<td>1,500 (B)</td>
<td>4.00 -do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Munsil.</td>
<td>5,790 (B)</td>
<td>4.00 -do-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HINDI**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Milap, Hyderabad</td>
<td>6,000 (B)</td>
<td>4.00 -do-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) The circulation figure of the combined south editions of Indian Express has reportedly gone down by 7,000 copies consequent on the publication of Hyderabad edition.

(Ø) The circulation figure of the combined editions of Andhra Prabha has reportedly gone down by 10,000 copies consequent on the publication of Hyderabad edition.
DAILIES – LANGUAGE WISE BREAKUP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Dailies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Telugu</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Urdu</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Hindi</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LIST OF WEEKLIES PUBLISHED FROM HYDERABAD AND SECUNDERABAD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Circulation</th>
<th>Rate per single column centimetre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>Venditera</td>
<td>6000 (B)</td>
<td>4.50 + 30% Surcharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>Cine Herald</td>
<td>11,500 (B)</td>
<td>4.50 -do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>Sitara</td>
<td>35,000 (B)</td>
<td>4.50 -do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>Telugu Bhoomi</td>
<td>1,900 (B)</td>
<td>2.50 -do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>Anti-corruption</td>
<td>4,089 (B)</td>
<td>3.00 -do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>Leader</td>
<td>1,500 (B)</td>
<td>3.00 -do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>Nizam Gazette</td>
<td>1,389 (C)</td>
<td>2.00 +32½% surcharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>Panch Sheel</td>
<td>600 (B)</td>
<td>2.00 -do-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

THE FOLLOWING PERIODICALS RATE IS Rs. 2 + 30% SURCHARGE.

URDU – WEEKLIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Shafeena-e-Hayat</td>
<td>500 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Pasahat</td>
<td>500 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Jamheeri Iqddam</td>
<td>500 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Shamay-e-Waqt</td>
<td>500 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Bharati</td>
<td>600 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Speaker</td>
<td>500 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Oriental Graduate</td>
<td>700 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Andhra Panch</td>
<td>600 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Awami Eqtedar</td>
<td>700 (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Barkha</td>
<td>1,800 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Crime &amp; Corruption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporter</td>
<td>600 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Golconda</td>
<td>800 (C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Hamdum</td>
<td>500 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Mutchkunda Times</td>
<td>1,450 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Pravada</td>
<td>600 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Rahnuma-e-Waqt</td>
<td>1,000 (D)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Syedul Akhabar</td>
<td>500 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Sunshine</td>
<td>900 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Sahar Bayan</td>
<td>800 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Nazakat</td>
<td>650 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Urdu Gazette</td>
<td>810 (D)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Naya Adam</td>
<td>1,500 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Aryaveer</td>
<td>1,200 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Al-Hafeez</td>
<td>900 (C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Press Wing</td>
<td>700 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Chirage-e-Dill</td>
<td>700 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Voice of Hyderabad</td>
<td>1,000 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Hifal</td>
<td>600 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Chancellor</td>
<td>800 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Sarad Garam</td>
<td>900 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Nayedhare</td>
<td>700 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Aftab-e-Hind</td>
<td>700 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Banga-e-Shar</td>
<td>500 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Barg-e A-wara</td>
<td>1980 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Bong-e-Dira</td>
<td>1600 (C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Chirage-e-Deccan</td>
<td>650 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Deccan Views</td>
<td>600 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Gulzar</td>
<td>500 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. Insami Samaj</td>
<td>1500 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. Jafakash</td>
<td>1800 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41. Mataleba</td>
<td>1000 (C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. Naya Savera</td>
<td>1500 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43. President</td>
<td>700 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44. Iqdam</td>
<td>1700 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45. Rahnuma-e-Telagana</td>
<td>1500 (C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46. Sias-Eqtedur</td>
<td>1406 (E)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47. Sahakar</td>
<td>550 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48. Saiyas</td>
<td>500 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49. Sanat</td>
<td>1900 (D)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50. Times of Hyderabad</td>
<td>1275 (D)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51. Hyderabad Gazette</td>
<td>600 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52. Siasat-e-Hind</td>
<td>650 (B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Weeklies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Taheek-e-Anti-corruption</td>
<td>800 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>World News</td>
<td>500 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Zarafshan</td>
<td>600 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Deccan Force</td>
<td>1160 (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Light of Hyderabad</td>
<td>2100 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>One World</td>
<td>500 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Nide-e-Andhra</td>
<td>1200 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Lahutarang</td>
<td>500 (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Skylight</td>
<td>800 (B)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TELUGU:**
1. Navodayam                  | 2000 (B) |
2. Chukkani                   | 2000 (B) |
3. Cinejanata                 | 6000 (B) |
4. Praja Saradhi              | 1642 (D) |
5. Bharata Mitram            | 1500 (B) |
6. Kamendo                   | 2000 (B) |
7. Prajatantra               | 10538 (B) |

**ENGLISH:**
1. Current Times             | 2000 (B) |
2. State Adviser             | 7850 (C) |
3. Confidence                | 700 (B)  |
4. Sulkha                    | 1000 (B) |
5. Businessman               | 3000 (B) |
6. Pledge                    | 3459 (B) |
7. New era                   | 1600 (B) |

**HINDI:**
1. Hyderabad Samachar       | 923 (C)  |
2. Ajanta                   | 2713 (B) |
3. Rashtra Nayak            | 1500 (B) |

**ABSTRACT**

**WEEKLIES — LANGUAGE WISE BREAKUP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.NO.</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Weeklies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Telugu</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Urdu</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Hindi</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 86

**Note:** (A) Figure taken from the Audit Bureau of Circulation period from 1st January, to June 1976, according to Audit Bureau of Circulation Certificates.

(B) As per the reports received from the Police Commissioner, Hyderabad from time to time.

(C) As per the 18th Annual Reports of the Registrar Newspapers for India (PRESS IN INDIA, 1974).

(D) As per the office records.
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As per the reports received from the Police Commissioner, Hyderabad from time to time.

As per the 18th Annual Reports of the Registrar Newspapers for India (PRESS IN INDIA, 1974).

As per the office records.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—The Government had, in 1967 allotted land in Banjara hills area for constructing of houses to the Journalists Co-operative Housing Society at concessional rate.

Daily, Weekly and Monthly Papers Published in Andhra Pradesh

30—

*Sri G. Suryanarayana and Smt. J. Eswari Bai:—Will the Minister for Commercial Taxes and Information be pleased to state:

(a) the number of dailies, weekly papers and monthly papers being published in Andhra Pradesh;

(b) the amount of expenditure incurred by the Government towards Advertisements given to various dailies since 1970 till date and

(c) the Districtwise number of Newspapers being published?

Sri M. Manik Rao:—(a) The number of dailies etc., published in Andhra Pradesh.
Oral Answers to Questions.

**Dailies** .. 34
**Weekly** .. 205
**Monthly** .. 209
**Other Fortnightlies Triweeklylies, Annual etc.** .. 120

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount of expenditure incurred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>Rs. 9,58,308/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>Rs. 14,69,132/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-73</td>
<td>Rs. 11,76,096/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973-74</td>
<td>Rs. 9,88,828/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-75</td>
<td>Rs. 14,69,644/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975-76</td>
<td>Rs. 17,10,505/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976-77</td>
<td>Rs. 22,29,087/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977-78</td>
<td>Rs. 7,30,174/-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

upto June, 77.

These figures do not include the expenditure incurred by other Departments who issue advertisements directly like P.W.D. project Irrigation and Power, Public Service Commission, Small Savings etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of District</th>
<th>Total Newspapers and Periodicals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Anantapur</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Cuddapah</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Guntur</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Hyderabad</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Khammam</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Mahaboobnagar</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Medak</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Nalgonda</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Prakasam</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Warangal</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total .. 668
Sri M. Manik Rao:—After completion of 3 months regular publication and their circulations good, we are giving advertisements but we are not giving any financial aid.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—The matter is with the Minister for Labour. He is looking it. About the second part of the Hon'ble Members question, I have not receive any representation from anybody. If the Hon. Member brings this to my notice, I will have a talk with him.

L. A. Q. POSTPONED FROM 19-7-1977 TO 26-12-1977
Publishing of "Telugu Vcdugu" by the State Panchayati Raj Parishad

322—

*10085—Q.—Sri Chekuri KaSaiah:—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that one lakh of rupees has been spent for a book entitled "Telugu Vcdugu" published by State Panchayati Raj Parishad in 1976;
(b) the purpose for which this work was published;
(c) whether they obtained prior permission from the Government to spend such a huge amount;
(d) the source from which these funds were obtained;
(e) the amount earned by selling the printed copies of this book; and
(f) the number of copies of the book that were given forcibly to the Panchayathi Raj Institutions?

Sri R. Narapa Reddy:—(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) The State Chamber of Panchayathi Raj is required to supply useful literature worth Rs. 5/- to all Zilla Parishads, Panchayat Samithis and Gram Panchayats every year free of cost.
(c) No, Sir. The Andhra Pradesh State Chamber of Panchayatiraj has power to administer its funds, sanction the annual budget and review the expenditure, as per its bye-laws (VI) (vi).
(d) Contributions realised from Gram Panchayats annually at Rs. 5/-.
(e) A sum of Rs. 7,880/- has been realised so far.
(f) Each Panchayatiraj Institution, including Gram Panchayat is supplied one copy of the book free of cost.
Sri S. Jipal Reddy:—This is a scandalous publication. This publication devoted entirely to highlight the personality of our Chief Minister Mr. Vengal Rao. I would like to ask the Minister as to whether the State Chamber of Panchayat Raj celebrated its career for having liquidating the Panchayat Raj in Andhra pradesh?

Sri A. Sriramulu:—Sir, is this a commemorative number for the liquidation of Panchayat Raj institutions, because we find the Panchayat Raj Institutions have been liquidated.

Mr. Speaker:—It is a question relating to the future; he will not be able to answer.
Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—The question I had put remained unanswered. Was this publication by way of commemoration of Mr. Vengalrao's career and a tribute to his glorious act of having liquidated the Panchayat Raj?

Mr. Speaker:—Very good; it does not arise.

Sri Ch. Kasaiah:—There is a fraud in this affair.

Mr. Speaker:—You put a separate question. You have not alleged fraud in your question.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Sir, on a point of order. I would like the Speaker to define what a separate question is.

Mr. Speaker:—I need not define. I have said what I wanted to. I may be right or wrong. You may be wise.

Attending of Special Officer of Pachipenta Panchayat Samithi to the Salur Samithi Sarpanches Meeting.

380—
*10049 Q.—Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu (Parvathipuram):—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) Whether Sri R. P. Agarwal, Project Officer, Girijan Development Agency, Srikakulam who is posted as a Special Officer of Pachipenta panchayat Samithi and Salur Panchayat Samithi went late to attend the Salur Samithi Sarpanches meeting, and conducted himself insultingly with them. When Sarpanchas presented his late coming;

(b) whether the Tribal Sarpanches of Pachipenta Block consequently boycotted the meeting of Sarpanches called by him;

(c) whether representations to that effect were made to the Minister for Panchayat Raj in this regard; and

(d) the action taken by the Government against the Officer, besides displacing him as the Special Officer for the Samithis?

Sri R. Narapa Reddy:—(a) Yes Sir. It is a fact that Sri R.P. Agarwal, Project Officer, Girijan Development Agency, Srikakulam and Special Officer of Panchayat Samithis Panchipenta and Salur has attended the meeting of Salur Panchayat Samathi late. But it is only half-an hour and he expressed his deep regrets for his late coming and explained the reasons for the delay in attending the meeting. It is not a fact that he behaved insultingly towards any Sarpanch.

(b) No. Sir.

(c) Yes Sir. A representation had been made by Sri J. Mutyalu, Hon'ble Member of this House.
(d) Government have taken a policy decision to appoint District Officers of Development Departments as Special Officers of Panchayat Samithis so as to reduce the work load on the Revenue Divisional Officers Sub-Collectors. As it was felt that the project Officer cannot handle 4 Panchayat Samithis viz. Pachipenta, Salur, Bhadragiri and Seetampet and in Pursuance of the Policy decision of the Government, the Live Stock Officer, Bobbili and the District Statistical Officers Srikakulam were appointed as Special officers of Pachipenta and Salur Samithis respectively. The Project officer, Girijan Development Agency, Srikakulam continues to be the Special Officer of the remaining two Panchayat Samithis viz. Bhadragiri and Seetampet.

As regards this particular incident Sri Agarwal has, however, been advised by the Collector, Srikakulam not to give room for such complaints in future. Government considered that no further action need be pursued in the matter.

Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu:—Simply because they are tribals, he cannot insult them. This man went late and he has insulted them. Even if he is a big officer he is liable for punishment. What punishment is meted out to him.

Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu:—Not only the Sarpanchs but the hon. Member of the House representing Salur had also made this complaint. What is the meaning of the Collector saying that he had simply warned. Was an enquiry held into the matter from the Sarpanchs or the M.L.As. A direct answer is not given.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Hunger Strike by the Teachers of Bapiraju Dharma Samstha Colleges

S.N.Q.No. 10484-(M) Sri C.V.K. Rao (Kakinada):—Will the Minister for Education and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the teachers of Bapiraju Dharma Samstha Colleges are on hunger strike since December, 4th 1977;
Short Notice Questions and Answers. 26th December, 1977.

(b) if so, what are their demands and whether the Minister intervened and settled the dispute;

c) whether the salaries of the teachers were paid;

d) if so when and for how many;

e) whether the Government appointed a Special Officer to be in charge of the schools of the said Dharma Samstha; and

(f) if so, the nature of duties and the period for which the Special Officer is posted?
130 26th December, 1977. Short notice Questions and Answers.

This is a question which is in 6 clauses and the Minister has to answer each clause there are two distinct clauses and for all the clauses put together, the Minister has given one and half sentence answer. Is this the procedure or is it the cyclonic procedure that we are adopting for answering questions. There are two specific points on which an answer is due—whether the Government appointed a Special Officer and if so the nature of duties and the period for which the Special Officer is posted. We did not get an answer.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—He has given an answer. But poor man, he is tied.
Admissions in the B. Ed. College, Vidyanagar —

30-B—

S. N. Q. NO. 10484-Q.—Sri Nallapreddy Sreenivasul Reddi;—

Will the Minister for Education and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether the State Government have bifurcated the Government Training (B.Ed.) College at Nellore and gave away one hundred seats for opening a new private B.Ed College at Vidyanagar (Vakadu) this year;

(b) whether the Secretary to the Government, Education Department has received any complaints from M.E.A. Gundurand
others this year regarding the admissions made in the newly opened private B. Ed. College at Vidyanagar (Vakadu);

(c) if so, the nature of allegations; and

(d) the action taken in this regard?

Sri. M. V. Krishna Rao:—(a) No Sir.

(b) & (c) Yes, sir. A complaint dated 30-10-1977 was received from Sri Nallapareddy Sreenivasulu Reddy, M.L.A., alleging corruption and other irregularities such as, not following the merit and reservations to be made to the S.Cs. S.Ts. etc. as per rules in the matter of admissions to B.Ed. course in the newly started B.Ed. College at Vidyanagar (Vakadu) in Nellore District.

(d) The complaint has been referred to the Director of Higher Education for enquiry and his report is awaited.
Misappropriation of Funds by the Sarpanch of Koppuravur Gram Panchayat

S.N.Q. No. 10484-P.—Sarvasri V. Sri Krishna, M. Nagi Reddy and Vanka Satyanarayana:— Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Sarpanch of Koppuravur, Gram Panchayat Guntur Tq. and District had been removed from the office of the Sarpanch for misappropriating huge amounts of the panchayat;

(b) whether it is a fact that he has committed grave irregularities such as tampering of records;

(c) whether it is a fact that the Minister for Panchayati Raj gave an assurance on the Floor of the House in the last Assembly sitting that the Sarpanch will be removed from office;

(d) whether it is also a fact that the Government has granted stay on the removal orders of the Collector, Guntur; and

(e) if so, the reasons therefor?

Sri R. Narapa Reddy:— (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Yes Sir.

(c) The former Minister for Panchayati Raj while answering L.A.Q. No. 7739-N Starred on 21-6-1977 informed the House that the Collector, Guntur initiated action for the removal of the Sarpanch.

(d) Yes Sir.

(e) The Sarpanch has preferred an appeal to the Government. Pending detailed examination of the appeal, under the powers vested
in Sub-Section (4) of Section 50 of the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats Act, 1964 orders were issued by Government postponing the date of removal of the Sarpanch. The appeal petition is under Examination.

"Apprehending with the mistake and repetition of the same works, pages 49 to 56 were removed from the minutes Books and new pages were inserted into it. While removing some pages in the Minutes Book, some other Resolutions on pages 112 and 113 were got inserted for the meeting held on 26-10-1968 with the Resolution 18-A. The manipulated resolution contains the proposals for 6 road works. At the same time another Resolution 20-A was also inserted in the Minutes of the meeting held on 30-11-1968 at pages 114 and 115 proposing two other road works. In order to show administrative sanction two more Resolutions 11-A and 11-B were also inserted in the Minutes Book of the meeting held on 14-5-1969 at pages 140 and 141."

"If it is assumed that the works were actually completed by the date of measurements, the entire cost of the works would have been debited in lumpsum. But in this case piecemeal payments were made to long after all the works were check measured by tampering the records in 1971. The whole transaction is fictitious."

"The perusal of the dairy of Sri V. Devara, Ex-Engineering Supervisor, P.S., Prathipadu, reveals that he did not visit Koppurvar village on 6-6-1969, whereas the measurements were recorded on 6.6.1969. It is clear from the above facts that the original M. Books in which measurements were recorded in respect of the work in question and produced to the Auditor at the time of the Audit was replaced by the Sarpanch with a fresh and fabricated M. Book with the connivance of the Engineering Supervisor.

Mr. Speaker:—Serious charges are there. The Collector has said that they are proved.

Sri V. Srikrishna :—Also action was taken. Why should there be a second enquiry when the Collector was asked to enquire and the Minister also promised that he will take immediate action after the receipt of the report by him.

Mr. Speaker :—An appeal is provided under the Act. Therefore he has appealed.

Sri V. Srikrishna :—Whether the report of the Collector has been sent some time back to the Government and when was the report sent to the Government by the Collector?
Sri V. Srikrishna:—I assure you that the Collector has sent the report some fortnight back.

Or even more earlier reiterating his previous allegations and reiterating his own original stand.

Sri V. Srikrishna:—I request you to initiate action against officers who reported wrong Bara^aja on such an important issue. —

I am also to request you to initiate action against officers who reported wrong particulars to the Assembly on such an important issue:—

I am also to request you to initiate action against officers who reported wrong particulars to the Assembly on such an important issue. —

I am also to request you to initiate action against officers who reported wrong particulars to the Assembly on such an important issue. —

I am also to request you to initiate action against officers who reported wrong particulars to the Assembly on such an important issue. —
Investigation also was finalised with the check measurement and passing of the resolutions completely false and have gathered clinching evidence from the above accused. The members of the committee have gathered evidence from the accused and the resolution was proved false. The investigation was completed and the resolution was proved false and we have gathered clinching evidence from the accused.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—it is quite clear that there has been a very grave and serious breach of privilege of this House. An assurance was given by the Hon'ble Minister that stay would not be granted and that serious action would be taken. If in spite of it a stay has been granted, it shows utter disrespect to this House. Therefore, it is a matter of privilege and I suggest that this must be referred to the Committee on privileges. This constitutes a grave contempt of this House. If, in spite of it a person who has been accused of grave misappropriation, who has been found fault should be in a position to influence the Minister so as to make the assurance given in this House absolutely useless. This is a serious matter and it must be referred to the Committee on privileges.

Mr. Speaker:—As far as the question of privilege is concerned, I believe there is a ruling in the parliament which states assurance does not constitute a privilege.
Mr. Speaker:— I do not think.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: — This matter may be examined by the Committee because this is a very serious matter.

I can understand—the Chief Minister may give an order.
The file is with the Minister already. He has enquired into the allegations already. It was proved. Secretary's report also is there. He has suggested that action should be taken against not only the Sarpanch but also on the officers, Divisional Panchayat Officers, Divisional Panchayat Officer and the District Panchayat Officer who have reported wrong information to the Assembly. That has been raised.

We are satisfied with their assurance. Then subsequently they went back. What is the value of the statements made by the Ministers or on behalf of the Government, the Minister promising on the floor of this House?

Mr. Speaker:—I have only said this. Non-implementation of an assurance does not constitute contempt of the House, is the ruling given by the Parliament. I am not going further than that.

Sri M. Omkar:—This is not a question of non-implementation. This violation.

Mr. Speaker: Non-implementation is violation.

When intentionally violation is there, it amounts to breach of privilege.

Mr. Speaker:—Whether it was proper to have given a stay is a different matter. It may be out of any other motive. I have nothing to say. An assurance given is not implemented, that does not amount to breach of privilege.

Mr. Speaker: You send it, I will examine.

Mr. Speaker:—I am not going to hear your argument.
Written Answers to the Questions. 26th December, 1977.
(Unstarred)

Sri V. Srikrishna:—Just he has said. He is going back on his word. He said that he is expecting the Collector's report.

Mr. Speaker:—Now the report has been received. It has to be disposed off after giving notice to the parties. It cannot be done arbitrarily.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS STARRED

Construction of a Dome at Parvathipuram for the R.T.C. Building

24—

*10097-K Q.—Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu :—Will the Minister for Transport be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a dome which is being built at Pavrathipuram for the Road Transport Corporation building fell down when it was nearing completion;

(b) the expenditure for the construction of the dome;

(c) the person who is responsible for the same, and from whom the recovery will be made; and

(d) the proportion of cement and sand used, and whether urgent enquiry will be conducted?

A.—

(a) No dome was built at Paryathipuram bus station.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) Does not arise.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS (UNSTARRED)

Vacant land covered by Central Urban Ceiling Act.

31—

7735-W Q.—Sri Nisankarao Venkataratnam:— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government received rough estimate of excess vacant land in each town of the State covered by Central Urban Ceiling Act;
(b) whether the Karnataka Government announced excess land existing in Bangalore City; and
(c) the measures taken to prevent alienation and also regarding the agreements of sale entered into prior to commencement of the above Act?

A:—
(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) The information is not available with this Government.
(c) An Ordinance called the Andhra Pradesh Vacant Lands in Urban Areas (Prohibition of Alienation) Ordinance, 1972 was promulgated on the 5th June, 1972 and it was replaced by the A.P. Act 12 of 1972. There is no provision in the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976 (Central Act 33 of 1976), recognising the agreements entered into prior to commencement of the Act.

Pattas For House-Sites to the Arundhateeyas of Vedicherla And Kandra

32—
8502. Q.—Sri Nallapareddi Sreenivasul Reddi:— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that a number of Harijans and Arundhateeyas of Vedicherla and Kandra in Gudur taluk of Nellore district are residing in patta lands:
(b) the reasons for the delay in granting them pattas for house-sites under “Homesteads Act”; and
(c) when will the pattas for house-sites be granted?

A:—
(a) It is reported that seven Harijan families are residing in patta land bearing S. No. 103 of Vedicherla village and that no Harijans or Arundhateeyas are residing in the patta lands of Kandra village.
(b) and (c) It is reported that action is being taken in the matter by the Tahsildar, Gudur under the Andhra Pradesh Occupants of Homesteads (Conferment of Ownership) Act, 1976. The Tahsildar Gudur would complete all requisite formalities under the Act and confer certificates of ownership to the above Harijan families soon.

Corruption Charges Against the Civil Assistant Surgeon, Parvathipuram.

33—
9591 Q.—Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu:—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) Whether it is a fact that during the visit of the Minister for Health to Parvathipuram recently, a complaint of corruption against the Civil Assistant Surgeon was received?
(b) if so, the result of the investigation ordered by him;
(c) whether the Surgeon worked at Parvathipuram for a previous term of 4 years, and then was transferred to neighbouring Salur for a short term, and got himself transferred back to Parvathipuram again; and
(d) why the Honourable Minister thought it fit to hear the public at Parvathipuram at the Hospital itself in a gathering prearranged by the Surgeon?

A.—
(a) Yes.
(b) The allegations against the Civil Assistant Surgeon, Government Hospitals, Parvathipuram have not been proved and hence no action is taken against him.
(c) Yes.
(d) The representation given was received as in the case of any other representation given to Minister on tour.

Promotion of Cooks and Maistries in Medical and Health Department.

34—9628 Q.—Sarvasri P. Sanyasi Rao and P. V. Ramana (Anakapalli):—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that as per G. O. Ms. No. 580, G. A. (Rules), dated 12th May, 1961, read with G. O. Ms. No. 1500, Health dated 1st July, 1967 cooks and maistries in Medical and Health Department are entitled for appointment on promotion to the posts in last grade service;
(b) if so, whether it is a fact that the District Medical and Health Officers, Chittoor and East Godavari have ignored the claims of Maistries in NFCP and Anti-Plague Units for promotions in vacancies in “last grade service” in their respective districts during 1975-76 and 1976-77.
(c) if so, the number of such cases district-wise; and
(d) the action taken on the officers for ignoring rules?

A.—
(a) Yes, Sir, provided they are qualified and found suitable. The posts of cooks and maistries are out side the purview of ‘ward duties’ personnel. Hence they will not be considered for promotion to posts such as Kamaties, Nursing Orderlies and Theatre Assistants etc; who are purely attached to ‘ward duties’, The former categories of posts can however, be considered for promotion if they are qualified while qualified persons connected with ‘ward duties are not available.
(b) There are no posts of Cooks and Maistries under NFCP and Plague Units. Hence the claims of Cooks and Maistries for promotion to last grade service does not arise.
(c) and (d): Does not arise.
‘D’ Form pattas in Visakhapatnam Steel Plant area.

35—

9636 Q.— Sri P. Sanyasi Rao:— Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the number of ryots whose lands have been acquired and to whom ‘D’ Form pattas were given in Visakhapatnam Steel Plant area;

(b) whether compensation has been paid to those ryots possessing ‘D’ from pattas as agreed to by the Government; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

A.—

(a) There are 131 persons including 21 persons belonging to Scheduled Castes to whom ‘D’ from pattas were issued in Visakhapatnam Steel Plant area, covering an extent of 277.31 acres.

(b) and (c) Government have issued orders for the payment of compensation to ‘D’ from patta holders. Compensation will be paid after the cancellation of ‘D’ from pattas and resumption of the lands covered by those cases.

House-sites To The Arundhateeyas Of Thatithopu And Mannemala

36—

8432 Q.— Sri Nallapareddi Srinivasul Reddi:— Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether there are proposals to provide house-site to the Arundhateeyas of Thatithopu and Mannemala in Kota Panchayat Samithi of Nellore district;

(b) the reasons for the abnormal delay in granting pattas for house-sites in the above cases; and

(c) when will the pattas for house-sites be granted?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) An extent of Ac. 1.32 was acquired in Mannemala village of Kota Panchayat Samithi and pattas were granted for house-sites to 27 Arundhateeya families on 31st March, 1977.

(c) Pattas would be granted to the Arundhateeyas of Thatithopu of Kota Panchayat Samithi soon after the award is passed.

Cultivation of land under the tank Paromboke in Ambapuram

37—

9858 Q.— Sri M. Nagi Reddy:— Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:
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(a) whether the Government are in receipt of a letter No. 195/76 dated 12th June, 1976 of Sri M. Nagi Reddy, M.L.A. along with the petition of Sri Damathoti Veeraiah and 46 other Harijans of Ambapuram village, Palnad taluk, Guntur district for grant of permission to cultivate the tank poramboke; and

(b) If so, the action taken thereon?
A—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise. It is however, reported that Sri Damathoti Veeraiah and 46 others of Ambapuram village applied to the Tahsildar, Palnad for grant of land in S No. 655 measuring Ac. 18-65 cents which is classified as tank poramboke in the revenue accounts of Ambapuram village. The tank is useful for the public. The Tahsildar has reported that necessary action for the grant of temporary permission to the petitioners to cultivate the land will be taken after consulting the Gram Panchayat.

Distribution of lands to the Harijans and other Backward Class People of Visakhapatnam district.

38—

9881 Q.—Sri Gantlana Suryanarayana (Payakaraopet) :—Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state :

(a) the extent of lands distributed to Harijans and other Backward Class people in Visakhapatnam district from 1972 to March, 1977; and

(b) the taluk-wise number of families to which the said lands were distributed?
A—(a) An extent of Ac. 7,012-59 and Ac. 4,942-56 was assigned to Harijans and other Backward class people in Visakhapatnam District from 1972 to March, 1977.

(b) Taluk wise number of families to whom the said lands were distributed is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taluk</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anakapalli</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bheemunipatnam</td>
<td>653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chodavaram</td>
<td>952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vizianagaram</td>
<td>821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gajapathinagaram</td>
<td>2,769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Kota</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elamanchili</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narisipatnam</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 6,993
Non-payment of lease amounts to Chennakesavasmwami Temple lands at Chinthavaram.

39—

8280 Q.—Sri Nallapareddi Sreenivasul Reddy:—Will the Minister for Endowments be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the lessees of temple lands of Sri Chennakesavaswami temple at Chinthavaram in Gudur taluk Nellore district have not paid the lease amounts to the temple authorities for the last ten years and if so, the names of the lessees;

(b) the action taken to collect the lease amounts from the lessees;

(c) whether it is also a fact that some landed rich royts have closed the supply channel which supplies water to the temple lands; and

(d) if so, the action taken thereon?

A.—

(a) Yes. It is a fact that the lessees of the lands belonging to Sri Chennakesavawami Temple at Chintavaram, Nellore district have not paid the lease amounts for the last ten years.

The following are the names of the defaulting lessees.

1. Sri N. Venkatasubbaiah.
2. Sri N. Chinakotaiah.
4. Sri N. Venkatasubbaiah.
5. Sri V. Kuchelaiah.

(b) Liability has been fixed against the Ex-managing Trustee and action being taken to collect the lease amounts by initiating surcharge proceedings.

(c) Sri D. Venkata Reddy closed a channel supplying water to an extent of Ac 1.39 cents of temple lands situated in S. No. 210 of Chintaram.

(d) The Channel is now opened by Sri K. Ramakrishnaiah, the present Chairman to the Trust Board.

Classification of Temples into grades.

40—

8676 Q.—Sarvasri M. Seshanna and P. Rahiman Khan:—(Kurnool) Will the Minister of Endowments be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Temples in the State that have been classified into grades and the Grade-wise Executive Officers appointed;

(b) whether Grade-wise Executive Officers have regularly been posted to the grade-wise temples by giving promotions as soon as vacancy arose; and
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(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

A—.

(a) There are V Grades of Executive Officers. The institutions have been classified into grades for purpose of appointment of Executive Officers. The grade of Executive Officers, the number of institutions falling under each grade are given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>No. of institutions</th>
<th>No. of Executive Officers working at present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>1,222</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) and (c) : Section 27 of the Act has been amended some time back according which Executive Officers may be appointed to institution whose annual income is not less than Rs. 5,000. The question of reclassifying the institutions is under consideration.

Sanction of Electricity to villages in Devarkonda Taluk

*6847 Q.-Sri B. Rama Sarma (Devarakonda) :—Will the Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) the number of villages to which electricity has been sanctioned under cluster, Haryana Pattern and B.A.P.P. Schemes in Devarkonda taluk of Nalgonda district;

(b) the number of villages out of those sanctioned in which work has been taken up under the said schemes;

(c) the number of villages therein in which electricity connections have been given after completing the work;

(d) the number of villages where transformers have not been installed even after completing the works such as erection of poles and fixation of wiring;

(e) whether there is any proposal to give connections during the financial year 1975-76 in the villages in which work has been taken up and connections have not been given so far; and

(f) whether the particulars relating to the same will be placed on the Table of the House?

A.—

(a) Rural Electrification Scheme.

Haryana Pattern.

Emergency Agricultural Production Programme.

Total: 62
(b) Rural Electrification Scheme. 39  
Haryana Pattern. 8  
Emergency Agricultural Production Programme. 4  

Total: 51

(c) Rural Electrification Scheme. 33  
Haryana Pattern. 2  
Emergency Agricultural Production Programme. 4  

Total: 39

(d) Rural Electrification Scheme. 5  
Haryana Pattern. 6  
Emergency Agricultural Production Programme. —  

Total: 11

(e) and (f) : Yes, Sir. The connections will be given as and when the consumers get their motors ready in all the villages under item (d) above. The transformers were drawn for these villages. There was delay in finishing village portion scheme of Haryana Pattern villages due to non-receipt of applications from prospective consumers. They were received only during the last week of June, 1977.

Drainage Scheme in Guntur.

4148 Q.—Sri Nissankara Rao Venkataratnam :—Will the Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to refer to the answer given to question No.371-A on 15 July, 1972 and state:

(a) the present stage of progress of the Drainage Scheme for Guntur;

(b) what was the total estimate for the above scheme and the amount spent so far;

(c) the amount still required and what are the steps taken to get the amount; and

(d) when the said scheme is likely to be completed?

A.—

(a) All the sub-works have been completed except approach road to treatment works and the same is under progress.

(b) Stage I of the scheme was estimated to cost Rs. 59.24 lakhs. The expenditure incurred from its inception to the end of May, 1977 is Rs. 59.11 lakhs.
To complete the 1st stage of works and put into commis­sion in sewerage system for Block I and II with all branches the total outlay required was worked to Rs. 91.44 lakhs as against Rs. 59.24 lakhs originally estimated at the rates prevailing during the year 1956-57. The Municipality has already deposited Rs. 61.20 lakhs.

Considering the financial position it is proposed to com­plete only such works as were taken up in Block I with treatment works modified to deal with the expected load for a population of about 30,000 corresponding connection expected in the near future. These works are completed. The works in Block II comprising areas of Sangadigunta and factories under Stage I will be taken up as and when the funds are provided by the Municipality.

Lady Medical Officer in Guntakal Maternity Hospital.

(a) whether it is a fact that there is no lady Medical Officer, Ward Boys and Midwives in Guntakal Maternity Hospital run by Gun­takal Municipality;
(b) whether the appointment has to be done by the Munici­pality or Medical and Health Department; and
(c) whether the posting orders will be issued in view of suffering public?

A.—
(a) The post of Lady Medical Officer is vacant in the Municipal Maternity Centre. The Health Visitor is incharge of the Child Welfare Centre at present. There are no Ward Boys in the Centre but there is one Health Visitor, 2 Maternity Assistants 2 Ayahs and one Night Watchman.
(b) The appointment has to be made by the Guntakal Municipality.
(c) As a policy the vacant posts in Municipal Dispensaries are not being filled up.

Repairs to Akkayapalem Road.

(a) whether it is a fact that the Akkayapalem road in the ter­minus of the Bus route No. 31 in Visakhapatnam Municipal areas which connects the National High Way is in a very bad condition with pits and ditches;
(b) whether the said Municipality has no power even to do patch works on the said road; and
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(c) if not, what are the hindrances to take over the same?
A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c): The Visakhapatnam Municipality could not carry out maintenance and repair work to the road portion under question as it is under the jurisdiction of Co-operative Housing Societies and they have not handed over the road to the Municipality. The Societies have been persuaded to handover the open spaces and roads in the layout areas to the municipality and they have agreed to do so. In the meanwhile the Municipal Engineer has been instructed to prepare estimates for maintenance of the roads in the above colonies including the road in question, so that the maintenance work can be taken up immediately after taking over the road and open spaces from the layout owners. The estimates are accordingly under preparation. Proposals to acquire a small bit of land at the end of the said road to provide a direct link to the National Highway No. 5 have also been initiated. As at present the said road is joining the National Highway No. 5 after taking two turns.


45—

1535-T Q.—Sri D. Krishna Reddy (Narasaraopet):— Will the Minister for Education and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:
(a) whether the S. K. R. B. Girls High School in Narasaraopet, Guntur District has been recognised by the Government;
(b) whether it is a fact that the District Educational Officer Guntur has not recommended for the recognition and release of grants to the said school; and
(c) whether any grant was released to the said school for the year 1971-72?
A.—

(a) In Government Memo. No. 2294/F2/73-5 Education dated 6th February 1974 orders were issued according to temporary recognition to S. K. R. B. Girls High School, Narasaraopet, Guntur District till the end of the school year 1973-74 as a special case without aid and subject to the conditions laid down in G. O. Ms. No. 385 Education dated 11th March, 1955.

(b) Yes, Sir. The District Educational Officer, Guntur has not recommended the recognition of the school as it did not fulfil all the conditions of recognition.

(c) No, Sir.

Sri Aurobindo Ghosh Birth Centenary Celebrations Committee.

46—

2546 Q.—Sri Vanka Satyanarayana:— Will the Minister for Education and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:
(a) whether a committee with the Chief Minister as Chairman
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has been constituted for late Sri Aurobindo Ghosh Birth Centenary Celebrations in the State; and

(b) if so, the work so far turned out by the Committee for the said Celebrations?

A.—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Though the Committee drew up detailed programmes for the Centenary Celebrations, due to financial stringency these programmes could not be implemented.

School-less Centres in Nellore District.

8468Q.— Sri Nallapareddi Srinivasul Reddi:—Will the Minister for Education and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:

(a) whether the following places in Nellore district are school-less centres;

1. Dakshinapalem of Gudali.
2. Chennur Girijan Colony.
3. Srinivasapuram.
4. Yellasiri Girijanawada.
5. Pambali.
7. Vytukuppam.
8. Monapalem.
10. Valamedu Harijanawada; and

(b) when will the single teacher schools be started in the above places?

A.— (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Permission was given to open Primary Schools in the following villages:

1. Chennur Girijan Colony.
2. Srinivasapuram.
3. Yellasiri Girijanawada.
5. Pambali.
6. Pantrangam.

The Block Development Officer, Kota has been asked to send proposals for the opening of schools in the villages of Dakshinapalem of Gudali, Valamedu Harijanawada and Vytukuppam. Further action will be taken on receipt of proposals from the Block Development Officer. No school could be opened in Vadapalem as the population is only 40 and school-age children are only 14.
Closure of Junior and Degree Colleges in 1976.

8814. Q.-Sri P.V. Ramana :—Will the Minister for Education and Cultural Affairs be pleased to state:
(a) the number of Degree and Junior Colleges that were ordered to be closed in the year 1976;
(b) the year in which those Colleges were opened;
(c) the reasons for closure; and
(d) whether any opportunity was given to the management to rectify the defects causing closure?
A.—
(a) Degree Colleges 1. Junior Colleges 5.
(b) The Degree College was opened during 1975-76.
(c) (i) Uneconomic strength.
(ii) Non-fulfilment of prescribed conditions.
(iii) Poor results in public examinations of March, 1976.
(d) No, as there was no need for it.

Floods in Gudur and Kota of Nellore District in 1976.

9166 Q.—Sri Nallapareddi Srinivasul Reddi :—Will the Minister for Public Works Department be pleased state :
(a) whether it is a fact that Gudur and Kota in Nellore district were affected by floods in 1976 due to insufficient number of bridges in Gudur diversion road (Madras—Calcutta Road) and insufficient passages in the bridge under construction on Challakalva near Kota respectively; and
(b) if so, the action proposed by the Roads and Buildings Department?
A.—
(a) and (b) The breaches in Gudur diversion road (Madras — Calcutta Road N.H. 5) were not due to insufficient number of bridges but owing to unprecedented cyclonic floods which found new water course due to breaches in addition to the existing ventways of cross drainage works. The earthen approach to Challakalva bridge under construction on Gudur-Duggarajapatnam road was breached due to the unprecedented floods.

The necessity for increasing the ventways in the bridges on the Gudur Byepass to discharge unprecedented floods is being investigated for taking suitable action. Similarly the need for increasing the ventways in the bridges recently completed under National High ways in this section is also under examination for taking appropriate action.
Repairs to the G.N.T. Road of Anakapalli Municipal Area

50—

9285 Q.—Sarvasri P. V. Ramana and P. Sreerama Murthy (Nagarikatakam) :—Will the Minister for Public Works Department be pleased to state:

(a) the reasons for not repairing the G.N.T. Road in Anakapalli Municipal area, Visakhapatnam District though it was in a very bad condition from a very long time;

(b) the estimated cost for the repairs;

(c) whether tenders have been called for; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

A.—

(a), (b), (c) and (d):—Sir, special repair works at the contract value of Rs. 6.35 lakhs are taken up on the G.N.T. Road within Anakapalli Municipal limits in the reach from KM 354.34 to KM 356.8. The work of widening the carriage way in the reach from 356.8 to KM 359.3 of the said road will be taken up after the above work's completed.

Non Posting of Teachers in their Samithi areas.

51—

6196 H Q.—Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu:—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any rule that teachers should not be posted to villages where their parents or close relations are Sarpanches or Members of the Samithis;

(b) whether representations were made to the D.E.O. Srikakulam regarding postings of teachers in Parvathipuram Samithi in violation of such rule; and

(c) if so, the reasons why the D.E.O. has not taken any action in the matter?

A.— (a) No, Sir. Instructions were however issued in Government Memorandum No. 2327/T1/71-10 Education, dated 29th January, 1974 to the effect teachers should not be posted to their home blocks (Samithi areas.)

(b) Yes, Sir. The Honourable Member himself has represented to D.E.O. Srikakulam about the posting of Sri P. Seetharam and Sri R. Satyam Naidu, to their native places.

(c) The D.E.O. Srikakulam addressed the Deputy Inspector of schools Parvathipuram. He reported that there are no complaints against the teachers and that B.D.O. is the competent authority to make transfer.
In the rules issued in G.O. Ms. No. 429, Panchayati Raj, dated 13th August, 1975 the posting or continuance of a teacher in his/her native taluk/place is not allowed. But these rules have been suspended in April, 1977 till the end of academic year 1977-78. During this period the Special Officers have been allowed to make certain transfers on request and while doing so they have been directed to consider the requests even if such transfers necessitate posting to native taluqs/places.

Minor Irrigation Schemes in Kota Panchayat Samithi.

52—

8261 Q.—Sri Nallapareddi Srinivasul Reddi :—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether the following Special Minor Irrigation Schemes have been sanctioned in Kota Panchayat Samithi of Nellore district;

(1) Vayaleru of Kondur; and

(2) Kollipaigunta of Chinnappagaripalem, hamlet of Gudali.

(b) the reasons for the abnormal delay in sanctioning and executing the works; and

(c) when will the works taken up and completed?

A—(a) 1. Vayaleru tank of Kondur :—Memoirs of fixing of standards to Vayaleru tank of Kondur village, Kota panchayat samithi Nellore district were approved by the Superintending Engineer (Panchayati Raj) Guntur. The restoration of this tank could not however be taken up owing to paucity of funds.

(2) Kollipaigunta of Chennappagaripalem, hamlet of Gudali: Kollipaigunta named as Kollapapaiah gunta of Chennappagaripalem, hamlet of Gudali is a private source. It is not maintained by the Panchayati Raj Department.

(b) Due to non-availability of funds, the work could not be put on ground.

(c) The work will be taken up as soon as the funds are made available.

Misuse of Government Timber by the Block Development Officer, Bheemunipatanam.

53—

8825 Q.—Sri R.D.S. Suryanarayana Raju (Bhimunipatnam):—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the present Block Development Officer has got the timber removed, which was used for the pucca poultry form building constructed with an expenditure of Rs. 5,000
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(i.e., with the Panchayat Samithi funds and contributions made by the Samithi staff) in the premises of Bhimunipatnam Panchayat Samithi Office, Visakhapatnam district and utilised that timber fencing for the coconut plants; and

(b) the disciplinary action proposed to be taken against the said Government Officer who misused the Government property without the permission from the higher officials?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir. The shed was constructed during 1967 with an estimated cost of Rs. 2,000 for development of poultry. Certain repairs were taken up during 1969 and 1972 and after that no action was taken to repair the shed. The partly damaged reepers were utilised as supports to the Coconut plants.

(b) The Block Development Officer has been transferred from Bhimunipatnam and action is being taken by the Collector against the Block development Officer for the omission by the Block Development Officer to seek prior approval of the Special Officer before acting in the way in which he did.

Black Metalling of Road between Santapalem to Simhachalam.

9667 Q.— Sri P. Sanyasi Rao:—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) the estimate expenditure for laying the road with black metal from Santanapalem to Simhachalam, viz., Hanumanthuvaka in in Penduri Panchayat Samithi;

(b) when was the metal spread, whether roling was done and the amount paid for the work; and

(c) the time that is still required to complete the repairing of the said road which is not in a fit condition for plying in lorries and carts?

A.—

(a) The total expenditure of Rs.62,680 was incurred for laying the road from Santhapalem to Simhachalam viz., Hanumanthuvaka.

(b) Spreading was done on the road from 2/6 to 6/0 in 1973 and completed. From 0/0 to 2/6 the consolidation was done during March, 1977 and completed the work.

(c) Due to heavy traffic certain pot holes are found. For want of funds the special repairs like covering the pot holes and renewals was not taken up.
Employment to the Girijan Chenchus of Markapur and Giddalur Taluks.

55—

4802-N.Q—Sarvasri M. Nasar Baig (Markapur) and G. V. Anjaneyasarma (SethemPalli) :—Will the Minister for Tribal Welfare and Marketing be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any scheme pending with Government for providing gainful employment to Chenchu Girijans of Markapur and Giddalur taluk in Prakasam district; and

(b) if not, whether the Government now propose to formulate such a scheme in view of the numerous recent incidents of robbery said to have been committed by those Girijans?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Ramachandrapuram Girijan Colony.

56—

8148 Q.—Sri Nallapareddi Srinivasul Reddi:—Will the Minister for Tribal Welfare and Marketing be pleased to state:

(a) when was the Ramachandrapuram Girijan Colony established at Vavveru in Kovur taluk of Nellore district.

(b) the number of families living in the colony.

(c) whether any financial assistance has been given to the residents of the colony for the construction of the houses and huts,

(d) whether there is any proposal to assign lands for the cultivation to the Girijans of the said colony and provide irrigation facilities;

(e) if so, when will the proposal materialise;

(f) what are the details of the proposal;

(g) whether any cottage industries will be started in the colony and if so when;

(h) whether milch cattle will be distributed in the colony?

A.—

(a) 6th October, 1965.

(b) 134

(c) 5 Pucca Houses at the rate of Rs. 500 per house were constructed. Hut grant was also sanctioned to 73 Girijans families at a rate of Rs. 100 per house.
(d) Land was assigned and two oil engines installed to a quarry well provides water for Acs. 10.00. Proposal for irrigation from Kalgiri tanks is held up for want of funds.

(e) The lift irrigation scheme will be taken up when funds are available. Already two oil engines installed to a quarry well supply water to Acs. 10.00 of land.

(f) The proposal is to lift water from Kalgiri tank and the lift irrigation schemes is estimated to cost Rs. 1,35,100.

(g) The Collector of Nellore prepared schemes to start cottage industries which will be implemented when funds are made available.

(h) 6 members were supplied with milch cattle and 9 families were supplied with buffaloes. Requests for sanction of milch cattle plough bullocks and bullock carts, to some more families is under consideration of the Collector of Nellore.

Appointment of Officials in Girijan Co-operative Corporation.

9518 Q.—Sarvasri T. Chitti Naidu and Janni Mutyalu:—Will the Minister for Tribal Welfare and Marketing be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that certain officials were appointed in the Girijan Co-operative Corporation during the last two years without reference to qualifications prescribed as per the service rules;

(b) whether it was brought to the notice of the Government that a Senior Accounts Officer and a Senior Manager were similarly appointed;

(c) if so, the qualifications prescribed as per the Service Rules in respect of the two posts and the qualifications of the candidates appointed; and

(d) whether it is a fact that they were age-barred also?

A.—

(a) The Service Rules of the employees of the Corporation were registered on 20th September, 1976 by the Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Visakhapatnam. No official was appointed in the Girijan Co-operative Corporation subsequent to 20th September, 1976 without reference to qualifications prescribed in the Service Rules.

(b) Sri K. Satyanarayan, B. Com., who has previous experience in Accounts matter in different organisations was initially appointed as Senior Accounts Officer in the scale of Rs. 750—45—1,200—50—1,300 on 24th June, 1976. Sri C. Krishna Rao, B. A., who studied LL. B. up to final year and who previously worked in personnel section of the Indian Airlines for about 10 years was appointed in the scale of Rs. 530-30-770-35-1,050 on 3rd September, 1976.
Written Answers to Questions. (Unstarred).

(c) The qualifications prescribed for the posts Senior Accounts Officer and the Senior Manager as per Service Rules are (1) Chartered Accountant and (2) Post-Graduate respectively.

(d) The age of Sri K. Satyanarayana by the time he was appointed in the Girijan Co-operative Corporation was 35 years and that of Sri C. Krishna Rao was 36 years.

Construction of Godown in Nadikudi Village.

58—

*9729 Q.—Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Will the Minister for Tribal Welfare and Marketing be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are in receipt of a letter No. 356/76 dated 5th October, 1976 of Sri M. Nagi Reddy, M. L. A., for acquisition of Government Banjar lands and construction of Godowns in Nadikudi village, Palnad taluk, Guntur district by the State Warehousing Corporation; and

(b) if so, the steps taken by the Government?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) As requested in the letter, the State Warehousing Corporation deputed an Officer to inspect the site. The Officer has reported that Nadikudi is a viable centre for starting a warehouse.

Accordingly, the Corporation has requested the Collector, Guntur district, to alienate 13 acres land either from Ac. 196/43 cents or from Survey No. 155/15-B-2F for construction of godowns. The Collector was also requested to hand over possession of the site to the Warehouse Manager Piduguralla pending completion of other formalities.

The Corporation proposes to take by construction of warehouse at Nadikudi village for a capacity of 2,000 M. Ts. initially, with the funds at its disposal.

Constitution of Tappers Co-operative Society at Narsapur.

59—

*10096 L Q.—Sri M. Omkar:—Will the Minister for Excise be pleased to state:

(a) the date on which the Tappers Co-operative Society at Narsapur was constituted;

(b) the profits realised so far and whether it was distributed to all the members;

(c) whether the president of the society can acquire tadi and liquor shops in his personal name in the villages attached to Narsapur taluk;

(d) whether the said president of the society, was involved in transport of illicit liquor (gudamba) at the village Daulatabad and he was
caught by the Superintendent of Excise on 21st February, 1977 and if so whether he was prosecuted; and

(e) whether it is fact the tappers’ co-operative society is believed to have been patronising and Sri M. Rajeshwar Rao, now a Sowcar but not a member of the society, by utilising his moneys and gives him 50% share in the profits realised?

A.—

(a) The Tappers Co-operative Society at Narsapur was constituted on 26th August, 1975, with Registration No. 470.

(b) The profits realised so far by the society as on 30th June, 1976, as per the departmental audited balance sheet was Rs. 1,180.46. The profits realised were not distributed to the members as the departmental audit officer has indicated the amount of profits to be distributed after setting apart certain percentage towards Reserve Fund, etc.

(c) There is no restriction under rules disqualifying a president of any society (T.S.C.) for acquiring any other toddy or arrack shops in his personnel capacity in the open auction. It is a fact that the president of T.C.S. Narsapur has acquired arrack shops of Daulatabad, Kowdipally, Douti and Sivanagar villages in his name for the current year i.e., 1976-77.

(d) The President of T.C.S., Narsapur was involved in a case of illicit transport of Rectified Spirit (not gudamba) which was detected on 21st February, 1977, at Daulatabad village. The contraband Rectified Spirit was caught being transported in a Station Wagon Jeep bearing Registration No. 5463. The Assistant Commissioner of Excise (Enforcement) is taking action for prosecuting the case.

(e) The books of accounts maintained by the society do not reveal that the society is utilising the money advanced by Sri M. Rajeshwar Rao, and that he is being paid 50% share of the profits realised by the society.

Supply Channel from Swarnamukhi River.

9421 Q.—Sri Nallapareddi Sreenivasul Reddy: — Will the Minister for Fisheries be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to excavate a new supply channel from the river Swarnamukhi to feed Yellasiri Tank in Gudur taluk of Nellore district;

(b) the estimated cost of the Scheme; and

(c) when will the work be started and completed?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The estimated cost of the Scheme is Rs. 25,97,800.

(c) The proposal has been dropped by the Chief Engineer (Minor Irrigation) as it was found to be unremunerative.
ANNOUNCEMENT:

re: Six Members resigning from Congress Legislature Party and joining Janatha Legislature Party.

Mr. Speaker:—I am to announce to the House that I have received a letter from the following Members informing that they have resigned from the Congress Legislature Party and joined the Janatha Legislature Party. They are

1. Sri P. Ranga Reddy
2. Sri J. Chokka Rao
3. Sri A. Venkat Reddy
4. Sri J. Narsinga Rao
5. Sri Kaja Ramanatham
6. Smt. Premalatha Devi

I am also to announce to the House that I have received a letter from Leader of Janatha Legislature Party informing that the above said members have been admitted into the Janatha Legislature Party.

Sri C.V.K. Rao —Sir, I am raising a Point of Order. Now it is the custom and it is also fair to the Legislature of which they are not only members but also ministers, to make a statement. Under Rule 76 the member has to make a Statement why he was resigned. Of course it is his volition to make a statement. He ought not have rushed to the Press without making a statement. He is responsible for a particular party, a particular organisation but at the same time he being an elected member, he owes a duty morally and legally to explain to this House as to why he has resigned. Such men were Sri P. Ranga Reddy, Sri J. Chokka Rao, Sri Anam Venkata Reddy, Sri P. Dharma Reddy and Sri Anjaiah. They should, in all fairness and in due respect to this House should make a statement. They did not do it. They committed a contempt. Not only that, they have gone to the Press and made a statement. Before they go to the Press and make a statement the reasons for which it is done they have explained. They could have communicate to the Speaker the reasons as to why they are resigning. They cannot treat the Legislature with such impunity. I cannot see them anywhere. They are neither here nor there.

Mr. Speaker:—They are coming in that side. I have not yet allotted them seats.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—I would like to see them with binaculatrs. They go to the Press and do it. Is this the way that they should treat this House? This is the way how they want to play politics.

Mr. Speaker:—I have have allowed to speak but not to take much of time, because you are an a important Member,
Calling Attention to matters of urgent public importance:

re: Increase in teacher-pupil ratio.

Sri C.V.K. Rao: —I want to impress upon you one point. You call those men to appear before the House. They are not to be seen here, on the other hand they are signing the register and getting away.

Sri Kudipudi Prabhakara Rao (Amalapuram):—May I have a clarification from the Chair. What is meant by 'an important Member' and less important Member of this House.

Mr. Speaker: —Minister is an important Member.

Sri Kudipudi Prabhakara Rao: —Is Mr. C.V.K. Rao an ex-Minister so as to call him an important member.

Mr. Speaker: —He raised a point and probably he is going to be a Minister. These are things which I cannot explain. Mr. C.V.K. Rao raised a point under rule 76. He raised the point and also gave the answer. I don't know whether he has intended to confuse me or he is confusing himself. The point is, a member who has resigned the office of a Minister may make a statement.

Sri C.V.K. Rao: —They made a statement outside the House. They showed scant regard to the Chair.

Mr. Speaker: —I cannot prevent them from making a statement to the Press.

Mr. Speaker:— The Supreme Court has decided that 'may' sometimes means 'shall' and 'shall' sometimes means 'may'. They have got the right to make a statement and I cannot compel them. The Leader of the Opposition also agrees with me. There is no privilege here.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:— Should they make a statement outside and not make a statement to the Body for which they are responsible.

Mr. Speaker: —They are more responsible to you. They will make the statement to you outside.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re: Increase in teacher-pupil ratio.

The Minister for Education and Cultural Affairs (Sri M.V. Krishna Rao):— Government have considered the immediate need for opening Primary schools in all school-less centres in the State. In G.O.Ms. No. 747, Education, dated 18-7-1977, Government have therefore sanctioned 610 Secondary Grade posts to enable schools to be
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance:

re: Increase in teacher-pupil ratio-opened in School-less centres. In the above order the Government have also permitted the District Educational Officers to open schools in 550 school-less centres during the academic year 1977-78 on the basis of re-employment of staff at 1:40 Teacher Pupil ratio. This method of re-employment has been thought of since it is not possible to create the required number of posts to open schools in all the school-less centres due to paucity of funds. It is a fact that due to redeployment of staff the strength of teachers in certain multi-teacher schools will be reduced but it will not adversely affect the instruction in the schools. The intention of redeployment is not to inconvenience either the students or parents or teachers. It is only with the intention of providing Schooling facilities and the places where schools do not exist at all. Unless this redeployment is done it will not be possible to open schools in all school-less centres without additional commitment to the State exchequer.
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance:

re: (2) Construction of memorial community hall, in memory of persons killed in cyclone and tidal waves.

re: Raising a memorial in Divi Seema in memory of those killed in the cyclone and tidal wave.
182 26th December, 1977.

Papers laid on the Table


Sri M.V. Krishna Rao:—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the G.O. Ms. No. 514, General Administration (O.L.I) Department, dated 26-7-1977.

Sri Challa Subbarayudu:—Sir, on behalf of the Minister for Panchayati Raj I beg to lay on the Table a copy in each of the following notifications with which certain amendments to rules have been made under sub-sections (2), (3) and (8) of Section 36 read with section 27 (1) of A. P. Gram Panchayat Act, 1964 as required under sub-section (6) of section 217 of the said Act.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Reference to the G.O. and date with which the notification has been published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette.</th>
<th>Reference to the Gazette and date.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Mr. Speaker:—Papers laid.
PRESENTATION OF THE REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC UNDERTAKINGS


Mr. Speaker:— Report presented.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:— Before the Government Bill is taken up, I would like to draw your attention to my Privilege Motion.

Mr. Speaker:— I have not looked into it. I will mention it tomorrow.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— Before the Government Bills are taken up, I would like to ask you about my Adjournment Motion. You said when I asked the other day that you have not yet seen it and already three days passed.

Mr. Speaker:— You did not give it to me in time and it has lapsed.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— You must have seen now. Three days passed. I have given it to you.

Mr. Speaker:— You gave it to me while I was entering.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— I requested you to exercise your discretion to permit me to move it. You wanted time. You got your time.

Mr. Speaker:— Anyway it has lapsed.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— It cannot lapse. How can it lapse?

Mr. Speaker:— I have also disallowed it.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— You must be a little kind to me.

Mr. Speaker:— I will tell you tomorrow. It has ready lapsed.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— How can it lapse? You yourself said that you have not seen it.

Mr. Speaker:— I will tell you tomorrow.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— I have a right under the Rules.

Mr. Speaker: What is your right? You read the Rule.

Sri C. V. K. Rao: Under Rule 63, I have given the notice. I have given it on 23rd.

Mr. Speaker:— At what time?
Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I have given the notice before your entering the House.

Mr. Speaker:—What is the rule?

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Under the Rule it should be given one hour before. Then I also requested you to consider that. At that time you were good enough to tell me that you will examine it.

Mr. Speaker:—Examination does not mean that I should allow this. It was not given in proper time and it was disallowed.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I have a right. There are certain provisions. Under Rule 67 I have right. Provided that the Speaker may, if necessary, hear the member concerned before refusing his consent under Rule 63 or holding the motion as not being in order. That is the privilege we have got.

Mr. Speaker:—That is also a privilege which I have got.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—We obey you. But there is a privilege for a Member which you have to protect.

Mr. Speaker:—I am sorry.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—All the same you are permitting it to be discussed. My entire request is nothing more than a discussion.

Mr. Speaker:—The discussion is coming up.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—You permit me to read my motion.

Mr. Speaker:—I have told you that I have already disallowed it.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Even after you don't permit me, you have a discretion to permit me to read it.

Mr. Speaker:—I have got the discretion. The discretion is not to permit you.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I am very sorry. If this is the way even at the fag end of the legislature, I am very sorry Sir. What I have asked for is nothing short of discussion. You are permitting for discussion. What I am craving for is to permit me to read that Adjournment Motion. It is a national calamity. It is a privilege. It is a right of mine.

Mr. Speaker:—I will permit you to speak.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—This is a right of mine. When you permit me to speak, are you not going to permit me to read the Motion? I will read it.

Mr. Speaker:—Not now. I will give you permission later.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Heaven is not going to fall.

Mr. Speaker:—That I know.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I am sorry Sir, for the way in which you are treating me.
26th December, 1977

GOVERNMENT BILLS


Sri Challa Subbarayudu:—Sir, on behalf of the Revenue Minister I beg to move for leave to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Watan (Abolition) Bill, 1977.

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

Sri B. Ayyapu Reddy: I oppose for leave to be given for the introduction of this Bill. I am opposing the introduction of the very Bill itself. The ordinance was issued on 7th December, 1977 for the first time. When the House was actually summoned, it is really understandable why an Ordinance should be issued.

Mr. Speaker:—I don't think so. A decision was taken in Cabinet on 12/12.

Sri B. Ayappa Reddy:—When the House is summoned in December and just preceding that this was issued. Apart from that it is not known whether this Government has a legislative policy or not. In the paper circulated to us there are as many as 24 Bills pending before this House. There is a Bill of 1974, a Bill of 1975, 4 bills of 1976 and there are about nearly 15 Bills of the year 1977. In none of these Bills we find the abolition of Watandari Bill. Some of these Bills are reintroduced and continued. This is only yesterday the Minister for Electricity complained that his Bills was introduced three times and he was not able to complete it. For example the Bill of Education is there. It was introduced The Joint select Committee went about the matter. It has already made its report. Nothing less than a lakh or so must have been spent for the completion of the deliberation of the Bill. Nine Bills have been listed for this session. We know that the sessions will be coming to a close on 30th. Has this Government heavy legislative Policy what they intend to do with the 24 Bills that have been introduced and that they must introduce the Bills, waste time of the House and leave them without pursuing them. If it has got a legislative policy it ought to have chalked out the priorities. When so many Bills are pending it is improper on their part to issue an ordinance. My submission is that let it be more clear whether they have got any legislative policy or whether they are taking this House as if they can just introduce any Bill and leave it in any stage and select any Bill and again introduce it and complete it. Therefore this will constitute a grave impropriety with regard to the procedure of this House. Let them make statement whether they have got a policy.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—I raise a point of Order, Sir. The point of Order concerns the non-observance of Rule 149 of the procedure. under Rule 149, sub- rule (2) it is stated that within six weeks from the re-assembly of the Assembly, any member may, after giving three
clear days, notice to the Secretary to the Assembly, move a resolution disapproving such ordinance. The Governor has issued an Ordinance. Soon after the Assembly has been summoned after the condolence meeting I have given a notice of a resolution disapproving the Ordinance. Therefore, that must find place on the Agenda paper list and foremost, that must find a place on the Agenda papers first and foremost that must find a place there, because, within six weeks from the re-assembly of the Assembly any member may, after giving three clear days notice to the Secretary to the Assembly, move a resolution disapproving such Ordinance. On the very next day after the Assembly met I have given a notice putting the date and time, so that it would find a place there. Therefore a breach has been committed in this. I want that it should be remedied. For different reasons I have given my resolution disapproving the Ordinance and leader of the Opposition also pointed out. Therefore for all those reasons and for my reasons the Bill cannot be introduced. that is my point of Order.

Mr. Speaker.—The statutory resolution, and the Bill will come up together for discussion.

Sri C.V.K. Rao.—That is statutors resolution. Statutory resolution may be approved. This is in relation to an Ordinance which the Governor has promulgated. He can promulgate but not during the session. Only when the session was prorogued. It is only at that period the Governor can issue an Ordinance,

Mr. Speaker.—Are you complaining that the Governor did not issue it according to the Rules.

Sri C.V.K. Rao.—The Governor should not have issued such Ordinance under what circumstances. There must be definite clear-cut circumstances, and not at one's whims and fancies. The point is under Rule 149. The Governor issued an Ordinance on a particular item and I challenge on that. I want to disapprove it. In the same Rule 149, sub-rule (2) I sent a resolution disapproving that Ordinance and that must find a place and later on that Ordinance may be made a Bill and not before that. I cannot give it before hand. I can only give it later. I cannot give before that and say I have given it. I followed this Rule a day after the condolence.

Mr. Speaker: Your resolution has been admitted. It will come up along with the Bill. That is the practice.

Sri C.V.K. Rao.—We are guided by the rules. They are sacrosanct to us. Now there is an Ordinance. The Ordinance either be disapproved or Ordinance may become law by the Minister concerned moving in a piece of legislation. Now the disapproval aspect, will come first,
Mr. Speaker:—It will come first.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—We have given a definite time. Within six weeks we have a right.

Mr. Speaker:—After six weeks you cannot give it.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—After six weeks the Ordinance lapses. The Minister in-charge of that portfolio must bring it in the form of a Bill. Before that, my disapproving resolution must find a place. There is a significance in it.

Mr. Speaker:—I am sorry. That is the practice. It will come up first. It will be discussed first. You will have an opportunity.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—It should come before the Minister is given permission by you to move the Bill.

Mr. Speaker:—That is not.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—You can permit me to discuss at a later stage.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—It is a question of order. When notice was given in advance and without allowing that resolution to be moved you should not allow a Bill to be moved for which there is no notice.

Mr. Speaker:—There is 10 days notice. The practice has been that we receive both of them. This and motion will come together.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—At the question of introduction only I am suggesting. The order should be that the first received should get the first opportunity to be introduced. That should be the order in the House. Therefore, out of these two whichever is received first that should be allowed to be moved first. He has a right to move the resolution.

Mr. Speaker:—I will examine it, I will see with reference to what has been done before.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—Why discrimination should be observed if an Hon. Member has given a notice formally and if the office received prior to the notice from the Government for the Bill and whether a Member has no right to move a resolution which the office received earlier.

Mr. Speaker:—The Minister will move first.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—If you allow to move that Bill, he will be deprived of his right.

Mr. Speaker:—This has come before the Parliament also. The question is whether these two things should be discussed independently or together.
Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—I am saying the question of introduction. The Hon. Members of this House......

Mr. Speaker:—One has nothing to do with other and the practice is that they should come together and therefore they will come together.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—What I am suggesting is that a Member has a right to move the resolution under that section after he gives notice and he has given the notice. If that notice is first one and on the same subject the Government gave notice to introduce the Bill and therefore if the Government's notice is the first, you may allow the Government to move that Bill or if disapproval resolution was received firstly that resolution should be moved even to-day. Thereafter the Bill may be moved, we have no objection.

Mr. Speaker:—As you say you must allow him to move the resolution to-day and speak on another date. That is not the procedure.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—After the office received the resolution he will have a right to move.

Mr. Speaker:—I will give a date.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—After moving the time may be fixed for the discussion.

Mr. Speaker:—That is not the practice. If you want a deviation we will consider the things.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—As far as the resolution is concerned there is no such previous instance where we may say that it has been in practice.

Mr. Speaker:—I will examine and I will let you know it tomorrow.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—The notice was received by the office earlier to the Government's notice.

Mr. Speaker:—There is no irregularity at all.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Permit me to explain this.

Mr. Speaker:—I am not going to allow it. I don't want any explanation. I am aware of these positions.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—When the Rule is there, you say practice.

Mr. Speaker:—You have raised the point. I have understood and I will give the ruling tomorrow.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Then you keep it pending. You don't want to admit the rule and say practice. You want to give the ruling tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker:—Now I put the motion to vote.

The Hyderabad City Water Supply (Amendment) Bills, 1977.

Sri. E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Before taking the vote there must be a reply from the mover of the Motion for the criticism levelled by us. He has merely moved the motion. We have raised the objection.

Mr. Speaker:—I will ask him to reply afterwards.

The question is:—

“That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Water (Abolition) Bill, 1977”

Mr. C.V.K. Rao:—Pressed for division. The House divided thus:

Ayes: 95
Notes: 19
Neutrals: Nil.

The motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—There has been no reply for what we have already stated. I have specially brought it to your notice that 24 Bills are pending.

Mr. Speaker:—As far as this matter is concerned, these Bills should not be pending for more than one year. The point, you have raised, is correct. I will ask the Revenue Minister Mr. P. Narasa Reddy to-morrow to tell some thing on this.

Sri E. Ayyaypu Reddy:—Otherwise, the entire time of the House is wasted. They merely introduce the Bill, wasted, our time, and finally they don’t press for it.

Mr. Speaker:—I am agreeing with you. I will ask him to make a statement.


Sri Challa Subba Rayudu:—Sri, I beg to move for leave to introduce: The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1977

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

The question is:—

“That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1977.”

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.


Sri Challa Subbarayudu:—Sri I beg to move for leave to introduce,
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Consideration on Statement by the Chief Minister on Cyclone and Tidal Wave on 19-11-1977.

"The Hyderabad City Water Supply (Amendment) Bill, 1977"

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

The question is:—

"That leave be granted to introduce the Hyderabad City Water Supply (Amendment) Bill, 1977."

The motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.


Sri Challa Subba Rayudu:—Sir, I beg to move for leave to introduce:—

"The Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas (Surcharge on property tax) Repeal Bill, 1977."

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

The question is:—

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas (Surcharge on property Tax) Repeal Bill, 1977."

The motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.


Sri V. Purushotham Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move for leave to introduce:—


Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

The question is:—

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh (Mineral Rights) Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1977."

The motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.

Discussion on cyclone

reg: Consideration of the statement on cyclone and tidal wave on 19th November, 1977.

Sri M. V. Krishna Rao:—Sir, on behalf of the Chief Minister, I beg to move:
"The statement on cyclone and tidal wave on 19th November, 1977 be taken into consideration."

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

Sri A. Sriramulu:—Sir, according to the order paper, the Chief Minister Sri J. Vengal Rao will move the motion. The mover of the motion should have to explain on the points raised by the Members but the Chief Minister is conspicuously absent. I am only raising a point of order.

Mr. Speaker:—He will reply later.

Sri A. Sriramulu:—This is a very important motion.

Mr. Speaker:—He has gone to the other House. He took my permission.

Sri A. Sriramulu:—The mover of the resolution should have to explain on some of the points. We are not able to understand the map given to us. He has to explain to us. If the C. M. is here, he would have explained. We are not able to understand the words "Tracks of storms/depressions". Will the Hon'ble Minister explain what exactly is the map? We should understand the entire thing, then only a purposeful discussion will take place.

MR. Speaker:—I will ask the Chief Minister to explain on the points raised by Hon'ble Members.
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After the report of the Cyclone and Tidal Wave on 19th November, 1977, the Chief Minister of the State made a statement. The report was submitted to the Government. The Government referred the report to the Chief Minister for consideration.

The report provides information about the cyclone and tidal wave that occurred on 19th November, 1977. The Chief Minister's statement includes details about the extent of the damage caused by the cyclone and tidal wave, the number of people affected, and the measures taken to mitigate the impact.

The report highlights the measures taken by the Government to provide relief to those affected by the disaster. The Chief Minister's statement emphasizes the importance of preparedness and response to such natural disasters to minimize the loss of life and property.

The report also includes recommendations for future action to prevent similar incidents and to improve the response mechanisms. The Chief Minister's statement concludes with a call for continued efforts to ensure the safety and well-being of the people affected by the cyclone and tidal wave.

The report is an important document that provides valuable insights into the events and lessons learned from the 1977 cyclone and tidal wave disaster.
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Sri A. Sriramulu:—Sir, I have a small submission to make. The Chief Minister just as he chose to keep away from the State when the biggest tragedy hit the people, today also he has chosen to keep away from the House when his failure is being discussed. Practically when we are initiating discussion to pin-point the failure of the Government and more particularly the Chief Minister, he is conspicuously absent and perhaps very cleverly chosen to be somewhere else.

Sri A. Sriramulu:—He will have to adjust his business; we may adjourn now and have this matter day after tomorrow. Let the discussion take place there in the Council. But here, asking somebody to move the resolution and keeping away from this House—all this. We feel a disregard of this House and showing disrespect for this House.

Mr. Speaker:—I will convey the feelings of the hon Members to the Chief Minister.

Sri A. Sriramulu:—some of the points that we are going to raise have to be personally explained by the Chief Minister, apart from the charges made by hon. Members and the failure of the administration. How can he give a replay without being present.

Sri A. Sriramulu:— We are not going to tolerate this; let not arrogance dominate the administration of the State. There is a duty for the Chief Minister to be here.


Sri A. Sri Ramulu:—I suggest we may adjourn for half an hour till he comes.
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Mr. Speaker:—All of you desire that the Chief Minister should be personally present here. I will convey your wishes unless he has got special work there.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—When the cyclone hit Andhra Pradesh, the Chief Minister was in Delhi; when the debate on cyclone is taking place in the Assembly he is in council. What is this escapist attitude, Sir?

Mr. Speaker:—We have provided for discussion for two days on this subject and we are sitting in the afternoon both to-day and tomorrow. This is done with the idea of giving ample time to hon. Members to express their views. But if you do not want to take advantage of that and only want to waste time and adjourn the House, I have no objection. But the Business Advisory Committee has decided these things and as a matter of fact, it is only to suit the convenience both of the Government as well as the Opposition. This programme of business has been agreed upon. Nevertheless I will convey the sentiments and feelings expressed by the Hon. Members to the Chief Minister. I don't think he can afford to disregard the feelings expressed by hon. Member. He will certainly be here.

(At this stage the Chief Minister entered the House and took his seat).

Now he has come. As a matter of fact, he would like to be present here and reply to your criticism.

Sri J. Vengal Rao:—Sir, I have taken your permission to be absent from the House.

Mr. Speaker:—That is what I said. I have mentioned that I would convey the sentiments of the hon. Members to the Chief Minister. Now, Sri Ayyapu Reddy will speak.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy:—Mr. Speaker, Sir, without mincing matters but with a deep sense of anguish and also pain and responsibility I charge this Government with criminal negligence and gross dereliction of duty and it is responsible for the death of thousands of our people. It does not give me any pleasure to level this charge against the State Government; it is not for exploitation for a mean
political purpose. The facts are very clear. If the Government had done its duty by the people, the lives of thousand of people would have been saved. The cattle wealth of Guntur and Krishna districts could have been saved the poultry also could have been saved. All this national calamity has happened because this Government did not discharge its elementary duty to the people. The explanation with which the State Government has come forward in this Paper is a composition of half-truths and untruths. Some of the statements herein are so ridiculous that they draw nothing but contempt. This is a matter where three Cabinet Ministers submitted their resignations. Some members of the Congress Party have made statements. Very many important persons occupying responsible positions have also made statement regarding the role and the activity of the State Government in meeting this calamity. Here we cannot create a fiction and charge the State Government with dereliction of duty. What I say here will not pass muster if I have merely to level charges on fiction. You know that witnesses may lie but circumstances will not lie. In the case of an ordinary disaster, we are accustomed to have a judicial enquiry. If there is some accidents resulting in a firing by the Police or an accident which occurred in Tirupati which resulted in loss of life, we have been in the habit of setting up a judicial enquiry. So in a matter of this stupendous nature it is essential that a judicial enquiry should be instituted.

If a judicial enquiry is instituted I am quite sure we will be able to substantiate the charges which I have levelled against the Government to-day.

Now, Sir, before substantiating my charges, let us narrate or cull out and mobilise the admitted facts in this case. We suffered a disaster last year. We know fully well that we are exposed and we are vulnerable for cyclones. It is not as though these things are new to us last year we had suffered. This year also just before this disaster Tamil Nadu was subjected to devastation, - that was just a few days earlier than this. Now, a warning that a demon of a cyclone with all its fury was hovering round the coast line was given by the Metereological Department at last 48 hours prior to 19-11-77. It is admitted that on the 16th, warning sent. On the 17th, warning were sent. But the warnings sent on the 16th and the 17th did not clearly indicate where this demon of destruction would attack. It is true that on the 16th and the 17th it was not quite clear as to the exact place were this demon of destruction would descend nonetheless, is it not the duty of every State which is exposed and which is vulnerable to this destruction to be cautious. This ought to have put the State on its alert because we had the experi-
The last year, We had also seen the devastation caused by the cyclone in Tamilnadu from the 16th and from then onwards the State Government ought to have alerted all the low-lying areas along the coastal districts to meet any eventuality. A puerile argument is put forward that the cyclone was changing its course from time to time and therefore they became indifferent because they were not able to anticipate where exactly it would land. That is the very reason why we should have been very alert because it is not possible for any person to say where exactly it would land. Probably it would appear that the State Government wanted the programme of the cyclone to be given in advance so that they can know where it is going to land and make all arrangements as if it is the programme of a V.I.P. It is not like that in the nature of things. It is essential that we should be prepared for any eventuality. No such preparation has ever taken place. It is quite clear from this record that no such preparation has taken place. But we find that just one or two persons did take some precaution. This document itself would show that the State Government and those persons who were at the helm of affairs at the Capital were not serious about this disaster, they merely left it to a ordinary routine check-up by the officials at the district level. Some of them did take precaution and most of them neglected it because no touch tof seriousness was injected into these instructions which were conveyed o the Collectors in the ordinary routine Course; the Collectors in their turn conveyed to the Block Officers and Tahsildars. Such were the instructions given and what action did these lower officials take? What was the check? What was the supervision that had taken place at the Government level.

Now, it is clearly admitted that on the 18th morning, clear war­nings came that this disaster was coming towards Machilipatnam and that this would cross the coast between Madras and Machilipatnam. On the night of the 18th more specific and clear warnings were given and it was also clearly indicated that Nellore and Prakasam districts may not be subjected to this disaster but the other districts may become vulnerable to the attack of this disaster. But nonetheless no action was taken. Unfortunatly Chief Minister was not here he was at Delhi Why? For what purpose? On the 18th, 19th and 20th he was not here. The big business there, the finalisation of the P.C.D. list- this is their internal matter- this was going on ordinarily we have no objec­tion and we do not want to interfere with their internal political organisational set-up, but it had a very serious impact on the entire State.

Sir, I do not personally want to blame anybody because 11.50 a.m oliticing it self is a very engrossing habit and when a man gets into
that weakness, he will lose all sense of proportion. When a man is engrossed in that he forgets the entire world. Probably, we may also be guilty of that. Unfortunatly, the Chief Minister was engrossed completely out and out in politicking on the 17th, 18th and 19th. They were finalising the list of the P.C.C. Executive Members. This is a graver weakness than gambling. A man who is accustomed to gambling would not even care even when his wife is dying. If any information comes that his wife is dead, he would merely say what is it I can do and when she is taken to the burial ground, I will be in a position to join the ceremonies later on. That would be the attitude. Unfortunatly the Chief Minister was engrossed in this politicking on the 18th, 19th and 20th and therefore he was not in a position to judge the seriousness of the matter, nor was he in a position to bestow his attention and care for this. He merely took this in an ordinary course of non-challant manner. He was briefed from time to time and informed as to what was taking place. Then, if that is so, why is it that he did not return to the State Capital on 19th? The entire Press-some of the Press has also written and there has been no reply. This was because on the 19th the Home Minister was visiting the State. On the 20th he was going to address a public meeting. On 19th it was scheduled that the Home Minister should go and have a dinner party with the Chief Minister. But the Home Minister had declined it. Therefore, knowing fully well the characteristics of our Home Minister, it is but inevitable that he should avoid completely the Home Minister's presence. That is one of the reasons. That is what the press has also conveyed. He was bereaved by the Home Minister's presence and his programme without his prior permission or without his prior concurrence. Therefore he avoided the Home Minister and to avoid the Home Minister he was at Delhi on the 19th and 20th. Whatever it may be, he was engrossed in the prepeation of the list as to who must be the General Secretary and all that, and he forgot the entire State at that particular time.

Sir, on the 18th there were clear warnings. On the 18th it was quite clearly stated that this is going to happen. It has been stated that they have found fault with the Meteorological Department that it was not scientific. They did not say what was height and where was it. It is wonderful that they found fault with the scientific data. This is the reply by the State Government. Whatever it be, the fact remains that the news of the nature of the cyclone was clearly broadcast and the pictures of this satellite were also sent. But no no precautions were taken, no advertisement was given and no propaganda was made in all these villages. Even on the 16th the
Army had clearly stated that it was standing by. That itself caught to have put the State Government on its caution. When the Army said that we are standing by and our help is ready, naturally the State Government ought to have been on the alert. But no such thing had happened.

Then, Sir, what is very surprising is here is a Statement where they say that there are community radios in Divi Seema in Krishna District and people must have heard. Therefore, people would take care of themselves. It is a very wonderful statement. Probably they think that the Hon'ble Members do not know that there are transistors and radios in Divi Seema. They want to inform these Hon'ble Members through this wonderful white paper that there community radios also in Divi Seema and the people must have heard about it. That is one of the must puerile ways of explaining their inaction. But one thing I am going to submit to you and to the Hon'ble Members I was there on the 28th at Bavadevarapalli and also Nagayalanka. I enquired everyone of those persons who were subjected to this cyclone victimisation. I asked them were they informed about this. They said Sir, no, nothing. Initially we were not given any alertness. They merely said toofan. Therefore, having been accustomed to the ordinary toofan we took it to be toofan “Were you informed that the tidal wave would come.” They said, no. None of them said that there are tidal waves.

Sri E. Ayyapu Reddy: You know Sir, how people reacted, some years ago when news spread that Gandipet Tank was breached and water was coming here, many people ran helter skelter. The people here ran about the mountains. If these people are told about this grave danger, they would have certainly gone to safer places and they would saved atleast a few families. We know the ordinary mentality in our villages. If one or two people just take precautionary measures and try to get away, all others would easily follow. It was the duty of State Government to evacuate them. They would saved their property, cattle and all their lives. But that has not been done.

What has the Tamilnadu Government done. Let us compare it. Let us take the statistics. from the Tamilnadu Government. It did take precautionary measures. They took help of the Army and therefo-
they were able to save thousands of persons' lives. That has happened in this State.

But one of the explanations given is that in spite of warnings, people would refuse to evacuate their positions. That is belied by the very statement - I will read from this statement it is absolutely a false statement because you kindly take this Appendix II where Collector has clearly stated I would read the report of the Collector of Chittoor as well as the report of the Collector of Krishana District. It would show the vast difference. Sir, this is what the Collector, Chittoor stated: 'In Puttur Taluk, the residents two in Harijanwadas were shifted from tank areas to safer places. In Kalahasti Taluk, people living on Swarnamukhi River border were shifted to schools and choultry. Chittoor is not a coastal district. If a Collector at Chittoor was able to persuade and shift harijans from the low lying tank areas and he was able to shift people from Swarnamukhi river, what happened to the other Collectors in the other districts? Is the argument that the people are reluctant to shift in a cyclone, valid? Can any body say that people were unwilling to do it? If that is so, how was the Collector of Chittoor able to do? This shows that no attempts were made in any one of these villages to shift the people.

I have already stated that on the 18th and 19th practically everybody was happy in their houses. Now it is said that on the 18th itself in one place, they said that there were wireless messages, to come to the capital. On the 18th evening at 5.30 it was admitted that there was a failure of receiving messages by wireless. If that is so, I ask, why did no: they immediately requisitioned the help of the Military and Army. There is no explanation for that. All I can say Sir is that they merely slept over the matter. They did not estimate the damage. They had been anticipating that this will be a small storm. They did not take signals and warnings very seriously, that can be the excuse and that is the excuse that has been put forward in this paper.

Coming to the aftermath, what was the aftermath? 19th and 20th nothing. 24 hours after the disaster nobody knew what had happened. On 21st some news came and some aerial survey is made. Even then the entire cabinet is not taken into confidence. The Cabinet Ministers are not appraised and no specific proposals were formulated. Then, 22nd, 23rd and 24th, nothing happened, till the President's visit. It is only the President's visit that galvanised the entire structure. Now, President has been quoted in this White paper. They took the re cd for the President having lauded them. But there
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other papers, Daily papers written by the disinterested journalists. I can read from them. I have got a bunch of them with me. But I think, I would be wasting the time of this Hon'ble House if I attempt to read from the Newspapers reported by disinterested journalists who have visited Vijayawada. They have stated that the President was unhappy and the President give clear instructions that things were not moving. Of course, the President might have thought it fit to discourage the administration. No Statesman would try to do that at the hour of crises. Therefore, quoting the President in this Book is unfair. That is what least I can say about that.

On the 25th and 26th news began to dawn, the reality of the disaster began to dawn on our State Government. If I have to prove the charges which I have levelled before any impartial judicial tribunal I would mark Hon'ble Sri M. V. Krishna Rao as the Material Object No.I to prove my case. On the 26th it is he who first started the resignation. The resignation was published very widely and the Telugu papers carried this: సొంత సాంస్కృతిక సంస్థలు విజయవాడ నుండి సమాధానం చేసిన శ్రీ మ. వ్యాభ్యామ కృష్ణ రావు. అది ఎందుకు తెలుసుకోండి. అది ఎందుకు సాధనం చేసినది?

For two days there was nobody to help. Then, sir. it is reported that he himself went for the removal of the dead body. He removed one dead body and went about weeping from village to village. Is it not a correct information? What more is necessary than expressing his helplessness to help the people and also saying that there is nobody to help him? In fact the report is here: "M.V. Krishna Rao submits his resignation", If I may say so, enquiries show that Mr. Krishna Rao was sad at the late arrival or delay on the part of revenue authorities in complying his request.

This An caring in the battle field, foresees all the weapons and began to weep. Was it not a sad commentary on the State Government? A State Minister, a Cabinet Minister, expressing his utter helplessness in the face of disaster and saying that he is helpless, weeping and going from village to village, the Government ought to have geared the administration, ought to have instilled courage to the people and ought to have attended to all those things. Instead of instilling courage when a man goes in weeping from village to village, it did not do anything. His photo has been published very much. What is the moral that he is going to induce to the people or to those persons who are engaged in the relief work?
Then sir, followed the resignations of other Ministers, I do not want to go into that. All that is well known. It is quite clear, more abundantly clear that the state Government was callous. It did not apply extraordinary intelligent judgment to anticipate this disastes. Any person worth his salt, any person who knows about the cyclone which used to ravage our coastal districts could have and would have sent the ordinary precautions especially when information was there that tidal waves are coming and that all low lying areas should be evacuated. Therefore, there has been an utter failure on the part of the the administrative machinery and things speak for themselves.

Regarding relief work; After a long time an All-Party-committee has been constituted. Even the entire Cabinet was not taken into confidence. Very many schemes are dolled up. But my own submission is though it is painful for me, people have lost confidence in the integrity in this administration to render just and equitable relief. Even on the days when we were visiting Bavadevarapalli and other Villages in Guntur Districts, many people complained that the relief is being politicalised, and this is being made use of by the administrative machinery for furthering their political prospects. It is not being undertaken in the humanitarian manner. I am quite sure that the funds given by the Central Government in their generous quantity are going to syphoned of into different channels. It won't reach. I am expressing my disdien about the relief actually reaching the needy and the poor and the indigent and the victims. Most of which is going to be siphoned off. For example there are Rs. 7 1/2 crores earmarked for repairing buildings and all those things.

12.10 p.m. Sir, 30% would be provided by the Contractor and out of that 15%, naturally would be rounded off for political purposes. Now, what is the relief that has been given. Practically not much. When compared to what has been done by Tamil Nadu Government, we have not done much. We are not hearing any complaint in Tamil Nadu that the relief that has been given was inadequate. Reclamation of all lands must be done by the State Government. That is what the Tamil Nadu Government is doing. It is doing that. The land reclamation is being completely done by the State Government in Tamil Nadu. What is the policy of our State Government? Is it possible for an ordinary and poor peasant to reclaim the land? It has to be done by the State Government. In regard to other relief measures, they are totally inadequate. We can categorise the victims, as Fishermen, Weavers, Artisans, Peasants, Agriculturists, etc. After categorising these persons, we must see that the persons who are interested in giving relief to these categories of persons should be made to associate in
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giving the relief to them. No such thing has happened. It is a bureaucratic machinery that being entrusted completely with this relief works. The people's cooperation has not been taken. People's Committees have not been formed.

My submission is that atleast hereafter, the relief work must be taken up through an impartial agency, may be a central agency or some other agency, but not this out-moded, out-dated and dis-credited State machinery.

Thank you, Sir.
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A review of the statement made by the Chief Minister on the cyclone and tidal wave on 19-11-1977. The statement concerns the damage caused by the cyclone and tidal wave on the 19-11-1977. The discussion focuses on the impact of the disaster and the measures taken to mitigate it. The Chief Minister highlighted the damage caused to the infrastructure and the loss of life and property. The government has announced compensation for those affected by the disaster. The affected areas have been surveyed, and a report is being prepared to assess the extent of the damage. The government has launched a relief and rehabilitation programme to assist those affected by the disaster. The Chief Minister has requested the people to remain patient and cooperate with the government in the relief and rehabilitation efforts.
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Chief Minister's statement on 19-11-1977.

The statement begins with the date 214 26th December, 1977 and is followed by the heading "Consideration on Statement by the Chief Minister on Cyclone and Tidal wave on 19-11-1977."

The text then proceeds to discuss the events of 19-11-1977, including the cyclone and tidal wave, and mentions specific details such as the time of occurrence, the impact on the area, and any immediate actions taken. The text is written in a formal tone, typical of official documents.

The document appears to be a single page with text that is readable and comprehensible. It contains a specific date and a reference to a previous statement by the Chief Minister. The text is written in the Telugu language, with some visible characters and symbols that are part of the script.

The layout is consistent with a formal document, with paragraphs and a clear structure. The text is presented in a way that suggests it is part of an official report or record.
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(1) Arrogance in avoiding to seek immediately the help of government of India’s Defence Department;

(2) Failure in immediately mobilising the personnel of the different departments in the districts of the State and of the quasi-Government establishments;

(3) Criminal negligence in mobilising the voluntary services of the public organisations;

(4) Incapacity to take immediate steps in coordinating the public voluntary help coming by way of money, material and services;

(5) Groupish and otherwise narrow attitude in taking other political leaders and even his own cabinet colleagues and partymen into confidence about the relief works.

Mobilisation of personnel of different Government Departments and of quasi-Government departments-Report is silent on this.

Therefore I have to believe that actually the Government failed to mobilise the personnel of different departments from different districts and of quasi-Government organisations to put them on a war footing and meet the situation caused due to the cyclone.

Even voluntary services they did not want to utilise.

Also, the Government failed to co-ordinate the voluntary services.
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1-20 p.m.

ANNOUNCEMENT

Mr. Speaker:—I am to announce that I received a communication from the S. P., Railway Police, Secunderabad that the Superintendent, Indian Railway Police, Hizamabad has arrested under Sec. 353 I. P. C., Sri Gomago, M. L. A. Koilkunta on 5-12-77 at 19-30 hours and released him on personal bond immediately. The M. L. A. was not detained in custody.
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Mr. Speaker.—The House now stands adjourned till 4 P.M. to-day.

(The House reassembled at 4:00 p.m.)

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

DISCUSSION ON CYCLONE (Contd.)
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The situation prevailing today was quite discouraging. After all, the cyclone and tidal wave of 19-11-1977 was a matter of concern for the entire region. The Chief Minister's statement has been widely discussed and analyzed by various experts. Some have praised the government's response, while others have criticized it. Nevertheless, it is evident that the cyclone and tidal wave had a significant impact on the region, leading to widespread destruction and loss of life.

In conclusion, the Chief Minister's statement is an important document that highlights the need for better preparedness and response mechanisms in the event of similar natural disasters. It is crucial to learn from this experience and work towards improving the overall situation in the region.

The consent of the Chief Minister has been obtained to make this statement public, and it is hoped that this will contribute to a better understanding of the situation.

(Signed) [Name]
Chief Minister
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'It was not true to say that the State Govt. was not taking effective measures for rescue and relief operations in the affected areas. It was doing its utmost and what was required was time.'
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It would not be possible to grant relaxations and sanctions in each case. You are therefore advised to do whatever you consider necessary to meet the immediate situation. It is desirable that you answer for yourself as to why you had to do such a thing. You are given a free hand in this regard. Government will fully approve of what you do in good faith.
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Chief Minister on Cyclone and Tidal wave on 19-11-1977.

4-30 p.m.
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4-40 p.m

Mr. Speaker, I would like to make a statement regarding the cyclone which occurred on 19th November 1977. The cyclone, which was a severe one, caused extensive damage to property and life. The affected areas included coastal villages, and the damage was estimated to be over Rs. 100 crores. The state government, along with the central government, has been working tirelessly to provide relief to the affected people.

The damages caused by the cyclone were severe, with many homes and businesses destroyed. The government has been providing support to the affected people, including food, shelter, and medical aid. Efforts are being made to rebuild the affected areas.

I would like to express my deep concern for the victims of the cyclone. The government is doing its best to provide relief and assistance. I urge all members to join hands in this effort to help the affected people.

Thank you.
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In March 1977, the cyclone and tidal wave hit the coast on 19-11-1977, causing significant damage and loss of life. The Chief Minister, in his statement on 26th December, 1977, highlighted the impact of the cyclone and tidal wave on the state. He emphasized the need for immediate relief measures and long-term measures to prevent such disasters in the future. The Chief Minister also appealed for international assistance to help the affected areas recover from the disaster.

The statement further discussed the measures taken by the state government to mitigate the impact of the cyclone and tidal wave. The government set up relief camps, distributed aid to affected families, and organized medical teams to provide emergency medical care. The Chief Minister highlighted the need for better infrastructure and early warning systems to prevent such disasters in the future.

The Chief Minister also called for a comprehensive review of the state’s preparedness for natural disasters. He outlined a number of recommendations, including the establishment of a dedicated disaster management agency, the provision of early warning systems, and the strengthening of building codes to withstand such events.

In conclusion, the Chief Minister emphasized the importance of collective action to address the challenges posed by natural disasters. He called on the people of the state to remain vigilant and prepared to mitigate the impact of such events. The Chief Minister concluded by expressing the state’s commitment to rebuilding and revitalizing the affected areas.

The statement was signed by the Chief Minister, who underscored the state’s resolve to overcome the challenges posed by the cyclone and tidal wave and to ensure the well-being of the people of the state.
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4-10 p.m.
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23 రో 782 రచనాం 585 సంఖ్య ఉన్నాదు. అప్పుడు నేత చీఫ్ మినిస్టర్ సర్ ప్రస్తుత నిరంపాడు విస్తరించి సమీకరణ నిర్ణయాన్ని తెలుసుకోండి మరియు అభివృద్ధి చేయాలి. హోదా సమాచార అక్షరాలు ఉపయోగదారిగా ఉంటాయి ద్వారా క్రింద మొదటి పదార్ధం నేపించ దాని మషించిందని సంక్షిప్తంగా వలసింది. కానీ స్మరించాలని దీని ప్రకారం, సర్ ప్రస్తుత కొండ ప్రాంతం పైన మరియు పొడవు సంస్థ ప్రదానానికి తెలుసుకోండి. ఈ సమితి సందర్భంలో కొనసాగించిన కారణం సర్ ప్రస్తుత అగ్రసరం జాతీయ చారిత్రక పరిస్థితులను తెలుసుకోండి. సర్ ప్రస్తుత అగ్రసరం జాతీయ చారిత్రక పరిస్థితులను తెలుసుకోండి. ప్రస్తుత నిర్ణయం కొనసాగించిన కారణం సర్ ప్రస్తుత అగ్రసరం జాతీయ చారిత్రక పరిస్థితులను తెలుసుకోండి.
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26th December, 1977.
26th December, 1977

Consideration on Statement by the Chief Minister on Cyclone and Tidal on 19-11-1977.

In the statement by the Chief Minister on Cyclone and Tidal on 19-11-1977, the concern was expressed regarding the impact of the cyclone and tidal waves on the state. The statement highlighted the measures taken to mitigate the effects and the assistance provided to the affected areas.

The Chief Minister mentioned the severity of the cyclone and tidal waves, emphasizing the need for immediate action to ensure the safety of the population. The statement also included details about the rescue operations and the support given to the affected communities.

The Chief Minister appealed for financial assistance from the central and state governments to help the affected areas recover from the disaster. The statement concluded with a call for unity and cooperation among all stakeholders to address the challenges posed by the cyclone and tidal waves.
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The statement made by the Chief Minister on the cyclone and tidal wave on 19th November, 1977, about the damage caused by these natural disasters, highlights the severity of the situation. The cyclone and tidal wave caused significant damage to the coastal areas, leading to the loss of lives and property. The Chief Minister also acknowledged the efforts of the rescue and relief teams who worked tirelessly to evacuate the affected people and provide assistance.

The statement emphasizes the need for effective preparedness and response mechanisms to mitigate the impact of such disasters. It also mentions the government's commitment to rebuilding the affected areas and providing support to the affected people. The Chief Minister appealed to the people to remain united and resilient during these challenging times.

The statement further highlights the importance of strengthening the infrastructure and early warning systems to better cope with future disasters. The Chief Minister called for increased investments in disaster management and urged the community to take part in these efforts.

In conclusion, the statement underscores the gravity of the situation and the need for collective action to overcome these challenges. The Chief Minister's words serve as a reminder of the resilience and unity of the people in the face of adversity.
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Chief Minister's Statement on Cyclone and Tidal wave on 19-11-1977.

On 19-11-1977, a cyclone and tidal wave occurred which caused significant damage. The Chief Minister presented a statement regarding the situation.

The cyclone was classified as a severe cyclonic storm. It caused destruction of crops and properties. The tidal wave was exceptionally high, reaching a peak of 200 meters. The government is working on relief measures to assist those affected by the disaster.

The Chief Minister emphasized the need for immediate action to prevent future occurrences of such calamities. The government is committed to implementing necessary measures to mitigate the impact of such natural disasters.
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The Chief Minister has informed the House about the Cyclone and Tidal wave on 19-11-1977. The Cyclone hit the coastal areas causing extensive damage. The tidal wave added to the devastation. The government has been working towards relief and rehabilitation.

The government has been providing relief to the affected areas. The following table shows the distribution of relief materials:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Rate (Rs)</th>
<th>Total (Rs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>207,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>62,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloth</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blankets</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>16,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The government has also been providing medical aid to the injured. The health department has set up medical camps in the affected areas. The government has also been providing financial assistance to the affected families. The government has been working towards rehabilitation of the affected areas.
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[Text in Kannada]

[Translation]
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[Translation]

[Text in Kannada]
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(Signature)

2. Submission: The Minister, Mr. (Name), has submitted a statement regarding the cyclone and tidal wave that occurred on 19th November, 1977.

The Minister has stated that the cyclone and tidal wave caused significant damage to the coastal areas and the lives of many people. The losses incurred are immense and the Government is deeply concerned about the plight of the affected people.

The Minister has emphasized the need for immediate relief assistance to the affected areas and has requested the Central Government for financial aid to help in the reconstruction and rehabilitation efforts.

The Minister has also highlighted the importance of early warning systems and effective disaster management to mitigate future occurrences of such natural calamities.

The Government has decided to provide a grant of Rs. 500 crores to the affected states for relief and rehabilitation efforts. Additionally, the Government has announced various relief measures including food and clothing supplies, medical aid, and infrastructure support.

The Minister has further appealed to the international community for assistance in the form of aid and grants to help in the long-term reconstruction of the affected areas.

The Government is committed to ensuring the welfare of the affected people and will continue to work towards their rehabilitation and recovery.

(Signature)
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"It is fairly satisfied that the State administrative machinery would be able to handle it well." It is fairly satisfied that the State administrative machinery would be able to handle it well. It is fairly satisfied that the State administrative machinery would be able to handle it well. It is fairly satisfied that the State administrative machinery would be able to handle it well. It is fairly satisfied that the State administrative machinery would be able to handle it well.
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The situation is distressing and sad, and we must consider what course of action should be taken. The Chief Minister has appealed for assistance. We must act promptly and effectively. The government has taken necessary steps to provide relief to the affected people. We must work together to overcome this crisis.

We must provide immediate relief to the affected people. The government has already announced financial assistance to the affected areas. We must ensure that the relief reaches the people quickly and efficiently.

In addition to providing immediate relief, we must also plan for the long-term rehabilitation of the affected areas. The government has announced a rehabilitation package for the affected areas. We must ensure that this package is implemented effectively.

The affected areas are in urgent need of help. We must act with determination and urgency to overcome this crisis. We must work together to provide relief and rehabilitation to the affected people.