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ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Friday, the 7th March, 1975,

The House met at Half-Past Eight of the Clock
(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS.

Film Studio in Co-operative Sector in Twin Cities.

301—

*5687-Q.—Sri M. Nagi Reddy (Gurajala):—Will the Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government propose to start a film studio in co-operative sector in twin cities; and

(b) if so, when it will be set up?

The Minister for Finance (Sri P. Ranga Reddy):—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

*An Asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the Member.
Oral Answers to Questions.

7th March, 1975.

The loan assistance extended, will cover up to 70 per cent of the cost of the land, development and buildings and up to 80 per cent cost of the new equipment. Where second hand equipment is used, the loan assistance will cover up to 50 per cent of the assessed value of such equipment. The total loan will be given in suitable instalments depending on the progress of the execution of the project. Then there shall be a moratorium for the first five years on the payment of the principal. Thereafter the principal will be repaid over a period of ten years. The rate of interest shall be 8 per cent for the first five years and 12 per cent for the remaining 10 years. The benefit of this scheme shall also be available for the expansion of existing units and as I now said, if any co-operative institution comes forward, the same facilities will be extended to such of the co-operatives.

But the difficulty is, they need certain imported equipment from foreign countries for which they also requested that our Government should help them in getting the necessary permit for the necessary foreign exchange from the Govt. of India and we said that we will try to do our best to help them. Subject to that, they have expressed their eagerness to start as early as possible.

The loan assistance extended, will cover up to 70 per cent of the cost of the land, development and buildings and up to 80 per cent cost of the new equipment. Where second hand equipment is used, the loan assistance will cover up to 50 per cent of the assessed value of such equipment. The total loan will be given in suitable instalments depending on the progress of the execution of the project. Then there shall be a moratorium for the first five years on the payment of the principal. Thereafter the principal will be repaid over a period of ten years. The rate of interest shall be 8 per cent for the first five years and 12 per cent for the remaining 10 years. The benefit of this scheme shall also be available for the expansion of existing units and as I now said, if any co-operative institution comes forward, the same facilities will be extended to such of the co-operatives.
Sri M. Yellappa (Madagasha):—May I know the fate of Brahmananda Chitrapuri. Is it a dead venture?

Sri P. Ranga Reddy:—It is not a dead venture. We are encouraging these new studios to come up only at Brahmananda Chitrapuri and as I just now mentioned, all the three parties that have now come forward, are going to have the studios at Brahmananda Chitrapuri itself. In addition to that, I am contemplating to develop a Housing Complex also there, particularly to give facilities to the low-paid employees who work in those studios. We have laid some roads, provided water facility. It is our earnest desire to see that Brahmananda Chitrapuri develops. All the same, if anybody wants to do elsewhere in the State or in his own site, these facilities will be extended. It is the desire of the Government that the film world should develop at Brahmananda Chitrapuri itself.
7th March, 1975.

Oral Answers to Questions.

388

(3) 1. क्षेत्रानि—वक्रुप, किष्किन्द्र (वमांलु) श्रीसाहब, भारत सरकार, कर्नाटक मंत्री नाथ वल्लभदास डांडे का कैसे नियुक्त किया गया, अन्ततः दूर क्षेत्रानि किया किया गया?

(4) 3. क्षेत्रानि—क्षेत्रानि के लिए स्थानीय नियुक्ति नियम (क्षेत्रानि नियुक्ति नियम) के अनुसार कैसे नियुक्त किया गया?

(4) 2. क्षेत्रानि—क्षेत्रानि के लिए स्थानीय नियुक्ति नियम (क्षेत्रानि नियुक्ति नियम) के अनुसार कैसे नियुक्त किया गया?

(5) 2. क्षेत्रानि—क्षेत्रानि के लिए स्थानीय नियुक्ति नियम (क्षेत्रानि नियुक्ति नियम) के अनुसार कैसे नियुक्त किया गया?

Mr. Speaker:—Question No. 302 is postponed.
Raising of Pepper in Rampa Agency Area.

383—

*5847-Q—Sri Nagi Reddy (put by Sri N. Srinivasul Reddi):—

Will the Minister for Forests be pleased to state:

(a) whether any trials were conducted for growing pepper in Maredumalli area of Rampa Agency in East Godavari district;

(b) if so, the results of the same; and

(c) whether there is any proposal to extend pepper cultivation in the State?

The Minister for Forests (Sri Md. Ibrahim Ali Ansari):—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The results are encouraging.

(c) Yes, Sir. A scheme for raising pepper over an area of 8 Hectares during the Fifth Five-Year Plan has been forwarded to the Government of India for approval as a Centrally Sponsored Scheme.

Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—What are the places where we can grow the pepper as much extent is possible for suitable growing of pepper in our State in addition to Rampa Agency? And which are the areas in which we can grow pepper?

Sri Md. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—As it is we are growing under the shade of the trees planted in the coffee plantations only. But now we have taken up in Rampa Agency area an extent of 8 Hectares.

Sri Kudipudi Prabhakara Rao:—What is the total acreage so far you have raised and what is the output?

Sri Md. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—Regarding acreage, for the year 1972, I have already mentioned—it will be 8 Hectares. Now here and there we have tried. This was actually taken up in the year 1962. Yearly yield so far is as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Output (Kgs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>5-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>12-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>80-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>170-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>285-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>653-00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sri D. Venkatesam:—Has any survey been conducted by the Forest Department regarding the raising of plantation of various varieties? If so, what are the varieties?

Sri Md. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—Does the Hon'ble Member know the varieties of pepper?
Sri D. Venkatesam:—Kindly enlighten me whether any survey has been conducted by the Forest Department and what type of plantation is in our forests and do they take the geographical conditions into account?

Sri Md. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—For every division, we have got a Master Plan, i.e., working plan which indicates the area suitable for particular type of plantation to be taken up.

Sri D. Venkatesam:—"particular type of plantation" means, I do not understand. Kindly let me know what are the types of plantations that you wanted to raise?

Sri Md. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—It is a separate question. This question pertains only to pepper. If the Hon'ble Member wants all the different types of plantations, I will submit the details.

Sri G. Kotaiah:—I request the Hon'ble Minister to inform me at what altitude these pepper creepers can grow? Under what climatic conditions these creepers will survive? And what is the output of each creeper for a year?

Sri Md. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—We have not calculated the yield. The total yield I have already informed. Regarding the climatic conditions, it is suitable at an altitude of 2000'.

Investment of the Provident Fund Accumulations.

304—

35851-Q.—Sri M. Nagi Reddy (Gurajala):—Will the Minister for Labour be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Central Government have directed every employer to invest the Employees Provident Fund accumulations in Central and State Governments guaranteed securities and post office deposits;

(b) if so, the percentage of deposits to be made for Central, State and Post Offices; and

(c) the reasons for giving such a direction?

The Minister for Employment and Labour (Sri T. Anjaiah):—

(a) & (b) According to the instructions of Government of India issued in 1974, the establishments exempted under section 17 of the Employees Provident Fund and Family Pension Act, 1952, have to invest the Provident Fund accumulations as mentioned below:

(i) Central Government Securities .... .... 45%
(ii) State Government Securities and State or Central Government guaranteed Securities 25%

(iii) Post Office Time Deposits and Small Savings 30%

(c) The direction was given by the Central Government with the powers vested in them under clause (a) of sub-section (3) of section 17 of the Employees Provident Fund and Family Pension Act, 1952.

Sri T. Anjiah:—This decision was taken by the Central Government.

Régular Pay Scales to the Work Inspectors in P.R. Engineering Dept.

305—

*6127-Q.—Sri D. Rajagopala Reddy (put by Sri N. Srinivasulu Reddy) —Will the Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Work Inspectors in the work charged establishments of the Roads and Buildings are being paid regular scales of pay with all attendant benefits;

(b) whether it is also a fact that such benefits are denied in the case of Panchayat Raj Engineering establishments;

(c) if so, the reasons for such discriminations;

(d) whether any representations have been received in this regard; and

(e) if so, the action taken thereon?

The Minister for Panchayati Raj (Sri Lukalapu Lakshman Das):—
Oral Answers to Questions.
7th March, 1973

(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) Yes, Sir.
(c) The question of sanction of regular scales for work-charged employees of Panchayati Raj (Engineering) Department as in the case of Public Works Department is under examination.
(d) Yes, Sir.
(e) The matter is under active consideration of the Government.

(1) Dr. A. V. B.:—Can you tell us what steps have been taken to improve the condition of the workers?

(2) Dr. A. V. B.:—20 case histories were dealt with at the last meeting. Will you tell us what steps have been taken to improve the condition of the workers?

(3) Dr. A. V. B.:—The meeting of 1975 was held yesterday. What steps have been taken to improve the condition of the workers?

(4) Dr. A. V. B.:—The meeting of 1975 was held yesterday. What steps have been taken to improve the condition of the workers?

9 a.m.

Sri D. V.:—This work-charged establishment is being maintained at the rate of 2½% being collected on the estimated amount. On par with other employees, will you treat the services of these people and regularise their services?

(5) Dr. A. V. B.:—We are trying to improve the condition of the workers.

(1) వి. సమ్మానం: -- ఆముది ప్రత్యేకంగా మనం మనుషులను పరిచయం చేసినది. మనం పరిచయం చేసిన మనుషుల జీవిత పద్ధతిని, పరిచయం చేసిన మానవాది సాధనాలను పరిచయం చేసినది. మనం పరిచయం చేసిన మనుషులు జీవిత పద్ధతిని, పరిచయం చేసిన మానవాది సాధనాలను పరిచయం చేసినది.

(2) వి. సమ్మానం: -- ఆముది ప్రత్యేకంగా మనం మనుషులను పరిచయం చేసినది. మనం పరిచయం చేసిన మనుషుల జీవిత పద్ధతిని, పరిచయం చేసిన మానవాది సాధనాలను పరిచయం చేసినది. మనం పరిచయం చేసిన మనుషులు జీవిత పద్ధతిని, పరిచయం చేసిన మానవాది సాధనాలను పరిచయం చేసినది.

(3) వి. సమ్మానం: -- ఆముది ప్రత్యేకంగా మనం మనుషులను పరిచయం చేసినది. మనం పరిచయం చేసిన మనుషుల జీవిత పద్ధతిని, పరిచయం చేసిన మానవాది సాధనాలను పరిచయం చేసినది. మనం పరిచయం చేసిన మనుషులు జీవిత పద్ధతిని, పరిచయం చేసిన మానవాది సాధనాలను పరిచయం చేసినది.

(4) వి. సమ్మానం: -- ఆముది ప్రత్యేకంగా మనం మనుషులను పరిచయం చేసినది. మనం పరిచయం చేసిన మనుషుల జీవిత పద్ధతిని, పరిచయం చేసిన మానవాది సాధనాలను పరిచయం చేసినది. మనం పరిచయం చేసిన మనుషులు జీవిత పద్ధతిని, పరిచయం చేసిన మానవాది సాధనాలను పరిచయం చేసినది.

(5) వి. సమ్మానం: -- ఆముది ప్రత్యేకంగా మనం మనుషులను పరిచయం చేసినది. మనం పరిచయం చేసిన మనుషుల జీవిత పద్ధతిని, పరిచయం చేసిన మానవాది సాధనాలను పరిచయం చేసినది. మనం పరిచయం చేసిన మనుషులు జీవిత పద్ధతిని, పరిచయం చేసిన మానవాది సాధనాలను పరిచయం చేసినది.

(6) వి. సమ్మానం: -- ఆముది ప్రత్యేకంగా మనం మనుషులను పరిచయం చేసినది. మనం పరిచయం చేసిన మనుషుల జీవిత పద్ధతిని, పరిచయం చేసిన మానవాది సాధనాలను పరిచయం చేసినది. మనం పరిచయం చేసిన మనుషులు జీవిత పద్ధతిని, పరిచయం చేసిన మానవాది సాధనాలను పరిచయం చేసినది.
Selection Grade Posts to Secondary Grade Teachers.

306—

*4624-Q.—Sri Nallapareddi Sreenivasulreddi:—Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have created Selection Grade Posts for the deserving Secondary Grade Teachers in High Schools and Elementary Schools; and

(b) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister for Education (Sri M. V. Krishna Rao):—

(a) Yes Sir. Selection grade will be given to teachers on seniority-cum-merit basis.

(b) Does not arise.

Awarding of Selection Grades to Senior Meritorious Teachers.

307—

*4798-(D)-Q.—Sri Nallapareddi Sreenivasulreddi:—Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) the reasons for the delay in awarding selection grade to the senior meritorious teachers working in High Schools, Upper Primary schools and Elementary Schools; and

(b) when will it be implemented?

Sri M. V. Krishna Rao:—
(a) and (b) Selection Grade posts were sanctioned from 1st April 1974 and these posts were allotted to all the districts, based on the strength in each district even ping Kurnool, Guntur, Nellore and Prakasam districts. Since appointments to these selection grade posts are made at the district level on the basis of the instructions issued by Government information has been called for from the districts regarding filling up of these posts. The information is still awaited.

Oral Answers to Questions. 7th March, 1975. 397

(a) and (b) Selection Grade posts were sanctioned from 1st April 1974 and these posts were allotted to all the districts, based on the strength in each district even Kurnool, Guntur, Nellore and Prakasam districts. Since appointments to these selection grade posts are made at the district level on the basis of the instructions issued by Government information has been called for from the districts regarding filling up of these posts. The information is still awaited.
7th March, 1975.

Oral Answers to Questions.

* * *

(1) குரூப்புடம் பிரிவாளர் குரூப் மற்றும் வேலாங்கல் மற்றும் வழங்கியதுடன் மாநிலத்துக்கு என்று இருந்து குருந்துக்கு வேலாங்கல் மற்றும் வழங்கியதுடன் மாநிலத்துக்கு என்று இருந்து குருந்துக்கு வேலாங்கல் மற்றும் வழங்கியதுடன் மாநிலத்துக்கு என்று இருந்து குருந்துக்கு

(1) குரூப்புடம் பிரிவாளர் குரூப் மற்றும் வேலாங்கல் மற்றும் வழங்கியதுடன் மாநிலத்துக்கு என்று இருந்து குருந்துக்கு வேலாங்கல் மற்றும் வழங்கியதுடன் மாநிலத்துக்கு என்று இருந்து குருந்துக்கு வேலாங்கல் மற்றும் வழங்கியதுடன் மாநிலத்துக்கு என்று இருந்து குருந்துக்கு

(2) தேசிய அரசு வடிவசெய்யலேயை குரூப்புடம் பிரிவாளர் குரூப் மற்றும் வேலாங்கல் மற்றும் வழங்கியதுடன் மாநிலத்துக்கு என்று இருந்து குருந்துக்கு வேலாங்கல் மற்றும் வழங்கியதுடன் மாநிலத்துக்கு என்று இருந்து குருந்துக்கு வேலாங்கல் மற்றும் வழங்கியதுடன் மாநிலத்துக்கு என்று இருந்து குருந்துக்கு

(3) தேசிய அரசு வடிவசெய்யலேயை குரூப்புடம் பிரிவாளர் குரூப் மற்றும் வேலாங்கல் மற்றும் வழங்கியதுடன் மாநிலத்துக்கு என்று இருந்து குருந்துக்கு வேலாங்கல் மற்றும் வழங்கியதுடன் மாநிலத்துக்கு என்று இருந்து குருந்துக்கு வேலாங்கல் மற்றும் வழங்கியதுடன் மாநிலத்துக்கு என்று இருந்து குருந்துக்கு

(4) தேசிய அரசு வடிவசெய்யலேயீன் குரூப்புடம் பிரிவாளர் குரூப் மற்றும் வேலாங்கல் மற்றும் வழங்கியதுடன் மாநிலத்துக்கு என்று இருந்து குருந்துக்கு வேலாங்கல் மற்றும் வழங்கியதுடன் மாநிலத்துக்கு என்று இருந்து குருந்துக்கு வேலாங்கல் மற்றும் வழங்கியதுடன் மாநிலத்துக்கு என்று இருந்து குருந்துக்கு
Attempts to burn alive a Scheduled Caste Youth in Medukuru.

308—

*4238-(L)-Q.—Sri V. Srikrishna (Mangalagiri):—Will the Minister for Social Welfare and Technical Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether any representation, dated 12th January 1974 was received from Andhra Pradesh Scheduled Castes Welfare Association, Hyderabad regarding the attempt made by landlords led by A. Sathyanarayana, Sundara Raju and A. Ramakrishna (Caste Hindus) to murder by burning alive Sri K. Subba Rao, a Scheduled Caste youth in Medukuru village in East Godavari district; and

(b) if so, the action the Government propose to take against the offenders and for the protection of Harijans in the village?

The Chief Minister (Sri J. Vengal Rao):—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Superintendent of Police, Kakinada has taken prompt action to arrest and prosecute the accused, to render prompt medical aid to the victims and to assure the Harijans all help. The Collector, East Godavari district has sanctioned monetary relief to one of the victims Sri K. Subba Rao. Though the accused have been acquitted, an appeal has been preferred in the High Court against the acquittal.
This case was registered in Crime No. 130/73. The case was charged under section 147, 307, 341 and 330 I.P.C.
Mr. Rao wanted an investigation not into the case but into the circumstances that led to the failure on the part of the prosecution to secure conviction. Let me make a general observation. It is the prestige of the prosecuting authority that is involved if the Court should acquit a person in a particular case. I feel our prosecuting staff is not quite up to the mark. Will the Hon'ble Chief Minister conduct an investigation into the reasons for such acquittals in most of the criminal cases?
House-sites to Harijans at Kota village.

309—

*4393-Q.—Sarvasri M. Nanadoss (Sarvepalli) and O. Venkatasubbaiah (Venkatagiri):—Will the Minister for Social Welfare and Technical Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that land of nearly 4 acres was purchased at Kota village for house-sites for the Harijans by the Social Welfare Department;

(b) if so, the amount spent for it;

(c) the amount needed to make the land suitable for housing purpose; and

(d) the name of the person cultivating the land for all these years after acquisition and enjoying the product?

The Minister for Social Welfare (Sri B. Sreeramamurthi):—
(a) An extent of 3 acres and 80 cents of land in S. No. 1480 of Kota village of Gudur taluk was acquired and allotted to 50 Harijan families.

(b) Rs. 21,901-75.

(c) The Block Development Officer submitted an estimate for Rs. 13,580 for levelling the land.

(d) Sarvasri Suri Kasturayya, Embati Somayya, Dayam Mastanayya, cultivated the land in Faslis 1377 and 1378 only. The land has been kept waste from Fasli 1379 onwards.
Smt. J. Eshwari Bai:—Will the Minister for Law be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Central Jails, District Jails and Open Air Jails visited by the Minister for Law after assuming charge as Minister and his impressions about the conditions in them;

(b) whether he has made any proposals or recommendations for modernising these jails and provide more amenities to the prisoners, both criminal and political; and

(c) whether there is any proposal to have more jails in the State?

The Minister for Jails (Sri Asif Pasha):—

(a) and (b) After assuming charge as Minister (Minister for Law), I visited Open Air Jail at Moula Ali on 10th October 1974, Central Jail, Hyderabad on 6th December 1974. So far as Central Jail, Hyderabad is concerned, the Jail Industries are to be modernised so that they could not only become profitable but also result in maximum utility of jail labour and rehabilitation of the prisoners after release.

Open Air Jail, Moula Ali is functioning satisfactorily and it required an Agricultural Assistant to render necessary technical advice for improvement in Agriculture field. An Officer has since been posted.

(c) Orders have been issued for the opening of a Sub-Jail at Satyavedu (Chittoor) and a proposal to open a Special Sub-Jail at Elwinpet (Srikakulam district) is under consideration.

Smt. J. Eshwari Bai: Has the Minister visited jails of the neighbouring States like Tamilnadu, Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh and studied the functioning of the jails in those States and what kind of improvements have been made here?
Sri Asif Pasha: I have not visited any jails in the neighbouring States.

Sri D. Venkatesam: The Minister was pleased to inform that he visited the open air jail at Moula Ali. In this connection I want to know the amenities that are provided in the open air jail at Moula Ali.

Sri Asif Pasha: Absolutely all amenities are being provided. No special amenities are there. The minimum amenities required are being provided.

Sri D. Venkatesam: The Minister was pleased to inform that he visited the open air jail at Moula Ali. In this connection I want to know the amenities that are provided in the open air jail at Moula Ali.

Sri Asif Pasha: Absolutely all amenities are being provided. No special amenities are there. The minimum amenities required are being provided.

Sri D. Venkatesam: The Minister was pleased to inform that he visited the open air jail at Moula Ali. In this connection I want to know the amenities that are provided in the open air jail at Moula Ali.

Sri Asif Pasha: Absolutely all amenities are being provided. No special amenities are there. The minimum amenities required are being provided.

Sri D. Venkatesam: The Minister was pleased to inform that he visited the open air jail at Moula Ali. In this connection I want to know the amenities that are provided in the open air jail at Moula Ali.

Sri Asif Pasha: Absolutely all amenities are being provided. No special amenities are there. The minimum amenities required are being provided.

Sri D. Venkatesam: The Minister was pleased to inform that he visited the open air jail at Moula Ali. In this connection I want to know the amenities that are provided in the open air jail at Moula Ali.

Sri Asif Pasha: Absolutely all amenities are being provided. No special amenities are there. The minimum amenities required are being provided.

Sri D. Venkatesam: The Minister was pleased to inform that he visited the open air jail at Moula Ali. In this connection I want to know the amenities that are provided in the open air jail at Moula Ali.

Sri Asif Pasha: Absolutely all amenities are being provided. No special amenities are there. The minimum amenities required are being provided.
Sri Syed Hassan: Earlier the conception of jails was to make a person to return back to the society. Now the latest trend in developed countries is to make a person capable of going back to the society. Which is the concept followed by the present Government?

Sri Asif Pasha: Exactly, that is the concept that we are following in this State.

There are Advisory Boards for the concerned Jails.

Sri Asif Pasha: There is no such proposal at present.

Post-Graduate Courses through Private Study in Andhra University.

*4798-(A)-Q.—Sarvasri K. Rangadass and Nallapareddi Sreenivasupreddi:—Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that the Andhra University has provided an opportunity for private candidates who want to appear privately to Post-Graduate Courses such as M.A., M.Com., M.Sc., M.D. Degrees on a condition that the science students should attend three months to learn practicals; and

(b) if so, whether the Government will pursue Sri Venkateswara and Osmania Universities also to provide similar facilities for private candidates?

Sri M. V. Krishna Rao:—

(a) There is provision for private appearance at the M.A., M.Sc. and M.Com. Degree examinations of the Andhra University. But there is no such provision for private appearance at M.D. Degree examination.

(b) Sri Venkateswara University permits candidates for M.A., M.Com., M.Sc. (Maths) Degree examinations by private study and as a matter of policy candidates are not permitted to appear for any subject/branch involving field work and practicals. In the Osmania University, there is provision for private appearance by the teachers for M.A., and M.Sc. (Maths) Degree examinations. But there is no provision for allowing candidates to appear for the M.Com. examination by private study.
Oral Answers to Questions. 7th March. 1975.

Sri Syed Hasan: Did the Government try to compare the quality of the students i.e., graduates of the Universities, who go to the Colleges for regular study and who appear privately?
Sri Syed Hasan: He did not understand my question. I am referring to the quality of regular and private candidates.

Sri Syed Hasan: He did not understand my question. I am referring to the quality of regular and private candidates.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Construction of a dilapidated Prayer Hall of Muslims as urinals in the Nizamabad Collectorate Premises.

310-A—

S.N.Q. No. 6207-W.—Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi:—Will the Minister for Forests be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a dilapidated Prayer Hall of Muslims (Mosque) in the Nizamabad Collector's Office premises is being converted into urinals and latrines;

(b) whether any telegram and representation in this regard from the Nizamabad Muslims was received by the Chief Minister on 15th January 1975;

(c) if so, the contents of the same;

(d) whether it is a fact that the Collector failed to comply with Government instructions issued in this regard through their Memo. No. 264/E.-IV/65-7, Revenue (Endts.-IV) Department, dated 19th August 1966 and the reasons for not taking action by him; and

(e) the remedial steps taken by the Government to safeguard the Prayer Hall of Muslims?

Sri Mohamed Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—

(a) No Sir.

(b) and (c) A representation of the Muslims of Nizamabad, dated 15th January 1975 was received by the Government stating that the land covered by the Mosque in the premises of the Collectorate, Nizamabad is being utilised for construction of urinals and latrines of a proposed Revenue Guest House under construction and that the construction may be stopped and permission may be granted to reconstruct the mosque.

(d) Yes Sir. According to the Collector, the instructions issued in Government Memo. No. 264/E.-IV/65-7, dated 19th August 1966 could not be implemented in view of the Law and Order situation prevailing in the district.

(e) In view of the answer to (a) above this question does not arise.
Mr. Speaker:—According to the answer given to do, it is said—
"According to the Collector, the instructions issued in Government Memo could not be implemented in view of the Law and Order situation prevailing in the district." So, you please look into it again.

Sri Ibrahim Ali Ansari;—Yes, Sir.
Mr. Speaker:—I have asked the Minister to examine it.

ATTENDANCE Fee FOR INTERMEDIATE PRIVATE CANDIDATES

310. B—S. N. Q. No. 6207—V. Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi:—Will the Hon'ble Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether the private candidates for Intermediate are required to pay attendance exemption fee;

(b) the criterion adopted for fixing the last day for payment of such fee;

(c) what is the practice obtaining in case of University examination for private candidates;

(d) whether there are any representations for extension of the last date; and

(e) if so, whether the Govt. will consider extending the last date for those who are to appear for their Intermediate examination in April, 1975?

Sri M. V. Krishna Rao:—(a) Private Candidates (without College study) appearing for Intermediate Public Examination are required to pay attendance exemption fee.

(b) The criteria adopted for fixing the last date for payment of exemption fee is that there should be sufficient time for processing the applications of private candidates for issue of necessary exemption certificates. The exempted candidates have to pay examination fee and submit the applications within the time fixed for regular candidates, so that details needed for printing the question papers may be held had in time.

(c) Osmania University is collecting exemption fee along with regular exemption fee from the private candidates. Information in regard to other two Universities is not readily available.

(d) No, Sir.

(e) Does not arise.
Unjustified Suspension of Sri P. Chandramouli,
Assistant Director of Printing.

310-C—


(a) whether it is not a fact that G.O. Ms. No. 1269, dated 18th September 1974 issued by Home (S.C.-A) Department to the effect that the suspension of Sri P. Chandramouli, Assistant Director of Printing, is wholly unjustified since it was found that there is no delay in printing and transmission of the Appropriation Bill, 1974 to the Legislature Secretariat on 27th July 1974; and

(b) if so, the action proposed to be taken for the lapse?

Sri Asif Pasha:—(a) and (b) It is a fact, Sir, that Government issued these orders since there was no avoidable delay in the printing and transmission of the copies of the Appropriation Bill. The question of setting up a Printing Press in the Assembly to undertake work pertaining to the Legislature is under consideration.
Sri C. V. K. Rao: I am asking for the lapse. Instead of that you are trying to cover up the whole thing.

Sri A. Sriramulu: There are two important points in this question. One is the suspension of that Assistant Director. The Government have very clearly stated that the suspension is wholly unjustified. When the Government comes to the conclusion that the suspension is wholly unjustified, the concerned employee will have to be given his pay and allowances. According to the G.O., whoever is responsible to place an officer unnecessarily under suspension, the money will have to be recovered from that authority. In this case I want to know who placed this officer under suspension and when Government have come to the conclusion that the suspension is unjustified whether the amount which was paid towards the pay and allowances of that employee would be recovered from that authority which placed this Assistant Director under suspension. It is a very disgraceful affair in this House. The Speaker was obliged to adjourn this House because the Appropriation Bill was not printed. The Chief Minister made a categorical statement that he would get the whole thing investigated. Now it is the duty of the Chief Minister to tell us who exactly is responsible for this delay, which brought disgrace to the House and the Government. So, what steps have been taken to trace the responsibility?

Sri A. Sreeramulu: My question did not get the answer. Here is an order. Government issues the orders and if the Government does not implement the order, what is the sanctity for that order. I will read out from that order, ‘In order to ensure that suspension is not resorted to for simple reasons, the Government have decided that action as indicated in paragraph 3 where the reinstating authority held that the suspension of the employee was wholly unjustified and order made to that effect, the employee concerned be paid full pay and allowances’. Proceeding should be instituted against the officer who issued and the question of recovering from the pay of such an officer, the whole or part of the pecuniary loss caused to the Government due to the payment of pay and allowances under F.R. 54 should be considered. This is the order. The Home Secretary erroneously kept this man under suspension and the money has to be recovered from the Home Secretary.
Sri A. Sreeramulu: You will excuse me, Sir. I have read out the extract from the Government Order. The object of the order is to see that unnecessary and vexatious suspensions are not resorted to.

My point is this. I am not concerned with the motives or intentions. You want as a matter of routine, to simply keep somebody under suspension, make a scapegoat of him and finally escape. This is something which a civilised administration cannot think of. That is why in such a hurry, this gentleman was placed under suspension. What is the basis for keeping him under suspension? Without any basis and without any enquiry he was kept under suspension. Later on, the Government comes to the conclusion that it is wholly unjustified. That is why we want to know, who exactly was responsible for this hasty action of keeping this Assistant Director under suspension? What steps have been taken to fix the responsibility? Somebody must be responsible. If the Assistant Director is not responsible, some other man is responsible. Who is that man that is responsible for this disgraceful act in this Assembly?

Then what action was taken against the Secretary, Legislature if the Home Department has come to the conclusion that the Legislature Secretary must have made this collection from the Government Press? I want to know then, what action was taken against the Secretary, Legislature and why the Government permitted him to go on leave?

Mr. Speaker: It does not appear that there has been any lapse on the part of any officer. I do not think that the order is complete.
Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji: There is a controversy raised by the G.O. whether lack of joint responsibility was discussed in the Joint meeting of the Legislature Secretariat or the Government has come to any conclusion. I would like to know (when the Chief Minister said that there was lack of co-ordination between the Legislature Secretariat and the Printing Press) whether it was informed to the Speaker; if it was informed whether any enquiry was made, if any enquiry was made whether any responsibility was fixed on the Legislature Secretariat. That has to be clarified; otherwise, we are in confusion.

The act now proposed to be taken for the lapses...
This is a case where the reputation of the Government is involved because we are using different yardsticks on the subordinates and on the senior officers. Some senior officers have been given lenient view. How can you take action without any prima facie case? Why not these rules apply to the Senior Officers? What is the action you are going to propose?

The Chair has established a very good tradition for which we are very grateful. When the Home Secretary has done some mistake, the Government ought to take some action against him. Why such special privileges were shown to the senior officers and why not for the subordinate officers?

Sri A. Sriramulu: Apart from all other things, since it is an issue involving the prestige of the Government and of the House, the responsibility has been fixed on some body. On whom the responsibility should be fixed? Since the Home Secretary has made some observation, I am asking whether he is prepared to institute an impartial enquiry so that both the Departments may be enquired and some body may be punished. Otherwise, it would amount to shielding all sorts of offences and it will amount to our surrendering to the cheats concerned.

Sri C. V. K. Rao: The Chief Minister is willing to get the file. Let the file be placed before you for examination and let us also examine. It is a matter in which we are involved. The Legislature Secretariat has done a wrong thing and the Chief Minister was misled by the Home Secretary.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji (Bhongir): What is the practice and procedure in the Legislature Secretariat? As far as my knowledge goes, the Department itself used to deliver the printed material at the Assembly. Is it correct?

Sri A. Sriramulu: I would only request you to call for the log book to find out whether the van had been to the Printing Press Office actually when there was work. On this particular day, the van did not go. This will have to be investigated.

Sri A. Sriramulu: When there was no work, it may be found that the van was misused by the Secretary. You look at the log book and the Secretary should be hauled up.

Sri A. Sriramulu: This has spread to the entire country through press. Should you leave it so easily?
Sri C. V. K. Rao: The Chief Minister is admitting that the matter has been entrusted to the A. C. B. If that is the position, why should not this particular matter be placed on the table of the House and fix the responsibility on the official concerned? I have no confidence in this set up.

Sri C. V. K. Rao: Should you not have confidence in the House. You want to side-track the whole thing. Why are you shirking the responsibility?

Sri A. Sriramulu: Why the Legislature Secretary should not be placed under suspension? Why should he be allowed to retire? He is on leave.

Sri A. Sriramulu: There is a prima facie case. Innocent people have been kept under suspension.

Sri C. V. K. Rao: Let an impartial investigation is made. It is the intention of the House that this matter should be thoroughly probed and investigated.

Sri A. Sriramulu:—It is only a sort of personal explanation. It is only for the fulfilment of the objectives we raised the privilege, motion, and responsibility is not fixed in furtherance of that privilege motion. Our friend Mr. Ranga Das is relaxed. I don't know why he.........
Sri C. V. K. Rao:—We are not castigating anybody. We are not finding fault with anybody. The Chief Minister should investigate into it. Now the privilege motion stands. Because the C.M. is not prepared to investigate into the whole affair, it is a breach of privilege.

**MATTER UNDER RULE 340:**

**re:** Deletion of certain reference in Hon’ble Speaker’s Ruling on 28-2-1975

---

*MATTER UNDER RULE 340:*

**re:** Deletion of certain reference in Hon’ble Speaker’s Ruling on 28-2-1975

---
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Matters under Rule 340:

**re:** Deletion of certain reference in the Hon’ble Speaker’s Ruling given on 28-2-1975.
Matters under Rule 340  
re: Deletion of certain references  
in the Hon'ble Speaker's  
Ruling given on 28-2-1975

Mr. Speaker:—I agree with Sri Konda Lakshmana Bapuji that he did not suggest that the relevant files, records or papers should be placed on the Table of the House.

Regarding the second point I have to say that there is no Rule in the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Legislative Assembly under which the Minister for Revenue can be compelled to show the files and all papers to me for ascertaining facts of the case. It is for the Minister and the House to decide the matter.

Regarding third point, as Mr. Konda Lakshman Bapuji pointed out, that Short Notice Question might be posted for further supplementary, the Hon'ble Minister while refusing to agree to the discussion on the merits of the withdrawal of the criminal case, repeatedly expressed his readiness to answer the supplementary questions about facts.

I have gone through the proceedings carefully and as mentioned by the Member the Minister has no objection to answer supplementary questions about facts and as such I have no objection to post the Question for further supplementaries and the Members shall confine their supplementaries only to facts.

(Applause)

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Will it be before the close of this Session?

Mr. Speaker:—Yes.

*Sri Konda Lakshmana Bapuji:—Sir, You have said that there is no Rule enabling you to compel the Minister to submit the files to you. I would point out that in another case that of Sri Narayana Rao, Director, Text Book Press, the Chief Minister offered to place the files and records for your perusal. You may not give a Ruling, but may express your views.

Therefore, when the version of the Minister has been challenged and the House doubts the correctness of the version of the Minister, efforts should be made to take the House into confidence and create a satisfactory atmosphere, wherein the House should think that the informa-
tion given can be taken as correct. At least to that extent you should guide and for everything Rules should not be strictly adhered to. You may advise in what the Government should satisfy this House. You will have to come to our rescue and assistance.

Mr. Speaker:—As far as this point is concerned, I would not add anything more, to what I have already said.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—On a Point of Order. The Hon’ble Speaker has said that there is no Rule to compel the Minister to place the relevant records and files on the Table. It is such a sweeping justification made that the entire House is put in an extremely delicate position. The entire purpose of the Hon’ble Speaker should be to make the executive responsible to Legislature in a democratic functioning.

When Members should like to know as to what is happening to the entire administrative system, the Hon’ble Speaker, who presides here should come to the rescue of the Members and assist them. The Rules may not be written in so many clear words. As such the Executive may tend to escape, as if it is fool-proof. That concept would make the Executive more arrogant and ruin the country.

Therefore, that observation might damage the entire functioning of the Legislature. You have to assist the Members, when they seek some information from the Government, and the executive may be asked to comply with your directions. That should be the position.

Sri Konda Laxman Bapuji:—Sir, I think it would be better to raise these things, when the Minister for Revenue is present.

Sri A. Sreeramulu:—Sir, Rules are made to ensure democratic functioning of the Legislature. It is better if these two words are defined and explained, ‘answerability’ and ‘accountability’. What is the scope of these two words?

The Hon’ble Speaker of this House has all the powers. That power need not be defined or described in the Rules in so many words, which have been made by this House. This may kindly be kept in mind. For, there is nothing above this House. Ministers may go on committing mistakes and blunders, but we cannot remain mute and silent spectators. We cannot give them a free licence, for, answerability and accountability has been provided.
Mr. Speaker:—In regard to the points now raised, I have already stated that I am also a Member of the House, and I derive my powers from this House and exercise them for the benefit of this House in order to see that debates go smoothly and order is maintained.

According to Rules passed by this House, which Rules are supreme, I have already interpreted the Rules, and I have given my Ruling, keeping in view also the observations of the Speaker, Lok Sabha. Therefore, it is now for you to help yourselves, if anything prohibited. Whatever is my interpretation, it is binding as far as this House is concerned.

RULING BY HON’BLE SPEAKER.

re:-Privilege Motion against Sri P. Narsa Reddi, Minister for Revenue (Disallowed).

Mr. Speaker:—On 19th February 1975, the Minister for Revenue while answering to a Short Notice Question No. 140-A (6196-Q) had stated that a criminal case No. 18/72, under section 321, Criminal Procedure Code, 1973, at the instance of the Government was withdrawn by the Public Prosecutor, with the consent of the Court.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—In which connection, it is placed, Sir? 10-30 a.m.

Mr. Speaker:—Privilege Motion

Sri A. Sriramulu:—I request you to postpone it. When the Minister is present, you can take it up.

Mr. Speaker:—I am not permitting.

Sri A. Sriramulu:—We should be given an opportunity. Better the Minister is also present.
Mr. Speaker:—Because I have already read the order. That is why I am reading it here.

Sri A. Sriramulu:—Before you finally pronounce your verdict on my motion, I should be given an opportunity to at least argue my case. If it is not given............

Mr. Speaker:—If I permit you. There are two stages. That is why I am reading it. Without giving any opportunity I can disallow. While disallowing I am mentioning it because that is what you wanted.

Sri A. Sriramulu:—Our request is different. We wanted that before taking any decision on my motion, we should be heard, so that we can advance our arguments and somebody also may contribute his arguments.

Mr. Speaker:—Anyway this is what I have done. Next time I will hear you and see what can be done.

"Sri A. Sriramulu and nine others have given............"

Sri N. Srinivasul Reddy:—Please read out the others names also.

Mr. Speaker:—I will read the names later.

"Sri A. Sriramulu and nine others have given notice of Privilege Motion against the Minister for Revenue on the ground that the Minister for Revenue while answering Short Notice Question No. 140-A on 19th February 1975 stated that the Criminal Case had to be withdrawn as the Police expressed the view that the case could not be sustained and this statement according to the Members was incorrect. The Members contend that the Minister had deliberately and knowingly misled the House and thus committed a contempt of the House and as such the matter must be referred to the Privileges Committee.

To mislead the House, is a major ground of committing a contempt of the House. As mentioned by Pachauri in Law of Parliamentary Privileges at page 239:

But acts which mislead or tend to mislead must be done wilfully, with the intention to mislead or deceive. The element of deliberateness is an essential ingredient of the offence. There may be a number of statements or depositions coming up before the House or its Committees which may not be wholly true. Many statements made before may in the end be found to be based on wrong information given to those who had made them. Such statements will not constitute a contempt if their author has made them in the belief that his information is true. As a rule of order in debates, charges of deliberate falsehood cannot at all be made and if a Member wants to charge another member or other person for having deliberately misled the House, it can be only done through a substantive motion to that effect.
Ruling by Hon'ble Speaker
re: Privilege Motion against
Sri P. Narasa Roddy,
Minister for Revenue
(Disallowed).
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When Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia raised a question of privilege on 22nd August 1966 against the then Minister for Education, Sardar Hukum Singh, Speaker, Lok Sabha while disallowing the motion observed as follows:

"If a Minister or Member makes a statement himself knowing it to be false, then alone the question of breach of privilege arises. Otherwise mistakes might creep in, some errors might be made, even some lapses might be committed, but they do not constitute in any case a breach of privilege. I have already ruled this and I repeat it now. There is no question of breach of privilege in this case and I rule it out."

To know the correct position the matter was referred to the Minister for Revenue with a request to state what he has to say and the Minister has sent a reply which reads as follows:

"Kindly refer to the letter cited with which a copy of the notice of privilege motion given by Sri A. Sreeramulu and others against me has been communicated for my comments.

The point made by the Members who have given notice of breach of privilege is that despite a pointed reference made by them to the report of the Inspector-General of Police that the payment of Rs. 7,000 did not alter the position in any way in the criminal case and hence the question of withdrawing case did not arise and the opinion of the Law Department, I reiterated that the case had to be withdrawn since the Police expressed the view that the case could not be sustained.

I submit that during the discussions in the House on 19th February 1975, I did not refer to the opinion given by the Inspector-General of Police or the Law Department regarding the withdrawal of the case nor did I say that the case had to be withdrawn. I merely stated in answer to clause (b) of the Short Notice Question that the report of the Police disclosed that there was no sufficient evidence to sustain the charges against the accused, as the Deputy Inspector-General of Police had reported through the Inspector-General of Police that the prosecution will not be able to prove the charge of forgery beyond reasonable doubt. The Government took into account all the circumstances of the case and the opinions expressed by different departments before coming to a considered decision. I submit that there is no conflict or contradiction or mis-statement of fact in the observations I had made on the floor of the Assembly."

On 19th February 1975 the Minister while replying to the clause (b) of Short Notice Question stated that the case arose as a result of family dispute and the report of the Police disclosed that there was not sufficient evidence to sustain charges against the accused. Sri A. Sreeramulu then pointed out that the Inspector-General of Police said that it was not advisable to withdraw the case and demanded that a copy of the particular opinion which said that the case would not be sustainable.
should be placed on the Table of the House and the Minister cannot escape his responsibility. To this the Minister for Revenue replied as follows:—

"Now so far as the Police report is concerned, the answer is we have said that we have withdrawn the case because more or less it is a family dispute and the Police have said that this case cannot be sustained."

Even while replying to points raised by Sarvasri Madan Mohan, C. V. K. Rao, V. Srikrishna, M. Omkar, the Minister for Revenue repeated the same reply and added that he never said that the Police had asked not to withdraw or Police said this or that.

From this it is clear that the Minister stated in the oral answer and in the subsequent discussion that the report of the Police disclosed that there was no sufficient evidence to sustain the charges against the accused. He did not specifically refer to the opinion of the Inspector-General of Police or the Law Secretary nor to the opinion of the Deputy Inspector-General of Police, in the House, though he has made a reference to the report of the Deputy Inspector-General of Police in his written reply, dated 25th February 1975. In his reply in this House he made a general statement about the ultimate opinion of the Government and that it was based on the Police reports. He did not at any stage deny the statement of Sri A. Sreeramulu that the Inspector-General of Police or the Law Secretary gave a contrary opinion.

The Government has taken an over all view of the matter and decided to ask the Public Prosecutor to withdraw the case after obtaining the permission of the Magistrate.

Thus there appears to be no prima facie case of breach of privilege. Hence I disallow the motion."

Sri A. Sreeramulu:—The Minister very clearly admitted that the Police reports disclosed that the case could not be sustained. So, there is the Police Report. I said the Police never expressed at any time any doubt that the case cannot be sustained. I wanted the Hon'ble Speaker to call for this file and see to get at the truth, because............

Mr. Speaker:—As I have observed now he did not contradict your statement with regard to the Inspector-General of Police or the Law Secretary.

Sri A. Sreeramulu:—The crux of the whole thing is police never expressed any doubt in regard to pursuing prosecution. On the other hand, the Inspector-General of Police is of the firm opinion that there was no case for withdrawal.

Mr. Speaker:—That is what he said. That is not contradicted.

Sri A. Sreeramulu:—How can the Minister say that the Police Reports disclosed that the case cannot be sustained. It is contradictory.
Mr. Speaker:—He did not rely upon the opinion expressed by anybody. He has taken the over-all view.

Sri A. Sriramulu:—There are two points. One is, the case can be withdrawn or can be ordered to be withdrawn, in the prosecution is deficient of pursuing the matter. The Inspector-General of Police is the supreme authority. He is the Head of the Department, and he has stated that there is no need to withdraw the case. It is written in the file. Knowing it fully and knowing also that the Law Department was of the opinion that the case should not be withdrawn, the Minister very deliberately, wilfully misled this House by saying that the Police Reports disclosed that the case cannot be sustained. It is a very clear case of privilege. So, I want to move a substantial motion against this Minister for deliberate falsehood.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Point of Order, Sir. Sir, I am not referring to the ruling. But I am speaking on the same issue. The Minister, in his letter to you, stated that the D.I.G. of Police had stated that there was not much case for trial. Therefore, he said in his replies on 19th February 1975 that the Police Reports had disclosed that there was not sufficient case. My point of order is, what is the ‘Police Report’ for the Government? Can the opinion expressed by the D.I.G. or the Superintendent of Police be the opinion of the Police Department, for the Government it is the view of the Head of the Department. In this case the view of the Inspector-General of Police has to be the view of the Police Department. For the Government it is the view of the Head of the Department. In this case the view of the Inspector-General of Police has to be the view of the Police Department. Therefore, the Hon'ble Minister for Revenue was wrong. He confused D.I.G's opinion with the Police Department view. So, I request the Hon'ble Speaker to give a ruling as to what opinion constitutes the opinion of the Police Department from the viewpoint of the Government........the view of the D.I.G. or the view of the Inspector-General of Police?

Mr. Speaker:—He did not say any opinion about it. He did not rely upon any opinion.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—Even according to your ruling, he did refer to the Police Reports. What constitutes the ‘Police Report’? It is the view of the Inspector-General of Police that constitutes the Police report and not that of the subordinate officers, may be D.I.G. or Superintendent of Police.

Sri A. Sriramulu:—Sir, For that point of order, the Minister will have to give us an idea about what exactly is the ‘Police Report’. On

Matter under Rule 341—re: Non-admittance of students for 7th and 10th Class Public Examination for want of qualifying attendance.

that point, which is a pertinent point, you should give the ruling, as to what constitutes the police report and is the view of the S.P. or the Sub-Inspector—what exactly is the definition or imagination of this phrase 'Policc Report'?

Mr. Speaker:—Notice under 341.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy (Kalvakurthy):—Kindly say whether you are reserving the ruling. If you do not say, what is that I can understand?

Mr. Speaker:—I will examine the matter and give a ruling.

**MATTER UNDER RULE 341.**

Re: Non-admittance of Students for 7th and 10th Class Public Examination for want of qualifying attendance.

10-50 a.m. Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Speaker:—I will examine the matter and give a ruling.
Calling Attention. 7th March, 197$. 429

re: Financial Assistance to Journalists injured in the Mini Bus accident at Nakrekal.

Mr. Speaker: This question was completely answered last time in extenso. I do, not think there is anything further to be made, because it is already answered.

Sri P. Ranga Reddy:—So far as this matter is concerned it is put on the agenda. This is completely answered. I understand, the Hon’ble Member wanted to know about the artificial limb which Mr. Murtuza wanted and that was actually referred to the H.H. and M.A. by the Health Minister who was to answer. As I said he is trying to know from the Railway people who met all the expenditure upto now as to what steps they have been taking. I also promised the other day that in case if there is any failure on their part, our Government will see that the artificial limb is made available. Therefore, I cannot once again answer it. Regarding other matters, I have fully answered only day before yesterday when the matter was raised, Sir.

CALLING ATTENTION.

Re: Financial assistance to Journalists injured in the Mini-Bus accident at Nakrekal.

Mr. Speaker: This question was completely answered last time in extenso. I do, not think there is anything further to be made, because it is already answered.
Calling Attention.

**re:** Misappropriation of Funds of Lakshminarayana Swamy Temple, Yadagirigutta, by the Executive Officer.

First of all I will enquire from the Railway authority who promised to bear the expenditure, who also bore the expenditure when he was here and find out at what stage the artificial limb matter stands exactly. And as I said earlier, if there is anything to be done on the part of our Government, I assure the Member that we will certainly do whatever is required. I have examined the matter. The contribution from the Indian Herald is Rs. 5,000 and Rs. 502 was also collected from the Working Journalists. Some of the Working Journalists and others suggested that this money may be given away to the Members of the deceased families along with the assistance which the Government proposes to give. That matter is ready for decision. In a day or two, decision will be taken. And actually a small function was also proposed for distributing this amount. It will be done very soon.

Smt. J. Eshwari Bai: In how many days are you going to do this? Will this be announced in the House or will you inform later?

Sri P. Ranga Reddy: It is not necessary. In a day or two, I said we will take the decision. About the exact date of the function we will have to consult friends who are enthusiastic. But even that may not take long. Perhaps within a week the whole thing will be completed.

**re:** Misappropriation of funds of Lakshminarayanaswami Temple, Yadagirigutta by the Executive Officer.
Calling Attention.

re: Strike by contract labourers with
Caltex Oil Refinery
at Visakhapatnam.

7th March, 1975.

Certain Trustees of the Yadagirigutta Devasthanam submitted a
memorandum, dated 4th January 1975 to Government levelling certain
allegations similar to those referred to in the call attention notice,
against the former Executive Officer of the Devasthanams and the
Supervisor.

On receipt of the said representation, instructions were issued to the
Commissioner, Endowments to enquire into the matter and send his
report. The Commissioner, Endowments has got the matter enquired
into. As certain irregularities and procedural defects were noticed in
the preliminary enquiry the Commissioner, Endowments has called for
the explanation of the concerned officers. The Commissioner has also
requested the Examiner of Local Fund Accounts to depute an officer
to conduct a special audit. Further action will be taken on receipt of
the audit report.

Re: Strike by contract labourers in the Caltex Oil Refinery at
Visakhapatnam.
7th March, 1975.

Calling Attention to: Fixation of Minimum wages, etc., for workers in different vocations.

Sri T. Anjaiah:—The 15th Session of the Indian Labour Conference generally indicated that the “need based minimum wage” should be calculated taking into consideration the following:

1. A standard working class family should be taken to consist of 3 consumption units for one earner.

2. The minimum requirements of food in take should be calculated at 2,700 calories.
Calling Attention:

re: Fixation of Minimum Wages etc., for workers in different vocations.

3. Clothing requirements should be taken as 72 yards per annum for a family of 4 members.

4. House Rent Allowance should be same as charged under the Subsidised Industrial Housing Scheme.

5. Fuel, lighting and other miscellaneous items should be at 20 per cent of the total minimum wage.

But several Wage Boards constituted by Central Government and even the National Commission on Labour came to the conclusion that it is not feasible to have a need based minimum wage as recommended by the Indian Labour Conference for the following reasons:

(a) It would be beyond the capacity of the Industries to pay.

(b) It would unduly affect the relativity of wages in the industry in the same region.

(c) It would result in excessive and abrupt increase in wages, and

(d) It would be an extravagance at the cost of the consumer on whom the burden of increased wages would fall.

Therefore, fixation of living or need based minimum wage is neither feasible nor practicable.

However, under the Minimum Wages Act, Government are fixing on revising minimum rates of wages in all scheduled employments having regard to all relevant factors including the cost of living.

But several Wage Boards constituted by Central Government and even the National Commission on Labour came to the conclusion that it is not feasible to have a need based minimum wage as recommended by the Indian Labour Conference for the following reasons:

(a) It would be beyond the capacity of the Industries to pay.

(b) It would unduly affect the relativity of wages in the industry in the same region.

(c) It would result in excessive and abrupt increase in wages, and

(d) It would be an extravagance at the cost of the consumer on whom the burden of increased wages would fall.

Therefore, fixation of living or need based minimum wage is neither feasible nor practicable.

However, under the Minimum Wages Act, Government are fixing on revising minimum rates of wages in all scheduled employments having regard to all relevant factors including the cost of living.
Sri L. Lakshmanadas:—The Protected Water Supply Scheme at Narasampet in Warangal district was taken up under Normal Programme at an estimated cost of Rs. 2-67 lakhs in the year 1964 and the scheme was completed and commissioned. Later it was found that the water available at source is not sufficient to meet the demands of the village. Hence a new source is proposed by providing two bore wells on the left side of the bank of Pakhal vagu (surplus course) nearby Mattu Viralam which is 3.4 K.M. away from Narasampet village. Accordingly, an estimate for improvement to the existing scheme costing Rs. 3-20 lakhs has been approved by the Chief Engineer (Panchayati Raj). The said scheme has also been sanctioned for assistance under the Accelerated Development of Backward areas under Six Point Formula.

The Government have released an amount of Rs. 2-62 lakhs during the year 1974-75 towards the scheme. The balance amount of Rs. 58,000 is proposed to be released during the year 1975-76.

In view of the above, adequate steps have been taken to provide drinking water facilities to the people of Narsampet village in Warangal district.

PAPER PLACED ON THE TABLE

Sri A. Veerappa: Sir, with your permission, I beg to place on the Table a copy of the Report on the decisions of the Business Advisory Committee taken at its meeting held on 6th March, 1975.
Mr. Speaker: Paper placed on the table.


The Business Advisory Committee at its meeting held on 6th March, 1975 decided that the Assembly will meet from 4-00 p.m. to 7-00 p.m., on 13th and 14th March, 1975 to transact Business relating to Budget Demands.

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT (BUDGET) FOR 1975-76:

(Budget) ofr 1975-76.
Demand No. XXXIV—Agriculture.
Demand No. XXXIX—Forests.
Demand No. XXXIII—Co-operation.
Demand No. XXXVIII—Fisheries.
Demand No. XXXVI—Animal Husbandry.
Demand No. XXXVII—Dairy Development.

11-10 a.m.
Annual Financial Statement

Demand No.:

XXXIV—Agriculture.

XXXIX—Forests.

XXXIII—Co-operation.

XXXVIII—Fisheries.

XXXVI—Animal Husbandry.

XXXVII—Dairy Development.

1. 1971-72: 139.8

2. 1972-73: 139.8

3. 1973-74: 139.8

4. 1974-75: 139.8

5. 1975-76: 139.8

6. 1976-77: 139.8

7. 1977-78: 139.8

8. 1978-79: 139.8

9. 1979-80: 139.8

10. 1980-81: 139.8

11. 1981-82: 139.8

12. 1982-83: 139.8

13. 1983-84: 139.8

14. 1984-85: 139.8

15. 1985-86: 139.8

16. 1986-87: 139.8

17. 1987-88: 139.8
Annual Financial Statement 7th March 1975

(Budget) for 1973-76.

Demand No.:
XXXIV—Agriculture.
XXXIX—Forests.
XXXIII—Co-operation.
XXXVIII—Fisheries.
XXXVI—Animal Husbandry.
XXXVII—Dairy Development.

1. 20. January:—1975-76 Demand No. 1

2. 20. January:—1973-74 Demand No. 1

3. 20. January:—1974-75 Demand No. 1

7th March, 1975.  

Voting of Demands for Grants for 1975-76:

Demand No.

XXXIV—Agriculture.

XXXIX—Forests.

XXXIII—Co-operation.

XXXVIII—Fisheries.

XXXVI—Animal Husbandry.

XXXVII—Dairy Development.

Demand No. XXXIV—Agriculture.

Demand No. XXXIX—Forests.

Demand No. XXXIII—Co-operation.

Demand No. XXXVIII—Fisheries.

Demand No. XXXVI—Animal Husbandry.

Demand No. XXXVII—Dairy Development.
Voting of Demands for Citizens for 1975-76:

Demand No.:
- XXXIV—Agriculture.
- XXXIX—Forests.
- XXXIII—Co-operation.
- XXXVIII—Fisheries.
- XXXVI—Animal Husbandry.
- XXXVII—Dairy Development.

7th March, 1975.
Mr. Deputy Speaker: Now we shall take up non-official business.

NON-OFFICIAL RESOLUTIONS.

Re: Provision of funds to Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency.

Sir, I beg to move:

"This Assembly recommends to the Government to provide funds to the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board and also allow the said Board to borrow loans from the commercial banks to electrify at least six new villages in each Assembly Constituency selecting the villages for electrification in consultation with the local M.L.A. and also to provide agricultural service connections in the already electrified villages this year." (1975-76).

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Resolution moved.

Sir, I beg to move:

"Add the following at the end of the Resolution:—

And also to complete all the power projects in the State within three years with the loan assistance of the World Bank."

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Resolution Moved.
Non-Official Resolutions:
re: Provisions of Funds to
A.P. State Electricity
Board for electrification
of some villages in each
Assembly Constituency

7th March, 1975

5.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh has been advised by the A.P. State Electricity
Board that it is essential to electrify some villages in each Assembly Constituency. In
view of this, the Government, after careful consideration, has decided to provide
funds for the electrification of these villages. The following Assembly Constituencies
have been selected for this purpose:

1. Anantapur
2. Bellary
3. Chittoor
4. East Godavari
5. Guntur
6. Krishna
7. Nellore
8. Prakasam
9. Srikakulam
10. Visakhapatnam

The total amount required for the electrification of these villages is estimated to be Rs.
24 lakhs. The funds will be provided under the Elecricity Act, 1948.

The funds will be released in two instalments: Rs. 6 lakhs in the first instalment
and Rs. 18 lakhs in the second instalment. The electrification work will be carried out
by the A.P. State Electricity Board in collaboration with the local panchayats.

The Government has directed the A.P. State Electricity Board to take necessary
steps to ensure that the electrification work is completed within the stipulated time.

The Government has also directed the Board to keep a close watch on the progress
of the work and to submit a detailed report on the same at regular intervals.

The decision of the Government to provide funds for the electrification of these
villages is a step forward in the objective of providing electricity to all parts of the
State. The Government is confident that the electrification work will be completed
on time and that the villagers will benefit from this development.
Non-Official Resolutions,
re: Provisions of Funds to A.P. State Electricity Board for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency

7th March, 1975.

Provisions of Funds to A.P. State Electricity Board for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency.
Non-Official Resolutions: 7th March, 1975

re: Provisions of Funds to
A.P. State Electricity
Board for electrification
of some villages in each
Assembly Constituency

* (2) Shri Chaudhary (Vishnupure): — Sir, I am desirous of
mentioning the case of the above-mentioned
villages. There are certain villages which have
been on the waiting list for quite a long time. It
will be a great favour if these villages are
electrified at the earliest possible moment.

* (1) Shri Hanumantha (Peta): — Sir, before I
finish, I am afraid I may have to
make a request. The houses of the
villagers are built in such a way that
the houses of the villagers are
especially facing the main road. If
the electrification is done in such a
way that it is visible from the road,
the villagers will be disturbed. It
will be a great help if the
approach road is closed during
the time of electrification.

* (2) Shri Chaudhary (Vishnupure): — Sir, the
villagers have been waiting for a
long time. They have been
having difficulties in their daily
life. It will be a great help if
the electrification is done in such a
way that it is not visible from the
road. Thank you, Sir.
Non-Official Resolutions:
re: Provisions of Funds to A.P. State Electricity Board for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency
Non-Official Resolutions
re: Provisions of Funds
to A.P. State Electricity
Board for electrification
of some villages in each
Assembly Constituency.

7th March, 1975. 443

(Sri K. Ramanadham in the Chair)

11-50 a.m.

11. (Mr. Krishna Rao) : (Mr. V. Ramanadham in the Chair) 1968—69 constituted 2.07% of the total electorate of the State. The total electorate of the State was 27.06% of the population. The resolution moved by me was in favour of the demand. I moved the resolution in the Assembly Constituency.

101/4—10.
Non-Official Resolutions:

re: Provisions of Funds to A.P. State Electricity Board for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency.

446 7th March, 1975:

...
On Official Resolutions: 7th March, 1975

re: Provision of Funds to A.P. State Electricity Board for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency

The resolution states the allocation of funds for the electrification of some villages in each assembly constituency. It mentions the specifics of the funds, the number of villages to be electrified, and the progress made by the A.P. State Electricity Board. The resolution is dated 7th March, 1975.
Non-Official Resolutions:
re: Provisions of Funds to A.P. State Electricity Board for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency.


В. С. (докладчик): — История, история избрания дня в 1975 году.
Non-Official Resolutions:

7th March, 1975

re: Provisions of Funds to
A.P. State Electricity
Board for electrification
of some villages in each
Assembly Constituency

The Hon. M.C. Rama Rao, MLA, moved the following resolution:

Resolved that the Funds of the A.P. State Electricity Board be made available for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency for which the necessary funds have been approved by the State Government. The funds are to be used for the purchase of necessary electrical equipment and for the construction of electrification lines and other related works.

12.10 p.m.
Non-Official Resolutions:
re: Provisions of Funds to A.P. State Electricity Board for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency.

450 7th March, 1975.

The resolution reads:

450 7th March, 1975. Non-OJB5cial Resolutions:
re: Provisions of Funds to A.P. State Electricity Board for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency.
Provisions of Funds to A.P. State Electricity Board for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency

Non-Official Resolutions:
7th March 1975

12.20 pm
Non-Official Resolutions:
re: Provisions of Funds to
A.P. State Electricity
Board for electrification
of some villages in each
Assembly Constituency.

4S3 7& M$w^ 197^ Woa-OgeM Reaoluttoas;
ye: P^ovisioas of Fiiaga to
A.P. State BkctFmty
BoatfboT electfiRcation
of some villages in each
Assembly Constituency.

7th March, 1975.
Provisions of Funds to A.P. State Electricity Board for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency

7th March, 1975

Non-Official Resolutions:

The provisions for funds to the A.P. State Electricity Board for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency were as follows:

- Funds were allocated for electrification in each Assembly Constituency.
- Villages were selected based on the need for electrification and the benefits it would bring.
- The funds were to be used in a manner that would maximize the benefits for the villagers.
- The allocation was to be made in a way that would ensure fair distribution among the villages.
- The project was to be monitored regularly to ensure that the funds were used as intended.
- The beneficiaries were to be involved in the planning and execution of the project to ensure their needs were met.
- The project was to be completed within the allocated time frame.
- The project was to be evaluated to assess its impact on the villagers.

The provisions were made to ensure that the villagers benefited from the electrification project.
454 7th March, 1975,

Non-Official Resolutions:

Re: Provisions of Funds to A P. State Electricity Board for improvement of some vital items in such Assembly Constituencies.

5th March, 1975, Non-Official Resolutions:

K: Provisions of Funds in AP, State Electricity Board for improvement of Assembly Constituency.

12-30 p.m.

...
country in order to come to the map of civilized Nations. The
provisions of Funds to A.P. State Electricity Board for electrification
of some villages in each Assembly Constituency

Unrealistic schedules in plan and equipment are required to
make the villages self-sufficient. The
provisions of Funds should be
adequate to meet the needs of the
villages. The
43% of the total funds
have been allocated for electrification
of the villages. The
period of completion of
the works has been
fixed at 10 months. The
works should be completed
on time to ensure
success.

The
35% of the total funds
have been allocated for
investment in education
and health. The
works should be completed
on time to ensure
success.

The
20% of the total funds
have been allocated for
development of infrastructure
and distribution systems. The
works should be completed
on time to ensure
success.
Non-Official Resolutions:
re: Provisions of Funds to A.P. State Electricity Board for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency.

4th March, 1975

1971-72 Rs. 668.1
1973-74 Rs. 677.9

1972-73 Rs. 3,046
1973-74 Rs. 3,106.3

1971-72 Rs. 276.6
1973-74 Rs. 403.1

1972-73 Rs. 3,046
1973-74 Rs. 3,106.3

1971-72 Rs. 623
1973-74 Rs. 613
Non-Official Resolutions.  

In March, 1976, 457

A.H. Stew.  

Board for electrification  
of some villages in each  
Assembly Constituency

She is giving more to the opposition members' Constituencies before she does for her Constituency. She is looking after their Constituencies. They should be grateful, Sir.

Smt. C. V. K. Rao: Smt. Indira Gandhi is a nice lady to take care of the opposition but Smt. Jayaprakash Narayan has got to give that certificate and not myself.
7th March, 1975

Non-Official Resolution:
re: Provident Funds to A.P. State Electricity Board for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency
Non-Official Resolutions: 7th March, 1973

Provisions of Funds to A.P. State Electricity Board for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency

12 50 p. m.
Non-Official Resolutions:
re: Provisions of Funds to
A.P. State Electricity
Board for electrification
of some villages in each
Assembly Constituency

460 7th March, 1974
Non-Official Resolutions:

re: Provisions of Funds to
A.P. State Electricity
Board for electrification
of some villages in each
Assembly Constituency

1-00 P.M.

170, 173.14

27,307

101/4-12.
Re: Provisions of Funds to A.P. State Electricity Board for electrification of some villages in each Assembly Constituency

2,06,000 (2.06 lakhs) was allocated in 1974-75. The allocation was higher in 1975-76 at 9,000 (9 lakhs). Financial resources of the Nationalised Banks are with the Planning Commission therefore they do not allow us to have the funds released.

The Reserve Bank (Federal Reserve Bank) allows 12.1/2% to 12% to us. Financial resources of the Nationalised Banks are with the Planning Commission therefore they do not allow us to have the funds released.

re: Provisions of Funds to
A.P. State Electricity
Board for electrification
Assembly Constituency
of some villages in each

...
Non-Official Resolutions:

re: Provisions of Funds to
A.P. State Electricity

Board for electrification
of some villages in each
Assembly Constituency

464 7th March, 1975

Provisions of Funds to
A.P. State Electricity

Board for electrification
of some villages in each
Assembly Constituency

Non-Official Resolutions:

re: Provisions of Funds to
A.P. State Electricity

Board for electrification
of some villages in each
Assembly Constituency
Non-Official Resolutions: 7th March, 1975

re: Provisions of Funds to
A.P. State Electricity
Board for electrification
of some villages in each
Assembly Constituency

It is the legal and statutory obligation of Board not to lose a single pie. It is now becoming more a service organisation than a commercial organisation.
Non-Official Resolutions:
re: Provisions of Funds to
A.P. State Electricity
Board for electrification
of some villages in each
Assembly Constituency

7th March, 1975

Sri Nallapureddi Srinivasul Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

"That leave be granted to withdraw the Resolution", and also the
amendment".

Chairman:—Motion moved.

The question is:
re: Inclusion of Yerukulas, Yanadis and Lambadas of Telangana region in the list of Scheduled Tribes

“That leave be granted to withdraw the Resolution and also the amendment”.

The Motion was adopted and the Resolution, by leave of the House, was withdrawn.

re: Inclusion of Yerukulas, Yanadis and Lambadas of Telangana Region in the List of Scheduled Tribes.

Sri S. Ramachandra Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

“The House recommends to the Government of India to include the Yerukalas, Yanadis and Lambadas of Telengana Region of Andhra Pradesh, in the list of Scheduled Tribes and remove discrimination between those inhabited in Andhra and Telengana Regions of Andhra Pradesh”.

Chairman:—Resolution moved.

The House now stands adjourned to meet again tomorrow at 8.30 a.m.

(The House then adjourned till 8.30 a.m. on 8th March 1975.) 1-20 P.M.