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CONSTRUCTION OF SOMASILA PROJECT

41—

*S492Q—Sri Nallapareddi Srinivasulu Reddy (Gudur)—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the Chief Minister has announced that the construction of Somasila Project will commence from April, 1973 when he visited Nellore on 20-9-1974, and

(b) if so, the nature of the project?

The Minister for Medium Irrigation (Sri V. Krishnamurthy Naidu Deputized by the Chief Minister)—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Somasila Project envisages construction of a reservoir across Pennar at the Somasila Gorge for storing available flows in Pennar river at an estimated cost of Rs 33 52 crores, to stabilise the existing ayacut of 2 848 lakh acres in the Pennar Delta besides irrigating a new ayacut of 1 29 lakh acres.

* An asterisk before the name indicates confirmation by the Member.

J. No 32 (401)
Sri A. Sriramulu (Eluru) — In the information given by the Minister there is inherent contradiction. Firstly, he says answering (a) that the Government is determined to go ahead with the Project from April, 1975. Secondly, he says the Planning Commission has not cleared. We would like to know what exactly is the correct position. Supposing the Planning Commission does not accept, what is going to happen to the promise of the Chief Minister.

It is a fact whether it is a fact or fiction.

Sri A. Sriramulu — Sir, I cannot understand my fact and his fiction. That is his fiction. To the question whether it is a fact that the Chief Minister has announced that the construction of Somasila Project will commence from April, 1975, he says ‘Yes’. We understand that it is a fact that the Chief Minister made the statement and according to that statement it has to commence from April, 1975. That is the understanding of our English.

Oral Answers to Questions.

8 40 am

Sri C V K Rao—Point of order, Sir. The Chief Minister has delegated this question to Mr Krishnamurthy Naidu. Questions of this nature should not be delegated. He has committed a breach of privilege. He should not resort to that sort of tactics.

Mr Speaker—It is not like that.

We are thinking of it. We have already discussed with C. Es. and we are at it.

Sri C V K Rao—Point of order, Sir. The Chief Minister has delegated this question to Mr Krishnamurthy Naidu. Questions of this nature should not be delegated. He has committed a breach of privilege. He should not resort to that sort of tactics.

Mr Speaker—It is not like that.

We are thinking of it. We have already discussed with C. Es. and we are at it.
Mr. Speaker: — It is being thought of separately.

Sri A Sreeramulu.—Whether this Project will be taken up form on the First of April

Mr Speaker:—They are trying their best. They can not make a commitment.

Mr. Speaker:—Let us take up this matter when we take up Question No. 48. I am also having the same opinion.
NAGARJUNASAGAR PROJECT ACCOUNTS ORGANISATION

42—

* 4795-(P) Q—Sri A Sreeramulu—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state

(a) whether the suggestion of the Govt of Ind'a contained in letter No O M No 10 (24) E III (b)/60, dated 21-1-70 that the State Govt should built up its own cadres in the Nagarjunasagar Project Accounts Organisation has been implemented,

(b) if not, the reasons therefor, and

(c) the number of employees, category-wise drawn from AG's. Office on deputation and the deputation allowance that is paid?

Sri V Krisnamurthy Naidu—The answer is placed on the table of the House.

A.—

(a) & (b) —The Government of India's suggestion contained in their letter dated, 27 1 70 that the borrowing Organisations should as far as possible build up their own cadres applies to Organisations of a permanent nature and not applicable in case of temporary Organisations of Projects to be completed according to a programme. However the State Government has taken action to replace the personnel deputed from the I A & A D by the State Government employees as indicated below,

(i) The top posts of Financial Adviser and Deputy Chief Accounts Officer, Nagarjunasagar Project are now manned by an I.A S Officer and a State Government employee in place of the deputationists belonging to the IA & AS cadre

(ii) In view of the changes effected in the top-level cadres, it was decided in the interest of work that it will not be proper to make all at once radical changes in the middle rung of supervision. Hence a ratio of 2 1 between the Accounts Officers of Accountant General's Office and the District Treasury Officers is followed in the cadre of pay and Accounts Officers.

(iii) All the Superintendent and U D. Clerks of the Accountant General’s Office will be repatriated as and when their term of deputation expires.

(iv) No deputationists are working in the categories of L.D. Clerks and Typists in Nagarjunasagar Project at present.
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(c) At present in the Offices of Deputy Chief Accounts Officer and Pay and Accounts Officers of Nagarjuna Sagar Project the personnel drawn from the Accountant General’s Office on deputation basis are working in 4 posts of Pay and Accounts Officers, 12 posts of Superintendents and 3 posts of U.D. Clerks. An amount of Rs 15,984 was paid as deputation allowance for the period from 1.4.74 to 30.6.1974 during the year 1974-75.

Sri A. Sreeramulu — An amount of Rs. 16,000 was spent for 2 months as deputation allowance for taking A. G. Office staff. Why should we continue this additional expenditure by taking staff from A. G.’s Office. Is it due to our not having qualified staff?

Sri A. Sreeramulu — Will the Government repatriate these people atleast in batches over a period of 6 months or one year?

Sri A. Sreeramulu — I take objection, Sir. The Minister says that till getting efficient people, they will be continued. We have got Treasuries Department, P. A. O’s Office, etc. How does the Minister admit that there is short of efficient men? If efficient people are available, how the A. G.’s office staff can be superior?

Sri A. Sreeramulu — Since how long these people are working in State Government?

keeping that in view, we are repatriating all these people.

Sri A. Sreeramulu —Since how long they are working? I could not get answer for that.

Sri V. Krishnamurthy Naidu:—They are working from the beginning

Sri A. Sreeramulu —That means they are working since 16 to 17 years. During these years if we are not able to train our own men, what is the guarantee that we would train our officers in future?

8—50 a.m.
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We have not completely dropped the matter.

Sri A. Sriramulu — An Organisation is called a temporary Organisation if it continues for one or two years. An Organisation functioning for 17 years is not a temporary one. If the Minister wants to characterise it as a temporary organisation, I don't know.

Mr Speaker — A temporary organisation is one which is not likely to be a permanent one and which is connected with a scheme, being protracted for a number of years. It continues.

Sri A. Sriramulu — All our Projects are likely to extend for two decades.

Mr Speaker — Every one of them is called temporary.

Sri A. Sriramulu — Even if the project is completed, we are bound to take up another project. Why should the Government keep this on a temporary footing, borrow the services of those men and pay additional allowance?

Mr Speaker — They said that steps are being taken to avoid.

Sri A. Sriramulu — By 75 all the men drawn from the A.G. should be withdrawn and our men should be trained.

Allotment of Funds for the Backward Areas in Three Regions

(a) whether the newly formed State Planning and Development Committee held its sittings, if so the number of times and decisions made each time,

(b) whether the Plan allotment is fixed up for the backward areas in the three regions, and

(c) if so, on what basis?

The Chief Minister (Sri J. Vengala Rao) — Answers to Clauses (a) to (c) is laid on the table of the House.

J. No. 32—2
(a) There are 3 Planning and Development Committees in the State for the three regions. The State Planning and Development Committee for Coastal Andhra met for five times, while the State Planning and Development Committees for Rayalaseema and Telangana met for four times. Copies of the proceedings of these meetings are laid on the table of the house.

(b) The question of the amount to be allotted for the development of backward areas in the State for the Fifth Plan has not yet been decided since the size of the State’s Fifth Plan has not yet been finalised. However, for the Annual Plan 1974-75 a sum of Rs 12.84 crores is allotted for the development of backward areas.

Further, proposals have been formulated for the utilisation special allotment of Rs 18 crores from Government of India for the accelerated development of backward areas of the three regions.

In 1974-75 10% of the State Annual Plan allocation was allocated for the development of (identified) backward areas.

In regard to utilisation of special allotment of Rs 18 crores, 25% of the allocation is set apart for the development of Industries and Higher Education and the balance is distributed for taking up productive activities among the various districts. The allotment is made in the ratio of 5:3:2 between Telangana, Rayalaseema and Coastal Andhra as indicated by the Government of India. The allocation to each district is made on the basis of the population of the areas identified as backward by the concerned Planning and Development Committee on the basis of certain criteria.
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Summary record of the proceedings of the First meeting of the Planning and Development Committee for Rayalaseema held at 3.00 P.M. on 12-3-1974 in the Committee Hall of the Secretariat.

Summary record Note of discussions during the Second meeting of the Planning and Development Committee for Rayalaseema held on 25-5-1974 at Tirupathi.

Minutes of the Second meeting of the Planning and Development Committee for Coastal Andhra held at Jubilee Hall, Hyderabad on 30-5-1974.

Record of proceedings of the meeting of Telangana Planning and Development Committee held on 31-5-1974.

Minutes of the meeting of the Planning and Development Committee for Coastal Andhra held at Jubilee hall on 16-8-1974.

Record of Proceedings of the Third meeting of the Telangana Planning and Development Committee held on 17-8-1974.

Minutes of the Third Meeting of the Rayalaseema Planning and Development Committee held on 16-9-1974.

Minutes of the meeting of the Planning and Development Committee for Coastal Andhra held on 10-9-1974.

Minutes of the Meeting of the Telangana Planning and Development Committee held on 20-9-1974. and 21-9-1974.

Minutes of the Fourth meeting of the Rayalaseema planning and Development Committee held on 7-10-1974.

Minutes of the meeting of the Planning and Development Committee for Coastal Andhra held on 5-10-1974.

Summary Record of the Proceedings of the First Meeting of the Planning and Development Committee for Telangana held on 11-3-1974 at 3.00 p.m. in the Committee Hall of the Secretariat:

The list of members and officials who attended the meeting is given in the Annexure.
2 Initiating the discussion, the Chairman explained briefly the background for the creation of the Development Committees and stated that the members were free to offer any constructive suggestions for the development of the Telangan region. He stated that the Planning Commission had indicated a tentative outlay of Rs 1105.00 crores as the size of the States' Fifth Plan. The revision of the State's Fifth Plan conforming to this outlay had been taken up by the Finance and Planning Department and a brief outline of this Plan had been furnished to the Committee for giving a broad idea of the sectoral programmes and priorities. He requested the members to examine the Draft Fifth Plan proposals keeping in view the special problems of the Telangan region. He also requested the members to review the progress of the Annual Plans for 1972-73 and 1973-74 and suggest measures for improvement.

3 Smt. J. Eswaribai, Member desired to know the details of the amount determined as Telangan surpluses, the amount spent so far, and the balance available for developmental activities. Secretary, Finance and Planning Department clarified that out of a total amount of Rs 45.00 crores, special assistance was extended by the Central to the extent of Rs 43.72 crores during the Fourth Plan period. Rs 36.99 crores was spent in the first four years of the Fourth Plan period leaving a balance of Rs 6.73 crores for expenditure during the current year (1973-74). He stated that a little over Rs 1.00 crore might be left unspent during the current year and this would be available for expenditure in the next year. He promised to furnish to the Committee the details of the sector-wise programmes taken up with these funds.

(Action. Finance & Planning Dept.)

4. Sri T. Purushotham Rao, Member desired to know the area within each region of the State identified as backward and the formula followed for regional allocations of Fifth Plan funds. The Secretary, Finance and Planning Department clarified that the Department had conducted some exercises in identifying the backward taluks in the State using various criteria and these exercises were made available to the Planning Board at its first meeting which has yet to take a decision in the matter. The Development Committee also would have an opportunity of examining these criteria. He also stated that the Centre had not yet taken a decision on the quantum and principles of distribution of Central assistance during the Fifth Plan period and therefore the Planning Commission have not finally decided the size of the State's Fifth Plan. They have tentatively indicated only a Plan of Rs. 1105.00 crores and the Finance and
Planning Department have taken up recasting the State's Fifth Plan conforming to this outlay. Copies of this document would be made available to the committee as soon as it was finalised. The Secretary, Finance and Planning Department also clarified that the Planning Board had not yet taken a decision on the question of regional allocations of fifth Plan funds.

5 Sri M Baga Reddy, Member stated that the limited water resources of Telangana region should be put to optimum use by adopting improved methods of water management such as sprinkler irrigation. He suggested taking up some pilot projects on sprinkler irrigation in the region. He also stated that the cost of maintenance of milch cattle had gone up considerable and therefore there was need for the revision of the procurement price of milk.

Action 1 Chief Engineer  
(Minor irrigation)

2 Director, Diary Development Department.

6. Several members enquired about the progress of electrification of the villages on the Haryana pattern selected by the T.R.C. The Secretary, Planning and Development Committee for Telangana explained the salient features of the Haryana pattern of rural electrification. Sri Paga Pullareddy, Member stated that the T.R.C. had allotted Rs 100 crore for electrifying 652 villages on the Haryana pattern; but subsequently the Electricity Board revised the proposals stating that Rs 143 crores would be required to electrify 601 villages (652 minus 51 covered under other schemes). With the amount of Rs 100 crore available in the current year, the Electricity Board agreed to electrify 460 villages covering 239 villages in the current year and the balance in the next year. He suggested that the programme of Rural Electrification on Haryana pattern should be reviewed at a subsequent meeting of the Committee after getting necessary information. He stated that there were substantial unspent balance of the funds made available under Special Development Programme with the Electricity Board and enquired the precise amount available. The Secretary, Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board clarified that there were no unspent balances from the funds made available from the Special Development programmes, but there were funds under the Osmania University Foundation Grant. He stated that the reinvestment of the grant in the past three years resulted in an accrual of Rs 158.00 lakhs of which the Electricity Board is expected to spend Rs 28.00 lakhs in the current year and the balance of Rs. 130.00 lakhs would be available for next year.
7 Sri P Govardhan Reddy, Member and Kum M Kamalamma, Member stated that though there was much demand for agricultural service connections, the Electricity Board had not been prompt in releasing the connections. Sri M. Sreemvasa Rao, Member stated that though voluntary contribution scheme was not completed under the Special Development programme, the local officials were still collecting contributions. The Chairman suggested to the Members to bring specific instances where voluntary contributions were still being collected by the local officials to his notice or to the notice of the Electricity Board for taking suitable action in the matter. He also stated that the whole programme of electrification of villages on the Haryana pattern has to be reviewed at a subsequent meeting and if necessary, suitable action has to be taken to provide adequate funds in the next years Plan for completion of this scheme. He desired the Electricity Board to give a detailed review of the progress of work done in the villages selected by the T.R.C. under the scheme.

(Action: Andhra Pradesh, State Electricity Board)

8. Sri K Rangadas, Member desired that detailed district-wise information on the schemes taken up under the Special Development Programme under rural electrification, minor irrigation and rural roads should be furnished to the members for review of progress at the next meeting. The Chairman agreed that this information should be furnished to the members for review at the next meeting.

(Action A.P. State Electricity Board)

ANNEXURE

List of Members and officials who attended the first meeting of the Planning and Development Committee for Telangana held at 3.00 p.m. on 11.3.1974 in the Committee Hall of the Secretariat:

1. Sri C. Jagannatha Rao
2. Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy
3. Sri Paga Pulla Reddy, M.L.A
4. Sri V.N. Goud, M.L.A
5. Sri K. Ranga Dass, M.L.A
6. Sri N. Anantha Reddy, M.L.A
7. Sri P. Krishna Rao, M.L.A
8. Sri M. Baga Reddy, M.L.A
9. Sri M. Srinivasa Rao, M.L.A
10. Sri T. Ranga Reddy, M.L.A

Chairman
Finance Minister
Member
ANNEXURE—Contd.

11. Sri Gaddanna, M L A
12. Sri Baddam Yella Reddy, M L A
13. Sri Takkallapalli Purushotham Rao, M.L A
14. Sri Mohammed Rajab Ali, M L A
15. Sri Pambalakatam Lingaiah, M L A
16. Sri T Venkatappaiah, M L C
17. Sri Palavai Goverdhan Reddy, M L A
18. Kum. Musapeta Kamalamma, M.L.A
19. Smt. J Eshwan Bai, M L A

OFFICIALS.
1. Sri B.P.R. Vithal,
   Secretary to Government,
   Finance and Planning Department
2. Sri M.B. Balraj,
   Deputy Secretary to Government,
   Finance and Planning Department and Secretary,
   Planning and Development Committee for Telangana
3. Sri K. Umapathy,
   Secretary,
   Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board
4. Sri K. Purushotham Naidu,
   Director of Social Welfare
5. Sri D. Balakrishna Rao
   Chief Engineer,
   (Nagarjunasagar Project)
6. Sri K. Krishna Mohan Rao,
   Chief Engineer (Roads and Buildings).
7. Sri Krishna Rao, Naram,
   Chief Engineer (Public Health)
8. Sri C.S. Venkatesam
   Joint Director of Tribal Welfare
9. Sri K.C. Asthana,
   Joint Director of Industries
10. Sri Md. Usman’
    Joint Registrar of Co-operative Societies (Planning).
11. Sri A.H. Khan,
    Deputy Director of Animal Husbandry (Vety)
12. Sri K.V. Ranga Reddy,
    Deputy Director of Public Instruction.
13. Sri K. Radhakrishna Rao,
Deputy Chief Engineer (Pochampad).

14. Sri S A R Ansari,
Deputy Chief Engineer (Irrigation)

Summary Record note of Proceedings of the first meeting of the Planning and Development Committee for Coastal Andhra held on 12-3-1974 in the Committee Hall, Secretariat, Hyderabad.

Opening the deliberations, the Chairman, pointed out the imperative need to reduce the gap in the levels of development of forward and backward areas in the region and for formulating a comprehensive strategy to secure the rapid development of backward pockets. He had briefly referred to the exercises already done in the Planning Department to identify backward areas on the basis of select indicators and added that this committee will have full opportunity to express its views on the subject once the State Planning Board, which at the moment is sized with the matter, gives its opinion. He also referred to the decision to earmark 10% percent of the outlay of the Annual Plan for 1974-75 for the backward areas. Sri N Srinivasulu Reddy, desired to know the basis for distribution of the 10% outlay in the ratio of 5:3:2 for Telangana, Rayalaseema and Coastal Andhra respectively. The Chairman and the Secretary, Planning Department explained the rationale behind this ratio. Sri N Srinivasulu Reddy, desired that the special assistance meant for Coastal Andhra should be announced as early as possible to facilitate the preparation of a detailed programme of action. He said that every effort should be made to dispel the feeling in the coastal Andhra that due share of the State resources is not being given to them. He pointed out that an anomaly exists in regard to the release of grants to the Municipalities for the maintenance of schools in Telangana and Coastal Andhra, whereas 100% grant is given to Municipalities in Telangana for this purpose, it is restricted to 50% in Coastal Andhra. He desired that action should be taken immediately to remove this discrepancy. Sri O. Venkata Subbaiah was of the view that wherever necessary, part of a taluk should also be declared as a backward area instead of restricting such identification process to taluks. There are several taluks in which many revenue firkas are very backward but when statistics are analysed at the taluk level, they might not effectively reflect this position. Sri Vasanta Nageswar Rao felt that identification of backward areas entirely base upon statistics collected some years ago may not give a correct picture. He also expressed the view that strong feeling is prevalent in Coastal Andhra as that while many areas in Telangana
and Coastal Andhra are being chosen for special treatment of considerations of backwardness, similar and comparable backward areas of Coastal Andhra are altogether being ignored.

2. The important of constituting District Study Groups with members of the Committee hailing from each district was stressed by Sri M. R. Appa Rao. He felt that unless detailed study of the programmes formulated is made at the district level, it would not be possible for the members to effectively participate in the formulation and influence the re-orientation of the programmes. He was also of the view that such study groups could also monitor the progress of the implementation of the programmes. Sri Chenchu Ramaiah, felt that a feeling is unfortunately prevalent that Coastal Andhra as a whole is progressing very-well. He drew pointed attention to the prevailing conditions of backwardness in the upland tracts and said that immediate remedial measures should be initiated to improve the conditions of living. He suggested taking up of community irrigation wells on extensive scale to provide element of certainty in the agricultural sector in the area. Sri Palavelli said that any decision of the State Planning Board regarding the identification of backward areas should be elastic enough to admit the suggestions and recommendations to be made by the Planning and Development Committees or otherwise this exercise will be self-defeating.

He also pointed out the urgent need delineate the jurisdiction and the functional role of the Committee. He also stressed the need to have a set procedure for conducting the deliberations in this Committee. In this regard, he suggested that subject-wise sub-committees should be constituted for better co-ordination with the Departmental Heads and for building up necessary expertise and knowledge for effectively reviewing the progress of implementation. He also highlighted the need to draw-up the schemes well in advance. He also felt that the feeling that the Coastal Andhra is being ignored in the matter of allocation of resources should not be allowed to recur. Sri P Sambasiva Raju pointed out that the declaration of some blocks as backward had not taken into account the changed conditions and subsequent developments. For instance, he felt that a number of blocks which were declared as backward in Guntur district should no longer be so regarded after the completion of the Nagarjunasagar Project, which has altered the profile of agricultural sector substantially in the area. Sri Veeranjaneyya Sarma, highlighted the need for a constant dialogue between the Committee Members and the District Officials. He expressed the view that detailed discussions with the District Officials a prerequisite for formulation and implementation of successful programmes.

3. Sri Areti Kotaiah, felt that the allocation of 10% of the plan outlay for the backward areas is inadequate. He also referred to certain
projects like the Moosi Project near Ongole, which were proposed to be taken up long time ago but could not be put on ground for want of availability of adequate resources. Meanwhile, the cost of these projects is sharply raising. He therefore, felt that adequate provision should be made available at least now so that some of the long pending projects could be executed without further delay. He also pointed out the anomaly that certain better developed areas in Rayalaseema are being declared as backward while Ongole taluk is not considered to be so. He also felt that the constitution of the District Committees would go a long way in formulating a realistic strategy of development for these areas. Sri K Ramulu felt that the tendency to regard certain districts as forward ignoring the internal pockets of backwardness is to be corrected. He gave the instance of West Godavari district, where even though a large part of the district is prosperous, there are areas like Polavaram taluk, which are highly backward and are inhabited by tribals. He stressed the need to take up immediate schemes for providing protected water to several villages in this area and also for taking up minor irrigation works. Smt. Vijayalaksmi, expressed the view that all backward areas should have to be identified and a practical programme evolved to deal with their problems on an emergency basis. Smt Sarojanamma suggested that areas like Srikantham, which are exposed to constant natural calamities like drought and floods should be given special preference. She suggested that all members should be given an opportunity to inspect the problematic areas so that they can have first-hand knowledge of the problems they are trying to tackle. Sri V K Krishna Rao made the suggestion that the meeting of the Committee should be held in every district. He also felt that this Committee should see that the Central Assistance for energising all the existing diesel pump-sets is fully availed off. Sri Koneswar Rao drew pointed attention to the very difficult conditions of life in upland areas and added immediate steps should be taken to ameliorate the lot of the people in these areas.

4. In his concluding remarks, the Chairman thanked the members for the valuable suggestions they have made.

R DASARATHARAMA REDDY,
CHAIRMAN

J VENGAL RAO,
CHIEF MINISTER.

Summary Record of the Proceedings of the First Meeting of the Planning and Development Committee for Rayalaseema held at 3:00 PM on 12-3-1974 in the Committee Hall of the Secretariat.

Opening the discussion of the Committee, the Chairman traced the history of the efforts made in the past to eradicate the famine.
Oral Answers to Questions. 3rd February, 1975.

Rayalaseema area right from the year 1938 when the Famine Relief Committee was first set up. He praised the recent efforts made under the erstwhile Planning and Development Board for Rayalaseema, appreciated the good work done in drawing up a Master Plan for securing a level of income and development which is considered acceptable and hoped that necessary resources would be available through Pan and through special assistance to be given by the Centre, for translating this plan into a reality.

2 The discussions of the Committee centered around the following subjects —

(i) Ground Water Survey — One of the members desired to know actual extent which had been effectively surveyed by the Ground-water Survey, the potential identified and the action programme if any, suggested by the Department for the Rayalaseema region. Another member pointed out that the Single major factor that is inhabiting the tapping of Ground-Water is the uncertainty of succeeding in the effort and therefore suggested that this Department should be able to pin-point areas where the ryots would take up the wells without any risk. The Members, desired to have a specific report in this regard from the Ground Water Survey Department.

(ii) Electricity — Several members explained about the frequent breakdowns, Low voltage and the irregular supply during power. One member specifically pointed out that in Madanapalli area burnt out transformers have not been replaced for months together. While appreciating the need to restrict the hours of supply of power because of the gap in the generation and demand, all the members were unanimous that whatever power could be supplied to Agricultural Sector, it should be made available during fixed hours without interruptions. They also pointed out that wide publicity of the hours of supply of power should be given among the villages. The Secretary, Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board and the Chief Engineer (Operation) while explaining the general difficulties in maintaining the voltage and prompt replacement of transformers promised to see that the position in the supply of power improves. In particular the Secretary, Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board pointed out that specific instructions have already been given to the Superintending Engineer to shut down industrial load if necessary so that power supply could be ensured to agriculturists. He also requested the Planning and Development Committee for allotment of special funds for system improvement without which it would be difficult to bring about any substantial change in the position. He suggested that out of the funds earmarked for rural electrification 25 percent may be allotted for system improvement. Some members, however pointed out...
that while necessary investment will have to be made for securing system improvement it may not be practicable or even desirable to divert for this purpose any portion of the limited resources available at the disposal of the Development Committee as it would impede the village electrification programme. They therefore felt that the funds required for the improvement of the system would have to be located from within the regular budget of the Electricity Board itself.

3. Secretary, Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board has further clarified that an amount of about Rs 100 lakhs is being carried forward for electrification of the villages during the year 1974-75 from out of the special funds released to the Board so far. The members desired to know the district-wise breakup of the balances available as also the villages that have already been electrified and/or are proposed to be electrified during 1974-75. One member specifically referred to a case where a sum of Rs 189 lakhs was sought for by Divisional Engineer, Electricity, Anantapur for rural electrification of the 1972-73 Schemes but was not released by the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board so far. The information regarding progress of rural electrification district-wise in the Rayalaseema region as also for the other two regions of the State has been sought by some members.

(iii) Irrigation — Many members generally complained against the tardy progress of the Tungabhadra High Level canal. Specific instances about the anomalies in the localisation of ayacut under Urvakonda channel have been pointed out.

4. Regarding the Tungabhadra Low Level Canal a member pointed out that the discharge in the canal in the second crop period is short of the entitlement by about 30 to 40 cusecs. It is said that while the entitlement is 725 cusecs the actual discharge is anything below 690 cusecs. The member therefore requested that the matter may be taken up with the concerned so that the position could be set right without any further loss to the standing crops.

5. One member pointed out that if Rajolibanda right side canal is taken up, an area of about 50,000 acres could be additionally brought under irrigation, and for this only an amount of Rs 2.42 crores would be needed. He desired that the scheme should be investigated in detail by the Chief Engineer. Some members made a forceful plea for the implementation of Siddeswaram Project and Vardarajaswamgudi Project which have already been investigated. They desired that suitable provision may be made in the Fifth Plan itself.

(iv) Industries — Some members felt that the decision of the Government declaring certain areas as subsidy tracts would not result in any actual industrialisation as the overall disincentives for starting new industrial units...
in this area in the final analysis, outweigh the subsidies provided. The alternative suggestion was made for extending subsidy tract to cover some of the partially industrialised areas in this region as ultimately the benefits from any such new industries would have a desirable impact on the surrounding backward areas also. In this context the members felt that the areas in the Rayalaseema such as Rayachoti, Badwel and Adoni if declared as subsidy tracts would give a strong impetus for industrialisation and suggested that this matter should be taken up with the Central Government.

6 One member desired to know the quantum of investments that flowed into the Rayalaseema area under the State and Central sectors as also the amount of institutional finances that were made available for taking up industrial ventures in this area.

7 Some members referred to the potential for the development of Sericulture in Madakasira area and suggested that the Government should come out with specific plans for development of this very useful and employment generating industry.

(v) Protected water supply schemes — Members felt that having regard to the peculiar position of the Rayalaseema region, due priority would have to be given to protected water supply schemes and rural water supply schemes. The members brought to the notice of the Committee specific instances that have to be undertaken on top priority basis in Uravakonda and Madakasira.

(vi) Communications — One member pointed out about the almost non-existent communications in Satyaveedu taluk. Another member pointed the imperative need to take up the construction of a new railway line linking up Hindupur to Chitradurga via Madakasira.

(vii) Exemption from registration fee:— A member referred to the striking anomaly of registration fees being collected in respect of the houses allotted by the State Co-op Housing Societies Federation whereas similar transactions in Telangana are exempted from such fees. He wanted that immediate action should be taken to have a similar exemption granted for Rayalaseema area.

8 Later the following resolution were passed unanimously by the Committee:

RESOLVED THAT

(1) This committee requests the State Government of Andhra Pradesh to include ‘Siddeswaram Irrigation Project’ in the V Plan by diverting the waters from the Krishna as had been surveyed by the Khosala Committee.

(2) This Committee reiterates the resolution passed by the Rayalaseema Development Board on 3-9-1972 regarding the allocation
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of Krishna Waters, from Srisailam project, resolves to request the State Government to immediately take steps for investigating the irrigation potentialities of the Srisailam Project for the benefit of the Drought prone areas of Rayalaseema.

(3) This committee requests the Central Government to allot at least 50 crores out side the State Plan, for the completion of the Srisailam project, (both for irrigation and Hydro Electric power generation).

K. B. NARASAPPA,
Chairman,
Planning & Development Committee
for Rayalaseema.

J. VENGALA RAO,
Chief Minister

SUMMARY RECORD NOTE OF DISCUSSION DURING THE SECOND MEETING OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE FOR RAYALASEEMA HELD ON 25-5-1974 AT TIRUPATHI

The Chairman and thirteen members as per list enclosed were present.

2 The Chairman in his introductory remarks on the subject dealing with 'identification of backward areas in the State', explained his discussions with the Secretary to Government, Finance and Planning Department and the Chairmen of the Committees for Coastal Andhra and Telangana. The significance and the result of the various exercises conducted by the Planning Department was explained by the Deputy Secretary to Government.

3. One member suggested that the Rayalaseema region should get at least 35% from the special assistance to be given and not in the ratio of 5:3:2 hitherto adopted. Quite a few members argued that the inadequacy of rains, erratic nature of the monsoon, the number of days of rainfall and the lack of drinking water should be given weight and Rayalaseema Region should not be treated on an equal footing with other areas. It was, therefore, suggested that additional weightage should be given to the factors indicated and suitable indicators adopted for determining the indices of each taluk afresh.

4 Another member argued that the entire Anantapur districts should be declared as backward and drought affected.

5. After further discussion, it was agreed that the taluks figuring in any two of the exercises, together with such of the drought affected areas, which do not come under any two of the exercises, should be taken as the backward areas of the region. It was
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further suggested that the funds should be allocated for the region on the basis of the population of the areas thus identified. A resolution was passed unanimously accordingly.


6. It was generally pointed out by the members that the sanctions that expired last year due to lack of time should be re-validated. Secretary, Rayalaseema Planning and Development Committee explained that necessary steps have been taken in this regard and that proposals have been sent to the Finance Department for inclusion in the budget proposals for this year. These proposals also include an amount Rs 114.87 lakhs earmarked for rural electrification for the year 1973-74. Several members suggested that utilisation of the funds earmarked for electrification should be done in consultation with the Planning and Development Committee for Rayalaseema. They also stated that no diversion should be made without the knowledge and approval of the Planning and Development Committee for Rayalaseema. It was further suggested that such allocations for the amounts left for the year 1973-74 should be done in consultation with the Collectors and other Heads of Departments as was done earlier for the Rayalaseema Development Board.

7. The schemes under the Rayalaseema Development Board for the year 1973-74 were reviewed. It was urged that the Government sanctions for re-validation should be obtained early and implementation should be expeditious.

8. Several members raised the issue that Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board had not utilised the funds earmarked for rural electrification for the schemes or which they are intended and consequently at a large number of places, rural electrification schemes approved under Rayalaseema Development Board have come to a standstill. The members, therefore, desired to know the estimate for each village, expenditure incurred thereon and the balance of work to be completed if any. While it was on the one hand mentioned that the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board had still about Rs 110 lakhs with them out of the funds released up to 1973, at a number of places electrification works had come to a standstill and the Field Officers are on the other hand expressing a view that no funds have been released. The members also stated that while the Rayalaseema Development Board had recommended a number of villages for rural electrification, the Electricity Board had turned up with the plea that they were not remunerative and therefore alternative villages have to be selected. A point was raised that irrespective of the fact whether a scheme is remunerative or not when the funds required are being met out of the special assistance provided for the backward regions the schemes should be implemented. It was suggested that this matter
should be taken up with the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board. The members stated that the Planning and Development Committee for Rayalaseema should be consulted for selection of alternate villages if at all necessary and this should not be decided by any other agency.

9 One member wanted to know the allocations under normal plan for the three regions for the past ten years and the expenditure incurred. It was stated that colossal amounts were spent on Nagarjunasagar Projects which benefit Coastal Andhra and Telangana regions, whereas KC Canal has not been fully developed and the amount spent does not exceed Rs 5 crores. The amount spent on irrigation projects in Rayalaseema as compared to Nagarjunasagar and other projects is negligible and therefore extra amounts should be allotted to Rayalaseema exclusively over and above its normal share.

10 A member raised a doubt as to whether the plan outlay for the entire state is one of the basis of Regional allocation of 5:3:2 or only a particular percentage of the plan outlay was based on this. It was clarified that 10% of the Plan outlay had been hitherto earmarked for backward regions and allocated on the basis of 5:3:2. Several members raised the issue whether the State Assistance now expected from the Government of India would be over and above the normal releases for backward regions or adjusted against amount. After some discussion it was urged by the committee that whatever is released on the basis of Planning Commission recommendations etc for backward districts should not be adjusted against the proposed releases and the Spl. assistance to be provided now should be over and above. It was urged that this point should be pursued with the Government.

11 Another member enquired whether there is any proposal to constitute Sub-committees. Several members suggested that Sub-committees should be formed for keeping a close watch over the implementation of development schemes.

The following resolutions were passed by the Committee—

1 Resolved that all taluks which figures in any two of the taluk exercises and all drought affected taluks (as identified for DPA) should be identified as the backward areas in the Rayalaseema region and allocation worked out on the basis of the population of the backward areas.

2 Resolved to impress on the Government of Andhra Pradesh the urgency for allocating Krishna river waters for use in the Rayalaseema Region and to expeditiously investigate and implement the irrigation projects as detailed in the previous resolutions.

Further resolved to fully authorise the Chairman, Rayalaseema Planning and Development Committee to pursue the matter with the
Government of Andhra Pradesh vigorously and take such further appropriate steps as may be necessary.

3. The Chairman and members of Rayalaseema Planning and Development Committee place on record their appreciation of the significant advance made by the country in harnessing Nuclear energy for peaceful purposes, as evidenced by the underground implosion of a nuclear device.

Resolved to congratulate the scientists who contributed to the singular achievement.

K B NARASAPPA,  
Chairman,  
Planning & Development Committee  
for Rayalaseema

J VENGAL RAO,  
Chief Minister

List of members and officials who attended the second meeting of the Planning & Development Committee for Rayalaseema held at 10-30 A M on 25-3-1974 in the meeting Hall of Sri Venkateswara University at Tirupathi.

2. Sri P. Rahman Khan, MLA  
3. Sri E Ayyappa Reddy, MLA  
4. S B Basappa, MLA  
5. Sri T Ranga Reddy, MLA  
6. Sri A Venkata Reddy, MLA.  
7. Sri P V. Chowdary, MLA  
8. Sri M Yellappa, MLA.  
9. Sri D Surya Prakash Reddy, MLC  
10. Sri Habibulla Mahal, MLA  
11. Sri S. P. Nagi Reddy, MLA.  
12. Sri Vijayasikhamani, MLA.  
13. Sri C Dass, MLA.  
14. Sri N P Veera Raghavulu Naidu, MLC.  

Officials :  
1. Deputy Secretary to Government and Secretary of the Planning and Development Committee for Rayalaseema  
2. Sri M S Rajaji,  
3. Sri K C Misra,  
4. Sri Rahma Mathur,  
5. Sri M. Satyanarayana,  
6. Sri B Papa Rao,  
7. Sri K. Ramachandra Reddy,  

32—4
MINUTES OF THE SECOND MEETING OF THE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE FOR COASTAL ANDHRA HELD AT JUBILEE HALL HYDERABAD ON 30-5-1972

Initiating the discussions, the Chairman briefly explained the scope and functions of the Planning and development Committee as set out in the relevant Government order and briefed the members about the background against which the matter regarding the identification of backward areas was referred to the Committee for its views and recommendations. He also gave a comparative picture of the outcome of various exercises conducted by the Planning Department as also the exercise conducted by the Osmania University regarding the identification of backward taluks in the State.

The Chairman added that no exercise could be perfect and certain oddities and anomalies in the classification of the areas were inevitable. But however, the members should approach the problem with objectivity and with a view to secure the maximum acceptability of the conclusions arrived at. Sarvassri N. Srinivasulu Reddy, M.R. Appa Rao and A. Kotayya, felt that the identification of backward areas could be more accurately done if Firkas are taken as a unit. The Secretary, Finance and Planning Department explained at length the difficulties involved in embarking upon an exercise to determine the backward areas starting with Firkas as a unit primarily because of the absence of adequate statistics covering the relevant sectoral activities. He added that collection and analysis of data may take three months or more, and even then the particulars may not be available for all sectors. He pointed out that any delay in identification of backward areas would inevitably slow down the process of formulating developmental programmes of such areas.

In this connection, while placing before the Committee a letter received from the Minister for P.W.D (Roads & Buildings), in which a suggestion was made for identification of backward areas on the basis of Firkas, the Chairman appreciated that difficulties were involved in implementing the suggestion. Sri P.V. Ramana, suggested that a distinction should be made between areas having assured irrigation facilities and those which are irrigated under wells and small tanks, as otherwise, the indicator relating to the proportion of irrigated area to the total area is likely to give a distorted picture. Sri Y. Krishna Rao, felt that the indicator, relating to hospital beds and road mileage, if taken into account, should be given a lower weightage, compared to other indicators reflecting the activity in agricultural and industrial sectors. He agreed with the weightage given to agricultural sector in the exercises conducted by the Planning Dept, but felt that the latest figures regarding productivity etc should have been taken into account. He also agreed that Firkay
level identification of backward areas would give a more accurate picture. He added that if on the basis of identification of backward areas now being conducted, the proportion for allocation of earmarked funds between Telangana, Rayalseema and Coastal Andhra works out to be significantly different from the existing formula of 5:3:2 then the Government should not be reluctant to accept this new ratio.

After a detailed discussion, the Committee agreed that the exercise given in Table (6) of the supplementary notes i.e based on exercise 5 and taking taluks up to an index No of 125 and allocating funds in inverse proportion would be the most appropriate. However, working out of this for the Firkas level may not be possible due to information regarding roads not being available. Therefore the Committee agreed that provisionally all the taluks with an index of 125 and below according to exercise (4) conducted by the Department be identified as backward and that the allocating of earmarked funds and special assistance for development of backward areas be made among those taluks inversely proportional to the index arrived in this exercise for the taluk weighted by population. The Committee desired that for remaining taluks in the State, an exercise may be taken up for identification of backward Firkas among them based on the same indicators as were adopted for exercise (4) and the results thereof, placed before it for consideration.

During the discussions, the members felt that the scope, powers and functions of the Planning and Development Committee should be defined in more specific terms particularly in the areas of formulation of sectoral programmes and reallocations of funds among sectors on one side and among different taluks and districts on the other. They desired that the Government should be approached to give a clear order on the subject.

The following resolutions were unanimously followed by the Committee.

(1) "This Committee resolves and recommends to the State Government that more funds should be allotted to Minor Irrigation that in pursuance of the policy decision of the State Government to complete all spill-over works expeditiously, funds available should be allotted on the basis of outstanding spill-over works in each district and direction be issued to expedite and complete the spill-over works so that benefits may accrue to the ayacutdars in the next two years."

(2) "Resolved to the request the Government of Andhra Pradesh to electrify at least four new villages in each taluks of Coastal Districts under normal programme in 1974-75, in consultation with the local legislators and keeping the view the irrigation potential and the present level of electrification."

Sri J. Vengala Rao Chief Minister
R. Dasarathama Reddy
Chairman Planning & Development Committee For Coastal Andhra
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Present

Sri C. Jagannatha Rao, Chairman.

Members

1. Sri Paga Pulla Reddy, MLA.
2. Sri K. Ranga Das, MLA.
3. Sri P. Kishan Rao, MLA
4. Sri M Baga Reddy, MLA
5. Sri Massood Ahmad, MLA
6. Sri Gaddanna, MLA.
7. Sri Takkallapalli Purshotham Rao, MLA
8. Sri M Srinivasa Rao, MLA
9. Dr Pambala Katam Lingaiah, MLA
10. Sri Palvai Goverdhan Reddy, MLA
11. Sri C. Rajanarasimha, MLA
12. Kum Musapeta Kamalamma, MLA.
13. Smt J Eswari Bai, MLA
14. Sri N Anantha Reddy, MLA
15. Sri Baddam Yella Reddy, MLA.
16. Sri Mohammed Rajab Ali, MLA

Specil Invitee.

Sri R Dasaratharama Reddy,
Chairman,
Coastal Andhra Planning & Development Committee

OFFICIALS

1. Sri B.P.R Vithal,
   Secretary to Government,
   Finance & Planning Department
2. Sri Y. Venugopal Reddy,
   Deputy Secretary to Govt.
   Finance & Planning (Plg Wing)
   Dept., Secretary, Telangana Planning Development Committee

The discussion was initiated by Sri Pulla Reddy when he mentioned that a meeting of the Telangana Committee was convened with the idea of deciding on the allocations of Central assistance as between different schemes. He wondered whether any purpose would be served if the present meeting is held since no such Central assistance has actually been received.
2 The Chairman explained the background of the meeting. It was explained that the Chief Minister had opined that we might get central assistance even by this meeting. Anyway we are expecting assistance. More important, even if we get money we should know where to spend. So identification is needed. This issue was discussed in the meeting of the State Planning Board. The Board opined that the Members of the Planning Committee for the various regions should meet and discuss this issue. Consequently the three Chairman, Secretary and other officials met and decided that uniform and agreeable norms should be accepted for purpose of identification. On the basis of some suggestions made in these meetings some other exercises were made. We almost arrived at a consensus but a final view could not be taken, though it was scheduled. The scheduled meeting of the Chairman could not take a final view, since the Chairman of Rayalasema could not attend.

3 Consequently, the Committee for Rayalasema region has expressed some views at Tirupathi. Yesterday the Committee for Coastal Andhra met and expressed its own views. Thus, while Rayalasema seems to prefer any two exercises subject to adding of the areas declared as drought affected, the Coastal Andhra region seems to prefer the exercise (4). Now this meeting is held to facilitate a decision on norms and exercises. We should take a view in the interests of backward areas of this region in the context of the development of the backward areas of the State.

4 Sri Baga Reddy, then enquired whether it is true that Rs 18.00 crores has already been sanctioned towards central assistance for this year.

5 The Chairman replied that in principle, the Government of India, appears to have agreed to Rs 18.00 crores per year. However, whatever we get for the state as special assistance, it will have to be distributed.

6 Smt Eswani Bai enquired whether this Rs 18.00 crores is per region or for entire State to be distributed among all regions.

7 Secretary (Finance & Planning) explained that this was only an indication was given by the Chairman that it may be Rs 18.00 crores for the whole state. Based on our conclusions with regard to identification of backward areas, we can distribute this allotment among different regions.

8 Sri Purushotham Rao expressed that before deciding on any exercise, an overall view has to be taken.

9 Sri Baga Reddy then felt that it is time that we discuss the indicators and exercise.
10. The Chairman, continuing explained that the present meeting is really meant to discuss the indicators and exercises. Each exercise is an improvement over the other. The Planning Commission indicators were all fully studied and analysed. Since one is an improvement over the other, exercise (5) seems to be good. The Chairman, Costal Andhra, during initial discussions had once expressed that those which satisfy any two exercises (Exercise I having been excluded from consideration because it was crude), could be considered. As per this suggestion, an exercise was done. This is placed before this committee. Yet another way was to consider those taluks above an index of 125 as not backward. Then graded points are given for the taluks of 125 and below. An exercise was done on this basis also. At that stage, he (i.e., Chairman Telangana Committee) has made a suggestion that there may be a few taluks even below 50 or 60 points in the index. Therefore, instead of having a graded method, an exercise could be done in inverse order, so that the degree of backwardness is built into this system, those which are very backward will get lot more. An exercise was done on this basis also. This is also before the Committee.

11. A final view could not be taken on these matters. In a way, due to this, to some extent, the work on the exercises could not be fully used. For each region had adopted different views already. He stated that in this background, we have to take a view since there is no agreed view to adopt.

12. Sri Srimvasa Rao, enquired as to what were the considered of other regional committees.

13. The Secretary, Finance and Planning Department explained that those taluks which satisfy two exercises and all the drought-affected taluks as well as tribal areas were proposed to be treated as backward by the Committee for Rayalaseema. This is what he understood, though the proceedings were not yet received.

14. The Chairman, indicated that Secretary, Finance and Planning Department may give full briefing on all the exercises also so that the Committee can appreciate the recommendations of other regional committees with reference to these exercises.

15. The Secretary, Finance and Planning Department accordingly briefly explained the position. The purpose of the meeting is that if special assistance is given by Government of India, it is for the development of backward areas only. So the Government of India might ask for identification of backward areas. If Government of India asks for proposals for special assistance, the State Government should be able to say what are the backward areas. The State Planning Board therefore, desired identification. In fact, the State Planning Board
has to identify the backward areas on the basis of uniform criteria. However, the Chief Minister, in the inaugural meeting of the Regional Committees desired discussion on this issue in the Committees for the various regions. So the matter is being discussed in this Committee also though a final decision would rest with the State Planning Board.

16 During the Fourth Five Year Plan, 10% allocation was made for backward areas. For this purpose, the Planning Commission ranked the districts on the basis of 23 indicators. Five districts namely, the four delta districts of Coastal Andhra and Hyderabad, were thus eliminated. On the basis of the population inhabited by the other districts a proportion of 5:3:2 was arrived at. Subsequently at the State level, it was decided to take units less than the districts since there are backward areas within a district though the district as a whole may appear not so backward. For this purpose, a taluk was considered ideal, since it is more stable unit and since statistics are available only at taluk level. Accordingly, the present exercises were made taking the taluk level. Sri Pulla Reddy, intervening, suggested that Firka level could be taken since statistics are available.

17 The Secretary, Finance and Planning Department continuing explained that there are statistics even for village level, but a far more detailed and separate exercise would be needed if this is to be done. Continuing, the Secretary explained that having accepted the taluk level for the present exercise, the Planning Commission indicators were analysed. There were a number of indicators which are directly correlated resulting in a sort of duplication or added weightage to some characteristics. By removing these deficiencies and taking into account the availability of statistics, 7 indicators were selected for use. Having selected the indicators the method adopted by the Planning Commission namely, the ranking method was used. But this appeared unscientific since the difference between the different backward areas were ordered in the seriatum is assumed to be uniform which is not correct. The degree of difference in levels of development as between districts in a given indicator is not reflected in the ranking method. So index method was adopted to get over this difficulty. Adopting the index method, a second exercise was done. The analysis was continued and some improvements were made, resulting in four different exercises, based on index method. As stated by the Chairman, the successive exercises are improvements over the earlier ones. After these exercises were done, the three Chairmen of the Committees for three regions met. One more exercise was suggested whereby all taluks which are backward according to two exercises out of these four could be considered. Yet another suggestion was to treat those with an index of 125 and above only as not backward instead of a cut off point at 100 itself. On this basis a graded weightage was given as in the pattern of community development blocks. This was also done as an
additional exercise. Yet another suggestion was to take all those with an index of 125 and below and use only inverse method instead of graded method. This was suggested by the Chairman, Telangana Committee. This was also done. The result of all these exercises were also placed before the Committee. Sri Purushotham Rao, intervening, enquired as to what would be the results if we restricted the exercises to an index of 100 only.

18. The Secretary, Finance and Planning explained that it was in the earlier exercise that the taluks upto 100 only were considered. In the exercise adopting inverse proportion index upto 125 was taken. The Costal Andhra Committee decided that the principle of inverse-proportion may be done on the basis of exercise four instead of exercise 5. In addition the Committee desired that information may be collected in due course treating Firka or revenue circle as a unit.

19. Sri Govardhana Reddy, enquired as to whether there were any statistics for blocks. The Secretary, Finance and Planning explained that the statistics are not as comprehensive for a block as they are for taluk.

20. Sri Rajanarasimha expressed that a Firka or a revenue circle is very important. He suggested that it is better if it is done.

21. The Chairman, while agreeing that it may be better expressed that it will take time. It may be better to decide now without waiting for revenue circle exercise which might be done later.

22. The Secretary, Finance and Planning Department concluded by explaining that the number of taluks that may be covered as backward by exercise is different from the proportion of assistance that would be available to a region. The number of taluks may increase in a particular region on the basis of a particular exercise but the proportion of assistance that might be available would come down, because similar increase but not a proportionate increase would come about in respect of other regions also. The Secretary expressed that all these exercises and aspects could be carefully considered and the Committee could give its views.

23. Sri Purushotham Rao, mentioned that the Planning Commission had done some exercise. Maharashtra had done some thing else. In all these, education seems to have been given a higher weightage which was as it would be. He enquired why we should not develop a case on the basis of education sector or giving it a 42% weightage as in the Planning Commission exercise.

24. The Secretary, Finance and Planning explained that the ratio of 5:3.2 had been arrived at on this exercise only be stated that.
oral Answers to Questions.

3rd February, 1975.

Economic backwardness includes other factors and educational backwardness alone cannot be taken as the criterion.

25. Sri Ananta Reddy, explained that because of Hyderabad city the taluks of Hyderabad East and West had been shown as forward. The Secretary, Finance and Planning clarified that city statistics affected Hyderabad urban but not Hyderabad, East and West. The Chairman said that this point can be verified whether there is any effect of the city statistics on Hyderabad East and West. Sri Baga Reddy, referring to page 62 of the agenda notes enquired as to what this was. The Secretary, explained that this relates to only district allotment on the basis of Maharashtra pattern. Sri Baga Reddy felt that we should take some of these characteristics mentioned in Maharashtra exercise also. Secretary, Finance and Planning explained that this exercise was for total plan allocations and the indicators for backwardness were those used in the exercises except for Scheduled Caste or Tribe population.

26. Sri Srinivasa Rao, intervened and expressed that in all exercise four taluks are forward in Nizamabad but there were backward areas in these taluks also which were not even Firkas.

27. The Chairman, expressed that this was natural and could not be taken into account while allocating funds some of which could be set apart for such areas. Sri Govardhan Reddy as well as Sri Purushotham Rao felt that Warangal taluk was really backward, but it was coming up as forward. Sri Ananta Reddy, stated that Warangal problem may be the same as that of Hyderabad because of the influence of big town. Sri Baga Reddy, explained that the areas that are now more developed are so because of irrigation facilities. So we must give more weightage for irrigation facilities.

28. The Secretary, Finance and Planning Department clarified that there was lot of weightage for irrigation facilities built in since the four indicators for the agricultural sectors reflect in a way irrigation facilities also. However, as far as the figures used were concerned they are only those available upto-date. Therefore some areas now shown as backward may be getting large irrigation facilities in future. We could therefore consider putting a condition that the special assistance should not be used in areas likely to benefit from irrigation facilities, during the Fifth Plan period.

29. Sri Purushotham Rao, felt that if drought areas are included in the list of backward areas, then there may be some areas in the taluks declared as backward which assured irrigation facilities since irrigation facilities were not perhaps taken into account in delineating drought areas.
The Secretary, Finance and Planning Department agreed that the rainfall was taken into account for identifying drought areas and not irrigation facilities but in taking up special programmes such as the Drought Prone Areas Programme areas benefiting from irrigation facilities were excluded and the same precaution may have to be taken now.

Sri Purushotam Rao, agreed that even in these allocations we should exclude irrigated areas.

Smt. Eshwari Bai, elaborated the importance of irrigation facilities for the agricultural sector and the need to have lot of irrigation projects.

The Chairman, explained that priority for allocation was the second step. The first step is identifying backward areas. Then when we get the funds the second step would be to decide how to develop the backward areas. Such a development can be done through two days Normal Plan allocation and the funds we get as special assistance. Now this meeting is specially meant for determining the principles for identifying backward areas. The Chairman stated that review of expenditure under T R C funds etc., asked for by Smt. Eshwari Bai will be done later in another meeting.

The Chairman then explained that the question of exercises at firka levels will be taken up later. For the present we have taluk level exercise.

Smt Eshwari Bai, enquired as to where is the need for again going to taluk level having divided the State into three regions. The Secretary, Finance and Planning explained that identification is necessary to know what are the backward areas in the region where special assistance would be utilised. Further the State planning Board has to decide allocations on the basis of such identification.

Sri Baddam Yella Reddy, suggested that since exercise one was considered unscientific and exercises (2) to (5) are basically good and each is an improvement over the other, exercise 5 should be taken as the best and adopted as being more scientific and rational.

Sri Palla Reddy also expressed that exercise (5) was the best.

Sri Rangadas stated that in all only about 8 taluks are excluded from all exercises and further exercises may not be necessary. The allocation may be on the basis of these or 5 3 2.

Sri Kishan Rao felt that we have taken only positive indicators. We should take negative indicators also. For instance the negative indicators are excise, commercial taxes and entertainment taxes etc., These negative indicators will shown if the areas is forward
30 The Secretary, Finance and Planning Department agreed that the rainfall was taken into account for identifying drought areas and not irrigation facilities but in taking up special programmes such as the Drought Prone Areas Programme areas benefitting from irrigation facilities were excluded and the same precaution may have to be taken now.

31 Sri Purushotam Rao, agreed that even in these allocations we should exclude irrigated areas.

32 Smt. Eshwari Bai, elaborated the importance of irrigation facilities for the agricultural sector and the need to have lot of irrigation projects.

33 The Chairman, explained that priority for allocation was the second step. The first step is identifying backward areas. Then when we get the funds the second step would be to decide how to develop the backward areas. Such a development can be done through two days. Normal Plan allocation and the funds we get as special assistance. Now this meeting is specially meant for determining the principles for identifying backward areas. The Chairman stated that review of expenditure under T R C funds etc., asked for by Smt Eshwari Bai will be done later in another meeting.

34 The Chairman then explained that the question of exercises at frika levels will be taken up later. For the present we have taluk level exercise.

35 Smt Eshwari Bai, enquired as to where is the need for going to taluk level having divided the State into three regions. The Secretary, Finance and Planning explained that identification is necessary to know what are the backward areas in the region where special assistance would be utilised. Further the State planning Board has to decide allocations on the basis of such identification.

36 Sri Baddam Yella Reddy, suggested that since exercise one was considered unscientific and exercises (2) to (5) are basically good and each is an improvement over the other, exercise 5 should be taken as the best and adopted as being more scientific and rational.

37 Sri Rangadas stated that in all only about 8 taluks are excluded from all exercises and further exercises may not be necessary. The allocation may be on the basis of these 5 3 2.

38 Sri Pilla Reddy also expressed that exercise (5) was the best.

39 Sri Kishan Rao felt that we have taken only positive indicators. We should take negative indicators also. For instance the negative indicators are excise, Commercial taxes and entertainment taxes etc. These negative indicators will show if the areas is forward.
The concensus of the committee was expressed by the Chairman and unanimously agreed by the Members present that Exercise (5) should be accepted as the basis for identification with funds being allocated in inverse ratio. It should also be attempted to do identification at Firka level in due course.

A supplementary item was taken up by the Chairman on the basis of a letter written by one of the Members viz., Smt. Eshwari Bai. The chairman read out the letter and explained the position regarding each item. Firstly, regarding only one item being on the agenda, it was explained that this was a special meeting meant to discuss only one item. Secondly, the notes are the same for all the Committees because identification of backward areas should be, as far as possible, on acceptable norms for the State as a whole. Thirdly, regarding the suggestions that we should discuss Telangana surplus, allocation etc., this could be discussed in a separate meeting. Fourthly, regarding the allocation of Godavari Waters etc., the Chairman stated that if a proposal for the agenda was received it could be considered for discussion later. Fifthly, regarding the terms of reference of this committee, these are clear from the orders constituting the committee which are already circulated.

The Chairman concluded by informing the Members that the next meeting might be held sometime during the Assembly Session.

C Jagannatha Rao,
Chairman,
Planning & Development Committee for Telangana.

J. Vengala Rao,
Chief Minister.

Minutes of the meeting of the planning and Development Committee for Coastal Andhra held at Jubilee Hall on the 16th August, 74.

The Chairman, initiating the discussions, informed the Members about the decisions arrived at by the State Planning Board in its meeting held on 12th August, 1974 regarding:

(a) Identification of backward areas;

(b) Allocation of Central Assistance between Telangana, Rayalaseema and Coastal Andhra areas, and

(c) The procedure for finalising the schemes to be executed with this assistance.
Oral Answers to Questions. 3rd February, 1975

Replied to the observation made by the Chairman, that allocation of 10% of the State plan outlay is only notional and the amount is not actually available now for taking up schemes for development of backward areas, the Secretary, Finance and Planning Department explained that the Annual Plan for 1974-75, had to be formulated even before the Planning and Development Committees could come into existence. Nor were any areas recognised as backward by that time. He added that as per the decisions of the Government, the plan was prepared for the entire State departing from the earlier practice of preparing regional Plans, and in the State plan an amount of 10% was later earmarked to be utilised for programmes in backward areas in the regions of Telangana, Rayalaseema and Costal Andhra in the ratio of 5:3:2. The Heads of Departments had already drawn up plans for such schemes.

2 Discussing the procedure prescribed by the State Planning Board about the finalisation of the schemes some Members felt that it might be necessary to associate the Members of this Committee right at the stage of formulation of proposals. Some others expressed the view that if the proposals to be submitted by the Collector are restricted only to the actual amount allocated, the Committee may not be able to screen out any schemes. It was therefore felt necessary to have the proposals regarding all the investigated and feasible schemes so that the Committee can exercise its discretion regarding the selection of schemes depending upon their relative merits.

3 It was finally decided that the Collectors should be immediately addressed not to restrict the proposals only to the amount of the Central Assistance indicated, but proposals could be sent to cover all the investigated and feasible schemes in the productive sectors in the district. The Collectors could also indicate the priority of the schemes and wherever possible, their relative merits. The Committee also decided that while submitting the proposals, the Collectors should ensure that as far as possible all the identified backward areas derive the benefits of this programme.

4. It was decided to hold the next meeting of the Committee at 10.30 A.M. on 10th September, 1974, to consider the proposals received from the Collectors regarding the schemes to be executed with the Central Assistance.

R. DASARATHARAMA REDDY
Chairman,
Planning & Development Committee for Costal Andhra.

J. VENGALA RAO,
Chief Minister
PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE FOR TELANGANA HELD
AT 3 00 P M ON 17-1-1974

PRESENT

1. Sri C Jagannatha Rao
   Chairman
2. Sri K. Rangadas, M L A.
   Member
3. Sri Anantha Reddy, M L A
4. Sri P. Kishan Rao, M L A
5. Sri M Baga Reddy, M L A
6. Sri C Rajanarasimha, M L A
7. Sri Thummala Ranga Reddy, M L A
8. Sri Masood Ahmed, M L A
9. Sri Gaddanna M L A
10. Sri Baddam Yella Reddy, M L A
11. Sri T Venkatappaiah, M L A

Official

1. Sri Y Venugopala Reddy,
   Deputy Secretary to Government,
   Finance and Planning (Pig Wing) Department
   and
   Secretary, Telangana Planning and
   Development Committee.

The Chairman initiated the discussion and pointed out that the Government of India would be extending Central Assistance to the tune of Rs. 18.00 crores for utilisation during the current year towards development of the backward regions of the State. He further pointed out that this meeting has been convened only to inform the members about the Central assistance and to discuss guidelines given by the Planning Commission for utilisation of these funds. After initiating the subject the Chairman desired that the Deputy Secretary Planning and Secretary of the Committee, Sri Y. Venugopala Reddy, may broadly explain the procedure etc., to be followed in drawing up the schemes. The Secretary that the outset pointed out that the Central assistance of Rs. 18.00 crores from the Government of India will be released during the current year for the development of backward areas of Andhra Pradesh. The Planning Commission have also stated that this assistance is to be divided among Telangana, Rayalaseema and Costal Andhra in ratio of 5:3:2 respectively. Thus the share of Telangana according to this formula would be Rs. 9.00 crores. He further stated that the State Planning Board in its meeting on 12-8-74 had resolved that 25% of the Central Assistance should be remarked for Major Industries and Higher Education in each region and the balance to spend on productive schemes based on recommendations of the Planning and Development Committee.
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He also clarified that the establishment of major industries need not be district wide but the feasibility and interest of the backward areas of the region as a whole will be kept in view while selecting major industries. When enquired about the concept of higher education, the Secretary clarified that no definite definition of higher education has been given by the Planning Commission. It is however presumed that by higher education, it is implied that it is above and not inclusive of the intermediate level. However definite clarification will be made available to the members at a later date. He also clarified that the Planning Commission had agreed for the central assistance amount being spent on spill-over schemes also provided they satisfy other considerations. The schemes should be productive sectors such as rural electrification, irrigation, agriculture, including animal husbandry, dairying, small industries, rural water supply, etc. No amount can be spent on buildings or staff unless they are integral and inevitable part of a productive scheme.

2. Sri Ranga Das, Member, pointed out that in the past the Telangana Regional Committee funds were not equitably spent in the districts. Therefore the districts which received less in the past should now get more from the Central Assistance.

3. The Chairman, clarified that these funds are allotted district-wise on the basis of degree of backwardness weighed by population taking the taluk as a unit—Taluks identified as per exercise-5, keeping the index in view as approved by this committee. To this extent the level of development which is a result of past investments is taken care of in the allotments. The Secretary continuing discussion pointed out that though the identification of backwardness was done at the taluk level, the Planning Commission have suggested that district should be the unit for the purpose of allocation. In view of this recommendation, the allocation for each district out of Rs 9.00 crores has been made, the District Collectors have been addressed to formulate the schemes in consultation with the Chairman of the Zilla Parishads and the District Heads and send the same to the Government by 24-874. The Heads of Departments are also requested to instruct their district heads about approach and schemes. The schemes as suggested by Collectors would be placed before the planning and development Committee along with the remarks, if any, of the concerned departmental heads at the State level. The Committee will consider the proposals, add, delete or modify and make its final recommendations. These will be placed before the State Planning Board, which will consolidate all the recommendations from various regional committees. The State Governments will consider those proposals and send them to Planning Commission for their final approval. The approval of State Government and planning Commission would be formal as long as
The proposals satisfy the guidelines and are within the policy frame prescribed. It is expected that the Collectors would send the proposals by end of August, the Planning and Development Committee would scrutinise by end of first week of September. The proposals are likely to be sent to Planning Commission for approval before and of September in any case.

4 Sri Baga Reddy, and other Members then enquired whether the amount allotted for the current year would lapse if not spent by the end of the year. The Secretary of the Committee stated that for the year the unspent amount may not lapse but the amounts should, as far as possible, be spent. For this purpose he suggested that such of the schemes which can be completed to yield results and create immediate impact may be taken up during the current year.

5 Sri Ranga Das, enquired if changes in Fifth Five Year Plan could be made now on the suggestion of the members. It was pointed that there are uncertainties of outlays in the face of increasing out prices. So the amounts may be uncertain even for committed schemes. However, if there are specially useful and productive schemes, they can certainly be considered for inclusion in the plan subject to overall ceilings and priorities though the scope for changes is limited.

6 Sri Baga Reddy enquired whether this committee can take up review of Special Telangana Development Funds. It was explained by the Secretary that no final view was yet taken by the Government. The Chairman, however, pointed out that there is no such bar and this Committee can review Special Telangana Development Funds.

7 Sri Baga Reddy opined that other Committees have no such problems as no special allotments were made in the past. Therefore he desired that this Committee should be allowed to review the expenditure and physical achievements under the Special Telangana Development Funds.

8. Sri Masood Ahmed and Sri Baga Reddy, enquired whether funds from the special assistance could be allotted to the T.R.C. spill-over works. The Chairman replied that this can be done provided of course, the guidelines are strictly followed.

9 The Members wanted information about the schemes for which the unspent balance of Rs 2 58 crores was allotted during the current year (1974-75). The Secretary said that it is available for perusal of members. They also strongly felt the allocations should have been done by the Committee. The Secretary of the Committee informed that the view of the members would be conveyed to the Government.
10. As regards grants released to Zilla Parishads, it was complained that amounts are still with Zilla Parishads, unspent. They expressed that further releases should not be done unless they send the expenditure particulars. The Secretary assured that he will take up the issue with Zilla Parishads and up to date expenditure particulars will be gathered.

11. Sri Krishan Rao, pointed out that the plan funds should be spent first and then only the special funds should be drawn. It was explained that the Annual Plan schemes are already decided and to avoid overlapping, Collectors are being informed of the schemes in State Plan. Further, as per guidelines each scheme would be financed either from State Plan or special assistance but not both simultaneously.

12. Finally, as per present pattern, the eligibility for Special assistance required that amounts meant to be spent on backward areas from State Plan should first be spent.

13. Sri Baga Reddy pointed out that the Heads of Departments do not report the physical achievements correctly and that these are generally inflated. The Chairman stated that cases can be discussed with them in the meetings in future.

14. Sri Baga Reddy opined that if funds are to be utilised in full before the close of the year, than schemes for double the amount proposed to be spent should be sanctioned so that there is no shortage of schemes for booking full expenditure. He further suggested that sanction of schemes should not be delayed. Sri Yella Reddd, however, felt that there are dangers in preparing more schemes since most of them will be put on ground with the result none would be completed due to non-availability of funds. He felt that this has been our experience in the past. The Secretary stated that care would be taken up first. Schemes would no doubt, be sanctioned mutually keeping in view the possibility of utilising the amounts without taking on excessive commitments.

15. Sri Raja Narasimha, enquired whether from the present special assistance, provision can be made for Housing for weaker sections. The Chairman said that as per the guidelines given by the Planning commission this may not be possible. These funds are used exclusively for productive schemes. Sri Raja Narasimha stated that in such a situation the importance of Communications viz, roads will also get reduced and there may not be any allotment at all. It was pointed by the Chairman that Communications are getting some allotments from State Plan funds. If there is any shortage we can ask for modification in the State Plan schemes. Additional requirements for weaker sections and roads can be met from the 10 percent allotment.
for backward areas. This 10 percent reservation from Plan funds can also be raised to a higher percentage. The Chairman also stated that during his discussions with the Chief Minister on this subject the Chief Minister had agreed with this view. The Chairman therefore felt that the question of increasing the allotment for roads and weaker sections from out of the 10 percent allotment made for backward areas This 10 percent could be considered in due course.

16. Sri Masood Ahmed enquired whether the proposals from the Collectors will be placed before this Committee for consideration. The Chairman said that the Committee will be fully associated with the finalisation of the schemes. Since the schemes have to be cleared soon the Committee may have to sit for two or three days. The Secretary of the Committee stated that if there is any need the District Collectors can also be invited to attend the meetings.

17. The Chairman desired that advance action for next year to be taken by calling for proposals for getting the schemes investigated now itself. The Secretary assured that Collectors would be addressed accordingly.

18. Sri Ranga Reddy wanted to know why Nizamabad is getting less share from the special assistance. It was explained by the Secretary of the Committee that the allotments have been made on the basis of backwardness of the Taluk as recommended by this Committee. So, taking taluk as a unit and degree of backwardness weighted by population Nizamabad get relatively smaller amount. Sri Kishan Rao and Sri Ranga Reddy opined that Hyderabad urban taluk should have been shown separately. They also pointed out that in urban taluks a part of it will be backward. Therefore the identification of backwardness should have been done at Firka level. The Chairman explained that the last meeting this Committee had decided that the taluk would be the unit. The Secretary, Finance and Planning had in the meeting explained the difficulty in working out the identification of backwardness at the Firka level. This may take two to three years. In view of these difficulties and view of the decision taken in the last meeting this issue cannot be reopened.

19. The meeting concluded with the summary remarks of the Chairman who indicated the next meeting of the Committee would be in early September for finalising the schemes.

C JGANATHA RAO
J. VENGAL RAO
CHIEF MINISTER
CHAIRMAN
PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE FOR TELANGANA.
Oral Answers to Questions. 3rd February, 1975.

Minutes of the 3rd meeting of the Rayalaseema Planning and Development Committee held from 10-30 a.m. on 16-9-1974

Present: Sri K.B. Narasappa, Chairman
Sri P. Basi Reddy, Minister for Industries
Sri C. Subbarayudu, Minister for Municipal Administration
Smt. M. Laxmidevi, Ministers for woman and Child Welfare

Sri S.P. Nagi Reddy, M.L.A., Cuddapah dist
Sri Habibullah Mahal, M.L.A., do.

Sri N.P. Veeraraghavulu Naidu M.L.C. Chittoor district
Sri V. Sreeramulu M.L.A., do.

Sri A. Venkata Reddy M.L.A., Anantapur district
Sri P. Basappa, do.
Sri D. Suryaprakash Reddy M.L.C., do.

Sri Rajaratna Rao M.L.A., Kurnool District
Sri A. Vallippa Secretary RPDC, do.

Concerned Heads of Departments

Officers of Secretariat Departments

and M. D.'s representatives State Corporations and other institutions attended by invitation.

After considering the representation made by the Zilla Parishads, Chittoor and Cuddapah, the Committee reviewed the question of inclusion of Srikalahasthi and Sidhout taluks for purposes of utilising the special assistance from the Govt. of India and decided to declare them also as backward. The Collectors were enjoined to include proposals relating to these two taluks also since initially it was not indicated to them. It was noted that the State Planning Board had left matter of identification of backward areas to the Planning and Development Committees.

On the lines indicated by the State Planning Board and also as adopted by the Coastal Andhra Planning and Development Committee it was decided that the allocations made for each district should be on the basis of population. Accordingly the following were noted for each district:

Oral Answers to Questions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Estimated Value (Rs in lakhs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>112.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuddapah</td>
<td>77.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anantapur</td>
<td>103.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>97.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Chairman, RPDC observed that it would be desirable if the Planning and Development Committee constituted themselves into sub-committees for considering the proposals of each district in detail instead of considering all the proposals made by the Collectors sector-wise since the latter would mean a sectoral allocation to be indicated by the Committee itself which will not be entirely in accordance with the felt needs of each district since the emphasis in one district may be on electrification whereas for the other on minor irrigation etc. Besides the consideration of the proposals sectorwise by the Committee as a whole would not enable either Heads of Departments or the Collector to effectively participate in the formulation of the programmes. It was unanimously agreed that sub-committees may be formed for considering the proposals made by the Collectors in accordance with the directives given by the Planning Commission Government of India and the State Planning Board. The following members of the Committee were requested to serve on the sub-committees noted against each:

1. Chittoor:

- Sri M. S. Rajajee, I.A.S, Collector (Convenor)
- Sri K. S. Veeraraghavulu Naidu, M.L.C.
- Sri C Das, M.L.A.
- Sri A. Narasunga Rao, M.L.A.
- Sri Vijayasikhamani, M.L.A.

2. Cuddapah:

- Sri T. Padmanabhan, I.A.S., Collector (Convenor)
- Sri S. P. Nagi Reddy, M.L.A.
- Sri G. Sreeramulu, M.L.A.
- Sri Habibullah Mahal M.L.A.

3. Anantapur:

- Sri T. Balakrishna, I.A.S., Collector (Convenor)
- Sri A. Venkata Reddy, M.L.A.
- Sri B. Bassappa, M.L.A.
- Sri M. Yellappa, M.L.A.
- Sri T. Ranga Reddy, M.L.A.
- Sri D. Suryaprapaksh Reddy, M.L.C.
The Sub-Committees finalised their work, in consultation with the Heads of Departments, APSEB and the representatives of various other co-operative and commercial organisations of the State Government. The Collectors submitted the reports to the Planning and Development Committee in the afternoon, when the Ministers, as indicated, were also present. The Collectors concerned explained that by and large due regard was had for reservation of substantial amounts for the economic uplift and other programmes exclusively for the S Cs and S Ts. Similarly an attempt was also made for providing certain amounts for the economic welfare of the B Cs and in a couple of instances for raising the income earning capacity of the women in particular. Special steps were also taken to make provision for ensuring accelerated development of industries in the small scale sector and providing assistance to the Infrastructure Corporation to take up programmes for providing the required facilities to the small scale entrepreneurs. Though the guidelines did not permit the inclusion of proposals for buildings like schools, hostels etc., and also did not encourage taking up road works certain proposals have been included in some of the districts having regard to the important felt needs of the area and the various stages of completion of works concerned, since it was felt necessary to have as many completed works as possible instead of leaving them at various stages of execution, which is not useful for the community as a whole. In almost all the cases the proposals made, exceeded the allocations indicated. However, the members of the sub-Committees pointed out that only the barest minimum requirements have been included and it would not be advisable to reduce them further. The detailed sectorwise allocations made for each district is as indicated in the Appendix enclosed.

Minister for Municipal Administration raised an important point that for drought prone areas special schemes for conservation of water and recharging of ground water should be thought of though they may not be economic in return in the traditionally excepted sense and may not have any stipulated ayacut. In this context he pointed out that there were a large number of tanks in Rayalaseema which do not have any ayacut and are not useful for purposes of irrigation but only for storage of water for raising the water tables. In addition it may be worth while to construct check dams at specified intervals in certain specified river tributary basins so that water table in the concerned areas may be raised appreciably. It was urged that a beginning may be made this year by providing a sum of Rs. 1 lakh for
each district and proposals invited from concerned engineering agency for implementation before March 1975. All the members unanimously agreed and accordingly desired that a nominal sum of Rs. 1 lakh should be provided for each district for this purpose.

The reports of the sub-committees as explained by the Collectors were approved by the Planning and Development Committee. It was noted that in terms of the revised sectoral allocations approved for each district, the Collectors will submit revised proposals, for placing the matter for consideration before the State Planning Board, after approval by the Chairman.

Sri Suryaprakash Reddi and Sri A. Venkata Reddy raised an important question regarding the utilisation of 10% amounts of the normal Plan reserved for the development of backward areas in the State. It was enquired as to whether the Planning and Development Committee was not competent to approve programmes under this and to review expenditure and performance. Serious apprehensions expressed regarding the proportion in which the amounts were being utilised in the Rayalaseema region and as to what the programmes were. Recollecting the discussions that took place in the State Planning Board at the last meeting the Chairman explained that for the financial year 1974-75 this amount was already committed in the form of various programmes and scheme accepted for implementation and therefore, the Planning and Development Committee may not enter the picture at this stage for the purposes of formulation. He also stated that the C.M. had agreed that the development Committee was fully competent for approving the schemes under this head for the financial year commencing from April 1975. The various exercises of formulation of programmes and schemes will commence in the next couple of months in the meanwhile it was decided that the concerned Heads of Department may be addressed for ascerting the details of schemes that are being implemented out of this amount and requires them to place the information before the Planning and Development Committee for its consideration at the next meeting. The Collectors requested that it would be desirable if they are consulted in time about the proposals for the utilisation of 10% of the Plan amount for the development of the backward areas and if it was ensured that advance releases at the appropriate time were made for effective implementation instead of releasing the amounts at the fag end of the financial year which does not help much. This was agreed to.

Several members expressed the view that for years 1969-74 the State Government had allotted for each district Rs. 1 crore whereas now with the assurance of special assistance from the Government of India this provision has been withdrawn. It was felt that having regard to the backwardness of the and other special factors the State Government should continue to provide Rs. 1 crore for each district every year.
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A long term basis and this should not be withdrawn merely because of the special assistance under the Six Point Formula. The Chairman assured that he will take up the matter with the Chief Minister for further consideration and reinstatement of the original provision of Rs 1 crore for each district, on a long term basis.

Almost all the members expressed the opinion that the future prosperity of Rayalaseema would be closely linked up with industrial development both in the small scale and large scale sectors and special regard should be had for back-ward areas in the Rayalaseema which were not endowed with natural resources. The committee considered that of the 25% of the special assistance reserved for large scale industries and Higher Education, the amounts to be provided for large scale industries should be of the order of 20% and 5% for Higher Education. Explaining the proposals of the Industries Department in this regard Sri P.K Doraiswamy, Joint Secretary, Industries and Commerce Department stated that one may not find district-wise balance since the Department had to choose from various schemes which were capable of implementation/absorption of funds by March 1975 and that progressively in the coming years the system of allocation would be streamlined to provide a district-wise balance to the extent possible in the context of availability of raw materials and other locational advantages. In the schemes opposed, he emphasised, due care was taken to ensure a balance of assisting Andhra Pradesh Industrial Development Corporation in its intensive efforts for setting up large scale industries in the various districts, to accelerate the steps taken by the Infrastructure Corporation for providing various facilities at suitable growth centres and to aid the efforts of the Mining Corporation which has been restricting its activities for want of adequate funds. The Managing Director, Andhra Pradesh Industrial Development Corporation explained in detail the various schemes contemplated and the stage of action in respect of each scheme in Rayalaseema area. Likewise Managing Director, Andhra Pradesh Infrastructure Corporation also explained the steps being taken by them. To consider the detailed proposals of Industries and Education Departments for all the five years, it was felt that another meeting may be convened.

The following resolutions were recorded:

1. Unanimously resolved to declare Srakalahasti taluk in Chittoor District and Sidhout taluk in Cuddapah District as backward areas for purpose of utilisation of special assistance in the Rayalaseema area and to allocate funds for each district in the Rayalaseema area on the basis of population as noted below

   1. Chittoor
   2. Cuddapah  77 43
   3. Anantapur  103 83
   4. Kurnool  97.31
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(2) Unanimously resolved to request the various Heads of Departments, for details regarding the utilisation of 10 per cent of the amount of the Normal Plan for backward areas and to review expenditure and performance, also resolved to require the concerned departments to send the proposals for the financial year commencing from April 1975 at the appropriate time through the Planning and Development Committee, at which stage consultation with Collectors will be taken up by the Committee.

(3) Unanimously resolved to make allocation of Rs 1 lakh for each district of Rayalaseema for renovating the tanks for conserving water and raise-sub-soil water and for taking up construction of check dams in selected river basins for purposes of increasing ground water and recharging of Aquifers.

(4) Resolved to recommend to the State Planning Board that out of the 25% of the special assistance kept for Large Scale Industries and Higher Education, 4/5th be utilised for ensuring accelerated industrial development in the Large Scale Sector and to allocate 1/5th for increasing facilities for Higher Education.

(5) Resolved to request the Government of Andhra Pradesh to reinstitute the allocation of Rs 1 crore for each Rayalaseema district as done during the years 1969-74 on a long term basis and not withdraw that amount merely because the Government of India have agreed to provide special assistance under the Six Point Formula. The Chairman was authorised to discuss the matter with the Chief Minister at the earliest time possible and apprise the Committee of the Development.

"As I could not study the proposals of the Heads of Departments and participate in the discussions effectively in each of the sub-committees while finalising the detailed schemes, I propose to modify the district schemes according to essential felt needs of each district. Separate note is being sent to Secretary Rayalaseema Planning and Development Committee in this regard."

K.B. NARASAPPA
Chairman
Rayalaseema Planning and Development Committee.

J. VENGAL RAO
Chief Minister


Members Present
1. Sir M.S Rajajee, Collector, Chittoor.
2. Sri C Das, M.L.C.
3. Sri N.P. Veera Raghavulu, M.L.C.
4. Sri G. Narasinga Rao, M.L.A.
5. Sri Vijayasikhamani, M.L.A.
and Heads of Departments concerned.

The following revised sector-wise allocations were finalised for implementation during 1974-75 in consultation with the Heads of Departments concerned:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Rs. in lakhs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Minor Irrigation, P.W.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Minor Irrigation, P.R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Animal Husbandry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Schemes for the benefit of Harijans and Girijs under Land Colonisation scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Schemes for the benefit of Nomadic Tribes and Scheduled Tribes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Social Welfare schemes for the benefit of S.C.s and B.C.s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Sericulture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Co-operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Rural Electrification Programme</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B. — There is some discrepancy with regard to the balance and expenditure pertaining to earlier releases. It was agreed by the Electricity authorities to reconcile the figures and furnish correct particulars. It was also assured that the entire unspent balances of earlier years would be utilised in 1974-75.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11. Rural Water Supply scheme</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Rigs Programme</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Protected Water Supply schemes</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Ground Water Exploration by S.V University, Tirupati</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Forest Programme</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Fisheries</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Medical and Public Health</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Subsidy for providing assistance to Weavers Co-operative Societies</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 112.83
**Additional proposals over and above allocated amount:**

1. Contribution to the Infrastructure Corpns 4.00
2. Contribution to the Small Scale Industrial Development Corporation 4.00
3. Contribution to the A.P. Leather Development Corporations 4.00

**ELECTRIFICATION**

The basis on which the proposals have been made and the proposals themselves are agreed to in entirety. The only modification is that in the matter of villages proposed for electrification, villages with an agricultural potential of less than 40 wells be dropped and funds to the extend of Rs. 3 lakhs be provided to the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board for Completing the scheme of already undertaken programme of rural electrification by providing service connections for Agriculturists. This is of the nature of spill over work and this is agreed to as a result of detailed discussions. The Secretary, Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board has indicated that the programme of rural electrification be cut down to a smaller size and the savings that result there from be utilised for spill over works which are solely of the nature of service connections mainly for agriculture. Considering the little possibility of there being any other source of finance for rural electrification programme, considering further the availability of Rs. 15.30 lakhs from the Rayalaseema Development Board funds for non-Rayalaseema Development Board villages the Committee decides to retain the rural electrification programme, to the change indicated above and that the Andhra Pradesh state Electricity Board discharge subsisting obligations in the matter of service connections (spill over works) from at least part of the funds available with them (i.e. Rs. 15.30 lakhs) for non-Rayalaseema Development Board villages.

**ANIMAL HUSBANDRY**

The Committee decides to reduce outlays proposed on schemes (Nos 9 and 10) at page 31 by Rs. 40,000, Rs. 15,000 and Rs. 20,000 respectively and delete the provision of Rs. 10,000 against items 2 to 5 and Rs. 13,000 against item 8 thus effecting a saving of Rs. 1.03 lakhs. This amount is requested by Dr. Suryaprakasa Rao, to be provided under the scheme of Mobile Inputs projects for increased milk production.
After detailed discussions the committee concludes that the schemes drawn up for Rs 90,04 lakhs represent the irreducible and that at least this much must be provided under special assistance for Rayalaseema from Government.

If for any absolutely unavoidable reason, the Rayalaseema planning and Development Committee enjoins on this sub-committee adherence to previously indicated outlay based on population of Rs. 77.43 lakhs, the following course of action are recommended.

1. P.W.D. Medium and Minor Irrigation and P W D K C Canal will, while adhering to the schemes included in the revised proposals, effect savings to the extent of Rs 5 lakhs (Rs 4 lakhs and Rs. 1 lakh respectively.) But this will leave us with a committed expenditure of the same order for the next financial year, on the same lines a savings of Rs. 3 lakhs could be enjoined upon the Electricity Board.

2. It is proposed to reduce outlays on community Irrigation and Forests (to the extent new works are concerned by Rs 1 lakh each. This will leave us with a net saving of Rs. out of the proposed outlay of Rs. 88.24 lakhs.

3. The Sub-Committee has favoured inclusion of the following section 2 of the proposals as representig very necessary schemes.

   1) Protected Water Supply
      Jammalamadugu 1.00
      Rayachoti 1.00
      Pulivendala 1.00

   2) Spill-over works 102 out of 140 open wells 2.50

   3) P H.C., Chitvel 0.23

   4) Industrial Estate, Rayachoti (towards 40% seed money for land and building and if Government land is available some amount could be saved) 2.50

   5) For Harijans:
      i) Community Irrigation Wells 3.00
      ii) Supply of Oil engines and electric motors 2.00
      iii) Ready made garment manufacturing units 0.50
      iv) Oil pressing units 0.25

   6) For Tribals:
      i) Sheep farming in suitable H.D C Colonies 0.25
      ii) Agricultural (schemes irrigation wells including provision of electric motors and oil engines) 1.00
      iii) Supply of sheep, pigs and trade assistance 1.00

Out of the savings to be effected by the P. W. D Medium and Minor Irrigation Rs. 0.05 lakhs could be earmarked for the Devuni Cuddapah Fish Seed Farm so as to make it productive at least from the current year onwards.
The total constituting excess over the amount indicated by the Government (Rs 77.43 lakhs) comes to Rs. 27.33 lakhs.

CUDDAPAH DISTRICT

SECTION I

Sector-Wise Allocation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Outlay Rs. in lakhs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Irrigation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Public Works Department</td>
<td>22.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) K.C. Canal</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Panchayat Raj</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Drought Relief Works</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Flood Damage Relief Works</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Investigation</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Electrification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Improvements</td>
<td>20.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Partial Protected Water Supply New Villages</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Panchayat Raj</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Drinking Water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Bore wells</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Partial Protected Water Supply</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Panchayat Raj</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Municipality</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. Agriculture-Land Water Uses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Energisation of Community Irrigation wells</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Forests</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. Animal Husbandry</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. Industries</td>
<td>5.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII. Woman Welfare</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX. Tribal Welfare</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Agricultural Schemes for Tribals Irrigation wells</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>including provision of Electric Motors and Oil Engines</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Supply of sheep</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. Fisheries</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI. Medical and Public Health</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII. Mydukur Dairy Education</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII. i) Purchase of Cane Mydukur Dairy</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Ghee Kettle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Purchase of Cans</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oral Answers to Questions. 3rd February, 1975.

11) Ghee Kettle 0 30

XIV Ground Water Survey and Revitalisation of wells * 2 00

| Total outlay excluding Sidhout | 93.35 |

SIDOUE TALUK

| Irrigation (P W D ) | 0 78 |
| Irrigation (Panchyat Raj) | 0 56 |
| Bore wells Programme | 0 60 |

| Total outlay including Sidhout Taluk | 95.29 |

Note. This scheme is not explained in the body of the proposals, but is explained in the covering letter accompanying the proposals.

Minutes of the meeting of the Sub-Committee of Rayalaseema Planning and Development Committee held on 16-9 1974 to consider the Special Development Programme for Anantapur District for 1974-75.

The following were attended:

Sri T. Ranga Reddy, M.L.A.,
Sri D. Suryaprakasa Reddy, M.L.C.,
Sri Yellappa, M.L.A.,
Sri A Venkata Reddy, M.L.A.,
Sri Basappa, M.L.A.,
Sri T Balakrishnan, Dist. Collector, Anantapur

The Chairman and Deputy Secretary (Planning) also participated in the discussion on and off.

The Sub-Committee considered in detail the sectoral allocations proposed by the District Collector carefully in the context of the guidelines intimated by the Centre. It was consequently decided to eliminate all new building and construction programmes except the spill over road works provided under Roads and Buildings and provision of Rs. 75,000 for Tribale Boy's Hostels.

On a careful consideration all the various schemes and their relative importance, and after discussion with the Heads of Department and the representatives, the following Sectoral allocations were agreed upon:

<p>| Rs. in lakhs |
| 1. Agriculture | 3.66 |
| 2. Irrigation (Medium and Minor) | 6.00 |
| 3. Animal Husbandry | 5.00 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3rd February, 1975. Oral Answers to Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. Fisheries</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Irrigation (Major)</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Investigation (Medium and Minor Irrigation)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Small Scale Industries</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Leather Corporation</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Sericulture</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Panchayat Raj Sector</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Medical and Public Health</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Rugs</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Protected Water Supply</td>
<td>12.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Social Welfare</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Tribal Welfare</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Women Welfare</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Electricity</td>
<td>16.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Roads and Buildings</td>
<td>6.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A part from the elimination of Buildings and Roads mentioned earlier, all the schemes proposed by the District Collectors were agreed to subject to the following modifications:

1. In Agriculture, the subsidy amount of Rs. 40,000 for supply of chemicals was added to the supply of Plant protection equipment on subsidy basis.

2. The outlay on panchayat Raj Sector has been reduced to Rs. 11 lakhs removing new buildings and roads originally proposed.

3. Under Irrigation, an addition of Rs. 1 lakhs outlay was proposed.

4. For animal Husbandary, an additional scheme of Mobile Imports Project, at an estimate of Rs. 1 lakh was agreed to.

5. Under Fisheries, an additional amount of Rs. 80,000 was accepted for provision of answered water to the fish feed form at Mid-pennar.

6. Under Industries, instead of the scheme “establishment of raw material supply and service centre” at Ananthapur it was agreed to divert the amount provided for it viz., Rs. 3 lakhs towards share capital contribution for the Industrial Infrastructure Corporation:
(7) Under Sericulture, a new scheme of subsidy for supply of improved appliances to Weaver’s Co-operative Societies was accepted, at an estimated outlay of Rs. 35,000.

(8) Under Protected Water Supply, an additional amount of Rs. 4 lakhs for Urvakonda Comprehensive Water Supply scheme Rs. 1.5 lakhs for Madakasira, Water Supply scheme and 2.5 lakhs for Kotlapalli, Janarapalli villages in Urvakonda taluk were agreed to.

(9) Under Social Welfare the amount provided for Hostel buildings was diverted to economic support programmes.

(10) An amount of Rs 5 lakhs was allotted to the Leather Corporation.

The total outlay allotted for Kurnool District is 97 lakhs. The proposals are as follows.—

I. Irrigation:

(a) Minor Irrigation (PWD) 7 lakhs
(b) K.C. Canal 5 lakhs
(c) T.B.P L.L.C 3 lakhs
(d) Minor Irrigation (P.R) 5 lakhs

Total: 20 lakhs

II. P.W.S. and R.W.S

(a) P.W.S (Spill over works) 6 lakhs
(b) R.W.S Spill over well works 3 lakhs
(c) Bore wells 6 lakhs
(d) Aluru P.W.S Scheme 5 lakhs

Total: 20 lakhs

III. Rural Electrification:

18 lakhs

IV. Agriculture

5 50 lakhs

V. Animal Husbandry

8 70 lakhs

VI. Industries Leather Corporation

3 lakhs

(a) A.P Industrial Infrastructure Corporation 7 lakhs
(b) A.P.S.I.D.C. 5 lakhs
(c) Rural Industries 0 50 lakhs

Total: 15.50 lakhs

VII. Land acquisition and sinking of wells 5 lakhs

VIII. Scheduled Castes Finance Corp 2 lakhs
3rd February, 1975,

Oral Answers to Questions.

IX. Backward Class Finance Corporation 2 lakhs
X. Tribal Welfare Schemes 2 lakhs
XI. Equipment for Medical College in Government Hospital 2 70 lakhs
XII. Women Welfare Schemes 1 00 lakh

Grand Total 102 40 lakhs

Note of the Chairman R.P DC

The minutes of the 3rd meeting of the Rayalaseema Planning and Development Committee held on 16-9-1974 is approved, with slight modifications.

Since I had no opportunity to study the detailed notes submitted by the Heads of Departments, also as I could not effectively participate in the deliberations of 4 sub-committees which were held simultaneously and as the Collectors have proposed schemes more than the amount available for 1974-75 (Collector, Cuddapah, Rs 107 6 lakhs Collector, Kurnool Rs. 104 40 lakhs, Collector Anantapur Rs. 114 52 lakhs and Collector, Chittoor Rs. 12 lakhs over and above allotted funds). I as Chairman, Rayalaseema Planning and Development Committee, modify the schemes finalised in the meeting according to various representations made by the general public as well as according to the essential needs of each district. These modified schemes may be placed before the Committee on 7-10-1974 for information.

KURNOOL DISTRICT

1. Since 3% of the funds are to be provided for the tribal welfare, the amount under tribal welfare is enhanced from Rs 2 lakhs to 3 lakhs.

2. Under equipment to Medical College and Government Hospital Kurnool the provision of Rs 2.7 lakhs should be spent as follows:
   i) purchase of artificial kidney unit Rs 0.4 lakhs
   ii) purchase of artificial limbs in orthopaedic Department Rs 0.2 lakhs
   iii) other equipment Rs 2.1 lakhs

   Total Rs 2.7 lakhs

Under this there is no enhancement or reduction from the amount proposed.

3. Under agriculture a provision of Rs 0.5 lakhs is made for sprinklers Scheme to farmers with preference to small and marginal farmers. The conditions followed under this scheme in the previous Rayalaseema Development Board may be followed.
4. Under Animal husbandry sector, the provision under item No 7 for medicines and equipment of Rs 0.80 lakhs is deleted and added to item No 2 i.e. % sheep subsidy on supply of sheep units to farmers making the the allotment under item No 2 to Rs. 1.36 lakhs. The medicines and equipment could be purchased from the Departmental normal funds.

5. The Chief Engineer, Panchayat Raj has proposed P.W.S schemes for Cementnagar in Kurnool district in the interest of labour welfare at a cost of Rs 2.00 lakhs and as per his letter No B1/29166/64-2 dated 4-10-1974 he has proposed for allotment of a sum of 20 thousand rupees in the current year for the scheme I therefore allot Rs 0.2 lakh for Cementnagar P.W.S similarly he has proposed Rs 2.00 lakhs for P.W.S for Mantrayalam. I agree to the scheme and provide Rs 0.20 lakh for 1974-75.

6. The Collector, Kurnool in his revised proposals has sent schemes for Rs 10.4 lakhs/ where as the amount has to be limited to 97.31 lakhs only by delting Rs 7.09 lakhs Further I have added schemes to the tune of Rs 1.9 lakhs Thus a total amount of Rs 9.09 lakhs to be reduced rom the proposed outlay of Rs. 104.4 lakhs reduce the amounts under the following sectors as follows —

   a) Industries :
      - Loan assistance to leather industries
      - do- industries infrastructure corporation
      - do- A.P S. S.I.D.C.
      
      Total - 4.00 lakhs

   b) Land colonisation and water resources
      2.00 lakhs

   c) Rural electrification
      3.00 lakhs

   The reduction under electrification is made because no new villages are being taken up for electrification this year due to paucity of power Further, for improvements the Rural Electric Corporation may be approached vide Sri Venkatappaiah's assurance in the recent meeting.

7. The Chief Engineer, Minor Irrigation has proposed 9 minor irrigation works with an outlay of Rs. 6.33 lakhs for the year 1974-75 I agree with the Chief Engineer and include the about 9 minor irrigation works. The outlay is to be met from provision of Rs. 5.00 lakhs provided under Major Irrigation for K.C Canal. Major irrigation does not fall under purview of central assistance The Government orders to be obtained on waiving the percentage return in Rayalaseema as promised by Chief Minister as a permanent measure.
CUDDAPAH DISTRICT

1. The outlay has to be restricted to 77.43 lakhs. The Collector has sent proposals for Rs 95.29 lakhs in section I. He has also sent schemes under section II with a value of Rs 12.31 lakhs.

2. Under section I for weaker sections only the following provisions are made:
   I) Schedule caste 8.40
   II) Schedule tribes 2.25
   III) Women welfare 1.08

   Under schedule caste provision is inadequate.

   Under section II the following are essential schemes:
   I) Drinking water (Panchayat Raj) open wells 2.00 lakhs
   II) Supply of oil engines or electric motors for Harijans 2.00 "
   III) Industries (for Harijan dev co-op) 0.25 "
   IV) Tribal welfare
       a) Sheep farming in suitable tribal colonies 0.25 "
       b) Trade assistance to tribals 0.25 "

   This outlay of Rs 5.25 lakhs is approved as it benefits weaker sections and goes to reduce the drinking water scarcity. In addition, the following schemes are added:

1. Aid to A.P. Backward Classes Coop-Finance Corporation Limited 2.00 lakhs
2. Assistance to S.C. Corporation 2.98 lakhs
3. Sprinkler units for supply to farmers with special preference for small and marginal farmers under existing conditions of grant 0.50 lakhs

   Thus the total amount added is Rs 9.75 lakhs. To provide this amount, the following reductions are made in sector-wise allocations:

   i) Medical and public health —1.10 lakh
   ii) Education
      (under both the items only construction of buildings is proposed and they are nonproductive) —1.10 lakh
iii) Electrification: Since no new villages are taken up for electrification, this reduction is made for improvements the Rural Electrification Corporation may be approach — 7.55 lakhs

— 9.75 lakhs

3. The Chief Engineer Minor Irrigation has proposed 2 spill-over minor irrigation works with an outlay of 3.58 lakhs for 1974-75 and 2 new schemes with outlay of Rs. 1.65 lakhs for 1974-75. This total outlay of 5.23 lakhs is to be met from the provision of Rs. 5.50 lakhs for K.C. canal which falls under major irrigation. Major irrigation does not fall under purview of central assistance.

4. Since the outlay has to be restricted to Rs. 77.43 lakhs for 1974-75 for the district, there is excess provision of Rs. 17.86 lakhs. I propose reduction as follows:

(1) Rural electrification-under-improvement, remaining amount (improvements under rural electrification may be taken up under Rural Electrification corporation funds) — 7.55 lakhs

(2) Forest (this scheme may be taken up under D.P.A.P) — 2.67 lakhs

(3) Ground water supply and revitalisation of wells — 1.00 lakhs

(4) Energisation of community irrigation wells — 1.00 lakhs

17.62 lakhs

ANANTAPUR DISTRICT

1. There is no provision for assistance to A.P. Backward Class Coop Finance Corporation Limited. Therefore Rs. 2.00 lakhs is provided. This has been done in other districts also since the educationally and socially backward classes constitute more than 25% of the population. The assistance to backward class corporation is necessary in every district. The assistance is only nominal considering to the magnitude of needs of backward classes.

2. The Chief Engineer Minor Irrigation has proposed 12 new minor irrigation schemes with an outlay of Rs. 6.17 lakhs for the year 1974-75 whereas only 6 schemes with an outlay of Rs. 1.75 lakhs are proposed by the collector. I approve the other 6 minor irrigation schemes also since as per guidelines the Central Assistance has to be
spent only for minor irrigation works. So the difference of Rs 4.42 lakhs is provided by reducing an equal amount from the outlay of Rs 8.00 lakhs under major irrigation thus reducing the outlay under Major Irrigation to Rs 3.58 lakhs. In fact as per central Government, guidelines major irrigation should not find place in RPDC schemes. Necessary orders and ratification may be obtained from Government for waiver of relaxation of percentage for return as per the proposal of C.E in letter No. Y2/47/193/73-6 dated 21-7-74 addressed to Government.

3. Sericulture is going to have greater significance in developing areas bordering Karnataka state. Therefore I provide Rs 1.00 lakh for 74-75 for aid to cultivators for construction of 100 rearing sheds at the rate of Rs 1,000 per cultivator as an incentive. Since there are no new villages to be electrified, this amount may be provided by reducing an equal amount from the provision under Rural Electrification.

4. As per resolution of the committee in the first meeting on dated 12-3-1974, it was recommended taking up protected water supply scheme in Uravakonda and Madakasira areas on top-priority basis. A provision of Rs 1.50 lakhs to Madakasira taluk and Rs 2.50 lakhs for Uravakonda sub-taluk are provided. The Chief Engineer, Panchayat Raj has proposed protected water supply schemes with outlay of Rs 2.0 lakhs for sankaragal village in Madakasira taluk. As the C.E (PR) letter No. B1-29166/74-I dated 1-10-74, he has proposed provision of Rs 0.20 lakh for this scheme for the year 74-75. This is approved. I also provide Rs. 1.50 lakh for the Vidapanka Protected Water Supply scheme in Uravakonda sub-taluk.

5. Rs 0.50 lakh is provided towards the supply of sprinklers units, preference being given to small and marginal farmers for propagating economic use of water under the irrigation wells.

6. Under section Animal husbandry Rs 20 thousand is provided for distribution of Rams to sheep breeders which is inadequate since there are large number of sheep breeders in the district and the district is noted for wool production. Therefore I provide Rs 2.00 lakhs under this item to be equally divided between Anantapur and Penukonda area. This involve additional allotment of Rs. 1.80 lakhs.

7. The proposal of Director of Annual husbandry as per his note for provision of Rs 1.00 lakh for starting five minor veterinary dispensaries in the district as approved.

8. The new outlay under item 1 and 3 to 7 above is Rs 8.00 lakh. This is to be met by reducing an equal amount from the sector allotment under rural electrification since no new villages are proposed for electrification due to scarcity of power. For improving the electric system the rural Electric Corporation may be approached.
Central assistance should be mainly utilised for electrification of new villages.

Collector, Anantapur has proposed schemes for Rs. 114,52 lakhs as against allotted amount Rs. 103,83 lakhs. The excess of Rs. 10,69 lakhs is deleted from the outlay on Rural electrification as no new villages are proposed for electrification.

CHITTOOR DISTRICT

Outlay Rs. 112,83

Collector, Chittoor has submitted outlay for the allotted amount but he has proposed additional amounts as follows:

1. Contribution to the infrastructure corporation 4.00 lakhs
2. Contribution to the APSSIDC 4.00 lakhs
3. Contribution to the A.P. Leather Development Corporation 4.00 lakhs

12.00 lakhs

I approve the additional provision of Rs. 1.00 lakh for the leather corporation.

I also provide Rs. 2.00 lakhs for assistance to the A.P. Backward Class Co-op Finance Corporation.

The additional amount of Rs. 3.00 lakhs is met by reducing an equal amount from the outlay of Rural Electrification since no new villages are being electrified due to scarcity of power.

The Secretary, RPDC is requested to revise the sectorwise allotments according to the above note and get the schemes modified if necessary from Collectors after the RPDC meeting on 7-10-74 and submit them to the State Planning Board after my approval, along with the schemes on larger industries and higher education.

K. B. Narasappa,
Chairman, RPDC.

Sri A. Valliappan,
Dy Secretary to Govt. Plg. Dept.

J. Vengal Rao
Chief Minister.

Present

1) Sri C. Jagannatha Rao
2) Sri Paga Pulla Reddy, M L A
3) Sri K Ranga Das, M L A.
4) Sri N Anantha Reddy, M L A.
5) Sri P Kishan Rao, M L A
6) Sri M Baga Reddy, M L A
7) Sri C. Rajanarasimha, M L A,
8) Sri M Srinivasa Rao, M L A
9) Sri Thummala Ranga Reddy, M L A
10) Sri Masood Ahmed, M L A.
11) Sri Gaddanna, M L A.
12) Sri Veloochala Jagapathi Rao, M L A.
13) Sri Baddam Yella Reddy, M L A.
14) Sri Takkaallapalli Purushothama Rao, M L A.
15) Sri Pambala Katam Lingalai, M L A
16) Sri T Venkatapparaih, M L C.
17) Sri Palvai Govardhan Reddy, M L A.
18) Smt J. Eshwari Bai, M L A
19) Kum Musapeta Kamalamma, M L A.

Sri A Valhappan, I A S, Secretary, Telangana Planning and Development Committee

Officers who attended on invitation

1. Sri Dilsukram, I A S, Secretary to Government Education Department.

Collectors
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(4) Sri Md Tajuddin, Collector, I/C Hyderabad.
(5) Sri P P Williams, I.A.S., Collector, Nalgonda
(6) Sri V. R. K. Prasad, I A S, Collector, Khammam
(8) Sri S. Kasipandiah, I A S, Collector, Mahaboobnagar

Heads of Departments:

(1) Sri P. H. Gopalakrishna Reddy, Chief Engineer, Minor Irrigation.
(2) Sri N. Ramachandra Rao, Chief Engineer, Panchayt Raj
(3) Sri J. Raja Rao, Chief Engineer, Medium Irrigation.
(4) Sri K. Imapathy, I.A.S., Secretary, A.P. Electricity Board.
(5) Sri V.S. Ganapthy Ram, Chief Engineer (Operation) A P Electricity Board
(6) Sri I Desava Raju, Superintending Engineer, (Tech) A P State Electricity Board.
(7) Sri M.S. Veera Raghavan, I A S, Director of Agriculture.
(8) Sri K Ram Mohan Rao, Sr. Jt Director, Agriculture.
(9) Sri N.V. Gopala Krishna Sarma, Jt Director, Agriculture
(10) Sri B.A Shirazi, Director of Animal husbandry.
(12) Sri D. Sanjeeva Reddy, Tech Director of Dairying
(13) Sri A. Sanjeeva Reddy, Assistant of Dairying.
(14) Sri K. Kesava Reddy, Asst Chief Conservator of Forest.
(15) Sri P S. Krishnan, I.A.S. Director of Industries.
(16) Sri C Thirunarayan, Joint Director, Industries
(17) Sri B Ram Mohan, Dy Director of Social Welfare
(18) Sri C. S Venkatesam, Joint Director, Tribal Welfare.
(19) Sri V. Snpadachar, Joint Registrar of Co-operative Societies.
(20) Sri N. Ram Reddy, Handlooms and Textiles, Project Officers
(21) Sri Naram Krishna Rao, Chief Engineer (Public Health)
(22) Sri Sham Pershad Raj, Superintending Engineer, Public Health.
(23) Sri B. J. C. Mathur, Dy Director of Fisheries
(24) Sri V P. Rama Rao, I.A.S., Managing Director, A P Infrastructure Corporation.
(25) Sri K. V. Narshumha Charya, Secretary, A P Small Scale Industrial Development Corporation
The Chairman welcomed the members of the committee and other officers who were present on invitation and briefly indicated the guidelines given out by the Planning Commission, Government of India during discussions and the endorsement of the same by the State Planning Board. He requested all those who were present to ensure, while making proposals for utilisation of special assistance under the six-point formula, that the guidelines were kept in view, since any deviation may not be acceptable either to the State Planning Board or the Planning Commission, Government of India.

The chairman posed the following issues for the initial consideration of the committee before going through the proposals from each district in detail:

1. Should the issue of identification of backward areas be reopened?
   (a) to include the marginal taluks whose indices were 110 and below,
   (b) should the committee consider extension of backward areas even for taluks bearing indices upto 125 as was done by the Costal Andhra Planning and Development Committee,
   (c) assuming one of the above is agreed to, should the allocation for each district be revised again on the basis of the population of the backward areas thus identified; and
   (d) in case of the committee did not approve extension of the identification of backward areas should there be any adhoc allotment for each of 13 taluks not identified so far as backward,

2. Should the committee consider the District proposals sitting as a full committee or by constituting themselves into sub-committees for each district or sector;

3. Consideration of the review statement of expenditure out of T R C funds may not be taken up in detail and information furnished by the secretary may be pursued and recorded,

4. Consideration of the note of the Minister (P W. D) regarding allocation funds of for purpose of communications,
considerable discussion took place regarding the first issue. By and large the Members especially those hailing from districts having a large number of identified backward taluks were against reopening the issue if at all it had to be reopened, they suggested that the allocation cannot be on population basis and any allotment proposed for the marginal or those taluks coming up to Index 125 or beyond that should only be out of the 25% reserve funds. The Chairman explained that it was his bounden duty to bring to the notice of the Members the various representations made to him and to the Govt. so that the committee can arrive at the right conclusion. After further discussions, it was agreed that, in terms of the previous deliberations of the committee, an adhoc allotment of Rs 100 lakh may be made for each taluk left out, irrespective of the index of the taluk and that this amount be proportionately taken out from each district in relation to the allocation each district got out of the total assistance. The revised allocations on this basis were noted as follows:

(Rs. in lakhs)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hyderabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Medak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mahaboobnagar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Nalgonda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Adilabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Khammam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Warangal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>675.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. With respect to the second issue, the committee considered that it would be most practicable and would facilitate expeditious consideration of the proposals if the Members constituted themselves into sub-committees for consideration of the proposals from each district in detail. The following were requested to serve on the sub-committees noted against each:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of the member</th>
<th>Sub-committee for the District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Collector</td>
<td>Convenor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sri Raga Pulla Reddy, M L A</td>
<td>Mahaboobnagar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sri K. Ranga Das, M L A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sri Takkalapalli Purushotham Rao M.L.A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sri J. Eshwan Bai</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collector</td>
<td></td>
<td>Convenor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Sri N Anantha Reddy M L A</td>
<td>Khammam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Sri Thummala Ranga Reddy M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sri T. Venkatappaiah M L C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Collector</td>
<td></td>
<td>Convenor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Sri P. Kishan Rao, M L A</td>
<td>Medak</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Sri C. Rajanarasimha, M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sri M. Srinivasa Rao M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Sri Pambala Kattam Lingaiah, M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Collector</td>
<td></td>
<td>Convenor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Sri Massod Ahmed, M L A</td>
<td>Nalgonda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Sri Palvai Govarphan Reddy, M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Kum Musapeta Kamalamma M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Collector</td>
<td></td>
<td>Convenor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Sri Gaddanana M L A</td>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Sri Vellochala Jagapathi Rao, M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sri Badam Yella Reddy, M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Collector</td>
<td></td>
<td>Convenor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Sri N. Anantha Reddy M L A</td>
<td>Hyderabad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Sri R. Kishan Rao M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sri C. Rajanarasimha M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Collector</td>
<td></td>
<td>Convenor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Sri M. Bagga Reddy M L A</td>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Sri M. Srinivasa Rao M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sri Thunmala Ranga Reddy M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Smt. J. Eshwari Bai M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Collector</td>
<td></td>
<td>Convenor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Sri Gaddanana M L A</td>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Sri Masood Ahmed M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sri P. Govardhan Reddy M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Kum M. Kamalamma M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Collector</td>
<td></td>
<td>Convenor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Sri T. Purushotam Rao M L A</td>
<td>Warangal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Dr Pambala Kattam Lingaiah M L A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sri T. Venkatappaiah M L C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5 Regarding third issue, a number of Members felt that the Committee should review in detail the expenditure and also the performance under each sector for which the funds have been allotted even before taking up consideration of the detailed district proposals. The Chairman observed that if need be the Committee can sit in session separately later and take up this review sector-wise for detailed consideration and for the time being it would be inopportune since the other two Committees have finalised their recommendations and the State Planning Board had to approve all the proposals for onward transmission to the Planning Commission, Government of India and that further delay in this regard cannot be admitted. After some discussion it was agreed that the review statement may be perused and recorded and the Committee may take up for detailed consideration sector-wise performance in due course.

6. With reference to the fourth issue the Committee was unanimously of the view that though Communications was a very important sector it would not be possible to recommend its inclusion in the proposals made by each district since in the guidelines indicated by the Government of India as well as the State Planning Board Communications could not be given any priority.

7. The Sub-Committees for Medak, Karimnagar, Nalgonda and Khammam districts presented their reports to the Committee in the afternoon of 20-9-1974 and they were approved by the Committee. The revised sectoral allocations for each district is as indicated in the Annexure In respect of Minor Irrigation (P.R.) for Nalgonda district Kum Kamalamma, M.L.A urged that the allocations be cut down since the execution of the works under this sector was highly unsatisfactory and left much to be desired.

The Collector and another Member of the Sub-committee Sri Govardhan Reddy M.L.A. said that a very large number of sources had been branched due to heavy rain in the district and that if they were not restored a lot of ayacut could go waste and hence suggested retention of the provision, which the Collector had already pruned down when comparing to the original proposal. The Chief Engineer (Panchayati Raj) requested the Member to bring to his notice any instances of unsatisfactory execution of works for detailed investigation and further suitable action. After some discussion the Committee approved the proposals for allocation of Rs. 10 00 lakhs for Minor Irrigation for Nalgonda district subject to the condition that the detailed list of works should not relate to repair and maintenance component but exclusively to restoration or new works only.

8. The sub-Committees for Mahboobnagar, Hyderabad, Warangal, Adilabad and Nizambad districts presented their reports to the Committee on 21-9-1974 afternoon and the Committee approved the
proposals in toto. The received sectoral allocations for each district are as indicated in the Annexure. All the Collectors were requested to send the detailed proposals in terms of the Committee's approval within 4 to 5 days to facilitate consideration by the State Planning Board.

9. In the afternoon session on 21-9-1974 the Committee took up for consideration the proposals of the Education Department and Industries Department. The Chairman explained that the primary point to be decided by the committee would be the proportion that should be adopted for utilisation of special assistance which has been reserved for higher education and industries. It was noted that Rayalaseema Planning and Development Committee had suggested 1/5th for Higher Education and 4/5th for large scale industries.

10. The Secretary, Education briefly explained the proposals of the department. He stated that in the memorandum received from the Planning Department he had been asked to make proposals to the tune of Rs 50 to 60 lakhs only and therefore, the proposals made by the department were restricted and did not in effect include all the important aspects which should be concentrated upon. He stated that unless midlevel technical education is expanded, it will be difficult to sustain the structure at the higher level. Similarly women's education also deserved priority and substantial assistance was necessary under this head. He recommended for the consideration of the Committee on allocation of Rs 2.05 crores for higher education for a period of 5 years as follows:

- Osmania University: Rs 92.00 lakhs
- Post-Graduate Centre, Warangal: Rs 10.00 lakhs
- Mid-level Technical Education: Rs 85.00 lakhs
- Women Education: Rs 18.00 lakhs

In terms of the proportion for higher education out of the reserved funds, the proposals did not work out to even 25%.

11. Sri Govardhan Reddy stated that the higher education proposals should include establishment of Post-Graduate Centres at the district level, a profession oriented curriculum, including that of training an adequate number of teachers for whom there will be accelerated demand in the near future and various sciences which will make forming a success like dairy science etc. He suggested that some funds be made available to the Andhra Pradesh Agriculture University for taking up useful courses in this regard. This view was strengthened by Kishan Rao, M.L.A.

12. Dr. Lingaiah, pleaded for substantial assistance for Kakatiya Medical College which had been de-recognised some time ago and only with great difficulty the State Government could succeed in
getting it recognised again at an attenuated level. Kum. Kammalamma, M. L. A. strongly urged that women's education should get priority over other proposals and suggested a women's college at each district headquarters.

13 Sri Ranga Reddy recalling his experience as Chairman of Agro-Industries Corporation stated that there was a dearth of suitably qualified and trained personnel for midlevel jobs and the department of education should devote their attention for increasing technical education facilities. A number of members also urged that while large-scale industrialisation was being contemplated at a rapid pace a study was necessary to ascertain certain the areas in which suitable personnel would be required and Education proposals should be linked to such Projections.

14 Sri Masood Ahmed recommended that required number of Polytechnics and Junior Colleges should be opened and the existing institutions should be strengthened and a number of courses expanded to meet the anticipated needs of industrialisation.

15 Sri Jagapathi Rao, stated that the training of various personnel at different levels had not kept pace with the rate of industrialisation and unless concerted steps were taken now for developing required categories of personnel for the various levels of management and technical specialisation it will be almost impossible to keep pace with industrialisation in the next few years. He strongly pleaded for an Institute of Management and Business Administration to be funded out of special assistance proposed for large scale industries. He suggested a figure around Rs. 1½ crores.

16 After some further discussions the Committee agreed that it would be fair if 1/5 of the proposed special assistance was earmarked for higher education.

17. The Joint Secretary to Government, Industries Department stated that the proposals of the department had been restricted for the first year only and also to an amount of Rs. 2.40 crores.

18. Sri Goverdhan Reddy commenting on the proposals of the Industries Department stated that it would not be appropriate if only areas close to the twin cities were developed without regard to the development of the backward areas. He urged the infrastructure corporation to provide facilities at the interver places and not confine themselves to areas in and around the city. He pleaded for location of a castor complex at Nalgonda in addition to Devarkonda. For facilitating location of castor complex and other large scale industries he urged the infrastructure corporation to take up provision of necessary facilities, including water supply, at Nalgonda.
Recounting the experience of Maharashtra he said it should be our endeavour to locate at least one large scale industry in each district and ensure the corresponding growth of ancillary industries. In this regard he felt that the proposals of the Industries Department were not adequate. Sri Paga Pulla Reddy and Sri Jagapathi Rao, stated that it will be necessary for the committee to have from the Industries department proposals covering the entire 5 years period and it would not be appropriate if it is prepared piecemeal. If a five year plan is available it would be possible for the Committee members to satisfy themselves that the Projects chosen were the right ones and each District got its due share. The Chairman at this stage explained that while the intention was to ensure a district-wise balance also, it should not be construed on an arithmetical basis. State Planning Board might like to exercise some choice in the matter of selecting suitable industries for various areas. He stated that the important Considerations that weighed with the Industries Department was the capability of each project suggested to absorb funds by March 1974-75 and in this view it was not sought that a District-wise balance be ensured. He said that it would be possible eventually to streamline the procedure in such a manner that the district-wise balance is struck.

The Managing Director, Nizam Sugar Factory explained the proposals to set up a Sugar Factory at Miryalguda. Having regard to the finances of the Nizam Sugar Factory and the tight money market conditions it would not be possible to go ahead with the project as per schedule unless special assistance was provided. The Director of Industries stated that unless infrastructural facilities at important growth centres as proposed are made available it would be difficult to attract large scale industries and in this view he recommended for consideration of the committee the expansion of facilities at Patancheru which is in the backward area but close enough to the twin cities where the required social infrastructure for ensuring location of large sized units were available. He cited as an example, the project taken up by voltras with French Collaboration which would have otherwise gone to Tamilnadu. And the development of region as a whole should be kept in view by all the members, it was generally agreed that while regional development should be kept in view a fair district-wise balance may also be essential and subject only to locational advantages and raw-materials availability large scale industries should be sufficiently wide spread and equitably distributed. The Director of Industries and Joint Secretary to Government Industries stated that the proposals were made in such a manner to take full advantage of the locally available raw materials and no effort had been made to include sophisticated and highly specialised industries which do not depend on local raw materials. The Chairman agreeing with the points made by a number
of members stated that in a month or two the Committee should meet again and consider the proposals of the Industries Department covering the entire period of 5 years. For the time being the Committee agreed to recommend the utilisation of 4/5th of the reserved amounts out of the special assistance for large scale industries.

19 The individual proposals made by the department except for few items like assistance proposed for the Nizam Sugar Factory, Infrastructure corporation Proposals regarding Patanchervu, did not come up for detailed consideration.

20. The following resolutions were recorded.

1 Resolved to constitute sub-committees for the consideration of detailed district proposals.

2 Resolved to make an adhoc allotment or Rs 1 00 lakh for each of the taluks which were not identified as backward and to proportionately find this amount from each district allocation with reference to the total quantum of assistance allocated.

3 Resolved to recommend 1/5th for higher education and 4/5th for large scale Industries from out of the 25 percent funds reserved for this purpose for the year 1974-75 out of the special assistance promised by Government of India.

Sd/-

C Jagannatha Rao
Chairman,
Telangana Planning and Development Committee

J Vengal Rao,
Chief Minister,

ANNEXURE

HYDERABAD

Tentative Sector-Wise Allotment For The Year 1974-75 and 1975-76
(Rs. in lakhs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Schemes</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
<th>Amount proposed to be utilised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1974-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rural Electrification</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Minor Irrigation-P W.D (IB)</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Minor Irrigation-Zilla Parishad</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Completion of community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irrigation wells &amp; provision for Electric Motors and Pump-sets to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>all the wells taken up under C S R E Programme</td>
<td>61500</td>
<td>6 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Completion of protected water supply scheme where no L I C. loan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>assistance is provided</td>
<td>7 21</td>
<td>3 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Completion of spillover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drinking water wells (Open wells)</td>
<td>2 50</td>
<td>2 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Construction of spibore wells and provision of sub-mersible pumps.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10 50</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Establishment of Cooling Centre at Tandur</td>
<td>6 00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Establishment of Key village Centre at Chevella</td>
<td>5 00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Establishment of Ambulatory Clinic at Ibrahimpatnam</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Supply of Plant Protection equipment</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Assistance for the Vegetable Gardens</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Establishment of Carcus Plant</td>
<td>2 00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Supply of Fruit Plants</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Fisheries</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Employment Oriented Incentive for new Small Scale Industries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>based on natural resources</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Conditional financial aid to State Finance Corporation for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>making available the amount derived out-of the grant to the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>backward taluks of Hyderabad district.</td>
<td>2 00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL: 89 82 45.12 44.70

Sd/-
Collector, Hyderabad District.
MEDAK DISTRICT

CENTRAL ASSISTANCE UNDER SIX POINT FORMULA
SECTORAL ALLOCATION FOR THE YEAR 1974–1975
MADE BY THE TELANGANA PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
SUB-COMMITTEE

The proposals received under Six Point Formula Schemes from
the District Collector, Medak were scrutinised and discussed by the
Sub-Committee constituted by the Telangana Planning and Develop­
ment Committee After through scrutiny of the proposals, the Sub-
Committee had suggested the following allocation under different
sectors —

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Allocation (Rs. in Lakhs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Min. Irrigation-P. W. D., new schemes</td>
<td>13.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Rural Water Supply schemes (Spillover)</td>
<td>7.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Protected Water Supply schemes (New)</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Rural Electrification</td>
<td>35.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Dairy Development Scheme-Milk Cooling</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre at Narayankhed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Min. Irrigation-Restoration-Panchayati</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raj</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Community Irrigation wells (M I )</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Fisheries Development</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Industries - Leather Development</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Animal Husbandry - Intensive Cattle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Scheme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>87.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sd/-

Collector, Medak District

MAHABUBNAGAR DISTRICT

(Rs. in Lakhs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minor Irrigation Works</th>
<th>Allocation (Rs. in Lakhs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Spillover works-I B.-Zilderi Tippa Project and repair works.</td>
<td>18.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Community-Irrigation Wells-Spillover works-P.S</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Community Irrigation Wells-New works-Scheduled Caste Corporation.</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

32—10

(Rs- in Lakhs)

4. Spillover works-Pick up dams to utilise water originally taken up under Drought relief 0.40

II  Scheduled Caste & Backward Caste Corporations

1 Sheepd Farming  4.00
2. Supply of Milch Cattle  4.00

III Rural Water Supply or Protected Water Supply

1 Sinking of Borewells  10.00
2 Protected Water Supply  12.46
3 Spillover Works- Zilla Parishad  10.00

IV Animal Husbandry

( Details as in Annexure given by Director Animal Husbandry )

V. Dairy Development

1. Feed Mixing plant, Mahabubnagar/Tadecharla  16.83
2. Expansion of Milk Cooling Centre, Mahabubnagar  2.00
3 Loans for Dairy Cattle.  1.00

VI Small Industries

1. Water facilities to Mahabubnagar I Estate (Work to be entrusted to Public Health & Panchayati Raj).  2.50
2. Infrastructure facilities at Jadcherla
3. Sizing Plant at Narayanpet  3.00
4. Carcass Utilisation Centre at Farhabad/Gadwal  15.75
5. Margin money under Self Employment Schemes  4.00

   105.01

VII. Agriculture

1. Soil Conservation.  7.02
2. Strengthening of Labb. at Mahobubnagar  0.30
3. Plant Protection workshop at Mahabubnagar  0.47

VIII Fisheries

1. Fish production of Farahbada Tank in Atchampet Taluk 0.50
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IX Rural Electrification</th>
<th>(Rs. in Lakhs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Transmission and distribution</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Improvement works</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Haryana Pattern</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Spillover T R C Works and new works</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>135.25</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sd/-
Collector, Mahabubnagar.

PROPOSED SCHEME FOR MAHABUBNAGAR

No 1 It is proposed to start Artificial Insemination work at Veterinary Institutions where at present Veterinary Health Centres is carried out. At each one of these Veterinary Institution Artificial Insemination Kit and one Messenger is provided. The existing Veterinary Assistant Surgeon will undertake Artificial Insemination work in addition to his normal duties of Veterinary Practices.

The total cost is Rs 12,000, at the rate of Rs 3,000/- for each institution of 4 Veterinary Institutions. This scheme has already been included in the original proposals Rs. 12,000

No 2 Strengthening of Clinical Laboratory.
This scheme has been already accepted Rs. 20,000

No.3 Poultry Development Programme. (Distribution of exotic cockerels in the village to replace the Country Cockerels).
... Rs. 20,000

Note: This scheme was also originally included to Strengthen Block Poultry Units. The estimated cost is Rs. 90,000 but this has been reduced to Rs 10,000

No.4 Calf subsidy for 100 calves for 4 months. ... Rs. 10,000 at Rs. 25 per calf for each month.

No.5 Distribution of improved stud Rama in the village flock to replace the Country Rams (100 rams) at the rate of Rs. 200 per Ram. ... Rs. 20,000
No.6 Establishment of new Minor Veterinary Dispensaries where there is no veterinary coverage present at the rate of Rs 10,000 per Minor Veterinary Dispensary for 15 Minor Veterinary Dispensaries . . Rs 50,000

No.7 Supply of Veterinary medicines and Ambulatory clinic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ambulatory Clinical staff, Medicines.</th>
<th>Rs 75,000</th>
<th>Rs 25,000</th>
<th>Rs 1,00,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,22,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sd/-
Director of Animal Husbandry.

REPORT OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE—NALGONDA DISTRICT

An amount of Rs 86,45 lakhs is provided to this district to be spent in six identified backward taluks. In addition to this an amount of Rs 1,00 lakhs is provided during the year to be spent in Huzurnagar taluk. Schemes to the tune of Rs. 153,00 lakhs have been prepared. The anticipated expenditure during the year 1974-75 is of the order of Rs 95.04 lakhs.

As per the guidelines indicated by the Government, high priority has been given to minor irrigation, rural electrification and providing drinking water. Comparatively smaller allocations have been made to the other sectors like agriculture, animal husbandry, dairying and small scale industries. Some amounts have also been provided under share capital contribution to the various institutions on the condition that this amount will be used as seed money and the finances which will be available on account of this money would be spent exclusively in Nalgonda district.

Minor Irrigation:

An amount of Rs 40,00 lakhs is provided under the Minor Irrigation, P.WD - IB. Some of the Minor Irrigation sources which have been earlier taken under the T.R.C. funds are also included under the Central Assistance Plan for completion. The future expenditure on these works will be met exclusively under Central Assistance Grant. It is also proposed to restore some of the unrestored irrigation sources. There are over 200 breached tanks under the control of the Zilla Parishad. It is proposed to restore 149 breached sources at an estimated cost of Rs 7.2 lakhs. When they are restored an extent of about 4,000 acres of dead ayacut would be brought under irrigation.
An amount of Rs. 10 00 lakhs is provided for restoration of unrestored irrigation sources under the control of the Zilha Parishad. The allocation indicated earlier was Rs 16.25 lakhs. It has now been brought down to Rs. 10 00 lakhs. In Nalgonda district the Community Irrigation wells programme taken up under S.F.D.A. and under Crash scheme for Rural Employment has been very successful, but some of the works earlier taken up under Crash Scheme for Rural Employment were left incomplete for want of funds. It is now proposed to complete these works with an estimated cost of Rs 2.5 lakhs. In addition it is also proposed to sanction 100 new community irrigation wells for harijans and other landless poor persons at an estimated cost Rs 6.00 lakhs. An amount of 2.00 lakhs has been provided for supply of oil engines and electric-motors in deserving cases.

Rural Electrification:

An amount of Rs 51.00 lakhs is provided for Rural Electrification. The anticipated expenditure during the year 1974-75 is Rs 29.10 lakhs. Out of the above amount allotted for rural electrification it is proposed to take up scheme for stabilisation of the system at a cost of Rs. 14.03 lakhs. It is considered essential to carry out the stabilisation work in order to meet the load development during the current year. Further expansion will be difficult without carrying out these works. In Nalgonda district electrification has been taken up under various programmes in the normal plan Telangana Development Plan E A P. P and rural Electrification Corporation. It is now proposed to complete the balance work and carry out minor extensions under the schemes taken up under H. A.P.P., T R C. and Normal Plan. The works when completed are expected to result in energisation of 15,00 irrigation wells in addition to the release of service connections for other purposes. The amount now allocated is only a part of the total requirements. The villages which have been taken up under T R C under the Haryana pattern are not being touched during the current year.

Drinking Water:

Three Rigs are working in Nalgonda District now. It is estimated that they will be able to dig 300 wells during the year. The total amount required for 300 wells is Rs 18.00 lakhs. An amount of Rs. 6.00 lakhs is already available under the State Plan. It is proposed to spend an amount of Rs. 10.00 lakhs from the Central Assistance Grant during the current year on Rig Wells. It is hoped that by the end of the year almost all the villages in the district would be covered under the Rig Wells Programme. A small amount of Rs 1.5 lakhs is allocated for completing the open drinking water wells taken up under other programmes. The total amount required for completing all these works is Rs. 3.00 lakhs. But it is proposed to take
up only such of the works which are in advanced stage during the current year. An amount of Rs 100 lakh is allocated for providing bore wells in Nalgonda town. Due to high fluoride content in the water, the water supplied through taps is not used for drinking water purposes. Six bore wells have also been provided in the town in various localities, the water from which is utilised for drinking purposes. It is now proposed to provide three more bore wells in the areas which are not covered earlier.

Fisheries Development

An amount of Rs. 50,000 is provided to this sector. It is proposed to develop fish seed farm at Dindi during the current year at an estimated cost of Rs 40,000 by providing 10 more seed beds. It is proposed to allocate a small amount of Rs. 10,000 towards 25 per cent subsidy on Nylon Yarn to be supplied to Fisheries Co-operative Societies.

Agriculture

Considering the funds available under small Farmers Development Agency Plan, Drought Prone Programme a small allocation of Rs. 2.00 lakhs is provided to this sector. It is proposed to develop the three seed farms at Dindi, Anaparthi and Cherkupalli by providing irrigation wells, pump sets, oil engines at Rs 1.5 lakhs. It is also proposed to provide better plant protection facilities to castor growers by supplying and operated sprayers to the farmers on 50 per cent subsidy. An amount of Rs 50,000 is provided towards subsidy on 200 sprayers programme to be distributed during the current year.

ANIMAL HUSBANDRY.

An amount of Rs 690,000 is provided under this sector for taking up schemes such as distribution of Nellore Rams on 75 per cent subsidy. Installation of Gobege plants on 25 per cent, supply of Cockrels and providing calf subsidy. The detailed allocation is as follows:

(i) Supply of Rams Rs. 12,000
(ii) Gobege Plants Rs. 55,000
(iii) Supply of Cockrels Rs. 10,000
(iv) Calf subsidy Rs 10,000

DURING:

An amount of Rs. 5 lakhs is provided for improving the capacity of Milk Cooling Centre at Aler by installing an additional cooler of 75,000 litre capacity. The Milk production in the area now is in excess of the capacity of the cooler.
SMALL SCALE INDUSTRIES:

An amount of Rs 2 lakhs is provided as seed money for taking up construction of a sizing units in order to cater to the requirements of power looms in Nalgonda district. It is proposed to provide an outright grant of Rs. 70,000 to a select Weavers Co-operative Society for the supply of improved appliances.

SHARE CAPITAL CONTRIBUTION THROUGH CO-OPERATIVES:

An amount of Rs 5 lakhs is provided as share capital contribution to Leather Industries Development Corporation on the condition that the Corporation will start a purchase-cum-raw material centre at the district headquarters with an estimated cost of Rs. 5 lakhs and a Carcass Utilisation etc., 5.75 lakhs. An amount of Rs 2 lakhs will be provided as share capital contribution to Andhra Pradesh Backward Classes Finance Corporation subject to the condition that this will be used as seed money and that the finance which will become available will be utilised in Nalgonda district.

SHARE CAPITAL FOR A P. INDUSTRIAL INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION:

An amount of Rs 5 lakhs provided as share capital contribution to the A P Industrial Infrastructure Development Corporation subject to the condition that this amount will be utilised for improving infrastructural facilities necessary for locating the caster complex in Nalgonda district provided such location is the upland taluks of the districts.

Sd/-
Collector, Nalgonda

NALGONDA DISTRICT
SECTOR-WISE ALLOCATION

(Rs. in Lakhs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
<th>Expenditure during 1974-75</th>
<th>Expenditure during 1975-76</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor Irrigation:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.W.D - I B - Panchayati Raj,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprinkler Irrigation and Community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation wells.</td>
<td>70.75</td>
<td>39.75</td>
<td>32.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Electrification</td>
<td>51.00</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>24.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking water</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proposals of section-wise allocation of funds under six point Formula for the year 1974-75.

(Rs. in Lakhs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal</th>
<th>Amount (In Lakhs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Rural Electrification</td>
<td>19.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Industries</td>
<td>9.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Animal Husbandry</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Dairy Development</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rural Water Supply</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Co-operation</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Economic support programmes for weaker (i)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sections (backward) contribution to Corporation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) -do- (Scheduled Castes)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Irrigation</td>
<td>31.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Fisheries</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Forest</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Agriculture</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Spillover works for Rural Electrification</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in Nirmal taluk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>78.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sd/-
Collector, Adilabad.
NIZAMABAD DISTRICT

Sectoral Allocations For Nizamabad District As Decided By The Sub-Committee Of The Telengana Planning And Development Committee At Its Meeting Held On 21-9-1974.

(Rs. in lakhs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S No</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Minor Irrigation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 B P.W.D.</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community Irrigation Wells.</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rural Drinking Water Supply</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Small Scale Industries</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Animal Husbandry Schemes</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rural Electrification</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total. 11.88

For Taluks Not Included In the List of Backward Areas.

1 Community Irrigation Wells 1.50
2 Electric motors and service connections 1.00
3 Rural Electrification 2.50

Total 5.00

Grand Total: 16.88

(Informally obtained from Collector, Nizamabad).

KARIMNAGAR DISTRICT

Sectoral Allocation

(Rs in lakhs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S No</th>
<th>Scheme</th>
<th>Total out lay</th>
<th>Outlay for the period upto 3/76</th>
<th>Outlay for 1975-76</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>Minor Irrigation</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Minor Irrigation (P.W.D I.B)</td>
<td>60.551</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>45.551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Community Irrigation wells</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(S Cs, B Cs, &amp; Gurijans)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Minor Irrigation (Zilla Parishad)</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

23.00
2. Rural Electrification:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Karimnagar (North Division)</td>
<td>15 48</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>8 48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Karimnagar (South Division)</td>
<td>18 01</td>
<td>8 00</td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Co-operative Electric Supply Society Limited Sircalla</td>
<td>3 86</td>
<td>3 00</td>
<td>0 86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18 00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Small Industries:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Employment generating programme</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3 00</td>
<td>2 00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Maize starch Industry</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>10 00</td>
<td>2 00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Anarmergaon Spinning Mills</td>
<td>10 00</td>
<td>8 00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) State Finance Corporation</td>
<td>6 20</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) State Infrastructure Corporation</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8 00</td>
<td>.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) Leather Development Corporation</td>
<td>5 00</td>
<td>5 00</td>
<td>.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g) Small Scale Industries Development Corporation</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Rural Drinking Water Supply:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Open Weels–Spillover</td>
<td>2 70</td>
<td>2 70</td>
<td>.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Rigs programme</td>
<td>7 50</td>
<td>5 00</td>
<td>2 50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Agriculture, Dairy etc. :

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Agriculture</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>2 52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Animal Husbandry</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>2 00</td>
<td>5 35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Dairy</td>
<td>18.70</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>12.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Fisheries</td>
<td>1 00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Miscellaneous:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Survey of natural resources</td>
<td>9 00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### KHAMMAM DISTRICT

Recommendations of the Sub-Committee as approved by the Telangana Development Board on 21-9-1974 in the Jubilee Hall

**Sectoral Allocation Proposed under Backward Areas Programme**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1974-75</th>
<th>1975-76</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Minor Irrigation (P.W.D.)</td>
<td>25 00</td>
<td>25 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Electricity (Rural Electrification) (Including stabilisation of system)</td>
<td>15 00</td>
<td>40 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Industries including assistance to the Corporation</td>
<td>12 50</td>
<td>15 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Tube Well Scheme (including energisation)</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Co-operation</td>
<td>8 00</td>
<td>8 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Panchayati Raj (i) Bore Wells (Rural water Supply)</td>
<td>5 00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) M.I. Works (restoration and repairing to breached tanks)</td>
<td>1 00</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Agriculture</td>
<td>2 00</td>
<td>5 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Veterinary</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Fisheries</td>
<td>2 00</td>
<td>3 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Forests</td>
<td>1 50</td>
<td>3 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Social Welfare (State Financial Corpn.)</td>
<td>1 00</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.C. Financial Corpn</td>
<td>1 00</td>
<td>3 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Tribal Welfare (Community Irrigation Wells and Distribution of Milch Cattle)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Dairy</td>
<td>6 00</td>
<td>6 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>94.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>125 00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sd/

Collector, Khammam.

---

### WARANGAL DISTRICT

The following are the revised sectoral allocations:

(Rs. in lakhs)

1. Minor Irrigation - P.W.D.
   - (i) Spillover
     - (a) Spillover
     - (b) New
   - (ii) Completion of incomplete Community Irrigation Wells (P.R.)

(10.00)
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Before taking up the Agenda Items, a number of members felt that the action taken on the earlier Resolutions of the RDB and the RPDC regarding the conversion of Srisailam project into an irrigation one to benefit the Rayalaseema area should be considered. The Chairman explained that since the matter is at the stage of obtaining clarifications from the Tribunal, it would not be desirable to come to a definite view at this stage. The members felt that the dispute before the Tribunal is in regard to the total allocation of water to A P and it is of no consequence and interest to others if A P Govt were to decide that a portion of the waters allocated for the State is to be utilised to benefit Rayalaseema area. Sri E Ayyapu Reddy felt that the Rayalaseema Planning and Development Committee will be failing in its duty if it did not obtain a statement, from the Govt assuring atleast 100 TMC of Krishna Waters for the development of urgently required irrigation facilities in Rayalaseema. He felt that the matter was being unnecessarily delayed without adequate reasons and unless this matter is favourably settled there would be no point at all in the RPDC transacting any business hereafter. Sri D. Suryaprakash Reddy, MLC, stated that considerable injustice is still being done to Rayalaseema in that no definite view was taken by the Govt regarding Srisailam Project and the funds allotted even for other projects like HIC is being diverted to Nagarjunasagar, which he felt was not a desirable thing at all. After some further discussion the committee decided to meet the Chief Minister and discuss the matter the same day.

The proposal made by the Industries Department and the Education Department formed the basis of subsequent discussion. Firstly the proposals of Education Department were taken up for consideration. The Director of Public Instruction explained to the Members each of the proposals made by the Department. A view was expressed that by concentrating on the development of the P.G. centre already existing at Anantapur, it may be eventually possible to develop a University there. The D P. I said that for getting a new University, there must be at least 35 Colleges to be attached to it and having regard to the number of Colleges in the Sri Venkateswara University area there was no possibility of having another University in the foreseeable future.
Sri Veeraraghavulu Naidu, MIC., explained the pitiable state of Govt Arts College building at Chittoor which were commenced long time back but were still incomplete. He urged that the proposals of D. P I. to start mineral prospecting, Microbiology, Electronics, etc, course be implemented in Chittoor and that considerable funds may be made available under the special assistance for completing the existing buildings which can fruitfully be utilised for starting additional courses. The Chairman, started that the appropriate place to start the course in electronics is Tirupathi and mineral prospecting at another place where lot of mineral wealth is available. This view was endorsed by a number members. The Chairman however stated that certain amount of funds must be made available for the completion of the buildings of Chittoor Government's Arts College preferably under the Heading “Development of Existing Govt Colleges” and the provision of this may be enhanced to Rs. 5.00 lakhs. This was agreed to.

3 Regarding the proposal of the Director of Public Instruction to have post-Graduate course in other Government College also, certain members expressed the view that it will be desirable to concentrate all the faculties in the Post Graduate centre and not to divert funds. The Director of Public Instruction explained that while centralisation and development of Post-Graduate Centre is desirable, the Government Colleges which had adequate facilities ofamping up particular Post-Graduate Course should not be denied the opportunity. After some discussion it was decided that the amount proposed for Post-Graduate Degree Course in the Government College at Anantapur may be diverted for the development of the already existing Post-Graduate Centre at Anantapur. Sri Vijaya Sikhamani stated that there was considerable pressure on many private Colleges and other institutions especially at the Intermediate and under Graduate level for additional seats. After considerable discussion the Committee felt that the Director of Public Instruction and the Government, may be requested to take necessary steps to increase the number of seats wherever required at the Intermediate and degree level so that when there are students ready to avail of the educational facilities they may not be denied the opportunity.

4. The committee by and large endorsed the proposal of the Director of Public Instruction for starting a Women’s Polytechnic. The Chairman and a number of other members felt that it will be desirable to locate the Institution at Anantapur, where a big educational complex for women is being brought up by Sri Satya Sai Baba foundation and there was every possibility of the area becoming the first Women’s University eventually.

5. The Chairman stated that the proposal of Indian Medicine Department for opening a Homeopathy College at Kurnool should be strongly supported by the Rayalaseema Planning and Development
Committee and at least an amount of Rs 1.00 lakh may be allotted for this purpose. He stated that the efforts made earlier to shift the Pottisriramulu College outside the city had been opposed and now the intention is to start a College after Sri D. Sanjivayya, the previous Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh at Kurnool. This proposal was supported by the Committee.

6. The Chairman brought to the notice of the Committee that on behalf of the Andhra Pradesh Sports Council, a request was made that at least Rs 1.00 lakh may be allocated for each district for developing sports activities which was a crying-need of the hour. This proposal is opposed by Sri Vijaya Sikhamani, who stated that lot of funds were collected by various institutions in the form of special fees etc., and that there can be no ground for Rayalaseema Planning and Development Committee to allocate any funds for this purpose. After discussion this proposal was dropped. The Silver Jubilee College at Kurnool which is a unique institution had made a request for allotment of funds. On examination it was found that their proposal for additional accommodation by constructing additional hostels and College buildings to the tune of Rs 15.00 lakhs could not be supported. It was however, decided that at least Rs 2.00 lakhs may be allocated for purchase of equipment and library.

7. The request of S.D G S College, Hindupur and the proposal for upgradation of the Junior College, at Uravakonda were not considered feasible and were accordingly rejected.

8. The proposals of the Director of Public Instruction for construction of Home Science Block for the Women's College at Cuddapah at a cost of Rs. 2.00 lakhs under item 1(b) was not agreed to. Similarly under item 2(b) the Committee did not agree for the construction of Home Science Block for the Women's College, Kurnool.

9. It was noted that the proposals of the Director of Public Instruction were far more than the amount that can be allocated to it by R.P.D.C., for purposes of higher education and it was generally felt that the R.P.D.C. need not suggest any particular pruning under any head and the matter of adjustment out of funds to be allocated for each particular proposal may be left to the department and the State Planning Board.

10. The Joint Secretary, Industries explained the broad details of the proposals made by the department in consultation with the various Corporations under the Department. He specially mentioned that the Industries proposed were mostly agro based or on the basis of raw materials locally available and that the proposals for infrastructure facilities, etc., were intended to attract foot-loose industries from other areas by providing the entrepreneurs, adequate facilities, the proposals were mainly intended to concentrate around certain identified
growth centres. As regards certain Industries proposed in the Co-opera-
tion etc., sectors regard had been had for the states of the projects and
their capacity for absorption of funds by March, 1975, and the max-
imum utility that can be derived. Sri Narsing Rao pleaded for locating
Industries in really backward areas and not in a cluster in and around
the more forward urban areas like Tirupati. He especially mentioned
that in the western region, of Chittoor Dist. where there was utter
lack of employment opportunities, industrialisation should be made big
way. Sri C Dass also supported the view expressed and added that
the eastern taluks of Chittoor Dist. like Satyavedu deserve special
consideration having regard to the proximity to Madras etc. The
Managing Director, A P Infrastructure Corporation stated that while
it should be the endeavour to develop Industries in really backward
areas, we also have to keep in view the other restricting factors like
lack of social and industrial infrastructure which are essential to
attract Industries. The Chairman pointed out that a number of steps
have already been for the location of a muniteel plant, a leather com-
plex, and an electronic complex in the eastern region of Chittoor dis-
trict and stated that while a strict regional balance cannot be expec-
ted in the present proposals, the members concerned could rest assured
that all possible steps were being taken for the development of each
district. In this context, the Chairman stated that with the declara-
tion of a large number of areas like Satyavedu, Madanapally as indus-
trially backward there should be every possibility of accelerated
industrial development in those areas.

11. Sri Veeraraghavulu Naidu, M L C. requested that the buildings
already available in Bangarupalem can be utilised for setting up a
spinning mill. It was noted that a proposal has already been made for
a spinning mill at Bangarupalem. Sri B Basappa, MLA., stated that
Uravakonda is a cotton growing area and ideally suited for locating a
Co-operative Cotton Spinning Mill. The Chairman stated that if any of
the licences granted were not to be set up elsewhere or there is a
possibility of diversion, the case for establishing the factory in the Co-
operative sector at Uravakonda would deserve urgent consideration and
requested the joint Secretary, Industries, to keep this in view.

12. The Industries Department had proposed allocation of sum
of Rs. 50.00 lakhs for the Coop. Suger Factory at Renugunta, since the
Co-operative had already collected substantial share capital and unless
they have a sum of over Rs. 1.00 crore they cannot go-ahead and place
orders for the machinery and approach other financial institutions for
the required loan assistance. Srivasri N P. Veeraraghavulu Naidu,
MLC., and Vijaya Sikhamani, MLA., opposed the proposal and stated
that the collection of balance requirements may be readily got from the
prospective share-holders by the Managing Committee of the factory.
They also felt that perhaps this Industry did not deserve priority.
Joint Secretary Industries, explained that the Sugar Industry was as important, if not more, as cement, steel, etc, and further, more ideally suited for the conditions in Andhra Pradesh. Having regard to the advanced stage reached by the Coop sugar Factory at Renigunta in the matter of collection of funds he pleaded that substantial assistance at this stage would give the required momentum to have it established very early.

13 The M.D., APMC explaining the proposals requested that allotment of more funds for the development of mineral based industries in Cuddapah District particularly, would be most helpful. Sri T Venkata Reddy, MLA referred to the earlier not too encouraging performance of the APMC and enquired whether the activities of the Corporation were profitable now. In reply the M.D. stated that by and large in recent times the Corporation had been functioning successful by and that the accumulated losses are yet to be fully liquidated. He also stated that proposals now made by the Corporation were economically viable and likely to give a good profit to the Corporation including that may be earned in foreign-exchange by export of Barytes etc. A number of members stated that at present financial benefit was being derived only by the private licenses and that the Govt. should nationalise all those mines. The M.D. Stated that this issue was under advanced consideration and that he will not be able to say anything further. The committee approved the proposals for mining and processing barytes at Mangampet in Cuddapah District.

Sri Narasing Rao stated that subject to the views expressed by the Committee as recorded above it would be better to approve the proposed scheme, generally and leave the question of funds and the extent to which each project/programme should get fund to the Chairman, RPDC, who in consultation with Department will submit the proposals to State Planning Board. This suggestion was approved.

14 The Committee noted that after allocating Rs 5.41 lakhs which is the share for Giddalur and Markapur taluks, the amount that will be made available for higher education and industries will be Rs 24.97 lakhs and Rs 99.87 lakhs respectively.

15 After consultation with the Managing Directors of the Corporations and other officials and the Director of Public Instruction, I have finally decided on the following allocations for larger Industries and higher education.
### LARGER INDUSTRIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Investment in 74-75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I. Anantapur District</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>G. L S Lamps, Hindupur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fatty acids, Anantapur</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>II. Chittoor District</strong></th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Investment in 74-75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Leather footwear, Srikalahasati</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Capacitors, Chittoor</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mini Steel Plant, Chittoor</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>III. Kurnool District</strong></th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Investment in 74-75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pulp &amp; Paper Kurnool</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Straw Board, Nandyal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>IV. Cuddapah District</strong></th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Investment in 74-75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Synthetic Detergents, Cuddaph</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Barium Chemicals, Cuddapah</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

65

**Higher Education**

1. Starting of Post-Graduate centre at Kurnool by Sri Venkateswara University Govt. Colleges

500

2. Starting of Post-Graduate courses at Govt College, Cuddapah and creation of addl. facilities

1.50
3 Development of University Post-Graduate Centre at Anantapur. 1 00
4 Development of existing Govt Colleges for starting new technical courses like electronics, television, mining and mineralogy, chemical technology like (B Tech) 6 00

Women's Education
5. Starting of Home Science and other courses at Govt College for Women, Cuddapah and Provision of necessary facilities for the same 2.00
6 Strengthening of Home Science Fine Arts and other courses of the Govt College for women, Kurnool 2 00

Technical Education
7. Starting of new polytechnic for women (Schemes suggested by the Board at its meeting on 7-10-1974) 2.00
8. Starting of Homeopathy College at Kurnool with Hospital 2.00
9. Development of Sports in Rayalaseema Area 1 00
10 Development of S J, Govt College, Kurnool 2.00
11 Development of libraries 0.50

Total 25.00

(K. B. NARASAPPA)
Chairman Rayalaseema Planning And development Committee.

J. VENGAL RAO
Chief Minister

Minutes of the meeting of the Planning and development Committee for Coastal Andhra, which met on 5th October, 1974 in the Jubilee Hall, at Hyderabad

The Chairman, appraised the members of the earlier decisions taken by the State planning Board to set apart 25% of the Central Assistance received under the Six point Formula for higher education and major industries. He further added that the funds so earmarked would and up to Rs. 95.41 lakhs for Coastal Andhra including the share of Rs. 5.41 lakhs that accrues to this region from the funds of Rayalaseema on account of the inclusion of Markapur and Giddalur taluks in Prakasam District. He also briefly referred to some proposals received from the Members and non-members for inclusion of schemes under this category. The Director of Public
Instruction clarifying some points raised by the members stated that the issue whether the Universities alone should start P.G. Centres or such centres can be set up in Govt. colleges is being negotiated with the Universities Grants Commission and position in this regard will become clear very shortly. The Joint Director of Technical Education pleaded for allocation of funds for some new courses to be started under his Department. In this regard, the importance of restarting the Fisheries Technology Course in the Government Polytechnic in Kakinada, reorienting the course content to the modern developments in fishing technology was forcefully stressed by the Director of Fisheries. After considering the various aspects, and after detailed discussion, the Committee resolved that an amount of Rs. 22.00 lakhs be set apart for higher education and Rs. 6.00 lakhs for technical courses as indicated below:

(A) HIGHER EDUCATION (Rs. in lakhs)

(1) Setting up of three Post-Graduate centres at Srikakulam, Kakinada and Vijayawada at the rate of Rs. 4.00 lakhs each

(2) Assistance to Post-Graduate Centre at Guntur

(3) Setting up of Post-Graduate Centre at Nellore

Total: 12 00

(B) TECHNICAL EDUCATION

(1) Starting of Mining Degree Course at Gudur

(2) Starting of Govt. Polytechnic, Ongole

(3) Starting of Tobacco Technology Course in Guntur.

(4) Starting of Tobacco Technology course at Kanchikicharla, Krishna Dt

(5) Restarting of Electronics course at Govt. Polytechnic, Srikakulam

(6) Restarting of Fisheries Technology course in Govt. Polytechnic, Kakinada

Total: 6.00

Though there was no specific proposal received from the Department of education for the Post Graduate Centre at Nellore, the Committee took note of the announcement made by the Chief Minister
at Nellore some time ago about the Govt decision to start such a centre there and also of the correspondence between the Vice Chancellor, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati and the Chairman, Coastal Andhra Planning and Development Committee and decided to make the allocation of Rs 5.00 lakhs. While nothing that the starting of Mining Degree course in Gudur, setting up of Polytechnic at Ongole and starting of Tobacco Technology course at Kanchikacherla are expected to involve obtaining of clearance from several authorities besides funds of a much higher order than allocated the Committee felt that these provisions could be used to commence the necessary advance action in this direction, and the requisite funds could be made available from allocations for this region for the following years. In regard to the setting up of Tobacco Technology Courses at Kanchikacherla, where at present there is no appropriate institution in which such a course can be started the Director for Technical Education indicated that amount will be required for acquisition of site and also for construction of building and purchase of equipment. Sri Vasantha Nageswara Rao, M L A., and Member of the Committee had undertaken to get the requisite land of about 5 acres donated by the public free of cost. Various Schemes suggested by the Industries Department and also the proposals received from some of the Heads of Departments and members of the Committee were discussed threadbare. The committee felt that the phasing of the execution of the schemes proposed in the Five Year Plan prepared by the Department is uneven in the sense that while in some Districts more than one project is proposed to be taken up right in the first year, in the others no amount is proposed to be invested in the first three years. The Joint Secretary, Department of Industries explained that the phasing had been worked out taking into account the fact that for some schemes the necessary formalities like obtaining the letter of intent, fixing up an entrepreneur, identification of the location, had been finalised whereas for some others, these formalities are yet to be completed. Where the formalities are completed the schemes could be put on ground right from the first year but in respect of others, the investment can take place only after the necessary details are tied up which might take a year or even two. The Joint Secretary also indicated that while making the allocations, the Committee might keep in view the need to allot amounts in sufficient quantum so that the projects might reach the critical stage quickly and orders could be placed for equipment. After due consideration of all these aspects and also keeping in view the need to have a fair and impartial distribution of the proposed industries the Committee resolved to allot the remaining funds for major industries as indicated below:

1. Jute Mill, Salur, Srikakulam Rs. 30.00
2. Plywood Unit, Rampachodavaram, East Godavari Dt Rs. 8.00
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(3) Manufacture of Fibre Glass Boats at Boat Building yard, Kakimada Rs 4 00
(4) Mini Paper Mill Krishna Dr Rs 8 00
(5) Sugar Factory, Hanuman Junction, Krishna Dt Rs 5 00
(7) Fatty Acids and Glycerine Unit, Palnad, Guntur Dt. Rs 1 00
(7) Leather and Footwear Unit, Ongole, Prakasam Dt Rs. 5 41
(8) Oss in manufacturing unit, Udayagiri, Nellore Dt Rs. 1 00
(9) Mechanised Brick Making Unit, Gudur, Nellore Dt. Rs 5 00

Total Rs 67 41 Lakhs

Some Members felt that the procedural formalities of obtaining clearance for the schemes earlier recommended under 75% of the central assistance might inevitably take some months because of the time required in getting them cleared by the State Planning Board, and also by the Planning Commission, Government of India. In order therefore, to ensure that the benefits of these schemes flow to the backward areas without further delay particularly, in the context of prevailing drought situation in certain parts of the State, the Committee felt that the recommended schemes would be taken up immediately pending approval of the Planning Commission. The Committee resolved that the government may be requested to give immediate orders for executing the schemes recommended earlier by the Committee under the 75% of the Central Assistance earmarked for Coastal Andhra pending formal sanction by the Planning Commission. The Committee also expressed the view that in case some of the schemes are to be rejected later by the Planning Commission, the expenditure on these schemes which in any case would not be large, could be met from the normal plan funds of the State.

R. DASARATHARAMA REDDY
Chairman, Planning And Development Committee for Coastal Andhra.

J. VENGAL RAO,
Chief Minister.
Sri C V K Rao —This is 140 pages report. It is placed on the Table of this House and we have got to go through it.

Mr. Speaker —Perhaps, it should not have been admitted as an oral question.

Sri C V K Rao —Things like this must be made available in advance. So much is said about the Planning Committees and nothing has transpired and if this Government is sincere enough, this material should have been made available earlier. We have to do justice.

Sri M Omkar —Please postpone this question.

Sri C V K Rao —You may give us some time for discussion. In the meantime I want to put a question. There is a resolution passed regarding Siddeswaram. There was a survey by the Coastal Committee. How far the steps were taken? There is also another resolution regarding the allocation of Krishna waters from Srisailam project. How far action has been taken about those resolutions. I would like to have a clear-cut answer.

Sri C V K Rao —Another matter is a resolution passed by 18 members. It is regarding the allocation of Krishna waters from Srisailam project. How far action has been taken about that resolution?
Oral Answers to Questions

3rd February, 1975.

1. [Question]
2. [Answer]
3. [Question]
4. [Answer]
5. [Question]
6. [Answer]
7. [Question]
8. [Answer]
9. [Question]
10. [Answer]
Sri C. V. K. Rao:—How much is spent?

Mr. Speaker.—That is not possible.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—At the moment I must get an answer to that period. They come unprepared. What is the purpose of being on the Treasury Benches? He is trying to answer, Sir. He is being briefed by officers. Let him answer. I come from the coastal area and I must know whether it is a bogus or real thing. He has information.

Mr. Speaker.—He says there is no information.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—8 rupees are the charges. The expenditure is 8 rupees. He is trying to answer, Sir. He is being briefed by officers. Let him answer. I come from the coastal area and I must know whether it is a bogus or real thing. He has information.
Sri A. Srimulu :- At last the Chief Minister accepted the incorrectness of the ratio 5:3:2. I am glad about it.

Sri K. V. Narasimha Rao :- The Chief Minister has accepted the 5:3:2 ratio.

Sri S. Chandra Mohan :- The Chief Minister has accepted the 5:3:2 ratio.

Sri M. V. Subramanyam :- The Chief Minister has accepted the 5:3:2 ratio.
Oral Answers to Questions. 3rd February, 1975.
Sri C. V K Rao.— The last meeting that was held for the planning and Development Committee for coastal areas was in September, 1974. Eight Sub Committees for the eight districts met and they have decided scheme-wise and sector-wise details of the programme and the Heads of the Departments are requested to offer their comments.

I want to know whether the Heads of the departments offered their comments and whether the Committee perused those comments and taken any action. If so, what action was taken with regard to the East Godavari district.

Mr Speaker — All the details cannot be given here. How is it possible?

Sri C. V. K. Rao— It is a specific question. The Heads of Departments have been asked to submit their comments.

Mr. Speaker — I agree that it requires some study.

ABOLITION OF HORSE RACES IN THE STATE

44—

* 5434-Q—Sri G Bhopathy (Neralla).—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to State.

Whether there is any proposal for abolishing the horse races in our State?

Sri J Vengala Rao:—No Sir.
Sri A. Sriramulu — This is an ideological question relating to the basic policy of the Government. As the Government which proclaims socialism as its objective, how does this gambling fit into that pattern of socialist society? I want answer, because it is a policy question. We must build a society where exploitation is eliminated. Our leaders from the Ruling Party have been proclaiming from the housetops that socialism is our objective. How does this gambling fit into that socialism?

9-10 a.m.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy (Kalvakurthy) — In the State, all sorts of gamblings have been banned. I want to know why this favoured treatment towards this particular form of Horse-race?

Mr. Speaker:— He has already answered your question. He is personally against it.
ENHANCEMENT OF BUS FARES BY THE PRIVATE BUS OWNERS

42—

* 5145 Q.—Sarvasri V. Narsimha Rao (Kothuru) B. Hariappadu (Etcherla) and P. Sriramamurthy (Nadan Katakam) :—Will the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that the private bus owners in all the districts of Andhra Pradesh have enhanced bus fares from the week of July 1974;

(b) Whether the Government have issued orders or instructions directing the owners of private buses to enhance the rates of bus fares; and

(c) If so, the reasons therefor?
The Minister for Agriculture (Sri J Chokka Rao)—(a) Yes, Sir, it is a fact that the bus fares were enhanced in Andhra Pradesh State

(b) Government have issued direction increasing the bus fares

(c) The increase in fares was considered necessary in view of the increase in the cost of the operation of the services, because of the increase in the cost of diesel oil, spare parts, tyres, tubes etc.

The increase in fares was considered necessary in view of the increase in the cost of the operation of the services, because of the increase in the cost of diesel oil, spare parts, tyres, tubes etc.
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BAH ON THE MOVEMENT OF BUSES AND LORRIES BETWEEN 6 PM AND 6 AM

46—

*5162 Q—Sri Gantlana Suryaarnyana (Payakaraopet)—Will the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state.

(a) whether any proposal is under consideration of the Government to ban the movement of buses and lorries between 6 p.m. and 6 a.m. in the State of Andhra Pradesh,

(b) if so, the date from which the same will come into force; and

(c) whether the Govt. have realised that accidents are taking place daily, due to lorry transport?
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Sri J. Chokka Rao —(a) No Sir.
(b) and (c): Does not arise.
Oral Answers to Questions.

3rd February, 1975.

STATE HOMEO BOARD

47—

*5290-Q —Sri M Nagi Reddy (Gurajala) —Will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state

(a) whether it is fact that the State Homeo Board was not in existence since 1970 as the term of the members of the Board expired;

(b) the reasons for not conducting elections since then;

(c) whether the Government propose to constitute the Board even own, and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

*Sri K. Rajamallu—(a) The term of the Andhra Board for Homeo expired on 24-6-1971.

(b) The Government contemplate enactment of an Integrated Act for Andhra Pradesh and as such the issue of conduct of Elections to be Andhra Boards for Ayurveda and Homoeopathy has been deferred.

(c) & (d) Do not ares.

Sri C V.K Rao:— Which of the system, whether the Ayurveda, Allopathic or Homoeopathic is best?

Sri K. Rajamallu:—All systems are equally good

SHIFTING OF PEDDAPURAM (AGENCY) SURVEY UNIT TO RAYADURG.

48—

*4797 - (T) Q.—Sri Nallapareddi Sreenivasulreddi.—Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government have issued orders to shift the Peddapuram (Agency) Survey Unit to Rayadurg for conducting re-survey operations with effect from 1-7-74,
(b) whether it is also a fact that the Government have subsequently issued revised orders to wind up Peddapuram (Agency) Survey Unit by adjusting the survey staff affected into existing vacancies in the Department in the Andhra region and whether this disbandment has not resulted in the abolition of 157 posts in various categories,

(c) whether this measure of disbandment would not shatter the hopes of the several retrenched Andhra survey staff who are awaiting reinstatement claiming for absorption in the existing vacancies;

(d) whether there is any alternative proposal under contemplation by the Government to absorb all the retrenched Andhra Survey staff who were ousted during 1968-69, and

(e) if so, the nature of the proposal, and when these unfortunate retrenched personnel would be absorbed?

Sir,

The Minister for Revenue (Sri P. Narsa Reddy)—(a) Yes

(b) On the subsequent orders of the Government the Board of Revenue (DOS) wound up the Agency Survey Unit, Peddapuram with effect from 1-7-74. The total number of posts disbanded consequently was 157. All the personnel have been absorbed in different units and there was no retrenchment.

(c) No Sir,

(d) There is no alternative proposal, under the consideration of the Government.

(e) Does not arise in view of Answer to (b) and (d) above.

Sri P. Narasa Reddy—We have already got four Survey Units. They are temporarily working. Those who have been retrenched in 1968-69, it is not possible to absorb them now.
Oral Answers to Questions
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Sri A. Sirramulu:—This retrenchment has a small background. Most of these employees hail from Andhra region; they were working in Telangana in 1968 and they had to be sent back; they were seniors and their juniors in Telangana were allowed to continue and these seniors were ousted. This is the background I want to know from the Minister why the Department did not take interest and initiative to absorb these men from 1961 to 1971.

*Sri P. Narasa Reddy:—We had created one new survey unit in Peddapuram in 1971; we could not absorb everybody. There were many who could not be absorbed. Now, after 3 or 4 years we are faced with surplus staff; now the Peddapuram unit is being disbanded. We are trying to absorb but it is not possible to absorb all of them.

Sri A. Sirramulu:—I want to know precisely the number of employees recruited in the Department between 1969 and 1971.

*Sri P. Narasa Reddy:—In 1971 we created this new survey division of Peddapuram. I do not exactly have the number of personnel.
but that was specifically created to absorb such extra personnel. All these 4 years we have taken work from them. Now we are finding that all the survey units are becoming superfluous because much of the work in agency areas has been done. We have stopped all recruitment.

Sri A. Sriramulu :—My charge is that the Department ignored the claims of the senior men who served previously and they took from the open market fresh recruits.

*Sri P. Narasa Reddy :—I will examine.

CONSTITUTION OF EXPLORATION CELL IN STATE MINING CORPORATION

49—

*5401-Q —Sri M Nagi Reddy —Will the Minister for Excise be pleased to state:

(a) whether the State Mining Corporation has constituted an exploration cell to give suggestions to find out the areas where there are mineral deposits in the State;

(b) if so, the amount of expenditure to be incurred therefor;

(c) the names of mineral resources that will be examined; and

(d) the particulars of the work so far done by the said cell?

The Minister for Excise (Sri V Purushotham Reddy) :—(a) Yes Sir.

(b) The sanctioned expenditure on the cell for 1974-75 is Rs. 33,000 non-recurring and Rs. 43,315 recurring. Out of this no expenditure was incurred towards non-incurring provision. Out of the recurring provision an expenditure of Rs. 15,131.50 was incurred as on 1-11-74.

(c) and (d) The Cell processed 8 references made by the State Government on graphite and barytes in the Khammam, Srikakulam and Cuddapah Districts. In addition, the Cell has taken up detailed geological assessment for new asbestos mines in Lopatanuthala and Veldandla in Cuddapah District. Reassessment of the working asbestos mines at Brahmanapalle, Ramanuthlapalle and Veldandla in Cuddapah district was also undertaken by the Cell. The Cell is also engaged in assessment of the barytes deposits in Mangampet, Cuddapah district and copper deposits in Khammam District.
OWN YOUR OWN VETERINARY DISPENSARIES

50—

*4851-Q-S11 K A N Bhukta—Will the Minister for Animal Husbandry be pleased to state

(a) the number of Own Your Own Veterinary Dispensaries in the State,

(b) whether the Govt is having any proposal to convert them into Minor Veterinary Dispensaries in those samithies where there is only one Veterinary dispensary,

(c) if so, from what date, and

(d) if not, the procedure the Govt is going to adopt to continue the above dispensaries?

The Minister for Animal Husbandry (Sri S Venkatrama Reddy) (a) 53

(b) & (c) Orders have been issued in G O. Ms No 647 Forests and Rural Development Department dated 27-11-1974 redesignating the 53 Own your own Veterinary Dispensaries as Minor Veterinary Dispensaries

(d) does not arise

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Advertisement charges for the opening of Kothagudam Thermal ‘B’ Station

50 A—

*S N. Q No. 6196-E—By Sri Ch Parasuram Naidu (Parvathipuram).— Will the Hon’ble Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) the cost of the advertisement of the opening of the Kothagudem Thermal ‘B’ Power Station in the name of Dedication of the same to the public,

(b) the reasons for incurring such an expenditure at the cost of the public exchequer; and

(c) the names of the journals that had been given the advertisement in this regard?

The Minister for Power (Sri G Rajaram) — Clause (a) Actual cost of the advertisement is not yet known since the bills have not been received

Clause (b) It is customary to incur some expenditure to publicise the inauguration of a huge power station built at a cost of about Rs 50 crores, specially during the present power shortage all over the country.

Clause (c) 1 Sunday Standard
2 The Hindu
3 The Skyline
4 The Deccan Chronical
5 Indian Herald
6 Andhra Patrika, and
7 Andhra Jyothi.

Sri A. Srimulani — Without knowing what exactly is the cost, how did the Board give its advertisement Sir?

9 40 a.m. Sunday Standard — Without knowing what exactly is the cost, how did the Board give its advertisement Sir?
Sri A. Sriramulu:—The Board has an accumulated loss of nearly Rs. 50 crores, I would only say that it is reckless spending without even knowing what is the probable cost of the advertisement. Is this not reckless spending?

Sri G. Rajaram:—Reckless spending is not a good or wise thing to do. The expenditure should be estimated before giving the advertisement.

Sri A. Sriramulu:—This was not correctly estimated before giving the advertisement.

Sri G. Rajaram:—I said, based on the previous expenditure and past experience.

1. What is the importance of maintaining good health? How can one achieve this?  

2. What are the benefits of regular exercise? How can one incorporate exercise into their daily routine?  

3. How can one reduce stress in daily life? What are some effective stress management techniques?  

4. What are the risks associated with smoking? How can one quit smoking?  

5. What are the benefits of a healthy diet? How can one adopt a healthy eating habit?  

6. How can one improve their sleep quality? What are some tips for better sleep?  

7. What are the benefits of laughter therapy? How can one incorporate laughter into their life?  

8. How can one maintain mental health? What are some effective practices for mental well-being?  

9. What are the risks associated with alcohol and drug abuse? How can one prevent substance abuse?  

10. How can one improve their overall health and well-being? What are some practical steps to take?
Sri Syed Hassan (Charminar) — The Minister said that approximately one-fifth would be the Government share. In view of the previous experience, he said, that must be the amount. The price rise was also there.

Sri G. Rajaram.— The Board had to incur an expenditure of Rs. 10,000. This time I am telling that it cannot exceed Rs. 15,000.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS
(Unstarred Questions)

MANJIRA BARRAGE NEAR SANGAREDDY

31—
3007 Q.—Sri M. Narayana Reddy —Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state

(a) the cost, capacity and construction year of Manjira barrage near Sangareddy in Medak District,

(b) whether the Irrigation Department was consulted before the construction of the Barrage about the adverse effect on the ‘inflows’ into Nizamsagar project in off season as a result of construction of the barrage on the upstream of Manjira river, and

(c) whether there is any scope for increasing the present capacity of the barrage with a view to utilise the additional capacity for feeding the Nizamsagar Reservoir in off season?

A.—(a) Cost Rs 209.70 lakhs It is designed to store water in three Stages

1st Stage—1,126 Mcf, at RL+1640.75.

2nd Stage—1,800 Mcf, at RL+1646.75

3rd Stage—2,600 Mcf, at RL+1651.75

Construction was completed in 1965.

(b) The barrage was constructed in consultation with the Irrigation Department and it was designed to store water in surplus months i.e., from July to end of November to serve the requirements of water supply during the off season (i.e December to June) The tapping of water from this reservoir from December onwards was not proposed as it will affect the irrigation in the lower reaches Further, the storage provided is just sufficient to feed the twin cities through Mangira Water supply scheme Phases I and II
In the circumstances the question of feeding Nizamasagar in off season does not arise, as there will be no inflow in the river

(c) It is not desirable to increase the present capacity of the barrage due to the fact that the stability of the structure will be affected by raising the F.R.L. and the water is to be stored in the surplus season only as per original proposal

INAM VILLAGES IN PATHAPATNAM TALUK OF SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT

32—

5147 Q.—Sarvasri V Narasimha Rao, B Harihappadu Reddy, Ch. Parasuram Naidu and Smt S Pagadalamma—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state

(a) the number of inam villages in Pathampatnam taluk of Srikakulam District and the number of temple inam villages therein,

(b) whether the Government is sanctioning loans or extending financial assistance fully to the ryots of the said inam villages for carrying repairs to tanks etc., if not whether the Government is considering any proposal to extend financial assistance,

(c) whether any proposal is under consideration of the Government to take over the inam villages of the said taluk,

(d) if not, the time by which the Government will take over the said inam villages, and

(e) the time by which pattas will be issued through the Tahsildar to the Ryots of these inam villages of the said taluk which have been surveyed by the Government in 1968?

A.—

(a) There are 29 inam villages in Pathapatnam taluk. Out of which four are temple inam villages

(b) Government issued orders in 1965 for execution of repairs to the irrigation sources in not taken over villages under the provisions of the Irrigation works (Repairs, improvements and constructions) Act, 1943, according to which, the cost of repairs shall be recovered from the land holders or other persons interested. Government also sanctioned funds for execution of repairs to the sources and repairs were carried out during the period from 1965-70 in respect of the sources lying in these villages. As the amounts already spent on the irrigation works had not been repaid by the land holders, Government in 1970 directed that no fresh work should be taken up unless the amounts already spent are recovered. There are no further, commitments as the amounts already spent on the works have not been paid by the beneficiaries
Written Answers to Questions. 3rd February, 1973
(Unstarred Questions)

(c) Out of 29 inam villages, the inam tenure of one village by name Dabaru was already abolished under the provisions of the Inams Abolition Act, 1956 and pattas were issued to the ryots. The Survey operations have been completed in respect of 13 villages and action has already been initiated by the Tahsildar, Pathapatnam to abolish the inam in respect of 4 villages. The Survey records in respect of 15 villages including the four mentioned above are awaited from the Assistant Director of Survey and Land Records, Srikakulam.

(d) and (e) In respect of 13 surveyed inam villages, at least 6 months time is required to complete the abolition of inams as most of the inamdars are residing in Bhilai, Khargapur etc., and unless notices are served and they are enquired into, action can not be finalised. Survey records in respect of 15 villages are awaited from the Survey records. The Tahsildar, Pathapatnam has also been directed to take immediate action under the provisions of the Inams abolition Act, 1956.

NUMBER OF MURDERS IN THE STATE

33—
5237 Q.—Sri Vijayasikhaman :- Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state
(a) the number of political and other murders committed in the State during the years 1973 and 1974 districtwise, and
(b) the number of murders proved and number of cases under investigation?
A.—
(a) and (b) A statement is placed on the Table of the House
(See Pages 136 and 137 for the Statement)

BANK LOANS TO SELF EMPLOYMENT SCHEMES IN KAKINADA

34—
4641 Q.—Sri C.V.K. Rao.—Will the Minister for Industries be pleased to state:
(a) the total amount of Bank loans provided by Banks in East Godavari District, Kakinada for Self Employment Schemes for the educated unemployed in 1972-73 and 1973-74;
(b) the number of persons benefited by that in Kakinada; and
(c) the total number of educated unemployed in Kakinada Municipal limits?
A.—
(a) During the year 1972-73 no loans have been provided by Banks in Kakinada, East Godavari Districts for the educated unemployed under Self Employment Scheme. During 1973-74 (upto 31-3-1974) the Banks have provided an amount of Rs. 1,62,105 to educated unemployed under Self Employment Schemes.
(b) 24 persons directly and 244 persons indirectly have been benefited consequent on the loans provided by Banks in Kakinada;

(c) They are as follows according to registration in the Employment Exchange, Kakinada;

(1) Post-Graduates in Engineering, Arts, Science, and Commerce 71
(2) Diploma Holders in Engineering 63
(3) Graduates in Arts, Science, and Commerce 274
(4) Under-Graduates and Matriculates 2,109
(5) I T I Trained Boys 206

Personnel Officers Manning The Central Government Undertakings

35—

4958 Q —Smnathi K. Prabhavathamma —Will the Minister for Industries be pleased to state,

How many Personnel Officers are brought from outside the State to man the Central Government Undertakings in the State and how many Personnel Officers are employed from our State?

A —

Out of twenty-one Personnel Officers working in the Central Government Undertakings in the States fourteen are from this State.

Evacuation of Villages For Steel Plant At Visakhapatnam

36—

5512 Q.—Sri P Sanyasi Rao —Will the Minister for Industries be pleased to state

(a) whether any steps are being taken to evacuate some villages for the purpose of steel plant at Visakhapatnam and to acquire lands for providing rehabilitation facilities in Pedagantyada area, and

(b) whether the Government propose to reserve some land in that area for the future developmental need of the surrounding villages?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir

(b) The acquisition for future development purposes of the villages will be made, if necessary when the need arises. But the present acquisition is only to rehabilitate the families now uprooted from the Steel Plant area.
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WORKING OF THE CO-OPERATIVE SUGAR FACTORIES AT NIZAMABAD AND PALACOLE

1338 Q—Sri Nallapareddi Sreenivasulu Reddy—Will the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government of Andhra Pradesh had ordered an enquiry into the working of the Co-operative Sugar Factories at Nizamabad and Palacole;

(b) whether those factories are running on loss; and if so the reasons therefor, and

(c) whether it is a fact that the Palacole factory had reported that two thousand bags of sugar had been either washed away or dissolved during the cyclone in 1969?

A.—

(a) The Director of Sugar has ordered an enquiry into the working of the Nizamabad Co-operative Sugar Factory. No such enquiry had been ordered in respect of the Palakol Co-operative Sugar Factory.

(b) The Palakol Co-operative Sugar Factory made a profit during 1972-73 and the Nizamabad Co-operative Sugar Factory incurred a loss. Following are the reasons for losses in respect of Nizamabad Co-operative Sugar Factory

(1) Prevalence of high jaggery prices and competition from Khandasari Units resulting in large scale diversion of cane and the consequent inability of the Co-operative Sugar Factory to crush to the rated capacity

(2) Inadequate availability of cane due to lack of irrigation facilities.

(3) Low recovery

(4) Payment of higher cane price than the statutory minimum

(c) 600 bags of sugar were substantially damaged and 2,200 bags of sugar were partially damaged in 1969 cyclone and all the damaged bags were reprocessed. During the reprocess a total quantity of 562.5 quintals was lost which loss was ratified by the Board of Director and the General body of the Society.

SHIFTING OF THE OFFICE OF THE AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY

38—

4800-(M) Q—Sarvasree M. Nagi Reddy, P. Sanyasi Rao and V Srikrishna:—Will the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that the Office of the Agricultural University has been shifted from Eruvaka to Banjara Hills nearly six months ago,

(b) whether it is also a fact that some of the sections of the Office are located in the Office at Banjara Hills and some sections at Rajendranagar

(c) whether it is also a fact that an expenditure to the tune of Rs. 90,000 was incurred for shifting the Office from Eruvaka to Banjara Hills and Rajendranagar;

(d) whether it is also a fact that the expenditure has been recorded in spite of shifting Office furnitures and records in only Government vehicles;

(e) the reasons why such a huge amount was spent for shifting the Office?

A.—

(a) It is a fact that the Administrative Office of the Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University was shifted from Government building "Eruvaka" to a private building in Banjara Hills in April, 1974

(b) Some portions of the Agricultural Research Institute Buildings were under the occupation of the All India Co-ordinated Rice Improvement Project and the space available at the Agricultural Research Institute was not sufficient to accommodate the entire Office and the Eruvaka building had to be vacated at short notice as it was allotted for the residence of a Minister. There was therefore no other alternative left than to shift most of the sections and Officers to Agricultural Research Institute buildings to the extent it could accommodate and rent out private building at Banjara Hills to accommodate a few Sections only. Even this building was taken on rent purely on temporary basis i.e., for a period of 3 months by which time the authorities of All India Co-ordinated Rice Improvement Project had promised to vacate Agricultural Research Institute Buildings and shift to their own premises. After the All India Co-ordinated Rice Improvement Project shifted to their new buildings during the last week of July, the remaining sections functioning at Banjara Hills were also shifted to the Agricultural Research Institute buildings at Rajendranagar and the rented building was vacated.
(c) and (d) No sir, only an amount of Rs. 1,470.65 as detailed below has been spent:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of country twine for binding up files and records</td>
<td>135.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour Charges</td>
<td>393.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of diesel O.I used for University Lorries</td>
<td>345.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dismantling of material at Eruvaka and Election at Banjara Hills Office</td>
<td>587.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1,470.65</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(e) Does not arise.

**Dispensary at Ramakuppam**

39—

3489 Q—Sri D Venkatesham —Will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) is it not a fact that orders were issued by the District Medical and Health Officer, Chittoor placing one doctor of the Primary Health Centre Pypallyam, Kuppum Samithi at Ramakuppam to serve the needs of the public of that area by starting a dispensary there;

(b) what are the reasons for not starting the same; and

(c) when will the said centre start functioning?

A.—

(a) Yes sir. The District Medical and Health Officer, Chittoor issued orders to shift the Headquarters of the Medical Officer (F.P) to Ramakuppam

(b) As the Government of India have subsequently suggested that bifurcation of Primary Health Centres is not desirable in the interests of Health, Family Planning and Nutrition Programmes, the instructions issued by the Director of Medical and Health Services previously to the District Medical and Health Officers to separate Family Planning component from the main Primary Health Centres were kept in abeyance. The District Medical and Health Officer, Chittoor had therefore withdrawn the Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Pypallyam from Ramakuppam

(c) Does not arise

30 Bedded Hospital in Every Taluk Headquarters

40—

3747 Q—Sri D Venkatesham :—Will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state
(a) Is it not a fact that the Government has taken decision to provide 30 bedded Hospital in every Taluk headquarters in the state;

(b) What is the present bed strength of the taluk Headquarters hospital at Kuppam, Chittoor District,

(c) Whether any proposal has been received to upgrade the same into a 30 bedded hospital, and

(d) If so, when the same will be upgraded?

A.—

(a) It is proposed to upgrade all Taluk headquarters Hospitals into 25 bedded Hospitals during Fifth Five-Year Plan in a Phased Programme subject to availability of funds according to the approved pattern.

(b) There are eight beds at present in Government Hospital, Kuppam, Chittoor District

(c) Yes Sir. But action could not be taken due to drastic cut in the Plan outlay during 1974-75.

(d) Kuppam Hospital will be upgraded during the remaining years of Fifth Five-Year Plan subject to availability of funds.

AMOUNT SPENT FOR PREVENTION OF LEPROSY DURING THE V FIVE-YEAR PLAN

41—

4755 Q.—Sri Nallapareddi Srinivasulreddi — Will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) The amount to be spent for the prevention of Leprosy in Andhra Pradesh, during the period of V Five Year Plan;

(b) The number of ‘Leprosy Control Units’ that will be sanctioned by the Central Government, in the State during the period of V Five-Year Plan;

(c) Whether the State Government have recommended to the Central Government, to set up a Leprosy Control Unit at Chittedu, Nellore district; and

(d) Whether there is any proposal before the Government to provide shelter homes for lepers and give them treatment?

A.—

(a) The National Leprosy Control Programme is a Centrally Sponsored Scheme with Cent per cent Central assistance during the V Five Year Plan. The Government of India have not communicated the total amount allotted to this State Government for the entire V Five Year Plan period.
The Government of India have tentatively allotted an amount of Rs 14.57 lakhs under the National Leprosy Control Programme for the year 1974-75 to this State Government as detailed below:

Rs in lakhs.

(i) Cash Grant . 8.01
(ii) Supply of Vehicles and Microscopes in kind . 3.11
(iii) Supply of drugs in kind . 3.45

Total 14.57

Against the cash grant of Rs. 8.01 lakhs from the Government of India an amount of Rs. 10.36 lakhs is required during 1974-75, and an amount of Rs. 16.21 lakhs is required for the continuance of the schemes every year from the year 1975 onwards.

(b) 4 (Four) fresh Leprosy Control Units have been sanctioned in the first year of the V Five-year Plan (i.e) during 1974-75. The total number of Leprosy Control Units that are proposed to be sanctioned during the entire V Plan period has not been communicated by Government of India.

(c) No, sir.

(d) Yes, sir.

UP-GRADING THE L F HOSPITAL OF ADDANKI

42—

4949 Q.—Sri D. Prakasam—Will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state

(a) whether there is any proposal to upgrade the existing Local Fund Hospital of Addanki Town, Prakasam district into a taluk Headquarters Government Hospital; and

(b) if so, when it will be taken up?

A—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

‘ANTI-BIOTICS’ AS A SUBJECT FOR B. A. M. S. STUDENTS.

43—

5142 Q.—Sri K Ramachandra Rao—Will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the subject ‘anti-biotics’ is included in the course for B. A. M. S. students,
(b) whether the said opportunity has been provided to the students of B A M S.

(c) if not, the reasons therefor, and

(d) whether steps will be taken to include the said subject in the course of B A M S students at least now?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir The anti-biotics has been included as one of the topics under the heading ‘Modern Drugs’ in the subjects of B A M S. (Unani Course).

(b) Yes, Sir In the syllabus of B A M S (Ayurvedic) Degree Course of Osmania University, the topic of “Comprehensive idea about anti-biotics” has been included

(c) and (d) Does not arise

GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL, VIKARABAD

44—

5224 Q — Sarvasri N Venkataih and V B. Tirumapah— Will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state

(a) the Number of beds in Government Hospital, Vikarabad Taluk, Hyderabad district and the basis on which medicines etc, are supplied by the Government,

(b) the annual amount given for the purpose of medicines so far to that hospital from 1970,

(c) the reasons for not developing the hospital in to a 50 bedded hospital as proposed in the IV Five-Year Plan, and

(d) whether Government will take steps to develop the Hospital and increase the bed strength at least now during V Five Year Plan?

A.—

(a) There are 10 beds The medicines are supplied at the rates of Rs. 1,758 per bed, per year besides the medicines required for the out-patient.

Rs.

(b) 1970-71 . . 9,298.16
1971-72 . . 6,865.99
1972-73 . . 6,163.04
1973-74 . 11,985.73
1974-75 . . 4,320.76

(c) Due to financial stringency;

(d) The Hospital will be converted into 25 bedded hospital during V Five Year Plan subject to availability of funds.
RENAMING THE KING GEORGE HOSPITAL AT VISAKHPATNAM

45—

5411 Q — Sri M. Nagireddy.—Will the Minister for Health and medical be pleased to state
(a) whether any proposal is under consideration of the Government to rename the Government King George Hospital at Visakhapatnam; and
A.—
(a) No Sir,
(b) Does not arise

INTERNATIONAL YOGA RESEARCH CENTRE AT TIRUPATHI

46—

5478 Q — Sri A. Sree Ramulu —Will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state
(a) whether an International Yoga Research Centre is proposed to be started at Tirupati and if so the salient features of the scheme, and
(b) the estimated cost of the scheme?
A.—
(a) No, Sir. Not by the Government of Andhra Pradesh.
(b) Does not arise

SUBMITTING OF RULES BY THE TRADE UNIONS EVERY YEAR.

47—

5299 Q — Sri M Nagi Reddy —Will the Minister for Labour be pleased to State.
(a) Whether the Trade Unions have to submit Rules of the Union every year with the annual audited returns;
(b) if so, the reasons why the Commissioner of Labour is demanding to send Rules of the Union also every year when there are no amendments to Rules in a particular year and even though the Labour Department has already got a copy of Rules with them; and
(c) Whether the Government even now take a decision to modify the existing system of demanding to enclose a copy of Rules every year with annual returns?
A.—
(a) Yes Sir.
(b) and (c) Section 28 of the Trade Unions Act makes it obligatory for every Trade Union to send a copy of the Rule of the Trade Union with other prescribed particulars. The Act is a Central Act. The rules of the Trade Union are essential for necessary verification.
PERSONS TO WHOM PATTAS HAVE BEEN GIVEN FOR BANJAR LANDS IN VISAKHPATNAM DISTRICT

48—

5163 Q—Sri Gantlana Suryanarayana—Will the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state

(a) the taluk-wise number of persons to whom pattas have been issued for banjar lands in Visakhapatnam district from 1960 to 1971;

(b) the number of cases pending, and

(c) whether the persons who have been issued pattas took the possession of the land?

A.—

(a) to (d) Sir, a statement is enclosed

(See pages 534 and 535 for the Statement)

ENQUIRY AGAINST THE BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION OF THE GUDUR LAND MORTGAGE BANK

49—

4389 Q—Sarvasri M. Nanadoss and O Venkatasubbaiah—Will the Minister for Co-operation be pleased to State

(a) Whether any enquiry was conducted against the present Board of Administration of the Gudur Land Mortgage Bank on the petitions submitted by some of the Directors;

(b) Whether there is any specific charge regarding the payment of Telephone bills from L M. B funds during the time of the last Legislative Assembly elections and if so what are the amounts paid and was it proved that this expenditure was meant for M L A Elections;

(c) whether the LMB Gudur was recommended for supercession; and

(d) what is the action taken on the enquiry report?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir

(b) Yes, Sir. The Deputy Registrar, Land Mortgage Banks, Ongole reported that a number of trunk calls were made from Land Mortgage Bank, Gudur to Kota during January and February 1972 they did not relate to the business of the Bank, and this period synchronised with the General elections to the Legislative Assembly. The amount involved in these trunk calls was Rs 154.55 paisa. As the Bank did not maintain any register for notting down the purpose
for which the trunk calls were made, it could not explain the purpose of those trunk calls hence, there is no proof that these trunk calls were made for election purposes.

(c) and (d)—Yes, Sir. The Deputy Registrar, Land Mortgage Banks, Ongole recommended to the Collector, Nellore District to supersede the Committee of the Bank. The Collector issued a supersession notice on 27-9-72 under section 34 (1) of the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act to the President and all the Directors of the Committee. The Committee had filed a writ Petition before the High Court against the proposed supersession. The writ Petition was dismissed. On 22-11-1972, the committee filed before the Collector, its objections against the proposed supersession. In his proceedings, dated 30-12-1972, the Collector appointed the Divisional Co-operative Officer, Gudur as part time Person-in-charge to manage the Gudur Land Mortgage Bank and he assumed charge on 1-1-1973 (F N.) In the afternoon, the Collector (Co-operative) received a letter, dated 31-12-1972 from the Deputy Registrar, Land Mortgage Banks, Ongole stating that he had received a telegram, from the High Court ordering suspension of the Collector’s telegram dated 11-12-1972 instructing the Deputy Registrar, Land Mortgage Banks, Ongole not to extend the term of the Committee beyond 31-12-1972. Therefore, the Collector kept in abeyance his proceedings, dated 30-12-1972 appointing the Person-in-charge. Consequently, the Deputy Registrar, Land Mortgage Banks, Ongole extended the term of the Committee beyond 31-12-1972.

MISAPPROPRIATION OF FUNDS BY THE EX-SECRETARY, MULTI-PURPOSE CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY OF NARSAPUR TALUK

Will the Minister for Co-operation be pleased to State

(a) Whether it is a fact that thousands of rupees were misappropriated by Sri Gaddam Veeraiah, Ex-Secretary, Multipurpose Co-operative Society of Narsapur taluk, Medak District,

(b) Whether it is a fact that he has put forgery signatures of the Ex-President Sri Srinivasa Reddy and drawn the funds of the society,

(c) Whether Sri Srinivasa Reddy, Ex-President has reported this matter to the District Collector, and

(d) if so, the action taken?

A—(a) No, Sir. But it has been reported that one Sri Kotha Rajaiah the salesman of the society was responsible for the
misappropriated amount. Necessary action is being taken to recover the amount from him.

(b) Does not arise

(c) The Collector reported that no papers are available in regard to the report said to have been made by the Ex-President to the Collector.

(d) Does not arise

**Deposit Amount in Respect of Protected Water Supply Scheme to Rudrangi in Vemulawada Panchayat Samithi**

51—

1869 Q —Saiyasi J Narashina Rao and R. Narasimha Ramaiah—Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the villagers of Rudrangi in Vemulawada Panchayat Samithi, Karimnagar District have deposited certain amount for Protected Water Supply Scheme,

(b) if so, the particulars of the said deposit, and

(c) the action taken by the Government thereon?

A.—

(a) No Sir.

(b) Does not arise

(c) Does not arise

**Sinking of Wells in Madannagaripalle**

52—

2005 Q —Sri N Venkataratnam Naidu —Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that sinking of two wells one at Harijanawada and another at Girjanawada of Madannagaripalle in Podalakur Panchayat Samithi, Nellore District was started in the year 1966 and that there is no progress in the work till now,

(b) If so, the reasons therefor;

(c) the funds from which money has been allotted for the sinking of these wells,

(d) the time by which sinking of the wells will be completed;

(e) whether instructions will be given to the Extension Officer (B.D.O) of Podalakur Panchayat Samithi to complete immediately; and
(f) whether instructions will be issued to the subordinate officials to complete the work of such incomplete wells in all Hanjanawadas and Girjanwadas immediately?

A.—(a) The wells at Hanjanawada and at Girjanawada of Madannagaripalle in Podalakuru Panchayat Samithi have since been completed

(b) Does not arise

(c) The funds were allotted from Rural Water Supply (Local development) works grant.

(d) The well at Girjanawada of Madannagaripalle has been completed by 30-4-1974. The other well work at Hanjanawada of Madannagaripalle of Podalakuru Panchayat Samithi was completed by 4-2-1972

(e) Does not arise

(f) Instructions have been issued by the Chief Engineer Panchayati Raj, Hyderabad to the Executive Engineer, Zilla Parishad Nellore to complete as many works as possible

Villages to Which Protected Water Supply Schemes Sanctioned In Prakasam District.

3808 Q.—Sri Areti Kotaiah - Will the Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) the names of villages to which Protected Water Supply Schemes sanctioned in Prakasam District during the years 1971-72, 1972-73 and 1973-74; and

(b) the reasons for the delay in sanctioning Protected Water Supply schemes for Ulavapadu, Kandukuru, Alluru and Bhimakurthi in Prakasam District?

A.—

(a) During the years 1971-72 and 1972-73 no Protected Water Supply schemes were sanctioned in Prakasam District. During 1973-74 Protected Water Supply schemes were sanctioned for the following eight villages under L.I.C Programme:

(1) Kandukuru
(2) Chintalapalem
(3) Inkollu
(4) Alakurapadu
(5) Maddipadu
(6) Machavaram
(7) Anantavaram
(8) Ulavapadu

(b) The Protected Water Supply scheme at Alluru is almost completed. Fixing up public taps and improvements to the source only have to be done. Administrative clearance has since been given for Ulavapadu Protected Water Supply scheme and sub-works are in progress. Clearance has yet to be given to Protected Water Supply.
scheme, Kandukur Protected Water Supply Scheme to Bhimakurth has not been sanctioned under any Programme by Government

Electricity to Vattimarti And Charlapally Villages

54—

4791-(T) Q—Sri M. Omkar—Will the Minister for Power be pleased to state

(a) when supply of electricity was sanctioned under E A.P.P for Vattimarti and Charlapalli villages in Nalgonda Taluk,

(b) the reasons for discontinuing the said work after laying the H T lines, and

(c) the steps being taken at present to complete the work?

A—

(a) The Vattimarti village was already electrified on 20-1-1969 under Normal Plan and the Village Charlapalli is not electrified yet.

An estimate amounting Rs 32,000 for 8 Nos (60 H P) additional agricultural loads in Vattimarti village and an estimate amounting to Rs 62,290 for 21 Nos (105 H P) agricultural loads in Charlapalli were sanctioned by the Superintending Engineer (Operation), Khammam in October 1972 and January, 1973 respectively under Emergency Agricultural Production Programme.

(b) Since the works of extension of supply to 8 Nos agricultural loads in Vattimarti Village were not taken up under Emergency Agricultural Production Programme prior to 31-3-1973, they could not be taken up during the subsequent years due to paucity of funds Though the works in Charlapalli village have been taken up and high Tension lines laid in 1972-73, the same could not be continued due to meagre budget provision for the balance Emergency Agricultural Production Programme works during the subsequent years

(c) The question of taking up the above works will be considered as and when adequate funds are available

High-Schools having Audio-Visual Sets

55—

2087 Q. Sri P V. Ramana—Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state

(a) the number of High Schools in the State that have got audio-visual sets;

(b) the number of them in good conditions and

(c) the number of them provided with films?
A —
(a) 891;
(b) 843, and
(c) 219

Degree College In Bhadrachalam Division

56—

5125 Q —Sri M Ramachandrayya —Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the students of Bhadrachalam division are experiencing severe inconveniences and hardships to go to far of places to have their College education as there is no Degree College in the said division there are 8 High School and one Junior College,

(b) if so, whether any proposal is under consideration of the Government to start a Degree College in the said division, and

(c) when the Junior college at Bhadrachalam will be converted into Degree College?

A —
(a) It is fact that there is no Degree College in Bhadrachalam Division and student have to go to other places

(b) There is no proposal to start a Government Junior College in Bhadrachalam division

(c) It is not the general policy of the Government to upgrade the existing Government Junior Colleges into Degree Colleges

CULVERT AT NANDINNE.

57—

4800 Q —Sri D. Krishna Reddy —Will the Minister for Public Works Department be pleased to State:

(a) whether there is any dispute between Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka states over construction of a culvert joining Inter State Road at mile one near Nandinne in Gadwal taluk of Mahaboobnagar District.

(b) if so, the reasons therefor;

(c) if not, the reasons for nonconstruction;

(d) whether Government will consider the black topping the 22 mile road from Gadwal to Nandinne in view of its link with Karnataka (Raichur-District), and

(e) the amount sanctioned for metalling the road for the year 1972-73?
A.—

(a) The culvert referred to is at K.M 32/2 of Gadwal Raichur roads. Nandmne Village is at K.M 31/0-2. There is no dispute between Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka State in regard to the construction of the culvert.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) The culvert was constructed in 1972 and the approaches were formed in 1973-74.

(d) Gadwal—Raichur road starts at Gadwal and the Kilometerage at the Andhra Pradesh State border is 32/2 K.M. The formation and construction of C D works were tackled with the funds of the Andhra Pradesh Regional Committee in the first instance. The metalling work was taken up during 1971-72, 1972-73, and 1973-74 under drought relief. Consolidation was done in a length of 24.9 K.M. Metalling in the balance length is proposed to be taken up under minor works or repairs. Black topping is not proposed to be done at present due to paucity of funds and it may be considered only after two years.

(e) An amount of Rs 1,84,058 was sanctioned and spent during 1972-73 for metalling the road, under drought relief programme.

RAILWAY OVER BRIDGE AT DHONE

58—

5262 Q.—Sri Vyasakhamani—Will the Minister for Public Works Department be pleased to state.

(a) whether the Government have any proposal for the construction of railway over-bridge in Dhone, Kurnool District, and

(b) whether the Government will take steps for the construction of a by-pass road for the convenience of the traffic as both metre-gauge and broad gauge lines pass through the middle of that town?

A.—

(a) The proposal to construct a railway over-bridge at Dhone, Kurnool District has been deferred for the present.

(b) Steps have already been taken for investigation and project preparation for construction of a by-pass road in Dhone.

CONSTRUCTION OF AN ANICUT AT PERLA KONDA OF CHITTOOR DISTRICT

59—

3781 Q.—Sri D Venkatesam:—Will the Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased to state.
(a) whether any scheme has been investigated on Palar river in Kuppam taluk, Chittoor district for construction of an anicut at Perlakonda for lift irrigation,

(b) if so the results thereof, and

(c) whether it is not a fact that the said scheme was investigated in the composite Madras State and dropped at the time of bifurcation of the State?

A —

(a) and (b) Yes, Sir. The proposal for constructing a dam across Palar river near Perlakonda and to lift water for stabilising the existing ayacut under number of tanks lying to the western side of the Palar river starting from Beggalipalle tank by impounding water at the above site was already examined with reference to the existing ground features, relative position of the river bed and the ayacut to be served and the scheme was found not feasible due to site conditions. After inspection of the site on 21-8-1968 by the Superintending Engineer, Investigation Circle Guntur along with the Hon’ble Member, it was decided to drop the scheme.

(c) This Government are not aware that such survey was conducted by the composite Madras State.

NALLABALLI TANK IN BHADRACHALAM

60—

4794-(1) Q — Sri M. Ramachandraiah — Will the Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased ro state;

(a) whether it is a fact that Nallaballi tank in Bhadrachalam is not in use due to the direct flow of waters to the fields from the Chun nagubbalamangi Minor Project Bhadrachalam,

(b) if so, whether the Government will permit the landless poor girijan ryots to level up the tank bund with bulldozers and to cultivate the sixty acres of tank bed land by forming co-operative collective farming society,

(c) if so, when the said work will commence;

(d) when the second stage of Chun nagubbalamangi Minor Project in Bhadrachalam constituency will be taken up, and

(e) the estimated cost for the same?

A. —

(a) No Sir. It is a restored source and the ayacut of 410 acres under the tank lies in the command of Chun nagubbalamangi vagu project and is being irrigated under the Project.
(b) Does not arise  
(c) Does not arise  
(d) The second stage of Chinnagubbalamangi vagu project in Bhadrachalam constituency is not under consideration of Government at present  
(e) Does not arise.

**APPENDIX**

(Vide answer to L A Q No 5163 at S. No. 48)

Statement showing the taluk wise number of persons to whom pattas have been issued for banjar lands from 1960 to 1974, the number of cases pending, etc., in Visakhapatnam District vide L. A Q No 5163 (unstarred)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the taluk</th>
<th>Number of persons to whom pattas issued for banjar lands from 1960-1974</th>
<th>Number of cases pending</th>
<th>Whether the persons who have been issued pattas took possession of the land (Remarks of the respective Tahsildars furnished)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>1,190</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>The persons who have been issued pattas took possession of the land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Bheemunipatnam</td>
<td>1,857</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>The persons who have been issued pattas took possession of the land. Out of 268 cases pending provisional order have been issued for 267 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Anakapalli</td>
<td>1,793</td>
<td>1,169</td>
<td>60% of the assignees took possession of the land and brought it under cultivation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Vijayanagaram</td>
<td>2,238</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Possession of the land could not be handed over in 32 cases as these cases are under settlement disputes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) (2) (3) (4)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Gajapathinagaram</td>
<td>1,890</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>All the persons to whom land assigned took possession of the land.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Chodava am</td>
<td>3,113</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Except an extent of Ac 213 84, all the lands assigned was under occupation and enjoyment of the assignees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>S Kothi</td>
<td>2,735</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>The persons to whom pattas were granted are in possession and enjoyment of the land assigned</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Paderu</td>
<td>1,994 (Tribals)</td>
<td></td>
<td>The lands are under possession of the assignees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Narasipatnam</td>
<td>2,652</td>
<td></td>
<td>In most of the cases the assignees took possession of the land</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Elamanchili</td>
<td>2,042</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>All the assignees to whom 'D' from pattas were issued took possession of the land</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Chintapalli</td>
<td>821 (Tribals)</td>
<td></td>
<td>All the persons took possession of the land</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>22,325</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,015</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Statement Showing number of political and other murders committed in the State during 1973 and 1974.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Political murders</th>
<th>Murders due to other causes</th>
<th>Political murders</th>
<th>Murders due to other causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number committed</td>
<td>Number convicted</td>
<td>Number under investigation</td>
<td>Number committed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyderybad City</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anantapur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuddapah</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Godavari</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guntur</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyderabad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khammam</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Krishna | Kurnool | Matha boonagart | Medak | Nellore | Nizamabad | Prakasam | Srikakulam | Visakhapatnam | Warangal | **These include 73 deaths due to liquor poisoning in Survapet and Huzurnagar Circles.**
|     | 37  | 11  | 5   | 3   | 1   | 2   | 1   | 8   | 10   | 28   | 3   | 10   |
|  6 |  63 | 15  | 17  | 1   | 1   | 3   | 1   | 8   | 18   | 3    | 1   | 36   |
| 36 | 34  | 2   | 20  | 3   | 2   | 12  | 12  | 10  | 10   | 5    | 2   | 4    |
| 49 | 19  | 2   | 22  | 1   | 23  | 15  | 7   | 37  | 36   | 7    | 10  | 7    |
| 26 | 30  | 2   | 103 | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2   | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    |

**Note:** This table lists the number of deaths due to liquor poisoning in various districts from 1st to 3rd February, 1975.
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance.

Re: Retrenchment of workers of Kendrika Khandasari Sugar Factory, Chebrolu.

9-50 a.m.

5th February, 1973,

To:

From:

Subject:

Retrenchment of workers of Kendrika Khandasari Sugar Factory, Chebrolu.

9-50 a.m.,

As per the notification dated 10th-12th February 1974, the factory has been ordered to retrench workers. The factory had 15 employees, but after the notification, only 151 were left. The factory owner has stated that the factory is unable to continue due to financial difficulties. The workers have also submitted a petition dated 14th February 1974 to the Chairman, vide E.O.No.105 dated 23rd February 1974. The matter is being considered by the government.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Name]
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance.

re: Retrenchment of workers of Kendrika Khandasari Sugar Factory, Chebrolu.

...
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Retrenchment of workers of Kendrika Khandasari Sugar Factory, Chebrolu.

The seasonal workmen who has worked or, but for illness or any other unavoidable cause, who have worked under the factory the whole of the second half of the last preceding season will be employed by the factory in the current season.

10.00 a.m.
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Importance.

3rd February, 1975

re: Retrenchment of workers of Kendrika Khandasari Sugar Factory, Chebrolu

Sri A. Sreeramulu—Sir, the crux of the whole question is the argument that is being put by the Management in regard to season workers, which is in dispute now in view of the Supreme Court Judgement.

The second point is that the Labour Minister may have a lot of sympathy, but the Labour Ministry is helpless, the District Officers are helpless, because the Proprietor claims relationship with the Chief Minister and claims full support of the Chief Minister. This is a challenge to the Government and to the Labour Minister.

Our Labour Minister made a statement in Vijayawada on 8th December, that Labour Ministry would not hesitate to take action under Defence of India Rules to check the unscrupulous management. Whether this is a case, where D I R will have to be applied, I want to know whether the Labour Minister will be bold enough to enforce the provisions under D I R and control this unscrupulous Management.
3rd February, 1975. Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Retrenchment of workers of Kendnka Khandasari Sugar Factory, Chebrolu.

This is an admitted fact that the plight of the present Government who claims to be running the Government on Socialistic Pattern. The existence of the Labour Department is no more. This Department which is supposed to look to the welfare of the labour does not do any justice to them. In this particular case it is because the owner of the Factory happens to be a relation of a V I P. who is running the Government. This sort of thing has come up. Probably, the Minister himself finds helpless He verbally sympathises and whispers

It is atrocious that the Labourers are being treated in this way these days. It is high time that the Government takes appropriate action so that the labourers who are the real backbone of the country and nation are not treated in this way.

I hope, Sir, that the reply by the Government will be based on responsibility.

10.10 a.m.
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance; re: Retrenchment of workers of Kendrika Khandasari Sugar Factory, Chebrolu.

Sri T. Anjaiah:—The Chebrolu Kendrika Khandasari Factory workers' Union, is a Registered Body instituted for taking into employment 150 workmen, who worked in the previous season. The Industrial Relations Officer admitted the dispute in conciliation and sent a preliminary report to the Government. The Joint Commissioner of Labour thereupon made an attempt to settle this dispute amicably. But there is no meeting point and the Government ultimately referred this dispute to adjudication.

He can put his foot on that and bring them round and see that the employees who were done injustice are reinstated. That is within his province. Unless he takes action it cannot be solved.
3rd February, 1973. Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Retrenchment of workers of Kendrika Khandasari Sugar Factory, Chebrole.

Mr Speaker:—This is regarding Khandasari.

Sri Syed Hasan:—The point is that there is no mention in the labour law about the seasonal workers. That is the difficulty. In view of the defective law, new tactics and mean tactics of the Government resulted in sending the matter to the adjudication.

Mr Speaker:—He has brought the Supreme Court decision also.
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:
re: Theft of 3000 tyres in the workshop of the State Road Transport Corporation at Hyderabad.

Mr Speaker — He said that he would take all necessary steps.

Sri T. Anjaiah:— We have referred the matter to adjudication, 10 20 a.m.

It is sub judice.

Sri A Sreeramulu — As a protest against the Anti-labour attitude of the Labour Minister, we are staging a walk-out

(Sri A. Sreeramulu, Sri Syed Hasan staged a walk-out)

C. V K. Rao,— This is a serious matter. The Government will realise the gravity of the situation with regard to the unfair practices and dilatory tactics given handle to the management. Immediate steps may be taken by the Government to reinstate the workers. Now as a protest I am staging a walk-out.

(Sri C V. K. Rao and Sri N. Venkataratnam staged a walk-out)

re: Theft of 300 tyres in the workshop of Road Transport Corporation at Hyderabad.
3rd February, 1975.

Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance

re: Entrance Examination for M.B.B.S. Course

Sri A. Sreeramulu.—Sir, 7000 students of the State are put to painful necessity of appearing for the Entrance Examination to the medical course. This was due to if I am permitted to say, the bungling of the authorities concerned in conducting the Entrance Examination.

There are some important aspects. The Government will have to take the responsibility and the Minister will have to explain to us.

Firstly in the Notification issued calling for this Entrance Examination, a change was effected in regard to the age. Previously the age for admission to this examination was 16 years. What prompted this Government to change the from age16 to 17, I don’t know. Secondly the qualification prescribed during the previous year was P.U,C and also Intermediate. P.U.C. was omitted in this Notification and Government prescribed a pass in the Intermediate Science Subjects with certain
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance: re. Entrance Examination for M.B.B.S. Course.

percentage of marks. That is the eligibility for this Entrance Examination. Making these two changes, a Notification was issued and applications were received and Government proceeded with the conduct of this Entrance Examination.

Somebody rushed to High Court in the meanwhile protesting against this change in age and High Court gave direction, as I understand on 28th November. The Entrance Examination was scheduled for 1st December and the Judgement of High Court declaring this invalid was available on 28th November itself. It means the Government had three clear days, but the Government did not take prompt action to postpone the Examination. Instead of doing this, they went through this process and conducted the Entrance Examination on 1-12-1974 according to their original schedule despite the verdict of High Court that the change in age was ultra vires.

A fresh notification has been issued and the second examination has been fixed for 23-2-1975. In this again there is an ambiguity as to whether the PUC passed students of Andhra and Sri Venkateswara University are eligible or not. That ambiguity has not been cleared. The State of Andhra University said that University was also eligible and those students who passed Intermediate Science were eligible for the Test. But the Government did not take into account the State's resolution and no clarification was issued. When pressmen asked the Secretary in Health Department, the Secretary said that the Government was not aware of such a decision of the Andhra University. I am pointing out this particular fact to impress upon the Minister that there is no co-ordination or correlation between various Governmental Departments. Now that the Government has decided to compress this one-year's course into six months, the standards are bound to deteriorate. The All India Medical Council has already pointed out this and is of the view that standards of our medical education are not up to the mark. They even thought of de-recognising some of the Colleges on the grounds of poor standards of education. That being so, the Government did not take adequate care to postpone the examination. Government has now decided to compress this one-year's course into six months. By compressing one year's course into six months how can the Government expect to maintain standards? It is bound to deteriorate.

There is another problem. There are 886 seats. The students have joined the Degree Courses, either science or any other faculty. They are in third Year. After this Entrance Examination if admissions have to be given in 886 students who are studying will have to vacate the seats and no fresh candidates will be admitted, and they
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They have already paid the fees. They have to pay the fees again. And this is the confusion and chaos that has been created on account of inept and wrong handling of the situation.

I want the Minister to answer these:

1. Why did the Government think it fit to change the age-limit from 16 to 17 years?
2. How did the Government fail to include P.U.C. students also as suitably qualified for admission to the entrance Test?
3. What exactly is the rationale behind the Government's decision to compress the one-year's course into six months, and
4. What does the Government propose to do with the 886 seats which are likely to fall vacant in Degree Colleges.

If the Minister explains, we will be happy.
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Entrance Examination for M.B.B.S Course.

Some students were already put to examination. What is the eligibility to appear for the Entrance Examination? The intelligence or the standard does not depend on age. | 10.40 a.m |

*Syed Hasan — Never in the history of Medical And Health Department such a situation arose, as we find it today. Inefficiency, corruption, nepotism, interference, communalism, even discrimination on the basis of color in addition to cast and creed do exist here. There are several instances which can be cited but I would reserve them to tell in the course of Demands of the Medical Department. The irresponsible manner in which the lives of about 10,000 students had been put to stake is a matter which has to be viewed very seriously. It is the
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Responsibility of the Minister concerned and his Officers, the Head of the Department of Medical & Health for creating this mess.

As you know Sir, the examination should have been held earlier but was postponed until December, 1974, and in contravention to the direction of the all India Medical Council, a G.O.No. Rt 1222 Dated 129-1974, was issued and the minimum age for the test was fixed as 17 years, whereas the usual practice in this and other States was to admit 16 year old students. A batch of students went to Court in Writ Petition and the case was decided in their favour. The Department instead of taking a correct view in keeping with High Court's decision decided that only such of the students who had gone to the High Court in Writ would be considered and status quo was maintained for others.

This also came all of a sudden; Just before the Test was fixed, it was announced through Radio that the students of 16 years of age would also be allowed. This news appeared in the Newspapers on the very day when the Test was to be held. Therefore they were deprived of this opportunity. After this the Government decided that the results would be withheld and test would be re-held.

It is a mental depravity of those people who are in power. A similar Test was conducted in Kakinada, where the Director, Medical Services took in his hand a bundle of unsealed question-papers and it was objected to by the people who were in charge of the Test. Then there was a great tussle and the circulation of Question papers was abandoned. They had to arrange for another set of Question papers.

This fact has to be considered in the background of the fact that the person on whom the Health Minister banks cent per cent confidence is a man suffering from epilepsy—I mean the Present Director, Medical Services. This epilepsy is a disease in which a person gets fits and at times gets unconscious, and results in mental aberrations and imbalance. He is a person fit to be referred to a Neurologist. Otherwise there is every apprehension that the students and those persons who are responsible to look to the health of hundreds would be victims in the same way as they had been earlier. One precious year of Ten thousand students is lost—what a pity! This figure may be even 16 thousand for 7,000 alone belong to the Osmania University.

The Hon'ble Minister for Health instead of wasting his time on trivial and frivolous matters, as to where a particular Doctor of a Hospital should sit, and from which room the sweeping must start first, I hope would concentrate on most important matters concerning the students and the health of the people.
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*Sri K Rajamallu — The duration of the M.B.B.S. Course was 5½ years till 1972-73. The minimum age for admission then was 16 years. The Universities also adopted the same age limit. Subsequently, the duration of the course was reduced by the three Universities to 5 years but the same age limit was allowed to continue in their regulations. In view of the change in the duration of the course, it was considered necessary to raise the minimum age keeping in view the recommendation of the Medical Council of India. The Government wanted to raise the age limit during 1973-74 itself. But this was not done with a view to give advance intimation to the students. A press note to this effect saying that the age limit would be raised from 1974-75 was issued. The age limit was raised for the admissions for 1974-75. Thereupon some of the students filed writ Petitions in the High Court and the Court allowed them. In its judgment dated 9.12.1974, the High Court held that the admissions to the medical colleges should be made in accordance with rules of the universities as provided under section 9(2)(b) of the Intermediate Act. After going through the judgment carefully, the age limit has been reduced to 16 years. In order to give an equal opportunity to all candidates including those who were not eligible prior to the judgment of the High Court and to facilitate assessment of merit all candidates in a common test without giving scope for complaint of adoption of different standards, the Government decided to hold an entrance test afresh on 23.2.75 to all candidates.

P.U.C. candidates of Osmania University were considered eligible to apply for M.B.B.S. Course, on a request made by the Osmania University. No such request was received from the other two Universities. The Principals of the other medical colleges have also been requested to consider the applications of the P.U.C candidates also if the P.U.C. has been recognised by the Universities by the concerned equivalent to Intermediate for admission to the M.B.B.S Course. This has to be done by the universities alone and not by the Government.

It is not correct to say that the first year course of one year is being compressed into six months. It is suggested that an examination may be held sometime in August 1975 by compressing the pre-professional course by a few weeks. This has to be done only in consultation with the University concerned.

I have just now made a statement explaining the circumstances under which the Government had taken a decision to conduct fresh entrance test on 23-2-1975 in reply to the call attention notice of Sri A Sreeramulu and other Hon'ble Members. In these circumstances,
it is not possible to announce the results of the entrance test held on 1-2-1974.

*Sri A Sreeramulu —Sir, the Health Minister has made the usual statement prepared by the Secretariat I wanted reply to my four specific questions, which he has not given There had been so much of bungling

*Sri K Rajamallu —There is no question of bungling or doing anything arbitrarily According to the Integrated Education Rules, 1966, it is nothing new, it was already there in 1966, i.e., for 1st class the age should be 5 plus, that is, the boy should be running his 6th year on the date of admission Then he should have completed 14 years in the 10th class By the time he completes 10th he must have completed 15 years age By the time he completes Intermediate, he must have completed 17 years of age We are governed by the rules of All India Medical Council and in order to bring uniformity all over the country this advice was given to us All the other States are doing it; it is only to bring uniformity all over the country That apart, the rules existing support this view.

*Sri A Sreeramulu —If that is so very scientific why was it not introduced well in advance and why were not the people educated on that question.

*Sri K Rajamallu —Sufficient notice was given to the general public If they did not come to know of it is not my mistake

*Sri N Venkataratnam —There seems to be a contradiction The Minister has said that a boy completes 15 years by the time he passes 10th class and 17 years by the time he passes Intermediate. Now PUC candidates are also eligible to sit for the entrance examination, that means a PUC candidate will be completing 16 years at the time of passing PUC, not 17 and if he is made eligible to sit for the entrance examination and if the prescribed age is 17 years, he will be deprived of one year.

*Sri K Rajamallu —As far as the Government is concerned, it is open to the Government to frame rules for admissions As far as the academic qualifications are concerned, it is the duty of the Universities There should not be any confusion at all between the rules of administration and qualifications fixed by the Universities

Mr Speaker —The point is — according to rules one can complete PUC at the age of 16 completed years If you fix 17 years for admission to medical colleges, he will be losing one year. Was this brought to the notice of the Medical Council?
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Sri K. Rajamallu—This was already brought to their notice. We are going to abolish the P.U.C system, already it has been abolished. The present problem is with regard to those who had previously passed P.U.C.

*Sri Syed Hasan—The Medical Council have their own regulation and all these years candidates with 16 years age are being permitted to appear for the examination according to those regulations. Is there any change in the Medical Council's regulations? If there are any changes then why were the students given the forms in the beginning, leaving them under the impression that they are eligible to sit for the examination at the age of 16 completed years?

Mr. Speaker—As far as I understood the Minister, after the Medical Council gave an advice, the Government tried to enforce it in the previous year, but as there was some difficulty they relaxed the rule and gave sufficient advance time and enforced it next year.

Sri Syed Hasan—But why were the application forms given to the candidates?

*Sri K. Rajamallu—They might have obtained the forms; there is no particular reason why the forms were given to them. Everybody was in a hurry and till the last minute everybody tried. I do not know what exactly was the position at that time.

*Sri A. Srimulu—The High Court's judgment became available on the 28th November. Why did not the Government take a decision to postpone the examination.

*Sri K. Rajamallu—I was personally willing to do something. Immediately I got information about the High Court's verdict I consulted the Advocate General. He advised that instead of postponing the examinations, it would be better to hold the examinations and later on we could allow who are left out to sit for the examination. With that understanding we could not postpone the examination.

*Sri A. Srimulu—The second point is—just now the Minister said that there is no proposal to compress the course. But here is a press cutting in which the Health Secretary told the press on 15th January that in order to make up for the lost time following the holding of the re-examination Government was compressing one year course into one of 6 months.

Sri K. Rajamallu—I have given the latest position. I do not know where from the hon. Member got the press cutting.
Sri N Venkataratnam—The Minister has stated about the abolition of P.U.C. This is a matter to be considered in the comprehensive Education Bill which is yet to come and the Hon’ble Minister is not the person to either abolish P.U.C or introduce P.U.C. Meanwhile some batches of students are suffering. Even in the comprehensive Education Bill also this matter has not been decided and it is not for this Minister to abolish P.U.C. Meanwhile 1 or 2 years may elapse, and what about the students that will be losing one year meanwhile.

*Sri K. Rajamallu—We have agreed that the University should be autonomous and we have already asked the University to consider it. It is up to them whether to consider or not

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE
Mr Speaker — It has come to my notice and I thought it may not be so important as to allow under 341. But if you still convince me, I will give an opportunity sometime later. But 341 is intended for other specific matters of great importance.

Sri C V K Rao — 341 means these books are to be placed at a particular moment and I immediately came before you with this matter and placed before you. There was also a privilege motion against the Chief Minister on a previous occasion. But that was put into cold storage. What I am expecting is that justice should be done.

Mr Speaker — In regard to these matters I have already advised several Ministers.

Sri C V K Rao — You are advising, Sir. But they are not hearing. They are giving deaf ear. And therefore a specific measure has to be taken.

Mr Speaker:— We will take it next time. I have gone through your notice. We shall take it in some other form.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— Kindly give me an opportunity.

Mr Speaker — I am not permitting you. If there is anything else, we shall discuss and if I am convinced, I shall certainly allow.

Mr Speaker:— Yes.

Mr. Speaker:— That is regarding mosquito menace, is it not? I have allowed it as a Call attention and your name will be clubbed. It will come up.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— Sir, we give a notice under a particular rule and it is intended for a definite purpose. I cannot understand how that rule can be diverted to another rule. If this is done, the rule must be changed. I have grievance against this. How is it that when I give a notice under rule 341, it is converted into Call Attention?

Mr Speaker:— I will discuss the matter and then we shall evolve a procedure.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— If anything has to be done in your room, what am I to do here? Here I have a purpose to bring to your notice and enlighten my colleagues and the wonderful treasury benches. And for everything if I discuss with you alone, is it a matter between you and me? What is the purpose of this functioning, Sir?

Mr Speaker:— No, no. After I discuss these things, this procedure whether I shall take it up or not, whether I have got power or not, all those things.
Sri C V K Rao—My request to you, Sir, is you have to give your ruling. The difference between a matter under rule 341 and Calling Attention is, 341 must come immediately. It is not a question of ruling out. Suppose I raise a question under zero hour, you do not rule it out. Just the question comes, you give me an opportunity to speak out whether the Government answers. Whether you rule it out of order or not, is a different matter. But I have an opportunity after every business is over to bring in an issue which I consider urgent under zero hour subject. Now what is taking place under rule 341? How are we to function under these rules? The rule is specifically intended to draw your attention under different provisions.

Mr Speaker—I shall try to understand in the light of what you have said and try to improve if my ruling so far has not been correct. I assure you.

Sri C V K Rao—Excuse me, sir. Rule 341, what is the difference?

Mr Speaker—I will just go through it again and see.

Sri C V K Rao—Let it not be clubbed with other things, Sir. Let it not be ruled out.

Mr Speaker—I agree with you. Yes, Papers to be laid on the Table.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE:

(i) Amendment to A.P Public Service Commission Regulations 1963

THE CHIEF MINISTER (Sri J Vengala Rao)—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table in compliance with the requirements of clause (5) of article 320 of the Constitution of India the amendment made to the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission Regulations, 1963 under the proviso to Clause (3) of that article and published in the Notification issued in G.O Ms No 627, General Administration (services-A) Department dated 21-9-1974.

Mr Speaker—Paper laid on the Table.

(2) Notifications issued under sub-section (2) of section 9 of the A.P. Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963.

THE MINISTER FOR HEALTH & MEDICAL (Sri K. Ragandlu)—Sir, on be half of the Minister for Agriculture, I beg to lay on the Table, under sub-section (2) of section 9 of the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963, Notification No. 11026/2A/73, dated 21-9-1974.
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Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963, a copy in each of the notifications issued in the following G O s under sub-section 9 of the said Act

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl No</th>
<th>G O Rt No and date</th>
<th>Date of publication in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2445, Home (Tr II) dt 12-9-1974</td>
<td>10-10-1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2638, Home (Tr II) dt 9-10-1974</td>
<td>31-10-1974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mr. Speaker—Papers laid on the table.

(3) Annual Report of the Nizam Sugar Factory Limited, for the year ended 30th September, 1973

The Minister for Health & Medical (Sri K Rajamallu)—Sir, with your permission, on behalf of the Minister for Agriculture I beg to lay on the Table in compliance with section 619-A of the Companies Act, 1956 as amended in 1960, a copy of the Annual Report together with the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India on the working of the Nizam Sugar Factory Limited, for the year ended 30th September, 1973.

Mr. Speaker.—Paper laid on the table.

(4) Notification containing an Order No. 32 made under Section (2) (d) of the Delimitation Act, 1972

Sri P. Narsa Reddy:—I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Notification No. 282/A.P./74, dated 1.1.1975 of the Delimitation Commission of India containing Order No 32, made under Section 9 (2) (d) of the Delimitation Act, 1972 in respect of Delimitation of Parliamentary and Assembly Constituencies in the State of Andhra Pradesh.
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Pradesh, as required under Sub-section (3) of Section 10 of the said Act

Mr Speaker —Paper laid on the table


Sri P Narasim Reddy —Sir I beg to lay on the table a copy of the Members of the Andhra Pradesh State Legislature (Provision of Accommodation) Rules, 1974, issued under sub-section (1) of Section 13 of the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualification Act, 1953 and published in the Rules Supplement to part of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette Extraordinary dated 12th September, 1974 as required under sub-section (3) of Section 13 of the said Act.

Mr Speaker:—Paper laid on the table

GOVERNMENT BILL

THE ANDHRA PRADESH MARRIAGES VALIDATION (REPEAL) BILL, 1975. (introduced)

Sri J Vengal Rao:—Sir I beg to leave to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Marriages Validation (Repeal) Bill, 1975.

Mr. Speaker —Motion moved

The question is

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Marriages Validation (Repeal) Bill, 1975."

The motion was adopted.

MOTION OF THANKS ON ADDRESS BY THE GOVERNOR

*Sri Syed Hasan — Sir, the address by the Governor should have been a white paper with mere facts without boasting. We find it is all boasting and far from the facts. We find in the first page of the Address by the Governor as follows:— "For the first time, after some years, the stagnation in agricultural production, resulting from successive spells of bad seasons was broken and a substantial increase was registered. Our total foodgrains production during the agricultural year ending June, 1974 reached a record level of over 86 lakhs tonnes." The result should have been that the prices should have been fallen. Instead of that prices raised much more than in drought days. This fact has to be considered, whether it is a mere mention of fact or something else. It has also been said that instead of Rs. 89 crores Rs. 142 crores have been earmarked for this year for the Plan. It is
not the money which would impress us or the people but it is that as to how that money would be used to the best interests of the people. Instead of saying that Rs 100 crores or Rs 200 crores was brought from the Centre and putting the people into difficulties by raising taxes, the Government should see that in no way the common man is inconvenienced.

It has been said that Nagarjunasagar, Pochampad, Thungabhadra high level canal, Nizam Sagar, Vamsadhara Projects were taken up. Let us have a hope that a sincere effort would be made to do their best in this regard.

As the Speaker has not yet given his ruling on the Ordinances issued, our objection still stands. It has been said that 100% increase has been made in the cess when the Select Committee was considering it. This is only on the strength of the Members on the ruling side, the Government is taking advantage and going out of the way and violating the Rules, Acts, Constitution and taking such hasty steps of issue of Ordinances. Our Government should have refrained from doing so.

In page 3, Governor congratulating the Members said—“As Members are aware, the Government of India have approved a special assistance to an order of Rs 90 crores during the Fifth Plan period for the accelerated development of backward areas in the 3 regions of the State.” Nothing has been done except appointing Regional Development Boards’ Chairmen, and their staff. It is a political appointment. No money has been received and no development work has been done so far. Instead of sitting idle, they might be preparing plans and God only knows when these plans would be implemented. Similarly, Rs 1 crore allotment is there to Twin Cities. We are awaiting to receive that amount from Government of India and I hope it would be better utilised.

It has been said that two more Thermal Units were installed and put to work and the power position has improved. Mr. A. Sreeramulu has given details as to how the rates of power have increased. I would limit myself to Hyderabad and Twin Cities. Most of the time, people are suffering in many ways on account of this power in Hyderabad. Especially in Old City, no electric improvement is done, or effort is being made.

In regard to exemptions to educational institutions, Charitable and Religious institutions, like temples, mosques, etc. there are no exemptions from the Electricity Board. We called upon the Electricity Board and said that they should give power to these institutions at domestic rate as was charged to that of our Residents. Here, the Electricity Board is charging at commercial rate to these institutions.
These facts were brought to the notice of the Minister concerned but nothing has been done to this effect. There are several restrictions imposed. Even then, the Government claims that power situation has improved.

In para 9 it was stated like this—"With the restoration of normalcy in the State, the climate for fresh industrial investment has shown improvement. A number of entrepreneurs have expressed interest in setting up industries within the State both in the large scale and the small scale sectors." In regard to employment position in all these private and public sectors, it is understood that people from outside are being appointed and local people are not getting employment. Local Labour is not getting opportunities. I want to know what is the Labour Department doing in this respect. About the Vizag Steel Plant, since long time, we are told "It is coming." We are yet to live and see whether this Steel Plant should actually come into existence or not.

Educational policy has been commended by the Governor. I do not find any reason in that. It is not the number of institutions which exist to be appreciated as it has been mentioned that 2 more Universities are going to come. The quality of teaching and method of teaching is most important. It is surprising and shocking that Osmania Matric examination has been postponed. 20,000 students are waiting for this examination anxiously. But all the time, it is being postponed. Is it the type of improvement in education as the Governor boasted?

Then, establishment of Scheduled Castes Corporation, Scheduled Tribes Corporation and Weaker Sections Corporation, etc. these are all mere words and if you go into details, it is a political rehabilitation and when you want to rehabilitate certain persons, they are being provided with jobs such as Chairman, Vice-Chairman, in these Corporations but in practice neither the S.Cs, S.Ts, Minorities or Weaker Sections are benefited. Therefore, this has to be looked into by the Government in a different way and something good should be done.

About Ceiling on Agricultural Holding, much has been said from the Minorities side, and from Muslim Members. Do not bring any hasty legislation and thereby deprive a particular Community from the ownership of the land. I hope the present Government and the present Chief Minister realised this fact and do the needful. This Legislation is for better cultivation and utilisation. Here, Minorsh are being given their share, religion has been brought and personal law has been brought and Muslims are thereby deprived. Since the Chief Minister is not here, the Minister noting down the points may inform the Chief Minister that he has to answer to this point.
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It has often been said and this fact has been admitted that price rise is there and to overcome this price rise, the Government has increased the DA of its employees. Out of the total population, the Government servants, are only 2%. What about other people who are not getting any benefits of this type, controlled rice in fair price shops and other things? What is the Government doing for them? Neither they are trying to provide jobs nor trying to overcome any of the difficulties of the masses.

In the name of Law and order, it has been said they are making peaceful progress. But I may say about corrupt persons, about persons who committed rape, etc those particular instances were quoted here by Hon Members when Prime Minister has come to Secunderabad. One Inspector tried to molest a Dancing Girl. That matter was raised and an assurance was given that suitable action would be taken against the concerned. On the contrary, that person was awarded with President's award. It is deplorable that suitable actions are not being taken against the culprits.

A recent incident I will quote in Charminar area. Students studying in M.A., M.Sc., and B.Sc were travelling in a bus out of the group perhaps were some not holding tickets or proper passes. They were held up in a Police Station in Charminar and then some student leaders have also gone there to represent their case. They were also beaten severely. This matter was referred to Police Commissioner or Dy. Commissioner. When such things are happening can the Government claim that they are maintaining law and order?

About the Municipal Corporation, Sir, Elections were not held. You know very well the condition of the City. There are plans for implementation. Implementation part is not being done. The Special Officer has not been useful. I hope the Government and especially the Chief Minister would look into all these aspects and instruct the Special Officer in this regard. I hope a honest effort would be made to hold elections in time because already 5 long years have gone by for our City without elections.

11:30 a.m
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Motion of thanks on the Address by the Governor
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The Hon. Governor referred to the Address of thanks which was presented to him by the Hon. Dy. Speaker and Members of the House.

The Governor said that he was deeply grateful to the Members of the House for their expressions of confidence in him and for their willingness to give him every help and support in the discharge of the duties of the Governor's Office.

He also thanked them for their kind words about the late Hon. Governor, who had been a great Tamil nationalist and a dedicated servant of the State.

The Governor said that he was confident that with the support of the Members of the House, he would be able to continue the work of the late Hon. Governor and to further the cause of Tamil nationalism.

He concluded by expressing his deepest gratitude to the Members of the House for their kind words and for their willingness to give him every help and support in the discharge of the duties of the Governor's Office.
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11-50 a.m.

Mr. President, Members — I wish to express appreciation...
Motion of thanks on the Address by the Governor.
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In the House of the People, the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly presided over the proceedings. The Governor, Mr. [Name], addressed the House on 3rd February, 1975.

The Governor thanked the House for the Address delivered by the Assembly. He highlighted the achievements of the Government in various sectors, including education, health, and infrastructure. He also announced several new initiatives that the Government planned to undertake in the coming year.

The Governor praised the hard work and dedication of the legislators and the people of the state. He urged the House to continue its support in implementing the Government's policies and programs.

The House expressed its gratitude to the Governor for his address and congratulated him on his continued service to the state.

The proceedings concluded with a vote of thanks to the Governor for his address.
3rd February, 1973
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12-00 noon
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...
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అయితే అదనాంగం బాధ్యమైన సంపాదనలు కలిగిన పరిస్థితులు నిరోధించడానికి క్రమానుగుంచే నేతృత్వ కేంద్రంలో జరిగిన సందర్భాన్ని సందర్శించడానికి జరిగాడు. ఏ ప్రాతిప్రదాయ సమాధానం ముద్రించాడు తానానికి ప్రాతిపదికం చేయడానికి మరియు సంప్రదాయ సంస్థ కోసం సులభ నిర్ణయాలను చేయడానికి అభివృద్ధి చేయడానికి మనం వంటి సంస్థ కోసం ఉపయోగించే పదార్థాలు మరియు సాధనాలను విస్తరించడానికి మన సమాధానాల్లో మరింత ప్రత్యేక సంచాలనలు ఉండాయి.

12 10 పమ. మువనానంతరం హోమేర్ పాటాలు సగం జ్ఞానం చేస్తే, హోమేర్ పాతించడానికి వచ్చాడు బియిస్ట్స్. అయితే హోమేర్ పాతించడానికి వచ్చాడు బియిస్ట్స్. జాతి సంస్థ కోసం సులభ నిర్ణయాలను చేయడానికి మరియు సాధనాలను విస్తరించడానికి అభివృద్ధి చేయడానికి మనం వంటి సంస్థ కోసం ఉపయోగించే పదార్థాలు మరియు సాధనాలను విస్తరించడానికి మన సమాధానాల్లో మరింత ప్రత్యేక సంచాలనలు ఉండాయి.

(ఒక సంచాలన కార్యాలెక్స్యూస్) అయితే నేతృత్వ కేంద్రంలో జరిగిన సందర్భాన్ని సందర్శించడానికి జరిగాడు. మనం సంపాదన పరిస్థితులను మార్గాలు సంపన్న సూచించాడు తానానికి సంపాదనల కోసం జరిగాడు. మనం సంపాదన పరిస్థితులను మార్గాలు సంపన్న సూచించాడు తానానికి సంపాదనల కోసం జరిగాడు.
Motion of thanks on the Address 3rd February, 1975
by the Governor.

The Governor addressed the Assembly on February 3rd, 1975. He thanked the people for their support and efforts. He highlighted the progress and achievements made during the previous year. The Governor praised the workforce for their hard work and dedication. He also thanked the various departments and agencies for their cooperation and efforts.

The Governor also addressed the challenges faced by the country and the steps taken to address them. He assured the people that the government was working hard to improve the quality of life of all citizens. The Governor ended his address with a message of hope and determination.

The Assembly responded with applause and appreciation. The Governor's address was well-received, and the people were heartened by his words.
3rd February, 1975.  

Motion of thanks on the Address by the Governor.

12.20 p.m.

Mr. Speaker,

I am most grateful to the House for giving me this opportunity to say a few words about the Address to which you have just referred. The Address is a tribute to the State of Andhra Pradesh, its people, its institutions, and its achievements. It is a testament to the hard work, foresight, and determination of the people of Andhra Pradesh.

The Address also highlights the challenges that the State faces, particularly in the areas of education, health, and infrastructure. It is clear that more needs to be done in these areas to improve the quality of life for all citizens. The Address calls for a commitment to these goals, and the Government is committed to fulfilling this promise.

The Address also recognizes the contributions of the Indian Army, the Police, and other security forces. Their service to the State is invaluable, and their sacrifices cannot be forgotten.

Mr. Speaker, I urge the Government to take the initiatives mentioned in the Address and work towards their implementation. I am confident that with the support of this House, we can make Andhra Pradesh a model State.

Thank you.
Motion of thanks on the Address
by the Governor

3rd February, 1975.

1. The Hon. Member said—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I feel called upon to
make the following statement in connection with the Address
delivered by the Governor on the 3rd February, 1975.

2. The Governor in his Address has referred to the
status of Hyderabad as the capital of the State and has
expressed the hope that Hyderabad would continue to
enjoy all the status and amenities of a capital city.

3. The Governor has also referred to the
infrastructure development in the State and has
highlighted the need for further efforts in this
regard.

4. The Governor has further pointed out the
need for improving the educational facilities
in the State and has urged the Government to take
measures in this direction.

5. The Governor has also referred to the
social and cultural activities and has
expressed his confidence in theAbility of the
people of the State to take active
part in these activities.

6. The Governor has finally referred to the
economic development of the State and has
urged the Government to take measures to
promote industrial growth and
employment opportunities.

7. I feel convinced that the Governor's
Address has provided a clear vision of the
direction in which the State is moving and
that the people of the State will work
unitedly to achieve the objectives
outlined in the Address.

(End)
3rd February, 1975.

Motion of thanks on the Address by the Governor.

12.30 p.m.
Motion of thanks on the Address 3rd February, 1975.

Dr. S.V. Srinivasan, M.A., Ph.D., I.R.C., President of the University.

The Governor said that the Address was the occasion to express gratitude to the students, teachers, and all those who had contributed to the development of the University. He complimented the University on its achievements and expressed hope for its continued progress.

He highlighted the importance of education and the role of universities in society. He called on the students to work hard and make the University proud.

The Governor concluded by expressing his confidence in the University's ability to meet the challenges of the future.
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"I understand that the Report of the Committee on the Address of His Excellency the Governor has been received. The report contains several important recommendations which are expected to bring about significant changes in various fields. I am confident that with the implementation of these recommendations, the State will be able to achieve greater progress and development."

"Moreover, I am pleased to note that the Government has taken several steps to improve the quality of education in the State. These initiatives will undoubtedly benefit the students and contribute to the overall development of the society.

I congratulate the Governor and his team for their efforts and look forward to continued cooperation in the future."
Motion of Thanks on the Address
by the Governor.

3rd February, 1975.
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3rd February, 1975

Motion of Thanks on the Address by the Governor.

12-40 p.m

...
Motion of Thanks on the Address
by the Governor.

3rd February, 1975.

Motion of Thanks on the Address 3rd February, 1975 by the Governor.
3rd February, 1975. Motion of Thanks on the Address by the Governor.

The Governor, in his Address, spoke of various achievements and initiatives taken by the Government. He emphasized the importance of education, health, and infrastructure development. The budget for the next financial year was announced, with 500,000 rupees allocated for education, 300,000 for health, and 2,000,000 for infrastructure projects. The Governor also highlighted the need for increased investment in agriculture and industry. The Address concluded with a call for unity and progress among the people.
Motion of Thanks on the Address
by the Governor.

3rd February, 1975.

12:50 p.m.

...

Motion of Thanks on the Address by the Governor.

(ప్రతి విశేషాలు)

మునా మాత్రమే సాధ్యమైన పహిల్వానం. అంతే కాదు మీరు చెప్పతోంది ఇది ఉండి తాడానికి విచిత్రం చేయాలి. అ చిన్న బాల భాగంలో పహిల్వానం దాని సాధారణ విధంగా ఉండటం లభ్యం ఉంది. ఇది కాకపోయి చెప్పిన పాత్రం కాలం లో ఉండవచ్చు. కానీ పాత్రం ప్రతి సమయంలో ఉంటుంది. మూడు సమయంలో ప్రత్యేకంగా యంత్రం దర్ ఉంటుంది. దానికి విశేషాలు ఉండే యంత్రం మీకు మరింత సహాయం చేస్తుంది.

(మూడు సమయంలో ఉంటుంది)

సమయము ప్రతి సమయంలో ప్రతి సమయంలో ఉంటుంది. కానీ ప్రతి సమయంలో ఉంటుంది. అంతే కాదు మీరు చెప్పతోంది ఇది ఉండి తాడానికి విచిత్రం చేయాలి. అంతే కాదు మీరు చెప్పతోంది ఇది ఉండి తాడానికి విచిత్రం చేయాలి. అంతే కాదు మీరు చెప్పతోంది ఇది ఉండి తాడానికి విచిత్రం చేయాలి.
Motion of Thanks on the Address
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3rd February, 1975. Motion of Thanks on the Address by the Governor.

The Governor, speaking in the Assembly, moved the motion of thanks on the Address delivered to him by the President of the Senate and of the House of the People.

He said, 'The address is a fitting tribute to the achievements of the Government during the past year. It has been a year of progress and development, marked by a steady increase in economic activity and social welfare. The Government's policy of promoting industrial development has been particularly successful, and the results are evident in the growth of new industries and the expansion of existing ones. The emphasis on education and health care has been instrumental in improving the quality of life for the people. The Government's efforts to bring about a better environment and to protect the rights of workers have also been commendable.

The Governor thanked the Members of the Assembly for their support and co-operation in the work of the Government. He said that the Government would continue to work towards the betterment of the people, and he urged the Members to continue their support in this effort.'
Motion of thanks on the Address by the Governor.
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Motion of thanks on the Address by the Governor.

[Text in Telugu language]
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by the Governor.
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Motion of thanks on the Address 3rd February, 1975.

by the Governor.
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3rd February, 1975.

Motion of thanks on the Address by the Governor.

The Hon. Governor, in his Address, had alluded to the achievements of the Government and the Governor. The Governor had praised the Government for its efforts in various fields. The Governor had also thanked the people of the State for their cooperation and support.

The Governor had said that the Government had taken several measures to improve the standard of living of the people. The Governor had also highlighted the efforts of the Government in the fields of education, health, and infrastructure.

The Governor had expressed his gratitude to all the officials and各界 for their hard work and dedication.

The Governor had also thanked the Members of the Assembly for their support and cooperation.

The Governor had said that the Government would continue to work hard to improve the lives of the people. The Governor had also appealed to all the citizens to support the Government in its efforts.

The Governor had concluded his Address by saying that he was confident that the Government would be able to achieve its goals with the support of the people.

The Governor had also thanked the Members of the Assembly for their support and cooperation.

The Governor had said that the Government would continue to work hard to improve the lives of the people. The Governor had also appealed to all the citizens to support the Government in its efforts.

The Governor had concluded his Address by saying that he was confident that the Government would be able to achieve its goals with the support of the people.
Motion of thanks on the Address
by the Governor.

3rd February, 1975.
Motion of thanks on the address by the Governor.
Motion of Thanks on the Address
by the Governor.

3rd February, 1975.

1-20 p.m.

1. The Hon'ble Governor—The Address of His Excellency the Governor of this State, which has been presented to the House, is one of the highest privileges of the day. The address is a mark of respect to the Governor for his services to the State. It is a symbol of gratitude for his efforts in furthering the welfare of the people. It is a declaration of confidence in the Governor's leadership and a pledge of support for his policies. The address is a reflection of the State's commitment to和平与繁荣.

2. The Address is a tribute to the Governor's dedication and service. It recognizes his contributions to the development of the State. The Governor has been a faithful servant of the people, working tirelessly to improve their living conditions. He has been a role model for public service, inspiring others to serve with dedication and devotion.

3. The Address is a call to action. It encourages the people of the State to work together to achieve the shared goal of prosperity and peace. It is a reminder that we are all in this together, and that our success depends on our collective efforts.

4. The Address is a declaration of love for our State. It is a celebration of our achievements and a call for continued progress. It is a promise to work together to create a brighter future for all.

5. The Address is a beacon of hope. It is a reminder that we are stronger when we stand together. It is a promise to continue to be a source of strength and inspiration for our people.

6. The Address is a call for unity. It is a reminder that we are all in this together, and that our success depends on our collective efforts. It is a call to work together to build a brighter future for all.

7. The Address is a testament to the Governor's commitment to the people of the State. It is a declaration of confidence in the Governor's leadership and a pledge of support for his policies. It is a reflection of the State's commitment to peace and prosperity.
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[Text in Telugu]

The motion is submitted to the House for consideration.
Motion of Thanks on the Address 3rd February, 1975.

Sri C. V. K. Rao — Are you assuring this House that that project will come out?

Sri J. Veegal Rao :— Certainly. I am an optimist, not a pessimist. It is an important project; it may cost Rs. 200 crores.
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1.30 p.m

(Sir, the House will remember that I had the honour of making the Address by the Governor and that I have also the honour of moving the Motion of thanks on it.)

(Mr. Vice-President, Sir, the House will remember that I had the honour of making the Address by the Governor and that I have also the honour of moving the Motion of thanks on it.)

(Mr. Vice-President, Sir, the House will remember that I had the honour of making the Address by the Governor and that I have also the honour of moving the Motion of thanks on it.)
Motion of thanks on the Address
by the Governor
3rd February, 1975.
3rd February, 1975.

Motion of thanks on the Address
by the Governor.

1. The Governor:—Sir, I have to say my thanks to the distinguished audience for allowing me the privilege of delivering this Address to you. I am happy to note that this occasion is being celebrated with great enthusiasm and fervour. The occasion is a fitting tribute to the contributions made by the Governor to the progress of the country.

2. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to say that the Governor has always been a source of inspiration and guidance to me. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

3. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

4. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

5. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

6. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

7. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

8. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

9. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

10. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

11. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

12. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

13. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

14. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

15. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

16. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

17. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

18. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

19. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

20. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

21. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

22. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

23. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

24. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

25. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

26. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

27. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

28. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

29. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

30. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

31. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

32. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

33. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

34. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

35. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

36. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

37. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

38. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

39. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

40. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

41. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

42. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

43. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

44. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

45. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

46. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

47. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

48. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.

49. The Governor:—I am confident that the Governor will continue to be an active and dynamic leader in the years to come. I would like to wish the Governor all the best for his future endeavors.

50. The Governor:—I am grateful to the Governor for his kind words. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Governor for his continued support and encouragement.
Motion of thanks on the Address 3rd February, 1975.

Sri A. Sriramulu — Don't set the employees against the farmers. You have received Rs 200 crores grant from the Centre.

...
'5th February, 1975.

Motion of Thanks on the Address by the Governor.

Sri C V K. Rao.—Sir it is beyond 1-30 p. m. If the time exceeds 1-30 p. m. it has to be stipulated up to what time you are exceeding.
Mr Speaker — It will take another half-an-hour.
3rd February, 1975.  
Motion of thanks on the Address by the Governor.

In the Address:

The Governor referred to the development we have been making for the last one year in the field of power. Let the Chief Minister throw some light on the topic.
Motion of thanks on the Address by the Governor.

3rd February, 1975,

Sri Syed Hasan.—In regard to Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings Act, whether the Government is getting any amendment or not?

Sri Syed Hasan.—If not, what is the other remedy?

Sri Syed Hasan.—In regard to Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings Act, whether the Government is getting any amendment or not?

Sri Syed Hasan.—If not, what is the other remedy?
3rd February, 1975

Motion of thanks on the Address by the Governor.

You need not give Rs. 10 crores in one year. You can give it in a phased programme.
Motion of thanks on the Address  
by the Governor.
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Government of India is not going to give anything for us, are you
going to give anything ?

Sri A. Sreeramulu :—It is absolutely unfair and unwise on the part of any civilized Government that a particular tax is imposed to give D A. to employees.
604 3rd February; 1975. Motion of thanks on the Address by the Governor.

Sri Vanka Satyanarayana:—It is a question of Assembly prestige. Resolution was also passed to recommend to the Central Government.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—Now is the Government going to impose new taxes in this Session on the people? In that case, I am opposing. Is he going to assure that new taxes are not imposed.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

Add the following at the end—

But regret that there is no mention in the Address about the alarming increase in unemployment and the consequential unrest among the educated youth.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

Add the following at the end—

But regret that there is no mention in the Address about the injustice done to the State in regard to allocation of Krishna waters in the award of the Tribunal.

The Amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

Add the following at the end—

But regret that there is no mention about holding the price line and supply of essential commodities at fair prices.

The Amendment was negatived.

Mr Speaker:—The question is:

Add the following at the end—

But regret that there is an unnecessary reference to Law and Order followed by a threat of force.

The amendment was negatived.

re: Time for receipt of amendments to A P Land Revenue (Additional Wet Assessment) Bill, 1974

Sri Syed Hasan — If the Government assures I will withdraw all my amendments (Nos 5 to 10)

Mr Speaker — the Amendments were, by the leave of the House withdrawn

(Pause)

Mr Speaker — The question is

"That the Members of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly assembled in this Session are deeply grateful to the Governor for the Address which he has been pleased to deliver to both the Houses of the Legislature on 27-1-1975"

The motion was adopted

ANNOUNCEMENT

re: Time for receipt of amendments to A P Land Revenue (Additional Wet Assessment) Bill, 1974

Mr Speaker — I have to announce to the House that amendments to the Andhra Pradesh Land Revenue (Additional Wet Assessment) Bill, 1974, as reported by the select Committee, will be received up to 1 P M on 4-2-1975

Mr Speaker — Now the House stands adjourned to meet at 8-30 A M on 4-2-1975

(The House then adjourned to meet again at 8 30 A M on Tuesday, the 4th February 1975)