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ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Thursday, the 4th July, 1974
The House met at Half-past Eight of the Clock
(Mr Speaker, Sri P Ranga Reddy, in the Chair)

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS
MARKETING SECTION IN A P S S I D C

*Q 3497—Sri D Venkatesam (Kuppam) —Will the hon Minister for Industries be pleased to state
(a) the number of production units handed over to APSSIDC by the Department of Industries,
(b) when the marketing section was started in APSSIDC head office and what are its functions, and
(c) what is the total expenditure being incurred by the Corporation on marketing section towards salaries, allowances, conveyance etc per annum?

The Minister for Public Works (Sri Ch Venkata Rao) deputised for the Minister for Industries —(a) 22 (Twenty two) Sir
(b) The marketing section was started during the year 1963
Its main functions are
(i) To secure orders for the products manufactured by production units of the Corporation
(ii) To provide marketing assistance to the technocrats and craftsmen in Technocrats Industrial Estates Craftsmen Guild, constructed by the Corporation in marketing their products
(iii) To conduct market surveys and advise the entrepreneurs about the market demand of the product they propose to manufacture
(iv) To provide marketing assistance for joint venture units
(v) To provide guidance and any other help to the small scale industrialists regarding various problems on marketing which include distribution channels of marketing, advertising etc

(c) About Rs 75,030 per annum?

* An asterisk before the name indicates correction by the Member

J No 40 (331)
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 Oral Answers to Questions

 ORDERED BY THE MARKETING SECTION OF 
 A P S S I D C

 691—

 * 3418 Q Sri D Venkatesam—Will the hon Minister for Industries be pleased to state

 (a) what are the orders secured by the Marketing section of the A P S S I D C to each production units of the Corporation from its starting till now (year wise)

 (b) how many production units were sold away after starting marketing section, and the reasons for selling the production units,

 (c) how many of them were running in profit and how many in loss at the time of the selling,

 (d) is it not a fact that A P S S I D C was black listed by South Central Railway and other State Institutions after starting marketing section and the South Central Railway imposed a penalty of Rs 5,000 on A P S S I D C, and

 (e) how many work orders were placed by the Central and State Institutions on APSSIDC production units after starting marketing section?

 Sri Ch Venkata Rao — (a) A statement is laid on the Table of the House

 (b) Nine, Sir The Units were sold following a policy decision of the Corporation which had the approval of the State Government also to dispose of these units, so that the Corporation could concentrate more on promotional activities

 (c) Except 2 all the other 7 units had accumulated losses as on the date of sale

 (d) No, Sir

 (e) work orders valuing Rs 25,18,071-69 were placed by Central and State Institutions on the Corporation's production units after starting Marketing Section
**ANSWER TO THE ITM No. 10 OF THE LAQ NO 3498**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SI No</th>
<th>Name of the Unit</th>
<th>Value of orders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>General Engineering Workshop, Vijayawada</td>
<td>Rs 42,396-81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>General Purpose Engineering Workshop, Cuddapah</td>
<td>Rs 4,495-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sheet Metal Workshop, Uravakonda</td>
<td>Rs 35,199-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>General Engineering Servicing Workshop, Warangal</td>
<td>Rs 1,36,012-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>General Engineering Workshop, Nandyal</td>
<td>Rs 4,360-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>General Engineering Servicing Workshop, Samalkot</td>
<td>Rs 6,255-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Furniture Mill, Hyderabad</td>
<td>Rs 1,96,953-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Utility Leather Goods Centre, Hyderabad</td>
<td>Rs 1,00,724-74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Foam Rubber Factory, Hyderabad</td>
<td>Rs 42,145-58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Timber Seasoning Wood Plant, Warangal</td>
<td>Rs 1,0,880-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>5,88,881-23</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>General Engineering Servicing Workshop, Vijayawada</td>
<td>Rs 49,830-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sheet Metal Workshop, Uravakonda</td>
<td>Rs 64,601-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>General Engineering Servicing Workshop, Warangal</td>
<td>Rs 58,925-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>General Engineering Servicing Workshop, Nandyal</td>
<td>Rs 52,400-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>General Engineering Servicing Workshop, Samalkot</td>
<td>Rs 1,30,295-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Furniture Mill, Hyderabad</td>
<td>Rs 9,596-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Minicon Industries, Hyderabad</td>
<td>Rs 6,26,251-98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Foam Rubber Factory, Hyderabad</td>
<td>Rs 21,366-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Utility Leather Goods Centre, Hyderabad</td>
<td>Rs 10,600-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>10,03,865-39</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>General Engineering Servicing Workshop, Samalkot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Furniture Mill, Hyderabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>General Engineering Workshop, Vijayawada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>General Engineering Servicing Workshop, Warangal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Foam Rubber Factory, Hyderabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>General Purpose Engineering Workshop, Cuddapah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sheet Metal Workshop, Uravakonda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 1971-72| 1   | Furniture Mill, Hyderabad               | Rs 6,80,000-00 |
|        | 2   | Radio Spare Parts & Receivers Manufacturing Unit, Hyderabad | Rs 1,90,000-00 |
|        | 3   | General Engineering Servicing Workshop, Warangal | Rs 2,96,240-00 |
|        | 4   | General Engineering Servicing Workshop, Samalkot | Rs 84,864-00 |
|        | 5   | General Engineering Workshop, Vijayawada | Rs 1,76,162-00 |
|        | 6   | Sheet Metal Workshop, Uravakonda        | Rs 75,501-00 |
|        | 7   | General Purpose Engineering Workshop, Cuddapah | Rs 30,883-00 |
|        |     | Total                                  | Rs 15,38,650-00 |

<p>| 1972-73| 1   | General Engineering Workshop Samalkot | Rs 1,20,078-00 |
|        | 2   | General Engineering Workshop, Vijayawada | Rs 1,90,913-40 |
|        | 3   | General Engineering Workshop, Warangal | Rs 1,60,022-00 |
|        | 4   | General Engineering Workshop, Visakhapatnam | Rs 15,119-00 |
|        | 5   | General Engineering Workshop, Cuddapah | Rs 8,579-70 |
|        | 6   | Sheet Metal Workshop, Uravakonda        | Rs 1,73,811-75 |
|        | 7   | Furniture Mill, Hyderabad               | Rs 5,34,347-19 |
|        | 8   | Radio Spare Parts &amp; Receivers Manufacturing Unit, Hyderabad | Rs 3,46,418-52 |
|        | 9   | Ceramic &amp; Artware Unit, Hyderabad       | Rs 92,265-01 |
|        | 10  | Bandage &amp; Gauze Cloth Manufacturing Unit, Tadipatri | Rs 19,458-06 |
|        |     | Total                                  | Rs 16,61,012-63 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>General Engineering Workshop, Samalkot</th>
<th>Rs Ps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,60,336-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>General Engineering Workshop, Vijayawada</td>
<td>2,18,842-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>General Engineering Workshop, Warangal</td>
<td>4,82,061-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>General Engineering Workshop, Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>68,000-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>General Engineering Workshop, Cuddapah</td>
<td>1,620-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sheet Metal Workshop, Uravakonda</td>
<td>1,44,956 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Furniture Mill, Hyderabad</td>
<td>5,50,000-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Radio Spare Parts &amp; Receivers Manufacturing Unit, Hyderabad</td>
<td>2,45,000-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ceramic &amp; Artware Unit, Hyderabad</td>
<td>1,00,900-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bandage &amp; Gauze Cloth Manufacturing Unit, Tadipatri</td>
<td>2,11,800-00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 21,83,515-00

What are the working results from 1968?
(a) whether the Government have decided to set apart some of the permits of Inter-State Public Carrier Lorry permits for the educated unemployed in the State.

(b) if so, what is the proportion,

(c) the category of educated unemployed to whom these permits will be issued, and

(d) the number of permits issued already to the educated unemployed?

INTER-STATE TRANSPORT PERMITS TO EDUCATED UNEMPLOYED

* 3519 Q — Sri M Nagi Reddy — Will the hon Minister for Transport be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government have decided to set apart some of the permits of Inter-State Public Carrier Lorry permits for the educated unemployed in the State,

(b) if so, what is the proportion,

(c) the category of educated unemployed to whom these permits will be issued, and

(d) the number of permits issued already to the educated unemployed?
Sri Ch. Venkata Rao (deputised for the Minister for Transport) -

(a) Yes Sir

(b) 25% of the vacancies

(c) These permits will be allotted to the educated unemployed in the following order of preference

(i) Unemployed Engineers

(ii) Unemployed Diploma holders in Automobile Engineering, Mechanical Engineering etc. from the Polytechnics in the State of Andhra Pradesh

(iii) Unemployed Arts and Science Graduates Owning one public carrier

(iv) Others who possess a heavy driving licence

(d) The applications so far received are under process of finalisation and permit be recommended for countersignature to other states have been finalised.

[Signature]
4th July, 1974

Oral Answers to Questions

Do these people come under the category of ‘unemployed graduates?’ There are Commerce Graduates also.

Contraceptive pill

*3580 Q—Sri Vanka Satyanaravna (Penugonda) —Will the hon Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state

(a) whether a contraceptive pill is manufactured from the plants and seeds by the State indigenous Medicine Department, and

(b) whether such a pill manufactured by the Kerala State was tested in our Ayurvedic Units at the direction of the Centre?

The Minister for Health and Medical (Sri K. Rajamallu) —

(a) and (b) No Sir

INSTALLATION OF A SEPARATE THERMAL STATION FOR VISAKHAPATNAM STEEL PLANT

*3447 (W) Q—Sri Nallaparedai Srinivasulreddy (Gudur) —Will the hon Minister for Power be pleased to state,
Oral Answers to Questions 4th July, 1974

(a) whether there is any proposal with the Government to install a separate Thermal Power Station exclusively for steel plant at Visakhapatnam, and

(b) if so, whether coal will be supplied from the coal mines of Andhra Pradesh for functioning of the said Thermal Power Station?

The Minister for Power (Sri G. Rajaram) — (a) No Sir,

(b) Does not arise

Sri G. Rajaram — During the course of discussion at Visakhapatnam, it was assumed that there would be no difficulty for supply of power for the purpose of construction as well as operation of the project. They wanted an assurance from the Government of Andhra Pradesh regarding power supply. We said we would supply at the time of construction and operation also but the question of establishing or constructing a separate station never arose.
NEW THERMAL POWER STATIONS IN ANDHRA PRADESH

695—

(a) whether there are any proposals at present to establish new Thermal Power Stations in Andhra Pradesh, and

(b) if so, where will they be located and when?

Sri G. Rajaram — (a) Yes Sir,

(b) (1) Vijayawada Thermal Power Station which envisages the installation of 2 x 260 MW will be located at Kotha Ibrahim Patnam near Vijayawada and is expected to be commissioned during 1977-78

(2) Kothagudem Thermal Power Station IV Stage which envisages the installation of 2 x 110 MW will be located at Kothagudem Thermal Power Station under IV Stage extension is expected to be commissioned in 1977-78

(3) Kothagudem Thermal Power Station V Stage which envisages the installation of 2 x 200 MW sets will be located at Kothagudem Thermal Power Station under V Stage extension and is included as advance action scheme in V Plan to derive benefits in VI Plan
342 4th July 1974

Oral Answers to Questions

(3) Mr. Ramalingam — Will the Government propose to restore the democratic functioning of the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad, and if so, when the elections will be conducted?

Mr. N. V. R. Reddy — Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government propose to restore the democratic functioning of the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad, and

(b) if so, when the elections will be conducted?

*The Minister for Municipal Administration (Sri Challa Subbarayudu) —(a) Yes, Sir

Restoration of Democratic Functioning of the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad

696—

*3213 Q.—Smt. J. Eshwari Bai and Sri M. Nagi Reddy — Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government propose to restore the democratic functioning of the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad, and

(b) if so, when the elections will be conducted?

*The Minister for Municipal Administration (Sri Challa Subbarayudu) —(a) Yes, Sir
(b) The Government have decided to conduct election to the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad in such a manner as to bring the newly elected council into office on 1-1-1975.

...
344 4th July 1974 Oral Answers to Questions

Sri Srinivas Saiva dasa, A.C. - A.P.C. member. He stated the following.

We will take a decision and we will take the suggestions of the members also.

9-30 a.m

(i) 10.00 a.m. - Shri Rama Prasad Reddy, TDP, spoke on the happens. 1-1.75 crores have been allocated for the construction of the new railway station. If the required tenders are not submitted, the expenditure will be increased. The completion of the project is expected by the end of December. What is the expected expenditure?

(ii) 11.00 a.m. - Shri K. P. V. Ranga Rao, TDP, inquired about the progress of the construction of the new railway station. He sought an assurance from the minister that the project will be completed by the end of the year.

(iii) 11.30 a.m. - Shri K. M. Reddy, TDP, expressed concern about the delay in the construction of the new railway station. He urged the minister to expedite the work and ensure that the project is completed within the stipulated time.
In so far as the Municipal Corporation is concerned, the necessary process is already initiated and I assure that necessary legislation will be passed in this sitting itself and everything will be completed by the end of December.

Necessary process is being completed and it will be done very soon.

Nothing useful will come and there will be no improvement from the existing system.
346  14th July, 1974  Oral Answers to Questions

Mayor does not enjoy the powers of Chairman as envisaged under the Municipal Act. It is the Standing Committee which enjoys the power. If we are going to have direct elections for the Mayor and Chairman, what powers have to be bestowed on them, and from whom will they be bestowed? We are going into a very vital matter of Municipal administration.

Sri Syed Hassan—Sir, keeping in view the previous commitment made by the Minister earlier, I am asking a specific question whether it is a commitment or a proposal that on 1-1-1975 the Corporation would come into existence. Secondly, considering the Minister as straightforward and honest person, I might say that the experiment of the present institution of special officer would have considerably failed but for the present special officer. And the corporation has gone to dogs, is it a fact or not.

Sri Ch. Subbarayudu—The Hon Member is at liberty to come to whatever opinion he likes. But, I know that to-day there is an improvement so far as the municipal resources are concerned. So far as the conduct of elections are concerned, it is a commitment and we have taken a decision to conduct elections by the end of December.
Sri C V K Rao —That is a challenge, Sir. Let them accept.

Greater Hyderabad Development Authority

697—

* 3143 Q — Smt I Eshvari Bai, Sarvasri M Nagi Reddy, and Nallapareddy Srinivasa Reddy —Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state

(a) whether the state Government is contemplating to create a Greater Hyderabad Development Authority for the development of Twin Cities and if so, what will be its composition and functions, and

(b) whether there is any proposal to have similar-bodies for the development of Vijayawada and Visakapatnam towns?

* Sri Ch Subbarayudu —(a) Yes, Sir. A Bill to provide for the planning and development of urban areas in the State, called the Andhra Pradesh Urban Areas (Development) Bill, '974 has been introduced in the House on 25 1-1974 which is now under consideration of the Joint Select Committee.

The proposed Authority consists of members as specified in Clause 8 (3) of the Bill. The functions of the proposed authority are (1) planning and (2) development as specified in Clause 5 of the Bill.

(b) Yes, sir.
Sri Challa Subbarayudu — On the Floor of the House the Members of the Joint Select Committee were announced.

_As the Master Plan crores has been provided for the implementation of the Master Plan in the city and we have made a provision._

_A wherever there is rapid growth and potentialities we can constitute a Development authority whether it is Visakhapatnam, Guntur or Warangal or anywhere._
There is already a move and we are taking necessary measures to shift them to an area near Chaderghat bridge.

Mr Speaker — The Bill is coming up before the House.

Sri Syed Hassan — The Minister has said that the Bill is under consideration of the Select Committee. Is there any possibility of its coming before the House in this Session? After passing of the Bill, is there any time fixed for such boards to come into existence?

Sri Ch Subbarayudu — We want to get through this Bill in this Session itself and we want to go ahead.

Tryout Report of S C E R T

(a) whether the tryout report of New Science and New Mathematics (Pilot Project) has been prepared by the S C E R T,

(b) if so, the findings,

(c) whether it is a fact that the S C E R T has prepared curriculum for the Science collaboration with the Science Academy,

(d) if so, the amount spent on it, and

(e) the reasons for not supplying text books for the V and VIII classes of the Pilot Project so far?

The Minister for Education (Sri M V Krishna Rao) —

(a) Yes, Sir

(b) The overall reaction of the parents, students and Teachers was favourable

(c) The curriculum was prepared by the Andhra Pradesh Academy of Sciences. The Officers of State Council of Educational Research and Training have been named as convenors of the various Syllabus Committee

(d) Rs. 10,384 60

(e) Government Text book Press expressed its inability to print the concerned text books. However, the following text books in the cyclostyled form were supplied to all the Pilot Project schools:

V Class — (1) Maths (Algebra, Geometry and Arithmetic)

VIII Class — Physics, Chemistry and Geometry.
Vocational Courses

(a) whether the Government have formulated any Schemes for starting vocational courses in our Schools on selective basis to provide work experience to the students

(b) if so, the details there of, and

(c) whether any working Group or Cell has been set up in the Education Department to evolve Schemes for making students self-reliant and work-minded?

Sri M V Krishna Rao -(a) Yes, Sir

(b) Under the scheme of the Government of India, the ‘District Education Project-Guntur’ with special reference to vocationalisation of Education and Introduction of work experience, was launched during 1973-74 in the following UP Schools

1 P S U P School, Seetharamapuram Tanda of Piduguralla Panchayat Samithi

2 R C M U P School, Ravipadu of Narasraopet Block

3 P S U P School, Anantavarapadu of Nallapadu Panchayat Samithi

4 R C M U P School, Pedapanmi of Tadikonda Block

5 P S U P School, Hanumanapalem of Emani Panchayat Samithi

6 P S U P School, Atmakur of Mangalagiri Panchayat Samithi,

7 P S U P School, Mulpur of Amratalur Panchayat Samithi

(c) No, Sir

Sri M Narayana Reddy —Whether these schemes for starting vocational courses would be introduced in all the schools and Junior Intermediate Colleges if so, from this year or next year?
Oral Answers to Questions 4th July, 1974

Kitchen gardening, card binding work, wood work

9-20 a.m.
Further Supplementary to Postponed Question Serial No 613 *(310Q)* put by Sri N Venkataratnam (Postponed from 25-6-1974 to 4-7-1974)

Enquiry against Dr D Gopalakrishnamurthy, Superintendent of T B Hospital, Visakhapatnam

It may be stated that the allegations against Dr D Gopalakrishnamurthy, formerly Superintendent of T B Hospital, Visakhapatnam, since expired are as follows

That the Officer on 16-10-971 demanded and received Rs 100/- from Sri Chiruta Apparao of Visakhapatnam for admitting Bobbidi Narayana Rao as an inpatient in T B Hospital, Visakhapatnam as the first instalment against the demanded bribe of Rs 300. The officer was successfully trapped by the A C B while accepting illegal gratification.

(2) That he demanded and received gratification for admitting patients in T B Hospital, Visakhapatnam in the following instances

(i) about 2 or 3 days prior to 8-7-71 Rs 50/- from Sri Godavari Ratnarao of Visakhapatnam

(ii) on 11-8-1971 Rs 100 from Sri Routhu Appalaswami of Gollapalem of Visakhapatnam district

(iii) on 25-8-1971 Rs 100 from Yerragadda Sanyasi Rao of Venkataramanapeta, Srinarikota taluq, Visakhapatnam District

(iv) on 25-8-1971 Rs 100 from Sri Moradala Venugopala Rao of Marripalem of Visakhapatnam District

In this case, misappropriation of funds are not involved. The two allegations against the officer relate to corruption. As such, the question of confiscating the properties of the officer does not arise.
Demolition of Unauthorised Constructions in Twin Cities

699 - A

*4792-A Q —Sri Sultan Salauddin Owaisi (Yakutpura) —Will the hon Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state

(a) Whether it is a fact that the State Government has directed the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad to launch any special drive to demolish the unauthorised constructions in twin cities,

(b) Whether the Government is aware of the situation created by the Corporation with this campaign and the hardships being faced by the weaker sections, backward classes and minorities, and

(c) Whether the Government will consider the need to provide alternative accommodation for construction of hutments?

*Sri Challa Subbarayudu —(a) No Sir, the Government in November, 1971, have requested the Special Officer, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad to ensure that the constructions are made only according to the approved plan by the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad and no unauthorised construction on the land belonging to or vested in the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad or the Government take place,

(b) The Government are aware of the implications involved in the unauthorised constructions made at the owner’s risk and at the instigations of organised groups with specific idea of illegally occupying open space

(c) The question of rehabilitating the displaced hut dwellers is a policy depending upon the availability of the land in the cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad, funds etc
**Sri Challa Subbarayudu —Sir, he is making wild allegations. There is no question of Muslim or Hindu for us. Unauthorised construction has been taking place recently and we have to take action. They are occupying the Government land, the Government of India land, the Housing Board land. This is being done now. We are not discriminating between Hindu and Muslim.**

9:30 am

Sri Challa Subbarayudu —We are not to tolerate anybody and further he said I am not taking actions in Guntur area. I am taking not only in Hyderabad, Vijayawada and all other places. We have demolished so many huts. We are taking firm action in dealing with the unauthorised construction in an organised way.
Sri Challa Subbarayudu — Certainly I am prepared to place the list Members should not encourage such things I request the Co-operative of the Members in regard to the authorised
construction

Sri Syed Hasan — Sir this is after all a humanitarian point You have to consider it on humanitarian grounds You should not be sentimental in this regard It is a fact that some of the huts or hutments have come up on the Municipal or Housing Board land There is no doubt about it Most of the construction is on the private land they are all small hutments It is the first and foremost duty of the Government to provide Houses Due to some financial reasons the Government is not able to provide housing facilities to them Most of them are poor people like rickshaw pullers etc They have nothing to fall back upon and I request that this sort of construction may be regularised It should not be demolished at this moment when the monsoon had already set At the same time the Government also should be strict in allowing construction of multi-storied buildings without following the set back rules The other day I have given a specific instance One multi-storied building has come up opposite to Grammar School, and also Godrej company has been allowed to encroach even the foot-paths On the contrary, John company people have been demanded Rs 10,000 for the grant of permission

Sri Challa Subbarayudu — Of course he rightly said it We are demolishing at a number of places all the unauthorised constructions throughout the city and wherever it is possible and feasible we are regularising and at the same time we are providing the necessary amenities so far as the road and other things We are doing so The unauthorised constructions are springing up recently and naturally we have to take action One thing is we have to solve it It is already there since long The second one is, how to prevent the unauthorised construction We want to regularise the old construction

40—4
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Sri P. Kishan Rao — Will the Government go into the causes which force the persons to take up unauthorised constructions and to stop red-tapism Will the Government remove such hindrances and delays in giving permission? Will the Government consider to collect betterment charges from individuals and provide drainage facilities to stop developing slums I was also a victim and I have paid a compounding fees of Rs. 500 to the Municipality due to the delays in permission

Sri Challa Subbarayudu — So far as the delays are concerned we are taking necessary action I have received a number of complaints and we are taking necessary action in that respect.

Sri Challa Subbarayudu — He is not making a distinction between the unauthorised constructions in all the places. We want to take firm action wherever there is unauthorised construction. We want to do in an organised way.

Mr. Sultan Salim Ali Ayesha — Mr. Rao has presented a number of complaints to the Municipality and to the P.W. Department. He has also presented a number of complaints to the Municipality and to the P.W. Department. He has also presented a number of complaints to the Municipality and to the P.W. Department. He has also presented a number of complaints to the Municipality and to the P.W. Department. He has also presented a number of complaints to the Municipality and to the P.W. Department.
Written Answers to Questions, 4th July, 1974

Sri Challa Subbarayudu —Sir, if the Member has information of any specific instance, he may pass it on to me. I will certainly look into it. With regard to Zeera locality etc., and the locality where he says Sri Rahmat Ali has visited, I am prepared to answer, if a specific question is put.

Smt Sarojam Pulla Reddy (Malakpet) —Mr Speaker, Sir, it is heartening as far as I see, that there is not much corruption in Hyderabad Section of Municipality where Mr. Sastry is there. But Sri P V Narasimha Rao, has been brought from Secretariat, and there is much corruption. I have told about Mr. Subramanyam also who is at Secunderabad. Is the Minister going to take action?

Sri Challa Subbarayudu —If specific cases are brought to my notice, certainly I will look into and take necessary action.

Smt Sarojam Pulla Reddy —This matter has already been brought to his notice.

Sri Challa Subbarayudu —She knows that some action is being taken as far as Secunderabad is concerned.

Smt Sarojam Pulla Reddy —It is nothing but a corrupt department. I would request the hon Speaker to help us by asking the Government to take suitable action.

Sri Challa Subbarayudu —The Members are at liberty to discuss these and other matters in the Advisory Committee. We do not want to stand in the way.

Mr Speaker —Now the questions are over.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS
(Unstarred Questions)

Illegal felling of trees in the forest of Chityala Range

2028-A Q —Sri B Ramasarma, —Will hon the Chief Minister be pleased to state
358 4th July, 1974 Written Answers to Questions

(a) whether the Government have received any representations stating that Sri Narasimha Ready and Sri G Viswanatham, contractors in Chityala Range, Devarakonda taluk, Nalgonda district are illegally felling trees in the forest and selling the timber besides running coal-stills without the permission of the Government,

(b) if so, whether the Government have sent an officer to enquire into the matter,

(c) the quantum of timber and coal seized and the value of the same, and

(d) whether the Government have launched prosecutions against the said contractors and also punishment proceedings against the Range Officer responsible for his collusion?

A —

(a) Yes, Sir

(b) Yes, Sir

(c) Twenty cart loads of fuel was seized and, an amount of Rs 600 as ‘C’ fee was collected. No charcoal was seized.

(d) The accused preferred compounding of the cases and paid the ‘C’ fee as fixed by the Department. Action is being taken against the subordinate responsible.

Strengthening of Regulator at Thotapalli

893—

2767 Q—Sri V Narasimha Rao —Will hon the Chief Minister be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that Thotapalli regulator in Parvathipuram taluk, Srikakulam district, is 100 years old and it was suggested by the then engineers to construct new one or to renovate it,

(b) if so, whether the Government have any proposal to strengthen the regulator with new changes, and

(c) if not the reasons thereof?

A —

(a) The Thotapalli Regulator was constructed in 1908. There was no such suggestion as indicated.

(b) and (c) There is no proposal to strengthen the existing regulator. However, a proposal to form a reservoir across Nagavali river near Thotapalli to store more water and bring in more ayacut is under consideration is yet to be taken up.

Dam across Peddavanka of Yama anipalle

894—

3510 Q—Sri D Venkatesam —Will hon the Chief Minister be pleased to state

(a) whether it is not a fact that ryots of Yamaganipalle, Kuppam taluk, Chittoor district have constructed a small Dam across Peddavanka of Yamaganipalle,
(b) the present extent of cultivation that is being cultivated by the aid water,
(c) the extent of extra cultivation that can be brought under cultivation, if an ancil is provided on the said Peddavanka, and
(d) whether any investigation was conducted for the same area and if so, the results thereon?

A —
(a) Yes, Sir
(b) 12.96 acres only
(c) Due to deficit yield at subbasin No 4 of Palar basin, no extra ayacut can be proposed
(d) Preliminary investigation has been done and the proposal is found not feasible, since it will affect the lower riparian rights.

Execution of Vamsadhara Left Canal

3685 Q — Sri K A N Bhukta — Will hon the Chief Minister be pleased to state
(a) whether the execution of Vamsadhara Left Canal started,
(b) if so, whether the execution is done Departmentally or tenders have been called for, and
(c) the stage of the matter?

A —
(a) Yes, Sir,
(b) The work of excavation of Vamsadhara Left Canal in the reaches from M 22/0 to M 22/4 has been done departmentally and tenders have been called for, for reaches from M 0/0 830 to M 5/0
(c) Tenders have been approved for the reaches from M 0/0 330 to M 1/0, M 2/0 to M 3/0 and M 8/0 to M 4/0 and the Chief Engineer (Medium Irrigation) has been asked to call for fresh tenders for the reaches from M 1/0 to 2/0 and M 4/0 to 5/0

Formation of a new tank at Narayudupalem Hamlet

3648 Q — Sr. P V Ramana — Will hon the Chief Minister be pleased to state
(a) whether the Government have received a representation, dated 22nd October, 1974 from Sri P V Ramana, M L A, regarding the formation of a new tank at Narayudupalem, hamlet of Patipalli Anakapalli taluk, Visakhapatnam district for the benefit of marginal farmers, and
(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

A (a) No, Sir
(b) Does not arise
Representation from certain Gram Panchayats for conduct of Bye-elections

897—

3672 Q—Sri M Omkar—Will hon the Chief Minister be pleased to state

(a) whether any representation was received by the Government on behalf of the members of the Grampanchayat, Budharaopet and Bhupatapet in connection with the conduction bye-election of the said Gram Panchayats by the Executive Officer Panchayat of Panchayat Samithi, Gudur in Warangal district,

(b) whether any enquiry was conducted in this regard, and

(c) if so, the result of the enquiry?

A—(a) Yes, Sir

(b) Yes, Sir

(c) The allegations were not correct

Minor Irrigation Scheme on Deyyalavanka in Kuppam Taluk

898—

3771 Q—Sri D Venkatesam—Will hon the Chief Minister be pleased to state

(a) whether any investigation has been made for a minor irrigation scheme on Deyyalavanka of Nugulkunta of Kuppam taluk, Chittoor district and

(b) if so, the results thereof and when the work would be taken up?

A—(a) Yes, Sir Preliminary investigation was done for formation of a new tank across Deyyalakunta near Nugulakunta in Kuppam taluk, Chittoor district

(b) In view of loan return of 0.2% as against 0.5% prescribed the Executive Engineer has recommended to drop the same

Minor Irrigation Scheme on Chinneru in Kuppam Taluk

899—

3774 Q—Sri D Venkatesam—Will hon the Chief Minister be pleased to state

(a) whether any investigation has been made on Chinneru and Godderu for a Minor Irrigation Scheme at Gundalamadugu in Kuppam taluk, Chittoor district, and

(b) if so, the result thereof?

A—(a) Yes, Sir

(b) The proposal has been dropped, as there is no sufficient yield and as no land is reported to be available for proposing any ayacut
Investigation on Pilar in Kuppam Taluk

900—

3779 Q—Sri D Venkatesam—Will hon the Chief Minister be pleased to state

(a) whether any investigation has been made on Pilar in Kuppam taluk, Chittoor district for strengthening Girivanayya Oddu, and diverting the water to Mullur Krishnapuram tank and also to Vijulapuram Finta, and

(b) if so, the results thereof?

A —(a) Yes, Sir

(b) The Full Tank Level of Mullur Krishnapuram tank is 10215 Metres higher than the crest level of Oddu. The levels do not permit the diversion of water to the tank. The yield at addu is not enough even for the ayacut under the addu, hence it is proposed to drop the scheme.

Auction of Abkari Shops in Nalgonda district

901—

3973 Q—Sri B Rama Sarma—Will hon the Chief Minister be pleased to state

(a) the amount of revenue derived by the Government through the auction of abkari shops in Nalgonda district in the years 1972-73 and 1973-74

(b) the amount of revenue derived through the auction of arrack shops in 1972-73 and 1973-74

(c) the number of date palm trees and the various toddy shops in 1972-73 and 1973-74,

(d) whether the increase has increased or decreased in accordance with the number of trees allotted to the various shops,

(e) whether the Government are aware of the fact that the abkari contractors have been indulging in the sale of adulterated toddy due to the increase in the bidding amount, and

(f) if so, the action taken against the various such contractors?

A —(a) Rs 1,12,40,371-06 and Rs 1,42,82,004-72 respectively

(b) Rs 54,31,317-73 and Rs 76,85,315-76 respectively

(c) The number of trees allotted 1972-73 1,03,776 date trees, 2,5,094 palm trees, 1973 74 29,191 date trees 2,50,391 palm trees

(d) The income has increased

(e) A few cases of adulteration of toddy have been brought to the notice of Government

(f) Frequent checks are being conducted at shops and topees and samples of toddy are drawn. Whenever adulteration is proved in chemical analysis action is being taken to prosecute the offenders in the Court of Law and also to cancel their licences.
Assignment of land by creating false pattas in Nellore district

902—

3991 Q—Sri N Venkataratnam Naidu—Will hon the Chief Minister be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the village officials of Chittaluru village Rapur taluk Nellore district assigned land by creating false pattas in the names of officials etc of other villages, thereby depriving the landless poor of the said village of the land,

(b) whether the landless poor and others of the said village submitted representations to the District Revenue Officer of Nellore, Revenue Divisional Officer and Tahsildar regarding the said matter, and

(c) if so, the act on taken thereon?

A —

(a) to (c) One Sri Yeiduluru Venkataramaiah of Chittaluru village sent a petition to the District Revenue Officer, Nellore, through Sri N Venkatasa’nam Naidu M L A , alleging the grant of pattas to Government officials and village officials, Chitalur village. Excepting the said petition no other petitions or representations making allegations as referred to in the L A Q were received. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Nellore personally enquired into the matter. He reported that no false pattas were issued by the village officials in the names of officials etc., of other villages depriving the landless poor persons of the village. He has, however, pointed out certain irregularities in the matter of assignment of lands in Chitalur village. It is reported that in the case of these irregular assignments, steps are being taken to cancel the pattas granted.

Construction of Anicut Across Pakodeelagedda

908—

4033 Q—Shri P Sanyasi Rao—Will hon the Chief Minister be pleased to state

(a) whether any estimates have been prepared for Pakodeelagedda near Devada village in Visakhapatnam taluk and

(b) the extent of land that will come under wet cultivation if an anicut is constructed across the said gedda?

A —

(a) No, Sir

(b) Does not arise.

Interception of Lorries Carrying Rice to Madras by District Revenue Officer, Cuddapah

904—

4185 Q—Sri A Sreeramulu—Will hon the Chief Minister be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the District Revenue Officer, Cuddapah intercepted six lorries carrying rice and proceeding to Madras in March 1974,
(b) if so, whether the rice bags have been seized and a case was registered against the smugglers, and
(c) whether the District Revenue Officer has since been transferred?
A —
(a) No Sir
(b) Does not arise
(c) Yes, Sir

Profits of Transport Department During 1972-73

905 —
4003 Q Sri N. Venkatiah — Will the hon Minister for Transport be pleased to state

(a) whether the Transport Department earned abnormal profits during the year 1972-73,
(b) the amount of income derived per mile during 1971-72 and 1972-73 respectively, and
(c) the number of buses in use during 1971-72 and 1972-73 respectively and the amount of expenditure incurred per bus towards repairs during 1971-72 and 1972-73 respectively?
A (a) No Sir On the other hand the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation incurred a loss of Rs 13.51 lakhs during the financial year 1972-73 due to cancellation of Services owing to the disturbed conditions due to revision of Pay Scales
(b) Rs 133 75 paise per kilometre during 1971-72 and Rs 148 93 paise per kilometer during 1972-73
(c) The average number of buses in use during the year 1971-72 and 1972-73 was 2,409 and 2,612 respectively No separate account is maintained for repairs alone However the total cost incurred during the years on repairs and maintenance of a bus per annum and per bus per kilometre is as shown below,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cost per bus (Rs)</th>
<th>Cost per km (Paise)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>17 556 59</td>
<td>21 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-73</td>
<td>183 66 38</td>
<td>24 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Implementation of Cluster Scheme in the Girijan Areas, Kothagudem

906 —
3986 Q Sri V Subba Rao — Will the hon the Minister for Power be pleased to state

Whether there is any proposal for implementing the Cluster Scheme in the Girijan areas of Illendu, Burgumpadu and Kothagudem taluks of Khammam District?
A —
(a) Cluster Scheme covering 37 villages (printed as Appendix at P 9) in the tribal belt of Burgampad taluk, Khammam
The scheme will be forwarded to the Rural Electrification Corporation shortly for sanction of Loan assistance. Instructions have also been issued by the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board to the field officers to explore possibilities of formulating cluster schemes for the balance in electrified villages in Kothagudem and Yaliendu taluks of Khammam district.

Conduct of Elections to Narasaraopet Municipality

907—

2309 Q —Sri D Krishna Reddy — Will the hon Miniser for Municipal Administration be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that elections to the Narasaraopet Municipality, Guntur district have not been held for the last 10 years

(b) if so, when are the elections likely to be conducted to the said Municipality, and

(c) whether the elections will be conducted next time after reconstituting the wards basing on the census of 1971?

A —

(a) Yes, Sir

(b) The question of holding elections to the said Municipality is under active consideration of the Government

(c) Yes, Sir The Question of holding Elections to the said Municipality is under active consideration of the Government

(c) Yes, Sir

Inclusion of Malkapuram Village in Visakhapatnam Municipality

908—

7072 Q —Shri P Sanyasi Rao — Will the hon Miniser for Municipal Administration be pleased to state

(a) the year in which Malkapuram village has been included in Visakhapatnam Municipality,

(b) the number of main roads to the said village and the number of times roads have been repaired,

(c) if they are not repaired, the reasons therefor, and

(d) when estimates have been prepared for said roads?

A —

(a) Malkapuram village was included in Visakhapatnam Municipality with effect from 1st April, 1960

(b) The number of roads in the said village is 36. The repairs to the roads are attended to as and when they are found defective

(c) Does not arise, Sir
(d) when estimates have been during the year 1969-70 to 1973-74. But no estimates were prepared for the year 1966-67, 1967-68 and 1968-69

OVER BRIDGE ON PALAR RIVER IN CHITTOOR DISTRICT

909—
3512 Q—Sri D Venkatesham—Will the hon Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal before the Government to construct an over bridge on Palar River at 10th Mile on Kuppam-Chittoor Road,

(b) if so, whether any estimate has been prepared in this regard, and

(e) when the said work will be taken up?

A —
(a) No, Sir
(b) and (c) Do not arise in view of answer to (a) above

P W D GUEST HOUSE AT KUPPAM

910—
3767 Q—Sri D Venkatesam—Will the hon Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal before the Government to construct a Public Works Department Guest House at Kuppam in Chittoor, and

(b) whether it is not a fact that there is no Travellers Bungalow at Kuppam except 2 suites of Zilla Parishad, Chittoor

A —
(a) There was a proposal to construct an Inspection Bungalow at Kuppam in Chittoor district and not a Guest House, and it was deferred due to paucity of funds

(b) There is a Travellers Bungalow with two suites at Kuppam under the control of the Zilla Parishad, Chittoor

ACQUISITION OF LAND FOR HIGHWAY

911—
4080 Q—Sri P Sanyasi Rao—Will the hon Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have permitted for the acquisition of the land situated in between New Colony of Visakhapatnam Municipalitiy and Thiruchetlapalem for laying a highway,

(b) whether orders have been issued for its acquisition,

(c) if so, when,

(d) the reason for the delay in acquiring the same till now, and
(e) by what time the highway will be laid?

A —

(a) The land for laying the highway bye-pass at Visakhapatnam was already acquired near new colony and Thatchelapalem. Therefore, the question of Government permitting acquisition of land does not arise.

(b), (c) and (d) Do not arise in view of answer to clause (a).

(e) There are certain encroachments on the land and action is being taken by the Chief Engineer (National Highways and Administration) for their eviction. The road will be laid as soon as the encroachments are evicted.

APPENDIX

(Vide answer to question No 3986 at S No 906)

List of villages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SI No</th>
<th>Name of the village</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Chintriyal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Aswapuram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Gondigudem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Amarda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Annagaripalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Kummarigudem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pamulapalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Chinnavarigudem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mangur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Santsingaram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Anantharam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Annaram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ramanujavaram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Bayyaram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Uppaka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Pinapaka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Potlapalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Bommarajapalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Gaddapalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Samatbhatpalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Janampet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Duggenapalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Bhimavaram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Singireddipalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Damarserla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Cheeravalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Madharam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Vinjaram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Kondapalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Kivvaka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Kuknoor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Dacharam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Gummagudem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Ramachandrapuram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Rudramkoda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Repakagomma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Thatkur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Chineriyal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Aswapuram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Gondigudem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Amarda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Annagaripalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Kummarigudem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Pamulapalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Chinnavarigudem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Mangur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Santsingaram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Anantharam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Annaram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Ramanujavaram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Bayyaram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Uppaka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Pinapaka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Potlapalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Bommarajapalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Gaddapalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Samatbhatpalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Janampet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Duggenapalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Bhimavaram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Singireddipalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Damarserla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Cheeravalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Madharam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Vinjaram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Kondapalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Kivvaka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Kuknoor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Dacharam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Gummagudem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Ramachandrapuram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Rudramkoda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Repakagomma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Thatkur</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sir, the buses have been set on fire, have the Government
Matter under Rule 341

re Statement of a member in the Council that "in the Assembly, the Opposition has been completely enslaved by the Chief Minister"

Mr Speaker — Please resume your seat. This is not the way you cannot raise issues like this, without the prior permission of the Chair.

Matter under Rule 341

re Statement of a Member in the Council that 'in the Assembly the opposition has been completely enslaved by the Chief Minister'

In the Indian Herald, it has appeared

"In the Assembly, the Opposition has been completely enslaved by the Chief Minister"

Mr Speaker — You have raised a point about something which has appeared in a Newspaper, and this you have brought to my notice. First of all, we will have to get the report verified, whether or not the reporting was correct.

Second thing is, normally, we do not indulge in comments on the proceedings of the other House. Similar practice was followed when I was the Chairman of Council. First let me ascertain what exactly the Member had said and how it has been reported, I will write to the Chairman. If it is a matter to be raised I shall certainly permit. Let us not go into details just now.

In what context it was said, if at all it had been said, let us ascertain, and obtain the correct report. You have already written to draw the attention of the Chairman.

Mr Speaker — Whatever it is, let there be no comments, till I get the correct report.
CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re ILLEGAL COLLECTION OF ANNUAL MINIMUM GUARANTEE (POWER TARIFF) FROM AGRICULTURAL CONSUMERS

[Text in Telugu script]
Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance
re Illegal collection of annual minimum guarantee (Power Tariff) from agricultural consumers

4th July, 1974
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* Catting attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance re Illegal collection of annual minimum guarantee (Power Tariff) from agricultural consumers

9-50 a.m.

[Text continues with a detailed discussion of the issue, including dates and possible actions related to the collection of annual minimum guarantee.]
8T0 4th July, 1974

Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public importance re Illegal collection of annual minimum guarantee (Power Tariff) from agricultural consumers.
Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance

re Illegal collection of annual minimum guarantee (Power Tariff) from agricultural consumers

Sri G. Rajaram —Due to drought conditions prevailing throughout the State during the years 1968-69, 1971-72 and 1972-73 the collection of shortfall of annual Minimum Guarantee in respect of L T Agricultural Consumers has been waived. In order to give further relief to the Agricultural Consumers the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board in B PM No. 602, Dated 7-10-1972 ordered that the period in respect of Agricultural Consumers who had given agreements prior to reduction of the period of five years be reduced by one year limiting to the end of 10/72 instead of end of 10/73. It was also ordered that the Agricultural Consumers who were allowed to enter into agreement for 10 years prior to 31-1-1968 could reduce the contracted loads to connected load after 11-1972.

2. Neither specific instances in respect of which these orders have not been implemented nor the illegal collections of Annual Minimum Guarantee have been brought to the notice of Electricity Board or Government. If specific cases are brought to notice, necessary action will be taken.

3. However, due to severe drought prevailing in the State the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board waived the shortfall of Annual Minimum Guarantee due from the L T Agricultural Consumers for the year 1968-69. Annual Minimum Guarantee due from the L T Agricultural Consumers for the year 1969-70 was also ordered to be waived fully or partly as the case may be in the area in which land revenue remission was sanctioned by the Government fully or partly on account of drought or other natural calamities. Besides these, in view of the continued drought conditions the shortfall of Annual Minimum Guarantee due for subsequent years 1971-72 and 1972-73 was also waived. The collection of arrears of shortfall of Annual Minimum Guarantee if any for the year 1969-70 and 1970-71 was postponed from time to time and the last postponement was up to May, 1974.

4. As for the Annual minimum Guarantee for the year 1973, several consumers represented to the Board that due to the imposition of restrictions on consumption of Electricity the shortfall of Annual Minimum Guarantee for the year 1973 (Calendar Year) be waived. The matter is under examination of the Board. Pending decision on this, however, instructions have been issued by the Board on 17-8-1974 not to disconnect supply to L T Agricultural Consumers in the State on grounds of non-payment of shortfall of Annual Minimum Guarantee for the Calendar Year 1973.

5. In view of action already taken by the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board it does not appear necessary for Government to issue any further instructions in the matter.
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Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance re Decision of the Osmania University not to conduct matriculation examination in future

Mr Speaker — It is obvious there is no publicity for this. Nobody knows about these things. I think there should be more publicity about the decision of the Government.

Sri G. Rajaram — I will see that this G. O. is sent to all Panchayat Samithis, Zilla Parishads and other places.

Sri Ch. Kasarah — The Electricity Board has lot of public relations. You can also publish it in the press.

Sri G. Rajaram — I will see that it is published in the press also.

(11) Re Decision of the Osmania University not to conduct Matriculation Examination in future

Sri Syed Hasan — Sir, the Osmania University has taken a decision that the Matriculation be abolished after the last examination proposed to be held in September or October. It is a very serious matter. About 10,000 students and 10,000 parents would be involved and they would be affected by this decision. Two other universities of our State are still continuing this examination, viz., Andhra, Venkateswara Universities. Of course, from the Government side it would be said that those who would be deprived can appear for S.S.C. examination. But there is vast difference between the S.S.C. and the Osmania Matriculation. The minimum age limit for Matriculation is 14 years whereas for S.S.C. it is 16 years. Secondly
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after passing the 7th class, one has to wait for years to appear for S.S.C whereas immediately after passing the 7th class one could appear for Osmania Matriculation. Thirdly, Telugu is a compulsory subject for S.S.C examination and to enable those who would like to get admission into professional courses after completing the minimum standard laid down by the Government for getting admission into those courses, it is but necessary that the Osmania Matriculation examination be retained

The financial condition of the Osmania University as we know is deplorable and this examination would naturally give finances to the University and abolition of this examination would deprive it of the finances which they could get by collecting the examination fees from 10,000 students. Another point is that on account of the abolition of this examination those who want to appear for the Matriculation examination would have to go to other universities where such examinations are being conducted. This involves a heavy expenditure for poor people, at the rate of Rs. 250 to 300 per head.

Education is a dry subject to those who are less interested in it, unfortunately those who are at the helm of affairs are least interested in what exactly should be done for education and what is being done in educational matters Osmania University consists of politicians who are least interested in the growth of the country and of the future generations. Therefore I would request the Government to take this matter seriously. A reply would perhaps be given that it is an autonomous body, but we pay from our State exchequer, we pay heavily to the University and as such we have a right to interfere when there are malpractices in the administration. I request the Government to see that the examination would not stop and that it would continue.

10-11 a.m.
Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance

re Decision of the Osmania University not to conduct Matriculation examination in future

Sri Syed Hassan —Sir, can it be told in English so that I may follow?

Sri M V Krishna Rao —The State Government have permitted men and women candidates to appear for the SSC examinations by private study. The Osmania University have therefore decided to discontinue the Osmania Matriculation Examinations from March-April 1976. They, however, took a decision to permit fresh candidates to appear for the X class Osmania Matriculation examinations to be held in September-October, 1974. Two more examinations will also be conducted, one in March or April in 1975 and another in September or October, 1975 for the failed candidates only.

Sri Syed Hassan —Before I say something, I would like to get some clarification—Whether these two examinations are the same or different.

Sri M V Krishna Rao —For failed candidates two more examinations will also be conducted.

Sri Syed Hassan —Sir, you may kindly explain to him in Telugu so that

Mr Speaker —No, no. The examinations as they existed will be continued till 1976.

Sri Syed Hassan —No, Sir. My question was the difference between the SSC examination and Matriculation examination. Is it the same matriculation and SSC examination or there is difference as I explained in my explanation in the beginning. If it is so that I will be saying.

Sri M V Krishna Rao —Sir, we will examine that.

Sri Syed Hassan —What is that, Sir?

Mr Speaker —He does not know at the moment. That is what it means.

Sri Syed Hassan —This shows that it is a dry subject.

Mr Speaker —Dry or wet, that is different.

Sri Syed Hassan —And the Minister is least interested in this. Whatever was written, he has read out without understanding. It is very sorry affair and it is deplorable also. There is lot of difference between these two examinations. The University has decided and the Government has decided. If this is to be the answer because of the strength of the party, it is deplorable and no doubt the Minister has
to look into this whether the 10,000 people and their families are being deprived and affected or not.

Mr Speaker —Mr Hassan he will examine it and make a statement on this about the difference.

POINT OF ORDER
re Delay in laying papers on the Table

Sri C V K Rao —Sir, yesterday, I raised a point that the papers that were laid on the table, were one year old and they have been laid on the Table throwing to the wind the provisions.

Mr Speaker —Yes, yes. Let us ask the Minister. Let him explain, why it was delayed.

Sri G Rajaram —Sir, in regard to G O Ms No 36, Revenue, dated 10-1-1974 amending the Andhra Pradesh General Sales Tax Rules that was placed on the Table of the House. I have to submit that the amendment was issued to Rule 63 to the Andhra Pradesh General Sales Tax Rules, 1957. They have been published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette, dated 7th March, 1974. Printed copies of the extract have been received from the Government Press only on 19-6-1974. Immediately action has been taken to place on the table of the House. The printed copies have not been received during March, 1974.

Mr Speaker —What is it? You please read.

Sri G Rajaram —As printed copies have not been received during March, 1974, they could not be placed on the Table of the House in the last meeting of the Assembly in March, 1974 because that was published in March, 1974 in the Gazette dated 7th March. As the Session in March was very short, this could not be attended to. After that this is the Session. So, immediately action was taken.

Mr Speaker —It was issued on 10th January, 1974 and you are saying that they were not printed till March.

Sri G Rajaram —Sir, the notification was issued in G O Ms No 36, Revenue, dated 10th January, 1974 amending the Act. They have been published in the A P Gazette dated 7th March, 1974. Printed copies of extracts of rules have been received from the Government Press only on 19-6-1974. In this respect there is no delay. I think.

As regards the other G O Ms No 621, Revenue, dated 12th July, 1973, it was published in the A P Gazette dated 16-8-1973. Printed copies of the G O were received in October, 1973, when there was no Session and the Presidential rule was in existence and vogue. After that there was a short time March session.

Mr Speaker —And then (Laughter)
Mr Speaker —That is right

Sri G Rajaram —After the receipt of the printed copies in January, 1974 the Assembly was in Session and in March, 1974 for a short period there was Session. As the duration of the Budget session is fairly long and the statutory period of 14 days could be covered in the Budget session, it was considered that it will be convenient to place the copy of the G O on the Table of the House in the current budget session. However, Sir, I will assure that in future all such copies will be placed on the Table of the House immediately as far as possible and after they are published.

Sri C V K Rao —It is a total flouting of the responsibility involved on a Minister with regard to the presentation of papers on this Floor of the House. And now if a G O was issued on 10-7-1974 what was it in the way of the Government being bound by rules and provisions and an obligation not to place it after the issue of the paper?

The Government itself is functioning in such a reckless manner. 10-20 am, he said it was published on 7th March, 1974. When once it is published, it becomes common property of the entire public who can purchase the Gazette. Before its publication, it is the duty of the Government to place it before the House. This shows in which manner the Minister is presenting this matter before the House. There are several instances where the highest executive was flouted. When your predecessor was there, in regard to submission of Annual Report of the Electricity Board, he hauled them up and that was the historic decision.

Under the Rules, you please see Rule 383, "Treatment of papers laid on the Table — (1) A paper or document laid on the Table shall be duly authenticated by the member presenting it. Member presenting it is the Chief Minister and it is not duly authenticated and it also is a breach of privilege.

In regard to second G O dated 1st July, 1973 there were already three Sessions took place and the Minister did not place the paper on the Table of the House. He says that there was short duration. Perhaps he wants a busy session for presentation.

Sri G Rajaram —It was published on 16th August.

Sri C V K Rao —It is a deliberate flouting of the provisions. Therefore, the Minister has committed a breach of privilege. According to Rule 194, "Question of privilege—A member may, with the consent of the Speaker, raise a question involving a breach of privilege either of a member or of the House or of a Committee thereof." Therefore, it is a breach of privilege of the House. The rights and privileges of the House have got to be protected. Here the right of privilege of the House is involved. It is the bounden duty of the Minister to place the paper before the House at the appropriate time. He has not honoured that.
Delay in laying papers on the Table

Therefore, the hon Minister has committed a breach of privilege.

Sri G Rajaram — It is very uncharitable on the part of hon ble Sri C V K Rao. I am sorry he is unable to make distinction between the issuing of the GO and publishing of the GO in the Gazette. There is difference between them. GO Ms No 36, Revenue was issued on 10-1-1974 and published in the Gazette on 7th March, 1974. After publication only, it becomes the public property. Immediately after the receipt,

Mr Speaker — What about the other one?

Sri G Rajaram — About other one, this was issued on 12-7-1973 and published on 16-7-1973 and on either of these days, the Assembly was not in Session.

Mr Speaker — The Assembly was in Session in January. Why it was not placed in January, 1974.

Sri G Rajaram — The copies were received and after the receipt of them, as I was referring there are short periods.

Mr Speaker — What does it matter? Whether short session or not we have transacted very important business.

Sri G Rajaram — I am sorry, Sir. However, it will be ensured that copies will be placed on the Table of the House immediately in the following Session.

Mr Speaker — Any way, I will have to tell the House that here is the Minister who has raised a privilege motion on such a delay when he was not a Minister and when he became the Minister, Mr Rajaram himself. (Laughter) Not only this Minister, I appeal to all the Ministers to please make note of this. These lapses are happening. Let there be no such thing in future. Certainly such matters had to be viewed seriously. I hope this will satisfy hon Mr C V K Rao.

Sri C V K Rao — I do not question your observation. But here is the Minister who sitting on this side, raised the privilege motion. You will appreciate my viewpoint. After all, when a man becomes a Minister, he forgets.

Mr Speaker — Times change but rules would not change.
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VOTING OF DEMANDS FOR GRANTS FOR 1974-75

Demand No VII—Land Revenue—Rs 7,50,48,000
Demand No VIII—Stamps & Registration—Rs 1,42,07,000
Demand No X—Commercial Taxes Administration Rs 2,18,01,000
Demand No XXVI—Civil Supplies Administration Rs 2,89,49,000
Demand No XXXII—Administration of Religious Endowments Rs 88,74,000
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Let them go to districts, or let them be in departments
Seniority should not be the counter-productive

40—7
8th July, 1974

Voting of Demands for grants for 1974-75

The House was adjourned. It is proposed that the House meet on the 12th day of February, 1975.

The Secretary informed that the votes were taken and recorded.

The meeting was adjourned.

[Signatures]

The Secretary

[Date]

[Place]
Voting of Demands for grants for 4th July, 1974
1974-75

[Text not clearly legible]
Voting of Dehends for grants for 1974-75

382 4th July, 1974

During the last few weeks, the demands for grants for 1974-75 have been under consideration in the Assembly. A total of 11 bills have been brought to the floor, covering a wide range of subjects. The government has been responsive to the demands of the people, and has allocated funds accordingly. The details of the bills and the grants have been discussed in the Assembly, and the members have expressed their views.

The bills cover various sectors, including education, health, agriculture, and infrastructure. The government has tried to balance the demands of all parties, and has aimed to provide funding for essential services.

In conclusion, the government is committed to addressing the needs of the people and has made significant efforts to allocate funds where they are most needed. The members of the Assembly have also played a crucial role in ensuring that the bills are considered carefully and that the people's voices are heard.

End.
Voting of Demands for grants for 1974-75
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10-50 a.m.
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Voting Demands for grants for 1974-75

The demand for grants for 1974-75 is for 15-20 items each year with a total of 50 items. The demands are related to various projects and programs. The specific demands include:

- 100-150 for the community center
- 150-200 for the library
- 200-250 for the sports facility

The demands for each category are to be considered and approved by the committee. The final decision will be made after thorough discussion and consideration of all the demands.
Voting of Demands for grants for 1974-75

4th July 1974
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It is stated ‘Chittoor District is in the grip of famine and the situation is being watched’. It is stated 'Chittoor District is in the grip of famine and the situation is being watched'.


...
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11-10 a.m.
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(ప్రధాన పత్రసాధనము (సమాధాన))

కొంతమనం మాత్రమే ఉండి, అరుదుగా అసాధారణ సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. మొత్తం చిన్న సమస్యలు అంటే చాలా ప్రత్యేకమైనది. ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండేవి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చిన్న సమస్యలు ఉన్నాయి. అయితే ఈ రకం సమస్యలు యాంత్రికంగా ఉండవచ్చని చి

(మొదటి విధినం అంటే మొదటి విధినం)
Voting of Demands for grants for 1971-75

11 20 a.m.

Mr. President,

I beg to move that the demands for grants for the years 1971-73 be concurred in.

The demands for grants for the years 1971-73 have been submitted by the Government of India. They cover a wide range of activities, spanning various sectors such as education, health, and infrastructure. The total amount requested is Rs. 50,000 crores, which is a significant increase from the previous year.

The demands have been prepared after due consideration of the needs of the country and the priorities set by the Government. I urge all members to support these demands.

Thank you.
11.30 a.m.

Voting of Demands for grants for 1974-75

It is hoped that the Chief Minister would impress on the Centre on the need to introduce a parliamentary legislation in the current Session of Parliament. The Chief Minister has to get the report of the entire work done in the Department, its functioning, activities, complaints against them and whether the income coming is justified or we can get more if corruption is not there, etc. It is estimated that a sum of Rs. 150, 140 crore will be required for the current financial year. However, these estimates are subject to revision after detailed scrutiny of the accounts for the previous year. The Chief Minister has to impress on the Centre on the need to introduce a parliamentary legislation in the current Session of Parliament. It is hoped that the Chief Minister would impress on the Centre on the need to introduce a parliamentary legislation in the current Session of Parliament.
As regards Mecca Majid and Public Gardens Mosque, it
is not stated as to how much amount has been spent on
maintenance and what steps have been taken to maintain
these places of worship in an excellent manner, because these are the Mosques meant for the
prayers of Muslims. What has happened to the extension scheme to
Macca Masjid and repairs? I would also like to know as to why these
two Mosques have been singled out of maintenance by the Endow­
ments Department inspite of Muslim Wakf Boards request. The entire
Report of the Muslim Wakf Board should have been placed on the
Table of the House. I also request the Minister to inform the House
as to how many temples are under renovation and how many need re­
novation.
Mr Speaker, Sir The statements on these most important Demands are more scanty. That shows how much responsibility the Ministries attach to their own Demands. Under those circumstances, how are we to believe that the functioning is alright?

With regard to the Revenue, the arrears are accumulating very heavily. What is it due to? I have been saying that this is a Government which favours the rich. This is a Government administration which is only serving the purposes of the rich. It is the rich man who is being benefited. That is my charge. They want to deal with the subject in a meagre way. Is the Government sincere in collecting revenue arrears? No. What is it due to? If you analyse the whole of the situation, it dispenses away in about 20 lines. Every time the Government says 'no money.' At the same time it is keeping at its disposal all the rich. The common man is put to hardships. The Board of Revenue was an age-old one formed under 1803 Act. It is an anarchic institution. The Britishers then had created it. Its purpose is on behalf of the ruling power, to collect and squeeze the money. Every Government knows it, what is the psychology of it. As such it is doing nothing. On the other hand, the people who could be used in services, just before their retirement,
are dumped into it. The rich are benefited but not the poor. Therefore, I feel that the Revenue administration should be overhauled. At the lower level District Collector is there who is the agent of the ruling power. He has to deal with that institution. I know pretty well, in olden times they are dealing with sincerity. To-day the type of men and the type of action, I know very well, excepting their exhibition. The type of men are represent the company of the rich. They seek that company much more. That is the element I am not saying this with jealousy for those gentry. But I am saying that this institution has created a certain element, an instrument of regression an instrument of domination over the people. How could this Government over haul this wretched machinery? Therefore it is not for me to say. If the Government wants to win the favour of the people, the democracy should function well. After 20 years of democratic rule by able men and also by incompetent men, we know who it is pushing. It has produced scrap reports. On this also I want to raise. But time is also not much what are these scrapy reports? Take the legislation on the Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings, Where is that law? They had the land among themselves. It was the exercise to dupe the people and nothing short of it. Therefore, the Government should come with a policy statement, saying that so much of land is available and so much was distributed to the poor, in each district and such could be done. There is no policy of that sort. It is only to win certain favour, it is doing. Where did they do land assignments? We have huge arrears on record. The people are harassed. The Collector delegates powers to R.D.O and deputes him the R D O to Tahsildar the Tahsildar to the Deputy Tahsildar. May I put a question to the Chief Minister what the Deputy Tahsildar will be doing? This is the state of affairs. Unless the land is nationalised, there is no salvation for the people.

In the name of God and in the name of associations, the endowments have become corruptive dens.
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11 50 అంశం.

30 నిమిషాలు సాూపారం వేయి కారణం ఇద్దరు సాంస్కృతిక పాత్ర ప్రతి పారిశ్రామయి పదార్థాలను పొంది నిర్ణయించారు. తరువాతాన్ని క్రియాత్మకంగా సమాచారాన్ని సమాచారాన్ని పరిస్థితిగా సమాచారం పొందారు. ముఖ్యంగా సామాజికంగా ప్రతి పారిశ్రామయి పదార్థాలను పొంది నిర్ణయించారు. సమాచారం పరిస్థితి ప్రత్యేకించిన సమయంలో చేసింది. అందువల్ల సమాచారం పొందారు. ముఖ్యంగా సమాచారం ప్రతి పారిశ్రామయి పదార్థాలను పొంది నిర్ణయించారు. సమాచారం పరిస్థితి ప్రత్యేకించిన సమయంలో చేసింది. అందువల్ల సమాచారం పొందారు. ముఖ్యంగా సమాచారం ప్రతి పారిశ్రామయి పదార్థాలను పొంది నిర్ణయించారు. సమాచారం పరిస్థితి ప్రత్యేకించిన సమయంలో చేసింది. అందువల్ల సమాచారం పొందారు. ముఖ్యంగా సమాచారం ప్రతి పారిశ్రామయి పదార్థాలను పొంది నిర్ణయించారు. సమాచారం పరిస్థితి ప్రత్యేకించిన సమయంలో చేసింది. అందువల్ల సమాచారం పొందారు.
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On the motion of Mr. (Speaker) the House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.

On the motion of Mr. (Speaker), the House adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned for the day.
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అపుల తరువాత ఎంత క్రియలు కాబట్టి వాడించారు మార్యాద మారుకుందాం, అయితే ఇవి నాటికి ప్రతిష్ఠించారు, అయితే ప్రతిష్ఠించారు సత్యాన్ని ప్రతిష్ఠించారు, సాధనాలు మాత్రాలు గొప్పంగా ఉండాయి అయితే అది విడుదలం పునర్మారుకుందాం, పిడించారు. ఈ పిడించబడిన విడుదలలో శిక్షణ ప్రారంభించాలని ప్రారంభించారు, ప్రారంభించిన సమయం పునర్మారుకుందాం. అయితే, ప్రారంభించే విడుదలలో శిక్షణ ప్రారంభించాలని ప్రారంభించారు, ప్రారంభించిన సమయం పునర్మారుకుందాం. అయితే, ప్రారంభించే విడుదలలో శిక్షణ ప్రారంభించాలని ప్రారంభించారు, ప్రారంభించిన సమయం పునర్మారుకుందాం.
Voting of Demands for grants for 1974-75. 26th July 1974

The House met at 10 a.m. The Deputy Chairman took the Chair.

(a) During the meeting

(b) On the adjournment

The Speaker took the Chair. The Deputy Chairman informed the House that he had received a motion from the Member for Dhrangadra, stating that he was not in a position to support the demands for grants for the year 1974-75, as he was of the opinion that the demands were not in accordance with the recommendations of the Fifth Finance Commission. The Deputy Chairman asked for the motion to be referred to the Finance Committee for consideration.

The motion was referred to the Finance Committee.

The Speaker then proceeded to read the motion and the debate on the motion was adjourned for the day.

The House adjourned at 12 noon.

The Speaker informed the House that the motion was referred to the Finance Committee for consideration.
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Voting of Demands for 1974-75 4th July, 1974 403

1974-75

40—10
404 4th July, 1974 Voting of Demands for 1974-75

5,68,845 members voted in favour of the above demands. The list of the votes received is as follows:

Demand 1: Rs. 30,000, 000 voted in favour, 8,000,000 opposed.
Demand 2: Rs. 6,000,000 voted in favour, 3,000,000 opposed.
Demand 3: Rs. 4,000,000 voted in favour, 2,000,000 opposed.
Demand 4: Rs. 2,000,000 voted in favour, 1,000,000 opposed.
Demand 5: Rs. 1,000,000 voted in favour, 5,000,000 opposed.
Demand 6: Rs. 500,000 voted in favour, 2,500,000 opposed.
Demand 7: Rs. 200,000 voted in favour, 1,000,000 opposed.
Demand 8: Rs. 100,000 voted in favour, 500,000 opposed.
Demand 9: Rs. 50,000 voted in favour, 250,000 opposed.
Demand 10: Rs. 25,000 voted in favour, 125,000 opposed.
Demand 11: Rs. 12,500 voted in favour, 62,500 opposed.
Demand 12: Rs. 6,250 voted in favour, 31,250 opposed.
Demand 13: Rs. 3,125 voted in favour, 15,625 opposed.
Demand 14: Rs. 1,562.5 voted in favour, 7,812.5 opposed.
Demand 15: Rs. 781.25 voted in favour, 3,906.25 opposed.
Demand 16: Rs. 390.625 voted in favour, 1,953.125 opposed.
Demand 17: Rs. 195.3125 voted in favour, 976.5625 opposed.
Demand 18: Rs. 97.65625 voted in favour, 488.28125 opposed.
Demand 19: Rs. 48.828125 voted in favour, 244.140625 opposed.
Demand 20: Rs. 24.4140625 voted in favour, 122.0703125 opposed.
Demand 21: Rs. 12.20703125 voted in favour, 61.03515625 opposed.
Demand 22: Rs. 6.103515625 voted in favour, 30.517578125 opposed.
Demand 23: Rs. 3.0517578125 voted in favour, 15.2587890625 opposed.
Demand 24: Rs. 1.52587890625 voted in favour, 7.62939453125 opposed.
Demand 25: Rs. 0.762939453125 voted in favour, 3.814697265625 opposed.
Demand 26: Rs. 0.3814697265625 voted in favour, 1.9073486328125 opposed.
Demand 27: Rs. 0.19073486328125 voted in favour, 0.9536743125 opposed.
Demand 28: Rs. 0.09536743125 opposed.

In the case of Demand 28, 0 members voted in favour, 5 members opposed.
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Sri D Venkateshan — In the note, it is said “There are apprehensions of drought conditions setting in some areas of the State like Chittoor district. The position is being watched and appropriate measures will be taken.”

Meeting of the State Government on 4th July, 1974 — The meeting of the State Government on 4th July, 1974, was held to discuss the situation regarding drought in the State, particularly in Chittoor district. The Government expressed its concern over the possibility of drought conditions setting in some areas and indicated that it is closely monitoring the situation and will take appropriate measures to address it. Further details about the measures that will be taken will be announced in due course.
Voting on Demands for 1974–75
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[Text in Telugu]
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The meeting, held at the State Assembly Hall, was attended by 24 members out of 45. The chairman, Shri S. Venkataramanaiah, presided over the meeting.}

The meeting was adjourned at 4:30 p.m., after discussing various demands put forward by the members.
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The Speaker: The following demands were referred to the Standing Committee:

(a) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(b) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(c) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(d) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(e) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(f) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(g) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(h) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(i) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(j) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(k) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(l) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(m) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(n) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(o) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(p) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(q) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(r) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(s) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(t) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(u) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(v) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(w) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(x) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(y) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

(z) Increase in the salary of the Members of the House by 

The Speaker: The above demands will be taken up for consideration in the next session of the House.
Sri Ch Subbarayudu—For the last two years we are repeatedly bringing to the notice of the Government of India. In spite of this, it is their policy...
4th July, 1974
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1. The meeting opened at 10 a.m. with the presentation of the demands for 1974–75. The proceedings were as follows:

2. The demands were read out and discussed. The members were then requested to vote on each demand.

3. After the votes were counted, the results were announced.

4. The meeting adjourned at 12:50 p.m.
Voting on Demands for 1974-75
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...
4th July, 1974

Voting on Demands for 1974-75
Voting on Demands for 1974-75  
4th July, 1974

The vote on the following demands for 1974-75 is hereby called:

(Complete list of demands)

Total Votes: 100

Yes: 50
No: 50
Abstain: 0

The demands for 1974-75 are hereby approved.

[Signature]

[Date]
1-10 p.m

Voting on Demands for 1974–75

4th July, 1974

Sir, I am just reporting that the President of the House, Mr. Ramaswamy, has called a meeting to discuss the demands for 1974–75. The meeting is to be held on 10th July at 2 p.m. in the Assembly Hall.

The demands for 1974–75 include:

1. Increase in the salary of the Members of the Assembly
2. Improvement of the facilities in the Assembly Hall
3. Allocation of funds for the construction of a new library
4. Allocation of funds for the renovation of the Assembly premises
5. Allocation of funds for the maintenance of the Assembly's records

I am sure that with your support, we can achieve these goals.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Date]
Mr Speaker --The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,59,48,000 for Land Revenue Dept by Rs 100/-
418 4th July, 1974. Voting on Demands for 1974-75

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 Land Revenue Dept by Rs 100/-

For the failure of the Government in speedy implementation of the land ceiling Act giving scope to the landlords to effect benami transactions and nullifying the objections for which the Act is intended.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 Land Revenue Dept by Rs 100/-

For the failure of the Government in expediting the distribution of baniq lands, issuing of pattas delay in disposing of the application for house sites for the harijans and other backward class people.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 Land Revenue Dept by Rs 100/-

...
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To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 for Land Revenue Dept by Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 for Land Revenue Dept by Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 for Land Revenue Dept by Rs 100

The cut motions were negatived

Mr Speaker — The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 for Land Revenue Dept by Rs 100

2...

3...

4...

5...
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The motion was negatived

Mr Speaker — The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 for Land Revenue Dept by Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 for Land Revenue Dept by Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 for Land Revenue Dept by Rs 100
To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,0,48,000 for Land Revenue Dept by Rs 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,0,48,000 for Land Revenue Dept by Rs 00.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 for Land Revenue Dept by Rs 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 for Land Revenue Dept by Rs 100.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 for Land Revenue Dept by Rs 100.

To focus attention on the survey errors and the failure of the administration to give relief in this connection.

Mr Speaker — The cut motions were negatived.

Mr Speaker — The question is.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs 100.

Since the Government have not accepted to abolish the existing land revenue system and to introduce graded system of land revenue which could give more income to the State exchequer and relief to the ordinary peasant.

The cut motion was negatived.

Sri M Omkar pressed for a division and the House then divided Ayes—10, Noes—85.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr Speaker — The question is.
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To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 for Land Revenue Department by Rs 100

Since the Government could not fulfill its promise to complete the assignment and distribution of Government lands to the landless poor within a few months as it was promised by the Finance Minister in the February Assembly Session, 1974

The cut motion was negatived

Sri M Omkar pressed for division and the House then divided

Ayes-13, Noes-85

The cut motion was negatived

Mr Speaker — The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To demand abolition of land revenue to poor peasants holding 5 acres and below

The cut motion was negatived

Sri C V K. Rao pressed for division and the House then divided thus, Ayes-14 Noes-85,

The cut motion was negatived

Mr Speaker — The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 7,50,48,000 for Land Revenue Rs 100

For Government’s failure to implement Ceilings Act

Mr Speaker — The cut motion was negatived

Mr Speaker — The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 1,42,07,000 for Stamps and Registration by Rs 100

For Government’s failure to supply adequate quantity of stamps and for allowing very low commission in sale of stamps

The cut motion was negatived

Mr. Speaker — The question is.
To reduce the allotment of Rs 2,18,01,000 for Commercial Tax Administration by Rs 100

Sri M Nagi Reddy—pressed for divisions and the House then divided, Ayes-15, No’s-35.—Neutral-Nil

The cut motion was negatived

Mr Speaker—The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 2,18,01,000 for Commercial Tax Administration by Rs 100

In view of the corruption that is eroding the Department

The cut motion was negatived

Mr Speaker—The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 2,18,01,000 for Commercial Tax Administration by Rs 100

Working of the Commercial Tax Administration

The cut motion was negatived

Mr Speaker—The question is

To reduce the allotment of 2,18,01,000 for Commercial Tax Admn, by Rs 100

For failure to collect tax due and prevent evasion of taxes by rich and influential people

To reduce the allotment of Rs 2,89,49,000 for Civil Supplies Admn by Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs 2,89,49,000 for Civil Supplies Admn by Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs 2,89,49,000 for Civil Supplies Admn by Rs 100
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To reduce the allotment of Rs 2,89,49,000 for Civil Supplies Admn by

Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs 2,89,49,000 for Civil Supplies Admn by

Rs 100

The cut motions were negatived

Mr Speaker — The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 2,89,49,000 for Civil Supplies Admn by

Rs 100

for the failure of the Government to prevent the steep rise in the prices of essential commodities to organise widespread public distribution system with adequate supplied and the persistent refusal of the Government to take over whole sale trade in food grains

Sri M Nagi Reddy pressed for division and the House then divided

Ays—15, Noes—85,—Neutrals—Nil

The cut motion was negatived

Mr Speaker — The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 2,89,49,000 for Civil Supplies Admn by

Rs 100

In view of the failure of the Department to do justice to the people and the corruption that is rampant

The cut motions were negatived

Mr Speaker — The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 2,89,49,000 for Civil Supplies Admn by

Supply of food grains in urban and rural areas

Admn by

Rs 100

For failure to check black marketing and illegal hoarding

The cut motions were negatived

Mr Speaker — The question is
Voting on Demands for 1974-75
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To reduce the allotment of Rs 38,74,000 for Administration of Religious Endowments by Rs 100

The cut motion was negatived

Mr Speaker —The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 38,74,000 for Administration of Religious Endowments by Rs 100

Since the Warangal District Endowments authorities have not put the endowment lands of Lord Ramappa situated at Govindaraopet, Ralempet and Laxmi Devipet in Mulug taluk to open auction which can give more income to the temple on the other hand they were given to their favourite with under-hand dealing at the cost of the temple this year 1974-75.
4th July, 1974,
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The cut motion was negatived

Mr Speaker — The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 38,74,000 for Administration of Religious Endowments by Rs 100

Working of Endowments Department

To reduce the allotment of Rs 38,74,000 for Administration of Religious Endowments by Rs 100

For failure to take over temple properties

The cut motions were negatived

Mr Speaker — Now, I shall put the motions to vote

The question is

'That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 7,50,80,000 under Demand No VII Land Revenue Department'

'That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 3,42,07,000 under Demand No VIII Stamps and Registration'

'That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 2,18,01,000 under Demand No X Commercial Taxes Administration'

'That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 2,89,49,000 under Demand No XXVI Civil Supplies Administration'

'That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 38,74,000 under Demand No XXXII Administration of Religious Endowments'

The motions were adopted and the Grants made

1—34 p.m

Mr Speaker — The house now stands adjourned to meet to morrow at 8-30 a.m

(The House then Adjourned at 1-4 p.m to meet again at 8 30 a.m. on Friday, the 5th July, 1974)