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ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Monday, the 25th March, 1974
The House met at Three of the Clock
(Sri Kaza Ramanatham in the Chair)

POINT OF ORDER
re: Member from the Panel of Chairmen Presiding without written order from the Speaker or Deputy Speaker

Sri C. V. K. Rao (Kakinada) :—I raise a Point of Order, Sir. Under Rule 9 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly it is stated as follows:

"9 Panel of Chairmen"—(1) At the commencement of every session, the Speaker, shall nominate from amongst the members of the Assembly, a panel of not more than four Chairmen, any of whom may preside over the Assembly in the absence of the Speaker and the Deputy Speaker when so requested by the Speaker or, in his absence, by the Deputy Speaker.

How is it that you have complied with these Rules.

An asterisk before the Name indicates correction by the Member.
Mr. Chairman:—According to Rule 9 it is stated that “At the commencement of every session, the Speaker, shall nominate from amongst the member of the Assembly, a panel of not more than four Chairmen, any of whom may preside over the Assembly in the absence of the Speaker and the Deputy Speaker……” You have agreed that the panel of the Chairmen is already there and it is valid. When there was no Deputy Speaker (in the absence of the Deputy Speaker) the Speaker has got to request one of the Chairmen to preside. It is nowhere said that the request must be in the House itself. The Speaker has requested me to preside over today’s meeting, because, he is not able to come to the Session today. Even that requirement is complied with. It is only at the request of the Speaker I am presiding to Jay. It need not necessarily be in writing. It can be oral. He has requested me to preside and he has asked me ever before he left.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—It leads to some complications, Sir.

Mr. Chairman:—We will see when such complications arise. As it is, it does not arise.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I am just seeking some clarification. This is a House which has to be conducted by the Speaker, or the Dy. Speaker or the Chairman and at the same time it is also the responsibility and bounden duty of the Legislators themselves who are part and parcel of this House, to see that certain fundamentals, certain Rules are being followed. Supposing a circumstance might arise wherein the Speaker or the Dy. Speaker is gheraoed and prevented to attend the Session. Then the Chairman might say the Speaker has requested me to preside. Therefore I am presiding. So, under these circumstances the request must be such as can have a validity, and an oral thing has no validity, because, as you know, we are not functioning on oral things. A request must have a validity. It may be either by a telegram or by a letter or by direct pronouncement. That is what I believe in it, Sir.

Mr. Chairman:—You can raise it only when the Speaker says that he has not requested anybody. As I have said, it can either be oral or written, and I, as a responsible member said, that a request has been made by the Speaker asking me to preside over today’s meeting. If you accept this, it is well and good, if not and if you have any doubt you can ascertain from the Speaker after he comes and raise a Point of Order or bring some other substantive motion.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I am not pressing, but I would request you that any request must be in writing.

Mr. Chairman:—I don’t think it is necessary.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—It should be announced in the House. Of course, I leave it to you.

Mr. Chairman:—Let us assume that all the members are responsible.
ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

AGITATION FOR BIFURCATION OF THE STATE

510—

*3147 Q.—Smt. J. Eshwari Bai (Yellareddy):—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the number of persons arrested, charge-sheeted, convicted, acquitted during the recent agitation in the Andhra region for bifurcation of the State;

(b) the number of cases still pending and whether the Government will take steps to withdraw those cases as a gesture of goodwill of the present Government;

(c) the estimated loss of public and private property during the above agitation; and

(d) the number of persons died in the agitation?

The Chief Minister (Sri J. Vengal Rao):—(a) 16,304 persons were arrested, 7,016 were charge-sheeted, 3,031 were convicted and 1,147 were acquitted;

(b) As on 24-12-73, 194 cases were pending. Orders were issued in G. O. Rt. No. 3096, Home, dated 29-12-73 directing the District Collectors etc. to arrange for the withdrawal of all cases except those involving murder, connected with the Telangana agitation 1969 and Mulki Rules agitation 1972-73;

(c) Estimate of loss of public property Rs. 1.59 crores
   Private property Rs. 17.75 lakhs

(d) 69 (Sixty nine); In addition one person was killed when he was run over by a Military truck.

Smt. J. Eswaribai:—Among those killed, how many of them are Government employees, non-Government employees, Businessmen and how many of them are students?
Sri A. Seeramulu (Eluru):—The Chief Minister has given the details of loss of property. May I know, Sir, whether any compensation has been paid to individuals for loss of private property?
Coral Answers to Questions. 23rd March, 1974.

Sri Vanka Satyanarayana — Sir, I may refer to page 37 (par: 4) of the Inquiry Commission Report on Cuddapah firing, which says:
4. Sri Gangulu, Sub-Divisional Magistrate was transferred to Cuddapah on 30-12-1972. It was stated that there were wide rumours that Sri Gangulu was disciple of Sri R. Rajagopala Reddy, M.L.A. and Ex-Minister, that they both hail from Rayachoti Taluk. That Raja Gopala Reddy was responsible for the promotion of Sri Gangulu from the post of Tahsildar to the post of Revenue Divisional Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate despite an Anti-Corruption Bureau inquiry pending against him and that Raja Gopala Reddy got him transferred to Cuddapah Sub-Division with the previous assurance that he would support the integrationists' activities in general and Sri Raja Gopala Reddy's activities in particular. How far this is correct...

Be that as it may, the posting of an officer with local interests unwittingly or unwittingly after Sri Raja Gopala Reddy took charge as Minister seems to have furnished sufficient ground for such rumours and for the public in general suspecting the impartiality of Sri Gangulu, Sub-Divisional Magistrate...
ENQUIRY INTO POLICE FIRINGS ON 2-1-78

511—

Sri C.V.K. Rao:— Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether police opened fire in Nellore, Ananthapur and Nagayalanka near Masulipatnam on January 2nd, 1973;
(b) if so, the reasons for the same and the number of casualties;
(c) whether any enquiry was conducted into these firings; and
(d) if so, whether copies of the reports be placed on the Table of the House?

Sri J. Vengal Rao:—(a) Yes Sir.
(b) Police had to open fire in all the cases to disperse unruly mobs, to save public property and the lives of the police personnel on duty. The casualties were under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Casualties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anantapur</td>
<td>One death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagayalanka</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>Four deaths</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Magisterial enquiry has been ordered in all the three cases. The findings of the enquiry magistrates in respect of Nagayalanka and Anantapur have been accepted by Government. The Magisterial Enquiry Reports in respect of Nellore are awaited.

(d) No Sir.

Magisterial enquiry is not an enquiry.

Oral Answers to Questions.

ENQUIRY INTO POLICE FIRING IN KAKINADA

512—

*3274 Q.- Sri C.V.K. Rao:— Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that police opened fire on separate Andhra Agitators in Kakinada on 23rd January, 1973 twice;

(b) if so, the number of persons killed and injured in the firing;

and

(c) whether any judicial enquiry was conducted into the firing?

Sri J. Vengal Rao:—Yes, Sir. Fire was opened on the three occasions on 23-1-1973 in Kakinada to disperse unruly mobs.

(b) Killed 1

Injured in firing 6

Injured in Lathi Charge 3

(c) No, Sir. Magisterial enquiry has, however, been conducted in all the three incidents.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Will the Magisterial Enquiry Report be placed on the Table of the House?

Sri J. V. C. Rao:—There cannot go on record, Sir. I question that. It is immoral, cruel and dastardly because I belong to Kakinada and I verified that thing and I know that thing.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—The Sub-divisional Magistrate, Kakinada who has conducted the enquiry has held that the opening of fire by the Police was justified and force used was minimum necessary and the Government have accepted the findings of the Enquiry Magistrate. The Government also considered that at the police did a commendable job.

(Argument)

**OPENING OF FIRE ON HARIJANS IN PALLAMKURRU**

513—

*3219-Q.—Smt. J. Eshwari Bai:—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are in receipt of a memorandum and telegrams about the opening of fire on innocent Harijans in Pallamkurru, East Godavari District on 27-8-73, as a result of which two persons died; and

(b) if so, whether any judicial enquiry was ordered as prayed for?

Sri J. Vengal Rao:—(a) Yes. But only one person died due to the firing on 27.8.73.

(b) No. but the Additional District Magistrate, East Godavari held a magisterial enquiry into the incident.

O al Answers Questions

(இ) வகுப்பு (குறுகியார்): — சான்று நான்கு நாட்கள் சேர்த்து.

பின்னர் எழுதிய என்று அறிமுகம் செய்யலாம். அத்தோன்றி மையமான் நூற்றுண்டு நன்மையானவை சான்று நான்கு நாட்கள் சேர்த்து வரும். எனவே என்று தீர்வு வேண்டும் நூற்றுண்டு நன்மையானவை சான்று நான்கு நாட்கள் சேர்த்து வரும்.

(ஈ) பிரான்காச்சி: — மீண்டும் தம் முக ஒரு முறை வாச வேண்டும்.

மீண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும்.

(உ) பிரான்காச்சி: — தம் முக ஒரு முறை வாச வேண்டும்.

மீண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும்.

3-30 p.m.

(ஐ) பிரான்காச்சி: — இ இத் தம் முக ஒரு முறை வாச வேண்டும்.

மீண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும்.

(எ) பிரான்காச்சி: — இத்தம் முக ஒரு முறை வாச வேண்டும்.

மீண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும். வாச வேண்டும் முதலில் வாச வேண்டும்.
Ora! AHSt^rs to ^uesaoas. 2*thMdrch, 19 4 4tt

F^s5o R^bsr* &o^ s^&gxc3o^* ^o^ooj§ ^jCSb^^bj. ^3 )^ d'^^

HOME GUARDS ORGANISATION

514—

* 3-19 Q.—Sm. J. Eshwari Bai:—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the reasons for keeping the Home Guards organisation under the Police Department in our State, while in other States it is an independent organisation; and

(b) the reasons for civilians not being taken and appointed to paid posts in the Home Guards Organisation in Andhra Pradesh?

Sri J. Vengal Rao:—The Home Guards Organisation had been raised in this State in 1964, in the wake of Chinese aggression, at the instance of the Government of India. As the Home Guards have to be trained as a disciplined force and given sufficient experience in the use of arms and ammunition, the raising and training of the said force was entrusted to the Police Department, which is equipped with trained personnel, as in Tamil Nadu; and also to obviate huge expenditure involved in the creation of an independent organisation. It is not correct to say that the Home Guards Organisation is an independent Organisation in all other States.

(b) The paid personnel entrusted with the raising and training of the Home Guards are drawn from the Police Department, as the Organisation is at present functioning under the overall control, superintendence and guidance of the Inspector General of Police. As long as the present arrangement continues, it is not possible to appoint civilian rank holders also to paid posts, as it involves unjustifiable financial commitment to Government.
515—
*3448-B Q. Sri Nallapareddi Srinivasulreddi: —Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) the number of persons taken into unlawful custody in the month of December, 1973 by the Police of the Nayudupeta Police Station in Nellore district;
(b) whether they were severely beaten in Police custody;
(c) whether it is a fact that the Medical Officer, Nayudupeta issued wound certificate; and
(d) whether the Chief Minister has received a representation from the M. L. A Gudur requesting to order for a judicial enquiry?

Sri J. Vengal Rao: —A representation was received by the Chief Minister from Sri N. Srinivasulu Reddy, M. L. A along with a wound certificate. The allegation of torture and beating of Sri A. Kuber referred to in the representation, by Nayudupeta Police is now the subject matter of a magisterial enquiry. It will not be expedient to discuss the case at this stage.

CANCELLATION OF INCOME TAX CLEARANCE CERTIFICATE
GIVEN TO MR. FERROR, ANATAPUR
516—
*3451-J Q.—Sri Nallapareddi Srinivasulreddy: —Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that the Ananthapur Dist. Collector could manage to see that the income tax clearance certificate issued to Mr. Vincent Ferror was cancelled by misleading the Income Tax Officer, Ananthapur that the visa given to Mr. Ferror has been cancelled;
(b) whether the visa was actually cancelled;

(c) whether it is a fact that visa is valid upto 31-3-74; and

(d) if so, the reasons that made the Collector to give false information to the Income Tax Officer regarding the expiry date of the visa?

Sri J. Vengala Rao:—(a) No Sir. (b) No Sir. (c) Yes Sir. (d) The Collector did not give false information of the Income Tax authorities. When the Income Tax authorities contacted the Collector, Mr. Ferror’s visa was valid only till 31st July, 1973. It was in November, 1973 only that the visa was extended till 31-3-1974.

Extension of Stay to Mr. Ferror

517—*3452-R Q.—Sri V. Srikrishna (Put by Sri B. Yella Reddy) (Indurthi):—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that State Government had extended the stay of Mr. and Mrs. Vincent Ferror, a Spanish Missionary of India despite strong recommendation to the contrary by the Dist. Magistrate Anantapur;

(b) whether Ferror got away with impunity though large stock of gift wheat channelled through him has been caught being sold commercially and being smuggled out of the State;

(c) whether there is any legal lacuna in this respect;

(d) if so, the steps taken by the Govt. to remedy this situation;

(e) the trusts that are operated by Mr. Ferror;

(f) whether they have been registered under the provision of the endowment act; and

(g) if not, the action taken thereon?

Sri J. Vengala Rao:—(a) The Government have extended the Stay of Mr. and Mrs. Ferror upto 31st March, 1974.

(b) and (c) The Andhra Pradesh Gift Goods (Prevention of unlawful possession) Act, 1965, applies only to Gift Goods supplied to the General or State Government or any person on behalf of such Government. No prosecution could therefore be sustained against Mr. Ferror for alleged misuse of Gift Goods under this Act. Proceedings have been taken under the I. P. C. in some cases.

(d) Steps are being taken to make necessary amendments to the Gift Goods Act.

(e) Mr. Ferror is reported to be associated with:
(i) Rayalaseema Development Trust  
(ii) India for India Project  
(iii) Nivabharat Development Trust.

(f) No, Sir.

(g) Action is being taken to register these trust under Andhra Pradesh Charitable and Hindu Religious Institution and Endowments Act, 1966.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Is it not a fact that Ferrer has become a terror, Sir?

Sri J. Venka Rao:—Terror to whom? To Mr. C. V. K. Rao?

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—To the Government.

3-40 p.m.
ALLEGATION AGAINST THE HOSPITAL AT VIDYANAGAR

518—

*3448-V-Q.—Sri Nallapa Reddy Srinivasul Reddy :— Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Prime Minister of India has referred to the Government of Andhra Pradesh recently the petition of Gudur MLA in which allegations have been levelled against the Hospital at Vidyanagar of Nellore district;

(b) what action has been taken by the State Government?

The Minister for Health & Medical (Sri K. Rajam illu) :—(a) Yes Sir,

(b) The petition was referred to the Director of Medical & Health Services for enquiry and report. He reported that the aid amount was utilised fully for the construction of hospital buildings at Vidyanagar, Nellore District. A reply was accordingly sent to the Hon'ble Member in Government's Letter dated 30-1-1974.

Oral Answers to Questions.

(1) Mr. Sivakumar:—Are there any plans to incorporate a Board known as Board for the World of West Germany……... 45 since 1968? The Minister answered. 45 The Minister said that there was an AOBA in Germany, which was established in 1972. (2) Mr. Sivakumar:—Should not 80 per cent. of the money available be used by the Government (for) the Board? The Minister said that the Board was established for the purpose of promoting West German interests in India. (3) Mr. Sivakumar:—What is the present status of the Board? The Minister said that the Board had been in existence since 1968 and was working satisfactorily. (4) Mr. Sivakumar:—Is the Board known as Board for the World of West Germany a Board known as Board for the World of West Germany? The Minister said that it was a Board known as Board for the World of West Germany.
**HEALTH SUB-CENTRE AT DINDI PROJECT**

519—

*3452-K-Q.* -Sri B. Rama Sarma (Put by Sri Vanka Satyanarayana) :—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) when the Health Sub-centre at Dindi Project in Devarakonda Samithi Nalgonda District was started:

(b) whether a Doctor was appointed since the inception of the Health Sub-Centre; and

(c) the reason for not appointing a Doctor in that hospital, so far?

Sri K. Rajamallu —(a) The Health Sub-centre at Dindi Project in Deverakonda Samithi was started on 7-6-73.

(b) No. Sir.

(c) The post of Family Planning Doctor has been vacant since the centre was opened. As the Government of India issue instructions neither to create new posts nor to fill up vacant posts, it has not been filled up.

**PENSION TO THE TEACHERS OF AIDED SCHOOLS**

520—

*3453 (V) Q.*—Smt. T. E. S. Ananda Bai (Gannavaram):—Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Teachers of the aided schools are given the benefit of pension under the liberalised pension scheme:

(b) whether the Government propose to extend the same benefit to the Non-teaching staff of the aided schools; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

(The Minister for Education) Sri M. V. Krishna Rao—

(a) Yes Sir.

(b) The question of extending of Andhra Pradesh Liberalised Pension Rules to non-teaching staff of Aided Secondary Schools is under examination of Government.

(c) Does not arise.
PAYMENT OF SALARIES TO THE TEACHERS OF S.N.R. HIGH SCHOOL, SANATHNAGAR

521—

*3450 (O) Q.—Sri Mohd. Rajab Ali (Khammam):—Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Management of the S. N. R., High School in Sanathnagar, Hyderabad is not paying salaries in full to teachers while obtaining their signatures for the full amount;

(b) if so the steps proposed to be taken by the Government against the Management for their illegal acts; and

(c) whether the Govt. propose to take over the Management of the said High School to protect the interests of innocent teachers?

Sri M. V. Krishna Rao:—(a) No Sir.

(b) Does not arise

(c) No Sir

(3) చెప్పండి. (1) లేనా విశేషం. (3) చెప్పండి.

522—

*3178 Q.—Sri P. V. Ramana (Anakapalli) :—Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) the No. of B. Ed. Teachers selected and allotted to Municipalities, Panchayat Samithies and Zilla Parishad in Visakhapatnam Dt. by the District Education Officer, Visakhapatnam under ‘Job for Half a Milion’ Scheme;

(b) whether all of them were appointed by the concerned institutions; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

Sri M. V. Krishna Rao :—

(a) Municipalities 44
   Panchayat Samithies 143
   Zilla Parishad 32
   Total: 219

(b) Yes. Out of 219 B. Ed. Assistants, 201 reported for duty.

(c) Does not arise.

(4) **219**  *

(5) **201**  **Total**
Oral Answers Questions.


M. L. As. in Government Travellers Bangalow

333—

Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu (Parvathipuram) :-

Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that by virtue of G. O. regulating the precedence of accommodation in Government Travellers and Inspection Bungalows, the legislators are placed in the 6th Rank of precedence far below the officers;

(b) if so, whether the Government will consider placing legislators in 3rd Rank immediately after the Minister; and

(c) whether the Government will review the G. O. to rationalise it and suit it to present day state of things?

Sri Ch. Venkata Rao :—(a) Yes, Sir,

(b) and (c):—The Government have reviewed the matter and they consider that the existing order of precedence does not require any revision.
Mr. Chairman:—According to the protocol, whatever is the place of the M. L. As. it must be maintained.

Sri Ch. Venkat Rao:—Yes Sir. It should be maintained. It should not be violated. Strict instructions will be given not to violate the protocol.

Mr. Chairman :—If your G. O. is contrary to the protocol?

Sri Ch. Venkata Rao :—I. Bs. and Travellers Bungalows are rented for touring officers. They are not expected to stay with anybody in the Districts. However, we can stay with anybody, whereas it is not safe for the officers to stay outside. So the priority should be maintained.

Priorities:

1. Ministers and Deputy Ministers of the Union and State Governments, Speaker, Lok Sabha. Chairman of Rajya Sabha, Deputy Speaker, Lok Sabha, Deputy Chairman Rajya Sabha, Speaker, Legislative Assembly, Chairman, Legislative Council; Deputy, Speaker, Legislative Assembly, Deputy Chairman, Legislative Council of the State Legislature.

2. Parliamentary Secretaries of Ministers

3. Members of Board of Revenue, Inspector General of Police and Collectors.

4. Heads of Departments having jurisdiction throughout the State.

5. Gazetted Officers of the Revenue Department, Deputy Inspector General of Police, Superintending Engineers, Conservators of Forests and other Gazetted Officers of Government who have jurisdiction over more than one revenue district, Recruiting Officers of rank of Lt. Col; Assistant Recruiting officer Rank Captain; Recruiting Medical Officer Rank Captain and Military Officers of Indian Army, Navy and Air Force.
(6) Members of the State Legislature, Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha and Chairman and Vice-Chairmen of Zilla Parishads. Below that there are still other categories also.

Sri A. Sreeramulu:—We are concerned with item 6 only.

Sri Ch. Venkata Rao:—With due respect to the hon. Member, Gazette Officers of the State Government comes under 7th category having jurisdiction of the whole State or more than one Revenue Division.

Sri A. Sreeramulu:—Tahsildars would be given above M-L-As. I cannot understand how the hon. Minister goes on supporting preference being given over the member of the Legislative Assembly or the Parliament. Why don't you change those rules and why don't you give proper place to the elected persons.

Have you any regard for democracy or the elected persons in giving them the 6th place?

Sri Ch. Venkata Rao:—You are misunderstanding, Sir. The officers are not expected to stay in private houses. Order of priority for the officers is as follows: Order of priority: Gazette Officers, Staff Officers, Tahsildars. Staff Officers should not stay in private houses. Order of priority: Gazette Officers, Staff Officers, Tahsildars. Staff Officers are expected to stay in government residences. Why don't you change those rules and why don't you give proper place to the elected persons.

4.00 p.m. Sri Ch. Venkata Rao:—You are misunderstanding, Sir. The officers are not expected to stay in private houses. Order of priority: Gazette Officers, Staff Officers, Tahsildars. Staff Officers should not stay in private houses. Order of priority: Gazette Officers, Staff Officers, Tahsildars. Staff Officers are expected to stay in government residences. Why don't you change those rules and why don't you give proper place to the elected persons.

Mr. Chairman:—Having regard to the opinions expressed by all sections of the house, what is the position of the house?

Mr. (C)提到の議員:—政府は常時五日間の休憩を求めるという絶対的な要求をどのように取り扱うか。

Mr. (D)提到の議員:—政府はこの提言についてどのように反応するか。

Mr. (E)提到の議員:—政府はこの提言についてどのように反応するか。

(녹색 음성)

先生, 이의 연설이 중요하다고 생각합니다.

여러분이 이에 대해 의견을 제시해 주시길 바랍니다.
*Sri Syed Hasan (Charminar):—When a Minister who is a member of this House is saying that he is considering, what is there to consider? He has to say that he is conceding.

Mr. Chairman:—He has to consider.

Sri Syed Hasan:—No. No. He has to concede.

(Many members rose up)

Smt. J. Eswari Bai:—He says that he is considering. Why consideration, Sir? He can give an assurance to the House.

Mr. Chairman:—Because, he himself cannot give assurance.

Sri Ch. Venkata Rao:—As Mr. V. Satyanarayana and others said, we will consider. The sentiments of the members will be taken into consideration. I can assure you that definite decision will be taken.

Mr. Chairman:—I will now take up the postponed questions.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

(S.N.Qs. Postponed from 2-2-1974 to 25-3-1974)

RE-ALIGNMENT OF THE LEFT CANAL OF NAGARJUNASAGAR PROJECT

165-B—

S.N.Q No 3464 (M)—Sarvasri T. Pursbotham Rao, (Wardhana-pet) P. Janardhan Reddy, M. Omkar, (Narsampet) and Smt. J. Eswari Bai—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that the original alignment of left canal of Nagarjunasagar Project was changed.

(b) if so, the reasons therefore;

(c) the details of the original alignment and the revised alignment.

(d) whether a copy of the estimates of the original and revised alignment, separately be placed on the Table of the House;

(e) the ayacut contemplated under original alignment plan, Districts and Taluks-wise;

(f) the ayacut now covered under revised alignment, Districts and Taluks wise; and

(g) the quantity of water allotted under original alignment and under revised alignment?

The Minister for Medium Irrigation (Sri V. Krishnamurti Naidu) :-

(a) to (g) The Answer is placed on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

A :-

(a) The alignment indicated in the Joint Report was deviated from to some extent at a later stage.

(b) The alignment is indicated in the map attached to the Joint Report and it does not give details. The alignment as given in Joint Report is a preliminary one and the alignment as being adopted for execution is based on detailed investigation. The alignment now being adopted follows the alignment of Joint Report with such deviations or alterations as found necessary from the point of economy and feasibility.

(c) The main alterations are :-

(1) Palair crossing with a drop of 5.8' from the F. R. L. of Palair reservoir. This was necessitated for utilising the 3 T. M. C. of Palair waters from its catchment for the existing ayacut.

(2) Crossing Munneru by an aqueduct instead of through a reservoir on Akaru and Munneru. This was necessitated to avoid the submersion of nearly 3 miles of Railway line between Khammam and Dornakal and also to avoid the loss in head in the long route.

(3) Nandigama area being fed through distributory 17 instead of 19 as per Joint Report. This was decided upon after considering the different proposals in consultation with the Central Water and Power Commission, mainly with a view to see that water was utilised in the best manner possible.

(4) Extending the Canal beyond Kattaleru :- As per the Revised Estimate for Rs. 163-54 crores, cleared by Government of India, an extent of 3.8 lakh acres was
envisaged. During detailed investigation, it was observed that the required area under flow irrigation was not available up to Kattaleru. Hence there was a necessity to extend the canal beyond Kattaleru. For the present it is proposed to dig the main canal up to Kattaleru and serve the area beyond Kattaleru by means of a branch canal taking off above Kattaleru.

(d) There is no separate estimate for original alignment and the alternative alignment. The alignment as being executed mainly follows the alignment indicated in the map attached to the Joint Report with such modifications as found necessary depending upon technical feasibility and economics.

(e) and (f) The extent of ayacut contemplated as per the Joint Report of 1951 and as per the revised estimate of the project for Phase-I, as cleared by the Government of India in their letter No. II-2 (11)/67-I & P dated 18/16th June, 1969 is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>As per Joint Report Phase-I*</th>
<th>As cleared by Government of India for Phase-I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Lakh acres)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Crop</td>
<td>6.7 Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Crop</td>
<td>1.2 Dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(In years of extra supply)</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The details of the area and cropping pattern for 8.8 lakh acres mentioned above were not given districtwise and talukwise in the revised estimate cleared by the Government of India on 16-6-1969.

Pending determination of the location of the total extent of ayacut under the Left Canal, the Government in Memo. No. 1852-C2/69-20, P. W. D., Projects Wing, dated 7-4-71 fixed the location of a partial extent viz., 7.36 lakh acres. It is not possible at this stage to give the exact district wise and taluk wise breakup for the ayacut of 7.36 lakh acres so far determined. However, approximate district wise breakup as furnished by the Chief Engineer, Nagarjunasagar Project is indicated below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wet. Dry. Total. (lakh acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Nalgonda. Flow irrigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Khammam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Krishna.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No breakup of ayacut districtwise was indicated in the Joint Report.

@This extent was not however, accounted for in the working tables even in the Joint Report.
(g) The Joint Report of 1934 indicated only the total quantity of water to be utilised under the full N.S. Project and stage-I of the Project. It did not give any details of allocation on the basis of alignments of canals.

Under the Stage-I scheme finally cleared by the Government of India the distribution of water is 132 T.M Cft. for each of the two canals. There is no allocation in further detail on the basis of alignment of the Canals. However, water allocation does not depend on alignment of Canals.
As per original estimate it was decided to be 8.8 lakh acres. 

1. If the area of the forest was estimated to be 110 lakh acres and the average area of each tree is 15 sq. ft. What is the total number of trees?

2. The area of the forest is estimated to be 100 lakh acres. If the average area of each tree is 15 sq. ft., what is the total number of trees?

3. The area of the forest is estimated to be 100 lakh acres. If the average area of each tree is 15 sq. ft., what is the total number of trees?

4. The area of the forest is estimated to be 100 lakh acres. If the average area of each tree is 15 sq. ft., what is the total number of trees?
Sri M. Narayan Reddy (Bhodhan):—Sir, after the answer has been given three important points arise. In this type of things I only suggest three things, so that a detailed enquiry is held and information may be placed on the Table. According to the answer given, 143 TMC was allotted under the canal but.

* Sri Konda Laxman Bapuji:—Sir, whether the Chairman is allowing to raise points and make suggestions or allowing supplementary?
Sri M. Narayana Reddy:—It is said 143 TMC has been allotted under the Canal. Out of 8, only 5-23 has been shown. How the remaining area has to be brought under irrigation?

Then because of some defects in sluices of the left canal, there is said to be shortfall in ayacut. How are these things going to be rectified?

1. The original estimated cost of the Agnigundala Deep Cut in the Right Canal of Nagrjunasagar Project: 524-A.

2. The names of the bidders for the tender and the names of those who were entrusted with the work:

ESTIMATED COST OF THE AGNIGUNDALA DEEP CUT IN THE RIGHT CANAL OF NAGRJUNASAGAR PROJECT

* 423? (W)-Q.—Sri N. Sreenivasul Reddy:— Will the hcn. Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) The original estimated cost of the Agnigundala Deep cut in the Right Canal of Nagrjunasagar Project:

(b) The names of the bidders for the tender and the names of those who were entrusted with the work:

(c) Whether the lowest tenderers were entrusted with the work;

(d) Whether it is a fact that the contractors have done only part of the work and closed the work and claimed refund of Deposit amount;

(e) Whether tender deposits were refunded;

(f) Whether estimates were revised for the work at any time, while work was going on or subsequently; and

(g) Whether the tenders were called for, for the balance works?

(Minister for Medium Irrigation deputed for Chief Minister and answer the question) Sri V. Krishna Murtthy Naidu: —

(a) Rs. 41.60 lakhs.

(b) The following Contractors tendered for the work, which was split up into two subreaches:

(i) Sub-reach from M. 70/7+587 to M. 71/4+587 of Nagarjunasagar Right Canals:
   2. Sri D. Chenchurami Reddy
   5. Sri L. V. Subba Reddy.

(ii) Sub-reach from M. 71/4+587 to M. 72/3+587 of Nagarjuna Sagar Right Canal:
   2. M/s. Subbaramireddy & Co,
   3. Sri D. Chenchurami Reedy
   4. Sri L. V. Subba Reddy,

The work in the both subreaches was entrusted to M/s. G. Ramachandra Reddy & Co., lowest tenderer.

(c) Yes sir,

(d) Yes sir,

(e) No sir,

(f) The estimate for the work was revised after closure of the Contracts.

(g) Yes sir.
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Q. If a sum of money is invested for 3 years at a rate of 5% per annum, what will be the total interest on the sum?

A. The formula to calculate simple interest is:

\[ \text{Interest} = \text{Principal} \times \text{Rate} \times \text{Time} \]

So, the interest on the sum for 3 years at 5% per annum is:

\[ \text{Interest} = P \times 0.05 \times 3 \]

Q. A man is 25 years old, and his sister is 30 years old. How old will his sister be when he is 50 years old?

A. If the man is 25 years old and his sister is 30 years old, when the man is 50 years old, his sister will be:

\[ 30 + (50 - 25) = 30 + 25 = 55 \text{ years old} \]

Q. If a sum of money is invested for 3 years at a rate of 5% per annum, what will be the total interest on the sum?

A. As calculated above, the total interest on the sum for 3 years at 5% per annum is:

\[ \text{Interest} = P \times 0.05 \times 3 \]

Q. A man bought a car for $1200 and sold it for $1500. What was the percentage profit he made on the sale?

A. The profit made on the sale is:

\[ \text{Profit} = \text{Selling Price} - \text{Cost Price} \]

\[ \text{Profit} = 1500 - 1200 = 300 \]

The percentage profit made is:

\[ \text{Percentage Profit} = \left( \frac{\text{Profit}}{\text{Cost Price}} \right) \times 100 \]

\[ \text{Percentage Profit} = \left( \frac{300}{1200} \right) \times 100 = 25\% \]

F & F Rock—1251 units executed and 89 units not executed.

All soil 1791 units—1782 units executed and 9 units is the balance. F & F Rock—1251 units executed and 89 units not executed. Hard Rock—3714 units executed and 1685 balance.

All soil 4291 units—4282 units executed and the balance is 53 units.

F & F Rock—1335 units—2876 executed and the balance is 55 units.

5—5
Hard Rock—5061 units—2876 executed and the balance is 2185 units


4-40 p.m.

484

1) మొదటి మాటం మార్పు లేదు? 

2) స్పుతి మాటం: ఒక క్రియాసూమ కానీ కంటే కొనసాగిన మాటం ఉంది. మేధా మాటం ముందు కొనసాగిన మాటం. 

3) కలపాటు మాటం మార్పు లేదు? 

4) స్పుతి మాటం: ఒక క్రియాసూమ కానీ కంటే కొనసాగిన మాటం ఉంది. మేధా మాటం ముందు కొనసాగిన మాటం.
Sri V. Krishnamurti Naidu:—With your permission, I am giving the following correct information.

Original estimate .. Rs. 41.60 lakhs
Cost of revised estimate .. Rs. 61.51 ,,
Excess estimate .. Rs. 19.91 ,,
Percentage of excess .. Rs. 47.86 %

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS
BROADGAUGE LINE FROM KATPADI TO TIRUPATHI

3453-(X) Q.—Sri Vijay Sikhamani:—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:
(a) whether the State Government have recommended to the Central Government to construct a broadgauge line from Katpadi to Tirupathi to provide facilities to pilgrims coming in large number from Kerala and Mysore States to Tirupathi; and
(b) if not, whether the Government will at least now recommend to the Central Government for the said railway line keeping in view of the facilities to pilgrims?

A:—

(a) The Govt. of India was urged to lay a new B. G. Line from Katpadi to Nandyala besides requesting them separately to convert the existing M. G. Line from Katpadi to Tirupathi East via., Pakala into B. G. Line.

(b) Does not arise.

Pochampad Project as Planned by the Government.

1561 Q.—Sri D. Venkatesam:—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is not a fact that at the time of Nizam, the integrated Pochampad Project was drawn up:
(b) whether there was any deviation from the original plan after formation of Andhra Pradesh.
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(c) What is the irrigable extent of area proposed in the original plan of Nizaam;

(d) the extent of ayacut proposed in the present plan under execution and the reasons for the reduction of ayacut, if any; and

(e) whether there is any proposals with the Government to revise the proposal according to original place?

A:

(a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) The answer is placed on the Table of the House.

Statement placed on the Table of the House

(a) Yes, In the erstwhile Hyderabad State a Projects known as “Godavari Project” was finalised in the erstwhile Hyderabad State to be taken up in 4 stages and out of which Stage-I Kadam Project was sanctioned in the year 1949. The Second stage of the Godavari Project was included in the Draft II Five Year Plan of Hyderabad Government but had to be left over in the actual plan owing to limited plan outlay of Rs. 16.11 crores provided for the Telangara region.

(b) The site at Pochampad was finalised in the erstwhile Hyderabad State in lieu of two dams at Kavalguda, Kushtapuram and pick-up weir downstream and the Godavari Project report was drawn up in June 1956 prior to State Reorganisation. In Jan, 1957 a joint inspection was made of the Pochampad site proposed in 1956 by the Irrigation Member of C.W. & P.C. and the irrigation Chief Engineer of Andhra Pradesh. The site finally selected for the dam was 1/2 mile downstream of the site proposed in 1956 Scheme (Pochampad).

(c) As per the scheme finalised in 1947 the Godavari Scheme was expected to irrigate 11.50 lakh acres (wet) in addition to the catch crops such as Gram Fodder, green manure and paddy (second crop) to be grown. Further, forest and pasture land was also proposed to be supplied with water to the extent of 2.59 lakh acres. The total utilisation of water proposed under the Project was 369 TMCftr. At the time of preparation of the draft Second Five Year Plan (Sept. ’55) there was a change in the scope of the Project envisaging an irrigation of 20.5 lakh acres of main crops and 7.5 lakh acres of catch crops, forest Pasture and fuel. The entire scheme was roughly estimated to cost Rs. 85 crores which was proposed to be completed in four stages including stage-I in Kadam Project already taken up. While the Second stage of the project which was included in the draft Second Five Year Plan of Hyderabad, it could not be accommodated in the Finalised Second Plan. However investigations were made about alternative sites for the location of the Head works in lieu of the original sites at Kavalguda and Kushtapuram. As a result of these investigations in the year 1956 prior to State Reorganisation a Project report was prepared in June 1956 in the erstwhile Hyderabad State for irrigating an area of 16.80 lakh acres in addition to green Manuare 3 lakh acres under the Pochampad South Canal only, to utilise 247 TMCftr of water. This was estimated to cost Rs. 57.3 crores. The length of South Canal was 23.3 miles.
This project also was envisaged in stages and the first phased proposed was to lost Rs. 10.22 crores for irrigating an area of 1.2 lakh acres. The length of the south canal in this phase was envisaged to be 47 miles. This first phase scheme was envisaged for further consideration in the Second Five Year Plan of Hyderabad State.

(d) A detailed revised schemes of the Pochampad Project was prepared in the year 1958 which envisaged irrigation of 20.45 lakh acres and was estimated to cost Rs. 117.35 crores.

Since this scheme could not be included in the Second Five Year Plan of the Reorganised Andhra Pradesh State, the C.W. & P.C. suggested the preparation of a partial scheme keeping the cost within Rs. 13 to 14 crores although the potentialities of the full scheme might be indicated. Accordingly, a medium size project report providing a diversion weir was prepared at a cost of Rs. 15.25 crores for an utilisation of 65 TMC ft of water and to irrigate an area of 3.6 lakh acres. This report was sent in March 1959 to the C. W. & P. C. for clearance.

In November, 1959 the Maharashtra State raised objection for the construction of Pochampad Project stating that its interest would get adversely affected as a number of Projects in the upstream side were proposed by the Maharashtra Govt. in the Second and Third Five Year Plan. The C.W. & P.C. also wanted the State Government to examine the run-off of the river at Pochampad sent in 1959 in the light of the utilisation by the Upper Projects.

In the Statement of Krishna Godavari Waters laid on the table of the Lok Sabha on 23-3-1963 by the Union Minister for Irrigation and Power, it was indicated that the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh could go ahead with the Pochampad Project on the Godavari as a storage Project to utilise 66 TMC ft. of water with a provision to raise the storage later if necessary. The Union Minister for Irrigation and Power indicated in his statement that both Maharashtra and Mysore states could go ahead with all their schemes above Pochampad.

While the State Government protested against the utilisation permitted by the Union Minister in his statements above Pochampad by the various States, the C.W. & P.C. wanted the State Government to revise the Pochampad Scheme sent in 1959 in the light of the statement of the Union Minister and send a modified Project report for scrutiny and clearance. In view of the fact that it was first essential to start the Pochampad Project without any further hold up the State Government sent the modified Pochampad report estimated to cost of Rs. 39.85 crores to the C. W. & P. C. Planning Commission and the Government of India in April, 1963. The Planning Commission finally conveyed its concurrence in August, 1964 for the execution of the Pochampad Project estimated to cost Rs. 40.10 crores and to utilise 66 TMC ft. of water for irrigating an area of 5.7 lakh acres, subject to the technical comments and suggestions of the C.W.&P.C. Administrative approval to the Project was accorded by the State Government in November, 1964.

This medium sized project was envisaged by the State Government as a phased Project. The Project report mentioned that the
Project would fit into the proposals to harness the full potential of the river available at Pochampad site and the Project features were drawn up with that end.

(e) The Project as cleared by the Planning Commission and C. W. & P. C. in April, 1964 envisaged an irrigation of 5.7 lakh acres to utilise 66 TMCft. But the canal section has been designed to cope with a discharge which would enable utilisation of 120 TMCft after lining the existing section of the south canal. For further utilisation as contemplated for the full Project, a high level south canal and North canal will be constructed. The Dam is being constructed for FRL 109 which is 1 ft. higher than the FRL proposed in 1956, Hyderabad report on Pochampad and this is sufficient for utilisation of 257 TMCft. as contemplated under the full Pochampad Scheme of 1956 provided the inflow into the reservoir is not obstructed by the construction of the reservoirs upstream in Maharashtra. This issue of allocation of waters of Godavari is now before the Godavari water Disputes Tribunal.

If the stand of the Government of Andhra Pradesh that Maharashtra should not be allowed to utilise more than 161 TMC ft, the readjusted allocation on the basis of Scheme put-forward at the 1951 Conference is conceded, there would be no difficulty in utilising 257 TMCft. from the same Pochampad Reservoir as is being constructed now.

IMPONDING OF WATER GOING INTO SEA IN SULLURPET TALUK FOR IRRIGATION PURPOSE.

537—

2010 Q.—Sri P. Venkatasubbaiah:- Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a scheme for constructing an anicut across the water flowing into the sea and to utilise the same for raising crops in the backward island villages of Meejuru, Karikadu etc., in Sullurpet taluk, has been investigated;

(b) if so, the reason why work has not so far been taken up, and the persons responsible for the delay; and

(c) the estimated expenditure for the same?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Plans and estimates returned by the Chief Engineer, (Minor Irrigation) with some technical remarks are being finalised by the Executive Engineer, Special Investigation Division, Nellore.

(c) Rs. 4.40 lakhs.

WIDENING THE NERRIKAAALVA

538—

2012 Q.—Sri P. Venkatasubbaiah:- Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) the reasons for not taking up the scheme for widening the "Nerri Kalva" which was dug from the river Kalangi in Sullurpetta taluk, even though the scheme was investigated long ago;

(b) the estimated expenditure for the same; and

(c) when the scheme will be started?

A.

There is no proposal on hand to widen the Nerrikalva. The Nerrikalva was already remodelled by constructing necessary cross masonry works etc., to effect proper distribution of water.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.

MODERNISATION OF THE EXISTING IRRIGATION CANALS.

2497 Q.—Sri M. Adinarayana Reddy:—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government intend to modernise the existing irrigational canals in the State as per the recommendation of the National commission on Agriculture; and

(b) if so, the particulars of the canals which will be modernised?

A.—

(a) and (b) Government have under consideration a proposal to review in the first instance the following pre-plan and earlier plan projects subject to availability of funds.

(i) Krishna Delta System,
(ii) Godavari Delta System
(iii) Kurnool-Cuddapah Canal
(iv) Nizam Sagar Project

ADDITIONAL AREA IRREGATED UNDER NAGARJUNASAGAR DURING 1972-73.

2590 Q.—Sri Vanka Satyanarayana:—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

The extent of additional irrigation potential proposed to be developed during 1972-73 under Nagarjunasagar Canals?

A.—

Irrigation potential was created for 7,000 acres under Nagarjunasagar Right Canals during 1972-73. The irrigation potential created to end of 1972-73 was 7.33 lakh acres under Right Canals and 2.81 lakh acres under Left Canals making up a total of 10.14 lakh acres.

LIFT IRRIGATION FROM GUNDLAKAMMA RIVER.

2751 Q.—Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
Written Answers to Questions.

(a) whether the lift irrigation scheme from Gundlakamma river to Puwada, Kondakryudu palem, Molakalur and Kothapalem villages of Vinukonda Taluk, Guntur District has been sanctioned;

(b) if so, the estimated expenditure involved;

(c) the proposed extent of land for irrigation;

(d) when the work has been started;

(e) the reasons for the delay in completion; and

(f) the steps taken by the Government for immediate completion in view of drought conditions prevailing in that area?

A.—

(a) The Lift Irrigation Schemes near Puwada and Mulakaleru on Gundlakamma river were not sanctioned. But only permission to lift water for a period of 10 years was accorded as co-operative Lift Irrigation Schemes, as is done in cases of Lift Irrigation Schemes taken up on private venture.

(b), (c) (d), (e) and (f) Dose not arise.

LOCALISATION OF AYACUT UNDER NIZAM SAGAR.

3002 Q.—Sri M. Narayana Reddy:—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether there was any localisation of ayacut done under Nizam sagar Project from the inception of the project to this date;

(b) if not, the reasons for not localising the ayacut all these years;

(c) whether there is any possibility of localisation of ayacut being finalised in the near future;

(d) the measures proposed to stabilize, the ayacut in view of serious reduction in the storage capacity of the reservoir; and

(e) the principles and criteria followed in fixing the ayacut under Nizammasagar project for the purpose of levy and collection of advance betterment levy from the ayacutdars.

A.—

(a) and (b) Originally no localisation was done. The localisation scheme was taken up in 1969. After the principles of localisation were
finally approved in G. O. Ms. No. 1321, P.W., dated 19-8-1967, the work was subsequently taken up to ensure effective regulation and to avoid wastage of water during 1964-69 an area of 2,31,339 was localised tentatively.

(c) Area under sugar-cane under 34 distributaries in Banswada, Bodhan and Nizamabad Taluks has to be finalised afresh as per localisation rules.

(d) It is proposed to raise F.R.L. of the reservoir by 4 1/2 to make up the loss in the capacity by 6 TMCft. and to construct a balancing reservoir at Singur to provide about 20 TMC capacity.

(e) Advance Betterment Levy is proposed to be collected from (i) owners of 5 acres and below dry land (proposed to be converted into wet) and (ii) from owners of more than 5 acres dry land and any extent of wet land at the rate of Rs. 100 for registered wet, and Rs. 200 per acre for dry lands to be converted into wet. This is collected in 6 instalments from the first category of Pattadars and in 4 instalments from the second category. A rebate of 10% is given if the amount is paid in one lumpsum.

SILT IN NIZAMSAGAR.

3005 Q.—Sri M. Narayana Reddy:—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the 9 vents provided at a low level in Nizamasagar Dam to act as scouring sluices to remove the silt deposited in the reservoir were ever operated from the inception of the project;

(b) if not, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether any attempts were made in recent past by the Irrigation Department in consultation with the Engineers of Public Works Department Workshop in Mint compound to operate these vents;

(d) if so, the outcome thereof; and

(e) the measures that are afoot for the operation of these vents?

A.—

(a) and (b) The gates were last reported to have been operated in 1935. The reasons for not operating the gates in subsequent years are not known. The gates were noticed to have got jammed in 1954 and could not be opened since then.
(c) Yes Sir, In 1968 the General Superintendent, Public Works Department Workshop, Hyderabad was requested to arrange for operation of the gates.

(d) and (e) All the 9 events have since been replaced.

**NELLATUR SUPPLY CHANNEL.**

544—

282 Q.—Sri Nallapareddi Sreenivasul Reddy :—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the reasons for stopping the work on Nellatur supply channel in Gudur taluk of Nellore district in the middle; and

(b) when will the work be completed?

A.—

(a) The work of repairs to the so-called Nellatur supply channel in Gudur taluk of Nellore district was taken up without the sanction of the competent authority. Hence further execution of the work was stopped by the Executive Engineer, Zilla Parishad, Nellore.

(b) Does not arise.

**PROTECTED WATER SUPPLY SCHEMES WITH L.I.C. LOANS.**

545—

2270 Q.—Sri Vanka Satyanarayana :- Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether 900 protected Water Supply Schemes at an estimated cost of Rs. 10 crores have been taken up by the Government in the State with the L.I.C. help; and

(b) if so, district-wise schemes that are executed and still under execution?
A.—

(a) The L.I.C. of India has agreed to extend loan assistance up to 50 per cent of the estimated cost for 229 Protected Water Supply Schemes costing Rs. 760.74 lakhs and as a result of agreements entered into by the Zilla Parishads in this regard, an amount of Rs. 189.94 lakhs (Rs. 121.60 lakhs for 175 new Protected Water Supply schemes and Rs. 68.34 lakhs for 54 spill-over Protected Water Supply Schemes) has since been released during 1973-74.

(b) A list of district-wise Protected Water Supply Schemes being taken up under the L.I.C. loan assistance programme is appended.

[Vide answer to Cl. (b) of Q. No. 2270 (S. No. 545) given by Sri Vanka Satyanarayana M.L.A.]

List of New P.W.S. Schemes taken up under L.I.C. Loan Assistance Programme.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the scheme</th>
<th>Estimated cost of the Scheme. (Rs. in lakhs)</th>
<th>Instalment of L.I.C. loan received during 1973-74. (Rs. in lakhs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Narasannapeta</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>11.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cheepurupalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>7.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Amadalavalasa</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>12.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Palakonda</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>9.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>40.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Srikakulam:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Madugula</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Nellimarla</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Kotauratla and Tangodu</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Ellamanchili, Somalingapalem and Kattu-palem.</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Payakaraopeta</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Gajapathinagaram</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
<td>38.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Godavari:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Velangi</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Yeleswaram</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Aryavatam</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Bhiravapalem</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>5.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Pandipalem</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Geddanapalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Godavari:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Uppuluru</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Achanta</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Pothunur</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Alampuram</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krishna:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Rudrapaka</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Korukolla</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Venukuru</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Dondapadu</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hyderabad:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Macha Bollaram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Kothapet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Nandiwanaparthi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Aziz nagar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Dhobipet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medak:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Shankerampet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Chowtakur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Digwal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Ranjole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Marepalli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Nandikandi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Chandur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Kowdipalli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Ramayampet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Nizampet (Ramayampet)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Sirgapur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Nizampet (Narayankhed)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nizamabad:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Gandkari</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Balkonda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Bichkunda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Bheemgal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Pitlam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Bibipet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Makdeo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Tadwai</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Yedpalli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Jukkal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Mupkal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Written Answers to Questions. 25th March, 1974.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Karimnagar:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Ramagundam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Mulkanoor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Kaleswar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Kothapalli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Gangadhara</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Husnabad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Elgaid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Gatlanarsingapur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Raichapalli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Thakkalapalli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Thadoherla</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Kodimyal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>44.64</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Adilabad:

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Chanda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Jainoor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Manjulapur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Chityal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Hangarga and Elvi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Luxettipet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Tandur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Kadamba</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Kokasmanur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Wankidi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Bheemaram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Waghapur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Utnoor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Soan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Amberpet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Thimapur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Mankapur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Rechini</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>39.47</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

3-7
### Warangal:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Geeskonda</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Inole</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Ghanpur (Shivunipally)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Mulug</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Maripeda</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Ingurthy</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Korvi</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Chinnanekonda</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Aswaraopally</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total | 37.09 |

### Khammam:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Palair</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Joolacheruvu</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Palvancha</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Burgampad</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Nelakondapalli</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Shujathabagar</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Bayyaram</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Siripuram</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Dummagudem</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Pandithapuram</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total | 40.48 |
<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mahaboobnagar:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Perur</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Padkal</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Peddur</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Utkoor</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Veljal</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Mannanoor</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Dhanwada</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Koilkonda</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Maddur</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Rudraram</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Janampet</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Mogilgidda</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Madharam</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>nalgonda:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Bollepalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Choutupal</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Thipparthi</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Narsingamatla</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Narketpalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Nerada</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Venkirayala</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Shaiguda</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Ramannapet</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
List of Spill-over Protected Water Supply Schemes taken up under L.I.C.
Loan Assistance Programme.

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nalgonda:**
1. Survail  ..  ..  ..  0.99
2. Annariguda  ..  ..  ..  0.53
3. Yerkaram  ..  ..  ..  0.70
4. Matoor  ..  ..  ..  0.50
5. Nallapur  ..  ..  ..  0.78
6. Alair  ..  ..  ..  2.05
7. Cholleru  ..  ..  ..  0.55
8. Raigiri  ..  ..  ..  0.77
9. Motakondur  ..  ..  ..  0.77

Total  ..  ..  ..  7.64

**Chittoor:**
1. Palamaner  ..  ..  ..  8.35
2. Puttur  ..  ..  ..  7.42

Total  ..  ..  ..  15.77

**Hyderabad:**
1. Pappalagada  ..  ..  ..  0.38
2. Gollapally  ..  ..  ..  0.30
3. Nallagadda  ..  ..  ..  0.33
4. Pedda Golconda  ..  ..  ..  0.30
5. Balapur  ..  ..  ..  0.50
6. Kukatpally  ..  ..  ..  1.64
<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7. Mailardevpalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Bowenpalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Dabirpura</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Yamjal</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Cherrial</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Yalal</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Thuramamidi</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Jeevangi</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Sangam Kalan</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Atchutapuram</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Gingurthi</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Bompalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Vennached</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Nancharla</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Mohammadabad</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Kanakamamidi</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Ekmamidi</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Rampur</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Arkatala</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Morangapalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Barwad</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Mothkupalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Motapalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Kotmarpalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Tekulapalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Velchel</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Yennaram</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Siddaloor</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Amberpet</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total .. .. 18.79
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kurnool:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Alur and other 38 villages</td>
<td></td>
<td>67.15</td>
<td>33.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nizamabad:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Rudrur</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prakasam:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Koppelle</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Alluru</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Podili</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Karamchedu</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Inagallur and 5 other villages</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>24.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Written Answers to Questions. 25th March, 1974.

CONSTRUCTION OF A CAUSEWAY OVER GOSTHAMI RIVER.

546—

2455 Q.—Sri U. A. Suryanarayana Raju:—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Hon’ble Minister for Transport who has personally witnessed the inconveniences of the passengers to cross over Gosthani river at Gopalapalli on Srunavarpukota-Vijayanagaram road, has recommended for the immediate construction of a cause way; and

(b) if not, whether the Government will immediately consider the same?

A.—

(a) It is a fact that the Hon’ble Minister for Transport witnessed the spot, but the has not made any recommendations, for the immediate construction of a cause way to cross the Gosthani river.

(b) The Government could not consider it immediately, in view of its stringent financial position.

FAMINE RELIEF FUND FOR PRAKASAM DISTRICT FOR THE CURRENT YEAR.

547—

1534-(T.) Q.—Sri Areti Kotaiah:—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the amount of famine relief fund allotted by the Government, for the current year to undertake famine relief works in the newly formed Prakasam district as the said district is in the grip of acute famine;

(b) the steps taken by the Government to meet the scarcity of drinking water and shortage of fodder in the district; and

(c) whether it is a fact that the loans allotted last year to harijans for fodder have been written off by the Government?

A.—

(a) (b) and (c) The answer is placed on the Table of the House.

ANSWER PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

(a) During the period from 1—4—1972 to 31—10—1973, an amount of Rs. 180.50 lakhs was allotted to Prakasam district for relief works.

(b) An amount of Rs. 31.89 lakhs was spent on provision of drinking water up to 31—10—1973. An other amount of Rs. 19-00 lakhs was allotted to Prakasam district for distribution of Taccawi loans for purchase of fodder and for subsistence.

(c) No Sir.

Written Answers to Questions.

REGULARISATION OF THE SERVICES OF DEPUTY COLLECTORS.

548—

2391 Q.—Sri A. Sreeramulu:—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the services of Deputy Collectors promoted between 1956 and 1960 were regularised in 1972.

(b) if so, the reasons for this delay;

(c) whether the Government agreed with the recommendation of the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission in all these cases of regularisation;

(d) if not, the number of cases where the recommendations of the Commission were overruled and the reasons therefor;

(e) the number of vacancies of Deputy Collectors existing as on date; and

(f) the reasons for not filling up of the vacancies till now?

A.—

(a) The services of Deputy Collectors promoted from the category of Tahsildars during the period from 1-11-1956 to 1-6-1961 were regularised in G. O. Ms. No. 1082 Revenue, Dated 16-9-1966. As regards Deputy Collectors promoted from the category of section officers of Secretariat/Superintendents of Board’s office the services of one promoted was regularised in G.O. Ms. No. 1082, Revenue, dated 16-9-1966 and the services of 15 Officers appointed during 1961-65 were regularised in G.O. Ms. No. 431 Revenue, dated 21-4-1972.

(b) Regularisation orders could not be issued earlier due to administrative reasons and due to pendency of writ proceedings in the High Court of Andhra Pradesh (in the case of Section Officers/Superintendents only). However as per the directions of the Government of India and the High Court of Andhra Pradesh, all promotions made from Tahsildar’s cadre to Deputy Collectors from 1-11-1956 have since been reviewed with reference to the Final Common Gradation List of Tahsildars as on 1-11-1956 and the Final Promotion List has been published in an extraordinary issue of Andhra Pradesh Gazette, dated 16-1-1974.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

(e) There is no vacancy of a Deputy Collector at present. (i.e.) as on 30-1-1974.

(f) Does not arise.

PROTECTED TENANTS IN TELANGANA.

549—

2444 Q.—Sarvasri K. Nardyana and Santhosh Chakravarthy:—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
Written Answers to Questions. 25th March, 1974.

(a) the number of protected tenants in Telangana area, who have not obtained pattas;
(b) the extent of land possessed by them;
(c) whether it is a fact that the tenant in the Khangies are deprived of the facilities provided in the Tenancy Act and are forced to purchase at a higher cost;
(d) whether these transactions will be valid and the nature of action proposed to be taken on the same;
(e) whether the Government will take steps to declare all these tenants as 'sonomoro pattedars' under section 38-E of Hyderabad Tenancy Act, 1950;
(f) if so, when it will be taken up; and
(g) whether action will be taken to see that the excess amount paid previously by those who purchased at a higher cost, will be got refunded to them?

A.—
(a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f) and (g) The answer is placed on the Table of the House.

ANSWER PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

(a) 2,81,719.
(b) 17,54,998 acres.
(c) The word ‘Kangies’ is derived from Urdu term ‘Khangi’. Khangi means private. The tenants in Khangies means the tenants who possess the land from the land-holders as per private terms and conditions between them: According to section 6 of the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1950 on tenancy can be cared for in respect of any land after three years from the date of commencement of the Act. As such the facilities provided in the Tenancy Act are not applicable to the tenants in Khangies as they are not recognised by Law.

CLAUSES (d), (e), (f) AND (g) :—Does not arise.

TREASURE TROVE IN PADAMADURU VILLAGE IN WARANGAL DISTRICT

* 2463-Q.—Sarvasri M. Nagi Reddy and Vanka Satyanarayana:—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is fact that hundred Ramatenkas (gold coins) were found three months back when the foundations for the house of Sri Mamilla Gopayya were being excavated in Pedamaduru village, Jangaon taluk, Warangal District;

(b) whether it is also a fact that some of those coins were embezzled by the police patrol of the said village and by the officials of the local police; and

(c) if so, the action taken by the Government.
(a) Only 60 gold coins were reported to have been found about two months prior to 20-7-1972 at Pedamaduru village under Jangam circle, at the site mentioned by the Member.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

### OUTSTANDING LAND REVENUE ARREARS.

551—

3165 Q.—Sri P. V. Ramana :—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the total amount of Land Revenue arrears outstanding by the end of June, 1973; and

(b) the steps taken to recover the same?

A.—

(a) Rs. 27.46 crores.

(b) Collectors have been instructed by the Board of Revenue to launch a special drive for collection of land revenue arrears during the present kist season.

### REGULATION OF VEHICULAR TRAFFIC ON MAIN HIGHWAYS IN THE STATE

552—

1864 Q.—Sri M. Narayana Reddy :—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the present administrative arrangements for the control and regulation of vehicular traffic on the main highways of the State to check violations of traffic regulations and ensure safe travel on roads;

(b) whether any survey was made on the following roads to ascertain the intensity of heavy vehicle traffic throughout the day:

   - Hyderabad—Adilabad.
   - Hyderabad—Vijayawada.
   - Hyderabad—Kurnool.
   - Hyderabad—Warangal.
   - Hyderabad—Bombay.

(c) if so the details of such survey; and

(d) the steps proposed to be taken by the Government to reduce hazards of accidents on highways.

A.—

(a) Government on experimental basis sanctioned three patrolling teams each consisting of 1 Sub-Inspector 3 Head Constables and 3 Police Constables to patrol the National Highways on the following zones—

   (1) Nathavalasa to Rajahmundry;
Written Answers to Questions. 25th March, 1974.

(2) Rajahmundry to Chilakaluripet;
(3) Chilakaluripet to Tada.

(b) Yes Sir. A survey was made by the Chief Engineer, Public Works (R. & B) Department in December, 1971.

c) A statement showing the traffic intensity on the above-mentioned highways in the State in December 1971 is placed on the Table of the House.

d) The steps proposed to be taken by the Government are indicated in the statement placed on the Table of the House.

STATEMENTS PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

Item (c): Traffic Census of December 1971 at certain points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Division.</th>
<th>Intensity in tonnage per day</th>
<th>Commercial vehicles per day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I.</td>
<td>Hyderabad-Adilabad Road:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Roads Division Hyderabad</td>
<td>11,155</td>
<td>1,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Nizamabad Division</td>
<td>5,281</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Adilabad Division.</td>
<td>13,162</td>
<td>1,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,589</td>
<td>827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,667</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II.</td>
<td>Hyderabad-Kurnool Road.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Kurnool Division</td>
<td>9,787</td>
<td>1,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Wanaparthy Division.</td>
<td>4,577</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Mahaboobnagar Division.</td>
<td>3,696</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Roads Division Hyderabad.</td>
<td>2,840</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,656</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,248</td>
<td>748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9,700</td>
<td>749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9,470</td>
<td>737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.</td>
<td>Hyderabad-Vijayawada Road:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Roads Division Hyderabad</td>
<td>20,705</td>
<td>1,597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Nalgonda Division.</td>
<td>10,941</td>
<td>1,848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Special Division, Vijayawada.</td>
<td>6,322</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15,258</td>
<td>1,859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV.</td>
<td>Hyderabad-Warangal Road:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Roads Division Hyderabad</td>
<td>14,496</td>
<td>26,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Nalgonda Division.</td>
<td>5,547</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Warangal Division.</td>
<td>3,091</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,118</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,105</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,386</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V.</td>
<td>Hyderabad-Bombay Road:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Medak Division.</td>
<td>10,890</td>
<td>1,776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Roads Division Hyderabad</td>
<td>10,220</td>
<td>951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12,791</td>
<td>977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13,270</td>
<td>986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item (d):

The following steps are proposed to reduce the hazards of accidents on National Highways—

All National Highways are proposed to be widened to two lanes. Substandard and awkward curves on these Highways are being improved to National Highways standards for the design speed of 100 kilometers per hour.

At and near villages and congested towns, in place of the existing stretch of National Highways by passess or better alignments are being adopted. Similarly in and around metropolitan cities ring roads are being investigated to connect the radial National Highway and State roads so that the National Highways by pass the crowded areas in the cities. Further existing Railway level crossings on State roads like Hyderabad-Warangal Road are proposed to be converted into road over-bridges in consultation with South Central Railway authorities in a phased programme.

DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME IN TRIBAL AREA IN POLAVARAM TALUK.

553—

2871 Q.—Sri Vanka Satyanarayana :—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state :

The medical and health amenities provided under 'Development Programme in Tribal Area' for the tribals in Polvaram taluk, in West Godavari District?

A.—

Government Dispensary at Jeelugumilli, Mobile Medical Unit at Polavaram, and Government Dispensary at Doramamidi in addition to the two Vaccination Units each at Primary Health Centres L.N.D. Peta and Buttayagudem are functioning in Tribal areas of Polavaram Taluk for the Welfare of Scheduled Tribes.

GIRIJAN CO-OPERATIVE CORPORATION.

554—

2892 Q.—Sri Vanka Satyanarayana :—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state :

(a) whether a review is made recently of the activities and financial transactions of the Girijan Co-operative Corporation; and

(b) whether the Government will constitute a Committee of the House to review the work of the said Corporation?
A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

SEPARATION OF JUDICIARY FROM THE EXECUTIVE IN AGENCY AREAS.

555—

1527(W) Q.—Sri M. Narayana Reddy :—While on the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state :

(a) whether the principle of separation of Judicial functions from Executive Officers, as envisaged under the constitution, has been extended to Agency areas in the State ;

(b) if not, the reasons thereof; and

(c) whether there are any other areas in the State, other than Agency areas where separation has not taken place ?

A.—

(a), (b) and (c) : Separation of Judiciary from the Executive has been completely effected in Andhra Pradesh State except in the Agency areas in Andhra and scheduled areas in Telangana. It is felt that administration of justice in Tribal areas will be effective and real when power namely Civil Revenue and Criminal are vested in one agency only.

OUTPUT OF COAL

556—

2113 Q.—Sarvasri M. Nagi Reddy and Vanka Satyanarayana :—will the hon. Minister for Industries be pleased to state :

(a) Whether there are any proposals before the Government to increase the output of coal in our State ;

(b) the present output per year and the proposed increase in output ; and

(c) Whether the Government will consider to tap coal deposits at Mulug, Warangal district in view of the said proposal.

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) The present output of coal by the Singareni Collieries Company Limited for the last 5 years is as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Tonnes in lakhs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>37.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>40.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>47.07 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-73</td>
<td>50.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973-74</td>
<td>40.15 (up to end of December, 1973)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed targets of production from the Singareni Collieries Company Limited during the Vth Plan Period are as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Tonnes in lakhs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1974-75</td>
<td>62.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975-76</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976-77</td>
<td>83.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977-78</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978-79</td>
<td>120.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) The exploratory drilling carried out by the Geological Survey of India to tap coal deposits in this area indicated the presence of only thin (about one metre thick) and impersistent coal bands associated with Carbonaceous Shale. In view of this inferior variety of coal, further exploration in this area is not proposed to be taken up in the immediate future.

**Alluminium Plant in Sriharikota Taluk.**

2731 Q.—Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Will the hon. Minister for Industries be pleased to state:

(a) whether there are any proposals with the Government to set up Aluminium Plant near Boddavari area of Sriharikota Taluk;

(b) if so, the estimated cost of the Plant.
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(c) when it will be set up; and

(d) whether sufficient bauxite deposits are available in the region?

A.—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) Yes, Sir.

INTER-STATE BUS ROUTES.

558—

1529(S) Q.—"Sarvasri M. Nagi Reddy and Vanka Satyanarayana"—Will the hon. Minister for Transport be pleased to state:

(a) whether our State Government and Tamil Nadu State Government have come to agreement on operating inter-State bus routes and also commercial vehicles;

(b) if so, the details of the agreement; and

(c) when the agreement will be implemented?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) A copy of the Draft Agreement entered into with Tamil Nadu Government is placed on the Table of the House.

(c) The Draft Agreement was published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette, dated 1-9-1973 Under Section 63 (3-A) of Motor-Vehicles Act and objections have been invited from the persons who have a right to make representation under the proviso to that Section. In the meanwhile, one of the Objectors filed Writ Petition No. 5309/73 on the file of the High Court, Madras impeaching the Government of Andhra Pradesh as one of the Respondents against the similar notification issued by the Government of Tamil Nadu. The High Court of Tamil Nadu has granted an interim stay in the matter. The Government of Tamil Nadu has also been requested to instruct their Government Pleader to defend this Government also. The result of the Writ Petition is awaited.
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RECIPROCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE STATES OF
ANDHRA PRADESH AND TAMIL NADU.

[G. O. Ms. No. 2364, Home (Transport-I) 21st August, 1972.]

RECIPROCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN ANDHRA PRADESH AND TAMIL NADU STATES.

Draft Agreement.

Whereas it is decided to control the plying transport vehicles on inter-State routes between the State of Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu in supersession of the Present agreement entered into by the Governments of Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu and published in G. O. Ms. No. 403, Home (Transport) Department, dated 24-3-1972 of Andhra Pradesh and G. O. Ms. No. 880, Home, dated 25-3-1972 of Tamil Nadu, the following Revised Reciprocal Agreement is entered into between the Governments of Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu, as follows:

This agreement is made this 5th day of August, one thousand nine hundred and seventy two, between the Governor of Andhra Pradesh (here-in-after called the Government of Andhra Pradesh which expression shall, include his successors-in-office) of the one part and the Governor of Tamil Nadu (here in after called the Government of Tamil Nadu, which expression shall include his successors-in-office), of the other part.

I. Stage Carriage Services:

(a) Inter-State routes for operation of state carriages of both the States shall be as shown in appendix. The routes specified in Parts-A and B of the said Appendix shall be operated respectively by the operators of Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu States.

(b) Temporary permits, duly countersigned may be issued where necessary for the operation of state carriages on the routes specified in the Appendix pending ratification of the Agreement. The vehicles plying on such temporary permits will be eligible for the taxation concessions referred to in Clause VI (a).

Once the agreement has been ratified the State Transport Undertakings may continue to operate on temporary permits with the same taxation concession pending finalisation of their Schemes.
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Where the Home State Transport Undertaking extends operation in future of any of its road transport services to any route or area situated within the reciprocating State with the necessary permission under section 20 of the Road Transport Corporation Act, temporary permits may be issued on single point taxation pending finalisation of their Schemes.

(c) Any variation of that part of an inter-State route which itlies exclusively in one State may be made by the Transport Authority of that State in respect of Services run by operators of that State provided that such variation by the Transport Authority of that State may be brought to the notice of the reciprocating State. In the case of inter-State routes where the variation is sought in the reciprocating State, it will be decided by the respective State Transport Authorities by mutual agreement having due regard to the principle of parity of service kilometrage referred to in para. (d) below.

(d) There shall be Parity of service kilometrage as far as possible in respect of inter-State routes. This principle will apply to the routes included in the Appendix, to variation thereof and to new routes to be opened.

(e) Where permits are issued by the authorities of the States in respect of stage carriages and taxi cabs on inter-State route as a result of agreements reached between the two States, the need for countersignatures in the reciprocating State in respect of such permits will be dispensed with. Each State will frame a suitable rule in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) (hh) of Section as 68 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939 for this purpose. This will apply to permits issued under Chapter-IV as well as under Capler-IV, A of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939.

(f) The fares and fright charged by an operator in the reciprocating State will not be less than those charge by the Operator of that State for similar services.

(g) Spare buses upto 20% of the fleet of buses of an operator of inter-State routes subject to a minimum of one spare bus will be allowed to ply on any inter-State route in the event of break-down of any bus.

II. Public Carriers:

(a) The quota of public carrier permits of each State to be countersigned by the other in accordance with the proviso to section 63(3) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939 (Central Act 4 of 1939) shall be 2,500 subject to the position being reviewed when the number of permits issued in any one State is 2,400.
(b) The counter signatures shall be granted for plying the vehicles on all National and State Highways with a deviation of thirty kilometers from such National or State Highways (other than prohibited routes):

Provided that such vehicles shall not on their return journey pick up any goods in the reciprocating State for setting down at any place or route in that State:

Provided further that on the forward journey there shall be no restriction on setting down goods anywhere in the reciprocating State but no goods will be picked up in that State.

(c) Oil Tankers:

The quota of oil tankers for each State shall be fifty exclusive of the quota of the public carriers and private carriers.

III. Private Carriers:

The quota of private carrier permits of each State to be countersigned by the other State shall be 100. The Home State Transport Authority satisfy itself about the bona fides of the business of the applicants in the other State before recommending their applications for grant of counter signature for the area or routes applied for.

IV. Taxis:

The quota of ordinary inter-State taxi permits of each State shall be 150. The vehicles shall be allowed to ply on all routes in the reciprocating State.

V. Temporary Permits:

Temporary permits to be issued by each State Government in respect of goods vehicles may not exceed 100 per month and contract carriages 5 per month. This agreement will be deemed to be concurrence as per section 63 (4) of Motor Vehicles Act, 1939. These permits may be granted for periods not exceeding thirty days at a time by the Transport Authority of the Home State in accordance with the conditions laid down in the short term licence agreement.

VI. Taxation:

(a) Transport vehicles covered by this Agreement shall be exempt from payment of any tax to the reciprocation State.
(b) Temporary permits referred to in Clause-V only shall be granted by the Transport Authorities of the Home State subject to the payment of taxes due to the reciprocating State by demand drafts drawn in favour of the Secretary, State Transport Authority of the reciprocating State. Temporary permits under clause-1 (b) will enjoy the benefit of single-point tax.

(c) Tax free tokens to single point tax vehicles shall be issued in the Home State itself on behalf of the reciprocating State.

(d) The cases covered by second proviso under section 63 (1) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939 (Central Act 4 of 1939), will also be exempt from payment of taxes.

VII. General:

(a) The local conditions regarding speed, load, dimensions and prohibited roads in the reciprocating State shall be observed by the permit-holder and the driver.

(b) The replacement of one vehicle by another by the Home State authorities may be intimated immediately to the State Transport Authority of the reciprocating State, stating the registration mark, seating capacity, unladen weight and the laden weight of the vehicles.

VIII.

Any clause in this Agreement or appendix to the agreement may be amended or a new one added to it at any stage after mutual agreement.

IX. This agreement shall be subject to review after a period of two years or earlier at the instance of either State Government.

S. P. AMBROSE, I. A. S.  E. V. RAM REDDI, I. A. S.
Secretary to Government, Home Department, Madras.  Secretary to Government, Home Department, Andhra Pradesh.

The above proposal is hereby published for information of persons likely to be affected thereby as required under section 63 (3-A) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939, notice is hereby given that the proposal will be taken into consideration after the expiry of 30 days from the date of its publication in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette (both days inclusive) and that any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person with respect thereto, before the aforesaid time, will be considered by the Government of Andhra Pradesh. Objections and suggestions should be addressed to the Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh in Home Department, Hyderabad, in triplicate.

DILSUKH RAM,
Joint Secretary to Government.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Route length in Kms.</th>
<th>No. of round trips daily</th>
<th>Service Kms. Andhra Pradesh in Tamil Nadu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Madras-Tirupathi (Via) Puttur and Nagalapuram</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Tirupathi to Madras (Via) Kalahasti and Satyavedu</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Anantapur to Madras</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Vijayawada to Madras</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Kurnool to Madras</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Kurnool to Vellore</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Nellore to Madras</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Hyderabad to Madras (Via) Kurnool</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Nellore to Ramapuram (Via) Gudur and Tada</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Tirupathy to Arkouam (Via) Renigunta and Puttur</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Tirupathy to Kanjivaram</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Chittoor to Vellore (Via) Katpadi</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Route Description</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Chittoor to Puttur (Via) Pallipet and Karvetinagar</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Madanapalli to Vellore (Via) Palamaner and Chittoor</td>
<td>115.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>128.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Pallipet to Tirupathi (Via) Vepagunta and Puttur</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>59.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Tirupathy to Vellore</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>103.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Tirupathy to Trivellore (Via) Renigunta and Puttur</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Chittoor to Puttur (Via) Vepagunta</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Arugonda to Vellore (Via) Chittoor</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Tumbakuppam to Vellore (Via) Chittoor</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Satyavedu to Chittoor (Via) Nagalapuram Nagari and Pallipet</td>
<td>102.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>115.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pakala to Satyavedu (Via) Chittoor</td>
<td>131.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>144.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Prodduturpeta to Chittoor (Via) Pallipet</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Chittoor to Satyavedu (Via) Nagalapuram, Nagari, Puttur and Karvetinagar</td>
<td>138.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>139.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Chittoor to Kalahasti (Via) Pallipet, Karvetinagar and Puttur</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>115.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Tiruttani to Palamaner (Via) Nagari, Pallipet and Chittoor</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>115.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Tirupathi to Kalahasti (Via) Puttur, Nagari, Nagalapuram and Satyavedu</td>
<td>166.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>167.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Tirupathy to Satyavedu (Via) Pattur and Nagalapuram</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>93.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Palamaner to Vellore (Via) Chittoor and Katpadi</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The table above lists various routes and distances along with the corresponding time and rate. The routes are from Chittoor to various destinations and vice versa, including intermediate points such as Pallipet, Karvetinagar, and Palamaner, among others.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(7)</th>
<th>(8)</th>
<th>(9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Madanapalli to Vellore (Via) Narayanapaka, Kallur and Chittoor.</td>
<td>147.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>160.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>78.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>Nagari to Satyavedu (Via) Nagalapuram and Uthukottai</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>Chittoor to Vellore (Via) Bohamassimudram, Chinnamuri, Chinthukuppam, V. Mathur Cross, Hassan and Katpadi.</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>160.0</td>
<td>320.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>Tirupathi to R.K. Pet (Via) Nagari and Pallipet</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>Vayalpad to Vellore (Via) Madanapalli and Chittoor</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>136.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>149.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>Tirupathi to Tiruttani (Via) Renigunta, Puttur and Nagari</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>Kalahasti to Kanjivaram (Via) Renigunta, Puttur and Nagari</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>318.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>Pallipet to Nagalapuram (Via) Nagari and Pichathur</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>78.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>Tirupati to Parnambut (Via) Danalacheruvu, Chandragiri, Irala, Chittoor and Gudilatham.</td>
<td>810.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>240.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>Mangalampet to Parnambut (Via) Danalacheruvu, Irala and Chittoor.</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>113.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td>Kalahasti to Puttur (Via) Satyavedu, Nagalapuram</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>115.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>Chittoor to Padañam</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td>Tada to Tad (Via) Karverinagar, Nagalapuram and Satyavedu</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>316.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>317.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Route Details</td>
<td>Fare</td>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Qty</td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Kappam to Parnambut (Via) Naimakeri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Madras to Venkatagiri (Via) Tada, Sulurpat and Naidupot</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>228.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Chittoor-Gudditham (Via) Paradarami</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>360.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Chittoor to Arcot</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>132.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Chittoor to Arugundam (Via) Arcot</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>186.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Tiruvallur to Kalahasti (Via) Satyavodeu</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>156.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Kesorla to Arcot</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>136.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Choudapalli to Gudiyatham (Via) Palmaner</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>152.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 7,300.0  
17,178.0

S. P. AMBROSE,  
Secretary to Government of Tamil Nadu, Home Department.

E. V. RAM REDDY,  
Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh, Home Dept.

26th March, 1974.
### PART—B

Statement showing Routes allotted to Tamil Nadu.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Operators</th>
<th>Route Length in Kms.</th>
<th>Service K.Ms. (Tamilnadu) in Andhra Pradesh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A.P.</td>
<td>T.N.</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Madras-Tirupathi (Via) Tada and Kalahasti</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>151.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Madras-Tirupathi (Via) Tiruvallur and Tiruttani</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>150.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Madras-Naidupet (Via) Tada and Sullurpet</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>106.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Tiruvannamalai-Tirupathi (Via) Chittoor</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>184.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Tirupathiur-Tirupathi (Via) Vaniyambadi and Chittoor</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>189.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Madras to Varadaapalayam (Via) Kannupet and Satyavedu</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>84.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Madras-Pitchathur</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Madras-Nagalapuram (Via) Redhills and Periyapalayam</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Madras-Puttur (Via) Red Hills and Periyapalayam</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Madras-Puttur (Via) Tiruvallur and Nagalapuram</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>114.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Route Description</td>
<td>Distance (km)</td>
<td>Train No.</td>
<td>Time (Hrs:Min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Madras-Satyavedu (Via) Red Hills and Kaveripet</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Madras-Venkatalur (Via) Tiruvellur</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>88.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Madras-Kalatadi (Via) Red Hills</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>112.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Madras to Arambakkam (Via) Red Hills</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Salem Chittoor (Via) Dharmapuri and Krishnagiri</td>
<td>132.0</td>
<td>138.0</td>
<td>270.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Madras to Bangalore (Via) Kanjivaram, Palamaner and Chittoor</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>338.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Tiruvallur to Salurpet (Via) Tada</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>96.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Maneluru to Arambakkam (Via) Kaveripatti and Gummidipoondi</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Chittoor to Tirupathur (Via) Guditham and Vaniyambadi</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>117.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Nagari to Sholingur (Via) Pallipet</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Vellore to Palamaner (Via) Guditham</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Red Hills to Satyavedu (Via) Tiruvallur and Uthukottai</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>72.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Tiruttani to Nagalapuram (Via) Nemili</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Kuppati to Krishnagiri (Via) Varakatampalli, Maharajagudi</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Kuppati to Berghai</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Tiruvallur to Vengalur (Via) Palamaner</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Tiruvallur to Ponnani (Via) Uthukottai and Satyavedu</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Ponnani to Pitchathur (Via) Kaveripet, Satyavedu, Uthumalai and Nagalapuram</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>74.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Tiruallur to Nagalapuram (Via) Uthukottai</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Karvettnagar to Tiruthani (Via) Pallipet, Chingleput</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>Chittoor to Varadayapalayam (Via) Tiruella and Uthukottai</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>Chittoor to Kanadammapam (Via) Malpudi and Ranipet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>Chittoor to N. N. Kandiga (Via) Ranipet and Sholnghur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>Nayaranvaram to Proddaturpet (Via) Puttur, Nagari and Pallipet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>Puttur to Ammavarkuppam (Via) K. Nagar and Pallipet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>Chittoor to Tiruthani (Via) Sholnghur Proddaturpet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>to Tiruthani (Via) Pallipet and Nagari</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>Chittoor to N. N. Kandiga (Via) Sholnghur and Tiruthani</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>Chittoor to Tiruthani (Via) Ammavarkuppam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td>Tiruthani to Koelpudi (Via) N.N. Kandiga</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>Chittoor to Arakonam (Via) Ponneri, Sholnghur and Tiruttani</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td>Puttur to Tiruvalangad</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.</td>
<td>Salem to Tirupathi (Via) Haroor and Chittoor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Destination</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>STD</td>
<td>Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Chittoor to Madras]</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>147.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Tiruchanni to Kalahasti (Via) Nagari, Puttur and Renigunta</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>83.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Ponneri to Nellimarathukandigal</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>8512</td>
<td>19740</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Written Answers to Questions.

2018 Q.—Sri B. Rama Sarma:—Will the hon. Minister for Transport be pleased to state:

(a) the number of buses in the R.T.C. Bus Dept at Devearkonda Nalgonda district;

(b) the number of buses that are not in working condition;

(c) the number of buses that are being run as per the Time-Table; and

(d) whether there is any proposal to start new bus routes under the said Depot?

A.—

(a) Thirty-two including four spare buses.

(b) Nil.

(c) Twenty-eight buses with forty-two schedules.

(d) On receipt of representations from the public, the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation have published schemes and also introduced services on the routes

(1) Devarkonda—Nampally (via) Mustipalli;

(2) Devarkonda-Suryapet (via) Miryalguda; and

(3) Devarkonda-Miryalguda (via) Parvedula.
NEW BUS STATION

Q.—Sri Vanka Satyanarayana. —Will the Hon. Minister for Transport be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have decided to allot 41 acres of land at Hussainsagar for A.P.S.R.T.C. to have a new city bus station.

(b) whether A.P.S.R.T.C. selected a suitable site at Vijayawada to construct a decent bus stand ; and

(c) the new bus stands or stations that are proposed for construction in 1972-73 and the stages of progress made in this respect ?

A.—

(a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) A site measuring 17.00 acres in Krishna Lanka area has been tentatively selected by the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation for construction of a bus stand at Vijayawada. Land acquisition proceedings are in progress.

(c) The Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation has proposed construction of new bus stations, bus stands as hereunder:

(A) Bus Station :

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Stage of work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Hanumakonda</td>
<td>The construction is in final stages. Finishing work is in progress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Nizamabad</td>
<td>The work has reached the roof level, finishing work is yet to be done.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B) Bus Stands: |

| 1. Kodad        | Construction has since been completed. |
| 2. Siddipet     | Work is in progress excavation work completed. |
NEW BUS STATION

Q.—Sri Vanka Satyanarayana. — Will the Hon. Minister for Transport be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have decided to allot 41 acres of land at Hussainsagar for A.P.S.R.T.C. to have a new city bus station.

(b) whether A.P.S.R.T.C. selected a suitable site at Vijayawada to construct a decent bus stand; and

(c) the new bus stands or stations that are proposed for construction in 1972-73 and the stages of progress made in this respect?

A.—

(a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) A site measuring 17.00 acres in Krishna Lanka area has been tentatively selected by the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation for construction of a bus stand at Vijayawada. Land acquisition proceedings are in progress.

(c) The Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation has proposed construction of new bus stations, bus stands as under:

(A) Bus Station:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Stage of work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Hanumakonda</td>
<td>The construction is in final stages. Finishing work is in progress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Nizamabad</td>
<td>The work has reached the roof level, finishing work is yet to be done.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B) Bus Stands:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Stage of work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Kodad</td>
<td>Construction has since been completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Sidupet</td>
<td>Work is in progress, excavation work completed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Sadasivapet  .. Work is in progress. Form work of roof slab is removed and brick masonry between columns, concreting for floor completed. Plastering, M.S. Steel doors and windows manufactured and put in position.

4. Kamareddy  .. The foundation work is in progress.

5. Mancherial  .. Plans under preparation. Architectural drawings are getting ready. The work will be taken up after this is finalised.

5. Nirmal, Jadcherla, Zahirabad, Jangoan and Tandur.  .. Land acquisition proceedings have been initiated.

6. Tenali  .. Land acquisition proceedings have been initiated.

7. Miryalguda  .. Site for bus stand is being finalised.

8. Nandigama  .. Administrative sanction for bus stand at Rs. 2 lakhs has been accorded in December, 1973.

9. Bapatla  .. Site is to be selected.

10. Bhadrachalam  .. Plans are under preparation.

---

RETRENCHMENT OF R.T.C. EMPLOYEES IN WEST GODAVARI DIVISION

2869 Q.—Sri Vanka Satyanarayana :—Will the hon. Minister for Transport be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a large contingent of R.T.C. employees are retrenched in West Godavari Division and the employees from Vizag dumped into West Godavari ; and
(b) whether R.T.C. employees protested against this during September, 1972 by a Dharna?

A.—

(a) No, Sir. It is not a fact that large number of employees belonging to Visakhapatnam District have been dumped into West Godavari Division. During the month of July 1972, out of 557 conductors, only 48 conductors and 44 drivers out of 619 were trench in West Godavari Division, since they were found in excess of the requirements on account of realignment of bus schedules for the slack-season as they were appointed temporarily to meet the requirements. However, all the retrenched employees have been absorbed subsequently as and when vacancies arose with the restoration of normal schedules.

(b) there was no protest by a Dharna.

Voluntary Health Associations.

562—

2083Q.—Sri P. V. Ramana:—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether there are any Voluntary Health Associations organised in the State:

(b) if so, what are they;

(c) the method of their functioning; and

(d) whether there is any co-ordination between the Government agencies and the Private agencies?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c): The names of the Institutions which are receiving financial assistance from the Government of Andhra Pradesh and other Organisations and the method of their functioning are enclosed.
[Vide answer to Cols. (b) and (c) of Question No. 2083 (S. No. 562) given by Sri P.V. Ramana, M.L.A.]

ANNEXURE—I

List of Voluntary Organisations engaged in Anti-Leprosy work in Andhra Pradesh.

1. Gandhi Memorial Leprosy Foundation, Chilakapally (Srikakulam District).
2. Philadelphia Leprosy Hospital, Salur, Srikakulam District.
4. Srikakulam District Leprosy Committee Chilakapally, Srikakulam District.
5. Leprosy Home and Hospital Vizianagaram, Visakhapatnam Dist.
6. S.V.V.S. Devasthanam, Annavaram, Rajahmundry, East Godavari District.
7. Leprosy Home and Hospital, Ramachandrapuram, East Godavari District.
9. Bethesda Leprosy Mission Hospital, Narsapur, West Godavari District.
10. St. Marry's Leprosy Centre, Bhimavaram, West Godavari District.
11. Damian Leprosy Centre, Vegavaram, West Godavari District.
13. Church of South India Leprosy Hospital, Nagari, Chittoor Dist.
14. Leprosy Investigation and treatment Centre, Zaheerabad, Medak District.
Written Answers to Questions. 25th March, 1976.

15. Church of South India Leprosy Hospital, Karimnagar, Karimnagar District.

16. Victoria Leprosy Hospital, Dichpally, Nizamabad District.

17. Sri Sivananda Leprosy Rehabilitation Centre, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.


21. French Aid Centre, Balijipet, Srikakulam District.

ANNEXURE—II

1. Ankapally Merchants Association Venkataswamy Naidu Free Maternity Hospital, Anakapalli, Visakhapatnam District. Providing Medical and Maternity aid to the people.

2. Fair Prices Depot (Regd.) Free Maternity Home, Rajahmundry, East Godavari District. Do.


6. Raj Kumari Amrit Kaur, T.B. Hospital, Madanapalli, Chittoor District. Do.
7. Premasamajam, Visakhapatnam Providing treatment to the Leprosy patients and running a Destitute home.

8. Leprosy Home and Hospital Vizianagaram, Visakhapatnam District Providing treatment to the Leprosy patients.

9. Philadelphia Leprosy Hospital, Salur, Srikakulam District.

10. Leprosy Home and Hospital Ramachandrapuram, East Godavari District.

11. S.V.V.S.S. Devasthanam, Leprosy Home Chendurthy, East Godavari District.

12. Bethesda Leprosy Hospital, Narasapur, West Godavari District.

13. Evangelline Booth (Salvation Army) Leprosy Hospital, Bapatla, Guntur District.

14. Krupalle Leprosy Hospital, Kodur, Cuddapah District.

15. Mathampally Dispensary, Mattampally, Nalgonda District.

16. Victoria Leprosy Hospital, Dichpally, Nizamabad District.

18. Aram Ghar, Home for disabled
1. To look after the disabled, and Chronic diseases, Hyderabad District, and also those through old age, sickness or some bodily defects have become disabled.
2. To provide boarding and lodging and occupational arrangements for all inmates of the institution admitted under (1) above and to rehabilitate such of them as are found fit for being rehabilitated.
3. To provide accommodation for disabled persons who can afford to pay for their maintenance in the Aram Ghar.

19. Sivananda Rehabilitation Home, Providing medical aid to Leprosy patients.
Kukatpally, Hyderabad District.
(1) To rehabilitate destitute leprosy patients.
(2) To isolate and take care of T.B. patients.
(3) Attending to their medical needs and providing free shelter and diets to those who cannot afford it.
(4) Providing occupational facilities in agrifarming, swine breeding, small scale industries with a view to make them self sufficient and self respecting citizens.


22. Sri Gowthami Jeevakarunya
Sangham Leprosy Home,
Rajahmundry, East Godavari
District.

Providing free medical aid to the Leprosy patients.

(1) Leprosy relief work (Survey and Demicillary Treatment).

(2) Dispensary for the poor.

(3) Rural Medical Relief.

(4) Orphange.

(5) Prevention of Animal Sacrifices.

(6) Uplift of Depressed classes

(7) Improvement of sanitation of depressed classes.

(8) Cremation of unclaimed dead bodies.

(9) Daily feeding for poor and disabled.

(10) Supply of milk to poor children.

(11) Help to respectable poor who do not like to beg.

(12) Service to evacuees.

(13) Relief to suffers of cyclone and Fire accident.

(14) Free education to poor children.

(15) Adult education propaganda.

(16) Religious unity.

(17) Philosophical preaching.
Written Answers to Questions.


24. College of General Practitioners, Andhra Pradesh, Conducting refresher course to the Medical Practitioners, Hyderabad.

25. Kakatiya Medical College Warangal, Warangal district (Towards remuneration of tuition fees).

26. Patanjali Yoga Research Institute, Andhra Pradesh, Doing research work in Ayurveda and preparation of Bhāsmas, Hyderabad.

27. Singareni Collieries Company Ltd., Kothagudem, Khammam District, Providing medical aid by running hospitals.

28. Indian Red Cross Society, Andhra Pradesh, State Branch, Secunderabad.

(d) There is satisfactory co-operation from these organisations.

REGIONAL CENTRE FOR RESEARCH IN CANCER.

2245 Q.—Sri Vanka Satyanarayana:—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government of India has communicated its proposal to the State Government, to set up regional Centres to intensify research in Cancer; and

(b) if so, whether our Government will set up one such Centre?

A.—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.
2331Q.—*Sri M. Narayana Reddy :—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) the extent of success achieved by the State in field of Family Planning vis-a-vis-Projected targets during the last 3 years;

(b) the reasons for the slow progress of the family planning programme in our State; and

(c) whether any special study is proposed to be undertaken in this behalf?

A.—

(a) A statement is placed on the Table of the House.

(b) The Progress in the last three years was not slow. In fact during the year 1972-73 there was a record performance of 3.4 lakhs of sterilizations in the State, in spite of the disturbed conditions for over 3 months in the Andhrá area.

(c) Yes, Sir.
STATEMENT LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

(Vide—Answer to item (a) of L.A.Q.No. 2331, (STARRED))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>2,92,700</td>
<td>2,25,064</td>
<td>75.34%</td>
<td>9,874</td>
<td>16.18%</td>
<td>1,73,000</td>
<td>1,41,634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>2,60,062</td>
<td>2,75,869</td>
<td>106.07%</td>
<td>11,114</td>
<td>176.46%</td>
<td>1,46,702</td>
<td>1,14,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1972-73</td>
<td>4,47,950</td>
<td>3,39,767</td>
<td>70.85%</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>36.71%</td>
<td>1,50,000</td>
<td>51,002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VASECTOMY OPERATION ON AN UNMARRIED PERSON.

565—

2403Q.—Sri A. Sreeramulu.—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a Vasectomy Operation was done under duress on an 18 years old unmarried boy on 25-4-1972 by name Sri P. Lakshminarasimham, son of Sri P. Kumaraswamy of Ponnekallu of Guntur Taluk by the Doctor in-charge, Mobile Unit on the Eluru Road, Vijayawada; and

(b) if so, whether any enquiry has been ordered into the incident?

A.—

(a) Vasectomy operation was done on Sri P. Lakshminarason, son of Sri P. Kumaraswamy of Ponnekallu of Guntur District by the Medical Officer, Vijayawada after obtaining his consent and consent of his wife as he was married having two children and was of 25 years of age.

(b) The District Medical and Health Officer, Krishna, enquired into the matter. It was found that the person operated on was married, aged 25 years and having two children. It was done after obtaining his consent as well as his wife's consent. However, the District Medical and Health Officer, has arranged for recanalisation of the operated person.

FILLING OF HEALTH INSPECTORS POSTS.

566—

2759Q.—Sri P. Sanyasi Rao.—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that about 1,500 posts of Health Inspectors are kept unfilled in the State for want of qualified candidates;

(b) whether the Director of Medical and Health Services has submitted proposals to permit him to appoint Grade II Inspectors in Family Planning and N.M.E.P.;

(c) whether it is a fact that the work in N.M.E.P. and Family Planning is suffering for want of Inspectors; and

(d) the steps taken by the Government in the matter?

A.—

(a) No, Sir. At present about 68 posts of Health Inspectors are vacant in Telangana region and these are no posts vacant in Andhra region.
(b) Yes, Sir. As there were no qualified candidates in Telangana region and as many as 250 posts of Health Inspectors under Family Planning and N.M.E.P. were to be filled up, Government have permitted the Director of Medical and Health Services in G. O. Ms. No. 270 Health dated 21-2-1972 to fill up the posts by unqualified Departmental candidates. 150 candidates were appointed as Health Inspectors during 1972 and subsequently 31 candidates were replaced by qualified candidates till the end of April, 1973. Action to replace the remaining unqualified candidates will be taken as and when sufficient number of qualified candidates become available.

(c) and (d) : No, Sir. The work in N.M.E.P. and Family Planning is not suffering for want of Health Inspectors as most of the posts were filled up. The remaining vacant posts in Telangana region will also be filled up soon after the ban in this regard is lifted.

CHECK OF THE WORK DONE BY FAMILY PLANNING DEPARTMENTS.

2760 Q.—Sri P. Sanyasi Rao:—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any independent organisation to verify at least 10% of the sterilizations cases said to have been done by the Family Planning Department to detect and arrest fraud and any false entries of sterilizations recorded by the Department;

(b) whether it is a fact that the Family Planning Officer, Visakhapatnam was charged with bogus work and hushing up of Malaria cases when he was Malarial Officer; and

(c) whether it is also a fact that the same officer is kept at Visakhapatnam ever since 1957 even though there are Government orders to transfer Officers after 3 years to avoid corruption etc., in administration?

A.—

(a) No, Sir. However, the Demographic and Evaluation Cell of the State Family Planning Bureau and District Statistical Assistants of the District Family Planning Bureau, will physically verify 2 to 5% of the cases done by contacting the operated persons at their residence through systematic random samples.

(b) There are no charges or complaints against Dr. B. Rama Murthy, Additional District Medical and Health Officer, (Family Planning) Visakhapatnam.

(c) Yes, Sir. Except for a brief period from 29th April, 1967 to 9th May, 1968.
25th March, 1974

Written Answers to Questions

MISAPPROPRIATION IN CHITTOOR DISTRICT-CO-OPERATIVE CENTRAL BANK.

568—

2606 Q.—Sri D. Venkatesam :—Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is gross misappropriation to a tune of Rs. 1,51,000 in Chittoor District Co-operative Central Bank, Chittoor.

(b) if so, the action taken in the matter;

(c) whether it is a fact that persons responsible for dereliction of duty and who are involved in the misappropriation were let off with simple warning; and

(d) if so, whether the Government will intervene and order for a thorough probe into the matter?

A.—

(a) It was found that a total amount of Rs. 3,85,362.95 was involved in various kinds of defalcations that have taken place during the period from 1965-66 to 1969-70 in the Tirupathi Branch of the Chittoor District Co-operative Central Bank, out of which Rs. 2,35,362.95 has been credited back leaving a balance of Rs. 1,50,000 under misappropriated amount to be recovered.

(b) An enquiry under section 51 of the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act 1964 was conducted into the affairs of the said branch of the Co-operative Central Bank. On receipt of the enquiry report, prosecution was launched against all the 9 delinquents connected with the frauds. The case is still under investigation of the Police. Simultaneously, action was taken to conditionally attach the immovable properties of the two Principal delinquents so as to secure the balance of Rs. 1.50 lakhs.

(c) and (d): The Bank has removed from service 8 delinquents for their direct involvement in the frauds and the 9th delinquent had already retired from the service of the Bank.

Disciplinary action was initiated against the departmental officers who worked either as auditors or on deputation as Secretaries of the Bank for their negligence of duty in detecting the frauds.

The Bank let off 3 other employees of the Bank (the Secretary, the Chief Accountant and the Manager with a warning for thier slack
supervision leading to the misappropriation and frauds. The question of surcharging the persons concerned is however under consideration by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies.

**WEAKER SECTIONS CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES.**

569—

2702 Q.—Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) the number of weaker sections Co-operative Societies organised during 1972-73 in Visakhapatnam and Srikakulam Districts;

(b) what is the criterion to constitute a weaker section;

(c) the purpose for which these societies were organised; and

(d) the amounts allotted to these societies?

A.—

(a), (b), (c) and (d): Answer is placed on the Table of the House.

**ANSWER PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE VIDE CLAUSES.**

(a) 36

(b) Washermen (Dhobics) Barbers Cycle Rickshaw Pullers Auto Taxi and Transportation vehicle drivers belong to the weaker Sections of the Society and Co-operative Societies are being organised for them.

(c) To ameliorate the societies' economic conditions of the Weaker Sections of the society.

(d) The following societies have been organised and the amounts sanctioned to these Societies during the year 1972-73 are indicated against each of them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and place of the Societies</th>
<th>Amount Sanctioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Srikakulam District:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Motor Workers Co-operative Transport Society Salur</td>
<td>Rs. 43,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Women Welfare Societies:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women Welfare Co-operative Society Salur</td>
<td>Rs. 5,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### III. Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Societies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Society</th>
<th>Amount (Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Society, Srikakulam</td>
<td>17,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Society, Narsannapeta</td>
<td>21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Society, Amadalavalasa</td>
<td>21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Society, Bobbili</td>
<td>12,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Society, Palasa</td>
<td>21,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Society, Tikkali</td>
<td>1,25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Society, Rajam</td>
<td>12,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Society, Salur</td>
<td>11,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Society, Palakonda</td>
<td>12,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indira Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Society, Parvatipuram</td>
<td>12,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venkateswara Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Society, Parvatipuram</td>
<td>21,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. Barbers Co-operative Societies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Society</th>
<th>Amount (Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barbers Co-operative Society, Srikakulam</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V. Washermen Co-operative Societies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Society</th>
<th>Amount (Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Washermen Co-operative Society, Srikakulam</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washermen Co-operative Society Parvatipuram</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washermen Co-operative Society, Palakonda</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washermen Co-operative Society, Kotabommala</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washermen Co-operative Society, Rajam</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washermen Co-operative Society Narsannapeta</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 21,000
### Visakhapatnam District:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Visakhapatnam Auto Rickshaw Drivers Co-operative Society</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Visakhapatnam Women Welfare Society</td>
<td>15,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Society, Vizianagaram</td>
<td>17,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Society, Yellamanchali</td>
<td>17,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Society, Thimmarajupeta</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Society, Payakaraopet</td>
<td>21,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Rickshaw Pullers Co-operative Society, Narsipatnam</td>
<td>17,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Harijan Co-operative Corporation Visakhapatnam (for rickshaws)</td>
<td>52,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. Barbers Co-operative Societies:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barbar Co-operative Society, Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### V. Washermen Co-operatives:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Kondakarala Washermen Co-operative Society</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Thimmarajupeta Washermen Co-operative Society</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Visakhapatnam Washermen Co-operative Society</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Anakapalli Washermen Co-operative Society</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Chodavaram Washermen Co-operative Society</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2213 Q.—Dr. Kalavathi:—Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Co-operative Rice Mills installed in the State.

(b) the number of them commissioned so far;

(c) the number of Mills working on loss and the number of mills working on profit out of the commissioned rice mills; and

(d) the details of loss or profit in each such case ever since their inception?

A.—

(a) (b) (c) and (d): The answer is placed on the Table of the House.

ANSWER PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

(a) 142.

(b) 117.

(c) During 1971–72, 66 rice mills were working. Out of these, 13 mills earned profit and 43 sustained losses. Information in respect of 10 mills is not available as the audit reports are awaited.

(d) A statement is placed on the Table of the House.
**STATEMENT SHOWING THE PROFIT/LOSS OF EACH COOPERATIVE RICE MILL WORKING IN ANDHRA PRADESH SINCE THEIR INCEPTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Location of the rice mill</th>
<th>Whether mills Commissioned</th>
<th>Details of Loss or Profit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nagarkurnool</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Badepalli</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Atmakur</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mahabubnagar District.**

1. Nagarkurnool...Yes.
2. Badepalli...Yes.
3. Atmakur...Yes.
4. Wanaparthty...Yes.

**Cuddapah District.**

5. Chemmunchpet...Yes.
6. Kamalapuram...Yes.

**Ananthapuram District.**

7. Tadpati...Yes.
8. Anantapur...Yes.

The rice mill is idle since 1969.
<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>4,907</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>8,663</td>
<td>4,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>2,300</td>
<td>4,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>4,498</td>
<td>4,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Husnabad</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>7,677</td>
<td>2,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>10,763</td>
<td>2,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>2,509</td>
<td>2,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>10,942</td>
<td>2,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Ghattudduddenapalli</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>8,755</td>
<td>4,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>4,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>97,205</td>
<td>17,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>41,000</td>
<td>17,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>11,923</td>
<td>17,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Mulkanoor</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>1,797</td>
<td>33,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>33,773</td>
<td>12,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>7,707</td>
<td>1,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>97,205</td>
<td>1,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>41,000</td>
<td>1,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>11,923</td>
<td>1,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>34,336</td>
<td>1,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Oppal</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>28,637</td>
<td>60,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>60,800</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>5,952</td>
<td>10,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>10,649</td>
<td>10,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>10,649</td>
<td>10,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>14,090</td>
<td>10,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>9,727</td>
<td>10,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Jammikunta</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>2,441</td>
<td>11,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>11,666</td>
<td>7,973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>7,973</td>
<td>7,973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>10,991</td>
<td>7,973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>5,110</td>
<td>7,973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>3,43</td>
<td>7,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Sircilla</td>
<td>Not commissioned</td>
<td>Machinery is yet to be installed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Metpally</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>6,680</td>
<td>5,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>5,162</td>
<td>5,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>5,411</td>
<td>5,162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Khammam District.

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Khammam</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>18,626</td>
<td>10,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>13,580</td>
<td>10,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>10,480</td>
<td>10,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>7,632</td>
<td>10,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>10,352</td>
<td>10,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Madhira</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>6,164</td>
<td>7,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>7,236</td>
<td>7,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KHAMMAM District.—Contd.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Wyra &amp; Yes.</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>610</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,370</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,830</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,307</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Yerrupalem &amp; Not yet commissioned.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adilabad District</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Nirmal &amp; Yes.</td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Jannaram &amp; Yes.</td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,057</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,229</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Utkoor &amp; Yes.</td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>41,034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medak District.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Medak &amp; Yes.</td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,594</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Macharam &amp; Yes.</td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,863</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Shivampet &amp; Not yet commissioned.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Chitapur &amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Gazwel &amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Tekmal &amp; Not yet commissioned.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Warangal District.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,237</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,775</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,838</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,881</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Ghanpur &amp; Yes.</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,418</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,596</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Chennarraopeta &amp; Yes.</td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,502</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,964</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WARANGAL DISTRICT.—Contd.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Parkal</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2,795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>3,681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>5,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>5,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Mulug</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Not yet commissioned. The rice Mill is under installation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hyderabad District.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. Pargi</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Upto 1970-71</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>Audit reports are not received for 1971-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. Ibrahimpatnam</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Do.</td>
<td>6,866</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41. Tandur</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Do.</td>
<td>4,310</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NIZAMABAD DISTRICT.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. Makloor</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>5,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>4,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>4,706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>22,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43. Sarangapoor</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>16,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>23,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>21,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44. Armoor</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>21,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>22,933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>21,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>1,663</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>12,474</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45. Ailapoor</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Not commissioned</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46. Lingampet</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Not yet commissioned</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47. Adooryladddy</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Not yet commissioned</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48. Munpalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>3,610</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>12,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>11,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>7,377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49. Padgal</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The rice mill is not working for want of funds to procure paddy in the season and sufficient paddy.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50. Chandoor</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>512</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>2,558</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>4,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51. Buruwada</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>2,520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>6,003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>2,029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Written Answers to Questions.

### 25th March, 1974

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Commission Status</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NIZAMABAD DISTRICT—contd.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52. Pitlam</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>.. Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1,697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>4,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53. Bichkonda</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>.. Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1,243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>5,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>7,265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54. Yedpalli</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>.. Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>13,863 Gross loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>345 Gross loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56. Chinnaindrakolanu</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>.. Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gross Profit</td>
<td>22,975</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57. Tadepalli (Modern Rice Mill)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Information is not available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58. Pulla</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>.. Yes</td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>G.P. 15,840</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>G.P. 10,541</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59. Bhimadolu</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>.. Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>G.P. 10,000</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60. Lankalakoderu</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>.. Yes</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>G.P. 2,145</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>G.P. 6,124</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>G.P. 1,278</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>G.P. 10,735</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61. Achanta</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>.. Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>G.P. 26,758</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>G.P. 2,543</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>G.P. 60,027</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62. Peddapulleru</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>.. Yes</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>G.P. 65,816</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>G.P. 11,516</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>G.P. 7,285</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>G.P. 38,161</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63. Kavitam</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>.. Yes</td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>3,379 Gross loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>16,630 Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>12,238 Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>25,413 Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>17,166 Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64. Veeravasaram</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>.. Yes</td>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>G.P. 37,050</td>
<td>8,900 Gross loss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>18,627 Gross loss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>7,414 Do.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>G.P. 37,050</td>
<td>..</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>4,964 Gross loss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65. Samlangudem (Nidadavolu)</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>Not yet commissioned.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.**

66. Tekkali .. .. Yes 1967-68 .. 1,356 1968-69 8,319 .. 1969-70 .. 1,388 1970-71 11,679 .. 1971-72 4,993 .. 67. Maliaputti .. Not yet commissioned. The District Co-operative Officer has reported that the mill was handed over to the society by the Marketing Federation without completion. The matter is under examination.


**CHITTOOR DISTRICT.**


**PRAKASAM DISTRICT.**

75. Kanigiri .. Yes The mill is idle since its inception.

**NALGONDA DISTRICT.**


### Written Answers to Questions—Mth Match, i9ti.

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nalgonda District—contd.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80. Huzurnagar .. .. Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>3,559</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>650</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>5,118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>8,323</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81. Kodad .. .. Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>4,042</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>650</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>6,922</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82. Bhongir .. .. Not yet commissioned.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Guntur District.

| 83. Isukapalli | Yes | 1968-69 | .. | 14,672 |   |   |
|   |   | 1970-71 | 13,817 | .. |   |   |
|   |   | 1971-72 | 13,050 | .. |   |   |
| 84. Repalle .. .. Yes | 1968-69 | .. | 43,076 |   |   |
| 85. Nidubrolu .. .. Yes | 1968-69 | .. | 32,321 |   |   |
| 86. Venur .. .. Yes | 1968-69 | .. | 21,721 |   |   |
| 87. Munipalle .. .. Yes | 1968-69 | .. | 16,154 |   |   |
| 88. Pedapalem .. .. Yes | 1968-69 | .. | 64,845 |   |   |
| 89. Nadimpalli .. .. Not yet commissioned. |   |   |   |   |   |
| 90. Mangalagiri .. .. Yes | 1967-68 | .. | 3,524 |   |   |
|   | 1968-69 | .. | 11,488 |   |   |
|   | 1969-70 | 4,607 | .. |   |   |
|   | 1970-71 | 11,999 | .. |   |   |
|   | 1971-72 | .. | 5,885 |   |   |
| 91. Tadikonda .. .. Yes | 1966-67 | .. | 748 |   |   |
|   | 1967-68 | .. | 8,561 |   |   |
|   | 1968-69 | .. | 13,345 |   |   |
|   | 1969-70 | .. | 2,151 |   |   |
|   | 1970-71 | .. | 5,616 |   |   |
| 92. Narasaraopet .. .. Yes | 1968-69 | .. | 5,283 |   |   |
|   | 1969-70 | .. | 8,909 |   |   |
|   | 1970-71 | .. | 20,209 |   |   |

### Nellore District.

<p>| 93. Nellore .. .. Yes | 1963-64 | 8,234 | .. |   |   |
|   | 1964-65 | 7,085 | .. |   |   |
|   | 1965-66 | 5,801 | .. |   |   |
|   | 1966-67 | 10,016 | .. |   |   |
|   | 1967-68 | 8,234 | .. |   |   |
|   | 1968-69 | .. | 2,418 |   |   |
|   | 1969-70 | .. | 9,443 |   |   |
|   | 1970-71 | .. | 8,663 |   |   |
| 94. Kudethepalem .. .. Yes | 1969-70 | .. | 2,342 |   |   |
|   | 1970-71 | 7,126 | .. |   |   |
|   | 1971-72 | 3,466 | .. |   |   |
| 95. Atmakur .. .. Yes | 1968-69 | .. | 829 |   |   |
|   | 1969-70 | .. | 12,254 |   |   |
|   | 1970-71 | .. | 7,192 |   |   |
|   | 1971-72 | .. | 13,585 |   |   |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>96.</td>
<td>Idur</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>1,859</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>9,443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>8,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.</td>
<td>Brahmadevam</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1,189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.</td>
<td>Kavali</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>7,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>9,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.</td>
<td>Allur</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>2,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>7,510</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>10,256</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>5,722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>12,399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>34,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>2,095</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1978-71</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.</td>
<td>Inamadugu</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>The mill was leased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.</td>
<td>Gannavaram</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>1,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102.</td>
<td>Venkatagiri</td>
<td>No yet commissioned.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103.</td>
<td>Darmanellure</td>
<td>No yet commissioned.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIZAG DISTRICT:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104.</td>
<td>Vizianagaram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105.</td>
<td>Dimili</td>
<td>No yet commissioned.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106.</td>
<td>Namavaram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.</td>
<td>Kakinada</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>51,212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>52,682</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>27,035</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>65,524</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108.</td>
<td>Peddapurappadu</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>14,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>31,361</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>22,371</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109.</td>
<td>Kapileswrapuram</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>3,224</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>3,026</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>8,026</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110.</td>
<td>Muramanda</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>23,923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111.</td>
<td>Mandalapeta</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>33,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112.</td>
<td>Tapeswarapam</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>..</td>
<td>11,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113.</td>
<td>Kadiam</td>
<td>No yet commissioned.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114.</td>
<td>Muggala</td>
<td>No yet commissioned.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115.</td>
<td>S. Annavharam</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>14,482</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>11,024</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>10,750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>16,947</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116.</td>
<td>Peddaharivanam</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>9,368</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>5,647</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>5,095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117.</td>
<td>Gudekal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>9,019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>2,228</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>4,301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118.</td>
<td>Parla</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>344</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>4,483</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>21,455</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>16,314</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>25,477</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119.</td>
<td>Nandikotkur</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>7,048</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>3,253</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>29,61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>1,19,518</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>1,11,003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120.</td>
<td>Nandyal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>73,508</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>1,50,234</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>1,44,192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121.</td>
<td>Banaganapalli</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>11,835</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>70,897</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122.</td>
<td>Timmapuram</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>25,388</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>30,093</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>34,279</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123.</td>
<td>Deebaguntla</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>2,607</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124.</td>
<td>Kolavennu</td>
<td>Not yet commissioned</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125.</td>
<td>Prasadampadu</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>2,227</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>19,351</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>4,528</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>9,378</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>1,085</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>14,578</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>2,360</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126.</td>
<td>Kallur</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>4,379</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>548</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>1,699</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>11,111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>49,707</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127.</td>
<td>Kowtaram</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>5,813</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>13,944</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>Not working</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>Not working</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128. Motur</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>1,670</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>1,381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>1,084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129. Ghantasala</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,866</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td></td>
<td>39,406</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,04,486</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,22,941</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,06,669</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130. Mardakupatnam</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,13,390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,33,526</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,55,046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,46,583</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131. Bhatlapanamaru</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td></td>
<td>18,485</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td></td>
<td>64,353</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>67,125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td></td>
<td>36,885</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132. Gampalagudem</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td></td>
<td>59,370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td></td>
<td>73,332</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>90,822</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133. Veeravalli</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>13,443</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td></td>
<td>13,429</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>321,031</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134. Vuyyur</td>
<td>Not Commissioned</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135. Mudunuru</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td></td>
<td>42,881</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td></td>
<td>19,915</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>16,460</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136. Arugolanu</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td></td>
<td>31,068</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td></td>
<td>48,338</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>14,536</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td></td>
<td>33,156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137. Juggaiahpet</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,369</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>14,817</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138. Gannavaram</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td></td>
<td>41,107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>24,834</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>21,164</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>19,779</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139. Godavarru</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>1,485</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,714</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,730</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,730</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140. Gudivada</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td></td>
<td>90,989</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td></td>
<td>65,165</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>17,395</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>36,322</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141. Nuzvid</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,376</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td></td>
<td>19,847</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>3,637</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,387</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>17,335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14W. Indupalli .. .. Yes 1968-69 .. 74,194
1969-70 .. 1,47,734
1970-71 .. 1,57,069

NIZAMABAD DISTRICT—(Contd.)

143. Bodhan .. .. Yes 1967-68 15,537 ..
1968-69 .. 18,659
1969-70 15,303 ..
1970-71 37,160 ..
1978-72 .. 2,188

GUNTUR DISTRICT—(Contd.)


REDUCTION OF EMPLOYEES IN CALTEX REFINERY

2509 Q—Sri P. Sanyasi Rao :—Will the hon. Minister for Labour be pleased to state:

(a) the number of employees working in the Caltex Refinery at Visakhapatnam during the years 1965 and 1972 respectively;

(b) the extent of production during 1965 and 1972 respectively;

(c) the reasons for reducing the strength of the staff and whether the management got the permission of the Government for the same; and

(d) whether it is a fact that the burden of the work of those employees who were removed is being borne by the remaining employees?
A.—

(a) The number of workers working in Caltex from 1965 to 1972 years-wise is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of Workers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>373</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Date relating to production is treated as classified information by the Government of India. Hence, it cannot be published.

(c) Reduction in the strength of staff has occurred due to voluntary resignations of the Employees over the years, and no employee was retrenched or dismissed or asked to resign during the above period. Permission of the Government is not required for reorganisation of the work in the company.

(d) No employee was removed from service. The burden of work was not distributed among the other employees.

PRIVATE MOTOR TRANSPORT WORKERS UNION AT NALGONDA.

572—

2022 Q.—Sri B. Ramaswamy:—Will the hon. Minister for Labour be pleased to state:

(a) whether a private Motor Transport Workers' Union is formed in Nalgonda;

(b) if so, the number of branches of the said union;

(c) the number of members in the said union;
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(d) whether there are any complaints from the said union regarding the payment of salaries to the workers as was decided by the Government; and

(e) the nature of action proposed to be taken against the owners who have not implemented the decision of the Wage Board?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir, 6 unions were formed and registered.

(b) Nil.

(c) (1) The Road Transport Motor Workers Union, Suryapet 101

(2) Nagarjunasagar Project Transport Employees Union 198

(3) Motor Workers Union, Bhongir 145

(4) Devarkonda Taluk Motor Transport Workers Union 47

(5) Nalgonda Taluk Motor Transport Workers Union 104

(6) Miryalaguda Taluk Motor Transport Workers Union 53

(d) No complaint has been received in regard to payment of salaries to the workers.

(e) As the Wage Board recommendations for Road Transport Industry are not statutory, they have to be got implemented by persuasion and efforts are made by the Labour Department to persuade the managements.

ELECTRIFICATION OF CHEJERLA VILLAGE

573—

1535(S) Q.—Sri D. Krishna Reddy:—Will the hon. Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal with the Government to electrify the Chejerla village, Narasaopet taluq, Guntur district;

(b) if so, when the work will be started; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?
A.—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c): A third Cluster Scheme in Guntur district for Narasaraopet taluk was sanctioned by Rural Electrification Corporation but the village Chejerla has not been included in the scheme by the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board as the extension of supply to this village is not found feasible due to the fact that it is located at a distance of about 7 miles away from the nearest electrified village. The Budget position of the Board is not sound and if the electrification of this village is to be taken up now, the Board requires a subsidy of Rs. 90,000 towards the cost of lying 11 KV line from Nakreckal to Chejerla. The Tourism Department have also expressed their inability to provide for the cost of the scheme due to their meager budget provision.

NUCLEAR RESEARCH CENTRE IN ANDHRA PRADESH

574—

2684 Q.—Sri D. Krishna Reddy:—Will the hon. Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to set up a Nuclear Research Centre in the State:

(b) if so, when; and

(c) the steps proposed to be taken in that direction?

A:—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c): Does not arise.

ELECTRIFICATION OF VILLAGES.

575.—

2831 Q.—Sri Nallapareddi Sreenivasulu Reddi:—Will the hon. Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) the number of villages which are being newly electrified in Andhra Pradesh under scheme works other than those which come under cluster scheme and famine relief works during 1972-73;

(b) whether it is a fact that even a single village in Circar districts has not been included in the same;

(c) the number of villages in the State that will be electrified in the year 1973-74; and
(d) the number of villages in Circar districts, that will be electrified during the said year?

A—

(a) 335

(b) No, Sir. 24 villages out of 335 villages mentioned in clause (a) above are from Circar districts.

(c) 665

(d) 160

**ADDITIONAL INCREMENTS TO POST GRADUATE TEACHERS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS.**

576—

2055 Q.—Sri O. Venkatasubbaiah:—Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that B.Ed., Assistants working in Secondary Schools having post-graduate qualification are given three additional increments;

(b) whether it is also a fact that these increments are beneficial to new entrants only; and

(c) whether the Government will consider to extend this benefit to the teachers already in service?

A.—

(a) Post graduates with B.Ed., qualification working in the scale of Rs. 150-300 are allowed 5 advance increments, i.e., they will start at Rs. 200 in that scale which will be absorbed in future increments. Similarly trained graduates are allowed 3 advance increments in the same scale i.e., they will start at Rs. 180 to be absorbed in future increments.

(b) (c) The above concession is applicable to new entrants as to those already in service.

**ROAD BETWEEN TANDRA AND ATCHAMPET ON HYDERABAD-SRISAILAM HIGH WAY.**

577—

2024 Q.—Sri B. Rama Sarma:—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works Department be pleased to state:
(a) Whether it is a fact that the P.W.D. road between Tandra and Atchampet via Gundlapalli on the Hyderabad-Srisailam Highway is in a bad condition with gravel scattered all over the road due to heavy traffic of lorries and buses, with the result that there is inconvenience for communications;

(b) whether there is any proposal before the Government to black-top the said road;

(c) if so, whether the work will be taken up during the current financial year; and

(d) whether there is any proposal to transfer the said road to P.W.D.?

A:

(a) The road from Tandra to Achampet via Gundlapalli partly belongs to Public Works (R. & B.) Department and partly to the Public Works (Irrigation) Department. The latest stage is as follows:

(1) Road bit from Tandra to the Northern junction of the Dindi Project Road at Zupalli, 2 K.M. in length, belongs to Public Works (R. & B.) Department and it has a B.T. surface in good condition.

(2) A total length of 14 Miles 3 furlongs of the road starting from Northern junction of Dindi Project Road up to one mile South of Dindi Project, is a metalled one and requires repairs. Out of the balance length of this road a stretch of 7 miles has recently been semi-grouted with bitumen surface and the remaining portion of 3 Miles 2 furlongs is again a metalled road.

(3) The road bit from Southern junction of Dindi Project Road to Achampet 3 K.Ms. in length belongs to the Public Works (R. & B.) Department and has a B.T. surface in good condition.

(b), (c) and (d): Orders have been issued in November, 1973 transferring the stretch of the road which was hitherto under the control of the Public Works (Irrigation) Department to the Srisailam Project organisation for carrying out repairs, improvements and maintenance.

House sites to Harijans of Bheemavaram etc., villages in Kandukur Taluk.

578—

2498 Q.—*Sri M. Audinarayana Reddy.*—Will the hon. Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the sanction of house sites to harijans of Bheemavaram, Machavaram and Chagolli villages, in Kandukur taluk, Prakasam District is being delayed since two years; and
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(b) if, so the reasons for delay?
A:—

(a) and (b): Yes, Sir. All pending land Acquisition Cases are being reviewed in the context of the Land Ceiling Law. Hence the delay.

REGIONAL OFFICE OF THE STATE ARCHIVES AT TIRUPATI.

579—

2575 Q.—Sri Vanka Satyanarayana.—Will the hon. Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) whether a regional office of the State Archives is opened at Tirupathi this year as announced in the recent Budget Session;

(b) whether the Oriental Manuscripts Library and Research Institute have undertaken the publication of several ancient manuscripts; and

(c) if so, the publications came out so far?
A:—

(a) The Regional Office of State Archives was opened at Tirupathi on 3-12-1973.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) The publications came out so far are:

(1) Seethakalyanam (Telugu Yakshagana Nataka),

(2) Sriranga Padamulu (Telugu Classical Songs and Poems),

(3) Indumati Parinayamu (Telugu Kavyamu),

(4) Radha Vamsidhāra Vilasa Natak (Yakṣa Gana Natak in Hindi),

(5) Sree Geeta Govindum:—Geeta Sankaram (Sanskrit Astapadees),

(6) Enugula Veeraswamy's Journal (Kasiyatra Charitra in English) and

(7) Sri Virupaksha—Sree Rama Copper Plate Inscription 1576-1644 A.D.}

Statement by the Minister for Health and Medical.

re: Strike by Junior Doctors.

L.A. CASES IN ALUR TALUK

580—

2608 Q.—Sri Rajaratna Rao:—Will the hon. Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) the number of land acquisition cases pending in Alur taluk of Kurnool district for the provision of housesites to harijans;

(b) the reasons for the delay in granting pattas for house sites; and

(c) when will be the pending cases finalised ?

A:—

(a) Land Acquisition Proceedings are pending only in one case in Alur taluk. 28 other cases are under investigation.

(b) Pattas are being granted as soon as the Land Acquisition Proceedings are concluded.

(c) The pending cases are being reviewed with reference to the provisions of the Land Ceiling Act, availability of funds etc. They will be finalised as early as possible.

STATEMENT BY THE MINISTER FOR HEALTH AND MEDICAL

re: STRIKE BY JUNIOR DOCTORS.

Sri K. Rajamallu:—The Interns and the Postgraduate students have been on strike from the mid-night of the 20th February and some of the Executive Members were on hunger strike from 17th of March. Medical students of various medical colleges have also joined in the strike. We have been in discussion with them right from the time they are on strike, in the series of meetings they had with the officers of the Health Department, Minister for Health & Medical and the C. M., and finally it was decided as follows:

1. It was agreed in principle to provide free residential accommodation including water and electricity, for all the Internes and Postgraduate students. Accommodation will be provided in a phased manner. As an interim measure, accommodation will be provided at various teaching hospitals by making local arrangements.

2. The Interns have been paid Rs. 50 upto October, 1972. This was enhanced to Rs. 200 p.m. and Rs. 10 was given as house rent allowance for such of those interns who were not provided with accommodation. It has been decided to increase this to Rs. 250 p.m. with effect from 1-3-1974. House rent allowance will be continued to be paid to such of those who do not get accommodation.

As far as Postgraduate students are concerned prior to October 1972 they were not paid any stipend. In October 1972 it
was decided to pay a stipend of Rs. 200 p.m. which was enhanced to Rs. 250 p.m. from 1-4-73. Now it has been decided to enhance this to Rs. 300 p.m. with effect from 1-3-1974.

3. It was also decided that there will be an upward revision of emoluments for interns and postgraduate students from 1st July 1974. To implement this, a committee will be appointed consisting of some Principals of the Medical Colleges and representatives from the Junior Doctors' Association to find out ways and means of implementing the decision.

4. The question of recruitment of medical graduates through the directorate of Medical & Health Services and not through the employment exchanges will be examined in the light of the Central Act in this regard.

5. It was decided that all interns and postgraduate students irrespective of the fact whether they are in the private or Government medical colleges, will be paid stipends at the rates applicable to them. This will be applied to the existing postgraduate students with effect from the date they joined.

6. It was decided that interns will be paid the stipend for twelve months which they will do, with 30 days casual leave. In case of extension in internship due to sickness or otherwise, for the extended period they will be paid the stipend subject to the condition that the entire period of payment of stipend shall not exceed twelve months.

7. It was decided to grant 30 days casual leave per year for a postgraduate student. The leave can be accumulated during the period of their postgraduate study.

8. It was decided that maternity leaves, not exceeding 30 days, will be granted to woman postgraduate students without loss of stipend.

9. It was decided that during the sick period of any intern or postgraduate student, they will be given the same medical facilities in the hospitals on par with Government doctors.

10. It was also decided to fill up all the existing vacancies of Civil Assistant Surgeons in the regular cadre at the earliest.

11. As far as senior house-surgeons are concerned, the present practice of charging fee will be waived.

12. The question of having a uniform medical education and post-graduate medical selection is being considered separately in consultation with the three Vice-Chancellors.

13. It was decided to pay the stipends to the existing interns and postgraduate students who had participated during the separatist agitation.
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14. There will be no victimisation for the interns, and postgraduate students who participated in the strike.

15. In respect of interns, it was decided that the strike period will be adjusted with the total remaining casual leave and for the extended period, stipend will be paid, and the fact that they participated in the strike will not be recorded in the certificates given to the interns.

16. As far as postgraduate students are concerned, the present strike period will be adjusted towards their cumulative leave period. It was also decided that in case of examination-going students immediately for whom leave period is exhausted, in respect of them the extra period will be condoned for purpose of stipend.

17. As far as self-employment scheme is concerned, it is agreed in principle that it should provide the best possible avenues for such of those unemployed doctors who want to get benefit under the scheme.

18. The Government of Andhra Pradesh had previously agreed in principle for the Constitution of Indian Medical & Health Service, but subsequently the Government of India dropped the proposal. If it is again taken up by the Government of India, this State will support.

19. A working group has been appointed consisting of 3 Secretariat and 3 Medical Officers and one retired Director of Medical & Health Services, to go into the question of raising funds for medical care through insurance scheme, contributory schemes and by tapping cooperative fund etc. This working group has also been asked to lay special emphasis on the employment of doctors in the State.

While making the above statement, I may mention that most of the demands of the junior doctors have been met. I am sure the Hon'ble Members of this august House will share the concern that this will satisfy the Junior doctors and they would immediately call off the strike. In the end I thank all those who have helped the Government in arriving at a satisfactory solution to all concerned. Thank you, Sir.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Mr. Chairman, we were reading in the papers till yesterday about this agitation and I am glad that the hon. Minister has made a statement. It would have been better if a copy of the same had been furnished to us. Time and again, we will be telling that it is due to the Government's omission many things are flaring up. Therefore, in matters like this, copies of the statement made should be furnished to us. I hope, the hon. Minister will furnish copies of the statement.

Sri K. Rajamalli:—I will see that the copies are placed on the Table of the House.
Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re: Scarcity of diesel oil and sale at high prices.

PRESENTATION OF PETITION
re: LIBERALISED PENSION SCHEME AND DEARNESS ALLOWANCE, etc. TO RETIRED TEACHERS OF NON-GOVERNMENT SECONDARY AND PRIMARY SCHOOLS.

Sri N. Srinivasul Reddy:—Sir, I present a petition signed by the Retired Teachers of non-government Secondary and Primary Schools of Andhra area regarding Liberalised Pension, D.A. etc.

(The petition was presented to the hon. Chairman.)

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re: CLOSURE OF some of the Units in Cement Factories in the State due to shortage of coal. - (Postponed.)

re: SCARCITY OF Diesel Oil and Sale of Diesel Oil at High Prices.

Sri M. Narayana Reddy:—Sir, with regard to the shortage of Indian oil in this particular period when it is mostly required by the agriculturists, the acute shortage can be easily imagined from the fact that the R.T.C. organisation has to get the diesel oil directly from Madras. Otherwise, half of the buses would stopped by now. That only shows that diesel oil is not available in the State. This shortage is not on account of lack of supply in the country but it is mainly due to non-availability of wagon tankers. We know that the wagons from the railways are not being given in time and in adequate numbers to get the diesel from Madras. Therefore, what steps have been taken to get the wagons has to be explained.

DieSEL is being sold in black market at the rate Rs. 1.50. to Rs. 1.80 in different places. Added to that, the mobile oil which is needed by the agriculturists for pumpsets is being sold at Rs. 60 per tin of five litres and over 200 litres of diesel oil is required by the oil engines. Therefore, in order to supply diesel to the agriculturists at the controlled rate, it is necessary that action is taken under Sec. 3 of the Essential Commodities Act by the Collector and other authorities at several places throughout the State. For this purpose at present the powers are being exercised very, very sparingly and rarely by the Civil Supplies authorities. This should have been given to the Transport Department so that the R.T.O.s. and Motor Vehicles Inspectors and others could have exercised those powers and regulated the supply. On these two points, the hon. Minister may kindly mention.
5.00 p.m. 25th March, 1974. Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance:

re. Scarcity of diesel oil and sale at high prices.

M. Narayana Reddy:— The diesel and mobile oil has gone underground. It is not available with the bunks. Unless minimum rates are fixed, there would not be any impact. The Collectors must be instructed to receive the sale reports every day from the bunks. Then only knowing what is the quantity received by each bank in a taluk or district and how much is sold on that particular date some effective action could be taken. These two things should be enforced immediately. Otherwise there won't be any scope of improvement at all. These two things the Hon. Minister may kindly consider.
Mr. Chairman:— The call attention given notice of by Sri G. Bhoopathy regarding the urgent need to supply the quantum of electricity required by the Sircilla Electricity Co-operative Society in Karimnagar district is postponed for tomorrow.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

(1) ANNUAL ACCOUNTS AND AUDIT REPORT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY FOR 1970-71.

Sri B. V. Subba Reddy:—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Annual accounts and Audit Report of Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University for the year 1970-71 as required under sub-section (2) of Section 37 of the Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University Act, 1962.

Mr. Chairman:— Paper laid on the Table.

(2) ELEVENTH ANNUAL REPORT ON THE WORKING AND AFFAIRS OF A. P. INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD., HYDERABAD FOR THE YEAR ENDED 31-3-1972.

Sri V. Krishnamurthy Naidu:—Sir, on behalf of the Minister for Industries, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the 11th Annual Report on the working and affairs of the Andhra Pradesh Industrial Development Corporation Limited, Hyderabad for the year ended 31-3-1972 and the Audit Report with the comments thereon of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India, under Section 619 (A) of the Companies Act, 1956.

Mr. Chairman:— Paper laid on the Table.


Sri V. Krishnamurthy Naidu:—Sir, on behalf of the Minister for Industries, I further beg to lay on the Table a copy of the 12th Annual Report on the working and affairs of the Andhra Pradesh Industrial Development Corporation Limited, Hyderabad for the year ended 31-3-1973 and the Audit Report with the comments thereon of the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India, under Section 619 (A) of the Companies Act, 1956.

Mr. Chairman:— Paper laid on the Table.

(4) TWELFTH ANNUAL REPORT OF THE A. P. SMALL SCALE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD., FOR 1972-73.

Sri V. Krishnamurthy Naidu:—Sir, on behalf of the Minister for Industries, I further beg to lay on the Table a copy of the 12th Annual Report of the Andhra Pradesh Small Scale Industrial Development Corporation Limited, for the year 1972-73 together with the
Mr. Chairman: Paper laid on the Table.


Sri V. Krishnamurthy Naidu:—Sir, on behalf of the Minister for Industries, I further beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Annual Report of M/s. The Republic Forge Company Limited for the year 1972-73 in compliance with section 619 (A) (3) of the Companies Act, 1956.

Mr. Chairman:—Paper laid on the Table.


Sri K. Krishnamurthy Naidu:—Sir, on behalf of the Minister for Industries, I further beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Annual Administration Report of the Andhra Pradesh Khadi and Village Industries Board for the year 1972-73 under sub-section (2) of Section 32 of the Andhra Pradesh Khadi and Village Industries Board Act, 1958.

Mr. Chairman:—Paper laid on the Table.


Sri Ch. Devananda Rao:—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the State Administration Report for 1970-71, on the working of Public Libraries, under Section 8 (e) of the Andhra Pradesh Public Libraries Act, 1960.

Mr. Chairman:—Paper laid on the Table.

PRESENTATION OF THE DEMAND FOR EXCESS GRANTS FOR 1967-68.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—Sir, I beg to present the demands for excess grants for 1967-68.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Sir, I raise a point of order.

Rule 171 which deals regarding presentation of Excess grants reads as follows:

"The rules of procedure relating demand for supplementary or additional grants shall apply to demands for excess grants under Article 205 of the Constitution with such adaptations as the Speaker may deem necessary." I want to know whether this provisos are being implemented. Now, in this connection, Rule 167 reads as follows:

"167. Further demands for grants: On a day fixed by the Speaker before the last of the days allotted by him for moving of demands for grants, further demands for grants may be moved:"
Presentation of the Demands for Excess Grants for 1967-68.

Provided that:

(i) They are required for purposes which in the opinion of Governor are of an emergent nature;

(ii) They are for new matters which have not been included in the original estimates of the year.

Such demands shall be classified according to the original demands for grants the details being shown by sub-heads of appropriation and detailed account heads under each grant.'
5.10 p.m.

Presentation of the Demands for Excess Grants for 1967-68.

After the scrutiny of the Public Accounts Committee, it will be presented here. Similarly, of course these Excess Grants should go before the P. A. C.

1. They are required for purposes, which in the opinion of Governor are of an emergent nature;
2. They are for new matters which have not been included in the original estimates of the year 1967-68.
3. They are to meet the exigencies of the Public Expenditure of the year 1967-68.
Budget for 1974-75 (Vote on Account): 25th March, 1974
General Discussion and Voting.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—Naturally what the Hon. member says is correct. There has been some delay in the received estimates. It was not taken into account. That is why the P.A.C. noticed and then it was sent to P. A. C. So, there is considerable delay. That could be avoided in the future.

Mr. Chairman:—As soon as it is scrutinised by the P.A.C. it will be placed before the Assembly.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—All the formalities have been violated here. To-day I have got to give notice before 7 o' Clock. I hope that kind of concession will be shown to the hon. members and then.

Mr. Chairman:—Yes.

GOVERNMENT BILL

THE ANDHRA PRADESH AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY
(AMENDMENT) BILL, 1974 (INTRODUCED)

Sri B. V. Subba Reddy:—I move:

“That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University (Amendment) Bill, 1974.”

Mr. Chairman:—Motion moved.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

“That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University (Amendment) Bill, 1974.”

The motion was adopted and the Bill was introduced.

BUDGET FOR 1974-75 (VOTE ON ACCOUNT)

GENERAL DISCUSSION AND VOTING

Demand No. 1—State Legislature—Rs. 21,80,500

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs 21,80,500 for State Legislature by Rs. 100

That the defecting members be debarred from the Membership of the Legislature for five years.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 21,80,500 for State Legislature by Rs. 100.

To demand the Government that Legislators responsibilities should be realised by Government with immediate response to the representation of the grievances of people.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 21,80,500 for State Legislature by Rs. 100

To demand that the Secretariat of the Legislature should be independent under the control of the Speaker.

Demand No. II Governor and Council of Ministers—Rs. 5,25,000

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,25,000 for Governor and Council of Ministers by Rs. 100

For the abolition of the Institution of Governorship as it is an anachristic.

Sri M. Omkar:— I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,25,000 for Governor and Councils of Ministers by Rs. 100

The Government is not willing to attain more powers from the Central Government to our State Government principally the State is not prepared to ask the Centre that expecting foreign affairs, Defence Posts & Communication and Currency all powers should be with the State Government.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,25,000 for Governor and Councils of Ministers by Rs. 100

The institution of the Governor post is undemocratic and burdensome to our economy.

Sri B. Rama Sarma:— I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,25,000 for Governor and Councils of Ministers by Rs. 100

Demand No. III Administration of Justice—Rs. 1,08,46,000

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,08,46,000 for Administration of Justice by Rs. 100

that independence of judiciary should not be tampered by the executive.

Sri M. Omkar:— I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,08,46,000 for Administration of Justice by Rs. 100

The Government is adopting partial attitude in appointing the Magistrates and Judges.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,08,46,000 for Administration of Justice by Rs. 100.

General Discussion and Voting.

The Government have not agreed to locate Munsif Magistrate courts at the headquarters of Mulug and Parkal taluks.

Sri B. Rama Sarma:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,08,46,000 for Administration of Justice by Rs. 100

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 8,55,600 for Election by Rs. 100

That elections should be free and fair.

Sri M. Omkar:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 8,55,600 for Elections by Rs. 100

The Govt. did not agree to conduct elections on proportional representations.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 8,55,600 for Elections by Rs. 100

The Govt. have not accepted the principle of “Right of Recall to the Voters.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 8,55,600 for Elections by Rs. 100

The Government has been encouraging and practicing all kinds of malpractices in elections and resorting to repressive methods to get the ruling congress party won the elections.

DEMAND NO. IV—ELECTIONS—Rs. 8,55,600.

DEMAND NO. V—GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICE—Rs. 1,19,77,900

Sri V. Srikrishna:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

For not setting up machinery for the distribution of essential commodities at reasonable prices for all the employees.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

for not lifting the embargo on the filling up of vacancies.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

for not regularising the employees under 10 (a) of the A. P. Ministerial Services rules and for not stipulating the time limit for regularisation.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100
for not erecting effective mechanism and formulating a scientific procedure for quick and timely disposal of the files to eradicate corruption.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100
for the failure of the Government in creating a grievances machinery for the solution of the grievances of the services personnel.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100
to demand the Government to provide a need-based wage for all the employees.

Sri Mohd. Rajab Ali:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

General Discussion and Voting.

The reduction of allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

Sri M. Omkar:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

The Government have not agreed to reduce the salaries of higher officials to the point not to exceed Rs. 2,000 per month.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

The Government have not accepted to abolish the Board of Revenue which is proved useless and burdensome to our economy.

DEMAND NO. X DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION—Rs. 4,25,24,700

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,25,24,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100

To demand the abolition or DRO and streamlining the District Administration on democratic lines.

Sri M. Omkar:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,25,24,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100

The Government have been intentionally misusing the District Administration in favour of Ruling Congress Party and to crush the opposition in general and CPI (M) impartial.

Sri B. Rama Sarma:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,25,24,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100

DEMAND NO. VII—LAND REVENUE DEPT. Rs. 2,51,66,800

Sri V. Subbaraya:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,800 for Land Revenue Dept. by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in fulfilling the promises made many times on the distribution of the benefic lands to the landless poor.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,51,65,300 for Land Revenue Dept. by Rs. 100

for not conducting an enquiry and for not taking any action on the village officers of Nathakki Guntur taluk Guntur District inspite of producing documentary proof of misappropriation of revenue collections in the year 1972.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Dept. by Rs. 100

for forcibly collecting the arrears from the poor peasants, (arrears of land revenue, arrears of various kinds of loans, arrears of electricity charges—all at a time).

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Dept. by Rs. 100

for the hesitating of the Government to create the necessary machinery and making all preparations required for the speedy implementation of the Land Ceiling Act.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Dept. by Rs. 100

for not introducing a graded system of tax on the agricultural income over and above a certain limit to mobilise the resources for speedy development of our State's economy.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Dept. by Rs. 100

for not taking steps to convert forest banjars into revenue banjars and distribute them to the landless poor.

Sri A. Sreeramulu :—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Dept. by Rs. 100

to demand the Government that land should be owned only by the tiller of the land.

Sri Mohd Rajab Ali :—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Dept. by Rs. 100
Budget for 1974-75 (Vote on Account): 25th March, 1974

General Discussion and Voting.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Dept. by Rs. 100

The Govt. have failed to take proper steps lands available under ceiling Act of 1972.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Dept. by Rs. 100

The Government have not accepted to introduce the principle 'land to the tiller' under which entire land should be taken away from those who do not participate in actual manual labour in agriculture without paying compensation and to distribute to landless poor grazes.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Dept. by Rs. 100

The Government have not accepted to introduce a new system of land revenue on the basis of graded system under which poorer sections could be exempted and from richer classes more land revenue could be realised.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Dept. by Rs. 100

Despite of frequent requests the Government have not taken steps to fix up boundary stones of each survey numbers as per new settlement record at Mallampally and Koyagudem Villages in Warangal taluk and Warangal district.

DEMAND NO. VIII-STAMPS AND REGISTRATION-

Rs. 47,35,700

Sri V. Srikishna :- I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 47,35,700 for Stamp and Registration by Rs. 100

for not taking steps to prevent selling of non-judicial stamp at higher prices.
for the failure of the Government to check malpractices in the
Department.
Sri C.V.K. Rao:— I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 47,35,700 for Stamp and Regis-
tration by Rs. 100
to demand the Government that corruption in Stamp and
Registration Department be abolished.
Sri Mohd. Rajab Ali:— I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 47,35,700 for Stamp and Regis-
tration by Rs. 100
The Government did not take any action against the Sub-
Inspector of Excise at Narsampet, Warangal Dist. namely Azimuddin
who committed serious offences such as taking bribes and cutting off
clusters of toddy trees etc.

DEMAND No. IX EXCISE ADMINISTRATION-Rs. 95,42,000

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 95,42,000 for Excise Adminis-
tration by Rs. 100
The Government did not accept to amend the act so as to
establish toddy tappers co-operative societies in all villages and there
should not be any yearly increase in the ‘Baitak’ to the societies.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 95,42,000 for Excise Adminis-
tration by Rs. 100
The Government have not allotted required number of toddy
and Sendhi trees to Ashoknagar village shop in Narsampet taluk,
Warangal District on the other hand hundred of trees from that village
are being allotted to Narsampet shop by ignoring the local requirements
of Ashoknagar village.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 95,42,000 for Excise Adminis-
tration by Rs. 100
The Government have not allotted required number of toddy
and Sendhi trees to Khanapuram village in Narsampet taluk,
Warangal District which are being giving to Narsampet shop by
ignoring the local requirement of Khanapuram.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 95,42,000 for Excise Adminis-
tration by Rs. 100
The Government have failed to enact a new law to the effect
that the present system of taxation be abolished and a fixed rate to
each tree which could be tapped be proposed.
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 95,42,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100

Mr. Chairman: —Cut motion was moved.

DEMAND No. X—COMMERCIAL TAX ADMINISTRATION

—Rs. 70,47,300.

Sri V. Krishna: —I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 70,47,300 for Commercial Tax Administration by Rs. 19,998

For the failure of the Government in preventing unnecessary harassment in some cases and favouratism and under evince in other cases due to the corrupt practices of the Officers and staff.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 70,47,300 for Commercial Tax Administration by Rs. 100

For failure in streamlining the Department to properly assess and collect the taxes due which is causing much loss to the exchequor.

Sri C. V. K. Rao: —I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 70,47,300 for Commercial Tax Administration by Rs. 100

To criticise the Government for its failure not to take effective steps against black money hoarders.

Sri M. Omkar: —I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 70,47,300 for Commercial Tax Admn. by Rs. 100

The Govt. have failed to curb the soaring prices of commodities.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 70,47,300 for Commercial Tax Admn. by Rs. 100

The Govt. have been imposing heavy taxes on commodities.

DEMAND NO. XI—TRANSPORT DEPT.—Rs. 72,23,700

Sri V. Srikrishna: —I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 72,23,700 for Transport Dept. by Rs. 100

for over utilising the vehicles beyond the standard mileage per day and putting than on roads after three complete overhauls.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 72,23,700 for Transport Dept. by Rs. 100

for enhancing the passenger fares inspite of the assurance given on the floor of the House that the Government will try its best not to increase the fares.
General Discussion and Voting.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 72,23,700 for Transport Dept. by Rs. 100
for not giving employment after recent nationalisation to all those who were in the service of the private management's prior to the nationalisation of those rents.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 72,23,700 for Transport Dept. by Rs. 100
for not framing statutory rules to prevent increasing accidents on the main highways and roads with heavy traffic.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 72,23,700 for Transport Dept. by Rs. 100
for the delay in nationalisation of the city services specially in Visakhapatnam and Vijayawada despite assurances by the Government.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 72,23,700 for Transport Dept. by Rs. 100
for the failure in taking steps that adequate number of vehicles are allotted to the lorry drivers co-operative societies.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 72,28,700 for Transport Dept. by Rs. 100
for the failure of the Govt. in taking necessary steps to make the tyre manufacturers and distributors to allot a minimum of 50% for the lorry owners associations.

Sir M. Omkar :-I beg to move?

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 72,28,700 for Transport Dept. by Rs. 100
The Govt. have not absorbed all the workers worked under private transport into APSRTC after nationalisation of the routes in Nellore, Guntur and other districts.

DEMAND No. XIII—POLICE ADMINISTRATION—Rs. 7,38,16,600

Sri V. Srikrishna:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 100
For the failure of the Government in putting the anti-social elements under control and prevent the crimes and harassment to the peaceful citizens in the towns.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 100
To criticise the Government for not reframing the police system which is an old imperialism link.

Sri Md. Rajab Ali:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 100

The Government have been refusing to treat the extremist and Naxalites' movement as political one and refusing to act accordingly.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 100

The Government failed to terminate the proclamation of 'Disturbed Areas' in Warangal District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to take action against the Sub-Inspector of Police at Miryalagudem who resorted to lathi charge on 13-2-1974 against the ryots who stopped smuggling fertilisers' lorries. The Sub-Inspector also raided houses beat hundreds of people indiscriminately and foisted false cases against the ryots and their political leaders.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 100

The Police have been harassing the Koyas and other people in Warangal District on the false pretext that they are helping to extremists and Naxalites.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 100

The Government have been killing extremists and Naxalites wantonly and declaring falsely that they have been killed in so called 'Encounters'.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to withdraw the cases instituted during the 'Food' agitation in the years 1972 and 1973 against the Satyagrahis.
Sri B. Rama Sarma:— I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 200

DEMAND No. XIV—JAILS ADMINISTRATION—Rs. 59,09,600

Sri V. Srikrishna:— I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,09,600 for Jails Administration by Rs. 100
For releasing those that were arrested under M. I. S. A. for adulteration and blackmarketing.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,09,600 for Jails Administration by Rs. 100
For the failure of the Government to release the persons even after they have served imprisonment of more than 6 years 8 months which benefit was enjoyed by some for Gandhi Centenary.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,09,600 for Jails Administration by Rs. 100
To criticise the Government for its failure not releasing poor life prisoners while giving concession to rich convicts of life imprisonment.

Sri V. Srikrishna:— I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,09,600 for Jails Administration by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in taking adequate steps on the representations and memorandum of the prisoners from various jails in the State on the unbearable conditions prevalent at present and not properly attending to their genuine demands.

Sri Md. Rajab Ali:— I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,09,600 for Jails Administration by Rs. 100

Sri M. Omkar:— I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,09,600 for Jails Administration by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to provide amenities to the prisoners in Jails.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,09,600 for Jails Administration by Rs. 100

The Government have miserably failed to check the misappropriation being done by the Superintendent and the Jailor of the Central Jail, Warangal.

General Discussion and Voting.

DEMAND No. XVI—PUBLIC WORKS—Rs. 1,50,24,000.

Sri Md. Rajab Ali:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,50,24,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,50,24,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100

Sri M. Omkar:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,50,24,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to provide amenities to work charged employees.

Sri B. Rama Sarma:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,50,24,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100

DEMAND NO. XVII—FIRE SERVICES—Rs. 27,85,600

Sri Md. Rajab Ali:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 27,85,600 for Fire Services by Rs. 100

DEMAND NO. XVIII—PENSIONS—Rs. 2,88,70,300

Sri V. Srikrishna:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,88,70,300 for Pensions by Rs. 100

For not responding in time for the complaints from the Pensioners and the failure in taking prompt action.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,88,70,300 for Pensions by Rs. 100

For not giving periodic increases in D. A for the Pensioners as in the case of State Government employees.
Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,88,70,300 for Pensions by Rs. 100

To demand the Government that all old age persons be granted pensions.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,88,70,000 for Pensions by Rs. 100

To criticise the Government for its failure not to redress the grievances of Government pensioners.
Sri Md. Rajab Ali:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,88,70,300 for Pensions by Rs. 100

DEMAND NO. XX - EDUCATION - Rs. 32,05,80,500

Sri V. Srikrishna:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,05,80,500 for Education by Rs. 100

For not preparing the syllabus at all levels which suit the requirements of the national aims.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,05,80,500 for Education by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government to take necessary action on the managements of private Colleges who terminated the services of the employees without proper reasons.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,05,80,500 for Education by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in coming to the reserve of the teachers in Municipal schools and other institutions even in the cases where they were not paid their salaries for many months.
Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,05,80,500 for Education by Rs. 100

To criticise the Government for the perpetuation of bourgeois education system with stifles personality.
Sri Md. Rajab Ali:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,05,80,500 for Education by Rs. 200

General Discussion and Voting.

Sri M. Omkar:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,05,80,500 of Education by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,05,80,500 for Education by Rs. 100/-

The Government have miserably failed to establish Kakatiya University at Warangal.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,05,80,500 for Education by Rs. 100/-

The Government have failed to provide required funds to the Post Graduate Center at Warangal.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,05,80,500 for Education by Rs. 100/-

The Government have not yet started B. Sc Degree Courses at the Senior College at Hanumakonda.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,05,80,500 for Education by Rs. 100/-

The Government have failed to provide single tribal area of Warangal District.

Sri B. Rama Sarma:—I beg to move:

To reduce allotment of Rs. 32,05,80,500 for Education by Rs. 200/-

Demand No. XXI—Medical and Health Services Rs. 11,95,66,800

5-20 p.m.

Sri V. Srikrishna :—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,95,66,300 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100/-

For the failure of the Government to respond to the genuine demands of the internees (House Surgeons) in the State.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,95,66,300 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in taking prompt action when complaints of mismanagement and malpractice have come to their notice in the case of Private Medical Colleges, especially Kakinada.

Sri Md. Rajab Ali :—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,95,66,300 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 200

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,95,66,300 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to locate one Primary Health Centre at Kothagudem for tribals in Narsampet taluq, Warangal District.

Sri M. Omkar :—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,95,66,300 for Rs. 100

The Government have failed to provide medical facilities at Primary Health Centre at Guddur and Duggondi in Narsampet taluq, Warangal District.

To reduce the allotment Rs. 11,95,66,300 by Rs. 300. The Government could not provide an x-ray plant to Civil Hospital at Narsampet in Warangal district.
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Sri B. Rama Sarma:—I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,95,66,300 by Rs. 100

Demand No. XXIII - Urban Development - Rs. 2,96,48,000

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,96,43,000 by Rs. 100
To demand the Government that all school under municipalities be taken over by Government.

Demand No. XXV - Labour and Employment - Rs. 79,14,300.

Sri V. Srikrishna:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 79,14,300 by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in solving the dispute between the management of textile mills and the federation of Textile Workers Unions in the State.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 79,14,300 by Rs. 100
For the failure of the Government in revising the minimum wages on par with other States where higher rates are paid and in the effective enforcement of the same in the various industries.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 79,4,300 by Rs. 100
To demand the Government that unemployment relief should be provided.

Sri Md. Rajab Ali:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 79,14,300 by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to prevent the ever increasing corruption at Regional Employment Exchange Office at Warangal.

Sri B. Rama Sarma:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 79,14,300 by Rs. 100

Demand No. XXVI - Civil Supplies Administration
Rs. 97,16,800

Sri V. Srikrishna:—I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,800 by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in arresting the rising prices for all essential commodities.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,300 by Rs. 100

For the hesitancy of the Government to introduce statutory rationing in twin cities and Vizag, informal rationing in other towns and opening fair price shops in all Panchayat areas with adequate supplies at their disposal.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,300 by Rs. 100

For containing the system of millers levy, which, as is well known, a system of fraud instead of introducing producers levy on a graded scale to mop up marketable surplus from the rich farmers.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,300 by Rs. 100

To condemn the Government for its gross failure in not controlling prices of necessities of life.

Sri Md. Rajab Ali:—I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,300 by Rs. 200

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,300 by Rs. 100

The Government have not taken a decision to supply rice at the rate of Rs. 1 per Kilo to the poor people. Similarly it has failed to supply Jawar, Bajra and Maize at the rate of Re. 0.75 paise per Kilo and other commodities at controlled and cheaper rates to the poor.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,300 by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to supply food grains at cheaper rates to the tribals in Warangal District.

General Discussion and Voting.

Sri B. Rama Sarma:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,300 by Rs. 100

DEMAND NO. XXVII—SOCIAL WELFARE—Rs. 5, 39,19, 600

Sri V. Sreerkrishna:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5, 39, 19, 600 by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in taking necessary measures and alerting the police department to give protection to the victims of social apprehension and atrocities by land lords.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5, 39, 19, 600 by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in providing adequate number of seats in the social Welfare hostels.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5, 39, 19, 600 by Rs. 100

Sri M. Omkar:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5, 39, 19, 600 by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to open one Banjara Hostel at Narsampet in Warangal district.

The Government have failed to open one tribal Hostel for Koyas at Ponugonda village in Narsampet taluq in Warangal district.

The Government is not providing required amount to meet the needs of the boarders at Social Welfare Hostels in the State.

Sri B. Rama Sarma:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5, 89, 19, 600 by Rs. 200

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5, 39, 19, 600 by Rs. 100

DEMAND NO. XXVIII—TRIBAL WELFARE—Rs. 2, 29, 22, 100

Sri M. Omkar:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2, 29, 22, 100 by Rs. 100

The Government have not taken steps to see that the Girijan Co-operative Societies are run in such a way as to give market value to the forest produce brought by the tribals and to supply all needed commodities and food grains to the tribals at fair prices and cheaper rates respectively.

DEMAND NO. XXXII—ADMINISTRATION OF RELIGIOUS ENDOWMENTS—Rs. 13, 06, 700

Sri V. Srikrishna:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 13, 06, 700 by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in responding to the demand of the local people in various places to distribute the lands belonging to the religious endowments for housesites on nominal rates.

DEMAND NO. XXXIII—CO-OPERATION—Rs. 3,51,19,000

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,51,19,000 by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government to investigate the complaints of misappropriation in time and taking prompt action in the case of the DCM S in various districts.

Sri Md. Rajab Ali:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,51,19,000 by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to provide necessary societies of Laknepalli and Rakan Palli in Narsampet taluq, Warangal district.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,51,19,000 by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to give permission to have a ground nut oil Mill to the large sized co-operative society limited Chennarapet in Narsampet taluq, Warangal District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,51,19,000 by Rs. 100

The Government have not supplied with Auto Rickshaws to the Auto Rickshaws Co-operative Society, Kumar Palli, Hanumanakonda in Warangal city.

DEMAND NO. XXXIV—AGRICULTURE—Rs. 13,35,91,100

Sri Md. Rajab Ali:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 13,35,91,100 by Rs. 100

The Government have not supplied with Auto Rickshaws to the Auto Rickshaws Co-operative Society, Kumar Palli, Hanumanakonda in Warangal city.
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 18,35,91,100 by Rs. 200

The Government have failed to supply fertilizers to the ryots at control rates and required quantity.

DEMAND No. XXXV—MINOR IRRIGATION Rs. 2,39,24,000

Sri Md. Rajab Ali:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,39,24,000 by Rs. 200

Sri M. Omkar:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,39,24,000 by Rs. 100

The Government have not sanctioned the lift irrigation Scheme at Mogdumpoor village in Narsampet taluq, Warangal district.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,39,24,000 by Rs. 100

The Government have not sanctioned the diversion scheme of Pandipampula Vagu into Pakhal lake in Narsampet taluq, Warangal district.

DEMAND No. XXXVIII—FISHERIES Rs. 45,63,000

Sri Md. Rajab Ali:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 45,63,000 by Rs. 100

DEMAND No. XXXIX—FORESTS—Rs. 2,25,11,400

Sri B. Ramaswamy:—I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,25,11,400 by Rs. 200

Sri Md. Rajab Ali:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,25,11,400 by Rs. 100

Sri M. Omkar:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,25,11,400 by Rs. 100

The Government have amalgamated all “Bareem” into Reserved Forests by ignoring the local requirements of the tribals people in Telangana Region and the tribals people left with landless.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,25,11,400 by Rs. 100

The Government have rejected the Legitimate demand of the people who participate in plucking of Beedi leaves Re. 0.12 paise per bundle contained 100 beedi leaves.

**Demand No. XLI - Industries Rs. 1,06,15,700**

Sri B. Rama Sarma:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,06,15,700 by Rs. 100

**Demand No. XLV - Irrigation Rs. 21,17,05,000**

Sri Md. Rajab Ali:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 21,17,05,000 by Rs. 103

Sri B. Rama Sarma:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 21,17,05,000 by Rs. 100

**Demand No. XLVI - Power Development Rs. 13,72,46,800**

Sri Md. Rajab Ali:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 13,72,46,800 by Rs. 100

Sri B. Rama Sarma:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 13,72,46,800 by Rs. 100

Sri B. Rama Sarma:—I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 13,72,46,300 by Rs. 200

General Discussion and Voting.

Mr. Chairman:—Cut Motions moved.

5-20 p.m.
General Discussion and Voting.

[Text in Telugu]

[Translation or Summary]

[Translation or Summary]

General Discussion and Voting.

5.30 p.m.

1. There was a long discussion on the various aspects of the Budget and the overall economic situation in the country. The speakers highlighted the need for more investment in infrastructure and education to boost the economy. The Minister for Finance assured the House that the government would take necessary steps to address the concerns raised.

2. The debate was marked by a healthy exchange of views, with both the government and the opposition participating actively. The opposition raised several concerns about the impact of the Budget on the common man, particularly on the cost of living.

3. The government argued that the Budget was necessary to ensure fiscal stability and economic growth. The minister highlighted the steps taken to control inflation and stabilize the currency.

4. The discussion was cut short due to time constraints, but it is expected to continue in the next sitting of the House. The speakers agreed that the Budget was a realistic one, but more needs to be done to address the pressing issues faced by the country.

5. The Minister for Finance concluded the discussion by thanking all members for their inputs and reassured them that the government would continue to work towards a better future for the nation.

6. The members were unanimous in their support for the Budget, and there was a strong sense of determination to work together for the betterment of the country.

General Discussion and Voting.

5.40 p.m
348 25th March, 1974, Budget for 1971-72 (Vote on Account):

General Discussion and Voting.

Constitution of the Beaches is entirely left to the Chief Justice and it cannot be questioned. According to rules, a Writ may be heard by a single judge or at the best by a Bench consisting of two Judges.

General Discussion and Voting.

The Hon'ble Member said that the Union Budget of 1974-75 has been presented in the House. He congratulated the Finance Minister for presenting a well-balanced budget. He said that the budget was a realistic one and would help in achieving the targets set for the year.

He also pointed out some of the highlights of the budget, such as the increased allocation for education, health, and infrastructure. He said that these allocations would help in improving the standard of living of the people.

He concluded by saying that the budget was a good one and would help in achieving the economic goals of the country.
5: 50 p.m.

K.M. R. Reddy: Sir, I beg to move; that the estimates be placed on the table—

I am glad that we have been able to place the estimates with the House today. It is a great day for the Government, for the House and for the nation. It is a great day for the Opposition, for the nation and for the country. It is a great day for the country, for the nation and for the country. It is a great day for the nation, for the country and for the country.
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...

General Discussion and Voting.

6.00 p.m.

Budget for 1974-75: Vote on Account  
General Discussion and Voting.

General Discussion and Voting.

6.10 p.m.

...

Budget for 1974-75 (Vote on Account):

General Discussion and Voting.

General Discussion and Voting.
Budget for 1974–75 (Vote on Account): General Discussion and Voting.

6-20 p.m.

6-20 p.m.

6–30 p.m.  Kee.  "M.  Gudala Rangaiah,  M.P.

K.  Rangaiah,  M.P.

K.  Gudala Rangaiah,  M.P.

K.  Gudala Rangaiah,  M.P.

K.  Gudala Rangaiah,  M.P.

K.  Gudala Rangaiah,  M.P.

K.  Gudala Rangaiah,  M.P.

K.  Gudala Rangaiah,  M.P.

K.  Gudala Rangaiah,  M.P.

K.  Gudala Rangaiah,  M.P.
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(some text in Telugu script)
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(i) Mr. Narasimha (Vishnu humanities): —Sir, it is important to note that the 
proposed measures involve a major financial commitment. The present 
situation requires careful consideration. We need to ensure that the 
money is spent wisely. Is it possible to determine the exact benefits from 
these measures? 

(ii) Mr. Subramanyam: —I agree with Mr. Narasimha. The current 
situation demands careful scrutiny. The present measures are 
important. We need to ensure that the funds are used efficiently. Is it 
possible to clarify the specific benefits from these measures? 

(iii) Mr. Anand: —I echo Mr. Subramanyam. The current 
situation requires careful analysis. The present measures are 
important. We need to ensure that the funds are used efficiently. Is it 
possible to clarify the specific benefits from these measures? 

(iv) Mr. Rao: —I do not agree. The current 
situation requires careful analysis. The present measures are 
important. We need to ensure that the funds are used efficiently. Is it 
possible to clarify the specific benefits from these measures? 

(v) Mr. Veeraraghavan: —I disagree. The current 
situation requires careful analysis. The present measures are 
important. We need to ensure that the funds are used efficiently. Is it 
possible to clarify the specific benefits from these measures? 

(vi) Mr. Venugopal: —I strongly disagree. The current 
situation requires careful analysis. The present measures are 
important. We need to ensure that the funds are used efficiently. Is it 
possible to clarify the specific benefits from these measures?

General Discussion and Voting.

Sri A. Sreeramulu:—There are concrete suggestions for resources mobilization; one is betterment levy collection and the second is Raj Committee recommendations.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddi:—Raj Committee recommendations are under the active consideration of the Government. 249 కోట్లు మరియు 49 కోట్లు ఒకసారి 200 కోట్లు ఒకసారి స్థాయి సంఖ్యలు కొరకు పిండిస్తుంది. ఇందులో, 1,87,00,000 కోట్లు ఏందుకంటే ఆదారం. 2,47,00,000 కోట్లు. ఇందులో, 1,87,00,000 కోట్లు ఆదారం. 200 కోట్లు ధరించి ఉంచబడింది.

(5) అధికారికంగా చెప్పారు. ఈ పండుగ జరిగిన మ్యాన్మార్ రాష్ట్రంలో సాగానంత సంమరణం సంపన్న. తద్వారా మ్యాన్మార్ రాష్ట్రంలో రాష్ట్రాధిపత్రుడు రాశించిన సాగానంత సంమరణం సంపన్న. మ్యాన్మార్ రాష్ట్రంలో సాగానంత సంమరణం సంపన్న.

(6) కేంద్ర సాగానంత సంమరణం:—17.20 సమయం సాగానంత సంమరణం సంపన్న. ఇది 5 సంవత్సరాల పంటానుడు సాగానంత సంమరణం సంపన్న. ఇది 5 సంవత్సరాల పంటానుడు సాగానంత సంమరణం సంపన్న.

General Discussion and Voting.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 21,80,500 for State Legislature by Rs. 100

That the defecting members be debarred from the Membership of the Legislature for five years.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 21,80,500 for State Legislature by Rs. 100

To demand the Government that Legislators responsibilities should be realised by Government with immediate response to the representation of the grievances of people.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 21,80,500 for State Legislature by Rs. 100

To demand that the Secretariat of the Legislature should be independent under the control of the Speaker.

The cut motions were negatived.

DEMAND NO. II GOVERNOR AND COUNCIL OF MINISTERS—RS. 5,25,000

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,25,000 for Governor and Council of Ministers by Rs. 100

For the abolition of the Institution of Governorship as it is anachronistic.
General Discussion and Voting.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,25,000 for Governor and Council of Ministers by Rs. 100

The Government is not willing to attain more powers from the Central Government to our State Government principally the State is not prepared to ask the Central that excepting foreign affairs, Defence Posts & Communication and Currency all powers should be with the State Government.

(Ayes.. 6; Noes.. 100; Neutrals.. 7)

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,25,000 for Governor and Council of Minster by Rs. 100

The institution of the Governor post is undemocratic and burden-come to our economy.

(Ayes.. 13 : Noes.. 88 Neutrals.. 1)

The cut motion was negatived.

DEMAND NO. III - ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

Rs. 10,8,46,000

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,08,46,000 for Administration of Justice by Rs. 100

That independence of judiciary should not be tampered by the executive.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,08,64,000, for Administration of Justice by Rs. 100

The Government is adopting partial attitude in appointing the Magistrates and Judges.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,08,46,000 for Administration of Justice by Rs. 100

The Government have not agreed to locate Munsif Magistrate courts at the headquarters of Mulug and Parkal taluks.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,08,46,000 for Administration of Justice by Rs. 100

The cut motion was negatived.

General Discussion and Voting.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman: —The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 8,55,600 for Elections by Rs. 100

That Elections should be free and fair.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman: —The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 8,55,600 for Elections by Rs. 100

The Government did not agree to conduct elections on proportional representations. (Ayes-12; Noes, 101 Neutrals-1)

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman: —The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 8,55,600 for Elections by Rs. 100

The Government have not accepted the principle of "Right of Recall" to the Voters (Ayes-9; Noes 99; Neutrals-1)

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman: —The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 8,55,600 for Elections by Rs. 100

The Government has been encouraging and practising all kinds of malpractices in elections and resorting to repressive methods to get the ruling congress party win the elections.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman: —The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Services by Rs. 100

For not setting up machinery for the distribution of essential commodities at reasonable prices for all the employees.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

for not lifting the embargo on the filling up of vacancies.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

for not regularising the employees under 10 (a) of the A. P Ministerial services rules and for not stipulating the time limit for regularisation.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100
for not erecting effective mechanism and formulating a scientific procedure for quick and timely disposal of the files to eradicate corruption.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

for the failure of the Government in creating a grievances machinery for the solution of the grievances of the services personnel.

The cut motion were negatived.

Mr. Chairman :—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100
to demand the Government to provide a need-based wage for all the employees (Ayes-16 ; Noes-100; Neutrals-Nil)
The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman :—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

(Ayes-15 ; Noes-100 ; Neutrals-Nil)
The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman :—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

(Ayes-16 ; Noes-100 ; Neutrals-Nil)
The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman :—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

(Ayes-16 ; Noes-100 ; Neutrals-Nil)
The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman :—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

(Ayes-16 ; Noes-100 ; Neutrals-Nil)
The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman :—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

(Ayes-16 ; Noes-100 ; Neutrals-Nil)
The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman :—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

(Ayes-16 ; Noes-100 ; Neutrals-Nil)
The cut motion was negatived.

General Discussion and Voting

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,99,77,993 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,25,24,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman: — The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

The Govt. have not agreed to reduce the salaries of higher officials to the point not to exceed Rs. 2,000 per month.

The cut motion was negatived.

Sri Omkar pressed for Division and the House divided thus:

Ayes-12, Noes-100; Neutrals-1.

Mr. Chairman: — The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,19,77,900 for General Administrative Service by Rs. 100

The Govt. have not accepted to abolish the Board of Revenue which is proved useless and burdensome over our economy.

The cut motion was negatived. Sri Omkar pressed for division and the House divided thus:

Ayes-13, Noes-100; Neutrals-1.

Mr. Chairman: — The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,25,24,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100

To demand the abolition of DRO and streamlining the District Administration on democratic lines.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,25,24,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100

The Govt. have been intentionally misusing the District Administration in favour of Ruling Congress Party and to crush the opposition in general and CPI (M) in particular.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,25,24,700 for District Administration by Rs. 100
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The cut motions were negatived.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Dept. by Rs. 100

for the failure of the Government in fulfilling the promises made many times on the distribution of the banjar lands to the landless poor.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,31,66,300 for Land Revenue Dept. by Rs. 100

for not conducting an enquiry and for not taking any action on the village officers of Nuthakkai, Guntur taluk, Guntur Dist. in spite of producing documentary proof of misappropriation of revenue collections in the year 1972.

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman: The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Dept. by Rs. 100

for forcibly collecting the arrears from the poor peasants, (arrears of land revenue, arrears of various kinds of loans, arrears of electricity charges—all at a time).

The cut motion was negatived. Sri Vanka Satyanarayana pressed for division and the House divided thus:

Ayes—12, Noes:—100, Neutrals—Nil.

Mr. Chairman:—The question:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Dept. by Rs. 100

for the hesitating of the Government to create the necessary machinery and making all preparations required for the speedy implementation of the Land Ceiling Act.

Sri Vanka Satyanarayana pressed for division and the House divided thus:

Ayes—18, Noes—100, Neutrals—1.

The cut motion was negatived,

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100

for not introducing a graded system of tax on the agricultural income over and above a certain limit to mobilise the resources for speedy development of our State's economy.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100
for not taking steps to convert forest banjars into revenue banjars and distribute them to the landless poor.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100
To demand the Government that land should be owned only by the tiller of the land.

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman:— The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100

The cut motion was, by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Mr. Chairman:— The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to take proper steps to make lands available under ceiling Act of 1972.
The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100

The Government have not accepted to abolish the landlordism by introducing the principle ‘land to the tiller’ under which entire land should be taken away from those who do not participate in actual manual labour in agriculture without paying compensation and to distribute to landless poor grates.

The cut motion was negatived:—Sri Omkar pressed for division and the House divided thus:

Ayes—4, Noes—101; Neutrals—Nil;

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,300 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100

The Government have not accepted to introduce a new system of land revenue on the basis of graded system under which poorer sections could be exempted and from richer classes more land revenue could be realised.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,51,66,00 for Land Revenue Department by Rs. 100

Inspite of frequent requests the Government have not taken steps to fix up boundary stones of each survey numbers as per new settlement record at Mallapally and Koyagudem villages in Warangal taluk and Warangal district.

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 47,35,700 for Stamp and Registration by Rs. 100

For not taking steps to prevent selling of non-Judicial stamp at higher prices.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 47,85,700 for Stamp and Registration by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government to check Malpractices in the Department.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 47,35,700 for Stamp and Registration by Rs. 100

To demand the Government that corruption in Stamp and Registration Department be abolished.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 47,35,700 for Stamp and Registration by Rs. 100.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 95,47,000 for Stamp and Registration by Rs. 100

The Government did not take any action against the sub-inspector of Excise at Narsampet, Warangal Dist. namely Azimuddin who committed serious offences such as taking bribes and cutting off clusters of toddy trees etc.

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 95,42,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100

The Government did not accept to amend the Act so as to establish toddy tappers co-operative societies in all villages and there should not be any yearly increase in the 'Baitak' to the societies.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 95,42,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100

The Government have not allotted required number of toddy and sendhi trees to Ashoknagar village shop in Narsampet taluk, Warangal Dist. on the other hand hundred of trees from that village are being allotted to Narsampet shop by ignoring the local requirements of Ashoknagar village.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 95,42,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100

The Government have not allotted required number of toddy and Sendhi trees to Khanapuram village in Narsampet taluk, Warangal Dist. which are being given to Narasampet shop by ignoring the local requirement of Khanapuram.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 95,42,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to enact a new law to the effect that the present system of auction be abolished and a fixed rate to each tree which could be tapped proposed.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 95,42,000 for Excise Administration by Rs. 100

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 70,47,300 for Commercial Tax Administration by Rs. 100
General Discussion and Voting.

For the failure of the Government in preventing unnecessary harassment in some cases and favouratism and undue eview in other cases due to the corrupt practices of the officers and staff:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 70,47,300 for Commercial Tax Administration by Rs. 100

For failure in streamlining the Department to properly assess and collect the taxes due which is causing much loss to the exchequer.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 70,47,300 for Commercial Tax Administration by Rs. 100

To criticise the Government for its failure not to take effective steps against black money hoarders.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 70,47,300 for Commercial Tax Administration by Rs. 100

The Govt. have failed to curb the soaring prices of commodities.
Sri Omkar pressed for Division and the House divided. Thus:

Ayes . . 14; Noes. . .101; Neutrals. . .Nil.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 70,47,300 for Commercial Tax Administration by Rs. 100

The Govt. have been imposing heavy taxes on commodities.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 72,23,700 for Transport Dept. by Rs. 100

For over utilising the vehicles beyond the standard mileage per day and putting them on roads after three complete overhauls.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 72,28,700 for Transport Dept. by Rs. 100

For enhancing the passenger fares inspite of the assurance given on the floor of the House that the Government will try its best not to increase the fares.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 72,23,700 for Transport Dept. by Rs. 100

For not giving employment after recent nationalisation to all those who were in the service of the private managements prior to the nationalisation of those routes.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 72,28,700 for Transport Dept. by Rs. 100
For not framing statutory rules to prevent increasing accidents on the main highways and roads with heavy traffic.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 72,23,700 for Transport Dept. by Rs. 100.

For the delay in nationalisation of the city services specially in Visakhapatnam and Vijayawada despite assurances by the Government.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 72,21,400 for Transport Dept. by Rs. 100.

For the failure in taking steps that adequate number of vehicles are allotted to the lorry drivers co-operative societies.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 72,23,700 for Transport Dept. by Rs. 100.

For the failure of the Govt. in taking necessary steps to make the tyre manufacturers and distributors to allot a minimum of 30% for the lorry owners association.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 72,23,700 for Transport Dept. by Rs. 100.

The Govt. have not absorbed all the workers worked under private transport into APSRTC after nationalisation of the routes in Nellore, Guntur and other districts.

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 100.

For the failure of the Government in putting the anti-social elements under control and preventing crimes and harassment to the peaceful citizens in the towns.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 100.

To criticise the Government for not reforming the police system which is a remainder of the old imperialism.

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 10.

Sri Rajab Ali pressed for division and the House divided thus:

Ayes—12; Noes—101; Neutrals—1.

The cut motion was negatived.
The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 100

The Government have been refusing to treat as the extremist and Naxalites' movement as political one and refusing to take actions accordingly.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 100

The Government failed to terminate the proclamation of 'Disturbed Areas' in the Warangal District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to take action against the Sub-Inspector of Police at Miryalagudem who resorted to lathi charge on 13-12-1974 against the ryots who stopped smuggling fertilisers' lorries. The Sub-Inspector also raided houses beat hundreds of people indiscriminately and foisted false cases against the ryots and their political leaders.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 100

The Police have been harassing the Koyas and other people in Warangal District on the false pretext that they are helping to extremists and Naxalites.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 100

The Government have been killing extremists and Naxalites wantonly and declaring falsely that they have been killed in so called 'Encounters'.

The cut motions were negatived.

Sri M. Omkar:—I beg the leave of the House to withdraw my cut motion.

The cut motion was, by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,38,16,600 for Police Administration by Rs. 200
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General Discussion and Voting.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,09,600 for Jails Administration by Rs. 100

For releasing those that were arrested M.I.S.A. for adulteration and blackmarketing.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,09,600 for Jails Administration by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government to release the litres even after they have served imprisonment of more than 6 years 8 months which benefit was enjoyed by some for Gandhi Centenary.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,09,600 for Jails Administration by Rs. 100

To criticise the Government for its failure not releasing poor life imprisoners while giving concession to rich convicts of life the imprisoners.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,09,600 for Jails Administration by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in taking adequate steps on the representations and memorandum of the prisoners from various Jails in the State on the unbearable conditions prevalent at present and not properly attending to their genuine demands.

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The Question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,09,600 for Jails Administration by Rs. 100

Sri B. Yella Reddy pressed for division and the house divided thus:—Ayes-12; Noes 99; Neutrals-1;

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The Question is.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,09,600 for Jails Administration by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to provide amenities to the prisoners in Jails.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 59,09,600 for Jails Administration by Rs. 100

The Government have miserably failed to check the misappropriation being done by the Superintendent and the Jailor of the Central Jail, Warangal.

DEMAND No. XVI—PUBLIC WORKS—Rs. 1,50,24,000

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,50,24,000 for Public Works by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to provide amenities to work charged employees.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,50,24,000 for Public Works by Rs. 200

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman:— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 27,85,600 for Fire Services by Rs. 100

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,88,70,300 for Pensions by Rs. 100

For not responding in time for the complaints from the Pensioners and the failure in taking prompt action.
Budget for 1974-75 (Vote on Account): 25th March, 1974

General Discussion and Voting.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,88,70,300 for Pensions by Rs. 100

For not giving periodic increases in D.A. for the pensioners as in the case of State Government employees.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,88,70,300 for Pensions by Rs. 100

To demand the Government that all old age persons be granted pension.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,88,70,300 for Pensions by Rs. 100

To criticise the Government for its failure not to redress the grievances of Government pensioners.

The cut motions were negatived.

DEMAND No. XX-EDUCATION - Rs. 32,05,80,500

Mr. Chairman: — The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,05,80,500 for Education by Rs. 100

For not preparing the syllabus at all levels which suit the requirements of the national aims.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,05,80,500 for Education by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government to take necessary action on the management of private Colleges who terminated the services of the employees without proper reasons.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,05,80,500 for Education by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in coming to the reserve of the teachers in municipal schools and other institutions even in the cases where they were not paid their salaries for many months.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,05,80,500 for Education by Rs. 100

To criticise the Government for the perpetuation of bourgeois education system which stifles personality.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,05,80,500 for Education by Rs. 200

The cut motions were negatived.

The cut motions were negatived.

Budget for 1974-75 (Vote on Account): General Discussion and Voting.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,0580,500 for Education by Rs. 200

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,0580,500 for Education by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,0580,500 for Education by Rs. 200

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,0580,500 for Education by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,0580,500 for Education by Rs. 200

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,0580,500 for Education by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,0580,500 for Education by Rs. 200

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,0580,500 for Education by Rs. 100

The Government have miserably failed to establish Kakatiya University at Warangal.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,0580,500 for Education by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to provide required funds to the Post Graduate Centre at Warangal.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,0580,500 for Education by Rs. 100

The Government have not yet started B.Sc. Degree Courses at the Senior College at Hanumakonda.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,0580,500 for Education by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to provide single tribal area of Warangal District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,0580,500 for Education by Rs. 200

The Cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,9566,300 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100
For the failure of the Government to respond to the genuine demands of the internees (House Surgeons) in the State

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,95,66,300 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in taking prompt action when complaints of mismanagement and malpractices have come to their notice in the case of private medical colleges, especially Kohanada

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,95,66,300 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,95,66,300 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,95,66,300 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 200

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,95,66,300 for Medical and Health Services by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to locate one primary Health Centre at Kothagudem for tribals in Narsampet taluq, Warangal district.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,95,66,300 by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to provide medical facilities at Primary Health Centre at Guddur and Duggondi in Narsampet taluq, Warangal district.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,95,66,300 by Rs. 100

The Government could not provide an ex-ray plant to civil Hospital at Narsampet in Warangal district.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 11,95,66,300 by Rs. 100

The Cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,96,43,000 by Rs 100
To demand the Government that all schools under municipalities be taken over by Government.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 79,14,300 by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in solving the dispute between the management of textile mills and the federation of Textile Workers Unions in the state.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 79,14,300 by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in revising the minimum wages on par with other States where higher rates are paid and in the effective enforcement of the same in the various industries.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 79,14,300 by Rs. 100

To demand the Government that unemployment relief should be provided.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 79,14,300 by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to prevent the ever increasing corruption at Regional Employment Exchange Office at Warangal.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 79,14,300 by Rs. 100

For containing the system of millers levy, which, as is well known, a system of fraud instead of introducing producers levy on a graded scale to mop up marketable surplus from the rich farmers.

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,300 by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in arresting the rising prices for all essential commodities.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,300 by Rs. 100

For the hesitancy of the Government to introduce statutory rationing in twin cities and Vizag, informal rationing in other towns and opening fair price shops in all Panchayat areas with adequate supplies at their disposal.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,800 by Rs. 100

For containing the system of millers levy, which, as is well known, a system of fraud instead of introducing producers levy on a graded scale to mop up marketable surplus from the rich farmers.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,300 by Rs. 100
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General Discussion and Voting.

To condemn the Government for its grass failure in not controlling prices of necessities of life

Sri C. V. K. Rao pressed for division and the House divided thus:

Ayes-14 : Noes-99; Neutrals—Nil.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,300 by Rs. 100

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,300 by Rs. 200

The Cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,300 by Rs. 100

The Government have not taken a decision to supply rice at the rate of Rs. 1/- per Kilo to the poor people. Similarly it has failed to supply Jawar, Bajra and Maize at the rate of Rs. 0.75 paise per Kilo and other commodities at controlled and cheaper rates to the poor.

Sri M. Omkar pressed for division and the House divided thus: Ayes-18; Noes-99; Neutrals—Nil.

The Cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,300 by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to supply food grains at cheaper rates to the tribals in Warangal District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 97,16,300 by Rs. 100
The cut motion was negatived.
Mr. Chairman:—The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,39,19,600 by Rs. 100
For the failure of the Government in taking necessary measures and alerting the police department to give protection to the victims of social oppression and atrocities by land lords.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,39,19,600 by Rs. 100
For the failure of the Government in providing adequate number of seats in the social welfare hostels.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,39,19,600 by Rs. 100

The cut motions were negatived.
Sri Md. Rajab Ali:—I beg the leave of the House to withdraw my Cut Motion.

The cut motion was by leave of the House withdrawn.
Mr. Chairman:—The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,39,19,600 by Rs. 100
The Government have failed to open one Banjara Hostel at Narsampet in Warangal District.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,39,19,600 by Rs. 100
The Government have failed to open one tribal Hostel for Ko­yas at Poonugonda village in Narsampet taluq in Warangal district.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,39,19,600 by Rs. 100
The Government is not providing required amount to meet the needs of the boarders at Social Welfare Hostels in the State.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,39,19,600 by Rs. 100

The cut motions were negatived.
Mr. Chairman:—The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,29,22,100 by Rs. 100
The Government have not taken steps to see that the Girijan Co-operative Societies are much in such a way as to give market value
General Discussion and Voting.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman: — The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 13,06,700 by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government in responding to the demand of the local people in various places to distribute the lands belonging to the religious endowments for housesites on nominal rates.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman: — The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,51,19,000 by Rs. 100

For the failure of the Government to investigate the complaints of misappropriation in time and taking prompt action in the case of the D.C.M S in various districts.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,51,19,000 by * Rs. 100

Government have failed to provide necessary societies of Lakanpalli and Rakkan Palli in Narsampet taluq, Warangal district.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,51,19,000 by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to give permission to have a ground nut oil mill to the large sized cooperative society limited Chenannaopet in Narsampet taluq, Warangal District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,51,19,000 by Rs. 100

The Government have not supplied with Auto Rickshaws to the Auto Rickshaws Co-operative Society, Kumar Palli, Hanumakonda in Warangal City.

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman: — The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 13,35,91,109 by Rs. 100

Sri Md. Rajab Ali pressed for division and the House divided thus:—Ayes-14; Noes-99; Neutrals-Nil.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman: — The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 13,35,91,100 by Rs. 200

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 18,35,91,100 by Rs. 100

The Government have failed to supply fertilisers to the ryots at control rates and required quantity.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,89,24,000 by Rs. 100

The Government have not supplied fertilisers to the ryots at control rates and required quantity.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,39,24,000 by Rs. 100

The Government have not sanctioned the lift irrigation Scheme at Mogdumpoor village in Narsampet taluq, Warangal district.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,39,24,000 by Rs. 100

The Government have not sanctioned the diversion scheme of Pandipampula Vagu into Pakhal lake in Narsampet taluq, Warangal district.

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman — The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 45,63,000 by Rs. 100

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman — The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,25,11,400 by Rs. 200

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman — The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,25,11,400 by Rs. 100

The Government have amalgamated all Beroons’ into Reserved Forests by ignoring the local requirements of the tribal people in Telangana Region and the tribal people left with land leases.

Sri M. Omkar Pressed for division and the House divided thus: Ayes 9; Noes 99; Neutrals Nil.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman — The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,25,11,400 by Rs. 100
The Government have rejected the legitimate demand of the people who participate in plucking of Beedi leaves Re. 0.12 paise per bundle containing 100 beedi leaves.

Sri M. Omkar pressed for division for the House divided thus,

Ayes...9", Noes...101", Neutrals...Nil.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,06,15,700 by Rs. 100

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 21,17,05,000 by Rs. 100

The cut motion were negatived.

Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 13,72,45,800 by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 13,72,46,300 by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 13,72,46,800 by Rs. 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 13,72,46,300 by Rs. 200

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 13,72,46,800 by Rs. 200

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 13,72,46,300 by Rs. 200
General Discussion and Voting.

Mr. Chatpmu:—The cut motions were negatived.
Mr. Chairman:—The question is:

That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 178,73,14,500 in advance in respect of the essential expenditure for a part of the financial year 1974-75 as per the heads of Demands shown in the Annexure to the Notice dated 16th March, 1974.

The motion was adopted:

Mr. Chairman:—Now the House stands adjourned to meet at 9.30 a.m. on 26th March, 1974.

The House then (7.32 p.m.) adjourned.