THE ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

DEBATES

OFFICIAL REPORT

Forty-sixth day of the Second Session of the Andhra Pradesh Legislature.

THE ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Tuesday, the 5th September, 1972.

The House met at Half-past Eight of the Clock.

(Mr. Deputy Speaker, Sri C. Jagannadha Rao at the Chair)

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Mr. Deputy Speaker — Before we take up questions and answers I would like to inform the House that the question-hour will be for one hour only.

CHANGE IN DESIGNATION OF VILLAGE LEVEL WORKERS

616—

* 1152 Q. Sri G. Kondapa Naidu (Kavali) — Will the hon. Minister for Panchayatraj be pleased to state—

(a) whether there is any proposal to change the designation of the Village Level Workers as Village Development Officers;

(b) if so, whether there is any proposal to enhance the pay of the Village Level Workers;

(c) whether there is any proposal to promote the Village Level Workers for the higher post such as Upper Division Clerks, Executive Officers, E. O. (Panchayats) Managers etc. in the Department of Panchayatraj; and

(d) whether there is any proposal to increase the present F.T.A. of Rs. 10 to V. L. Ws., if so how much?

The Minister for Panchayat Raj (Sri T. Hayagriva Rao) — (a) Yes, Sir. The Government have changed the designation of Village Level Workers and Gramasevikas as 'Village Development Officers' in G. O. Ms No. 290 Panchayati Raj (Estt IV) Department, dated 22-6-1972.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) There is a proposal to promote Village Development Officers (Village Level Workers) as Executive Officers, Extension Officers (Panchayats), Block Assistant Public Relation Officers and Agricultural Assistants; but there is no proposal to promote the Village Development Officers as Upper Division Clerks and Managers.

Job No. 23, (257)
(d) The matter is under consideration.

The 5th September, 1972.

Oral Answers to Questions.

(d) The matter is under consideration.
Jral Answers to Questions. 5th September, 1972

Transfer of Audit of Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads

617—* 10:07-N. Q.—Sarvasri C. Peter Paul (Prathipadu) and D. Krishna Reddy (Narasariopeta):—Will he hon. Minister for Panchayatraj be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have taken a decision to transfer the Audit of Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads to the Accountant General;
Oral Answers to Questions.

(a) whether the Government are contemplating any alternative arrangement to avert the audit's so affected?

Sri T. H. Raju reddy:—(a) No sir,
(b) P.o. not open
(c) Does not arise

(b) the reason for not extending the benefits of increased Dearness Allowance to the employees of Gram Panchayats which have been extended to the Government servants in G. O. Ms. No. 140 Finance dated 4-7-70 and to the employees of Municipalities, Zilla Parishads and Zilla Parishads in G. O. Ms. No. 257, M. A. dated 1-4-72 and G. O. Ms. No. 164, P. R. dated 1-4-72; and

(b) when the orders will be issued in this regard?

Sri T. H. Raju reddy:—(a) & (b) The matter is under examination.

In increased d. A. to employees of gram Panchayats

— 4151— X ar asti S. V. Subba Reddy (Allagadda) V. Srikrishna M. Tiwasidas (Sempet). Will the hon. Minister for Panchayatraj be pleased to state:

(a) the reasons for not extending the benefits of increased Dearness Allowance to the employees of Gram Panchayats which have been extended to the Government servants in G. O. Ms. No. 140 Finance dated 4-7-70 and to the employees of Municipalities, Zilla Parishads and Zilla Parishads in G. O. Ms. No. 257, M. A. dated 1-4-72 and G. O. Ms. No. 164, P. R. dated 1-4-72; and

(b) when the orders will be issued in this regard?

Sri T. H. Raju reddy:—(a) & (b) The matter is under examination.
5th September, 1972

సంప్రదాయం చేసిన పద్ధతి ప్రామాణిక పరిపాలన కంప్యూటర్ సెంటర్ లో ఉపయోగించవచ్చు.

ప్రశ్నాంశాలు:

1. అనుమతి, 2 లో పరిమితం అనేక జాబితా కొరకు ప్రస్తుతం ఉపయోగించాలి. ఈ పరిమితాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.

2. విరుద్ధంగా 10 యొక్క మాధ్యమం రూపాంతరం ఉపయోగించటానికి పిలిచేకానీ ఇలాంటి రూపాంతరాలు సాధారణం కాని లేదా ఉపయోగించండి. ఈ రూపాంతరాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.

3. ఎంపిక పరిమితాన్ని భాగంగా ఉపయోగించండి. ఈ పరిమితాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.

4. సంప్రదాయం చేసిన పద్ధతి పరిపాలన పరిమితం అనేక జాబితా కొరకు ప్రస్తుతం ఉపయోగించాలి. ఈ పరిమితాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.

5. రూపాంతరాన్ని పరిమితం పరిపాలన పరిమితం అనేక జాబితా కొరకు ప్రస్తుతం ఉపయోగించండి. ఈ పరిమితాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.

6. సంప్రదాయం చేసిన పద్ధతి పరిపాలన పరిమితం అనేక జాబితా కొరకు ప్రస్తుతం ఉపయోగించండి. ఈ పరిమితాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.

7. రూపాంతరాన్ని పరిమితం పరిపాలన పరిమితం అనేక జాబితా కొరకు ప్రస్తుతం ఉపయోగించండి. ఈ పరిమితాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.

8. సంప్రదాయం చేసిన పద్ధతి పరిపాలన పరిమితం అనేక జాబితా కొరకు ప్రస్తుతం ఉపయోగించండి. ఈ పరిమితాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.

9. రూపాంతరాన్ని పరిమితం పరిపాలన పరిమితం అనేక జాబితా కొరకు ప్రస్తుతం ఉపయోగించండి. ఈ పరిమితాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.

10. సంప్రదాయం చేసిన పద్ధతి పరిపాలన పరిమితం అనేక జాబితా కొరకు ప్రస్తుతం ఉపయోగించండి. ఈ పరిమితాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.

పద్ధతి పరిపాలన పరిమితాన్ని ఉపయోగించండి. ఈ పరిమితాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.

పద్ధతి పరిపాలన పరిమితాన్ని ఉపయోగించండి. ఈ పరిమితాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.

పద్ధతి పరిపాలన పరిమితాన్ని ఉపయోగించండి. ఈ పరిమితాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.

పద్ధతి పరిపాలన పరిమితాన్ని ఉపయోగించండి. ఈ పరిమితాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.

పద్ధతి పరిపాలన పరిమితాన్ని ఉపయోగించండి. ఈ పరిమితాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.

పద్ధతి పరిపాలన పరిమితాన్ని ఉపయోగించండి. ఈ పరిమితాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.

పద్ధతి పరిపాలన పరిమితాన్ని ఉపయోగించండి. ఈ పరిమితాన్ని సూచిస్తుంది.
5th September, 1972. Oral Answers to Questions

Mr. Indira (Tenali) and Sri Y. Venkata Rao (Vemur):—Will the hon. Minister for Industries be pleased to state:

(a) whether an industry by name Stri Seva Sadanam village industries is functioning in Totlapalem village, Tenali Taluq, Guntur District;

(b) if so, since when;

(c) the financial assistance given to the said industry; and

(d) whether any amounts were due from the said industry; and

(e) if not, what are the steps taken to recover the same?

The Minister for Industries (Sri J. Vengala Rao):—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) The board sanctioned funds to the Association on 21-2-1971 as indicated below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Loan</th>
<th>Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>36,100</td>
<td>3,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(working capital loan yet to be released)

51,1000  3,750

(d) No, Sir.

(e) The first instalment amount of Rs. 7,75,720 fell due on 31-3-1972.

A demand notice was issued to the Association for payment of the same. Board is considering to adjust this instalment amount while releasing the working capital loan of Rs. 15,00,000.

(f) The Industry is not in existence at all. There is no existence of the Industry at all. It is a question mark. There is no existence of the Industry at all.

(g) A question mark.

(h) A question mark.

(i) A question mark.
264 5th September, 19\textsuperscript{2}-

Oral Answers to Questions.

1. (a) (i) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

2. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

3. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

4. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

5. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

6. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

7. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

8. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

9. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

10. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

11. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

12. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

13. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

14. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

15. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

16. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

17. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

18. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

19. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

20. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

21. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

22. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

23. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

24. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

25. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

26. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

27. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

28. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

29. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)

30. (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i) (j) (k) (l) (m) (n) (o) (p) (q) (r) (s) (t) (u) (v) (w) (x) (y) (z)
Oral Answers to Questions.  
5th September, 1972.

**COMPENSATION FOR THE LANDS ACQUIRED FOR "JAWAHAR AUTO NAGAR"**

1524 - R Q. - Sri Akkineni Bhaskara Rao (Kukkipadu):—Will the hon. Minister for Industries be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the compensation payable towards the lands acquired for "Jawahar Auto Nagar", at Vijayawada, has not been paid completely;

(b) if so, the amount of compensation, yet to be paid;

(c) whether any representations have been received by Government in this regard; and

(d) if so, the action taken thereon?

Sri J. Vengala Rao:—(a) Out of 273.05 acres of land acquired for Jawahar Auto Nagar, compensation as per awards of Land Acquisition Officer has to be paid to an extent of 0.32 acres only.

(b) An amount of Rs. 4,800 is due to be paid on account of the above land.

(c) Representation for payment of enhanced compensation was received.

(d) A sum of Rs. 16 lakhs has been sanctioned for being deposited in the Suo-Court at Vijayawada towards enhanced compensation.

46—

* 3. లాండ్ అక్విషన్ ఓఫిసర్ ప్రంతంలోని 273 ఏకారిలు ఉన్నాయి. ఇవిలో 6,500 ఏకారిలు వస్తు మిత్ర ఉత్తరాధికారికరణ పంచాయతీ భూమి. ఇవిలో 50 ఏకారిలు విభాగానికి ఉన్నాయి. అతని సేధానికి ఉన్న నియంత్రణ బిందుదిశలో 20 ఏకారిలు విభాగానికి ఉన్నాయి. అతని సేధానికి ఉన్న నియంత్రణ బిందుదిశలో 16 ఏకారిలు విభాగానికి ఉన్నాయి.

47—

3. తాగ పరాడించిన కారణం నిలుత్తాయి. ఉపాధ్యాయ మహారాణి సమాధ్యంలోని వస్తు మిత్ర ఉత్తరాధికారికరణ క్రింద ఉన్నాయి. ఇది ప్రత్యేకంగా దాదాపు 70 ఏకారిలు ఉన్నాయి. ఇది వస్తు మిత్ర ఉత్తరాధికారికరణ పరిస్థితి ప్రకారం ఉన్నాయి. ఇది వస్తు మిత్ర ఉత్తరాధికారికరణ ప్రకారం ఉన్నాయి. ఇది వస్తు మిత్ర ఉత్తరాధికారికరణ ప్రకారం ఉన్నాయి. ఇది వస్తు మిత్ర ఉత్తరాధికారికరణ ప్రకారం ఉన్నాయి.
Oral Answers to Questions.

TRACTORS PURCHASED BY AGRO-INDUSTRIES CORPORATION

621—

* 1511-D Q—Sri N. Venkataiah (Kodangal) :—Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Tractors purchased by the Agro-Industries Corporation during 1969-70, 1970-71 and 71-72;

(b) the dates on which they received the amount invested on them and the monthly interest on the same; and

(c) the number of Tractors supplied to ryots during 1969-70, 1970-71, and 71-72, and the reasons for not disposing of the remaining tractors?


(b) A statement is placed on the table of the House.

(c) A statement is placed on the table of the House.

STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE.

Vide answer to clauses (b) and (c) of L.A.Q (starred) No. 1511-D [621] given notice of by Sri N. Venkataiah.

(b) the dates on which they were received, the amount invested on them and the monthly interest on the same:

A.—

Dates of receipt of Tractors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of receipt</th>
<th>Nos. received</th>
<th>Month of receipt</th>
<th>No. received</th>
<th>Total receipt in the year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-7-1969</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>49 1969-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-8-1969</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>August</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-9 1970</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>September</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-12-1970</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>December</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-3-1971</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-4-71</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-4-71</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-4-71</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-4-71</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-4-71</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-4-71</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5-71</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 5-71</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-5-71</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-9-71</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-9-71</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>September</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-9-71</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-10-71</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-10-71</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>137 1971-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-10-71</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>471</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 650.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27-8-70</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-5 70</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 500 N</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BYELAWS</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>September</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9-9-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22-3-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>18-5 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>June</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15-9-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1-7-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>June</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6-6-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U 650 M</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>December 70</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>5-12-1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZETOR 5511</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>12-3-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>September</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>20-3-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>September</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2-2-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1-9-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3-9-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28-9-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4-10-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20-10-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-7-71</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21-7-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-10-71</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>19-10-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-10-71</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25-10-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-12-71</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>December</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28-12-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-12-71</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30-12-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-1-72</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2-1-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-1-71</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-1-71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ZETOR—2011 Rice Special

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26-4-71</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT 14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3-7-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-10-69</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30-10-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-6-72</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>June</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>19-6-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-6-72</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>June</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>22-6-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS 09</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>August</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9-8-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-9-69</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>September</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>21-9-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-10-69</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1-10-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-10-69</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3-10-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-12-69</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>December</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20-12-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-2-71</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>February</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10-2-71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 418

Amount invested on them

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>Rs. 1,06,58,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>Rs. 1,12,78,479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>Rs. 1,43,00,790</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Monthly interest paid to Bank on cash credit account for investments made on purchase of tractors is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>1969-70</th>
<th>1970-71</th>
<th>1971-72</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. July</td>
<td>15,002 0 0</td>
<td>12,504-0 0</td>
<td>29,862 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. August</td>
<td>21,037-0 0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>27,670-0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. September</td>
<td>20,881-0 0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>51,165-0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. October</td>
<td>22,31-0 0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>66,788-0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. November</td>
<td>25,252-0 0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>62,130-0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. December</td>
<td>30,677-0 0</td>
<td>15,493-0 0</td>
<td>60,420-0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. January</td>
<td>27,431-0 0</td>
<td>16,989-0 0</td>
<td>23,951-0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. February</td>
<td>24,140-0 0</td>
<td>17,043-0 0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. March</td>
<td>37,095-0 0</td>
<td>36,575-0 0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. April</td>
<td>29,328-0 0</td>
<td>35,728-0 0</td>
<td>39,575-0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. May</td>
<td>27,988-0 0</td>
<td>35,135-0 0</td>
<td>29,862-0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. June</td>
<td>21,802-0 0</td>
<td>31,588-0 0</td>
<td>7,890-0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,13,331-60</td>
<td>2,01,452-00</td>
<td>4,67,900-00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total of the Interest Rs. 9,83,292-00.

Note.—Interest @ 8½% upto 31-12-1970 and @ 9½% from 1-1-71 onwards.

Interest has been calculated on the balance loan amount in cash credit account after setting off the realisation in each month.

(c) the number of tractors supplied to ryots during 1969-70, 1970-71 and 1971-72 and the reasons for not disposing of the remaining tractors.

Number of Tractors supplied to ryots during

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1969-70</th>
<th>1970-71</th>
<th>1971-72</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>623</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following are the reasons for not disposing of the remaining tractors:

(i) steep rise in the cost of imported tractors due to levy of 30% port duty, 2½% regulatory custom duty and 10% countervailing duty on imported tractors by Government of India.

(ii) allotment of tractors like RS69, T-25 and U6.0 M tractors by Government of India which could not become popular in this State as they are newly introduced in the market.

(iii) at present ordinance on Land ceiling has practically prevented all the scheduled Banks especially the Land Mortgage Banks from granting loans for the purchase of tractors.

DEFECTS IN R. S. O. 9 TRACTORS

* 1312 R Q— Sarvasri K. Narayana Santhosh Chakravarthy (Mulug):—Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

Answer
(a) Is it a fact that the Engineers have given opinion that R. S. O. 9 Tractors are failure in our State:

(b) How many of them have been distributed to the farmers;

(c) The year of import from East Germany;

(d) What is the stock left with the Corporation:

(e) How many tractors were returned back by the farmers:

(f) What is the original cost of manufacture inclusive of all taxes and the price charged from the farmers as on date?

Sri M. Manik Rao:—(a) The Engineers have said that the tractors are not quite suitable for the type of work for which they are mostly used in our State.
(b) 153
(c) 1969
(d) Number of tractors being used by the Corporation for purposes of transport and spraying .. 70
Stock left under trading stock .. 98
(e) 91
(f) The original cost of manufacture is not known to the Corporation. However, the sale price is Rs. 14,750 inclusive of all taxes.

The monthly interest paid to the bank for the investments made for the purchase of tractors is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Interest Paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>Rs. 3,15,031-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>Rs. 2,01,452-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>Rs. 4,67,909-00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cost of tractors was Rs. 1,47,000. The interest paid is for the investments made for the purchase of tractors.
Sri M. Manik Rao:—For that I require details. I will have to give the whole details.


Regarding the question (Closed):—The Tractors C. F. value Rs. 16,346, Insurance charges Rs. 127.25, Clearance, storage, parcel charges Rs. 1,485-32, S. T. C. Commission at 15% on C. F. value—Rs 15-02 Margin of A. P. Agro. Industries Corporation C. R. which includes after sale service, fitting finance Rs. 1,198. Net price is Rs. 12,582, Sales Tax Rs. 765. Regarding the question (Closed):—The Tractors C. F. value Rs. 16,346, Insurance charges Rs. 127.25, Clearance, storage, parcel charges Rs. 1,485-32, S. T. C. Commission at 15% on C. F. value—Rs 15-02 Margin of A. P. Agro. Industries Corporation C. R. which includes after sale service, fitting finance Rs. 1,198. Net price is Rs. 12,582, Sales Tax Rs. 765.
Oral Answers to Questions.

1st September 1972.

Q. 60. : How many tractors were supplied to ryots during 1969-70, 1970-71 and 1971-72 and the reasons for not disposing of the remaining tractors.

The following are the reasons for not disposing of the remaining tractors: Steep rise in the cost of imported tractors due to levy of 30% port duty, 2.5% regulatory customs duty and 10% countervailing duty on imported tractors by the Government of India. Other reasons are:

Steep rise in the cost of imported tractors due to levy of 30% port duty, 2.5% regulatory customs duty and 10% countervailing duty on imported tractors by the Government of India.


The following are the reasons for not disposing of the remaining tractors: Steep rise in the cost of imported tractors due to levy of 30% port duty, 2.5% regulatory customs duty and 10% countervailing duty on imported tractors by the Government of India. Other reasons are:

Steep rise in the cost of imported tractors due to levy of 30% port duty, 2.5% regulatory customs duty and 10% countervailing duty on imported tractors by the Government of India.
3rd September, 1972.

Oral Answers to Questions.

1. నాసగంచి విద్యాభ్యాసం తొలి కాలంలో అయిన కాని,
విద్యార్థులు ఇందులో 8 ఇంటి పాఠాలను అడగుతారు. కాని 
నాసగంచి విద్యాభ్యాసం తొలి కాలంలో ఆధారంగా ఓచ్చే పాఠాలు కొనసాగదు. 
విద్యార్థులకు సహాయం చేయడానికి దీనితో
అంచనా వద్ద ఎన్నికగా ఉంటుంది.

2. సంశోధన సమాచారం అవసరమైన కాని, 
అన్ని పాఠాల తొలి కాలంలో చూడానికి ప్రతి పాఠానికి హాథాపాథులు
ఉంచడానికి సంఖ్యా మరియు జాంటిబాటికి పాఠానికి ఒక పరిమితి
ఉండగా ఉంటుంది.

3. కంతం టాబ్స్ మొదటి సంచారం 
మాత్రమే ఉంటుంది. 
త్రిశహండ్స్ వరకు కనండ 80 మరియు సంచారం 
మాత్రమే ఉంటుంది. అనేక విభాగాలలో సంచారం 80-90
మాత్రమే ఉంటుంది.

4. సంచారం ప్రకారం అంశాల దానిలో ఉంటుంది.
అనేక పాఠాలకు సహాయం చేయడానికి అంశా ఉండాలి.
ప్రతి పాఠానికి ఫోన్ ప్రదానం చేయడానికి ఆధారంగా
ప్రతి పాఠానికి ఒక పరిమితి
ఉండగా ఉంటుంది.

5. సంచేత్వం అవసరం ఉంటుంది.
అనేక పాఠాలకు సహాయం చేయడానికి ప్రతి పాఠానికి ఫోన్
ప్రదానం చేయడానికి అంశా ఉండాలి.
ప్రతి పాఠానికి ఫోన్ ప్రదానం చేయడానికి ఒక పరిమితి
ఉండగా ఉంటుంది.

6. సంచేత్వం ప్రతి పాఠానికి ఉంటుంది.
అనేక పాఠాలకు సహాయం చేయడానికి అంశా ఉండాలి.
ప్రతి పాఠానికి ఫోన్ ప్రదానం చేయడానికి అంశా ఉండాలి.
ప్రతి పాఠానికి ఫోన్ ప్రదానం చేయడానికి ఒక పరిమితి
ఉండగా ఉంటుంది.

7. సంచేత్వం ప్రతి పాఠానికి ఉంటుంది.
అనేక పాఠాలకు సహాయం చేయడానికి అంశా ఉండాలి.
ప్రతి పాఠానికి ఫోన్ ప్రదానం చేయడానికి అంశా ఉండాలి.
ప్రతి పాఠానికి ఫోన్ ప్రదానం చేయడానికి ఒక పరిమితి
ఉండగా ఉంటుంది.
oral Answers to Questions. 5th September, 1972.

have not done from our side because it is under dispute. On their own accord, the SGC has acted. The arguments are under dispute. The suggestions made are not feasible.

(b) It is not possible.

(c) The drainage scheme formulated has been found to be defective and as such its execution does not arise.

The Minister for Medium Irrigation (Sri P. Bai Reddy) :—(a) The suggestion made in the Irrigation Development Board meeting has been examined and found not feasible.

(b) It is not possible.

(c) The drainage scheme formulated has been found to be defective and as such its execution does not arise.

The submersible area is 200 acres which is not under cultivation and about 3000 acres is under cultivation.
5th September, 1972.

Oral Answers to Questions.

It is not feasible. It is not found to be feasible.

It is not feasible.
BREACHED AND ABANDONED SOURCES OF IRRIGATION UNDER NIZAMSAGAR PROJECT

621—


(a) the number of breached and abandoned sources of irrigation lying in the Nizamsagar Project command area; and

(b) whether the Government propose to restore these sources at an early date to supplement the water supply under Nizamsagar Project.

The Minister for Irrigation (Sri P. Narsa Reddy):- (a) 56

(b) No, Sir.

Sri V. Srikrishna :—The number of breached and abandoned sources of irrigation lying in the Nizamsagar project command area are 56. After works done by the Government had taken an undertaking that
whenever Government requires the tank to be restored, they will do it. That matter has not come in the file. But, that is my information. So, there are several tanks, Sir, which have got ayacut less than the tank bed area. So, instead of restoring all these 50 tanks, I submit that such tanks which are really or would be useful to be filled up in the rainy season, that would be examined.

Sri P. Narsa Reddy:—Sarvasri A. Sreeramulu and Nallapereddi Sreenivasul Reddi:—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) the total number of Central employees from the Audit and Accounts Department working in the various projects of the State;

(b) whether these employees are paid any deputation allowance, if so, the total additional expenditure involved; and

(c) in view of heavy retrenchment of staff in these projects, whether the Government proposes to surrender the Central employees to their parent department?

Sri P. Narsa Reddy:—(a) A total No. of 155 employees drafted from the Indian Audit and Accounts Department are working on deputation in Nagarjunassagar, Srisailam and Pochampad Projects.

(b) Yes Sir. The total additional expenditure involved by way of deputation allowance is Rs. 9,894/- p. m.

(c) In view of the impending reduction of posts in Srisailam Project, 2 Supds. and 4 U. D. Cs are proposed to be reverted to Accountant General’s Office. 2 U. D. Cs have already been reverted to Accountant General’s Office. One Accounts Officer and one S.A.S. Accountant will be reverted to Accountant General’s Office consequent on the disbandment of the Arrears Cell in the N. S. Dam Organisation w. e. f. 31-8-72 A. N. The question of gradually surrendering the deputationists to their parent Department is under examination of the Government.
Sri A. Sreeramulu:—In 1962 when the project was started we borrowed the services of the Audit and Accounts Department. The Auditor and Comptroller General has made it very clear to the State Government that as soon as the experience is gained by the State Government staff, these people should be repatriated. Why should the Government indefinitely continue these people for a period of 10 years and why should not the Government immediately surrender them and send them back to the Audit Department, in view of the heavy retrenchment we are now facing?

Sri P. Narasa Reddy:—We are very anxious to repatriate them back. The only hitch is that we have not got such type of hands in Finance Department. Government of India has suggested. The State Government is also considering whether we should have a cadre trained to meet the situation.

Sri A. Sreeramulu:—It is not correct to say that we don’t have trained persons. We have much better qualified and more efficient persons in the State services. I feel it is a luxury for the State Government inviting upon itself.

Sri P. Narasa Reddy:—I accept the suggestion of the Hon. Member. He has more experience in this matter. I will have the matter examined and try to repatriate them.

Estimate for Excavation of Perubhotlapalem Cut

Mr. K. V. Srikrishna, Vanka Satyanarayana (Penukonda) and M. N. Reddy (Guraj),—Will the Hon. Minister for Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) the original estimate for the excavation of Nagarjunaagar Reservoir M. 217-550 + 124 + 19) called Perubhotlapalem Cut;

(b) whether the work was originally entrusted to Messrs. Rajagopal Reddy and Company;

(c) whether the work was executed by them;

(d) if not, with whom was the agreement subsequently concluded and for what amount;

(e) whether the tender was approved at an excess value over the estimated amount;

(f) if so, what was the percentage of the excess;

(g) whether any advance was paid to the contractors; and

(h) whether any other conditions were specified in the tender schedule?

Sri P. Naras Reddy:—(a) The original estimate for the work was sanctioned by Government in August, 1972 for Rs. 124.09 lakhs

(b) Yes Sir.

(c) The work was executed by them partly.

(d) The balance work was entrusted to M/s. Supreme Construction Company for a value of Rs. 2,20,65,257.

(e) Yes Sir.

(f) 68.8% excess at current schedule of rates without applying the concessions sanctioned in G. O. Ms. No. 501, P. W. D. Projects Wing, Dated 21-12-1971 and 46 13% excess with the concessions sanctioned by the Government in the said G. O.

(g) Yes, Sir.

(h) Yes, Sir.

Sir, the Government has sanctioned 25% advance to the contractors in the following manner: 55% amount sanctioned in the first installment, 50% in the second installment and 5% in the third installment.
Sri P. Narasara Reddy: —Barage of question. S r. 38. 'I see

Sri P. Narasara Reddy: The stipulation is like this. The contractor

Sri P. Narasara Reddy:—Barage of question. S r. 38. 'I see

Sri P. Narasara Reddy: The stipulation is like this. The contractor

Sri P. Narasara Reddy:—Barage of question. S r. 38. 'I see

September, 1972. Oral Answers to Questions

They had put up a claim Sir. As they had not been informed that the claim was to be made, as I 15 days in this respect. It was not appropriate. A few days later, I was asked

He did not of his own accord close it. He did not. I am not here to give any judgement Sir, to say whether it is true or not. I only said that the matter will be enquired into by our Secretary and then I will place it before the House.

I want to ask a question Sir. I want to ask a question Sir. I want to ask a question Sir. I want to ask a question Sir. I want to ask a question Sir.
Sri P. Narasa Reddy:—Public Accounts Committee has got right to call for any record and examine it. Then where is the question of myself agreeing and sending it to him.

2. 8. Narasimharao:—The record has been accepted on the advice of the Chief Engineer. It has been accepted in the advice of the Chief Engineer.

2. 10. Narasimharao:—The record has been accepted in the advice of the Chief Engineer.

S. N. Q. 1535-U Q—Sri Nallapareddi Sreenivasul Reddi: Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation be pleased to state:

SHORT NOTICE QUESTION & ANSWER

FEEDER CHANNELS TO NON-DELTA TALUQS OF NELLORE DISTRICT

S. N. Q. * 1535-U Q—Sri Nallapareddi Sreenivasul Reddi: Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that the Central Water and Power Commission has accepted excavation of feeder channels to the irrigation sources in the non-delta taluks of Nellore District under Somasila Project, and

(b) when will it materialise?

Sri P. Narasa Reddy:—(a) The Project report on Somasila presented by this Government to the Government of India envisaged the excavation of a 33 mile long South Canal. But the Central Water and Power Commission has suggested provision of feeder channels on either side in place of the South Canal.

(b) The matter is under examination.

Sri P. Narasa Reddy:—Sir, the Project Report has been submitted to the Central Power Commission, and that depends on how much money we will get from Planning Commission. 20 lakhs or 2.5 crores. This is very feasible scheme. 225 acres out of 16.000 acres in the area. 5,500 acres. The matter is under examination. I will try my best for its inclusion.

Sri P. Narasa Reddy:—Under South Canal Scheme, we have to spend 5 crores for 30,000 acres; under this scheme 2 crores 25 lakhs are to be spent for 30,000 acres for stabilisation that is for new ayacut.
That will depend upon the feasibility, practicability, and the permissibility of the levels in what manner we can take the channels. If there is permissibility of water capacity and also level we will surely do it.

Sri P. Narasa Reddy:—Under Somasila Project, canal will be fed; 32000 acres in the first crop and 68000 acres in Rabi will be covered.

We will try, if it is possible.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS
CONSTRUCTION OF ANICUT TO UMMAPURAM STREAM, IN DEVARAKONDA TALUQ

* 1059 P Q—Sarvasri B. Rama Sarma and Mohd. Rajab Ali:—Will the hon. Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that survey was conducted for the construction of an anicut to Ummapuram Stream and for digging a canal to Swamulavari lorgoyem Peddacheruvu in Devarkonda Taluq, Nalgonda District;

(b) if so, whether the investigation was completed;

(c) the reasons for not taking up the work so far: and
(d) whether the Government will take up the said work at least in future?

A:—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The investigation of the scheme is in progress.

(c) The question of taking up the scheme will depend on its feasibility which will be known only after the investigation is completed and estimates are prepared.

(d) It is too early to say whether it will be taken up.

**TRIBAL PILOT PROJECTS**

628—

*868 Q—Sarvasri M. Nagi Reddy and Nallapareddi Srinivasulu Reddi:—Will the hon. Minister for Tribal Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) whether a Tribal Pilot Project has been started in Srikakulam District for the economic uplift of Tribals through intensive agriculture, cattle development, irrigation facilities, etc., and

(b) if so, whether the details of the said project will be placed on the Table of the House?

A:—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) A statement giving details of the schemes is placed on the Table of the House.

**STATEMENT TO BE LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE**

(Vide Answer to Clause (b) of L.A.Q. No. 868—(Starred) *[625]*

(By Sri M. Nagi Reddy, M.L.A.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(Rs. in Lakhs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I. AGRICULTURE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Land Development and Land shaping including conversion into wet (50% Subsidy).</td>
<td>33.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Demonstration Programme</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Short term inputs @ Rs. 100 per acre for 28,460 acres of land (50% subsidy)</td>
<td>28.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Medium term inputs</td>
<td>8.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Pomiculture and Horticulture</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Mesta Development @ Rs. 5 per acre for seeds</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Soil Conservation</td>
<td>14.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>Rs. 91.10 Lakhs.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## II. Schemes for the Landless

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Land Development and Land Shaping</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Short term inputs to 3600 acres @ Rs. 100 per acre</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Medium term inputs @ Rs. 700 per family</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Cashew Plantations and Lemon grass</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** Rs. 22.70 Lakhs.

## III. Minor Irrigation

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Development of source with ayacut of 25 acres and less and lift irrigation including installation of Wind Mills</td>
<td>32.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## IV. Animal Husbandry

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Development of Cattle</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Distribution of Milch Cattle</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Development of Sheep</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Development of Poultry</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Training programme for Tribals</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Fisheries Projects such as stocking of Fish, construction of seed farm fish culture in Paddy fields and Prawn culture</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** Rs. 7.25 Lakhs.

## V. Marketing

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Link Roads</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Construction of Godowns by Girijan Co-operative Corporation</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** Rs. 18.00 Lakhs.

## VI. Agro Forest Based Industries

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Bee-keeping</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Tassar Culture</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Training</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** Rs. 2.51 Lakhs.
VIII. DEBT REDEMPTION
VIII. BENCH-MARK SURVEY
IX. ADMINISTRATION
24. Project Staff

Grand Total Rs. 187.66 Lakhs.

A B S T R A C T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NON LOAN</th>
<th>LOAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Subsidy</td>
<td>1. Short Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.67</td>
<td>16.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Grant</td>
<td>2. Medium Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112.33</td>
<td>4.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Long Term</td>
<td>3. Long Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 150.00</td>
<td>Total 87.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LIBRARIES IN THE STATE

629—

* 879 Q.—Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Will the hon. Minister for Tourism be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Government, Private and Panchayatiraj Libraries in our State; and

(b) whether there are any proposals with the Government to evolve a comprehensive system of making Library Service available to the people at the village level?

A.—

(a) 1. Government Libraries

   Recognised Aided Libraries:

   2. Private Libraries 1940
   3. P. R. Libraries 470

(b) No immediate proposals Sir.

FIRE ACCIDENT AT THE DISTRICT AGRICULTURAL MARKETING YARD, GUNTUR

630—

* 1336 Q.—Sri Nallapareddi Srinivasul Reddi:—Will the hon. Minister for marketing be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Chillies worth fifty lakhs of rupees were completely destroyed in a fire accident in the last week of May 1972 at the District Agricultural Marketing Committee Yard at Guntur; and

(b) the reasons for the fire accident?

A.—

(a) Chillies valued about 18-00 lakhs were destroyed in the fire accident on 19-5-1972.
Matter under Rule 341: 25th Anniversary of Independence

5th September, 1972

(b) The accident is said to be due to electrical short circuit.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: There is a notice under 341 by Sri Parasurama Naidu and Sri C. V. K. Rao (about smuggling of rice).

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir, I will do it tomorrow.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—There is another notice under 341 by Smt. J. Eswari Bai. I request the Home Minister to make a statement.

Sri V. Krishnamurthy Naidu: Sir, in commemoration of the 25th Anniversary of Independence day, the Government of India, decided that sentences of certain categories of prisoners who had been convicted by Civil Courts of Criminal jurisdiction for offences against the law relating to matters to which the executive powers of the Union extends undergoing sentence in the prisons, should be remitted and reduced.

The Government of India requested all State Governments, if there be no objection, to consider the desirability of granting similar remission with regard to prisoners sentenced for offences against State Laws. The Government of India also requested the State Governments to prescribe the scale of remissions on the lines granted by them.

After due consideration of the suggestions of the Government of India, this Government granted the remissions to prisoners undergoing sentences of offences against State Laws on the scales prescribed by the Government of India.

The question of granting remission to prisoners sentenced for offences against the State Laws in connection with the Birth Centenary Celebrations of late Sri Tanguturi Prakasam Pantulu was also considered. Hon'ble Members of the State Legislature have been requesting the Government to grant special remission to the prisoners during the Birth Centenary Celebrations of Late Sri Tanguturi Prakasam as was granted during the Centenary Celebrations of Gandhiji in 1969.

The Committee constituted by the Government for celebrating the Birth Centenary Celebrations of Late Sri Tanguturi Prakasam Pantulu resolved at its meeting on 9-9-72, that the Chief Minister be requested to take a favourable decision to release the prisoners and to
grant general amnesty to the prisoners. In view of the yeomen services rendered and the unique position late Sri Tanguturi Prakasam had in the history of the State, Government have decided to grant special remission to prisoners on the lines granted to prisoners in connection with the Silver Jubilee Celebrations of Independence Jayanti.

Under the remissions granted on the occasion of Silver Jubilee of Indian Independence Jayanti, 1816 prisoners were released from various jails in the State. Information received so far in respect of prisoners released in connection with the Birth Centenary Celebrations of Late Sri Tanguturi Prakasam Pantulu indicates that 49 prisoners were released and particulars from 21 sub-jail are awaited.

5th September, 1972.
CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re: Need to Exert Pressure on the Government of Mysore to release full quota of water to the T.B.P. Lower Level Canal.

Sri H. Satyanarayana:—Sir, in the T.B.P. Low Level Canal ayacut area, we are entitled to get a discharge of 734 cusecs of water with a gauge of 6.71. As a matter of fact, we are not getting it for
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Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance:

re: Need for taking up relief schemes to save handloom workers in Uravakonda.

a very long time. Actually we are now getting water ranging from about 6.0 cusecs to 700 cusecs. So, on an average we are getting about 50 cusecs of water less. As a result of this, lands in the tail-end are not getting water. Besides, this year’s drought is something like adding insult to injury and the ryots are feeling very unhappy about this. I approached the local T. B. P. authorities and they said that the shortage of water is due to shortage of discharge of water at our point. The Government of Mysore is not discharging the full quota of water right from the beginning. I request our Government to exert some pressure on the Board so that we can get 34 cusecs. Even if we are given this full quota of 784 cusecs, I am told by the local T. B. P. department officers, that a further 2500 acres can be irrigated on our side. So, as a result of this shortage supply we are not able to irrigate this 8300 acres of land. This is a very serious matter. I urge on the Minister for Irrigation to initiate talks with the Government of Mysore and do the needful in the matter.

The Minister for Irrigation (Sri P. Narasa Reddy):—It was brought to the notice of the State Government by the Superintending Engineer, Anantapur Circle, in his letter dated 31st July 1972 that the discharge at Border at Mile 155-5-10 of the Low Level Canal which should be 784 cusecs is not generally maintained by the Tungabhadra Board. He reported that since the re-opening of the canal on 15-6-1972 after closure, the discharge has been constantly less by 10 cusecs. Any number of telegrams and letters issued to Tungabhadra Board authorities by Superintending Engineer Anantapur Circle, P. W. D. and Executive Engineer, Adoni Division were in vain. On 7-8-1972 the Secretary, Tungabhadra Board was addressed by a D. O. letter from Secretary mentioning the above facts, and the latter was requested to take effective steps to ensure that a quantity of 784 cusecs of water is discharged at the Border at Mile 155-5-100 of the Low Level Canal. It was also pointed out to the Tungabhadra Board that when the Board is discharging 2500 cusecs of water into Power canal and 1500 cusecs into Low Level Canal there was no reason why the shortfall should occur in the Low Level Canal at the borders of Andhra Pradesh. The above letter from the State Government having evoked no reply from the Board, another D. O. letter was written to Secretary, Tungabhadra Board on 20-9-1972 complaining that despite repeated protests against short-supplies, nothing has been done by the Board, making it very difficult for this State Government to meet the demand of the cropped areas. A telegram has also been issued to the Board. The matter is being taken up with the Chairman, Tungabhadra Board also.

re: Need for taking up relief schemes to save handloom workers in Uravakonda

मोदी (मोदी) — लाल दीपक, भोजन उत्तराखंड अन्न राज्यक अधिकार बांडकू का समाचार, लाल दीपक, एक महत्त्वपूर्ण राजस्थानी पत्रिका। फिर छोटा समाचार, धनुष, एक व्यस्त राजस्थानी मासिक पत्रिका। एक व्यस्त राजस्थानी मासिक पत्रिका।
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance:

re: Need for taking up relief schemes to save handloom workers in Uravakonda.

The Minister for Handlooms & Co-operative Factories (Sri G. Raja Ram)—Government are aware of the heavy concentration of weavers in Uravakonda and the difficulties they encounter owing to the prevailing drought conditions and steps are being taken to ameliorate their conditions.

When a similar situation was reported during the year 1971, Government had provided Rs. 1.35 lakhs to one of the weavers co-operative societies viz., The Kothapeta Weavers Co-operative Society to provide employment to weavers in Uravakonda. The society has only repaid about Rs. 50,000 and the balance of the amount is still available with the society. Arrangement has also been made for the sanction of Rs. 3 lakhs to the Kothapeta Weavers Co-operative Society under the Reserve Bank of India scheme through
the Anantapur District Co-operative Central Bank. The Society
would thus be able to draw the cash credit amount sanctioned by the
Bank after the formalities of executing guarantee deed in favour of
the Reserve Bank of India have been completed. The Weavers
Co-operative Societies in Urayakonda are also enabled to get working
capital from the Anantapur Co-operative Central Bank under the
Reserve Bank of India scheme, as Government have already extended
guarantee.

The Government have also recently extended for the financial
year 1972-73 the earlier instructions that all the hospitals in the
State should purchase their gauze and bandage cloth requirements
from Kothaieta Weavers Co-operative Society. Therefore, even
during the slack season, disposal of cloth may not present an acute
problem:

Means of extending the benefits of special drought relief mea-
sures to the handloom weavers are also under the consideration of
the Government.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE


Mr. A. Venkat Reddy and others were on their legs.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I will go through it. The concerned Member has not given it.
Let us go to the bill. In these matters I would request Members not to make issues out of privilege out of temporary outbursts or what it is. And Members should not make issues out of privilege out of temporary outbursts or what it is. I would ask Members not to make issues out of privilege out of temporary outbursts or what it is. And Members should not make issues out of privilege out of temporary outbursts or what it is.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Sir, I raise a point of Order. Under the rules, when the B.A.C. meets, its proceedings must be placed on the Table of the House. That has not been done. Besides, when there is variation in the allocation of time order, for that variation the Leader of the House must seek the permission of the House. Last time also I raised the objection. Yesterday, it is unfortunate that Mr. J. V. Narasimha Rao garu died and then we had to adjourn our meeting, and the subject matter of yesterday automatically gets postponed to today. As such, some of us because of our gentlemanly nature have been so much exploited that we are put to back-list. Naturally, on what basis that a particular business is posted for today must be known. There has been a B.A.C. meeting on 8-9-1972 and that Committee made certain recommendations. Is it not the responsibility of the Leader of the House to place those proceedings before the House? He did not do it. The Assembly sat both in the morning and in the evening on 3-9-1972 and he could not do it; on the 4th also he could not do it; and today he has not done it. If there is any variation he should seek the permission of the House. According to the earlier programme, on the 5th we have to take up the Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Bill. The other matters are to be automatically adjourned.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Today also, the B.A.C. is meeting. Its recommendations will be placed before you tomorrow.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—What is the Leader of House doing? Let him not fiddle like Nero? My whole point is, every one has certain responsibility and we have to bring it to your notice. It is not as though I want to score a point. Is it not the duty of the Chief Minister? He does not even listen to me. He is such an absent-minded Leader of the House. How are we to pull on? Is it not his duty to seek the permission of the House for variation in the programme?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—If they have not been placed already I will place them.
PRESENTATION OF THE REPORTS OF THE REGIONAL COMMITTEE

re. Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Bill, 1972.


Mr Deputy Speaker - Report presented. I am to announce to the House that the Members can give amendments to both the Bills upto 9 A.M on 6-9-1972.

GOVERNMENT BILL

The Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms (Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings) Bill, 1972 (Discussion—contd.)

On the 9th September, 1979

The Hon'ble Speaker,
The Legislative Assembly
The Secretariat,
Hyderabad,

Sir,

I beg to move the following resolution:

Resolution
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The Legislative Assembly
The Secretariat,
Hyderabad,

Sir,

I beg to move the following resolution:

Resolution
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The Secretariat,
Hyderabad,

Sir,

I beg to move the following resolution:

Resolution


The Hon'ble Speaker,
The Legislative Assembly
The Secretariat,
Hyderabad,

Sir,

I beg to move the following resolution:

Resolution


The Hon'ble Speaker,
The Legislative Assembly
The Secretariat,
Hyderabad,

Sir,

I beg to move the following resolution:

Resolution


The Hon'ble Speaker,
The Legislative Assembly
The Secretariat,
Hyderabad,

Sir,

I beg to move the following resolution:

Resolution


5th September, 1972.

Congress M.L. As should have freedom to vote according to their choice in the Assembly. He also said that at least half of the Members of the State Cabinet were opposed to the Bill.”


...


5th September, 1972.

One man Tribunal with not lower than the rank of District Munsiff.

...
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In page 77, Second schedule—(ii) when the total land revenue payable exceeds Rs. 50 but does not exceed Rs. 150 fifty times the land revenue subject to a minimum of Rs. 5,000. It is specifically mentioned that when the total land revenue payable exceeds Rs. 50 out does not exceed Rs. 150 fifty times the land revenue subject to a minimum of Rs. 5,000.
Interested people may be carrying on propaganda. But I am making a categorical statement that it is absolutely not true.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: —This is not a point of order....

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Please hear me, Sir. I have great respect for the Deputy Chief Minister. But who has to reply. Now the reply has come from the Deputy Chief Minister. It has to come from the Chief Minister....

Mr. Deputy Speaker: —It is not a reply. It is a personal explanation. It is not a policy statement.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—My point is what happened to the Chief Minister. He has to reply. That is what I am asking.
Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Nothing happened. Nothing is going to happen to the Chief Minister.

Sri C V. K. Rao:—He has not expressed on this in clear cut terms and it gives much more suspicion that there are two different viewpoints between the Chief Minister and the Deputy Chief Minister.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—No.

Sri G. Bhupathi:—Sir, point of Order.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—There is no point of Order, you please sit down.

Sri T. Purushothama Rao:—Without hearing the point of order, what is this Sir.....

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—What is your point of Order Mr. Bhupathi?

Sri G. Bhupathi:—Sir, Point of Order.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—That is not my concern.

Sri G. Bhupathi:—Sir, Point of Order....

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—There is no point of Order.

Mr. Bhupathi:—Sir, Point of Order.....

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—That is not my concern.

Sri Kudipudi Prabhakara Rao:—It is an internal matter of the party....

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—There is no point of Order, you please sit down, Mr. Bhupathi.

(Thumping) (Interruption)

those who are owning more than 51 or 55 converted dry acres......
The Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms (Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings) Bill 1972.

1st September, 1972.  

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao — I am only developing a theme that I have already advocated. I hold the view that we should not' be afraid of taking a radical approach. But we should take such a step only after thorough investigation. We have already taken a step in this direction. We are convinced that it is a radical approach. Having taken the radical approach, what are the details?

25—7

Sr. P. V. Narasimha Rao :— No. No. I am sorry. Sir, the Congress Party to-day is in a state of utmost exuberance. Therefore if they are thumping the tables, it is a symptom of that exuberance I cannot help it if the thumping is so loud that it causes embarrassment. I am not allowing any clarification.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :— I am not allowing anybody.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao :— I am not allowing anything.

(Many interruptions)
The Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms
(Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings)
Bill, 1972.

5th September, 1972.
5th September,

The Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms (Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings) Bill, 1924

Again it is a question of judgment as to what we should fix so that he will not acquire what he has hope of getting 5 acres. As explained earlier, what he has been cultivating does not alone benefit him. He who has been cultivating 10 acres and is supplying 18 acres, has the right to acquire 5 acres, even if the law is different. If the area is 20 acres, the 10 acres in excess is what he should get. The whole approach is wrong. The approach of the Congress Party is the most accurate, the most beautiful one can imagine. We are pragmatic. We are sensible. Our approach is what is warranted by the circumstances.
The Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms 30th September, '97. (Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings) Bill, 1972


I. Introduction:


II. General:


III. Regulations:


IV. Violations:


V. Conclusion:


He is only exposing himself as a person who has to believe in the people by saying that. I have started the implementation of the Land Ceiling Law on the second of May 1972. Implementation started when the first Ordinance came. The Ordinance was a device for preventive implementation. It was a preventive action so that implementation later on is not defeated. Therefore my implementation has started in right earnest with a bang in the most successful manner which one can imagine in the case of such a legislation.
5th September, 1972.

I have taken the greatest risk. It would have been easier for me to say the R.D.O. will be the Chairman or the Munsif Magistrate will be the Chairman or somebody else will be the Chairman; because he happens to be an officer. I could certainly say be done with it. If tomorrow it fails, I can always blame the officer. I can always blame somebody else. If it fails today nobody else is going to be blamed except myself, except the Congress Party, except the Government. Therefore we have taken greater on us on ourselves by keeping that section open, flexible: so that we can find right persons for the right job and see that it is done. We know full well the limitations of a particular cadre of officers if we stick to it. We are coming from the same stock. There is nothing sacrosanct about sticking to an officer or a judicial man or an M.L.A. or an ordinary individual. We should not be dogmatic in these things. Therefore I have kept it open and flexible and I want to assure this House that to the extent possible, to the extent that we are able to judge the people, we shall see that the most appropriate persons, persons with a commitment, persons with a right approach are selected to man these Tribunals. How it is going to be done, I am not going to say that just now; because once I make a statement on that aspect, that aspect will also come into unnecessary dispute. You see the result and then comment. That is what I would like to tell you.

As the working of the Tribunals starts, the question of giving them instructions, bringing them around to correct approach if they are going wrong, would arise. This will simultaneously go on and we will devise a method for that. Therefore this cannot go wrong. We will see that every possible step is taken, and every conceivable measure is adopted so that the implementation is on right lines—accurate both in spirit & letter of the legislation and all the ideology which is behind this legislation. There is absolutely no doubt about that. Hon'ble Members need not have raised any doubts, but since doubts have been raised, I am giving this undertaking to this House.
In connection with the provisos regarding "Majors" who have no stake in the property, it is family property; the matter has been raised and it has been clarified. It is clarified, not less than half a dozen times, not only on the floor of the House but even outside, when Mr. Owaisi brought along with him two or three luminaries. I sat with them. I clarified the position at the end of the discussion they at least told me that I have clarified the situation very well and matters had become absolutely clear. Now after that....

Dr. S. S. S. Chalhuddoss (Regional) - I have a Muslim family, but I do not want to create rights which do not exist. I do not want to take away the rights which exist in any individual. Therefore, it is just not possible for me to interfere with the Personal Law or bring in any provision which is the direct or indirect result of interfering with the provison of Law. The matter is very clear. May be I am not able to understand Law so much. But I am not submitting in relation to all other States.

Mr. S. R. Naidu said - I have a Muslim family and I do not want to interfere with the Personal Law. There is an end of the matter. Whether there is amendment or not, it does not make any difference to me. I have clarified one position Mr. Konda Laxman Bapuji was there. I am glad that he also participated in the discussion and a number of others also did it.

At the time of surplus lands, if the Tribunal finds that lands have been taken away from a Muslim family, on which the Members
who have no share at the moment were working all the time and were tillers on the fields. In that case there is a quota of about 5% or whatever it is, out of which we can certainly consider these people for allotment of these lands. I clarified this and undertaken to see that this particular concession or particular priority is given under the Rules. This I have done.

Suppose there is a Muslim family from whom we are taking land. Since the 'majors' in that family who are outside family unit, as we have defined here, will not get anything immediately, they will have to wait for succession to open: in other words, for the father to die. Therefore, before the succession opens, they do not get anything. Meanwhile we are taking the land, from the father and who is the owner of that property, according to Muslim Law. In that case what I have undertaken to do is that while allotting that land, if it is found that the major sons were all the time tilling on this field and lands and they were actually engaged in agriculture-no, engaged in anything else in the City, in those circumstances we can consider them for concession by way of priority in the allotment of lands to the extent it is possible for us to do. We may not get much land or there may be other claimants. But along with the other claimants certain concessions and edge can be given because they are displaced from the lands, for no fault of theirs may be because of Personal Law.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—In the case of major daughters you should not view the things in the same perspective. Is it possible?

(No reply)

Joint Family means persons governed by Hindu Law.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Joint Family—does it mean anything under Muslim Law at all? If it is so, I am prepared to put it but it means nothing.
Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao: I also happen to know Mohammadan Law and other lawyers also happen to know Mohammadan Law. So we cannot put anything which has no meaning.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddi (Kalvakurth):—In the case of Muslim family, according to Muslim personal law neither the minor nor the major is entitled to a share. But, according to the Bill, minor is at least being treated as a Member of the family, while the major is being excluded. This I think, is not merely a anomaly, but also a discrimination. I would like the Chief Minister to explain.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—I do not know why Mr. S. Jaipal Reddi got this wrong doubt. This family unit which we have grafted on this Bill is a secular unit. It applies to all those who believe in marriage, it applies to all those who marry and beget children and out of children they beget, so we have included it in this family unit, irrespective of whether they are Hindus or Muslims. This is an entirely secular and arbitrary concept which has been brought in for the purpose of aggregation, for the purpose of applying land ceiling. There is nothing more and nothing less than this. Therefore if I am excluding a major it is not because he is Muslim or Hindu. It is because by the very definition of a family unit, as we have accepted it, in which the father, mother and minor sons and unmarried minor daughters can get in. I cannot say why this unit has been taken. This is unit which has been taken in the Congress Manifesto. I cannot go behind it. So far as the Congress Members are concerned that should be enough. In fact, if any explanation is needed that explanation has to be given to others who are not bound by the Congress manifesto. We are all bound by the Congress manifesto. Therefore, on the basis of whatever is contained in the manifesto we are doing it. Why should we include, why should we not include is a question which is not possible for us to answer, because we have been
arguing. 'We can certainly argue both for and against. I also said at one time that when discussions were taking place both in the Working Committee and the Chief Ministers' Conference, a number of Congress Chief Ministers, a number of Members in the Working Committee and a number of those who were participating in the discussions, did say that this should not be there and others wanted that this should be brought. In fact, one of the two or three reports that were produced did contain this that the major also should be clubbed along with the others in the family unit. Now I would go back to the Congress manifesto and whatever is contained in the Congress manifesto and whatever is contained in the Congress manifesto and whatever is contained in the Congress manifesto and whatever is contained in the Congress manifesto, in those terms and in no other terms, applies to what we are doing: this is the position. Therefore, beyond that, it is not possible for us to go.

Sri S. Jaipal Redji — I would suggest insertion of a provision to this effect: "A major son who is not entitled to possession because of personal law may be considered as a member of the family for the purpose of this law."

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao — That becomes direct interference in the personal law because what the personal law does not provide we cannot provide by this law; I can understand it the family unit is to be expanded to help so many others to come in, as has been done in some other States—to whatever result we shall see later. I can understand that. But, we cannot say for Muslims this is the thing; for Hindus this is the thing; because I can even delete the definition of a joint Hindu family as has been given without any loss anywhere, without any damage anywhere, I can say generally that in the computation of the holding that a person's separate property and also the property to which he is entitled under any law with which he is governed will be taken. That is the only context where we have brought in the question of joint family. There is no other context. If the House agrees or if the House wants it, and if that is going to make it more elegant I am prepared to delete the definition of joint family, because it does not serve any purpose in this law, except saying how the property is to be aggregated. Therefore, I will have to say that in the aggregation, in the computation of the holding of any other person, the property which is his own property, self-acquired property or separate property, has to be added on to the property which he is entitled to get according to any law with which he is governed. I am prepared to do that. But, does that really solve the problem? It does not.

Sri Syed Hasan: — Yes, it does. If you accept 'hiba' as per Muslim law, that will solve the problem.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao: — Hiba is accepted even now. The difference between hiba of Muslim law and gift of secular law is, gift requires a registered document whereas a hiba does not require a registered document. But, in both the cases the validity and basis for the validity is the same. That will never solve the problem. If after 24th January, 1971 'A' has gifted his property to 'B' by a registered document, what happens to that? As a gift it is valid; in law it is valid. But in this law, we are making it not valid under
Certain circumstances; we are not making it invalid, but we are saying that it will be disregarded for the purpose of computation.

In other words, if we take 'divorce'. What prevents a couple to get divorce from the court? Nothing. But what we are saying is, we are not invalidating the divorce; but we are saying that in some of the cases, the property will be aggregated in the family to which the spouses belonged before the divorce. That we can do. The same applies to Muslim iba. If there is iba after the 24th January, it will be a question of proof, whether this iba is genuine or it was done in order to defeat the provisions of any law in regard to the deduction in ceiling. That is precisely the point which the Tribunal will determine. Once they determine, here is an iba given by a Muslim gentleman to a major son or any one's in fact he can give a iba to any one, in that case he is not bound; All right, whoever it is possible to be given, he has given. It stands on the same footing.

Under Mohammadan Law, he can give a iba. Hiba means gift; the dictionary meaning is the same; it is gift and nothing else.

Hiba means gift and nothing else. The only concession given to Mohammadan Law is, it does not require a registered document, whereas under other law it does require. That is the only thing. Therefore, in the case of iba or a gift, both being taken as valid after 24th January, the position will be exactly the same. If it is before that, again the same position will be there; it will be a question of fact; by adding it it will not solve the problem, nor will it improve the understanding of the section.


Sri Syed Hasan:—If this is accepted, let a sub-clause be added that enquiry be made by the Tribunal. There should be no objection to it.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—We cannot add provisions merely because there is no objection to add them. There may be 200 points for which the e may be no objection to add. The question is, is it an elegant thing to do it? Is it legally correct to do it? What we have done has not stepped on anybody in law.

Sri Syed Hasan: This Bill is meant for the uplift of the agricultural society, not for communal purposes or on community basis.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—A stage has come when on this point we may have to agree to disagree.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—There is an amendment on it. Let us exchange views. I have nothing further to add. If there is anything for the hon. Members to say they can say at the time of the discussion of the amendment.
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Bill, 1972.

That also I can not do but I may say that there is a strong feeling that the Hindu Succession Act should be amended so as to take away the coparcenary rights and make them on the lines of Dayabhaga.

The An̄dr̄ha Pradesh Land Reforms (Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings) Bill, 1972.

An̄dr̄ha Pradesh (இந்திய சுற்றுலா வழிபாடு போட்டியில் இந்திய சுற்றுலா வழிபாடும்) செயற்கைக் குழு. இதன் வழிபாடுகள் வேறுபாடுகளை தரும் வழிபாடுகளுடன் இணைக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது. இதன் வழிபாடுகள் சுற்றுலாவில் வடக்கு வழிபாடுகளுடன் இணைக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது.

தேசிய உயர் கல்விக் குழு: இதன் வழிபாடுகளை தரும் வழிபாடுகளுடன் இணைக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது. இதன் வழிபாடுகள் சுற்றுலாவில் வடக்கு வழிபாடுகளுடன் இணைக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது.

...

That, we will do according to rules.
Sri Syed Hasan (Charminar):—There are two or three clarifications I would like to seek.

Under the Tenancy Act of 1951, Sec. 47 prohibited sale without the permission of the Tahsildar, but the innocent villagers without getting the sales registered bought the land. After these things had been realised, in 1961 Section-B had been introduced by which the Tahsildars and other officers were authorised to go into details and ascertain whether sales were effected without proper documents, etc. There were numerous villagers who did not get their lands registered. And the hon. Chief Minister himself knows that his close relation, Sri Sadasiva Rao has sold and on a cigarette packet paper he has mentioned 'I have sold such and such land for such and such consideration'. Whether any provision is being brought under clause 3 for such persons.

Another point is about tenants and pattadars Suppose the holding's 50 or 54 acres or whatever it may be that the tenant is having, I as a pattadar, gave it for tenancy and the tenant is having exactly the same holding as I am entitled to hold. As per this Act, it is clear that unless that section 44 of the Tenancy Act is amended suitably, there would be no provision; either I should be entitled to hold that land or the tenant should be entitled to hold that land.

The third point I would like to know, Sir, is whether this Bill was brought either for the uplift of the agriculturist or agricultural society or it is based on personal laws and communal basis it has been introduced or with particular vengeance against a particular community that the persons in power would like to take? If you have not understood it....

Sri P.V. Narasimha Rao:—I have understood it, but unfortunately I have not understood the situation as you have understood or misunderstood it. This is an All-India legislation. This is a legislation which has been initiated by a Party which is known for its committed secularism, which has no iota of communalism in it. So that should explain every thing that should be explained in regard to the last part of his questions. And there is nothing further to add. The whole world knows whether the Congress Party is secular or not. If we had not been secular, the history of this sub-continent would have been different. The history of India also would have been different.

Sri Syed Hasan:—In the guise of secularism, there are still persons inside...

Sri P.V. Narasimha Rao:—The hon. Member is airing his views because these are the views which brought him into the Assembly. We cannot help, so far as it continues to be his vested interest. We can only pray to God that the electorate becomes wiser next time.

Sri Syed Hasan:—We can also pray about Huzurabad...

Sri P.V. Narasimha Rao:—About other things as to what happens to a person if he has sold his lands, the Tribunal can go into it and take that transaction as valid and not treat it as part of
the owner's holding. The Tribunal can refuse to take it as surrendered land in which case it remains with him and the validity of the transaction entered into by the person is not affected.

Sri Syed Hasan.—I wanted to know, there are persons as per Tenancy Act who did not get them registered. What would be the remedy for such persons?

Sri P.V. Narasimha Rao.—So far as protected tenancy is concerned, the provisions are quite clear. Whatever rights we have under the Tenancy Law they continue and subject to those provisions only this will be implemented. So far as ordinary tenants are concerned, fortunately we have neither a record nor any proof. But it will be open to the Tribunal to consider claims of any tenants at the time of allotment of surplus land.

Sri Syed Hasan.—I would like to seek clarification keeping this fact in view that under Section 47 of the Tenancy Act there were persons prohibited to sell unless they took permission. Realising the fact that there were thousands of innocent persons who did not get them registered, Section 50-B was introduced. Under that the Tahsildar or an officer was entrusted with this work to go into details. I have given one specific example of one Sri Sadasiva Rao, a close relation of your own, who has sold thousands of acres on cigarette packet paper that he received such and such amount for the sale of so many acres. What would be the remedy for that?

Sri P.V. Narasimha Rao.—I want to assure him: I do not know what the notice is in bringing in names; I can assure him that I am not going to legislate for Sadasiva Rao. I am not going to put a provision for any Rao or any Mohammed.

Sri Syed Hasan.—Or Reddys.

Sri P.V. Narasimha Rao.—Or Reddys. I have forgotten. These are matters of fact. Section 50-B has come, many applications have been given under section 50-B. They are pending with the Tahsildars. We shall see that they are disposed of. After that the position will become absolutely clear. If still there are any transactions which have not been completed or regularised, it is for the Tribunal to go into it and take action.
5th September, 1972.


Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao :—Sir, this is not a question. This is a questionaire.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—I shall now put the motion to vote. The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms (Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings) Bill, 1972, be taken into consideration".

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—I shall now put the clauses to vote. There are 143 amendments given notice of by hon. members and I treat them as moved.

CLAUSE 2.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—The question is:

"That clause 2 do stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted. Clause 2 was added to the Bill.
Mr Deputy Speaker.— or clause 3, there are amendments moved by Sri Omkar, Sri B. Ratnasabapathi and Sri N Venkatatnam and others.

There are two Government amendments also. I will consider them as moved:

Sri B. Ratnasabapathi :—Are they for clause 3?

Mr Deputy Speaker :—Yes.

1 Sri M. Omkar :—Sir, I move :

Delete sub-clause (a) of clause 3.

2 Sri N. Venkatatnam :—Sir, I move :

In sub-clause (a) of clause 3 for the words "District Collector" substitute the words "District Judge."

3 Sri B. Ratnasabapathi :—Sir, I move :

For sub-clause (d) of clause 3 substitute the following:

"Double crop wet land means wet land which in all ordinary circumstances and unfailing supply of water for two crops."

4 In sub-clause (d) of clause 3 after the words "wet land" insert the following.

"All the lands under the precarious sources like tanks and such other sources of irrigation though owned by Government in Rayalaseema, Telangana and such other drought affected areas of coastal Andhra Pradesh"

5 Sri I Omkar :—Sir, move :

For sub-clause (d) of clause 3 substitute the following

"Wet land means all the land irrigated from Government source of private source, under tube wells or ordinary wells; tanks and all natural sources of irrigation public owned; or private owned, provided they are liable for irrigation every year unless under drought conditions."

6 Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu :—Sir, I move :

For sub-clause (d) (ii) of clause 3 substitute the following

"(ii) on which two irrigated crops for fasli have or a dual crop has been raised and maintained with the use of water from a Government source of irrigation in any four fasli years with in a continuous period of 6 fasli years, immediately before the specified date."
7 Sri K. Rangadass:—Sir, I move.

In sub-clause (d) (i) of clause 3 after the words "source of irrigation" insert the words "and above having an area of not less than 300 acres perennial source of Government water supply".

8 Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—I move:

(a) In clause 3 (d) after the words "double crop wet land" insert the following words.

"and also includes single crop wet land which is capable of yielding any catch after the first crop is harvested."

(b) In clause 3 (d) (ii) for the words "in any four fasli years" substitute the words "in any one fasli year."

(c) In clause 3 (d) (ii) for the words "in any four fasli years" substitute the words "in any two fasli years."

(d) In clause 3 (d) (ii) for the words "in any four fasli years" substitute the words "in any three fasli years."

(e) In clause 3 (d) (iii) after the words "from a tube well" insert the words "or filter point or dug well or lift or any other source."

(f) In clause 3 (d) (i i) add the following at the end—

"or Co-operative Farm Society whether public or private or society."

(g) Add the following at the end of proviso (a)

"but this proviso shall not apply for non supply of water due to drought or breaches occurred due to heavy floods or submer- sion or cyclone etc unforeseen havoc caused."

9 Sri T. Purushotham Rao:—Sir, I move

In sub-clause (d) (ii) of clause 3 after the words "crop has been raised" insert the word "satisfactorily."

10 In sub-clause (d) (ii) of clause 3 insert the words "assured" before the words "Government source."

11 In the provis to sub-clause (d) of clause 3 delete the words "the like" and insert the words "the crop has been effected adversely on account of large scale pest, lack of sufficient water in the irrigation sources and such other circumstances beyond the control of the ryot".

V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (d) (ii) of clause 3 delete the word "within a continuous period of six fasli years immediately before specified date."

In sub-clause (d) (iii) of clause 3 delete the words "or any person."

14 Sri Nallapareddi Srinivasulareddi:—Sir, I move

Delete sub-clause (d) (iii) of clause 3.

15 Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (e) of clause 3 after the words "garden land" insert the words "unless otherwise mentioned elsewhere in this Act."

16 Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (3) of clause 3 delete the words 'baghpat or garden land or special rate dry land' and add them at the end of the sub-clause.

17 Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (f) (i) of clause 3 delete the words "and their minor sons and their unmarried minor daughters."

18 Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (f) (ii) of clause 3 delete the words "and his or her minor sons."

19 In sub-clause (f) (iii) of clause 3 delete the words "and his minor sons and unmarried minor daughter."

20 Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—Sir. I move:

In sub-clause (f) (i) of clause 3 for the words "if any" substitute the words "their unmarried major daughters."
21 Sri Nallapareddy Srinivasulreddi:— Sir, I move:
   Sri B Venkata Reddy:—
   Delete sub-clause (f) iv) of clause 3.

22 Sri M. Omkar:— Sir, I move:
   Delete the word "minor" in sub-clause (f) (i) and (iv) of clause 3.

23 Sri N. Venkataratnam:— Sir, I move:
   In sub-clause (f) delete the word "minor" wherever it occurs.

24 Sri N. Venkataratnam:— Sir, I move:
   Delete the explanation in sub-clause (f) of clause 3.

25 Sri M. Nagi Reddy:— Sir, I move:
   In clause 3 (f) (i) (ii) (iii) and (iv) delete the word "minor" wherever it occurs.

26 Sri Syed Hasan:— Sir, I move:
   For the explanation in sub-clause (f) of clause 3 substitute the following:
   "each major son and/or unmarried major daughter, of a landholder be deemed to be an individual and entitled for a standard holding"

27 Sri Nallapareddy Srinivasul Reddi:— Sir, I move:
   Add the following as item (v) of sub-clause (f) of clause 3
   "in the case of a widower who has remarried, the minor sons and daughters of his first wife shall be treated as a separate unit"

28 Sri M. Omkar:— Sir, I move:
   Delete sub-clause (h) of clause 3

29. Sri M. Nagi Reddy:— Sir, I move:
   In sub-clause (h) of clause 3 delete the words "but does not include... or cross bunding"
Sir, I move:

(a) In sub-clause (h) of clause 3 after the words "cross bunding" insert the words "precarious Government source of irrigation".

(b) In sub clause (h) of clause 3 delete the word "or" between the words "Kol" and "cross bunding" and add a word "or" after the word "cross bunding".

Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (j) of clause 3 for the word "and in the case of...or usage joint in a estate" substitute the words "and in the case of other persons the members of which are entitled for a share in case of succession on the death of the holder of the land".

Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (k) of clause 3 delete the word "agricultural"

Sir, I move:

Delete the explanation II under sub-clause (k) of clause 3.

Sir, I move:

Add the following at the end of explanation 'I of sub-clause (k) of clause 3.

"not exceeding one thousand square metres including the plinth area of the building".

Sir, I move:

Add the following after the words "under this Act" in sub-clause (k) of clause 3.

"but does not include a part of survey numbers recorded as Pote Karab in the revenue records and also bunds containing hillocks and pits etc".

Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (p) of clause 3 delete the word "and individual"
37. Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi:—Sir, I move:

For sub-clause (p) of clause 3 substitute the following:

"person includes an individual . . . and a major son or daughter (married or unmarried) who any case in the event of the death of his or her father/mother would be entitled to a share in the inheritance of the deceased".

38. In sub-clause (j) of clause 3 after the words "in the case of other persons" insert the words "governed by Mohammedan law".

39. Sri N. Venkatratnam:—Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (o) of clause 3 after the word "owner" add the words "means a person having personal cultivation and".

40. In sub-clause (p) of clause 3 after the word "individual" add the words "whose personal income of permanent nature does not exceed Rs. 12,000 a year".

41. Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (v) of clause 3 delete the words "and where such tribunal . . . concerned".

42. Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I move:

For sub-clause (v) substitute the following—"Tribunal means the agrarian committee set up under this Act".

43. Sri N. Venkatratnam:—Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (v) of clause 3 for the words "Revenue Divisional Officer" substitute the words "Subordinate Judge".

44. Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—Sir, I move:

In the proviso to sub-clause (w) of clause 3 after the words "has been raised" insert the words "and maintained".

45. Sri V. Ranga Babu:—Sir, I move:

Add the following as item "a" in sub-clause (w) of clause 3.

"Irrigated by natural spring water".

6. Sri Nallapareddy Srinivasulreddi:—Sir, I move:

Delete sub-clause (2) (iii) of clause 3,

47. Sri M. Omkar:— Sir, I move:
Delete sub-clause (w) of clause 3.

48. Sri T. Purushotham Rao:—Sir, I move:
Add the following proviso at the end of sub-clause (w) -
“provided that any land which has been registered as wet under the precarious Government source of irrigation shall not be deemed to be wet land”.

49. Sri Nallapareddi Srinivasulreddi:—Sir, I move:
Sri R. Dasaratharami Reddy:
In the proviso to sub-clause (w) of clause 3 after the words “the Government” insert the following -
“or any land included in the ayacut of any Government source of irrigation”.

50. Sri R. Dasaratharami Reddy:—Sir, I move:
In the proviso to sub-clause (w) of clause 2 for the words “no irrigated crop has been raised” substitute the word “where the land has not yielded crop thereon”.

51. A. Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I move:
In sub-clause (v) of clause 3 for the words “Tribunal substitute the words “popular committee and also wherever the Tribunal occurs”.

Clause 4

51. Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—Sir, I move:
(a) In sub-clause (1) of clause 4 for the words “not more than five members” substitute the words “an individual and his spouse or spouses or her spouse”

(b) For sub-clause (2) of clause 4 substitute the following:
“In the case of joint Hindu family Co-parcenary every member thereof under Hindu law and his spouse or spouses together may own a ceiling area of land equal to one standard holding belonging to a joint Hindu family she may own a ceiling area of a standard holding in the case of a female “In the case of those who are joint in estate by custom or usage or personal law other than Hindu law, every male member thereof, and his spouse or spouses or her spouse may together own a ceiling area of land equal to one standard holding”.”
52. Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (2) of clause 4 for the word "two" substitute the words "one and half".

53. Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I move:

Add the following as new sub-clause (3) and re-number the subsequent sub-clause—

"If a family consists of less than five members the standard holding shall be reduced by 1/5th for each such non-existent member and it shall not be reduced to less than 2/3rd of the ceiling area fixed for a family unit".

54. Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I move:

In sub clause (3) of clause 4 for the words "one standard holding" substitute the words "a reduced standard holding" as defined above.

55. Sri N. Venkatratnam:—Sir. I move:

Add the following as new sub-clause (3) of clause 4 and re-number the subsequent sub-clauses.

'The ceiling area in the case of a family unit consisting of less than five members shall be an extent of land equal to one standard holding minus an extent of 1/5th of one standard holding for every such member less than five, so however, that the ceiling area shall not be less than one half of a standard holding and in case of an individual 1/3rd of a standard holding'.

56. Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I move:

a. In sub-clause (2) of clause 4 for the words "two standard holdings" substitute the words "one and half standard holdings"

b. Add the following as new sub-clause (4) of clause 4.

"(4) The ceiling area in the case of sub-clauses (2) and (3) a family unit or an individual or any other person consisting of less than five members this standard holding shall be reduced by 1/5th of the ceiling per every member less up to a limit of two members subject to a minimum of two thirds of one standard holding and in case only single person, the extent of land to be held shall be reduced to half the area of one standard holding".
57. Sri Syed Hasa :— Sir, I move :

In sub-clause (8) of clause 4 for the words "every individual" substitute the words "major son and/or unmarried major daughter"

58. Sri M. Nanadass :— Sir, I move:

Add the following as new-sub-clause (2) (a) ‘The ceiling area in the case of a family unit consisting of less than five members shall be an extent of land equal to one standard holding minus an extent of one fifth of one standard holding for every such member less than five, so however that the ceiling area shall not be less than two thirds of one standard holding”

59. Sri V. Narasimha Rao :— Sir, I move:

Add the following after sub-clause (3) of clause 4.

“The ceiling area in every case of every individual who is not a member of the family unit, and in case of any other person, who is getting salary of thousand rupees or more per year and who is earning by business not less than Rs. 12,000 per annum shall not be given an extent of land of one standard holding”

Clause 5

6. Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu :— Sir, I move:

In proviso (iii) to sub-clause (1) of clause 5 after the words “classified as class” and the words “IV and”

61. Sri K. Rangadas :— Sir, I move:

In the Table under Sub-clause (1) of Clause (5) substitute the following :

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of land</th>
<th>Wet land other than double crop wet land</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clause C</td>
<td>22 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause D</td>
<td>24 ”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause E</td>
<td>26 ”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
62. Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi;— Sir, I move:

A. In proviso (4) (b) of sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 for the words 'Class I, Class J or Class K of the table' substitute the words 'all classes of dry land'.

B. Delete the table in sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 and substitute the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of land</th>
<th>Extent or Standard Holding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class A</td>
<td>18 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>21 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>25 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>30 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class E</td>
<td>38 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class F</td>
<td>46 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class G</td>
<td>50 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class H</td>
<td>54 acres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

63. Sri Nallapreddi Srinivasul Reddi;— Sir, I move:

A. In proviso (ii) of Sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 for the words 'twentyfive percentum' substitute the words 'seventyfive percentum.'

B. Delete the Table in sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 and substitute the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wet</th>
<th>Double crop wet</th>
<th>Single crop wet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class A</td>
<td>18 acres</td>
<td>24 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>18 acres</td>
<td>25 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>17 acres</td>
<td>26 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>18 acres</td>
<td>27 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class E</td>
<td>36 acres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class F</td>
<td>42 acres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class G</td>
<td>48 acres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For clause 5 substitute the following:

A. "For the purpose of this Act land shall be classified as "A" and "B" in regard to wet land and "C" and "D" in regard to dry land.

(a) All the wet lands yielding more than 10 quintals of paddy or its equivalent value by other crops shall be classified as class A while yielding less shall be classified as class B.

(b) All the black cotton dry lands shall be classified as class C while other soils shall be considered as class D.

(c) Garden lands such as coconut, citrus, grape gardens shall be classified as class A lands, and all dry lands yielding commercial crops like tobacco, shall be classified as class C lands.

The extent of land which shall constitute a standard holding for the class of lands is given in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of land</th>
<th>standard holding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class A</td>
<td>10 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>15 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>20 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>30 &quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Add the following as sub-clause 6 of clause 5:

"(6) entire lands belonging to persons earning Rs. 1000/- or more than Rs. 1000/- per month by other occupations shall be taken over without compensation while lands belonging to persons who earn less than this amount by other occupations shall be retained with the owners to the extent allowed by this act to such person provided he or she takes up to personal cultivation or otherwise shall be taken out at the prevailing market rate."

65. Sri M. Nagi Reddy :— Sir, I move:

(A) Delete proviso (i) of Sub-clause (1) of Clause 5.

(B) In proviso (i) of sub-clause (1) of clause 5 for the words "twelve and half percentum" insert the following words:—

"Sixth and one-fourth percentum."

(C) In proviso (ii) of sub-clause (1) of clause 5 for the words "twenty-five percentum" substitute the words "twelve and half percentum".
(D) In proviso (iii) of sub-clause (1) of clause 5 for the words “sixteen and one-fourth percentum” substitute the words “six and one-fourth percentum.”

(E) In proviso (i) of sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 for the words “twelve and half percentum” substitute the words “ten percentum.”

(G) In proviso (ii) of sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 for the words “twenty-five percentum” substitute the words “twenty percentum.”

(H) In proviso (iii) for sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 for the words “sixteen and one-fourth percentum” substitute the words “ten percentum.”

(I) Delete proviso (v) of sub-clause (1) of Clause 5.

(J) For the table to sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 substitute the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of Land</th>
<th>Extent of Standard Holding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wet Double Crop Wet land</td>
<td>Wet land other than double crop wet land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) (b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet Class A</td>
<td>10 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>11 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>12 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>13 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class E</td>
<td>14 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class F</td>
<td>15 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class G Class H</td>
<td>28 acres (twenty-two)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>30 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class J Class K</td>
<td>46 &quot; (Forty-six)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

30. Delete the Table completely and replace the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of Land</th>
<th>Extent of Standard Holding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wet Class A</td>
<td>10 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>11 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C Class D</td>
<td>12 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class E Class F</td>
<td>14 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class G Class H</td>
<td>25 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I Class J</td>
<td>30 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class K</td>
<td>46 &quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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(K) Add the following as new sub-clauses (1) and (2) of clause 5 and re-number the subsequent sub-clauses.

(1) "In the case of lands irrigated under filter points, tube wells, open wells or lift or any other source of irrigation, they shall be considered as double crop wet, but in determining the standard holding, a weightage of ten percentum shall be given over and above the ceiling fixed in the case of double crop wet lands."

(2) "In the case of lands irrigated under dug wells, Maddirala, Vagunala, Khasom, Sona, Bila, U-palvat bonda, Doruvu, Bhuki, kole on cross bunding they shall be treated as single crop wet land with twenty percentum weightage in determining the Standard Holding."

(L) In sub-clause (3) of Clause 5 in line 5 after the words "or firm" add the following: "after taking over the surplus land of the Company over and above one standard holding."

(M) Delete sub-clause (6) of Clause 5 and substitute the following: "Lands owned or held under a private trust either revocable 2/3 or irrevocable shall be taken over by the Government and distributed to the landless poor as per the provisions of this bill and the said trust shall be managed by Government."

66. Sri T. Purushotham Rao:—Sir I move:

A. In proviso (iii) of Sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 for the words "sixteen and one-fourth percentum" substitute the words "twenty five percentum."

B. In proviso (v) of sub-clause (1) of Clause 6 for the table substitute the following: In the case of Telangana area class of lands and extent of holding as specified under col (1) and (2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of land</th>
<th>Double crop wet</th>
<th>Wet land other than double crop wet land</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>15 acres</td>
<td>24 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>18 acres</td>
<td>27 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>21 acres</td>
<td>30-38 M.I. Course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td></td>
<td>46 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class J</td>
<td></td>
<td>54 Acres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

67. Sri M. Nanadas: Sir I move

Insert the following as new sub-clauses (a) and (b) of clause 5.

"5 (a) All cultivable and agricultural lands shall be subject to periodical redistribution, depending on the growth of population and the increase in the productivity and the scientific level of farming.

5 (b) Absentee landlordism is abolished and the right to use the land shall be accorded to all persons (without distinction of sex) deserving to cultivate it, by their own labours, in the help of their
families or in partnership, but only as long as they are able to cultivate it. The employment of hired labour shall be prohibited.

CLAUSE 6.

68. Sri B Ratnasabhapathi: —Sir, I move:

Delete sub-clauses (2) (3) (4) and (5) of Clause 6 and substitute the following as sub-clauses (2) and (3):

“(2) Each tribunal constituted under sub-section (1) shall consist of one member who shall be an officer of the Judiciary not below the rank of a District Munsiff.

(3) The Government shall appoint the Revenue Divisional Officer to represent their interest.”

69. Sri Nallapareddi Srinivasul Reddy: —Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (2) of Clause 6, delete the words “or has held or is qualified to hold.”

70. Sri M. Omkar: —Sir, I move:

Delete clause 6 and substitute the following:

“(1) The Government shall by notification direct the villagers to constitute agrarian committees for the purpose of implementing this Act.

(2) Each Agrarian Committee shall consist of 5 members by means of election in each village with the peasants below the ceiling level and agricultural labourers acting as the voters.

(3) Nobody who is not a voter is eligible to be elected for such a Committee.

(4) Government Officers not below the rank of revenue inspector may attend these committee meetings as representatives of the executing authority without the right to vote:

(5) These Agrarian Committee so elected shall be the final authority in regard to implementing this Act.

71. Sri N. Venkatratnam: —Sir, I move:

A. In sub-clause (2) of Clause 6, after the words “Deputy Collector”, for the word “or” substitute the word “and”

B. In sub-clause (2) of Clause 6, after the words “or has held”
for the words "or is qualified to hold the post of a District Munsiff" substitute the words "Subordinate Judge".

C. In sub-clause (2) of Clause 6, add at the end "and the third person shall be one of the members of the Legislative Assembly or Council within the jurisdiction of the tribunal."

D. Add the following provision at the end of Explanation I (a) of clause 6.

"Provided that the female member shall be entitled to surrender her land in excess of the proportion; if her rights are protected by the family unit, by way of a deed, to the intent she surrendered in extant of the proportion".

72. Sri M. Nagi Reddy: Sir, I move:

Delete sub-clause (2) of clause 6 and substitute the following.

"Each popular committee constituted under sub-section (1) shall consist of five members of whom one shall be a person who holds or has held a civil post under the State, not below the rank of a Deputy Collector and one among them shall be elected as Chairman of the Popular Committee."

78. Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I move:

Delete sub-clause (2) of clause 6, and substitute the following.

"Each popular Committee constituted under sub-section (1) shall consist of five members of who one shall be a person who holds or has held a Civil post under the State not below the rank of Deputy Collector, the members shall be the representatives of the Ruling and Opposition parties and Mass organisations who are committed to radical land reforms."

CLAUSE 7

74. Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (3) of clause 7 for the words "within a period— notified date" substitute the following—"after the Second January, 1972."

75. Sri B. Ratnasabapathi:—Sir, I move:

Delete sub-clause (1) of clause 7.

76. Sri Nallapareddi Srinivasulreddi:—Sir, I move:

Delete sub-clause (3) of clause 7.

77. Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I move:

(a) In sub-clause (1) of clause 7 for the words and figures "24th January, 1971." substitute the words and figures "26th September, 1970."
(b) In sub-clause (1) of clause 7 delete the following—
"then the burden of proving...and...where he has not so proved"

(c) Add the following as sub-clause (1, a) of clause 7. "All benami transfers made since 1961 shall be disregarded for the purpose of this Act."

(d) In Clause 7 for the words and figures "24th January, 1971" substitute the words and figures "26th September, 1970" wherever it occurs.

(e) Delete sub-clause (7) of clause 7

78 Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I move:

(a) In sub-clause (1) of clause 7 for the words and figures "24th January, 1971" and substitute the words and figures "1st January, 1970" and consequent changes wherever it occurs.

(b) In sub-clause (1) of clause 7 after the word "surrender" add the following words "partition, adoption, divorce"

(c) In sub-clause (2) of clause 7 after the words "mortgage" add the following words "adoption or divorce."

(d) In sub-clause (3) of clause 7 delete the words "within a period of five years"

CLAUSE 8

79 Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—Sir, I move:

For sub-clause (1) of clause 8 substitute the following—
"Every person holding land outside the Taluk in which he is resident or outside the state of Andhra Pradesh any where in India or outside India shall furnish a declaration of such land to the Tribunal within whose jurisdiction he is resident in such form, and with such particulars as may be prescribed. The form and particulars have to be published in newspapers, and by beat of Tom-Tom."

80 Sri B. Ratnasabapathi:—Sir, I move:

(a) In sub-clause (1) of clause 8 delete the words and figures "24th January, 1971" and substitute the words and figures "2nd May, 1972."
(b) In sub clause (1) of clause 8, for the words “thirty days” substitute the words “ninety days.”

81 Sri Nallaparaddi Srinivasulrai:—Sir, I move:

Delete explanation III to sub-clause (1) of clause 8.

82 Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (1) of clause 8 for the words “a major part of his holding” substitute the words “his residence”

83 Sri T. Parshoatham Rao:—Sir, I move:

(a) In sub-clause (1) of clause 8 for the words and figures “2nd January, 1971” substitute the words and figures “2nd May, 1971”

(b) In sub-clause (1) of clause 8 for the words “thirty days” substitute the words “ninety days.”

(c) In sub-clause (1) of clause 8 for the words “specified limit” substitute the words “ceiling limit”

(d) Delete explanation III of clause 8.

NEW CLAUSE 9

84 Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I move:

Insert the following as new clause 9 after clause 8 and re-number the subsequent clauses.

PROHIBITION OF HOLDING LAND BY NON-AGRICULTURISTS

(1) (a) No person who, or a family which has an assured annual income of not less than rupees twelve thousand, from a source other than agricultural lands, shall be entitled to hold any land whether as land owner, landlord, tenant or as a mortgage with possession or otherwise, or partly in one capacity and partly in another.

(b) for purposes of clause (a)

(i) the aggregate income of all the members of a family from sources other than agricultural land shall be deemed to be the income of the family from such sources;
(ii) A person or a family shall be deemed to have an assured annual income of not less than rupees twelve thousand from sources other than agricultural land on any day, if such person or family had an average annual income of not less than rupees twelve thousand from such sources during a period of five consecutive years preceding such day.

85 Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:—Sir, I move:

Add the following as new clause 9 after clause 8.

"No person shall be entitled to hold any agricultural land who has an assured income of less than twelve thousand (12,000) rupees, from a source other than agricultural lands."

86 Sri T. Purushotham Rao:—Sir, I move:

In clause 9 after the words ‘pass orders’ insert the following words—

"within six months from the date of filing of declaration."

CLAUSE 10

87 Sri Ch. Parsurama Naidu:—Sir, I move

(a) Add the following proviso to sub-clause (i) of clause 10

"provided however that when the opportunity was given to hear under clause (4) the person files the requisite statement, it shall be considered as filed under sub-clause (3)"

(b) In sub-clause 5 (a) (ii) of clause 10 delete the following—

"on account of the land being in the possession of any person mentioned in item (ii) and (v) of clause 1 of section 3."

(c) Insert the following as proviso 1 to sub-clause (5) (a) of clause 10.

"Provided where the tribunal feels unable to accept the surrender made on the grounds above laid, it may give notice to the person concerned and hear him and determine whether to accept or refuse the surrender thereof, and shall in every case of refusal to accept surrender, serve a notice on the person concerned requiring him to surrender any other land in lieu thereof and thereupon the provisions of subsection (3) and (4) shall, Mutatis mutandis apply to such surrender."

(d) In explanation I to sub-clause 6 of clause 10 delete the words ‘so however’

(e) For explanation III to sub-clause (6) of clause 10.

(f) Substitute the following.—

"whether any person required to surrender any land under this Act is a member of joint family. Co-operative Society, Company or firma, and where such members chooses to surrender a portion of land held by him in joint with other members, he shall specify the portion of the lands, surrendered with the consent of the other members, and then it shall be deemed to have been surrendered in separation of joint interest. Any deficiency in the land to be surrendered may be supplemented by surrendering the land separately owned by him."

88 Sri B. Rama Sabhapathi:—Sir, I move:

(a) Delete sub-clause (3) (a) (i) and (ii) of clause 10.
(b) Delete sub-clause (5) (b) of clause 10.

89 Sri N. Venkat Ratnam:—Sir, I move:

(a) Insert the following at the end of sub-clause (1) for clause 10.
"and the expenses for stamps and registration shall be borne by the Government."
(b) Insert the following proviso to sub-clause (1) of clause 10.
"provided that the person shall not surrender the land in possession or a tenant or tenants subject to extent of the ceiling area."

90 Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I move:

Insert the new sub-clause after sub-clause (5) (b) of clause 10.

91 Sri T. Purushotham Rao:—Sir, I move:

(a) Delete sub-clause (5) (a) (ii) of clause 10.
(b) Insert the following at the end of explanation IV, to sub-clause (6) of clause 10.
"subject to however that the water is supplied from the Government source of irrigation with in a period of two years from the specified date."

92 Sri N. Venkat Ratnam:—Sir, I move:

Delete explanation (iv) to sub-clause (6) of clause 10.
CLAUSE 11

Sri Ch. Parssurama Naidu:—Sir, I beg to move:

(a) In clause 11 insert the following between the words “possession of such land” and which shall thereupon”.

“On the payment of at least 33 1/3 percent of the amount payable to him under this Act.”

(b) Insert the following at the end of the explanation to clause 11.

“nor any easement right, others lands had over the land vested in the Government by surrender”.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—Sir, I beg to move:

(a) In clause 11 delete the following—

“free from all encumbrances from the date of such order”.

(b) Delete the proviso under clause 11 and substitute the following—

“Provided that any claim or liabilities enforceable against that land before the date of vesting in the Government may be redeemed by the Government”.

Sri T. Purushotham Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

(a) In clause 11 delete the following words—

“free from all encumbrances from the date of such order”.

(b) In clause 11 for the proviso to clause 11 substitute the following proviso.

“provided that any claim or liabilities enforceable against that land before the date of vesting in Government shall also pass along with the land surrendered”.

CLAUSE 12

Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—Sir, I beg to move.

“In sub clause (1) of clause 12 insert the following words after the words “the possession” “and owner”.

CLAUSE 13

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I beg to move;

Add the following as sub-clause (2) of clause 13.

“All the tenants who are not covered under the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Tenancy and Agricultural Act 1950 having in continuous possession of land for 6 years or more prior to the date of notification of this Act shall be declared as protected tenants”
CLAUSE 14

98 Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—Sir, I beg to move:
(a) For clause 14 substitute the following:

"The lands vested in the Government under this Act shall be transferred to the agricultural labourers, villagers, and backward classes including weaker sections, scheduled castes, and scheduled tribes for their convenient use.

Explanation I: The backward classes are those classes or castes as notified by the Government for purposes of clause (A) of article 15 of the constitution.

Provided that 2/5th of the land surrendered shall go to the benefit of scheduled castes and tribes, and 3/5th shall go to the weaker sections to be specified from among the above said backward classes, and the remaining to the remaining backward classes and other sections mentioned above."

(b) In sub-clause (4) (i) of clause 14 after the words "land mortgage bank" insert the following words "until after the allottee or transferee completes the full payment as required to pay under this Act" and delete the word "and" in the end.

In sub-clause (4) (iii) of clause 14 insert the following words after the words "an orchard" "until after he completes the payment required under the Act".

(c) Delete sub-clause (6) of clause 11.

99 Sri B. Ratnasabpathi:—Sir, I beg to move:
Delete sub-clauses (2) and (3) of clause 14.

100 Sri Nallapareddi Srinivasulureddy:—Sir, I beg to move
(a) Delete the following in sub-clause (a) of clause 14.

"shall pay to the Government and on payment of entire amount such person"

(b) Delete sub-clause (8) of clause 14.

101 Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Sir I beg to move:
(a) For sub-clause (2) of clause 14 substitute the following:

"14 (2) Every person, to whom the land has been allotted for use as house site or transferred for the purpose of agriculture or for purposes ancillary thereto shall be free of cost"

14 (2) (a): Every person to whom the land has been allotted for the use as house site shall be free of cost.

(b) In sub-clause (2) of clause 14 delete the words "house site"
'c) In sub-clause (2) of clause 14 for the words “ten years” substitute the words “fifteen years”,

(d) In sub clause (2) of clause 14 for the words “fifty times” substitute the words “twenty-five times”;

(e) In sub-clause (2) of clause 14 for the words “fifty times” substitute the words “forty times”;

(f) In sub-clause (2) of clause 14 for the words and figures “Rs. 1250” substitute the words and figures “Rs. 150”;

(g) In sub-clause (2) of clause 14 for the words and figures “Rs. 25” substitute the words and figures “Rs. 25”;

(h) In sub-clause (2) of clause 14 for the words and figures “Rs. 125” substitute the words and figures “Rs. 100”;

(i) In sub-clause (2) of clause 14 for the words and figures “Rs. 125” substitute the words and figures “Rs. 100”;

(j) Insert the following proviso to sub-clause (1) of clause 14:

---

102 Sri M. Omkar.—Sir, I beg to move

For sub-clause (2) of clause 14 substitute the following:

(a) “Every person to whom the land has been allotted for the use of house sites or transferred for the purpose of agriculture or for the purposes ancillary thereto shall be granted patta gratis.”

(b) Delete sub-clauses (3) and (5) of clause 4.

108 Sri N Venkataramnam:—Sir, I beg to move

In sub clause (3) of clause 14 insert the following words after the words “shall be allotted” “to the cultivating tenant and the extent not less than one acre of wet land and 2½ acres of dry land and not more than 1/3rd of the standard holding”.

104 Sri T Purushotham Rao:—Sir, I beg to move

In the explanation to sub-clause (2) of clause 14 for the words “in such manner as may be prescribed” substitute the words “as per PWD standard.”

105 Sri M. Nanadas:—Sir, I beg to move:

(a) In the proviso to sub-clause (1) of clause 14 delete the words “as far as may be practicable.”

(b) In the proviso to sub-clause (1) of clause 14 after the words “constitution” insert the following—“free of cost to the actual tillers who are landless by lot or by agreement in conformity with the labour standard or a subsistence standard.”

(c) For sub clause (2) of clause 14 substitute the following—

“All surplus land which vests with the State Government and which is to be distributed among the tillers shall be distributed by the local village committee which shall be statutorily constituted.”

(d) For sub-clause (3) of clause 14 substitute the following—

"(3) The State cannot allow the waste of agricultural workers' labour to continue and cultivate all cultivable and agriculture lands kept vacant or fallow, no matter whom they belong to"

CLAUSE 15

106 Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—Sir, I beg to move.

In clause 15 for the words "and it shall be paid" substitute the following—

"It shall be paid in cash by 25 1/2% of the amount payable as a first instalment and the remaining may be paid in instalment in cash"

CLAUSE 16

107 Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—Sir, I beg to move.

In clause 16 delete the words "in its opinion"

CLAUSE 17

108 Sri B. Ratnasabapathi:—Sir, I beg to move.

In sub-clause (1) of clause 17 for the words and figures "25th January, 1971" substitute the words and figures "2nd May, 1972."

109 Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I beg to move.

For the words and figure "24th January, 1971" substitute the words and figures "26th September, 1970."

CLAUSE 18

110 Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move.

Delete explanation IV. to clause 18.

CLAUSE 19

111 Sri N. Venkatratnam:—Sir, I beg to move.

(a) Insert the following at the end of sub-clause (5) of 19.

"and report the same to the Tribunal"

(b) In sub-clause (6) of clause 19 delete the words "or the Revenue Divisional Officer as the case may be."

CLAUSE 20

112 Sri Nallapareddi Srinivasulreddi:—Sir, I beg to move.

In sub-clause (2) of clause 20 delete the words "or is qualified to hold" occurring in fifth line.
113. Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I move:

(a) In sub-clause (2) of clause 20 delete the words "or a person who has held or is qualified to hold the post of a District Judge"

(b) Insert the following at the end of sub-clause (2) of clause 20: "The members shall be the representatives of the Ruling and Opposition Parties and mass organisation who are committed to radical land reforms"

(c) Add the following as new sub-clause (6) of clause 20:

"A State level popular committee consisting of not less than five members with representatives of the Ruling and Opposition parties and mass organisations who are committed to radical land reforms shall be constituted to review the process and implementation of this Act."

114. Sri N. Venkataratnam:—Sir, I move:

(a) In sub-clause (3) of clause 20 delete the words "or the Revenue Divisional Officer"

(b) In sub-clause (5) of clause 20 delete the words "or the Revenue Divisional Officer"

CLAUSE 21

115. Sri C. Parasurama Naidu:—Sir, I move:

For sub-clause (a), (b) and (c) of clause 21 substitute the following:

"the grounds for which revision was provided under the CP from an order of a court"

116. Sri T. Purushotham Rao:—Sir, I move:

In Clause 21, delete the words "the prescribed period"

CLAUSE 22

117. Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—Sir, I move:

Insert the following at the end of sub-clause (1) of Clause 22:

"and shall follow the procedure of the C.P.C. as far as practicable"

118. Sri N. Venkataratnam:—Sir, I move:

(a) In sub-clause (1) of Clause 22, add the word "and" after the words "the appellate tribunal" and delete the words "the Revenue Divisional Officer or any officer authorised by the tribunal or the Revenue Divisional Officer"

(b) In sub-clause (3) of Clause 22, for the words "by him" substitute the words "by the tribunal"

CLAUSE 23

119. Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—Sir, I move:
Delete sub-clause (d) of Clause 23.

120. Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I move:
Delete sub-clause (b) of Clause 23.

120-a. Sri M. Ojkar:—Sir, I move:
For Clause 23, substitute the following:
"Nothing in this act shall apply to the agricultural land held by the Agricultural College for the purpose of demonstration"

121. Sri N. Venkataraman:—Sir, I move:
(a) Delete sub-clause (b) of Clause 23 and reletter subsequent sub-clauses.
(b) Delete sub-clause (g) of Clause 23, and also delete or 'g' in the proviso and add 'or' between (e) and (f).

122. Sri M. Nanadas:—Sir, I move:
Insert the following proviso at the end of Clause 23:
"Provided further that the lands specified in clauses (b) (d) and (g) shall be taken over by the State Government as and when it is necessary for the public good."

CLAUSE 24

123. Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—Sir, I move:
(a) In sub-clause (1) of Clause 24, for the words "imprisonment for a term . ... or with both" substitute the words "fine which may extend upto Rs. 2,000"

(b) In sub-clause (2) of Clause 24, for the words "imprisonment for a term . ... or with both" substitute the word "fine which may extend upto Rs. 2,000"

124. Sri K. Rangadas:—Sir, I move:
In clause 24, delete the words "imprisonment for a term which may extend to two years" wherever they occur.
125. Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—Sir, I move:

(a) In sub-clause (1) of Clause 24, for the words “fine imprisonment or with both” substitute the words “with fine of Rs 100”

(b) In sub-clause (2) of Clause 24, for the words “fine imprisonment or with both” substitute the words “with fine not more than Rs 500”

(c) In sub-clause (3) of Clause 24, for the words “fine imprisonment...... or with both” substitute the words “with fine not exceeding Rs 500”

126. Sri Nallapareddi Sreemvassu Reddi:—Sir, I move:

(a) In clause 24, delete the words “imprisonment for a term... or with both” substitute the words “5.0”

(b) Delete sub-clauses (2) and (3) of Clause 2.

127. Sri Omkar:—Sir, I move:

Delete sub-clause (4) of Clause 24.

128. N Venkatratnam:—Sir, I move:

(a) In sub-clauses (1), (2) & (3) of Clause 24 delete the words “or excuse”.

(b) In sub-clause (4) of Clause 24, for the words District Collector” substitute the word “Tribunal”

129. Sri T. Purushotham Rao:—Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (1), (2) and (3) of Clause 24, for the words “two years or with fine which may extend to 2000 Rupees or with both” substitute the words “Rs 000”

CLAUSE 25

130. Sri T. Purushotham Rao:—Sir, I move:

delete sub-clause (2) of Clause 25.

FIRST SCHEDULE.

131. Sri Ch. Parasarumanaidu:—Sir, I move:

The first schedule may be amended so as to read in the second column “Srikakulam District as a whole” and delete 1 under column 6 and read under column E 1 to 4 deleting 2 to 4 and further reading under column F 5 to 11 deleting 5 to 8 under column F.”
182. Sri V. Ranga Babu:—Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (3) (ii) of the First Schedule, for the letter 'G' substitute the letter 'F' and add the following proviso: provided that dry land on which coconut garden is raised shall be deemed to be double crop wet land.

183. Sri B. 'atasabhapathi:—Sir, I move:

"To all classes of land there should be a separate classification of lands falling under precarious sources like tanks in Rayalaseema and other regions"

184. Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I move:

(a) In sub-clause (3) (i) of First Schedule, delete the words ‘Class F’ and substitute the word ‘Class C’.

(b) In sub-clause (3) (i) of First Schedule, delete the words ‘Class F’ and substitute the word ‘Class D’.

(c) In sub-clause (3) (i) of First Schedule, delete the words ‘Class F’ and substitute the word ‘Class C’.

(d) For sub-clause (3) (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: any land on which coconut and citrus gardens are raised shall be deemed to fall under Class A as if it were a double crop wet.

(e) For sub-clause (3) (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under clause B as if it were a double crop wet.

(f) For sub-clause (3) (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under Class C as if it were a double crop wet.

(g) For sub-clause (3) (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under Class D as if it were a double crop wet.

(h) For sub-clause (3) (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under Class E as if it were a double crop wet.

(i) For sub-clause (3) (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under Class A as if it were a wet land other than double crop wet.

(j) For sub-clause (3) (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under class A as if it were a wet land other than double crop wet.

(k) For sub-clause (3) (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under Class C as if it were a wet land other than double crop wet."
the Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms Act, 1972.

1. For sub-clause (3) (i) of First Schedule, substitute the following: "Any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under class D as if it were a wet land other than double crop wet."

2. For sub-clause (3) (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: "Any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under Class E as if it were a wet land other than double crop wet."

3. For sub-clause (3) (iii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: "Any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under Class E as if it were a double crop wet."


"In sub-clause (2) of First Schedule, substitute the following: "Sri D. Koneswara Rao, "In sub-clause (2) of First Schedule, substitute the following: "Any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under Class E as if it were a wet land other than double crop wet."

136. Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu.—Sir, I move:

For sub-clause (2) and (d) of Second Schedule, substitute the following: "The amount payable for any wet land vested in the Government may be forty percent of the market value and for any dry land it may be sixty percent of the market value."

137. Sri K. Rangadas.—Sir, I move:

For Second Schedule, substitute the following:

"Where the total land revenue payable does not exceed" (1) Sub-clause (2) (i) upto Rs. 5 200 times
(2) (ii) exceeds Rs. 5 upto Rs. 150 150
(3) (iii) exceeds Rs. 150 upto Rs. 500 100
(4) (iv) exceeds Rs. 500 upto Rs. 1000 25

138. Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi.—Sir, I move:

(a) Delete sub-clause 1) and (2) of Second Schedule and substitute the following: "The amount payable for any land vested in the Government under this Act shall be calculated at 15 times that of net income and the next income be assessed in the manner prescribed."

(b) Delete the explanation and two provisos in sub clause 3 of Second Schedule.

139. Sri Nallapareddy Sreenivasul Reddy.—Sir, I move:

For sub-clause (2) of Second Schedule, substitute the following: "The lands being taken away are being vested in the Government by the operation or the provisions of this Act, the amount payable as compensation shall be according to market value."
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140. Sri T. Purshotham Rao: —Sir, I move:

Insert the following at the end of the explanation to sub-clause (3) of the Second Schedule “and further that special facilities and priorities shall be given to establish industries and other institutions to the persons whose lands are taken away under this Act.”

Clause 3

141. Sri M. Nagi Reddy: —Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (a) of Clause 3, for the words, “appellate tribunal” substitute the words “Appellate popular committee” and in the following clauses consequential changes shall be made wherever “appellate tribunal” occurs.

Clause 6

142. Sri M. Nanadas: —Sir, I move:

In sub-clause (2) of Clause 6, for the word “five” substitute the word “three”.

Clause 8

143. Sri M. Omkar: —Sir, I move:

In clause 8, for the words “24th January, 1972” substitute the word “26th September, 1970”.

Clause 8

144. Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao: —Sir, I move:

“For Provise (a) to sub-clause (d) of clause 3. substitute the following:

“Provided that any land entitled to the supply of water from a Government source of irrigation and on which two irrigated crops per fasli year have or a dufassal crop has, not been raised with the use of water from such source, in any four Fasli years within a continuous period of six Fasli years immediately before the specified date for want of supply of water from such source”

For the proviso to sub-clause (w) in clause 2, the following shall be substituted:—

“Provided that any land which has been registered as wet land in the land revenue accounts of the Government and on which no irrigated crop has been raised with the use of water from a Government source of irrigation in any four Fasli years within a continuous period of six Fasli years immediately before the specified date for want of supply of water from such source shall not be deemed to be wet land”

“Omit sub-clause (J) of clause 3 and subsequent sub clauses (K) to (W) may be read as sub-clause (J) to (V)”
Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu:—Copy of the amendments is not give11 to us.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—We have given just now. We have only tried to clarify the provision so that the draft is not capable of any dual interpretation.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Will the copies be supplied to us?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I will ask the hon. Chief Minister to supply.

Sri P V. Narasimha Rao:—We have always had this practice that at the last moment also we give amendments from Government; they are read out, commented upon and accepted. There is nothing wrong about it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I have read them out already.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—In the context of the original provision, we have to study the amendments.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—When that particular provision comes, if the hon. Deputy Speaker is good enough to read it in that context it will be easy to understand.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Anyway, like a school master let him give the clause and also the amendment; we make a note of it. Let the hon. Chief Minister not treat us as that and say: “I have got 219 members on my side; what do I care”

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—For the information of the hon. members of the House, I have read out the Government amendments already.

Now let hon. Sri Omkar speak on his amendment.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Delete sub-clause (a) of clause 3.

“In sub clause (a) of clause 3 for the words: ‘District Collector’ substitute the words: ‘District Judge’.”

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—In sub-clause (a) of clause 3 for the words: ‘District Collector’ substitute the words: ‘District Judge’.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Copy of the amendments is not given to us.
In sub-clause 'a) of clause 3, for the words "Appellate Tribunal" substitute the words "Appellate Popular Committee" and in the following clauses consequential changes shall be made wherever 'Appellate Tribunal', occurs.
The question is; ‘Delete sub-clause ... of clause 3.’

(Pause)

The motion was declared negatived. Sri Omkar pressed for division and the House then divided thus:

Ayes-5, Noes-172 and Neutrals-Nil.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

In sub-clause a) of Clause 3 for the words ‘Appellate Tribunal’ substitute the words ‘Appellate popular Committee and in the following clauses consequential change shall be made wherever “Appellate Tribunal” occurs.

The amendment was declared negatived. Sri M. Nag. Reddy pressed for division and the House then divided thus:

Ayes-14, Noes 185 Neutrals-nil.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now, there is an amendment given notice of by Sri N. Venkataratnam.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now, there is an amendment given notice of by Sri N. Venkataratnam.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

In sub-clause a) of clause 3 for the words ‘District Collector substitute the words ‘District Judge’.

(Pause)

The amendment was negatived.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—Sir, ... the following—

For sub-clause (d) of clause 3 substitute the following.—

“Double crop wet land means wet land which in all ordinary circumstances and unfailing supply of water for two crops.’

wet and dry, and in some districts a third class of land, mannavari is also recognised. Wet lands which in all circumstances have an unfailling supply of water for two crops are irrigated for double crop. Perennial sources of irrigation from Government sources are also recognised. "Double crop we land means wet land which in all ordinary circumstances have an unfailling supply of water for two crops."

My second amendment is:

In sub-clause (d) of clause 3 after the words "wet land" insert the following:

'All the lands under the precarious sources like tanks and such other sources of irrigation though owned by Government in Rayalaseema, Telangana and such other drought affected areas of..."

Sub-clause (ii) is :- (ii) on which two crops per fasli year have or a dufasal crop has been raised with the use of water from a Government source of irrigation in any four fasli years within a

cont.ous period of six years. The record available with the Government. There is a proviso added, if inspite of this record certain things have not happened, then it will not form part. That has been made subject to that general proviso. If the record itself is not there, & the 6 acres of single crop wet land registered as double crop or compounded double crop wet in the land revenue accounts of the Government and includes any wet land not so registered, any wet land registered as double crop or compounded double crop wet land which is capable of yielding any catch after the first crop is harvested in the land revenue accounts of the Government and includes any wet land not so registered.
In. Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms 5th September, 1952 (ceiling on Agricultural Holdings)

Bil 19 2.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—It is not a double crop wet.
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Sri Ch. Parasrama Naidu:—Mr. Speaker Sir, I hope I will be able to carry the Chief Minister with me for accepting the amend­
ment. I wish to convince him about the definition of double crop
land. It is the heart of the entire measure. One thing is quite clear
that the definition of the double crop wet land is no clear. There is
no clear distinction from single crop wet land. So it has to be distin­
guished and for that purpose amendments have been made in the
Select Committee, as also in the Regional Committee. Still there is a
little gap and what I am requesting is simply a clarification of the
intention of the framers of the legislation. Double Crop wet land,
registered as double crop or compounded double crop wet land.
There is absolutely no controversy about it and in the amendment
which was brought in the Regional Committee it was stated.

For sub-clause (d) of clause 8 substitute the follow­ing:

Wet land means all the land irrigated from Government source or private
source; under tube wells or ordinary wells; tanks and all natural
sources of irrigation public owned or private owned provided, they
are liable for irrigation every year unless under drought conditions.

Sri Ch. Parasrama Naidu:—Mr. Speaker Sir, I hope I will
be able to carry the Chief Minister with me for accepting the amend­
ment. I wish to convince him about the definition of double crop
land. It is the heart of the entire measure. One thing is quite clear
that the definition of the double crop wet land is no clear. There is
no clear distinction from single crop wet land. So it has to be distin­
guished and for that purpose amendments have been made in the
Select Committee, as also in the Regional Committee. Still there is a
little gap and what I am requesting is simply a clarification of the
intention of the framers of the legislation. Double Crop wet land,
registered as double crop or compounded double crop wet land.
There is absolutely no controversy about it and in the amendment
which was brought in the Regional Committee it was stated.

For sub-clause (d) of clause 8 substitute the follow­ing:

Wet land means all the land irrigated from Government source or private
source; under tube wells or ordinary wells; tanks and all natural
sources of irrigation public owned or private owned provided, they
are liable for irrigation every year unless under drought conditions.

Sri Ch. Parasrama Naidu:—Mr. Speaker Sir, I hope I will
be able to carry the Chief Minister with me for accepting the amend­
ment. I wish to convince him about the definition of double crop
land. It is the heart of the entire measure. One thing is quite clear
that the definition of the double crop wet land is no clear. There is
no clear distinction from single crop wet land. So it has to be distin­
guished and for that purpose amendments have been made in the
Select Committee, as also in the Regional Committee. Still there is a
little gap and what I am requesting is simply a clarification of the
intention of the framers of the legislation. Double Crop wet land,
registered as double crop or compounded double crop wet land.
There is absolutely no controversy about it and in the amendment
which was brought in the Regional Committee it was stated.
Provided that—

any land which has been registered as double crop wet or compounded double crop wet in the land revenue accounts of the Government.

My amendment to sub-clause (d) (ii) of clause 3 is as follows:

For sub-clause (d) (ii) of clause 3 substitute the following:

"(ii) on which two irrigated crops for the calendar year have or do compound double crop wet in the land revenue accounts of the Government.

In sub-clause (d) (ii) it is stated as ‘on which two crops per fashi have or do compound double crop wet’; so what is negatively stated in the proviso brought about by the Regional Committee may be positively stated in sub-clause (ii) namely, on which two irrigated crops per fashi; because that had been made clear throughout and that is the intention of the framers of the legislation and let us not keep it in doubt ‘on which two irrigated crops per fashi year, have or do-fashi crop has been raised and maintained’. The use of water from Government source has also been stated in the proviso that is amended. But here ‘on which two crops have been raised with the use of water from a Government source’; the word ‘raised’ only is used. ‘Do-fashi’ crop means sugar cane. It can be raised with supply of water in one stroke say in the month of January and thereafter it is maintained even if there is no water in the tank. It is being maintained by rain water and by climatic conditions and so the word ‘raised’ also is not sufficient to make the intention clear. If the words ‘raised and maintained’ are used then the meaning is that throughout a continuous period of some time the supply of water from the Government source is to be ensured and then the word ‘irrigated’ is sought to be placed without any. I am also sure the meaning is there already. These words are suggested by way of abundant caution and there is absolutely nothing difficult for acceptance of this provison. Therefore I request the hon. Chief Minister to consider this.

Sri P V. Narasimha Rao —Mr. Parasuram Naidu as a bonafide advocate of Parvathipuram is pleading for an imaginary client and creating doubts where no doubt exists. What he suggested by way of abundant caution will only lead us to abundant complication. So let us leave it at that. It is quite clear and there is no need for any amendment.

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy (Kamalapuram) :—Sir I beg to move.

“In sub-clause (d) (ii) of clause 3 after the words ‘source of irrigation’ insert the words ‘and above having ayacut of not less than 300 acres perennial source of Government water supply.’”

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—Amendment moved.
Provided that—

any land which has been registered as double crop wet or compounded double crop wet in the land revenue accounts of the Government.

My amendment to sub-clause (d) (ii) of clause 3 is as follows:

For sub-clause (d) (ii) of clause 3 substitute the following:

"(ii) on which two irrigated crops for fasli have or did raise and maintained with the use of water from a government source of irrigation in any four fasli years within a continuous period of 6 fasli years, immediately before the specified date."

In sub-clause (d) (ii) it is stated as 'on which two crops per fasli year have or did raise'; so what is negatively stated in the proviso brought about by the Regional Committee may be positively stated in sub-clause 2) namely, on which two irrigated crops per fasli year, have or did raise and maintain. The use of water from Government source has also been stated in the proviso that is amended. But here, on which two crops have been raised with the use of water from a Government source, the word 'raised' only is used. 'Do fasli' crop means sugarcane. It can be raised with supply of water in one stroke (say in the month of January) and thereafter it is maintained even if there is no water in the tank. It is being maintained by rain water and by climatic conditions and so the word 'raised' also is not sufficient to make the intention clear. If the words 'raised and maintained' are used so that the meaning is that throughout a continuous period of sometime the supply of water from the Government source is to be ensured and then the word 'irrigated' is sought to be placed without any...

I am also sure the meaning is there already. These words are suggested by way of abundant caution and there is absolutely nothing difficult for acceptance of this proviso. Therefore I request the hon. Chief Minister to consider this.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao —Mr. Parasuram Naidu as a bonafide advocate of Parvathipuram is pleading for an imaginary client and creating doubts where no doubt exists. What he suggested by way of abundant caution will only lead us to abundant complications. So let us leave it at that. It is quite clear and there is no need for any amendment.

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy (Kamalapuram) —Sir I beg to move:

"In sub-clause (d) (11) of clause 3 after the words 'source of irrigation' insert the words "and above having a cut of not less than 300 acres perennial source of Government water supply.""

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.
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So enact the words "in any three years' time..." for the words "in any four years' time..."
water is made available during the second crop season solely on con-
cisement of the likelihood of the loss of the first crop on account of
submergence, salinity, tidal action or the like. The said losses might be
assumed for the purpose of the crop has been affected adversely on account of
large scale pest, lack of sufficient water in the irrigation sources and
such other circumstances beyond the control of the owner.

In clause 3 (d) there is the re. on
of tube wells, etc. in that...

A Co-operative Farm Society
public or private society...

...
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Sri Nallapareddy Sreenivasul Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:
"Delete sub-clause (d) (iii) of Clause 8/"

Section 3 (d) (i) reads thus: "which is capable of raising two crops per farm year with the use of water from a tube well constructed by the Government or any person".

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.
The amendments were declared negatived.
Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—Sir, I demand a division.
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(The House divided thus)

Twelve Members voted for the amendment, Hundred and Seventy Eight Members against with one neutral.
The amendments were negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"For sub-clause (d) of clause 8 substitute the following—

‘Wet land means all the land irrigated from Government source or private source, under tube wells or ordinary wells, tanks, all natural sources of irrigation public owned or private owned provided they are liable for irrigation every year unless under drought conditions.”

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri M. Oskar:—Sir, I demand a division.

(The House divided thus)

Three Members voted for the amendment, Hundred and Eighty Eight Members against.
The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“For sub-clause (d) (ii) of clause 8 substitute the following—

‘(ii) on which two irrigated crops for fasli have or a dofasal crop has been raised and maintained with the use of water from a Government source of irrigation in any four fasli years within a continuous period of 6 fasli years, immediately before the specified date’

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“In sub-clause (d) (ii) of clause 2 after the words ‘source of irrigation’ insert the words ‘and above having an area of not less than 300 acres with perennial source of Government water supply’.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“In clause 8 (d) after the words ‘double crop wet ‘and’ insert the following words—

‘and also includes single crop wet land which is capable of yielding any catch after the first crop is harvested.’

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“In clause 3 (d) (ii) for the words ‘in any four fasli years’ substitute the words ‘in any one fasli year’
The Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms Bill, 1972
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The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In clause 3 (d) (ii) for the words ‘in any four fasli years’ substitute the words ‘in any two fasli years’"

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In clause 3 (d) (ii) for the words ‘in any four fasli years’ substitute the words ‘in any three fasli years’.

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri M. Nagi Reddi:—Sir, I demand a division.

The House divided thus:

Thirteen Members voted for the amendment, Hundred and Eighty three Members against.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In clause 3 (d) (iii) after the words ‘from a tube well’ insert the words ‘or filter point or dug well or lift or any other source.’"

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In clause 3 (d) (ii) add the following at the end—

‘or co-operative farm society whether public or private or society.’"

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"Add the following at the end of proviso (a).—

‘but this proviso shall not apply for non-supply of water due to drought or breaches occurred due to heavy floods or submersion or cyclone, etc. or unforeseen havocs caused.’"

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In sub-clause (d) (ii) of clause 3 after the words ‘crop has been raised’ insert the word ‘satisfactorily.’"

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In sub-clause (d) (ii) of clause 3, insert the words ‘assured’ before the words ‘Government source.’"

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In the proviso to sub-clause (d) of clause 3 delete the words ‘the like’ and insert the words ‘the crop has been affected adversely on account of large-scale pest, lack of sufficient water in the irrigation sources and such other circumstances beyond the control of the ryot.’"
5th September, 1972.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In sub-clause (d) (i) of clause 3 delete the words 'within a continuous period of six fasli years immediately before specified date'."

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In sub-clause (d) (iii) of clause 3 delete the words 'or any person'."

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"Delete sub-clause (d) (iii) of clause 3."

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now there is a Government amendment.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir, I move:

"For proviso (a) to sub-clause (d) of clause 8, substitute the following.—

'Provided that any land entitled to the supply of water from a Government source of irrigation and on which two irrigated crops per fasli year have or a dofasal crop has, not been raised with the use of water from such source, in any four fasli years within a continuous period of six fasli years immediately before the specified date, for want of supply of water from such source'."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—The Chief Minister has not made the position clear.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—This is only to clarify what was meant. In the existing proviso there is slight possibility of misinterpretation or misunderstanding. I do not want to give any chance to Sri C. Parasurama Naidu to pounce upon it. According to the existing proviso, "any land which has been registered as double crop wet or compounded double crop wet in the land revenue accounts of the Government on which two crops per fasli year have or a dofasal crop has, not been raised in any four fasli years within a continuous period of six fasli years immediately before the specified date..."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"For proviso (a) to sub-clause (d) of clause 8, substitute the following.—

'Provided that any land entitled to the supply of water from a Government source of irrigation and on which two irrigated crops per fasli year have or a dofasal crop has not been raised with the use of water from such source, in any four Fasli years within a continuous period of six fasli years immediately before the specified date; for want of supply of water from such source.'"

The amendment was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The House now stands adjourned to meet again at 4 p.m. today.

(The House then adjourned to meet again at 4 of the Clock).
The Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms (Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings) Bill, 1972

(After-Noon—4:00 P. M.)

The House re-assembled at Four o'clock.

(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)


Clause 3 (Contd.)

Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—I move:

"In sub-clause (e) of Clause 3 delete the words ‘baghát or garden land or special rate dry land’ and add them at the end of the sub-clause."'

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

(Pause)

The question is:

"In sub-clause (e) of Clause 3 delete the words ‘baghát or garden land or special rate dry land’ and add them at the end of the sub-clause."

The amendment was negatived.

Sri C. Parasurama Naidu:—I move:

"In sub-clause (f) (i) of Clause 3, delete the words ‘and their minor sons and their unmarried minor daughters’."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri C. Parasurama Naidu:—This is where I radically differ with this radical measure. I do agree unlike some of my friends sitting elsewhere that this is a radical measure of far-reaching importance having the greatest effect in the matter of re-constitution of Society in the name of social justice. There is absolutely no doubt about it. Land which is an ancestral acquisition, which is the sustenance of millions of the people, land upon which the entire nation depended so long, the persons who have been cultivating it, the landowners who were styled as the backbone of the nation itself. If these people have been asked to sacrifice for the sake of social justice. After so much discussion and after so much funder standing of the matter, we are willing to sacrifice whatever is called for. But still there is one aspect which vitiates or which affects social Justice, and which is not really socially just against which I want to still express myself, after all is said and done. The concept of the family unit, as the Chief Minister has said, has become a fresh notion by itself not having much to do
with the Joint Family concept, and, as the Chief Minister has confessed and said, that is an arbitrary one. It is something which is imposed upon a temporary association of people for the pragmatic purpose of imposing a ceiling. Family unit has been conceived to include an individual's spouse or spouses, minor sons and the unmarried daughters. I am not able to reconcile myself, Sir, with the Joint Family concept, and, as the Chief Minister has confessed and said, that is an arbitrary one. It is something which is imposed upon a temporary association of people for the pragmatic purpose of imposing a ceiling. Family unit has been conceived to include an individual's spouse or spouses, minor sons and the unmarried daughters.

After all, this individual is an owner within the concept of co-parcenary and with the concept of Hindu Mitakshara Law, has been reduced to an insignificant part of the so-called family unit. It is a concept that no person shall be deprived of having a decent living, because in the mixed economy in which we are living we are allowing different classes of people to have different standards of living and those standards of living have to be given to the agriculturist also. This is a matter over which we have been crying hoarse any number of times and I hope the hon. Chief Minister will concede the amendments and help in the modification of the Bill.

I now move the other amendments also (Nos. 18 and 19).

"In sub-clause (f) (ii) of clause 3 delete the words 'and his or her minor sons'."

"In sub-clause (f) (iii) of clause 3, delete the words 'and his minor sons and unmarried minor daughter'."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendments moved.

Sri B. Rathnasabhapathy:—I move:

"In sub-clause (f) (i) of clause 3 for the words 'if any' substitute the words 'their unmarried major daughters'."

"Delete sub-clause (f) (iv) of clause 3."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri N. Srinivasulu Reddy:—I move:

"Delete sub-clause (f) (iv) of clause 3."
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I beg to move:

"Delete the word "minor" wherever it occurs."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri N. Venkataratnam:—Sir, I beg to move:

"In sub-clause (f) delete the word "minor" wherever it occurs."

"Delete the explanation in sub-clause (f) of clause 3."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri N. Venkataratnam:—Amendment No. 23 is just the same as No. 21 regarding the family unit and the prayer is delete the word "minor" where it occurred and it consequently reads and includes in the family unit "their sons and their unmarried major or minor daughters" thereby including all the sons and daughters. That is the effect of the amendment as in amendment No. 22. No. 24 also is a consequential amendment; that is, if this amendment is kindly
accepted by the Government, the explanation becomes unnecessary and hence it is prayed that it be deleted.

Sri Vanka Satyanarayana:—Sir, I beg to move:

'In clause 3; (f) (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) delete the word ‘minor’ wherever it occurs.'

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri Nallapareddy Srinivasulu Reddy.—Sir, I beg to move:

'Add the following as item (v) of sub-clause (f) of clause 3—'In the case of a widower who has remarried, the minor sons and daughters of his first wife shall be treated as a separate unit.'

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

‘In sub-clause (f) (i) of clause 3 delete the words ‘and their minor sons and their unmarried minor daughters.’

‘In sub-clause (f) (ii) of clause 3 delete the words ‘and his or her minor sons’.

‘In sub-clause (f) (iii) of clause 3 delete the words ‘and his minor sons and unmarried minor daughters.’

‘In sub-clause (f) (i) of clause 3 for the words ‘if any’ substitute the words ‘their unmarried major daughters.

‘Delete sub-clause (f) (iv) of clause 3’

The amendments were negatived.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is
“Delete the word “minor” in sub-clause (f) (i) & (iv) of clause 3.”

“In sub-clause (f) delete the word “minor” wherever it occurs.
In clause 3 (f) (i) (ii) (iii) and (iv) delete the word “minor” wherever it occurs.
The amendments were negatived.
(Ayes 1; Noes 91, Neutrals Nil)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:
“Delete the explanation in sub clause (f) of clause 3.
The amendment was negatived.
Sri Syed Hasan:—Sir, I beg to move:
“For the explanation in sub-clause (f) of clause 3 substitute the following—
each major son and/or unmarried major daughter, of a landholder be deemed to be an individual and entitled for a standard holding.”
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.
(Pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:
“For the explanation in sub-clause (f) of clause 3 substitute the following—
each major son and/or unmarried major daughter, of a landholder be deemed to be an individual and entitled for a standard holding.”
The Amendment was negatived.
(Ayes 11, Noes 86, Neutrals Nil)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:
“Add the following as item (v) of sub-clause (f) of clause 3—
In the case of a widower who has remarried the minor sons and daughters of his first wife shall be treated as a separate unit.”
The amendment was negatived.
Sri N. Srinivasul Reddy:—I demand division, Sir.
The House divided:
Ayes: 10, Noes 87
The amendment was negatived.
Sri M. Omkar:—I beg to move:
“Delete sub-clause (h) of clause 3”
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.
Sri M. Omkar:—On page 41, it is said: “(h) ‘Government source of irrigation’ means a source of irrigation registered in the land revenue accounts of the Government as such, including a well constructed or maintained by the Government or any local authority;
but does not include a spring channel, parrakekala, addimala, vagunala kasam, sona, bila, uppalwat bonala, doruvu, bnruki, kote or cross bunding," the speaker, M. Omkar, said that the definition included various elements but did not mention "parrakekala". He proposed an amendment to delete the words: "but does not include... or cross bunding" and insert the words "precautionary Government source of irrigation". He further proposed to delete the word "or" between the words "kote" and "cross bunding" and add the word "cr" after the word "cross bunding". The House divided: Ayes: 1; Noes: 16. The amendment was negatived.
Mr. Deputy Speaker: — The question is:

"In sub-clause (h) of clause 3 delete the words:

"but does not include ... or cross bunding."

The amendment was negatived.

Sri M. Naqi Reddi: — I demand division, Sir.

The House divided:

Ayes: 8; Noes: 108

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — The question is:

(a) In sub-clause (h) of clause 3 after the words 'cross bunding' insert the words 'precarious Government source of irrigation'.

(b) In sub-clause (h) of clause 3 delete the word 'or' between the words 'Kole' and 'cross bunding' and add the word 'or' after the word 'cross bunding'."

The amendments were negatived

Sri Syed Hasan: — I beg to move:

"In sub-clause (j) of clause 3 for the words: 'and in the case of or usage joint in a estate' substitute the words 'and in the case of other persons the members of which are entitled for a share in case of succession on the death of the holder of the land'."

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — Amendment moved.

Sri Syed Hasan: — Sir, my amendment No. 26 which I had moved was very fair. A person with a fair mind and unbiased person had look.d into it, he would have admitted that it was very fair and it should have been accepted by the Government. But for the reasons best known to the Government it had opposed and not accepted it. As such I have moved this amendment No. 31. On page 41 of the Joint Select Committee report the definition of joint family is given. You know, Sir, this is a land reform Bill and it is for the uplift of the agricultural society. This is not a reform which should be locked at from the point of view of religion or sect or some other aspect. I am surprised that those who proclaim themselves to be secular and above religion are bringing such type of clauses into the Act. Such clauses could have been just as well moved or brought by a Government of R. S. S. — not by a Government which claims to run the country under the leadership of Prime Minister Indira Gandhi. In the definition of "joint family" at page 41 the anomaly of a child in mother's womb being deprived of property right under Hindu law has been removed. I have no objection for that; but my objection is that after making provision for a definition of "joint family" according to Hindu law, the words in the concluding portion of sub-clause (j) should be removed — i.e., "and in the case of other persons, a group or unit, the members of which are by custom or usage joint in estate." In the expression "other persons" there could be no other community except Muslims. Why should you deprive the Muslims of the right? No one should bring such a legislation on personal laws. When an adult of other communities could not be deprived, why should an
adult member or a major of particular community be deprived? There is no reason for this as long as this Government exists on the claim of secularism. So my amendment to this would be that for the words "and in the case of other persons, a group or unit, the members of which are by custom or usage joint in estate" substitute the words "and in the case of other persons, the members of which are entitled for a share in case of succession on the death of the holder of the land." As you know, Sir, as per the Muslim personal law, supposing a family consists of 7 members - 3 minors, 2 parents and 2 majors - while according to Hindu law they would be getting their proper shares, in Muslim law, as long as the parents are alive they cannot claim property.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—What is the share which the minors would be getting under Muslim law.

Sri Syed Hasan:—Neither minors nor majors.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—So where is the discrimination?

Sri Syed Hasan:—That is what I am saying. In the Hindu family unit the minor is allowed to have 1/3rd share; the Muslim family does not have that advantage. So there is discrimination between a Hindu family and a Muslim family.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—It is a very good discrimination that Hindus are governed by Hindu law and Muslims by Muslim law.

Sri Syed Hasan:—You should not bring this kind of legislation. One could easily smell the R S.S. in the mover of the Bill. It is a matter of same that the provisions are framed on the basis of Hindu law, Muslim law or Christian law. You are bringing agrarian reforms now—not for any community or caste or creed. I would like to say that no personal law should be brought into this. If a Hindu major is getting a share a Muslim Major should not be deprived. As per the Muslim law I was explaining that a major or minor could not have any right as long as their parents are alive but under the Hindu law the position is different. Under these circumstances, just because a particular person belongs to a particular community, he should not be deprived. Such sort of deprivation would lead to many consequences; the people thus deprived would be unemployed and they would be a liability for the State. Why should you bring such a situation by bringing these personal laws. I would insist that the Chief Minister would consider this point and agree to this.
The Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms  
(ceiling on Agricultural Holdings)  
Bill, 1972.

And in the case of other persons, a group or unit, the members of which are entitled for a share in case of succession on the death of the holder of the land. "And in the case of Muslim families, the major sons who are entitled for a share in case of succession on the death of the holder of the land" Sri Konda Laksman Bapuji: —Even under Hindu law the son is not getting a share during the life time of the father if it is the father’s own property.

Sri M. Narayan Reddy:—A major son of a Muslim family is being included as a member of the family unit for purposes of 1/5 allotment.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao: —This has now come to a stage when going on record appears to be more important than seeing reason.

I have explained times without number that we are not allotting any assistance to any one either under Hindu Law or any Law. The only eye sore appears to be the definition of joint family in the bill. I shall come to that at a later stage. What we have said in Clause 16 is while computing the holding of a person, you take into account the property separately owned by him and the property to which he is entitled under his personal law. Otherwise that will go. What he is entitled as a member of joint family cannot come into computation and therefore, we will lose that part. We have applied it to a member of co-operative, and member of company. Only in that context the question of joint family has arisen in this bill and in no other context. In case of Hindu who is governed by the Hindu School of law and in the case of property which is ancestral, there are two riders here. It is not as though any community is being singled out for shabby treatment. Here I want to refute the charges that have been just made. In the Dayabhagha School, the same thing applies as applies to Mohammadan law. In the case of Mithakshara School also the same thing applies in the case of self acquired property of father which applies in the case of Mohammadan law. Therefore, no community is being singled out. One section of community which is governed by different set of laws than another section is being treated here according to the laws with which it is governed. Therefore, I would like to say that this allegation that this is communally discriminated is absolutely wrong and there is no basis for it. Therefore,
I have made it clear times without number that there is no discrimination. Now, there is only one thing which I would like to add. The Congress has from a long time been charged as pro-Hindu by Muslim communists and pro-Muslim by Hindu communists. There is nothing very strange about it, because they find their own reflection wherever they see. I cannot help it. But for once the name of Smt. Indira Gandhi being uttered by no less a person than Mr. Hasan is something really refreshing. I am prepared to abide by what Smt. Indira Gandhi say, which I am doing already and there is nothing I am doing on my own. There is nothing which we are doing here in this Bill which has not been done by similar bills in all other States. Therefore, there is nothing which we can be charged. Now, I have consulted and I am prepared to take out the whole definition of joint family from this. There is no need for an eye sore to remain Whatever property falls to the lot of a person whether by inheritance, whether by self-acquisition, whether by share of partition or whatever the case, I am prepared to say at the proper time in the proper section or clause that all those types of properties, land will be aggregated in order to apply the ceiling on his property. I have absolutely no objection about that. But if it is only a question of their feeling unhappy about the words ‘Hindu’ remaining here, I am prepared to remove it and I make it smooth for them at least to read. But actually my difficulty is I cannot say that I am to take into account the land of a person which he is going to inherit on the death of his father. I cannot in law, anticipate the death of his father, as if that particular death taking place on an appointed day. Even if I do that, he is not going to get it. In the case of joint Hindu family, every person is holding it as a co-owner. The concept is so different. However, I am prepared to take it out. I am going to give an amendment now to delete sub-clause (j). I have no objection. We will consequently amend the Clause 10 where the question of computation comes. There is no difficulty about it.

Sri Syed Hasan:—I accept that what the Chief Minister has said. I beg the leave of the House to withdraw my amendment.

With the leave of the House, the amendment was withdrawn.

Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—I beg to move:

“In sub-clause (k) of clause a delete the word ‘agricultural’.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri Ch. Parurusa Naidu:—Sir, in the definition of Clause (k) there is a small mistake. I bring it to the notice of this House, and the Hon’ble Chief Minister may rectify that. It reads like this: ‘land’ means land which is used or is capable of being used for purposes of agriculture, or for purposes ancillary thereto, including horticulture, forest land, pasture land, waste land, plantation and tree, and includes land deemed to be agricultural land under this Act.

Explanation I:—Where any land is held under ryot wari settlement it shall, unless the contrary is proved, be deemed to be land
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under this Act," So, the word used in Explanation I is that it shall be deemed to be land under this Act. Whereas in the earlier portion of clause (k) it is said "and includes land deemed to be agricultural land under this Act." There is no provision anywhere else defining the agricultural land or referring to agricultural land. The reference is only to Explanation I, i.e., "land now under ryot war settlement it shall be deemed to be land under this Act." But unfortunately, in the last sentence, it is said "and includes land deemed to be agricultural land." It is a simple thing that there is no provision anywhere and some thing said inadvertently and therefore, this may be remedied.

Sri N. Venkataramaiah:—Sir, I beg to move:

"Delete the explanation II under sub-clause (k) of clause 3."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri N. Venkataramaiah:—Sir, the amendment proposed by me is to delete the explanation II under sub-clause (k) of clause 3. The reason for this amendment is that it is contrary to Clause 14 Explanation, which reads like this: Where any land transferred under this sub-section contains any permanent structures the transferee shall also be liable to pay the value of the structures calculated in such manner as may be prescribed. So, the building used in explanation of sub-clause (k) is also a permanent structure. So, the land appurtenant to building is not considered as and at all. So, in that case, if it is a building which is a permanent structure, if it is not allotted to any body, and this explanation to clause 14 does not arise at all, because there is no question of taking away the building from the owner and allotting to anybody under clause 1 sub-clause 2 Explanation.

Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

"Add the following at the end of explanation II of sub-clause (k) of clause 3—'not exceeding one thousand square metres including the plinth area of building.'"

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—In the Bill, it is stated under Explanation II to sub-clause (k) of clause 3 "land shall not include the land appurtenant to a building."
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S\^4. V. Narasimha R\^io:—This is a very well established principle. The land required for the proper enjoyment of a building is called an appurtenant to the building. There are hundreds of rulings on this. We cannot say whether it is 1000 sq. meters or 500 sq. meters and I don't want to give 1000 sq. meters in all cases. If it is going to be a small hut 200 sq. ft. is enough for its enjoyment and I don't say 1040 uniformly in all cases. Similarly why should you cut down to 1000 if the house is such as to have 1100 sq. metres when it is an established principle that the land appurtenant to a building is the land which is absolutely necessary for the proper enjoyment of the building.

S\^4. V. Narasimha R\^io:—This is a very well established principle. The land required for the proper enjoyment of a building is called an appurtenant to the building. There are hundreds of rulings on this. We cannot say whether it is 1000 sq. meters or 500 sq. meters and I don't want to give 1000 sq. meters in all cases. If it is going to be a small hut 200 sq. ft. is enough for its enjoyment and I don't say 1040 uniformly in all cases. Similarly why should you cut down to 1000 if the house is such as to have 1100 sq. metres when it is an established principle that the land appurtenant to a building is the land which is absolutely necessary for the proper enjoyment of the building.
Mr T. Tureshotham Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:—

‘Add the following after the words “under this Act” in sub-clause (k) of clause 3:—

‘but does not include a part of survey numbers recorded as Pote Karab in the revenue records and also bunds containing hillocks and pits etc.’

Mr Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

Sr. T. Tureshotham Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

‘Add the following under sub-clause (k) of clause 3:—

‘not exceeding one thousand square metres including the plinth area of the building:

‘Add the following after the words “under this Act” in sub-clause (k) of clause 3:—

‘but does not include a part of survey numbers recorded as Pote Karab in the revenue records and also bunds containing hillocks and pits etc.’

The amendments were negatived.

Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi:—I beg to move:—

“For sub-clause (p) of clause 3 substitute the following:—

‘person includes an individual . . . . and a major son or daughter (married or unmarried) who in the event of the death of his

or her father/mother would be entitled to a share in the inheritance of the deceased."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—amendment moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"For sub-clause (p) of clause 3 substitute the following—

'person includes an individual... and a major son or daughter (married or unmarried) who in the event of the death of his or her father/mother would be entitled to a share in the inheritance of the deceased."

The amendment was negatived.

Sri Syed Hasan:—I beg that leave be granted to withdraw my amendment.

The amendment was withdrawn with the leave of the House.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir, I move:

"Omit sub-clause (j) definition of 'joint family' and subsequent sub-clause (k) to (w) may be read as sub-clauses (j) to (v)."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"Omit sub-clause (j) of Clause 3 and subsequent sub-clauses (k) to (w) may be read as sub-clauses (j) to (v)."

The amendment was adopted.

"Owner" add the words means a person having personal cultivation and"
Sri N. Venkataramnam: — I beg that leave be granted to withdraw my amendment.

The amendment was withdrawn with the leave of the House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is;

In sub-clause (p) of clause 3 after the word "individual add the words whose personal income of permanent nature does not exceed Rs. 1200 a year"

The amendment was negatived.

Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—Sir. Amendment No. 41 relates to definition of Tribunal.

"Tribunal means a Tribunal constituted under Section 6, and where no such Tribunal is in existence the Revenue Divisional Officer concerned"

I am not able to appreciate or understand the occasion when there can be no tribunal at all. A Tribunal is constituted under Section 6. The Constituting authority is Government. Government has also provided that once it is provided, it continues. So what is the occasion or when the Tribunal does not exist. So this is a sort of a provision of machinery. The non-existing contingency is not required. Another thing is that it is also a sort of abuse the Revenue Divisional Officer automatically comes to assume the powers of a Tribunal. The Tribunal consists of three persons or members. We
ka a tak an cee to see that a Judicial Officer, a person qualify. to be appointed as a Judicial Officer, is there. By this we mean to hold. We see then so much care to provide that the Tribunal be imposed of certain persons. Here all of a sudden the Revenue Divisional Officer is made to be vested with powers which he is not really entitled to be vested. This is a provision in contiguity which does not appear to be clearly conceived. So, I request that the words "and where no such tribunal exists in existence, the Revenue Divisional Officer concerned" may be deleted.
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Sri N. Venkatram:—tribunal means a Tribunal constituted under section 6 and where such tribunal is not to exist, an Revenue Deiviiional Officer concerned. The amendment is to delete the Revenue Divisional Officer and substitute by 'Subordinate Judge'. The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In sub-clause (v) of clause 3 for the words 'Administrator' substitute the words 'Subordinate Judge'." The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"For sub-clause (v) substitute the following—Tribunal means the Agrarian committee as set up under this Act."

Sri Omkar pressed for division. The House divided thus:

Ayes—2
Noes—171
Neutrals: Nil

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In sub-clause (v) of clause 3 for the words 'Revenue Divisional Officer' substitute the words 'Subordinate Judge'."

The amendment was negatived.

Sri M. Srinivasulu Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

'Delete sub-clause (2) (iii) of clause 3'.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir I beg to move:

'Delete sub-clause (w) of clause 3'.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Provided that any land which has been registered as wet under the precarious Govt. source of irrigation shall not be deemed to be wet land.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri T. Purushothama Rao:—Sir I beg to move:

‘Add the following proviso at the end of sub-clause (w)—

provided that any land which has been registered as wet land in the land revenue recents of the Govt. has not been irrigated for four fiscal years within a continuous period of 6 fiscal years immediately before the specified date for want of supply of water from a Govt. source or irrigation shall not be deemed to be wet land’.
Mr. Deputy Speaker :—The question is :

"Add the following proviso at the end of sub-clause (w)"

"Provided that any land which has been registered as wet under the precarious Government source of irrigation shall not be deemed to be wet land."

The amendment was negatived.

Sri Motapareddi Srinivasul Reddi : —Sir, I beg to move the following :

In the proviso to sub-clause (w) of Clause 3 after the word "the Government", insert the following :

"or any land included in the ayacut of any Government source of irrigation."

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—Amendment moved.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—The question is :

In the proviso to sub-clause (w) of Clause 3 after the word "the Government" insert the following :

Sri Motapareddi Srinivasul Reddi : —Sir, I beg to move:

"Delete sub-clause 2 (iii) of Clause 3."
The amendment was negatived.

Sri R. Dasartharami Reddy:—Sir, I beg the leave of the House to withdraw the following amendment:

"In the proviso to sub-clause (w) of Clause 3 for the words "no irrigated crop has been raised", substitute the word "where the land has not yielded crop thereon"

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—With the leave of the House the amendment was permitted to be withdrawn.

Sri N. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, we beg to move:

"In sub-clause (v) of Clause 3 for the words, "Tribunal," substitute the words "popular committee and also wherever the Tribunal occurs."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

The question is:

"In sub-clause (v) of Clause 3 for the words, "Tribunal," substitute the words "popular committee and also wherever the Tribunal occurs."

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now, there is a Government amendment.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

"For the proviso to sub-clause (w) in Clause 3, the following shall be substituted:

"Provided that any land which has been registered as wet land in the land revenue account of the Government and on which no irrigated crop has been raised with the use of water from a Government source of irrigation in any four fasli years within a continuous period of six fasli years immediately before the specified date for want of supply of water from such source shall not be deemed to be wet land."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—It is only better understanding.

It has not been done years—now, has been done for 3 years. Now, what we have said is: "Provided that any land which has been registered as wet land in the land revenue accounts of the Government and on which no irrigated crop has been raised with the use of water from a Government six fasli years immediately before the specified date for want of supply of water from such source shall not be deemed to be wet lands."
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

For the proviso to sub-clause (w) in Clause 3, the following shall be substituted:

"Provided that any land which has been registered as wet land in the land revenue accounts of the Government and on which no irrigated crop has been raised with the use of water from a Government source of irrigation in any four fasli years within a continuous period of six fasli years immediately before the specified date for want of supply of water from such source shall not be deemed to be wet land."

The amendment was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

Clause 3, as amended, do stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted.

Clause 3 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 4

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I beg to move:

In sub-clause (2) of clause (4) for the word "two" substitute the words "one and half".

Add the following as new sub-clause (3) and re-number the subsequent sub clause—

"If a family consists of less than five members the standard holding shall be reduced by 1/5th for each such non-existent but it shall not be reduced to less than 2/3rd of the ceiling area fixed for a family unit."

25—18
In sub-clause (3) of clause 4, for the words, "one standard holding", substitute the words 'a reduced standard holding' as defined above.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendments moved.

Sri N. Venkataratnam:—Sir, I beg to move:

"Add the following as new sub-clause (.) of clause 4 and renumber the subsequent sub-clauses.—

"The ceiling area in the case of a family unit consisting of less than five members shall be an extent of land equal to one standard holding minus an extent of 1/5th of one standard holding for every such member less than five. so however, that the ceiling area shall not be less than one half of a standard holding and in case of an individual 1 3rd of a standard holding."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.
Sri V. Sirkirshna:—Sir, I beg to move:

"In sub-clause (2) of clause 4 for the words 'two sir. holdings' substitute the words "one and half standard holdings".

"Add the following as new sub-clause (4) of clause 4

'(4) The ceiling area in the case of sub-clause (2) and (3) of family unit or an individual or any other person consist ng of five members this standard holding shall be reduced by 5 acres the ceiling per every member less than the minimum of two-thirds of one standard holding and in case only single person the extent of land to be held shall be reduced to half the area of one standard holding.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri Syed Hasan:—Sir I beg to move:

"In sub-clause (3) of clause 4 for the words 'every individual' substitute the words 'major son and or unmarried major daughter'.

Sir, with the withdrawal of the joint family conception in the Bill, the consequential amendments have to be made to the sub-sections. I would like to know the reaction of the Chief Minister on this. Later I will speak on this.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—There is nothing.

Sri Syed Hasan:—But the consequential amendments have to be brought forward.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—There is nothing consequential. We have said, if there is a joint family according to whatever law it is a joint family, it will remain. But we have said that it need not be a Joint Hindu Family; whatever legal position obtains, it will continue. We have only said that there is no need to separately define 'joint family' as a family governed by Hindu Law. Whatever law it may govern, we have no objection.

Sri Syed Hasan:—What is the reaction? If this clause remains will it not have any effect?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—That is what I told you first. You seem to have suddenly seen it now.

Sri Syed Hasan:—If that is the case and if we are put in this situation, I have no other alternative than to condemn the action of the Government. I take you to sub-clause (3) of clause 4: it is said, 'The ceiling area in the case of every individual...' Here, 'individual' has not been defined anywhere. For this, my amendment is, for the words 'every individual' substitute the words 'major son and/or unmarried major daughter'. I request that this may be considered.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In sub-clause (2) of clause 4 for the word 'two' substitute the words one and half.'"

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I demand a division.

One Member voted for the amendment and Hundred and Seventy One Members voted against.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"Add the following as new sub-clause (3) and re-number the subsequent sub-clause—

'If a family consists of less than five members the standard holding shall be reduced by /5th for each such non-existent but it shall not be reduced to less than 2/3rds of the ceiling area fixed for a family unit.'"

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I demand a division.

One Member voted for the amendment and Hundred and Seventy One Members against.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In sub-clause (3) of clause 4 for the words 'one standard holding' substitute the words 'a reduced standard holding' as defined above."

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I demand a division.

Three Members voted for the amendment and Hundred and Seventy One Members against.
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The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

‘In sub-clause (2) of Clause 4 for the words ‘two standard holdings’ substitute the words ‘one and half standard holdings’.

‘Add the following as new sub-clause (4) of Clause 4. (4) The ceiling area in the case of sub-clauses (2) and (3) a family unit or an individual or any other person consisting of less than five members this standard holding shall be reduced by 1/3 of the ceiling per every member less up to a limit of two members subject to a minimum of two thirds of one standard holding and in case only single person the extent of land to be held shall be reduced to half the area of one standard holding.’

The amendment was negatived.

Sri M. Nagi Reddy pressed for a division.

The House divided.


The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

‘In sub-clause (3) of Clause 4 for the words ‘every individual’ substitute the words ‘major son and/or unmarried major daughter’.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

‘Add the following after sub-clause (3) of Clause (4).

‘The ceiling area in every case of every individual who is not a member of the family unit, and in case of any other person, who is getting salary of thousand rupees or more per year and who is earning by business not less than Rs. 12,000 per annum shall not be given an extent of land of one standard holding’.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

‘Add the following as new sub-clause (3) of Clause 4 and re-number the subsequent sub-clauses:

‘The ceiling area in the case of a family unit consisting of less than five members shall be an extent of land equal to one standard holding minus an extent of 1/5th of one standard holding for every such member less than five, so however, that the ceiling area shall not be less than one half of a standard holding and in case of an individual 1/3rd of a standard holding’.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“That Clause 4 do stand part of the Bill”.

The motion was adopted.
CLAUSE 5

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—I beg to move:

"The following proviso shall be added after proviso (vi) under sub-clause (1) of clause 5:

(vi) in the case of any lanka or padugai land, any land on which a coconut garden is raised, and any land on which a grape garden is raised, the extent of the standard holding shall in no case exceed 10.93 hectares (27 acres), 12.14 hectares (30 acres) and 7.28 hectares (18 acres) respectively.'"

"In Table under clause 5 against clause G for the existing entries, in column (2), the following shall be substituted: '13.76 (34 acres)'"

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendments moved.

Sri P. Srinivasa Murthy:—Sir, I beg to move:

"In proviso (iii) to sub-clause (1) of clause 5, after the words 'classified as clause' add the words 'IV and'.'"

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri K. Rangadas:—Sir, I beg to move:

"In the Table under sub-clause (1) of Clause 5, substitute the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of land</th>
<th>Wet land other than double crop wet land.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clause C</td>
<td>22 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause D</td>
<td>24 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause E</td>
<td>26 acres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri V. Ramachar (V. Ramachar):—Amendments moved.
Sri B. Ratnasabapathi :— Sir, I beg to move:

(a) ‘In proviso iv) (b) of sub-clause (1) of Clause 5, for the words “Class I Class J or Class K of the table” substitute the words “All classes of dry crop”.’

(b) Delete the table in sub-clause 1) of clause and substitute the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of land</th>
<th>Extent of standard holding.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Double crop wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class A</td>
<td>18 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>21 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>25 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>30 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class H</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mr. Deputy Speaker :— Amendments moved.
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Sri B Venkata Reddy:—I move:

(A) "In paragraph (ii) of sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 for the words 'twentyfive percentum' substitute the words 'seventyfive percentum'."

(B) "Delete the Table in sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 and substitute the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Wet</th>
<th>Double Crop Wet</th>
<th>Single Crop Wet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendments moved.
Sri M. Omkar:—I move:

"For clause 5 substitute the following:—

A. 'For the purpose of this Act land shall be classified as ‘A’ and ‘B’ in regard to wet land and ‘C’ and ‘D’ in regard to dry land.

(a) All the wet lands yielding more than 10 quintals of paddy or its equivalent value by other crops shall be classified as Class A while yielding less shall be classified as Class B.

(b) All the black cotton dry lands shall be classified as Class C while other soils shall be considered as Class D.

(c) Garden lands such as coconut, citrus, grape gardens shall be classified as Class A lands, and all dry lands yielding commercial crops like tobacco, shall be classified as Class C lands.

The extent of land which shall constitute a standard holding for the class of lands is given in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of land</th>
<th>Standard holding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class A</td>
<td>10 Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>15 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>20 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>30 &quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. "Add the following as sub-clause 6 of class 5:—

(6) entire lands belonging to persons earning Rs. 1,000 per month by other occupations shall be taken over without compensation while lands belonging to persons who earn less than this amount by other occupations shall be retained with the owners to the extent allowed by this act to such person provided he or she takes upon personal cultivation or otherwise shall be taken out at the prevailing market rate."
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Amendments moved.

30. Amends Section 5 of the Agricultura...

Sri V. Srikrishna :—Sir, I beg to move:

(A) “Delete proviso (i) of sub-clause (1) of clause 5.”

(B) “In proviso (i) of sub- Clause (1) of clause 5 for the words “twelve and half percentum” insert the following words “Sixth and one-fourth percentum.”

(C) “In proviso (ii) of sub-clause (1) of clause 5 for the words “twenty-five percentum” substitute the words “twelve and half percentum.”

(D) “In proviso (iii) of clause (1) of clause 5 for the words “sixteen and one-fourth percentum” substitute the words “six and one-fourth percentum.”

(E) “In proviso (iii) of sub-clause (1) of clause 5 for the words “twelve and half percentum” substitute the words “ten percentum.”

(G) “In proviso (ii) of sub-clause (1) of clause 5 for the words “twenty-five percentum” substitute the words “twenty percentum.”
In proviso (iii) for sub-clause (1) of clause 5 for the words "sixteen and one-fourth percentum" substitute the "ten percentum."

Delete proviso (v) of sub-clause (1) of clause 5.

For the table to sub-clause (1) of clause 5 substitute the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of land</th>
<th>Extent of standard holding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class A</td>
<td>10 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>11 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>12 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>13 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>14 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>15 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRY</td>
<td>DRY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class G</td>
<td>28 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>30 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>38 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>46 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>54 acres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Delete the Table completely and replace the following:

| Class A       | 10 acres | 15 acres |
| B             | 11 acres | 17 acres |
| C             | 12 acres | 19 acres |
| D             | 14 acres | 21 acres |
| E             | 16 acres | 24 acres |
| F             | 18 acres | 27 acres |
| DRY           | DRY     |     |     |
| Class G       | 25 acres |     |     |
| H             | 30 acres |     |     |
| I             | 38 acres |     |     |
| J             | 46 acres |     |     |
| K             | 54 acres |     |     |

Add the following as new sub-clause (1) and (2) of clause 5 and renumber the subsequent sub-clauses.

In the case of land irrigated under filter points, tube-wells, open wells or lift or any other source of irrigation, they shall be considered as double crop wet but in determining the standard holding, a weightage of ten percentum shall be given over and above the ceiling fixed in the case of double crop wet lands.
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(2) "In the case of lands irrigated under the wells, maddirala Vagunala, Khimom, Sona, bila, uppali, bonda, coruvu, bhukli, kule on cross bunding they shall be treated as single crop wet land with twenty percentum weigh age in determining the standard holding."

(I) "In sub-clause (5) after the words "or firm" add the following after taking over the surplus land of the company or firm over and above one standard holding."

(M) "Delete sub-clause (6) of case 5 and substitute the following: "Lands owned or held under a private trust either revocable or irrevocable shall be taken over by the Government and distributed to the landless poor as per the provisions of this Bill and the said trust shall be managed by Government."

Mr Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.
A. "In proviso (iii) of sub-clause (1) of clause 5 for the words ‘sixteen and one-fourth percentum’ the words ‘twenty-five percentum’

B. "In proviso (v) of sub-clause (1) of clause 5 for the table substitute the following: In the case of Telangana area class of lands and extent of holding as specified under col. (1) and (2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of land</th>
<th>Double crop wet.</th>
<th>Wet land other than double crop wet land.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>15 acres</td>
<td>24 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>18 &quot;</td>
<td>27 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>21 &quot;</td>
<td>30-35 &quot; M.I. Course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td></td>
<td>46 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class J</td>
<td></td>
<td>54 &quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr Deputy Speaker :—Amendment moved.

13. Mr. V. S. V. Prakasam :—It could be seen that the Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms (Ceilings on Agricultural Holdings) Bill, 1972.

The Deputy Speaker, proceedings will be resumed. The House adjourned.

Amendment moved.

I. Mr. V. S. V. Prakasam :—It could be seen that the Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms (Ceilings on Agricultural Holdings) Bill, 1972.

The Deputy Speaker, proceedings will be resumed. The House adjourned.
Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Sir, It is proposed that in clause 5 for the words “sixteen and one-fourth percentum” substitute the words “twenty

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—That has been recommended by the Regional Committee.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—If the Regional Committee has made the amendment that amendment must also be introduced here.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—That was introduced.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Is that amendment carried?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Yes. We are actually considering the Bill as amended by the Regional Committee.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Are the amendments of the Regional Committee carried?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—The Bill before the House now is as amended by the Regional Committee. If you do not want the amendments of the Regional Committee to be accepted, you should again give an amendment that this particular amendment made by the Regional Committee should either be deleted or should be changed.

Sri C. V. K. Rao: Let the Chief Minister explain to me under what provisions could that be done.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Because we are considering the Bill here as amended by the Regional Committee. For instance if this goes to the Council, the Bill which will be considered by the Council will be the Bill as amended by the Assembly. If the Council does not agree with any of the amendments made by the Assembly, any member can say that this amendment made by the Assembly may be thrown out.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—The Bill has gone to the Select Committee and the Select Committee has made certain recommendations and the Bill has gone to the Regional Committee and when it has made certain changes—whether they should be accepted or not shall be left to this Body and the Regional Committee cannot be a super body.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—That is precisely what we are doing. If we don’t accept what the Regional Committee has done, it means we are accepting it. According to the schedule according to the programme, according to pre-determined order everything is being done perfectly.
In a hypothetical case where he used to have only 4½ acres to surrender for each one acre of double crop wet land, now he has to surrender 5½ acres. In the same way, this conversion table has been so much altered that if we take the tendency as this, that he wants to retain wet land and in lieu of wet land he wants to surrender dry land, he will have to surrender a larger acreage of dry land if he has to make both ends meet and square up matters. Suppose, he wants to keep dry land only then it will be still better because we will be on the other hand getting wet land which otherwise we would be getting very little. So, let him make his choice. The choice is fair enough. If he wants to have dry land, 5½ acres for every wet land, let him either leave the wet land. If he wants to have one acre of wet land, let him surrender 5½ acres of dry land which means that in either way, the Government or the surplus land coming to the government will not stand to be reduced and it will be a very good thing. By this conversion table being changed, there is no real loss either to the Government or to the person concerned because this we are doing in view of the fact that the fertility of all these soils is different and it has to be categorised in to different categories. It is not possible for us to take only one or two categories. According to the circumstances, the fertility of all these soils is different and it has to be categorised in to different categories. It is not possible for us to take only one or two categories.
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I have no objection to raise it to 3 acres. It will be treated as dry. Coconut-dry 30 acres, Draksha -97 acres Lak and Pad - 97 acres. I agree with the arguments advanced that the acreage is very small and negligible. That we need not bother; all the other orchards will be treated as dry. This is the decision of the Chief Minister, Conference and there will be no difficulty.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao:— Simultaneously between wet and dry the distance has widened and therefore the conversion ratio has changed.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In proviso (iii) to sub-clause (1) of clause 5 after the class 'classified as class' add the words 'IV and'"

The amendment was negatived.

(pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In the Table under sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 substitute the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of land</th>
<th>Wet land other than double crop wet land</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>22 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>24 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class E</td>
<td>26 acres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amendment was negatived.

(pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"A. In proviso (iv) (b) of sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 for the words 'Class I, Class J or Class K of the table' substitute the words 'all classes of dry land'.

B. Delete the table in sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 and substitute the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of land</th>
<th>Extent of standard holding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wet Double crop wet</td>
<td>Wet land other than double crop wet land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class A</td>
<td>18 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>21 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>25 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>30 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Class E</td>
<td>38 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class F</td>
<td>46 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class G</td>
<td>50 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class H</td>
<td>54 acres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amendment was declared negatived.
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(Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi pressed for division)
The House divided this:
Ayes — 9.
Noes — 181.
Neutrals — Nil.
The Amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — The question is:

In proviso (ii) of sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 for the words 'twenty-five percentum' substitute the words "seventy-five percentum."

Delete the Table in sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 and substitute the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wet Class</th>
<th>Double crop wet</th>
<th>Single crop wet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class A</td>
<td>15 acres</td>
<td>24 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>16 acres</td>
<td>25 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>17 acres</td>
<td>26 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>18 acres</td>
<td>27 acres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dry Class
| Class E    | 36 acres        |
| Class F    | 42 acres        |
| Class G    | 48 acres        |
| Class H    | 54 acres        |

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — The question is:

For Clause 5 substitute the following:

"For the purpose of this Act land shall be classified as "A" and "B" in regard to wet land and "C" and "D" in regard to dry land.

(a) All the wet lands yielding more than 10 quintals of paddy or its equivalent value by other crops shall be classified as class "A" while yielding less shall be classified as class "B".

(b) All the black cotton dry lands shall be classified as class C while other soils shall be considered as class D.

(c) Garden lands such as coconut, orus, grape gardens shall be classified as class A lands, and all dry lands yielding commercial crops like tobacco, shall be classified as class C lands.
The extent of land which shall constitute a standard holding for the class of lands is given in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of land</th>
<th>Acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class A</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri M. Omkar pressed for division.

The House divided thus:

Ayes 14
Noes 185
Neutrals Nil

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is:

Add the following as sub-clause 6 of clause 5:

"(6) entire lands belonging to persons earning Rs. 1,000 or more than Rs. 1,000 per month by other occupations shall be taken over without compensation while lands belonging to persons who earn less than this amount by other occupations shall be retained with the owners to the extent allowed by this act to such persons provided he or she takes up personal cultivation or otherwise shall be taken out at the prevailing market rate."

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri M. Omkar pressed for division.

The House divided thus:

Ayes 2
Noes 200
Neutrals Nil

The amendment was negatived.

Sri M. Nagi Reddy: — I am not pressing any voting in respect of 65 (A), 65 (B) (C) (D) (E) (F) (G) (H) (I).

With the permission of the House I beg to withdraw them.

Amendments 65 (A) (B) (C) (D) (E) (F) (G) (H) (I) were by leave of the House withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — The question is:

25—21

(I) For the table to sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 substitute the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of land</th>
<th>Double Crop Wet Land. (a)</th>
<th>Wet land other than double crop Wet land (b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wet Class</td>
<td>Extent of Standard Holding (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class A</td>
<td>10 acres</td>
<td>15 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>11 acres</td>
<td>16 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>12 acres</td>
<td>17 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>13 acres</td>
<td>18 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class E</td>
<td>14 acres</td>
<td>19 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class F</td>
<td>15 acres</td>
<td>20 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRY Class G</td>
<td>DRY</td>
<td>22 acres (twenty two)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class H</td>
<td>30 acres</td>
<td>38 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>46 acres (Forty six)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class J</td>
<td>54 acres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Delete the Table completely and replace the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of land</th>
<th>Extent of Standard Holding (2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class A</td>
<td>10 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>11 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>12 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>14 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class E</td>
<td>16 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class F</td>
<td>18 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRY Class G</td>
<td>DRY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class H</td>
<td>80 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>46 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class J</td>
<td>54 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri M. Nagi Reddy pressed for division.

Accordingly the House divided.

Ayes 1
Noes 195
Neutrals Nil

The amendment was negatived.
65 (L) Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

In sub-clause (5) of Clause 5 in line 5 after the words ‘or firm’ add the following: “after taking over the surplus land of the Company or the firm over and above one standard holdings.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker.—The question is:

65 (M) Delete sub-clause (6) of clause 5 and substitute the following:

“Lands owned or held under a private trust either revocable or irrevocable shall be taken over by the Government and distributed to the landless poor as per the provisions of this bill and the said trust shall be managed by Government.”

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

65(A) In proviso (iii) of sub-clause (1) of clause 5 for the words “sixteen and one fourth percentum,” substitute the words “twentyfivepercentum”

(B) In proviso (v) of sub-clause (1) of Clause 5 for the table substitute the following: In the case of Telangana area class of lands and extent of holding as specified under cols. (1) and (2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class of land</th>
<th>Double crop wet</th>
<th>Wet land other than double crop wet land</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class B</td>
<td>15 acres</td>
<td>24 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class C</td>
<td>18 acres</td>
<td>27 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class D</td>
<td>21 acres</td>
<td>30-56 acres M.I. Course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td></td>
<td>46 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class J</td>
<td></td>
<td>54 acres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amendment was negatived.

Sri M. Nanadas:—I beg leave to withdraw my amendment (No. 67). With the leave of the House the amendment was withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—There is a Government amendment.

The question is:

The following proviso shall be added after proviso (v) under sub-clause (1) of Clause 5:

“(vi) in the case of any lanka or padugai land, any land on which a coconut garden is raised, and any land on which a grape garden is raised, the extent of the standard holding shall in no case exceed 10.93 hectares (27 acres) 12.14 hectares (30 acres) and 7.28 hectares (18 acres) respectively.
The amendment was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

In lines under clause 3 'unst class G for the existing entries, in column (2), the following shall be substituted:

‘1.71 (21 acres)’

The amendment was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

That Clause 5 as amended, do stand part of the Bill.

The motion was voted and Clause 5 as amended was added the Bill.

Clause 6

Mr. B. Ramaiah Prapathy:—Mr Deputy Speaker Sir, the sub clause (b) sub 6 reads as follows:

‘Each tribunal under sub-section (1) shall consist of not more than three members. (b) From one shall be a person who holds or has held an office under the State. Not below the rank of a Deputy Collector, or a person who holds or has held or is qualified to hold the post of a District Munsif, and such person shall be the Chairman of the Tribunal.’ As such I move the following amendment.

Sir, I beg to move:

Delete the sub-clauses (2) (3) (4) and (5) of Clause 6 and substitute the following as sub-clauses (2) and (3),

‘(2) Each tribunal constituted under sub-section (1) shall consist of one member who shall be an officer of the judiciary not below the rank of a District Munsif.

(3) The Government shall appoint the Revenue Divisional Officer to represent their interest.’

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.
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The 1st tribunal shall consist of a sole member who shall be a Judicial Officer or rank of a Munsif or an officer not below the rank of a Tahsildar appointed by the Government. Sri N. Srinivasulu Reddy:—I beg to move:

In sub-clause (b) of Clause 6, delete the words “...is qualified to hold.”
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

"Delete Clause 6 and substitute the following:

(1) The Government shall by notification direct the villagers to constitute Agrarian Committees for the purpose of implementing this Act.

(2) Each Agrarian Committee shall consist of five Members by means of election in each village with the peasants below the ceiling level and agricultural labourers acting as the voters.

(3) Nobody who is not a voter is eligible to be elected for such a Committee.

(4) Government Officers not below the rank of Revenue Inspector may attend these Committee meetings as representatives of the executing authority without the right to vote.

(5) These Agrarian Committees so elected shall be the final authority in regard to implementing this Act."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sir, now what the original Clause says is:

6. (1) The Government may, by notification, constitute as many Tribunals as may be necessary for the purpose of this Act, and shall specify in such notification, the jurisdiction of each such Tribunal.
(2) Each Tribunal constituted under sub-section (1) shall consist of not more than three members, of whom one shall be a person who holds or has held a civil post under the State, not below the rank of a Dy Collector or a person who holds or has held a post of a District Magistrate, and such person shall be the Chairman of the Tribunal.

(3) The Government may, from time to time, likewise reconstitute any Tribunal constituted under sub-section or may at any time abolish such Tribunal.

(4) The quorum to constitute a meeting of the Tribunal and the procedure to be followed by it shall be such as may be prescribed.

(5) No act or proceeding of any such Tribunal shall be deemed to be invalid by reason only of the existence of any vacancy among its members or any defect in the constitution or reconstitution thereof.

Sri N. Venkataramnam.—Sir, I beg to move:

71. A. In sub-clause (2) of Clause 6, after the words "Deputy Collector", for the word "or", substitute the word; and

B. In sub-clause 2) of Clause 6, after the words "or has hold" for the words "or is qualified to hold" the post of a District Magistrate", substitute the words "subordinate Judge".

C. In sub clause (2) of Clause 6, add at the end "and the third person shall be one of the Members of the Legislative Assembly or Council within the jurisdiction of the Tribunal."

D. Add the following proviso at the end of Explanation 1 (a) to Clause 6:

"Provided that the female member shall be entitled to surrender her land in excess of the proportion, if her rights are protected by the family unit, by way of a deed, to the intent she surrendered in excess of the proportion."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.
Sri M. Nagi Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move:

"Delete sub-clause (2) of Clause 6 and substitute the following:

Each Popular Committee constituted under sub-section (1) shall consist of five members of whom one shall be a person who holds or has held a civil post under the State not below the rank of Deputy Collector and one among them shall be elected as Chairman of the Popular Committee."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Amendment moved.

Sri V. Satyanarayana:—Sir, I beg to move:

"Delete sub-clause (2) of Clause 6, and substitute the following:

Each Popular Committee constituted under sub-section (1) shall consist of five members of whom, one shall be a person who holds or has held a Civil post under the State not below the rank of Deputy Collector, who shall be the Chairman, the Members shall be
Mr. Dutt Spokesman: Am I right?

As a Legislator or as a person in charge of legislation, it would be very easy for me. But as a person interested in the accurate implementation of this law, I feel very much reluctant to fix only one functionary, whether he is R.D.O., Munsiff or any other person. I shall have to keep it flexible, if not for anything, at least to be able to select the proper person. I do not do it my hands and feet will be tied and I will not be able to have any discretion to select the people.

I sincerely believe that the right persons are not found only in one category either of officers from the Revenue Department or the Judiciary or from the non-officials, etc. I feel that they will have to be searched for in all walks of life. It is going to be a very difficult task to find right persons for this. And if I do not have the elbow-room to look around and cast the net as wide as possible, I would not be able to get proper persons for this. Even as a practical proposition, it is not
proper to cite upon an R. D. O., or Tax Collector or a District
official. In fact there is a possibility of Tribunals with one person;
there is a possibility of Tribunals with 2 or 3 persons, there is a possi-
bility of a judicial man coming in, there is a possibility of an R. D. O
coming in, there is a possibility of very good counsel advising a con-
sumer with law also holding the Tribunal. I have made the thing
so flexible that in this respect I feel that making it fisc is taking the
consequent responsibility on the Government is taking the height
of the problem. We are going to face it. We have faced the problem
squarely and taken the responsibility.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"Delete sub-clauses (2), (3), (4) and (5) of Clause 4 and
substitute the following sub-clauses (2) and (3).

"(2) each tribunal constituted under sub-section (1) shall
consist of one member who shall be an officer of the Judicial
not below the rank of a District Munsif."

"(3) The Government shall appoint the Revenue Divisional
Officer to represent their interest."

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—Sir, I demand a division.

The House divided thus:

Eleven Members voted for the amendment, hundred and fifty
three Members against.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In sub-clause (2) of Clause 6, delete the words "or has held
or is qualified to hold."

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri Nallapareddy Srinivasulu Reddy:—I demand a division, Sir,
Eight Members voted for the amendment and hundred and
forty three Members against.

The amendment was negatived."
Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—Sir, those Members who do not vote for or ag inst or remain neutral have to leave the House.

Opposition Members: We refuse to stand.

Mr Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"Delete Clause 5 and substitute the following:

1. The Government shall by notification direct the villagers to constitute agrarian committees for the purpose of implementing this Act.

(2) Each Agrarian Committee shall consist of 5 members by means of election in each village with the peasants below the ceiling level and agricultural labourers acting as the voters.

3. Nobody who is not a voter is eligible to be elected for such a Committee.

(4) Government officers not below the rank of Revenue Inspector may attend these committee meetings as representatives of the executing authority without the right to vote.

(5) These Agrarian Committees so elected shall be the final authority in regard to implementing this Act."

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri V. Omkar:—Sir, I demand a division.

The House divided thus.

Two Members voted for the amendment and hundred and sixty Seven Members against.

The amendment was negatived.

Sri N. Venkataratnam:—Sir, I beg leave of the House to withdraw my amendment 71 C. I am pressing the other amendments.

The amendment was, by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In sub-clause (2) of Clause 6, after the words 'Deputy Collector', for the word 'or' substitute the word 'and'."

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In sub-clause (2) of Clause 6, after the words 'or has held' for the words 'or is qualified to hold the post of a District Muafis' substitute the words 'Subordinate Judge'."

The amendment was negatived.
Mr Deputy Speaker:—The question is.

“Delete clause 2 of Clause 6 and substitute the following:

“... a regional committee constituted under sub-section (1) shall consist of five members of whom one shall be a person who holds or has held a Collector or a similar post under the State, no. of a Deputy Collector and one among them shall be elected as Chairman of the P.L.I. Committee.”

The amendment was negatived.


Thirteen members voted for the amendment and hundred and seventy two members against.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr Deputy Secretary:—The question is:

‘The Clause 6 do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 6 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 7

Sri P. Srimamumurti:—Sir, I beg to move:

“In sub-clause (3 of Clause 7 for the words ‘within a period of five years before the notified date’ substitute the following after the Second January, 1972.

Mr Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri P. Srimamumurti:—The existing clause reads: “Where at any time within period of five years before the notified date, a deemed to be agricultural land on the notified date for the purposes of this Act”.

Mr Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.
Sri B Ratnasabhapathi:—I beg to move:

"Debate sub-clause (1) of Clause 7".

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Subject to better advice, I have got one point of law regarding this section, which I will read out for the benefit of the House. All those transactions will be brought within the purview of the Ceiling Act up to 1961. If they are brought within the purview of the Madras Act of 1961, transactions which took place at the time when this Act was considered to be invalid are affected. But a liberal view will have to be brought to bear in respect of those transactions and the matter dealt with as such. To put in a different way the provisions of this Act should not be enforced in relation to such transactions with a view to invoke penalty or forfeiture, the reason being that at the time the transactions were entered into bona fide and on the view rightly held that the Madras Act of 1961 was not then in force.

Sri Nall pareddi Srinivasulu Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

"Delete sub-clause (3) of Clause 7".

Mr. Deputv Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri M. Omkar:—I beg to move:

(a) "In sub clause (1) of clause 7 for the words and figures "24th January 1971", substitute the words and figures "26th September, 1970".

(b) In sub-clause (1) of Clause 7, delete the following "then the burden of proving that such transfer or creation of trust..."

(c) Add the following as sub-clause (I) (a) of Clause 7. "All benami transfers made since 1961 shall be disregarded for the purpose of this Act".

(d) In clause 7 for the words and figures "24th January, 1971" substitute the words and figures "26th September, 1970".

(e) Delete sub-clause (7) of Clause 7.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

(a) In sub-clause (1) of clause 7 for the words and figures “24th January, 1971” substitute the words and figures “1st January, 1970 and consequent changes wherever it occurs.

(b) In sub-clause (3) of clause 7 delete the words “within a period of five years.

(Items (b) and (c) in the cyclostyled list were not moved.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.
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The 3rd September, 1972

Dear Sir,

I am the Chairman of the

Andhra Pradesh

Land Records

Committee.

I have the honour to

inform you that the

Committee has

studied the report of

the inspectors

and has

reached the following

conclusions:

1. The report shows that the

cellings of agricultural

holdings in the

districts of

Andhra Pradesh

are not

uniformly

enforced.

2. The report also shows that

the

cellings

are

not

adequate

in

many

cases.

3. The committee

therefore

recommends

that

the

cellings

be

increased

in

some

cases and

decreased

in

others.

I am

Yours

faithfully,

[Signature]

Chairman

Andhra Pradesh

Land Records

Committee.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“In sub-clause (3) of clause 7 for the words “within a period-notified date” substitute the following: “after the Second January, 1972.”

The Amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“Delete sub-clause (1) of clause 7.”

The motion was negatived (Ayes...13; Noes...172

Neutrals...1).

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“Delete sub-clause (3) of clause 7.”

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

(a) “In sub-clause (1) of clause 7 for the words and figures “24th January, 1971” substitute the words and figures “26th September, 1970.”

(b) In sub-clause (1) of clause 7 delete the following: “then the burden of proving... and where he has not so proved.”

(c) Add the following as sub-clause (1) (a) of clause 7. ‘All benami transfers made since 1961 shall be disregarded for the purpose of this Act”

(d) “In clause 7 for the words and figures “24th January, 1971” substitute the words and figures “26th September, 1970” wherever it occurs.”

(e) “Delete sub-clause (7) of clause 7.”

The amendments were negatived. (Ayes...2; Noes...181; Neutral...Nil.)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“In sub clause (1) of clause 7 for the words and figures ‘24th January, 1971’ substitute the words and figures ‘1st January, 1971’ and consequent changes wherever it occurs.”

The amendment was negatived. (Ayes...11; Noes...179; Neutrals...Nil.)

(Amendment No. 78 (d) was withdrawn with the leave of the House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“That Clause 7 do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted and clause 7 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 8.

Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu:—Sir. I beg to move:

“For sub-clause (1) of clause 8 substitute the following—

‘Every person holding land outside the Taluk in which he is resident or outside the state of Andhra Pradesh anywhere in India or
outside India shall furnish a declaration of such land to the Tribunal within whose jurisdiction he is resident in such form and with such particulars as may be prescribed. The form and particulars have to be published in newspapers and by beat of tom-tom.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu:—Clause 8 relates to the requirement of a declaration of holding by the ryot as to the extent of land that he holds and failure thereof is subject to criminal penalty. This is most unwarranted and unnecessary because the requisite information is available with the Government on record with the officers. It has already been collected. The record is already in the hands of the officers. That being so, I do not see why the declaration shall be required from the landholder and why he should be put under criminal liability. The Chief Minister has explained that he will be given sufficient time to make the declaration. Still, the only scope for evasion is in respect of land that may be lying outside a particular district or outside the State. Hence I have given this amendment for this purpose. For sub-clause (1) of clause 8 substitute the following:

Every person holding land outside the taluk in which he is resident or outside the state of Andhra Pradesh anywhere in India or outside India shall furnish a declaration of such land to the Tribunal within whose jurisdiction he is resident in such form and with such particulars as may be prescribed. The form and particulars have to be published in newspapers and by beat of tom-tom so that adequate notice is given to the ryot with regard to his obligation and that obligation shall be only in respect of land outside the taluk; it is only in respect of that that any sort of requirement can be there; otherwise no requirement need be there that the ryot shall furnish the information.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—Sir I beg to move:

(a) “In sub-clause (1) of clause 8 delete the words and figures '24th January, 1971' and substitute the words and figures '2nd May, 1972.'"

(b) “In sub-clause (1) of clause 8, for the words 'thirty days' substitute the words 'ninety days.'"

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.
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Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—We have taken power to extend the time.

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I beg to move:

"In clause 8 for the words ‘24th January, 1972’ substitute the words ‘26th September, 1970’"

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"For sub-clause (1) of clause 8 substitute the following—

‘Every person holding land outside the Taluk in which he is resident or outside the State of Andhra Pradesh anywhere in India or outside India shall furnish a declaration of such land to the Tribunal within whose jurisdiction he is resident in such form, and with such particulars as may be prescribed. The form and particulars have to be published in newspapers, and by beat of tom-tom.’

The Amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

(a) "In sub-clause (1) of clause 8 delete the words and figures ‘24th January, 1971’ substitute the words and figures ‘2nd May, 1972’.

The amendment was negatived.

(Ayes. 9; Noes. 169; Neutrals. Nil.)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

(b) “In sub-clause (1) of clause 8, for the words ‘thirty days’ substitute the words ‘ninety days’.

The amendment was negatived.

(Ayes. 2; Noes. 168; Neutrals. Nil.)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“In clause 8, for the words ‘24th January, 1972’ substitute the words ‘26th September, 1970.’

The amendment was negatived.

(Ayes. 2; Noes. 168; Neutrals. Nil.)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“That clause 8 do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 8 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 9.

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy—Sir, I beg to move:

“In clause 9 after the words Pass orders” insert the following words—

‘within 6 months from the date of filing of declaration’

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

The question is:—In clause 9 after the words ‘within 6 months from the date of filing of declaration’ insert the following words—

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

The amendment was negatived.

(Ayes. 2; Noes. 168; Neutrals. Nil.)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“That clause 8 stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 8 was added to the Bill.
NEW CLAUSE No 9

Sri M. Nagi Reddy —Sir, I beg to move:

"Insert the following as new clause 9 after clause 8 and re-number the subsequent clauses.

PROHIBITION OF HOLDING LAND BY NON-AGRICULTURISTS

(a) No person who, or a family which has on assured annual income of not less than rupees twelve thousand, from a source other than agricultural lands, shall be entitled to hold any land whether as land owner, landlord, tenant or as a mortgagee with possession or otherwise, or partly in one capacity and partly in another.

(b) for purposes of clause (a)

(i) the aggregate income of all the members of a family from sources other than agricultural land shall be deemed to be the income of the family from such sources,

(ii) a person or a family shall be deemed to have an assured annual income of not less than rupees twelve thousand from sources other than agricultural land on any day, if such person or family had an average annual income of not less than rupees twelve thousand from such sources during a period of five consecutive years preceding such day."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.
Sri P. Janardhan Reddy: —Sir, I beg to move.

Add the following as new clause 9 after clause 8: No person shall be entitled to hold any agricultural land who has an annual assured income of less than 12,000 rupees from a source other than agricultural land.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved
An erratic provision like this will never meet this situation. I am entirely in agreement with the principle enunciated by all the friends and friends on our side and I am sure this House have not a single Member from my party who is against it. Please do not forget that legislation is an art with requires a very fine understanding of what is to be done before such legislation.

The question is:

"Insert the following as new clause 9 after clause 8 and renumber the subsequent clauses.

"PROHIBITION OF HOLDING LAND BY NON-AGRICULTURISTS

(a) No person who, or a family which has an assured annual income of not less than rupees twelve thousand, from a source other than agricultural lands shall be entitled to hold any land whether as land owner, land lord, tenant or as a mortgage with possession or otherwise, or partly in one capacity and partly in another."
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(b) for purposes of clause (a)

(i) the aggregate income of all the members of a family from sources other than agricultural land shall be deemed to be the income of the family from such sources;

(ii) a person or a family shall be deemed to have an assured annual income of not less than rupees twelve thousand from sources other than agricultural land on any day, if such person or family had an average annual income of not less than rupees twelve thousand from such sources during a period of five consecutive years preceding such day.

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri M. Nagi Reddy pressed for division and the House then divided:

Ayes... 15; Noes... 156; Neutrals. Nil.

The Amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"Add the following as new clause 9 after clause 8.

"No person shall be entitled to hold any agricultural land who has an annual assured income of less than twelve thousand (1,000) rupees, from a source other than agricultural land."

The Amendment was negatived.

CLAUSE 10

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—There are three amendments of the Government.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

"For explanation to sub-clause (6) of clause 10, the following shall be substituted:

"Explanations II—Where any person surrenders and land being the whole or part of his share of the land held by a co-operative society, company or firm the share of such persons in such co-operative society, company or firm, as the case may be, shall to the extent required for such surrender, be deemed to have been correspondingly reduced."

and

"In explanation III to sub-clause (6) of Clause 10, the expression "Joint Family" shall be omitted in both the places where it occurs."

and

"Explanation IV to sub-clause (6) of Clause 10, shall be renumbered as Explanation VI and after Explanation III insert the following:

"Explanation IV—Where it is proposed to accept the surrender by any person of his share of the lands held by a joint family, co-operative society, company or firm of which he is a member, the lands so surrendered shall be selected in accor-
force with any agreement that may be arrived at between such person and the other members of such joint family, cooperative society, company or firm, and where there is no such agreement, the share of such person in the lands so held shall, as far as practicable, be determined PRORATA which refer to each class of land held by such joint family, cooperative society, company or firm.

Explanation V:—In the case of lands covered by proviso (c) to clause (d) of Section 3, the Government may, as soon as may be, no less the area in which lands are proposed to be localised as double crop wet lands and any person whose holding falls within such notified area shall be required to surrender, in the first instance, only such extent of land as he would be liable to surrender treating it has single crop wet land, and on the localisation of such land as double crop wet he shall be required to surrender the balance extent which he is liable to surrender and the provisions of section 17 shall continue to apply until the surrender of such balance of extent.

Mr. Deputy Speaker —Amendments moved.

Amendment No. 87 moved by Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu was by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—Sir, I beg to move:
(a) "Delete sub-clause (5) (a) (i) and (ii) of clause 10."
(b) "Delete sub-clause (5) (b) of clause 10."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendments moved.

It shall be open to the Tribunal to refuse to accept the surrender of any land which has been converted into non-agricultural land for purpose of agriculture, the surrender of which is not acceptable on account of the dispute as to the title, to the land or encumbrances on account of the land, proposed to be surrendered becoming inaccessible by reason of its severance from the remaining part of the holding.

It shall be open to the Tribunal to refuse to accept the surrender of any land which has been converted into non-agricultural land for purpose of agriculture, the surrender of which is not acceptable on account of the dispute as to the title, to the land or encumbrances on account of the land, proposed to be surrendered becoming inaccessible by reason of its severance from the remaining part of the holding.
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The amendments were, by leave of the House withdrawn.

Sri N. Venkatratnam:—Sir, I beg to move.

"Insert the following proviso to sub - clause (1) of clause 10 "provided that the person shall not surrender the land in possession or a tenant or tenants subject to extent of the ceiling area."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—Sir I beg to move.

"Insert the new sub - clause after sub clause: (5) (b) of clause 10" "Where all the lands are mortgaged, the amount payable for the surrendered land shall be paid to the mortgagor and the balance land amount due shall be collected from the mortgagor from the holding retained and also from other moveable and immoveable properties of the mortgagor but not from the surrendered land."

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Amendment moved.

Sri T. Purushothama Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:
Delete sub-clause (5) (a) (ii) of Schedule I.
Insert the following at the end of explanation IV to sub-clause (6) of clause 10:
"subject to however that the water is supplied from the Government source of irrigation within a period of two years from the specified date."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendments moved:

Sri N. Venkataram:—Sir, I beg to move:
Add the following provision at the end of Explanation I (a) of Clause 6; "Provided that the female member shall be entitled to surrender her land in excess of the proportion; if her rights are protected by the family unit by way of a deed. to the extent she surrendered in excess of the proportion."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved:

Sri Nallapareddy Srinivasul Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move that my amendment No. 92: Delete explanation IV to sub-clause (6) of clause 10, be withdrawn.
The amendment was, by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:
Insert the following proviso to sub-clause (1) of Clause 10—
"provided that the person shall not surrender the land in possession or a tenant or tenants subject to extent of the ceiling area."
The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:
"insert the new sub-clause after sub-clause (6)(b) of clause 10."
The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:
Delete sub-clause (5)(a)(ii) of clause 10 and Insert the following at the end of Explanation IV to sub-clause (6) of clause 10.
"subject to however that the water is supplied from the Government source of irrigation with a period of two years from the specified date."
The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:
For explanation to sub-clause (6) of clause 10, the following shall be substituted:
"Explanation II:—Where any person surrenders any land being the whole or part of his share of the land held by a Co-operative society, company or firm, the share of such person in such co-operative society, company or firm, as the case may be, shall, to the extent required for such surrender, be deemed to have been correspondingly reduced."
The amendment was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:
In explanation III to sub-clause (6) of Clause 10, the expression "Joint Family" shall be omitted in both the places where it occurs.
The amendment was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:
Explanation IV to sub-clause (6) of Clause 10, shall be re-numbered as Explanation VI and after Explanation III insert the following:
"Explanation IV—Where it is proposed to accept the surrender by any person of his share of the lands held by a Joint family, co-operative society, company or firm of which he is a member, the lands so surrendered shall be selected in accordance with any agreement that may be arrived at between such person and the other members of such joint family, co-operative society, company or firm, and where there is no such agreement, the share of such person in the lands so held shall, as far as practicable, be determined pro-rata with reference to each class of land held by such joint family, co-operative society, company or firm.

Explanation V:—In the case of lands covered by proviso (c) to clause (d) of Section 3, the Government may, as soon as may be,
notify the area in which lands are proposed to be localised as double crop wet lands and any person whose holding falls within such notified area shall be required to surrender, in the first instance, only such extent of land as he would be liable to surrender treating it as single crop wet land, and on the localisation of such land as double crop wet, he shall be required to surrender the balance extent which he is liable to surrender and the provisions of Section 17 shall continue to apply until the surrender of such balance of extent:"

The amendment was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—There is a consequential Government amendment.

The question is: "In new explanation VI for sub-clause (w) substitute 'sub-clause (v)."

The amendment was adopted.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—The question is:

"That Clause 10 as amended do stand part of the Bill".

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and Clause 10 as amended was added to the Bill.

(CLAUSE 11)

Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu :—Sir, I am not pressing my amendments, which read as follows:

In clause 11 insert the following between the words "possession of such land" and which shall thereupon"

"on the payment of at least 33 1/3rd percent of the amount payable to him under this Act."

Insert the following at the end of the explanation to clause 11.

"nor any easement right, others lands and over the land vested in the Government by surrender."

The amendments were by leave of the House withdrawn.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi :—Sir, beg to move:

In clause 11 delete the following—"free from all encumberances from the date of such order.

Delete the proviso under clause 11 and substitute the following:

"Provided that any claim or liabilities enforceable against that land before the date of vesting in the Government may be redeemed by the Government."

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—Amendment moved.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

The question is:

(a) In clause 11 delete the following—
‘free from all encumbrances from the date of such order.”

(b) Delete the proviso under clause 11 and substitute the following:

Provided that any claim or liabilities enforceable against that land before the date of vesting in Government shall also pass along with the land surrendered.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

(a) In clause 1: delete the following—
‘free from all encumbrances from the date of such order.”

(b) Delete the proviso under clause 11 and substitute the following:

"Provided that any claim or liabilities enforceable against that land before the date of vesting in the Government may be redeemed by the Government."

The amendment was declared negatived.
Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi pressed for division
The House divided thus.

Ayes-12
Noes-169
Neutrals-Nil

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:
(a) In clause 11 delete the following words:
"free from all encumbrances from the date of such order"

(b) In clause 11 for the proviso to clause 11 substitute the following proviso:

"provided that any claim or liabilities enforceable against that land before the date of vesting in Government shall also pass along with the land surrendered"

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker.—The question is:
"That Clause 11 do stand part of the Bill".
The motion was adopted.

CLAUSE 12

The question is:
"In sub clause (1) of clause 12 insert the following words after the words "the possession" "and owner".

The amendment was negatived.

The question is:
"That Clause 12 do stand part of the Bill"
The motion was adopted and Clause 12 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 13

"13 (1) Where the holding of any owner includes any land held by a protected tenant, the Tribunal shall in the first instance, determine whether such land or part thereof stands transferred to the protected tenant under section 28-E of the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1950, and if so,

The question is:
"13 (1) Where the holding of any owner includes any land held by a protected tenant, the Tribunal shall in the first instance, determine whether such land or part thereof stands transferred to the protected tenant under section 28-E of the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1950, and if so,"

The question is:
"That Clause 13 do stand part of the Bill"
The motion was adopted and Clause 13 was added to the Bill."
Add the following as sub-clause of clause 18:— “All the tenants who are not covered under the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Tenancy and Agricultural Act having in continuous possession of land for 6 years or more prior to the date of notification of this Act shall be declared as protected tenants”.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“Add the following as sub-clause (2) of clause 18:—

“All the tenants who are not covered under the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Tenancy and Agricultural Act having in continuous possession of land for 6 years or more prior to the date of notification of this Act shall be declared as protected tenants”.

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri M. Omkar pressed for division and the House divided thus.

Ayes—1
Noes—189
Neutrals—Nil

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“That Clause 13 do stand part of the Bill”

The motion was adopted and Clause 13 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 14.

Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—I beg to move:

(a) For clause 14 substitute the following:—

“The lands vested in the Govt. under this Act shall be transferred to the agricultural labours, village artisans, backward classes including weaker sections, scheduled castes and scheduled tribes for their convenient use.

Explanation I: The backward classes are those classes of citizens notified by the Government for purposes of clause (1) of article 15 of the Constitution.

Provided that 2/5th of the land surrendered shall go to the benefit of scheduled castes and tribes, and 1/5th shall go to the weaker sections to be specified from among the above said backward
classes and the remaining to the remaining backward classes and other sections mentioned above."

(b) In sub-clause (4) (i) of clause 14 after the words "land mortgage back" insert the following words "until after the allottee or transferee completes the full payment is required to pay under this Act" and delete the word 'and' in the end.

In sub-clause (4) (iii) of clause 14 insert the following words after the words "an orchard" until after he completes the payment required under the Act"

(c) Delete sub-clause (3) of clause 14.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu:—The Clause in the Bill reads thus "14. The lands vested in the Government under this Act shall lotted for use as house sites for agricultural labourers, village artisans, backward classes and weaker sections, S.C.s and S.T.s. for their convenient use."

Just it is a practical proposition. It is for that purpose I moved this amendment.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapati:—I beg to move:

"Delete sub-clauses (2) and (3) of clause 14."

Sir, Sub-clause reads like this "(2) Every person, to whom the land has been allotted for use as house site or transferred for the purpose of agriculture for purposes ancillary thereto, shall pay to the Government within a period of ten years from the date of
The Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms Act, 1972

Climbing on Agricultural Holdings

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Amendment moved.

Sri Nallapareddy Srinivasul Reddy:—I beg to move:

(a) Delete the following in sub-clause (2) of Clause 14. 'Shall pay to the Government...and on payment of entire amount such person'...

(b) Delete sub-clause (3) of Clause 14.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

When we are taking other lands from the landholders, we are taking them almost free without paying any compensation. So, in the case of this land, why do you collect from those people? In these matters there is a case of clear discrimination. The amounts we are paying are borne by the Government as in the case of Bajjar lands or in the case of house sites.
Sri M. Nagi Reddy: — I beg to move:

(a) For sub-clause (2) of Clause 14 substitute the following:

"14 (2) Every person, to whom the land has been allotted for use as house site on transferred for the purpose of agriculture or for purposes ancillary there to shall be free of cost.

14 (2) (a) Every person to whom the land has been allotted for the use as house site shall be free of cost.

(b) In sub-clause (2) of clause 14 delete the words "house site"

(c) In sub-clause (2) of clause 14 for the words "ten years" substitute the words "fifteen years"

(d) In sub-clause (2) of clause 14 for the words "fifty times" substitute the words "twenty-five times"

(e) In sub-clause (2) of clause 14 for the words "fifty times" substitute the words "forty times"

(f) In sub-clause (2) of clause 14 for the word and figures "Rs. 12:0/-" substitute the word and figures "Rs. 750/-"

(g) In sub-clause (2) of clause 14 for the word and figures "Rs 1250/-" substitute the word and figures "Rs. 1000/-"

(h) In sub-clause (2) of clause 14 for the word and figures "Rs. 1750/-" substitute the word and figures "Rs. 250/-"

(i) In sub-clause (2) of clause 14 for the word and figures "Rs. 375.00" substitute the word and figures "Rs. 300/-"

(j) Insert the following proviso to sub clause (3) of Clause 14:

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment move.

(Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings)

Bill, 1972.

Sri M. Omkar:—I beg to move:

"For sub-clause (2) of Clause 14 substitute the following:

(a) "Every person to whom the land has been allotted for the use of house sites or transferred for the purpose of agriculture or for the purposes ancillary thereto shall be granted patta gratis."

(b) Delete sub-clauses (8) and (6) of clause 1A."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—No. No. (Many members walked out)

Sri N. Venkatratnam.—I beg to move.

In sub-clause (1) of clause 14 insert the following words after the words "shall be allotted":

‘to the cultivating tenant and extent not less than one acre of wet land and 2½ acres of dry land and not more than 1/3rd of the standard holding’.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

(a) For clause 14 substitute the following:

The lands vested in the Government under this Act shall be transferred to the agricultural labourers, village artisans, backward
classes including weaker sections, scheduled castes, and scheduled tribes for their convenient use.

Explanation 1: The backward classes are those classes of citizens notified by the Government for purposes of clause (4) or article 15 of the constitution.

Provided that 2/5th of the land surrendered shall go to the benefit of scheduled castes and tribes, and 1/5th shall go to the weaker sections to be specified from among the aforesaid, backward classes and remaining to the remaining backward classes and the other sections mentioned above.

(b) In sub-clause (4) (i) of clause 14 after the words ‘land mortgage bank’ insert the following words ‘until after the allottee or transferee completes the full payment is required to pay under this Act’

and delete the word ‘and’ in the end

In sub-clause (4) (iii) of clause 14 insert the following words after the words ‘an orchard’ until after he completes the payment required under the Act’

(c) Delete sub-clause (6) of clause 14.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

‘Delete sub-clauses (2) and (3) of clause 14.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy pressed for division.

The House divided thus:

Ayes 2

Noes.. 145

Neutrals Nil

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

(a) Delete the following in sub-clause (2) of clause 14.

‘shall pay to the Government on payment of entire amount such person’

(b) Delete the sub-clause (3) of clause 14.

The amendment was negatived.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:
(a) For sub-clause (2) of clause 14 substitute the following.—
14 (2) every person, to whom the land has been allotted for use as house site or transferred for the purposes of agriculture or for purposes ancillary there to shall be free of cost”

“14 (2) (a) Every person to whom the land has been allotted for the use as house site shall be free of cost.”
The amendment was declared negatived.
Sri Nagireddy pressed for division.
The House divided thus:
Ayes 17
Noes 159
Neutrals Nil
The amendment was negatived.
Sri M. Nagireddy:—I beg leave of the House to withdraw my amendments: (b) and (d) to (j).
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—With the leave of the House the Amendments were permitted to be withdrawn
Mr. Ly. Speaker:—The question is:
“In sub-clause (2) of clause 14, for the words ‘ten years’, substitute ‘fifteen years’.”
The amendment was adopted.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:
For sub- Clause (2) of clause 14 substitute the following.
(a) Each person to whom the land has been allotted for the use of house sites or transferred for the purpose of agriculture or for the purposes ancillary thereto shall be granted patta gratis.”

(b) Delete the sub-clauses (3) and (d) of clause 14”
The amendment was negatived.
Sri Omkar pressed for division.
The House divided thus:
Ayes 1
Noes 159
Neutrals Nil
The amendment was negatived,
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:
“In sub clause (.) of clause 14 insert the following words after the words “shall be allotted” to the cultivating tenant and extent not less than one acre of wet land and 2 acres of dry land and not more than 1/3rd of the standard holding”.
The amendment was negatived.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:
“That clause 14 as amended do stand part of the Bill”
The Motion was adopted and clause 14, as amended was added to the Bill.
CLAUSE 15

Sri P. Sreerama Murthy:—Sir, I beg to move:

"In sub-clause 15 for the word "and it shall be paid" substitute the following:—

"It shall be paid in cash by 33 1/3 % of the amount payable as a first instalment and the remaining may be paid in instalment in cash."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The amendment moved.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In clause 15 for the words "and it shall be paid" substitute the following—

"It shall be paid in cash by 33 1/3 % of the amount payable as a first instalment and the remaining may be paid in instalment in cash."

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is;

"That clause 15 do stand part of the Bill."

The Motion was adopted and clause 15 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 16

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"That clause 16 do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted and clause 16 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 17

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I beg to move:

"For the words and figures "24th January, 1971" substitute the words and figures "26th September, 1970."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“For the words and figures “21th January, 1971” substitute the words and figures “21th September, 1971”.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“That clause 17 do s and part of the Bill”.

The Motion was adopted and clause 17 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 18

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

Clause 18. do stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted and Clause 18 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 19

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

Clause 19. do stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted and Clause 19 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 20

Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—We beg the leave of the House to withdraw our amendment.

(a) In sub-clause (2) of clause 20 delete the words ‘or a person who holds or has held or is qualified to hold the post of a District Judge.

(b) Insert the following at the end of sub-clause (2) of Clause 20.

“The Members shall be the representatives of the Ruling and Opposition Parties and mass organisation who are committed to Radical Land Reforms”

(c) Add the following as new sub-clause (6) of Clause 20.

“A State level popular committee consisting of not less than five members with representatives of the Ruling and Opposition Parties and mass organisation who are committed to radical land reforms shall be constituted to review the process and implementation of this Act.”

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—With the leave of the House the amendments were withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

Clause 20. do stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted, and Clause 20 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 21

Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu:—Sir, I beg to move:

“For sub-clause (a) (b) and (c) of Clause 21 substitute the following:—

25—26
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu:—Sir, this Clause shows what legal disparity and destruction has been brought to bear for the purpose of defeating any possible approach to justice. The Hon'ble Chief Minister has already said it is possible that wherever error had taken place people may approach the High Court in way of its jurisdiction, so I am providing 'revision'. That was his explanation. But this revision will be certainly struck-down as illusory colourable. After all, not only on one ground the ground of jurisdiction has been provided. Revision under CPC has been provided for other grounds also. What is revision? Revision is laid down in the CPC. So, this revision is unjust, illusory and it will certainly be struck down as colourable provision and, therefore against the Constitution itself.

I therefore wish and request that the Hon'ble Chief Minister may atleast relent upon this and accept my argument as acceptable.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"For sub-clause (a), (b) and (c) of clause 21, substitute the following:

'the grounds for which provision was provided under the CPC from an order of a Court.'"

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"Clause (21) do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted; and Clause 21 was added to the Bill:

CLAUSE 22

Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu:—Sir, I beg leave of the House to withdraw my amendment:

"Insert the following at the end of sub-clause (1) of clause 22:

and shall allow the procedure of the CPC as far as practicable.""

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—With the leave of the House the amendment was withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"Clause 22 do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted, and Clause 22 was added to the Bill:

CLAUSE 28

Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"Delete sub-clause (b) of Clause 23".

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

"For Clause 23, substitute the following:

"Nothing in this Act shall apply to the agricultural land held by the Agricultural College for the purpose of demonstration."
Sri N. Venkatratnam:— Sir, I beg the leave of the House to withdraw my following amendment:

(a) Delete sub-clause (b) of Clause 23 and re-letter sequel sub-classes.

(b) Delete sub-clause (g) of Clause 23 and also delete 'or' (g) in the proviso and add 'or' between (e) and (f).

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— With the leave of House the amendments were withdrawn.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:— It is not possible, it is not proper to anticipate the provisions of that legislation that will be examined.

It is a writing on the wall that these institutions cannot any longer hold land and continue to enjoy the fruits of the land. They are already being deprived of the fruits of the land. I know many institutions owning hundreds of acres, but the income that is being derived out of that is next to nothing because nobody is paying.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“Delete sub-clause (d) of Clause 23”.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“For Clause 23, substitute the following—

‘nothing in this section shall apply to the agricultural college for the purpose of demonstration’”.

The amendment declared negatived.

Sri M. Omkar:—Sir, I demand a division.

The House divided thus.

One Member voted for the amendment and Hundred and Fifty Nine Members against.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“That Clause 23 do stand part of the Bill”.

The motion was adopted.

Clause 23 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 24.

Sri N. Venkataratnam:—Sir, I am not passing the amendment standing in my name. But I support the amendment of Sri K. Rangadas.

Sri K. Rangadas:—Sir, I beg to move:

“In clause 24, delete the words ‘imprisonment for a term which may extend to two years’ wherever they occur.”

The amendment declared negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—Sir, I beg to move:

"In sub - clause (1) of Clause 24, for the words 'with imprisonment... or with both' substitute the words 'with fine of Rs. 100."

"In sub - clause (2) of Clause 24 for the words 'with imp... or with both' substitute the words 'with a fine not more than Rs. 300'"

"In sub clause (3) of Clause 24, for the words 'with imprisonment... or with both' substitute the words 'with fine not exceeding Rs. 500'."

This is the most dreadful clause. This is where the clause which makes the entire Bill a Penal law. It says that the entire Bill is a Penal law. It says that if any person who is under an obligation to furnish a return or information under this Act refuses or wilfully fails to furnish the return or information within the time specified for the purpose, he shall be punishable with fine which may extend to Rs. 200".
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Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.
Sri B. Venkat Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:
"In clause 24, for the words 'imprisonment for a term which may extend to two years or with fine which may extend to two thousand rupees or with both', substitute the words '300'.
"Delete sub-clauses (2) and (4) of Clause 24.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.
Sri T. Purushotham Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:
"In sub-clause (1), (2) and (3) of clause 24, for the words 'two years or with fine which may extend to Rs. 2000 or with both' substitute the words 'Rs. 200'.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.
5th September, 1972


Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In clause 2h delete the words 'imprisonment for a term which may extend to two years' where they occur"

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri K. Bangadas pressed for a division and the House divided thus.

Ayes 12; Notes 147; Neutrals Nil.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In sub-clause (1) of clause 2h for the words 'with imprisonment for a term which may extend to two years or with fine which may extend to two thousand rupees or with both' substitute the words 'with fine of Rs. 100.'"

"In sub-clause (2) of clause 2h, for the words 'with imprisonment for a term which may extend to two years or with fine which may extend to two thousand rupees or with both', substitute the words "with a fine of not more than Rs. 500.'"

"In sub-clause (3) of clause 2h, for the words "with imprisonment for a term which may extend to two years or with fine with many extend to two thousand rupees or with both", substitute the words "with fine not exceeding Rs. 500.'"

The amendments were declared negatived.

Sri B. Ranasabhapati pressed for a division.

The House divided thus:

Ayes 11; Noes 149; Neutrals Nil.
The amendment were negative.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In sub-Clause (1) (2) and (3) of clause 24, for the words 'two years or with fine which may extend to Rs 2,000 or with bond', substitute the words 'Rs. 2000'".

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"That Clause 24 do stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

CLAUSE 25

Sri T. Purushotham Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

"Delete sub - clause (2) of Clause 23".

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri T. Purushotham Rao:—The protection given under this clause is that 'no suit, prosecution or other legal proceedings shall lie against any person, officer or authority for anything which in good faith done or intended to be done in pursuance of this Act or any rules made thereunder.' (vide sub - clause (1) vide sub - clause 26)

"No suit or other legal proceedings shall lie against the Government for any damage caused or likely to be caused or for any injury suffered or likely to be suffered by virtue of any provision of this Act, or for anything which is in good faith done or intended to be done in pursuance of this Act or any rules made thereunder." (vide sub - clause (1) vide sub - clause 26)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"Delete sub - clause (2) of Clause 25".

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"That Clause 25 do stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

CLAUSES 26 to 30

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—There are no amendments to Clause 26 to 30.

The question is:

"That Clauses 26 to 30 do stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clause 26 to 30 were added to the Bill.
SCHEDULE I

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—I beg to move:

"Clause 3 except Explanations I to IV thereunder shall be omitted".

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—It is just consequential. We have shifted it to the m: in clause.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"Clause 3 except Explanations I to IV thereunder shall be omitted".

The amendment was adopted.

Sri P. Sreerama Murthy:—Sir, I beg to move

"The first schedule may be amended so as to read in the second column ‘Srikakulam District as a whole’, and delete 1 under column 6 and read under column E 1 to 4 delating 2 to 4 and further reading under column F 5 to 11 deleting 5 to 8 under column F ‘‘.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri P. Sreerama Murthy:—I request that this amendment may be considered by the Chief Minister.

(Amendments Nos. 182, and 183 and not pressed.

Sri M. Nagi Reddy:—"Any dry land which is a lanka or paduga land shall be deemed to fall under class F as if it were a wet land other than double crop wet;‘‘

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—That has been shifted to the clause

1. Sir, I beg to withdraw:

(a) "In sub-clause (3) (i) of First Schedule, delete the words "Class F" and substitute the words "Class C."

The amendment was withdrawn with the leave of the House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

(b) "In sub-clause (3) (i) of First Schedule, delete the words "Class F" and substitute the words "Class D."

(c) "In sub-clause (3) of First Schedule, delete the words "Class F" and substitute the words "Class C."

(d) "For sub-clause 3 (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: 'any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under class-A as if it were a double crop wet."

(e) "For sub-clause 3 (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: 'any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under clause B as if it were a double crop wet."

(f) "For sub-clause 3 (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: 'any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under clause C as if it were a double crop wet."

(g) "For sub-clause 3 (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: 'any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under Class-D as if it were a double crop wet."

(h) "For sub-clause 3 (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: 'any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under class-E as if it were a double crop wet."

(i) "For sub-clause 3 (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: 'any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under Class-A as if it were a wet land other than double crop wet."

(j) "For sub-clause (3) (ii) First Schedule, substitute the following: 'any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised
shall be deemed to fall under class-B as if it were a wet land other than double crop wet.’’

(k) “For sub-clause 8 (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: ‘any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under Class C as if it were a wet land other than double crop wet.’”

(l) “For sub-clause 8 (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: ‘any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under Class D as if it were a wet land other than double crop wet’.”

(m) “For sub-clause 8 (ii) of First Schedule, substitute the following: ‘any land on which coconut and citrus garden is raised shall be deemed to fall under Class E as if it were a wet land other than double crop wet.’”

The amendments were negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

“That First Schedule as amended do stand part of the Bill”.

The motion was adopted and First Schedule as amended was added to the Bill.

SECOND SCHEDULE

Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu:—I beg to withdraw my amendment: “For sub-clauses (2) and (3) of Second Schedule, substitute the following: ‘The amount payable for any wet land vested in the Government may be forty percent of the market value and for any dry land it may be sixty percent of the market value’”

The amendment was withdrawn with the leave the House.

Sri Ch. Parasuram Naidu:—Now having withdrawn my amendment, I would only add that we shall wait and see how quickly the Chief Minister will bring the urban ceiling. We have reached the end of parliamentary process so far as this Bill is concerned and in respect of implementation we shall certainly cooperate and we await that revolutionary measure of urban ceiling.

Sri K. Rangadas:—I beg to move:

“For Second Schedule, substitute the following:

‘Where the total land revenue payable does not exceed’.

(1) Sub-clause (2) (i) upto Rs 50 200 times.

‘2 (ii) exceeds Rs. 0 up to Rs. 150 150 times.’

‘2 (iii) exceeds Rs. 150 up to Rs. 500 100 times.’

‘2 (iv) exceeds Rs. 500 upto Rs. 1000 25 times.’

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.
Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi :—Sir, I beg to move:

(a) "Delete sub-clauses (1) and (2) of Second Schedule and substitute the following - "The Amount payable for any land vested in the Government under this Act shall be calculated at 15 times that of net income and the income be assessed in the manner prescribed."

(b) "Delete the explanation and two provisos in sub-clause 3 of Second Schedule."

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—Motion moved.
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Sri B. Venkata Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

"For sub-clause (') of Second Schedule, substitute the following: 'The lands being taken away are being vested in the Government by the operation or the provisions of this Act, the amount payable as compensation shall be according to market value.'"

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri P. Janardhan Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

"Insert the following at the end of the explanation to sub-clause (3) of the Second Schedule ‘and further that special facilities and priorities shall be given to establish industries and other institutions to the persons whose lands are taken away under this Act.'"

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.
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Sri B. Ratnasabapathi:—We are not pressing our amendments, Sir.
The amendments were; by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"That Second Schedule do form part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted. The Second Schedule was added to the Bill.

Clause 1, Enacting Formula & Title.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"Clause 1, Enacting Formula & Title do form part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted. Clause 1, Enacting Formula & Title were added to the Bill.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—I move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms (Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings) Bill, 1972, (as passed by the Regional Committee) be passed"

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

writing on the wall, the writing on the wall, the writing on the wall.
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Sri Ch. Parasurama Naidu :—Mr. Chairman Sir, The votaries of nationalisation of land or the masters of distribution, communica-
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tion can only confine themselves until the last cent of land is taken
away from every person of this country and they are reduced to the
status of the proletarian for the purpose of achieving their goal, the
dictatorship of the proletarian. Fortunately in this country, I am
sure that this ideology is never acceptable. They are also conscious
of this. Being conscious of this, they have taken to various subter­
fuges underground passage and want to impose by some means or
other this unwanted ideology. It is well known that 55 years after
1917, Russia is still trying to get grain from America. That shows
what a failure agriculture is in that country.—what the failure of
land-reforms, supposed to be revolutionary land-reforms. We must
understand human psychology. Human psychology must produce
more and more for the betterment of the society. Therefore, all our
efforts are now to be met. A radical measure has been brought
about. Social reform is thought to be necessary and for that purpose,
the mentors of this country have suffered on certain measures. We
had some difference of opinion as to how it has to be done. Now it
has been brought to a stage where all want to go and see that feelings
which have been injured and the feelings of hope that are ought to
harmonisation and that the production in this country does not suffer
and therefore, I here for one and for my group and for all the friends
whom I can assure full cooperation in the implementation of
this measure. Reconstitution of society in this country is an absolute
necessity.

Sri Syed Hassan:—Sir, my congratulations, felicitations and
greetings to the Chief Minister for getting this Bill passed. Natu­
rally a feather has been added to his cap and I do not know where
he would put that feather because he does not wear a cap. However, Sir,
I feel that as they say in Urdu.

Much has been said and much was expected of this Bill. But,
what has happened. This Godavari, Krishna delta inspite of having
this premier source and rotation and tail end ands they have
What have they done to that? Inspite of being double soil land, it
has been taken as single crop and it is a matter for the C.B.I. to
enquire about the reasons for this. People say in the lobby about
Rs. 20 lakhs. I do not say. About coconut gardens also it was 80
acres dry land and it was 25 acres at the time of the passage of
the Bill. 35 acres is taken as dry. About grape gardens Sir, it is.
Select Committee stage it was considered as dry land and from Select
Committee it has come to the Assembly and again it has come to the
Regional Committee and it was shown as 18 acres. Later on this
was changed as 27 acres. I would like to know why all of a sudden
these changes have been shown. After this, Sir, we have been,
I never had cast any aspersions on the Congress of the present day.
But the Chief Minister has said that the muslims consider the Cong­
ress as extremely communal. It is only the Hindus that consider
Congress as communal. But the fact is, Sir, it is not the Congress
but the Chief Minister who is communal.

(Shouts of 'sit down').
Sir it is the Chief Minister who is communal. He has shown his communal spirit by depriving certain communities from having their own land their fore-fathers had kept and as per distribution he has suggested, he wanted intentionally to deprive.

(Interruptions)

The Chief Minister is communal. So, Sir, series of the communal treas can be proved right from the beginning.

(Repe ted interruptions)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Take some other opportunity.
Sri Syed Hassan:—No, Sir. Why should he say...

(Shouts of sit down)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Please resume your seat. There is no point in it. It would only further delay.

Mr. Amarnath Reddy:—This would only involve further delay.
Sri Syed Hassan:—We could have shouted when the Chief Minister has told...

(Interruptions)

I will continue this...

(Repeated interruptions)

Sri B. V. Subba Reddy:—I am just making one submission. It is not proper for the Member to be making all these kinds of allegations at this stage. It is certainly not justified for responsible Member to make such allegations.

(Shouts)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Please confine to the Bill.
Sri Syed Hassan:—He has said and I have to retaliate.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Please, Please... It is not going to solve the matter. Please resume your seat.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—What is this sort of thing?
Mr. Hassan, please resume your seat.
Sri V. Srikrishna:—Let him answer. When there is so much of majority why do you bawl out? There is the Deputy Speaker to control.

Sri B. V. Subba Reddy:—It is certainly not fair to make communal allegations. It is certainly not justified.

(Interruptions)

Sri V. Srikrishna:—We are not party to it. At the same time, let the Deputy Speaker control.

Mr Deputy Speaker:—Mr. Hassan please complete it.

(Interruptions)

Mr Deputy Speaker:—Mr Easwar you are delaying the passing of the Bill. Please, please All of you resume your seats.

Sri B. Ratnasabapathi. Sir, he may be allowed to conclude.

Sri Syed Hassan:—I am concluding. I am just giving one example

Mr Deputy Speaker:—I am not allowing any example

Mr Hassan please conclude

Sri Syed Hassan:—Sir, we have been deprived of our rights. If you were there you would have shouted in the same way. Very cunningly and cleverly, it has been done. We condemn all such activities

(Shame, shame)
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మన్నస్తుందనుందనుండ కాక ఇంతో మాత్రం అంభానించాం. ఆ ప్రత్యేకించి
గుడి తమ వేతనాలు వట్టిని ప్రత్యేకంగా తెచ్చే, ప్రత్యేకంగా సమర్పణ
మాత్రమే గుడి ఆమోసం కాగా పొలించడం విధానం నేను వాస్తవం
చేసాం. మాత్రమే ఇందులో మాత్రమే పొలించడం విధానం నేను వాస్తవం
చేసాం. మన్నస్తుందనుందనుండ కాక ఇంతో మాత్రం అంభానించాం.

లింగా (అంగుల) — అవసరం, మరింత సాధనాలు ఉపయోగం
చేసాం. ఆ వ్యాపారానికరించాం విధానం నేను వాస్తవం
చేసాం. మన్నస్తుందనుందనుండ కాక ఇంతో మాత్రం అంభానించాం.

నంది. లింగా — దృష్టి, ఖర్చను అంటే దృష్టి కార్యంపై
నిమిదమైనది. మన్నను కాదు, భారత ద్రామేరిక విధానంలో నిమిసింగ గుడి
విద్యతాన్ని సంస్మరించాం. మన్నప్రత్యేకించాం విద్యతాన్ని
పలించడానికి అలంకారం చేయాలి. కారుడు ప్రత్యేకంగా
సాధనాలను విద్యతాన్ని ప్రత్యేకంగా పశుత్వానికి ఉపయోగం
చేసాం. మన్నప్రత్యేకించాం విద్యతాన్ని పలించాలి. కారుడు
ప్రత్యేకంగా ఉపయోగం చేయాలి.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Yes.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Land Reforms (Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings) Bill, 1972 be passed."

The motion was adopted.

The House stands adjourned to meet at 8-30 A. M. on the 6th day of September, 1972.

(The House then adjourned till Half Past-Eight of the Clock on Wednesday the 6th September, 1972).