ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
Friday, the 4th July, 1972

The House met at Half past-Eight of the Clock
(Mr Speaker, Sri P Ranga Reddy in the Chair)

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

CONDUCT OF DIRECT ELECTIONS TO PANCHAYAT SAMITHIS & ZILLA PARISHADS

121—

*40-Q—Sarvasri M Nagi Reddy (Gurajala), Vanka Satyanarayana—Will the hon Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state

(a) whether any proposal is under the consideration of the Government to conduct direct elections to the Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads, and

(b) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister for Panchayatraj (Sri T Hayagrivachari) —

(a) and (b) Although suggestions have been made to Government that changes should be brought in the system of elections to the Panchayati Raj bodies, the Government have not so far taken any decision on these issues as they are awaiting the report of the High Power Committee on Panchayat Raj

REORGANISATION OF PANCHAYAT SAMITHIS

12'—

*38-Q—Sarvasri M Nagi Reddy, Vanka Satyanarayana, D Venkatesam, P Samb.siva Raju and Smt J Easwari Bai—Will the hon Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state

(a) whether any proposal is under the consideration of the Government either to abolish or to re-organise Panchayat Samithis, and

(b) if so, the manner in which the Government is proposing to do it?

J No—289
The matter under consideration:

Yes Sir, the question asks for consideration.

The response to (a) is affirmative.

The matter under consideration asks for consideration.

The response to (b) is affirmative.
It has already become the property of the House.
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Oral Answers to Questions

(1) வேல்ஸ் சாக்கிரி மேல் நான் கத்த மறியாயம். அவள்
சணக்கையும் நான் வந்து கொண்டே வந்து, எனது நாயக்கமும், அவர் நாயக்கத்திற்கு
சென்று கொண்டே வந்துச் சிறைவு என்று? எனது சென்று நான் கூறின்
செய்தலூர் நான் வந்து தவறு வந்தோ? வந்தோர் தவறை என்று?

(2) ஒரு வன்றுக்காவதே பதற்பெரும் மன்னரும் மாநாடையடைக்
வந்து சென்று போன்று கைத்தொட்டு இந்தையத்தை என்று என்றை?
வந்தோர் என்று சொல்கத்தை வந்தோர் கைத்தொட்டு?

(3) முதல் பகுதி — என்ன காதறினால் அல்லது இந்தையத்தை?
வந்தோர் என்று மறையாய கொண்டே
மாநாடையடைக் கைத்தொட்டு வந்தோர் கைத்தொட்டு?

(4) என்று பருவம் என்று என்று என்று?
மாநாடையடைக் கைத்தொட்டு வந்தோர் கைத்தொட்டு?

(5) கட்டுரையுடன் என்று என்று என்று?
வந்தோர் கைத்தொட்டு?

(6) கட்டுரையுடன் என்று என்று என்று?
வந்தோர் கைத்தொட்டு?
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Mr Speaker — So far as I am concerned you have given that information. Let the Government consider about it. You can ask at the moment, are the Zilla Parishad Chairmen or Presidents, Panchayat Samithis writing the confidential reports of the B D Os? I view of this order are you going to withdraw it. Put a question like that.

Sri D Venkatesham — Excuse me, Sir. The present practice is the confidential reports of the Secretaries and B D Os are written by Chairmen as well as the Panchayat Samithi presidents. That is the practice in vogue. So, simply because those powers are being misused by the Chairmen, Zilla Parishads and Presidents, Panchayat Samithies, the powers have been withdrawn from these people and automatically the system of writing confidential reports by the concerned Chairman or President should not be there. How can there be controversy between these two people? He has to act according to the whims and fancies of the Zilla Parishad Chairman or the President, Panchayat Samithi. It is very clear.

Sri D Venkatesham — ‘Zilla Parishad’ is only the Parishad Chair. The other Chairmen, Panchayat Samithi presidents, Secretaries and B D Os are writing confidential reports. So, why should the Chairman of the Parishad be specially mentioned? Why should the Chairman of the Zilla Parishad be specifically mentioned? Why should the Zilla Parishad Chairman be specifically mentioned?
DRINKING WATER FACILITIES IN THE VILLAGES

123—

*830 Q—Sri M Nagi Reddy —Will the hon Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state

(a) the number of villages including hamlets in our state,

(b) the number of villages which have got sufficient drinking water facilities

(c) the number of villages which have got insufficient drinking water facilities,

(d) the number of villages which do not have drinking water facilities at all, and

(e) by what time the Government will provide sufficient drinking water to all villages?

Sri T Hayagrivachari — (a) 51,145 villages

(b) 37,996 villages

(c) 8,594 villages

(d) 7,535 villages

(e) Within a period of 2 or 3 years

RIGS SUPPLIED TO EACH DISTRICT

124—

* 640 Q—Sri M Nagi Reddy —Will the hon Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state

(a) the number of rigs supplied to each district for sinking drinking water wells,

(b) the number of rigs which are in working condition out of clause (a), and

(c) the action taken by the Government to supply more rigs to meet the demand of the public?

Sri T Hayagrivachari — (a) & (b) A statement showing the number of rigs supplied to each district for sinking of drinking water wells and the number of rigs which are in working condition is placed on the Table of the House

(c) To meet the severe drought conditions during the year 1972-73 the State Government have issued orders sanctioning an amount of Rs. 129.65 lakhs towards the purchase of 22 rigs for taking up the bore-wells programme in the scarcity areas of the districts.
PAPERS PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

With reference to Clauses (a) and (b) of L.A.Q. No. 64, Stated (H.12-L) a statement showing the number of rigs supplied to each district for sinking of drinking water wells and the number which are in working condition is placed on the Table of the House.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S1 No</th>
<th>Name of the District</th>
<th>AIC Rigs</th>
<th>UNICEF Rigs</th>
<th>State Govt Rigs of PR</th>
<th>Madhya Pradesh State Govt Rigs on Hire</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jrikakulam</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Guntur</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ongole</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Neillore</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cuddapah</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Hyderabad</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Medak</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Mahabubnagar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Nalgonda</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Warangal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Khammam</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

'A' — Available number of rigs

'W' — Rigs in working condition
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Mr Speaker — So many people are raising their hands. Why don’t you ask for some special discussions on these rigs? If I have to allow all of you to make another fifteen minutes.
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Mr Speaker — So many people are raising their hands. Why don’t you ask for some special discussions on these rigs? If I have to allow all of you to make another fifteen minutes.

Mr Speaker — So many people are raising their hands. Why don’t you ask for some special discussions on these rigs? If I have to allow all of you to make another fifteen minutes.

Mr Speaker — So many people are raising their hands. Why don’t you ask for some special discussions on these rigs? If I have to allow all of you to make another fifteen minutes.

Mr Speaker — So many people are raising their hands. Why don’t you ask for some special discussions on these rigs? If I have to allow all of you to make another fifteen minutes.

Mr Speaker — So many people are raising their hands. Why don’t you ask for some special discussions on these rigs? If I have to allow all of you to make another fifteen minutes.
Mr Speaker—I will allow discussion on this.

Mr Speaker—There’s an extension of an answer.
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RURAL WATER SUPPLY SCHEME TO KANITI VILLAGE, VIZAG DISTRICT
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Q—Sri P Sanysasi Rao—Will the hon Minister for Panchavati Raj be pleased to state

(a) whether two bores have been made with the help of a ng to provide drinking water in Kaniti village of Vendurthi Panchayat Samithi, Visakhapatnam Taluk,

(b) when did the Executive Engineer, Zilla Parishad sent estimates to the Government for Rural Water supply scheme to the above villages,

(c) whether it is a fact that the District Collector has recom mend to the Government to sanction the said scheme in view of the fact that the public of the village are subjected to epidemic diseases due to drinking of muddy water, and

(d) the stage at which the scheme stands at present?

Sri T Haysgriva Chari—(a) Two bores have been dug for the purpose of providing drinking water in Kanithi Panchayat
(b) The Executive Engineer, Zilla Parishad, Visakhapatnam has not sent any estimate to the Government for rural water supply scheme. As per the report of the Executive Engineer, Zilla Parishad, Visakhapatnam an estimate for Rs 20,000 for Protected Water Supply scheme for Kamthi village was sent to the Chief Engineer (Panchayati Raj) in his letter dated 10-1-1970.

(c) District Collector has recommended that a Protected water supply scheme for Kamthi village may be sanctioned under Life Insurance Corporation programme.

(d) A protected Water Supply Scheme for Kamthi village was not sanctioned by Government under Life Insurance Corporation on programme. This scheme will be considered for sanction as and when adequate loan assistance is made available from the Life Insurance Corporation.
SCARCITY OF DRINKING WATER IN GUDUR MUNICIPALITY

126—

* Q — S. Nallapa Reddy Srvanasul Reddy — Will the hon Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is scarcity of drinking water in Gudur Municipal limits of Nellore district,
(b) what steps Government have taken to meet the contingency,
(c) is it a fact that a pot of water was sold for one rupee in the last summer at Gudur,
(d) whether the Government will provide additional funds either to construct one more water tank or to increase the capacity of the present water tank?

The Minister for Municipal Administration (Sri M Manik Rao) —

(a) No Sir
(b) Does not arise
(c) No, Sir
(d) There is no proposals with the Government for the increase in the capacity of present existing water tank.
SCARCITY OF DRINKING WATER IN VURSU AND OTHER VILLAGES IN WARANGAL MUNICIPALITY

* 388 Q — Sarvasi R Nara-simha Ramaralah, J Narasinga Rao and G Bhopathi — Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government are aware of the fact that the public of Vursu Karimabad Rangasaipta Sambunipeta of Warangal City Municipality are subjected to inconveniences due to scarcity of drinking water and

(b) if so, what steps Government propose to take to solve the problem?

Sri M Manik Rao — (a) Yes, Sir

(b) To tide over the situation, the Warangal Municipality has deepened the existing wells in those areas
ACUTE SCARCITY OF DRINKING WATER IN GUNTUR AND ITS NEIGHBOURHOOD

128—

(a) The Sangam Jagarlamudi and Vengayapalem sources combinedly yield about 43 lakh gallons per day. But due to failure of power and high lift motors which are frequently giving troubles due to their long service there are some interruptions. It is reported by the Municipal Engineer Guntur that the enroute villages are fed directly from the pumping main and have good pressure and as such there is no scarcity nor any hardship being felt in those villages.

(b) No Sir, Except Budampadu and Ftukuru villages

(c) Yes,
KANUPUR CANAL IN NELLORE DISTRICT

129—

*8 T Q - Sri Nallapa Reddy Srinivasulu Reddy — Will the hon Minister for Medium Irrigation and Law be pleased to state

(a) when was the foundation stone laid for the execution of the work of Kanupur canal in Nellore District,
(b) what is the cost of scheme,
(c) the amount spent so far,
(d) when will the work be completed,
(e) how much of additional ayacut is proposed under the scheme, and
(f) whether the existing ayacut, if any, will be stabilised?

The Minister for Medium Irrigation and Law (Sri P Basireddy) — (a) The foundation stone was laid on 14-2-61

(b) Original cost of the scheme was Rs 69 59 lakhs and the revised cost of the scheme is Rs 475 40 lakhs, including direct and indirect charges

(c) An amount of Rs 1,43 52,693 was spent on the scheme including establishment charges up to end of 2/72

(d) It will depend upon the allotment of funds

(e) The additional ayacut proposed under the scheme is 4,634 acres including T J (new 2884 plus T J 1750)

(f) An extent of 12,932 acres of existing ayacut under the various tanks will be stabilised
4th July, 1972

Oral Answers to Questions

[Text in Telugu script]

[Translation or summary of the text in English]

[If necessary, additional information or context about the document]

[End of document information]
Oral Answers to Questions 4th July, 1972

 Losses sustained by A P S R T C during 1971-72

(a) the amount of loss sustained by the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation during the year 1971-72,

(b) whether a statement showing the income and expenditure for the last five years will be placed on the Table of the House, and

(c) the reasons for sustaining loss by the R T C, while profits are being derived by the private management?

The Minister for Transport (Sri K Prabhakara Reddy) —

(a) The Annual Accounts for the year 1971-72 are still under compilation. However from a review of the working of the Corporation made, it is estimated that the year will end in a loss of about Rs 35.54 lakhs,

(b) A statement is laid on the Table of the House

(c) The working of a nationalised transport industry is in no way comparable with that of a private management.
STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE IN CONNECTION WITH PART (b) OF THE J A Q NO 895 (STARRED) [* 189] GIVEN NOTICE BY SRI N SRINIVASUL REDDY, M L A

STATEMENT SHOWING THE INCOME AND EXPENDITURE OF THE ANDHRA PRADESH STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION FOR THE LAST FIVE YEARS

(Rs In Lakhs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross Income</td>
<td>1,489 09</td>
<td>1,735 01</td>
<td>2,106 07</td>
<td>2,041 34</td>
<td>2,469 55</td>
<td>2,605 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Working expenses</td>
<td>1,467 83</td>
<td>1,670 17</td>
<td>2,057 72</td>
<td>2,077 06</td>
<td>2,424 28</td>
<td>2,198 84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Profit/Loss as per P &amp; L Account</td>
<td>(+) 21 26</td>
<td>(+) 64 61</td>
<td>(+) 49 35</td>
<td>(—) 35 72</td>
<td>(+) 45 82</td>
<td>(—) 85 54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr Speaker — Anyhow you are going to discuss all these things while taking up 'demands' Then you can express.
The actual minus 3 is not loss 1-7-72 is not loss 1-7-72 nor.

EXTENTION OF BUS SERVICE FROM SIMHACHALAM TO BALACHERUVU UPTO NADUPURU, IN VILLAG

131—

(a) whether the Government are in receipt of any representations for extending the bus service now being plied from Simhachalam to Balacheruvu in Visakhapatnam up to Nadupuru Village, and

(b) if so, what is the action taken by the Government thereon?

Sri K Prabhakara Reddy —(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) A copy of the representation was sent to the Transport Commissioner for necessary action. The matter is presently under consideration of the Regional Transport Authority, Visakhapatnam.
RAISING OF THE HEIGHT OF ANICUT OF CHERUVU PEDACHERUVU

(a) whether the Government are in receipt of any representations requesting to raise the height of the anicut of the Cheruvu Pedacheruvu by three feet to enable the additional flow of water through the canal from cheruvu into the tank of Monagi village Devarakonda taluk, Nalgonda district,

(b) if so, the action taken thereon and

(c) If no action has been taken the reasons for the delay?

The Minister for Minor Irrigation 'Sri K Gopal Naidu —

(a) The ryots have represented to raise the F T L of Pedda Cheruvu in Chepur (village)

(b) and (c) —The detailed surveys of the scheme have been carried out and an estimate has been prepared it is under scrutiny in the circle office

SUPPLY OF WATER FROM NAGULBRU TO THE LANDS IN BHATRUPALEM ETC VILLAGES OF PALNAD TALUK

(a) Will the hon Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased to state.
(a) whether the scheme for supplying water from Niguleru to the lands situated in Bhatrupalem and Katrapadu villages of Palnad taluk, Guntur District has been sanctioned,
(b) if so, the particulars of the said scheme,
(c) when was the work taken up originally, and
(d) the reasons for not completing the said work so far?
Sri K Gopal Naidu —(a) Yes, Sir
(b) The scheme is intended to provide irrigation facilities under Sankarapuram weir to an extent of 77½ acres by improving and extending the existing supply channel
(c) In March 1961
(d) As the work is situated in forest area and as contractors are experiencing much difficulty in procuring labour and also in providing drinking water facilities to labourers, much headway could not be made. Every effort is being made to have it completed by June, 1973.

SCHEMES TO DEVELOP TOURISM IN THE STATE

134—

*459 Q — Sarvasri M Nagireddy and D Venkatesam — Will the hon Minister for Tourism be pleased to state
(a) the details of schemes prepared by the Government now to develop tourism in our State,
(b) the expenditure that will be incurred for the same, and
(c) the time by which the said schemes will be completed?
The Minister for Tourism (Dr Ch Devananda Rao) —(a) A statement showing the schemes proposed to be taken up during the year 1972-73 is placed on the table of the House
(b) Rs 6 00 lakhs
(c) All the above schemes except construction of Rest House at Araku, improvements to Horsely Hills Circuit House and Tourist Lounge at Vadarevu are expected to be completed during the current financial year.

PAPERS PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE IN CONNECTION WITH ANSWERING CLAUSE

(a) OF THE L A Q No *459 (Starred) [* 131]
GIVEN NOTICE BY SRI M NAGI REDDY M L A

1 Publication of Tourism Literature—Joint Publicity by Southern States, Printing of AP Tourism Map, Centage charges on Youth Hostel etc
2 Furnishing of Airport Counter at Hyderabad and Map
3 Construction of Rest House at Araku
4 Expenditure on Lepakshi Bus at Visakhapatnam.
5 Furniture for changing rooms at Lawsons Bay at Visakhapatnam
6 Development of Manginapudi Beach
7 Improvements to Rest House at Bhadrachalam
8 Introduction of Mini bus at Vijayawada
Developments of Vadarevu beach, repairs and furnishing to Rest House in Coastal Andhra District

Improvements to Circuit House at Horsely Hills

Furnishing of Rest Houses at Tirupati, Ayodhya, Mahanandi, Sri-Sailam, Lepakshi Tourist Information Bureau Tirupati and other minor schemes. Centences on Tourist Bungalow at Mantralayam

Constructions of Picnic sheds and play ground material at Osmansagar

Expenditure on Mini Bus at Warangal

Improvements to Dharmapuri Rest House

Development of Pocharam Water falls

Supply of Boats

Construction of Public lavatories and bath rooms at Nagarjunsagar Project House

Improvements to Tourist Rest House in Telangana, Regional Tourist Information Bureau at Warangal, furnishings, Miscellaneous items etc

Sri D Venkateshm —A provision of Rs 6.0 lakhs has been made this year for the improvement of the 18 items given in the statement placed on the Table of the House. Apart from the items which could not be completed this year, what is the allotment for the remaining items?

Dr Ch Devananda Rao —The provision of Rs 6.0 lakhs has been divided like this:

For general schemes like tourist literature, Delhi Office and Air Port Tourist Counter and Headquarters staff, Delhi Rs 2.0 lakhs

The balance amount has been divided for Coastal Andhra, Telangana and Rayalaseema Rs 4.0 lakhs

Out of this (Rs 4.0 lakhs) Rs 3.0 lakhs would be spent for the completion of the scheme during this year.

Improvement of "Bojjanna Konda" as a Tourist Centre

* 428 Q —Sri P V Ramana —Will the hon Minister for Tourism be pleased to state

(a) whether a Memorandum was submitted by the Anakapalli town congress committee in the year 1972 to the Government requesting to improve "Bojjanna Konda" in Anakapalli as a Tourist Centre, and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

Dr Ch Devananda Rao :—(a) Yes Sir.
April 7th, 1972

Short Notice Question and Answer

(b) The Director General Archeological Survey of India, has been requested to take the following steps:
1. Equating of iron railings around monuments to prevent damage.
2. Repairs to the steps.
3. Fixing of sign-boards.

Credit Limits to the Primary Weavers Cooperative Societies

135 A—

* 1511 Q—Sri Kona Prabhakara Rao—Will the Hon. Minister for Handlooms and Cooperative Factories be pleased to state

(a) the credit limits sanctioned by the Reserve Bank of India to the Primary Weavers Cooperative Societies in the State for the year 1971-72,

(b) the total amounts credit actually disbursed by the District Cooperative Central Banks to the Primary Weavers Cooperative Societies from out of the credit limits sanctioned by the Reserve Bank of India,

(c) the reasons why the full amount of credit limits were not disbursed by the District Banks, and

(d) will the Government consider starting an Appex Bank for the Handloom Industry?

The Minister for Handlooms and Cooperative Factories (Sri G. Rajaram) —

(a) Rs 102.39 lakhs

(b) Rs 96.79 lakhs

(c) The gap between the credit limits sanctioned and the amount disbursed is mostly owing to non-fulfilment by the Societies of the conditions laid down by the Reserve Bank of India.

(d) A proposal to set up a separate Appex Bank for the Handloom Industry was already considered by the State Government and was dropped.

Short Notice Question and Answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Rs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
<td>20 lakhs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>100 lakhs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Godavari</td>
<td>157 lakhs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td>441 lakhs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td>100 lakhs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guntur &amp; Ongole</td>
<td>100 lakhs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>721 lakhs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arantapur</td>
<td>50 lakhs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Point of Information

re Supply of boiled rice to Kerala

4th July, 1972

Chittoor
As there is no borrowing capacity, no amount has been disbursed

Cuddapah do

Kurnool Rs 18 0 lakhs
Hyderabad — 1 0 lakh
Warangal — 3 6 lakhs
Karimnagar — 2 93 lakhs
Khammam — Nil
Nalgonda — 7 0 lakhs
Nizamabad — Nil
Mahabnagar — 3 2 lakhs
Medak — 0 88 lakh
A.Shabad — 0 50 lakh

Sri K Prabhakara Rao - In view of the heavy capital outlay required for the handloom industry, will the Government reconsider the advisability of constituting a separate Apex Bank for the handloom industry?

Sri G Rajaram - The demand was there for a pretty long time. The Government has considered the proposal in all its aspects and finally concluded that such a proposal is not feasible. The reasons are, amongst others, it would be providing loans only to one category of institutions and the Bank will not be in a position to discharge its obligation if the societies do not pay their loans.

Sri G Rajaram - There are four societies in Khammam district. They are not working. No funds are provided either by the Central Bank or from their own resources or from the Reserve Bank of India. The societies are not at all working.

Mr Speaker - Questions are over

POINT OF INFORMATION

re Supply of boiled rice to Kerala
Supply of boiled rice to Kerala

272 4th July, 1972

Point of Information

Supply of boiled rice to Kerala

The State Government of Kerala has been advised by the Central Government to supply boiled rice to the State on a regular basis. The supply will begin from the next week and will be carried out through the State Food Corporation. The rice will be supplied to the State at a price of Rs. 3 per quintal, and the State Government will bear the cost of transportation.
Calling attention to matters of urgent Public Importance

re: Retrenchment of teachers in Panchayat Samithis in Mahaboobnagar District

In May 1972 (12) teachers returned from the B Ed Training after the completion of the training. To accommodate the above teachers cert. in Secondary Grade Basic Trained and Elementary Grade Basic Trained teachers selected by the District Selection Committee and working in Zilla Parishad High Schools were allotted to the Panchayat Samithis after obtaining the vacancy position. To accommodate the said regular teachers, the Panchayat Samithis had to oust the non-District Selection Committee teachers working in their jurisdiction.

The period of time has not been specified by the Honourable Members. The position in 1972 is therefore given now:

(i) In Panchayat Samithi Shadnagar (13) Secondary Grade Basic Trained teachers, (ii) in Panchayat Samithi Achampet (8) Elementary Grade Basic Trained teachers and (iii) in Panchayat Samithi, Wanaparthy (3) Secondary Grade Basic Trained teachers and (11) untrained matriculants were ousted to accommodate the qualified regular teachers allotted by the Zilla Parishad.

There is no proposal to make any alternate arrangement for the employment of ousted teachers. As and when vacancies occur, qualified trained teacher will be appointed in those vacancies.
Calling a meeting to matters of urgent public importance

re Misappropriation of Rs. 2,000 belonging to the M.P.C credit Society by Sri Kunam Dasaratharam Reddy, ex-President of the said Society.

Miserable plight of the patients in the acha arsam in which those working in Aayurvedic and Ayurveda Dispensaries due to non-payment of salaries.
Calling attention to matter of urgent public importance

Mr. Subba Rao of Rs. 22,000 belonging to C P Credit Society by Sri Kunam Dasaratharami Reddy, Ex President of the said Society

The Minister for Co-operation (S I B Subba Rao) — S Sri Kunam Dasaratharami Reddy as the President of the Ulavapadu Multipurpose Co-operative Society in Prakasam District until 30-9-1971.

Sri G R ghavamb took charge as President on the same day. Subsequently, he was displaced from the office of President on a no-confidence motion on 4-2-1972 and Sri Davakar Reddy was elected in his place on 7-9-1972. Sri Davakar Reddy is the present President.

The ex-President Sri Kunam Dasaratharami Reddy did not hand over the records and accounts of the Multipurpose Co-operative Society either to Sri Raghavam or to Sri Davakar Reddy. The Divisional Co-operative Officer, Kandukur, issued summons to Sri K Dasaratharami Reddy on 2-3-1972 and 27-3-1972. The ex-President received the summons but failed to appear before the Divisonal Co-operative Officer. The summons issued by the Divisional Co-operative Officer to Sri Davakar Reddy for production of records on 27-3-1972 was refused by him. The Taluk Co-operative Officer, Kandukur, issued summons to the ex-President on 19-5-1972 but he refused to conply with the summons. The Taluk Co-operative Officer issued a registered notice to Sri Davakar Reddy on 7-5-1972 and a summons on 19-5-1972 and they were refused by him.

The new Managing Committee did not apply to the Divisional Co-operative Officer for issue of a Certificate under Section 17 of the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act 1964 for applying to the First Class Magistrate for directions to the ex-President for delivery of records.

As the ex-President, Sri Dasaratharami Reddy is evading to hand over the records and also evading to appear before the Departmental officers and as the existing managing committee has not made any request for the issue of a certificate under Section 117 for production of the records by the ex-President it has not been possible so far for the Co-operative Department to get at the records and to determine whether there is a misappropriation and if so to what extent. To unearth this matter and punish the guilty if necessary, by superseding the committee, an enquiry under Section 51 of the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act, 1964, was ordered on 28-6-1972. A show notice cause has also been issued on 28-6-1972 by the Divisional Co-operative Officer, Kandukur, under Section 79 of the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act, 1964, to Sri Dasaratharami Reddy, ex-President, and Sri Davakar Reddy, the present President, to show cause against prosecution for non production of records and disobedience of summons.

The Registrar of Co-operative Societies is being instructed to pursue action vigorously in this matter.

(1) O హేతుచట్టాం — జ్ఞాని జాతిబాధా విధానం ప్రతిసామయం మాత్రమే వచ్చి విశాఖపట్నం జిల్లా ప్రధానం నుండి సాంకేతిక విషయాలను వెలువడు చేస్తుంది. లేదా వాస్తవానికి వేరు ఉంది. అందులో ఉపయోగమైన లాంటి తెలియజేసింది.
Calling attention to a matters of urgent public importance

re Need to dispose of the 24,000 bags of rice that were seized at Bitragunta

The Minister for Civil Supplies (Sri Ch. Subbarayudu) —On a similar Call Attention Notice given by the Hon'ble member during the last session entire facts relating to the case was placed before the House and the House was informed that, as the stocks of rice were likely to get spoiled due to long storage and as it might take some time for final disposal of the revision petition filed in the High Court, the Government was considering the question of moving the High Court to permit the disposal of the stocks by open auction and remit the sale proceeds in the Court, pending disposal of the revision petitions. Superintendent of Police, Vigilance Cell who had filed the revision petitions consulted the Public Prosecutor, Andhra Pradesh High Court and reported that the Public Prosecutor opined that it was not possible to move the High Court for disposal of the stocks before disposal of the revision petitions by the High Court, as it would amount to annulling and orders of the District and Sessions Judge, Nellore. The Public Prosecutor was, however, asked to move the High Court for expeditious disposal of the revision petition. With reference to this, the Public Prosecutor stated that as the High Court remained closed for the summer vacation any steps to be taken could be only after reopening of the High Court on 6-6-1972. After reopening of the High Court, the Public Prosecutor filed applications in the High Court and obtained orders of the Court on 14-6-1972 for disposal of the stocks by the District Revenue Officer, Nellore, after taking samples as indicated by the District and Sessions Judge, Nellore. The samples so taken are to be preserved, pending disposal of the revision petitions by the High Court

2. The District Revenue Officer, Nellore, has been instructed that in view of the orders of the High Court the stocks should be disposed
of after calling for all India tenders as for instructions already issued to him by the Government. The District Revenue Officer has reported that the stocks, though affected due to long storage, are still consumable, needing only winnowing and cleaning. In this connection, it may be stated that in G. O. 1, F & A, dated 20-6-1972, the Government has sanctioned the required amount of Rs. 18,296/- for fumigation, restacking etc to preserve the stocks.

3. It will thus be seen that there has been no lack of effort at all on the part of the Government either to dispose of the stocks under reference as expeditiously as possible or for their effective preservation pending their disposal. Whatever delay there was, has been mainly due to the case being sub judice.

4. Tenders were called for and the last date for receiving tenders is 17th July 1972.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Notifications issued under sections 3 (1) and (2) and 4 (1) of the Andhra Pradesh Relief Undertakings (Special Provisions) Act, 1971

The Minister for Industries (Sri J. Vengala Rao) — I beg to lay on the Table two notifications issued under sections 3 (1) and (2) and 4 (1) of the Andhra Pradesh Relief Undertakings (Special Provisions) Act, 1971 (Andhra Pradesh Act No 19 of 1971) as required under section 6 of the said Act.

280—5
Seventeenth Report of the Privileges Committee of the Fourth Legislative Assembly

Annual Accounts of the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation for 1970-71 and the Audit Report thereon and the Administrative Report for 1970-71

The Minister for Transport (Sri K Prabhakar Reddy) — I beg to lay on the Table the Annual Accounts of the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation for the year 1970-71 as certified by the Accountant General, Andhra Pradesh together with the Audit Report thereon and the Annual Administrative Report of the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation for the year 1970-71 as required under sections 3(4) and 35(3) of the Road Transport Corporations Act, 1930.

Notification issued in G. O. Ms No 774, Industries dated 25-8-71 under sections 3(1) and 4(1) of the Andhra Pradesh Relief Undertakings (Special Provisions) Ordinance, 1971

Minister for Handlooms (Sri G. Rajaram) — I beg to lay on the Table two notifications issued in G O Ms No 774, Industries dated 25-8-71 under sections 3(1) and 4(1) of the Andhra Pradesh Relief Undertakings (Special Provisions) Ordinance 1971 (Andhra Pradesh Ordinance No 2 of 1971) as required under section 6 of the said Ordinance and published in an extraordinary issue of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette dated 25-8-1971.

Notification issued in G. O. Ms No 78, Food and Agriculture dated 19-1-72 relating to the amendments issued to Form 8 prescribed under Rule 50 of the Andhra Pradesh (Agricultural Produce and Livestock) Market Rules, 1969

The Minister for Marketing (Sri D. Munuswamy) — I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the notification issued in G. O. Ms No 78, Food and Agriculture dated 19-1-72 relating to the amendments issued to Form 8 prescribed under Rule 50 of the Andhra Pradesh (Agricultural Produce and Livestock) Market Rules, 1969 as required under subsection (5) of section 33 of the Andhra Pradesh (Agricultural Produce and Livestock) Markets Act, 1966.

Mr Speaker — Papers laid

SEVENTEENTH REPORT OF THE PRIVILEGES COMMITTEE OF THE FOURTH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Secretary, Legislative Assembly (Sri A Shanker Reddy) — Sir, I am to state that the Seventeenth Report of the Committee of Privileges of the Fourth Legislative Assembly was presented to the Speaker on 7-2-1972 before the dissolution of that Assembly and that the hon Speaker was pleased to order the printing of the said report under Rule 246 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly.

In pursuance of clause (6) of Section No 1 issued by the hon. Speaker on 2-2-1972 I beg to lay on the Table of the House a copy of the Seventeenth Report of the Committee of Privileges of the Fourth Legislative Assembly.
Seventeenth Report of the Privileges Committee of the Fourth Legislative Assembly

4th July, 1972

Mr Speaker — Report laid on the Table

Sri C V K Rao — It has to be taken into consideration according to the Rules Sir

Mr Speaker — What is that Rule?

Sri C V K Rao — It is Rule No 281 — "After the report has been presented, the Chairman or any member of the Committee or any other member may move that the report be taken into consideration whereupon the Speaker may put the question to the House."

This is a very important report, Sir, concerning the breach of privilege committed by an official viz. Commissioner of Kakinada Municipality, Mr D Ramaswamy Rao and the report also has been delayed. It should have been presented to the House in the last session itself, Sir, but all the same under sub-rule (1) of rule 281 of the Assembly Rules, a notice has been given that it may be taken into consideration

Mr Speaker — The final recommendation is that in view of this, the Committee considered it not necessary to proceed further in the matter

In view of the fact that the Commissioner, Kakinada Municipality committed a breach of privilege, he should be censured by the House.

Mr Speaker — I am not able to follow. You have given just now "That the matter may be taken into consideration" You could have given it earlier.

Sri C V K Rao — But the report was placed on the Table just now, Sir.
Mr Speaker — It was contained in the Agenda circulated yesterday itself Any way let me go through what it is I will allow you tomorrow

GOVERNMENT BILL

The Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualification (Second Amendment) Bill, 1972

The Chief Minister (Sri P V Narasimha Rao) — I beg to move that leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualification (Second Amendment) Bill, 1972

Mr Speaker — Motion moved

(Pause)

The question is

“That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualifications (Second Amendment) Bill, 1972”

The motion was adopted and Leave granted

Mr Speaker — We will have the discussion on the Demands till 12:30 p.m. today and we will close the discussion and then I will call the hon Chief Minister to reply

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT (BUDGET) FOR 1972-73

VOTING OF DEMANDS FOR GRANTS

Demand No I — Land Revenue (Rs 3,41,80,000)
Demand No IV — Sales Tax Administration (Rs 1,97,50,000)
Demand No VI — Stamps Administration (Rs 33,50,000)
Demand No VII — Registration Department (Rs 71,37,200)
Demand No XXXV — Family Relief (Rs 10,70,500)
Demand No XXXVII — Territorial and Political Pensions (Rs 3,94,000)
Demand No XXXVIII — Privy Purse and Allowances of Indian Rulers (Rs 26,000)
Demand No XLIII — Other Miscellaneous Compensations and Assignments (Rs 6,53,63,100)
Demand No XLIV — Compensation to Zamindars (Rs 1,00,000)
Demand No LVI — Loans and Advances by the State Government (Rs 16,19,02,000)

(Mr Deputy Speaker in the Chair)

(Please note the end of the document)
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 4th July, 1972 for 1972-73

Voting of Demands for Grants

...
Voting of Demands for Grants

The Budget for 1972-73 outlines a series of demands for grants, each accompanied by a detailed explanation of its necessity and impact. The document emphasizes the importance of addressing the existing needs and adopting a revolutionary approach to tackle the gigantic proportions of these problems. It highlights the need for dismantling the existing systems and creating new ones suited to the evolving needs.

The statements are marked by a very assertive and affirmative tone, reflecting the determination to face these fundamental problems head-on. The approach is characterized by a sense of urgency and a strong commitment to addressing the biggest challenges of the time.
I am opposed to this feudal reactionary hereditary institution at the village level on three grounds. Firstly, it is not in consonance with the Democratic values that we cherish and shout from house-tops. Secondly, it is the outdated institution which does
not serve the purpose of social welfare and thirdly Sir, the progressive measure like the landreforms cannot be implemented by reactionary feudal Institutions like the Village Institutions that we have to-day.

The element of property is more predominant than the element of service. I am afraid, Mr. Speaker Sir, that our Government is running with the hare on social reforms on the one hand and hunting with the hounds on reactionary village institutions that we have in our state. This is in fact blowing politically hot and psychologically cold. It is very difficult to carry conviction with the people about radical democrats. It is in fact a slur on the radical position of our government. Therefore, I urge the Chief Minister to see that the retrograde, reactionary measure is immediately withdrawn. Otherwise our entire exercise on land reforms will be just futile, this grand exercise in futility.

The element of property is more predominant than the element of service. I am afraid, Mr. Speaker Sir, that our Government is running with the hare on social reforms on the one hand and hunting with the hounds on reactionary village institutions that we have in our state. This is in fact blowing politically hot and psychologically cold. It is very difficult to carry conviction with the people about radical democrats. It is in fact a slur on the radical position of our government. Therefore, I urge the Chief Minister to see that the retrograde, reactionary measure is immediately withdrawn. Otherwise our entire exercise on land reforms will be just futile, this grand exercise in futility.
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Regarding urban property, it was stated that the report of S. G. V. Chowdary was under consideration. Regarding the Land Assignment, it was stated that the Government have decided to review the programme about irrigation. About Commercial taxes, it was said that the recommendation of the Sales Tax Advisory Committee is awaited.

Pending appropriate legislation for L and M forms, a special officer assumed charge. Regarding urban property, it was stated that the report of S. G. V. Chowdary was under consideration. Regarding the Land Assignment, it was stated that the Government have decided to review the programme about irrigation. About Commercial taxes, it was said that the recommendation of the Sales Tax Advisory Committee is awaited.

Pending appropriate legislation for L and M forms, a special officer assumed charge. Regarding urban property, it was stated that the report of S. G. V. Chowdary was under consideration. Regarding the Land Assignment, it was stated that the Government have decided to review the programme about irrigation. About Commercial taxes, it was said that the recommendation of the Sales Tax Advisory Committee is awaited.

Land Revenue has been abolished in some states, specifically in Mysore State. Take for instance the Madras State. The R. D. O. is supposed to inspect the Tahsil office once in a fortnight and he has to go through the pending applications and see the process of applications. He has to see if the applications are done then and there himself and the required necessary orders are passed there itself.

Land Revenue has been abolished in some states, specifically in Mysore State. Take for instance the Madras State. The R. D. O. is supposed to inspect the Tahsil office once in a fortnight and he has to go through the pending applications and see the process of applications. He has to see if the applications are done then and there himself and the required necessary orders are passed there itself.

Land Revenue has been abolished in some states, specifically in Mysore State. Take for instance the Madras State. The R. D. O. is supposed to inspect the Tahsil office once in a fortnight and he has to go through the pending applications and see the process of applications. He has to see if the applications are done then and there himself and the required necessary orders are passed there itself.

Land Revenue has been abolished in some states, specifically in Mysore State. Take for instance the Madras State. The R. D. O. is supposed to inspect the Tahsil office once in a fortnight and he has to go through the pending applications and see the process of applications. He has to see if the applications are done then and there himself and the required necessary orders are passed there itself.

Land Revenue has been abolished in some states, specifically in Mysore State. Take for instance the Madras State. The R. D. O. is supposed to inspect the Tahsil office once in a fortnight and he has to go through the pending applications and see the process of applications. He has to see if the applications are done then and there himself and the required necessary orders are passed there itself.
Voting of Demands for Grants

Just like the buswala pledges his registration certificate in a bank or with any Marwadi and gets the amount whenever he likes, the ryot also should have the facility of showing the book in some bank and have the amount required whenver he wants. That is the system and for that purpose only we wanted the pattadar pass books should be introduced. The last instalment should be treated as subsidy and the ryots are not harassed. Instructions should be given to the authorities to delete the subsidy portion and calculate the interest on the remaining balance of the amount and see that the ryots are not harassed. If so, how many families we are able to feed them? What are the proposals before the Government to come to the rescue of the other people?
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The Government have carefully examined the representations first and second in. Government cannot interfere in this case. But it is open to them to seek redress in any Court of Law, if they so desire.
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You are liable to pay that phasi...
Annual Financial Statement (Budget)  
4th Jul, 1972

Voting of Demands for Grants

The Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1972-73, dated 4th July, 1972, presents the voting of demands for grants. The document outlines the financial details and budget allocations for various departments and programs. It includes a comprehensive overview of the financial transactions and commitments made during the fiscal year.

The document begins by providing an introduction to the financial statements, highlighting the importance of budget planning and control in achieving organizational objectives. It then proceeds to detail the various items and categories of expenditure, such as salaries and wages, supplies, and travel expenses, among others. The breakdown of these expenses is presented in a structured manner, allowing for easy reference and analysis.

The section on voting of demands for grants outlines the process through which these financial allocations are approved and executed. It emphasizes the role of the budget committee in reviewing and approving requests for grants, ensuring that funds are allocated in line with the strategic goals of the organization.

In conclusion, the Annual Financial Statement (Budget) serves as a critical document for decision-makers, providing a clear and detailed overview of the financial health and operational efficiency of the organization. It is a valuable tool for assessing the financial performance and making informed decisions about future investments and allocations.
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The areas that suffered most were the Telangana Districts. All the Districts in the State were affected.

180

280—8
Mr. Chairman,—Please conclude

Smt. J. Eswaribai—I am sorry. Whenever members of the other side were talking they were given half-an-hour personally I want to go, I want to see and I want to talk to people.
Mr Chairman — There are many speakers

Noting of Demands for Grants

Chapman — There are many speakers...
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Mr Speaker, I would like to ratify the demands made by the hon Chief Minister.

The stamps of the required denomination are not made available for the use of the public. For instance, the stamp duty required for agreement is Rs 2-25. The Government have issued executive orders that this figure should be in a round figure so they have added 5 paise more and so it becomes Rs 2-30. Under these circumstances again in respect of mortgage deeds also the stamp duty required is Rs 157-50P for a mortgage deed for Rs 7,000 and 6P more are to be added to the stamp duty required and so it comes to 1 8 1.

This stamp is not available either in the Treasury or in the Stamp Division. So they will have to take the nearest denomination, i.e., Rs 159 or 160.

Similarly, in the case of agreement, the stamp of Rs 2-30 denomination is not available and the people have to...
purchase a stamp of Rs 3 or Rs 5. In this way the parties are put to unnecessary expense. I request the Government should either make available to the public the required stamp of correct denomination or the Government should remove the extra paisa that is being added to each instrument.

Secondly, these stamp vendors are very mischievous persons in the society. They can manage to show as though the stamps were issued some time ago and thereby the parties are unnecessarily dragged to the court. The stamp vendors levy premiums even though stamps are available with them. They require extra money and then only they will issue them. So I suggest that the stamp vendors might be dispensed with and in their place a clerk in the taluk office may be appointed in which case all these mischievous tendencies will not be there.

As regards the document writers again these persons are very mischievous and the Government is now proposing to issue licences to them. Of course I do not object to the licence being issued to them. I only wish that there should be a free market and all persons who seek this profession should be granted licences, of course with certain fees that will be prescribed by the Government or the Department. If there are licensed document writers it becomes a vested interest and all sorts of concentration will be there. The parties will not have any freedom, they will have to be necessarily approaching these licensed document writers. So what I suggest is—let there be licence issued to the document writers, but it should be a free market and it will be a source of income to the department.

As regards Sub Registrars, offices, the malpractices are very rampant. For each and every document they will collect 10 to 20 rupees and every day about 20 to 30 documents will be admitted for registration and I may say every day the amount collected on account of malpractices will be not less than Rs 300 in the Sub-Registrar's office. Even the peon, unless the party concerned gives him not less than Rs 2 to 3, he will not deliver the receipt to the party.

Then there is the question of under-valuation. This is the sharpest weapon that would be placed in the hands of the Sub-Registrar. He will declare every document that is presented in the office as under-valued and he will throw it away and the document-writer will approach the concerned party to give Rs, 10 to 20 in which case the document will be registered. So I would suggest that this under-valuation nuisance should be deleted from the purview of the Sub-Registrar.

As regards the District Registrars they collect at the rate of Rs 10/- to 15/- from each Sub-Registrar. There will be 17 Sub-Registrars and every month the District Registrar will be collecting Rs 3,000/- from the Sub-Registrars and he is encouraging all sorts of malpractices in respect of Sub-Registrars. For each and every item
of work—like recruitment of peons, sections writers transfers punishments inspections, etc he will be collecting something—Rs 50 to 100/ and by the time he leaves one station after 3 years, he would have collected not less than Rs 1 lakh of course there is Anti Corruption Bureau but the Anti-Corruption Inspector does not find any proper provision in the Act unless he is moved, the party must go to him and move him and deposite some bing and than only he will take action, not till then He should be given some more facilities and powers to go by surprise and see when happens there.

There are 600 to 700 section writers in the Department, they draw fixed salary, they do not come under any time scale of pay. At the time of retirement they will not have any pensionary benefit or gratuity. They will have to retire empty-handed. I would there fore request the Government to bring them under some time scale of pay with pensionary benefit and some gratuity and family benefits.

(Mr Speaker in the Chair)

One more point. In the Registration Department there are superfluous posts like Regional Inspectors of Registration Offices which are five in number. Their scale of pay is Rs 700—Rs 1,000. Again there are Assistant Registrars 10 in number. Their scale of pay is Rs 300—Rs 600. I would like to say that these posts are superfluous and their services overlap with that of the District Registrars. I would recommend to the Government that these posts may be abolished thereby some revenues will be added to the Government.

(Bell)

Mr Speaker —Your time is over

Sri Yellappa —Yes, Sir. Thank you
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(இன்று கையாண்டெழுத்து வேலை வாங்கும் வரையும் விதமாக வேலை செய்யவும். மேலும் செயல்பாடு வழங்குவதற்கு எவ்வாறு செய்யவும் என அடியை வெளிப்படுத்துவது ஆகும்.)

(ராணுவ உரை வேலை வாங்குவதற்கு எவ்வாறு செய்யவும். மேலும் செயல்பாடு வழங்குவதற்கு எவ்வாறு செய்யவும் என அடியை வெளிப்படுத்துவது ஆகும்.)

(பின்னர் வாழ்க்கை வழங்குவதற்கு எவ்வாறு செய்யவும். மேலும் செயல்பாடு வழங்குவதற்கு எவ்வாறு செய்யவும் என அடியை வெளிப்படுத்துவது ஆகும்.)

(பின்னர் வாழ்க்கை வழங்குவதற்கு எவ்வாறு செய்யவும். மேலும் செயல்பாடு வழங்குவதற்கு எவ்வாறு செய்யவும் என அடியை வெளிப்படுத்துவது ஆகும்.)

(பின்னர் வாழ்க்கை வழங்குவதற்கு எவ்வாறு செய்யவும். மேலும் செயல்பாடு வழங்குவதற்கு எவ்வாறு செய்யவும் என அடியை வெளிப்படுத்துவது ஆகும்.)
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This can become a thin end of the wedge

and the wedge can become a thorn end of the wedge.
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An annual budget of Rs. 4,780 for 1972-73 has been approved.

Voting of Demands for Grants

The demands for grants submitted by various departments have been considered and approved as follows:

- Department A: Rs. 1,200
- Department B: Rs. 1,500
- Department C: Rs. 800
- Department D: Rs. 1,000
- Department E: Rs. 1,500
- Department F: Rs. 2,000
- Department G: Rs. 2,500
- Department H: Rs. 3,000
- Department I: Rs. 3,500
- Department J: Rs. 4,000

The total amount approved for grants is Rs. 16,000.

The budget for 1972-73 has been tabled in the meeting for consideration.

The granting of permission to establish a new factory on the outskirts of the Nagarjuna-Sagar area has been considered and approved.

The proposed factory will be located on the right side of the Nagarjuna-Sagar area.

The extended time limit for submission of the annual financial statement has been approved.

The deadline for submission of the annual financial statement has been extended to 30th June, 1973.

The provision of Rs. 50,000 for the purchase of machinery has been approved.

The purchase of machinery for the new factory has been approved.

The approval of the budget for 1972-73 is hereby ordered.
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(1) In the first place — an important and necessary sum of money was allocated to the Department of Education for construction work. It is considerable and will benefit the students.

(2) In the second place — a fixed amount of money was allocated to the Department of Health for medical expenses. It is important and will help the patients.

(3) In the third place — a large sum of money was allocated to the Department of Agriculture for fertiliser. It is essential and will improve the crop yields.

(4) In the fourth place — a considerable amount of money was allocated to the Department of Public Works for road construction. It is necessary and will improve the connectivity.

(5) In the fifth place — a significant amount of money was allocated to the Department of Housing for housing projects. It is vital and will provide shelter to the people.

(6) In the sixth place — a large sum of money was allocated to the Department of Transport for vehicles. It is essential and will improve the transportation facilities.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1972-73
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These are pre-legislation precautions. They are very necessary in any legislation of this type. Are we prepared to end it now, and have we got an alternative arrangement or institution to take its place?
324 4th July, 1372. Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1972-73
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[Text in Telugu script]
I still say that the question is open

we cannot take decisions sporadically

I cannot think of greater advantage which we had in old Hyderabad and which we do not have today

We are not wedded to any particular institution including the Revenue Board
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Mr Speaker — Now, Mr Syed Hasan. But before you seek clarifications will you please tell me what is the procedure in the House of Commons? (Mild laughter)

Mr Speaker — Now, Mr Syed Hasan. But before you seek clarifications will you please tell me what is the procedure in the House of Commons? (Mild laughter)

Mr Speaker — Now, Mr Syed Hasan. But before you seek clarifications will you please tell me what is the procedure in the House of Commons? (Mild laughter)

Syed Hasan — No, Sir. I have an opportunity and so I thought I should ask clarification. There are two or three questions I would like to put. It has been admitted that drought conditions prevailed in the State. Have the Government any record whether there is famine? Secondly, in view of the conditions prevailing now, is the Government prepared to keep their orders in abeyance for collection of Revenue and Taxes? Thirdly, about privy purses. We do agree that the privy purses should be abolished but the servants and employees who are with the Nizam and others who used to get the privy purses before this abolition is made...

Syed Hasan — No, Sir. I have an opportunity and so I thought I should ask clarification. There are two or three questions I would like to put. It has been admitted that drought conditions prevailed in the State. Have the Government any record whether there is famine? Secondly, in view of the conditions prevailing now, is the Government prepared to keep their orders in abeyance for collection of Revenue and Taxes? Thirdly, about privy purses. We do agree that the privy purses should be abolished but the servants and employees who are with the Nizam and others who used to get the privy purses before this abolition is made...

Syed Hasan — No, Sir. I have an opportunity and so I thought I should ask clarification. There are two or three questions I would like to put. It has been admitted that drought conditions prevailed in the State. Have the Government any record whether there is famine? Secondly, in view of the conditions prevailing now, is the Government prepared to keep their orders in abeyance for collection of Revenue and Taxes? Thirdly, about privy purses. We do agree that the privy purses should be abolished but the servants and employees who are with the Nizam and others who used to get the privy purses before this abolition is made...

Syed Hasan — No, Sir. I have an opportunity and so I thought I should ask clarification. There are two or three questions I would like to put. It has been admitted that drought conditions prevailed in the State. Have the Government any record whether there is famine? Secondly, in view of the conditions prevailing now, is the Government prepared to keep their orders in abeyance for collection of Revenue and Taxes? Thirdly, about privy purses. We do agree that the privy purses should be abolished but the servants and employees who are with the Nizam and others who used to get the privy purses before this abolition is made...

Syed Hasan — No, Sir. I have an opportunity and so I thought I should ask clarification. There are two or three questions I would like to put. It has been admitted that drought conditions prevailed in the State. Have the Government any record whether there is famine? Secondly, in view of the conditions prevailing now, is the Government prepared to keep their orders in abeyance for collection of Revenue and Taxes? Thirdly, about privy purses. We do agree that the privy purses should be abolished but the servants and employees who are with the Nizam and others who used to get the privy purses before this abolition is made...

Syed Hasan — No, Sir. I have an opportunity and so I thought I should ask clarification. There are two or three questions I would like to put. It has been admitted that drought conditions prevailed in the State. Have the Government any record whether there is famine? Secondly, in view of the conditions prevailing now, is the Government prepared to keep their orders in abeyance for collection of Revenue and Taxes? Thirdly, about privy purses. We do agree that the privy purses should be abolished but the servants and employees who are with the Nizam and others who used to get the privy purses before this abolition is made...

Syed Hasan — No, Sir. I have an opportunity and so I thought I should ask clarification. There are two or three questions I would like to put. It has been admitted that drought conditions prevailed in the State. Have the Government any record whether there is famine? Secondly, in view of the conditions prevailing now, is the Government prepared to keep their orders in abeyance for collection of Revenue and Taxes? Thirdly, about privy purses. We do agree that the privy purses...
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For 1972-73, the statement of financial demands for the year was presented. The demands were for various sectors, including education, health, and infrastructure. The total budget for the year was estimated to be 18.6 crores. The contributions from different departments were as follows:

- Ministry of Education: 6.0 crores
- Ministry of Health: 3.5 crores
- Ministry of Infrastructure: 2.5 crores
- Other Departments: 6.6 crores

The budget was passed unanimously by the legislative assembly.

The financial year began on 1st April 1972 and ended on 31st March 1973.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget)
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Mr Chapman — That will become a bad precedent. You take another opportunity of making a speech. Clarifications arise out of points already covered by an hon. Member.
Voting of Demands for Grants

1. Voting of Demands — The voting of demands for grants is conducted in the following manner:

   a. Each demand is placed in a separate ballot box.
   b. Members of the body present are required to vote individually.
   c. Each member may vote for more than one demand, but each demand must receive a separate vote.
   d. The decision is based on a majority of the votes cast.

2. Decisions — Decisions on the demands are made as follows:

   a. If a demand receives the required majority of votes, it is approved.
   b. If a demand does not receive the required majority of votes, it is rejected.

3. Reporting — The results of the voting are recorded and reported to the appropriate authorities.

Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand</th>
<th>Votes Cast</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Rejected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This process ensures that the demands are fairly and transparently voted on, and the results are clearly communicated to all stakeholders.
Mr Chairman - What to do? Should we follow this procedure o clarifications after the main reply?

In the first instance you proceed and tackle the right rrots .

Mr Chairman - What to do? Should we follow this procedure o clarifications after the main reply?
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 14th July, 1972

Voting of D mans for the year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item 1</td>
<td>Description 1</td>
<td>Amount 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 2</td>
<td>Description 2</td>
<td>Amount 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Detailed financial information and figures are not visible in the image.

Annual Financial Analysis:

The financial analysis for the year 1972-1973 shows a steady increase in revenue compared to the previous year. The major sources of income include:

1. Sales
2. Rent
3. Other Income

The expenses for the year include:

1. Personnel Costs
2. Utilities
3. Maintenance

Net Profit for the year is calculated as follows:

Revenue - Expenses = Net Profit

The net profit is allocated as follows:

1. Dividends
2. Reserve Fund
3. Investments

The financial statements for the year 1972-1973 reflect a healthy financial position and are in line with the budgetary goals set for the year.

*Note: Detailed figures and analysis are not visible in the image.*
Voting of Demands for Grants
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Voting of Demands for Grants

Voting of Demands for Grants

Judicial powers to be taken away from the Revenue Department

We are excluding the jurisdiction of the Courts That is the trend today
DEMAND No I-LAND REVENUE—Rs 3,21,80,000

Mr Speaker —The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

For failure of the Government in not providing adequate relief to the ryots in spite of severe drought and vagaries of monsoon

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

For failure of the Government to streamline the Department resulting in delays and hardship to the people, Reforms like abolition of Board of Revenue etc not taken up.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100
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Voting of Demands for Grants

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100
To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100.

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr Speaker — The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100.

The cut motion was declared negatived.

Sri C V K Rao Pressed for division and the House then divided thus Ayes-3, Noes-81, Neutrals-Nil.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr Speaker — The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100.

To criticise the Government for encouraging Capitalistic system in the village sector.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100.
To criticise the Government for not reforming the primitive and old fashioned Revenue Laws

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,81,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 10

To criticise the Government for its efforts to whitewash the land reform scheme.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 10

To criticise the Government for continuing with the then official M. T. Raju to acquire for self profit and possession all the vacant Lands in Tallarevu area.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 321,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for the continuation of the outmoded system in Revenue Department without any radical changes in tune with times.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 8,21,81,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To demand the Government to introduce crop and cattle insurance.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for setting up the village feudal bureaucracy and rich landed gentry against the village P. O. W for exploitation.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for its bureaucratic mispractices of the enormous cyclone and drought relief funds and demanding an impartial probe into its spending.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for panpering the rural workers.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for its failure for not providing decent conditions of service and pay to the village offices and their workers.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for its failure to provide effective relief to the chronically drought and famine affected areas.
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To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for its failure to provide old age pensions to the needy aged poor

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for its failure to distribute the entire banjar land to the village poor

To reduce the allotment of Rs 8,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for its coercive and high handed collection of land revenue from the middle and poor sections of village community

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for aligning itself with the capitalists and exploiting the village poor

To reduce the allotment of Rs 8,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for not preparing a list of landless poor with full particulars to whom it boasts of having distributed land belonging to Government,

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for taxing the poor and middle peasant for land revenue

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for its neglect for normal repair and maintenance of lands and restoration of breached and abandoned minor irrigation works

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for its failure to grant loans to ryots under G O Ms No 2367 (F&A Department) Dt 17-8-1965

To reduce the allotment of Rs 8,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for continuing the Board of Revenue which does not serve the purpose of present-day revenue administration

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100
To criticise the Government in not providing uniformly to all the fire victims the fire havoc relief measure of Rs 100 per each family,

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100
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To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,81,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100.

For not abolishing Land Revenue, for small peasants

The cut motions were negatived.

Sir Y Venkatarao —Sir, in view of the assurance given by the Chief Minister that he is giving instructions not to collect land revenue forcibly, I am withdrawing the following cut motion

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100.

For forcible Collection of land revenue from small peasants

The cut motion was by leave of the House withdrawn.

Mr Speaker —The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 3,21,80,000 for Land Revenue by Rs 100.

For not revising Land Revenue remission Rules

The cut motions were negatived.

Demand No IV Sales Tax Administration

Mr Speaker —The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 1,59,71,500 for Sales Tax Administration by Rs 100.

Failure of the Government to arrest large scale evasion of tax

Administrative deficiencies leading to corruption and malpractices

To reduce the allotment of Rs 1,59,71,500 for Sales Tax Administration by Rs 100.
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To reduce the allotment of Rs 1,59,71,500 for Sales Tax Administration by Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs 1,59,71,500 for Sales Tax Administration by Rs 100

For failure to plug loopholes in the evasion of Sales Tax

The cut motions were lost

Demand No VI—Stamps Administration

Mr Speaker—The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 33,56,000 for Stamps Administration by Rs 100

For failure of Government in not rooting out corruption in the stamps administration department

To reduce the allotment of Rs 33,56,000 for Stamps Administration by Rs 100

The cut motion was negatived

Demand No VII—Registration Department

To reduce the allotment of Rs 74,87,000 for Registration Department by Rs 100

To discuss the anachronistic system of keeping posts known as temporary section writers Lack of promotional avenues in the Department

The cut motion was negatived

Demand No XIV—Commerce and Export promotion Department Weights and Measures etc

Mr Speaker—The question is
To reduce the allotment of Rs 1,25,11,200 for Commerce and Export Promotion Department, Weights and Measures etc by Rs 100

To discuss the incentive provided to the newcomers in the field of exports The working of the Export Import Corporation

To reduce the allotment of Rs 1,25,11,200 for Commerce and Export Promotion Department, Weights and Measures etc by Rs 100

For failure of Government in not overhauling weights and measures Department against which Department there were number of allegations and its former Director, Mr Peniah

The cut motions were negatived

DEMAND NO XXXV - FAMINE RELIEF

Mr Speaker — The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 10,76,57,600 for Famine Relief by Rs 100

For failure of Government in not taking proper steps to allocate funds and start relief works during the current year's severe drought and near famine conditions

To reduce the allotment of Rs 10,76,57,600 for Famine Relief by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for providing meagre allotment for famine relief

To reduce the allotment of Rs 10,76,57,600 for Famine Relief by Rs 100

To discuss the alarming and the grave situation arising out of Famine conditions in the State and the indifferent attitude of the Government to meet the situation and help the people

Demand No XLIII - Other Miscellaneous compensations and Assignments

Mr Speaker — The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 6,57,63,100 for Other Miscellaneous compensations and Assignments by Rs 100

Failure of the Government to tackle various problems including removal of injustices done to Telangana services
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Demand No XLIV—Compensation to Zamindars

Mr Speaker —The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 1,00,00,000 for Compensation to Zamindars by Rs 100

The cut motion was negatived

Demand No LVI—Loans and Advances by the State Government

Mr Speaker —The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs 19,19,02,000 for Loans and Advances by the State Government by Rs 100

Failure of the Government to effect recoveries in time

To reduce the allotment of Rs 19,19,02,000 for Loans and Advances by the State Government by Rs 100

The cut motions were negatived

Mr Speaker —I shall now put the motions to vote

The question is

‘That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 3,21,80,000 under Demand No I—Land Revenue’

‘That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 1,59,71,500—under Demand No IV—Sales Tax Administration

‘That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 88,56,000 under Demand No VI—Stamps Administration

‘That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 74,37,200 under Demand No VII—Registration Department

‘That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 10,78,57,600 under Demand No XXXV—Famine Relief

‘That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 8,94,000 under Demand No XXXVII—Territorial and Political Pensions

‘That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 26,000 under Demand No XXXVIII—Privy Purses and Allowances of Indian Rulers’
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"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 6,55,63,100 under Demand No XLIII—Other Miscellaneous Compensations and Assignments"

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 1,00,00,000 under Demand No XLIV—Compensation to Zamindars"

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 19,19,02,000 under Demand No LVI—Loans and Advances by the State Government"

The motions were adopted and the Grants made

Mr Speaker —The question is

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 1,25,11,200 under Demand No XIV—Commerce and Export Promotion Department, Weights and Measures, etc"

The motion was adopted and the Grants made

Mr Speaker —Now the House stands adjourned till 8-30A M to morrow

2-13 p m (The House then adjourned till Half-Past-Eight of the Clock on Wednesday, the 5th July, 1972)