ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS.

Sri T. Ramaswamy:— Sir, with your permission.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— What happened to the Chief Minister, Sir? This is the question to be answered by the Chief Minister.

Mr. Speaker:— The Minister for Panchayat Raj is answering on behalf of the Chief Minister.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— When he is coming today, why should it not be put off? This is an important question.

Mr. Speaker:— Once the question is included in the list, there is no question of putting off unless it be under extraordinary circumstances. If the Chief Minister had asked for, I would have done it or if the Members had asked I would have done it......Now, the Minister for Panchayat Raj will answer.

501—

DECLARATION OF WEALTH AND PROPERTIES OF MINISTERS

* 1513 (1667-Y) Q.—Sarvasri Badri Vishal Pitti (Maharajan gunj) P. Narasinga Rao, (Husurabad) G. B. Appa Rao, Jani Bura-gadda Niranjana Rao (Malleswaram) and Smt. J. Eshwari Bal (Yellareddy):— Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Ministers of Andhra Pradesh have declared the particulars of their wealth and property;

(b) if so, for which years;

(c) whether the said particulars will be placed on the Table of the House;

(d) if the answer to clause (a) is in the negative, whether the Government are contemplating to see that the Ministers will declare their wealth, etc.

(e) if so, from which year;
(f) whether all the Ministers have filed their income tax, wealth tax returns in time; and

(g) if not, the names of the Ministers who have failed to file their returns in time?

THE MINISTER FOR PANCHAYATRAJ DEPTNISED THE CHIEF MINISTER AND ANSWERED THE QUESTION

(T. Rama Swamy):—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Upto the year ended 31-3-1970.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) & (e) :— Do not arise.

(f) & (g) Under sub-section (4) of Section 3 of the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualifications (Amendment) Act, 1968 the Income Tax payable by the Chief Minister, Deputy Chief Minister and other ministers in so far as it relates to the salaries, allowances and perquisites mentioned therein shall be borne by the State Government. In pursuance of the above provision, the State Government have furnished necessary particulars regarding the salaries and allowances paid to the Ministers to the Income Tax Department for assessment of the Income Tax payable by the Government on the salary income of the Ministers. The State Government are not concerned with the filing of Income tax and Wealth tax returns by the Ministers or others.

Under what provision of the Constitution?

According to the rule, these returns have to be filed before the Chief Minister.
Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy: (Dornakal) In this connection whether the Administrative Reforms Committee has suggested that the particulars of wealth etc. of the ministers should be placed on the Table of the House and in addition......

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— Whether any recommendation has been made by the Administrative Reforms Committee in this connection, that is my question,

Sri T. Ramaswamy:— I do not know, Sir. I want notice for this.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— This is an important question, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:— It is true. But, then you are asking about the recommendations of the Administrative Reforms Committee?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— He can say, whether they have recommended or not or whether they have accepted the recommendations. He is totally denying.

Mr. Speaker:— He is not denying. He says: “I do not know what the recommendations of the Administrative Reforms Committee are. With reference to that he will be able to answer. You are asking about the recommendation of the A. R. C. and you say it is one of the recommendations. He says I am not in a position to say that.

It is the responsibility of the Minister and the officers concerned to take action against the Ministers. Ministers are not exempt. Like ordinary citizens, action against the Ministers will be taken.
Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— Whether they have filed in time or not, is the question. That question can be answered by getting the information.

Sri T. Ramaswamy:— That is not here. If they want, I will ask the Chief Minister to find out and inform.

Sri S. Vemayya:— May I know the particular rule under which he is taking protection.

Sri T. Ramaswamy:— There is a code of conduct for the Ministers. That does not say that it should be placed on the Table.

Sri T. Ramaswamy:— Every year these returns are being filed. I don't know who is the richest man.

Sri T. Ramaswamy:— There is nothing fishy, Sir. According to that rule, it need not be placed. If the opinion of the House is that it should be placed, we will consider and then take a decision.

May I know whether these declarations are filed annually or at the beginning of the Ministry formation or whether any statement is filed. Who is the richest man amongst the Ministers?
of the House. If the opinion of the House is that it should be placed on the Table of the House, we will consider.

Sri T. Ramaswamy:— There is a communication from the Government of India. Under the Constitution, there is a provision that the Ministers should follow certain code of conduct. We are following that procedure.

Smt. J. Iswari Bai:— Have these Ministers paid the Profession Tax? Why they are exempt from this tax?

Sri T. Ramaswamy:— I have been paying every year.

Smt. J. Iswari Bai:— Under Code of conduct of Ministers they only inform their organisation of their assets.

Sri T. Ramaswamy:— It is a separate question. It does not relate to administration.

Smt. J. Iswari Bai:— How many of these Ministers had lands as political sufferers? Who are the Ministers having accounts in Banks in Foreign countries?
Sri T. Ramaswamy:— That is a policy decision to be taken. I will tell the Chief Minister what the sense of the House is.

Mr. Speaker:— I know this question is going to take some time. If you all get up at a time, what I can do?

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapati Rao, (Vijayawada-East):— It is only to request you to direct the Minister to postpone this matter for further reply when the Chief Minister is available in the House.

Mr. Speaker:— I will inform the Chief Minister the sense of the members. After the Chief Minister comes, they will be placed on the Table of the House if the Chief Minister agrees.

Mr. Speaker:— Prime Minister in the case of Chief Minister, as far as the Chief Minister is concerned.
Oral Answers to Question 20th August 1971

Mr. Speaker: — No question of postponement.

Mr. Speaker: — The Caesar's wife must be above suspicion.

Mr. Speaker: — Please take note of it.

Mr. Speaker: — The Caesar's wife must be above suspicion.

Mr. Speaker: — The Caesar's wife must be above suspicion.
Mr. Speaker:— The Minister said, 'He made a very good suggestion; we will certainly consider it.'

Mr. Speaker:— Before the question comes up for answer in the House and for any difficulty the Members ask for postponement I would have considered. In the case of Mr. Badri Visala Pitti, he said that on a particular day it would not be possible for him to be present in the House and so he asked for postponement.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— The request was made in the beginning itself.

Mr. Speaker:— It is not because the Chief Minister is not present in the House and so he wanted the question to be postponed. Regarding the other case, one week before the question was to come in the House Sri Badri Visala Pitti said that it would not be possible for him to be present on that day and so he requested for the question to be postponed: and I complied with his request. Even in this case, one day or two days earlier if he had sent me a request I would have considered.

Mr. Speaker:— It is not for me to inform the House everytime a request is made that so and so Member is absent.
Mr. Speaker:—So far as the Cabinet is concerned, there will be joint responsibility; if one Minister is absent another Minister asks my permission and it is granted. In this case, after the Chief Minister comes, I will ask him to make an announcement with regard to the policy. Then we shall see what is to be done.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—

Concerned Minister—Chief Minister absent. What are the compelling reasons that made the Chief Minister to be absent. Where is the notice given to us. For everything the Speaker demands notice form the Members. Should not the Speaker get a noticespeaker from the Chief Minister?

Mr. Speaker:—What he asks is so far as the private income is concerned, whether he has been submitting his returns.

Sri T. Ramaswamy:—Private income income - tax Return sumit ende. It is an offence under the Income-Tax Act. For the information of Member, I may tell him that I have submitted Wealth Tax Return and Income-Tax Return.

FACILITIES PROVIDED TO MILITARY PERSONNEL

(a) the facilities and privileges to the Military personnel in the matter of State Services;

(b) whether the Government is giving any special consideration to the personnel having Military service who are working or joining the State Services; and
(e) The number of Military Personnel working or joined the Stat Services?

Sri T. Rama Swamy:—

(a) and (b);— A statement is laid on the table of the House.

(c) The number of Military personnel working in the various Government offices is 206,


In the case of State Government employees who render military duty during the National Emergency and return to their respective services, the period spent in military service is counted for purposes of seniority, confirmation and promotion to the civil posts in their parent services. The period of military duty rendered by them also counts for purposes of pension in the parent service.

Non-regular/purely temporary military service not rendered in conjunction with war service in the Army, Navy and Air Force will count in full towards civil pension if such service is followed with or without interruptions by appointment to and eventual confirmation in a pensionable post in civil service subject to the following:

(i) The officer concerned should not have earned a pension under the military rules in respect of the service in question;

(ii) in the case of service or posts in respect of which a minimum age is fixed for recruitment, no military service rendered below that age shall be allowed to count for pension; and

(iii) if the officer has been granted any retirement gratuity in respect of such service, such gratuity shall be refundable.

Apart from the above concessions, the following further concessions have been extended to persons who have rendered military service during the period 1962 to 1965:

(i) War Service candidates have been given the first order of preference in the matter of selection through the Employment Exchanges;

(ii) Relaxation of age limit upto 3 years in excess of the prescribed upper age limit in addition to the period of service rendered by them in the Defence Services of the Indian Union so as to make
them eligible for appointment by direct recruitment. This concession was limited up to the end of May 1971 in the case of those who are discharged Emergency Commissioned Officers or Short Service Regular Commissioned Officers of Defence Services of the Indian union and who have attained the age of 21 years and up to the end of June 1971 in the case of ex-servicemen. The question of further extending this age concession is under consideration of the Govt.

(iii) Nine percent of the vacancies to be filled by direct recruitment in all the non-technical gazetted posts of this State are reserved for the discharged Emergency Commissioned Officers or Short Service Regular Commissioned Officers of the Defence Service personnel of the Indian Union for a period of 4 years from 30th May, 1967. Similarly 9% of the vacancies to be filled by direct recruitment to the clerical posts including the posts of typists are reserved or being filled by Ex-Servicemen for a period from 17-6-1967 to 30-6-1971. Consequent on the issue of orders in regard to reservation of vacancies for Backward classes the percentage of reservation for Emergency Commissioned Officers and Ex-servicemen was reduced to 2 in G. O. No. 1793; Education (B. C. C.) dated 23-9-70. This G. O. has since been struck down by the A. P, High Court and the Government have filed an appeal against the High Court's judgement. The continuance of the scheme of reservation of vacancies in favour of Emergency Commissioned Officers/Ex-Servicemen beyond May/June 1971 is separately under consideration of the Government.

(iv) 50% of the permanent vacancies in the Engineering and Medical Services to be filled by direct recruitment have been reserved for graduate engineers and doctors who are commissioned in the Armed Forces and released later. Such graduate engineers and doctors, when recruited to the Engineering and Medical Services of this State, are given seniority treating them as belonging to the year in which they would have been appointed to the posts at the first possible attempt after the date of their joining the military service.
So far as the Medical and Health Services Department is concerned there are 13 people as Civil Assistant Surgeons, four people as Secretaries and Treasurers, Grade II, seven Superintendents, four Upper Division Clerks, and so on.

STEEL PLANT AT VIZAG

* 1504 (1667-L) Q.—Sarvarsi K. Govinda Rao, Poolla Subbaiah, Badri Vishal Pitti, C. V. K. Rao, P. Sanyasi Rao, Dhanenkula Narasimham, Pragada Kotalih and Smt. J. Eshwari Bai:— Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether site for location of Steel Plant at Visakhapatnam had been finally fixed;

(b) if so, where, and what is the total extent of land required;

(c) what is the installed capacity of the Plant;

(d) what arrangement is sought to be made by D. B. K. Railway authorities to transport the required quantity of iron-ore from Byladilla: and

(e) what arrangements for supply of water have been made for meeting the industrial needs of the Steel Plant?

Sri T. Rama Swamy:—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) In between Balacheravu and Gazuvaka comprising two adjacent villages viz. Nadnapuru and Nellimukku villages. The Government of India have tentatively furnished the extent of land required as 9608.16 acres for the Steel Plant and about 6000 acres for the township.

(c) Two Million Tonnes per annum.

(d) The matter has not yet been taken up. This will be taken up in the Detailed Project Report of the consultants.

(e) The State Government have sent to the Government of India a scheme for the Diversion of Godavari water to Visakhapatnam, at an estimated cost of Rs. 13.43 crores. The Government of India have been requested for a loan of Rs. 13.40 crores.
for the scheme, The approval of the Government of India is awaited.

APPOINTMENTS FOR "STEEL PLANT"

504—

* 831 Q.—Sri P. Venkatasubbaiah:— Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) when will the steel plant at Visakhapatnam start production;

(b) how much does the steel plant cost;

(c) how does the Centre and the State share the expenditure;

(d) how many persons under different categories will be employed in the steel plant; and

(e) whether any preference will be given to the candidates belonging to Andhra Pradesh while giving appointments?

T. Ramaswamy:—

(a) The feasibility report for the Steel Plant is being prepared by the consultants appointed for this purpose, viz., M/s M.N. Dastur & Company Calcutta. The stage for further steps will arise after this Report is received and considered by the Government of India. At this stage, it will be difficult to state how long exactly it will take for the plant to go into production.

(b) About Rs. 500 crores.

(c) The entire cost will be borne by the Central Government.

(d) Roughly about ten thousand personnel will be employed in the Steel Plant. The Government of India have been addressed for the particulars of personnel in various categories; with requisite qualifications, so that the State Government could consider steps to train up local people to fit the job specifications. The Government of India's reply is awaited.

(e) Government will endeavour to see that the maximum possible number of personnel belonging to the State are employed in the Steel Plant.

ACQUISITION OF LAND FOR STEEL PLANT
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* 738 Q.—Sri P. Sanyasi Rao (Visakhapatnam-2):—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the extent of land that the Government propose to acquire in connection with the construction of a Steel plant at Balacheruvu,
Visakhapatnam and the number of ryots that will be affected thereby.

(b) in view of the fact that the Government have failed to issue pattas to the ryots who have been cultivating the lands for a long time, whether any proposal is under consideration of the Government to pay compensation to the ryots whose lands are to be acquired for the Steel Plant; and

(c) the steps that will be taken by the Government to provide employment for the ryots who are rendered unemployed by losing their lands due to the construction of Steel Plant in the said industry?

Sri T. Ramaswamy:

(a) The Government of India have tentatively furnished the extent of land required as 9608.16 acres for the construction of Steel Plant. About 2760 families will be affected.

(b) No compensation will be paid for Government lands.

(c) The State Government have addressed the Government of India to give preference to the ryots who will be displaced with regards to appointment to posts in the project for which they are suitable. The State Government will endeavour to see that preference to the extent possible is given to the ryots who are rendered unemployed on losing their lands consequent on the construction of the Steel Plant, in regard to employment in the Steel Plant.

The Collector has reported draft notifications to acquire private lands to the extent of 4604 acres and there are also Government lands to be transferred.
Oral Answers to Questions
20th August 1971

1. సి. 2. రా. అండర్‌విల్ద్యం:— లిబ్రరి బింభాల లేదా పదార్థాల కొట్టడానికి ప్రసాదం కేలుపోయాడు?

2. సి. 2. రా. అండర్విల్ద్యం: లిబ్రరీ బింభాల లేదా పదార్థాల కొట్టడానికి ప్రసాదం కేలుపోయాడు?

3. సి. 2. రా. అండర్విల్ద్యం:— కాలం సమయం లేదా పదార్థాల కొట్టడానికి ప్రసాదం కేలుపోయాడు?

4. సి. 2. రా. అండర్విల్ద్యం: కాలం సమయం లేదా పదార్థాల కొట్టడానికి ప్రసాదం కేలుపోయాడు?

5. సి. 2. రా. అండర్విల్ల్ద్యం: నిజం లేదా పదార్థాల కొట్టడానికి ప్రసాదం కేలుపోయాడు?

6. సి. 2. రా. అండర్విల్ల్ద్యం: నిజం లేదా పదార్థాల కొట్టడానికి ప్రసాదం కేలుపోయాడు?

7. సి. 2. రా. అండర్విల్ల్ద్యం: నిజం లేదా పదార్థాల కొట్టడానికి ప్రసాదం కేలుపోయాడు?
Sri P. Sanyasi Rao:— It is not Government land. The land was with the ryots for 50 to 60 years.

It is Government land; it is not private land. If it is Government land, no compensation will be paid and if it is private land, compensation will be paid.
Mr. Speaker:— If a particular land is under the occupation of a private person for more than 60 years, then he gets the right. If it's private adverse possession against a private individual, it is 12 years. If it's against the Government, it is 60 years. I am only telling you the rule as it is. I am explaining the rule.

because the information relating to that Committee is not with me here now.

The information is not here. If you put a separate question, I will certainly get the information.
Oral Answers of Questions

To whom does this lead belong?

Sri Badri Visala Pitti (Maharajgunj) — When will the steel plant at Visakhapatnam start production?
Mr. T. S. Manohar:— There is a provision for the development of Telangana region.

Mr. P. V. Narasimha Rao (Vidyanidhi):— Development of any region has to be planned for a certain time period. Are they planning to develop Telangana region now?

Mr. K. R. Narayana:— The basis for providing jobs to the Telangana people in the Andhra region?

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— Let us leave this to Mrs. Indira Gandhi.
FAILURE OF CROPS IN NELLORE DISTRICT

06 —

* 1495 (1653-V) Q. — Sri Dhanenkula Narasimham ;— Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that paddy and other crops are withering away for want of rains in Udayagiri, Kavali and Atmakur Taluks of Nellore District;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the ryots of these areas have requested the assistance of the Government to meet the threatening famine condition occasioned by the withering of the crops; and

(c) if so, the nature of the relief proposed to be rendered?

The Minister for Irrigation Leputised the Minister for Revenue and answered the question (Sri S. Siddharreddy.)

(a) Yes, Sir.

to some extent.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) The ryots of Ramapuram and Vempadu villages in Udayagiri taluk have represented, that the ayacut lands under Nakkalte gandi project were withering for lack of water in the project and that there is possibility of lifting water from Uppuvagu to save the standing crop in an extent of about 600 acres. Oil Engines of P. W. D. were supplied during December, 1970 to the ryots to lift water. But the crops eventually failed due to lack of adequate water in Uppuvagu.
FAILURE OF CROPS IN HARISCHANDRAPURAM CONSTITUENCY
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* 655 (1829) Q. — Sri K. Krishnamurthy: — Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether any memorandum dated 30-11-70 by Sri Kinjarapu Krishna Murthy, M.L.A., Harischandrapuram to the Chief Minister regarding crop failures in the Firkas of Harischandrapuram constituency, was received, and
(b) if so, what steps were taken by the Government for providing relief measures in the drought areas of Harischandrapuram constituency?

Sri S. Siddha Reddy:—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Since no areas were considered by the Collector, Srikakulam to have been affected by drought in Harischandrapuram constituency during F. 1380, no relief measures were taken up.

(b) DEMARCATION AND SUB-DIVISION OF LANDS IN NAGARKURNOOL TALUK
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* 633 (1758) Q. — Sri P. Mahendranath:— Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is fact that the Government Lands assigned to the Harijans in the Villages of Nagar-Kurnool, Achampet and Kalwakurthy Taluks of Mahaboobnagar District during the years 1961-62 and afterwards are being refused by the concerned M.C. Inspectors for the demarcation and sub-division of the land as per rules, and

(b) if so, the action proposed to be taken by the Government?

Sri S. Siddha Reddy:—

(a) No, Sir. No such refusal by the M.C. Inspector for demarcation and sub-division of Government lands assigned to Harijans in Nagarkurnool, Achampet and Kalwakurthi taluks of Mahaboobnagar District has been brought to notice of the Government.

(b) Does not arise.
Land Revenue of the State
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* 636 (1763) Q. Sri T. C. Rajan (Palamaner) :— will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total land revenue of the state:

(b) what is its proportion with reference to the total land revenue of the country; and

(c) the amount spent towards establishment charges for the collection of the land revenue in the state.

Sri S. Siddha Reddy :—

(a) The total Land Revenue of the State is about Rs. 18.53 Crores.

(b) The information is not available.

(c) The expenditure particulars of establishment charges towards collection of land Revenue is not maintained separately. However the total expenditure towards Village establishment in the State for the year 1969-70 works out to Rs. 4,23,73,908/- The Revised Estimate under the head for 1970-71 is Rs. 5,61,52,200/-
20th August, 1971

Oral Answers to Questions

Q. 1. Sir, the Central Government has announced that the coal price will be increased by 50%. The State Government has also announced a similar increase. In this situation, what measures are being taken by the State Government to ensure that the coal price does not exceed the increased price?

Q. 2. Sir, the Ministry of Environment has informed that the coal price will be increased in the next financial year. Can you please provide details of the current coal stock of the State Government?

Q. 3. Sir, the Ministry of Finance has announced that the income tax limit will be increased. Could you please explain the reasons behind this decision?

Q. 4. Sir, the Ministry of Education has informed that the academic year will start on 1st August. What plans are in place to ensure smooth commencement of the academic year?
FIXATION OF ASSESSMENT IN BEERAPALLI VILLAGE, HINDUPUR TALUK
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*653 (1805) Q.— Sri K. Anjana Reddy (Hindupur):— Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether the assessment fixed in Beerapalli village, Hindupur taluk Anantapur district is in accordance with the provisions of section 23 of the Madras Estates (Abolition) and Conversion into Ryotwari Act, 1948 and in agreement with the provisions of Rent Reduction Act; and

(b) if not, what are the reasons for fixing the assessment arbitrarily after the village was taken over in 1951?

Answered by Sri S. Siddha Reddy:

(a) Yes, Sir.

Ryotwari Settlement rates were introduced in this village with effect from 1-7-1960 according to the notification issued under section 22 of the Estates Abolition Act.

(b) Does not arise.

ESTABLISHMENT OF UNANI MEDICAL COLLEGE AT HYDERABAD.
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*2230 Q.— Sri S. Vemayya:— Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether there are proposals with the Government to establish an Unani Medical College at Hyderabad; and

(b) if so, the details of the scheme?

The Minister for Health for Medical Sri M. Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari.

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.
Sri S. Vemayya:— May I know the reasons for the negative answer?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— We have got Unani Medical College and it is not possible to start more than that.

GOVERNMENT HOMEOPATHIC MEDICAL COLLEGE
GUDIVADA
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*1862 Q— Sri Ch. Vengaiah (Markapur) Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

a) whether the Hospital attached to the Government Homeopathic Medical College, Gudivada is still privately managed though the College was taken over by Government over 3 years ago, and

b) whether there are any proposals to take over the management of the Hospital by the Government immediately as the students of this college need adequate clinical instruction?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari

a) Yes, Sir.

b) Yes, Sir.

Are there any proposals to take over the management of the hospital by Government immediately as the students of this college need adequate clinical instruction?

Was it taken over and if so from what date?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— It has not yet been taken over.

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— The question was, is there any proposal to take over and I said 'Yes'.

Sri Ch. Vengaiah:— From what date the Government is taking over this?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— We have already received the proposals from the Director of Indian Medicine. It is under examination.

Sri Ch. Vengaiah:— At least from the next academic year, is the Government taking over this management?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— We will try our best to take it over.
VISITS BY THE DOCTOR IN THE PUBLIC HEALTH CENTRE OF KANITHI.
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* 1249 Q.— Sri P. Sanyasi Rao :— Will the Hon.ble Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state :—

a) Whether the Government are in receipt of any representation alleging that the doctor in the Public Health Centre of Kanithi in Pendurthi Panchayat Samithi Visakhapatnam is not visiting the villages ;

b) The reasons why the doctor of Kanithi Centre had not visited Erada village on 27-11-70 when the fire accident occurred in the said village resulting in the death of one person and injuries to four persons ; and

c) The reasons why the Kanithi Health Centre was remained closed since the morning of 30-11-1970 ?

Sri Mohammed Ibrahim Ali Ansari :

a) No, Sir.

b) The District Medical and Health Officer has reported that from the verification of diaries of both the doctors it is found that the male doctor has not visited Erada village on 27-11-1970 or subsequently. The Lady doctor only visited the village on 30-11-70 for attending on the injured persons of the fire accident.

c) Not correct, Sir. The doctors have not been attending the Primary Health Centre in time but they were reported to be going late to the centre and causing inconvenience to patients. Action is being taken against the concerned persons.

STARTING OF SUGAR FACTORY AT RENIGUNTA
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*759 Q.— Sri Agarajia Eswara Reddy :— Will the hon. Minister for Handloom and Co-operative Factories be pleased to state:

a) whether the Government has appointed a Chief Promotor to collect shares for the starting of a Sugar Factory at Renigunta in Chittoor District ;

b) if so, whether shares are collected now ; and

c) when the factory will be started ?

The Minister for Hand-loom and Co-operative Factories (Sri A. Bhagavanta Rao)
a) No, Sir.
b) Does not arise.
c) The application for establishment of a Co-operative Sugar Factory at Renigunta has been recommended to the Government of India for grant of a Letter of Intent and it is still pending with them. Action for starting the factory can be initiated only if and after a letter of intent is received.

STARTING OF THREE CO-OPERATIVE SUGAR FACTORIES IN ANDHRA PRADESH
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*1858 Q.— Sarvasri Agarala Eswara Reddy, S. Vemayya (Sarve palli) and Dhanenkula Narasimham:— Will the hon. Minister for Handlooms and Co-operative Factories be pleased to state:

a) whether the Government of India has issued letters of intent for the starting of three Co-operative Sugar Factories in Andhra Pradesh;

b) the names of places where those three factories are proposed to be started; and

c) whether the District Collectors have registered Co-operative Societies for Gurazala and Miryalaguda?

Sri A. Bhagavantha Rao:

a) Yes, Sir.

Another Letter of Intent has also been issued by the Government of India for establishment of Co-operative Sugar Factory at Mandasa (Srikakulam District)

b) 1. Gurazala (Guntur District)
2. Cuddapah (Cuddapah District)
3. Miryalaguda (Nalgonda District)
4. Mandasa (Srikakulam District)

c) Except Mandasa the other societies have been registered.

Bhagaventha Rao:— Doubt was expressed by the Government of India regarding the cane availability in the area. The proposal has been reiterated furnishing full particulars..............
20 th August, 1971

(2) 2. చివరి లేదా నాటికే విస్తరించండి: అన్నీ కంటే ఈ నోసం మరియు దీని ప్రతి ప్రాతిపదికం ఉంటుంది. సహాయం అంటే ఎందుకంటే ఇతర సమయం ఉంటుంది?

(3) 3. తాత్కాలిక క్రమం (సంఖ్యా): ఎందుకంటే కంటే ఇతర సమయం ఉంటుంది?

(4) 4. తాత్కాలిక (సంఖ్యా): ఎందుకంటే కంటే ఇతర సమయం ఉంటుంది?

(5) 5. సమాచార గణిత సంఖ్యలు చాలా ఇతర సమయం ఉంటుంది?

(6) 6. సమాచార గణిత సంఖ్యలు చాలా ఇతర సమయం ఉంటుంది?

(7) 7. సమాచార గణిత సంఖ్యలు చాలా ఇతర సమయం ఉంటుంది?

(8) 8. సమాచార గణిత సంఖ్యలు చాలా ఇతర సమయం ఉంటుంది?

(9) 9. సమాచార గణిత సంఖ్యలు చాలా ఇతర సమయం ఉంటుంది?

(10) 10. సమాచార గణిత సంఖ్యలు చాలా ఇతర సమయం ఉంటుంది?

(11) 11. సమాచార గణిత సంఖ్యలు చాలా ఇతర సమయం ఉంటుంది?

(12) 12. సమాచార గణిత సంఖ్యలు చాలా ఇతర సమయం ఉంటుంది?

(13) 13. సమాచార గణిత సంఖ్యలు చాలా ఇతర సమయం ఉంటుంది?
STAY-IN-STRIKE IN TIRUPATHI COTTON MILLS
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*1852Q.—Sri Agarala Eswara Reddy:— Will the hon. Minister for Labour be pleased to state:

Oral Answers to Questions 20th August, 1971

a) whether there was a strike in the months of February and March, 1971 in the Tirupathi Cotton Mills at Renigunta in Chittoor District;

b) whether the wages for the workers for the month of February, 1971 were paid before the Ugadi Day;

c) the reasons for the strike; and

d) whether the grievances of the workers were addressed by the Management of Tirupathi Cotton Mills at Renigunta in Chittoor District?

The Minister for Labour (Sri G. Sanjeewala Reddy):—

a) Yes, Sir.

b) No, Sir.

c) Due to non-payment of wages from February 1971 onwards and
d) Not yet, Sir.

ADDITIONAL GRANTS OBTAINED BY THE MANAGEMENT OF HARIJAN GIRLS HOSTEL, MANDAVALLI
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*1263 Q.— Sri T. Purushotham Rao:— Will the hon. Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state:

a) whether it is a fact that additional grants were obtained by the management of the Harijan Girls Hostel, Mandavalli village, Krishna District by showing falsely a larger number of boarders in the records;

b) whether it is also a fact that the management of the Harijan Girls Hostel in the same village obtained double the amount of grants, through illegal means, by showing bogus figures:
20th August 1971

Oral Answers to Questions

The Minister for Social Welfare (Sri D. Perumallu)

a) and b):— No additional grants for 1969-70 and 1970-71 have been paid to Baby Nagendra Harijan Girls Hostel, Mandavalli.

c) and d):— Do not arise.

Sri D. Perumallu:— No additional grants for 1969-70 and 1970-71 are being paid to Harijan School.

Whether it is a fact that additional grants were obtained by the management of the Harijan Girls Hostel Mandavalli village, Krishna District by showing falsely a larger number of boarders in the record?
Mr. Speaker:— Let me make matters clear. Now according to him no excess grants were paid. So the question of enquiry does not arise according to him.

Smt. J. Eshwari Bai (Yellareddy):— Will the Hon. Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state:

whether the proposals to run district raffles to raise funds for the Harijans uplift work has materialised and if so, what are the details?

Sri D. Perumallu:— There are no such proposals.

RAFFLES TO RAISE FUNDS FOR HARIJAN UPLIFT
FRICES OF IR-8 AND JAYA VARIETIES OF PADDY
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1286 Q.— Sarvasri P. Venkata Subbaiah (Sullurupet) and A. Madhava Rao (Nellore):— Will the hon. Minister for Civil Supplies be pleased to state:

a) whether it is a fact that the ryots are not in a position to dispose of IR-8 and Jaya varieties of paddy for reasonable prices; and

b) whether the Food Corporation of India will come to the rescue of the ryots in this respect?

The Minister For Civil Supplies: (Sri A. Sanjeeva Reddy)

a) No, Sir.

b) The Food Corporation of India and the Andhra Pradesh State Co-operative Marketing Federation have already purchased a quantity of 7357 tonnes of IR-8 and Jaya varieties of paddy directly from the ryots. The Food Corporation of India has purchased directly from the cultivators, sizable quantities of paddy, as a price support operation; thus ensuring that no ryot was forced to sell paddy, below procurement prices.

HANDING OVER OF SMALL SCALE INDUSTRIES TO PRIVATE INDIVIDUALS
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774 Q.— Sri N. Raghava Reddy (Nakrekal):— Will the hon. Minister for Small Scale Industries be pleased to state:
Oral Answers to Questions

20th August, 1971

a) whether the Industrial Development Corporation had handed over any Small Scale Industries in the State to private individuals during 1968-69 and 1969-70, and

b) whether a statement showing amount of expenditure incurred for setting up those small scale industries and the amounts for which they were given to private individuals will be placed on the table of the House?

The Minister for Handlooms and Co-operative societies deputised the Minister for Small Scale Industries and answered the question (Sri A Bhagvaantha Rao)

a) No, Sir.

b) Does not arise.

I do not think, it is correct. All are sold out-right.

I think it is correct. I do not think, it is correct. All are sold out-right.

I think it is correct. All are sold out-right.

I think it is correct. All are sold out-right.
SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.
SCARCITY OF DRINKING WATER IN MANGALAGIRI TOWN

520 A—

2496-Q Sri Tulabandula Nageswara Rao (Mangalagiri) :— Will the Hon'ble Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

a) Whether the Government are aware of the fact that Mangalagiri town is undergoing lot of hardship due to scarcity of water every year.

b) Whether it is a fact that the execution of the Water Supply Scheme is dragging for over a number of years.

c) Whether the Government propose to allocate more fundy or the same, and complete the execution of the scheme at an earlies date.
d) Whether the Government propose to seek any loan assistance from the L I C. in this connection.

The Minister for Municipal Administration (Sri N. Chenchu-raju Naidu)

a) Yes, Sir.

b) The scheme was drawn up in 1966 and partly executed. An amount of Rs. 8.00 lakhs against the estimated cost of Rs. 12.40 lakhs, was spent. After the conversion of the Panchayat into a Municipality in 1969 the execution of the scheme was taken up by the Public Health Engineering Department, but no expenditure could be incurred for want of funds.

c) Yes, Sir. It is proposed to spend an amount of Rs. 1.00 lakh on the scheme during this year, i.e. 1971-72.

d) The question of approaching the L I C. for loan assistance is under consideration.
LIFTING OUT OF SEWAGE WATER IN ELURU CANAL.
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2486 Q.— Sri Maley Venkatanarayana (Eluru) :— Will the Hon'ble Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state :—

a) Whether it is not a fact that the drain water from the Government Hospital of Vijayawada and water used by the publics being let out into the Eluru canal ?

b) if so, whether it will not affect the health of the public of Eluru, who drink the water polluted by the sewage ; and

c) the steps proposed to be taken by the Government in this regard ?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari :— a) Drain water from the Government Hospital, Vijayawada is not let out into Eluru canal. Occasionally, the surface wash water from the emergency out-patient Department may be washed into canal. Regarding the municipal drain water, a part of the drain water from the old town and also from the surface drains from Pumanandanapet are let out directly into Eluru canal.

b) The water flowing directly in the irrigation canals is not pure and is not fit for drinking purposes. It is also not possible to maintain the purity of the water for drinking purposes in any open canal where the chance of contamination continues during its entire course

c) Does not arise.

LIFTING OUT OF SEWAGE WATER BY VUYYUR SUGAR FACTORY INTO KOLLERU.

S N. Q. No. 2486-S Sri Maley Venkatanarayana Will he Hon'ble Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:
20th August, 1971

Short-Notice Questions and Answers.

a) Whether it has been brought to the notice of the Government that on account of the letting out of sewage by the sugar factory at Vuyyuru in Krishna district into Kolleru through Budameru, large number of fish in Kolleru are perishing, as a result of which Government is losing revenue, and

b) if so, the steps taken in the matter?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— a) Complaints were received by the District Medical and Health Officer, Krishna, from Gudnada and nearby areas that the effluents let out from the Vuyyuru Sugar Factory are a source of nuisance and some times causing death of fish near about Kolleru.

b) The Factory has been advised to construct a large settling tank and treatment of effluent with lime and dilution before discharging into canal. This is being implemented by the Factory.

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— Sir, after all it is an irrigation canal and it is very difficult to protect it.

Sri T. V. S. Chalapati Rao:— Has the Government submitted any samples of this canal or tank water for chemical analysis? If so, what is the result?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— We have not received the report.
Sri T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Have you submitted any samples or analysis? If so, are you planning to submit it for analysis?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— We will send the water for chemical analysis.

Mr. Speaker:— Just before the commencement of the Budget Session, a Resolution was passed and sent to the Central Government delegating the powers to Central Government to make a legislation in regard to pollution of water.

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— To the extent possible, we are taking action and instructing the concerned factories.

Mr. Speaker:— It is not a question of mercy to be shown by the factories. If they do not carry out your instructions, is there not any possibility to take action against them under Public Health Act?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— In one or two cases, we have asked to prosecute them.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah: You said you are asking your Department to do it. What happened to Vizag? They have referred it to the Public Health Department. In Rajahmundry, the water is polluted. My suggestion is let them have a Cell and let a separate Office go through these things and do something in the matter. Otherwise, there is no use at all.
Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— I will get a report and submit before the House.

Mr. Speaker:— You must see that something is done to prevent this.

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— We are taking action, I have already submitted that to the extent possible, we are taking action.

Sri K. Butchirayudu:— You are not.

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— We are serving notices to the factories.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaih:— We are not able to understand the meaning of "to the extent possible".

Mr. Speaker:— What is that you propose to do

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— I will get the detailed report regarding each individual factory and place it before the House.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— Is there any penal provision if there is any violation?

Mr. Speaker:— That is what I asked him before that whether can he take any action against the concerned under Public Health Act. He says that he will get it examined.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— The Minister says that he will get it examined. But the thing is that action should be taken against those who defaults.
Sri T. V. S. Chalapati Rao:— There is preventive provision in Public Health Act to deal with matter of this sort.

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— I have already submitted that in one or two cases, we have asked for prosecution.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaya:— It is a subject of Municipality and the execution part is rested with the Health Department. When the part of implementation comes, Municipality says that it is concerned with the Public Health and Public Health says that it is concerned with the Municipality. What is the Hon’ble Minister is going to do to overcome this difficulty in implementing the arrest of water pollution?

VACANT POSTS OF ASSISTANT CIVIL SURGEONS

S. N. Q. No, 2490-Y- Short Notice Question by Sarvasir Vavilala Gopalakrishniah, Agarala Eswara Reddy and M. B. Parankusam, (Vunnkurru):— Will the Hon’ble Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

a) how many vacancies of Civil Assistant Surgeons were there in the State by the end of March, 1971

b) the number of candidates sponsored by each of the Employment Exchanges of Hyderabad and the Districts for the said vacancies;

c) the number out of them selected and appointed to the said vacancies

d) the number of the appointed candidates actually reported for duty so far and

e) Whether any candidates who passed the Medical Degree Examination in 1969 were appointed to any of those vacancies?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— a) to e) The particulars are being collected and they will be placed on the Table of the House.

I submit that the notice was received on 18th and it was not possible to collect the information. I have asked for the information. As soon as we receive it, I will place it before the House.
Sri T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Will he place the information before the close of this Session? Will you please expedite?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— Separate question, Sir,

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:— Let the Minister furnish the information by 30th, Sir.

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— Yes Sir. This can be postponed.

IMPLEMENTATION OF G. O. Ms. No. 193 IN N. S. PROJECT
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* 2489—H. Sri G. Venkata Reddy (Parchur) Sri V. Linga Reddy; (Macherla) Sri K. Venkateswarlu, (Gurujala)

Will the Hon'ble Minister for Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) Why the orders issued by Govt. in G O. Ms. No. 193, Fin. (F. R) Deptt., dt, 29-6-70 have not so far been implemented by the Financial Adviser and Chief Accounts Officer, N S. P., in respect of the Accounts Organisations of N. S. Project,

(b) Is it not a fact that huge expenditure is being incurred on account of the Payment of deputation allowance since 1956 despite the repeated instructions from Finance Department; and

(c) if so, the reasons for not implementing the said orders?

Sri S. Sidda Reddy:—

(a) The intention behind the Govt. orders dt. 9th June, 1970 that the borrowing organisations should as far as possible build up their own cadres applies to organisations of a permanent nature. As the project Organisations in their nature temporary, the question of building up of a cadre separately for them may not be possible and has to be linked up with the question of absorbing these men later in other permanent organisation. Further, in the accounts Organisation, it is always prudent to have the experienced accounts staff also from the Audit & Accounts Department. Taking these points into account the Government have prescribed a ratio of 2:1 between I. A. A. D., and State personnel and this is being followed as far as practicable.
(b) Deputation allowance has to be paid to deputationists taken from Audit Department in accordance with the orders issued by the Government of India from time to time. The total amount of deputation allowance paid since 1956 amounts to about Rs. 4.75 lakhs and works up to an average of Rs. 30,000/- per annum.

(c) Already covered under 'a' above.
20th August, 1971

Short Notice Questions and Answers.

Will the Hon'ble Minister for Revenue be pleased to state
(a) Whether it is a fact that a kunta in Revanur Village in Koilakunta taluk of Kurnool Dt. is being used for drinking water purposes from time immemorial; storing water from K. C. Canal;

(b) What is the extent of the kunta?

(c) Whether it a fact that no drinking water is available in any place in the said village;

(d) Whether it is a fact that the kunta land is assigned to the landless poor;

(e) Whether this assignment has been approved by the Assignment Committee;

ASSIGNMENT OF KUNTA LAND REВANUR VILLAGE
KOILAKUNTLA TALUK,

480 A —

* 2490-N. Q — Sri R. Mahananda, (Darsi)
(f) Whether the Villagers represented their grievances to the Government for issue of stay orders;

(g) Did the Government grant stay orders; and

(h) If so, whether the stay orders have been implemented?

The Minister for Irrigation deputised the Minister for Revenue and answered the question (Sri S. Siddha Reddy)

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) About 12.00 acres.

(c) There are three public wells and a private well which are the main sources for drinking water to the Villagers.

(d) No

(e) Does not arise.

(f) Yes, Sir.

(g) Yes, Sir.

(h) Yes, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:— Because of the stay order the member has not put the question.

Sri S. Siddha Reddi:— My information is the order is implemented;

Mr. Speaker:— That they have defied the Government Order.

Sri S. Siddha Reddi:— We will see that is is implemented.

Sri R. Mahananda:— Till to-day it has not been implemented.
Will the Government take action against those who violated the instructions of the order.

Sri R. Mahananda:— There is a fresh water kunta in the village. But it was not given effect to that thing.

Sri S. Sidda Reddi:— We will write to the Collector and ask the Collector to implement the Government order as it is.
Mr. Speaker:— There is no other source excepting this kunta. It is very clear that officers have not implemented the stay order of the Government. What action the Government proposes to take against them?

Sri R. Mahananda:— Before the Government gives assignment they should consult the Assignment Committee. Have they consulted? Have they taken the opinion of the villages?

Sir:— As you have said, it is correct that the interface of the society and the Committee has not been fulfilled. We will verify and if anybody has not implemented the order, proper action will be taken against him.
Short-Notice Questions and Answers.

1. Short Notice: — By what method the idea of the Indian Council was born?

2. Short Notice: — Give the year in which the idea of Indian Council was born?

3. Short Notice: — Why are you so fond of the idea of Indian Council?

4. Short Notice: — How will you express your views in the Indian Council?

5. Short Notice: — Why should you express your views in the Indian Council?

6. Short Notice: — How will you express your views in the Indian Council?

7. Short Notice: — Why should you express your views in the Indian Council?

8. Short Notice: — What is your opinion about the Indian Council?

9. Short Notice: — Why should you express your views in the Indian Council?

10. Short Notice: — How will you express your views in the Indian Council?

11. Short Notice: — Why should you express your views in the Indian Council?

12. Short Notice: — How will you express your views in the Indian Council?

13. Short Notice: — Why should you express your views in the Indian Council?

14. Short Notice: — How will you express your views in the Indian Council?

15. Short Notice: — Why should you express your views in the Indian Council?

16. Short Notice: — How will you express your views in the Indian Council?

17. Short Notice: — Why should you express your views in the Indian Council?

18. Short Notice: — How will you express your views in the Indian Council?

19. Short Notice: — Why should you express your views in the Indian Council?

20. Short Notice: — How will you express your views in the Indian Council?
Mr. Speaker:— Will you inform the House the nature of the action taken by the Government, before the Assembly disperses?

Mr. Speaker:— Will you inform the House the nature of the action taken by the Government, before the Assembly disperses?
Government instructions are being flouted and violated. Nobody is caring.

Mr. Speaker:— Please make a note of all these.

Sri S: Sidda Reddy:— I will convey the feelings of the hon. Members to the Revenue Minister.

Sri G: Sidda Reddy:— Government instructions are being flouted and violated. Nobody is caring.
Mr. Speaker — After the Revenue Minister comes, please convey the views of the Members to him and place the information on the Table of the House. After it is placed on the Table of the House, I will ask the Members again, if necessary, to give notice of another short notice question.

Sri S. Sidda Reddi: — Is it about kunta affairs, Sir?

Mr. Speaker: — About the policy of the Government in regard to this and in regard to drinking water sources when there is no other drinking water source in a village, such ponds should be kept for communal purposes and should not be assigned.

III BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Speaker: — Now, Sri Narasinga Rao has given notice under Rule 341 which reads like this: "I want to raise an urgent matter of public importance about the severe lathi-charge on peaceful demonstration at Karimnagar on 19th August at 5 00 P. M. against the visit of the Chief Minister resulting in severe injury to Mr. M. Satyanarayana Rao, M. P." and arrests etc. (CRIES OF 'SHAME' 'SHAME)

With regard to the same matter, including Sri Narasinga Rao, Sarvashri N. Ramachandra Reddy, Purushothama Rao and Madan Mohan have given notice of adjournment motion which reads like this: "That on 19th August 1971 at about 5 P.M the DIG has ordered a lathi-charge on the peaceful demonstrators of Praja Samithi .......... resulting in severe head injury to Mr. Satyanarayana Rao, M. P. and many others and arrest of many TPS leaders and student leaders, when the Chief Minister visited Karimnagar." I am disallowing this under Rule 64 (vi) which says the motion shall not anticipate a matter which has been previously posted for consideration: in determining whether a discussion is out of order on the ground of anticipation, regard shall be had by the Speaker to the probability of the matter anticipated being brought before the Assembly within a reasonable time. Today, the Home Demand is going to be moved and there will be discussion for two days. The members can refer to it under this. I am, therefore, disallowing it.

Sri G. Rajaram: — Where is the anticipation?

Mr. Speaker: — Anticipation means if it is coming up before an opportunity is given the members can refer to it. Apart from that, since the members have got an opportunity of referring to this during discussion on Home Demand which is going to be moved today, it is disallowed under Rule 64 (vi). However, it is admitted
under Rule 73. Now, all this will be clubbed together and to­
row the Home Minister will be able to make a statement.

Sri J. Vengal Rao:— Yes

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— I have given another notice under
Rule 341 with regard to the reduction in the coarse rice price,

Mr. Speaker:— That, of course, I have admitted under Rule
73. I said, it may be taken up tomorrow, if possible, otherwise the
next working day. If you can be present, well and good, if you
can't be present you can ask somebody else.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— It can be taken up tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker:— If the Minister agrees, I have no objection.
I said 25th if the Minister says he won't be able to make a state­
ment before.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— In this connection, all the
Opposition Parties including the Congress Party members have
signed a memorandum to the effect that the prices of coarse rice
can be reduced by Rs. 12-70.

Mr. Speaker:— I realise the importance of it. That is why,
I have admitted it under Rule 73.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— The other day the Chief Minister
and the Civil Supplies Minister made a statement that they are
doing a favour.

Mr. Speaker:— I do not know whether it is a favour. I am
not concerned. I realise the importance of it. That is why, I have
admitted under Rule 73 and posted it.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— Let me make one point clear.
The other day the Civil Supplies Minister announced that rice will
be sold.

Mr. Speaker:— You can say all this tomorrow.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— My submission is sale of rice at
Rs. 1/- is not a favour. It can be further reduced by Rs. 13/- per
quintal. So, I am submitting a memorandum. You can pass it on
to the concerned Minister.

(At this stage, Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy went up to the
Speaker and handed over the Memorandum)

Mr. Speaker:— I will pass it on. When will you make the
statement.

Sri A. Sanjeeva Reddy:— The Civil Supplies Commissioner
and other officials are in Delhi for a conference, I will be able to
make a statement only after the 25th.
Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— After careful discussion, this memorandum has been prepared. All the parties have unanimously subscribed to that memorandum.

Mr. Speaker:— 22nd, 23rd and 24th are not working days. 5 days will elapse by the time he will be making a statement. There is no doubt that it is an important matter.

Sri A. Sanjeeva Reddy:— All the concerned officials are in Delhi.

Mr. Speaker:— Please try to make a statement tomorrow. Make an honest effort. If for any reason you can't make it then we can consider about it.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— The State Government can reduce the price by Rs. 8/-.

Mr. Speaker:— All aspects will be considered.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— As far as the State Government is concerned, leave a lone the FCI——

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— This is an important matter. You have converted it as call attention. Please see that opportunity is given to all members.

Mr. Speaker:— There will be no discussion under Rule 73. Now, it was already decided in consultation with the leaders that before we disperse or as early as possible there should be further discussion with regard to the drought situation in the State. When that is decided, you can certainly refer to all these things.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— We have to discuss about the price situation and therefore you must give opportunity to all.

Mr. Speaker:— You can raise it at that time. About the date I will decide as early as possible, say, on the 25 or 26th in consultation with the Leaders of the parties we have already decided to have another discussion on the drought situation in the State.

Mr. Speaker:— This point was raised on the very day when the Chief Minister made a statement Mr. Lachanna and a number of other members said they have been purchasing in the open-market or from the millers at the rate of Rs. 80/- or so, that it is possible for the Government to sell at the rate of 80 or 85 per quin-
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The Government said it is not possible. Anyhow, Mr. N. Rama-
chandra Reddy and others after discussions with the Minister feel
that still it can be raised. That is why, I have given them an opportu-
nity tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker:— I am not allowing you to speak. I request you to resume your seat.

Mr. Speaker:— So far as price is concerned, it is a very impor-
tant matter. A number of members have also raised it. I admitted
it under Rule 73. It will come up tomorrow.

IV. MOTION UNDER RULE 341 OF THE RULES
(re: Collection of interest on land revenue arrears)

Mr. Speaker:— We will take a look at this.
Sri S. Sidda Reddy:— Sir, According to Section 7 of the Revenue Recovery Act, 1864, arrears of land revenue shall bear interest at the rate of 6 per cent per annum. With reference to this provision, village account No. 17 was introduced and the Board of Revenue laid down general instructions regarding interest collection and accounts etc. The collection of interest on arrears is with reference to the above statutory provision in the Revenue Recovery Act. For various reasons such as non-collection of interest and non-maintenance of interest account in most of the districts from F. 1359 and as the calculation and collection of the amounts of the previous fasli will cause undue hardship to the ryots, Government, on the recommendation of the Board of Revenue issued orders in G.O.M. No. 566 Revenue dated 23-6-1971 to the effect that the levy and collection of interest on arrears of land revenue upto 1-7-1970 in the State to the extent that it has not already been levied and collected in the State be waived. Government also directed levy and collection of interest from all defaulters with effect from 1-7-1970. With reference to the above orders, the subordinate officers have issued instructions to the village officers to calculate interest on arrears of land revenue from July 1970 in all villages including Kodamakalva village in Nandyal Taluk, Kurnool District, and the action of the subordinate authorities is therefore in order.
Mr. Speaker:— Are they doing under instruction from Government.

Sri S. Sidda Reddy:— They are doing it under the instructions of the Government.

Mr. Speaker:— Is he doing it at his own instance, or is he doing at the instance of the Government? If he is doing it at his own instance, what action are you going to take against him?

Mr. Speaker:— Are they doing under instruction from Government?

Sri S. Sidda Reddy:— They are doing it under the instructions of the Government.

Mr. Speaker:— Is he doing it at his own instance, or is he doing at the instance of the Government? If he is doing it at his own instance, what action are you going to take against him?

Land revenue arrears as loan arrears. That is the complaint. The Revenue Officer—R. D. O or the Sub Collector is doing it. That is the complaint against that officer.
You are trying to protect the interest of an officer who is not carrying out instructions. The same officer has failed to implement the stay orders of the Government previously.
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(பொதுக் கேள்வி)

(3) Mr. Speaker:— இது ஒரு கேள்வி ஆகும், ஜான் மூன்றாம் தளபதி போன்ற திறமோட்டத்தை நாம் மிகவும் விளக்கம் செய்தாலே என்ன சொல்ல வேண்டும்?

(4) Mr. Siddha Reddy:— பின்னர் பிறந்திடத் திறந்து விளக்கத்தையே என்ன சொல்ல வேண்டும்?

என்னவென்று சொல்லும்போது அவர் குறிப்பிட்டு வந்தார்.

Mr. Speaker:— This is a case again, where the same officer is working against the instructions of the Government and the Government is not able to take any action against him. That is the complaint of the members.

Sri S, Siddha Reddy:— At present there is no proposal:

Sri Badri Vishnukanta:— குறுகிய பரிசுத்தில் இது ஆபத்தம் கொண்டு வருகிறது என்பதே இல்லை. இந்தக் கேள்விகளை பேசுவதற்கு வேளாண்மை கொண்டால் என்பது என்றென்று விளக்கம் செய்யவேண்டும்.
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Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Mr. Speaker, Sir, Under Rule 341 I gave notice of a motion today about 7:45 a.m. to—

Mr. Speaker:— It has been given at 8:20 a.m. I have got it here.

Mr. Speaker:— You are questioning the correctness of the time noted by the Secretary?

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Yes...

Mr. Speaker:— I shall enquire into it.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— It is about our State Loan which has not been subscribed when other State Loans have been fully subscribed.

Mr. Speaker:— I shall enquire.......

(Pause)

V PRIVILEGE MOTION

re: Omission of speeches of some members in the review of the proceedings by the All India Radio, Hyderabad.

Mr. Speaker:— The breach of privilege motion given notice of by Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi reads as follows:—

"The All-India Radio while reviewing the proceedings of the House of 5-1-71 has completely blacked out the news about the speeches made by me and other Members of the Swatantra Party."
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re: Omission of speeches of some members in the review of the proceedings of the All India Radio Hyderabad.

This is not the first occasion. This appears to have made a policy by the All-India Radio, Hyderabad Station, to black the news of Members of the Swatantra Party. This being an Agency of the Government of India, this attitude of All India Radio has caused a breach of privilege of myself as well as the members of the Swatantra Party in Andhra Pradesh Legislature and hence request you to raise the same in this House. I think you have gone through the explanation of the All India Radio. I shall not read it now. They say that it was not made intentionally and that as far as possible they were trying to give as much coverage to the business of the Legislature; and that they would not do it hereafter.
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(Copy of the privilege motion given notice of by Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi dated 6th March 1968 addressed to the hon. Speaker, Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly.

"Dear Sir,

My speech on Police and Jail demands dated 5th March 1968 which constituted part of the proceedings of the day has been deliberately suppressed by the Rahbuma-e-Deccan while reporting the same in its issue dated 6th March 1968. This constitutes a breach of privilege under Assembly Rules 173 and 174. This is a case where the paper has suppressed publication of the speeches of members who spoke before Mr. Owaisi and who spoke after Mr. Owaisi and give room for presuming that it was suppressed deliberately. I consider it as a fit case for reference to the Committee of Privileges. Accordingly it shall be referred to the Committee for necessary enquiry and report."

"Alleged wilful suppression of member’s speech by news agency, Hyderabad Legislative Assembly, 1954. On 1st April, 1954 Sri Ch Venkataramarao, a member of the Hyderabad Legislative Assembly raised a question involving breach of privilege. He alleged that some time back the local agencies, the Associated Press Trust of India and the Associated News Service had not been reporting his speeches and questions in the Assembly with the intention of suppressing his views. He specifically referred to the speech on 26-5-54 during budget discussion in which he had strongly criticised..."
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the P. W. D demand. He maintained that it was done with the idea of suppressing the inefficient working of the said Department. The Speaker thereupon referred the question of the alleged wilful suppression by the News Agencies of the speeches of Sri Venkatramarao to the Committee on Privileges."

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:— I am not allowing any discussion now. I am only hearing the member. I have to now hear the Government side and then decide.

(Interruptions)
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హోస్షాన్: — ఆధారానికి వాడించిన ఆధారానికి తప్పించిన దస్త్రానికి అనేక సంహారాలు ఆధారానికి ప్రస్తుతించాం. ఆధారానికి యొక్క సంహారాలను తప్పించగా అయిన సంహారాలు ఆధారానికి ప్రస్తుతించాం.

పి. సి. వివాదం: — ఆధారానికి యొక్క సంహారాలు తప్పించాం. ఆధారానికి యొక్క సంహారాలు తప్పించాం.

పి. సి. వివాదం: — ఆధారానికి యొక్క సంహారాలు తప్పించాం.

పి. సి. వివాదం: — ఆధారానికి యొక్క సంహారాలు తప్పించాం.
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Whether AIR or the Press are bound to publish what we are speaking in the Assembly.
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Mr. Speaker:— I must hear the Government aide also.

Mr. Speaker:— That is the unfortunate position of the Speaker. He cannot help it.
ప్రత్యేక పనిస్థలు అంధకార నిరాధారణలను అధికారిక విధానాలను పాలించాలను కాశాలని ప్రత్యేకసాధురులు అనుభవించాలని వాడారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. అధికారికంగా కారణాలలో విస్తరం అందించాలని హైదరాబాదు అనుభవించాలారు. 
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I will read out that portion of the reply which the A. I. R. people have given.

Mr. Speaker:— That is what he has read. I will read.

Sri B Ratnasabhapathi:— No, Sir. I will read for your information to make it clearer, Sir. “Aa regards the speech of Mr. Ratnasabhapathi on 5th August 1971, it may be mentioned that it was prominently covered in the regional news bulletin that evening from A. I. R. Hyderabad. In that review of the Proceedings of the Legislature......

Mr. Speaker:— Is this correct or not?
Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi;— Sir, I referred to the review Sir.
Mr. Speaker:— It was prominently covered, that is what is said.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— I said, Sir, it was referred, not prominently. I was the third speaker here and they have referred me as the ninth speaker. Apart from that it is not the one I have mentioned in the Privilege Notice. I have mentioned in the review of the Proceedings of the Legislature the reviewer had not referred.
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to in his review and in the light of this confession by the A. I. R. what has the Minister got to say Sir? He has to withdraw. The A. I. R. has confessed it. What has this man got to say that I have not said the truth. Here they have to be ashamed of it instead of making a reference to me which is not true.

Mr. Speaker: You should not make such allegations Mr. Ratnasabhapathi. He says he refutes arguments made by you and he is trying to support you by his own reasons, Why should you say that he should be ashamed of it. At that rate everybody should be ashamed.

Mr. Speaker: Such language is not proper. It is unfortunate you are losing your temper.

(Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi: interrupted)

Mr. Speaker: No, please, I do not allow.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi: I have notice here. Let me clearly read it and the reply of the A. I. R. is very clear. A I. R. people have confessed that there has been a lapse and in the light of the confession, how can the Minister say that what I said was not true?

Mr. Speaker: Let me explain the position. So far as this Privilege Issue is concerned, I am not expressing any opinion. I give my decision on the 26th or so.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi: You do whatever you like. That is your thing, Sir. Do you allow that observation of that Minister to go on record?

Mr. Speaker: What he said was it is not as though they have completely omitted your name for that day. Your name has been mentioned...

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi: Kindly read my privilege motion.

Mr. Speaker: It is all right. No further discussion on that.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi: Why is it that there is not going to be any further discussion?
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Mr. Speaker:— He has not got even the right to say that it is far from truth! He says that for these reasons it is far from truth.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— No, Sir. It should be far from truth for him to say so.

Mr. Speaker:— According to him.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— How can it be? I am the man who raised the complaint here and the man who has complained against him and it is confessed that there is a lapse.

Mr. Speaker:— Very good. Kindly resume your seat. That is enough.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— I want a ruling on that, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:— I am going to give the ruling on the 26th.

Sri B Ratnasabhapathi:— Not on this Privilege, on the observations made by the Minister.

Mr. Speaker:— I do not know whether he has made any unwarranted observations. New, when you said that this amounts to breach of privilege, he said what Mr. Ratnasabhapathi.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— 'Abadham' is it not a word enough, Sir? I leave it to you and to the House and God. I am absolutely helpless in view of this sort of ruling, Sir. God alone should help this Assembly and Members on this side.

Mr. Speaker:— I do not think Mr. Vasudeva Rao has said anything which is not warranted or anything.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— My submission is this.

When I have shown that what I have said is correct and when the A. I. R. people have themselves confessed that it is true.

Mr. Speaker:— He never said 'abadham', or anything. The wording used by him is.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— 'Satyadooram' is it not a word enough, Sir? I leave it to you and to the House and God. I am absolutely helpless in view of this sort of ruling, Sir. God alone should help this Assembly and Members on this side.

Mr. Speaker:— I do not think Mr. Vasudeva Rao has said anything which is not warranted or anything.
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Is it only for enumeration of names, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:— Mr. Ratnasabhapathi said that injustice was done to the party as a whole. He said no justice has been done to the party. On number of occasions, you see, their names were........

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— Sir, I rise to protest. You are trying to put words into my mouth which I have not said here. Let it go. I may tell you, I have raised specific issue of privilege here and Sir, you have no right even though you are Speaker here to put words in my mouth and mislead this House and the State. You are putting words which I have never uttered at all.

Mr. Speaker:— Kindly hear me. (Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:— You don't hear me. According to you on the day on which you spoke your party was not done justicee. According to the Minister generally the party was given justice with regard to the review in the proceedings. He is talking about the particular day. All India Radio did not do justice; if I understand you correctly.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— I have put in writing. Why are you trying to interpret it. It is clearly put in writing. It is said clearly that during the review they have never mentioned my name and in their reply they said, it is a lapse. They have confessed it. Where is the need for you to give an interpretation and where is the need for the Minister to try to give another interpretation?

Mr. Speaker:— There is no question of my interpretation.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— You are doing it.

Mr. Speaker:— No, please. I am not doing. What I said was when you were making a statement in support of your case, you said that they did not do justice to your Swatantra Party. They did not mention any name.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— That is there. I am not going back on that. I have raised a specific issue on the day when it was being reviewed my name did not occur. To-day they have clearly replied that it is a lapse. They have confessed that it is a lapse. Where is the need for the Minister or anybody to interpret this?
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Mr. Speaker:— It is not a question of defending them. They have stated that unfortunately the journalist who gave the information did not refer to you. You said not only your name was not mentioned, 'I do not mind if my name was mentioned or not', at the same time none of the names of my party......

Sri B. Ratnasahapathi:— I have given a biblical catalogue. From the beginning they have been as a matter of policy......and I have brought specific instances here to your notice. The specific instances form the most important essence of my privilege motion and there is nothing for anybody to give any interpretation.

Mr. Speaker:— Mr. Ratnasahapathi, with regard to your general allegation, the Minister was saying giving out figures during the past, not on that particular date. What you said on that particular day may be correct. Nobody denies it.

Sri B. Ratnasahapathi:— Sir, I request you to go through the replies given by the A. I. R. people. I have given a catalogue of all these from five to ten days. I have given the privilege motion and given you a list of things they have committed over so many months.

Mr. Speaker:— No further discussion about this.

Sri B. Ratnasahapathi:— Then what happens to the observations you have been pleased to make on me and the Minister's? They will go on record and things which I said do not go on record.

Mr. Speaker:— Mr. Ratnasahapathi, I do not want to enter into any discussion with you.

Sri B Ratnasahapathi:— Yes, Sir. When you are in that position where is the need for you to enter into a discussion.

Mr. Speaker:— I have not made any observation against any Member or I will be the last Member to make any adverse observation.

Sri B Ratnasahapathi:— Unfortunately when you have made and still deny, Expended by order of the chair.

Mr. Speaker:— There is no point when you are simply speaking like that, when I have not made any observation particularly adverse observation against any Member. I do not know why.
Privilege Motion

re: Omission of speeches of some members in the review of the proceedings of the All India Radio Hyderabad.

you get excited. That only shows you are losing your temper. There is no necessity for getting excited.

Expunged by order of the chair.

Now, let me explain the position. I am not expressing any opinion on this. Only thing is so far as the Press people, and the people of All India Radio are concerned, I must say this so far in the past they have not got very serious complaints against the correspondents or the Press people. All these years, you see............

you get excited. That only shows you are losing your temper. There is no necessity for getting excited.

Expunged by order of the chair.

Now, let me explain the position. I am not expressing any opinion on this. Only thing is so far as the Press people, and the people of All India Radio are concerned, I must say this so far in the past they have not got very serious complaints against the correspondents or the Press people. All these years, you see............

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— That is not correct observation, Sir, Now you do not make any observation because the Member concerned seems to be excited and also little worried and we are also worried. Let there be much more debate over it and you can give opportunity to Members to express soberly.

Sri G. Rajaram:— It is better not to defend the Press or the All India Radio who have admitted the lapse. The All India Radio has admitted its lapse. Therefore, the question of your defending them does not arise. You leave it there.

Other Members have also explained their difficulty. What I am going to do is so far as All India Radio people are concerned, they have given their explanation. What they have said, the relevant portion of course, you have read out and I am going to read the relevant portion. What I am saying is,
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Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— I would like to draw your attention only to the decisions which you have given in similar cases in the past and you have almost announced in the present case. I leave it to your conscience if you have got.

Mr. Speaker:— Please hear me. You do not hear me. I said they must mention the names of the Members who spoke and if they do not mention the name of any Member who had spoken...... There they did not mention the names of the Members at all. I am saying so far as...

whatever it may be. I am going to convene a Conference of all the Journalists and come to some kind of working arrangement. That is what I am going to do. Now, so far as the explanation of the concerned, they say:

“It may be mentioned that it was prominently covered in the Regional News Bulletin in the evening from All India Radio, Hyderabad.” Whether that was prominently covered or not, the fact is that the name of Mr. Ratnasabhapathi was mentioned. They admit that in the reviewing of the proceedings they...
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had not mentioned it at all. However, they say ultimately...... "in conclusion, it may be mentioned that in view of the limitation of time, the coverage we give to a particular item or event has to be judged in relation to the total news fall and the other events which occur on the same day. Subject to this consideration, we would like to assure you of every effort on our part to meet the demand."

Sri G. Raja Ram:— You have already announced that you will give your ruling on 26th.

Mr. Speaker:— In the light of this, and as I am going to convene a Conference ultimately to decide with regard to working arrangements and with regard to publicity of the proceedings of the House, etc., if still Mr. Ratnasabhapathi insists the ruling being given, I will give my ruling on 26th.

Sri G. Rajaram:— What happened to my privilege motion against the Minister for Agriculture, Sir?

Mr. Speaker:— I have called for the explanation of the Minister concerned and I have also called for the explanation of the another Minister with regard to the delay in the presentation of the Administrative Report of the Agricultural University. Similar matter was already referred to the Privileges Committee.

Sri G. Rajaram:— What I am worried about is, inspite of that, the Minister is failing continuously for over 4 to 5 years.

Mr. Speaker:— Natural justice requires that the person against whom you are going to proceed, you must take his explanation, So, I have called for the explanation.

Sri G. Rajaram:— It is more than 10 days that I have given my notice.

Mr. Speaker:— I have got it only last night. I have asked the concerned Minister to send the explanation. Similar matter was already referred to the Privileges Committee. But in this case, I cannot assure the House. I think, I may refer it to the Privileges Committee.

Now, it is 11-30 a.m. and we have to take non-official business. If the House feels that the Non-Official business has to be taken up, the Demand will be taken up tomorrow.

Sri T. V. S Chalapathi Rao:— Last time also, it was adjourned. So, it cannot be adjourned.
Mr. Speaker:— It is alright. Mr. Ratnasabhapathi, I want you to realise the significance of the word you have used. It is unfortunate that you have made some kind of reflection on the House itself. I would like that you should withdraw it. It is more consistent with the dignity of yourself as well as the dignity of the House.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— If I have used it without hesitation, I withdraw it.

Mr. Speaker:— It is consistent with the dignity of the House. It would not be proper also. If you want the word you have used I will let you know.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— No comment is necessary, Sir. I am ready and willing to withdraw it. I am withdrawing it.

Mr. Speaker:— Then, that is the end of it.

So, the reply of the Minister will be tomorrow. The Agriculture Demand will be passed tomorrow.

VI. CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re: Fire-accident at Kabadigudem (Harijanpet), Eluru.
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PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

(i) Amendments to A. P. Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualifications Act, 1953.

Sri T. Ramaswami:— Sir, on behalf of the Chief Minister, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of Notification issued in G. O. Ms. No. 595, General Administration (Ele. A) Department dt 12-7-1971 making an amendment to the Andhra Pradesh Speaker and Chairman T. A. Rules, 1961, in accordance with sub-section (3) of Section 13 of the Andhar Pradesh payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualifications Act, 1953.

Mr. Speaker:— Paper laid on the Table.

(ii) Amendments to Rules framed under the Indian Stamp Act.

Sri S. Sidda Reddy:— Sir, on behalf of the Minister for Revenue, I beg to re-lay on the Table copies of the Notifications issued in Memo No. 2524-U2/69-8, Revenue, dt 26-5-1970 and G. O. Ms. No. 1299, Revenue, Dated 17-11-1970 containing the amendments issued to the Indian Stamp Rules, 1925 as required under Section 75-A (2) of the Indian Stamp Act.

Mr Speaker:— Paper laid on the Table.

NON-OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Bills

I) THE ANDHRA PRADeSH MONEY LENDERS BILL, 1971

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:— Sir, I move:

“That Leave be granted for the introduction of the Andhra pradesh Money Lenders Bill, 1971.”

Mr Speaker:— The question is:
"That Leave be granted for the introduction of the Andhra Pradesh Money Lenders Bill, 1971."

The motion was adopted and Leave granted

2) THE ANDHRA PRADESH MORATORIUM BILL, 1971,

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:— Sir, I move:

"That the Andhara Pradesh Moratorium Bill, 1971, be taken into consideration"

Mr Speaker:— Motion moved.
(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)

...
(1) any sum payable to the State or the Central Government or to any local authority, whether by way of revenue, tax, cess or loan or otherwise.

(2) any sum payable to any State Bank, Nationalised Bank or scheduled Bank, Co-operative Society including a land mortgage Bank, registered or deemed to be registered under Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act.
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The Andhra Pradesh Moratorium Bill 1971

[Text in Telugu]

5. Clauses 2 and 4 (Moral Law) shall be added:

Clause 2: If any person, in the course of the business or profession of any of the following trades or businesses, namely:

(a) banking,
(b) insurance,
(c) credit

shall, for the purpose of any transaction, or in connection with any transaction, give or obtain any money, in any manner whatsoever, for the purposes of such business or profession, such person shall be deemed to have entered into a void contract.

Clause 4: Any person who, in the course of the business or profession of any of the above-mentioned trades or businesses, shall, for the purpose of any transaction, or in connection with any transaction, give or obtain any money, in any manner whatsoever, for the purposes of such business or profession, shall be deemed to have entered into a void contract.

[Further clauses in Telugu]

Non-Official Business


It is desirable and we cannot completely curb it. It is better to develop the country — inflation rather than stagnation.

It is better to develop the country — inflation rather than stagnation.
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What Mr. Vavilala Gopalakrishnaya has put forward in his Bill is not a remedy. It is better to develop the country in inflation rather than in stagnation.
It is deemed to have been sale deed.

It is a relation between a lender and creditor. Everybody is a creditor and everybody is a money-lender in the world.
Non-Official Business
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With all my conviction I am telling. That is why I have quoted the other countries. It is true that the countries have taken the same steps. 1879 and 1929 and 1932 are not exceptions. We have to take this step.
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సమాచారం వచ్చింది. ఫిమల్‌లోని కారం, హైడక్స్ అధ్యక్షుడు మరియు సంస్థ ప్రధాని, సర్ ఇంద్ర రామస్వామి ఒరంటేందుకు విడుదల చేస్తే, సమాచారం లాంటి ఉంచాలి. ఫిమల్ సమాచారం తెరిచకుండా ఇంద్ర రామస్వామి ఒరంటేందుకు విడుదల చేసాడు. ఎందుకంటే, సమాచారం తెరిచి ఇంద్ర రామస్వామి ఒరంటేందుకు విడుదల చేసాడు. ఇంద్ర రామస్వామి ఒరంటేందు విడుదల కొనసాగా, ప్రదర్శన సంస్థ ప్రధాని విడుదలచేసాడు. అందుచి సమాచారాన్ని తెలుపించడానికి ఈ సమాచారాన్ని పిలిచాడు.

మోరాటోరియం బిల్ 1971 కారంటేందుకు బిల్ నిర్మాణం చేయడానికి తన సంపన్నం విడుదల చేసాడు. నేత లాంటి తరువాత సంస్థ ప్రధాని విడుదల చేసాడు. ఇంద్ర రామస్వామి ఒరంటేందు విడుదల కొనసాగా, ప్రదర్శన సంస్థ ప్రధాని విడుదలచేసాడు. అందుచి సమాచారాన్ని తెలుపించడానికి ఈ సమాచారాన్ని పిలిచాడు.

500 అంటే సంఖ్యలు కారంటేందుకు 10 మంది ఉంటే 10 మంది విడుదల చేసాడు. అడుగు సంఖ్యలు విడుదల చేసాడు. 500 అంటే సంఖ్యలు కారంటేందుకు 110 మంది ఉంటే 110 మంది విడుదల చేసాడు. అడుగు సంఖ్యలు విడుదల చేసాడు. 200 అంటే సంఖ్యలు కారంటేందుకు 200 మంది ఉంటే 200 మంది విడుదల చేసాడు. అడుగు సంఖ్యలు విడుదల చేసాడు. 2, 8 మంది ఉంటే 2, 8 మంది విడుదల చేసాడు. అడుగు సంఖ్యలు విడుదల చేసాడు. 10, 20, 30 మంది ఉంటే 10, 20, 30 మంది విడుదల చేసాడు. అడుగు సంఖ్యలు విడుదల చేసాడు.
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పిల్లరు ప్రస్తుతం, తెలుగు ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్‌ ప్రభుత్వం కలిగిన కాలంలో ఇది ప్రత్యేక ప్రశ్నలను పరిశీలించారు. ఆ ప్రత్యేకతలలో, ప్రభుత్వ విభేదాలు

నిరంతరం ప్రతిచేత నిర్ణయాలు చేస్తుంది. ఈ ప్రశ్నలను పరిశీలించి నిర్ణయాలు చేసే ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్‌ గవర్న్‌మెంట్‌ ఇందులో మేల ఎంతో ప్రతిచేత నిర్ణయాలు చేసే ప్రశ్నలను పరిశీలించాలి.

తొలి పరీక్షలు మేల ఎంతో ప్రతిచేత నిర్ణయాలు చేసే ప్రశ్నలను పరిశీలించాలి.

ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్‌ గవర్న్‌మెంట్‌ ఇందులో మేల ఎంతో ప్రతిచేత నిర్ణయాలు చేసే ప్రశ్నలను పరిశీలించాలి.
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...
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...
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— It is left to the speakers.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Today is Non-Official day and you must see that all the agenda is covered in the time, allowing time to the speakers.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— The speakers should minimise the speeches.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Who am I to restrict them? There are also some Resolutions.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Your Resolution will come next.
Non-Official Business
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Moratorium Bill 1971

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— I am not bothered about my resolution; Sir. The total agenda should be covered allotting time to the speaker.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I will do it. Now, Sri Raghava Rao to speak.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— The total agenda should be covered allotting time to the speaker.
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The Andhra Pradesh Moratorium Bill 1971 is under consideration. It is proposed to extend the moratorium for another three months. The Bill is being discussed in detail.

No. 3. Amendment: — In Section 2, 500 is added.

No. 8. Amendment: — In Section 2, 500 is added.

No. 9. Amendment: — In Section 2, 500 is added.

No. 10. Amendment: — In Section 2, 500 is added.

No. 11. Amendment: — In Section 2, 500 is added.

No. 12. Amendment: — In Section 2, 500 is added.

No. 13. Amendment: — In Section 2, 500 is added.

No. 14. Amendment: — In Section 2, 500 is added.
Non-official Business 20th August, 1971
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Sri A. Madhava Rao:— Sir, this Bill contemplates the suspension of the operation of Limitation Act which is in the Concurrent List and therefore, it requires the assent of the President. This is the first point.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— In such an event, why should he not raise a point of Order? Why should we take the time of the House in debating the Bill which, according to him, is not in order? Let him raise a point of Order and the Speaker may give his ruling.
Sri A. Madhava Rao:— I am stating the facts as they are because I want them to be considered. Now, moratorium means suspension of the present indebtedness for a period of three years, as it is mentioned here, and payment of interest also to be postponed for a period of three years. There are two aspects of this matter. The first aspect is, if both the principal and the interest are to be postponed for a period of three years, if the credit facility is thus frozen, there will be no possibility of further credit at all. During this period of three years, what are the people to do? In the statement of objects and reasons, it is stated that there is lack of credit facilities in spite of nationalized banks, scheduled banks, land mortgage banks, Government accavi loans etc. Therefore, when there are no credit facilities from these institutions, a third party will have to be approached. And if this moratorium is given even for debts from private parties, what is to happen during these three years? It is a fact and it is also often complained on the floor of the House that these nationalized banks instead of giving credit to the ordinary farmer, are giving it to others. It is not a question of Jana Sangh or any party. When the Government wants to remove a difficulty, it should be done in such a manner that it will have an impact on the public. This is not a question of slogan-mongering either. Whenever any Bill has to be piloted, we have to see its impact on the general public. On the question of policy-making I am not entitled to speak. But in this matter I have got one point to say. So far as the lower strata of people are concerned, they mostly borrow from third parties specially in the rural areas. The bank credit is not forthcoming in all areas. According to the Agricultural Debt Relief Act, the rate of interest is 5½ percent while the bank credit is about 9 percent. What is required, we must make the poorer persons approach the banks and we must also direct the Government to see that poor people get bank credit rather than give moratorium of this type. I would also like to know whether this moratorium would apply to nationalized and other banks as well. As I can see in this Bill, if it is 5½ percent interest and with instalments, there is no difficulty whatsoever. Sir, the man who is in need of money will always search for the source of money and we know how defalcations and misappropriations are taking place whenever a man is not able to secure credit. Naturally he has to take to other courses. One important point to be remembered in this connection is, for those people who are in need of money, by moratorium the Government is not allowing credit from the nationalized banks because they are intended for others. In either
way, when a man is not having the necessary money with him, he has to commit theft or murder somebody else; he has to beg, borrow or steal for earning money. So, are we not increasing the criminal propensities in the people and make them criminals? Wherever a moratorium is to be declared, there are two things to be done. If you want to ban profit by private, fortiori you have to bring an amendment to Negotiable Instruments Act. If you are incapable of doing it on the plea that it is a Central enactment, you must make it a point that all nationalized and other banks are in a position to provide credit facilities to one and all. On the other hand, when you are not able to give credit facilities and when a person goes to a court of law, how can this 5% percent interest rate work out, Sir?

Sir, what for is this inflation coming? It is coming on two counts: One is we are having faked notes, and the second is certain circumstances as such are being created for inflation to come into play. For example, wherever a commodity is available, it is kept out of the market, and when inflation is there, it is being sold out. In view of these circumstances, one important factor that I would suggest is that the principal amount plus 5\% percent per annum interest should be spread over in some easy instalments, say 3 or 4 years, without compound interest. I request that suitable amendments be carried out by the hon. Member who is piloting this Bill that in the case of all nationalised Banks and other Banks also the interest should be reduced to 5\% percent, and the principal and interest should be spread over in easy instalments.

Now, Sir, there are three categories where credit facilities are required: One is the agricultural labour. So far as agricultural labour is concerned, this Bill may have its own impact and it is so far so good. But so far as others are concerned who do business, they naturally borrow money for business, if it is simple interest at 5\% p. c. p. a. there is no difficulty whatever. But so far as the agricultural ryot is concerned, he is suffering because of drought and other unfavourable conditions.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— May I know the time fixed for consideration of this Bill?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— We have not been doing that, Dr. Chalapathi Rao, at no time previously was time fixed. Probably because your resolution is to come next, you may be anxious about it. If you want, you can move a closure motion or any other motion.
Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— I am not at all concerned with the resolution. What I want to know from the Chair is, is there any difference or differentiation between official and non-official bills. On days of official business, you fix time, — 5 minutes, 10 minutes, 15 minutes and so on. Why is it, for non-official Bill, no such time-limit is fixed? I am not at all anxious about my resolution — kindly note it, Sir. Kindly don't think that for the sake of the resolution I am interested being. My submission is, what is the policy of the Chair with regard to the consideration of non-official Bills. Whereas for official Bills, there is a time-limit and there is a procedure prescribed. In the case of non-official Bills I do not think it is so. Now there are 13 members who want to speak. That means the speakers are going to be enough even for the next non-official day. I am not at all questioning their right. But my point is, why is it the Chair is so generous, so charitable, so liberal on a non-official business day unlike on the official business days. I am certainly not casting any aspersions on the Chair.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— You have yourself delayed the matter. There is no time fixed for non-official business.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Where is that? Where is the rule? Why is it that time was not fixed? What is the reason behind it? I think there must be some reason. Rules are based on some reason. Rules cannot be arbitrary.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— There are no rules. Generally, opportunity is given to all the members who would like to participate.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Are you allowing it, Sir? Yesterday, you gave me 5 minutes and said 'you cannot speak after 5 minutes'.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— There, the time-limit is there. Up to 1-30 P M we go on. In the Business-Advisory Committee, it is decided 6 hours, 3 hours, 5 hours or so, for a particular Bill. That way, the Business Advisory Committee must fix the time.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— So far as I can recollect, the Business advisory Committee never discussed this. It discussed about time for Demand for Grants. About non-official Bills no Business Advisory Committee discussed or decided anything about it. In the absence of that, things are going on here, in a very liberal way.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— You are confusing. There is no time stimulated.
Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— The Speaker never brought this matter before the Business Advisory Committee. Please verify my statement from the minutes of the meeting.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— You can discuss this when again we meet there.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— No, Sir: that means, we should discuss about this, after the stables are closed and the horses are stolen.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— If members want, they will be given time.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— If there is nothing wrong, I shall leave it to the House. If the House wants closure, I have no objection. At no time in Parliamentary democracy was any time fixed for discussion on non-official business, to my knowledge.

Sri K. Govind Rao:— There is nothing wrong in using your discretion.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— If there is nothing wrong, I shall leave it to the House. If the House wants closure, I have no objection. At no time in Parliamentary democracy was any time fixed for discussion on non-official business, to my knowledge.
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Mr. Deputy Speaker.— I have no objection. If the House feels that there should be some time-limit fixed for the resolution and the Bill, I will leave it to the House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Therefore when the next non-official day comes, we shall fix time. I have the least objection, in fixing up time if you all so wish.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— You have given 1½ hours.

Sri Pragada Kotaiah.— When there are other subjects on the agenda, you have to use your discretion.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— The Speaker is requested to use his discretion.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— You are throwing reflections on the Chair.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— That is why I am saying on the next non-official day, we shall fix up time.
Non-Official Business

20th August, 1971

re: The Andhra Pradesh Moratorium Bill 1971

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao, Non-official business is reduced to a mere farce.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— No. We never meant it. If there is any such impression, please wipe it out.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— No. We never meant it. If there is any such impression, please wipe it out.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— The Speaker can only say that there are so many matters and there is so much time. Depending upon the importance of the matters and the circumstances, members may withdraw some resolutions. If they want to continue, we leave it to the House.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Hereafter, are you going to leave everything to the Speaker? Whenever it is convenient to him he wants to leave it to the House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Supposing I say 15 minutes for a resolution. Will you agree because it is within my discretion? There cannot be a hard and fast rule.
Non-official Business
re: The Andhra Pradesh Moratorium Bill 1971

Sri C. V. K. Rao: Permit me to make a constructive suggestion. Let this Bill be over and let the non-official resolutions be taken up today.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Your constructive suggestion is that you would like to stop with this Bill?

Sri C. V. K. Rao: We leave it to your discretion...........

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya has a right to reply and then...........

Sri Pragada Kotaiah: We are moving for a closure.

Dr. T. V. S Chalapathi Rao:— We want the public to know. Let it be closed now. The rules are there for the non-official day. It is as much the responsibility of the Government to see that the non-official business also is transacted............

Dr. T. V. S Chalapathi Rao:— I want to know what are the rules provided for non-official business?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— For today, two hours have been provided—one hour for the Bill and one hour for the non-official resolution. If it is not over, let us carry over for the next week..........

Why is there different treatment today?
Non-official Business 20th August, 1971
re: The Andhra Pradesh Moratorium Bill 1971

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

Mr. Speaker: We took up non-official business 11.30 and we started it by 11.45. We took up non-official business 11.30 and we started it by 11.45. We took up non-official business 11.30 and we started it by 11.45. We took up non-official business 11.30 and we started it by 11.45. We took up non-official business 11.30 and we started it by 11.45.

You are questioning the discretion or the correctness of the decision by the Speaker. Unless the Members co-operate with the Chair, what can the Speaker or the Deputy Speaker do?

It is a question of understanding and mutual adjustment between the Members and the Speaker. If the Members do not co-operate, even then the Speaker has to adjust. If the Members co-operate with the Chair it will be easier to get on.

Now the question is, what time the Members want.

Non-Official Business.

re: The Andhra Pradesh Moratorium Bill 1971

I am prepared to consider. It is a question of accommodation between the Speaker and the members. Whoever is occupying the Chair. You must also co-operate.

Mr. Speaker:— You want the House to sit in the evening?

We do realise we are in a minority; The majority must have the generosity to co-operate with the minority for the non-official day.
Non-official Business 20th August, 1971

Mr. Speaker:— We need not be very rigid about these things. When the Government business is taken up, the opposition must co-operate and when the opposition wants that they should sit for more hours, certainly the official side can be very generous; they need not be rigid about these things. What is it you want me to do at present? You want the House to sit to-day evening?

Mr. Speaker:— Let me first dispose of the point raised by Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathirao. The suggestion is that on the next non-official day the same order of resolutions will be taken up. According to the rules, they have got to be put to the House. I am putting it to the House to waive the rule and agree for the order of the resolutions being taken up on the next day. I suppose the House has no objection.
But it will be difficult to get it implemented unless the members co-operate. Now, Sri Madhavarao will speak.

Sri A. Madhavarao:— So far as Government loans are concerned, more time is given. So far as small farmers, agricultural coolies are concerned they may be given loans in nationalised banks, central banks and land mortgage banks; so far as others are concerned, third parties are there who are giving loans; the only request is—let that be 5½ per cent not compoundable and that too being spread over a period of 3 years in equal instalments; that may be done and if that is done there is no difficulty.

Mr. Speaker:— The House is adjourned till 8.30 tomorrow morning.

The House then adjourned till Half past Eight of the Clock on Saturday, the 21st August, 1971.