WIDENING OF CHADERGHAT BRIDGE

528—

*544 Q.—Smt. J. Eshvari Bai (Yellareddi):—Will the hon. Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the steps taken to widen the Chaderghat bridge in Hyderabad City;

(b) whether the work has been completed; and

(c) if not, the reasons for the delay?

The Deputy Chief Minister Sri J. V. Narasimha Rao:—

a) Orders sanctioning the work and estimates were issued in December, 1968 and the work has been entrusted to the contractor on 29-4-1969.

b) No, Sir,

c) Originally the work was proposed to be completed by April, 1970. When the work was commenced by the contractor, objections were raised by the hutdwellers and land owners of the river-bed claiming ownership of the land occupied by them. Therefore, action was to be taken under the Land Acquisition Act and for evicting the encroachers. This took considerable time and finally the work was resumed in February, 1970. The heavy rains of August, 1970 also hampered the progress. The work was expected to be completed by the end of March, 1971. But, while the work was in good progress and concreting work for widening portion of span No. 7 was being done on 18-2-1971, a tragic accident occurred at about 4-30 P. M. on that day due to the sudden collapse of the centering of the widened portion of the above span. The causes for the collapse of centering of the widened portion of span No. 7 are under investigation.

Dr. S. R. M. Narasimha (Madhura District):—If the above is not repeated it is a mistake. Kindly see that it is not repeated.
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Mr. Speaker:— It may be a mistake.

[[Text content in Telugu]]
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Sir, Mr. Speaker:

To investigate into the causes of the collapse of the steel re-inforcement beam and the suitability of the design of RCC, materials used for construction, techniques employed, the methods adopted for centring concrete RCC, whether there was an wilfully neglect on the part of the departmental officers or contractors, to suggest ways and means of avoiding such failures.

Sir, Mr. Speaker:

To the question: -

Sir, Mr. Speaker:

To the question: -

Sir, Mr. Speaker:

To the question: -

Sir, Mr. Speaker:

To the question: -

Sir, Mr. Speaker:

To the question: -

Sir, Mr. Speaker:

To the question: -

Sir, Mr. Speaker:
Smt. J. Eswari Bai:— There are two more bridges. One is Puranapool and another is Muslamjung Bridge. Is there any proposal to widen them?

Sri P. Venkatesan (Dharmavaram):— Will the hon. Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

whether there is any proposal with the Government to have communications to the Backward villages from the Marketing Centres by providing funds from the Rayalaseema Development Board?

Sri J. V. Narasimha Rao:— No, Sir,

Sri B. Krishnaiah:— Is it true that 15,000 centres are being opened in the backward areas?

Sri B. Raja:— There are 16,000 centres in the backward areas.

Sri B. Krishnaiah:— Are 16,000 centres in the backward areas. Is it a plan to open 14 or 15 centres in the backward areas?

Sri B. Raja:— The number of centres has been increased to 16,000 in the backward areas.
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SEPARATE PANCHAYAT TO EVERY VILLAGE

530—

*1080 Q.—Sarvasri M. Venkataram Naidu (Parvathipuram) and Tangi Satyanarayana :— Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal with the Government to constitute a separate panchayat to every village having a population of one thousand; and

(b) if so, when it will be implemented?

The Minister for Panchayat Raj (T. Ramaswamy).

(a) No Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

CARPENTARY CENTRE IN NARSIPATNAM PANCHAYAT

531—

*31 (1698) Q.—Sri G., Krishnam Naidu (Gompa) :— Will the hon. Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any carpentry centre in Narsipatnam Panchayat Samithi, Visakhapatnam district;

(c) if not, what are the reasons;

(d) whether the Panchayat Samithi purchased logs from private individuals or from Saw Mills; and

(e) if so, the names of the individuals or mills from whom the wood was purchased?

Sri T. Ramaswamy:—

(a) There is no carpentry Centre. But there was one Rural Community workshop started under “Rural Arts, Crafts and Industries Programme” functioning from 1-1-1962.

(b) The following posts were in existence in the Rural Community Workshop during the years 1964-65, 1965-66, 1966-67, 1967-68 and 1968-69.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Posts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From 1-1-1964 to 31-12-1969</td>
<td>1. One Foreman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. One Carpentry Maistry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. One Blacksmithy Maistry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. One watchman under contingencies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Does not arise.

(d) Logs were purchased from private individuals as well as from Mills.

(e) A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NAMES OF THE INDIVIDUALS AND THE SAW MILLS FROM WHOM WOOD WAS PURCHASED


10. V. V. Subba Rao, Rajahmundry.

17. V. S. Koteswara Rao, Rajahmundry.
18. Piradi Danaiah, Tuni.
19. S. Narsaiah, Narsipatnam.
20. Ponndada Tatalu, Narsipatnam.
22. Ch. Viswanadha Raju, Narsipatnam.
24. District Forest Officer, Donkair.
27. T. Suryanarayana, Rolugunta.
28. Tanaki Satyanarayana.

LOCAL LIBRARY AUTHORITY

532—

1313 Q.—Sri Badri Vishal Pitti (Maharajgunj); Will the Hon-
Minister for Education be pleased to state :

(a) whether there is any body called 'Local Library Authority-
and

(b) if so, the manner in which it is constituted and the nature
of its functions?

The Minister for education (Sri P. V. Narashimha Rao):—

(a) There is a body called "Zilla Grandhalaya Samstha (Pre-
viously Local Library Authority) now existing in the State.

(b) A statement (showing the constitution of the Zilla Grand-
halaya Samstha, the nature of its functions and powers)
is placed on the Table of the House.

A Statement showing the constitution of the Zilla Grandhalaya
Samstha, the nature of its functions and Powers:

The Zilla Grandhalaya Samsthas have been constituted in the
manner prescribed in Section 10 of the Andhra Pradesh Public Libraries Act, 1960, as follows :-

10 (1) The Zilla Grandhalaya Samastha for the twin cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad shall consist of the following members namely :

a) Four members nominated by the Government from among the residents of the twin cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad who have rendered eminent service to the cause of education or Public Libraries;

b) Two members elected from among themselves by the presidents of the governing bodies of the Public Libraries (other than those referred to in Sub-Clause (a) & (b) of clause (9) of section (2) in the twin cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad;

c) Two members elected by the councillors of the Municipality Corporation of Hyderabad.

d) Two members nominated by the Andhra Pradesh Library Association from among the members of the branches of the said association in the cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad;

e) The Librarian, city Central Library, Hyderabad who shall be the Secretary of the Zilla Grandhalaya Samastha.

2. The Zilla Grandhalaya Samastha for each district shall consist of the following members, namely :

a) Five members nominated by the Government as follows :-

i) One person from among persons in the medical profession in the district;

ii) One person from among persons in the legal profession in the District;

iii) One headmaster or headmistress of a Secondary School in the District;

iv) Two persons who have rendered eminent service in the field of Education or Public Libraries;

a) Two members elected from among themselves, by the presidents of the Governing bodies of the Public Libraries, other than those referred to in Sub-Clause (a), (b) and (c), of clause (9) of section 2 in each revenue division in the District;

c) One member elected from among themselves by the Sarpanches of gram Panchyats in each taluk in the District;

d) One member elected from among themselves by the councillors of the council of every municipality in the District;

e) Two members nominated by the District branch of the Andhra Pradesh Library Association.
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f) The Librarian, District Central Library, who shall also be Secretary of Zilla Parishad who shall also be the Secretary of Zilla Grandhalaya Samstha.

3. Every Zilla Grandhalaya Samstha shall elect one of its members to be its Chairman.

11. (1) Every member of a Zilla Grandhalaya Samstha, not being the Librarian of the city Central Library or the Librarian of the District Central Library, shall hold office for a period of five years from the date of his nomination or election, as the case may be

Provided that any such member who is holding office at the commencement of the Andhra Pradesh Public Libraries (Amendment) Act, 1969 shall continue to hold office for a period of five years from the date on which he was nominated, as the case may be:

(2) A member nominated or elected in his capacity as the holder of a particular office shall, if he ceases to be the holder of that office, cease to be a member of the Zilla Grandhalaya Samstha.

The powers and functions of Zilla Grandhalaya Samsthas are laid down in section 13 of the Act as follows:

13. (1) A Zilla Grandhalaya Samstha may:

(a) Provide suitable lands and buildings for Public Libraries and also the furniture, fittings, materials and conveniences requisite therefor;

(b) Stock such Libraries with books, periodicals, newspapers, manuscripts, maps, works and specimens of art and science, lantern, slides, films, cinema projectors, recorders and the like, provided that the books that may be stocked in the libraries shall be selected from the list of books approved from time to time by the Government. Provided further that the Zilla Grandhalaya Samstha shall stock also books and periodicals as may be directed, from time to time by the Government or by officer authorised by the Government in this behalf, the aggregate value whereof shall not be less than twenty five per cent of the provision made in the budget of that Samsthas for the purpose of this clause;

(c) Omitted by Andhra Pradesh Act. No. 17 of 1964.

(d) With the previous sanction of the Director, shift or close any public Library mentioned in sub-clause (a) of clause (9) of Section 2, or discontinued aid to any other Public Library the payment of which is regulated by rules made under this act.

(e) Accept any gift or endowment for any purpose connected with its activities;

Provided that no gift or endowment of an immovable property shall be accepted without the previous sanction of the Government;
Provided for the holding of classes and conduct other activities connected with Public Library Service including social education;

With the consent of the management and the previous sanction of the Government or an officer authorised by the Government in this behalf acquire any Library on such conditions as may be approved by the Government or an officer authorised by the Government in this behalf;

With the previous approval of the Director Organise, or participate in conference for the discussion of matters relating to the development of Public Libraries and the Library service;

In general, do every thing necessary to carry out the provisions of this Act.

श्री बदरी विशाल पित्तूः क्या मुनिस्पल कार्योर्गनिशन को तरफ से लाइब्रेरी शेस नाम से दिया जाता है। ब्रह्म दिया जाता है तो ६४, ६५ से ६६, ७० तक कितना दिया गया।

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao: Sir, this question pertains generally to local library authorities not to the city. We have given all details regarding the constitution of the authority, the manner in which it functions. If a separate question is put regarding city or any district, I can give details.

श्री बदरी विशाल पित्तूः मेहरबाची करके यह इतनफर मेजर फर्नास कर दीजिए कि मुनिस्पल कार्योर्गनिशन को तरफ से ६४, ६५ से ६६, ७० तक कितना लाइब्रेरी शेस दिया गया और हैदराबाद के मेम्बरस कौन कौन है। इस मे यह भी है हैदराबाद की तरफ से दो मेम्बरस लेक्ट किए जायेगे। ब्रह्म कार्योर्गनिशन ती है नहीं। आसे मे क्या परिस्थिति है।

Smt. J. Eswari B. I.: What is the annual collection of library cess in the Municipal Corporation jurisdiction and the entire state and what percentage goes to the collecting agencies? And how much to the local library authority?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao: I take this question and will send the answer to the hon. member.
Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao: No objection if the House is prepared to give time again to this question or another question because the question he has asked does not arise out of this question.

Mr. Speaker: No, if you can collect the information and furnish it to the member by tomorrow it is all right. There is no postponement of this question.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao: Sadan is coming to a close tomorrow. All right. I will see that it is done by tomorrow.

P. V. Narasimha Rao: We have appointed book selection committees language-wise and subject-wise. These committees have given us lists of books that are to be purchased. A G. O. has been issued, instructions have been issued and guidelines have been issued and the local library authorities are now engaged in purchase of books.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao: When was this order issued?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao: Language wise it was issued.
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Whatever the amendment passed by this August House says, we are implementing it. There is a delay because the first list takes time. I am feeling insulted as a member of the Committee.

List is there for anyone to see. We are always having the power to add, subtract or whatever it is. List has been sent. The Grandalaya Samasthas have been asked to suggest new names of books.

Mr. Speaker: Already you have taken 5 minutes in putting your supplementary. How I cover the list? He is saying even in the matter of implementation of the suggestions, he will certainly see that they are properly implemented. What else you want him to do?
సి.డి.బి. కార్యాలయం: — అంతే, దేశంలో తెలియబడిన విషయం, సాధారణ విషయాలు అంశంగా ఉండాలి అనటుంది. ప్రతి విషయానికి తెలియబడిన కార్యాలయాలు ఉండాలి?

స్రీ ప్. వ. నరసింహ రావు: — How does it arise out of the main question? It is impossible for me to say that, అందులో చెందిన సంఖ్యలు ఉంటే, ఈ విషయానికి తెలియబడిన సాధారణ విషయాలు ఉండాలి. విచారణలు ఉండాలి.

మొదటి పాఠాలను సేకరించండి.

స్రీ ప్. వ. నరసింహ రావు: This is only an extract from the Act. What we have placed on the Table of the House is only an extract from the relevant law for the time being in force. Nothing beyond that. In pursuance of this, if any specific information is needed regarding a specific district or a specific item, I will give it, Sir.

స్రీ ప్. వ. నరసింహ రావు: Sir, I am very happy that he has supported me. నాకు మరిన్ని ప్రతిష్ఠలు విచారణలు ఉండాలి. మరిన్ని ప్రతిష్ఠలు ఉండాలి. అది లోకంలో ఉంటుంది. మరిన్ని కార్యాలయాలు ఉండాలి. There are some vested interests who want these textbooks—to be filled in the libraries. That is why, they are agitating, but is not to be taken cognizance of by the Government. It is precisely the thing which the hon. member has suggested we have done.

స్రీ ప్. వ. నరసింహ రావు: — సాధారణ విషయాలు ఉండాలి, సాధారణ విషయాలు ఉండాలి.

మ్రుస్కేథర్: You need not answer that question.
Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao: She has asked the question regarding Municipal Corporation. I will give the information.

DEVELOPMENT OF MILK PRODUCTS

*1345 Q.—Sri Buragadda Niranjan Rao: (Malleswaram) Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that Central Milk Development Board has agreed to invest Rs. 5 crores for the development of Milk Products in coastal districts:

(b) if so, whether the amount will be given as loan or grant;

and

(c) whether any scheme is prepared to utilise the said five crores?

The Minister for Agriculture (Sri Kakani Venkatarathnam):—

(a) & (b) The Indian Dairy Corporation has indicated an assistance of Rs. 2.46 crores for Andhra Pradesh. The assistance would be on the basis of 30% grant and 70% loan.

(c) The scheme has not yet been finalised.

3. The Hon. Minister for Agriculture, 70% of the total amount will be in loan.

5. It is expected that the Central Milk Development Board will be utilising the assistance of Rs. 2.46 crores for Andhra Pradesh.

6. The Hon. Minister for Agriculture:— The Hon. Minister informed that the Central Milk Development Board has indicated an assistance of Rs. 2.46 crores for Andhra Pradesh. The assistance will be in the form of 30% grant and 70% loan.

7. The Hon. Minister for Agriculture:— The Hon. Minister informed that the Central Milk Development Board has indicated an assistance of Rs. 2.46 crores for Andhra Pradesh. The assistance will be in the form of 30% grant and 70% loan.

8. The Hon. Minister for Agriculture:— The Hon. Minister informed that the Central Milk Development Board has indicated an assistance of Rs. 2.46 crores for Andhra Pradesh. The assistance will be in the form of 30% grant and 70% loan.
ప్రపంచంలోని ప్రపంచీకరణ పనులు చేయడానికి జరుగుపించే రెండు ప్రాముఖ్యతులు ఉన్నాయని ప్రతిక్రియలు మాత్రమే సూచిస్తాయి: సంస్ంధిస్తాయి సుమారు 224 సంఖ్యలు. ఈ పనులు మొట్టమొత్తం 534 సంఖ్యలు కలిగిన సంస్థలు మాత్రమే ఉన్నాయి. దీనిని చనిచేసిన మొత్తం సంఖ్య 1,400 లక్షలు ఉండటం గాని అతివిశాలం. పరిస్థితి తనికి ఉభయాయం యొక్క ప్రత్యేకత, మొత్తం సంఖ్య 2,400 లక్షలు ఉండటం గాని అతివిశాలం.
FERTILISER LOANS

*719 (2311) Q.—Sarvasri R. Satyanarayana Raju;—(Narasapur) and T. C. Rajan Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) the samithi-wise amount of fertiliser loans given during 1967-68 in Kalabasti and Tottambedu samithis;

(b) the amount collected in cash and the amount which was converted into reloans in 1967-68;

(c) whether it has been brought to the notice of the Govt. that in the Kalabasti and Tottambedu Samithis in Chittor district loan files are prepared under reloaning scheme and that subsequent to the sanction of loans, the fertilisers are sold at a lower rate and the proceeds are misappropriated; and

(d) if so, the steps taken by the Government for checking these malpractices?

Sri Katani Venkatarathnam:

(a) The following Samithi-wise amounts of fertiliser loans were disbursed to the cultivators during 1967-68.

1. Kalabasti Panchayat Samithi ... Rs. 11,500-00
2. Tottambedu Panchayat Samithi ... Rs. 26,500-00

(b) No cash was collected and no amount was converted into reloans in 1967-68 in Kalabasti and Tottambedu Panchayat Samithis.

c) & d) Do not arise in view of answer to clause (b) above.

a) 1967-68 వరకు విభాగం కోసం అదిరా 11,500-00
d) 1967-68 వరకు విభాగం కోసం అదిరా 26,500-00
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Q. 536—Sri R. Mahananda:—Will the hon. Minister for Endowments be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri Kalahastheswaraswamy temple at Kurichedu, Darsi Taluk, Ongole District is in a bad condition;

(b) whether there are any properties to the temple;

(c) whether there are any trustees for this temple; and

(d) whether they applied for any grant or loan for renovation of this temple?

The Minister for Endowments (Sri R. Ramalinga Raju):—

(a) No Sir.

(b) Yes Sir.

(c) The period of the previous Trust Board expired on 24-12-58 and proposals for its reconstitution is under consideration.

(d) No Sir.
SECOND GHA T ROAD AT TIRUPATHI

537—

*154(2840) Q.—Sri Agarala Eswara Reddy (Tirupathi):—Will the hon. Minister for Endowments be pleased to state:
(a) whether, the Second Ghat Road at Tirupathi is nearing completion;
(b) if so, the date by which it would open to the traffic;
(c) the cost of the venture?

Sri R. Ramalinga Raju:—

(a) Yes, Sir, the work relating to Second Ghat Road at Tirupathi is in progress and the road will be motorable by about June 1971.

(b) By about the middle of 1972, the road may be thrown open to the traffic.

(c) Estimated cost is Rs. 48 lakhs. In lieu of尝age charges an amount of Rs. 4,29,000 has to be paid by Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams to the R & B Department, towards the cost of establishment charges. In addition to the above, the work of blacktopping of the road is estimated to cost about Rs. 8 lakhs.
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* 539 Q.—Smt. J. Eswari Bai:—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government had purchased de-watering machines called Jyoti Machines numbering about twenty from a Bombay firm called Alladins.

(b) what is the amount spent on the purchase of these Jyoti machines and what is the balance to be paid; and

(c) whether these machines were in working order and whether the efficacy of these machines was tested by Government before purchase?

The Minister for Power deputed the Minister for Irrigation (Sri V. Krishnamurthy Naidu):—

(a) No Sir.

(b) & (c) Do not arise.

Sir, the Minister informed that 20 3/4, 14 pumps were purchased for de-watering during 1968-69, each pump costing Rs. 40,000/-.
The question is: whether the Government had purchased de-watering machines called Jyoti Machines numbering about 20 from a Bombay firm called Alladins.

Information is not asked for.

The then Superintending Engineer Srisailam Project who was charge-sheeted for the irregularities of purchasing the pump-sets from the
third lowest tender. Even in that also, there is some anomaly and now he is retired. He is not in service.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— When the charges are pending could the Government allow that man to retire? How many such cases has the Government allowed people to retire when charges are still pending when such serious charges involving 2½ lakhs of rupees of people's money are made?

Sri V. Krishnamurthi Naidu:— He was charge-sheeted and he has submitted his explanation. The explanation of the Superintending Engineer was accepted in view of the urgent need for the pump-sets for de-watering at Srisailam project.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— Then on whom have you fixed the responsibility for the loss of this Rs. 2½ lakhs. When you have accepted the explanation of that officer, on whom have you fixed the responsibility?

Sri V. Krishnamurthi Naidu: The Government also agreed that in view of the urgent need of pump-sets for de-watering at Srisailam project, it was found necessary to purchase them. Subsequently, after purchasing the pump-sets, the design was changed. It is a technical matter.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— I am not able to understand this.

Mr. Speaker:— Mr. Ratnasabhapathi, they were not functioning properly. There were some defects. After the defects were rectified, the design was changed. Now, they are functioning properly. Is it not so? Let us make matters clear.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— It is not like that. They have purchased the machines and they were not functioning. Therefore, Sir, they started thinking.......

Mr. Speaker:— Are those machines working now?

Sri V. Krishnamurthi Naidu:— They are in good condition. They are working now. But, now they are keeping idle.
Mr. Speaker:— According to him, they were in working condition. But they are not being used.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— When they are needed, they are not working, when they are not needed, they are in working condition. What is this? What is the kind of answer the Minister is giving us.

Mr. Speaker:— Whatever it may be, he is saying that the machines are in working condition. Since they are not required, they are not being put to use. That is the answer given by the Minister.

Sri B. Ratnasabapathi:— One submission, Sir. We are dealing with public money and I request the Government not to take this matter lightly. When Rs. 2½ lakhs are involved, what is the point in saying that they are now functioning but they are not required. Is it an answer, Sir? Am I to swallow this answer?

During 1964-65, the construction of rock-filled dam of Sri-ram project was taken up on the upstream side of the dam, and it was proposed to have a similar coffer dam downstream of dam site. To enclose the side of dam dewatering it and tackle the channel portion, a new forming for grout curtain all-round the rock filled dam was felt necessary to reduce the seepage. There was also the need to grout the main dam under pressure when it is taken up. Hence grout pumps were proposed to be purchased with the necessary equipment. There was also the need of the main dam.
Mr. Speaker:— I will ask him to place it on the Table of the House. Please see that it is placed on the Table of the House tomorrow.

Sri V. Krishnamurthi Naidu:— The information is available with me.

Mr. Speaker:— He will furnish full information by tomorrow.

I have asked the Minister to furnish the full information to the House.

Srimathl. Eshwari Bai:— The Committee was informed that not only they are useful to the project now, but they are not required anywhere in India.

Mr. Speaker:— Furnish the information by tomorrow.
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IMPROVEMENT OF IRRIGATIONAL FACILITIES
IN ANANTAPUR DISTRICT

539—

* 488 (2954) Q.—Sri P. Venkatesan:— Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) what are the proposals given to the Central Irrigation Committee by the State Government;

(b) whether the Public Works (Irrigation Department) has suggested to the Committee to improve the Irrigational facilities in Anantapur District, specially in Dharmavaram Taluk; and

(c) if so, what are the suggestions given?

The Minister for Irrigation (Sri S. Sidda Reddy):—

(a) (b), & (c) A statement is placed on the table of the House.

STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

(a) The following proposals were made to the Central Team of Irrigation for taking up Minor Irrigation Schemes in the Chronically drought affected areas of Anantapur District:

1. Restoration of breached Peddacheruvu, Kadiri Taluk

2. Restoration of breached Obulapuram tank (Kalyanadurg Taluk);

3. Hullikal tank of Anukampalli (Kalyanadurg Taluk);

4. Forming a new tank across Vanka near N. Hanumanpuram (Rayadurg Taluk);

5. Excavation of a supply channel from Hagari river to Dodagatta tank (Rayadurg Taluk);

6. Restoration of breached Ramagiri tank of Kudairu Anantapur Taluk;

7. Restoration of breached tank of Mamilla Cheruvu, of Gooty Taluk;
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8. Forming a new tank near Muthukota, Tadipatri Taluk;
   (b) All the above schemes were suggested to Irrigation Committee pertaining to Anantapur District; but none pertain to Dharmavaram Taluk;
   (c) In view of answer to Clauses (a) & (b) above, does not arise.

STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS OF P.W.D. CODE

540—

* 959 (2836-J) Q.—Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao;— Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation be pleased to State:

(a) whether is it not a fact that many standard specifications of the P.W.D. Code have become out-moded; and

(b) if so, what steps the Government has taken to make them up to date?

The Minister for Irrigation Sri S. Sidda Reddy:—

a) Standard specifications available in Andhra Pradesh Departmental Standard Specifications are not out-moded. However, they need conversion into Metric system. The work of conversion is in progress.

b) There is need to supplement the available specifications with new standards prescribed by the Indian Standards Institute, etc., especially those relating to work in big projects. The matter is engaging the attention of the Government.
A lot of research is going not only in this country but outside the country also. The field of study is developing rapidly and new techniques are being introduced. You have already stated that a lot of work is being done in this field. However, the work is not yet complete.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Then it is a question of privilege. I can read from the Estimates Committee's evidence. Is it not a duty for calling for explanation and send it to the Estimates Committee? I don’t know which evidence is correct. The Committee has not taken the decision.
TURBINE PUMP SETS ETC. PURCHASED FOR SRISAILAM PROJECT

541—

\*260 (1539) Q—Sri R. Mahananda:— Will the hon. Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) how many Turbine pump sets and Wagon Drills and Air Compressors were purchased for Sreesailam project from 1964 to 1967;

(b) what is the cost of the same; and

(c) whether they have been put into use and if so, whether they are in working condition?

The Minister for Power

Sri V. Krishnamurthy Naidu:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars of equipment</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Total cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchased from 1964–67.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Turbine pump sets.</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9,18,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Wagon Drills.</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2,70,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Air Compressors.</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>23,75,435</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 74 35,64,861

(i) The equipment was utilised to the extent required for formation of Coffer Dam in Srisailam Project,

ii) One Turbine Pump, 6 Wagon Drills and 9 Air Compressors are in sick condition,
23rd March, 1971
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Q. 538. Smt. I. Eshwari Bai:— Will the hon. Minister for Power be pleased to state:
what is the amount spent on the Srisailam Project Scheme up to date and the share of Andhra and Telangana regions out of it?
Sri V. Krishnamurthy Naidu:—

The total amount spent on the Srisailam Project till the end of February, 1971 is Rs. 2,836.02 lakhs. On the basis of 2:1 ratio between Andhra and Telangana regions, expenditure incurred on the two regions is as follows:

1) Andhra Region Rs. 1,890.68 lakhs
2) Telangana
Region: Rs. 945.34

Total Rs. 2,836.02 lakhs.

Mr. Speaker: You must come prepared with all the information which is relevant or irrelevant.

Sri P. Subbiah:— What is the amount spent up to date?

Sri V. Krishnamurthy Naidu:— The total amount till the end of February is given in the answer.
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914 (1453-K) Q.—Sri R. Mahananda:—Will the hon. Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government sanctioned a grant of one lakh rupees towards the repairs of breached tanks in Darsi taluk, Ongole District, in the months of July and August, 1969; and

(b) if so, what are the works taken up with this grant?

The Minister for Minor Irrigation (Sri V. Prasanth Reddy):—
(a) No, Sir.

(b), (c) and (d): Do not arise.

Sri V. Purushotam Reddy: — On behalf of the Government, it is put on record that the probable repair of the said anicut is not feasible. The recommendation for the same will be considered by the Government. The repair of the anicut is being taken up by the public works department.

REPAIRS TO GANDLERU ANICUT: ALLAGADA TALUK

544—

*912 (1453-C) Q. —Sri G. Thimma Reddy (Allagadda):—Will the hon. Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware of the fact that six tanks can be supplied with water from the water that will be available by the construction of Gandleru Anicut Scheme in Allagadda taluk, Kurnool district;

(b) whether two of them are P.W.D. tanks and the remaining four are minor irrigation tanks;

(c) whether the Government are aware that the said anicut is not in good condition; and also that the crops are withering away since the last two years in an extent of nearly 2000 acres;

(d) whether the Government are aware of any reports requesting for the repair of the said anicut;

(e) if so, whether estimates have been prepared; if so, the estimated expenditure therefor; and

(f) whether the said work will be taken up and completed this year?

Sri V. Purushotam Reddy:

(a) Yes, Sir. Water is available for seven tanks.

(b) Two tanks are in charge of Public Works Department and the remaining five are in charge of Zilla Parishad, Kurnool.

(c) Due to bad condition of anicut and supply channel, only higher tanks in the group are receiving same supplies. Out of a settled ayacut of 1102 acres, about 961.98 acres suffered damage during 1968-69. There was no damage during 1969-70.
Oral Answers to Questions. 23rd March, 1911

(d) Some representations were received up to March, 1966 requesting for repairs to the anicut and supply channel.

(e) Yes Sir; an estimate for Rs. 40,000 was prepared, but work could not be taken up due to paucity of funds.

(f) No Sir, Funds are not available this year.

M. I. TANKS BREACHED IN WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT

545—

*895 (1450-T) Q.—Sri M. Venkata Narayana:—Will the hon Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) the number of minor irrigation tanks in west Godavari District;

(b) the number of tanks breached during the last cyclone;

(c) the number of tanks got repaired so far; and

(d) the number of tanks yet to be repaired?

Sri V. Prasunlath Reddy:—

(a) 1329.

(b) 153.

(c) 13.

(d) 140.

RISK INSURANCE SCHEME TO FISHERMEN

546—

*681 (2018) Q.—Sri R. Mahananda:—Will the Hon. Minister for Fisheries and Ports be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal before the Government to introduce Risk Insurance Scheme to Fishermen in the State;

(b) if so, what is the approximate cost of the scheme and the share of the State Government; and

(c) how many fishermen are there either in co-operatives or outside co-operative fold?
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The Minister for Fisheries and Ports (Sri J. V. Narasinha Rao):—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) The total membership of all Fisherman Co-operative Societies in the State is 55,074. The fishermen population in the State as per 1961 census is 99,174.

MECHANISED BOATS LYING IDLE

547—

*696 (2121) Q.—Sri R. Satyanarayana Raju:— Will the hon. Minister for Fisheries and Ports be pleased to state:

(a) the number of machine boats of the Fisheries Department which are under repair at various ports (together with the names of the Ports) and the time from which they are lying idle;

(b) whether it is a fact that the members of the Government machinery themselves have removed the copper sheets worth about one lakh of rupees from the bottom of 30 boats, each boat having copper sheets worth 3,000 rupees, in Kakinada and Bandar ports, sold away the same and misappropriated the amount:

(c) the steps taken by the Government in this regard; and

(d) when the Government intend to bring into use the damaged boats now under repairs, and at what expenditure*

Sri J. V. Narasinda Rao:—

(a) Only one mechanised boat of the Fisheries Department at Vizag is under repairs since August, 1970.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) Since the boat has an imported engine, which has gone out of order due to wear and tear, action is being taken to get it
repairs. If imported parts are not available, the replacement of
engine may cost Rs. 70,000 to Rs. 80,000.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

COLLECTION OF LAND REVENUE FROM
THE NAGARJUNA SAGAR AYACUTDARS

S. No. 547-A

S.N.Q. No. 2395-N: Sri Vavilala Gopala Krishnayya:—

Will the Hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Land Revenue is being collected
from Nagarjuna Sagar Ayacutdars for the last three years at the
rates of Rs. 5 + 6 + 2.25, Rs. 5 + 9 + 3.37 and Rs. 5 - 12 + 4.30 for wet
lands and at Rs. 5 + 4 + 1.50, Rs. 5 + 6 + 2.25 and Rs. 5 + 8 + 3 for the
dry cum wet land for the fasilies 1378, 1379, 1380 respectively for
each acre of land apart from the penalties, if any; and

(b) if so, whether the Government will consider to collect
these amounts in instalment for this year and next year to relieve
the hardship to Ayacutdars in paying these amounts?

The Minister for Revenue (Sri P. Thimma Reddy):—

(a) In addition to the dry assessment, the water rates as appro-
ved in G O. Ms. No. 918. Revenue, dated 24-9-1969 are being levied
on the dry lands under Nagarjuna Sagar Project subject to the
usual graded concessions. The water rates are as follows:—

1. For the first or single wet crop ... Rs. 15-P.A.
2. For irrigated dry crops ... Rs. 10-P.A.
3. For dofasal crops or two wet crops ... Rs. 22-50Ps.

(b) There is no proposal to collect the amounts in instalments.

NIGHT SERVICE BUSES FROM CHIRALA
TO HYDERABAD

S. No. 547-B.

S.N.O. No. 2395-E: Sri Kona Prabhakara Rao: (Bapatla)
Will the Hon. Minister for Transport be pleased to state:

(a) how many buses are now running between Chirala and Hyderabad for night service:

(b) what are the dates on which they were placed on the road and what is the total mileage each bus has covered so far; and

(c) when the said buses are likely to be replaced?

The Minister for Transport (Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasaiah):

(a) Six buses are being operated on three services (Two Night and one day).

(b) particulars of the Six vehicles operating between Chirala and Hyderabad are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Number</th>
<th>Date first commissioned</th>
<th>Meterage (mileage operated upto 28-2-1971 from the date of commission)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APZ 4882</td>
<td>February 1969</td>
<td>2,91,722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APZ 4883</td>
<td>February 1969</td>
<td>2,93,931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APZ 4875</td>
<td>February 1969</td>
<td>2,76,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APZ 5261</td>
<td>June 1970</td>
<td>1,00,277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APZ 5262</td>
<td>June 1970</td>
<td>1,10,488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APZ 5334</td>
<td>December 1970</td>
<td>26,946</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Vehicle Nos. APZ 4882, 4883, 4875 are due to be withdrawn shortly for complete overhaul and will be replaced when withdrawn. Vehicle Nos. 5261, 5262 and 5334 were put on road only recently and the question of their replacement does not arise at present.
Announcement: 23rd March, 1971

re: Resignations of Sri Ankinneedu Prasada Rao: and Sri Ahmed Hussain.

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasaiah:— I will get it examined.

H. A. C. N. V. Jeyashankar:— The paper is being examined and will be published soon.

C. N. A. R. V. Venkata:— What is the exact position?

S. A. C. P. K. V. Ramana:— I don't understand your question.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

re: Resignation of Sri Ankinneedu Prasada Rao:

Mr. Speaker: I have received the following letters from the Hon. Members. The first one is from Sri Ankinneedu Prasada Rao.

"To the Speaker,

A. P. Legislative Assembly.

Sir,

I hereby tender my resignation of my seat in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly with effect from today, i.e. 22-3-1971.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/Ankinneedu Prasada Rao."

re: Resignation of Sri Ahmed Hussain.

The second one is from Sri Ahmed Hussain which reads as follows:— (Letter written in Urdu and translated into English).

"To

The Speaker,

A. P. Legislative Assembly.

To

The President,

All India Itte-ed Muslimeen.

Sir,

I have received from the Executive and Advisory committee of the All India Itte-ed Muslimeen. I deem it my moral duty to resign from my Assembly seat. It may be kindly accepted.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/Ahmed Hussain."
Adjournment motion re: Non-supply of water to crops from Cuddapaha-Kurnool Canal

re: Resignation of Sri Khaja Nizamuddin.
The third one is from Khaja Nizamuddin.

To

The Speaker,

A. P. Legislative Assembly.

Sir,

I have resigned from the All India Itte-ed Muslimeen. I deem it my moral duty to resign my seat in the Assembly. Hence, I submit my resignation. Kindly intimate me after accepting it.

Yours faithfully,
Sd/Khaja Nizamuddin."

CONSTITUTION OF HOUSE COMMITTEE
(for 1971–72)

Mr. Speaker: I am to announce to the House that the following Members have been nominated to the House Committee for the financial year 1971–72.

1. Sri J. V. Narasing Rao, Deputy Chief Minister.
2. Sri G. Thimma Reddi.
7. Sri R. Satyanarayana Raju.

Under Rule 202 of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules, I hereby nominate Sri J. V. Narasing Rao, Deputy Chief Minister, to be Chairman of the Committee.

ADJOURNMENT MOTION

re: Non-supply of water to crops from Cuddapah-Kurnool Canal.
Privilege motions: 23rd March, 1971

re: Alleged divulging of the proceedings of the Estimates Committee by its Chairman.
re: Arrest of Sri R. Bhoom Rao, M.L.A.

Mr. Speaker:— All right; we will take up tomorrow.

(Pause)

PRIVILEGE MOTIONS

re: Alleged divulging of the proceedings of the Estimates Committee by its Chairman

Mr. Speaker:— Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya has given notice of breach of privilege against Sri Vemareddy. (To Sri Vema Reddy) The charge against you is this—that you divulged a portion of the proceedings of the Estimates Committee. There is a duty cast on the members of the Estimates Committee that they should not divulge any of the proceedings. In contravention of that convention you have divulged the proceedings of the Estimates Committee. That is the charge.

Sir C. V. K. Rao:— Sir, he is our Chairman of the Estimates Committee; he is an extremely nice man; I do not think he will commit such things.

Mr. Speaker:— If nice people commit offences we should leave them off?

Sri K. V. Vema Reddy:— (Kadiri) In the first place, when some correspondents met me, in a casual way I told them that more allocations of funds are necessary for the completion of the project to confer more benefits on the people of Rayalaseema. I never said that the Committee urged the Government for the speedy execution of the project within 5 years. There is no disclosure. I said in a very casual and general manner that more funds are necessary for the completion of the project. It is not a disclosure of the proceedings of the Committee and as such no privilege is involved.

Mr. Speaker:— In view of the statement made by Sri Vema Reddy, there is no question of breach of privilege involved. The motion is disallowed.

It is an end of the matter.

re: Arrest of Sri R. Bhoom Rao, M. L. A.

Mr. Speaker:— Sri Bhoom Rao has given notice of a Privilege Motion. It reads like this: "I hereby give notice of my intention
to move a question of privilege under Rule 173. I was arrested by the Sub-Inspector, Nabipet in the presence and with the oral orders of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Nizamabad at Nabipet on 22-2-71 and was detained at the Police Station, Nabipet till 2 a.m. on the night of 22nd without any warrant. Thus they have restrained my freedom of functioning during the elections. Yours faithfully, R. Bhoom Rao."

(Mr. Speaker) — The member says he was arrested by the Police.

Mr. Speaker: — No M. L. A. can claim any extra rights or privileges. The law applies to everybody, but the point is that he says he was arrested and kept in custody.

Mr. Speaker: — Against the will of any person none can be confined either in a police station or any place; that amounts to wrongful confinement. But we are concerned with the question of privilege.

If a private individual is detained it amounts to wrongful confinement and if a member of this Assembly is kept in wrongful confinement.
Privilege motions 23rd March, 1971
re:- Arrest of Sri R. Bhoom Rao, M.L.A

...does it amount to breach of privilege? I do not deny—neither a police officer nor any officer has got a right to keep any person in confinement, i.e., restricting the freedom of an individual; it amounts to wrongful confinement about which they can certainly take action in a court or law.

Mr. Speaker:— If an M. L. A. is arrested there is a duty cast upon the Police to send immediately information to the Speaker. I have not received information.

Sri G. Rajaram:— That has not been done.

Mr. Speaker:— But they say they have not arrested the person at all.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— Let us hear the member what he has got to say. The Minister says the member was not arrested; the member says he has been arrested and put under wrongful confinement. Let us hear him what happened. He must have been taken forcibly and detained for some time, that would mean wrongful confinement.

Mr. Speaker:—According to what the member has mentioned, it might amount to wrongful confinement.
Privilege motions
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మంత్రిని వంద వాణిజ్యానికి కలిగిన వోల్ఫ్మోటలో సాగిచే ఉపయోగాలు కరందంగా అడుగుపెట్టుకుందానని నా పేరిన విచారణలను నాణ్యం చేస్తానికి నిర్ధారించబడింది.

పి.ఎస్ అమలా యొక్క (భారతీయ రాష్ట్రం) — అందువలె, అమలా మంత్రికి ఒక సమయం ప్రదర్శించాలి నూతన ప్రాంతాలని సంఖ్యల ప్రాంతాలు తెలియాలి అంచనా నిర్ధారించండి. ప్రతిఅంచనా సంఖ్య 7.30 అంచనా సంఖ్య 2 కోట్లలో నిర్ధారించబడితే అంచనా సంఖ్య తగ్గితి లేదు వారిల్లో వృద్ధి చేస్తానికి వేయబడింది. అందువలె సంస్థానానికి వేదిక కోటలు నిర్ధారించాలి అంచనా సంఖ్య తగ్గితి లేదు. అందువలె సంస్థానాల వివిధ విభాగాలలో వృద్ధి చేస్తానికి వేదిక కోటలు నిర్ధారించాలి అంచనా సంఖ్య తగ్గితి లేదు. అందువలె సంస్థానాల వివిధ విభాగాలలో వృద్ధి చేస్తానికి వేదిక కోటలు నిర్ధారించాలి అంచనా సంఖ్య తగ్గితి లేదు. అందువలె సంస్థానాల వివిధ విభాగాలలో వృద్ధి చేస్తానికి వేదిక కోటలు నిర్ధారించాలి అంచనా సంఖ్య తగ్గితి లేదు.
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Mr. Speaker :—  I will give my ruling tomorrow.

Sri C. V. K. Rao :—  You said whether this would amount to a breach of privilege or not. This is a clear case of breach of privilege, Sir.

Mr. Speaker :—  I will get it examined. Simply because you say or somebody else says that it is a breach of privilege, it does not become one.

Sri C. V. K. Rao :—  I am only putting it before the House; Sir it is a loud thinking.

Mr. Speaker :—  I will consider whether a prima facie case is made out or not. If I consider that there is a prima facie case, made out even without putting it to the House, I will refer it to the Committee. If I feel any doubt, I will ask the House whether it would amount to a breach or not. The point here is this: the Member says that he was arrested and kept in police station for 1½ hours or 2 hours.

Sri G. Raja Ram :—  No, Sir, it is for 5 hours.

Mr. Speaker :—  Whether it is five hours of half-an-hour, it would be immaterial, if it is against law. This statement of the Member, namely the arrest, is denied by the Minister for Home.

Sri C. V. K. Rao :—  Will the Minister admit any fault on the part of his department, Sir. That should not be the criterion. You are the Judge. Even the D. S. P., according to the member, said that "you are under arrest".

Mr. Speaker :—  The member says that he was arrested under the oral instructions from D. S. P. That is his allegation.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi :—  Even the Minister had admitted that the Member with his friends had been taken to the Police Station. The fact that he has been taken to the Police Station has not been denied by the Minister. Whether it is a legal arrest or not— that is the point to be decided by you. Even the Member has said that the member had been kept in the Police Station, for more than 5 hours. That is a fact.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddi :—  Please do not misinterpret. The Minister has said that the fact of arrest is untrue, is false. They were only invited to the Police Station and told that such things should not happen.

(Several members rose in their seats and began speaking).

Mr. Speaker :—  Whatever the Minister has said previously is there on record. I will get it examined. Why are you worried? So many reporters are recording and if necessary, I have got to see
Sri K. Atchuta Reddy: He has been taken to the police station. That is an admitted fact.

Mr. Speaker: Whatever the difference I will act upon your statement or the statement of the Chief Minister. I will verify the statement of the Minister and then given my ruling.

Sri C. V. K. Rao: He was not permitted to take food. He was taken into wrongful confinement and kept there till 2.30. There is a most mischievous thing, a shameful thing. How can the Minister say he (the member) was not put under wrongful confinement?

Mr. Speaker:— The point is, according to the Member the fact was.....which is denied by the Home Minister......that he was arrested and kept in Police custody for 5 hours and that fact was not reported to the Speaker though under the Rules it should be done. Assuming for a moment that he was arrested......I believe the statement of the hon. Member......the question is wether it should be reported to the Speaker and failure to inform the Speaker of the arrest amounts to a breach of privilege. I shall examine it.
Privilege motions.
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re:- Arrest of Sri R.. Bhoom Rao, M.L.A.

Mr. Speaker: — You are repeating the same thing. The only question is whether failure to report to the Speaker amounts to a breach of privilege.

On the face of the facts mentioned by the Member it should be taken as a prima facie truth.

Mr. Speaker: — The case which you are referring to is when a member of the Lok Sabha was arrested while the Lok Sabha was in session, and the member was prevented from attending the Lok Sabha when it was in session.

Mr. Speaker: — Failure of reporting the arrest... whether it comes under breach of privilege. And it is most important that it is not a breach of privilege. It is obligatory on the part of the arresting authority to inform the Speaker of the arrest, remand or release on bail. Failure of that obligation is a breach of privilege.

Sri G. Rajaram: — Failure on the part of the arresting authority is obligatory, we need not quote instances. That he was taken to the Police Station was a fact. The member says that it was under arrest that he was taken. The Home Minister
denies that the member was under arrest. Under the circumstances, is it open, is it in order for the Chief Minister to say that it is ‘false’. I do not think it is proper, Sir. Either he should withdraw it or it should be expunged from the proceedings.

Mr. Speaker: — I have received the following notice of breach of privilege motion from Dr. T.V S. Chalapatriao:

"Under Rule 173 of A.P. Assembly Rules of Procedure, I hereby give notice of a Privilege motion against Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy, Chief Minister. The following are the reasons:

1. The first Budget (vote on account) for the year 1956-57 was framed on the basis of a Note prepared by the Finance Department in February 1957 on the terms of the "Gentlemen’s Agreement" 1956. The Note was duly approved by the Cabinet. It provided for the allocation of expenditure of ten departments of the Government, between the regions of Andhra and Telengana in the ratio of 2:1.

Till the year 1958-59 the same procedure was followed. In July 1959, Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy, in his capacity as Finance Minister evolved what is called 1959 accord or Agreement in consultation with the A.P. Regional Committee. Contrary to what is contained in the Gentlemen’s Agreement 1956 and the Note of the Finance Department dated 10th Feb, 1957 referred to Supra and which was approved by the Cabinet, the 1959 accord or Agreement provided for the allocation of the Revenue Receipts also between the two regions in the ratio of 2:1.

It is gathered that this 1959 Accord was not approved by the Cabinet.

The Bhargava Committee observed that this is not an Agreement binding on the two regions. It also said that some of its terms may not be strictly fair.

Yet, Budgets were framed on the basis of this Accord (1959) in the year 1968-69 which was confirmed by the Hon’ble Finance Minister in his reply to L. A. Q. No. 1572 dated 18-3-1971 on the House of Assembly.

I submit that since this Agreement of 1959 was not implemented in full, the presentation of the motion of the House relating to the
Privilege Motion

re: Procedure to be followed in presenting the Budget
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Headquarters Region was suppressed from the knowledge of the Assembly though the Accountant General in his letter dated 5-9-70 to me stated that broad analysis of receipts and Expenditure relating to Andhra, Telangana and Headquarters and Transfer Entries is being given to the A.P. Government by his office every year and that complete information is available with the Finance Department of A.P. Government.

In spite of my repeatedly raising this matter on the floor of the Assembly during debates and in spite of my repeatedly writing to the Finance Ministry, no satisfactory reply is forthcoming. Why?

Even this 1959 Accord was modified by the Chief Minister again on 8-2-68 when he agreed to allocate the central grants of the Government of India under Article 275 of the Constitution between the two regions in the ratio of 2 : 1, whereas the said accord provided as follows "Schemes if they are there". Based on the Chief Minister's consent, the Finance Department in their letter No. 17688-A/PLG/68-1 dated 17-6-68 to the Chairman of the A.P. Regional Committee stated that the Telangana surpluses during the 3rd Plan period was of the order of Rs. 30.54 crores.

"This was included in the Governor's Address to both the Houses of the Legislature in November 1968. The Bhargava Committee's estimate of Telangana surpluses during the 3rd Plan period was only Rs. 16 crores.

The first question to be examined now is whether the Chief Minister is competent to evolve 1959 Accord in excess of the provisions of the Gentlemen's Agreement 1956 and to frame the Budget on that basis (1959 Accord) which was not approved by the Cabinet.

The second question to be examined is whether the Chief Minister is competent to modify 1959 Agreement also, so far it relates to the Grants under Article 275 of the Constitution?

I submit that he is not. He suppressed it from the knowledge of the Assembly and deliberately misled it.

I submit that it is a breach of the privilege and amounts to contempt of the Constitution of India and this Assembly.

Since I feel that I made out a prima facie case, I request the Hon'ble Speaker to please admit the motion and refer it to the Privileges Committee."

On a previous occasion also you have a notice of breach of privilege on the same lines........

Dr. T.V.S. Chalapathi Rao:— Pardon me, Sir. It is not on the same grounds.

Mr. Speaker:— It is here. Two members of the House........

Dr. T.V.S. Chalapathi Rao:— That relates only to Governor's Address in the month of November 1968. This is more elaborate than that. When he evolved 1959 agreement and also contrary to the Finance Ministry's note......
Mr. Speaker:— According to you in the year 1959 it was a different procedure altogether from the one which was contemplated, why gentleman’s agreement was evolved which is, according to you, violated. The Chief Minister in as much as he contravened the gentleman’s agreement, it amounts to a breach of privilege. That is the only thing, is it not?

Dr. T.V.S. Chalapathi Rao:— There are other reasons also. Even 1959 agreement, he has not......

Mr. Speaker:— The procedure evolved in 1959 is illegal......

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Assuming for a while that is in order, even that was not implemented in full.

Mr. Speaker:— If you say it is illegal, where is the question of implementing it? Failure to implement an illegal thing is correct.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— There is another point. Assuming that it is correct, it was not implemented in full and again in the year February 1968 he violated that 1959 Accord also in the sense that he wanted to allot even the grants under Article 275 of Constitution between the two regions. Where is the authority for him?

Mr. Speaker:— If any procedure which was laid down by this House has been violated by the Chief Minister or any Minister in the Council of Ministers then you might say that he violated the procedure. This is a procedure laid down by the department concerned by an agreement or whatever it may be that was in existence; or for some other reasons they might have changed the procedure. How is this House concerned with it?

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— May I know whether violation of the provisions of the Constitution of India amounts to breach of privilege or contempt of the Constitution. I submit that he has violated the provisions of the Constitution in the sense that grants from the Central Government under Article 175 of the Constitution of India cannot be distributed between the two regions in the ratio of 2:1. They are meant only to fill the gap between the revenue receipts and revenue expenditure. That was the stand of the Finance Commission and that is followed not only in this State but elsewhere in the entire Indian Union. On 8-2-1959 suddenly it appeared to him that he should distribute that also between the two regions. How is he justified? Is it not violation of Constitutional provisions?

Mr. Speaker:— If it is violation of the Articles of the Constitution, I would only say it is unconstitutional or illegal.

Sri A. Madhava Rao:— The Chair observed one thing that in the event of any contravention of rules or constitutional provisions alone, there will be breach of privilege. The point to be noted is about this gentlemen’s agreement and also other agreements entered into which have been placed before the House. They were discussed and that should be taken into consideration also.
Mr. Speaker:— Has the hon. Chief Minister any thing to say?
Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— I do not know.
Mr. Speaker:— I have read out the whole thing.
Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— There is no breach of privilege, Sir.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— I respectfully submit that there is a definite breach of privilege. If the gentlemen’s agreement is the basis of agreement between the two regions, is it open to the Government or the Chief Minister to do any thing in excess of or in violation of the direction of the gentlemen’s agreement and also the Finance Ministry’s note dated 10th February 1957 and also the two budgets presented by the two successive Chief Ministers in 1956-57 and 1957-58 — Sri Kala Venkata Rao and Sri B. Gopala Reddy?
Mr. B. Brahmananda Reddy was made the Finance Minister in 1959. For the first time in 1959-60 the budget was framed on the basis of gentlemen’s agreement or the note prepared in the Finance Ministry and approved by the Cabinet. A part from all that as I mentioned just now, the grants under Article 175 of the Constitution, are specifically meant for filling the gap between revenue receipts and revenue expenditure. That also he violated and said it can be distributed between the two regions. If such gross illegal acts do not constitute a breach of privilege of the constitution, may I seek clarification from the Chair, which else constitutes a breach of privilege and much less violation of the provisions of the Constitution? If it is merely unconstitutional, may I say that all these budgets which were presented to the House all these years are unconstitutional or illegal?

Mr. Speaker:— All right; I will give my ruling tomorrow.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Sri A. Gopatarao from Tirumalai telephoned to me that on the 13th March, the Russian Consul General and his wife were taken to the sanction sanctorum where generally Hindus are admitted and non-Hindus are not. If my
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance.

re: Damage due to floods in Potlapadu and Puttapasum village Pattikonda taluk

statement is wrong, I am prepared to apologise. I may state that the information given to me is quite correct. On that basis I request the Hon’ble Minister through the Chair to please investigate into the matter and take suitable action if it is found correct.

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao:—I have no knowledge. I will look into it.

Sri Manik Rao: I have already met the Executive Engineer: there is a defect in the main. Last time also we have raised the same point in the House. The Minister has given an assurance to the House that he would set right the whole thing. But nothing has been done.

Mr. Speaker: He will take immediate action.

Sri M. Manik Rao: The same thing is repeated every time.

Mr. Speaker: Whatever it may be, he is giving an assurance, he will take immediate action.

Sri Purushothami Reddy: 'Sir, I have promised to give information regarding Question No. 435 (Nadigadda). I am now ready to give the information.

Mr. Speaker: You please place it on the Table of the House.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re:—Damage due to floods in Potlapadu and Puttapasum villages in Pattikonda taluk.
Calling attention to matters of Urgent public Importance.
re: Damage due to floods in Potlapadu and Puttapusum villages in Pattikonda taluk.

हे कृपया यहाँ प्रस्तुत करें कि कोनैं विषयांमध्ये अत्यधिक महत्त्व आहे. तसल्या कितीही विषयांमध्ये ती विचारांमध्ये राखलेले असलेले अनुभवांची गोष्ट. ती किंवा देशातील अन्य राज्यांतील आपल्या मान्यतेंनी सर्वांना दिसणारी आहेत. ती किंवा लोकांनी कृपया तिथे दिसणारी. ती किंवा अन्य तसल्या कितीही विषयांमध्ये ती किंवा देशातील अन्य राज्यांतील आपल्या मान्यतेंनी सर्वांना दिसणारी आहेत. तिमी खूप करतो किंवा विशेष किंवा अन्य तसल्या कितीही विषयांमध्ये ती किंवा देशातील अन्य राज्यांतील आपल्या मान्यतेंनी सर्वांना दिसणारी आहेत. ती किंवा लोकांनी कृपया तिथे दिसणारी.
The Minister for Housing (Sri C. Raja Narashimha): —During the Housing Ministers' Conference held at Jaipur in July, 1970, it was considered that out of the rural population of our country the population belonging to the landless agricultural workers was economically most backward and necessary and immediate attention must be given to improve its living conditions. It was also decided that under the Village Housing Project Scheme, due emphasis should be given for tackling the housing needs of the section of the rural population consisting of the landless agricultural workers. To make a modest beginning, the Conference suggested that this scheme should be intensively implemented at least in a few districts, in each State. It was also agreed that one district per every one crore of population of each State should be taken as the basis for allotment of the number of districts in each State. Accordingly, five districts, viz., (1) Srikakulam, (2) Ongole; (3) Kurnool: in Andhra region and (4) Medak: and (5) part of Mahaboobnagar in Telangana Region have been selected in this State for the intensive implementation of the scheme and detailed particulars relating to the landless agricultural workers were obtained for each of the selected districts and a comprehensive scheme has been worked out. The total cost of the scheme is Rs. 200 crores and necessary proposals to Government of India have been sent for sanction of the above districts and also for providing the required funds from the revolving fund for Housing Schemes constituted by Government of India.

The Collector, Kurnool has selected 66 villages in Kurnool district. In Pattikonda Taluk the following villages are selected: Delukonda, Hosur, Jonagiri, Thuggali, Gomegonda Gajuladinni, H. Khya rawadi, Arikara and Gatti Erragudi.

The villages of Putlapadu and Putta Pasam have not been proposed by the Collector, Kurnool. As the proposals submitted by the Collector have already been sent to Government of India, it is not possible to include the villages proposed by the Hon'ble Member at this stage.

However, in view of the immediate need expressed by the Hon'ble Member, the Collector, Kurnool will be asked to exa-
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance

re: Fire Accident in Siricilla village in Kurnool District.

mune the question of including those two villages also in this scheme, as soon as final acceptance of Government of India is received.

Meeting of the Committee:

1. The material (100 acres):
   - 100 acres in Siricilla
   - 200 acres in Kurnool

2. The suggestion:
   - Include these two villages in the Kurnool area.

re: Fire Accident in Siricilla village in Karimnagar District

1. The meeting:
   - 11.2.71 meeting of 7 members
   - 60 members in attendance
   - 500 members in attendance

Action has been taken to sanction loans by the State Bank of Hyderabad for the purchase of looms and construction of houses. Action is also being taken to enrol members in the House-Building Society. The Collector, Karimnagar visited the village on 12-2-71 and issued detailed instructions to the Tahsildars to make arrangements to the victims.
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance
re:- Scarcity of drinking water at Donakonda village, Darsi Taluk, Ongole District.

Sr. C. Uparaksha: — 23 March 1971

Sr. T. Ramakrishna (Collector): — It is in the discretion of the Collector as to how much to be given......

Sr. K. S. Narayana: — It is not in the discretion of the Collector.

Sr. P. Thimma Reddy: — I will find out what is happening. There will not be any discrimination. I will find out what is happening.

re: — Scarcity of drinking water at Donakonda village, Darsi Taluk, Ongole District.
Calling attention to Matters of urgent Public Importance
re: Non-release of funds for the V. P. R. Road from Buckingham Canal to Nuttakki in Mangalagiri Panchayat Samithi area.

Sri T. Ramaswamy:— Sir, the Executive Engineer, Zilla Parishad, Ongole has reported that the work relating to the construction of bore wells has been started with bore drilling set on priority basis in six villages of Ongole District. (1) Donakonda (2) Parlapalli (3) Pullapalem (4) Lakshmipuram (5) Ganga-devipalem and (6) Perupalli. The work at Donakonda has been started.
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re: Decision of the authorities of the Osmania University to close down all the Institutions patronised by it for a period of three months for lack of funds.

Sri T. Ramaswamy:— Sir, the work of formation of metal road from Madras Canal bank (Buckingham Canal) to Nutakkri via Puracharapalli Prattoor, Gundimeda, Chiravoor costing Rs. 2 lakhs was sanctioned in G. O. Ms. No. 210, Panchayatiraj, dated 12-3-1966 under Roads and Villages Plan schemes. Out of the Government’s share for the work, a sum of Rs. 35,283 was released upto the year 1967-68 and a further sum of Rs. 55,000 was released during 1970-71. The total value of work done by the end of August, 1970 is Rs. 74,821. This will be completed soon.

re:— Decision of the authorities of the Osmania University to close down all the Institutions patronised by it for a period of three months for lack of funds.
Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance.

re: Decision of the authorities of the Osmania University to close down all the Institutions patronised by it for a Period of three months for lack of funds.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:— Sir, the Osmania University has informed that no decision has been taken by it to close the institutions patronised by it for a period of three months as pointed out by the Hon. Member.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:— Sir, after the receipt of the Call Attention Motion, we have referred specifically to the Osmania University authorities to tell us if there is any truth in this Statement that they are going to take a decision or they have taken a decision. They have denied it. We are going to sort it out with the University. Sir in good time and we shall see that the University is helped to the extent possible. But specific fact of the University closing down its institutions, that is the point at issue here and a flat denial has been received from the University.

It will be helpful to us to do something.
23rd March, 1971

Calling attention to matters of urgent Public Importance.

re: Insistence of registration of mortgage documents by the State Bank of India and other nationalised Banks by ryots who want to take loan.

This morning I raised this matter. The Dy. Minister I did not raise this matter. I think this matter should be raised in the Assembly. I have repeated the letter of 1970 again. I have repeated it. I have written to the Bank. I write to the Administration. But it is impossible if the Hon. Members want let them tell me what are the points on which they want information, I can send it to them.

The Director of Public Instruction who is the Ex. Officio Member of the Syndicate as well as the Senate has stated in his speech that the Government is committed and they have to pay Rs. 1 crore and odd. I want to know at what stage it is.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao :— I do not know about the speech of the Director of Public Instruction. We had it clear again and again to the University that to the extent to which they are saying that we have committed, we have not committed. Now it is a matter for sorting out.

re:—Insistence of registration of mortgage documents by the State Bank of India and other nationalised Banks by ryots who want to take loan.
III. PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE


2. Sri K. Vijaya Bhaskara Reddy:—Sir, On behalf of the Minister for Revenue I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Notifications issued in Government Memo No.2524-U2/69-8, Revenue; dtd. 26-5-19...
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and G.O.Ms. No 1299, Revenue, dated 17-11-70 containing the amendments issued to the Indian Stamp Rules, 1923 as required under section 75-A (2) of the Indian Stamp Act.

3. Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasiah (Minister for Transport):—
Sir, I beg to lay on the Table under sub-section (2) of section 9 of the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963, a copy in each of the Notifications issued in the following G.Os. under sub-section (1) of section 9 of the said Act.

G.O.Ms. No. and date  Date of publication in the Gazette

1. G.O.Ms. No. 1167, Home (Tr. II)  Dept date 28-7-70  13-8-70
2. G.O.Ms. No. 1567, Home (Tr. II)  Dept date 13-10-70  29-10-70
3. G.O.Ms. No. 1673, Home (Tr. II)  Dept dated 6-11-70  19-11-70


5. Sri N. Chenchurama Naidu, (Minister for Municipal Administration):—Sir I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Administration Report of the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad for 1969-70 as required under sub-section (2) of section 181 of the H.M.C. Act, 1955 (Hyderabad Act II of 1956).


7. Sri V. Purushotham Reddy on behalf of the (Minister for Housing and Accommodation):—Sir I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Rules relating to the procedure to be followed by the Tribunal under section 50 of the Andhra Pradesh Housing Board Act, 1956 issued with G.O.Ms. No. 12, Planning and Co-operation dated 10.9-70 as required under sub-section (3) of section 70 of the Andhra Pradesh Housing Board Act, 1956.

8 Sri V. Purushotham Reddy on behalf of the Minister for Housing and Accommodation:—I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Rules regulating the appointment of Legal Assistant in Andhra Pradesh Housing Board issued in G.O.Ms.No. 150, Housing
 dated 11-8-70 as required under sub-section (3) of section 70 of the Andhra Pradesh Housing Board Act, 1956.

Mr. Chairman : Papers laid on the Table of the House.

IV. PRESENTATION OF THE REPORTS OF THE REGIONAL COMMITTEE

Sri J. Chokka Rao, Chairman, Andhra Pradesh Regional Committee :—Sir.

"I beg to present, under Rule 164-K of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules, the Reports of the Andhra Pradesh Regional Committee on the following Bills——


(2) The Andhra Pradesh Town Planning (Extension and Amendment) Bill, 1968.


V. PRESENTATION OF THE REPORTS OF THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

Sri B. Niranjana Rao:—Sir, on behalf of Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy, Chairman, Committee on Public Accounts:—


Mr. Chairman :—Report Presented.

Sri C. V. K. Rao :— Now, I would like to bring to your notice about the irregularity committed by the Government. As you know the Government has presented to us the Andhra Pradesh State Appropriation Accounts Report of two years back. They have completed (1970-71) and the report that is placed on the Table of the House relates to 1967-68. There was an occasion when the Hon’ble Speaker took serious objection for such kind of omission. It led to the resignation of the Speaker as well. Therefore you have got to ask the responsible authority, here the Minister, why they committed such a gross irregularity in presenting the report of two years back? What happened to the administration in these two years?

Mr. Chairman :— You ask the Speaker.

Sri C. V. K. Rao :— You are present here. I put it before you. You give your observation. I am requesting you in all respects.

Mr. Chairman :— I will see certainly that the Speaker gives a ruling.
Government Bills.

1. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971
2. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971

Sri K. Vijaya Bhaskara Reddy:— Sir I beg to move

“That the Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971 be read a First Time.”

Mr. Chairman:— Motion moved.

Sri K. Vijaya Bhaskara Reddy:— Sir I beg to move:

That the Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971 be read a first time.

Mr. Chairman:— Motion moved.

Sri K. Vijayabhashkara Reddy:— Sir, In pursuance of Article 205 read with 204 (1) thereof to provide appropriation of the Consolidation Fund, the House has to approve these Bills. As per the Constitution, the financial year 1966-67 has already come to an end. For the Kolar Gold Field and other schemes, the Government has incurred expenditure. This has to be met from the Consolidation Fund. Hence, there is a need to accredit the money to the Consolidation Fund. In pursuance of Article 204 (1) thereof, the House has to approve the Bills. As per the Constitution, the financial year 1966-67 has already come to an end. Hence, there is a need to accredit the money to the Consolidation Fund. This has to be met from the Consolidation Fund. Hence, there is a need to accredit the money to the Consolidation Fund.
Government Bills
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1. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation
(Vote on Account) B.I, 1971
2. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation
(No. 2) Bill, 1971

...
Government Bills

1. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971
2. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971
Government Bills

1. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971
2. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971
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మే 30 నాడు లేత మొత్తం సేవలు చాలా అంచాంధ్రప్రదేశ్ మంత్రిత్వం ఉంది. 13 సంస్థలు మంత్రిత్వం ఉంది. మాత్రం మనం లభించే కుటుంబాల మంచి చాలు చేస్తుంది. గుర్తం చేసిన దస్త్రంలో తగ్గా ప్రతిభ ప్రత్యేకించినది. 

మేలో సమాచారం: ఆనాధ్య ఆయాము నిర్మాణం కోసం 21-5, 50, 00,000 రూపాయలు మంత్రిత్వం ఉంది. 
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1. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971

2. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971

సాధనాత్మక ఇంటిలో, కౌనసిల్‌ జాతికి ఇంటిలో, కౌనసిల్‌ జాతికి దానాలు చేసే సాధనాత్మక ఇంటిలో, I.L.T.D. జాతికి B.T.I. జాతికి దానాలు చేసే తరువాత. రగులు మెటా‌టీంగ్ ను ఏమిటి 11 లో 74 లో కిరిపిల్లాలు ఎంచుకున్నారు. ఆమెద ద్రవ్యం చేసే రెండు ఆదాయ కంపెన్సీ ఏమిటి 10 లో మిగిలి అనుమతించారు మరియు తరువాత శాసనాలు నిషేధాధికారి దినాలు ఉండును. 
shortage of drinking water is there. Lands are not suitable to grow tobacco. They have become saline. I request the Government to take up this. ఇది నూతన ప్రోభిపాడనం జాతికి దానాలు చేసే సాధనాత్మక ఇంటిలో, తరువాత, 30 లో 5 లో కిరిపిల్లాలు ఎంచుకున్నారు, తరువాత 25 లో కిరిపిల్లాలు ఎంచుకున్నారు. తరువాత 10 లో అంగేళ్ళు కురుగా ఎంచుకున్నారు, తరువాత 7 లో ప్రొచ్చును ఎంచుకున్నారు. అందుకే కూడా మరియు మరియు దీనితో దీనితో తరువాత రెండు ఆదాయ కంపెన్సీ ఏమిటి 10 లో మిగిలి అనూమతించారు మరియు తరువాత శాసనాలు నిషేధాధికారి దినాలు ఉండును. 
The immediate necessity is drinking water. There is an urgent necessity for this. There are about 22 villages which are going to be dry up for drinking water within a span of a few days. Already some villages have gone dry. ఆమెద ద్రవ్యం చేసే రెండు ఆదాయ కంపెన్సీ ఏమిటి 10 లో మిగిలి అనూమతించారు మరియు తరువాత శాసనాలు నిషేధాధికారి దినాలు ఉండును.

అంతా కంపెన్సీ చేసే సాధనాత్మక ఇంటిలో మరియు కౌనసిల్‌ జాతికి ఇంటిలో అమలాలు చేసే సాధనాత్మక ఇంటిలో, శాసనాలు నిషేధాధికారి దినాలు ఉండును. అంతాకంటే కంపెన్సీ చేసే సాధనాత్మక ఇంటిలో, శాసనాలు నిషేధాధికారి దినాలు ఉండును. అంతా కంపెన్సీ చేసే సాధనాత్మక ఇంటిలో, శాసనాలు నిషేధాధికారి దినాలు ఉండును. అంతా కంపెన్సీ చేసే సాధనాత్మక ఇంటిలో, శాసనాలు నిషేధాధికారి దినాలు ఉండును. 70 లో అంగేళ్ళు కురుగా ఎంచుకున్నారు. 70 లో అంగేళ్ళు కురుగా ఎంచుకున్నారు. 70 లో అంగేళ్ళు కురుగా ఎంచుకున్నారు. Review of Works Expenditure: Works executed without specific budget provision: Under the rules specific budget provision should be made for works costing more than Rs. 50,000 each. Except in case of emergency, no work should be commenced without a sanctioned estimate. However, eight works each costing more than Rs. 50,000 were commenced in 1968-69 though no specific budget provision therefor had been made; expen-
diture on those works, to the end of March 1969 was Rs. 1.91 lakhs. Of these works, estimate of one has so far not been sanctioned (October 1969). As of 69, 83 lakhs have been sanctioned. This work is to be completed by 30th June. As no sanction is necessary from this House.

"Also specific budget provision had not been made upto 1968-69 for eighty three works commenced in previous years the outlay thereon to the end of 1968-69 was Rs. 1,19.42 lakhs. As of 69, 83 lakhs have been sanctioned. This work is to be completed by 30th June. Particulars of two of those works executed without budget provision and the expenditure on each of which exceeded Rs. 5 lakhs are given below: \\

Vouchers not furnished for audit (unvouched outlay on works) 2,296 vouchers in support of expenditure of Rs. 82.92 lakhs incurred up to the end of March 1969 are awaited (October, 1969) as shown below 69.

(Sri G. Venkata Reddy in the Chair)

As per your request, members were not circulated the said works. I am not in a position to give any information on the said works. However, I can give information on two works of this nature. Two works have been sanctioned for which no voucher is available. The expenditure on each of these works is in excess of Rs. 5 lakhs.

The Chief Minister has ordered that they should be distributed in the ratio of 2:1. What is the sanctity for this? Should this House not be consulted about it? Is it not a humiliation to the Member of this Sovereign body? As per your request, I am not in a position to give any information on the said works. However, I can give information on two works of this nature. Two works have been sanctioned for which no voucher is available.

As per your request, I am not in a position to give any information on the said works. However, I can give information on two works of this nature. Two works have been sanctioned for which no voucher is available. The expenditure on each of these works is in excess of Rs. 5 lakhs.

That is, I am not in a position to give any information on the said works. However, I can give information on two works of this nature. Two works have been sanctioned for which no voucher is available. The expenditure on each of these works is in excess of Rs. 5 lakhs.
1. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971
2. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971
The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971

The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971
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The Steel frame Administration is made rusted by the inefficient administration by our Government. It has already become well-known.
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Mr. Speaker:- I am not calling the names of the Members who have given their names-Sti R. Mahananda, Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaya, Sri R. Satyanarayana Raju, Sri Malev Venkatanarayana Sri C. V. K. Rao, Smt. J. Eshwarbai Pragada Kotaliah, and Sri K. Munuswami because they have already participated in the discussion. If there is any one who has given his name and not participated in the discussion, I am prepared to give him a chance. Now, Sri K. Achutha Reddy to wind up the discussion.

The Speaker was hoping against hope that these points will be answered by the Finance Minister. But, he has not taken care to reply to any one of those points. As the views of the people regarding the presentation of the Finance Minister's speech in the budget session of the Assembly, the Finance Minister did not reply to the relevant points raised by the Budget and Finance Committees. These points are of great importance to the people of the State. The people have been suffering for the last 5 - 10 years. Although the Budget and Finance Committees have suggested a few important points, the Finance Minister did not even mention them in his speech. They have simply ignored, they have not represented those facts and they were not included in the Gulabai Commission Report. Now, it's time for a chance to come.
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There are erstwhile Hyderabad schemes also. They must also be allocated according to the 1950 agreement.
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This is a challenge to this nation, to this country and to this Government and democracy. It is up to us to set an example.

(continued text)

You must resign and see that fair elections are conducted.
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The Government has proposed to introduce the following Bills:

1. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971
   This Bill is necessary to meet the financial needs of the State for a period of six months from the date of its approval by the Assembly. The estimated expenditure for the period is Rs. 1,200 crores. The Bill provides for the payment of salaries and wages to government employees, and various other expenses. The Bill is expected to secure the financial stability of the State.

2. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971
   This Bill is necessary to meet the financial needs of the State for the remainder of the financial year. The estimated expenditure for the period is Rs. 1,500 crores. The Bill provides for the payment of salaries and wages to government employees, and various other expenses. The Bill is expected to secure the financial stability of the State.

In general, the Bills are expected to provide the necessary financial resources for the smooth functioning of the government. The Bills are also expected to ensure the welfare of the people by covering various social welfare schemes.

I. 3. 8. 17 — The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971

I. 3. 8. 18 — The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971

The Bills are expected to be passed by the Assembly without any objection. The Bills are necessary for the smooth functioning of the State and are expected to secure the financial stability of the State.

II. 3. 8. 17 — The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971

II. 3. 8. 18 — The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971

In general, the Bills are expected to provide the necessary financial resources for the smooth functioning of the government. The Bills are also expected to ensure the welfare of the people by covering various social welfare schemes.

In conclusion, the Bills are necessary for the smooth functioning of the State and are expected to secure the financial stability of the State. Therefore, I urge the members of the Assembly to support the Bills without any objection.

III. 3. 8. 17 — The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971

III. 3. 8. 18 — The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971

I. 17 — I urge the members of the Assembly to support the Bills without any objection.

I. 18 — The Bills are necessary for the smooth functioning of the State and are expected to secure the financial stability of the State. Therefore, I urge the members of the Assembly to support the Bills without any objection.

Otherwise, there is no reason for all this agitation.
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(தி. இ. சன்னிதி (குலீனத்து): — நான் ஒரு குலீனம் உரைந்து கூறினேன். நான் கதாகுரு எனக்கு இருக்கும் நோய் போன்ற நோய் கூறினேன். அப்போது சி. இய. நாட்டுப் பொருள் எனக்கு இருக்கும் நோய் போன்ற நோய் கூறினேன். நான் கதாகுரு எனக்கு இருக்கும் நோய் போன்ற நோய் கூறினேன்.

(தி. இ. தீ காரணம்: — நான் ஒரு குலீனம் உரைந்து கூறினேன். நான் கதாகுரு எனக்கு இருக்கும் நோய் போன்ற நோய் கூறினேன். நான் கதாகுரு எனக்கு இருக்கும் நோய் போன்ற நோய் கூறினேன். நான் கதாகுரு எனக்கு இருக்கும் நோய் போன்ற நோய் கூறினேன்.

(தி. இ. குருத்து: — நான் ஒரு குலீனம் உரைந்து கூறினேன். நான் கதாகுரு எனக்கு இருக்கும் நோய் போன்ற நோய் கூறினேன். நான் கதாகுரு எனக்கு இருக்கும் நோய் போன்ற நோய் கூறினேன். நான் கதாகுரு எனக்கு இருக்கும் நோய் போன்ற நோய் கூறினேன். நான் கதாகுரு எனக்கு இருக்கும் நோய் போன்ற நோய் கூறினேன்.)
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Are we the people who are criminals to be treated this way?

In the name of God, let us be treated as equals.

Without a vote, we are not being treated as equals.

So what is the difference, if we are not being treated as equals?

What is the difference, if we are not being treated as equals?

Whatever the difference, it is not being treated as equals.

Whatever the difference, it is not being treated as equals.

Whatever the difference, it is not being treated as equals.
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Sri K. Achuta Reddy:— Is the Finance Minister aware of the fact that unanimous resolution has been passed in August 1968 including the Telangana Ministers and others that the Telangana Surpluses are amounting to Rs. 107 crores?
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2. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971
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I have to thank the Secretary and others and I request the House to approve the Bills.

I am also thankful (to the Minister) that the Departmental Committee has examined the proposals and has recommended their approval. The Finance Department has also examined the proposals and has recommended their approval. The Ministry of Finance has also examined the proposals and has recommended their approval.

The Departmental Committee has also examined the proposals and has recommended their approval. The Departmental Committee has also examined the proposals and has recommended their approval. The Departmental Committee has also examined the proposals and has recommended their approval.
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Sri G. Sivajah (Funtur) — I am not raising a new issue. I am bringing to the notice of the House an old issue. We have undertaken huge irrigation projects in the State and many of them have not been completed. If you are able to complete those projects and they begin to yield, I am sure, our financial position will improve. What steps are the Government taking in this regard?
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1. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971

2. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Sir, I will withdraw my charge if the Speaker is satisfied that Mr. Vijaya Bhaskara Reddy has already answered or replied to the points raised by me.

Mr. Speaker:— It is not a question of the Speaker being satisfied. The question is whether the House is satisfied. If the House is not satisfied, it will vote down.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—"The House" means majority. Majority means steamroller—

Mr. Speaker:—If minority is also satisfied, how can democracy be run?

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Democracy must be a fair one. It must be utilised by the majority in a very equitable manner and not in the manner in which they are utilising it now.

Mr. Speaker:—Whatever it may be, let me proceed. The question is:

Several hon. members rose...

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—In a parliamentary democracy, the Opposition is a recognised factor, a necessary factor and a constitutional factor. In what way, are they respecting the Opposition? We are not asking them. We are only requesting them to answer the points raised by us. If they are not prepared to do that, why should we exist here. It is as good as declaring the opposition as non-existent.

Mr. Speaker:—Are you giving a lecture on the role of the Opposition or anything like that? It is not for me to say anything about it. The only thing is the Minister concerned is (Continued interruptions) It is not as though for the first time we are concerned with a matter like this. On every Bill or every issue which is pending before the House, the concerned Minister will try to reply to all the points raised by the members during the course of dis-
discussion. If the members are not satisfied with the replies given by the Minister, it is for the House to decide. That is all.

Several members rose...

Mr. Speaker:—How can I compel him to reply to the points you have raised?

Sri Pragada Kotaiah:—What then is the other source for us to get information, Sir?

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—What is the role of the Opposition?

Mr. Speaker:—What I am saying is, there is no question of the Speaker compelling the Finance Minister or any other Minister for the matter of that to reply to certain points raised by members.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—I raise a point of order, Sir. Is it not obligatory on the part of the Minister concerned to reply to the points raised?

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—The Minister is reluctant to answer.

Mr. Speaker:—So far as the rule position is concerned, we expect the Finance Minister or any other concerned Minister to reply to all the points raised by the members during discussion in the House. In case where the Ministers are not able to give proper information due to lack of information with them or for whatever other reason it may be, we expect the Ministers concerned to collect the information afterwards and pass it on to the Members.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—The Finance Minister does not want to answer any pertinent question that we raise here.

Mr. Speaker:—If the Minister does not answer certain points raised by the Members, what is to be done?

Mr. Speaker:—Kindly throw some light on that aspect, Sir.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—You ask the Minister to reply to the pertinent questions raised by us. He cannot defy your directive. I will see how he can defy your directive, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—As per the existing rules, the Minister replies to the points raised. Now you are asking the Chair to direct the Minister to reply to certain points raised by you and which he has not replied. Now suppose I ask the Minister to reply, and he refuses. Then what should happen?
Government Bills:

1. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971

2. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971

Mr. Speaker:— Please do not confuse one with the other. What I told the Minister for Small Scale Industries was that he was only expected to answer the supplementary put straight and that he is not expected to read the entire thing, in the file furnished to him. That is what I told the Minister for Small-scale industries. But here is a case where you have raised a number of points to which the Finance Minister, during his reply, has not replied. He says that he has nothing to say.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— With due respect, we submit that he is not sincere. He is shirking to answer the several important points raised by us. What is the remedy open to us, the members of the Opposition? Should we simply shut our mouths? Are we so helpless under the Constitution?

Mr. Speaker:— Similar is the Presiding Officer too. Suppose I ask the Minister to answer, and he refuses—then, what should be the position?

Mr. Speaker:— The principle is the same. It is only the manner of expression which is different.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971, be read a first time"
23rd March, 1971

1. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill 1971
2. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971

The motion was adopted.

Sri K. Vijayabaskara Reddy :— The question is:
"That the Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971, be read a second time".

Mr. Speaker :— Motion moved.

(Pause)

The question is:
"That the Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971, be read a second time".

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2, 3, Schedule, 1, Enacting Formula and Long Title.

Mr. Speaker :— The question is:
"That Clauses 2, 3, Schedule, Clause 1, Enacting Formula, Long Title, do stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Sri K. Vijayabaskara Reddy :— Sir, I beg to move:
"That the Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971 be read a third time".

Mr. Speaker :— Motion moved.

(Pause)

The question is:
"That the Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971 be read a third time".

The motion was adopted.


Mr. Speaker :— The first reading of the Bill was already moved. Now, the question is:
"That the Andhra Pradesh Appropriation Bill, 1971, be read a first time".

The motion was adopted.

Sri K. Vijayabaskara Reddy :— I beg to move:
"That the Andhra Pradesh Appropriation Bill, 1971 be read a second time,"

(Pause)

Mr. Speaker :— Motion moved.

The question is:
Government Bills: 23rd March, 1971

1. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (Vote on Account) Bill, 1971
2. The Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971

"That the Andhra Pradesh Appropriation Bill, 1971 be read second time."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2, Schedule, Clause 1, Enacting Formula, Long Title.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is:

"That Clause 2, Schedule, 1st Enacting Formula, Long Title do stand part of the Bill."

The Motion was adopted.

Sri K. Vijayabaskara Reddy:— I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Appropriation Bill, 1971 be read a third time."

The motion was adopted.

THE ANDHRA PRADESH APPROPRIATION (No.2) BILL, 1971.

Mr. Speaker:— The motion for first reading of the Bill was already moved. The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971 be read a second time."

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.

(Pause)

The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971, be read a second time."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2, Schedule, Clause 1 Enacting Formula and Long Title.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is:

"That Clause 2, Schedule, Clause 1 Enacting Formula; Long Title do stand part of the Bill."

The Motion was adopted.

Sri K. Vijaya Bhaskara Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971 be read a third time."

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.

(Pause)

The question is:

...
That the Andhra Pradesh Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1971, be read a third time".

The motion was adopted.

RULING FROM THE CHAIR

Privilege Motion—re:—Alleged Statement by the Chief Minister that no hasty decision would be taken on the Andhra Pradesh Industries Relations Commission Bill, 1970.

Mr. Speaker:—Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya an Independent Member of this House has given notice of a Privilege Motion, seeking to charge the Chief Minister for breach of privilege on the ground that the Chief Minister, according to news item in the Indian Express dated 9-3-1971, is reported to have said at a function presiding over a meeting organised at Ann's Convent grounds, Secunderabad on 8th March, 1971 that no hasty decision would be taken on the Andhra Pradesh Industrial Relations Commission Bill, 1971 which is now pending before the Joint Select Committee of the House. According to the Member, this reported statement is an encroachment of the right of the Members of the Joint Select Committee and as such he requested that the privilege motion might be sent to the Privileges Committee for examination and report.

When the matter was taken up for consideration in the House on 22-3-1971, Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya who has given notice, reiterated what he has stated in his notice and said that the reported statement of the Chief Minister already influenced the Committee by that comment.

The Chief Minister, in his reply, had stated that he presided over the Condolence Meeting on the death of late Archbishop Mark Gopu and that the Archbishop Mark Gopu along with other Bishops and Christian Leaders met him some time ago for the last time before his death, about excusion of those Institutions in the Industrial Relations Commission Bill, 1971 and when they represented to him (Chief Minister) he said that the matter was before the Joint Select Committee and that no hasty decision would be taken.

It is not clear from the notice nor from what Sri Gopalakrishnayya stated on the floor of the House as to how the statement of the Hon'ble Chief Minister viz., that no hasty action will be taken has affected the rights of the Joint Select Committee Members in the discharge of their duties and as to how it amounts to breach of privilege. For the above reasons, I consider that there is no prima facie case for reference to the Committee of Privileges.

Hence, it is disallowed.

The House then adjourned till half past Eight of the Clock on Wednesday, the 24th March 1971.