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OVER BRIDGES AT DRONACHALAM

488—

* 1215 Q.—Sri K. C. Sri Rangaiah Chetty (Singanamala) :—
Will hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal with Government to construct over bridges at the two railway level crossings situated near bus stand at Dronachalam, Kurnool District in view of the inconvenience being caused to the Communications and

(b) if so, when will be taken up?

The Hon’ble Deputy Chief Minister (Sri J. V. Narasimha Rao) :—

(a) No, Sir.
(b) Does not arise.
NATIONAL HIGHWAYS

489—

* 1670 (G) Q.— Sarvasri O. Venkatasubbaiah, (Venkatagiri) V. Ramachandra Reddy (Gudur) :— Will hon the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) what is the amount sanctioned by the Central Government for the National Highways in the Third Five Year Plan;

(b) how much amount was spent during the third Plan; and

(c) is it a fact that the Government have failed to spend the amounts sanctioned by the Central Government in the third Plan?

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao :—

(a) Rs. 7,67,49,900

(b) Rs. 7,40,28,075

(c) It will be seen there has been a short fall to a very small extent (i.e.,) about 3.6%. This is due to the following reasons:

i) Due to non-settlement of land acquisition involved.

ii) Due to non-receipt of debit advice from the Accountant General for the work of Regulator cum-Road Bridge over river Krishna at Vijayawada, amounting to nearly Rs. 9.95 lakhs.

iii) Due to misclassification of expenditure.

iv) Due to non-receipt of controlled materials like cement and steel in time, required for the original works.

v) Due to cumulative of small savings on large number of works.
PLANTING OF AVENUE TREES
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* 535 Q.— Smt. J. Eshwari Bai (yellareddy) :— Will hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

whether the Government have given up the planting of avenue trees on either side of the National Highways and other roads in the District?

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao :—

No, Sir.

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao :— They might have taken definitely stringent action against the miscreants.

Whether they have received any reports so far, or not?

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao :— They might have taken definitely stringent action against the miscreants.
Z. P. ROADS IN SATYAVEDU TALUK

491—

* 937 (1456-A) Q.— Sri K. Muniswamy:— Will the hon. Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) The number of Zilla Parishad roads in the area of Sathyavedu taluk, Chittoor district and the amount allotted to Sathyavedu Samithi from Zilla Parishad funds for road construction during 1962 to 1969; and

(b) if no amount is allotted the reasons therefor?

The Minister for Panchayat Raj (Sri T. Ramaswamy):—

(a) & (b) It is reported that there are no Zilla Parishad roads in Sathyavedu Taluk. Hence, the question of allotment of amounts from the Zilla Parishad funds to the Sathyavedu Samithi does not arise.

PROTECTED WATER SUPPLY TO DARSI PANCHAYAT

492—

* 24 (1595) Q.— Sri R. Mahananda:— Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Protected water supply scheme was sanctioned to Darsi Panchayat in Ongole District; and if so, when, and the estimated cost of it;

(b) whether the work was completed and paid for;

(c) whether it is a fact that huge amounts were paid to the contractor even without laying the pipe line in some wards;

(d) whether there are complaints from the villages and Members of the Panchayat about this for the last two years; and

(e) whether they have been enquired into and if so the result of the same?
Sri T. Ramaswamy:—

(a) The protected Water Supply Scheme for Darsi was sanctioned by Government in December 1962 at an estimated cost of Rs. 57,000/-

(b) It was reported by the Executive Engineer Zilla Parishad Ongole that the work was completed during 1966-67. An amount of Rs. 40,502/- was paid to the Panchayat excluding the 14th contribution of Rs. 13,500.89 Np to be met by the Panchayat.

(c) No, Sir. The amount was paid to the Panchayat on the basis of the work done namely Rs. 54,002.89 Np including 14th contribution to be met by the Panchayat. As the work was undertaken by the Panchayat, the amount was paid direct to the Panchayat and hence the question of payment of any amount to the Contractor does not arise.

(d) The Executive Engineer, Zilla Parishad has reported that no complaint was received by the Department in the matter.

(e) Does not arise.

SAINIK SCHOOLS

493—

* 760 (2651) Q.— Sri K. Muniswamy:— Will the hon. minister for Education be pleased to state;

(a) whether there is any proposal with the Government to establish Sainik Schools at Satyavedu (Chittoor District) and at Sullurpet (Nellore District) as there is no such school in Rayalaseema as well as Southern area of our state;

(b) if so, from when;

(c) if not, the reasons therefor; and

(d) whether the Government will give aid and recognition, if any private committee comes forward to open such schools;

The Minister for Irrigation deputised the Minister for Education (Sri S. Sidda Reddy) and answered the question:—

(a) No Sir.

(b) Does not arise

(c) There are no proposals for opening of any new Sainik Schools in the state

(d) No Sir.
A new Saink School will cost approximately Rs. 75 lakhs. The sum will be required for acquisition of land, construction of buildings, provision of stores and equipment. In addition, a sum of Rs. 11 lakhs is required to grant scholarships, and replacement of stores and equipment every year.

Sri S. Sidda Reddy:— The aim is to prepare the boys academically and physically for entry into the National Defence Academy.
OPTIONAL SUBJECTS IN INTERMEDIATE COURSE

494—

* 848 (1727-I) Q.— Sarvasri E. Y, Vadapalli, (Tallarevu) N. Raghava Reddy (Nakrekal), U. Malsoor (Suryapet) :— Will the hon. Minister of Education be pleased to state:

(a) Whether there are rules to the effect that allotment of group (optional) subjects in the intermediate course should be made to the candidates who have passed Xth class public examination, on the basis of the marks obtained by them;
(b) if so what are those rules;

(c) the number of marks that are to be obtained in the X class in order to be eligible to choose the subjects of science and mathematics; and in case they fail to secure the required marks, whether the Government will provide the opportunity for admission into the colleges after securing more marks by reappearing for the examinations in the concerned subjects;

(d) whether it is a fact that there are rules permitting the candidates who have obtained less marks in S. S. L. C. to appear for the examination in the concerned subjects only, and choose the subjects of his own liking in P. U. C. and

(e) if so, the reason why such rules should not be provided in the case of Xth class?

Sri S. Sidda Reddy:—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) Under rules and regulations for admission into the Two Year Intermediate course the position is as follows:-

For admission of candidates to Mathematics, Physical Sciences and General Biology combination Under-Part III, candidates shall secure not less than 40% in the aggregate of subjects Composite Mathematics and Sciences in the qualifying Examination. For admission to the combination of Physical Sciences, Biological Sciences, and General Mathematics, candidates shall secure 40% in the aggregate of subjects General Mathematics and Sciences in the qualifying examination. Candidates who got 56% and above in General Mathematics at X class Public Examination or other qualifying examination for admission to Two Year Intermediate course may be allowed to take Mathematics and Physical-Sciences combination at the Intermediate course. Provision is made in the S. S. C. rules for the reappearance in subjects in which the candidate desires to improve his/her qualification. The Principals will take into account such improvement of marks by the candidates for admission into colleges.

(d) Provision exists in the S.S.L.C. Rules to reappear for examination in any subject or subjects. As regards choosing the Science groups in P. U. C. one must have obtained 40% of marks on average in Mathematics and Science put together. Subject to this, a candidate may choose any group in the P. U. C.

(e) Does not arise in view of the provision already existing under item X of S. S. C. Rules.

Sri D. Venkatesam:— Refer to answer C. Is there any provision to reappear for the examinations by the students to obtain necessary marks? Is there any provision made in the rules.

Sri S. Sidda Reddy:— It is there.
Oral Answers to Questions

20, th March, 1971

495—

*S 35. an^Bg:— \^g* \^ST. ,

BENEFITS TO RETIRED TEACHERS

* 850 (1730-J) Q—Sri Vavilala Gopala krishnaiah:—Will the hon.
Minister for Education be pleased to state :

(a) Whether the Government received a Memorandum from the
President Andhra Pradesh Retired Teachers Association, Ramachan-
drapuram, East Godavari district Dated: 9th August, 1969
representing to extend the benefits given to them under G. O. 3372
Education 31-10-1961 to the teachers who retired before 1-4-1961
and also pay the arrears :

(b) If so, what action has been taken so far: and

(c) whether the Government will also consider to extend the
benefits to the bereaved partners ?

Sri S. Siddha Reddy :—

(a) No, Sir. However a large number of representations have
been received from the President, Andhra Pradesh Retired
Teachers' Association, Ramachandrapuram, on the same subjeet.

(b) The proposal was examined and negatived. However
the matter is being reconsidered.

(c) This question is also under consideration of Government

Sri 35. an^Bg:— \^g* \^ST. ,

Sri 33. \^g* :— SsfRBsP -^g& '&^^b ^f^o^ 3^ ^S^t

*3t33y*;to &o^o6. cyA^^5o^ ^$b^o ^^^^ocr?

jS R. aS* 3$^ 5 (^e^6^) :— ffg3^*2ao4() ^d^ ^o^63

Tbg& gat^3*<RMs Tbo^C$5. ^c^BS^ ^p^o^ ^^(^^ arsr 69 ^o&
20th March, 1971
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1. The demands are: 1) Financial assistance be sanctioned to retired teachers w. e. f. 1-4-61 instead of from 1-4-66 on par with pension. gratuity scheme sanctioned to retired teachers which has been sanctioned w. e. f. 1-4-61 and to pay arrears to the period from 1-4-61 to 1-4-66.

2) Destitute widow or widower of the deceased person may be granted 3/5 of the pension or financial assistance of the deceased in lieu of family pension.

3) Retired teachers have been repeatedly excluded from the application of G. Os. sanction of D. A and enhancement of Pension as consolidated in G. O. Education dated 5-9-1966.
STABBING INCIDENT IN THE ARTS COLLEGE
RAJHAMUNDRY

496—

* 583 (2382) Q. — Sri S. Vemayya: — Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Arts College, Rajahmundry has been closed on 15-10-1969 due to the stabbing incident in the room of the principal; and

(b) if so, the action taken against the persons responsible for this?

Sri S. Sidda Reddy: —

(a) There was no closure of the College as such, but the classes were suspended.

(b) Four students involved in the disturbances were expelled from 17—10—1969.
AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN IN ANANTHAPUR

497—

* 705 (2230) Q.— Sri Badri Vishal Pitti (put by Sri P. Subbaiah) — Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased the state:

a). whether it is a fact that an Indo-French Scheme has been sponsored at Anantapur, for the purpose of Agriculture Development Plan; and

(b) If so, the particulars thereof?

The Minister for Agriculture (Sri Kakani Venkataratnam):—

(a) Yes Sir.

(b) The Government of French Republic and the Government of India have established a technical cooperation project for the agricultural development of Semi-arid zones of Andhra Pradesh. The project is located on the State Government Farm at Reddipalli in Anantapur District for conducting experiments and demonstration of improved techniques of dry farming. The same experiments are undertaken in their holdings by select farmers also in the district. There French Experts and four Indian Officers of the State Agriculture Department assisted by certain subordinate staff are working at the project.
24th March, 1971

(స్నాన  మొదుదాలు):— ఓదోర (ప్రాంగణం 71—72 కలెఫన్‌లు)

1. ప్రపంచ సంపాదన సమావేశాన్ని కుదురుపాడం కొనసాగించి విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు అంగీకరించిన ఏ ప్రపంచ దేశాలు?

2. ప్రపంచ సంపాదన సమావేశాన్ని కుదురుపాడం కొనసాగించి విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు అంగీకరించించిన ఏ ప్రపంచ దేశాలు?

3. విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు ఎందుకంటే ప్రాంగణం కుదురుపాడం కొనసాగించి విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు?

4. విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు ఎందుకంటే ప్రాంగణం కుదురుపాడం కొనసాగించి విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు?

5. విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు ఎందుకంటే ప్రాంగణం కుదురుపాడం కొనసాగించి విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు?

6. విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు ఎందుకంటే ప్రాంగణం కుదురుపాడం కొనసాగించి విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు?

7. విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు ఎందుకంటే ప్రాంగణం కుదురుపాడం కొనసాగించి విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు?

8. విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు ఎందుకంటే ప్రాంగణం కుదురుపాడం కొనసాగించి విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు?

9. విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు ఎందుకంటే ప్రాంగణం కుదురుపాడం కొనసాగించి విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు?

10. విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు ఎందుకంటే ప్రాంగణం కుదురుపాడం కొనసాగించి విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు?

11. విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు ఎందుకంటే ప్రాంగణం కుదురుపాడం కొనసాగించి విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు?

12. విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు ఎందుకంటే ప్రాంగణం కుదురుపాడం కొనసాగించి విసిట్ రా పనిచేసిన తారాలు?
WEIGHTAGE, COMPENSATORY ALLOWANCE ETC.,
TO GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES

498 —

* 1343 Q.— Sarvasri B. Niranjan Rao, and A. Easwara Reddy (Tirupathi) :— Will the hon. Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Gazetted Officers Association Machilipatnam have submitted a Memorandum to the C. M. on 10-9-70 requesting the Government to consider the question of weightage, compensatory allowance and H. R. A. and

(b) if so, what action has been taken by the Government thereon so far?

The Minister for Finance (Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy) :—

(a) Certain representations from Gazetted Officers' Association have been received requesting the Government to sanction the weightage increments, H. R. A. and compensatory allowance.

(b) The matter is under the consdieration of the Government.
Oral Answers to Questions 20th March, 1971

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy:— It is not as if we take action immediately a memorandum is presented. We have to take into consideration so many things. We feel that the latest pay revision by the Government of Andhra Pradesh is one of the best. They are very much benefited. There are however certain things that were represented to the Government. We are examining them.

Sri P. Subbayya:— Weightage is a legitimate demand of theirs.

AUDIT OF PANCHAYAT RAJ INSTITUTIONS

* 576 (1742) Q.— Sri R. Mahananda:— Will the hon. Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal before the Government to appoint more Audit Personnel at the District level to enable them to complete the audit of Panchayat Raj Institutions upto-date and
(b) if so, the steps taken and implemented?

Sri K. Vijayabhaskar Reddy:—

(a) Yes Sir,

(b) i) 28 posts of District Inspectors, Local Fund Accounts in the Telangana Region were sanctioned which could not be filled up in view of the dearth for qualified hands in the region.

ii) A proposal to obtain the services of suitable persons from the Accountant General's Office for a period of one year on deputation basis to fill in these vacancies are under consideration of Government.

iii) 24 candidates were recently appointed on emergency basis in relaxation of Rules as U. D. Auditors. There are some more vacancies in the U. D. Auditors category.

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy;— Six or seven years back they have been appointed. We are trying to get some more.

AMMAPALLI PROJECT

500—

* 190 (2505) Q.— Sri G. Siviah (put by Sri P. Subbaiah):— Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) at what stage the Ammapalli Project in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor district stands at present, and

(b) what is the estimated cost and how many acres would be brought under cultivation in the said project?

The Minister for Irrigation (Sri S. Sidda Reddy):—

(a) certain inter-state aspects have to be examined before the scheme is taken up for consideration:

(b) In 1964, a proforma estimate for Rs. 23 lakhs was proposed to benefit above 2,800 acres but the detailed project report can be proposed only after the inter-state aspects are cleared.

Sri K. Vijayabhaskar Reddy:— Six or seven years back they have been appointed. We are trying to get some more.
Sri O. Venkatesham — May I know what are the objections raised by the Madras State Government and to what extent our Government is able to solve the problem. What are the conditions that have been laid by the Tamilnadu Government?

Sri D. Venkatesham — May I know what are the objections raised by the Madras State Government and to what extent our Government is able to solve the problem. What are the conditions that have been laid by the Tamilnadu Government?
103 20th March, 1970 Oral Answers to Questions

LOAN FOR GIVING AGRICULTURAL SERVICE

* 1498 Q.— Sri T. C. Rajan :— Will the hon. Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Electricity Board is insisting on consumers to pay a loan of Rs. 2500 for giving agricultural service, if it is taken through L. M. B, or Rs. 3000/- if it is taken through a Commercial Bank, and

(b) whether there is a similar compulsion to pay for Industrial services also?

The Minister for Power (Sri V. Krishnamurthy Naidu) :—

...
Oral Answers to Questions 20th March, 1971

1. M. Mahananda:— Will the hon. Minister for Medium Irrigation and Flood Control be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the work of repairs to East Tungabhadra Drain in Bapatla Taluk, Ongole District was entrusted to a contractor in 1965-66;

(b) when was the work completed and the final bill prepared;

(c) whether the Department has paid in full the final payment;

(d) whether it is a fact that the Department paid unnecessarily an extra amount of Rs. 20,500 towards interest and court charges; and

(e) if so, who is responsible for this undue payment?

The Minister for Irrigation deputed the Minister for Medium Irrigation and Flood control and answered (Sri S. Sidda Reddy):

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The work was completed in July and August, 1966 and the final bill was prepared in October, 1966.
(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) & (e) The Department paid an amount of Rs. 20,500/- towards interest and court charges pursuant to the orders of the Sub-Court, Bapatla.

Sri S. Siddha Reddy:—

(a) Yes, Sir,

(b) After the necessary works under formulation are taken up and completed.

(c) Additional taxes and betterment taxes are being collected from the ayacutdars after supplying water through Chembedu tank. Repairs to the tanks were not carried out as the same was not felt necessary.

CANALS IN SUVARNAMUKHI AYACUT

503—

"362 (2209) Q—Sri R. Satyanarayana Raju (Narasapur):—
Will the hon. Minister for Medium Irrigation and Flood Control be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that canals are not dug so far to the village of Arthamala, Putheru and Ravuthsuramala forming part of Suvarnamukhi ayacut, Srikalahasthi taluk, Chittoor District;

(b) if so, when water will be supplied to the ayacutdars; and

(c) whether it is a fact that additional taxes and betterment taxes are being collected, since the last seven years from the ayacutdars of Nandimala, Madinenipalem which are included under the ayacut of the said project even without supplying water and repairing the tanks?

Sri S. Siddha Reddy:—

(a) Yes sir,

(b) After the necessary works under formulation are taken up and completed.

(c) Additional taxes and betterment taxes are being collected from the ayacutdars after supplying water through Chembedu tank. Repairs to the tanks were not carried out as the same was not felt necessary.
AMOUNT SPENT FOR FLOOD CONTROL

20th March, 1971

Q.—Sri V.C. Kesava Rao (Santhanunthalapada):— Will the hon. Minister for Medium Irrigation and Flood control be pleased to state:

(a) what is the amount spent for flood control during the last six months of 1969;

(b) how the amount is spent; and

(c) what is the amount given by the Central Government for this purpose?

Sri S. Sidda Reddy:—

(a) Rs. 1,85,081/- (Rupees one lakh, eighty five thousand and eighty one only) from June 1969 to December 1969.

(b) The amount was spent on flood control and flood protection works, such as forming flood banks to protect villages and ayacut lands that are liable for submersion under floods.

(c) No amounts were separately allotted by Government of India for the purpose.

Sri S. Sidda Reddy:— Improvements to East Tungabhadra drain from 20/2 to 23 miles, PDF to Alfur through Nagolavagu villages in Nellore District, diversion of Vagu at Solasa, Narasarao pet Taluk, protecting Annaparru Village from floods, raising and strengthening of flood banks at Ogeru near Rajupet.
REPAIRS OF TANKS IN SATYAVEDU TALUK

505—

* 855 (1442-M) Sri K. Muniswamy:—Will the hon. Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a). whether it is a fact that many of the tanks in Sathyavedu taluk, Chittoor district i. e., 1. Santhavelur 2. Kareraikkam 3. Pichatur 4. Irrugulam are leaking due to lack of regular repairs:
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(b) if so, why the Government is not taking steps to repair the same; and

(c) when and how the leaking tanks will be repaired?

The Minister for Minor Irrigation (Sri V. Purushothama Reddy):

(a)
(b)
(c) 

Answer is laid on the Table of the House.

ANSWER TO L.A. Q. No. 1442 (M) - 505 PUT BY SHRI K. MUNISWAMY, M.L.A. LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

(a) It is not a fact that tanks are leaking due to lack of regular repairs. There are no leakages now, except minor leakages in the left flank sluice of Karepakam tank.

(b) Action has already been taken and the present &

(c) position is indicated below.

i. Santhavalur tank:— It is now in a good condition. There is a supply channel to feed this tank. Two estimates have been prepared, one for closing breaches to the supply channel and the other for Special repairs. The work will be taken up after the estimates are sanctioned.

ii Karepakam tank: Left flank sluice of this tank is leaking to a small extent. Repairs will be taken up after the tank dries up.

iii Pitchatur tank:— The sluice of this tank was damaged due to floods. A ring bund was formed and leakages were arrested. As a permanent remedy, it was proposed to reconstruct the old sluice. Work was already taken up for execution and it is now completed.

iv. Irregulam tank: The condition of the bund is fair. The existing bank connections are to be strengthened at both the surplus weirs. Suitable instructions have been issued to the S. E. by the C. E. for taking early action in the matter.

సంధానూరు తానకు మీరుదారి మంత్రి, తెలిపిన విస్పరికత తీసుకుని ఎలాంటి చెపుతున్నాడు. ఒక భయం రోమాండు ప్రదేశంలో కూడా ఫ్యామీలు తానకు మంత్రి ప్రతి విస్పరికత వాడించాడు. అంశం కాని లారం మిన్నచే జాతు పెంచారు. మీరు విస్పరికత తీసుకుని ఎలాంటి చెపుతున్నాడు. మాట మిన్నచే జాతు పెంచారు. కాని లారం మిన్నచే జాతు పెంచారు. అంశం కాని లారం మిన్నచే జాతు పెంచారు. మాట మిన్నచే జాతు పెంచారు. కాని లారం మిన్నచే జాతు పెంచారు. అంశం కాని లారం మిన్నచే జాతు పెంచారు. మాట మిన్నచే జాతు పెంచారు. కాని లారం మిన్నచే జాతు పెంచారు. అంశం కాని లారం మిన్నచే జాతు పెంచారు.
WATER SUPPLIED FOR SECOND CROP UNDER THOTAPALLI ANICUT
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*210(448)Q.—Sri M. Venkatarami Naidu (Putby Sri P. Subbiah):— Will the hon. Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) the extent of land to which water for second crop has been supplied from No. I Branch-Channel of the Left Canal of Thotapalli Anicut across Nagavali river during 1969;

(b) the amount of land revenue (Bhurajamasu) and water cess fixed for the second crop,

(c) the extent of the land therein on which penalties have been levied: and

(d) the reasons for the levy of penalties?

Sri V. Purushotham Reddy:—

a) Ac. 3861 00. including areas outside the authorised zone during the second crop season of 1969.

b) In the case of wet lands, water cess at half the usual wet assessment is leviable, and in the case of dry lands, water cess is charged according to the differential water rate system. The preparation of the water rates accounts for the year has not been completed according to the Collector's report received in December 1970.

(c) & (d) This will be known only after the water rate accounts in respect of all the extents of land are completed. As and when the information is obtained, this will be placed on the table of the House.
IRREGULARITIES IN FISHERMEN'S CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY, MYPADU

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government has ordered for an enquiry into the unlawful activities of the President, Fishermen's Co-operative Society, Mypadu, Nellore District;

(b) if so, the name of the Officer who has been authorised to conduct the Statutory Enquiry;

(c) whether it is a fact that the enquiry officer was prevented from completing the Statutory Enquiry and if so the reasons therefor;

(d) what action was taken against the said President for assaulting the enquiry officer; and

(e) what is the further action taken?

The Hon'ble Deputy Chief Minister Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao:

(a) Yes, Sir. Government ordered a regular enquiry on 31-3-70.

(b) Sri M. M. Siddique, Asst. Director of Fisheries, Tenali.

(c) No, Sir. The enquiry officer has completed the enquiry and submitted his report.

(d) Does not arise.

(e) The Board of Management of the Co-operative Society was superseded and a Special Officer was appointed to manage the affairs of the Society for a period of six months from the date of assumption of charge. The Special Officer has assumed charge on 15-3-1971.

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao: A number of malpractices are there. General body and executive body meetings were not conducted as required under the Act; Safe preservation of valuable business records and maintenance very unsatisfactory; cash book is not prepared properly and maintained and cash transactions of huge expenditure and receipts have not been recorded. They have unauthorisedly sold some boats to Kerala and two more boats to individuals for Rs. 40,000.

Sri P. Subbaiah:— He said two boats were sold away unauthorisedly ఎందుటూ? ఆపినదిది నాణ్య అనోధితంయే ప్రద్యుఖానికి ఎప్పుడు నిర్ధిష్ఠానికి? ఉద్యమం వేయకం చేశారు నిర్ధిష్టానికి?
ANNOUNCEMENTS

Re:— Resignation of Sri P. V. G. Raju,

Mr. Speaker:— I have received the following letter from Sri P. V. G. Raju.

Dear Sir,

I request you to accept my resignation for the membership of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly, as I have been elected Member of the House of People, viz., Lok Sabha.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/- P. V. G. Raju.

Re:— Resignation of Sri K. Ramaiyah, from Swatantra Legislature Party,

Mr. Speaker:— I have received the following letter from Sri K. Ramaiyah, a Member of the Assembly.

Sir,

I am associated member of Swatantra Legislature Group in the Assembly. Now I would like to withdraw from the associate membership of Swatantra Group. I want to be an Independent Member of the House. So, I request you to allot me a seat in the House accordingly."
Privilege motion re: Alleged Statement by the Chairman, Estimates Committee

PRIVILEGE MOTION

Re: Alleged Statement by the Chairman, Estimates Committee.

Mr. Speaker:— I have received the following notice of breach of privilege against Sri Vema Reddy, Chairman of the Estimates Committee, from Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya. The notice reads like this:

I wish to raise a privilege motion against Sri Vema Reddy, Chairman of the Estimates Committee of the Legislature who stated that the Committee urged the Government to complete the project (Srisailam) within 5 years so as to accelerate the economic growth of Rayalaseema region. It is a breach of privilege of the House. As such I request you to send it to the privileges committee and uphold the right of the House. (Deccan Chronicle dated 19-3-1971 page 8 column 1)"

Mr. Speaker:— The point is that any proceedings of a Statutory Committee should not be divulged before actually the report is presented to the House. I suppose that is the point. The point is whether the proceedings are confidential and whether the proceedings take place within closed doors; according to May’s Parliamentary Procedure, it is only when the proceedings are confidential, if the proceedings take place within closed doors and no outsider has any access, then only the question of privilege arises. Apart from that, if you are able to quote any convention I will consider. So far as the rules are concerned, the rules are silent about that aspect. If you can quote any instance of any Legislature, I will certainly consider.

Mr. Speaker:— The Rules Committee is not a Statutory Committee. It is one which is formed by the Speaker under the Rules.
It is no a committee which comes into existence as a result of any legal provision either in the Constitution or anywhere. Under the Rules the Speaker is authorised to nominate members to the Rules Committee and form the Committee. That is a different matter. The Committees about which we are speaking are Statutory Committees in the sense that they are all formed by election, etc. Now the only point is whether the proceedings of these committees should be held confidentially within closed doors and no outsider can be permitted. If you are able to convince me that they are confidential proceedings, then the divulging of the proceedings to the public will naturally constitute breach of privilege. I will try to get it examined thoroughly.

Mr. Speaker:— If you are able to cite any convention or any instance where such a case was considered as amounting to breach of privilege, I will certainly take notice of it.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:— Sir, on all the proceedings of these Committees, they write “CONFIDENTIAL” according to May's Parliamentary Practice “Publication of proceedings conducted within closed doors and of draft reports of committees before they are available to members will constitute breach of privilege”

Mr. Speaker:— That means - if the proceedings are confidentially held, that will apply. Whatever it may be, let us get it examined. If you have got any previous conventions or precedents I will certainly get it examined. It is not as though I have formed an opinion. If there are any such previous instances which were considered either by our House or by any other House in the country and in which it was held that disclosure of any of the proceedings of a Statutory Committee amounts to breach of privilege, we will get it examined. I will call it again on Tuesday.

POINT OF INFORMATION re:— Irregularities in Electoral Rolls.
Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao:— Already the Chief Electoral Officer has issued a notification. I will find out and get details.

Mr. Speaker:— You please expedite the rectification of the defects in view of the rumours about the likely dissolution of the Assembly.

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motion moved.

Sri G. Rajaram:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 81,76,500 Land Revenue by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motions moved.

Sri M. Ch. Nagaiah:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 81,76,500 Land Revenue by Rs. 100/-

For criticising the Government for failure to distribute Banjar lands, house pattas to landless poor.
Sri Poola Subbaiah:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 81,76,500 Land Revenue by Rs. 100/—
The D. A. of the N. G. Os not being enhanced on par with the rising of prices in the State.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 81,76,500 Land Revenue by Rs. 100.
The salaries of the State employees not being raised on par with Central employees.
Mr. Speaker:— Cut motions moved.

DEMAND NO: II EXCISE DEPARTMENT
Sri G. Rajaram:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,28,98,500 Excise Department by Rs. 100/—
Mr. Speaker:— Cut motion moved.

DEMAND No. III TAXES ON VEHICLES
Sri G. Rajaram:— I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 16,02,000 Taxes on vehicles by Rs. 100/—

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motion moved.
Sri K. Butchaiah:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 16,02,000 Taxes on Vehicles by Rs. 100/—

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motion moved.

DEMAND No. IV SALES TAX ADMINISTRATION
Sri G. Rajaram:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 71,72,500 Sales Tax Administration by Rs. 100/—
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Mr. Speaker:— Cut motion moved.

DEMAND No. VII REGISTRATION DEPARTMENT
Sri Lakshminarasimha Rao:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,20,500 Registration Depart­
ment by Rs. 100/—

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motion moved.

DEMAND No. VIII STATE LEGISLATURE DEPARTMENT
Sri G. Rajaram:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 60,32,400 State Legislature by
Rs. 100/—

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motions moved.

DEMAND No. IX HEADS OF STATE MINISTERS
AND HEAD QUARTERS STAFF
Sri G. Rajaram:— I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,38,70,500 Heads of States &
Ministers, Headquarters Staff by Rs. 100/—

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motions moved.
Mr. Speaker:— Cut motions moved.

DEMAND No. X DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION AND MISCELLANEOUS

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,81,47,600 District Administration by Rs. 100/—

Sri G. Rajaram:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,81,47,600 District Administration by Rs. 100/—

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,81,47,600 District Administration by Rs. 100/—
Mr. Speaker:— Cut motions moved.

DEMAND No. XI. ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE
Rs. 1,26,97,800/-

Sri B. Lakshmikantha Rao:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12697800/-
Administration of Justice by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motion moved.

DEMAND No. XII JAILS Rs. 65,61,500/-

Sri B. Lakshmikantha Rao:— I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,61,500/- for Jails by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motion moved.

DEMAND No. XIII—Police 7,19,77,700

Sri B. Lakshmikantha Rao:— Sir, I move
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,19,77,700 for Police by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motions moved.
Mr. Speaker:— Cut motions moved.

DEMAND No. X DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION AND MISCELLANEOUS

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,81,47,600 District Administration by Rs. 100—

Sri G. Rajaram:— Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,81,47,600 District Administration by Rs. 100—

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,81,47,600 District Administration by Rs. 100—

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,81,47,600 District Administration by Rs. 100—
Mr. Speaker:— Cut motions moved.

DEMAND No. XI. ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE
Rs. 1,26,97,800/—

Sri B. Lakshmikantha Rao:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12697800/—
Administration of Justice by Rs. 100/—

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motion moved.

DEMAND No. XII JAILS Rs- 65,61,500/—

Sri B. Lakshmikantha Rao:— I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,61,500/— for Jails by Rs. 100/—

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motion moved.

DEMAND No. XIII — Police 7,19,77,700

Sri B. Lakshmikantha Rao:— Sir, I move
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,19,77,700 for Police by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,19,77,700 for Police by Rs. 100/—

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motions moved.
Sri Y. Venkata Rao: Sir I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,19,77,700 for Police by Rs. 100/-

For failure to establish Law and order situation in Guntur District

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motion moved

Sri K. Butchiah:— Sir I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,19,77,700 for Police by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motion moved.

DEMAND No. XVII - EDUCATION - Rs. 30,47,10,500

Sri B. Laxmikantha Rao:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 30,47,10,500 for Education by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motions moved.

DEMAND No. XVIII - MEDICAL - Rs. 7,65,76,300

Sri T. Purushotham Rao:— Sir, I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,65,76,300 for Medical by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motion moved.
General Discussion:

DEMAAND NO - XIX - PUBLIC HEALTH - Rs. 6,42,56,500

Sri K. Butchiah :— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,42,56,500 for Public Health by Rs 100/-.  

Mr. Speaker : Cut motion moved.

DEMAND No. XX - AGRICULTURE - Rs. 3,88,58,500

Sri Y. Venkata Rao :— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,88,58,500 for Agriculture by Rs. 100/-
For criticising the Government for failure to introduce cattle and crop insurance.

Mr. Speaker :— Cut motion moved.

DEMAND No. XXII - ANIMAL HUSBANDRY - Rs. 2,46,67,000

Sri Y. Venkata Rao:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,46,67,000 for Animal Husbandry by Rs. 100/-
For criticising the Government for failure to supply adequate medicines to the Veterinary Hospital

Mr. Speaker : Cut motion moved.

Sri K. Butchiah :— Sir, I beg to move;
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,46,67,000. for Animal Husbandry by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Speaker :— Cut motion moved:

DEMAND No. XXIV - INDUSTRIES - Rs. 1,44,19,100

Sri Poola Subbaiah :— Sir, I beg to move;
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,44,19,100 for Industries by Rs. 100/-
For retrenching the Junior EOS (Indi) Arbitrarily promoting U. D. Clerks in the posts of senior Inspectors and Coop.
Registrars allotted for the absorption of EOS (Ind) rendered surplus as a result of delimitation of Blocks in 1964.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,44,19,100 for Industries by Rs. 100/-

For not making the posts of Extension Officers (Ind) permanent for the last 15 years.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,44,19,100 for Industries by Rs. 100/-

for not upgrading the post on par with Supervisors of Industries as their duties are same.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,44,19,100 for Industries by Rs. 100/-

for not enhancing the fixed T. A. of EOS (Ind) even though the jurisdiction is increased.

Mr. Speaker :— Cut motions moved.

DEMAND NO XXV — COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS, NATIONAL EXTENSION SERVICE AND LOCAL DEVELOPMENT WORKS Rs. 3,56,92,500

Sri Y. Venkat Rao :— Sir, I beg to move

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,56,92,500 C. D. Projects, N. E. S. and L. D. works by Rs. 100/-

for criticising the Government for not according administration approval to road from Guntur Protcharu Road MO/6 to Vetticheru Village in Guntur Dist.

Mr Speaker :— Cut motion moved

Sri M. Ch. Nagiah :— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,56,92,500 C. D. Project, N. E. S. and L. D. Works by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,56,92,500 C. D. Project, N. F. S. and L. D. Works by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,56,92,500 C. D. Project, N. F. S. and L. D. Works by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,56,92,500 C. D. Project, N. F. S. and L. D. Works by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,56,92,500 C. D. Project, N. F. S. and L. D. Works by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,56,92,500 C. D. Project, N. F. S. and L. D. Works by Rs. 100/-

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,56,92,500 C. D. Project, N. F. S. and L. D. Works by Rs. 100/-
General Discussion

Sri Poola Subbiah:— Sir, I beg to move:
Sri R. Mahananda
Sri S. Vemayya
Sri C. P. Chowdary

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,56,92,500 C. D. Project, N. E. S. and L. D. Works by Rs. 100/-

The Rural works Programme in Rayalaseema and Mehaboobnagar is not implemented and required sanctions, technical and administrative are not being accorded so far.

Sri K. Butchiah:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,56,92,500 C. D. Project, N. F. S. and L. D. Works by Rs. 100/-

Sri Poola Subbiah:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 89,55,500 for Labour and Employment by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Speaker;— Cut motions moved.

DEMAND No. XXIX— WELFARE OF SCHEDULED TRIBES CASTES AND OTHER BACKWARD CLASSES— Rs. 5,53,65,000

Sri Poola Subbiah:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,53,65,000 for Welfare of S. T. Castes and other B. Cs by Rs. 100/-

Sri K. Butchiah:— Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,53,65,000 for Welfare of S. T. Castes and other B. Cs by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,53,65,000 for Welfare of S. T. Castes and other BCs by 100/-

Sri Poolla Subbaiah:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,53,65,000 for Welfare of ST, Castes and other BCs by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motions moved.

DEMAND No. XXXI IRRIGATION Rs. 9,66,70,500

Sri V. Venkata Rao:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 9,66,70,500 for Irrigation by Rs. 100/-

For criticising the Government for not taking up spill over drainage works immediately in Guntur Dist.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 9,66,70,500 for Irrigation by Rs. 100/-

for criticising the Government for failure to improve the under tunnels in communur land in Guntur Dt.

Mr. Speaker:— Cut motions moved.

Sri Poolla Subbaiah:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 9,66,70,500 for Irrigation by Rs. 100/-

Sri K. Butchiah:— Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 9,66,70,500 for Irrigation by Rs. 100/-
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 9, 66, 70, 500 for Irrigation by Rs. 100/—

Sri Y. Venkata Rao:—Sir, I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3, 45, 38,000/— Electricity by Rs. 100/—

for criticising the Government for failure to take up electrification of villages especially Harijana wadas in Andhra Region.

Mr. Speaker:—Cut motions moved.

Sri Pooja Subbaiah:—Sir, I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3, 45, 38, 000/— Electricity by Rs. 100/—

Sri K. Butchaiah:—Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,45,38,000/— Electricity by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,45,38,000/— Electricity by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,45,38,000/— Electricity by Rs. 100/—

Mr. Speaker:—Cut motions moved.

DEMAND No. XXXIII, PUBLIC WORKS Rs. 7, 89, 92, 060

Sri Pooja Subbaiah:—Sir, I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,89,92,000/— Public Works by Rs. 100/—
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Sir K. Butchaiah:—Sri, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,89,92,000/- Public Works by Rs. 100/-

Mr. Speaker:—Cut motions moved.

DEMAND No. XXXV. FAMINE RELIEF Rs. 2,09,84,000/-

Sri Poola Subbaiah:—Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,09,84,000/- Famine Relief by Rs. 100/-

The Famine Relief works are not being taken up in the famine affected areas in the State at present.

Mr. Speaker:—Cut motion moved.

DEMAND No. XL. FOREST DEPARTMENT Rs. 1,89,84,500

Sri G. Rajaram:—Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,89,84,500/- Forest Department by Rs. 100/-

The salaries of the Forest Watchers and Peons of the Forest Department in the State are not refixed as per pay revision in the State.

Mr. Speaker:—Cut motions moved.
DEMAND No. XLII. MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION

Rs. 53,10,400/—

Sri K. Butchaiah:—Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 53,10,400/—Municipal Administration by Rs. 100/—

Sri Poola Subbaiah:—Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 53,10,400/—Municipal Administration by Rs. 100/—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 58,10,400/—Municipal Administration by Rs. 100/—

Mr. Speaker:—Cut motions moved.

DEMAND No. XLIII. OTHER MISCELLANEOUS COMPENSATIONS AND ASSIGNMENTS Rs. 3,16,47,600/—

Sri Poola Subbaiah:—Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,66,47,600/- other Miscellaneous Compensations and Assignments by Rs. 100/—

The failure of the Government in not giving pattas to the landless, despite land assignment Review Committees.

Mr. Speaker:—Cut motions moved.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Speaker:—Now, there are two Call Attention Notices.

"No Andhra M.L.A. is interested in Telangana's progress." —TPS
Leader" Mr. Achutha Reddy. Not a single Andhra M. L. A. is sincerely interested in the development of Telangana according to Mr. K. Achutha Reddy. Mr. Speaker:— What is the point you are driving at, Mr. Gopalakrishnayya.

Mr. Speaker:— It is for the Minister to reply. He said certain things according to his opinion.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:— No, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:— According to his opinion whatever he felt he has expressed.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:— I happen to be a Member.

Sri K. Achutha Reddy:— I wonder why Sri Vavilala is taking to his head. Is he the sole representative of Andhra region?

Mr. Speaker:— I am not denying the right of any Member to express his views. Every Member has got his right to express his opinion. Just as you have got your right, he has got his right to express his opinion. Whatever it may be, you may differ from the opinion which he has expressed. You may not agree.

Mr. Speaker:— The Minister will make a note of all these points.
Mr. Speaker:— Why did you not raise this thing then and there immediately?

Sri Pooja Subbiah:— He did not conclude.

Mr. Speaker:— After his speech for about two to three minutes you could have certainly said it is not correct.

Mr. Speaker:— Kindly let us not enter into any kind of controversy over that issue. What they are feeling they are expressing. It is for the Minister to say in the course of his reply what they are doing for the development of Telangana.

Mr. Speaker:— I do not know what the Press people have done.

Sri K. Achutha Reddy: I do not know what Mr. Rajeswara Rao meant.
PRIVILEGE MOTION

re: Absence of the Chief Minister without justification for full part of the discussion from 15th, March, 1971.

Mr Speaker: Well that is an end of the matter. Now there is a notice of Breach of Privilege under Rule 173 given by Sri C. V. K. Rao. I am just reading out for the benefit of Members: Sir, I give notice of the following notice of Privilege Motion under Rules 173 and 174: This House being in an important session for considering interim Budget, Vote on Account since 11th instant and in regular uninterrupted session from 15th onwards and hence it being the responsibility of the Leader of the House and Chief Minister Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy to be present and follow the deliberations for necessary answers to the House, the Leader of the House has absented himself without justification for full part of the discussion from 15th to this day 19th instant and there is no knowing whether he will make his presence even to-day itself and this kind of derelection of duty on the part of the Leader of the House not only bad for his Leadership of the House, but also shows contempt for the Proceedings of the House for his negligence as Leader of the House and hence he has committed a breach of privilege of this House which has to be dealt with by this House forthwith”, without any delay.”

Mr Speaker:—— You know that he is absent with my permission.

Mr Speaker:—— He has gone with my permission and I have given him permission and it was read out on the very first day of the discussion.

Mr Speaker:—— I expect the Chief Minister, before we disperse to attend the Assembly. Let us hear the Chief Minister and then we can see to it.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—— Yes, Sir.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Sri Pragada Kotaiah:—— [Sir, one submission.]

“Andhra M. L. As. like Pragada Kotaiah and Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao alleged that Telangana was getting more than their share. As a matter of fact he said the Telangana Region did not want anything more”
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance.

20th March, 1971

Mr. Speaker:—When we take up discussion on the matter, I will call you and give you an opportunity.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE.

re:—Need for disposal of compensation Awards to Ryots of Rajulakandriga in Satyavedu taluk.

Sri V. Purushotham Reddy:—Sir, with your permission, I shall read out a statement.

The land compensation referred to by the Hon’ble Member is for the formation of the tank near Rajulakandriga. But as reported by the Collector it relates to Venkataraajulakandriga in Satyavedu taluk of Chittoor District. Three land acquisition cases are involved and they are posted for passing aware on 31-3-1971. Funds
have been placed at the disposal of the Sub-Collector, Chandragiri. The amount will be paid as soon as the award is passed.

Mr Speaker: — Now, Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishrayyaya to call the attention of the Minister for Marketing to the plight of the tobacco growers due to non-implementation of 10% 'rise' in the cost of tobacco promised last year.

re:—Plight of the tobacco growers due to non-implementation of 10% rise in the cost of tobacco promised last year.
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance.

20th March, 1971

Sir, during 1969-70 the production of Virginia tobacco was estimated at 80 million K. Gs. On account of seasonal conditions during the current year 1970-71 in the beginning of the season, the crop was expected to be short of last year's production and hence there was speculation of raise of last year's price. But the crop estimated by the trade have gone up by last year's production and thus earlier speculation of shortage of crop did not prove correct. The average price that prevailed during January, 1970 and February, 1970 and January, 1971 and February, 1971 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Price (Rs.) per quintal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30th January, 1970</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th January, 1971</td>
<td>530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th February, 1970</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th February, 1971</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th February, 1970</td>
<td>536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th February, 1971</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
20th March, 1971

Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance

20th February, 1970—Rs. 540
20th February, 1971—Rs. 550
27th February, 1970—Rs. 555
27th February, 1971—Rs. 550

It is seen that there has not been any drastic downward trends of price during the current year as compared to that of last year. In fact the prices are a little higher during the two weeks of February 1971. Further expectation of higher prices was due to speculation that the present year crop would not be as much as that of last year. It did not prove to be a fact. In the case of Vargenia tobacco, payment of prices depend on additional supply and demand and the quality of the produce which is often subject to seasonal conditions.

Sri Vavilala Gopakrishnaiah:— There is an agreement by the Promotion Council and the S. T. C. and the Central Agriculture Department that it must be purchased by the I. L. T. D Company with 10% increase i.e., Rs 550 + Rs 55 = Rs 605. That is the understanding. The Government was not aware of it. Can they say something else? What is the use of our calling the attention to you.

Mr. Chairman:— Have you got anything to say. A pertinent point was raised. It seems there is an understanding between the Agriculture Dept. of Govt. of India and the Promotion Council that they will enhance the rate:

Mandibly Sivaram:— The uplands should be taken. The uplands is 60 feet. 1.25 acres should be taken. It is necessary.

Mr. Chairman:— If the upland is 60 feet in the area? 0.5 acre is enough, is it? The area is 60 feet. If it can be taken, is it possible to take it? As the Chairman mentioned, the area is necessary.
PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE:

(Rules made under section 69 (1) of the A. P. Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act 1959)

The Minister for Panchayat Raj (Sri Thota Ramaswamy) — I beg to re-lay on the Table under sub-section (2) of section 69 of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act, 1959, copies of each of the following Notifications with which certain Rules made under sub-section (1) of section 69 of the said Act have been published in the Gazette.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl No.</th>
<th>Reference to the G.O. and date with which notifications have been published in the Gazette</th>
<th>Reference to the Gazette and date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>G. O. Ms. No. 177, P. R. (Samithi. I) Dept. 13-4-1970</td>
<td>6-8-1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>G. O. Ms. No. 314, P. R. (Samithi. I) Dept. 8-6-1970</td>
<td>9-7-1970</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Sri Thota Ramaswamy: — I beg to lay on the Table under sub-section (2) of section 69 of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithi and Zilla Prishads Act, 1959 a copy of each of the following Notifications with which certain Rules made under sub-section (1) of section 69 of the Act have been published in the Gazette:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SI No</th>
<th>Reference to the G. O. &amp; date with which Notifications have been published in the Gazette</th>
<th>Reference to the Gazette and date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>G. O. Ms. No. 428, P. R. (Samithi. I) Dept. dtd. 3-8-1970</td>
<td>4-8-1970</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3 Amendments to Rules Issued Under the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats Act. 1964

Sri Thota Ramaswamy: I beg to lay on the Table under sub-section (5) of section 217 of the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats Act, 1964, copies of the following amendments other Rules issued under the said Act,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Reference to the G. O. &amp; date with which Notifications have been published in the Gazette</th>
<th>Reference to the Gazette and date,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>G. O Ms. No. 107, P. R. (Pts. II) Dept. dt. 16-3-1970</td>
<td>26-3-1970</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Rules for creation of posts required in the office of the Zilla Grandhalaya Samasthes and in the Public Libraries Established and maintained by the Zilla Grandhalaya Samasthas

Sri S. Sidha Reddy:— On behalf of the Minister for Education, I beg to lay on the Table under sub-section (3) of section 25 of the Andhra Pradesh Public Libraries Act, 1960, a copy of the G. O Ms. No 1540, Education, dated 6-8-1970 relating to the issue of Rules for creation of posts required in the Office of the Zilla Grandhalaya Samasthas and in the Public Libraries established or maintained by the Zilla Grandhalaya Samasthas.

5. Sri S. Sidha Reddy:— On behalf of the Minister for Education, I beg to lay on the Table under sub-section (3) of section 25 of the Andhra Pradesh Public Libraries Act, 1960, a copy of G. O. Ms. No. 1758 Education dated 16-9-1970 prescribing the Rules for the recognition of Library Associations and regulation of grants to such Associations.

Mr Chairman:— Papers laid on the Table of the House

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT (BUDGET)
FOR 1971-72 (VOTE ON ACCOUNT)
General Discussion
Annual Financial Statement for 1971—72 (Vote on Account)

General Discussion

Consistent with the inescapable needs and commitments, therefore, a Plan outlay of Rs. 105.59 crores has been provided for in the Budget for 1971-72. This excludes the special provision of Rs. 9 crores to be made for a Special Telangana Development Schemes for which the recommendation of the Regional Committee will be secured separately and necessary ac-
mands will be brought before the House later. 
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I was discussing this Rs. 9.0 crores provision for Special Development Schemes for Telangana. I will read the relevant portion of the Budget Speech of the Finance Minister.

"In the Budget for 1970-71, an outlay of Rs. 77.39 crores was provided for the State Plan of the year and it was indicated that the Plan outlay will be augmented in the course of the year to include programmes of Special Development of Telangana, Rayalaseema and backward areas of Coastal Andhra districts. When the House met in July 1970, supplementary demands were approved towards the expenditure on Special Telangana Development Schemes, programmes for Rayalaseema and Coastal Andhra Districts and for the Tribal Welfare Plan. The outlay on the State Plan is thus expected to be Rs. 97.42 crores, inclusive of Rs. 9 crores for the Special Development Programmes of Telangana, Rs. 4 crores for Rayalaseema Development Plan, Rs. 1.6 crores in respect of Tribal Welfare Plan and additional allotment of Rs. 2 crores for Pochampad project."

"Consistent with the inescapable needs and commitments, therefore, a plan outlay of Rs. 105.59 crores has been provided for in the Budget for 1971-72. This excludes the special provision of Rs. 9 crores to be made for Special Telangana Development Schemes for which the recommendation of the Regional Committee will be secured separately and necessary demands will be brought before the House later."

This Rs. 9.0 crores will be included in that plan outlay of Rs. 105.59 crores. There, it is inclusive of Rs. 9.0 crores on the basis of the grants given to other regions. Here, this plan outlay of Rs. 97 crores includes the provision of Rs. 10.62 crores for Nagjarjuna-sagar and other works. The outlay of Rs. 4.0 crores in respect of Rayalaseema Development Schemes has been included in the plan as recommended by the Rayalaseema Development Board.

...
General Discussion

It is entirely under the purview of the Regional Committee. The Regional Committee gives certain schemes. Under these schemes, this money is spent. You have no say in the matter.

Then, as a result of the expenditure on the Government account or the Corporate account, if any deficit comes, the Government is responsible. As a result of over-spending on Government account or Corporate account or any such account, these surpluses of Telengana should not be tapped.

That is an important point, because, after all, why this statement of expenditure and revenue is ordered to be supplied to the Regional Committee. You will have to plan economically and see that no overspending or no wastage is there. When we are taking that responsibility of looking into the matter and when we are supposed to advise the Government that this item is not necessary, that there is overspending on this item or this item is to be removed when we say all this of course, we will be responsible if there is any surplus or deficit, but since the Government is spending on its own account without referring to the advice of the Regional Committee—

Of course, purposely it has been shown that it is an account of the Telengana region only. Whether it is surplus or deficit, why Andhra account has not been shown on that note (సంధితంతో, అధికారం వచ్చినవి). ఇందుకంగా అధికారం ఆధృతం ఆరోగ్య విధానం సంపాదన పొందింది. ఆరోగ్య విధానం సంపాదన పొందింది అంటే ఎందుకంటే నిషేధం సంపాదన పొందింది. కనుక అధికారం ఆధృత విధానం సంపాదన పొందింది. తద్వారా అధికారం ఆధృతం ఆరోగ్య విధానం సంపాదన పొందింది. ఆరోగ్య విధానం సంపాదన పొందింది అంటే ఎందుకంటే నిషేధం సంపాదన పొందింది. కనుక అధికారం ఆధృత విధానం సంపాదన పొందింది. తద్వారా అధికారం ఆధృతం ఆరోగ్య విధానం సంపాదన పొందింది. ఆరోగ్య విధానం సంపాదన పొందింది అంటే ఎందుకంటే నిషేధం సంపాదన పొందింది. కనుక అధికారం ఆధృత విధానం సంపాదన పొందింది. 

Because the Regional Committee is not a party to that. ప్రత్యేకించి నిషేధం సంపాదన పొందింది. 

It is a farce. Special development schemes of which the recommendations of the Regional Committee will be secured separately. ప్రత్యేకించి నిషేధం సంపాదన పొందింది.
On the recommendation of the Development Sub-Committee. After all the Development Sub-Committee has no locus standi or legal status. It is the entire Regional Committee which has the legal status. I have been harping on this for the last 2 years that the recommendations of the Regional Committee are binding, not the Sub-Committee. It is in league with the ruling clique. What is this? If it is beneficial to the entire Andhra State are we not entitled to look into the matter. For example, how I wish, this Regional Committee is scrapped. In the name of the Regional Committee, how many things are happening. This Development Committee consisting of 9 people will select the list of the names of villages where electricity is to be given.

Yesterday I found some recommendations from a Minister saying that in the last elections:

Sri M. A. Ramachandra Reddy:— Only Telengana members are in that Development Sub-Committee.

Sri K. Achutha Reddy: I know, of course I am only explaining the legal status or the legal position of the committee whether they are Gods or demi-Gods. I don't deny there are good people. There are very efficient and very good people. I don't deny it, but about the legal status of the Committee; I say, after all, it is a sub-committee to investigate into the matter and not to recommend to the Government. It is the entire Regional Committee which has got that right. This is the legal point. Let the Advocate General be invited and let him explain the position. Of course, I am not disputing the efficiency or the ability of the members of the Sub-Committee. The propriety is, the entire Regional Committee is expected to send some recommendations.

Yesterday, some Minister has sent a chit saying since these villages have fared well in the recent elections, they could be included. Then, the Sub-Committee finds it is all right and forwards the names to the Electricity Department. This is happening and still they say, "this Regional Committee is the mouth piece of Telengana and we are, of course, invariably accepting their recommendations." This is a farce. This is how things are being done. Thus, you are belittling the importance of the Regional Committee. That is why this state of affairs.

If the Regional Committee is allowed to work independently. I may mention, I had given notice of two important resolutions while Hyderabad projects—Upper Krishna, Beema, Tungabhadra High level Canal and allocation of extra water as it was originally contemplated and fixed for this purpose in 1951 Agreement. since these projects are the life-lines for the famine-affected districts of Mahbubnagar and Nalgonda," Thus, you see how thi
Regional Committee is functioning. Am I not justified in asking for scrapping this Regional Committee which is only a farce and is not at all functioning as was envisaged by the Presidential Order or by the Prime Minister. So, that is the fate of the thing. That is why, this Regional Committee which is responsible for giving these schemes for the utilisation of these Rs. 9 crores should not result in any surpluses or anything. If by way of Government planning any deficit comes in the overall budget, we are not responsible and that should not be taken into account and further it is going against the public debt account. Some loan may be raised or some accommodation may be got from the Government of India or State Bank of India. So, the budget should not wipe out the Telengana surpluses which have been arrived at, rightly or wrongly by the Bharagava Committee report or at a later stage by any other agency that may be assigned the task.

Secondly, I have yet to come across an Andhra MLA who has correctly understood the Telengana situation—the Telengana surpluses, the Telengana agreement—or who is genuinely interested in the welfare or development of Telengana. This is my opinion and I am justified in expressing my opinion.

Sri C. V. K. Rao: Can you come across such a person at any-time?

Sri K. Achutha Reddy:— I have not yet. It is my misfortune. In future I don’t know whether I would be fortunate enough to locate one, but yet it is not my experience. It is unfortunate.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— You have a prejudicial mind and therefore you will never come across.

Sri K. Achutha Reddy:— That is all right. It is my misfortune. I have not come across such people. And Mr. Rajeswara Rao was kind enough to clarify the position. I have not tried to talk on his behalf or on behalf of his Party people in Telengana. I never said that it is the entire opinion of the Telengana people or any such thing. It is my opinion and I am justified in saying that. On what account Mr. Rajeswara Rao is rebutting I can’t understand. (Interrupted by Ch., Rajeswara Rao) It may be your opinion. You would have expressed your entire confidence in the ruling clique and you are in league with that clique. 

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:— I protest.

Sri K. Achutha Reddy:— I am not yielding, Sir. The honourable member can protest afterwards. That man, Mr. Abbasi, was driven away unceremoniously from the Finance Department.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:— Not because of Telangana. I said, the whole, finances are wrong. Even today I say the Finance Department are committing so many blunders.
Sri K. Atchuta Reddy: Are you supporting the present incumbent there? The present man has not given correct figures: a Tamilan sitting there and working jugglery of figures. Are you supporting him? All these five years, have you said anything against him, when you said something against Abbasi? This man has driven him out unceremoniously.

(Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya rose in his seat and began to speak)

Mr. Speaker: Mr. Achuta Reddy, please go on.

Sri K. Achuta Reddy:— If the hon. member is going to interrupt me like this, how can I go on, Sir? As I said, that man (Sri Abbasi) was driven out unceremoniously. The figures given to us now are defective figures. This is a defective statement that has been supplied to this august body; yet, nobody takes to his head to speak about this. Why? How is it that these things are happening even though Mr. Abbasi was not there. A very intelligent man, a very efficient man is there now. Why is it that nobody has asked why such a defective statement has been furnished to the House? Things are happening like this. Abbasi's time was over. This man was there since the past 4 years or 5 years. Has anybody asked him about this sorry state of things? I am asking. This is a glaring thing. Why these Telangana surpluses have been shown? why have not Andhra figures been shown? There are things which we should consider impartially and without any prejudice.

Now coming to the Bhargava Committee, the Bhargava Committee report may be a confidential document. But the Government knows. There was a review committee and a meeting of the High Power Committee with the Prime Minister. On our behalf it has always been challenged that this Bhargava Committee recommendations are unacceptable. The Prime Minister has been giving assurances that all such items which are not in agreement will again be examined and reviewed. These are points which will come to light if not today tomorrow. Inspite of these assurances of the Prime Minister, nothing has happened. If the hon. Speaker can summon the proceedings of the Review Committees, they would disclose the assurances given by the Prime Minister to the effect that the disputed items would be reviewed. Inspite of these assurances, the Government has been keeping silent. Strangely, the Finance Minister says that they have been totally accepted and implemented. This runs counter to the assurances of the Prime Minister that in the light of the Bhargava Committee report the impact on both the regions would be reviewed. Here is a press communication dated February 1970 from Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India to the effect:

“Government are of the view that the backward tracts of the Telangana region should receive adequate consideration in future developmental programmes of the State Government, and hope that the State Government and the High Power Telangana Development Committee will soon go into this matter and come to...
a decision of Telangana's due share in the general developmental expenditure of the State”.

In February 1970, they have given a directive, if I may say so.

In spite of all this, the Government stick to the Bhargava Committee report and say that one-third is the formula that has been incorporated in the budget books and other documents. I ask this question straightforward: Whether the High Power Committee has come to a decision or not? Whether it is not a fact that before the Prime Minister and the Planning Commission Member, the Chief Minister and the Government of Hyderabad have agreed for 40 per cent contribution towards backwardness of the Telangana area. These are things that require to be answered. Do you want me to ignore? Do you want me to rest content that it is 33% that should form the basis for the budget figures?

Thank you, Sir, for giving me this opportunity.

Mr. Speaker:— The Minister for Finance will please reply.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy (Dornakal):— I am raising a point of order. This budget which has been bifurcated should be referred to the Regional Committee. It has not been referred to the Regional Committee and an objection has been raised. You were kind enough to give a ruling that this budget should go to the Regional Committee and after its recommendations only the budget should be taken up. But unfortunately it has not been referred to the Regional Committee. It is out of order and illegal to pass it unless the Regional Committee gives its recommendations.

Mr. Speaker:— What has the Finance Minister or the Government to say?

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— But the Finance Minister cannot be asked to reply to the point of order....

Mr Speaker:— I am asking him to give his views or the Government's views. Mr. Ramachandra Reddy had raised a point of order and I am asking for the views of Government.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— There are two things: the amended Presidential Order is being ignored and the second thing is there is your ruling.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— How can the Minister reply?

Mr. Speaker:— I am asking him to give his views in the matter.
Sri K. Achuta Reddy:— When we raise a point of order it is the Speaker who has to give a ruling on it. There is no party to the point of order. If at all the Speaker wants clarification, he can obtain legal opinion or ask the Advocate-General to explain it but there is no party to it.

Mr. Speaker:— Of course, we can consult the Advocate-General or even ask the Advocate-General to come before the House and give his opinion before giving a ruling. Because the point of order has been raised by the Leader of the Opposition, I am asking the Government for their views. I am asking the other side.

Sri K. Achuta Reddy:— The other side is not there.

Sri C. V. K. Rao: Rule 241 says clearly that no debate shall be allowed on a point of order, but the Speaker, if he thinks fit, can hear the Member only who raised the point of order—only that member who raised the point of order. When you allow the other member to speak, it amounts to a debate. We really take objection in that the party who did a mistake in not referring it to the Regional Committee should not be given the benefit of expressing his views.

Mr. Speaker:— There should not be a debate in the sense that members should not be given an opportunity to speak on the point of order; nobody should be allowed to speak on it. Here, a point has been raised by Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy that the budget should not be taken into consideration before the Regional Committee report is received. On that point I want to hear the Government's views. I am not allowing others to speak.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— The report before me says:

"The Speaker B. V. Subba Reddy giving his ruling on a point of order raised in this regard directed the Government immediately to refer the matter to the Regional Committee which should give its opinion by Thursday evening. The report of the Regional Committee will be discussed along with the budget by the Assembly, on Friday." It has not been done. You gave a directive.

Mr. Speaker:— True, at the same time I would like to hear the concerned Minister to explain the position.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— You may also obtain an explanation why your ruling has not been adhered to.

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy:— Sir, according to the
Presidential Order I must present before this House an annual Financial Statement. "Details regarding receipts and expenditure in relation to Telangana region and the rest of the State shall be shown in separate columns for facility of reference and consideration by the Regional Committee." As far as this is concerned, I have complied with the Presidential Order and the Rules of Procedure. It is not on the reference of the Speaker or this House that the Regional Committee considers the matter. The Regional Committee considers the budget and proposes certain things to the Government for necessary action. It is not in the Rules or the Presidential Order that the Assembly should refer it to the Regional Committee, and the Regional Committee can only report to the Government and not to the Assembly. As far as the Presidential Order is concerned, the obligation on the part of the Government to present a statement with separate accounts for Telangana has been carried out. Because of that, Sir, the presentation of the budget to Regional Committee and its report back to the Legislative Assembly does not arise. The Regional Committee considers it and reports to the Government; its considered opinion, for necessary action. So, after the Regional Committee's consideration it need not come to the Assembly. This Assembly need not wait for it.

Mr. Speaker: - The other day what I expressed was that under the Rules I have no power to postpone the discussion of the budget. At the same time, I am equally anxious to protect the rights of the members of the Regional Committee as well as to comply with the rules position. That is exactly the reason why I was asking the regional committee to send it by 18th so that the House may have an opportunity to consider their report. I am equally anxious to follow the rules. That is the ruling given on a point of order raised by Mr. Madanmohan. I am explaining the rules position now. As per the Presidential Order under 154 (1) (a) which has been incorporated in the Assembly Rules, the annual financial statement - details regarding receipts and expenditure in relation to Telangana Region and rest of the State shall be shown in separate columns the object being for facilitating for reference and consideration by the Regional Committee, to enable the Regional Committee, to consider the separate figures furnished so far as Telangana Region is concerned. In compliance with those rules, the budget figures should have also been placed before the Regional Committee separately. I wanted the Regional Committee to consider the separate figures furnished to them and send their report before the budget is finally decided by this House. That is my opinion. This is a vote on account. This is not a general budget. If a general discussion as usual had taken place, then the Regional Committee would have been in a position to send its report to the House so that even before the demands are taken up.....

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy: — In the Regional Committee bye-laws.....
Mr. Speaker:— Now this is only for purpose of consideration; to provide a facility to the Regional Committee to consider. This is a vote on account. When the regular budget is taken up somewhere.....

Sri K. Atchuta Reddy:— There is nothing mentioned here as regular budget. It says, 'Annual Financial statement'.

Mr Speaker:— Whatever it may be it is incumbent upon the Government to come at a later stage within a period of 6 months with the demands and get them passed. Don’t think they can bye-pass and get on with the vote on account for the full year. That is not possible because only a vote on account is being taken now.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— I will refer to Rule 164 of the Rules of Procedure regarding the budget. It shall equally apply to the vote on account and therefore the Government cannot disown its responsibility in not taking the matter to the Regional Committee.

Mr. Speaker:— What I am now saying is the opinion of the Regional Committee after its consideration of the separate figures furnished to it must be sent to the Government under the byelaws framed under the Regional Committee Rules.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— It is mandatory, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:— It is not incumbent upon the Regional Committee to present it to the House. They should send it to the Government for consideration.

Sri K. Atchuta Reddy:— And Government is to take immediate action. What is that immediate action that the Government has taken? The point here is that important formality has not been observed.

Mr. Speaker:— That is what I am saying. At some stage, when the demands come up for consideration before the House, the Government must take the opinion of the Regional Committee into consideration and come before the House. So far as the Regional Committee is concerned, their obligation is that. So far as the Legislative Assembly is concerned, the Chairman of the Regional Committee comes before the House and presents the Report of the Regional Committee. The rule is clear there. Similarly with regard to other matters also in which it is obligatory on the part of the Regional Committee to send its reports to the House. The Chairman, on behalf of the Regional committee presents the report to the House. Similarly with regard to statutory committees also. The chairman of each statutory committee like Estimates, Public Accounts Committee, etc., comes before the House and says that he is presenting the report. It is not so, so far as this is concerned. It should be sent only to the Government for consideration and the Government should take the opinion of the Regional Committee into consideration and while presenting the Demands must.....

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— I am not pleading for the Regional Committee, Chairman but there should be a motion from.
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the Government also to the Regional Committee. The Motion also has not been made by the Government. It is a lapse.

Mr. Speaker:— I am not trying to minimise the provision made under the Rules. What I am saying is it is not as though once for all you are passing the budget for the full year.

Sri K. Atchuta Reddy: — What ever rule position is applicable to the normal budget, is also applicable to the vote on account. The annual figures are given in this.

Mr. Speaker:— So far as the Chair is concerned, there is no rule under which I can refer this matter to the Regional Committee. It is not for the Chair to ask the Regional Committee to consider. As a matter of fact, under the Rules the Minister concerned, who is in charge of Finance, has got to present. What I said was it is better if this is received before the House considers the vote on account. That is why I requested the Chairman of the Regional Committee to have the Regional Committee meeting before 18th and if possible to send the report to the House. He expressed his inability saying that since he has already given notice for the members of the Regional Committee fixing the regional Committee meeting on 20th, he was sorry that he could not revise and it was not possible for him to have it passed before the 20th. Under these circumstances, I am helpless. I cannot direct the Chairman of the Regional Committee to pass it before 20th. He also said: ‘I am not bound to send the report to the Assembly. I am only to send the report of the Regional Committee to the Government under the bye-laws which are binding on the Regional Committee‘. That is the position.
Mr. Speaker:— That is why I said even on that day that unless I go through the bye-laws of the Regional Committee I won't be in a position to tell the House the correct position. It is like this. The bye-law relating to the consideration of the budget in relation to Telangana region is framed with reference to Rule 154 (1). What it says is this: "On the day of the presentation of the annual Financial Statement to the Assembly and as soon as may be, after its presentation," i.e., after the presentation of the budget in the House, as soon as possible after the presentation he has already given notice of the motion to present the Budget before the Regional Committee, he has given notice immediately after presentation.

Mr. Speaker:— According to him, he says unfortunately he was not aware of this rule.

Mr. Speaker:— That is scant respect they have got to the Regional Committee.
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Sri K. Vijayabaskara Reddy:— The Presidential Order I was aware of. But this was brought to my notice latter on.

Mr. Speaker:— "For the first time on the day of presentation of the Financial Statement and as soon as may be after its presentation, the Regional Committee shall meet and the member in-charge shall move at such meeting that the said Annual Financial Statement be considered by the Committee as provided in sub-rule (1) (iv) of Rule 154, provided that any member may move at this stage that the Budget be referred to the Sub-Committee in the Regional Committee for consideration .." It is clearly stated here after the Committee has considered the Budget or the Sub-Committee's Report on the Budget as the case may be, it shall report its views to the Government for taking immediate suitable action. That is the real position. I am not denying that I too am not aware of this bye-law. I was thinking that it will certainly be proper for the Regional Committee to send its report as far as possible before it is finally considered by the House. Unfortunately this is Vote on Account.

Sri R. Vijaya Bhaskara Reddy:— Even if it is a regular Budget the Regional Committee considers and gives its opinion to the Government. It is for the Government to take note of it.

Sri K. Atchuta Reddy:— The point is Government has failed to do its duty.

Sri K. Vijayabaskara Reddy:— It is not intentionally done. I was not aware of it; it was not done with any kind of intention to defeat the provision of the rules or to deprive the Regional Committee of any opportunity to consider.

Sri K. Atchuta Reddy:— We are only anxious; there is some move to dissolve the Assembly from 25th. I am attributing this move deliberately to deprive the Regional Committee of an opportunity to give its suggestions and ultimately at the end a deficit budget would be shown.

Sri K. Vijayabaskara Reddy:— I have explained the real position.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— How can we overrule the mandatory provision; it is a mandatory provision.

Mr. Speaker:— I have read out the bye-law under which the Chairman shall send the report to the Government; there is no provision under which the Chairman should present it to the House.

Sri A. Madanmohan:— The Regional Committee is a Statutory Body and the rules provide that as soon as the Budget is presented in this House, I would like to bring to the notice of the Speaker the word "or" is not used, but the word "and" is used - as soon as it is presented here, it should be taken up by the Regional Committee. So it should not be any day later than the day on which it was presented to this House, on the very day it should.
have been taken. Now it is a statutory body and its functioning is not obligatory but mandatory. That cannot be overlooked. That is my first charge against the Government. Secondly, when this matter was raised in this House, the Speaker was kind enough to give a ruling asking the Government, not the Chairman-let me be very clear-and more specifically the Finance Minister to refer this to the Regional Committee and after it is discussed, it should be presented before the House not later than 19th when actually the Finance Minister is supposed to reply to the Budget. That being the position, this ruling of the Speaker also was overlooked. Now I would like the Speaker to give a ruling-when the Finance Minister has failed to honour the ruling given by the Speaker, what should be its effect. Secondly, since the Regional Committee is a statutory body what is the effect of non-compliance and non-attending to that function. Thirdly, the Regional Committee is not directly responsible to the House; the Government is responsible. If the Regional Committee is not directly responsible to this House, this thing cannot be overlooked viz., that the Government is directly responsible to the House and also to the Regional Committee. So what is the effect non-compliance or non-observation of this particular statutory obligation? There are two charges which I make against the Government-first, that he has overlooked and dishonoured the ruling given by the Speaker of presenting the Budget after referring to the Regional Committee and he has failed to present it before the Regional Committee. It is as though it is presented before this House. Apart from that there is the question of privilege involved and I request the Speaker to give a ruling on these points.
Sri C. V. K. Rao :— Well, it is a known fact that in this House, a section of colleagues are very suspicious of the Government's attitude, Sir. Now, when once the Government comes before this House with an important item (Vote on Account) to by-pass, ignore and treat this House with such contempt, it is a serious matter. Now, there is no doubt that the Regional Committee has certain rules. Is it not the duty of the Minister who deals with the Finance to know the rules and regulations clearly. Even then, you have insisted that the Minister should see that the matter goes before the Regional Committee and make necessary arrangements to get the consideration of the Regional Committee. And now the Chairman of the Regional Committee replies saying that he will consider on 20th. There is a conspiracy between the Chairman and the Minister concerned. Therefore the suspicions are much more raised and much more commotion is being created. It is a deliberate attempt on the part of the Minister concerned. Therefore, I feel you cannot spare him. Hold him responsible for such derelection of duty.

S. B. Somaraju (Rajkiya) :— Ahmed, 8. M. R. agitation
maharSi 8 aroo 8. We are not disputing about the
powers and all these things. It is the primary responsibility of
the Regional Committee to consider the budget estimates as early as
possible, and they are have submit the recommendations or what-
evver they may be to the Government. They are not in any way
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Concerned in not coming into being before the Assembly. That is the point we have to take into consideration. There is no objection for this Vote on Account or the Budget to be taken up and passed immediately. Only consideration is the Regional Committee has to take into consideration the receipts of Telangana and discuss and submit the report to the Government as early as possible. That is the exact wording which is there in the Regional Committee rules. Therefore, we need not take into consideration the objection raised by our friends.

Mr. Speaker:— Now, a point of order can be...

(Sri Madan Mohan rose to speak)

Mr. Speaker:— You have already had your say.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— No, no. I shall just reply to what he has raised and want to say that it is not obligatory.

Mr. Speaker:— He is only quoting the bye-law under which it is not obligatory on the part of the Regional Committee to present its report to the House. They should send it only to the Government.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— Yes, Sir. We also clarified that it is not obligatory on the part of the Regional Committee to present it to the House. But the Government cannot get over the obligation. That is what I am saying.

Mr. Speaker:— Now, so far as the conduct of procedure in the Regional Committee is concerned, the Regional Committee has got its own rules and bye-laws. Under the rules of procedure so far as the Regional Committee is concerned, it is the Chairman who convenes the meeting as per the procedure laid down there and gives an opportunity for subjects being placed before the Regional Committee for its consideration.

Now, so far as I am concerned, it is not as though I give any direction as per any rule that the Regional Committee should consider it and send its report before 18th. It is not under any powers vested in me or any of the provision of rules or any legal provision that I ask the Regional Committee to consider it and send it before 18th. The rules are clear and I cannot give any kind of direction to the Chairman of the Regional Committee or the Regional Committee to meet at a particular day and then send its report by a particular period. I said, it is better I will ask the Government as far as possible to ask the Regional Committee to consider before 18th and send its report before 18th so that the House might consider the opinion. At that stage, even the bye-law under which the Regional Committee has to send it to the Government, was not aware of. I was not aware of the provision at all nor did any member bring it to my notice nor is there any provision in the rules which says that it should be presented to the House. But on the contrary there is an obligation on the part of the Regional Committee to send it to the Government and not to the House. Now, that being so as
Mr. Raieswara Rao was pointing out what the intentions of the Presidential order, we can only infer, it is not clear from the wording itself as to why such they made provision that it should be send to the Government and not present it before the House just as in the case of other bills. Whatever it may be; I do not know. I only said that it would be better if, after the report of the Regional Committee is received by the Government, the Government takes into consideration the views expressed by the Regional Committee as far as possible, come before the House and inform the House to what extent they are implementing the recommendations of the Regional Committee.

Now, as it is under the Rule 154 (I) (a) it is obligatory on the part of the Finance Minister under the Bye-law also as soon as possible, he must place it, move it before the Regional Committee. That means he give notice of his intention to move a motion for presenting separate figures so far as Telangana is concerned to the Chairman. When notice of a motion is given to the Chairman, it is the duty of the Chairman to convene the Regional Committee as per the procedure laid down. Not only that, the Regional Committee has a further right to even appoint a Sub-Committee and consider the supplied figures. Naturally they take even longer time, it may be one week, ten days or fifteen days. I do not know. But as far as possible, they should expeditiously consider these matters and send the report to the Government so that before the Budget is finally passed, the Government might inform the House as to what has happened. But unfortunately, here all these things could not be done because the Chairman of the Regional Committee was not well.

Sri K. Vijayabhasker Reddy :— That word is not in the bye-law that it should be send to the Government before this date.

Mr. Speaker :— The Government should take into consideration the report of the Regional Committee and then......

Sri K. Vijayabhasker Reddy :— When that report should come to the Government is not there in the Bye-law.

Mr. Speaker :— That is what I am saying.

Sri K. Atchutha Reddy :— That is why it is said on the very day......

Mr. Speaker :— I would only say the intention is not clear.

Sri Ch. Raieswararao :— It is clear.

Mr. Speaker :— It is not clear Mr. Raieswararao.

Sri Ch. Raieswara Rao :— Every detail cannot be transformed into form of a rule. It is understood.

Mr. Speaker :— The rule is that the Regional committee should send its report to the Government for its consideration.
Sri K. Atchuta Reddy:— Then why is it there that on the very first day of the presentation, a motion must be moved. And then there is: 'as soon as possible.' Why it should not be after six months?

Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao:— On the very day and as soon as it is possible, why is it there?

Mr. Speaker:— What the Minister for Finance is saying is that as per the rules, he should give notice to the Chairman of Regional Committee.

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy:— I have already given.

Sri K. Atchuta Reddy:— Simultaneously it should meet on the day when the Assembly is meeting.

Mr. Speaker:— On the day of the presentation of the financial statement to the Assembly and as soon as after the presentation, the Regional Committee shall meet. The rule is on the same day, he should give notice and then the Regional Committee shall meet as early as possible. Here of course on the same day, the notice was not given. He says that it was not by intention to defeat the provision of the rules.

Sri K. Atchuta Reddy:— The motion was also not sent as early as possible. Yesterday or day before yesterday, it was brought to his notice.

Mr. Speaker:— The Finance Minister clearly said that he has given notice.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— We should not try to read in between lines of rules. The Regional Committee has to send its views before the Budget is passed.

Mr. Speaker:— There I differ. The Regional Committee either by itself after consideration or before that they can refer it to the Sub-Committee and get the report of the Sub-Committee.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— The Regional Committee is meeting today. The Regional Committee, under the circumstances, will only do the post-mortem work.

Mr. Speaker:— There is no question of asking the Regional Committee to send its report to the House. What is the provision under which I can ask the Chairman, Regional Committee to send a report to the House. He can as well turn round and say 'I am not prepared to send a report and under what provision you are asking me to send a report to the House?'

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— If the views of the Regional Committee are not to be discussed here before actually the budget is passed......

Mr. Speaker:— We are only guided by the rules. The rule says that the Report shall be sent to the Government and not to the House. How can the Speaker of the House compel the...
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Chairman, Regional Committee to send the report or present the report to the House?

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— I am not asking the Speaker to compel the Chairman, Regional Committee. My submission is, it is obligatory and mandatory on the part of the Finance Minister to present the budget before the Regional Committee as soon as it is presented before the Assembly. He has failed in doing so. For this part, the Speaker is saying that it was unintentional. The very object of constitution of the Regional Committee is to discuss the matters pertaining to Telangana region. If the Budget is not discussed in the Regional Committee, without their views only, the budget here in the Assembly will be passed. Whatever be the intention of the Minister, the Hon'ble Speaker says that we are only concerned with the rules. When we consider the rules position, the Finance Minister has failed in his duty. Whether it is intentional or unintentional, our charge is that it is willful and it is not unintentional and that part of it is being taken every easily by the Speaker saying that after all it is unintentional. So my submission is that according to the rule position, it cannot be taken up so easily the act of the Finance Minister. So, the reply cannot be taken up here before the Regional Committee actually discusses it. The Regional Committee is meeting today at 4-00 p.m.

Mr. Speaker:— I have understood your point. You say that the Finance Minister did not comply with the bye-law i.e. as soon as the budget is presented to the House, he should as early as possible present it to the Regional Committee. He says he has complied with.

Sri A. Madhava Rao:— We are not concerned with what he said.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— How can the Regional Committee meet without first taking proper action?

Mr. Speaker:— Either on the same day or within one or two days after the presentation of the Budget, he should have given notice to the Regional Committee Chairman. He says that he has not done the same for about 4 days.

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy:— As early as possible, I have given.

Mr. Speaker:— It is on 15th.

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy:— I would like to say something. Even before two or three days, I gave notice, Sir, I requested the Chairman to have the meeting. Latter on, it came to the House. Even before I gave notice, I requested him. There is nothing in the bye-laws that the report of the Regional Committee should be sent in a particular time. The Regional Committee sends its report to the Government. From Government it comes to us. There are also rules and the Constitution also says that the
Budget should be presented in a particular way and the Presidential Order is there. As you know, Sir, this is only a Vote on Account. We will see in the Main Budget......

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy: — May we conclude that it is belittling the status of the Regional Committee? He says that he is concerned with rules and they are not obligatory or mandatory.....

Mr. Speaker: — Do not try to draw unwarranted inferences...

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy: — The net result is the belittling the status of the Regional Committee.

Mr. Speaker: — He says that he talked with the Chairman of the Regional Committee to have the meeting, What is the reply given by the Chairman?

Sri K. Vijayabhaskar Reddy: — He said that he will give notice at the earliest i.e. either on 20th or before.

Mr. Speaker: — He says that he took steps to have it presented before the Regional Committee. There is no reason as to why I should not believe the statement of the Finance Minister. It is not belittling the status of the Regional Committee, or to show any disrespect to the Committee.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy: — But the net result is the same.

Mr. Speaker: — Unfortunately from the discussion that has now took place in this House it looks as though this concerned Rule has got to be amended. This is for Regional Committee to get it done. What is that you want to say Mr. Rajamallu?

Sri K. Rajamallu (Chinnuru): — Mr. Speaker, Sir, I do agree that there was little delay in presenting the budget to the Regional Committee. But it was not intentional. The Finance Minister also was prepared to present the Budget to the Regional Committee in time on any day we like. But unfortunately, the Chairman of the Regional Committee was not well and he told me that certain matters have been referred to Finance Secretary for his clarification and unless we get clarification for all those things, it may not be good on our part to ask the Finance Minister to present the Budget to the Regional Committee.

Even then, on that day you have said something and passed the ruling that the report should be presented as soon as possible. Immediately I came to you Sir and you know everything on that day. Again I contacted Mr. Chokkarao to know what he wanted me to do. He asked me to consult the opposition leaders and I immediately met Mr. Ramachandra Reddy and Sri Atchuta Reddy and I have requested them to agree for the date i.e., 13th. The Finance Minister was also prepared to present the Budget on that date. But they have been very adamant not to agree to that date. Again I consulted other colleagues also. They are not in a position to agree to that date. Then you accepted 20th itself. After
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presenting the Budget on 11th, there were three holidays and by
the time we got something from the Finance Secretary, it was
one or two days' delay. In view of all these things, I feel there
was nothing intentional on the part of the Finance Minister and
we wanted certainly to bring to the notice of the opposition and
at the same time we wanted to take into confidence the Opposi­
tion leaders also as far as Telangana matters are concerned. I
therefore say there is no point in the point of order raised by
Mr. Ramachandra Reddi. It may be rejected.

Sri A. MADAN MOHAN:— (Siddipet) I have raised two
specific thinks.

Mr. Speaker:— I have given my ruling already. I have
expressed my opinion regarding the points you have raised.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— I said there is a failure to comply
the rules. The Budget is not presented. Mr. Rajamallu says
that within two days he contacted the Chairman that he
(Chairman) said that he would convene the meeting. Later on Mr.
Rajamallubrought to my notice that my direction would be consi­
dered.

Sri K. Achyuta Reddy:— When Mr. Rajamallu informed we
have not agreed for 18th. On 18th as you remember, in the after­
noon also there were assembly meetings.

Mr. Speaker:— For some reason or other, whatever it may
be there is delay.

Sri K. Achyuta Reddy:— He is shielding the Government.

Mr. Speaker;— For some reason or other, you want to find
fault with the Government. For some reason, the Regional
Committee could not meet before 18th and send its report. It is
the discretion of the Chairman to fix the meeting. No body can
question his discretion. After all he can fix the time and place of
the meeting. It is left to the Chairman. Under the rules he can
fix whenever he wants and get the matter considered by the
Regional Committee. I have already expressed my opinion, on the
points raised. There is no breach of privilege or contempt involved
in this. Far from their mind to show any disrespect to the House.
I have to accept your statement. Let us accept that statement.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— The second point is now that we
have understood the intention and the object of the Regional
Committee why the Regional Committee is constituted? Keeping
the object and the intention of the Regional Committee in view,
as it is meeting to-day at 4 P. M. the reply can be postponed. We
can once discuss it also.

Mr. Speaker:— I have already made it clear. As per the
rules they should present. It is not incumbent on the Regional
Committee to send the report to the House. They should send
their report only to the Government. There is no question of
insisting upon the Regional Committee to send its report to the
House as per the standing Rules. Unless some provision is made.
I cannot compel it. Even if I were to compel, it is illegal and you might not comply with my request.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— What happened to the statutory might not violation of the Government?

Sri K. Achyuta Reddy:— To facilitate discussion before the Regional Committee, is it not possible to postpone the reply for tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker:— Is it to facilitate to send its report to the Government? Not to the House, I have already expressed my opinion.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— Are we to presume that on one score when the rule position is shown, a lenient view is taken and when we go to the intention part of it, the rules are being strictly violated. That is the unfortunate part.

Mr. Speaker:— I am only going in accordance with the rules and bye-laws.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— If the rules are to be taken into consideration my charge against the Finance Minister is that he has violated the rule.

Mr. Speaker:— How?

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— He has violated the rule by not presenting the Budget to the Regional Committee the same day.

Mr. Speaker:— For the failure to comply he says that it was not his intention to do so.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— My submission is, there the intention is considered. Different set of rules is applied here. When we speak of rules, the intention position is being considered here. When the intention part of it is raised, rule position is strictly taken into consideration.

Mr. Speaker:— I have already explained the position once, twice or thrice.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— What happened to the statutory violation made by the Government in this regard. Keeping this position aside, it is a statutory body to which Government is responsible.

Mr. Speaker:— You are only repeating the same. Who denied that it is not a statutory body? It is a statutory body and its recommendation should be given due respect by this House. The question is how can it come before this House?

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— At one end you say that it should be considered by the House and the other end, you are not bringing it before the House. So, there is no question of consideration at all.
Mr. Speaker:— The question of consideration arises after it is presented. The question of presentation does not arise at under the rules.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:— I understand it. They have deliberately not presented before the House. That is my charge.

Mr. Speaker:— If that is the charge against the Government get the Rule amended first.

Mr. Speaker:— I will explain. Before even hearing what the Finance Minister has got to say, you are walking out.

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy:— (Rose up)

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— Is it the reply, Sir. The Finance Minister has clearly stated that it is a lapse on the part of the Government.

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy:— No, Sir, No, Sir.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— The Finance Minister has clearly stated that it is a lapse on the part of Government.

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy:— No, Sir.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— He has said it.

Mr. Speaker:— What he said was, on the second day...

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— When we take time into consideration, he has clearly admitted that it is a lapse.

Mr. Speaker:— What is it you want to do?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— The mandatory and obligatory provisions are disregarded and violated, and the Regional Committee has been shown scant respect, and the rules are not being strictly observed; the Regional Committee is meeting this evening only to do post-mortem work.
Mr. Speaker:—I wish to say that all the rules have been strictly complied with.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—Under these circumstances, it is no use of our partaking in the deliberations. That is why as a mark of protest we walk out.

(So saying Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy accompanied by his party members staged a walk - out.)

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—It is an aspersion on the Speaker. There was no speaker.

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy:—I am very happy about it and he has given useful suggestions.
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ples for calculation of unspent surplus different from those which we have applied in making our calculations for the past-period. We have applied certain calculations for the past-period different from those which we have applied in making our calculations for the past-period. We have applied certain calculations for the past-period different from those which we have applied in making our calculations for the past-period. We have applied certain calculations for the past-period different from those which we have applied in making our calculations for the past-period. We have applied certain calculations for the past-period different from those which we have applied in making our calculations for the past-period. We have applied certain calculations for the past-period different from those which we have applied in making our calculations for the past-period.
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ఒక ప్రపంచ ప్రధానితం చేసే వనకారి కనుక, ప్రపంచ యుద్ధం మాత్రమే కొంత కంటే కంటే ప్రత్యేకంగా నిర్ధారించారు. ఆర్థిక పంచాయత్త ప్రభావం 50 వర్షాల సమయంలో కొరకుండా ఉండును. వారి కోసం అందులో ప్రకారం కొనసాగాయి ఇది ముఖ్యమైన విషయం. దీని నుండి మరింత విషయాలు లేదా విషయాల సంపాదన చెక్కుకుంది. ఇంకా అది వారి మీద అతి ప్రతికూలంగా ఉండంది. భావనచే నిర్ణయించిన 1800 భాగాన్ని మంత్రిత్వంతర సంఖ్యా 70 తరువాత సాధారణంగా విషయప్రస్తుతి చేయవచ్చు. కనుక వారి నిర్ణయాన్ని మిత్రుడు ప్రకారం ప్రతిస్థాపించారు.

ఆషధానిని ఎంతప్రకారం 80 వర్షాల కాలం మిత్రుడు వాదించాను. భావించండి, వాటిని విశేషాల్గా జింకతే రాకుండా వాడి. వారి మీద మనం సమ్మతి కలిగి ఉండండి. సమూహానికి ముఖ్యమైన విషయం సంస్థ పరిపాలన గాని కూడా ఉండండి. సమ్మతి పొందాలని సమావేశం చేయండి. సమావేశం సంచారించవచ్చు కానీ అయితే ఈ సమావేశం ప్రతిస్థాపించాలి. ప్రత్యేకంగా ఇంతకు ముఖ్యమయిన మనం సమావేశం కూడా చేయండి. ఇంద్రియ పొందడానికి మనం ప్రతిస్థాపించగా ఉండండి. సమావేశం సంచారించండి. సమావేశం సంచారించండి. సమావేశం సంచారించండి. సమావేశం సంచారించండి.
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పిల్లడిలు, పోస్టింగ్ ప్రకారం ఉండే సాధనాలు ఉన్నాయి. 2, 3 సాధనాలు గొప్ప ఉపయోగించారు. బాధ్యతాలు కలిగి ఉండే సాధనాలు ఉన్నాయి. ఇతర అంశాలు నిర్మాణ యాత్ర విస్తరించడానికి ప్రయత్నించారు. మరితడి నిర్మాణ అంశాలు విస్తరించడానికి ప్రయత్నించారు. మరితడి నిర్మాణ అంశాలు విస్తరించడానికి ప్రయత్నించారు.

తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. అది తెలుగులో ఉన్నాయి. 

ప్రామాణిక ప్రశ్నలు:

1. ప్రామాణిక ప్రశ్నలు:

2. ప్రామాణిక ప్రశ్నలు:

3. ప్రామాణిక ప్రశ్నలు:
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అందరించబడిన విశేషాలకు ప్రతి సందర్భంగా విశేషాలకు లేదా సమాచారాన్ని అంకించింది. యొక్కంటే సాంఘాతిక తరచుగా విశేషాలకు లేదా సమాచారాన్ని అంకించింది. సమాచారాన్ని అంకించింది. ప్రత్యేకమైన విశేషాలకు లేదా సమాచారాన్ని అంకించింది. యొక్కంటే సాంఘాతిక తరచుగా విశేషాలకు లేదా సమాచారాన్ని అంకించింది. సమాచారాన్ని అంకించింది.
Sri G. Sivaiah:— I want to obtain some clarifications. What about arresting the leakage of taxes? For example, ordinary masses are paying taxes for cinemas. There is so much leakage of entertainment tax. What about Sales tax from Hotels? Hotel Industry is one of the biggest industries. What steps are being taken? To put down corruption what steps are being taken?
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ఎప్పుడు ప్రమాణికం చేసిన అంశాలు మాట్లాడించారు. ప్రమాణికం చేసిన పాటు వైపు విస్తృతం పరిశోధన సంస్థ కోసం ఉపయోగము చేసిన ప్రత్యేక దృష్టియేంత ఇది వీరుగా చెప్పవు?

ఇప్పటి విశేషాతికి (ప్రత్యేకత) కొనసాగించి. అందుకే ప్రతి సంస్థ ప్రస్తుతిక పొందిన విశేషాతికి పరిచయం చేసిన పరిశోధన సంస్థ కోసం ఉపయోగము చేసిన ప్రత్యేక దృష్టియేంత ఇది వీరుగా చెప్పవు.

ఇది నిపుణుడు మామలు: (మామలు) తిరుపతి ద్వారా. యాడి ప్రస్తుతిక పొందిన విశేషాతికి పరిచయం చేసిన పరిశోధన సంస్థ కోసం ఉపయోగము చేసిన ప్రత్యేక దృష్టియేంత ఇది వీరుగా చెప్పవు.

ఇది అంశాను. మామలు: విచారణ చేశారా, ప్రతి సంస్థ ప్రస్తుతిక పొందిన విశేషాతికి పరిచయం చేసిన పరిశోధన సంస్థ కోసం ఉపయోగము చేసిన ప్రత్యేక దృష్టియేంత ఇది వీరుగా చెప్పవు.

ఇది అనుసరణ కోసం: అడుగు మామలు నిపుణుడు విచారణ చేసాడని కమిటీ పొందిన విశేషాతికి పరిచయం చేసిన పరిశోధన సంస్థ కోసం ఉపయోగము చేసిన ప్రత్యేక దృష్టియేంత ఇది వీరుగా చెప్పవు.

ఇది ఎ. ఎగురుదు: విచారణ చేసారా. ప్రతి సంస్థ ప్రస్తుతిక పొందిన విశేషాతికి పరిచయం చేసిన పరిశోధన సంస్థ కోసం ఉపయోగము చేసిన ప్రత్యేక దృష్టియేంత ఇది వీరుగా చెప్పవు.
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The Federation is going to be registered very soon, Sir. Probably in a few days or so action will be taken. At the moment, Registrars are in charge. The Federation is going to be registered very soon, Sir. Probably in a few days or so action will be taken.

Nothing was mentioned in the Budget speech. We would like to know whether the entire Telangana surpluses were spent already or not. How the amount of Rs. 17 crores would be debited, in what proportion to Andhra and Telangana. First of all we must know where the Federation is going to be registered very soon, Sir. Probably in a few days or so action will be taken. At the moment, Registrars are in charge.

Sri K. Vijayabaskara Reddy:— If he raises a point like this and asks me to answer, how can I answer? If it is mentioned earlier, I will get the reply.
DEMAND No. 1. LAND REVENUE

Mr. Temp. Chairman:— The Question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 81,76,500 Land Revenue by Rs. 100/—

The Cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Temp. Chairman:— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 81,76,500 Land Revenue by Rs. 100/—

For criticising the Government for effecting allotment in the collection of Land Revenue.

The Cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Temp. Chairman:— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 81,76,500 Land Revenue by Rs. 100/—

For criticising the Government for failure to distribute Banjar lands, house patts to landless poor.

The Cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Temp. Chairman:— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 81,76,500 Land Revenue by Rs. 100/—

The D. A. of the N. G. O.s not being enhanced on par with the rising of prices in the State.

The Cut motion was declared negatived. Sri P. Subbaiah demanded division. The House divided. Ayes 16; Noes 90; the cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Temp. Chairman:— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 81,76,500 Land Revenue by Rs. 100/—
The salaries of the State employees not being raised on par with Central employees.

The Cut motion was negated.

DEMAND No. II EXCISE DEPARTMENT

Mr. Temp. Chairman :— The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,23,98,500 Excise Department by Rs. 100/-

The Cut motion was negated.

DEMAND No. III TAXES ON VEHICLES

Mr. Temp. Chairman :— The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 16,02,000 Taxes on Vehicles by Rs. 100/-

The Cut motions were negated.

DEMAND No. IV SALES TAX ADMINISTRATION

Mr. Temp. Chairman :— The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 71,72,500 Sales tax Administration by Rs. 100/-

The Cut motion was negated.

DEMAND No. VII REGISTRATION DEPARTMENT

Mr. Temp. Chairman :— The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 32,20,500 Registration, Department, by Rs. 100/-

The cut motions were negatived.

DEMAND No. VIII STATE LEGISLATURE

Mr. Temp. Chairman :— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 60,32,400 State Legislature by Rs. 100/-

The cut motions were negatived.

DEMAND No. IX - HEADS OF STATE MINISTERS AND HEADQUARTERS STAFF,

Mr. Temp. Chairman :— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,38,70,500 Heads of States & Ministers, Headquarters Staff by Rs. 100/-

The cut motions were negatived.

DEMAND No. X DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION AND MISCELLANEOUS.

Mr. Temp. Chairman :— The question is:
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To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,81,47,600 District Administration by Rs. 100/-.

The cut motions were negatived.

DEMAND No. XI  ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE
Rs. 1,26,97,800/-

Mr. Chairman:— The question is To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,26,97,800/- Administration of Justice by Rs. 100/-

The cut motion was negatived.

DEMAND No XII  JAILS 65,61,500/-

Mr. Chairman:  The question is To reduce the allotment of Rs. 65,61,500/- for Jails by Rs. 100-
The cut motion was negatived.

DEMAND No. XIII - POLICE 7,19,77,700.

Mr. Chairman: The question is
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,19,77,700 for Police by Rs. 100/-.

For failure to establish law and order situation in Guntur District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,19,77,700 for Police by Rs. 100/-.

The cut motions were negatived.

DEMAND No. XVII - EDUCATION Rs. 30,47,10,500

Mr. Chairman: The question is
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 30,47,10,500 for education by Rs. 100/-.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 30,47,10,500 for education by Rs. 100/-.
The cut motions were negatived.

DEMAND No. XIX - PUBLIC HELTH - Rs. 6,42,56,500
Mr. Chairman: The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,42,56,500 for Public Health by Rs. 100/-

The cut motion was negatived.

DEMAND No. XX - AGRICULTURE Rs. 3,88,58,500
Mr. Chairman: The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,88,58,500 for Agriculture by Rs. 100/-

For criticising the Government for failure to introduce cattle and crop insurance.

The cut motion was negatived.

DEMAND No. XXII - ANIMAL HUSBANDRY Rs. 2,46,67,000
Mr. Temp. Chairman: The question is:
To reduce allotment of Rs. 2,46,67,000 for Animal Husbandry by Rs. 100/-

For criticising the Government for failure to supply adequate medicines to the Veterinary Hospital.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,46,67,000 for Animal Husbandry by Rs. 100/-

The cut motions were negatived.

DEMAND No. XXIV INDUSTRIES Rs. 1,44,19,100
Mr. Chairman:— The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,44,19,100 for Industries by Rs. 100/-
For retrenching the Junior EOS (Ind) arbitrarily promoting U.D. Clerks in the posts of senior Inspectors and Coop-Sub-Registrars allotted for the absorption of EOS (Ind) rendered surplus as result of delimitation of Blocks in 1964.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,44,19,100 for Industries by Rs. 100/—

for not making the posts of Extension Officers (Ind) permanent for the last 15 years.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,44,19,100 for Industries by Rs. 100/—

for not upgrading the post on par with Supervisors of Industries as their duties are same.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,44,19,100 for Industries by Rs. 100/—

for not enhancing the fixed T. A. of EOS (Ind) eventhough the jurisdiction is increased.

The Cut motions were negatived.

DEMAND No. XXV COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS, NATIONAL EXTENSION SERVICE AMD LOCAL DEVELOPMENT WORKS Rs. 3,56,92,500

Mr. Chairman:— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,56,92,500 C. D. Projects, N. E. S. and L. D. Works by Rs. 100/—

for criticising the Government for not according administration approval to road from Guntur Protopharu Road M 0/6 to Vetticheru Village in Guntur Dist.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,56,92,500 C. D. Projects, N. E. S. and L. D. Works by Rs. 100/—

The Rural works Programme in Rayalaseema and Mehaboobnagar is not implemented and required sanction, technical and administrative are not being accorded so far.
Annual Financial Statement
for 1971-72 (Vote on Account)
General Discussion

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,56,92,500 for C. D. Project N. E. S. and L. D. Works by Rs. 100/-

The cut motions were negatived.

DEMAND No. XXVI LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT Rs. 89,55,500

Mr. Chairman:— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 89,55,500 for Labour and Employment by Rs. 100/-

The cut motion was declared negatived.

Sri P. Subbaiah demanded a poll and the House divided, Ayes: 14; Noes: 95.

The cut motion was negatived.

DEMAND No. XXIX WELFARE OF SCHEDULED TRIBES, CASTES AND OTHER BACKWARD CLASSES Rs. 5,53,65,000

Mr. Chairman:— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,53,65,000 for Welfare of S. T. Castes and other B. Cs, by Rs. 100/-

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Chairman:— The question is:—
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 5,53,65,000 for Welfare of S.T. Castes and other BCs by Rs. 100—

The cut motion was negatived.

DEMAND No. XXXI IRRIGATION Rs. 9,66,70,500

Mr. Chairman:— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 9,66,70,500 for Irrigation by Rs. 100—

For criticising the Government for not taking up spill over drainage works immediately in Guntur Dist.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 9,66,70,500 for Irrigation by Rs. 100—

for criticising the Government for failure to improve the under tunnels in commenur land in Guntur Dt.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 9,66,70,500 for Irrigation by Rs. 100—

The cut motion were negatived

Mr. Chairman:— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,45,38,000 Electricity by Rs. 100—

for criticising the Government for failure to take up electrification of villages especially Harijana Wadas in Andhra Region.

The cut motion was declared negatived.
A poll was demanded and the House divided Ayes: 14 Noes: 95 Neutral 1.

The Cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,45,38,000 Electricity by Rs. 100/-

The Cut motion was declared negatived.

A poll was demanded and the House divided Ayes: 15 Noes: 95 Neutral 1.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,45,38,000 Electricity by Rs. 100/-

The Cut motions were negatived.

DEMAND No. XXXIII. PUBLIC WORK Rs. 7,89,92,000/-

Mr. Chairman:— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 7,89,92,000/- Public Works by Rs. 100/-

The Cut motions were negatived.
The cut motions were negatived.

DEMAND No. XXXV. FAMINE RELIEF Rs. 2,09,84,000
Mr. Chairman :— The question is
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,09,84,000/- Famine Relief by Rs. 100/-

The Famine Relief works are not being taken up in the famine affected areas in the State at present.

The cut motion was negatived.

DEMAND No. XL. FOREST DEPARTMENT Rs. 1,89,84,500/-
Mr. Chairman :— The question is
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 1,89,84,500/- Forest Department by Rs. 100/-

The salaries of the Forest Watchers and Peons of the Forest Department in the State are not raised as per pay revision in the State.

The cut motions were negatived.

DEMAND No. XLI. MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION Rs. 53,10,400/-
Mr. Chairman :— The question is:
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 53,10,400/- Municipal Administration by Rs. 100/-
Annual Financial Statement
for 1971-72 (Vote on Account)
General Discussion

The cut motion was negatived

Mr. Chairman:— The question is.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 53,10,400/- Municipal Administration by Rs. 100/-

The cut motion was declared negatived. Sri Pobla Subbiah demanded for a division.

Ayes - 15, Noes - 96 Newtrals - Nil,

The cut motion was declared negatived.

Mr. Chairman:— The question is

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 58,10,400/- Municipal Administration by Rs. 100/-

The cut Motion was negatived.

DEMAND No. XLIII. OTHER MISCELLANEOUS COMPENSATIONS AND ASSIGNMENTS Rs. 3,06,47,600/-

Mr. Chairman:— The question is.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 3,06,47,600/- Other Miscellaneous Compensations and Assignments by Rs. 100/-

The failure of the Government in not giving pattas to the landless, despite land assignment Review Committees,

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Chairman:— I shall now put the motion to vote.

The question is: That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding the amounts shown in the third column of the Notice of Demands dated 10th March 1971 in respect of the following Demands entered in the first and second columns thereof.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand Number</th>
<th>Service and purpose</th>
<th>Sums not exceeding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Land Revenue</td>
<td>... 81,76,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Excise Department</td>
<td>... 1,28,93,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Taxes on Vehicles</td>
<td>... 16,02,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Sales Tax Administration</td>
<td>... 71,72,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Other Taxes and Duties Administration</td>
<td>... 3,65,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Stamps Administration</td>
<td>... 14,56,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>Registration Department</td>
<td>... 32,20,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>State Legislature</td>
<td>... 60,32,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>Heads of State, Ministers and Headquarters Staff</td>
<td>... 2,38,70,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>District Administration and Miscellaneous</td>
<td>... 5,81,47,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI</td>
<td>Administration of Justice</td>
<td>... 1,26,97,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII</td>
<td>Jails</td>
<td>... 65,61,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII</td>
<td>Police</td>
<td>... 7,19,77,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIV</td>
<td>Commerce and Export Promotion Department, Weights and Measures, etc.,</td>
<td>... 65,04,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XV</td>
<td>Miscellaneous Departments</td>
<td>... 92,75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVI</td>
<td>Mines and Archaeology etc.,</td>
<td>... 20,02,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVII</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>... 30,47,10,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVIII</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>... 7,65,76,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIX</td>
<td>Public Health</td>
<td>... 6,42,56,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>... 3,88,58,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXI</td>
<td>Fisheries</td>
<td>... 60,17,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXII</td>
<td>Animal Husbandry</td>
<td>... 2,46,67,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXIII</td>
<td>Co-operation</td>
<td>... 1,11,01,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXIV</td>
<td>Industries</td>
<td>... 1,44,19,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXV</td>
<td>Community Development Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works</td>
<td>... 3,56,92,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand Number</td>
<td>Service and purpose</td>
<td>Sums not exceeding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXVI.</td>
<td>Labour and Employment</td>
<td>Rs. 89,55,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXVII.</td>
<td>Other Miscellaneous Social and Developmental Organisations</td>
<td>Rs. 31,20,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXVIII.</td>
<td>Women’s Welfare Department etc.</td>
<td>Rs. 22,51,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXIX.</td>
<td>Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes</td>
<td>Rs. 5,53,65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXX.</td>
<td>Interest on Capital Outlay on Multipurpose River Schemes</td>
<td>Rs. 5,27,78,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXXI.</td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
<td>Rs. 9,66,70,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXXII.</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>Rs. 3,45,38,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXXIII.</td>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td>Rs. 7,89,92,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXXIV.</td>
<td>Ports and Pilotage</td>
<td>Rs. 33,50,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXXV.</td>
<td>Famine Relief</td>
<td>Rs. 2,09,84,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXXVI.</td>
<td>Pensions</td>
<td>Rs. 2,91,06,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXXVII.</td>
<td>Territorial and Political Pensions</td>
<td>Rs. 2,40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXXVIII.</td>
<td>Privy Purses and Allowances of Indian Rulers</td>
<td>Rs. 15,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXXIX.</td>
<td>Stationery and Printing</td>
<td>Rs. 97,93,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XL</td>
<td>Forest Department</td>
<td>Rs. 1,89,84,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLI</td>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>Rs. 4,70,91,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLII</td>
<td>Municipal Administration</td>
<td>Rs. 53,10,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLIII.</td>
<td>Other Miscellaneous Compensations and Assignments</td>
<td>Rs. 3,16,47,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLIV.</td>
<td>Compensation to Zamindars</td>
<td>Rs. 6,94,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLV.</td>
<td>Capital Outlay on Improvement of Public Health</td>
<td>Rs. 59,75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLVI.</td>
<td>Capital Outlay on Schemes of Agricultural Improvement and Research</td>
<td>Rs. 1,17,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLVII</td>
<td>Capital Outlay on Industrial and Economic Development</td>
<td>Rs. 4,72,83,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLVIII.</td>
<td>Capital Outlay on Multipurpose River Schemes</td>
<td>Rs. 7,79,99,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XLIX</td>
<td>Capital Outlay on Irrigation</td>
<td>Rs. 9,94,44,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand Numer</th>
<th>Service and purpose</th>
<th>Sums not exceeding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L.</td>
<td>Capital Outlay on Electricity Schemes</td>
<td>... 5,02,37,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI.</td>
<td>Capital Outlay on Public Works</td>
<td>... 2,90,97,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LII.</td>
<td>Other Works</td>
<td>... 83,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIII.</td>
<td>Capital Outlay on Forests</td>
<td>... 4,46,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIV.</td>
<td>Commuted Value of Pensions</td>
<td>... 20,01,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV.</td>
<td>Capital Outlay on Schemes of Government Trading</td>
<td>... 7,42,72,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVI.</td>
<td>Loans and Advances by the State Government</td>
<td>... 10,07,95,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The motion was adopted.

(Mr. Speaker in the chair)

V. GOVERNMENT BILLS:

1. THE ANDHRA PRADESH PAYMENT OF SALARIES AND REMOVAL OF DISQUALIFICATIONS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1971:

Sri S. Sidda Reddy:— Sir, on behalf of the Education Minister, I beg to move.

"That the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualifications (Amendment) Bill, 1971 be read a first time.'

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.
Sri G. Sivaiah (Puttur):— Sir, this Bill would be all-right during normal days. Now if the Assembly continues—there is a talk of Mid-term poll etc., if that comes in way, it may not be good for us to push through this Bill. If such a thing will not take place then it will be good. So, it is up to the Government to consider. If the Government intends to go for mid-term polls, it is better for them to withdraw this; if it is not there, they can push through.

So far as the Ministers are concerned, they are executive people. So far as the Speaker is concerned, he has not got any executive powers. As per the rules, the usual place of his residence is his headquarters. When he comes to the Headquarters here, Hyderabad to attend the Assembly, he is not entitled for D. A. or anything like that. But the Speaker can also have his headquarters at Hyderabad. As per rules, he has got to be at the place of his residence. Now, I have changed my place of residence as per the rules. The place of his residence is the place of his headquarters as per the existing rules. What the Act says is only on the termination of his office, expiry of his office, the Speaker is entitled and not before. As per the rules, his place of his residence is his headquarters. If he changes from here to there, he is naturally entitled. That is the only thing.
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Mr. Speaker:— You are referring to furnishings of estimates, etc......

Sri P. Kotaiah:— Yes Sir. That should have been done by the Department.

Mr. Speaker:— Rs. 3000 is there.

Sri P. Kotaiah:— It is said here "(i) that certain furnishings costing exceeding rupees three thousand only and certain specified electrical appliances may be supplied for use at the residence of the Deputy Minister, Chief Whip, the Government Whip in the Council, the Deputy Speaker, the Deputy Chairman, the Parliamentary Secretary and the Chairman of the Regional Committee.

Mr. Speaker:— The expenditure in respect of item (ii) regarding the payment of travelling allowance to Speaker and Chairman, was not estimated. We will have to make an estimate definitely.

Sri P. Kotaiah:— The rules are already there. So far as T.A. is concerned, it is fixed Rs. 3000 per year.

Sri P. Kotaiah:— If the rules are there, they could have stated. Then why they said "The expenditure in respect of item (ii) above regarding payment of travelling allowance to the Speaker and the Chairman cannot be estimated." The rules are there. Why the rules are not followed. If the rules are there, why the rules are not followed? Why they gave such? The expenditure in respect of item (ii) above regarding payment of travelling allowance to the Speaker and the Chairman cannot be estimated. They should have given estimate and informed the Members.
Mr. Speaker:— It reads like this “to make the Speaker and Chairman entitled to travelling allowance for himself and the members of the family and for the transport of his personal effects in respect of any journey performed in connection with the shifting of his residence at any time after assuming office in the city of Hyderabad, to the usual place of residence in the State before his assumption of office”. Therefore, the expenditure cannot be estimated. It depends upon the number of family members and also luggage, etc.

Sri P. Kotaiah:— They could have taken rough figures.

Mr. Speaker:— Either Speaker or Chairman, in case have no children, it may be less and like that. So, it cannot be estimated. We must see the future also.

Sri Kotaiah:— It could be 30 or 40 or 50 or whatever. We never objected to the provisions of this Bill. What we only wanted is a definite estimate. Why the Department has omitted the same? That is what we are asking.
Mr. Speaker:— The provision is already there in the existing Act. It is only an amendment to Section 7 of the existing Act. In the statement of objects and reasons it is given "...so as to provide that where the Speaker or the Chairman at any time after assuming office in the City of Hyderabad shifts his residence from the City of Hyderabad to his usual place of residence in the State before he assumed office, he shall, in respect of the journey made by him in that behalf, be entitled to travelling allowances."

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— I also feel that the Members should not go into these things, because it is a known fact that the Speaker has shifted his residence; and it is a very delicate matter when you are in the Chair. All the same I must do my duty. It is my suggestion, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:— The Government have already furnished their residences. Since there is no provision, the Government is coming forward with an amendment giving retrospective effect.

Sri B. Niranjana Rao:— Why do you mention electricity charges here?
Mr. Speaker:— The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualifications (Amendment) Bill, 1971 be read a first time"

The motion was adopted.

Sri S. Sidda Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualifications (Amendment) Bill, 1971, be read a second time"

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.

(The Pause)

The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualifications (Amendment) Bill, 1971, be read a second time."

The motion was adopted.

CLAUSES 2, 3, 4, 1, ENACTING FORMULA AND LONG TITLE

Mr. Speaker:— I now put the clauses to vote.

The question is:

"That Clauses 2 to 4, Clause 1, Enacting Formula and Long Title, do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 to 4, Clause 1, Enacting Formula and Long Title were added to the Bill.

Sri S. Sidda Reddy:— I move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualifications (Amendment) Bill, 1971, be read a third time."

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.

(The Pause)

Mr. Speaker:— The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualifications (Amendment) Bill, 1971, be read a third time."
The motion was adopted.

THE ANDHRA PRADESH CEILING ON AGRICULTURAL HOLDINGS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1971

Sri K. Vijayabhisakara Reddy:— Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Andhra Pradesh Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings (Amendment) Bill, 1971, be read a first time.”

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.
Sri G. Sivaiah:— When I heard the Government considering bringing amendments to the Ceilings Act, I was just imagining that the agriculturists or the landless poor would be benefited by this amendment. But, according to the Bill introduced it is not so. The Amending Bill is intended to remove only certain exemptions not to bring land to the tiller. No doubt, this Bill will certainly remove certain exemptions and to that extent it is progressive, but not to my expectations. That is all my feeling. Having proposed this amendment to reduce the ceiling, it is better the Government distributes the excess land to the landless poor. Under this Bill I don't think that the Government would be able to take even those few acres and distribute them to the landless poor. That is the amendment I expected and the people also expected. But they are disappointed.

Coming to the proposed amendments, I am not able to understand one thing. The Government have already exempted tea, coffee and rubber plantations, and in addition we want to extend this exemption to cocoa and cardamom (yelakulu). I do not know the reason and perhaps they may think of improving the plantations in the State. In this connection, I would like to mention one thing. The Government has got certain lands which have been exempted. What is the reason for extending this exemption even to State sectors. Primarily these are agricultural lands and they cannot be converted to any other purpose except for agricultural purpose without prior permission of the Revenue Department. Actually, are the Government going to cultivate those lands? It is only to extend the land to industry, to buildings, etc. For this why should they take agricultural lands. Therefore, I feel that it is unnecessary to extend these exemptions to State sector. We may lose vast extents of land. In this, they have given exemptions to co-operative farming societies to own lands beyond ceilings. And
what is the reason for the Government to have power to withdraw those exemptions? I would like to be enlightened before I am asked to vote in favour of this Bill. "I feel the Government should have this power to withdraw the exemptions. This is a nice thing. Having thought about this, why should they not think of bringing forward a real amendment to give the land to the tiller. With these exceptions, I welcome the Bill. But it is not to my expectation. They should bring a real Land Reforms Bill. This is only pseudo land reforms bill."
In countries like Japan where successful land ceiling legislation has been passed, non-official bodies at every level are associated with official agencies for purposes of implementation.

In countries like Japan where successful land ceiling legislation has been passed, non-official bodies at every level are associated with official agencies for purposes of implementation.
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[Translation not provided]
Mr. Speaker:— I am to announce to the House that withdrawal of nominations to the Committee on Estimates, Committee on Public Accounts and Committee of Privileges is extended upto 3-00 P. M. on Monday, the 22nd March, 1971.

The House now stands adjourned till 8.30 A. M. on Monday, the 22nd March, 1971.

(The House then adjourned till Half Past Eight of the Clock on the 22nd March, 1971)