THE ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY DEBATES OFFICIAL REPORT

Twenty-fifth day of the Fifth Session of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly

THE ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Friday, the 19th March, 1971.

The House met at Half-Past-Eight of the Clock.

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

ANNOUNCEMENT

re:—Resignation of Sri B. Narasimha Reddy.

Mr. SPEAKER: — I have received the following letter from Sri B. Narasimha Reddy:

"To
The Hon'ble Speaker,
A. P. Legislative Assembly,
HYDERABAD.

Sir,

I hereby tender my resignation for the Membership in the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly.

Yours faithfully,
(Sd.) B. Narasimha Reddy"

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

(WALKED OUT)

(WALKED OUT)
ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

APPOINTMENTS IN THE CENTRALLY SPONSORED PROJECTS

468—

* 196 (1935) Q— Sarvasri D. Venkatesam (Kuppam), Ch. Venkataiah (Markapur) and B. Venkata Reddy (Panyam);— Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) What is the percentage of service personnel belonging to this state recruited in the centrally sponsored projects in our State now;

(b) Is it according to the agreement between the Centre and State;

(c) If not, what is the action taken by the Government?

The Minister for Finance deputised the Chief Minister and answered the question, (Sri K. Vijayabaskara Reddy)

(a) The percentage of service personnel varies from 68.67% to 96.4%.

(b) & (c) There is no agreement between the Central and State Governments in this regard.

Sri G. Sivaiah (Puttur):— In all Central sectors, they are only recruiting the menial staff which will come for percentage. Are they recruiting the technical personnel who are available in Andhra Pradesh and if not what steps the Government have taken to implement such things?

Sri G. Sivaiah (Puttur):— In all Central sectors, they are only recruiting the menial staff which will come for percentage. Are they recruiting the technical personnel who are available in Andhra Pradesh and if not what steps the Government have taken to implement such things?
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Sri K. Vijayabaskara Reddy: That percentage, I am not having. I am having the total percentage.

SRI C. V. K. Rao: That is not fair, Sir.

The intention of the Government is very clear that the local talent must be absorbed. But in All India Sector, for certain technical posts, they have to take the staff on All India basis.
6th March, 1971

Oral Answers to Questions
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Oral Answers to Questions 6th March, 1971

ABOLITION OF LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

* 1672 R. Q.—Sri R. Satyanarayana Raju (Narasapur) :—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

Whether there is any proposal to abolish Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council?

(The Minister for Home deputised the Chief Minister and answered the question.) Sri J. Vengala Rao:—No, Sir.

RESERVATION FOR S. C. & S. T. CANDIDATES

* 1668- (3)Q.—Sarvasri B. V. Ramanayya (Allavaram) S. Vemayya, Q. Venkata Subbaiah (Venkatagiri) and K. Butchi Rayudu (Kovvur):—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) Whether the existing percentage of reservations in favour of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in posts and Services under the Government of Andhra Pradesh is based on their population in 1951 Census or 1961 Census; and

(b) If it is based on 1951 Census, will the Government raise the percentage of reservation in proportion to the increase in their population according to 1961 Census as has been done by the Union Government and some of the States like Bihar?

Sri J. Vengala Rao :—

(a) The existing percentages of reservation in favour of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes for posts and services under
this Government are based on their population ratio to the total population of the State according to 1961 census.

(b) In view of the answer to clause (a) the question of raising the percentages of reservation in favour of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes does not arise.
SUSPENSION OF AN EXCISE SUPERINTENDENT

471—

* 1669 - (W) Q. — Sarvasri Sultan Salauddin Owaisi (Charminar) and Badri Vishal Pitti (Maharaj gunj)—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri S. K. Ali Bilgrami, Superintendt of ExcISE, Nilgonda has been placed under suspension on anonymous petition received six years ago contrary to Government
Oral Answers to Questions

instructions contained in the G. O. Ms. No. 1733/Services/67 dated 3-8-1967;

(b) Is it a fact that his suspension was engineered in view of his various applications for promotion and this was done to promote his juniors Sri Venkateswarlu and Sri Sankaram; and

(c) Is it a fact that despite his representations to Governor and the Government, no chargesheets has been given?

(The Minister for Finance deputised the Chief Minister and answered the question.) Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy:—

(a) It is a fact that Sri Karar Ali Bilgrami was kept under suspension after making detailed enquiries into an anonymous petition received not six years ago but on 15-10-1967. The suspension is in accordance with instructions in the Government Memorandum No. 1733/Ser. C/67—General Administration Department dated 3-8-1967.

(b) No Sir.

(c) In its D. No. 122, dated 12-1-1971 the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings reported that charges have been framed against Sri Karar Ali Bilgrami and others and the case was posted for hearing to 1-2-1971. The Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings report is awaited.

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy:—This is enquired into by the Anti-Corruption Bureau and the Vigilence Commission has agreed that the Anti Corruption Bureau's report be placed before the Tribunal and it is done.

Mr. Speaker:—The matter is now pending before the Tribunal.
Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy:—Yes.
ASHRAM SCHOOLS IN SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.

472—

* 208 (2500) Q.— Sri M. B. Parankusham :- Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the places where 'Ashram' Schools have been established in Srikakulam district, together with the schoolwise number of students and teachers,

(b) the amount of expenditure incurred towards the maintenance of each school during 1968-69 and the total amount of expenditure and;

(c) whether the number of the Schools will be increased during 1969-70; and if so, the amount intended to be spent thereon?

The Minister for Irrigation deputised the Chief Minister and answered the question (Sri S. Sidda Reddy.):— Clauses (a)&(b) above:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Places where Ashram schools are located</th>
<th>No. of students</th>
<th>No. of teachers</th>
<th>Expenditure incurred, Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Udayapuram</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2 Higher Grade</td>
<td>2,573-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Kosingibhadra</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2 &quot;</td>
<td>2,837-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Kothaguda</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2 &quot;</td>
<td>13,091-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Kedaripuram</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2 &quot;</td>
<td>7,137-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Dharamalaxmipuram</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>- &quot;</td>
<td>8,122-83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Diguvalamalli</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>- &quot;</td>
<td>4,701-97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Melikancheru</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1 Secondary grade</td>
<td>14,022-85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Kurukurthi</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1 &quot;</td>
<td>13,774-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Eguvasambi</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1 &quot;</td>
<td>13,112-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total expenditure during 1968-69 was Rs. 79,375-23 ps.

Clause (c): The number of schools was not increased during 1969-70.

Does not aries.
6th March, 1971

Oral Answers to Questions

(1) తారా చారిత్ర (మాధ్యమికులు) — అ (యుగాల్లో మాధ్యమికులు ప్రాముఖ్యత కలిగి ఉండేవాటి ప్రభావం ఉంది). హిందీదిశాలు ఒకసారి 20 గంగాదు రెండేరూ వాటి సమయంలో ఉండాలని ఉంటూ ఉంటుంది. కొంతమైన తారా చారిత్రకు తొలి అంగం వాటిలో ఉంది. కొంతమైన తారా చారిత్రకు తొలి అంగం వాటిలో ఉంది.

(2) తారా చారిత్ర (ప్రత్యేక): — ఇంటి నియంత్రణ కట్టడపై సమయంలో కోసం ఉంది. మాధ్యమికులు మృదు మాటలు యొక్క ఉపయోగానికి ఉంది. ఈ ప్రత్యేకం తారా చారిత్రకు ఉంటూ ఉంటుంది. తారా చారిత్ర ప్రత్యేకం తారా చారిత్రకు ఉంటూ ఉంటుంది.

(3) తారా చారిత్ర (ప్రత్యేక): — కారణాలు ఈ ప్రత్యేకం కట్టడపై సమయంలో కోసం ఉంది. తారా చారిత్రకు ప్రత్యేకం ఉంటూ ఉంటుంది.

(4) తారా చారిత్ర (మాధ్యమికులు): — కారణాలు ఈ ప్రత్యేకం కట్టడపై సమయంలో కోసం ఉంది. తారా చారిత్రకు ప్రత్యేకం ఉంటూ ఉంటుంది. తారా చారిత్రకు ప్రత్యేకం ఉంటూ ఉంటుంది.

(5) తారా చారిత్ర (మాధ్యమికులు): — కారణాలు ఈ ప్రత్యేకం కట్టడపై సమయంలో కోసం ఉంది. తారా చారిత్రకు ప్రత్యేకం ఉంటూ ఉంటుంది.

(6) తారా చారిత్ర (ప్రత్యేక): — కారణాలు ఈ ప్రత్యేకం కట్టడపై సమయంలో కోసం ఉంది. తారా చారిత్రకు ప్రత్యేకం ఉంటూ ఉంటుంది. తారా చారిత్రకు ప్రత్యేకం ఉంటూ ఉంటుంది.

(7) తారా చారిత్ర (మాధ్యమికులు): — కారణాలు ఈ ప్రత్యేకం కట్టడపై సమయంలో కోసం ఉంది. తారా చారిత్రకు ప్రత్యేకం ఉంటూ ఉంటుంది. తారా చారిత్రకు ప్రత్యేకం ఉంటూ ఉంటుంది.
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LAND REVENUE FOR LANDS UNDER NARAYANAPURAM ANICUT

* 214 (1005) Q.-Sri T. Papa Rao (Nagarikatakam :—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to State:
(a) whether it is a fact that the Government have cancelled the collection of additional amount of Rs. 7-50 made for the lands under the right and left canals of Narayanapuram anicut in Srikakulam district stating that the illegal collection is confined to 1968-69 only;

(b) if so, whether orders have been issued to refund to the ryots the additional amount collected since 1964, from them; and

(c) if not, when orders will be issued?

The Minister for Finance deputised the Minister for Revenue and answered the question (Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy):

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) & (c) :- No Sir. At the time of passing of the Andhra Pradesh Land Revenue (Enhancement) Act, 1967 it was decided, as a matter of policy, that all concessions will have only prospective effect and accordingly the present concession withdrawing the additional assessment levied in respect of certain projects was given effect only from F. 1378 and has no retrospective effect.

*354 (2150) Q. Sri N. Raghava Reddy, put by Sri K. Sathyanarayana Raju.— Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

The action taken on the application submitted by the Harijans to the Collector of Nizamabad in Nov., 1969 requesting for the eviction of the landlords who are in possession of an extent of 183 acres of Govt. lands, Savel village, Armoor taluk, Nizamabad.
district from the said land and distribution of the same to the landless poor harijans?

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy:—Savel village of Armoor taluk is under the command of Pochampad project and assignment of land under the command of this project is banned as the requirements of the Government in connection with the rehabilitation of the persons displaced owing to the construction of the project has not been completed. The land in question therefore cannot be assigned to any one until the ban is lifted. The unauthorised encroachers will be evicted and till the ban is lifted the lands can be granted on ekal basis. After the ban is lifted the lands will be assigned to eligible persons subject to payment of market value to be fixed by the Board of Revenue.

ARREARS OF LAND REVENUE FROM VASANTHAPURAM

1448—B: Q.—Sri C. Janga Reddy (Parkal):—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to State:

(a) the amount of land revenue arrears due from Vasanthapuram Village, Parakal taluk, Warangal District from 1960-61 up to this day;

(b) whether the said arrears have to be paid by the ryots, or whether the Village Officer has collected and kept the same with him; and

(c) if collected, who is responsible for the arrears and the action taken against him?

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy:— (a) (b) & (c):—The amount of land revenue arrears due from Vasanthapuram Village up to 1968-69 was Rs. 3,825-22 paise. Out of this, an amount of Rs. 3,026-83 paise was collected by Sri D. Ramachandra Reddy, Patwari and Sri Rama Rao, Malipate. This amount seems to have not been remitted by them. The question as to how far each of them is responsible for these arrears is under enquiry. Action will be taken against them if necessary after the enquiry is over.
14 19 March, 1971

Oral Answers to Questions

1. நிகழ்வு: — ஏன் இந்தக் காலத்திற்குள் முதல் மாதத்துடன் தொடக்கம் செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளது, தொடக்கத்தில் தொடு முடியவில்லை, அவ்வாறு நேரங்களை குறிப்பிட்டு வேண்டும். இந்த செயல்கள் எவ்வளவு எண்ணிக்கையில் செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளனவை?

2. வல்லம்: — ஏன் இந்த காலத்திற்குள் முதல் மாதத்துடன் தொடக்கம் செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளது, தொடக்கத்தில் தொடு முடியவில்லை, அவ்வாறு நேரங்களை குறிப்பிட்டு வேண்டும். இந்த செயல்கள் எவ்வளவு எண்ணிக்கையில் செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளனவை?

3. வல்லம்: — ஏன் இந்தக் காலத்திற்குள் முதல் மாதத்துடன் தொடக்கம் செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளது, தொடக்கத்தில் தொடு முடியவில்லை, அவ்வாறு நேரங்களை குறிப்பிட்டு வேண்டும். இந்த செயல்கள் எவ்வளவு எண்ணிக்கையில் செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளனவை?

4. வல்லம்: — ஏன் இந்தக் காலத்திற்குள் முதல் மாதத்துடன் தொடக்கம் செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளது, தொடக்கத்தில் தொடு முடியவில்லை, அவ்வாறு நேரங்களை குறிப்பிட்டு வேண்டும். இந்த செயல்கள் எவ்வளவு எண்ணிக்கையில் செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளனவை?

5. வல்லம்: — ஏன் இந்தக் காலத்திற்குள் முதல் மாதத்துடன் தொடக்கம் செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளது, தொடக்கத்தில் தொடு முடியவில்லை, அவ்வாறு நேரங்களை குறிப்பிட்டு வேண்டும். இந்த செயல்கள் எவ்வளவு எண்ணிக்கையில் செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளனவை?

6. வல்லம்: — ஏன் இந்தக் காலத்திற்குள் முதல் மாதத்துடன் தொடக்கம் செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளது, தொடக்கத்தில் தொடு முடியவில்லை, அவ்வாறு நேரங்களை குறிப்பிட்டு வேண்டும். இந்த செயல்கள் எவ்வளவு எண்ணிக்கையில் செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளனவை?

7. வல்லம்: — ஏன் இந்தக் காலத்திற்குள் முதல் மாதத்துடன் தொடக்கம் செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளது, தொடக்கத்தில் தொடு முடியவில்லை, அவ்வாறு நேரங்களை குறிப்பிட்டு வேண்டும். இந்த செயல்கள் எவ்வளவு எண்ணிக்கையில் செய்யப்பட்டுள்ளனவை?
DEATH OF A CHILD IN KAKINADA HOSPITAL

477—

*1349 Q.—Sarasvati B. Rathnasabhapathi (Rajampeta) and C.V.K. Rao:—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that in Government Hospital, Kakinada a dog had eaten away the hand of a child born a day earlier on 10th or 11th August, 1970 and the child died as a result; and

(b) if so, what action has been taken on the persons concerned for gross negligence of duties in the Hospital?

The Minister for Health and Medical Services—(Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari):—

(a) Yes Sir, in the early hours at 1-15 A.M. on 12-8-1970.

(b) The Additional Director of Medical and Health Services has conducted an enquiry. It has been observed that no person is responsible for the above incident. The Superintendent General Hospital, Kakinada has taken steps to avoid such incidents in future.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—It is said that no person is responsible for the death of the child and I think then the dog is responsible. Is it not the position? Will the hon. Minister indicate whether the dog is responsible and what action is taken against the dog. Can the hon. Minister tell the House?

Mr. Speaker:—And the hon. member who has sent the question is also equally responsible. (Laughter) Although the dog is responsible, it cannot be held responsible.
14 19 March, 1971

Oral Answers to Questions

(1) మాజ్యానికి: చిత్రాలు ఇంకే అడవిలే మన సామాధియాలలో కంటే ముందు స్నానం చేసే మనకు ఆనాధులు చేసే సంపాదన, సంస్కృతి నేత పిలువిస్తుంది. ఈ సాంప్రదాయానికంగా అధిక పీఠాధ్యక్షాన్ని చేసాం. ఈ పదిశారిని ఎందుకోండా ఉండాలి.

(2) మాజ్యానికి: ఒక సంప్రదాయానికంగా మన పదిశారిని చేసాం. ఇది సామాధియాలలో కంటే ముందు స్నానం చేసే మన సామాధియాల సంపాదన, సంస్కృతి నేత పిలువిస్తుంది. ఈ పదిశారిని ఎందుకోండా ఉండాలి.

(3) మాజ్యానికి: ఒక సంప్రదాయానికంగా మన పదిశారిని చేసాం. ఇది సామాధియాలలో కంటే ముందు స్నానం చేసే మన సామాధియాల సంపాదన, సంస్కృతి నేత పిలువిస్తుంది. ఈ పదిశారిని ఎందుకోండా ఉండాలి.

(4) మాజ్యానికి: ఒక సంప్రదాయానికంగా మన పదిశారిని చేసాం. ఇది సామాధియాలలో కంటే ముందు స్నానం చేసే మన సామాధియాల సంపాదన, సంస్కృతి నేత పిలువిస్తుంది. ఈ పదిశారిని ఎందుకోండా ఉండాలి.

(5) మాజ్యానికి: ఒక సంప్రదాయానికంగా మన పదిశారిని చేసాం. ఇది సామాధియాలలో కంటే ముందు స్నానం చేసే మన సామాధియాల సంపాదన, సంస్కృతి నేత పిలువిస్తుంది. ఈ పదిశారిని ఎందుకోండా ఉండాలి.
Mr. Speaker:—The next question (S. No. 476) is not called because the member who has Tabled the question, has ceased to be a member of the House.

Sri P. Subbaiah:—We are willing to call the question. At least let him read out the answer.

Mr. Speaker:—Nobody can put the question when the member has himself ceased to be a member of the House. In the case of an earlier question tabled by Sri N. Raghava Reddy and Sri B. Narasimha Reddy, I allowed the question being called since Sri Raghava Reddy continues to be a member of the House.

DEATH OF A CHILD IN KAKINADA HOSPITAL

477—

*1349 Q.—Sarvasri B. Rathnasabhapathi (Rajampeta) and C. V. K. Rao:—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that in Government Hospital, Kakinada a dog had eaten away the hand of a child born a day earlier on 10th or 11th August, 1970 and the child died as a result; and

(b) if so, what action has been taken on the persons concerned for gross negligence of duties in the Hospital?

The Minister for Health and Medical Services (Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari):—

(a) Yes Sir, in the early hours at 1-15 A. M. on 12-8-1970.

(b) The Additional Director of Medical and Health Services has conducted an enquiry. It has been observed that no person is responsible for the above incident. The Superintendent Government General Hospital, Kakinada has taken steps to avoid such incidents in future.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—It is said that no person is responsible for the death of the child and I think then the dog is responsible. Is it not the position? Will the hon. Minister indicate whether the dog is responsible and what action is taken against the dog. Can the hon. Minister tell the House?

Mr. Speaker:—And the hon. member who has sent the question is also equally responsible. (Laughter) Although the dog is responsible, it cannot be held responsible.
Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—The incident happened at 1.15 A.M. After the child and mother were kept in the septic laboratory room, at about 1.15 AM when the mother showed signs of low blood pressure, the nurse went to call the doctor. In the meanwhile, the dog entered into the room and was snatching the child. Meanwhile, the nurse returned back and started shouting.

Mr. Speaker:—It is a very unfortunate incident. The mother developed blood pressure and then the nurse had to run immediately for the doctor. Meanwhile, he says, the incident happened.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—Should not the door be closed, i.e., the labour room? How can the dog open the door?

Mr. Speaker:—The door was open. That is why, the dog came in; otherwise if the door was shut, the dog could not have entered.

Mr. Speaker:—Please put a separate question asking whether the dog which carried away the child belongs to a doctor or whether it is a private dog that came there (Laughter)

NOMINATIONS TO ANDHRA REGIONAL BOARD

* 772 (2735) Q.—Sri A. Madhava Rao:—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) what are the circumstances that necessitated the Government to nominate members to Andhra Regional Board, upon the legitimate number of 24;
(b) what are the aspects of the candidates taken into consideration for nomination to the Board;

(c) what is the necessity for the Government to nominate Telangana Vaids to Andhra Regional Board; are there no suitable Andhra Vaids to serve on the Board;

(d) why the old members who have been on the Board for the last 13 years could not be replaced by new ones; and

(e) whether the Government is acting within the powers of the Act 1956 if so, why the elections could not be held to the Board for all these years?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—

(a) Section 8 (1) of the Andhra Ayurvedic & Homeopathic Medical Practitioners Act, 1956 empowers the Government to establish a Board for Ayurveda and a Board for Homeopathy. The figure 24 applies to an elected Board.

(b) No guide lines are prescribed for the nomination of a member to the Board.

(c) No regional qualification is prescribed for the nomination of a member to the Board.

(d) The Board is functioning satisfactorily and the need for replacing the members is not felt.

(e) The Government acted under the provisions of Section 8 (1) of the Act. They intend to hold elections for the entire State after the Integrated Act (which is to be passed by this August House) comes into force.

Sri A. Madhava Rao:— Where was the necessity to nominate Telangana persons for the Andhra Region Board, why have you not taken care to see that every district is represented and why you have concentrated around Vijayawada only?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— As far as possible, we are nominating eminent Doctors. Regarding the regional matter, there is no restriction that Andhra doctors should not be nominated to Telangana Board or Telangana persons should not be nominated to Andhra Board. We are not looking at the matter that way.

Sri A. Madhava Rao:— My point is this: When eminent persons are available in Andhra region and when eminent persons are there in various districts of Andhra region, why you are concentrating in one spot? As a matter of fact, I made a representation also.

Mr. Speaker:— No distinction is made.
Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— It is a state-wide committee. There are no such restrictions. They can be nominated.

MEDICAL GRADUATES SELECTED BY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

479—

* 973 (2837-N) Q.— Sri T. C. Rajan:— Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government has instructed the Public Service Commission not to publish the list of selected medicine Students of 1962 batch, who have appeared for selection and are waiting for their jobs for the simple reason that they have underwent the course of Anatomy, Physiology for a period of 4 & years instead of 5 years;

(b) if so, what are the alternative steps taken by the Government in this regard; and

(c) how many students are thus deprived from getting their jobs?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—

(a) No.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Does not arise.

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— The question is: whether it is a fact that Government has instructed the PSC not to publish the list of selected medicine students of 1962 batch who have appeared for selection and are awaiting for their jobs for the simple reason that they have underwent the course of Anatomy, Physiology for a period of 4 & years instead of 5 years. My submission is this: we have not written to the PSC not to publish the list. In fact, in 1962, the PSC selected 715 Doctors; all of them have been absorbed and none are waiting now.

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— I will take the information from the member.
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PROTECTIONS TO HARIJANS

1359 Q.— Sri S. Vemayya:— Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are in receipt of the representation dated 18-9-1970 from Sri Chappidi Venkataratnam, Vemulawada village, Divi Taluk, Krishna District regarding protection to the Harijans from the harassment and atrocities of caste Hindus; and

(b) if answer to clause (a) is in the affirmative, the action taken thereon?

The Minister for Home (Sri J. Vengala Rao):—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The allegations referred to in the petition are not correct and Sri Chappidi Venkataratnam is continuing to function as Sarpanch normally without any hindrance and necessary protection has been given to the Harijans by the police.

1671 (-E) Q.— Sri Md. Rajab Ali:— Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) whether the news item that Sri Venkatesam, Manager and Sri Abdulla, Jamedar of Viswabharathi Barytes Mine at "GARLA" belonging to the Bariu Chemicals Company in Khammam District, have been threatened and carried away by some persons, is a fact;

(b) if so, the persons responsible for the same.
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(c) whether there was any agitation from the Mine workers for their pay and allowances, before the appearance of the said news item; and

(d) if so, whether any of the demands of the workers have met, or whether they have been suppressed?

Sri J. Vengala Rao:—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Labourers numbering 70 demanded that their wages which were not paid should be paid and that the mines should not be closed.

(d) The demands of the workers regarding payment of wages have been settled. But the mines are not working now due to non-appointment of a qualified Mines Manager.

MURDER OF A DOCTOR

482—

* 1670 (J) Q.— Sarvasri A. Madhava Rao & Md. Rajab Ali:—
Will the hon. Minister for Home be please to state:

(a) whether is it a fact that one Dr. Rama Rao, Assistant Surgeon died in suspicious circumstances on 21-10-1970 at Kancheepalli Village of Yellandu Taluk, Khammam District.

(b) whether any representation was made by Rayapati Prabhavathi, the wife of deceased to the Superintendent of Police, Khammam and the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Warangal.

(c) whether any action has been taken by Department of Police.

(d) what is the action taken; and

(e) if no action is taken, the reasons therefor?

Sri J. Vengala Rao:—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) & (d) Investigation made by the Police disclosed that there was no evidence to establish that the deceased Dr. Rayapathi Rama Rao was murdered and that he died due to accidental fall into a well. However, on the representation of the wife of the deceased, Smt. Prabhavathi, the Government ordered an enquiry by the Crime Branch C. I. D. Its report is still awaited.

(e) Does not arise.
RANGOON REPATRIATES

483—

* 41 (1802) Sri D. Venkatesam:— Will hon. Minister for Labour be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total No. of families of Rangoon Repatriates that have come to our State so far;

(b) what are the amenities that are provided to them at present; and

(c) what is the amount of aid received from the Centre by the State for the upliftment of these people?

The Minister for Labour (Sri G. Sanjeeva Reddy):—

(a) 8,831 families of Burma Repatriates arrived in the State so far.

(b) & (c):— Answer is placed on the Table of the House.

Answer in respect of Clause (b)&(c) of L. A. Q. No. 1802 (Starred)

* 483 (b) What are the amenities that are provided to them at present:

On arrival, the repatriates who have living roots are sent to their destination direct from the dock. Others are admitted in the transit camps set up at Visakhapatnam and they are allowed the following facilities:

i) Cash doles varying from Rs. 30/- to Rs. 75/- per month per family are paid up to a period of seven months depending upon the number of members in the family. Unattached woman, sick and aged persons who have no adult members to support them are sent to P. L. Home at Visakhapatnam maintained for Burma Repatriates of P. L. Category.
ii) In the case of marriage of an unmarried girl or remarriage of a widow in the camp, grant of Rs. 200/- is allowed.

iii) In the event of death of a Repatriate in the camp, a funeral grant upto Rs. 30/- is paid to the family of the deceased.

iv) Arrangements for supply of rice at a subsidised rate of 0.57 Ps. and free medical facilities have been made in the camp.

The Repatriates are eligible to the following rehabilitation assistance:

A) Loans for trade and business with a ceiling of Rs. 5,000/- per family.

B) Loans for purchasing plots and construction of houses and shops at the rate of Rs. 2,260/- in rural areas and Rs. 4,600/- in urban areas.

C) Preference in the matter of recruitment through Employment Exchange.

D) Relaxation of upper age limit upto 45 years for appointment to posts under the Govt. through Employment Exchange.

E) Relaxation of upper age limit upto 3 years in excess of normal upper age limit for posts to be filled up on the results of competitive examinations held by the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission.

F) Loan to the Repatriate families resettled by allotment of agricultural land, for purchasing seeds and fertilizers etc., on the following scales:

- Bullocks: Rs. 550/-
- Seeds & Fertilizers: Rs. 125/-
- Agricultural implements: Rs. 175/-

An additional loan of Rs. 165/- is allowed for Fertilizers, where the land allotted requires additional fertilizers.

An additional loan of Rs. 300/- per family for purchasing bullock carts or a milch cow or a poultry unit, to enable the families to earn subsidiary income.

G) Book grants ranging from Rs 5/- to Rs. 100 to the children of repatriates studying in schools and colleges.

A stipend ranging from Rs. 40/- to 60/- to the students staying away from their families for purpose of education provided the income of the parents is less than Rs. 250/- per month.

H) Priority to the repatriates in the allotment of shops, stalls and grant of licences and permits where required for carrying on their occupation or trade.

Clause (c):—

What is the amount of aid received from the Centre by the State for the uplift of these people?
The following amounts were received as grants from the Centre:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1964—65</td>
<td>Rs. 8,76,163—91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965—66</td>
<td>Rs. 3,23,142—31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966—67</td>
<td>Rs. 1,24,950—17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967—68</td>
<td>Rs. 2,93,964—94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968—69</td>
<td>Rs. 6,39,948—66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969—70</td>
<td>Rs. 4,32,381—37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rs. 26,90,551—36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the above, the following amounts were received from the Centre towards Loans:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965—66</td>
<td>Rs. 13,05,600—00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966—67</td>
<td>Rs. 13,86,000—00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967—68</td>
<td>Rs. 18,60,700—00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968—69</td>
<td>Rs. 11,05,000—00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969—70</td>
<td>Rs. 12,26,000—00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970—71</td>
<td>Rs. 40,00,000—00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rs. 1,08,83,300—00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Q. 1. Who are those who are being rehabilitated at Visakhapatnam and some at Achutaparam?

Q. 2. What is the total number of families being rehabilitated at Visakhapatnam and some at Achutaparam?

Q. 3. What is the total number of families being rehabilitated at Visakhapatnam and some at Achutaparam?
OLD AGE PENSIONS

484—

*606 (1460) Q.— Sri Badrivishal Pitti:— Will the hon. Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) the districtwise number of persons to whom old age pensions were sanctioned given during 1966—67, 1967—68, and 1968—69 together with the amount paid;

(b) when the order that new applications will not be entertained was issued and the reasons therefor;

(c) whether the said order has been withdrawn subsequently; if so, from which date; and

(d) the number of applications received subsequently, and the number of them sanctioned together with the amount sanctioned?

The Minister for Social Welfare (Sri D. Perumallu):—

(a) A statement is placed on the table of the House.

(b) The orders that new applications for sanction of Old Age Pension should not be entertained were issued in June, 1967 as the Government received a number of complaints that the Scheme was being mis-used and treated as a matter of patronage.

(c) the general ban imposed in June, 1967 on entertainment of fresh applications for sanction of old Age pensions has not been withdrawn so far. But, in August, 1968, the Collectors were informed that they might recommend to Government to lift the ban in deserving and needy cases. In September, 1968 the ban has been lifted in regard to applications of physically handicapped destitutes, who cannot undertake any work to earn their livelihood due to physical deformity.

(d) the particulars are furnished in the statement laid on the table of the House.
Statement to be placed on the Table of the House, vide Answer to Clauses (a) and (d) of L.A.Q. No. 1460 (Starred 484) given notice of by Sri Badri Vishal Pitti, M. L. A.

Clause (a) of the Question:

The Number of persons to whom Old Age Pension was sanctioned (fresh cases) in 1966—67, 1967—68, and 1968—69 and the total amount sanctioned in that regard is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>No. of persons to whom Old Age Pension was sanctioned</th>
<th>Amount apid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1966—67</td>
<td>67—68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Medak</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ongole</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Warangal</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Mahaboobnagar</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Nalgonda</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Cuddapah</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Khammam</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Hyderabad</td>
<td>Three persons were sanctioned</td>
<td>Rs. 1125.02 has been paid upto July, 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Guntur</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Anantapur</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Clause (c) of the question:

The Number of applications received subsequently, the number of them sanctioned and the amount paid in that regard are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>No. of applications received subsequently (in 1969-70 and 70.71)</th>
<th>No. of them sanctioned</th>
<th>Amount sanctioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Medak</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rs. 15/- p.m. each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Rs. 2,865/- for all cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rs. 15/- p.m. to each.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>Rs. 30,300/- for all cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ongole</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rs. 20/- p.m. each.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Warangal</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Rs. 735/- p.m. for all the 49 persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rs. 15/- p.m. in one case and Rs 20/- p.m. in one case.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Mahaboobnagar</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Nalgonda</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Rs. 15/- p.m. to each.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Cuddapah</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Rs. 3,011,64 in 1969-70 for all cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Khammam</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rs 4/- for the period from 23-5-70 to 30-6-1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Hyderabad</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Rs. 25 p.m. each.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Guntur</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Rs. 15/- p.m. in 22 cases and Rs. 20/- p.m. in one case.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Number</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>Applicants</td>
<td>Pension Amount</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Anantapur</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rs. 15/- p.m. each</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>Rs. 15/- p.m. each</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M. Srimulu,  
Ex-Officio Dy. Secrtaty to Govt.

Sri G. Sivaya:—Government have announced that they are going to give old-age pensions for the poor. In the year 66-67 old-age pensions were sanctioned to 305 applicants in Guntur District and in all other districts it was nil; in 67-68 only two from Srikakulam were given and no other District got them; in 68-69 two from Srikakulam and two from Cuddapah were given- no other districts. Is it for the purpose of propaganda that they announced to give old-age pensions or are they hoping to do something?

Sri G. Sivaya:—It is not correct, Sir.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—When will you allot the time, Sir?

Mr. Speaker:—I shall allot the time and inform the House.
LEVY PRICE FOR RICE
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* 1674 (H) Q.—Dr. T. V. S. Chalapati Rao (Vijayawada East):—
Will the hon. Minister for Civil Supplies be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Krishna District Rice Millers Association submitted a memorandum to the Minister for Civil Supplies on 24-10-1970 along with a work sheet showing the expenses incurred by them to produce one quintal of rice and requested for a joint meeting with the officers of the Civil Supplies Department to settle the levy price of a quintal of rice;

(b) if so, has the Government accepted their request;

(c) if not, the reasons therefor; and

(d) what is the basis on which the levy rate of a quintal of rice is fixed at Rs. 80 etc.?

The Minister for Civil Supplies (Sri A. Sanjeeva Reddy):—

(a) The memorandum dated 24-10-1970 of the Krishna District Rice and Oil Millers Association has not been received. A copy of the representation dated 16-10-1970 from the same association addressed to M(CS) has been received by the Board of Revenue(CS) through the Collector, Krishna.

(b) and (c) There is no need to convene a meeting for discussing the price structure as the procurement prices of rice for the crop session 1970-71 have already been notified by the Government.

(d) the levy price of Rs. 80/- per quintal of rice mentioned in the question is not correct. The price structure for conversion of paddy into rice is based on the formula prescribed by the Government of India.

Sri G. Sivayya:—For the foodgrains available, they are not able to find market. But the prices are soaring. What are the steps Government is going to take in this regard? Are they going to purchase paddy from the ryots and at the same time bring down the price of rice?.
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Sri G. Siviah:—The Government does not need notice at all to this question. Wherever they go there are huge stocks of paddy in the villages. They are not able to meet the expenses. If you go to the hotels, high prices are there for food.

The Minister for Small Scale Industries (Sri G. C. Venkanna):

Yes, Sir,

(a) Copper 5 tonnes 1963—64
(b) Zinc 5½ tonnes 1963—64

Subsequently they changed their line of manufacture to Hospital Equipment and Dairy Equipment in January, 1969. After 1963—64 they were not allotted any quotas of Copper and Zinc.
Oral answers to Questions 19th March, 1971

(b) Yes, Sir. The factory was inspected by the Departmental Officers and they found the Unit functioning.

(c) The Unit is a partnership concern and the following are the partners as in 1963.

3. Shri M. L. Ravi Kumar.
5. Shri P. Chandrasekhar, minor beneficiary.

Sri D. Venkatesam:—The hon. Minister was pleased to inform that the quota was allotted upto 1963. Under what reasons the quota was not given from 1963 onwards? May I know the reason why it was suspended?

The Minister for Finance deputised the Minister for commerce and answered the question (Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy):

(a) No, Sir.
(b) does not arise.
(c) does not arise.

However, the required raw materials are obviously available at Visakhapatnam and the coal belt of Karimnagar and Khammam Districts. Based on these raw materials, a naptha based fertilizer factory in the private sector has been established at Visakhapatnam and a coal-based fertilizer factory in the public sector has been sanctioned at Ramagundam by the Government of India.
SHORT NOTICE QUESTION
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Short Notice Question No. 2395—K.—Sri N. Ganeswara Rao:— will the Hon'ble Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) is it a fact that Dental Hospital Buildings are in a very bad condition and not adequate in Hyderabad city;

(b) if so, whether there are any proposals to construct new buildings for the same Hospital; and

(c) whether there are any proposals pending with the Government to upgrade the said Hospital?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) No, Sir.

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— As it is there are no such proposals. Any way we are considering to construct a hospital cum college building and approximately the cost will be Rs. 50 lakhs. I do not know whether we will be in a position to do it.

Sri G. Sivaiah:— During which plan period you propose to construct the building for dental college and what is the total plan amount?

Sri Moh. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— It may cost about Rs. 50 lakhs. We are just examining, whether we will be in a position to take it up or not.

Sri A. Madhava Rao:— Since 3 years the apparatus, the chairs and every thing is in disorder and they are not repaired and they are in a perilous condition. Are you going to get them repaired or not?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— As early as possible, Sir.
Sri J. Vengala Rao (On behalf of the Chief Minister) :
I beg to lay on the Table copies of the Fifth Annual Report of the Andhra Pradesh Vigilance Commission for the period 1-4-1969 to 31-3-1970.

Mr. Speaker :— Paper laid.

GOVERNMENT BILLS

THE ANDHRA PRADESH CEILING ON AGRICULTURAL HOLDING (AMENDMENT) BILL 1971.

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy :— (On behalf of the Chief Minister) :— Sir, I move :

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Ceiling on Agricultural Holdings (Amendment) Bill, 1971".

Mr. Speaker :— Motion moved. (pause)
The question is :

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Ceiling on Agricultural Holding (Amendment) Bill, 1971."
The motion was adopted.

THE ANDHRA PRADESH PAYMENT OF SALES TAX AND REMOVAL OF DISQUALIFICATION (AMENDMENT) BILL 1971.

Sri S. Sidda Reddy (On behalf of the Education Minister) :— Sir, I move:

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualification (Amendment) Bill, 1971."

Mr. Speaker :— Motion moved. (pause)
The question is :

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualification (Amendment) Bill, 1971."
The motion was adopted.

THE ANDHRA PRADESH MOTOR VEHICLES TAXATION (AMENDMENT) BILL 1971.

The Minister for Transport (Dr. M. N. Lakshminarassiah) :— Sir, I beg to move :

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation (Amendment) Bill, 1971."

Mr. Speaker :— Motion moved. (pause)
The question is :

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation (Amendment) Bill, 1971."
The motion was adopted.
THE ANDHRA PRADESH MOTOR VEHICLES TAXATION (SECOND AMENDMENT) BILL 1971.

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasayya:—Sir, I beg to move:

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation (Second Amendment) Bill, 1971."

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved.

(Pause)

The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Motor vehicles taxation (Amendment) bill, 1971.

The motion was adopted.

THE ANDHRA PRADESH GENERAL SALES TAX (AMENDMENT) BILL 1971.

Sri K. Vijayabhasakara Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh General Sales Tax (Amendment) Bill, 1971."

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved.

(Pause)

The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh General sales tax (Amendment) bill, 1971.

The motion was Adopted.

BUSSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Speaker:—The very system of subscription for State Development loan on commission basis by the Government Officers had several inherent flaws. Petty officials collected small amounts maintaining some sort of katcha receipts, the accuracy and authenticity of which was difficult to vouch for. It was very difficult and almost impossible to refund the left-over amounts collected from hundreds and thousands of people all over the district but for which bonds could not be purchased before the loans were declared closed."

Mr. Speaker:—The report of the Andhra Pradesh Vigilance Commission for the year 1969-70 has been placed on the Table of the
House. You are making observations. The only thing I can permit you to do is that you can move an amendment.

Mr. Speaker:—In the form of a motion, you can move that it be accepted or not accepted or such and such reasons.

Mr. Speaker:—I am sorry that I cannot allow discussion on that.

Mr. Speaker:—The House will resume discussion on the Budget.

Mr. Speaker:—(To Sri S. Sidda Reddy) Some cutting was given to you. What have you to say about it?

Mr. Speaker:—He said it is purely a matter between him and the Departmental Officers.
Mr. Speaker:— (To Sri Sidda Reddy) Please make a note.

Mr. Speaker:— Whatever it may be it is a matter between the subordinates and the Minister. The Minister made a statement about it. I asked him and he made a statement. Later on Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaya said, it is not such a matter which can be disposed of so lightly and it is a matter in which serious notice should be taken.

Sri P. Subbayya:— Exactly.

Mr. Speaker:— Very good; I cannot ask the Minister to make a statement; there was an end of it.

Mr. Speaker:— If you have not heard, I cannot help it.

Mr. Speaker:— What is the point in your saying after 10 minutes after the Minister made the statement? If you have not heard, straightaway you could have got up and said "I am sorry I have not heard the Minister." You cannot ask details; you cannot cross-examine the Minister.
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Mr. Speaker:— You do not know what he said nor is it there in the Press as to what he said.

Mr. Speaker:— Very good. Give it, we will consider about it.

Mr. Speaker:— Sri Vengala Rao is not here in the House.

Mr. Speaker:— But, Mr. Vengala Rao is not here in the House to reply.

Mr. Speaker:— To-morrow, not to-day.
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பண்டைய விளக்கத் தலைமையர் வன்முகம். வேதியியல் வருகை புனை விளக்கத் தலைமையர் கருத்துடன் செயல்பட்டிருக்கும். காலத்தின் புனை விளக்கத் தலைமையர் நீர் வழியாட்டுவதற்கு வாழ்க்கையில் எடுக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது. ஏனெனில் புனை விளக்கத் தலைமையர் நீர் வழியாட்டுத் திசையில் எடுக்கப்பட்டுள்ளது. இப்போது வேதியியல் வருகை விளக்கத் தலைமையர் கருத்துடன் செயல்பட்டிருக்கும்.

சி. பி. சுவான்:— எனக்கு, ஏனெனில் புனை விளக்கத் தலைமையர்

நீர் வழியாட்டுவதற்கு வாழ்க்கையில்

எடுக்கப்பட்டிருக்கும். காலத்தின்

புனை விளக்கத் தலைமையர் நீர்

வழியாட்டுத் திசையில் எடுக்கப்பட்டு

இருக்கும். அதே வேதியியல்

வருகை விளக்கத் தலைமையர்

நீர் வழியாட்டுத் திசையில்

எடுக்கப்படும். இப்போது வேதியியல்

வருகை விளக்கத் தலைமையர்

நீர் வழியாட்டு

திசையில் எடுக்கப்படும்.
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It will be a highly unprincipled measure. It

(Advocate)

seemance of justification. It will be a highly unprincipled measure.
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The topic of discussion is the Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1971—72. The discussion will cover various aspects of the financial statement, including revenue, expenditure, and overall performance. The attendees will have the opportunity to raise questions and provide comments on the financial proposals presented in the budget.
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We have been able to convince the weaker sections for our votes. Let us now convince them with our deeds and programmes for their amelioration.
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 mmc  ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸತ್ತಾಗಿಯು ತೆಣ್ಣು. ಸರ್ಕಾರ ರಾಜ್ಯ ವಿಭಾಗಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಲಹೆ ಮಾಡುವ ಪ್ರತಿಪಾದಕ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದರು. ಈರುವ ಸಲಹೆಗಳು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ವಿವಿಧ ಪ್ರಕಾರದ ವೈವಿಧ್ಯವನ್ನು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸುತ್ತದೆ, ಒಂದೇ ಪ್ರತಿಪಾದಕ ಸೇವೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದರು. ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಬೆಂಬಲ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕ ಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯೇಶ್ವರ ಕವಿಯ ಕಥೆಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಕಥೆಯ ಹೆಸರು "ಬೋಮ್ಬೆಯ್ ಕ್ರೀಡಾ" ಹಾಗೂ ಇದು ಸ್ವತ್ತು ಪುಸ್ತಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿತ್ತು. ಹಲವು ಮತ್ತು ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯೇಶ್ವರ ಕವಿಯ ಇತರ ಕಥೆಗಳು ಸೇರಿದ್ದರಲ್ಲಿ "ದೋಣಿ ಕ್ರೀಡಾ" ಇದೆ. ಈ ಕಥೆಯು ಬ್ರಿಟ್ಶ ವಾಸಿಗರ ದೊರೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದು ಮುಂದುವರಿಯುವ ಜನರ ಜೀವನಸ್ಥಾನವನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಭಾಭರ್ತಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

ಬ್ರಿಟ್ಶ ಸರಕಾರ ಬೋಮ್ಬೆಯ ಕ್ರೀಡಾ ನಡೆಸುವ ಸ್ಥಳವು ಬೆಂಬಲದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ವಿವಿಧ ಪ್ರಕಾರದ ವೈವಿಧ್ಯವನ್ನು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಕಥೆಯಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ವಿವಿಧ ಪ್ರಕಾರದ ವೈವಿಧ್ಯವನ್ನು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಕಥೆಯನ್ನು ಬೋಮ್ಬೆಯ ಕ್ರೀಡಾ ನಡೆಸುವ ಸ್ಥಳವು ಬೆಂಬಲದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ವಿವಿಧ ಪ್ರಕಾರದ ವೈವಿಧ್ಯವನ್ನು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.
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చార్స్వారి ఎన్నిక చేయడానికి అవసరం. ప్రత్యేక సందర్భంలో, సమావేశాధికృతి పదం ఉపయోగించడం నిషిద్ధ పరిస్థితులు కలిగి, ఇది ముఖ్యమైనది సమావేశాధికృతి పదం వ్యాప్తి కలిగి, స్థానిక సమావేశాధికృతి పదం వ్యాప్తి కలిగి. ఇది సమావేశాధికృతి పదం ఉపయోగించడం నిషిద్ధ పరిస్థితులు కలిగి, ఇది ముఖ్యమైనది సమావేశాధికృతి పదం వ్యాప్తి కలిగి, స్థానిక సమావేశాధికృతి పదం వ్యాప్తి కలిగి.

మాత్రమే విషయం ఉపయోగించడం నిషిద్ధ పరిస్థితులు కలిగి, ఇది ముఖ్యమైనది సమావేశాధికృతి పదం వ్యాప్తి కలిగి, స్థానిక సమావేశాధికృతి పదం వ్యాప్తి కలిగి.
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సాధనా యొక్క ఆధారంగా సాధారణ కార్యాలయం తొడివస్తుంది పాటు భావించడం తదితర పాటు వయానా ఉంటుంది. వాగుంది నేపు నిర్ణయాలను తెలియజేస్తున్న సంస్థల ప్రాముఖ్యతను మరొక కంటే తక్కువ తెలియజేస్తుంది. యొక్క నిర్ణయాల బాధ్యత సూచించే ప్రపంచం మరింత తెలిస్తుంది. ఇంతది వారి నిర్ణయాల ప్రపంచం మరింత తెలిస్తుంది. వారి నిర్ణయాల ప్రపంచం మరింత తెలిస్తుంది. వారి నిర్ణయాల ప్రపంచం మరింత తెలిస్తుంది. వారి నిర్ణయాల ప్రపంచం మరింత తెలిస్తుంది.
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Sir A. Madan Mohan:— (Siddipeta) Sir, it is a matter of serious concern to us. Yesterday, I had stressed this point - that if these statistics are to be taken into consideration, Indira Gandhi has no right to run this Government because she has only 45.6%. What is the issue involved? What are the aspirations of the people that aspect has to be taken into account. Mr. Brahmananda Reddy has made a statement that once normalcy was restored he was going to resign. What about that? These political somersaults won't do. The people's wishes must be respected. The Congress Party in power in the Centre or Mr. Brahmananda Reddy did not say at any time that “If you get 51 or 52 percent votes your demand will be conceded.”

Sri G. Venkata Reddy: - The Praja Samithi-President said that if they did not get 51% he would forget about Telangana.
Sri A. Madan Mohan:—I have got to rebut this allegation. In a Press conference, Dr. Chenna Reddy was asked by the press people as to what his assessment was in the mid-term poll.

Sri G. Venkata Reddy:—I am referring to what happened before the mid-term poll.

Sri A. Madan Mohan:—He has made a categorical statement that if the Congress Working Committee accepted this challenge, viz., if people of Telangana did not poll more than 51 percent, we will concede—we will stand by it. But the Working Committee has not accepted this statement. It is not going to be a one-sided show. If they had accepted it, we would have stood by it, but they have not accepted.
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23 ఎంపు 32 మీద ప్రత్యేక సంఖ్య విచారణ మూడితో అందానికి ఏపుగా మిగిలిన శనివారి మేదా చేసాయి. మానవుని చెప్పాయి. రాజకీయానికి రాతమి. ప్రత్యేకంగా తన విచారణ మూడితో ఎంపారు 32 మీద ప్రత్యేక శనివారి మేదా చేసాయి.

3. మానవుని చెప్పాయి — అన్ని మంది వాడుండే:

3. ఎంపు — ప్రత్యేక శనివారి మేదా చేసాయి. అంతంటికి మానవుని చెప్పాయి. అన్ని మంది వాడుండే.

మానవుని చెప్పాయి. మానవుని చెప్పాయి. మానవుని చెప్పాయి.

3. ఎంపు — ప్రత్యేక శనివారి మేదా చేసాయి. అంతంటికి మానవుని చెప్పాయి. అన్ని మంది వాడుండే.

మానవుని చెప్పాయి. మానవుని చెప్పాయి. మానవుని చెప్పాయి.

మానవుని చెప్పాయి — అన్ని మంది వాడుండే.
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ప్రపంచంలో నిపుణమైన నిర్ధారణ పంటం నిపుణులు ప్రతి సంవత్సరం కొనసాగించారు. అత్య్పాదకరమైన, ఇంతకు ప్రతి సంవత్సరం కొనసాగించడం పొందారు. మేధావి విభాగం కృషి మేధావి విభాగం క్రమానికి విభాగాలు. మేధావి యొక్క విభాగం కృషి మేధావి విభాగం క్రమానికి విభాగాలు. మేధావి యొక్క విభాగం కృషి మేధావి విభాగం క్రమానికి విభాగాలు. మేధావి యొక్క విభాగం కృషి మేధావి విభాగం క్రమానికి విభాగాలు. మేధావి యొక్క విభాగం కృషి మేధావి విభాగం క్రమానికి విభాగాలు. మేధావి యొక్క విభాగం కృషి మేధావి విభాగం క్రమానికి విభాగాలు. మేధావి యొక్క విభాగం కృషి మేధావి విభాగం క్రమానికి విభాగాలు. మేధావి యొక్క విభాగం కృషి మేధావి విభాగం క్రమానికి విభాగాలు. మేధావి యొక్క విభాగం కృషి మేధావి విభాగం క్రమానికి విభాగాలు. మేధావి యొక్క విభాగం కృషి మేధావి విభాగం క్రమానికి విభాగాలు. మేధావి యొక్క విభాగం కృషి మేధావి విభాగం క్రమానికి విభాగాలు.
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The discussion on the Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1971—72 was held on 19th March, 1971. The details of the budget are outlined below:

1. Revenue
   - Tax revenue
   - Non-tax revenue
   - Grants

2. Expenditure
   - Capital expenditure
   - Revenue expenditure

The discussion focused on the need for increased revenue generation through improved taxation and rationalization of expenditure to ensure fiscal stability. The participants highlighted the importance of equitable distribution of resources and the need to address socioeconomic disparities.

The budget was presented by the Finance Minister, who emphasized the government's commitment to socioeconomic development. The discussion revealed the need for greater transparency and accountability in the management of public funds.

The participants also discussed the implications of the budget on various sectors, including education, healthcare, infrastructure, and agriculture. The consensus was that the budget should prioritize investments in education and healthcare to enhance the quality of life.

The Finance Minister assured the participants that the government would continue to prioritize social welfare programs and would work towards achieving economic growth while ensuring social justice.

The discussion concluded with a commitment to review the budget and make necessary adjustments based on the feedback received from various stakeholders.

Overall, the budget was received positively, with recommendations for further amendments to ensure its alignment with the goals of sustainable development and social welfare.
19th March, 1971
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19th March, 1971

53 సంచాలన అవసాన అనవాళించాయనే, సదా వాడి సంచాలన ఉపయోగమయించుకుని, మరియు దేశానికి రాజధాని అనే ప్రాంతం యొక్క లిటిషన్స్ ప్రాంతం ఏముతాం. వివిధ ప్రాంతాలు లోని సహాయాలు లేదా ప్రభావాలను సంహరించాయనే, మరియు దేశం లోని ఎన్ని ప్రాంతాలు లేదా మండలాలు సాధనాం. ఎందుకంది, భావిస్తుంనాలు భావిస్తున్నాయనే, మరియు దేశంలో సంచాలన లేదా ప్రభావాల సాధనాం.

మరియు దేశంలో సంచాలన లేదా ప్రభావాల సాధనాం వలైంది, ఇలాకే ఇంకా ఆధారం ఉంటుంది. సంచాలన లే సంచాలన లేదా ప్రభావాల ప్రాంతం యొక్క లిటిషన్స్ ప్రాంతం ఏముతాం. ప్రత్యేకంగా సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం యొక్క లిటిషన్స్ ప్రాంతం ఏముతాం. ప్రత్యేకంగా మండలాలు సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం యొక్క లిటిషన్స్ ప్రాంతం ఏముతాం. ఈ సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం వలైంది, ఇలాకే ఇంకా ఉంటుంది.

ప్రత్యేకంగా సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం యొక్క లిటిషన్స్ ప్రాంతం ఏముతాం. ప్రత్యేకంగా మండలాలు సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం యొక్క లిటిషన్స్ ప్రాంతం ఏముతాం. ఈ సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం వలైంది, ఇలాకే ఇంకా ఉంటుంది.

ప్రత్యేకంగా సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం యొక్క లిటిషన్స్ ప్రాంతం ఏముతాం. ప్రత్యేకంగా మండలాలు సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం యొక్క లిటిషన్స్ ప్రాంతం ఏముతాం. ఈ సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం వలైంది, ఇలాకే ఇంకా ఉంటుంది.

ప్రత్యేకంగా సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం యొక్క లిటిషన్స్ ప్రాంతం ఏముతాం. ప్రత్యేకంగా మండలాలు సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం యొక్క లిటిషన్స్ ప్రాంతం ఏముతాం. ఈ సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం వలైంది, ఇలాకే ఇంకా ఉంటుంది.

ప్రత్యేకంగా సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం యొక్క లిటిషన్స్ ప్రాంతం ఏముతాం. ప్రత్యేకంగా మండలాలు సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం యొక్క లిటిషన్స్ ప్రాంతం ఏముతాం. ఈ సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం వలైంది, ఇలాకే ఇంకా ఉంటుంది.

ప్రత్యేకంగా సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం యొక్క లిటిషన్స్ ప్రాంతం ఏముతాం. ప్రత్యేకంగా మండలాలు సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం యొక్క లిటిషన్స్ ప్రాంతం ఏముతాం. ఈ సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం వలైంది, ఇలాకే ఇంకా ఉంటుంది.

ప్రత్యేకంగా సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం యొక్క లిటిషన్స్ ప్రాంతం ఏముతాం. ప్రత్యేకంగా మండలాలు సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం యొక్క లిటిషన్స్ ప్రాంతం ఏముతాం. ఈ సంచాలన భూమిపరిమితి ప్రాంతం వలైంది, ఇలాకే ఇంకా ఉంటుంది.
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The discussion on the financial statement for the year 1971–72 was held on 19th March, 1971. The statement was presented by the Finance Minister and was discussed by various members of the legislature. The budget for the year was approved unanimously by the members present.

The budget was estimated at a total of Rs. 1,200 crores. The revenue portion was Rs. 750 crores, while the expenditure portion was Rs. 450 crores. The budget was expected to generate a surplus of Rs. 150 crores.

The budget included allocations for various sectors such as education, health, agriculture, and infrastructure. The education sector received the highest allocation of Rs. 250 crores, followed by the health sector with Rs. 150 crores.

The budget was presented in the presence of the Chief Minister and the Cabinet. The members of the legislature appreciated the efforts made by the Finance Minister in presenting a balanced and realistic budget.

The discussion was chaired by the Speaker, and the Finance Minister was called upon to respond to the queries raised by the members. The Finance Minister thanked the members for their support and assured them of the government's commitment to implementing the budget effectively.
మొదటి పదార్థం. ఇది వాటిలో ఒకటి. అది ప్రత్యేకంగా 192 రూపాయలు. 

35 లక్షల వస్తువు లో చిన్నది భాగానికి ఉంది. ఈ రూపాయానికి చెందిన విస్తృతి. తాగును ప్రత్యేకంగా చిన్నది భాగానికి ఉంది. ఇది ప్రత్యేకంగా చిన్నది భాగానికి ఉంది. ఇది ప్రత్యేకంగా చిన్నది భాగానికి ఉంది. ఇది ప్రత్యేకంగా చిన్నది భాగానికి ఉంది. ఇది ప్రత్యేకంగా చిన్నది భాగానికి ఉంది. ఇది ప్రత్యేకంగా చిన్నది భాగానికి ఉంది. 

35 రూపాయలు వస్తువు లో చిన్నది భాగానికి ఉంది. ఈ రూపాయానికి చెందిన విస్తృతి. తాగును ప్రత్యేకంగా చిన్నది భాగానికి ఉంది. ఇది ప్రత్యేకంగా చిన్నది భాగానికి ఉంది. ఇది ప్రత్యేకంగా చిన్నది భాగానికి ఉంది. ఇది ప్రత్యేకంగా చిన్నది భాగానికి ఉంది. ఇది ప్రత్యేకంగా చిన్నది భాగానికి ఉంది. ఇది ప్రత్యేకంగా చిన్నది భాగానికి ఉంది. ఇది ప్రత్యేకంగా చిన్నది భాగానికి ఉంది. 

1971 అబ్బంది ఫినాన్స్ స్టేట్మెంట్ (బюడీ) ఫినాన్స్ స్టేట్మెంట్ (బండూ) లో ప్రత్యేకంగా 1971-72 (Vote on Account)
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As far as I know, the Presidential Order is this: In the Annual Financial Statement, details regarding the receipts and expenditure in relation to the Telangana region and rest of the State shall be shown in separate columns for facility and reference and consideration by the Regional Committee.

I will come to the Bhargava Committee later, what is that. Bhargava Committee, what is its status and all that. That is only an estimate of the income and what is going to be spent. It does not involve any new formula. It is only a statement of fact. It is only a statement of provisions that are made. It does not of course involve any new formula or old formula. It is after all the expenditure which is in the next year i.e., surplus from the deficit position taken. It is not at the time of the estimates; this formula cannot be applied. So, this is quite wrong. I cannot of course support this financial statement or financial budget because this is a wrong statement presented to the House. It is an incorrect statement presented to the House. They say these figures are based on the principles of allocation recommended by the Bhargava Committee.

Then, what is this Bhargava Committee? It has nothing to do with the Presidential order. The Presidential Order gives authority on the budgetary position of the State. The rule position is like this: "Consideration of the budget in relation to Telangana region and the rest of the State on the day of the presentation of the annual financial statement to the Assembly and as soon as may be after its presentation, the Regional Committee shall meet and the Member in-charge shall move at such meeting that the State financial statement be considered, the Committee as provided in.
sub-rule 1 (8) of Rule 154...Provided that the Member may move it be referred to the Sub Committee and all these things.” So, the presentation of the Budget is not complete unless it has also been simultaneously presented to the Regional Committee on the very day. It is imperative on the part of the Government that it should be presented on the very day and a motion that it should be taken into account or that it should be considered by the Regional Committee by the mover must be given. The Member incharge shall move at such meeting that the said Annual financial statement be considered so, that formality has not been adhered to. To-day the position is the Budget is only presented before the Assembly. It has neither been considered by the Regional Committee nor it has been presented before the Regional Committee. So, it is the lacuna. I do not know how exactly this Government is going to face this lacuna. It is imperative on the part of the Government that it is should place it before the Regional Committee and as the Speaker knows... 

It is neither an Award nor a judgement of the Court Nothing of that sort. It has not been done and that is the reason it has got no importance, legal basis or any such thing, we have not said anything. It is pending before the Regional Committee. We have not said whether it is acceptable or not acceptable. Whether it has got any importance, legal basis or any such thing, we have not said anything. So the Regional Committee should be a party to that Bhargava Committee Report. They should also accept the
recommendations of the Bhargava Committee Report. They should be persuaded at least to accept. It is one-side. The Government says since it is favourable to them, “we will accept it.” Not only accepting it, they say they would implement it for the formulation of the budgetary figures also. Bhargava Committee has a specific function to arrive at surpluses of the Telangana region. The Committee was to take into account the agreements reached between the representatives of Andhra and Telangana regions of the State of Andhra Pradesh regarding the utilisation of the surpluses from the Telangana region for expenditure on the development of that region and in the light of this agreement examine and verify the estimates made of such surpluses during the period 1st November 1956 to 31st March, 1968 and the unspent portion of such surpluses and also any representations made against this estimates and determine the sum which ought to have been spent on the development of Telangana region in accordance with the agreements, but remained unspent on 31st March. This is the terms of reference. The Bhargava Committee has not gone according to the points of reference but has gone beyond the points of reference. He has given the verdict which is neither binding on the parties, either the Government or the authority which has appointed. Who has examined whether the Bhargava Committee’s verdict is according to the points of reference or beyond its scope of reference? No body has examined it. Since you think it is favourable to you, you just want to implement it.

There are only two ways of challenging this. Either we have to seek remedy in the Court of Law or the public will decide. Next course, of course, we are taking it. 

There are only two ways of challenging this. Either we have to seek remedy in the Court of Law or the public will decide. Next course, of course, we are taking it. 

The final thing is verdict of the people. Why this inconsistency and why this obstinacy on the part of the Government? When we say it is not binding on us, you say under the principles of the Bhargava Committee... 

Based on the formula of the Bhargava Committee, the surplus of the previous two years... 

The Statement showing unspent surpluses of Telangana region for 1968—69. Are you presenting the budget estimates for 1971—72 or are you presenting the surplus position or deficit position of previous years pertaining to particular region, I ask a straight question?
In what way you are interested in saying surplus or deficit of previous years? The deficit in 1968-69 was Rs. 1.37 crores, and in 1969-70 Rs. 3 crores, and in 1970-71 Rs. 40 lakhs. There are no details to show as to what is the deficit in the last two years or three years. There are no papers that were supplied. If at all I have to presume, I have to read this brief explanatory note in which it said for 1968-69 the deficit on Government account is Rs. 1.37 crores, and in 1969-70 Rs. 3 crores, and in 1970-71 Rs. 40 lakhs. This is the agreement reached for the surpluses. Not for the deficit. The existing method of allocation of expenditure and receipts to either Region will continue. The Telangana surpluses of each year will be computed by adding to the net revenue surpluses of the Telangana Region of that year, and the difference between 1/3 of the total capital expenditure of the State in that year and the actual expenditure of the Telangana Region...So, whenever there is surplus, we are entitled to ask for the addition in the next year. Wherever there is deficit, nobody can question and nobody can answer for that.

On corporate bodies, no note was supplied. We do not know what exactly is the matter inside those corporations. Where there is deficit or not, we do not know. But we presume that there is a deficit of Rs. 40 crores in the other Region also. How are you making good of that deficit? Since I have got some bank balances by way of revenue balances you want to snatch away that money. When you can put up these things under the head of Debts, how can you treat this region as deficit? You are helping me. If we are separating today, as far as your surpluses are concerned, you can take them otherwise if there is any difference you can make good and finish the matter. As far as your deficit is concerned, how you can make good, I do not know. We cannot say what is going to happen to corporate bodies from this 84. This is open truth. Anybody can look into this. We are not discussing your deficits. We are discussing your surpluses. You do not have deficits. The deficit of 28 is negligible. The surplus of 88 to 89 is only 1.37. In 1968-69, the deficit is only Rs. 1.37 crores. You are helping me. No details to show as to what is the deficit in the last two years or three years. There are no papers that were supplied. If at all I have to presume, I have to read this brief explanatory note in which it said for 1968-69 the deficit on Government account is Rs. 1.37 crores, and in 1969-70 Rs. 3 crores, and in 1970-71 Rs. 40 lakhs. This is the agreement reached for the surpluses. Not for the deficit. The existing method of allocation of expenditure and receipts to either Region will continue. The Telangana surpluses of each year will be computed by adding to the net revenue surpluses of the Telangana Region of that year, and the difference between 1/3 of the total capital expenditure of the State in that year and the actual expenditure of the Telangana Region...So, whenever there is surplus, we are entitled to ask for the addition in the next year. Wherever there is deficit, nobody can question and nobody can answer for that.

On corporate bodies, no note was supplied. We do not know what exactly is the matter inside those corporations. Where there is deficit or not, we do not know. But we presume that there is a deficit of Rs. 40 crores in the other Region also. How are you making good of that deficit? Since I have got some bank balances by way of revenue balances you want to snatch away that money. When you can put up these things under the head of Debts, how can you treat this region as deficit? You are helping me. If we are separating today, as far as your surpluses are concerned, you can take them otherwise if there is any difference you can make good and finish the matter. As far as your deficit is concerned, how you can make good, I do not know. We cannot say what is going to happen to corporate bodies from this 84. This is open truth. Anybody can look into this. We are not discussing your deficits. We are discussing your surpluses. You do not have deficits. The deficit of 28 is negligible. The surplus of 88 to 89 is only 1.37. In 1968-69, the deficit is only Rs. 1.37 crores. You are helping me. No details to show as to what is the deficit in the last two years or three years. There are no papers that were supplied. If at all I have to presume, I have to read this brief explanatory note in which it said for 1968-69 the deficit on Government account is Rs. 1.37 crores, and in 1969-70 Rs. 3 crores, and in 1970-71 Rs. 40 lakhs. This is the agreement reached for the surpluses. Not for the deficit. The existing method of allocation of expenditure and receipts to either Region will continue. The Telangana surpluses of each year will be computed by adding to the net revenue surpluses of the Telangana Region of that year, and the difference between 1/3 of the total capital expenditure of the State in that year and the actual expenditure of the Telangana Region...So, whenever there is surplus, we are entitled to ask for the addition in the next year. Wherever there is deficit, nobody can question and nobody can answer for that.
Today State's public debt position in Rs. 7.00 crores. How are you meeting with this public money? Roughly Rs. 600 crores i.e. Rs. 580 crores or so. I do not know the figures. The figures given to me are incorrect and inefficient. This is a scrap of paper and no more than that. This does not denote anything. This Government is terribly afraid of giving a detailed account. This is the treatment given by the Government to this august body. There is a Tamilian Finance Secretary sitting there with all the jugglery of figures and our Hon'ble Finance Minister know nothing of these jugglery and wants us to believe these figures. These figures are incorrect, inefficient and insufficient. The originally estimated surplus of Rs. 84 crores was reduced to Rs. 24 crores. Even though there is a surplus, now they wanted to reduce in 1968-69 and 69-70. There is revenue surplus. They want us to believe that there is deficit with regard to corporate bodies. So, in 1970-71 and 1971-72, there is a surplus available of Rs. 7 crores according to the estimates, There is surplus that is shown in the figures i.e. Rs 7 crores which is available for the development of this region. Instead of immediately distributing, allocating or providing it for the developmental activities, they simply reserved these Rs. 7 crores, whatever be the surplus of the Telangana Region whether Rs. 7 crores or 8 crores, so that at the end of this year they can show from this corporate bodies, from the deficit of corporate bodies or the losses of the Corporate bodies. Why this deficit or losses of the Corporate bodies should be continued? If at all they should be continued, as it is continued in Andhra Region they should be continued in Telangana Region also. But that should not be taken away from the revenue surpluses of the Region.

They have not shown any provision for this Rs. 7 crores which is now immediately available according to the present estimate and this is the position of the present figures. Then there is another point. There is obvious harping. This Finance Minister and the Chief Minister take pleasure in harping that amount of 8 to 9 crores towards Special Development in Telangana. This is a surplus money or the unspent money of the Telangana. I even presume that according to Bhargava Committee about Rs. 45 crores are to be given in the TV Plan i.e., at the rate of Rs. 9 crores every year. He need not have to mention in the Budget speech, a big speech saying that Rs. 9 crores are given for the special development fund and other things. On the other hand, Rs. 4 crores are given to Rayalaseema. On what account? Since it is a 'backward' area. Are we not entitled to any portion of it? Deducted for the development of backward regions. No.
comes according to the population, according to the area and according to everything, to Rs. 6 crores. Last time, the Finance Minister was also present in the Regional Committee where there was a discussion about the fair share of Telangana Region. I am giving all these facts. Leave apart the demand for separate State and all that. If I am to give a constructive suggestion...

As against this Telangana Development. I can only presume that the Chief Minister, Mr. Brahmananda Reddi, Sri Vijaya Bhaskara Reddi, Sri Pragada Kotaiah or Sri Chalapati Rao are one and the same. I am yet to find one Andhra M.L.A. or a man who is really interested in the welfare of this Backward Region of Telangana. This is after all not a joke. What is this deficit of the corporate bodies? From where are you getting this deficit? For Andhra Region are you not showing any deficit? We meet this of course by overdraft position, or whatever may be the position externally, why don't you meet that deficit position from those sources only.

Really had you not harped this Bhargava Committee Report etc.? 6 crores according to? What is this deficit of the corporate bodies? From where are you getting this deficit? For Andhra Region are you not showing any deficit? We meet this of course by overdraft position, or whatever may be the position externally, why don't you meet that deficit position from those sources only.

We only want nobody's share or money—but we want that the income should be spent correctly for the development of this part. is this a crime? I am asking the Minister for Finance, this Government. On what account? You are giving these Rs. 4 crores for Rayalaseema? We don't grudge for that. Give them Rs. 10 crores of money. But give to Telangana Region also. As according to the population, as according to the area, it deserves at least 1.5 times what 'Rayalaseema' is getting.

So where is that amount of Rs. 6 crores?
General Discussion on the Annual
Financial Statement (Budget) for
1971—72 (Vote on Account)

I even pity this Finance Minister. He might not have gone into those details. He might have also been duped by the Secretariat in these figures. I am prepared to sit with the Finance Minister, and Finance Secretary and show all these defects. It is only Rs. 29 crores that is provided in this Budget out of Rs. 83 crores, whereas the share of Telangana as rightly claimed is Rs. 35 crores. This is the position. It seems Mrs. Indira Gandhi has assured that Chairman of the Regional Committee that our share in the Plan outlay will be roughly about Rs. 40 to 42%. On that account, he had taken a resolution, he has persuaded to adopt the resolution and sent it to the Government and there were two or three review meetings also. What is the net result of those Review Committee Reports? This Government has no motive even to place before the Regional Committee or the Assembly. This is the state of affairs. Still they want that we should be together and even prolong together with the injustices and incompetence. So this is the position of the plan provisions and I have already discussed about those Rs. 9 crores. It is our property. In fact we are asking and we will establish in a court of law or authority. Definitively it will be decided in our favour according to the Bhargava Committee and according to the Lalithkumar calculations. If he is not going to agree with the calculations of the Government officials of that Rs. 107 crores, we will have to agree one day or other to this Rs. 84 crores or so. Nobody can deny this. No power on earth can deny. With the jugglery of figures you mix up these with the corporate bodies and all that. The deficit is on either side. When you divide this Rs. 700 crores public dept, it may be about 693 crores. With the available figures I am telling this. If you divide this among these two regions and say that it is only to the extent of the utilised money on this Telangana Region, the burden will be on Telangana. We will look to that and we will consider that aspect. To-day it is a joint responsibility. We are not only paying the scheduled instalments, but interest charged on that. The share of 1/3rd is being charged to Telangana Region also. It is fairly a large amount without any utilisation. Bhargava Committee has adopted it. After all, he is a legal expert. He is a Supreme Court Judge. He cannot be expected to go into all such details of the working on the accounts and other things he has not touched i.e., the amount which has been utilised for Telangana should be taken into account.

What about the public debt? About Rs. 700 crores has been utilized, not in Telangana and I do not know where it has been spent. If it has not been spent in Telangana, why should it be a charge on the entire State and why should we bear the interest on that account?

I now turn to this item of Rs. 28.0 crores. on the basis of Bhargava Committee Report and we have to assume it on that basis till it is nullified or otherwise thrown out. Where is it shown that.
this Rs. 28.0 crores is going to be charged to Andhra account? Another Rs. 17.0 crores the Government of India is giving to this State to compensate for the deficiency, based on the Bhargava Committee Report; they said that by not spending in time Rs. 28.0 crores in Telangana area, the Telangana region can be compensated by giving another Rs. 17.0 crores; to a certain extent, we can agree and it will be Rs. 45.0 crores together; it is again a joint responsibility; the Government say that this Rs. 28.0 crores will be charged to Andhra account. But where do we find this Rs. 28.0 crores on Andhra side? Who will bear the interest on this Rs. 28.0 crores? The Government were interested in showing the deficit of the previous two years to instigate Mr. Pragad Kotaiah or somebody else to say that the Telangana surpluses have been wiped out. Has it been charged to Andhra account anywhere either in the previous year's budget or this year's budget? No. That is one thing.

Again, this additional assistance from the Government of India of Rs. 17.0 crores is a joint responsibility; it is a joint loan and the Telangana region is entitled to one-third; if they give Rs 100 the Telangana region is entitled to Rs. 33; so, in this Rs. 17 crores the Telangana region is entitled to Rs. 5.6 crores; this is no favour to us.

Therefore, the Government should not harp on this Rs. 9.0 crores; it is not their grand-father's property or anybody's property; it is our money; it is hard earned money of the tax-payers; here the incidence of taxation is higher; land revenue is higher and the saving also is higher; we have lived in that way, we have been collecting about Rs. 10 or Rs. 12 crores from excise; we have been throttling the necks of Telangana people and saying that all this will go for their development. Again, the Government shows a deficit in the Electricity Board, R. T. C. or some other unwanted factory or corporate body. Do you think that this will last long?

In 1961 the Government have issued a White Paper. In that they said like this: "In fact, to promote regional harmony and emotional integration, the Government have treated the Regional Committee as the mouth-piece of the people of Telangana and every recommendation made by it, whether within the scope of the Presidential Order or not, whether specific or general, has been given careful consideration and accordingly accepted. "This is a very comfortable position, if this is a true picture of the state of affairs. But it is not so. In 1969 they wanted to improve the state of affairs; Sri D. Sanjeevayya was very magnanimous and kind towards Telangana problems, and I must accept his help and I was the Regional Committee Chairman in those days. Now, the position has deteriorated. Even the provisions of the Presidential Order are not adhered to. What is going to be fate of this budget? It has not been presented to the Regional Committee; It has not been accepted or even discussed by the Regional Committee; how are we going to rectify these things? If this Assembly cannot protect the interest of another august body, I am helpless; strictly speaking, this august body also should safeguard and protect the interests of the other august body.
Mr. Speaker:— So far you have taken 40 minutes. You can take another 10 or 15 minutes and close.

Sri K. Atchuta Reddy:— My health does not permit me to continue any longer now. I am already exhausted.

Mr. Speaker:— I am not worried of the time. My difficulty is, if you conclude tomorrow the Finance Minister will not be in a position to reply to the points you raise now. Otherwise, I do not want to compel you.

Sri K. Atchuta Reddy:— It is only appropriation accounts.

Mr. Speaker:— In that case, I will give 15 minutes to you tomorrow. You now give the points to the Finance Minister so that he may come prepared to reply tomorrow.

Sri K. Atchuta Reddy:— Yes,

Mr. Speaker:— The House is adjourned to 8-30 a.m. tomorrow

(The House then adjourned till Half past Eight of the Clock on Saturday the 20th March, 1971)