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100 Q.—Sri B. V. Pratap Reddy (Mahabubnagar) — Will the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state :

(a) whether statistics of villages, taluks and District-wise figures of per capita income have been prepared; and

(b) if so, the particulars thereof?

Hon. the Deputy Chief Minister (Sri K. V. Narasimha Rao) —

(a) The per capita income estimates at the village and taluk levels are not compiled. An exercise to compile the estimates of per capita income at the District level for the years 1980-81 to 1989-90 was made by the Bureau of Economics and Statistics. The Central Statistical Organisation, however, felt that the data base at the District level for compiling these estimates was practically non-existent and, therefore, the usefulness of these estimates for policy purposes was very limited. Hence, these estimates are not being published.

(b) Does not arise.
140  15th December, 1970.

Oral Answer to Question.

My Lords:

I have the honour to reply to the Question put to me by Shri J. V. Nandal Rao, Member of the Lok Sabha of the Indian National Congress, in the Rajya Sabha on the 14th December, 1970, which ran as follows:

The Honourable the Minister for Industry, what steps has the Government taken to finance the new industries as well as to encourage the cultivation of new crops?

In reply, I am pleased to state that the Government are not able to give any concrete answer to the Question, as the decision relates to the policy to be taken in the light of the present economic situation.

Can the Minister say whether any decision has been taken by the Government on the subject of the production of new crops?

I am pleased to state that the Government have taken a decision to encourage the production of new crops and are making arrangements to finance the same.

Can the Minister say what steps the Government have taken to encourage the cultivation of new crops?

As stated above, the Government have taken a decision to encourage the cultivation of new crops and are making arrangements to finance the same.

Shri J. V. Nandal Rao:—What I meant was, my department at this level, at the Ministerial level. I am very sorry if it has gone to the Division or the Deputy Director, I have to find out and tell him.
Oral Answers to Questions. 15th December, 1970.

Mr. Speaker — According to him, your letter was received by the Department. They have not put up a case to the Minister. He is not saying that he has nothing to do with it.

Mr. V. Narasing Rao — What I said was that if any letter comes to the Secretary, it will definitely be seen by me and I will have noted it. But if you have directly written to the Director or the Deputy Director, I am telling you that I will have to find out and tell you. I am told the Deputy Director has received it.

Mr. V. Narasing Rao — I am sorry. Facts and figures, I have to get it examined.

Mr. V. Narasing Rao — I am sorry. Facts and figures, I have to get it examined.

Mr. V. Narasing Rao — I am sorry. Facts and figures, I have to get it examined.

Mr. V. Narasing Rao — I am sorry. Facts and figures, I have to get it examined.

Sri V. Narasing Rao — I did not mean anything.

Sri V. Narasing Rao — I take serious objection.

Sri V. Narasing Rao — I will try to satisfy you. I will meet myself examine and discuss with you.

Sri V. Narasing Rao — I will try to satisfy you. I will meet myself examine and discuss with you.
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47 (1966) Q.—Shri P. Satyaprakas Rao (Visakhapatnam—2) —

Will the Hon. Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether the work of drinking water scheme (under National Rural Water Supply Scheme) has been completed in Moodurpada Panchayat in Pendeathal Panchayat Samithi, Visakhapatnam tahsil?

(b) the amount of expenditure incurred to complete the work of the said drinking water scheme:

(c) whether drinking water is being supplied through this scheme, after its completion;

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister for Panchayat Raj (Shri T. Ramaswamy) :—

(a) Yes. Rt.

(b) The amount of expenditure incurred on the scheme was Rs. 4,390/-

(c) Drinking water was supplied upto 1966-67 after the scheme has been completed. It is reported that the scheme is not functioning at present as the Gram Panchayat has no adequate funds for the maintenance of the scheme;

(d) This Projected Water Supply Scheme was taken up under National Rural Water Supply Scheme and it was handed over to the Panchayat concerned during the year 1962 after completion, for maintenance of the scheme. At present the scheme is not functioning, as the Gram Panchayat is not having adequate funds for its maintenance. As per the orders of the Government, it is the responsibility of the Gram Panchayat to maintain the scheme. A Memo No. 4277/Prog.-YI.I.AI/70-2 dated 24-7-70 on amount of Rs. 1,36,100/- was released from Equalization Grant on the Zilla Parishad, Visakhapatnam for carrying out repairs to the Projected Water Supply Scheme taken up under National Rural Water Supply and Sanitation Programme in Visakhapatnam District. The Executive Engineers of Zilla Parishad concerned were also instructed to take up and carry out repairs of the Projected Water Supply Scheme taken up under Project Rural Water Supply Scheme. The Government are in the process of sanctioning the estimate. It is hoped that the repairs will be undertaken and the scheme will be put into operation again.

(Rt. Shri T. Ramaswamy)
15th December, 1970

२. संख्यांतम संकेतपत्र ("युक्त") ने (विशेष जनपद) के लिए नियंत्रण सुदृढ़ता का समान आवश्यकता हैं। इसलिए यकीन है कि मानक संकेतपत्र के लिए स्वस्थ नियंत्रण सुदृढ़ता का समान आवश्यकता है।

३. मानक (अनुवाद) में विशेष जनपद के लिए नियंत्रण सुदृढ़ता का समान आवश्यकता है। इसलिए यकीन है कि मानक संकेतपत्र के लिए स्वस्थ नियंत्रण सुदृढ़ता का समान आवश्यकता है।

४. मानक (अनुवाद) में विशेष जनपद के लिए नियंत्रण सुदृढ़ता का समान आवश्यकता है। इसलिए यकीन है कि मानक संकेतपत्र के लिए स्वस्थ नियंत्रण सुदृढ़ता का समान आवश्यकता है।

५. मानक (अनुवाद) में विशेष जनपद के लिए नियंत्रण सुदृढ़ता का समान आवश्यकता है। इसलिए यकीन है कि मानक संकेतपत्र के लिए स्वस्थ नियंत्रण सुदृढ़ता का समान आवश्यकता है।

६. मानक (अनुवाद) में विशेष जनपद के लिए नियंत्रण सुदृढ़ता का समान आवश्यकता है। इसलिए यकीन है कि मानक संकेतपत्र के लिए स्वस्थ नियंत्रण सुदृढ़ता का समान आवश्यकता है।

७. मानक (अनुवाद) में विशेष जनपद के लिए नियंत्रण सुदृढ़ता का समान आवश्यकता है। इसलिए यकीन है कि मानक संकेतपत्र के लिए स्वस्थ नियंत्रण सुदृढ़ता का समान आवश्यकता है।

८. मानक (अनुवाद) में विशेष जनपद के लिए नियंत्रण सुदृढ़ता का समान आवश्यकता है। इसलिए यकीन है कि मानक संकेतपत्र के लिए स्वस्थ नियंत्रण सुदृढ़ता का समान आवश्यकता है।
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(b) NoStr, [288 I by Sd K. Krisnhanurthy, M.L.A.

1. Srikakulam... 673 1,323
2. Visakhapatnam... 627 1,143
3. East Godavari... 295 763
4. West Godavari... 163 604
5. Krishna... 194 755
6. Guntur... 175 645
7. Chirala... 136 679
8. Nellore... 243 606
9. Kurnool... 248 745
10. Cuddapah... 118 333
<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11. Anantapur</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>636</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Hyderabad</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>301</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Chittoor</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>932</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Mahabubnagar</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>620</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Nalgonda</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>548</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Khammam</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>360</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Warangal</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>534</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Medak</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Karimnagar</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>648</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Nizamabad</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>353</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Adilabad</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>436</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5,458</td>
<td>13,759</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Oral Answers to Questions.** 15th December, 1970.
284——

* 304 (1823) Q.—Dr. Venkatarama—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayats be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Mallagali Chennu of Kotturpuram village, Kuppam taluk, Chittoor district was breached, if so, when;

(b) why the repairs have not been taken up so far;

(c) whether the Government will consider the proposal to develop the said tank as a feeder tank in Kuppam Chennu by connecting the two tank bunds to enable the supply of Kuppam Chennu to have the assured water supply;

(d) what would be the probable cost to connect the two tanks;

(e) what would be the probable cost to conduct repairs to the breached tank;

Sri T. Ramaswamy, —(a) Yes, Sir. The tank was breached about 13 years back;

(b) The tank was not handed over to the Zilla Parishad, Chittoor by the Revenue Department;

(c) Not feasible as a feeder tank since the tank is situated at about 10 feet lower level than Kuppam Chennu;

(d) The cost for connecting the two tank bunds is about Rs. 30,000/-

(e) The cost for carrying out repairs is about Rs. 5,000/-

285——

* 617 (1342) Q.—Sri R. Mahananda (Darsi)—Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that some thousands of rupees were collected towards "Dress Fee" from the students by the Government Physical Training College, Hyderabad in 1966-67 and 1967-68;
Oral Answers to Questions. 15th December, 1970.

(b) if so, how much and is it authorised by rules;
(c) what has become of this amount;
(d) whether the accounts of this College are audited up-to-date; and
(e) what are the main audit objections pointed out by the audit party?

The Minister for Education (Sri P. V. Nageswara Rao):—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) an amount of Rs. 30/- from each male student and an amount of Rs. 50/- from each lady student was collected;

(c) the amounts were spent for the preparation and supply of, activity items to all tranera (Men as well as Women tranera);

(d) the accounts of this College were audited upto 21-10-1968;

(e) the collections and expenditure for 1966-67 and 1967-68 for drug fee were not exhibited in the non-Government cash book on the grounds that they were maintained by the pupils themselves. This is irregular.

Milk Plants for each District

266—

* 732 (1966) Q.—Sri S. Venkata (Kurnool):—Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is a proposal to instal a Milk Plant in every district in the State; and

(b) if so, the details of the scheme?
15th December, 1970

Ooty

The Minister for Agriculture (50 Kakkan Venkataraman)—

(c) Yes, Sir.

16. At present the following Districts have been provided with either a Chilling or a Cooling Centre:

Krishna, Guntur, West Godavari, Cuddapah, Srikakulam, Hyderabad, Mahbubnagar, Nalgonda, Karimnagar, Adilabad, Warangal and Nizamabad.

Intensive Milk Supply Schemes are functioning in the Districts of:

East Godavari, Nellore, Vizianagaram, Chittoor and West Godavari.

During 1970-71, it is proposed to install a Cooling/Cooling Centre in the Districts of Andhra and Ongole. It is also proposed to develop a fully-fledged Dairy at Kurnool. Khazeran District will also be covered under the programme during 1971-72. Thus, all the Districts in the State will be covered by milk schemes.
Oor Antwars to Questions. 15th December, 1970. 149

..
15th December, 1970.

உதவியாளர் (விளக்க விளக்க) உயர் விளக்கத்திற்கு மாறி விளக்க விளக்க. இதில் உள்ள விளக்கத்திற்கு மாறி விளக்க விளக்காகவே விளக்கத்தை விளக்க விளக்க.

இந்த விளக்கத்துக்கு தமிழ் கல்வியில் அமைந்துள்ளது கல்வி விளக்கத்தை விளக்க விளக்க.

அவர், கல்வியின் (விளக்க) இல்லை என்கூறுவது விளக்கத்தை விளக்க விளக்க.

இந்த விளக்கத்துக்கு என்பது என்கூறுவது விளக்கத்தை விளக்க விளக்க.

பொதுமக்கலைக் கல்வியில் விளக்கத்துக்கு மாறிய விளக்கத்தை விளக்க விளக்க விளக்க.

அவர், கல்வியிலும் விளக்கத்துக்கு மாறிய விளக்கத்தை விளக்க விளக்க.

இந்த விளக்கத்துக்கு என்பது என்கூறுவது விளக்கத்தை விளக்க விளக்க.

பொதுமக்கலைக் கல்வியில் விளக்கத்துக்கு மாறிய விளக்கத்தை விளக்க விளக்க விளக்க.

அவர், கல்வியிலும் விளக்கத்துக்கு மாறிய விளக்கத்தை விளக்க விளக்க.

இந்த விளக்கத்துக்கு என்பது என்கூறுவது விளக்கத்தை விளக்க விளக்க.

பொதுமக்கலைக் கல்வியில் விளக்கத்துக்கு மாறிய விளக்கத்தை விளக்க விளக்க விளக்க.
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Sarvasna R. Mahananda and T. C. Rajan (Palamcheri) — Will the Hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—
(a) whether there is any scheme in operation in the State to supply radio sets on subsidy basis to progressive farmers under Farmers Training and Education Programme, sponsored by the Central Government ;
(b) if so, in which Districts, this is in force ; and
(c) how many sets (District-wise) are supplied in 1969-70 and 1970-71 ?

Sri Kakati Venkateswarlu — (a) Yes, Sir,
(b) Hyderabad, West Godavari, Chittoor and Kurnool Districts ;
(c) ....

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>1969-70</th>
<th>1970-71</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyderabad</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ a \] 1989-70 1870-71

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Set</th>
<th>Hyderabad</th>
<th>Godavari</th>
<th>Chittoor</th>
<th>Kurnool</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oral Answers to Questions,
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Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a Sheep Breeding Centre will be opened near Hyderabad, with the aid of the Central Government;

(b) if so, how much grant and loans are given by the Centre;

Opening of a Sheep Breeding Centre at Hyderabad

188...
Centred

The capacity of the proposed Sheep Breeding Centre is 30,000 head. The grant for this purpose is Rs. 15,000,000. The loan portion is 25% of the total project cost, which amounts to Rs. 3,750,000.
15th December, 1970.

Oval Answers to Questions.

1. What is the purpose of the oval mark in a document?

2. How do you complete the oval mark in a document?

3. What is the significance of the oval mark in a document?

Insurance of Government Properties

W.H.L.C.

289—

(a) whether it is a fact that according to Government of India reference No. 51 (22) No.44, Department of Company Affairs and Insurance dated 16-11-61 insurance of properties of
Oral Answers to Questions. 15th December, 1970.

No.

(b) Whether it is a fact that the Life Insurance Corporation of India has complained to the Government of the facts that some public-sector undertakings are still not paying their revenue to the Life Insurance Corporation; and

(c) Whether it is a fact that the Andhra Pradesh State Housing Corporation is running its business with private institutions other than the Life Insurance Corporation if so, what is the action taken?

The Minister for Finance (Shri K. Vijaya Bhaskar Reddy): —

(a) Yes. Following the instructions of the Government of India, the Government of Andhra Pradesh in the G.O. No. 86, Fin., dated 28th March, 1970, have informed that all public-sector undertakings and Government-managed cooperatives should meet their obligations to the Life Insurance Corporation of India. Who will then start the business with the Hinduja Ideal Insurance Company Limited and the Cooperative General Insurance Company Limited, in the ratio of 50:50 respectively with effect from 1-1-1971.

(b) No.

(c) Evidently the Member refers to the Andhra Pradesh State Warehousing Corporation. If so, the answer to the first point of the question is in the affirmative. The government is of the view that the Government or Corporation. Hence no action is being taken.
15th December, 1970.

Oral Answers to Questions.

Mr. V. Venkata Reddy:—The Hon'ble Member has not properly understood. The State Government unless a reply is given it will not be satisfied. Nobody can give in anybody.

Mr. S. Venkata Reddy:—It is so. Nobody can give information and pass it on to the Member.

Mr. G. N. Venkata Reddy:—It is being violated there. What is the action the Government has taken? Is there any condition precedent that the goods kept in the warehouse should be insured with the I.C.I. If it is not being implemented, what is the action the Government has taken?
Mr. Speaker — The Minister is telling the House that if they insist on private persons making with the L. I. C., many of them may not come to the Corporation.

Mr. Speaker — It is a question of gross violation.

Mr. Speaker — The answer is not correct. There are no proper facilities for warehousing in India.

(In answer).

Mr. Speaker — The人民議會 indication is not correct. The Minister is telling the House that if they insist on private persons making with the L. I. C. Corporation, many of them may not come to the Corporation.

Mr. Speaker — It is a question of gross violation.
15th December, 1970.

Oral Answers to Questions.

16. 54 (216) Q.—Shri A. Bhagavan Rao—Will the hon. Minister for Endowments be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the quilting of stores articles utilised in the Nizamin Badshaham (Gumbaz) of the temple of the Deity on Tirumala Hill during 1966-67 and 1967-68 has not been done with regard to their item-wise consumption; and

(b) if so, the steps taken by the management to check the misuse of those items?

The Minister for Endowment replied that the Minister for Endowments and answered the queries (Shri A. Bhagavan Rao) — (a) Yes Sir. The post audit of accounts for the period 1966-67 and 1967-68 will be taken up shortly.

(b) The accounts of arrears are kept under the supervision of a separate Superintendent and requisite staff for the proper maintenance of accounts. The Finance Committee is empowered with the over-all supervision of utilisation of stocks and accounts. Further there is a checking squad consisting of auditors from Local Fund Departments to conduct surprise checking and verification of records.

Shri A. Bhagavan Rao—It is done by the Local Fund Department.

Shri K. Govinda Rao—Why was it not done in respect of this particular case?

Shri A. Bhagavan Rao—It is done up to October, 1967. Thereafter it was not done by this department. They wanted time.
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Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao—Whatever it is, has the explanation of the officer brought to the notice of the Trust Board? If so, what has the Trust Board done?

Sri A. stagwamrao Rao—For articles, they are approved by the Commissioner himself, Devasthanam, and not deviate from them of these matters need be submitted to by the Devasthanam.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao—(2) It is not. It is a Devasthanam administrative matter. It is being pursued and the last letter to them was on 31st January 1930. The Devasthanam has received the sum for the same. It may be completed as soon as possible.

Sri A. stagwamrao Rao—(3) It is an administrative matter. The Devasthanam has received the sum for the same. It may be completed as soon as possible.

Sri A. stagwamrao Rao—(4) It is the responsibility of the Devasthanam. They have the matter in their office. The Devasthanam has received the sum for the same. It may be completed as soon as possible.


Renovation of Panaszamsa Temple in Jogi Mallavaram

21—

* 155 (1934) Q.—Sri Agartha Bhavani Reddy (Tirupathi) — Will the hon. Minister for Endowments be pleased to state:

(1) whether any assistance from the Tirumala Temple Devasthanam Fund is given for the renovation of the temple of Ponnammala Srinu in Jogi Mallavaram in the vicinity of Tirumala near Tirupathi;

(2) whether the Government is aware that a Chola Temple of 1,000 years old is at Jogi Mallavaram; and

(3) if assistance is not given why not the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam Administration think of renovating the Temple?

Sri A. stagwamrao Rao—(1) No Sir.
160
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(b) It is uncertain by local information that the Temple is an old one.

(c) The Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanam will consider the suggestion of a specific request when made.

DR. T. V. R. Chingampally Rao — Will the Hon. Minister for Endowments be pleased to state whether the Aradhana Sadanam, 'Harikatha and Veda Panyasa in Tirupati Devasthanam (at Tirumala) has been discontinued now; and if so, the reasons therefor?

Sri. A. Bhagavathara Rao — The activities of Sri Venkateswara Aradhana Samithi Sadanam have been discontinued temporarily since 23-2-1969. The Harikathas, Devotional Lectures, and Vedic Puja, are being conducted at regular intervals. The Temple is not functioning, and the Income from the Religious College is insufficient to run the activities of Harikathas and Vedic Puja performances without extra assistance from the Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanam.

(b) The activities of Sadanam by hiring Pandits for devotional lectures and giving music performances and Harikatha Vedic Puja, and other religious functions, and T. A. Inc., have been discontinued temporarily since 23-2-69 in view of the huge advance printing adjustments.
Mr. Speaker—I will consider about it:

TEMPLES AT CHANDAVANAR
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Dr. T. V. S. Chilapparao:—Mr. Speaker is the custodian of the administrative body. Without the resolution, how is it open to the Executive Officer even to suspend temporarily? Executive Officer has not been appointed. Will the lion, the jackal, or the antelope be appointed? Is it a body? M.P.s. cannot be appointed. Are they the body or temporary? Will the hon. Member please explain?

Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Speaker says that the resolution has been moved by the M.P. I throw it over to the M.P. to move.

Mr. T. V. S. Chilapparao:—Mr. Speaker says that the resolution has been moved by the M.P. I throw it over to the M.P. to move.

Mr. Speaker:—I will consider about it:

Mr. Speaker:—I will consider about it:

Mr. Speaker:—I will consider about it:

Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Speaker says that the resolution has been moved by the M.P. I throw it over to the M.P. to move.
(b), (c) and (d):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Temple</th>
<th>Extent of land</th>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Persons enjoying the lands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sri Mahabaleswara Temple</td>
<td>Ac. 25-17 cents (Ac. 5-9 Wet and Ac. 17-8 Dry)</td>
<td>Rs. 600/- p.m.</td>
<td>Sri Mallikarjuna Saras, Village Kavan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sri Parvathimaheswara Temple</td>
<td>Ac. 2-56 cents (Ac. 5-24 Wet and Ac. 2-15 Dry)</td>
<td>Rs. 130/- p.m.</td>
<td>Sri Padma Naginai, Sri Daggala Chona Asram.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sri Ashala Devata Temple</td>
<td>Ac. 12-56 cents (Ac. 6-26 Wet and Ac. 13-30 Dry)</td>
<td>Rs. 200/- p.m.</td>
<td>Sri Prada Girappa, Sri Pedasara, Achachan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sri Poleswara Temple</td>
<td>No property</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
294—382 (384) Q.—Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao.—Will the Hon. Minister for Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) what is the allotment made for Pochampally Project during 1969-70 under normal provision and additional provision, and to state

(b) of these two allocations how much was actually spent in each category upto 31st March, 1970?

The Hon. Minister for Irrigation (Rev. S. Sadasivudu).—(a) A sum of Rs. 65 lakhs was originally provided in the Budget Estimates for 1969-70. Subsequently an additional allotment of Rs. 1.50 lakhs was made bringing the total outlay to Rs. 76 lakhs for Pochampally Project for the year 1969-70.

(b) Since the expenditure will be booked with reference to the total provision made for the Project, the question of spending against each allotment does not arise. However, the entire total outlay of Rs. 76 lakhs was spent during the year 1969-70.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao.—What are the physical achievements, and what are the achievements?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Masonry, total work done in 1969-70</td>
<td>1,31,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programme during 1969-70</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work done during the year</td>
<td>16,914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work done</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work done</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Mr. S. S. Sidda Reddy:—(a) A sum of Rs. 450 lakhs is pro-
vided for irrigation during the year 1970-71, for the construction of a New Right Canal.

Mr. Speaker:—Question No. 4 is urgent. Answers for the
other questions will be given later in the course of the Debates.

Mr. Speaker:—Now, there are five short notice questions.
First one by Yignna Reddy.

(PART)

(Probe)

He is not present in the House. Please read out the answer.

Mr. Speaker:—No supplementary questions will be allowed.

N. S. Project Right Canal
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(a) What is the amount allotted for Nargarjuna Right Canal for the current financial year (1970-71); and

(b) Whether water will be supplied to the families affected areas of Markapur, Devi, Peddab and Kavugol villages of Ongole District by 1972-73, as promised by the Chief Minister on 16-1-71 at Tirumalakottu, Ongole District?

Mr. S. Sidda Reddy:—(a) A sum of Rs. 450 lakhs is pro-
vided in the Budget Estimates for the Nargarjuna Right Canal
project for the year 1970-71.

(b) According to the original programme, water should be
supplied to the affected areas of Markapur and Devi villages by 1972-73.

But account of heavy damages due to excessive rainfall during the year 1969, the allocation of the allotments during 1970-71 and 1971-72, to meet the construction needs and also the rising Project cost, it
is not now possible to adhere to the original programme. Water
is expected to be supplied to these areas during 1974-75, subject to
availability of adequate funds.

The tables of Peddab and Kavugol of Ongole District will be
covered by the allotment of Nargarjuna Project.
15th December, 1970

15. ते हा तेथीकरण नाही, तुम्हींना ते तुमच्या साधनांमध्ये त्याचा उपयोग किंवा अध्ययन करावायला मागेल. 15. हा संदर्भात साधने सादोच अथवा अध्ययनासाठी अत्यंत उपयोगी असतो. तुम्हींना हा संदर्भात साधनांचा उपयोग करून शिक्षण साधला जावा येईल. 15. ते हा संदर्भात साधनांमध्ये तुमच्या साधनांमध्ये ते तुमच्या साधनांमध्ये ते हा संदर्भात साधनांमध्ये ते तुमच्या साधनांमध्ये ते हा संदर्भात साधनांमध्ये ते तुमच्या साधनांमध्ये ते हा संदर्भात साधनांमध्ये ते तुमच्या साधनांमध्ये ते हा संदर्भात साधनांमध्ये 15.
15th December, 1970.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

S. No. 300-A

To C. H. Satyanarayana (Ponduru):

1. Whether any representation has been received from the Sugarcane growers of Vikarabad District for grant of relief in view of the “Epidemic” of Red Rot which affected vast extents of Sugarcane crop?

2. The assessment of “loss” in terms of production of Sugarcane Bottles as a result of this pest?

3. The action taken by the Government for “sanitization” of the disease through effective “Pest Control” Measures?

4. The quantum and nature of assistance granted by Government to the affected growers?

To Mr. V. Mallikarjuna Reddy:

—[c] Yes, Sir.
Sheet notices questions and answers.
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(1) 34, 413 Mata's issues of segregate

(2) As the cotton variety crop is infested with red rot and only a part of the crop is affected with red rot, a programme for about 4,000

(3) An amount of Rs 60,000 has also been authorised for providing disease-free seed material in about 1039 acres in

(4) With this, the matter is under consideration of the Director of Agriculture.

(5) An amount of Rs 60,000 has also been authorised for

(6) An amount of Rs 60,000 has also been authorised for

S. No: 300-B

S. N. Q. No: 1679. Dr. Y. V. S. G. Devaraya: —

(1) Whether the issue of the State Road Transport Corporation were used to

(2) Whether the issue of the State Road Transport Corporation were used to

(3) Whether the issue of the State Road Transport Corporation were used to

(4) Whether the issue of the State Road Transport Corporation were used to
Motor Vehicles rules if so how much amount was paid to the persons involved in accidents from the year 1968-69, 1969-70 again October? 

The Minister for Transport (Dr. M. N. Laxminarayana) —
(a) No Sir.

However, the Corporation instituted a fund called the “Insurance Fund” for meeting the liabilities as respect of accidents caused by the vehicles of the Corporation.

(Compensation paid in Accidents)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Amount (Rs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>During 1968-69</td>
<td>10,288-18 Paise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During 1969-70</td>
<td>47,147-54 Paise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During 1970-71</td>
<td>55,728-35 Paise</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You have got Motor Vehicles Accident Claims Tribunal.

(Sri Ahamed Hussain in the Chair)
Short notice questions and answers.

Mr. Chairman—Mr. C. would give a statement about that.

Mr. Chairman—Mr. D. would give a statement about that.

Mr. Chairman—Mr. E. would give a statement about that.

Mr. Chairman—Mr. F. would give a statement about that.
15th December, 1970.

Short notice questions and answers.

Mr. M. N. Lakshmivaraiah — Please give me some concrete cases where you have applied and the applications have been rejected.

Mr. Chairman — Even if you give concrete cases, how can he ensure? He will get the necessary information and pass it on to you.

Sri A. Madhava Rao — Will you please try to get that information?

Mr. Chairman — As early as possible, before the closing of the session.

House Court Orders on the Pay Scales of N. G. Co.

S. No.: 260-C.

S. N. O. No.: 1665-B Sri A. Madhava Rao — Will the Hon. Member for Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that L. D. Chs. Typists and Stenotypists are appointed in the scales of Rs. 500-1-750 and as such they are entitled for the scale of Rs. 500-7-1000-7-2500, under the G. O. 1/3; Finance dated 11th June, 1969 and irrespective of it they are not given that scale for the reason that their scales is Rs. 500-1000-7-1500 and that the corresponding revised scale is Rs. 500-1000-7-1500;

(b) whether it is a fact that the High Court of Andhra Pradesh in an application in Petition No. 271 of 1969 filed by L. D. Ch. Typists and Stenotypists has ordered that they are entitled to the scale of Rs. 100-250;

(c) when are the Government going to give effect to the above scales for all L. D. Ch. Typists and Stenotypists irrespective of the fact whether they were counterparts in the West Pakistan or not;

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

Sri K. V. Suryabhadra Reddy — (a) No Sir,

(b) The normal pre-revised pay scale of L. D. Chs. is only Rs. 500-7-1000-7-2500 and graduates and persons with higher qualifications appointed as L. D. Chs. will be given a higher start of Rs. 1000. The scale of Rs. 100-7-250 in which, the High Court mentioned is only a higher start at Rs. 1000 — the normal pay scales of Rs. 500-7-1000-7-2500. All L. D. Chs. are now entitled to the revised scale of Rs. 100-250 with a higher start at Rs. 1145 for graduates.

(c) Yes Sir.
Short notice questions and answers.
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(c) The High Court of Andhra Pradesh has given directions to verify the correctness of the salary in the appointment of the petitioner and allow the corresponding revised pay scale applicable to such pre-revised pay scale.

Since the directions of High Court are specific the Court orders will be implemented.

(d) Does not arise.

S. No. - 300-D.
Rs. 68,88,000.00

S. No. 300-E.

Why are you not implementing it?

S. No. 108,109,110,111.

Support these people are exploited on Rs. 100-150, they shall get the benefits of the revised pay scale of Rs. 100-150.

LOWER MAIM Revised Project

S. No. - 200-D.

S. No. 9. Q. No. 1665-A. Prof. G. Rao — Will the hon. Minister for irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) The total amount spent to-date on work No. O.C. 4 of Moosakondi of Lower Main Project at Manusimudru, Karimnagar District; and

(b) the amount alloted for the year 1970-71?

Ministers for irrigation — (a) Rs. 64,350.00.

(b) Rs. 65,50,000.00

DAGADTHUR-MUDAIRKU SUPPLY CHANNEL.

S. No. 300-E.

S. No. 9. Q. No. 1644-C. Sri B. R. Pandit — Will the hon. Minister for Medium Irrigation and Flood Control be pleased to state:

...
12th December, 1970.

Shocked questions and answers.

(a) whether Dagadarthi-Mangipeta Supply Channel Scheme has been sanctioned;

(b) whether betterment levy has been collected for the same;

(c) what are the reasons for the delay in starting the work; and

(d) when will it be started?

Minister for Medium Irrigation — Mr. K. G. R. N. The Scheme was sanctioned as a Major Irrigation Scheme in May, 1966 for Rs. 4.25 lakhs on works and Rs. 6.30 lakhs including direct and indirect charges.

(b) The scheme has not been notified by the Government for collection of betterment levy, under the A. F. Irrigation (Betterment levies and Advance betterment contributions) Act. It is, however, reported that the same have been paid a sum of about Rs. 2.5 lakhs as a voluntary contribution for the execution of the scheme.

(c) During detailed investigation, an alternative and better alignment for the channel has been proposed and the estimates for the work has also gone up to Rs. 55.24 lakhs exceeding the limit of Rs. 50 lakhs for minor irrigation schemes. It has therefore to be considered as a medium irrigation scheme. The revised estimates is under consideration.

(d) The scheme will be started soon after the sanction of the revised estimate for the work, subject to availability of funds.

DAGADARTHI-RAVIRLAPADU SUPPLY CHANNEL

S. No. : 207-F

Sr. No. : 1693 E. Sri Rama Reddy Vasireddy — Will the Hon. Minister for Medium Irrigation and Flood Control be pleased to state:

(a) what are the reasons for delay in completing the Dagadarthi-Ravirlapadu Supply Channel Scheme in Nellore District;

(b) how much money has been spent on the scheme so far; and

(c) how much money is required for completing the Scheme?
Minister for Medium Irrigation and Food Control—

(a) The scheme is almost completed except Meerut Branch Channel. It has to cross the Railway line. Hence, it would not be completed. After certain formalities such as permission of Railway authorities, etc., are completed, the balance work will be taken up for execution.

(b) Rs. 11.57 lakhs

(c) About Rs. 2.00 lakhs.

S. No : 300-G.

S. N. Q. No : 1673-K, Sri G. Thimma Reddy.—Will the

honourable Minister for Commerce be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government propose to waive the collec-
tion of arrears of fines in respect of Veddas making their liveli-
hood by quarrying stone from Government lands or private
lands;

(b) whether the Government are aware that the Sub-

Collector, Nandyal has been harassing all such workers in the
Nandyal Revenue Division; and

(c) whether the Government will make immediate inquiry
in the matter and provide necessary relief to the Veddas who are
all self-employed quarry workers?

Sri P. Antravadi Prasad Rao.—(a) The matter is under

consideration.

(b) and (c) No information is available. This will be

enquired into.

Sri. A. Balasaheb

Sri. Y. K. Reddy

Sri. G. Thimma Reddy

Sri. P. Antravadi Prasad Rao
15th December, 1970.

Written answers to questions.

A.—
(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) 10,325.80 Tonnes.
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* 184 (L60-DP) G.—Gol R. Negi :—Will the
hon. Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Assistant and Junior
Engineers in the Electricity Department in the State
who are placed under suspension as on 28—3—1970
pending enquiry?

(b) since when the Government are paying salaries and
allowances to them; and

(c) the reasons for as much delay in completing
enquiry?

A.—

(a) Five Assistant Engineers (Electrical) and two
Junior Engineers (Electrical) were under suspension as on

(b) and (c) Officers under suspension are not paid
their salaries. They are paid only subsistence allowance
from the date of placing them under suspension at an amount
equal to the basic salary which the Government servant
would have drawn if he had been only shown on half
average pay or on half pay and in
addition domestic allowance based on such basic
salary.

A statement indicating the dates of suspension and reasons
for delay in completing the enquiry is placed on the Table of the
House.
15th December, 1970.

Writex answers to questions.

STATEMENT TO BE LAID ON

Vide Answer to Clauses (A and C) of L. A. Q.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name and designation of the suspected officer</th>
<th>Date of suspension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Sri K. Vasantha Gupta, Assistant Engineer (Electrical).

Originally he was placed under suspension with effect from 7-7-66 by Government. Prior to this Government were thinking the case and continued his public suspension. Following this the High Court of Andhra Pradesh held that his suspension orders were not cancelled within the time. The High Court held that the suspension order ceased beyond the specified time limit was bad in law. Therefore he was reinstated on 1-9-69 A.I. treating the suspension order from 7-7-66. He was placed under suspension with effect from 1-9-69 pending trail in the Court where criminal prosecution has been launched against him.

2. Sri D. V. Batukbala, Assistant Engineer (Electrical).

2-8-1969.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reasons for delay in completion of the enquiry.</th>
<th>Remarks.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Criminal prosecution was launched against the Assistant Engineer and the case has been transferred to a Court of Law. A charge sheet has been filed in the Court of Special Judge Seconded on 28-4-1989. The case is under trial. The judgment of the Court is awaited.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As Enquiry Officer was appointed by the A.T. Rural Electricity Board on 21-7-1987. The Order of the enquiry Officer is dated 22-7-1987. As some clarifications are required an application for some pleads from the Enquiry Officer, a reference was made to the Enquiry Officer on 28-4-1989. The reply is awaited.
<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Rev. C. Reddi, Assistant Engineer (Electrical).</td>
<td>He was first placed on leave on 25-3-1968, A. M., and reinstated in service on 13-5-1979, subsequent to the general instructions issued by the Government following a judgment of the High Court in the case of Sri Vinayak Reddi mentioned above. Again he was placed on leave on 15-7-1979. F. N. pending inquiry.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Enquiry Officer met his report to the Board on 24-4-70. The Board forwarded the report to Government and the same was received by Government on 29-4-70. Final orders were not received by the Board. Following the orders of the Board, P. W. dated 11-5-70 inscribing a spending in 1970. He was reinstated to duty in July 1970 and the Government ordered that he should be paid full pay and allowances during the period of suspension.

The Electricity Board has appointed the Sub-Engineer (Traction) warden as Enquiry Officer in 17-7-70. The Enquiry is in progress.

The case is under trial in Criminal Court. He was involved in a murder case.

In B.B. No. 1918, dated 4-12-69 the Board has appointed S.R. Mohan Rao, Superintendent Engineer as Enquiry Officer. The Enquiry Officer is required to have issued charge sheet and the Junior Engineer has submitted his explanation to the charge sheet. The Enquiry Officer's report is awaited.

The Board appointed S.R. P. Sany, Superintendent Engineer (Electrical) as Enquiry Officer in 23-3-68. The Enquiry Officer submitted his enquiry report to the A.P. State Electricity Board with his letter dated 23-5-69. The Board forwarded the Inquiry Report to Government on 24-7-69 and it was received by Government on 29-4-69. A show cause notice was issued to the officer on 12-11-69 why he should not be removed from service. The Officer's explanation was released to the Board and it is pending his explanation has to be sent to the Public Service Commission, and the same have to be obtained by Government. For referring the case to Public Service Commission, certain particulars were wanting. The Chief Magistrate was therefore addressed on 6-5-70. The particulars are still awaited from Chief Engineer, Electricity Projects. While remitting the Chief Engineer on 3-10-70 the Government asked him to take disciplinary action against the persons responsible in not sending the particulars.
The answer to the question is placed on the Table of the House.

**Papers Placed on the Table of the House**

**Answer to L. A. Q. No. 2305 [298] given notice of by
dear B. B. Dey, Babu Ram, B. R. B. and Md. Rejaul Ali.**

The matter relates to the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board.

(a) the total amount of loans taken by the State Electricity Board during the years 1966-67 and 1965-66 from the Commercial Banks, Life Insurance Corporation, Private individuals and institutions;
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Loan from whom obtained</th>
<th>1966-67 Rs. in lakhs.</th>
<th>1968-69 Rs. in lakhs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Temporary loan from State Bank of Hyderabad</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>115.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Loan from Life Insurance Corporation</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>260.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Open Market loan</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>160.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Loan from consumers</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Loan from Land Mortgage Bank</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Loan from Agricultural Consumers</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>--</td>
<td><strong>520.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>387.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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(b) the amount of interest paid to each of them together

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1966-67</th>
<th>1967-68</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount of interest paid</td>
<td>Rate of interest</td>
<td>Amount of interest paid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs. in lakhs</td>
<td>Rs. in lakhs</td>
<td>Rs. in lakhs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Temporary loan from State Bank of Hyderabad</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6-11/2% to 9-1/2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Loan from Life Insurance Corporation</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Open Market Loan</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>5-75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Loan from consumers</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Loan from Land Mortgage Banks</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Loan from Agricultural Consumers</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Break up not readily available.
with the rate of interest as far as it occurs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of interest paid Rs. in laks</th>
<th>Rate of interest</th>
<th>Amount of interest paid Rs. in laks</th>
<th>Rate of interest</th>
<th>Amount of interest paid Rs. in laks</th>
<th>Rate of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>7.25%</td>
<td>33.42</td>
<td>7.14%</td>
<td>60.06</td>
<td>7.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>108.58</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>75.98</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8*</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Written answers to questions. 15th December, 1970.
299. "283 (2831) Q.—Sir T. G. Rajan.—Will the hon. Minister for Forests be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that one Kizhak Medikulam of Palaman is collecting abnormal fees for cutting grass and timber from reserved forests under the Forest Officer, cutting himself as owner of the Forest in Kizhak Medikulam, Ponga and Ranga, Chittur District;

(b) whether it is also a fact he is selling bamboo also which is wild in the limits of the Government reserve Forest;

(c) d'you, what is the action that the Government propose to take in the matter?

A.—

(a) and (b) No, Sir.

(d) Does not apply.

Leasing of Bamboo Cutting in Nallamalai Forests.

200. 197 (2875) Q.—Shri R. Mahamada.—Will the hon. Minister for Forests be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government has leased bamboo cutting in Nallamalai Forest from 1966-67 onwards to Arundha Prasath Mills, Rajahmundry;

(b) if so, for how many years;

(c) what is the rate fixed for this;

(d) what is the rate allowed for private people; and

(e) what are the reasons for this special concession allowed to the Paper Mill?

A.—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) 30 years.

(c) Rs. 5/- per tonne.

(d) Bambus like Madar and Penchitas are being supplied bamboo from the lease holding area of the Paper Mills at Rs. 5/- per 100 bamboo which includes Rs. 1/- for cutting done by the Mill.

(e) The rate of royalty was fixed by the Government taking into consideration the rates paid by Paper Mills in other States and the need to encourage development of industries in this State. The rate is subject to revision every 5th years.
WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

PENAVALLI CO-OPERATIVE COLLECTIVE
FARMING SOCIETY

101—

740 (2440) Q.—Sri S. Venayya:—Will hon. Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) whether the Government proposes to wind up Sri Ranganatha Swamy Co-operative Collective Farming Society, Ponnuru, Pachiravanam talu, Ramnath district;
(b) if so, the reasons therefor; and
(c) whether it is a fact that the society sustained a loss of Rs. 4,650 though the society took a lease of lands measuring 39.6 acres belonging to a temple;

A—

(a) the affairs of the Society were already wound up in proceedings No. 61/48-G dated 25—1—1970 of the Special Category Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies and S. A. to the Collector (Co-operative, Korukonda and the Co-operative Sub-Registrar (General), Office of the Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Ramnath, was appointed as its Liquidator. The Liquidator has not yet taken charge of the estate.

(b) the society ceased to function from 1—7—1965 as the group leaders began cultivating the lands in their individual capacities as they did before the registration of the society. The Directors and members did not evince any interest to run the society. As there were no chances of revival of the society, it was wound up on 29—1—1970.

(c) Yes. The society took 39.54 acres of land belonging to the Ranganatha Swamy Temple on lease for a period of 50 years. The land was divided into 4 buntas and allotted to 22 members for cultivation. The members paid annually Rs. 1,600 as rent, Rs. 100 for agricultural operations, establishment charges and contingent charges from the inception of the society till 10—6—1965. Thus the society sustained a loss of Rs. 4,650.

RESPONSIBILITY OF T. C. S. AND T. A. S.
Officers

102—

14 (455) Q.—Sri Narasimha Pilli:—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state...
Departments and Statutory Boards, Government and Statutory Boards:

A —

Sri P. O. Saanarayana Raju, the

A —

In the State of Andhra Pradesh:

103 —

[Question]

109 Q. — Sri P. O. Satyavatana Raju — Will the hon. Chief Minister state:

(a) the number of I.C.S. Officers allotted to our State;

(b) the number of I.C.S. Officers of our State now on deputation to Central Government;

A —

(c) and (d) A statement is placed on the Table of the House.

(Vide page 1)

Loss of Life, Property Etc due to Heavy Rains:

104 —

563 Q. — Shri R. S. Satyavatana Raju and S. Venkayya — Will the hon. Minister for Revenue state:

Total extent of loss of life, property caused by the heavy rain, occurred in the month of August, 1970 in the State?

A —

1. Loss of life — — 37
2. Loss of property: i.e., 34380 dwelling houses worth Rs. 121.63 lakhs.
3. Damage on account of sand cast and eroded lands amounting to Rs. 71.00 lakhs.
4. Loss of cattle 632 worth Rs. 4.15 lakhs.
December, 1970.

(5) Loss of crops in 5.19 lakhs of acres, worth Rs. 1,603.00 lakhs.

**Loss of Crops in 5.19 Lakhs of Acres.**

**Death of Peasants at Mulapalik.**

105—435 (7782) Q.—Shri G. Venaya—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that 5 persons died on 1-4-1970 at Mulapalik village, Baramati taluk, CST district due to inhuman bolt;

(b) also, whether any relief has been given to the dependents of the deceased and the nature of the same?

A—

(a) Yes.

(b) Cash relief amounting to Rs. 3,000 was granted as ex-gratia payment to the four surviving members of the families of the deceased.

**W. P. on Panchayat Samiti Elections.**

106—

(a) 4 (775) Q.—Shri K. Mahendran—Will the Minister for Panchayat be pleased to state:

(a) no. of writ petitions filed in the High Court about the Panchayat Samiti elections in the State in 1967-68 and 1968-69;

(b) how many are disposed off till to-day?

A—

(a) during 1967-68 four writ petitions were filed in the High Court against elections to the Panchayat Samitis. During 1968-69, nine writ petitions were filed in the High Court against elections to the Panchayat Samitis.

(b) all the four cases of 1967-68 have been disposed of by the High Court. One case pertaining to 1968-69 has been disposed off by the High Court and the other is still pending.

**W. P. on Panchayat Board Elections.**

107

116 (2389) Q.—Shri T. C. Rane—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) number of writ petitions admitted in the High Court challenging the result of Conducting Panchayat Board Election during the year, 1970, and
108—

15th December, 1970. Written answer to questions:

Unstarred questions and answers.

(a) the distinctive name of the Panchayats for which elections have been stayed by High Court?

A —

(a) Sir, 928.

(b) A list of Panchayats for which elections have been stayed by the High Court is placed on the Table of the House.

(Side page )

SAINEK SCHOOLS

109—

471 (1970) Q.—Shri P. D. Satyanarayana, Raju.—Will the Hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Sainik Schools existing in our State?

(b) the places where they are situated;

(c) the total strength of each of the schools, and

(d) the medium of instruction that is followed in the school?

A —

(a) One.

(b) Karimpura in Vizianagaram District.

(c) 442 in 1970-71.

(d) English.

LAW COLLEGE AT CHITTOOR

109—

751 (1959) Q.—Shri T. G. Raju.—Will the Hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to start a Law College at Chittoor;

(b) if so, when it will be started; and

(c) if not, the reason therefor?

A —

(a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c) Does not arise.
Milk Secured by Integrated Milk Project, Vijayawada

110—

625 (1598) C.—Sri B. Mahatma K.—Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total quantity of milk secured by the Integrated Milk Project at Vijayawada in 1968-69 and 1969-70;

(b) how much was transported to twin cities and the rate of litre;

(c) what is the quantity of milk supplied to Madras State now and what rate;

(d) whether our Government is exporting better to any other State, and

(e) if so, at what rate?

A.—

(a) (1) 1968-69 : 156.49 lakh litres.

(2) 1969-70 : 135.25 lakh litres.

(b) (1) 1968-69 : 73.22 lakh litres.

(2) 1969-70 : 59.49 lakh litres.

The cost is Rs. 1.20 per litre during the lean season, i.e., April to September and Rs. 1.15 per litre during the flush season, i.e., October to March.

(c) From 3-5-1970 to 30-6-1970 8.13 lakh litres of milk has been supplied to Madras State at Rs. 1.20 per litre for 65% fat milk.

(d) Yest., Sir.

(e) @ Rs. 8.40 per Kg. was supplied to Champion Dairy Company, Bombay, and @ Rs. 10.25 per Kg. to Anil Dairy, Bombay.

111—

709 (2269) C.—Srihari Radri Vohal Priti and P. O. Saraynarayana Raju.—Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) the names of the places in which milk testing laboratories have been established upto 31-12-69 together with the amount of expenditure thereon;
b) whether there is any proposal to establish additional laboratories in Vindhyagiri, Wai, and Jejuri Districts; and

c) if so, the stage at which the said proposal stands at present?

A—

(a) Soil Testing Laboratories were established upto 31-12-1966 in the following places and the amount of expenditure indicated against them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Soil Testing Laboratory</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Expenditure 31-12-1966</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mordi, Kolhapur</td>
<td>Kolhapur</td>
<td>33,831.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This is primarily meant for Kolhapur project. However this unit is also attending to soil testing work pertaining to two project areas of Kondana.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rajguru, Nanded</td>
<td>Nanded</td>
<td>64,121.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kolhapur</td>
<td>Kolhapur</td>
<td>31,606.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This is primarily meant for Tushkar pad project. Two Analysts have been attached to this unit for attending to soil testing work at Nanded District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Annandale, Sinhagad</td>
<td>Sinhagad</td>
<td>16,788.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Kolhapur</td>
<td>Kolhapur</td>
<td>30,223.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Rajguru</td>
<td>Rajguru</td>
<td>66,981.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Veddige, Kolhapur</td>
<td>Kolhapur</td>
<td>3,991.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Written answers to questions :—
(1) In the said questions and answers.

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)
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8. Yadlapalligur—West Godavari. 77,925.00

9. Songeruhat—Medak

10. Jeevani—Mahbubnagar

11. Karimnagar—Karimnagar

12. Sanliur—East Godavari

13. Nellore—Nellore

14. Tirupati—Chittoor

15. Anantapur—Anantapur

16. Guntaspadu—Krishna

(8) Four new Soil Testing Laboratories have been sanctioned during 1970-71 at Vizianagaram, Adilabad, West Godavari, and Khammam.

(9) The four new soil testing laboratories at Vizianagaram, Adilabad, West Godavari and Khammam have been sanctioned during the month of June 1970 and they are being established. Arrangements are also made to give training for locally posted Analytical Assistants in Analytical work at Rayachoty and Bhakta.

Vehicles Purchased by B.T.C

112—

92 (245) Q.—Sri R. Satyanarayana Rao:—Will the hon. Minister for Transport be pleased to state:

(a) the number of vehicles of all kinds purchased by the Road Transport Corporation during the period from 1961-62 to 1969;

(b) the number of vehicles known to be out of order now; and

(c) the steps being taken by the Government to make them road worthy?

A:—
192 15th December, 1970. Writing answers to questions; (Un-starred questions and answers).

(a) the number of vehicles purchased during the year 1967-68 are as follows:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1967-68 Leyland Coach</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tata Mercedes Benz</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69 Leyland Coach</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tata Mercedes Benz</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi articulate Double Decker</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double Decker Leyland</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>353</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) and (c) No. 54, except one vehicle burnt during the Typhoon which is beyond economical repair.

**CENTRAL ASSISTANCE FOR NON-PLAN GAP**

113—

1304 Q.—Mr. R. Makarand:—Will the hon. Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Central Government provided assistance in 1970-71 for the non-plan gap of our State; 
(b) if so, how much amount was provided to our State; 
and
(c) the works on which this special allotment was used?

113—

A 1.

(a) the Government of India have provided Rs. 175 crores in their budget for 1970-71 for special assistance which may have to be provided to some States to cover gaps in their resources. As the same indicates, the assistance is intended to cover the gap on the non-plan account so that the State is enabled to use in full, their Plan resources for "Plan expenditure". The assistance cannot therefore be used for any special works. But at present there is no indication to the effect that they are providing any assistance to us during 1970-71 to cover non-plan gaps. It will however be known only in March 1971.
(b) does not arise.
(c) does not arise.
**Audit Fees from R. and C. E. Institutions**

66 (116) Q.—Shri S. S. S. Reddy:—Will the Hon. Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

(a) the District-wise amount of audit fees collected by the Government for the years 1967-68 and 1968-69 from the Religious and Charitable Endowments Institutions in the State;

(b) the District-wise number of such institutions which are in arrears on account of their failure to pay the audit fees, together with the amount; and

(c) the steps taken to collect the arrears of audit fees from such institutions?

A

(a) District-wise amount of audit fees collected for the year 1967-68:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Amount (Rs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
<td>6,433.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>25,689.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
<td>66,883.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td>45,311.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td>29,187.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Guntur Division</td>
<td>20,121.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>14,581.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>3,456.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Anantapur</td>
<td>2,545.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Cuddapah</td>
<td>1,218.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>5,644.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,49,114.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Written Answers to Questions : 15th December, 1970.
### District-wise amount of audit fee collected for the year 1968-69.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Districts</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1) (2) (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
<td>Rs. 3,948.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>10,400.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
<td>94,792.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td>20,378.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td>20,695.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Guntur Division</td>
<td>25,354.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>12,493.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>3,284.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Anantapur</td>
<td>5,750.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>15,210.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>18,438.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,60,293.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sl. No. | Districts | No. of Institutions in Arrasat | Amount          |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>49,540.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>8,600.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>48,176.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>17,289.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Krishna ...</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>65,007.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Guntur Division.</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>30,236.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Bapatla Division.</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>79,344.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Nellore ...</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>30,089.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Chittoor ...</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>59,009.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Anantapur ...</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>21,433.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Cuddapah ...</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>16,061.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Kurnool ...</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>20,344.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(5) As regards realization of the above arrear due; fees, regulations under Section 63(1) of the Act 17 of 1956 have been issued to the Revenue Division Officers concerned through the concerned Assistant Commissioners of Endowments Department for effecting collection. The Deputy Commissioners and the Assistant Commissioners have been instructed to ensure early realization of the arrears and the matter is under active pursuit by the Commissioner.

Population Ratio of Doctors and Nurses

115

78 (2646) Q.—Sr. S. Venkata —Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

The ratio of

(1) Doctors and population.
(2) Nurses and population in the State.

A —

(3) the ratio of Doctors and population. 1 : 11,006.
195 15th December, 1970.

Written answer to questions : (Unstarred questions and answers).

(ii) the ratio of Norms and Population in the State

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Norms} & : 1
\text{Population} & : 15,692
\end{align*}
\]

**GOVERNMENT LEPROSY CENTRES**

115—

672.(106) Q.—Shri Devendra Nath Roy and Sha Shri Khem Chandra Roy: Will the Hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) No. of Lepracy centres maintained by the Government;

(b) what is the strength of the patients in all these centres in 1968-69 and 1969-70;

(c) whether there is any State Non-Official association for leprosy eradication;

(d) whether Government gave any grants to it in 1968-69 and 1969-70, and if so, what are the year-wise grants given?

A.--

(a) at present there are 7 Lepracy Central Units, 120 Lepracy dispensaries, 122 Survey Education and Treatment (SET) Centres, 4 Hospitals and one Lepracy Home and Rehabilitation Centre. The SET Centres have been established to do the survey, education and treatment work and to detect Lepracy cases. The Lepracy cases detected by them will be given treatment in the co-located clinics.

(b) there is hospital accommodation for 440 patients in the 4 Lepracy Hospitals and one Lepracy Home and Rehabilitation Centre.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) Yes, Sir.

(e) the details are given below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>St. No.</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Central</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Head Khali Nizam, Sangh, Branch: Hyderabad, District: Karimnagar

2. Andhra Pradesh State Branch, Head Khali Nizam, Sangh, Hyderabad

3. Shramshakti Rehabilitation Home, Kukatpally, Hyderabad

4. Andhra Kanya Yuvajana Samithi, Rajahmundry

5. Victoria Lepra Hospital, Dighapalli, Nizamabad District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rs.</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>13,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>13,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>13,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>12,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Lepra Home and Hospital, Ramachandrapuram, East Godavari District</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>9,366.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Bethesda Lepra Hospital, Narapar, West Godavari District</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>55,041.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Philadelphia Lepra Hospital, Salur, Srikakulam District</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>4,817.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Kruppalli Lepra Hospital, Kruppalli, Coledup District</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>8,370.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Ryangane Booth Lepra Hospital, Bapatla, Guntur District</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>15,090.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Vijnanaaram Lepra Hospital, Vijnanaaram, Visakhapatnam District</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>11,623.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>S.V. S. B. Dewas Continuance, Rajahmundry, East Godavari District</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>6,026.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
177. Mr. Badrishshala Prat—Will the hon. Minister for Power be pleased to state:
(a) whether the investigation of the following has been completed:
   (1) Ichampalli Hydro-Electric Scheme.
   (2) Pushampada Hydro-Electric Scheme.
   (3) Kunchala Hydro-Electric Scheme.
   (4) Upper Krishna Hydro-Electric Scheme.
   (5) Nagajumna and Right Canal Hydro-Electric Scheme.
   (6) Tungabhadra High Level Canal Hydro-Electric Scheme.
(b) the expenditure incurred so far on each of the above schemes for investigation; and,
(c) what are the results of the said investigation?
A:—
(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Schemes</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ichampalli Hydro-Electric Scheme</td>
<td>Rs. 14.63 lakhs upto end of 8/79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pushampada Hydro-Electric Scheme</td>
<td>Rs. 875 Only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kunchala Hydro-Electric Scheme</td>
<td>Rs. 29.29 lakhs upto end of 8/79.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Upper Krishna Hydro-Electric Scheme</td>
<td>Rs. 4.20 lakhs upto end of 8/79.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nagajumna and Right Canal Hydro-Electric Scheme</td>
<td>Rs. 4,200 only upto end of 8/79.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tungabhadra High Level Canal Hydro-Electric Scheme</td>
<td>Rs. 1,25 lakhs upto end of 8/79.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
15th December, 1970.

Written Answers to Questions:

(a) Preliminary details of the project reports the following are the anticipated benefits of these Schemes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Hydro-Electric Scheme</th>
<th>Approximate Project Cost (in Rs.)</th>
<th>Power Generation Capacity (in MW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Incharampal Hydro-Electric Scheme</td>
<td>52.78</td>
<td>290-300 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Peddamipada Hydro-Electric Scheme</td>
<td>42.25</td>
<td>36 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kondal Hydro-Electric Scheme</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>12 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Upper Kotha Hydro-Electric Scheme</td>
<td>16.52</td>
<td>75 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nagaonamangal Right Canal Hydro-Electric Scheme</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>40 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tungabhadra High Level Canal Hydro-Electric Scheme</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>20 MW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Loan to Electricity Board

118—

401 (2469) Q. (Sanskrit Redi) Vithal Pissi and T. C. Rajan—

Will the hon. Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) the year-wise amounts of loans sanctioned by the Government to the State Electricity Board, up to 1969-70;

(b) whether there is any proposal to sanction loans during 1970-71 also, and if so, to what extent; and

(c) whether the Electricity Board has obtained loans in the open market; and, if so, the particulars thereof?

A :-

The matter relates to the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board.

(a) The year-wise amounts of loans sanctioned by the Government to the State Electricity Board up to 1969-70 are:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount of loan sanctioned during the year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>I. Loans declared towards value of assets transferred and deemed to be loan under Section 64 of the Electricity (Supply) Act 1948.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960-61</td>
<td>26,83,19,193.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961-62</td>
<td>26,18,61,322.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>2,20,31,389.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>55,28,12,207.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount of loan sanctioned during the year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>II. Cash loans sanctioned under Section 64 of Electricity (Supply) Act 1948.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960-61</td>
<td>6,48,35,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961-62</td>
<td>2,95,64,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>6,18,35,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>6,89,33,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>10,35,87,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>14,29,32,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>24,09,00,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>2,09,00,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>45,00,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>14,09,00,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>57,49,37,100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(b) Yes, to the extent of Rs. 14.75 lakhs.

(c) Yes, Sir. The particulars of loans borrowed by the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board in the open market are indicated below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Open Market loans obtained by the Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1959-60</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960-61</td>
<td>2,09,39,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961-62</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>2,04,96,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>4,39,90,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>2,11,40,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>1,63,22,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>5,20,37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>3,04,11,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>5,48,91,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>9,60,00,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32,43,52,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Electrification of Municipal Towns

119—

997 (2894-4) Q.—Dr. B. Rajendrarainge Raja.—Will the

Hon. Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Municipal Towns in which electric light facilities have been provided upto 30-6-1970; and

(b) when the supply of electricity will be extended to the other Municipal Towns which do not have the said facility?
15th December, 1970.  203

Written Answers to Questions :  (Un-answered questions and
answer).

A. —

(a) all the 13 Municipal Towns in Andhra Pradesh have
been decentralized on 30-6-1970.

(b) does not arise.

OLD AGE PENSIONS

125—

78 (2633) Q — Sri S. Yeruva — Will the hon. Minister for
Social Welfare be pleased to state
(a) the number of applications for Old Age Pensions receiv-
ed, disposed of, and amount spent for the year ending 31.—3.—1970
in Nellore and Ongole Districts?
A. —

The information is furnished below :

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Particulars for the year ending 31-3-1970</th>
<th>Nellore</th>
<th>Ongole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>No. of applications for Old Age Pension received.</td>
<td>436</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Disposed of.</td>
<td>182</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 3      | Total amount spent incl.
  ding old cases. | Rs. 2,79,239.04 m. |        |
| 4      | Amount spent on the new cases sanctioned in 1969-70. | 21,578.00 |        |

RETIRED I.C.S. AND I.A.S. OFFICERS RE-APPOINTED

L. A. Q. No. 143 (Un-started) Sri Ravindra Pnl.

Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state : 
(a) the number of retired officers from I.C.S. and
  I.A.S. re-appointed in Government Departments and
  Statutory Boards, from 1983-1988 ;
(b) the names of the retired and re-employed I.C.S. and
  I.A.S. Officers in the service of Government and
  Statutory Boards as on 31-10-1989 ;
and
(c) the particulars pertaining to their re-appointment, the
  places where and the conditions on which they have been ap-
  pointed and the reasons for their appointment ?
A. —

(b) (i) Sri R. Putta Reddy, I. C. S. (Retired); and

(i) Sri R. N. Anantaramaiah, I. C. S. (Retired).

(ii) Sri R. Putta Reddy retired from service on 31—1—1961; he was appointed for a period of three years from 13—6—1964 as first Vice-Chancellor of the Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University and was re-appointed for a further period of five years from 13—6—1967, on a pay of Rs. 2,250/- p.m. inclusive of all allowances. He was retired on 31—1—1967 to the rank in the service of a ten per cent quantum and till such time a suitable post-free residence is provided he is allowed to draw a house rent allowance of Rs. 225/- per month. Besides he has been provided with a motor car free of all charges for official use.

(iii) K. N. Anantarama retired from service on 14—11—1947. He was appointed as Vigilance Commissioner for a period of two years from 28—10—1947. He was allowed to draw the same pay and allowances, if any, which he would have drawn had he continued as Chief Secretary in Government up to 14—11—1947, the date of his retirement from I. C. S. with effect from 14—11—1951; he was allowed to draw such pay as together with pension and pension equivalent of Death-cum-retirement Gratuity would be equal to the last pay drawn by him as Chief Secretary to Government.

On expiry of his tenure as Vigilance Commissioner on 13—10—1948, he was appointed as Chairman, Backward Classes Commission up to 30—6—1950 on the same terms and conditions as above.

The reappointment of both the gentlemen was made on administrative grounds.

**Number of I. C. S. Officers allotted to the State**


The Hon. Chief Minister be pleased to state —

(a) the number of I. C. S. Officers allotted to our State; and

(b) the number of I. C. S. Officers of our State now on deputation to Central Government.

A. —

(i) Number of I. C. S. Officers allotted to Andhra Pradesh prior to 1—11—1950 — 17

(ii) Number of I. C. S. Officers in the I. C. S. Cadre of Andhra Pradesh as on 1—11—1970 — 5

(b) 3 I. C. S. Officers.
Written Answer to Questions: 15th December, 1970.

L. A. Q. No. 2539 (Un-starred) by Sri T. C. Rajan.

Q.—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) number of West Petitions admitted in the High Court challenging the decision of conducting Panchayat Body Elections during the year, 1970; and

(b) the distinctive areas of the Panchayats for which elections have been stayed by High Court.

A.—

(a) Sir, 99.

(b) A list of Panchayats for which elections have been stayed by the High Court is placed on the Table of the House.

List of Panchayats for which Elections have been stayed by High Court.

Answer to Clause (b) of L. A. Q.

(Un-starred) No. 2539.

KENDAULAM DISTRICT
1. Venkateswaram
2. Pippalibadra

VIZAGAPATNAM DISTRICT
1. Chintalasa
2. Tapiya Patur
3. Upperpani
4. Ondella
5. Ramnagul

BAPU GDYAPAR DISTRICT
1. Jagampadu
2. Mohammed
3. Hemmedo
4. Sivaprapanna
5. Vangalapudi
6. Kutla
7. Tell
8. Kondupeta
9. Draksharama.
15th December, 1970.

12. Yeakapadi.
15. Kota.
17. Komarampeta.
18. Kothapeta.
19. Mallapalli.
20. Panduro.
22. Uppada.
23. Kepa.
24. Brahmanam.
25. Rangampeta.
27. Vedavallapalle.
28. Asthava.
29. Sipalapeta.

WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT

1. Mecstora.
2. Jettiga.
3. Fasidi ganam.
4. Appatavellu.
5. Kakarlamudi.
10. Madupolavaram.
11. Tandur.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Malligudi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Markandapa (2 Constituency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Athina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Andula (Constituency No. VII)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Sambiramdeer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Dommeru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Anuruma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Kurnool District**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Kothaparam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Pedarjuda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Madetepalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Zingere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Taniraka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Somaragapadi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Penamakuru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Rayapadu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Kolameru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Narsaranapadu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Vemakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Tydirupadu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Yakkamuru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Katlapudi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Grusufala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Telpur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Tumkur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Elamuru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Vakalagadda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Venuganavara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Jaggabhatra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Koderathalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Kodali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Kalkuluru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Manikonda</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
152. 152 December, 1970. Written answers to questions: (Un-ranked questions and answers).

27. Balichowda.
29. Kalluvarampudi.
30. Chandragiri.
31. Angalaru.
32. Chintam-Madhusurum.
33. Satikiahampudi.
34. Panaka.
35. Ghantaraburu.
36. Paritala.
37. Subbaspudum.
38. Gopinathpalem.
40. Umbagumla (Election is held in one (Constituency only).
41. Bidipudu (Election is held in one Constituency only).

GUNUR DISTRICT

1. Eluru.
2. Chemudulapadu.
4. Darsipalli.
5. Parakulam.
6. Tenkala.
7. Peddaveta.
8. Aruppalli.
11. Sugupalem Kodur.
15. Veelabhra.
Written Answers to Questions -
17. Nallakonda.
18. Hemmapadu.
21. Tumukasu.
22. Pedaikkam.
23. Kodumuru.
27. Kondamolemi.
28. Pedapadu.
29. Madhyagiri.
30. Upalapadu.
31. Dukhur.
32. Srikapadu.
33. Pradangupu.
34. Sureepappu.
35. Kumnapadu.
36. Kodurpadu.
37. Pedarikenn.
38. Jagugunjupalem.
39. Chatrapalli and Chatrapampalam.
40. Jagall.
41. Acharungan.
42. Pedukapadu.
43. Chatrapu.
44. Duggu.
45. Konuru.
46. Yerrumagall.
47. Dhuruvaram.
48. Apanapalli.
49. Kakam.
50. Sathbagatipada.
51. Liangamanta.
52. Machevam.
53. Arawala.
54. Chimalaliw.
55. Kemakadili.
56. Palepeta.
57. Turvelle.
58. Vitayasupali.
59. Durhapali.
60. Chandavaram.
61. Kavuru.
62. Venkateshapada.
63. Opiorfa.
64. Masamakilla.
65. Mrityala.
66. Impurajjapalli.
67. Gottimakurdu.
68. Mitragatipaluda.
69. Gopalajjada.
70. Dangi.
71. Adigayyapada.
72. Viderzhil.
73. Polapatli.
74. Kandeekonna.
75. Govil.
76. Paavavasu.
77. Jammasiadamaka.
78. Koppamoru.
79. Sirigiatipada.
80. Nagalarasam.
81. Kurkapalli.
82. Tummarudi.
Onnudole District
1. M. Narasapuram.
2. Papanimite.
3. Uladi.
5. Gograju.
6. Devrupatnam.
7. Bavumadupalen.
8. Chintakurdi.
10. Iskutipurum.
11. Kolarale.
12. Isreipalen.
13. Pedagumum.
15. Perumalaipada.
17. Rapitla.
18. Furriipada.
22. Punnipal.
23. Clevaru.
25. Turrejuru.
27. Desvarupumute.

Nellore District
1. Unguru.
2. Oypad.
4. Sullurpet.
5. Pudiparthy.
6. Puthireddipalem.
7. Damarpet.
10. Lobo Blt 1
11. Nandigama.
15. Chinakururu.
17. Chammala.
18. Thummalapenta.
20. Angaripalem.
22. Vakula.
23. Vepari.
25. Boreepatu.
26. Travayla.
27. Madali.
28. Chintakerru.
29. Veriguda.
30. Jagapadi.
31. Annavaram.
32. Vemaghatam.
33. Velliyagandur.
34. Valacherlagi.
35. Godali.
36. Chintareddipalem.
Kurnool District

1. Tariguda.
2. Neandavala.
3. Penukonda.
4. Panasipalem.
5. Gunupuram.
8. Velugoti.
11. G. Singavaram.
12. Dronadà.
13. Sêlapalatam.
14. Oundravasaippadu
15. Kottamuru.
17. Kanhavaram.
18. Gundravapalli.
19. S. Lingampiluru.
20. Goppadu.
22. Belkampalam.
23. Thanmadapalli.
24. Purinadu.
27. Middal.
29. Dagumangadipalayi.
30. Vankatayapanipalle.
11. Itikapalai.

ADAPURAM DISTRICT

1. Bukkamalaam.
2. K. Laskheta.
3. Agarkarum.
4. Puttakalith.
5. Itigalur. (Madaksina Block).
7. Itigalur. (Kodali West Block).
11. Ilukkapalli.
12. Utharakantha.
13. Havelli.
15. Kannalapadu.
17. Singarpeten.
18. Patelor.
19. Palaanallapalli.
20. Arakatuvempula.
22. Jutur.
23. Kammanapahin.
25. Tippala.
27. Kadiyakali.
28. Thottilapalli.
29. Chalavem/J.
30. Srcngalagudur.
31. Venugopalaapalli.
32. Chunduru.
Written Answers to Questions :  
(Under-mentioned questions and answers).

31. Chilamakur.  
32. Kandikupula.  
33. Ipitur.  
34. Digavalluru.  
35. Gunguduvalluru.  
36. Medagapalli.  
37. Narasamandram.  
38. Kumamthane.  
40. Edururu.  
41. Yadagirigutta.  
42. Tharajapalli.  
43. Dungampalli.

Cuddapah District:

1. Chesur.  
2. Nelatur Vasudevaru.  
3. Pedaprapallu.  
5. Rachipsapalli.  
7. Chapal.  
8. Medakklaveripalli.  
10. Digemulatur.  
12. Myaluru.  
14. Thippavaddipalli.  
15. Lingaladune.  
17. Utskar.  
18. Varisunda.  
20. Dorrer.
22. Idamalai.
23. Thillamparam.
25. Gourukakki.
27. J. Kolilapalli.
28. Thotteromula.
29. R. Garavasapalli.
30. Godigado.
31. Pedatapula.
32. Thuddadhupalli.
33. U. Rajapuram.
34. Anilaku.
35. Upender.
36. Vellalar.
37. Nalkan (Chenamalakpat).
38. Moikalasalu.
40. Jamalsalamolu.
41. Khadendhal.
42. Sunagidalapalli.
43. Annamalaipapuram.
44. Yelloovogalapuram.
45. Mekavanipalli.
46. Thangedihall.
47. Kothapalli.
48. Nellogram.
49. Nandyalpet.
50. Chaddig花了.
51. Buggattam.
52. Pulier.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Numbers</th>
<th>Districts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53.</td>
<td>Thalapakil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.</td>
<td>Thalapaka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.</td>
<td>Koppam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.</td>
<td>Koppam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.</td>
<td>Kesampuram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.</td>
<td>Morugadi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.</td>
<td>M. Hanbalodians</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chittoor District**

1. 1. Pothapally
2. 2. Danugakurn
3. 3. Palamaner
4. 4. Sidareddipalle
5. 5. Vangamangampet
6. 6. Pillanadu
7. 7. Adepalle
8. 8. Sarakallu
9. 9. Doddapalle
10. 10. Madupularamu
11. 11. Cuppampalle

**Hyderabad District**

1. 1. Malikgiri
2. 2. Ilipedavuru
3. 3. Lankaguda
4. 4. Anepur
5. 5. Jilaguda
6. 6. Rudha...
7. 7. Nadergul
8. 8. Dakeppalli
9. 9. Dakepur
10. 10. Jugg不太懂
11. 11. Udumottu
12. 12. Fondur
13. 13. Muthabolaram
15. Yagati.
17. Manchal.
18. Mucheli. (Stay for 2 Constituencies).
19. Tumakurukottur.
22. Gummedavalli.
23. Mekalai.
24. Kesapalli.
25. Motipalli.
27. Kodlapadikal.
29. Nandivananaparthy.
31. Chowdampalli.
32. Tungur.
33. Alwal.
34. Bantvaran.
35. Changole.
36. Thirumalididi.
37. Jettpalli.

Medak District
1. Ramannaopuram.
2. Tazpally.
3. Hotti ‘W’.
5. Mangalparchy.
8. Guntlamalig,
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**Nalasopa District**
1. Miryalaguda.
2. Ponnalagudem.
4. Ammapur.
5. Anir.
6. Yadagiri-gutta.
7. Veerankare.
8. Rapuru.
10. Vemulakonda.

**Nizamabad District**
1. Pakhal.
2. Vellur.

**Wakadgan District**
1. Mallakampalli.
2. Chillule.
3. Serrile.
5. Thoreur.
6. Chitakoda.
7. Gabbetta.
8. Tungagali.
9. Cherril.
10. Chilkamachi.
11. Kommankonda.
15. Anwarapalli.
17. Kutchapall.
18. Ippaguda.
23. Kalwala.

MADURAI DISTRICT
1. All (56) Gram Panchayats in Kolliur Block.
2. Veppalal.
3. Peddapetpal.
5. Kavurpal.

KAMRAB DISTRICT
1. Podandava.
2. Ganeshwar.
3. Thevarmandiram.
5. Ramapuram.
7. Amsadun.
8. Vallaperum.
10. Thasagapet.
11. Palair.
15. Mayankalam.
16. Thanalu.
17. Baichpalligaram.
18. Sethupall.
19. Thalaprima.
20. Mridula.

Written Answers to Questions:
(Un-related questions are
omitted.)
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22. Marugudem.
23. Tripura.
24. Tekkala.

KARIMNAGAR DISTRICT
1. Kalabakaluru.
2. Sirimala.
3. Amodaluru.
4. Devangali.
5. Malagunj.
6. Pensapur.
7. Yellareddipet.
8. Marrimadugu.

ADILABAD DISTRICT
1. Marlappilli.
2. Erfuda.
5. Tarapur
6. Limsu Kuch (Constituency No. 9).

(Mr. Speaker in the chair).

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Sri C. V. K. Rao — Permit me, Sir. I want to put a little supplementary. You know, Sir, when you were here, I got chance for only one supplementary. The Presiding Member said that the hon. Speaker has given a ruling not to allow supplenmentaries. I told: 'You can revise it.' That is all what had happened.

(Laughter)

Mr. Speaker — And you would like to know where you stand.

Sri C. V. K. Rao — May I request you to allow me to put a supplementary?

Mr. Speaker — Every hon. member has got a right to put supplementary question either on short notice question or on
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Business of the House.

Sir or Madam: At the same time, the Speaker has got a right to withdraw. It is all in the interests of the business of the House. I am trying to be as liberal as possible. We took nearly one hour and 30 minutes for 22 questions. We have got 16 Carl American Mortons. It never takes any discussion. How do you expect me to allow every hon. member to put supplementary. There is an end of it now.

Sir C. V. R. N. — I raise a point of order Sir, on what the hon. Speaker has said. The hon. Speaker who was sitting here said that for all questions to come, no supplementary could be allowed. Could the Speaker in the annals of any legislature say that no supplementarys will be allowed for all these things? Is it by procrastination as the Speaker’s Conference I would like to know? Is that the Rule that the hon. Speaker is following?

Mr. Speaker: It is a matter of opinion in this House itself that for want of time many of the answers for other questions were not allowed. Even today, for a number of questions the answers of which could be taken up, the concerned Ministers have been asked to place them on the Table of the House. Similarly for want of time, I am excusing the hon. Member who was going to enquire the Chair, as far as possible, not to allow supplementarys for least members except those who have put the question.

Sir C. V. R. N. — What is the meaning of: “as far as possible”, Sir?

Mr. Speaker: “As far as possible” means, if it is a very important question I must see my discretion. If every hon. member gets up and says it is important, I have no discretion absolutely. Then you have your own way about it.

Sir C. V. R. N. — We feel that supplementary questions need to be put.

Mr. Speaker: May I know if this is the opinion of all the other hon. members of the House?

(Several Members: “No”)

Sir C. V. R. N. — I object, Sir. You wanted to give an opportunity to one of the Members to put his question.

Mr. Speaker: Unless you give full discretion to the Chair, it won’t be possible for me to cover the business in the Agenda. My anxiety is to cover the business and give opportunity to all the members to speak on certain items on the agenda. If you feel the other way, you only miss the opportunity. That is all.

Q. D. (M. C.): “As a member of this House, I would like to know if a member of this House can speak in his own right or with your permission only. I would like to have a ruling on the point.”
Mr. Speaker—The hon. Minister is not expected to answer unless I call him. Members input supplementary questions. Mr. Bababahad and that if two or three supplementary questions had been allowed, all this would not have happened. How many supplementary questions are put no? Every member who puts supplementary questions thinks it is important. You must stop that. Unless you rectify my difficulty how can I finish the business. Mr. Krishnava has been on putting question after question even without my calling. Please put an end to this kind of practice.
BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Speaker — The hon. Member could have got up and told me that it is a mistake and he was the member who has put the question. And there could have been an end of all this trouble. And he could have saved himself from having the trouble.

Mr. Speaker — The hon. Member could have got up and told me that it is a mistake and he was the member who has put the question. And there could have been an end of all this trouble. And he could have saved himself from having the trouble.

Mr. Speaker — The hon. Member could have got up and told me that it is a mistake and he was the member who has put the question. And there could have been an end of all this trouble. And he could have saved himself from having the trouble.

Mr. Speaker — The hon. Member could have got up and told me that it is a mistake and he was the member who has put the question. And there could have been an end of all this trouble. And he could have saved himself from having the trouble.
ANNOUNCEMENT

On the death of the Hon. Mr. Justice Potti Seramulpu

Mr Speaker — I am to announce to the House that silence will be observed for two minutes at 11.30 A.M. on 19th December, 1970, on the death of the late Hon. Mr. Justice Potti Seramulpu who passed away on that day for the cause of the Republic of India.

Mr Speaker — I thank the hon. Member for his statement. The discussion on the with the motion for adjournment of the Assembly will be taken up at 11.00 A.M.

Mr Speaker — If the hon. Members wish to speak on this matter, they may move adjournment of the Assembly at 11.00 A.M.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr Speaker — The next matter is the privilege motion, Sri Radhakrishna Pillai...

Mr Speaker — I think it is coming to-morrow or day after to-morrow.

Sri Y. Narsimha Rao — Sir, if a comprehensive statement is to be made, I would like to have one or two days. But about the two or three measures which figured in the discussion....

Mr. Speaker — There are a number of Call Attention with regard to this matter.

Sr. V. Narasimha Rao — If they are posted to 17th, I will give a comprehensive statement.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao — As per the information with me, it is posted for 10-day.

Mr. Speaker — Let me verify if they are included in the agenda.

(Pause).

They are posted for to-morrow.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao — Thank you, Sir.

[Discussion, speeches, etc.]

Mr. Speaker — They are posted for to-morrow.

Mr. Speaker — They are implying copies of the judgment to-morrow to all Members.

Mr. Speaker — I am prepared to accept your suggestion, whatever suggestion you give, I am prepared to accept.

[Discussion, speeches, etc.]

Mr. Speaker — I am prepared to accept your suggestion with regard to the disposal of the business. I would also ask the Leader of the House to accept.

[Further discussions, motions, etc.]

Mr. Speaker — We are prepared to accept your suggestion with regard to the disposal of the business.
Mr. Speaker—Upto 17th evening, whatever business timings you suggest, I am prepared to accept.

Dr. T. V. S. Chidagatti Rao—We want the eight-point programme to be discussed for a day.

Mr. Speaker—Mr. Mahananda please avoid reading pages in the House.

Dr. T. V. S. Chidagatti Rao—That has been accepted. It will be called for after tomorrow.

POINTS OF INFORMATION
re : Closure of Andhra Co-operative Spinning Mills

Mr. Speaker—Re the industry...

Mr. Speaker—Re...
BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Speaker—If you do not object I will ask a question. Mr. Pragada Reddy moved this motion under Rule 14 and the Minister made a statement about it. If he again wants to speak...

Mr. Speaker—Is he not allowing any discussion?

Now, Mr. Lathiaraya.

First account in Postmaster General’s Department.

The Speaker—...and then, the Postmaster General also said that the subject which Mr. Pragada Kariyala mentioned is... Mr. Lathiaraya. It seems that the Postmaster General does not want to speak. He thought that the subject was.... He seems to be discussing something. If it is something related to the department and... It seems that he is discussing something.

The Speaker—Mr. Lathiaraya. Whatever is possible, he always does.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Pragada Kariyala...something. Mr. Lathiaraya, now there is a meeting. It seems that the Postmaster General will speak. It seems that the Postmaster General will do something... It seems that the Postmaster General will do something...
Sri C. V. R. Rao — Can I bring an important thing to your notice, Sir? In the last Session, July or August, there was one question I brought to your notice. I.e., “That the Government is suitably cognizant to send answers and replies to questions made in this House.” After all there are only 2 or 3 days more and we can request you to send these answers within two or three days about this thing...

Mr. Speaker — The questions for which answers have been received till now will be answered before you after tomorrow. The questions for which answers have not been given will be answered in the next Session and in the meanwhile all the Departments, I have been reminding, and I ask them to send their answers as early as possible.

Sri C. V. R. Rao — It is a violation of the provisions of the rules itself, and naturally either you have got to press us or we have to seek your protection. As far as we are concerned, we have got to seek your protection. As far as the violation on the part of the Department concerned and Ministers concerned, how do you permit us to seek remedy...

Mr. Speaker — Violation of rules has been going on for nearly 10 or 20 years with the result it has become a convention. So, what I am trying to do is to see if questions which have not been answered are answered in the next Session itself. I am doing my best in the matter. If it is in the breach of Privilege...

Sri Lakshman — Is there any remedy provided about this question in order to amend the rule...

Mr. Speaker — What I want to say is if a question is put that must be answered immediately in the Session. What is the point of answering the question after the urgency is over, i.e., after 3 years or so?

Mr. S. E. Bidwai — Who, Sir?...

Mr. Speaker — I will find out what has happened.

Sri K. K. Phadke — Sir, on the floor of the House, a very important matter was brought to the notice of the principal Assembly, it is a very serious matter. Certain allegations were made about the Prime Minister and the Chief Minister has promised to enquire into the matter and take appropriate action. At what stage that enquiry is done? Otherwise...

Mr. Speaker — Please put a question.

Sri K. K. Phadke — If I put that question, it will come in the next Session.
PRIVILEGE MOTION

Mr. Speaker — Yes please. Next, Mr. Bhashik Pratit to speak.

PRIVILEGE MOTION

Mr. Speaker — Delay in placing annual report, return etc., of the Road Transport Corporation.

Mr. Speaker — Yes please. Next, Mr. Bhashik Pratit to speak.

PRIVILEGE MOTION

Mr. Speaker — Delay in placing annual report, return etc., of the Road Transport Corporation.

Mr. Speaker — Yes please. Next, Mr. Bhashik Pratit to speak.

PRIVILEGE MOTION

Mr. Speaker — Delay in placing annual report, return etc., of the Road Transport Corporation.

Mr. Speaker — Yes please. Next, Mr. Bhashik Pratit to speak.
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Mr. Speaker — 10 delays in placing annual reports, the
Transport Corporation.

Mr. Speaker — What happened was, Mr. Reddy Vizhakki Pilli
gave notice even earlier on the ground that these Annual Reports
having not been placed on the Table of the House. He has given
notice of Breach of Privilege.

Mr. Speaker — Now the question is that the Government
is now seeking to lay on the Table of the House.

Mr. Speaker — No, No.

After you gave notice of the privilege motion I brought it to
the notice of the Government, i.e., I told the Minister for Trans-
port that it will be taken up on 14th. Because another similar
matter is coming up in-day, I told Mr. Reddy Vizhakki Pilli that both
the matters will be taken up to-day. Now the Government are
going to explain whether there is delay or not. I have not asked
upon the Minister or about any expression.

Mr. Speaker — There is a letter by the Minister.

Mr. Speaker — This is the letter sent by the Minister for
Transport to the Secretary, Andhra Pradesh Legislative
Assembly.
Sir,

I propose to lay on the table of the House the annual Administrative Report for the year 1948-49 of the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation as required under Section 35 (1) of the Road Transport Corporation Act 1946, Central Act 64 of 1946.

Sh. Vasista Godavarishishree—That is unnecessary, Sir. I have not received any letter regarding that. I have not received any letter regarding the privilege motion.

Mr. Speaker—Any letter regarding the privilege motion?

Sh. Vasista Godavarishishree—Our point is this. It is not only the privilege motion, but it is a question. So we are insisting on the privilege motion.

Mr. Speaker—I am now hearing the member who has given the notice. I am asking him to clarify certain issues.

I will read it again for the benefit of the members:

"The accounts of the Corporation as certified by the Comptroller or the Auditor-General of India or any person appointed by him as his representative, shall be laid before the Legislature of the State. After the report has been received from the Auditor-General of India, the question is whether there is any delay.

Sh. A. Madhava Rao—That is not at all, Sir. It is not mentioned these "annuity".

Annually it should have been done and our Government also should have moved in this direction.

Mr. Speaker—I am now hearing the member who has given the notice. I am asking him to clarify certain issues.

Sh. A. Madhava Rao—If it is, Sir. The word "annuity" is used there. Therefore a duty is cast both on the Government and also the Auditor-General of India that every year they have to audit and examine."
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Mr. Speaker — That is what I am saying. Annual report should be submitted first by the Auditor General of India and then
the Government should lay it on the Table of the House.

Brij Ghulam Lachhman — Comptroller or Auditor General, you said Sir. Who is the Comptroller?

Mr. Speaker — I am sorry. It should be “Comptroller and
Auditor General”.

Mr. Speaker — That is what I am saying. Referring to the
report received from the Auditor General, I am raising the
matter of placing an annual report in the House. The
Auditor General, in his report, says that the budget of the
Department of Transport is not in order. The annual report
of the Department of Transport should be placed on the
Table of the House. That is what I am saying.

As soon as possible after the end of each financial year, submit to the Central and the State Governments a report on the
expenditure and performance by it of its powers and duties under the Act during that year and on its policy and programmes.

I agree with my friend that we should do this. I believe that the
Government should be placed on the Table of the House.

As soon as may be.

It should be submitted each financial year.

So, you can raise the matter of placing the budget on the
Table of the House. There is no objection to that.

Last thing I wish to add is to minimise the expenditure. It is a
government matter and we are very much against the expenditure.

It is a most unique matter, that whereas the powers of the
Minister of State for Transport have been exercised by the
Minister of State for Transport, the details of the
Minister of State for Transport."
Privilege Motion: Delay in preparing annual reports, returns etc., of the Road Transport Corporation.

"The responsibility is mine, partly mine, and I accept it in the interest and in the right of Parliament. As for my own Department, since consent, steps have been taken to prevent the mistake occurring again".

Mr. Mian: I have not had an early time from the Parliament. I make no complaints. One might not in here too easy a time from Parliament in such circumstances. There were quite proper questions I expected them and deserved them in view of the grave error which had been made".

"Mr. Minister: There lies on every Minister a heavy responsibility to secure unimpeachable observance of a mandatory duty imposed on him by Act of Parliament. When Parliament has insisted that Regulations shall be laid, failure to comply with that requirement is a grave omission by the Minister responsible".

"The Attorney-General (Sir Dorais Swarup): Whatever may be the argument as to the legal validity of the Regulations, quite plainly the Home Secretary has not complied with that mandatory provision in the statute... "

...Therefore, we thought it right to pass the Bill in its double form: Entitling an indemnity to the House Secretary for having failed to perform a duty which Parliament has placed upon him, for which Parliament can hold him to account, and, therefore, the Bill is valid although they have not been laid for a considerable period.

"Do not let us be conscious: we all make mistakes sometimes, but they do not always have indelible consequences".

"List of Proceedings — Copycat of the House regarding delay in laying the papers on the Table of the House".
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"Laying on the table copy of G. O. No. 1379 House (Transport) dated 2-10-1969 and Memorandum No. 178 House dated 10-3-1970 by the Minister for Transport."

...
15th December, 1760.
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... on the Table of the House. With regard to the second point, viz., submission of returns and reports, every Corporation shall submit to the State Government such returns, statements, accounts, and other information with regard to property or activities as is regarded to any proposed scheme in the Act. If the Government acts thereon, the Corporation shall, as soon as possible after the end of each financial year, submit to the Central and State Government, a report on the exercise and performance by it of its powers, duties, and liabilities under the Act during that year, and on the policy it has followed in the discharge of its duties.

After that is satisfied by the Government, the State Government shall cause the annual reports referred to in sub-section (2) to he laid before the Legislature of the State. After it is satisfied by the Government, the question is whether there is delay in laying, and, whether there is delay on the part of the Corporation in laying the reports on the Table of the House. Thus are the two things.

It is a duty on the Corporation to send the returns and reports, whether there is delay on the part of the Corporation in sending the reports and returns to the Government. There are two things. It is a duty on the Corporation to send the reports and returns, whether there is delay on the part of the Corporation in laying or in the laying on the Table of the House. There are the two issues. The main question is whether there is delay on the part of the Corporation or the Government in sending these reports on the Table of the House.

Mr. Speaker — The status exists a delay on the Corporation; if the Corporation fails to carry out these provisions, the Corporation becomes liable.

Mr. Ramanahari — I will explain my point of view with reference to another department. I do not know whether the breadth of privileges rests regarding the Agriculture University. I am coming up today or not.

Mr. Speaker — It is on the Agenda of today.

Mr. Speaker — That is a different matter where there is 4 years delay.

Mr. Ramanahari — We shall come to it later.
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Mr. Speaker — With regard to this, if you want to say anything, you can speak.

Sir P. Narsimha Rao — When the Speaker is on the part of the Corporation, how can this Government escape from its responsibility?

Mr. Speaker — The Section itself clearly says, it is practically divided between the duties of the Corporation as well as of the Government.

Sir P. Narsimha Rao — What is the notion that the Assembly can take in that case?

Mr. Speaker — Why not? The Legislature has cast a duty on the part of the Corporation. If it fails to carry out that duty, it becomes liable. Why not? They have to carry out their statutory obligations. If they fail to carry out those obligations, they become liable.

Sir P. Narsimha Rao (Hyderabad) — The Corporation is responsible to Government and the Government is responsible to this House. That means, the Corporation is indirectly responsible.

Mr. Speaker — Both the Corporation as well as the Government are responsible to the Legislature. you do not forget.

Sir P. Narsimha Rao — Then for the duty on the part of the Corporation, it is the responsibility of the Minister to see that the statutory provisions are complied with. Therefore, the Corporation is responsible to the Legislature.

Mr. Speaker — They can certainly take action against the Corporation. That is different matter. So far as the Legislature is concerned, if they fail to submit their returns or reports to time, the Government may take action against the Corporation. Whatever action they are going to take this House is not concerned with, but if the Corporation or the Government fail to carry out certain statutory provisions or obligations, they become liable to this House.

Sir P. Narsimha Rao — That is correct.

Mr. Speaker — I am only making clear what is laid down in the provision.

Mr. J. V. Narayana — 1962 no 39 (A) Section 18, in the 1963 Act Section 18 is added, 1964 no 39 (A) Section 33 is added, 1965 no 39 (A) Section 33 is added. I want to know 1966 no 39 (A) Section 33 is added.
Mr. Speaker: You are mistaken. Not only the Corporation, anybody in the country, any citizen, [INTERUPTIONS] but if the matter is referred to the Privileges Committee, the Committee has a right to examine the period, take his explanation, hear him and then.

Sir G. Lankesha: As it is, the Corporation has no power to submit the report to the House.

Mr. Speaker: Only the Corporation for it to follow to submit the report to the House, it is not possible. The Government, so far is possible, if they did not do their duty, they become liable not only to the Government but to the Legislature.

SEVERAL MEMBERS: rise.

Dr. T. V. S. Chaluvadi Rao: You have rightly pointed out that so far as the House is concerned, the Government is responsible. In their own, the failure of the Corporation so far as the House is concerned is the failure of the Government. They may proceed against the person concerned. For example, I may give quotations. In 1954-55, the Municipal Corporation of Hyderbad failed to pass on the Fourth (I mean the Fourth of its Administration Reports. Mr. Venkata Gopala Krishna, ruled this point. Tam, Mr. Alladi Venkateswarlu, even on behalf of the Municipal Corporation of Madurai and offered his apology and made good the deficiency or the failure. Similarly, in this case, the Minister for Transport is responsible on behalf of the Corporation too, so far as the House is concerned. Therefore, he may in his turn initiate such action as he deems it against the Corporation. But so far as the House is concerned, it is not the Minister directly responsible, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: Let us try to cleanse the House. The matter itself has clearly laid down the duties to be performed by the Corporation as well as the Government. Now the Government may be responsible if there is any delay on the part of the Government. For the delay on the part of the Corporation, you are seeing that the Government is responsible, and not the Corporation.

Sir A. Ballalivos Rao: You have clotted on two points. I would like to have elucidation on one point.

Mr. Speaker: Indeed I did not intend to elucidate in his own way, so far as the Corporation is concerned, well, I do not know what the Government have got to say. Let us hear the Government.
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Mr. Speaker:—A breach of privilege or contempt of the House practically amounts to an offence which is punishable by the House. Once it is proved, the person responsible for breach of privileges or contempt of the House would be punished by the House, whatever the punishment may be. Now in the case, there is what is called "vicious libel". What you are saying is that the Corporation has committed a mistake for which the Government is responsible. Then any offence has been committed by somebody and for that eleven these people are responsible for what is called "vicious libel".

Sri Vittal Goudathakaram:—The Government is responsible to the House for not placing the report in time.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Who is responsible to the House?

Mr. Speaker:—I am speaking in a legal language; for an offence committed by somebody (INTERUPTION: Corporation). [INTERUPTION: Corporation].

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—The Corporation, or the M. A. P.?

Mr. Speaker:—I do not know. I will avail myself of the point I have raised. Two of the staff who are in it is subject to correction and correct it.

Sri Vittal Goudathakaram:—That is not the point. The Corporation has not to correct it. The Corporation has only to deal with the Corporation. Corporation is only a Corporation. Corporation is only a Corporation.
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Accounts, Papers and Reports presented to Parliament. Parliament is vested with the power of ordering all documents to be laid before it which are necessary for its information. Accounts and papers relating to trade, finance and general or local matters are ordered directly and are returned in obedience to the order of the House whence it was issued.

Accounts and papers relating to trade, finance and general or local matters are ordered directly and are returned in obedience to the order of the House whence it was issued.

Presentation of formal papers and statutory instruments during recess:

1. Statutory instruments may be delivered during a Parliamentary recess to the clerk of the Parliament.

2. The first step in Parliamentary control is the requirement that the document shall be laid before Parliament, though, if its contents be of minor importance only, the enabling Act may not insist upon this step or may require nothing more.

Owing to various enabling statutes, after requiring that instruments made thereunder shall be laid before Parliament, has expressly stipulated that if not laid they shall have no effect. It seems that, in the absence of such stipulation, the requirement is merely directory; breach of the statutory duty to lay will not of itself involve the instruments, though it may amount to a misdemeanour at common law.

Sri A. Madhava Rao — In this matter, as you have rightly observed, two points are raised, as far as the R.C.C.
is concerned, a day is cast upon it to submit the reports and when the reports are transmitted to the Auditor-General, after receiving the same, the Government has to lay them before the House. Now the point is, if the R. T. C. submitted the reports to the Auditor-General, land then if there is any delay on the part of the Auditor-General in returning the same to the Government, what would happen if the Government would not pass them. A further point would be, suppose the Auditor-General keeps them for a number of years, then what is your locus standi. Will he come within the purview of the concept of the House, or will there be any breach of privilege. These are points that have to be gone into thoroughly, and those points will have to be considered. In any event, we must have necessary steps before us when the R. T. C. submitted them.

Mr. Speaker :- The Minister will be able to give those details.

Sri A. Madan Mohan :- In respect of almost that is to be observed at 11 O'clock in the memory of late Sri Puniyamala, while showing no disrespect to the memory of the departed soul, I would like to remind the House, and also from the Government what it proposes to do in respect of those lives which have been lost in Trenches as a result of war in a separate state. If this is going to be an annual feature, are they going also going to make this an annual feature for people who have died in Trenches; several lives in Trenches. They also died for a separate cause. What is it that the Government propose to do?

Mr. Speaker :- We will consider it separately. But now on an occasion like this, when you are pronouncing this that such cases also may be considered.

Sri A. Madan Mohan :- Let the Government come with a communique, Sir.

Mr. Speaker :- It is not now, straightforwardly.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao :- On this solemn occasion, let us not do violence to the occasion.

Sri A. Madan Mohan :- It is not a separate thing, where the Government has to sit for hours together and then apply for a mind. It is a war-room matter. Government should take a decision. Let us know the Government's mind.

Mr. Speaker :- Please, hear me, Mr. Madan Mohan.

Sir K. Ramakrishna :- Let us not put a solemn occasion like this day busy with debate.
Obstructive Two minutes silence in

memory of late Sri Potti Sri Ramulu.

Mr. C. V. R. R. - This is a solemn occasion. Let us all observe it with due solemnity.

Mr. A. Madan Mohan - It is a solemn occasion. It is equally a solemn occasion for us. We would like to find out what is the trend of the Government, what is the sentiment of the Government in respect of those people who have lost their lives for a separate Telangana State.

Mr. Narasimhaiah - Let us not raise any issues now.

Mr. Speaker - Mr. Narasimhaiah, kindly do not make this a controversial issue. I will explore the position, Mr. Madan Mohan.

Mr. A. Madan Mohan - Let me respectfully submit that I am not creating any problem. At the very onset, I have stated that without any stress and without any bias, I would like to find out the sentiment of the Government, namely, what is going to be done in respect of those people who have lost their lives for a separate state of Telangana.

Mr. Speaker - Is it for the Government to decide now that you have brought it to the notice of the Government, we shall see what they are going to do.

Mr. A. Madan Mohan - It is one such matter where they have to sit for hours together and take a decision. On such occasions like this let the Government come forward with a commitment.

Mr. Speaker - You have done your duty in bringing it to the notice of the Government. Let us see what Government proposes. The Chief Minister is not here to make a statement. Now that you have brought it to the notice of the Government, we shall see.

Mr. Raju Rana (Vellore) - If the Chief Minister is not here, there is no possibility of taking any decision.

Mr. Speaker - I do not expect any other Member to take the responsibility on behalf of the Chief Minister. Let us find out.

Mr. C. V. R. R. - Hon'ble Member should have some respect for an occasion like this. Let it not be a controversial issue.

Mr. A. Madan Mohan - The unfortunate thing is that, in that other area, we do not seem to have any respect. If the Chief Minister has been lost in Telangana area.

Mr. Speaker - Mr. Madan Mohan, I have included this thing in the agenda only after "dismissing" the incident of the Leaders of Telangana. When they again included it in the agenda. If they had
Privilege Motion

15th December, 1929.

Mr. Speaker—The present motion is to bring to the notice of the House the fact that in the report of the Road Transport Corporation for the year ended 31st March, 1929, there is no mention of the substantial increase in the number of accidents and the consequent loss of life and property. The Corporation has failed to take any steps to prevent this increase. The motion is supported by the Opposition Party.

Mr. Speaker—The motion is that the Corporation's report be considered and that the Corporation be requested to take steps to prevent accidents and the consequent loss of life and property.

Mr. Speaker—The motion is seconded by the Opposition Party.

Mr. Speaker—The motion is that the Corporation be requested to take steps to prevent accidents and the consequent loss of life and property.

Mr. Speaker—The motion is carried by the Opposition Party.
Pr/leges Room
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re: Delay in placing annual reports.

The Committee and come to a final conclusion. We have to understand the difficulties of the Corporation and the Government in presenting these papers. While the rule says that it has to be presented annually, we have to consider whether it is possible. We have to see also whether the rule has to be changed. Therefore, I request you to refer it not as a privileged matter but as a matter to be referred to a committee in the parlance of the Assembly.

Sri G. Venkata Reddy: - Privilege and contempt are interrelated. When there is need in each case they are referred to the Prileges Committee.

Sri T. V. Raghavulu: - Mr. Venkata Gopalakrishnan is taking the stand on the letter of the law. He will have to go into to the factors - the real factors that may cause the delay. Let us go into the spirit rather than the letter of the law.

Sri Venkata Gopalakrishnan: - Spirit has already evaporated in the course.

Sri T. V. Raghavulu: - I am sorry, he has not understood in the spirit in which I meant it to be understood. By spirit, I mean...

Sri C. V. R. Rao: - We have not left the spirit. We have taken both the spirit and the letter.

Sri T. V. Raghavulu: - Here is a question where the Government is under obligation to lay the paper on the Table of the House. The Government in its turn is entitled to get the report from some body also, the Corporation which is an autonomous body. When we make the difficulty of changes of men and other things, I do not know whether it is possible for the Government to accept it. But to make it clear how it stands the Government is entitled to refer it to the Corporation. The Corporation, on the report, may place it on the Table. That is the thing.
Mr. Speaker.— I will explain how it is relevant.

Mr. Speaker.— You referred to some schedule in the matter of preparing the accounts. Is that schedule prepared under any Rule?

Mr. Speaker.— Yes, Sir. The process starts under that schedule. The A.G. checks and if there are any errors they will send it back to the R.T.C. If the R.T.C. corrects them and sends it back to the A.G. Again, it goes to the Controller and Auditor General. After going through all this process the Auditor General of India will send it to the Government, duly certified. That copy should be placed as early as possible. That is the position.

Mr. Speaker.— Yes, as soon as the certified accounts are received from the Auditor General, etc. The Government plus the amount should be laid before the Legislature—Sec 124A is under Sec 36.

Mr. Speaker.— And the Government should cause the same to be laid before the Legislature—Sec 124A is under Sec 36.

Mr. Speaker.— Annual Accounts should be prepared. In the particular case 1958-59 accounts according to the schedule end of September. Up to T.C. should send to the Accountant General. In the year the delay was 12 days delay. …

Mr. Speaker.— (Mr. Vishwanath Chaturvedi). 1958-59, 1959-60 accounts are being audited. 16th December, 1978.
Privilege Motion: 35th December, 1970, 4947

Mr. Speaker: I beg to place on the Table the Annual Report of the Road Transport Corporation.

It was a question of sending the report at the end of September, but the Corporation has sent it on 13th October. There is a delay of 10 days, which I have given the reasons. Then after going through all the papers, 10th-17th October, I forwarded the report on 18th-19th October, and it was received by the Speaker on 20th October. Therefore, we have been delayed for 10 days. I believe that the report should have been sent on 13th October, as there is no privilege involved in that.

Mr. Speaker: Let us have one thing from the Speaker. On 13th October, 1970, it was sent to the Auditor General of India. And he took more time than you for auditing the accounts. Why, there is delay? You tell me that if you send it on 13th October, even after 10 days, how can you control on that?

Mr. Speaker: It is Central Government. Do you consider that there is a delay on the part of the Auditor General of India?

Mr. Speaker: I have obtained the explanation of the Auditor General of India.

Mr. Speaker: That is not clear.
13th December, 1970.

Privilege Motion:—
In reply to the annual report of the Road Transport Corporation.

Mr Speaker:—...
Mr Speaker. The House can certainly take the Auditor General of India on the table.

Mr Speaker. That is why, according to the information furnished by the Auditor General of India, has taken one year and one year for auditing the accounts. After one year that it in October 1972 of the year he has sent this report. After receiving the report in this House, they are placing it here on the Table of the House. We do not know why it has taken one year and odd. Whether he is justified in taking one year for auditing, I do not know.

Mr Speaker. There are two things here. One is the return
250 15th December, 1971.

Privilege petition.

re: Deterioration of the central reserve and what steps have been taken to improve it.

and the reports and the other accounts. Then Mr. Dubri Vishal Puri was referring to the rules of the Central Reserve. He said that to ensure the maintenance of the central reserve, it is necessary to have a reserve of funds. This is a matter of serious concern. As the Central Reserve is a public trust, it is necessary to ensure that the funds are properly utilized. The Minister, therefore, should take appropriate steps to ensure the proper utilization of the funds.

Sri P. Subbiah — That is the fundamental point. In this case, who is responsible, we should know? It is not made clear.

Mr. Speaker — According to the information furnished by the Minister, they have already made some arrangements and the Auditor General has taken the matter up.

Sri P. Subbiah — It is sufficient for us. The Corporation as well as the Minister.

Sri M. N. Lakshmikantam — The position is this. The delay has been caused.

Mr. Speaker — It is very clear from the information furnished by the Minister that there is delay of one month by the p. c. no. on the order of giving any reply on the matter. I would like to ask the concerned Minister to give the explanation from the Auditor General of India as to why there was delay. I do not think it is fair to proceed against him without getting his explanation.
Privilege Motion

Delay in placing annual reports, returns etc., of the Road Transport Corporation.

Mr. Speaker, I move that in regard to the fact that the Corporation has failed to submit its annual reports and returns in time, you should refer the matter to the Privileges Committee, and let the Committee enquire into the matter and let them explain the whole thing, so as to ascertain if the Corporation has failed to submit the said reports and returns on time in order to bring to the notice of the House for a breach of Privileges of the Corporation. The reports are to be submitted 30th June every year, but the reports for the year 1970 are consequently not submitted.

Mr. Speaker—I will consider about it.

Mr. Speaker—That is with regard to delayed submission. This is with regard to a delay on the part of the Corporation in placing on record the correct returns.

Mr. Speaker, I move that in view of the fact that the Corporation has not submitted its annual reports and returns in time, you should refer the matter to the Privileges Committee, and let the Committee enquire into the matter and report to the House.

Mr. Speaker—There is no delay on the part of the Government.
13th December 1979

Mr. Speaker,

The question of the annual report of the Road Transport Corporation was deferred due to the absence of the Minister. However, the report has now been submitted to the Legislature. The Minister has informed that the report will be discussed on the next Legislative Assembly meeting.

The report was presented by the Minister of Road Transport, who briefed the members on the achievements and challenges faced by the Corporation in the previous year. The report highlights the progress made in the various departments of the Corporation, including transport, maintenance, and safety.

The report also discusses the financial status of the Corporation, which has shown a steady improvement in revenue generation. The Minister has assured the members that the Corporation will continue to focus on providing efficient and safe transport services to the public.

The debate on the report will be held on the next Legislative Assembly meeting, and the members are encouraged to participate actively in the discussion.

Respectfully,

Mr. Speaker
Mr. Speaker - I will consider it.

Mr. Speaker - So the report says there is no case for the Action General to be held responsible. If the reports are correct, you cannot

Mr. Speaker - The M will not be able to hold the Auditors General responsible in the near future. If the reports are correct, you cannot

Mr. Speaker - I will consider it.
The Supreme Court will give judgment in many cases. How can Hon. Members act on these things if it is not proper to mention.

Mr. Speaker: Vishal Patel. When will you give the ruling for Mr. Speaker — Day after to morrow.

PRESIDENT
Re Delhi Provincial Annual Report
On the Agricultural University

Mr. Speaker — That the Government of Andhra Pradesh failed to lay the annual accounts with the audit report together of the Agricultural University before the House for the last six years. Under sub-sections (2) and (2) of Section 37, it is obligatory to accordance with the Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University Act 1863 then forming the accounts of the House.
15th December, 1970.

Mr. Speaker: The Report sought to be laid on the Table of the House relates to the year 1965-66.

Mr. Speaker: Your allegation that there is delay of 4 to 5 years is not correct. The reports were placed on the Table of the House.

Dr. B. Navanithamachari: They are now laid on the Table of the House. It is therefore squarely the responsibility of the university administration. If the Accounts Committee has not been satisfied, can all_not be done, and cannot be done in the same way? In this report it is squarely the responsibility of the University Administration.

Mr. Speaker: What the section says is this: The Annual accounts shall be submitted by the Board to the Government which shall cause to be made by such person as the Government may appoint in this behalf. Now the question is when the Accounts were submitted to the Government.

Mr. Speaker: The Accounts shall be kept in the Government which shall cause to be made by such person as the Government may appoint in this behalf.

Mr. Speaker: This hearing is being heard in the same way and for the same purpose.
15th December, 1970.

Privilege Motion

on (Delay in Filing Annual Report
e tc, of the Agricultural University.

The President - Sir, Hon'ble Members,

I have received a note from the

head of the Agricultural University,

requesting for a privilege motion on

the delay in filing the Annual Report.

He has stated that the University

has been facing difficulties in filing

the report due to certain technical

issues. He has requested for a

special session to discuss this matter.

Mr. Speaker - It is a very good

suggestion. Please consider it.

In case it is going to be any delay.
35th December, 1970.

SET-OFFICE

Mr. Speaker - You have got a copy of the explanation.

Mr. Speaker - Yes, Sir. The Board has done its duty in

15th December, 1970. 257

setting the accounts to the Government.

Mr. Speaker - In November 1969, they sent the accounts

for 1961-65 to the Government. And as soon as these accounts were

received, they were got audited. As soon as the Audit Report is

received, the Government are now placing them on the Table of the

House. This is as per the explanation given by the Member.

Mr. Basappa Ramaiah - The point I want to bring to your

notice is this. The audit report and accounts for 1966-67 have been

issued on 30-6-70. This is from the university. The delay in the

issue of audit report is due to the fact that certain serious defects in

the audit accounts were communicated in the Register on 31-10-1966

for rectification. I do not know who is responsible for that.

Mr. Speaker - I am not concerned with other years. I am only

concerned with the year 1965-66.

Mr. Basappa Ramaiah - The explanation now contains all those
details.

Mr. Speaker - The Government are setting to lay on the Table
of the House accounts for the year 1965-66.

Mr. Basappa Ramaiah - How can I avoid mentioning these
things?

Another interesting feature is the explanation. It is said: 'The
audit of accounts for 1967-68 is under progress. An additional
audit party will be sent. The Inspectors J.C. S. Auditors and V.L.O.
Auditors had been appointed and the audit for 1967-68 will be
completed by about the end of January, 1971. The audit for 1968-69
and 1969-70 will be taken up soon after and will be completed by the
end of August, 1971.' This means, the time elections will be over and
the new Assembly elections are over. How are we to rectify these things?
Therefore, I shall present my objections which have been explained
completely. The explanation does not gratify my 1965-66 only.

Mr. Speaker - Mr. Laxminarayana Balaji requests us to note:
for the sake of the other reply, the Government will come forward
and inform us.
26 17th December, 1970

Pro-vice Chancellor

To: Dr. A. V. Ramamurthy, Chairman, Achievement of the Agricultural University

Sir,

C. V. Rao, After taking action in future I only suppose these

The Government of India has agreed to the setting up of a University under the name of the Agricultural University, to be located in the state of Andhra Pradesh. The proposal was initially made in a letter dated 26 November 1970, at which time the state was under the control of the Pakistan government.

Mr Speaker, I will certainly consider all these points,

L. R. Ramanalakshappa - The Prime Minister of the Agricultural University should be referred to the Public Works Committee.

Mr Speaker, I will consider whether I should agree with your decision on the point I go to the next item.

Sir, V. Venkateswar Reddy - Let the Government make a formal proposal with a view to the future. The government is prepared to give the same amount of money. The government is interested in the region and the House Speaker can direct the Government to do that.

Sir, S. Bhavanarayana - In the year one thousand nineteen, the government...

---

Sir, R. R. Venkateswar Reddy - It is also mentioned that the government...

---

Sir, S. R. Venkateswar Reddy - It is also mentioned that the government...

---
Non-deployment of Government.
15th December, 1926.
299
Affairs Pertaining to Second, Third
and Fourth Legislative Assembly.

Mr. Speaker: The following quotation, made on
the floor of the House by the Hon. Member, has been sub-
mitted to us:

Mr. Speaker: (Quoting from a speech).

This only shows how the Govern-
ment has been unable to take in any giving long and reply also.

Mr. Speaker: Proceeding that point of order made on the
floor of the House by the Hon. Member, there has been follow on the
part of the Government in implementation:

1. Mr. Ramachandra Reddi: In the year 1911, they have not done
for the last 12 years.

Mr. Speaker: We presume that the instance given by the
Hon. Member on the floor of the House have not been implemented. On
that assumption, the question is whether it amounts to a breach of
promise. Have you got any policy of any other Legislative Council in
our country to any other democratic country to show that such failure to
implement promise on the floor of the House amounts to a breach of
promise? You please resolve this. You can send it to me on
the floor. I will ask my colleague also to find out if there are any
instances.

Mr. Ramachandra Reddi: Otherwise, what is the purpose of

Mr. Speaker: I am giving from Madras, Yellum, Nallu, 1929;

Mr. Speaker: (Quoting from a speech).

Mr. Speaker: The point is whether the Government

afforded any assurance on the floor of the House to the effect that the
Government would take steps to implement the promise made.

Mr. Speaker: The point is whether the Government

afforded any assurance on the floor of the House to the effect that the
Government would take steps to implement the promise made.

Mr. Speaker: The point is whether the Government

afforded any assurance on the floor of the House to the effect that the
Government would take steps to implement the promise made.

Mr. Speaker: The point is whether the Government

afforded any assurance on the floor of the House to the effect that the
Government would take steps to implement the promise made.

Mr. Speaker: The point is whether the Government

afforded any assurance on the floor of the House to the effect that the
Government would take steps to implement the promise made.

There is no harm in trying to see the rules of other Legislatures. We shall try to find out the correct information.

Mr. Speaker - No.

Mr. Speaker - Let it be taken up by the Privileges Committee.

Mr. Speaker - Are you prepared to hear at once if you consider it necessary.

Mr. V. V. Narasimha Rao - While there is no mention of any breach of privilege or any intention of breach of privilege on the part of the Government, I would like to submit that we are also not very happy about the reluctance delaying the full implementation of the Assurances. From the figures, I see that although the last year, there has been some improvement whereas according to the Assurances Committee's Report last year there were 354 cases pending for more than one year. This year's, the latest report as on July 1970 reports 15 cases of over 125, there has been some improvement. Apart from that, the Assurances Committee has also given some suggestion that in such democratic, Assistant Secretary may be nominated exclusively with the task of preparing the implementation. Now that I understand is being done. The OCA is pending orders or instructions to all the Departments. We hope there will be further improvement in the situation after that is done.
Non-Implementation of Government

15th December, 1970.

Dear Sir,

I am informed that the Government has not yet taken any decision on the matter of implementing the recommendations of the Committee on Junior Colleges. It is evident that the recommendations are necessary to improve the educational system in the country.

I would appreciate it if you could take the necessary steps to implement the recommendations as soon as possible.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

[Name]
received and final reports have not been received. Obviously final implementation has not been done. A large number of cases are like this.

Sir C. V. K. Rao — Now the hon. Minister for Education I think is answering for the Chief Minister as well. But while speaking for the Chief Minister he speaks for his own Department.

Sir P. V. Narasimha Rao — Only illustratively.


Sir C. V. K. Rao — This is illustrative. Sir C. V. K. Rao — This is illustrative. Sir C. V. K. Rao — This is illustrative.

Sir P. V. Narasimha Rao — This is not illustrative. That is how the Administrators Committee wants it to be implemented. Suppose a Minister says that he will consider doing a particular thing and after consideration it is not done, that is not illustrative.
Privilege Motion

15th December 1970

Mr Speaker - Now Mr T C Rajan a privilege motion.
What is the assurance that the Ministry has given?
What is it that I had said on the floor of the House?
Mr Speaker - You are moving it from the proceedings of the House Read out from the proceedings.
164. 15th December, 1979.
Privileges Notice

par. Non-implementation of the assurance given by the Minister for Revenue.

Mr. Speaker: I want to know what the Minister has himself stated in the House. I am prepared to help the Member by translating him a copy. Let him wait till a copy of his proceedings is brought.

Mr. Speaker: He has not seen a copy of the proceedings. I will get a copy of the proceedings from the editor and read it out for the benefit of the House.

Mr. Speaker: He has not seen a copy of the proceedings. I will get a copy of the proceedings from the editor and read it out for the benefit of the House.
Calling attention to matters of urgent Public Importance
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Calling Attention re: Headship of employees working in Engineering College Anantapur.

...
Failure of the Government to appeal to the Supreme Court
against the judgment of the High Court in the case of Arial
Bag reining Personnel.

V. P. A.,

Failure of the Government to appeal to the Supreme
Court against the judgment of the High Court in the
Case of Arial Bag Reining Personnel.

The Government, after the High Court's judgment in the
 matter of Arial Bag Reining Personnel, failed to appeal to
the Supreme Court. This is a significant omission as the
Supreme Court is the ultimate judicial authority in the
country. The Government's failure to appeal could imply
that it认可了High Court的判断，从而放弃了通过最高法院进一步申诉的机会。

This omission underscores the importance of timely
appeals in legal proceedings. The Supreme Court's
judgment could have been overturned or clarified, providing
clarification or rectifying any errors in the High Court's
decision. By not appealing, the Government missed an
opportunity to challenge the validity of the High Court's
judgment and ensure that justice is served.

Furthermore, the failure to appeal might also indicate
a lack of confidence in the Government's case or a failure
to appreciate the significance of the High Court's
decision. This could have implications for the credibility
of the Government and its decision-making processes.

In conclusion, the Government's failure to appeal to the
Supreme Court in this case is a matter of concern and
highlight the need for timely action in legal proceedings.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
15th December 1970

Calling Attention

re: Appeal of the Government to appeal to the Supreme Court against the judgement of the High Court in the case of Anirban Enginer & Personnel.

[Text in Bengali]

"Sir, I. K. Roy - My name also is there Sir.

Mr. Bipasha Roy - We have come to an agreement that one of the applicants will draw, for want of time.

Sir, I. K. Roy - If a big case is there, he can go to a court of law and assert his right. I would have left every thing to the Government to do justice but when the government is not doing justice, even then I was hearing to. If a small man in there where not happen to get there is a matter where the government, on instead of it, will entrench has gone to Supreme Court against the High Court judgement. There cannot be any law for its employee. More over; M.D. branches, already reported. My conclusion is to let the Governor, some responsibility so that it will prefer an appeal by the Govt.

[Text in Bengali]

In regard to the above, I find it necessary to state that

[Text in Bengali]
15th December 1970.

Sir: I have the honour to draw your attention to the 15th December 1970 appeal by the Governor of Andhra Pradesh in the Supreme Court against the order of the High Court in the case of Andhra Pradesh Engineering Personnel.

The Hon'ble Chief Minister sent a representation to the Government of India on 12th January 1968 seeking the granting of leave to the Governor of Andhra Pradesh to appeal to the Supreme Court against the decision of the High Court in the case of Andhra Pradesh Engineering Personnel. The representation was received by the Government of India on 12th January 1968. The Government of India referred the representation to the Ministry of Law and the Ministry of Home Affairs for their consideration.

In pursuance of the directive of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh, the Government of India decided to publish the proceedings in the case of Andhra Pradesh Engineering Personnel in the Official Gazette of India on 12th January 1968. The proceedings were published on 12th January 1968. The Governor of Andhra Pradesh was informed of the publication of the proceedings on 12th January 1968. The Governor of Andhra Pradesh was also informed of the decision of the High Court in the case of Andhra Pradesh Engineering Personnel on 12th January 1968.

The Hon'ble Chief Minister has been informed of the decision of the High Court in the case of Andhra Pradesh Engineering Personnel. The Hon'ble Chief Minister has also been informed of the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of Andhra Pradesh Engineering Personnel.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

On behalf of the Hon'ble Chief Minister.
15th December 1970.

Calling attention to : Failure of the Government to appeal to the Supreme Court against the judgement of the High Court in the case of Ashok Engineering Personnel.

provisional and a final decision is taken by the Government of India and that it cannot be said that these provisional decisions have not have received. nor by the Government of India dated 30.12.1955 and 14.6.1956. The High Court also directed that pending finalisation of the decisions on the basis of the representations received, the Government of India should publish the final decision. In the event of the Government of India's decision being in accordance with the recommendations made by the High Court, the Government of India should be bound to act accordingly.

As the situation has thus become quite pertaining, the State Government have felt it expedient in order to have a clear picture of the situation in the matter, a clear refusal of the Supreme Court on the various issues associated with the integration of services should he obtained, particularly whereas several appeals filed by the employees are pending before the Supreme Court. The Government of India have also been requested to inform the Supreme Court about the latest development in this matter. The Supreme Court has been informed that the Government of India is primarily responsible for the final decision in respect of integration of services and since the Ashok Engineering case is still pending before the Supreme Court, it is difficult to say whether the proposed decision will be final or not.
Calling Attention

December 1970

To the

Supreme Court

against the

judgment of the

High Court

in the case of

Auditor

Engineering Personnel.

The undersigned, therefore, calls attention to the fact that the judgment of the High Court in the case of Auditor Engineering Personnel, which was appealed against, is in direct conflict with the law and the judgment of the Supreme Court in the case of Auditor Engineering Personnel, as well as the principle of equity. The judgment of the High Court in the case of Auditor Engineering Personnel is based on an incorrect interpretation of the law, and is in violation of the principles of equity. The undersigned, therefore, respectfully requests that the Supreme Court reinstate the judgment of the High Court in the case of Auditor Engineering Personnel.
27th December 1980

Calling Attention

Re: Failure of the Government to appeal to the Supreme Court against the judgement of the High Court in the case of Anil vs. Personal

Sir, I am forwarding the papers in connection with the failure of the Go of India to appeal to the Supreme Court against the judgement of the High Court in the case of Anil
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Calling Attention 15th December 1970

Sir, Division bench of this Court has to decide a crucial question whether a political action or an action done in accordance with law. The Government of India is directed to publish a common gradation list which is an action done in accordance with law.

Sri Kanta Prasad Rao — He is missing the point.

Sri G. Laschana — Misleading the counsel.

Sri N. G. K. N. Ritty — Am I correct?

The Court has to decide whether an action is political or in accordance with law. The Government of India is directed to publish a common gradation list which is an action done in accordance with law.
Calling attention to Need for appropriate steps
needed to support the method of
enforcement under Penal Code Act.

...
Calling attention

15th December, 1970.

The levy of house tax is mandatory to all Gram Panchayats in the State as per the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayat Act, 1964. The house tax is levied either on the annual rental value or capital value or on the basis of plinth area. The maximum and minimum rates applicable to each basis of levy are prescribed in G.O. Ms. No. 223 P. R., dated 12-3-1965. It is open to the Gram Panchayat to adopt any of the bases of levy and the range of tax within the maximum and minimum limits to be prescribed.

General revision of assessment books of house tax shall be made once in every five years. The Collectors are empowered to fix the programme of general revision and to appoint Revision Officers by drawing suitable persons from among the Executive Officers working in the district.

The revision work is supervised by the District Panchayat Officers, Divisional Panchayat Officers and the Extension Officers (Pe) of the district. The executive authority of Gram Panchayat has got power to rectify any defects either in the value or classification of houses or the amount of tax payable or for substituting the mode of the power. The assesses have got a right to prefer "revision petition" to the executive authority for reduction of tax. An appeal also lies to the Gram Panchayat against the orders of the executive authority imposing the tax. In addition to the above, the aggrieved assesses can prefer a revision petition to Government. Thus, appeal remedies are provided in the Act for giving relief to the aggrieved persons.

The manner of assessing the annual or capital value of the holdings is laid down under rule 8 of G.O. Ms. No. 223 P. R., dated 12-3-1965. There is, therefore, no need to appoint an expert committee to go into the method of taxation.

The particulars regarding the assessment prior to and after the general revision in respect of Aileru, Keeda and Ramaswamy Panchayats in Nellore district are as follows:-
The CollectorNilam has reported that the increase is demanded in respect of Allur. Grand Porchupet was due to the prevailing high rental value of houses and the low assessment in the previous general year on. In respect of Kota and Dhamriyandur Grand Porchupet the increase was due to the general rise in the value of houses and sites.

The Collector Nilam has reported that the increase is demanded in respect of Allur. Grand Porchupet was due to the prevailing high rental value of houses and the low assessment in the previous general year on. In respect of Kota and Dhamriyandur Grand Porchupet the increase was due to the general rise in the value of houses and sites.

The Collector Nilam has reported that the increase is demanded in respect of Allur. Grand Porchupet was due to the prevailing high rental value of houses and the low assessment in the previous general year on. In respect of Kota and Dhamriyandur Grand Porchupet the increase was due to the general rise in the value of houses and sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basis of</th>
<th>Rate of</th>
<th>Year of Demand</th>
<th>Demand after revision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levy</td>
<td>general prior to</td>
<td></td>
<td>general revision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Allur</td>
<td>Annual rental 14%</td>
<td>1970-71 Rs 38 621 88 Rs 84 739 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Kota</td>
<td>Capital value 0.50</td>
<td>10 224 23 Rs 12 318 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Dhamriyandur</td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1968-69 Rs 4 709 09 Rs 9 002 62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Calling attention 15th December 1970

Re Orders of Shri Ashok, Zilla Parishad to shift the Z P High School from Ampuota

IX 18
IX 11 10

Class No. of students in June 1969 No. of students in June 1970
I 18 10
X 16 10

V. T. Ramaiah for due to non-constant strength in the Zilla Parishad High School at Ampuota of Srikakulam District as shown below it is reported to have been closed down by the Zilla Parishad.
28Q 13th December, 1970.

Calling Attention

Re: Need for completing second T. B. H. L. Canal at Ammapeta.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 41

The effective strength prescribed for each class is twenty, as per Rule 78 of the A.P. Educational Rules.

After closing the school at Ammapeta in July 1970, the situation has been visited by the Zilla Parishad in starting a High School at Tadip惩e.

The students of the closed down school at Ammapeta are reported to have joined in nearby schools at Guna, Kallapparam and Silvaram. The local people of Ammapeta are without doubt distressful of getting restoration of the High School in their place. In view of the fact that there are facilities of high school education in nearby places, Zilla Parishad, perhaps, may not be willing to consider it desirable to restart the economically High School at Ammapeta.

S. Radhakrishna, 

Re: Need for completing second T. B. H. L. Canal at Ammapeta.

Re: Need for completing second T. B. H. L. Canal at Ammapeta.
Calling attention

15th December 1930.

281

(T. B. R.)

(Sri T. S. M. in the Chair.)

1. The Hon. Mr. T. S. M. informed the House that the...
Calling attention

15th December 1970

10

Need for providing

East. to the Handicapped

Women.

The State of the United Nations. Wherefore it is hereby ordained that these Regulations shall come into force on the 15th December, 1970. This instrument may be cited as the United Nations Regulation No. 10 of 1970.
Attitude of Mental Health Electrics

with regard to Promotion

On 15th December 1970
286 13th December 1970.

Calling attention

Re: The Attitude of Management of Bharat Heavy Elektronik with regard to promotion.
15th December 1970

Calling attention

Re: Attitude of management of Bharat Heavy Electricals with regard to promotions.

In this regard, it may be mentioned that the management of Bharat Heavy Electricals has been consistently expressing a very positive attitude towards promotion of employees. The administration has been actively encouraging employees to take up new responsibilities and has been providing opportunities for them to do so. The management has been ensuring that employees are promoted based on their performance and dedication to the organization. In this way, the management is demonstrating its commitment to the development and growth of its employees.

This positive attitude towards promotions is an important aspect of the management's policy. It not only helps in retaining employees but also in attracting new talent to the organization. The management's efforts in this regard are commendable and should be appreciated.

In conclusion, the management's attitude towards promotions is very positive and demonstrates its commitment to the development and growth of its employees. This attitude is an important aspect of the organization's policy and should be appreciated.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
Calling attention

11:00 A.M.-noon management
of mental hospitals with
regard to promotion.

...
Calling attention
15th December 1970

Mr. Speaker, in the Chair,

Re: Need for reinstatement of victimized disabled workers of Sankara Textiles, Kakinada

Mr. Speaker, I have the honor to present a resolution to the effect that the disabled workers employed in Sankara Textiles, Kakinada, who were victimized for no fault of their own, should be immediately reinstated. The workers, who are suffering from various disabilities, have been employed for a number of years in various departments of the factory. They have given loyal service to the factory and have earned the respect of their colleagues and superiors. However, they were suddenly dismissed from their jobs without any notice or reason. The workers have been suffering financially and emotionally ever since. The fact that they were victimized because of their disabilities is particularly deplorable.

I urge the management of Sankara Textiles, Kakinada, to reinstatethe disabled workers at their earliest convenience. They should be provided with the same benefits and pay scales as that of other workers in the factory. The disabled workers, with their help and cooperation, can be an asset to the factory and the community at large.

Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
Mr. Speaker:

Sri A. Banerjee Reddy: I will be brief, Sir. Regarding the Zonal system, the House Committee has no authority to review the Zonal system although the Government of Andhra Pradesh was in favour of removing the Zonal system. The Government of India is not in favour for removal of the Zonal system. Our State Government is...
15 and 16 shall be finished. Then, Papers to be laid on the Table of the House will take another 3 minutes, if they have no objection, and if they sit for another 15 minutes I will discuss at the rest of the business. If they have no objection, the House will sit again from 4 P.M. to 7 P.M.

Mr. T.V.S. Chalapati Rao: There are meetings of the Privilege Committee and also the House Committee. The Speaker may be pleased to adjourn the House till to-morrow. If we sit for another 15 minutes, now where is the time to go and have food and have a little rest and then again meet in the evening?

Mr. Speaker: In that case I will adjourn the House and the business left over will be taken up tomorrow. Anyhow I must inform the House that the House will not be sitting beyond 17.30. Please take notice of it. It is for you to suggest.

Mr. T.V.S. Chalapati Rao: Let it be.

Mr. Speaker: The House stands adjourned to meet again at 8.30 A.M. on 16th December, 1970.