CHIEF MINISTERS' CONFERENCE ON LAND REFORMS

(a) whether it is a fact that our State has participated in the Chief Minister Conference held in New Delhi during the last week of November, 1969 to discuss about Land Reforms;

(b) whether it is a fact that the Conference has recommended enactment of Legislation, which is expropriatory in character; and

(c) whether a copy of the recommendations of the said conference will be placed on the Table of the House?

Only a summary of the recommendations has been received. The official proceedings are yet to be received.

Sri A. Madhava Rao:— What is the point of view expressed by the Chief Minister at the Conference?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— On what point?

Sri A. Madhava Rao:— On land reforms.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— Land reforms, as I said and all of you know, contains various items. If you can ask me on any specific issue I shall try to answer.

Sri A. Madhava Rao:— On ceilings what is the point of view expressed by the Chief Minister?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— So far as ceilings are concerned, the view of this Government is there is as yet no case for lowering the ceilings in this State.
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It is beset with legal and constitutional difficulties.

*3. (Mr. B.) (Member in-charge) :— Did you consider any of the two points mentioned in the Tamil statement? If so, please state which points?

*2. (Mr. A.) (Tamil Nadu) :— Considering the necessary consideration of the said points, the decision is taken by the Tamil Nadu Government to the detailed consideration of the two points. The Tamil Nadu Government has left that decision to the Central Government.
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1. (Mr. C.) (Chairman of the Committee):— In the case of a family of four members, the head of the family may be the son of the head of the family and the son-in-law of the head of the family. The husband of the head of the family may also be the head of the family.

2. (Mr. D.) (Member):— Under the Right to Inheritance Act, the right to inheritance is not automatic. It is subject to the conditions specified in the Act.
Oral answers to Questions.
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Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao—Absolutely no, Sir. No status quo.

Mr. Gopala Rao—Absolutely no, Sir. No status quo.

Mr. Gopala Rao—Absolutely no, Sir. No status quo.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao—Absolutely no, Sir. No status quo.
Sri K. Brahmam-anda Reddy:— The most important thing which has to be taken into consideration is that land ceiling is not a subject which can be tinkered with and discussed every year and an uncertainty created in the minds of small farmers. It is not good. It is not a question of mere idea. Even a good farmer with the availability of all things secured to him, what is it that he is able to solve. The second point is, so far as surplus land is concerned, you know that 15 lakhs of acres has been provided. I agree that taking over is a little tardy and it has to be further pushed up. I was told that in this year a few thousands have been taken over and more money has to be provided in the budget. So we are requesting the Collectors to provide more money in the budget,

Sri M. Sundarayya:— 17 lakhs, collected 10. 18 lakhs, 15 lakhs so far, 15 lakhs? 5 lakhs? 15 lakhs? 10 lakhs?

Sri S. Suresh:— 18 lakhs? 15 lakhs? 10 lakhs?
Oral answers to Questions.
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The point is state of economy, agricultural production—what the farmer is able to do and what he is not able to do. A farmer is earning Rs. 15,000 on one acre and 45 cents of land. Do you want to compare it with us here? What is the use of thinking about things which are not related to facts?
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Sri P. Subbaiah:— "Whether it is a fact that the Conference has recommended enactment of legislation, which is expropriatory in character" are so.

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Central Government sought the view of the State Government on the Ceilings on Urban Property and, if so, whether the Government communicated its views to the Central Government; and

(b) What are the views expressed by the State Government?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— I have no information sir.

CEILING ON URBAN PROPERTY

2—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Central Government sought the view of the State Government on the Ceilings on Urban Property and, if so, whether the Government communicated its views to the Central Government; and

(b) What are the views expressed by the State Government?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:

a) Yes, Sir, Not Yes, Sir,

b) Does not arise.
Oral Answers to Questions.
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... discuss the implications, complications etc. It may go into thorough consideration of the question. Probably they will be given three or four months time, not exceeding, at any rate, six months to go thoroughly into that entire question.

Sri G. Sivaiah (Puttur):—You have consulted so many members, you have consulted your party even. But you have not got the courtesy to consult us, so that we can develop our own views.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—It is not necessary to consult all Sir.

Sri G. Rajaram (Balkonda):—May I know what are the salient features of that Model Bill circulated by the Government of India?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—I am sorry. I have not got that but the Model Bill is there. If you want, I do not know, Sir, if the Model Bill also can be circulated to the Members. But I thought an opportune moment would be after the Committee goes into it and makes its recommendations. Along with the recommendations the Model Bill also can be given.

Sri G. Rajaram:—What is wrong if it is circulated to the Members also?

Mr. Speaker:—If there is no objection, you can get it circulated.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—I am not saying it is a secret document. There are many matters Sir, for which answers will have to be found. I will certainly consider. There is nothing secret about it.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Is it not in the State List of the Constitution? Therefore, where is the need for the Centre to circulate the Model Bill?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—The question of the ceiling on Urban Property was twice considered to be the subject matter
which should be dealt with by the Central Government. But they obtained some legal opinion and said that ceilings on urban property, the State Legislatures can enact legislation. That is the point on dispute. How it is we do not know. But we all felt also at one time that so far as urban property is concerned it is better the Central Government handles it itself. But all the same now that they have communicated the Model Bill and now that the Central Government also say that we have some powers to legislate on that, certainly that matter will be gone into and so far as providing the Hon’ble Members with copies of the Model Bill, I will certainly discuss it and examine it and there is nothing......

Sri G. Sivaiah :— There is no question of examining it. You can take the Members into confidence.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy :— The question does not arise. Taking this House into confidence is a ‘must’. ‘When’ is the question?

Mr. Speaker :— Do not go into all these things. These are all political matters. It is not advisable for this House to go into political matters. It is not desirable.

Mr. Speaker :— I am sorry. I cannot allow any more supplementaries. This is a problem for which you cannot do justice during question hour. Please sit down.

Sri G. Sivaiah :— Point of information.

Mr. Speaker :— No point of information also.
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Q. — (a) Will the Government extend the procedure of maintaining “the Record of Rights Registers” introduced in 1954 in Telangana region to Andhra region?

(b) If so, when?

(c) Whether the Government will take steps to rewrite the said records once in every ten years in view of the fact that many changes have occurred after the preparation of the said Kasra Records in 1954 in Telangana?

A. — The matter is under the consideration of the Government.

*201 (2230) Q. — Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) Whether the Government will take steps to extend the procedure of maintaining “the Record of Rights Registers” introduced in 1954 in Telangana region to Andhra region?

(b) If so, when?

(c) Whether the Government will take steps to rewrite the said records once in every ten years in view of the fact that many changes have occurred after the preparation of the said (Kasra) Records in 1954 in Telangana?

(a) & (b) The matter is under the consideration of the Government.

(c) No Sir
Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—We are going to Supreme Court.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Now, Sir my information is that the Board of Revenue initiated a special drive. I can get that information as to what has been done and how much has been done.
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1. Sri Tulabandula Nageswara Rao, (Mangalagiri);— Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that a conference of Secretaries to Government and Heads of Tribal Research and Training Centres was held in June, 1970 at Hyderabad;
(b) if so, whether any decisions were taken; and
(c) whether a summary of these decisions will be placed on the Table of the House?

A— Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:

Clause (a): Yes, Sir. It was held on 20th, 21st and 22nd June, 1970.

Clause (b): Not yet, Sir. This conference was convened by the Government of India, Department of Social Welfare and they have not yet communicated the resolutions passed at the conference. Decisions will be taken on receipt of the resolutions or recommendations from the Government of India.

Clause (c): Does not arise in view of (b) above.

Smt. Ramakumari Devi, (Madugula):— So far as development activities in Srikakulam are concerned, the people there have not heard about for the tribals. In the G. O., they have not proposed anything. We do not know at all. It is already six months.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— This question relates to the Conference of the Tribal Research and Training Centres. There is a research Centre in Hyderabad, Sir.

Sri P. Subbaiah:— That is very important in view of the tribal problems coming up very seriously.
Mr. Speaker:— The hon. Chief Minister says that it is a long list and that he will communicate copies to the hon. members.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— No, Sir. Some welfare schemes are taken up even with regard to tribals living in non-scheduled areas.

GRABBING OF LAND BY MONEY LENDERS IN THEEGALAMETTA, VISAKHAPATNAM DIST.

(a) whether a representation had been received by the Chief Minister addressed to him by Sri K. Govinda Rao, M. L. A., in the last week of October, 1969 on the alleged grabbing of the entire land belonging to the tribals of Theegalametta, etc., villages of Sarabhanapalem village, Chintapalli taluk, Visakhapatnam district by money-lenders of the plains; and

(b) if so. the action taken thereon?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—

(a) Yes, sir.

(b) The representation was referred to the Collector and Agent to Government, Visakhapatnam. The Collector issued instructions to the Revenue Divisional Officer, Narsipatnam to cause suo-motu enquiries under Regulation I of 1959 and to restore their lands immediately. He is also watching the work of the latter closely.
Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— In Theegalametta village, the extent of the land is about 500 acres out of which 200 acres are under cultivation. The remaining extent is covered by forest growth. In Jopilapalem survey settlement the tribals had been originally granted pattas to the extent of 45 acres which was under occupation. Out of the rest of the land, pattas were granted by Survey and Settlement Department to the extent of 200 acres taking into consideration the occupation of land by each tribal.

14 applications were received by the Revenue Divisional Officer in the year 1969 from the tribals requesting restoration of land in Theegalametta village. All the 14 applications were taken on file. They were finally disposed of in March 1970 by ordering restoration of land in respect of Theegalametta village. Out of 14 cases lands have been already handed over in 7 cases. In the remaining 7 cases the respondents went in appeal against the orders of the Revenue Divisional Officer, Narsipatnam.

(Questions 1, 2, 3 and 4 are repeated hereafter).

INCOME ON TODDY SHOPS IN THE COASTAL AND RAYALASEEMA DISTRICTS

(a) What is the income expected by the sale and auction of arrack and toddy shops for the period December, 1968 to September, 1970 in the coastal and Rayalaseema districts of Andhra Pradesh.
(b) what is the number of toddy and arrack shops established in those districts; and
(c) whether there is any demand for shops in places where there are no shops at present?

A—Sri K. Brahmasana Reddy:—

(a) and (b) A statement is placed on the table of the House.
(c) The answer is in the negative.
## Statement showing the (Excise) Shops Sanctioned and Auctioned and Rental in Royalseema and Coastal Districts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Number of shops Established</th>
<th>Number of shops auctioned</th>
<th>Annual rental in lakhs of Rs.</th>
<th>Balance to be auctioned</th>
<th>Agency area shops</th>
<th>BALANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toddy Arrack</td>
<td>Toddy Arrack</td>
<td>Toddy Arrack</td>
<td>Toddy Arrack</td>
<td>Toddy Arrack</td>
<td>Toddy Arrack</td>
<td>Toddy Arrack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>1121</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>29.19</td>
<td>36.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cuddapah</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>25.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Anantapur</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>838</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>18.56</td>
<td>18.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>20.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>...</strong></td>
<td><strong>2951</strong></td>
<td><strong>1872</strong></td>
<td><strong>2716</strong></td>
<td><strong>1575</strong></td>
<td><strong>59.66</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.32</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## COASTAL AREA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Number of shops Established</th>
<th>Number of shops auctioned</th>
<th>Annual rental in lakhs of Rs.</th>
<th>Balance to be auctioned</th>
<th>Agency area shops</th>
<th>BALANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toddy Arrack</td>
<td>Toddy Arrack</td>
<td>Toddy Arrack</td>
<td>Toddy Arrack</td>
<td>Toddy Arrack</td>
<td>Toddy Arrack</td>
<td>Toddy Arrack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>18.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Guntur</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>79.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>11.01</td>
<td>75.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>73.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>79.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>64.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>37.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>...</strong></td>
<td><strong>1982</strong></td>
<td><strong>2940</strong></td>
<td><strong>1899</strong></td>
<td><strong>2773</strong></td>
<td><strong>47.04</strong></td>
<td><strong>428.80</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(VIDE ANSWER TO CLAUSES (a) & (b) OF STARRED QUESTION No. 148)
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*291 (1742) Q.—Sarasri Md. Rajab Ali and N. Raghava Reddy:—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:
(a) what is the extent of land taken possession of by the Revenue Department from illegal patta holders in Laxmidevipalli village, Kothagudem taluk, Khammam district?
(b) what is the income derived from the said lands in the years 1968-69 and 1969-70: and
(c) whether the said lands are going to be transferred to Forest Department for plantation purposes?

A—The Minister for Revenue (Sri P. Thimma Reddy):—
(a) Acres 12.20.
(b) The land was leased out during the years 1969-70 for Rs. 235/-.
(c) No, Sir.

8—

*731 23731 Q.—Sri A. Madhava Rao:—Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:
(a) whether the Municipality of Nellore has applied for any loan during 1969-70;
(b) if so, for what purpose and the amount; and
(c) whether the loan has been sanctioned; if not, the stage at which the matter stands?

A—The Minister for Municipal Administration (Sri N. Chenchurama Naidu):—
(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) In November, 1969 for Rs. 3.00 lakhs for undertaking repairs to roads damaged due to heavy rains in October, 1969 and again in March, 1970, for Rs. 14.00 lakhs for repairs to roads and buildings.
(c) A loan of Rs. 1.00 lakh has been sanctioned.
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To the Hon'ble Members of the Legislative Assembly,

A. Sr. Theatre:— Will the Hon'ble Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Medical Officers in the State who are placed under suspension, as on 28-2-70 pending enquiry;

(b) the nature of offences for which they have been suspended and since when they are placed under suspension;

(c) the reason for so much delay in completing the enquiry;

and

(d) the nature of pay and allowances being paid to them?

The Hon'ble Minister for Health and Medical (Sri Mohd Ibrahim Ali Ansari):—

(a) and (b) A statement is placed on the Table of the House.

(e) The delay is due to the procedure to be followed in the conduct of enquiries by the Anti-Corruption Bureau and Disciplinary action under the C. C. A. Rules.

(d) Half the salary and allowances as subsistence allowance.
Statement placed on the Table of the House vide answer to clauses (a) and (b) of the Legislative Assembly Question No. 1450 C. [*9]

Statement showing the particulars of Medical Officers in the State who were placed under Suspension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the Medical Officer</th>
<th>Designation and place</th>
<th>Date from which under suspension</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Dr. C. Thirumala Rao.</td>
<td>Civil Asst. Surgeon Government Hospital, Pithapuram.</td>
<td>22-8-1968</td>
<td>The final report of the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings is awaited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Dr. S. Rajendran Naidu</td>
<td>Civil Assistant Surgeon, Government Headquarters Hospital, Srikakulam.</td>
<td>1-7-1969</td>
<td>The Government sanctioned prosecution to prosecute him before that Special Court, Special Police Establishment and Anti-corruption Bureau cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3; Dr. A. Veereswara Rao</td>
<td>Civil Assistant Surgeon Government Dispensary Thonam, Srikakulam Dist.</td>
<td>1-10-1969</td>
<td>The enquiry report of the Director of Medical and Health Services is awaited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Dr. N. S. Mukedkar</td>
<td>Civil Assistant Surgeon Government Hospital, Gajwel, Medak district.</td>
<td>22-4-1966</td>
<td>Prosecution was sanctioned to prosecute the Doctor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Dr. Md. Badruzzaman</td>
<td>Civil Assistant Surgeon Government Hospital, Zaheerabad Medak.</td>
<td>1-4-1968</td>
<td>Government sanctioned prosecution to prosecute him before the Special Court for Special Police Establishment and Anti Corruption Bureau cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Dr. C. S. Murthy</td>
<td>Assistant Professor of Dental Surgery, Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad.</td>
<td>22-11-1968</td>
<td>Sri S. Bhandarker, Deputy Secretary to Govt. Health and Municipal Administration Department submitted his enquiry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name of the Medical Officer</td>
<td>Designation and place</td>
<td>Date from which under suspension</td>
<td>Remarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Dr. N. Narayana Murthy</td>
<td>Civil Surgeon, Gandhi Hospital, Secunderabad.</td>
<td>29-1-1970</td>
<td>The Government sanctioned prosecution to prosecute him before Special Court for Special Police Establishment and Anti Corruption Bureau cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Dr. Mohd Ghousi</td>
<td>Civil Surgeon District Medical Officer, Karimnagar.</td>
<td>9-3-1967</td>
<td>Charges were not proved against him. Orders were issued retiring him from service on the date of which he attained the age of superannuation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Dr. Veerabhadra Reddy</td>
<td>Superintendent, Government General Hospital, Kurnool.</td>
<td>26-3-1969</td>
<td>Government sanctioned prosecution to prosecute him before the Special Court for Special Police Establishment and Anti Corruption Bureau cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Dr. Abdul Ali</td>
<td>Civil Assistant Surgeon Government Headquarters Hospital, Nizamabad.</td>
<td>27-7-1964</td>
<td>The case is under examination of the Government.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— The statement is placed on the Table of the House, Sir.

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— The reasons for delay have already been placed on the Table of the House. Any way we will see that in future no delay takes place.

Sri S. Jagannadham (Narasannapeta):— What are the offences committed by S. Rajendra Naidu, Assistant Surgeon, Srikakulam? 

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— Due to corruption.

Sri D. Venkatesam:— Regarding Dr. N. S. Mukhedkar it stated that prosecution was sanctioned to prosecute the Doctor as per Column 4 of the Statement and he was under suspension from 2-4-66. What is the action taken? When was the prosecution ordered? Whether the prosecution has been made and what is the judgment?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— I don’t have the details of that question.

KILLING OF SRI RANGACHARI OF SURVEY DEPARTMENT

(a) whether it is not a fact that one Mr Rangachari of the Survey Department was killed in Suryapeta in January 1969;
(b) how many were prosecuted in this connection; and
(c) what is the result of the prosecution?

The Minister for Home (Sri J. Vengala Rao):— a) During the agitation for separate Telangana State, one Sri S. V. Ranga Charyulu of Survey Department was burnt alive on the night of 27th Jan. 1969, by some miscreants at Nalgonda. He succumbed to the injuries on 29th January 1970.

(b & c) A detailed and thorough investigation was made. Due to lack of clues, the offenders could not be detected. The case was therefore treated as undetectable.
Does it reveal the efficiency of this Police Department particularly C. I. D?

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Will they refer it to the Central C. I. D. at least, because the State Government failed? The Government servant is burnt alive and they say it is detective.

Mr. Speaker:— After 1½ years what is the evidence with regard to it? They say it is not detective.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapati Rao:— Will it not amount to demoralisation of services of the State Government.

Mr. Speaker:— They tried their best. It is gathered that the matter is referred to and could not be detected. There the matter ends.

Sri G. Rajaram:— I know from the Home Minister that it is a fact that during the investigation of the case of murder of Rangachari, it was because of the personal animosity and not because of any other agitation.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— It is a fact, but the fact is what you have said.

Mr. Speaker:— It is a fact, but the fact is what you have said.
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DEATH  OP  A  HARI JIAN WOMAN

* 142 (2704) Q. — Sri S. Vemaiah:— Will the hon. Minister
for Home be pleased  to state:

(a) whether  it is a fact  that one Harijan  woman met her  death
during recent Gram Panchayat Elections at Thimmaputam  village,
Kalyanadurg taluk, Anantapur District; and

(b) the circumstances  that led to the above mentioned  death?

Sri J.  Vengala  Rao:—

(a) No,  Sir
(b) Does not  arise.

A case of  death of a Harijan  Woman by name Yelakamma w/o
Mareppa was  reported from  Thimmapur  Taluq, Anantapur  District
on 11-6-70. The police registered the case in Crime  No. 21/70 read
under Sec. 174 Cr. P C. and took up investigation. It was disclosed
that Yelakamma committed suicide by jumping  into a well.

SUGAR MILL AT ADONI

*315 (1977) Q.— Sri P. O. Satyanarayana Raju (Yemmiganu-
noor).—Will the hon. Minister for Handlooms and Co-operative
Factories be pleased  to state:

(a) whether  it is a fact  that a Sugar  Mill is likely to be estab-
lished at Adoni  in Kurnool District under Co-operative Sector; and

(b) if so,  the stage at which  the matter  now  stands?

The Minister  for Handlooms  and Co-operative  Factories
Sri A.  Bhagavanta  Rao:—

(a) No Sir.
(b) Does not arise.

RECOMMENDATIONS  OF  FIRST  SUGAR
WAGE BOARD

*868 (1446-D) Q.—Sri K. Govinda  Rao:—Will the hon. Mi-
nister for Labour be pleased to state:
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(a) when the First Sugar Wage Board recommendations were ordered to be implemented in the Sugar Factories in our State;

(b) whether Sugar Wage Board scales have been fully implemented by the management of the Anakapalli Co-operative, Agricultural & Industrial Society Ltd., Thummapala, Anakapalli Taluq, Visakhapatnam District; and

(c) if not, the action taken by the Government to enforce the same?

The Minister for Labour (Sri G. Sanjeeva Reddy):—


Cl. (b): As per the agreement dated 8th January, 1962 entered into between the management and the workmen, 30% of the difference between the then existing wages and those recommended by the Wage Board were to be paid from 1st November, 1960 and the balance to be paid from the date from which the Anakapalli Co-operative Agricultural and Industrial Society Ltd., Thummapala is expanded to a 1,000 tonnes crushing capacity per day. The above factory has not so far been expanded to the above capacity.

Cl. (c): Does not arise.
Oral Answers to Questions*
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(a) whether any disciplinary action has been taken against the management of Sri Someswaraswami Residential Scholarship hostel, Pa'akurthi as proposed; and

(b) if not, the stage at which the matter is pending?

The Minister for Information deputised the Minister for Social Welfare and answered the question (Sri A. Vasudeva Rao):

(a) Yes Sir. The recognition of the hostel has been withdrawn and the District Social Welfare Officer, Warangal has been requested to recover the scholarship amounts drawn by the Secretary of the hostel in respect of 3 students.

(b) Does not arise.

SRI SOMESWARASWAMY RESIDENTIAL SCHOLARSHIP HOSTEL PALAKURTHI

14—

919 (1453-Z) Q. - Smt. N. Vimala Devi (Chennur):— Will the hon. Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to recall the answer given to the question No. 590 (6726) on the floor of the Assembly on 24-9-1969 and pleased to state:

(a) whether any disciplinary action has been taken against the management of Sri Someswaraswami Residential Scholarship hostel, Pa'akurthi as proposed; and

(b) if not, the stage at which the matter is pending?

The Minister for Information deputised the Minister for Social Welfare and answered the question (Sri A. Vasudeva Rao):

(a) Yes Sir. The recognition of the hostel has been withdrawn and the District Social Welfare Officer, Warangal has been requested to recover the scholarship amounts drawn by the Secretary of the hostel in respect of 3 students.

(b) Does not arise.
Oral Answers to Questions. 9th December, 1970

Will the hon. Minister for Information and Public Relations be pleased to state:

(a) the number of copies of 'Andhra Pradesh' printed every month in 1968-69 and 1969-70;

(b) what is the approximate cost of printing of the journals, every month;

(c) where are they printed and what is the net cost for printing a copy of the journal; and the cost at which it is sold:

(d) what is the loss or profit in the above years; and

(e) whether there are unsold copies of this journal in these years if so, the number of them in each month?

A:— Sri A. Vasudeva Rao:— Particulars for (a) and (b) are placed on the Table of the House.

(c) The journal is printed, in all the four languages, in the Government Printing Press. The cost of the journal works out to
90 paise each. However, it is sold at 50 paise per copy as it is a publicity organ of Government.

(d) During the years 1968-69 and 1969-70 there was a loss of Rs. 20,724-01 partly due to reduced cost, partly due to free distribution of copies to certain individuals and partly due to certain unsold copies.

(e) The particulars are indicated in column (4) of each of the four statements placed on the Table of the House.


STATEMENT - I

No. of Copies of Telugu Edition of Andhra Pradesh Journal Printed and their Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month &amp; Year</th>
<th>No. of copies printed</th>
<th>Approximate cost of printing</th>
<th>Balance No. of copies unsold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 1968</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>13,500-00</td>
<td>3,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May &amp; June '68</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>13,500-00</td>
<td>3,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July '68</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>13,500-00</td>
<td>3,442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August '68</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>13,500-00</td>
<td>3,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September '68</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>13,500-00</td>
<td>1,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October '68</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>13,500-00</td>
<td>3,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November '68</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>23,400-00</td>
<td>2,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December '68</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>23,400-00</td>
<td>664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January &amp; February 1969</td>
<td>25,977</td>
<td>23,379-30</td>
<td>892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March &amp; April '69</td>
<td>26,275</td>
<td>23,647-50</td>
<td>949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May '69</td>
<td>27,063</td>
<td>24,356-70</td>
<td>3,287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June '69</td>
<td>25,965</td>
<td>23,368-50</td>
<td>1,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July '69</td>
<td>21,880</td>
<td>19,692-00</td>
<td>633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August &amp; September '69</td>
<td>21,881</td>
<td>19,691-10</td>
<td>1,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October '69</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>19,800-00</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November '69</td>
<td>21,725</td>
<td>19,552-50</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December '69</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>18,000-00</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 1970</td>
<td>19,790</td>
<td>17,811-00</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February '70</td>
<td>19,500</td>
<td>17,550-00</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March '70</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>18,000-00</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### STATEMENT - II


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month &amp; Year</th>
<th>No. of copies printed</th>
<th>Approximate cost of printing</th>
<th>BALANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 1968</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>2,700-00</td>
<td>911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May &amp; June '68</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>2,700-00</td>
<td>1,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July, '68</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>2,700-00</td>
<td>1,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August, '68</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>2,700-00</td>
<td>1,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September, '68</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>2,700-00</td>
<td>1,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October, '68</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>2,700-00</td>
<td>1,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November, '68</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>2,700-00</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December, '68</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>2,700-00</td>
<td>1,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January &amp; February 1969</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>2,250-00</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March &amp; April '69</td>
<td>2,300</td>
<td>2,070-00</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May, '69</td>
<td>2,300</td>
<td>2,250-00</td>
<td>711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June, '69</td>
<td>1,996</td>
<td>1,796-00</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July, '69</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>1,800-00</td>
<td>772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August &amp; September, '69</td>
<td>1,495</td>
<td>1,305-50</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October, '69</td>
<td>1,495</td>
<td>1,305-50</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November, '69</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,350-00</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December, '69</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,350-00</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January, 1970</td>
<td>2,350</td>
<td>2,115-00</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February, '70</td>
<td>2,350</td>
<td>2,115-00</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March, '70</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>2,340-00</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### STATEMENT - III

Statement Showing the Number of Copies of the Hindi Edition of Andhra Pradesh Journal Printed and Approximate cost of Printing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month &amp; Year</th>
<th>No. of copies printed</th>
<th>Approximate cost of printing</th>
<th>BALANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April, 1968</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May/June, '62</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July, '68</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>744</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Statement-IV

Statement Showing The No. of Copies of The Urdu Edition Of Andhra Pradesh Journal Printed And Approximate cost of Printing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month &amp; Year</th>
<th>No. of copies printed</th>
<th>Approximate cost of printing</th>
<th>BALANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April, 1968</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>630--00</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May/June, 68</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>630--00</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July, 68</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>630--00</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August, 68</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>630--00</td>
<td>265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September, 68</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>630--00</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October, 68</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>630--00</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November, 68</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>630--00</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December, 68</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>630--00</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month &amp; year</th>
<th>No. of copies printed</th>
<th>Approximate cost of printing</th>
<th>BALANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January/February, 69</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>Rs. 436.50</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March/April, 69</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>Rs. 412.20</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May, 69</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>Rs. 441.00</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June, 69</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Rs. 450.00</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July, 69</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Rs. 450.00</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August/September, 69</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>Rs. 441.00</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October, 69</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Rs. 450.00</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November, 69</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Rs. 450.00</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December, 69</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Rs. 450.00</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January, 1970</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Rs. 450.00</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February, 70</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Rs. 450.00</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March, 70</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Rs. 450.00</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUGAR QUOTA TO WHOLESALE DEALERS IN KHAMMAM DIST.**
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*645 (1740) Q.—Sarvasri Md. Rajabali & N. Raghavareddy:— Will the hon. Minister for Civil Supplies be pleased to state:

(a) What is the quota of sugar allotted to wholesale dealers in Khammam district from various places in 1968-69 and 1969-70;

(b) what is the basis in deciding the transport charges and fixing sale prices for this article;

(c) whether tenders have been called for by the Government for transport purposes as in the case of transport of fertilisers; and

(d) whether it is a fact that wholesale dealers have been allowed to include transport charges while fixing the sale price?

A:—The Minister for Civil Supplies (Sri A. Sanjeeva Reddy):—

(a) Two statements are placed on the Table of the House.

(b) According to Government orders, the following charges are to be added to ex-factory or wholesale price in respect of wholesale price in respect of wholesale or retail sale of sugar.
Wholesale:

(i) Actual cost of transport of sugar from the factory to the licensed place of business of the wholesale dealer as evidenced by vouchers:

(ii) Incidental charges including profit not excluding Rs. 2/- only per quintal of sugar in all the districts of Andhra Pradesh except Hyderabad district.

(iii) Insidental charges including profit and cost of special supervisory staff not exceeding Rs. 2-68 per quintal of sugar in the district of Hyderabad.

Retail sale: A sum not exceeding Rs. 2/- per quintal of sugar.

(c) Tenders are not called for by the Government for transport purposes, since it is the responsibility of the wholesaler to whom sugar quota is allotted to get it from the factory to the place of business and to claim transport charges as evidenced by vouchers.

(d) Yes, Sir.
Wholesale:

(i) Actual cost of transport of sugar from the factory to the licensed place of business of the wholesale dealer as evidenced by vouchers:

(ii) Incidental charges including profit not excluding Rs. 2/- only per quintal of sugar in all the districts of Andhra Pradesh except Hyderabad district.

(iii) Incidental charges including profit and cost of special supervisory staff not exceeding Rs. 2-68 per quintal of sugar in the district of Hyderabad.

Retail sale: A sum not exceeding Rs. 2/- per quintal of sugar.

(c) Tenders are not called for by the Government for transport purposes, since it is the responsibility of the wholesaler to whom sugar quota is allotted to get it from the factory to the place of business and to claim transport charges as evidenced by vouchers.

(d) Yes, Sir.
**STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE**

**VIDE CLAUSE (a) OF L. A. Q. N. 1740 (Starred). [* 16]**

Statement Showing The Allotment Of Sugar Made to the Whole-sale Dealers In Sugar In Khammam District,
(from various factories in 1968 and 1969 (Dealerwise & factorywise))

|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|

**II. Name of the wholesale Sugar Dealers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Dist. Co-op. Marketing Society, Khammam.</th>
<th>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(in quintals)</td>
<td>409 481 409 709 559 409 409 453 426 427 421 395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sri N. Ramalingam, Khammam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sri B. Sambasiva Khammam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sri V. Subba Rao, Khammam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sri R. Prabhakara Rao, Khammam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sri P. Ramulu and P. Rajeswara Rao, Khammam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sri R. V. Pitchiah, Khammam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sri P. Rajagopalam, Khammam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sri D. L. Narayana, Madhira.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sri Satyanarayana Khandelwai, Yellandu.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Sri Rajendra Kumar &amp; Company Kothagudem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Sri K. Pullalah &amp; Sons, Kothagudem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Sri Umadatt Ram Rattan,</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kothagudem.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Sri Ganesbram Seetaram,</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kothagudem.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Allotment</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STATEMENT II.
Statement showing the allotment of Sugar made to the whole-sale dealers in sugar in Khammam district (from various factories in 1968-69 (Dealers and factory-wise)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>D. Co-op. Marketing Society, Khammam.</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>1010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>N. Ramalingam, Khammam.</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>B. Sambasiva, Khammam.</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>V. Subba Rao, Khammam.</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>R. Prabhakara Rao, Khammam.</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(out in Quintals)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Allotment: 1200 1300 1300 2050 2050 2430 2050 2050
ASOKA'S EDICT AT JONNAGIRI

17—

*51 (1942) Q.—Sri K. Eswara Reddy (Pattikonda) :—Will the hon. Minister for Women Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware of the fact that the Pilgrims from the four corners of the country come to see the famous Asoka's Edict at Jonnagiri in Pathikonda taluk, Kurnool District; and

(b) whether the Government will take steps to develop the said place as a Small Tourist Centre by constructing a guest house there and providing drinking water facility?

The Minister for Women Welfare (Smt. Roda Mistry):—

(a) Not to our knowledge. But, if tourists are visiting the spot, we will call for details immediately.

(b) There are no proposals with the Government for the present to develop the place as a small Tourist Centre.

"KANCHU PATRA" FACTORIES

18—

*351 (2141) Q.—Sri R. Satyanaraya Raju.—Will the hon. Minister for Small Scale Industries be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the "Kanchu Patra" factories being run in the Municipal limits of Srikalahasti town, Chittoor District have been closed down;

(b) if so, the circumstances that led to their closure; and

(c) whether there is any proposal with the Government to revive the Industry by setting up sales agencies for articles manufactured by the managements of those factories?

The Minister for Small Scale Industries (Sri G.C. Venkanna):—

(a) It is not a fact that all the 'Kanchu Patra' factories being run in Municipal limits of Srikalahasti town have been closed down. There were 9 factories working in 1960 and now seven are working. The factories viz. Krishna Metal factory at Panagal and Sree Rama Metal Factory, Panagal were closed. The rest of the seven factories are working with limited production.

(b) The following are the reasons for their closure.

(i) Brass Household utensils have severe competition with stainless and plastic utensils,

(ii) the cost of raw material i.e. Gun Metal has gone high and hence the difference in the cost of stainless steel products and brass products is minimised. People are therefore preferring stainless steel products;

(iii) Partnership trouble in one unit viz. Krishna Metal Factory.
(iv) Labour troubles such as strikes and lockouts.

(c) No Sir.

FURNITURE MILL-CUM-WOOD WORKSHOP AT SANATNAGAR

19—

878 (1448 P) Q.—Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya :—Will the hon. Minister for Small Scale Industries be pleased to state;

(a) whether the Furniture Mill-cum-Wood Workshop at Sanatnagar, Hyderabad managed by the Andhra Pradesh Small Scale Industrial Development Corporation Ltd. Hyderabad are working;

(b) if so, what was the progress of each year from its starting and what is the present condition; and

(c) who are incharge of the Management from the beginning and what are his conditions of service and pay etc.?

Sri G. C. Venkanna :—

(a) Yes Sir.

(b & c) A statement is laid on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT TO BE LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE WITH REFERENCE TO THE CLAUSES (b and c) OF THE L.A.Q. No.1448-P (Starred) [*19] BY SHRI VAVILALA GOPALA KRISHNAIAH, M.L.A.

Answer to (b):

The unit was transferred to the Andhra Pradesh Small Scale Industrial Development Corporation Ltd., by the Government on 1-7-1962. The particulars of production, sales, profits/loss are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Production</th>
<th>Sales</th>
<th>Profit/loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>4,08,210</td>
<td>3,83,551</td>
<td>( + ) 34,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>4,18,003</td>
<td>4,74,094</td>
<td>( + ) 90,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>4,79,609</td>
<td>4,62,927</td>
<td>( - ) 38,611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>4,46,172</td>
<td>4,49,360</td>
<td>( - ) 54,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>5,37,539</td>
<td>5,09,759</td>
<td>( - ) 35,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>5,76,462</td>
<td>5,85,050</td>
<td>( + ) 32,198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>5,72,429</td>
<td>6,43,128</td>
<td>( - ) 2,193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The unit sustained a loss of Rs. 2,193/- during the year 1968-69. Audit for the year 1969-70 is in progress and the profit or loss position could be arrived at only after finalisation of the accounts for 1969-70.

Answer to (c):

Different officers were in charge of the Management of this unit at different periods. Their names are given below:
130 9th December, 1970
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2. Sri B. Vasudeva Rao, Administrative Officer.

Under G. O. Ms. No. 1284 Industries dated 25-8-1960 Sri V. Bhatnagar and Sri Lam Solomon were appointed in the scale of Rs. 700-1000 and Rs. 500-800 respectively. Sri Bhatnagar was designated as Manager and Sri Solomon as Asst. Manager. Sri Bhatnagar did not join. Under G. O. Ms. No. 1578 Industries dated 28-10-61, Sri B. Vasudeva Rao was appointed as Administrative Officer in the scale of Rs. 420-700. At present, Sri Solomon, who has been designated as Works Manager has been looking after the entire management of the factory without assistance of any Administrative Officer or Assistant Manager in the scale of Rs. 600-40-1000.

The Administrative Officer as pointed out earlier, was appointed in the scale of Rs. 420-700 which was revised to Rs. 500-800 in 1961 and on his transfer to the Head Office of the Corporation in the year 1964, the post of Administrative Officer was abolished so as to effect economy at the unit. The Assistant Works Manager was appointed on 30-6-1967 on a consolidated salary of Rs. 500/- per month. This post also has been kept vacant since 8-8-1968.
Oral Answers to Questions
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1. What is the basis for that appointment?

2. Why should replacement of an officer be there when he was working satisfactorily and the Concern was getting profit?

3. Why should the replacement of an officer be there when he was working satisfactorily and the Concern was getting profit?

4. Why should the replacement of an officer be there when he was working satisfactorily and the Concern was getting profit?

5. Why should the replacement of an officer be there when he was working satisfactorily and the Concern was getting profit?

6. Dr. T. S. Murthy in chair

7. Dr. T. S. Murthy in chair

8. Dr. T. S. Murthy in chair

9. Dr. T. S. Murthy in chair

Sri B. Ratnasabapathi:—This is sale. Let him not confuse. I am talking of the sales while he is talking of the production. The figure is already there in the statement. Why do you want to repeat? Facts are there. We do not want the Minister to repeat what he has stated in the paper. I am talking of the sales. Do not confuse it with production. Sale is what you sell of the produce.

Sri B. Ratnasabapathi:—There is no need for such a theory. When the sales are higher, the profits must be there. When the sales are also lower, there are profits. Why is it that when the sales are higher, the profits are less?

Sri B. Ratnasabapathi:—What is this? The answer is something atrocious.

Mr. Chairman:—Let it be answered by him. This is a simple question.

Sri B. Ratnasabapathi:—When the sales are lower, there is a profit of Rs. 32,000. When the sales have gone up by a lakh and odd, the balance sheet shows a loss of Rs. 2,000. What is the mystery behind it? That is my point.

Sri G. C. Venkanna:—I want to get it clarified. I will inform tomorrow. (INTERRUPTIONS OF 'Thank you' and 'Shame; shame')

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—Sir, I want to raise a question of privilege. The House is being misled by placing this paper on the Table of the House.
"The unit sustained a loss of Rs. 2,193 during the year 68-69." I am raising a point of privilege for misleading the House and misleading the public. 1967 Rs. 576,446. 1968 Rs. 585,050. 1969 Rs. 32,198. The unit sustained a loss of Rs. 2,193 during the year 68-69. 68-69 Rs. 5,72,428. 1969 Rs. 4,48,128. The unit sustained a loss of Rs. 2,193 during the year 68-69.

Mr. Chairman:—I understood your point. It is a question of misleading the House. You have raised a privilege issue.

Mr. Chairman:—Give a motion then.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—That is separate. I am not concerned with tomorrow's report. I am concerned with today's subject which is placed on the Table of the House which is absolutely misleading the House and which is in the nature of a confusion and not only a question of figures.

Mr. Chairman:—Give a motion. We will take up this question again tomorrow.

Sri P. Subbaiah:—The whole data given by the Minister is wrongly calculated and the figures are wrong. The information as such is misleading.

DEMAND FOR INDIAN PICKLES

20—

*268 (1569) Q.—Sri R. Mahananda:—Will the hon. Minister for Commerce be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is not a fact that there is much demand for our chutneys like Avakaya, Gongura and Lime Chatni, in foreign countries like America, England and Germany; and

(b) whether there is any proposal to start a Small Scale Industry for manufacturing these chutneys for export to foreign countries; and
9th December, 1970

(c) If any body comes forward for such an Industry will the Government give all facilities for manufacture and export?

The Minister for Commerce (Sri P. A. Prasada Rao):—

(a) There is demand for Indian pickles in foreign countries like the U. S. A., the U. K., West Germany etc., where Indians are residing in good number.
(b) No Sir.
(c) Yes Sir

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

VACANT POST OF DEPUTY COLLECTORS

S. No. 20-A, Q. No. 1664-J:— Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— Will the Hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) Is it a fact that 53 posts of Deputy Collectors are vacant now in the state;
(b) If so, the reasons therefor?

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—

(a) No Sir. As on 3-12-70 there were 27 vacancies. Out of these posting have been issued in respect of 7 posts and four more officers will become available for posting. In effect there will be only 16 posts vacant including the posts in the Offices of Heads of the Departments which are filled by Deputy Collectors.

(b) Promotions to the posts of Deputy Collectors could not be made in view of the decision of the Government that pending finalisation of common gradation list of Tahsildars as on 1-11-56 after approval by the Government of India promotions should not be made and also in view of the operation of stay orders of High Court in a writ petition filed by a Tahsildar.

The decisions of the Government of India on the representations have just been received on 8-12-1970. Action is being taken to finalise common gradation list of Tahsildars as on 1-11-1956 in the light of the decisions of the Government of India.
Short Notice  
Questions and Answers.  
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Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy: - My question has not been answered.  The reply to the provision common gradation list has been received on 8-12-1970. Now, further action will be taken.

Sri P. Thimma Reddy: - It is a matter of detail. If any member wants those things, if any specific point or points are given on which information is required, I will call for the information.

Government is quite anxious to see that the Tahsildars' promotions, Deputy Collectors' promotions are finalised as quickly as possible.
9th December, 1970

S. No. 20-B—

S.N.Q. No. 1668-W. Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao:—Will the Hon-Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) whether the police has arrested 24 workers belonging to the C. P. I. and C. P. M. in Regatta village of Nalgonda taluq during the Gram Panchayat Elections held on 27-11-1970;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister for Home (Sri J. Vengala Rao):—

A:—

(a) Yes Sir. The police arrested 28 persons.

(b) About 31 sympathisers and workers of C.P.I. and C.P.M. formed into an unlawful assembly at about 10 p.m. on 12-11-70 in Murmapalli and attached the house of the Sri Bhusigampala Mariah who defected from the C. P. I. (M) and contested in the panchayat elections as a congress candidate. They also attacked the houses of seven other congress sympathisers and caused injuries to seven persons with sticks and knives. On a complaint made by Sri Bhusigampala Mariah the police registered a case in Cr. No. 23/70/R/s/147, 307, 324 and 427 I. P. C. and arrested 28 persons in all.
Short Notice  
Questions and Answers.  

9th December, 1970  
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Questions and Answers.

1. సాధ్యం కేవల అంటే వెలుగు?

2. మనము కొనసాగారు మంచి అంటే వెలుగు?

3. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

4. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

5. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

6. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

7. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

8. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

9. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

10. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

11. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

12. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

13. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

14. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

15. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

16. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

17. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

18. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

19. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?

20. మనము కామం జరిగాలి అంటే వెలుగు?
Business of the House.
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Business of the House.

Question:

Mr. Member: — About the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — With regard to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In connection with the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In regard to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In respect to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In connection with the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In regard to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In respect to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In connection with the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In regard to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In respect to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In connection with the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In regard to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In respect to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In connection with the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In regard to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In respect to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In connection with the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In regard to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In respect to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In connection with the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In regard to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In respect to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In connection with the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In regard to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In respect to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In connection with the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In regard to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In respect to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In connection with the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In regard to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In respect to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In connection with the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In regard to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In respect to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In connection with the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In regard to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In respect to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In connection with the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In regard to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In respect to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In connection with the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In regard to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In respect to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In connection with the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In regard to the budget, any observations?

Mr. Member: — In respect to the budget, any observations?
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re: Entrance Examination for M.B.B.S. Course.

Mr. Chairman:— If anything is to be known, you can contact the Speaker personally in the Chambers.

Sri B. Ratnasabapathi:— Then I will wait for the hon. Speaker to come.

POINTS OF INFORMATION
re: Entrance Examination for M.B.B.S. Course.

1. Mr. Speaker:— The Entrance Examination for M.B.B.S. Course will be held at 10 A.M. on 12th December, 1970. 10 Scan will be taken and 11 Scan will be taken. 10 Scan will be taken and 11 Scan will be taken. We are entitled to know the reasons for the rejection. It can be announced in the Assembly and you can tell us here, now or later. There must be some reason for rejection.

Mr. Chairman:— Under Rules only it has been rejected.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Are we to understand that the Chairman has disallowed or the hon. Speaker?

Mr. Chairman:— Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker:— Then I will wait for the hon. Speaker to come.

1. 10 Scan will be taken and 11 Scan will be taken. 10 Scan will be taken and 11 Scan will be taken. 10 Scan will be taken and 11 Scan will be taken. We are entitled to know the reasons for the rejection. It can be announced in the Assembly and you can tell us here, now or later. There must be some reason for rejection.

Sri B. Ratnasabapathi:— Then I will wait for the hon. Speaker to come.
Points of information.  9th December, 1970

re: Entrance Examination for M.B.B.S. Course.

Now we see in papers that something has been done by the Supreme Court. As soon as that order is communicated by our Advocate...

Sri A. Madhava Rao:— It is an absolute order which we cannot vary under any circumstances. Therefore, what is the course of action that the Government wants to follow at present?

Mr. Chairman:— Do you mean to say that the Government should act on the report of the Press?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— We will proceed expeditiously on the basis of the Supreme Court Order.

Sri Y. Venkata Rao:— Instead of waiting for the order, why can't you depute some person to go to Delhi and get the order?

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah:— "During the course of hearing of the said Application to day, the Bench remarked that as a
Points of Information.

re: Corruption in Social Welfare Department, Krishna Dt.
re: Strike in Bharat Heavy Electricals.
re: Junior colleges.

Consequence of the confirmation of the earlier interim orders of the State High Court Judgment...it would be open for the State to proceed with the admissions to the M. B. B. S. course under the impugned rules and the government orders, deal with selection of candidates regarding admission solely on the basis of entrance tests.
Points of information.

re: Floods in Yellareddy,

re: Strike by the workers of Electricity Board.

re: Non-payment of salaries to teachers in Municipalities.

re:— Floods in Yellareddy,

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— We will try to give, Sir, before that date.

re: Strike by the workers of Electricity Board.

re:— Non-payment of salaries to teachers in Municipalities.
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance.

re: Delay in starting steel plant at Visakhapatnam.

Sri K. Brahamananda Reddy:— The Prime Minister announced the establishment of a Steel Plant at Visakhapatnam on the 17th April 1970. Since then the Government have taken several steps to hasten the implementation of the decision. Soon after the announcement the Prime Minister was addressed offering assistance of our officers to hasten the phase of the implementation of the decision. Several steps to formulate schemes for providing various
Calling attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance re: delay in starting steel plant at Visakhapatnam.

infra-structural facilities for the project has been taken in May. The Prime Minister was requested to depute the Site Selection Committee to discuss all the connected issues. Sri K. C. Pant visited Visakhapatnam on 2nd June 1970 for discussions. Soon after his visit, the first meeting of the site Selection Committee was held on the 17th July 1970 and the Committee requested the Government to furnish information on several technical details about land etc. to enable them to come to a conclusion about the selection of the site for the plant. The data was collected and furnished to the Committee. The Committee again met at New Delhi on the 28th August 1970 and considered the data and requested for some more technical data regarding soil test. These tests were completed and further particulars were furnished by 25th October 1970. Based on these details the Site Selection Committee met again on the 11th November and finalised the site selection. In the meanwhile the Government have presented a scheme for providing water facilities for Visakhapatnam mainly for the project at a cost of Rs. 50 crores for that first phase and requested them to implement the scheme. Simultaneous provision for other facilities like roads, residential accommodation etc. have already been initiated. Necessary staff has also been sanctioned for acquisition of land required for the project. Since the announcement of the Project by the Prime Minister, this Government have been taking expeditious action for the establishment of the Steel Plant at Visakhapatnam and there has been no delay at any stage. Now, Sir, the Government of India have recently approved site at Balacheruvu area as selected by the Site Selection Committee and we got a formal letter. I am happy to state that the Prime Minister has kindly agreed to inaugurate the work on the Steel Plant shortly.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— That will be decided. Not yet decided:

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— As soon as the date is known, certainly I will intimate to the House and not only that, I would make special arrangement for all Members of the Legislature to go to Visakhapatnam for the ceremony.

We have received a formal letter, Sir, as I told you. I have also telegraphed to Mr. Bhagath that we are eagerly expecting his announcement on the floor of the Parliament and all that.

Rs. 110 crores are provided in the National budget for the three new Steel plants during the IV Five-year plan period.
Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance,
re: Non-inclusion of Srikakulam district in the list of industrially backward districts.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy: - I want notice, Sir.
re: Non-inclusion of Srikakulam district in the list of Industrially backward districts.

In pursuance of the decision which emerged from the meeting of the National Development Council, the Planning Commission
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance, re: Non-inclusion of Srikakulam district in the list of industrially backward districts.

requested the State Government to identify industrially backward districts in the State for the grant of special concessions from the financial institutions and by the Government of India. The Planning Commission suggested the following criteria for identifying industrially backward districts in the State to qualify for special concessions from the financial institutions given by the Government of India:

1. Per capita food-grains or commercial crops production depending on whether the district is permanently a producer of food grains or cash crops;

For inter-district comparisons conversion rates between foodgrains and commercial crops may be determined by the State Government on a pre-determined basis where necessary:

2. Ratio-of population to agricultural workers;

3. Per capita industrial output;

4. Number of factory employees per lakh of population or alternatively number of persons engaged in secondary and tertiary activities per lakh of population;

5. Per capita consumption of electricity;

6. Length of service roads in relation to the population or railway mileage in relation to population. It is also indicated that those districts with indices well below the State average may be selected.

After collecting the various data on the lines suggested by the Planning Commission, the State Government recommended the following districts for declaration of backward areas as the indices in these districts are less than 90% of the State average – Nalgonda, Medak, Mahabubnagar, Karimnagar, Warangal, Khammam, Chittoor, Anantapur and Ongole. Of this, the Planning Commission in consultation with the Ministries of the Government of India have approved of eight districts i.e., all those recommended except Ongole. I am sorry, Sir. According to the statistics the indices for Srikakulam do not fall below 90% of the State average. Hence, the district has not been recommended for inclusion in the list of backward districts in the State. The State Government did not overlook the case of Srikakulam district. The backward districts were recommended on the basis of statistical data and criteria suggested by the Planning Commission.

Sir, in addition, I may submit to the House that practically out of about Rs. 24 crores allotted for the coastal districts for special measures to be taken, about Rs. 2 crores roughly including the tribal development- of course- roughly I am sure it is not less than 1.75 crores of rupees is allotted to Srikakulam district. Secondly under the small farmers scheme, a Revenue Division in Srikakulam was taken where in the IV Plan period they get assistance of about Rs. 1.5 crores. This is for the information of the House, Sir.
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Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance.

re.: Attachment of property etc. in collection of land revenue.

Sir,

Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance, re.: Attachment of property etc. in collection of land revenue.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

[Name]

[Position]
Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance, 9th December, 1970.
re: attachment of property etc. in collection of land revenue.

Sri B. Niranjana Rao:— What they said have not been implemented in spite of so many requests. One Minister says one thing and another Minister says another thing. This is absurd.
9th December, 1970.
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re: Attachment of property etc. in collection of land revenue.
Calling attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance.

re: Attachment of property etc. in collection of land revenue.

In view of the huge arrears of land revenue, as per the instructions of the Revenue Board dated July 16th last year, no coercive measures would be adopted till the next cist season.

Revenue Minister would see that the revenue officers did not attach the properties of the Ryots as per the

Committee's decision.
150 9th December, 1970. Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance, re: Attachment of property etc. in collection of land revenue,

Sri A. Eswara Reddi:—Sir, the other day we have also given notice of privilege against the Minister for Revenue on this issue. This is a serious problem and I doubt whether the bureaucracy is thriving under the cloak of ministerial responsibility. The Minister has assured the House on 5–8–70, i.e. in the month of August that he would not adopt coercive measures and collect the kist and the revenue collections till the next kist season. But his hopes were not honoured by the officers under him. So, this is a serious case of breach of privilege and the Minister has to explain for that. Apart from that, even in the British days coercive measures were not adopted against ryots for collection of kist; now, the revenue officers are attaching tamarind trees. It is a problem confronting several ryots. I request the Minister to give strict orders in this regard and enforce the law.
Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance.

re: Attachment of property etc. in collection of land revenue.

2. Representation:— The Government, after careful consideration of the representations made by you, have decided not to use any coercive methods unless orders are issued by collectors to that effect. The Government have directed collectors not to use any coercive measures until the next fasali, i.e., until the next kist season commences. The Government have also ordered the collectors to use all possible steps to collect the loans, all arrears and to collect the taxes. The Government have also ordered the collectors to communicate all matters relating to coercive measures to the Government. The Government have also ordered the collectors to communicate all matters relating to coercive measures to the Government.

Sri P. Thimma Reddi:— Representations were received complaining about the collection of land revenue long after the harvest and suggesting that it would be desirable to postpone the collections until the next kist season. In view of the difficult Ways and Means position of the State, Government decided not to postpone the collections of land revenue but to continue the collections without recourse to coercive processes till the kist season commences. The Board of Revenue has issued instructions to the collectors in this regard in July 1970. However, there seem to have been some cases of attachments in some districts. In several cases these seem to be in respect of habitual defaulters from whom large amounts of land revenue are due. In the case of Chittoor District permission of the Board was taken by the Collector for tackling big defaulters who owed more than Rs. 500/-.

In this connection, the Collector took into account the harvest of the groundnut crop. In respect of the specific instances mentioned in the call attention notices, the position is as follows:

In respect of harassment of ryots in Vasili Village in Aimmakur taluk of Nellore District, the P. A. to the Collector has stated that no such instance has been brought to notice; the Tahsildars have already been instructed not to resort to coercive processes in the matter of collections.

In the case relating to the attachment of groundnut trees and tamarind trees in Moulikur and Royalpet taluks in Chittoor District, the Collector has stated that coercive process was reverted.
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Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance,

re: Attachment of property etc.
in collection of land revenue

to in the case of the following defaulters who were in default to the extent noted against each—

1. Mrs. Sivamma Rs 1,143-87
2. Mr. Nidimamidi Gowd 1,302-52
3. Mr. N. Buhiayya Gowd 525-00
4. Mr. K. K. Basi Gowd 516-00
5. Mr. K. S. Subbaramaiah 722-93

In respect of Krishna District, the Collector had indicated that some attachments may have been effected in respect of habitual non-residential defaulters and he has been asked to furnish the particulars and these are awaited.

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:— That is the note given to me by the department. These details were brought by wireless from the Collectors, because these things are said by friends, and I believe friends. Collectors also cannot be aware of these things, because they have to get in turn from the R. D. Os. and Tahsilars. I promise the House that wherever such things have taken place, I am getting those details. 

Sri D. Venkatesam:— There are clear instructions.

Penalties
Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance.

re: Attachment of property etc.
in collection of land revenue.

9th December, 1970

I do not know how these issues were not settled all these years. Now I have brought them to a position and I have requested the officers to analyse these issues and in the near future we are going to take a decision about these penalties also.

Sri B. Niranjana Rao:— The whole trouble is they are shifting the responsibility. They must fix a responsible person. The Collector may be made responsible. What is the use of referring to R. D. O.,
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re: Attachment of property etc. in collection of land revenue. 

R. I. and so on and saying that the Collector is not responsible? What is this sort of defending the Collectors?

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:— I am telling the House we are going to take all action that is necessary and that such things won't be repeated.

Several members rose—


Mr. Chairman:— We have already taken nearly 45 minutes on this call attention motion. I request all of you not to waste any further time. There are a number of matters to be taken into consideration. However, there is one point which Mr. Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah raised. He wished to know whether the Minister is willing to convene a conference of legislators.

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:— I am inviting all the friends interested to meet me and our officers on the 12th evening. I am announcing and sending a Circular.

Mr. Chairman:— The Board has to modify the rules. I would like to make a comment on this. There are certain rules regarding confiscation in the Board Standing Orders. Unless we call for them and settle on the spot within 4 or 5 days, and you convene a meeting of the legislators, they will say something and record all comments and they will not pass orders.

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:— It is not only this. There are many outdated things which have to be reconsidered and corrected and then made atresch. That is why, I request that not only the things that we are now discussing, but even other things which friends would like to present, should be considered.

Sri B. Niranjana Rao:— We are not bothered about other things. Let the Minister visit one place on Sunday.

Mr. Chairman:— He is not disputing about your argument.

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:— After all, when a call attention notice and a privilege motion have come up and friends want to focus attention on them, every day they are discussing with me and in their presence I am telling the officers that all steps necessary to reduce or to avoid this kind of trouble should be taken; and we have already taken necessary steps.
Calling attention to matters of
Urgent Public Importance.
re: Fire accident at Dokulapadu,
Srikakulam Dt.

Sri D. Venkatesham :— Sir, I rise on a point of order. There are clear instructions to the Collectors not to use coercive measures up to next Fasli. Ignoring those instructions, the Collectors have acted, but the Minister wants to shield those people.

Mr. Chairman :— That will not come under point of order. The point of order is a matter which is against the rules of procedure.

Sri D. Venkatesham :— The Minister was pleased to inform us that in future nothing will happen. But what is the action that Government proposes to take against these people? When there are clear instructions from the Government not to use coercive measures, they have actually used them. The Minister has ignored the facts.

Mr. Chairman :— The statement is that there are people who do not pay land revenue for years: they are habitual defaulters.

Sri D. Venkatesham :— They must be tackled. But the Government has also asked them to collect, but not to use coercive measures. Take the question of attaching of crop. Supposing the crop is attached, where are you going to get the amount?

Mr. Chairman :— If you do not give the amount to the Government for several years, what are they to do? When they are habitual defaulters, they have to take necessary action.

Sri Palavalli (Chodavaram) :— If everybody is allowed to clear his doubt, the discussion will be endless.

Mr. Chairman :— That is not the general practice. But since this is a matter of great concern to the ryots as well as members, I have allowed.

Sri Palavalli :— We have got a number of doubts. It is impossible to go in this way.

Mr. Chairman :— No more discussion please. Let us proceed to the next Call Attention Motion.

Re: Fire Accident at Dokulapadu Village, Tekkali Taluk,
Srikakulam District on 29-11-1970.
Callaing attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance,
re: Strike by workers of Tirupathi Cotton Mills.

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—This fire accident occurred on 29th November, 1970, at Dokulapadu village, Tekkali taluk, Srikakulam District. 379 houses were gutted. There was no loss of human life nor cattle life. There was no loss of Government property. The Collector of Srikakulam has estimated the total loss due to the fire accident to a tune of about Rs. 1,41,300. There was no foulplay suspected Cash relief worth Rs. 18,950 was sanctioned by the Collector to the victims. 10 bags of rice and clothes locally procured were distributed to the fire victims.

re: Strike by Workers of Tirupathi Cotton Mills, Renigunta, From 25th November, 1970

Sri A. Eswar Reddy (Tirupathi):—Sir, the workers of the Tirupathi Cotton Mills are on strike from 25-11-1970. It is a justifiable strike. I am the President of the Chittoor District Cotton Mills Employees Union. We have negotiated with the Management on several issues but they are not coming forward for a compromise, to ameliorate the conditions of the workers and to take them to work. The workers are clamouring for better wages and for bonus benefits which are not given in the regular way. Wages also are not being paid to the workers regularly. Hitherto, The mill was getting profit of Rs. 12 lakhs every year because of the unfair labour practices adopted by the Management. Because they have two mills—one the Tirupathi Cotton Mills at Renigunta and another at Nirmal. They are not able to finance or buy cotton and give the workers their wages in due time. They have another private organization, i.e., the Surgical Cotton plant which is adjacent to the Tirupathi Cotton Mills. The management used to send away cotton to the Surgical cotton Mill which is nearby and at times the workers here were faced with not having cotton and they were not given work also. Such things happened there. We have been repeatedly telling the management to adopt fair practices and then boost up production; but they paid a deaf ear and were not caring for the Union's advice. The strike is legal, because we have given notice and exhausted all other modes, such as conciliation, negotiation, etc. We have asked the Labour Department to intervene and do justice for the workers. They have not done so. So finally the workers went on strike on 25-11-1970. About a thousand workers were stranded there for 5 days, till after we have negotiated with the management and once again got them to work. On Diwali day bonus and other benefits
Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance.

re: Strike by workers of Tirupathi Cotton Mills.

were not given to the workers and they were very much agitated. I request the Minister to intervene and instruct the management not to take to these unfair practices and run the mill in the best known way.

Sri G. Sivayya:— The Tirupathi Cotton Mills have been placed in a peculiar position. There is a big history behind it into which I am not going now. Our Government also recommended a loan of Rs. 20 lakhs for the mills. For recommending financial assistance, we are also responsible. Sri Eswara Reddy, myself and others—we also requested the Government to help the mill to come up.

The workers there are very patient. They have gone even to the extent of not demanding the management for their back salaries arrears for various months, with the intention to see that the mill should be first well stabilised and once it is stabilised, their condition would improve. With that view, the workers co-operated with the management, even fore going some of their demands such as back-wages etc.

In spite of all these things, the management now developed a sort of hatred for the workers for reasons best known to themselves. When we had given the motion, the workers were on strike that day. Now, the matter has been settled. This looks to be a ding-dong business. The management refuses to concede even the most legitimate concessions to be given to workers for longer periods and the management will be going on postponing settlement. When the workers organise themselves in a rigid way, then they come out. This is how things are going on.

In a matter like this, Government should take serious steps. Particularly the Labour Department should take very good initiative and settle the issues. When the workers had given notice a long time before, if only the Department moved swiftly the matter would have been settled. At least in future, I request the Labour Minister to instruct his department to move swiftly in these matters. It looks that he is very active; but that much activity is not sufficient: he must be more active throughout day and night to solve these problems. Thank you, Sir.
The Minister for Labour (Sri G. Sanjeva Reddy):—

In view of the strike notice dated 15-10-1970 given by the Chittoor District Cotton Mills Employees' Union, Renigunta conciliation proceedings were started by the Labour and conciliation Officer Nellore; but they ended in failure. The workers of Tirupati Cotton Limited, Renigunta, went on strike from 25-11-1970 from 7-00 A.M.

The Union representatives demand among other things that the wages due to the workers for October 1970 should be paid immediately without imposing any condition and that the workers would resume work if the management agreed to pay the arrears of bonus for 1968–69 within a period of 15 to 20 days or by two instalments with a reasonable time and also agreed at least for conceding the demands of 1) regularising the services of N.H.B.R. Workers, and 2) enhancing the salary of the clerical and watch and ward staff immediately.

The Management insisted the workers to resume duty first and then receive wages for October 1970 which was kept ready and said that it was not possible to pay the arrears of bonus for the year 1968–69 before the next Ugadi and to concede the demands of enhancement of wages to clerical staff and regularising the services of N.M.R. workers immediately in view of the crippled financial position of the management. However after prolonged discussions, both the parties came to an amicable settlement and signed a Memorandum of settlement under section 18 (1) of the Industrial Act, 1947, on 29-11-1970.

The important terms of the settlement are as follows:

1. The salaries and wages for the months from October, 1970 to January 1971 shall be paid as follows:
   (i) October 1970 on 30-11-1970,
   (ii) November 1970 on 26-12-1970,
   (iii) December 1970 on 13-1-1971,
   (iv) January 1971 on 10-2-1971,

2. The arrears of bonus for the years 1967–68 and 1968–69 (i.e., two years) shall be paid in three instalments on the following dates:
   (i) 1st instalment before 31-12-1970,
   (ii) 2nd instalment before 31-1-1971,
   (iii) 3rd instalment before 28-2-1971,

3. The scales for the clerical staff and watch and ward staff shall be fixed simultaneously and paid along with November 1970 wages and salaries.

4. All the N.M.R. workers who have joined in 1968 and 1969 and who have completed more than one year of service will be regularised after test before the end of December, 1970.
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re:— Refusal by Government for cultivation of Vacant sandy waste land in pullaripalem.

The demands not covered by the settlement have not been pressed for the present. In pursuance of the settlement, the workers union has called off the strike and all the workers resumed duty from 7-00 A.M. on the 30th November, 1970.

The report is as follows:

re:— Refusal by the Government to allow cultivation in the Vacant Sandy waste land in Pullaripalem, Chirala taluk by the landless poor and other backward classes people on the plea that the sand land belongs to reserve forest.

Special features:

The crisis situation has arisen due to

1. The demands not covered by the settlement have not been pressed for the present.

2. The workers union has called off the strike and all the workers resumed duty from 7-00 A.M. on the 30th November, 1970.

3. The Government has refused to allow cultivation in the Vacant Sandy waste land in Pullaripalem, Chirala taluk by the landless poor and other backward classes people on the plea that the sand land belongs to reserve forest.
160 9th December, 1970.

Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance re: Refusal by Government for cultivation of Vacant sandy waste land in pullari palem.

This plot is an unsurveyed block as per revenue accounts and appears to have been handed over to the Forest Department by the Revenue Department in 1957 and the Forest Department has taken up reservation proceedings.

This plot is sandy and is not worth-while and has no worthwhile crop. It is surrounded by private patta lands.

Agricultural labourers residing in the village want the land to be assigned to the......The District Revenue Officer informed the District Forest Officer to give his approval for release of the plot for cultivation.

I personally feel that cashew growing on such small extent may not make any significant difference to our foreign exchange position.........As already discussed in para 2, the plot is fit for cultivation and as there has been demand by the local landless poor for the assignment and as the notification under Section 4 of the 1Act for reservation has not been published I recommend that the and may be ordered for withdrawal from the Forest Department and made available for assignment to the local landless poor who will also benefit from the available irrigation facilities.
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Calling attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance.
re: Refusal by Government for cultivation of Vacant sandy waste land in Pullarpalem.

Mr. Speaker:— In the Chair.

Mr. R. K. Doraiswamy, I. A. S., Collector and District Magistrate:— This letter has been sent to the Secretary to Government, Food and Agriculture Department on 24-10-70. It is about assignment of forest land, Ongole District, Cheerla Taluk - de-reservation of forest land. In which the Forest Officer is there, the Collector is there and some other officer is there. He has not yet received that communication, he says. He is prepared to reconsider the whole thing.

Mr. Speaker:— He has not yet received that communication, he says. He is prepared to reconsider the whole thing.

(Mr. Speaker:— Mr. R. K. Doraiswamy, I. A. S., Collector and District Magistrate:— This letter has been sent to the Secretary to Government, Food and Agriculture Department on 24-10-70. It is about assignment of forest land, Ongole District, Cheerla Taluk - de-reservation of forest land. In which the Forest Officer is there, the Collector is there and some other officer is there. He has not yet received that communication, he says. He is prepared to reconsider the whole thing.)
PRESENTATION OF THE REPORTS OF THE REGIONAL COMMITTEE.


Sri J. Chokka Rao (Chairman, Andhra Pradesh Regional Committee):— Sir

I beg to present under Rule 164-K of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules, the report of the Andhra Pradesh Regional Committee on the following Bills:


Mr. Speaker:— Reports presented.

GOVERNMENT BILLS

The Andhra Pradesh Minor Forests Produce (Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

Mr. Speaker:— This is entirely a different Bill.

Sri S. Suryanarayana Raju:— I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Minor Forests Produce (Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970 be read a first time".

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.
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The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.
The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970

165

(Sri G. Venkata Reddy in the chair)
9th December, 1970  The A.P. Minor Forests Produce (Regulation of Trade, Bill, 1970)

হিন্দু.  অপেক্ষায়, যদি মানুষের দেহে কোনো ক্ষত হয় তবে আয়োজনক আর্থিক পরিস্থিতি এবং বিলুপ্ত বিনিয়োগের ফলস্বরূপ ক্ষত বাড়ানোর সাথে সাথে প্রতিবেদন করা হয়। কিন্তু বিনিয়োগের একটি অংশ হিসেবে ক্ষত বাড়ানোর প্রতি কোনো আর্থিক পরিস্থিতির প্রতি নতুন সম্পর্ক স্থাপন করা হয়। ক্ষত বাড়ানোর সাথে সাথে প্রতিবেদন করা হয়।

হিন্দু.  অপেক্ষায়, যদি মানুষের দেহে কোনো ক্ষত হয় তবে আয়োজনক আর্থিক পরিস্থিতি এবং বিলুপ্ত বিনিয়োগের ফলস্বরূপ ক্ষত বাড়ানোর সাথে সাথে প্রতিবেদন করা হয়। কিন্তু বিনিয়োগের একটি অংশ হিসেবে ক্ষত বাড়ানোর প্রতি কোনো আর্থিক পরিস্থিতির প্রতি নতুন সম্পর্ক স্থাপন করা হয়। ক্ষত বাড়ানোর সাথে সাথে প্রতিবেদন করা হয়।
The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

167
9th December, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970

...
The A. P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

...
170
9th December, 1970.
The A.P. Minor Forests Produce (Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.
172 9th December, 1970 The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce
(Regulation of Trade BiH, 1970.
The A.P. Minor Forests Produce (Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

Mr. Speaker in the Chair:

As the House is in possession of the Bill and has already heard the arguments on the subject, I desire to move that the Bill be read a second time.

As the Bill was introduced in the Assembly by the Chief Minister, and he has submitted a draft explanatory memorandum, it may not be necessary to read the explanatory memorandum now.

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)
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My opinion is that this Bill also certainly creates another means of corruption. My opinion is that this Bill also certainly creates another means of corruption.
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The A. P. Minor Forests Produce 
(Regulation of Trade) Bill 1970.

9th December, 1970

ас-क हलाते  का तीन दिन चली गई चार्टूं अनुसार 
टू-टू दिनों में कुछ सीमाएं लगायी गईं। जबकि 
सीमाओं लगाई गईं, वहाँ से दुर्भाग्य से लड़ने वाले 
होते थे। इसी समय अनेकों ने दर्ज किया था। इस 
कारण इसमें अनेक परिवर्तन सम्झित नहीं थे। अनेकों 
ने जद्योत किया था कि इसमें अनेक परिवर्तन सम्झित 
नहीं थे। अनेकों ने जद्योत किया था कि इसमें अनेक 
परिवर्तन सम्झित नहीं थे।

ती. राखिमलाण्ड: — अवधारणा, इस नियम में कहा जा सकता 
है कि इसका आधार एवं संस्था है। जब इस 
नियम में कहा जा सकता है कि इसका आधार एवं संस्था है।

मनुष्यों के अध्यात्मिक उत्साह के साथ इस नियम का 
स्वाभाविक आधार था। इसके अलावा, इस 
नियम का आधार एवं संस्था है।

साधारण आधार एवं संस्था है।

ताहि तौर पर, इसे एक साधारण आधार एवं संस्था 
है कि इसका आधार एवं संस्था है। इसे एक साधारण 
आधार एवं संस्था है।

नागरिकता एवं सामाजिक उत्साह के साथ इस नियम 
का स्वाभाविक आधार था। इसके अलावा, इस 
नियम का स्वाभाविक आधार था।

मानचित्त के साथ इस नियम का 
स्वाभाविक आधार था।
Mr. Speaker, Sir, at the very outset I oppose this Bill. Though it looks progressive, in the guise of nationalisation my fears are that the Government or the party in power is trying to take full political advantage out of this bill as it has been doing for the past quite sometime. Now, however, since the party in power has the crude majority to rush through the bills in this House and get them passed, I also make it conventional and wish to point some legal inconsistencies in the bill.

Clause 17 of the Bill says —

“No court shall take cognisance of any offence punishable under this Act except on a report in writing of the facts constituting such offence made by any forest officer, not below the rank of a Divisional Forest Officer, or such other officer as may be authorised by the Government in this behalf.”

Here, in Clause 17 you empower the Divisional Forest Officer to place the report before the Court while in clause 14 (3) you empower the Forest Ranger. Even here it is full of inconsistencies in as much as sub-clause (3) of Clause 14 says :—
"Any Forest Officer not below the rank of a Ranger who, or whose subordinate, has seized any property, other than the minor forest produce..."

May I point out to this House that once you confine the powers to be exercised under this Clause to an Officer not below the rank of a Forest Ranger, mentioning some other officer for the same purpose defeats the purpose of delegation. If you mention the words "or whose subordinate" any subordinate officer may act and this will result in misuse or abuse of power creating hardship to the people.

Clause 14 says —

"Any forest officer or police officer not below the rank of a Sub-Inspector, or any other person authorised by the Government in this behalf may, with a view to securing compliance with the provisions of this Act or the rules made thereunder or to satisfying himself that the said provisions have been complied with—

(i) stop and search any person, boat, vehicle or receptable used or intended to be used for the transport of a minor forest produce;

(ii) enter and search any place; and

(iii) where there is reason to believe that an offence punishable under this Act, or any rule made thereunder has been committed in respect of any minor forest produce, seize such minor forest produce together with the receptables, if any, in which it is contained and all tools..."

On the one hand through this Bill the Government intends to give powers to a police officer not below the rank of a Sub-Inspector and again under sub-clause (3) it gives power to seize to a Forest Ranger and again to a subordinate officer. The person authorised to investigate and the person authorised to actually make the report are different categories of persons. If we analyse the practical aspects, any forest officer or police officer not below the rank of a Sub-Inspector, if he really wants to give trouble to the people, with the powers vested in him, he can do so. There are three Officers concerned, the Police Officer not below the rank of Sub-Inspector, the Forest Ranger and again the Divisional Forest Officer.

So one has to process through all these stages and you can understand the complications that would be created. Actually it would be more misused or abused than it is used.

When we go through the other provisions of the Bill we see that enormous complicated procedure is there and also delegation of powers to so many officers. It is more abuse and misuse than proper use. That is one more defect in this. The word: 'Magistrate' has been said here. But of what rank is not mentioned. Is it First Class Magistrate or Second Class Magistrate or District Magistrate—nothing has been mentioned. Unless it is clarified it will be very difficult to say when it becomes an Act. The procedure that is actually completed under this Bill will not be there. It has to be defined.
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(i) The Hon'ble Advocate General:—

Sir,

Petitions have been received from various persons alleging that certain persons are engaged in the illegal trade of minor forest produce and are causing much inconvenience to the public. The said persons have been prosecuted and are awaiting the disposal of their cases. The Government has decided to regulate the trade of minor forest produce in order to prevent such illegal trade.

The Government hereby notifies the following regulations:

1. No person shall trade in minor forest produce without a permit issued by the Government.

2. No person shall sell minor forest produce without a receipt issued by the Government.

3. Every person engaged in the trade of minor forest produce shall keep a record of all transactions and submit it to the Government on a monthly basis.

4. The Government reserves the right to cancel the permit of any person found guilty of illegal trade.

Government of A.P.

Advocate General

(ii) The Hon'ble Advocate General:—

Sir,

Following the recent regulations notified by the Government, the cases of the persons previously engaged in the illegal trade of minor forest produce have been disposed of as follows:

1. Person A:— Case disposed of. Permit cancelled.

2. Person B:— Case disposed of. Receipt issued.

3. Person C:— Case disposed of. No further action taken.

Government of A.P.

Advocate General

(iii) The Hon'ble Advocate General:—

Sir,

The Government has received several complaints regarding the illegal trade of minor forest produce. The Government has decided to impose stricter regulations in order to prevent such trade.

The Government hereby notifies the following regulations:

1. No person shall engage in the trade of minor forest produce without a permit issued by the Government.

2. No person shall sell minor forest produce without a receipt issued by the Government.

3. Every person engaged in the trade of minor forest produce shall keep a record of all transactions and submit it to the Government on a monthly basis.

4. The Government reserves the right to cancel the permit of any person found guilty of illegal trade.

Government of A.P.

Advocate General
The A. P. Minor Forests Produce (Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

9th December, 1970.

Mr. G. Madan Mohan:— I am offering a constructive suggestion, Sir. I want the hon. Chief Minister to come to the aid and assistance of this hon. Minister as he has done in the case of hon. Sri Sanjeeva Reddy because he is not in a position to understand the various queries of the hon. members of the House. It is better, Sir.
The A. P. Minor Forests Produce (Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.

Mr. Speaker differentiated. Under be clause 6 (2) "it shall be the duty of the Committee to advise the Government of such other means as maybe referred to it by the Government for carrying out the charges."

Mr. Speaker:—I am asking the Government to make it clear.

Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao:—Let the Committee be authorised to discuss and recommend about wages.

Mr. Speaker:—When that clause is taken up we will remind them.
Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— Instead of asking for any amendment, now, on the Floor of the House we are saying in Clause 6 (2) the Government will refer this matter to the Committee. We are making an assurance here. On behalf of the Government this matter will be specifically referred to the Committee for their advice and recommendation.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— There are many issues like this. Why you are rushing it through?

Mr. Speaker:— They want representation on behalf of the coolies also.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— The assurance is that this matter relating to the wages to be paid to the coolies who pick up leaves will be referred to that Committee for their recommendation, and the Government will take a very legitimate and sympathetic view. Secondly, Sir, under the Minimum Wages Act itself, if we want we can exclude this item there. That is a different matter altogether.

Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao:— Again he is trying to evade.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— The recommendation about the minimum wages of the actual workers who pick up these leaves will be published and implemented. The recommendation will come to the Government, the Government will examine it and then fix, as is being done in the case of other Minimum Wages. In one stroke they say this is the Committee going to be appointed consisting of so and so. They do not agree for the labour. At the same time you want that this Committee’s recommendations should be final. The point is that this matter will be referred to the Committee for their recommendation. On receipt of their recommendation, legitimate action will be taken by the Government.

Mr. Speaker:— That may be considered by the Government.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— The Government is there to protect the legitimate interests of the working classes in a much better fashion than some other hon. Members.
9th December, 1970.
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Mr. Speaker:— What the Chief Minister is now saying is that the Government itself represents the workers.

Mr. Speaker:— What the Chief Minister is now saying is that the Government itself represents the workers.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— May I also add Sir, the Government alone represents.

Sri Poola Subbaiah:— The Government means omnibus.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— In that Committee, I am told, there are two official members and then presided over by a Joint Secretary or Board Member—what ever it be—a senior officer.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is: "That the Andhra Pradesh Minor Forests Produce (Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970 be read a first time".

(Pause)

The motion was adopted.
Sri Sagi Suryanarayana Raju:— Sir, I beg to move:—

‘That the Andhra Pradesh Minor-Forests Produce (Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970 be read a second time.’

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:— Sir, I beg to move:—

‘That the Bill be referred to a Select Committee’.

Mr. Speaker:— Amendment moved.

The question is:— ‘That the Bill be referred to a Select Committee.’

The amendment was negatived.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi pressed for a division and the House then divided.

Ayes: 28, Noes-90, Neutrals-Nil.

The amendment was negatived.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:— Sir, I beg to move:—

‘That the Bill be circulated for eliciting public opinion.’

Mr. Speaker:— Amendment moved.

The question is:— ‘That the Bill be circulated for eliciting public opinion.’

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker:—

The question is:— ‘That the Andhra Pradesh Minor Forests Produce Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970 be read a second time’

(Pause)

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 to 18

Mr. Speaker:—

The question is:— ‘That clauses 2 to 18 do stand part of the Bill.’

(Pause)

The motion was adopted and clauses 2 to 18 were added to the Bill.

Clause 19.

Sri Sagi Suryanarayana Raju:— Sir, I beg to move:— Add the following words at the end of item (e) of sub-clause (2) of clause 19.

The A.P. Minor Forests Produce (Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.
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"... or, the transport within the State of the minor forest produce to be brought from outside the State may be regulated."

Mr. Speaker:— Amendment moved.

The question is: "Add the following words at the end of item (c) of sub-clause (2) of clause 19—" or, the transport within the State of the minor forest produce to be brought from outside the State may be regulated."

(Pause)

The amendment was adopted.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is: "That clause 19, as amended, do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted and clause 19, as amended, was added to the Bill.

Clauses 20 to 24.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is: "That clauses 20 to 24 do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted and clauses 20 to 24 were added to the Bill.

SCHEDULE.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: Sir, I beg to move: "Add the following to the Schedule:


Provided that the hill tribes inherent rights of picking, carrying and selling, as head loads come under this Act."

Mr. Speaker:— Amendment moved.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: "Add the following to the Schedule:


Provided that the hill tribes inherent rights of picking, carrying and selling, as head loads come under this Act."
The A.P. Minor Forests Produce (Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970.
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Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:— Ho—v it is a valid and regular Bill, I do not know.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:— No, Sir.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— What is wrong, Sir?

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:— It is absolutely wrong Sir.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— No, no. It extends to the whole of the State of Andhra Pradesh. It shall come into force in such area and on such date as the Government may, by notification specify etc. It shall apply to every minor forest produce specified in the Schedule. What is the harm?

Mr. Speaker:— Anyway, they do not seem to accept your view. I am putting the amendment to vote.

The question is: Add the following to the Schedule:


Provided that the hill tribes inherent right of picking, carrying and selling, as head loads come under this Act.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is: “That the Schedule do stand part of the Bill”.

The motion was adopted and the Schedule was added to the Bill.

Clause I, Enacting formula and long title:

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

“That clause 1, enacting formula and long title do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted and Clause 1, enacting formula and long title Bill were added to the Bill.
9th December, 1970.


Sri Sagi Suryanarayana Raju : Sir, I beg to move: "That the Andhra Pradesh Minor Forests Produce (Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970 be read a third time."

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.

The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Minor Forests Produce (Regulation of Trade) Bill, 1970 be read a third time."

The Motion was adopted.

THE ANDHRA PRADESH (ANDHRA AREA) ESTATES (ABOLITION AND CONVERSION INTO RYOTWARI) AMENDMENT BILL, 1970.

Sri P. Thimma Reddy :— Sir, I beg to move.

"That the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill, 1970 be read a first time".

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.

Sri T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao :— It is 1-40 p.m. Sir. Let us take it up tomorrow, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:— When you are not here, the House has agreed to sit upto 2-00 and finish the Bill.

Sri P. Thimma Reddy :— It is a small Bill and this can be finished within 20 minutes, Sir. I may be permitted to read a statement. This Bill seeks to amend certain provisions of Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1947.—

Mr. Speaker :— You can read the statement later. Now, Sri R. Mahananda to speak.
The A. P. (Andhra Area) Estates
(Abolition and Conversion into
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(2) Provision of reduction of rate of
interest for the conversion of
the estates:

The said provision shall
be made under section
11 (2) of the A. P. (Andhra
Area) Estates Abolition
and Conversion into
Ryotwari Act, 1951.
Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy: — This has no relevancy. If he wants a certain amendment to clause 3 (2) (d) — that is a different issue altogether.

Sri P. Subbaiah: — The Government should have done in some other way.

Mr. Speaker: — Have you given any as an alternative?

Sri P. Subbaiah: — No.

Mr. Speaker: — Because the Government failed you forward with some amendment. Unless the Government agrees for the amendment...

Sri P. Subbaiah: — If that is the case I am very happy.

Mr. Speaker: — You have not given any amendments to relevant sections.

Sri P. Subbaiah: — How can I give amendment? It is irrelevant.

The question is: "That the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill, 1970 be read a first time.

The motion was adopted.

Shri P. Thimma Reddy:— I beg to move: That the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) (Estates Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill, 1970 be read a second time.

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.

The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill, 1970 be read a second time".

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 1 to 10, Preamble and Long Title.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is: That Clauses 2 to 10, Preamble, Clause 1, Long Title do stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted.

Shri P. Thimma Reddy:— I beg to move: "That the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill, 1970, be read a third time".

Mr. Speaker: Motion Moved.

The question is: That the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Bill, 1970 be read a third time.

The Motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker:— I am to announce to the House that the latest hour for the receipt of amendments to the following four Bills is 11 A.M. to-morrow (10-12-70).

1) The Andhra Pradesh (Agricultural Produce and Livestock) Markets (Amendment and Validation) Bill, 1970 as reported by the Regional Committee.


3) The Andhra Pradesh Intermediate Education Bill, 1970, as reported by the Regional Committee.

4) The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Second Amendment) Bill, 1970 as reported by the Regional Committee.

The House now stands adjourned till 8-30 A. M. on 10-12-1970.