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ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

GRANT GIVEN TO STATE BHARAT SEVAK SAMAJ

921—

*1544 Q.—Sri R. Mahananda (Darsi) :—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) what is the grant to the State Bharat Sevak Samaj by the Government in 1967-68 and 1968-69;

(b) for what purpose that has been given;

(c) whether the Samaj had utilised the grants in these years for the purposes assigned; and

(d) whether there was any diversion of funds for other purposes?

The Deputy Chief Minister deputised the Chief Minister and answered the question (Sri J. V. Narasimma Rao):

(a) 1967-68 :— Rs. 38,898, Sir.
    1968-69 :— Nil, Sir.

(b) Grant-in-aid was given by the Planning Department for implementing the schemes relating to Lok Karya Kshetras and National Consumer Service.

(c) & (d) In the Accountant General's audit report for 1967-68 no persistent and major irregularities have been pointed out. However, expenditure amounting to Rs. 12,509.37 relating to Lok Karya Kshetras was held under audit objection, to which the reply from the Bharat Sevak Samaj is awaited.
2 31st July, 1970

Oral Answers to Questions

(1) ప్రపంచ చర్చలో: విరాడం, 1967-68 మాసం ఆస్త్రియా ప్రభావానికి సంబంధించిన ప్రభావం ఉపయోగించండి. స్థాయి లేదా నిదానం ఉపయోగించండి?

(2) పదప్రశ్నాత్రాంగం (ప్రశ్నాంగం): ఫలితాలు తమకు ప్రతిభా గా తెలచి కావడానికి పాటు సంఘం అధికారులు ముందుగా కావడానికి పాటు ప్రతిభా గా తెలచి కావడానికి.

Sri J. V. Naraslinga Rao:— Increasing productions pecially of food and avoidance of waste: health and sanitation, education and cultural activities, women's activities like crafts, child welfare and maternaty centres, construction works, strengthening of co-operatives and Panchayats, Sadachar Nirman.

(3) పదప్రశ్నాత్రాంగం (ప్రశ్నాంగం): కొనసాగించండి, కృషిలో ప్రంభించడానికి తెలచి కావడానికి పాటు పరిమిత పరిస్థితుల్లో కానుకుని తెలచి కావడానికి.

(4) పదప్రశ్నాత్రాంగం (ప్రశ్నాంగం): కృషిలో ప్రంభించడానికి తెలచి కావడానికి పాటు పరిమిత పరిస్థితుల్లో కానుకుని తెలచి కావడానికి.

(5) పదప్రశ్నాత్రాంగం (ప్రశ్నాంగం): కృషిలో ప్రంభించడానికి తెలచి కావడానికి పాటు పరిమిత పరిస్థితుల్లో కానుకుని తెలచి కావడానికి.

(6) పదప్రశ్నాత్రాంగం (ప్రశ్నాంగం): కృషిలో ప్రంభించడానికి తెలచి కావడానికి పాటు పరిమిత పరిస్థితుల్లో కానుకుని తెలచి కావడానికి.
Oral Answers to Questions.
31st July, 1970

3. M. Narasimha Rao:—I wish to state that the following.

3. A. M. Venkateswarlu:—I wish to ask a question.

3. M. Narasimha Rao:—I wish to state that the following.

3. M. Narasimha Rao:—I wish to state that the following.

3. A. M. Venkateswarlu:—I wish to ask a question.

3. M. Narasimha Rao:—I wish to state that the following.

Oral Answers to Questions

Sri R. Mahananda (Hon. Member) :— Will the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal before the Government to prepare plans and schemes of various categories for the backward and undeveloped areas in the State, as in the case of Rayalaseema;

(b) whether the Government will constitute an Official Committee to prepare such schemes;

(c) if so, when and if not, the reasons therefor?

Sri J. V. Narasimha Rao :— (a) Yes, Sir. Most of the backward areas in the State are in the Telangana and Rayalaseema regions. Plans and schemes for these areas will be prepared as part of the plans for these two regions. Government have also under consideration schemes in the backward areas of Coastal Andhra.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) The schemes prepared by the various Departments concerned themselves will be given consideration and as such there is no need for a separate official Committee for this purpose.

Development of Backward Areas in the State

1578 Q.—Sri R. Mahananda :— Will hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal before the Government to prepare plans and schemes of various categories for the backward and undeveloped areas in the State, as in the case of Rayalaseema;

(b) whether the Government will constitute an Official Committee to prepare such schemes;

(c) if so, when and if not, the reasons therefor?

Sri J. V. Narasimha Rao :— (a) Yes, Sir. Most of the backward areas in the State are in the Telangana and Rayalaseema regions. Plans and schemes for these areas will be prepared as part of the plans for these two regions. Government have also under consideration schemes in the backward areas of Coastal Andhra.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) The schemes prepared by the various Departments concerned themselves will be given consideration and as such there is no need for a separate official Committee for this purpose.
Oral Answers to Questions.

४ जून, १९८०

1. शास्त्रिक विषय: — दृश्यात्मक समाप्ति नियमावली है। भारतीय शास्त्रियों, वर्तमान सिद्धांत, साधन, सामग्री, विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली है। यदि कोई विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली नहीं है तो उसके लिए विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली है। यदि कोई विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली है तो उसके लिए विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली है।

2. इतिहासिक विषय: — एक किलोमीटर पर दूरी है। वर्तमान सिद्धांत, साधन, सामग्री, विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली है। यदि कोई विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली नहीं है तो उसके लिए विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली है। यदि कोई विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली है तो उसके लिए विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली है।

3. राष्ट्रीय विषय: — एक किलोमीटर पर दूरी है। वर्तमान सिद्धांत, साधन, सामग्री, विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली है। यदि कोई विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली नहीं है तो उसके लिए विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली है। यदि कोई विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली है तो उसके लिए विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली है।

4. वैज्ञानिक विषय: — एक किलोमीटर पर दूरी है। वर्तमान सिद्धांत, साधन, सामग्री, विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली है। यदि कोई विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली नहीं है तो उसके लिए विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली है। यदि कोई विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली है तो उसके लिए विद्युत समाप्ति नियमावली है।

Oral Answers to Questions.

Q. 1. మన వాటిడి నిర్ధారణలు ఎవరించారు?

Q. 2. మన వాటిడి నిర్ధారణలు ఎవరించారు?

Q. 3. దూరీకరణ కారణాలు ఎవరించారు?

Q. 4. దూరీకరణ కారణాలు ఎవరించారు?

Q. 5. దూరీకరణ కారణాలు ఎవరించారు?

Q. 6. దూరీకరణ కారణాలు ఎవరించారు?
MISSING OF CEMENT FROM GODOWN DIVISION AT VIJAYAWADA

923—

*1524 Q.—Sri R. Mahananda:—Will hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the stock register of cement maintained by the Kesarapalli Buildings sub-division of the Food Grains Godown Division, Vijayawada, was found missing in March, 1961;

(b) if so, how much quantity of cement was entered into the stock register;

(c) whether any investigation has been made by the superior officers, to detect the actual shortage of cement;

(d) if so, how much deficit was detected and the cost of the cement not accounted for; and

(e) who is responsible for this?

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao:—(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) 34,356 bags.
(c) Yes, sir. By the Executive Engineer and Superintending Engineer, Food Grains Godown Circle.
(d) 13 bags and the cost of the cement is Rs. 15.25 paise.
(e) The following three Section Officers are responsible:

(i) Sri S. Satyanarayana Murthy Supervisor.
(ii) Sri K. Babu Rao, -do-
(iii) Sri V. G. Basavacharyulu -dj-

TILLING UP A GMEKSEAS COMMUNICATION TOWER AT HYDERABAD

924—

*1960 Q.—Sri P. O. Satyanarayana Raju (Yemmiganur):—Will hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state;
(a) whether it is a fact that overseas communication tower is likely to be set up at Hyderabad; and

(b) if so, when is it likely to be set up?

Sri J. V. Narasiga Rao:—(a) No, Sir. But there is a proposal to construct a Micro-Wave tower at Hyderabad by the Indian Telephone Department which is meant for internal communication only.

(b) The micro-wave system is likely to be commissioned in two years' time.

Sri G. Sivyya:—There is a micro-wave station at Tirumala Hills constructed by the railways. Could this Government utilise the services of that station?

Sri J. V. Narasiga Rao:—The question of the State Government preparing any such plan does not arise. All this is done either by railways or the Telephone Department of the Government of India.

ACQUISITION OF LAND IN MINDI VILLAGE FOR RAILWAY LINE

925—

Q.—Sri P. Sanyasi Rao (Visakhapatnam):—Will hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether lands have been acquired for railway line, from the ryots of Mindi village, Visakhapatnam taluk;

(b) whether the Railway authorities are empowered to lay the railway line without acquiring those lands;

(c) whether they have paid the compensation to the ryots, if not, the reasons why it has not been paid; and

(d) whether the Government will examine it and see that the ryots are not put to loss in this regard?

Sri J. V. Narasiga Rao:—(a) No, Sir. Some lands were proposed for acquisition for laying Railway Industrial Siding in Mindi village, but the process of acquisition is not yet complete.

(b) No, Sir;

(c) The compensation for the lands was not paid to the ryots because the award under the provision of Land Acquisition Act has not been passed.

(d) The ryots will not be put to any loss as compensation for the lands acquired will be paid to the ryots under section 23 of the L. A. Act and interest will also be paid to them under section 34 of the Land Acquisition Act in respect of those lands which are delivered prior to actual passing of the award.
CONSTRUCTION OF ROADS BY T. P. GUDEM SAMITHI ON THE EVE OF BADSHAH KHAN’S ARRIVAL

926—

*1716 Q.—Sri T. Satyanarayana Rao:—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether a road was constructed on the eve of Badshah Khan’s arrival, to Chinagiadra Kolan village by Tadepalligudem panchayat samithi, West Godavari district;

112—2
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(b) what is the estimated expenditure for the road;
(c) whether that road was really constructed?

The Minister for Panchayat Raj (Sri T. Ramaswamy):— (a) No
Sir.
(b) & (c) Do not arise.

TELUGU PUBLICATIONS BY SAHITYA AKADEMY

927—

937 Q.—Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishniah (Satterapalli):— Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) what are the Telugu publications printed in Telugu by the Sahitya Academy during the Calendar years 1937-38 and 1939 and the number of copies of each publication; and

(b) whether there are any arrears to be collected and if so, from whom and how much in each case?

The Minister for Education (Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao):— (a) and (b):— A statement showing the details of the Telugu publications printed by the Andhra Pradesh Sahitya Academy the No. of copies printed, the names of the sundry debtors from whom the arrears are due to the Academy etc. is laid on the table of the House.

STATEMENT LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

Vide Answer to L. A. Q. No. 937 (Starred) [*927]

List of the Telugu Publications of the Andhra Pradesh Sahitya Academy Published during the years 1957-68, 1958-59 and 1969-70.

1967-68

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the publication</th>
<th>No. of copies printed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Amukta Malyada</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Kavikarna Rasayanam</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Shrugara Sakunfalam</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Sarangadhara Charithara</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Shrugara Naishadhama</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Kalapurnodayam</td>
<td>2,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Ramabhuyudayam</td>
<td>4,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Yayati Charithra</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Anirudha Charithara</td>
<td>0,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Rajasekhara Charithra</td>
<td>4,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Valmiki Charithra</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Nrusimhapuranam</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Nirvachanotharar mayanam</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Vikramarka Charithra</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Telugu Nighantu</td>
<td>3,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Moulanarumi Masnavi</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Sahityopanyasamulu-VII</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Vemana Padyalu (verses of Vemana)</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Andhra Račhayitrula Samča-a Soochika</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sl. No.</td>
<td>Name of the Publication</td>
<td>No. of copies printed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sasanapadakosham</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ghatikachalamahatyam</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Srimahabhagavatham (Registered)</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mandalikavarithipadakosham (Vasthu)-IV</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sahityopanyasamulu-VII</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Kathamandaram</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sahityopanyasamulu-IX</td>
<td>1,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sasaswatha Murthulu</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Meera Ghulib</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Kumara Sambhavam</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Sataka Sampatham-II</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Harvilasam</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Sri Kalahasthi Mahaṭmyam</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Harivamsham-I</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Harivamsham-II</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Bhaskara Ramayanam-I</td>
<td>4,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Bhaskara Ramayanam-II</td>
<td>4,004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Basavapuranam</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1969-70

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Publication</th>
<th>No. of copies printed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bhojarajeevan</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sthethralahari</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Saraswatha Vyasalu-I</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Saraswatha Vyasalu-II</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Saraswatha Vyasalu-III</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ghatikachala Mahaṭyam (revised)</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Andhra Rasagangadharam</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Gandheṇyam</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mahatmudu Mahila</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ghalib Gajallu</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Kavya Swaroopam</td>
<td>1,005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sl. No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Amount due to the A.P. Sahitya Akademy as on 1-6-1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Andhra Saraswathya Parishath, Hyderabad</td>
<td>Rs. 3,637-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Andhra Pradesh Book Distributors, Secunderabad</td>
<td>Rs. 1,330-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Venkatrama &amp; Co., Hyderabad</td>
<td>Rs. 0-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Venkatrama &amp; Co., Tirupathi</td>
<td>Rs. 1,226-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Venkatrama &amp; Co., Chittoor</td>
<td>Rs. 642-98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Venkatrama &amp; Co., Vijayawada</td>
<td>Rs. 1,804-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Venkatrama &amp; Co., Guntur</td>
<td>Rs. 1,558-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sri Parameswara Publications, Hyderabad</td>
<td>Rs. 279-61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Tagore Publishing House, Hyderabad</td>
<td>Rs. 1,034-83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Triveni Publishers, Machilipatnam</td>
<td>Rs. 820-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Book Lovers Pvt. Ltd., Guntur</td>
<td>Rs. 745-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Gupta Brothers, Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>Rs. 591-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Kalahasthi Thammarao and Sons, Rajahmundry</td>
<td>Rs. 219-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Telugu Bhasha Samithi, Hyderabad</td>
<td>Rs. 144-58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Special Officer, Post Graduate Centre, Warangal</td>
<td>Rs. 58-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Assistant Acquisition Department, Wisconsin, USA</td>
<td>Rs. 19-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>Rs. 13,662-89</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Rs. 13,662-89
Oral Answers to Questions.
31st July, 1979

1.

Sir. M. R. S. Narasimha Rao:—I would like to inquire about the situation regarding the repair of books. Out of 35 as many as 30 books are now requiring repaint. Can we have an estimate of the total cost of repairing these books?

Sir. M. R. S. Narasimha Rao:—We have got all the publications of the Academy.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathy Rao:—Are they available in the Library, Sir?

Sir. P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Yes.

Sir. M. R. S. Narasimha Rao:—We have got all the publications of the Academy.
31st July, 1970
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928—

Sri K. Prabhakara Rao:— Will the honble Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) how many applications for enrolment as registered graduates were received by the Andhra University for the recent Senate elections and of them how many were rejected and the reasons for their rejection;

(b) whether the University authorities intimated the reasons for rejection to the applicants; and

(c) whether it is possible to scrutinise and publish the 13,000 names in alphabetical order within one day?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:— (a) 17,764 applications were received by the Andhra University. 5,393 applications were rejected. The following types of applications were rejected.

1. Applications from persons who have not graduated from the Andhra University.

2. Applications from persons who have already been enrolled as registered graduates of the Andhra University.

3. Applications which were defective and not in the manner prescribed.

4. The applications received after due date i.e. after 30-4-69 were not considered for registration and inclusion in the lists prepared.

(b) The University did not intimate the applicants the reasons for rejection as it was not obligatory on the part of the University to intimate the applicants the reasons for the rejection of their applications in view of the fact that no registration fee was collected from
Oral Answers to Questions. 31st July, 1970

them. The applicants are at liberty to verify their names registered or not in that of registration graduates made available for verification in the University Office during working hours.

(c) The said applications were received during the course of the months of March and April 1969 and also after the prescribed date. As I said they were not accepted. They were scrutinised and registered as and when they were received until 30th and the lists (two lists) were prepared and made available for verification or for sale as required under statutes. The work was completed by the employees by putting in extra hours and by appointing additional staff.

Whether it is rejected or accepted.

He will become a registered graduate as a. a. According to the present Statute.

Applications of persons who have not graduated from the Andhra University have been rejected. We will check up again whether this rejection is correct.

Applied to the Office on the 17th day of April, 1969, by a certain applicant. The application was not accepted. As I said they were not accepted. They were scrutinised and registered as and when they were received until 30th and the lists (two lists) were prepared and made available for verification or for sale as required under statutes. The work was completed by the employees by putting in extra hours and by appointing additional staff.

Whether it is rejected or accepted.

We will communicate it to the University.

Whether the said application was accepted or rejected.

Applications of persons who have not graduated from the Andhra University have been rejected. We will check up again whether this rejection is correct.
CHILLING PLANT AT TIRUPATHI

929—

1456 (F) Q.—Sarvasri Agaraa Easwara Reddy (Tirupathi), T.C. Rajan and Y. Venkata Rao (Vemuru):—Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government is contemplating to locate a chilling plant or cold storage Plant at Tirupathi in Chittoor district; and

(b) whether the milk that is brought from Chittoor is getting spoiled for the lack of these facilities?

The Minister for Agriculture (Sri K. Venkataratnam):—

(a) Yes, Sir The establishment of a Cold Storage Plant at Tirupathi is under contemplation.

(b) No, Sir.

ACCEPTANCE OF COW MILK IN THE INTEGRATED MILK PROJECT

930—

* 1450 (L) Q.—Sarvasri Agarala Easwara Reddy, T.C. Rajan & Y. Venkata Rao :—Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that most of the Milk accepted in the Integrated Milk Project is buffaloes’s milk only;

(b) if so, whether that represents the policy of the Government;

(c) whether there are any chances of accepting Cow Milk; and

(d) the calories ration of the cows milk and buffaloes’s milk per litre?

Sri K. Venkataratnam:-(a), (b) & (c) Though most of the milk being received in the milk shed areas of Vijayawada and Hyderabad is buffaloes’s milk, yet genuine cow’s milk is always accepted without restriction.

(d) In terms of caloric value 1.43 litres of cow’s milk is equal to 1 litre of buffaloes’s milk.
31st July, 1970  
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50. Ms. Mahananda—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Central Government sanctioned two roads under Nagarjunasagar Project Ayacut Development in Darsi taluk, Ongole district, in 1969-70;

(b) if so, what are they and their estimated cost;

(c) whether it is a fact that the Chief Engineer, Nagarjunasagar Canals issued stay orders for the execution of these roads before tenders are finalised; and

(d) if so, at what stage these works stand and whether the Central grant is released?

The Minister for Medium Irrigation (Sri R. Narapa Reddy):—

(a) and (b) No, Sir. Only one road from Darsi to the main canal crossing near Kommalapalli, which lies within Darsi Taluk limits, has been sanctioned by the Government of India. The estimated cost of this road is Rs. 4.90 lakhs.

(c) and (d) No, Sir. The Chief Engineer, Nagarjunasagar Canals has issued instructions to the Superintendent Engineer concerned to go ahead with calling tenders based on the revised estimate furnished to Government of India, and keep them ready, so that no time will be lost in deciding tenders immediately after the sanction of Government of India is received. The works have been taken up after the sanction of the Government of India has been received.
The Central grant will be released on the basis of actual expenditure incurred on the scheme.

The Central grant will be released on the basis of actual expenditure incurred on the scheme.

Formation of Southern Power Grid

932—

147. Q.—Sarvasri S. O. Satyanarayana Raju and Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—Will the hon. Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether negotiations have been completed with the other Southern States for the formation of the Southern Power grid; and

(b) if so, when is it likely to be established?

(The Minister for Power) Sri V. Krishna Murthy Naidu:—The matter relates to the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board.

(a) and (b) The Southern Regional Power Grid is already formed. Certain Inter-State lines were already completed and some more lines are proposed to be taken up during the IV Plan period to further strengthen the Inter-State ties to handle larger quantities of power.
Exfusion of K. V. Line from Hampi to Gooty and Kurror and then to Srisailam and another Line Ennuru from Madras State to Nellore—2.0 K. V. line also is under proposals.
22 8ist July, 1970
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ANDHRA PRADESH STATE WAREHOUSING CORPORATION

933—

* 1708 Q.—Sarvasri A. Madhava Rao, V. Rama Rao (Secunderabad Cantonment) and C. Janga Reddy (Parkal):—Will the hon. Minister for Marketing be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Board of Directors of the Andhra Pradesh State Warehousing Corporation has passed a resolution in regard to the scrutiny of technical sanction, admissible sanction and doing away with tenders for certain small works in its meeting held on 12-12-1963;

(b) whether it was proposed by the Corporation that the works relating to maintenance and repair upto Rs. 10,000 could be taken up and carried out through an agency and that the Construction Engineer be given power to accord technical sanction for works upto Rs. 1,000 and powers for administrative sanction upto Rs. 7,500 by the Managing Director; and

(c) whether it is a fact that a large number of works were under taken by the Corporation without obtaining technical sanction of the Chief Engineer (Highways) who is the competent authority for technical sanction of all projects over Rs. 1,000?

(The Minister for Marketing) Sri Ramachandra Rao Kalyani:—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Out of 54 works costing Rs. 23,06,072 technical sanction for estimates of 25 works costing Rs. 1,17,558 was given by the construction Engineer of the Corporation. These works related to approach roads, barbed wire fencing and repairs which had to be undertaken without delay. In 21 of the 25 cases the estimate was above Rs. 1000/-.

Q. 1. **Janjavathi Dam in Parvati Puram Taluq.**

1984—

*1055 Q.— Sri S. Pratapa Rudraraju (Nagur).—Will the hon. Minister for Medium Irrigation and Flood Control be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal before the Government to take up the Janjavathi Dam Scheme in Nagur constituency, Parvati Puram taluk, Srikakulam district; and

(b) if so, when it will be taken up?

Sri R. Narapa Reddy (a) No, Sir,

(b) Does not arise.

Oral Answers to Questions.

Sri R. Narapa Reddy:—(a) The Member presumably refers to the 'Kalangi' Reservoir near Adurum in Kallalasti Taluk. There is no proposal to supply water from 'Kalangi' Reservoir to Dhamanellore, Santhavelur and other eastern villages of Kallalasti Taluk.

(b) and (c) Donot arise.

Sri G. Sivaiah:—In this question Santavellore is put as in Kallalasti Taluk. It is not in Kallalasti Taluk. It is in Satavedu Taluk which has come from Madras State. These villages could not be included under the Kalangi Reservoir. It was in Andhra Pradesh now. In the light of this will the Hon'ble Minister take necessary steps to include them?

FORMATION OF A TANK ACROSS BALASURI KUNTA VAGU AT GOLLAPALEM

936—

*480 Q.—Sri R. Mahananda:—Will the Hon. Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether any representation has been received by the Minister and Chief Engineer, Minor Irrigation from M. L. A. of Darsi made during 1969 to form a tank across Balasuri Kunta Vagu at Gollapalem of Boddikurappadu of Darsi taluk, Nellore district;

(b) what is the estimated cost of it;

(c) what is the ayacut proposed to be benefited; and

(d) when it will be taken up for execution?

The Minister for Minor Irrigation (Sri V. Purushothama Reddy):—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c):—The scheme was not investigated as the area comes under the Nagarjunasagar Project 1st phase.

(d) Does not arise.

FORMATION OF TANKS IN PATTIKONDA TALUK

937—

*1016 Q.—Sri K. Eswara Reddy [Put by Sri S. Vemayya]:—Will the Hon. Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether investigation has been conducted for the construction of (1) Vengalammadoddi tank in Kariuppalapalam (2) tank at

113—4
Yafakallu Vanka (a) an anicut near Ganjahalli and (4) tank to Machapuramvanka, in Pathikonda taluk, Kurnool district; 

(b) the steps taken for the construction of the said tanks and anicuts; and 

(c) when the construction of the same will be taken up?

Sri V. Purushothama Reddi:—(a) & (b) Yes, Sir. The Scheme for the formation of a tank to Machapuramvanka was found to be economically not feasible, and hence it was dropped. The remaining three schemes also were dropped as they lie in the catchment area of Gazuladinno Project, and as the yield in the catchment area will not permit any more irrigation works.

(c) Does not arise.

Sri G. Siviah :—All the schemes investigated a few years back got good remuneration. If they are re-investigated now since the cost of constructions has gone up, there cannot be remuneration. Therefore, whether the Hon'ble Minister will consider to take up all such schemes which are being investigated about 6 years back which have got remuneration?

Construction of Anicut to Nallavagugedda at Rebaka Village

* 1456 (R, Q.—Sri G. Suryanarayana (Put by Sri S. Vemayya):—Will the hon. Minister for Minor irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether estimates have been prepared for the construction of an anicut to Nallavagugedda at Rebaka in Yellamanchili taluk, Visakhapatnam district;

(b) if so, the estimated expenditure therefore; and the ayacut under the same;

(c) whether the Government, are in receipt of any representations from Sri G. Suryanarayana, M. L. A., and other Samithi Presidents of the area stating that besides the former ayacut, new ayacut also may be brought under this; and

(d) if so, the action taken thereon?

Sri V. Purushothama Reddy: (a) There is no scheme by name Construction of an anicut to Nallavagugedda. The Member presumably refers to the Reservoir across Chinnavagugadda near Rebaka village, Yellamanchili Taluk Visakhapatnam District. This scheme was investigated in 1966 and deferred as it was considered uneconomical.

(b) The scheme was estimated to cost Rs. 3,74,000 on works and Rs. 4,22,000 including direct and indirect charges at 1966-67 rates. The ayacut proposed was 392.43 acres (new) and 38.17 acres (existing).

(c) No Sir,

(d) The proposal to increase the scope of ayacut and to revise the estimate based on the current schedule of rates is now under re-examination in the Superintendings Engineer's office at Visakhapatnam.

Construction of a Dam to Peddavanka in Kuppam Taluk

* 1456 Q.—Sri D. Venkatesham:—Will the hon. Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether any investigation for constructing a dam to Peddavanka at Yamagana palli of Kuppam taluk, Chittoor district was conducted;

(b) what would be the probable cost of the scheme;

(c) what would be the extent of anycut that can be brought under cultivation; and

(d) what is the present extent of anycut that is being cultivated by the Ryots, who have constructed a small anicut at their own cost to the said vanka and are utilising the water?

Sri V. Purushothama Reddy:—(a) No, Sir.

(b) Do not arise.

(c) 12.96 acres.

Oral Answers to Questions.

(a) 39. Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Will the hon. Minister for Fisheries and Ports be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is not a fact that General Working Group of the Ministry of Shipping and Transport recommended that one minor port from each Maritime State be taken up as centrally sponsored scheme; if so, whether Kakinada is chosen for development as Centrally Sponsored Scheme and what are the details of the scheme for development of Kakinada Port:

(b) whether it is not a fact that about Rs. 251 lakhs are needed for the development of Kakinada Port; if so, what steps has the State Government taken for that allotment; and

(c) whether it is not a fact that Kakinada Port is handling hr if a million tonnes of foreign trade annually?

The Minister for Fisheries and Ports (Sri S.R.A. Appala Naidu):—

(a) Yes Sir. Kakinada Port has been chosen as Centrally Sponsored Scheme with the following Works for execution during the IV Plan Period:

1. Dredging the approach channel   ..  24.00  lakhs
2. Realignment of Railway track and resumption of Railway land   ..  2.00  ,
3. Navigational side   ..  8.00  ,
4. Development of loading hard area   ..  0.40  ,
5. Model studies including survey and investigation.   ..  4.00  ,
6. Provision of 4 mechanized barges and one water barge.   ..  15.12  ,
7. Renewal of dry dock gates   ..  0.50  ,
8. Extension of groynes   ..  5.48  ,
9. Provision of a slipway for repairs of port crafts   ..  5.00  ,
10. **Expansion of the Port East-ward of Ex-Burma's Sill area:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Capital dredging by port dredgers including reclamation and formation of stocking area</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Extension of Rail &amp; Road</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Extension of water &amp; power supply</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Construction of warf walls and jetties</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Acquisition of a grab dredger</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Procurement of additional workshop Machinery</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Rs.** 100.00

(b) Yes, Sir. But Government of India have conveyed their concurrence for allotment of only Rs. 100 lakhs during the IV Five Year Plan period.

(c) Yes, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—Perhaps, it means re-modelling or like that.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—That is only one important port in the State. At what stage is it?

Point of Information:

re: Intermediate Course in the Junior Colleges.

Sri A. Madhava Rao:—Sir, yesterday, the Chief Minister said that the Education Minister will make a statement today in regard to Junior Colleges. Is the Education Minister making statement?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—One Statement has already been despatched to the Legislature Department. I believe it would be received today. That will be placed on the Table today or tomorrow. After reading the Statement, if the hon. Members want any more clarification, I will reply.

Sri B. V. Reddy:—I am very glad to hear that a statement is being made today. It is well known that the Intermediate Course in the Junior Colleges is an important one. The total expenditure on this course is Rs. 2,50,00,000. The government has increased the expenditure from Rs. 2,51,00,000 to Rs. 2,50,00,000. I hope the government will continue to support this course.

Sri V. Venkata Ramana:—I am glad to see that the government is supporting the Intermediate Course in the Junior Colleges. I cannot say whether it is heavy or light. However, a statement has been prepared and it has been despatched to the Assembly and it will be placed on the Table of the House probably tomorrow. Then, the Hon.ble Members can ask any clarifications.

Sri S. Chowdary:—Sir.
Print of Information:
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Point of Information:

re: Intermediate Course in the Junior Colleges.

Although, this is a very heavy syllabus, we have already considered about it. As Dr. Saab has pointed out, we will consider it again and see that the teaching standards and the other equipment, etc. are brought to that level.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—This pertains to the students who have completed their S.S.C. by English medium. That is final and basic and there is no question of departing from that.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—The figures also are not correct. Let him ask what percentage have passed in Telangana. It is not as high as 90%, nor going to be that high in future also. Let us not presume things.
Shri D. Venkatesam:—I request the Minister to kindly enlighten the percentage of passes in S. S. C. (Telangana) as well as in Andhra also. S. S. C means 10th class. The results are very poor in view of the integrated syllabus. So, will the Hon. Minister kindly consider the case and see those people who have got at least with marginal marks pass.

Shri P. V. Narasimha Rao—If the House is prepared to spare the time, I have no objection.

Mr. Speaker:—To-day or to-morrow we will have that statement. After going through that statement, we consider and if necessary we can go through the statement provided time is available.

Business of the House,

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—I admit that this is a longstanding problem. We have been trying to solve it again and again and yet again. In our consultations with the Finance Department so far we have not been able to convince them. Only yesterday or day before, I have personally spoken to the Finance Minister who has kindly agreed to and we are sending the case to him and I hope this time we will be able to solve it.

Mr. Speaker:—Were you able to convince him yesterday.

Not so far.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Yes.

Sri K. Munuswamy:—Thank you, Sir.

Sri B. Ratna Sabhapathi (Rajampet):—Something which is very interesting has appeared in to-day's papers.

Democracy on test in Srikakulam District:

Referring to Srikakulam District, Mr. Bahuguna said that democracy was on test and trial there and the Swatantra party there was in hand and gloves with the Naxalites.
This is a very serious statement. It cannot go unrefuted. It has to be now substantiated here by the Chief Minister and the Home Minister. It was said in the presence of the Chief Minister and the Home Minister by a very responsible member and the Secretary of the All India Organisation. Therefore, either they are bound to make an apology here or assure the House that they are going to make an impartial enquiry into it and then give a finding not only to the Assembly, not only to the State but to the entire country.

Therefore, the Government are bound to make an impartial enquiry into it and then give a finding. This is a very blasphemous statement.

Mr. Speaker:—Anybody on behalf of the Government to say anything?

Mr. Speaker:—I cannot allow discussion on a matter like this. I cannot allow Members to make statements or speeches. Please excuse me. You can certainly raise it under any provision of the rules and I will consider it. I cannot allow one Member after another to make speeches.

I am taking up the next item on the agenda.

Mr. Speaker:—You can raise it tomorrow. You can give notice to me. I will call the Chief Minister or the concerned Minister to make a statement. As it is nobody is here. Whatever you have said...
Contempt of the House Regarding the Delay in Laying of papers on the Table of the House under Statutes.

...
Contempt of the House Regarding
the Delay in Laying of the papers
on the Table of the House, under
Statutes.

misfeasance or malfeasance and make alternative arrangements for proper functioning. I consider that the object of the Legislature in making this provision is to provide an opportunity to the Legislature annually to have an insight into the working of the institution, to know whether the finances voted by the Legislature for the maintenance of the institution are being spent properly or not, and to suggest various measures for the improvement of the same or take such action as is deemed necessary under the circumstances. That being so, gross neglect on the part of the persons responsible for fulfilling this statutory obligation for a number of years or complete failure to do so, not only amounts to frustrating or defeating the very purpose for which this provision was made by the Legislature but deprives members of the Legislature of a right to know the condition of the autonomous body and suggest remedies for improvement of the same and persons responsible cannot set at nought this salient principle. In the present case on hand whatever the difficulties of the Electricity Board or the Government for not getting the accounts of the Board annually, it was imperative on the part of the Board for which finances were voted from time to time by the Legislature to cause to be laid on the table of the Legislature annually a statement showing the condition of the Board and giving reasons for not getting accounts audited annually. Having failed to do so, the Board and the management have to take the consequences which follow thereby. I am of opinion that it is a fit case which requires further probe into the matter to decide whether it amounts to breach of privilege or insulting the House slightly, i.e. insulting, which amounts to contempt of the House.

These are all such cases and it is for the House to consider as to what action should be taken in all these matters. In the first case, when the report for the year 1968-69 should have been placed on the table of the House last July, it is now sought to be placed, in July 1970, i.e. after one year when asked to explain, the explanation given by the concerned Secretary reads as follows. He is taking the House to task for not having taken them to task during the previous years. It reads as follows:

"The audit reports for the years 1953-64, 1954-65, 1955-66 and 1967-68 were placed before the Legislature meetings in 1969, i.e. nearly after five years. In fact, those for the first three years were placed together in the year 1969. If a serious notice had been taken of this delay in the past, the present delay would not have occurred."

That means the House is being taken to task for not having taken serious action in the past. Now, perhaps he might be thinking also that because those things were condoned, it is not known for what reasons action is being taken for only one year's delay; when five years' delay was condoned, or not taken note of, why should action be taken for one year's delay. There are two insinuations in this: as to why action was not taken in the first instance, and why action was taken for one year's delay.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Should not the Chief Minister be in the House; this is a vital matter on which you are going to give a ruling. This is the last straw on the Camel’s back.

Mr. Speaker:—On your back or on my back?

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—On our back. It is flouting the rules and regulations and democratic principles. I am one with my colleagues and thank you, Sir, for having brought this to the notice of the House. It is not an ordinary matter. We are experiencing this often. You are the guardian, so far as the conduct of the business of the House and see that the democratic system functions properly, according to rules and regulations, and that laws are passed by this House properly.

What do our ministers say for this?—that is the thing—their reply—that I want to know. Our Ministers are reckless in their attitude towards their responsibility to this House. Otherwise, they would not have driven you to this situation. They have driven us to desperation in the discharge of our duties to the people. They run galore in order to get the Gadi. But is it not their responsibility and do they not owe to this House, to stand by rules and regulations and treat this House with respect? Regrets may come; it is not a question of regret. They have to answer for such serious lapses. We are glad every member on this side, and any member worth the name who is a representative of the people—have got to see that those that are responsible ought to be dealt with severely, for their good and for the good of this country. We give you enough power, Sir—you are no doubt endowed with the necessary power, and there should be no question of sparing men that are responsible for this kind of dereliction of duty.

Mr. Speaker:—There is no question of any member or members giving me power or the House giving me power. The House has got the power, and it is for the House to decide.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—We support the view expressed by you about the contempt. We fully support you. It is a censure against the Government.

Mr. Speaker:—It is not a question of giving a ruling. It is only a question of the House taking a decision. I am only bringing these facts to the notice of the House. It is my duty. So, the House should take a decision, and it is not for me to give a ruling on this.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—It is very well. We are very thankful to you. Gross irregularity and flouting of a particular provision is being made we are very thankful to you. But on a matter like this, it is not the bound duty of the Chief Minister to be present in the House? I would, therefore, request you to send for the Chief Minister.

Mr. Speaker:—It is immaterial whether the Chief Minister is present or any other Minister is present. It is for the House to take a decision. It is not one item. Mr. Rao. If they consider it an important point, let them be present; otherwise let them not be present. I am not concerned.
Contempt of the House. Regarding the Delay in Laying of the papers on the Table of the House under Statutes.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—We request you to send word to the Chief Minister.

M. Speaker:—It is for them to consider. Why should I compel their presence? Kindly sit down.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Who is going to answer?

M. Speaker:—I will ask somebody on behalf of the concerned Minister if the concerned Ministers are not there.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—What happened to the Deputy Chief Minister?

M. Speaker:—It is not for me.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—He is deliberately showing disrespect to the House.

M. Speaker:—I will only ask the concerned Minister to make a statement. Let me now explain the facts. This is one such instance. Now, I call upon the concerned Minister to let me know the names of the persons responsible for this inordinate delay. That information I do not know; I have not received. With regard to 68-69, of course, the Secretary says he is responsible and says that another Managing Director is responsible.

So far as the second thing in the Agenda is concerned namely delaying in laying notifications issued in G.O.Ms. No. 1379 Home (Transport I) dated 3-10-1969 and G.O. 178 Home (Transport II) dated 10-2-1970 by the Minister for Transport. I should say, these notifications should have been laid on the Table of the House in the last session i.e., during the budget session.

The third item relates to delay in laying the Administration Reports of Gram Panchayats for the years 1964 to 1968 by the Minister for Panchayat Raj.

These are the three instances. I have already expressed my opinion that these are all cases which clearly amount to contempt of the House. It is simply nothing but slighting the House and taking their own time. Either the rules should not be made to come into force immediately, unless approved by the Legislature, or action should be taken against the concerned people for flouting the provisions of the rules. That is the only remedy that is available—it is for the House to consider.
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The Minister is responsible for it. Let the Minister take action against the concerned people whether he is Secretary, Superintendent or Clerk—whoever they may be. 

Mr. Speaker: -I am not at all concerned about the future. I am only concerned about the present instances and I want the House to take action.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Mere apology from the concerned Ministers will not be accepted.

Mr. Speaker:—Now it is for the House to take action.
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They are duty bound and they owe a responsibility to this House. When they have not laid the notification on the Table within 14 days or within the statutory limits provided to them, it is a clear case of neglect on the part of the Ministers. It is a disrespect and amounts to contempt of the House. Therefore, either they should resign from their Ministries or they should apologise to this House for their negligence.

Mr. Speaker: — That they are prepared to do. If it is a case of apology, straightway they will tender an apology (LAUGHTER)

Mr. Speaker: — You are forgetting one thing. I clearly expressed an opinion that it amounts to contempt of the House. If it is thrown out, you know the consequences.

Mr. Speaker: —I do not know whether the Speaker has got powers, by himself to take action against any Minister or Member of the House.

Sri K. Prabhakar Rao: — In Parliament they can name the Minister.
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The Minister is responsible for it. Let the Minister take action against the concerned people—whether he is Secretary, Superintendent or Clerk—whoever they may be.

Violation of rules—pass contempt of House

Executive Head or Council of Ministers
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Sri A. Madava Rao:—This requires drastic action, because it has become often a matter of fact and a course for them. As a matter of fact, when we get audit reports, we find several cases of misappropriations and other malpractices involving the public ex-
changer. Such being the case, when long delay is caused, it leads to one presumption, namely, that malpractices are sought to be covered by such delay. One important factor to be considered therefore is how best to give a proper teaching—by the House, by the Speaker—to the Ministers, so that they may not repeat the samething. As I submitted, recent audit reports reveal several malpractices. Is it to cover the malpractices that the delay is caused or is it a dereliction of duty or negligence on the part of the Ministers and concerned civil servants working under them. That is a matter to be probed into very effectively; otherwise, the very functioning of democracy will be defective.

Sri P. Narasimha Rao:—We cannot take action against the officers.

Mr. Speaker:—He says action should be taken on the Ministers as well as the concerned officers.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—How do officers come into the picture?

Sri G. Venkata Reddy:—I am putting the responsibility on both of them. Technically and morally, they are responsible— the Ministers. It is for the Minister to initiate action....


Contempt of the House Regarding the Delay in laying of papers on the Table of the House under Statutes.


Sri T. Ramaswamy:— Mr. Speaker, Sir, I thank you very much for calling upon me. He has tendered an unqualified apology. I also apologise to you and to the House for the lapse. Certainly it is a lapse.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Is the House assured, Sir, that it would not happen at least in future?
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Sri G. Sivayya (Puttur) :- Mr. Speaker, Sir, I am clear about this, that there is negligence on the part of the administration. That is because the Ministers are not realising that they are responsible to this House; I only blame the Ministers. It is because they could not be strict and rigid in regard to certain procedures and the Administrators have taken things into their own hands; they could not act promptly in time. This is a matter which I do not consider as one of excuse. If I were there I would have tendered my resignation on a quarter sheet of paper. It is a question of responsibility to us. If they realise in their heart and mind that this House is supreme and they need to obey and proceed according to the rules and regulations they would not have neglected these things, Sir. It may not be wilful but it is gross negligence of duties not to place these things on the Table of the House. If we allow these things to continue like this, something will take place in future and they may hold the view that after all there are precedents in the House and they would be excused and that nothing is going to happen. We should not give them that impression. In the interests of administration, we should take a rigid view and go according to law. It has, therefore, to be taken as clear contempt and whoever is responsible should be punished according to rules and regulations.
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The House, having due regard to the importance of the matter, called the attention of the House to the delay in laying of papers on the Table of the House under Statutes.

The House, having considered the matter, passed the following resolution:

WHEREAS the laying of papers on the Table of the House under Statutes is an essential function for the proper functioning of the House;

AND WHEREAS the delay in laying of papers has caused inconvenience to the members of the House;

NOW THEREFORE, the House resolves that steps be taken to ensure the prompt laying of papers on the Table of the House under Statutes.
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[Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair]
50  31st July, 1970. Contempt of the House Regarding the Delay in laying of papers on the Table of the House under Statutes,

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The Speaker has brought to the notice of the House... (Mr. Speaker in the Chair)
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Each House is the guardian of its own privileges; it is not only the sole judge of any matter that may arise which infringes...
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upon those privileges but can, if it deems it advisable, punish either by imprisonment or reprimand...."

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I recommend one day imprisonment.

Mr. Speaker:—Let me pose the issues now before you. First issue is whether it amounts to contempt of the House on the part of the concerned Minister. The second thing is whether only Ministers are responsible or the other concerned officers, Heads of Departments also are responsible. The third thing is if once it is held by the House that it amounts to contempt of the House the next thing is the nature of punishment to be awarded to these people—whether it is reprimand or something else— it is a different matter. But it is for the House to decide. The first thing is whether it amounts to contempt of the House, then the persons who will be held responsible for this contempt of the House and the third thing will be the nature of the punishment to be awarded.
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Sir, I am to move:

The House be recalled for the purpose of desiring the attendance of the Prime Minister, Mr. B. R. Ambedkar, and the Home Minister, Mr. B. J. H. V. G. R. V. I. S. and asking them to state why they have not acted on the resolution passed by this House on the 19th of June last, that they should lay the papers relating to the joint session of the Legislatures on the Table of the House.

I would like to point out to you that during the last session of the Legislature, the Government had promised to lay on the Table of the House all the papers relating to the joint session, which had been held on the 15th of June last. However, up to the present, no steps have been taken in this direction.

I would like to draw your attention to the fact that the delay in laying the papers on the Table of the House is not only a matter of inconvenience to the Members of the House, but it also affects the working of the House.

I would like to request you to take the necessary steps to ensure that the papers relating to the joint session of the Legislatures are laid on the Table of the House as soon as possible.

I move for the suspension of the Rules of Procedure in order to enable the Prime Minister and the Home Minister to explain their reasons for the delay in laying the papers on the Table of the House.

Respectfully submitted,

g. s. 

(Signature)
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Sir, The Speaker:—According to the Schedule, papers should be placed on the Table of the House under Statutes. However, the papers have not been laid on the Table. The House has decided to take action against those responsible for this.
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Mr. Speaker, Sir (Hon'ble Member) :— Sir, I would like to inform the House that the rules require papers to be laid on the Table of the House under Statutes. The delay in laying the papers amounts to a vote of no confidence. It is under rules. This is contempt of the House which the House can punish the person responsible for the contempt of the House.

Now, let us hear the concerned Ministers. We have heard only one Minister. Now, the Minister for Agro-Industries.

Mr. Speaker, Sir:— I have already initiated action, Sir, on the concerned people, namely, the officers who are responsible for the delay. I have also directed the concerned officers to take action on somebody who has committed this mistake. That is the way in which the Ministers are trying to do.

Sri C. V. K. Rao :— I have to make an observation, the way in which the Ministers are trying to escape their responsibilities. Now, it is being suggested as though somebody else has done those things and they are asking someone else, some officer to take action on somebody who has committed this mistake. That is the way in which the hon. Ministers are trying to do.
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Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Ministers are directly responsible to this House for the dereliction of their duties. That is very simple. They have committed this contempt of the House. That is the subject here.

As such what is the punishment they have invited by themselves. Let them suggest the type of punishment that they have invited by themselves. Regret, all right. That is a fact. Every day in the morning when you come, you regret for not discharging your duties. As a man you should do that. Now, where a specific incident is there, what is the type of the punishment you would like to have? Of course I cannot remove you, we cannot remove you. And Censure motion should the hon. Speaker permit us, even then I do not know if we can correct ourselves. But, the way they are trying to escape, they want some officers somewhere in the entire hierarchy of officialdom to be punished. That is unpardonable way of getting away from these things.

Mr. Speaker:—Has the Chief Minister to say anything? The Chief Minister is present here.

The hon. Speaker of this House has created a new chapter, a golden chapter which will live for centuries. Therefore, Sir, this occasion should not be treated lightly. Otherwise, every time what is their slogan? “We have got majority, pass no confidence motion, if you have got the courage” that is their slogan. This is a sick statement every time they are making. Since the Chief Minister also is here, let the House hear him and afterwards let us have an opportunity to move such motion as we deem necessary.

The Chief Minister (Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy):—I do not know why Dr. Chalapathi Rao brought into it some emotion.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Doctors are not capable of getting such emotions. Then the patients would die, if the Doctors become excited.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—That is why they are dying.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—No, Sir. I am never.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—In any case, Sir, if my hon. Friend wants to move any motion it is up to him. I do not think he will listen to my advice or anybody’s advice. The point now is that there has been delay and it has been admitted by my friends. It is not as if, Sir, that the delay is caused by the Ministers. Of course, they are responsible to the House. They must explain. Certainly, in t.
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sense that they are responsible to the House. It is all right. But I am very sorry that these Departments also and especially the Secretaries of the Departments concerned should delay this matter. In one sense, it is good. That at least after this House adopted and you, Sir, have passed some remarks also, they will be alive to the responsibility and do it in proper time and not plead excuse for not putting those papers on the Table of the House in proper time. Therefore, my submission is that the Ministers having expressed regret for not having put those papers on the Table of the House in proper time or submit the reports and after the fairly half-dressed debate has gone on this matter, this matter may be further dropped.

There are three issues involved in this. The first question is whether it amounts to contempt of the House. The second question is the persons responsible for the contempt of the House and the third question is the nature of the punishment to be awarded to those persons who are liable for contempt of the House. So far as the first question is concerned, it is for the House to decide whether it amounts to contempt of the House or not.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—That is admitted, Sir, by the Chief Minister.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—We have not admitted that it is a contempt of the House. We have certainly said that there is delay and we are sorry for the delay.

Mr. Speaker:—Now, I am putting it to the House whether the action on the part of the concerned Ministers and the Departmental Officers amounts to contempt of the House or not. I do not say it as a Motion. This is for expression of their opinion.

Those who are for it please say, Aye and those who are against it say, No.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Under what rule, you are putting it to vote, Sir?

Sri D. Venkatesam:—I feel there is no scope for putting it to vote.

Mr. Speaker:—The House has got to say about this. It is not for you or it is not for me to say whether it amounts to contempt of the House or not. It is for the House to decide. Strictly there is no motion. But now, the contempt matter is before the House. The House has got to decide. I told you in the beginning that this matter amounts to contempt of the House. I have already expressed my opinion. I am putting it to the House.

Sri A. Madhava Rao:—One submission...

Sri Konda Laxman Bapuji:—If the Treasury Benches do not co-operate, it amounts to no confidence against the Speaker, Sir.

(Some Members demanded division)
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re: Need for shifting of Superintending Engineer's Office, Warangal Circle from Hyderabad.

The House then divided thus:

Ayes 60
Noes 86
Neutral 1

Mr. Speaker:—That means, the House is not of the opinion that it is a contempt of the House. The Speaker having expressed his opinion that it amounts to contempt of the House, the does not deserve to remain in the Chair. So, I am walking out. It is for the Deputy Speaker to come and take the Chair.

(Many Opposition Members rose)

Many Opposition Members:—We are also walking out, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—Kindly come and take the Chair Mr. Vasudev Naik. So, I am calling upon the Deputy Speaker to come and take the Chair.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—We do request you not to resign, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—No, I cannot. I cannot continue. It is finished. It is over. I am quitting.

Thank you, all, very much.

(Mr. Speaker left the Chair and the Deputy Speaker occupied the Chair)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Unexpected things have happened. I never expected that such a situation would arise. As desired by the Speaker, I have to conduct the business. Next item on the agenda is the calling attention to matters of urgent public importance.

Still, however, I wish that the Leaders of the Opposition and Leader of the House would have some discussions in the Lobby and try to see that the situation does not go much ahead. That is my request to the Leaders of the Opposition and the Leader of the House.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re: Need for shifting of Superintending Engineer's Office, Warangal Circle from Hyderabad.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—As Sri R. Satyanarayana Raju is not here, the convention is the reply will be read by the concerned Minister. The Deputy Chief Minister has to make a statement.

Sri J. V. Narsinga Rao:—Sir, in G.O. Ms. No. 558 PW dated 14.4.1969 the Civil Engineering Circle Industries, Hyderabad was redesignated as Warangal Circle, R&B Warangal. On receipt of Government orders, S.E. Warangal Circle requested time upto 31-10-69 to secure accommodation at Warangal for shifting the office. The Government permitted him in G. O. Ms. No 535, P.W., dated 2.6.69 for shifting the headquarters within next two to three
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months. The S.E., Warangal reported that no rental accommodation was available for his office at Warangal and requested time up to the end of August, 1969. Since the accommodation could not be secured inspite of the efforts made by him with the Collector for allotment of houses, time was granted to the S.E. on his representation from time to time. The S.E. finally represented for the retention of the headquarters at Hyderabad up to the end of May 1970 so as to avoid dislocation of work and programme of execution of works costing about Rs. 1,44,40,000 during the financial year. As office was not shifted to Warangal even after May 1970, orders were issued on 13.7.1970 directing the S.E. to shift the headquarters before 1-8-1970 positively. But about 80 employees in the office of S.E. have since represented to me that their children numbering 86 have been admitted into schools and Colleges and that the office should not be shifted to Warangal from Hyderabad during the academic year.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now, the second call attention motion given notice of by Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi, Sri Khaja Nizamuddin and Sri Ahmed Hussin regarding the refusal by the Water Works Department to install 200 public taps at Hyderabad without committal of payment of monthly water charges of Rs. 7.00 per tap. The Deputy Chief Minister to make a statement.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Sir, a serious situation has arisen and I would suggest that the House has to be adjourned. It is in the fitness of things, when the Speaker of the House, under these circumstances, vacated his place, it is amounting to resignation and a serious situation has arisen....

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—You were not in the House when I made an observation. I requested the Opposition Leaders and the Leader of the House to discuss about this in the lobby and to see that some settlement is arrived at. But for the adjournment of the House, it is for the House to decide.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—It is in your hands, Sir. I request you to give some time and not to allow the situation to be aggravated. Give some time to the ruling party so much so they can arrive to some solution. This is a very serious situation.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The House has to take note of this not myself. Because, I have been asked by the Speaker to take the Chair. I have taken it.

re:—Refusal by the Water Works Department to install 200 public taps at Hyderabad without committal of payment of monthly water charges of Rs. 7-00 per tap.

Sri J. V. Narasimha Rao:—As early as on 29-7-1967 at a meeting held, Commission or the Corporation agreed to pay current water charges for water supplied through Public Stand Post from April, 1967 at the rate of Rs. 7/- per Public Stand Post per month. This decision was a significant concession to the Corporation as it
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was much less than the cost of the water supplied as calculated from Meter Reading, according to which a rate would have come to Rs. 198/- per month at the rate of consumption of 6 units per Public Stand Post per day. But unfortunately, the Standing Committee of the Corporation did not communicate its agreement or otherwise the above decision. Subsequently, at one of the meetings held in April 1969, the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad pointed out that there was public demand for the erection of 200 more stand posts. He desired that these Public Stand Posts could be erected by the Hyderabad Water Works Department and the question of payment of arrears and current charges decided later. Thereupon, it was made clear that it would be possible for the Water Works Department to erect new stand posts only if a specific requisition was received from the Corporation agreeing to pay water charges at the rate of Rs. 7/- per Public Stand Post per month. The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad accordingly sent a copy of the resolution No. 1142, dt. 16th May, 1969 of the Standing Committee requesting to instal 200 stand posts immediately subject to the final decision to be taken by the Government in consultation with the Corporation. Since the resolution of the Standing Committee of the Corporation was silent on the point of payment of water charges at the rate of Rs. 7/- per Public stand post, the Commissioner was asked to communicate the agreement of the Standing Committee for payment of water charges at the rate of Rs. 7/- per public post as well as erection charges of the new stand posts. While matters stood thus, it was felt that the need for providing Public Stand Posts in the slum areas was essential and urgent, the question of providing 200 Stand Posts was considered at the meeting held on 7-11-1969 and it was decided that 200 stand posts should be given on receipt of a requisition from Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad, subject to the condition that the cost of erecting the 200 Public Stand Posts should be borne by the Department and the Corporation on a fifty fifty basis.

While taking the above decision, no mention of the charges was made for the reason that there was already a decision that the Corporation should pay Rs. 7/- per Public Stand Post for which it had promised to communicate its agreement and which it did not do so far. So, merely because this decided issue was not repeated while sanctioning 200 stand Posts, it should not lead one to the erroneous conclusion that the Government had agreed to giving 200 Stand Posts without Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad agreeing to the payment of water charges at the rate of Rs. 7/- per Public Stand Post per month. Even today, the issue is hanging fire simply because the Standing Committee did not pass a resolution to honour the commitment made by the Commissioner in a meeting convened in July 1967 to discuss this issue.

(Some members entered the House saying "we demand that the House be adjourned")
BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—In all probability we demand that the House be adjourned.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapati:—We demand it. There must be a method.

Sri P. Narasinga Rao:—We demand that the House should be adjourned because a serious situation has arisen. We believe in a democratic procedure and a situation has arisen that the Speaker has got to resign. We demand that the House should adjourn.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—I don’t think.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapati:—I say this is an unprecedented situation created. This is a very very important issue. I think we have to respect the views he has expressed and the sentiments which he has expressed, in reference to the values that democracy has cherished throughout the history. Therefore I submit to you that this Assembly should adjourn for the day and take a historic decision to-morrow. I am making a submission to you because you have to take the decision, not the Chief Minister and not the Government.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It is not myself.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapati:—You have to take the decision.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I have come at the command of the Speaker.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapati:—He had resigned. He is no more a Speaker. He has expressed that he had resigned. He is not Speaker here now.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Please allow me to say.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Let us hear.

(Many interruptions)

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—I am very sorry.

(Many interruptions and shouts—shame, shame, shame)

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—I am glad that while criticising the Speaker, you are coming to support him. What a shame, Sir. My submission is there is no question of having no confidence in the Speaker. There is no question like that.

(Many members rose saying shame, shame)

Sri T. V. S. Chalapati Rao:—Did the Government want?

(Some other members—shame, shame, shame)

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—What is the use. Shame for them. Not for us or the Speaker. It is a shame for them for their behaviour. I want to tell them one thing if they wait for half a minute.

(Many interruptions)
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Will you permit me to do anything-

Some Members:—Yes. We want the House to be adjourned. There is some procedure for it.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—You have got full power to adjourn.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—We request you to adjourn the House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—When you are not here, I made some observations.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—To-day they are not in a position to hear, Sir.

Sri G. Sivaiah:—I request the House be adjourned.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I need not be dictated.

Sri G. Sivaiah:—Kindly adjourn it. We discuss it very coolly.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Would you bear with me for a few minutes. There is no such precedent to allow me also. Are there is no such precedents?

Sri K. Brahmamanda Reddy:—Any issue can be discussed. No objection. But this kind of thing won't help.

(Some members—shame, shame)

Sri T. V. S. Chalapati Rao:—Sir, Emotions have reached the highest pitch. In order to save democracy, it is incumbent upon you to adjourn the House. It is highly improper in this highly tempered House to discuss anything. Therefore you set an example. Please adjourn the House.

Sri K. Brahmamanda Reddy:—Mr. Chalapathi Rao to talk of democracy—what an irony.

(Many interruptions)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—You are not allowing me to do anything.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Do not even allow me to express. Let me also speak. It does not come at your instance.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—It is a question of propriety.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Will you allow me to say.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— Please adjourn the House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Let me tell you. Allow me to speak.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:— Hon. Deputy Speaker is going to speak and adjourn the house.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— When the Members walked out, the Speaker asked me to resume the Chair and conduct the business. (Cries of 'No', 'No') I did not stir out. When he demanded that I should come and occupy the Chair and conduct the business, I did come.

Sri Konda Laksman Bapuji:— Soon after he announced, he never meant that you should continue the meeting.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— I resumed the Chair. Immediately after resuming the Chair, I made a reference, both to the Members of the House and the Opposition Leaders, that a serious situation has arisen, an unprecedented situation has arisen, and that the Opposition Leaders and the Leader of the House should discuss this and see that some settlement could be arrived at. That was the appeal that I made. I came here at the instance of the Speaker who asked me to occupy the Chair and continue the business. If the Members feel that I should adjourn the House for a few minutes or a few hours... (Cries of 'Adjourn for the day'.) If the Members feel that they can force me to adjourn the House, that is not a good precedent also.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— We are appealing to you, Sir.

Sri Konda Laksman Bapuji:— We are not forcing you. You are making us to force you.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— That means, under coercion I should adjourn the House. (Cries of 'we don't force you')

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— An unprecedented situation has arisen and therefore, in fairness we should adjourn the House.

(Cries of 'shame', 'shame' and continued thumping of tables)

Sri K. Brahmanand Reddy:— This does not work, my dear friends. (Continued and loud thumping of tables by Members in the Opposition). They may get fatigued with their hands. Let us follow the procedure. (Continued thumping of tables) This is not the way of expressing even their respect to the Speaker. (Cries of 'No', 'No' and 'we don't hear you') Everybody knows... Speaker knows... every one of them from head to foot.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— We refuse to hear him.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— Please sit down; this is hoodlumism; they may get out; they may get out.
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Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Shame; Shame; (Cries of ‘shame’, ‘shame’).

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Unprecedented things have happened. Emotions are running high. What all I wanted to say is, that all the Members here should create a situation by which we can have our Speaker again here. That opportunity has to be taken.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—They don’t want that; they don’t want that; I know. . . .(Loud cries of ‘shame’, ‘shame’ and loud thumpings of tables)

(At this stage many Members in the Opposition were on their legs)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—When I am standing. Members should not stand.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Mr. Ratnasabhapathi’s respect for Speaker is well known throughout the country. If the Speaker thinks that Mr. Ratnasabhapathi is a friend, God save Mr. Ratnasabhapathi.

(Several Opposition Members continued to be on their legs with Shouts of ‘No’ ‘No’ amidst defiant thumping of desks)

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Unless they come to the senses, we won’t allow them to speak.

(Shouts from Treasury Benches—Sit down.)

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Sit down.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—In view of the fact that emotions are rising to their highest and in the hope that it may still be possible to create a happy situation and help the members to come to a settlement and bring back our Speaker here, I adjourn the House till 4 p.m. today.

(The House then adjourned till Four of the Clock.)

(The House re-assembled at 4.00 of the Clock)

(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

(The House then adjourned to meet again at Half Past Eight of the Clock on Saturday, the 1st August, 1970.)
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