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ANDHRA PRDESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
Friday, the 3rd April, 1970.

The House met at Half-Past-Eight of the Clock.

(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)

Business of the House

Sri G. Sivayya (Puttur): - Sir, today there is no question hour.
Now, I would like to raise an important issue. In the city the institutions are running on the properties, furniture, typewriter, etc., run by the Hyderabad Municipal Corporation. The Government has given exemption not to pay taxes.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :- I was not following. Let us follow the Agenda.

Sri G. Sivayya:- That is true, Sir, Agenda is regular business.
Now, I would like to raise an important issue. The institutions are running on the properties, furniture, typewriter, etc., run by the Hyderabad Municipal Corporation. The Government has given exemption not to pay taxes.

J. No. 109
Mr. Deputy Speaker :—How can I direct the Government? What right have I to direct the Government to return them? You bring them to the notice of the Government and they will take action.

Sri G. Sivaiah :—Let the Municipal Corporation immediately pass an order exempting the payment of service charges by the Andhra Mahila Sabha institutions. Sir, this Andhra Mahila Sabha is running some institutions in Madras and though it is not a Telugu State the Madras City Corporation were kind enough to give exemption from payment of house tax, service tax, etc. whereas this Government which is known to be a Government representative of all Telugu people and did most hubbub and injustice to these institutions. Therefore, I request the Government through you, Sir, to take immediate steps in the matter, as otherwise there will be repercussions.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—I request the Members to proceed with the call attention matters. If there are any important matters, let them be taken afterwards.

Dr. T. S. Murti :—Sir, an appeal is pending before the Government; pending appeal, this has been done.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—You raise this after the concerned Minister is here.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—I appreciate your knack.

Sri P. Subbaya :—Thank you for the compliments.

Sri D. Venkatesam :—Sir, Actually this matter has been raised by Sri T. C. Rajan two days before. And I am not raising that point.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

re: Non-sanctioning of loans by all Banks especially by State Bank of India and State Bank of Hyderabad to farmers

3rd April, 1970.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—When I said these matters can raised afterwards, Mr. G. Sivaiah has agreed. Now, you have raised another issue. This means, the other issue is not so important.

Sri Dr. Venkatesam (Kuppam):—With your permission, I will drop it now and raise it again later.

Sri K. Butcherayudu (Kovvur):—Today is the last day.

CALLING ATTENTION TO A MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re: Non-sanctioning of Loans by all Banks especially by State Bank of India and State Bank of Hyderabad to farmers
3rd April, '970.  Calling attention to a matter of urgent Public Importance:

re: Non-sanctioning of loans by all Banks especially by State Bank of India and State Bank of Hyderabad to farmers
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

**re:** Non-sanctioning of loans by all Banks especially by State Bank of India and State Bank of Hyderabad to farmers

3rd April, 1970.

Sir, notice has been given to the ‘non-sanctioning of loans by all Banks especially by State Bank of India and State Bank of Hyderabad to farmers’. Therefore, I will not be able to tell specifically with regard to R.jampet referred to by Sri B. Rajasahapathi. But, I will enquire and let the Member know.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—We will send that copy of notice to the Finance Minister.

Sri K. Vijaya Bhaskara Reddy:—The sanction of loans to farmers in this State by the Commercial Banks, specially the State Bank of India and Hyderabad is purely a matter between the Banks concerned and the farmers and Government have no information about the non-sanction of loans by these Banks. On the other hand, the Commercial Banks in this State have been issuing loans fairly liberally and insofar as information is readily available, the following advances have been made by them for agricultural purposes during 1969.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank</th>
<th>Rs. in lakhs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Andhra Bank</td>
<td>673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Syndicate Bank</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Bank of India</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Bank of Hyderabad</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Bank of India</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total;</strong></td>
<td><strong>1577</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this State, a decision has been taken to allow commercial banks to finance Primary agricultural credit societies also in the areas of 13 weak Co-operative Central Banks, viz. Srikakulam, Nellore, Cuddapah, Anantapur in Andhra area and in all the Telangana Co-operative Central Banks except the Nizamabad Central Bank. The Reserve Bank of India has formulated a scheme according to which branches of the Commercial Banks will normally take over about 10 societies around them and provide the finance from the ensuing Khariff season. The Commercial Banks have selected 673 societies and are making arrangements to finance them in the Khariff season, 1970. In other areas, it is open to the Commercial Banks directly to finance the agriculturists.
3rd April, 1970.

Calling attention to a matter of urgent public Importance:

re: Non-sanctioning of loans by all Banks especially by State Bank of India and State Bank of Hyderabad to farmers

Sir, in addition to this I have made an announcement in the House twice during this session on that we will be discussing this with all the bankers and try to evolve a policy which will be useful to smaller farmer and the small industrialist. The Government has begun taking steps. Yesterday only, all the bankers were called for a meeting in the Committee Room by the Chief Minister. We have discussed to some extent and it will take shape very shortly, according to which we are going to ask the commercial banks to help the small farmer and the small industrialist; we are going to evolve a formula and to try to remove the difficulties in the processing. As best as possible, we want to reduce the formalities and decisions were not yet ready. In course of time, we will be evolving a policy.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—While appreciating your efforts, he wants to know the time.

Sri K. Vijayabaskara Reddy :—As I said, yesterday there was a meeting in the Committee Room and the bankers were invited by the Government. We have discussed certain things and we will take decision shortly.

Sri P. Subbaiah :—Last time, the Chief Minister, the Finance Secretary and other high officials of the state Government held discussions. What happened to those discussions?

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—(At this stage, Sri Pragada Kotaiah rose to speak)

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—As a matter of fact, Members who have given notice are given an opportunity.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—The provision is that one who has given notice can be given an opportunity for seeking clarification.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

3rd April, 1970

re: Occupation of Kabaristan by The C. R. P. at Mysaram.

It is a question to policy. How does the Government propose to give credit through Commercial Banks while Co-operative Central Banks are functioning in the areas.

The Minister for Home: (Sri J. Vengal Rao) Sir, The C.R.P. Unit referred to in the Notice is situated at Chengaigutta on the outskirts of the Hyderabad City. Chengaigutta is situated in the Mysaram areal localities. The Muslim Graveyard is situated in the Mysaram area extending to the C.R.P. Central towards the Eastern side of Hyderabad, Calwakurthi road and does not actually under the occupation of the C.R.P. It may be said that in this connection that this G.O. No. 1484, Revenue, Dated 14-12-1960 Government made an offer of about 160 acres of land under Mysaram lands to the Government of India, Ministry of Home affairs for locating the C.R.P. Battalions. Subsequently the local residents of the Hyderabad Municipal corporation wanted an extent of about 14,151 sq. yards of this site for providing public amenities like play-ground, meat market,
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

re: Loan to Hyderabad Race Club.

etc. The Government of India have been requested to hand over the piece of lands back to the State Government. The G.O. did not give willingness of making reallocation and stressed the need of retransfer of piece of land. Finally reply is awaited. At this stage, Shri Aziz Abdul Baker Bin Ali, Municipal Councillor presented a petition to the Government requesting the Government to issue orders mandating the G.O for transfer of certain lands for the Municipal Corporation for the use of play-ground. This request will be examined by the Government at the appropriate time.

re. Loan to Hyderabad Race Club

betting ban create 80 60 club members 100 stand members 100 100 500
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

3rd April 1970.

Re: Loan to Hyderabad Race Club.

Stand members are aware that the Bombay Turf Club, in a letter dated 3rd April 1970, has called attention to a matter of urgent public importance: Loan to Hyderabad Race Club.

Membership fees have been increased to Rs. 500 for new members and Rs. 100 for existing members. This has been done to broaden the base of membership.

The annual subscription has been reduced to Rs. 100 for new members and Rs. 50 for existing members. This has been done to make it more accessible to a wider group of people.

The committee recommended that the members should consider the matter and take a decision accordingly.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
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Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

re': Loan to Hyderabad Race Club.

Sri J Vengal Rao:—Sir, an amount of Rs. 3 lakhs was sanctioned to the Race Course for its inauguration, etc. at Malakpet. This amount has been paid back by the Race Club. The Government have permitted the Race Club to rebate the taxes acquired during the year 1966-67 for development of its various activities. This amount aggregated to Rs. 2 lakhs and it is being repaid to the Government at the rate of Rs. 2,50,000 per year. The instalment due in this year has also been paid to the Government. At present there are 200 Club members and 100 standing members. It has been suggested by the Government to the Hyderabad Race Club that the membership of the Club be increased to 500 and also to make it broad-based. It has been reported by the Hyderabad Race Club that the question of increasing the membership is under consideration since one year, and that the membership cannot be increased due to lack of accommodation for the present members-stand. Additional accommodation shall be provided for the members. The General Body was convened on 29-3-1968 consider the question of increase of Club Membership. This issue has been reposted for the next meeting. The Government have nominated the following three Officers as their representatives on the Board of Stewards of Hyderabad Race Club:

1. Sri M T Raju, the Chief Secretary
2. Sri S KrishnaSwamy, A S, previous Home Secretary, and
3. Sri Shiv Kumar Lal
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

**re:** Immediate for opening a Fire station at Rajampet.

Retired Inspector General of Police, Sri A. Krishnaswamy has been nominated the Financial Advisor, and Sri Shy Kumar Lal as Technical Advisor. The nomination was made with a view to ensure mal-practices for pulling up of parties, book makers and also to render advice on financial matters relating to the Race Club. Every year Club is being permitted by the Government to conduct races. Government have prepared to withdraw permission and that any mal-practice is not to occur again. Therefore, sometime ago, the Government have prepared to withdraw permission and that any mal-practice is not to occur again.

**re:** Immediate need for opening a Fire Station at Rajampet.

Once the permission is withdrawn, the Club will cease to conduct races. Every year the Club has been permitted to conduct races. Government have prepared to withdraw permission and that any mal-practice is not to occur again.
3rd April, 1970.  Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

**re:** Immoral activities of a fake Swamy at Kakinada.

Sri J. Yengala Rao:—Sir, In view of the heavy cost involved in opening a permanent fire station and the difficult ways and means position of the State, it is not possible to open a regular fire station at Rajumnet just at present. However, orders have been issued on 18-8-70 for shifting of one trailer pump unit with crew from Cuddapah to Rajampet, for use during the current summer season i.e., from April to June. The orders implement the region orders of the Secretary.

**re:** Immoral activities of a fake Swamy at Kakinada.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:


3rd April, 1972

One Paluru Subba Rao who styled, himself as "Bhagwan Ananta Prabhuvulu" and who is reported to have hailed from Madurai side, performed 'Yagam' at Bengaravari choultry, Kakinada between 28th February 1970 and 7th March, 1970. Several people from various parts of the country arrived with Swamy and assisted him in performing the 'Yagam'. It was attended by hundreds of citizens of Kakinada and neighbouring villages. During 'Yagam', Swamy used to kiss ladies and also males. On 6th March, 1970, Swamy
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

re: Immoral activities of a fake Swamy at Kakinada.

girl aged 14 years said to be a native Vijayawada, came to the 'Yagnam' and Swamy tied 'Mangala Sutram' to her neck during the course of 'Yagnam'. The act of tying 'Mangala sutram' and kissing of ladies roused the feelings of the students and other public of Kakinada. One Chitturi Kamaraju, Pemmadi Satyanarayana, Thamadi Kameswara Rao, Pili Krishna and some others of Kakinada questioned the Swamy about the tying of 'Mangala sutram' and kissing of ladies openly while performing 'Yagnam'. To this Swamy is said to have replied that what he did was a part of 'Yagnam' and that he was not doing anything out of the way. He tried to convince the public and students but he could not bring down their feeling. He visited several places giving discourses and performing 'Yagnams' etc. He also made the public believe that the State Government has given him a grant of Rs. 60,000 for performing the said 'Yagnam' at Kakinada. Not believing the version of Swamy, the aforesaid persons and some others surrounded Swamy and tried to pull him out of the Pandal. The local police immediately rushed to the spot and brought the situation under control. Neither the Swamy nor the public is reported to have given any complaint to the police. As such no action was taken by the police. The Swamy finally left Kakinada on the 8th March, 1970. There is no evidence that Swamy collected funds from the public.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy (Dornakal): This is a very serious matter. First he should be placed under arrest. Is the Minister going to do that?
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

re: Behaviour of the Additional Superintendent of Police at Kalyanotsavam of Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swamy at Antarvedi.

3rd April, 1970.
3rd April, 1970.

Calling attention to a matter of urgent public Importance:

re: Behaviour of the Additional Superintendent of Police at Kalyanotsavam of Sri Lakshminarasimha Swamy at Antarvedi.

Sri J. Vengal Rao:—On the intervening night of 15/16th February 1970, 'Kalyana Mahotsavam' of Lord Narasimhaswamy was celebrated at Antarvedi in East Godavari District. About two lakhs of people from East and West Godavari Districts attended the festival. The Mahotsavam was celebrated in Kalyani Mandapam which is surrounded by walls on all sides with a covered roof without much ventilation. It could hardly accommodate two thousand people. Nearly two lakhs people are reported to have attended the festival which necessitated elaborate bandobust arrangements being made by the Police. Since the space available within the precincts of the temple where the Mahotsavam was held could accommodate only limited number of persons, entry of pilgrims inside the temple had to be regulated. It was noticed that all the persons who were some way or other connected with the affairs of the temple started taking in hundreds of people with them. The number of persons so taken exceeded ten thousand. There was an apprehension of stampede and suffocation.

The Additional Superintendent of Police who was on bandobust duty at the place informed the Executive Officer of the temple that it was not possible to allow so many people inside the temple and suggested sending away some people. The Executive Officer paid a deaf ear and started accusing the police for interfering with their rights. Police had no other alternative except to send away some of the people from 'Kalyana Mandapa' to avoid stampede and suffocation inside. It is reported that if the police had not taken the steps which they did, it was feared that there would have been severe stampede resulting in the death of quite a number of people. This act on was taken by the Addl. Superintendent of Police taking into consideration all aspects of the situation. The Executive Officer was requested to be near the entrance gate and allow the people who were connected with the affairs of the temple and all the persons pointed out by him.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

re: Delays in supplying electricity to the dry taluks of West Godavari district.

were allowed inside. By this arrangement no person who was connected with the affairs of the temple was denied entrance. It is not correct to say that Police insulted the pilgrims and that the behaviour of the Police was indecent.

The Police exercised maximum restraint and acted to the best of their ability and regulated entry into the Kalyana Mandapam with reference to the conditions prevailing then. It is not correct to say that the Executive Officer, Clerks and Trustees were prevented from performing their duties. If the entry into the temple was not regulated by Police it was feared that the episode of Tirupathi temple would have repeated at Antavredi also.
18 yd  April, 1970. Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

Delay in supplying electricity to the dry taluks of West Godavari district.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent Public Importance:

Re: Delay in supplying electricity to the dry taluks of West Godavari district.

On account of urgent public importance, the Department of Electricity and Power (Agricultural) has been requested to take steps for the early supply of electricity to the dry taluks of West Godavari district.

Date: 1-7-1970
Calling attention to a matter of urgent Public Importance:

re: Delay in supplying electricity to the dry taluks of West Godavari district.

The Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board has taken up 24 villages in Chintalapudi area and 37 villages in Jangareddygudem area covering Koyyalagudem and Kothayagudem blocks in West Godavari District under voluntary loan contribution scheme on the suggestion of the Collector, West Godavari. The Board has prepared the estimates for the villages. The total estimated cost of 24 villages in Chintalapudi area comes to Rs. 37.098 lakhs and the total estimated cost in respect of 37 villages in Jangareddygudem area etc. is Rs. 51.485 lakhs. The total number of agricultural loads covered in the villages is 1870. Against the total cost of Rs. 37.098 lakhs under Chintalapudi Scheme, the Collector has promised to arrange payment of deposits to the extent of Rs. 19.03 lakhs. Similarly, under Jangareddygudem scheme against the cost of Rs. 51.485 lakhs the Collector has promised to arrange payment of voluntary loan contribution of Rs. 32 lakhs.

The Board has agreed to accept these voluntary loans and take up execution of schemes. The Collector, West Godavari has so far arranged payment of a sum of Rs. 29.655 lakhs either through the Andhra Bank or through the Primary Land Mortgage Bank against the total voluntary loan contribution of Rs. 51.08 lakhs promised. Rs. 19.03 lakhs for Chintalapudi scheme plus Rs. 32.05 lakhs for Jangareddygudem scheme. A sum of Rs. 21.375 lakhs is due to be paid.

Instructions have already been given to the Field Officers to take up the execution of works on priority. It has been brought to the notice of the Board that some materials are required for the works. They are being procured. In the meanwhile, the Board has taken steps to transport 1000 poles from Bommur Stores for starting the work in the villages. The Board is also making deposit to see that priority is given to these voluntary contribution schemes and that the works are not stopped for want of adequate materials.

Work in some of the villages would be taken up during 69-70 and major portion of the works could be completed only in 71-72. This has become inevitable because of the difficulty in procurement of materials for making up villages under voluntary loan schemes as they were not originally contemplated under the scheme in such a large scale. Procurement of materials will take sometime. A portion
of the work in these villages has already been taken up and the balance of the works will be completed during 70-71.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy: — Yesterday, Sir, I rose on a point of order when Mr. Thimma Reddy was making statement and I said it is the Chief Minister that should answer me and not Mr. Thimma Reddy. I was against Mr. Thimma Reddy making the statement. He has not answered a single charge I have levelled. He has indulged in generalisation. He has to reply to you because he has already promised that he would reply to you after collecting the information from the Office. He had fallen ill and it was felt that it was not the proper time for the implementation of the assurance of the Chief Minister. The statement made by the Minister and the statement appearing in the Press are two different statements. Where as in the Press it is stated—“Distribution of fertilizers above board” —THIMMA REDDY. All the charges have been refuted. But my complaint is that the charge of corruption, which I have made against the Minister of his share in the loot, has not been answered and the papers have willfully distorted the whole thing. That is my charge.
I am at a disadvantage. I am a loser now. I want a ruling from you that either the Chief Minister or anybody representing the Government should answer me. I want the assurance of the Chief Minister. He has to tell us what is the result of the enquiry that he has conducted, what is the answer received from the Secretary about the specific charges I have levelled etc.

It appeared in the Indian Express that he has threatened to reveal certain things about me. I did not follow. Unfortunately, it has appeared in the newspaper and I am asking the Chief Minister be called here and let him inform the House. It is for the House to say what it thinks about them. I am prepared for any enquiry. I am asking the Government whether they are prepared for a judicial enquiry of the various charges I have levelled. The Speaker has not clarified...

(Interruption from Mr. Deputy Speaker)

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—You have interfered yesterday and you have...

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Please withdraw that:

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—Not about you. All right; let me tell you... Another most important point was that pre-payment was not done here.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Do justice to your own point of order. After hearing you I want to say something, but you have been trying to express something in your point of order. Please guide me, under what rule, or under what provision is it that you say; then I can give my ruling

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—Sir...

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Please give me that information.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—Yesterday, the Speaker...

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Under what provision are you asking me...

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—From the assurance that the Speaker has given.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Is he bound to give you the reply just now? Let me first tell you, your point of order is not covered under any provision or any rule. The Speaker has only said that the Chief Minister will reply to the no confidence motion and he will also cover the serious matters raised by you against Mr. Thimma Reddy, but as you have seen he could not control himself and there was something wrong. That does not mean you could not invite the Chief Minister to reply.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—Today is the last day.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—What can I do? I cannot help matters. I say it is not governed by any point of order. I would request you not to press for it.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—Under what provision can I ask your protection, Sir.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Please give notice if there is any thing that the papers have reported wrongly or gave wrong interpretation. I can take action. If any assurance is not fulfilled you have got an opportunity as and when it comes, to say that the assurance was given and not implemented. There are provisions. There are all in tods.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—Kindly give me a chance to tell some more things. I am only concerned with my point of order.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I have already given my ruling. What is the point in your still pressing it? you give me notice if you have to say any thing further.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—Ohen am I to give notice? Today it is over. How can I give notice?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—What can I do? It is not covered under any provision.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—I am asking you to do justice.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—For that, I told you that it was not possible for him to reply. Suppose he had drawn the attention of the hon. Speaker. Probably the hon. Speaker would have referred to him to say something. But physically it was not possible. How can one help matters, Mr. Ramachandra Reddy, tell me. ...notice 

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—There is a counter charge against the member.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I do not know what it is. Therefore it should be covered by some notice. How can I, at this stage, take cognisance of what he is saying and verify.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—When specific charges are made to counter act that—If sweeping remarks are made—the hon. speaker must come to the rescue.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—In due course it will come. If not today, some time later it will come,
3rd April, 1970.

Point of Information:
re: Strike by N. G. G. Os.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—Yesterday, during the course of discussion on this issue, there was a clear understanding that the hon. Chief Minister must cover in his reply all these things. Today he is all right. He should say what he has got to say.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—He is not present here because of his ill-health.

Sri T. Purushothama Rao:—Let the hon. Deputy Chief Minister reply.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—No other Minister could cover your point on such important matters.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—I should have had an ample opportunity to clear any doubt.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—At this stage, I do not think we can follow any other procedure.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—You have got the whole thing on the last day.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Mr. Ratnasabhapathi, at this stage, I can't help.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—There is another point. It is not only against me I am prepared to take it. But there is a reference to an officer. Although the Minister has made certain allegations against the officer, these are very serious things which the Chief Minister has to throw some light on.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—You do it at a proper time as and when we meet again.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—When, Sir? After six months?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—What can I do now? Alternatively I have nothing. No other procedure.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—If the hon. Speaker himself expresses his helplessness, there is no point in remaining in this Assembly.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am sorry.

POINT OF INFORMATION
re: Strike by N.G.G.O's

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I was thinking that Mr. Venkatesham was saying some thing about this.

I do not think I have any other way out of it. I cannot help your sentiments.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—In all humility I must protest that I am not being dealt with fairly.
Mr Deputy Speaker:— I cannot check your sentiments.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—This is most unfair.

Sri D. Venkatesham:— The Chief Secretary has issued orders not to disburse salaries to others who have not gone to court. It has created agitation in the minds of N G. Os. They are forced to go to the court. Hence, I request the Government to pay the salaries on par with the others who have not gone to the Court. I request the Deputy Chief Minister to see that it is properly answered.
Nellore Municipal Chairman Removed 79 Municipal Workers of Maintenance Section from service Yesterday Morning. Workers' Families Suffering: Take Immediate Action

A serious situation has arisen in the State due to the Government's attitude regarding N. G. Os. We have patiently waited till today. Nothing has been settled. I am told that the personal assistants and also personal secretaries of the Ministers are going to strike from tomorrow or day after and the drivers are going to strike work. It is a very serious matter. It is not merely
the concern of the Ministers. It concerns with every one of us because if the Ministry does not function it is a serious problem. What is the right for the government to withhold the salaries? Are we not part and parcel of this Government?

(Translation)

The concern of the Ministers. It concerns with every one of us because if the Ministry does not function it is a serious problem. What is the right for the government to withhold the salaries? Are we not part and parcel of this Government?
3rd April, 1970.

Point of Information:
re: Strike by N. G. G. Os.
Point of Information:
re. Strike by N. G. G. O.,

3rd April, 1970.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I think he has not meant that you are the sponsors.
Sri N. Rama:handra Reddy:—Neither are we the sponsors. Naturally we are interested in the solution of the problem. But if provocative speeches are made, I don't know where it will lead to.
Sri D. Venkatesam:—Our submission is we are not encouraging the strike. We request the Government to solve the problem.
30  3rd April, 1970.

Point of Information:
re: Strike by N. G. G. Os.

Due to this N G. Os strike unfortunate circumstances have developed in the State. There is difference of opinion whether the strike is desirable or not; whatever it may be, they have gone on strike.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I don't think he means that you are the sponsors.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—Neither are we the sponsors. Naturally we are interested in a settlement. But if provocative speeches are made, I do not know where it will lead to.

Sri G. Venkat Reddy:—My submission is we are not encouraging strike; we request the Government to solve the problem. Let them understand my viewpoint. Let the N. G. Os Association bring out the anomalies that are prevailing due to revision of pay scales and we will represent to the Chief Minister and ask him to set right the anomalies.

(Interruptions)
Point of Information:

re: Strike by N. G. G. Os.

3rd April, 1970.

Sri G. Venkata Reddy:—Let them understand my suggestion. If it is right we will agree. I do not request you to agree with my viewpoint.

(Interruptions)

I am not going to yield. I request your protection. Let me have my say. I am not attributing any motives to anybody. The problem is how to settle the issue. Let us understand the anomalies first, if there are glaring anomalies we will request the Government to do something about the N. G. Os. Our children, our sisters and brothers are in Government employment. Let the Government realize its responsibility.

(Interruptions)

They must feel it is their own Government. They must develop that national spirit.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Traditions are not governed by points of order.

Sri Subbaiah:—Any tradition, usage or custom has the force of a rule.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It depends on respecting the tradition in putting into practice, but not governed by rules.

Sri P. Subbayya:—What is tradition then?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—By good faith and intentions we establish certain conventions; it does not come under point of order.
3rd April, 1970  

Point of Information

re : Strike by the N. G. G O’s

The Hon. Speaker, Mr. Deputy Speaker, members of the House — I am glad to inform the Government and Employees more so that the ‘Government and Employees’ are the same people. It is the interest of both parties. The Government wishes to maintain the ‘Government and Employees’ at the same level. The employees believe that the Government wishes to maintain the same level. It is a very important issue. Therefore, I have allowed some members to speak. You wanted some clarifications from the Government. Still there are certain members who would like to give their observations. As rightly said something is being repeated. By this time we would have covered some more items on the Agenda. Now let us hear the Government. Mr. Ratnasahapathi wants to speak. Therefore, let me now close this issue after having a discussion for one hour.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—You have taken one hour discussion on this. It is a very important issue. Therefore, I have allowed some members to speak. You wanted some clarifications from the Government. Still there are certain members who would like to give their observations. As rightly said something is being repeated. By this time we would have covered some more items on the Agenda. Now let us hear the Government. Mr. Ratnasahapathi wants to speak. Therefore, let me now close this issue after having a discussion for one hour.
Sri T. V. Raghavulu (Gopalapuram):—Everybody knows—that all the N.G.G.Os. know about the financial position of the Government. In view of the fact that so many members have expressed themselves giving full expression to the anxiety on the situation created by this strike, I am sure a more conciliatory attitude would be adopted and the considerations of prestige would be put into the Government. I only appeal to the Government that the matter should be properly assessed; the situation should be properly assessed and immediate solution should be found, in view of the fact that it is going to take a demoralising effect on the State administrative machinery. In this connection, I would like to know whether the finances of the State would permit whatever is requested or whatever is demanded by the N.G.G.Os. I also appeal to the N.G.G.Os. and request them to study from the point of view of the financial position imposed by their demands. That is my appeal to the N.G.G.Os.
3rd April, 1970.

Point of Information:
re: Strike by the N. G. G. O.'s.

resolve the issue, or, the demands may not be met.

[As per the legal text]

[Re: Strike by the N. G. G. O.'s.]

The demands of the N. G. G. O.'s have not been met. The demands include the provision of housing, education, and health care for the residents. The government has not taken any action to address these demands. Therefore, the strike continues until the demands are met.

[Implementation of applicants' relief]

The Finance Minister has assured that the implementation of the applicants' relief will be carried out as per the G.O. and the applicants will be provided with the necessary relief. The applicants have been advised to submit their claims to the Finance Minister for further action.

[vindictive actions]

The responsible allegation is that the government has taken vindictive actions against the N. G. G. O.'s. The government has not taken any action to address these allegations.

[Postponement]

The strike has been postponed indefinitely until the demands are met.
Point of Information: 3rd April, 1970.

re: Attaching and taking away certain furniture of the Andhra Mahila Sabha at Hyderabad.

Sri Sivaiah: I have first raised the matter at 8.30 A.M. to-day regarding the Municipal Corporation attaching and taking away the properties, furniture of the Andhra Mahila Sabha. Why the Minister for Municipal Administration is here? That is run by the ladies in the name of the Andhra Mahila Sabha who are doing a great service to the girls of this area, Sir. These properties are taken away by the Municipal Corporation, whereas in Madras, they have exempted to pay taxes—both property tax as well as service tax; whereas this Government which is most inhuman have attached their properties. Let him answer as properties be released.

re: ATTACHING AND TAKING AWAY CERTAIN FURNITURE OF THE ANDHRA MAHILA SABHA AT HYDERABAD.

Sri G. Sivaiah: I have first raised the matter at 8.30 A.M. to-day regarding the Municipal Corporation attaching and taking away the properties, furniture of the Andhra Mahila Sabha at Hyderabad. Why the Minister for Municipal Administration is here? That is run by the ladies in the name of the Andhra Mahila Sabha who are doing a great service to the girls of this area, Sir. These properties are taken away by the Municipal Corporation, whereas in Madras, they have exempted to pay taxes—both property tax as well as service tax; whereas this Government which is most inhuman have attached their properties. Let him answer as properties be released.
3rd April, 1970.

Business of the House.

Mr. Speaker:

Sir, the personal allegations made in the newspapers and other media have created a serious situation which demands urgent attention. The dignity of the House is being questioned, and the integrity of Members is being undermined.

I urge the Government to take immediate steps to address these issues. It is crucial to restore the confidence of the public in our institution.

The Business of the House.

Mr. Speaker:

Sir, the allegations made in the newspapers are baseless and malicious. They are an attempt to undermine the credibility of our institution. I urge the Government to investigate these allegations thoroughly and take appropriate action.

Business of the House.

Mr. Speaker:

Sir, the recent allegations of corruption by a prominent Member have shaken the trust of the public in our institution. It is imperative that we take immediate steps to ensure transparency and accountability.

The Business of the House.

Mr. Speaker:

Sir, the recent series of revelations in the media have raised serious doubts about the integrity of our institution. It is time we take concrete steps to restore the confidence of the public in our institution.

The Business of the House.

Mr. Speaker:

Sir, the recent allegations of financial irregularities by a senior official have raised serious concerns about the accountability of our institution. It is essential that we take immediate steps to ensure proper investigation and action.
ANNOUNCEMENTS

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am to announce to the House that the following Members have been elected to the Committee on Public Accounts for the financial year 1970-71.

1. Sri Katari Munuswamy,
2. " K. Anjana Reddy,
3. " P. Laxman Rao,
4. " Puli Venkata Reddy,
5. " Butchi Krishnam Raju,
6. " N. Satyanarayana,
7. " Nimmala Ramulu,
8. " G. Bhoopathi,
9. " K. Santhiah,
10. " A. Rami Reddy,
11. " Badri Vishal Pittie,
12. " Butchaiah,
13. " A. Madhava Rao,
14. " Ch. Rajeshwara Rao,
15. " Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi,
16. " N. Ramachandra Reddy,
17. " C. Jagannatha Rao,

Under Rule 166 of the Assembly Rules, I hereby nominate Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy to be the Chairman of the above Committee.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am to announce to the House that the following Members have been elected to the Committee of Privileges for the financial year 1970-71 in addition to the Deputy Speaker who is an ex-officio Member.

1. Sri A. Bhasker Rao,
2. " P. Narasimha Reddy,
3. " S. A. Devshah,
4. " Venkat Rami Naidu,
5. " K. Someswara Rao,
6. " G. Venkat Reddy,
7. " K. Gopal Naidu,
8. " D. Venkiah,
9. " G. Ramulu,
10. " Nicharla Ramulu,
11. " Munuswamyappa,
3rd April, 1970.

Business of the House.

12. Sri P. Sanyasi Rao,
13. " M. Manik Rao,
14. " T. Anjiah,

Under Sub-rule (4) of Rule 172 of the A. P. Legislative Assembly Rules, I hereby nominate Sri Vasudev Krishnaji Naik to be the Chairman of the above Committee.

re: MEMBERS ELECTED TO THE COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I have to announce to the House that the following candidates have been elected to the Committee on Estimates for the financial year 1970-71.

1. Sri Y. Narayana Appala Naidu,
2. " V. Chenniah.
3. " Vittal Reddy (Jukkal)
4. " Dr. K. Naganna.
5. " A. Punna Reddy,
6. " Venkat Reddy,
7. " T. Chitti Naidu,
8. " B. Rajaram,
9. " Mohd. Rahmatullah,
10. " K. V. Vema Reddy,
12. " Gogineni Satyanarayana Rao,
13. " C.V.K. Rao,
15. Smt. J. Easwari Bai,
17. " D. Satyanarayana.
18. " K. Lakshmanasimha Rao,

Under Rule 166 of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules, I hereby nominate Sri K. V. Vema Reddy to be the Chairman of the above Committee.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

(1) D. Chellavarapu:—The question was asked. The opposition should be allowed to answer. The question cannot be answered by the government. I hope the government will be answerable in the next meeting.

(2) K. V. V. :—The opposition is asking for an opportunity to discuss the subject in the next meeting.

3rd April, 1970.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Let us go with matters, with some procedure. Please sit down.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—We have already decided. Hon. Members waiting in the House shall come to the Speaker's Chair and Register their withholding, or may proceed in a large corner. If there is a demand for a Division, the House shall be put to the test. The President shall also decide the requirements of the House. The matter of the order of the day shall be discussed. This is a test for Hon. Members present in the House.
3rd April, 1970.

Business of the House.

(1) என். நடபோன்: ஒரு தோன்ற மூடாது மாதுநர் ஓய்வுறுப்பு. கட்டுப் பொதுமக குற்று?

(2) ராஜ்ய போன்றவர்: ஒரு முன்னெடுக்க வி.தி. மாதுநர்.

(3) அப்போன்றவர்: ஒரு தோன்ற மூடாது மாதுநர். என்றுக் குற்று?

(4) ராஜ்ய போன்றவர்: ஒரு முன்னெடுக்க வி.தி. மாதுநர்.

(5) அப்போன்றவர்: ஒரு தோன்ற மூடாது மாதுநர்.

(6) ராஜ்ய போன்றவர்: ஒரு முன்னெடுக்க வி.தி. மாதுநர்.
PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

ANNUAL REPORT ON THE WORKING AND AFFAIRS OF THE REPUBLIC FORGE Co FOR 1967-68.

Sri J. V. Narsinga Rao:—Sir, on behalf of the Chief Minister I beg to lay on the Table the Annual Report on the working and affairs of the Republic Forge Company for the year ended 31st March, 1968 and the Audit Report, under section 619 (A) of Indian Companies Act, 1936.

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE ANDHRA PRADESH STATE FINANCIAL CORPORATION FOR 1968-69.

2. On behalf of the Chief Minister, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Annual Report on the working of the Andhra Pradesh State Financial Corporation for 1968-69 along with the Audit Report, in compliance with Section 37 (7) and 38 (3) of the State Financial Corporation Act, 1951.

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE ANDHRA PRADESH PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FOR 1967-68.

3. On behalf of the Chief Minister, I further beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Annual Report of the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission for the period from 1st April, 1967 to 31st March, 1968 in accordance with clause (2) of Article 323 of the Constitution of India.

AMENDMENTS TO THE RULES FOR REGULATING THE CONDUCT OF THE EMPLOYEES UNDER ZILLA GRANDHALAYA SAMASTHAS.

3rd April, 1970.

STATEMENT BY THE MINISTER FOR HOME

re—DEATH OF A PERSON IN POLICE LOCKUP IN BOBBIL.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Home Minister to make a statement

According to Sec. 176 (1) Cr. P. C when any person dies while in the custody of the police, the nearest Magistrate empowered to hold an enquiry may hold an enquiry into the cause of death either in respect of or in addition to the investigation held by the Police officer. Consequently, on the separation of judiciary from the executive it is ordered that the enquiry in such cases should be held by the Executive I Class Magistrate i.e., R.D.O. vide GO. No. 627, Home, dated 13-2-1967, the same position and the procedure to be followed by the S.D.M in such cases are enumerated in P.S. 66. In case of death of Vavilapalli Satyanarayana in police lock-up in Bobbili, the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Parvathipuram has conducted the magisterial enquiry. He received the report from the Sub-Inspector of Police, Bobbili P.S. about the death of the deceased about 9-20 AM on 12-11-1939, and he immediately proceeded to Bobbili for preliminary enquiry and inspected the scene of incidence. The Taluk Magistrate, Bobbili conducted the inquest over the body. The Sub Divisional Magistrate conducted an enquiry on 5-12-1939 and after examining the evidence, collected he sent his report to the Collector. The Collector examined the report and forwarded it to the Government.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am to announce to the House that the Privilege motion given notice of by Sri B. Narasimha Reddy has been disallowed by the Hon. Speaker.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am to announce to the House that the Privilege motion given notice of by Sri B. Narasimha Reddy has been disallowed by the Hon. Speaker.
Business of the House.       3rd April, 1970.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The privilege motion was disallowed.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—You please see the rules, Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—It was disallowed by the Speaker. Ruling was given. When once it is disallowed, there will not be any discussion.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I am reading Rule No. 175, Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Rules are with me. It was disallowed. If you want I will read the ruling.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I am reading Rule No. 175, Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Rules are with me. It was disallowed. If you want I will read the ruling.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I am reading Rule No. 175, Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Rules are with me.
Government Bill:

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—If you want, just to cut short the discussion, I will read the whole thing.

Mr. V. K. Rao:—If the Minister assures that he will enquire into it and take action, it is alright, Sir.

GOVERNMENT BILLS


Sri N. Chenchurama Naidu:—Sir, I beg to move for leave to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (2nd Amendment) Bill, 1970.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

The question is:

“That the leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (2nd Amendment) Bill, 1970”.

The motion was adopted.


Sri N. Chenchurama Naidu:—Sir, I beg to move for leave to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (3rd Amendment) Bill, 1970.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

The question is:

“That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (3rd Amendment) Bill, 1970”.

The motion was adopted.
BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Shall we revive the Zero Hour?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Please do not revive the Zero Hour. You can go and enquire.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—All the other Members will also raise their points. I do not allow any kind of discussion, now. Let us not revive the zero hour.

DISCUSSION ON BHARGAVA COMMITTEE REPORT.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The Committee report should be considered first. The Committee has been appointed. The Committee has been in existence for some time. Let us consider the report now.

Mr. Deputy Speaker (in charge):—The report of the Committee has been considered. The Committee has been appointed. The Committee has been in existence for some time. Let us consider the report now.
Discussion on the Bhargava Committee Report.

4th April, 1970.

Finances are not within the jurisdiction of the Regional Committee. As such, no comment on the reports submitted by the committee. However, certain observations have been made by the Finance Committee.

During the discussions, it was pointed out that the committee had recommended certain changes in the financial policy. The Finance Committee considered these recommendations and agreed to implement them. The committee also discussed the financial implications of the recommendations and concluded that they would benefit the organization in the long run.

The recommendations included increasing the budget for research and development, providing more funding for educational programs, and introducing new revenue streams to increase the organization's financial resources. The committee also recommended the creation of a new position to oversee financial matters, which was approved by the board.

Overall, the discussions were productive, and the committee made several valuable suggestions that will improve the organization's financial situation. The committee will continue to monitor the implementation of these recommendations and provide updates to the board as necessary.
Mr. Deputy Speaker: It is for the House to decide. Even before going to the Regional Committee also the House can discuss.

Sri G. Venkata Reddy: The Regional Committee is not the final authority regarding finances. Otherwise, we will not have a say. Have you over-ruled?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— It does not make any difference.

Sri G. Venkata Reddy:— The Regional Committee is not the final authority regarding finances. Otherwise, we will not have a say. Have you over-ruled?
Mr. Deputy Speaker — I have allowed the discussion.

Despite the tense and hostile atmosphere prevailing in the Telangana Regions, I tried to extend my co-operation to the Bhargava Committee. I hope you will appreciate my stand and reconsider the matter and widen the terms of reference to the Bhargava Committee, to cover the basic Principles mentioned in my letter of 19th May 1969. In the alternative, an assurance should be given to me by the Chairman of the Bhargava Committee that the assumptions mentioned by me in my letter of 18th May '69 addressed to him are acceptable to this Committee failing this —

Failing this, I feel that no purpose will be served
Discussion on the Bhargava Committee  
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by the Bhargava Committee. I would request you to take into consideration 
the above approach and suitably modify the terms of reference to the 
Bhargava Committee and give proper advice to the Committee.

Terms of reference.

Sri Ahmed Hussain in the Chair.

As a protest only I am expressing my opinion because 
the very constitution of the Committee itself is illegal and unconsti 
tutional.

(Sri Ahmed Hussain in the Chair)
This is regarding Chapter para 3.

Then there may be cases where the activities of statewide activity and it is not possible to identify each item carried out pertaining to one region or the other. For such cases, the obvious method is to treat it as a common activity meant for the entire State and on the principles who have indicated above all items relating to such activity will be divisible between the two regions Andhra and Telangana in the proportion of $\frac{1}{2}$.

So far as the capital expenditure by the Electricity Board is concerned the plea put forward on behalf of the Telangana Region was based on the ground that high tension electricity lines now maintained in the state by the Electricity Board formed one single grid, so that all electric schemes shown in that single grid must be treated as one single unit serving the entire State.

This is regarding Chapter 3, para 2.

Then there may be cases where the activities of statewide activity and it is not possible to identify each item carried out pertaining to one region or the other. For such cases, the obvious method is to treat it as a common activity meant for the entire State and on the principles who have indicated above all items relating to such activity will be divisible between the two regions Andhra and Telangana in the proportion of $\frac{1}{2}$. 

So far as the capital expenditure by the Electricity Board is concerned the plea put forward on behalf of the Telangana Region was based on the ground that high tension electricity lines now maintained in the state by the Electricity Board formed one single grid, so that all electric schemes shown in that single grid must be treated as one single unit serving the entire State.
Discussion on the Bhargava Committee Report.

3rd April, 1970.

This Bhargava Committee constitution itself is unconstitutional and illegal. It has no legal status and legal backing. That is why the findings of this Committee are not binding on us. It is my request, Sir.
Discussion on the Bhargava Committee Report.

3rd April, 1970.

[Text continues on the page]
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(Sri T. S. Murthy in the Chair)
Discussion on the Bhargava Committee Report.

3rd April, 1970.
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Discussion on the Bhargava Committee Report.

The discussion on the Bhargava Committee Report continued. The report's recommendations were discussed in detail. The committee had suggested several measures to improve the current situation. The attendees agreed that the implementation of these recommendations would be beneficial. The discussion was lively, with a lot of input from all members.

The committee has decided to forward the report to the higher authorities for further action. The attendees were pleased with the progress made so far and expressed their confidence in the committee's ability to complete its task.

The discussion lasted for several hours, and the attendees left the meeting with a clear understanding of the report's recommendations and the actions required to implement them.

What results has it achieved? Can the Chief Minister enable to explain? No. Nobody can do it. Our Chokka Rao is not accepting this. Then, who else can accept? Waste time waste money waste.

Opinion poll? Sentiment provoke.
Discussion on the Bhargava Committee Report.

3rd April, 1970.

...

Terms of reference included, press enquired about terms of reference of the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.
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The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.
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The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.
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The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.

The report is submitted by the Committee. The terms of reference press asked about the terms of reference of the Committee. The report is submitted by the Committee.
Discussion on the Bhargava Committee Report.

Sri N. Ramchandra Reddy: —Sir, it seems a reference was made to my speech when I was out. I was overhearing it.

If the hon. member wants, I can furnish the press cutting of that date also. We have rejected this. If the hon. member wants, I will get that press cutting also and pass it on to him.
receipts from the farms. Let me explain the situation. The principles in accounting are much more important than disputing about the figures arrived at by the Bhargava Committee or the Government. So, the principles are different at the time when the Government came to the conclusion that Rs. 30.5 crores surpluses are there, at the time when Kumar Lalith came to the conclusion that Rs. 34.8 crores surpluses are there and again at the time when the Bhargava Committee came to the conclusion arriving at a particular figure. Principles are more important in arriving at the figures.

Those figures which are available and submitted before the Regional Committee, those are the figures; half the deficit from Andhra should be taken as surplus of Telangana. I did not come across such an argument which is advanced by our leaders. Our Party is quite opposed to economic principles.

Sri G. Venkat Reddy:—It is quite opposed to economic principles.
Discussion on the Bhargava Committee Report.
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Sri G. Rajaram: — Are you aware that Andhra Pradesh Cabinet decided that?

Sri G. Venkat Reddy: — Might be so. I am not disputing about facts on that. Bhargava said it is not a good principle; it is not a sound economic principle. Suppose cotton is there at the dam of Krishna, that is also an asset to the Government. From onwards, Nagarjuna Sagar will be an asset to the State. Like that there are number of things. They are capital assets of the State. That principle was not followed by the Regional Committee. About a crore of rupees they have deposited in Hyderabad. Are you going to take that as receipts of Hyderabad or receipts of Andhra Pradesh? There must be some justification in coming to the conclusion that the receipts which we are getting in Hyderabad are receipts of Andhra region.
The allocation of expenditure within the resources of State is a matter which falls within the purview of the State Government and State Legislature. I am not disputing the power of the Regional Committee but I am only saying that the final authority is the State Legislature. We are not disputing that matter. That is what he felt as justice. Mr. Bhargava felt it just in allocating the waters of Nagarjunasagar on the basis of TMC utilised by various regions. I entirely agree with Sri Latchamma. Where that amount has been sunk—the amount which is supposed to be surplus or supposed to be eaten away by Andhra—I request my friend not to repeat that phrase. That is a different aspect. I should not reveal all those things. That is the proceedings of the Regional Committee. I should not reveal all those things. That is a different aspect.
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The very bedrock of the rockbed of integration of Andhra and Telangana regions is what is called the 'Gentlemen's Agreements of 1956. The bedrock is always good for the foundation of a building. Poverty at one place is a danger to prosperity elsewhere. Poverty is a curse to society. The poor in the society are the backbone of the nation. The poor are the backbone of the nation at any rate. The poor are the backbone of the nation and the backbone of the nation is the backbone of the nation. The poor are the backbone of the nation and the nation is the backbone of the nation.

Mr. Chairman: In that case, the whole time will be covered by your speech.
Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Then I decline with thanks. I resume my seat. I am not prepared to compress my speech.

Mr. Chairman:—I am not asking you to resume your seat.

Dr. T. V S. Chalapathi Rao:—This is a very very long story. Let the session be extended by a day or another hour and let me be allowed to have my free say in the matter. If, on the other hand, the Speaker unfortunately thinks that I do not deserve to elaborate my views on the subject, I decline with thanks your request that I should speak and resume my seat.

Mr. Chairman:—I am not coming in the way of your free expression of your views. I am only saying there are a number of members who want to speak.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—It is humanly impossible. I am incapable of compressing my views within a limited span of ten minutes on this vast and very controversial subject.

Mr. Chairman:—How much time do you want?

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—I think Mr. Ramachandra Reddy was given half an hour; Mr. Latchana was given half an hour; Mr. Venkata Reddy was given more than half an hour. I deserve to be given more than 45 minutes; otherwise, I shall have to shut my mouth and resume my seat.

Mr. Chairman:—Forty minutes, today’s whole time will be completed by your speech.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—I cannot help it. I am not at all bothering you or bothering myself. Without any resentment, without any dissatisfaction, I am prepared to resume my seat. I am not here claiming any superiority; but I am afraid that no full justice is done to me. Within 10 minutes’ time how can I do justice, please tell me.

Mr. Chairman:—45 minutes cannot be allowed. You can speak for 10 minutes.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—How can I speak within 10 minutes. I decline with thanks. You can call the next member.

Mr. Chairman:—Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao.

Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao:—What about me? How much time?

Mr. Chairman:—Time? About 10 to 12 minutes. Number of people have not spoken. Some of the parties have not got representation.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Who is responsible for that. It is a burning topic of the day. Who asked to give only 2 hours for this most important topic?

Mr. Chairman:—It is not my business. It is the Business Advisory Committee that decided. What is the use of asking me?

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—In the Business Advisory Committee we ask for 3 days. I will walk out as a protest.
Mr. Chairman:—The Business Advisory Committee should have allotted more time for this. You were also sitting in the Business Advisory Committee.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—No, Sir. I am walking out as a protest against the injudicious decision of the Chairman that I should confine my speech to 10 minutes on the burning topic of the day, for which we requested for 3 days in the Business Advisory Committee. Please verify from the Speaker and the Chief Minister. You want us to dance to their tunes or you want us to serve the interests of the State. We cannot dance to the tunes of others whatever may be their greatness or high positions they may hold. Without meaning any disrespect I am walking out of the House.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—After all, we have to close by 1.30 P.M. Why should it not extend by one hour? An important member like Dr. Rao should not be made to feel that he has not been given sufficient time.

Mr. Chairman:—If time is extended by 2 hours there are a number of speakers.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Without meaning any disrespect, the Chairman’s mind is clear. When I repeatedly requested, you said you must finish it in 10 minutes. When Mr. Rajeshwara Rao rightly asked, ‘what about me’ you were silent. What does this silence show? That means, you are prepared to extend his time. As a protest I am walking out.

Mr. Chairman:—I am sorry. No, please withdraw. I said ‘ten minutes’.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Did you say, ‘you must confine yourself to ten minutes’? Did your precious lips say that Rajeshwara Rao has to confine himself within 10 to 12 minutes?

Mr. Chairman:—Yes, I said that.

Dr. T.V.S. Chalapathi Rao:—Excuse me. It is not my intention to hurt your feelings.

Mr. Chairman:—Please ask Mr. Rajeshwara Rao. I said ten minutes.

Dr. T.V.S. Chalapathi Rao:—If you want I withdraw. But I say that the Chief Minister who is the Leader of the House and the Speaker of the House also are not prepared to give a fair trial, to give freedom, to give enough time to the members to express their views on the burning topic of the day, the Bhargava Committee report. Therefore, as a protest I am walking out.

Mr. Chairman:—Sri Rajeshwara Rao, you please speak.

Dr. T.V.S. Chalapathi Rao:—There is a conspiracy.

Mr. Chairman:—There is no conspiracy. Mr. Chalapathi Rao.

Dr. T.V.S. Chalapathi Rao:—It is a pre-arranged conspiracy to shut our mouth. I deliberately repeat it is a conspiracy: if not I do not involve. There is a conspiracy between the Chief Minister and the Speaker of the House. Let me be dismissed. I am prepared to resign. Why should I hang on here if I cannot have the right to speak on such an important subject, Sir. What is the
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point in denying me this precious time? You sat for 2 months here and wasted Rs. 10 thousands a day but you cannot give me half-an-hour time. Is it fair-play? Is it just? I repeat it is a conspiracy between the Leader of the House and the Speaker to shut me out.

Sri K. Prabhakar Rao:—Mr. Chairman, for our entire party, he is the only member who is speaking.

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

Sri K. Prabhakara Rao:—Mr. Speaker, on behalf of our party, only Dr. Chalapathi Rao is speaking. He must be given sufficient time.

Mr. Speaker:—True, Dr. Chalapathi Rao is the leader of his party and we must give him sufficient time. There is no dispute about it. The question is, the time at our disposal is only 40 minutes.

Dr. T.V.S. Chelapathi Rao:—I repeat it is a conspiracy between the Leader of the House and the Speaker to shut me out.

Sri K. Prabhakara Rao:—For our entire party he is the only speaker. On behalf of our party, only Dr. T.V. S. Chelapathirao will speak.

Dr. T.V.S. Chelapathi Rao:—I said it is impossible for me to compress my speech into 10 minutes. I am repeating that it is a deliberate conspiracy to shut me out from speaking on the burning topic of the day. I am constrained to observe that it is not fair. Is it democracy?

(Pause)

I am sorry. If I said anything wrong I am prepared to apologise.

Mr. Speaker:—I know you are the one person who has studied this problem more than anybody else. About surpluses I know you have made a deep study. We would certainly like to give as much time as possible, particularly for members who have studied the subject. After all when the members want to enlighten the House there is no point in denying them the opportunity. None of us meant anything. If you think like that you are mistaken. The trouble is there is time only till 1:30. If all of you agree we may extend the time.

Mr. Speaker:—That is exactly the reason why I said.

Mr. Speaker:—I am only saying it is a question of sitting till 2 p.m. or again at 4 p.m. Now many members have already left and it is too much on my part to expect them. Within the time available am asking members to adjust themselves.

The leaders will certain ly be given enough time and chance.

Mr. Speaker.—We wanted to disperse on 31st, and again on the 1st, 2nd and the 3rd...

Now, Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathirao may speak.
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That will have an impact on the rest of the body. Every action, as you know Sir, will have a reaction.
Discussion on the Bhargava Committee Report

The supplementary report to the 10th report of the sub-committee on development and implementation of plan and non-plan schemes in Telangana dated 10th December 1965.

Reference is made to the 23rd Report of the Planning Sub-committee of the Regional Committee for Telangana on the subject FINANCE which is likely to come up for consideration at the meeting of the Regional Committee on the 8th June 1959 at 4 p.m.

The various observations and suggestions of the Committee contained in this note are being examined separately. There is, however, one important point regarding the maintenance of separate accounts for Telangana to which the Committee has drawn pointed attention at various places. This is dealt with below:

In the note circulated by the G. A. D. on the subject “Safeguards proposed for the Telangana area” it has stated: 

“My Department has carefully considered the matter and proposes the following proposals which are approved by the Cabinet.

The terms Central and General Administration and proportionately have not been defined anywhere. The intention appears to be that the expenditure on establishment and services which are not intended exclusively for any one region but have been constituted for rendering common services to both the regions, should be shared by both the regions in an equitable manner. It would be difficult for the administrative Departments as well as the Accountant General to maintain separate sets of accounts, income and expenditure of Andhra and Telangana regions of Andhra Pradesh. As a practical measure, therefore, the following principles have been provisionally adopted in framing the estimates for 1957-58:—

In the note circulated by the General Administration Department on the subject “Safeguards proposed for the Telangana area” it has been stated as follows:

“It has been agreed to between the representatives of Andhra and Telangana that the expenditure of the new State on Central and General Administration should be borne proportionately by the two regions and the balance of income from Telangana should be reserved for expenditure on the development of Telangana area.”

The point was examined by the Finance Department as early as in February, 1957 and in the Cabinet Note submitted to the Council of Ministers on the 12th February, 1957 (the first budget after the formation of Andhra Pradesh), the Finance Department has submitted the following proposals which are approved by the Cabinet.

The terms Central and General Administration and proportionately have not been defined anywhere. The intention appears to be that the expenditure on establishment and services which are not intended exclusively for any one region but have been constituted for rendering common services to both the regions, should be shared by both the regions in an equitable manner. It would be difficult for the administrative Departments as well as the Accountant General to maintain separate sets of accounts, income and expenditure of Andhra and Telangana regions of Andhra Pradesh. As a practical measure, therefore, the following principles have been provisionally adopted in framing the estimates for 1957-58:—
(1) Expenditure on Governor's establishment Ministers, Government Guest Houses, Secretariat, Legislative Assembly, Public Service Commission, High Court, Board of Revenue, Offices, of the Heads of Departments, Government Central Press and Stationery Department has been divided between Andhra and Telangana in the ratio of 2/3rd and 1/3rd which roughly conforms at the ratio of population of the two areas. This is the genesis of this 2:1. ratio of expenditure of the new State on Central and General Administration should be borne proportionately by the two regions. This is the only note during these 12 years that appears to have been approved by the Cabinet. "Sharing of common charges between Andhra and Telangana—The agreement concluded by the representatives of Andhra and Telangana in connection with the safeguards for Telangana, provided among other things, that the expenditure on Central and General Administration will be borne proportionately by the two regions and the balance of incomo from Telangana will be reserved for expenditure on the development of Telangana area." There principles have been kept in view in framing the Budget Estimates for 1977-78 and particularly in the allocation of funds for the Second Five-Year Plan."
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"I may take this opportunity of assuring my friends in Telangana that every rupee collected in Telangana area will be accounted for separately and the fear that Telangana money will be diverted to the development of Andhra is not well founded and whatever assurances were given in this regard will be fully remembered and implemented." 

"There is an unfortunate feeling that Andhra is a deficit area and Telangana is a surplus one. The figures given below and more especially the figures for the current year reveal that Telangana is running into a bigger deficit than Andhra side." 

Finance Minister, Sri K. Brahmanada Reddy, present Chief Minister dated 25–2–69 while presenting the Budget for the year 1959–60 except for maintaining separate figures of revenue and expenditure for the two regions of Andhra and Telangana as promised by my predecessor and required according to the understanding and the rule before the formation of the new State of A N Pradesh the finances of the two regions have been fully integrated.

The finances of the two regions have been fully integrated.

It is incumbent on him to come to this House and explain why it is unnecessary to go to the Regional Committee which has no
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Jurisdiction over the finances. Even assuming it has jurisdiction by that time there was no Regional Committee.

The Report of the finances was considered by the Regional Committee at its meeting held on 11-6-59. While accepting all the recommendations in general, the Finance Minister has made certain observations regarding the question of keeping separate accounts for Telangana Region. It was decided therefore to reconsider this aspect in the light of the details stated by the Finance Minister. A joint meeting of the two sub-committees on planning and development was held on 23-6-59 in which Deputy Secretary, Finance Department was present. He explained about the difficulties that were confronted by the Accountant General of maintaining two separate accounts and also stated that unless two separate budgets were there for both the regions it was not possible to maintain two separate accounts— which unfortunately they have not done for reasons not known. It was further explained that the Accountant General would give a broad analysis of the receipts and expenditure under 3 main heads—Andhra, Telangana and Headquarters.
3rd April, 1970.
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So far as headquarters is concerned, it is left to the Government to make the allocation on some equitable basis. So far as headquarters is concerned, it is left to the Government to make allocation on some equitable basis. As a responsible legislator I am repeating my offer if it is over the Plan expenditure. I think no Andhra would object to the development of Telangana. Let us drop this controversy—Bhargava or no Bhargava, Lalit or no Lalit and let us open a new golden chapter.
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By all means 1969 agreement is valid; 1969 agreement is valid; by all means any number of agreements that are found necessary to solve this problem are valid. But there is no enabling provision. For example, I tell you, about the safeguards regarding employment on Mulki basis they said that these safeguards including the surpluses are meant only for 5 years, if for any reason the Telangana legislators desire that it should be extended for another five years, it can be extended. It did not stop at that Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy even without consulting Congress Legislature party extended another 5 years; then started the volcanic eruption—

There is no enabling provisions in 1956 agreement in the sense that if for any reason further clarifications for agreement are necessary, it is open to the Government and the Regional Committee which is expected to come into office as a result of the agreement from time to time for making discussion, extend and enter into agreement. 

It appears to us that in some details and in respect of some items the principles applied may not be strictly fair. They may not be strictly fair and consequently where we intend to depart from those principles we shall indicate in our report subsequently the reasons why we have not strictly followed the norms laid down in
this document. The second agreement they dismissed—

I am prepared to consider it as an agreement—

The third agreement of the 19th January, 1969 was
repudiated by the representatives of both sides so that we were not
inclined to give that agreement the status of binding agreement which
necessarily had to be given effect to by us. He dismissed 59 agree-
ment as unfair; he dismissed 69 agreement binding. 69 agreement is dismissed ; 69 agreement is repudiated. For reasons not
known to me—what they did was—59 and 69 agreements—they have no
binding nature on both the regions—
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I am demanding without meaning any disrespect to him— he has to come to this House and explain under what circumstances his Cabinet thought it necessary to agree to allocate Rs. 33 crores—half of 66 crores deficit according to me, I assert there is no deficit in Andhra Pradesh. 265.1 crore for 66 crores deficit. 1967-68—1968-69, 28 crore 28 crore, 28 crore. 2076-2081. Based on the past actuals, current trends and preliminary figures for 57-58 with necessary modifications on account of trend increases wherever these could be reasonably foreseen exclude Central grants and state shares in income-tax, union excise duties and estate duties..."
3rd April, 1970.
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Sub-committee findings and recommendations. Full Regional Committee discussed the findings on 14th March 1970. It was observed that the record of the Sub-committee was not complete. A member of the Sub-committee raised the question of the need for an annual grant of Rs. 4 crores for the Andhra region.

Round Table Conference discussed the present situation. It was noted that the region was suffering from certain disabilities as a result of separation and reorganisation. The Andhra region which was separated from Madras is in deficit. So, we recommended an annual grant of Rs. 4 crores per year for the Second Plan Period. It was also observed that the cabinet had agreed to correct the deficiencies by the end of the Second Plan Period. If they can substantiate their claims, Rs. 58 crores would be required. The chronic revenue deficit has now practically been wiped off.

He further said that by 1959-60 there is no deficit at all, and our friends are representing the deficit of Rs. 58 crores, 16 there and half of which the cabinet has agreed to correct it. If they can substantiate it we also agree by 1939-60 all deficits are wiped off. The financial grants in aid are from the revenue receipts to Revenue expenditure to fill up the revenue gap.

Sri Rajamannar was the Chairman of the Committee, in 1965. The main function of the Finance Commission now consists of determining the revenue gap of each State by providing and filling up that gap by a scheme partly by the distribution of debts and partly by addition. The chronic revenue deficit has now been practically wiped off. Income tax, Excise duties, and the share of the schemes 59 agree to.

Preventable disaster has become a reality. The cabinet had agreed to use preventable disaster as per the schemes 59 agreement.
3rd April, 1970.

Discussion on the Bhargava Committee Report.

It is a Revenue deficit. If we take 10 years Revenue deficit is 5 percent more. It is deficit with its deficit 5 times the annual grant 2:1 divide. It may be filled by loan and grants of Government of India. Therefore, I refuse to comply with the request of the Andhra Pradesh Government to create Rs. 28 crores which is 50% of the so-called Andhra deficit to the Telangana surplus.

From the Accounts it is not possible to show how the deficit of Andhra Region is filled. It may be filled by surplus from Telangana. It may be filled by market loans; it may be filled by the loans and grants of Government of India. Therefore, I refuse to comply with the request of the Andhra Pradesh Government to create Rs. 28 crores which is 50% of the so-called Andhra deficit to the Telangana surplus.

We stand solidly behind the Gentlemen's Agreement of 1956 and our constitution is that the Andhra Pradesh Government has not properly implemented. That is the common grievance. Kindly do not mistake me. I am for the development of Telangana. I am second to none to any of the Telangana friends for the rapid development of Telangana. We treat it as all Andhra Pradesh whether it is Nalgonda or Krishna district.

Mr. Speaker:—Under Rule 70 not more than two hours can be allotted. But still there are number of Members who want to speak. I am sorry. I am not able to give an opportunity. During the next session again we will try to find time in giving opportunity under Rule 70.

Mr. Speaker:—It will be continued again during the next Session. It is not over now. This will be resumed again under Rule 70.
Announcement:
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Member elected to the Zonal Railway Users’ Consultative Committee.

Mr. Speaker:—The discussion is not closed. The only thing I can do is that we can give two hours in the next Session, this will be taken up and Members will be given an opportunity. What else can I do?

Mr. Speaker:—It is already 1.30 P.M. I am sorry, I am not going to allow any further discussion. I am extremely sorry.

ANNOUNCEMENT

re: MEMBERS ELECTED TO THE ZONAL RAILWAY USER’S CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE.

Mr. Speaker:—I am to announce to the House the following candidates have been elected to the Zonal Divisional Users’ Consultative Committee, viz.,

1. Sri Ch. Venkata Rao,
   Divisional Railway Users’ Consultative Committee, Vijayawada.

2. Sri T. Satyanarayana,
   Divisional Railway Users’ Consultative Committee, Waltair.

3. Sri G. Sivaiah,
   Divisional Railway Users’ Consultative Committee, Hubli.

4. Sri Jinna Malla Reddy,
   Divisional Railway Users’ Consultative Committee, Secunderabad.

5. Smt. M. Godfrey,
   Divisional Railway Users’ Consultative Committee, Guuntakal.

6. Sri D. Venkatesam,
   Zonal Divisional Railway Users’ Consultative Committee, Southern Railway.

7. Sri V. Paiavelli,
   Zonal Divisional Railway Users’ Consultative Committee, South Central Railway.

8. Sri Ahmed Hussain,
   Zonal Divisional Railway Users’ Consultative Committee, Central Railway.
MESSAGES FROM THE COUNCIL

re: NOMINATIONS TO THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

Mr. Speaker:— I am to announce to the House that I have received the following messages from the Hon. Chairman of the Legislative Council:

I have to inform the Legislative Assembly that the following motion has been adopted by the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council at its sitting held on 24th March 1970 concurring with the recommendation of the Assembly that the Legislative Council do agree to nominate six members from the Council to the Public Accounts Committee of the Assembly for the Financial Year 1970-71.

"That this House agrees with the recommendation of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly that the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council do agree to nominate six members from the Council to serve on the Public Accounts Committee of the Assembly for the Financial Year 1970-71 and do proceed to elect in such manner as the Chairman may direct 6 members from among the members of the Council to serve on the said Committee.

Yours faithfully,

Sd. P. Ranga Reddy"

I am to further inform the Legislative Assembly that in the sitting of the Legislative Council on 31st March 1970, I declare the following members duly elected to the Assembly Public Accounts Committee for the Financial Year 1970-71.

1. Sri Syed Mukhasirasha
2. Sai P. Limba Reddy
3. Sri A. Changal Reddy
4. Sri Ch Subbarao Chowdary
5. Sri K. Rosaiah
6. Sri G. Nagabhushanam

Yours faithfully,

Sd. P. Ranga Reddy"

re: NOMINATIONS TO THE COMMITTEE ON ESTIMATES

"I am further to inform the Legislative Assembly that the following Motion has been adopted by the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council at its sitting held on 24th March 1970 concurring with the recommendation of the Assembly that the Legislative Council do agree to nominate 6 members from the Council to the Estimates Committee of the Assembly for the Financial Year 1970-71.

"That this House agrees with the recommendation of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly that the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council do agree to nominate six members from the Council to serve on the Estimates Committee of the Assembly for the Financial Year 1970-71 and do proceed to elect in such manner as the Chairman may direct 6 members from among the members of the Council to serve on the said Committee.

Yours faithfully,

Sd. P. Ranga Reddy"
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re: The Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Bill, 1970

I am to further inform the Legislative Assembly with the sitting of the Legislative Council on 81st March 1970 I declare the following Members duly elected to the Assembly Estimates Committee; for the Financial Year 1970-71:

1. Sri M. Subba Reddy
2. Sri Appikatla Joseph
3. Sri N. V. Ganga Raju
4. Sri V. Rama Rao
5. Sri I. Lingaiah
6. Sri Y. V. Krishna Rao

Yours faithfully,
Sd. P. Ranga Reddy


In accordance with Rule 174 of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council Rules, I return herewith the Andhra Pradesh General Sales Tax (Amendment) Bill No. 9/69 which is passed by the Legislative Assembly at its sitting held on 31st March 1970 and transmitted to the Legislative Council for its recommendation duly signed by me and state this house has no recommendations to make to the Legislative Assembly with regard to the Schedule.

Yours faithfully,
Sd. P. Ranga Reddy

re: ANDHRA PRADESH PAYMENT OF SALARIES AND REMOVAL OF DISQUALIFICATIONS BILL, 1970

"In accordance with Rule 174 of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council Rules, I transmit a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualifications Bill, L A. Bill No. 7/70 as passed and agreed to by the Legislative Council on 3rd April 1970 without any amendment and signed by me, and state that this House has no recommendations to make to the Legislative Assembly with regard to the Schedule.

Yours faithfully,
Sd. P. Ranga Reddy"

THE ANDHRA PRADESH CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1970

"In accordance with Rule 174 of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council Rules, I transmit a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Bill, 1970 L.A. Bill No. 1/70 as passed & agreed to by the Legislative Council on 2nd April 1970 without any amendment and signed by me, and state that this House has no recommendations to make to the Legislative Assembly with regard to the Schedule.

Yours faithfully,
Sd. P. Ranga Reddy"
3rd April, 1979.
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THE ANDHRA PRADESH MUNICIPALITIES (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1970

In accordance with Rule 174 of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council Rules, I transmit a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Bill, 1970, L A Bill No 8/69 as passed and agreed to by the Legislative Council on 2nd April 1970 without any amendment signed by me, and state that this House has no recommendations to make to the Legislative Council with regard to the Schedule.

Yours faithfully,

S. P. Ranga Reddy.

STATISTICS FOR THE MEETING FROM 9-2-1970 TO 3-4-1972

1. No. of days for which the Assembly sat .. 39
2. No. of hours for which the Assembly worked .. 246 hrs. 45 mts.
3. No. of Questions answered orally .. 789
4. No. of Questions for which answers were placed on the Table .. 60
5. No. of Short Notice Questions .. 76
6. No. of Supplementarys .. 2572
7. No. of speeches made by the Ministers .. 132
8. No. of speeches made by the Members .. 779
9. No. of Call Attention Notices admitted and statements made .. 116
10. No. of Bills passed .. 10
11. Composition of the House as on 3-4-1970 (Besides hon. Speaker):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party</th>
<th>No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congress</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telangana United Front</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swatantra Party</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congress (Organisation)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communist Party of India</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communist Party of India (Marxist)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peoples' Democratic Group</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jana Congress</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharantika Jana Sangh</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican Party</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samyuktha Socialist Party</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independents</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominated</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>287</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*The House then adjourned sine die*)