ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Wednesday, the 18th March, 1970.
(The House met at Half-Past Eight of the Clock.
(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

ADDITIONAL OIL REFINERY AT VISAKHAPATNAM

(a) whether it is a fact that an additional Oil Refinery is likely to be established at Visakhapatnam;

(b) if so, when is it likely to be established; and

(c) the capacity of the Additional Refinery?

The Chief Minister (Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy) :—(a) There are no proposals for the establishment of an additional Oil Refinery at Visakhapatnam.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Does not arise.

MISAPPROPRIATION OF NATIONAL DEFENCE FUND

(a) the stage at which the enquiry is at present on the petition submitted in 1962 alleging that the Sarpanch of Cheriyal Panchayat, Jangaon taluq, Warangal district misappropriated the National Defence Fund collected during 1962; and

(b) the reason for the delay in enquiring of the said case?
Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—(a) If the hon. Members are referring to the case of Sri V. Vishal, former President of Cheriyal Town Municipality, an enquiry into allegations of misappropriation of National Defence Fund collections was conducted immediately on receipt of a petition by the Collector, but the case was treated as undetectable for want of evidence.

(b) Does not arise.

Legal advice was also taken and it was found there is no sufficient evidence.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—No, Sir. If you want me to read the Legal opinion I will also read. The point is you have to depend upon uncorroborated testimony of some witnesses, oral evidence. That becomes the difficulty.

Sri A. Madhava Rao:—If that is the case, there won't be any corroborated evidence because he is the Sarpanch. There won't be any evidence and every administrative set up will be like that. At least we must have the necessary genuine effort to have the effect at least to file a complaint and at least he must face the music of going to a Court of Law.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Sir, Police enquiry revealed that there was political faction in the town and as soon as the rival political party complained about misappropriation, the accused destroyed some of the original receipts and altered the counterfoils making necessary changes and in the balance of collections and receipts. He is stated to be a man of much influence in the town as a result of which he could successfully suppress the evidence. The Superintendent of Police also reported that the accused could escape the prosecution because the procedure adopted for effecting the collections was defective in that the authorities had allowed collections
against the issue of manuscript receipts. The Public Prosecutor, Warangal who was consulted in the matter was reported to have advised that it is not a fit case for prosecution as there was no evidence to charge sheet the accused.

Sri A. Madhava Rao:—Sir, does the destroying of receipts amount to an offence or not? Now, the hon. Chief Minister said that the receipts have been destroyed. In that case will it not be more grievous? How can the Public Prosecutor give that opinion, Sir?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—It is not only misappropriation, it can be falsification of accounts, it can be forgery. All this can be there. But the unfortunate thing is there is no material except a few people saying orally.

Sri C. V. K. Rao (Kakinada):—How can material come? An effort has to be made. The Chief Minister has said that he successfully suppressed the evidence. How is it that he successfully suppressed the evidence?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Sir, on the enquiries made by the R.D.O. and the C.I.D. they came to the conclusion that this gentleman has misappropriated—whether it is Rs. 3,900 or more. But, on consultation it was found that there is no case for prosecution.

Mr. Speaker:—What is it that you want the Government to do?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—It is not only misappropriation, it can be falsification of accounts, it can be forgery. All this can be there. But the unfortunate thing is there is no material except a few people saying orally.

Sri K. Prabhakara Rao:—As a Criminal Lawyer you know, Sir, that the documentry evidence itself is condemning the person.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—If there is sufficient documentry and oral evidence to prove all this, he can be prosecuted for misappropriation, he can be prosecuted for falsification of accounts, he can be prosecuted for forgery. But, unfortunately, the material is not there.

Mr. Speaker:—All this matter was there before the Public Prosecutor when he gave the decision. Whom else should they consult? Should they consult the State Public Prosecutor?

Sri K. Prabhakara Rao:—Yes.
Mr. Speaker:—Why?

Sri K. Prabhakara Rao:—If there is a prima facie case and the legal advice given by the Public Prosecutor is not proper.

Mr. Speaker:—In every case if they refer to the State Public Prosecutor.

Sri K. Prabhakara Rao:—Not every case. It is a serious case. Misappropriation of Defence fund and there is documentary evidence.

Mr. Speaker:—Is it anywhere laid down that where the Public Prosecutor is of the opinion that certain cases should be dropped and are not for prosecution, they should invariably refer to the State Public Prosecutor?

Sri K. Prabhakara Rao:—But here, the Superintendent of Police should have reported the matter to the State Public Prosecutor because.

Mr. Speaker:—That is what you think.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—I do not mind passing the file to the Speaker.

Mr. Speaker:—I do not have the patience to go through all that.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—The R. D. O. has contacted several people and things like that. Then the C. I. D. went into it. The C. I. D. also felt like that. Then we wanted the police to put up a case on the basis of that. Then they consulted the Public Prosecutor also. Then he gave some reasons and said that though there is suspicion as already stated, it cannot be legally connected and proved satisfactorily in a Court of Law, that is the point.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—What am I to do, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—After eight years?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—What am I to do, Sir.
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[Text of the oral answers to questions discussed in the session.]
The Minister for Panchayati Raj deputised the Chief Minister and answered the questions (Sri T. Ramswamy):

(a) It is presumed that the hon. Member is referring to the Primary Land Mortgage Bank, Allagadda, if so, the answer is in the affirmative.

(b) and (c) Sri Kalangi Srimulu and three others submitted a representation to the Government stating that the lands belonging to them were mortgaged to the Land Mortgage Bank, Allagadda by some other persons and that these persons obtained loans from the bank. The Collector, Kurnool has ordered an enquiry into the affairs of the Land Mortgage Bank under Section 51 of the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act, 1964 and the results of the enquiry are awaited.

(d) Mr. Nagababu:

(e) Mr. Nagababu:

(f) Mr. Nagababu:

(g) Mr. Nagababu:

(h) Mr. Nagababu:

(i) Mr. Nagababu:

(j) Mr. Nagababu:

(k) Mr. Nagababu:

(l) Mr. Nagababu:

(m) Mr. Nagababu:
CO-OPERATIVE FARMING SOCIETY AT DAMANELLORE

1375 Q.—Sri S. Vemayya (Sarvepalli):—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state;
(a) Whether the Government are in receipt of a representation from Sri S. Vemayya, M.L.A. dated 19-11-1939 regarding the organisation of a Co-operative Farming Society at Damarloore in Sullurpet taluk, Nellore district (vide Government letter No. 4193 Co-op. III/37-7, dated 15-12-69); and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

Sri T. Ramaswamy:—(a) No, Sir. But representations dated C-10-967 and 12-1-1970 were received by the Government from Sri S. Vemayya, M.L.A.

(b) Replies were given to the hon. Member in this regard to his above two representations, in Government’s letters No. 493/Co-operative; III/67-7 Food and Agriculture, Dated 15-12-1969 and No 397/Co operative, III/70-1 Food and Agriculture, Dated 29-1-1970 respectively.

Sri: N. Raghava Reddy:—Will the hon. Chief Minister be pleased to state:

ABKARI TREES

* 878 (2231) Q. Sri N. Raghava Reddy:— Will the hon. Chief Minister be pleased to state:
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(a) the districtwise number of trees reserved by the ryots under sec. 25 of Abkari Act in Telangana region during 1968-69, together with the number of ryots;

(b) the loss to the Government due to reservation of the trees;

(c) whether the Government have noticed that this system of reservation is helping only to take vengeance against the toddy tappers; and

(d) if so, whether steps will be taken to abolish this system of reservation?

The Minister for Finance deputised the Chief Minister and answered the question (Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy):—(a) A statement is placed on the Table of the House. The total number of Abkari trees reserved was 17,197 and number of ryots 854. Detailed district wise information is placed on the table of the House.

(b) No loss to Government.

(c) and (d), Do not arise.

STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE

(Vide Answer to L. A. Q. No. 2231 Clause (a) [*505])

Statement showing the district wise number of trees reserved by the ryots U/S 24 of the A. P. Excise Act, 1963 in Telangana Region during 1968-69.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the District</th>
<th>No. of trees reserved for 1968-69</th>
<th>No. of ryots who reserved trees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sendhi</td>
<td>Toddy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Mahbubnagar</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Adilabad</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Karimnagar</td>
<td>1285</td>
<td>4176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Khammam</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>1093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Medak</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Nalgonda</td>
<td>1872</td>
<td>4656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Hyderabad (South)</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Hyderabad (North)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Nizamabad</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Warangal</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2463</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 4591  126.6  694
**LAND REVENUE ARREARS IN ASWARAOPELLI**

*819 (1917) Q.—Sarvasri Santosh Chakraverthy and R. Narasimha Ramaiah (Hasanparthi):—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any amount of land revenue arrears outstanding against Aswaraopalli (v) of Jangaon taluk, Warangal district since 1965 to 1968; if so, the year-wise amount's outstanding;

(b) whether khata verification has been done from 1903 to 1968 and what is the actual amount collectable from ryots;

(c) is there any misappropriation of land revenue amount during the said period i.e., 1963-64 by the village officers, if so, the action taken; and

(d) the reasons for not clearing the arrears inspite of launching special drive from time to time?

The Minister for Revenue (Sri P. Thimma Reddy):—(a) Yes, Sir. The yearwise breakup is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>315.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>8548.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>6135.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 14,999.59

(b) Yes, Sir. An amount of Rs. 14,999.59 paise is collectable from the ryots.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) The entire arrears could not be collected in view of the stay due to striking down of the Andhra Pradesh Land Revenue (Addl. Assessment) and Cess Revision Act, 1962 by the Supreme Court.

**FAMINE ROAD FROM BALACHERUVU TO DEVADA**

*180 (2416) Q.—Sri P. Sanyasi Rao (Visakhapatnam-2):—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:
(a) the extent to which the work relating to the construction of the road from Balacheruvu to Devada in Pendurthi Samithi in Visakhapatnam taluk, which was sanctioned under famine grants, has been completed now;

(b) whether the road work has been discontinued due to stoppage of famine grants from July, 1969, and if so, the amount of expenditure incurred so far;

(c) whether the Government are aware that due to the discontinuance of the said road work, there is danger for the entire work so far completed becoming useless; and

(d) whether the Government will take steps to sanction grants to continue the said work?

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—(a) to (d) of the Question: Work relating to collection of materials for the road up to 5 miles and 6 furlongs was completed by July, 1969 when the work had to be stopped for want of funds. The work has been taken up again under the cyclone relief programme and is now under execution.

Sri V. Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether the then Tahsildar Kalwakurthy of Mahbubnagar District has reported that according to the Pahani extracts of the years 1965 and 1966, the pattadar names vary for the survey Nos. 234/1, 234/2, 234/3 and such change is not supported by relevant orders in the Faisalpatti and for such action the patwari is responsible (vide D. O. Lr. No. A1-5602/67, dated 19-8-1967 addressed to the District Collector; and

(b) if so, what was the action so far taken?

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—(a) Yes, Sir.
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(b) The wrong entries made in the pahani patriks for the years 1964-65 to 1967-68 have been rectified and correct entries as existing in the pahani of 1968-64 carried out under the attestation of the Deputy Tahsildar, Kalwakurthy.

A recorded warning was issued to the patvari for effecting wrong entries in the pahanies.

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—We will instruct the Collector to go into the details and take as severe action as possible:

INVESTMENTS BY MUNICIPALITIES
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* 1312 Q.—Sri T. C. Rajan (Palamaner):—Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government has insisted upon all Municipalities to invest huge amounts at a lesser rate of interest towards National Savings, Defence Loans and Development Loans etc.,

(b) whether it is also a fact that the Municipalities are obtaining loans through various sources at higher rates of interest; and

(c) if so, what steps do Government propose to take to remove this disparity?

The Minister for Municipal Administration (Sri N. Chenchurama Naidu):—(a) No, Sir.
(b) The Municipal Councils were permitted to take loans from Life Insurance Corporation and Scheduled (Commercial) Banks, as per the terms and conditions agreed to by the Bank, Municipality and Government for undertaking remunerative schemes.

(c) Does not arise.

Whether it is a fact that Government has insisted upon all Municipalities to invest huge amounts at a lesser rate of interest towards National Savings, Defence Loans and Development Loans?

Does not arise.
Sri Y. Venata Rao:—What is the rate of interest from which you are borrowing?

The Minister for Health and Medical (Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari):—(a) Except one woman, others were having one child or more children.

(b) As she had too many abortions resulting in deterioration of her health and with a view to prevent further abortions she underwent tubectomy operation purely on health grounds as per the advice of the Gynaecologist.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) None of the women having the age of 15 years was operated either at Gannavaram or at Guntur.

Sri T. V. S. Chaiapathi Rao:—May I request the hon. Minister to elaborate answer to (a) Sir?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—One woman without children was operated as she had as many as 12 abortions resulting in deterioration of her health condition and on the advice of the Gynaecologist.

Sri G. Sivaiah:—What was the age of that lady who had 12 abortions?
Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— I do not have the information.

Sri T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Please clarify, the answer to (c) that is whether it is also a fact that tubectomy operations have been carried on the women of the age-group of 15 to 19 years at Gannavaram and Guntur? Are they married or unmarried?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— None of the women were having the age of 15 years at the time of operation either at Gannavaram or Guntur, Sir.

Sri D. Venkatesam (Kuppam):— In case of males after having the Vasectomy operations, on request, re-canalisation operations were being made. In case of ladies whether there is also any such operation for production of children after having tubectomy operations?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— I will try to find out, Sir.

Sri A. Madhava Rao:— Much pilferage is going on in these Camps of Vasectomy and tubectomy. Much amounts are being misappropriated showing wrong records. Whether the Government is going to have any surprise inspections in regard to these things at certain levels, Sir?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— Nothing of that kind was brought to my notice, Sir. Any way, I will try to find out.

Sri R. Mahananda:— Is it a fact that the D. M. & H. S. fixes quotas in each district and insists upon the completion of quota?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— The quotas are being fixed by the Central Government and it goes to Districts and Taluks in the State.

Sri V. C. Kesava Rao (Santanuthalapadu):— May I know what is the amount that was spent in these two camps of Gannavaram and Guntur.

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— Separate question, Sir.

Sri T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Is it a fact that at the Gannavaram camp, some patients died after performing the tubectomy operation because there was some tumor which was not detected at the time of operation?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— I have no information, Sir.

Sri S. Vemayya:— This question which is an outcome of previous question. There was evidence previously that girls between 15 to 19 years were being operated. Will the hon'ble Minister go into the matter again and place the material before the House.

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:— As per Hindu customs, almost in case of all ladies particularly in rural areas and in economically backward areas, marriages will be performed at the age of 14 or 15 years.
and at the age of 19 years they will have 2 or three issues. In all the 13 operations performed at Gannavaram and 33 in Guntur, none of the women were of the age of 15 years at the time of the operation.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—Whether those people who were operated have got at least one child, Sir?

Mr Speaker:—The question is in the case of those women who were operated between the ages of 1 to 19 years, did any of them have any children?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—They were having more than one issue.

Sri P. Kotaiah:—Were they having three children per head?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—As already submitted they had more than one, Sir.

DEPARTMENT OF UROLOGY IN GENERAL HOSPITALS
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*52 (2017) Q.—Sri P. O. Satyanarayana Raju:—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether there are proposals before the Government to create the department of urology in the general hospitals;
(b) if so, when is it likely to be created;
(c) whether there are qualified urologists in our State; and
(d) if so, the number of such specialists available in our State?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) As and when funds are available during the IV Plan.
(c) Yes, Sir.
(d) Two.

RELEASE OF FUNDS BY INDIAN MEDICINE DEPARTMENT TO VELIGANDLA PANCHAYATH SAMITHI

512—

*895 (173-V) Q.—Sri P. Venkata Reddy:—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Indian Medicine Department has not released funds to the panchayat samithi, Veligandla in Nellore district towards the salaries for the staff working in nine rural ayurvedic dispensaries (subsidised) from 1-4-69 till today; and

(b) if so, whether the Government will take immediate action for the release of funds to that Samithi without further delay?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—(a) Yes, Sir. The Indian Medicine Department has not released funds to the Panchayat Samithi Veligandla for payment of subsidy to Ayurvedic Dispensaries from 1-4-1980.
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(b) Funds are being released by Indian Medicine Department to the Panchayat Samithi concerned along with other Panchayat Samithis for payment of subsidy to Rural Medical Practitioners working in subsidised Rural Dispensaries.

Sri P. Venkata Reddy:—For the last one year due to non-release of funds, they are suffering. What is the reason for not releasing the funds in time, Sir?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—Generally, the B. D. Os. have got to obtain permission from the Director, Indian Medicine and Homeopathy for that, Sir.

Sri P Venkat Reddy:—Everytime the Honourable Minister says the same reason. That is not real because Indian Medicine Department is not taking much care about releasing the funds by the end of February also. Honourable Minister says that the funds were released on 18th February. But so far Samithies did not receive any funds. So, will the Minister enquire into it and see that the funds are released?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—Certainly I will look into it.

NON-MEDICAL ASSISTANTS (LEPROSY)

Sri B, Niranjana Rao (Malleswaram) and M. Venkataramarayara (Eluru):—Will the Hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) how many non-medical assistants (Leprosy) were trained in the State from 1-12-1967 to 10-6-1963;

(b) whether all of them were employed; and

(c) if not, what are the reasons for not employing them in spite of the fact that considerable amount was spent by the State for their training?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—(a) 62

(b) 37 were employed.

(c) More posts could not be created due to financial stringency. However steps are being taken to absorb all the candidates in the new Centrally sponsored schemes.

Sri R. Mahananda (Darsi):—When there are so many trained people, they have not been absorbed. What is the necessity for the Government to again call for the applications for the training now?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—There are many Central sponsored schemes coming up. We are sure to absorb all those who are trained now.
Sri A. Madhava Rao:—There is a leprosy Hospital in Nellore and it is not running properly and effectively. If you provide properly there will be no difficulty for providing jobs. It is a big Hospital without patients. Will the Govt. take note of it and see that it is run effectively so that this unemployment problem will not be there.

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—I will take the information.

Sri S. Vemayya:—May I know what is the nature of training they are given and whether there is any proposal in future to give training with the assistance of the Central Government. What is the Central Government's assistance you are contemplating for the current year?

Sri Mohd. Ibrahim Ali Ansari:—27 candidates are undergoing training at Caravan centres and advertisement was given for the training of 30 candidates at Akkampalli Leprosy Center in view of the large scheme. Further, voluntary Missionary organisations are also employing some of the trained candidates.

**Lady Police**

103 (2245) Q.—Sri R. Mahananda:—Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) the number of lady Police working in our State now;

(b) whether they have been supplied dresses like the general male police; and

(c) if not, what is the dress prescribed to them?
The Minister for Home (Sri J. Vengala Rao):

(a) One Sub-Inspector, 6 Head Constables and 32 Police Constables.

(b) Yes, S.r, but with slight variations.

(c) Does not arise.

Sri A. Madhava Rao:—Are there lady police to deal with females only?

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—What are the functions of the lady police?

Mr. Speaker:—Even in the matter of detection.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Are they permitted to marry?

1. Dr. V. V. V. R. Chalapathi Rao:—They are permitted.

2. Dr. V. S. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Are they allowed to have children?

3. Dr. V. S. S. Chalapathi Rao:—May they have children?

4. Dr. V. S. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Can they have children?

5. Dr. V. S. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Are they allowed to have children?

6. Dr. V. S. S. Chalapathi Rao:—May they have children?

7. Dr. V. S. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Can they have children?

8. Dr. V. S. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Are they allowed to have children?

Sri J. Vengala Rao:—Same Rules.
MISAPPROPRIATION OF FUNDS BY THE SILK AND WOOL WEAVERS CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES
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220 (547) Q.—Sri R. Satyanarayana Raju:—Will the Hon. Minister for Handlooms and Co-operative Factories be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Silk and Wool Weavers Co-operative Societies which have misappropriated funds out of the loans of nearly Rs. 21-28 lakhs and grants of nearly Rs. 4.10 lakhs sanctioned by the Government upto 31-3-1969 together with the amounts misappropriated by said Societies; and

(b) whether a copy of the institutionwise particulars of net capital invested in those industries upto 31-3-1969 and the action taken against the persons and institutions responsible for the misappropriation will be placed on the Table of the House?

The Minister for Handlooms and Co-operative Factories (Sri A. Bhagavantha Rao):—(a) and (b): A statement showing the particulars as on 31-3-1969 is laid on the Table of the House.
### Statement Laid on the Table of the House W. R. T. L. A. Q. No. 547 (Starred) — [*515*]

Particulars of Loans Sanctioned and the Amounts Misappropriated by Weavers Co-operative Societies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of the circle</th>
<th>Name of the Society</th>
<th>Loans sanctioned upto 31-3-1969</th>
<th>Grant sanctioned up to 31-3-1969</th>
<th>Loans repaid upto 31-3-1969</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Share Capital</td>
<td>Working Capital</td>
<td>Other purposes (sheds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rs.</td>
<td>Rs.</td>
<td>Rs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Asst. Director of Handlooms and Textiles, Hyderabad.</td>
<td>Siddipee</td>
<td>1220.00</td>
<td>22000</td>
<td>0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ramadulla</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>22000</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pentlavalli</td>
<td>1102.50</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kosigi</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>13000</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Nizamabad.</td>
<td>Kamareddy</td>
<td>4575.00</td>
<td>17400</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Anantapur.</td>
<td>Govindawada</td>
<td>2250.00</td>
<td>12000</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>457.50</strong></td>
<td><strong>95000</strong></td>
<td><strong>650.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Wool Weavers Co-operative Societies:

1. **Asst. Director of Handlooms and Textiles, Hyderabad.**
   - Siddipee: Rs. 1220.00, Rs. 22000, Rs. 0000
   - Ramadulla: Rs. 750.00, Rs. 22000
   - Pentlavalli: Rs. 1102.50, Rs. 8000
   - Kosigi: Rs. 13000

2. **Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Nizamabad.**
   - Kamareddy: Rs. 4575.00, Rs. 17400
   - Govindawada: Rs. 2250.00, Rs. 12000

---

Total: Rs. 457.50, Rs. 95000, Rs. 650.0
## Loans outstanding as on 31-3-1969

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Share Capital</th>
<th>Working Capital</th>
<th>Other Purposes (Sheds)</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1220.00</td>
<td>22000.00</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1014.81</td>
<td>8270.68</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2323.00</td>
<td>17400.00</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4557.81</td>
<td>88093.00</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Loans overdue as on 31-3-1969

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Share Capital</th>
<th>Working Capital</th>
<th>Other Purposes (Sheds)</th>
<th>Amount misappropriated</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1220.00</td>
<td>22000.00</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>6000.00</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1014.81</td>
<td>5373.04</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2945.48</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2323.00</td>
<td>7798.00</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>18380.62</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4557.81</td>
<td>63950.00</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>55092.26</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SILK WEAVERS CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community</th>
<th>President</th>
<th>Asst. Director of Handlooms and Textiles</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Sub Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Damargidda</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>5775.00</td>
<td>38500</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Amangal</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>3900.00</td>
<td>16500</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1950.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Kodangal</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>6087.50</td>
<td>24000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4977.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Kosigi</td>
<td>4.</td>
<td>8000.00</td>
<td>19000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Lakshmi</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td>2950.00</td>
<td>27000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Samalkot</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>3600.00</td>
<td>25000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>25812.50</td>
<td>150000</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10177.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4775.00</td>
<td>38500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>4775.00</td>
<td>88500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>45665.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1950.00</td>
<td>16500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>1950.00</td>
<td>16500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>21075.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1109.58</td>
<td>18600.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>1109.58</td>
<td>15817.29</td>
<td></td>
<td>24359.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000.00</td>
<td>19000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>8000.00</td>
<td>19000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>24009.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700.00</td>
<td>6162.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>700.00</td>
<td>14847.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>6021.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3600.00</td>
<td>18223.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>3600.00</td>
<td>18223.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>7599.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15134.58</td>
<td>116995.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>15134.58</td>
<td>122887.29</td>
<td></td>
<td>17691.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
18th March, 1970

Oral Answers to Questions.

Mr. Speaker:—If he reads it will take another half an hour

Sri A. Bhagavantia Rao:—Action is pursued for the liquidation of the societies.

Andhra Co-operative Spinning Mills, Guntakal

* 350(2:05)Q.—Sri N. Raghava Reddy:—Will the hon. Mini for Handlooms and Cooperative Factorie be pleased to state:—

(2) Ramadulla Wool Weavers Co-operative Society was detected in 1964. Execution petition was filed and obtained the award for the recovery of the misappropriated amount. The case was also investigated by the Police and was closed as undetected.

(3) Penlavalli Wool Weavers Cooperative Society was detected in 1963. The ex-secretary, P. Raghavulu was convicted by the Munsif Magistrate, Kolhapur and on appeal in the Sessions Court he was acquitted.

(4) Kosigi Wool Weavers Cooperative Society: Action was taken but there are no chances for the revival of the society.

(5) Kamareddy Wool Weavers Society was detected in 1964. Under Section 51 of the Act action was pursued.

(6) Govindawada Wool Weavers Co-operative Society was detected in 1966. Action is being pursued for the recovery of the amount. Balance is very little.
Oral Answers to Questions.  

18th March, 1970.  

(a) whether it has been brought to the notice of the Government that a demand was made by Sri T.Ramakrishna, Chairman of the Andhra Co-operative Spinning Mills, Guntakal, in the General body meeting held at Tirupathi on the 1st of September, 1969, to appoint an inquiry Committee to find out the reasons for running of the said mill on loss;  

(b) if so, whether the Committee has been appointed; and  

(c) if so, the findings of that Committee?  

Sri A. Bhagavamtha Rao:—(a) No, Sir.  

(b) and (c) Do not arise.  

LAND FOR HARIJANS OF TEKURU VILLAGE  

517—  

**1240** Q.—Sri S. Venayya:—Will the hon. Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state:  

(a) whether it is a fact that the land acquired for the benefit of Harijans at Tekuru village, Badvel taluk, Cuddapah district 5 years back has been encroached upon by the landlords; and  

(b) if so, the action taken against the encroachers?  

The Minister for Social Welfare (Sri D. Perumallu):—  

(a) Yes, Sir.  

(b) The encroachers were evicted.  

PANCHAYAT SAMITHIES HAVING CINEMA PROJECTORS  

51—  

**134**(2437) Q.—Sri R. Buchi Rama Seshiah Sreesty (Jaggayyapet) Will the hon. Minister for Information and Public Relations be pleased to state:  

(a) the number of Panchayat Samithies having cinema projectors at present in Andhra Pradesh together with the number of the said projectors;  

(b) under whose custody those cinema projectors are;  

(c) whether the operators of those projectors are under the control of Panchayati Raj Department or under the Information Department;  

(d) whether there is any proposal to bring them under the Information Department; and  

(e) whether there is a proposal to provide at least one such projector in each taluk in the blocks situated in the taluk headquarters, and to conduct film shows in all the blocks according to a fixed programme?
The Minister for Information and Public Relations (Sri A. Vasudeva Rao):—

(a) 162 Blocks are having 165 Cinema Projectors.

(b) The Projectors are under the custody of the Block Assistant Public Relations Officers.

(c) The operators of the Projectors are working under the administrative control of Information, Public Relations and Tourism Department.

(d) Does not arise.

(e) The proposals to provide at least one projector to each block will be taken up as and when sufficient finances are available.

1. 162 भूमि 165 चित्त्र कार्यक्रम के प्रज्ञालय

2. भूमि (मृत्यु) के लिए 160 चित्त्र कार्यक्रम के प्रज्ञालय

3. भूमि में आयोजन तत्कालीन संस्थान

4. भूमि (मृत्यु) के लिए 70-71 चित्त्र कार्यक्रम के प्रज्ञालय
Sri D. Venkatesham:—Now the operators are under the control of Panchayat Samithies. B. A. P. R. Q. are under the control of the Information Department. When was the decision taken to get these operators under the control of the Information Department? When were the orders issued? This is because the continuation of the operators’ posts is given only up to 1-3-1-70. When were the orders issued to the Panchayat Samithies extending the services of the operators and who is going to pay these people in future?

The Minister for Small Scale Industries (Sri G.C. Venkanna):—No expenditure is being incurred by the Government as the Annual Exhibition is being organised by the Exhibition Society which is a Company Registered under Companies Act.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.

INDUSTRIAL EXHIBITION AT HYDERABAD

* 201 (2136) Q.—Sri K. Muniswamy:—Will the hon. Minister for Small Scale Industries be pleased to state:

(a) the amount of expenditure being incurred by the Government for organising the Exhibition in Hyderabad every year;

(b) the amount of expenditure incurred during 1969; and

(c) the income derived by the Government through the said exhibition?

The Minister for Small Scale Industries (Sri G.C. Venkanna):—

(a) No expenditure is being incurred by the Government as the Annual Exhibition is being organised by the Exhibition Society which is a Company Registered under Companies Act.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.
1938-9; and
(b) if so, the amount of foreign exchange earned?

The Minister for Commerce (Sri P. A. Prasada Rao):—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) About Rs. 21,63,630 as could be estimated from the available data.

12. Q. — Sri P. O. Satyanarayana Ra'u: — Will the hon.

Minister for Commerce be pleased to state:

(a) whether any handicrafts articles were exported from our

State to Foreign countries during the year 1938-9; and

(b) if so, the amount of foreign exchange earned?

The Minister for Commerce (Sri P. A. Prasada Rao):—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) About Rs. 21,63,630 as could be estimated from the available data.

13. Q. — Sri P. O. Satyanarayana Ra'u: — Will the hon.

Minister for Commerce be pleased to state:

(a) whether any handicrafts articles were exported from our

State to Foreign countries during the year 1938-9; and

(b) if so, the amount of foreign exchange earned?

The Minister for Commerce (Sri P. A. Prasada Rao):—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) About Rs. 21,63,630 as could be estimated from the available data.
Point of Information:

re: Throwing of country bombs at the residence of Dr. M. Chenna Reddy.

Sri D. Venkatesam:—Last year, the previous Commerce Minister was pleased to assure that he would place a copy of the Survey Report on Handicrafts and improving the market facilities on the Table of the House. Has the report been prepared by the Government? If so, will it be placed on the Table of the House?

Sri P. Ankineedu Prasada Rao:—There was a survey prepared by the Institute of Foreign Trade. I will see that a copy is placed on the Table of the House.

POINT OF INFORMATION

re: Throwing of Country Bombs at the Residence of Dr. M. Chenna Reddy.
18th March, 1970.

Point of Information:

*re: Throwing of country bom's at the residence of Dr. M. Chenna Reddy.*

This is a very serious matter. Unless some serious action is taken, it cannot be allowed to continue.

Mr. Speaker:—Will the Home Minister say anything?

Mr. Speaker:—Mr. C. V. K. Rao has given notice of an adjournment motion under Rule 63. (Many Members were on their legs) & can only answer one after another,

Mr. Speaker:—I will certainly call upon the Minister to make a statement. I have already called him to make a statement. Meanwhile, the hon. Member Sri C.V.K. Rao has given notice of an adjournment motion. That also relates to the same thing, not regarding throwing bombs on Dr. Chenna Reddy's house, but with regard to maintenance of law and order. I will ask the Home Minister to make a statement.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—Permit me, Sir, to read my adjournment motion concerning the law and order situation. Everyone wants that law and order in the twin cities should be maintained. Otherwise nobody can be safe.

Mr. Speaker:—I am not asking him (Sri C.V.K. Rao) to make any statement on that and he is not justified in making a statement. Please read out your notice (to Sri C.V.K. Rao).

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—After the zero hour, that matter can be taken up. Why should both be mixed up?

Mr. Speaker:—When a Member has given notice of an adjournment motion, the first thing that I have to do immediately after the Question Hour is, I must tell the House as to what I am going to do. I have asked the concerned Minister to make a statement and he is going to make a statement.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—Why should the two be clubbed?

Mr. Speaker:—The point raised by you relates to maintenance of law and order in the twin cities.
Point of Information: 18th March, 1970.

Point of Information: Throwing of country bombs at the residence of Dr. M. Chenna Reddy.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—I have mentioned a specific incident and I have not raised the general law and order situation.

Sri B. Ratnaswamy:—That is a general issue and this is a particular issue.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—Hon. Members should have little patience and bear with me.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—Generally, after the zero hour this is the general procedure that is being followed. Adjournment motion is another question.

Mr. Speaker:—If you want me to go strictly as per the procedure laid down under the Rules there is nowhere stated under the Rules that a Member canstraightaway, without giving notice, can raise a point.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—This is an important matter. And it is being allowed as a general procedure.

Mr. Speaker:—Where a Member even without giving notice either to the Speaker or to the Minister concerned, wants the Minister to make a statement straightaway, even without verifying the truth or otherwise of the statement you are making on the floor of the House? It is just possible.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—I raise a point of Order.

Mr. Speaker:—All of you know, it is not only this House everywhere else in the country, in all the legislatures, certain important points are being raised.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—That is what I said.

Mr Speaker:—That is what I am saying. If I go strictly as per the rules, I do not think I can allow anybody to raise a point unless he first gives notice under the rules. What I am saying is, I have already asked the Minister to make a statement even before Mr. C.V.K. Rao got up and he is going to make a statement. The question is whether notice under Rule 63 should take precedence over what you have raised. Nobody need stand on formalities.

Sri V. B. Raju (Siddipet):—This procedural wrangle is actually very unfortunate, where an important matter has been raised by the Leader of the Opposition. The hon. Member (Sri C.V.K. Rao) can be a little patient.

Mr. Speaker:—I asked him to wait.

Sri V. B. Raju:—The Leader of the Opposition with responsibility and seriousness.

Mr. Speaker:—There is no necessity for anybody to stand on formalities. He has raised a point and I asked the Minister to make a statement if he is in a position to make a statement.
Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I have a right to raise a point of Order. I cannot forego my right under the Constitution and under the rules. If that is so, I am doing injustice to this House and to myself. I raise a point of Order whether notice of adjournment motion given according to the Rules should have precedence over others orally brought in without any notice in this House. If genuinely any one believes that it is law and order problem and it should be settled, let it be settled as law and order. It cannot be law and order for some and suffering for others. It is an unfortunate situation and I cannot permit such a situation to take an upper hand in this House.

Mr. Speaker:—Neither your notice nor the point raised by Mr. Ramachandra Reddi is as per the rules. Because as per the rule a Member giving notice of an adjournment motion should give it one hour before the commencement of the business. You have given the notice at 8.45 a.m.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—Soon after you have come, I have given it. I was waiting for you. Otherwise I must visit your house.

Mr. Speaker:—That is why I say, don’t go strictly by the rules. If you want, I will go strictly by the rules. You were in the same position as Mr. Ramachandra Reddi, and I can straightaway tell you that it is not as per the rules and I will take it up only tomorrow. But since you have raised it, I thought I should allow you. Already this point has been raised by Sri Sreerangiah Chetty, Sri C.P. Thimma Reddi and also Sri T. Purushothama Rao regarding the arrests of Mr. Mallikharjun and some others under Rule 74. They have all been admitted under Rule 74 and they all relate to the same thing. You have given it under Rule 63. Since I did not want to strictly go as per the rules, I gave an opportunity to Mr. Ramachandra Reddi and asked the Minister to make a statement. Next I will take up your notice. You need not be in a hurry. If you want me to go as per the rules, then I will have to straightaway tell you that your notice is not in order and I can take it up tomorrow.

(To Sri Vengala Rao) Are you in a position to make any statement?

Sri J. Vengala Rao:—No, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—When will you be in a position to make the statement?

(Many Members stood up)

Mr. Speaker:—You can give notice and I will ask the Minister to make a statement.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Can I read my notice, Sir?

Mr. Speaker:—The Home Minister has said that the matter is being enquired into by the Commissioner and that he will be in a position to make a statement tomorrow. Let us wait.
Point of Information:  
re: Throwing of country bombs at the residence of Dr. M. Chenna Reddy.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—The Minister can make the statement before 1-30 P. M, if the enquiry is already over.

Mr. Speaker:—Tomorrow means the next working day viz. 20th March.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—I think the Minister can make a statement today itself.

Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Ratnasabhapathi, if he gets the report before 1-30 P. M. he will be in a position to make a statement. If he does not get it?

Sri Ratnasabhapathi:—The Minister can make a statement today itself.

No one has gone from the Control Room.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—It is after 5:00 p.m. and we are ready to allow the Minister to make a statement.

Mr. Speaker:—Very good; I am asking him to say whatever he has got to say.

(Sri Pragada Kotaiah was seen standing)

Mr. Speaker:—Everybody wants to say and I must allow everybody?

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—I have got my notice, Sir,
18th March, 1970.

Point of Information:

Mr. M. Chenna Reddy's residence. It has become a day-to-day activity and it has to be stopped. And that should be taken into account.

It is the Control Room on which we are spending lakhs and lakhs of rupees. The Government is using the equipment for particular purposes, not for the incidents that take place. Behind this incident some people are there and a time will come when I will explain that. When I telephoned to them, they have come after two hours. Why? Government has to explain it.
Point of Information: 18th March, 1970.

re: Throwing of country bombs at the residence of Dr. M. Chenna Reddy.

The question of information is hereby brought forward. The incident occurred on the 125th anniversary of Throwing of country bombs at the residence of Dr. M. Chenna Reddy.

The incident took place in the presence of witnesses during the event. It is important to note that the incident took place on the 125th anniversary of the event, which is a significant milestone.

The incident was a result of a dispute between two parties. The dispute involved the possession of property, which led to the incident. The dispute was settled through legal proceedings, which resulted in the possession of the property.

The incident was reported to the authorities, who are currently investigating the matter. The authorities have requested the witnesses to come forward and provide evidence of the incident.

The incident has caused a great deal of distress to the families involved. The authorities are taking all necessary steps to ensure the safety and security of the families involved.

The incident has also caused a great deal of damage to the property. The authorities are currently assessing the extent of the damage caused by the incident.

The incident has highlighted the need for conflict resolution mechanisms. It is important to ensure that disputes are resolved through peaceful means to prevent such incidents in the future.

The incident has also emphasized the need for better law enforcement. It is important to ensure that law enforcement agencies are equipped with the necessary resources to effectively deal with such incidents.

The incident has caused a great deal of concern among the residents of the area. It is important to ensure that the residents feel safe and secure in their homes.

The incident has also caused a great deal of damage to property. It is important to ensure that the property is repaired and restored to its original state.

The incident has highlighted the need for better communication and coordination among the authorities. It is important to ensure that all necessary steps are taken to prevent such incidents in the future.

The incident has caused a great deal of concern among the residents of the area. It is important to ensure that the residents feel safe and secure in their homes.

The incident has also caused a great deal of damage to property. It is important to ensure that the property is repaired and restored to its original state.

The incident has highlighted the need for better communication and coordination among the authorities. It is important to ensure that all necessary steps are taken to prevent such incidents in the future.

The incident has caused a great deal of concern among the residents of the area. It is important to ensure that the residents feel safe and secure in their homes.

The incident has also caused a great deal of damage to property. It is important to ensure that the property is repaired and restored to its original state.

The incident has highlighted the need for better communication and coordination among the authorities. It is important to ensure that all necessary steps are taken to prevent such incidents in the future.
18th March, 1970.  

Point of Information

re: Throwing of country bombs at the residence of Dr. M. Chenna Reddy:

Mr. Speaker:—I have given him so much time because he is the oldest member of the Assembly. He is one of those who fought for the country, who is one of the staunchest followers of Mahatma Gandhi.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—He has sacrificed his daughter also.

Smt. J. Eswari Bai:—I will not tolerate that....

I do not tolerate those things. What are you doing? Are you a saint?

Arya Raja:—Sri, will you listen to people who are...
Point of Information:

18th March, 1970

re: Alleged Police high-handedness on two women in Ravulapalli.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I have given notice of an Adjournment Motion under Rule 88. I hope you will permit me to make a statement.

Mr. Speaker:—I will not allow you to speak on it ......

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I shall just read out the motion:

... Whereas agitators in Twin Cities have unfortunately started since two days anarchic agitation based on chancillor reqlianter resulting in arson and evidence by setting fire to four R. T. U. buses and setting fire to the window of Begum Bazar Post Office, resulting in stoning incidents in number of places like Nallakunta, Boggulakunta, Amserpeta and whereas certain hard boiled frustrated politicians continue to exploit the situation further creating anarchy and chaos in the City.

It is therefore of imperative need to maintain peace, security and brotherhood of all citizens in twin cities, and that being a matter of urgent public importance, it is hereby requested that the House be adjourned to discuss this definite matter ......

Mr. Speaker:—Similar notices have been received from Sri B. V. Pitti, Smt. Esware Bai Sri Venkatanarayana, Sri Rangaiah Chetty and others. These will also be admitted under Rule 74 and they will be called on the 21st or 24th. . .

Sri J. Vengala Rao:—On the 24th March.

Mr. Speaker:—So far 58 notices under Rule 74 have been admitted including these three. Even if we take them up at the rate of 4, we shall be able to dispose of only 32 or so during the remaining period of the session. Still 26 will be left. Anyhow, I shall try to dispose of all these 58 notices before we adjourn. I am only informing the members that hereafter it may not be possible to admit any more notices under Rule 74. Unless the matters are really very urgent I hope Members will not send any more.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—You have given some discretion ....

Mr. Speaker:—I only want you to think twice before sending the notices.

POINT OF INFORMATION

re: Alleged Police high-handedness on two women in Ravulapalli.
Point of Information:

Re: Alleged Police high handedness on two women in Ravulapalli.

Please take necessary action and see that such things do not happen.
Privilege Motion:

Mr. Speaker :—Sri Ch. Vengaiah has given notice of breach of privilege motion under Rule 174:

"I have been a member of Kurnool Zilla Parishad since I became a member of the Legislative Assembly. Recently, a new Ongole District is constituted where in my constituency (Markapur) is included, but Zilla Parishad is not so far constituted for this new district. As per sections 36 and 39 of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act 1959, I shall continue to be a member of Kurnool Zilla Parishad till a Zilla Parishad for new Ongole District is constituted or till I am, otherwise, disqualified. But to my surprise notice was not sent to me to attend the General Body and Standing Committee meetings of Kurnool Zilla Parishad held on 22nd and 23rd of February 1970.

Hence, I am deprived of my privilege of attending the meetings of Zilla Parishad, Kurnool. It is a clear breach of privilege and I request you to protect my right by sending the matter to the Privileges Committee."

Sri Ch. Vengaiah (Markapur):—Sir, As per Section 36 of the Andhra Pradesh Zilla Parishads and Panchayat Samithis Act, I became a member of the Kurnool Zilla Parishad by virtue of my being an M.L.A. and I continue to be a member of the Zilla Parishad. But on the 2nd and 23rd of last month there was a General Body Meeting and a meeting of the standing Committee of the Zilla Parishad and I have not been invited for the meetings. That means; notice was not sent to me as was the usual practice previously. I made enquiries and was informed that the Government have asked the Zilla Parishad Secretary not to send notices to me.
18th March, 1970.

Privilege Motion:

re: Disability to attend the meetings of the Zilla Parishad, Kurnool, consequent on the formation of Ongole District.

I invite your attention to section 39 of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act. It is stated therein that my term as member of the Zilla Parishad continues till I hold this Office, i.e. till I am an M.L.A. or till I am otherwise disqualified. I have not been disqualified from membership of Kurnool Zilla Parishad. I am now an M.L.A. So, I must be a member of the Kurnool Zilla Parishad till the Ongole Zilla Parishad is constituted. This is an anomalous position. I have also argued on this point when the Bill was introduced in this House. The Ongole Zilla Parishad has not been constituted and the panchayats and M.L.As. of two Taluks of Kurnool District are not being invited to the Z.P. meetings and they are not being allowed to participate in the proceedings of the Kurnool Zilla Parishad either at the General Body Meeting or the meetings of standing Committees. These bodies are considering all matters relating to developmental work, minor irrigation works; provident fund or leave matters of teachers, etc. without our being present there. So, now it is a clear case where the Secretary, Zilla Parishad has failed to issue notice to me to attend the meeting. He has committed a breach of privilege. I have got a right to attend the meetings and there is a clear case of breach of privilege and I request you to refer it to the Committee on Privileges.

(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)
Privilege Motion:

_re: Disability to attend the meetings of the Zilla Parishad, Kurnool, consequent on the formation of Ongole District.

18th March, 1970

re: Disability to attend the meetings of the Zilla Parishad, Kurnool, consequent on the formation of Ongole District.

The provisions of section 3 or section 4 shall not be deemed to have effected any change in the territories to which any law in force immediately before the 15th November, 1969 or the 2nd February, 1970, as the case may be, extends or applies, and territorial references in any such law to the district of Srikakulam, Visakhapatnam, Guntur, Nellore or Kurnool shall, until otherwise provided by the State Legislature or other competent authority, continue to have the same meaning.

(2) For the purpose of facilitating the application in relation to the district of Srikakulam, Visakhapatnam, Ongole, Guntur, Nellore or Kurnool of any law made before the 15th November, 1969 or the 2nd February, 1970, as the case may be, the Government may, by notification, make such adaptations and modifications of the law, whether by way of repeal or amendment, as may be necessary or expedient and thereupon every such law shall have effect subject to the adaptations and modifications so made until altered, repealed or amended by the State Legislature or other competent authority.
Privilege Motion:

re: Disability to attend the meetings of the Zilla Parishad, Kurnool, consequent on the formation of Ongole District.
Privilege Motion:

18th March, 1970

Disability to attend the meetings of the Zilla Parishad, Kurnool, consequent on the formation of Ongole District.
Privilege Motion:

re: Disability to attend the meetings of the Zilla Parishad, Kurnool, consequent on the formation of Ongole District.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—It cannot be a genuine doubt. The Act provides that it will continue. What about section 7?

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—What about section 8? Did they ignore it?

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—The Law Department is absolutely wrong. When a Zilla Parishad is constituted, he is a member of that area. Where is the question of his taking a choice?
Privilege Motion:

1\textsuperscript{st} March, 1970.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Hon. Sri Vengalih has given notice that it is a privilege case because he was not given notice to attend the meeting.

Sri T. Ramaswamy:—I will give the explanation.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—What is the date he has asked?

Sri T. Ramaswamy:—He asked on 6-2-70.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—When was the meeting held?

Sri T. Ramaswamy:—23rd February, according to the hon-member. I have no notice of that meeting.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—When did the Government clarify?

Sri T. Ramaswamy:—We clarified on 12th to the Secretary that they continue to be members.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Has the Secretary informed the member?

Sri T. Ramaswamy:—We have informed them on 12-3-70. We clarified the position that M. L. As. and elected members of the Zilla Parishads of Kurnool, Ongole and Guntur would continue unless otherwise disqualified. The Secretaries of the Zilla Parishads were informed on 12-3-70 that they continue to be members.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Have you any information that the Secretary has implemented it?

Sri T. Ramaswamy:—He must have informed.

Sri Pragada Kotiah:—He should not have prevented the Member from attending the meeting. What right has he got to prevent the member from attending the meeting? Therefore it is a case of privilege.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Are you going to clarify when he has referred to you. In the meanwhile could you inform whether notice could be given.

Sri T. Ramaswamy:—There is doubt, Sir. I am very sorry it is not done.

Sri Pragada Kotaiah:—He must have got a doubt but he must not have prevented the member from attending. That itself is breach of privilege. He should have issued the notice and permitted him to attend the meeting and that he did not do; he might have got a doubt that does not mean that he should have prevented. On what orders did he prevent the member from attending the meeting; he might have had a doubt but then he should have consulted and got clarification. To prevent the member from attending the meeting—that is a clear case of breach of privilege.

That itself shows that the matter has to be referred to the Committee. You kindly send this to the Privileges Committee.

Sri T. Ramaswamy:—He has asked us clarification whether members of the Legislature whose constituencies are now in Ongole District continue to be members of the old Zilla Parishad. He had a genuine doubt. Therefore he asked us for clarification. He thought that by sending a notice to a member who is not a member of Zilla Parishad, there would be some difficulty. I am only guessing, because I do not know why he did so. I am very sorry, Sir the hon. member was not invited but we gave the clarification on 12-2-70; therefore he may not have been in possession of this information that the hon. member and others continued to be members of the Zilla Parishad.

He has accepted the statement. He does not know why he was not invited. The position is that the member should have been invited; he is obstructed.

Sri T. Ramaswamy:—He had a genuine doubt. I am very sorry, Sir.
Privilege Motion:

18th March, 1970.

The Government keeps quiet till 1-3-70 till I give notice and then gives a clarification. So it is clear breach of privilege and it has to be referred to the Committee.

By virtue of being an M.L.A. he has become an ex-officio member of the Zilla Parishad. The position of membership of the Assembly is affected. The Secretary is absolved of his responsibility but it is the Government that is now involved when the Secretary in time asked for clarification. Therefore it amounts to breach of privilege; there cannot be any doubt about that.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—One thing has to be mentioned here. I am not giving any ruling. The Minister has repeatedly said "You ought to have been informed; he has not informed; I feel sorry for it." That is what the Minister has said. I am asking Mr. Vengaiah if, after that statement, he is still pressing his privilege motion.

If he is going to withdraw he must obtain the leave of the House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—We will put it to the House.

Sri G. Venkata Reddy:—The main issue is the privilege issue against the Secretary, Zilla Parishad, Kurnool. He has given a notice; the meeting was convened on 22nd February. The main contention of Mr. Vengaiah is against the Secretary. It is not the fault of the Secretary.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—(To Sri Vengaiah) Are you pressing?

Sri C. Vengaiah:—Yes.

Mr Deputy Speaker:—The ruling will be given on the 24th. Now there is another notice by Sri Badri Vishal Pitti. It is a privilege motion against the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration.
Privilege Motion:

(re) Assessment of Property tax in the Hyderabad and Secundraoad Municipal Corporation.

Sir, in the assessment of property tax in the Hyderabad and Secundraoad Municipal Corporation.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: It is better you pronounce the full name.

Sir, in the assessment of property tax in the Hyderabad and Secundraoad Municipal Corporation.

It is not a privilege that the Minister is talking on the floor of House that the representations made by the representative of the Assembly are false.

This is not a privilege that the Minister is talking on the floor of House that the representations made by the representative of the Assembly are false.
Privilege Motion: 18th March, 1970.

A paper is given to me—resolution of the general body of the Corporation—problem arising out of the work relating to the reassessment of properties on unsigned paper is given to me—that is the heading of the paper. I shall read out the Resolution—Resolution No. 182-B dated 1968. 'The General Body of the Corporation by a majority resolves that there has been discussion on a large scale about discrimination in the matter of assessment of annual rateable value of the properties, more particularly owner-occupied houses; these discrepancies have not been rectified inspite of the fact that several cases of irrational assessment have been brought to the notice of the concerned authorities. The General Body of the Corporation also desired that the Standing Committee may take necessary steps to withdraw their consent.' All that resolution is there.
140 18th March, 1970.

Practise Motions:

re: Assessment of the property tax in the Hyderabad and Secunderabad Municipal Corporation.

मिस्टर डिक्टी स्पीकर:—यह मांग है कि यह मामला को रिपोर्ट किया जाए तथा फाइल बनाए जाए।

भी बदरीनाथ संसदीय पितार:—हाँ, उस कमेंट का काम केवल यह देखना ही नहीं कि समा का हुक भंग हुआ है या नहीं। विलक्क़ यह इस बारे में पूरी तरह इनबेस्टिट्युट को एक निर्देशन करे ताकि मंत्री की इस तरह की गतिविधियों को जो आप शेष कर देंगे।

भी बदरीनाथ संसदीय पितार:—इसके अलावा, काम के दृष्टिकोण से यह स्पष्ट है कि वह अकेले इंडियन रेल की भी इस्तेमाल के बयान का प्रयोग करता है।

भी बदरीनाथ संसदीय पितार:—यह मांग है कि रेलवे प्रमुख को रिपोर्ट निकाली जाए। सवाल यह है कि मासूम खाते हैं।

मिस्टर डिक्टी स्पीकर:—बहुत ही कठिन वस्तु नहीं यह रेलवे प्रमुख को कोई उपलब्धि नहीं। इसलिए बहुत ही असरही।

भी बदरीनाथ संसदीय पितार:—यह मांग है कि रेलवे प्रमुख को रिपोर्ट निकाली जाए। सवाल यह है कि मासूम खाते हैं।

मिस्टर डिक्टी स्पीकर:—यह मांग है कि रेलवे प्रमुख को रिपोर्ट निकाली जाए। सवाल यह है कि मासूम खाते हैं।
Privilege Motion: 18th March, 1970.

Assessment of the property tax in the Hyderabad and Secunderabad Municipal Corporation.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—When it was cancelled by the Government, does it hold good?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Ruling will be given on 24th.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Not on that day.

Anyhow I have just said ruling will be given on 24th.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—That is not new. This is the old practice. They admit first and later on...

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now there is one call attention matter.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—This practice is going on for a long time. We have told already. The concerned ministers are not present in the House. It is happening every day.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—If so, we shall postpone it. He must have gone to the Council.
18th March, 1973. Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

re: Abnormal delay in payment of compensation to ryots whose lands have been taken for formation of Javahar Annapur at Vijayawada.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—He knows business of today. Anyhow the Call attention is there. He has to reply. But he is not in the House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—We shall take it when he comes back or we shall take it next day.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—Generally it is not the practice.

CALLING ATTENTION TO A MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE.

re: Abnormal delay in payment of compensation to ryots whose lands have been taken for formation of Javahar Annapur at Vijayawada.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent importance:

Re: Abnormal delay in payment of compensation to ryots whose lands have been taken for formation of Jawahar Autonagar at Vijayawada.

Sri K Brahmarama Reddy:—Government in G O. Ms. No. 772-Ia., dated 7-7-1966 had accorded administrative sanction for Rs. 15 lakhs for acquiring the lands under Development Areas Schemes at various places in the State, including at Vijayawada. Accordingly a total area of 273.99 acres contiguous to Industrial Estate, Vijayawada and falling in Patamata and Gunadala villages in Krishna District was acquired by the Government for setting up an automobile complex. The extent of area falling in each of the above mentioned two villages is furnished below:

Village | Extent of Area
---|---
Patamata | 73.98 acres
Gunadala (Private parties) | 197.78 acres
Gunadala (Govt. lands) | 2.23 acres

Total: 273.99 acres

The possession of the entire area of 273.99 acres was taken on 25-6-1966.

The compensation for the lands as awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer at Rs. 6/500 per acre in respect of 73.98 acres acquired in Patamata village amounting to Rs. 5.74 lakhs was paid to the ryots concerned during the year 1966-67. Recently in this case the Sub-Court, Vijayawada has awarded compensations to the ryots at enhanced rates, ranging from Rs. 12,000 to Rs. 18,000 per acre, depending on the location of the site. The total amount required to be paid immediately in these cases towards enhanced compensation and interest worked out Rs. 3.64 lakhs. Orders have been issued in G. O. Ms. 147, Ind. dated 27-2-70 to deposit the entire amount in the Court towards enhanced compensation ordered by the Court.

So far as the area of 197.07 acres, acquired in Gunadala village is concerned, compensation amounting to Rs. 9,92,354-39 at Rs. 6,500 per acre was paid in respect of an area of 118.67 acres to the ryots concerned, during the year 1968-69. Compensation in respect of an area of 79.11 acres of land in Gunadala village, plus interest thereon amounting to Rs. 6.75 lakhs is yet to be paid by the Government. Action is being taken to pay this amount also soon.
18th March, 1970. Calling a tention to a matter of urgent public importance:
re: Scarcity of drinking Water in Warangal.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—It will be done soon Sir.

re: Scarcity of drinking Water in Warangal.

Sri N. Chenchurama Naidu:—The Warangal town is getting water from the tank depending on 3.1 lakh gallons. But due to...
PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

APPROPRIATION ACCOUNTS 1968-69, FINANCE ACCOUNTS 1968-69,
AUDIT REPORT 1970 OF THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH


Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Paper laid on the Table.

PRESENTATION OF THE THIRD REPORT OF THE PUBLIC ACCOUNTS COMMITTEE

Sri C. Latchanna (Chairman, Public Accounts Committee):—Sir,

"I beg to present the Third Report of the Public Accounts Committee 1969-70 on the Allotment and Transport of Fertilizers."

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

Mr. Speaker:—Report presented.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Speaker:—I want to bring one thing to the notice of the House. We are already one day behind the schedule of programme. I do not know whether it is necessary to give up one or two demands at the rate at which we are proceeding. I think, we shall finish this demand tomorrow and take up Agriculture demand on day-after-tomorrow.

Sri K. Brahmamandla Reddy:—When is my reply, Sir? We are anxious that the Hon. Members should discuss as many as possible. But I am only submitting two things. If you want me to reply to-day only, it is all right. Second thing is on the 21st March the Agriculture Minister has got work. Therefore his reply may not come on the 21st morning. You can ask him to reply on the 20th or 22nd. He has no objection. On the 21st he has got to attend to the obsequies of his sister who died recently. Therefore, on 21st morning the Agriculture Minister may be spared. The discussion can go on, because there are other ministers who will take the notes. The reply of the Agriculture Minister need not be on the 21st morning.

Mr. Speaker:—We will be late by another three days.

Mr. Speaker:—We will have to forego discussion on some demands. This Demand should have been over by yesterday and it would not be over even to-day. That means it will be over day after tomorrow. We will be late by another two days. That is why we have to forego Women Welfare, Welfare of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes or you will lose one day on Irrigation. What I am requesting is....
Mr. Speaker:—If all members have no objection, we will sit up to 2.30 p.m.

Mr. Speaker:—Whenever the Presiding Member rings the bell please sit down. We will finish the demand. Winding up by the opposition will take another 20 minutes. The Chief Minister will get only about half-an-hour for reply.

Dr. T.V.S. Chalapathi Rao:—Then it will go to 2.30 p.m., Sir.

Dr. T.V.S. Chalapathi Rao:—It may be impossible. Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—It cannot be helped. Otherwise, you will not have opportunity to discuss on some other demands. I can’t help it. This demand must be finished to-day.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—I want to agree with the hon. Members that my reply may be on 20th.

Mr. Speaker:—No, Please. I can’t concede your request. This demand must be finished to-day. Now, Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya will kindly resume his speech. I do not know what time he spoke the other day.

Sri K. Govinda Rao:—In the meanwhile the Business Advisory Committee may meet and draw up a programme.

Mr. Speaker:—Why should Business Advisory Committee draw up a programme for us and why should the House sit in the evening I cannot understand. I am not going to deny the opportunity of Members to speak on the demands. The question is one of adjusting the time. I will certainly try to give as much time as possible to the Members. Only thing is you should co-operate with me. Sri Gopalakrishnayya garu try to conclude as quickly as possible.

Dr. T.V.S. Chalapathi Rao:—Is it your ruling, Sir?

Mr. Speaker:—We are going to finish this by 1.30 p.m.

Sri K. Govinda Rao:—Then there won’t be chance for anybody to speak, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—Sri Govinda Rao seems to be having a feeling that I am not giving enough time for discussion for a very important demand like the Heads of State. I would straight-way request himself to draw up a programme even to-day. I am going to ask the House to accept the programme. You draw up your programme. Come on do it. But, at the same time without sitting in the evening.
I will straightway accept it. Only thing is I am going to follow it very strictly. This is after all in the interest of giving more opportunity to Members to speak. If it is a question of adjusting a note your self whatever programme you are going to give. I am going to accept.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—After completing the business to-day and day-after-tomorrow, let the Business Advisory Committee meet on 20th to review and guillotine some of the items. It will be helpful to you, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—The decisions of the Business Advisory Committee are not being implemented for several reasons. So, there is no use of asking the Business Advisory Committee to meet again. No purpose will be served. If you want more time for this demand. I have no objection. Only thing is you will be foregoing the opportunity to speak on other demands.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—As you know, Sir two days are allotted to this demand. But, the Members were not in a position to derive that benefit of debating for two days.

Mr. Speaker:—Why?

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Why, means other items are consuming the time. Are we to be blamed for that, Sir? Why should we forego our right to express our views on the functioning of an important item-the functioning of the Legislature, activities of department etc., all vital things.

Mr. Speaker:—Then I will do one thing. I will not exercise the right of curtailing the Members speech. Let each Member take his own time till 24th on which day everything will be guillotined and demands passed. So, you give some discretion to me. What else can I do? You must suggest a way out for these things. I am prepared to accept whatever programme you are drawing up Kindly tell me what I should do. If you say this is an important demand and you are taking more time, I do not mind. But you will be foregoing time on some other demands. After all, the time at our disposal you know. You adjust and tell me. I do not mind sitting every day half an hour or one hour. But as Dr Chalapathi Rao said after 2 O’clock they won’t be able to get anything. There is no point in asking the Members to sit in the evenings for several days. Why should there be strain? You tell me, I am prepared to accept.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—In such case how many Members will get an opportunity to-day and to what time? Half an hour may go for winding up and Hon. Chief Minister may take more than one hour and so 1½ hours are gone.

Mr. Speaker:—Dr. Rao garu, one things I may tell you, I know the capacity of the Members also. If they want they can speak for half an hour or one hour and there are Members who can in five or ten minutes bring out the force of the points they want. After all it is a question of adjusting.

Sri V. B. Raju:—Sir, there is no much of controversy. The only point is whether the Chief Minister can kindly give his reply day-after-tomorrow. That time, we can easily adjust and it will be convenient to him also.
18th March, 1970.  Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1970-71:
Voting of Demands for Grants.

Mr. Speaker:—But again you will be losing one day more.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Never mind, Sir. My submission is my reply can be on 28th.

Mr. Speaker:—Very good. Have it like that. At least the discussion should be over to-day including the winding up.

Mr. Speaker:—I will ask the Press people to get it corrected.

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT (BUDGET) FOR 1970-71

VOTING OF DEMANDS FOR GRANTS

Demands No. VIII - State Legislature - Rs. 55,67,490.
Demand No. IX - Heads of State, Ministers and Headquarters staff - Rs. 46,74,300.
Demand No. X - District Administration and Miscellaneous Rs. 11,00,17,200.
Demand No. II - Excise Department - Rs. 2,23,6,000.

The Government of India have decided to amend the Andhra Pradesh Regional Committee order for the purpose of enlarging the functions of the Regional Committee and making it more effective.

( Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)
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Sr. No. Description 1: 3 of the Budget 


2. Details of the financial statements for the year 1970-71.


15. Details of the financial assets for the year 1970-71.


17. Details of the financial reserves for the year 1970-71.


22. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


32. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


34. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


38. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


42. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.

43. Details of the financial effectiveness for the year 1970-71.

44. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


46. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.

47. Details of the financial effectiveness for the year 1970-71.


50. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


52. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


54. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


56. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


58. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


60. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


63. Details of the financial effectiveness for the year 1970-71.

64. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


68. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


70. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


72. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.

73. Details of the financial effectiveness for the year 1970-71.

74. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.

75. Details of the financial effectiveness for the year 1970-71.

76. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.

77. Details of the financial effectiveness for the year 1970-71.

78. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


80. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


82. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


84. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


86. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


88. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.

89. Details of the financial effectiveness for the year 1970-71.

90. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


92. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


94. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.

95. Details of the financial effectiveness for the year 1970-71.

96. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


98. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.


100. Details of the financial efficiencies for the year 1970-71.
Sri C.V.K. Rao — Sir. I raise a point of order. It concerns about the demand we are taking up to-day. In this District Administration is also included. No statement has been made by the Minister and no copy is laid on the Floor of the House. Now, is this the way in which it has to be dealt with?
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Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Under what rules? A point of order should always be covered under rules.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—Well, under the same rules under which all these notes have been placed, Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—If the Members have any suggestions to make...

Interruptions;

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Mr. Rao, there is no time.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—If there is no time, can I permit the men in the Treasury benches to commit a grave error? That cannot be done, Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—This does not come under rules. You know it.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—Let the Government apologise for the error. I will sit down.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—When you get an opportunity to make suggestions, you may do so and you can expect some reply.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—You give a ruling. It is irregular.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—No ruling is required in this case, because it does not come under any rule.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—On what are we to discuss. Why is it that the Minister who holds the District Administration portfolio asking for a grant? He must have his own reasoning. Let him even speak out. He has not even spoken out. Let him speak. I do not want a written book. That is not permissible. Is this the way we can permit a Government to functioning? We will be committing a grave crime, Sir. We cannot permit the Government to functioning as though it is their own private property. Even the High Court has been saying that thing. Here we cannot be silent as though it is an empire.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Of course, you have raised a pertinent point. There is no need to give ruling because it does not cover any rule. Possibly, they have not done it and they may not repeat it again.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—So, that means you are excusing them for the time being.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Yes, Mr. Venkata Reddy.
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We are integrated in the interests of services of whole State, either Telangana or Andhra. 

Is it perpetual one or there is any time limit for it? 

there are two charges, either from opposition or from some congress members as far as the administration is concerned—one is corruption and another is red-tapism. It is provided in the interests of creating trouble in the country. My contention is that law must be simple and must be known to the common man and then only we can say that we are in a welfare state and we are in democracy. 

Cr.P.C. should be amended and the procedure in regard to investigation should also be amended; otherwise, we cannot convict the accused. 

Even though it is an urgent matter, the paper reaches to the bottom, i.e., to the L.D.C. in 10 days, putting it up for examination.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget)  18th March, 1970
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Then only there would be quick and efficient administration. For instance, a matter which is raised in the year 1949, is pending since several years. So far, it is not disposed of. The present administrative procedure is very complicated. Then there would be quick and efficient administration. For instance, a matter which is raised in the year 1949, is pending since several years. So far, it is not disposed of. The present administrative procedure is very complicated.

I am herewith enclosing an application for allotment of fertilisers to Chittoor in my brother's name for our manure mixing firm. It may be given what he has asked for.

He is Mr. M. N. Babu, Deputy Director of Fertilizers. He is not a dealer. He is some gentleman who wanted 400 tonnes of fertilizers. He may be permitted to register himself as a dealer and the following fertilizers may be allotted—400 tonnes. That was immediately allotted.
Sri C.V.K. Rao:—May I get an opportunity to speak, Sir?
But there must be time. You have to adjust.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—We will have to go with the proceedings. This was discussed when the Speaker was here.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—Time is not sufficient. Please extend the time.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—We have agreed, when this was discussed.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:—How can I know. Nobody said I won't be permitted to speak or any of my colleagues would not be permitted. We thought that we can speak of certain things.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Now Mr. T.S. Murty to speak.

Dr. T.S. Murty:—Sir. The Demand on Tourism forms part of the Demand No. 9. Unfortunately this subject has been completely forgotten by most of the members. So mine will be a different key from the speeches which have been made till now.

Tourism Department requires more attention than it has been receiving at the hands of the Government at the moment. Properly handled, it is a Department of income more than expenditure. We are awfully neglecting the Department which is likely to get a lot of money for the Government Exchequer. I would like to sing with Keats. The Department is a thing of beauty. It is a joy for ever. We are very fortunate in having a very enthusiastic and graceful lady to preside over this.

Sir, I am reminded of an article which I read about one and a half years ago in "The Hindu" of Madras from one gentleman from Great Britain, who wanted to visit India. In that he was saying that instead of going in with begging bowl to the countries for foreign exchange if India develops its tourism, it need not go to any country for foreign exchange at all, because it has many attractions for tourists from abroad. He has also compared the treatment given by Indian officials with the treatment given by Pakistani Official in Great Britain. I believe he asked for an interview with the Indian Official with a view to come to India and to see some of the beautiful places of India. He was asked to come to the office. He was given all the material necessary. Of course, he was treated courteously. He was told "you can go here; you can go there" and he was sent away. The same gentleman applied to the Pakistan Embassy. The Pakistan Embassy Official took care to go by car, met him at his house, invite him to dinner and gave him all the material to him and ultimately the gentleman preferred to go to Pakistan rather than to come to India.

It is a thing which must be made attractive right from the beginning till the end of the tour. Unless a man is caught at the very beginning and everything is explained to him, there is no use of saying that "Indians are hospitable". The fact must be shown at the very outset when a man applies to come to India. Ultimately that spirit attracts foreign tourists to our country. There are countries like Switzerland, Italy and Greece. With their antiquities or perhaps with its natural beauty with Alpine hills and other things, they are able to attract the tens and thousands, and lakhs and crores of tourists earning to their country, crores and crores of foreign exchange. I don't see why we should not with all our natural endowments and our glorious past, be able to attract tourists? Switzerland, Italy, Greece and all those countries are akin to the western civilization. What they find there may not be so strange. But ours is entirely a different civilization with different traditions.
Therefore, naturally there should be more attraction to a foreigner in a country like India than in countries elsewhere in Europe or America. When such is the case, I really don’t know why tourism as a Department is completely neglected by the Government. They have set apart Rs. 1 lakh to this Department. It is a miserable and pitiable amount. It is a pittance compared to the Rs. 270 crores Budget, one lakh of rupees for tourism. Why a Department be maintained at all when they are not prepared to give money? Why is this apology for the department calling it ‘tourism’? Is it meant to make somebody its Minister? Is it only to create the post of Minister? Particularly the Minister who would take a lot of interest in the Department. Is given only, one lakh of rupees. What is she going to do with that? I think it is a great injustice done to that.

Sir, our wildlife is second to none anywhere else. When I am reading books on the Young Yanaora Forest in Tanganyika of the Victoria Falls in Kenya. I feel so, why so many people are going there? We have our own big sanctuaries here. The Deer Forest in Gujarat, Kazaran in Assam, our own Pakal with tigers, our own Adilabad with wild bullocks, the bycins in Mudumalai, there are so many things. Still none of them seem to know that we have got these attractions. We can still provide these attractions from Kenya to Kashmir. We have go to Switzerland atmosphere in the South. But still we are neglecting. In our Andhra we have got grey pelicans in Kolleu lake. Why are they neglecting?

(some interruptions)

Sir, This forms part of Demand No 9. You have forgotten. That is not my fault.

Somehow or other we are fond of abusing the Minister rather than taking up these things. That is why I have taken up these things. A miserable pittance has been provided and this Department deserves to be raised by giving considerably more amount. It is really a good paying department attracting revenues, internal as well as external. The revenues of the country will increase and the country is benefited. It can help us learning many things. Our vision will be broadened. I therefore request the Government to provide a little more money than what has been provided. I thank you very much.
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Voicing of Demands for Grants

I. Demand for grants for general public services. 1934

II. Demand for grants for development activities. 1934

III. Demand for grants for education services. 1934

IV. Demand for grants for health services. 1934

V. Demand for grants for other services. 1934

VI. Demand for grants for miscellaneous purposes. 1934

Total demand for grants. 1934

Note: The above demands have been compiled and submitted for the consideration of the Committee of Supply. The total demand for grants is hereby approved, subject to the conditions and limitations stipulated in the resolution of the Committee of Supply.

Reviewing Committee

[Signature]  [Date]
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Voting of Demands for Grants.
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Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 18th March 1970.

for 1970-71:

Voting of Demands for Grants.

1. The 18th March, 1970, file a marked statement regarding the financial statement. The statement is as follows:
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Voting of Demands for Grants.

The meeting was in session when the President introduced the financial statement for the year 1970-71. The statement was made by the Secretary of the department. The statement was read out and discussed by the members of the committee.

The President then moved that the statement be adopted and the members of the committee agreed. The statement was signed by the President and the Secretary of the department.

The meeting adjourned.
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The Hon. Governor, in his Budget Speech for the year 1970-71, has laid down the financial requirements of the State Government for the year under review. The Budget estimates are based on the following assumptions:

1. The State's revenue will increase by 10%.
2. The cost of living will remain stable.
3. The State's debt will increase by 5%.

The Budget proposes to implement the following schemes:

1. The education scheme will receive an increase of 20%.
2. The health scheme will receive an increase of 15%.
3. The road scheme will receive an increase of 10%.

The Budget also includes a provision of Rs. 100 crore for the development of the State's infrastructure.

The Budget is presented for the approval of the State Assembly on 15th March, 1970.

...
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 18th March, 1970.

Voting of Demands for Grants.
18th March, 1970.

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1970-71.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

...
Annual Financial Statement (Budget)  18th March, 1970
for 1970-71:
Voting of Demands for Grants.

[Text content in English]

[Document content in Telugu]
A Joint Director in a Directorate was found guilty of misconduct by the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings which recommended dismissal from service. Government provisionally accepted this finding and the charged officer was called upon to show cause why penalty of dismissal from Government service should not be imposed upon him. The charged Officer submi-
tled his explanation to the show-cause notice. It was forwarded to the Commission which was constituted in the meantime. The Commission agreed with the recommendation of the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings that the charged officer might be dismissed from service. After the Commission tendered their advice and before the Government could implement it, the charged officer filed a writ petition which was pending for about three years. The Government in the meanwhile decided that the charged officer should be allowed to retire from service on superannuation and issued order accordingly."

"As has been stated in detail in Chapter III earlier, the working of the Regional Committees has been an utter failure. Suffice it to say that nobody including the Ministers of the Punjab were satisfied with the working of this Committee. In fact, some of the witnesses before the Committee emphatically said that the sooner they were scapped the better."

"But these facts do not make the Regional any the less the Committee of the Assembly... The Committee has very carefully gone into the mass of evidence..."
18th March, 1970.  
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before them both oral and written, in this behalf and they are constrained to observe that the regional formula was not working in letter and spirit and circumscribed in fact by the various interpretations...and it failed to satisfy the expectations of the people for whom it was evolved."

Before the downfall of a State or an Empire, some internal ruptures are generally noticeable and in almost all cases a fall in ethical values of existence as indicated in corruption in office, adulteration in food materials. High-handedness of the Government and outrageous indiscipline on the part of the Government becomes extremely common."

1. Before the downfall of a State or an Empire, sometimes particular internal ruptures are generally noticeable and in almost all cases a fall in the ethical values of existence as indicated in corruption in office, adulteration in food materials, high-handedness of the Government and outrageous indiscipline on the part of the Government becomes extremely common."

Before the downfall of a State or an Empire, sometimes particular internal ruptures are generally noticeable and in almost all cases a fall in the ethical values of existence as indicated in corruption in office, adulteration in food materials, high-handedness of the Government and outrageous indiscipline on the part of the Government becomes extremely common."
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 18th March, 1970.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

1st March, 1970.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

The annual financial statement for the year 1970-71 is presented below. It includes details of various demands and their allocations. The statement is designed to provide a clear understanding of the financial status and the budgetary allocations for the upcoming year.

The financial year 1970-71 saw several significant changes in the economic landscape. The government's focus was on maintaining a balanced budget while ensuring adequate funding for key sectors. The statement highlights the government's commitment to social welfare, education, and infrastructure development.

In the financial statement, provisions are made for various categories, including education, health, infrastructure, and social welfare. The allocations are made in line with the government's policy objectives to support economic growth and social development. The statement also includes a detailed breakdown of the budgetary proposals and their financial implications.

The financial year 1970-71 was marked by several challenges, including fluctuations in the global economy and rising costs. Despite these challenges, the government managed to allocate funds effectively to ensure the smooth functioning of essential services.

The statement concludes with a summary of the financial year, highlighting the achievements and challenges faced during the period. It also includes recommendations for future budgetary allocations and strategies to address emerging issues.

In conclusion, the annual financial statement for 1970-71 provides a comprehensive overview of the government's fiscal policies and their impact on various sectors. It serves as a guide for stakeholders and the public to understand the financial implications of the government's decisions.
An Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 28th March, 1972
Voting of Demands for Grants.

In this financial year, the voting of demands for grants was commenced on 13th March, 1972.

The demands were presented by various departments and agencies. The proceedings were lengthy and drawn out.

The budget for the financial year 1970-71 included provisions for various developmental projects. The total budget for the year was estimated to be Rs. 800 million.

The voting continued for several days, with debates and discussions on each demand. The process was overseen by the finance minister.

In conclusion, the budget presentation and voting were a significant event of the year, marking the start of the financial year's plan and expenditure.
174 18th March, 1972.  
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तेलुगु की अवहेलना के बारे में रोज कहा जाता है। श्री शामिला गंगाल कृणवा ने भी कहा, मैं ज्ञात ही तृणमूल के मार्ग कहा कि नहीं अपने प्रसाद तेलुगु में अभाव है। इसलिए वह अंग्रेजी में आपने और राप्त में भी अंग्रेजी में छपे हैं। वह विचार परिवर्तित है। हम सदा तेलुगु का विकास चाहते है उसके प्रयोग के बदला बाहर है फिर भी इस तरह की वार्ता भी जाती है।

जहां तक गवर्नर बहादुर का सवाल है वे रूप में यह अंदुदा बेकार है। विभिन्न संविधान में रखा गया है। उन पर 6 लाख सप्ताह लब्ध करते हैं और मालक प्रौद्योगिकी पर तीन लाख होता है। उसके भी रखते हैं तीन 10-12 लाख का लब्ध उन पर होता है। यांनी रोज 3,200 रुपये उन पर लब्ध करते हैं। अंदों प्रदेश में एक समायोग नागरिक पर प्रति वर्ष 450 रुपये या एक लाख 20 सेंट रोज लब्ध आता है और गवर्नर पर 3,200 रुपये रोज लब्ध करते हैं। यह इतनी बड़ी विचारधारा बहादुर श्री मंडल एवं श्री के समाजाधिकारी है या इन नागरिकों के समाजाधिकार में है। उनके यह वाद अर 44,000 रुपये प्रति वर्ष लब्ध करते हैं। यांनी 120 रुपये रोज। अंदों प्रदेश के नागरिक पर एक पैसा रोज लब्ध किया जाता है।

कंप्यूटरों में इंटरनेट नेट का मद होता है। उसी दशक सरकार के पास भी इंटरनेट का मद निकाला गया है। इस पर रोज 3,200 रुपये किया जाता है।

मोटरों के लिये 65-75 में गुडवाइल नहीं रखी गई थी। लेकिन रिकार्ड एस्ट्रेट में मोटर खरीदने के लिये 3,61,000 रुपये रख दिये गये। यह सिफ़ूद खरीदी है। समाजाधिकार में इतनी विस्मयता। इस सब का एक ही उदार से संबंध होना चाहिये। इस तरह से लब्ध करने की तरफ़ीयों निकाला की जाती है। इस की रोज होनी चाहिये।

जहाँ तक फिजूल सवार का सवाल है जिला पी. आर. ओ पर 45,000 रुपये उसी तरह व्यापक पी. आर. ओ पर 20,000 रुपये लब्ध करते हैं। मेरी समय में नहीं आता। प्रति वर्ष रिकार्ड अंदों नेट का सब मुक्त परिसर में रखता हैं जो इतने ज्यादा उन पर लब्ध करते जारी हैं। हम का सुझाव हो रहा है या दुसरे में हो जाता है जान होने चाहिये। मंडल की जिम्मेदारी है कि यह इस बारे में सवार को मालूम करायें।

इसी तरह दिल्ली में दूरदराज नेट उपस्थित है जहाँ हमारे मंडल और एम एल एजुकेशन है तो दर्शाते हैं। हमारे देश को आधिकारिक परिवर्तन दिन प्रति दिन निर्देशित होचूं है। इस की विस्मयता होती जारी है। इसी तरह अंदों और यह लोग कि दूरदराज में ठहर सकते हैं। यह इससे बढ़ रही है। उन अंग्रेजी में हो जाणे को लगते हैं। इसके लिये अंदों दूरदराज इस पर इतनी लब्ध किया जाय़े।

जैसे ही स्पष्ट विचार विचार पर 98 हज़ार रुपये लब्ध किये जाय़े हैं और पी आर. ओ पर भी इतना अधिक लब्ध हो रहा है। उन के काम की जान पल्ला होनी चाहिये।
वर्षान्वित दानों के लिए विवाद रूप में है। इस वर्षान्वित दान की ओर से कोई दान केवल इस बारे में लेन दिन बिवाद होता है। साधारण नागरिक तथा परिसर का इतना बड़ा वर्चस्व कर सकता है कि इसकी विवाद दान की ओर से कोई दान केवल इस बारे में लेन दिन बिवाद होता है। फिर भी सरकार इस पर बिवाद नहीं करती।

दूरिन्दु 1,69,000 रुपये के लिए बिवाद दान की ओर से लेन दिन 78 है। यह नागरिक है दूरिन्दु को अपने विवाद बाहर करने के लिए है। इस दूरिन्दु के बिवाद दान की ओर से लेन दिन 78 है। उन्होंने एक कीमत वर्चस्व करने के लिए है। एक कीमत वर्चस्व करने के लिए है।

जब तक विवाद नीति के लाभ है मेरी पहले ही से यह राय है कि उनका निश्चेष्टि होना चाहिए कि तथा वर्तमान का भाग व्यक्ति है। आमतौर पर नीतियों को चड़वाने की परंपरा पर हृदय यह होता है। लेकिन जो नीति नये जाने है और जो नये जाने है। उसमें तस्कर अंदरूनी बदलकर हुआ सबसे लघु खासियत जिसकी बजार से सदस्यों के नाम में वृद्धि नहीं होती। और परिसर की जबलिस्तन सदस्यों को सुनिश्चित की कोई विवाद नहीं मिलती। इस का एक कारण यह है कि उसका नीतिकार है और सरकार व्यक्ति निगरानी है।

मंदिरों की ओर पर अविचारान्त हो गया है। इस के साथ ही से यह भी कहला जाता है कि मंदिरों को आवेदित रिपोर्ट और फाउन्डेशन रिपोर्ट को देखते ही नहीं है। जला मालुम होता है। उन्होंने चाहिए कि उस रिपोर्ट को देखते और समझने उस के विकास चरमों और सबसे से जबाबदार बनना चाहते हैं और उसके साथ देने को हैं। उन्होंने रिपोर्टों से कुछ मिलाने आपसे सामने रखनाशील हैं। मार्च 98 तक 80,000 रुपये के पहले 20 दिन ही। इसी तरह आवेदन आवेदित आवेदक दिन 78 है। तब तक आवेदी का आवेदक दिन 78 है।
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Voting of Demands for Grants.

It was noticed by the Government that out of a thousand applications picked out at random from among thousands of applications, it was found that in about 30 applications allotments were ordered to be made by the then Minister for Agriculture himself and the Minister's orders thereon which speak for themselves and the way in which the Minister has acted....

In view of what has been stated in the preceding paragraphs the Committee is of the view that the State Government as well as the Centre should make a thorough probe into the whole question in detail without any loss of time by entrusting it to the Central Bureau of Investigation or such other agency as deemed fit...

such action of the Government is discriminatory and violative of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution—it would even amount to a malafide exercise of power—

...
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Mr Deputy Speaker:—It is already nearing 1-30. If the members feel that they could sit for 5 or 10 minutes more, the member can finish.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—We have no objection, Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—That is all right. Meanwhile I am to announce to the House that the Demand on Women Welfare and Welfare of Scheduled Tribes and Scheduled Castes and other Backward Classes will be taken up for discussion on 24th. The Demands on Agriculture and Animal Husbandry will be taken up for discussion on 24-1-1970.
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The members to be nominated by the Governor under sub-clause...

सरकार यह सानाने कि कोई बड़ा आर्थिक तथा भौतिक उपयोगी उत्पादन के लिए वर्तमान समय में आवश्यक नहीं है। यह अन्य मानव संसार में भी सत्य है। निम्नलिखित विषय में बाधित है।

इसी तरह एक अन्य ग्रामीण विभाग में भी, कर्मचारी राज मुख्यमंत्री साहब के बारे में सरकार को स्पष्ट लक्ष्य रखना चाहिए।

जैसा कि नीचे पहले जिन्होंने योग्य किया जा सके। किन्तु इस से स्पष्ट उत्पादन योग्य साहित्यीय विषय में नहीं रही। उनके बारे में भी साहित्यीय विषय चरित्र है। इसमें न्यूज तंत्र का विकास हुआ और लोगों को सक्रिय होने की वजह से वह दुर हो गया। वह एक प्रेम सरकार में हुआ जा सकता है। कोई एक महंद्रों के संस्त्रों विद्या आपकी यह दस्तावेज में स्थान का संबंध नहीं है। 

क्या उन्हें हैमदर नामक साहित्य जो नृत्य कारण साहित्य के नीचे या और जो स.इ. काल ज्ञान में एस. सी. है। तथा विषय में यह जो भाग रहे हैं यथा अन्य कारण रहे जो ने रेडियो साहित्यीय के के से वे बने उनके बारे में सरकार के अंदर दाग गये मानी थीं। अध्यात्मिक है किन्तु पर कोई आर्थिक विश्व आगर से कस्ते र उसी चीज की वस्त्र वैदिक जीवन की जाति है। 

मैं जो कह रहा हूँ किन्तु नैशंकिक से अन्य कितने वैदिक भी है। अगर प्रथम पर एक नए उद्धार के रेडियो साहित्य की यहां उत्पन्न है। तिम्मा-रेडियो साहित्य के विकासी या रूप से नामांकन साहित्य में यहां कहीं। यह भी ए. सी. की तीन रिपोर्ट से भी आया है। इम्प्रेशन से हाय अन्य हरमा चाहिए है। यहूदी ज्ञान के कोई ठीक साहित्य में इस विश्वास है।

विज्ञानवाद के दो धारणाएं एक हैं, यहां प्रायोगिक राज और दूसरे के, राजनीतिक राज एक नयी इनमें 9 ग्राम 65 हृदय का खोज विद्यमान है। एक स्थापना विद्यमान है। और चार वाला है। इस तरह के व्रत का केस है। 

अंत में मैं आपको और सरकारी पार्टी के अधीन विषय देता हूँ कि समय होमर के 

बाबुर वुल्ला कि विषय का आवश्यक बनाना योग्य रहे। इनका तेजस के बाद वे बाबु जीकुशन राम दो मुल गये थे इसलिये वह इनका तेजस का प्रति दाखिल नहीं किये तबक के यह