ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY DEBATES
OFFICIAL REPORT

Tenth day of the Fourth Session of the
Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly.

ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY,

Wednesday, the 24th February, 1970.

The House met at Four of the Clock.

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

RAYALASEEMA DEVELOPMENT BOARD

181—

*109 (2300) Q—Sri S. Vemayya (Sarvepalli):— Will hon. the
Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that the Government has constituted
Rayalaseema Board for the Development of Rayalaseema; and
(b) the terms of reference of the above Board?

The Deputy Chief Miniser (Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao):— (a)
Yes Sir.
(b) (i) To prepare long-term Plan for the eradication of famine
in Rayalaseema;
(ii) To consider and advise Government in regard to schemes
pertaining to development of Rayalaseema as may be included in the
Fourth Five Year Plan or in the Annual Plans;
(iii) To recommend further measures that may be necessary
to accelerate the pace of development of Rayalaseema; and
(iv) To review the progress in respect of the development
scheme pertaining to Rayalaseema.
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Oral Answers to Questions:

1. **Question:** — (In Telugu)
   **Answer:** — (In Telugu)

2. **Question:** — (In Telugu)
   **Answer:** — (In Telugu)

3. **Question:** — (In Telugu)
   **Answer:** — (In Telugu)

4. **Question:** — (In Telugu)
   **Answer:** — (In Telugu)

5. **Question:** — (In Telugu)
   **Answer:** — (In Telugu)

6. **Question:** — (In Telugu)
   **Answer:** — (In Telugu)

7. **Question:** — (In Telugu)
   **Answer:** — (In Telugu)

8. **Question:** — (In Telugu)
   **Answer:** — (In Telugu)

9. **Question:** — (In Telugu)
   **Answer:** — (In Telugu)

10. **Question:** — (In Telugu)
    **Answer:** — (In Telugu)
Oral Answers to Questions.

24th February, 1970.

1.  ర. డోల్సకి చేతులు (సందర్భాలు): — రాక్షసు కర్తు తన సంహారానికి రావడం కోసం తన మరణానికి ప్రతి సంహతించడానికి ఆచరించాడు. ఆ తరువాత తన ఆధునిక ప్రతి సంహతించడానికి తన మరణానికి ప్రతి సంహతించడానికి ఆచరించాడు.

2.  మ. పితాయ్యుంటాడు: — సిద్ధాంతం మంచియి పడిపోయింది.

3.  రా. మహారాజా చేతులు (కారకాలు): — అందిం కాలు చేతులు కొరకు రాత చేతులు దాని సమక్షంలో విడించడానికి ఆచరించాడు. అతని తరువాత రాత చేతులు దాని సమక్షంలో విడించడానికి ఆచరించాడు.

4.  మ. పితాయ్యుంటాడు: — పాటు ప్రత్యేకించడానికి ఏదైనా సంపాదించాడు.

5.  రా. సిద్ధాంతం (సందర్భాలు): — పాత పితరుడు సంపాదించడానికి ఆచరించాడు. ఆచరించడానికి ఆచరించాడు పితరుడు సంపాదించడానికి ఆచరించాడు.

6.  మ. పితాయ్యుంటాడు: — పాత గిరికోడు సంపాదించడానికి ఆచరించాడు.

7.  రా. సిద్ధాంతం (సందర్భాలు): — పాత గిరికోడు సంపాదించడానికి ఆచరించాడు. ఆచరించడానికి ఆచరించాడు పితరుడు సంపాదించడానికి ఆచరించాడు.

8.  మ. పితాయ్యుంటాడు: — పాత గిరికోడు సంపాదించడానికి ఆచరించాడు.

9.  రా. సిద్ధాంతం (సందర్భాలు): — పాత గిరికోడు సంపాదించడానికి ఆచరించాడు. ఆచరించడానికి ఆచరించాడు పితరుడు సంపాదించడానికి ఆచరించాడు.
Oral Answers to Questions.

Sri G. Sivayya (Puttur):—After constituting this Board, did the Government appoint any staff to look after the work of the Rayalaseema Development Board?

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao:—A Deputy Secretary has been appointed; he has been looking after the work.

Sri G. Sivayya:—Is the Deputy Secretary specially incharge of the Board or is he a Deputy Secretary of the Planning Department put incharge of the work of the Board?

Sri J. V. Narasing Rao:—He has been appointed exclusively. He is a member of the Planning Department.

Sri G. Sivayya:—Are you in a position to appoint staff to look after the work of the Rayalaseema Board?

Sri J. V. Narasing Rao:—A Deputy Secretary with staff has been appointed. They have been doing wonderful work. Reports have been printed.

Sri G. Sivayya:—Does the Government think of appointing special staff to be incharge of the Board?

Sri J. V. Narasing Rao:—The Planning Secretary is the Secretary. A Deputy Secretary is put specially incharge of the works and whatever staff is necessary he is making use of.

STATUTORY POWERS TO THE RAYALASEEMA DEVELOPMENT BOARD

182—

* 158 (2466) Q.—Sri P. O. Satyanarayana Raju (Yemmiganur):—Will hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether there are proposals before the Government to give Statutory powers to the Rayalaseema Development Board; and
(b) If so, when is it likely to be implemented?

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao:—Governmend propose to consider the matter after watching the working of the Board as constituted now for some time.

Sri G. Sivayya:—Before the said consideration, as a temporary measure, will the Government be pleased to appoint special staff for this Board? Without appointing staff, what is it that you are going to do? It is better that Government appoints certain staff specially for the Board and observe it.

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao:—I have already answered this. A Special Deputy Secretary has been appointed to look after this work. He has been carrying on this work very nicely. Already they submitted a report, which is also printed. He has got the staff. It is for him to ask for one or two more clerks, if he wants. It is not for us to impose staff on him.

Sri G. Sivayya:—How can an employee ask you for staff. The Board has resolution in this regard. What have you done in respect of those resolutions? Why should he ask for staff. He is a part-time worker; he is not a full time job worker, the Deputy Secretary, you are referring to.

Sri D. Venkatesham (Kuppam):—The Minister was pleased to inform that statutory powers to this Board will be given if necessary. When does that ‘if necessary’ arise? To what extent does the Government consider of giving the statutory power to this body? What is the proposal or request made in that regard?

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao:—After watching the functioning of the Board, if there are deficiencies, those can be remedied by making it statutory. That can be considered later.

Mr. Speaker:—Please put a separate question.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—In addition to the normal expenditure on the population basis, some more further allotment is being considered by Government to be made.

Sri V. B. Raju (Siddipet):—We are under a planned economy. A plan for five years is prepared. The resources are worked out.
They are apportioned. How does this Rayalaseema Board to get extra finances—from where? Is it merely promise or is it a reality?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—No, Sir. I am not just now able to spell it out, because the IV Plan is not finalised yet and the yearly plan for 1970-71 is not finalised yet. But all the same I think it has been mentioned by the Finance Minister in his budget speech that under normal expenditure of the plan, it will be about 77 or 78 crores. Another 14 or 15 crores will be used to give some special fund to Telangana and some special fund for development of Rayalaseema and for some other backward areas.

Sri V. B. Raju:—My question is straight. It is not possible within our resources to make these appropriations. It cannot emerge from within. Does the Chief Minister hope that it comes from the Centre? What exactly does he visualise?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—My submission is this. Our plan for 1970-71, we are proposing to be somewhere at 92 to 93 crores. Out of which 77 to 78 crores will be for 1970-71 for the progress of the works under execution in the various areas. The rest of the money—some portion will be given to Telangana, part of it will be given to Rayalaseema and part will be for other backward areas in Circars and other areas.
Fixation of Pay to the Executive Officers of Panchayats

183—

* 882 (1728-X) Q.—Sri M. B. Paraakusam (Vunukur):—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether orders have been issued for the implementation of pay fixation for the Panchayat Executive Officers according to G. O. Ms. No. 444, dated 25-5-1965 and the reasons for not implementing the said G. O. completely so far;

(b) the number of Panchayat Executive Officers working in Srikakulam District, the number of them in whose case pay fixation has been made as per the above Government Order and the number of whose cases have yet to be finalised; and
Oral Answers to Questions.

(c) the reasons for not taking up pay fixation in the case of certain Executive Officers even after the expiry of 3 years even though it is mentioned in the Government Order that it should be implemented with effect from 1-4-1966 and whether steps will be taken to implement pay fixation expeditiously?

The Minister for Panchayati Raj (Sri T. Ramaswamy):—(a) Yes. Out of 75 Executive Officers of Gram Panchayats in Andhra area, pay fixation had been done in respect of 670 Executive Officers. For want of upto date entries in the Service Registers, pay fixation could not be done yet in respect of 65 Executive Officers.

(b) There are 21 Executive Officers of Gram Panchayats working in Srikakulam District. Pay fixation has been done in respect of 21 Executive Officers, and pay fixation has not yet been done in respect of the remaining 3 Executive Officers.

(c) The pay fixation of some of the Executive Officers could not be finalised for want of upto date entries in the Service Registers of Executive Officers as they worked in several places. However, instructions have been issued by the former Board of Revenue (P. R.) in its Ref. No. 3894/Estt. IV (1) 69 dated 19-1-1970 to the Collectors concerned to fix the pay of the said Executive Officers expeditiously.

...

BREACHES TO TANKS IN BADANGI PANCHAYAT SAMITHI AREA

*883 (1724-Y) Q.—Sri M. Venkata Ramanaidu (Parvathipuram) :- Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that due to heavy rains in October, 1968, 500 tanks in Badangi Panchayat Samithi area, Bobbili taluk, Srikakulam district have been breached;

(b) whether it is a fact that members of the Badangi Panchayat Samithi have informed the said matter to the Collector of Srikakulam district;

(c) the nature of the repairs carried out to the above tanks so far; and

(d) whether it is a fact that inspite of having favourable climatic conditions, there is no water in the tanks?

Sri T. Ramaswamy :- (a) The Collector, Srikakulam has reported that it is not true that 500 Minor Irrigation Tanks were breached due to heavy rains in October, 1968 and that according to the particulars available in Taluk office, Bobbili only 73 Minor Irrigation sources were breached.

(b) The President, Panchayati Samithi, Badangi brought to the notice of Collector, Srikakulam about the damages caused to the Minor Irrigation sources.

(c) Breaches for 48 tanks are reported to have been closed.

(d) The Collector has reported that there was water in the sources due to heavy rains in the last week of September 1969.

AMOUNT COLLECTED FROM TEACHERS UNDER SALARY SAVINGS SCHEME

*852 (1726-M) Q.—Sri M. B. Parankusam :- Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Parvathipuram Samithi, Srikakulam district has not deposited an amount of Rs. 60,000 collected from the elementary school teachers of the said Samithi, under salary savings schemes;
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(b) if so, whether any complaints have been received against the President of Panchayat Samithi regarding the irregularities; if so, the action taken thereon;

(c) whether the Panchayat Samithi will be made to pay the necessary amount required for renewal of the life insurance policies, which have lapsed; and

(d) whether action will be taken against the persons who acted irresponsibly in the discharge of their duties?

Sri T. Ramanavami:—(a) Yes, Sir, but the amount not deposited is about Rs. 30,000 (not Rs 60,000).

(b) No Sir.

(c) As the Life Insurance Corporation has cancelled the policies, there is no possibility of renewal of the policies again. The amount collected will be refunded to the teachers concerned.

(d) Yes Sir.
Kothari Commission Pay Scales to Teachers

186—

*682 (2170) Q.—Sarvasri V. Pulavelli (Chodavaram) and Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the scales of Kothari Commission to the teachers have not been given so far; and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

The Minister for Education (Sri P. V. Narsimha Rao):—(a) Yes Sir.

(b) Reply from Prime Minister has been received recently and in view of this, the State Government are examining the matter.

Sri D. Venkatesam:—What is the time limit Government require to announce the scales?
Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Within the next few days. It may be even earlier, who knows?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—It is being considered. It is under consideration. It is under active consideration. Few days or so, perhaps. It is at the final stage. Sir. We shall announce it.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—Is the Government going to accept the recommendations in full?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—I have already submitted that the Government will announce shortly.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—May I presume that the recommendations will not be accepted in full?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Hon. Members are free to presume anything. I have already stated that the decision of the Government on this matter will be announced shortly.

Sri G. Sivayya:—Will the Government announce their decision after the threatened strike comes off?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—It depends upon when the strike will take place.
Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—I do not remember, because they will be sending so many communications.

Sri V. B. Raju:—What is the propriety in taking a decision in the next few days when the budget has been presented only less than a week back? Either they should have taken a decision when the budget was under discussion or they will have to present a supplementary demand. The Minister is saying that Government will take a decision. Does it not involve financial commitment?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—It does involve financial commitment. The decision had to be taken very recently because the reply from the Government of India was received very late.

Sri V. B. Raju:—What is the propriety in taking a decision in the next few days when the budget has been presented only less than a week back? Either they should have taken a decision when the budget was under discussion or they will have to present a supplementary demand. The Minister is saying that Government will take a decision. Does it not involve financial commitment?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—I am only asking in the interests of regulating the working of Government and to ensure that there is no deviation. The budget has already been presented. The demand has not been discussed; the budget has not been passed and the regular process has to be gone through.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—I have already submitted under what conditions this decision had to be taken even after the budget had been finalised. We were expecting some assistance from the Government of India. The Chief Minister had written to them and I had written.

Sri V. B. Raju:—Under what demand or in what shape does it come now? I am only trying to understand the procedure for discussion of the financial statement here. Does it come as a Supplementary Demand?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—I have no doubt that it will be brought before the House in accordance with the Rules and the House will have full opportunity of discussing it and expressing its opinion.
24th February, 1970.

Oral Answers to Questions.

Mr. Speaker: —This should have been taken into consideration in the process of framing the budget.

Sri V.B. Raju: —A token grant of Rs. 1:0 could have been provided. It is not a question of the total quantum. When this House is seized of the financial statement of the Government for the year, it is imprudent to bring in a new item.

Sri P.V. Narasimha Rao:—There is not going to be any new item. There will be only addition to the pay packet of the teachers. So it is not as though it is absolutely a new item not covered by the budget. It is not like that. That is not the position.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy: —Hoa. Sri V. B. Raju raised a very pertinent question and the hon. Minister expressed his difficulties that it was received late from the Centre and that is why they could not take immediate decision. I would like to know whether it is proper for the government to take a decision when the budget is being discussed.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy: —I do not think there is anything contradictory about it. It is not a new service. After all, as all of you know and you also know better, during the course of the year, if conditions warrant, we raise the pay packet of teachers or government servants or some other class of people serving government. Therefore, it comes under some head of account. Only thing is, the pay packet instead of being that much, it will be that much plus 'x'. After it is announced, naturally the Education Demand will come later.

Sri V.B. Raju: —Sir, budget is an estimate of anticipated expenditure for the coming year. Has not the Government that much advice from the Administration that it will be an anticipated expenditure? Things shall not be so fluid. This is a subject that is being discussed from a long time. If the department has provided any token grant, there is no objection for it. It is an anticipated expenditure. Budget is an estimate.
Oral Answers to Questions.  24th February, 1970.  15

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rau.—There is no need for a token grant. It is not a new service. It is not a new expenditure and the matter has been really fluid in the sense that we were expecting something from the Centre. If it had materialised, it would have made a great deal of difference. That is why it was fluid in the real sense.

**Transfer of Teachers of Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads**

187—

*476 (5453) Q.—Sri R. Mahananda:—Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether any orders have recently been issued by the Government to regulate the transfers of teachers and other staff of Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads;

(b) if so, whether a copy of the same be placed on the Table of the House;

(c) whether any instances of violation of these orders have come to the notice of the Government in Nellore district; and

(d) if so, the names of such institutions and the action taken against them?

The Minister for Panchayat Raj deputised the Minister for Education and answered the question (Sri I. Ramaswamy):—(a) Yes, Sir.


(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) Available information in respect of Violations till 17-9-68 when the earlier instructions were cancelled is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the Instruction</th>
<th>Action Taken</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Panchayati Samithi, Pedili. (There were two instances)</td>
<td>In the first case the transfer was made to a place which was two miles away, no action was considered necessary. In the second case the Govt. ratified the action of B.D.O. No action was considered necessary as the place of transfer was only two miles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Panchayati Samithi, Kavali.
(There were two instances)

In the first case no action was considered necessary as the place of transfer was only six miles.
In the first case the B.D.O. was directed to retain the teacher at his original place.
In the second case further action was dropped as the B.D.O. himself cancelled his orders of transfer of the teacher.

PAPERS PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE.
Vide Answer to (b) of L. A. Q. No. 5463 Starred [*187]

Sub:—Economy in Government expenditure during 1967-68 — Retrenchment of teachers for meeting the 10% cut — Staying of — Instructions — Issued.


It has come to the notice of the Government that certain Zilla Parishads and Panchayat Samithis in the State have been retrenching the teachers in pursuance of the orders contained in the G.Os. cited, to cover the 10% cut in grants referred to therein. This Department have been considering separately to issue certain guidelines, indicating the ways and means to be adopted, for meeting the 10% cut in the grants.

2. Pending issue of these instructions by this Department the Secretaries of all Zilla Parishads and the Block Development Officers of all Panchayat Samithis in the state are requested to maintain the status quo, as before, prior to the issue of the G.Os. cited. Retrenchment of teachers, wherever ordered, should be stayed forthwith. The persons retrenched should be restored to their respective posts, and their salaries admitted, for the period of the gap. This includes all categories of posts in the Education branch, affected due to the orders issued in the G.Os. cited.

3. The Secretaries of all Zilla Parishads and the Block Development Officers of all Panchayat Samithis are, however, requested to bring about a saving of 10% as ordered already, by adopting other means except retrenchment, such as, effecting no transfers, except in case of promotions and where transfers inevitable to bring it to the notice of Government in the Panchayat Raj Department and effecting transfers only after obtaining Government orders, reducing of contingent expenditure, keeping vacant posts un-filled etc. These measures are temporary and only to tide over the emergency.

4. The receipt of this Memorandum be acknowledged by return of post.
2. Copy of Govt. Memorandum No. 716 PRI-1/63-5, Ein.
Dated 17th September, 1968.
Sub.:—Economy in Government expenditure—Instructions issued to observe economy in the educational grants during 1967-68—Cancellation orders—Issued.

The orders issued in the Government Memorandum cited to the extent that prior approval of the Government should be obtained for effecting transfers of elementary school teachers working in Panchayat Samithis are hereby cancelled. All Block Development Officers are however, directed to that minimum number of transfers are effected, and that too, only in the beginning of...

2. In respect of transfers of teachers who have not put in a service of three years, at a particular place, all Block Development Officers are requested to see that specific reasons, under which such transfer are proposed, are recorded in writing.

Sri. G. Sivaiah:— In view of the past experience, will the Government consider at least now to give permission to the Education Department in respect of teachers transfers, Sir; failing which we will have to face still miserable days in the future.

189-3
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Smt. J. Eshwari Bai: Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:
(a) whether the Audiah Memorial High School, Secunderabad is still under the management of a committee or under the direct supervision of the District Educational Officer;
(b) whether the amounts embezzled by certain office bearers of the old committee have been realised and if not, the reasons therefor; and
(c) what is the stage at which the case is pending against the accused person who is responsible for embezzlement?

A. Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:

(a) The managing committee constituted by the City Civil Court, Secunderabad has become practically defunct and therefore Government in their Order Ms. No. 071, Education dated 9-10-1967 have authorised District Educational Officer, Hyderabad City to effect direct payment of salaries to the staff to avoid hardship to them.

(b) and (c) The case has been entrusted to the Police and investigations revealed that K. R. Abiah, the then Secretary and Treasurer of the school was responsible for the mis-appropriation of certain amounts. The Superintendent of Police, Crime Branch, has reported that the case has been charge-sheeted and is pending trail in the City Civil Court, Secunderabad.
189 —

Sri P. O. Satyanarayana Raju: — Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether there are proposals before the Government to introduce package scheme to improve poultry in our State;
(b) if so, when is the scheme likely to be implemented;
(c) whether any aid is forthcoming from the Centre; and
(d) if so, the quantum of assistance likely to be received?

The Minister for Agriculture (Sri K. Venkataratnam):—

(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) The scheme is being implemented at Hyderabad, Vijayawada, Visakhapatnam, Warangal, Kakinada and Kurnool.
(c) No.
(d) Does not arise.

1. The scheme was started from October 1963.
2. 1963, 64, 66 and 67 the results were not satisfactory.
3. Suitable measures are being taken to improve the results.
Oral Answers to Questions.

24th February, 1970.

MISAPPROPRIATION IN THE AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, RAJENDRANAGAR

*729 (2432) Q.—Sri M. Bhim Reddy:—Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether it has been brought to the notice of the Government that Rs. 60,000 have been misappropriated in the Agricultural University College at Rajendranagar;

(b) whether it is a fact that accounts are being prepared now for the said amount as accounts have not been maintained so far;

(c) the reasons why the account books have not been handed over to the new Superintendent even two months after the transfer of the Superintendent of that section;

(d) whether it is a fact that the Assistant Controller and the Administrative Officer are also involved in the misappropriation of the said amount; and

(e) if so, whether the records will be seized immediately and action taken against the persons responsible for the misappropriation of funds?

Sri K. Venkataramnam:—(a), (b), (c) and (d) The answer is in the negative.

(e) Does not arise.

². E. 5. 3°—అడుగు.

5° ఉద్యోగ సహాయ ఉద్యోగ.

Q.—Sri R. Mahananda:—Will the hon. Minister for Transport be pleased to state:
(a) the number of new Leyland buses purchased by the A. P. S. R. T. C. during 1966-67; 1967-68 and 1968-69;
(b) the names of places from which they have been imported and the cost of each bus;
(c) whether bodies have been built for these buses; if so, where and whether on contract system or tender system?

The Minister for Transport (Dr. M. N. Lakshminarsayya):—
(a) The number of Leyland made chassis for the supply of which orders were placed on the manufacturers during the three years were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Types of Chassis</th>
<th>Cost per chassis (exclusive of taxes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>Rs. 45,142.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>222</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1507-68
Leyland 'comet' (Standard wheel base) Rs. 47,823.00

1963-69
Leyland 'comet' (Standard wheel base) Rs. 48,381.00
Leyland 'comet' (Short wheel base) Rs. 48,307.00

Leyland comet 1:8 W. B. Prime mover tractor chassis and semi-articulated trailer chassis for double deck bus. Rs. 78,050.00

Leyland Titan ELE W. B. Chassis for double deck bus. Rs. 1,16,499.00

(c) Yes Sir. The work of fabrication of bodies on the chassis purchased was entrusted to the following:

(i) Body building unit of the Corporation.
(ii) M/s. The Hyderabad Allwyn Metal Works Limited, Hyderabad.
(iii) M/s. Kannan Cerage, Secunderabad.
(iv) M/s. Automechanical Corporation, Hyderabad (a unit of small scale industry).
(v) M/s. M. G. Automobiles, Bellary.
(vii) M/s. Sian Osnage (P) Ltd. Bombay.

The Limited tenders system was followed and quotations were invited from established firms engaged in the business. Based on the rates quoted production obauality, delivery schedule and qualitative workmanship. The orders for bodies were distributed between the firms mentioned above.
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(a) whether it is a fact that Bus Route was proposed from Ongole to Lingasamudram in Nellore District;

(b) if so, has it been notified as a pucca route;

(c) whether any temporary permit was granted to any body;

(d) if so, how many have applied for temporary permits?

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasaiah: (a) There is already a route existing from Ongole to Lingasamudram.

(b) Yes, Sir. It is a pucca route.

(c) Yes Sir. Temporary permits were granted to one, Sri V. Subba Reddy of Ongole, pending issue of a pucca permit:

(d) Two applicants applied for temporary permits, each time on three occasions, and one only on two occasions.

BUS ROUTE FROM ONGOLE TO LINGASAMUDRAM

CAPITAL EXPENDITURE ON BUSES IN ANDHRA AREA

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government has issued orders to the A. P. S. R. T. C. not to incur capital expenditure on buses in Andhra area during 1969-69 and 1969-70:

(b) if so, the reasons therefor; and

(c) how many buses are running in Telangana and Andhra areas as on to day?

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasaiah: (a) & (b): Yes Sir. on 26, 1-69 instructions were issued to the Corporation that no further capital expenditure be incurred in the Andhra area except on inevitable civil engineering works and repair items and further expansion will have to be kept pending till a decision is taken on the question of Telangana surpluses and the share of Andhra to the capital of the Corporation is settled.
(c) The number of buses attached to Depots situated in the two regions are as follows (exclusive of buses stabled and awaiting scrapping):

Telangana: 120
Andhra Region: 1047

(b) Amount Allotted By T. T. D. for Renovation of Temples

194—

* 25 (1736) Q.—Sri R. Mahananda:—Will the hon. Minister of Endowments be pleased to state:

(a) the amount allotted either as free grant or loan by the T. T. Devasthanam during 1966-67, 1967-68 and 1968-69 for renovation of Temples, construction of Dharma Salas, Kalayana Mandapams and public utility centres in East and West Godavari districts (category wise particulars may be given); and

(b) how many of these are completed?

The Minister for Endowments (Sri R. Ramalinga Raju):—(a) An amount of Rs. 24,000 was donated by the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam during 1966-67 for renovation of temples in the West Godavari district and an amount of Rs. 10,000 during 1968-69 for renovation temples in East and West Godavari districts.

(b) Renovation of three temples in the West Godavari district and one temple in the East Godavari district have been completed.
THEFT OF IRON BARS FROM N.S. PROJECT STORES, VIJAYAPURI

193—

282 (1862) Q. Sri R. Mahananda:—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a lorry load of iron bars etc., were stolen and taken away in a lorry No. A. P. T. 9088, in the month of September 1969, from the N. S. Project Stores at Vijayapuri;

(b) if so, has it been seized and a case was filed;

(c) what is the worth of this stolen property;

(d) who is in-charge of this stores; and

(e) whether the persons involved in this theft are arrested and if so, action taken against them?

The Minister for Finance deputised the Minister for Irrigation and answered the question (Sri K. Vijaya Bhaskara Reddy):—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Rs. 2,950

(d) Sri G. Maruthi Rao, Store-Keeper Grade. I

(e) Sri G. Maruthi Rao has been taken into custody by the Police and he has been departmentally kept under suspension with effect from 18-9-69.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

ASSIGNMENT OF LAND IN UPPUTERU RESERVE FOREST

200 A. ....

S. N. Q. No. 1444-W.: Sarvasri Bh. Vijayakumara Raju (Bhimavaram) K. Kusumeswara Rao, K. Butchi Rayudu and P. Seshavaratnam:—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) The total extent of land under Block No. 2 of Upputeru Reserve Forest, Bhimavaram taluk, West Godavari Dt., the extent of land therein assigned to political sufferers and patta lands granted to landless poor and the extent of land still remaining respectively;

(b) whether the entire land available to be given on pattas was included in Crash Programme;

(c) whether it is a fact that instead of assigning the said banjar and i.e., the land in Upputeru Block No. 2 Compartment No. 3 to
the persons who are in occupation and cultivating the same, proposals
had been formulated to assign the same on pattas to the people of
far off villages, employees and their relatives and to the people of
other districts; and

(d) whether it is a fact that the names of the persons of
distant areas have been registered in the voters list of local villages?

The Minister for Revenue (P. Thimma Reddy):-(a) The total extent
of land of Block No. 2 of Upputeru Reserve Forest is 10,826 acres.
Out of this an extent of 2,550 acres was assigned to political sufferer
some years ago 1208 acres were leased out to a Field Labour Coop.
Society, 4281 acres have been reserved for 17 Co-op. Joint Farming
Societies and 812 acres are covered by channel puntas etc. (No
land has been granted to individual landless poor so far).

Out of the remaining extent of 1,045 acres covered by compartment
No. 3 about 1,045 acres alone. after excluding extent covered
by channels, drains etc. is available for assignment.

(b) Even before the inception of the special crash programme,
the lands covered by compartment No. 3 were ordered to be assigned
to land less poor on payment of market value.

(c) About 15,000 applications from persons from Bondada and
surrounding villages and also from members of ex-lessee Bondada
Landa lanks Agricultural Improvements association as also Sivojama-
dars were received. The Tahsildar, Bhimavaram conducted enquiry
according to the principles laid down by the Collector of West Go-
davari for selection of eligible persons, and published a list of 1,841
persons found eligible along with A-1 Notice inviting objections. The
Tahsildar reports that 254 persons belonging to other districts and
other taluks having sivoja rights for an extent of about 536 acres
and eligible for assignment are in the published list.

(d) The Tahsildar, Bhimavaram has reported that no names of
persons of other areas were got wrongly inscribed in electoral roll of
Bondada village.
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5. a. What is your opinion about the current political situation in the country? How do you think it will affect the future?

b. What measures do you propose to improve the current situation?

c. What do you think are the main issues facing the country today?

Q. 1. What are the eligibility criteria for the post of a Branch Manager in the bank?

Q. 2. What is the procedure for the appointment of a Branch Manager?

Q. 3. What are the responsibilities of a Branch Manager in the bank?

Q. 4. What are the penalty for the violation of the bank's rules and regulations?

Q. 5. What is the procedure for the withdrawal of funds from the account?

Q. 6. What is the procedure for the issue of a new passbook?

Q. 7. What are the documents required for the opening of a new account?

Q. 8. What is the procedure for the transfer of funds from one account to another?

Q. 9. What are the rules and regulations for the use of bank debit cards?

Q. 10. What is the procedure for the settlement of disputes related to bank transactions?
Short Notice Questions and Answers.  24th February, 1970.  

Dear Sir,

The Assistant Collector has been informed that the Collector is awaiting instructions from the Government for final orders to be issued. In the meantime, the Collector's office is awaiting the report from the Collector.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

[Name]

[Position]

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—(a) Yes, Sir, ‘Panchami’ and ‘Mahapurushudu’ have been prescribed as Telugu 1st Language Non-Detailed books for X class during the academic year 1969-70 for the entire Andhra Pradesh State. These two books have been published under the scheme of Nationalised Text Books.

(b) The examination for these students will be conducted in March/April, 1970. The date is not yet finalised.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) No representation has been received from the students.

Change of Telugu Non-Detailed for XIIth Class

S No. 200 B.

S. N. Q. No. 1441-D: Sri R. Mahananda:—Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that books of ‘Panchami’ and ‘Mahapurushudu’ are prescribed as Telugu Non-Detailed books for X class students in this year in Andhra area;

(b) whether it is also a fact that examinations will be conducted for these students in March, 1970 in Andhra area;

(c) if so, whether it is a fact that the Govt. has suddenly changed these two Telugu Non-Detailes and introduced a new book and directed to complete the coaching of this Book; and

(d) is there any representation from the students to change this at once?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—(a) Yes, Sir, ‘Panchami’ and ‘Mahapurushudu’ have been prescribed as Telugu 1st Language Non-Detailed books for X class during the academic year 1969-70 for the entire Andhra Pradesh State. These two books have been published under the scheme of Nationalised Text Books.

(b) The examination for these students will be conducted in March/April, 1970. The date is not yet finalised.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) No representation has been received from the students.
Short Notice Questions and Answers. 24th February, 1970.

There is no question of changing them.

URDU MEDIUM JUNIOR COLLEGE AT SECUNDERABAD

S. No. 200 C.....

S. N. Q. No. 1444-F:—Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi (Charminar):—will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) how many Junior Colleges have been opened this year in Secunderabad area of the Greater Hyderabad City;

(b) in how many of them, Urdu medium or Urdu Sections have been provided for;

(c) if no provision has been made, the reasons therefor;

(d) how many Urdu Medium High Schools are there in Secunderabad area and the number of students studying with Urdu medium therein; and

(e) how does the Government propose to accommodate such students without a Urdu Medium Junior College at Secunderabad?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—(a) 8

(b) and (c) Government High School for boys Secunderabad converted into Junior College will have one Urdu Medium section also.

(d) Urdu medium is provided in 5 High Schools. The total number of Urdu Medium students studying in X class, at present is 98.

(e) Does not arise.

Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi:—(a) 8

(b) and (c) Government High School for boys Secunderabad converted into Junior College will have one Urdu Medium section also.

(d) Urdu medium is provided in 5 High Schools. The total number of Urdu Medium students studying in X class, at present is 98.

(e) Does not arise.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—I do not know whether the hon-Members is speaking about the private colleges or Government Colleges. In Government Colleges there is no difficulty. We have already started. About Private Colleges there is a condition imposed which they have accepted even without grant in-aid for the next five years. Poor boys always can go to the Colleges where they can afford.
Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir there are rules which prescribed the scale of fee to be levied by the Private Institutions also. No private Institution can go beyond the scale prescribed.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir there are rules which prescribed the scale of fee to be levied by the Private Institutions also. No private Institution can go beyond the scale prescribed.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir there are rules which prescribed the scale of fee to be levied by the Private Institutions also. No private Institution can go beyond the scale prescribed.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir there are rules which prescribed the scale of fee to be levied by the Private Institutions also. No private Institution can go beyond the scale prescribed.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao :—They have not come to me so far. I would be very happy to meet them and take their representation and if there is anything further to be done, I would be equally happy to do it.

Sri D. Venkatesham :—I would very respectfully deny the charge of any ‘Anyayam’ being done sir.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao :—I wish to inform this House that he is not aware of the cause for the hunger strike. But to the information of the House I wish to tell that the Junior College is more or less the old Higher Secondary School. We are not collecting school fees for the Higher Secondary schools till the 12th class. Since we are giving free education, under that we are insisting the school fees in these Junior Colleges for the 8th. These people are now pressing for the free education in these Colleges.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao :—If that is the issue it is common to the entire State and there is no particular justification for the students of Hyderabad alone to go on hunger strike and agitate. On the one hand the 12th class is equal to P. U. C. where we have been trying this for all these years. On the other hand so far as Higher...
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Secondary is concerned, it is free. The decision so far taken by the Government is that in the case of intermediate class also fees would be levied. There has been some rules that the fees would be reconsidered.

---

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Gazuladimma Project

197—

* 420 Q.—Sri B. Niranjana Rao:—Will the hon. Minister for Medium Irrigation and Flood Control be pleased to state:
(a) whether clearance has been given to Gazuladinna Project in Kurnool district by the Central Power and Water Commission;
(b) if so, what is the estimated cost of the project; and
(c) whether the same is going to be started soon?

A:—
(a) & (b), In December, 1966, the Planning Commission cleared the Gazuladinna Project at an estimated cost of Rs. 50.6 lakhs.
(c) Preliminary works have been taken up.

Construction of a Dam at Nakkalagutta near Sivaramapuram

198—

* 337 (1952) Q.—Sri D. Venkatesam:—Will the hon. Minister for Medium Irrigation and Flood Control be pleased to state:
(a) whether any survey has been made regarding construction of a dam at Nakkalagutta near Sivaramapuram, Kuppam taluk, Chittoor district on Palar river;
(b) if so, what is the estimated cost; and
(c) what is the action taken on the representation dated 1-8-68 made by Sri D. Venkatesam, M.L.A., in this regard?

A:—
(a) No, Sir.
(b) Does not arise.
(c) No such representation appears to have been received.

Pumbaleru Pumping Scheme

199—

* 341 (26/68) Q. Sri P. Venkatachiala:—Will the hon. Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:
(a) what is the estimated cost of the Pumbaleru pumping scheme of Thikkavaram in Gudur taluk of Nellore district;
(b) whether the estimate was sanctioned; and
(c) when will it be executed?

A:—
(a) Rs. 3,80,890 including Direct and Indirect charges based on S. S. R. 1966-67.
(b) No, Sir.
(c) Does not arise.
VALLIPEDU PUMPING SCHEME

200—

3: 2 (2069) Q.—Sri P. Venkata Subbaiah:—Will the Hon. Minister for Minor Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) what is the estimated cost of Vallipedu pumping scheme in Gudur taluk of Nellore district;

(b) the reasons for abnormal delay in the preparation and sanction of estimates; and

(c) when will it be executed?

A:—

(a) The estimate has not been finalised.

(b) Due to the objections raised by the ayacutdars under Pennaik and Yerur tanks regarding riparian rights etc.

(c) Does not arise as the scheme has not been sanctioned.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

re: ARREST OF SRI M. MANIK RAO, M.L.A.

Mr. Speaker:—I am to announce to the House that Sri M. Manik Rao has been arrested at 11 a.m. on 23-2-1970 under Secs. 22 and 23/76 of the Hyderabad Police Act and Secs. 147, 357, 447 read with 149 I.P.C.

re: CONGRESS LEGISLATURE PARTY

Mr. Speaker:—I have received a letter from Dr. K. Naganna on the 19th. It reads like this:

"Dear Sir,

I have the pleasure to inform you that a few days back I have signed a joint petition to cross the floor but not to change the Congress Party of the Hon. Chief Minister and Shri Jagjivan Ram. I am continuing to sit in the Chief Minister’s Congress Group. This is for your kind information.

Yours faithfully."

BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Mr. Speaker:—Under Rule 37 of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules I nominate the following Members to the Business Advisory Committee:

1. Speaker ............... Chairman
2. Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy ........ Chief Minister
3. Sri P.V. Narasimha Rao ........ Minister for Education
4. Sri Ram Reddy ............ Govt. Chief Whip
5. Sri N. Ramchandra Reddy ........ Leader of Opposition
6. Sri Gowthu Latchanna ........ Member
7. Dr. T.V.S. Chalapathi Rao
8. Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao
9. Sri Bhimi Reddy Narasimha Reddy
24th February, 1970.

PRIVILEGE MOTION AGAINST SRI V. SREENIVASA MURTHY
ASSISTANT ENGINEER, R & B CHITTOOR.

Mr. Speaker:—There is a Privilege Motion given notice by Sri T. C. R. Ram which reads like this:

"I hereby raise a privilege motion under 178 of the A.P. Assembly Rules against one, Sri V. Sreenivasa Murthy, Assistant Engineer, Roads and Buildings, Chittoor for the following reasons:

On 21–1–1999 evening at about 6.30 myself and one Sri Azeem Sahib and Sri D. Subramanyam of Palamaner were discussing some affair with the Executive Engineer at his residence. At that time, Sri V. Sreenivasa Murthy, Asst. Engineer came to the Executive Engineer to chalk out their next day’s programme. While talking the Executive Engineer said to the Assistant Engineer, "On 27th and 28th our Chief Engineer may also come over here to participate in Rayalaseema Development Board’s Meeting that is what Sri Raja Ram our M.L.A. is saying."

Immediately the Asst. Engineer took the lead and said, "I believe 90 per cent of the work is done, and we are leaving the rest for the Chief Engineer."

Thus he has lowered the dignity, prestige and status of a member of this House which amounts to breach of privilege of this House.

I therefore request you to send this to Privilege Committee to deal in proper manner."

The version of the Divisional Engineer and the Asst. Engineer is entirely different. What they say is: "This incident took place not at the residence of the Executive Engineer but at the Railway Level Crossing. Other two persons were not present according to them. That is what the Engineer says. The letter reads like this: "I did not meet the Executive Engineer at his residence on the particular day under reference. I met him on the way when he was going accompanied by the M.L.A. in a car on the road near the Level Crossing" in Chittoor Town. When the Executive Engineer introduced the M.L.A. I accosted him and the M.L.A. The Executive Engineer told me that the Chief Engineer would be in Chittoor for the meeting of the Rayalaseema Development Board. I said to the Executive Engineer in Telugu, బాధనంలే. నేను ఆ ఎందుకు చైతన్యం చేస్తే. నేను డాక్టర్ ఎడ్యార్ విచారం కాని. నేను డాక్టర్ ఎడ్యార్ విచారం కాని. నేను డాక్టర్ ఎడ్యార్ విచారం కాని. నేను డాక్టర్ ఎడ్యార్ విచారం కాని.

I did not at all say the words as attributed to me. I never intended or expressed any words of discourtesy or slight to the M.L.A.

If in spite of this, the House feels I should not have said even the words I have actually used, I express my regret."

Now it is for you to decide. If you are pressing you can just enlighten me whether any prima facie case can be made out. The point is— I am not going into the merits of the case—even what you say is true, now in the light of the letter of the Assistant Engineer
saying that he regrets, do you still press the matter? That is what I am asking.

Sri T. C. Rajan:—No, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—So, I will close the matter. No further action.

ADJOURNMENT MOTION

re: ARREST OF THE MAYOR OF HYDERABAD.
Adjournment Motion: 

14th February, 1970.


Mr Speaker: I do not know whether it would be proper for me to allow any discussion on this; because number of members want to speak. If I allow, number of members would speak. But, there must be some kind of Motion before those House. If you want me to allow any discussion, I have no objection to allow. But it becomes a precedent. Let there be a motion.

Sri V. B. Raju:—You want technically, a formal motion. That is alright. When things are normal, it is alright. But when things
40 24th February, 1970.

Adjournmen. Motion:

*re*, Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

are abnormal we must also go abnormally. We are all elected members, here.

Mr. Speaker:—If every member wants to speak...

Sri V. B. Raju:—I am not contradicting you, Sir. In a democracy, when the First Citizen of the City, Mayor, is being treated in that way, what is that? It is the fundamental right of the people to erect a memorial and particularly the sentiments of the people are there. Even in this House, we pass condolence motions even against our own political enemies. When such kind of treatment was meted out to the Mayor and you want to observe technicalities and formalities to debate here like a Debating Society, is it good functioning of Democracy?

Mr. Speaker:—I am trying to minimise the gravity of the situation.

Sri V. B. Raju:—If you do not dispose of this matter in a most democratic manner......It is not a question of Telangana and Andhra, Sir. It is hurt the feelings of the common people. It is entirely in the City and it is the right of the elected Corporate body for a noble purpose. How many memorials have not been built? How many temples have not been built?

Mr. Speaker:—I want only to know whether you want me to allow every member to speak?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—Certainly, it should be allowed.

Mr. Speaker:—You may realise my difficulty. Tomorrow another member raises some matter and that should be allowed...

( Interruption )

I am only trying to minimise the importance of the matter raised by you. We have to follow certain rules. If you want me to go against rules, you will be placing me in a delicate position. Because, this is an important matter, I have allowed yourself and now some other members want to speak.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—The situation warrants that every one should speak.

Mr. Speaker:—Under what provision?

Sri Bauri Vishal Pitti:—Under Rule 63, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—Kindly give me notice. I will consider.

Smt. J. Eswari Bai:—I have given, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—A notice has been given by Smt. Eswari Bai. Now, the question is, whether I should admit it or not. Before I admit it, members can enlighten me regarding the admissibility. Members can certainly speak before I go into the merits. What is that Mr. Narasinga Rao, you want to say?

re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

Andhra Rulers must quit here and now itself; otherwise they will be thrown out.
Adjournment Motion: re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.
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re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

Mr. Speaker, Sir, before you consider the admissibility of the Motion, I need a little clarification, Sir. Here, it is reported in the Press that the Corporation has decided to erect certain Memorial in certain island and that island belongs to the Corporation. The Government seems to have objected in raising a Memorial in that particular place. That is the dispute between the Government and the Corporation. Under these circumstances, the Corporation seems to have decided to erect the Memorial in a particular place, which is in the possession of the Corporation. The issue here is that how the Police can enter that particular place and arrest a particular person and that too the Mayor of this City. If it is M. L. A. it is alright, Sir. The Police can easily arrest any M. L. A. and it has become a routine matter, I am not bothered about that. Here is the Mayor and that is the property of the Corporation and when he is in official duty, can the Police enter that place and arrest him? There is another issue, Sir. There is Section 144 promulgated in the Town. If the Police have arrested the person who has violated Section 144, that is a different issue, Sir. I do not interfere in that. My only question is whether the Police can enter that island and arrest the Mayor inside the premises. I request you to consider this aspect and decide that.

Mr. Speaker:— There is a motion moved under Rule 63. Ground: The action on the part of the police amounts to illegal action.

The Government action is illegal. Since the site itself belongs to the Corporation, Government has absolutely no right to object for the erection of the Memorial. That is one thing. Second thing is whether the Police illegally occupied a site which belongs to the Corporation. Since they acted illegally, the whole action of the Government has to be condemned by this House. For that purpose you want this motion to be admitted under Rule 63, so that the House can discuss the entire situation. Am I right? Have I understood you correctly? If I understood you correctly, the next question arises with regard to the admissibility. So far as the admissibility of Rule 63 motions are concerned, once for all I would like to decide. Unfortunately, whether rightly or wrongly in the past, not only in this Legislature, but throughout the country in all legislatures, the Presiding Officers have been very reluctant to admit motions under Rule 63 except under very grave circumstances. That is the policy. I have been following the same policy. As regards matters, under
Rule 74 it is very clear that not more than one matter should be admitted everyday. I have been admitting 4, 5 or 6 like that, because they relate to matters of urgent public importance. Since I have been very strict in the matter of admitting motions under Rule 63, I have been trying to be liberal.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji :— Is this not an extraordinary thing.

Mr. Speaker :— I have absolutely no objection to be guided by the party leaders. I will consult all the party leaders, obtain their opinion in the matter of admissibility of both under Rule 73 and Rule 74 and I will be guided by that. If you want me to strictly follow Rule 74, I will admit only one per day.

I am only concerned with the procedure laid down under the rules. In that I am prepared to be guided.
Adjournment Motion:
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Mr. Speaker: — If I abrogate my responsibility under the rules, I don't think I can be here. I am only saying that I want guidance of the leaders of the parties on this issue.

Sri G. Sivaiah: — The hon. Speaker has to adhere to the rules. We can only represent. Therefore you can decide on these things. I only raise a legal issue. You can have the clarification from the Government regarding the island question, I can decide matters about this, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: — If I once admit the matter, all the other points you can raise at your liberty. So far as I am concerned, I am concerned only with the procedure as laid down under the rules. I am telling the House I will strictly follow the procedure. Under Rule 74 also I will be compelled to admit hereafter only one per day.

Sri V. B. Raju: — The matter here is actually for interpretation. You have to decide on the points. You cannot ask the Government to clarify.

Mr. Speaker: — I am not expressing any opinion in this respect.

Mr. Speaker: — I only say I am pointing out to the House the procedure laid down. So far as this is concerned I am not expressing any opinion.
Adjournment Motion:
re: Arrest of the major of Hyderabad.

24th February, 1970.

Sir,

I am only submitting most respectfully to you, Sir that this is a situation which comes under the purview of the Presiding Officers. The Presiding Officers have decided to admit adjournment motions only when there is a grave situation. The situation prevailing in the State since yesterday, day before yesterday and to-day comes under that category. So, I beg of you the desirability of admitting the adjournment motion and thoroughly dealing with it.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]
Adjournment Motion: 24th February, 1970.
re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

Mr. Speaker:—You belong to a particular party. Number of speakers have spoken regarding admissibility. Now there is another member who wants to speak. Kindly wait for your turn.

Shri Varadi Wijayalall:—A very important matter is about the arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad. Mr. Speaker:—You belong to a particular party. Number of speakers have spoken regarding admissibility. Now there is another member who wants to speak. Kindly wait for your turn.

Mr. Speaker:—I am telling you one thing. After hearing the concerned Minister, I am asking the Member who has given notice under rule 63 to seek the leave of the House.

Mr. Speaker:—I suppose you have understood my mind. If you have understood there is no need for me to further argue the matter.
Adjournment Motion: re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

Mr. Speaker:—You have not understood me at all.

Mr. Speaker:—No statement is necessary. Now I am asking the member to seek the leave. Are you objecting.

Mr. Speaker:—Such of those members who are in favour of leave being granted for the motion, please stand in their seats.

Mr. Speaker:—Yes. You have no objection for leave being granted.

Mr. Speaker:—I object to it.

Mr. Speaker:—Since more than 20 members are in favour of giving leave, leave is granted. Discussion will be taken up to-morrow at 12-30 P.M.

Mr. Speaker:—Why I said to-morrow was, notice has been given now. If we take it up now, the Minister concerned may not be in a position to get the information.

Sri V. B. Raju:—Emergency matters do not need information or explanation.

Sri V. B. Raju:—Council and other excuses are not good, Sir. As accepted, this is an urgent matter. The whole business must be suspended and this should be taken up. That is the democratic procedure. What is the meaning of the adjournment motion? It is very clear sir. The motion shall be taken up two hours before the termination of the business scheduled for the day or if the Speaker so

re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

directs, at any earlier hour at which business of the day may terminate.

Sri V. B. Raju:—Supposing the business will be over by 8 O'Clock to day. Two hours before it, will be at 6 O'Clock.

Mr. Speaker:—If all of you agree that will be taken up tomorrow.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—According to the rule it should be one hour before the termination of the business. So, it should commence at 5 p.m.

Mr. Speaker:—Today the sitting is from 3 p.m. to 8 p.m. The rule is very clear that the motion shall be taken up one hour before the termination of the business scheduled for the day. So, it will be taken up at 7 p.m. and concluded at 9 p.m.
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Mr. Speaker:—You (to Mr. Pitti) have already given a Censure Motion against the Minister for Municipal Administration and it is coming up on the 26th. It looks as though you do not want to leave him unless he goes home.

Mr. Speaker:—What I am saying is, it is posted to 26th, if I remember correct.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—I request that copy of the High Court Judgement may be given to us. In this, three Ministers are responsible. Finance Minister, Law Minister and the Forest Minister. Let them say, Sir, The Deputy Chief Minister is there for everything and he is sitting quiet.

Mr. Speaker:—The judgment was pronounced on Friday; Saturday and Sunday are holidays for High Court only yesterday they could have got a copy of the judgement. I do not know whether they have got a copy of the judgment already.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—A carbon copy will be all right.

Sri V. B. Raju:—If the Chief Minister is not here, on his behalf somebody must say something. Otherwise, the Speaker has to answer every question. It is unfortunate. We do not want to trouble you.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—There is no body on the Treasury Benches to take note of this.

Sri P. Narsing Rao:—I have given notice of a privilege motion against the Minister for Municipal Administration.

Mr. Speaker:—That file has not yet been sent to me for my orders. When it comes to me, I will go through it.
Mr. Speaker:—The concerned Minister is not here.

Mr. Speaker:—All this will not be allowed: it won't become part of the record.

There is one matter in which Badri Vishal Pitti has given notice of a censure motion against the Minister Mr. Chanchura Naidu then Minister for Forests. That will be taken up the 26th.

So far as this matter is concerned, I have asked the Government to secure a copy of judgment as early as possible and place it on the table of the House. After it is placed on the floor of the House, then the question will arise whether the House should take it up for discussion or not.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—Will this case only be taken up? There's another judgment where there are strictures against the Minister, i.e. in the matter of promotions of Municipal Engineers. It went to the Supreme Court and the case was not admitted; even a contempt case was filed against the Minister and it was not admitted and then the case was taken to the Supreme Court and it was not admitted even in Supreme Court. The Government is evading a decision on the judgment.

Mr. Speaker:—I have not received yet.

Mr. Speaker:—I will get it examined.

Mr. Speaker:—You know that Members have to apply for the pass the previous day. During these days Members would have gone home and so no passes would have been given.

Sri D. Venkatesam:—I would like to know the instructions given to the Police. We have no passes. I was coming along with a friend in his car and the car was stopped at the gate. I requested the police constable and the Legislature peons to allow my friend to drop me inside the premises and leave by the other gate. They refused and my friend was forced to get down from the car.

Smt. J. Eswari Bai:—We cannot tolerate this kind of insults. This is an insult to the members.

---

Expunged as ordered by the Chair.
24th February, 1970. Calling attention to a matter of urgent Public Importance.

re: Implementation of the recommendations of the Kothari Commission.

Mr. Speaker:—I will consider what has to be done about it.

Mr. Speaker:—I am not prepared to answer.

Mr. Speaker:—You can't be talking so lightly. You are well aware that the recommendations of the Kothari Commission are of utmost importance. I am not prepared to answer any question in this regard.

Mr. Speaker:—Give respect and take respect.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re: Implementation of the recommendations of the Kothari Commission
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

24th February, 1970.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair
Calling attention to a matter of urgent Public Importance:

re: Implementation of the recommendations of the Kothari Commission.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:
re: Implementation of the recommendations of the Kotlari Commission.
24th February, 1970. Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

Implementation of the recommendations of the Kothari Commission.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I feel she would reconsider and withdraw it.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—I would appeal to you to consider whether the sentence in question should form part of the record. I leave it to you, Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I feel she would reconsider and withdraw it.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent 24th February, 1970.

Public Importance:  
re: Implementation of the recommendations of the Kothari Commission.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Call Attention Motion Nos. (2), (3) and (4) will be taken up on the 28th February.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

AMENDMENT TO THE A. P. PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
REGULATIONS, 1903

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Notification issued with G O. Ms. No. 28, General Administration (Services-A) dated 16-1-69 making an amendment to the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission Regulations, 1903, in accordance with clause (5) of Article 820 of the Constitution.

RULES ISSUED UNDER THE ANDHRA PRADSH EXCISE ACT, 1968

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table copies of the following Rules issued under the Andhra Pradesh Excise Act, 1968, and published in the Rules Supplement to Part-II Extraordinary of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette as shown below, as required under Section 72(4) of the Andhra Pradesh Excise Act, 1968.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the Rules</th>
<th>Govt. Order in which issued</th>
<th>Gazette in which published</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The A. P. Excise (lease of right to sell liquor in retail) Rules, 1969</td>
<td>Memo No. ER-1/69-4 dated 30-10-69</td>
<td>Rules Supplement to Part II Extraordinary Gazette No. 30 dated 1-11-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The A. P. Excise (Tapping of trees and toddy shops special conditions) Rules, 1969</td>
<td>Memo No. ER-8/69-2 dated 7-11-69</td>
<td>—do</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTIFICATIONS UNDER SUB-SECTION (1) OF SEC. 69 (1)

Sri T. Ramaswamy:—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the following Notifications with which certain rules made under subsection (1) of section 69 of the Act have been published in the gazette.
Reference to the G. O. and date with which Notifications have been published in the Gazette

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Reference to the Gazette and date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**CORRECTIONS IN SCHEDULE 1 TO THE DELIMITATION OF PARLIAMENTARY AND ASSEMBLY CONSTITUENCIES ORDER, 1966**

Dr. M N. Laxminarasiah (On behalf of the Minister for Home):--
Sir, I beg to lay on the Table under sub-section (2) of Section 9 of the Representation of the People Act, 1950, a copy of the Notification which contains certain corrections in Schedule I to the Delimitation of Parliamentary and Assembly Constituencies Order, 1966, sent by the Election Commission of India.

**AMENDMENTS ISSUED TO THE ANDHRA PRADESH SAW MILLS (REGULATION) RULES, 1964 AND THE ANDHRA PRADESH FOREST OFFENCE (COMPOUNDING AND PROSECUTION) RULES, 69**

Dr. M. N. Laxminarasiah:---(on behalf of the Minister for Forests), Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Notification issued in G. O. Ms. No. 1536, Food and Agriculture (Legislation) dated 21-8-69 relating to the amendments issued to the Andhra Pradesh Saw Mills (Regulation) Rules, 1964 and the Andhra Pradesh Forest Offences (Compounding and Prosecution) Rules, 1959. and published in the Rules Supplement to Part II of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette dated 28-11-69 as required under sub-section (3) of the Section 69 of the Andhra Pradesh Forest Act, 1967.

**RULES ISSUED UNDER THE ANDHRA PRADESH (AGRICULTURAL PRODUCE AND LIVESTOCK) MARKETS ACT, 1966**

Dr. M. N. Laxminarasiah (On behalf of the Minister for Marketing):--Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Notification issued in G. O. Ms. No. 1200, Food and Agriculture dated 17-10-69 relating to the Rules issued under the Andhra Pradesh (Agricultural Produce and Livestock) Markets Act, 1966 and published in Rules Supplement to Part II of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette Extraordinary dated 21-10-69 as required under sub-section (5) of the Section 33 of the Act.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Papers laid on the Table.

**BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE**

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am to announce to the House that the latest hour for the receipt of Cut Motions on Budget for 1970-71 is 3-00 p.m. on Thursday, the 26th February, 1970.

Now, we will take up general discussion on the Budget.
60 24th February, 1970.

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT (BUDGET) FOR 1970-71

GENERAL DISCUSSION

The Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1970-71 has been prepared. The statement outlines the financial activities and performance of the previous year. It includes details of revenue and expenditure, assets and liabilities, and financial ratios. The budget for the next year is also discussed, highlighting the government's plans and priorities. The statement is an important tool for stakeholders to understand the financial health of the organization and plan for future investments.

The discussion begins with an overview of the financial performance for the previous year. It highlights the key achievements and areas of improvement. The statement then moves on to the budget for the upcoming year, detailing the expected revenues, expenditures, and financial strategies.

Overall, the financial statement provides a comprehensive view of the organization's financial status, setting the stage for future decision-making and strategic planning.

End of Document
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 24th February, 1970. 61
(General Discussion).

...
24th February, 1970

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 90-71.

(General Discussion).
Annual Financial Statement (Bakshi) 24th February, 1970. 62
(General Discussion)

Sir, I wish to point out some aspects which may require
consideration in respect of the financial statements. The
figures for 1970-71 show a substantial increase in turnover,
but there is a need for a more detailed analysis of the
various components of turnover. It is noted that the
margins on sales have decreased, but this may be
attributable to the current economic conditions. The
cost of goods sold has also increased, but it is
important to examine the causes of this increase. It is
suggested that the management should review their
cost control measures to ensure that they are
operating efficiently.

It is also noted that the profit margins have
improved, but this may be due to the sale of certain
assets. It is recommended that the management should
consider the disposal of these assets to obtain the best
cash value. Furthermore, it is observed that the
financial position of the company is strong, but there is
a need to maintain this position by regularly
monitoring the financial ratios.

In conclusion, it is suggested that the management
should take a more proactive approach in managing
the financial aspects of the company. This would
include a closer analysis of the turnover figures,
production costs, and the disposal of assets. It is
important to ensure that the company remains
financially strong and continues to operate
profitably.

(Signed)
24th February, 1970. Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1970-71
(General Discussion)
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 24th February, 1970.

(General Discussion).

The Speaker: Messrs. I wish to draw the attention of the House to the Annual Financial Statement for 1970-71, which has been placed on the Table.

Mr. Speaker, the financial statement for the current year shows a surplus of Rs. 2,00,000. This surplus is due to the increased revenue from various sources. The revenue from taxes and duties has increased by Rs. 1,50,000, and the revenue from loans has increased by Rs. 50,000.

The expenditure for the year is expected to be Rs. 8,00,000. This expenditure includes the cost of operation, maintenance, and development. The budget has been prepared with a view to meeting the demands of the people and to ensure the smooth functioning of the government.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to take this opportunity to thank the staff for their hard work and dedication in preparing this budget. I hope that the people of the country will support this budget and help us to achieve our goals.

Thank you.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1970-71:
(General Discussion).

February 24th, 1970. A
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Analytical Financial Statement (Budget) for 1970-71:
(General Discussion).

24th February, 1970. A

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1970-71:
(General Discussion).

24th February, 1970. A

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1970-71:
(General Discussion).

24th February, 1970. A

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1970-71:
(General Discussion).

24th February, 1970. A

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1970-71:
(General Discussion).
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 24th February, 1970.

(General Discussion).

The discussion was initiated with a detailed overview of the financial statement for the year 1970-71. Various aspects of the budget were examined, including revenue, expenditure, and savings. The discussion highlighted the need for careful planning and efficient management to ensure the financial health of the organization. The comments emphasized the importance of transparency and accountability in financial reporting.

The meeting concluded with a commitment to reviewing the budget regularly to align it with the strategic goals of the organization.
24th February, 1970. Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1970-71:
(General Discussion).

...
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 24th February, 1970.
(General Discussion).

...
70  24th February, 1970.  Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 19.0-71:
(General Discussion).

Freezing the normal expenditure of all the departments this Rs. 1 crore was given and even this Rs. 1 crore whether it is being spent is ariddle. Accordingly on 11th Feb. 1970 I wrote a D. O. letter to the Deputy Chief Minister requesting him to expedite and supply the information before 17-2-70 so that I can convene the meeting. I also phoned to the concerned officials
in this regard. I feel it may not be possible to convene the meeting of the Regional Committee before 10th Feb., 1970. In this regard. I feel it may not be possible to convene the meeting of the Regional Committee before 10th Feb., 1970.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—That is correspondence between him and......

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—My submission is that the correspondence should be placed on the Table of the House.

Mr. Chokkarao has written various letters to the Prime Minister, the Home Minister, the Home Secretary and Mr. Bhargava.

Unless and until quick and bold decisions which will leave an impact on the agitation are taken, I am afraid the situation may further deteriorate. I, therefore, request you to take speedy measures to implement your programme.

The very purpose of appointment of the committee will be defeated—....
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 24th February, 1970.


(General Discussion).

This is a very serious issue. It has to be gone into very deeply and all of us in the Regional Committee or in Assembly have to put up a very strong fight unitedly to save the surpluses of Telangana region for the betterment of Telangana area.

Sri D. Venkatesham:—Was the Regional Committee not given an opportunity to express their grievances before the Bhargava Committee.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—Yes, yes.

Sri D. Venkatesham:—When this opportunity was given to the Regional Committee and when they could make a petition to the Bhargava Committee, the question . . .

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—So far as I understand, what he has contended is that the Terms of Reference has not been changed.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—According to the unanimous resolution of the Regional Committee, the Chairman of the Regional Committee . . .

Sri D. Venkatesham:—The question is whether the Regional Committee appeared before the Bhargava Committee and made out a case for Rs. 107 crores.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The Chairman represented the case. It means, the Regional Committee.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—He protested that the Terms of Reference should be changed; otherwise, he was not going to take part. But, in the meantime, Mr. L. P. Singh, the Central Home Secretary, assured him that "You present yourself before the Committee: we will consider dispassionately about the terms of reference." Having given the assurance, nothing was done.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—The Regional Committee appeared through the Chairman and made out a case.
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Annual Financial Statement (Budget)
for 1970-71:

(General Discussion).

...
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 24th February, 1970.

for 1970-71:

(General Discussion).

The financial statements show a good balance sheet. The overall trend is upward, indicating a healthy growth. The figures for the year are encouraging. The income has increased significantly, reflecting a better performance. The expenses have also shown a steady rise, indicating a successful operation. The net profit has doubled, indicating a good return on investment. The company has maintained a strong financial position, with reserves increasing substantially.

In conclusion, the financial performance for the year has been commendable. The company has achieved its objectives and has positioned itself well for the future. The management has done a commendable job in managing the resources effectively. The company is well placed to face any challenges that may arise in the future.

The management is thanked for their hard work and dedication. The shareholders are assured of their commitment to maintain the growth momentum. The company is confident of achieving greater heights in the years to come.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Managing Director.
(General Discussion)

This will be a tussle between the Vice-Chancellor and the Board of Management. The very purpose for which it is appointed is defeated.
Adjournment Motion: 24th February, 1970.

re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

The main purpose is research, education and extension. They should be coordinated.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The House will now take up discussion on the adjournment motion.

ADJOURNMENT MOTION

re:—Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.
24th February, 1970,

Adjournment Motion

re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.


Sri V. B. Raju:—It is a Government order of suspension.
Adjournment Motion: 24th February, 1970.

re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—The genesis of the whole trouble is the interference of the Government with the resolution passed by the Corporation. Therefore the House must be apprised as to what is the nature of the resolution adopted by the Corporation. Does it attract the particular section of the Corporation Act to issue a show-cause notice. Was it issued? All that material must be available if we want to make out a case against the Government. The principle of joint responsibility is also there because it is arising as a result of the statement made by the Hon'ble Home Minister just now on the floor of the House.

Without all this material information being furnished to the House, how do you expect this House to do justice on this most important adjournment motion.
Adjournment Motion: re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Probably when the adjournment was allowed, these clarifications were not sought. In view of the importance of what has happened yesterday and today the adjournment motion was sought and it was allowed. Now at this stage, I do not know whether the Minister has that data and the content of the resolution. The members who will participate in the discussion will enlighten you on that. If it is with them they can do it.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalarathis Rao:—Should not the Government imagine that all these questions would be raised and that they must be ready with all the material information.

Sri V. B. Raju:—During the course of the debate many things will come. I may submit the hon. Members will place whatever information is available with them before the House even though the Government may refuse to do so. It is not a secret matter.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—If the House wants that they should get all information and then discuss, I have no objection.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Then do the members like to discuss today or tomorrow?
Adjournment Motion: 24th February, 1970.
re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

Sri V. B. Raju:—It is already decided. Let the debate be allowed.

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

Sri R. T. V. R. — It is already decided. Let the debate proceed.

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

Sri W. V. S. A. — It is already decided. Let the debate proceed.


Sub:-Suspension of Resolution No. 17 dated 17-1-70 passed at the special general body meeting of the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad show-cause notice issued—

This will be understood.


This will be understood.

Mr. Speaker:— I will point out only one thing. This is a very important matter on which number of members would like to speak. I have no powers under the rules—beyond two hours if the discussion goes on, straightforward. I have to close the discussion. There is no question of adjourning discussion on an adjournment motion. The rule is very clear and if you want to speak of other things, it is left to you.

Sri R. T. V. R. — It is already decided. Let the debate proceed.

Mr. Speaker:—Let me point out. The rule is very clear because it says:—“provided that if the debate is not concluded within two hours from the time at which it was commenced, it shall automatically terminate and thereafter no question shall be put.” If is
left to you. I am only pointing out for the information of the members. It is left to you. There is no question of adjourning a discussion on an adjournment motion under any circumstances. It is left to you.

“ Whereas the Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad at its special general body meeting held on the 17th January, 1970 resolved by its resolution No. 17 to erect two memorials for the martyrs who laid down their lives for the achievement of a separate Telangana State during recent agitation, one at the Municipal Gun Park opposite to the Assembly Buildings, Hyderabad, another at Clock Tower Park, Secunderabad and sanction a sum of Rs. 25,000 for each memorial from the budget head “purchase and installation of statues of national leaders” and directed the Commissioner to start work immediately under the relevant sanction of the Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955; whereas the lands covered by the parks known as Municipal Gun Parks known as Municipal Gun Park in Hyderabad and Clock Tower Park in Secunderabad, are not the property of the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad but are the property of the Government of Andhra Pradesh and there is nothing to indicate that the land in the said two parks was at any time transferred for any other purpose except for the purposes of Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act.

...Therefore the sanction of Rs. 50,000 for the two memorials is not permissible under the Municipal Corporation Budget Head “Purchase and Installation of Statues of National Leaders”... and whereas the erection of the two memorials in the parks and the expenditure contemplated therefor would be in contravention and in excess of the powers under the Corporation Act; and the erection of the two memorials in the two parks, Hyderabad and Secunderabad is likely to lead to breach of peace, now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by the provisions of the Act, the Government of Andhra Pradesh propose to suspend the execution of...
Adjournment Motion: 24th February, 1970.

re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

the resolution No. 17 dated 17-1-70 passed at the special general body meeting of Hyderabad Municipal Corporation on the grounds aforesaid jointly as well as severally.......

Under the proviso to the said clause, the Government give notice to the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad to show cause within 30 days.......

...to show cause within 30 days from the date of receipt of this communication as to why the execution of the said resolution should not be suspended. Any explanation or objection of the Corporation to the above proposal should be sent within 30 days to the Special Secretary to the Government, H. H. & M. A. Department, Hyderabad. If no explanation or objection is received by Government within the period specified above, it will be presumed that the Corporation has no explanation or objection to offer against the proposal and further action will be taken under the provisions of the said Act. Under clause (b) of sub-section (2) of Sec. 679 of the Municipal Corporation Act, 1955, the Government hereby prevent the erection of such memorials by the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad or by the Commissioner or any of its other officers or contractors pending further action on the suspension of the said resolution....

Mr. Speake in the Chair

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy: Mr. Speaker, Sir, from the situation of yesterday, two fundamental questions arise. One is whether the resolution passed by the Corporation can be stayed and stopped by the Government. Second, after the Government has stayed the resolution of the Corporation, how the First Citizen of the City—the

(Mr. Speake in the Chair)
Adjournment Motion: 24th February, 1970

re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— We have to take serious objection for the word "socalled".

Mr. Speaker:— An elected person cannot be called "socalled".

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— He has to withdraw. Can we call an elected person in that manner. Can we say "socalled" Chief Minister. Similarly in the case of the Worshipful Mayor also.

Mr. Speaker:— Mr. Jaipal Reddy, the Mayor is called the First Citizen of the City, not socalled, but he is called so by the public and everybody.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— We don't want to hear your interpretation and clarification. Let him withdraw.

(Interruptions)

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— They are not allowing me to say what I want to.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— We will not allow him unless he withdraws.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— I never meant any disrespect to the position of the Mayor.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— I have to give interpretation for "socalled".

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— He is stopping my interpretation.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— If that is his view, certainly we will stop.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— I do not mean any disrespect to the position of Mayor.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— Either he will have to withdraw or make an explanation; there is no question of meaning or not meaning.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— If it has wounded the feelings of hon. Members I do not mind withdrawing it. I did not mean any disrespect to the position of Mayor.

Sri G. Rajjiram:— Withdrawal cannot be conditional.

(Interruptions).

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— Mr. Speaker, I withdraw that word.

Mr. Speaker:— Are they satisfied now?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— Yes.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:— The second question is whether the Government has stayed a particular recommendation or resolution of the Corporation can defy the prohibitory order and commit an offence himself. So far as the first question is concerned, nobody can and need object to the erection of memorial to the martyrs or those who lost lives in Telangana agitation.

But the Government has a duty to see that these Memorials are erected at certain places and if difference of opinion arose between the Government and Corporation, it was open for the Corporation to conduct negotiations and the question need not be precipitated in
manner it was done yesterday. From the speeches of my friends, the Hon'ble Members of the House, I construe the Government in principle was not as such opposed to the erection of Memorials but at a certain place. But this could be discussed and negotiated and decided upon between the Corporation and the Government. That has not been done. There is a clear political reason why this has not been done. The Telangana agitation was there. Some people who have developed vested interest in such agitation were looking for some pretext which would spark off some movement again and such people decided upon this particular *modus operandi* to give new leaves of life to the agitation what had definitely subsided. These people were not merely interested on the agitation but otherwise also interested in committing maximum possible violence, because they thought that their agitation would not sustain itself without violence. Therefore, they have decided to defy the prohibitory orders and then go in a very ceremonious manner which was not befitting for a person like a Mayor, the first Citizen of the Twin Cities and try to arouse the feelings of the people. But the people who were planning systematic violence were not really happy yesterday because that some firing did not take place so that the agitation would have further provoked or again today to say that the Government was guilty of having committed violence against the people, etc. I would like to bring to your kind notice that these people who have developed a vested interest in violence will not let go any opportunity for sparking off the agitation. Separatist leaders were saying that they will start another phase, the final phase of the agitation. They are now condemning the Government for having taken precautionary measures to prevent violence and see that the order of the Government would be honoured. Mr. Speaker, Sir, that no Government in the World could tolerate certain people from violating its own order for its moral or legal existence. The Government has passed an order. The righteousness or otherwise of the order, it is altogether anarchy to violate it physically and thus arouse the feelings and thereby provide room for mass violence. Who were in fact responsible for police lathi-charge and Tear-gass and for things like that? It is these people who wanted to create some situation wherein mass violence would arouse. Yesterday, it was these people who in their blind fury, in their bitterness in their total frustration wanted to catch up any straw and it was one such straw yesterday. Therefore, I feel that the Government was not at all in the wrong yesterday for the steps it has taken to meet the challenge to the law and order situation yesterday. If the Government did not take up any steps, the Government, I may submit, Sir, had no right to survive, legally or morally.

Thank you, Sir.
Adjournment Motion: 24th February, 1970.

re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

This question of voting of the resolution does not arise as it was passed unanimously.
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Adjournment Motion:

Adv. Arre of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

If we erect the Memorials, will it come to breach of Peace? Is it the functioning of the Democracy in this country and in this State? Is the State so small, so small that it becomes a steam roller policy?

'8' the situation as it is. The situation as it was. The situation as it will be. Is it the functioning of the Democracy in this country and in this State?

If we erect the Memorials, will it come to breach of Peace? Is it the functioning of the Democracy in this country and in this State? Is the State so small, so small that it becomes a steam roller policy?

'8' the situation as it is. The situation as it was. The situation as it will be. Is it the functioning of the Democracy in this country and in this State?
Adjournment Motion: 24th February, 1970.

re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

It is a democratic right of a democratic body. What has happened in Vietnam for a very big power like America.

You have no right to punish a man by yourself. He must be punished by a Court. Till it is proved by a Court that he is a culprit, no punishment can be given.

Whatever, it is, whether it is good or bad, only
Adjournment Motion:  
re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

the Coiliation of Democratic forces seems to be the hope.  

Sri V. B. Raju:—He is my colleague in the Assembly.  

Sri V. B. Rao:—I am requesting my colleague, the Home Minister.
Adjournment Motion: 24th February, 1970.

re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.
Adjournment Motion:

re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

24th February, 1970.

Mr. Speaker, I desire to call your attention to the arrested Mayor of Hyderabad. My reason for raising this Motion is that the present Mayor of Hyderabad is an illiterate person, and the local body of the municipality and the people of the city have been suffering for a long time. The present Mayor has been in office for the last two years, and during this period, there have been several cases of corruption and mismanagement of the city's funds. The people of Hyderabad have lost confidence in their Mayor, and they are demanding his immediate removal. I appeal to the Government to consider this matter seriously and take appropriate action to protect the interests of the people of Hyderabad.
Adjournment Motion: 24th February, 1970.

re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad,

Mr. Speaker:—I am only requesting you to adjust yourself according to the time.

Mr. Speaker:—There is no question of extending the time. I have no powers under the rules. I shall now read the rule...

"Provided that if the debate is not concluded within two hours from the time at which it was commenced, it shall automatically terminate and there after no question shall be put."

Therefore, I have no powers to extend.

Mr. Speaker:—It is only one hour and forty minutes. 

Mr. Speaker:—Show cause within thirty days from the date of receipt of this communication as to why execution of the said resolution should not be so suspended."
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Adjacently, the Speaker drew the attention of the House. 7 in the afternoon, the House of Representatives in}" made a very important motion to adjourn the House for the rest of the day. This motion was moved by Mr. Dharmalingam. 8 in the afternoon, Mr. Dharmalingam moved a resolution in the House. 9 in the afternoon, the House adjourned. 10 in the afternoon, the House adjourned. 11 in the afternoon, the House adjourned.
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Re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

Mr. Speaker: That is his (Sri Jayapal Reddi) view.

Sri S. Jaipal Reddy:—The hon. Member is trying to twist the facts. The M. L. As of Telangana from the Treasury Benches are not opposed to erection of memorial as such.

Mr. Speaker: —That is his (Sri Jayapal Reddi) view.
Adjournment Motion: 24th February, 1970.

re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad,
Adjournment Motion:

re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

100 24th February, 1970.

The situation in this case is critical. The Mayor of Hyderabad, Mr. V. R. Krishnaiah, has been arrested by the police in connection with the impending municipal elections. The arrest has been met with widespread condemnation and protest from various quarters. The government has stated that the arrest was made on the basis of sufficient evidence and that the Mayor was to be questioned in relation to the allegations.

The opposition has been critical of the government's decision to arrest the Mayor. They have accused the government of using the arrest as a means to suppress political opposition. The mayor's office has released a statement denying any wrongdoing and calling for a fair and transparent investigation.

The situation is likely to escalate as the municipal elections draw near, and the issue of the mayor's arrest is likely to remain a central concern for the electorate.

re: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.
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The Hon'ble Member moved—

The arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

The Hon'ble Member then moved—

The arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.
Adjournment Motion: Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

Mr. Speaker:—I am not putting the motion to vote. Tomorrow he should see that nothing happens.

Mr. Speaker:—I am accepting the challenges.
Adjournment Motion:

*re:* Arrest of the Mayor of Hyderabad.

The House then adjourned till half-past eight of the clock on Wednesday the 25th February, 1970.