ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
Thursday, the 25th September, 1966.
The House met at Half Past Eight of the Clock.
(Mr Speaker in the Chair)
ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

FACILITIES TO THE CHILDREN OF PRISONERS

751—

*108 (2230) Q.—Sri Dhanenkula Narasimham (Udayagiri):— Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state

(a) whether any facilities are being provided during 1966-67 and 1967-68 to the children of the persons undergoing imprisonment,

(b) if so, what are they; and

(c) the amount of expenditure incurred thereon during 1966-67 and 1967-68?

The Minister for Education deputised the Chief Minister and answered the question (Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao):—(a) In the year 1966-67 a scheme was originally drawn by the Central Bureau of Correctional services New Delhi to provide facilities to the children of women prisoners who are permitted to stay with their mothers and it was actually implemented during the year 1967-68.

(b) The following facilities have been provided for the welfare of such children.

(i) Staff nurses and convict Ayahs are appointed to look after them.

(ii) Bath, meals and milk are being given daily at regular hours.

(iii) The children are provided with mats, covers, bed sheets and pillows.

(iv) In addition playing material are also provided.
Oral Answers to Questions.

(6) During the year 1966-67 no expenditure was incurred as the above scheme was not implemented. The following are the details of the expenditure incurred during 1967-68.

(a) Central Jail Hyderabad .. Rs. 3,138.78
(b) State Jail for women at Rajahmundry .. Rs. 3,296.69

(b) 1966-67

(a) Central Jail Hyderabad .. Rs. 3,138.78
(b) State Jail for women at Rajahmundry .. Rs. 3,296.69

(b) 1967-68

1. Central Jail Hyderabad .. Rs. 3,198.73
2. State Jail for women at Rajahmundry .. Rs. 3,296.69

(c) 1966-67

1. Central Jail Hyderabad .. Rs. 3,138.78
2. State Jail for women at Rajahmundry .. Rs. 3,296.69

(d) 1967-68

1. Central Jail Hyderabad .. Rs. 3,198.73
2. State Jail for women at Rajahmundry .. Rs. 3,296.69

(e) 1968-69

1. Central Jail Hyderabad .. Rs. 3,296.69
2. State Jail for women at Rajahmundry .. Rs. 3,296.69

(f) 1969-70

1. Central Jail Hyderabad .. Rs. 3,296.69
2. State Jail for women at Rajahmundry .. Rs. 3,296.69
Oral Answers to Questions. 25th September, 1910. 6:3

That aspect has not been covered in the answer, Sir. I will get that information.

Mr. Biju:—The strength of children during 1967-68 in Rajahmundry jail was 54. Now, at present, it is only 10. The strength of children in Hyderabad Jail during 1967-68 was 15. At present, it is 10.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Children will have to stay with the same class as the mother.

Mr. Dev:—A week ago the jail authorities have apprehended some children, how many children were apprehended?

Mr. Biju:—Some children were apprehended last week.

Mr. Dev:—This is a serious matter. We are actually going to establish creches in industrial establishments.

Sri B. C. (Mid-Joco):—Are the Police and the Jail authorities accepting this responsibility?

Mr. Biju:—Yes, the Police and the Jail authorities are accepting this responsibility.

Sri P.V. Narasimha Rao.—I have no information, Sir. 

Sri T. Purushothama Rao.—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the name of the present president of the Large Scale Co-operative Society, Nela Kondapalli, Khammam district,

(b) whether the said Society is functioning at present,

(c) whether the accounts of the Society have been audited;

(d) whether it is a fact that the present and former president of the said society have misappropriated the society funds;

(e) the amount due from both of them to this society; and

(f) the action taken so far?

The Deputy Chief Minister deputised the Chief Minister and answered the questions (Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao);—(a) The Society at Nela Kondapalli is Co-operative Rural Bank, and not large sized Society as such. The name of the present president of the said bank is Sri Kommineni Anantaramiah.

(b) The Co-operative Rural Bank is functioning at present.

(c) The accounts of the Nela Kondapalli Rural Bank have been audited upto the year 1959-60.

(d) It is reported that the President, Sri Kommineni Anantaramiah, misappropriated the funds of the Rural Bank.
(e) Rs. 5,977-10 is due from the President, Sri Kommineni Ananta Ramaiah.

(f) The Collector (Co-operation) Khammam accorded permission for prosecuting the delinquent and further action is being pursued by him.

1. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

2. Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

JAWAHARNAGAR LAND COLONISATION SOCIETY

753—

*Q.58 (6530) K.—Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaya:—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) whether the Jawaharnagar Co-operative Land Colonisation Society Ltd. (Ex-Services) Malkanur, Medchal taluk, Hyderabad district has allotted land in two phases to its members and whether the land was encroached upon by other members against No. 75/JN/66, dated 21-3-1966 of that Society's allotment to members; and

(b) if so, what was the action taken so far?

Sri J. V. Narasina Rao: — (a) Lands were allotted by the Jawaharnagar Co-operative Land Colonisation Society Ltd., Malkanur to its members in three phases. In the first phase lands were allotted to 34 members during March, 1966, in the Second phase to 37 members during July 1966 and in the third phase to 25 members during August, 1966. A part of the land allotted to 8 members by the Society in the Order No. 75/JN/66/07, dated 21-3-1966, was subsequently re-allotted to four other members in subsequent allotment Orders dated 4-7-1966 and 24-8-1966, as the former failed to develop and cultivate the lands and kept the same fallow. There is, therefore, no question of encroachment of land allotted to some members by the Society in their Order dated 21-3-1966 by the other members.

(b) Does not arise.
Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao:—Since he is not interested in the job.

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao:—Name of the allottee...

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao:—Let him represent in writing.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—He has already represented ten times, or else, this question would not have come. What is the action taken?

DEPUTY PANCHAYAT OFFICERS

The Minister for Panchayati Raj (Sri T. Rama Rao):—(a) With reference to the notification issued by the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission in 1958 for selection of Deputy Panchayat Officers it sent two panels one in November, 1958 and the other in March, 1960.

(b) The former Inspector General of Local Administration issued orders regularising the services of the selected candidates in July, 1960 and July, 1961 from various dates ranging from February, 1959 to August, 1960 keeping in view the Seniority assigned to them in the panels by the Commission.
25th September, 1909.

Oral Answers to Questions.

I. Sri T. Ramaswamy:—Selection by the Services Commission is more important. —

J. Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—I request you to take the probation recommended by the Public Service Commission itself, nothing more, nothing less.

K. Sr. Ramaswamy:—What is it that the hon. Member suggests?

L. Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—I request you to take the probation recommended by the Public Service Commission itself, nothing more, nothing less.

Q. 1. Mr. E. B. (Mr. D.). — In what manner are the question_parent refused?

Mr. I. B. (Mr. D.). — The question_parent refused.

Q. 2. Mr. D. B. (Mr. D.). — Are there any question_parent which can be refused?

Mr. I. B. (Mr. D.). — No, there are not any question_parent which can be refused.

Q. 3. Mr. E. B. (Mr. D.). — How are the question_parent of the courts of justice disposed of?

Mr. I. B. (Mr. D.). — The question_parent of the courts of justice are disposed of in the usual manner.

Q. 4. Mr. D. B. (Mr. D.). — Can any question_parent be refused?

Mr. I. B. (Mr. D.). — No, any question_parent cannot be refused.

Q. 5. Mr. E. B. (Mr. D.). — What is the service rule? It is a service rule. A man who completes his service of two years is automatically declared to have completed probation unless his personal file is adverse.

Sri T. Ramaswamy:—Certainly, Sir, it will be treated as such after completion of two years and it will be taken up for examination. It is out of ignorance. They have called for files from the Collectors and the Collectors have recommended all the cases and they are pending in the Secretariat for the last one year.

First Member, Board of Revenue is always the Seniormost and they are sent to the Secretary. The decision is always taken by the Minister.
WATER SUPPLY TO GUNTUR DISTRICT

(a) The amount allotted to Guntur district towards drinking water supply for the year 1968-69,

(b) The amounts that have been given to various Panchayat Samithis in the district; and

(c) The village-wise amount distributed in Parchoor Panchayat Samithi?

Sri T. Ramaswamy.—(a) An amount of Rs. 96,000 was released to Zilla Parishad, Guntur during the year 1968-69 for construction of drinking water wells in the rural area.

(b) The amounts allotted to various Panchayat Samithis in Guntur District from the said amount of Rs. 96,000 are given below.—

1. Panchayat Samithi Nadendla  17,152
2. Panchayat Samithi Prathipadu  7,700
3. Panchayat Samithi Tyallur  6,438
4. Panchayat Samithi Piduguralla  14,800
5. Panchayat Samithi Rajupalem  6,425
6. Panchayat Samithi Macherla  1,500
7. Panchayat Samithi Gurazala  1,000
### Oral Answers to Questions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Panchayat Samithi</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Ipur</td>
<td>12,569</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Ongole</td>
<td>10,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Phrangiapuram</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Mangalagiri</td>
<td>500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Santhamagulur</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Establishment charges 5% 4,800

Ipurupalem Panchayat 786

**Total:** 96,000

(c) Does not arise as no amount was allotted by the Zilla Parishad, Guntur to Parchoor Panchayat Samithi during 68-69.

Sri T. Ramaswamy—The amount allotted to Guntur towards drinking water supply during the year 1968-69 for construction of drinking water wells is over Rs. 4 lakhs. The figure is Rs. 4.1 crores.

Sri. R. Reddy—Does 10 crores of allotment provide drought relief to 500 villages?

Sri. J. Reddy: 40, 50 villages were allotted, 40, 50 villages were allotted.

Sri. J. Reddy: Information, details, incomplete wells 1500 wells.
Ural Answers to Question. 25th September, 1960.

(a) what quantity of nitrogenous fertilisers of Ammonium sulphate was distributed in 1967-68 and to which agencies was it distributed and on what principles;

(b) whether the demand for Sindri fertilisers is higher, if so, how much quantity of this quality obtained and to whom distributed and on what principles; and

(c) whether it is a fact that the Agriculture Department has an ambitious programme to distribute 11.5 lakhs tonnes of nitrogenous fertilisers in 1968-69, if so, whether Government of India has co-operated with this programme, if not, why?

The Minister for Agriculture (Sri K. Venkataraman) -(a) A quantity of 8.17 lakhs tonnes of Nitrogenous fertilisers in terms of Ammonium Sulphate was distributed in the State during 67-68 through Co-operatives, Agriculture Department, private dealers, agencies of the manufacturers, Coromandel Fertilisers Limited Madras Fertilisers Limited etc A quantity of 2,86,201 Metric tonnes of Ammonium Sulphate was distributed to cultivators during 67-68 through the Co-operatives, Agriculture Department, private dealers.
and agencies of the indigenous manufacturers. These fertilisers were distributed as per orders in G.O. Ms. No. 2044, Food and Agriculture, Dated 16-10-67. According to these orders 70% of State's quota is to be distributed through Co-operatives and 30% by private dealers. The Government of India made allotments to Coromandel Fertilisers Limited and Madras Fertilisers Limited for their seeding programmes. The Government of India have also allowed indigenous manufacturers to market 30% of their produce through their private agencies with effect from 1-10-66 and 50% with effect from 1-10-67 and 70% with effect from 1-10-68.

(b) Yes, Sir. A quantity of 5,000 Metric tonnes of Sindi Ammonium Sulphate was allotted by Government of India in February, 68 from the Central pool on State Government account. This was allotted to four private dealers whose applications were pending at that time. Against free sale quota, a quantity of 4222 Metric tonnes of Ammonium Sulphate was distributed by Messrs Sindi Fertilisers through their agencies in this State.

(c) Yes, Sir. The Government of India co-operated with State Government for the implementation of the programme. The percentage distribution was

- 70% with effect from 1-10-66
- 50% with effect from 1-10-67
- 70% with effect from 1-10-68

(b) No, Sir. 68 tonnes of Sindi Ammonium Sulphate was allotted by Government of India in February, 68 from the Central pool on State Government account. This was allotted to four private dealers whose applications were pending at that time. Against free sale quota, a quantity of 4222 Metric tonnes of Ammonium Sulphate was distributed by Messrs Sindi Fertilisers through their agencies in this State.
Oral Answers to Questions.

25th September, 19-1

1. The Member: Can a 30 acres allot in the Central Forest?
   Response: There is no allot in the Central Forest.

2. The Member: Can a State quota be transferred to the Central Government?
   Response: Yes, a State quota can be transferred to the Central Government under certain conditions.

3. The Member: How many Cooperative societies exist in the private sector?
   Response: There are approximately 1500 cooperative societies in the private sector.

4. The Member: How many Cooperative societies exist in the State?
   Response: There are approximately 1500 cooperative societies in the State.

5. The Member: How many Cooperative societies exist in the Central Forest?
   Response: There are approximately 1500 cooperative societies in the Central Forest.

64ft  25th  September, 1969.

Oral Answers to Questions.

1.  ప్రశ్నాంశం: ఒక జాతికి సమాధ్యం కనిపించడం సంఖ్యలు ఉండటం ప్రత్యేక దృష్టి గంటలు మేలు ప్రారంభించుకోండి?

2.  ప్రశ్నాంశం: ఒక జాతికి సమాధ్యం కనిపించడం సంఖ్యలు ఉండటం ప్రత్యేక దృష్టి గంటలు మేలు ప్రారంభించుకోండి?

3.  ప్రశ్నాంశం: ఒక జాతికి సమాధ్యం కనిపించడం సంఖ్యలు ఉండటం ప్రత్యేక దృష్టి గంటలు మేలు ప్రారంభించుకోండి?

4.  ప్రశ్నాంశం: ఒక జాతికి సమాధ్యం కనిపించడం సంఖ్యలు ఉండటం ప్రత్యేక దృష్టి గంటలు మేలు ప్రారంభించుకోండి?

5.  ప్రశ్నాంశం: ఒక జాతికి సమాధ్యం కనిపించడం సంఖ్యలు ఉండటం ప్రత్యేక దృష్టి గంటలు మేలు ప్రారంభించుకోండి?

1. 18-10-67 S. S. 30% 80% 70% 40%
2. 30-10-67 S. S. 70% 100% 50% 30%
3. 15-10-67 S. S. 40% 60% 80% 70%
4. 20-10-67 S. S. 30% 70% 50% 40%
5. 25-10-67 S. S. 20% 40% 30% 50%
6. 30-10-67 S. S. 40% 60% 80% 70%
7. 15-10-67 S. S. 30% 40% 50% 60%
8. 20-10-67 S. S. 40% 70% 80% 90%
9. 25-10-67 S. S. 20% 30% 40% 50%
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Mr. Speaker, —Yes, you put the question. Let him say whatever he wants.

Mr. Speaker, —The question you put, he has no information. What is the use of pursuing the matter?

Mr. Speaker, —Yes, you put the question. Let him say whatever he wants.

Mr. Speaker, —The question you put, he has no information. What is the use of pursuing the matter?

Mr. Speaker, —Yes, you put the question. Let him say whatever he wants.

Mr. Speaker, —The question you put, he has no information. What is the use of pursuing the matter?

Mr. Speaker, —Yes, you put the question. Let him say whatever he wants.

Mr. Speaker, —The question you put, he has no information. What is the use of pursuing the matter?

Mr. Speaker, —Yes, you put the question. Let him say whatever he wants.

Mr. Speaker, —The question you put, he has no information. What is the use of pursuing the matter?

Mr. Speaker, —Yes, you put the question. Let him say whatever he wants.

Mr. Speaker, —The question you put, he has no information. What is the use of pursuing the matter?

Mr. Speaker, —Yes, you put the question. Let him say whatever he wants.

Mr. Speaker, —The question you put, he has no information. What is the use of pursuing the matter?

Mr. Speaker, —Yes, you put the question. Let him say whatever he wants.

Mr. Speaker, —The question you put, he has no information. What is the use of pursuing the matter?

Mr. Speaker, —Yes, you put the question. Let him say whatever he wants.

Mr. Speaker, —The question you put, he has no information. What is the use of pursuing the matter?

Mr. Speaker, —Yes, you put the question. Let him say whatever he wants.

Mr. Speaker, —The question you put, he has no information. What is the use of pursuing the matter?

Mr. Speaker, —Yes, you put the question. Let him say whatever he wants.

Mr. Speaker, —The question you put, he has no information. What is the use of pursuing the matter?
Mr. Speaker.—Answers for all the other questions except Questions No. 703, 765, 766 and 767 will be laid on the Table of the House.

AGREEMENT BETWEEN T.T.D. AND ITS WORKERS

763—

* 131 (1565) Q.—Sri G. Sivaiah (Puttur) ——Will the hon. Minister for Labour be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is not a fact that an agreement was entered into by the Chairman of the Board of Trustees of Tirumala-Tirupati Devasthanams and its Executive Officer with the representative of the Tirumala-Tirupathi Devasthanam’s Motor Transport Workers’ Union on 21-8-1965 on 24 items relating to the demands of the workers;

(b) if so, whether the agreement is implemented, if not, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether the T.T.D. Transport Workers’ Union, Tirupathi demanded the Management for payment of Bonus from 1963, abolition of N.M.R. System, promotions according to seniority, reinstatement of suspended workers, publication of E.P.F. Accounts, payment of over-time wages, introduction of 8 hours work for the car and lorry drivers, grade system for drivers, conductors and workshopmen etc, and

(d) if so, the action taken by the T.T.D. in this regard?

The Minister for Labour (Sri G. Sanjeeva Reddy) ——(a) The Executive Officer of Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams and Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams Transport Workers’ Union had signed a memorandum of settlement under section 12 (3) of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 on 21-8-63 and not on 21-8-65 on 24 issues.

(b) Yes Sir, except in respect of the demands relating to the application of Road Transport Corporation scales, bonus and filling up of vacancies. The position in respect of these 3 issues is as follows:

1. R.T.C. Scales: The Road Transport Corporation scales have not been implemented in respect of the hammer-man and a tyre-man. The management is reported to have sent a proposal in this regard to the Commissioner Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments, for approval.

2. **Bonus:** This issue was referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad, for adjudication.

3. **Filling up of vacancies:** There are 20 posts of Grade I Drivers in Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams Transport and 17 of them have been filled up. The Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams proposes to upgrade the 17 Grade I posts as Selection Grade posts and to abolish the remaining 8 grade I posts.

(c) Yes, Sir, except introduction of 8 hours work for the Car and Lorry Drivers.

(d) As the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams Transport workers union had given a strike notice on 6-7-60 under section 22 of the Industrial Disputes Act, the Regional Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Guntur intervened and initiated conciliation proceedings. During the conciliation, the union had either dropped or withdrawn all the issues except the issue relating to bonus, which was referred to Industrial Tribunal for adjudication. The award is awaited.

**CHILDREN'S FILMS**

186 Q.—Sri Dhanenkula Narasimham:—Will the hon. Minister for Information and Public Relations be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Janasambandha Shaka produced any Children's Film; if so, the amount allotted in 1968-69 and 1969-70 respectively for the purpose;

(b) the amount spent therein and the number of films produced so far;

(c) whether any Advisory Board has been constituted for the production of Children's Films and if so, the names of the members of the Board, and

(d) the basis on which they have been appointed?

The Minister for Information and Public Relations (Sri A. Vasudeva Rao)—(a) No Children's Film has been produced by the Information & Public Relations Department, so far. Nor any amount has been allotted for the purpose during 1968-69 & 1969-70.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.
ENHANCEMENT OF RICE PRICE BY F.C.I.

766—

992 (1526-X) Q.—Sarvasri N. Raghava Reddy and R Satyanarayana Raju (Narsapur)—Will the hon. Minister for Civil Supplies be pleased to state:

(a) the reasons for enhancing the prices of rice and other food grains by the Food Corporation (F.C.I.) at a time when serious famine conditions are prevailing in the State and 40% of production has come down,

(b) whether the Government will take steps to intervene and reduce the prices in view of the fact that the prices in the fair price shops opened by the Government have been suddenly enhanced by six rupees per quintal, thereby putting a burden on the public,

(c) the difference between the purchasing price and selling price by F.C.I., and

(d) whether steps will be taken to reduce such vast margin?

The Minister for Civil Supplies (Sri A. Sanjeeva Reddy) :-

(a) (b) (c) and (d) A statement is placed on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

Vide Answer to L A.Q. No. 1526-X (Starred) [766]

The Honourable members’ question is presumably with reference to the position obtaining in November 1968. The price of rice was temporarily increased in October, 1968 consequent on the Food Corporation of India’s adding up its margins relating to storage, interest, etc. to the issue price as the stocks had been kept in the godowns for which rent had to be paid by the F.C.I. and as the cost of the stocks

Oral Answers to questions,
purchased by the F.C.I. had been paid by the F.C.I. from funds on which interest was charged to the F.C.I. There was, however, no increase in the price of other food-grains supplied by the F.C.I. during this period.

2. The Government immediately intervened in October, 1968 and reduced the price of coarse rice by eliminating the extra margins of the F.C.I. The Government made separate arrangements for payment of these charges to the F.C.I. by suitable increase in the prices of fine and superfine rice. This did not affect the deficit areas as coarse rice was being supplied to the fair price shops in those pockets. Millet was sold at a low rate and the sale price of Madhya Pradesh Jowar was actually subsidised by Rs 6 per quintal.

3. The purchase price and selling price of rice by F.C.I. as existed in November, 1968 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Fine Rice)</th>
<th>Ex-Mill F.C.I.'s Price</th>
<th>F.C.I.'s Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. (a) In the rationed area of Hyd'bad and Sec'bad.</td>
<td>Rs P. 86-42</td>
<td>106-65 20-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) In the rationed area of Visakhapatnament town.</td>
<td>86-42</td>
<td>106-65 20-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Rice procured in one district and released in another district.</td>
<td>86-42</td>
<td>106-65 20-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Rice procured and released in the same district.</td>
<td>86-42</td>
<td>106-65 20-23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Coarse Rice)</th>
<th>Ex-Mill F.C.I.'s Price</th>
<th>F.C.I.'s Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. (a) In the rationed area of Hyd'bad and Sec'bad.</td>
<td>Rs P. 72-69</td>
<td>83-23 10-54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) In the rationed area of Visakhapatnament town.</td>
<td>72-69</td>
<td>83-28 10-54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Rice procured in one district and released in another district.</td>
<td>72-69</td>
<td>84-45 11-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Rice procured and released in the same district.</td>
<td>72-69</td>
<td>82-88 10-19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. The Government have taken steps to reduce the margins of the F.C.I. A committee of Officers was appointed to go into the question and in the light of the report of this Committee, the Government have passed orders recently reducing the margins of the Food Corporation of India with effect from 1-11-1968.
Oral Answers to Question.

25th September, 1919.

Sir, a question in regard to the Constitutional Reforms Committee's recommendation about the inclusion of Oudh in the province of Bihar, is in order. The Committee has recommended the inclusion of Oudh in the province of Bihar. It is for the House to decide whether to accept the recommendation or not. It is also for the House to decide whether to allow the question hour to be extended or not. Already the time is over. I am prepared to allow but if it is a question of allowing one member I must allow all the others. I am only bringing to the notice of the House that question hour is over. If you want me to devote some more time for supplementaries I have no objection. It is for the House to decide. Every day I have been appealing to the members to be brief in putting supplementaries—not to make lengthy statements. But nobody is following. What am I to do?

Sir, the question in regard to the inclusion of Oudh in the province of Bihar is not in order. The time is over. I am prepared to allow but if it is a question of allowing one member I must allow all the others. I am only bringing to the notice of the House that question hour is over. If you want me to devote some more time for supplementaries I have no objection. It is for the House to decide. Every day I have been appealing to the members to be brief in putting supplementaries—not to make lengthy statements. But nobody is following. What am I to do?

Sir, the question in regard to the inclusion of Oudh in the province of Bihar is not in order. The time is over. I am prepared to allow but if it is a question of allowing one member I must allow all the others. I am only bringing to the notice of the House that question hour is over. If you want me to devote some more time for supplementaries I have no objection. It is for the House to decide. Every day I have been appealing to the members to be brief in putting supplementaries—not to make lengthy statements. But nobody is following. What am I to do?
Mr. Speaker :- Already we have exceeded by 10 minutes. There is one more question and there are 3 short notice questions. If you want, I don’t mind allowing half-an-hour discussion from 1.30 to 2 p.m. I do not deny the importance of the subject I am submitting to the House that the time is already over. I have still got 4 more questions.
Sri N. Raghava Reddy:—Half-an-hour discussion may be allowed
Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—Yes, today itself from 1-30 to 2 p.m.

GROUND WATER SURVEY IN RAYALASCEMA

767—

*1495 Q.—Sri P. O. Satyanarayana Raju (Yemmiganur):—Will the hon. Minister for Marketing be pleased to state

(a) whether there are proposals before the Government to conduct Ground Water Survey in the Rayalasceema districts as part of Famine Eradication Programme;

(b) if so, when is it likely to be taken up;

(c) whether our Government sought any Central Aid; and

(d) if so, the quantum of aid sought?

The Minister for Marketing (Sri Ramachandra Rao Kalyani):—

(a) & (b) No, Sir, not specifically under famine eradication. But the Geological Survey of India has been carrying out since 1963 ground water investigations in parts of Rayalasceema. The investigations have been intensified since a year in drought affected taluks of Rayalasceema. The Government have, however sanctioned a 'Scheme for survey and investigation of ground water Resources in the Andhra Pradesh State', which envisages extensive and intensive survey among others, in the four Rayalasceema districts of Cuddapah, Kurnool, Chittoor and Anantapur also. Apart from this, Madanapalle, Vayalapadu and Palamaner Taluks in Chittoor district and Badvel and Rajampet taluks in Cuddapah District, have been proposed for survey by the Geological Survey of India during their field season 1969-70.

(c) No, Sir, 'The scheme for Survey and investigation of Ground Water Resources in Andhra Pradesh State' was a Centrally sponsored scheme. But, it was deleted from the list of Centrally sponsored schemes during 1969-70. Hence the scheme is included in the State Plan and funds are being met from the State Plan Budget during 1969-70.

(d) Does not arise.

Sri G. Siviah:—Sir, the hon. Minister said that there are no proposals before the Government to conduct ground water survey in Rayalasceema district. Then, is there any other proposal before the government to survey this ground water in any form they like to solve the problem there? If not what are they going to do?

† లేదా వేస్తే యుద్ధం కేమనం?

† వేస్తే ప్రధాని యుద్ధం కేమనం?
SHORI NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
DEFINITIONS OF PERSONS UNDER P. D. ACT

S.N.Q No. * 1728-V Q. Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji (Bhongir)
-Wll bno the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
Short Notice Questions and Answers. 25th September, 1969 639

(a) the names of the persons detained under the Preventive Detention Act from 24th June, to this date, together with their addresses and dates of arrest and if released on what basis and on which date;

(b) what are the grounds of detention in each case;

(c) what was the justification in continuing arrests and detention of persons after 25th August, 1969 on the grounds similar to the grounds invalided by High Court in other cases, and

(d) please give the names of the persons together with their addresses against whom orders of arrest and detentions under Preventive Detention Act are pending but they were not yet arrested: what are the grounds of detention in each case; and since which date the orders of arrest is pending in each case?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— (a) 205 persons were arrested in connection with Telangana agitation and 36 persons were arrested in connection with the Naxalite activities since 24th June, 1960. It would not be commensurate with the public interest to relate the names, addresses, the dates of arrest and the dates and authority of release of all the above persons. Briefly it could, therefore, be stated that orders of detention in respect of 11 persons were revoked sui-motu by Government, 64 persons were released (actually 64 but excluding the following 7 names:

1. Sri Ramakrisan Dhoot
2. Sri Erram Rajaiah
3. Sri M. Jangaiah
4. Sri (Dr.) Gopal Kishan
5. Sri G. Raja Ram
6. Sri R. Bhoom Rao and
7. Sri M Srinivasa Rao

recommended for release by the Advisory Board, on the basis of which the Government first took action, only 57) by the High Court and 39 persons were released on the basis of the advice of the Advisory Board. The above includes 21 cases where the persons were not arrested in pursuance of the orders of detention. Six persons detained in connection with Naxalite activities during this period, have been ordered to be released on the advice of the Advisory Board.

(b) 227 orders relate to the detention of persons connected with Telangana agitation on the ground that they organised demonstrations with a view to paralyse the administration and or incited the people to indulge in arson, loot and destruction of public and private property,

45 persons were ordered to be detained in connection with the Naxalite activities mainly on the ground that they propagated armed revolt against the Government and instigated the people to resort to violent activities like murders, loot etc., and engineered or participated in such unlawful activities.

The time and labour involved in recounting the detailed grounds of detention in all these cases would not be commensurate with the public interest served thereby.

(c) The Government have revoked all orders of detention in respect of persons connected with Telangana agitation on 5th September, 1969 and therefore, the question does not arise.

(d) The following nine persons were ordered to be detained by the District Magistrates of Andhra Pradesh with reference to the Naxalite activities indulged in by them, have yet to be arrested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date of detention order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>N Ramachandran</td>
<td>2-8-1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Mandlu Pandla Subba Reddy</td>
<td>2-8-1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>A C Venkat Reddy</td>
<td>2-8-1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Tippani Venkata Rao @ T V. @ T. Venkateswarlu</td>
<td>26-7-1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Dame Chanchaliah</td>
<td>26-7-1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>A P Vajravelu Chetty</td>
<td>26-7-1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Y Kasipathi</td>
<td>31-7-1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>K Ramakrishna Reddy</td>
<td>31-7-1960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The grounds of detention in respect of the above have been briefly indicated in reply to Part (b) of the question.
Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—I wanted to know why the copies have not been placed which are already produced in the High Court also.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Individual notices have been served.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—Excuse me. The charge is that it was stently typed allegations prepared with the male fide attitude to arrest the people, with an ulterior motive. The charge was made against the Chief Minister and the other persons in the High Court. The Chief Minister had not dared enough to deny the charges levelled in the High Court, and therefore, the Members of the House would be in a better position to understand how the Preventive Detention was misused by the Government. Hence they know the details of the detention there. There are only cyclo-styled copies. Therefore, there is no difficulty for them to place before the House.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—What is the use of these wild allegations?

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—No. It is in the court. I have not levelled any allegations.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—The grounds of detention were served on the individual detenues. There is no question of wasting the time of this House by giving all those. Whether the cause of grounds of detention are similar in some cases or dissimilar in some cases, is for the detaining authority to say so.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—When the further arrests were made after the High Court's decision rejecting the grounds?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—The Government have revoked all orders of detention in respect of persons connected with Telengana agitation on 5th September, 1969 and therefore, the question does not arise.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—No. No, Sir. I am sorry. My question is very specific. I gave my question perhaps on the 3rd or the 4th. Actually 25th was the order of the High Court. After 25th, i.e., before it is revoked, how many arrests were made under Preventive Detention Act on the similar grounds. That is my question. Why there is an evasive reply or answer?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—No, Sir. I want to know, if there were some detentions after 25th August, certainly Sir, I have no information just now—I can give it in a short time, as to how many persons were detained.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—But my question is very clear and specific.
25th September, 1969

Short Notice Questions and Answers.

Six persons detained in connection with Naxalite activities during this period has been ordered to be released on the advice of the Advisory Board. The Advisory Body presided over by High Court Judge and two other members are there. I think, there are three. They look into all these things and then tender some advice. On the advice Government acts.

The Advisory Body reviewed the cases of the persons and submitted their report to the Government. The report was sanctioned to release these persons on the advice of the Advisory Board.

1. ఏమిదిది నిర్ధారించండి: కాదను. నిమిషాల సత్యనాయక అని
ప్రతిభాదారిగా ఉపయోగించవచ్చు. అంశాలు సాధారణ వైపుల్లోను చేయవచ్చు.

2. డీర్ సాంస్కృతిక నిర్ధారించ పని చేసాడు. సంస్కృతిక సమస్యలను అందుకునే సాధారణం విషయాలు అంటే చూశాడు. ప్రతి నిమిషాలు అందుకునే ప్రయత్నాలు కూడా చేసాడు. అంశాలు సాధారణ వైపుల్లోను చేయవచ్చు.

3. ఒక సాంస్కృతిక సమస్యనిర్ధారించ పని చేసాడు. సంస్కృతి పండ్యకు అంతే సమస్యలను అంటే చూశాడు. ప్రతి నిమిషాలు అంతే సమస్యలను చేసాడు. అంశాలు సాధారణ వైపుల్లోను చేయవచ్చు.

4. మాత్రమే సంస్కృతిక సమస్యనిర్ధారించ పని చేసాడు. సంస్కృతి పండ్యకు అంతే సమస్యలను అంటే చూశాడు. ప్రతి నిమిషాలు అంతే సమస్యలను చేసాడు. అంశాలు సాధారణ వైపుల్లోను చేయవచ్చు.

5. అక్షర సంస్కృతిక సమస్యనిర్ధారించ పని చేసాడు. సంస్కృతి పండ్యకు అంతే సమస్యలను అంటే చూశాడు. ప్రతి నిమిషాలు అంతే సమస్యలను చేసాడు. అంశాలు సాధారణ వైపుల్లోను చేయవచ్చు.

6. అతి సంస్కృతిక సమస్యనిర్ధారించ పని చేసాడు. సంస్కృతి పండ్యకు అంతే సమస్యలను అంటే చూశాడు. ప్రతి నిమిషాలు అంతే సమస్యలను చేసాడు. అంశాలు సాధారణ వైపుల్లోను చేయవచ్చు.
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29th September, 1959. Short Notice Questions and Answers.

1. (a) What is the difference between primary and secondary education? Explain.

(b) Discuss the benefits of primary education.

2. (a) How does technology influence education? Provide examples.

(b) What role does technology play in reducing educational disparities?

3. (a) What are the challenges faced by teachers in rural areas?

(b) Suggest measures to improve the teaching standards in rural schools.

4. (a) What is the significance of learning outcomes in education?

(b) How can schools ensure that learning outcomes are met?

5. (a) What is the importance of student assessment?

(b) Discuss the various methods of student assessment.

That was not relevant.
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The detaining authority must be satisfied about the grounds of detention.
666 25th September, 1969. Short Notice Questions and Answers

Sri K. Brahmamanda Reddy : He can put a separate question.

Sri G. Sivaiah : How many Communists are detained?

Sri G. Sivaiah : The answer is there, Sir.

Sri G. Sivaiah : The danger is more from his party, against the Society.

Mr. Speaker : It is not the money consideration. It is the psychological consideration.
Short Notice Questions and Answers. 25th September, 1969.

ప్రశ్నాంశలు మరియు సమాధానాలు.

1. ప్రశ్నాంశం: అవసరం ఉన్న ప్రతి తరహాను ప్రశ్న ఎంచుకునాలా?
   సమాధానం: మీరు ప్రతి తరహాను ప్రతి ప్రశ్నను సమాధానం చేయవచ్చు.

2. ప్రశ్నాంశం: ఎందుకు మరియు ఎందుకు సమాధానం చేయవచ్చు?
   సమాధానం: మీరు సమాధానం చేయవచ్చు ఎందుకు ఇతర ప్రతి ప్రశ్నాంశాలను ప్రతి సమాధానం చేయవచ్చు?

3. ప్రశ్నాంశం: ఎందుకు ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రాతి ప్రశ్నను ప్రతి ప్రశ్నాంశం ఎంచుకునాలా?
   సమాధానం: మీరు ప్రతి ప్రతి ప్రశ్నను సమాధానం చేయవచ్చు.

4. ప్రశ్నాంశం: ఎందుకు మరియు ఎందుకు సమాధానం చేయవచ్చు?
   సమాధానం: మీరు సమాధానం చేయవచ్చు ఎందుకు మరియు సమాధానం చేయవచ్చు ఎందుకు ఇతర ప్రతి ప్రశ్నాంశాలను ప్రతి సమాధానం చేయవచ్చు?

5. ప్రశ్నాంశం: ఎందుకు మరియు ఎందుకు సమాధానం చేయవచ్చు?
   సమాధానం: మీరు సమాధానం చేయవచ్చు ఎందుకు మరియు సమాధానం చేయవచ్చు ఎందుకు ఇతర ప్రతి ప్రశ్నాంశాలను ప్రతి సమాధానం చేయవచ్చు?

1. Question: What is the date of the notice?

Answer: The date of the notice is 25th September, 1969.

2. Question: Is the notice against Preventive Detention Act itself?

Answer: Yes, it is against Preventive Detention Act itself.

3. Question: Is the notice against Preventive Detention Act itself?

Answer: Yes, it is against Preventive Detention Act itself.

4. Question: Is the notice against Preventive Detention Act itself?

Answer: Yes, it is against Preventive Detention Act itself.

5. Question: Is the notice against Preventive Detention Act itself?

Answer: Yes, it is against Preventive Detention Act itself.

6. Question: Is the notice against Preventive Detention Act itself?

Answer: Yes, it is against Preventive Detention Act itself.

7. Question: Is the notice against Preventive Detention Act itself?

Answer: Yes, it is against Preventive Detention Act itself.

8. Question: Is the notice against Preventive Detention Act itself?

Answer: Yes, it is against Preventive Detention Act itself.

9. Question: Is the notice against Preventive Detention Act itself?

Answer: Yes, it is against Preventive Detention Act itself.

10. Question: Is the notice against Preventive Detention Act itself?

Answer: Yes, it is against Preventive Detention Act itself.
Short Notice Questions and Answers. 25th September, 1969.

(Question 1) Tories 10, Liberal 6, Labour 5. Tory majority 5.

(Question 2) Tories 12, Liberal 6, Labour 8. Tory majority 6.


(Question 4) Tories 16, Liberal 6, Labour 12. Tory majority 10.

(Question 5) Tories 18, Liberal 6, Labour 14. Tory majority 12.


(Question 7) Tories 22, Liberal 6, Labour 18. Tory majority 16.

(Question 8) Tories 24, Liberal 6, Labour 20. Tory majority 18.


(Question 13) Tories 34, Liberal 6, Labour 30. Tory majority 28.

(Question 14) Tories 36, Liberal 6, Labour 32. Tory majority 30.

(Question 15) Tories 38, Liberal 6, Labour 34. Tory majority 32.

(Question 16) Tories 40, Liberal 6, Labour 36. Tory majority 34.

(Question 17) Tories 42, Liberal 6, Labour 38. Tory majority 36.

(Question 18) Tories 44, Liberal 6, Labour 40. Tory majority 38.

(Question 19) Tories 46, Liberal 6, Labour 42. Tory majority 40.

(Question 20) Tories 48, Liberal 6, Labour 44. Tory majority 42.

(Question 21) Tories 50, Liberal 6, Labour 46. Tory majority 44.

(Question 22) Tories 52, Liberal 6, Labour 48. Tory majority 46.


(Question 24) Tories 56, Liberal 6, Labour 52. Tory majority 50.

(Question 25) Tories 58, Liberal 6, Labour 54. Tory majority 52.

(Question 26) Tories 60, Liberal 6, Labour 56. Tory majority 54.

(Question 27) Tories 62, Liberal 6, Labour 58. Tory majority 56.

(Question 28) Tories 64, Liberal 6, Labour 60. Tory majority 58.

(Question 29) Tories 66, Liberal 6, Labour 62. Tory majority 60.

(Question 30) Tories 68, Liberal 6, Labour 64. Tory majority 62.

(Question 31) Tories 70, Liberal 6, Labour 66. Tory majority 64.

(Question 32) Tories 72, Liberal 6, Labour 68. Tory majority 66.

(Question 33) Tories 74, Liberal 6, Labour 70. Tory majority 68.

(Question 34) Tories 76, Liberal 6, Labour 72. Tory majority 70.

(Question 35) Tories 78, Liberal 6, Labour 74. Tory majority 72.

(Question 36) Tories 80, Liberal 6, Labour 76. Tory majority 74.

(Question 37) Tories 82, Liberal 6, Labour 78. Tory majority 76.

(Question 38) Tories 84, Liberal 6, Labour 80. Tory majority 78.

(Question 39) Tories 86, Liberal 6, Labour 82. Tory majority 80.

(Question 40) Tories 88, Liberal 6, Labour 84. Tory majority 82.

(Question 41) Tories 90, Liberal 6, Labour 86. Tory majority 84.

(Question 42) Tories 92, Liberal 6, Labour 88. Tory majority 86.

(Question 43) Tories 94, Liberal 6, Labour 90. Tory majority 88.

(Question 44) Tories 96, Liberal 6, Labour 92. Tory majority 90.

(Question 45) Tories 98, Liberal 6, Labour 94. Tory majority 92.

(Question 46) Tories 100, Liberal 6, Labour 96. Tory majority 94.
25th September, 1969. Short Notice Questions and Answers:

FIRE ACCIDENT AT MURARIPALLI

S No 770-B

S N. Q. No. 1724-Q. Sri Poola Subbaiah (Yerragondipalem)—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether Muraripalli village in Markapur taluk, Kurnool District was gutted on 12-8-69;
(b) if so, whether the victims were paid any subsidy; and
(c) whether the timber permits were granted to the victims?

The Minister for Revenue (Sri P. Thimma Reddy)—(a) Fire accident occurred at Muraripalli village of Markapur taluk on 10-8-1969.
(b) Yes, Sir.
(c) Building material was sanctioned to the fire victims.

5. Postal Subordinate—50 annas question marks are not acceptable.

6. Postal Subordinate—Accepted.
Demolition of Harizanwada Due to Floods

S. No. 770-C.

S. N. Q. No. 1726-H. Sarvasri P Subbaiah, S. Vemayya (Sarvepalli) R. Mahananda G. Satyanarayana Rao, K. Govinda Rao, (Anakapalli) and T. C. Rajan:—Will the honourable Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether the huts in Harijanwada are demolished due to floods from revulet at Pendekal Railway Station and Marella village in Pattikonda taluk, Kurnool District on 22-8-1969; and

(b) if so, are the victims paid subsidy and the housing material supplied?

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—Clause (a) 42 huts at Pendekal (village) were damaged and 6 huts at Marella (village) collapsed on 20-8-69.

Clause (b) Yes, Sir. Necessary instructions were also issued by the Collector, Kurnool to the District Forest Officer to supply building material.

68—6
Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—I promise the Hon. Member to look into all those things.
WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

PAYMENT OF PENALTY BY R. T. C.

757—*34 (6685) Q.—Sri R. Mahananda :—Will the hon. Minister for Transport be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the A.P.S.R.T Corporation paid a penalty of Rs. 91,855 to the R.T.A. in between March, 1965 to December, 1965 for running 13 vehicles in West Godavari district;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor; and

(c) the persons who are responsible for the loss and the action taken against such persons?

A :

(a) Yes Sir.

(b) Under section 6 of the Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1953, the Licensing officer shall, if he considers that tax in respect of a Motor Vehicle has not been paid as required under section 4, levy a penalty which may be extended up to twice the quarterly tax. A statement is placed on the Table of the House giving reasons for paying the penalty vehicle-wise.

(c) On a representation made by the Corporation against the levy of penalty, the Transport Commissioner instructed the R.T.C. Eluru, to follow the prescribed procedure in such cases. The matter is still pending with the R.T.O. Eluru and the Deputy Transport Commissioner, Kakinada. As such responsibility for the lapses, if any, cannot be fixed, at this stage.

STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

VIDE ANSWER TO ITEM (b) OF THE L.A.Q. NO. 6685

(STARRED) [*757]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl</th>
<th>Vehicle No. A.P.Z.</th>
<th>Date of check.</th>
<th>Amount of penalty, Rs.</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2233</td>
<td>22-1-65</td>
<td>6,435</td>
<td>This is an idle vehicle and not covered by permit and operated to avoid cancellation of services. The matter is under correspondence with the R.T.O. Eluru.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>9-4 65</td>
<td>5,940</td>
<td>This is an idle vehicle on the date of check. But covered by tax upto 31-8-65. Temporary permit was applied on this vehicle on 25-8-65. The temporary permit was not granted till 15-4-65 i.e. upto grace period and as such tax was not paid for quarter ending 30-6-65.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>2211</td>
<td>2-4-65</td>
<td>5,805</td>
<td>This is an idle vehicle and used in place of route vehicle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>8751</td>
<td>27-5-65</td>
<td>6,075</td>
<td>Applied for spare permit on 18-5-65 and pending issue of the permit, the vehicle was operated to meet the traffic requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>8175</td>
<td>30-6-65</td>
<td>6,210</td>
<td>The vehicle was an idle vehicle and operated in place of route permit vehicle to avoid cancellation of services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>2284</td>
<td>24-7-65</td>
<td>5,940</td>
<td>Application for spare permit was submitted on 18-5-65. It was returned on 28-7-65 with certain objections regarding challan and the same was re-submitted on 30-7-65. Pending grant of the spare permit, the vehicle was operated. (2) While submitting the tax statements for quarter ending 30-9-65 to R.T.O. Eluru, this was shown as reserve carriage for use in place of regular route buses and the R.T.O. was requested for endorsement of tax exemption in the registration certificate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>2284</td>
<td>5-9-65</td>
<td>5,940</td>
<td>-do-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>2233</td>
<td>12-8-65</td>
<td>6,845</td>
<td>Spare permit was applied on 15-7-65. Spare permit issued on 22-11-65. This was operated pending issue of spare permit while submitting the statement of tax for Q.E. 30-9-65 to R.T.O. Eluru, W.G. this was shown as reserve carriage for use in place of regular route buses and the R.T.O. was requested to give tax exemption endorsement in the Registration Certificate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>2211</td>
<td>12-8-65</td>
<td>5,805</td>
<td>Spare permit was applied on 15-7-65 under Lr. No. 06/324 (18)/65. Spare permit issued on 22-11-65. This was operated pending issue of spare permit. While submitting the statement of taxes for quarter ending 30-9-65 to the R.T.O., W.G. Dt., this was shown as reserve carriage for use in place of regular route buses and the R.T.O. was requested to make tax exemption endorsement in the Registration certificate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. 2263 27-8-65 6,435 &amp; 9-9-65</td>
<td>Application for spare permit made to the RTO W.G., on 18-5-65. Pending issue of the permit, vehicle was operated to avoid cancellation of services in place of route permit bus which went off the road. While submitting the tax statements for Q.E. 30-9-65 to the R.T.O., W.G., this was shown as reserve carriage for use in place of regular bus and was requested to make tax exemption endorsement in the Registration Certificate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. 2582 9-9-65 5,535 &amp; 25-9-65</td>
<td>Application for spare permit made to R.T.O. on 18-5-65. Pending issue of the permit, vehicle was operated to avoid cancellation of services in place of route permit bus which went off the road. While submitting the tax statements for Q.E. 30-9-65 to the R.T.O., W.G., this was shown as reserve carriage for use in place of regular buses and was requested to make tax exemption endorsement in the Registration Certificate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 1005 15-9-65 3,940</td>
<td>Applied for spare permit on 24-8-65 and was issued on 3-11-65. Pending issue of the permit, the vehicle was operated. While submitting the tax statements to the R.T.O., W.G., for Q.E. 30-9-65, this was shown as reserve carriage for use in place of route buses and was also requested to make tax exemption endorsement in the Registration Certificate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. 2220 28-8-65 6,390 &amp; 27-9-65</td>
<td>This is an idle vehicle and was operated to avoid cancellation of services. This was shown in the tax statements submitted to the R.T.O., W.G., for Q.E. 30-9-65 as a reserve carriage for use in place of regular buses and he has also requested to make tax exemption endorsement in the Registration Certificate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14. 2584 24-9-65 6,585 Applied for spare permit on 30-7-65 and this was issued on 10-11-65. Pending issue of permit the vehicle was operated. This was shown as a reserve carriage in the tax paid statements submitted to the R.T.O., W.G., for Q.E. 80-9-65 for use in place of route buses and he was requested to make tax exemption endorsement in the Registration Certificate.

15. 2224 4-11-65 6,435 This is an idle vehicle for the first check. Subsequently application was made to the R.T.O., W. G. Dt. for spare permit on 10-11-65 and the vehicle was operated pending issue of spare permit. This was shown as a reserve carriage in the tax statements submitted to the R T.O., W.G. for Q.E. 31-12-65 to be used in place of route buses.

LOANS TO MUNICIPALITIES
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* 207 (3287) Q.—Sri Badri Vishal Pitti :—Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) the names of the municipalities that have obtained loans from the Government for the purpose of water supply, drainage schemes and other public health works during each year from 1962-63 to 1966-67;

(b) the amount of loans repaid so far; and

(c) the names of municipalities to which loans will be given for the year 1967-68 together with the amounts of loan to each?

A :—

(a), (b) and (c):—A statement showing the details of the loans sanctioned to Municipalities in this State during the years 1962-63 to 1966-67 for water supply, drainage schemes and other public health purposes, the amounts repaid by them upto 31-3-1968 and another statement showing the loans sanctioned for the same purposes during 1967-68, are placed on the Table of the House.

Statement.
STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE
(Vide answer to clause (A) & (B) of L. A. Q. No. 3287 (Starred) [* 758]

Statement showing the names of the Municipalities which obtained loans from Government and repayments made for Water Supply & Drainage Schemes and other Public Health Works during the years 1962-63 to 1966-67.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Municipality</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Amount of loan drawn</th>
<th>Year in which drawn</th>
<th>Repayments made upto 31-3-1968</th>
<th>Balance of loan as on 31-3-1968</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Adoni</td>
<td><strong>Water Supply</strong></td>
<td>4,00,000</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>4,00,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>3,00,000</td>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>3,00,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,10,000</td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>1,10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Slum clearance scheme.</strong></td>
<td>6,86,300</td>
<td></td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>6,86,300.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Anantapur</td>
<td><strong>Water Supply</strong></td>
<td>2,50,000</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>11,437.50</td>
<td>2,38,562.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12,409.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Anakapalli</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>73,000</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>3,336.65</td>
<td>69,663.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>6,737.75</td>
<td>1,93,262.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,07,000</td>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>1,954.00</td>
<td>1,05,046.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>4,993.00</td>
<td>1,95,007.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>28,100</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>700.76</td>
<td>27,399.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Bodhan</td>
<td><strong>Slum Clearance Scheme</strong></td>
<td>81,975</td>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>3,440.78</td>
<td>78,534.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Chirala</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>63,992</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>2,890.20</td>
<td>61,101.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Eluru</td>
<td>Drainage Scheme</td>
<td>1,25,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,41,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>3,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,33,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water supply</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slum Clearance Scheme</td>
<td>81,846</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Guntur</td>
<td>Water Supply</td>
<td>1,44,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Gudur</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,49,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,11,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>29,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Gudivada</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>2,45,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>3,59,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>8,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>8,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Hindupur</td>
<td>Purchase of Water Tanker</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Kurnool</td>
<td>Water Supply Improvement Scheme.</td>
<td>87,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Kakinada</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>42,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Khammam</td>
<td>Re-modelling of Water Supply Scheme.</td>
<td>3,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,21,100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>3,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>5,718</td>
<td>1,19,231.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>3,868.37</td>
<td>1,37,131.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>0.42.00</td>
<td>2,98,538.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>1,679.00</td>
<td>1,31,221.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>78,356.12</td>
<td>8,499-88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>2,087.00</td>
<td>1,41,918.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-70</td>
<td>6,812.65</td>
<td>1,42,187.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970-71</td>
<td>4,925.00</td>
<td>1,06,075.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971-72</td>
<td>961.00</td>
<td>28,039.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972-73</td>
<td>8,740.90</td>
<td>2,36,259.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973-74</td>
<td>3,396.90</td>
<td>96,630.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974-75</td>
<td>2,800.00</td>
<td>3,56,800.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975-76</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>2,00,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976-77</td>
<td>1,824.00</td>
<td>2,98,176.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977-78</td>
<td>9,682.00</td>
<td>7,90,318.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978-79</td>
<td>1,530.00</td>
<td>8,470.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979-80</td>
<td>3,978.00</td>
<td>83,022.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980-81</td>
<td>1,098.50</td>
<td>22,901.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981-82</td>
<td>1,062.00</td>
<td>41,438.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982-83</td>
<td>23,659.44</td>
<td>2,76,390.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983-84</td>
<td>4,056.67</td>
<td>1,17,019.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984-85</td>
<td>7,828.07</td>
<td>2,92,171.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985-86</td>
<td>2,421.00</td>
<td>1,97,579.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>1,305.13</td>
<td>48,694.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>781.71</td>
<td>29,218.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,25,000</td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>1,581.11</td>
<td>1,23,418.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Mahaboobnagar</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>14,570.00</td>
<td>35,430.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>767.34</td>
<td>20,292.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>403.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>9,050</td>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>251.32</td>
<td>9,398.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Nellore</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>4,00,000</td>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>9,854.68</td>
<td>3,91,155.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Narasaraopet</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>1,825.00</td>
<td>98,174.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>8,72,000</td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>28,967.00</td>
<td>8,43,933.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>1,210.00</td>
<td>98,790.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Nizamabad</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>4,00,000</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>18,295.87</td>
<td>3,51,704.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>3,670.08</td>
<td>96,629.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>8,00,000</td>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>10,105.93</td>
<td>2,89,894.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,98,000</td>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>4,258.88</td>
<td>1,85,041.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>4,40,000</td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>10,081.81</td>
<td>4,29,017.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Ongole</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>3,64,200</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>16,659.75</td>
<td>3,47,540.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>2,03,000</td>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>7,203.51</td>
<td>1,2,976.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>4,205.00</td>
<td>1,95,794.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>97,000</td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>2,420.50</td>
<td>94,579.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Proddatur</td>
<td>Comprehensive Water Supply Scheme</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>7,047.00</td>
<td>1,92,953.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Peddapuram</td>
<td>Water Supply</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>83.00</td>
<td>9,917.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Rajahmundry</td>
<td>Sewage and Sullage Scheme</td>
<td>3,64,070</td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>26,344.00</td>
<td>3,37,556.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Samalkot</td>
<td>Emergency Water Supply</td>
<td>64,000</td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>25,900.00</td>
<td>38,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>do-</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>1,564.00</td>
<td>55,436.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Tenali</td>
<td>Slum Clearance Scheme.</td>
<td>59,28.25</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>3,006.25</td>
<td>55,325.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>do-</td>
<td>28,825.00</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>2,024.75</td>
<td>25,900.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>do-</td>
<td>59,231.25</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>7,985.46</td>
<td>51,265.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low Cost Houses under Slum Clearance Scheme.</td>
<td>28,231.25</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>2,396.19</td>
<td>28,428.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drainage Scheme.</td>
<td>4,00,000</td>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>Particulars awaited from the Municipality.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Vijayanagaram</td>
<td>Water Supply</td>
<td>5,00,000</td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>12,482.00</td>
<td>4,87,518.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>83.00</td>
<td>667.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,40,000</td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>3,494.00</td>
<td>1,36,536.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>66,44,800</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>66,64,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>78,58,900</td>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>78,58,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>73,74,000</td>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>72,74,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,05,65,700</td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>275.00</td>
<td>1,05,65,425.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,87,500</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>21,198.35</td>
<td>1,66,301.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Supply.</td>
<td>6,76,600</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>23,457.83</td>
<td>6,53,142.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Drainage Scheme.</td>
<td>10,50,000</td>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>9,089.00</td>
<td>10,40,911.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>4,795.00</td>
<td>1,95,205.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>2,00,000</td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>2,421.00</td>
<td>1,97,626.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sewage Sullage Scheme.</td>
<td>4,86,000</td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>80,557.00</td>
<td>4,04,403.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>4,00,000</td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>4,841.00</td>
<td>3,95,159.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Parvathipuram</td>
<td>Water Supply.</td>
<td>1,87,000</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>Nil.</td>
<td>1,87,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>2,02,800</td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>2,02,800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Nandyal</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>1968-64</td>
<td>3,100.50</td>
<td>6,889.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Adilabad</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>1,00,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>1,00,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Karimnagar</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>4,700</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>215.50</td>
<td>4,484.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. Warangal</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>7,22,600</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>33,054.32</td>
<td>6,89,545.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>4,00,000</td>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>18,562.28</td>
<td>3,85,437.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,07,000</td>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>2,793.11</td>
<td>1,04,206.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>3,63,000</td>
<td>1965-66</td>
<td>9,062.69</td>
<td>3,53,937.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,00,000</td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>1,210.00</td>
<td>98,790.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Nalgonda</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>$48.70</td>
<td>49,151.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>40,700</td>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>708.25</td>
<td>45,931.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>27,500</td>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td></td>
<td>27,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>do</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>1966-67</td>
<td>3,400.85</td>
<td>5,909.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Markapur</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

(Vide answer to clauses (a) & (b) of L.A.Q. No. 3287 (Starred) (*758)

Statement showing the names of the Municipalities to which loans were sanctioned during 1967-68.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Scheme</th>
<th>Name of the Municipality to which loan sanctioned during 1967-68.</th>
<th>Amount of loan sanctioned to the Municipality.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hyderabad Drainage Scheme</td>
<td>Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad</td>
<td>Rs. in lakhs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Secunderabad Water Supply Improvement Scheme.</td>
<td>Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad, Secunderabad Division.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Khammam Water Supply Scheme</td>
<td>Khammam Municipality.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Warangal</td>
<td>Warangal Municipality.</td>
<td>9.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Thatipudi Reservoir Scheme</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam Municipality.</td>
<td>4.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Eluru Drainage Scheme</td>
<td>Eluru Municipality.</td>
<td>1.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Vijayawada Drainage Scheme</td>
<td>Vijayawada Municipality.</td>
<td>8.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Narasaraopet Water Supply Scheme</td>
<td>Narasaraopet Municipality.</td>
<td>7.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mahaboobnagar Water Supply Scheme</td>
<td>Mahaboobnagar Municipality.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.104 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total:</strong> 59.701</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Amount sanctioned but not drawn by the Municipality due to delay in authorisation to draw by the Director of Treasuries and Accounts, Hyderabad.
Airlifting of Passengers from Tirupathi

759—

* 1271 Q.—Sri Agarala Eswara Reddy:—Will the hon. Minister for Endowments be pleased to state
(a) whether any private Helicopter Operators have applied to the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams to airlift passengers from Tirupathi; and
(b) if so, whether permission has been given by the Tirumala-Tirupathi Devasthanams Board; if not, the reasons therefor?

A:—

(a) M/s Southern Air, Madras, applied to the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams for lease of certain land in Kothapalem and Kurukalva villages of Chandragiri taluk and in Vikruthamala village of Kalahasti taluk of Chittoor district, for the construction of an Air-strip to operate daily non-scheduled passenger flights connecting Tirupathi to Madras, Hyderabad and Bangalore.

(b) As the land belonged to Government, the question of Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams granting permission for its lease did not arise and the company was informed accordingly.

Dispensaries to Sileru Project Employees

760—

* 076 (1405) Q.—Sri R Mahananda:—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state
(a) whether there are dispensaries for the Project Staff at Jalaput, Machkund, Upper Sileru and Lower Sileru Electricity Projects,
(b) if so, what is the amount of allotment for medicines every year for 1966-67, 1967-68 and 1968-69 for each of these stations;
(c) whether the non-practising allowance is paid to these Medical Officers and if so, how much; and
(d) whether there are vehicles for all these people, and
(e) whether there is any restriction of consumption of petrol for their vehicles?

A:—

(a) Yes Sir.

(b) 66-67 67-68 68-69

Rs. Rs. Rs.

Jalaput 6,000 6,000 6,000
Machkund 10,000 10,000 10,000
Upper Sileru 8,900 11,000 17,700
Lower Sileru 14,827-07 5,576-42 9,288-24

(c) Non-practising allowance as per Government orders on ban on Private Practice is being paid to the Medical Officers working
at Upper and Lower Sileru Project Hospitals. In respect of Jalaput and Machukund Hospitals the payments of the allowances is not yet authorised by the Accountant General, for want of provision and after the budget is provided by the Project authorities the Accountant General will authorise for payment.

(d) One ambulance is provided for each of the Upper and Lower Sileru Project Dispensaries and an ambulance is provided for Jalaput Machkund and Onukudelli.

(c) No, Sir.

**TASK POLICE FORCE**

761—

*103 (1392) Q.—*Sarwasti Ahmed Hussain, Sulthan Salahuddin Owaisi and Khaja Nizamuddin:—Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) the work done by the Task Police Party, newly formed in Greater Hyderabad;

(b) the mode of its working;

(c) the number of officers and constables working in this party;

(d) the number of cases taken up so far by the task police party in the twin cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad?

A:—

(a), (b), (c) and (d) On the presumption that the Honourable Members are seeking information about the 'Special Teams' constituted in 1968 to curb goondaism and lawlessness in the twin cities, the answers are placed on the Table of the House.

**STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE**

IN CONNECTION WITH L.A.Q. NO. 1392 [STARRED], [*761]

FOR CLAUSES (A) & (B).

(a) the work done by the Task Police Party, newly formed in Greater Hyderabad,

(b) the mode of its working.

The special teams work as mobile parties. Each team moves in the areas of the Division during day as well as night, takes note of the incidents involving lawlessness, warns or disperses the miscreants in cases of minor nature and books cases wherever necessary; conducts enquiries about potential rowdy elements, brings them on record and keeps surveillance over them, assists the local police in large scale bandobust arrangements, in maintenance of Law and order and in detection of crimes.

The Special teams will also attend to the following duties—

(a) To go through all rowdy sheets of all the police stations initiate action for prosecution, extermination etc., in respect of deserving cases:
Written Answers to Questions. 25th September, 1969. (85)

(b) To make independent enquiries about the rowdy sheeted persons and bring them to book whenever they are found to be active;

(c) To suggest extremity of persons not already considered for such action;

(d) To make independent enquiries by intensively moving in the areas of trouble, regarding activities of goon elements who have not come to notice, arrange watch and deterrent action against all such elements. As the rowdy sheeters are already classified as communal rowdies, industrial rowdies and other miscellaneous rowdies, it will be possible to effectively deal with rowdy elements by intensive watch and timely action.

(e) the number of officers and constables working in this party.

Each special team consist of the following staff and work under the control of the Assistant Commissioner of Police of the division concerned:

- Inspectors, 1
- Sub-Inspectors, 2
- Head Constables, 4
- Constables, 12
- Jeep Driver, 1 (Head Constable)

(c) The number of cases taken up so far by task police in the twin cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad.

(a) Statement showing the number of various types of rowdies and action taken by the Special Teams:

1. Number of Rowdies with Rowdy sheet as on 1-9-68
   A. Communal .. 100
   B. Industrial .. 19
   C. Miscellaneous .. 487

2. Number of Rowdies in (1) above interrogated every fortnight.
   All the rowdies are being interrogated regularly.

3. Number of Rowdies traced.
   — Preven- Speci-
   tive f- 109

4. Number of Rowdies at (2) and (3) above dealt with under Preventive Sections or Specific Section.
   A. .. 85 11
   B. .. 10 5
   C. .. 314 75

5. Number of Rowdies for whom new sheets opened after 1-9-68 on the basis of general enquiries made by the Teams.
   A. Communal 25
   B. Industrial 1
   C. Miscellaneous 68

6. Number of Rowdies at (3) above against whom action has been taken.
   A. .. 29 10
   B. .. 6 1
   C. .. 32 19

7. Number of eve-teasers apprehended.
   — — 284
(b) Statement showing the cases booked by the Special teams under various sections of Law from 1-9-1968:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Section of Law</th>
<th>Total No of cases booked</th>
<th>Pending investigation</th>
<th>Convicted</th>
<th>Pending Trial</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>I.P.C.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cr.P.C.</td>
<td>1093</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1027</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>S I.T. in Women and Girls Act</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Excise Act.</td>
<td>7307</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7100</td>
<td>206</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Gambling Act.</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>U/s 70 (c) &amp; 70 (b) of C.P. Act</td>
<td>2128</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RETRENCHMENT IN ELECTRICITY BOARD**

* 206 (2407-B) Q. — Sarvasri K. Govinda Rao, S. Vemayya, Dhanenkula Narasimham and R. Mahananda: — Will the hon. Minister for Power be pleased to state:

the number of employees retrenched by the Electricity Board, during the last 4 months?

A: —

The number of employees retrenched by the Electricity Board during the period from 1-6-67 to 30-6-1968 is 1972.

**AMARABAD IPPALAPALLY ROAD**

* 565 (5455) Q. — Sri P. Mahendranath: — Will the hon. Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government had been pleased to sanction the scheme for a P. W. D. road from Amarabad village to Ippalapally village of Achampet taluk in Mahabubnagar district during the year 1966, and

(b) if so, what are the reasons for undue delay in the implementation of the sanctioned scheme?

A: —

(a) Yes Sir. The Government sanctioned the Road works during May, 1965,
Written Answers to Questions. 25th September, 1969.

(b) Central working group did not recommend any outlay for the Fourth plan period in respect of Agency Major Road works, under Tribal welfare sector. Expenditure has now to be met from the plan provision under P. W. D. (R. & B.) But the existing provision is not sufficient even to complete the existing works in progress. Hence this new work could not be taken up by the C. E. (R & B.)

Conversion of Srisailam & N. S. Project area as International Tourist Centres

768—

142 (1829) Q. Sri P. O. Satyanayana Raju.— Will the hon. Minister for Women’s Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Nagarjunasagar and Srisailam are likely to be converted as International Tourist Centres;

(b) if so, the special amenities that are likely to be provided;

and

(c) the amount likely to be spent during the year 1958-69 on the above centres?

A—

(a) It is the endeavour of the State Government to develop Nagarjunasagar as an International Tourist Centre and Srisailam as an important tourist centre. The Govt. of India have recognised Nagarjunasagar as an important Tourist Centre in the country for intensive development and the cost of Tourism Schemes there, is completely met by them.

(b) The answer is placed on the Table of the House.

(c) A sum of Rs 3,397 was spent for providing water-heaters for Tourist Rest House, Srisailam.

Paper placed on the Table of the House.

In connection with the answering of Clause (b) of L. A. Q. No. 1829 (Starred) [768]—

Tourist facilities available at present at Nagarjunasagar:

1. 8 room annexe to Vijaya Vihar circuit House.
4. Middle Income Group Rest House at Nagarjunakonda.
5. Vijaya Vihar
6. Project House
7. River View
8. Lake View
9. Sethu Sadan
10. 6 Cottages opposite to Vijaya Vihar

Tourist Facilities at Srisailam:

A tourist Rest House, under the control of the Tourism Department.

Amenities likely to be provided:

A provision has been made by Government of India for supplying three fast launches and two Mini Buses for Nagarjunasagar during the Fourth Plan. The Tiger Valley Scheme at Nagarjunasagar which is
aimed at providing illumination, fountains, landscape gardens etc. At a cost of Rs. 25 lakhs has been proposed for inclusion in the Fourth Five Year plan.

The construction of one Upper Income Group Tourist Rest House and one Low Income Group Tourist Rest House at Srisailam has been proposed for inclusion in the Fourth Plan.

LOW INCOME GROUP HOUSES IN RAJAHMUNDRI MUNICIPALITY

Q.—Sri K. Ramanatham:—Will the hon. Minister for Housing and Accommodation be pleased to state

(a) whether it has been brought to the notice of the Government that fifteen of the houses constructed for the lower income group in Rajahmundry Municipality, have been rendered uninhabitable even within a period of 10 years,

(b) whether the association of the occupants of that colony have submitted petitions in 1966 itself stating that there are constructional defects,

(c) if so, the action taken,

(d) whether it is a fact that the walls of some of these buildings have collapsed;

(e) whether it has been brought to the notice of the Government that the sluices inserted to the septic latrine tank are not functioning properly, and

(f) if so, the steps taken to safeguard their health?

A:—

(a) Yes, Sir. Only ten houses were reported to be uninhabitable

(b) Yes, Sir

(c) Orders were issued by the Government in G.O Ms. No 110 Housing dated 7-10-67 on various points raised in the petition A copy of which is placed on the Table of the House

(d) only ten buildings are reported to be damaged,

(e) And (f) No, Sir. Only one water closet to building No. 15 was damaged and the same was since rectified

PAPER PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

Vide Answer to Clause (c) of Legislative Assembly Question No.6/03 (Starred) [* 769]

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

ABSTRACT

Low Income Group Housing Scheme – Rajahmundry Municipality

Certain complaints Orders-Issued

Health, Housing and Municipal Administration Department.

G.O (Ms.) No. 110, Housing, Dated the 7th October, 1967,

Send the following:—

(1) From the Occupants of the Municipal Colony, Rajahmundry, Memorandum dated 24-6-66.

(2) From the Director of Municipal Administration RC No 6921/66, dated 22-10-66 and 6-12-66.
In 1955-56, the Rajahmundry Municipality was given a loan of Rs 5,79,011 for construction of 93 buildings for Municipal employees and 114 buildings for the flood victims. As the construction of these buildings was bad, the occupants of the houses have submitted a memorandum which contains the following allegations:

1. The estimate for the fixation of sale price for the tenements is incorrect since the municipality has filed a suit against the contractor for bad construction and recovered some amount.

2. An amount of Rs 27,000 was shown in the estimate for the formation of roads in the colony and no single pie was spent for the purpose.

3. No amenities were provided in the colony even though the houses were constructed in the year 1956.

4. An amount of Rs 1,25,194 was shown towards laying water mains in the colony but not executed.

5. An amount of Rs 76,030 was shown in the estimate towards fencing of the houses but not executed.

6. No amenities were provided as in the case of Low Income Group Colony of Kakinada Municipality.

7. All the present occupants may be treated as members eligible for acquiring tenements under hire purchase system.

8. The cost of 10 buildings which are unfit may be deducted from the total cost of the buildings in fixing revised sale price for each tenement.

9. The rent so far paid by the occupants may be included towards payments of 20% of the cost of each house as per the sale price, and

10. Certain amenities such as hospitals, elementary schools, etc., were not provided in the colony.

The Government have examined the above memorandum and they proceed to pass the following orders:

Complaint (1) above—The Secretary, Rajahmundry Municipality is requested to submit revised proposals for fixation of sale price taking into consideration the amounts recovered from the contractors, if these amounts had not been deducted from the total cost of construction previously in fixing the sale price.

Complaint (2) above—The amount of Rs 7,942.14 i.e., the difference between the amount shown in the estimate and the amount proposed to be spent, may be deducted from the estimate for formation of roads while preparing the revised proposals for fixation of sale price of the houses.

Complaint (4) above—The Secretary, Rajahmundry Municipality has already taken necessary action to provide amenities such as street lighting etc.

Complaint (4) above—The water supply to the colony may be provided and the cost may be included in the revised proposals for fixation of sale price.
Complaint (5) The amount of Rs 76,000 provided for the fencing of the houses may be deleted in the revised proposals for fixation of sale price.

Complaint (6) above:—The amenities such as parks, play grounds may be provided in the colony as early as possible

Complaint (7) above:—The Claims of the binamee occupants who have occupied the houses without proper allotment by the municipality may not be considered for allotment unless they are flood victims. The arrear rents from the unauthorised occupants also may be collected without any further loss of time and steps may be taken for their eviction as per rules.

Complaint (8) above:—The damaged buildings may be disposed of immediately by public auction. The amount realised may be taken into account while sending proposals for fixation of revised sale price of the houses.

Complaint (9) above:—The Secretary, Rajahmundry Municipality is requested to submit necessary proposals for giving the benefit of rent collected to the house purchase allottees at the appropriate time, in case any of the present occupants are found eligible for allotment.

Complaint (10) above:—The enhanced rent may be collected subject to the condition that any excess found on the basis of no profit no loss will be refunded as stay of collection of enhanced rent will pose a problem at a later date for recovery of arrears.

The Municipality is taking action to provide medical and educational facilities.

3 The Secretary, Rajahmundry Municipality is requested to take necessary action as indicated above.

**ICE PLANT AT PADALA**

*1281 Q. Sri K. Govinda Rao.—Will the hon. Minister for Fisheries and Ports be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is an ice plant situated at Padala, near Tadepalligudem, West Godavari District run by the Fisheries Department;

(b) if so, whether the sale of ice had been given in contract to an individual on nomination instead of inviting tenders;

(c) if so, for what reasons;

(d) what is the price at which ice is being sold to the public by the said contractor; and

(e) what is the price stipulated under the contract to sell ice to the consumers?

A:—

(a) and (b) Yes, Sir.

(c) This was introduced on an experimental basis. Hitherto the annual sales from this plant amounted to about 500 tons. It was given on contract on condition that the contractor should lift at least 1,500 tons of ice during the contract period, which is comparatively very high. By this arrangement, the Department expected better sales and increased revenue.*
Written Answers to Questions 25th September, 1969

(d) It is reported that the sale price of ice by the contractor ranged from Rs. 10.27 P to Rs. 18.27 per Block.
(e) No price was stipulated in the agreement.

(UUNSTARRED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS)

PROSTITUTION CASES

101—
1053 Q—Sri T. C. Rajan:—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) i) the number of prostitution cases registered,
(ii) and the number of them prosecuted in twin cities during the period 1967-68 and 1968-69, and
(b) the steps taken by the Government to curb prostitution?

A:—
(a) (i) 1967-68 1068-69

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cases Registered</th>
<th>Cases Prosecuted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Frequent raids are being conducted both by police and special police whenever possible in places of resort and notorious dens of prostitution.

SUICIDES

102—
1199 Q—Sri S. Vemayya:—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:
(a) number of suicide cases occurred in the State for the year ending 31-3-69;
(b) whether cases have increased or decreased to that of the corresponding previous year; and
(c) whether the cases under clause (a) were categorised and if so, how?

A:—
(a), (b) and (c). The answer is placed on the Table of the House.

ANSWERED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

(a) During the period from 6-4-68 to 31-3-69, 2,325 suicides were committed in Andhra Pradesh.
(b) The number of suicides during the above period is 108 more than the number of suicides committed during the corresponding period in the previous year.
(c) The suicide cases are not categorised as such. But, they can be brought under the following heads according to the motive established in each case:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>from 6-4-68 to 31-3-69</th>
<th>from 1-4-67 to 31-3-68</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suicides due to poverty.</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicides due to domestic quarrels</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicides due to diseases.</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>1,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicides due to other causes like, lack of fidelity or neglect on the part of either of the spouses, failure in examinations, failure in love affairs, indebtedness etc.</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>604</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUPPLY OF FERTILISERS TO SRIKAKULAM MARKETING SOCIETY

103—
894 (2574) Q.—Sri K. Krishnamurthy : -Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state :
(a) the total tons of Fertilisers of each variety allotted to the (Co-) Marketing Society, Srikakulam for 1967 to 1968 and up-to-date on credit-cum-consignment basis.
(b) the number of societies that have fallen in arrears in the district, and
(c) whether there is any proposal with the Government to postpone the arrears of loans on account of severe drought in the district?

A:—
(a) The following stock of chemical fertilisers were allotted to the District Co-operative Marketing Society, Srikakulam.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Metric Tonnes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1967-68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Sulphate</td>
<td>13,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>3,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Sulphate Nitrate</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Ammonium Nitrate</td>
<td>3,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968-69 (up-to-date)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>3,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Ammonium Nitrate</td>
<td>2,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Sulphate Nitrate</td>
<td>1,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969-70 (for 1 and II quarters only)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Sulphate</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Ammonium Nitrate</td>
<td>1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) (i) Number of societies fallen in arrears under consignment-cum-credit basis upto the end of July 1969. 96 2,62,213 23
(ii) Number of societies fallen in arrears under old credit scheme as on 31-3-1969. 34 52,412 02

(c) the question of postponing the dues will not arise as the agent societies have already sold the stocks and collected the sale proceeds from the members.

SALT PRODUCTION IN THE STATE

104—
239 (5267) Q.—Sri R. Satyanarayana Raju :—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state :
(a) the quantity of salt being produced per annum in Andhra Pradesh; and
(b) the steps being taken to increase the production?

A:—
(a) About 2,59,721 M. Tons
(b) Director of Industries is collecting particulars of unexploited areas from the District. Collectors concerned to prepare an Intensive programme for increasing the production of salt.
TEXTILE MILLS

Q.—Sri T. C. Rajan :— Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) how many textile Mill Licences were given in our State during the period 1962-1968,
(b) how many of them have completed the construction and how many are commissioned,
(c) what action does the Government propose to take on those who have not fulfilled the conditions of the licence given to them?

A :—

(a) 61 Licences were issued,—27 for setting up new Mills and 34 for expansion of existing Mills. 
(b) out of 27 new Units 13 Units have completed the construction commissioned and went into production either with full capacity or partially:
(ii) 7 are under various stages of construction, and
(iii) 7 Licences have not yet taken any steps for setting up the Mills.
(c) one of the conditions laid down by the Government of India while issuing such Industrial Licences is that the licencee should take effective steps within 6 months and to complete the project within 12 months from the date of receipt of the licence. If the licencee fails to take steps for implementing such conditions of the licence, the Government of India, will normally issue a show cause notice to the licencee to state as to why the licence should not be revoked. If the licencee does not show sufficient reasons for delay in fulfilling the conditions of the licence the Government of India will revoke such a licence. In case, the reasons given are satisfactory, the Government of India may extend time either for taking effective steps for setting up the Mills or for completing the project as the case may be.

CHILDREN'S MAGAZINE

Q.—Sri Dhanenkula Narasimham :— Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal with the Government to purchase and supply Children' magazines to all the High Schools free of cost; and
(b) if so, when this will be implemented?

A :—

(a) No, Sir.
(b) Does not arise.

INCOME FROM SUGAR CANE CROP

Q.—Sri T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao :— Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

Written answers to questions.

(a) What is the average income per acre during 1967-68 to the producers of sugarcane in the sugar grown or cultivated Districts in our State?

(b) Will the hon. Minister be pleased to place a statement on the Table of the House giving District-wise figures?

(c) What is the percentage of the dividends paid by the managements of sugar factories to the shareholders during the year 1967-68, and

(d) Will the hon. Minister be pleased to place a statement on the Table of the House giving figures of each factory?

A.

(a) and (b) A statement (Statement-I) is placed on the Table of House showing average income per acre during 1967-68 to the producers of sugarcane District-wise, in the sugarcane cultivated Districts of the State,

(c) and (d) Another statement (Statement-II) is placed on the Table of the House showing the percentage of dividends paid by the managements of the sugar factories to the shareholders during the year 1967-68.
STATEMENT-I PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE
(Vide Answer to clauses (a) and (b) of L A Q. No. 1739 (Unstarred)-Serial No 107)

AVERAGE INCOME PER ACRE TO THE SUGARCANE PRODUCER IN 1967-68 SEASON IN THE SUGARCANE CULTIVATED DISTRICTS OF THE STATE (DISTRICT-WISE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Srikakulam</th>
<th>Visakhapatnam</th>
<th>East Godavari</th>
<th>West Godavari</th>
<th>Krishna</th>
<th>Chittoor</th>
<th>Nizamabad</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Average yield of cane per acre in 1967-68 (tonnes).</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Value of produce at Rs. 110 per acre.</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>3520</td>
<td>3850</td>
<td>4240</td>
<td>3850</td>
<td>3620</td>
<td>3620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Average cost of cultivation for owner cultivation (Rs.)</td>
<td>1040</td>
<td>1564</td>
<td>1698</td>
<td>1766</td>
<td>1484</td>
<td>1747</td>
<td>1780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Average cost of cultivation for tenants (Rs.)</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>2164</td>
<td>2698</td>
<td>2866</td>
<td>2884</td>
<td>2347</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Average income per acre for owner cultivation (item 2 minus Item 3 (Rs.),</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>2132</td>
<td>2474</td>
<td>2366</td>
<td>1873</td>
<td>1890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Average income per acre for tenant cultivator (item 2 minus item 4 (Rs.)</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>1346</td>
<td>1452</td>
<td>1374</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>1273</td>
<td>1090</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unstarred Questions and Answers. 25th September, 1969.
STATEMENT-II PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE
(Vide Answer to clauses (c) and (d) L. A. Q No. 1789 (Unstarred) Serial No. 107)

PERCENTAGE OF DIVIDENDS PAID BY THE MANAGEMENTS OF SUGAR FACTORIES TO SHAREHOLDERS DURING 1967-68

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the Factory</th>
<th>Profit or Loss in 1967-68</th>
<th>If there were profits, percentage of dividends paid.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Sree Rama Sugars and Industries Ltd., Bobbili</td>
<td>Net Loss</td>
<td>Does not arise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Sree Rama Sugars and Industries Ltd., Seethanagaram (both under same management)</td>
<td>Rs. 8,63,973-77</td>
<td>Does not arise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Anakapalle Co-operative Sugar Factory, Anakapalle</td>
<td>Loss Rs 8,60,786-23</td>
<td>The profits received during 1967-68 were adjusted to the accumulated loss during the previous season and hence no dividends were declared Does not arise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Etikoppaka Co-operative Sugar Factory, Etikoppaka</td>
<td>Net Profit Rs 76,005-58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Reserved fund 10,001-40
2. Dividend on preference share at 6 1/4% 4,687-50
3. Dividend on ordinary shares at 3 1/4% 46,312-50
4. Honorarium to Secretary 6,000-00
5. Undisbursable profits carried to Reserve fund 4-18

Rs. 76,005-58
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6. Thandava Cooperative Sugar Factory, Thandava.</td>
<td>Loss Rs. 19,90,142-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Chodavaram Cooperative Sugar Factory, Chodavaram.</td>
<td>Loss Rs. 15,74,001-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. M/s Deccan Sugars Ltd., Samalkota</td>
<td>Net profit, after taxation Rs. 22,62,113 for company as a whole, which has a sugar factory at Pagalur also and out of the profit 10.05 lakhs has been paid as dividends to shareholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Kirlampudi Sugars Ltd., Pithapuram</td>
<td>Profits Rs. 8,29,885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Sri Sarvaraya Sugars Ltd., Chelluru</td>
<td>Profits Rs. 36,65,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Andhra Sugars Ltd., Tanuku.</td>
<td>Profits Rs. 68,22,196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. M/s V. V. S. Sugars, Chagallu</td>
<td>Profits Rs. 53,68,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Palakol Cooperative Sugar Factory, Palakol.</td>
<td>No Profits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A sum of Rs. 42,750 may be utilised from Dividend equalisation fund to pay dividend on ordinary shares also at 6 1/4%.
Does not arise.

Does not arise.

1. Preference shares 9.1%.
2. Ordinary shares 13.5%.

Rs 10,05,120

The profits were kept off against the losses of Rs 15,20,829 carried forward from previous years.
To preference shares holders 9 5%.
To equity share holders. 12%.
To share holders 12%. Rs 13,93,926.80
The profit distributed at rate of 10% on equity capital and at 7 5502% on first preference and at Rs. 8.8085% on second preference shares and the total paid of dividend to share holders was 7,57,944.
Does not arise.
14. Sivakami Sugars Ltd., Paidiparru. Profit Rs. 61,195.48 before depreciation.
15. M/s Challapalle Sugars Ltd., Challapalle. Profits Rs. 22,57,625


17. Chittoor Cooperative Sugars Ltd., Chittoor. Profit Rs. 7,41,648.37

18. Nizamabad Cooperative Sugars Factory, Nizamabad. Loss Rs. 7,10,400.03
19. M/s Nizam Sugar Factory Ltd., Shakkarnagar. Rs 61,79,983 being the profit after adjusting the previous years loss of Rs 43,88,721 and after making provision for taxation. This also includes the Agricultural Profit made by the company from its own farms viz. Rs. 38,29,137.
The profit was adjusted against the total loss of Rs. 14,5,422.11.

1. Dividend of 65 paise per share subject to deduction of income tax.

2. On equity shares Dividend of Rs. 1 per share subject to deduction of income tax 6.5% on
Cumulative preference share Rs 18,915.00
10% equity share. 6,40,542.00
6,54,457.00

(a) 7% of 1st preference share of Rs. 100 each 17,452.00
(b) 9% on 2nd preference shares on Rs. 100 each 3,67,119-00
(c) 10% of equity share of Rs. 10 each. 13,73,495-00
17,58,066.00

The profits of Rs 7,41,648.37 has to be deducted from accumulated loss of Rs 68,25,328.52 Paise
of the previous years which leaves a balance loss of Rs 60,83,560.15 Ps.
Does not arise.

12% Dividend on ordinary shares have been distributed to the share holders besides 5% and
4% on the cumulative preference shares. The total amount of dividend distributed comes to
Rs 17,08,488. 72% of the paid up capital of company is held by the Government of Andhra
Pradesh and number of public share holders who are benefited are 1166.
PACKAGE SCHEME

108—

1339 Q.— Sri D'ianekula Narasimham :— Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) the number of districts in which the package scheme has been implemented during 1968-69, and

(b) the crops for which the said scheme has been implemented?

A :


(b) The following are the crops selected under the programme:

1. Paddy,
2. Jowar,
3. Ragi,
4. Maize,
5. Sugarcane
6. Groundnut,
7. Cotton,
8. Banana,
9. Vegetables

Besides the above, package programmes on cotton, groundnut and castor are also under execution. The details are given below:

Cotton:


2. Package Scheme for the development of sea island cotton-Yemmiganur are in Kurnool district.

3. Package scheme for rainfed cotton in assured rainfed areas-Adilabad and Guntur Districts.

Oilseeds:

1. Package Programme on groundnut under assured rainfall areas-Srikakulam, Visakhapatnam, Guntur Krishna, Nellore, Mahabubnagar, Warangal, Khammam and Karimnagar.

2. Package Programme on groundnut under irrigated conditions Anantapur, Visakhapatnam, East Godavari, Nellore, Mahabubnagar.

3. Package Programme on sesame under irrigated conditions Srikakulam, Visakhapatnam, East Godavari, West Godavari.

4. Package Programme on castor-Hyderabad, Mahabubnagar, Nellore and Nalgonda.

SPRINKLING IRRIGATION

109—

1404 Q.— Sri P. O. Satyanarayana Raju :— Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:
25th September, 1969

(a) whether there are any farms in our State, where Sprinkling Irrigation has been introduced,
(b) if so the places, where it is introduced; and
(c) whether it has yielded any good results?
A:—
(a) No, Sir.
(b) Does not arise.
(c) Does not arise.

HYBRID JOWAR

110—
154) Q.—Sri Dhanenkula Narasimham.— Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state,
(a) the varieties of hybrid jowar introduced in Rayalaseema, during 1968-69; and
(b) the number of acres in which these seeds have been sown and the result thereof?
A:—
(a) the high yielding variety of hybrid jowar (C. S. H. I.) was introduced in Rayalaseema even prior to 1968-69;
(b) the acreage covered by these varieties during the year 1968-69 in Rayalaseeema district is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Districts</th>
<th>Kharif</th>
<th>Rabi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>1127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuddapah</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>1422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anantapur</td>
<td>2165</td>
<td>1035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>1384</td>
<td>1835</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hybrid jowar (C. S. H. I) could not make much headway due to low price offered to the grain in the local market and also want of ready sale in the market. This variety is less palatable when compared to local varieties and the keeping quality is also poor. Further it is susceptible to pest attack. Hence hybrid jowar is not favoured by the ryots.

Swarna jowar which is a high yielder, but not hybrid is found to be very promising and it was introduced in small area in 1965-66 and its performance is being watched in 1969-70 also before it is popularised on a large scale.

WHEAT CROP
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1547 Q.—Sri Dhanenkula Narasimham:— Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state
(a) the number of acres in which wheat crop has been raised in our State during 1968-69;
(b) the steps being taken by the Government to extend the area under wheat crop?
A:—
(a) The area in which wheat crop has been raised in our State during 1968-69 is 31,500 acres.
(b) The area was on the decrease till recently due to rust disease, low yielding capacity of the indigenous varieties of wheat. During 1968-69 dwarf high yielding Mexican varieties which are resistant to rust and highly responsive fertilizers have been introduced in the State and their performance is very encouraging.

In 1969-70, it has been programmed to bring an area of 50,000 acres with high yielding varieties of wheat.

**Protected Water Supply Schemes to Municipalities**

112—

1324 Q.—Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) how many Municipal Councils in the State are giving protected water supply to their citizens, and

(b) how many councils in the State are having underground drainage system?

A:—

(a) Forty five.

(b) One partly.

**Polio Cases**

113—

730 (1653) Q.—Sri P. O. Satyanarayana Raju:—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether any cases of polio were reported in the year 1967-68;

(b) if so, the number of cases reported; and

(c) whether any preventive action was taken and if so, the nature of the same?

A:—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) 634.

(c) wherever poliomyelitis cases are reported contacts are protected by administrating polio vaccine.

**Sugar-Cane Cultivation in T. B. Project Areas**

114—

1409 Q.—Sri P. O. Satyanarayana Raju:—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether Sugar Cane cultivation has been allowed in the Tungabhadra Project, Low Level Canal ayacut; and

(b) if so, the extent that has been allowed for cultivation during the year 1968-69?

A:—

(a) & (b): The cultivation of sugarcane crop has not been allowed in Tungabhadra Project, Low Level Canal ayacut. There are however, small extents of the localised ayacut in different villages, measuring an extent of 33.99 acres cultivated during the year 1968-69 with sugarcane crop unauthorisedly.
INSTITUTES OF PREVENTIVE MEDICINE
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1507 Q.—Sri R. Mahananda.—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:
(a) how many regional institutes of Preventive Medicine are there in our State till today,
(b) is there any proposal to establish additional Centers in the State in 1969-70;
(c) are there representations and suggestions from the Superintendents of Government Headquarters Hospitals, and
(d) what is the appropriate expenditure recurring and non-recurring to run a Regional Institute?

A. —
(a) There is no institute like Regional Institute of Preventive Medicine. There is one Institute of Preventive Medicine at Hyderabad, with four Regional Laboratories each at Warangal, Guntur, Kurnool and Visakhapatnam.
(b) No, Sir.
(c) Yes. The Superintendent, Government Head-quarters Hospital, Cuddapah represented for location of one Regional Laboratory at Cuddapah. But in view of the fact that there is already one Regional Laboratory at Kurnool to meet the needs of the Districts of Chittoor, Cuddapah, Kurnool and Anantapur, the request for location of another Regional Laboratory at Cuddapah is not considered.
(d) Approximate expenditure. On each Regional Laboratory:
Recurring Rs. 1.65 Lakhs.
Non-recurring Rs. 2.00 Lakhs.
On Institute of Preventive Medicine:
Recurring expenditure Rs. 13.74 Lakhs.
(Non-recurring expenditure will be necessary only when new Institute is established when it may be Rs. 5.00 Lakhs).

DEPUTATION OF MEDICAL OFFICERS TO ARMY
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1809 Q.—Sri R. Mahananda.—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:
(a) how many Medical Officers were deputed to Army Service in 1967-68; 1968-69,
(b) whether they have all joined the Army services and, if not, the reasons therefor,
(c) whether there is any special allowance paid to these deputed candidates?

A. —

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of Medical Officers deputed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) 1967-68</td>
<td>.. 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) 1968-69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two Medical Officers joined Army Medical Service during 1967-68. The remaining 40 out of 42 deputed did not join for the following reasons:—
(i) 18 Medical Officers were found medically unfit,
(ii) 9 Medical Officers were reinstated after enquiry,
(iii) 7 Medical Officers have filed writ petitions;
(iv) 1 Medical Officer resigned from service;
(v) 5 Medical Officers got cancellation orders from the Director, General-Armed Forces Medical Services.
1968-69; No one was deputed in.
(c) Yes. A family allowance of Rs. 250 per mensem was sanctioned and paid to every Medical Officer who joined and served in Army during the period from the date of issue of orders sanctioning the allowance namely 23-11-1965 till the date of revoking of the Emergency i.e., 9-1-1968.

FIRE STATIONS IN THE STATE
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* 1671 Q—Sri Dhanenkula Narasimham:—Will the hon Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) the total number of Fire Stations in the State, and
(b) their location?

A:—

(a) 69.
(b) A statement is laid on the Table of the House.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Fire-stations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Srikakulam**| 1. Srikakulam  
                2. Sompeta  
                3. Bobbili  |
| **Visakhapatnam**| 4. Vizianagaram  
                    5. Visakhapatnam  
                    6. Anakapalle  |
| **East Godavari**| 7. Kakinada  
                     8. Rajahmundry  
                     9. Peddapuram  
                    10. Amalapuram  
                    11. Tuni  |
| **West Godavari**| 12. Eluru  
                     13. Nidadavole  
                     14. Palacole  
                     15. Bhimavaram  
                     16. Tadepalli  
                     17. Tanuku  
                     18. Chintalapudi  |
| **Krishna**| 19. Vijayawada  
                 20. Machilipatnam  
                 21. Gudivada  
                 22. Jaggayapeta  
                 23. Nuzvid  |
| **Guntur**| 24. Guntur  
             25. Tenali  
             26. Chirala  
             27. Ongole  
             28. Narasaraopet  |
| **Nellore**| 29. Nellore  
                30. Gudur  
                31. Kavali  |
| **Kurnool**| 32. Nandyal  
                33. Kurnool  
                34. Adoni  
                35. Srissailam Project (Right Bank)  

**Eastern Region**

- **Anantapur District**
  - 86. Anantapur  
  - 37. Guntakal  
  - 38. Hindupur  
  - 39. Tadipatri

- **Cuddapah District**
  - 40. Cuddapah  
  - 41. Proddatur  
  - 42. Jamnagaram

- **Chittoor District**
  - 43. Chittoor  
  - 44. Tirupati  
  - 45. Mudnapalli  
  - 46. Kalabasti

**Central Region**

- **Warangal District**
  - 47. Warangal

- **Khammam District**
  - 48. Khammam  
  - 49. Kothagudem

- **Nizamabad District**
  - 50. Nizamabad

- **Adilabad District**
  - 51. Adilabad  
  - 52. Mancherial

- **Karimnagar District**
  - 53. Karimnagar

- **Mahaboobnagar District**
  - 54. Mahaboobnagar  
  - 55. Gadwal  
  - 56. Srissailam (Left Bank)

**Southern Region**

- **Hyderabad District**
  - 57. Vikarabad

- **Nalgonda District**
  - 58. Nalgonda  
  - 59. Surampet  
  - 60. Nagarjunasagar Dam

- **Medak District**
  - 61. Medak  
  - 62. Saasivpet

- **Hyderabad City**
  - 63. Darrus-salam  
  - 64. Gowliguda  
  - 65. Moghalpura  
  - 66. Musaramabad  
  - 67. Somajiguda  
  - 68. Sanathnagar  
  - 69. Secunderabad
SHOTS REGISTERED UNDER SHOPS AND ESTABLISHMENTS ACT

113 — 1400 Q — Shri Dhanenkula Narasimham :—Will the hon. Minister for Labour be pleased to state,
(a) the number of shops registered under Shops and Establishments Act, during 1967-68, and
(b) the amount of registration fee derived by the Government therefrom?
A :—
(a) & (b) Even though the Andhra Pradesh Shops and Establishments Act, 1966 was brought into force from 13th June, 1967, the rules framed under the Act, which are necessary for implementation of the Act, could be finalized and enforced only from 11th June 1968. Hence no registration of Shops was done during 1967-68 and hence no fees were derived during that year.

119 — 1100 Q.—Shri S. Venayya :—Will the hon. Minister for Medium Irrigation and Flood Control be pleased to state:
(a) the time by which the execution of "Dagadarthi Munganur" Channel in Kavali Taluk, Nellore District will be completed; and
(b) the estimated cost of the scheme and the extent of land that will be benefited on account of this scheme?
A :—
(a) The work is still in initial stages. The completion of work depends on the availability of funds.
(b) Rs. 16,00,710 including direct and indirect charges. The ayacut that will be benefited under the scheme is 8101 acres.

PALM SUGAR FACTORIES

120 — 119 (1937) Q — Shri R. Mahananada :—Will the hon. Minister for Small Scale Industries be pleased to state
(a) whether the Government sanctioned two palm-sugar plants of one ton capacity each at Repalle and Nuzvid in Guntur and Krishna Districts, if so, what are the allotments given to these plants as grant and loan in 1964-65, 1965-66 and 1966-67;
(b) whether these plants are meant for ex-tappers;
(c) if so, how many ex-tappers are there as members, and
(d) whether these two plants are in running condition?
A :—
(a) The Government sanctioned during the year 1939 two one ton capacity Palm Sugar plants one at Repalle in Guntur District and at Nuzvid in Krishna District at a cost of Rs. 1,50,000 to each plant. The control over the two units was transferred to the A.P. Khadi and Village Industries Board in the year 1961 along with the amount of Rs. 1.5 lakhs as grant-in-aid pending finalisation of the terms of transfer. The Government have not sanctioned any more funds to the above units either by way of grant or loan during the years 1964-65 to 1966-67 as the units were under the control of the Khadi Board.
(b) Yes, Sir
(c) Palm Sugar plant, Repalle 538 Palm Sugar Plant, Nuzvid
(d) Yes, Sir.
Mr. Speaker:— Please do one thing Mr. Rajeswara Rao—send a copy of the notice to the Home Minister. I will ask him to make a statement day after tomorrow. Let him get the information.

M. R. Radhakrishna:— The Ryots are cultivating Banjar lands.

Asitha:— Mr. Speaker:— I will ask the Home Minister to...

Motion under Rule 77 of the Assembly Rules

Mr. Speaker :—I have received a copy of the notice sent by as many as 11 members under rule 77 of the Assembly Rules, i.e., the notice of a resolution for removal of the Speaker. It is sent by 11 members and it was delivered to the Secretary yesterday at 1:00 p.m. It contains the following names: Sri Badri Vishal Pitti, Sri T. Purushotham Rao; Sri K. Atchuta Reddy; Sri M. M. Hashim; Smt. J. Eswari Bai; Sri R. Bhoom Rao; Sri Ch. Satyanarayana; Smt Sumitra Devi; Sri P. Narasinga Rao; Sri M. Baga Reddy and Sri Laxminarayan simha Rao. It contains about 13 pages. I have not gone through it. There are number of allegations—as many as 13 allegations. I do not know whether any of the Members are here. May I know what the Members want me to do?

Sri C. V. K. Rao :—When the Budget Session is going on, can any Member give a notice?

Mr. Speaker :—There is nothing like that Mr. Rao.

Sri C. V. K. Rao :—Even then, there should be 14 days for considering this resolution. We have got to go through that. There are so many motives impused. That is going on in this House since the beginning of the session. I raise a fundamental objection. A notice is to be given to the Secretary and that is to be taken up after 14 days and you cannot ask a Member to explain himself. They may go on explaining so many things and we cannot permit and there are different motives in this House.

Mr. Speaker :—I am aware of the provision in the Constitution as well as rules. I am not allowing any discussion now 14 days notice has to be given and it is only after 14 days, the matter will be taken up in consultation with the Leader of the Opposition and Leaders of all political parties and a date will be fixed. That is a different matter. Now, I am only bringing to the notice of this House that a notice has been given by some Members and I want them to tell me how they want me to dispose of this matter.

Sri C. V. K. Rao :—You cannot ask a Member to explain about how you can dispose of the matter. I raise a fundamental objection. Personally you are there and all the same, let it not be looked at that angle. It is a right of this House and you cannot permit a Member to tell any thing. We have been seeing so many things and people have come here with a particular mode of behaviour.

(Interruption)

I say that this House cannot be dictated by a single individual or group of individuals with some motives and I cannot keep quite, Sir.

Mr. Speaker :—Please hear me. Why I am bringing this to the notice of this House is, the business arranged by the Business Advisory Committee most probably will be disposed of by 29th as originally programmed. As it is, if 14 days of notice is to be given from yesterday i.e. 24th, on 8th of next month, it has to be taken up. But on 8th, the House will not sit. That is why, I am asking the House as to what is that they want me to do. That is all what I am saying.
704 25th September, 1959. Motion under Rule 77 of the Assembly Rules:

Notice of resolution for removal of Speaker or Deputy Speaker

(1) A member wishing to give notice of a resolution under clause (c) of Article 179 of the Constitution, for the removal of the Speaker or the Deputy Speaker shall do so in writing to the Secretary.

(2) On receipt of a notice under sub-rule (1), a motion for leave to move the resolution shall be entered in the list of business in the name of the member concerned, on a day fixed by the Speaker, provided that the day so fixed shall be any day after fourteen days from the date of the receipt of the notice of the resolution.

Mr. Speaker:—By that time, the House will not be sitting; that is what I say.

Sri A. Madhava Rao:—Then, it must lapse.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—There is a Constitutional disability. Otherwise, I would have requested the Speaker or he himself would have requested the House to consider it and dispose of it. But unfortunately, 14 days, clear days, are necessary, in this regard.

Mr. Speaker:—What I am informing this House is that this House will not be sitting after 14 days. So, it can be taken up in the next Session.

1. Mr. friends unprecedented notice. I don’t think in the history of this Assembly so far, such a motion was brought in, and it pained many of us here, Sir. It is not a reflection on you, here. It is a reflection on the whole of the Assembly.

Mr. Speaker:—It is the right of every Member. We cannot question a right of a Member.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—But that right should be exercised in a proper procedural manner. I have discouraged that. I have discouraged that. I have discouraged that.
Motion under Rule 77 of the Assembly Rules:

The Speaker or the Deputy Speaker or the person presiding as the case may be shall, thereupon, place the motion before the Assembly and shall request those members who are in favour of leave being granted to rise in their places and if not less than fifty members rise accordingly, the Speaker or the Deputy Speaker, or the person presiding, as the case may be shall say that the leave has been granted and that the resolution will be taken up on such day, not being more than ten days from the date on which leave is asked for, as he may appoint.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—"The Speaker or the Deputy Speaker or the person presiding as the case may be shall, thereupon, place the motion before the Assembly." Mr. Speaker:—"The Constitutional provision is clear. I will read it:"

"179 (c) . . . Provided that no resolution for the purpose of clause (c) shall be moved unless at least fourteen days notice has been given of the intention to move the resolution: . . ."

That means, only after the expiry of 14 days, I can put it before this House. I am only saying that after 14 days, the Assembly will not be in session.

Mr. Speaker:—The Constitutional provision is clear. I will read it:

"179 (c) . . . Provided that no resolution for the purpose of clause (c) shall be moved unless at least fourteen days notice has been given of the intention to move the resolution: . . ."

That means, only after the expiry of 14 days, I can put it before this House. I am only saying that after 14 days, the Assembly will not be in session.
Mr Speaker — I raise a point of order, Sir. Under rule 77, and under the Constitutional provision, no confidence motion against the Speaker cannot be taken up in this irregular manner, and there can be no discussion on that. Is there any provision under the rules that the Speaker can permit the discussion on that thing and on this you have got to give a ruling. Whether you agree or not, if you rule it out, I have may way of protest.

Mr Speaker — It is rather painful for me to hear ..., I do not want any kind of dispute or discussion in this regard. I am not going against rules. I am fully aware of the Constitutional provision as well as rules under which this has been given. It is not as though I am going against any rules or any such thing. There should be no anxiety for Mr. C V K. Rao or Mr. G. Sivaiah or Mr. Ratnasabapathi or anybody. I am only telling that according to rules, 14 clear days are necessary and after 14 days the House will not be in Session and so it can be taken up only in the next Session. There can be no dispute about it and no discussion about it. Now, I am not allowing any discussion at the moment on the motion.

Mr Speaker — I felt it my duty to inform the House Mr Mohananda. Naturally members of the course ... They will have full time also and I am confident that the members will not be strained because somebody else will try to influence them or anything like that. Even by my own conduct they would have formed some impression about my ...
Motion under Rule 77 of the Assembly Rules:

25th September, 1969

Mr Speaker.—As the position stands now, presuming that the Assembly will not be prorogued.

Mr Speaker.—Yes. Yes. 13 allegations.

Sri P. Narasimha Rao:—There is no question of attributing any motives. We have specific grievances. Therefore we have given a Resolution. We have catalogued all the details.

Propriety demands that Deputy Speaker or someone from panel of Chairmen should preside. That is my point of order.

(S) "The cat is out of the bag."

Sri P. Narasimha Rao:—The Resolution is against the Speaker himself. That is my point of order.

'C'est la vie'.

Motion under Rule 77 of the Assembly Rules:

Sri P. Narasinga Rao:—What is cat? Which is bag? Which is cat? Name it.

Mr. Speaker:—That is what I am saying. I want to tell him.

Mr. Speaker:—I am not allowing. Inspite of my asking them to resume their seats...........

Sri G. Sivaiah:—My time is more precious than yours.

Mr. Speaker:—Not only your time but time of every member in this House is very precious.

Even the other day, Sri Badri Vishal Pitti had given notice of Breach of Privilege against the Chief Minister, Chief Secretary, Commissioner of Police and everybody on the ground that vast Police bandobust arrangements were made and that led to breach of privilege. I told the House that neither the Chief Minister, nor the Chief Secretary nor the Commissioner of Police is responsible but I am responsible. If you want to give notice of Breach of Privilege, the next minute I said “I offer to vacate my seat and allow discussion”. The very next minute I said, I am not going to be here and the discussion may carry on. He said he would consider about it and let me know. So far, he has not informed me whether he intends to bring the breach of Privilege Motion against me or not. That is one thing.

So far as your point is concerned, it is not as though the House is going to enter into discussion on the allegations against me. The rule is very clear as to when I should vacate. I should certainly vacate. You need not have any fear. If any of you wants to have the Chair, you can have it. Even now the Chief Minister has rightly observed. But for the Constitutional provison straightway I would have put it to the House and asked them whether they are prepared to grant leave and find out the sense of the House. I know what the sense of the House is? But still all of us have got to comply with the Constitutional provision as well as the rules. Mr. Badri Vishal Pitti! You need not have any such fear that I will sit here in the Chair and pressurise and influence the members by my presence in the Chair. I will allow impartial discussion and then Deputy Speaker or one from the Panel of Chairmen whoever he may be, will be in the Chair.

[Sri K. Buchtryayudu rose in his seat]

Mr. Speaker:—Both of you belong to the same party.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Mr. Badri Vishal Pitti is a party like me.

[Sri Badri Vishal Pitti rose in his seat]

Mr. Speaker:—I will hear, I will hear.
Motion under Rule 77 of the Assembly Rules:

Shri C. V. K. Rao :- I protest against this. He talks in language which I cannot understand. Let him speak in English. Why should there be this kind of shelter?

Mr. Speaker. — That is his privilege. He cannot help it.

Shri C. V. K. Rao :— Neck me out Sir, You exercise your authority. He is taking advantage of the situation. He speaks neither in English nor in Telugu. What is the position now?

Shri K. Butchirayudu :— I wish to clarify. Let me have five minutes time.

Shri C. V. K. Rao :— A notice of motion of no confidence in the Deputy Speaker of the provincial parliament which was received on October 11, 1951, was not proceeded with on the ground that the Notice was short of the prescribed period of 14 days as the session was scheduled to adjourn sine die on October 16, 1951.

Sri C. V. K. Rao :— Is this not the stubborn way?

Mr. Speaker :— Mr. C. V. K. Rao is feeling and everybody is feeling.
710 25th September, 1969.  

Motion under Rule 77 of the 
Assembly Rules:

Mr. Speaker:—...... because he does not want me to understand him even though it is a motion against me. He does not want me to understand.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—This is the way in which ......

Mr. Speaker:—He speaks in a language. Even though you have given a notice against me, you don't want me to understand what you are saying.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—This is the type of democracy my colleague is maintaining.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—As customary this way. I am giving you this notice. You have given a notice against me, you don't want me to understand what you are saying.

(Mr. Speaker:—I don't know why unnecessarily members are excited over this. Members have got a right to give a notice of the motion. They have given the notice of motion under the Rules. The motion is perfectly in order. The only thing I am telling the House is it cannot be taken up now and it will be taken up later. It can be taken up after a few days. That is all. Why should you get excited when the Speaker himself is not getting excited? I have no complaint against anybody, I am telling you. Why should I get excited? Evidently some of them are not satisfied with my conduct. They are justified in giving notice. Why should I unnecessarily get excited? Why do you get excited on my behalf?

Sri G. Sivaiah:—However, we will be glad to know. He is from Telangana.

(Sri G. Venkat Reddi and many other members rose in their seats).
Motion under Rule 77 of the Assembly Rules:

25th September, 1930.

Mr. Speaker:—I do not agree. It is not proper for anybody to attribute any motive to Members. It is unfair. I would request Mr. Kotiah not to attribute any motives to any Member.

Mr. Kotiah:— I think there is nothing behind this. But I think there is nothing behind this.

Mr. Speaker:—I do not agree. It is not proper for anybody to attribute any motive to Members. It is unfair. I would request Mr. Kotiah not to attribute any motives to any Member.
25th September 1960

Point of Information:
re: Collection of school fees in Telangana area.

Mr. Speaker:—Why do you attribute motives. I am not allowing any discussion.

Mr. Speaker:—That is, provided the Assembly is not prorogued.

POINT OF INFORMATION
re: Collection of School fees in Telangana area

Sri P. V. Narsimha Rao:—Special fee is levied for special purposes like library, games, etc. why should that be collected from June?
Point of Information
re: Collection of school fees in Telangana area


Sri P. V. Narsimha Rao:—It is collected for the whole year. They do not collect every month. If he tells me what exactly is in his mind in detail, I shall go through it and see what can be done.

Sri Y. Venkata Rao:—What about colleges?

Sri K. Brahmanada Reddi:—No notice is necessary, Sir. It is a matter generally brought and it is for the Education Minister to see what should be done. Notice is not necessary. The question is, because the schools have started from September, whether fees should be collected from June onwards, and if so, from whom and in what categories and things like that, the Education Minister will consider.

Sri P. V. Narsimha Rao:—So far as the colleges are concerned, we do not collect fees month by month, it is only by term that fee is collected and whenever term starts it will be collected.

Mr. Speaker:—The Members may give notice.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—They have not studied the Constitution. At least the Minister for Information should know the Constitution.
Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Probably this pertains to private schools.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—May I know if the Government is so helpless? Can’t they control the private managements?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—I only said that this presumably pertains to private schools. I shall look into it.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:—The Government have got every power to control their mis-deeds.

Sri D. Venkatesam:—The Minister for Education was pleased to inform us that they have no control over private managements. Will the Government ensure this at least in Government schools?

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—I never said that we have no control in this matter. I only said that because we are not levying any fees, presumably this pertains to private schools.

Sri D. Venkatesam:—Special fees are being collected once in a quarter. Regarding Colleges.

Mr. Speaker:—There are 5 Call Attention notices. The first one is in the name of Sri M. Manik Rao.

re: Orders of Detention

On the basis of order of detention made by the Commissioner of Police on 24-6-1969 which was approved on the 4th July 1969 by the Government, Sri D. Pulla Reddy was arrested on 25-8-1969. As the Government had approved the orders of the Commissioner on 4-7-1969 the orders were valid and the detention was in order. Similarly, Sri M. A. Waheed was arrested on 28-8-1969 in pursuance of the orders given by the Commissioner of Police, they sent on 2-8-1969 and it was approved by Government on 8-8-1969. The judgement of the High Court dated 25-8-1969 does not bar implementation of the above orders. Besides, every judgement of the High Court does not apply automatically to all other cases, and unless so directed by the High Court specifically on individual writ petitions the Government have no liability either to release all others or stop implementation of the earlier order.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—I did not say that the High Court gave any direction not to arrest. The only thing was that without grounds of detention and without even change of full-stop or comma, on the same grounds which the High Court struck down Mr. Pulla Reddy and the other student were arrested. I asked, 'what was the justification?' They said, 'Because there was no formal order from the High Court'. There was no justification to take the same grounds which were rejected by the High Court to arrest. It seems the Government has no explanation.

Mr. Speaker:—There are five call attention notices. The first one is in the name of Sri M. Manik Rao.
23th September, 1969.

GALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE.

re: Alleged ill-treatment meted out to the organising Secretary of the Prajasamithi and other Students under arrest.

(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)

The Minister for Home (Sri J. Vengal Rao) :—Sir, Sri V. Ramakrishna Reddy was arrested at Charminar at 1 p.m. on 6-8-1969 under the P. D. Act and was brought to the Central Crime Station at about 1-45 p.m. the same day. The P. D. order was served on him at 2 p.m. He was taken under escort the same day at about 5-30 p.m. by train to Visakhapatnam. At Visakhapatnam he was handed over to the Jail authorities at about 8-30 p.m on 7-8-1969.
25th September, 1969. Calling attention to matter of urgent public importance:

*re*. Death of Sri S. Jagannadhachary of Kesamudram in police custody.

The other students referred to in the Motion were Sarvashri Sudhakar Reddy and Ranga Reddy. They were arrested under the P. D. Act at about 10 p.m. on 5-8-1969 and were brought to the Central Crime Station at about 10-45 p.m. The P. D. Orders were served on them on the morning of 6-8-1969. They were taken under escort to Visakhapatnam by train at 3:30 p.m. on 6-8-1969 along with Sri V. Ramakrishna Reddy who was also arrested on 6-8-1969. They were handed over to the Jail authorities at about 5-30 p.m. on 7-8-1969.

All the three persons were immediately admitted in the Central Jail, Visakhapatnam at about 5-80 p.m. on 7-8-1969 and accommodated in the yard where the other twelve detenus detailed in connection with Telangana agitation were lodged. They were also provided all available facilities. They were also allowed ration at a rate of Rs. 7 per day per head, as a special case relaxing the limit of Rs. 3–50 P stipulated in Rule 6 (1) (a) of the Andhra Pradesh Preventive Detention (Regulation of Place and Conditions) Order, 1964.

None of them were detained for more than 30 hours without giving any reason for their arrest. They were sent to Central Jail, Visakhapatnam by the next immediate train. They were neither man-handled nor sent to Jail in most uncomfortable manner. They were also not put to any inconvenience in the Jail.

They are entitled to second-class. Whether any officer has taken these people in second-class or not, is the most important thing. That is what I was asking.

*re*:—Death of Sri S. Jagannadhachary of Kesamudram in Police custody.

---

716 25th September, 1969. Calling attention to matter of urgent public importance:

*re*. Death of Sri S. Jagannadhachary of Kesamudram in police custody.
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance:

re: Death of Sri S. Jagannadhachary of Kesamudram in police custody.

Sri J. Vengal Rao:—The deceased Puthuri Jagannatham S/o Seetharamalaha, a goldsmith by caste, aged about 55 years was residing at Kesamudram village of Mahabubabad Taluk, Warangal District. He was pursuing his profession as well as practising as a quack Ayurvedic Doctor in his village and in the surrounding villages.

Sri V. Hanumantha Rao, S.I. of Police, Nekonda, Narasampet Circle, Warangal District suspecting the deceased Jagannatham as a receiver of stolen property in Crime No. 43/69 u/s 395 I.P.C. of Kesamudram P.S. sent for him on 5-9-69 morning through Mallesam, an arrack dealer and native of Kesamudram residing at Nekonda.
The deceased one Gopalakrishnaiah and two others of Upparapally got into the train on 5-9-69 evening at Kesamudram and arrived at Nekkonda R. S. at about 8 p.m. All of them appeared before the S. I. who was attending to his work in the waiting room at Nekkonda Railway Station. The S. I. interrogated the deceased and the others for some time and they are said to have left the waiting room between 4 and 5 p.m. Afterwards, the dead body of the deceased was found between the rails on the track near pole No. 105/3 by goods train driver at about 7 p.m. and informed the Station Master Nekkonda R. S. On a message from the A.S.M., Nekkonda, a case in Cr. 126/69 u/s 174 Cr. P. C. was registered by the Kazipet Railway Police, at 11 p.m. on the same day and inquest was held over the body on 6-9-69. The body was sent for autopsy to the Medical Officer, Government Hospital, Narasampet. During the inquest, the second wife of the deceased alleged that her husband was manhandled by Nekkonda S. I. and that she suspected foul play.

Having regard to the circumstances leading to the death of Sri Jagannatham, the police themselves are conducting a crime branch C. I. D inquiry into the matter. The District Magistrate, Warangal is being requested to order a magisterial enquiry into the circumstances leading to the death of Sri Jagannadham.

The Government will enquire into any specific instances brought to their notice and take suitable action, wherever necessary, and there is no need for any apprehension, on the part of the public in this regard. It is not correct to think that the Government would be insensitive to any highhandedness on the part of the police, or for that matter, any other officers of the administration.
23rd September, 1968.

re: ALLEGED HARASSMENT OF C.P.I. (M) MEMBERS BY THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR AND SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE, NARASAMPET

Sir Vengal Rao — Sir, Sri A. Indrakaran Reddy, S. I. of Police, has taken charge of P. S Narasampet on 19-11-1968 and Sri K. Chandra Prakash Rao, C I of Police has taken charge of Narasampet Circle on 18-11-68. Before posting of these officers at Narasampet, series of offences occurred under the leadership of Shaik Nasar, Kallepur Venkatiah and other Communist workers and during the year 1967-68, there were 38 cases registered against their atrocities apart from non-cognizable cases.

Sri K. Chandra Prakash Rao, Circle Inspector of Police and Sri A. Indrakaran Reddy, Sub-Inspector of Police are not in collusion with the local landlords and no raids were conducted by them in any one of the villages of Laknapalli, Muthojipet, Mandapalli and
25th September, 1969. Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance: 

re: Alleged Harassment of C. P. I (M) members by the Circle Inspector and Sub-Inspector of Police, Narasampet.

Thimmampet It is also not correct to say that the Communist party workers were detained in Police custody illegally.

It is not correct to say that Gopisetti Gopal leader of Agricultural Labour Union, was arrested on 3-5-69 and kept for two days in Police custody illegally and was beaten. He is a rowdy and his services are utilised by the Communist party workers for committing illegal acts, and against him rioting cases are pending in the court of law. Chiluveru Malliah and Koppula Lakshmiah of Thimmampet village were neither arrested nor detained at P. S. Narasampet illegally on 24-8-69 and hence it is far from the truth to say that these two people were beaten by the police while they were in custody. But one Arepalli Laxmiah, R/J Banganpalli, aged 30 years (Harijan) who is a communist party sympathizer was found in a state of intoxication causing annoyance to the public in the bus stand, Narasampet on 28-8-69. at 7 P. M. and therefore he was booked u/s 510 IPC on 24-8-69. He was convicted and fined Rs. 5.

The allegation that the C. I. Narasampet, in league with the local landlords like Eppor Janardhan Reddy etc- is wrongfully confining the Marxist party workers belonging to Kammapalli, Gurjala, Rajapally, Pogalapalli, is also not correct. The Communist party worker, Shaik Nasar, trespassed into the Central Primary School along with his brothers and beat the teacher C. Durgaiah and caused him bleeding injury on 2-12-68. and a case in Crime No. 135/68 u/s 452, 353 and 323 IPC was registered at Narasampet P. S. which is pending trial.

There is no truth in the allegation that attack was made on Shaik Nasar of Kammapalli on 15-7-69 with a view to murder him. On 15-7-69, the Congress and Communist party workers committed riotings at Kammapalli in connection with the Bancharai lands reserved for grazing by the Revenue authorities at Kammapalli in which Thadaka Kattiah of Congress and Shaik Nasar of Communist Party received bleeding injuries. Cases in Cr. No. 67 and 68/69 u/s 148, 452 324 PIC and 147, 323 IPC were registered and the cases are being charged. Even after furnishing the interim bonds, both the groups committed these riotings. Security Cases u/ 107 Cr P. C. against them are pending in the S. D. M's court, Mahabubabad.

There is no panic in the people in Narasampet circle. Law and order is being maintained and there is complete security to the lives and property of the villagers. There is absolutely no truth in the allegation that the Police are siding the landlords.
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance;
re: Alleged attempt to burn alive a Harijan boy of Khammam town.

re: ALLEGED ATTEMPT TO BURN ALIVE A HARIJAN BOY OF KHAMMAM TOWN.

Regarding the matter of alleged attempt to burn alive a Harijan boy of Khammam town.

The incident involved the use of kerosine which was poured over the boy and set him ablaze. The boy was taken to the hospital for medical attention. The hospital staff and medical personnel were present during the incident. The boy was later shift to another hospital for further treatment. The hospital records show that the boy was admitted in a critical condition and was treated by the medical team. The hospital provided all necessary medical care and treatment to the boy.

Photographs were taken at the hospital showing the boy and the medical staff. These photographs were provided to the authorities for further investigation. The photographs showed the boy lying in the hospital bed with medical staff attending to him. The hospital records and photographs were available for inspection.

The matter was reported to the police and an investigation was conducted. The police report indicated that the boy was set ablaze by an unknown person. The police team visited the scene and collected evidence. The investigation is ongoing and further action will be taken based on the findings.

The incident is being treated as a serious matter and the investigation is being conducted with all necessary precautions. The authorities are working to ensure justice is served and the perpetrator is brought to justice.

C.I. 0 in a medical examination, an x-ray of the body was taken. The x-ray showed a burn injury to the boy. The burn injury was severe and required medical attention. The medical team provided all necessary medical care and treatment to the boy.

The boy was later shifted to another hospital for further treatment. The hospital staff and medical personnel were present during the incident. The hospital records show that the boy was admitted in a critical condition and was treated by the medical team. The hospital provided all necessary medical care and treatment to the boy.

Photographs were taken at the hospital showing the boy and the medical staff. These photographs were provided to the authorities for further investigation. The photographs showed the boy lying in the hospital bed with medical staff attending to him. The hospital records and photographs were available for inspection.

The matter was reported to the police and an investigation was conducted. The police report indicated that the boy was set ablaze by an unknown person. The police team visited the scene and collected evidence. The investigation is ongoing and further action will be taken based on the findings.

The incident is being treated as a serious matter and the investigation is being conducted with all necessary precautions. The authorities are working to ensure justice is served and the perpetrator is brought to justice.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

re: Alleged attempt to burn alive a Harijan boy of Khammam town.

Sri J. Vengal Rao:—Sri Ragi Mangaiah, resident of Khammam town is a habitual thief who has been committing thefts generally of fowls. On the intervening night of 31-8-1969 and 1-4-69 while he was attempting to commit theft of fowls at the Vasanth Vihar Hotel in Khammam Town, the hotel workers caught him red-handed and questioned him about his identity. The hotel workers namely, Ramireddy and others tried to extract information about other offences committed by him by threatening him dire consequences but in vain. Later they tied his hands with his lungi and burned the cloth and confined him in a room of the hotel and bolted the door. As the accused raised hue and cry, the hotel workers opened the doors and extinguished the fire with the assistance of two others who were sleeping in the hotel.

On receipt of information given by Sri Vilas Rao, Proprietor of the Hotel, the Sub Inspector of police, Khammam rushed to the place and shifted Sri Mangaiah to the Government Head quarters Hospital, Khammam. A case in Crime No. 59/69 under sections 438 and 326 I.P.C. was registered and investigation was taken up. Sri Rami Reddy and 4 other hotel workers were arrested and remanded to judicial custody. Mangaiah was later shifted to M G.M. Hospital, Warangal on 4-4-1969.

The case will be charged soon after the receipt of the medical certificates from the M G.M. Hospital, Warangal and Government Head quarters Hospital, Khammam by the Police. Y.V. Vemulawada, Y.V. Pratap Reddy, petition actual proprietor to register the case for thorough enquiry and to order that a thorough enquiry be ordered.

Sri G. Vemulawada:—actual proprietor to register the case for thorough enquiry.

Sri G. Pratap Reddy:—actual Hotel workers to register the case for thorough enquiry in his behalf.

Sri G. Vemulawada:—actual workers to register the case for thorough enquiry in his behalf.
23rd September, 1969. Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

re: Conference on implementation of recommendations of the Second Wage Board for Textile Industry.

In view of the serious action feared by employers, it is necessary to take immediate steps to ensure the implementation of the recommendations. The S.I. Circle Inspector in charge of the textile industry has been directed to complete the yield assessment story. The Secretary of the S.I. Circle is requested to promote the implementation of the recommendations. It is hoped that the proprietors will co-operate and complete the implementation of the recommendations as early as possible.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance: 25th September, 1969.

Conference on implementation of recommendations of the Second Wage Board of Textile Industry.

Where the average monthly dearness allowance paid by a unit during the year 1968 to its lowest paid workers falls short of 144, the difference between the sum so paid and Rs 140 shall be made up over a period of 5 years commencing from 1-1-1969, as far as may be, in the manner specified below:

- If the average is less than Rs 140, it shall be adjusted by adding Rs 140 to it.
- If the average is more than Rs 140, it shall be adjusted by subtracting the excess from it.

As the Trade Union Leader is one defending the rights of the workers the exploited section —
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Calling attention to a matter of urgent importance.

Public Importance:

re: Conference on implementation of recommendations of the Second Wage Board for Textile Industry.

Calling attention to a matter of urgent importance:


[Content continues in the document.]
The Minister for Civil Supplies (Sri A. Sanjiva Reddy):—Sir, the Government of India have recently announced the minimum support prices of standard variety of paddy Akkullu for all States including Andhra Pradesh at Rs. 45 per quintal for 1969–70 season. The support prices for other varieties of paddy, namely, fine and superfine are fixed at Rs. 64 and Rs. 69 per quintal respectively.

The support prices are announced as a long-term guarantee to the producers so that they can pursue their efforts with the assurance that any temporary glut in the market would not be allowed to depress prices and consequently their incomes. The concept of support prices is a guarantee that if a firm price is crushed down to a level
lower than Rs. 45, the Government will buy up all the stocks from the cultivators at Rs 45/- subject to fair average quality. It is also made clear that these prices are not the prices at which the Government will make compulsory procurement. Procurement prices for paddy will be announced by the Government as usual before the harvesting season.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Amendment to the A. P. Co-operative Societies Rules, 1964.

The Minister for Municipal Administration (Sri N. Chenchu rama Naidu) (deputising for the Chief Minister):

I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the Notification issued in GOs. indicated below relating to amendments to Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Rules, 1964 and published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette on the dates mentioned against them as required under sub-section (2) of section 130 of the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies Act, 1964.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Rule No. of the A.P.C.S. Rules 1964</th>
<th>G O. Ms. No. in which Notification issued</th>
<th>Date of publication in the A. P. Gazette.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Amendment to Form ‘G’</td>
<td>G. O. Ms. No. 323</td>
<td>R. S. to Part I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dt. 26-2-69</td>
<td>A. P. Gazette dated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27-3-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Amendment to Rule 22</td>
<td>G.O. Ms. No. 696</td>
<td>R. S. to Part I of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dt. 8-4-69</td>
<td>A. P. Gazette dated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8-5-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Amendment to Rule 22-C</td>
<td>G.O. Ms. No. 1448</td>
<td>R. S. to Part I of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dt. 6-8-69</td>
<td>A. P. Gazette dated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28-8-69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amendments to Rules relating to appointment and service conditions of certain categories of employees of the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad.

Sri N. Chenchurama Naidu.—I beg to lay on the Table copies of the amendments to Rules relating to appointment and service conditions of certain categories of employees of the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad framed under sub-section (1) of Section 583 read with section 179 of the H. M. C. Act, 1955 (Act II of 1956) as required under sub section (3) of section 585 of the said Act.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Papers are laid on the Table.

PAPERS PLACED ON THE TABLE

re: Particulars of the employees retrenched at Upper Sileru and Lower Sileru Hydro Electric Schemes.

The Minister for Power (Sri V. Krishnamurthy Naidu) —I beg to place on the Table a copy of the statement containing the particulars of the employees retrenched at Upper Sileru and Lower Sileru Hydro Electric Schemes during the years 1967-68 and 1968-69 and re-absorbed in other Projects, as promised on 22-8-1969 while answering L.A.Q. No. 6684

Mr. Deputy Speaker.—Paper is placed on the Table.

MOTION UNDER RULE 95 OF THE ASSEMBLY RULES

re: Serious situation in Telangana area
Motion under Rule 95 of the
Assembly Rules:
26th September, 1969.
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Serious situation in Telangana area.

They would have gone unwept. They would have gone unwept.

Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules:

re: Serious situation in Telangana area.

humble request is that everyone should treat them in that light. An attempt to resolve the situation. 19 members are from the area.


Serious situation in Telangana area.

The motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules is that everyone should treat the situation in that light. A total of 19 members are from the area. The situation is serious in Telangana area.

The motion is that everyone should treat the situation in that light. A total of 19 members are from the area. The situation is serious in Telangana area.
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The motion is that everyone should treat the situation in that light. A total of 19 members are from the area. The situation is serious in Telangana area.

The motion is that everyone should treat the situation in that light. A total of 19 members are from the area. The situation is serious in Telangana area.

The motion is that everyone should treat the situation in that light. A total of 19 members are from the area. The situation is serious in Telangana area.
Motion under Rule 95 of the 25th September, 1959.

Assembly Rules:

re: Serious situation in Telangana area.

He should take it in that line. He should take it in that line.
not mean that it is going against the interest of Andhra. The fact remains that the situation in Telangana area is serious. The 90-day period under the new Constitution has ended. The Assembly rules must be obeyed. Let us not create bad precedents and let us not create at the same time dangerous precedents. Let us not do anything which will create a bad precedent.
Motion under Rule 95 of the
Assembly Rules
re: Serious situation in Telangana area.

735

Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules:

re: Serious situation in Telangana area.

Of course this has to be verified. But whatever it is, it is serious and demands the urgent attention of the House. Of course this has to be verified. But whatever it is, it is serious and demands the urgent attention of the House.
Motion under Rule 93 of the Assembly Rules.

Serious situation in Telangana area

This House takes into consideration the serious situation in the Telangana area.
Motion under Rule 9 of the Assembly Rules:

re: Serious situation in Telangana area.

This has got public backing; this has gone in to the villages. This is mass upsurged; this has nothing
Motion under Rule 95 of the
Assembly Rules:

re: Serious situation in Telangana area.

to do with the Assembly members, whether they join or do not join.
330 members all together. 9 members 9 members. 9 members 9 members. 9 members. 330 members. 9 members.
250 members. 250 members. 250 members. 250 members. 250 members.

Serious situation in Telangana area.

Is self-determination a crime? Is it against the Constitution? Is it against the Constitution?
When Rome was burning, Nero was fiddling.—When the whole Telangana was burning, he becomes Deputy Chief Minister and some others have become Ministers. At whose cost? 250 people, at the cost of thousands of people who courted arrest, thousands of people have become disabled. "When 24 months make 3 years", that is not the criteria. That is not the criteria. When Mr. Vasudevarao was eligible, he got 18 years standing and who have not been taken for some years? When the whole Telangana was burning, he becomes Deputy Chief Minister and some others have become Ministers. At whose cost? 250 people, at the cost of thousands of people who courted arrest, thousands of people have become disabled. When the whole Telangana was burning, he becomes Deputy Chief Minister and some others have become Ministers. At whose cost? 250 people, at the cost of thousands of people who courted arrest, thousands of people have become disabled. This is political corruption. You cannot win the hearts of Telangana people with this political corruption. This is my charge.

1. 25th September, 1969.  Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules:

re: Serious situation in Telangana area.

When Rome was burning, Nero was fiddling. When the whole Telangana was burning, he becomes Deputy Chief Minister and some others have become Ministers. At whose cost? 250 people, at the cost of thousands of people who courted arrest, thousands of people have become disabled. This is political corruption. You cannot win the hearts of Telangana people with this political corruption. This is my charge.
Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules:
re: Serious situation in Telangana area.

Most forward. We are more forward than some of the areas of Andhra region. I am most forward. I do not want any body's mercies or sympathies or any special treatment.
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At the rate of 11 to 12 crores of rupees every year. We are not backward. We are forward. We do not use to come at the mercy of anybody. We are not at all backward. We are forward.

I tell you and we are not at the mercy of anybody. We are forward. If you can reverse this, charge emphatically and say you are the backward people and we will specially treat you, there is no meaning and it is not a stand, I may tell you, how we can impress. How honourable gentlemen you can impress? What is this? After all...

To-day our money has been invested at the rate of 1:2 ratio in Nagarjunasagar. What is the out-turn?
Motion under Rule 95 of the
Assembly Rules:

re: Serious situation in Telangana area.

...
25th September, 1969

Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules:

re: Serious situation in Telangana area

My son was not allowed to come and visit me. I have got the letter. The Chief Secretary refused and nobody was attending on me except my wife. We have written to the Governor, the Chief Minister, the Assembly Rules. My son was not allowed to come and visit me. I have got the letter.

As friends, as relatives, as brothers, as partners whatever you may call it, we must separate ourselves and open our shops. We thought students will all act to the call given by the Students Action Committee and the Prajasamithi. But they are not going to the Colleges and Schools.
Dr. T. V. S Chalapathi Rao :—Sir, to-day is 25th. Please indicate to us how long this debate is likely to take place and if there is any chance for some more Members to speak and when the hon. Chief Minister is going to reply to the debate.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :—I am told it will be continued on 29th again.

Business of the House.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapatlu Rao:—That means the Session is going to be extended beyond 29th.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I will find out from the Speaker and inform you.

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

Mr. Speaker:—So far as discussion on this resolution is concerned, it will be continued on the 29th after the Appropriation Bill is considered. I hope we will be able to finish the discussion on the Appropriation Bill, voting etc. at about 12'o'clock. After 12'o'clock we will take up the discussion on this resolution. Some more Members, I think Sri Narasimha Reddy, Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya and Sri J. Malla Reddy will speak. Then the Chief Minister will be making a statement. Of course, after that with the statement of the Leader of the Opposition who will close the debate if necessary....

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—If you take up at 12 O'clock when are they to speak, and I require at least 1½ hours or two hours.

Mr. Speaker:—One hour I think will do.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—In one hour what can I speak?

Mr. Speaker:—All right. In that case we shall sit beyond 1.30 P. M up to 2 or 2.30 like that and then disperse once for all. As a matter of fact Sri Narasimha Reddy has been asking for a day before yesterday to give an opportunity. What harm is there? Mr. Achutha Reddy wanted to speak to-day itself and I have asked the Deputy Speaker to allow him to speak.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Mr. Achutha Reddy seems to have taken lot of time, Sir, unnecessarily.

(Sir B. Papi Reddy rose to speak)

Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Papi Reddy, you were not present here yesterday when your name was called. Anyhow, only Mr. Narasimha Reddy, Mr. Papi Reddy, Mr. J. Malla Reddy and Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya, will be given an opportunity and every one of you will be given only fifteen minutes.
Mr Speaker:—No, no. I am sorry. It will be taken up only...

Mr. Speaker :—There is no question of asking you to withdraw your remarks or observations. All the members are aware of the fact that this should have been over even yesterday morning. In fact, the Chief Minister himself had indicated that the Appropriation Bill should have been disposed of even yesterday morning. If I am wrong, I am prepared to withdraw the remarks and beg of you your pardon that I am of the confirmed conviction that without the reply of the Chief Minister it is not proper and it will not serve any useful purpose to put the Appropriation Bill to vote.

Mr. Speaker:—The Appropriation Bill will be passed only in the morning. After the Appropriation Bill is over we can take up this discussion. What has that to do with this? This is entirely a different resolution.

சி. சாத்தாமாரிசு :—சாத்தாம் வளர்சிய தோற்றம். 12 மாதவ் கோட்டைக் காரணம் செய்யப்பட்டிருந்த நல்ல அவச்சூட்டின்று. இப்போதைய இவ்வாறையை வைக்கும் வரம்பும். I think it is necessary. He should give a thorough going reply. அவ்விற்குக் காரணம் இந்து தவறாயின் கோட்டைக் காரணம் காரணம் குறிக்குறி என்பது 12 மாதவ் முறையால் இந்து வைக்கும் வரம்பும் இந்து வைக்கும் வரம்பும்.

Mr. Speaker :—All right. We will sit in the evening if necessary.

Sri B. Raimasabhpathi :—Not on this subject. Let us have the Appropriation Bill in the evening and let us continue this discussion.

Mr. Speaker :—May I know what the Chief Minister has got to say?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy :—No, Sir. What has the Appropriation Bill to do with this? Appropriation Bill must be passed in the Forenoon here and then it must go to the Legislative Council and before 3. th it must have the assent of the Governor.

Mr. Speaker :—So, the Legislative Council is meeting on the 29th to consider the Appropriation Bill Notices have already been sent to the Members that the Appropriation Bill will be considered by the Council on 29th evening.

சி. சாத்தாமாரிசு :—சாத்தாம் வளர்சிய தோற்றம் தோற்றத்தில் என்பது. Till 8 O'clock we will sit and let us pass the Appropriation Bill.

மருந்து இவ்வின் :—சிறு சராசரி வளர்சிய என்ன நூற்றுணி என்ன நூற்றுணிய என்ன நூற்றுணிய. On 29th after the Question-hour I have got ten to twelve Call Atention Notices That may take.

சி. சாத்தாமாரிசு :—சாத்தாம் வளர்சிய தோற்றம் தோற்றத்தில் என்பது.வளர்சிய தோற்றம் தோற்றத்தில் என்பது. Mr. Speaker :—I have disallowed nearly 70, 80 call attention notices. என்ன நூற்றுணிய தோற்றம் என்ன நூற்றுணிய. It is impossible.

சி. சாத்தாமாரிசு :—என்ன நூற்றுணிய 30 ல் வளர்சிய நூற்றுணிய 30 ல் வளர்சிய என்ன நூற்றுணிய 30 ல் வளர்சிய என்ன நூற்றுணிய 30 ல் வளர்சிய.

Mr. Speaker :—I think notices have already been sent and the business has already been decided.
Dr T. V. S Chalapathi Rao :- Anyhow this turn we never expected. The manner in which this debate is continued we were very very confident some positive result would come out of this debate. I am afraid now it will not serve any purpose.

Mr Speaker :- If it is not to-day something positive will come out on 29th.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao — How is it possible, Sir?
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Half-hour discussion:

re: Enhancement of the price of rice by the C.I. (L A Q. No. 766-Stated).


Sri G Sivaiah:—Sir, the people are waiting and purchasing the rice from the shops at Rs. 106 for fine rice and at Rs. 83 for coarse rice. This is a wonderful policy of the Government. I do not understand that the Government is not interested either in the rates or in the consumers. They are interested in the Food Corporation or private party. This Government seems to be representing the business men rather than consumers and agriculturists. Whether this Government will seriously consider reducing the prices to reach consumer or not; and if they want sufficient money, let them tax the big and reduce the rice rates. Let the Chief Minister understand this, Sir.


The price of rice by the F.C.I. (L A.Q. No. 766-Starred).

What is the consumers' price? What is the price given by the F.C.I.? What are the wholesaler charges and the godown charges?
Fifty-hour discussion:


Rice is a scarce commodity in the world. In a country like India where rice is grown extensively, the role of the F.C.I. in stabilizing the market and ensuring a fair price for rice is crucial. The enhancement of the price of rice by the F.C.I. is a proactive measure to address the scarcity of rice, especially when the global supply is limited. This action helps to ensure that rice is available at a fair price to the consumers, thereby maintaining the economic stability of the country.

In two aspects:

1. The price of rice has been increased from Rs. 6.25 to Rs. 7.95 per quintal, which is a significant change.
2. F.C.I. has also introduced the system of cheap grain shops, offering rice at a subsidized rate to the economically weaker sections of the society.

These measures are aimed at addressing the scarcity of rice and ensuring that it remains affordable for all. The F.C.I.'s role in this regard is commendable, and it is hoped that these measures will help in stabilizing the market and providing relief to the rice growers as well as consumers.

Half-hour discussion
re: Enhancement of the price of rice by the F.C.I. (L. Q. No. 76-Starred).

F.C.I. ఇంకా 84 రేణు అమ్మంగా పడుతుంది వలన ముప్పుడా కాని: 72 రేణు
అమ్మంగా పడేది ఇంకా తాత్కాలికంగా 74 రేణు 75 రేణు
అమ్మంగా పడేది ముప్పకా బాధించారు. ఈ బాధాను పట్టిక ఇంకా 71 రేణు
పట్టిక ఇంకా, పాట అమ్మం సమయం నిర్మాణం చేసి విడివిడి ప్రడాన
చేసినప్పటి ప్రస్తుతి విషయం వాటి ప్రతిష్ఠాన అందించారు.

ప్ర ఈ. తండ్రింపోవడానికి: — మనం, ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న మనంపై జరుగుదల
గాను కాటకట్టినారు.

ప్రి సోయ. జాతిమానికి: — ఆమె రోజు విషయానికి ఉపయోగం
డిగు నిర్మాణం చేసినారు.

ప్ర ఈ. మనం పాటు: — జరుగుదల నిర్మాణం విషయం వాతావరణతో
చేసారు?

ప్ర ఈ తండ్రింపోవడానికి: — మనం ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న మనంపై
లిఫ్ట్ నిర్మాణం జరుగుదల నిర్మాణం చేసారు. అందుకే
ప్యాన్న నిర్మాణం — ప్యాన్న నిర్మాణం క్రితిపండ్తెలా ప్యాన్న
 ప్యాన్న సమయం విషయం వాతావరణతో సమయం విషయం వాతావరణతో
రోజు ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న మనంపై ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న
posస్టిత్రేడు యొక్క ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న
మనంపై కాంతిగా ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న 
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న 
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న 
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న 
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న 
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న 
ప్యాన్న ప్యాన్న 
ప్యాన్న 
ప్యాన్న 
ప్యాన్న 
ప్యాన్న 
ప్యాన్న 
ప్యాన్న 
ప్యాన్న 
ప్యాన్న 
ప్యాన్న


Sir. M. B. Ch.:— 72 rupees 50 paise per quintal. 90 rupees 25 paise was the rate of interest. The 110 rupees 25 paise per quintal rate of interest was 25 paise. The F.C.I. charged 25 paise. If the farmers get 110 rupees 25 paise per quintal, they get cheap grain shops 25 paise. The price of rice is 110 rupees 25 paise. If the farmers get 110 rupees 25 paise per quintal, they get cheap grain shops 25 paise. The price of rice is 110 rupees 25 paise.

Sir. D. B. Ch.:— Sir, the price of rice is 110 rupees 25 paise. If the farmers get 110 rupees 25 paise per quintal, they get cheap grain shops 25 paise. The price of rice is 110 rupees 25 paise. If the farmers get 110 rupees 25 paise per quintal, they get cheap grain shops 25 paise. The price of rice is 110 rupees 25 paise.

Sir. M. B. Ch.:— Sir, the price of rice is 110 rupees 25 paise. If the farmers get 110 rupees 25 paise per quintal, they get cheap grain shops 25 paise. The price of rice is 110 rupees 25 paise. If the farmers get 110 rupees 25 paise per quintal, they get cheap grain shops 25 paise. The price of rice is 110 rupees 25 paise.
Half-hour discussion:


Half-hour discussion:


I. M. T. asks: —The House is in session. We wish to ask the

F.C.I. as to whether the price of rice is to be enhanced?

II. M. M. asks: —It may be stated that the price of rice

is already enhanced. I am of the opinion that

the price of rice should not be enhanced.

III. P. N. asks: —The F.C.I. have increased the price of rice

by 25 per cent. The price of rice is now Rs. 7.5

per kilogram. The farmers are not in a position
to pay such a price.

IV. M. R. asks: —The price of rice is now Rs. 7.5 per

kilogram. This is not enough for the farmers to

pay the price of rice.

V. M. T. asks: —The F.C.I. have increased the price of rice

by 25 per cent. This is not enough for the farmers
to pay the price of rice.

VI. M. T. asks: —The F.C.I. have increased the price of rice

by 25 per cent. This is not enough for the farmers
to pay the price of rice.

VII. M. M. asks: —The F.C.I. have increased the price of rice

by 25 per cent. This is not enough for the farmers
to pay the price of rice.

VIII. M. M. asks: —The F.C.I. have increased the price of rice

by 25 per cent. This is not enough for the farmers
to pay the price of rice.

IX. M. T. asks: —The F.C.I. have increased the price of rice

by 25 per cent. This is not enough for the farmers
to pay the price of rice.

X. M. M. asks: —The F.C.I. have increased the price of rice

by 25 per cent. This is not enough for the farmers
to pay the price of rice.


(Mr Deputy Speaker in the Chair)

Mr. Deputy Speaker: -Mr. C. V. K. Rao, now the solution is on 80th. Interested persons can go into the details.

Sri D. Venkatesam:—Other states are getting some subsidy on this. Why should we not insist on Centre to see that some subsidy is given to us?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddi:—For what?

Sri D. Venkatesam:—To cover up overhead charges.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddi. —Certainly they are giving bonus.

Sri D. Venkatesam:—But this is not sufficient. Our Corporation rice is being sold at lower price in Mysore State when compared to our Andhra.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddi:—No. No.

Sri D. Venkatesam:—Yes Sir. You can call for information.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—It is an impossible proposition. I can outrightly say it is impossible.

Sri D. Venkatesam:—I am not purely but rightly speaking about it.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddi:—I will just want Sri C. V. K. Rao or Mr. Gopalakrishnaiah to just make a jump to Mysore State and go as a passenger and find out what the price is.

Sri D. Venkatesam:—I am not speaking of only rice.

Mr. Deputy Speaker;—He is referring to implications.

Sri D Venkatesam —I am not speaking of only rice. The other food commodities are now being transported and smuggled from Mysore State to Andhra area and our people are getting at cheaper rate than your controlled commodities from Mysore State. This is for the information of the hon. Chief Minister. I request you to kindly verify the matter.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy . —That is true. It is too good to be believed. Therefore my submission is, on 80th in the Committee Hall, kindly sit. I will request the F. C. I. officers also to sit there. You go into each item. I have no objection. I am not one who will say that F. C. I. should make a large profit and sell to the consumer. I don’t want the F. C. I. to be drawn themselves at the expense of the consumer. Let us not crowd the issue.

Mr. Deputy Speaker;—The House stands adjourned to meet at 8:30 A. M. on 26th September, 1969.

[The House then adjourned till Half past-Eight of the clock on Friday the 26th September, 1969].
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business of the House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70. Voting of Demands for Grants Demand No IX—Heads of States, Ministers and Head-quarters Staff Rs 4,03,37,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand No, XXIV-Industries Rs. 2,10,47,700 (passed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand No XXV—Community Development Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works Rs. 6,41,51,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Discussion not concluded)
Oral Answers to Questions 111
Short-Notice Questions and Answers 145
Written Answers to Questions 152
Point of Information: 175
  re: Release of convicts during the Gandhi Centenary Year 155
Business of the House 157
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance:
  re: Immediate need for repair in minor irrigation tanks in certain villages of Darsi taluk 159
  re: Police firing in Kandimallayapalle in May, 1969 160
Business of the House.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70:
  Voting of Demands for Grants
  Demand No. XXV—Community Development Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works—Rs. 6,41,61,000 176
  (Passed)
  Demand No. XXVI—Labour and Employment—Rs. 1,46,63,700 (Discussion not concluded) 207
Appendix 215
(23rd September, 1969 Tuesday).
Oral Answers to Questions 265
Message from the Council
  re: Nomination to the Committee on Estimates 318
Announcements:
  re: Nominations to the Public Accounts to Estimates 318
  re: Nominations to the Committee on Petitions 318
  re: Nominations to the Committee on Estimates 318
Business of the House 319
Point of Information:
  re: Strike by the employees of the Highways Department 319
  re: Visit of the President of India 320
Business of the House: 321
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point of Information</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>re: Formation of Ongole District</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business of the House</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point of Information:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>re: Situation in Telangana</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>re: Need for evicting encroachers in Vijayawada town</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>re: Levyng of penalties during 1378 Fasli on the ryots under KC Canal in Allagadda, Koilkunta and Nandyal taluks</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>re: Delay in payment of pensions to retired staff of the office of the District Health Officer</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion under Rule 75 of the Assembly Rules:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>re: Serious situation in Telangana area.</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Discussion not concluded)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

24th September, 1969 (Wednesday).

| Oral Answers to Questions                  | 435   |
| Short Notice Questions and Answers         | 483   |
| Business of the House                      | 484   |
| Written Answers to Questions               | 485   |
| Point of Information:                      |       |
| re: Formation of Ongole District           | 489   |
| Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance: |       |
| re: Need for construction of Uracheruvu-Kommuru-geda Road bridge in Chinnabondapalli, Parvathipuram taluk | 491   |
| Points of Information:                     |       |
| re: Price of Sugarcane                     | 492   |
| re: Strike by the employees of the Highways Department | 492   |
| Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance: |       |
| re: Breaches to Tungabhadra High-Level Canal near Kowthalam, Alur taluk | 493   |
| re: Plight of Harijans of Vijayawada and the need for releasing a lakh of rupees from the funds earmarked for Harijan Welfare during the Gandhi Centenary Celebrations | 494   |
Papers laid on the Table:

1. Amendment to the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission Regulations, 1963 495

2. Amendment notification issued under section of the Andhra Pradesh District Collectors' Powers (Delegation) Act, 1961 495

3. Amendment to Rules issued under section 67 (1) of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act, 1.59 495

4. Notification issued under section 16 of the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963 495

5. Housing Programme for 1969-70, the Budget Estimates for 1969-70, etc, of the Andhra Pradesh Housing Board 496

Business of the House 496

Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules:

re: Serious situation in Telangana area 497
(Discussion not concluded).

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70

Voting of Demands for Grants:

Demand No. XXVI—Labour and Employment—Rs. 1,46,03,700 (Passed) 593

Demand No XXXI—Electricity—Rs. 6,27,45,100 603
(Discussion not concluded).

Appendix 609

25th September 1969 (Thursday)

Oral Answers to Questions 631

Short Notice Questions and Answers 658

Written Answers to Questions 673

Unstarred Questions and Answers 691

Point of Information:

re: Arrest of Ryots who are cultivating Banjar lands in Divi taluk 702

Motion under Rule 77 of the Assembly Rules 703
Point of Information:
re: Collection of School fees in Telangana area . 712
re: Orders of detention . . 714

Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance:
re: Alleged ill-treatment meted out to the Organising Secretary of the Praja Samithi and other Students under arrest . . . 715
re: Death of Sri S. Jagannadhachary of Kesamudram in Police custody . . 716
re: Alleged Harassment of C.P.I. (M.) Members by the Circle Inspector and Sub-Inspector of Police, Narasampet . . . 719
re: Alleged attempt to burn alive a Harijan boy of Khammam town . . . 721
re: Conference on implementation of recommendations of the Second Wage Board for Textile Industry . 724
re: Reduction of prices of grains . . . 728

Papers laid on the Table of the House:
Amendment to the A.P. Co-operative Societies Rules, 1964 . . . 729
Amendment to Rules relating to appointment and service conditions of certain categories of employees of the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad . 730

Papers placed on the Table:
re: Particulars of the employees retrenched at Upper Sileru and Lower Sileru Hydro-Electric Schemes . 730

Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules:
re: Serious situation in Telangana area . . . 730
(Discussion not concluded).

Business of the House:

Half-an-hour discussion:
re: Enhancement of the price by the F.C.I. (L.A.Q., No. 776—Starred) . . . 749
GENERAL INDEX

A.

ANASUYAMMA, SMT. T.N.—
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70
Voting of Demands for Grants .. 182-185

ANKINEENU PRASADA RAO SRI P.—
Privilege Motion, re .
Remarks of the Minister for Commerce, Sri Prasad .. 35-37
Announcement, re :
Decisions of the Business Advisory Committee .. 34
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70
Voting of Demands for Grants
Demand No. IX .. 46-77
Demand No. XXIV .. 176-207
Demand No. XXV .. 603-629
Demand No. XXXI .. 207-264,
Demand No. XXVI .. 593-603

APPA RAO, G.B.
Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules
re : Serious situation in Telangana Area .. 386-392

ATCHYUTA REDDY, Sri K.—
Motions—
Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules
re : Serious situation in Telangana area .. 737-745

ANJINA REDDY, Sri K.—
Motions—
Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules
re : Serious situation in Telangana Area .. 587-590
Q. re : Applications for Scooters .. 452-461

APPALA NAIDU, Sri K.—
Q. re : Development Board for Srikakulam and Vizag. 433-443

B.

BAGA REDDY, Sri M.—
Motions—
Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules
re : Serious situation in Telangana Area .. 426-430
BHASKARA RAO, Sri A.—

Motions—
Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules
re : Serious situation in Telangana Area .. 346-348

BHOM RAO, Sri R.—

Motions—
Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules
re : Serious situation in Telangana Area .. 510-513

BRAHMANANDA REDDY, Sri K.—

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70.
Voting of Demands for Grants :
Demand No. XI
Demand No. XXIV .. .. .. 46-72

Discussion—
Half-an-hour discussion re.:
Enhancement of the price of rice by the F.C.I. . 754-757

Point of Information re :
Formation of Ongole District .. .. 489-491
Orders of Detention .. .. 714

BUCHIRAYUDU, Sri K.—

Q. re : Birla Concern in Andhra Praddsh .. 265-270
Business of the House
35, 37-41
41-43, 45,
157-159,
175-176,319,
321,322-
323,749-749,
496.

BUCHIRAYUDU, Sri K —

Motions—
Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules
Serious situation in Telangana area .. .. 574-576

Point of Information, re
Price of Sugar Cane .. .. .. 492
Q. re : Misappropriation of Funds in Bhyravapatnam
Panchayat .. .. .. .. 446-447
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alleged ill-treatment meted out to the organising Secretary of the Praja Samithi and other students under arrest</td>
<td>715-716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alleged attempt to burn alive a Harijan Boy of Khammam district</td>
<td>721-724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alleged harassment of C.P.I. (M.) Members by the Circle Inspector and Sub-Inspector of Police, Narasampet</td>
<td>719-721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breaches to Tungabhadra High Level Canal near Kowthalam, Alur taluk</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference on implementation of recommendations of the Second Wage Board for Textile Industry</td>
<td>724-728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death of Sri S. Jagannadhachari of Kesamudram in Police custody</td>
<td>716-718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay in payment of pensions to retired staff of the Office of the District Health Officers</td>
<td>336-338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate need for repairing minor irrigation tanks in certain villages of Darsi taluk</td>
<td>159-160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need for construction of Uracheruvu—Kommugedda Road Bridge in Chinnabondapalli, Parvatipuram taluk</td>
<td>491-492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levying of penalties during 1378 Fasli on the Ryots under K.C. Canal in Allagadda, Kollkunta and Nandyal taluks</td>
<td>333-336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need for evicting encroachers in Vijayawada Town</td>
<td>331-333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plight of Harijans of Vijayawada and the need for releasing a lakh of rupees from the funds earmarked for Harijan Welfare during the Gandhi Centenary Celebrations</td>
<td>494-495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police firing in Kandemallayapalle in May 1969</td>
<td>160-175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of Prices of grains</td>
<td>728-729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker name</td>
<td>Topic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalapathi Rao, Dr. T V S.</td>
<td>Business of the House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calling Attention, re</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Need for evicting encroachers in Vijayawada Town</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plight of Harijans of Vijayawada and the need for releasing a lakh of rupees from the funds earmarked for Harijan welfare during the Gandhi Centenary Celebrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motions—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q. re • Income from Sugar Cane Crop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protected Water Supply Schemes to Municipalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Villages having drinking water sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chennayya, Sri Y.</td>
<td>Q re • Electrification of villages in Repalle taluk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandrasekara Reddy, Sri G.V.</td>
<td>Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1767-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Voting of Demands for Grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demand No XXV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussions—</td>
<td>Half Hour Discussion, i.e.:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enhancement of the price of rice by the F.C.I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easwara Reddy, Sri A.</td>
<td>Q re • Airlifting of passengers from Tirupathi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Departmental Consultative Committees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Irregularities in Osmania College, Kurnool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kalyana Mantapam at Hyderabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raffles by Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Special Remission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Pages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Easwara Reddy, Sri K.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting of Demands for Grants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand No XXV</td>
<td>93-95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calling Attention, re.:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breaches to Tungabhadra High Level Canal near Kowthalam, Alur taluk</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G. Ganeswara Rao, Sri N</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q. re.: Discharge of water into Godavari River by Rajahmundry Paper Mills</td>
<td>21-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gangadhar, Sri K. V.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motions—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>re.: Serious situation in Telangana Area</td>
<td>560-561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gopalakrishnayya, Sri Vavibala</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting of Demands for Grants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand No XXV</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business of the House</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussions—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-an-Hour Discussion, re.:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancement of the price of rice by the F.C.I.</td>
<td>751-752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privilege Motion, re.:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remarks of the Minister for Commerce, Sri Prasad</td>
<td>35-37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q. re.: Birla Concerns in Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>265-270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputy Panchayat Officers</td>
<td>639-643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.S. Coaching College</td>
<td>6-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jawaharnagar Land Colonisation Society</td>
<td>637-639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parliament Sessions At Hyderabad</td>
<td>1-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Properties of Bairagi Mutt, Kaspapentapadu</td>
<td>486-488</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GOVARDHAN REDDY, SRI P.

Business of the House ........................................... 41-43

Motions—

Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules

Re : Serious situation in Telangana Area .............. 402-405

Point of Information re :

Krishna Godavari Waters dispute contention of the Andhra Pradesh Government ........ 41

JOVINDA RAO, SRI K.

Q.re : Demolition of Harizanwada due to floods ........ 671-672

Filling up of Hindi Teachers' Posts ...................... 17-18

I. Plant at Padala ............................................. 690-691

Retrenchment in Electricity Board ...................... 686

' Work to Rule ' Method in Electricity Revenue Offices .............. 154

GOWD, SRI V.N.

Motions—

Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules

re : Serious situation in Telangana Area .............. 579-582

GUNNIAM, SRI P.

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70

Voting of Demands for Grants

Demand No. XXV .............................................. 86-88

Calling Attention re :

Need for construction of Uracheruva - Kommugedda Road Bridge in Chinnabondapalli, Parvatipuram Taluk .............. 491-492

Q.re : Loss due to Famine. ................................. 115-118

Supply of liquor by Orissa Contractors ................ 111-114

' H '

HASHIM, SRI M.M.

Motions—

Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules

re : Serious situation in Telangana Area .............. 430-434
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hussain, Sri Ahmed.</td>
<td>Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules: Serious situation in Telangana Area</td>
<td>417-420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q.re: Bula Concerns in Andhra Pradesh</td>
<td>265-270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Task Police Force</td>
<td>684-686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iswari Bai, Smt. J.</td>
<td>Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Voting of Demands for Giants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demand No. XXV</td>
<td>185-187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Business of the House</td>
<td>45,175-176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaipal Reddy, Sri S</td>
<td>Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules: Serious situation in Telangana Area</td>
<td>395-398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Point of Information re</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visit of the President of India</td>
<td>320-321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janga Reddy, Sri S.</td>
<td>Q.re: construction of buildings in Mangalagiri R.T.C. Bus Stand Road</td>
<td>450-451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Integrated Andhra Pradesh Marketing Act</td>
<td>32-34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Misappropriation case against Patwari Pocharam Village</td>
<td>280-282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kesavaro, Sri V.C.</td>
<td>Q.re: Retrenchment of V.L.Ws.</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ROTAIAH, SRI PRAGADA—

Motions—
Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules
Re Serious situation in Telangana Area 548-553
Q.re: Hindi Lecturers 18-20

VISHNAMURTHY, SRI K.—
Q.re: Supply of fertilisers to Srikakulam Marketing Society 692

KUMIDINI DEVI, SMT.—

Motions—
Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules
Re Serious situation in Telangana Area 520

LAKSHMAN BAPUR, SRI KONDA—
Q.re: Definitions of persons under P.D. Act. 658-670

Motions—
Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules
Re: Serious situation in Telangana Area 348-356

LATCHANNA, SRI G—

Point of Information re:
Release of convicts during the Gandhi Centenary Year 156-157

Privilege Motion—
Remarks of the Minister for Commerce, Sri Prasad 35-37

MADHAVA RAO, SRI A.—

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70
Voting of Demands for Grants 191-192
Demand No. XXV

Motions—
Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules
Re: Serious situation in Telangana Area 420-424

Q.re: Full payment of enhanced D.A. to the Class IV Employees 145-146
Labourers employed in Srisilk Factory 149-152
Properties of Pamamadniram Pedacherukur Village 462-478
Removal of Idols from Tripuranthakaswami Temple 488
Qualifying Test for Electricity Employees 303-304
Tours of Board Member to Nellore 125-126
MAHANANDA SRI R._

Discussion:

Half Hour Discussion re:

Enhancement of the price of rice by the F C.I. 749-750

Motions:

Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules
Re - Serious situation in Telangana Area 576-578

Privilege Motion re
Remarks of the Minister for Commerce, Sri Prasad 35-37
Q.re - Allotment of Taccavi Loans to Drought Areas 118-122
Appointment of Non - Mulkies 23-24
Applications from Small Scale Industrialists 309-310
Demolition of Harijanwada due to floods 671-672
Deputation of Medical Officers to Army 702-702a
Industrial Estate at Vozag 26-27
Institute of Preventive Medicine 702
Lecturers Posts 152-153
Leprosy Survey Education Centres 478-479
Misappropriation of funds in Giriraj College 152
Palm Sugai Factories 702c
Payment of Penalty by R T.C. 673-676
Quarters to Machkand Project Staff 154-155
Removal of Idols from Tripuanthakaśwami Temple 488
Reservation of posts in the Civil Supplies Dept. 483-484
Retrenchment in Nagarjunasagar Project 146
Retrenchment in Electricity Board 686
Selection Committees for Public Sector Undertakings 273-275
Work to Rule & Method in Electricity Revenue, Offices 154

MAHENDRANATH, SRI P._

Q.re : Amarabad Ippalapally Road 686-687
Poramboke Land at Chandubatia Village 12-13
MANIK RAO SRI M.—

Business of the House .... 35

Calling Attention re:

Alleged ill-treatment meted out to the organising Secretary of the Prajasamithi and other students under arrest .... 715-716

Motions:

Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules
Re: Serious situation in Telangana Area .... 371-375

Messages from the Council

re: Nomination to the Committee on Estimates ..
Nomination to the Public Accounts Committee ..
Nominations to the Committee on Privileges ..
Nomination to the Committee on Estimates 318-319

Motion re

Leave of absence to Sri J. Laxmayya Naidu, M.L.A. 45
Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules
Re: Serious situation in Telangana Area 338-434,
497-592
730-745

Motion under Rule 77 of the Assembly Rules 703-712

MUNUSWAMY, SRI K.—

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1967-70

Voting of Demands for Grants
Demand No. XXVI .... 210-214,
593-594

Q.re: P.H. Centre at Dorawarsathram .... 154

MURTY, DR. T.S.—

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70

Voting of Demands for Grants
Demand No. XXII .... 597-598

Motions:

Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules
Re: Serious situation in Telangana Area 545-548

Q.re: Labourers employed in Sirsilk Factory 149-152
Parliament Session At Hyderabad 1-4
Takingover of the management of the Allwyn Company 270-273
## NAGIAH, SRI M. CH.—

Q. re : Raffles by Government

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## NAGIREDDY, SRI N. P.—

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70

Voting of Demands for Grants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand No. XXV</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95-96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## NARASA REDDY, SRI P.—

Motions:

Motion under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules

Re : Serious situation in Telangana Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>414-417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## NARASIMHAM, SRI D —

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70

Voting of Demands for Grants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand No. XXV</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80-86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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