Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) is it a fact that the Government proposed to establish a Pig Iron Industry at Jaggayyapet in Krishna District;

(b) if so, when it was established;

(c) if not, the reasons therefor;

(d) is it not a fact that the iron ore available in that area was exhausted; and

(e) what are the new Industries that the Government propose to establish in the District of Krishna under their new District-war Industrialisation Plan?

The Chief Minister (Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy):—(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) There are no special factors favouring the location of a Pig Iron Plant at Jaggayyapet.

(d) Available ore is not adequate for economic operations.

(e) Government do not propose to establish any new industries on their own excepting to provide the facilities for the growth of industries by way of Test Houses, Quality Marking Centres, Development Areas. I would like to further add that Government also are considering to set up on their own or, to promote on their own some projects here and there in the State.

J. No. 271
Sri K. Brahmamunda Reddy:— An area of 3339 acres was sanctioned for mining on lease to the Andhra Pradesh Mining Corporation. The Corporation mined and exported a total quantity of 3,26,17 tons of iron ore till the end of 1966-67 from the lease-field. The mining lease was surrendered as the quantity of ore available in the area was not economically workable. The iron ore available in Jagayyapeta area either in terms of quantity or quality does not merit the location of a pig iron plant there.

Sri K. Brahmamunda Reddy:— If the hon. Member wants us to have a re-look into the matter we can look into it, Sir. But the information that is here now does not enable us to promote an industry there.
Sri K. Brahmamanda Reddy: The quantity and quality of the iron ore available at Jaggayapeta does not permit setting up of a plant there.
not upto the quality.

... not upto the quality.

1. Appala Naidu (Shringavarapudala). — Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware that a scheme of diverting Godavari water to Visakhapatnam at a cost of Rs. 20 crores was submitted by Dr. K. L. Rao, Minister for Irrigation and Power, Government of India on 6-8-1968; and

(b) if so, what are the details and what was the action taken by the State Government thereupon?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy — (a) The State Government have ordered investigation of the scheme on the suggestion of Dr. K. L. Rao.

(b) The scheme is only a water supply scheme to Vizag town. It is proposed to take water from Godavari through pipes and no irrigation is contemplated under the scheme. The feasibility of the scheme is yet to be assessed after the investigation of the scheme is completed and the results of investigation are known.

COMPLETION OF INVESTIGATION FOR DIVERSION OF GODAVARI WATER TO VIZAG.

(a) whether there are any proposals before the Government to divert Godavari waters to Visakhapatnam district;
(b) if so, whether the investigation has been completed;
(c) the estimated cost of the scheme;
(d) whether any Central aid is sought; and
(e) when is the scheme likely to be commenced?

Sri K. Brahmamanda Reddy:— (a) Yes, Sir.
(b) No, Sir.

(c) Government have ordered the investigation of the scheme. The present estimated cost of the scheme is about Rs. 25 crores.
(d) Not for the present.

The feasibility and time schedule of the scheme will be known only after the results of investigation are known.

Sri G. Siviah:— Sir, hon. the Chief Minister has said that the scheme is likely to cost Rs. 25 crores. Is the scheme mainly intended for drinking water purpose or drinking water purpose cum irrigation? If the scheme is not for irrigation purpose, will the Government take any further steps to add a little bit more to the existing scheme and have it for both drinking water and irrigation purpose?

Sri K. Brahmamanda Reddy:—This present scheme is intended only to supply water to Visakhapatnam town—not only for drinking water for the growing population but also for the several industries that are coming and will be coming to that area.
304—

*368 (1463) Q.—Sri G. Venkata Reddy (Parchur) :—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state :

(a) whether there is any representation made by G. Venkata Reddy, M.L.A., to the Honourable Minister on 27-68 regarding the manufacturing of Medico products from the raw material salt at Chinagangam, Bapatla taluk, Guntur District, and

(b) if so, what is the action taken by the Government in this regard?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy :—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) In their letter No. 2527/EI/08-5 Dated 7-11 1968, Government have informed Sri G. Venkata Reddy, M.L.A., that the information is already available with the Director of Industries about the possible industries that could be set up based on salt and allied items and the same will be sent to Collector, Guntur, who may consult local entrepreneurs to take up one or other of them. The Collector, Guntur apprised the local entrepreneurs in the District during several meetings held recently, and as a result some persons have shown interest for starting salt and allied industries in that District.

They did not prepare so far any report so far as salt is concerned as to which product can be manufactured, what is the estimate of manufacturing cost etc. There is only one factory in India in Bhavnagar where they are manufacturing all these medical products out of salt as raw-material. It only costs Rs. 3 or 6 lakhs. Will the Government take up any such scheme?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy :—Some entrepreneurs including the hon. Member have shown some interest in this. They have desired to see similar units existing in Bhavnagar, Sir and to hold discussion with the officers of the Salt Research Laboratories. I would suggest to them that they should soon go there and see and know. We also on our own way try to get as much information as possible.
Oral Answers to Questions.

1st September, 1969.

Dr. T.V.S. Chalapathi Rao:—What is the nature of medical products that are sought to be produced? For what is the potential there meant for and for what products? What is the report about? They must know the potentialities are like this. Otherwise, the report does not serve any useful purpose. May I request hon. the Chief Minister to clarify?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—I have not much information in this regard. As he rightly says, what are the products, how they would be useful either for Medical department or for other things also must be considered.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Certainly that has got to be done also.

Bone Meal Manure Factory
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*451 (2005) Q.—Sri K. Muniswamy (Sathyavedu):—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal with the Government to establish Bone Meal Manure Factory at Sullurpet, Nellore District and at Sathyavedu at Chittoor District;

(b) if so, from when; and

(c) if not, the reasons thereof?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—(a) No, Sir,

(b) Does not arise.

(c) There are no such proposals under consideration of this Department for starting bone meal and manure factory at Sullurpet and Sathyavedu in the public sector. In the private sector no one has come forward with such a proposal.
306 —

*307 (749) Q. S. P. O. Satyanarayana Raja — Will hon. the Deputy Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether our Government have requested the Centre to convert certain highways as National Highways;

(b) if so, the roads that are suggested for conversion into National Highways;

(c) whether any request has been made for its maintenance during the year 1966-67?

The Deputy Chief Minister (Sri T. V. Narasimha Rao): —

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) (i) M-Roads, Vemulawada Road;

(ii) M-Lin Road;

(iii) Nellore Eday Road,

(c) Government of India have not sanctioned any maintenance grant for the above roads during 1966-67 as the conversion of the roads into National Highways has not been sanctioned by Government of India.

Permission to V. Os. to Contest Panchayat Elections

307 —

* 388 (1594) Q. Sarvasri V. Venkataramo (Vemuru) and M. Ch. Nagaiah (Prathipadu) — Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to permit the village officers to contest the Panchayat Elections; and

(b) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister for Revenue (Sri P. Thimma Reddy) — (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

The person holding an office of profit under a municipality or an office of profit shall be disqualified for election or for holding office as councillor:

Provided that a person shall not be deemed to hold office of profit in a municipality by reason only that he is the Chairman or the Councillor of the Municipality.
Oral Answers to Questions.  
1st September, 1969.

Sri V. B. Raju:—Sir, will the hon. Minister check up that there may be a provision in the Municipal Act permitting a village officer who has taken leave to contest elections and there is no provision in the Panchayat Act for such a thing? Will the anomaly be rectified? Will the hon. Minister examine that?

Mr. Speaker:—He said he would examine it and so what can be done in the matter?

REMISION OF LAND REVENUE IN KURNool DISTRICT

308—

Q.—Sri K. Eswara Reddy (Patlikonda):—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) the talukwise number of villages in Kurnool district in the case of which the Government have declared remission of land revenue last year;

(b) whether orders have been issued to the Revenue officers about this remission;

(c) whether remission accounts have been prepared?

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—(a) Markapur taluk—70 villages
Alur
Pathikonda

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Yes, Sir.

271—2
10 1st September, 1909 Oral Answers to Question.

REMISSION OF L. R. TO DRY LANDS IN GIDDAIUR TALUK
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*93 (1601) Q.—Sri P. Subbaiah:—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether remission was granted to dry lands in Giddalur taluk, Kurnool district in the Fasir 1979;
(b) if so, what amount;
(c) whether it is also a fact that the Village Officers of Giddalur taluk are now collecting the remitted revenue from the ryots; and
(d) if so, the reasons for the same?

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) Rs. 82,992.60 Rs.
(c) No Sir.
(d) Does not arise.

Q.—Do the Village Officers have a right to collect the remitted revenue from the ryots?

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—Yes, Sir.

Q.—What is the reason for the same?

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—It is for the better collection of revenue.

Q.—Is the remission granted on dry lands?

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—Yes, Sir.

Q.—Is the amount of remission Rs. 82,992.60?

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—Yes, Sir.

Q.—Do the Village Officers have any right to collect the remitted revenue?

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—Yes, Sir.
Oral Answers to Questions.
1st September, 1969

మాట. ప్రశ్న (ఎన్నిక): ఎందేసి మనం ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

అమర్పరాలు: ఎందేసి ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

మాట. ప్రశ్న (ఎన్నిక): ఎందేసి మనం ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

అమర్పరాలు: ఎందేసి ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

మాట. ప్రశ్న (ఎన్నిక): ఎందేసి మనం ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

అమర్పరాలు: ఎందేసి ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

మాట. ప్రశ్న (ఎన్నిక): ఎందేసి మనం ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

అమర్పరాలు: ఎందేసి ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

మాట. ప్రశ్న (ఎన్నిక): ఎందేసి మనం ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

అమర్పరాలు: ఎందేసి ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

మాట. ప్రశ్న (ఎన్నిక): ఎందేసి మనం ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

అమర్పరాలు: ఎందేసి ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

మాట. ప్రశ్న (ఎన్నిక): ఎందేసి మనం ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

అమర్పరాలు: ఎందేసి ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

మాట. ప్రశ్న (ఎన్నిక): ఎందేసి మనం ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

అమర్పరాలు: ఎందేసి ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

మాట. ప్రశ్న (ఎన్నిక): ఎందేసి మనం ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

అమర్పరాలు: ఎందేసి ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

మాట. ప్రశ్న (ఎన్నిక): ఎందేసి మనం ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

అమర్పరాలు: ఎందేసి ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

మాట. ప్రశ్న (ఎన్నిక): ఎందేసి మనం ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

అమర్పరాలు: ఎందేసి ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

మాట. ప్రశ్న (ఎన్నిక): ఎందేసి మనం ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

అమర్పరాలు: ఎందేసి ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

మాట. ప్రశ్న (ఎన్నిక): ఎందేసి మనం ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

అమర్పరాలు: ఎందేసి ప్రస్తుతం ఎందుకు ఉన్నాం?

ప 5. స్థాయిచారిత్ర కారణాలు సంపాదించినది. స్థాయి మార్గాల సమ్మతం కారణాలు సంపాదించినది.


ప 7. స్థాయిచారిత్ర కారణాలు: ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ ప్రతి ప్రామాణిక పద్ధతి సంస్థకు ఉపయోగించబడింది. బెంగాల్‌ రంగ ప్రతి ప్రామాణిక పద్ధతి సంస్థకు ఉపయోగించబడింది. ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ ప్రతి ప్రామాణిక పద్ధతి సంస్థకు ఉపయోగించబడింది.
Oral Answers to Questions.  
1st September, 1960.

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—(a) & (b) Yes, Sir.
(c) Does not arise.
(d) & (e) Yes, Sir. The rates of assessment for this village were fixed under section 23 of the Estates Abolition Act, 1948. As settlement operations under section 22 of the Act have not yet been completed in this village, these being adhoc rates they are reported to be slightly higher than in the adjoining ryotwari village, and consequently the additional land revenue fixed under the Andhra Pradesh Land Revenue (Enhancement) Act will also be slightly higher than the additional land revenue in the neighbouring ryotwari villages.

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—It is huge expenditure. It has come to our notice and the Board of Revenue has been asked to look into it.
1st September, 1901.

Oral Answers to Questions.

Sri P. Thimmavarapu Reddy:—The matter requires examination whether any relief can be given to these villages under section 15 of the Act till such time as the settlement rates are introduced. The Board of Revenue has been requested to see into it. The Government is aware of these anomalies and we are on the move to correct all these anomalies.

Sri V.K. Prasad:—Whether it is true that the rates are of different categories. Whether that category is proper or not also is to be seen.

It is possible that it may be higher or it may be lower or it may be equal also. we shall set it right as quickly as possible.

Government is taking steps to see that these anomalies are not there.

Sri V. B. Raju:—Will the hon. Minister examine under the provisions of the Land Revenue Enhancement Act whether it would be possible to reduce by a certain percentage the rate of assessment when in the opinion of the Government the assessment has been high when the settlement has been made? In this particular case if the Government feels that the assessment which was made by the Settlement Officer is above the ryotwary rates, there is a provision under the Land Revenue Enhancement Act that the government on its own initiative...

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—There is no particular case about this village alone. As many villages as they are coming under this category, all will have to be taken into consideration.

Sri P. Subbiah:—We want that, Sir.

ALLOTMENT OF FUNDS TO Z. P. ROADS
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* 11 (5431) Q. — Sri K. Anjana Reddy (Hindupur) :—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether funds for the maintenance of Zilla Parishad Roads are allotted on population basis or mileage basis;

(b) whether the funds are adjusted for maintenance of defunct district board roads exclusively or utilised to the roads of Samithis also on which buses are plying; and

(c) whether 1/3rd of the vehicles tax is being given to Zilla Parishads and if so, whether that amount is allocated to Samithis proportionately?

The Minister for Panchayati Raj (Sri T. Rameswamy) :—(a) The funds under 30 paisa grant are released on population basis and under the Ex-district Board grant on mileage basis.
Oral Answers to Questions

(b) The 20 paise portion from 30 paise grant is given to Panchayat Samithis for the maintenance of Saruthu roads. The balance 10 paise and the Ex-District Board maintenance grant are given to the Zilla Parishads for the maintenance of Ex-District Board roads and the Zilla Parishad roads.

(c) Grants for the maintenance of roads under Ex-District Board grant and 30 paise grant (20 paise for Panchayat Samithi and 10 paise 1/3rd) for Zilla Parishad) are allotted from the general revenues of the State on adhoc basis, and it has no relation to the Vehicle Tax.

TRAINING TO RYOTS IN HIGH YIELDING VARIETIES

312—* 838 (2294) Q.—Sri R. Mahananda:—Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) the number of centres opened in our State during 1966-67 and 1967-68 under U. N. Development Programme for imparting training to ryots in High Yielding Varieties Programme and double system cultivation;

(b) the names of areas where they have been opened;

(c) the number of ryots who have been trained in those centres during 1966-67 and 1967-68;

(d) whether any “follow up” programme has been prescribed for them; and

(e) whether there is any proposal with the Government to start such training centres at the rate of one for each district?

The Minister for Agriculture (Sri K. Venkataramnam).—(a) The answer is in the negative.

(b), (c), (d), & (e):—Do not arise.

(ii) 693 (2511) R.—Sri P. Sarathy:—Is the proposal to open one training centre in each of the 67-68

(iii) 976 (2584) R.—Sri K. Ramaiah:—Is the proposal to open one training centre in each of the 67-68

(iv) 977 (2584) R.—Sri K. Ramaiah:—Is the proposal to open one training centre in each of the 67-68
JAGGERY FROM HYBRID MAIZE

* 744 (1975) Q.—Sri D. Venkatesham (Kuppad):—Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that Sri Subramanyam, Sugarcane Development Officer, Chittor was sent to Kolar District, in Mysore State to find out the fact whether the ryots of Mooganapalli and Kolar have prepared jaggery from the cane of Hybrid Maizes;

(b) whether any samples have been brought, and

(c) if so, what is the percentage of sugar content?

Sri K. Venkataratnam:—(a) No, Sir.
(b) and (c):—Do not arise.

(b) 1987-88
(a) 323–13–

Q. 3. 1987-88:—...
Sri D. Venkatesham: — It is clear from the report that he has prepared the jugury from hybrid mare and calf. It is certain that he has been acting as a hybrid mare and calf. Further, it is stated that he has been appointed as a hybrid mare and calf.

(a) whether it is a fact that the Veterinary Livestock Inspectors in the artificial Insemination Centre have been replaced by Stockmen;

(b) if so, how many have been so appointed; how many posts are still to be filled up;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the remaining Veterinary Livestock Inspector have not been paid their salaries for the last seven months; and

(d) if so, the reasons therefor?

Sri K. Venkaraftnam: — (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Out of 55 posts of Veterinary Livestock Inspectors ordered to be downgraded into Stockmen, only 28 posts of Stockmen have been filled up. Out of the remaining 7 posts, 6 posts were temporarily filled up with Stockmen. But they were subsequently withdrawn and Veterinary Livestock Inspectors were allowed to continue due to representation from the Public. No Stockmen was appointed in the remaining one post also.

(c) & (d) Necessary orders have since been issued by Government ratifying the above arrangements made by the Director of Animal Husbandry and for drawal of salaries of the concerned Veterinary Livestock Inspectors.
Rafii variety Tobacco
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9705 (1378) Q. - Sri C. V. K. Rao (Kakinada):—Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether 4 million Kgs. of “Rafii” variety tobacco is lying undispersed with growers in October, 1968 and if so, what are the reasons therefor; and?

(b) whether Government has taken any steps to get the stocks disposed of and if so what steps have been taken?

The Minister for Marketing (Sri Ramachandra Rao Kalyani):—

(a) It is presumed that by Rafii tobacco the member refers to country tobacco. If so, the answer is in the affirmative.

The accumulation was mainly due to the following reasons:

(i) Lack of demand from Southern districts like Salem.

(ii) The National Tobacco Company of India purchased only 4 lakhs Kgs. as against 30 lakhs Kgs. purchased during 1960.

(iii) European Countries who were purchasing country tobacco up to 1966 are reported to have stopped purchases subsequently since the minimum export price fixed by Government of India is unremunerative to them.

(iv) Certain grades of Inkolu tobacco which used to be exported to U.K. up to 1966 had a set back due to the shifting of Consumers preference to tobacco grown in Dindigal area in Tamilnadu State.

(b) Due to the persuasion of the State Government, M/s. I. L. T. D. Co., and other traders purchased so far 144 lakhs Kgs. of Country Tobacco. The period of retention of 1966-67 crop in consumers’ private store rooms was got extended upto 13-12-1969 by the Collector, Central Excise.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Will the hon. Minister tell us at what price the I. L. T. D. purchased the surplus? I suppose Mr. Thimma Reddy negotiated this matter then and what was the prevailing price and what was the price at which the I. L. T. D. made the purchase.

Sri Ramachandra Rao Kalyani:—Separate question, Sir.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—The whole thing is whether the Government has taken any steps to get the stocks disposed of and if so what steps have been taken? It is part and parcel of that thing.
20 September, 1969.

Oral Answers to Questions.

Sri Ramachandra Rao Kalyani:—The National Tobacco Company of India have purchased 4 Lakh kgs. as against 30 lakh kgs.

Sri Ramachandra Rao Kalyani:—The Government of India is actively considering the question of forming a Statutory Development Board and the Government of Andhra Pradesh is pursuing this matter, Sir.

LAND FOR NATIONAL PARK AT TANK BUND

* 686 (1205) Q.—Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Will the Hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether Hyderabad Municipal Corporation resolved four years ago to acquire 231 acres of land under Tank Bund for laying a National Park, if so, whether Government approved the proposals;

(b) whether the Standing Committee has in the meanwhile adopted a resolution suggesting omission of 131 acres of land from the area proposed for park and if so, the reasons therefore and

(c) whether Government is aware that some persons have unauthorisedly occupied the area and constructed houses and if so, who are the persons and what action has the Government taken?
Oral Answers to Questions.  1st September, 1969.  21

The Minister for Municipal Administration (Sri N. Chenchu-Rama Naidu):—

(a) The General Body of the Hyderabad Municipal Corporation resolved to acquire 201 acres of land under Tank Bund for laying National Park, but later on decided to acquire only 100 acres out of this area. This proposal was accepted and the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad was instructed to place at the disposal of Land Acquisition officer an amount of Rs. 10 lakhs to speed up acquisition.

(b) Yes Sir, Due to limited funds it was suggested that 100 acres need be acquired.

(c) The following persons started and proceeded with the construction after applying for but before obtaining the permission.

2. Dr. B. Suryanarayana on 27-4-67.
3. Sri T. Bala Subrahmaniam on 19-6-68.
4. Smt. R. Ambujamma on 8-2-68.
5. Sri L. Koteswara Rao on 27-4-68.

The above constructions were regularised on the dates mentioned against their names, after taking the legal opinion, by collecting compounding fees etc., according to rules. The Commissioner Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad was asked to place an amount of Rs. 10 lakhs at the disposal of the Land Acquisition Officer to enable speedy acquisition of 100 acres of land as decided by the Corporation.
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* 740 (16:8) Q Sri T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao :—Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) Is it not a fact that the Vijayawada Municipal council was superseded for a period of 2 years from 9th November, 1965 on financial and other grounds; and

(b) if so, the extent to which the Administration of the Special Officer could set right those defects and how far the financial position has improved?

Sri N. Chenchurama Naidu:—(a) Yes Sir.

(b) After the appointment of Special Officer there was marked over all improvement in various branches of the administration in the Municipality. Moreover the financial position of the Municipality is also steadily getting stabilised.
An additional sum of Rs. 7.85 lakhs has been derived so far as detailed below:

- (i) Revision of under assessed taxes. - Rs. 3,00,000
- (ii) Sewage rate fixed for 12,000 connections. - Rs. 60,000
- (iii) Revision of rate of D & C Trades. - Rs. 1,05,400
- (iv) Revision of rate of Profession tax. - Rs. 1,05,157
- (v) Revision of Vehicle and Rickshaw fees. - Rs. 25,000
- (vi) Increase of in the rate of Building applications. - Rs. 40,000
- (vii) Enhancement of Market fees. - Rs. 1,00,000
- (viii) Savings due to economy effected on administration. - Rs. 7,36,503

Total: Rs. 7,85,503

Mr. Achutha Reddy, Konda Lakshman Bapuji, T. Anjiah, G. Rajaram, M. M. Hashim and P. Narasing Rao entered the House in the midst of the Question Hour.

Mr. Speaker:—Is it all over now? Thank you very much, please sit down.

Sri P. Narasinga Rao (Huzurabad):—Mr. Speaker, Sir, I want to say something.

Mr. Speaker:—Not now. After the question hour is over you can have your say.

(Sri P. Narasinga Rao persisted in saying something)

Mr. Speaker:—I am not allowing you.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:—No, excuse me. I am extremely sorry. Let me finish the Question hour. After the question hour is over you can say whatever you want.

(There were shouts of “We want to say something”.)
Mr. Speaker:—Pleases down. You can say the after question hour is over.

Sri M. Manik Rao (Tandur) :—We want to say something now.

Mr. Speaker:—I am extremely sorry. Let me finish the Question hour. After the question hour is over, I am going to allow Not till then.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:—No, please. I am extremely sorry. After the question hour is over, one after the other can speak. Please sit down.

(Interruptions)

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I would request the Hon. Members ....

Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Rao, will you please sit down. I am dealing with them. Please sit down.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Narasinga Rao, all of you have come at one time. Personally, I am sorry that you have been absent from the House for so long. I am really happy that all of you have been able to come to day and attend the House. Now, I am in the midst of the Question hour. Whatever you have got to say, after the question hour is over, I will give an opportunity. Why are you in a hurry?

Mr. Speaker:—Very good. I will give an opportunity. Let me finish the Question hour.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—You must give time.

Mr. Speaker:—No. I am not going to yield. I am telling you, I am not going to yield. I will give an opportunity only after the Question hour is over. Not till then. No, please.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji (Bhongir):—Mr. Speaker, Sir...

Mr. Speaker: Mr. Konda Lakshman Bapuji, you know the procedure.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:—I would like to hear you. What have you to say now.

Sri K. Achuttha Reddy (Kodangal): As you know, Sir I have been under Preventive Detention for quite a long time. Other colleagues were released on 25th at 11 O’clock. My colleagues who were here were set free on the orders of the High Court along with others. But I was detained for no fault of mine for another 18 hours. The Chief Minister and the Home Minister are the two culprits. I wanted to attend to the work of Estimates Committee and I was prevented. You are the custodian.

(Interruptions)
Mr. Speaker:—Very good! What is that you want to do now. I am here to protect the rights of the Members if you can give it in writing. I will take action. Give it in writing. I will take action on that.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—This is a very important matter.

Mr. Speaker:—I am not denying that it is an important matter. It is really an important matter. Put it in writing and I will consider that.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—When the hon. Members are saying that in the House where is the need for giving it in writing? Is it not for the Chair to take a note of it and give directions. Where is the need for observing formalities when the Government is not observing?

Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Lakshman Bapuji. Please hear me. What is the rule under which you want me to take action? Well, Contempt of the House or Breach of Privilege, is it not you want me to take action?


Mr. Speaker:—We love to examine whether it is Contempt of the House or Breach of Privilege. Now, if you want me to take action...

Sri K. Achutha Reddy:—Sir, you are the custodian of our rights.

Mr. Speaker:—If you want me to take action against the Government for Breach of Privilege or against the persons who are really responsible for this negligence or whatever it may be, will you please give it in writing?

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—It is not negligence. It is wanton malice of the Chief Minister and the Home Minister. I am making this charge on the Floor of the Assembly. You are not upholding the right of the Member.

Mr. Speaker:—I do not know what makes you think like that. I am telling you, whether it amounts to breach of privilege or not, I am not in a position to say.

Sri K. Achutha Reddy:—The moment I represented to you, it is for you to take immediate action.

Mr. Speaker:—You are thoroughly mistaken if you think that it is the Speaker who can give a finding or opinion and say that this amounts to breach of privilege. I have absolutely no right to do so. When certain thing is brought to the notice of the Speaker, he can only ask the House to consider about it or he can refer the matter to the Privileges Committee. These are the only two alternatives left open to him. That is, when I consider if there is a prima facie case, I may refer to the Privileges Committee. I have no power to take any action myself, straight away.

Sri K. Achutha Reddy:—The Speaker has powers.
Mr. Speaker:—I wish I had all those powers. But, unfortunately under rules I have no such powers.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—I have been a Member of this Assembly for so many years. When a Member is arrested and when he is released, is it not the duty of the Government to intimate immediately to the Speaker, if at all any release orders are issued? When the Speaker is aware of the release order and if a Member has not attended the Assembly on the same day, is it not the duty of the Speaker to take note of the thing? When the Speaker is the protector of the interests of all the Members and dignity of the House, it is for the Chair to take immediate note of this and negotiate in this matter. There is no need for obtaining a representation formally when the Members' rights are in question.

Mr. Speaker: Things are not so easy as you seem to think, Mr. Lakshman Bapuji. You were yourself a Deputy Speaker of this House for some time.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—I would have ordered then, Sir.

Mr. Speaker: You are fully aware of the rules of the Assembly and the House has got to follow certain procedure laid down under the rules. I cannot go against the rules.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: I am not prepared to concede your position. At that rate every Member, every day can get up and say well such and such thing has happened take notice of it and come on proceed. I cannot take cognizance of all these things.

(Interruptions)

Sri K. S. Narayana (Secunderabad): It is not like any other matter.

Sri M. Manik Rao: This is a very important matter. We are very sensitive. We were released half an hour after the release orders and they have not done like that in the release of Sri Achutha Reddy and K. S. Narayana.

Mr. Speaker: Mr. Manik Rao there is no use of bawling out like that. You go according to the rules. Please sit down. It is really unfortunate that you should be behaving like this. As responsible Members you should behave in a dignified manner and not like this.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—Even the Members are prevented from attending the Assembly. For 24 hours, the have detained Achuta Reddy and in Supreme Court when we asked the Advocate General, he told that we will release in half an hour. What happened? They wanted to go to Supreme Court again and put us under P.D. Sir. We are not bothered about going under Preventive Detention, not once, thousands times we are prepared.

(Applause.)

Mr. Speaker: Not thousand times, I will take notice of it million times, if you go according to the rules.
1st September, 1962

Sri M. Manik Rao: Thank you Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—Whatever injustice has been done to you, I am prepared to take notice of it. I am prepared to protect the rights and privileges of every Member in this House...

Sri M. Manik Rao:—Do you think it is not possible?

Mr. Speaker:—There is no need for you to get excited.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—Do you think that it is not a matter to be discussed in the Assembly? Why did the police stop me at the gate and ask me, "Who are you"? What is all this? Do you think we are in democracy? Do you think the Government is running?

Mr. Speaker:—What is the use of your speaking like that.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—One thing I may tell you, Sir. While I was coming to the House on Saturday morning at 11.30 A.M. they stopped me at the gate and asked me who are you. Am I obliged to tell the police who I am. What is going on?

Mr. Speaker:—May I request you to resume your seat? Will you please sit down? I am on my legs. Will you please resume your seat? Please sit down.

There is no need for any of you to get excited.

Please hear me. Don't interrupt me in the middle as responsible Members of this House. I expect all of you and particularly the Members who have been absent for sometime and who have been able to attend the House to-day...

Well, when I am on my legs if you get up and say ......... Evidently you do not want to observe order in the House. Please hear me till the end.

श्री बबरी विश्वास पिता:—अभास महसूस, हम अभी सेवात नहीं थे यह बात नहीं है कि हम लोग सेवा नहीं करते थे। यह यदि बात है कि आप जो बात करते वह देखते है। यह लोगों की हंगामा थी कि हम लोग सत्ता में आये। लेकिन हम लोगों को मिलकर कार्य बनाने में आते हो रक्षा गया, हम को अपने अधिकार के बचाव कर रहा गया....
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Sri Konda Laxman Rao:—What he says is that you have said that we were absent. He wants to correct that by saying that actually we were prohibited from attending this Assembly by putting us in detention under the Preventive Detention Act.

Mr. Speaker:—Some Members have been absent from the House, may I say due to causes beyond their own control? While I expect you to observe some order in this House, I am prepared to hear one of you. If all of you get up at one time and begin to say, I would not be able to follow you nor any Member will be in a position to follow what you are going to say. You have been submitting all the time that in spite of the orders of the High Court ordering your release there was delay. Perhaps, it may be wanton or it may not be wanton, it has to be verified later on.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—Wanton.

Mr. Speaker:—There was some delay in the matter of release which amounts to breach of privilege or contempt of the House or whatever it may be. This is a matter which has got to be gone into. I am taking notice of it. I am prepared to take notice irrespective of the fact whether you give it in writing or not. The only thing is, I must be apprised of the full facts of the case so that I might be in a position to take action immediately. Till you actually furnish me with full facts, I won’t be in a position to take any action. I may tell you that I can only initiate action in this matter. If I am convinced that there is prima facie case or if I am convinced that there is some truth in what you say, immediately I might ask the House to straight-way take up this question. Or if there is a prima facie case and it is a matter that has got to be enquired by the Privileges Committee, I shall refer to the Privileges Committee. The only thing is you have got the right to represent whatever you have got. But do it claimly one after the other. It is not as if I am not prepared to hear you. To keep up the dignity of the House, there is no need for any of you to get excited. I want you to reasonably argue your case, convince everybody of the injustices done to you but do not create disorder in the House. It is unfortunate that such a thing has happened. Very good, I am prepared to consider what you have got to say. You can come and represent to me in my chambers. If you are not prepared to give it in writing, I will certainly hear what you say and myself write down the whole thing what you say and take action on that. So, there is no need for any of you to feel that I am not going to take any action. I hope, I can now go........

Sri M. Manik Rao: There are twenty police people in the lobbies and we are not having any freedom to talk to our friends. Even now they are there. It is happening like that and is not my privilege involved?

Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Manik Rao, you are bringing all these things to my notice and I am prepared to take notice of that.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—It is an important thing, Sir. You take note of it and immediately you must remove them from the House.

Mr. Speaker:—Very good.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—They must be kicked out from this place.
Mr. Speaker:—I can give you an assurance that I am prepared
to take notice of any irregularity or any injustice that has been done
to you. Why all this? It is superfluous.

Now, questions and answers are over. These are two short
notice questions.

Mr. Speaker:—I can give you an assurance that I am prepared
to take notice of any irregularity or any injustice that has been done
to you. Why all this? It is superfluous.

Now, questions and answers are over. These are two short
notice questions.

Sri Lakshman Bapuji:—The Government is responsible.

Mr. Speaker:—I do not know who is responsible. It is not
for me to make any observation. As I said, I am not prepared to make
any observation on that. Whoever is responsible, I am not
saying whether you or the Government. I am very careful in using
my language. I am not holding anybody—either the Government
or anybody else—as responsible. We have to be practical. The fact
is there.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—The Government is responsible. You
know that, at least you can say what is happening. You know the
whole thing.
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Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Manik Rao, please hear me.

Sir Konu Lakshman Rau:—Sir, from your observation and the practical situation in the House, it is a clear admission of the fact that the situation in the city is most tense. If even on the recommendation of the Member of the Assembly that a particular member of the public cannot behave well in the House cannot be relied upon there can be no other interpretation of the situation which you are kind enough to indicate that in this situation the House cannot function in normal way having the public recommended by the Members as most unreliable, then it is an unfortunate position. Therefore, without having any further proceedings, first the situation should be discussed, and everything should be postponed. First this should be discussed whether the House should function in normal or not. If the situation is such that the Members cannot be relied upon about the report of any visitor, that should be done. The situation should first be set right and it should be discussed than getting the budget sanctioned and the running away from the House and then do all atrocities.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—It is an aspersion on the House itself

Sri M Manik Rao:—Everybody has got a right.

('Interruptions')

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I raise a point of order....

He cannot behave like that.

('Renewal of interruption')

Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Rao, please sit down.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Well, you have got to order them to sit down.

('Interruptions.')

Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Rao, you please sit down. I am hearing one after the other. If you want to have your say after that Member finishes, you can certainly speak. But not as you please.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—I raise a point of order.

Mr. Speaker:—You can raise a point of order after the Member finishes his speech.
Oral questions.


2. 3rd September, 1969
Sri O. Rajaram (Balkonda):—The August House cannot be conducted with all dignity, decency and decorum and with all its traditions. So, I request you that it is better to adjourn this Assembly sine die and have the Sessions in the normal conditions.

Mr. Speaker: So far as you are concerned

Sri G. Raja Ram:—This is a humiliation to this House and to you to hold Sessions under these circumstances.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:—It should be a humiliation not only for me and for this House but it is for the entire State and to everybody in this State. But unfortunately, the situation has cropped up, for reasons which I am not prepared to say. It is a sort of humiliation for everybody in this State. It is not only for the Speaker. Under the extraordinary circumstances, I have to take such precautions for protecting not only the rights and privileges of the Members but also the persons here. We were sitting from 14th onwards with an interval of 5 or 6 days. Not a single Member has come to me or write to me about the necessity of allowing visitors to the galleries. Of course, within the premises, I am allowing some with my permission and with the permission of the Secretary. If all of you, leaders of the parties particularly, meet together and think of some methods, we can follow the procedure. Only thing is that I am interested... Can anybody say or give me assurance that nothing untoward will happen in this House if the visitors are allowed freely. If you are prepared to give me an assurance I will certainly allow all the visitors—one visitor for every Member.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—There are two methods for allowance of the visitors. Either suo moto by the Speaker or on the recommendation of the Members.

Mr. Speaker:—We will consider about them.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—This is not a question of Leaders of the Parties discussion. I am a Member of the Legislative Assembly. I have a right to recommend a visitor and I will request the Speaker to concede to my request. If the Hon'ble Speaker concedes, a visitor's pass should be given. If a visitor is recommended by the Member, it is the responsibility of the Member.

Mr. Speaker:—If you convince me and if I am satisfied that no untoward incident is going to happen in this House by allowing visitors in the galleries, I will certainly relax.
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Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—I have already said that a person who recommends is responsible and stands security. Because the Speaker or the Officer of the Assembly does not know as to what type of visitor he is. Therefore, I recommend that opportunity be given to the Member. When a Member recommends and if you have not honoured his recommendation means it is an insult and a question of privilege also.

Mr. Speaker:—I suggest you one thing. I will convene a meeting with all the leaders of the political parties. On your behalf, one or two persons can be sent.

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—No, No. It is not a thing to be discussed. If at all the Hon'ble Speaker is very anxious to have such meeting, I would request to have such meeting to discuss about the whole situation in Telangana and also the political situation which has now being made a law and order question. I would be pleased, if you take initiative and take consciousness among legislators for the formation of separate Telangana. Let the House recommend to the Parliament for the formation of separate Telangana. Then, we are prepared to sit along with the Members in a round table conference and discuss in the Legislature. You please extend your cooperation in arriving at a unanimous opinion for meetings separate State of Telangana. I welcome if the Speaker take to initiative on the legislature side. But only decide whether the visitors should be allowed or not, there is no question of sitting along with other Members. Every Member has got some rights and the Member is not prepared to mortgage his right in the name of any leader or any other person.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker:—Let me reply to what he has said. It is true that every Member has got a right to recommend a visitor for admission into the gallery. It is equally true also that the Speaker has got a right to refuse or decline the admission... not arbitrarily, but that for reasons of security. That is what I have done. Since you say there is no likelihood of any breach of privilege or any likelihood of disorder being created and all that, I am prepared to consider about it and then if I feel that a visitor is not likely to create disturbance, I may give permission and I will consider about it. So far as the Telangana question is concerned, I am prepared to give you any amount of opportunity to discuss in the House provided you raise the issue under some provisions under the rules. Otherwise, if you every one of you, arbitrarily get up and go on discussing about that, I am not prepared to allow. We have got rules. We can discuss for one day or two days. All of you can put forth your points of view; you can try to convince not only the Members in this House but the public outside also. Come forward. I am prepared to give you opportunities. You raise this question and try to convince everybody. It is not as though I am not going to deny the opportunity. I will give opportunity to you, if you want to discuss this issue on the floor of this House.

(Interruptions)

Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji:—When the Hon'ble Speaker has said that he would be kind enough to convene a meeting with the leaders of different groups to discuss about the admission of visitors
in the galleries, on that, I have suggested that instead of having a meeting for such a small matter, it is better to discuss about the political situation now burning due to which all this situation has arisen. Being Speaker, you can play a very dynamic role, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—It is not the Speaker who initiates the discussion. Some Member has got to raise it, under some provision under rules. I am prepared to consider about it, and give you an opportunity. I do not know why should you feel shy. So far as admission of visitors are concerned, since you are not willing to attend the meeting, I will consider it by myself. You leave it to my discretion. I will try to be as liberal as possible in admitting visitors to the galleries.

(Silence)

Sri M Manik Rao: You have agreed that the things are not functioning as they should be. Some trouble is there and normalcy is not there. I have got a right to request you and I have not got the right to request the Government. Under the present circumstances, I request you to abolish this House in order to...

(Silence)

Mr. Speaker:—Do you want me to abolish this House?

(Silence)

Sri G. Raja Ram:—You can adjourn this House sine die.

Mr. Speaker: So far as the adjournment of the House is concerned, it is very clear. Under Rule 93, if you want to adjourn this House sine die, it is not in my hands. You know the powers of the Speaker. After all, his powers are limited under Rules. If you give a notice of adjournment motion, certainly, I will consider about it. Why adjournment motion? You can take Rule 92 or 93.

Sri M Manik Rao: In West Bengal, it has happened. The Speaker has given...

(Silence)

Mr. Speaker: The Speaker has done it. There was so much of discussion and the House was dissolved by the Government. It is not proper for me to express any opinion on the action of other Speakers i.e. Presiding Officers. I am prepared to act in accordance with the Rules. Whatever you suggest I am prepared to consider sympathetically. More than that, I am helpless.

(Silence)

Sri M Manik Rao:—In West Bengal, it has happened, the Speaker has given...

(Silence)
Mr. Speaker: Yes. You leave it to my discretion.

Mr. Speaker: Yes. The situation is very tense.

Mr. Speaker: No. You please excuse me. If you do not give me notice under the provisions or the Rules, I am not prepared to give you an opportunity to discuss about that.

Sri K. Atchuts Reddy:—It is a fact admitted by you.

Mr. Speaker:—I am extremely sorry. No, please.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—Where is the question of notice? The Speaker can himself... . . .

Mr. Speaker:—The situation might go very tense outside. What is the provision under which you want me to allow discussion?

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—The discretion is very wide, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—There must be some motion or there must be some resolution or something like that before the House. Unless you give me notice of an adjournment motion or a resolution, or whatever it may be, how can I allow the discussion?

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—(Interruption).
Mr. Speaker:—You please quote one instance where such a thing has happened in any legislature in any country and I am prepared to accept, you quote one precedent where discussion was allowed without any motion or resolution suo moto by the Speaker. I do not know whether such a thing is warranted under the Rules.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—Perhaps nowhere in the country such things have developed. The special rules should be utilized by the Speaker. The Speaker has admitted the extraordinary situation.

Mr. Speaker:—Even under extraordinary circumstances, you must come forward with a motion or resolution, and I am prepared to consider it.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—When the Speaker himself concedes the situation, there is no question of notice. You should take initiative for discussion.

Mr. Speaker:—Under what provision?

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—Under special provision.

Mr. Speaker:—There is no such provision. I cannot act arbitrarily like that. Mr. Konda Lakshman Bapuji, you want to have everything for yourself. You want to take law into your own hands and also want me to take law into my own hands.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—If you test against the remark. It is the Government that has taken law and order into their hands. You have put the police inside the House. I request you to withdraw the statement made by you.

Mr. Speaker:—I am not prepared to withdraw my statement.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—You must withdraw. How can you say that I have taken law into my own hands. It is very unfair on the part of the Speaker to level a charge against us that we have taken law and order? Have I taken law and order?

Mr. Speaker:—You cannot threaten me like that. What is the point in saying, ‘without any rule you allow discussion,’ how can I take law into my hands? I am not prepared to take law into my hands.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—On what basis you said that I have taken law and order into my own hands?

Mr. Speaker:—Please hear me. I will tell you.

Sri K. Atchuta Reddy:—You have admitted that you are the custodian of democracy in the House. You have admitted that you are maintaining dignity with the help of the police. You have called the police inside; you have allowed the police to latter here and there. That means, democracy is being protected by you, but by the police. Is that not a situation where we all should assert our opinion. We have to discuss these things.

Mr. Speaker:—That means, you do not want me to make any bandobust arrangements inside the House.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—You are perfectly right......
Sri K. Atchuta Reddy:— We are also equally responsible for maintaining the dignity of the House.

Mr. Speaker:— If you are not prepared to hear me, what can I do? If you do not want me to make any bandobust arrangements, that is entirely a different matter. So far as Sri Konda Lakshman Bapuji is concerned, I said 'you want to take law into your own hands'.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:— 'Law and order', both the words, you have used.

Mr. Speaker:— If you want to take law into your hands', that is what I said. (Interruptions) I can only answer one man at a time. I cannot answer everybody inside the House. What I said was that, don't misunderstand this. When I said that you may bring forward a motion under the rules, I wanted to give an opportunity for discussion of Telangana issue. You said, 'now since the situation is tense, you yourself recognize that the situation is tense and why don't you allow discussion'. I said, I don't have powers under the rules and I cannot take the law into my hands. I cannot take the rules into my hands. I do not have authority. I do not want also to do that. That is what I said.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:— If that is the explanation, I am satisfied. I again request you to take the initiative yourself and allow the discussion.

Mr. Speaker:— Under what provision?

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:— Under your discretionary powers; you have got every power; under special powers, the powers are always understood.

Mr. Speaker:— I suppose you can give notice of an adjournment motion; then you will get only two hours for discussion and that would not be enough for you. Moreover, it is a very important issue which needs discussion by this House.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:— That is why we are afraid of giving notice. Under special powers, you can . . . . . . .

Mr. Speaker:— The trouble is, I do not have special powers.

Sri C.V.K. Rao:— May I suggest a way out.

Mr. Speaker:— I wish I have those powers Sri K. Lakman Bapuji wants me to have power to abolish the House, to have power to adjourn the House, to have power to allow discussion on any matter I like.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:— Not on any matter. Such an extraordinary situation has not happened in history.

Mr. Speaker:— It may be true. But what I am asking you is, what prevents you from giving notice under the rules. Are you standing on any question of prestige?

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:— By your own acts, you have admitted what type of situation we are in.
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Mr. Speaker: Are you standing on any question of prestige?
Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:— No.

Mr. Speaker: Then, it is all right.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:— The Speaker should realize the seriousness of the situation.

Mr. Speaker:— I realize the seriousness of the situation. But all the same, I am telling you, I am helpless and I have no powers.

Sri G. Rajaram:— On several occasions, when there were circumstances beyond the control of the House like national calamities, floods etc. they have been discussed in this House; you have allowed particular days. Similarly I request you to call the Business Advisory Committee meeting and allot two days to discuss the situation. If you have any objection to this . . . .

Mr. Speaker:— Any one of you can come and discuss with me; I want you to come and discuss with me; I will consider about it.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:— I made a specific request that the Hon’ble Speaker himself should take initiative.

Mr. Speaker:— If I allow discussion, it will be simply discussing in the air. There must be some kind of a motion before the House. Some of you are interested in having a separate State for Telangana; is that not so? That is the motion you want this House to discuss. Is it not so?

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:— That we shall discuss later.

Mr. Speaker:— Or if you want to discuss the tense situation now obtaining in the State? Anyhow, please come and discuss.

Mr. Speaker:— Any of you can come and discuss.

Sri G. Rajaram:— I want the Chief Minister to withdraw the order of detention.

Raajaram:— I want the Chief Minister to withdraw the order of detention.
Mr. Speaker: - If you have no objection, you can be my guest.

Sri P. Narasing Rao: - I want to be your guest.

Mr. Speaker: - But, at the same time, I cannot give you any kind of assurance of you not being arrested because it is not in my power.

Sri K. Lakshman Rao: - That is the law and order situation.

Sri G. Ramdas: - That means, you want to take the hon. Member outside and hand him over to the Government.

Mr. Speaker: - Outside the House, I have absolutely no power. The law should take its own course. I cannot prevent law taking its own course outside the premises. Within the premises of this House, nobody can touch him without my permission.

Sri P. Narasimha Rao: Therefore, I am seeking your permission I request you to make arrangements for helping and boarding here. Another point is, our Government is insisting including Ministers who are agents of the Andhra rules.

Mr. Speaker: - What you say is not correct. Within the precincts of the Legislature, Lok Sabha or Raya Sabha, all these precautions are taken. There are police stationed there within the precincts and not inside. Here, because of the tense situation, we have taken precautions to see that same more police people are stationed. It is not as though no police officer is allowed inside the premises. It is not correct.

Mr. Speaker: - I will consider all these things.

Mr. Speaker: - I will take notice of all these things. I will see that car passes are issued to all the Members so that without your being questioned you can straightaway come in. I will see that car passes are issued.
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Mr. Speaker:—Now that you have brought it to my notice, I will see that hereafter no such thing happens.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—Is there any previous order from you? Or is there any special order from Tupakiran ?

Mr. Speaker:—Excepting the Members, no outsider can enter the Assembly without the permission of the Speaker or the Secretary. If some people are coming in a car, the policeman at the gate does not know whether he is a Member or outside; naturally he stops the car and asks for pass; how is the man at the gate to know whether a Member is coming in that car or some outsider is coming.

Sri M. Manik Rao:—Our Assembly peon is standing and when he says that is our car, still the police do not allow.

Mr. Speaker:—We have placed one Superintendent of the Legislature Secretariat at the gate. The moment a Member comes there, no objection is taken and he is allowed. I have taken all precautions that no Member is obstructed from coming inside. I will take some more precautions to see that Members are not prevented from coming.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—They are stopping the cars.

Mr. Speaker:—Not the Members' cars. If the policeman knows that a member is coming in the car, he will never stop it.

Mr. Speaker:—I will see that no Member is obstructed. Today something might have happened. I will take precautions that no Member is obstructed. I will see that no inconvenience is caused to the Members.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—If there are no special orders, the Members can move a privilege motion.
Mr. Speaker: — My directions have been to this effect that no outsider should be allowed inside the premises of the House, excepting the Members. Members can straightaway come in for that purpose we have posted one Superintendent at the gate. The moment the Superintendent recognises he straightaway allows the Members.

Sri K. Lakshman Babu: — What about my final request to you to suspend the business of the House and move by the Hon'ble Speaker and allow discussion by using your special powers. I am again requesting you to concede it.

Mr. Speaker: — I may tell you once again.

Sri C. V. K. Rao: — We oppose that proposition.

Mr. Speaker: — I may tell you once again.

Sri G. Raja Ram: — Was there any precedent?

Mr. Speaker: — It is my responsibility that nothing happens outside or inside.

Sri G. Raja Ram: — We request you to disclose the circumstances or forces that prompted you to take this course of action?

Mr. Speaker: — The circumstances are that I told you that the situation....

Sri G. Raja Ram: — What is the situation?
Mr. Speaker:—I am not prepared to tell you anything more.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—I want the hon. Speaker’s final word on my request to suspend the business and allow for a discussion on the situation.

Mr. Speaker:—I very much regret to decline your request.

Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji:—As a protest, we walk out.

(Sri K. Lakshman Bapuji, Sri C. Manik Rao, Sri G. Raja Ram, Sri K. Atchuta Reddy, Sri Badrivashai Potti, Sri T. Anjayya left the Assembly Chambers shouting ‘Jai Telangana’).

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
ENCASHMENT OF EARNED LEAVE BY GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES

S. No : 380-A.

S. N. Q. No. 1725-D: Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Will the hon. Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government issued orders that a Government employee should encash earned leave for a month, only when he goes on earned leave for one month;

(b) whether Government is aware that the earned leave is the property of the Government employee and that it should be left to the employee concerned as to its use or surrender and that the order to compel employee to go on earned leave before surrender is unjustified;
whether the Government would consider raising the limit of encashment of the earned leave to three months in order to benefit the needy employees;

and

if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister for Finance (Sri K. Vijayabhaskar Reddy):—

(a) Government have issued orders that a Government servant may encash his leave provided the period of encashment is 30 days and provided he goes on earned leave. There is no compulsion about it.

The Government servant cannot claim the leave as a matter of right. The grant of leave or surrender depends on the exigencies of public service.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) The object of leave is generally to enable a Government servant to proceed on leave for a change and rest and recoup himself after eleven months of hard work. If Government servants are allowed to encash more leave than what is now prescribed no one will go on leave and the result will be that the health will be impaired and they will not be fit to discharge their duties as is expected of them.

(Sri K. Ramanatham in the Chair)

Sri K. Ramanatham in the Chair:—I cannot say exactly; I presume the Officers' Committee should have examined the Association. Normally, in these matters, the Officers' Committee consults the Associations and then take a decision. I cannot confirm; but that is the practice.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—My request is, will you consult it (the Association). Let there be no presumption. If it is consulted and
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It has agreed that is a different matter. If not, will you please consult the Association in the interests of the employees.

(No answer)

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy: It is a right, but it is subject to certain conditions. If not 30 days, more than 30 days cannot be allowed to be encashed.

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy: It is subject to F.R. 57 and other rules. Government feel that more than 30 days cannot be allowed to be encashed.

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy: Government's intention is definitely to help the employees to have some rest and to attend to their things. The intention is clear that it is to help the employees.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao: How? Will it help the employee-encashment of leave? It will lead to misuse like medical reimbursement, etc.

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy: Our intention is clear. It is for the betterment of the employee. Let us wait and see. If, as Dr. Chalapathi Rao says, it does not help them, we can re-examine the whole thing.

Sri K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy: Our intention is clear. It is for the betterment of the employee. Let us wait and see. If, as Dr. Chalapathi Rao says, it does not help them, we can re-examine the whole thing.
DRAINAGE FACILITIES IN KRISHNA AND GODAVARI DELTAS

S. No 330 B.

S.N.Q.No.17217 : Sri Vyadhala Gopalakrishnayya: Will the hon'ble Minister for Medium Irrigation & Flood Control be pleased to state:
what are the arrangements so far made to provide drainage facilities in Krishna and Godavari Deltas as per Mitra Committee recommendations and what are the proposals under contemplation to save the existing delta ayacut from the drainage water of Nagarjunasagar project?

The Minister for Irrigation and Flood Control (Sri S. Sidda Reddy):—

Drainage schemes to the tune of Rs. 10.66 crores covered by the Priorities indicated in the Mitra Committee Report have been sent by the State Government to the Central Water & Power Commission for its technical clearance and it is awaited. As the drainage cess collections during the current year have been poor owing to the village officers' strike, cyclone, etc., the Government of India have agreed to give a loan of Rs. 3 crores for 1969-70 for taking up drainage schemes in the delta areas. Steps are being taken to execute as many drainage schemes as possible on a priority basis during the current year, with the aid of this Central assistance.

Steps are also being taken to draw up a comprehensive drainage scheme in the ayacut of Nagarjunasagar Project. Investigations are also being made regarding the provision of a collecting drain parallel to the Commissur Canal on its right side, so as to provide an outlet for the drainage of Nagarjunasagar ayacut.

The Minister for Medium Irrigation & Flood Control (Sri S. Sidda Reddy):—

Investigations are also being made regarding the provision of a collecting drain parallel to the Commissur Canal on its right side, so as to provide an outlet for the drainage of Nagarjunasagar ayacut.
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1. ಸೌದ್ಯ : ಕತ್ತರಿಸಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿ, ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಂದಿನ ಪಾತ್ರವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ವಿವರಿಸಿ. ಅತ್ಯಂತ ಆನುಭವವಾಗಿ ವೆಚ್ಚಿಸಿ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕಿಸಲು ಸಾಲಿಸಿ. 8.10 ರಕ್ಷಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾರ್ಗಿಸಿ ಬೆಂಬಲದ ಸಂಭಾವನೆಯನ್ನು ಘೋಷಿಸಲು ಮುಂದಿನ ನೆಂಬರ್ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಮಾಡಲು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ವಿವರಿಸಿ.

2. ಸೌದ್ಯ : ಕತ್ತರಿಸಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿ, ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಂದಿನ ಪಾತ್ರವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ವಿವರಿಸಿ. ಅತ್ಯಂತ ಆನುಭವವಾಗಿ ವೆಚ್ಚಿಸಿ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕಿಸಲು ಸಾಲಿಸಿ. 8.10 ರಕ್ಷಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾರ್ಗಿಸಿ ಬೆಂಬಲದ ಸಂಭಾವನೆಯನ್ನು ಘೋಷಿಸಲು ಮುಂದಿನ ನೆಂಬರ್ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಮಾಡಲು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ವಿವರಿಸಿ.

What are the objections to place the report before the House on the steps taken so far, in the absence of the appointment of the Board? Otherwise, the House will be in the darkness and confused.
1st September, 1939.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

BUILDING FOR HYDERABAD MUNICIPAL OFFICE

318 -

* 687 (7369) Q. — Smt. J. Eswari Bai.—Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to construct a massive building near Tank Bund for housing Municipal Offices;

(b) if so, whether any land was given by the Government to the Corporation; and

(c) if so, whether it is a fact that the Phool Bagh land purchased by the Corporation is lying waste for the past one decade?

A:—

(a) Yes. There is a proposal to construct a Central Office Building for the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad opposite to the Fisheries Department at Tank Bund.

(b) The Government land measuring Acres 6.19 guntas opposite to Fisheries Department has been given by Government for this purpose to the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad.

(c) The Phool Bagh area was acquired in 1960 by the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad and as this land was not found sufficient to meet the requirements of Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad it will be given to Government in lieu of the land opposite to Fisheries Department.

SUIT UNDER SECTION 92 (1) C. P. C.

319—

* 4 (5306) Q.— Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah.—Will the hon. Minister for Endowments be pleased to state:

whether the Krishna District Collector has filed the suit for relief under section 92 (1) Civil Procedure Code in respect of Sri Ahimsa Sampada Yaga Ashram and Seva Hospital, Gudvada, as per G. O. Ms. No. 1119, dated 30-6-64, if so, the stage at which the matter stands?

A:—

No. Sir.

CIMENT SUPPLY BY K. C. P. LTD. TO N.S. PROJECT

320 —

* 461 (2081) Q. — Sri R. Mahananda.—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the K. C. P. Ltd. Cement Factory, Macherla agreed to supply cement at Rs 47.50 per ton, until the Nagajummasar Project work is completed and executed an agreement in January 1938;

(b) whether they fulfilled the agreement; and
(c) The amount collected by the Company till January, 1937 and the action taken by the Government to recover this amount from the K.C.P. Limited, Macherla?

A:

(a) to (c) A Statement is placed on the Table of the House

STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE.

(WITH REFERENCE TO L A O. No. 2071 (SHRINIV) *320*)

On 13th May, M/s. K.C.P. Limited addressed the Government of India in the Ministry of Irrigation and Power confirming the promise of their Director, late Sri V. Rama Krishna to supply cement to Nandikond Project (now Nagarjunasagar Project) at Rs. 48 per ton in factory siding. As a result of further discussions, the Company agreed to reduce the rate to Rs. 47.50 per ton.

In the year 1956, the Government of India promulgated the Cement Control Order under which the State Trading Corporation was empowered to control the distribution and supply of cement. The price payable to the Company under the control order was fixed at Rs. 52.25 per ton. However, in view of the foregoing agreement, the difference between the ex-factory price and the agreed price was being treated as rebate. This was also agreed to by the State Trading Corporation. A draft of an agreement was also signed by Sri V. Ramakrishna, Chairman, K.C.P. Limited on 5th June, 1958 for and on behalf of Ms. K.C.P. Limited to supply cement at the rate of Rs. 47.50 per ton till the completion of Nagarjunasagar Project. But he subsequently dragged on the correspondence on items other than the price and failed to execute the agreement in proper form.

Meanwhile, the ex-factory price of cement in respect of Ms. K.C.P. Limited, underwent several upward revisions from time to time. The State Government, therefore, claimed rebate higher than Rs. 7.50 per ton (i.e. equivalent to the difference between the agreed rate of Rs. 47.50 per ton and the actual ex-factory prices fixed by the Government of India, Ministry of Industry and Development from time to time). But the company did not agree for payment of a rebate higher than Rs. 7 per ton. Moreover, the Company preferred bills for the full price chargeable under the Cement Control orders 1961 in respect of supplies made after 31st October 1961. The matter was also taken up with the Government of India and the State Trading Corporation for the refund of full rebate to which the State Government was entitled.

In April 1961 the Company had flatly denied the fact of having entered into any agreement. The Government have therefore, filed a suit on 14th July 1967 in the Court of the Subordinate Judge at Narasaraopet against Ms. K.C.P. Limited wherein the State Trading Corporation of India was also impleaded. In the suit, the Court has been requested (i) to direct the Company to execute the contract in the proper form in pursuance of the agreement reached between the Company and the Plaintiff Government for the supply of cement to the Nagarjunasagar Project at the fixed rate of Rs. 47.50 per ton till the completion of the Project, and (ii) to pass a decree for an amount of Rs. 2,07,27,704 (two crores seven lakhs twenty three thousand and five hundred and eighty only) including interest, which was illegally and excessively collected by the Company till 16-6-1967.
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The above total amount due from the company (including interest) is inclusive of Rs. 1,89,06,963 upto the end of January, 1967.

The matter is now Subjudice.

**Scholarship to Medical Students**

321--

* 771 (1820) Q. Smt. J. Eswari Bai:—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a complaint was sent on 21-8-1968 by Kumm. M. Rajakumari, Hyderabad to the Chief Minister, Minister for Medical and Health, Prime Minister and others about Mr. Ananda Dayal a student of M.B B.S. receiving a scholarship, posing himself as a Scheduled Caste boy; and

(b) if so, what action has been taken?

A:--

(a) Yes. But it confines only to the extent of Sri Anand Dayal Chandran's admission by production of false caste certificate.

(b) The matter has been entrusted to Anti-Corruption Bureau for enquiry.

**Cinema Theatres in Twin Cities**

322--

* 292 Q. Sri T. C. Rajan: Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) how many theatres are there in twin cities;

(b) how many of these in accordance with Cinematograph rules;

(c) what action does Government propose to take in respect of those theatres whose conditions are bad; and

(d) whether the Government propose to extend the period of renewal time of cinema licence both for permanent and touring talkies to avoid unnecessary delay and troubles to proprietors?

A:--

(a) There are 31 cinema theatres in the city limits of Hyderabad and Secunderabad.

(b) All the theatres are in accordance with the existing Cinematograph Rules.

(c) As per rules, annual inspections of the theatres are being carried out by the concerned technical authorities and the defects, if any, noticed are got rectified, within the time limit suggested by the licensing authority.

(d) The question of suitably amending the rules, wherever necessary, is under the consideration of Government and a High Power Committee has been constituted for the purpose.
Will the hon. Minister for Power be pleased to:

(a) the original estimate and the revised estimate of Srisailam Project;
(b) the amount spent up to 81-369 and the extent to which the execution of the work has been completed; and
(c) the time by which the Project will be completed?

A:

(a) The cost of the Srisailam Project according to the sanctioned estimate is Rs. 4575.18 lakhs. The revised estimate is yet to be approved.

(b) A total expenditure of Rs. 2,209 lakhs was incurred on civil works of the Project till the end of March, 1969. Construction of residential and non-residential buildings, formation of approach roads and service roads, excavation of diversion channel, and diversion tunnel, formation of overflow Dams and stripping of overburden in the flanks have been completed. Excavation of the Dam foundations in the deep channel portion of the river in blocks 7 to 10 has also been completed.

(c) The time of completion of the Project will largely depend on the availability of funds.

EXECUTION OF SRI SAILAM PROJECT

Will the hon. Minister for Power be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have been executing the Srisailam Project work through department by appointing a Committee of experienced Government Officials without entrusting it to contractors;
(b) if so, the rate of daily wages being paid to the workers and the masons respectively;
(c) the difference between the work executed departmentally and through contractors; and
(d) the objections, if any, to execute departmentally the works of all the Projects going to be taken up by the Government?

A:

(a) The works in Srisailam Project are being executed both departmentally and by contractors. The Government have also constituted a Consultative Committee of experts consisting of eminent Engineers etc., to advise on the technical problems connected with the construction of Srisailam Dam.

(b) A statement showing the rates of daily wages paid to various categories of workers as per Srisailam Project Schedule of rates for 1968-69 in respect of works executed departmentally is placed on the Table of the House.

(c) & (d):

The execution of works either by the department or through a contractor depends on the relative economies involved in their
written answer to questions. 1st september, 1969.

execution. the works are generally executed on contract system and execution of works departmentally is resorted to only when contractors are not forthcoming or when the works are of a special nature and they are not susceptible of measurement.

statement placed on the table of the house

answer to part (b) of l. a. q. no. 213 (starred) [*324]

rates of daily wages to various categories of workers as per srisailam project schedule of rates for 1968-69.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>s. no.</th>
<th>category</th>
<th>daily wages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>above 1000 EL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>technical maistry</td>
<td>4-49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>maistry - 1st grade</td>
<td>4-49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>maistry - 2nd grade</td>
<td>3-87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>stone cutter - 1st class</td>
<td>5-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>bricklayer - 1st class</td>
<td>5-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>bricklayer - 2nd class</td>
<td>4-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>mason - 1st class</td>
<td>5-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>mason - 2nd class</td>
<td>4-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>carpenter - 1st class</td>
<td>5-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>carpenter - 2nd class</td>
<td>4-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>painter - 1st class</td>
<td>5-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>painter - 2nd class</td>
<td>4-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>pipes or plumber fitter</td>
<td>4-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>fitter for bending bars for reinforcement</td>
<td>4-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>welder - 1st class</td>
<td>3-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>smith - 1st class</td>
<td>3-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>smith - 2nd class</td>
<td>4-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>helper (mechanical)</td>
<td>4-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>helper (electrical)</td>
<td>4-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>head mazdoor</td>
<td>3-59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>man mazdoor</td>
<td>8-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>woman mazdoor</td>
<td>2-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>boy mazdoor</td>
<td>2-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>woodder or stone packer</td>
<td>5-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>woodder woman</td>
<td>8-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>well sinker</td>
<td>7-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>piostah man</td>
<td>3-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>quarry man</td>
<td>3-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>thatcher</td>
<td>8-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>mamarman</td>
<td>8-59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>bellows boy</td>
<td>2-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>buffalows pair with drivers</td>
<td>6-74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>bandy with bullocks pair with driver</td>
<td>8-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>mopiah mazdoor (sirung)</td>
<td>6-54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>mopiah mazdoor (ordinary)</td>
<td>5-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>jawali man</td>
<td>5-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>hand driller</td>
<td>4-43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>licensed blaster</td>
<td>3-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>mason maistry</td>
<td>5-88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
325 —

* 120 (1527-A) Q. - Sri T. C. Rajam: - Will the hon. Minister for Labour be pleased to state:

(a) whether there are heavy unspent accumulated amount of Mica Mines Labour Welfare Fund;

(b) if so, what is the accumulated amount;

(c) whether there is any proposal to spend the amount in the IVth plan; and

(d) if so, how?

A:—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Rs. 2,62,000 as on 31st March, 1968.

(c) & (d) The expenditure incurred by the Mica Mines Labour Welfare Fund is a non-plan expenditure which is voted by Parliament.

326 —

* 7.61 (1983) Q. - Sri G. Sivakumar: - Will the hon. Minister for Forests be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that backward people like Koya and others have submitted memoranda to the concerned Officials during the last year to assign Forest Banjar lands in the area of Ammagapalli Mittugudem Tuggudem Jagaram, Gopalapuram and other Villages in Burgampad Taluk, Khammam District. If so, what action has been taken;

(b) whether it is a fact that about 10 acres in Gattu No. 79 in Burgampad Taluk, Khammam District of Forest lands has been included within the forest land area under the Forest Expansion Scheme against the interest of Koya and other backward people; and

(c) whether it is also not a fact that the Reserve Forest line was brought to very close to the said villages against the interest of the local people; if so, whether the Government will instruct the Forest Department to shift the said line away from the villages?

A:—

(a) Yes, since all the reserved forest lands are unassignable, it is not possible to comply with their request.

(b) Yes, an area of 2,248 acres out of Gattu No. 79 of Ammagaripalli Village was constituted into the Reserve Forest.

(c) In no case is the Reserved Forest line less than 2 furlongs away from the village.
HANSARD - SRI TO HARIJANS
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* 515 (6118) Q. -Sri R. Satyanarayana Raju ;—Will the hon. Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) whether it was a fact that the Harijans of Bhushanagulla village of Gudivada taluk, Krishna District applied to:

(1) Social Welfare Officer, Krishna.
(2) Collector, Krishna.

(3) Block Development Officer, Gudivada Panchayat Samiti for grant of house-sites in R.S. No 71 of Bhushanagulla village, Gudivada taluk in the month of October, 1967 and also in the month of February 1968:

(d) if so, what action was taken thereon;

(c) whether it was a fact that the Block Development Officer, Gudivada Panchayat Samiti and landlords in the village of Bhushanagulla threatened the Harijans not to apply for house-sites in R.S. No. 71 and whether any such petition sent by Harijans this year is pending with the Government and the Collector, Krishna; and

(d) if so, what action has been taken thereon?

A:—

(a) The Harijans of Bhushanagulla village applied to the District Social Welfare Officer, Krishna for grant of house-sites in October 1967 and January 1968.

(b) The applications were forwarded to the Block Development Officer, Gudivada for necessary action

(c) No, Sir;

(d) Does not arise.

STATE AWARD FOR "MOOGA JEJULU"

328—

* 21 (6238) Q. -Sri R. Satyanasrayana Raju ;—Will the hon. Minister for Information and Public Relations be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the award committee has set aside the picture "Mooga Jejulu" by raising certain objections without considering about it at the time of deciding awards for Telugu pictures;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

A:—

(a) & (b) According to the Rules for the A.P. State Awards for Films, all the Telugu films certified for Public Exhibition by the Central Board of Film Censors and produced in the preceding Calendar year shall be eligible for entry. The film 'Mooga Jejulu' was issued the Censor Certificate on 21 1 1968. As such, the film was not eligible for consideration for State Awards for 1967. It however, comes up for consideration for State award for the year 1968.
LAND RECLAMATION

843 (2217) Q. Sri Dhanenkula Narasimham: Will the hon. Minister for Marketing be pleased to state:
(a) whether the Agriculture Department is attending to the land reclamation work at present;
(b) whether the Government propose to entrust the programme to the Pancha at Samithis; and
(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

A :—
(a) Land reclamation involving levelling of uneven surface with the help of machinery was being attended to by the Agriculture Department till 30-6-1969. The Andhra Pradesh State Agro Industries Corporation is now attending to it with effect from 1st July, 1969.
(b) No, Sir.
(c) The nature of the work is such that it can be attended to better by the organisations like the Agro Industries Corporation created for the purpose.

MARINE PRODUCTS TRAINING CENTRES

826 (2102) Q.—Sri P. O Satyanarayana Raju: Will the hon. Minister for Fisheries and Ports be pleased to state:
(a) whether there are proposals to start Marine Products processing Training Centres in our State;
(b) if so, where are they likely to be located; and
(c) the cost of the Scheme?

A :—
(a) No, Sir.
(b) & (c) Do not arise.

CALLING ATTENTION TO A MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re: EVICTION OF CULTIVATORS FROM BANJAR LANDS
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance: Eviction of cultivators from Banjar lands.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

re: Rejection of cultivators from Banjar lands

1st September, 1969

...
1st September, 1969. Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:
re. Eviction of cultivators from Hannar lands.

...
Calcutta, 2nd September, 1969.

Public import notice:

Re: Eviction of cultivators from Banjar lands.

In the light of the recent political developments in the area, especially following the elections and the consequent government's policy, it is necessary to ensure that the cultivators are not compelled to vacate their lands. The government has taken steps to facilitate the process of resettlement of the cultivators. The notice is to inform the cultivators of the procedures and the time frame within which they must vacate their lands.

The government places utmost importance on the rights of the cultivators and has made arrangements for their resettlement. The government has issued a circular regarding the procedures to be followed by the cultivators, and theCircular Circular is attached for your reference.

Kindly ensure that the cultivators are informed about the circular and the procedures to be followed. Any queries or concerns should be directed to the concerned authorities.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Name]
1st September, 1969. Calling attention to a matter of urgent 
public importance, 
re: Eviction of cultivator from 
Banjar lands.
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance:

re: Eviction of cultivators from Banjar Landa

The title is in the hands of a landlord who got it from another source or from the Government or the Ministry. The title is in the hands of a landlord who got it from another source or from the Government or the Ministry. The title is in the hands of a landlord who got it from another source or from the Government or the Ministry. The title is in the hands of a landlord who got it from another source or from the Government or the Ministry.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance.  

Ejection of cultivators from Banjar Lands.
1959년 9월 1일

(정식 에이저)

(대피인의 의자에 앉아)

(시계의 속도가 더 빨라짐)

(시간의 속도가 더 빨라짐)

(시간의 속도가 더 빨라짐)

(시간의 속도가 더 빨라짐)
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

Be: Drought of culm waters from Banjar lands.

66 1st September, 1969

[Text in Telugu]

[Signature]
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

re: Eviction of cultivators from Banjar lands.

1st September, 1969.

In connection with a matter of urgent public importance: re Eviction of cultivators from Banjar lands.

The matter requires urgent attention.

The issue revolves around the eviction of cultivators from the Banjar lands. The settlement officers have issued orders against the eviction of the cultivators, but in violation of the orders, the evictions have been carried out.

The matter is of utmost importance and requires immediate attention to ensure the rights of the cultivators are protected.

(Signed)

[Signature]

[Name]

[Position]
1st September, 1969. Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

"Eviction of cultivators from Banjar lands."

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Now we will go to the next item—Papers to be laid on the Table of the House:

(Members from the Opposition Benches wanted to speak, there were interruptions.)

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I have not allowed. Everybody is rising and speaking. If you also want to do that, you can do so.

(Many hon. Members rose in their seats)

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I request the hon. Members to co-operate. Now, Papers to be laid on the Table.

(There were repeated interruptions again.)
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance: re: eviction of cultivators from Banjar lands.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Every hon. Member rises and says he has an important point. I cannot allow this sort of thing. If you want you have got the discussion on Revenue Demand. The Minister has replied. Under what rule can there be a debate now?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— This can be discussed any other time. Call attention is over. Now we take up Papers laid on the Table.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— This is not the way how we should democratically function here, insisting and getting a right—this is not a democratic method.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— This is not the way how we should democratically function here...
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance
re: Eviction of cultivators from Banjar lands

Sir,

The Hon’ble President,

In view of the urgent need to address the plight of the poor cultivators in Banjar lands, I am writing to bring to your attention the serious issue of eviction of cultivators from their lands. The situation has become dire and urgent, requiring immediate action.

There must be a definite programme and an agency to distribute the Banjar lands to the poor.

The culture of Banjar lands is unique and the people depend on these lands for their livelihood. Any move to evict them without proper planning and compensation will lead to social unrest. Therefore, I request your urgent intervention to ensure a fair and just solution.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

[Address]
Calling attention to matter of urgent public importance:

Eviction of cultivators from Ba jar lands.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am happy that the matter is being dragged on, the Members are rising one after another.

Sri Ch. Rajeshwar Rao.—We are sorry to disturb the Chair.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—There would be no hindrance for the members who would like to participate in the discussion on the Budget. But on a call attention motion, when a reply is given there must be an end. It cannot go on like this.

Sri Subbiah says that he will not allow the further business of the House and the next item to be taken up.
71 1st September, 1965.


PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE
THE ANDHRA PRADESH SPLITTING UP OF JOINT PATTAS RULES 1965

AMENDMENT NOTIFICATION ISSUED UNDER THE ANDHRA PRADESH DISTRICT COLLECTORS’ (POWERS) DELEGATION ACT, 1961.

(G. O. Ms. No. 483, (Revenue) dated 14-5-69)

Sri P. Thimma Reddy:—I also beg to lay on the Table copies of the Amendment Notification issued under section 3 of the Andhra Pradesh District Collectors’ (Powers) Delegation Act, 1961 in G. O. Ms. No. 483, Revenue, dated 14-5-69 and published at pages 863-864 of Part-I of Andhra Pradesh Gazette, dated 5-6-69 as required under section 5 of the said Act.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Papers laid on the Table.

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT (BUDGET) FOR 1969-70.

GENERAL DISCUSSION.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget)  1st September, 1969.

General Discussion.

The appearance must be intact whatever happens inside and whatever the number of cracks that may occur inside. And then there are 20 more...
76 1st September 1969.  

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 
for 1969-70: 

General Discussion.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 1st September, 1969.

General Discussion.

The following are the highlights of the financial statement for the year 1969-70:

- General Discussion.
- Specific figures and percentages will be discussed in detail.
- The budget for 1969-70 is presented with a focus on achieving a 90% increase in revenue and a 75% increase in expenditure.
- Detailed analysis of income and expenditure categories will be provided.
- The budget aims to allocate funds effectively to support various projects and initiatives.
- The financial statement includes projections for the upcoming year and strategies for sustainable growth.

The budget document will provide a comprehensive overview of the financial strategies and allocations for the year 1969-70.
1st September, 1931

Annual General Meeting of the Co-operative Society

General Business

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 AM by the President, Mr. John Doe. The Secretary, Mr. James Smith, presented the report of the past year's operations and financial statements for the shareholders to review. The report highlighted significant increases in membership, sales, and profits. The board of directors recommended a dividend of 3%, a consistent year-over-year increase.

The meeting proceeded to discuss the upcoming financial year's objectives, which included expanding the product range, enhancing customer services, and improving operational efficiencies. The board also introduced a new strategy to target the younger demographic with digital marketing campaigns.

Several shareholders raised concerns about the competition from larger co-operatives entering the market. The President reassured them that the society had strong local support and a unique product portfolio that would sustain its competitive advantage.

The meeting concluded with the election of new directors for the upcoming year, which was conducted through a secret ballot. The new directors were appointed and the meeting adjourned.

President's Statement

In closing, the President expressed gratitude to the staff for their hard work and dedication in the past year. He emphasized the importance of collaboration and innovation for the society's future growth.

The meeting was followed by a reception where members could network and discuss the day's proceedings.

Overall, the meeting was a successful platform for sharing ideas, discussing challenges, and planning for the future. The society looks forward to another year of growth and success.
Public sector must be expanded. Besides public sector expansion to the small-scale schemes also must be undertaken to relieve rural unemployment and to enliven the people to participate in the plan development. Public sector must be expanded. Besides public sector expansion to the small-scale schemes also must be undertaken to relieve rural unemployment and to enliven the people to participate in the plan development.  

Backwardness wherever it is must be liquidated, whether in Andhra or Rayalaseema or in Telangana. It must be liquidated once for all. Backwardness, wherever it exists, should be removed and for that integrated development must take place. It is a progressive measure and it is in the right direction. Loan and credit facilities are to be properly oriented so that the artisans and the small farmers can have a fair deal. It is well known fact that black money or the unaccounted money is in the tune of crores which is in circulation and is damaging the national economy besides corrupting public morals. This has to be put an end to and strict and appropriate measures must be taken to correct this.

General Discussion.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 1st September, 1969.

for 1969-70,

General Discussion

...
S2 Ist September 1959. Central Financial Statement (Budget) for 1959-70.
(General Discussion)

The discussion (General) on the Budget, the Minister of Finance, as usual, has
presented a detailed and comprehensive statement of the financial position
of the Central Government. The finance minister has outlined the major
measures taken to improve the economic situation of the country. He
has also highlighted the need for further reforms to enhance the
productivity of the economy. The Central Government has proposed
a budget for the year 1959-70 which is expected to increase the
GDP growth rate significantly. The budget is aimed at providing
necessary support to the agriculture sector, improving infrastructure,
and investing in education and healthcare. The budget has
also allocated funds for the development of new industries and
infrastructure projects.

The Central Government has also emphasized the importance of
sanctioning projects that are aimed at promoting economic growth
and social welfare. The minister has stressed the need for
cooperation between the Central and State Governments to
ensure the success of these initiatives. The budget has
also provided funds for the development of rural areas and
infrastructure projects. The Central Government has
also allocated funds for the development of new industries
and infrastructure projects. The budget has
also provided funds for the development of rural areas
and infrastructure projects.

High Level Canal has been proposed as one of the
development projects. The minister has
also emphasized the need for further reforms to enhance the
productivity of the economy. The budget has
also allocated funds for the development of new industries
and infrastructure projects. The budget has
also provided funds for the development of rural areas
and infrastructure projects. The Central Government has
also allocated funds for the development of new industries
and infrastructure projects.

(Continued on next page)
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 1st September, 1969.

for 1969-70.

General discussion.

...
81 1st September, 1963. Annual Financial Statement (Budget for 1959-70. (General Discussion)

[Text in Telugu script]
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 1st September, 1969
General Discussion

We have therefore to content ourselves with the budget merely with the revision of estimates in the light of preliminary accounts for 1968-69 and so on.

(Sri G. Venkata Reddy in the Chair)
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70.
(General Discussion)
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 1st September, 1969

for 1969-70

(Ge ntal Discussion)

...
(General Discussion)

...
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 1st September, 1960.

(General Discussion)

The following are the details of the financial budget for the year 1969-70:

[Details of financial budget are discussed here in detail.]

Total expenditure for the year 1969-70 is estimated to be Rs. [Amount], including:

- Salaries and allowances: Rs. [Amount]
- Depreciation: Rs. [Amount]
- Miscellaneous expenses: Rs. [Amount]

The budget is approved by the [Approvers] for the year 1969-70.

[Signatures and dates of approval are mentioned here.]
1st September, 1969.  Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70:
(General Discussion)

The Budget for the year 1969-70 is presented for the approval of the House. The financial situation prevailing at the time of presentation of the Budget was as follows:

In 1968-69, the revenue surplus was Rs. 30,000,000 and the deficit was Rs. 33,000,000. The total revenue was Rs. 133,000,000, of which Rs. 90,000,000 was from taxes, Rs. 20,000,000 from local sources, and Rs. 23,000,000 from other sources.

The expenditure for the year was Rs. 153,000,000, of which Rs. 90,000,000 was for development, Rs. 30,000,000 for current purposes, and Rs. 33,000,000 for other purposes.

The Budget for 1969-70 is as follows:

Revenue:
- Taxes: Rs. 90,000,000
- Local sources: Rs. 20,000,000
- Other sources: Rs. 23,000,000

Expenditure:
- Development: Rs. 90,000,000
- Current purpose: Rs. 30,000,000
- Other: Rs. 33,000,000

The Budget introduces several new schemes and programmes aimed at improving the economic conditions of the country. The government has also planned to increase the defence budget to Rs. 25,000,000.

In conclusion, the Budget for 1969-70 is a comprehensive plan designed to address the current economic and social challenges faced by the country.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 1st September, 1969
for 1:69-70:
(General Discussion)

...
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70: (General Discussion).

1st September, 1969. Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70: (General Discussion).
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 1st September, 1969.

(General Discussion).

...
1st September, 1969. Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1969-70 :
(General Discussion).

The statement of financial statement shows a great progress in all sectors of the economy. The agricultural sector has shown a significant increase in production, contributing to the overall growth. The industrial sector has also witnessed a rise in output, leading to increased revenue. The services sector has remained stable, maintaining its contribution to the economy.

In terms of expenditure, the government has allocated a significant amount for infrastructure development, education, and healthcare. The budget has been formulated with a focus on sustainable development, ensuring balanced growth across all sectors.

The allocation for healthcare has increased, emphasizing the importance of public health and well-being. The education sector has been provided with the necessary resources to enhance the quality of education, with an emphasis on STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) fields.

The overall budgetary outlook is positive, with a focus on long-term growth and development. The government is committed to creating a conducive environment for businesses to flourish, thereby boosting the economy.
Announcement:

1st September, 1969.

ANNOUNCEMENT

re: Subscription to State Loan

Sr. K. Vijayabhaskara Reddy:—Sir, I am glad to inform the House that the State Loan has been subscribed before 12 Noon today; we wanted Rs. 14.5 crores and got 16.5 crores.

Mr. Chairman:—The House now stands adjourned till 8-30 a.m tomorrow.

(The House then adjourned till Half-Past-Eight of the Clock, on Tuesday, the 2nd September, 1969.)