ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

ASSIGNMENT OF LANDS UNDER KRISHNA BARRAGE

2300—

* 4717 Q—Sri Kona Prabhakara Rao (Bapatla)—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue and Civil Supplies be pleased to state

(a) whether a G O was passed in the year 1961 prohibiting assignment of lands affected under Krishna Barrage Scheme to encroachers who encroached after 1961,

(b) whether in the G O it was stipulated that the encroachers prior to 1961 may be assigned the land on payment of market value,

(c) whether the encroachers after 1961 should be evicted and the lands sold in public auction

(d) on what grounds the Government have distinguished encroachments prior to 1961 and after 1961, and

(e) whether the Government will consider doing away with that distinction and see that lands under the Krishna Barrage Scheme are assigned to the encroachers after 1961 also at least on payment of market value on easy instalments?

The Minister for Revenue (Sri V B Raju,—(a) The instructions issued in Government Memo No B/8519/61-12 Revenue, dated 15-11-61 do purport to prohibition of assignment of lands in favour of persons who encroached on the project affected lands after 15th November 1961

(b) & (c) In Government Memo No B/8519/61-12 Revenue, dated 15-11-61, the Government directed that (1) till the question of sale of lands under Krishna Barrage Scheme in open auction is re-examined, the sale in open Auction of such lands as well as assignment of remaining unoccupied lands under the same barrage be withheld and
As specific orders were issued in Memo No B 35'0/ B1/61-12 Revenue, dated 19-11-61 that no fresh encroachments should be allowed the encroachers who came into occupation subsequently should not be given any preference. The encroachments prior to 16-11-1961 and after 16-11-1961 have thus been distinguished with reference to the date of issue of the above memo.

Orders have been issued in G.O. Ms. No 301, Revenue, dated 28-3-63 directing that the coexistence of assignment as market value be extended also to the Sivoyamadars who occupied the lands under the Krishna Barrage Scheme during the period 15-11-61 to 8-3-67 and not later subject to:

(a) the payment of the true rating mark-

(b) where the encroachers are not eligible for assignment they should be evicted and the lands sold in public auction.

Sri K Prabhakara Rao — has the Market value for those lands been fixed so far?

Sri V B Raju — Market value Sir at the moment. The market value is fixed by the lower levels of administration. Since some representations have been received that the determining of market value is causing hardship, Government is considering what is the best procedure for determining the market value.
Oral Answers to Questions. 27th June, 1968

10 instalments of 5 rupees each instalment has been decided. The last instalment is to be made in 100 instalments. Accordingly instalments are to be paid.

The Minister of Finance (Mr. Durga Prasad) - As the encroachers have been prohibited from occupying the land, the question of prohibition is not.

Assign separate plots.

Assign separate plots.

Market value of the land has been determined by public auction. The market value is to be paid as installment. Where the encroachers are not eligible for assignment, they should be evicted and the lands sold in public auction. The Market value is to be determined by public auction. Stands are eligible and Landless poor, as well as the holders of the land in possession, are for land. The market value of the land is to be determined by public auction. Stands are not eligible. The market value determination is to be made by the Board. The market value is to be determined by the Board. The Board Standing Orders assignment rules. The assignment rules are to be passed by the Board Standing Orders assignment rules. The assignment rules are to be passed by the Board Standing Orders assignment rules. The assignment rules are to be passed by the Board Standing Orders assignment rules.
Assignment will be made only under the assignment rules.

Assignment of lands (except lands occupied under concessions) will be made under the assignment rules, market value of lands occupied under concessions will be reconsidered before 1968. If they are eligible, assignment etc.

- Public Auction before 1963
- Public Auction in 1963
- Public Auction in 1964

The amount of public auction will be determined before 1968 but it will not be on market value but on auction for those who are not eligible for the assignment of the land.
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(1) மூன்று மாதங்கள் (எட்சும்முழு) — எழுதத் தெரோ, மேலும் market value தெரோ மாதங்கள் பிற்கோட்டை? மீண்டும் land less people முக்கியத்துவம் கொண்ட நிலங்களை வாங்கக்கூடிய வாங்காதல் இல்லை என்றால், cost பட்டியல் முக்கியத்துவம் நேர்ந்தே இருந்தாலாம். nominal value இருப்பதை குறிப்பிட்டு அதை மாற்றாத value அருகியடைந்த என்றால், முக்கியத்துவம் கூடிய நிலங்களை வாங்கக்கூடிய வாங்காத நிலங்களை வாங்க வேண்டும். Nominal value என்ற இடையில் land less people கொண்ட நிலங்கள் தோன்ற வேண்டும். nominal value முக்கியத்துவம் கூடிய நிலங்களை வாங்க வேண்டும். reconsider இதைய்மா? 

(2) வாங்க வேண்டும்! Auction விதையில் வாங்குவதற்கான Market value a la less poor கொண்டாலோ, encroach விட முழுநிலம் கேட்க வாங்க வேண்டும். அது முதலில் 10 மாதங்கள் பிழை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய 10 மாதங்கள் பிழை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய 10 மாதங்கள் பிழை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய 10 மாதங்கள் பிழை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை वேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்ணிய வேலை எண்...
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మరుషేడు చేసిన వాదయేంతో అందరికీ తెలుచుకోండా, (2) రెండు సమాచార చేసిన అంశాలు మరుషేడు చేసిన వాదయేంతో తెలుచుకోండా, ఇందూ వాదయేంతో తెలుచుకోండా మరుషేడు చేసిన వాదయేంతో తెలుచుకోండా, ఛన్నా పాలు ఇంటింటి నిర్ణయించాలి. మరుషేడు చేసిన వాదయేంతో తెలుచుకోండా, ఛన్నా పాలు ఇంటింటి నిర్ణయించాలి.

ఛన్నా పాలు ఇంటింటి నిర్ణయించాలి. ఛన్నా పాలు ఇంటింటి నిర్ణయించాలి. ఛన్నా పాలు ఇంటింటి నిర్ణయించాలి. ఛన్నా పాలు ఇంటింటి నిర్ణయించాలి.

There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value.

There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value.

There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value.

There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value.

There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value.

There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value.

There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value.

There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value.

There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value.

There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value.

There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value. There cannot be any vacancy in the market value.
Sri V B Raju — The suggestion will be considered

Sri V B Raju — That will also be considered

Sri V B Raju — The consultative committee will also consider...

Sri V B Raju — The additional tax will be on...

Sri V B Raju — The betterment levy will be on...
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Mr. V. B. Raju — (a) Yes, Sir

(b) The particulars are as follows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SI No</th>
<th>Name of the Village</th>
<th>Name of the village officer involved</th>
<th>Period to which it relates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pothavaram</td>
<td>J Rama Rao M V</td>
<td>1966-67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Avulamanda</td>
<td>Village Munsiff</td>
<td>1966-67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kurichedu</td>
<td>T Venkata Subbaiah, Karanam</td>
<td>1967-68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amounts involved in each case is detailed below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SI No</th>
<th>Name of the village</th>
<th>Amount detected</th>
<th>Amount realised</th>
<th>Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pothavaram</td>
<td>222-28</td>
<td>222-28</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Avulamanda</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kurichedu</td>
<td>161-90</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>161-90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Pending finalisation)
(c) — The action taken is detailed below —

POTHAVARAM — The Village Munsiff was kept under provisional suspension. The punishment roll (against the Village Munsiff) is pending disposal by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kandukur.

AVULAMANDA — The Revenue Divisional Officer conducted due enquiry and found that the Village Munsiff was careless in the issue of Land Revenue receipts and that there are no cases of misappropriation.

KURICHEDU — The question of keeping the Village Munsiff under provisional suspension is pending with the Revenue Divisional Officer. The Revenue Divisional Officer who was asked to see that enquiry in this regard is finalised.

— The action taken is detailed below —

**WILL IN FAVOUR OF GOVERNMENT**

2302—

*1776 O* — Sri D Venkatesam Will the hon. Minister for Revenue and Civil Supplies be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government is aware of the will executed by the late Sri Sarja Hanumappa Naik pertaining to his properties at Kuppam in favour of Government, and

(b) the steps taken by the Government to safeguard the above properties?

Sri V B Raju — (a) Yes, Sir

(b) According to the conditions of the will executed by late Sarja Hanumappa Naik the 1/8 of his property should go to the Polegars Association, Mysore State and the income on the rest of the property to his two wives. After the death of his wives the entire income of his property shall be enjoyed by the Polegars Association, Mysore State. The main object of the will is that the Polegars Association, Mysore State is entitled to check the accounts of the estate and its management and not that the desire of the Polegars is
fulfilled. Government have to interfere and appoint a committee to carry out his desire only when the Polegars Association becomes defunct or gives its consent for management of the properties by the Government.

The Association is entitled to check the accounts of the management, and in case the management is not able to function the Government has to appoint a committee and carry out its desire. Will the honourable Minister consider appointing a committee and carrying out the desire?

The Deputy Tahsildar has enquired into it and the Government is looking into that.

**Beds Strength in Osmania and Gandhi Hospitals**

2303—

*4880 Q Srir G Sivaiah — Will the honourable Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state

(a) whether beds provided in the Maternity ward at Gandhi Hospital, Secunderabad and Osmania General Hospital, Hyderabad are inadequate at present,

(b) what steps will be taken to increase the beds in Ante and Post Natal wards in the said hospitals and other hospitals in the State, and

(c) is there any Maternity and Children Hospital at Hyderabad, if not, whether Government consider to open one?

The Minister for Health and Medical (Srir P V Narasimha Rao) — (a) The number of beds provided in the Maternity ward at Gandhi Hospital, Secunderabad is in accordance with the pattern laid down by the Medical Council of India. As there is no Maternity ward in the Osmania General Hospital, the question of inadequacy or adequacy of maternity beds in that Hospital does not arise.

(b) As the beds are adequate in the Gandhi Hospital as well as in other Hospitals in the State, the question of increasing the beds at present does not arise.

(c) The following are the Maternity and Children Hospitals at Hyderabad

(1) Government Maternity Hospital, Hyderabad

(2) Niloufar Hospital, Hyderabad

Srir G Sivaiah — There is a general trend to go to the hospital for maternity cases. In view of that, what were the number of beds?
before the formation of Andhra Pradesh and the number of beds today existing in the hospitals at Hyderabad?

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — The question is regarding the teaching hospitals i.e. Gandhi Medical Hospital and the Osmania Medical Hospital. These two are teaching hospitals. The number of maternity beds should be in conformity with the standards fixed by the Medical Council of India. So far as the Osmania Hospital is concerned, Osmania students go to the adjacent hospital i.e. Government Maternity Hospital, which is tagged on to the Osmania. Therefore, in the Osmania Hospital itself there are no maternity beds. The students of Osmania Medical College are well-served by the other hospital. So far as the Gandhi Medical Hospital is concerned there are 100 beds which again is a number in conformity with the requirements of the Medical Council. This is the position.

Sn P V Narasimha Rao — The requirements of teaching hospitals provide 100 beds. The Osmania Hospital has only 50 beds. The number of maternity beds is in conformity with the standards fixed by the Medical Council of India. So far as the Osmania Hospital is concerned, Osmania students go to the adjacent hospital i.e. Government Maternity Hospital. Therefore, in the Osmania Hospital itself there are no maternity beds. The students of Osmania Medical College are well-served by the other hospital.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — In view of the requirements of teaching hospitals and the requirements of maternity beds, a question on the subject of public requirements.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — Adequate for teaching purposes, not adequate from the point of view of public requirements.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — Public requirements are adequate. Teaching purposes are adequate.
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Sri P V Narasimha Rao — We have got other methods more civilized than this.

Sri C V K. Rao — What are they?

(No answer) (Laughter)

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — Teaching staff is indoors, external. Sir, the answer will be given. The Collector and District Magistrate, Kurnool has enquired and submitted a report to Government. The Government after examination of the Report have decided that no further action in the matter is necessary now.

Mr Speaker — Discussion on the subject-matter under Rule 74 took place previously in the House.
Mr. Speaker — The matter itself came for consideration before this House about a week or so afterwards.

Mr. Speaker — The matter itself came for consideration before this House about a week or so afterwards.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — It is a fact that at that time the report had not been received.

Sri G Siviah — May I know, Sir, whether it is a fact that this Lady Doctor was arrested by the police and taken to the police station and let off on bail or whether she was taken by the police by force and let off, if so, whether a Government official can be arrested by the police without prior permission of the hospital authorities?

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — That aspect has been thoroughly gone into. So far as the arrest is concerned, technically there has been no violation of law. Now the case has since been withdrawn, she was let off on bail, now everything has ceased and there is nothing further to know about it.
Sri C Vengaiah (Markapur) — Sir, the feelings are strained
Sir, I have not asked about the Manual

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — She was taken to the police station, but not by force. She went of her own accord

Sri C V K Rao — When she was arrested, she went to the police station of her own accord. What is the explanation of the Minister?

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — No force was applied to take her to the police station. When the police officer said that she was under arrest, she went to the police station along with him like any sensible doctor.

Sri G Siviah — Law and order was violated by the police itself. We would like to know.

Mr Speaker — I may tell one thing to the hon Members. Hereafter, if any Member were to get up and ask questions, that would not go into the record and I would request the Press also not to publish it. That is the general thing I am going to follow
Sri P V Narasimha Rao — She was not arrested for anything done by her in the course of her duties, she was arrested for an alleged assault on the police officer, she was chargesheeted.

Mr Speaker — There is a case and a counter case. This is a case where the Lady Doctor is alleged to have been very aggressive against the police. There is a case and a counter case launched by the police against the lady doctor for being aggressive and for assaulting the police officer. The lady doctor filed a case for illegal arrest. Anyhow, both the cases are sub judice now.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — Sir, that case has been withdrawn, the case against the lady doctor and Dr Chandrasekhara Reddi has been withdrawn. There is no other case. The original murder case is there and that is under enquiry.

Smt J Eswari Bai — He is not an ordinary man. He is a police officer. It is really a shame on the Police Department. I say.

An Hon Member rose —

Mr Speaker — Please do not enter into these things. We are entering into delicate grounds.

The case registered was not in connection with...
something done while on her duty. If he has no objection, he can place a copy of the Collector's report on the Table of the House.

Mr Speaker — If he has no objection, he can place a copy of that Collector's report on the Table of the House.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — The Collector's report cannot be placed just at the moment because the main case is still sub judice and it will be premature. That is my submission.

Mr Speaker — Then it is all right. We shall wait till the disposal of the case.

Sri G Siviah — The hon. Minister is trying to evade.

Sri C V K Rao — Sir, you may allow half-an-hour discussion on this.

Mr Speaker — Not now when the main case is sub judice.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — I have tried to answer all the questions raised. I have nothing to hide.

POST GRADUATE COURSE IN AYURVEDA

2355—

* 5854 (V) Q — Sri A Madhava Rao — Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the Central Government offered funds for starting post-graduate course in Ayurveda in Andhra State, and

(b) if it is so, why it has not been given effect to so far?

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — (a) No, Sir

(b) Does not arise.
ENHANCEMENT OF TREE TAX

2306 — *(5860/B) Q — Sarvasri B Ratnasabhapathi and T C Rajan — (Palamaner) Will the hon. Minister for Excise and Prohibition be pleased to state,

(a) whether the High Court has struck down the enhancement of tree tax on sendhi and toddy trees in Elangana,
(b) if so, what is the action proposed,
(c) whether the Government propose to refund or adjust the enhanced amount to this year collections, and
(d) is there any proposal to issue an ordinance to validate the lapse in the Act and avoid refund?

The Minister for Excise and Prohibition (Sri V Satyanarayana Rao) — (a) Yes Sir
(b) Government propose to introduce a Bill for consolidating the law relating to Excise matters
(c) Yes, Sir
(d) No, Sir
20th June, 1968
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5097 Q — Sarvasri C V K Rao, Dhanenkula Narasimham, (Udayagiri) M Ch Nagarath (Prathipadu) and T V S Chalapathi Rao — Will the hon Minister for Revenue and Civil Supplies be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that Government has enhanced the price of paddy rice and millets,

(b) if so, the particulars thereof, and the reasons for the same,

(c) whether it is a fact that Government procurement price is intended only for supply to the Centre?

Sri V B Raju — (a) Yes Sir

(b) A statement is placed on the Table of the House

(c) No, Sir
STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

[Vide answer to Clause (b) of L A Q No 5097 (Starred) (*2307)]

PROCUREMENT PRICES OF PADDY, RICE AND MILLETS NOTIFIED BY GOVERNMENT FOR THE 1967-68 CROP YEAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Paddy prices (per quintal) exclusive of purchase tax on paddy and cost of new gunny bag</th>
<th>&quot;Rice prices (per quintal) inclusive of taxes on paddy and excluding sales tax and cost of new gunny bag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Paddy prices</th>
<th>&quot;Rice prices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Superfine</td>
<td>Rs 70</td>
<td>Rs 109-31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>Rs 55</td>
<td>Rs 86-42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse</td>
<td>Rs 46</td>
<td>Rs 72-69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COARSE GRAINS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Procurement prices per quintal-exclusive of purchase tax and market fees but including cost of new gunny bag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jowar (Red)</td>
<td>Rs 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jowar (White)</td>
<td>Rs 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jowar (Yellow)</td>
<td>Rs 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bajra</td>
<td>Rs 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>Rs 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ragi</td>
<td>Rs 50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above prices have been fixed taking into consideration the recommendations of the Agricultural Prices Commission and the Central Government.
Mr Speaker — Before the hon Minister answers the question I would like to know the sense of the House whether they want me to allow all supplementary questions on this question or they want me to exhaust the whole list.

Sri S Vemayya — Half an hour discussion on this question is necessary.

Mr Speaker — Half an hour discussion is entirely a different matter. The hon Member can give a separate requisition and it will be considered. For the present, it is an important question, I don’t deny. But the other questions might be equally important. I will consider about it later on.

Mr Speaker — Before the hon Minister answers the question I would like to know the sense of the House whether they want me to allow all supplementary questions on this question or they want me to exhaust the whole list.

Sri S Vemayya — Half an hour discussion on this question is necessary.

Mr Speaker — Half an hour discussion is entirely a different matter. The hon Member can give a separate requisition and it will be considered. For the present, it is an important question, I don’t deny. But the other questions might be equally important. I will consider about it later on.

Sri V B Raju — My answers are not longer than the supplementary questions. So, I am pleased to accept it.

PROCUREMENT OF ESSENTIAL COMMODITIES

2308 —

2865 Q — Sri P O Satyanarayana Raju — Will the hon Minister for Revenue and Civil Supplies be pleased to state

(a) whether there are proposals before the Government to procure essential commodities to keep the price line,

(b) when is the scheme likely to be implemented,
(c) the details of the scheme, and
(d) how much the scheme will cost ex-chequer?
Sri V B Raju — (a) Yes, Sir
(b) The scheme has come into force from October, 1967
(c) As per the scheme rice, paddy, jowar, certain pulses, tamarind and chillies are to be purchased on a system of pre-emption in regulated markets in Telangana and certain other markets in Andhra area through Food Corporation of India. Government have decided to purchase a certain percentage of the daily arrivals of paddy, rice and other commodities mentioned above under this system of pre-emption. There will be no price control for these purchases.
(d) No expenditure from State exchequer is involved.

Schemes to control Prices

2309 —
4263 Q — Sri A Madhava Rao — Will the hon. Minister for Revenue and Civil Supplies be pleased to state what are the steps taken and schemes adopted by the Government to control the soaring prices and reduce the cost of living?
Sri V B Raju — A statement is placed on the Table of the House.

STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE
[ Vid answer to L A Q No 4263 (Starred) (*)2309 ]
Government have taken the following steps to check the rising prices of essential commodities and thus indirectly to reduce the cost of living.
1. Procure substantial quantities of rice, keeping a large buffer stock of rice in the State and releasing it every month at fixed prices in strategic areas to reduce the effect of increasing prices.

2. Opening of over 3,000 shops in non-rationed areas of the State besides 982 shops in the rationed areas of Visakhapatnam and Hyderabad and Secunderabad and distributing large quantities of rice procured by the State Government and the imported wheat and milo released month by month by Government of India.

3. Creation of Special Intelligence Unit under the control of a Superintendent of Police to prevent smuggling of foodgrains from this State to other States and thus helping in their availability for consumers within the State. The same Unit visits shops by surprise to book offenders:
   (a) who are either not making commodities available properly in the market by boarding, and
   (b) who are selling commodities at huge profits.

4. Food Corporation of India has entered the market and started purchasing, through a system of pre-emption and open market purchases, essential commodities like jowar, pulses, chillies, tamarind for storage and release in strategic areas at fixed prices.

5. Super Bazars have been set up at Visakhapatnam and Hyderabad. Government propose to set up, with the concurrence and financial assistance from Government of India, similar Super Bazars at Secunderabad, Warangal, Guntur, Kurnool, Vijayawada and Rajahmundry.

6. Utilisation of Andhra Pradesh Paddy and Rice (Declaration and Requisitioning of Stocks) Order, 1966 calling upon big stock holders to declare their stocks periodically and use of requisitioning powers against hoarders.

7. Licensing of dealers in foodgrains and certain other essential commodities like Sugar and Kerosene and bringing them under discipline.

8. Government have cordoned off the State for the purposes of restricting exports of paddy, rice and millets so that stocks may be available for consumers in the State.

Sri G. Siviah — Sir, in the answer placed on the Table, you have stated ‘opening of over 3,000 shops in non-rationed areas’. Open సంభాగం ఉంది? Open సంభాగం ఉంది? working class తీసుకువండి non-agri-. 

27th June, 1968
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Mr. C. V. K. Rao — Will the hon. Minister for Revenue and Civil Supplies be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the State is not self-sufficient in Bengalgram and whether Government requested Union Government to supply 35,000 tonnes of Bengalgram dhal for the year 1967, and

(b) if so, whether the Union Government complied with the State's request and whether an equitable distribution is effected in the State, if not, the reasons therefor?
Sri V B Raju — (a) The State is deficit in Bengalgram and the Government of India were requested to allot 30,000 tonnes of Bengalgram/dhal to Andhra Pradesh for the year 1967

(b) The Government of India allotted a total quantity of 7,148 tonnes of Bengalgram/dhal to this State as against the requirement of 30,000 tonnes during the 1967. The quantity of 7,148 tones was distributed among all the districts in the State, according to the availability of the stocks and taking into consideration the density of population and requirements in the Rationed and non-Rationed areas.

Mr Speaker - Answers to the others questions will be laid on the Table of the House except questions Nos 2315 and 2324

2315 —

Sri S Vemayya — Will the hon Minister for Revenue and Civil Supplies be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that an extent of Ac 100.00 in which there was standing crop was completely destroyed during the current Fasli at Karedu village in S No 1233 to 1285 at Kandukur Taluk, Nellore District by the Revenue and Police Officials,

(b) The reasons for the destruction of the standing crop instead of allowing it to ripe

(c) is it not also a fact that the poor Sivajimadars Scheduled castes, Scheduled tribes and other backward communities have submitted petitions requesting time a month or two so as to get some yield out of the paddy crop they raised in the above mentioned S Nos, and

(d) if answer to (c) is in affirmative the reasons for the failure of the revenue officials to give time to the poor Sivajimadars?

Sri V B Raju — (a) Yes, Sir

(b) the Executive Engineer, PWD requested that the encroachments should removed urgently as the cultivation of the tank bed land was highly objectionable and detrimental to the registered ayacut and as the encroachers had already caused breaches to the supply channel of the tank in November 1967

(c) No, Sir.
The citizen must respect law
If the citizen does not respect, this difficulty would arise

The citizen should respect law

"viction is carried out after following the procedure prescribed under the rules"

but anyhow I have already asked the Revenue Dpartment to enquire into the matter immediately

That is all right
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PROGRESS OF N M E P

2^24—

* 1951 Q — Sri K Appala Naidu (Srungavarapuka' a) — Will the Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state

(a) the progress made in N M E P during the last 5 years,

(b) whether the Government has received any comments from the Government of India on N M E P in our State,

(c) if so, whether the Government will be pleased to place the same on the Table of the House, and

(d) if so, the action taken by Government on said comments?

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — (a), (b), (c) and (d) The information is placed on the Table of the House

STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

(Answer to the Clauses (a), (b), (c) and (d) of the L A Q No 1951, (* 2324)

(a) Out of the 33 5 units functioning in the State in Attack Phase at the beginning of 1962, 29 47 units entered into consolidation phase during the course of last five years. Out of the above 29 47 units, 21 28 units have been certified for entry into Maintenance Phase as detailed hereunder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Consolidation Strength</th>
<th>Population in Millions</th>
<th>Maintenance Strength</th>
<th>Population in Millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>8 5</td>
<td>8 80</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>4 5</td>
<td>5 30</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>7 56</td>
<td>7 60</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>3 93</td>
<td>4 20</td>
<td>6 79</td>
<td>7 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>3 20</td>
<td>4 30</td>
<td>10 08</td>
<td>11 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>1 78</td>
<td>2 10</td>
<td>4 41</td>
<td>3 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29 47</td>
<td>32 30</td>
<td>21 28</td>
<td>22 85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of these 21 28 units certified for entry into Maintenance Phase, 4 41 units could not be entered into maintenance phase during 1967 January, for want of orders of Government of India approving Mukherjee Committee recommendations

At present 4 03 units alone are now in Attack Phase, 12 60 units are in consolidation and 16 87 units are in the Maintenance Phase. From the above, it may be seen that 60% of the population are in Maintenance Phase i.e., areas freed from Malaria

(b) A copy of letter of appreciation received from the Government of India, Ministry of Health and the results achieved in the State is herewith enclosed for information

(c) A copy of the above appreciation letter has been communicated to all concerned to maintain the result so far achieved and to ensure better progress during the years to come

The Independent Appraisal Team appointed by the Government of India are at present visiting the State. 1 59 attack phase units have been projected for entry into consolidation and similarly
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332 consolidation phase units been projected for entry into maintenance phase

The recommendations of Independent Appraisal team when received, will be examined

Copy of D O Lr No 13-1/66-HM, dated 14th March, 1966 from Dr Sushila Nayar Minister for Health, New Delhi, addressed to Shri Y Sivarama Prasad, Minister for Health, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad, Communicated to the Director of Public Health, A P Hyderabad through D O No 1161-JJ1/66-1, Health dt 21 3 66 of Sri K B Lngaro, M A, Asst Secy to Govt H H & M A Deptt, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad

Dear Shri Shivarama Prasadji,

This is in the context of the Annual Independent Appraisal of the National Malaria Eradication Programme. The evaluation was completed by early February, 1966

From the recommendations of the Appraisal Team which has visited the State of Andhra Pradesh it is gratifying to note that out of 1063 Units projected for entry into maintenance phase 10081 e 99.5% of the areas projected, were considered to be technically ready for entry into Maintenance phase.

This is indeed commendable and I would like to congratulate you and the Health Department for this encouraging performance. In this connection I would request you to kindly convey our appreciation to the Health Department particularly to the Malaria Eradication Organisation and its Chief.

I note that in respect of Units entering from attack to consolidation, the achievement was 77 per cent and I do hope that in this respect too, the achievement would be improved in the near future.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

SUSIMILA NAYAR
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WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS
NURSES TRAINING SCHOOL AT TIRUPATHI

2311—

*S596 Q—Sri A Eswara Reddy—Will the hon Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state
(a) whether there is any proposal to have Nurses Training School at Tirupathi in Chittoor district, and
(b) if not, the reasons therefor?
A —

(a) The Government have already sanctioned the starting of a Nurses Training Centre in Sri Venkateswara Ramnarain Ruia Hospital, Tirupathi and it has been functioning from 1-2-1966
(b) Does not arise

HOSPITALS FOR CHENCHUS

2312—

*S297 Q—Sri P Subbaih—Will the hon Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state
(a) whether there are two hospitals for Chenchus one at Dornal Mobile Unit and the other at Peddacheru, Markapur Taluk, Kurnool District, and
(b) whether there is any proposal to convert the one at Pedda Dornal as Civilian-cum-Chenchu Hospital?
A —

(a) Yes Sir
(b) Vide G O Ms No 1292 Health, dated 11-5-68 orders have been issued for the shifting of the 10 bedded hospital with staff from Peddacheruvu to Dornal with immediate effect

WINE MANUFACTURING FACTORY

2313—

*S712 B—Sri P O Satyanarayana Raju—Will the hon Minister for Excise and Prohibition be pleased to state
(a) whether there are proposals before the Government to start a pilot wine manufacturing factory in our State,
(b) if so, the place selected for its location,
(c) the estimated cost of the factory, and
(d) the capacity of the factory?
A —

(a) No, Sir
(b), (c) & (d) Do not arise

STORING OF RICE IN GODOWNS

2314—

*4410 Q — Sri A Suryanarayana Rao — Will the hon Minister for Revenue and Civil Supplies be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that rice is getting damaged due to the storage in Government godowns for long periods, and
(b) whether there is any proposal with the Government to store paddy instead of rice in the godowns and construct rice mills in the public sector in the godown areas so that paddy can be milled into rice and released as and when required?

A —

(a) Sufficient care is taken to see that rice is not damaged in storage in godowns for long periods
(b) There is no proposal to store paddy instead of rice in the godowns. The Government are however considering certain tentative proposals received from the Food Corporation of India regarding construction of rice mills in the public sector.

LEASE OF LAND TO HARIJANS OF PULLUR

2316—

*5353 (b) Q — Sarvashri S Vemayya, O Venkatasubbiah, K Muniswamy and V Munuswamappa — Will the hon Minister for Revenue and Civil Supplies be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that an extent of acres 73 in S No. 115 was granted on lease to forty harijan families of Pullur village in Kallavasthi taluk, Chittoor district,
(b) if so, how long they are in occupation of the said land,
(c) whether it is also a fact that some land-lords are creating all sorts of troubles to disturb the occupation of said land, and
(d) whether any protection was given to Harijans from the harrassment of landlords in pursuance of representation of Harijans?

A —

(a) Yes, Sir 75 Acres
(b) For four years from F 1368 to 1371 F
(c) Instead of getting the lease of land renewed beyond F 1371, some of the Harijans sold the lease rights to certain caste Hindu ryots. The question of creating troubles to disturb their occupation does not therefore arise.
(d) Does not arise

ARTIFICIAL LIMB CENTRE

2317—

*2835 Q — Sri Ch Rajeswara Rao — Will the hon Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state
(a) whether it is a fact that an artificial Limb Centre is planned to be opened in Hyderabad under the aegis of the Central Government, and

(b) if so what are the details of the said scheme, its employment potential and financial commitments for our State?

A —

(a) No, Sir
(b) Does not arise

MEDICAL EDUCATION SOCIETY, WARANGAL

2318 —

*1829 Q—Sri P Subbath —Will the hon Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state

(a) whether the Medical Education Society, Warangal has resolved to implement the Service conditions, regulations and scales of pay of the Andhra Pradesh Government in respect of their non-medical employees, and

(b) if so, what are the reasons for not being implemented?

A —

(a) Yes, Sir
(b) Does not arise

STATE HEALTH TRANSPORT ORGANISATION VEHICLES

2319—

*266 Q—Sri G Venkata Reddy —Will the hon Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state

(a) the number of vehicles owned by the State Health Transport Organisation and the investment made so far,

(b) the annual cost per vehicle for maintenance,

(c) whether the Transport Organisation submit any annual administration report every year to the Government,

(d) the number of vehicles on running condition,

(e) the amount spent for repairs during the years 1964–67, and

(f) the amount spent on spare parts?

A —

(a) Number of vehicles

| 940 |

(b) Investment made on equipment and machinery

| Rs 48,299.50 |

(b) Rs 1,093 on an average

(c) The State Health Transport Organisation is a part of Medical and Health Department and hence no separate Annual Administration Report has been prescribed for this Organisation

(d) 706

(e) and (f) Expenditure figures on repairs prior to 1-4-1966 are not available as the vehicles users used to meet the expenditure
both on repairs and spare parts from their respective budget provisions. Only from the year 1966-67 the expenditure on the above items was met from the budget of State Health Transport Organisation. The amount spent on the repairs and spare parts during 1966-67 is as follows —

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On repairs</td>
<td>Rs 2,81,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On spare parts</td>
<td>Rs 1,65,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>Rs 4,47,052</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cases Booked by the Prohibition Staff in Palamaner**

2320 —

* 5290 Q — Sri T. C. Rajan — Will the hon. Minister for Excise and Prohibition be pleased to state
   (a) the number of cases booked by the Prohibition Staff of Palamaner in Chittoor District between April and December, 1966,
   (b) whether charge sheets have been filled for all the cases,
   (c) the number of persons convicted, and
   (d) the number of persons acquitted?

A —

(a) 91
(b) Yes, Sir
(c) 74
(d) 13

**Amount to be Refunded to the Ryots of Chilkam Estate**

2321 —

* 5360 (A) Q — Sri Mudalibabu Parankusam — Will the hon. Minister for Revenue and Civil Supplies be pleased to state
   (a) whether it is fact that an amount of Rs 8,000 due to be refunded to ryots under Rent Reduction Act pertaining to Chilkam estate Parvathipuram taluk, Srikakulam district has not been adjusted towards land revenue assessment due from the ryots though the estate has been taken in 1960?
   (b) the reasons why no action is being taken though the ryots are being put to loss for want of full implementation of the Rent Reduction Act, and
   (c) whether this amount of Rs 8,000 will be adjusted at least this year towards the land revenue due from the ryots?

A —

(a) Yes, Sir
(b) Sir, the correct D.C.B. of the Chilkam estate for arriving at the excess amount paid by the tenants has not been prepared as the ryots have failed to give information about the excess paid by them, and also to produce the receipts
(c) Sir, action is being taken to adjust the amount
Privilege Motion

ALLEGED REMARKS MADE BY SRI MORARJI DESAI, DEPUTY PRIME MINISTER OF INDIA

Mr Speaker — SRI C V K Rao gave a notice under Rule 174 against the hon Deputy Prime Minister of India Sri Morarji Desai on the ground that he has made some observation that there are some Legislators who drank and sometimes Ministers also. What is your contention about the prima facie case Mr C V K Rao?

When the Deputy Prime Minister Sri Morarji Desai has visited Hyderabad and when 140 Members of the House submitted a Memorandum for lifting up the prohibition in Andhra area to the Chief Minister as the present policy of prohibition has created all round corruption and immoral atmosphere and if the subject of prohibition comes up before this House, when this House is in the meeting, the Deputy Prime Minister Sri Morarji Desai has in an uncalled for and sweeping manner in the Legislators Meeting convened on 27th instant, as reported in 'The Hindu' and other papers of 28th, said generally that there are some Legislators who drank and some Ministers also, which amounts to a breach of privilege of this House and its Members. The dignity of the House is lowered. I therefore, raised this question of privilege to be dealt with by the House itself, Sir.
Privilege Motion* 2 th June, 1968

Privilege Motion: re Alleged remarks made by Sri Mora D Desai/Dputy Prime Minister of India

"It also pained him to see that the Congressmen could sign a Memorandum against the prohibition under the nose of the Chief Minister and there are some Legislators who drank and sometimes Ministers also."

"It also pained him to see that the Congressmen could sign a Memorandum against the prohibition under the nose of the Chief Minister and there are some Legislators who drank and sometimes Ministers also."

Mr Speaker — Sri C V K Rao has given notice under Rule 174 of the Assembly Rules on the ground that the observations that some of the Legislators drink and some Ministers also, made by the hon Deputy Prime Minister of the Union Government of India while addressing the Members of the Andhra Pradesh Congress Legislature Party, constitute breach of privilege and as such it should be considered by the House itself without referring it to the Committee of Privilege. The contention of the Member is that this imputation amounts to reflection on the conduct of the Legislators in general and therefore it should be construed as contempt of the Legislatures and dealt with as such by this House. Assuming the statement to be true I am not however inclined to agree with the plea of the hon Member, as the observation is more in the nature of a general statement with regard to some of the Legislators and Ministers, particularly in areas where prohibition is not in force, made with the least intention of bringing the Legislatures into disrepute or contempt or slight the Members of the Legislatures or interfere with their rights or privileges in the discharge of their duties inside the
Legislatures or outside, connected with business, inside the Legislature. As such no question of breach of privilege or contempt of the Legislatures is involved. I do not therefore consider it as a proper case to be raised and discussed in the House or is it necessary to refer it to the Committee of Privileges as there is no prima facie case in my opinion.

Hence it is disallowed.

Mr Speaker — That is true. I am only following the precedents. It is not the first time such an issue is raised here. There are occasions where in England they said that Legislators are rascals and very vituperative remarks, but still they did not amount to the breach of privilege. One thing I can tell you — What happens outside this Legislature, we are not concerned unless it is connected with the business of the House inside the Legislature. Suppose S. C. V. K. Rao is coming to the Legislature. Suppose he wants to attend the House, he is obstructed or assaulted or prevented. It amounts to breach of privilege even though it is outside the House. These are all the things which happen outside the House. Number of people may say so many things.

Mr Speaker — Whatever it may be, you must certainly be very conscious with the very high dignified position you held in the society as well as in the Legislature. At the same time you must also realise your duties and responsibilities and all that. Some people remark on the conduct of the Members, they might make some observations. How are we concerned?

POINT OF INFORMATION

(Re) Three Language Formula
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance

27th June, 1968

Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance

re: Fire accident in a marriage pandal on 10-5-1968 at Gopavarapugudem, Ganavaram taluk

Mr Speaker — Please give notice in so far as the form or the other. I will ask the Chief Minister to reply. Please put it in writing, so that they may give a complete answer.

CALLING ATTENTION TO A MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re: Fire Accident in Marriage Pandal on 10-5-1968 at Gopavarapugudem, Ganavaram taluk

Mr Speaker — Be put in writing so that they may give a complete answer.
Fire accident in a marriage pandal
on 10-5-68 at Gopavarapugudem, Gannavaram taluk

Sri V B Raju — The marriage of the daughter of one Sri Bandi Venkateswara Rao with the son of Sri K Balakrishna Rao of Pennumalur Village, Vijayawada Taluk was scheduled on the night of 10-3-1968 at 11-00 p.m. at the house Sri Gottimukkala Ramakotaiah of Gopavarapugudem Village, Gannavaram Taluk, Krishna District. Sri Bandi Venkateswara Rao, father of the bride is the second cousin of Sri Gottimukkala Ramakotaiah. A pandal was erected in a yard enclosed on four sides by a wall of 6 feet height, with only one entrance on its western side. The entrance is of 10 feet wide. 300 chairs were kept in front of the pandal blocking the entrance. Male members sat near the gate, while the females sat in the interior of the pandal. The cause of the fire accident is stated to be that a Petromax light went in flames at the pandal. Soon a cloth covered as “Chandini” caught fire and in four or five minutes the whole pandal was engulfed with fire. Almost all the male members escaped in the confusion and with chairs as an obstacle, most of the women and children could not escape. The fire is reported to be accidental.

As a result of the above fire accident 49 people died on the spot, 3 men, 23 women, 5 boys and 16 girls. The news of the accident was received by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Nuzvid at about 2 a.m. on 11-5-1968. He immediately proceeded to the village. As many people were injured, the Revenue Divisional Officer, Nuzvid requisitioned two R T C buses halting at Gannavaram and arranged transport of 68 injured persons to the Government Hospital at Vijayawada.

In addition to the Pandal, that was burnt, 15 houses belonging to 18 families were gutted. The approximate loss is reported to be
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance

re Fire incident in a marriage pandal

on 10-8-1968 at Gopavaram, Ganavaram taluk

Rs 50,000 Immediately after the occurrence of the accident the Revenue and Police Officers arranged Bandubast—

Sri V B Raju —The Relief Commissioner is looking into the matter

Sri V B Raju —The suggestion is taken note of

Sri P V Narasimha Rao —The doctors were there and they were there until they were asked to go away

Sri P V Narasimha Rao —The doctors were there and they were there until they were asked to go away
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Government Bills
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Sri V B Raju —I on behalf of the Chief Minister beg to lay on the Table the 15th Annual Report of the Hyderabad Chemicals and Fertilizers Ltd, for the year ended 30th September, 1967 and the 30th Annual Report of the Nizam Sugar Factory Ltd, for the year ended 30th September, 1967 together with the Annual Report and the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India, under section 619-A(3) of the Companies Act, 1956

Mr Deputy Speaker —Paper laid on the Table

GOVERNMENT BILLS

The Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1967 (as reported by the Select Committee)

CLAUSE 2

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya,—I beg to move

“That in Clauses 2, 3 and 4 for the words ‘five years substitute the words ‘one year’”

Mr Deputy Speaker —Amendment moved.

(Pause)

Sri K Govinda Rao —Sir, I have given an amendment. It has to be discussed first so I move
Government Bills 27th June, 1968 245
The Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) 1 rohithu 2 Amendment 3 Bl 1967

"In sub clause (a) () of Clause 2 for the words 'two years five thousand rupees' substitute the words 'two months but which shall not exceed six months or with fine which may extend to two hundred rupees'

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya — I am serious about this precedent

Mr Deputy Speaker — This will take precedence. If this is accepted

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya — Please go through the proceedings. Is there a precedent when after asking for voting you can go back

Mr Deputy Speaker — I have gone back already. I have every right. There is nothing wrong in it.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya — You never asked the House

Mr Deputy Speaker — It is a question of procedure.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya — Is it right?

Mr Deputy Speaker — Yes.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya — I am requesting you to take serious note of it.

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"In sub-clause (a)(i) of Clause 2 for the words 'two years five thousand rupees' substitute the words 'two months but
The Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Publication (Amendment) Bill, 1967

abolishment which shall not exceed six months or with fine which may extend to two hundred rupees.

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri K. Govinda Rao demanded a division.

The House then divided thus:

Ayes 81, Noes 122, Neutrals Nil.

The amendment was negatived.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya —Sir, I move:

"In Clause 2 for the words 'five years' substitute the words 'two-and-a-half years'."

Mr Deputy Speaker —Amendment moved.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya —Sir, I move:

"In clause 2 for the words 'five thousand rupees' substitute the words 'two hundred rupees'."

Mr Deputy Speaker —Amendment moved.

Sri A. Madhavi Rao —Sir, I move:

"In sub-clause (a) (i) of clause 2 delete the words 'five years', and 'five thousand rupees'."

Mr Deputy Speaker —Amendment moved.

"In clause 2 for the words 'five years' substitute the words 'two-and-a-half years'."

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya —I demand division, Sir.
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The House then divided
Ayes 31, Noes 112

The amendment was negatived
Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is
"In sub-clause (a) (i) of clause 2 delete the words ‘five years’ and ‘five thousand rupees’

The amendment was negatived
Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is
“That clause 2 do stand part of the Bill

The motion was adopted
Clause 2 was added to the Bill

CLAUSE 3

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya — I beg to move
“In clause 3 for the words ‘five years’ substitute the word ‘two-and-a-half years’

In clause 3 for the words ‘five years’ substitute the words ‘one year’

In clause 3 for the words ‘five thousand rupees’ substitute the words ‘two hundred rupees’

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendments moved

Sri K Govinda Rao — I beg to move
‘In clause 3 delete the words ‘five years’ and ‘five thousand rupees’

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

Sri C V K Rao — I beg to move
‘In clause 3 for the words ‘shall not be less than five thousand rupees’ substitute the words ‘two months but which shall not exceed six months or with fine which may extend to two hundred rupees’

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

Is the hon Minister accepting the amendments?

Sri V Satyanarayana Rao — No, Sir

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is
‘In clause 2 for the words ‘five years’ substitute the words ‘two-and-a-half years’

The amendment was negatived

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is
“In clause 2 for the words ‘five years’ substitute the words ‘one year’

The amendment was negatived

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is
“In clause 3 for the words ‘five thousand rupees’ substitute the words ‘two hundred rupees’
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The amendment was negatived

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"In clause 8 delete the words ‘five years’ and ‘five thousand rupees’ 

The amendment was negatived

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"In clause 8 for the words ‘shall not be less than five thousand rupees substitute the words two months but which shall not exceed six months or with five which may extend to two hundred rupees’ 

The amendment was negatived

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"That clause 8 do stand part of the Bill’

The motion was adopted

Clause 8 was added to the Bill

CLAUSE 4

Sri K Govinda Rao — I beg to move

"In clause 4 for the words ‘shall not be less than five thousand rupees substitute the words’ two months but which shall not exceed six months or with a fine which may extend to two hundred rupees “

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendments moved

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya — I beg to move

"In clause 4 for the word ‘five years’ substitute the words two and a half years’

"In clause 4 for the words ‘five years’ substitute the words one year’

"In clause 4 for the words ‘five thousand rupees’ substitute the words ‘two hundred rupees’

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

Sri C V K Rao — I beg to move

"In clause 4 delete the words ‘five years’ and ‘five thousand rupees’

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

Sri V. Satyanarayana Rao — I am not accepting any of the amendments moved, Sir,

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"In clause 4 for the words ‘shall not be less than five thousand rupees substitute the words’ two months but which shall not exceed six months or with a fine which may extend to two hundred rupees’

The amendment was negatived

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"In clause 4 for the words ‘five years’ substitute the words ‘two and a half year’
The amendment was negatived
Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is
"In clause 4 for the words 'five years' substitute the word's one year"
The amendment was negatived
Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is
"In clause 4 for the words 'five thousand rupees' substitute the words 'two hundred rupees'"
The amendment was negatived
Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is
"In clause 4 delete the words 'five years' and 'five thousand rupees'"
The amendment was negatived
Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is
"That Clause 4 do stand part of the Bill"
The motion was adopted
Clause 4 was added the Bill

CLAUSE 5

Sri V Satyanarayana Rao — I beg to move
"Delete clause 5 and renumber clauses 6 to 15 as clauses 5 to 14"
Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

In view of the Government amendment, the amendments given notice of by Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya and Sri K Govinda Rao are not necessary
Sri K Govinda Rao — Yes Sir I agree
Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is,
"Delete clause 5 and renumber clauses 6 to 15 as clause 5 to 14"
The amendment was adopted

CLAUSE 5 AS RENUMBERED

Sri C V K Rao — I beg to move
"Delete clause 6" (Clause 5 as renumbered)
Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

The amendment was negatived
Sri C V K Rao — The question is
"In clause 4 for the words 'five years' substitute the word's one year"
The amendment was negatived
Sri C V K Rao — The question is
"In clause 4 for the words 'five thousand rupees' substitute the words 'two hundred rupees'"
The amendment was negatived
Sri C V K Rao — The question is
"That Clause 4 do stand part of the Bill"
The motion was adopted
Clause 4 was added the Bill

CLAUSE 5

Sri V Satyanarayana Rao — I beg to move
"Delete clause 5 and renumber clauses 6 to 15 as clauses 5 to 14"
Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

In view of the Government amendment, the amendments given notice of by Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya and Sri K Govinda Rao are not necessary
Sri K Govinda Rao — Yes Sir I agree
Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is,
"Delete clause 5 and renumber clauses 6 to 15 as clause 5 to 14"
The amendment was adopted

CLAUSE 5 AS RENUMBERED

Sri C V K Rao — I beg to move
"Delete clause 6" (Clause 5 as renumbered)
Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

The amendment was negatived
Sri C V K Rao — The question is
"In clause 4 for the words 'five years' substitute the word's one year"
The amendment was negatived
Sri C V K Rao — The question is
"In clause 4 for the words 'five thousand rupees' substitute the words 'two hundred rupees'"
The amendment was negatived
Sri C V K Rao — The question is
"That Clause 4 do stand part of the Bill"
The motion was adopted
Clause 4 was added the Bill
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Mr. President— raids are being launched to check the illegal distillation of cheap liquor in the State and make the liquor available in the market at cheaper rates. To check the illegal distillation of liquor, the licensing of distilleries is now being started. Permits are being issued to open distilleries and cheap liquor permits are also being issued. The amendment proposed in the Bill will enable the Government to control the distillation of liquor and prevent it from being smuggled into other States.

Mr. President— At least 170 distilleries are being opened in the State. Cheap liquor permits are also being issued to those distilleries. The amendment proposed in the Bill will enable the Government to control the distillation of liquor and prevent it from being smuggled into other States.

Mr. President— The amendment proposed in the Bill will enable the Government to control the distillation of liquor and prevent it from being smuggled into other States. The amendment will also enable the Government to control the sale of liquor and prevent it from being smuggled into other States. The amendment will also enable the Government to control the sale of liquor and prevent it from being smuggled into other States.

Mr. President— The amendment proposed in the Bill will enable the Government to control the distillation of liquor and prevent it from being smuggled into other States. The amendment will also enable the Government to control the sale of liquor and prevent it from being smuggled into other States. The amendment will also enable the Government to control the sale of liquor and prevent it from being smuggled into other States.
Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is

"Delate clause 6 renumbered as clause 5"  
The amendment was negatived

Sri V Satyanarayana R to —I beg to move

"In clause 5 as renumbered for '15-B in both the places, substi
tute '15-A"

Mr Deputy Speaker —Amendment moved  
(pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is

"In clause 5 as renumbered for '15-B in both the places, substi
tute '15 A"

The amendment was adopted

Mr Deputy Speaker —Voting commenced

Mr Deputy Speaker —Voting concluded

Mr Deputy Speaker —Voting recorded

He has repeated it number of times

Sri R Sridharan —I beg to move, cheap drinks allow permission allow Sweet toddy...
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Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is
"That Clause 5 as renumbered, and as amended, do stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted

Clauses 5 as renumbered, and amended was added to the Bill

CLAUSE 6 AS RENUMBERED (Original Clause 7)

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya — I beg to move
"In clause 7 for the words ‘six months’ substitute the words ‘two months’, and for the words one thousand rupees’ substitute the words two hundred rupees‘"

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

Sri C V K Rao — I beg to move
"In clause 7 delete the words ‘not be less than six months’ and one thousand rupees’"

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

Sri K Govinda Rao — I beg to move
"In clause 7 for the words ‘shall not be less than one thousand rupees’ substitute the words ‘fifteen days but which shall not exceed two months or with fine which may extend to one hundred rupees’"

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

Sri V Satyanarayana Rao — No, Sir

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is
"In clause 7 for the words ‘shall not be less than one thousand rupees’ substitute the words ‘fifteen days but which shall not exceed two months or with fine which may extend to one hundred rupees’"

The amendment was declared negatived

Sri K. Govinda Rao demanded a division the House divided thus —
Government Bills

Ayes 42, Noes 110, Neutrals Nil
The amendment was negatived

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya —Sir, I beg to move
"In clause 7 for the words "six months" substitute the words "two months" and for the words "one thousand rupees" substitute the words "two hundred rupees"

Mr Deputy Speaker —Amendment moved
(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is
"In clause 7 for the words "six months" substitute the words "two months", and for the words "one thousand rupees" substitute the words "two hundred rupees"

The amendment was negatived

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is
"In clause 7 delete the words "not be less than six months" and "one thousand rupees"

The amendment was negatived

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is
"That Clause 6 as re-numbered do stand part of the Bill
The motion was adopted
Clause 6 as re-numbered was added to the Bill
Clause 7 as re-numbered (Original Clause No 8)

Sri C V K Rao —Sir, I beg to move
"In column 2 of the table in clause 8 for the existing figures substitute the following figures
1 Rs 5 per tree
2 Rs 6 per tree
3 Rs 8 per tree
4 Rs 9 per tree

Mr Deputy Speaker —Amendment moved

Sri K Govinda Rao —Sir, I beg to move
"In column 2 of the table in clause 8 for the existing figures substitute the following figures
1 Rs 6.52 per tree
2 Rs 7.76 per tree
3 Rs 11.64 Per tree
4 Rs 15.56 per tree

Mr Deputy Speaker —Amendment moved
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[Text in Telugu]

(1) In the Bill, in the name of the 30th day of August, 1963, in the 9th year of the Provisional Government of India, in the 7th year of the Provisional Government of India, in the 5th year of the Provisional Government of India, in the 3rd year of the Provisional Government of India, in the 1st year of the Provisional Government of India, the words "section 20" shall be substituted for the words "section 25".

(2) The Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Pradesh) Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1967, is hereby presented to the Governor for assent.

[Signature]

[Stamp]
Mr Deputy Speaker — I don't know the present position. In the past contractor used to pay.

Sri Ch Rajeswara Rao — Contractor pays from his account but collects from the tappers.

Mr Deputy Speaker — That is a sub-contract.
Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is

“In column 2 of the table in clause 8 for the existing figures substitute the following figures

1 Rs 5 per tree
2 Rs 6 per tree
3 Rs 8 per tree
4 Rs 9 per tree

The amendment was declared negatived

Sri C. V. K. Rao —Pressed for a division

The House divided thus

Ayes 41, Noes 105 Neutrals Nil

The amendment was negatived

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is

“In column 2 of the table in clause 8 for the existing figures substitute the following figures.”
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1 Rs 6.52 per tree
2 Rs 7.76 per tree,
3 Rs 11.64 per tree
4 Rs 15.56 per tree

The amendment was negatived

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"That Clause 7 as renumbered do stand part of the Bill"
The motion was adopted

Clause 7 as renumbered was added to the Bill

Clause 8 as renumbered (Original Clause 9)

Sri V Satyanarayana Rao — Sir, I beg to move

"In clause 8 as renumbered for "section 15-B substitute "section 15-A"

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"In clause 8 as renumbered for "section 15-B substitute "section 15-A"
The amendment was adopted

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"That Clause 8 as renumbered and as amended do stand part of the Bill"
The motion was adopted

Clause 8 as renumbered and as amended was added to the Bill

Clause 9 as renumbered (Original Clause 10)

Sri V Satyanarayana Rao — Sir, I beg to move

"In clause 9 as renumbered for "section 15-B substitute "section 15-A"

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"In clause 9 as renumbered for "section 15-B substitute "section 15-A"
The amendment was adopted

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"That Clause 9 as renumbered and as amended do stand part of the Bill"
The motion was adopted

Clause 9 as renumbered and as amended was added to the Bill

Clause 10 as renumbered (Original Clause 11)

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is
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'That Clause 10 as renumbered do stand part of the Bill'
The motion was adopted
Clause 10 as renumbered was added to the Bill

CLAUSE 11 AS RENUMBERED (ORIGINAL CLAUSE 12)

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya —Sir, I beg to move
' In Clause 12 for the words 'six months', substitute the word two months', and for the words 'one thousand rupees', substitute the words 'two hundred rupees''

Sri C V K Rao —Sir, I beg to move
'In Clause 12, delete the words 'shall not exceed two years and one thousand rupees''

Mr Deputy Speaker —Amendments moved.
(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"In Clause 12 for the words 'six months', substitute the words two months', and for the words 'one thousand rupees' substitute the words 'two hundred rupees''

The amendment was declared negatived

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya —I press for a division, Sir

The House then divided.

Ayes  41  Noes  101  Neutrals Nil

The amendment was negatived
Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"In Clause 12 delete the words 'shall not exceed two years' and one thousand rupees"

The amendment was negatived

Mr Deputy Speaker — The Question is

"That Clause 11 as renumbered do stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted

Clause 11 as renumbered was adopted to the Bill

CLAUSE 12 AS RENUMBERED (ORIGINAL CLAUSE 13)

Sri C V K Rao — I beg to move

"Delete Clause 13"

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved (Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"Delete clause 12"

The amendment was negatived

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"That Clause 12 as renumbered do stand part of the Bill"
The motion was adopted

Clause 12 as renumbered was added to the Bill

CLAUSE 13 AND 14 AS RENUMBERED (ORIGINAL CLAUSES 14 AND 15)

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is

“That clauses 13 and 4 as renumbered do stand part of the Bill”

The motion was adopted

Clauses 13 and 14 as renumbered were added to the Bill

NEW CLAUSE 16

Mr Deputy Speaker —Amendment moved

Mr Deputv Speaker —The question is

“The motion was adopted

Clauses 13 and 14 as renumbered were added to the Bill

NEW CLAUSE 16

Sri K. Govinda Rao —Sir, I beg to move

“No, notwithstanding anything contained in the foregoing sections, co-operative societies be formed for tapping toddy and selling it on the same lines as the sweet toddy tappers co-operative societies constituted under the rules of the principal Act”.

Mr Deputy Speaker —Amendment moved

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is

“The motion was adopted

Clauses 13 and 14 as renumbered were added to the Bill

NEW CLAUSE 16

Sri K. Govinda Rao —Sir, I beg to move

“No, notwithstanding anything contained in the foregoing sections, co-operative societies be formed for tapping toddy and selling it on the same lines as the sweet toddy tappers co-operative societies constituted under the rules of the principal Act”.

Mr Deputy Speaker —Amendment moved
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1. అమ్మనార్థం — ప్రధానంగా మరియు దృష్టిగెయితే ఇంటిలో మరో ప్రాముఖ్యాను ఉంటుంది, కానీ అందించానికి ఎక్కడ అనేక వచ్చింది. ఇది ప్రత్యేకంగా ప్రాముఖ్యత కలిగి ఉంది. ఇది తప్పంతే ప్రత్యేకంగా ప్రదేశానికి సేవలు సాధనం చేయబడతాయి. ప్రాముఖ్యత నుండి వ్యాపారం మరియు పాలన మార్గం క్షేత్రానికి ప్రత్యేకంగా ప్రదేశానికి సేవలు సాధనం చేయబడతాయి. ప్రాముఖ్యత నుండి అది తాళ్ళంతో ఉంటుంది.

2. విచారణ విచ్చు — ప్రధానంగా మరియు దృష్టిగెయితే ఇంటిలో మరో ప్రాముఖ్యాను ఉంటుంది, కానీ అందించానికి ఎక్కడ అనేక వచ్చింది. ఇది ప్రత్యేకంగా ప్రాముఖ్యత కలిగి ఉంది. ఇది తప్పంతే ప్రత్యేకంగా ప్రదేశానికి సేవలు సాధనం చేయబడతాయి. ప్రాముఖ్యత నుండి వ్యాపారం మరియు పాలన మార్గం క్షేత్రానికి ప్రత్యేకంగా ప్రదేశానికి సేవలు సాధనం చేయబడతాయి. ప్రాముఖ్యత నుండి అది తాళ్ళంతో ఉంటుంది.
Mr Speaker — Was the promise made inside the House or outside the House? If it is outside the House, I am not concerned. If it is inside the House, I will ask the Assurance Committee to examine it.

Mr Speaker — They make so many promises.

Mr Speaker — Phased programme or additional assurance?

Mr Speaker — What is the additional assurance? Supplementary assurance or additional phase?
Mr Speaker No, we do not know what kind of promises they make outside the Legislature under what circumstances. How can you draw my attention to all those things? If the assurance is given on the Floor of the House, you are concerned and I am concerned. Otherwise, we are least concerned with their assurances. I have never discussed assurances. If the assurance is given on the Floor of the House, you are concerned and I am concerned. Otherwise, we are least concerned with their assurances. He has not admitted it. Any way I am putting the amendment to vote.

Mr Speaker — The question is ‘Add the following as new clause 16 — “notwithstanding anything contained in the foregoing sections, Co-operative Societies be formed for tapping toddy and selling it on the same lines as the sweet toddy tappers Co-operative constituted under the rules of the principal Act.”

The amendment was declared negative.

Sri G. Latchanna pressed for division.
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Ayes—32, Noes—90 and Neutrals—Nil

The amendment was negatived

CLAUSE 1

Sri V Satyanarayana Rao —Sir, I beg to move that in Clause 1 for the figure “1967” substitute the figure “1968”

Mr Speaker — Amendment moved

(PAUSE)

Mr Speaker — The question is

“In Clause 1 for the figure “1967” substitute the figure “1968”

The amendment was adopted

Mr Speaker — The question is

“That Clause 1 as amended do stand part of the Bill”

The motion was adopted,

Clause 1 as amended was added to the Bill

ENACTING FORMULA

Sri V Satyanarayana Rao —Sir, I beg to move

“In the enacting formula for the words “Eighteenth year” substitute the words “Nineteenth Year”

Mr Speaker — Amendment moved

(PAUSE)

Mr Speaker — The question is

“In the enacting formula for the words ‘Eighteenth year’ substitute the words ‘Nineteenth Year’

The amendment was adopted,

Mr Speaker — The question is

“That the Enacting Formula as amended do stand part of the Bill”

The motion was adopted

The Enacting Formula as amended was added to the Bill

LONG TITLE

Mr Speaker — The question is

“That the Long title do stand part of the Bill”

The motion was adopted

Long title was added to the Bill

...
Mr Speaker — This is the Third reading. I am not allowing any discussion.

Mr Speaker — In the light of your comments and the advice given by my honourable colleagues, I am taking this matter with the necessary care and giving the decision. Thank you.
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Sri K. Govinda Rao followed by the members of the communist party of India left the Assembly Chambers.

(Sri C V K. Rao then left Assembly chambers)

Mr Speaker — The question is

"That the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) prohibition (Amendment) Bill be read a third time"

The motion was adopted

The Andhra Pradesh Splitting up of Joint pattas (Amendment) Bill, 1967

Sri V B. Raju — Sir, I move

"That the Andhra Pradesh Splitting up of Joint pattas (Amendment) Bill, 1967 be read a first time"

Mr Speaker — Motion moved

(Pause)

Mr Speaker — The question is

"That the Andhra Pradesh Splitting up of Joint Pattas (Amendment) Bill, 1967 be read a first time"

The motion was adopted

Sri V B. Raju — Sir, I beg to move

"That the Andhra Pradesh Splitting up of Joint Pattas (Amendment) Bill, 1967 be read a second time"

Mr Speaker — Motion moved

(Pause)

Mr Speaker, — The question is

"The Andhra Pradesh Splitting up of Joint Pattas (Amendment) Bill, 1967 be read a second time"

The motion was adopted

(Pause)
Mr Speaker — For Clause 2, there are two amendments one
from Vavilala Gopalkrishna and the other by the Minister
for Revenue and Civil Supplies

Sri B Rathnasabhapathi — How can it be taken as surprise
Sir The Business left over is only Prohibition Bill

Sri V B Raju — No, No

Mr Speaker — When were the Amendments circulated?

Sri V B Raju — Yesterday Sir.

Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao — We have not received the amend-
ments Sir
Mr Speaker — Members have given amendments. The amendments given notice of by the Members have also been circulated to the Members.

Mr Speaker — When each clause is taken up, if you want to move any Amendment, I have no objection.

Mr Speaker — All these things were included in the yesterday's agenda. Since it was not taken up it will be considered as the business left over.

Shri C V K Rao — We are not prepared. We meant left over in the middle Sir.

Mr Speaker — Technically there is no defect. All these matters were included in yesterday's agenda for consideration and discussion. Because they were not taken up yesterday, they were left over for today.

Mr Speaker — Mr. Rao do not require any kind of preparation or any time because he has got such a sharp.

Shri C V K Rao — Thank you for the compliments Sir.

Clause 2

Shri V B Raju — Sir, I move. For clause 2, substitute the following clause.

Amendment of Section 3, Act 2 of 1965. For Sub-section of the Andhra Pradesh Splitting of Joint Pattas Act, 1965 (hereinafter referred to as the principal Act), the following sub-section shall be substituted, namely:
(3) "The Tahsildar shall, after publishing notice in the manner prescribed calling upon the joint pattadars and other persons known or believed to be interested in the grant of separate pattas and after holding an inquiry in the manner prescribed, by order, determine the share of land of each pattadar in the joint holding and grant him a separate patta for that share. The Tahsildar shall thereafter recover in advance such fees as may be prescribed for each sub-division and get the shares of land sub-divided, where necessary. This is the amendment given notice of by the Minister for Revenue and Civil Supplies.

I am referring to the same procedure that was adopted in the Original Act. It is not necessary to mention whether it is of Rs 3 or Rs 4 or Rs 5 in the Act. Because, originally it was incorporated in the Act that it might be as prescribed. Therefore, I am referring to the same procedure that was adopted in the Original Act.
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The amendment would provide for the splitting of Joint Pattas, a measure to simplify the process. The rules would be revised to include executive fees, quantum fees, and fees for any appeal. Deputy Collector fees would increase. The Deputy Collector would assess quantum fees, ensuring transparency. Advance payment notices would be issued, with provision for refund and appeal. Consolidation committees would be formed to resolve anomalies and maintain order.

Advance payment notices would be issued, with provision for refund and appeal. Consolidation committees would be formed to resolve anomalies and maintain order. The procedure would include a one or two-year drive to complete the process. The procedure would be as follows: (1) advance payment, (2) joint pattas act pass.
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... staff... sub-division... details... handicap... special divisions... enhancement... handicap... increase... justifies... procedure... fundamental... procedure... Survey Department... exclusive... fulcrum... prelimary enquiry... Survey Department... mischief... Survey Department... Survey... Division... Surveyor... funds... technical men... Division... Survey Department...
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Adequate staff and necessary assistance ... of joint pattas. Finally it is proposed that the cost incurred by the Government in connection with such sub-division should be determined and recovered from the pattadars concerned in proportion to their shares, but the Government will have to meet the expenditure initially. In view of the present unsatisfactory ways and means position of the Government, it is proposed to collect fees in advance at the rate of Rs. 4 per sub-division.
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(Mr Deputy Speaker in the Chair)

The Andhra Pradesh Splitting of Joint Pattas Amendment Bill, 1968

(Mr Deputy Speaker in the Chair)

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair, Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair,
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Mr. Siviah — Mr. Speaker, Sir, in this aspect there is no question of depending mainly on the village officers. At the same time, village officers also should give their full cooperation in the matter of splitting up of joint pattas. The preliminary job of preparing rough plans may be entrusted to the village officers, and supervision and actual division may be done by the survey staff. Unless this is done, nothing could be done. These are the problems facing the people from a very long time, and this work is getting postponed every time. Therefore, urgent measures should be taken to appoint a number of parties, at least one for each taluk, to speed up this work.

Mr. Gopalaswamy — Mr. Speaker, Sir, in this respect, is there any objection to this Bill?

Mr. Siviah — (interruption) Rules Committee Meeting Sub-ordinate Legislation Committee Meeting rule 105 object. Sir, in this respect, is there any objection to this Bill?
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... amendment to amendment 379

... rules as may be prescribed... amendment 379

... rules... Act... amendment... Act... this is sub-division fees reverting back to the same pattern of section 3 of the Act itself

... ministers... they... legal experts... agree with one another, or they may not agree

... there may be disagreement. Even among doctors, there is disagreement, among politicians... Disagreement is actually the spice of democracy

Sri C V K Rao — He is responsible for disagreement among politicians
280 27th June, 1968

Government Bills

Telangana Pradesh Splitting of Joint Pattas (Amendment) Bill, 1968

Mr. Speaker—Sir,

It is a matter of the greatest importance that the House should take note of the fact that the present Bill is for the purpose of dividing the property of the deceased into two equal parts, one-third to each of the surviving persons. The Bill has been brought forward in the interests of justice and equity and it is therefore, I urge upon the House to pass the Bill without any further delay.

Finally, I would like to thank all the members of the House for their valuable suggestions and comments on the Bill. I am confident that with the support of all members, the Bill will be passed in the quickest possible time.

Mr. Speaker—Sir,

I second the motion for the third reading of the Bill and I urge upon all members to support the Bill in the interests of justice and equity.

(Signature)

Mr. Speaker—Sir,

I third the motion for the third reading of the Bill. I believe that the Bill is a necessary step towards the division of the property of the deceased.

(Signature)

Mr. Speaker—Sir,

I would like to add my voice to the support of the Bill. It is a just and equitable solution to the problem at hand.

(Signature)
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(సంభ. 1) అంశాలతో రెండు మరొక పంచాయతీ పిలవడానికి వేయబడింది.

(సంభ. 2) అంశాల రేఖలో తెలిసినందును, సంభ. 1 సంపాదనంలో క్రింద పంచాయతీల జాతిని పిలవడానికి వేయబడింది.

చాలా సంభ. 2 అంశానికి వేయబడింది పిలవడానికి వేయబడింది, పంచాయతీల పిలవడానికి వేయబడింది పంచాయతీల జాతిని పిలవడానికి వేయబడింది.

చాలా సంభ. 3 అంశానికి వేయబడింది పంచాయతీల పిలవడానికి వేయబడింది, పంచాయతీల పిలవడానికి వేయబడింది పంచాయతీల జాతిని పిలవడానికి వేయబడింది.
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It has become a very costly affair. This is the stupendous scheme. It is one of the biggest schemes that has been undertaken. The basic change, the basic reform and the basic correction that is necessary is the records at village level. The Andhra Pradesh Splitting of Joint Pattas (Amendment) Bill, 1968.
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Mr Deputy Speaker—No General discuss on डाकमा जोड़ा

Mr Deputy Speaker—जुटा कहिए-तरीके द्वारा माफी चाहे या हमारे दृष्टि में, तो इसे लेकर नया भाषा जोड़े। तस्थता के कारण हमारे भाषा में नया लिखा है।

Mr Deputy Speaker—यह ऐसा है कि इसमें उद्देश्य समझा जा सके।

Under the Survey and Boundaries Act, his certificate is essential.
Government Bills
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Sri V B Raju — You are going beside the point. You are going beside the point. I think it is important for the speed of the procedure. I have already submitted that with the help of the Consultative Committee the Government will evolve a procedure which is most economical and which will expedite things. I have already assured the House.

Sri V B Raju — Sir, I will take the best co-operation. I have already submitted that with the help of the Consultative Committee the Government will evolve a procedure which is most economical and which will expedite things. I have already assured the House.
Sri V B Raju —Your presumption is not correct. The staff

Rough sketch was being prepared by the karnams from time immemorial, and not today.

Sri V B Raju —Rough sketch was being prepared by the karnams from time immemorial, and not today.

Sri V B Raju —Any how, if he wants, I agree with him to share the doubt to an extent.

Mr Deputy Speaker —Now, for the Government amendment, there are two amendments given notice of, one by Sri C V K Rao and another by Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya. Let Mr C V K Rao move his amendment.

Sri C V K Rao —Sir, I beg to move.

"In clause 2, in the amendment given notice of by Sri V B Raju, insert the word 'not more than one rupee' after the word 'such fees'."

Mr Deputy Speaker —Amendment moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker —The question is.

"In clause 2, in the amendment given notice of by Sri V B Raju, insert the words 'not more than one rupee' after the word 'such fees'."

The amendment was negatived.

Mr Deputy Speaker —Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya may move his amendment.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya —Sir, I beg to move.
in clause 2, in the amendment given notice of by Sri V B Raju, insert the words "not more than two rupees" between the words "such fees" and "as may be prescribed"

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved

... very low survey staff burden uneconomical

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

In clause 2, in the amendment given notice of by Sri V B Raju, insert the words "not more than two rupees" between the words "such fees" and "as may be prescribed"

The amendment was negatived

Sri K Govinda Rao — What happened to my amendment?

Mr Deputy Speaker — There is no amendment of yours to this clause. Apparently, it must be to clause 3 now, I shall put the Government amendment to vote. The question is

"For clause 2, substitute the following clause,

“(3) The Tahsildar shall, after publishing notice in the manner prescribed calling upon the joint pattadars and other persons known or believed to be interested in the grant of separate pattadas and after holding an enquiry in the manner prescribed, by order, determine the share of land of each pattadar in the joint holding and grant him separate patta for that share. The Tahsildar shall thereafter recover in advance such fees as may be prescribed for each Sub-division and get the shares of land sub-divided where necessary.”

The motion was adopted

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"Clause 2, as amended, do stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted

Clause 2, as amended was added to the Bill

§55—11
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CLAUSE 3

Mr Deputy Speaker — I think the amendments given notice of by Sri Gopalakrishnayya, Sri Govinda Rao and others are not necessary in view of the Government amendment.

Sri V B Raju — Sir, I beg to move:

"For clause 3, substitute the following clause:

"Amendment of Section 4 of the principal Act, the following sub-section shall be substituted, namely—

"(2) The Tahsildar shall, after publishing notice in the manner prescribed calling upon the owner and other persons known or believed to be interested in the transfer of patta or the grant of patta for such land and after holding an enquiry in the manner prescribed, by order, effect the transfer of patta or grant patta for such land. The Tahsildar shall thereafter recover in advance such fees as may be prescribed for each sub-division and get the shares of land sub-divided, where necessary.

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved.

Sri C V K Rao — I beg to move:

"In clause 3, (a) delete 'Rs 4 and add 'Re 1'

(b) delete the words 'recover in advance'

(c) add the words at the end prescribed, the words 'and the Government have to meet the expenditure initially'.

Mr Deputy Speaker — Amendment moved.

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is:

"That for Clause 3 substitute the following clause—

"Amendment of Section 4 of the principal Act, the following sub-section shall be substituted, namely—

"(2) The Tahsildar shall, after publishing notice in the manner prescribed, calling upon the owner and other persons known or believed to be interested in the transfer of patta or the grant of patta for such land and after holding an enquiry in the manner prescribed, by order, effect the transfer of patta or grant patta for such land. The Tahsildar shall thereafter recover in advance such fees as may be prescribed for each sub-division and get the shares of land sub-divided, where necessary.

The amendment was adopted.
Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is

"In clause 3, (a) delete ‘Rs 4 and add ‘Re 1
(b) delete the words ‘recover in advance
(c) add at the end of ‘prescribed the words
and the Government hav. to meet the expenditure initially

The amendments were negatived

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is

"That Clause 3, as amended, do stand part of the Bill’

The motion was adopted

Clause 3 as amended was added to the Bill

CLAUSE 1

Sri V B Raju —I beg to move

"In clause 1 for 96, substitute 9.8’

Mr Deputy Speaker —Amendment moved

(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is

"In Clause 1 for ‘1937’, substitute ‘968’

The amendment was adopted

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is

"That Clause 1, as amended, do stand part of the Bill’

That motion was adopted

Clause 1 as amended was added to the Bill

ENACTING FORMULA

Sri V B Raju —Sri I beg to move

"In the enacting formula, for the words ‘Eighteenth, year’ substitute the words ‘Nineteenth year’

Mr Deputy Speaker —Amendment moved

(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is

"In the enacting formula, for the words ‘Eighteenth year substitute the words ‘Nineteenth year’

"In the enacting formula, for the words ‘Eighteenth year substitute the words ‘Nineteenth year’"
The amendment was adopted

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"That the Enacting Formula, as amended, do stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted

Enacting formula as amended was added to the Bill

LONG TITLE

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

That the Long Title do stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted

Long Title as amended was added to the Bill

Sri V B Raju — Sir, I beg to move

"That the Andhra Pradesh Splitting up of Joint Pattas (Amendment) Bill, 1968 be read a third time

Mr Deputy Speaker — Motion moved

(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"That the Andhra Pradesh Splitting up of Joint Pattas (Amendment) Bill, 1968 be read a third time

The motion was adopted

THE ANDHRA PRADESH CIVIL SERVICES (DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS TRIBUNAL) AMENDMENT BILL, 1968

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — Sir, on behalf of the Chief Minister I beg to move

"That the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal) Amendment Bill, 1968 be read a first time

Mr Deputy Speaker — Motion moved
The Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal) Amendment Bill, 1968

G Sivayya — I quite agree with the hon. Minister so far as the object of the Bill is concerned. But at the same time, I feel that this amendment by itself may not be sufficient to speed up all the cases that are before the Tribunal. Some more assessors may be needed to speed up and close all the cases as early as possible. But here, one peculiarity in the Bill is, that the Tribunal may, if it so thinks fit, appoint any person. The use of the expression 'any person' is most confusing. This Assembly, in my opinion, cannot leave the matter to the Tribunal to appoint 'any person' it likes. Who is that 'person'? Is he the person qualified or technically qualified in the subject matter of enquiry before the Tribunal? To state specifically as to the qualification of the person to be so appointed, would be more appropriate than merely giving a blank option to the Tribunal to appoint any person. I, therefore, suggest that specific words may be used, so that it may be good.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — I would like to submit that the purpose of the Bill is limited to giving power to the Tribunal. Under the Rules to be framed, we can amplify what sort of persons could be appointed or taken as assessors and under what circumstances, as that depends actually on the subject matter of the case which comes up before the Tribunal for enquiry and all such contingencies cannot possibly be anticipated here to be provided in the Act itself. What all we are doing here, is, we are giving the power of appointment of Assessors to the Tribunal. That is all the purpose and all the other contingencies which the hon. Member has in view cannot be anticipated and put in the Act now at this stage.

Sri A Madhava Rao — Assessors who were there previously to assist the Judges in the disposal of sessions cases are now removed and are not being nominated, because of the conflict of opinion between the Sessions Judges and the Assessors. Now, so far as this amending Bill goes, it says that 'the Tribunal may, if it so thinks fit, appoint any person as assessor to assist it.' Suppose it does not think fit to appoint in one case and thinks fit to appoint in another case? What would happen? As it is, the discretion lies with the Tribunal. Therefore, it is necessary to specify in which cases the assessor should be appointed and in which cases it is not required that an assessor should be appointed. It may happen that in a case in which the Tribunal is interested or an outside person is interested, an assessor might be called in to assist the Tribunal and the decision might be there in favour of the person involved because the assessor is there. Otherwise, what useful purpose is served by the Assessor being there? In what way is difficulty being experienced under the existing Act and Rules so as to warrant this amending Bill? I am afraid, in every case there will be an assessor appointed, if once the discretion is given to the Tribunal. In no other penal law or penal code such a discretion is vested with the Tribunal and I would like to know what is the special circumstance.
or reason for the amending Bill giving the power or discretion to the Tribunal to appoint assessors at any point of time I want to know whether any difficulty is being experienced by the Tribunal in dispensing justice, as the Act stood at present. With the amending Bill, not only that justice will not be done, but I feel justice will be denied. I am asking another straight question how many assessors should be there for the Tribunal? Can it have as many assessors as it likes or only one assessor? What is the capacity of the assessor and how should he function? Personally I feel that the voice of the Tribunal would be shut by the persons concerned by having an assessor appointed or if at any time the Tribunal itself thinks that it should not have the blame attached to it for any decision made, it could appoint an Assessor to free itself of the blame that it would otherwise have to suffer for a decision taken for or against any interested party involved I think this would lead to complications and result injustice not being properly dispensed. I would like to know how in practical working of the Act difficulties were being experienced for which remedy was sought by way of this amending Bill.

Sri P. Subbaya - As has already been pointed out, "any person" means, according to our Minister, any person who is liked by the Tribunal. That may be within its discretion.

Mr Deputy Speaker - The Minister says all that can be provided for in the rules.

Sri A. Madhava Rao - That point could ofcourse be discussed by the Subordinate Legislation Committee as to who should be "that person" and whether an assessor is required or not will form part of the discussion there. But here, the Minister is coming forward with an amending Bill saying that "any person" could be appointed. We should know as to what should be the qualifications of that person that is going to be appointed as assessor. That requires clarification. This is an age where assessors and jurors are being removed and only judges decide the question. Both internationally and also in the system of justice as it obtains in our country, assessors and jurors are being removed because of the conflict of opinion in many cases. Therefore, even from that point of view also, no useful purpose would be served by having an assessor appointed by the Tribunal. Therefore, it is necessary that the Tribunal might be empowered to summon any witness or call for any document. That could be the only thing that could be envisaged to do justice, instead of bringing an assessor.

Sri C. V. K. Rao - Mr Speaker, Sir. This procedure is being followed under the Rules already. Now you are going to give a legal validity to that. This matter having come before the House, we would like to know what purpose is served by the Tribunal having an assessor. He might become a Tribunal to Tribunal. If it is a question of assisting the Tribunal in its judgment, in arriving at a particular conclusion, it should be some other agency that has got to give assistance to the Tribunal. But here, if the assistance of the very person appointed by the same Tribunal that is sought Therefore, I agree with my friend that this kind of provision will make
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Tribunal not discharge its duties properly, and what is more, the Tribunal will get such persons who can create conflict of opinion. Therefore, I would ask 'why should we not do away with the assessor? Why should we not totally depend on the Tribunal independently going into the merits of the case?' If it is felt that an assessor or some other agency should be there to help the Tribunal arrive at a proper judgment I would suggest, give the benefit to the party whose case is before the Tribunal for judgment. That, I think, is the best method. The hon Minister who is very intelligent will follow our trend of thought and do away with the appointment of assessor; if however it is necessary, he should be appointed only for the benefit of the party whose case the tribunal has to try.

Sri G Sivayya — One clarification Sir. The hon Minister has stated that under the rules to be framed he would clarify as to who should be the person that has to be appointed. When we are specifically amending the provision in the Act by means of this amendment bill giving power to the Tribunal to appoint 'any person', how can the Government specify the qualifications or the person to be so appointed in the rules? We are giving the power to the Tribunal to appoint any person at its pleasure, how then can Government interfere with its powers once the powers to appoint are given to the tribunal? I want that it should be so enshrined that the person to be appointed should be one who is 'technically qualified on the subject matter'. If an engineering problem comes before the Tribunal, the Tribunal can appoint an engineer as assessor, if there is a legal point involved let a lawyer be there. It is therefore better to amend the provision in the bill suitably by specifically mentioning that the person to be appointed should be one who is technically qualified in the subject matter before the tribunal.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — I have already stated that what we are seeking to add by this amendment is nothing new. Since 1961 the rule has been there; this provision has been there included in the rules made according to the rule making power given by the Act. Recently a doubt arose whether under that rule making power this particular power can be given to the tribunal. We got it examined and we were doubtful. Naturally, there was no authoritative pronouncement of any Court. It is only by way of abundant caution that we have deleted it from the rules and now seek to bring it in the body of the main Act itself. That is all that we wanted to be done. Now the question of assessors and jurors has been brought here. I would like to submit that there is absolute no similarity between that and this. A Juror as hon members know is a lay man, the jury consists of laymen and that jury goes into the question of fact. It does not go into the question of law which is common knowledge. Therefore whether for going into the question of fact the jury is separately required or not is a debatable point.

Sri A Madhava Rao — What is the dictionary meaning of the word 'assessor', and what is the legal terminology about it?

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — Here is a person whom the Tribunal wants to appoint as an assessor to assist it in coming to a conclusion in regard presumably to a technical matter or problem before it. Only in that case if so happens that the Tribunal will go...
Having given that power, what I am submitting is if there is any further amplification to be made as to how the assessors or has to be appointed, under what circumstances, and what sort of person has to be appointed. These are all subsidiary matters arising out of the main power which has been given by this Act, and these matters can be provided for in the rules as we have provided them previously under the main Act. Let me repeat the main Act has given very wide powers of rule-making to the Government. That can be seen at the time when it becomes necessary, at the moment, it is not possible, as I said, to anticipate all the conditions, all the situations, or all the circumstances when an assessor may become necessary to be appointed.

This is a matter which has to be given over to the rule-making power, that is, the Government.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — "Any person" does not mean a person who does not fit in. "Any person" has been made general enough so that any specific orders can be brought in the rules. So, it cannot be said that by using the words 'any person' the Tribunal would appoint any person who does not fit in. This is a general power being given to the Tribunal.

Sri G Sivaji — Are you competent under the rule-making power to overrule our own Act and circumscribing it?

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — We are not. There is no question of circumscribing here. The power itself has been given under the rules, and no Court has questioned it. Only because we felt it was doubtful whether it would be correct to go on giving this power under the Rules and the Subordinate Legislation Committee felt that it may not be quite intra vires, by way of abundant caution we wanted to delete it from the rules and bring it in the Act.

Sri C V K Rao — You have done a wise thing, but once it has come before this House.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — I am not denying the right of members to question the very wisdom of doing away with assessors. The answer is assessors have been there since 1961. Apparently, the system has worked quite well and there is no complaint from any quarter. The difficulty has arisen only about the legality of keeping this power in the rules rather than in the Act.

Sri A Madhava Rao — If that is the case, it may be stated that any assessor with technical qualification may be appointed.

Sri C V K Rao — I want a clarification. Here it reads that the Tribunal may, if it so thinks fit appoint any person as such. He is going beyond this. He says according to the rules, the appointing authority may be somebody else, and the Tribunal may not take it up.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — That is not the position at all. Having given the power to the Tribunal, we shall amplify in the rules in what circumstances and what type of person should be appointed by the Tribunal.

Mr Deputy Speaker — It is a simple matter.

Sri G Sivaji — It is not so simple as that.
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Mr Deputy Speaker —By experience let us see.

Sri G Sivaiah —Why should we go on committing mistakes and rectifying them. When it has been specifically stated ‘any person my amendment to the clause does not go against the assurance.

Mr Deputy Speaker —That is why I say there is no difficulty. He wants it in the Act and you want it in the Rules.

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is ‘That the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal) Amendment Bill, 1968, be read a first time.’

The motion was adopted.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao —Qualified in what manner? It is important to specify.

Sri G Sivaiah —You may say ‘qualified for the purpose’.

(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is ‘That the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal) Amendment Bill, 1968, be read a second time.’

The motion was adopted.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao —I beg to move.

‘That the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal) Amendment Bill, 1968, be read a second time.’

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2

Sri G Sivaiah —I beg to move.

‘In sub-section (5) after the words ‘any person’ insert the words ‘qualified in all matters.’

Mr Deputy Speaker —Amendment moved.

(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is ‘In sub-section (5) after the words ‘any person’ insert the words ‘qualified in all matters.’

The amendment was negatived.

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is ‘That Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill.’

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is
“That Clause 1 do stand part of the Bill”
The motion was adopted
Clause 1 was added to the Bill

**LONG TITLE AND ENACTING FORMULA**

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is

“that Long Title and Enacting Formula do stand part of the Bill

The motion was adopted

Long Title and Enacting Formula were added to the Bill

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — I beg to move

“That the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal) Amendment Bill, 1968 be read a third time

Mr Deputy Speaker — Motion moved
(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

“that the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal) Amendment Bill, 1968 be read a third time”
The motion was adopted

THE ANDHRA PRADESH (TELANGANA AREA) LAND REVENUE AMENDMENT BILL, 1968

Sri V B Raju — I beg to move

“That the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Land Revenue Amendment Bill, 1968, be read a first time”

Mr Deputy Speaker — Motion moved
(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

“That the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Land Revenue Amendment Bill, 1968, be read a first time”
The motion was adopted

Sri V B Raju — I beg to move

“That the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Land Revenue Amendment Bill 1968 be referred to the Regional Committee for consideration and report to the Assembly”

Mr Deputy Speaker — Motion moved
(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

“That the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Land Revenue Amendment Bill 1968 be referred to the Regional Committee for consideration and report to the Assembly”
The motion was adopted
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THE ANDHRA PRADESH LAND ENCROACHMENT (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1968

Sri V B Raju — I beg to move

"That the Andhra Pradesh Land Encroachment (Amendment) Bill, 1968, be read a first time"

Mr Deputy Speaker — Motion moved

Sri V B Raju — The bill is self-explanatory. It is simple. It is only to reduce the work load on the Thasildars that the Deputy Thasildars are designated for the purpose.

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"That the Andhra Pradesh Land Encroachment (Amendment) Bill, 1968, be read a first time"

The motion was adopted.

One hon Member — Let the Minister explain.

Shri V B Raju — This bill is self-explanatory. It is simple. It is only to reduce the work of the Tahsildars that the Deputy Tahsildars have been designated for the purpose.

(Shri P Subbaiah rose)

Mr Deputy Speaker — How does it arise now?

Sri P Subbaiah — It is not general discussion. We want a clarification.

Mr Deputy Speaker — It does not arise because the first reading is over.

Sri P- Subbaiah — At any stage of reading a member can raise and discuss. My point is, either to certain powers have been given to the Collector and the Tahsildar. Now these powers are sought to be extended to the Deputy Tahsildar. Similarly, if the power of the Tahsildar and the Collector of converting unassessed waste into cultivable ayan is vested in the Deputy Tahsildar, also it will be good. Likewise, the power vested in the Collector of converting poramboke into ayan could also be vested with the Tahsildar or the Deputy Tahsildar. If these powers are also delegated we shall be happy, and we shall support it.

Sri V B Raju — The purpose of this Bill is to amend section 6 which reads —

"Any person unauthorisedly occupying any land for which he is liable to pay assessment under Section 8 may be summarily evicted by the Collector or by the Tahsildar.

For the words 'by the Collector or by the Tahsildar' in the two places where they occur, the words 'by the Collector, Tahsildar or Deputy Tahsildar' and for the words 'the Collector or the Tahsildar' in the three places where they occur the words 'the Collector, Tahsildar or Deputy Tahsildar' shall substituted.

Only the Deputy Tahsildar is being brought into the picture. About the other things he has mentioned we shall look into them.

Sri C V K Rao — Because there has been greater work the Deputy Tahsildar is brought into picture. As far as the administrative system is concerned a person higher in status is supposed to bestow better attention in view of his experience and all that.
rally, in matter like this when the Collector supposed to possess greater knowledge and better judgment and deputes the Tahsildar to look into it and when all of a sudden you bring in Deputy Tahsildar there must be something behind. It is not so simple as all that. If you want to delegate power to Deputy Tahsildars you should have done it in so many respects. Nothing could stop you from delegating powers. Where it is necessary, where it is expedient, where the Tahsildar or Collector is not able to discharge their duties, let him delegate. Delegation can be these, but to give legality to this is something bad. And for that reason I am opposing...
Government Bills 27th June, 1968
The Andhra Pradesh Land Encroachment (Amendment) Bill, 1968

... Government Bills 27th June, 1968

Government Bills 27th June, 1968

The Andhra Pradesh Land Encroachment (Amendment) Bill, 1968

...
ment of Revenue Demand, Deputy Tahsildar and Deputy Collector, have brought it to the House. A request was made to the Tahsildar and Deputy Tahsildar to meet the encroachers and to take action. Intensions can be understood only through actions.

Intensions can be understood only through actions.

All sat round the table, and began to discuss the matter. Sri V B Raju will take it up with Sri Gopalakrishnaiah. Several appeals have been made to the Government and all these things are going on.

Mr Deputy Speaker —Any way there are no details with him.

Sri V B Raju —If necessary I would have another meeting with Mr Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya and the Officers. I am prepared to have it on meeting details and meeting details. All the Officers from Chief Secretary, to the Collectors, to the Tahsildars, submit all necessary. There is nothing suppressed or concealed in it. Under the directions of the Speaker everything was done.

Mr Deputy Speaker —It is all right.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya —Why do you involve the Speaker? Halls are all ready. Where are they?
Sri V B Raju —The Speaker also gave directions and every thing was generally discussend
Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya —Generally discussed, discus sed, conceived-conceived nothing happep

It is no use Sir going on at a tangent Let us be relevant to the point

There must be some relevance to the subject

Clause 7 Before taking proceedings under Section 5 of Section 6, the Collector or Tahsildar or Deputy Tahsildar as the case may be shall cause to be served on the person Deputy Tahsildars can issue a notice when action under clause 6 for eviction of an encroacher is contemplated, the Deputy Tahsildar can issue a notice under Section 7, but he is not competent to pass order of eviction under Section 6. Clause 7 notice Deputy Tahsildar can issue a notice but cannot pass orders Therefore to remove that lacuna and also to reduce the workload of the Tahsildar who is very far away from the scene, amendment has been brought The Collector is far away and the Tahsildar is far away Therefore, the Deputy Tahsildar is empowered under Section 6 to cause a notice to be issued and he himself can pass orders. This is the intention and nothing else and the Collector is far away Tahsildar is far away You cannot delegate the authority without statutory authority, unless you mention in the statute itself empowering a particular authority, from
Collector to Tahsildar and from Tahsildar to Deputy Tahsildar
That is not possible unless you mention it in the Act.

Deputy Tahsildar independent charge, Special Tahsildar & Deputy Tahsildars

Deputy Tahsildar means a deputy tahsildar of independent charge of a sub-taluk in whose jurisdiction the land is situated and includes a special deputy tahsildar.

Sri V B Raju —And includes special deputy tahsildars

Sri V B Raju —Whoever is appointed and whoever is in independent charge will look after this

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishniah —There is a tahsildar or a deputy tahsildar who is in independent charge.

There are no special tahsildars anywhere except for special purposes.
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Mr V B Raju —I beg to move
"That the Andhra Pradesh Land Encroachment Amendment) Bill, 1968 be read a second time"

Mr Deputy Speaker —Motion moved
(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is
"That the Andhra Pradesh Land Encroachment (Amendment) Bill, 1968 be read a Second time"

The motion was adopted

CLAUSES 2, 3, 1, AND PREAMBLE AND LONG TITLE

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is
"That clauses 2, 3, 1, and Preamble and long title do stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted

Clauses 2, 3, 1 and Preamble and Long title were added to the Bill

Mr V B Raju —I beg to move
"That the Andhra Pradesh Land Encroachment (Amendment) Bill, 1968 be read a third time"

Mr Deputy Speaker —Motion moved
(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker —The question is
"That the Andhra Pradesh Land Encroachment (Amendment) Bill, 1968 be read a third time"

The motion was adopted

Mr Deputy Speaker —The House is adjourned to meet tomorrow at 8.30 a.m

The House then adjourned till Half-past Eight of the Clock on Friday, the 28 June, 1968
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