ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

MINOR PORT NEAR GANGAVARAM

2515—

* 5618 Q.—Sri P. Sanyasi Rao, (Visakhapatnam 2):—Will the hon. Minister for Communications be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal with the Government to construct a minor port near Gangavaram village situated near Visakhapatnam;

(b) if so, the place to which the villagers will be shifted;

(c) whether the Government intend to take over the port being used at present by the fishermen of the said village; and

(d) if so, what action is being contemplated by the Government in view of the fact that they will loose their means of livelihood?

The Minister for Communications (Sri J. V. Narasimha Rao):—

(a) No, Sir.
(b), (c) & (d) Does not arise

J. No 19 333
384 8th July, 1968.

Oral Answers to Quest ...

Q. 5. Will the hon. Minister for Communications be pleased to state:

Roads under Highways Department

2516—

* 55'4 Q—Sri Y. Venkata Rao (Vemur):— Will the hon.

Minister for Communications be pleased to state.

(a) what is the mileage of roads under Roads and Buildings department in Guntur district?

(b) what was the amount spent on the above roads for maintenance during the year 1967-68.

(c) is there any proposal to construct a retaining wall at Mile 2 on the Tenali-Nizampatnam Road near Pedaravuru opposite to Chandramouli bridge?

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao: (a) M. 1,050  Rs. 172

(b) Rs 37,28,227.

(c) Yes, Sir.

RETRENCHMENT OF WORK-CHARGED ESTABLISHMENT

2517—

(a) whether it is a fact that members of the work-charged establishment of (R & B) Headquarters Circle, Hyderabad are going to be retrenched on 9-8-1968, and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

Sri J V. Narasinga Rao: (a) Yes, Sir. 81 workers were retrenched during 1-6-68 up to 31-3-68 in various building divisions in Headquarters Circle, Hyderabad and there was no retrenchment after that date. Out of these retrenched workers 11 have been reappointed during March and April 1968. Subsequently the S.E. requested the President of the T.Ms union to intimate the names of retrenched T.Ms willing for reappointment. In response to it 11 applications were received. Despite notices no further applications were received. One of these applicants, one was already reappointed and the S.E., issued instructions to the E.E. Construction Division No. 2 Manjira Water Supply Scheme, Hyderabad to reappoint nine out of the remaining 10 applicants on starting of new works. The remaining applicant will be reappointed in Hyderabad Buildings Division No. 2 shortly.

(b) The reasons for retrenchment are as follows:

(i) The Divisions are the units for the appointment of the members of work-charged establishment based on the exigencies of works.

(ii) the work-charged members were employed in divisions when there were works on hand,

(iii) when there were no capital works due paucity of funds or those on hand had been completed, retrenchment became inevitable

(iv) All the original works for which petty supervision charges were provided, were completed and the actual expenditure including payment of enhanced D.A. and enforcing the Minimum Wages Act had exceeded the budget provision available.
8th July, 1938.

Oral Answers to Questions.

(1) M. R. V. (Member):—Why paucity of funds retrench workers service continue otherwise engage service.

(1) M. S. V. (Member):—Workers continue work charged, work engaged service.

Gang retrench work charged, retrench applications.

Gang Coolies: retrench charge, retrench regularise retrench charge, retrench regularise retrench charge.

M. V. (Member):—14 applications retrench charge.

M. V. (Member):—62 members retrench charge. What is the percentage of work charged employees? What is the total capital expenditure in that particular year on the work charged staff? What is the percentage of Saving?

S

(a) Retrenchment of works: In view of the paucity of funds, it is necessary to retrench workers. The Highways Department has already retrenched gangmen. The Highways Department has also reduced, by Z. P. issues, the number of workers to maintain the roads.

(b) Retrenchment of roads: The Highways Department has retrenched many roads including Highways roads, which are now in the control of the highways Department.

(c) Relocation of workers: The Highways Department has relocated workers from retrenched roads to new works.
Oral Answers to Questions.

258—

Sri Vemayya (Sarvepalli):—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government of India has communicated recently its proposal to increase irrigation facilities in the State for the augmentation of food production,

(b) whether the State Government have s.n. proposals for the Somasila Project in Nellore district in 1968, and

(c) whether the Government of India agreed for the State’s proposals?

The Minister for Irrigation (Sri S Sidda Reddy):—(a) No proposal has been received by the State Government from the Government of India for increasing irrigation facilities in the State. In August, 1967, some recommendations relating to better use of water for irrigation purposes made by the Special Committee on “Measures to accelerate food production in the country” were received.

(b) No Sir.

(c) Does not arise in view of answer to clause (b) above.
Oral Answers to Questions.

8th July, 1968.

Mr. Speaker—The hon. Minister can send that to the hon. Member.

Mr. Speaker—Eight recommendations were made. 44 pages 300 ft. 330 ft. 330 ft. 330 ft.

Mr. Speaker—The hon. Minister can send that to the hon. Member.

Mr. Speaker—Eight recommendations were made. 44 pages 300 ft. 330 ft. 330 ft. 330 ft.

Mr. Speaker—Eight recommendations were made. 44 pages 300 ft. 330 ft. 330 ft. 330 ft.
COMPENSATION TO LANDS SUBMERGED UNDER TANDAVA RESERVOIR

Will the hon Minister for Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) the rate of compensation per acre fixed for the wet and dry lands to be submerged under Tandava Reservoir Narsipatnam taluk, Visakhapatnam district; and

(b) when the compensation for the said lands will be paid to them?

Sri S Sidda Reddy —(a) & (b):—Compensation Payable to the lands is fixed by the Land Acquisition Officer following a prescribed procedure. For the extent of 147.55 acres already acquired the rate of compensation has varied from Rs 800/- to Rs 2,000/- depending upon the classification and nature of the land. The remaining extent of 409.32 acres required for the project is being acquired and compensation will be paid as and when the land acquisition proceedings are completed.

Projects of lands enquiry is a cumbersome procedure, giving rise to delay as well.
Answers to Questions.
8th July, 1968.

Q 1. The extent of simplified immediate payment under the Act should be specified.

Q 2. What is the immediate payment under the Land Acquisition Act and the Central Act?

Q 3. Do the Land Acquisition Act and the Central Act provide any compensation?

Q 4. What are the Acquisition proceedings as per the Act?

Q 5. What are the Acquisition proceedings as per the Act?


Q 7. Acquiescence in the project?

Q 8. Land Acquisition Act.

Q 9. Market rate.

Q 10. Market rate.

Export Cell in the State

6025 Q. - Sri P. O. Satyanarayana Raju (Yemmiganur).

Will the hon. Minister for Planning and Law be pleased to state:

19-2
(a) whether there are proposals before the Government to establish an “Export Cell” in our State for promotion of export of commodities manufactured in our State, and

(b) if so, when is it likely to be established?

The Minister for Planning and Law (Sri K V Narayana Reddy): -

(a & b) - In view of the importance of Export Promotion the Government has already established a full-fledged Department of Commerce and Export Promotion to deal with all matters concerning Export Promotion, even those which cannot be dealt with by a mere “Cell”. It has given a lead to the other states in constituting 15 Commodity Panels for the intensification of export drive so the question of establishing a “Cell” which is a much smaller organisation does not arise.

Sri K V Narayana Reddy: - There are fifteen commodity panels - 15 commodities panels & 20 suggestions & 60 suggestions Government of India & 60 suggestions. Tobacco, handloom, handloom products & tobacco 60 suggestions. How is that possible? Is it possible?

- Exports Promotion Corporation.

- Main Commodities Tobacco, Handloom.

- Private Limited Companies.
(a) The state at which the proposal for the construction of the Railway under and over-bridges at Kuppam stands at present;

(b) The estimated expenditure for the above said works;

(c) Whether the State Government has to bear the expenditure or the Centre;

(d) Whether the Government received any representation from the Zilla Parishad Chittoor requesting to take up the construction of Railway under and over-bridges at Kuppam in Chittoor district during 1966–67, and

(e) Whether the Zilla Parishad has agreed to bear the expenditure of the approach roads?

Sri J. V. Narsamga Rao: (a) The matter is under examination of the Government. It is proposed to conduct a joint inspection in July 1966 by the Engineers of Railway Department and Roads and Buildings Department for the selection of site for the over or under bridge.

(b) No estimates have been prepared so far.

(c) If it is decided to construct an under/over bridge in lieu of the existing level crossing, the cost of the under/over bridge will be borne by the Railways and the cost of approaches by the Government. If, however, the underbridge or overbridge is in addition to the level crossing, the entire cost of the bridge as well as approaches will be borne by the State Government.

(d) Yes, Sir. The Collector, Chittoor received a representation in 1966.

(e) Does not arise as the approaches have to be formed by Roads and Buildings Department.

RAILWAY UNDER BRIDGE AT KUPPAM

559. Q. Sri D. Venkatesam Will the hon. Minister for Communications be pleased to state:

There are 62 items under export from India. They are agro-based items, mineral-based items, marine-based items, forest-based items, Engineering, handicrafts handloom, leather-goods etc.
The Minister for Communications be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government is aware that one bridge construction was commenced in the year 1967 at Sullurpet on Pamulakalva river, Nellore district:

BRIDGE ON PAMULAKALVA RIVER

6349 (C) Q — Sri K. Muniswamy (Satyavedu):—Will the hon'ble Minister for Communications be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government is aware that one bridge construction was commenced in the year 1967 at Sullurpet on Pamulakalva river, Nellore district:
(b) if so, whether it is also a fact that after completing the base construction the work was stopped. Since Government gave stay orders, and

(c) whether the Government consider that the bridge is essential and give orders to complete the bridge work soon?

Sri J V. Narasimha Rao: (a) Yes; Sir (b) No. Stay orders were issued by the Government. Due to financial stringency and reduction of grants for capital works on National Highways during 67-68, Govt of India directed the Chief Engineer (Roads and Buildings) that work should be slowed down or stopped at a convenient stage. Accordingly, Chief Engineer (Roads and Buildings) issued orders to stop the work at a safe stage.

(c) Yes, Sir. The work will be resumed as soon as Govt of India receive sufficient funds.

**CULTIVATION UNDER OSMANSAGAR AND HIMAYATSAGAR**

2523 -

*5038 Q.*—Sri Ahmed Hussain (Sestarambagh):—Will the hon. Minister for Communications be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government are aware of the fact that the water of Osman Sagar and Himayat Sagar is being used for agriculture;

(b) whether it is also a fact that a wall has been raised near Mandikatwa to stop the water and it is being given from there stealthily to several adjacent lands;
8th July, 1968.

Oral Answers to Question:

c) whether the Government are aware that this water is being utilised for the lands of Khismatpur, Banlaguda and Upparpally villages, and

d) if so, the action taken in the matter?

Sri J V Narasimha Rao —(a) Yes Sir. Only unfiltered water of Himayatsagar is being supplied for irrigation of Government Farms at Rajendranagar.

(b) Yes Sir. There is an old anicut called ‘Nandikop a’ on Esi river. At this place, the west water from the Agriculture Farm and the seepage water from the Irsalgandi channel are stored and diverted into the Taher Baig channel, under which the irrigation is being done by the villagers.

c) Of the three villages, only the lands of Upparpally village is being irrigated with the water of Taher Baig channel, which is being maintained by the ryots and which gets waste water of irrigation in the Agricultural Farms and also seepage from the Irsalgandi channel.

d) The Revenue Department collects water rate according to rules.

Drinking water waste water  ನಿದರ್ಶಿಸಲ ವೈಲಾಲು ವೈಲಾಲಿ ಸರತು. waste water  ನಿದರ್ಶಿಸಲ ವೈಲಾಲು ವೈಲಾಲಿ ಸರತು.

Project on Nakkavagu

2524—

* 3758 Q —Sri G. Bhupathy (Nerella): —Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that construction of a project on Nakkavagu in Siricilla taluk, Karimnagar district has been contemplated and survey also has been conducted for the purpose; and
(b) if so, when the said work will be taken up, and
(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

Sr. S Sidda Reddy - a) Yes, Sir. Investigations for a project across the Nakkavagu were conducted.

(b) & (c) The proposal was dropped as there was no suitable site for its execution and the command available is too meagre. Also well developed lands under Manu r Project would be submerged by this scheme.

Export of Cashew Kernels:

25'5 -

55:8 Q. Sri Tulabandula Nageswara Rao (Mangalagiri)— Will the hon. Minister for Planning and Law be pleased to state:

(a) the scope for increasing the exports of Cashew Kernels from A. P. and the foreign earnings from actual exports of cashew products during the year 1966-67;

(b) whether any schemes were prepared by the Government for cashew extension and development in order to increase foreign exchange earnings; and

(c) if so, the details of the same?

Sri K. V. Narayana Reddy: (a) The report on export Potential survey of Andhra Pradesh brought out by the Indian Institute of Foreign Trade has revealed that there is ample scope of increasing the exports of Cashew Kernels from the State.

The actual foreign exchange earnings from export of Cashew Kernels of all grades from the State during the year 1966-67 amounted to Rs. 1.8 lakhs.

(b) & (c) — A centrally sponsored scheme in an area of 500 acres in non-forest area in the Districts of Srikakulam, Nellore, Guntur and Visakhapatnam at a total cost of Rs. 76,912/- was sanctioned during 1967-68 and 1968-69. The scheme is aimed to increase Cashew production from the existing plantations of 10 to 20 years age through intensive cultivation. The cultivators are given incentives in the shape of subsi- due on cost of manure at Rs. 40/- per acre and an plant protection at Rs. 25/- per acre besides sanctioning loans at Rs. 100/- per acre Land Mortgage Banks for establishment of new orchards.

The Government of India have also been requested for allotment of funds for Cashew package programme in a forest area of 800 acres for salvaging operations in Guntur Division.
8th July, 1958.

Ural Answers to Questions*

Finance corporation representative, small scale industries development corporation representative, director of export promotion department. The old methods are outmoded. centres constitute 46 units. 46 units modernization persue. Small scale industries development corporation representative, director of export promotion department. Modernization 10 units modernization.

1. Y. G. T. A. 300 units Rs. 324,30,474/- cashewnut available. 82 processing units crush. 7.5% Government of India import duties. Import duties to the extent of Rs. 1,41,021. In 1966-7 the total quantity of cashewnut required was Rs. 7,94,000. We have imported to the extent of Rs. 1,41,021 to the extent of Rs. 2,430,474/- cashewnut. Agriculture department, cooperative sector, package plan.

2. A. T. A. 8 centres 14 units report. 14 units modernization. 14 units private units 10 units modernization. 10 units modernization.
Oral Answers to Questions. 8th July, 1968.

1. The Minister of Agriculture and Rayonnal Development: Pradesha Swamartham. Sandalwood. The area is about one lakh acres. Out of this 75,000 acres are under sandalwood, of which 25,000 acres are under mature sandalwood. The remaining 50,000 acres are under non-mature sandalwood. Of these, 40,000 acres are under development. The Minister further said that the sandalwood is a valuable source of income to the Government. The Government has already allotted 60,000 acres of land to the sandalwood development. The Government is also providing assistance to the farmers for the development of sandalwood. The sandalwood is also being traded in the markets and the Government is taking steps to increase the production of sandalwood. The Minister also informed that the Government is taking steps to improve the quality of sandalwood. The sandalwood is being exported to many countries and the Government is taking steps to increase the export of sandalwood.

2. The Minister of Agriculture and Rayonnal Development: Pradesha Swamartham. Plantation. The Minister said that the Government is providing assistance to the farmers for the development of plantations. The assistance is being provided in the form of loans and grants. The assistance is also being provided to the farmers for the establishment of processing units. The Government is also providing assistance to the farmers for the establishment of processing units in the interior areas.

3. The Minister of Agriculture and Rayonnal Development: Pradesha Swamartham. Plantation. The Minister said that the Government is providing assistance to the farmers for the establishment of processing units. The assistance is being provided in the form of loans and grants. The assistance is also being provided to the farmers for the establishment of processing units in the interior areas.

4. The Minister of Agriculture and Rayonnal Development: Pradesha Swamartham. Processing. The Minister said that the Government is providing assistance to the farmers for the establishment of processing units. The assistance is being provided in the form of loans and grants. The assistance is also being provided to the farmers for the establishment of processing units in the interior areas.

5. The Minister of Agriculture and Rayonnal Development: Pradesha Swamartham. Plant protect. The Minister said that the Government is providing assistance to the farmers for the establishment of processing units. The assistance is being provided in the form of loans and grants. The assistance is also being provided to the farmers for the establishment of processing units in the interior areas.

6. The Minister of Agriculture and Rayonnal Development: Pradesha Swamartham. Plant protect. The Minister said that the Government is providing assistance to the farmers for the establishment of processing units. The assistance is being provided in the form of loans and grants. The assistance is also being provided to the farmers for the establishment of processing units in the interior areas.
Oral Answers to Questions

350 8th July, 1968.

1. Mr. P. R. S. A.:—Cashewnuts demand exceed supply in several countries. The demand for cashewnuts is rising, and it is necessary to popularise their cultivation in India. What steps are being taken in this direction?

2. Mr. M. S. R.:—Cashewnuts demand exceed supply in several countries. The Department of Export Promotion is exporting cashewnuts to South African countries to modernise their cashew competition. A package scheme has been prepared, 800 acres of cashewnut planting, 1000 acres of demonstration plant, 12 acres of processing plant and a cashew development package scheme.

3. Mr. K. V. R.:—Districts various units are developing. Will the Department of Co-operative development co-ordinate corporation?

4. Mr. K. S. R.:—Department of Export promotion.

5. Mr. M. S. R.:—Modernisation Plant has been established in various units for export. The Department of Export Promotion has established an export corporation.

6. Mr. K. S. R.:—Forest Department has plots in various states for firewood, red sanders, cashewnut and afforestation schemes. The Department is implementing the afforestation plan under the afforestation scheme.

7. Mr. M. S. R.:—Red Sanders and Cashewnut demand. The afforestation scheme is being implemented in various areas.
Oral Answers to Questions.

8th July, 1968.

Q. Sarvasri Santhosh Chakravarthy (Mulug) and R. Narasimha Ramaiah:—Will the hon. Minister for Communications be pleased to state:

(a) whether there was any proposal to upgrade the Hanamkonda-Ettumagaram road in Warangal district to a National Highway;

(b) if so, whether the survey work was done;

(c) what is the amount of estimate; and

(d) whether it has been included in the Fourth Plan?

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao:—(a) Yes; Sir.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) Rs. 842.98 lakhs on a rough estimate.

(d) No, Sir.

Sri Santosh Chakraravthy:—When the survey work will be completed, will the hon. Minister kindly indicate?

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao:—It will be completed in a few months.
D. A. TO WORK-CHARGE ESTABLISHMENT OF R & B. DEPARTMENT

2527—

*5423 Q.—Sri M. Subbanna (Kothuru):—Will the hon. Minister for Communications be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the existing dearness allowance on par with N. G. Os is not being paid to work-charged establishment of R and B Department in Srikakulam district, and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

Sri J. V. Narasimha Rao:—(a) The disparity in D. A. between Workcharged establishment and N. G. Os exists in all the Districts and is not peculiar to Srikakulam District.

(b) The workcharged establishment is entirely a different category and they cannot be treated on par with Government employees in the matter of D. A.
ఉరాల జవాబాలు ప్రపంచం లో తప్పారు, కాబట్టి నాకు తప్పంతే వ్యాఖ్యలు తెలియింది. 

శుంగా పునర్జ్ఞానం: అది పుస్తకంలో ఉండి, సమావేశం, దినపు పుస్తకానికి చెందింది. ఇది ప్రతిభావంతనుండి ఉండి, కష్టాన్ని వేవింది. అందుకే కోర్తులు లేదు. ఆస్థానం కోర్తులు. కృతి తయారు చేసారని చెప్పాలి. అందువల్ల నాకు ప్రత్యేక విషయాలు ఉంది. ఆ విషయాలను చెప్పాలి. అందువల్ల నా ప్రత్యేక రకము ఎంత ఉంది? సాధారణ విషయాలు ఎంత ఉంది?

స్త్రీలు ప్రమాదానం: మనం చెప్పాలి ఎందుకు ఉండటం? అందువల్ల నా మనం సమాధానానికి చెందాలి. అందువల్ల నాకు ప్రత్యేక విషయాలు ఉంది. అందువల్ల నా ప్రత్యేక రకము ఎంత ఉంది? సాధారణ విషయాలు ఎంత ఉంది?

స్త్రీలు ప్రమాదానం: మనం చెప్పాలి ఎందుకు ఉండటం? అందువల్ల నా మనం సమాధానానికి చెందాలి. అందువల్ల నాకు ప్రత్యేక విషయాలు ఉంది. అందువల్ల నా ప్రత్యేక రకము ఎంత ఉంది? సాధారణ విషయాలు ఎంత ఉంది?

స్త్రీలు ప్రమాదానం: మనం చెప్పాలి ఎందుకు ఉండటం? అందువల్ల నా మనం సమాధానానికి చెందాలి. అందువల్ల నాకు ప్రత్యేక విషయాలు ఉంది. అందువల్ల నా ప్రత్యేక రకము ఎంత ఉంది? సాధారణ విషయాలు ఎంత ఉంది?
8th July, 1968

Oral Answers to Questions

Will the hon Minister for Communications be pleased to state : 
(a) whether there is any proposal with the Government to take over Vadlamannadu to Singarayapalem road, (ii) Nadupur to Vadavalli road in Bandar taluk in Krishna district by the High Ways Department, and
(b) if so, at what stage the matter stands?

(a) No, Sir
(b) Does not arise.

VADLAMANNADU—SINGARAYAPALEM ROAD

2528—

5408 Q—Sri B Niranjana Rao (Malleswaram) :—Will the hon Minister for Communications be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal with the Government to take over Vadlamannadu to Singarayapalem road, (ii) Nadupur to Vadavalli road in Bandar taluk in Krishna district by the High Ways Department, and

(b) if so, at what stage the matter stands?

Sri J. V. Narasina Rao.— (a) No, Sir
(b) Does not arise.

2528—

5408 Q—Sri B Niranjana Rao (Malleswaram) :—Will the hon Minister for Communications be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal with the Government to take over Vadlamannadu to Singarayapalem road, (ii) Nadupur to Vadavalli road in Bandar taluk in Krishna district by the High Ways Department, and

(b) if so, at what stage the matter stands?

Sri J. V. Narasina Rao.— (a) No, Sir
(b) Does not arise.
Sri S. Sidda Reddy:—(a) Yes, Sir. The possibility of extending the canal to serve some more area is under consideration.
(b) & (c) Do not arise in view of answer to query (a).
Oral Answers to Questions

8th July, 1968.

Sri K. V. Narayana Reddy—(a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approximate Area</th>
<th>Estimated Production in Tonnes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1965-66 Ac 2,21,152</td>
<td>44,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966-67 Ac 2,52,663</td>
<td>50,532</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data for 1967-68 is not available.

(b) No Sir,

(c) The disparity between Indian and international price is the main difficulty.

(d) The Indian Institute of Foreign Trade which conducted a detailed survey on the export potential of Andhra Pradesh has made several suggestions to step up exports and they are under examination.

Sri Tulabandule Nageswara Rao—Will the hon. Minister for Planning be pleased to state:

(a) the approximate cultivated area in the State together with the estimated production for the years 1965-66, 1966-67 and 1967-68 of Coriander,

(b) whether any direct exports are made of Coriander (Seeds) from Andhra Pradesh,

(c) what are the difficulties faced in the matter of exports; and

(d) whether the Directorate of Commerce and Export Promotion is contemplating any measures to step up the export of Coriander; and if so what are they?

Sri K. V. Narayana Reddy—(a)
On 8th July, 1968,

(a) whether it is a fact that Dyamajipalli, Nayanapalli road, from Dharmavaram to Battilapalli, in Anantapur district, is in charge of Roads and Buildings Department;

(b) if so, what are the steps taken by the Department to construct a causeway at Pottamari village and black top the road; and

(c) whether there are proposals to take charge of the remaining portion of the road, from Battilapalli to Nayanapalli, as it is in worst condition?

Sri J. V. Narasimha Rao:—(a) Yes; Sir.

(b) The work of constructing a skew bridge in M. 15/3 near village Pottamari will be taken up as and when funds are provided. The road from Dharmavaram to Battilapalli is having black topped surface except in nine furlongs. As this black top surface is worn out, estimates for providing black topping renewals have been sanctioned. The work is in progress and is expected to be completed by August, 1968. As regard the black topping of the 9 furlongs in M. 15/1 to 16/6 and M. 17/5 to 18 the work has been taken up and is expected to be completed by the end of July, 1968.

(c) The financial implications of the proposal are under examination by the Chief Engineer (Roads and Buildings).

C. P. ROADS

* 85/6 Q. Sarvasti Suntosh Chakravarthy and R. Narasimha Ramasah:--Will the hon. Minister for Communications be pleased to state: 19-4
(a) whether it is a fact that there are two roads called C P-roads in Mulug taluk, Warangal district.

1. Mulug–Pathapally road.
2. Mulug to Ghanpur road via Budharam.

(b) whether they are being maintained by R & B Department.

(c) when the cross masonry will be constructed.

(d) whether the said roads connect major villages in Mulug taluk, and

(e) by what time these roads will be completed?

Sri J. V. Narasimha Rao—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) The cross drainage works have not been taken up due to paucity of funds. These will be taken up as and when funds are made available.

(d) Yes, Sir.

(e) Those roads can be taken up for improvements when the financial position of the Department will permit.

Sri Santosh Chakravarthy.—Earth work for these roads was done in the year 1965. So far neither the murrum work nor any metal work was done. When will they be taken up?

Sri J. V Narasimha Rao: They are not the roads under the Roads and Buildings Department. As and when the roads are taken up, all these improvements up to P- W D Standards will be made. The approximate cost is supposed to be more than Rs. 9 lakhs.

COMPENSATION TO PATTADARS

2533—

*5338 (H) Q.—Sarvasri S. A. Deo Sha (Booth), and P. Narasa Reddy (Nirmal):—Will the hon. Minister for Communications be pleased to state;
(a) whether the compensation to pattadars from whom land is acquired for constructing the Utnoor-Indupalli road has been paid (Adilabad district);

(b) if it has not been paid so far, the probable date by which it will be paid, and

(c) whether the compensation will be paid according to the rates prevailing at the time of acquiring land or whether current rates will be paid?

Sri J. V. Narasinga Rao: (a) No Sir.

(b) The draft declaration under section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act was approved by Government on 16th June. The Revenue Department have to fix the award under the Land Acquisition Act regarding payment of compensation. Funds are available with the Roads and Buildings Department. As soon as the award is passed by the Land Acquisition Officer, funds will be placed at his disposal for payment.

(c) For fixing the rates for payment of compensation for the lands acquired, the proper authority is the Land Acquisition Officer. Hence compensation will be paid as per the award of the Land Acquisition Officer.

(a) whether the investigation has been conducted for Gurugubilli branch channel of Nagavali right canal at Thotapalli, Parvathipuram taluk, Srikakulam district, and

(b) when the said work will be started?
A:

Sri S. Sidda Reddy:— (a) No Scheme of the name Gurugubilli branch channel at Tenapalli is being investigated. But a scheme for the excavation of high level cannal at M 4/1-550 is being examined. This scheme may benefit an ayacut of Ac 474 6 (including Ac 13 76 of dry lands) in Gurugubilli village. Probably the member is referring to this proposal.

(b) The question of taking up the scheme will be examined after the estimate is finalised.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Government announcement:— Following the decision of the National Integration Council to take action against newspapers indulging in communal propaganda, the Andhra Pradesh Government has reportedly decided to withhold its advertisements to Patriot and Link because they are communal papers. The situation is dangerous as the papers are proceeding only in giving prominence to communal propaganda and all that, whether the Government can take on itself to curb the freedom of the Press, involves important considerations.
RULING OF THE CHAIR

on the adjournment motion

re: Damaging statement alleged to have been made by Sri P. Thimma Reddy, to the effect that "Harijans are thieves and such fellows cannot but be kicked."

Mr. Speaker:—There is no question of calling upon the Minister.

Mr Speaker.—He is creating another difficulty for me. I am not able to understand what he is saying. I have seek somebody's help to understand you.

Mr Speaker.—But first I must understand and if necessary I will call upon the Minister. That is why, please send me the information, so that I may read it and take necessary action.

RULING OF THE CHAIR

ON THE ADJOURNMENT

re: Damaging Statement alleged to have been made by Sri P. Thimma Reddy, to the effect that "Harijans are thieves and such fellows cannot but be kicked."

Mr. Speaker:—Five notices under Rule 63 of the Assembly Rules, first one dated 21-6-1968 by Smt. Erswari Bai, a member of the Republican Party, the second one dated 21-6-1968 by Sri B. V. Ramamani, another member of the Republican Party, third one dated 25-6-1968 by Sri Rajeswara Rao and three other members of the C. P. I. Legislature Party, fourth one dated 25-6-1968 by Sri T. Nag Reddy and three others, . . . .

Mr. Speaker:—Yes, I am making the ruling.

Mr Speaker:—Yes, I am making the ruling.
Ruling of the Chair

8th July 1968

re. Damaging statement alleged to have been made by Sri P. Thimma Reddy, to the effect that "Harijans are thieves and such fellows cannot be kicked".

Mr Speaker: - That is what I have said you when he raised the question. Smt. Eswari Bai also raised the same question. If I consider it necessary, I will give an opportunity. Later on I have also the discussions. Please hear me till the end.

Mr Speaker: - Please hear me till the end. Then he may say what he has got to say. I always prepared to reconsider the matter though not in this light in other light, if he is prepared to convince me. I request him to kindly hear me till the end.

Mr Speaker: - Kindly hear me till the end. Whatever he has got to say then he can say. Please hear me till the end.

Mr Speaker: - I am prepared to hear you afterwards also.

Five notices under Rule 63 of the Assembly Rules, first one dated 21-6-68 by Smt. Eswari Bai, a member of the Republican Party; the second one dated 21-6-68 by Sri B. V. Ramanaih, another member of the Republican Party; third one dated 25-6-68 by Sri Rajaswara Rao and three other members of the C.P.I. Legislature Party; fourth one dated 25-6-68 by Sri T. Nagi Reddy and three others, members of the C.P.I. (Marxist) and the fifth one dated 26-6-68 by Sri Ramasahabapathi and another member of the Democratic Front have been received seeking adjournment of the Business of the House for discussing what in their opinion is a matter of definite urgent public importance, viz., a damaging statement alleged to have been made by Sri P. Thimma Reddy, to the effect that "Harijans are thieves and such fellows cannot but be kicked", at an informal Press meet on the 22nd April, 1968 which was published in the 24th April issue of "Patriot", a daily published from Delhi, and consequently a piquant situation has arisen making the plight of the Harijans untenable and almost insecure. As is seen from the notices, though the wording of the reported statement of Sri P. Thimma Reddy differs from one another, since the subject matter and the object with which all the five notices have been given are the same, viz., seeking adjournment of the Business of the House to discuss the said statement the have all been clubbed together for the sake of convenience and treated as one issue for consideration and disposal.

Before proceeding to decide the issue on merits regarding the admissibility of these notices as per the Rules of the Assembly, the necessity of ascertaining the veracity of the alleged statement based on
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authentic material before discussion in the House was contemplated  
was explained to the House, particularly in view of the fact that this  
very issue was discussed in both the Houses of the Parliament and  
ultimately dropped on the record of the enquiry furnished by the hon.  
Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh who at the request of the Hon  
Union Home Minister had conducted an enquiry during which he  
recorded the statements of all the seven Press Correspondents who were  
said to have been present on 22nd April at the Press meet in which  
Sri P. Thimma Reddy is alleged to have made the statement, the  
statement of the correspondent of 'Patriot' from Delhi, the only Paper  
which published this news item and also obtained the statement of  
Sri P. Thimma Reddy himself. Since it was felt that this record of  
quiry would help the members in arriving at the truth of the matter  
cop.es of the entire record of enquiry as well as the report of the hon  
Chief Minister were made available to the Members for their Informa- 
It now remains to be seen whether the said notices are in  
conformity with the Rules regarding the admissibility for adjourn- 
ment motion viz. an occurrence of sudden emergency and one of  
eurgent public importance which cannot brook any delay for discussion  
by the House and immediate administrative action by the Government.  
It may also be mentioned that one of the conditions of  
admissibility and in my opinion a very salutory one is that unless  
there is substantial factual basis for all such notices on authentic,  
credible and reliable material they cannot be accepted as justify- 
ing consideration, while there can be no serious objection to the notice  
as adjournment motion being given based on a new paper report, the  
necessity of ascertaining the veracity of same on further factual  
verification remains before accepting the motion. Where facts as  
stated in the notice are not admitted by the Government, the  
member who has given notice must be in a position to substantiate the  
same by authentic information. Vide the Rulings of the Lok Sabha  
and House of Commons.

In the present case, the question whether the matter is one  
of recent occurrence and urgent public importance rests on the fact  
whether there is sufficient reliable evidence to believe as true the  
statement attributed to Sri P. Thimma Reddy, for otherwise though  
the matter may be of public importance it cannot be considered  
as an urgent one needing immediate attention and action by the  
Government. As far as the said statement is concerned, there is no  
authentic material worth the name based on oral or documentary  
evidence which warrants a conclusion that it was made by  
Sri P. Thimma Reddy. Moreover, since both the Houses of Parlia- 
ment in which this subject figured prominently, ultimately dropped  
the same and that too after fairly prolonged discussions; more or  
less absolving Sri P. Thimma Reddy of the said charge, I consider  
it is neither wise nor expedient to re-open the same issue and allow  
discussion in the absence of any other additional or fresh material.  
I need hardly over-emphasise the necessity of observing the canon.
Ruling of the Chair:  

8tv July 1963,  

on the adjournment motion:  

re: Damaging statement alleged to have been made by Sri P. V. Reddy, to the effect that "Harijans are thieves and such fellows cannot but be kicked" of just and fair discussion inside Legislature based on proved facts, for otherwise political rivalry might lead to personal recriminations converting the legislatures into places wherein members indulge in free fights verbally as well as physically, finally culminating in the destruction of democracy.

And now before I conclude, I consider that this unfortunate episode which has considerably agitated and even convulsed public opinion in the country, has done some good to the Harijans and people of other backward communities, for, in my opinion the sensation which started with the reporting of Kanchikacherla incident in the beginning of last March, has focussed the attention of all people in positions of power and authority, leading men in politics as well as public spirited persons towards the imperative necessity of taking urgent measures for improving the lot of these unfortunately placed people in society who are still subject to several social and economic disabilities, for otherwise it might as a "deceased limb in the body politic of the nation might prove to be a scourge. As the strength of the mighty chain is determined by its weakest link, the very weakness of Harijans and other backward communities might jeopardise the smooth functioning of democracy and even the security of the country.

Secondly and this is equally important—it has made all people occupying positions of power and leading men in politics realise the necessity of being cautious and discreet while making statements either in formal or informal interviews with press people and perhaps the necessity for authenticating in same form the statements intended for publication in the Press so as not to give room for conflicting and incorrect press reports.

For the above reasons, I consider that all these notices do not relate to such a matter of urgent public importance based on proved or admitted facts worth immediate consideration of the House. Hence, they are all disallowed.

However on the 6th of this month Sri Rajeswara Rao, Smt. Eswari Bai and others expressed their anxiety regarding the need for discussing at an early date the untenable plight of the Harijans and of other backward communities and requested for an opportunity, being afforded in the House. It is a suggestion well worth consideration by the Leader of the House, who, I trust, in consultation with the Leaders of the Opposition Parties, will take a decision as early as possible before the present session concludes.

Sri C. V. K. Rao—Your ruling as a matter of fact diverts the whole issue Sir. Now it is an ex-party decision. You have given an ex-party decision and it would have been better if you have given an opportunity for a discussion on the adjournment motion.)

19—5
Ruling of the Chair on the adjournment motion.

re: Damaging statement alleged to have been made by Sri P. Thimmana-Reddy, to the effect that Harijans are thieves and such fellows cannot but be kicked.

Mr Speaker—"I have given my ruling. That is all.

I have given my ruling. That is all.
Ruling of the chair on the adjournment motion.

Re: Damaging statement alleged to have been made by Sri P. Thimma Reddy, to the effect that “Haryans are thieves and such folk cannot but be kicked.”

Mr. Speaker: Now that I have given my ruling, it would not be possible for me to allow any discussion on it.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: And particularly about admissibility question.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: Discussion about Shri Thimmareddy’s statement is different from the unfortunate developments.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: One after the other.

(Interruptions)

Mr. Speaker: There is no point in many Members standing. Only one member can speak at one time. There is no point in other members standing and saying something.
8th July, 1968.

Ruling of the Chair

on the adjournment motion:

re: Damaging statement alleged to have been made by Sri P. Thimma Reddy, to the effect that "Harijans are thieves and such fellows cannot but be kicked."

Mr. Speaker:—One issue which you have raised in all these notices is whether the statement attributed to Sri Thimma Reddy can be discussed now.

Mr. Speaker:—Whatever result of the statement may be, if as a result of the statement something has arisen, it is different altogether. I am prepared to allow discussion of the issue with regard to the lot of Harijans. That is an entirely different issue. Please do not club it with the statement attributed to Mr. Thimma Reddy.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—It is a corollary of Mr. Thimma Reddy's statement.

Mr. Speaker:—What is it he wants me to do now?
Mr. Speaker:—I do not know what he is driving at now.

Mr. Speaker:—Whatever that may be, I felt it was not necessary to hear the members regarding the admissibility. That was what I felt.

Mr. Speaker:—I am so sorry that a responsible man, a man of your status should look at it like that. After all there are three ways of disposing of an adjournment motion. I could have straightaway disallowed it without hearing... (interjections) My object is, even now if any one of you have fresh material to show......

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—Fresh material parliament proceedings are subject to evidence. Evidence can be filed according to the rules.

Mr. Speaker:—The rulings of all legislatures are clear that when a notice is given making certain allegations against a member or a Minister, unless you have some definite proof, these things cannot be admitted. I quoted also these ruling.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—While giving us opportunity you may curtail........

Mr. Speaker:—How can I curtail.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—We have material. You cannot say ex-parte that we have no material.

Mr. Speaker:—He is not one of those who have given notices. If along with the notice he has sent material........

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—You promised to give us an opportunity.

Mr. Speaker:—Who promised? I never promised. When Mr. Rajeswararao said that they should be given an opportunity I said if I consider it necessary I may do it. I never gave an assurance.
8th July, 1968.

Ruling of the Chair
on the adjournment motion
re: Damaging statement alleged to have been
made by Sri P Thimma Reddy, the
effect that Harijans are thieves and such
fellows cannot but be kicked.”

Sri C V K Rao — I am very sorry it cannot be a matter between the Speaker and us ...

Mr Speaker — You had made an allegation against a very responsible Member and a Minister and I expect a responsible member to place some credible material in support.

Sri C V K Rao — We have just put before you a G.O which shows how the Government is moving ...

Mr Speaker — When facts are disputed by Government the duty of proving those facts is upon the members who have given notice.

Sri C V K Rao — But they have not disputed them on the floor of the House. If they have disputed them before you ....

Mr Speaker — I have got a copy of the statement which Sri Thimma Reddy has given in which he has denied.

Sri C V K Rao — But we are questioning it (Interruptions)

Mr Speaker — I am extremely sorry. I cannot allow any further discussions.

(Repeated in interruptions)

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy — I am not at all misleading. I humbly submit, I must protest against that

Mr Speaker — Why is he misleading the House?

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy — I said that after I give my ruling I shall hear you as to what you have to say.
Ruling of the Chair:

8th July, 1968.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—About that do you want to hear from us? It is only about the admissibility of the motion.

Mr. Speaker:—What members may say about the issue of Harijans I said I would consider about it.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—That is exactly what we wanted. It is a question of our getting a chance. At least we should be given a hearing. If the rulings are given only to gag...

Mr. Speaker:—I have disallowed it.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—It is a question of hearing us.

Mr. Speaker:—I know my duty and I shall discharge my duty. Once I have given my ruling there is no question of my reconsidering it.

Sri C. V. K. Rao:—You have given the ruling and said you would hear us.

(Interjections)

Mr. Speaker:—If all of you begin to talk like that there is no point. Only one after the other can speak.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—I humbly submit one thing. I am one of those members who gave notice.

Smt. J. Eswari Bai:—I am also one of those who gave notice.

Mr. Speaker:—You are all getting up and speaking without my permission.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—I shall speak only after you give your permission. I am one of those who gave the notice.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy:—I shall only say one thing. You may disallow the motion, but give us a hearing.
872  5th July, 1968.

Ruling of the Chair

re Damaging statement alleged to have been made by Sri P Thimma Rddy, to the effect that "Harijans are thieves and such fellows connot but be kicked"

Mr Speaker: Hon Members must be prepared to hear calmly. There is no point in members getting excited.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy: I am very sorry I have been holding my patience.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy: It is not only he but the whole country is holding its patience.

Mr Speaker: Do not get excited. I am prepared to hear him and see what could be done as per the rules. There is no point in getting excited.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy: You may disallow the motion but you should have allowed me to say something.

Mr Speaker: I have already disallowed it and as per the rules I am not giving him an opportunity.

Sri C. V. K. Rao: Just now you said you will permit us to discuss the issue.

Mr Speaker: Please see the proviso to Rule 67:

"Provided that where the Speaker has refused his consent under rule 63 or is of opinion that the matter proposed to be discussed is not in order, he may, if he thinks it necessary, read the notice of motion and state the reasons for refusing consent or holding the motion as not being in order.

(Interruptions)
Ruling of the Chair

on the adjournment motion:

It is alleged to have been made by Shri P. Reddy, to the effect that "Harijans are thieves and such fellows cannot but be kicked."

Mr. Speaker:—Please consider my suggestion. I have given my ruling. Whatever that may be, leave aside that thing. You want again an opportunity to be given I suppose I have understood you correctly. If that is so, there is no question of my reconsidering my ruling. All the same, it is for the Leader of the House and the Leaders of the Opposition Parties, if necessary, to sit together and decide as to what should be done. I am prepared to agree to that.
Ruling of the Chair on the adjournment motion

re Damaging statement alleged to have been made by Sri P. Thimma Reddy, to the effect that "Harija s are thieves and such fellows cannot but be kicked."

Mr Speaker.— I am agreeble.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi.— That is a fact, there is no imputation involved.

Sri K. Govinda Rao.— If you want.

Mr Speaker.— I do not like hon Members talking in a disorderly manner.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy.— It is not a disorderly manner.

Mr Speaker.— I am prepared to accept your suggestion, Mr. Rajeswar Rao in the sense as to how an opportunity should be given in this House.

Sri Ch. Rajeshwara Rao.— That is different.

Mr Speaker.— What is the thing Mr. Rajeswar Rao suggesting?
Ruling of the Chair: 8th July, 1968.

on the adjournment motion

Mr. Speaker. - The only point in dispute is according to him an opportunity should have been provided to the members before I gave my ruling. That is what they feel. Now, I felt that it was not necessary to do so and ultimately I have given my ruling disallowing the Motion.

Mr. Speaker. - Not about the admissibility of the Motion. What you have got to say, you can say afterwards.

Mr. Speaker. --- I only ask the members to hear my ruling till the end and say what they have got to say. And then I say I will hear you.
Ruling of the Chair on the adjournment motion.

Re: Damaging statement alleged to have been made by Sri P. Thimma Reddy, so the effect that "Harijans are thieves and such fellows cannot but be kicked."

Mr. Speaker.— You are at liberty to do that.

Sri C. V. K. Rao.— Will you kindly permit me?

Mr. Speaker.— I am not permitting anybody now.

Sri C. V. K. Rao.— Are you forcing us to walk out of the House? You don't permit us to speak. I have got to...

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy.— Mr. Speaker, Sir...

Mr. Speaker.— Perhaps you have taken decision already.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy.— I have not taken any decision. It depends on what you say. I have given a notice of an adjournment motion. The point here is, you have read out your ruling...

Mr. Speaker.— And you have not been given an opportunity.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy.— We are not at Mr. Ratnasabhapathy's building, unfortunately.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathy.— It is only a submission to the hon. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker.— Please sit down. Let me tell the House one thing, which I am compelled now to tell the House. If I remember correct, day before yesterday, when the Business Advisory Committee met this question was discussed there. Instead of my telling, hoh. Dr. T. S. Murthy can inform the House as to what transpired there in the Business Advisory Committee.

Mr. Speaker.— I want to give information to the House.
Ruling on the Chair 8th July, 1968.

on the adjournment motion:

re: Damaging statement alleged to have been made by Sri P. Thumma Reddy, to the effect that "Harijans are thieves and such fellows cannot but be kicked."

Mr. D. Ramanaiah: The member has referred in his statement to Harijans being thieves and such fellows being kicked. Is the member referring to some specific incident.

Mr. Reddy: The member is recalling the statement I made in the House about the conduct of Harijans being thieves and such fellows being kicked. I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?

Mr. Reddy: Yes, I made such a statement in the House.

Mr. Ramanaiah: Is the member referring to an incident in the House?
Ruling on the Chair
on the adjournment motion
re: Damaging statement alleged to have been made by Sri P Thamma Reddy, to the effect that ‘Harijans are thieves and such fellows cannot but be kicked:’

Mr Speaker.—Mr. T S. Murthi, can you please enlighten now.

Mr Speaker.—There is a second thing, which took place in the Business Advisory Committee where all the leaders of the parties were present. That is why I am asking him to say.
Ruling of the Chair:

on the adjournment motion

re: Damaging statement alleged to have been made by Sri P. Thimma Reddy, to the effect that “Harijans are thieves and such fellows cannot but be kicked.”

On the motion of Shri M. R. Aiyar, seconded by Shri R. Venkataramaiah, the House divided.

The resolution was carried.

The House adjourned at 12.30 p.m. on the 1st day of July, 1968.
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Ruling of the Chair on the adjournment motion.

Mr. Damaging statement alleged to have been made by Sri P. Thimma Reddy, to the effect that "Harijans are thieves and such fellows cannot but be kicked."
Ruling on the adjournment motion:

Re: Damaging statement alleged to have been made by Sri P. Thimma Reddy, to the effect that ‘Haryans are thieves and such fellows cannot but be kicked.’

Press sources contradict Chief Minister’s statement. The Chief Minister has sources contradicting his statement. On 2nd May, the Chief Minister said influence on the press may have been exerted. From this, we will convince you. I need this. Let me convince you.

Mr. Speaker:—Not now. I am not going to allow her to go into the merits of the case. She wants to convince me of what?

Smt. J. Esware Bai:—I want to provide you with the material. Please send it to me.

Mr. Speaker:—Has she got any material? Please pass it on to me. Please read it.

Smt. J. Esware Bai:—Mr. Speaker, Sir, The adjournment motion.

Mr. Speaker:—Is it her version? Please send a copy of it to me so that I may go through it and know what it is. I would like to know what it is, before I allow her to read it.

Mr. Speaker:—I do not know what will be. But don’t ask me for an assurance. It is dangerous for me to give an assurance.

Mr. Speaker:—I am not allowing to speak on the merits of the case. If they have got any material please pass it on to me. I might give you.

Smt. J. Esware Bai:—Let Mr. Thimma Reddy explain. We want that. Let him (Sri Thimma Reddy) say “I am Thimma Reddy.”

Mr. Speaker:—That is a different matter. You ask me whether I can call upon Mr. Thimma Reddy.

Mr. Speaker:—I will consider about it. Let us see what the Chief Minister has got to say.
8th July, 1968,

Ruling of the Chair

on the adjournment motion:

re Damaging statement alleged to have been made by Sri P Thimma Reddy, to the effect that “Harijans are thieves and such fellows cannot but be kicked.”

Sri K Brahmananda Reddy — On what am I to say anything, Sir There is nothing before the House

Mr Speaker:— If I have understood Mr Gopalakrishnayya correctly and also the lady Member and Sri Latchanna — what they are interested to know is this — the Chief Minister has conducted an enquiry. He has recorded the statements of press correspondents as well as the statement of the correspondent who sent the news to the particular paper, the Chief Minister also obtained the statement of Mr Thimmareddy. What is the impression which the Chief Minister has formed after making this record of enquiry. They would like to know your opinion

Sri K Brahmananda Reddy — How does this question arise, Sir. After the enquiry the entire report has been sent

Mr Speaker — Please do not go into legal aspects. If it is a question of legal aspect there is no question of any discussion at all. After my ruling was given none of the members can get up and say anything. This is purely informal in the sense that the members would like to hear your own opinion

Sri C. V. K. Rao — I rise on a Point of Order. Can there be any informal discussion in the House and on what basis. You are very flexible and intelligent, Sir, we cannot dispute that thing. Now whichever way the wind blows it will take us in that direction

Mr Speaker — It is an informal discussion in the sense that the Speaker has got the discretion to allow anybody whom he wants to allow to speak. Now after I gave my ruling can anyone of the hon Members have any right to get up and say what they have got to say?

Sri C. V. K. Rao :— The point is we are at a loss to know as to what is it that you are driving at. You have not permitted us to discuss and then some comrades walked out. You are also good enough to give me a chance to speak out. But technicalities apart, Sir, you are very flexible — we are thankful to you — and you asked the Chief Minister to speak and the Chief Minister challenges your procedure. On what subject I am going to speak. We are worried about you, Sir That is the whole trouble.

Mr Speaker — I would like to know the opinion of hon. Chief Minister.

Sri K Brahmananda Reddy :— The statements recorded by me and the statement given by Mr. Thimma Reddy have all been sent to the Home Minister of India for placing them before the Members of Parliament who were at that time discussing that matter. Any man who can be called a reasonable and sensible person can understand the outcome of that.
Announcement

re: Nominations to the Committee on Government Assurances

Mr. Speaker: I am to announce to the House that under Rule 202 of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules, I nominate the following members to the Committee on Government Assurances for a period of one year.

2. Sri P. Lakshmanarao.
5. Sri M. Veera Raghavarao.
7. Sri G. Sivayya.

Under Rule 202(c) of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules, I nominate Sri Mohd. Kamaluddin Ahmed as the Chairman of the Committee on Government Assurances.

(Smt. J. Eswari Bai persistently tried to interrupt)

Mr. Speaker: She is trying to speak without my permission. She does not have my permission. She can speak whatever she wants.

Smt. J. Eswari Bai: I won't allow this thing to go, Sir.
Privilege motion given notice of by Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi against the Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad.

One of the grounds mentioned in the order of preventive detention served on Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi M.L.A.

Mr Speaker:—I am not allowing you

[Smt. Eswari Bai then left the Assembly Chambers—]

Mr. Speaker:—If Sri Ratnasabhapathi did not mean any disrespect to the Chair and if he expresses regret I will expunge that portion from the record. I do not expect a man like him to speak in that manner.

Mr Speaker—The hon Sri Ratnasabhapathi is throughly mistaken if he thinks like that.

Sri B. Ratnasabhapathi:—I am not given an opportunity. It is a matter of fact.

Privilege Motion given notice of by Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi against the Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad.

One of the grounds mentioned in the order of preventive detention served on Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi M.L.A.

Mr. Speaker:—Now there is a privilege motion given notice of by Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi. It reads like this:

"I proposed to move the following privilege motion against the Police Commissioner, Hyderabad. In the order of preventive detention dated the 1st June, 1968 served on me through the Superintendent, District Jail, Secunderabad by the Police Commissioner, Hyderabad, one of the grounds reads as follows:

"(q) On 7-11-1966 speaking at the meeting of the meeting of all Opposition parties and Trade Unions held in the Opposition Leaders' room in the Legislative Assembly building, he said that in the ensuing session of the legislature, disturbances should be created and that if necessary the Speaker should be caught hold of and thrown out of the Assembly." Very serious ground.

"This ground is totally false and has been attributed to me deliberately and intentionally in order to prejudice.

I have absolutely no prejudice against you the Speaker and the Assembly members against me. I want to state emphatically that I never made such a statement."
Privilege motion given notice of by Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi against the Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad:

That is a different thing altogether. As alleged or anywhere else. Therefore, this totally false and malicious statement of the Police Commissioner has caused breach of my privilege as member of this House.

I request you to kindly permit me to raise the matter in the House.”
8th July, 1968.

Privilege motion given notice of by Smt. Sultana Salauddin Owaise ag. inst. the Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad, re: One of the grounds mentioned in the order of preventive detention served on Smt. Sultana Salauddin Owaise M.L.A.

Mr. Deputy Speaker. —Let us hear.

Mr. Deputy Speaker. —Every body after him also I could speak.

Mr. Deputy Speaker. —After explanation you could get that opportunity. After charges being put, opposition leaders at the meeting...
Privilege Motion given notice of by Sri Sultan Saahudeen Owais against the
Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad:

re: One of the grounds mentioned in the order of preventive detention served
on Sri Sultan Saahudeen Owais M.L.A.

Sri G. Sivayya — I have got a prima facie case to make out. It has been alleged against a member of this House by the Police Commissioner, stating that he has stated certain words in a meeting of Opposition Party Leaders and others.

The first thing is how it is possible for a Police Officer to get this news.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — It is the same thing which others have said.

Sri G. Sivayya — It is to be known whether it is a fact or not that the Member has made such a statement. Therefore, if he has said so, it is a different matter. If he has not said so, it is a different matter. Even the Member has pointed out clearly that 'I have not said like that'. Therefore, this ground itself, which is alleged against the Hon'ble Member, seems to be false, according to the member and also the leaders. Therefore, it is clearly a breach of privilege. There is a prima facie case and this should be referred to the Privileges Committee.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — That you can find out from the Chief Minister only.
Privilege Motion given notice of by Sri Sultan Salauddin - case against the Commission of Police, Hyderabad.

On the grounds mentioned in the order of preventive detention served on Sri Sultan Salauddin - case M.I.A.

Sri A. Madhava Rao: -- Why?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy: -- No.

Sri G: Siviah: - We have every right to know.

Sri G: Latchanna: - On a point of order. The source from which he got the information, nobody can ask.
Privilege Motion given notice of by Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owais against the Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad. One of the grounds mentioned in the order of Preventive detention served on Sri Sultan Salahuddin Owais M LA.

Mr Deputy Speaker:—Do you want clarification or any rule?

Mr Deputy Speaker:—I do not know what the source is. I do not know.

Mr Deputy Speaker:—This House cannot be equated with some prescincts of the...
P: i.e. 8th July, 1958. 8th July, 1958.
Sultan Salauddin Owaisi against the Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad
On one of the grounds mentioned in the order of preventive detention served on Sri Sultan Salauddin Owaisi M.L.A.

compound. (At this stage many members from opposition rose in their seats)

Sr. B. Ratnasabhapathi: It becomes part of the presents of the House.

Sr. K. Brahmananda Reddy: Is it? I did not know that. If anything that happens in the precincts of the Assembly does from part of this House... I never knew that.

Sr. K. Brahmananda Reddy: Please revise your information.

Sr. K. Brahmananda Reddy: I need not ask the Speaker for an obvious thing.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: That is another issue.

Sr. K. Brahmananda Reddy: It is all right. That is for arrest. My dear friend! I cannot be hearing such palpable, untenable things.

Sr. B. Ratnasabhapathi rose in his seat.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Let him complete.

Sr. K. Brahmananda Reddy: It is outside the question. That is another issue.

Sr. G. Latchanna:—How is it a different issue Does it not constitute the premises of the Assembly? We want a clarification from the Chair. The member of the House from Hyderabad, I know he has spoken in the Assembly before but I do not know what is this thing...

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—We will examine off-hand we cannot say now. We will have to examine that.

Sr. G. Sivayya:— Kindly give us assurance that you will be examine.
Privilege Motion given notice of by Srl. Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi against the Commissioner of Police, Hyderabad.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— That will be examined.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— With regard to the detention of Srl. Sultan Salahuddin Owaisi M.L.A.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— That will be examined.

Sri G Sivayya:— He is misleading.

Sri K Brahmanna Reddy:— No question of misleading. These are confidential things. The man who services the Police Commissioner or whoever he is— and the detainee to whom the notice is given— has the right to know this.

If a man scolds or rebukes another in any filthy language it does not amount to defamation. It may be some other. But if a man hears or within the hearing of other person, if it is said, then it is defamation.

Sri G Sivayya:— He is misleading.
CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

8th July, 1968.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— That issue arises out of examination.

Mr. M. Bhavananda Reddy:— The issue is not out of examination.

Mr. M. Bhavananda Reddy:— It has proved to be a false one. If it is withdrawn the case has become stronger.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— How?

Mr. M. Bhavananda Reddy:— I have heard the Members. The ruling is reserved and it would be given day after tomorrow.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re: Alleged prevention of Harijans from drawing drinking water from public wells in Yelamanchilipadu, Nellore district.
394  8th July, 1938.  Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance.

Alleged prevention of Haryans from drawing drinking water from public wells in Yelamanchilipadu, Nellore district.
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance:

Alleged prevention of Harijans from drawing drinking water from public wells in Yelamanchilipadu, Nellore district.

In Kotturu village however, it was found that the difficulty relating to drinking water arose due to certain misunderstandings between the Headmasters of the local elementary schools who belonged to Hindu community and certain caste Hindu groups.
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance:

re: Fire accident on 22-6-68 in Jammipalem, Nellore district.

Fire accident on 22-6-68 in Jammipalem, Nellore District.

Inquiry is being made, and it is intimated that a fire occurred in a warehouse on 22-6-68 in Jammipalem, Nellore district. A fire inquiry has been set up, and the Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Government has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter.

re: Fire accident on 22-6-68 in Jammipalem, Nellore District.

Inquiry is being made, and it is intimated that a fire occurred in a warehouse on 22-6-68 in Jammipalem, Nellore district. A fire inquiry has been set up, and the Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Government has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter.

Inquiry is being made, and it is intimated that a fire occurred in a warehouse on 22-6-68 in Jammipalem, Nellore district. A fire inquiry has been set up, and the Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Government has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter.

Inquiry is being made, and it is intimated that a fire occurred in a warehouse on 22-6-68 in Jammipalem, Nellore district. A fire inquiry has been set up, and the Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Government has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter.

Inquiry is being made, and it is intimated that a fire occurred in a warehouse on 22-6-68 in Jammipalem, Nellore district. A fire inquiry has been set up, and the Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Government has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter.

Inquiry is being made, and it is intimated that a fire occurred in a warehouse on 22-6-68 in Jammipalem, Nellore district. A fire inquiry has been set up, and the Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Government has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter.

Inquiry is being made, and it is intimated that a fire occurred in a warehouse on 22-6-68 in Jammipalem, Nellore district. A fire inquiry has been set up, and the Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Government has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter.

Inquiry is being made, and it is intimated that a fire occurred in a warehouse on 22-6-68 in Jammipalem, Nellore district. A fire inquiry has been set up, and the Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Government has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter.

Inquiry is being made, and it is intimated that a fire occurred in a warehouse on 22-6-68 in Jammipalem, Nellore district. A fire inquiry has been set up, and the Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Government has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter. The Prime Minister has been requested to make a watchful and careful inquiry into the matter.
along attention to matters of urgent

public importance

re: Strike of Beedi and Cigar Workers

in Nellore town

8th July, 1969

Sir,

The Department of Public Works has urgently informed me of the situation prevailing in Nellore town...
398 8th July, 1968 Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance.

Re: Strike of Needle and cigar workers in Nellore town.

There is a responsibility cast upon the employer that a group person whoever he
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance  
re Strike of Beedi and cigar workers in Nellore town

be employed by the employer he should have direct dealing with the employer and the employer will be liable for all the benefits that have been provided under the Labour Act 1945. The matter of lockout was presented before the Labour Court by 14th July, 1968. It is stated that the employer has been providing the benefits under the Act. However, the employer is not willing to comply with the demands of the workers. The Gamara report was submitted to the Labour Court on the 14th July, 1968.

Weekly holiday, leave with wages...

Working hours of the Beedi and cigar workers in Nellore town have been increased from 8 to 10 hours. The Department of Labour and Manpower has issued an order to regulate the working hours of the Beedi and cigar workers. The order has been issued in consultation with the factory owners. The Department is considering the matter and will take a decision soon. Notice is hereby given to all concerned.

One-sided factory owners have, however, alleged that the Department has not been fair in its decision. The Department has issued a notice to the factory owners to submit their replies within 28 days. The Department will then decide the matter. One-sided factory owners have also filed a petition in the High Court.

(1) Whether the lock-out or closure of Linger Cigar Factory, Nellore, from 5-6-1968 was justified; if not, what leave the workers are entitled for;
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance re: Strike of bidi and cigar workers in Nellore town.

8th July, 1968

(2) Whether the demand for assistants to assist the regular workers is justified, if so, what should be the complement of the assistants in addition to the regular workers and to what benefits including the benefits for lock-out or closure from 5-6-1958 are they entitled?

Notice that assistants observe the same holidays as the regular workers. Minimum Wages Act to pay wages in addition to the benefits including the benefits for lock-out or closure from 5-6-1958 are they entitled. Holidays, leave with wages and weekly holidays 913\(^{\text{rd}}\) wages continue as usual. Minimum Wages Act applies. Wages weekly holidays — Sunday holidays. Wages continue. 

Holidays leave with wages continue. Time factor hours to control expenditure difficulty to regular workers. Van settlement open. Trouble to regular workers. Observation uncharitable.

Notice that assistants observe the same holidays as the regular workers. Minimum Wages Act applies. Wages weekly holidays — Sunday holidays. Wages continue. Time factor hours to control expenditure difficulty to regular workers. Van settlement open. Trouble to regular workers. Observation uncharitable.
Colling attention to matters of urgent public Importance

Re Implementation of Prevention of Food Adulteration Act

Dr. T.V. S. Chalapathi Rao—Sir, I beg to call the attention of the hon. Minister for Health and Medical regarding the implementation of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act 1954, and state that there is a miscarriage of justice and that in the name of punishing the offender innocent people are being punished. There is miscarriage of justice, innocent people punish

Section 6(10) states “If any article intended for food appears to any Food Inspector to be adulterated or misbranded, he may seize and carry it away or keep in the safe custody of the vendor such article in order that it may be dealt with as hereinafter provided.”

“Re Retrenchment of Workers in Singarnl Collieries

The Chief Minister has kindly agreed it may be taken up tomorrow or day after and the Chief Minister will make a statement

Mr. Deputy Speaker—So this will be taken up tomorrow.

Implementation of Prevention of Food Adulteration Act

Dr. T.V. S. Chalapathi Rao—Sir, I beg to call the attention of the hon. Minister for Health and Medical regarding the implementation of the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act 1954, and state that there is a miscarriage of justice and that in the name of punishing the offender innocent people are being punished. There is miscarriage of justice, innocent people punish
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance
Implementation of Prevention of Food Adulteration Act

Section 19 (2) "The vendor shall not be deemed to have committed an offence if he proves:
(i) that the article of food was purchased by him as the same in nature, substance and quality as that demanded by the purchaser and Section 1 (a) with a written warrant in the prescribed form, if any, to the effect that it was of such nature, substance and quality,
(ii) that he had no reason to believe at the time when he sold it that the food was not of such nature, substance and quality, and
(iii) that he sold it in the same state as he purchased it

PROVIDED that such a defence shall be open to vendor only if he has submitted to the Food Inspector of the local authority, a copy of the warranty with a written notice stating that he intends to rely on it and specifying the name and address of the person from whom he received it, and has also sent a like notice of his intention to that person.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao: I have mentioned it in the notice. The implementation of Prevention of Food Adulteration Act in our State has led and is leading to the conviction and imprisonment of innocent retail merchants because of the provision that a seller who sold the adulterated food has to be punished though in fact it is impossible for an individual retail dealer to effect adulteration by himself.
8th July, 1968.  

Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance.  

Implementation of Prevention of Food Adulteration Act.

Dr T V S Chalapathi Rao —Excuse me, Sir I have given notice and it is on your table.

But it is very very general one...

For instance, Sri Bhooma Satyanarayana, retail merchant, Vijayawada He was convicted by the Munsiff Magistrate in September 1967 and awarded six months rigorous imprisonment and a fine of Rs. 1000 and in default for another six months of rigorous imprisonment and a fine of Rs. 1000.

Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance.

Im: Prevention of Food Adulteration Act.

Time to time the maker of any adulterated food tends to escape the notice of public. If any inspector suspects such, 10% of the quantity of adulteration should be consigned to the Central Food Committee and the remaining 90% to be returned to the Central Health Minister health minister. The Central Factories Act 1948 Central Act 4 section 1A 5A section 9 Central Act 1948 section 1A 5A section 9 Central Act 1948 section 1A 5A section 9.

P. V. G. noted that the Central Act was to be revised and made stronger in order to prevent adulteration. It was suggested that the act should be more stringent and that adulteration should be treated as a serious offence.

19—10
Sir the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act is a central enactment. Under Sec 14 of this enactment no manufacturer, distributor or dealer of any article of food shall sell such article to any vendor unless he gives a warrant in writing in the prescribed form about the nature and quality of such article to the vendor.
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance
Implementation of Prevention of Food Adulteration Act.

8th July, 1968

It will be thus seen that there is adequate provision in the act for the retailer to protect himself from prosecution for adulteration not committed by him, and therefore there is no need to suggest any amendment to the Act. It will be thus seen that there is adequate provision in the act for the retailer to protect himself from prosecution for adulteration not committed by him, and therefore there is no need to suggest any amendment to the Act.

Manufacturers of course will be ready to give this warranty.

It will be thus seen that there is adequate provision in the act for the retailer to protect himself from prosecution for adulteration not committed by him, and therefore there is no need to suggest any amendment to the Act.

It will be thus seen that there is adequate provision in the act for the retailer to protect himself from prosecution for adulteration not committed by him, and therefore there is no need to suggest any amendment to the Act.

It will be thus seen that there is adequate provision in the act for the retailer to protect himself from prosecution for adulteration not committed by him, and therefore there is no need to suggest any amendment to the Act.

It will be thus seen that there is adequate provision in the act for the retailer to protect himself from prosecution for adulteration not committed by him, and therefore there is no need to suggest any amendment to the Act.

It will be thus seen that there is adequate provision in the act for the retailer to protect himself from prosecution for adulteration not committed by him, and therefore there is no need to suggest any amendment to the Act.
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance
Implementation of Prevention of Food Adulteration Act.

8th July, 1968.

...
Sri S. Sidda Reddi, on behalf of the Chief minister, I beg to present the Report of the Select Committee on the Andhra Pradesh (Krishna and Godavari Delta Area) Drainage Cess Bill, 1968.
Mr Deputy Speaker.—Report presented.
(Smt. J Eswari Bai uses in her seat.)
Mr Deputy Speaker.—No general discussion
Smt. J Eswari Bai.—You are giving half-an-hour
Mr Deputy Speaker.—Don't question. I have just told him I was sorry. There is no question of general Discussion... It is discretionery. Please Sit down. He has put a question and I have given him some time. You and I know that it is not a general discussion... I have gone to another subject. I cannot allow any discussion now.

Mr Deputy Speaker.—I am to announce to the House that the latest hour for the receipt of amendments to the Andhra Pradesh (Krishna and Godavari Delta Area) Drainage Cess Bill, 1968, (as reported by the Select Committee) is 9 a.m. on Tuesday the 9th July, 1968.

Mr. Deputy Speaker—Mr. Deputy Speaker—Mr. Deputy Speaker

PRESENTATION OF THE FIRST REPORT OF THE SUBORDINATE LEGISLATION COMMITTEE.
Mr Deputy Speaker.—Report presented.

GOVERNMENT BILLS

THE ANDHRA PRADESH LAND IMPROVEMENT SCHEMES BILL 1968
Sri P. Thimma Reddy.—Sir I move

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Land Improvement Schemes Bill 1968."
Government Bills

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Motion moved

Mr. Deputy Speaker, - The question is:

"That leave be granted to introduce the Andhra Pradesh Land Improvement Schemes Bill, 1969."

The motion was adopted

TH ANDHRA PRADESH OBJECTIONABLE PERFORMANCES PROHIBITION AMENDMENT BILL, 1968

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao: On behalf of the Chief Minister I beg to move.

"That the Andhra Pradesh Objectionable Performances Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1968, be read a First time"

Mr. Deputy Speaker. - Motion moved

Mr. Deputy Speaker: - The Andhra Pradesh Objectionable Performances Prohibition Amendment Bill, 1968, be read a First time."
Government Bills


"Objectionable performance" means any performance which is likely to incite or encourage any person to resort to violence or sabotage for the purpose of overthrowing or undermining the Government established by law in India or in any State thereof or its authority in any area. 

a. Objectionable performance means any performance which is likely to promote feelings of enmity or hatred between different sections of the people of India—

b. Objectionable performance means any performance which is likely to incite or encourage any person to resort to violence or sabotage for the purpose of overthrowing or undermining the Government established by law in India or in any State thereof or its authority in any area. 

The Act extends to the whole of the State of Andhra Pradesh.
"Performance means any play, pantomime or other drama or any burra-katha and include the singing of any ballad or holding of any dialogue objectionable performance. Any performance which encourages, promotes or incites violence shall be deemed objectionable and the person performing it shall be punishable and shall be liable to imprisonment and fine.


1. "Performance" means any play, pantomime or other drama or any burra-katha and include the singing of any ballad or holding of any dialogue objectionable performance and includes any performance which encourages, promotes or incites violence.

2. The Inspector of Police shall have the power to arrest any person performing an objectionable performance and to seize any material used in such performance.

3. Any person who, without authorization from the Inspector of Police, performs an objectionable performance shall be liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding three years and shall be liable to fine.

4. The Act shall come into force on the date of its publication in the Official Gazette.

5. The President may, by notification in the Official Gazette, made after due consideration of the views of the Governor of the State, extend the operation of this Act to any area within the State.

6. This Act shall be called the Andhra Pradesh Objectionable Performance Prohibition Amendment Act, 1968.
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...
The Andhra Pradesh Objectionable Performances Prohibition Amendment Bill, 1958

On the motion of Mr. V. R. Krishna Iyer, seconded by Mr. K. R. Narasimhaiah, the Government Bill was introduced by the Home Minister, Mr. V. V. Giri, on the 2nd July, 1958.

The Bill endeavours to prohibit the performances of objectionable plays, dance dramas, and folk songs in the State of Andhra Pradesh. It also provides for the abolition of permits for the performance of objectionable plays and dance dramas, and for the prohibition of pamphlets, brochures, and other printed matter which may be objectionable.

The Bill empowers the Government to ban any performance if it is deemed objectionable, and also to ban any book, pamphlet, or other printed matter which may be objectionable. The Bill also provides for the imposition of penalties for the contravention of the provisions of the Bill.

The Bill was referred to a Committee for consideration, and it is anticipated that it will be passed into law shortly.

We should go beyond the police constable and Circle Inspector, and involve the general honorary volunteers in the task of maintaining law and order. We should also go beyond the local police and the police station, and involve the general public in the task of maintaining law and order. We should also go beyond the pamphlets and leaflets, and involve the general public in the task of maintaining law and order.

We should go beyond the police constable and Circle Inspector, and involve the general honorary volunteers in the task of maintaining law and order. We should also go beyond the pamphlets and leaflets, and involve the general public in the task of maintaining law and order.

We should go beyond the police constable and Circle Inspector, and involve the general honorary volunteers in the task of maintaining law and order. We should also go beyond the pamphlets and leaflets, and involve the general public in the task of maintaining law and order.
Government Bills:
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I don't like this present way of dress in schools. I don't like this present way of dress in schools. I don't like this present way of dress in schools. I don't like this present way of dress in schools.
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The Bill seeks to amend the existing law to categorize any performance that may be considered objectionable or offensive to public decency or morality. The Bill aims to prevent the performance of such acts, which are deemed to be contrary to the public order and morality.

The Bill proposes to define the term "objectionable performance" to include any activity that may be considered offensive to public morality or decency. The Bill also empowers the Government to issue directions to prevent the performance of such acts.

The Bill further provides for the appointment of an Inspector-General to enforce the provisions of the Act and to ensure compliance with the rules and regulations framed under the Act.

The Bill seeks to ensure that the performance of objectionable acts is strictly regulated and controlled to maintain public order and morality.
Government Bills

8th July, 1963.

The Andhra Pradesh Objectionable Performances Prohibition Amendment Bill, 1963

...
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8th July, 1968.

Governm. n° Bilis:


The Andhra Pradesh Objectionable Performances Prohibition Amendment BiH, 1068.
Mr Speaker in the Chair

(Mr Speaker in the Chair)

Mr Speaker in the Chair — Objectionable Performances Prohibition Amendment Bill, 1968.

This is more or less encouraging these things.
The Andhra Pradesh Objectionable
Performances Prohibition Amendment
Bill, 1968

Government Bills: 8th July, 1968. 423

That is, any performance which is obscene or tendency to
obscenity. A performance which is obscene is one in which
the essential tendency is to shock or stimulate the passions.

The essential tendency is to shock or stimulate the passions.

That is what I am telling. Obscene is any

Performance means any
play or pantomime or any drama or any Burrakatha and includes the singing of ballat or the holding of dialogue or any Burrakatha and includes the singing of ballat or the holding of dialogue or any Burrakatha and includes the singing of ballat or the holding of dialogue or any Burrakatha and includes the singing of ballat or the holding of dialogue.

(5) deliberately intend to outrage the religious feelings of any class of community, blasphemy or fermenting religious feelings of that class;

(6) is grossly indecent, scurrilous or obscene or intended for blackmail.

Subsequent performances to be cut and subject to subsequent performances to be subject to case law.

...
Sri G. Sivayya — There was a picture "BATHING BEAUTY". It was screened in Hyderabad. People who did not understand English have gone and seen the picture because of obscene scenes in the picture.
Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao. — I am very well aware of it. I have seen it more than once (Laughter).

It is a very simple amendment. In future an officer below the Circle Inspector will do it. (Laughter)

Sri K. Munsamy : — What about brothel houses?

Whatever is grossly indecent, scurrilous, obscene or intended for blackmail. It is so comprehensive — dresses, scenes and other articles are included.

Mr. Speaker:——The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Objectionable Performances Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1968, be read a first time".

The motion was adopted.

Sri P. V Narasimha Rao:—I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Objectionable Performances Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1968, be read a second time".

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

Mr. Speaker:——The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Objectionable Performances Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1968, be read a third time".

The motion was adopted.

CLAUSES 2 & 3.

Mr. Speaker:——The question is:

"That Clauses 2 and 3 do stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 and 3 were added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 1, ENACTING FORMULA & LONG TITLE

Mr. Speaker:——The question is:

"Clause 1, Enacting Formula and Long Title do stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, Enacting Formula and Long Title were added to the Bill.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Objectionable Performances Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1968, be read a third time".

Mr. Speaker:——Motion moved.
Mr Speaker — Motion moved

brothel houses, gambling houses, dens.

Obscenity is not a matter of interpretation. It is a question of fact. So I shall defend the motion.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao: — It is not obscene. Millions of people have seen it. They think it is one of beautiful pictures, best pictures ever produced by Hollywood. I can also tell the cast which acted in it.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is.

"That the Andhra Pradesh Objectionable Performances Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1968 be read a third time."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is.

"That the Andhra Pradesh Lotteries Bill, 1968 be read a first time."

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.
Subject to the provisions of this Act, all lotteries are unlawful. In this act, unless the context otherwise requires, charitable purposes include relief of property or distress, public health, medical relief, and educational or scientific purposes. Relief of property or distress, public health, medical relief, and educational or scientific purposes. Relief of property or distress, public health, medical relief, and educational or scientific purposes. Relief of property or distress, public health, medical relief, and educational or scientific purposes. Relief of property or distress, public health, medical relief, and educational or scientific purposes.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—We have not concluded it. We have said it includes' It will come where it is proved that it includes.
Middlemen are in the lottery business. The Andhra Pradesh Government Bill, 1968 prohibits middlemen from collecting prize money. Middlemen are to be prohibited from diverting prize money. The third clause mandates that third clause be effective and mandatory. Government requires that middlemen are prohibited.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy — That is not lottery.

8th July, 1968.

Sri P. Subbaiah: It is a party's motive.
Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy: Is it?
Sri P. Subbaiah: Yes.

Sri P. Subbaiah: Why should you get a doubt?
Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy: If the Leader has spoken I should have thought that it is party's line.
Sri P. Subbaiah: When the Chief Whip of the Party speaks it means party leader speaking.
Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy: I am sorry I did not know that you are the Chief Whip. I just wanted to enquire Sir because in Kerala State they are running lotteries. I am not questioning Kerala.
Sri P. Subbaiah: Why should you draw an analogy of Kerala and other States? Whether it is basically correct or not to pursue that policy...
Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy: I have not stood, Sir, to taunt him. I wanted information whether he was speaking on behalf of the party or in his individual capacity. That is all.
Mr. Speaker,— If the Members have no objection, we shall sit till Two 'o'clock.

Sri K. Govinda Rao — Why not we continue tomorrow, Sir?
Mr. Speaker, —Tomorrow we shall straight away begin: that Drainage cess Bill we don't have time. You require more time for discussion on the other Bill. The other Bill is really an important one.


Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao —It prohibits every money circulation scheme and also certain chit funds if they amount to a money circulator scheme, etc.

Mr Speaker :—The Question is :—

"That the Andhra Pradesh Lotteries Bill, 1968 be read a first time”

The motion was adopted

Sri P V Narasimha Rao :—I beg to move :

"That the Andhra Pradesh Lotteries Bill, 1968 be read a second time

Mr. Speaker :—Motion moved.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya :—I beg to move :

"That the Andhra Pradesh Lotteries Bill 1968 be referred to a Select Committee”.

Mr. Speaker :—Motion moved.

(Pause)

Mr Speaker —The question is :

"That the Andhra Pradesh Lotteries Bill, 1968 be referred to the Select Committee”

The motion was negatived.

Mr Speaker —The question is :

"That the Andhra Pradesh Lotteries Bill, 1968 be read a second time

The motion was adopted

Clause 2

Mr Speaker —For clause 2, there are two amendments given notice of by Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya, Nos 2 and 8.
Government Bills.
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Mr. Speaker:—There is an amendment of Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishanayya for clause 2. It reads like this: "In sub-clause (h) of clause 2 after the word "organiser" insert the words "agent who sells the tickets of the lotteries of others organised in Andhra Pradesh or other State or country who either sells or displays".

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir, there is a general prohibition. Except as regulated by Government nobody can do it, it is quite safe.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—I am not moving my amendment.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is—"That Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill".
The motion was adopted.

Clauses 3 and 4.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is—"That Clauses 3 and 4 do stand part of the Bill"
The motion was adopted.

Clauses 3 and 4 were added to the Bill.

Clause 5

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—Sir, I beg to move:
"In sub-clause (4) of clause 5 for the words "three months" substitute the words "three years".

Mr. Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir, I can go up to six months.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—Sir, I am withdrawing my amendment.

The amendment was, with the leave of the House withdrawn.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:
"In sub-clause (3) of clause 5 for the words ‘three months’ substitute the words ‘six months’.

Mr Speaker — Motion moved.

(Pause)

Mr Speaker — The question is

“In sub-clause (3) of clause 5 for the words ‘three months’ substitute the words ‘six months’.

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker — The question is

“That Clause 5, as amended, do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 5, as amended, was added to the Bill.

CLAUSES 6 TO 13

Mr. Speaker — The question is

“That Clauses 6 to 13 do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 6 to 13 were added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 14

Mr. Speaker — There are two amendments of Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya.

Sri P V Narasimha Rao — Sir, so far as they relate to the change of “Sub-Inspector” to ‘Inspector’. I agree.

Sri V. Gopalakrishnayya — Sir, I beg to move

“In clause 14 for the word ‘Sub-Inspector’ substitute the word ‘Inspector’ wherever it occurs.”

Mr. Speaker:— Amendment moved.

(Pause)

Mr Speaker — The question is

“In clause 14 for the word ‘Sub-Inspector’ substitute the word ‘Inspector’ wherever it occurs.”

The motion was adopted.

Mr Speaker.— The question is:

“That clause 14, as amended, do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted

Clause 14, as amended, was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 15

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:— Sir. I beg to move:

“In clause 15 after the words ‘Police Officer’ insert the words ‘not below the rank of an Inspector of Police’

Mr. Speaker.— Motion moved.

(Pause)

Mr Speaker — The question is:

“In clause 15 after the words ‘Police Officer’ insert the words ‘not below the rank of an Inspector of Police’

The Motion was adopted,
Government Bills :
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Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

“That Clause 15, as amended, do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 15 as amended, was added to the Bill.

Clauses 16 to 24:

Mr. Speaker:—Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya is not moving his amendments to Clauses 20 and 24. The question is:

“That Clauses 16 to 24 do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 16 to 24 were added to the Bill.

Clauses 25 to 27:

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

“That Clauses 25 to 27, Clause 1, Enacting Formula and Long Title do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 25 to 27, Clause 1, Enacting Formula and Long Title were added to the Bill.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir, I beg to move.

“That the Andhra Pradesh Lotteries Bill, 1968 be read a third time.”

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

Mr. Speaker.—The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Lotteries Bill, 1968 be read a third time."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker.—The House is adjourned to 8.30 a.m. tomorrow morning.

The House, then, adjourned till Half-past Nine o'clock on Tuesday the 9th July, 1968.