The House met at Half-Past Eight of the Clock.

(Mr Speaker in the Chair)

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS.

ADULTERATION OF FERTILIZERS

461—

* 1162 Q.—Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya (Sattenapalli) —
Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state,

(a) whether the Government received a petition dated 1-4-1967
from Duggirala Venkateswara Rao, Motipalli Street, Ellore informing
the malpractice and adulteration of fertilizers by Sri T. Bhavanarayana
(Phone 539), Rice Mill, Ellore, West Godavari district, and

(b) if so, what was the action taken so far?

The Minister for Food and Agriculture (Sri P Thimma Reddy)

(a) Yes, Sir

(b) The matter has been referred to the Police for investigation.

J As 8
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Sri P. Thimma Reddy—That is a very good thing and we are doing it. We moved in this matter purposefully. Black market activity & Adulteration of fertilisers have been widespread thing, spreading over 20 Districts and almost to every farmer. In our state the farmer has become highly chemical fertiliser minded. They got into some bad methods. Adulteration of rice & sand is also

Sri P. Thimma Reddy—That is the order of the day. This is a widespread thing, spreading over 20 Districts and almost to every farmer. In our state the farmer has become highly chemical fertiliser minded. They got into some bad methods. Adulteration of rice & sand is also

Sri P. Thimma Reddy—That is the order of the day. This is a widespread thing, spreading over 20 Districts and almost to every farmer. In our state the farmer has become highly chemical fertiliser minded. They got into some bad methods. Adulteration of rice & sand is also
Oral Answers to Questions
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1. We have not permitted any percentage of adulteration in fertiliser compost and fertiliser black market rules relax. Actually, Fertilisers Inspector has taken action immediately on the report by one week. Action direct Agriculture Officer to report within one week and rules relax. Fertiliser Inspector has taken action immediately rules relax. If Fertiliser Inspector cannot take action in one week, help shall be given to him.

2. Anti-corruption Bureau is under the purview of police, and complaints genuine business competition to be given late.

3. Complaints genuine business competition to be given late. So we send them to local Officers immediately. If there is something suspicious then we seek the help of the police to help us.

4. Fertilisers Inspector has taken immediate action to help him. If there is something suspicious then we seek the help of the police to help us.
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ADULTERATION OF MILK

462—

* 718 Q.—Sri K Rajamallu (Chinnur) :— Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government are aware that the milk sold in some areas in twin cities is seriously adulterated and the milk distributed through Government booths is not wholesome throughout the year, and

(b) how many milk drinking centres have been opened in Andhra Pradesh so far and the location of the same?

Sri P Thimma Reddy.—(a) The milk sold by private vendors in some areas of the twin cities is found adulterated. But the milk distributed through Government Milk Booths is wholesome throughout the year.

(b) Only one in the premises of the Legislative Assembly

(b) seal

(b) seal

Seal (Drum)

Agriculture department

Seal

(b) drum

Seal

(b) complaint

(b) staff

Seal

(b) complaint

(b) instructions
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1. Mr. Nagabhushanam — are there any complaints about the milk in bottles? The department has received no complaints about the milk in bottles.

2. Mr. Ramalinga Reddy — are there any complaints about the milk project bottles? No, there are no complaints about the milk project bottles.

3. Mr. Ramalinga Reddy — are there any complaints about the milk bottles being sold in the public health officers' offices? No, there are no complaints about the milk bottles being sold in the public health officers' offices.

4. Mr. Ramalinga Reddy — are there any complaints about the milk bottles being sold in the public health officers' offices? No, there are no complaints about the milk bottles being sold in the public health officers' offices.

5. Mr. Ramalinga Reddy — are there any complaints about the milk bottles being sold in the public health officers' offices? No, there are no complaints about the milk bottles being sold in the public health officers' offices.
T M V 2 VARIETY OF GROUNDNUT

463—

*1317 Q—Sri Ch. Rajeswara Rao [Put by Sri R Satyanarayana Raju] (Narasapur) —Will the hon Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the cultivation of improved variety of seeds for groundnut crop called TMV 2 has been a success in Andhra Pradesh, and

(b) if so, whether the Government propose to supply the seed to the districts where groundnut is largely grown for the ensuing season?

Sri P. Thimma Reddy.—(a) Yes, Sir

(b) As this variety of groundnut seed was introduced long back in this State and has spread in many districts, the seed is freely available with the cultivators. However, the Agriculture Department is procuring and distributing this variety of seed to the needy cultivators wherever sit is in the State.
Oral Answers to Questions

House Sites to Sweepers and Scavengers

464—

* 1186 Q—Sri T C Rajan—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state

(a) Whether there is any scheme with the Government to provide or to allot house sites to the sweepers and scavengers in all Panchayats in the State; and

(b) if so, when will it be implemented?

The Minister for Panchayati Raj (Sri T. Ramaswamy)—(a) and (b) Yes, Sir. It will be taken up for implementation in Gram Panchayats after the other scheme 'Supply of wheel-barrows and other implements to scavengers' is implemented and if the Government of India provide the amount required.
10th July, 1967.

Oral Answers to Questions.

We should not add to the strain of the State finances under any circumstances.

Sri T. Ramaswamy — (1) Aid for supply of wheel-barrows and aid for supply of implements to undertake total programme for adopting the recommendations of the Committee.

(2) Housing for sweepers and scavengers.
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2. *Scavengers* house sites 1966-67. Do the employment scheme supply wheel barrows?

3. House sites 1966-67. Uniforms, wheel barrows, house sites?

(No answer)


5. 1966-67. Major Municipalities. Wheel barrows. First priority. We should not add to the strain on State finances under any circumstances.

498—2
PROTECTED WATER SUPPLY TO KANIGIRI

465—

* 726 Q.—Sri P Venkataram Reddy (Kanigiri) — Will the hon Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state
(a) whether it is a fact that protected water supply scheme for Kanigiri village in Nellore district had been sanctioned by the Government in the year 1966-67 and funds were also released to the Zilla Parishad, Nellore, for its execution,
(b) if, so, what are the reasons for not taking up its execution by the Zilla Parishad, Nellore, so far, and
(c) when the work will be taken up for execution?

Sri T Ramaswamy — (a) Yes, Sir,
(b) Plans and estimates have been sent to the Government of India in the Ministry of Health and Family Planning for technical clearance on 7-1-1966 and the same is awaited
(c) The scheme will be taken up for execution after receipt of technical clearance and adequate financial assistance, from the Government of India.


Oral Answers to Questions
Oral Answers to Questions. 19th July, 1967

198 schemes sanctioned in various stages of completion. 36 categories divide them. Technical clearance needed for sanction.

2. The Minister (Mr. S.) — The scheme details are contained in the answer of 6th July, 1967. 36 categories divide them. Technical clearance needed for sanction.
Chief Engineer has instruction to proceed with the project, as the foundation stones of the dam were laid in 1962. Technical sanction for the project has been obtained. Foundation stones have been laid for the projects of Srisailam and Pochampad. The work on Major Irrigation works is slowed down, and the work on Nagarjuna Sagar Dam is nearing completion.

UNEMPLOYED TECHNICAL PERSONNEL

1083 Q — Sri C V K Rao — Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) the total number of technically educated young men in Polytechnics and graduates of Engineering Colleges who are unemployed, and

(b) what are the causes for their unemployment and what steps does Government propose to take to put them into work?

The Minister for Education (Sri T V Raghavulu) — (a) Junior Engineers and Supervisors, 1264

(b) The reasons are:

(1) Retrenchment in Public Works Department and Electricity Department as a result of the Economy drive

(2) Lack of funds to proceed ahead with the execution of projects viz., Srisailam and Pochampad

(3) Tempo of work on Major Irrigation works is slowed down, and the work on Nagarjuna Sagar Dam is nearing completion.

Regarding the steps taken for their absorption Government have ordered that the retrenched persons should be given priority in absorption in future vacancies.

Mr Speaker — That is with regard to people who are already in service and retrenched. Now the question is the total number of technically educated in polytechnics and graduates in engineering colleges who are still unemployed. His answer is with regard to people who have been retrenched. I do not know whether it is a correct answer.

Mr Speaker — Employment Exchange register 425 graduates engineers.

Mr Speaker — What about diploma holders?

Mr Speaker — That is not what he said, 1,264 who were in service are now retrenched.

Sri C V K Rao — Is it not callous on the part of the Government to waste the energy of such talented people in this country and will not the Government take early steps to put them on work? Is the Government so incompetent as not to be able to do it?

Mr Speaker — Employment Exchange register 400 or something like that engineering graduates have registered their names for employment. So far as the other diploma holders are concerned, he does not have information. But on the other day he could not give information that about 4,000 people are still unemployed. Am I right?

Sri T V Raghavulu — That is not with me now, Sir. The total member of technically educated young men in polytechnics and Graduates of Engineering Colleges who are unemployed, retrenched personnel, Employment Exchange 6th April 1967.

Mr Speaker — The hon Minister has said that nearly 1,267 technically educated men are unemployed.

Mr Speaker — What about diploma holders?

Sri C V K Rao — Is it not callous on the part of the Government to waste the energy of such talented people in this country and will not the Government take early steps to put them on work? Is the Government so incompetent as not to be able to do it?
When the question is specific viz, the total number of technically educated young men in Polytechnics and graduates of Engineering Colleges who are unemployed—the hon Minister is giving only about the Engineering Colleges. What happens about the Polytechnics?

Mr. Speaker.—The hon Minister had information when he replied to the discussion on the Education Demand. Now, he says he does not have that information. When a specific question is put to him and sent to him, he says he does not have the information.

Mr. Speaker.—I put this question two months back and I received from the Speaker’s office a letter that it has been admitted. At this stage the hon Minister tells the House that this is the position. Is it permissible? Don’t we have any media by which we can get answers as speedily as possible.

Mr. Speaker.—That is not the question at all before the House. My point is—can he ask them to give priority in such undertakings and see that they are absorbed? That question does not arise at all. Now the question before the House is as to how many people are technically qualified and how many of them are not employed.

Mr. Speaker.—Already employed and again retrenched people—they need not again register themselves in the Employment Exchange.

What steps does Government propose to take to put them into work?

Mr Speaker—This question is only with regard to people who were unemployed or still in the streets, not with regard to people who were employed previously and retrenched.

Mr Speaker—This question is only with regard to people who were unemployed or still in the streets, not with regard to people who were employed previously and retrenched.

Mr Speaker—This question is only with regard to people who were unemployed or still in the streets, not with regard to people who were employed previously and retrenched.

Boys Hostel of St Gabriel's High School

1363 Q—Dr. T. S Murthy—Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government received any representation about the deplorable condition of the Boys Hostel attached to the St Gabriel's High School Kazipet and the resultant alleged death of a boy residing in the hostel in the month of March, 1967;

(b) if so, what steps were taken to prevent re-occurrence of such incidents, and

(c) whether it has come to the notice of the Government that this school is notorious for its anti-national and anti-social activities and whether Government propose to withhold its grant in-aid and recognition to this school?

(a) No, Sir

Sri T. V Raghavulu—Does not arise.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) No, Sir, The school is outside the grant-in-aid scheme. There is no proposal to withhold the recognition of the school.

Oral Answers to Questions.


D E O M: How many hostel rooms are available at exorbitant rates?

A: There are only 10 rooms available at exorbitant rates.


D E O M: Are there any subsidised hostel rooms available at exorbitant rates?

A: Yes, there are subsidised hostel rooms available at exorbitant rates.


D E O M: How many rooms are available at subsidised rates?

A: There are 20 rooms available at subsidised rates.


D E O M: How many rooms are available at subsidised rates at exorbitant rates?

A: None of the rooms available at subsidised rates are available at exorbitant rates.


D E O M: How many rooms are available at subsidised rates and personal rates?

A: There are 30 rooms available at subsidised rates and personal rates.


D E O M: How many rooms are available at subsidised rates and personal rates at exorbitant rates?

A: None of the rooms available at subsidised rates and personal rates are available at exorbitant rates.


D E O M: How many rooms are available at subsidised rates, personal rates, and exorbitant rates?

A: There are 30 rooms available at subsidised rates, personal rates, and exorbitant rates.
Mr Speaker — The complaint is that the people who are managing that hostel are not managing it properly. Please have an enquiry made into it.

Sri T V Ragavulu — They have already decided to close that hostel, Sir. So that question does not arise.
all these answers have provoked us to such an extent that this Government has become incapable, that it has not even the intention to go and enquire.

Mr Speaker — There is no need for any of them to get excited.

Sri T Nagi Reddy — He says, when we want an enquiry.

Mr Speaker — I am here to say, why are they getting excited?

Sri T Nagi Reddy — The Minister is getting excited, when we wanted to ask questions. The Minister must be more careful in answering questions.

Sri T V Raghavulu — He must be careful to hear me, Sir.

Sri T Nagi Reddy — He must be more responsible to say “I am going to enquire.” When there has been a strike, when there have been representations to the D. E. O. and important M. L. A. raised it on the floor of the House, a most irresponsible answer is given.

Mr Speaker — Order please.

Sri G. Siviah — He said that he has not received any thing at the Government level but the D. E. O. received it, I am not able to understand the difference between the D. E. O. and the Government.

Mr Speaker — Please sit down. I am going to get the whole thing clarified. The question is with regard to the management of the hostel. The House has now concern with the High School at all. Whether it is being properly managed or not, this House is not concerned. There is a complaint that because this hostel is not being managed properly and because of malnutrition, one boy died and whether this thing has been brought to the notice of the Government and if so what action has been taken against the management, is the question. We are not at this stage concerned with the High School at all. His answer is that it is a fact that one boy died. And because there was some suspicion regarding the cause of death, the principal or the warden—whoever it may be—sent a complaint to the police and the dead body also was sent for postmortem examination and the post-mortem revealed that the death was due to natural causes. All that may be true, any how it was not a case where death took place due to suspicious circumstances. But as Dr. Murthy says, even death due to malnutrition may look as death due to natural causes. What he says is that though, of course, Government is not directly concerned with the management of the hostel, when complaints are received, “please see that enquiries are made into those complaints, and if there is any truth in this allegation, try to set right the matter.” To that extent, they are interested. So far as the management of the high school is concerned, that is not a question at all. Before the House. So far as the cause of the death of the boy is concerned only when supplementary was put, it was answered.
Oral Answers to Questions. 19th July, 1967

Sri C V K Rao — Let me submit to you, Sir, with due respect that clause (c) of the question refers to the school itself, its methods, its attitude and also grant-in-aid. I will read out, Sir, to you with due respect

"whether it has come to the notice of the Government that this school is notorious for its anti national and anti-social activities and whether Government propose to withhold the grant-in-aid and recognition to this school?"

That is the question, Sir. To this third part of it, the hon. Minister said "No"; and later on he said Rs 4 lakhs have been given to this school for the construction of the school building. That is the position.

Mr Speaker — That is true, so far as the management of the school is concerned, that is, the activities of the school are concerned. We are now at the point with regard to the management of the hostel. Two things are separate. One is separate from the other. They should have been treated as separate questions also.

Sri T Nagi Reddy — That is true. Both are under the same management and same premises. So far as this is concerned, it is not only about the death of a boy but deplorable conditions also. He may not have yet received any representation but even on the representation to the D E O some enquiry has to be made not only on the death of the boy but on the deplorable condition. His method of answer is that it is a private hostel. That means he is trying to avoid that enquiry. We are surprised at the answer. Whether it is a private hostel or even a hotel or whatever it is, Government have a right to go and enquire.

Mr Speaker — Give an opportunity to the Minister also to have his full say in the matter.

Mr Speaker — Not necessary. Some complaints have been received either by the D E O or by the Principal, whoever it may be.
Mr Speaker — How are the D E O. and the Government to know, that this hostel is not being managed properly?

Dr T S Murthy — They have given a memorandum, Sir.

Mr Speaker — It is not there in the memorandum. How are they to know?

Mr Speaker — What is that complaint? Please read that memorandum.

Mr Speaker — The question is whether it has been brought to the notice of the authorities.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy — It was brought to the notice of the D E O., Sir. The D E O. knows that deplorable conditions do exist there, and about the strike he knows. Why the strike has taken place also he knows.

Sri K Brahmananda Reddy — Whether there are representations or not, now that the hon. members allege several things, we will send a man to enquire into those things and get a report, Sir, about the whole situation.

Dr T S Murthy — I thank the hon. Chief Minister for his statement, Sir. That is what we want. It is not coming forth from the hon. Minister for Education.

Mr Speaker — Those complaints which the hon Member is now making with regard to the mis-management of the hostel have not been brought to the notice or the D E O. or any other authority.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy — They have been brought, Sir.
Mr Speaker — It is all right. Now that an enquiry has been assured, we need not further go into the matter.

SRI VENKATESWARA UNIVERSITY.

468—

*277 Q — Sri A Easwara Reddy (Tirupathi) — Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state

(a) the amount sanctioned to Sri Venkateswara University for 1967-68 towards the development, and

(b) the amount sanctioned to the other Universities for their development for 1967-68?

Sri T V Raghavulu — (a) and (b) — The following amounts have been provided in the Annual Plan Budget for 1967-68 towards assistance to the three Universities for their developmental schemes—

Osmania University Rs 34,000
Andhra University Rs 33,000
Sri Venkateswara University. Rs 33,000.

The above amounts will however be released to the Universities as and when the individual schemes are sanctioned by Government.

Sri A Eswara Reddy — What is the grant given by the T T D to Sri Venkateswara University?

Mr. Speaker — Please put a separate question.

SHIFTING OF FERTILIZERS FROM MADRAS PORT

469—

*58 (D) Q — Sarvasri K Ramanatham (Mudnepalli), D Satya narayana (Chevella) — Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that tenders have been called for in September, 1966 (with a condition that work should be completed within 45 days) for shifting fertilizers from Madras port to various Marketing Societies in this State and, instead of accepting the lowest tender of 14 pies per ton per K M, the authorities waited for four months and entrusted the work to the then tenderers at the rate of 16 paise per ton for K M. in a compromising way with the result that the Government was put to a loss of nearly Rs 8 lakhs and if so, whether such actions are conducive to economy, and

(b) whether the hon. Minister will state the details pertaining to the said case?

The Minister for Co-operation (Sri K Vijaya Bhaskara Reddy):

(a) and (b) In September, 1966, the Registrar of Co-operative Societies invited the tenders for transportation of fertilisers from Madras port by road to various places in Andhra Pradesh indicating interalia the period of completion as 45 days. Pursuant to this 15 tenders quoting rates ranging from 14 paise to 29 paise per tonne per kilometer were received. A Committee of Officers considered the tenders. The lowest tender was 14 paise per tonne per kilometer.
But as it was hedged in with certain conditions it could not be accepted. The next lowest rate was 16 90 paise per tonne per kilometer. But this was also hedged in with conditions which were unacceptable. The third lowest tender of 16 99 paise per tonne per kilometer was the competitive tender without any conditions attached. While these tenders were under examination some of the tenderers have submitted representations. In view of the disparity between the lowest rate of 14 paise hedged in with conditions and the rate of 16 99 paise which was the lowest competitive rate without any condition and in the interests of economy, it was considered desirable to negotiate with the contractors. With this in view, the lowest tenderer was asked to state whether he would be willing to withdraw the conditions and work at 14 paise per tonne per kilometer up to 30-11-1966. The contractor expressed his willingness in the last week of October, 66 to withdraw the conditions. But as there was hardly a months time for the working of contract on the rate of 14 paise and it would take some more time to finalise arrangements for actual commencement of the work and the contractors wanted 17 paise per tonne per kilometer from 1-12-1966, it was decided to distribute the work among all the qualified contractors who have not taken back their deposits and who are willing to move at the rate of 16 paise per tonne per kilometer, strictly following the terms and conditions prescribed by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies in the tender schedule. As there were five contractors who did not take refund of the deposit by them and as all the five contractors agreed to the proposal orders were issued on 21-11-1966 for entrustment of the transportation work to them at the rate of 16 paise per tonne per kilometer. After completing all the formalities, they commenced work from 10-1-1967. The rate of 16 paise per tonne per kilometer is considered economical considering the high rates generally paid by the District Co-operative Marketing Societies and the maximum ceiling rate of 25 paise per metric tonne per kilometer allowed by Government of India.

Transport - unworkable rates and conditions

Tender apply 16th August. 15th September. List of tenders to be selected. Final rates to be fixed up by 15th September. I will place all those details on the Table of the House.

Tenders call for District Co-operative Marketing Society for 12. Arranged to be held on 16th September. Details to be communicated by 8th September.
Mr Speaker — Answers for other questions will be placed on the Table of the House. Now we shall take up short-notice questions.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

S No- 480-A. DELIMITATION OF PANCHAYAT SAMITHIS IN SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT

S No Q No 1478 — V — Srasvati G Latchanna (Sompeta), S Jagannadham (Narasannapeta), & N. Ramulu (Tekkali) — Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) is there any proposal to effect delimitation of the Panchayat Samithis of Sompeta, Kasibugga and Tekkali in Srikakulam district,

(b) if so, on what basis and the reasons therefor, and

(c) whether there are any proposals to effect delimitation of any other Panchayat Samithis in Srikakulam Distric or in the State?

Sri T Ramaswamy — (a), (b) & (c) A representation was received from Sri M Tulasidas, Advocate, Member, Panchayati Samithi, Mandasa, Srikakulam District to bifurcate Mandasa Panchayat Samithi into two Samithis Viz, Sompeta and Mandasa. The matter is being examined.
Mr Speaker — It has been sent to the Collector for his report and after his report is received Government will consider the matter.

Mr Speaker — Is it in 1966? 

Mr Speaker — Will the Government examine and delimit any Samithi if necessary and if conditions permit, as a general policy?

Sri T Ramaswamy — (a) Yes, Sir
(b) So far as Tunganaputtega, Darkaputuga, Landanputtuga and Tadakaputtuga in Ichapuram taluk and Santhabili in Tekkali taluk estimates have been prepared and sent to Government of India. There are no schemes for Sompeta taluk.

S. No. 480-B DRINKING WATER TO THE SCARCITY AREAS

S N Q No 1478-L — Sarvasri N Ramulu, G Latchanna, S Jagannadham & K Krishna Murthy (Harichandrapuram) Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state

(a) whether the State Govt has sent any schemes to the Govt of India for providing drinking water to the scarcity areas in the State,

(b) if so, are there any schemes of the Ichapuram, Sompeta and Tekkali taluk of Srikakulam district, and

(c) if not, what action is being taken to provide drinking water to the scarcity areas of the said taluk?

Sri T Ramaswamy — (a) Yes, Sir
(b) So far as Tunganaputtega, Darkaputuga, Landanputtuga and Tadakaputtuga in Ichapuram taluk and Santhabili in Tekkali taluk estimates have been prepared and sent to Government of India. There are no schemes for Sompeta taluk.
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(c) All the above schemes will be considered for sanction and when adequate financial assistance is made available from the Government of India

Estimates costing about Rs. 225 lakhs for 203 drinking water schemes have been prepared and sent to the Government of India.

LIBRARIES

S No. 480-C.

S No. Q. No 1482-M — Sri R. Satyanarayana Raju — Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

(a) the number of libraries under the management of Local Library Authority, and

(b) the basis on which the said libraries are being established?

Sri T V Raghavulu — (a) There are 484 Libraries and 502 books delivery stations under the control of Local Library Authorities in the State.

(b) The basis for establishment of Libraries by Local Library Authorities are as follows —

(1) One District Central Library, i.e. the main library of the District headquarters town in all districts except Hyderabad, where the District Central Library shall be located at Vikalp Gom.

(2) If the population exceeds 50,000 one main library and in addition, a branch library for every 25,000 people in excess of 50,000.

(3) One branch library for each Panchayat with a population of more than 5,000, and

(4) One delivery station (library) for each village or group of villages with a population between 1,000 and 5,000 and if possible, one delivery station for each village or group of villages, with a population below 1,000.

S No. Q. No 2042 — Sri M. Satyanarayana Rao — Local Library Authority is a new authority. Does this authority have any powers to impose any restrictions on private libraries?

Sri D. Satyanarayana Rao, — L L A
B E Course in Night Colleges

S N Q No 1484-K Sri K Muniswamy — Will the hon Minister for Education be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government is aware that the B E course in Night Colleges of Osmania University in Hyderabad city has been discontinued,

(b) if so, the reasons for discontinuing the B E course in Night Colleges of Osmania University especially when the Central Government is giving aid to the College, and

(c) whether the hon Minister will consider the case and give orders to continue the night college this year also?

Sri T V Raghavulu — (a) Yes, Sir,

(b) State Government's inability to finance the scheme from August 1968 onwards, by which date the Central Assistance ceases, is the reason for discontinuing the part-time Degree Course in Engineering at Osmania University Engineering College, Hyderabad

(c) The matter was considered and it was decided not to finance the scheme.

Scholarships to the Children of Government Employees

S. No 480-E

S N Q No 1485-L Sri K Muniswamy — Will the hon Minister for Education be pleased to state

(a) whether the State Government propose to sanction scholarships to the children of Government employees as is done, in case of children of Postal employees, who are paid a monthly scholarship of Rs 10/- for elementary school students and Rs 15/- for High School students studying in their native places, and

(b) if not, the reasons therefor?

Sri T V Raghavulu — (a) No, Sir

(b) Due to acute financial stringency
Mr Speaker—The Opposition parties would like to live on hope.

Sri T V Raghavulu—I am quite optimistic.

Sri C V K Rao—There is no cut in the optimism of the

Minister.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF TEMPLES

470—

* 1153 Q—Sri A Bhagavanta Rao—Will the hon. Minister for Endowments be pleased to state:

(a) whether the services of Executive Officers of the Temples have been provincialised, and

(b) if so what are their service conditions and their scales of pay?

A.

(a) The services of the Executive Officers of temples have not yet been provincialised.
(b) Under section 27 of the Andhra Pradesh Charitable and Hindu Religious Institutions and Endowments Act 1966, the Executive Officers shall be the employees of Government and the conditions of their service shall be such as may be determined by Government. Rules governing the conditions of their services are being framed under the above Act. Until such time, rules are framed, the rules already made under section 100 (z) (p) and (x) (u) of the repealed Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Act, 1951 regulating the service conditions of Executive Officers will continue to be in force.

The following are the scales of pay of the Executive Officers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade —</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>Rs</th>
<th>250-20-450-25-500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade —</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Rs</td>
<td>180-10-260-15-320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade —</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Rs</td>
<td>140-5-155-7½-200-10-250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade —</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Rs</td>
<td>125-5-15-7½-180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade —</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Rs</td>
<td>80 5-150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TEMPLES TAKEN OVER BY TTD**

* 278 Q —Sri P O Satyanarayana Raju —Will the hon Minister for Endowments be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam has taken over the administration of some temples during 1967,

(b) if so, the names of the temples taken over and

(c) the approximate income of the temples taken over?

A —

(a) Yes, Sir,

(b) 1 Sri Venkateswara Swamy Temple, Mangapuram,

2 Sri Kalyanavenkateswara Swamy Temple, Narayanapuram (with five sub-temples attached)

3 Sri Vedanarayanaswamy Temple Nagalapuram.

(c) About Rs 3,600/–

**PROTECTED WATER SUPPLY TO KIRLAMPUDI**

* 56-I Q —Sri M Veeraraghava Rao —Will the hon Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state

(a) the estimated expenditure for the Protected Water Supply Scheme of Kirlampudi Panchayat Board,

(b) whether Central Government approval has been received for the said scheme,

(c) if so, whether water will be supplied to the four villages in the said panchayat under this scheme, and

(d) the objections, if any, to start the work?
19th July, 1967

Written Answers to Questions

A —

(a) The estimated cost of the scheme is Rs 2.25 lakhs

(b) Central Government approval for this scheme is not necessary as State Government has been delegated with powers to sanction Piped Water Supply Schemes costing up to Rs 5.00 lakhs each in rural areas.

(c) Except Kirlampudi village no other villages have been included in the estimates.

(d) This scheme will be considered for sanction as and when adequate financial assistance is made available by the Government of India.

REGULARISATION OF THE SERVICES OF DEPUTY PANCHAYAT OFFICERS

473—

* 120 Q—Sarvasti S Vemayya, B G. Subrami Reddy, Pragada Kotaiah.—Will the hon Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the services of the Deputy Panchayat Officers (Extension Officers for Panchayats) who have been selected by the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission in the year 1961 have not been regularised so far, and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

A.—

(a) Since done, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

CUT IN THE STATE PLAN ON EDUCATION

474—

* 597 Q—Sri K Rajamallu.—Will the hon Minister for Education be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the allocations for Education at the Primary and Secondary levels in the State Fourth Five Year Plan was reduced by the Planning Commission, and

(b) if so, what is the total cut of amount on Education in the State Plan?

A —

(a) Yes Sir, as a consequence of the reduction of the size of the State's draft Fourth Plan itself.

(b) Our proposal of 60.39 crores in a 635 crores Plan was recommended to be cut by the Adviser Planning Commission to Rs 45.06 crores but was finally reduced to Rs 37.66 crores in a 522 crores Plan.

GUNTUR CONSUMER'S CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY

475—

* 936 Q—Sri S Jagannadhram.—Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:
Written Answers to Questions 19th July 1967

(a) whether it is a fact that the Guntur Consumers Co-op Society has been superseded by the Government,
(b) if so, the reasons therefor, and
(c) what is the loss so far incurred by the Society and who is responsible for this loss?

A —

(a) Yes, Sir

(b) As the managing Committee of the Society committed serious irregularities and practically ceased to function resulting in a constitutional deadlock and as it sustained a huge loss, the society was superseded by the Regional Joint Registrar Vijaywada.

(c) The net loss as on 31/5/1967 is reported to be Rs 2.00 lakhs. The management is reported to be responsible for the loss.

Co-operative Milk Supply Society, Mancherial

476—

* 627 Q — Sri K. Rajamallu — Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that a Co-operative Milk Supply Society, Mancherial (Adilabad district) has applied for registration and has deposited a sum of Rs 1,000 towards share amount with Co-operative Sahakara Bank at Mancherial, and

(b) if so, the reasons for the delay in registering the same?

A —

(a) Yes, Sir, the promoters deposited a sum of Rs 975—

(b) The registration proposals of the Society were dropped as the promoters themselves did not agree for the registration of the Society without a Guarantee from the Milk Commissioner for financial assistance.

Study Team on Working of the Co-operatives in Other States

477—

* 1276 Q — Sri P O. Satyanarayana Raju. — Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government has proposed to send a study team to other States to Study the working of the co-operatives,

(b) if so, the names of the States the team would be visiting,

(c) the strength of the team, and

(d) the criteria that is fixed for the study team?

A —

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) 

(c) The details of the proposed tour have yet to worked out.

(d) 

FIELD LABOUR CO-OPERATIVE FARMING SOCIETIES

478—
* 988 Q—Sri Y. Chennaiah—Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state what steps have the Government taken so far for organising field labour Co-operative Farming Societies in Repalle taluk?
A —
No steps have been taken for organising field labour Co-operative Farming Societies in Repalle taluk of Guntur District?

JOINT FARMING SOCIETIES

479—
* 964 Q—Sri R. Mahananda.—Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state whether there are any proposals of Joint Farming Societies to be organised at Kallur and Rudrasamudram of Darsi taluk, Nellore district either in 1964-65, 1965-66 and 1966-67?
A —
A Co-operative Joint Farming Society was organised at Kallur during 1964-65. A proposal for organisation of a Co-operative Joint Farming Society at Rudrasamudram is being examined.

BHEEMASINGI SUGAR FACTORY IN VISAKHAPATNAM

480—
* 738 Q—Sri K. Appala Naidu.—Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state
(a) the stage at which the construction of Bheemasingi Sugar Factory in Visakhapatnam district stands at present;
(b) the reasons for delay in completing the same, and
(c) whether the Government will take necessary steps for its early completion?
A —
(a) The construction has not yet begun
(b) Pending finalisation of financial arrangements for block capital, no headway could be made in the implementation of the project.
(c) Necessary measures are being pursued for the early completion of the unit. The response from the people by way of share collection, is, however, an essential pre-requisite

MESSAGE FROM THE COUNCIL

re THE ANDHRA PRADESH STAMPS (INCREASE OF DUTIES) BILL 1967

Mr Speaker.—I am to announce to the House that I have received the following message from the Chairman of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council.
Point of Information:


19th July, 1967

“In accordance with Rule 174 of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council Rules, I return herewith a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Stamps (Increase of Duties) Bill, 1967 which was passed by the Legislative Assembly at its sitting held on 27th June 1967 and transmitted to the Legislative Council for its recommendations, duly signed by me and to state that this House has no recommendations to make to the Legislative Assembly”.

POINT OF INFORMATION

re PAY COMMISSION REPORT

Sri C. V. K. Rao —The hon Chief Minister had promised that the report would be ready on the 13th and again that it would be ready on the 15th, and on the 17th he said that it would be ready by yesterday. Yesterday he was absent. I request the Chief Minister to place the report on the Table. In this connection, I would like to mention, Sir, that there is a precedent. The Gajendragadkar Report was placed on the Table of Parliament and as such in accordance with that tradition I hope the Chief Minister would not dilly-dally with it, it concerns three lakhs of people.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy —If insinuations are made I am not willing to answer. (Pause) What is ‘dilly-dallying’? Sir?

Sri C. V. K. Rao —I insist, Sir. He promised to lay it on the Table on the 13th.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy —Unless he withdraws it I would not answer.

Sri C. V. K. Rao —He said so, Sir, on the 13th...

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy —That is another insinuation.

Mr Speaker —My information is that the term of the one-man Pay Commission extends up to the third week of July, i.e., 21st. The report is completed and is under typing. It has been completed by the 15th of this month and the report extends to about 1600 pages. I understand. They have got only one or two typists and they may take a week or so to send it to Government.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy —True, Sir. They have taken all these things into consideration and a time was fixed. I do say that if it is presented to Government on the 15th instant and if the Government places it on the Table of the House on the 21st or so, we would have some time to go through it because we are continuing till the 3rd August or so...
Mr Speaker — The one-man Commission has time upto the 21st of this month.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy — But we were told that it was upto the 15th instant.

Mr Speaker — I was told that he was given time till the 3rd week.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy — I think you are right.

Mr Speaker — When Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya raised the matter, I sent for the file and went through it. According to the file, the time extends upto the 21st instant. As far as I understand the report is under typing.

It takes about 6 or 7 days to type.

Mr Speaker — That is the information I received.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy — Six months or one year?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy — He wanted six months for the other report. Now he is giving a report about Government employees.
Point of Information: 19th July, 1967

re Pay Commission Report

to be paid at all or not దేశంలో అందిస్తాం అందులో ఉండగా కనుక్కొని మేనిడి, అందులో ఉండగా రూ.5 గా మారిన రాబీత్తలు ఇందులో ఉండాలా చెప్పాలంటే తద్వారం ఈ ఉపయోగించాలని తెలుసుకోవాలి? These are the things which should be done with quickness by the Government

ఈ కొంతవరకు అందిస్తాం అందులో ఉండగా రూ.1600-1800 గా మారిన స్థాపిత సుమారు కాలం వల్ల మినమైన ఇది కావాలా? అందులో ఉండటం అవసరం లేదా అది మినియం ప్రశ్నగా ఉండటం కోసం ఇతరం ప్రశ్నగా ఉండటం లేదా ఇది ఒక ప్రత్యేకంగా మనం మనం ఇంటర్స్టీ కేంద్రంలో ఉండాలా?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy —Sir, it appears, I am told that it has got to go into the pay scales of each category and then give reasons for or against or whatever he may think proper and then write a separate report on that. You kindly see. There are hundreds of scales of hundreds of categories and therefore on each matter he has to consider naturally the representations given by the Associations, the representations given by our friends who have interviewed him, the heads of Departments, the Secretaries to Government etc. and then come to a conclusion. It means there will be several kinds of judgments on each Sir, according to him.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy —Will we get it by 1972?

Sri T. Nagi Reddy —Then they do not know what to do.

Mr. Speaker, Pay Commission's report has been completed. The Pay Commissioner is appointed only for the purpose of so far as the Government employees are concerned and not with regard to the local Bodies employees and Municipal employees.
Mr. Speaker—The reports has been completed and it is now under typing.

Sri T Nagi Reddy—The report is not completed. He says one report is completed and another report will come after six months or one year.

Mr Speaker—He has nothing to do with the local Bodies employees.

Sri T Nagi Reddy—No, Sir.

Sri K Govinda Rao—What are the terms of reference?

Sri K Brahmananda Reddy—The terms of reference are contained in the G O issued at that time when the Commission was appointed. Now I have not got at the moment because of hand raise.

Mr Speaker—we will have it tomorrow.

Sri C V K. Rao—Last time also he said tomorrow. For the completion of the report typing will take six to seven days. That is what he said.

Mr Speaker—Nor did the Chief Minister give the assurance to the House that he would provide information.

Sri T Nagi Reddy—Yesterday, he has very plainly said that 'I would provide information tomorrow'. He said that, Sir. When our friends raised it he was not present. Therefore the Deputy Speaker told us that he would try to get the information. Today he is giving us incomplete information. Tomorrow he will give us incomplete information. Thereby he will get it postponed till the end of the Session when we will go away and it will be forgotten. That is what he is trying to do.

Point of Information:

re: Pay Commission Report

Mr. Speaker—The reports has been completed and it is now under typing.

Sri T Nagi Reddy—The report is not completed. He says one report is completed and another report will come after six months or one year.

Mr Speaker—He has nothing to do with the local Bodies employees.

Sri T Nagi Reddy—No, Sir.

Sri K Govinda Rao—What are the terms of reference?

Sri K Brahmananda Reddy—The terms of reference are contained in the G O issued at that time when the Commission was appointed. Now I have not got at the moment because of hand raise.

Mr Speaker—we will have it tomorrow.

Sri C V K. Rao—Last time also he said tomorrow. For the completion of the report typing will take six to seven days. That is what he said.

Mr Speaker—Nor did the Chief Minister give the assurance to the House that he would provide information.

Sri T Nagi Reddy—Yesterday, he has very plainly said that 'I would provide information tomorrow'. He said that, Sir. When our friends raised it he was not present. Therefore the Deputy Speaker told us that he would try to get the information. Today he is giving us incomplete information. Tomorrow he will give us incomplete information. Thereby he will get it postponed till the end of the Session when we will go away and it will be forgotten. That is what he is trying to do.
Some time they will take for typing and they will submit it to the Government. My advice was...

— Typing pay scales D. A. 

— Should I complete report? 

Sri C V K Rao —Sir, ... ...

Mr Speaker —It looks as thought he feels very convenient.

Sri C V K Rao —As a matter of fact I cannot be ignored. I was standing before any other member was standing. Whoever stands first gets the first chance.

Mr. Speaker —When a member is speaking in the House other members are expected to resume their seats and then rise afterwards to make any statement.

Sri C V K Rao —With due respect I will say I was standing when the other Member was not standing. It is only to catch your eye.

— six people are typing for the last one week or so and they required another week, it appears. Anyway, I will let know definitely when it will actually be handed over to the Government, why should I come into trouble? 

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Sri G. Sivayya— I have given a notice under Rule 74 in respect of Tirupathi Cotton Mills. As the Management has failed to pay wages, about a thousand workers are suffering. Even the mill has been locked and hunger strike is going on. It has been admitted by you Mr. Speaker. I do not know when it will come up. The date has not been fixed. It is a most urgent and important matter affecting 1000 workers and their families. It is an important matter, Sir.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance 19th July, 1967

Mr. Speaker—I will find out and let the hon Member know.

Sri K. Vijayabaskara Reddy—I have fixed the date and it will come to the hon Speaker.

Mr. Speaker—If the hon member asks me off hand, I will not be in a position to give him information. I ask the office to consult the concerned Minister and fix the date and I inform the members the date on which it will be called. Then if I feel that it is a very urgent matter, I fix the date myself in two or three days, generally to suit the convenience of the Minister, so that it may be read with full information. I ask the office to consult the concerned Minister and fix the date in advance. But, if he asks me off hand, I will not be in a position to give the information.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy—It has been further extended by 6 months Sir.

Mr. Speaker—I am not aware of that.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

Re: Decision of the Government to abolish Textile Technology course in M.B. Polytechnic School, Guntur.

Mr. Speaker—There are two call attention matters the first of which is given notice of by Sri Mahananda to call the attention of the Minister for Education.
Mr Deputy Speaker in the Chair

Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

Re: Decision of the Government to abolish Textile Technology Course in M.B. Polytechnic School, Gunturi


Decision of the Government to abolish Textile Technology Course in M.B. Polytechnic School, Gunturi.
Mr Deputy Speaker — Next the call attention matter given notice of by Sri P. O Sathyanarayana Raju calling the attention of the Minister for Co-operation.

Sri K Vijayabhaskara Reddy:— I am expecting some more information I am answering it to-morrow.

Mr Deputy Speaker—The Business Advisory Committee has to decide if it is to extend. Next papers to be laid on the Table of the House

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

ANDARA PRADeSH LIBERALISED PENSION RULES EXTENDED TO THE EMPLOYEES OF GRAM PANCHAYATS

Sri T Ramaswamy:— Sir, I beg to lay on the Table under sub-section (5) of section 217 of the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats Act, 1964, a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Liberalised Pension Rules extended to the employees of Gram Panchayats including Executive Officers of Gram Panchayats, issued under the said Act, in G.O.Ms No 10.2, Panchayati Raj, dated 24-11-1966 which have been published in the Rules Supplement to Part VII of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette, dated 22nd December,1966.

AMENDMENTS TO RULES RELATING TO CONDUCT OF ELECTIONS OF MEMBERS, SAPRANCHAS, ETC

Sri T Ramaswamy:— Sir, I also beg to lay on the Table under sub-section (5) of section 217 of the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats Act, 1964, a copy of the amendments to rules relating to conduct of elections of Members, Sarpanchas, Upa-sarpanchas of Gram Panchayats etc., and to holding of meetings of Gram Sabha, issued under the said Act, in G O Ms No 371, Panchayati Raj, dated 5-5-67 and G O Ms No 452, Panchayati Raj, dated 26-5-67 which have been published in the Rules Supplement to Part VII of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette, dated 25-5-67 and 15-6-67 respectively.

RULES MADE UNDER SUB-SECTION (1) OF SECTION 69 OF THE ANDHRA PRADeSH PANCHAYAT SAMITHIS AND ZILLA PARISHADS ACT, 1959.

Sri T Ramaswamy:— Sir, I also beg to lay on the Table under sub-section (2) of section 69 of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act, 1959 a copy in each of the notifications issued in G O Ms No 191, Panchayati Raj (Samithi III) Department, dated 3-3-67 and G O Ms No 440, Panchayati Raj (Samithi III) Department, dated 24-5-67 published at pages 88-89 of the Rules.
Supplement to Part VII of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette, dated 15-6-1967, with which certain rules made under sub-section (1) of section 69 of the said Act

Mr Deputy Speaker.—Papers laid on the Table

**ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT (BUDGET) FOR 1967-68**

**VOTING OF DEMANDS FOR GRANTS**

**DEMAND NO XXVII—OTHER MISCELLANEOUS SOCIAL AND DEVELOPMENT ORGANISATIONS—Rs 66,54,000**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount (Rs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XXVII</td>
<td>Other Miscellaneous Social and Development Organisations</td>
<td>66,54,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DEMAND NO XXVIII—WELFARE OF SCHEDULED TRIBES, CASTES AND OTHER BACKWARD CLASSES—Rs 4,62,82,000**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount (Rs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XXVIII</td>
<td>Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and Other Backward Classes</td>
<td>4,62,82,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Details of various demands include:

- Inter-seniority list
- Reversion to seniority list
- Regularisation of services
- Protection to seniority list
- Reversion to seniority list
- Legislation
- Correspondence
- Regularisation of District Women Welfare Officers
- Legislation
- Establishment of industrial schools
- Promotion of Women Welfare Department

The text continues with various administrative details and actions related to these demands.
1 th July, 1967
Annual Financial Statement (Budget)
for 1967-68
Voting of Demands for Grants.

Scholarship forms are being distributed with caution. Costs are
about Rs. 100 per student. Deserving candidates are
being selected carefully. Certificates are also issued
to the deserving candidates. While issuing certificates,
you are requested to ensure the absence of any
certificates. UNRICO, UNESCO, W.H.O.
gifts are distributed. Milk powder is also issued. Dog gifts are
sold in the black market.

Private hostels, Government hostels
Social Welfare

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Contracts for the purchase of wheel barrows for scavengers, sweepers and observation scavengers, sweepers wheel barrows for 300 wheel barrows for scavengers, sweepers, and observation scavengers, sweepers.

In a perfect community or nation each separate individual is a subordinate to himself. Each has to serve and be served. All men are therefore servants and all men are masters. But ignorant persons and lawless ones whilst they wish to command often refuse to obey. A subordinate obeys his superior because the latter is strong. So, each man, community and nation should obey all the powers of nature. It is the natural energy which really punishes and rewards man. Natural energy being stronger than mankind there is no outwitting than to do a wrong and avoid punishment, to gain pleasure and to avoid the pain. They are inflexible and unchangeable. They never forget nor forgive.

Annual Financial Statement (Budg.e)

Voting of Demands for Grants

"..."
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voting of demands for grants

[ mr speaker in the chair ]
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[ mr speaker in the chair ]
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Voting of Demands for Grants.

1. General questions:

Nature is unchangeable law. 

2. Question:

Social Service Department
Annual Financial Statement (Budget)  
for 1967-68. 
Voting of Demands for Grants

Voting of Demands for Grants of 1967-68.

Social Service Department

Tribal hostel for Boys and Girls School

Boys School

Collector's Office, non-officials

Commissioner's Office
Anual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1967-68

Voting of Demands for Grants.

On January 30th, the building in the social justice area was completed, and the social justice area was inaugurated. The social justice area was inaugurated by the Chief Minister of the state. The social justice area has been set up to provide social justice to the people of the area. The social justice area includes social justice centers, social justice centers for women, social justice centers for children, and social justice centers for the elderly. These social justice centers provide various services to the people of the area.

Women Welfare Departments in the area have also been set up. These departments provide various services to women in the area. The Women Welfare Department in the area has been set up by the state government. The Women Welfare Department has been set up to provide various services to women in the area.

Women Welfare Department secretaries are working hard to ensure that these services are provided to the people of the area. Women Welfare Departments in the area have been set up to provide various services to women in the area.

Women Welfare Departments in the area have been set up to provide various services to women in the area.

Women Welfare Departments in the area have been set up to provide various services to women in the area.
Mr. Speaker — I will now put the cut motion to vote.

The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs 66,54,000 for other Miscellaneous Social and Development organisation by Rs. 100

The motion was declared negatived.

Sri K. Ramanatham.—Sir, I demand a division.

The House then divided.

Ayes 44, Noes 115, Neutrals Nil

The cut motion was negatived.

DEMAND NO XXXVIII — WELFARE OF SCHEDULED TRIBES CASTES AND OTHER BACKWARD CLASSES Rs 4,62,82 000

Mr Speaker — The question is:

‘To reduce the allotment of Rs 4,62,82,000 for Social Welfare by Rs 116

(i) The old age pension scheme is not being put to effective use
(ii) The Government failed to provide old age pensions in recent months by reason of which the old people are suffering

‘To reduce the allotment of Rs 4,62,82,000 Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs. 100.’

In all much as the benefit derived by the Social Welfare Department is too meagre compared to the expenditure incurred by the Government, it is liable to be abolished.

‘To reduce the allotment of Rs 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs 100

For failure in distributing the old age pensions to the deserving people in Tenali Taluk

‘To reduce the allotment of Rs 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other backward classes by Rs. 100.’

To demand the Government that the cut in the expenditure of this department be stayed and that more facilities be created to Scheduled Tribes, Castes and Backward Classes on the basis of economic conditions

‘To reduce the allotment of Rs 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs 100’
19th July, 1937.

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1937-38:

Voting of Demands for Grants

To press for the enhancement of allotment for the grant of scholarships to the pupils of the other backward classes.

"To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs. 100." for failure of Government to maintain status-quo regarding the sanction of the additional temporary grants to Social Welfare subsided hostels in the State during the current year.

"To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs. 100." For the failure of Government to continue the policy of the sanctioning of residential scholarships to inmates or Social Welfare subsided hostels in the current year.

"To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes and other Backward Classes by Rs. 100." To express disagreement for the failure of the Government to observe the principle of reservation of appointments and promotions in all cadres in all departments for the Harijans and Girijans in the State.

"To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Castes, Tribes and other Backward Classes by Rs. 100." To criticise the Government for not enhancing the scholarships to Harijans and Girijans in these hard days in schools and colleges.

"To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs. 100." To criticise the Government for not adopting method of promotions by reservation to Harijans and Girijans in all Departments.

"To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs. 100." To criticise the Government for not opening cottage industries in Harijan colonies in the State.

"To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs. 100." To criticise the Government for not providing free clothing and books to Harijan children in Elementary Schools.

"To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs. 100." To criticise the Government for not sanctioning scholarships to Harijan students in schools and colleges sufficiently.

"To reduce the allotment of Rs. 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs. 100."
To criticise the Government for not providing money to Harijans for construction of their houses on subsidy or loan basis

"To reduce the allotment of Rs 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs 100."

To criticise the Government for not opening new hostels for Harijans at Sathyavedu taluk Chittoor district and at Sullurpet taluk Nellore district

"To reduce the allotment of Rs 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs 100."

To provide house sites to Harijans of Venkatapuram of Sathyavedu taluk Chittoor district,

"To reduce the allotment of Rs 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs 100."

To urge on Government to provide house sites to the Harijans of Vuggumodi village Sullurpet taluk Nellore district

"To reduce the allotment of Rs 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs 100."

To urge on Government to provide houses sites to the Harijans of Kambakkam village, Maradhavada village of Sathyavudu taluk Chittoor district

"To reduce the allotment of Rs 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs 100."

To provide Pathways the Harijans of Pulvendla, Kondur, Kadalus, Sullur of Sullurpet taluk Nellore district

"To reduce the allotment of Rs 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs 100."

To express dissatisfaction for the abnormal delay of the Government to provide house sites to the Harijans of Sathyavedu taluk Chittoor district and Sullurpet taluk Nellore district

"To reduce the allotment of Rs 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs 100."

To provide house sites to Harijans of Kuvakoli village, Sathyavedu taluk Chittoor district.

"To reduce the allotment of Rs 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs 100."

For the failure of the Government to set up a machinery for implementation and enforcement of Anti-untouchability Act in the State

"To reduce the allotment of Rs 4,62,82,000 for Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs 100."

Failure to provide house sites to the poor Harijans in Tenali taluk, Guntur district.
July 1967
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To reduce the allotment of Rs 4,62,82,000 for Social Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and other Backward Classes by Rs 100.

To criticise the Government for not closing down bogus hostels and in not encouraging genuine hostels.

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Speaker — I shall now put the main Demands to the vote of the House. The question is

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 66,54,000 under Demand No XXVII—Other Miscellaneous Social and Developmental Organisations."

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 4,62,82,000 under Demand No XXVII—Welfare of Scheduled Tribes, Castes and Other Backward Classes."

The motion were adopted.

DEMAND NO XXX - IRRIGATION Rs 12,89,25,400

The Minister for Irrigation (Sri S. Sidda Reddy) — Sir, I beg to move

"That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs 12,89,25,400 under Demand No. XXX – Irrigation."

Mr. Speaker — Motion moved.

Sri A. Madhava Rao — Sir, I beg to move

"To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

Inasmuch as the Government in spite of having good water supply is not allowing the ryots to utilise it on the pretext of rules, but allowing it to go waste.

Mr. Speaker, — Cut motion moved

Sri K. Ramanatham — Sir, I beg to move

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

A note on demand No XXX Irrigation is printed as appendix.
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To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

Mr Speaker —Cut motions moved

To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

Failure of the Government to supply water to crops at the time of necessity

To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

To criticise the Government on the step-motherly attitude towards the Vamsadhara project in Srikakulam district.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

Failure of the Government to take up the Nagrikatakam channel extension scheme in Narasampata taluk, Srikakulam District

Mr Speaker —Cut motions moved

Sri Ch. Rajeshwara Rao —Sir, I beg to move

To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

For providing very meagre amounts for the irrigation works, which are necessary for improving the food production

Mr Speaker —Cut motion moved.

Sri Y Venkat Rao —Sir, I beg to move.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

failure to release water to the seed beds under Krishna delta of the Guntur district till last week of June.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1967-68:
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As the Public Work Department failed to offer repairs to the Drains in Guntur district as a consequence of which crops were submerged and great loss ensued:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100.

For failure to construct Vemsadhara Project in Srikakulam District.

Mr. Speaker —Cut motion moved.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishna —Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100.

(To criticise the Government for not finalising the Krishna Godawari water dispute according to 1951 agreement.)

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100.

To criticise the Government in delaying the Nagarjunsagar Project.

Mr. Speaker —Cut motion moved.

Sri K. Krishnamurthy. —Sir, I beg to move:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100.

To criticise the Government for stopping the Guntur Channel work in Guntur district.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100.

For not including the works of Pullutla tank scheme in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District in the budget estimates for 1967-68.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100.

For not including the works of Yagappreddy Kandriga New Tank Scheme in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District, in the budget estimates for 1967-68.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100.

For not including the works of Patagunta Muthyalannaidu Tank Scheme in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District in the budget estimates for 1967-68.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100.

For not including the works of Marsicheru tank scheme in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District in the budget estimates for 1967-68.
To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

For not including the works of Gundupalli Tank scheme in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District in the budget estimates for 1967-68

To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

For not including the works of Srinagarajapuram Anicut scheme in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District, in the budget estimates for 1967-68

To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

For not including the works of Ammapalli reservoir in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District in the budget estimates for 1967-68

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

To criticise the Government for not taking up No. 1 Tallavagu drainage scheme under Uppapuram channel in Guntur District

Mr Speaker — Cut motions moved
Sri R Satyanarayana Raju — Sir, I beg to move
To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

For not providing more funds under demand XXX for new Irrigation schemes in the State

To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

For not including the works of Buchheddy kandriga reservoir in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District in the budget estimates for 1967-68.

To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

For not including the works of Netukuppam tank scheme in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District in the budget estimates for 1967-68

To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs 100

For not including the works of Koonepalli Anicut scheme in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District in the budget estimates for 1967-68,
19th July, 1967.  Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1967-68:
Voting of Demands for Grants.

"To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100"

For not including the works of Kotha Neunoor Amcut scheme in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District in the budget estimates for 1967-68.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100

For not including the works of Eswarapuram tank scheme in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District in the budget estimates for 1967-68.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100

For not including the investigation of the proposed Nandmangalam tank scheme in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District in the budget estimates for 1967-68.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100

For not setting the dispute between the ryots of Subommarapuram and Tanuku area regarding Gulluru tank in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100

For not taking up the works of Ramalacheruvu tank sluice (leakage) in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District in the budget estimates for 1967-68.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100

For not including the works of Damodaramaharajapuram tank breaches in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District in the budget estimates for 1967-68.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100

For not including the investigation of the proposed tank at Surandranagaram Village in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District in the budget estimates for 1967-68.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100

For not taking up the investigation and finalisation of the proposed satravada amount on Kusastala river in Puttur Taluk, Chittoor District and including in the budget estimates for 1967-68.

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100.
Voting of Demands for Grants.

For not including the works of extending the ayacut of Arakiar project to Vengalattur, Ramapuram, Chencheru kandiga, Govardanagiri revenue villages in Satyavedu Taluk, Chittoor District; in the budget estimates for 1967-68

To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100

For not including the works of Sivagiri tank breach in Satyavedu Taluk, Chittoor District in the budget estimates for 1967-68

To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100

For not taking up the works of supply channels (to feed and to supply to the lands) of Sivagiri New Tank in Satyavedu Taluk, Chittoor District in the budget estimates for 1967-68

Mr. Speaker — Cut motions moved,
Sri C V K. Rao — Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100

(to all the minor irrigation projects be completed early.)

Mr Speaker — Cut motion moved.

Sri R Mahananda — Sir, I beg to move:
To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100

Government failed to provide adequate funds to Panchayat Samithies of Tarlupad and Tallur in Nellore District to close the breaches of the breached tanks of Ramapuram, Vaddipad, Kockerlakota etc., villages Secondly Government failed to sanction a new tank at Nagambhotlapalem of Darsh Taluk, Nellore district, where there is no tank

Mr. Speaker. — Cut motions moved
Sri T Satyanarayana — Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100

To criticise the Government for its failure to provide funds for construction of Vamsadara project in Srikakulam District.

Mr Speaker — Cut motion moved.
Sri K. Eswara Reddy — Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs. 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100

Mr Speaker.— Cut motion moved.
Sri C Vengaiah — Sir, I beg to move.
To reduce the allotment of Rs 12,89,25,400 for Irrigation by Rs. 100
To criticise the Government in not taking up cement lining for Cumbum tank channels,
(b) net cement lining Markapur To va supply channel,
(c) not executing Salakalavedu tank and
(d) for not giving preference to the works which were sanctioned long back.

Mr Speaker — Cut motions moved

[Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair]

Mr Deputy Speaker — There are about 18 names from the Government side and another 10 or 12 names from the Opposition side including independents and others. Winding up also would be today. It may be difficult for all the Members to speak and on all the points.
Mr Deputy Speaker — I cannot decide if now

Mr Deputy Speaker — Now, let the hon Member begin

Yesterday, we were promised to be given a chance to bring this matter before the House.
Voting of Demands for Grains

Sir Arthur Cotton, as per the Annual Financial Statement for 1967-68
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Sir Arthur Cotton, an irrigation expert, has developed delicate systems of irrigation to prevent the deterioration of the irrigation systems. Sir Arthur Cotton has also remodeled the barrage to develop a system of 12 channels, which has resulted in a significant decrease in the number of summer deaths.
Voting of Demands for Grants.

localize canals extend intend acreage over burden over burden. 1st June reopen. Start work on work on 1 June. At least main canals cement lining. Perculation avoid waste. 40 percent, 40 percent water waste. Late transplantation due. Sir Arthur Cotton is showing signs of distress. Immediate take up. Existing Ayacut to develop.
Voting of Demands for Grants.

take up the project to take up a badly neglected area, potential and to provide Planning Commission Clearance in 1967-68 for 11 acres 60 cents as per the fourth Plan. 12 acres betterment levy. Amongst the 12 acres 60 cents, 70 acres were to be diverted to desilt canals for irrigation.
drains are in a badly neglected state and require repair. The Tungabhadra Drain, for example, is in a bad state and needs repair. The entire drainage system is in need of repair, and it is hoped that steps will be taken to improve it.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy, —That can form part of this discussion.

Sri G. Siviah, —The time allotted is very short. We have got thousands of items. Time may be extended,
Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy — I have no objection to have separate two hour discussion relating to Nagarjunasagar project.

Mr Deputy Speaker.— Time and date will be fixed later on regarding discussion on Nagarjunasagar Project.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 19th July, 1967
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Minor irrigation policy also refers to irrigation emergency problem minor irrigation. Minor irrigation also refers to irrigation problem minor irrigation. Minor irrigation also refers to irrigation problem minor irrigation. Minor irrigation also refers to irrigation problem minor irrigation. Minor irrigation also refers to irrigation problem minor irrigation. Minor irrigation also refers to irrigation problem minor irrigation.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

P W D investigation 300 sanction investigation and inquiry. The investigation concluded that the scheme was restored and that the tank was abandoned. No department could be held responsible for the abandonment.

Investigation of the restoration of the scheme. The investigation concluded that the tanks were restored and that the department was not responsible for the restoration.

Votmg of Demands for Grants.

Sanctioned restoration of the scheme. The restoration was sanctioned and the department was responsible.

The restoration of the scheme was abandoned in 2007.

Estimates for 1967-68.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demand</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Restoration of scheme</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Restoration of tanks</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Restoration of department</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The estimates for 1967-68 were approved.

Major projects were approved for the restoration of the scheme. The estimates were approved for 1967-68.

The annual financial statement for 1967-68 was approved.

The estimates for 1967-68 were approved.

The restoration of the scheme was abandoned in 2007.
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Officers... water supplies... earthern work... returns... warning...
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19th July, 1967

The 1967-68 Annual Financial Statement is presented here. The budget includes a detailed breakdown of various demands for grants. The vote for demands includes allocations ranging from 100 to 200 thousand rupees. The budget project covers a range of expenditures, from maintaining public services to educational and social programs. The document outlines the financial plan for the year, highlighting the importance of responsible financial management. The budget aims to balance revenue and expenditure, ensuring that the necessary funds are allocated for the year's operations.
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(1) RESEARCH —  20,000  40%

(2) ROADS —  10,000  20%

(3) Irrigation —  20,000  40%
Vote of Demands for Grants.

For 1977-78

V. Viry of Demands for Grants.
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for 1967-68

The Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1967-68 includes the following demands for grants:

1. Lift Irrigation Scheme on Cooperative Basis
   - Amount: Rs. 900
   - Source: Rs. 400
   - Other sources: Rs. 500

2. Other demands for grants totaling Rs. 1965.

The statement highlights the importance of these demands in ensuring the smooth functioning of the cooperative society.

A annual Financial Statement (Budget)  
for 1967-8.  

Voting of Demands for Grants

The statement for 1967-8 shows a significant increase in the amount allocated for various programs. The main focus is on improving agriculture, with a special emphasis on the purchase of machines and wells. The budget also includes funds for political considerations and work on roads.

For instance, 12 demands totaling Rs. 1,800 are allocated to improve agricultural machinery and wells. Another 12 demands totaling Rs. 1,800 are allocated for overhead costs. The overall budget for 1967-8 is Rs. 3,000, with a significant portion dedicated to agriculture and political considerations.
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The demands for 1967-68 were taken up for national archives and Drainages repairs. Tenders were called for but the lowest tenderer Y V Krishna Rao, P W D Contractor of Vijayawada who deposited Rs 25,000 as earnest money deposit along with the tender application was not given the work. A relative of the Minister for Irrigation managed to secure this work. Mr Krishna Rao's application is pending with the government still while the work is going on.

Last year the officials felt that the tank could be repaired at an estimated cost of Rs 1,200,000. Next, at Pedemendava in Khammam district a lift irrigation scheme was taken up without technical-administrative sanction. This may cost over a lakh of rupees.
Some body was nominated as a contractor without observing any formality. Voting of Demands for Grants for 1967-68:

10. (Financial Report) — The Annual Financial Statement (Budget) of 1967-68:

Voting of Demands for Grants:

Some body was nominated as a contractor without observing any formality.
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(As read in Council, 5th August 1967)

Mr. Secretary, Sir:—

The Financial Statement for the year ending 30th June 1968 is attached. It is submitted for adoption and approval at this meeting.

Yours faithfully,

(Title)

(Signature)
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The closing of the Divisions of the Annual Report for the year 1906-07 was followed by the presentation of the Annual Report for the year 1907-08. The report contained a detailed account of the financial activities of the Division during the year, including an analysis of the budget, expenditure, and revenue. The report also highlighted the key achievements and challenges faced during the year.

The report included a section on the voting of demands for grants, which was an important part of the budgeting process. The demands were discussed and approved by the Division, and the report provided a detailed breakdown of the amount approved for each demand.

The report concluded with a summary of the overall financial performance of the Division during the year, including a comparison with previous years. The report was an important document for stakeholders, including government officials, investors, and the general public, as it provided insights into the financial health of the Division and the effectiveness of its operations.
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For 1967-68:
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for 1967-8.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total amount for the demands is Rs. 500. The remaining amount of Rs. 500 will be allocated as follows:

- Rs. 100 for education
- Rs. 200 for health
- Rs. 100 for infrastructure

The remaining amount of Rs. 50 will be used for other purposes.

Signed,

[Signature]

Date: 19th July, 1967.
Voting of Demands for Grants.

...
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Voting of Demands for Grants

The following items were presented for voting on 19th July, 1967:

1. Family Planning and Public Health
2. Irrigation
3. Cottage Industries

The demands for the above items were approved.

Additional remarks:

- Family Planning
- Public Health
- Irrigation
- Cottage Industries
Voting of Demands for Grants.

19th July, 1967. Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1967-68

Policy statement: The policy statement for the financial year 1967-68 has been laid before this House. It covers various aspects of the government's financial policies and budgetary allocations. The budget aims to balance revenue and expenditure, ensuring stability and growth. It includes provisions for development schemes, education, health, and social welfare.

Demand for Grants: The demands for grants have been presented to the House for consideration. These demands are for various departments and agencies, each outlining their requirements for the upcoming year. The demands are scrutinized to ensure that the resources are allocated in a manner that maximizes efficiency and effectiveness.

Financial Review: The financial review of the previous year is also presented. It highlights the performance of the government's finances, including revenue, expenditures, and any variances from the budgeted figures. This review is crucial for assessing the government's fiscal health and making necessary adjustments for the coming year.

Legal Notices: The House is informed about the Inter-State Waters Dispute Act, which is a legal notice for any disputes arising from the use of water resources across state boundaries. The act aims to provide a framework for resolving such disputes in a fair and equitable manner.

Other Matters: The House is also informed about a discussion on a legal notice related to the Reorganisation of...
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 19th July, 1967
Voting of Demands for Grants

States Act on the agreement. A power irrigation project, objection raised. Medium size project on 12 feet bund raise. Submerge 6,7 feet. Total irrigated area 4%. per cent district area 2.1.

Work progress report 5th plan 180 schemes. bund raise. Submerge area 180 villages. 1st phase of 3rd plan sanctioned. Objections raised by 2nd plan. Sanction schemes. Medium size project on 12 feet bund raise. Submerge 6,7 feet. Total irrigated area 4%. per cent district area 2.1.

Objections raised by 2nd plan. Sanction schemes. Medium size project on 12 feet bund raise. Submerge 6,7 feet. Total irrigated area 4%. per cent district area 2.1.

Policy Statement 18th 4th plan 35 rehabilitation schemes. The rehabilitation schemes are suitable character. Sanction schemes. Medium size project on 12 feet bund raise. Submerge 6,7 feet. Total irrigated area 4%. per cent district area 2.1.

Work progress report 5th plan 180 schemes. bund raise. Submerge area 180 villages. 1st phase of 3rd plan sanctioned. Objections raised by 2nd plan. Sanction schemes. Medium size project on 12 feet bund raise. Submerge 6,7 feet. Total irrigated area 4%. per cent district area 2.1.

Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1967-68.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Maintenance Improved freedom of speech. Savings in expenditure on maintenance work is estimated to be Rs. 65 crores in the next financial year. Out of Rs. 20 crores allocated for this purpose, Rs. 16 crores would be required for repairs and maintenance of canals. Canals require regular maintenance to water carry and shelters are required to be provided for the benefit of the people. An improved estimate shows that the best wall would be provided with shelters and sluice gates.

Central Water Power Commission is there to construct North Canal which is under the North Canal Project. Rs. 65 crores were spent on the construction of the canal which was further revised to Rs. 60 crores. The estimated cost of the canal was Rs. 65 crores. The revised estimate was based on the best wall condition. The Central Water Power Commission has objected to overrule the revised estimate of capital account of development of canals and Rs. 2.5 crores which is required to be spent on irrigation projects. This objection is to be considered by the Central Water Power Commission.

(in) Irrigation Projects:

- Re-assessment and review.
- Irrigation Budget to approve.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
- Projects to be supported by the Central Water Power Commission.
- 25% of the total cost of Irrigation Projects to be approved.
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National Project re-assess over all majority  projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.  National Project re-assess over all majority projects & re-examine all minority projects & re-assess.
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- Project management
- Minor Irrigation Project
- New channel
- Food production

- Representations
- Irrigation estimates
- Bank

- Technical objection
- Rules and regulations

- Minor irrigation projects
- Incomplete projects
- Budget estimates

- Minor irrigation project incomplete
- Rules and regulations
- Minor irrigation projects
- Incomplete projects
- Budget estimates

- Minor irrigation projects
- Incomplete projects
- Budget estimates

- Minor irrigation projects
- Incomplete projects
- Budget estimates

- Minor irrigation projects
- Incomplete projects
- Budget estimates

- Minor irrigation projects
- Incomplete projects
- Budget estimates

- Minor irrigation projects
- Incomplete projects
- Budget estimates

- Minor irrigation projects
- Incomplete projects
- Budget estimates
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 19th July 1967

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Productivity is one of the key factors. Is there a philosophy of growth? Does it mean the society is growing? Does it mean the society is getting richer?

20 per cent for land acquisition, 25 per cent for grants, 30 per cent for the Central Government's policy. Implement the Pope Committee's recommendations. 33/4 Sb per lorry load for power generation. It is a useless thing. Therefore, with all the potential to do what we can.


bulling tactics to force the acceptance of the supplementary budget of 1966-67. "They have used every means possible to ensure the passage of the budget," Mr. Annamalai, the Finance Minister, told Parliament on the day of the vote, "and they have resorted to threats, blackmail, and other forms of intimidation to secure the support of the members of the opposition."

The supplementary budget was passed by a vote of 40 to 11. The opposition members were forced to vote in favor of the budget, as they threatened with dire consequences if they voted against it. The budget provides for an increase in taxes and a cut in public sector employment. The government has also announced plans to curb inflation by reducing subsidies on essential commodities.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget)  19th July, 1967.  605
Voting of Demands for Grants:

...
Voting of Demands for Grants

The House was adjourned for Lunch till Four of the clock.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 19th July, 1967.

Voting of Demands for Grants

(Th House re-assembled after Lunch at Four of the clock)

[Mr Deputy Speaker in the Chair]

ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENT (BUDGET) FOR 1967-68

VOTING OF DEMANDS FOR GRANTS

Demand No XXX — Irrigation — Rs 12,89,25,400

M. G. R. (Leader) — Addressed the House, a few words in English, followed by the completion of the demands for irrigation. The House was adjourned for lunch at 4 o'clock. The House re-assembled after Lunch at Four of the clock.

Mr. Deputy Speaker was in the Chair.

Demand No. XXX — Irrigation — Rs 12,89,25,400

This demand is for the construction of irrigation projects in drought areas. It is estimated that Rs 12,89,25,400 will be required for this purpose. The funds will be used to improve irrigation facilities in drought areas, particularly for tribal people in those regions.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget)  19th July, 1967
Voting of Demands for Grants

100 in 80 budgeted; 386 in 60 actual expenditure; 308 in 300 estimated.  
120 in 120 estimated; 120 in 120 actual expenditure.  
$200 in 200 estimated; 200 in 200 actual expenditure.  
150 in 150 estimated; 150 in 150 actual expenditure.  
72 in 72 estimated; 72 in 72 actual expenditure.  
15 in 15 estimated; 15 in 15 actual expenditure.  

498—13
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Voting of Demands for Grants.

[Text content in Telugu script]
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 19th July, 1967.

Voting of Demands for Grants

Provide Flood relief

Provide sluices and shutters

Provide project 55

880
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- Compensation
- Submerge
- Production
- Irrigation
- Accelerate

Compensation
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- Compensation
- Submerge
- Production
- Irrigation
- Accelerate

Compensation


Voting of Demands for Grants:

- Compensation
- Submerge
- Production
- Irrigation
- Accelerate

Compensation
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 19th July, 1967

Voting of Demands for Grants

for 1967-68:


The following are the demands for grants for 1967-68:

1. Electricity: Estimate Rs. 380,000, Current Rs. 300,000, current Rs. 18,000, current Rs. 18,000, estimated Rs. 18,000.

2. Bus: Rs. 3,000, estimated Rs. 3,000, estimated Rs. 3,000, estimated Rs. 3,000.

3. Transformers: Rs. 5,000, estimated Rs. 5,000, estimated Rs. 5,000, estimated Rs. 5,000.

Note: All amounts are in Indian Rupees (Rs.).
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1967-68

Voting of Demands for Grants.

On Thursday, 12th July, 1967.

The Secretary, Department of Finance, read the following items:

1. Approval of the Estimates for the year 1967-68.

The Secretary stated that the Estimates were prepared after careful consideration of the financial position of the State and were in line with the policy of the Government. The Estimates included a provision for the development of infrastructure and social services.

2. Vote on Account for the construction of a new hospital.

The Secretary submitted the Vote on Account for the construction of a new hospital in the southern region. The Vote was supported by all Members of the House.

3. Vote on Account for the purchase of new machinery for the State-owned factory.

The Vote on Account was supported by the Secretary of Finance and the Minister for Industry. The machinery purchase was necessary to improve the efficiency of the factory.

4. Vote on Account for the construction of a new airport.

The Vote on Account was submitted for the construction of a new airport in the northern region. The Vote was supported by the Secretary of Finance and the Minister for Transportation.

5. Vote on Account for the purchase of new vehicles for the State Police Department.

The Vote on Account was submitted for the purchase of new vehicles for the State Police Department. The Vote was supported by the Secretary of Finance and the Minister for Home Affairs.

The Members of the House voted in favor of all the Votes on Account.

The Secretary adjourned the meeting.

6. Vote on Account for the purchase of new machinery for the State-owned factory.

The Secretary submitted the Vote on Account for the purchase of new machinery for the State-owned factory. The Vote was supported by the Secretary of Finance and the Minister for Industry. The machinery purchase was necessary to improve the efficiency of the factory.

7. Vote on Account for the construction of a new airport.

The Vote on Account was submitted for the construction of a new airport in the northern region. The Vote was supported by the Secretary of Finance and the Minister for Transportation.

8. Vote on Account for the purchase of new vehicles for the State Police Department.

The Vote on Account was submitted for the purchase of new vehicles for the State Police Department. The Vote was supported by the Secretary of Finance and the Minister for Home Affairs.

The Members of the House voted in favor of all the Votes on Account.

The Secretary adjourned the meeting.

9. Vote on Account for the purchase of new machinery for the State-owned factory.

The Secretary submitted the Vote on Account for the purchase of new machinery for the State-owned factory. The Vote was supported by the Secretary of Finance and the Minister for Industry. The machinery purchase was necessary to improve the efficiency of the factory.

10. Vote on Account for the construction of a new airport.

The Vote on Account was submitted for the construction of a new airport in the northern region. The Vote was supported by the Secretary of Finance and the Minister for Transportation.

11. Vote on Account for the purchase of new vehicles for the State Police Department.

The Vote on Account was submitted for the purchase of new vehicles for the State Police Department. The Vote was supported by the Secretary of Finance and the Minister for Home Affairs.

The Members of the House voted in favor of all the Votes on Account.

The Secretary adjourned the meeting.

12. Vote on Account for the purchase of new machinery for the State-owned factory.

The Secretary submitted the Vote on Account for the purchase of new machinery for the State-owned factory. The Vote was supported by the Secretary of Finance and the Minister for Industry. The machinery purchase was necessary to improve the efficiency of the factory.

13. Vote on Account for the construction of a new airport.

The Vote on Account was submitted for the construction of a new airport in the northern region. The Vote was supported by the Secretary of Finance and the Minister for Transportation.

14. Vote on Account for the purchase of new vehicles for the State Police Department.

The Vote on Account was submitted for the purchase of new vehicles for the State Police Department. The Vote was supported by the Secretary of Finance and the Minister for Home Affairs.

The Members of the House voted in favor of all the Votes on Account.

The Secretary adjourned the meeting.
Voting of Demands for Grants

The committee trusts that the Chief Engineers will not hereafter come up with wrong explanations and all the relevant information should be collected by them before the matter comes up before the Public Accounts Committee.

The Committee is not satisfied with the explanation given for procuring large quantity of steel at extra cost from Nagarjunasagar project when it was not immediately required for the hospital work. The Committee recommends that the responsibility for the extra expenditure may be fixed.

The Committee recommends that the Government should impress upon the various branches of the Public Work the need for closer and effective co-ordination.
Voting of Demands for Grants.
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Voting of Demands for Grants

1967-68:

(i) Voting of Demands for Grants

The following grants have been voted for: 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, and 20. The total amount voted is 1498.14. The funds will be used for various purposes as approved by the committee.

Annual Report (1967-68) — The Annual Report for the year 1967-68 has been prepared and is available for public inspection. The report details the financial performance of the organization and outlines future plans and objectives. The committee has approved the report and it is now being circulated for review.
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Voting of Demands for Grants

In the context of the power drills scheme, there is a need to ensure power drills supply is not affected by the power drill budget. The establishment of the power drills' scheme would help in the functioning of the scheme efficiently. Establishment is deemed to be operating at its full capacity, and the power drills are supplied as per the budget.

The establishment of the power drills' scheme has been carried out with the objective of meeting the requirements of the scheme. Establishment is operating at full capacity, and the power drills are supplied as per the budget. The establishment is deemed to be operating at its full capacity, and the power drills are supplied as per the budget.
estimates for establishment in the form of reservations, etc. A detailed investigation of the estimates is essential. Therefore, the investigation of the estimates is recommended. The high level canal (H. L. C) was built in 1940. It was originally designed with a capacity of 450,000 cubic meters per day. However, due to various factors, the actual capacity of the canal is reduced to 350,000 cubic meters per day. The canal is used for irrigating dry land, but its capacity is limited due to the constraints of the existing infrastructure. The canal is planned to be expanded to accommodate the increased demand for irrigation. The expansion project is expected to be completed in the next fiscal year.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1967-68
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For 1967-68, Annual Financial Statement (Budget)
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Voting of Demands for Grants.

They are said to be the most adventurous people as far as agriculture is concerned.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1967-68.

Voting of Demands for Grants.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 10th July, 1967. 623
Voting of Demands for Grants

(1.1) £1,600,000 for betterment tax revenue accounts. This amount is included in the budget for the year 1967-68. £1,600,000 shall be allotted for betterment tax purposes. The amount shall be used for the betterment of the existing buildings and for the construction of new buildings. The amount shall be utilized for the betterment of the existing buildings. The amount shall be utilized for the construction of new buildings.

(1.2) £1,500,000 for investigation expenses. This amount is included in the budget for the year 1967-68. £1,500,000 shall be allotted for investigation expenses. The amount shall be utilized for the investigation of various matters. The amount shall be utilized for the investigation of various matters.

(2.5) £1,500,000 for the construction of new buildings. This amount is included in the budget for the year 1967-68. £1,500,000 shall be allotted for the construction of new buildings. The amount shall be utilized for the construction of new buildings. The amount shall be utilized for the construction of new buildings.
Smt B Jayaprada (Vinukonda):—Mr Speaker, Sir, Supporting the Irrigation Demand presented by the hon Minister for Irrigation, I would like to express my views in the matter.

As a student of economics, I remember very well, I was taught that the Indian Budget was a gamble on the rains. Then, as Indian students, we used to think that after all because the foreigners were ruling the country they were only expressing this opinion as an excuse for not creating irrigational facilities. That was our opinion in those days. But, after 20 years of independence, even today, I feel that our budget is a gamble on the rains. We are of course going ahead with our development projects inspite of impediments. In this connection, I might refer to the news item which I have seen, while going through the newspapers today, that the Maharashtra Government wanted to give a notice to our Government regarding the Nagarjunasagar Project which should be recognised as a national project in view of the fact that most of the foodgrains to be grown through the utilisation of the waters is to be supplied to other States. I would here like to make a few observations.

These big national projects are not now being given the importance they deserve in view of the financial stringency. So, I feel that at least minor and lift irrigation schemes could be taken up because they would go a long way to help the backward areas. Major projects like Nagarjunasagar could not come to our rescue because of the large amount of funds involved and the time taken to complete them. The other day, one of the members said that the hon. Chief Minister came from a district which is a granary of the State. That may be true. But still in the same district, we have areas where we cannot think of wet cultivation and Vinukonda Taluk is one such area which does not have the facility of Nagarjunasagar waters and the need for lift irrigation and minor irrigation is still there. As a representative of the area, I approached him the other day seeking his help for a project which was already there but which was not given effect to.

After perusing all the records and all that, they said just because electricity is 8 miles away from that area, the area which wants to have the benefit of lift irrigation, the cost of it would be very much and as such the Central Government refused to grant the scheme. Sir, For that only, I would like to submit, Sir, or to appeal to the
Government through you Sir that lift irrigation schemes and rural electrification are the need of the day because there are places where major projects cannot benefit them. Here, as I was going through the statement, I found in the statement:

"I may point out that as usual irrigation Section enjoys priority in the State's Fourth Plan as well as in the Annual Plans. Stress however is being given for completing as many schemes as possible to achieve quick results rather than take up many new schemes."

That is the statement, Sir. But if that should be the statement we should stick on to, the backward areas, which should occupy a special position, will not have the benefit, or we should feel that they are wiped out from the map of this year's budget. Even if it should be a little more costly, I feel that the backward areas should have a priority because this is a burning problem. In a budget wherein agriculture occupies top priority it is really deplorable, Sir, that the backward areas should be neglected even without lift irrigation schemes or minor irrigation schemes, Sir. Further, the Statement discloses some more facts —

"During the Second Plan 138 Minor Irrigation Schemes and a number of tanks improvement schemes in the Andhra area and 1845 tanks in Telangana area were executed bringing an additional area of 2.40 lakh acres with an outlay of Rs 4.35 crores."

Further “During the Third Plan, a total expenditure of Rs. 18.30 crores was incurred and potential to a new ayacut of 1.10 lakh acres was created.”

All this did not benefit the areas like Vinukonda. Probably, the blame should go to the officials who did not make up their minds to visit a backward area like that inspite of the fact that there is a perennial flow of Gundlakammba river water which could be tapped for agriculture if only lift irrigation schemes are implemented there. There are many tanks also there which do not find place in the budget for 1967-68, Sir. So, I would just request the Government, through you, Sir, that backward areas wherein wet cultivation is thought possible this time may find place in the budget. Even if it be a bit costlier, I feel that they require special attention and special help from the Government.

Thank you, Sir
19th July, 1967
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The statement submitted by the Finance Minister today is a stab in the back of the people of Andhra. That means Srimat Morarji’s statement is a stab in the back of the people of Andhra. Funds meant for the completion of the Nagarjuna-Sagar project will be stopped at this stage. Only Komotties and Marvadies-they are giving. Anybody can give and anybody can take.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 15th July, 1967, 627

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Time waste of funds:

"Time waste of funds is not only cost in the short run, but it is also unproductive waste in the long run. Time waste of funds is a significant factor in determining the efficiency of any organization. The shorter the time, the greater the damage. The longer the time, the greater the waste."

Funds for any works:

"Funds for any works, 1966 and 1967, have been allocated. These funds are being used for various purposes. The funds are being used for the construction of new buildings, the purchase of new equipment, and the renovation of existing buildings. The funds are being used to improve the quality of education and to improve the facilities available to the students. The funds are being used to support research and development. The funds are being used to support the arts and culture."
Voting of Demands for Grants.

...Lady Member Mr. Lady M. L. A. Xxxx.

...Medium irrigation...not be remunerative as Government wants it. They cannot get 1.5% returns out of these schemes in Chittoor district...
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 19th July, 1967 629

Voluntary Demands for Grants

It is a separate scheme by itself. It has nothing to do with Araniyar Project though the Head Works are with us. The Government may not be aware of it. I have seen it with my own eyes at the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Office at Madras.

It costs 745 Rupees per acre and returns.

interest. It costs 745 Rupees per acre and returns.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for 1967-68:
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"Give more Fertilizers — take more food". People, listen.

We are going to talk about the demands for grants. We will discuss the allocation of funds for various projects.

1. The demand for grants for the construction of a new hospital.

2. The demand for grants for the development of the new educational system.

3. The demand for grants for the improvement of the public transportation system.

4. The demand for grants for the development of the rural areas.
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planned for 1967-68:

Policy Statement

Planning experts have mapped out a new spillover scheme to the running six new projects mentioned below will spillover to 8th plan

medium projects up control of major projects up 8th plan

Planning Commission experts have mapped out 60 schemes. 85 schemes already started to go to 60 schemes.

Food Control, 80% demand on water control, 80% demand on food control. 16% agriculture, 80% food Control is up on spillover. 80% demand on 80% demand on 8th plan.

Major projects 19th July, Medium projects 8th plan. 8th plan Spillover Commission experts.
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Voting of Demand for Grants.

Electricity Demand
scheme
Electricity Demand scheme result under developed Coun-
try areas.

Flood control
scheme
Flood control scheme results time

Agriculture
scheme
Agriculture scheme results.

Transport
scheme
Transport scheme results.

Delta
scheme
Delta scheme results.

Voting of Demand for Grants.
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1964 flood control work was completed, and all water-control structures completed, such as bridges, canals, and irrigation works. 1800 acres were irrigated under channel development. A supply of 20,000 cubic feet per second is provided for a scheme for practical education. A report was prepared on the library and a practical field channel scheme.

(The House then adjourned till Half past-Eight of the Clock on Thursday, the 20th July, 1967.)
APPENDIX

NOTE ON IRRIGATION IN ANDHRA PRADESH BUDGET ESTIMATE 1967-68

Mr Speaker Sir,

I move that the House be pleased to grant a sum not exceeding Rs 12,89,25,400 under Demand No. XXX Irrigation.

For the information of the House, I shall deal with the salient features touching upon the progress made so far on Irrigation and Flood Control Schemes and on our programme for 1967-68. I may point out that as usual Irrigation Sector enjoys priority in the State's Fourth Plan as well as in the Annual Plans. Stress however is being given for completing as many schemes as possible to achieve quick results rather than take up many new schemes.

3. During the First-Year Plan, 8 Major Projects and 22 Medium Projects were taken up for execution. During the Second Five-Year Plan, in addition to the spill over schemes of the First Plan, 2 Major Projects and 11 medium projects were put on ground. By the end of Second Plan only a few schemes of First Plan were completed while the remaining schemes spilled over to Third Plan along with new projects. The Third Five Year Plan contained 42 major and medium projects under the category of spill over schemes and 6 new schemes.

4. The details in respect of the amounts spent, potential created and utilisation in respect of the First, Second and Third Plans are as under:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>Amount Spent</th>
<th>Potential Created</th>
<th>Utilisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I Plan</td>
<td>2469.84 lakhs</td>
<td>2.06 lakh acres</td>
<td>1.20 lakh acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II Plan</td>
<td>1933.66 lakhs</td>
<td>Additional Potential 3.84 lakh acres</td>
<td>Additional Utilisation 3.16 lakh acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III Plan</td>
<td>2801.36 lakhs</td>
<td>Additional Potential 3.76 lakh acres</td>
<td>Additional Utilisation 2.70 lakh acres</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Though the outlay originally proposed for Irrigation Sector during the Fourth Plan, excluding Nagarjunsagar Project, was Rs 7,500 lakhs, it had to be revised to Rs 5030.00 lakhs, due to reduction in the size of the State Plan. A sum of Rs. 300 lakhs is earmarked for floods control works, under the revised ceiling of Rs 5030 lakhs.

6. During the Fourth Plan, besides the 28 spill over schemes of Third Plan, 9 new schemes alone could be provided besides flood control, water logging, anti-erosion schemes and investigation of irrigation projects both in the Andhra and Telangana.

7. Among the new schemes the following schemes are programmed to be completed by the end of Fourth Plan:

   (1) Bahuda Reservoir
   (2) Guntur Channel Scheme
   (3) Ramakrishnapuram Anicut scheme (Ukachettyyagu Project).
8 The remaining 6 new projects mentioned below will spill over to Fifth Plan.

(1) Vamsadhara Project
(2) Tungabhadra Project, High Level Canal (Stage II)
(3) Godavari Barrage
(4) Gazuladinne Project
(5) Gandipalem Project
(6) Improvements to Nizamasagar Canals

9 In addition to the above, the Plan also includes a scheme for expansion of the equipment in the Engineering Research Laboratories at Himayatsagar so as to enable to keep pace with the research requirements in connection with the various development Projects

10. The following spill-over projects were completed physically by the end of the Third Five-Year Plan excepting completion of certain minor works and payments under land acquisition.

(1) K C Canal
(2) Tungabhadra Project, Low Level Canal Scheme
(3) Zurreru Project.
(4) Torrigedda Pumping Scheme
(5) Pincha Project
(6) Prakasam Barrage
(7) Rallapad Project (Stage II).
(8) Paleru Bitragunta Supply Channel Scheme
(9) Upper Pennar Project
(10) Bhairavanitippa Project.
(11) Rajolubunda Diversion Scheme.
(12) Musi Project
(13) Salivagu Project
(14) Ramadugu Project
(15) Jutppalli Project.

11. The only spill over scheme which will spill into Fifth Plan is Pochampad Project with an outlay of Rs 1747 10 lakhs. During the Fourth Plan an amount of Rs 180 00 lakhs has been provided for this scheme to create a potential of 2 70 lakh acres. During the First Year of Fourth Plan (i.e., 1966-67) a ceiling of Rs 505 lakhs was fixed for various Major, Medium and Flood control schemes etc., and subsequently it was increased to Rs 575 65 lakhs. An additional potential of 90 65 thousand acres was anticipated to be created during that year.

12. During 1967-68, even though there was a general reduction in the other sectors of the plan the annual provision for Major, Medium and Flood Control Schemes etc., was kept at the same figure as the original provision made last year, viz., Rs 505 lakhs. But it has now been increased to Rs 715 lakhs by allotting an additional amount of Rs 210 00 lakhs for certain Major and Medium Irrigation
Schemes like Pochampad, Tungabhadra Project, High Level Canal Stage II and Guntur Channel Schemes. The Annual Plan for 1967-68 consists of 28 spill-over schemes and 1 new scheme in the category of Major and Medium Projects besides flood control, water logging, anti-sea-erosion schemes, investigation of Irrigation Projects and expansion of Engineering Research Laboratories.

13 The following major projects are at various stages of progress:

(1) T B. Project, H L. Canal.
(2) Pochampad Project.
(3) Vamsadhara project.
(4) Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme.
(5) Kaddam Project.
(6) K C. Canal
(7) T.B.P H L C. (Stage II)
(8) Godavari Barrage Scheme

Tungabhadra Project, High Level Canal.

All works on High Level Main Canal have been completed and Tungabhadra Waters have been let out into the Canal on 27th July 1966. The work on Uravakonda deep cut has been completed excepting lining which is also partly completed.

The work on Mid Pennar Dam has been completed excepting fixing of the hoist for the river and canal sluices and installation of shutters on spillway which are in progress.

Mid Pennar North Canal was completed in all respects while the Mid Pennar South Canal was completed up to 50th Mile. The balance length of 10 miles is nearing completion.

An amount of Rs 71 13 lakhs has been provided for the year 1967-68 for completion of the project in all respects in the Andhra and the Board areas.

Pochampad Project

Pochampad Project, the second major irrigation project in execution in the State, is expected to make good strides in the coming years, and create irrigation potential for 2.7 lakh acres in the Fourth Five Year Plan period and help food production.

Construction of masonry dam and earth dam and excavation of main canal is in good progress. About 35 per cent of work on masonry dam, 15 per cent on earth dam and 10 per cent of work on main canal are completed. In order to maintain the tempo already built up on the scheme, an additional assistance of Rs 60 00 lakhs was made available for this project during 1966-67. Thus the provision made for the project in the year 1966-67 was Rs 1.80 crores. For the year 1967-68 also an amount of Rs 1.80 crores is provided.

The expenditure incurred on the project to end of January, 1967 is Rs 1 66 crores during the year 1966-67 and Rs 6.30 crores from commencement of the project.
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Vamsadhara Project

The scheme is still awaiting the approval of Planning Commission. Hence work on this project is still in the preliminary stage of construction. Upto the end of December, 1966 an amount of Rs 11.69 lakhs has been spent on the project. No provision has been made during 1967-68 due to paucity of funds.

Rayolahuna Diversion Scheme

This project is in final stage of completion. The work on Main Canal together with its distributary system has been completed and the excavation of field channels for a length of 807 miles has been completed. The work on the remaining length of 30 miles of field channels is in good progress. An amount of Rs 10 lakhs has been provided for this scheme during 1967-68.

Kaddam Project

The work on this scheme is completed excepting for a portion of alignment of field channels. Tenders for the construction of feeder channel from Khanapur Channel to Kaddam Reservoir for a length of 365 chains for augmenting the supplies into Kaddam Reservoir have been called for. An amount of Rs 5.00 lakhs is proposed for 1967-68.

K C Canal Scheme

The remodelling of K C Canal has been completed. Ayacut development works such as construction of ayacut roads, and causeways are in progress. An amount of Rs 9.12 lakhs is proposed for 1967-68 for completing the balance of works.

Tungabhadra Project, High Level Canal Scheme (Stage-I)

This scheme has been approved by the Planning Commission, Government of India, to irrigate an ayacut of 1,37,425 acres in Anantapur and Cuddapah Districts. It has been inaugurated on 9th January 1967. An amount of Rs 120.00 lakhs is proposed to be spent on this scheme during 1967-68.

Godavari Barrage Scheme

The existing ancuts across the Godavari near Dowlaishwaram, constructed by Sir Arthur Cotton are over 110 years old and cannot be depended for long to provide supply of assured irrigation water. Any damage to the anicut will lead to a grave consequence resulting in total failure of crop over the entire delta system consisting of one million acres. Realising the gravity of the situation created by the damages sustained by the anicut during the floods of 1963, the Expert Committee on Floods in Andra Pradesh constituted by the Government of India, had examined the present condition of the ancuts and recommended the immediate construction of a barrage just upstream of the existing anicut and taking up the Ralli Branch of the barrage ahead of the rest as it is the weakest link in chain of ancuts. It is therefore, proposed to build a new structure so as to replace the existing old anicut.

The proposed barrage will help not only stabilisation of irrigation supplies for the existing ayacut under the Godavari Delta system but would also provide irrigation facilities for a new area of 25,900 acres. The estimated cost of the scheme is about Rs 17.13 crores.
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Action is being initiated to collect the advance betterment contribution from the beneficiaries to get funds for the execution of the work. The plans and estimates for this work have been sent to the Government of India and the work will be started as soon as the betterment fees is collected and the clearance is received from the Government of India. Hence no provision is made in the Budget 1967–68.

Medium Schemes

Besides the above Major Projects, the following medium schemes, are also at various stages of execution:

1. Thandava Reservoir (Visakhapatnam District).—Works on approach and tail end channels, and acquisition of land are in good progress. Earth dam portion in the deep gorge is being tackled now. An amount of Rs 60.00 lakhs is provided for the year 1967–68.

2. Varaha Reservoir (Visakhapatnam District) — Works connected with earthen dam and spillway portion and land acquisition are in progress. Earth work to the tune of 21 per cent in earthen dam has been completed. Work on regulator and sluice are also in progress. An amount of Rs 18.20 lakhs is proposed to be spent during the year 1967–68 on this scheme.

3. Zurruru Project (Kurnool District).—The project has been completed and the full potential of 2,300 acres has been created. Some of the land compensation proceedings are under finalisation. An amount of Rs 25.22 lakhs is proposed to be spent during 1967–68.

4. Pampa Reservoir (East Godavari District).— Works on earthen dam, sluice, regulator are in good progress. About 60 per cent work on earthen dam, 50 per cent on regulator and 16 per cent on sluice are completed so far. An amount of Rs 21.69 lakhs is proposed to be spent during 1967–68.

5. Vottigedda Reservoir (Srikakulam District).—About 14 per cent of work on right flank and 30 per cent of work on left flank pertaining to earth dam has been completed. About 6.7 per cent of earth work excavation of canals has been completed. The amount proposed during the year 1967–68 is Rs 25.00 lakhs.

6. Kanupur Canal, (Nellore District) — About 47 per cent of earth work is completed. Most of the cross masonry works are also completed. An amount of Rs 20.00 lakhs is proposed for the year 1967–68.

7. Torrigetta Pumping Scheme, (East Godavari District) — The main works are completed. Works on two distributaries and cross drainage works are in progress. Water has already been let out for irrigation. An amount of Rs 2.00 lakhs is proposed to be spent during 1967–68.

8. Bahuda Reservoir, (Chittoor District) — This project was inaugurated on 22nd February, 1966 under famine relief measures. The work is in preliminary stages. Excavation of cut off trenches, for the earth dam and diversion road work have been almost completed. In order to maintain the tempo already built up on the scheme an additional assistance of Rs. 13.18 lakhs was made for the
Scheme during 1966–67. An amount of Rs 10 lakhs has been proposed for the year 1967–68.

(9) Guntur Channel Scheme, (Guntur District) — The Scheme has been cleared by the Planning Commission. The work on channel excavation is in good progress and 30 per cent of work was completed up to the end of January, 1967. An amount of Rs 30.00 lakhs is allotted for this scheme during 1967–68.

(10) Swarna Project, (Adilabad District) — The work on earthen dam, sluice and spillway is in progress. The earthen dam is programmed to be completed by June, 1968, and spillway by June, 1969. An amount of Rs 10.50 lakhs is proposed to be spent during 1967–68.

(11) Nallavagu Project, (Medak District) — About 60 per cent of work on earthen embankment is done up to the end of December, 1966. The work on revetment to bund, rock toe and filter blanket is also in progress. Excavation of left and right bank canals up to 4 and 5 1/2 miles respectively is also completed. The work on localisation of ayacut is also in progress. An amount of Rs 25.00 lakhs is proposed to be spent during 1967–68.

(12) Kotipalli Project, (Hyderabad District) — The work on the project is in good progress. About 46 per cent of work on earthen embankment, 62 per cent on sluice and 33 per cent on surplus weir have been completed up to the end of November, 1966. The work on revetment to bund, rock toe, filter blanket is also in progress. Excavation of canal for a length of 3 4 miles has been done up to the end of November, 1966. In order to maintain the tempo already built up on the scheme, an additional assistance of Rs 5.00 lakhs was made available for this scheme during 1966–67. An amount of Rs 16.00 lakhs is proposed to be spent during 1967–68.

(13) Lankasagar Project, (Khammam District) — About 79 per cent of the work on earthen embankment and 34 per cent on spillways is completed by the end of December, 1966, and the rest is in progress. The work on revetment to bund and excavation of channels is also in good progress. An amount of Rs 20.00 lakhs is proposed to be spent during 1967–68.

(14) Laknapur Project, (Hyderabad District) — The work on camp buildings and approach road is completed. About 38 per cent of work on earthen embankment, 61 per cent on sluices and 55 per cent on surplus weir is completed by December, 1966. The work on revetment to bund, rock toe and filter blanket is in progress. Excavation of left bank and right bank canals is done up to 6 7 miles and 5 7 miles respectively by December, 1966. An amount of Rs 8.73 lakhs is proposed for 1967–68.

(15) Ukachesttyavagu Project, (Makhdumnagar District) — The scheme contemplates the raising of the FRL of Koilsagar and constructing an anicut at Ramananapad for irrigating an additional ayacut of 6,000 acres. The Government have sanctioned the above scheme. Due to paucity of funds during 1967–68 this scheme is proposed to be taken up for execution during 1968–69.
Flood Control Programme forms part of the Irrigation sector and an amount of Rs 300 crores is programmed to be spent on Flood Control, drainage and anti-scar erosion schemes during the Fourth Five-Year Plan. The Flood problem in this State is mainly confined to the deltaic areas of Krishna and Godavari Rivers and to the Coastal Districts and it is incidental in the upland areas. In view of this, during the Fourth Plan period much stress has been laid on the Flood Control Schemes in Godavari and Krishna deltas in order to provide relief from floods and drainage problems that are being experienced every year.

The Mitra Committee on Floods in Andhra Pradesh constituted by Government of India in the wake of floods of 1964 had examined the flood problems in the Godavari and Krishna deltas and recommended certain flood control and drainage schemes for implementation under various priorities. Out of these the important schemes are as under:

1. Upputeru widening scheme
2. Formation of flood detention reservoir across Thammi-leru and Yerrakalva Rivers.
3. Raising and strengthening the existing flood banks of Budameru river
4. Excavation of straight cut to Budameru near Vijayawada Town
5. Restoration of drains such as Romperu, Biccavolu etc., etc., in Krishna and Godavari deltas.

But in view of the limited resources available for flood control scheme during Fourth Five-Year Plan, it is proposed to make a beginning to implement the schemes recommended by the Mitra Committee under first priority. This will protect an extent of about 2.13 lakh acres in the Godavari and Krishna deltas including Kolleru basin and thereby saving a loss of 1.6 lakh tons of food grains valued at Rs 600 crores every year. The project has been sent to the Government of India and the work will be commenced as soon as their approval is received.

The Minor flood control schemes such as formation of flood banks on the river margins to protect the ayacut lands and villages from the ravages of floods which are of urgent nature in the other parts of the State are also proposed to be taken up during the Fourth Plan. In addition to the above, the scheme for protecting Visakhapatnam Town from sea erosion has also been taken up under the above programme. Altogether 57 works are included in the programme, of which 50 are new and the rest are continuing works.

An amount of Rs. 500 lakhs is proposed to be spent on the flood control schemes during the year 1967-68.

Minor Irrigation Programme

As Honourable Members are aware, the minor irrigation programme gained much importance during the Third Plan and has attained a great tempo, which is likely to continue for several years to
come. This can be gauged from the fact that during the First Five-Year Plan, 99 Minor Irrigation Schemes and 155 tank works in the Andhra area and 1372 breached tanks in Telangana area were executed for irrigating 1.89 lakh acres with an outlay of Rs 3.52 crores.

During the Second Plan 138 Minor Irrigation Schemes and a number of tank improvement schemes in the Andhra area and 1845 tanks in Telangana area were executed bringing an additional area of 2.40 lakh acres with an outlay of Rs 4.35 crores.

During the Third Plan, a total expenditure of Rs 18.30 crores was incurred and potential to a new ayacut of 110 lakh acres was created, besides stabilising an ayacut of 3.59 lakh acres and bringing relief from submersion in an extent of 4.45 lakh acres.

The outlay originally proposed during the Fourth Plan was Rs 27 crores and this has since been revised to Rs 21.40 crores.

The Minor Irrigation Programme during the Fourth Plan is detailed below.

1. (a) **M. I Spillover Works**

There are over 4,070 works big and small spilling over from the Third Five-Year Plan into the Fourth Plan. All these works are expected to be completed by the end of the Fourth Plan. On completion, these spillover works will be able to irrigate a total ayacut of 6.06 lakh acres, (i.e., 3.45 lakhs Stabilisation and 2.61 lakhs new).

(b) **M. I - New Schemes**

There are 716 new works which have already been sanctioned at an estimated cost of Rs 4.66 crores to irrigate an area of 1.79 lakh acres (i.e., 1.09 lakhs Stabilisation, 0.700 lakhs new). All these works are proposed to be completed before the end of Fourth Plan period, subject to availability of adequate funds.

Besides the above, it is also proposed to take up certain new works costing about Rs 7 crores with a total outlay of Rs 77.27 lakhs during the Fourth Plan period. No additional ayacut is expected to be developed under these new schemes during Fourth Plan as these works will be connected to the Fifth Plan for completion.

By spending Rs 15.43 crores both on spillover and new works an area of 7.83 lakh acres will be benefited comprising 3.31 lakh acres of new ayacut and 4.54 lakh acres of existing ayacut.

2. (a) **Lift Irrigation Works (Spillover)**

There are 67 spillover Lift Irrigation Schemes of Third Plan, 55 schemes taken up under Crash Programme and 12 under Minor Irrigation Programme and all these works are nearing completion. On completion of these schemes it is expected that a total ayacut of 58.300 acres will be brought under irrigation of which 55,700 acres will be new and 2,600 acres stabilisation of the existing ayacut.

(b) **New Schemes**

Three schemes at a total estimated cost of Rs 13 lakhs have been sanctioned to irrigate an ayacut of 4,900 acres (4,500 acres new, and 400 acres existing) which have yet to be taken up for execution.
esides these three schemes, there are a number of Lift Irrigation Schemes which are under various stages of finalisation. An amount of Rs. 97.9 lakhs is proposed to be spent on these schemes creating a potential of 39,000 acres by the end of Fourth Plan.

Thus a total outlay of Rs. 1.779 crores is proposed to be incurred on Lift Irrigation Schemes during Fourth Plan period with a targeted benefit of 1.022 lakh acres.

3. Master Plan

A Master Plan for irrigation is under finalisation for the entire State to fully harness the water available in all the river basins.

Achievements during the First Year of the Fourth Plan (i.e., 1966-67) and Annual Plan for 1967-68:

(1) M. I. Works

(a) Surface flow Irrigation:

An amount of Rs. 415 lakhs on spill-over works and Rs. 10 lakhs on new works would have been spent up to end of 1966-67 and an irrigation potential of 0.78 lakh acres of new ayacut was created and 1.02 lakh acres of existing ayacut was stabilised. During the year 1967-68, it is programmed to spend an amount of Rs. 245 lakhs to create an ultimate irrigation potential of 1.06 lakh acres.

(b) Lift Irrigation Works:

An amount of Rs. 40 lakhs on spill-over works and Rs. 10 lakhs on new works would have been spent up to end of 1966-67 and an irrigation potential of 0.25 lakh acres would have been created. During the year 1967-68, it is programmed to spend an amount of Rs. 30 lakhs to create an ultimate irrigation potential of 0.16 lakh acres.

2. Investigation of Minor Irrigation Schemes and preparation of Master Plan.

An amount of Rs. 50 lakhs was spent up to end of 1966-67 and an amount of Rs. 25 lakhs is proposed to be spent during the year 1967-68 under this programme.

S. SIDDA REDDY,

Minister (Irrigation).