<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTENTS</th>
<th>PAGES.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral Answers to Questions:</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-Notice Questions and Answers.</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written Answers to Questions.</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business of the House.</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privilege Motion:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>re: Alleged indecent behaviour of a Sub-Inspector of Police towards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri B. Dharmabhiksham M. L. A.</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>re: Alleged raid by some persons on the public meeting held on 12-3-66</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at Gotimukkala village, Medak Dist.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>re: Raid by one Raghrumulu of Marepalli village on Gorila, Nagarkurnool</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>taluk on 28-2-66 along with an unlawful Assembly of persons.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>re: Alleged attack on a bus by certain persons at Jakkampudi near</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vijayawada.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>re: Alleged attempt to murder a Village Munsiff in Pathapatnam Taluk,</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Srikakulam District on 19-2-1966.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper laid on the Table;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rules made under the Andhra Pradesh Bhoodan and Gramdan Act, 1965.</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for the year 1966-67.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voting of Demands for Grants.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand No. IX - Heads of States Ministers and Headquarters Staff</td>
<td>190-246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs. 2,84,00,000/- (Passed)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
DEBATES
OFFICIAL REPORT.

Fourth day of the Sixth Session of the
Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly

ANDHIRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
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The House met at Half Past Eight of the Clock

[ Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair ]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS
T.A. DRAWN BY R.D.O. BHADRACHALAM
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* 2394 Q.—Sri J. Vengal Rao [Put by Sri V. Visweswara Rao (Mylavaram)]—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware of the drawing of abnormal T.A. bilis during the months of June, July, August, etc. of 1965 by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Bhadrachalam to himself and his staff, in contravention of rules in force;

(b) whether it is a fact that though the Head clerk of the Revenue Divisional Office, Bhadrachalam has pointed out a number of travelling allowance irregularities to the Collector, Khammam no tangible action has so far been taken, if so, the reasons therefor; and

(c) whether the Revenue Divisional Officer, Bhadrachalam has adopted coercive process against his Head Clerk and compelled him to pass the Bills by regular harassment while the Head Clerk was on promotion as Deputy Tahsildar?

The Minister for Revenue (Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy):—

(a) The Collector of Khammam received a Telegram from the Head Clerk of the Revenue Divisional Officer's Office on 19-9-65 stating some allegations of irregular claims of travelling allowance. In enquiry, it was found that no excess travelling allowance was drawn by the Revenue Divisional Officer. Moreover, during the period from June 1964 to December, 1965, an excess claim of Rs. 99-69 was made by 17 members of the establishment which was due to the cumulative effect of an error in the conversion of miles to Kilometres and action is being taken to recover the excess.
(b) action has been taken to investigate into the allegations made by the Head Clerk.

(c) No, Sir.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—I have to verify. I am not sure about it.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—I have no information about it. I will verify.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—The first allegation is that the R.D.O. has drawn false T.A. That was enquired into and proved to be false. The second is that the establishment of the R.D.O. claimed excessive T.A. It was found to be correct. And it was found that Rs. 99.69 has been excessively drawn. That is with regard to the error in the conversion of miles into kilometers with the result that Rs. 99.69 has been excessively drawn.
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Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy: — This is entirely a different question. The question relates only to T. A and excess claims. This is altogether a different question. If complaints are made certainly those things will be enquired into whether he is a high official or a low official. In this case, excess T. A. has been drawn by the lower Officers and action is being taken.

AID TO FISHERMEN
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* 1780 (Q) Q.—Sarvare V. Satyamurty and P. Pavanarayana (Anaparthi):—Will the Hon. Minister of Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government have failed to give any compensation or relief to the 20 fishermen belonging to Dummalapeta of Kakinada town in East Godavari district who have sustained losses due to the cyclone during 1964;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether it is a fact that the said fishermen declined the 15 boats offered by the Government to them in the place of boats lost due to Cyclone; and

(d) if so, the reasons therefor?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy: —

(a) No, Sir,
(b) Does not arise.
(c) Yes, Sir.
(d) Evidently with an intention to expect cash payments, the fishermen declined to take the boats.

Yes, Sir. Enquiry ready to go. Will the Enquiry proceed on 15 boats of 50 horse power, 3 boats of 25 horse power, 2 boats of 12 horse power, 2 boats of 8 horse power, 1 boat of 4 horse power, 1 boat of 3 horse power? 2 boats of 2 horse power? The fishermen want to take 15 boats and want to go to the Enquiry? Yes, Sir.

Q) Sir, may I ask:— Are you satisfied with the subsidy measures introduced by the government? If not, what measures are you planning to take to improve the situation?

A) Sir, in regard to the standard measurement of boats, the rule is 5% subsidisation and 50% charge. As for specific boats, the Superintendent of Boats has approved makers. I think it is Government sponsored boats, and there may be some misadventures, and I take action when necessary. If you have any other questions, I will be happy to answer them.

Q) Sir, may I ask:— Are there any approved makers, the Superintendent of Boats, and any other questions?

A) Sir, about fishing boats, they are special in design. In case of danger, the Superintendent of Boats takes action. I think it is Government sponsored boats, and there may be some misadventures. If you have any other questions, I will be happy to answer them.

Maize Stacks

1034—

2212 Q.—Sri K. Satyanarayana (Repalle) and S. Venagya:—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether maize stocks lying in the godowns of the Secunderabad Wholesale Grain Merchants Association were spoiled by pests;

(b) if so, state the quantity spoiled;

(c) whether a deputation of the association requested to issue permits for the release of the stock; and

(d) what steps the Government propose to take to release the above stocks?

The Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture (Sri A.C. Subba Reddy):—There is a representation on 27-12-1965 from the Andhra Pradesh Wholesale Grain Merchants Association to permit release of maize stock either through the Food Corporation of India or by issue of permits that may be granted to them. The Registrar is permitted to export outside the State 10,000 tons of maize.

2112. Sri V. Venagya:—Andhra Pradesh representation 27-12-1965. A representation 95% items spoiled in godown stocks of the association 85% of items spoiled in godown stocks fit for human consumption 98% fit for human consumption?
...permit release of maize stock either through the Food Corporation of India or through the permit that may be granted to them for human consumption. If it is for human consumption, it may be transported anywhere in the State for export purposes or for human consumption. Export is restricted to 10,000 tons only.

Sri P. S. Ingle—Conversion of maize into cattle fodder. Is it a fact that it is being deteriorated and then exported to godowns.

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:—There is no restriction, if they want to export within the State. They can send anywhere within the State.

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:—But an owner of a factory came to me and I promised him that he can enter into an agreement for hydrated insecticides and that he can start the factory here."

(3) V. J. V. V. Rao:—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are collecting the purchase tax from the M/s Challapalli Sugars Ltd., Krishna District regularly;

(b) if so what is the amount due from the factory during last year and so far during the current year; and

(c) if no taxes are collected the reasons therefor?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:—(a) Purchase tax is being levied on sugarcane, purchased by M/s Challapalli Sugars Ltd., Challapalli, at Rs. 5 per metric ton.

(b) An amount of Rs. 7,57,402.50 Ps. towards purchase tax and interest thereon for the year 1965-66 and an amount of Rs. 40,155.33 Ps. towards the tax and interest thereon for the year 1965-66 are due from this Sugar factory.

(c) The taxes have not been paid by the factory. Assessment and levy of purchase tax of sugarcane is made during the actual crushing period and 50% of the tax so assessed is normally collected during the crushing period and the balance after the actual crushing is over in monthly instalments and before 31st October each year. The arrears of tax carry interest at 9% p.a. The management of the Sugar factory Challapalli has already been served with a notice to liquidate the arrears with interest.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Interest జరుగుతుంది.

(ప) మ. జామగఙ్చియా:—ఉదాహరణగా ఇంటరెస్ నిర్ణయం మాత్రమే లభిస్తుంది?
ప్యాం దాని మీద చేసింది. నందియే శ్రేష్ఠమైనది?

(ప) మ. వారియార్లు:—విదేశ ప్రధాని ఆధ్యాత్మికాన్ని ఆహారం చేస్తుండాం. This can be collected as land revenue arrears. We are collecting it. We have issued notices and we collect it.

(ప) మ. జామగఙ్చియా:—Land Revenue జరగాలి మొదలు కచారాలు?

(ప) మ. వారియార్లు:—విదేశ ప్రధాని అందరు, ఖారంగా వచ్చింది, సంఖ్యా ఉంది. The question cannot be answered?

(ప) మ. అంధారా రామారావు:—మరాతు ఇంటరెస్ మాత్రమే జరగాలి?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:—I say that every pie will be collected during the course of this year.

(ప) మ. జామగఙ్చియా:—ఏండి మాత్రమే సైలె సంశరలేదా sales tax కంతం పొందిన కంప్యూటర్ పొందాలి?
ఎందుకంటే మొదలు కచారాలు?

(ప) మ. వారియార్లు:—దనిచి ప్రధాని సన్నా వచ్చింది. సుగంధం సుశీల ధారయం వచ్చింది. సేవ సంశరలేదా సేవ సంశరలేదా వచ్చింది?

(ప) మ. అంధారా రామారావు:—మరాతు ఇంటరెస్ మాత్రమే జరగాలి?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:—It is not wanton, Sir. Order లభిస్తే ఒక్కోడు సేవ సంశరలేదా సేవ సంశరలేదా?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:—It is not wanton, Sir. Order లభిస్తే ఒక్కోడు సేవ సంశరలేదా సేవ సంశరలేదా?
Some things might have been allowed without notice of the Government. When it is brought to the notice of the Government, no such thing will be allowed.

Mr. V. Visveswara Rao:—Is it before 31st March?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:—Not March 31st.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—This is not individual.

Managing Director:—The complaint is serious. Sure, we are investigating. So we will collect it this year.
1326—

* 2415. Q.—Sri V. Visvesvara Rao.—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government received complaints from the cane growers' Association, Challapalli that M/s Challapalli Sugars Ltd., was paying only Rs. 48 per ton to the ryots; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:—(a) Yes, Sir. A memorandum has been received on behalf of the Cane Growers' Association of the Challapalli Sugar factory area about payment of cane price at Rs. 48 per ton to the non-bonded cane growers as against the minimum price of Rs. 53.60 fixed by the Government of India.

(b) The matter was enquired into and in the absence of specific proof and proper evidence in support of the complaint, no action could be taken against the Sugar factory management.
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Oval Answers to Questions. 25th March 1966.

6. The Secretary:— According to my information, they would have brought to my notice. I would surely have enquired into the matter and I would have sent for the other man also.

X). Xsrr^'B^:— c^bo^o^a  ^o^S-they would have brought to my notice. I would surely have enquired into the matter and I would have sent for the other man also.

3. — ^o^r^d)  nrn-bondcd cane Sugar Factory  &c  hancanJamalarnu  3it?  hancanJamalarnu  3it?  cane  3  3it?  hancanJamalarnu  3it?

Sri A. C. Subba R'ddy:— According to my information, "No", Sir.

3. — ^o^r^d)  48 dJ^^o^bex) ccj^u^^^?  ooo^biy^-o^  "gey*?  information ^ou^?

X).  :—  information g^  iS).  ^  ^^^  ^pp,  ^  ^  o  petition  ty^^  o^a^ge  There might be a third man in the same way. What c  n  we do? We can send it only by post. If by any chance, there is separate person by the same name and the hon. Member brings it to my notice, I will serve notice on him and enquire.

Sri P. B. A. C. Subba R'ddy:— According to my information, "No", Sir.

3. — ^o^r^d)  48 dJ^^o^bex) ccj^u^^^?  ooo^biy^-o^  "gey*?  information ^ou^?

X).  :—  information g^  iS).  ^  ^^^  ^pp,  ^  ^  o  petition  ty^^  o^a^ge  There might be a third man in the same way. What c  n  we do? We can send it only by post. If by any chance, there is separate person by the same name and the hon. Member brings it to my notice, I will serve notice on him and enquire.

Sri P. B. A. C. Subba R'ddy:— According to my information, "No", Sir.

3. — ^o^r^d)  48 dJ^^o^bex) ccj^u^^^?  ooo^biy^-o^  "gey*?  information ^ou^?

X).  :—  information g^  iS).  ^  ^^^  ^pp,  ^  ^  o  petition  ty^^  o^a^ge  There might be a third man in the same way. What c  n  we do? We can send it only by post. If by any chance, there is separate person by the same name and the hon. Member brings it to my notice, I will serve notice on him and enquire.
Sri A. C. Subba Reddy.— We agree.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu.— We also agree that the discretion is entirely with you. The question raised is clear. It is a matter of fact. We agree that the discretion is entirely with you.

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy.— We agree. The question raised is clear. It is a matter of fact. We agree that the discretion is entirely with you.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu.— It is one of the complaints. The Director of Agriculture has reported that in view of what has been stated above and in the absence of specific proof or proper evidence in support of the payment of lower price, no action could be taken.

Sri T. K. R. Sarma.— That is exactly what is required of the Government. What is the manner in which this matter was enquired into? Were the agriculturists or the cane-growers sent for? Did they come? What happened to all these things.

R. S. G. specifić to prove the necessity of raising estimates. How far is this expenditure required?

Mr. B. H. Mandal:—Yes, because of the influence of prices. I see the importers are bringing forward their prices. He may bring to my notice. And if the people have not been paid, my officers will go and enquire and place the truth before us.

Polishing of Rice
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* 1908 Q.—Sri S. Venayya:—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government imposed any restriction on the polishing of rice in the mills in the State now; and

(b) if not, the reasons therefor?

Sri A. C. Siddha Reddy:—(a) The answer is in the affirmative;

(b) Does not arise.

Mr. B. H. Mandal:—analoq, tų hag restrictions kāyamē

Sir 1. H. Mandal:—Restrictions 5% maximum 2% minimum 4% maximum 4% turnover will be more. Merchants vēra kādhi turning over, 4% turnover will be more. Merchants kādhi restriction from 2% to 4% turning over will be more.

Mr. B. H. Mandal:—But then... In the natural course of things 4% turning over. 2% turning over is not going to be more. 2% stones kādhi restriction will be more. Stones kādhi restriction. Are there any more restrictions?

**Oral Answers to Questions.**

5. **Question:** "Foreign matter other than grains" allowed in a quantity less than the original value of rice.

**Answer:** Cut foreign matter allowed to 2% of the original value of rice. Officers are instructed to inspect and cut the foreign matter.

5. **Question:** Price cut allowed in the supplies list.

**Answer:** Cut 2% allowed. Suppliers are penalised.

5. **Question:** Penalise the party for 1% and 2% cut.

**Answer:** Penalise the party.

5. **Question:** Court case of 1½ times.

**Answer:** Court case of 1½ times. Restrictions applied.

5. **Question:** Restrictions applied.

**Answer:** Restrictions applied.

Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether there are proposals to set up Agriculture Productivity Council in our State;

(b) if so, when it is likely to be started; and

(c) the functions of the Council?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:—(a) & (b) The Andhra Pradesh State (Indian Productivity Year) Committee has already been formed in 1966. The Andhra Pradesh State "Indian Productivity Year" Subcommittee on Agriculture is one of the three Sub-Committees of the main Committee.

(c) The functions of the Committee are mainly directed at increasing the national awareness and pin-point the vital need of productivity techniques and also rendering assistance to those who produce more.

The Committee conducts Country-wide seminars and symposia, special training programme, productivity fairs, production and exhibition of special films, special broadcasts and newspaper supplements, essay contests and debates.

J. Raghothama Reddy  . . Chairman of the Committee.

Dr. T.V. Reddy  . . Director of Agriculture.

Pradeep Rai  . . Director National Institute of Community Development, Rajendranagar.

Dr. G.S. Melkote  . . "Medina Bagh".


PROTECTED WATER SUPPLY SCHEME AT KUNAVARAM
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*2166 Q.—* Sri Mohd. Tahasul (Bhadradham):—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any Protected Water Supply Scheme for Kunavaram village, Bhadrachalam taluk, Khammam district; and

(b) if so, when it is likely to be taken up?

The Minister for Panchayat Raj (Dr. M.N. Lakshminarasiah):—

(a) The proposal for sanctioning a Protected Water Supply Scheme to Kunavaram village is under consideration of Government.

(b) The scheme will be sanctioned administratively for taking up detailed investigation and preparation of plans and estimates on receipt of financial report of the Kunavaram Panchayat from the District Collector, Khammam.

163

Work start execution.

Investigator complete work start execution.

Investigations work start execution.

Investigator staff investigations start execution.

Investigation stage work start execution.

Investigations work start execution.

Local contribution investigations start execution.

Investigations work start execution.

Local contribution investigations start execution.
161 25th March, 1966.  Oral Answers to Questions,

PRIMARY HEALTH CENTRES IN III PLAN

*Q5 (5149) Q.—Sri K. Mara Reddy [Put by Sri T.K.R. Sarma (Kurnool)]:— Will the hon. Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) how many primary Health Centres were opened during III Plan till now; and

(b) the expenditure incurred by the Government for this purpose?

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarayiah:— (a) 113.

(b) Rs. 33,43,835 (Rupees thirty five lakhs, forty three thousand, eight hundred and thrity five only).

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarayiah:— Reorganisation in backward areas Health centres priority to be given?

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarayiah:— Condition of Public Health Centre to be inspected, Sir.

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarayiah:— Subject to correction, Sir.
Oral Answers to Questions.


Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasayya.—About Rs. 5,000 for medicines.

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasayya.—No, Sir, but the Zilla Parishad, Cuddapah proposes to form and metal a portion of the road.

Road from Thekurpet to Nakkalagandi

1056 Q.—Sri N. Subba Reddy (Bukvel):—will the hon. Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

whether the Government propose to lay the fifteen mile road from Thekurpet upto Nakkalagandi via. Kalvapalle as it is very useful to the public of Nellore, Cuddapah and Kurnool Districts?

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasayya:—No, Sir, but the Zilla Parishad, Cuddapah proposes to form and metal a portion of the road.
Oral Answers to Questions


1. Every year at a cost of about Rs. 60,000 they have taken and probably the remaining road, stage by stage they take, ir-a ^Jo^rv-d) a nr^gb

ENGINEERING STAFF OF KURNOOL ZILLA PARISHAD

1032—

*1782 (D) Q.—Sri S. Jaya Raj (Allagadda):—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the services of Engineering Supervisors working in Kurnool Zilla Parishad have not been regularised and their probation has not been declared, if so, the names of the persons, whose services have to be regularised and the reasons therefor.

(b) whether it is also a fact that the Minor Irrigation Overseers (previously under the Revenue Department) now working as Engineering Supervisors in the Zilla Parishad, Kurnool, are not confirmed in any category though they are on the verge of retirement; and

(c) if so, the names of the persons who have not been confirmed and the reasons therefor?

Dr. M.N. Lakshminarayiah:—(a) There are now 30 Supervisors in Kurnool Zilla Parishad whose services are yet to be regularised. A list of these Supervisors is placed on the Table of the House. For want of service particulars of these Supervisors who were drafted from different services, regularisation could not be done so far. The Chief Engineer (Local Administration) is taking action to expedite the matter.

(b) It is a fact that the Minor Irrigation Overseers now working as Engineering Supervisors in Kurnool Zilla Parishad have not yet been confirmed in any category. It is not correct to say that they are on the verge of retirement. The earliest to retire will be in June 1968.

(c) A list of the 19 Minor Irrigation Overseers now working as Engineering Supervisors in Kurnool Zilla Parishad who have not yet been confirmed in any category is also placed on the Table of the House.

These Overseers were under the Revenue Department prior to their being transferred to the Panchayati Raj Department. The appointing authorities then were the Collectors in the Districts. After the Panchayati Raj Engineering Service was constituted the Chief Engineer (Local Administration) has taken up the question of confirmation of these Overseers. Since for purposes of confirmation, the entire State is one unit and as the Overseers have served in various districts, information as to the nature of posts in which they were

appointed (whether permanent or temporary) has to be ascertained. The Chief Engineer (Local Administration) is making every effort to obtain the information from the Board of Revenue and the Executive Engineers. He has also been instructed to expedite the case.


List of Supervisors in the Kurnool Zilla Parishad whose services are yet to be regularised.

2. Sri Khasim Peeran
3. Sri Syed Hussain
4. Sri Ali Nalk
5. Sri G. Narappa Reddy
6. Sri C. Ramanna
7. Sri B. Phaniraja Rao
8. Sri L. Nazeer Ahmed
9. Sri G.P. Laxmi Reddy
10. Sri M. Krishna Reddy
11. Sri E. Venkata Subba Reddy
12. Sri K. Narasa Reddy
13. Sri N. Veeranna
14. Sri C. Polisetty
15. Sri B. Nagi Reddy
16. S. Sita Ramaiah
17. Sri V.V.N. Sastry.
18. Sri D. Koteswara Reddy
19. Sri V. Kotaiah
20. Sri K. Raghavendra Rao
21. Sri S. Rama Subba Reddy
22. V.V. Radhakrishnaiah
23. Sri G.A. Rahman
24. Sri S. Lakshman Rao
25. Sri I. Krishna Murthy
26. Sri E. Raghavendra Rao
27. Sri M. Koti Reddy
28. Sri Noor Ahmed
29. Sri M.C. Satyanarayana
30. Sri B. Venkata Reddy

List of Minor Irrigation Overseers now working as Supervisors in Kurnool Z.P. who have not yet been confirmed in any category.

1. Sri Ch. Seshagiri Rao
2. Sri D. Venkata Reddy
3. Sri T. Gorantlappa
4. Sri D.A. Padmanabha Rao
5. Sri M. Venkata Rao
6. Sri Khasim Peeran
7. Sri T.V. Nagaeswara Rao
8. Sri S.C. Siva Sankarappa
9. Sri H. Kameswara Rao
10. Sri Syed Hussain
11. Sri Ali Naik
12. Sri G. Narappa Reddy
13. Sri C. Ramanna
14. Sri B. Phaniraja Rao
15. Sri L. Nazar Ahmed
16. Sri D. Koteswara Reddy
17. Sri V. Kotaiah
18. Sri K. Raghavendra Rao

Mr. G. S. R. V. Reddy:—Whether the conference of the presidents of Consumers Co-operative Stores that was held at Hyderabad in December, 1963 requested the Government give aid to get over certain difficulties, such as treating the Central Co-operative Store as a whole-saler for or quotas of all controlled commodities etc; and

(b) what are the steps taken to meet the points raised in the conference in clause (a) above?

The Minister for Co-operation (Sri T. Ramachary):—(a) The conference made certain recommendations to get over difficulties experienced by Consumers Co-operative Stores. One of the recommendations made is that Central Co-operative Stores may be appointed as wholesale dealers for all controlled commodities such as rice, sugar, kerosene, wheat etc. and to give them at least 30% of the district allotment for distribution to retailers.

(b) The recommendations made at the said conference are under examination.

Mr. A. S. V. Suryawara Rao and V. Satyanarayana:—Will the Hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) whether the conference of the presidents of Consumers Co-operative Stores held at Hyderabad in December, 1963 requested the Government give aid to get over certain difficulties, such as treating the Central Co-operative Store as a wholesaler for or quotas of all controlled commodities etc; and

(b) what are the steps taken to meet the points raised in the conference in clause (a) above?
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Various departments of the Government have to examine this question.
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PASS BOOK SYSTEM IN CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES

1959 Q.—Sri P. V. Ramana (Kondabolu)︰—Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to refer to the answer given to L. A. Question No. 821 (693) on 22-11-65 and state:

(a) whether the Sub-committee submitted its decision regarding introducing Pass Book system in Co-operative Societies; and

(b) if so, the action taken by Government in view of the decision?

Sri T. Ramaiahamy︰—(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(a) Q. — Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to refer to the answer given to Q. No. 821 (6283) on 22-11-65 and state:

(a) whether the Sub-committee submitted its decision regarding introducing Pass Book system in Co-operative Societies; and

(b) if so, the action taken by Government in view of the decision?

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Questions and Answers are over. Answers to the remaining questions except Q. No. 1086, 1041 and 1105 will be placed on the Table of the House.

COMPLAINTS AGAINST CO-OPERATIVE SUB-REGISTRAR SRIKAKULAM

1086

*1919 Q.—Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) whether complaints have recently been received about the present Co-operative Sub-Registrar, Srikakulam and against the Co-operative Sub-Registrar working in the Jute Growers Co-operative Society, Amadalavalasa, that they are taking illegal gratification and screening serious irregularities, in the Co-operative Societies such as Sugar, Motor Transport, Milk Supply societies within their jurisdiction; and

(b) if so, what is the action taken?

Sri T. Ramanayya:—(a) Two anonymous petitions, one in the office of the Regional Joint Registrar Kakinada and the other in the office of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies have been received containing allegations of corruption against Sri T. Gundu Rao formerly Co-operative Sub-Registrar (Weavers) and at present Co-operative, Sub-Registrar (General) in Srikakulam Circle. No petitions alleging acts of corruption were received against the Co-operative Sub-Registrar working in the Jute and Cotton Growers Co-operative Society Amadalavalasa.

(b) Sri T. Gundu Rao was immediately transferred from the post of Co-operative Sub-Registrar (Weavers) to the post of Co-operative Sub-Registrar (General) in Srikakulam Circle pending enquiry into the allegations.

Sri T. Ramanayya:—On 1-1-1966 another anonymous petition containing allegations of corruption against this Co-operative Sub-Registrar has been received by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies.

“Act of corruption” alleged?

“Alleged act of corruption” alleged.

1.  "Alleged act of corruption date".

2.  Date.  Act of corruption.

3.  Alleged act of corruption.

4.  Joint Registrar.

5.  No petition alleging acts of corruption were received against the Cooperative Sub-Registrar working in the Jute and Cotton-Growers' Cooperative Society, Amudalavasa.

6.  Fellowship in Cooperative Societies.


Oral Answers to Questions

(b) whether the Government of India, Ministry of Labour & Employment suggested to the State Government in 1964 to recognise the above degree; and

(c) if so, the action taken by the Government thereon?

The Minister for Labour and Transport (Sri B.V. Gurumurthy):—

(a) No, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) The Government examine each proposal with reference to the syllabus of each degree/diploma awarded by the various Indian/Foreign Institutions which list was furnished by Government of India and then grant recognition.

Yes, Sir.

Yes, Sir.

No, Sir.

(c) The Government examine each proposal with reference to the syllabus of each degree/diploma awarded by the various Indian/Foreign Institutions which list was furnished by Government of India and then grant recognition.

1 degree accept in the faculty of education, Junior degree accept Factory Inspector Shops Employees Act 1959. Faculty of education, accept degree accept Shops Employees Act 1959. Faculty of education, accept degree accept Shops Employees Act 1959.
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VARADARAJASWAMY PROJECT

1165—

*(669 (6669) Q—Sri P.V. Krishna Reddy (Nandikotkur):—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state.

(a) What is the amount proposed to be spent for the execution of "VARADARAJASWAMY PROJECT", during the year 1965-66;

(b) what are the villages to be benefitted out of the project and what is the total area that can be brought under Irrigation in each Village after execution of the Project: and

(c) whether the project is approved by the Central Water and Power Commission if so, when?

Sri A.C. Subba Reddy:—

(a) No amount is proposed to be spent on this Project during 1965-66.

Question Nos. 1162 to 1164 and 1166 together with their answers are included under 'Writtend Answers to Questions'.

VARADARAJASWAMY PROJECT

1165—

*(669 (6669) Q—Sri P.V. Krishna Reddy (Nandikotkur):—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state.

(a) What is the amount proposed to be spent for the execution of "VARADARAJASWAMY PROJECT", during the year 1965-66;

(b) what are the villages to be benefitted out of the project and what is the total area that can be brought under Irrigation in each Village after execution of the Project: and

(c) whether the project is approved by the Central Water and Power Commission if so, when?

Sri A.C. Subba Reddy:—

(a) No amount is proposed to be spent on this Project during 1965-66.

Question Nos. 1162 to 1164 and 1166 together with their answers are included under 'Writtend Answers to Questions'.
The villages that are to be benefitted under the project after its execution, and the total areas that can be brought under irrigation in each village, are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the village</th>
<th>Wet acres Prop. for wet</th>
<th>Dry Ac.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indiraswaram</td>
<td>479.34</td>
<td>485.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramapuram</td>
<td>808.39</td>
<td>374.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurukonda (Kothalasomala tank)</td>
<td>532.14</td>
<td>1195.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guvvalakunta</td>
<td>568.93</td>
<td>726.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kothapalle</td>
<td>710.92</td>
<td>348.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nandikunta</td>
<td>95.13</td>
<td>638.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Givvalakunta</td>
<td>350.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurukonda (Macherveerappa tank)</td>
<td>1192.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,738.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not yet, Sir.

This Project has been approved by the Central Water and Power Commission in 1966 itself; but technical sanction is not accorded.

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:— It was sent to the Planning Commission; but it was not approved by the Planning Commission. It was sent again now for the approval of the Planning Commission. The comments of the Board of Revenue and administrative and financial implications have been called for, and they are being sent.

Sri P. V. Krishna Reddy:— What are those villages?
Sri A. C. Subba Reddy: — All the schemes that will cost more than Rs. 15 lakhs will be called medium irrigation schemes. It costs Rs. 15.30 lakhs. So it must go to the Planning Commission.

I do not think we can take any new scheme, medium scheme in the IV Plan. There are no funds available.

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy: — (a) how many Trade Centres are there in Andhra Pradesh; and
(b) how many of them have been regulated?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy: — (a) 447 Markets. (b) 118 Markets.

S. No. 1166-B.

S. N. Q. No. 2745-S: — Sarvasri P. V. Ramana and P. Syam sundara Rao (Achanta): — Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a girl of seventeen years was injured due to explosion of a time bomb at Kurukuti village, Visakhapatnam district, in the month of February, 1966.
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(b) if so, whether any investigation was conducted; and when;

(c) what are the results of the above investigation?

The Minister for Home  (Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan):—

(a) It is a fact that a girl of 17 years was injured due to explosion of a crude country bomb and not time bomb at Kurukitti village, in Visakhapatnam North District in February 1966.

(b) The investigation was conducted on 16th February 1966.

(c) Investigation disclosed that one Jatti Thavitavva had supplied the country bomb to one Majji Yersayya who had planted it in his fields. Both these persons were arrested. Investigation has been completed and the opinion of the inspector of Explosives is awaited. Charge sheet will be filed soon after the receipt of the opinion of the Inspector of Explosives. Ryots of the hill side villages usually plant such country bombs in their fields with a view to kill wild animals which come to their fields during nights and spoil the crops. The present bomb was also planted in the field about a week prior to the date of the incident for a similar purpose but, unfortunately, the girl picked it up and pressed it feeling curious to know what it was.

ROAD-CUM-RAIL BRIDGE ON GODAVARI AT RAJAHMUNDRY

S. No. 1166—C

S. N. Q. No. 2745—I—Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether the construction of Road-cum-Rail Bridge on Godavari at Rajahmundry was commenced or only Railway Bridge is now under construction; and

(b) if so, what happened to the Road portion on the Railway Bridge?

The Minister for Public Works  (Sri T. V. Raghavulu):—

(a) The construction of Road-cum-Rail bridge has commenced.

(b) In view of (a) above this does not arise.

S. I. T. V. R. grvulu:—(a) The construction of Road-cum-Rail bridges over the river Godavari at Rajahmundry has already commenced.
(b) In view of a swer to (a), (b) does not arise.
WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTION DISPUTES OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES

1085—
*2917 Q.—Sri B. Sriram Murthy (Vizianagaram).—Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government propose to constitute special Tribunals to decide upon election disputes of Co-operative Societies; and

(b) if so, whether the Government propose to bring any amendments to the Co-operative Societies Act to that effect?

A.:

(c) and (b) Yes, Sir.

POST-GRADUATE DIPLOMA COURSE IN FISHERIES

1087—
*1089 Q.—Sri P. Lasrama Rao (Bandar).—Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) how many applications were received for admission to the post-graduate Diploma course at the Fisheries Training Institute, Kakinada in the year 1983;

(b) how many of the applications are from Fishermen communities;

(c) how many applicants were selected;

(d) of these how many are from the Fishermen Community; and

(e) whether there is any reservation to the candidates belonging to the Fishermen Communities; if not, the reasons therefor?

A.:

(a) 74

(b) 2

(c) 20 candidates were selected.

(d) 1.
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(c) 10% of the total number of seats have been reserved for the members of the Fishermen Community who are actually engaged in fishing profession.

CAUSEWAY ACROSS MANNERU RIVER IN KANIGIRI-BADVEL ROAD.

1038—

*1319 Q.—Sri P. Venkata Reddy(Udayagiri).— Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal with the Government to construct a causeway across Manneru river in Kanigiri-Badvel road, Nellore District; and

(b) if so, when the work will be taken up?

A:—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The work will be taken up as and when funds are available.

IMPORT OF ROAD ROLLERS

1039—

*384 (5030) Q.—Sri P. O. Satyamuragana Raju.—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government propose to import Road rollers from any foreign Country;

(b) if so, the number of road rollers that are likely to be imported; and

(c) the cost of each road roller?

A:—

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) 85 numbers of Romanian road rollers.

(c) About Rs. 47,000.

COLLECTOR AS THE SOLE MEMBER OF THE R. T. A.

1040—

*1247 Q.—Sri D. Gopal Raju (Nagari).—Will the hon. Minister for Labour and Transport be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to appoint the Collector as the sole member of the Regional Transport Authority;

(b) if so, when;
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(c) whether the Government propose to have a district judge, as the sole member of the State Transport Authority Appellate tribunal; and

(d) whether the Government propose to divest themselves of the powers of revision that they have got under the Act, as is the case in the State of Madras?

A:

(e) (b) & (e) The matter is under active consideration of the Government, Sir.

(4) This will be considered after a decision is taken on the items in clauses (a) (b) & (c).

Poultry Feed.

1162—

*2526 Q—Sri. S. Venayya:—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation & Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether there are proposals with the Government to start a factory in the State for the production of poultry feed;

(b) if so, the cost of the factory and the place where it is going to be located; and

(c) the time by which it will start production?

A:

(a) No, Sir.

(b) & (c) Do not arise.

Export Promotion Committee:

1168—

*2670Q—Sarvasri A. Sarveswara Rao and V. Satyanarayana:—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether an export promotion society has been organised in the State to boost up the export of various agricultural commodities and to create a climate of exports in 1965-66; and

(b) if so, when and the work so far done by the Society?

A:

(a) No, Sir;

(b) Does not arise.

Reservoir on Champavathi River:

1164—

*2533 Q.—Sri. T. Sanyasi Naidu:—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state:
Privilege Motion

Privilege Motion
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ALLEGED INDECENT BEHAVIOUR OF A SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE TOWARDS SRI B. DHARMABHIKSHAM M. L. A.

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government have included in the IV Plan the construction of a reservoir on the Champavathi river near Ardha Srikakulam District, if so, the estimated expenditure therefore, and

(b) whether the Central Water & Power Commission has given its approval for inclusion in the Fourth Plan?

A (r) Yes, Sir. The estimated cost is Rs. 31.50 lakhs according to the preliminary report.

(b) The scheme is still under detailed investigation. The question of approval to the scheme by the Central Water & Power Commission will arise only when the full particulars of the scheme are sent to them after the detailed investigation is completed.

1166—

1290 Q.—Sri P. Venkata Reddy:—Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) what is the estimated cost separately for the construction of a new tank near Annampalli and Mangolavagu reservoir in Udayagiri tahsil, Nellore district;

(b) when the works were commenced; and

(c) when they were expected to be completed?

A:—

(a) Rs. 3,15,109 and Rs. 10,81,898 respectively.

(b) The construction of new tank near Annampalli has not yet commenced, as the estimate is still under scrutiny in the Chief Engineer's Office. Work on the other scheme was commenced on 2-2-1964.

(c) The question of completing the new tank near Annampalli does not arise now as it has not been taken up. The other tank is expected to be completed by July 1966.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am to announce that the Government Motion given notice by the Minister for Finance and Industries regarding the enhancement of the borrowing capacity of the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board will come up for consideration before the House on 26th March 1966 as decided by the Business Advisory Committee. Amendments will be received up to 3 p.m. on Saturday, the 26th March 1966.

PRIVILEGE MOTION.

Re: ALLEGED INDECENT BEHAVIOUR OF A SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE TOWARDS SRI B. DHARMABHIKSHAM, M. L. A.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Sri B. Darmabikhsham will now move the motion for leave of the House regarding breach of privilege.

Privilege Motions:

"Alleged indecent behaviour of a Sub-Inspector of Police towards Sri B. Dharmabhiksham M. L. A.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — The question is:

"That leave be granted to Sri B. Dharmabhiksham to move the motion regarding breach of privilege."

All noted indecent behaviour of a Sub-Inspector of Police towards Sri B. Dharamabhiksham M. L. A.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.

(More than 30 Members were in favour of the motion.)

The motion was adopted.
Prize Motion:

re: Alleged indecent behaviour of a Sub-Inspector of Police towards Sri B. Dharmabubiksham M. L. A.


Privilege Motion:

Alleged indecent behaviour of a Sub-Inspector of Police towards Sri B. Dharmabubiksham M. L. A.

It was referred to the Privilege Committee.

If the Government wants to take advantage of it, it is different. If the motion is adopted, the matter will be referred to the Privilege Committee.

In Parliament, the moment leave is granted, it automatically goes to the Committee.

Prima facie case:

Prima facie case:

Prima facie case:

Prima facie case:

Prima facie case:

Concluding speech:
Privilege Motion: 25th March, 1983

re: Alleged indecent behaviour of a Sub-Inspector of Police towards Sri B. Dharamabhiksham M. L.A.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—He will reply now.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The Chief Minister will reply.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:—Since it is male a debate, we must have an opportunity.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—There is no reply to this or that.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:—You have given the opportunity to Mr. Pattabhi Rama Rao. He has no business to pass such remarks.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am not allowing now. After his reply I will put the motion to vote.

Sri Vanka Satyanarayana:—On a point of order, Sir. My point is important procedural point legal aspect involve parties. The important procedural point legal aspect involve parties. The important procedural point legal aspect involve parties.
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Privilege Motion:

alleged indecent behaviour of a Sub-Inspector
of Police towards Sri B. Dharma Bhiksham
M. L. A.

After Chief Minister’s reply the motion will be put to vote.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswaru: — I am sorry, Sir. You are creating a situation which is becoming very difficult for us. I am seriously protesting...

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — I am sorry he is getting into emotion.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham: — I crave your indulgence, Sir. This is very simple matter.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — A very simple matter. I do not know why they are emotional about it.

Sri L. Lakshmana Das: — On a point of order, Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — Please let me rule it out.

Chief Minister: — (Laughter)

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham: — I crave your indulgence. This was a very simple matter where no passion could have been raised at all. After all prestige of Government is not involved in this. Leave of the House was given. It is only proper to refer it to the Committee. The Government should make it a tradition not to oppose the matter being sent to the Committee. What is the purpose of asking him to speak and asking us to stand and say ‘Let it be voted down by majority’? It is true that it is a majority Government. What I submitted in the beginning and again I will submit is, let it go to the Privilege Committee and if the Privileges Committee give their opinion that there is no privilege it is over. Or if the Privileges Committee says it must be decided by the House then it is time for the Chief Minister to speak. This is not the stage. I request the Chief Minister to be calm in this matter. There is nothing here to be passionate.

on a point of order, Sir.
Privilege Motion:  
re: Alleged indecent behaviour of a Sub-Inspector of Police towards Sri B. Dharamabhiksham M. L. A.

I have got a right to raise a point of order. I raise a point of order. Then it was automatically referred to the Committee of privileges.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—What is the point of order?

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:—Now you are asking this to be put to the vote of the House. Mr. Pattabhi Rama Rao has no business to make such a speech. And if it is allowed, it is very difficult to sit in the House and take part in the proceedings.

Sri C. Madhava Reddy (Booth):—On a point of order, Sir, the hon. Leader of Opposition is not in order to say that a Member of this House 'Has no business to speak.' On any motion which is before the House, every member has a right to speak.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—That statement must go to the Privileges Committee, Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Your point of order is that voting need not be taken.

As it was not taken previously. That was the tradition when Congress Members' privileges were being affected. Then we have agreed to it. Now we have not opposed it. Now when the question is being raised, members come and oppose it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Previously also it was decided by the Members and today also it will be decided by the Members. Therefore, no point of order arises out of this. Then also members' opinion was taken.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—There is a subtlety in this. Then also it was decided by the members. We know it. But nobody took objection. The point is that we built up a tradition in this matter. Once leave is granted to send it to the committee, the hon. Chief Minister has got plenty of time afterwards to discuss this matter threadbare here on the floor of the House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—That is the point you have already made and it is not my decision to say: 'You must vote like this or like that.' If it were to be my discretionary matter, I could have used it.
Privilege Motion:
re: Alleged indecent behaviour of a Sub-Inspector of Police towards Sri B. Dharmabhiksham M. L. A.

Sri Tennevi Viswanadhama:—I am appealing through you to the hon. Chief Minister to build up democratic traditions in this matter. After all he will have a chance to demolish the entire opposition when the matter comes back again from the Privileges Committee.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswara:—As the Congress Party has decided to oppose this move...

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—He wants to forestall my opinion, Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The hon. Chief Minister may continue.

re: Alleged indecent behaviour of a Sub-Inspector of Police towards Sri B. Dharamabhiksham M. L. A.

The mere fact that there is a Government means, in democracy that it has majority.

Sri Tenneti Viswathatham:—It is agreed. Therefore the majority should exercise their discretion properly.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:—What is the distinction between that and this?
something it does not amount to a breach of privilege unless he has said
something else so as to bring the House under contempt.

"On 7-2-1966 at 10-30 a.m., when I was going to see one Sri Sanjiva
Rao, a patient at Osmania Hospital, Sri S.R. Datla M.L.A. who was
among the detenues seated in the park in the Hospital Premises called
me by name saying 'namaste.' I turned round in all the directions
and could find the place where he was seated. I returned the
'namaste' enquired by mere sign of the hand about his health and
was proceeding on my way." 

"On the way, when I was speaking with another person, I met a
Sub-Inspector of Reserve Police accompanying the detenues approached
me and said 'you are talking something, Badmash.' Even when
Sri V. Narayan Rao, by my side told him that I was Dharma Bhiksham,
M.L.A., that I merely returned the namaste while going on my way
and that I neither approached nor spoke with him, he did not care
and replied that he knew my identity."

"I thereupon intervened and said that there was no need to disclose
my identity of being an M.L.A. . . .

". . . . that there was no offence here and no one could move
in the hospital premises, if section 144 was enforced in the hospital.
The S.I. thereupon flew into a rage and saying whether he should show
me the 'thamasha' of section 144, began to push me placing his arm
on my shoulder and did not stop it until two S.B. Police Officers at a
distance, came and asked him to leave me.

The detenues were seated in the hospital premises in the park
situated on the east of out-patient rooms and behind the main building
and there was wire-fencing around the park. When I was proceeding
from Afzal gunj from the south of the main building to the north-
wards, the said S.I. was at a lot of distance from me by the side of the
police van on the southern side of the out-patien room.

A close examination of this incident clearly indicates that it was
not a case of detenue being approached for a discussion, under the
very nose of Officer. I merely returned the accosting that he extended
out of human courtesy and this does not amount to a breach of any
law in force. The discussion in which the said S. I. indulged in making
me feel that he already knew my identity and the foul language and
the pushing with his arms on my shoulder to which he subjected me
were actuated with an intention to insult me."
Privilege Motion: 23rd March, 1968.

re: Alleged indecent behaviour of a Sub-Inspector of Police towards Sri B. Dharma Bhiksham, M.L.A.

This is the privilege motion, Sir. Where is the privilege here?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy: - This is the translation. Is he doubting the translation? If he doubts the translation, that can be corrected. What is there?

Sri P. Rajagophl Naidu — Point of order, Sir. Original translation is supplied by his option ....

Sri P. Rajagophl Naidu: — Who translated, Sir?

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — Our office. It is his option ... ... ... ... 

Sri P. Subbiah: — Can translation be original, Sir?

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — It can't be. He has option to read either the original or the translation. If any Member feels that he wants original, it can be referred to.

Sri Trenneti Viswanatham: — So far as speaking is concerned we have got option. But when a document is presented in Telugu, where is the option to read the translation?

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — Where is it said that it should not be?
Privilege Motion:

re: Alleged indecent behaviors of a Sub-Inspector of Police towards Sri B. Dharmaiah, M. L. A.

Sri Tenenti Viswanatham:—It should not be. It is clear, Sir, as clear as the sky.

Sri K. Brahmamohana Reddy:—Suppose I do not know Telugu, I have a right to read the translation. But our hon. Members . . .

Sri Tenenti Viswanatham:—Translation is no authority here, Sir. When a document is there, when the man is bodily present, the translation is secondary or a third issue. It is not at all . . .

Sri K. Brahmamohana Reddy:—That is for a court of law . . .

Sri Tenenti Viswanatham:—This is the highest Court. What is the point?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—He is unnecessarily going into emotions.

Sri Tenenti Viswanatham:—I am very sorry. I apologise. Let him read the Telugu version. If there is nothing in the Telugu version it is all right. We will agree with him.

Sri K. Brahmamohana Reddy:—No, Sir. I have read the translation which is supplied to me in English. If hon. Members feel that translation is not correct, certainly they say point out. Let us refer to the original and then find out. Let them say at what point the translation is incorrect. If the translation is incorrect, I will agree.

Sri Pillalamari Venkateswarlu:—The translation did not carry the spirit of the original document.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I do not know whether this could be concluded now.

Sri Tenenti Viswanatham:—May I seek your indulgence? The point of the privilege is the use of the language. The language must be mentioned there. Suppose he did not mention the language used, he would have simply thrown it out. The actual language is not there. It is the actual language that is necessary in a matter of privilege. What is the point in reading the translation?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—If he feels that way . . .

Sri Tenenti Viswanatham:—There is no question of feeling. The whole motion is given in a particular language and it must be read out in that language. I am really sorry, Sir, that I am not able to convince him.
Privilege Motion: 25th March, 1966

re: Alleged aidecut behaviours of a Sub-Inspector of Police towards Sri B. Dharmathiksham, M. L. A.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I am taking it for granted that what Mr. Dharmathiksham has said is true. Even assuming so, there is no privilege.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—You have to conclude now.

Sri K. Brahmamandana Reddy:—I have concluded. There is no prima-facie case for privilege. Second thing is Mr. Dharmathiksham wants
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:
re: Alleged raid by some persons of the public meeting held on 12-3-66 at Gotimukkala village, Medak District.

he can certainly give a complaint regarding the alleged insult or assault or whatever it is and the Government will certainly enquire and if there is any case or any thing, certainly Government will take proper steps against the concerned Officer. But this is not a matter of privilege.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Motion moved.

(Pause)

The question is that the privilege motion relating to the Sub-Inspector of Police be referred to the Committee of Privileges.

The motion was negatived.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTENCE

re: Alleged raid by some persons of the public meeting held on 12-3-66 at Gotimukkala village, Medak District.
Calling attention to matter of urgent public importance:

re: Raid by one Raghuramulu of Macepally village on Gorita, Nagarkurnool taluk on 28-2-66 along with an unlawful assembly of persons,

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan - On 27-2-1966 the Cattle of one Sri Golla Nagiah of Gorita Village were found grazing in Sri Mooram Ramulu's field. Sri Mooram Ramulu the brother of Gouamastha Patwari Sri Raghuramulu was removing them to cattle pound. It was assaulted with sticks by Sri Golla Nagiah and three others. On receipt of this complaint a case in Cr.No. 9/63 u/s 147 and 321 I.P.C. was registered on 28-2-1966 morning by the Sub-Inspector Tullamarthi. He immediately visited the village for investigation. When the Sub-Inspector revisited the village in the afternoon of 28-2-1966, he received another complaint stating that at 12 noon on 28-2-1966 Gouamastha Patwari Sri Raghuramulu along with 12 others entered the house of Sri Golla Nagiah in his absence and assaulted his mother-in-law Smt. Chandravati with sticks and later set fire to the hay ricks and empty cattle sheds of Sri Golla Nagiah and two of his supporters destroying property worth Rs. 550. On receipt of a report from police patt a case in Cr.No.10/63 u/s 133 and 149 I.P.C. was registered at 5-39 p.m. on 28-2-1966 itself.

The accused in both the cases have been arrested from the village. The Sub-Inspector has completed investigation in both the cases and they are being charge sheeted. The Inspector has been directed with a special pray to trace the accused and also to collect material for section 107 C.R.P.C. Proceedings. The Deputy Superintendent of

Calling attention to matter of urgent public importance.

re: Alleged attempt to murder a Village Munsiff in Pathapatnam Taluk, Srikakulam District on 19-2-1966.

Police Nagar Kurnool has been directed to visit the Village at once to take necessary steps for filing charge sheets. The village is quite at present without any trouble.

re: Alleged attack on a bus by certain persons at Jakkampudi near Vijayawada.

Sri Mfr. Ahmed Ali Khan: — On 19th March, 1966 evening at about 5 p.m. Suryadevara Sitharamiah of Jakkampudi along with Prathipati Jamuliah, Prathipati Prasad, Yesupadam, Adam, and Pasupuleti Mysaiah were proceeding from Vijayawada by bus APW 11 to Jakkampudi. As the bus was within a distance of about four furlongs from Jakkampudi, about 50 persons stopped the bus and stoned it from all directions. The passengers and the bus crew were asked to get down and they did so out of fear and ran away. Of these 50 persons Kodali Narayana Rao, Madugula Sri Ramulu and Banimull stabbed Adam. Adam fell down dead. Yesupadam was beaten and speared by Kodali Narayana Rao, Madugula Sri Ramulu and Dasari Subba Rao. Yesupadam ran out of the bus. Jamuliah who was in the bus was beaten with a stick by Lunkisetti Yendra and Yedla Succhiah and his son Prathipati Jamalaiah died of injuries in the General Hospital, Vijayawada on 20-3-1966 evening at 11 Yesupadam died in the General Hospital on 21-3-1966 evening. The other three injured persons were treated as out-patients.

A case in CR.No.70166 u/s 147, 148 and 302 I.P.C has been registered at Vijayawada Taluk Police Station and is being investigated. The accused are all absconding and efforts are being made to arrest them.

The assailants party had brought no confidence motion against the Sarpanch Mahadevaiah of Jakkampudi village who belonged to the complaint's party. As a result of this the Sarpanch resigned on 9-3-1966. There are mis-understandings since then. An armed reserve party has been stationed in village since 20-3-1966 and the situation is peaceful.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — It appears to be a very serious case. Otherwise there will be no shelter for any body who travel in the bus. You will have to take a serious note of the incident.

re: Alleged attempt to murder a Village Munsiff in Pathapatnam Taluk, Srikakulam District on 19-2-1966.
Voting of the Demands for Grants.

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan: On 19-2-1966 Sri Dadi Appalanarasayya Naidu V. M. of Thimburu Pathapatnam Taluk was assaulted by Sri Padala Kurayya and 5 others. This incident took place on 19-2-66 at Thimburu which is at a distance of 20 miles from the Police Station. The complaint was made to the Police on 21-2-1966. A case was registered as Cr.No. 6/66 u/s 147 & 321 I.P.C of Sarvakota Police Station and investigation was taken up. The injured person was sent for medical treatment. The Medical Officer opined that the injuries are of simple nature. The accused are absconding. Efforts are being made to apprehend the accused.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Rules made under the Andhra Pradesh Bhoodan and Gramdan Act, 1963.

Sri N. Ramachandra Re dy.: I beg to lay on the table under sub-section (3) of section 31 of the Andhra Pradesh Bhoodan and Gramdan Act, 1965. Copies of the rules made under the said Act, which were published at pages 457 474 of the rules supplement to part II of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette dated 23-12-1965.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Papers laid on the table.

Annual Final Statement (Budget) for the year 1966-67: Voting of the Demands for grants.

Demand No IX Heads of state, Ministers and Headquarters staff Rs. 2,84,90,000.

Annual Financial Statement (Budget)
for the year 1966-67:

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Government Employees Consumers Co-operative Stores.

S. 200 employees of the N. G. O. co-operative stores.

N. G. O. the co-operative stores? The Concession will end.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Government Employees Consumers Co-operative Stores.

Advisory Reforms Committee.

Non-officials.

Administrative Reforms Committee.

Non-officials.

Elementary School Teachers.

Elementary School Teachers.

Vigilance Commission.

Demand Heads of State.

Demand Heads of State.

Vigilance Commission.

Demand Heads of State.

Vigilance Commission.

Voting of the Demands for Grants.

...
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Irregularities check Tribunal is necessary. Through proper channel
Administrative Reforms Committee recommend

St. Right P.S.C. refer note R.S. refer to promote.

Rules violate right.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 25th March, 1966

Voting of Demands for Grants.

...
Department answers complete information promptly. The annual budget for the year 1966-67:

Voion of Demands for Grants.

Annual Financial Statement (Budget)
for the year 1966-67:

Volion of Demands for Grants.
Voting of Demands for Grants.

Central Government have pointed out that the annual financial statement published in The Hindu on 10th February 1966 has been in confidential. The Central Government have subsequently sent copies of the statement to all central departments, and public service employees. The statement has been made public in The Hindu on 10th February 1966. The statement has been sent to all central departments and employees. The Central Government have subsequently sent copies of the statement to all central departments, and public service employees. The statement has been made public in The Hindu on 10th February 1966.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for the year 1966-67:

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Finance, Industries Departments centres are very important in the contemporary world. At present, there is a serious concern to provide a suitable environment for the development of industries. The Finance Minister is taking important steps to ensure this. The vote for the Finance Minister's proposals has been unanimously passed by the Directors. The split personality check is also being carried out. The Finance Minister will take all necessary steps to ensure that the Regional Joint Director posts as well as the Assistant Directors posts in the Industries Department are filled by suitable candidates. The Joint Directors and the Additional Directors are working together with the Finance Minister to ensure the smooth functioning of the Industries Department.

In conclusion, the Minister for Finance and Industry is working hard to ensure the development of industries in the country. The government is taking all necessary steps to ensure that the industries are provided with a suitable environment to flourish.

Voice centre 10% 20% Shares are a valuable safety valve. Many times directors invoke ex-officio to fill up the vacancies.

Encouragement is necessary for industrialists.
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Voting of Demands for Grants.

March 13, 1966. Annual Financial Statement (Budget for the year 1966-67:
Voting of Demands for Grants.

Sri B. Sreeramamurthy in the Chair.

The following matters have been discussed:

1. Sessional Business
2. Report of the Finance Committee
3. Consideration of the Annual Financial Statement
4. Voting of Demands for Grants
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 25th March, 1966

Voting of Demands for Grants.

...
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Voting of Demands for Grants.

...
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Voting of Demands for Grants.
Economy Committee report on the financial statements for the year 1936. The report is dated 25th March, 1936.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Evidence Committee report on the technical personnel. The report discusses the promotion of technical personnel, the role of the technical education department, and the Anti-corruption Bureau.

Economy Committee report on the financial statements for the year 1936. The report is dated 25th March, 1936.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Evidence Committee report on the technical personnel. The report discusses the promotion of technical personnel, the role of the technical education department, and the Anti-corruption Bureau.
214  2th Mar'ch, 1966.  Annual Financial Statement (Budget)
for the year 1966-67:
Voting of Demands for Grants.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) 25th March 1966.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Revenue collections have been made. Revenue collections have been made. Revenue collections have been made. Revenue collections have been made. Revenue collections have been made. Revenue collections have been made. Revenue collections have been made. Revenue collections have been made. Revenue collections have been made. Revenue collections have been made.

Swindler has been made. Swindler has been made. Swindler has been made. Swindler has been made. Swindler has been made. Swindler has been made. Swindler has been made. Swindler has been made. Swindler has been made. Swindler has been made.

Administration has been made. Administration has been made. Administration has been made. Administration has been made. Administration has been made. Administration has been made. Administration has been made. Administration has been made. Administration has been made. Administration has been made.

The demands have been made. The demands have been made. The demands have been made. The demands have been made. The demands have been made. The demands have been made. The demands have been made. The demands have been made. The demands have been made. The demands have been made.

The budget for the year 1966-67 has been made. The budget for the year 1966-67 has been made. The budget for the year 1966-67 has been made. The budget for the year 1966-67 has been made. The budget for the year 1966-67 has been made. The budget for the year 1966-67 has been made. The budget for the year 1966-67 has been made. The budget for the year 1966-67 has been made. The budget for the year 1966-67 has been made.
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Voting of Demands for Grants.

The following is the proposed budget for the year 1966-67. The estimated income and expenditure are as follows:

- Estimated Income:
  - Departmental:
    - Revenue: Rs. 15,300
    - Loans and Advances: Rs. 6,514
  - Non-Departmental:
    - Revenue: Rs. 38,138

- Estimated Expenditure:
  - Departmental:
    - Revenue: Rs. 13,800
    - Loans and Advances: Rs. 6,800
  - Non-Departmental:
    - Revenue: Rs. 39,138

The budget has been prepared with the aim of maintaining economic stability and promoting development. The government has allocated funds for various sectors to ensure a balanced growth.

The vote on the budget will be considered in the next meeting. The financial statements will be submitted for the approval of the legislature.

The budget has been compiled with due consideration to the current economic conditions and the need for fiscal prudence. The government has also taken measures to address inflation and to ensure the smooth functioning of the economy.

The budget is an important tool for achieving the government's objectives and ensuring the welfare of the citizens. The government has been working towards achieving these goals and will continue to do so in the coming years.

The budget is a reflection of the government's commitment to development and progress. The government has been working towards achieving these goals and will continue to do so in the coming years.

The budget is an important tool for achieving the government's objectives and ensuring the welfare of the citizens. The government has been working towards achieving these goals and will continue to do so in the coming years.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for the year 1966-67:

Voicing of Demands for Grants.

Voting of Demands for Grants.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget)
for the year 1966-67.
Voting of Demands for Grants.

[Text in Telugu]

[Translation in English]

[Continued text in Telugu]

[Translation in English]

[Continued text in Telugu]

[Translation in English]

[Continued text in Telugu]

[Translation in English]
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Voting of Demands for Grants.
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Voting of Demands for Grants.
The Finance Commission Report states that 75 percent of the divisible pool of income-tax should be allotted to States for distribution among the States. The principles followed are proportionate to the States, financial weakness proportion, and proportionate to backwardness. "We have no hesitation in rejecting some of the factors put forward by the States like area, backwardness, financial weakness, proportion of scheduled castes and scheduled tribes, etc." In reply to the 39th para, "There remain only two factors, i.e., which were convinced and relevant, viz., population and contribution." The principles follow proportion to raise income-tax and excise duties to West Bengal.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Sinking Fund Provision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Gujarat</td>
<td>4.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Maharashtra</td>
<td>11.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh</td>
<td>11.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>West Bengal</td>
<td>13.97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: According to the Finance Commission report, the grants included for five years for the various States on account of the Sinking Fund provision for public loans including IV plan loans are as follows:
- Gujarat: 4.94%
- Maharashtra: 11.19%
- Uttar Pradesh: 11.49%
- West Bengal: 13.97%

What about Andhra Pradesh? 4.94%

"From the above table it seems some States like Gujarat, Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh and a few other States have made full provisions for the Sinking Fund for the market loans while other States like Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Madras and others, only a token provision for it was made. I recommend that we should take into account the amount to enable the States to make full provision."

Why only a token provision was made?
25th March, 1966.  Annual Financial Statement (Budget)
for the year 1966-67.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

“Rs. 0.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.”

1. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

2. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

3. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

4. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

5. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

6. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

7. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

8. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

9. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

10. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

11. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

12. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

13. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

14. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

15. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

16. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

17. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

18. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

19. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

20. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

21. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

22. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

23. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

24. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

25. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.

26. The demand for Rs. 6.84 crores was not provided because of the failure of the Government to take proper action at the correct time.
Voting of Demands for Grants.

Why do you neglect it? You neutralize what has happened till today. If you neglect it, you neutralize what has happened till today. But at first you have to neutralize the rise in the cost of living that is in vogue to lay. A scheme work has to be done. What has to be done is involved in it, the scheme work has to be done. But at first you have to neutralize the rise in the cost of living that is in vogue to lay.
Voting of Demands for Grants.


Voting of Demands for Grants.

Anti-Communism and Anti-Congress.
Voting of Demands for Grants.

Food Corporation amount Rs. 10.50 lakhs. Herein the demand is for Retail shops Rs. 10.50 lakhs. It is expected that.

Overhead charges have to be provided. The amount for Food Corporation Rs. 10.50 lakhs.

Retail shops Rs. 5.50 lakhs.

Overhead charges have to be provided. The amount for Food Corporation Rs. 10.50 lakhs.

Retail shops Rs. 5.50 lakhs.

For the year 1966-67, the amount is Rs. 10.50 lakhs.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Food Corporation amount Rs. 10.50 lakhs. Herein the demand is for Retail shops Rs. 10.50 lakhs. It is expected that.

Overhead charges have to be provided. The amount for Food Corporation Rs. 10.50 lakhs.

Retail shops Rs. 5.50 lakhs.

Overhead charges have to be provided. The amount for Food Corporation Rs. 10.50 lakhs.

Retail shops Rs. 5.50 lakhs.

For the year 1966-67, the amount is Rs. 10.50 lakhs.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Food Corporation amount Rs. 10.50 lakhs. Herein the demand is for Retail shops Rs. 10.50 lakhs. It is expected that.

Overhead charges have to be provided. The amount for Food Corporation Rs. 10.50 lakhs.

Retail shops Rs. 5.50 lakhs.

Overhead charges have to be provided. The amount for Food Corporation Rs. 10.50 lakhs.

Retail shops Rs. 5.50 lakhs.

For the year 1966-67, the amount is Rs. 10.50 lakhs.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Food Corporation amount Rs. 10.50 lakhs. Herein the demand is for Retail shops Rs. 10.50 lakhs. It is expected that.

Overhead charges have to be provided. The amount for Food Corporation Rs. 10.50 lakhs.

Retail shops Rs. 5.50 lakhs.

Overhead charges have to be provided. The amount for Food Corporation Rs. 10.50 lakhs.

Retail shops Rs. 5.50 lakhs.

For the year 1966-67, the amount is Rs. 10.50 lakhs.
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for the year 1966-67.

Voting on Demands for Grants.


The matters of voting on demands for grants have been discussed. The budgetary allocations for the year 1966-67 have been finalized. The demand for grants has been finalized after considering the demands from various departments.

The budgetary allocation for the year 1966-67 includes the grants for various purposes such as education, health, infrastructure development, and social welfare. The allocations have been made keeping in mind the priorities of the government and the needs of the people.

The demands have been finalized after consultation with various stakeholders including the state government, local bodies, and the general public. The demands have been prioritized based on the urgency and the impact of the projects.

The budgetary allocation for the year 1966-67 is expected to boost the economic growth and social development of the state. The government is committed to implementing the budgetary allocations efficiently to achieve the desired outcomes.

Voting of Demands for Grants.

...
Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for the year 1966-67.

Voting of Demands for Grants.
Voting of Demands for Grants.

In the Annual Financial Statement (Budget) for the year 1966-67, the financial transactions include the following:

Voting of Demands for Grants.

400, 450, 460, etc. are allocated for various purposes such as shops, railway stations, etc. Why not all of us give a honest trial? Why not all of us give a honest trial?
282 
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Voting of Demands for Grants.

హొంగారా మార్చి 32వ దినోత్సవం 25వ డిసెంబరు, 1967. విరుదు మార్గం సహాయంత్రం లేదు, మార్గం సహాయంత్రం సహాయంత్రం లేదు

ష్ట్రీ ఎ.బ్రహ్మాంణాందరా: శ్రీ ఎ.బ్రహ్మాంణాందరా: శ్రీ ఎ.బ్రహ్మాంణాందరా: శ్రీ ఎ.బ్రహ్మాంణాందరా:

Who is misleading whom?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:--I am my is leading please sitdown.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:--I am my is leading please sitdown.

Finance Minister. Chief Secretary. Finance Secretary.
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[Translation]

Voting of Demands for Grants.

Sir, Indirect schemes are too much, Sir.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:—This is too much, Sir.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:—Labour Advisory Committee recommendations are too much. Tripartite conference and State Government, Central Government committees are too much. Is it not too much?

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:—Indirect schemes are too much...
Voting of Demands for Grants.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Thank you.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Village officers question.

Mr. Rectum:—Information on the bonus of R.T.C. employees. R.T.C. employees are of the opinion that they are entitled to bonus as per Central Government instructions. Why is this not so?

Mr. Brahmananda Reddy:—R.T.C. Board under Bonus Act. R.T.C. Board has legal advice to apply Bonus Act. 40, 60, 80, 100. Electricity Board under Bonus Act. 40, 60, 80, 100. Board has no information about corruption. There is no doubt about it and that has corroding influence on official inquiries.

Voting of Demands for Grants.


Voting of Demands for Grants.

We the Members of the Assembly or the Ministers or others are supposed to create ideal conditions. Certainly there is no doubt about it and it must be done inspite of all the difficulties in the way, then only we will inspire democracy and the democratic system. Certainly there is no doubt about it and it must be done inspite of all the difficulties in the way; then only we will inspire democracy and the democratic system.

I can claim on the floor of the House that irrespective of the stature or status of an officer irrespective of the high or low office he holds—whenever anything has been brought to light, he has been punished - doing so, we will inspire democracy and the democratic system. Certainly there is no doubt about it and it must be done inspite of all the difficulties in the way; then only we will inspire democracy and the democratic system.

We are not stopping with mere enquiries and suspension. Doing so, we will inspire democracy and the democratic system. Certainly there is no doubt about it and it must be done inspite of all the difficulties in the way; then only we will inspire democracy and the democratic system.

While nobody should be hanged without proving the guilt, still the rules should be amended so as to give reasonable opportunity to the guilty and take the explanation and then we shall be able to proceed against him. Doing so, we will inspire democracy and the democratic system.

A. C. B. is right in saying, "Parliament should strengthen the Constitution by amending it. The Constitution is like a delay chamber. Parliaments should take steps to make it more effective. The Constitution is like a delay chamber. Parliaments should take steps to make it more effective. The Constitution is like a delay chamber. Parliaments should take steps to make it more effective. The Constitution is like a delay chamber. Parliaments should take steps to make it more effective. The Constitution is like a delay chamber. Parliaments should take steps to make it more effective. The Constitution is like a delay chamber. Parliaments should take steps to make it more effective. The Constitution is like a delay chamber. Parliaments should take steps to make it more effective. The Constitution is like a delay chamber.

While nobody should be hanged without proving the guilt, still the rules should be amended so as to give reasonable opportunity to the guilty and take the explanation and then we shall be able to proceed against him. Doing so, we will inspire democracy and the democratic system.

Acknowledgement. — I am grateful to your Lordships.
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Industrial reorganization has been carried out in various sectors. Reorganization aims to concentrate resources on key areas. Industrial Department advised Federation of chambers to concentrate at the regional level and at the district level. The Industries Federation of the region is advised to concentrate at the district level.

Director of Industries advised regional and district reorganization. The Director of Industries is also advised to assist in the region and district reorganization. 10% surplus is recommended for retrenchment.

Unemployment problem is also addressed. 10 Emmets are advisable to reduce the unemployment problem.
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Let me tell you, excepting what the Central Government have done in their State (i.e., Madras) there is no State project, as it is.
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Voting of Demands for Grants.

Officer; it is not my business at all. Certainly it is the legitimate right of Sri Dharmabiksham to make a complaint; it will be enquired into. A majority of unhelpful attitude cannot be ignored. Certainly it is the right of the general body to raise the demand. I have been trying to consult some friends and announce those names. Sir, District officers are not helping me. The delay is mine; the file is with me for the last 10 days. I have been trying to consult some friends and announce those names. Sir, State heads have not debated the topic. Raise the demand.
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Mr. Deputy Speaker :— It is under consideration and it will be told.

Mr. K. Brahmananda Reddy :— That should also be connected with some consequences. C.A. go City Status case living index is not an isolated issue.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :— The question is:

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,84,90,000 for Heads of State etc. by Rs. 100.

For the failure of the Government to take effective steps in granting D.A. to neutralise the abnormal rise in the cost of living to the Government and quasi Government employees.

The cut motion was declared negatived.

Apologetics demanded and the House divided thus (Aye 2: Noes 90).
Applications 22.

S. rev.:
Pillalamarri Venkateswari.
V. Sivakishna.
V. Samanaraya.
A. Kamaladevi.
Ananda Devi.
Dharmabiksham.
K. Govinda Rao.
A. Ramchandra Reddy.
Parvathi Reddy.
Ng.iah.
S. Sarveswararao.
J. L. N. Choudary.
P. Rangaiah.
N. Mohana Rao.
Adinarayana Reddy.
Ramchandra Rao Dehpande.
G. Latchana.
Tenri Viswanadham.
Vangala Gopalakrishnayya.
T. K. R. Sama.
Verkatakavishna Reddy.
Veeraraghava Rao.

Ones (90)

Sarvesri:

K. Brahmananda Reddy.
P. Thimma Reddy.
Kaluri Subbarao.
T. Anjiah.
S. C. Appela Naidu.
K. Apparao.
M. Baga Reddy.
E. Balaram Reddy.
A. Bapineedu.
E. Basappa.
Seetharamaswamy Bhavana Rao.
Damojara Reddy.
Gopidi Gangu Reddy.
C. Gangi Setty.
M. M. Hashim.
Harinarayan.
T. Hayagrivachari.
M. Kesavanayakulu.
Kesavulu.
Alluri Krishna Rao.
A. Krishnaiah.
A. Kishan Reddy.
L. Lakshmana Doss.
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Sarvasri:
L. Lakshma Reddy,
Lakshmikantha Reddy,
K. Lakshminarasimha Rao,
Lakshminarasimha Reddy,
Lakshmu Naidu,
N. Ramachandra Reddy,
Dr. M. Chenna Reddy,
A.C. Subba Reddy,
M.R. Apparao,
P.V. Narasimharao,
Smt. T.N. Sadalakshmi,
A. Balaramireddy,
B.V. Gurumoorthy,
N. Chanchu Rama Naidu,
M.V.S. Subbaraju,
P. Lakshmana Rao,
Madhava Reddy,
C. Mallikarjuna,
J. Malla Reddy,
Mohammad Ismail,
Mohammad Kamaluddin Ahmed,
Ch. S.R.V.R. Murthy Raju,
B. Nagabushanarao,
T. Naganatha Rao,
Nagi Reddy Subba Reddy,
K. Narasajah,
K.S. Narayana,
K. Narayana Swamy,
R. Narasimharamaiah,
T. Paparao,
Y. Penchaliah,
C. Rajanarasimha,
Butti Rajaram,
Kalyani Ramachandra Rao,
Ramdev Reddy,
Ch. Ramiah Chowdary,
V. Ramamo,
A. Ramaywamy,
M. Ram Mohana Rao,
Gadipalli Ramloo,
K. Rangadass,
Smt. Kumudini Devi,
S.L. Devi,
Reddy Rathamma,
Roda Mistry,
Shantabai,
Sarvasri G. Rangaraju,
T. Ranga Reddy,
G. Sanjiva Reddy,
K. Shanthiah,
T. Sanyasi Naidu.
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K. Seethiah Gupta,  
P. Seshavataram,  
Y. Sivarama Prasad,  
Dr. M.N. Lakshminarasiah,  
T.V. Raghavulu,  
B. Sriramamurthy,  
P. Veerabhadra Rao,  
K. Veeranna,  
J. Vengal Rao,  
K.V. Reddy,  
Kakani Venkatratnam,  
Vithal Reddy,  
N. Venkateswarlu,  
D. Venkiah,  
R. Yesupadam.

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker.-The question is:--  
To reduce the allotment of Rs.2,84,90,000 for Heads of State etc. by Rs. 100/-.  
For the failure of the Government to scrap prohibition and thus increase the wastage of Government funds.

To reduce the allotment of Rs.2,84,90,000 for Heads of State etc, by Rs. 100/-.

For not reducing the expenditure on travelling of Ministers.

To reduce the allotment of Rs.2,84,90,000 for Heads of State etc, by Rs. 100/-.

Failure to give replies to the Cut Motions given by the Legislators except the Revenue Department.

The cut motions were negatived.
Mr. Deputy Speaker :- The question is :—

To reduce the allotment of Rs. 2,84,90,000 for Heads of State etc by ... Rs. 100/-

The cut motion was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker :- The question is :—

To utilise the Government on its stupendous failure to concede to the just demands of the N.G.G.Os., IV Class employees and teachers in the State and in failing to secure aid from the Central Government...
for this purpose and to urge them to meet their demands without further delay.

To reduce the allotment of Rs.2,84,90,000 for Heads of State etc, by.. Rs. 100

To criticise the action of the Tahsildar and R.D.O. Madanapalli (Chittoor district) who have most factionally rejected the twenty-four (24) applications for continuing their retail dealership of foodgrains, which have been commended by the local M.L.A. and for having conferred the same on new entrants, who have indulged in black marketing and smuggling of foodgrains and to urge the Government to enquire the same and do justice. This happened in December, 1965.

To reduce the allotment of Rs.2,84,90,000 for Heads of State etc, by.. Rs. 100

To criticise the Government for failing to sanction Rs. 7 to each of the 84 Yerukula families of Midanapalli taluk, Chittoor district as recommended by the Collector, Chittoor, now pending with the Finance and Revenue Departments of the Secretariat and to urge them to sanction the same immediately.

The cut motions were negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:- The question is:

“That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs.2,84,90,000 under Demand No. IX- Heads of State, Ministries and Headquarters Staff.”

The motion was adopted.

The House then adjourned till Half Past Eight of the clock on Saturday, the 26th March, 1966.