ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
DEBATES
OFFICIAL REPORT.

Fourth day of the Sixth Session of the
Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly

ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
Monday, the 22nd November, 1965.
The House met at Half past Eight of the Clock
[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS.

MISAPPROPRIATION OF N.D.F. BY KARNAAM AND MUNISFF OF VUYYURU.

76—

*677 (3124) Q.—Sri Vadidala Gopalakrishnayya (Sattenapalli).—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received any complaints about the embezzlement of accounts and misappropriation of the National Defence Fund by Village Karnam and Munisif, Vuyyuru, Krishna district;

(b) if so, whether any investigation was conducted; and

(c) the action taken in the matter thereon?

The Minister for Revenue (Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy).—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Yes, Sir, an investigation was conducted.

(c) No misappropriation of N.D.F., or land revenue was detected.

Sir, there were the accounts of the Village. On the last account, the whole amount of 120 was recovered.

Mr. Speaker, there were two accounts.*—One was 120 and the other 15. The whole amount was recovered.

Sir, there were the accounts of Vuyyuru. On the last account, the whole amount of 120 was recovered.

297—1
The intention, I think, may be bad, but some amounts were collected in excess from some people and they were credited to some people under some other account. This was detected, and all the documents were also seized. A notice was also issued to the karnam to explain the reason.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy - A show-cause notice has been issued to the Head Karnam by the R.D.O.

The intention, I think, may be bad, but some amounts were collected in excess from some people and they were credited to some people under some other account. This was detected, and all the documents were also seized. A notice was also issued to the karnam to explain the reason.
The R.D.O went to that village two or three times, and the village was made the venue for every opportunity given to the villagers to come forward with allegations.

Mr. Speaker — Has any target been fixed? I do not think.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy — The data is not immediately available. There were charges against the Head Karnam and Munsif. The Munsif has already resigned and is not in service. The Head Karnam is in service and a show-cause notice has been served on him.
Mr Speaker — This question deals with misappropriation of the N D F. by a village karnam. He is asking questions relating to the policy of the Government in the matter of collection of Defence funds. So far as collection of N D. F is concerned, as far as I know no officer is entrusted People are themselves coming forward voluntarily and giving donations. They are themselves sending collections. There is consciousness in the people. They themselves are sending donations.

Mr Speaker — They will make note of it and see that proper receipts are sent to the parties concerned who send donations.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— I do not know That question will be answered by the Chief Minister.
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Mr Speaker — The village Munsif resigned post to save the Head Kamam. Is that so? That is what he asks.

Mr Speaker — Those questions must be answered. He has asked a question which involves further enquiry.

If it is necessary, will be conducted.

MEMORANDUM FOR COMPASSIONATE PENSION

(a) whether hon. the Chief Minister has received a memorandum dated 22-7-1964 submitted by Sri D Seetharamaiah, M.L.A., regarding payment of compassionate pensions; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy — (a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) The matter is under consideration of the Government.
Mr Speaker:—There has been some delay. Will the Government see that the matter is expedited and disposed of?

Mr. —There has been some delay. Because, papers could not be traced, it was delayed. The file was received with the remarks of the Finance only on 17-11-1965. Complimentary grounds have been taken out on 17th November. 

Mr Speaker—The point is, there has been delay of 3 years. In the meantime, the man has died. Why should it not be disposed of as early as possible? That is the point. Why go into the history of that?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—Certainly, there is some delay. Because, papers could not be traced, it was delayed. The file was received with the remarks of the Finance only on 17-11-1965. Complimentary grounds have been taken out on 17th November.

Mr Speaker—Please see that the matter is disposed of as early as possible. Do not put the member to the painful necessity of again sending in question.

Tax on Commercial Crops in Lanka Lands

78—

(a) whether the Government has been levying additional tax for raising commercial crops in lanka lands given on lease to the Field Labour Co-operative Societies, whereas similar taxes are not being collected from ordinary ryots; and

(b) if so, why this discrimination?

Sri N Ramachandra Reddy:—(a) Yes, Sir

(b) The intention of the extra levy is to discourage the Field Labour Societies for growing commercial crops and to encourage for growing of food crops.

Mr. Speaker — Call it what you want. They are collecting it.

Sri P. Rayagopala Naidu — We are afraid that it may be extended to other parts.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy — Where is the question of extension? I have already answered that it is under the active consideration. The Revenue Board has recommended the case — to observe uniform principles.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy — It is only a penalty as the conditions of the lease are violated.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy — Cash crops and food crops — Food crops (citrus, oilseed crops, etc.) are allowed by the Act. Cash crops are allowed by the Act if they are required for industrial purposes. Cash crops and food crops cannot be grown on the same land at the same time.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy — provisions for lease and conditional lease. Cash crops and food crops can be grown on the same land at the same time. Cash crops cannot be grown on the same land as food crops.
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Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy — We want to encourage the food crops. As scarcity of foodgrains is there, that condition has been there when leasing out the lands.

Grant by the Government of India for Flood havoc

*785 (5297) Q.—Sarvasri S. Venayya, S. Jagannadharm (Narasanapeta) At Soreswara Rao and P O Siva Narayana Rao (Kosti)—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government of India has turned down the request of the State Government for reimbursements of Rs 4 6 crores spent on damage caused by the flood havoc, last year, and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy (a) The Government of India were requested to give a grant of Rs. 4 5 crores. But they did not agree.

(b) The Government of India have stated that the assistance of the Central Government will be applicable only to that part of the expenditure which is in excess of the amount available in the Famine Relief Fund set up by the State Government in accordance with the recommendations contained in para 18 of the Second Finance Commission and further recommendation, if any, of subsequent Finance Commission in this respect.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy — The Government of India have not met this. The famine relief fund is very small. It exhaust the conditions. In account, the Hon'ble Minister for Relief issued the order of famine relief. How can the Hon'ble Minister?
Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy—As against any contingency of floods, famine and drought conditions, every year we provide Rs 75 lakhs. When we exhaust Rs 75 lakhs, then only the Government of India will bear only 50%. We have not exhausted this amount of Rs 75 lakhs—because there were some previous accumulations—all these funds were not yet exhausted. Moreover there are certain conditions also. Most of the amount, according to the Government, was spent on the repairs to roads, buildings, etc., and these types of expenditure will not be taken into consideration. That is the reply given by the Union Finance Ministry.

Famine Code—Famine rehabilitation is for the construction of buildings and repairs to roads and buildings.

Floods—We are likely to get something, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—Very encouraging.

SoS famine accumulation Government securities 31-8-64 1.52 crores 106.

SoS. accumulation serious bead Government accumulation 31-8-64 1.52 crores 106.

SoS. accumulation Government of India N. Government of India accumulation. Of course, the matter is also under active consideration now.

LANDS TO REFUGEES FROM CEYLON IN EAST GUDUR

80—

806 (6104) Q.—Sri S. Vemayya Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that delay is being made for last four years in issuing pattas for the lands bearing S.No. 1128, 1914 etc., in East Gudur village, Gudur Taluk, Nellore District to the refugees from Ceylon; and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy.—(a) Yes Sir, but it is not known whether all the applicants for the land are actually refugees from Ceylon.

(b) Due to the loss of the original applications in transit to the Revenue Inspector and subsequent ban imposed on assignment.

Mr. Speaker An attempt is being made to trace the missing records. After the records are traced, then the Government may take some action.
Sr. N Ramachandra Reddy — They cannot be traced out, Sir. Therefore, applications have been called for and fresh instructions have been issued.

They have been traced out. Sir.

Therefore, applications have been called for and fresh instructions have been issued.

Sanction of New Subsidy Wells to the Samithis

81—

RSN (5042) QSr. V. V. Shivarao Rao. — Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government sanctioned new subsidy wells to the Samithis during the years 1963-1964 and 1964-1965,

(b) if so, how many New Well Subsidy were allotted to each block in Krishna district,

(c) whether the Samithis are implementing the reservation of 15% to the Scheduled Castes people, and

(d) how many wells are allotted to the people having more than 10 acres and above?

The Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture (Sri A.C. Subba Reddy) — A statement is placed on the Table of the House.

Statement placed on the Table of the House.

(Vide L A Q No 81[5042])

Case (a) Yes, Sir

(b) and (d).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Panchayat Samithi</th>
<th>Wells allotted to each block</th>
<th>Wells allotted to people having 10 acres and above</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>1964-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tiruvur</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Jaggiahpet</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mylavaram</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Nureddi</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Kancinkacherla</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Vissannapet</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Nandigama</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Kankpadu</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Gannavaram</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 589 98 147 26
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(c) Yes, in most cases, the Samithis have followed the rule of reservation of 15% for Scheduled Castes and, in a few cases, it could not be followed either for want of applications or furnishing of sufficient security by them.

Question 1. Who have reserved subsidy wells under the 1968-69 budget?

Answer: In most cases, the Samithis have followed the rule of reservation of 15% for Scheduled Castes and, in a few cases, it could not be followed either for want of applications or furnishing of sufficient security by them.

Question 2. What is the interest rate on loans for subsidy wells?

Answer: The interest rate for loans for subsidy wells is 10%.

Question 3. What is the grant paid for the construction of subsidy wells?

Answer: The grant paid for the construction of subsidy wells is 500.

Question 4. What is the difference in interest rates for loans for 1964-65 compared to 1968-64?

Answer: The interest rate for loans in 1964-65 was 750, while in 1968-64, it was 700.

Question 5. What is the difference in grant paid for subsidy wells in 1964-65 compared to 1968-64?

Answer: The grant paid for subsidy wells in 1964-65 was 750, while in 1968-64, it was 700.

Question 6. What is the difference in the number of cases of reservation in 1968-64 and 1964-65?

Answer: In 1968-64, 20 cases were reserved, while in 1964-65, 12 cases were reserved.

Question 7. What is the difference in the number of applications for first charge in 2051 compared to 2551?

Answer: The number of applications for first charge in 2051 was 25, while in 2551, it was 12.

Question 8. What is the difference in the number of applications for first charge in 2051 compared to 2551?

Answer: The number of applications for first charge in 2051 was 25, while in 2551, it was 12.

1. The area of land was extended. Can the extension be extended further?

2. Is the extension of jurisdiction beyond the limits of the area?

3. The area of land (15 acres) is 32 acres. Can the area be reduced to recover the excess?

4. Is the jurisdiction of the area extended beyond the limits?

5. The area of land is 750 acres. Is it possible to extend it by 1,000 acres?

6. The area of land is 2,000 acres. Can it be extended to 3,000 acres?

7. The area of land is 750 acres. Can it be extended to 1,000 acres by loan, subsidy at Rs. 2,000 per acre?

8. The area of land is 750 acres. Can it be extended to 1,000 acres by loan, subsidy at Rs. 2,000 per acre?

9. The area of land is 1,000 acres. Can it be extended to 2,000 acres by loan, subsidy at Rs. 2,000 per acre?

10. The area of land is 3,000 acres. Can it be extended to 4,000 acres by loan, subsidy at Rs. 2,000 per acre?

11. The area of land is 10 acres. Can it be extended to 20 acres by allotment, transfer?

12. The area of land is 26 acres. Can it be extended to 52 acres by legal opinion or other means?

13. The area of land is 147 acres. Can it be extended to 266 acres by violation of certain rules?

14. The area of land is 10 acres. Can it be extended to 20 acres by allotment, transfer?

15. The area of land is 10 acres. Can it be extended to 20 acres by violation of certain rules?
22nd November, 1965.

Oral Answers to Questions

1. **Agro-a wet land** oral en writing 3rd writing.

2. *Legal opinion* share value 10 share value.

3. Legal opinion deal 3rd deal.

4. **Legal opinion** legal opinion legal opinion.

5. Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) whether our Government have requested for additional grants from Centre for the completion of projects in our State, and

(b) if so, the nature of response by the Central Government.

Sri A.C. Suddha Reddy: (a) and (b)—A note is placed on the Table of the House.

Statement placed on the Table of the House

[Vide L.A.Q No 82 [*264 (9078)*]]

IRRIGATION

**Major Projects**—Nagarjunasagar, Pochampad and Tungabhadra High Level Canal.

The State Government represented to the Government of India in September, 1964 the need for additional Central assistance amounting
to Rs 20.86 crores for Nagarjunasagar, Tungabhadra High Level Canal and Pochampad Project as indicated below to get accelerated benefits under the schemes:

(Rupees in Crores)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the Project</th>
<th>Additional amount asked for during</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1964-1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Nagarjunasagar Project</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Tungabhadra Project High Level</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Pochampad Project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 The Government of India have agreed only to the accelerated programmes under Nagarjunasagar project and Tungabhadra Project High Level Canal and released Rs 4.00 crores for Nagarjunasagar Project and Rs 25 crores for Tungabhadra High Level Canal during 1964-65. As regards the Pochampad Project, the Government of India considered that the existing Third Plan provision of Rs 3.67 crores is sufficient since the Project is still in the initial stage of construction. During 1965-1966 additional assistance to the tune of Rs 9 crores for Nagarjunasagar and Rs 1.98 crores for Tungabhadra High Level Canal has been demanded and the matter is under the consideration of Government of India.

Medium Irrigation Projects — (1) Assistance for schemes included in the III Plan and administratively sanctioned

For the following 5 sanctioned medium schemes the Government of India were requested to provide additional funds to a total extent of Rs 231.02 lakhs as detailed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the scheme</th>
<th>Estimated cost (in lakhs)</th>
<th>Amount required during working season, 1965</th>
<th>Amount required during working season, 1966</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Varaha Reservoir Scheme (East Godavari District)</td>
<td>38.47</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>25.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Pampa Reservoir Scheme (East Godavari District)</td>
<td>46.44</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>26.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Kanupur Canal (Nellore district)</td>
<td>69.50</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>44.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Lankasagar Project (Khammam district)</td>
<td>38.26</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>21.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Nallavagu project (Medak district)</td>
<td>40.35</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>25.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>231.02</td>
<td>88.00</td>
<td>143.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Government of India have stated that none of the above schemes qualify for special assistance according to the criteria laid down by the Agricultural Production Board. According to the criteria, considerable progress should have been made on the schemes and that by accelerating the progress by providing additional funds during the last year of the III Plan, they could yield benefits during the first year of the Fourth Plan. They have not therefore, sponsored any of the schemes for special assistance.

(ii) Assistance for schemes not yet cleared by the Planning Commission

In addition, the Government of India were also requested to provide additional funds for the following seven schemes to a total extent of Rs 274.56 lakhs for the two working seasons 1965 and 1966.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the Scheme</th>
<th>Estimated cost</th>
<th>Amount for the working season 1965</th>
<th>Amount for the working season 1966</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Gandipalem Project (Nellore District)</td>
<td>69.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>54.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Kuchastali Reservoir near Ammapalai village (Chittoor district)</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Bodhavagu Project (Khammam District)</td>
<td>51.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>31.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Satmala Project (Adilabad District)</td>
<td>49.73</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>34.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Ukleschettyvagu Project raising F.R.L. at Kolsagar and constructing a pick up weir at Ramanapurabad (Mahabubnagar district)</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Mulavagu Project (Karimnagar District)</td>
<td>20.37</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Mattamavandla Cheruvu Kurnool District)</td>
<td>18.96</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>274.56</strong></td>
<td><strong>99.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>175.56</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Government of India have stated that none of the above schemes qualify for special assistance according to the criteria laid down by the Agricultural Production Board as stated above, and therefore they have not sponsored any of these projects for special assistance.

Programme of Emergent Lift Irrigation Schemes:

In August, 1964 the Government of India were requested to approve the proposal to this Government to take up 184 Lift Irrigation Schemes on rivers, streams and canals at a cost of Rs. 50 crores. But these schemes were subsequently reviewed with reference to the guidelines furnished by the Government of India. As a result, 109 schemes costing about Rs. 2.71 crores were forwarded to the Government of India. They have recently conveyed their approval to schemes at a total of Rs. 86.68 lakhs to benefit about 40,000 acres in the state. An additional assistance of Rs. 15 lakhs has also been sanctioned by the Government of India for these schemes during 1965-66.

POWER PROJECTS

While sending the annual plan for 1965-66 an additional assistance of Rs. 7.40 crores was asked for Upper Sileru, Srisailam and Kothagudem, Stage I projects as follows —

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scheme</th>
<th>Provision in 1965-1966</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Upper Sileru, Stage I</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Srisailam including Transmission and distribution</td>
<td>400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Kothagudem Thermal Scheme (Stage I) including Kumerasani Project</td>
<td>240.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>740.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Against the additional outlay of Rs. 740 lakhs demanded, the Planning Commission have agreed to provide an additional assistance of only Rs. 8.00 crores.

EXTENSION OF SUPPLY OF POWER TO AGRICULTURAL PUMPS FOR INCREASING AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION

In addition to the above, Central assistance of Rs. 815.38 lakhs (Rs. 208.85 lakhs for 1964-1965 and Rs. 610.53 lakhs for 1965-1966) has been applied for, for certain schemes for extending power supply to rural areas for increasing agricultural production. The Government of India have, however, sanctioned an amount of Rs. 20.00 lakhs.
Oral Answers to Questions.

only during 1964-1965 for financing the expenditure on energising tube wells. Consequent on the subsequent modification of the cost of the schemes to Rs 811.47 lakhs as against the original cost of Rs 818.88 lakhs, the Government of India have been addressed for releasing the balance of Rs 791.47 lakhs (Rs. 811.47 lakhs—Rs. 20.00 lakhs) during 1965-1966 over and above the plan ceiling for power sector and their sanction is awaited.

ROADS

The Government of India had been approached in October, 1964 for a grant of Rs 7.50 lakhs for constructing a tunnel and open cuts across the Indrakaladhri Hill in Vijayawada town to form approach roads to avoid traffic congestion on National Highways Nos 5 and 9 in Vijayawada Town. Accordingly, the Government of India have sanctioned in December, 1964 Rs. 7.50 lakhs as a grant-in-aid for the above work.

The Government of India were addressed to sanction a grant-in-aid of Rs. 150.00 lakhs towards new works under the programme of State Roads of Inter-State or economic importance during the Third Five Year Plan and they were also requested to allow the State Government to debit the excess expenditure of Rs 88.89 lakhs on the 14 items of such road works of the II Plan to the amount of Rs 150.00 lakhs. But, the Government of India have rejected the proposal. Thereafter, the Government of India were requested to sanction a grant-in-aid at least of Rs. 88.89 lakhs which is the excess expenditure on committed items of the II Plan approved by the Government of India. This was also not agreed to by the Government of India. They have therefore, been requested at least to sanction a loan of Rs. 88.89 lakhs. Their reply is awaited and this matter is being pursued with the Ministry of Transport.
THUNGABHADRA PROJECT LOW LEVEL CANAL

385 (2961) Q — Sri P. O. Satyanarayana Raju — Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether soil test was conducted in Tungabhadra Project Low Level Canal Ayacut area,

(b) if so, whether the cropping pattern has been evolved as per the recommendation of the Agriculture Department; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy — (a) Yes, Sir

(b) and (c) According to Agriculture Department the original cropping pattern contemplated was for irrigation of half wet and half dry during 1st and 2nd crop periods. This pattern of cultivation did not find favour with the cultivators and resulted in lopsided development of wet area only. In order to achieve rapid development in both wet and irrigable dry crops, it was decided by the State Irrigation development Board in May 1981 to throw open the entire wet ayacut during the 1st crop season of 1871 Fasli and where under any D P or distributory the entire localised wet is not fully developed, water supplies may be arranged for the localised ID ayacut for dry irrigation only.

Sri P. O. Satyanarayana Raju — Whether the Government have received representations that black cotton soil localised as dry is not brought under cultivation

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy —Yes.

Sri P. O Satyanarayana Raju — If so, when does the Government take necessary action to cultivate such lands, Sir?

C 2 Try Ttry, suceed tryd tryd, Ttry try, suceed ctryd tryd, ctryd tryd, ctryd tryd, ctryd tryd, ctryd tryd.
EXCESS WATER OF TUNGABHADRA RESERVOIR FOR SECOND CROP IN KRISHNA DELTA.

84—

(a) (4854) Q.—Saravani A Sarveswara Rao and K. Satyanarayana (Rapalla) : Will the hon Minister for irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state,

(a) whether the Government of Mysore have been permitting the State Government to utilise the excess water year after year without conferring any right from the Tungabhadra Reservoir for growing a second crop in the Krishna Delta;

(b) if so, how much water was utilised by this Government and how much amount was paid for the last three years; and

(c) will the Government make a special effort and convince the Government of Mysore to allow water for the second crop in West Godavari District?

Sri A.C. Subba Reddy: (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The quantity of water released exclusively for second crop in Krishna Delta during the last three years is as follows:—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Specific Releases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1962-63</td>
<td>3 261 T.M.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>18 328 T.M.C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>18.318 T.M.C.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No amount has yet been paid for these years.

(c) Government are making every effort for the release of Tungabhadra waters for 2nd crop in Krishna Delta and some of the areas of West Godavari District covered by Krishna Eastern Delta are already being supplied with water for second crop from the Tungabhadra Reservoir.
Answers to Questions.
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Q. 3. Did you attend the conference on irrigation?

A. Yes.

Q. 3. Are you aware that the conference was held?

A. Yes.

Q. 3. You mentioned that the conference was held.

A. Under the irrigation system of Krishna delta.

Q. 3. Were you aware of the conference?

A. Yes.

Q. 3.Do you think the Chief Minister made any statement on this?

A. Yes.

Mr. Speaker— I think the Chief Minister seems to have made some statement on this after his return from Delhi.

Smt. A. C. Subba Reddy—Yes. By the time we want water, they have agreed to let out water on condition that we have to supply them 20 M. Watts, but these 20 M. Watts we will replace through Madras and some other points. There seems to be about 90,000 cusecs of water. It is not even 7 or 8 thousand cusecs of water in the river. It is only after that we can know the exact position.

Smt. A. C. Subba Reddy—Yes. By the time we want water, they have agreed to let out water on condition that we have to supply them 20 M. Watts, but these 20 M. Watts we will replace through Madras and some other points. There seems to be about 90,000 cusecs of water. It is not even 7 or 8 thousand cusecs of water in the river. It is only after that we can know the exact position.

Rainfall statistics show that they have got much power. They themselves will give it.

Sri A.C. Subba Reddy—There was no unauthorised diversion last year and this year.

MINOR IRRIGATION WORKS IN JAGATYALA TALUK.

(a) During 1963-1964, three schemes were sanctioned. They are—

(a) Anicut across Vanapamu vagu, Chilvakodur village.
Or. 1 Answers to Questions. 22nd November, 1965.

(ii) Anicut across branch of Jungle Nala Chilvakodur village

(iii) Desai Panjar mott, Thakkalapalli village

During 1964-1965, one scheme viz., "Scheme for an anicut across Suddala mott in vagnoor village" was surveyed

(b) Out of the above schemes two schemes were sanctioned as given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the Scheme</th>
<th>Ayacut</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desai Panjar mott, Thakkalapalli village</td>
<td>61-00</td>
<td>20,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anicut across Vanapamruvagu Chilvakodur village</td>
<td>200-00</td>
<td>24,500-00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Rs. 45,000.

NEW IRRIGATION WORKS IN PALAMANER TALUK

86—

*306 Q — Sri P. Rajagopal Naidu — Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether any estimates were prepared for new irrigation works in Palamaner taluk, Chittoor District after the relaxation of Palar basin rules, and

(b) if so, the names of the works?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy: (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) A prehiniary estimate was prepared for the construction of an anicut across the Koundinya river near Palamaner—Kuppam road—crossing for feeding Pedda Cheeruvu of Palamaner.

SUPPLY OF WATER TO THE RYOTS OF DENDULUR

87—

*426 Q — Sri S. Venkaya — Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the ryots of Dendulur area, in West Godavari District refused to pay the water cess as the authorities failed to supply water in time, even for 500 acres, during the current season.

(b) if so, the action taken by the Government?

Oral Answers to Questions.

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:—(a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(a) Yes. If source cited? If source cited complaints?


RESTORATION OF MINOR IRRIGATION SOURCES IN THE STATE DURING 1964-65.

83—

*409 (5198) Q—Sarasvati K Mara Reddy (Rayampet) and P. Guvahakar: Will the hon. Minister for Irrigation and Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Minor Irrigation sources restored during 1964-65 in the State and the total area that was brought under irrigation;

(b) what was the amount spent, and

(c) whether the target was achieved?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:—(a) The number of minor irrigation sources restored during 1964-65 in the state were 1,895. The total area that was brought under irrigation was 65,408 acres. Out of that Waltair circle figures are not available

(b) Rs. 147 17 lakhs

(c) No definite targets for development of ayacut have been fixed. The progress can be watched at the end of 1965-66, as full development of the ayacut could not be achieved in the same season in which the schemes were completed. The financial targets have been achieved on the whole.

BEST PANCHAYATS AND PANCHAYAT SAMITHIS IN THE STATE DURING 1964-65.

89—

*61 (5183) Q—Sri K Mara Reddy (Put by P. Rajgopal Naidu): Will the hon. Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

the names of the best Panchayat and Panchayat Samithis selected during 1964-1965 in the State?

The Minister for Panchayat Raj (Dr. M. N. Laksmanaswami): The State Level Judging Committee met on the 22nd and 23rd September, 1965 and selected Budhavaram Gram Panchayat, Krishna District and Tumpan Gram Panchayat, Medak District as the best...
Gram Panchayats for the year 1964-65 for the Andhra and Telangana regions of the State respectively Ganapavaram Panchayat Samithi, West Godavari and Mudhole Panchayat Samithi, Adilabad District were also adjudged as the best Panchayat Samithis for the year 1964-65 for the above two regions of the State.

G.O AFFECTING THE SERVICE CONDITIONS OF V.L. Ws.

90—

*102 (6061) Q —Va. V. S. Vemayya, G. C. Kondababha and S. Jagannadham — will the hon. Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government have issued a G.O No 411, dated 1-4-1965, (Panchayati Raj, Establishment IV) affecting the service conditions of the Village Level Workers, Gramsevikas to opt out to the Panchayat Raj Service, and

(b) if so, what are the advantages to the employees who have been thus transferred to the Panchayat Raj Service?

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasaiah (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The implementation of the orders has been stayed by the High Court on a Writ filed by the village level workers.
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Oral Answers to Questions.

Dr. M. N. Laxmanrao:—Nothing will be affected. Another thing is, I do not want to say anything because it is in the High Court.

**INTRODUCTION OF PASS BOOK SYSTEM FOR CREDIT TO THE RYOTS**

91—

821 (8238) Q—Sri S. Venayya.—Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state

(a) whether any proposal for introducing the "Pass Book" system indicating the quantum of credit to which the ryots are entitled is under consideration of the Government, and

(b) if so, when the said system will be introduced?

The Minister for Co-operation (Sri T. Rama Swamy) (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) A sub-committee which has been appointed for the purpose is examining the scheme. After a decision is taken by the Sub-Committee on this proposal, and after it is examined by Government the decision will be taken

1. Sri Raghobhama Reddy, Chairman.
2. P. Thimmama Reddy, President A.P.C.C., Member.
3. Sithapati Rao, Manager, State Bank of India.
4. Malhotra, Managing Director of State Co-operative Bank.
5. Dattetyulu, Secretary, Andhra Pradesh Land Mortgage Bank.
6 Sri C.M Karappa, Registrar of Co-operative Societies.
7. " Desikan, Dy. Secretary to Government, Revenue
     Department

The entire property should be taken into account for determining
the credit worthiness of the cultivator. The amount should be shown
in the pass book and the cultivator should be able to obtain money
from any bank anywhere and repay it when it is possible. The
collecting agency should be specified. The property should be mort-
gaged only to the extent necessary.

Centralisation of all agencies for collection. Preparation of pass
books by the Revenue Department While preparing the pass book the
question of tenant in possession and the landlord etc., should be con-
sidered and the extent to which the Commercial bank should set apart
a portion of the resources for giving the loans to farmers. Formation
of credit consortiums.
22nd November, 1965.

Mr. Speaker—Has any time been fixed before which the recommendations of the committee should be received?

Sri T Ramaswamy—No time is fixed. It was asked to submit the report at an early date.

Mr. Speaker—Any how send a reminder and see that it is expedited.

The Chief Minister (Sri K. Brahmamanda Reddy).—The matter is not free from difficulties. Several aspects have to be looked into. The simple matter of Pass book examination is involved. The implications are far reaching. Sri Raghottam Reddy saw me and told me the difficulties and all about that. He said he is expediting the report. After the report comes, we will certainly discuss this matter.

REPAIRS OF HIGHWAYS ROADS IN BADWEL TALUK

92-

#88 (3516) Q.—Sri P. Narayana Reddy:—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) the amount of expenditure incurred for the repair of the highways roads in Badwel taluk, Cuddapah District during 1963-64 and 1964-65 respectively;

(b) the length of roads black-topped (in miles) in the said taluk during 1963-64 and 1964-65 respectively; and

(c) the length of new metal roads laid during the above period in the said taluk?
The Minister for Public Works (Sri T V Raghavulu) — The details are given below — During 1964-65 During 1964-1965.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M.F</th>
<th>M.F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>Rs 6,22,914</td>
<td>Rs 4,69,601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

ROAD BETWEEN PALAVANCHA AND DAMMAPET

*557 (978) Q.—Sri A. Ramachandra Reddy.—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) the progress made so far in the construction of a road between Palavancha and Dammapet,

(b) the amount spent so far; and

(c) what is the estimated cost of this scheme?

A —

(a) The formation work has been let out and it is in progress.

(b) Rs. 29,957 to the end of June, 1965

(c) Rs. 4,50 lakhs.

DELAY IN CONSTRUCTING KHAMMAM-YELLANDU ROAD

*558 (875) Q.—Sri A. Ramachandra Reddy.—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) the reasons for the abnormal delay in the construction of Khammam-Yellandu road;

(b) the amount spent so far on this road; and

(c) when the same is likely to be opened for vehicular traffic?

A —

(a) There is no abnormal delay in the construction of Khammam-Yellandu road.

(b) Rs. 20,60,000.

(c) It will take a month.

HANDLING OF STATE GOVERNMENT MATTERS IN THE SUPREME COURT.

*572 (1827) Q.—Sarvani A. Vasudeva Rao (Husum Nagar) K. Rajamallu (Chinnur) : Will the hon. Minister for Law and Prisons be pleased to state:
(a) what is the annual establishment charges for the senior counsel and advocate-on-record dealing with all the State Government matters in the Supreme Court, and

(b) what was the previous practice for dealing with such matters in the Supreme Court ?

A —

(a) There is no establishment sanctioned for this Government's Senior Counsel and Advocate-on-Record in the Supreme Court.

(b) In the past, this Government were participating in the Central Agency Scheme of the Ministry of Law, Government of India, under which the Government advocates in the Central Agency Section at New Delhi were acting as Advocates on-Record and arranging for the drafting and pleading work in every case of the State Government in the Supreme Court.

ABOLITION OF POSTS OF JUDICIAL SECOND CLASS MAGISTRATES

96 —

*1014 (1482-B) Q — S. A. Sareeswara Rao.—Will the hon Minister for Law and Prisons be pleased to state,

(a) whether the Government have taken any decision to appoint Munsiff Magistrates in Andhra area abolishing the posts of Judicial Second Class Magistrates, and if so the need to take this decision, when the centuries old existing system is functioning most efficiently,

(b) whether the Government have examined the scheme of absorbing the existing Judicial Second Class Magistrates including the temporary Magistrates who were selected in September, 1962 by the High Court by a Committee of three Judges in case the new scheme is implemented, and

(c) the expenditure involved in the implementation of the new scheme?

A. —

(a) The answer is in the affirmative. The Government decision to have one Munsiff Magistrate in each taluk as obtaining in Telangana area is taken to bring uniformity in the State and also in the interests of litigant public.

(b) The question of absorption of Judicial Second Class Magistrates in the upgraded posts to be created under the new set up has not come up for consideration of the Government as the detailed proposal of introducing new set up of courts on a phased programme is now under consideration of Government.

(c) In view of emergency, the scheme is proposed to be implemented in the three districts of Anantapur, Cuddapah and East Godavari. It will not involve any additional expenditure for the reorganisation of the Courts in them.
LOCATION OF A DISTRICT MUNSIFF COURT IN EVERY TALUK

97—

1025 (1433-U) Q.—Sri R. Rajagopal Naidu, T. K. R. Sarma and Ramachandra Rao Deshpande (Narayankhed) Will the hon. Minister for Law and Prisons be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have decided to locate a District Munsiff Court in every taluk in the State; and

(b) if so, what steps are taken in this regard?

A.—

(a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) It is proposed to implement the scheme in a phased programme. For the present it will be confined to the districts of Anantapur, Cuddapah and East Godavari.

JUDICIAL SECOND CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT, SATYAVEDU

98—

583 (5740) Q.—Sri Balakrishna (Satyavedu)—Will the hon. Minister for Law and Prisons be pleased to state:

(a) whether any estimate has been prepared for construction of a Pucca Office building for the Judicial Second Class Magistrate Court at Satyavedu; and

(b) whether the estimate has been sanctioned and when the work is likely to be taken up?

A.—

(a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) The answer is in the affirmative. The Chief Engineer, Public Works Department (Buildings) has issued necessary instructions to the Superintending Engineer, Public Works Department, Cuddapah Circle to get the work started immediately and to furnish a compliance report.

PURCHASE OF LAND BY THE R.T.C. AT HANMAKONDA FOR BUS Depot.

99—

57 (5098) Q.—Sri A. Venkateswar Rao (Narasampl).—Will the hon. Minister for Labour and Transport be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Road Transport Corporation purchased a plot at Hanmakonda, Warangal District for construction of a Bus Depot; and

(b) if so, what is the total amount paid towards compensation; and
22nd November, 1963

Written Answers to Questions.

c) What is the cost per yard of the surrounding areas?

A—

(a) No, Sir. The Road Transport Corporation has decided to acquire lands in Nizamkonda for the construction of Bus Depot and Stand etc.

(b) No compensation has yet been paid to the parties.

(c) The cost per sq yard in the vicinity ranges from Rs. 0.25 paise to Rs. 1.67 paise up to July 1963. Therefore, there is a sharp rise in the price up to Rs. 10.

ROUTE PERMITS BETWEEN VIJAYAWADA AND MANGALAGIRI

(a) Whether the Government allowed the Guntur and Krishna Regional Transport Officers to open a route from Vijayawada to Mangalagiri?

(b) If so, how many permits were issued on this route; and

(c) When was this route taken over by the Road Transport Corporation?

A—

(a) Yes, Sir, the Government permitted opening of the following two fair weather routes between Mangalagiri to Vijayawada in the interest of the travelling public.

(1) Mangalagiri to Vijayawada via Cement Nagar and Narasimhaswamy Temple; and

(2) Vijayawada to Mangalagiri via Yenapalem

(b) Two permits were so far issued on the fair weather route Vijayawada to Mangalagiri via Cement Nagar and Narasimhaswamy Temple. The permit in respect of the other route is still under consideration of the Regional Transport Authority, Guntur.

(c) The routes referred to above are only fair weather routes and they have not been notified. There is no all weather route between Mangalagiri and Vijayawada. The route Guntur to Vijayawada which touches Mangalagiri was taken over by the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation on 25-12-1958. The question of taking over this route by Road Transport Corporation does not arise.
TAKEN OVER VILLAGES IN VISAKHAPATNAM DISTRICT.

406—

*992 Q—Shri P. V. Ramana—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) how many villages (Estates) etc. yet to be taken over by the Govt. in Visakhapatnam district, and

(b) what is the reason for delays?

A—

(a) Six Villages viz.
1. Pedu
2. Buringi
3. Sridhuput
5. Gardi, and
6. Paritippeta

(b) Further enquiries with subordinate officers and courts are pending in respect of Pedu, Buringi, Gardi and Paritippeta villages. As regards Sridhuput and Neelumput villages, action is being taken to notify them as undervest estate under section 1 (4) of the Estates Abolition Act 1948.

ABOLITION OF MALGUZARI SYSTEM AND MUTTADARI SYSTEM.

407—

*944 (1884)—Sarvasri A. Sarveswara Rao and V. Satyanarayana:—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether the regulations for abolition of Malguzari system of land tenure prevalent in Nugu Teluk (Khammam District) and Muttadari System prevalent in certain districts have been finalised and issued; and

(b) if so, whether Tribes Advisory Council of this State and Tribal Cultural Research and Tribal Institute have suggested any changes in the draft regulations?

A—

(a) The Regulations for abolition of Malguzari system of land revenue prevalent in Nugu Teluk (Khammam District) and Muttadari system prevalent in certain districts have been finalised and they are awaiting the Assent of the President of India.

(b) Yes.

TREASURE-TROVE FINDINGS.

408—

*959 Q—Sri S. Venugopala—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:
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Written Answers to Questions.

(a) No of Treasure-Trove findings reported during the Fasah 1874 in the State, and

(b) the value of the findings referred to in Cl. (a)

A—

(a) 24

(b) The value of 21 Treasure-Trove findings has been assessed as Rs. 34,056-73 approximately. The value of the remaining 3 Treasure Trove findings has not yet been estimated.

Agriculture Extension Officers in Agency Panchayat Samithi, Visakhapatnam District

409—

1146 Q—Sri D Kondal Rao (Chintapalli) — Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government propose to appoint Agriculture Extension Officers in Agency Panchayat Samithis in Visakhapatnam District; and

(b) if so, when?

A—

(a) There is already one Extension Officer (Agric.) working in each of the Agency Blocks of Visakhapatnam District.

(b) Does not arise.

Velduri-Yanchayi Road

410—

*73 (5163) Q—Sri T. K R Sarna — Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state

(a) when the Velduri-Yanchayi road in Dronachalam taluk, Karool District has been laid;

(b) whether any amount has been spent during the last three years for repairing the said road; and

(c) if so, how much?

A—

(a) There is no such road in Dronachalam Panchayat Samithi. Presumably the reference is to Veldurthi-Emboiy road which was laid long back by the Highways Department.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) Rs. 6,354.
CALLING ATTENTION TO A MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re LOCATION OF FIFTH STEEL PLANT AT VISAKAPATNAM.

Mr. Deputy Chairman in the Chair,

22nd November, 1965.

Ministry of Steel and Mines
Point of Information

Re: Drought condition, closure of fertilizers mixing factories etc.

POINT OF INFORMATION

Re: Drought condition, closure of fertilizers mixing factories etc.

Call Attention to inadequate supply of Chemical Fertilizers, supply of Phosphate and Sulphate, mixing firms and the factories.

Food problems need discussion on this issue. Paddy procurement by the Government, Fertilizers need to produce and food supplies need to be increased. Factories close and food supplies should be increased.
Pont of information

22nd November, 1965

re: Drought condition, closure of fertilizers mixing factories etc.

Most important business of the day will be serious matter to discuss the steps concrete suggestions (10th November) to be taken, (Decisions) steps to be taken etc. Debate by Business Advisory Committee (5th November) to discuss the drought condition and incidental steps etc. Business Advisory Committee to call attention and make suggestions to industrialists for any urgent matters important business. Board of Revenue to call the Collectors of revenue groups and listen to their complaints and suggestions, (Revenue) various recommendations to be made. The steps and other matters will be taken up again.

1. Business Advisory Committee to discuss the important business. It is one of the most important to discuss the drought condition and incidental steps etc. Business Advisory Committee to call attention and make suggestions to industrialists for any urgent matters.

2. Business Advisory Committee to discuss the important business. It is one of the most important to discuss the drought condition and incidental steps etc. Business Advisory Committee to call attention and make suggestions to industrialists for any urgent matters.

3. Business Advisory Committee to discuss the important business. It is one of the most important to discuss the drought condition and incidental steps etc. Business Advisory Committee to call attention and make suggestions to industrialists for any urgent matters.

4. Business Advisory Committee to discuss the important business. It is one of the most important to discuss the drought condition and incidental steps etc. Business Advisory Committee to call attention and make suggestions to industrialists for any urgent matters.

Business of the House

tance "drought conditions, levy important issues supply, distribute raw materials, supply distribution of fertilizer factories, raw materials, supply distribution discussion, fertilizer enforcement. Close of the fertilizer factories, raw materials, supply distribution fertilizer enforcement. Close of the fertilizer factories, raw materials, supply distribution fertilizer enforcement.

Attention Notice for 2 hours debate is to allow discussion. Suggest discussion.

We have to consider all these will have to be considered in the Business Advisory Committee.

Mr Deputy Speaker.—All these will have to be considered in the Business Advisory Committee.

Sri Pallela Venkateswarlu — The Business Advisory Committee can discuss the items placed before it and the time we have to allot for those items.

Mr. Deputy Speaker.— He has already said that it would not be changed.

Mr. Deputy Speaker.— Harvest assessment complete. As far as levy implementation already done, it is being followed. Which drought condition affects? Mr. Deputy Speaker has already said that it would not be changed.

Mr. Deputy Speaker.— Tanks in drought affected areas, crop affected areas, levy quantum, rainfall, drought condition in some areas. Levy distribution arrangements. In Delta area, drought conditions, paddy production ratio affected areas, non-delta areas affected. Non-delta areas rain fall drought conditions, percentage indicate.
22nd November, 1965.

Business of the House.

M. Prasada Rao — On a point of order, Sir. 

1. Side by side levy in Delta area 

2. Delta area levy demand notice case.

3. Demand notice 

4. Adjournment motion 

5. House adjournment motion
Mr. Deputy Speaker. — My information is that all these things are coming up on the 25th. The adjournment motion has been converted by the Speaker as Call Attention. I think

Mr. Deputy Speaker. — I will find out. All of a sudden I cannot say. But my information is that the Speaker has taken all these things under the Call Attention and posted them for the 25th.

Sri N. Prasada Rao. — Just now, Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker. — Not now. It cannot be taken up now. I will find out and if there is any change I will ascertain from the Speaker and tell you.

Sri N. Prasada Rao. — Atleast tomorrow.

Mr. Deputy Speaker. — I cannot say unless I consult the Speaker.
22nd November, 1965.

Business of the House.

The food Corporation agent had not enforced the rules. As a result, the agent failed to perform his duties. The seriousness of the situation warranted discussion.

Mr. Vanka Satyanarayana said he would like to discuss the levy question. He moved a notice of motion on the levy conditions. The drought conditions and the levy system were discussed. He referred to the levy system.

The Speaker replied that a Joint Select Committee had referred to the Bill, and it had been presented in the House. He informed the House that the Bill had been referred to the Chief Minister. The Bill was ready for presentation to the House. The Speaker adjourned the House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker said he understood the adjournment motion. The report would be placed on the Order of the Day. Extension has been taken up to 30th November, and the report will be submitted before that.
Mr Deputy Speaker—25th is fixed for call attention notice Adjournment motion. Mr Deputy Speaker has converted it as call attention. Just as some hon Members desired, I will leave it to the Speaker’s discretion.

Sri T K R Sarma—Does not the Government feel—?

Mr Deputy Speaker—There is no question of Government. The adjournment motion is there. The hon. Speaker has converted it as call attention. It is left to him to see whether it should be taken as adjournment motion or otherwise and fix a date.

Sri T K R Sarma.—My only submission is this. When the discussion on the drought conditions is there and is likely to come up later, why it should not be advanced in order that there is early discussion. We are simply stoking when the whole country is burning. After all, one week is over and we are going to discuss it on the 28th. What is the impression that this is going to create on the general public. That is exactly what has to be understood by the Government.


Rules Made Under the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats Act, 1964

Dr. M. N Lakuminarasiah—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table under sub-section (3) of section 217 of the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats Act, 1964, a copy each of the rules made in exercise of the powers conferred by sections 6 (3) and (4), 41 (1), 47, 120, 217 (1) and 217 (2), (xvi) of the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats Act, 1964, which have
Government Bill


been published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette through the Government orders mentioned below—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl No</th>
<th>Order No</th>
<th>Rules Supplement to Part VII of Andhra Pradesh Gazette</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>G.O.Ms No 628 Panchayati-Raj Department, dated 27-5-65</td>
<td>Rules Supplement to Part VII of Andhra Pradesh Gazette, dated 24-6-1965</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>G.O.Ms No 629 Panchayati-Raj Department, dated 27-5-65</td>
<td>Rules Supplement to Part VII of Andhra Pradesh Gazette, dated 1-7-65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>G.O.Ms No 648 Panchayati-Raj Department, dated 31-5-65</td>
<td>Rules Supplement to Part VII of Andhra Pradesh Gazette, dated 1-7-65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>G.O.Ms No 669 Panchayati-Raj Department, dated 4-6-1965</td>
<td>Rules Supplement to Part VII of Andhra Pradesh Gazette, dated 1-7-65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>G.O.Ms No 686 Panchayati-Raj Department, dated 8-6-1965</td>
<td>Rules Supplement to Part VII of Andhra Pradesh Gazette, dated 24-6-65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>G.O.Ms No 726 Panchayati-Raj Department, dated 22-6-1965</td>
<td>Rules Supplement to Part VII of Andhra Pradesh Gazette, dated 8-7-1965</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mr Deputy Speaker.—Papers laid on the Table.

GOVERNMENT BILLS

THE ANDHRA PRADESH MUNICIPALITIES (POSTPONEMENT OF ELECTIONS) BILL, 1965

Sri G. Latchanna.—On a point of order, Sir. The ordinances of 1935—

Mr Deputy Speaker.—What is that ordinance?

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Motion moved.

22nd November, 1965.


...
Government Bill. 22nd November, 1969. 77


I. The 22nd Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to postpone the elections to the municipalities. It was communicated to the Chief Ministers' conference that the decision had been taken for the reasons already communicated.

II. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had taken a decision to defer the elections to the municipalities. The decision had been communicated to the Chief Ministers' conference for the reasons already communicated.

III. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

IV. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

V. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

VI. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

VII. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

VIII. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

IX. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

X. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XI. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XII. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XIII. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XIV. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XV. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XVI. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XVII. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XVIII. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XIX. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XX. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XXI. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XXII. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XXIII. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XXIV. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XXV. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XXVI. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XXVII. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XXVIII. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XXIX. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

XXX. The Chief Ministers' conference of 1967 had decided to defer the elections to the municipalities for the reasons already communicated.

Because of the doubts raised here,
Government Bill


The Bill provides for the postponement of elections to certain municipal councils in the Andhra Pradesh, due to the circumstances prevailing at the time. The Bill has been introduced to ensure that the elections are conducted in a peaceful and orderly manner, without any interference from political parties.

The Bill has been passed by the legislature with a majority of votes, and has been referred to the Governor for assent.

The provisions of the Bill are as follows:

1. The elections to certain municipal councils in the Andhra Pradesh are postponed for a period of six months.
2. The elections will be conducted as soon as possible after the end of the six-month period.
3. The local government authorities are required to take all necessary steps to ensure the smooth conduct of the elections.
4. The provisions of the Bill are subject to the provisions of the Constitution of India.

The Bill has been well received by the public, and has been praised for its initiatives to ensure the free and fair conduct of elections.
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Government Bill.
The Government Bill


It shall be deemed to have come into force on the fourteenth day of September.
Government Bill
22nd November, 1965.
The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities

Municipal elections and Assembly elections have been postponed. Casual elections Legislative Council have been postponed. Casual elections announcement is to be made.

Elections postponed. Casual elections postpone one day. Casual elections Chairman announce election. Casual elections announce the election.

Ordinance to be an ordinance. Casual elections August 5th to October 6th. Casual elections August 5th to October 6th to August 6th to 64 to August 65.


As the stage progresses to the stage where the stage is over, casual vacancies are provided. General elections are the only fundamental right as the stage progresses. As the stage progresses, the stage is over. Casual vacancies are provided. General elections are the only fundamental right as the stage progresses. As the stage progresses, the stage is over.

Elections are the only fundamental right as the stage progresses. As the stage progresses, the stage is over. Casual vacancies are provided. General elections are the only fundamental right as the stage progresses. As the stage progresses, the stage is over.
Government Bill: 22nd November, 1965. 373
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22nd November, 1965.

Government Bill.

Government Bill

22nd November, 1915

The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities
(Po plement of Elections) Bill, 1965

No election shall be held to fill any vacancy at any time.

The incumbent shall continue to hold the office until the elections are held.

The provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities Act, 1965, shall apply to the vacancies created by such elections.

No person shall be qualified to be elected as an alderman except such as have attained the age of twenty-one years.

No person shall be disqualified from being elected as an alderman.-

...
Government Bill
The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Postponement of Elections) Bill, 1955
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The Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Postponement of Elections) Bill, 1955
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22nd November, 1965

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Objects and reasons for postponement

The objects of the Bill are to provide for the postponement of elections to the Municipalities and the Village Panchayats in the state of Andhra Pradesh. The reasons for the postponement are due to the prevailing political situation and the need to ensure free and fair elections.

The Bill also provides for the transfer of certain powers and functions from the Municipalities to the State Government.

The Bill was introduced in the Assembly on 22nd November, 1965.
22nd November, 1965


Objects and reasons:

The objects and reasons for the introduction of this Bill are as follows:

1. The term of the Municipalities of the Andhra Pradesh was due to expire on 30th June, 1966. To avoid the necessity of conducting elections in the ensuing Rabi season, i.e., November, 1965 and January, 1966, when the agricultural activity is at its peak, it is desirable that the elections be postponed.

2. The municipal elections are conducted in October and November, which is a critical period for the rabi crops. Postponing the elections would ensure a smooth harvest and prevent any possible disruption caused by the electoral process.

3. The rationale behind this decision is to streamline the municipal administration and ensure continuity in governance. A smooth transition is essential for maintaining public services and infrastructural development.

4. The Bill aims to provide a conducive environment for the development of the rural areas by reducing the administrative burden during the crucial period.

5. It is further intimated that the Municipalities are also responsible for the maintenance of public amenities such as water supply, sanitation, and road maintenance. Postponing the elections will allow these services to continue uninterrupted.

6. The Bill is expected to bring about significant changes in municipal administration, leading to better governance and public welfare.


The Bill provides for the postponement of elections to certain municipal bodies due to unforeseen circumstances. The Bill seeks to empower the Government to declare a stage of election as casual election and postpone the election to a later date.

The Bill also provides for the recall of the Municipal Council or the Town Hall Committee in case of any necessity. The Bill also empowers the Government to declare a stage of election as casual election and postpone the election to a later date.

The Bill seeks to empower the Government to declare a stage of election as casual election and postpone the election to a later date.

The Bill also provides for the recall of the Municipal Council or the Town Hall Committee in case of any necessity. The Bill also empowers the Government to declare a stage of election as casual election and postpone the election to a later date.

The Bill seeks to empower the Government to declare a stage of election as casual election and postpone the election to a later date.

The Bill also provides for the recall of the Municipal Council or the Town Hall Committee in case of any necessity. The Bill also empowers the Government to declare a stage of election as casual election and postpone the election to a later date.
22nd November, 1965

Governement Bill


Mr D oply Spaeke — The question is

"That the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Postponement of Elections) Bill, 1965 be read a first time."

The motion was adopted

Sri N. Chowdhu Ramana Naidu — Sri, I beg to move

"That the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Postponement of Elections) Bill, 1965 be referred to the Regional Committee for consideration and report to the Assembly."

Mr Deputy Speaker — Motion moved

(Pause)

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is

"That the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Postponement of Elections) Bill, 1965 be referred to the Regional Committee for consideration and report to the Assembly."

The motion was adopted.


Sir T Ramaswamy — Sir, I beg to move

"That the Andhra Pradesh Cooperative Societies (Amendment) Bill, 1965 be read a first time."

Mr Deputy Speaker — Motion moved
Government Bill

22nd November, 1965

The Ardh a Pradesh Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Bill, 1965

appoint a person or persons to manage the affairs of the society for a period of not exceeding six months and he may from time to time extend such period so that the extended period including extension shall not exceed one year.
Government Bull:
The Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Bill, 1965

884 22nd November, 195

The spirit of 32(3) (since the date of the said amend-
made under section 32(3) to appoint the person to be
meanwhile in the Chair) (Sap P Narayant Reddy in the Chair)

(Sir) as President generally, especially 35 (y)

and shall issue an order in this behalf. The said

order shall be published in the Official Gazette.

P. Narayant Reddy, M.P., Speaker.
The Andhra Pradesh Co-operative
Societies (Amendment) Bill, 1965

(Mr Speaker in the Chair)

Now this will be referred to the Regional Committee. We are at the first reading stage. The Minister himself is going to move the motion for referring it to the Regional Committee. After it is received from the Regional Committee, you can speak for 20 minutes and discuss everything at length, when it comes at the second reading stage. I do not think any useful purpose will be served now by having a lengthy discussion. Whatever suggestions you may want to make, you may make now, but the proper stage would be when it is taken up for the second reading.
22nd November, 1936.

The Andhra Pradesh Cooperative Societies (Amendment) Bill, 1935

Sri V. Visveswara Rao.—When we make some suggestions, the Regional Committee can take them into consideration and do something.

Mr. Speaker,—That is why this is the thing that I am coming up from the beginning, which I want to avoid.

Sri P. Rajagopal Narasimha—When it goes to the Regional Committee, Telangana Members will be able to discuss.

Mr. Speaker—Let the Telangana Members give their suggestions. After that members will have full dress debate when the Regional Committee's report is received. So what I suggest is instead of a lengthy discussion, you can offer your suggestions. That is better, because your suggestions will carry some weight. Your suggestions will carry weight in the second reading stage. If you repeat them in the second reading stage, it will be stale.

Mr. Speaker—That one after another you may give suggestions. I know Mr. Visweswara Rao has got some experience in the cooperative field. He will be able to give some useful suggestions. Reserve them for the second reading stage.

Mr. Speaker—Like that one after another you may give suggestions. They will make a note of it. The Telangana Members will also make a note of it.

Mr. Speaker—On section 5 amendment, Viswanatham, Members have a different point of view. Members have a different point of view. Members have a different point of view. Members have a different point of view. Members have a different point of view. Members have a different point of view. Members have a different point of view. Members have a different point of view.

Mr. Speaker—That one after another you may give suggestions. On amendment, Viswanatham, Members have a different point of view.
Government Bill.
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Conduct of elections, enrolling of membership and proper management etc. due to practical difficulties are thus being amended as follows:

Section 6 (1) of the Act is hereby amended to provide that no elections shall be held before the expiration of the period of one year from the date of the publication of the notice of elections. The elected body for the period of that year shall be appointed by the government. The appointed body shall not hold elections and the same shall be held one year after the expiry of the appointed body period.

 Amendment made in the conduct of elections, enrolment of membership and proper management etc. due to practical difficulties are thus being amended as follows:

Section 6 (1) of the Act is hereby amended to provide that no elections shall be held before the expiration of the period of one year from the date of the publication of the notice of elections. The elected body for the period of that year shall be appointed by the government. The appointed body shall not hold elections and the same shall be held one year after the expiry of the appointed body period.

Section 6 (1) of the Act is hereby amended to provide that no elections shall be held before the expiration of the period of one year from the date of the publication of the notice of elections. The elected body for the period of that year shall be appointed by the government. The appointed body shall not hold elections and the same shall be held one year after the expiry of the appointed body period.

Section 6 (1) of the Act is hereby amended to provide that no elections shall be held before the expiration of the period of one year from the date of the publication of the notice of elections. The elected body for the period of that year shall be appointed by the government. The appointed body shall not hold elections and the same shall be held one year after the expiry of the appointed body period.
Government Bill

The Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Bill, 1965

If there is no Committee, the Registrar may appoint a Committee for the purpose of conducting the elections of the Committee. For a period not exceeding six months, he may from time to time extend such period but however it shall not exceed one year. Thereafter it extend such provision continues.

Comprehensive bill: The bill provides for the extension of certain provisions.
Government Bill. 22nd November, 1965


Are we in so dangerous period? Democracy is created democracy is saved. If there is danger democracy is saved. Are we in so dangerous period? Democracy is created democracy is saved.

Mr. Speaker: Do not enter into that.

Sir T. Ramachandra: No Sir; I do not enter into that matter.
390 22nd November, 1965,
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Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal
Amendment Bill, 1965.

Mr. Speaker — There is no question of accepting the amendment. It is in the first reading stage.

Mr. Speaker. — He can give notice of an amendment. The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Bill, 1965, be read a first time."

The motion was adopted.

Sri T. Ramanamma — Sir, I move.

"That the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Bill, 1965 be referred to the Regional Committee for consideration and report to the Assembly."

Mr. Speaker — Motion moved.

(Pu. )

Mr. Speaker — The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Bill, 1965 be referred to the Regional Committee for consideration and report to the Assembly."

The motion was adopted.

THE ANDHRA PRADESH CIVIL SERVICES DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS
TRIBUNAL AMENDMENT BILL, 1965

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy — Sir, I move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal Amendment Bill, 1965 be read a first time."

Mr. Speaker — Motion moved.

 palabra: statement of objects and reasons. At the tribunal. of the. . . .
Government Bill.


Mr Speaker—Under which rule?

Mr Speaker—As far as I know when once leave is granted, there is no time fixed within which the bill can be introduced. It is more for the convenience of the members that some time is given to go through the bill so that they may offer their suggestions.

Mr Speaker.—It is moved for the convenience of the members that some time is given to study the bill and make their own suggestions.

Mr Speaker.—I accept that some reasonable time must be given to the Members to study the bill. The Business Advisory Committee has considered all these aspects. It felt that 2 days time is enough. I have done so consultation with the Business Advisory Committee.

Sri Vepula Gopala Krishnaiah.—They are people who do not represent us.

Mr Speaker.—I have already exercised my discretion. It is over. I can tell that we will always bear these things in mind in future.
Mr. Speaker—I am now saying that we can see that seven days time is given. I have always agreed with the decisions of the Business Advisory Committee.

Section 6(1) of the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services Disciplinary Proceedings Act provides among other things that if the Tribunal consists of more than one member an inquiry into the case under Section 4 shall be held by all the members sitting together or by a single member as the Chairman may direct. Findings on such inquiry shall report on the conclusion of an inquiry, the Tribunal shall report its findings to the Government, and under Section 8 the Government shall consider the report of the Tribunal in the prescribed manner and pass such order thereon as they think fit.

Mr Speaker.—When will all the three Judges sit together?

Sri K. Brahmamandra Reddy—When all the judges sit together then they all have to sign the order to our Act it is an Tribunal consists of more than one member, an enquiry into a case referred to the Tribunal.
under section 4 shall be held by all the members sitting together or by a single Member as the Chairman may direct...
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మిత్రంగా విద్యార్థి. మిత్రం కార్యానుష్ఠాన అవసరం లేదు అని సూచించిన నీ సంస్థ కార్యాచరిత్రం, మిత్రం కార్యాచారం ప్రామాణికంగా విలుగు ఆధారం చేసే కారా వ్యాప్తి వాడి చారో. అయితే మేము అంశాలు విడిచిని original లేత అంశాలను మేము Tribunal లేదా అంశాలను మేము Tribunal లేదా అంశాలను మేము Tribunal లేదా అంశాలను మేము Tribunal లేదా అంశాలను మేము Tribunal లేదా అంశాలను మేము Tribunal లేదా అంశాలను మేము Tribunal లేదా

Fourth Finance Commission 6 రోగ సంశయం సంశయం సంశయం

Mr. Speaker:—Let us be clear about one thing. Suppose in one case one Judge or two Judges or three Judges made the enquiry. If more than one Judge made the enquiry, it is incumbent on them all to sign the findings or the recommendations. If, as the Chief Minister has pointed out, though there are more than one Judge in the Tribunal, if only one Judge was asked to make the enquiry into the matter and give his findings, then I do not think it is
The Andhra Pradesh Civil Services
(Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal)
Amendment Bill, 1965.

Mr. Speaker — It purely amounts to a technical irregularity. The Tribunal may consist of one member or more than one member. It all depends on the work that is there. It does not mean that all the judges in the Tribunal will sit together to enquire into the case.

Mr. Speaker — Let it be any case. We are not concerned with the persons or the nature of the case.

Mr Speaker — That is correct. Otherwise, it will be bringing their extra inform is or personal knowledge into the case. It is only the judge who hears that can sign. There cannot be any extraneous influences. Let it be a member of the Tribunal. When one judge hears the matter, no other judge, even if he is a member of the Tribunal, unless they sit together, can sign. If they sit together, they consult amongst themselves. Otherwise, it is not correct that he should sign. There may be any number of judges in the Tribunal.

Mr Speaker — That is left to the Chairman of the Tribunal to who should enquire into the matter and finalise. That member sitting in the Tribunal and hearing the case does not consult the other member of the Tribunal.

Mr Speaker: — It will not be proper. Even if there is a provision in the Act, it will not be proper.
22nd November, 1765.

The Andhra Pradesh Civil Services

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy — Section 6 (1) reads: “If the Tribunal consists of more than one Member, an enquiry into a case referred to the Tribunal under section 4 shall be held by all the members sitting together or by a single member, as the Chairman may direct and where all the members sit together the evidence shall be recorded by such member or members as the Chairman may direct.”

It is said ‘only one member records evidence while the other Members may be sitting there.’

Sri K Brahmananda Reddy. — When all the Members sit to gether the evidence shall be recorded by such member or members as the Chairman may direct, depending upon the work. Record chairman, other members record separately. When all the Members sit together the evidence shall be recorded by such members as the Chairman may direct.

Mr Speaker. — That is a dangerous precedent which you will be setting and if we follow it, no extraneous considerations can prevail against a judge who has enquired into the matter. Please do not advocate it.

Mr Speaker. — That is a dangerous precedent which you will be setting and if we follow it, no extraneous considerations can prevail against a judge who has enquired into the matter. Please do not advocate it.

You are misleading me.
Government Bill.  
22nd November 1963.


Mr. Speaker—I am not misleading the hon Member. Unfortunately he is not a lawyer himself. The judge not only records the evidence of the witnesses or people who give evidence, but he is also expected to not the demeanor or the witness in the Court and then give his opinion himself. People would not have sat in the inquiry if they could not hear the evidence. It is not proper for an inquiry Officer—he may be a single judge, to consult other people who did not sit in the inquiry. I do not think any other lawyer member will agree with him.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnaiah—If he happened to be a .

Mr Speaker—He is driving at the point; after the single judge inquiry is over, he should also consult the other members of the Tribunal. Is that so? I do not think anybody will accept it. If we set up such a practice, it will be a dangerous precedent.

Sri P. Rajagopala Naidu—I agree with the Chief Minister in this respect, Sir. Appoint a High Court Judge to do technicalities. He may be a single judge, but he should not sit in the inquiry. He wants that judges who do not sit in the inquiry, also be consulted. That is how I have understood him.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy—Sir, Section 7 reads thus—“On the conclusion of an inquiry, the Tribunal shall report its findings to the Government.” Section 8 reads thus—“The Govt. shall consider the report of the Tribunal in the prescribed manner and pass such orders thereon as they think fit.” Vigilance Commissioner consult extra-ordinary decision. Tribunal report from the Vigilance Commissioner. Retired High Court Judge, consult extra-ordinary decision, where is the need for this amendment?

Mr Speaker—Because the High Court has taken an extraordinary view, the necessity for moving this amendment has arisen.
Mr. Speaker—It is high time the hon. Member Sri Ramgopal Reddy to come this side and speak (Laughter)

Corruption and corruption must be stopped. Legal position amends. Definite is not so. Misunderstanding arises. High Court Ruling has been contrary to High Court Ruling. Amends definite is not the case. High Court ruling Assembly amendment is not in order. The House has a right to rectify them.

Mr. Speaker—May I say one thing. There is nobody who is infallible in this world.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya—We are human beings.

Mr. Speaker—No body is infallible in this world. It is not as though the Assembly or the Executive wants to go against the Courts. Finally, we have to respect the decisions of the High Court. And we are doing it. Some technical things are done, and naturally the House has got a right to rectify them.

Mr. Speaker—The provision seems to be very clear.

S Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya—It is the collective thinking.

Mr Speaker—If there are more cases, the Government appoints more people.

Mr Chairman—The collective thinking is correct. Collective thinking is correct and things are going on. That is my opinion. That is my opinion.

Mr. Speaker—It is high time the hon. Member Sri Ramgopal Reddy to come this side and speak (Laughter)
The Andhra Pradesh Civil Services
(Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal)
Amendment Bill, 1965.

High Court Judgment reading, the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services

High Court Judgment reading, the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services

The point is, if the Tribunal consists of only one Member, and if that
one Member hears and signs the report, does it amount to an opinion
or recommendation of the complete Tribunal. That is exactly what
was being discussed by the High Court in that particular discussion,
and this is provided in the amendment to Section 7 thus.

"Provided that where a single member of the Tribunal holds an
inquiry into a case as provided in sub-section (1) of section 6, he
alone shall report his findings and recommend the penalties and his
report to the Government in that regard shall be deemed to be the
report of the Tribunal for the purposes of this Act."

It is absolutely essential from the point of view that has been expressed by the High Court. Therefore, I do not say that our previous act
is extraordinary. The judgment given by the High Court is extraordinary as the Chief Minister is now trying to put before the House.
When a single judge of a particular tribunal gives a finding, whether
it amounts to a finding of the whole tribunal, is the question.

Mr. Speaker. — It is better we do not go into the merits of the case.
Let us not create an impression here that we are trying to find fault
with the way in which the High Court is working our own enactment.
We have no objection for the Chief Minister coming with an amendment
like this. When the hon. Member says that it is an extraordinary
judgment of the High Court, then it is unfortunate.

Sri K. Brahmanda Reddy — Please do not give any impression like
that. I have a right to feel that the decision of a court is right or wrong, af-
after the judgment is delivered; he has a right or everybody has a right;
but the point is, it is not correct to say, to replace the judgment of the High Court we have come with this amending legislation.

Mr. Speaker. — Once judgment is given, every Citizen has got a right.

Sri K. Brahmanda Reddy — You know Sir, the famous dictum that
'a judge has jurisdiction to give right as well as wrong.

Sri T. K. R. Sama: — We can have our opinion. At the same time,
when actually there is some defect in our legislation and when it has
been found out, and in order to fill up that we are going on with this
22nd November, 1905.

The Andhra Pradesh Civil Services
(Discipline Proceedings Tribunal)

Mr Speaker.—That depends upon the report of the Chairman.

Mr Speaker.—With regard to the appointment

Sri K. Brahmanandla Reddy.—He repeats the same mistake, Sir
The Andhra Pradesh Civil Services
(Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal)
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The question is:
"That the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal) Amendment Bill, 1965, be read a second time."

Mr. Speaker: -- The question is:
"That the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal) Amendment Bill, 1965, be read a second time."

Mr Speaker: -- The question is:
"That the Bill be circulated for eliciting public opinion."

Mr Speaker: -- The question is:
"That the Bill be referred to a Select Committee."

Mr Speaker: -- The question is:
"That the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal) Amendment Bill, 1965, be read a second time."

Mr Speaker: -- The question is:
"That the Bill be referred to a Select Committee."

The motion was adopted.

Sri K. Bhanaranada Reddy: -- Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Bill be circulated for eliciting public opinion."

Mr Speaker: -- Motion moved. There are two amendments given notice of by Sri N. Prasad Rao.

Sri N. Prasad Rao: -- Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Bill be referred to a Select Committee."

The motion was negatived.

Sri N. Prasad Rao: -- Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Bill be referred to a Select Committee."

The motion was negatived.

Mr Speaker: -- The question is:
"That the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal) Amendment Bill, 1965, be read a second time."

Mr Speaker: -- The question is:
"That the Bill be referred to a Select Committee."

The motion was negatived.
The motion was adopted.

Clause 2

Mr. Speaker: There are two amendments given notice of by Smt. Pratapabai and Shri N. Prasada Rao.

Sri Vanalala Gopababai: Sir, I beg to move.

"Add at the end of proviso to clause 8 -
when other members are on leave or the posts are vacant."

Sri N. Prasada Rao: Sir, I beg to move.

"Delete clause 2."

Mr. Speaker: Amendments moved.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"Add at the end of proviso to clause 8 -
when other members are on leave or the posts are vacant."

The motion was negatived.

Mr Speaker: The question is:

"Delete clause 2."

The motion was negatived.

Mr Speaker: The question is:

"That Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

Clause 3:

Sri N. Prasada Rao: Sir, I beg to move.

"Delete clause 3."

Mr Speaker: Amendment moved.

Mr Speaker: The question is:

"Delete clause 3."

The motion was negatived.

Mr Speaker: The question is:

"That Clause 3 do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 3 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 4

Sri N. Prasada Rao — Sir, I beg to move
"Delete clause 4"

Mr. Speaker — Amendment moved

Mr Speaker The question is.
"Delete clause 4"

The motion was negatived

Mr Speaker The question is.
"That Clause 4 do stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted

Clause 4 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 5

Mr Speaker The question is:
"That Clause 5 do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted

Clause 5 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 1.

Mr Speaker The question is
"That Clause 1 do stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted.

Clause I was added to the Bill

PREAMBLE

Mr. Speaker. The question is
"That Preamble do stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted.

Preamble was added to the Bill

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy Sir, I beg to move.
"That the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal) Amendment Bill, 1965, be read a third time."

Mr. Speaker — Motion moved

(Pause)
The question is

"That the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies (Second Amendment) Bill, 1965, be read a third time."

The motion was adopted.

Mr Speaker

The motion was adopted.

Mr Speaker

The motion was adopted.

Mr Speaker

The motion was adopted.

Mr Speaker

The motion was adopted.

Mr Speaker

The question is

"That the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies (Second Amendment) Bill, 1965, be read a first time."

The motion was adopted.

Mr Speaker

The question is

"That the Andhra Pradesh Co-operative Societies (Second Amendment) Bill, 1965, be referred to the Regional Committee for consideration and report to the Assembly."

The motion was adopted.
Government Bill: 22nd November, 1965

THE ANDHRA PRADESH MONEY CIRCULATION SCHEMES (PROHIBITION) BILL 1965

The Minister for Home (Sri Mr. Ahmed Ali Khan):—Sir, I have to move.

"That the Andhra Pradesh Money Circulation Schemes (Prohibition) Bill, 1965, be read a first time"

Mr. Speaker—Motion moved

Sri Mr. Ahmed Ali Khan—Sir, this money circulation business which is no more than a gambling has practically deprived so many people of their money and particularly poor and ignorant people have lost much out of this. Therefore, it was thought necessary that a Bill should be brought forward and enacted for the prohibition of money circulation schemes. Under these circumstances, I am forced to bring up this Bill before the hon. House.
Government Bill:

The post office in the name of Cheap money. 'Cheap' means 1 rupee in 5000, 20 rupees in 2000, 25 rupees in 5000, 50 rupees in 10000. If the Hon. Member is not expecting a reply, then I may continue speaking in Telugu.

Sri Pusabolu Gopalakrishnayya—I have to bring to the notice of the Government that Government is also creating gambling habits. Everywhere such habits are going on in the name of polytechnics or stadiums, such as, for instance in Guntur. Now, these money circulation schemes are being worked by individuals. These habits are worked by Government—by officers from the Office to the lowest officer. In Guntur, Sir, a Deputy Collector was appointed to collect the money for the raffles and he used to go to every village to collect the amounts. People were tempted to pay money. Everybody is tempted by the propaganda that by taking a little for a small sum, they would get a high amount. An impression is being created that they are competing with the politicians. While the politicians with their grooms are gambling in the co-operative institutions, the community is being misled. In the matter of further distribution, these people contended with propaganda: "Why can't you do something? It is cheap money business." I would urge...
Mr. Speaker — The only thing is better it covers also the lotteries of the Government.

Sir P. Subbiah — Sir, I also want to make a few observations regarding the plotting of the Bill, the contents of the Bill and the manner in which the Government is also a partner in some of the money circulation schemes.

Firstly, Sir, this is a long-delayed bill which could have been brought up much earlier. There is no doubt about it. Secondly, even though this is a Bill which has come late, it is quite welcome in the sense that the Government has at least realised that it has to boycott certain of the money circulating schemes which are bogus schemes. For instance, I have to invite the attention of the hon. Minister to the company which is called Gopanna Company. Like that, there are several companies. These are all bogus companies which thrive with inducements to the people that they will get much more amounts if they contribute paltry amount like Rs 5 or so. They do not know where the company is and who is managing that company and when lastly they realise they have to feel sorry that they have given the money to a man who is a bogus m-p and to a company which is a bogus company. Therefore, we have to boycott all these bogus things so that the people at large are not cheated by these anti-social elements and exploiters. This is number one. Secondly, even the Government is a partner. That is a sorry phenomenon. You would have accepted the advertisement for the Osce K.C. C. Charity Funds. Everywhere in every street a man would come in a vehicle and announce to the people that they will get lakhs of rupees provided they purchase a rupiya ticket. The people do not know which company that is, where it is and how it is working. Is not that company exploiting several
people at the cost of one or two people? So, such a thing has to be boycotted. Another sorry phenomenon is, even Government is a partner to see that these raffle tickets are sold at every place. The other day, an old woman had gone to a doctor and the doctor had sold a raffle ticket to her. You see the way in which these tickets are being sold for money circulation schemes. Therefore, although the Government is bringing forward a good bill, it has to see that it is worked properly and the offenders are brought to book and punished.

Mr. Speaker — One point has been raised. They are practically supporting the provisions. Sri Vavilama Gopelkrishnaya and Sri Subbaiah say that this should also cover raffles and lotteries sold by the Government. Their point is even the Government should not do such things.

Sri Mr. Ahmed Ali Khan — The methods by which the money circulation is being done by these companies and individuals is quite on a different basis. This Bill as it is drafted purports to the prohibition of money circulation only. Of course, raffles also Government is not encouraging except for some beneficial causes and that too very rarely.

Mr. Speaker: — Even for a good cause, such methods of collection of money should be avoided. That is what they say.

Sri V. Satyanarayana — It looks as though he is conceding our point.

Mr. Speaker: — It is not proper for us to ask him to commit himself with a categorical statement. The hon. Member can ask him to consider about it so that at some later stage he may think about it and take forward with a bill or amendment. Now, it may not be proper for us to ask him to give a categorical statement about it.

Mr. Speaker — The hon. Member cannot expect him to make a categorical statement here and now.


Mr. Speaker:—The hon Member can draw any inference he wants. It does not come under any assurance. Now, let us not go into all these things.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

“That the Andhra Pradesh Money Circulation Schemes (Prohibition) Bill, 1965, be read a first time.”

The motion was adopted.

Sir Mr. Ahmed Ali Khan: Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Andhra Pradesh Money Circulation Schemes (Prohibition) Bill, 1965, be read a second time.”

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved.

(Pause).

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

“That the Andhra Pradesh Money Circulation Schemes (Prohibition) Bill, 1965, be read a second time.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2.

Mr. Speaker: There are no amendments to this clause. The question is:

“That Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

Clause 3.

Mr. Speaker: There is one amendment standing in the name of Sri Vavilala Gopalskrishnayya.

Sir Vavilala Gopalskrishnayya: Sir, I move:

“That in clause 3 after the words “Money Circulation Scheme” insert the following:—

“or refills ard any similar easy money tempting schemes”

Mr. Speaker: Amendment moved.

The hon. Member has already spoken at length about it.
The Andhra Pradesh Money Circulation Schemes (Prohibition) Bill, 1965

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: I have pointed out about raffles to the Minister as well as to the House. In the amendment, I have mentioned not only about raffles but also any similar easy money tempting schemes. If the Government is not prepared to include raffles here, they may bring forward another amendment.

Mr. Speaker: He is not in a position to say anything about this now.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: He is not prepared to say anything about the raffles; I agree. Because Government is running it and unless the Government policy is changed, they can't do anything about it. But the Government is entering into gambling. Government have become gambling dens. They are maintaining it. Their officers, Deputy Collectors, Tahsildars and others, are asked to collect money for this gambling.

Mr. Speaker: It is not correct to say that Government is maintaining gambling dens. Some of the officers might be doing it.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: Excuse me, Sir, in Guntur district, a special officer is appointed for raffles.

Mr. Speaker: That is different. That is not a gambling den.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: That is why I say, if he is not prepared to accept “raffles”, he may kindly allow me to delete that word. He may however accept to include the words “and any similar easy money tempting schemes” because Government will have some elbow room to define it. That will be very convenient for the hon. Minister at any time to invoke it.

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan: The Bill is mainly meant for the stoppage of the money circulation business and it covers so far as the money circulation is concerned. I think there is necessity to insert this.

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

“That in clause 8 after the words “Money Circulation Scheme”， insert the following:—

“or raffles and any similar easy money tempting schemes”.

The motion was declared negatived.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya demanded a poll and the House divided thus:


The motion was negatived.
Government Bill:

Mr. Speaker The question is
"That clause 3 do stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clause 3 was added to the Bill

Clause 4.

Sri N Prasada Rao I beg to move:
"That in clause 4 insert the words 'not less than' before the words 'six months'."

Mr Speaker Amendment moved

(Pause).

Mr Speaker The question is:
"That in clause 4 insert the words 'not less than' before the words 'six months'."

The motion was negatived

Mr Speaker The question is:
"That clause 4 do stand part of the Bill"

The motion was adopted

Clause 4 was added to the Bill

Clause 5

Sri N Prasada Rao I beg to move:
"That in sub-clause (f) of clause 5 for the words 'three months' substitute the words 'not less than six months'."

"That in sub-clause (f) of clause 5 for the words 'five hundred' substitute the words 'one thousand'."

Mr Speaker Amendments moved

(Pause).

Mr. Speaker The question is:
"That in sub-clause (f) of clause 5 for the words 'three months' substitute the words 'not less than six months'."

"That in sub-clause (f) of clause 5 for the words 'five hundred' substitute the words 'one thousand'."

The motion was negative.
Mr. Speaker: The question is:

“That clause 5 do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 5 was added to the Bill.

Clause 6.

Sri Vasudeva Gopalakrishnayya: I beg to move:

“Delete proviso to sub-clause 1 of clause 6.”

Mr Speaker: Amendment moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

“Delete proviso to sub-clause (1) of clause 6.”

The motion was negatived.

Sri N. D. Prasada Rao: I beg to move:

“That in sub-clause (2) of clause 6 delete the words ‘any neglect on the part of.’”

“Add the following at the end of Explanation (a) to clause 9: all its managers, secretaries.”

Mr. Speaker: Amendments moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

“That in sub-clause (2) of clause 6 delete the words ‘any neglect on the part of.’”

“Add the following at the end of Explanation (a) to clause 9: all its managers, secretaries.”

The motions were negatived.

Sri Vasudeva Gopalakrishnayya: I beg to move:

“Delete sub-clause (2) of clause 6.”

Mr. Speaker: Amendment moved.

(Pause),

Mr. Speaker: The question is:

"Delete sub-clause (2) of clause 6".

The motion was negatived.

Mr Speaker: The question is:

“That clause 6 do stand part of the Bill”.

The motion was adopted.

Clause 6 was added to the Bill.

Clause 7.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: I beg to move:

“That in sub-clause (1) of clause 7 for the words ‘Officer in charge of a police station’ substitute the words ‘Inspector’”

Mr Speaker: Amendment moved

Mr Speaker: Even in the case of very grave crimes I think a Head Constable or even a Constable has the right to arrest a person. The services of an Inspector may not be always available.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: If you say it is all right, I agree.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: I beg leave of the House to withdraw my amendment.

The amendment withdrawn by leave of the House, withdrawn.

Mr Speaker: The question is:

“That clause 7 do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 7 was added to the Bill.
22nd November, 1965.

Government Bill:

Clauses 8 to 11

Mr Speaker The question is:

"That clauses 8 to 11 do stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted

Clauses 8 to 11 were added to the Bill

Mr Speaker There is an amendment for adding a new clause which reads as follows:

"Government may make rules and publish them in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette and place them on the Table of the Legislature."

This has been given notice of by Sri Varuluka Gopalkrishnayya.

Mr Speaker We do not want any rules at a later stage for the efficient implementation of the provisions of the Bill.

Sri Mr Ahmed Ali Khan Everything is given in the Bill itself.

Sir.

The Minister says that rules are not necessary. When they come forward with the rules, you may tell him.

Mr Speaker Please make a note of it. The Act is given in the Bill itself.
Mr. Speaker, they are guided by the legal advice of the Government. Today they have given that advice. Tomorrow they may give another advice. Then the Minister also will revise his opinion. Hence the amendment need not be moved.

Clause 1.

Mr. Speaker, the question is:

"That clause 1 do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

"Clause 1 was added to the Bill.

Preamble.

Mr. Speaker, the question is:

"That the Preamble do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Preamble was added to the Bill.

Sri Mr. Ahmed Ali Khan: I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Money Circulation Schemes (Prohibition) Bill, 1965, be read a third time."

Mr. Speaker: Motion moved.

Sri Vammala Gopaleshwaraya: Sir, Government, with good intentions, have brought this legislation, but so many circulation schemes are still working. I know—and the Government is aware—that there is a case in the High Court regarding money orders, which are held up by the Postal Department. I would like to know, at what stage the matter is and what is going to be done immediately. There are still some money circulation schemes like the Lakshmi Scheme, the Kamala Scheme, etc.
22nd November, 1965.

Government Bill


Mr. Ahmed Ali Khan:—I assure the House that every effort will be made for the effective implementation of the provisions of the Bill. We shall do everything to enforce the provisions of the enactment.

Mr. Speaker. The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Money Circulation Schemes (Prohibition) Bill, 1965, be read a third time".

The motion was adopted.

The House then adjourned till Half Past Eight of the Clock on Tuesday, the 20th November, 1965.