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The House met at Half past Eight of the Clock.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

C.P. REVENUE ACT IN NUGUR TALUK

*917 (4413) Q. Sri J. Vengala Rao (Vemsoor):—Will the hon.
Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it has been brought to the notice of the Government
that the C P. Central Provinces) Revenue Act is still in force in Nugur
taluk, Khammam district;

(b) whether it is legal to allow the laws of another State to be
in force in a taluk forming part of Andhra Pradesh without the
approval of the State Legislature of Andhra Pradesh which has come
into existence after the re-organisation of States, and

(c) whether it is also a fact that the Zamindari Abolition Act
is not in force in the said area?

The Minister for Revenue (Sri N Ramachandra Reddy):—(a) The
Government are aware that the Central Provinces Land Revenue
Act, 1881 is in force in Nugur taluk of Khamma district.

(b) Under Section 119 of the States re-organisation Act, 1956,
the laws in force in Nugur taluk prior to 1-11-1956 continue to be in
force even after that date. The Scheduled areas of Nugur and Bha-
drachalam taluks were transferred to Khammam district from East
Godavari with effect from 30-11-1956 by Regulation of 1963. Ac
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cording to sub-section (1) of section 2 of this Regulation, all laws which were in force in Nig. 1 talik immediately before the transfer shall continue to be in force even after the transfer. By virtue of this provision the approval of the legislature as such is not necessary for continuing those laws.

(c) The answer is in the affirmative.

Sri. Ramachandra Reddy—The same point has been raised by Sri Vengala Rao. That has been taken into consideration and soon after that regularisation is given assent to by the President. These difficulties will be resolved.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy—I do not exactly remember, Sir. But I think it is four months back.
Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy — The same laws that were in existence are in force. Time and again these modifications were made but according to the present circumstances, taking all these things into consideration the regulation is prepared in consultation with the Tribes Advisory Council also and it has been submitted to the President for assent.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— It does not come under the definition of the Estates. That is why it will not apply.

CONCEALMENT OF SECOND CROP IRRIGATION BY KARNA M ALAMURU

(a) During F. 1872, the village Karnam of Alamuru concealed unauthorised second crop irrigation in an area of Ac. 200 00 in F. 1872 by reporting falsely that such irrigation was by means of water drawn from a filter point,

(b) whether it is also a fact that the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kovvur investigated into the above matters and found the Karnam guilty of this unlawful concealment; and

(c) if so, what action was taken against the Karnam?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—(a) During F. 1872, the village Karnam of Alamuru in Tanuku has shown in the adengai an extent of Ac. 68.63 as having been cultivated with water from the filter pumps in the private lands.

(b) and (c) The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kovvur has investigated into the matter. He is taking necessary action.
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Sri R. D. O. Kovuru, ISE Investigation shows that the water is not suitable for chemical test. The second crop has been damaged and the water has been diverted for agricultural use. A complaint has been received from two farmers. The water has been diverted for the second crop and the farmer has complained. The second complaint has also been received and action will be taken.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy.—Yes, Sir. The water has been sent for chemical analysis and the analysis report says that the filter point water exclusively cannot be used for paddy crop because it is saline in nature. So the Report says that the Karnam has been writing in the Adangal that even though the water is not fit for it, the filter point water is used. It is against the rules and action will be taken. The second complaint has also been received and action will be taken.

Sri M. V. Ramaiah (I.E.V.)—"As early as possible let the Administration dispose of this matter, 1872 Koda, 1874 Koda, 1875 Koda, 1876 Koda.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy.—Yes, Sir. We will issue further instructions to institute an enquiry.

Representation from Ayacutdars of Bhrugubanda Tank Project.

613—

Q. (2937) S. V. Vashishta Gopalakrishnaiah—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether any representation from the ayacutdars of Bhrugubanda tank project of Sattenapalli tahsil, Guntur district was received
by the Government requesting to reduce the water cess from Rs. 15 to Rs. 6 25 and to drop the levy of betterment tax; and

(b) if so, the action taken in the matter?

Sri N Ramachandra Reddy —(a) A representation was received for reduction of water cess. The Ayacutdars also filed an objection before the Collector against levy of betterment contribution.

(b) Levy of enhanced water cess with graded concessions was given effect to from F. 1867 and the question of fixation of betterment contribution in respect of the ayacut lands under the Tank is under scrutiny by Collector, Guntur who will examine the points raised in the representation of the ayacutdars while passing final orders.

(a) Wliat are the points in the representation?

(b) What are the points that are raised in the representation?

*1020 (4820) Q.—Sarvashri K. Satyanarayana (Repalle) and S. Venayya (Buchiredipalem):—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) the extent of tank bed lands that is being cultivated in Nellore and Kovur tahsils, Nellore district by the landless poor;
August, 1965.
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(b) what is the total amount of penalty levied on them during the last Fasli;

(c) whether the hon. Minister for Revenue and the First Member, Board of Revenue received any representations requesting reconsideration of the whole issue, when they visited Nellore recently; and

(d) if so, what steps the Government intend to take now?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy—(a) Nellore Kavuru
Ac 1916 Ac 2363.

(b) Rs. 1,64,023 Rs. 1,48,500.

Yes, Sir.

(d) Instructions have been issued by the Collector Nellore to the Revenue Divisional Officers, Kavuthi and Nellore to waive the penalties.

Submerged Lanka Land in Repalle Taluk.

*1019 (4818) Q-Sri K. Satyanarayana (Put by Sri Vavilala Gopala Krishnam).—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) what is the extent of submerged ryotwari lanka land in Repalle taluk;

(b) how much of land revenue is being collected per acre for this submerged lanka land;

(c) whether Additional Assessment Act is being applied to the submerged lanka lands; and

(d) if so, whether Government contemplate to exempt these submerged lanka lands from the Additional Assessment Act?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy.—(a) Ac. 606 84 cents.

(b) The extents and rate per acre are furnished below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent</th>
<th>Rate per acre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.20</td>
<td>4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218.77</td>
<td>6.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>261.75</td>
<td>8.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.91</td>
<td>10.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.71</td>
<td>11.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total .. 606.84

Yes, Sir.

(d) No, Sir.
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(a) Whether it is a fact that the Collector, Warangal with the help of police recently removed huts of the poor people in Jangam town;

(b) If so, how many and state the reasons; and

(c) Whether the Government intend to provide alternative land for those poor people?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy——(a) Yes, Sir;

(b) 228. The encroachers entered the land with a common object of occupying the land illegally and they were evicted as their encroachment was objectionable and without permission and as it was an organised move to defy the Law.

(c) No, Sir.
484 31st August, 1935.
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Sir N. Ramachandra Reddy:—I have got the date, Sir. But I will not furnish the date.

Sir N. Ramachandra Reddy:—All these aspects will have to be taken into consideration—whether there is excess Banachrai or whether there are any grants. After looking into all those things, assignments will be made regularly.

Sir N. Ramachandra Reddy:—All these aspects will have to be taken into consideration—whether there is excess Banachrai or whether there are any grants. After looking into all those things, assignments will be made regularly.
Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy.—An M.L.A. can also be a political sufferer.

Mr Speaker.—Yes.

Mr N. Ramakrishnudu.—Amma amma 70 vee. Amma ekkam yaddi amma? Amma amma 70 vee?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy — If they are eligible, of course, they will be considered.

Mr. Ramaiah (Madura) — If they are eligible, of course, they will be allotted. If they do not belong to any party, of course, they will not be considered.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy: — They may be eligible but they are not expected to occupy anywhere without any permission. It is not correct.

Mr. Narayanaswami — If they are eligible, of course, they will be considered. If they do not belong to any party, of course, they will not be considered.
Mr. Speaker:— Please do not link up one with the other. In the last session there were a number of supplementary questions and the Minister for Revenue placed full information on the Table of the House. The gentleman against whom proceedings are taken, he is producing some documents to show that his ancestors purchased all these things in court auction. These things are being scrutinised. The Government has no right to enter into private property of another person unless it is their own.

Mr Speaker:— Even in the case of poor persons, they should be able to produce some documents in proof of their claim. Government are claiming the property as Government property whereas the owner of the building is claiming it as having purchased it in court auction.

Sri Vavula GopalAKrishnayya:— Why cannot they claim it and give notice. The other side is claiming it that a guardian on his behalf purchased in court auction held by the High Court. That is his contention. All those things have got to be looked into and ultimately decided.
Oral Answers to Questions.

Mr. Speaker.— It is a separate case. If it is a similar case...

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy — I have nothing to do.

Mr. Speaker:— It is a separate case.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy — It is a separate case.

Mr. Speaker.— The Government should show some consideration before they are evicted. That is what they are asking.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy — The case is being looked into at a different level and it is under scrutiny.

Loans and Subsidies for the Nagajunakshetram Dam.

617—

814 (6194) Q. Sarasvati A Ramachandra Reddy (Bhongir) and M Ramgopal Reddy (Mandaram) Will the hon Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) the total amount given by the Central Government in the shape of loans and subsidies respectively for construction of Nagarjunakshetram Dam till 1st April, 1965; and

(b) the amount of interest paid by the State Government on the loan and the amount of interest still due to the Central Government?

The Minister for Public Works (Sri A C. Subba Reddy).—

(a) The Central Government have sanctioned a loan of Rs. 78.87 crores from the commencement of the Project till 1-4-1965 for financing the expenditure on the Nagarjunakshetram Project. They have also sanctioned a further loan of Rs. 3.64 crores to meet the interest liability up to 31-3-1962 (no subsidy).

(b) By 1-4-1965 an amount of Rs. 8.88 crores was paid towards interest charges and the interest still due was Rs. 6.88 crores. In May-June, 1965, however the Government of India have recovered a further amount of Rs. 204.14 lakhs from the States share in Union Excise Duties, etc., and the balance due on date is about Rs. 4.84 crores.
NATIONALISATION OF FERRY SERVICES

618—

*776 (5923) Q. S. Savaari P. O. Sayanarayana Rayu (Kosigi) and P. Subbarao.—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal before the Government to nationalise the ferry services; and

(b) if so, the stage at which the matter stands?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy: (a) Yes, Sir. Government have decided that major ferries should be nationalised in a phased programme.

(b) The neighbouring States have been consulted as to what action they have taken with reference to the recommendations of the Gokhale Committee on Inland Water Transport to run the ferries departmentally. Their replies are awaited.

 Oral Answers to Questions.

Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state
(a) whether the Government have received any representation
during the third week of March, 1965 requesting to hand over the
Godavari ferry at Narsapuram to the Narsapuram Municipality at a
year's hire amount or at the average rental value for the last three
years; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy: (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Orders have been issued granting the lease of the Madhavaram ferry in West Godavari district to the Narsapuram Municipality.

Q 717 (5605) 6—Sri P. Gunnavah (Put by Sri Vavilala Gopala Krishnaiah)—Will the hon Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) the estimated amount of expenditure for the Pydigam Project in Srikakulam district;

(b) the extent of land under cultivation under the said project; and

(c) whether the work will be completed during 1965-66.

Sri A. C Subba Reddy —(a) Rs. 20,13,243 up to the end of May, 1965

(b) 4,954 acres

(c) The scheme as already sanctioned has been physically completed. But considering the availability of supplies, it is proposed to enlarge the extent of the ayacut to about 8,000 acres. The works remaining to be taken up relate to those intended for extending the ayacut. These will be completed after the revised estimate is sanctioned.

Oral Answers to Questions.

Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(a) whether the construction of Swarana Project in Adilabad District was objected to by the Maharashtra Government, and

(b) if so, whether the Centre was informed of this; and if so, when?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy—(a) Yes, Sir

(b) The Government of India are aware of this.

Swarana Project

621—

*845 (6353) Q.—Sarvasri A Sarvanan Rao and V Satyanarayana—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(a) whether the construction of Swarana Project in Adilabad District was objected to by the Maharashtra Government; and

(b) if so, whether the Centre was informed of this; and if so, when?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy—(a) Yes, Sir

(b) The Government of India are aware of this.

1) The Hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—
(a) whether any representation has been submitted to the Government by the public of Dornakal requesting that compensation should be paid not only to Pattadars, but also to the tenants and persons who are in possession of the land (Kabjadars) for the land taken over by the Railway department for the construction of a double line from Dornakal to Vijayawada, and

(b) if so, the action taken by the Government thereon?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

225—3

Oral Answers to Questions.

s. M. Tampu:— 5 cases are pending in the Revenue Department. Petition submit. if possible, submit petition. I will request them to do it early.

s. B. S. D. O. office dispose of the cases. if possible, dispose of the cases. R. D. O. declare.

s. V. P. D. transfer of cases. Railway Department expedite.

s. A. C. Subba Reddy. I will send it to the Revenue Department. I will request them to do it early.

s. M. Tampu:— 5 cases are pending in the Railway Department. Petition submit. I will request them to do it early.

s. V. P. D. transfer of cases. Railway Department expedite.
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Q. 6. J. Vengala Rao — Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state—

(a) the extent of dry and wet lands respectively of ryots submerged under Challasamudram tank constructed in Challasamudram village of Illendu taluk, Khammam District; 

(b) the amount of compensation fixed for the said land; 

(c) the reasons for not paying the compensation for the last three years; and

(d) whether it is a fact that the Government have issued notices to the ryots that their lands will be attached unless they pay land revenue for the last three years for the lands which have been submerged under the said tank in addition to the delay in the payment of compensation to them?

Sr. A. C. Subba Reddy — (a) The extent of submergence is Ac 73.31 gunantas dry and 39.37 gunantas wet.

(b) The exact amount of compensation payable is not known as the awards are yet to be passed.

(c) The delay in payment of compensation is due to technical difficulties and complications confronted by both the Public Works Department and Revenue Department in the matter of sub-division of the lands which has recently been finalised.

(d) No demand notices were issued to the ryots for collection of land revenue for the submerged lands under Challasamudram during the years 1961-1962 and 1962-1963. Demand notices were, however, issued to some of the ryots in the year 1963-1964 as the Nazim Jama-bandi did not sanction remissions in the absence of regular panchanama of the area under submergence. However, on representation for the ryots, the Tahsildar concerned has stayed the collection of the amount.

Oral Answers to Questions

Q. 1. Whether the Ayacutdars of submerged lands under Daddanala Project in Banaganapalli Sub-Taluk, Kurnool District, have been paid the compensation, and if not, will the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state why?

Sri. A C Subba Reddy — (a) Compensation to the extent of 127-71 acres of submerged lands amounting to Rs 44,058-78 was disbursed in December, 1964. Formalities for the payment of compensation for the remaining extent of 199-48 acres are being attended to.

(b) Does not arise as total cash compensation is being paid.

Q. 2. Whether the Government consider assigning forest land as an alternative?

Sri A C Subba Reddy — Does not arise as total cash compensation is being paid.

625—

*603 (6468) Q.—Sri M Ramgopal Reddy.—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state

(a) whether there is any scheme to remodel the canals and the distributaries under the Nizamsagar Project;

(b) whether the Government are aware of the most defective water distribution under the project, as much water goes waste due to lack of proper sub-distributaries and sluices; and

(c) when these defects are proposed to be rectified and proper water supply assured to the cultivators?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy.—(a) Yes Sir. The estimate is under finalisation.

(b) and (c) —There is wastage of water due to keeping big channels open to serve small scattered areas of Sugarcane. This is due to haphazard growth of sugarcane areas. The wastage of water is also due to the ryots meddling with the regulation arrangements and the drainage channels. Efforts are being made to localise the sugarcane areas on compact blocks so that water can be distributed economically.
without wastage due to seepage losses. After completion of localisation works and remodelling of canals and stopping the unauthorised cultivation, there may not be any difficulty for water.


without wastage due to seepage losses. After completion of localisation works and remodelling of canals and stopping the unauthorised cultivation, there may not be any difficulty for water.
Oral Answers to Questions. 21st August, 1955.

CLOSURE OF BUDAMERU BREACHES IN MYLAVARAM SAMITHI

626—

*756 (5784) Q.—Sri V. Visveswara Rao—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government had taken action to close the Budameru breaches in Mylavaram Samithi which occurred due to recent Budameru floods, and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The estimates were sanctioned and tenders called for. The works are being taken up for execution by the Superintending Engineer, Vijayawada.

CENTRAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR HOME GUARDS

627—

*78 (4708) Q.—Sarasari P. Bapuah, P. O. Satyanarayana Raju and S. Venayya—Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to form a Central Advisory Committee at State Level for Home Guards Organisation;

(b) is there any request from District Advisory Committee Home Guards, to increase parade allowances as in other States;

(c) whether the Government permitted the Krishna District Home Guards to utilise the amount previously sanctioned for establishment of separate office, recreational and cultural pursuits etc., at Vijayawada; (vide Rc. No. 887/B2/64, dated 25-4-1954);

(d) whether the Government received any complaint regarding the supply of inferior quality of uniform to lady Home Guards, if so what action has been taken so far;
Oral Answers to Questions,

(e) whether there is any preference for a Home Guard to secure a job in Government according to this qualification, and

(f) whether any permission was granted to utilise the present grounds of Vijayawada Museum to open a Home Guard regular office?

The Minister for Home (Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan) —(a) No, Sir.

(b) The District Advisory Committee, Krishna pointed out that the parade allowance was too meagre. Government have since taken steps to increase the parade allowance to Re. 1/- per parade. No District Advisory Committee suggested an increase on a par with other States.

(c) No, Sir. The matter is still under consideration.

(d) Yes, Sir. The Inspector General of Police, after examination of various select sarees, decided that the sarees to be supplied to Women Home Guards must be of fast colour and good quality.

(e) Yes, Sir. Orders have been issued by the Government directing Heads of Departments etc., that the training in Home Guards Organisation shall be treated as an additional accomplishment, while selecting candidates for recruitment to Public Services.

(f) No, Sir.

Fire Station at Sattenapalli
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*282 (6544) Q —Sri Vasalala Gopalakrishnaya —Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state:

Whether any proposal is under the consideration of the Government for starting a fire station at Sattenapalli (Guntur District) by the end of June, 1965.

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan —The answer is in the negative.

Harijans in Kothapalem Village, Nellore District

629—

*102 (4853) Q —Sri S. Vemayya (Put by Sri V. Visweswara Rao) —Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are in receipt of the representation dated 4-1-1965 from S. Vemayya, M.L.A., regarding the harassment of Harijans of Kothapalem village, Nellore District by caste Hindus; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan. (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) On enquiry, it is found that there is no harassment of Harijans by Caste Hindus. In fact Caste Hindus were harassed by Harijans. The accused persons were run under section 107 Cr. P.C. There is no trouble in the village at present.

Sri V. Visweswara Rao —What are the contents of Mr. Vemayya's petition?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan: The contents are that the Harijans are being harassed. It is a forwarding letter. The petition is dated 20th January. It was complained that the Harijans are harassing caste...
Hindus, But it was found incorrect and it was found that they were aggressive. Therefore, they were prosecuted.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: The person who enquired, is he not a caste Hindu?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan: Does he mean police enquiry?

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: What is his caste?

Mr. Speaker: He means police officer has some caste.

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan: The police officer has no caste, Sir (Laughter)

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: What is his name?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan: The name is not before me.

Sri E. Ayyepu Reddy: Police belong to the police caste.

Mr. Speaker: That is a separate caste by itself.

Sri P. Rajagopal Naidu: Will the hon. Minister inform the number of Harijans and the number of caste Hindus in that village?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan: The Harijans are in the majority. The sarpanch and Upasaranpach are all Harijans and the Caste Hindus are in a minority. The exact number is not given but the caste Hindus are in a minority.

Sri T. K.R. Sharma: What is the nature of harassment that has been complained of by the Harijans?

Mr. Speaker: According to the hon. Minister, the caste Hindus are complaining that the Harijans are harassing them by being aggressive. The allegations in the complaints are not correct and on the other hand it is the Harijans that are harassing the Caste Hindus. That is what he says.

Sri T. K. R. Sharma: Whatever may be the report that the hon. Minister might have got, what is the nature of harassment complained of by the Harijans as represented by Sri Vemayya?

Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan: The Harijans of Kothapalem village, Nellore taluk, have alleged that as the Harijans happen to be the President and Vice-President of Kothapalem Panchayat Board, the caste Hindus grew jealous of them and are bent upon harassing them with false criminal cases and social and economic boycott and that the Inspector and Sub-Inspector of Police, Nellore rural, have abused them in the presence of the caste Hindus and threatened to resign their posts in the village Panchayat Boards. All these allegations were found false.

Sri V. Vusavara Rao: My point is, there is one allegation that the Sub-Inspector abused the President of the Panchayat Board who is
Harijan before the caste Hindus. That is also one of the allegations. Who enquired into the allegations? Did the same Sub-Inspector enquire into it or the Superintendent of Police enquired into it?

Mr Ahmed Ali Khan: The detail is not before me. The Inspector-General has reported on enquiry that they were found false. Did the same Sub-Inspector enquire into it or the Superintendent of Police enquired into it?

V. Venkata Rao: The I G has reported, but my question is who enquired. The I G has reported. The Minister has sent the question to the I G and the I G sent the report. But who has actually enquired? Obviously, I G has not gone into that village. Whether the Superintendent of Police went into the village or the Deputy Superintendent or the same Sub-Inspector who abused the Harijans before the caste Hindus and enquired the petition?

Mr Ahmed Ali Khan: The I G P’s report is based on the report of the Superintendent of Police, but in that it is not found who has enquired.

M. Ramaswamy Reddy: Is this complaint directed against the police or against the caste Hindus? If it is not directed against the police, then there is no question who has enquired into it. Please clarify whether Mr Vemaya, an hon. Member of this House, said anything against the police or anything against the caste Hindus.

Mr Speaker: If the hon. Member had only heard what he said, he would not have put this question. There is an allegation against the police to the effect that the Police Officers abused the Harijans in the presence of all other Hindus saying that they resign and all that. There is an allegation against the police.

Mr. T. K. R. Sharma: Another detail may kindly be got from the hon. Minister. There is also an allegation saying that a number of criminal cases have been put up against these Harijans by the caste Hindus. Are there any criminal cases against these Harijans that have been put up by the caste Hindus—leave aside the report of the Police Officer as being correct or incorrect. So far as the facts are concerned are there any cases against the Harijans put up by the caste Hindus?

Mr Ahmed Ali Khan: Some cases were put up by the police against the Harijans due to violence and some were convicted and some are pending trial.
Oral Answers to Questions
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Sri T. K. R. Sharma—Under these circumstances, it is quite evident that there are cases that have been put up against these Harijans, as has been alleged in the complaint, and, therefore, if a particular report comes from an enquiring officer to the effect that all the allegations are false, then it is not the duty of the Government to see that a proper enquiry is conducted and some of these facts are properly enquired into by people who are above some of these things.

Sri M. A. M. Ali Khan—I will find out if the Superintendent of Police himself conducted the enquiry. I think there is no need for another officer. If necessary, I will ask the Superintendent of Police to enquire into the allegations again.

Promotion of S.E.Os. as B.D.Os.

Q.-The hon. Minister for Panchayathi Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there is a proposal to promote Social Education Organisers of Panchayat Samithis as Block Development Officers during the year 1965-66;

(b) if so, what are the conditions and how many will be promoted as Block Development Officers; and

(c) the total number of Social Education Organisers promoted as Block Development Officers from 1962 to 1965 year wise?

The Minister for Panchayati Raj (Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasiah): No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) The total number of Social Education Organisers promoted as Block Development Officers from 1962-63 year wise is as follows.

1962-5
1963-5
1964—(In 1964 no fresh Social Education Organiser was promoted as Block Development Officer. But 5 Social Education Organisers promoted in 1963 faced reversion due to reorganisation of blocks and they were repromoted in 1964).
1965—(The 5 repromoted in 1964 had again faced reversion due to the abolition of the posts of Taluk Supply Officers. 2 of them again got promotion as Block Development Officers in 1965.)
Mr. Speaker: These people working under the Education Department have no chance of promotion in the Education Department because most of these S.E.O.s are only graduates and they are not, of course, trained people in the sense of persons with B. Ed., qualification. So, there is no question of getting promotion in the Education Department.

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasaya — In the beginning itself, I said that.

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasaya — Social Educational organizes cadre for men or women should number 10, 15 and reorganize Social Educational Public Service Commission to administer.
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(5) S. Sowbhagya—Social Educational organiser, Information and Broadcasting Department, Social Welfare Department attack, social education, promotions, service, welfare department.

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasiah:—That is a different question altogether. They will have to be examined.

Mr. Speaker:—He should only ask whether the Government would consider whether the condition for Tank construction is maintained or not.

POTHEREDIPALLI PANCHAYAT IN NUVVID SAMITHI.

631—

Sri V. Viswanatha Rao—Will the hon Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Pothereddipall Panchayat requested the Nuvvid Samithi to allot the work relating to repairs of tanks to the Panchayat;

(b) if so, whether the Nuvvid Samithi allotted the work to the Panchayat; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasiah:—(a) Yes, Sir;

(b) No, Sir;

(c) It was reported that the financial position of the Panchayat would not permit it to take up the execution of these works.

POTHEREDIPALLI PANCHAYAT IN NUVVID SAMITHI.

631—

Sri V. Viswanatha Rao—Will the hon Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Pothereddipall Panchayat requested the Nuvvid Samithi to allot the work relating to repairs of tanks to the Panchayat;

(b) if so, whether the Nuvvid Samithi allotted the work to the Panchayat; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasiah:—(a) Yes, Sir;

(b) No, Sir;

(c) It was reported that the financial position of the Panchayat would not permit it to take up the execution of these works.

Oral Answers to Questions.


Mr. Speaker—Question hour is over. Answers for the other questions will be laid on the Table of the House.

Sri Ramachandra R. O Deshpande. There is one important question, Q 638, regarding basic amenities like drinking water. That may be taken up.

Mr. Speaker. The hon. Member could have told me in the beginning itself. If he had told me in the beginning, I would have asked him to answer it. What is the point now in his asking that that question should be answered?

DRINKING WATER WELLS IN VILLAGES IN NARAYANKHED TALUK, MEDAK DISTRICT.

638—

*112 (4002) Q. Sri Ramachandra Rao Deshpande.—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware that more than 25 villages in Narayankhed Taluk of Medak District do not have drinking water wells; and

(b) if so, what steps do the Government propose to take to provide the same?

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarayiah:—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Executive Engineer, Zilla Parishad, Medak, has recently started the excavation of wells at the sites selected by the Geologist in 24 villages. Out of 24 villages, in 14 villages the works are in progress and in 7 wells water is also struck. Steps are being taken to locate wells in the remaining villages also.
21st August 1965

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

COMPLAINT AGAINST THE KEROSENE OIL DEALER BHONGIR.

640-F —

S N. Q No. 1433-F Q Sri A Ramachandra Reddy — Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Indian Oil Company supplied about 26,000 litres of Kerosene (a Wagon tank) to one Shri Harshendra Reddy, Dealer, Bhongir, in the last week of June, 1965,

(b) whether the said dealer and his father V. Narasimha Reddy, mixed Red Kerosene oil in the Diesel Oil and sold the whole stock in the black market and earned thousands of rupees;

(c) whether this was brought to the notice of the Sub-Collector, Bhongir, Nalgonda district on 28th June, 1965, to the notice of the Collector, Nalgonda district on 4th July, 1965, to the notice of the hon. Minister for Agriculture, Andhra Pradesh on 15th July, 1965 by Sri A. Ramachandra Reddy, M.L.A and to the notice of D S. P., Bhongir, by M. Prakash Rao and P. Chenna Reddy on 11th July, 1965; and

(d) whether any action has been taken against the said dealer and if so the nature of the same?

The Minister for Agriculture (Sri A. Balaram Reddy) — (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) A complaint made by Sri Ramachandra Reddy to the Police and the Sub-Collector, Bhongir is under enquiry by the Deputy Superintendent of Police. Copies of his complaint letters, dated 8th July, 1965 and 9th July, 1965 addressed to the Collector, Nalgonda and also his representation, dated 15th July, 1965 against the dealer addressed to Minister (Agriculture) have been received and referred to the Board of Revenue (C.S.) for report.

(d) The enquiry has not yet been completed.

(Sri P. V. Siviah in the Chair)
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1. The complainant has alleged that the Regional Research Laboratory analysis of samples revealed adulteration in the following:

- Red kerosene, diesel oil mix (quantity 24 barrels)
- Petrol- diesel oil mix (quantity 24 barrels)
- Red kerosene (quantity 24 barrels)
- Diesel oil (quantity 24 barrels)

2. The complaint has been referred to the Research Laboratory for further investigation.

3. The laboratory results reveal that the samples contained adulterants.

4. The complainant requests an enquiry into the matter.

5. The matter has been referred to the black market producers association for further action.

6. The complainant has written a letter to the distribution department, requesting them to take necessary action.

7. The matter has been referred to the public distribution system for further investigation.

8. The complainant has filed a complaint with the police regarding negligence by an officer.

9. The complaint has been referred to the office of the Inspector General of Police for further action.
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(1) C. L. Macnab:—Arrest D. S. P. for allowing black market sale of black market support in the form of rice. Do you have any complaints against D. G. G. ? I. G. P. or D. I. G? (No Answer)

(2) Mr. C. L. Macnab:—Arrest D. S. P. for allowing black market sale of black market support in the form of rice. Do you have any complaints against D. G. G. ? I. G. P. or D. I. G? (No Answer)

(3) Mr. C. L. Macnab:—Arrest D. S. P. for allowing black market sale of black market support in the form of rice. Do you have any complaints against D. G. G. ? I. G. P. or D. I. G? (No Answer)

(4) Main dealer Main dealer. Enquiry dealership dealer. 225-5
21st August, 196... Short Notice Questions and Answers

Mr. Chairman—Pending establishment of the guilt, because there are so many complaints, why not suspend his license or dealership?

Sir A. Balaram Reddy—Certainly, Sir, that is what I say.

Mr. Chairman—Has the hon. Minister suspended the dealership?

Sir A. Balaram Reddy—Unless we establish this case, it is not fair that we should suspend. If he is convicted, we shall suspend. We can only recommend to that company to cancel his dealership.

Mr. Chairman—Has the Commissioner of Civil Supplies enquired into the prima-facie evidence of M. A. R. producing evidence that prima-facie case? Does the investigation? D. S. P. has the investigation to suspend him? Has the investigation officer?

Sample analysis of samples taken? Commissioner of Civil Supplies enquire?

Immediate inquiry for samples? Immediate inquiry?
Mr. Chairman—They want to know the agency which is enquiring into the matter.

S.1 A. Balaram Reddy.—When a complaint is lodged natural by the Civil Supplies Officers will be at it. The Police Department also investigates the matter.

**Delay in Payment of Hak-e-Malika**

640-A—

(S No 1434-K) Q.—Srimathi Samba Bai (Kalvakurthy) —Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) the reasons why the “Hakemalika” amount due to the ryots of Avurupalli village, Kalavakurthi taluk, Mahabubnagar district for the Palm Gur trees on their patta lands remains unpaid to them for the following eight years


(b) the persons responsible for such a long delay,

(c) the nature of the action intended against them;

(d) the total amount of Hakemalika due to the Pattadar in the said village, for the above eight years, and

(e) when the said amount will be paid to them?

Sri N Ramachandra Reddy (a) to (e) The question of payment of “Hakemalika” in respect of the trees allotted for making palm gur does not arise, as there is no palm gur society in the village.
21st August, 1965
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Mr. V. Ramachandra Reddy — I have already deputed an Assistant Secretary from the Board of Revenue to the concerned Collectorate.

Mr. S. R. Ramachandra Reddy — I have already sent the Assistant Secretary, Board of Revenue to the concerned District and I will get figures also and expedite the matter.
Short Notice Questions and Answers. 21st August, 1965

Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether it was a fact that Mubarakpuram of Gawigareddi taluk is going to be submerged in Manjeera Water Reservoir Scheme by the rainy season, and whether any compensation and alternative sites were given to all the inhabitants, and

(b) if so, when and where the sites were given?

(No answer)

SUBMERSION OF MUBARAKPURAM IN MANJEERA WATER RESERVOIR SCHEME

640-B

(1435-F) Q — Sril V. Gopalakrishna — Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether it was a fact that Mubarakpuram of Sangareddi taluk is going to be submerged in Manjeera Water Reservoir Scheme by the rainy season, and whether any compensation and alternative sites were given to all the inhabitants, and

(b) if so, when and where the sites were given?

Sril A. C. Babu Reddy (a) and (b) Mubarakpuram village of Sangareddi taluk will be submerged consequent on the completion of second stage of Manjeera Water Supply Scheme. Out of the 536.19 acres acquired in this village, compensation has been paid for 483.17 acres. Out of the 320 houses that will be submerged in this village, compensation has been paid for 365 houses. For the remaining lands and houses, compensation will be paid shortly. Lands for alternative sites have been acquired at Mubarakpuram and Valtur. Layout plans have been prepared and marked out given on these two sites. Allotment of sites will be made within a fortnight.
BAMBOO COUPES LEASED TO RAJAHMUNDRY PAPER MILLS.

640-C
S.N.Q.No. 1434-W.—Sarasri P V Ramana (Kondakarla) and K. Gomada Rao (Anakapalli) —Will the hon Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state,

(a) whether any bamboo coupes have been leased out to the Rajahmundry Paper Mills in the Visakhapatnam Agency,

(b) if so, for what period;

(c) whether any other bamboo coupes have been opened to meet the local needs in Visakhapatnam district;

(d) if so, how many and where;

(e) is there any demand from the public for opening more coupes, and

(f) if so, the action taken thereon?

Sri A Balaram Reddy.—(a) Yes, Sir;
(b) For a period of 30 years from 1st October, 1965;
(c) Yes, Sir;
(d) 18 coupes in the districts of Visakhapatnam and Srikakulam;
(e) Yes, Sir;
(f) Since 18 coupes capable of yielding 15,000 tonnes have already been opened to meet the local needs, the question of opening more coupes does not arise.
Short Notice Questions and Answers.  
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1. Who are the officers of the organization?

2. Have they been opened already, please tell us.

3. Whether they have been opened already, please tell us.

(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)

4. The Committee:

5. Whether they have been opened already, please tell us.

6. Have they been opened already, please tell us.

7. Whether they have been opened already, please tell us.

Sri A. Balaram Reddy.—Certainly auction has been conducted and they were sold; I do not know whether they are working to-day but they have been sold and they will be working shortly or they have already been working; I do not know.

8. Whether they have been opened already, please tell us.

9. Have they been opened already, please tell us.

10. Whether they have been opened already, please tell us.

11. Whether they have been opened already, please tell us.

12. Whether they have been opened already, please tell us.

13. Whether they have been opened already, please tell us.

14. Whether they have been opened already, please tell us.

15. Whether they have been opened already, please tell us.

16. Whether they have been opened already, please tell us.

17. Whether they have been opened already, please tell us.

18. Whether they have been opened already, please tell us.

19. Whether they have been opened already, please tell us.

20. Whether they have been opened already, please tell us.
August 1966

Short Notice Questions and Answers.

1. A. Are you going to continue your strike? The strike is continuing.
   B. Are you going to return to work if the demands are met? If the demands are met, we will return to work.

2. A. Are you going to continue your strike? The strike is continuing.
   B. Are you going to return to work if the demands are met? If the demands are met, we will return to work.

3. A. Are you going to continue your strike? The strike is continuing.
   B. Are you going to return to work if the demands are met? If the demands are met, we will return to work.

4. A. Are you going to continue your strike? The strike is continuing.
   B. Are you going to return to work if the demands are met? If the demands are met, we will return to work.

---

Hunger-Strike by the A. P. S. R. T.C. Worker Vijaya Wada.

S.N.O. No. 1436. Shri Y. Venkannagopa Rao—Will the hon. Minister for Labour & Transport be pleased to state—whether it is a fact that the A. P. S. R. T.C. workers of Vijaya Wada Bus Depot are going on Hunger Strike from 5-8-1966;—
The Minister for Labour and Transport (Sri B V Gurumurthy)

(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation employees in Krishna Branch issued printed Notices dated 28-6-1965 for redressal of the following demands:

1. Treating casual workers as permanent,
2. Provision of Uniforms and washing allowance,
3. Filling up of vacancies,
4. Extension of residential bus passes,
5. Maintenance of pay-slips and leave records;
6. Appointment of Grievance Committee, and
7. Promotions on seniority basis etc.

(c) The labour Officer, Vijayawada was instructed to intervene in this matter and to send his report.

---

To A.P.S.R.T.C Employees' Unions, A.P.S.R.T.C. Board at Amaravati, employee implementing a decision. As implementation of the recommendations? Implement the Conciliation Officer's report accordingly?

---

To the particulars Labour Officer, Vijayawada & A.P.S.R.T.C. Board go accordingly implement Conciliation Officer's report immediately?

---

Strike call off immediately. Strike demands are management workers' demands to accept the agreement.

---

Strike call off immediately. Strike demands are management workers' demands to accept the agreement immediately.

---

Strike call off immediately. Strike demands are management workers' demands to accept the agreement immediately.

---

Strike call off immediately. Strike demands are management workers' demands to accept the agreement immediately.

---

Strike call off immediately. Strike demands are management workers' demands to accept the agreement immediately.
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(i) M. D. Kasthurir - Are you implementing the report of the Strike Enquiry Commission in your RTD? Who is implementing the report?

(ii) Mr. Kasthurir - The RTD has not implemented the report of the Strike Enquiry Commission. Who is implementing the report?

(iii) M. D. Kasthurir - Are you planning to implement the report of the Strike Enquiry Commission?

(iv) Mr. Kasthurir - Are you planning to implement the general question?

(v) M. D. Kasthurir - Are you planning to implement the report of the General Question?

(vi) Mr. Kasthurir - Are you planning to implement the report of the General Question?

(vii) M. D. Kasthurir - Are you planning to implement the report of the General Question?

(viii) Mr. Kasthurir - Are you planning to implement the report of the General Question?

(ix) M. D. Kasthurir - Are you planning to implement the report of the General Question?

(x) Mr. Kasthurir - Are you planning to implement the report of the General Question?

(xi) M. D. Kasthurir - Are you planning to implement the report of the General Question?

(xii) Mr. Kasthurir - Are you planning to implement the report of the General Question?

(xiii) M. D. Kasthurir - Are you planning to implement the report of the General Question?

(xiv) Mr. Kasthurir - Are you planning to implement the report of the General Question?
Sri B V Gurumurthy:— 'The particulars are still awaited from the Labour Officer.'

Labour Office 10th call off 8, 10 a.m. 2nd Oct. 2nd Oct. 10 Call 8 a.m. 2nd Oct.

Agreement to implement 11th a.m. 2nd Oct. 4th Oct. 11th a.m. 2nd Oct.


Deferred decision.

---

Mr. Deputy Speaker — He has to look into the matter immediately.

---

---

---

---

---

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—I do not think he has got any further answer to give.

Sri B. V. Gurumurthy.—It is a separate question.

Mr. Deputy Speaker.—He has no information.

INCREASE OF CITY BUSES IN VILJAYAWADA

S. N. Q No 1343-T.Q.—Sri V. Viveswara Rao.—Will the Hon. Minister for Labour & Transport be pleased to state

(a) whether the Government take steps to issue permits to increase the number of city buses in Vijayawada,

(b) whether any representation has been received from the public to increase the number,

(c) what is the action taken thereon, and

(d) whether the Government direct the R.T.C to take immediate action regarding this.

Sri B.V. Gurumurthy.—(a) The question of increasing the number of buses on the city service routes of Vijayawada town will be considered after the Government approve of the proposed modified scheme of the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation and publish it in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) The scheme modifying the approved scheme of road transport services relating to Krishna District has not yet been published by the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation. The question of increasing the number of buses on the existing routes of Vijayawada town will be considered after the Government approve the proposed modified scheme and publish it in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette.

(d) Does not arise.
Short Notice Questions and Answers. 21st August, 1965

1. Are modified scheme and circular representations necessary? A modified scheme has been brought out. Representations have been invited. Additional services are being provided for. In principle verbal changes in phraseology are envisaged. 6th September is final to decide.


3. What is the traffic situation? Traffic is still moderate, 16 buses are in operation. The modified scheme is yet to be finalized. Additional services are envisaged.

4. What is the shortage situation? Shortage of buses has been reported. Additional services are being provided.

5. What is the nationalized system transport? Nationalized system transport services are exclusive under the Road Trans-
Short Notice Questions and Answers

Port Corporation Act 1965 private

Private 300 Soc. be considered R. T. A. permits which have been 400

privately issued by R. T. A. and R. T. C. are considered. The

adherence to these permits will be monitored. Any complaints will be

inquired into.

1. D. R. Kalanithi — Oil pipe cut, puncture in oil pipe, cutting of

pipes. Oil pipes are not to be cut without permits. Complaints of

should be addressed.

2. M. D. Rama Rao — Minimum delay in publishing. The

public should be informed in advance. Minimum delay will be

published.


Temporary permits will be issued to R.T.C. and R.T.A. for

Macharla and Markapuram. Temporary permit will be issued to

R.T.C. and R.T.A. for 2 months. Any permit sought by R.T.C.

and R.T.A. will be considered.
Short-Notice Questions and Answers. 21st August, 1965.

S. N O No 1434-I Sri Tenavati Viswanatham —Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state —

(a) whether eleven posts of Motor Drivers working in Government Jeeps were upgraded in 1963 vide G O Ms No. 2153 dated 14-12-1963, G O Ms No 2415 Finance dated 14-4-1960, G O Ms No 113, Revenue dated 18-1-1961 and Board of Revenue (L R) B P. Rt No. 2288/63 dated 20-7-1965, and

(b) if so, whether the said orders have been implemented and who are the drivers so upgraded?

Sri N Ramachandra Reddy — (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The orders have been implemented in six Districts and the names of the drivers appointed to the upgraded posts are as follows:

Name of District and Names of the jeep drivers holding the upgraded posts.

1. Visakhapatnam—Sri S Kanaka Prasada Rao (Jeep Driver-I)
2. East Godavari—Sri G Kistamma.
5. Mahaboobnagar—Sri S Lazarus.

The question of implementation of the orders in respect of other five Districts namely West Godavari, Krishna, Nellore, Khammam and Kurnool, is under the consideration of the respective Collectors.
They accordingly upgraded the 11 posts of jeep drivers in the scale of Rs 50-85 in respect of the following districts: 

- With effect from 18-10-81 this order issues with the concurrence of the Finance Department. Any objection raise Action will be taken. The matter will be disposed off.

- Ad hoc selection committee according to which the appointments to the upgraded posts should be made by selection from members of the Lower cadre on the basis of merit with particular reference to maintenance of vehicles and not on mere seniority. 

- Seniority to be considered. Merit to be considered. Finance Department will consider seniority also. Merit to be considered. Ad hoc selection committee to be formed ad hoc selection committee. Merit to be considered. Seniority to be considered. Order to be issued. 

- Finance Department to conditions overlook. Objections raise. Action will be taken. The matter will be disposed off.

- After all what is consideration of merit by one may not be merit so far as the other is concerned. Merit to be considered. Criteria, definite criteria. Merely?

- Collector's implement the order. Doubts arise. Ad hoc collection committee, Finance Department, objections, matter to be disposed off.

- Selection Committee meet
WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

SANCTION OF LOANS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF OFFICE BUILDINGS TO MAJOR PANCHAYATS, KRISHNA DISTRICT

632—

*72 (4644) Q.—Sri P Bapataiah.—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) the number of major Panchayats in Krishna District having their own office buildings;

(b) the number of major Panchayats in Krishna District that sent representations to the Government for the sanction of loans for the construction of office buildings; and

(c) whether any proposal is under consideration of the Government to sanction loans for the construction of office buildings for the major Panchayats with an income of Rs. 20,000 and more?

A —(a) 28
(b) Nil.
(c) No, Sir.

NATIONAL SAVINGS SCHEME TARGET FOR 1964-1965

634—

*1100 (5228) Q.—Sri K. Mara Reddy (Rajampet).—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) what is the target fixed for National Savings Scheme for the year 1964-1965 for our State, and

(b) is the target achieved?

225—7
A:—

(a) Rs. 200 lakhs. (We have taken credit for an amount of Rs. 200 lakhs in our budget as our share of collections for 1964-65). To obtain this amount no special targets were fixed by the Government as was the practice in the previous year.

(b) Though no targets were fixed we have been able to secure an amount of Rs 142 lakhs as net collections for 1964-65. Out of this, our share comes to Rs 94 lakhs.

OVERCROWDING IN JAILS IN THE STATE

635—

*99 (4929) Q.—Sri K. Saiyamavayana —Will the hon. Minister for Law and Prisons be pleased to state—

(a) the number of jails in the state at present; and

(b) what are the steps that the Government propose to take for the removal of overcrowding of jails in the state?

A:—

(a) The number of jails is as follows:

(i) Central Jails

(ii) District Jails

(iii) Sub-Jails

(iv) Prisoners Open Air work camp Baithole

(v) State jails for women Rajahmundry

(b) Besides the steps already taken the following further proposals are under the consideration of Government, for the removal of overcrowding in jails.

(i) Attached to the Central Jail, Anantapur, an Agricultural colony is proposed to be started.

(ii) An agricultural colony is proposed to be started in Karimnagar District.

(iii) It is proposed to start a special jail at Asifabad where hard boiled criminals will be confined in a phased programme.

(iv) It is proposed to detain in the District Jails prisoners sentenced up to 8 years.

DISCONTINUANCE OF CHAINED PUNISHMENT IN JAILS

696—

*98 (4928) Q.—Sri K. Saiyamavayana. Will the hon. Minister for Law and Prisons be pleased to state;
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(a) whether there is a proposal for discontinuing the punishment given to the prisoners in jails by keeping them chained day and night; and

(b) if so, when?

A.—

(a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) The matter is under examination.

FISHERIES BLOCKS

637—

*1235 (6127) Q.—Sri M. Lakshmanaswamy:—Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Government have declared some blocks in the State as Fisheries Blocks;

(b) the criteria to declare a block as fisheries block; and

(c) the names of the blocks declared as fisheries blocks so far?

A.—

(a) Intensive development of fisheries has been taken up in 40 blocks from 1964-1965 onwards.

(b) Existence of large number of perennial and long seasonal tanks and large number of fishermen.

(c) A list of blocks in which intensive development of fisheries has been taken up is placed on the Table of the House.

PAPERS PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE (VIDE ANSWER TO ITEM (c) OF LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION NO. 637[*1235(6127)])

List of Blocks in which the scheme relating to Intensive Development of Fisheries is under Implementation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Name of the Block</th>
<th>Name of the District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ibrahimpatnam</td>
<td>.. Hyderabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Medchal</td>
<td>.. Hyderabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Narasapur</td>
<td>.. Medak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yedpalli</td>
<td>.. Nizamabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Jedcherla</td>
<td>.. Mahaboobnagar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Metpally</td>
<td>.. Karimnagar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mulga</td>
<td>.. Warangal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Alar</td>
<td>.. Nalgonda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Narsar</td>
<td>.. Adilabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Nalgool</td>
<td>.. Kurnool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Town</td>
<td>District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Avamgadda</td>
<td>Cuddapah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Vyalpad</td>
<td>Chittoor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Bhimlipatnam</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Tekkah</td>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Mandasa</td>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Indukurupet</td>
<td>Nellore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Kavali</td>
<td>Nellore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Gudivada (Headquarters at Moturu)</td>
<td>Krishna District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Gannavaram</td>
<td>Krishna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Vetapalem</td>
<td>Guntur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Bapatla</td>
<td>Guntur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Ganapavaram</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Mogultur</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Visakoderu</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Pithapuram</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Tallarevu</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Rayavaram</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Kupleswarapuram</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Mummudivaram</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Peddapuram</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>Razol</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>Kothapeta</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>Peddapadu</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>Peravali</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>Tenah</td>
<td>Guntur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>Repalle</td>
<td>Guntur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>Gajwel</td>
<td>Medak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>Kalwakurthu</td>
<td>Mahaboobnagar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>Narasampet</td>
<td>Warangal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td>Sultanabad</td>
<td>Khammam</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Export of Fish Outside State**

*1287 (6184) Q.—Sri M. Lakshmana Saamy — Will the hon Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the State Government is exporting inland fish to outside the state;
(b) what are the market centres for the export of fish to outside the state;
(c) how much fish is being exported daily (on average); and
(d) the steps taken by the Government to improve exports?
Written Answers to Questions. 21st August, 1965.

A —

(a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) Calcutta is the main marketing Centre.

(c) About 35 tonnes.

(d) Export of fish to Calcutta is undertaken mainly by the two Appex Fisheries Co-operative Societies functioning in the State. The two Societies have been granted financial and managerial assistance so that procurement of fish could be intensified and supply of fish to Calcutta increased. A Refrigeration Rail Van is running weekly between Vijayawada and Howrah to facilitate transport of fish without spoilage.

ADULTERATION OF CHEMICAL MANURES

639—

*1259 (6291) Q —-Sri K Satyanarayana —Will the hon Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are aware of the adulteration in Chemical Manures in Ponnur Agricultural Depot, of Guntur District; and

(b) if so, what action has been taken?

A —

(a) Yes, Sir

(b) A Police complaint was lodged and the Police after due investigation filed a charge sheet in the Court of the Additional Munsif Magistrate, Bapatla on 19-7-1965 against the accused. The case is now pending.

LOSS OF LIVES DUE TO ACCIDENTS IN TWIN CITIES

640—

*11 (1589) Q —Sri P V. Ramana Will the hon. Minister for Labour and Transport be pleased to refer to the answer given to Question No. 664 (4739) on 6-7-1964 and state:

(a) the loss of lives in the accidents occurred in twin cities during 1962-1963; and

(b) whether any compensation was paid?

A —

(a) The total loss of lives in the accidents occurred in the twin cities during 1962-1963 is 84.

(b) Compensation was paid in 8 cases. The total amount paid is Rs. 15,406.
CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE


Allowances to the Families of Political Detenus

Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance re:—Allowances to the families of political detenus.

The Chief Minister has communicated to me memorandum regarding family allowance. The Chief Minister has recommended that family allowance be increased to 200 rupees instead of 100 rupees. Interviews with liberal collectors report liberal family allowance. Collector report liberal family allowance.

Family allowance has been increased to 150 rupees. The Collector report liberal family allowance.

The Collector report liberal family allowance.

Family allowance has been increased to 150 rupees. The Collector report liberal family allowance.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance.

Encroachment and unauthorised occupation of ‘Bancharai’ lands by big land lords in Pocharam village, Nizamabad Dist.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy—Sir, my information is that the students are attending classes from yesterday. I am very glad about it. With regard to the strike by students of V R College, Nellore, I wish to inform the House that the situation has returned to normal in the areas of both Osmania and Varkatesware Universities in view of the recent decision of both the Universities to run parallel course of second year degree for the benefit of those coming out successful in September examination and staggered in the second degree examination so as to suit the students of the parallel course; this would spare such students the prospect of detention and consequent loss of one year. Sir, now my information is that the situation is normal.

Mr. Deputy Speaker—Sri T. K R Sarama and Sri V Ramachandra

---

Encroachment and unauthorised Occupation of Bancharai lands by Big Land Lords in Pocharam village, Nizamabad District.
21st August, 1965. Calling attention to matter of urgent
public importance.

re: Encroachment and unauthorised occupation of 'Bancharai' lands by bigland-
lords in Pocharam village, Nizamabad Dist.

...
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance


Enocrahcment and unauthorised occupation of 'Bancharih' lands by big landlord in Pocharam village, Nizamabad dist.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy —Sir, the Bancharih area of Pocharam village, Nizamabad district is 17 acres 89 guntas as shown below:

Survey Nos 160/1 is 10 acres 32 guntas.

Survey No 144 is 1 acre 7 guntas.

The total is 17 89 acres. Out of the extent of 1689 guntas, Smt. Savitri Devi has encroached upon an extent of 121 guntas since 5 years. Under survey No 144, Sri Linga son of Pochiga has encroached upon an extent of 36 guntas since 6 years. The assignment proposals are pending in the Collector's Office. He has stated that objections have been raised last week relating to assignment of land to political sufferers on the mis-apprehension that Banchorial land is being assigned. But proposals are in respect of Kharij Khata land only and are in the initial stage and under enquiry of the Revenue Divisional Officer Bodhan. He has stated that the objections will be taken into account before finalising the assignment proposals.

No tension prevails in the village and there are no proposals of assignment of Bancharih land. A representation has been received and the same has been sent to the Collector, Nizamabad, for necessary action.
21st August, 1965. Calling attention to a matter of urgent Public Importance

re: Starting of condensed Allopathy course to the G C I. M. Students.

Your honourable member, Sri N Ramachandra Reddy stated that the Medical Council of India has already been consulted in regard to the starting of condensed course of allopathy for G. C. I. M course in Andhra Pradesh. The Council also communicated its concurrence in principle to the proposal of the said course. The exact syllabus, its duration etc., are now under consideration of the Council and its final decision is awaited.

The Government will take further action in the matter after receipt of the decision of the Medical Council of India.

The House Surgeon stated that the House Surgeon will adopt the decision of the Medical Council of India.
Calling attention to matter of urgent public importance. 

re Starting of condensed Allopathy course to the G C.I.M. Students.

The Medical Council replied to the statement made by the House Surgeon on the subject of providing further facilities to the G C I M. Students. It was stated therein that the Medical Council of India would be addressed on the proposal to start a short term D.M. and S course for these students. The content and the extent of the Allopathic syllabus undergone by these students was accordingly furnished to the Council and it was requested to convey its concurrence to the starting of the said D.M. and S course in the State.

At its meeting held on 3rd April, 1965 the Medical Council of India decided in principle to the starting of a condensed licentiate course for the holders of diplomas of Integrated Medicine granted by several States in the country. According to the regulations framed by the Council for this purpose, the duration of the proposed course and the quantum of instruction would be decided by the Council after taking into account the curriculum of the Integrated Course undergone by the students, the clinical training that was imparted to them, the qualifications of the teachers etc. The required particulars were furnished to the Council in respect of the G. C. I. M. course.

The regulations of the Council referred to also stipulate that the licentiate course should be available only to those who have begun their integrated diploma course before 1960; that the course shall be open to those possessing an integrated diploma recognised by the State Government and for which a pass in S S. L C or Matriculation was the minimum entrance qualification; and that only those who have put in a minimum of two years for the study of modern medicine subjects in the integrated course would be eligible for admission to the proposed licentiate course.

The Director of Medical Services has submitted proposals with reference to the Council's concurrence in principle to the starting
21st August, 1965  Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance: Frequent failure of power supply in the twin cities.

Sir, The failures of Electricity supply in the Twin Cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad are due to the following reasons;

(i) Inadequate generation capacity and the rapid increase in the demand;
(ii) Overloading of the H.T and L.T distribution lines in the city;
(iii) Ageing of the underground cables and distribution lines;
(iv) Periodical shut downs for carrying out repairs and improvements and for giving new connections.

(b) Bad weather conditions.

Power is supplied to the Twin Cities mainly from the Hussainsagar Power House, Ramagundam Thermal Station, Nizamnagar Hydro-Electric Scheme, Gas Turbo Sets, Hyderabad, and Central Diesel Station, Hyderabad. The machines at Hussainsagar Power House and the Central Diesel Station, Hyderabad are very old. Power supply from Nizamnagar Hydro Station is seasonal. At Ramagundam, power is not being generated to the full installed capacity because of inadequacy of the boiler capacity. The capability therefore of these stations, which meet the demand of Telangana area, is only 85 M.W. as against the installed capacity of 105 M.W.

The demand of the twin cities has been steadily increasing. The number of consumers in the Twin cities, which was 58,000 in 1959 has increased to 80,000 by 1964-65. As against 23 M.W. in 1959-60, the demand rose to 37 M.W. in the twin cities in 1964-65, while the demand of the Telangana region for the same years has risen from 40 M.W. to 66 M.W. In order to meet the increased demand, power is being drawn to the extent of 6 M.W. from Machkund-Nellore Zone and the old machines at Hyderabad are also being pressed into service. Since the Machkund-Nellore Zone and the Telangana Hydro Thermal System are not in parallel, feeding arrangements have to be adjusted from one area to the other to suit the generating conditions. This involves a short interruption in power supply.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

re: Implementation of the G Os. issued by the State Government increasing D.A- to the work-charge establishment.

The old distribution lines and cables laid 20 to 40 years ago were not designed to cater to the increased load levels. Consequently, there have been a number of interruptions. To control these failures and to meet the additional demand, action is being taken to replace the old cables with those of adequate capacity and to upgrade the distribution lines and also to lay a 132 KV ring costing about 22 crores.

The Electricity Department is taking measures to reduce to the extent possible the interruptions in the Twin Cities, some of which are inevitable in a fast expanding system. A 132 KV Transmission line connecting Hyderabad to Tadepall has already been completed and put into service. The city sub-station will be connected by 132 KV and 22 KV lines with Ramagundam and Kothagudem Thermal Stations respectively in the first quarter of 1966-67. These steps will improve and stabilize the supply system in the twin cities and interruptions will be minimized.

The Water Works Department is taking measures to reduce to the extent possible the interruptions in the Twin Cities, some of which are inevitable in a fast expanding system. A 132 KV Transmission line connecting Hyderabad to Tadepall has already been completed and put into service. The city sub-station will be connected by 132 KV and 22 KV lines with Ramagundam and Kothagudem Thermal Stations respectively in the first quarter of 1966-67. These steps will improve and stabilize the supply system in the twin cities and interruptions will be minimized.

re: Implementation of the G Os. issued by the State Government increasing D.A- to the work-charge establishment.

re: Implementation of the G Os. issued by the State Government increasing D.A- to the work-charge establishment.

re: Implementation of the G Os. issued by the State Government increasing D.A- to the work-charge establishment.
840 list  August, 1965. Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

re: Implementation of the G Os. issued by the State Government increasing D A. to the work-charged establishment.

80 percent employees work charged 75 percent employees, applying for revision applying for G O No 2398 P. W. D. dated 16-11-64 G O apply salary 80 percent pay scales reconstitute applying for revision applying for the other day and answered. I am giving the same answer now.

I am giving the same answer now.
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:
re Irregularities in the repairs of minor irrigation tanks in Chintalapudi taluk of West Godavari District.

In G O Ms No 2806 P W D dated 30-10-1964 the Government sanctioned amongst others a temporary increase in D.A. of Rs. 5 per mensem to workers belonging to O.A.S., C.S.S.S. and workcharged establishments in various branches under the administrative control of the P.W.D with effect from 1-9-1964 in G O Ms. No 2410 P W D dated 18-11-1964 a further temporary increase in D.A. of Rs. 3 was sanctioned to the above workers with effect from 1-10-1964. All these orders are, however, not clear whether they would apply to workers who are not in receipt of D.A but are only in receipt of a consolidated amount as pay. Such of these workers like temporary maistries etc. who are in receipt of consolidated pay without the element of D.A. are not being allowed the benefit under the orders issued in this G.O. The hon. members are apparently referring to the class of workers who are not being given the benefit of the G.Os. referred to.

On representations received from the Andhra Padesh Temporary Maistries Union in this regard, Government have examined the matter and have since issued orders in G. O. Ms. No. 2010 dated 11-8-1965 directing that workers in receipt of consolidated pay in the various branches under the administrative control of the P.W.D. be allowed another temporary increase in their scales at the rate of Rs. 5 p.m. with effect from 1-9-1964 and a further temporary increase of Rs. 3 p.m. with effect from 1-10-1964.

re Irregularities in the repairs of minor irrigation tanks in Chintalapudi Taluk of West Godavari District.
21st August, 1965. Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

Re: Irregularities in the repairs of minor irrigation tanks in Chintalapudi taluk of West Godavari District
Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

Re: Dismissal of 15 workers of I.N.T.U.C Union of the Chirala Co-operative Spinning Mills Ltd.,

Dr. M N Lakshminarasayya Sir, The Government have received a representation in June 1965 from some of the aycutdars of Erram-palli village Chintalapudi taluk, West Godavari District that the Sarpanch of their village undertook from the Samithi, execution of repairs to three Minor Irrigation tanks (Yerrachervu, Vuratheruv and Ramreddiveruvu) and without carrying out the actual repairs drew the estimated amounts. Against this complaint a representation was received from the President, Panchayat Samithi Chintalapudi on 20-7-1965 that the execution of Minor Irrigation tanks in the Samithi area was properly executed for the sanctioned amount of Rs 2.00 lakhs and that after a lapse of one year and after heavy damages caused due to the floods, his rival candidate in the election Sri Rajah Raghavareju Subba Rao induced his partymen to represent to the Government that the works were not properly executed and that it would not be possible to obtain the correct measurements now and requested the Government to restrain from probing into the matter any further. The Collector, west Godavari and CE (L. A.) have been asked to conduct detailed enquiries and submit report in the matter. Their final reports are still awaited.

There is no representation received by the Government regarding the other tanks mentioned in the call attention notice. However, necessary enquiry will be made in respect of these tanks also.

Re: DISMISSAL OF 15 WORKERS OF I. N. T. U. C UNION OF THE CHIRALA, CO-OPERATIVE SPINNING MILLS, LTD.,

Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance

re: Dismissal of workers of I N.T.U.C.
Union of the Chirala Co-operative Spinning Mills Ltd.
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Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance:

re: Dismissal of 15 workers of I.N.T U.C.

Union of the Chirala Cooperative Spinning Mills Ltd.,

21st August, 1965

Sri B V Gurumurthy Sir, at the outset I would like to bring to the notice of Honourable Members that both the State and the Central Governments have several times deprecated the coercive measures like hunger strike etc., by the Trade Union or workers to achieve their objects.

There was an agreement under section 18 (1) of the Industrial Disputes Act between the management and the workers union regarding publication of list of permanent workers, enhancement of wages of apprentice workers and implementation of Textile wage Board recommendations from 1-7-1965 etc. Only on 27th July, 1965 the Chirala Co-operative Spinning Mills National Workers Union brought to my notice about non-implementation of the above agreement and also inefficient management. I have already asked the Commissioner of Labour to look into the matter and report to me early. I understand that some 15 workers are thrown out of employment and that four of the workers resorted to hunger strike from 9 a.m. on 16-8-1965 as a protest against their termination of services and another batch of three workers started hunger strike from 11th August. Meanwhile the Union has served a strike notice on 14-8-1965 indicating their intention of going on strike from 20-8-1965 if their demands are not conceded. The Union has also reported the provisions of the Factories Act to the Chief Inspector of Factories for immediate implementation of the provisions of Factories Act relating to annual leave with wages, canteen, rest rooms and lunch rooms, drinking water, water facilities, latrines, overtime etc., which the Chief Inspector of Factories is looking into.

As most of the grievances can be got implemented through the concerned Labour Machinery, the Union could have availed of its services before resorting to hunger strike. However, the Regional Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Guntur is proceeding to Chirala to hold conciliation over the dispute and pending conciliation, I would request the members who tabled this call attention motion, to advise the workers to break their fast.
21st August, 1955. Calling attention to a matter of urgent public importance

... Dismissal of 15 workers of I.N.T.U.C.
Union of the Chirala Cooperative Spinning Mills Ltd.,

Mr. Speaker:—Neither the Minister for Agriculture nor any other Minister is here. What is the use? If any one of the Ministers is prepared to make a statement I have no objection. If one of the Ministers is present I can ask him.
PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Rules made under Sub-Section (1) of Section 69 of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayath Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act, 1959

Dr. M.N. Lakshminarish to lay on the Table under sub-section (2) of section 69 of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act, 1959, a copy of each of the following notifications with which certain rules made under sub-section (1) of section 69 of the Act have been published in the Gazette.

Reference to the Gazette and date

Reference to the G.O. and date with which notifications have been published in the Gazette.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Go Ms. No. 502, Planning and Local Administration Department, dated the 12th April, 1962.</td>
<td>Pages 140-141 of Rules Supplement to Part I of Andhra Pradesh Gazette No. 17th dated the 3rd May, 1962.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Papers laid on the Table.

Rules made under Section 69 (1) of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act, 1959.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Mr. Speaker,—Papers laid on the Table.

Dr. M. N. Lakshmanaswamy.—Honourable Members are very anxious to point out that there are delays. Before any one makes the remarks, I would like to say that I am very sorry for such delays. I am taking up the old cases and I am already taking action against the concerned Officers. Anyway the delay is regretted.

Rules of reservation of appointment general rule 22 shall apply separately to appointments by direct recruitment as well as to appointments by transfer on promotion.

This is the G O that was published on the 15th February 1962. What is the position if such things of great importance are not to be brought before the House? What is the effect of all these things?

Mr. Speaker.—It is not the first time that we are noticing such delays. They have been able to find out. These papers which ought to have been laid on the Table of the House were not laid. Then at a late stage they are discovered and now they are placed on the Table. If you ask me the legal aspects, it is not for me to say but the courts have to decide.

I now request the Minister for Finance to lay the papers on the Table of the House.


Mr. Speaker.—Paper laid on the Table.

**Government Motion under rule 152-V of the Assembly Rules**


G O. Ms No 1489, Home (Labour-I), dated 17th July 1965, relating to the inclusion of Fertilizer Industry in the I Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

**Sri B V Gurumurthy**—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table under sub-section (3) of section 40 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), a copy of notification issued in G O Ms. No. 1489, Home (Labour-I), dated 17th July 1965, wherein the ‘Fertilizer Industry’ is included in the I Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) under sub-section (1) of Section 40 thereof.

**Mr. Speaker**—Paper laid on the Table.

**ADOPTION OF THE REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE OF PRIVILEGES RELATING TO A. C. T. O. CASE.**

Sri Vasudev Krishnaswami Naidu, (Chairman, Privileges Committee)—Sir, I beg to move.

“That the “Third Report of the Committee of Privileges (A C T O Case) which was presented to the House on 20th August 1965 be adopted.”

**Mr. Speaker**—Motion moved.

(Pause)

**Mr. Speaker**—The question is

“‘That the Third Report of the Committee of Privileges (A C T O case) which was presented to the House on 20th August 1965 be adopted”

The motion was adopted.

**Government Motions** Under Rule 152-V of the Assembly Rules.

Res:—Filling the Vacancy in the Joint Select Committee on

1. The Osmania University (Amendment) Bill 1965.

The Minister for Law (Sri P. V, Narasimha Rao). Sir, I beg to move;
Government Motion under rule 152-V


of the Assembly Rules:


"That the Members of this House do proceed to elect in the manner prescribed under Rule 152-V of the Assembly Rules to fill the vacancy in the Joint Select Committee on the following Bills

(1) The Osmania University (Amendment) Bill, 1965.
(2) The Andhra University (Amendment), Bill, 1965.
(3) Sri Venkateswara University (Amendment) Bill, 1965.

I move that Sri A. Balarami Reddy be nominated in the vacancy caused by the resignation of Sri Bhattam Srirama Murthy."

Mr. Speaker — Motion moved.


Mr. Speaker — The resignation was sent by Sri B. Srirama Murthy on the 19th and it is only the vacancy of the membership in the Select Committee.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya — What about proportional representation?

Mr. Speaker. That is true. Even then if the House has no objection we can have it. Otherwise if the hon. Member strictly insist on the procedure to be followed. Consequently on the transfer of portfolios this has become necessary. (Interruption).

That is what I say. If the House waives the procedure, we can have it.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya. — It is left to the discretion of the Speaker.
Mr Speaker For certain things, the House has got the right to waive. I take it that the House is prepared to waive.

The question is:

"That the Members of this House do proceed to elect in the manner prescribed under Rule 152-V of the Assembly Rules to fill the vacancy in the Joint Select Committee on the following Bill

(1) The Osmania University (Amendment) Bill, 1965.


(3) Sri Venkateswara University (Amendment) Bill, 1965.

"That Sri A. Balaram Reddy be nominated in the vacancy caused by the resignation of Sri Bhattam Srima.Murthy."

The motion was adopted.


Sri P. V Narasimha Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Members of the House do proceed to elect in the manner prescribed under Rule 152-V of the Assembly Rules to fill the vacancy in the Joint Select Committee on the Andhra Pradesh Agricultural Produce and Livestock Markets Bill, 1965.

I move that Sri A. C. Sobba Reddy be nominated in the vacancy caused by the resignation of Sri G. C. Kondayya."

"In the manner prescribed"—Leaved by the Speaker.

Sri P. V Narasimha Rao:—That will come in the proceedings Sir, because you have said so.

Mr Speaker:—Motion moved.

(Pause).

Mr Speaker:—The question is:

"That the Members of this House do proceed to elect in the manner prescribed under Rule 152-V of the Assembly Rules to fill the
Government Motion:

25. Change in the order of Business.


"That Sri A C Subba Reddy be nominated in the vacancy caused by the resignation of Sri G. C. Kondayya"

The motion was adopted.

GOVERNMENT MOTION

25. Change in the order of Business.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—Sir, before we take up the agenda, I would like to move:

"That the Government Motion relating to the amendments to the Schedules of the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Act, 1933, moved by the Minister for Labour and Transport on 20th August 1965 be taken up now and the non-official business may be taken up afterwards."

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

Afr. S:—It is not in the agenda.

Sri Tenenti Viswanatham:—When it is not in the agenda, what is the moving about?

Mr. Speaker:—The agenda must have been circulated to the Members before 4 O’clock yesterday.

Sri Tenenti Viswanatham:—It was not here. I have taken it from this place. It is not from my house.

Mr. Speaker:—It might have been put there even yesterday.

Sri Tenenti Viswanatham:—They put it here just about 8 O’clock when we came here.

Mr. Speaker:—My information is, the agenda was circulated to the members before 4 O’clock. It is the information given by the Office.

Sri Tenenti Viswanatham:—Right to my face he can not say that, it was given here and I have taken it from this place. According to ordinary practice they circulated. But if they wanted to change the agenda, the new item should have come here. It has not come.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao:—It was the unanimous decision of the members.
21st August, 1965

Government Motion

re: Change in the order of Business.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—There is no question of decision here.

Mr. Speaker:—In pursuance of it he is moving it.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—I am very sorry. Yesterday you might have announced it. Yesterday you might have agreed to it. But several things might pass between yesterday and this morning. This morning, naturally we looked into the agenda that was put here at about 8 o'clock. It was not there. We thought that in view of the great objections that would be raised they withdrew it and it would be presented on a proper occasion. That is the only inference for anybody. What is the meaning of saying that yesterday there was a decision. When it is not in the agenda the only inference is that the Government do not want to introduce this to-day.

Mr. Speaker:—Let us not mix up one with the other. That is a separate issue altogether. Now we are on the point whether a subject or an item which does not find place in the Agenda can be taken up for discussion by the House. That is the point. Of course, I was not present in the House yesterday, but the information that is furnished to me is that yesterday evening it was agreed.

Sri Vasudev Krishnaji Naik:—Yesterday there was discussion about this and after both the Leaders have agreed to have it in the morning, I think, I have announced that this shall be taken up first and the non-official resolutions would be taken later on. So after having consented to by the House, and after the discussion this has come up. Of course, finding place in the Agenda or in the supplementary Agenda to be circulated is a very very technical matter. I still feel that why this matter has been pursued since yesterday—in the morning and in the evening—and having agreed to by the Leader of the opposition—that I have no objection, I would be completely giving my support if this is taken up only by-morrow so that we can have some time for certain discussion. It is only the Chief Whip should not ask for taking it up only...

In the evening and the Chief Whip withdrew his technical objection and then the Opposition leader had resigned. I think, he should withdraw his objection now.

Sri T. Tenneti Viswanatham—May I submit Sir That this morning—
I was not present last night—I read the newspaper, and in the newspaper it was stated that it would be taken up to-day. But when I came here I found that it was not in the agenda. Therefore, some idea must have come across the mind of the Government. I thought and that is why they did not put it in the agenda. I thought I was not present here yesterday. This morning a newspaper contained exactly what the Hon'ble Deputy Speaker has said. But when I came here it was not in the agenda. When it is not in the agenda, it is out of order to move that this subject may be taken up in preference to some other work or something. If it is not in the agenda the only inference is that the Government have withdrawn it. If they are not withdrawing, they should have been very careful to see that it is included in the agenda.

Mr. Speaker: In view of the statement now made by the Deputy Speaker Sri Vasudeva Krishna Naik........

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham: I am saying that the newspaper contains exactly what the Deputy Speaker has said and therefore, I am not contradicting him. But when I came here, it is not in the agenda. Therefore, I thought, the only inference is that the Government have changed their mind subsequently and that is why it is not in the agenda. If it is not in the agenda, may I submit that we cannot waive every rule because this will become such a bad precedent—we have already done in the case of Universities.

Mr. Speaker:—There is not question of waiving any rule here. This agenda which has been circulated to the Members yesterday and perhaps also kept on the Table of the House was approved by me and the Leader of the House yesterday about 11 or 11-30 a.m. Subsequently, I was not present nor any items which had to be included were sent to us. So the agenda which was approved by us yesterday would have been communicated to the Members yesterday itself and perhaps for the benefit of the Members, copies of the same agenda also were kept on the Table of the House. Naturally this item, which was taken up yesterday evening and ultimately after the decision was taken by the House in consultation of the Leaders of the Opposition to have this again today, naturally this cannot find place in the agenda which was approved yesterday. In today's agenda which was approved yesterday, this item which was ultimately decided to be taken up today morning, cannot find place.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—Whenever a decision is made or understanding arrived, it should be circulated. How can anybody know that the decision was not changed.

Mr. Speaker:—The Deputy Speaker who was in the Chair announced to the House that this will be taken up this morning. Why should there be circulation?
Sri Tennytri Vizianagaram—When you announce that the House stands adjourned to 8:30 the next day why is the notice circulated again? The agenda is always circulated even when an announcement is made.

Mr. Speaker:—The announcement that the House stands adjourned to 8:30 is not circulated. The announcement is made by the Speaker and the agenda will be circulated to the members.

Sri Tennytri Vizianagaram.—How can we know this?

Mr. Speaker:—The Deputy Speaker never said that the hon. Member was adopting delaying tactics. He said that the Leader of the opposition agreed to this course.
Government Motion:

Amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963.

Mr Speaker — Apart from that the leader of the Opposition has agreed and since the Dy Speaker who was in the chair made the announcement that this will be taken up tomorrow with consultation with the parties, there is no justification to raise this objection.

The question is:

That the Government motion relating to the amendments to the schedules of the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Act, 1963, moved by the Minister for Labour and Transport on 20-8-1965 be taken up now and the non-official business may be taken up afterwards.”

The motion was adopted.

GOVERNMENT MOTION

Amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963.

Mr Speaker — The Minister concerned has moved the motion. If he says that this motion is not in proper form, he can give the reasons why it is not in proper order.

The Government may by notification from time to time direct that a tax shall be levied on every motor vehicle used or kept for public purpose in the State. Notice under sub-section...

225—1

Government Motion:

re: Amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963.

Mr. Speaker — The hon. Minister had moved the Motion under Section 17 and Section 17 expressly gives powers to the Legislative Assembly to amend the schedules.

Sri B. V. Gurumurthy — This motion is for amending the schedule.

Provided that the tax shall not exceed the tax shown in the schedule.

The Government may by not notification from time to time direct that a tax shall be levied on every motor vehicle provided that the rates of tax shall not exceed the minimum specified in the corresponding entry in . . . . . .

Provided that the tax shall not exceed the tax shown in the schedule. Taxation increase proviso bar tax. Provided that the tax shall .not exceed the tax shown in the schedule taxation classification tax classification tax classification tax classification tax classification tax classification tax classification tax classification tax schedule. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules. The Government may by order amend the schedules.

Mr. Speaker:—Let me hear the other side and then ultimately decide what order has to be passed

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu :—They must get the Governor's permission to introduce the Bill, Arts. 199, 207, 205. Section 199. For the purpose of this Bill, a Bill shall be deemed to be a money bill when it contains only provisions relating to the following matters.

Mr. Speaker:—The hon. Leader of the opposition is proceeding on the presumption that this is a Bill.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:—Art. 207 will clarify the position. A bill or amendment making provision for any of the matters specified hereunder shall not be introduced or moved except on the recommendation of the Governor. Money bill money bill they must get the Governor's consent to introduce.
Government Motion:  
559

Amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963.

For the purpose of this Chapter, a Bill shall be deemed to be a Money Bill if it contains only provisions dealing with all or any of the following matters namely:

(a) the imposition, abolition, remission, alteration or regulation of any tax,

(b) the regulation of the borrowing of money or the giving of any guarantee by the State or the amendment of the law with respect to any financial obligations undertaken or to be undertaken by the State.

A Bill or amendment shall be deemed to be a Money Bill or amendment if it contains only provisions dealing with all or any of the following matters:

(a) the imposition, abolition, remission, alteration or regulation of any tax,

(b) the regulation of the borrowing of money or the giving of any guarantee by the State or the amendment of the law with respect to any financial obligations undertaken or to be undertaken by the State.

A Bill or amendment making provision for any of the matters specified in sub-clauses (a) to (f) of Clause (1) of Article 199 shall not be introduced or moved except on the recommendation of the Governor and a Bill making such provision shall not be introduced in a Legislative Council.

Even that schedule is subordinate to the whole Act. Suppose a section is being amended. It is independent of the Bill or the Act. It is a part and parcel of the Act. An amendment comes under the purview of Article 199. What is the purpose of this word 'amendment.'

In Article 265 it is laid down that no tax shall be levied or collected except by authority of law. Money bill purpose is amendment to the schedule. Amendments tax increase be the law. Money bill purpose is amendment to the schedule. Amendments tax increase be the law.
Amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963

No tax shall be levied or collected except by authority of law. My contention is that this is a money bill. They must get the consent of the Governor to introduce this bill. The procedure laid down under the rules with regard to the passing of the Bills is entirely different. In the first place if a member in charge of a bill wants to come forward with a bill, he must first take the leave of the House for introduction, then publication. The procedure for a bill is entirely different and the rules are very clear about it. If it is a money bill, it requires the prior consent of the Governor. If it is any other bill, the consent of the Governor is not necessary. We need not go into the question whether the Governor's consent is necessary or not. The Government can come forward with a motion like this by which they want to amend the schedule. So far as the other things are concerned, a bill or an amendment bill, the rules are clear. Is this a Bill?

Sri Pallelamari Venkateswarlu:—This is a Bill. This is an amendment. Even amending Bill is a Bill according to Article 207.

Mr. Speaker:—Supposing there is no Act at all, a Bill by itself ....

Waste of word. It is not for the purpose of indicating what is an amending Bill. Bill means original Bill or amending Bill, 17th SCHEDULE AMENDING.
Government Motion: 21st August, 1903.

re: Amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1903.

Sri Pillalamari Venkatchcharlu — “Special provision as to financial bills”.

To amend a bill, whether it is in the form of a schedule or whether it is in the form of a bill.

Mr Speaker — An amendment bill seeking to amend the existing provisions of the existing Act. So far as that is concerned, if this is a bill then, of course, what will apply. If this is not a bill, the next question will be whether the Government has got a right to bring forward a motion of this nature under the powers vested in them under a particular provision of law. My only doubt is with regard to the point raised by hon. Members Mr Sarma, i.e. under the proviso to section 3 whether by such a motion the Government can seek to enhance the existing rates of taxation.

Mr Speaker.—An amendment bill seeking to amend the existing provisions of the existing Act. So far as that is concerned, if this is a bill, then, of course, what would apply. If this is not a bill, the next question would be whether the Government has got a right to bring forward a motion of this nature under the powers vested in them under a particular provision of law. My only doubt is with regard to the point raised by hon. Members Mr Sarma, i.e. under the proviso to section 3 whether by such a motion the Government can seek to enhance the existing rates of taxation.

Mr Speaker.—It looks like that.

If it comes within the purview of that clause, the recommendation of the Governor has to be obtained. There was an occasion in the Madras Legislature when I introduced a resolution which sought to put some money liability upon the Government. Then I was asked to get the recommendation of the Governor. I or another Member had to get the recommendation of the Governor even when it was a resolution. That is one thing. With regard to the other point, there is another subtlety in this. It is neither a Bill nor anything which amends the bill which imposes taxes or some such thing. Whatever the grounds, they are trying to amend by resolution; they are trying to amend the bill itself. The schedule is part of the bill, and the schedule is a taxing portion of the Bill and thus they are trying to amend the bill. Therefore, certainly the provision that the recommendation of the Governor must be obtained is attracted.

With regard to the third point raised by hon. Member Sri Sarma, what I say is this.

Mr Speaker.—What does he say about the provision in the Act itself; whether it is ultra vires or it is illegal, is a different matter. There is a provision in the Act which says that under no particular section Government can amend the schedule.

Sri Tennati Viswanatham.—What is the scope of section 17? Section 17 is subject to the proviso under section 3 (a).
Mr. Speaker—That is the next thing. Have the Government got the power to come forward with a motion to amend the schedules which affects the rates?

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham—I am coming to that point. The proviso to section 3 must be read together with section 17. The proviso to section 17 grants authority to the Government to notify amendments to sections 3 and 17. Government by notification amendment to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963.

Maximum of rates. The proviso contemplates giving power to the Government to give notification up to that maximum. Maximum rate of change section 3 proviso to section 17 by notification. Section 17 proviso to section 3 maximum rate of notification. Maximum by notification. Section 3 proviso to section 17. Main taxation section. The taxation section is section 3. Section 3 proviso to section 17 maximum rate of notification. Subject to the maximum of Act amendment to section 17. Maximum quarterly tax section 17. Maximum by notification. Maximum reaches by notification. Maximum reaches by amendment to section 17. Section 3 controls. Provision by notification. Provided that the rates of taxation shall not exceed the maximum specified in column 4 of the schedule. Maximum reaches by amendment to section 17. Maximum reaches by amendment to section 17. Maximum reaches by amendment to section 17. Maximum reaches by amendment to section 17.

I am clear, it is all right. Whether it is agreeable to them or not it is another matter. I had made myself clear.

Mr. Speaker—I have understood the viewpoint. I must also hear the other side.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham—If there is anything new, I may be given another opportunity.
Sirs, E. Ayappa Reddy.—Sir, the point is whether, it is money bill or it is a bill, and of course, as expressed by you I respectfully agree that it is not at all a bill And there is no necessity to call it a money bill What is contemplated under section 3 and what is contemplated under section 17, are two types of delegated legislation. The delegated legislation given under section 3, is one type and the delegated legislation given under section 17, is another type. Of course, in delegating the legislative power, the Legislature has always the right to prescribe the conditions under which that power can be exercised. Now, under section 17, by mere notification the Government can change the schedules or fix the rates. Mere notification is enough. Under section 17, it is very, very strictly scrutinized type of delegated legislation, a resolution has to come before the House and only when the House approves of it it becomes a law. Otherwise it does not become law. So, what is exercised under section 17, is only another type of delegated legislation and not all any type of bill or an amendment to the act also. Of course, Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu was very much taken by the word 'amend' used in Section 17. It is not new. A number of enactments have got it. I have got one illustration. Section 9 of the Mines and Minerals Act of 1957 (Central Act) has exactly a similar provision. Under Section 9 of this Central Act of 1957, there is a schedule. Section 9 relates to royalty. Sub-section (3) of Section 9 of that Act reads thus—'The Central Government may, by notification, in the official gazette, amend the schedule so as to enhance or reduce the rate at which royalty shall be payable in respect of any mineral with effect from such date as may be specified in the notification'. So, amendment of the schedule by a mere notification is contemplated under Section 9, and of course, it is subject to certain criteria. And the Central Government has very recently amended the schedule of the Act of 1957 by a mere notification, and those have also been the subject-matter of decisions of High Courts. Some of the notifications issued by the Central Government under Section 9, amending the schedule is the subject-matter of writ and it has been decided by other High Courts also. But not a single objection was taken on the ground that it was a money bill. Objection was taken that it was not within the maximum and minimum prescribed under the enactment, but no objection was taken on the ground that it was a money bill requiring the assent of the President and therefore, invalid. Therefore, what is contemplated under Section 17, is a type of delegated legislation and the resolution is perfectly in order.

With regret to Section 3, it has reference only to the schedule. The Government is given the power to slide the slab within the maximum fixed under the schedule. Therefore, Sir, these are two types of delegated legislation and section 3 has absolutely no effect on section 17. If section 17 is amended, probably they may issue further notification under Section 3 varying the rates. Therefore, they are entirely different. So far as the amending of the schedule is concerned, it is perfectly in order because it is within section 17.
**Government Motion:** Amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963.

Smt. P. V. Narasimha Rao—There are two procedures to be adopted, one for the levy of tax. So far as levy of the tax is concerned, it is governed by section 3. And under section 3, at no time shall a tax be levied which is in excess of the maximum fixed by the schedule. That is very clear. If I am levying a tax today, the position of law is that under section 3, I cannot exceed what is stated in the schedule as the maximum. Section 17, is entirely different. Section 17 in terms says that if I have to change the schedule, amend the schedule, I can do so by the procedure which has been laid down in section 17. What is being sought to be done now is to amend the schedule under section 17 and undoubtedly we are following the procedure as laid down under section 17, and after we change the schedule and if the amendment to the schedule is passed by the August House, then the Government will be in a position to levy something which will be subject to the maximum of the amended schedule. It may be in excess of today’s schedule but that will be subject to the maximum of the amended schedule. There is absolutely no difficulty about the interpretation of these two sections. Both can be interpreted correctly and this is the correct interpretation.

Hence it is proposed under item 8. Under item 8, the rates of tax may be enhanced. Hence it is proposed to enhance the rates of tax levied on certain categories of goods vehicles, i.e. vehicles other than those falling under item 8. The Government may by order amend the schedules and a draft of any order proposed to be made under section 17 shall be laid on the table of the Assembly and the said order shall not be made unless the Assembly approves. When a schedule is so amended, any reference to the schedule under this Act shall be construed as reference to such schedules as so amended. The rates of the tax shall not exceed the maximum specified in column 2 of the first schedule in respect of the classes of motor vehicles fitted with...

Amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act 1963.

Mr. Speaker: What does Section 9 say?

Sri P. Subbiah: I am reading, “Government may by notification grant an exemption, make a reduction in the rate or order further modifications not involving an enhancement.
in the rate of the tax payable. The proviso is also very clear there. If we refer to (b), it says "cancel or vary such exemption, reduction and other modifications, but cannot enhance."

Mr Speaker:—That is, they cannot enhance the rate of the tax payable in the schedule. Why doubt about it? So, that is exactly the reason why they are coming forward now to amend the schedule.

Sri P Subbiah:—Then, what is the method, what is the procedure they are adopting?

Mr Speaker:—They are coming under Section 17.

Sri P Subbiah:—Under what proviso?

Mr Speaker:—The main thing is under Section 17, Government can come forward with a motion to change the schedule. That is the main thing.

Sri P Subbiah:—That is the point.

Mr Speaker:—So far as other things are concerned, that is the question of levying by Government notification or order, Government have absolutely no right to enhance or levy a tax in excess of that which finds a place in the schedule by any notification.

Sri P Subbiah:—Then, Sir, it resolves to this position. What is the procedure of amending this?

Mr Speaker:—That is the point. Under Section 17 (1), they have got the powers to come forward with this motion.

Sri P Subbiah:—When they say this is an amendment providing for—

Mr Speaker:—Please see what Section 17 reads.

Sri P Subbiah: Section 17 reads like this: The Government may by order amend the schedule. Here, Sir, if they are going to amend the schedule, this is the position, according to Article 207 of the Constitution. I am reading. "A bill or amendment making provision for any three specified in sub-clauses (a) to (j) of clause 1 of Article 18 shall not be introduced or moved except on the recommendation of the Governor." Therefore, if they say this is an amendment making provision, then they must obtain the recommendation of the Governor.

Mr Speaker:—Again, we are going to the same case.

Sri P Subbiah:—No, Sir. What is the provision on which they are coming? They say this is an amendment. We are not going to the Constitution at all here.
Mr. Speaker — He is making confusion worse confounded. Article 207 says a Bill or amendment Bill

Sri P. Subbiah — Amendment making provision for any of the matters.

Mr. Speaker: — Matters specified in sub-clauses (a) to (f) What do sub-clauses (a) to (f) say? Imposition of—

Sri P. Subbiah: — Imposition, abolition, remission, regulation of borrowing, etc...

Mr. Speaker: — That is, bills dealing with these things. A Bill or an amendment bill dealing with the imposition, abolition, regulation, etc. etc Any matter incidental to any of the matters specified in sub-clauses (a) to (f).

Sri P. Subbiah: — My submission is, Bill means amendment Bill also. Here, amendment making provision.

Mr. Speaker — Original Bill or amendment Bill?

Sri P. Subbiah: — Bill includes amendment Bill also But there is a specific provision here, amendment making provision.

Mr. Speaker: — That is layman’s interpretation?

Sri P. Subbiah: — The point which I would like to submit is, Bill includes amendment Bill.

Mr. Speaker — Then amendment includes?

Sri P. Subbiah: — Amendment to a Bill. Here I am drawing the analogy Here, they are coming forward with an amendment making provision Of what? Of enhancing the schedules So, Sir, what do they say? What do the Treasury Benches say? Do they come under an amendment making provision of a Bill? They categorically say this is not a Bill and notification cannot enhance. Therefore, amendment making provision. So, when it is an amendment-making provision, certainly they must obtain the recommendation of the Governor. Therefore, in any event, Sir, this cannot be piloted until and unless the recommendation of the Governor is obtained.
Mr. Speaker— Under Section 17 (1), they want certain powers to enhance the tax. Whether they are going to exercise that power under Section 8 is a different matter. They get the power. Whether they are going to exercise it or not by notification etc., etc. is a different thing. First, they must have the power to enhance it. That means, the schedule must be amended. If they don’t amend the schedule, without amending the schedule, they don’t have any right to levy a rate above that which finds a place in the schedule.

The Government may by notification from time to time direct that a tax shall be levied on every motor vehicle used or kept for use, etc. The general provision is that Notification issued under sub-section (1) shall specify the clause etc.

Mr. Speaker.—That is just one thing. Under Section 3, if the Government wants to levy a particular rate by a notification, I don’t think it is necessary for them to come before this House. It is not necessary. By a gazette notification, they can do it under Section 3.

Mr. Speaker.—That we do not know. Whether they are going to levy enhanced tax or not, we do not know. They want to take the power of enhancing it now. Whether they are going to use the power or not like a giant, that is a different matter.

Sri Tenett Viswanatham :—It is a continuing thing already. All that is necessary is they want a higher figure. It is not an enabling provision. In the present circumstances of the operation of the Act, it is not an enabling provision. It is a provision fixing a higher tax.

Mr. Speaker : They might do it.
Government Motion

Government Motion


Amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963,

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—They must do it; otherwise there will be surcharge.

Mr. Speaker:—Whether they do it or not, we do not know.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—It comes into effect as soon as it is passed.

Mr Speaker:—It comes into effect but whether they are going by notification that is a different matter.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—No further notification is necessary because the schedule is intended for that.

Mr. Speaker:—Simply because the rates in the schedule are changed, it does not mean that they can straightaway without any kind of notification review the tax.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—All right; I shall agree with this view so far. Whether maximum or maximum is arrived at is a different matter.

Mr Speaker:—The object must be to enhance it.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—Enhance regular method, not so wide as it is imagined because it is subject to that maximum.

Mr Speaker:—It is not stated there.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—What does Section 8 say?

Mr. Speaker:—Section 8 says it cannot levy except what is contained there in the schedule.
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Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—I will repeat, Sir, that the schedule flow from the Act. The Act does not flow from the schedule.

Mr. Speaker:—I very much regret that I am not able to agree with that.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—Then it is all right. I won't press for that. Once you say the schedule does not flow from the Act, I have nothing else to argue.

Mr. Speaker: The schedule flows from the Act but at the same time the Government are given powers to come forward with a motion to get it amended under Section 17. What I am saying is Government have no powers to levy a tax under Section 8 beyond the rate that is specified in the schedule.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—That is exactly what I am saying, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—I do not know if I am not clear.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—If I am clear and you don't agree with me, I will stop. If I am not clear, I shall try to make myself clear.

Mr. Speaker:—I am trying to make myself clear. I have not understood the argument correctly. Now Section 17 says the schedule can be amended.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—First let us read the whole Act. Section 17 gives power in Section 17. Section 17 gives power not exceeding the maximum Schedule 8 in the Schedule refer Section 17. Schedule 8 gives maximum power to order Schedule 17. Section 17 gives notification Schedule 17.

My point is Section 17 notification Section 17. The procedure to amend the schedule has been laid down under Section 17.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—What is the point in this kind of argument? Why have they come forward with this resolution?

Mr. Speaker:—Because it is not necessary.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—Because the law says it is necessary to come. What is the use of saying it is not necessary.
Government Motion:


Sri Tennyeti Vishwanatham — That is not the point. I am altogether on a different point. Sec 17 (1) says, "The Government may by order amend the schedules." It is as wide as it ought to be. If there has been any limitations there, then I can understand.

Mr Speaker — "The Government may by order amend the schedules." It is as wide as it ought to be. If there has been any limitations there, then I can understand.

Mr Speaker: 8 applies only to levy. Sections 8, 4 etc. apply only to levy. The Government have no power to levy a tax more than what is contained therein in the schedule. That is only by notification. They take the power first and then they decide what rate it should be levied. It should not exceed what is found in the schedule.

Mr Speaker — Powers were given to the Government to come forward with a motion to seek to amend the schedule. Distinct under Section 17.

Sri Tennyeti Vishwanatham — No, Sir.

Mr Speaker — There is very strong reason to get it.

Sri Tennyeti Vishwanatham: No Act will have delegated the power of taxation itself.
Mr. Speaker: If they want to act under Section 8, and enhance the present rates, then they have to necessarily get the schedule amended. Till they get it amended, they cannot do it. The next question is whether they can get it done under Section 17.

Sri E. Ayyappu Reddy: Section 17, sub-clause 8, makes it quite clear that a schedule when amended will be construed as part and parcel of the Act itself. Now that argument does not hold.

Sri Tennenti Viswanatham: I have not seen any Legislature giving sanction to the Government to enhance taxation without coming to the Legislature. It cannot be misread like that, it cannot be interpreted like that even if there is any remote chance.

Mr. Speaker:—The Legislatures who passed this Act have given powers to the Government under Section 17 to amend the schedules without imposing any kind of conditions or limitations.

Sri Tennenti Viswanatham:—But certainly there are well-known conditions of interpretation. Sir, it is not so wide as it is imagined because—

Mr. Speaker:—He can even go to the extent of interpreting that Section 17 is ultra vires of this House.

Sri Tennenti Viswanatham: That will also come.

Mr. Speaker: That is a different matter; any one can go and argue it in a court. He can do it in a court of law. So far as this House is concerned—

Sri Tennenti Viswanatham: We shall do it, Sir; if Section 17 is here to be interpreted as giving an additional power of taxation to the Government, then we shall go to the court. That is another matter. But what I say is Section 17 does not give the power to enhance beyond the maximum already laid down under the schedule at the time when the Act was passed.
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Mr Speaker:—With due respect to you, Mr Viswanatham, I do not agree with you. I have expressed my opinion already. You can go ahead.

Sri D Sastaramaiah:—On a point of submission, Sir.

Mr Speaker:—A point of submission is different from a point of order.

Sri D Sastaramaiah:—A point of submission in connection with the point of order raised by my colleague. I am of opinion that under Section 17, Government has got the power to amend the schedule, but the power of the Government is circumscribed by the fact that Section 8 dominates...

Mr Speaker:—The same point advanced by Sri Tenneti Viswanatham and by Mr Sarveswara Rao...

Sri D Sastaramaiah:—I am coming to the point. Section 8 is the controlling section regarding taxation. This section read with...

Mr Speaker:—Section 8 deals with levy, not taxation.

Sri D Sastaramaiah:—As section 8 is the controlling section—taxing section, Section 17 is subordinate to it. Government can amend this schedule only regarding the laden weights, not regarding taxation. Government is empowered according to this to amend the laden weight as shown in the schedule and not taxing limits as shown in the schedule.

Mr Speaker:—Now, we will go ahead.

Sri T.K.R. Sarma:—If section 8 relates to levy and not to taxation, then where is the taxation provision? I am at a loss to understand that. If it is a levying section, where is the taxation section?

Mr Speaker:—Does he agree that the schedule forms part of the Bill? At the time of voting, schedule is also put to vote, and it forms part of the Bill. The schedule gives power to the Government, in this case, and gives the extent up to which they can go.

Sri T.K.R. Sarma:—We cannot make a distinction that levying is something and taxation is something else.

Mr Speaker:—Government have taken some powers under the Act. After they are invested with powers under the Act, they are exercising their powers to the extent that they think necessary. It is for them to use their discretion. He may not agree with me, but that is the view I hold.
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Government Motion.

(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)

3. —

substantial income by certain sections of the Motor Transport Act. Maximum rates...and rates to the nearest 100 rupees.

subject to the nearest 100 kilograms and rates to the nearest 10 rupees.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)
Government Motion

re: Amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1968.

Amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1968.

25% levy is hereby amended to 30%. Rounding of rupees A to F inclusive, G to N inclusive, 100 to 999, 1,000 to 9,999, 10,000 to 1,99,999 will be nearest hundred and 2,00,000 and above nearest thousand.

Motor vehicles plying for hire and used for the transport of passengers in respect of which permits have been issued under the Motor Vehicles Act 1989. As clause 4 amendment Motor vehicles plying for hire and used for the transport of passengers in respect of which permits have been issued under the Motor Vehicles Act 1989. As clause 4 amendment Motor vehicles plying for hire and used for the transport of passengers in respect of which permits have been issued under the Motor Vehicles Act 1989. As clause 4 amendment Motor vehicles plying for hire and used for the transport of passengers in respect of which permits have been issued under the Motor Vehicles Act 1989.

Clause 4 of the schedule to the aforesaid Act and in respect which permits have been issued under the Motor Vehicles Act 1989. As clause 4 amendment Motor vehicles plying for hire and used for the transport of passengers in respect of which permits have been issued under the Motor Vehicles Act 1989. As clause 4 amendment Motor vehicles plying for hire and used for the transport of passengers in respect of which permits have been issued under the Motor Vehicles Act 1989.

The number of persons or passengers which a vehicle is permitted to carry shall...

(1) In the case of a motor vehicle in respect of which a permit is granted under the Motor Vehicles Act, 1989, be the number of persons or passengers which the Motor Vehicle is authorised to carry by the permit; and

(ii) in the case of a motor vehicle playing for hire or for reward without a permit granted under the Motor Vehicles Act 1989, be the maximum number of persons or passengers which the vehicle may be permitted to carry if a permit were granted under the aforesaid Act. As explanation omitted. Second schedule omitted.
Temporary Schedule to be revised by a Schedule containing vehicles between 8,500 to 9,100 in weights be proposed. The Higher rates and percentage rates of duty be suggested as follows:

- For vehicles between 8,500 to 9,100, the rate of duty be calculated at 10% of the value of the vehicle.
- For vehicles between 9,100 to 10,700, the rate of duty be calculated at 7% of the value of the vehicle.
- For vehicles between 10,700 to 12,000, the rate of duty be calculated at 5% of the value of the vehicle.
- For vehicles between 12,000 to 14,700, the rate of duty be calculated at 3% of the value of the vehicle.

The motion is as follows:

Propose that the duty on vehicles between 8,500 to 9,100 be calculated at 10% of the value of the vehicle. Similarly, propose for vehicles between 9,100 to 10,700 at 7%, 10,700 to 12,000 at 5%, and 12,000 to 14,700 at 3%.
Government Motion. 21st August, 1965.
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...rounded off to the nearest 100 kgs in respect of weight nearest 10 rupees in respect of levy of rates and enhanced rates. Wherever the rates existed earlier, enhanced rates are to be rounded off to the nearest 10 kgs, rounding off to the nearest 10 rupees in respect of rates of levy to the nearest 100 kgs in respect of weight and nearest 10 rupees in respect of levy rates. 17 amendments were made, and 20 additional levy rates were enhanced. The amendments were made to provide for a period of not less than 15 days for the Legislative Assembly to pass the motion. The motion was passed.

...Sub-section 2 of Section 17 of the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Act 1933 after words Legislative Assembly of the State insert the words for a period of not less than 15 days and power to the Governor to make an amendment are withdrawn. The amendments provide for too wide powers. The discretion is ultra vires of the constitution, as discretion is limit prescribe. The discretion of the Governor to the conditions of the discretion. The limits of a power too wide and a power of taxation by resolution are too wide. The Third plan mid-term appraisal was passed. The third plan of the Governor...

**Government Motion**

*re: Amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1965.*

Taking both the centre and the States together, the measure of additional taxation undertaken so far would yield over the plan period roughly 2,400 crores, i.e., about 900 crores more than the target. The additional taxation measures of sugar cane, land revenue, etc., have been analyzed in the draft of the resolution. Higher taxation for higher morale and corruption, ethics, and public life, demoralise the ethical basis of the society. Public life demoralises the ethical basis of society. Corruption, demoralise ethical basis, Man eats the majority, Man eats the majority, Man eats the majority, Man eats the majority, Man eats the majority, Man eats the majority, Man eats the majority, Man eats the majority, Man eats the majority, Man eats the majority, Man eats the majority.
Mr. Speaker — Now, we will go to the amendments.

...

Government Motion.

re: Amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963

...
Government Motion.


Sri Pillaiah Venkateshwarlu —Sir, I beg to move! “In subsection (2) of section 17 of the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963, insert the words ‘for a period of not less than fifteen days’.”

Mr. Deputy Speaker —Amendment moved.

Government Motion.
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Sri B V Gurumurthy — We have got equal consideration for the public as the hon. Member has. But it does not concern only this particular Act, Sir. That may be applicable in respect of other Acts also, but in a particular situation, the Government may be constrained to come before the House for its acceptance. That would prevent the Government from coming so. Hence, I have objection, Sir. I am not prepared to accept the amendment.

Mr Deputy Speaker — The question is:

"In sub-section (2) of section 17 of the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963 after the words 'Legislative Assembly of the State' insert the words 'for a period of not less than fifteen days.'"

The motion was negatived.

Sri V Viswanara Rao — Sir, I move.

"In item 3 (a) for the figure ‘Rs 170’ substitute the figure ‘160’.

In Item 3 (b) for the figure ‘200’ substitute the figure ‘190’.

In Item 3 (c) for the figure Rs. 270 substitute the figure ‘260’.

In item 3 (d) for the figure ‘300’ substitute the figure ‘290’.

In item 3 (e) for the figure ‘340’ substitute the figure ‘330’.

In item 3 (f) for the figure Rs. 880 substitute the figure Rs. 860’.

In item 3 (g) the figure 510 substitute the figure 450."
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Amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963.

In item 8 (a) for the figure 590 substitute the figure 500.
In item 8 (i) for the figure 670 substitute the figure 570.
In item 8 (j) for the figure '720' substitute the figure 620.
In item 8 (k) for the figure '760' substitute the figure 650.
In item 8 (l) for the figure Rs '820' substitute the figure '640'.
In item 8 (m) for the figure Rs '680' substitute the figure Rs '650'.
In item 8 (n) for the figure Rs '25' substitute the figure Rs '10'.
In item 8 (o) (i) for the figure Rs '190' substitute the figure '160'.
In item 8 (o) (ii) for the figure Rs '280' substitute the figure '240'.
In item 8 (o) (iii) for the figure Rs '400' substitute the figure '350'.

Mr. Speaker —Amendments moved.

Sri D. SeshagiriRao:—Sir, I move.

In item 8 (a) for the figure 590 substitute the figure Rs 570.
In item 8 (i) for the figure Rs '670' substitute the figure Rs '570'.
In item 8 (j) for the figure Rs '720' substitute the figure Rs '650'.
In item 8 (k) for the figure Rs '760' substitute the figure Rs '650'.
In item 8 (l) for the figure Rs '820' substitute the figure Rs '700'.

Mr. Speaker —Amendments moved.

Sri B. V. Gurumurthy:—I am not accepting the amendment, Sir.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is

"In item 8 (a) for the figure 'Rs 170/-', substitute the figure 'Rs 160/-'."

The motion was negatived.

Government Motion.
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Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is

"In item 3 (b) for the figure ‘Rs 200/-’, substitute the figure ‘Rs 190’.

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is

"In item 8 (c) for the figure ‘Rs 270/-’ substitute the figure ‘Rs 260/-’.

The motion was negatived.

Mr. M. K. Venkatram — The question is

"In amendment 3 (a) for the figure ‘Rs 100/-’, substitute the figure ‘Rs 90’.

The motion was negatived.

Mr. M. K. Venkatram — The question is

"In amendment 3 (c) for the figure ‘Rs 20/-’, substitute the figure ‘Rs 15’.

The motion was negatived.

Mr. M. K. Venkatram — The question is

"In amendment 3 (d) for the figure ‘Rs 20/-’, substitute the figure ‘Rs 15’.

The motion was negatived.

Mr. M. K. Venkatram — The question is

"In amendment 3 (e) for the figure ‘Rs 20/-’, substitute the figure ‘Rs 15’.

The motion was negatived.

Mr. M. K. Venkatram — The question is

"In amendment 3 (f) for the figure ‘Rs 20/-’, substitute the figure ‘Rs 15’.

The motion was negatived.

Mr. M. K. Venkatram — The question is

"In amendment 3 (g) for the figure ‘Rs 20/-’, substitute the figure ‘Rs 15’.

The motion was negatived.
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Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is:

"In item 8 (d) for the figure Rs. 300/-, substitute the figure Rs 290."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is:

"In item 3 (c) for the figure Rs. 340/- substitute the figure Rs 880."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is:

"In item 8 (f) for the figure Rs 880/- substitute the figure Rs 860."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is:

"In item 8 (g) for the figure Rs. 510/-, substitute the figure Rs 450."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is:

"In item 3 (h) for the figure Rs. 590/- substitute the figure Rs 500."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is:

"In item 8 (i) for the figure Rs. 670/- substitute the figure Rs. 570."

The motion was negatived.
Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is:

"In item 8 (j) for the figure 'Rs. 720/-' substitute the figure 'Rs. 650/-'."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker — The question is:

"In item 8 (j) for the figure 'Rs. 720/-' substitute the figure 'Rs. 650/-'."

The motion was negatived.
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Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In item 8 (1) for the figure 'Rs. 830/-', substitute the figure 'Rs. 700/-' ."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In item 8 (m) for the figure 'Rs. 880/-' substitute the figure 'Rs. 650/-' ."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In item 8 (n) for the figure 'Rs. 25/-', substitute the figure 'Rs. 10' ."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In item 8 (o) (i) for the figure 'Rs. 190/-' substitute the figure 'Rs. 130/-' ."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In item 8 (o) (ii) for the figure 'Rs. 280/-' substitute the figure 'Rs. 240/-' ."

The motion was negatived.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—The question is:

"In item 8 (q) for the figure 'Rs. 400/-' substitute the figure 'Rs. 250/-' ."

The motion was negatived.
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Government Motion:

re: Amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnoyya:—Sir I beg to move:

Add at the end of the draft order the following:

"Provided further they are not the Stage Carriages of the Andhra Pradesh state Road Transport Corporation."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Mr. Speaker in the Chair:— Major principle is.  

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)

re: Amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963.

Mr. Speaker — It is off the mark.

Mr. Speaker — "Off the Mark." That is he is not hitting exactly.

Mr. Speaker — He says it does not apply.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya.—No Sir, He didn't follow. Schedules 1, 2, 3, 8 items 9th illicit taxes on 9th prohibit 9th amendment 9th schedules 9th amendment 9th applies to 9th. As amended 9th schedules 9th apply to 9th.

Mr. Speaker:—He, says it does not apply.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya.—No Sir, He didn't follow. Schedules 1, 2, 3, 8 items 9th illicit taxes on 9th prohibit 9th amendment 9th applies to 9th. As amended 9th schedules 9th apply to 9th.

Government Motion:
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Mr. Speaker:—If his intention is to make it apply also to the other vehicles, then it is a different matter. What the hon. Minister says is it applies only to lorries.

Mr. Speaker.—Hon. Minister has understood their object. Their intention is clear
Sri B. V. Gurumurthy—Yes, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—Then it does not apply, it is well and good.
Sri B. V. Gurumurthy:—It does not, Sir.

Mr. Speaker.—If it is going to apply, then we will have to consider about it.

Sri B V Gurumurthy:—It is a hypothetical proposition which is very difficult to decide at the moment.

Mr. Speaker: The question is.

"Add at the end of the draft order the following.—

Provided further they are not to stage carriages of the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation

The motion was declared negatived,
Sri Vaddala Gopalakrishnayya.—I demand division, Sir

The House then divided:

Ayes: 16

Nees: 76;

Resolves: 1

The motion was negatived.
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Mr. Speaker:—I shall now put the Motion to the vote of the House.

The question is:—

"That the following draft order which it is proposed to make in exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963 (Andhra Pradesh Act 5 of 1963), for the purpose of amending the First and Second Schedules to the Act be approved.

DRAFT ORDER

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Andhra Pradesh Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1963 (Andhra Pradesh Act 5 of 1963), the Governor of Andhra Pradesh hereby makes the following amendments to the First and Second Schedules to the said Act.

AMENDMENTS

(1) In the said Act,—

(i) in the First Schedule for items 3 and 4 and the entries relating thereto, the following shall be substituted, namely:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) &quot;3 Goods Vehicles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Dot motor cycle trucks not exceeding 300 kgs in laden weight</td>
<td>. .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Vehicles not exceeding 1,000 kgs in laden weight</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Vehicles exceeding 1,000 kgs but not exceeding 1,500 kgs in laden weight</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Vehicles exceeding 1,500 kgs but not exceeding 2,300 kgs in laden weight</td>
<td>. .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Vehicles exceeding 2,300 kgs but not exceeding 3,000 kgs in laden weight</td>
<td>. .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) Vehicles exceeding 3,000 kgs but not exceeding 4,300 kgs in laden weight</td>
<td>. .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g) Vehicles exceeding 4,300 kgs but not exceeding 5,600 kgs in laden weight</td>
<td>. .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(h) Vehicles exceeding 5,600 kgs but not exceeding 7,500 kgs in laden weight</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles exceeding 7,600 kgs but not exceeding 9,100 kgs in laden weight</td>
<td>Rs 670.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles exceeding 9,100 kgs but not exceeding 10,700 kgs in laden weight</td>
<td>Rs 720.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles exceeding 10,700 kgs but not exceeding 12,700 kgs in laden weight</td>
<td>Rs 760.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles exceeding 12,700 kgs but not exceeding 14,700 kgs in laden weight</td>
<td>Rs 820.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles exceeding 14,700 kgs but not exceeding 15,500 kgs in laden weight</td>
<td>Rs 880.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles exceeding 15,500 kgs in laden weight</td>
<td>The rate specified in item (m) plus Rs 25 for every 250 kgs or part thereof of 15,500 kgs in laden weight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional tax payable in respect of goods vehicles used for drawing trailers:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) for each trailer not exceeding 1,000 kgs in laden weight</td>
<td>Rs 190.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) for each trailer exceeding 1,000 kgs but not exceeding 3,000 kgs in laden weight</td>
<td>Rs 280.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) for each trailer exceeding 3,000 kgs, in laden weight</td>
<td>Rs 400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Provided that two or more goods vehicles shall not be chargeable under this clause in respect of the same trailer.

4 Motor Vehicles plying for hire and used for transport of passengers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(i)</th>
<th>(ii)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles permitted to carry in all not more than 5 persons</td>
<td>Rs 75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles permitted to carry more than 5 persons in all but not more than 7 persons in all, for every person other than the driver which the vehicle is permitted to carry</td>
<td>Rs 30.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Government Motion.  
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(iii) Vehicles permitted to carry more than 6 passengers and plying exclusively in the cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad or within the limits of municipalities constituted under the relevant Acts relating to the municipalities in force in the State —</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) for every seated passenger (other than the driver and the conductor) which the vehicle is permitted to carry</td>
<td>.. 60 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) for every passenger (other than a seated passenger, the driver or the conductor) which the vehicle is permitted to carry</td>
<td>.. 18.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(iv) Vehicles permitted to carry more than 6 passengers and not plying exclusively in the cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad or in the municipalities aforesaid —

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) for every seated passenger (other than the driver and the conductor) which the vehicle is permitted to carry</td>
<td>.. 67.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) for every passenger other than a seated passenger the driver or the conductor) which the vehicle is permitted to carry</td>
<td>.. 22.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanation:

The number of persons or passengers which a vehicle is permitted to carry shall,—

( i) in the case of a motor vehicle in respect of which a permit is granted under the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939, be the number of persons or passengers which the Motor Vehicle is authorised to carry by the permit, and

( ii) in the case of a motor vehicle plying for hire or for reward without a permit granted under the Motor Vehicles Act 1939, be the maximum number of persons or passengers which the vehicle may be permitted to carry if a permit were granted under the aforesaid Act”
Government Notification

(2) in the Second Schedule, for item 8 and the entries relating thereto, the following shall be substituted, namely:

| (a) | Vehicles not exceeding 1,900 kgs in laden weight | Rs. | 22.00 |
| (b) | Vehicles exceeding 1,000 kgs. but not exceeding 1,900 kgs. in laden weight | Rs. | 30.00 |
| (c) | Vehicles exceeding 1,500 kgs but not exceeding 2,800 kgs. in laden weight | Rs. | 38.00 |
| (d) | Vehicles exceeding 2,800 kgs. but not exceeding 5,000 kgs. in laden weight | Rs. | 38.00 |
| (e) | Vehicles exceeding 3,000 kgs. but not exceeding 4,800 kgs. in laden weight | Rs. | 42.00 |
| (f) | Vehicles exceeding 4,800 kgs. but not exceeding 5,800 kgs. in laden weight | Rs. | 57.00 |
| (g) | Vehicles exceeding 5,800 kgs. but not exceeding 7,600 kgs. in laden weight | Rs. | 66.00 |
| (h) | Vehicles exceeding 7,600 kgs. but not exceeding 11,000 kgs. in laden weight | Rs. | 74.00 |
| (i) | Vehicles exceeding 11,000 kgs. but not exceeding 15,500 kgs. in laden weight | Rs. | 84.00 |
| (j) | Vehicles exceeding 15,500 kgs. but not exceeding 21,500 kgs. in laden weight | Rs. | 91.00 |
| (m) | Vehicles exceeding 15,500 kgs. in laden weight. The rate specified in item (1) plus Rs. 2 for every 250 kgs. or part there of in excess of 15,500 kgs. in laden weight. | Rs. | 98.00 |
|      | The rate specified in item (1) plus Rs. 10 for every 250 kgs. or part there of in excess of 15,500 kgs. in laden weight. | Rs. | 268.00 |
(n) Additional tax payable in respect of vehicles use for drawing trailers:

(i) for each trailer not exceeding 1,000 kgs. in laden weight
   
   21 00  78.00

(ii) for each trailer exceeding 1,000 kgs. but not exceeding 3,000 kgs. in laden weight
    
    31 00  115.00

(iii) for each trailer exceeding 3,000 kgs in laden weight
     
     44 00  163.00

Provided that two or more vehicles shall not be chargeable under this clause in respect of the same trailer.

The motion was adopted.

Messages from the Council.

Mr. Speaker:—I have to announce to the House that I have received the following four messages from the hon. Chairman, Legislative Council:


"(i) In accordance with Rule 174 of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council Rules, I return herewith a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Sugarcane Crop Land Revenue Assessment Bill, 1965 (L. A. Bill No. 4 of 1965) which was passed by the Assembly at its sitting held on 19th August 1965 and transmitted to the Legislative Council for its recommendation, duly signed by me and state that this House has not recommendation to make to the Legislative Assembly in regard to the said Bill."

The Indian Registration (Andhra Pradesh) Amendment Bill, 1965

"(ii) In accordance with Rule 174 of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council Rules, I transmit a copy of the Indian Registration (Andhra Pradesh) Amendment Bill, 1965 (L. A. Bill No. 13 of 1965) as passed and agreed to by the Legislative Council on 20th August 1965 without any amendment and signed by me."
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The Indian Stamp (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Bill, 1965.

“(b) In accordance with Rule 174 of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council Rules, I return herewith a copy of the Indian Stamp (Andhra Pradesh Amendment, Bill, 1965 (L A Bill No 14 of 1965) which was passed by the Legislative Assembly at its sitting held on 19th August 1965 and transmitted to the legislative Council for its recommendation duly signed by me and state that this House has no recommendations to make to the Legislative Assembly in regard to the said Bill.”

The Andhra Pradesh Irrigation (Construction and Maintenance of Water Courses) (Amendment) Bill, 1965

“(b) In accordance with Rule 174 of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Council Rules, I transmit a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Irrigation (Construction and Maintenance of Water Courses) (Amendment) Bill, 1965 (L. A Bill No 9 of 1965) as passed and agreed to by the Legislative Council on 21st August 1965 without any amendment and signed by me”.

Announcement

re: Certain Members joining the Congress Legislature party.

Mr. Speaker.—I am to announce to the House that the following Members have joined the Congress Party.


Points of information.

re: Disposal of ballot of boxes.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao—Mr Speaker, Sir. On the 2nd of August 1965, while answering a starred question No 8399 regarding the disposal of ballot boxes I had promised to the House that certain additional information which was wanted would be placed before the House before the close of the Session. I am doing so, now, Sir if your permit.

Mr. Speaker—Is it a very lengthy one?


Mr. Speaker—Then please have it kept on the Table of the House.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao. Yes, Sir, I put it on the Table.

re: Report of Administrative Reforms Committee

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy—One other thing in this connection, Sir. I just now remembered, while answering some question some time back, with regard to the Administrative Reforms Committee, I said that they are likely to submit their report before 31st of August. It seems as though from the information received, that they may not, be able to do it before October,
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re: Swearing in of New Ministers

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy If you permit me, Sir, I will say one other thing. Tomorrow, two of our colleagues are being sworn in. As originally thought, it should be in the Governor’s room a small room, Sir and that the Governor is also going away that very morning at 9-80 a.m. to Kerala, therefore I invited only Hon. Ministers and Chief Justice and a few Leaders of the Opposition. Now, even that room is insufficient even for 20 people. We are arranging it in the Durbar Hall. There will be 150 Chairs. The hon. Members of this House and of the other House, if they are interested, they are certainly welcome, Sir, and I invite them.

Mr. Speaker Not of their own accord. If you extend an invitation

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy I am extending it, Sir; because I have no time to print cards and extend invitation, I am taking this opportunity to extend invitation to them to come.

Mr. Speaker For want of time, no written invitations will be communicated.

Sri Vavluha Gopalakrishnayya It is to say that if we are interested?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy. They are requested to come.

re: Municipal elections

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao Sir, I have already stated on the same day on which I answered the question that the Municipal Elections will not be postponed or otherwise changed for want of ballot boxes. There will be an arrangement of the ballot boxes. I cannot say whether these will be used. Probably, they will not be used, Sir.

re:—Withdrawal of a case by the Collecto. Chittoor

Sri A. Balaram Reddy.—I have already placed on the Table of the House.

Mr. Speaker Yes, I will pass it on.
re: Export of Maize.

Mr. Speaker—Hon Minister may please bear in mind what the hon. member has stated, and get it examined.

Mr. Speaker—I think that has been placed on the Table of the House. Most of have them been paid their pensions.

Sri D. Seetharamiah—No, Sir. But the information given there is the number of applications, whereas you have instructed the hon. Minister some 15 days back to place all the rules pertaining to old age pensions.

Mr. Speaker.—Not, the rules. I only wanted the hon. Minister to take action in the case of those people for whom old age pensions have been sanctioned, to see that they are paid at the end of June 1965. Not with regard to sanction of new things, because the question was that there was some delay in the payment of old age pensions.

Sri D. Seetharamiah.—May be that, Sir. But in a different situation, you were please to say that all the rules concerning old age pensions be place on the Table of the House before the end of the sittings, Sir.

Mr. Speaker.—We will have it done in the next Session.
ANNOUNCEMENT.

re: List of business transacted during the Session

Mr. Speaker. This is the list of business transacted during the Session:

1. Number of days for which the Assembly sat 28
2. Number of hours the Assembly worked 122
3. Starred Questions answered 628
4. Supplemetaries answered 2,128
5. Unstarred questions 90
6. Short notice questions 28
7. (a) Half-an-hour discussion on question 1
   (b) Motions under Rule 95 of the Assembly Rules 3
8. No. of speeches made:
   (a) Ministers 96
   (b) Members 302
9. Call attention notices admitted 64
10. Number of Bills passed 13
11. Composition of the House:
    (i) Congress 214
    (ii) Communists (India under the leadership of
         Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu) 31
    (iii) Communist Party (Sri T. Nagi Reddy) 21
    (iv) Swatantra 16
    (v) National Democrats 9
    (vi) Independents unattached 1
    (vii) Nominated 1
    (viii) Vacant 3

Total 801
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11. List of L. A. Qs. pending with the Departments of the Secretariat as on 21-8-1965.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Qs.</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Administration Department</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panchayati Raj Department</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Department</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Department</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Works Department</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. H. &amp; M. A. Department</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Department</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industries Department</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture Department</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>462</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We are not sitting in the evening, (Pause).

The House is adjourned sine die.

The House then adjourned sine die.