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ANDHRA PRADESH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Monday, the 6th July, 1964
The House met at Half past Eight of the Clock.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

REMISSION APPLICATIONS FROM THE RYOTS

141—

*924 (4382) Q.—Sri S. Vemayya (Buchireddipalem) :—
Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

Whether the Government have fixed a time-limit for the submission of remission applications by the ryots to the Government?

The Minister for Revenue (Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy): The answer is in the affirmative.

(Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy :— The Minister for Finance, Agriculture and Rural Development, Sri (E) D. Reddy, in reply.)
Oral Answers to Questions

6th July, 1964

Dear Sir,

I would like to ask a question regarding the new policy on the importation of goods. Since the recent changes in the regulations, I have noticed a significant increase in the cost of imports. Can you please clarify how these changes will affect our business?

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]
Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— There is discretion to the Collector and he will take notice of this difference in the various classes and he will notify the dates accordingly.
Mr. Speaker:— Questions Nos 153 to 158 will be taken up now as the Minister says he has got some urgent work.

(Question No. 153 was taken up and Questions Nos. 142 to 152 were taken up later on.)
**153—**

*Sri P. Bapaiah (Tiruvur):—* Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Market yards functioning at present in Krishna District; and

(b) whether there is any proposal to construct market yards in each of the Taluk Headquarters in the Krishna District either by the District Market Committee or by the Government?

**The Minister for Agriculture (Sri A. Balarami Reddy):—**

(a) One at Jaggayapet in Krishna District.

(b) The answer is in the negative.
6th July, 1964

Ora! Answers to Questions

ప్రపంచ ప్రాచుర్యం ప్రారంభం: యా పంచని అద్భుతం, మనం కాయలు కటిగా కాక సంప్రదాయ ఉంటుంది? లిపి ప్రదానం వారి సంచాలన? దాని పంచమ ప్రారంభం కాక రెండు ఆంగ్లం నుంచి?

ప్రపంచ ప్రాచుర్యం: అనుభవం ప్రారంభం వాటి కంప్రోట్ మనం కాయలు, అనుభవం ప్రారంభం వాటి కంప్రోట్ మనం కాయలు యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాయలు నిర్ధారించే ప్రపంచ ప్రాచుర్యం మనం కాయలు యా పంచని అద్భుతం. కాబట్టి మనం కాయలు యా పంచని అద్భుతం, మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం?

ప్రపంచ ప్రాచుర్యం: అనుభవం, మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం.

ప్రపంచ ప్రాచుర్యం: అనుభవం, మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం.

ప్రపంచ ప్రాచుర్యం: అనుభవం, మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం.

ప్రపంచ ప్రాచుర్యం: అనుభవం, మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం.

ప్రపంచ ప్రాచుర్యం: — అనుభవం, మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం.

ప్రపంచ ప్రాచుర్యం: అనుభవం, మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం.

ప్రపంచ ప్రాచుర్యం: — అనుభవం, మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం.

ప్రపంచ ప్రాచుర్యం: — అనుభవం, మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం. మనం కాలం యా పంచని అద్భుతం.
*901 (4279) Q.—Sri P. Rajgopal Naidu (Put by Sri S. Vemayya) :— Will the hon Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—

(a) the amount of Ghee exported from our State during 1963-64;
(b) the amount realised due to the export; and
(c) the number of centres established for grading the Ghee in the State?

Sri A. Balarami Reddy:— 44,580-90 quintals.
(b) Rs. 2.62 crores.
(c) One Ghee Grading Laboratory at Muddanur in Cuddapah District.

(सी ए. बलरामी रेड्डी) :— केंद्र 44,580-90 अक्टूबर. 2,62 क्रॉएक्स पंचायत लागू करने के लिए केंद्रों को स्थापित करें? दर्जन-र जीएक्स एक्स पंचायत के लिए केंद्रों की संख्या केंद्रों की? बालरामी रेड्डी केंद्र 44,580-90 अक्टूबर. 2,62 क्रॉएक्स पंचायत लागू करने के लिए केंद्रों को स्थापित करें?

(सी ए. बलरामी रेड्डी) :— केंद्र 44,580-90 अक्टूबर. 2,62 क्रॉएक्स पंचायत के लिए केंद्रों को स्थापित करें?
Sri T. Balakrishnaiah (Satyavedu):— May I know whether the Government is supplying ghee to all the Co-operative Societies, since the ghee supplied in the private concerns is not pure ghee?

Sri A. Balarami Reddy:— Government is not dealing in ghee, Sir.
Mr. Speaker:— Both the questions 155 to 156 can be taken up together.

Sri P. Rajagopal Naidu:— These are two distinct questions, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:— They are both dealing with animal husbandry.

Sri P. Rajagopal Naidu:— Even then, one is with regard to the propaganda and the other with regard to training.

ADVANCE TRAINING TO OFFICERS OF
ANIMAL HUSBANDRY DEPARTMENT

156—

*913 (4293) Q.— Sri P. Rajagopal Naidu (Tavanampalle):— Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether any officers employed in the Animal Husbandry Department are going to be deputed for advance training in and outside India during 1964-65; and

(b) if so, the number of officers selected and the nature of training proposed to be provided?

Sri A. Balarami Reddy:— (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Training Abroad:— Ten. Four of them for training in U.S.A. in the subjects of Animal Nutrition, Clinical Pathology, Livestock Marketing, Poultry Husbandry and the remaining six in Australia in the subjects of Sheep Diseases, Pork Technology, Swine Husbandry, Careass Utilisation, Manufacture of Biological products and Animal Husbandry Intension.

(ii) In India.— Five. Four of them for training in Artificial Insemination, and Physiopathology of Reproduction for two months at the National Dairy Research Institute, Bangalore, and the remaining one for Refresher course in Physiology for six weeks at the Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar.
10 6^A .My, 1964 Or a/ ^nwfTK ^ gM^/^M

PROPAGANDA UNITS IN ANIMAL
HUSBANDRY DEPARTMENT.

155—

*911 (4291) Q. — Sri P. Rajagopal Naidu:— Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal with the Government to establish propaganda units in Animal Husbandry Department, and

(b) if so, when they are going to be established?

Sri A. Balarami Reddy:— (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) One at Hyderabad which is attached to the Animal Husbandry Department and the other at Vijayawada, attached to integrated Milk Project have already been established.

(a) Yes, Sir,

(b) One at Hyderabad which is attached to the Animal Husbandry Department and the other at Vijayawada, attached to integrated Milk Project have already been established.

(a) Yes, Sir,

(b) One at Hyderabad which is attached to the Animal Husbandry Department and the other at Vijayawada, attached to integrated Milk Project have already been established.

(a) Yes, Sir,

(b) One at Hyderabad which is attached to the Animal Husbandry Department and the other at Vijayawada, attached to integrated Milk Project have already been established.

(a) Yes, Sir,

(b) One at Hyderabad which is attached to the Animal Husbandry Department and the other at Vijayawada, attached to integrated Milk Project have already been established.
Oral Answers to Questions
6th July, 1964

Mr. Speaker:— Not necessary.

Mr. Speaker: Then question No. 157.
Mr. Speaker: The answer has already been read—Vemayya wanted to know the basis on which people are deputed to foreign countries.

The Government of India has some supplementary Chief Secretary, Agriculture Department, and the Departmental Head responsible for the examination of candidates. After the examination, select 50 candidates are selected. Final selection is made based on confidential reports of performance of individuals, including a period of 10 months in the U.S.A. and 6 months in the U.K. Messrs. C.P. Dutt, Lecturer, Andhra Veterinary College, Tirupati.

J. Venkatanarayana.
V. Anjaneyulu, Asst. Research Officer, Gannavaram.
N.V. Bhaskar, Poultry Officer, Regional Poultry Centre, Pattancheru.

Mr. Raja Ramamohan Roy, Asst. Research Officer, Nizamabad.
V. Ramana Reddy, Asst. Veterinary Officer, Nellore.
S. Krishnamurti, Gannavaram.
Oral Answers to Questions 6th July, 1964

Mr. S. Sethuram, Kesarpalli.
" N. Muniruddin, Research Officer-
" K.V. Narayana, District Veterinary Officer, Guntur.

Mr. S. Sethuram, Kesarpalli.
" N. Muniruddin, Research Officer-
" K.V. Narayana, District Veterinary Officer, Guntur.

Mr. S. Sethuram, Kesarpalli.
" N. Muniruddin, Research Officer-
" K.V. Narayana, District Veterinary Officer, Guntur.

Mr. S. Sethuram, Kesarpalli.
" N. Muniruddin, Research Officer-
" K.V. Narayana, District Veterinary Officer, Guntur.

Mr. S. Sethuram, Kesarpalli.
" N. Muniruddin, Research Officer-
" K.V. Narayana, District Veterinary Officer, Guntur.
6th July, 1964

Oral Answers to Questions

MILK SUPPLY SCHEME FOR THE TWIN CITIES

**915 (1396) Q. — Sri P. Rajagopal Naidu : — Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:**
(a) the money spent on Milk Supply Scheme for the twin cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad during 1963-64; and

(b) the quantity of milk supplied per day through that scheme at present?

Sri A. Balarami Reddy:— (a) Rs. 16,43,285-34 nP.

(b) 5,863 litres.

Milk Advisory Board

158—

*916 (4297) Q. — Sri P. Rajagopal Naidu:— Will the Hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any Milk Advisory Board in the State:
(b) if so, when it was constituted; and
(c) the names of members of that Board?

Sri A. Balarami Reddy:— A statement is placed on the Table of the House.

Statement Placed on the Table of the House

(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) In July, 1961.
(c) Official Members:

1. Minister for Agriculture Chairman.
2. Secretary (Agriculture) Member.
3. Secretary (Planning)
4. Secretary (Panchayat Raj)
5. Chief Engineer (General)
6. Director of Animal Husbandry
7. Director of Agriculture
8. Director of Public Health
9. Registrar of Co-op. Societies
10. Director of Medical Services
11. Municipal Commissioner, Hyderabad
12. Municipal Commissioner, Vijayawada
13. Milk Commissioner Member Secretary.

Non-Official Members:

1. Srimathi Sangam Laxmibai, M.P., Hyderabad,
2. Sri Kistamachari, M.L.A., Sangareddy

Milk Producers:

1. Sri V.R.H.G.K.M. Prasad, Rajah of Muktyala,
2. Sri Parvatneni Venkataratnam, Nusella village.
   Gudivada Taluq.
3. Sri Konda Gopala Reddy, President, Panchayat Samithi, Peddamangalaram, Chevella Taluq, Hyderabad Dist.

Consumer:
Col. K. N. Waghray, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad.

(i) Is the Consumers representation in the body?

(ii) Official Members 13, non-official members 3, Milk-producers 3, Consumers-representative 3.

(iii) Have the decisions been implemented?

(iv) 62% of 2 Rs = 1.24 Rs. Milk-producers and Consumers are paid their price.

(v) Are the Administration charges for the public service affordable?

(vi) Consumer must be satisfied with the price.

(vii) Administration charges are 15 paise.
6th July, 1964

Oral Answers to Questions

Sri P. Subbaiah (Put by Sri K. Govinda Rao):— Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) whether any contract was given by the Forest Department for collection of soap-nuts in Nallamallai Forests to private parties during 1964-65; and

(b) if so, what are the terms of the contract?

Sri A. Balarami Reddy:— (a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) The terms of the contract are that the contractor has to collect and supply to the Forest Department, a quantity of 600 bags (each measuring 66 measures) of soap-nuts at the rate of Rs. 14/- per bag in Dornal, Markapur and Ganjivari-palli ranges of Giddalur Division during the season from March, 1964 to May, 64. The contractor is allowed to dispose of the soap-nuts collected-over and above 600 bags, if any.

FOREST ARREARS.

Sri A. Venkateswara Rao (Narasampet):— Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) the total amount of dues outstanding as on 31st December, 1963 towards ‘Forest Arrears’; and
(b) the amount of arrears recovered so far?

**Sri A. Balaram Reddy:**— (a) Rs. 54,26,587/-.
(b) Rs. 1,13,905/- recovered from 1-1-1964.
142—

* 31 (1823-V) Q. — Sri G. Suryanarayana (Put by Sri S. Vemayya):—Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to constitute Vijayanagaram District; and

(b) if so, when?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—(a) and (b) The answer is in the negative.

SUPPLY OF WATER FOR CROPS FROM PEDABAPANNA DORA TANK

143—

*31-A (2524-S) Q.—Sri M. Veeraraghava Rao (Pathi padu):—Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether the ryots of Annavaram village, Pratipadu Taluk, East Godavari District have sent petitions to the Hon. Minister stating that crops are affected due to the fact that water from Pedabapanna Dora tank near Shankhavaram has not been supplied by the Public Works Department for the last 3 years; and
(b) if so, the action taken by the Government thereon?

The Minister for Public Works (Sri A. C. Subba Reddy):—

(a) Yes, Sir. An application was received.

(b) On enquiry it has been found that more than the Registered ayacut is being irrigated under the Reservoir every year during the last three years except in 1960. In 1960, due to failure of monsoon the crop position in the District was poor in general and supply of Pedabapanna Dora tank also could not cope up with the requirements of ayacut in the reaches. The water regulation of the tank is under the control of the Revenue Department and the Superintending Engineer, Dowlaishwaram has been instructed to frame necessary rules for water distribution in consultation with the Collector.

LOCALISATION OF LANDS IN ACHANTA VEMA-VARAM:

144—

*32-A (5497-S) Q. — Sri Tenneti Viswanatham; Sri Vavilala Gopala Krishnapya:— Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:
(a) whether the Government have received a petition from the villagers of Achanta, Vemavaram, Narsapur Taluk, West Godavari District, drawing the attention of the Government to the defects in localisation and the hardships caused by imposing penalties;

(b) has the attention of the Government been drawn to the fact that due to defective localisation and inclusion of their lands in C-1 schedule, although their lands are within 8 miles from the Sugar Factory at Palacole, the ryots are prohibited from producing Sugar Cane; and

(c) what steps the Government propose to take to rectify the defects?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy :— (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) & (c) With reference to the request of the ryots for conversion of 'C' schedule lands into 'B' schedule to grow sugarcane for the sake of the Sugar Factory at Palacol, proposals for conversion of about 5000 acres from 'C' schedule to 'B' schedule are under consideration of the local officers.

Orders have also been issued in Government Memo, No. 1393-S1/64-2, P.W.D, Dt 10-4-64 that (1) all the lands included in 'B' & 'C' schedules of the old L.G.O. as well as new L.G.O. can take water; and that (2) all the localised area of 2nd crop as per new L.G.O. is eligible for 'C' schedule crops in non-turn years.
HYDERABAD—VISAKHAPATNAM AIR SERVICE

145—

*393 (4655) Q.— Sri A. Sarveswara Rao :— Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

What is the amount paid to the Indian Air Lines Corporation, New Delhi, being loss sustained by it on the operation of the Hyderabad—Visakhapatnam Air Service during the period 1961-62, 1962-63, 1963-64?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy :— The amount paid to the Indian Air Lines Corporation towards the loss sustained by it on the operation of the Hyderabad—Visakhapatnam Air Service during the year 1961-62 and 1962-63 are as follows:

1961-62

Rs. 316,943-01-00

1962-63

Rs. 26,417-96-00
Information regarding the loss sustained by the Corporation during the year 1963-64 is awaited.

---

Frequency as on 6th July, 1964: Let us maintain status quo. Since the loss is heavy, we cannot think of increasing frequency nor can we give up the existing bi-weekly service.
Oral Answers to Questions

6th July, 1964

Q. 146—

24-A (3651) Q.—Sri J. L. N. Chowdary (Put by Sri S. Vemayya) — Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the fishing rights in the entire drainages and water ways of the irrigation department are now being leased out to the Fishermen co-operative societies; and

(b) whether the Government propose to auction those fishing rights only to the local fishermen of the villages concerned?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:— (a) First preference is given to the co-operative societies of fishermen or Harijons engaged in fishing, in the matter of lease of Fishery rights in the water sources under the control of the P.W.D., Revenue and Fisheries Departments.

(b) No, Sir.

Q. 147—

Subsidy work out Rs. 300. With regard to M.L. As, the Finance Minister and the Chief Minister can finalise it.

FISHING RIGHTS TO THE FISHERMEN CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES.
CONSUMPTION OF ELECTRICITY IN THE GOVERNMENT COLONIES AT SANATH NAGAR AND GARIBNAGAR.

147—

*47 (653) Q.— Sri A. Ramachandra Reddy (Bhuvanagir) :- Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that electrical energy worth Rs. 1.53 lakhs has been consumed in the Government Colonies at Sanathnagar and Garibnagar in Hyderabad city from November, 1956 upto 1st January, 1958;

(b) whether separate meters have been provided for every quarter in the said Government Colonies ;

(c) if not, the number of quarters without meters;

(d) whether the Government have collected the said amount of Rs. 1.53 lakhs from the residents of the said Government colonies ;

(e) the steps taken by the Government to recover the said amount ; and

(f) the reasons for which the Government did not provide meters so far to the quarters in the said colonies?

Sri. A. C. Subba Reddy :- (a) Yes, Sir.
(b) Yes, Sir.
(c) Does not arise.
(d) Not yet.
(e) The amounts could not be recovered for want of full particulars with regard to addresses of the occupants, their duration of stay etc. A section has been formed for the purpose of collecting particulars and data are being secured. Action is being taken for the issue of bills to those incumbents whose addresses are available and for the realisation of the arrears from them. In regard to the others, the matter is being taken up with the concerned departments.

(f) Meters were provided to the quarters in 1958. The reasons why these could not be furnished earlier to 1958 was that considerable number of officers from Kurnool had come over to the colonies without much notice when the Electricity Department was in shortage of meters. Due to paucity of meters and short notice, bulk supply meters were fixed at suitable and convenient points to record consumption in the first instance.
Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:— The matter relates to the Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board. As ascertained from them, the reply is as follows:

(a) 15.

(b) Out of the 15 villages, two villages have been electrified. One village was found to be unremunerative on investigation. Out of the remaining twelve villages, schemes for 7 are at various stages of formulation, scrutiny finalisation and five villages are yet to be taken up for investigation.

Supply of Electricity to a village depends mainly on the (i) Financial return for the scheme; and (ii) Availability of funds.

In view of this, it cannot be said that there has been delay in the supply of power to the villages in question.

ELECTRICITY FOR AGRICULTURAL PURPOSES.

*24 B (3719) Q.— Sri A. Ramachandra Reddy:— Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:
(a) whether it is a fact that Government have issued orders prohibiting supply of additional electricity for agricultural and industrial purposes: and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:— (a) Certain restrictions were imposed on the issue of new connections, domestic, commercial and industrial in Telangana area in 1962, but no ban was imposed on the release of supply for agricultural purposes. These restrictions have since been removed.

(b) Acute shortage of power.

BRIDGE ACROSS UPPUTERU.
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* 573 (3965) Q.— Sri S. Vemayya:— Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to State:

(a) Whether there are proposals with the Government to construct a bridge across Upputeru leading to Chintaladevi Farm at an estimated cost of Rs. 60,000 during 1963-64; and

(b) if not, the reasons therefor?

The Minister for Panchayati Raj (Sri M. N. Lakshminarasayya):— (a) The proposals are under the active consideration of the Zilla Parishad, Nellore.

(b) Does not arise.

Mr. Speaker: What is the name of the contractor to whom the work has been entrusted?
Sri M. N. Lakshmi Narasayya: The name is not available.

TODDY TAPPERS CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY, NARASAMPET

151—

*758 (2562) Q.— Sri A. Venkateswar Rao :— Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) whether Toddy Tappers Co-operative Society, Narasampet, Warangal District was given excise contracts for the years 1961-62, 1962-63 and 1963-64;

(b) the profits earned by the Society during the years;

(c) whether the said profits have been distributed to the members;

(d) whether it is a fact that the assets of the society are missing; and

(e) whether the audit was conducted for the said society for any year?

The Minister for Co-operation (Sri T. Ramaswamy):—

(a) The answer is in the Negative.

(b) and (c) Do not arise now in view of the answer to (a) of the question.

(d) Certain irregularities have been notified in the working of the society as per the audit reports.

(e) The accounts of the society are being audited every year.
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Sri J. L. N. Chowdary (Put by Sri S. Vemayya) :—Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Karamchedu Marketing Society of Karamchedu, Bapatla taluq was dissolved some years ago;

(b) if so, whether the share capital has been returned to its members; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

Sri J. Ramaiah.
6th July, 1964

Oral Answers to Questions

(b) No.

c) The matter is under correspondence with the Accountant General.

(d) No.

e) The matter is under correspondence with the Accountant General.

(f) No.

g) In 1955 the amount was Rs. 30,000.

delay was experienced.

(h) In 1955 the amount was Rs. 30,000.

(i) In 1955 the amount was Rs. 30,000.
Mr. Speaker: That is why I am giving a direction that these things should be disposed of finally within about six months. I am asking the hon. Minister to take note of this and report the action taken by him in the next session.

Sri. Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: Thank you, Sir.

Sri. T. Ramaswamy. Yes, Sir. I will take note of this.

Sri. T. Ramaswamy: Yes, Sir. I will take note of this.

The Minister for Labour and Transport (Sri B. V. Gurumurthy):— (a) In G. O. Ms. No. 2122, Home dated 23-11-1962 the dispute between the workmen and the management of Andhra Co-operative Spinning Mills, Guntakal over the dismissal of three workers was referred to Labour Court, Guntur, for adjudication and the award directing the reinstatement of the Workers was published in G.O. Ms. No. 20, dated 4-1-1964. The award could be implemented in respect of two workers as one of the workers is said to be on medical leave.

(b) Continuous and unauthorised absence.
ADVERTISEMENT CHARGES FOR
"HERE ARE THE FACTS."
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* 1134 (4004-A) Q.— Sri Vavilala Gopalkrishnayya:— Will the hon. Minister for Labour and Transport be pleased to state:

what was the amount spent in each Daily paper for each day for the advertisement of the "Here are the facts" in relation to the Additional Land Revenue Assessment Act since 1st February, 1964 up to-date for every day?

Sri B. V. Gurumurthy:— The particulars are placed on the Table of the House.
PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE.

Statement showing the particulars regarding advertisements released in connection with the additional Land Revenue Assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of the paper</th>
<th>No. of advertisements released</th>
<th>Dates of insertions</th>
<th>Space booked</th>
<th>Amount Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Hindu</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3-2-64</td>
<td>Half page</td>
<td>2,500-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Mail</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3-2-64</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>1,056-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Indian Express</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3-2-64</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>2,000-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Deccan Chronicle</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3-2-64</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>640-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Daily News</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3-2-64</td>
<td>do</td>
<td>480-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Andhra Prabha</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3-2-64</td>
<td>Half page</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-2-64</td>
<td>1/8 page</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5-2-64</td>
<td>1/16 page</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7-2-64</td>
<td>1/8 page</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Published on 8-2-64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,820-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Andhra Patrika</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3-2-64</td>
<td>Half page</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-2-64</td>
<td>1/8 page</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Published on 5-2-64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>990-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5-2-64</td>
<td>1/16 page</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Published on 6-2-64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7-2-64</td>
<td>1/8 page</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Published on 8-2-64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Andhra Jyoti</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3-2-64</td>
<td>Half page</td>
<td></td>
<td>780-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-2-64</td>
<td>1/8 page</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5-2-64</td>
<td>1/16 page</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7-2-64</td>
<td>1/8 page</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Golkonda Patrika</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3-2-64</td>
<td>Half page</td>
<td></td>
<td>596-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5-2-64</td>
<td>1/8 page</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7-2-64</td>
<td>1/8 page</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Andhra Janatha</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3-2-64</td>
<td>Half page</td>
<td></td>
<td>596-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5-2-64</td>
<td>1/8 page</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7-2-64</td>
<td>1/8 page</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Andhra Bhoomi</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3-2-64</td>
<td>Half page</td>
<td></td>
<td>592-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5-2-64</td>
<td>1/8 page</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7-2-64</td>
<td>1/8 page</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Rajahmundry Samacharam</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5-2-64</td>
<td>1 page</td>
<td></td>
<td>105-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12,155-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MALPRACTICES BY THE VILLAGE HEADMAN OF SWAYMBHUVARAM, ANAKAPALLI TALUQ.
(a) whether any representation dated 8-1-1964 has been received by the Government from the villagers of Swamyabhuvaram, Anakapalli taluk, Visakhapatnam Dt, alleging malpractices and swindling of properties belonging to the Government by the Village Headman; and

(b) if so, the action taken thereon?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— (a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) The petition has been forwarded to the Collector of Visakhapatnam for enquiry and necessary action. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Visakhapatnam has enquired into the complaints and is taking appropriate disciplinary action against the Village Headman.

The Collector has stated that the Revenue Divisional Officer has been instructed to take action against the village headman if the allegations have been proved, and the result of the action taken against the village headman will be reported separately.
Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:— What is the amount involved.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— Details are not known.

What is the amount involved.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:— Amount, 5,00,000 rupees is liable.

Details are not known.

What is the amount involved.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:— Amount, 5,00,000 rupees is liable.

There are other serious charges also besides misappropriation, viz, he took possession of 116 acres of banzar land intended for landless poor, etc.

MISAPPROPRIATION BY THE VILLAGE MUNSIFF, VIKRITAMALA.

(a) whether it is a fact that the Village Munsiff of Vikritamala, Kalahasti taluk, Chittoor District, by name Sri Reddywari Narayana Reddy was dismissed for misappropriation and embezzlement of Government money and also whether any departmental enquiry is going on under section 409 of Cr. P.C. and

(b) if so, at what stage it stands?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— (a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) The matter is under investigation by the Police.

The matter is under investigation by the Police.

Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Village Munsiff of Vikritamala, Kalahasti taluk, Chittoor District, by name Sri Reddywari Narayana Reddy was dismissed for misappropriation and embezzlement of Government money and also whether any departmental enquiry is going on under section 409 of Cr. P.C. and

(b) if so, at what stage it stands?
MISAPPROPRIATION BY THE ASSISTANT KARANAM 
OF MUPPARRU VILLAGE.
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*877 (4230) Q. — Sri C. Bali Reddy, Sri M. Rama 
Mohan Rao (Put by Sri S. Vemayya) :—Will the hon. Minis­
ter for Revenue be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that the Assistant Karnam of 
Mupparru village in Eluru taluk, West Godavari District was 
suspended on 31-8 1955 on charges of misappropriation of 
loan amounts collected from ryots;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the permanent village 
munsiff of the said village was also suspended on 9-12-1955 
on charges of misappropriation of land, revenue and loan 
collections.

(c) whether it is a fact that the High Court has held 
that the Assistant Karnam was guilty of the charge since the 

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— The charges are proved 
and the Tahsildar lodged a complaint with the Sub-Inspector 
of Police for taking action under Sec. 409 and 477 Cr.P.C.

(a) whether it is a fact that the Assistant Karnam of 
Mupparru village in Eluru taluk, West Godavari District was 
suspended on 31-8 1955 on charges of misappropriation of 
loan amounts collected from ryots;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the permanent village 
munsiff of the said village was also suspended on 9-12-1955 
on charges of misappropriation of land, revenue and loan 
collections.

(c) whether it is a fact that the High Court has held 
that the Assistant Karnam was guilty of the charge since the
amounts collected by him were handed over to the Village Munsiiff and he is responsible for the misappropriation.

(d) if so, the reasons for reinstating the Village Munsiiff by the R. D. O., and not reinstating the Assistant Karnam?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy: (a) It is a fact that the Assistant Karnam of Mupparru Village, Eluru taluk was suspended from duty pending enquiry and disposal of charges of misappropriation of loan amounts by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Eluru on 31-8-1955. He was accordingly relieved from duty on 5-9-1955.

(b) It is also a fact that the permanent Village Munsiiff of the said village was also suspended from duty pending enquiry and disposal of charges of misappropriation by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Eluru on 2-8-1956 and not on 9-12-1955. He was relieved from duty on 15-8-1956;

(c) It is a fact that the Assistant Karnam was acquitted by the High Court on 20-11-1958 on the ground of benefit of doubt to the accused, although the lower Courts had convicted him earlier. But that pertained to only one of the several items of misappropriation made by the Assistant Karnam in respect of which alone prosecution was launched. On the other items of misappropriation departmental proceedings were taken against him and he was dismissed from service by the Revenue Divisional Officer, on 18-4-1956.

(d) The Village Munsiiff was suspended from service for a period of 4 years from 15-8-1956 as a result of the charges framed against him and he was therefore reinstated to service on 27-8-1960, on the completion of suspension period. As the Assistant Karnam was dismissed from service the question of his reinstatement does not arise.

(Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarulu rose)

Mr. Speaker: Next question.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarulu: It is an important question, Sir.
Mr. Speaker: It is about the removal of some village munsiff in 1957/58 for some irregularities. I am sorry, Mr. Venkateswarulu. I have got many more questions. It is more than one hour and you still want to pursue.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarulu: That is true. The village munsiff who was suspended for four years was reinstated even though misappropriation charges were proved. Why he was not dismissed?

Sri N Ramachandra Reddy: He was only suspended; he was not dismissed. The suspension period was over; that is why he was reinstated.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarulu: Why?

(No Answer)

**COMPLAINTS AGAINST THE VILLAGE OFFICERS, FROM GUNDEPUDI VILLAGE.**

*941 (4489) Q.—Sri G. Mallikharjuna Rao (Chillum cherla):— Will the hon’ble Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are in receipt of any complaint alleging that the Village Officers have collected money from the ryots of Gundapudi village, Mahboobabad taluk, Warangal district and encouraged them to cultivate the Banchari and Poramboke lands in the said village?

(b) whether any enquiry has been made into the complaint made against the Asaldar Patwari of the said village; and

(c) if so, the action taken thereon?

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy: — (a) and (b) The answer is in the affirmative.

(c) Regular charges have been framed against the Asaldar Patwari and a Show Cause Notice has been served on him. The matter will be decided by the Collector of Warangal in the normal course.
Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy: A show cause notice has been issued on 17-5-1964 and a provisional conclusion has been arrived at in the matter and further proceedings are going on.

† 325 *50 (1394) Q.—

DEVELOPMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

* 221 (3878) Q.— Sri G. C. Kondaiah (Put by Sri S. Vemayya) :—Will the hon’ble Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Hon’ble Minister for Public Works had any discussion during the month of January 1964 at Delhi regarding the development of water resources in the State:

(b) if so, whether any new projects are likely to be sanctioned as a result of the said discussions; and

(c) whether there is any proposal pending with the Central Government to construct the Somasila Project as a flood control Project?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy :—(a) Yes, Sir,

(b) “The Srisailam Project has since been cleared. It cannot be envisaged whether any new Projects will be sanctioned as the question of sanction of projects depends on the technical feasibility and availability of funds.

(c) The answer is in the negative.

† Not put and not answered in the House. Hence the question and answer are included in the proceedings at the end of the Question Hour.
6th July, 1964
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Sri G. Mallikharjuna Rao:—Will the hon'ble Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) the stage at which the construction of the Road linking Dornakal with Khammam-Yellandu road in Khammam division stands now;

(b) the amount allotted for the construction of the said road; and

(c) when the construction of the said road will be completed?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:—(a) The work was taken up for execution in September 1963 and is in progress.

(b) Rs. 5.85 lakhs.

(c) Early in 1965-66.

Nagarjunasagar Canals

Sri A. Surajayara Rao, Sri P. Gunnaiah (Kothuru):—Will the hon'ble Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:
(a) whether the Union Government had agreed to give the State an additional loan of Rs. 13 crores to expedite the work on the Nagarjunasagar Canals and

(b) whether this amount will be continued until the work on the canals is completed?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:—(a) Not yet Sir. The Government of India was requested to provide additional assistance of either Rs. 10 crores or Rs. 13.00 to Rs. 16.78 crores for the Nagarjunasagar Project for early realisation of benefits. The reply from the Government of India is awaited.

(b) Does not arise.

IRRIGATION COMMITTEE

(a) whether any Irrigation Committee has been constituted at the State level recently;

(b) if so, who are the members; and

(c) will a copy of the constitution of the Committee be placed on the Table of the House?

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Committee has been constituted with the following members:

1. Member, Board of Revenue (in charge of Irrigation)
2. Additional Secretary to Government, P.W.D.
3. Chief Engineer (Minor Irrigation)

(a) A copy of the order constituting the Committee is placed on the Table of the House.
PAPER PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

Government of Andhra Pradesh

Abstract

Minor Irrigation—Speedy execution of schemes - Removal of bottlenecks - Committee to scrutinise project reports on Minor Irrigation Schemes - Constituted.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

G. O. Ms. No. 766 Dated: 30th March, 1964

Read the following:


Order:

The Government have considered the urgency for utilising fully the amounts allocated to each circle for Minor Irrigation Works, in a conference of the Superintending Engineers, P.W.D. on 2-12-1963, in the Chambers of the Minister (P.W.) when the Second Member Board of Revenue incharge of Irrigation, Additional Secretary, P.W.D. and Chief Engineer for Irrigation were also present.

2. The reasons contributing to the delay in the schemes were discussed. The Second Member, Board of Revenue, suggested that instead of the estimates of Minor Irrigation Projects being processed at various levels involving much delay, a Committee might be constituted consisting of Additional Secretary, P.W.D., the Member Board of Revenue incharge of Irrigation and the Chief Engineer dealing with Minor Irrigation who would tour the districts, scrutinise the project estimates on the spot, and sanction the schemes.

3. The Government accept the suggestion of the Member, Board of Revenue for the constitution of the Committee and they accordingly constitute the Committee with the following members:

1. Member, Board of Revenue (Incharge of Irrigation)
2. Additional Secretary to the Government, P.W.D.
3. Chief Engineer incharge of Minor Irrigation.
The Member, Board of Revenue shall be the Chairman of the Committee and Chief Engineer in charge of Minor Irrigation shall be the Secretary of the Committee.

4. The Committee will tour the districts and scrutinise the project reports and estimates relating to Minor Irrigation schemes on the spot and submit agreed recommendations to the Government for the issue of orders.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

G. A. Narasimha Rao,
Additional Secretary to Govt.

Sri V. Visweswara Rao:—Whether this committee toured any District and any scheme has been sanctioned?

(home)

330—

*695 (4958) Q.—Sri P. O. Satyanarayana Raju (Put by Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya):— Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Home Guards Units started in our State during the year 1963.

(b) number of Home Guards enrolled in these units; and

(c) whether any practical training was imparted to the Guards?

The Minister for Home (Sri Mir Ahmed Ali Khan):

(a) 50 Companies.
(b) 4,719.

(c) Yes, Sir. Six months practical training followed by a refresher course for 3 months to be rounded off by an Annual Camp for 15 days.

PROTECTED WATER SUPPLY SCHEMES.
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*607 (4359) Q.— Sri S. Vemayya :— Will the hon'ble Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) Number of Protected Water Supply Schemes sanctioned during 1963-64 in the State; and

(b) Number of works that are proposed to be sanctioned during 1964-65 in the State;

(c) whether the said schemes have been sanctioned to the following villages;

(i) Pedaputhadu in Kovur taluk

(ii) Edulavaripalem hamlet of Brahmadevam in Nellore taluk; and

(d) if not the reasons therefor?

Sri M N. Lakshminarayaya :— (a) 298

(b) Proposals for 188 additional Protected Water Supply Schemes have been received and they are under consideration.

(c) The protected Water Supply Scheme to Pedaputhadu village has not been sanctioned and to Edulavaripalem hamlet of Brahmadevam has been sanctioned.

(d) Proposals for Protected Water Supply Scheme to Pedaputhapadu have not been received by Government.
Oral Answers to Questions 6th July, 1964

PROTECTED WATER SUPPLY SCHEME TO EDULAVARIPALEM.
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*619 (4446) Q.— Sri S. Vemayya:— Will the hon’ble Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government are in receipt of any representation dated 22-3-1964, from Sri S. Vemayya, M.L.A. regarding the Protected Water Supply Scheme to the Harijans of Edulavaripalem Hamlet of Brahmadevam, Nellore Taluk and District; and

(b) if so, the action taken therein?

Sri M. N. Lakshminarasayya:— (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Protected Water Supply Scheme at an estimated cost of Rs. 45,000/- has been sanctioned to Edulavaripalem.

† 333* 582 (4089) Q.—

WATER SCARCITY IN KAVALI
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*632 (4960) Q.— Sri G.C. Kondiah. (put by Sri S. Vemayya) :— Will the hon’ble Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any water scarcity in Kavali in Nellore district since April, 1964; and

(b) if so, what steps the Government is taking to remove the scarcity?

Sri M. N. Lakshminarasayya :— (a) Yes.

† Not put and not answered in the House. Hence the question and answer are included in the proceedings at the end of the Question Hour.
(b) The panchayat has deepened 23 Public wells at a cost of Rs. 6,500/- and is improving a tank at a cost of Rs. 20,000/-. Proposals are pending with Public Works Department for transfer of site for constructing an overhead tank. A tractor and a lorry with a capacity of 200 gallons each have been commissioned to meet the shortage.

335 * 627 (4494) Q.—
RICE MILL AT ALLURU.

336—
*1027 Q.— Sri G.C. Kondiah (Put by Sri S. Vemayya):— Will the hon’ble Minister for Cooperation be pleased to state:
(a) whether a processing rice mill has been established at Alluru, Nellore district by the Cooperative Marketing Society;
(b) whether the Government have contributed any amount towards the share capital; and
(c) when was the mill started?

Sri T. Ramaswamy :— (a) & (b) Yes, Sir.
(c) The mill started functioning from December 1961.

Mr. Speaker:— In yesterday’s paper I read one statement of Mr. C. Subramaniam that 2,000 rice mills will be started in
public sector and no private people will be given or something like that.

*Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:* Only co-operatives will be given; public sector or private sector is completely prohibited.

ACCIDENTS IN THE TWIN CITIES.

*664 (4759) Q.— Sri M. Pitchiah (Payakaraopeta):—* Will the hon. Minister for Labour & Transport be pleased to state:

(a) the number of accidents that occurred to Cars, Lorries and Motor Cycles in the twin cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad during 1962–63.

*Sri B. V. Gurumurthy:*— (a) The following are the details of accidents that occurred in the twin cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad during the year 1962–63.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cars</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorries</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Cycles</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accidents go a6R3 j^ssRs^ao compensation qggtr*D5 pSaR-ty^oM a8rr*dajr?

Accidents *— Speed control* 'Sioenoste*"* 393
FINES FOR RASH DRIVING.

* 704 (4997) Q.— Sri M. Pitchaih:— Will the hon. Minister for Labour and Transport be pleased to state:

(a) the number of taxi drivers, lorry drivers and car owners on whom fines have been levied for rash driving without observing speed limit in the Twin cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad so far during 1964.

(b) the amount collected through fines.

Sri B.V. Gurumurthy:— (a) 112 taxi-drivers, 362 car owners and 547 lorry drivers were fined so far during 1964 for rash driving without observing speed limits in the twin cities.

(b) Rs. 6,677-00 nP.

Written Answers to Questions.

*50 (1384) Q.— Sri R. Appalanaidu:— Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government is contemplating any scheme to provide irrigation facilities to the ryots by construc-
ting an anicut to Vutagedda running between Adukonda and Gusamidikonda near Addannapalem village in Vvyyammapeta Samiti area in Srungavarapukota taluq, Visakhapatnam District;

(b) if so, whether estimates have been prepared for the said scheme;

(c) if so, the estimated expenditure therefor; and

(d) when it will be completed;

(a) A:—No, Sir.

(b), (c) & (d) Do not arise.

333—

*582 (4089) Q.—Sri V. Sanyasi Naidu:—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) the stage at which the scheme for Drinking Water Supply to the Yelamanchili Panchayat, Visakhapatnam district pending at present; and

(b) whether the Government will take active steps to implement the scheme expeditiously?

A.—(a) In the preliminary investigation report the Chief Engineer (Public Health) has estimated the cost of the scheme as Rs. 11.20 lakhs. As the cost is very high the Panchayat has proposed an alternative source for the supply of water. The matter is under consideration of Chief Engineer (Public Health).

(b) The scheme will be considered for sanction and whom the Government of India release the grants for the Protected Water Supply Schemes and soon after the report of the Chief Engineer (Public Health) is received.

335—

*627 (4494) Q.—Sri K. Nanthappa:—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether Water Supply Scheme in Palamaneru village, has been sanctioned; and
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance
re: the migration of Andhras from Burma

(b) if not, the reasons therefor?
A. – (a) Yes Sir.
(b) Does not arise.

Business of the House

Mr. Speaker— As soon as the Chief Minister comes, I shall ask him to furnish the information. Meanwhile, we will take up the next business.

Calling Attention to Matters of urgent Public Importance
re: the migration of Andhras from Burma.

Mr. Speaker:— There is one matter under Rule 75 in which Mr. P. Shamsunder Rao has given notice, Mr. Shamsunder Rao.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishny:— That is also concerned with Chief Minister.

Mr. Speaker.— He will be coming. It is all right. How are you bothered? You can go on, Mr. Shamsunder Rao.
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance  
6th July, 1964  
re: the migration of Andhras from Burma

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy: According to the communication received from the Government of India, about 32,000 Indians have returned to India since the beginning of 1963 and that during the last few days about 200 Indians have been arriving every day in Calcutta by Air from Rangoon. This traffic is expected to continue at the same rate for some time. These people however belong to the more prosperous section of the Indian Community in Burma and may not require any special assistance. About 15,000 Andhras are expected to arrive in the next four or five months. It is not known how many of them are devoid of any resource in the State, but it is expected that only 5 to 10% of them do not require any assistance.
As a result of severe restrictions imposed by the Government of Burma, even those Indians who had some assets in Burma will have to leave most of them behind. Today, the maximum that a returning Indian can bring from Burma is only two to three thousand worth of personal belongings, a few hundred in foreign exchange and Rs. 250 by way of gold ornaments. Many are stopped from bringing even this much on the basis that their economic status in Burma does not warrant it. There are many Indians who have been working as coolies or hawkers whose meagre savings will already have been exhausted by their enforced stay in Rangoon to obtain necessary travel documents from the Government of Burma and who will therefore be arriving in India empty-handed.

Due to the circumstances in which these Indians are coming away, many of them will be unable to rehabilitate themselves without Governmental assistance.

About 80% of the Indians coming from Burma are unskilled labourers and petty traders who would ask only for employment or meagre facilities to start petty trade.

The State Government in consultation with the Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India, is making necessary arrangements for receiving these Indian Nationals returning from Burma. It is expected that about 10 to 12 thousands Telugu speaking Indian Nationals may have to be rehabilitated in the State. This figure is, however, not firm and would depend on the attitude of the Government of Burma. It is expected that these evacuees would start coming from about the first week of August and continue to come till about the end of the year. The Collector, Visakhapatnam, has been asked to make necessary arrangements to receive these evacuees at the Port. It is expected that a major part of these evacuees would get back to their villages from where they migrated. Arrangements would be made to send them to their villages. Temporary transit camp would be opened at Visakhapatnam and such of those destitutes who cannot
Calling attention to matters of urgent public importance

re: the collection of land revenue from Neeradies in Telangana.

immediately go back to the village would be given some subsistence allowance. Efforts will be made to send all these people to projects where they could be found some employment.

re: the collection of land revenue from Neeradies in Telangana.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— Neeradies are village servants entrusted with the work of distribution and supply of water from the Government sources of irrigation. They are paid a cash remuneration of Rs. 26 per year on account of land as Inam, the Assessment on which is limited to the remuneration payable to them. In addition to this they are also given a cash grant of Rs. 9 per month. The latter is very
by the Neeradies have not yet been converted into Khalsa as in the case of Seth Sindhi-Inams. In May 1964, the Neeradies of Narsampet taluk in Warangal district represented to the Government that the Additional Assessment Act may not be made applicable to their Inam lands till the Inams Abolition Bill is introduced. Government carefully examined the representation in consultation with the Board of Revenue. The Additional Assessment Act does not provide for exemption of any lands, from the provisions of the Act. Under the Act the land revenue payable under any law in force in Telangana area is liable for enhancement according to the principles laid down therein. The assessment on Neeradi Inam lands has to be enhanced under the Additional Assessment Act and the extra amount over and above the cash remuneration of Rs. 36 per annum has to be collected. Government could not therefore comply with the request of the Neeradies for exemption of their lands from the provision of the Additional Assessment Act. The Andhra Pradesh (Telengana Area) Abolition of Inams Bill, 1964, has already been introduced in the Assembly on 27-6-64. This bill, inter alia provides for abolition of Inam Tenure of lands held by the Neeradies.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Statutes under the Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University Act, 1963.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:— On behalf of the Minister for Agriculture (Sri A Balarami Reddy), I beg to lay on the Table a copy of the first Statutes made in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 39 of the Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University Act, 1963, published at pages 1-34 of the Rules Supplement to Part—I of the Andhra Pradesh Gazette Extraordinary dated 12-6-1964.

Mr. Speaker: Paper laid on the Table.


Sri T. Hayagrivachary (Chairman, Regional Committee)
Sir, Under Rule 164-K of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative...
Assembly Rules, I beg to present the Report of the Andhra Pradesh Regional Committee on the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities Bill, 1964.

Mr. Speaker: Report presented.

Government Bill

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy: Sir, I beg to move for leave to introduce the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Preservation of Private Forests (Amendment) Bill, 1964”.

Mr. Speaker:— Motion moved.
(Pause.)

Mr. Speaker:— The question is:

“That Leave to introduce the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Preservation of Private Forests (Amendment) Bill, 1964 be granted”.

The motion was adopted.

Statement by the Chief Minister regarding Sri P. V. G. Raju’s Health

Mr. Speaker: Members were anxious to know the condition of Sri P. V. G. Raju. They want to know his present condition.
Government Bill
The Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area)
Preservation of Private Forests (Amendment) Bill, 1964

6th July, 1964

That was a crucial date, Sir, regarding his health as complications arise. Since the accident, he has been sickly. The stage was set for a sudden turn for the worse.

Instructions were given before to allow him import licence. Now, after a month, he is to be discharged. The renewal of his licence is crucial. In the meantime, he was given a draft licence within 48 hours. Last month 19th, he has regained consciousness. Last month 19th, he has regained consciousness. Initially, the trachea was removed. Feeding was normal. Now, it is not necessary. He is improving, his voice, his eyes, his hands. He is set back in the neck. He is out of danger for the first time. It is a slow and steady progress. He is alert, he is active, he is moving. The brain is adjust itself. It is a slow, surgical, business. Nature itself will have to slowly settle the brain. He is alert, he is moving. He is alert, he is moving. He is alert, he is moving. Nature itself will have to slowly settle the brain. He is alert, he is moving. He is alert, he is moving. He is alert, he is moving.
In spite of my letters he also refused to take his legitimate fees. All thanks to them.

I may also express my grateful thanks on the floor of the Assembly for the very wonderful services that have been done by Dr. Anand who is the Director of the Post Graduate Institute and Dr. Gulhati and several other Doctors who are in continuous—24 hours—attendance on him. I am very grateful to them. I am very grateful to the ex-Chief Minister, his wife, the Home Minister and the Finance Minister and others who are constantly going there enquiring about his health, and who saw to it that all available facilities, expert facilities are being given to him. This is what all I can say. I want to speak tomorrow or the day after by telephone. If there is any information, I will certainly let the House know it, Sir.

Business of the House

6th July, 1964

The House was called to order by Mr. Speaker, and the following business was transacted:

A reply to the statement on the food situation was given.

Resolution discussing the meeting of the Commissioners of Civil Supplies of all the four Southern States at Madras on 8th of this month was moved. Therefore, the Minister promised to produce a conference. Before we rise, I will certainly give the House some information on that issue.
6th July, 1964

Ruling from the Chair
re: the alleged promulgation of the Ordinance No. 1 of 1964 and prorogation of the House by the Governor on the same day.

Price structure is very important part of this 9th and 10th legislation.

Mr. Speaker: I will find out from the office what has happened.

(Pause)

Ruling from the Chair
re: the alleged promulgation of the Ordinance No. 1 of 1964 and prorogation of the House by the Governor on the same day.

Mr. Speaker: When on 3rd morning, the Gram Panchayat Amendment Bill 1964 to replace ordinance No. 1 of 1964 promulgated by the Governor on 26th and published in the Gazette Extraordinary on the 27th May, moved by Sri M. N. Lakshminarasiah, Minister for Panchayat Raj for first reading, was about to be taken up for consideration by the House, Sri V. Visweswara Rao, a member of the C.D.L.F. party raised a point of order on the ground that as the order of prorogation and the promulgation of the Ordinance by the Governor were on the same day viz., 26th May, 1964, it cannot be said that the Ordinance was promulgated at a time when the Legislative Assembly was not in Session, as required under Article 213 of the Indian Constitution and that by reason of such non-compliance, as the Ordinance itself is ultra vires, the question of replacing it by another Government Bill with some additions or alterations as the case may be does not arise. Before referring to the several points raised by several Members during the course of discussion on the 3rd as well as in the continued discussion on 4th also, I consider it necessary.
to state facts as borne out by the Gazette publications and other orders in this respect. It is seen from the Gazette extraordinary dated 26th May, 1964 that the Governor prorogued with effect from 26th May 1964 itself the 3rd session of the Legislative Assembly and the 8th session of the Council which commenced on 9-11-1963 and that this order of prorogation was signed by him in the forenoon on 26th May. Nextly it would be seen that the Ordinance No. 1 of 1964 was signed by the Governor in the afternoon on the same day and the Ordinance which was promulgated on the same day was published in the Gazette extraordinary on the 27th May, 1964. Thus the two points which arise for consideration are (1) whether, as laid down under Article 213, the Governor, under the circumstances which required his immediate action, promulgated an ordinance when the Legislative Assembly was in recess and (2) whether, in doing so, he strictly complied with the procedure laid thereunder by proroguing the Session and promulgating the Ordinance on one and the same day though the two were approved by him one after the other respectively with an interval of a few hours. Since an exactly similar point does not appear to have arisen in other Legislatures in the past necessitating a ruling by the Speaker, one is forced to the necessity of falling back upon judicial decisions for interpretation and arriving at a proper decision in the matter. It is well to bear in mind that legal fictions are sometimes sought to be made by giving retrospective effect to such Acts, which do not impose or involve penal consequences and not for penal acts under which persons are punished for acts which were not punishable at the time they were committed. Usually all such penal acts come into effect either from the date of publication in the Gazette or at a future date to be notified by the Government and are never given retrospective effect. As regards the first point, (1) the question whether the circumstances were such as to require his immediate action of the Governor for prorogation
Ruling from the Chair

re: the alleged promulgation of the Ordinance No. 1 of 1964 and prorogation of the House by the Governor on the same day.

the Assembly session and promulgating the Ordinance does not arise in the particular instance as it was not specifically raised and seriously contended by any member.

(2) Regarding the second point whether in view of the fact that the Governor prorogued the Assembly and promulgated the ordinance on one and the same day, viz., 26th May, it has to be presumed that the Governor did so simultaneously and as such it cannot be held that the Governor promulgated the Ordinance when the Assembly was not in session. Several Members, Sarvasri Sundarayya, Viswanatham, Raja Gopala Naidu, C D. Naidu, Gopalakrishnayya, T. Nagireddy besides Sri V. Visweswara Rao who raised this point were of the view that irrespective of the point of time as to when exactly the Governor signed the order of prorogation and that of promulgation both of them are deemed to have come into effect at the commencement of 26th May, 1964, i.e., after the midnight of 25th May, for the reason that the prorogation was notified in the Gazette on 26th and also the Ordinance promulgated on the same day as is seen from the reading of the ordinance though published in the Gazette on the next day, i.e., 27th and that as both these came into effect simultaneously, it cannot be said that the Ordinance was promulgated by the Governor when the Assembly was in recess and consequently becomes inoperative. Sri T. V. Raghavulu of the Congress Party was of the opinion that the point raised was of a technical nature as the Governor was acting in an emergency having satisfied himself with the circumstances which warranted his immediate intervention by proroguing the House and promulgating an ordinance. Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao, Minister for Law on behalf of the Government, trying to meet all the points raised during the course of discussion contended that as the Governor prorogued the Assembly session, under Article 174 of the Constitution of India with effect from 26th May, 1964, the Assembly ceased to be in session from the
commencement of 26th and that thereafter at any time either on 26th or afterwards the Governor had the power to promulgate an ordinance which however came into effect only from 27th May on which date it was published in the Gazette though it reads that it was promulgated on the 26th.

Having stated briefly the reasons advanced both for and against the point raised, I have come to the following conclusion for the reasons set forth below. It is clear from the wording of the prorogation order notified in the Gazette of 26th that the Assembly Session was prorogued with effect from 26th, which according to judicial interpretation (vide A. I. R. 1948 Madras P. 28^) came into effect from the commencement of 26th i.e., after the midnight of 25th and this is not disputed by any of the Members. The next question which was very vehemently contended from both sides is whether the ordinance which was promulgated on the 26th as stated in the ordinance itself but published in the Gazette of 27th can also be deemed to have come into effect from the commencement of 26th. I consider that it cannot be deemed to have effect from the commencement of 26th, for, though the two words promulgation and publication are synonymous practically meaning the same, it cannot be said that on 26th itself nothing further was done by the Governor or under his instructions for promulgating the same either by publishing it in the official Gazette or by releasing it to the Press or by any means of publicity on the same day except signing the order itself. In the absence of any such publicity which is implied in the meaning of the word promulgation one has to conclude that it was not promulgated at all on that day, though it is mentioned in the ordinance itself that it was promulgated on the 26th. Moreover in the absence of specific mention in the ordinance of the date on which it is to come into operation, it has come into effect only on the day on which it was first published in the official Gazette 27th May (vide Section 5 of A.P. General Clauses Act).
Nextly the point raised by Sri Ramachandra Rao Deshpande whether previous sanction of the President etc., as laid down in the proviso to Article 23 was obtained before the ordinance was promulgated, does not arise, as it is one which in my opinion does not require the previous sanction of the President etc.

I hereby rule that the several points raised by Sri V. Visveswara Rao and the other members are out of order.

**Government Bills.**

**The Andhra Pradesh State Legislature (Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964.**

**Mr. Speaker:** We will first take up the Andhra Pradesh State Legislature (Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964. On the last occasion, on 4th, when the House was adjourned, that Bill was under discussion. So we shall take up that Bill first, and then take up the other two Bills, The Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats (Amendment) Bill, 1964 and the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964. Now Sri Vavilala Gopalkrishnayya will speak.
Government Bill
The Andhra Pradesh State Legislature
(Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964

6th July, 1964

Where there is a will there is a way. Where there is a will there is a way. Where there is a will there is a way. Where there is a will there is a way.
The Andhra Pradesh State Legislature

(Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964

Government Bill

6th July, 1964

"Consequently, it is felt that practical difficulties will arise in the transaction of the business in the State Legislature, if the English language is not continued beyond the 31st January, 1965 for the transaction of the business in the State Legislature."
Government Bill
The Andhra Pradesh State Legislature
(Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964
6th July 1964
69

This is a legislative document titled "The Andhra Pradesh State Legislature (Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964" dated 6th July 1964. The content of the bill is not transcribed due to the nature of the language.
Government Bill
The Andhra Pradesh State Legislature
(Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964

Notwithstanding the expiration of the period of fifteen years from the commencement of the Constitution, the English language may continue to be used for the transaction of business in the Legislature of the State of Andhra Pradesh.
Government Bill
The Andhra Pradesh State Legislature
(Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964

6th July, 1964

This is a document in the Telugu language, containing a discussion or proceedings related to the Continuance of the English Language Bill, 1964. The text includes various paragraphs and sections, likely summarizing discussions, arguments, or legislative summaries pertinent to the bill. However, without the ability to accurately transcribe or interpret Telugu text, a detailed summary cannot be provided.
Goverment Bill
The Andhra Pradesh State Legislature
(Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964

6th July, 1964

Under the provisions of the said Bill, the following amendments...
Government Bill
The Andhra Pradesh State Legislature
(Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964

6th July, 1964

The amendment of Section 9 of the Andhra Pradesh State Legislature Act, 1951, provides for the continuance of the English language as the official language of the State in all its official transactions, including High Courts, and official literature of the Public Service, so as to enable the State Government and the Government of India to establish a multi-lingual atmosphere and to promote the understanding of each other's language. The amendment will also facilitate the conduct of official business in English, which is the language of administration, and will help in the development of a culture of multilingualism and promote the use of other languages in official transactions.
Government Bill
The Andhra Pradesh State Legislature
(Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964.

6th July, 1964

This Bill contemplates the continuance of English as the working language of the Government of the State of Andhra Pradesh. The Bill provides that the English language shall continue to be used in all the Government offices, courts, and educational institutions of the State. The provisions of the Bill are intended to ensure that the use of English is not only maintained but also extended to new areas. The Bill includes provisions for the training of officials in English and for the establishment of English Medium Schools. The Bill also provides for the establishment of a State Language Commission to advise the Government on matters related to the continuance of English as the working language.
Government Bill

The Andhra Pradesh State Legislature
(Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964

6th July, 1964

The Bill provides for the continuance of the English language in the Andhra Pradesh State Legislature. It specifies the scope of the Bill and its application to various legislative activities.
Government Bill
The Andhra Pradesh State Legislature (Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964

76  6th July, 1964

The Hon'ble Speaker,
The Andhra Pradesh Assembly,
Hyderabad.

Sirs,

As a member of this Assembly, I have been

derived into the belief that

the neglect of

our

language

is

inexcusable. I

believe

that

our

language

is

not

only

a

medium

of

expression

but

also

a

source

of

our

identity. It

is

our

cultural

heritage

and

we

should

take

steps

to

preserve

and

promote

it.

Therefore,

I

move

this

Bill

for

the

continuance

of

the

English

language

in

the

Assembly.

Yours,

[Signature]

[Name]
Government Bill
The Andhra Pradesh State Legislature
(Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964

6th July 1964

మాకాఖర్రకు లేదా లాంటి వివిధ విధానాలు. ఇందులా అవసరమైన శాస్త్ర చరిత్ర సమితి అనుమతి కలిగి ఉంటుంది. ముఖ్యమైన లాంపర్యమైన విద్యార్థుల సంఖ్య ఎక్కడు ఉంది. తరువాత సాధనాలు అందించినప్పటి సాధనాలు మీద చెప్పాలంటే, మరితో చాలా సాధనాలు ఉండాలి. అందుకు మరిలో సాధనాలు కూడా ఉండటం ప్రమాణం. ఇది సాధనాల నిర్మాణం వంటి ప్రామాణిక పరిస్థితులు చేశాం. ఈ విషయంలో సాధనాల నిర్మాణం కొంతము సాధారణ పరిస్థితులు చేశాం.
Government Bill
The Andhra Pradesh State Legislature
(Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964

చిత్రప్రదేశ్ సాంస్కృతిక గాంధీ సాంస్కృతిక కాలం అధ్యక్షుడు.
మిగిలి సిద్ధం చేసిన మూలం ఇంగ్లీష్ మాధ్యమానికంగా సంపాదిసించిన దశ. ఇంగ్లీష్ మాధ్యమానికంగా సంపాదించిన దశ, ఇంగ్లీష్ మాధ్యమానికంగా సంపాదించిన దశ, ఇంగ్లీష్ మాధ్యమానికంగా సంపాదించిన దశ, ఇంగ్లీష్ మాధ్యమానికంగా సంపాదించిన దశ.

ఈ సమయంలో, సిద్ధం చేసిన మూలం ఇంగ్లీష్ సంపాదించిన దశ. ఇంగ్లీష్ సంపాదించిన దశ, ఇంగ్లీష్ సంపాదించిన దశ, ఇంగ్లీష్ సంపాదించిన దశ, ఇంగ్లీష్ సంపాదించిన దశ. ఇంగ్లీష్ సంపాదించిన దశ, ఇంగ్లీష్ సంపాదించిన దశ, ఇంగ్లీష్ సంపాదించిన దశ, ఇంగ్లీష్ సంపాదించిన దశ.
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நேரத்தில்  சுருக்கங்கள் என்பது, மூழ்த் தற்கொள்ளும் பாதிகள் என்பது என்னும் அறிவியல் தொடர்புடன், இது பட்டியல்பொருள்களாக கருதப்பட்டுள்ளது. நேரடையான் அறிவியல் பாதிகள் என்பது ஒப்பிடுவதற்கான குறிப்பிட்டு கடைசியாக கூடும் பாதிகளைக் கூறும் கிளையை விளக்கவும். அங்கு அதற்கு துணைப்பாதிகள் மற்றும் பள்ளியியல் பாதிகளுடைய கூறும் விளக்கங்கள் உள்ளன. எனவே அதற்கு துணைப்பாதிகள் மற்றும் பள்ளியியல் பாதிகளுடைய விளக்கங்கள் உள்ளன.
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అంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాష్ట్ర సంఘాల గవర్నమెం పాట పాతాల పిల్లెగానికి, అంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాష్ట్ర పాట పిల్లెగానికి అంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాష్ట్ర పాట పిల్లెగానికి ప్రస్తుతాల పాట పిల్లెగానికి. అంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాష్ట్ర పాట పిల్లెగానికి ప్రస్తుతాల పాట పిల్లెగానికి. అంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాష్ట్ర పాట పిల్లెగానికి ప్రస్తుతాల పాట పిల్లెగానికి ప్రస్తుతాల పాట పిల్లెగానికి.
Scientific subject Court language \(\text{a}\) develop diplomatic language \(\text{a}\) develop official language to State language. A State language to develop \(\text{a}\) develop official language of State language.  

Official language to develop official language of State language.
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The importance of teaching English as the official language is widely recognized, and it is essential to develop a standard for this purpose. The English standards that have been developed are based on the existing official language and have been used as a basis for the development of the standard. The regional languages have been given importance in the teaching of English, and the universities have emphasized the teaching of regional languages. The policy of teaching regional languages is being implemented in all the universities.
develop. The 84th section of the Bill states that the State language shall be the official language of the State. The national language shall be the official language of the State in high schools and other educational institutions. Banks, post offices, and other public institutions shall use the official language. The national language shall be the official language in all government institutions. The State language shall be used in all public institutions. The 84th section of the Bill states that the question of time shall be decided by the Governor. The 84th section of the Bill states that the object of the continuance of the official language shall be to develop the State language as a regional language.
“Notwithstanding anything in Part XVII, but subject to the provisions of Article 348, business in the Legislature of a State shall be transacted in the official language or languages of the State or in Hindi or in English: .......

Unless the Legislature of the State by law otherwise provides this article shall after the expiration of a period of 15 years from the commencement of this Constitution, have effect as if the words “or in English” were omitted therefrom.”
language and transaction. The situation of languages in the Andhra Pradesh legislature has been anomalous. The legislature has met in English and in Telugu, without a formal declaration of the language. Article 351 of the Constitution provides for the official language of the legislature, but this has not been followed. Some sub-clauses of Article 351 have not been met. Therefore, the language has not been declared as the official language of the legislature.

The 5th aspect: The 5th aspect is one of the three aspects, and it touches on the issues of the legislature. The details of the 5th aspect are not clear, but it seems to be related to the House. I am going to the second aspect.
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It is not so, Sir. As for the official languages, we have to work with them. But there is no need to be too rigid in this matter. o

I agree — the importance of efficiency, effectiveness, and the need to maintain the official languages in the current context should not be underestimated.
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政府法案

安得拉邦州立法

（继续英语语言法案）

1964年7月6日

此届政府建议，安得拉邦州的立法程序应继续使用英语语言。由于

1964年7月6日

政府法案

安得拉邦州立法

（继续英语语言法案）

1964年7月6日
In pursuance of that policy certain orders have been issued. How far they are being implemented is entirely a different question. I believe they are being implemented so far as it is possible. But still, from district to district, the degree of implementation may vary—from place to place, from man to man and from time to time—it may vary. But the fact remains that Government have issued orders for the implementation of this policy.

(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— The question is:

That the Andhra Pradesh State Legislature (Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964 be read a first time.

The motion was adopted.

Sri P.V. Narasimha Rao: Sir, I beg to move: "That the Andhra Pradesh State Legislature (Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964 be read a second time."

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Motion moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh State Legislature (Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964 be read a second time.

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move:

For clause 2 substitute the following:

"2 (1) The official language of State the of Andhra Pradesh shall be Telugu.

(2) Telugu language to be used.

(i) In the Bills introduced in or amendments thereon to be moved in or Acts passed by the Legislature or in Ordinances promulgated by the Governor.

(ii) In the Orders, Rules, Regulations and Bye-laws, etc. issued by the State Government under any law of the Parliament or of the State Legislature.

(iii) In appeals, affidavits, summons or Judgments of documents, papers, etc. in the courts of tribunals, and.
(iv) The medium of instruction in schools, Colleges and other educational and technical institutions.

(3) Notwithstanding the expiration of the period of fifteen years from the commencement of the Constitution, the English Language may also continue to be used for the transaction of business along Telugu the declared Official Language of the State in the Legislature of the State.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Amendment moved:

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: Sir, I beg to move:
In Clause 2 insert the word “also” between the words “may” and “continue”
In Clause 2 after the word “business” insert the words “along Telugu the declared Official Language of the Andhra Pradesh State.”

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Amendments moved.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: Sir, I beg to move:
In sub-clause (1) of Clause 1 for the words “(continuance of the English Language)” substitute the words “(Official language)”

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Amendment moved.

Sri A. Venkateswara Rao: Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move:
For Clause 2 substitute the following:
“Provided the transaction of business in the Legislature of the State of Andhra Pradesh shall be held in Telugu Language.”

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Amendment moved.
I am not very keen on pressing a very technical point. But still I would like to appeal to him that we will certainly note all the points raised by him. But he should not press the amendments.

\textit{Shri Vavilala Gopalaktishnayya:—} I am prepared to accept that.

\textit{Mr. Deputy Speaker:—} He has already said that the official Bill will come up to avoid that inconvenience.
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Sri P.V. Narasimha Rao: I cannot say, Sir. It will be brought as soon as practicable.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu: We all request that it should be brought before the November session.
Sri P.V. Narasimharao: I will not say whether it is going to be brought or not going to be brought. I submit that we are taking all possible steps to bring it as early as possible.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu: Can the leader of the House say when it is going to be brought?

Sri P.V. Narasimharao: I have consulted him. I have asked him. What he has asked me to say, I have said. I just submit that in this matter I do not wish to go into technicalities. So far as the sentiments by Sri Vavilala and other friends are concerned, we are completely in agreement with them. It is only a time-lag. There is always difference of opinion regarding the time that will be absolutely required for a particular measure to be brought into force. When he seeks to change the entire title, substance and purport of the Bill, I say that he should not press his amendments. In case he wants to press, I will have to say that they are not in order because they seek to change or go beyond the scope of the bill. They supplant the present bill with another bill in effect. That is why I raised this point I want that he should not press his amendments. That is the practical difficulty. It will be transacted in official language if it made official. If there are more than one language, all languages can be used.

Sri Tenny. Viswanatham: Shall be transacted in the official language or languages of the State or Hindi or in English, or or language or language in. Official language or languages of the State or in Hindi or in English.

Sri P.V. Narasimharao: If there is a comma, I would have agreed. It is quite possible that we may have 2 or 3 languages.
Mr. Speaker in the Chair

Mr. Speaker: Are you pressing your amendments Mr. Gopalakrishnayya?

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: I am pressing my amendments.

Mr. Speaker: I am putting them to vote.

The question is:

For Clause 2 substitute the following:

"2 (1) The official language of the State of Andhra Pradesh shall be Telugu.

(2) Telugu language to be used.

(i) In the Bills introduced in or amendments thereon to be moved in or Acts passed by the Legislature or in Ordinances promulgated by the Governor.

(ii) In the Orders, Rules Regulations and Bye-laws etc., issued by the State Government under any law of the Parliament or of the State Legislature.

(iii) In appeals, affidavits, summons or judgments or documents, awards etc., in the courts of Tribunals and

(iv) The medium of instruction in schools, colleges and other educational and technical institutions.

(3) Notwithstanding the expiration of the period of fifteen years from the commencement of the Constitution, the English language may also continue to be used for the transaction of business along with Telugu the declared official language of the State in the Legislature of the State:

The amendment was declared to have been negatived."
Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya pressed for a division.
The House divided thus:

Ayes : 24  
Noes : 53

The amendment was negatived.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: I am not pressing the other amendments.

Mr. Speaker:—Amendments out of order. Amendment not allowed.

Mr. Speaker:—Amendments out of order. Amendment not allowed.

Mr. Speaker:—Provided the transaction in the Legislature of the State of Andhra Pradesh is in Telugu.

Mr. Speaker:—Amendments out of order. Amendment not allowed.

Mr. Speaker:—Provided the transaction in the Legislature of the State of Andhra Pradesh shall be held in Telugu language.
The motion was declared to have been negatived.

Sri A. Venkateswararao pressed for a division.

The House was divided thus:

Ayes 29 : Noes 69.

The amendment was negatived.

*Mr. Speaker*:— The question is:

"That Clause 2 do stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.

Clause 2 was added to the Bill.

*Clause 1*

*Mr. Speaker*: The question is:

"That Clause 1 do stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1 was added to the Bill.

Preamble.

*Mr. Speaker*:— The question is:

That the preamble do stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted.

Preamble was added to the Bill;

*Sri P. V. Narasimharao*: Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh State Legislature (Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964 be read a third time.

(Pause.)

*Mr. Speaker*: The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Legislature (Continuance of the English Language) Bill, 1964 be read a third time."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker: Now we take up the Gram Panchayats (Amendment) Bill, 1964.

On a print of order, Sir, since 2000 writ petitions have been filed. The issue is whether the petitions are maintainable. There has been a lot of argument. The Attorney General has appeared. I am afraid sub judice will arise.

Mr. Speaker: Not about Panchayats but about Samithis. Give me a certified copy of the proceedings of any case. You must be in a position to give me facts.

Mr. Speaker: Vague is your argument. If you say that the matter is sub judice you must be able to substantiate your statement by facts. What is the material you have got?

Sri P. Rajagopal Naidu: What is it you want me to give?

Mr. Speaker: Any material you have got.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham: Let the Minister deny.

Vague is your argument. If you say that the matter is sub judice you must be able to substantiate your statement by facts. What is the material you have got?
Government Bill


1. SS. 36 (General):— The Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayaths Act, 1957, notifies the implementation of sub-section (2) of Section 36. This notification stops conduct of elections. The notification is to be vacated after conducting the elections. The validity and question of legal status of the sub-judice writ petitions are pending. Seven writ petitions are pending in the High Court. The grounds are:

2. 6th July, 1964

Sri A. Venkateswara Rao:— The very validity of the ordinance is being challenged in the High Court and stay is issued.

Mr. Speaker:— When was it?

Sri A. Venkateswara Rao:— I have seen in the newspapers about eight days back.
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High court's Writ petition against the election as illegal, High court decided to bifurcate the election. The election for the post of President, Vice-President, and other members of the Gram Panchayat was delayed due to continuous objection raised by an individual. The nomination for the post of High court judge was pending. The Executive Order introduced to qualify the candidate for the post. The amendment introduced to bring the legislation into effect.
introduce the point to discuss it fully. I have full confidence in arguments and discussion. Narrowly framed, this Bill is also not in the best interest. Narrowly framed, it is seen, and it is my duty to argue it fully. This is the most important point.

Mr. Speaker:— That is the most important point.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:— While that is very important, the flesh and blood also must be seen, not merely the skeleton of the rule.

Mr. Speaker:— Whatever it may be, High court cases pending are decided. While that is very important, the flesh and blood also must be seen, not merely the skeleton of the rule.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:— Whatever it may be, High court cases pending are decided. While that is very important, the flesh and blood also must be seen, not merely the skeleton of the rule.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:— Whatever it may be, High court cases pending are decided. While that is very important, the flesh and blood also must be seen, not merely the skeleton of the rule.

Mr. Speaker:— That is the most important point.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:— That is the most important point.
Assam High Court. Our own High Court in 1962 said the same thing. "It can be clearly gleaned from the observation of the Bench of the Andhra Pradesh High Court, i.e., Sithamma Vs. Balakrishnaiah, that law can be altered during the pendency of an action in any court of law."

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham: I agree. I have not questioned it at all. We have got supreme right to change law at any time.

Mr. Speaker: Then let us proceed.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham: Whether we are doing the right thing or wrong thing. ... ...

Mr. Speaker: It is for the Government to say whether it is desirable or not.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham: It is for us to show the light, that there is a pit and they must not fall into it.

Mr. Speaker: If they are groping in darkness, we have to show them the light. But they say that we are in the darkness and they are trying to show us the light.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham: The very reason for introducing these bills is to negative the contention of the contestants in the High Court. Otherwise these amendments are not necessary at all. Those are the very points, and no doubt should be in the mind of anybody.

Mr. Speaker: I have given my ruling. There is also a decision of the Bombay High Court strengthening this decision. It says that "law can be changed during the pendency of judicial proceedings." Anyway, I rule out all the points of Order raised by several members. Now we proceed.

(3) 1080 గ్రామం పంచాయత్యులు : మొదటి, 5 సంవత్సరాల సమయంలో నియమాలలో సంఖ్య 1 వ సంఖ్య సమయంలో నిలువు.
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Bill No :— 1½ Kala Kusumam. The Business Advisory Committee decide that
the time being no. 1.30 in the morning.

Bill No :— 1½ Kala Kusumam. The time being no. 1.30 in the morning.

Bill No :— 1½ Kala Kusumam. The time being no. 1.30 in the morning.
Provided that if, for any reason, the election of the Sarpanch or Upa-Sarpanch is not held on the date aforesaid, the special meeting for the election of the Sarpanch or Upa-Sarpanch shall be held on the next day, whether or not it is a holiday observed by the gram panchayat.
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మాత్రమే... మరా జీవన్ నుండి స్వయంభూతం, అందులోనే ఒక సమాధానం. నా మానవ జీవితం తారాఖాలం పరాగామం తారా తమిళ సత్యనామ కానూరు విజులియం తాడికే చిత్రించి నందించాడు. తద్వారా నీటి శిక్షణ నుంచి నా పదార్థం తీసిరుచి చేసాడు. తద్వారా వారి నీటి శిక్షణ చేసాడు. అంటే తొలిసారి అన్ని జీవితం వారి పదార్థం తీసించాడు. అంటే తొలిసారి అన్ని జీవితం వారి పదార్థం తీసించాడు. అంటే తొలిసారి అన్ని జీవితం వారి పదార్థం తీసించాడు. (Sri P. Narayana Reddy in the Chair)
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శ్రీమతీ అందా శంఖనాది అందరి మాహితి దర్శన సేవ జయంతీ నాయకరు ఎల్లో విషయాలు తాలుగులు ప్రచురించారు. సత్యం కాయలు జరిగింది అందరి రాయలు దీనిని ఆధారంగా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి చేసారు. ఇప్పుడు ఎందూ సత్యంగా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా, సత్యంగా వాటి వారికి అద్భుతాలు ఉండాలి అందించగా, ఇప్పుడు సత్యంగా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా, సత్యంగా సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేక సమాచారాలి కాకుండా ప్రత్యేка...
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Provided further that the Government may from time to time for reasons to be recorded in writing direct or permit the holding of elections of the sarpanch or up a sarpanch on any other day.

"Any other day" as in clause 5 proviso are used after "Any other day" as in clause 5 proviso is not a separate purpose. Provided further that the Government may from time to time for reasons to be recorded in writing direct or permit the holding of elections of the sarpanch or up a sarpanch on any other day. Provided further that the Government may from time to time for reasons to be recorded in writing direct or permit the holding of elections of the sarpanch or up a sarpanch on any other day.
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declare the following, that the Anandhra Pradesh Municipalities Act, 1948, as amended by the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Amendment) Act, 1959, and the Andhra Pradesh Municipalities (Second Amendment) Act, 1961, shall not apply to the town-municipality of Visakhapatnam.

The notification issue thereof. The amendments therein shall come into force on the date of issuing the notification.

The order of the Regional Committee, bifurcating the notified proposals on the one hand, and the order of the Regional Committee, bifurcating the declared area, on the other hand, shall not apply to the town-municipality of Visakhapatnam. Therefore, the amendment of the relevant sections of the town municipal Acts, relating to the town-municipality of Visakhapatnam, shall not apply. Therefore, the amendment of the relevant sections of the town municipal Acts, relating to the town-municipality of Visakhapatnam, shall not apply.
Government Bill

The Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats (Amendment) Bill, 1964

6th July, 1964

117
glorifying its achievements, the chief minister laid the foundation stone of a new 1964.

jects, public works, and so on, were completed. The people were made aware of the importance of public works, and the work was done in a systematic and effective manner.

the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats (Amendment) Bill, 1964.

Ordinance 1965, Section 18, paragraph 8, disqualifies voters if they are found to be disqualified. The High Court stay on the election was vacated by the Special Division Bench. The Secretariat, therefore, decided to hold fresh elections. The claim of patriotism was rejected by the High Court. The voters' list was prepared, and the claims were verified. The political death of the voters' list was ordered.

118 6th July, 1964

Voters' list was prepared, and the claims were verified. The political death of the voters' list was ordered.
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First meeting according to the Original Act took place on July 1st, every five years, including the first meeting. However, the term “first meeting” and “special meeting” are not clearly defined. A meeting can be referred to as “first meeting” if it is held within five years of the first meeting.

First July 1st marks the beginning of the electoral period. Electors must apply for eligibility before the second Tuesday of the month before July 1st. If July 1st falls on a weekend or holiday, the application must be submitted on the second Tuesday.

1st July is the deadline for electors to clear any outstanding issues up to 30th June. Cantonment areas, except for special cases, do not apply.

Disqualifications: village officers disqualify themselves. Law Department officers consult directories. Officers who have become disqualifiers may not be excluded from voting. Disqualification can occur under the Representation of People's Act. In the place of appointed, it should be elected, not appointed. Tax appeal committee is not half part of the appeal committee.
Government Bill
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అమలించిన విధేయంలో కూడా సాగించేది సంఘం సందర్భంలో. సంఘంలో సంచలనది మరియు విద్యా శాఖలు చాలా సమాధానాలు పిలువడం జరిగింది. మాత్రమే పిలువడానికి వారికి ఏమీయంగా ఉండటం జరిగింది. తప్పనించిన పిలువడానికి ఇతిప్పు ఉండటం జరిగింది...

ప్రత్యేక విషయం ఉంది కాబట్టి మన అధికారుల మాత్రమే ఉండటం జరిగింది.

పినడైనా లాంటి విషయాలు ఉండటం కాబట్టి మన అధికారుల మాత్రమే ఉండటం జరిగింది.

ప్రత్యేక విషయం ఉంది కాబట్టి మన అధికారుల మాత్రమే ఉండటం జరిగింది.

పినడైనా లాంటి విషయాలు ఉండటం కాబట్టి మన అధికారుల మాత్రమే ఉండటం జరిగింది.

ప్రత్యేక విషయం ఉంది కాబట్టి మన అధికారుల మాత్రమే ఉండటం జరిగింది.

పినడైనా లాంటి విషయాలు ఉండటం కాబట్టి మన అధికారుల మాత్రమే ఉండటం జరిగింది.

ప్రత్యేక విషయం ఉంది కాబట్టి మన అధికారుల మాత్రమే ఉండటం జరిగింది.

పినడైనా లాంటి విషయాలు ఉండటం కాబట్టి మన అధికారుల మాత్రమే ఉండటం జరిగింది.
6th July, 1964

The Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats (Amendment) Bill, 1964

Temporary Chairman: The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats (Amendment) Bill, 1964 be read a first time."

The motion was adopted.

Sri M. N. Lakshminarsaiak: Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats (Amendment) Bill, 1964 be referred to the Regional Committee for consideration and report."

Temporary Chairman: Motion moved.

(Pause)
Temporary Chairman: The question is:

“That the Andhra Pradesh Gram Panchayats (Amendment) Bill, 1964 be referred to the Regional Committee for consideration and report”.

The motion was adopted.


Sri M.N Lakshminarasiah: Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964, be read a first time”

Temporary Chairman: Motion moved.

The Speaker shall decide on the admissibility of a motion and may disallow a motion or a part thereof.

Under Rule 97, any member desiring to move for leave to introduce a Bill shall give notice of his intention and shall, together with the notice, submit a copy of the Bill and explanatory Statement of Objects and Reasons which shall not contain argument, provided that the Speaker may, if he thinks fit, revise the Statement of Objects and Reasons.
Government Bill 6th July 1964

The Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964

As soon as may be after leave to introduce a Bill has been granted, the Bill with the Statement of Objects and Reasons shall be published in the Gazette, provided that the Speaker may order the publication of any Government Bill with the Statement of Objects and Reasons in the Gazette although no motion has been made for leave to introduce the Bill and in such a case it shall not be necessary to move for leave to introduce the Bill and, if the Bill is afterwards introduced, it shall not be necessary to publish it again. (Rule 103)

As soon as may be after leave to introduce a Bill has been granted, the Bill with the Statement of Objects and Reasons shall be published in the Gazette.

Though sub-section (3) of Section 3 early envisages the reconstitution of any panchayat samithi in respect of a block which is re-delimited, it has been contended that certain writ petitions filed in the High Court recently in the State that the absence of a specific provision in express terms enabling redelimitation vitiates the redelimitation of Blocks effected in a number of cases preceding the reconstitution of Panchayat Samithis.

Objects and reasons "Opportunity is also taken to make certain other amendments to the Act which have become necessary. The following are the important amendments made:

(1) Section 3 (3) of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act, 1959 implies that re-delimitation of a Block can be effected by the Government before reconstituting a Panchayat Samithi therefor. However, it is now proposed to make an express provision for re-delimiting any Block by increasing its area and for forming new
Block by separation of any area from a Block or by uniting two or more Blocks or parts thereof or by uniting any area to a Block or part thereof and for specifying the name of such new Block — vide Clause 2 of the Bill."

It has been contended in certain writ petitions filed in the High Court recently in the State, that the absence of a specific provision, in express terms enabling re-delimitation..."
and fresh Bill as it was introduced must come. Procedurally it is wrong. Please give the ruling.

Statement of objects and reasons

Correctness of procedure is at once value matters. For the above reason Act

Rules 100th Law passed thereby.
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Rules 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.


introduction 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

sanctity 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

democracy 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

rule 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

value 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

statement of objects and reasons 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

Taking the wind off the sails of the opposition. Opposition 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

point 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

validity 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

sentence 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

remove 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

point 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

validity 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

remove 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

legislation 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

sentence 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

(Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair)

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair
argument pending 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

introduction 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

publication 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

sanctity 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

democracy 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

rule 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

value 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

sentence 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

remove 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

point of order 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

Second reading discussion 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

ruling 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.

value 39, 50, 51, 55, 56, 57, 58.
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direction విష్ణువు అంచిపోయిన సంఘ సంస్థ దృష్టి

మారుతుంది పిక్స్ఫాక్ పిచ్డి:- Point of order విడిది యే తదుపరి.

ఇమిచు ఆరోగ్యాంధికా:- Point of order అంతర్గత అందాల్. అదే
ఇచ్చి మనర రింగ ప్రత్యేకం.

మారుతుంది పిక్స్ఫాక్ పిచ్డి:- అంద రేప్పే తదుపరి.

ఇమిచు తయారి కంతారునాటి:- Leave of the House విష్ణువు అంచిపోయి
నందిత విష్ణువు అంచిపోయి సంఘ సంస్థ దృష్టి.
crucial point విదే యే ముఖ్యం గుర్తిస్తే సంఘ సంస్థ దృష్టి.
ప్రత్యేకం యే రింగ కంతారునాటి Leave of the House విష్ణువు అంచిపోయి.
సంఘ సంస్థ దృష్టి.

Rule 97: “Any member desiring to move for leave to introduce a Bill, shall give notice of his intention, and shall, together with the notice, submit a copy of the Bill and an explanatory Statement of Objects and Reasons which shall not contain argument:

Provided that the Speaker may, if he thinks fit, revise the Statement of Objects and Reasons”

మారుతుంది, పిక్స్ఫాక్ పిచ్డి:- Point of order విడిది యే తదుపరి.
repeat రేప్పే తదుపరి.
repeat రేప్పే తదుపరి.

“If a motion for leave to introduce a Bill is opposed...”

Leave of the House విష్ణువు అంచిపోయి.

“As soon as may be after leave to introduce a Bill has been granted, the Bill with the Statement of Objects and Reasons shall be published in the Gazette.

Provided that the Speaker may order the publication of any Government Bill with Statement of Objects and Reasons
in the gazette although no motion has been made for leave to introduce 

"...and in such a case it shall not be necessary to move for leave to introduce the Bill..."

*Mr. Deputy Speaker:* If no motion has been made it shall be not necessary to move for leave to introduce the Bill and if the Bill is afterwards introduced, it shall not be necessary to publish it again.

*Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:* I entirely agree, Sir. I am completely respecting it. There is no question about it. So, what is the procedure? First, I send a Bill. Then the hon. Speaker looks to it. If he finds any procedural or grammatical mistake or anything, he can absolutely change the whole thing or re-write or do anything. But when it is left to the leave of the House, then it comes to the leave of the House. But the hon. Speaker has the right to say that it can directly go to the press. Here what happened is: it is left to the Assembly to give the leave of the House. So, the property has come to the Assembly. As such the leave of the House was given from 'A' to 'Z' — not a comma was changed, not a paragraph was changed. Then it goes to the press. Then only the Bill is accepted with the objects and reasons. So nobody — either the Government or the hon. Speaker — can touch it. The absolute authority is the Assembly and if it is necessary to change the Government has power only when it comes in the introduction stage when they can move an amendment stating that in the objects and reasons there is a certain amendment. So they can move it. But when once it is being brought for leave of the House, when once it has given the leave and when it is printed, no iota or comma or paragraph can be changed. No power is given according to rules. As such, I say Government has tampered with the statement and here it is the violation of the rules.
Mr. Deputy Speaker: Read rule 263 on page 78 — Residuary Powers.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: No, Sir. Please excuse me. Residuary powers are only for any contingency. But here there is no contingency at all. They are for contingencies which are not provided for.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: There is nothing like that. The rules says: "All matters not specifically provided in these rules and all questions relating to the detailed working of these rules shall be regulated in such manner as the Speaker may from time to time direct."

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: Yes, what is that? I say residuary power is there when specifically it is not mentioned. When specifically it is clear, the hon. Speaker or any body has no right to touch even a paragraph or comma of it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: It does not say that. It is not there. He could give a directive. ....

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: No, Sir. No Sir. Please excuse me.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: There is that implied meaning in that. It it expressly said. ....

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: It is the specific provision there, for "Bills originating in the Assembly". There is not even an iota of doubt. It is as specific as any thing. In the proviso to rule 97, it is specifically said: "Provided that the Speaker may, if he thinks fit, revise the Statement of Objects and Reasons". At what stage? ....

Mr. Deputy Speaker: There is no such thing "at what stage". It is not mentioned.
Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya: No, Sir. Rule 97 in the rule when it was left to the House. It has come to the House. The hon. Speaker was kind enough to leave the paper to the leave of the House. So, it has come to the leave of the House. Leave of the House was given. At this stage nothing has come. The point is right in time the leave of the House was taken and even the hon. Speaker or the hon. Minister who is in charge, never mentioned: 'I want to change it'. If it required change, they could have changed only at the introduction stage. As such there is no provision any where. It is very specific that when the Bill goes to the press, no body can touch. If it is touched it is touching the property of the Assembly and no body is entitled to it.

Rule 263 (2) "All matters not specifically provided in these rules..." "Specifically provided" अथवा "Specificaly provided" अथवा वर्तमान वेळ्यातील हो. जुन, अनेकात्मक मान्यता असा, कोणाही नियम्यातील वाचकाची तयारी. लिआ देखील याची संपत्ती नाही उच्चांच्या वेळ्यातील वाचकाची तयारी. लिआ देखील याची संपत्ती नाही उच्चांच्या वेळ्यातील वाचकाची तयारी. जुन, अनेकात्मक मान्यता असा, कोणाही नियम्यातील वाचकाची तयारी. जुन, अनेकात्मक मान्यता असा, कोणाही नियम्यातील वाचकाची तयारी.

Residuary powers अनेकात्मक मान्यता असा, कोणाही नियम्यातील वाचकाची तयारी.

'Provided that the Speaker may order the publication of any Government Bill with the Statement of Objects and Reasons in the Gazette although no motion has been made for leave to introduce the Bill.' अनेकात्मक मान्यता असा, कोणाही नियम्यातील वाचकाची तयारी.

No motion is made अनेकात्मक मान्यता असा, कोणाही नियम्यातील वाचकाची तयारी.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:— The Speaker.

Sri G. Latchanana:— The Speaker has no power.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Provided that the Speaker may, if he thinks fit, revise the statement of objects and reasons.

Sri G. Latchanana:— When no motion is made.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— “Any member desiring to move for leave to introduce ..

Sri G. Latchanana:— “When no motion has been made for leave to introduce the Bill”.

“We when no motion is made to take leave of the House for the Bill”.

Can they do it? What is this?

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:— It has been our misfortune, during the last two or three days......

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— That there are two or three points of order coming on......

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:— No, what I am saying is: all on a sudden, some thing is thrown and it is read absolutely disjointed.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— But what is changed here? It is a change in the statement of objects and reasons.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:— Please let me say. They have made beautiful points. It is our misfortune that they are
not appreciated. One should thank them for bringing to light these great and subtle points. Statements of objects and reasons are separate, disjointed. So, we are in great difficulty now. Leave of introduction cannot be applied. Summary of power is not appreciated. One should thank them for bringing to light these great and subtle points, &c.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— Provided that Speaker—

When once it has come to that stage, it is like another man's wife: nobody else can touch it in any way. Leaves of introduction cannot be applied. Statements of power are not appreciated. One should thank them for bringing to light these great and subtle points, &c.
By implication it is absolutely clear that he can do nothing else after once leave of the House is taken.
Mr. Deputy Speaker:—Anyway we shall cut short the discussion; the Speaker has allowed it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:— It is not amendment or any resolution that he is withdrawing. Neither the Amendment is being withdrawn nor the resolution is being withdrawn. It is only change in the statement of Objects.

Sri V. Visveswara Rao:—He moved the motion in the form of a Bill. This is a Bill; by a motion he moved it.

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—He has not made any amendment in the Bill itself—only the Statement of Objects is changed.
Sri V. Visweswara Rao:— Even the statement of objects – it is part of the Bill. We generally accept the statement of objects along with the Act.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: — It does not form part of the Bill.
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wanted to know whether the Speaker has allowed or not I just gave the information. The Speaker allowed it.

Now that h

He would say on the floor of the House.

(Mr. Speaker in the Chair)
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I never used that term.

Mr. Speaker:— That is all right; now that he says he has not used any such word.

Mr. Speaker:— Nothing prevents the members from moving an amendment.

Mr. Speaker:— Mr. Visweswararao differs from that.

Mr. Speaker: Let me explain the position because I was myself responsible for these changes. I have got to give the correct facts to the House. You expect me to give correct facts to the House. So after I give full information then the House is at liberty to say what it likes. Perhaps it is after the leave of the House was obtained, some of the concerned
officers connected with the drafting of the Objects and Reasons of the Bill approached me and explained to me that certain changes were necessary. I was myself convinced that these changes were necessary. So I gave permission. Now the question that arises is whether once leave of the House has been obtained for publication of the Bill, the Speaker has got powers to allow any changes either in the body of the Bill or in the Objects and Reasons. That is the only point that has now arisen for consideration. I honestly felt that I had powers to do it. That is why I did it - not that I would be in any way infringing the rights and powers of the members of the House. So I honestly felt I had a right. So far as the rule itself is concerned, that is not very clear - whether after the leave has been obtained, the Speaker can make any changes - either before or after. Anyhow once notice has been given for leave of the House they say the Speaker may make some changes. The very rule itself is not clear - whether before or after obtaining leave of the House. I feel that I was perfectly justified in ordering the change. But if you feel that consequent on these changes, the entire spirit and meaning of the Objects and Reasons of the Bill itself has been changed, then it is entirely a different matter. It is open to the House to bring forward any amendments if they choose to. Even for Objects and Reasons I do not know whether amendments are allowed.

An Hon. Member: - It has got a vital connection with the validity of the Bill. Otherwise we would not have thought about this.

Mr. Speaker: — Quite true; I never exercised my thought over all this. I would only request the members not to take very serious notice of it, and if any of them feel that their rights have been infringed, I would only say that it was not my object at all.

Infringement of rights; Public. law - affidavit convincing suo moto, magistrate agree; agree.
Mr. Speaker:—The rule itself is not very clear—whether after leave is given the Speaker can change or not.

Mr. Speaker:—It is time; we have to finish before 1-30 p.m.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—With all the respect we command we have to bow before you.

Mr. Speaker:—With all due respect to all of you, without meaning anything I have granted permission.

Mr. Speaker:—Having given permission, I don't want to say that I have not granted permission. I have granted permission; there is no question of going back on that.

Mr. Speaker:—Now that I have told that I have given permission you go to the next thing.
Mr. Speaker:— That is not clear from the rule itself. It is not clear.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:— The rule runs like this: “Notice of the motion for leave to introduce the Bill.— Any member desiring to move for leave shall give notice of his intention and shall together with the notice, submit a copy of the Bill and the explanatory statement of objects and reasons which shall not contain argument, provided the Speaker may if he thinks fit revise the statement of objects and reasons.”

Mr. Speaker:— You are adding something which is not there.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:— No, Sir; according to the rights of the House and as the interpretation of the rule goes it seems the Speaker can amend the aims and objects before leave is given.

Mr. Speaker:— If that is there, there is no dispute with you. Unfortunately it is not there. “before leave is granted.” It is not there.
Mr. Speaker:— No— it is different. First reading, third reading separately. The Assembly cannot be adjourned until after the third reading. Accordingly, first reading separately.

Mr. Speaker:— He has pointed out Rule 144; it does not apply here—i.e., “After a Bill is passed by the Assembly, if there are any patent errors or mistakes, the Speaker has always got a right to rectify” does not apply.

Anyhow you bear all these things in mind at the time of amending rules. We will get them amended.

Mr. Speaker:— I do not know what incalculable harm is done.

Mr. Speaker:— The House is adjourned to 8-30 a.m. tomorrow.

1-30 P.M. The House then adjourned till Half past Eight of the clock on Tuesday, the 7th July, 1964.