ANDHRA PRADeSH LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Tuesday, the 14th July, 1964
The House met at Half past Eight of the Clock.

[Mr. Speaker in the Chair]

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Demonstration Day by the N. G. Os.

281—

* 702 (4991) Q.—.arvasri A. Sarveswara Rao (Eluru). P. Syamsundara Rao (Achanta) and V. Satyanarayana (Penugonda) :—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state :

(a) whether the State N. G. Os, Teachers and Class-IV Employees observed 18th April, 1964 as a Demonstration day ;

(b) whether they communicated their minimum demands to the Government; and

(c) whether they requested the Government to constitute a High Power Pay Commission, with a Judge of the High Court as Chairman and eminent persons among Economists and other non-officials as Members ?

The Chief Minister (Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy) :—

(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) Yes, Sir.
(c) Yes, Sir.
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Finance Commissions:

The All India Finance Minister and several Ministers of States submitted.

Minimum demands:

Two man committee further anomalies.

Whether they requested the Government to constitute a High Power Commission yes.

Interim relief.

Government to constitute a High Power Commission yes.

Finance Commission:

Two man committee request the Government to constitute a High Power Commission.

Whether they requested the Government to constitute a High Power Commission yes.

Finance Commission:

Two man committee further anomalies.

Two man committee request the Government to constitute a High Power Commission.

Whether they requested the Government to constitute a High Power Commission yes.

Finance Commission:

Two man committee further anomalies.

Two man committee request the Government to constitute a High Power Commission.

Whether they requested the Government to constitute a High Power Commission yes.
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Sri G. N. (Sudhakar Reddy) (Khammam) :— Are you aware of the case of Mr. V. Ramachandran, 463
who asked in the House about the whip? It appears to be a vicious circle from the point of 463
view of the whip system to quell the opposition. Stabilization of prices, 463
which is a basic requirement, is not being looked into. Daily 463
allowance for the Members should be increased. N. G. O. 463
has already said so. The allowance should be increased.

Sri G. N. (Sudhakar Reddy) (Khammam) :— IV stage of prices 463
has not yet started, but the cost of living is already starting to go up. 463
Never did we expect the Members to have to take these 463
instructions.

Sri A. S. (Srinivasa Reddy) (Khammam) :— Two man committee report 463
represented the only way to look into the problem. However, 463
the allowance should be increased from the stage of 463
allowance. Mr. V. Ramachandran has raised it 463
already. The daily allowance should be increased. 463
What is the present position?

Sri K. V. Narayanna Reddy (Kamalapur) :— Have the Government 463
recognized the seriousness of the problem in view of the increase in cost of living index? If so is 463
the Government prepared to constitute a High Power Commission or some such thing? What are the other mea-463
sures that they are going to take?
Two man committee report on the stabilization of prices— whether from the point of view of Central Government employees or the State Government employees or the general public in particular.

The solution to the problem is the stabilization of prices— whether from the point of view of Central Government employees or the State Government employees or the general public in particular.
What are the wages which we are paying to the N. G. Os., in relation to our total budget and how do they stand when compared to other States?

Stabilization of prices and permanent solution of wage problems are the key objectives. Direction of policy towards stages of regulation is essential. Emphasis on rising cost of living 50% neutralize the wage adjustments for employees.

Prices and wages are closely linked. permanent solution of wage problems. 50% rising cost of living. The question is, how much should prices be adjusted?
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* 1133 (2462-R) Q.—Sri V. Ramachandra Rao (Put by Sri M. Ramgopal Reddy) :—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state :

(a) whether any Board of Directors for the Nizamabad Co-operative Sugar Factory has been constituted ;
(b) if so, when it was constituted ;
(c) what was the share capital yet to be collected as on the date of the nomination of members on the Board ;
(d) what is the amount collected so far ;
(e) what are the names of the Directors on the Board; and

(f) how many of them are from the Nizamabad Co-operative Sugar Factory Zone ?

Sri K. Brahmamanda Reddy :—(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) In February, 1963.
(c) Rs. 15.76 lakhs.
(d) Rs. 11,08,800/- upto end of April, 1964 which includes Rs. 9.24 lakhs collected prior to the date of nomination of the new Board.

(e) 1. Sri B. Saya Reddy, Bodhan— President.
2. Sri Kasinatha Rao, Mukpalker— Vice President.
5. Sri Srinivasa Rao, Bodhan— Director.
8. Sri Renukadas Rao, Yedpalli— Director.
Ex-officio Government Directors.

11. Headquarters Deputy Collector, Nizamabad.
13. Joint Director Industries and Commerce.

(f) Five Directors.

Co-operative Sugar Factory in Chodavaram.

283—

* 770 (2748) Q.—Sri K. Appala Naidu (Put by Sri P. Rajagopala Naidu) :—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state :

(a) the crushing capacity of sugar-cane (in tons) per day of the Co-operative Sugar Factory in Chodavaram, Visakhapatnam District ;

(b) the quality of sugar cane (in tons) actually crushed per day on average during the working period of the said factory in 1962–63 ;

(c) the period for which the said factory worked during that day ;

(d) the reasons why it could not work for the full period ; and

(e) the action taken by the Government to ensure its working for the full period ?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy :—(a) 1,000
(b) 563,
(c) The duration of crushing of this factory during 1962-63 was 80 days, of which the actual number of working days was 49.

(d) The cane growers were reluctant to supply cane to the factory due to high jaggary prices.

(e) Under Defence of India Rules, this Government issued orders in November, 1963 declaring certain villages as reserved areas for supply of cane to the sugar factories.

\[ \text{Percentage of sugar recovery} \] 100%?

\[ \text{Correct information} \]

\[ \text{Sugar Factory} \]

\[ \text{Last year morning, target exceed 100%} \]

\[ \text{Sugar Factories} \]

\[ \text{sufficient steps} \]

\[ \text{14th July 1964} \] 

Oral Answers to Questions
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Content and Cane Production

I know, Sir, from the Chairman and others that they have done well.

**Sri K. Govindu Rao (Anakapally)**—What is the profit in 62-63 and 63-64, Sir?

**Sri K. Brahmavandha Reddy**—Information is not here, Sir.

Encouragement

Fertilizers

Loans

Sugarcane-area
Co-operative Sugar Factory at Cuddapah.

284—

* 854 (4082) Q.—Sriraj P. Narayana Reddy (Vedamalpet):—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to establish a Co-operative Sugar Factory in Cuddapah district;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether the Government are in receipt of any memorandum on the subject from the Legislators of Cuddapah district; and

(d) if so, the action taken by the Government thereon?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—(a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The following 3 applications received are under consideration of the Government:


2. Application dated 24-8-1963 from the promoters of the Cuddapah District Co-operative Sugar Factory (proposed) in the K. C. Canal area.

3. Applications from the Legislators and Samithi Presidents of Cuddapah District in December, 1963.

(c) Yes.

(d) The memorandum is under consideration of the Government.
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Member: Factory is set up in sugarcane growing areas. The Minister for Sugar Factories and Paddy Factories indicated that 1400 acres of sugarcane was grown in Cuddapah district during 1963-64. Out of this, Kamalapuram, Proddatur and Cuddapah were the main sugarcane growing areas with a total area of about 820 acres. About 300 acres are under cane within 20 miles of

Mr. Speaker:— That is the question put by Sri Sarma?

Sri P. Rajagopal Naidu:— Because it has been allowed in the case of a private factory where there is no sugarcane area, therefore here also it can be allowed. That is why I am asking this. Private factory is set up in Cuddapah district. Cooperative Sugar Factory is set up in Cuddapah district.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— One Sri Parthasarathy applied for licence to set up a sugar factory at Chennur in Cuddapah district. The Director of Agriculture in his letter dated 4-1-64 has indicated that sugarcane in Cuddapah district is estimated at 1400 acres during 1963-64. Out of this, Kamalapuram, Proddatur and Cuddapah are the main sugarcane growing taluks with a total area of about 820 acres. About 300 acres are under cane within 20 miles of
radius of Chennur where the factory is proposed to be established. According to the Director of Agriculture, there are possibilities of bringing 5000 to 6000 acres under sugarcane in the Taluks of Cuddapah, Proddutur and Kamalapuram as part of the area is being irrigated by K. C. canal and partly by lift irrigation from wells provided the promoters take necessary effective steps.

Mr. Speaker:— Mr. Parthasarathy of which place?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— Of Kodur, Sir.

Parliamentary Committee to Examine the Working of Government Undertakings.

285—

* 745 (2051) Q— Sri K. Rajamullu (Put by Sri P Narasa Reddy (Nirmal):— Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:—

Whether the Government propose to set up a (parliamentary) Committee consisting of the Legislators of Andhra Pradesh on similar lines of the parliamentary body set up by the Parliament recently to examine the working of the undertakings in which the Government of Andhra Pradesh has invested and are having controlling powers?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— The Government propose to watch the working of the Parliamentary body for some time before deciding to constitute a similar committee.
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Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— According to the existing practice, annual reports of Government companies, Corporations, together with the comments of the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India are being placed before the Legislature in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act. Besides, the Estimates Committee is also going into the details of working of the Government Companies. Some matters of interest are being included by the Accountant General in the State Audit, Report which is finally considered by the Public Accounts Committee. So far as the departmental undertakings are concerned, both the Estimates Committee and the Public Accounts Committee have been considering their working. These Committees have no jurisdiction over the working of the companies which fall under the 4th category, i.e. companies wherein they have heavy financial investments without controlling voice like Sirpur Paper Mills etc.

Reconstitution of Directorate of Mining Corporation

286—

* 1093 Q.— Sarvasri P. Rajagopala Naidu. C. D. Naidu, and A. Sarveswara Rao:— Will the hon. Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

(a) Whether there is any proposal with the Government to reconstitute the Directorate of Andhra Pradesh Mining Corporation Limited; and

(b) if so, when will it be reconstituted?
The Minister for Finance (Dr. M. Chenna Reddy) :-
(a) No, Sir.
(b) Does not arise.

Estimates Committee have pointed out irregularities in the estimates of iron ore contractor.
Oral Answers to Questions
14th July, 1964

Estimates Committee report:— The Estimates Committee report:

Board of Directors approve Earned 50 Rs to Pay 2nd 60 Rs to Pay Estimates Committee Point out Estimates Committee submit 9, 4 submit Esti­mates Committee submit Estimates Committee submit Estimates

Iron ore in Krishna District

287—

* 1094 Q— Sarvasri P. Rajagopala Naidu, & C. Naidu:— Will the hon. Minister for Finance be pleased to state:
(a) the number of tons of iron ore raised in Krishna District during the financial year 1963-64; and
(b) the amount realised there from?

Dr. M. Chenna Reddy:— (a) 76,406 tons.
(b) Rs. 1,14,744/-

Dr. M. Chenna Reddy:— Royalty at the rate of 1-50 N. P. per ton.

Working Group for the Khadi & Village Industries

288—

Sri A. Sarveswara Rao:— Will the hon. Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have constituted a Working Group in September, 1963 to make a critical review of the progress of Khadi and Village Industries in the Third Plan and to formulate proposals for the 4th Plan;

(b) what are the places visited by this Working Group in this connection; and

(c) whether copies of the report of the Working Group will be placed on the Table of the House?

Dr. M. Chenna Reddy:— (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) So far the working Group visited Chowttuppal and Suryapet in Nalagonda district and Mangalagiri, Tenali Pedaravur, Yedlapalli, Burripallem and Guntur in Guntur District.

(c) Copies of the report will be placed on the Table of the House after their receipt from the Working Group.
Terms of reference: To make a critical review of the progress of the programme and scheme of the Khadi and Village Industries in the Third five year plan period;

(ii) To assess in the light of current trends and other available data, the position likely to be reached at the end of the Third five year plan period and

(iii) to formulate proposals for the Fourth Plan in the perspective wherever possible of a fifteen year period 1966–1981,
478 14th July, 1964
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Critical review of the 4th Five Year Plan

1. All India Khadi and Village Industries Commission:— A quasi-Government organisation, chalked out in 1936, with its headquarters in Delhi. It is a reference to the five-year plan proposals. At the instance of the Khadi and Village Industries Commission, the 4th Five Year Plan is being prepared.

2. Minister for Education:— The Department of Education is being reviewed in the light of the 4th Five Year Plan. The proposals for the 5th Five Year Plan are being considered.
(a) whether there are any proposals with the Government to constitute a Committee now to investigate into the problems of Elementary Education and connected affairs in the State; and

(b) if so, the terms of reference of contemplated Committee?

*Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy* : (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

*Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy* :—Elementary Education Code

*Elementary Education Code* reports: Separate question may be put, Sir.

*Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy* :—I cannot answer it off-hand unless there is a specific question on it.
Music Schools.

290—

* 345 (4414) Q.—Sri S. Vemayya:— Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:

Whether there are any proposals with the Government to establish a Music School at (1) Nellore, (2) Tirupati, (3) Cuddapah (4) Guntur in the next academic year.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—No, Sir.

District Museums.

291—

* 346 (4417) Q.—Sri S. Vemayya:— Will the hon. Minister for Excise and Prohibition be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government propose to abandon the scheme of establishing District Museums in the State now; and

(b) if so, the reasons there for?

The Minister for Excise and Prohibition (Sri M. R. Appa Rao):—(a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) Does not arise.
Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether any site has been acquired at Rameswaram and negotiations are going on to purchase a site at Varanasi for construction of choultries by the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams; and

(b) whether the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam propose to take up the management and maintenance of the well furnished choultries at Badrinath (U. P.) built by Sri C. V. Reddy and Ramanujakutam of Vijayawada?
The Minister for Municipal Administration (Sri A. Venkatramayya):—(a) A site has been acquired only at Rameswaram. There is no proposal to do so at Varanasi.

(b) The answer is in the negative.

Vehicles on Ghat Road at Tirupathi.
(a) whether the Government are aware that the fleet of Ghat Road vehicles at Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams is not able to carry even 1/4 of the number of pilgrims to up hills during summer and Brahmostavam periods; and

(b) if so, whether the Government consider the desirability of permitting the Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation to run some buses to up hills to meet the traffic needs of pilgrims in full during the peak periods and ensure steady flow of devotees to Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams?

Sri A. Venkatramanayya:—(a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) Does not arise.
14th July, 1964

Oral Answers to Questions
Second Ghat Road for Tirumala Tirupati
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* 653 (4685) Q.— Sri A. Sarveswara Rao:— Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether the construction of second ghat road for Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams was put off on a directive from the State Government: and

(b) if so, whether the issue is reconsidered recently by the Government and the Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanam was permitted to proceed with the work?

Sri A. Venkataramayya:— (a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) The answer is in the negative.

2. The answer is in the affirmative.

3. The answer is in the negative.
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* 651 (4682) Q,— Sri A. Sarveswara Rao:— Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the canteens run by the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams and the restaurants licensed by it supply food and eatables to pilgrims of very poor quality;

(b) whether the running of the canteens by the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams is purely motivated by the huge profits earned and that too at the cost of quality of food stuff; and

(c) what is the expenditure and profit on canteens or the last three years?

Sri A. Venkataramaiah:— (a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) The answer is the negative.

(c) S. V. Canteen, Tirupati

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
<th>Profit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960-'61</td>
<td>3 lakhs.</td>
<td>40,000/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961-'62</td>
<td>3 lakhs.</td>
<td>19,984/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962-'63</td>
<td>3 lakhs.</td>
<td>10,279/-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S. V. Canteen, Tirumala.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
<th>Profit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1960-'61</td>
<td>2,42,843-69</td>
<td>6,571-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961-'62</td>
<td>2,96,896-11</td>
<td>25,814-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962-'63</td>
<td>3,07,688-01</td>
<td>49,627-11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. या-सूक्त.
2. छहा.
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1. ప్రపంచానికి మెరుగుతుందని చెపుతున్నారు. ఇందులో ప్రతి రోజు 330 సాధనాలు, కనుముగిన సాధనాలు 140 సాధనాలు. అందులో 300 సాధనాలు ప్రత్యేకంగా మార్గాం చేసే సాధనాలు. ఇందులో అతిపెద్ద పరిమితి ఉంది. ఇందులో మంది ఉంది. అందుకే మాత్రమే పరిమితి ఉంది. కానీ పరిమితి కంటే విలాసం ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో పరిమితి ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో విలాసం ఉంటుంది. కానీ పరిమితి ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో విలాసం ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో పరిమితి ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో విలాసం ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో పరిమితి ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో విలాసం ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో పరిమితి ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో విలాసం ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో పరిమితి ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో విలాసం ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో పరిమితి ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో విలాసం ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో పరిమితి ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో విలాసం ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో పరిమితి ఉంటుంది. ఇందులో విలాసం ఉంటుంది.
Facilities to the Pilgrims

296—

* 671 (4837) Q.— Sri A. Sarveswara Rao:— Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams management received complaints about the inadequacy of bathing and lavatory facilities provided for the pilgrims accommodated in the free rooms and also by other pilgrims who have no accommodation during the peak periods; and

(b) whether there is any plan to construct sufficient number of modern type lavatories and bath cubicles?
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Sri A. Venkataramayya:—(a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) The answer is in the affirmative.

(a) The answer is in the affirmative.

Selection Committee for the Recruitment of Personal for T. T. D.

297—

672 (4838) Q.—Sri A. Sarveswara Rao:—Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any Selection Committee to recruit personnel to various categories of posts in the T. T. D. giving wide advertisement for the posts and the qualifications prescribed therefor; and

(b) will it observe the same rules and regulations as are followed by the Public Service Commission?

Sri A. Venkataramayya:—(a) The answer is in the affirmative in as much as the recruitment to the technical and teaching staff in educational institutions is concerned. As regards clerical staff and superior servants, the appointments are made by Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupathi

(b) More or less the same rules and regulations that are prescribed by Government for its employees are being adopted for Devasthanams employees also.

(ii) 


(b) More or less the same rules and regulations that are prescribed by Government for its employees are being adopted for Devasthanams employees also.

(iii) 


(b) More or less the same rules and regulations that are prescribed by Government for its employees are being adopted for Devasthanams employees also.

(iv) 


(b) More or less the same rules and regulations that are prescribed by Government for its employees are being adopted for Devasthanams employees also.
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* 673 (4839) Q—Sri A. Sarveswara Rao:—Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams has a separate accounts section; and if so, whether its accounts are audited in the same manner as the accounts of Public undertakings; and

(b) why has the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams not introduced proforma accounts system (a better one) to work out the profits of the Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams transport?

Sri A. Venkataramayya:—(a) The answer is in the affirmative.
(b) The system of Proforma accounts have been introduced for all remunerative enterprises, such as Press, Transport, Canteens, etc.

Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether there are any proposals before the Government to open preaching halls at the district and the taluk headquarters for preaching Hinduism under the auspices of Tirupati Tirumal Devasthanams;

Preaching Halls

*696 (4963) Q.- S i P.O. Satyanarayana Raju (Kosigi):- Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether there are any proposals before the Government to open preaching halls at the district and the taluk headquarters for preaching Hinduism under the auspices of Tirupati Tirumal Devasthanams;
(b) if so, the state at which the matter stands now; and

(c) will a copy of the details of the proposals be placed on the Table of Houses?

*Sri A. Venkataramayya:* (a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) & (c) Do not arise.

_Air Lift from Tirupathi to Tirumala_

300—

*699 (4979) Q.—Surasri A. Sarveswara Rao, P. Shyamasundara Rao and V. Satyanarayana:* Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have decided to provide the pilgrims with an air lift from Tirupathi to Tirumala by a five seater Marava Plane; and

(b) whether an airstrip is constructed at Tirumala:

(c) how much a traveller will be charged for one trip?

*Sri A. Venkataramayya:* (a) The answer is in the negative.

(b) The answer is in the negative.

(c) Does not arise.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

_Percentage of passes in S. S. L. C. H. S. C. and Higher Secondary Multipurpose Examinations._

300−A.

_S. N. Q. No. 1259−0 Sri P. Anthony Reddy (Anantapur):* Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased to state:
(a) the percentage of passes in the Three University areas, of H. S. C, S. S. L. C. and Higher Secondary (Multipurpose) Examinations held in March 1964; and

(b) the names of six Schools in each University area that get the highest percentage of passes in S. S. L. C. Examination; H. S. C. Examination and Higher Secondary (Multipurpose) Examinations held in 1964?

*Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy*:—(a) & (b) The information is placed on the Table of the House.

*Paper Laid on the Table of the House.*

**Percentage**


   Consolidated percentage of passes ... 50.2
   Regular (School) ... 53.4
   Regular (Private) ... 31.8
   Private (Teachers) ... 5.1
   Girls/Women candidates ... 12.4

ii) Names of schools that got the highest percentage of passes.

   a) Zilla Parishad High School, Donepudi ... 100
   Y. N. Oriental cum High School, Karamchedu ... 100

   b) Zilla Parishad High School, Kollur ... 98.5

   c) Zilla Parishad High School, Tangutur ... 97.3
d) G. V. C. High School, Timmasamudram ... 97

e) Zilla Parishad High School, Vinukonda ... 96.9

f) Zilla Parishad High School, Vetapalem (Tenali Taluk) ... 96.8


Sri Venkateswara University area.

Consolidated percentage of passes: 54

Regular (School) ... 56.8
Regular (Private) ... 32.4
Private (Teachers) ... 7.3
Girl/Women candidates ... 4.7

ii) Names of six Schools that got the highest percentage of passes:

a) Zilla Parishad High School, Meddikera 100
   Zilla Parishad Girls High School, Yemmiganur ... 100
   Zilla Parishad High School, Papanaidupet. ... 100
   Umar Arabic High School, Kurnool. 100

b) Zilla Parishad High School, Kandur (Chittor District) ... 95

c) Zilla Parishad High School, Narpala (Anantapur District) 94
   Zilla Parishad High School, Rompicherla ... 94
III. Higher Secondary Certificate
Examination, March 1964

Osmania University Area.

i) Percentage of passes:
   Pupil candidates ... 40.1
   Private candidates ... 24.4
   Overall percentage ... 33.5

ii) Name of first Six Schools that got the highest percentage of passes:

   a) St. Paul's High School, Hyderabad ... 100
      St. Marks Boy's Town High School, Jahanuma, Hyderabad 100
      Mary A. Kioets High School, Vikarabad ... 100
      Zilla Parishad Secondary School, Enkoor, Khammam
iv. Higher Secondary and Multipurpose School Leaving Certificate Examination, March 1964,

Andhra curriculum.

Overall Percentage of Passes ... 36

Andhra University Area.

i) Pass percentage ... 34
ii) Names of First Six Schools that got the highest percentages of passes:

a) St. Anthony's Higher Secondary School, Visakhaapatnam 81

b) Government Multipurpose School, (Boy's) Rajahmundry 80

c) S. Ch. B. R. M. Higher Secondary School, Bhimavaram ... 70

d) St. Joseph's Girls' Higher Secondary School, Guntur ... 66

e) S.G. Higher Secondary School, Rajahmundry ... 65

B.S.H.S. Girls' Higher Secondary School, Guntur ... 65

f) S.R. Higher Secondary School, Chilapalli ... 60

*Sri Venkateswara University Area.*

i) Pass percentage ... 38

ii) Names of First Six Schools that got the highest percentage of pass:

a) St. Joshphs’ Girls’ Higher Secondary School, Nellore ... 84

b) Viswodaya Girls’ Higher Secondary School, Kavali ... 67

c) S.V. Higher Secondary School, Giddalur ... 66

d) Z.P. Higher Secondary School, Kandukur ... 63
e) Zilla Parishad Higher Secondary School, Cumbum ... 62
   Zilla Parishad Multipurpose School, Chittoor ... 62

f) Zilla Parishad Higher Secondary School, Rayadurg ... 60


Osmania University Area.

i) Pass percentage:

ii) Name of First Six Schools that got the highest percentage of passes:

a) Little Flower Higher Secondary School, Hyderabad ... 100
   Rural Methodist School, Zahirabad ... 100

b) Keys Girls' Higher Secondary School, Secunderabad ... 97.7

c) Fatima Girls' Higher Secondary School, Khazipet, Warangal ... 97.4

d) Agarwal Higher Secondary School, Hyderabad ... 95.7

e) Nrupatunga Higher Secondary School, Hyderabad ... 95

f) A. V. M. Higher Secondary School, Matwada, Warangal 94.3
Sri P. Anthony Reddy:— Mr. Speaker, Sir, in view of the answers placed on the Table, it is found that some schools have done very well. Will the Government study or adopt the methods adopted in this school and adopt the same methods of teaching?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy: It will be useful analysis...
Sri P. Anthoni Reddy:—Are the Government aware that the donation system is abolished throughout the State?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—Yes, Sir.

Sri T. V. S. Chalapati Rao:—Does the Government propose to improve the results in the schools in which they are poor?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—There are several ways of improvement. It is being studied often. The parents must take special interest apart from improving the quality of teachers and putting in more teachers and other steps.

Sri T. V. S. Chalapati Rao:—Will the Government be pleased to investigate why the results are poor in certain schools.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—That investigation has been going on periodically.
Sri K. Brahmamunda Reddy :— It is very difficult together that information. As I have said certain schools teachers are appointed. The information is very difficult to gather.

Election of Orvakallu Gram Panchayat.

300-B.

S. N. Q. No. 1260-A: Sri Vavilala Gopalkrishnayya—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayat Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether the election of the Members, Surpanch, and Upa-Sarpanch of the Orvakallu Gram panchayat of Sattenapalli taluk was completed;

(b) if so, when; and

(c) if not, why not?

Sri M. N. Lakshminarasayya :—Election of members of Orvakallu Grampanchayat in Sattenapalli taluk of Guntur District was held on 2-6-1964; but the election of Sarpanch and Upa-Sarpanch could not however be held on that day as scheduled, as by then an order was received from the High Court staying the elections for the gram-panchayat pending disposal of Writ Petition No. 985 of 1964. Subsequently, the High Court vacated the stay orders, and the election of Sarpanch and Upa-Sarpancha was fixed for 4-7-1964. But the election had to be postponed due to threatened violence.
Violence postponed and postponed information.

Collector's report postponed indefinitely. As of 4-7-64, detailed report delayed. A detailed report is not forthcoming. Government Officers interfere with instructions.

Serious issue. A short notice question. As of 4th of May, information is non-existent.

Violence? What violence?
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What was the information received by the Minister and what further information he has asked for?

Sri T. Nagi Reddy: — What was the information received by the Minister and what further information he has asked for?

Another short notice question is awaiting report from the Collector, Guntur is awaited in the matter. It could not however, be held on that date and had to be postponed, as there was a threat of violence in the Gram Panchayat.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy: — When such a curt reply has been received from the officials, did he not contact them through the phone and get the other information immediately. Should we wait in the Assembly for 10 days to get the reply.
554 (3707) Q.— Sri S. Vemayya:—Will hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(a) the number of spill over works of IIInd Plan yet to be completed at the end of 31—12—1963; and

(b) the amount required to complete the works referred to under clause (a) above;

A:— (a) and (b) Answer is placed on the Table of the House.

Answer placed on the Table of the House

The number of spill over works of the Second Plan yet to be completed at the end of 31—12—1963 was 489. A sum of Rs. 9,233.42 lakhs is required to complete these works. The details of the works are furnished in the statement placed on the Table of the House.
The break-up of the number of spill over schemes of Second Plan yet to be completed at the end of 31-12-1963 under different heads of Departments as indicated in the table given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Head of Department</th>
<th>No. of spill over schemes of Ind Plan yet to be completed at the end of 31-12-63</th>
<th>Amount required to complete the works referred in Col. 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Director of Agriculture</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Project Wing of Public Works Dept.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Chief Engineer (Buildings)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Director of Municipal Admn.</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Director of Fisheries</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Chief Engineer (Public Health)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Director of Industries and Commerce</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Director of Medical Services</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Director of Education (General)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Director of Technical Education</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Rupees in lakhs)
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11. Director Animal Husbandry ... 44 6.92
12. Planning & Development Dept. ... 7 0.17
13. Chief Engineer (Highways) ... 176 367.18
14. Chief Engineer Electricity) Project and Boards ... 5 1332.73
15. Chief Engineer (Irrigation) ... 27* 6886.09
16. Chairman, Housing Board ... — —
17. Director of Social Welfare ... 40** 0.97
18. Director of Women Welfare ... — —
19. Chief Conservator of Forests ...
   Total ... 489 9,233.42

Collections of Rent under Rent Reduction Act.

92—

1059 Q.— Sarvasri P. Rajagopala Naidu and C. D. Naidu:— Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

the rent collected under the Rent Reduction Act during 1963–64?

(*) Does not include certain works under the control of the Local Public Works Department.

(**) Particulars furnished pertain only to 8 Districts.
The rents collected under Section 3 (4) of the Rent Reduction Act 1947 during the year 1963–64 is Rs. 1,41,331.

Arrears under various Heads of Accounts

769 (2741) Q.— Sarvasri G. Rama Rao, V. Visweswara Rao; A. P. Vajravelu Chetty; S. Venayya; P. Rajagopal Naidu and C. D. Naidu:— Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

the amount of arrears pending recovery under the following heads of accounts by 30.11.1963;

1. Land Revenue
2. Takkavi Loans (Advances & Lons)
3. Excise;
4. Sales Tax;
5. Electricity Revenue; and
(b) the amounts found irrecoverable (Under the different heads of accounts)?

A:— (a)

1. Land Revenue ... 5,73,25,506-00
2. Takkavi Loans (Advances & Loans) — 4,69,92,047-71
3. Excise ... 2,81,66,000-00
4. Sales Tax ... 4,18,03,576-00
5. Electricity Revenue ... 1,39,82,000-00

(b) Out of the above the following amounts are found irrecoverable.
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1. Land Revenue ... 82,46,094-00
2. Loans & Advances ... 12,84,056.09
3. Excise ... (for 10 districts). 11,32,298.00
4. Sales tax .. 7,45,145-00
5. Electricity Revenue Nil.

Land Revenue Collected in Krishna District.

94—

1007 (4836) Q.— Sri V. Visveswara Rao:— Will the hon. Minister for Revenue be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total amount of land Revenue collected in Krishna District for 1963-64;

(b) whether there are any arrears during the above period; and

(c) if so, the amount?

(a) Rs. 2,29,45,187 for Fasli 1373 (upto 6-6-1964).
(b) The answer is in the affirmative.
(c) Rs. 12,18,233 remained as arrears for Fasli 1373.

Rehabilitation of Displaced Goldsmiths

95—

816 (3741) Q.— Sri Surwesawra Rao:— Will the hon. Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have received a comprehensive scheme for the rehabilitation of displaced goldsmiths for immediate implementation; what are the details of the scheme;

(b) what is the number of displaced goldsmiths that have been absorbed into employment through Government
Agencies and the number of displaced goldsmiths that have been provided with lands and settled in agriculture; and

(c) the number of them that received aid under State Aid to Industries Act and the number rehabilitated under the scheme evolved by the Khadi and Village Industries Board and the Industries Department?

(a), (b) and (c).—A statement is placed on the Table of the House.

**Statement placed on the Table of the House**

(a).—Schemes have been sanctioned to advance individual loans to the displaced goldsmiths at the rate of Rs. 500/- each to start petty trade or business at a total cost of Rs. 10,00,00/- and distribution of sewing machines at the rate of 50 machines for each district and 500 machines for the City of Hyderabad and Secunderabad at a total cost of Rs. 4,00,000/- since the Government of India have allotted a further sum of Rs. 10/- lakhs, the limit of individual loans of Rs. 500/- has been increased to Rs. 1,000/- each on Personal Security and upto Rs. 3,000/-against two sureties. The State Government, in consultation with the Andhra Pradesh Goldsmiths Rehabilitation Committee have formulated 21 schemes, as in Annexure-I, costing about 37 lakhs and sent to Government of India for financial assistance for the implementation of these schemes in all over the districts of the State and the reactions of the Government of India are awaited.

Government have sanctioned a sum of Rs. 2.5 lakhs from the current year's budget under Amelioration of Unemployment Relief scheme to start the following schemes through Industrial Co-operative Societies in the Twin Cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad.
### Handicraft Schemes:

1. Handmade paper Industrial Co-operative Society
2. Bidriware Industrial Co-operative Societies—Existing
3. Ivory Unit.
4. Silver Jewellery including silver filigree Departmental Scheme
5. Glass emplacing & Engraving Industrial Co-operative Society
6. Aluminium utensils Industrial Cooperative Society.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Loan</th>
<th>Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Handmade paper</td>
<td>Rs. 20,000</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidriware</td>
<td>Rs. 10,000</td>
<td>3,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivory Unit.</td>
<td>Rs. 6,000</td>
<td>3,8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Jewellery</td>
<td>Rs. 26,400</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass emplacing</td>
<td>Rs. 4,500</td>
<td>5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>Rs. 94,345</td>
<td>45,655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve</td>
<td>Rs. 30,000</td>
<td>58,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Rs. 1,61,245</td>
<td>58,755</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

(a) About 400 Goldsmiths have so far been absorbed in employment through the Employment Exchange. The displaced goldsmiths have not been provided with lands and this issue is under active consideration of Government.

(b) A sum of Rs. 2 lakhs at the rate of Rs. 10,000/- for each district has been allotted for the formation of Industrial Co-operative Societies which come under the purview of the Khadi and Village Industries Board.
and to obtain matching grants from them. A sum of Rs. 2.5 lakhs has been sanctioned to start certain schemes in the Twin Cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad through the Industrial Co-operative Societies to rehabilitate the displaced Goldsmiths.

### ANNEXURE I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of the scheme</th>
<th>Name of District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Scheme for the manufacture of Rice Mill parts</td>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Scheme for Aluminium utensils</td>
<td>East Godavari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Scheme for cast Iron Foundry</td>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Scheme for all-steel agricultural plough</td>
<td>West Godavari</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Scheme for furniture production</td>
<td>Nellore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Scheme for General Blacksmithy Unit</td>
<td>Chittoor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Scheme for insecticide formulations</td>
<td>Cuddapah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Scheme for Builders Hardware</td>
<td>Vizinagaram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Scheme for Builders Hardware</td>
<td>Kurnool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Scheme for Saw Mill &amp; Packing case manufactur</td>
<td>Adilabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Scheme for Survey instruments</td>
<td>Warangal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Scheme for Bakelite Parts</td>
<td>Khammam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Scheme for manufacture of Agricultural implements</td>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Scheme for manufacture of holdalls</td>
<td>Mahaboobnagar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
15. Scheme for House Service Metres  
   Medak

16. Scheme for Manufacture of Mechanical Toys  
   Vijayawada

17. Scheme for Manufacture of Padlocks  
   Anakapally

18. Scheme for Manufacture of fancy articles, Jewellery, Silver, filigree  
   Carimnagar

19. Scheme for manufacture of Iron Clad Switches  
   Palakole

20. Scheme for manufacture of Cardboard, Boxes, Cartoons, Posters, Calendars etc.  
   Rajahmundry

21. Scheme for the manufacture of Passenger (light) Vehicle silencer mufflers  
   Musilipatam

Carpentry and Blacksmithy Industrial Societies

96—

876 (4227) Q.— Sri A. Venkateswara Rao:— Will the hon. Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

the existing number of Carpentry and Blacksmithy Industrial Societies functioning in Warangal District.

A:—Carpentry Industrial Societies 9
Blacksmithy Industrial Societies 2

Industries Started in Nellore District

97—

931 (4402) Q— Sarvasri S. Vemayya and G. C. Kon-daih:— Will the hon. Minister for Finance be pleased to state:
(a) the names of the Large Scale Small Scale, Handicrafts and Cottage Industries started during 1962–63 and 1963–64 in Nellore District;

(b) Whether any sales depot was started during the above period in Nellore District;

(c) whether any profit had been earned by the depot during the above period; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

A:— (a) No large or Small Scale Industries have been started during 1962–63 and 63–64. A list of Handicraft and Cottage Industries started during those years is placed on the Table of the House.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) & (d) Do not arise.
**STATEMENT PLACED ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE.**

*List of Cottage Industries Taken up Under Rural Arts Crafts and Industries Programme During the years 1962–63 and 1962–63 in Nellore District.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Block</th>
<th>Name of the Scheme with trade and location</th>
<th>Date of Starting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Kota.</td>
<td>Tanning Demonstration-cum-Training Centre at Kurugonds.</td>
<td>1–10–62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Sullurupet,</td>
<td>Demonstration cum Training Centre in Bomboo &amp; Rattan products at Kota.</td>
<td>25–7–62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Venkatagiri</td>
<td>-do- at Sullurupet.</td>
<td>27–3–63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Kovvur.</td>
<td>Demonstration-cum-Training Centre at Kukkoti.</td>
<td>15–2–63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Chejerla</td>
<td>Demonstration-cum-Training Centre in Tunga Mat Weaving at Kothavananagallu.</td>
<td>12–3–63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-do- at Suryapalem.</td>
<td>28–11–62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Udayagiri</td>
<td>7. Servicing–cum–Training Centre in Steel trunks Manufacturing at Udayagiri.</td>
<td>7–11–62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12. Tanning Demonstration–cum–Training Centre at Darsi.</td>
<td>6–11–63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14. Common Facility Centre for Blacksmithy and Carpentry at Bogulu.</td>
<td>8–10–63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>–do– in Bomboo &amp; Rattan products at Chinakraka.</td>
<td>15-2-63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>–do– in Tunga mat weaving at Atmakur.</td>
<td>13-1-64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>–do– in Bamboo &amp; Rattan products at Kallupalem.</td>
<td>1-10-62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>–do– in Tunga mat weaving at Arur.</td>
<td>12-4-62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>–do– at Pamur.</td>
<td>9-3-63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>–do– at Chendrasekharapuram.</td>
<td>15-10-62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>–do– at Veligandla</td>
<td>23-12-63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Peripatetic Demonstration unit in Brush making at Hanumantunipudi,</td>
<td>23-1-64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Demonstration cum Training Centre in Tunga mat weaving at Threkunta-colony.</td>
<td>10-5-62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>–do– in Bamboo and Rattan products at Podalakur.</td>
<td>8-11-62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Demonstration cum Training Centre in Thunga mat weaving at Butchireddipalem.</td>
<td>25-1-64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27. -do- st Siddapuram.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Buchireddipalem</td>
<td>28. Demonstration cum Training Centre in Bamboo and Rattan products at Tarmaraya.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1-2-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Podili</td>
<td>29. Demonstration cum Training Centre in Tunga mat weaving at Nimma-varam</td>
<td></td>
<td>24-3-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30. -do- -do- at Poddili.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1-1-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31. Common Facility Centre in Pottery and Brickmaking at Kuncheppalli.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1-7-63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32. Demonstration cum Training Centre in Tunga mat weaving at Chennapallipalem.</td>
<td></td>
<td>8-2 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Totapalli Gudur.</td>
<td>33. Common Facility Centre for Carpentry and Blacksmithy at Varigonda.</td>
<td></td>
<td>22-6-63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34. Common Facility Centre in Leather-goods at Tallur.</td>
<td></td>
<td>21-2-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Tallur.</td>
<td>35. Demonstration cum Training Centre in Bamboo and Rattan products at Yaragali.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1-2-63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Gudur.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18-7-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Aid to Ex-servicemen by Khadi Board.

98—

964 (4642) Q.—Sri A. Sarveswara Rao :—Will the hon Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Khadi Commission provided any financial aid by way of loan and grant for the implementation of a scheme of making paper for the welfare of the servicemen;

(b) whether the Ex-servicemen Association has implemented the scheme; and

(c) the number of Ex-servicemen employed, quantity of paper produced and sold out so far, and the average earnings of an Ex-servicemen working in the Unit?

A :—(a) Yes, Sir.
(b) Not yet, Sir.
(c) Does not arise.

Carpentry and Tailoring Institutions run by Harijans.

99—

1037 (4934) :—Sri G. Suryanarayana :—Will the hon. Minister for Finance be pleased to state:

(a) whether any institutions for carpentry and Tailoring are being run by the Harijans in Andhra Pradesh; and

(b) if so, their names and the places where they are located?

A:—(a) No, Sir.
(b) Does not arise.
100—

238 (3950) Q.—**Sri S. Vemayya** :— Will the hon. Minister for Education be pleased be pleased to state :
(a) number of Sanskrit—
   (i) High Schools.
   (ii) Middle Schools in the State :
(b) number of those exclusively for Girls out of clauses (a) ?
   (a) (i) 26 High Schools.
   (ii) 3 Middle Schools.
   (b) High Schools ... 1
       Middle Schools ... 3

101—

67 (2046)'Q.—**Sri P. Gunnayya** :— Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state :
   (a) whether the Government are in receipt of the following representations from the public of Chinakistalapadu village Parwathipuram taluk, Srikakulam District ;
   (i) Representation dated 28-2-1962 for the construction of a stone dam to the rivulet proceeding to Rangasagaram which will supply water to an extent of 200 acres of land ;
   (ii) Representation dated 28-2-62 of the repair of Kellala Banda Tank ; and
   (b) if so, the action taken on the said two representations ?

A:—(a) No, Sir,
   (b) Does not arise.
Diploma holders in Engineering.

102—

322 (4316) Q.—Sri P. Rajagopala Naidu :— Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state :

(a) whether the Government is treating the Diploma holders in Engineering who subsequently passed A.M.I.E. on par with the Degree holders in giving appointments and

(b) if not, the reasons therefor ?

A:— (a) Yes, Sir, Candidates who pass the full course (i.e., Sections A, B, & C.) of A. M. I. E. are considered equivalent to B. E. Civil Degree holders of this State.

(b) Does not arise.

Electrification of Brahmadevam Village.
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33 (4371) Q.—Sri S. Vemayya :—Will the hon. Minister or Public Works be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that agreements were collected by the Electricity Department from the village of Brahmadevam and its hamlets in Nellore Taluk and District long back for supply of current; and

(b) if so, the time by which the execution of the scheme will be taken up ?

A:—(a) Yes, Sir. Agreements were collected by the Superintending Engineer, Operation Circle, Vijayawada from the villagers of Brahmadevam and its hamlet in Nellore Taluk and District during 1960.
(b) Yes, Sir. Brahmadevam village was already electrified on 31-3-1951.

*Bridge across “Jaderu” Canal.*

104—

384 (4593) Q.—*Sri Ch. Mallikarjuna* :— Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) whether the construction of the bridge across the Canal “Jaderuj’ at Vadisakarra on the Y. Ramavaram road in Yellavaram Taluk has been completed; and

(b) the names of the concerned contractors?

A:—No, Sir. Construction of 3 piers is completed Right abutment and wings are completed upto springing level of arches. Left abutment is being taken up.

(b) Sri Kodur Kasivisweswara Rao.

*Road Fund Works.*
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454 (5047) Q.—*Sri P. Gunnayya* :— Will the hon. Minister for Public Works be pleased to state:

(a) the amount allotted to the road fund works during 1963–64 and 1964–65 for construction of roads and bridges in the State;

(b) the amounts granted by the Central Government therein; and

(c) the amount allotted for road work in Andhra, Telangana and Agency area respectively?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1963–64</th>
<th>1964–65</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A:—(a)</td>
<td>Rs. 25,81,600</td>
<td>Rs. 20,58,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(b) Rs. 17,00,000 Rs. 15,00,000 has been allotted by the Government of India.

(c) **Telangana area**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>14,76,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>7,40,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1963-64</td>
<td>11,04,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964-65</td>
<td>13,18,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,88,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15,00,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disciplinary action against the Police Officials.**

106—

662 (4751) Q.—*Sri M. Pitchayyru* : Will the hon. Minister for Home be pleased to state:

(a) whether disciplinary action has been taken during 1962-63 against any officials in the State ranging between Police Constable and Circle Inspector on the charges of corruption and irresponsible behaviour in Guntur District during 1962-63; and

(b) if so, the nature of action taken against them?

A:—(a) (& b) Answer is placed on the Table of the House.

*Answer Placed on the Table of the House*

(a) Disciplinary action was initiated on charges of corruption against one Inspector and two Sub-Inspectors in the year 1962 and one Inspector, two Sub-Inspectors and four Costables in the year 1963.

(b) 1962

1. Inspector Sri P. Samuel  
2. Sub-Inspector Sri M. Venkata Rao

Punishment Rolls are pending disposal with the Commissioner of Excise and Prohibition, Hyderabad.
3. Sub Inspector Sri Kaparthi

1963:

1. Inspector Sri K. Subba Rao

Pending with Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings Hyderabad.

2. Sub Inspector Sri Ch. Lakshmiah

Oral enquiries against them are pending.


4. P.C. 1821 Sri T. Apparao

Action dropped in the year 1964.

5. P.C. 1920 Sri K. Chinnapa

6. P.C. 1277 Sri K. Mokshanandam

Dismissed from Service in the year 1964.

7. P.C. 1781 Sri B. Joseph

Vaccinations.

150 (3314) Q.—Sri M. Pitchayya:—Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

whether the District-wise list of the number of persons (number of men and number of women including boys and girls) who have been vaccinated during 1962–63 will be placed on the Table of the House?

A:—A statement is placed on the Table of the House.
Statement Placed on the Table of the House

Districtwise particulars of vaccinations conducted by the Public health staff during the period from 1—4—62 to 31—3—63

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyderabad</td>
<td>3,66,393</td>
<td>2,44,231</td>
<td>6,10,624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nalgonda</td>
<td>3,28,096</td>
<td>2,18,728</td>
<td>4,38,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medak</td>
<td>52,702</td>
<td>35,134</td>
<td>87,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahboobnagar</td>
<td>1,10,488</td>
<td>73,658</td>
<td>1,84,146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warangal</td>
<td>85,432</td>
<td>63,620</td>
<td>1,59,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karimnagar</td>
<td>91,995</td>
<td>61,329</td>
<td>1,53,324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nizamabad</td>
<td>94,849</td>
<td>63,232</td>
<td>1,58,081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khammam</td>
<td>8,591</td>
<td>5,731</td>
<td>14,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adilabad</td>
<td>42,220</td>
<td>28,142</td>
<td>70,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Srikakulam</td>
<td>56,001</td>
<td>37,333</td>
<td>93,334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>79,961</td>
<td>53,324</td>
<td>1,33,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Godavari</td>
<td>3,74,925</td>
<td>2,50,038</td>
<td>6,24,873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Godavari</td>
<td>45,866</td>
<td>30,577</td>
<td>76,443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krishna</td>
<td>3,44,651</td>
<td>2,29,766</td>
<td>5,74,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guntur</td>
<td>3,24,960</td>
<td>2,16,638</td>
<td>5,41,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nellore</td>
<td>2,22,098</td>
<td>1,41,064</td>
<td>3,70,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurnool</td>
<td>80,664</td>
<td>53,775</td>
<td>1,34,839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuddapah</td>
<td>54,815</td>
<td>36,543</td>
<td>91,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anantapur</td>
<td>51,990</td>
<td>34,660</td>
<td>86,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chittoor</td>
<td>21,919</td>
<td>17,979</td>
<td>39,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>27,77,964</strong></td>
<td><strong>18,51,975</strong></td>
<td><strong>46,29,939</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gammaxene for the Hospitals.

351 (4431) Q. - Sri S. Vemayya:— Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:
the amount spent on Gammaxene for Hospitals or otherwise in the State, District-wise during 1962-63 and 1963-64?

A:— The amount spent on Gammaxene in the State during 1962-63 and 1963-64 by the Public Health Department is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Amount spent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Hyderabad</td>
<td>36,913-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Mahboobnagar</td>
<td>177-58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Medak</td>
<td>177-58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Nizamabad</td>
<td>177-58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Chittoor</td>
<td>5,000-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>3,355-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Sangareddy</td>
<td>394-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46,196-74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Amount spent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Chittoor</td>
<td>25,000-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Anakapalli</td>
<td>13,818-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Visakhapatnam</td>
<td>73,038-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Vijayawada</td>
<td>4,540-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Guntur</td>
<td>30,597-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Hyderabad</td>
<td>987-00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Karimnagar</td>
<td>98-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Stock at C.M.L. Stores, Hyderabad</td>
<td>51,017-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Written Answers to Questions**

14th July, 1964  527
Vacancies of Nurses.
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407 (4732) Q.—Sri M. Pitchaiiah :— Will the hon. Minister for Health and Medical be pleased to state:

(1) whether applications have been called for during the months of January and February, 1964 for filling up the vacancies of Nurses;

(2) the number of applications received; and

(3) the number of them appointed?

A:— 1. No, Sir.

2. & 3. Do not arise.

Local Fund Cases.

110—

514 (2383) O.—Sri Ramachandra Rao Deshpande :— Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether any excess local fund cess at 0-3-0 per rupee of land Revenue was collected during 1962 in the Telangana Area in addition to the cess of As. 0 2 0 that was being collected hitherto;

(b) if so, the district-wise amount of the cess collected during 1962 on that account; and

(c) whether a copy of the Government order, if any in this regard will be placed on the Table?

A:— (a) Yes, Sir. The additional cess at Rs. 0-3-0 was collected up to June 1962 only. Thereafter only it was collected at Rs. 12.

(b) The District-wise particulars of the local fund cess, collected during 1962 in addition to the cess of As. 0-2-0 are given below:
1. Adilabad 3,96,043—86
2. Hyderabad 3,40,99—92
3. Karimnagar 5,07,802—97
4. Khammam 3,59,499—20
5. Mahboobnagar 8,24,406—48
6. Medak 7,61,861—08
7. Nalgonda 4,10,051—72
8. Nizamabad 9,57,595—84
9. Warangal 6,88,422—26

(c) A copy of Government Memo No. 468/Pts. III 61-64, Planning and Local Administration Department, Dated 28-9-1961 and G O. Ms No. 182, dated 31-1-1962 are placed on the Table of the House.

Papers laid on the Table of the House.

Copy of the B.P.Rt. No. 34/62, dated 6-1-1962, from the Board of Revenue, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad addressed to the Collectors in Andhra Pradesh with enclosures

Subject:—Cesses—Land cess/Local cess—Collection at the enhanced rate of 31 nP., of Land Revenue—Instructions—Issued.


The attention of all the Collectors is invited to the Government Memorandum cited, a copy which is enclosed for their ready reference, and they are requested to collect the Land Cess/Local Cess at the enhanced rates of 31 nP., per rupee of Land Revenue from the current fasli; as per the instructions issued in District Board’s and Panchayat (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Act, 1961.

Sd/
Assistant Secretary.
Copy submitted to the Secretary to Government, Planning and Local Administration Department, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

Copy of Memo No. 458/Pts. III/61-14, dated 28th September, 1961 from the Assistant Secretary to Government, Planning and Local Administration Department, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad addressed to the Director of Local Administration Hyderabad and all Collectors with enclosures.

Subject:—Acts-State The District Board’s and Panchayats (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Act 1961-62 Copy communicated.

A copy of the District Boards and Panchayats (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Act 1961 (Act No. XXXI of 1961) published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette Extraordinary dated 21-9-1961 is communicated to the Director of Local Administration, all Collectors and all Zilla Parishads for information and necessary action.

M.V.S. Chowdary,
Assist. Secretary to Govt.

Enclosures:—

Act No. XXXI of 1961.

Copy of:

An Act further to amend the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) District Boards Act, 1920 the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) District Board’s Act, 1955, the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Village Panchayat Act, 1950 and the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Gram Panchayaya Act, 1965.

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Andhra Pradesh in the Twelfth year of the Republic of
India as follows:
Short title:

1. This Act may be called the District Board and Panchayats (Andhra Pradesh Amendment) Act, 1961.

AMENDMENT OF THE ANDHRA PRADESH (ANDHRA AREA) DISTRICT BOARDS ACT 1920

In the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) District Board's Act, 1920 (Act XIV of 1920):—

(i) in section 78 for the words “twelve naya paise”, the words thirty-one naya paise” shall be substituted:

(ii) for section 92, the following section shall be substituted namely:

* Appointment of land-cess:

92. The proceeds of the land cess shall be appointed among the Zilla Parishad, the Panchayat Samithi and the Panchayats in such manner as may be prescribed”.

(ii) In rule 5 of Schedule V, for item (1) under the heading “Panchayat Samithi and or Zilla Parishad Fund”, the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

(i) “Such share of the land cess levied in the district may be appointed to the Panchayat Samithis or Zilla Parishad under Section 92”.

AMENDMENT OF THE ANDHRA PRADESH (TELANGANA AREA) DISTRICT BOARD'S ACT, 1955

3. In the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) District Boards Act 1955 (Act I of 1956):—

(i) in sub-section (1) of Section 135, for the words “twelve naya paise” the words “thirty one naye paise” shall be substituted.
(ii) in sub-section (1) of section 136, for the words "shall be paid by the Government to the Zilla Parishad administering the area in which the lands are situated", the words "shall be apportioned among the Zilla Parishad, the Panchayat Samithis and the Gram Panchayats in the prescribed manner" shall be substituted, and the proviso to that sub-section shall be omitted.

**AMENDMENT OF THE ANDHRA PRADESH (ANDHRA AREA) VILLAGE PANCHAYAT ACT, 1955**

@ In sub-section (2) of Section 70 of the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Village Panchayat Act, 1950 (Act X of 1950), for clause (iii) the following clause shall be substituted; namely:—

"(iii) such share of the land-cess levied under section 78 of the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) District Board’s Act, 1920 (Act XIV of 1920) as may be appointed to the Panchayat under section of that Act.

**AMENDMENT OF THE ANDHRA PRADESH (TELANGANA AREA) GRAM PANCHAYAT ACT, 1955.**

(i) For sub-section (1) of section 71 of the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) District Panchayat Act, 1956 (Act XIV of 1956) the following sub section shall be substituted namely:—

(1) Every Gram Panchayat shall receive such share of the local cess collected under section 135 of the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) District Board Act, 1955, (Act I of 1956) as may be appointed to it under section 136 of that Act."
(2) For the marginal note to the said section, the following marginal note shall be substituted namely:

"Share of the Gram Panchayat in local cess and Land Revenue".

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

ABSTRACT


PLANNING AND LOCAL ADMINISTRATION
(S. & P.) DEPARTMENT


Read the following:

G. O. Ms. No. 1532, dated 8—11—1961 of Planning and Local Administration Department.

ORDER:

In the G. O. cited the Government have published draft rules relating to the appointment of the local cess between the Zilla Parishads, Panchayat Samithis and Gram Panchayats in the Telangana area. The objections and suggestions received with respect to these rules within the time prescribed for the purpose have been considered and the draft rules have been modified suitably. The draft rules as modified are hereby confirmed.

2. The appended notification will be published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette
3. The Commissioner of Panchayat Raj is requested to bring the rules to the notice of all Gram Panchayats Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads in the Telangana Area. The notification may also be published in the Panchayat Journal.

4. The Director, Government Printing Press is requested to have the said notification published in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette as early as possible and furnish ten copies of the Gazette notification to this Department.

(By Order and in the Name of the Governor of Andhra Pradesh.

C. Narasimham.
Special Secretary to Government.

"APPENDIX"
"NOTIFICATION"

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 199 read with sub-section (1) of Section 136 of the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) District Boards Act, 1955 (Act I of 1956), the Governor of Andhra Pradesh hereby makes the following rules relating to the appointment to the local cess between the Zilla Parishads, Panchayat Samithis and Gram Panchayats in Telangana Area, the same having been previously published as required by sub-section (3) of section 199 of the said Act.

"RULE"

The proceeds of Local cess collected at the rate of thirty one naye paise on every rupee of land revenue shall be appointed among the Zilla Parishads, the Panchayat Samithis and the Gram Panchayats in the ratio of 6:9:16 respectively; in cases where the village concerned o
covered by Panchayats and Panchayat Samithis. The local cess collected from villages which are not include any Panchayati area shall be appointed among the concerned Zilla Parishads and Panchayats in the ratio of 15:19 respectively.

*Fresh water wells in Parakala and Chityala Blocks.*

111—

537 (3360) Q.—Sri R. Narasimha Rao:—Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) the number of fresh water wells sunk in Parakala and Chityala blocks in Parakala tq. Warangal dist. during 1960–61, 1961–62 and 1962–63 respectively; and

(b) the number of wells therein sunk for the use of the Harijans with the help of Samithi Funds and Social Welfare funds respectively?

A:—(a) and (b) A statement is placed on the Table of the House.

State showing the number of fresh water wells sunk in Parakala and Chityala blocks in Warangal District during 1960–61, 1961–62 and 1962–63 and the number of wells therein sunk for the use of Harijans with the help of Samithi funds and Social Welfare funds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parakala Block</th>
<th>1960–61</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No. of fresh water wells sunk.</td>
<td>No. of wells sunk for the use of Harijans with the help of Samithi funds (out of the wells shown in Col. (1)).</td>
<td>No. of wells sunk for the use of Harijans with the help of Social Welfare funds (out of the wells shown in Col. (1)).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Written Answers to Questions

1961-62  25  1  2
1962-63  24  9  1

Chityala Block
1960-61  4   —  —
1961-62  13  3  2
1962-63  13  2  1

Gram Ekai Programme.

643 (4643) Sri A. Surveswara Rao :— Will the hon. Minister for Panchayati Raj be pleased to state:

(a) whether Gram Ekai Programme intended for an integrated development of the Socio-Economic conditions of the Rural Communities inaugurated in 1961 and implemented in Some Samithis is extended to some more places;

(b) if so, what are those places or Samithis; and

(c) whether a review of programme is done before it is extended?

A:—(a) No, Sir.
(b) Does not arise.
(c) Yes, Sir.

Co-operative Labour Contract Societies.

581-A (4081) Q.—Sri P Narayana Reddy :— Will the hon. Minister for Co-operative be pleased to state:

(a) The Districtwise number of Co-operative Societies that have been established in the State so far;

(b) whether the dividend system is in practice;
(c) the Societywise particulars of the works entrusted in Cuddapah District during 1962–63 and 1963–64; and

(d) The profit gained by each of the said societies during the above period?

A:-(a) The number of Co-operative Labour Contract Societies established in each district in the State are:

1. Anantapur 3
2. Chittoor 6
3. Cuddapah 12
4. East Godavary 17
5. West Godavari 4
6. Guntur 53
7. Krishna 16
8. Kurnool 17
9. Nellore 16
10. Visakhapatnam 8
11. Sriakulam 4
12. Hyderabad 52
13. Khammam 3
14. Adilabad ...
15. Karimnagar 4
16. Nizamab 3
17. Medak 1
18. Mahboobnagar 7
19. Nalgonda 12
20. Warangal 8
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(b) The dividend system is in practice whether there is such provision in the bylaws of Societies.
(c) The particulars of the works entrusted to each Society in Cuddapah District during 1962-63 and 1963-64 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the Society</th>
<th>Works estimated during 1962-63</th>
<th>Works estimated during 1963-64</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mantapampalli Labour Contract Co-operative</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Rajampet F.C. Tooki Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rajempet</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Yerraguntalakota F.C. No. XXX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jillellamadaka</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boddacharla Labour Contract Co-operative Society</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayachoty Vadde Labour Contract Co-operative Society</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiyyavaram</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vpprapalli</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venkateswarapuram</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gudipadu Labour Contract Society (Dormant)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thirvengalapuram Labour Contract Society</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>6,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muddanur Labour Contract Society</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kodur Labour Contract Society</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>6,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(b) The societies in Cuddapah Circle did not earn any profits during 1962-63 and all the four societies in Proddutur worked at loss during 1962-63. The question of furnishing particulars regarding profits earned by the societies during 1963-64 does not arise now, as the Cooperative year 1963-64 will be closed by 30th day of June, 1964.

Labour Contract Co-operative Societies in Mahboobnagar District.

114—

953 (4566) Q.—Sri P. Mahendranath :— Will the hon. Minister for Co-operation by pleased to state:

(a) the names of the Labour Contract Co-operative Societies functioning in various taluks of Mahboobnagar District;

(b) the amount of financial aid provided by the Government to each of the said societies during 1963-64;

(c) whether it is a fact that the Government have previously directed for the establishment of such a society in Nagarkurnool Taluk and allotted some financial aid for the same; and

(d) if so, the reasons for not establishing such a society so far?

A :—(a) The names of the Societies are:


(b) No financial-aid was provided to these societies during 1963–64.

(c) The answer is in the affirmative. An amount of Rs. 3,000 was provided to the Waddeman Labour Contract Society in Bijnapalli Block in Nagarkurnool Taluk as loan for the year 1962–63. The amount was drawn and disbursed to the society on 30-3-1963.

(d) There is already a Labour Contract Society, at Waddeman Village, Bijnapalli Block, Nagarkurnool taluk and its area of operation is confirmed to the entire Taluk of Nagarkurnool. No labour contract society could therefore be registered so far in Bijnapalli Block. As the said society is in dormant condition, an enquiry under Section 42 of the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana area) Co-operative Societies act has been initiated and the registration of the society will be either cancelled or the society revived after the enquiry is completed.

* Parks in Twin Cities.*
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413 (4767) Q.—Sri M. Pitchayya :— Will the hon. Minister for Municipal Administration be pleased to state:
(a) the number of parks in the Twin Cities of Hyderabad and Secunderabad; and
(b) the number of children’s parks therein?

A:— (a) Hyderabad ... 19
    Secunderabad ... 15
(b) Hyderabad ... 11
    Secunderabad .. 14

Residential Scholarships
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44 (634) Q.—Sri G. C. Kondaiah:— Will the hon. Minister for Social Welfare be pleased to state:

(a) the number of students of Scheduled Tribes; Schedule Caste, Backward Class and converted Christians who have applied for residential scholarships in 1962-63,
(b) how many of them are given scholarships and how many of them rejected; and
(c) if so, the basis on which scholarships are given?

A:—(a) 27,820.
(b) Applications sanctioned 15,744
    Applications rejected ... 12,076
(c) During 1962-63 the scholarships were sanctioned on the following basis:

Government of India Scholarships:

(1) For Scheduled Castes a mere pass in the previous annual examination irrespective of marks obtained subject to the parents’/guardian’s income of Rs. 500 and below per month.

(2) For Scheduled Tribs a mere pass in previous annual examination irrespective of marks obtained. There saw no means and merit test.
(3) For other Backward Classes on merit basis.

State Scholarships:

(1) Scholarships to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes had been sanctioned without merit tests as funds available during 1962-63 were sufficient to consider all eligible applications.

(2) For other Backward Classes and Harijan converts on merit test.

Lemon Orchards

117—

982 (4734) Q.—Sri M. Pitchiah:— Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

(a) the extent of land on which lemon orchards were grown in the State during 1963; and

(b) the quantum of yields?

A:—(a) and (b) There was no land under lemon orchards. Acid lime was however grown in an area of 17,518 acres in 1963, and the yield was 87,590 tons.

Jute
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985 (4741) Q.—Sri M. Pitchiah:— Will the hon. Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state:

the quantity of jute produced in the State during 1962–63 and the extent of land (in acres) in which the said crop has been raised in the State?

A:—True jute is not grown in this State: and only Mesta (Bhimilipatnam Jute) is grown. The area under
Mesta in this State during 1962-63 was 1.34 lakh acres with a production of 2.61 lakh bales of 400 lbs. each.

Accidents in the Mica Mines in Nellore District

609 (4:96) Q.—Sri S. Vemayya:— Will the Hon. Minister for Labour and Transport be pleased to State:

(a) the No. of fatal accidents that have taken place in all the Mica Mines in Nellore District during 1962-63 and 1963-64;

(b) the amount of compensation paid to the workers so far, if any; and

(c) the No. of cases in which compensation is yet to be paid?

A:—(a) 13 during 1962-63 and 5 during 1963-64.
(b) Rs. 9,420 during 1962-63 and 2,690 during 1963-64.
(c) 3 cases of 1962-63 and 2 cases of 1963-64.

Auto-Rickshaw in Guntakal

634 (4548) Q.—Sri P. V. Chowdary:— Will the hon. Minister for Labour and Transport be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact an Auto-Rickshaw, bearing No. A. P. A. 2010 is being plyed in Guntakal as Taxi;

(b) if so, whether there are any rules regarding the playing of a three wheeled Auto-Rickshaw provided with seats as taxi in a small municipality; and

(c) if not, the reason why the playing of the same has not been stopped?
A:—(a) A contract carriage permit valid from 25—1—1964 to 24—1—1967 was issued in respect of three wheeled Auto-Rickshaw A.P.A. 2010 of Sri A. Seskahavali of Timmancherla of Guntakal to ply on all routes in Anantapur District. At present the vehicle is reported to be not plying as it was burnt away.

(b) the permit was issued in accordance with the provisions of the Motor Vehicles Act and the rules framed thereunder.

(c) Does not arise.

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Point of information Sir, reorganisations notification, table?

Delimitation notification, table?

Table?

Sri M. N. Lakshminarasaiah:— I cannot say off-hand.

Mr. Speaker:— If they are placed on the table of the House it is well and good. If they have not been placed, at least see that they are placed now because the Bill is going to be considered.
Announcement re: Decisions of the Business Advisory Committee held on 13-7-64.

Sri T. Nagi Reddi:— Even before the Bill is taken up, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:— That is what I say.

ANNOUNCEMENT

re: Decisions of the Business Advisory Committee held on 13-7-1964.

Mr. Speaker: I am to announce to the House the following decisions of the Business Advisory Committee held on 13-7-1964.

14-7-64 1. The Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964. Continuation of discussion and passing.


14-7-64 No meeting in the Evening.


15-7-64

2. Debate on Food situation.

16-7-64
1. Winding up of the debate on the food situation and reply by the Minister for Agriculture.

2. The Andhra Pradesh Irrigation (Construction and Maintenance of Water Courses) Bill, 1964 as reported by the Select Committee.

Food situation:—
**Point of Order re: the placing of copies of High Court judgment and the G. Os. on the delimitation of Panchayat Samithies.**

Sri M. N. Lakshminarasayya:— Sir, you have asked me to place the G. Os. on the Table before the Bill is taken up. How is it possible? It is published in the Gazette and every hon. Member receives it.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:— May I submit one point, Sir? The reference to the G. Os. is made in the amendments proposed only two days or three days ago. When the Government make a reference to the High Court judgment and the G. Os. it is only proper that they should be placed on the Table of the House. Neither the High Court judgment is here nor the G. Os. we have, and in their absence how can we advance our arguments. It is not easy. The Government have made a reference to it. Otherwise we would not have talked about it.

Sri M. N. Lakshminarasayya:— These things are all published in the gazette. Hon. Members must come prepared with those papers.

Sri P. Sundarayya:— Sir, the hon. Minister is saying that the bills are published in the gazette and so they need not be placed on the Table of the House; it is a queer argument; because it is published in the gazette, we should get gazette copies, etc., before discussing here. That is yet point he is raising. If that is so, why should Bills, etc.
Mr. Speaker:— There are two copies (of G. Os.) available, and before the Bill is taken up I will see that as many copies as are available are circulated or kept on the Table of the House.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:— Why did not the Government do it? Simply because they have sent two copies, ..

Mr. Speaker:— They have not done it, and it is certainly a lapse on the part of the Government. They are trying to do it and I am taking steps to see that they are placed on the Table of the House. Now some copies are placed on the Table and a few more copies, as many as are available, will be placed on the Table of the House. Let us go ahead with the business.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:— Thank you, Sir. What about the High Court judgment.

Mr. Speaker:—I don't think in any Assembly copies of High Court judgment would be supplied to the Members. If copies are available, they will be placed on the Table of the House. If all Members want copies of High Court judgment, I do not think that is the practice anywhere.
Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:— You kindly understand our position, Sir. An amending bill is sought to be discussed in the House based upon the High Court judgment. Can you assure us that advance notice be given?

Mr. Speaker:— If there is a copy I will ask the Government to place it on the Table of the House.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:— If...

Mr. Speaker:— It is not the practice. Only Government publications are supplied to the members and not copies of judgment.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:— What I am saying is this. If the Bill itself is based on the High Court judgment......

Mr. Speaker:— I have asked them to place it on the Table.

Sri P. Sundarayya (Gannavaram):— Government must bring that judgment if they have got a copy. If they do not have a copy of it at all and if they are proceeding with the amendment, it is a different matter. But all this is mentioned in the Statement of Objects and Reasons of the Bill that because of such and such a High Court judgment, of which they have got a copy and we do not have, they are bringing this bill. Otherwise, what is the authority? Have we to take what is published in the Press. If they have not referred to the High Court judgment, it is a different matter. But once they have referred to it in the Statement of Objects and Reasons of the Bill that because
of the High Court judgment they are bringing this Bill, we want to know what that High Court judgment is. Without an official copy, how could they come to a decision? It does not take much time for them to give to us.

Mr. Speaker:—A copy of the judgment......

Sri M. N. Lakshminarasayya:—We have not received a copy of the judgment from the High Court.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:—May I know if they have a copy of the High Court judgment, and if they have not studied the High Court judgment itself on what basis are they bringing this amendment?

Sri M. N. Lakshminarasayya:—The operative portion of the High Court judgment is communicated by our Government pleader and on that we are proceeding. The full judgment is not with us.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:—At least a few copies can be placed before the House. Since the Government are trying to bring this amending bill based on the High Court judgment, let them place some facts before us as to why they are bringing this bill.

"If, in answer to a question or during a debate, a Minister discloses the advice or opinion given to him by any officer of the Government or by any other person or authority, he shall ordinarily lay the relevant document or parts of document containing that opinion or advice, or a summary thereof on the Table."
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Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— A copy of the judgment has not been given. As my colleague has already replied, the operative portion of it has been communicated to us by the Government Pleader appearing on our behalf.

Sri Tenneti Viswanathu m:— We will be satisfied with the operative portion of the judgment for the present.

Mr. Speaker:— That is a very good adjustment or agreement that you have come to. It is very good suggestion (To Sri T. Viswanatham). (To Treasury Benches) Let us place it on the Table of the House.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— I have got the operative paragraph.

Sri P. Sundarayya:— Even if it is only operative paragraph of the High Court judgment, it must be communicated to the Assembly and placed on the Table of the House.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— I have got with me...

Sri P. Sundarayya:— I cannot see from here. It is not laid on the Table.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:— It is your business to go to the table and see.

Sri P. Sundarayya:— My point is this. Let it come to us.
it looks as though it is going to be a very bad precedent for the Assembly and its procedure. They will have to be considered both by the Speaker and the House before we look into this.
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There is a certain judgement. Its operative portion is: ‘As soon as it is given it will be forwarded’.
Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Viswanatham wants to know the reasons for coming to this decision. He wants to know
the reasons given by the Government Pleader or the Advocate General or by the Legal Department.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—What I can gather from what the Government Pleader has said is this. According to the Act framed originally, those Panchayat Samithis etc. are said to be corporate bodies with a perpetual seal and all that. And it was argued—and I am saying it from memory, Sir, that there is power for Government under Section 3 by implication to redelimit these blocks. But the High Court held that when a corporate body’s jurisdiction is going to be changed there should be an expressional provision in the Act in so many words. That is, according to them, the Legislature should be conferred that power by an express provision saying the Government have power to delimit or redistribute and all that because they felt that inasmuch as they are corporate bodies with perpetual seal and things like that and therefore as there is no express provision it is *ultra vires*. That is the gist of what was reported to me.

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:—I understand that in the High Court there is a practice: as soon as a writ order is passed, a carbon copy will be supplied to the pleaders concerned. Can the Chief Minister find out whether such a copy is available?

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—No, Sir. We will be very glad if it is with us to put it there.

Mr. Speaker:—There won't be somebody having a copy of judgment with them...

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:—Neither do they have it nor will they...
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Mr. Speaker:— One thing, Mr. Gopalakrishnayya. Except that on some ground you want to postpone or get this business adjourned; you see, there is no point in saying that any of the members are not aware of the contents of the judgment. They have appeared in all the papers.

Sri Vanilala Gopalakrishnayya:— Government is not aware of the argument...

Mr. Speaker:— Judgment has appeared in all the English and vernacular papers. Almost all the members must have gone through the judgment. There is no point in saying that because certified copies of the judgment are not available to us, we don’t know the consensus of the judgment. (Interruption by Mr. T. Nagi Reddy). They have appeared in all the papers; printed and published in all the papers.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:— That is true; judgment has been published, I understand. But the reasons which High Court had adduced before they passed the judgment have not appeared in any of the papers.

Mr. Speaker:— The entire judgment has appeared in most papers.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:— No, Sir. So far as I can see, neither in the Indian Express nor in the Andhra Prabha has the entire judgment appeared except a few extracts in
which they have said this is the order that has been passed by the High Court. The reasons on the basis of which the order has been passed by the High Court have not appeared in any of the papers. At least I have not seen.

Mr. Speaker:—The reason by the High Court for coming to a decision had been published in all the papers.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:—If it is so, Sir, then the Government can at least know as to what the reasons are. From what the Chief Minister has said, he is simply talking through memory and what he had been told; nothing very official about it.

Mr. Speaker:—Mr. Nagi Reddy, so far as this Bill is concerned, if it has been before the House for about a week or ten days. First, the leave of the House was obtained for publication of this Bill; second, it was moved for reference to the Regional Committee; then in the Regional Committee a number of members like Mr. Prasad Rao and others who were members of the Regional Committee spoke and if they had brought this either to my notice or to the Chairman of the Committee they would have taken steps to see that the copies of the judgment are made available, the copies of the Gazette notifications are made available to the members, etc. Now, when the Bill is about to be taken up, you want all these things to be furnished to the members and even the members of the Business Advisory Committee when they discussed this question yesterday should have at least brought to my notice all these things are not available so that I could have taken urgent steps to see that all these G. Os. and High Court judgments are made available.
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Sri T. Nagi Reddy:—That is true, Sir, but unfortunately, the whole procedure adopted so far as this Bill is concerned by the Government, which ought to have known its business better, has been illegal through and through. It has come to my notice that even when the G. Os. were issued, the Legal Department had evidently told them that this G. O. is illegal. Even then they went on. Even after that when they went to the Regional Committee, they ought to have known that the High Court judgment—

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—I am really surprised—

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:—Everything done is illegal from top to ottom.

Sri K. Brahmananda Reddy:—One word I want to say. I have been seeing, Sir, this intermittent getting up and trying to pass some indirect remarks on the Government by Mr. Nagi Reddy. It is not very healthy. It is not as if I cannot get up every now and then. I can but consistent with the dignity of the House and dignity of myself I do not want to interfere.

(Sri T. Nagi Reddy rose—)

Sri P. Sundarayya:—I strongly protest against the Chief Minister’s remarks as if he is only person who observes dignity and especially Nagi Reddy does not. I strongly protest against the personal insinuations indulged by the Chief Minister.

Mr. Speaker:—Let there be an end to this thing.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:—On a point of explanation. The whole point is, when a Bill like this comes, Government ought to have taken into its hands to supply every paper to the House as early as possible so that these interrup—
tions need not take place and it is the duty of the Chief Minister to have done it. Every time when a member had got up and asked for a G. O. or High Court judgment copy, as and when such a thing happened, is it the way the Chief Minister and his colleagues are maintaining the dignity of the House so far as this House is concerned.

_Sri K. Br.rmananda F.ddy:_ — We have the greatest regard to the dignity of this House.

_Mr. Speaker:_ — I expect everybody to keep up the dignity of the House and act in such a way as to enhance the prestige of the House. Let there be an end to this controversy. Now, whatever information the Government can furnish, I will ask the Government to furnish to the members. Whatever papers have got, please keep them on the Table of the House for the information of the members and then we will go ahead with the other business. Now, there are call attention notices.

**Business of the House**

_Sri P. Sundarayya:_ — We are on the point of the food debate. I read in the papers that the Ministers are going out.

_food debate:_ — Food debate under way. We are on the point of the food debate. I read in the papers that the Ministers are going out.
If anything more has to be done and if you want the Government to take steps in a particular manner, then we can suggest to the Government what measures should be taken by the Government to bring down the prices.

Mr. Speaker:— Same officers?

Sri P. Sundarayya:— I do not know; some Ministers.

Sri K. Brahmanda Reddy:— At the time when the Union Minister came here, we had suggested that allowing free movement in the Southern zone would deplete our
stocks and there is a possibility of the prices here rising and therefore we said unless the prices here as well as in the other Southern States are also fixed there is a possibility of the entire stock being drained out. Therefore, we requested him to see that the prices at the consumers’ level are fixed. Then, the Union Minister said “We are asking the Commissioners of Civil Supplies of the four States to meet at Madras” Our information is that the three other State Commissioners were not agreeable for fixation of some price. Then, I wrote a letter to the Union Minister saying this is going to take a different turn. Therefore, he has convened a meeting now of the Agriculture Ministers as well as other officers also today as well as tomorrow morning. All these matters will be discussed there between the Agriculture Ministers, the Civil Supplies Commissioners and the Union Minister.

There is no need for the Chief Minister to go there. It is not necessary also because even at the Chief Ministers’ Conference our Agriculture Minister took part; he knows the entire discussions and he knows the entire trend. Even recently, when the Union Minister came, he was also talking to him. Therefore, there is nothing to be lost if the Chief Minister does not go to Madras:
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Calling Attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance: re: exhibition of the Portrait of Mao-Tse-Tung at Tennali Conference of the Leftist group of Communist Party of India

Mr. Speaker:— Let us see on the 16th morning after hearing the statement of the Minister for Agriculture whether we should do anything more.

Now, there are 9 call attention motions. The first is by Sri L. Lakshmana Das, Sri B. Srirama Murthy and certain others regarding the exhibition of the portrait of Mao-tse-Tung.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBIC IMPORTANCE.

re: exhibition of the Portrait of Mao-Tse-Tung at Tennali Conference of the Leftist group of Communist Party of India.
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Calling Attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance: re: exhibition of the Portrait of Mao-Tse-Tung at Tenali Conference of the Leftist group of Communist Party of India

Sri T. Nage Reddy:— On a point of order I am rising, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:— Let him finish so that you can raise your point of order.

Sri B. Sreeramanurthy:— I have no objection. He can raise the point of order provided I have got a right of reply.
Calling Attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance: re: exhibition of Portrait of Mao-Tse-Tung at Tenali Conference of the Leftist group of Communist Party of India

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:—Here, the question is about the portrait of Mao-tse-Tsung. It is not a question of the resolution that we have passed. If it is a question of the resolutions also, I have no objection— he can go on speaking and I am not going to interrupt. If you give a ruling that he can speak about the resolutions that they have passed also, I would abide by it.

Mr. Speaker:—Please do not refer to the text of the resolution passed by the Convention there.

Sri S. [Inaudible]:—They are to maintain strict secrecy at the close session. 7-7-64 press photographers will not permit in close session.
Calling Attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance: re: Collection of Sales Tax from Agriculturists in Khammam District.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—According to Explanation II to Section 2(1) (e) of the Andhra Pradesh General Sales Tax Act, 1957 a grower of agricultural produce who sells the produce grown by himself or grown on any land in which he has an interest whether as owner, usufructuary mortgage tenant or otherwise, in a form different from the one in which it was produced after subjecting it to any physical, chemical or any process other than mere cleaning, grading or sorting, is to be deemed to be a dealer for the purpose of the Act.
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Urgent Public Importance: re:
Collection of Sales Tax from Agriculturists in Khammam District.

Where an agriculturist gets his produce sold by an agent, the agent is liable to be assessed to tax on the sales effected on behalf of the agriculturist, if the agriculturist would be liable to tax, had he made the sales himself. If the agriculturist would not be liable to tax, if he had made the sales himself, the agent too would not be liable to tax on the sales effected on his behalf.

According to the provisions of the amended Section 11 of the A.P.G.S.T. Act 57, the Commission agent is liable for assessment and payment of tax. An agriculturist is not liable to tax when he sells agricultural produce. There is no liability even on the agriculturist when the produce is sold through a Commission agent. There has been no collection of sales tax from any agriculturist by the C.T. Officers in Khammam Circle. But a commission agent who sells agricultural produce shall have to prove to the satisfaction of the assessing authority that his principal is an agriculturist and that he (commission agent) transacted business as a genuine agent. The assessing authority cannot allow exemption to the commission agent unless he is so satisfied. For this purpose, it is incumbent on him to insist on production of satisfactory proof. The manner in which such proof has to be produced was one of the subjects discussed by the Commissioner, (C.T.) at the Regional Conference of the Merchants Association of the Telangana area on 8–5–64. It was agreed at the conference that the Commission agents claiming exemption should produce proof in the form of a certificate (Druvapatram) and sample form has also been suggested. Further, the Commissioner (C.T.) has directed that adequate time should be
Calling Attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance: re:
Fire Accidents in Vaddipalem in Nellore District.

allowed to the selling agents for production of proof (Druvapatram). Accordingly instructions were issued by the Dy. Commissioner, Hyderabad (North) to the C.T.O., Khammam to allow adequate time. Recently the Dy.-Commissioner, Hyderabad (North) met the members of he Khammam Merchants' Association on 28–6–64, at Khammam. They expressed satisfaction about the decisions taken at Regional Conference by the Commissioner (C.T.). So far, no assessments have been made against the commission agents in Khammam Circle. The Commission agents have been given adequate time for production of proof. In these circumstances, there is no infringement of the provisions of the Sales Tax Act.

re: Fire accidents in Vaddipalem in Nellore District

Calling Attention to matters of Urgent Public Importance: re:
Fire Accidents in Vaddipalem in Nellore District.

allowed to the selling agents for production of proof (Druvapatram). Accordingly instructions were issued by the Dy. Commissioner, Hyderabad (North) to the C.T.O., Khammam to allow adequate time. Recently the Dy.-Commissioner, Hyderabad (North) met the members of he Khammam Merchants' Association on 28–6–64, at Khammam. They expressed satisfaction about the decisions taken at Regional Conference by the Commissioner (C.T.). So far, no assessments have been made against the commission agents in Khammam Circle. The Commission agents have been given adequate time for production of proof. In these circumstances, there is no infringement of the provisions of the Sales Tax Act.
Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—Sir, the Collector of Nellore has reported that a fire accident occurred on 1-7-1964 at Vaddepale village, resulting in loss of 115 houses, 22 heads of cattle and 6 goats. The total loss on account of the fire accident is estimated at Rs 1,75,658. There is, however, no loss of human life. The Collector suspects that the calamity is not accidental, but a case of arson. He says that the police authorities have been requested to investigate and report, and that on receipt of report from the Superintendent of Police, Nellore he will submit his further report. The Collector has already sanctioned relief at the rate of Rs. 50 per family to 109 eligible families. In addition to this, cash relief, an amount of Rs. 1000 has also been spent on free supply of food and clothing to the victims. Ordinarily, cash relief is to be granted at the rate of Rs. 30 per family. But as this is a major calamity which affected large part of the village badly, the Collector used his discretion and granted relief at the liberal scale of Rs. 50.

_Threatened discontinuance of the supply of power to Khammam Municipality._
The Minister for Public Works (Sri A. C. Subba Reddy) :—On 17th January 1964, a notice of disconnection was served on Khammamet Municipality by the Superintending Engineer (Operation), Hyderabad, calling upon the Municipality to clear off the arrears before 31—1—1964. No amount was specified in the notice. According to the books of the Board, the amount due from the Municipality as on the date of issue of notice was Rs. 89,795.43 nP. This amount comprises the amounts due from the following service connections:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Connection</th>
<th>Amount (nP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Khammamet Municipal Water Works</td>
<td>61,263.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. High Level Reservoir (Water Works)</td>
<td>18,304.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Streetlights</td>
<td>10,227.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>89,795.43</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Municipality paid in June 1964 a lumpsum amount of Rs. 50,000 as against the above arrears.

The present position of arrears as at the end of June 1964 is reported to be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Khammamet Municipal Water Works</td>
<td>18,886.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. High Level Reservoir (Water Works)</td>
<td>11,154.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Street Lights</td>
<td>9,521.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>39,563.23</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The supply is being continued and no further notice of disconnection has been issued.

The statement of the Hon. Member that a payment of Rs. 50,000 was made by the Municipality towards the cost of street lights extensions is not correct. The Municipality actually paid the following amounts on two occasions towards the cost of street lights extensions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Rs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. In the year 1952</td>
<td>26,408.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(equivalent to O. S. Rs. 30,810)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. In the year 1959</td>
<td>7,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>33,708.57</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above amount collected towards cost of street light extensions are not adjustable towards C. C. charges.
as per the procedure in existence in Telangana area before 1-3-1963. A representation has been received from the Municipality for the adjustment of an amount of Rs. 20,000 out of Rs. 26,408.57 nP. paid by them during 1952 towards consumption charges and the issue is under examination of the State Electricity Board.

Re: — Lathi charge by Police in Parkal.

The Minister for Law (Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao):—
Sri P. Dharma Reddy, Chairman, Zilla Parishad of Warangal District, presented a petition on 15-6-1964 to the Superintendent of Police complaining that his rival group headed by Sri K. Indrasena Reddy was contemplating to do harm to him and his supporters while going from Hanumakonda to Parkal for participating in the Parkal Panchayat Samithi elections on 26-6-1964. As verification corroborated the apprehension, the Police sent an escort party consisting of half-section of Armed Reserve under the charge of Town Inspector of Warangal to escort the jeep and a private bus in which Sri Dharma Reddy and his followers were going. Adequate bandobust arrange-
ments were also made at Parkal. When the followers of Dharma Reddy were getting down from the bus and going into the Office of the Block Development Officer at about 9–50 a.m. on 20–6–1964, the rival group headed by Sarvashri K. Indrasena Reddy, B. Rami Reddy, Ramabrahmam, D. Lakshmana Rao and K. Suguna Reddy and others, who were already waiting there attacked Dharma Reddy and Ramireddy of Hanumakonda and beat him. People from all directions rushed to the scene and there was pandemonium. Sri Suguna Reddy received a simple injury in that pandemonium. The S. I., Parkal, S. I., Mogullapalli, the Town Inspector of Warangal and the Circle Inspector, Parkal, rushed to the spot and pushed back the crowd and allowed only Sarpanches to enter the office of the B. D. O. for Samithi elections. The Police did not resort to lathi charge. The allegation that the people were beaten indiscriminately by the Police is not true.

Sri Dharma Reddy was co-opted as Member of the Parkal Panchayat Samithi thereafter and he was declared elected as President of the Parkal Panchayat Samithi.

re: \textit{Spreading of Cholera in Khammam and surrounding villages}

\textit{14th July, 1964}
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance: re: Spreading of Cholera in Khammam and surrounding villages.

The Minister for Health (Sri Y. Sivarama Prasad):—Mr. Speaker, Sir, the outbreak of Cholera in Khammam Town has been reported to the District Health Officer on 22–6–1964. On 21–6–1964 the first case has occurred and probably has infected the river water supply which is the main source of water supply to the town. Upto 6–7–1964 the total attacks were 95 and deaths were 13. 13,989 inoculations have been carried out in and around Khammam. The District Health Officer has arranged inoculations in 25 villages which covers not only 5 miles area but also villages outside the 5 miles area. All the wells in the infected locality have been chlorinated. Arrangements for
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance: re: Spreading of Cholera in Khammam and surrounding villages.

isolation of patients have been done in the District Headquarters Hospital in the building available for establishment of Tuberculosis ward. A lakh doses of anti-cholera drugs have been kept with the District Health Officer always for immediate supply to the District Health Staff. Analysis of sample of motion sent from cases has been reported to be negatived by the Director, Institute of Preventive Medicine, Hyderabad after biological examination. Water samples have been taken from the river and also from the terminal taps in the locality and the bacteriological analysis is in progress. Arrangements have been made within the blocks of the District for the purchase of medicines and bleaching powder and other ancilliary drugs by the District Health Officer. There is no inadequacy of the staff in the District and the District Health Officer has mobilised the district staff in and around Khammam and taken preventive measures.

As regards villages around Khammam, three villages have been reported including Nelakondapalli, Guvvalagudem. Till 10-7-64 in the villages 15 cases and 2 deaths have been reported. The Assistant Director of Public Health from the Directorate of Public Health was deputed to supervise and guide the District Health Officer. The Regional Assistant Director of Public Health and the Assistant Director of Public Health, B. C. G. also visited the District during the outbreak. It has been reported that there is adequate stock of anti-cholera drugs and the District Health Officer has been organising control measures quite effectively. The Public Health Directorate is also watching the situation which is not at present so severe.
Regarding Nalgonda District there is no report of cholera in Devarakonda Taluk. There are sporadic incidents of cholera in Bhongir Taluk and Peddavura Samithi of Miryalguda Taluk. The Assistant Director of Public Health, National Small-Pox Eradication Programme has personally visited the cholera-affected areas and reported that action by the District Health Officer is up to the mark. The District Health Officer is camping in the affected areas supervising the control measures, assisted by the Health Officer in charge of primary health centres and the reserve epidemic staff. Since there were sporadic cases in camp areas of Nagarjunasagar Canals, the Asst. Director of Public Health, Nagarjunasagar has organised preventive measures and he himself is camping in the infected localities and supervising the control measures. Arrangements have also been made for shifting the cholera patients to the Isolation Hospital at Nagarjunasagar both from the camp sites as well as the nearby villages when cases are reported. Intensive action has been taken to control cholera and all the staff have been pooled and they are camping in the infected localities supervising the preventive measures themselves.

**Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance: re: Non-transfer of Cattle pounds and Porambokes to Gram Panchayats in some districts.**

Regarding Nalponda District there is no report of cholera in Devarakonda Taluk. There are sporadic incidents of cholera in Bhongir Taluk and Peddavura Samithi of Miryalguda Taluk. The Assistant Director of Public Health, National Small-Pox Eradication Programme has personally visited the cholera-affected areas and reported that action by the District Health Officer is up to the mark. The District Health Officer is camping in the affected areas supervising the control measures, assisted by the Health Officer in charge of primary health centres and the reserve epidemic staff. Since there were sporadic cases in camp areas of Nagarjunasagar Canals, the Asst. Director of Public Health, Nagarjunasagar has organised preventive measures and he himself is camping in the infected localities and supervising the control measures. Arrangements have also been made for shifting the cholera patients to the Isolation Hospital at Nagarjunasagar both from the camp sites as well as the nearby villages when cases are reported. Intensive action has been taken to control cholera and all the staff have been pooled and they are camping in the infected localities supervising the preventive measures themselves.

**re: Non-transfer of Cattle pounds and Porambokes to Gram Panchayats in some districts**
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance: re: Incident that occurred during the Consumer Co-operative Society elections in Vijayawada.
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raambokes రామబొకే ర్యాచుతున్నో బొగ్గులు తనాడుతుంది. రుద్రదేవుడు కమలం, రామరావు లేకుండా రామరావు కమలం.

తొడా స్వరూపం. స్వంతం, బావి స్వంతం: ఆసంధబు స్వానాంత్ర్యం అయితే కమలం కారుండి. కారు పేరు గురించి ప్రతిసిద్ధం కమలం స్వానాంత్ర్యం అయితే, తొడా లాభ గురించి ప్రతిసిద్ధం. Integrated Bill సిద్ధం కమలం ప్రతిసిద్ధం కమలం స్వానాంత్ర్యం అయితే కమలం ప్రతిసిద్ధం. బ్యూరో లాభం కమలం స్వానాంత్ర్యం అయితే కమలం ప్రతిసిద్ధం.

రామబొకే ర్యాచుతున్నో ఛాన్ని లోపించాడు, ఇంటే లాభం గురించి ప్రతిసిద్ధం బలం కమలం స్వానాంత్ర్యం అయితే, తొడా లాభం గురించి ప్రతిసిద్ధం.

రామబొకే ర్యాచుతున్నో ఛాన్ని లోపించాడు, ఇంటే లాభం గురించి ప్రతిసిద్ధం బలం కమలం స్వానాంత్ర్యం అయితే, తొడా లాభం గురించి ప్రతిసిద్ధం.

తొడా లాభం గురించి ప్రతిసిద్ధం బలం కమలం స్వానాంత్ర్యం అయితే, తొడా లాభం గురించి ప్రతిసిద్ధం.

హోస్సార్ స్వరూపం. బావి స్వంతం: — మాట, మాట మాట మాట మాట 2మీడియా తనాడుతుంది. రుద్రదేవుడు కమలం, రామరావు లేకుండా రామరావు కమలం.

re: Incident that occurred during the Consumer Co-operative Society elections in Vijayawada.

మాట. రామబొకే ర్యాచుతున్నో: — మాట, మాట మాట 2మీడియా తనాడుతుంది. రుద్రదేవుడు కమలం, రామరావు లేకుండా రామరావు కమలం.

2 sets of elections అధికారం. చిత్తి ఫ్యాక్టరీ 2 సెట్, సెట్ 50 సెట్లు సెట్ అధికారం అధికారం. సెట్ 250 తక్కువ సెట్ చిత్తి ఫ్యాక్టరీ 2700 తక్కువ కమర్సు చిత్తి. తొడా స్వరూపం కమలం ప్రతిసిద్ధం తనాడుతుంది. దానిసే 398 తక్కువ కమలం సెట్ చిత్తి 2700 తక్కువ కమర్సు చిత్తితో. దానిసే 398 తక్కువ కమలం ప్రతిసిద్ధం తనాడుతుంది. దానిసే ప్రతిసిద్ధం దానిసే ప్రతిసిద్ధం తనాడుతుంది. దానిసే ప్రతిసిద్ధం దానిసే ప్రతిసిద్ధం తనాడుతుంది.
Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance: re: Incident that occurred during the Consume: Co-operative Society elections in Vijayawada.
Calling Attention to Matters of Urge Public Importance: re:
Incident that occurred during the Consumer Co-operative Society elections in Vijayawada.

On 14th July, 1964

Sir,

In reply to the point of order raised by Advocate General opinion on the nominations 12 appointments to the appointment committee. Serious incident was reported to the Indian Express.
“That trouble began right in the morning when Mr. G. Subbaraju City Congress President and his followers occupied Bhavanarayana Hall. Mr. Raju’s followers met at the Bhavanarayana Hall and elected their own Directors.”

Minutes book...
The Minister for Co-operation (Sri T. Ramaswamy):—
Sir in reply to the call attention motion tabled by Sarvashrir A Sarveswara Rao and Shri V. Vishweswara Rao, I would like to clarify the position as follows:

The election to the Board of Directors for the Vijayawada Central Co-operative Stores was scheduled to be held on 5-7-1964 in the Vijayawada Town Congress Building. At about 2 p.m. on the said day election of the Office-bearers was started to have been taken place. Immediately thereafter some persons gathered at the entrance of the premises and turned unruly and got excited. Some unruly elements tried to create a situation by hurling stones and soda water bottles at each other. The police force at the spot intervened and pushed them back and dispersed them. The police did not make lathi-charge nor used tear gas to disperse the crowds. Due to prompt police intervention and adequate precautionary measures breach of peace was prevented.

In reply to the call-attention motion tabled by Sarvashri T. Nagi Reddy, Narasimharao and A. Venkateswara Rao, I would like to make the following statement:
The Government is not aware of the alleged unruly behaviour of Sri G. S. Raju or his followers. I have nothing further to add to what has been stated by me in reply to the call attention motion tabled by Sri A. Sarveswara Rao regarding the incident except add, as already stated by me, that due to the prompt police intervention and adequate precautionary measures taken in time breach of peace was prevented. As an election petition under Section 51 of the Co-operative Societies Act is pending before the Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Vijayawada and the matter being *sub judice*, it may not be proper for me to make any statement regarding the election to the Board of Directors of the Co-operative Central Stores, Vijayawada stated to have taken place on 5-7-1964. Apart from its being a matter in the Court, it is in the best interests of the contesting parties and of the public that the judicial proceedings before the Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies should be left unaffected by any statement to be made at this stage.

As regards the handing over of the books to one set of Directors stated to have been elected on 5-7-1964 I would like to clarify the position as follows: If the Minutes Book of the Society was in proper custody on the date of election and went from proper custody into the hands of the members who were stated to have been elected on 5-7-64 and if their names were properly entered in the said minutes book, it would be the duty of the Executive Officer of the Society to hold the Minutes Books, Records, Documents etc., of the society in his custody on behalf of the Board of Management whose names are found entered.
in the Minutes Book. He cannot by withholding the Minutes Book etc., from the Board of Management prevent that Board of Management from functioning in the manner in which it has the right to function till its election is properly set aside under Section 51 of the Co-operative Societies Act if it is to be set aside.

**re: Lack of Food Grains in Guntur District.**

Sri A. C. Subba Reddy:— 1,114 fairprice shops have been sanctioned to function in the various districts of the State. Of these 264 are co-operatives. Fairprice shops are being sanctioned as and when reports are received from the Collectors as to their necessity in any locality. Instructions have already been issued that one fair price shop should be opened in all industrial establishments employing more than 300 workers. 30 fairprice shops have been sanctioned for Guntur District and the Collector has made arrangements for opening the shops in the needy areas of the District. Arrangements have also been made for the supply of stocks required to feed these fairprice shops.

**BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE**

Sri V. Visweswara Rao:— Mr. Speak r, Sir, I would like to bring to your attention the assurance given yesterday by the hon. Minister for Co-operation. While replying to my question he said that he would place today on the table the opinion of the Advocate General with regard
to the Vijayawada incident. May I know whether the Minister would place it today?

Mr. Speaker:— Anyhow he has nothing more to add.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy (Deputizing for the Chief Minister):—I beg to lay on the Table in compliance with section 619–A(2) of the Companies Act, 1956, a copy of the Annual Report of the Nizam Sugar Factory, Ltd., Hyderabad, for 1962–63 together with the Audit Report and Comments of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India.

Amendments to the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission Regulations, 1963

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—I beg to lay on the table a copy of Notification issued with G. O. Ms. No. 672, General Administration (Services-A), dated the 9th June, 1964, making an amendment to
Ruling by the Chair on the point of Order about the validity of Government amendment to Clause 3 of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964.

the Andhra Pradesh Public Service Commission Regulations, 1963 in accordance with clause (5) of Article 320 of the constitution.

Mr. Speaker:— Papers laid on the Table.

Ruling by the Chair on the point of Order about the validity of Government amendment to Clause 3 of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964

Mr. Speaker:—When yesterday, Dr. M. N. Laksminarasiah, Minister for Panchayati Raj, moved for second reading of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964 as reported by the Regional Committee, Sri P. Rajagopal Naidu, Member of the Swatantra Party, raised a point of order that the Government amendment to Clause 3, of the Bill as moved and accepted by the Regional Committee, is not within the scope of the Bill as there is no reference in the statement of Objects and Reasons in the Bill as published and since it is contrary to Rule 130 of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules, has not been relevant to the subject matter of the Clause it relates, the proposed amendment should be disallowed. It was further contended by the other members namely Sarvasri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu, Vavilala Gopalakrishnäyya, K. L. Narasimha Rao, and others who supported the contention of Sri P. Rajagopal Naidu, that an amendment of this nature, should not have been moved and accepted in the Regional Committee as it is not competent to do so.

Sri A. Venkateswarlu also was of the view that since the amendment is not within the scope of the Bill as laid down in Rule 130 (i) of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules, it should not be taken into consideration by the House.
Sri Tenneti Viswanatham contended that though the Government may have powers to come forward with a Bill or with amendments to a Bill, for validating certain Acts held to be invalid by a court of Law, still it amounts to a virtual abuse of Legislative power by the Government by moving amendments of this nature setting at nought the effect of an order passed by the High Court.

Sri P. V. Narasimha Rao, Minister for Law while replying to several points raised in the course of discussion contended that the amendment objected to, by the members of the Opposition parties is not against the scope of the Bill as there is a reference to the same in the original Bill as published in sub-clause (2) of clause 2 and as the present amendment is one clarifying the same to avoid further legal complications and that it is in no way contravening Rule 130(1) of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules, as nothing alien to the scope of the Bill is contemplated by the present amendment.

Regarding the second point urged by Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu, Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya and others is whether the Regional Committee is competent to consider such amendments he urged that since the Regional Committee also is governed by the same rules as those of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly regarding the amendments and it is quite within the scope of the Regional Committee to consider amendments moved before it and accept or reject the same.

Dr. M. N Lakshminarasiah, Minister for Panchayat Raj stated that it is within the purview of the Regional Committee to consider amendments and that it is not correct to say that the Regional Committee cannot entertain any amendment.
Thus it is seen that the two points which arise for consideration are whether

(1) the present amendment as moved and accepted by the Regional Committee is within the scope of the Bill as laid down in Rule 130 (1) of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules and

(2) secondly whether the Regional Committee is competent to entertain the amendments.

These very two points were raised in the Regional Committee by several members and decided by the Chairman in the affirmative, namely that the amendment is within the scope of Rule 130 (i) of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules and that the Regional Committee is competent to entertain the amendments, as otherwise this amendment could not have found place in the said Bill as reported by the Regional Committee.

There are no reasons to feel that the Regional Committee or its Chairman have acted in any way beyond the scope of their jurisdiction as I am of opinion that the nature of the present amendment is not in any way against the scope of the Bill, for the purport of the same was included in the original Bill itself and secondly as the Regional Committee is perfectly competent under Rule 164–N of the Andhra Pradesh Legislative Assembly Rules, to deal with amendments in the same manner as the Assembly, when such amendments are within the scope of the Bill and relevent to the subject matter of the Clause to which it relates.

As regards Sri Tenneti Viswanatham’s point regarding the abuse of legislative power, I consider that it is more a matter for the House to consider whether to accept the
amendment or not, and not for me to express any opinion thereon.

For the above reasons, I do not see any force in the points of order raised by the several members and as such I rule them out.

Mr. Speaker:—As reported by the Regional Committee it is coming up here. They have not brought any other amendment.

14th July, 1964

I feel it is within the scope of the Bill. That is my ruling.

GOVERNMENT BILLS

The Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithies and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964
(as reported by the Regional Committee)

Sri V. Visweswara Rao:—Yes.

15
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The Hon'ble Governor:— 12-30, 1-00, 11-30, etc.

According to the Act, the Hon'ble Governor has been informed:

As reported by the Regional committee of the Hon'ble Governor, the Hon'ble Governor has been informed:

As reported by the Hon'ble Governor, the Hon'ble Governor has been informed:

As reported by the Hon'ble Governor, the Hon'ble Governor has been informed:

As reported by the Hon'ble Governor, the Hon'ble Governor has been informed:

As reported by the Hon'ble Governor, the Hon'ble Governor has been informed:

As reported by the Hon'ble Governor, the Hon'ble Governor has been informed:

As reported by the Hon'ble Governor, the Hon'ble Governor has been informed:

As reported by the Hon'ble Governor, the Hon'ble Governor has been informed:

As reported by the Hon'ble Governor, the Hon'ble Governor has been informed:

As reported by the Hon'ble Governor, the Hon'ble Governor has been informed:

14th July, 1964

Mr. DQP was permitted to address the House.

Mr. Deputy Speaker in the Chair.

Survey divisions...
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...
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May 15th. In G. O. the order pass effective to issue order is necessary, as order pass

Upon order pass from G. O. the order pass

floral stages of will be

three tyre system

voting group

three tyre system
Government Bill: The Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964:

Defend the government. The government has already introduced a three-tier system. A new state has already been constituted. The High Court has already ruled. The government has already introduced the ‘three-tiers system.’ The government has already ruled. The High Court has already ruled. The government has already ruled.

Defend the government. The government has already introduced a three-tier system. A new state has already been constituted. The High Court has already ruled. The government has already introduced the ‘three-tiers system.’ The government has already ruled. The High Court has already ruled. The government has already ruled.

14th July, 1964

Regional Committee on amendment 12 lines (details...
full stop, comma translate in more detail or...)
members translate in more detail or... 42 lines
translate in more detail or... lines (details...)
validate in more detail or... 80 lines (details...)

G. O pass (details...) Blocks reorganise (details...) reorganise (details...)
nisation of power, principles of reorganisation, equal appointment of officials, notification of information.
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7 full firka 5 full firka 25 reorganised Panchayats

6 full firka 1 full firka 1 full firka 20 full firka

1 full firka 3 full firka 2 full firka 2 full firka

1 full firka 2 full firka 1 full firka 2 full firka

1 full firka 2 full firka 2 full firka 2 full firka

1 full firka 2 full firka 2 full firka 2 full firka

2 full firka 2 full firka 2 full firka 2 full firka

1 full firka 1 full firka 1 full firka 1 full firka

2 full firka 2 full firka 2 full firka 2 full firka

25 Panchayats reorganised. 7 Panchayats have 7 full firka each. 20 Panchayats have 1 full firka each.

1 full firka is deleted.

High Power Committee

High Court, nullify re-election orders High Court, revalidate withstand opposition
That is one aspect of the judgment. The second aspect is whether the Government re-delimited all the blocks on the basis on which it was suggested by the Delimitation Committee. These are the two points which the Government never considered, but on the other hand they have done it on their own accord with an intention to see that the opposition political parties should not enter into the Samithis and that they should not come into power. That is the main criterion that has been taken into consideration by the Government while issuing the G. O., while re-delimitation proposals have been accepted. So when this matter was brought to the notice of the members during the last budget session, the members from the opposition demanded a discussion on the proposals that are going to be made by the Government and whether they are based on the recommendations in view of the Committee that has been formed for this purpose. I learn reliably that the Planning Minister wanted a G. O. in accordance with the views of the Government and he has instructed the then Deputy Secretary, Mr. B. K. Rao to draft a G. O. so that the Government may issue it in accordance with their wishes as they have already finalised the re-delimitation of the blocks. But it seems that he has raised an objection that the Government had no powers under Section 3 of the Panchayat Samithi Act. But however that matter was referred to some Secretary and the Secretary got it drafted then and there was a difference of opinion between the Deputy Secretary or the Secretary or so. This matter was never referred to the Legal Department. On the other hand without obtaining the opinion of the Legal Department they have issued this G. O. and we are seeing the
results of the matter. So in these circumstances, we are led to come to the conclusion that it is only out of political bias that they have issued the G. O. and that it was only out of political bias that they have bifurcated the blocks according to their own ends. For instance there are several instances that can be cited and Mr. Rajagopala Naidu has also referred to so many examples as to how the Government misused the powers.

"We suggest that the following principles should be borne in mind while delimiting the reorganised blocks —"
geographical contiguity should be maintained; physical barriers like hill ranges, streams without bridges should also be taken into consideration. Population of blocks in the Taluk should be more or less equal. With regard to the location of block head-quarters —

“Detailed proposals may be formulated by the Collectors on the lines indicated after taking into consideration the views expressed by the Zilla Parishads and submitted to Government for approval.”

We have demanded for the proposals that are going to take place
In the middle of the elections they have announced the re-delimitation proposals.

In the middle of the elections they have announced the re-delimitation proposals.
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Session 63, Karnataka. The members of the legislative assembly met in the legislative council chamber on 14th July, 1964. The proceedings of the legislative council chamber adopted as proposed and dropped.

The amendment to the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act, 1957, by the amendment bill of 1963 was adopted, as the amendments were passed. The suggestions made during the debate were considered and the amendments were enacted.

The amendments to the Act are as follows: 1. The provision relating to the appointment of the chairperson of the panchayat samithi was amended. 2. The provision relating to the appointment of the zilla panchayat chairperson was amended. 3. The provision relating to the appointment of the zilla parishad chairperson was amended. 4. The provisions relating to the powers and duties of the zilla parishad were amended. 5. The provisions relating to the powers and duties of the panchayat samithi were amended.

The Three tier system seems to have been advocated by many of the members of the Three tier system (viz. the municipality, the panchayat, and the zilla parishad). It is evident from the statement of the recommendations of the High power Committee that the recommendations are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The recommendations of the High power Committee are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The Three tier system seems to have been advocated by many of the members of the Three tier system (viz. the municipality, the panchayat, and the zilla parishad). It is evident from the statement of the recommendations of the High power Committee that the recommendations are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The recommendations of the High power Committee are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The Three tier system seems to have been advocated by many of the members of the Three tier system (viz. the municipality, the panchayat, and the zilla parishad). It is evident from the statement of the recommendations of the High power Committee that the recommendations are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The recommendations of the High power Committee are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The Three tier system seems to have been advocated by many of the members of the Three tier system (viz. the municipality, the panchayat, and the zilla parishad). It is evident from the statement of the recommendations of the High power Committee that the recommendations are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The recommendations of the High power Committee are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The Three tier system seems to have been advocated by many of the members of the Three tier system (viz. the municipality, the panchayat, and the zilla parishad). It is evident from the statement of the recommendations of the High power Committee that the recommendations are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The recommendations of the High power Committee are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The Three tier system seems to have been advocated by many of the members of the Three tier system (viz. the municipality, the panchayat, and the zilla parishad). It is evident from the statement of the recommendations of the High power Committee that the recommendations are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The recommendations of the High power Committee are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The Three tier system seems to have been advocated by many of the members of the Three tier system (viz. the municipality, the panchayat, and the zilla parishad). It is evident from the statement of the recommendations of the High power Committee that the recommendations are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The recommendations of the High power Committee are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The Three tier system seems to have been advocated by many of the members of the Three tier system (viz. the municipality, the panchayat, and the zilla parishad). It is evident from the statement of the recommendations of the High power Committee that the recommendations are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The recommendations of the High power Committee are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The Three tier system seems to have been advocated by many of the members of the Three tier system (viz. the municipality, the panchayat, and the zilla parishad). It is evident from the statement of the recommendations of the High power Committee that the recommendations are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The recommendations of the High power Committee are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The Three tier system seems to have been advocated by many of the members of the Three tier system (viz. the municipality, the panchayat, and the zilla parishad). It is evident from the statement of the recommendations of the High power Committee that the recommendations are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.

The recommendations of the High power Committee are based on a memorandum prepared by the Committee. The memorandum was submitted to the Government on 1st July, 1964.
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Mental balance 338a

Minority section 338a

Minority Raj Department

[Details of the bill and related information]
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...

A superficial study of the provisions of the Bill may lead one to the conclusion that the said provisions are intended to benefit the State Government and to the disadvantage of the Municipalities. The fact is that the Bill is not intended to benefit the State Government. The Bill is intended to benefit the Municipalities.

A superficial study of the provisions of the Bill may lead one to the conclusion that the said provisions are intended to benefit the State Government and to the disadvantage of the Municipalities. The fact is that the Bill is not intended to benefit the State Government. The Bill is intended to benefit the Municipalities.
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(ఉద్యోగీ శాసనాలు (అధిపత్తులు):--

అధిపత్తులు లక్ష్యం విస్తరించడానికి మాత్రమే ఉంది. అధిపత్తుల మాత్రం విస్తరించడానికి మాత్రమే ఉంది. అధిపత్తుల మాత్రం విస్తరించడానికి మాత్రమే ఉంది. అధిపత్తుల మాత్రం విస్తరించడానికి మాత్రమే ఉంది. అధిపత్తుల మాత్రం విస్తరించడానికి మాత్రమే ఉంది. అధిపత్తుల మాత్రం విస్తరించడానికి మాత్రమే ఉంది. అధిపత్తుల మాత్రం విస్తరించడానికి మాత్రమే ఉంది. అధిపత్తుల మాత్రం విస్తరించడానికి మాత్రమే ఉంది.

(తెలండ్ రాష్ట్ర పాంచాయత సమితులు):--

తెలండ్ రాష్ట్ర పాంచాయత సమితులు అధిపత్తుల మాత్రం విస్తరించడానికి మాత్రమే ఉంది. అధిపత్తుల మాత్రం విస్తరించడానికి మాత్రమే ఉంది. అధిపత్తుల మాత్రం విస్తరించడానికి మాత్రమే ఉంది. అధిపత్తుల మాత్రం విస్తరించడానికి మాత్రమే ఉంది. అధిపత్తుల మాత్రం విస్తరించడానికి మాత్రమే ఉంది. అధిపత్తుల మాత్రం విస్తరించడానికి మాత్రమే ఉంది. అధిపత్తుల మాత్రం విస్తరించడానికి మాత్రమే ఉంది.
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యాం కొండకు రాయలు మరణింది రావడం తెలిసి, అది కోసం రాకాలు మరణింది జాసింది. అది దేశపై రాకాలు మరణింది రావని, మరణింది రావడం జాసింది. రాకాలు
మరణింది రావడం జాసింది, మరణింది రావడం జాసింది. రాకాలు మరణింది రావడం జాసింది రావడం జాసింది, మరణింది రావడం జాసింది రావడం జాసింది. రాకాలు మరణింది రావడం జాసింది రావడం జాసింది రావడం జాసింది, మరణింది రావడం జాసింది రావడం జాసింది. రాకాలు మరణింది రావడం జాసింది రావడం జాసింది, మరణింది రావడం జాసింది రావడం జాసింది. 

ఉన్నతమైన సంస్థల సంఘం మైని; — తమిళు. తమిళు, మార్గం సంస్థల సంఘం మైని, మార్గం సంస్థల సంఘం మైని, మార్గం సంస్థల సంఘం మైని, మార్గం సంస్థల సంఘం మైని, మార్గం సంస్థల సంఘం మైని, మార్గం సంస్థల సంఘం మైని, మార్గం సంస్థల సంఘం మైని, మార్గం సంస్థల సంఘం మైని, 7-7-64. మార్గం సంస్థల సంఘం మైని, మార్గం సంస్థల సంఘం మైని, 7-7-64. 

అధికారులు

ప్రధాన విద్యారాధన అధికారి. 7-7-64.
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The Hon'ble Speaker,

Hon'ble Assembly of Andhra Pradesh,

Gentlemen,

This Bill seeks to make certain amendments to the existing provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads Act, 1954, in the interests of strengthening the democratic structure and ensuring better governance at the grassroots level. The amendments include provisions for the extension of the scope of functions, increased powers for the elected representatives, and enhanced accountability. The Bill aims to address the feedback and suggestions received from the various Panchayats and Zilla Parishads, reflecting their genuine needs and aspirations.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

[Name]

President

[Body]

[Date]
The power vested in the Government under section 3 is quashed not only to the extent delimitation of blocks affects abolition of samithis but also to the extent that such abolition affects any constitution of other samithis.

The contempt of court (towards the high court) is not only an offence but also amounts to punishment. Whether it amounts to contempt of court or takes the form of question? The basic democracy amounts to this.

14th July, 1964
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The development of local self-government has been the focus of the government. A report on land reorganization has been submitted. TheAndhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964, has been introduced by the government. The bill aims to improve the functioning of local self-government bodies. The draft has been prepared by a team of experts. The draft has been presented to the House for consideration.

It has been a false democracy.

14th July, 1964

High Court's writs and technical malafides

The High Court judgment of 321 in the matter of reactionary elections...

In the controversy as to whether 1964 or 1965 is the correct year of amending the Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964, the High Court considered the matter and decided that 1964 is the correct year.
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Clause 26: It is hereby declared that any or all the areas specified in the Schedule shall be delimitation of the administrative area of the Zilla Parishad and as such the Zilla Parishad shall have jurisdiction over the same.

Clause 27: It is hereby declared that any or all the areas specified in the Schedule shall be delimitation of the administrative area of the Zilla Parishad and as such the Zilla Parishad shall have jurisdiction over the same.
tation which is essential for the proper functioning of a democracy. The High Court and the Legal Department, among others, have been involved in the process of delimitation. High Court orders have ensured that the boundaries are clearly defined. Formal democracy content is democracy's soul. The dignity of democracy resides in the dignified and undignified amendments to the time of move. Time bound amendments are moved accordingly and are passed. Time bound amendments are passed within the stipulated time. hurry-burry-pass is the key to this process. The High Court pass strictures
Mr. Speaker, Sir, the Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads have been in existence for a number of years. From experience gained by people connected with the administration thereof as well as the Government and also several members of this House, it has been unanimously felt that large sums of money are being wasted and therefore an election was necessary. The Government have, therefore, examined this proposal and thought of reducing the samithies without in any manner impairing their efficiency and at the same time saving large sums of money which were being spent on administrative expenses and utilising the same for the purpose of development. This has been a principle accepted by the Government at the instance of all parties and several members of this House as well as outside. It is, therefore, to bring out this principle that they appointed a high-level committee. The High-level committee went into the question and decided on reducing the number of samithis by another process than what has ultimately been decided upon by the Government. Therefore, the question of reduction is a principle which has been accepted and which is in consonance with the wishes of all the members of the House. So, the other point for consideration is, after that matter has been decided, the distribution has to be made; naturally, any distribution by a body will not be identical with a distribution by another body, however high-level body that may be. Naturally, there
will be some difficulties and one cannot convince that a decision made by one body is just and will be acceptable to everyone. Similar is the case with regard to a judgment. The matter that has now gone before the High Court has come before a particular bench. The bench also found difficulty in coming to a decision. Both the sides have advanced arguments, weighty arguments, and the bench itself took considerable time to come to a decision. If the matter had come to another bench, probably the decision would have been different or even if the Government should dispute this judgment and take it to the Supreme Court, the result might be different, but that is not the way in which the Government looked at this judgment. It has given the greatest respect to the judgment and to the bench that delivered it. It has taken the judgment in right spirit and, therefore, what is duty of the Government now when it found that a notification that is used by it has been decided by the court to be not lawful? The judgment did not go into the merits of this case except with regard to the G. O. and its efficiency in law. There were allegations made in the writ petitions that there was also lack of bona fides on the part of the Government. No doubt, a litigant who goes before the court is entitled to make all allegations in the hope that one or the other might come to his help. I am not speaking anything about the bona fides of the distribution; that is not the matter on which anything is given in the judgment. Some learned members have referred that in the judgment strictures have been passed. To the best of my knowledge, the judgment did not deal with those aspects, of the mala fides or the bona fides of the distribution. The only matter about which the judgment refers to whether the Govern-
ment had power. While one side said they had ample power the other side stated that it is necessary to have legal power or sanction of the Legislature by law. The High Court found that law was necessary and therefore said that the G. O. that has been issued is *ultra vires*; on the basis of the G. O., the Government cannot arrogate to itself a power which it did not get legally from the House. With the greatest respect to the judgment and without going frivolously to the Supreme Court or something, I would say the Government has decided to bring in this legislation. In another case which has gone to the Supreme Court from the Cuttak High Court, there was this contention raised and the Supreme Court has observed in the judgment that no doubt the judgment must be respected, but it is also the duty of the Legislature to rectify the defects, if it is possible for them to do it and if they have got the legal legislative competency. So, the only matter for consideration is, in bringing this amendment, whether this House is competent to bring this amendment to rectify the defect. That is done *bona fide*. Subsequently, the other question has arisen that the Government when it brought the original bill, did not include in it the validity of elections; that has been surreptitiously brought in under their influence when the matter went to the Regional Committee. No doubt, there may be two opinions on the question whether it is proper to hustle through the matter and have the legislation without giving reasonable chance to the hon. Members, as provided under bye-laws. That is a different aspect but my submission at this stage is there is lot of *bda fides* on the part of the Government in bringing this amendment also. I am
stating at this stage that it is open to the Government even now, after this session is over, to prorogue this House and to request the Governor to issue an ordinance to validate the elections. That is exactly what has been done in the Cuttak municipality elections. Elections have been found to be invalid. A litigant who went to the court succeeded. Soon after an ordinance was issued. Subsequently, when the ordinance was being attacked, in order to bring legislation, a bill was also in the House. It was very unfortunate that leave of the House was not given to introduce the Bill which was analogous and on the same lines as the ordinance but all the same the ordinance also lapsed later. The matter went up to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court found that an ordinance is as good as a law made by the Legislature; it has got full powers and efficacy and therefore, what has been validated will endure even after the expiry of the ordinance. Therefore, now I would submit if the Government really wants to validate the elections, without taking the consensus of opinion of this House, it is open to them after the session is over to prorogue the House and issue an ordinance validating all these elections and never more come before this House for that purpose because an election which is validated will endure; it does not require continuous legislation. That is the law of the land for which all my friends have great respect and that is the law as enunciated by the Supreme Court. I would, therefore submit that when the Government is seeking the help of this House in the matter of regularising what they thought was bona fide or proper but what has been found by a competent authority to be illegal, there is no reflection on the Government, there is no
reflection on the High Court. It is only a question of a legislative body respecting the decisions of the court; it is not a question of flouting the judgment; it is not a question of invalidating the judgment. The Supreme Court has held, by validating all irregularities, it is open to us to invalidate the judgment of a court; that is our privilege. It is no reflection upon the High Court; it is showing the greatest respect to the High Court. Therefore, my submission is, in bringing this legislation, the Government has done its best and is bona fide.

Then, the next matter for consideration is, several members have stated that the opinion of officers has been flouted I can say from reliable sources and from statements made elsewhere that statement is not correct. As far as this is concerned, it is after all an opinion. Even the Law officers might err. The hon. Speaker is aware that some time ago when we were disputing about the rights of river waters, each Government had its own legal officers. The Advocate General has advised each Government that it has got a strong case which certainly cannot be true. The legal advisers of three States have given different opinions, conflicting with each other, and each Government tried to assert its right thereof but the legal officers of the Central Government gave a different opinion saying that our rights were not correct. Therefore, my submission is that even a judgment you cannot say is correct. Judgments go wrong; they are over-ruled, but a judgment is final and has to be obeyed, as long as it is there and is not set aside. It is in that spirit we have taken the judgment and Government has come before us seeking the help of this House for the purpose of administering the
law. Of course, hon. members have stated that the panchayat administration is not good; of course, it is a different matter. If they think that the panchayat administration and democracy is not established—as a matter of fact, democracy is not furthered by these institutions—it is certainly open to them to move for the repeal of the Act. There is no grievance about it. I agree with them that several irregularities and illegalities are committed. They are all besides the point.

I thank you for giving me this opportunity.

Point of order, Sir:

Sri R. Dasaratharama Reddy:—"'Litigant' is not an unparliamentary word; it is a legal term. A person that litigates is a litigant. I have not used it in the ordinary sense in which a common man understands. It is a legal expression. A person that litigates is a litigant. I have not expressed anything else. If it is understood differently I apologise.

(Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya rose—)

Mr. Deputy Speaker:—If it is understood differently, he has apologised. It is a legal term which he has used. He has given explanation for that and he has apologised if you take it otherwise but it is a legal term which he has used and there is no objection for it.
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the High Court

implications

High Court interfere

High Power

safety

1964.

1964.
In the result, the notification G. O. Ms. No. 532 dated 15-5-1964 of the Panchayat Raj Department of the Government of Andhra Pradesh, which is the only notification that is impugned, being *ultra vires* the powers vested in the Government under S. 3 (3) and 2 (b) is quashed not only to the extent the delimitation of the Block affects the abolition of the Samithi but also to the extent that such abolition affects the reconstitution of other Samithis.

Standing Committee elections are to be held after the constitution of the Mocks. Is there still a President? Must the amendment be introduced as a separate Bill or can it be incorporated into the Constitution of the Mocks? The Standing Committee elections are to be held according to the constitution of the blocks, and the High Court judgment respects the constitution of the blocks. Therefore, there is no need for an amendment. The President is to be elected from among the Mocks. The Standing Committee elections must be held after the constitution of the blocks.

The High Power Committee in its report had recommended that there should be an open file system for the scheduled tribes. The provisions under the Act should be made effective. The provisions for scheduled tribes should be implemented. The High Court in its judgment held that the provisions were not effective. The provisions should be made effective immediately.

10. July 14, 1964

14th July, 1964

The House proceeded to consider the Bill. The Hon. Shri K. N. Vijaya Lakshmi, Minister for Revenue, moved the Bill and explained the salient features of the principal provisions of the Bill.

The Bill has been introduced in the Assembly at the request of the Government. It seeks to amend the Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Partshads Act, 1952, with a view to making certain amendments in the existing scheme and to extend the benefits of the Act to certain villages.

The Bill provides for the establishment of a High Power Committee to consider and report on the establishment of new panchayats and zilla parshads in the State. The Committee will consist of members to be nominated by the Government of the State.

The Bill also provides for the appointment of a representative of the Government of the State to each panchayat and zilla parshad, who will be responsible for the implementation of the decisions taken by the Committee.

The Bill further provides for the establishment of non-recurring and recurring expenditure guidelines, which will be determined by the High Power Committee and will be subject to the approval of the Cabinet.

The Bill also provides for the establishment of a regional conferance to be held in each region of the State, at which the representative of the Government of the State will be present.

The Bill is expected to provide a better system of administration and to give more power to the local bodies.

Secretary, 

12 High Court judgment is a rejection of the people’s will and interpretation High Court case Supreme Court case equitable compensation justifiable 17th Amendment

Constitution

3(2) (d) Section 387 of Agricultural Relief Act 1937 had retrospective effect. 1939 was one of the years for which compensation was payable. On 2nd July, 1963, High Court set aside the decision of the Bench passed on 23rd March, 1964. The High Court decision was expressed in terms of an express provision and not by implication. The express provision of the Act was that the High Court Bench or the Supreme Court Bench should decide the question of retrospective effect. The High Court Bench by implication interpreted the provision of the Act to mean that the Supreme Court Bench should decide the question of retrospective effect. The implication was expressed in the judgment of the High Court Bench. The Amended Act provides for amendment of Section 387 of the Agricultural Relief Act 1937. The amendment is expressed in terms of express provision and not by implication. The judgment of the High Court Bench was set aside by the Supreme Court. The Deputy Secretary of the Government of Andhra Pradesh advised the Minister that the Natural Jjisagandla and four blocks of land should be transferred to the Jjisagandla Panchayat for the purpose of setting up a mat industry.

The new purpose of the transfer of the land was for the establishment of a mat industry."

...population 68 60-1/2 arbitrary... Specific B.D.O. to decide. At least Tribal President, Vice President and 3 others to be elected from the Tribal blocks. Any...arbitrary. The number of Tribal blocks 4...population 68. In the table below the...population divided by 331 arbitrary. The number of...Special...agricultural production...Animal Husbandry production...Village Level Workers...Primary Health Centres...Legislature...intention clear...intention clear...450...that is our policy...Sections draft...
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Mr. Speaker:—There is one amendment given notice of by Sri Pillalamarri Venkatcswarlu to recommit the Bill to the Regional Committee. Are you moving that amendment, Sri Pillamarri Venkateswarlu?
Mr. Speaker :—Amendment moved.

Mr. Speaker :—Your amendments are disallowed.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy :—Why, Sir ?

Mr. Speaker:—I will tell you the reason. Your amendment is to the effect that the Bill be circulated for eliciting public opinion thereon. Under Rule 128-E (2) "When the member-in-charge moves that the Bill as reported by the Regional Committee be taken into consideration, any member may move, as an amendment, that the Bill be recommitted to the Regional Committee." - not for again
sending to the Select Committee. At this stage there is no question of referring to the Select Committee or for public opinion.

_Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:_ — In page 14 of the book "Decisions from the Legislature from November 1956 to February, 1962", there is a ruling that "The Assembly is entitled to send a Bill to a Select Committee after it has been considered by the Regional Committee."

"The Assembly is entitled to send a Bill to a Select Committee after it has been considered by the Regional Committee."

_Mr. Speaker:_ — Why all this? I am allowing your amendments and putting them to vote. Let us not waste much more time. We have got only 20 more minutes and we have to finish this Bill. If it is a question of my giving a ruling, I have to study the whole thing and give my ruling and then it takes a lot of time. Now whatever you want to speak you can finish.

_Sri T. Nagi Reddy:_ — Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964 (as reported by the Regional Committee) be referred to a Select Committee for consideration and report to the Assembly."

"That the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964 (as reported by the Regional Committee) be circulated for eliciting public opinion thereon."
Mr. Speaker:—Amendments moved.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:—The question is when we are asking the Bill to be sent to the Select Committee, it looked as though the Chief Minister had told us that everything has been done only after discussion in the Assembly or outside the Assembly with the Opposition parties and with the Panchayat Samithis and so on and so forth. We were not at all against the reduction of Samithis from the number in which they were existing to a smaller number. We were not against that. The whole point is the way in which Samithis have been reduced to this number;—the way in which power has been used to reduce certain Samithis and keep them in smaller population or smaller area and increase the population and the areas of certain other Samithis in the process of constitution is now what is being discussed.

Mr. Speaker:—Perhaps sometimes, excitement is also necessary to create life in the House. Now I am putting the amendment of Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu and others to vote.
The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment Bill) 1964, (as reported by the Regional Committee) be recommitted to the Regional Committee".

The amendment was declared negatived.

A poll was demanded and the House divided thus:

(Ayes : 50; Noes : 92; Neutral : Nil)

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker :—I am putting the amendments of Sri Nagi Reddy to vote:

The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964 (as reported by the Regional Committee) be referred to a Select Committee for consideration and report to the Assembly".

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker :—The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964 (as reported by the Regional Committee) be circulated for eliciting public opinion thereon".

The amendment was declared negatived.

A poll was demanded and the House divided thus:

(Ayes : 50; Noes : 92; Neutrals : Nil.)

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker :—The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964 as reported by the Regional Committee be read a second time."
The motion was declared adopted.
The Opposition pressed for a division.
The House divided:
(Ayes: 91; Noes: 49; Neutrals: Nil.)
The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker:—There are nine amendments for clause, given notice of by Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu and others.

Sri N. Prasad Rao:—For Second reading itself we have given amendments, i.e., for “eliciting public opinion” and to “refer to a Select Committee”.

Mr. Speaker:—That amendment is signed by all of you.

Sri N. Prasad Rao:—That is only in to regard to Regional Committee. My amendment is to refer the Bill to the Select Committee and public opinion.

Mr. Speaker:—You want me to put that also to vote, It is all right; and the result is also known!

CLAUSE 2

Sri N. Prasad Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:

Delete clause 2.

Mr. Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:—Sir, I beg to move:

In clause 2 delete the words “shall be deemed always to have been substituted”.

Mr. Speaker:—Amendment moved.
**Government Bill: The Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964**
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*Sri M. Lakshminaraswamy:* — Sir, I beg to move:

In sub-section (2) of new Section 3 after the word “Government” insert the words “with the consultation of concerned Samithis and Zilla Parishads”.

*Mr. Speaker:* — Amendment moved.

*Sri P. Ilalamarr Venkateswarlu:* — Sir, I beg to move:
Delete sub-section (3) of New Section 3.

*Mr. Speaker:* — Amendment moved.

*Sri P. Rajagopala Naidu:* — Sir, I beg to move:
Add the following as new proviso after existing proviso in sub-section (3) of New Section 3:

“No such notification shall whatsoever take or have effect unless sufficient opportunity of not less than three weeks time is given to make objections thereto (if any)”.

*Mr. Speaker:* — Amendment moved.

*Sri T. Nagi Reddy:* — Sir, I beg to move:

Substitute the following for sub-section (1) (i) of New Section 3:

“(i) Declare any area in the Talu’c to be a block having geographical contiguity, the population and area of which is more or less equal in relation to other blocks after obtaining the opinion of the members of the Panchayat committees of that Block and having given due consideration and weight to their opinion and specify the name of the block”.

Add the following at the end of sub-section (2) of New Section 3:

“Provided that the Government, before it decides, to redelimitate any Block or part thereof, the opinion of
the Panchayathi Samithi shall be obtained and due weight shall be given to their opinion and if 2/3rd members oppose the decision of the Government, redelimitating shall not take place.

Provided further that their redelimitating shall not take place within a period of ten years”.

“Delete the proviso to sub-section (3) of New Section 3”.

Mr. Speaker:—Amendments moved.

Sri Vavilal Gopalakrishnayya:—Sir, I beg to move:

“Delete sub-clauses (3) and (5) of New Section 3.”

Mr. Speaker:—Amendment moved.

Mr. Speaker:—I will put all the amendments to vote.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:— There is one Government amendment also. Let the hon. Minister move it and explain its purpose, Sir; then our amendments will be explained by us if he does it first, because then we will be able to understand what exactly they mean by this particular amendment.

Sri M. Lakshminarasayya:—Sir, I beg to move:

‘To sub-section (2) of new section 3 to be substituted by clause 2, the following shall be added, namely:—

‘Where as a result of such redelimitation of Blocks or formation of new Blocks, the entire area comprised in an existing Block is added to one or more Blocks, the said existing Block shall stand abolished.

Mr. Speaker:—Amendment moved.
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[Paragraphs regarding blocks and their redelimitation]

“Substitute the following for sub-section (i) (i) of New Section 3.

‘Declare any area in the Taluk to be a Block having geographical contiguity, the population and area of which is more or less equal in relation to other Blocks after obtaining the opinion of the members of the Panchayat Committees of that Block and having given due consideration and weight to their opinion and specify the name of the block.’
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...
Mr. Speaker:— But we must keep up to the decisions of the Business Advisory Committee.

*Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu*: That is true; tomorrow we will take up that half-an-hour business.

*Mr. Speaker.*— There is no question of that; we have to finish before 1–30 p.m.; otherwise we will have to sit till 2 o’clock and finish the business. If you want to finish before 1–30 p.m. it is impossible at the rate at which we are doing the business.

*Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu*:— In the morning we have transacted certain business. What are we to do? We have started at 9 a.m. and at 12.30 p.m. we have finished the Business Advisory Committee’s business. In the afternoon we have to transact other business. What are we to do? In the morning we have done certain business. What are we to do? Kindly excuse us for not accepting your advice.

Tomorrow it does not matter; there is only one important Bill.
Mr. Speaker:— If you are all willing to sit till 2 o’clock we will sit up and finish the business.

Sri P. Ilalamarri Venkateswarlu:— Then let it go to 2 or 3 o’clock — whatever it is.

Mr. Speaker:— Let us fix some time — 2-30 or 3 o’clock.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:— That is true, Sir; unfortunately the position is sometimes difficult; when the Business Advisory Committee meets probably we would not know what type of amendments and other things are going to come for us for discussion and some serious amendments are brought therefore there are certain constitutional points also which were taken into consideration.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is whether you are willing to sit till 2 o’clock or not. If you are willing to sit till 2 o’clock I am prepared to give some time; otherwise I won’t be in a position to give enough time to members to express their views.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:— We have no objection to sit till 2 o’clock. That is not the point. The whole question is when business is allowed for so many days, why should they bring so many Bills at a time. There are about 10 bills!
Mr. Speaker:— I am sorry I cannot give much time to members. I am prepared to sit till 2 o’clock and within this time I will adjust the time and give opportunity for members to speak.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— Please pardon us. What about our food. We are depending upon hotels for our lunch. At 2 o’clock nobody would serve us food. Kindly arrange for our food here, Sir, or we have to forego our lunch.

Mr. Speaker:— For one day — better you do not take your food till 2 o’clock; you will feel more hungry afterwards.

Dr. T. V. S. Chalapathi Rao:— That sacrifice is not worth it, Sir.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:— Why not we meet in the evening by 4 o’clock?

Mr. Speaker:— They have got some Select Committee.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:— You will please excuse me. Generally when the Parliament is in session it has been the condition that, unless there are extraordinary circumstances no other Committee shall meet to disturb Parliamentary proceedings. I would like to understand why such hurried business is conducted only in the Assembly. A bill is brought here immediately sent to the Regional Committee. A bill is brought here, immediately sent to the Select Committee; and those bills will have to come within a matter of 2 or 3 days and this way of hustling the whole of the Assembly is not proper.
Mr. Speaker:— Better you restrain yourself, please.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:— The same point came up in Parliament a number of times and the ruling of the Speaker was that when Parliament is in session — unless there is an extraordinary Bill — I heard only of one i.e., the Public Safety Act or something like that which had to be passed in two or three days — nothing will be allowed to interrupt the proceedings of Parliament. As a Speaker please understand my difficulty. As a member, I want to know why it should be hustled like this.

Mr. Speaker:— Mr. Nagi Reddy, let there be a limit to what you say; there is no use of your getting up and going on speaking as you like. You must control yourself and if you are not prepared to be controlled, I am here to control you.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:— I am prepared to sit for any length of time. That is not the point; the point is why should they say that there is a Select Committee? Why should a Select Committee come and disturb our proceedings.

Mr. Speaker:— It is unfortunate that the decisions of the Business Advisory Committee are not being implemented and I expect the members to realise their responsibilities to keep up to the schedule as arranged by the Business Advisory Committee. It is really unfortunate. Either because members are repeating the same thing over and over again or because some point of order is raised which is taking some more time, for some reasons or other we
are not able to keep up to the schedule. Whatever it may be I have no objection to sit till 2 o’clock and finish the business. Beyond that if you are prepared to sit I can give some time to members who have given notice of amendments.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:— What is said here is like this: “.... the following section shall be substituted and shall be deemed always to have been substituted, ....”

Sri Tenneti Viswanatham:— “The following Section shall be substituted and deemed to have been always substituted.......

Dr. M. N. Lakshminarasiah:— That is replacement of Section 3 of the principal Act.

(Interruption—Several members rose in their seats.)

Mr. Speaker:— I am sorry I cannot give any time to any other Member. I am very sorry.

Now the hon. Minister for Panchayat Raj.
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Sri Pillamarri Venkateswaralu pressed for a division. The House divided thus:

**Ayes**: 49; **Noes**: 87; **Neutrals**: Nil.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is:

Substitute the following for sub-section (1) (i) of New Section 3.

"(i) Declare any area in the taluk to be a block having geographical contiguity, the population and area of which is more or less equal in relation to other blocks after obtaining the opinion of the members of the panchayat..."
committees of that block and having given due consideration and weight to their opinion and specify the name of the block."

The amendment was declared negatived.

Sri A. Venkateswara Rao pressed for a division.

The House divided thus:

Ayes 49; Noes 87, Neutrals Nil:

The amendment was negatived.

*Mr. Speaker* :— The question is:

Add the following at the end of sub-section (2) of New Section 3:

‘Provided that the Government, before it decides to redelimitate any block or a part thereof, the opinion of the Panchayath Samithi shall be obtained and due weight shall be given to their opinion and if 2/3rd members oppose the decision of the Government, redelimitating shall not take place.

Provided further that their redelimitating shall not take place within a period of ten years.”

The amendment was negatived.

*Mr. Speaker* :— The question is:

To sub-section (2) of new Section 3 to be substituted by clause, 2, the following shall be added, namely:

“Where as a result of such redelimitation of blocks or formation of new Blocks, the entire area comprised in an existing Block is added to one or more blocks, the said existing block shall stand abolished.”

The amendment was adopted.
Mr. Speaker:— The question is:
Delete sub-section (3) of New Section 3.
The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is:
Add the following as new proviso after existing proviso in sub-section (3) of new Section 3:

“No such notification shall whatsoever, take or have effect unless sufficient opportunity of not less than three weeks’ time is given to make objections thereto (if any).”
The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is:
Delete the proviso to sub-section (3) of New Section 3.
The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is:
Delete sub-clauses (3) and (5) of new Section 3.
The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is:
Delete Clause 2.
The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker:— The question is:
That Clause 2, as amended, do stand part of the Bill.
The motion was adopted.

Clause 2, as amended, was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 3.

Mr. Speaker:— For Clause 3, there are four amendments.

Sri P. Rajagopal Naidu:— Sir, I beg to move:
Delete Clause 3.
Sri Pillamarri Venkateswarulu:— Sir, I beg to move:

For Clause 3 substitute the following:

"Notwithstanding anything contained in G. O. Ms. No. 532 dated 15th May, 1964 and all subsequent notifications amending the said notification, issued and being proposed to be issued and notification of the Government in G. O. Ms. No. 598 dated 2nd June, 1964 and accordingly the notifications so issued, declaration of any area as a block, redelimitation of blocks etc., and the elections held and proceedings conducted, shall be deemed to have become invalid.

(b) And further the blocks that are in vogue prior to the notifications G. O. Ms. No. 532 dated 15th May, 1964 and G. O. Ms. No. 598 dated 2nd June, 1964 shall be deemed to be in force and election for the cooption of certain members and President and Vice-President of Panchayat Samithis shall be conducted before 20th of August, 1964.

For Clause 3 substitute the following:

"Notwithstanding anything contained in the principal Act and because of the judgment given by the High Court in conduct of elections to panchayat samithis and the constitution of blocks shall be void and the blocks that were in vogue prior to the redelimitation shall be restored, and the election of certain members shall be conducted in accordance with Section 66 of the Principal Act before 20th August, 1964, and the president and vice-president shall be elected by the voters that are on the electoral rolls"
of Assembly constituencies from the areas that constitute the particular Block.”

Sri N. Prasada Rao:— Sir, I beg to move:

Add the following proviso at the end of Clause 3:

“Provided nothing in Clause 3 shall effect any judgment pronounced by the High Court.

Mr. Speaker:— Amendments moved.
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Principles layout to be reorganise in Court.
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physical difficulty in elections and the provisions to validate election offices have been cognizance of the High Court order passed. The elections had been taken action against the election offenders. The election office cases had been validated under Order 2. And the criminal punishment visit retrospective effect. Now the Panchayat which I have mentioned is functioning as a Panchayat. There is no difficulty.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

For clause 3 substitute the following:

"Notwithstanding anything contained in G.O.Ms.No.532 dated 15th May; 1964 and all subsequent notifications amending the said notification, issued and being proposed to be issued and notification of the Government in G.O. Ms. No. 598 dated 2nd June, 1964 and accordingly the notifications so issued, declaration of any area as a block redelimitation of blocks etc., and the elections held and proceedings conducted, shall be deemed to have become valid.

(b) And further the blocks that are in vogue prior to the notifications G. O. Ms. No. 532 dated 15th May, 1964
and G. O. Ms. No. 598 dated 2nd June, 1964 shall be deemed to be in force and election for the cooption of certain Members and President and Vice-President of Panchayat Samithis shall be conducted before the 20th of August, 1964”.

The amendment was negatived.

(Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu pressed for a division).

The House divided thus:
(Ayes: 51; Noes: 89; Neutrals: Nil.

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:
Delete clause 3.

The amendment was negatived.

(Sri Rajagopala Naidu pressed for a division).

The House divided thus:
(Ayes: 51; Noes: 89; Neutrals: Nil.)

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:
For clause 3 substitute the following:

“Notwithstanding anything contained in the principal Act and because of the judgment given by the High Court on the conduct of elections to Panchayat Samithis and the constitution of blocks shall be void and the blocks that were in vogue prior to the redelimitation shall be restored and the election of certain members shall be conducted in accordance with Section 66 of the principal Act before 20th August, 1964, and the president and vice-president
shall be elected by the voters that are on the electoral rolls Assembly constituencies from the areas that constitute the particular block”.

The amendment was negatived.

(Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu pressed for a division).

The House divided thus:

(Ayes : 51; Noes : 90; Neutrals : Nil).

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker :—The question is:

Add the following proviso at the end of clause 3:

“Provided nothing in clause 3 shall affect any judgment pronounced by the High Court.”

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker :—The question is:

That clause 3 do stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted.

Clause 3 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 4.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu :—Sir, I beg to move:

In the proviso to clause (iii) delete the words “unless he is also a member of that committee”.

Mr. Speaker :—Amendment moved.

Sri N. Prasad Rao :—Sir, I beg to move:

Add the following proviso at the end of sub-clause (i) of clause 4:

“Provided further that a member of a legislative Assembly may resign from the panchayat samithi of the
block he has chosen and may choose another block which forms part of his Assembly Constituency”.

For the existing proviso in sub-section (1) (iv) of Section 4 of the principal Act substitute the following:

“Provided that such member of the Legislative Council shall be a member of only one registered voter in that Block and he shall have the right to speak in and otherwise take part in the proceedings of, a meeting of any standing committee of such Panchayat Samithi, but he shall not be entitled to vote a meeting of such standing committee unless he is also a member of that committee”

Mr. Speaker :— Amendment moved:

Sri A. Venkateswara Rao :—Sir, I beg to move:

Delete the provisos in sub-section (1) (i) of Section 4 of the Principal Act.

Delete sub-section (1) (iii) of Section 4 of the Principal Act.

In sub-section (2) (v) of Section 4 of Principal Act delete the words “but who are not already members of the Panchayat Samithi”.

Mr Speaker :—Amendments moved:

The High Court accept i.e., retrospective effect penal consequences.
Mr. Speaker:—The point is some aggrieved parties have filed election petitions. Now as a result of the judgment of the Tribunal, they will be dismissed. Now unless you make a provision in this Act, to enable the aggrieved party to file the petition before the Tribunal, there won't be scope. Is that the point you are raising?

Mr. Speaker:—Are you accepting the amendment?

Sri M. N. Lakshminarasaih:—No, Sir.
Then the question of M. L. As. and M. L. Cs. and M. Ps. contesting for and remaining as, Presidents of Samithis or Chairmen of Zilla Parishads was considered. The consensus of opinion in the Committee was that the members of the Legislature and Parliament should not simultaneously hold the office of a President of a Samithi or a Chairman of a Parishad; if a M. L. A. or a M. L. C or a M. P. is a member of the Samithi or the Parishad by virtue of membership in the Legislature or Parliament, he cannot contest for the presidency or vice-presidency of a Samithi, or chairmanship or vice-chairmanship of a parishad; if in some other capacity he is a member of a samithi (i.e. sarpanch of a panchayat) or a zilla parishad (president of a samithi) or a coopted member, he can contest for the office of a president and vice-president of a samithi or chairman and vice-chairman of a parishad, but he shall have to resign the membership in the legislature or Parliament after election as President/Vice-President of a Samithi or Chairman/Vice-Chairman of a Zilla Parishad; in other words by virtue of being a M. L. A. or M. L. C. or M. P. and having the right to vote in a Samithi or a Parishad, he will not be entitled to contest for the above offices.
Mr. Speaker: — You remember anything

Mr. Speaker: — Are you pressing your amendments 23 and 24, Mr. Prasada Rao.
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Sri N. Prasadarao:—Yes, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—Are you pressing your amendment No. 22. Mr. Venkatateswarlu.

Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu:—Yes, Sir.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

“In the proviso to clause (iii) delete the words unless he is also a member of that committee”.

The amendment was declared negatived.

(Sri Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu pressed for a division.)

The House divided thus:

(Ayes: 53; Noes: 92.)

The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

Add the following proviso at the end of sub-clause (1) of clause 4:

“Provided further that a member of a Legislative Assembly may resign from the Panchayat Samithi of the Blocks he has chosen and may choose another block which forms part of his Assembly Constituency.”

For the existing proviso in sub-section (1) (iv) of Section 4 of the principle Act substitute the following:

“Provided that such member of the Legislative Council shall be a member of only one registered voter in that block and he shall have the right to speak in, and otherwise to take part in proceedings of a meeting of any standing committee of such Panchayat Samithi but he shall not be entitled to vote at a meeting of such standing committee unless he is also a member of that committee.”
The amendments were declared negatived.
(Sri N. Prasad Rao pressed for a division).
The House divided thus:

(Ayes: 53; Noes: 92.)
The amendments were negatived.
Mr. Speaker:—Are you pressing your amendments Mr. Nagi Reddy.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:—I am pressing.
Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

Delete the provisos in sub-section (1)(i) of Section 4 of the Principal Act.
Delete sub-section (1)(iii) of Section 4 of the Principal Act.

In sub-section (2) (v) of the Principal Act delete the words “but who are not already members of the Panchayat Samithi”.

The amendments were negatived.
Mr. Speaker:—The question is:
That clause 4 do stand part of the Bill.
The motion was adopted.
Clause 4 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 5.

Mr. Speaker:—For clause 5 there are 4 amendments.
Sri T. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

In sub-clause (2) of new Section 8-A for the words “five” substitute the words “fourteen”.

Delete sub-section (2) (3) and (5) of New Section 8-A
Delete clause 5.

In sub-clause (2) of new Section 8–A for the word ‘five’ substitute the word “fourteen”.

_Sri Pillamarri Venkateswarlu._—Sir, I beg to move

In sub-clause (6) of New Section 8–A for the word “five” substitute the word “three”.

_Mr. Speaker._—Amendments moved.

(Pause)

_Mr. Speaker._—I put all the amendments to the vote of the House.

The question is:
In sub-clause (2) of new Section 8–A for the word ‘five’ substitute the word ‘fourteen’.

The amendment was negatived.

_Mr. Speaker._: The question is:
Delete sub-sections (2) (3) and (5) of New Section 8-A.

The amendments were negatived.

_Mr. Speaker._:—The question is:
In sub-clause (6) of new Section 8–A for the word “five” substitute the word “three”.

The amendment was negatived.

_Mr. Speaker._:—The question is:
Delete clause 5.

The amendment was negatived.
Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"That clause 5 do stand part of the Bill".

The motion was adopted.
Clause 5 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 6.

Mr. Speaker:—For clause 6 there are two amendments, 33 and 32.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—Sir, I beg to move:
Delete clause 6.

Sri N. Prasad Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:
In clause 6 delete the words "on the day of becoming an ex-officio member".

Mr. Speaker:—Amendments moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:
Delete clause 6.
In clause 6 delete the words "on the day of becoming an ex-officio member".
The amendments were negatived.
Mr. Speaker:—The question is:
"That clause 6 do stand part of the Bill".
The motion was adopted.
Clause 6 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 7.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I beg move:
Delete clause 7.
Sri P. llamarri Venkateswarlu :—Sir, I beg to move:
Delete sub section (1)(b) of Section 10 of the Principal Act.

Sri N. Prasad Rao :—Sir, I beg to move:
For sub-clause (2) of clause 7 substitute the following:
“Provided nothing in section 10 shall apply to the members of Panchayat Samithi who are the members of the Legislature”.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy :—Sir, I beg to move:
Add the following proviso to sub-section (1)(c) of Section 10 of the Principal Act.
“Provided further this Section does not apply to the members of Legislative Assembly or Council and Parliament”.

Mr. Speaker.—Amendments moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Speaker :— I am putting all the amendments straight away to the vote of the House.
The question is:
Delete clause 7.
Delete sub-section (1)(b) of section 10 of the principal Act.
For clause (2) of Clause 7 substitute the following:
“Provided nothing in Section 10 shall apply to the members of Panchayat Samithi who are the members of the Legislature”.

Add the following proviso to sub-section (1) (c) of Section 10 of the principal Act.

"Provided further this Section does not apply to the members of the Legislative Assembly or Council and Parliament."

The amendment were negatived.

Mr. Speaker :—The question is :

"That Clause 7 do stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted.

Clause 7 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 8.

Mr. Speaker :—For clause 8 there is one amendment of Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya. Are you moving.

Sri Vavitala Gopalakrishnayya :—Sir, I beg to move :

In sub-section (2) (c) of Section 32 of the Principal Act proposed to be inserted by Clause 8 for the words "withinsuch time as may be prescribed," substitute the words "three months".

Mr. Speaker :—Amendment moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Speaker :—The question is :

In sub-section (2) (c) of Section 32 of the Principal Act proposed to be inserted by clause 8 for the words "within such time as may be prescribed" substitute the words "three months".

The amendment was negatived.
Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"That clause 8 do stand part of the bill.
The motion was adopted.
Clause 8 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 9.

Mr. Speaker:—For clause 9 there are two amendments, 38 and 39.

Sri T. Nagi Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

Delete clause 9.

In sub-section (3) (viii) of Section 36 of the Principal Act delete the words "but are not already members."

Mr. Speaker:—Amendments moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

Delete clause 9.

In sub-section (3) (viii) of Section 36 of the principal Act; delete the words "but are not already members".

The amendments were negatived.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

"That clause 9 do stand part of the Bill.
The motion was adopted.
Clause 9 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 10.

Mr. Speaker:—For clause 10 there are two amendments.

Sri Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya:—Sir, I beg to move:

Delete clause 10.
Mr. Zaikimanawar:—Sir, I beg to move:
Delete sub-clause (1) of clause 10.
Mr. Speaker:—The question is:
Delete clause 10.
Delete sub-clause (1) of clause 10.
The amendments were negatived.
Mr. Speaker:—The question is:
“That clause 10 do stand part of the Bill.
The motion was adopted.
Clause 10 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 11.

Mr. Speaker:—For clause 11 there are no amendments.
The question is:
“That clause 11 do stand part of the Bill.
The motion was adopted.

CLAUSE 12.

Mr. Speaker:—For clause 12 there is one amendment.
Sri Vavilalu Gopalakrishnayya:—Sir, I beg to move:
Delete clause 12.
Mr. Speaker:—Amendment moved.
(Pause)
Mr. Speaker:—The question is:
Delete clause 12.
The amendment was negatived.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

That clause 12 do stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted.

Clause 12 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 1.

Mr. Speaker:—For clause 1 there are three amendments.

Sri N. Prasad Rao:—Sir, I beg to move:
Delete sub-clause (2) of clause 1.
For sub-clause (2) of clause 1 substitute the following
‘It shall come into force on 13th July, 1964’.

Sri Vavil. la Gopalakrishnayya:—Sir, I beg to move:
For sub-clause (2) of clause 1 substitute following:

“This Act comes into operation on the date of publication of the Act first in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette.”

Mr. Speaker:—Amendment moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:
Delete sub-clause (2) of clause 1.
For sub-clause (2) of clause 1 substitute the following:
“It shall come into force on 13th July; 1964.
For sub-clause (2) of clause 1 substitute the following:

“This Act comes into operation on the date of publication of the Act first in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette.”

The amendments were negatived.
Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

“That clause 1 do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1 was added to the Bill.

PREAMBLE

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

“That the preamble do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted.

Preamble was added to the Bill.

Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy:—Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964, as reported by the Regional Committee be read a third time.

Mr. Speaker:—Motion moved.

Mr. Speaker:—The question is:

“That the Andhra Pradesh Panchayat Samithis and Zilla Parishads (Amendment) Bill, 1964, as reported by the Regional Committee be read a third time.”

The motion was adopted.
Mr. Speaker :—Motion moved

(Pause)

Mr. Speaker :—The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Tenancy Bill as reported by the Joint Select Committee be referred to Regional Committee for consideration and report to the Assembly.

The motion was adopted.


Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy :—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Irrigation (Construction of Maintenance of Water Courses) Bill, 1964 be read a first time.

Mr. Speaker :—Motion moved.

(Pause)

Mr. Speaker :—The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh Irrigation, Construction and Maintenance of Water Courses Bill, 1964 be read a first time."

The motion was adopted.
Mr. Speaker :—Motion moved

(Pause)

Mr. Speaker :—The question is:

That the Andhra Pradesh Irrigation (Construction and Maintenance of Water Courses) Bill 1964 be referred to a Select Committee consisting of 15 members viz., Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy.

,, A. C. Subba Reddy.
,, G. Suryanarayana.
,, Nandamuni Reddy.
,, K. Apparao.
,, Siddayya Naidu.
,, K. V. Reddy.
,, Vijaya Ranga Rao.
,, J. Vengalarao.
,, Pillalamarri Venkateswarlu.
,, K. Govindarao.
,, N. Srinivasa Reddy.
,, P. Narayana Reddy.
,, K. V. Narayana Reddy.
,, Tenneti Viswanatham.

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker:—Under Rule 109 of the Assembly Rules, I appoint Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy, Minister for Reve-
nue to be the Chairman of the Select Committee on the Andhra Pradesh Irrigation (Construction and Maintenance of Water Courses) Bill, 1964.

*The Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Abolition of Inams Bill, 1964.*

*Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy* :—Sir, I beg to move:

"That the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Abolition of Inams Bill, 1964 be read a first time.

*Mr. Speaker* :—Motion moved.

(Pause)

*Mr. Speaker* :—The question is:

"That the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Abolition of Inams Bill, 1964 be read a first time.

The motion was adopted.

*Sri N. Ramachandra Reddy* :—Sir, I beg to move:

That the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Abolition of Inams Bill, 1964 be referred to a Joint Select Committee consisting of 21 members from the Assembly:

*Mr. Speaker* :— Motion moved

(pause)

*Mr. Speaker* :—The question is:

That the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana area) abolition of Inams Bill 1964 be referred to a Joint Select Committee consisting of the following 21 members of the Legislative Assembly:


2. ,, G. Ramulu.
5. ,, Vasudeva Rao.
6. ,, Narayananreddy.
7. ,, Lakshminarasimharao.
8. ,, Naganna.
9. ,, Rajaram.
10. Smt. Santabai.
12. ,, Kamaluddin Ahmad.
13. ,, A. Ramachandrareddy.
14. ,, N. Mohanrao.
16. Sri Venkateswarrao
17. ,, N. Srinivasa Reddy.
18. ,, Ramachandrarao Deshpande.
19. ,, Ramagopala Reddy.
20. ,, Lakshminarasimha Reddy.

and 7 members from the Legislative Council. This Assembly do recommend to the Council that the Council do join the said Joint Select Committee and communicate to the Assembly the name of the members to be appointed by the Council to the Joint Select Committee.

The motion was adopted.

Mr. Speaker:—The House is adjourned to 8–30 A.M. tomorrow.

2.03 P. M. The House then adjourned till Half past Eight of the Cloek on Wednesday, the 15th July, 1964.